New international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response

Written contributions to the first round of public hearings

Organization	Written submission
private	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
N/A	- - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
None	- - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Non	- - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Sofia	- - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - - - - - - - - -
	- - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open
Bulgaria	- - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Softmed	- - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
No	- - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Privat	- - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Sofia University	- - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - - - - - - - - -
Hiron med. center	- - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
self	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	- A clear definition of the meaning of the word 'pandemic' (ie one that requires enormous numbers of deaths and severe illness for it to be used). - National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-employed	- A clear, scientific definition of what exactly constitutes a pandemic - Guarantees on state and individual sovereignty - Measures for ensuring basic human rights are respected - Safeguards against any discriminatory measures such as health passports - Legal liability for WHO and/or government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
privat	- achtung der menschenrechte - achtung vor meinungsfreiheit - hypokratischen eid achten - echte und mehrere (unbezahlte) experten zu wort kommen lassen - souveränität der staaten beibehalten - keine zensur in tv und internet -
None	- All instruments should be;- Evidence based. - Evidence & data from which this evidence is derived should be open to public scrutiny. - Free from influence or Lobbying from the Pharmaceutical, Medical industries and governmental lobby. They should be based purely on best public health practice. Corporate profit should never be a consideration. -All Corporate, Trade association, NGO or Governmental Lobbying must be publically decared. -All best practices for treatments and procedures must be considered regardless of whether they are patent protected or not. Generics and out of patent treatments should be actively researched and included. Lack of patents should never be a criteria for excluson.
N/a	- all nations must remain sovereign to make their own decisions on preparedness, a universal approach is reckless and undermines a nations sovereignty. - any medical intervention is an individual choice, not one to be dictated. - financial donors should not influence the council - their should be no profiteering from products marketed during a pandemic.
Private	- An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Listen to a diverse group of experts (e.g. Statisticians, Imunologists, Psychologists, Aerosol researchers People from business and allow them to disagree.
Chiropractic Association of Cork	- An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	- Any instrument of pandemic response with the WHO must respect autonomy of individual countries to act in their own best interest. I live in the United States of America. Our sovereignty cannot be overridden by an outside party. We reserve the right to follow or not follow WHO recommendations as we see fit. - We reserve the right to opt out of any part of the agreement we, as a nation, consider to be not in our best interest, or simply inappropriate, without consequences. - Any process must be open and transparent, with the ability of all people in the world to vote on its application. There must be failsafe measures that allow opting out of the agreement, where local citizens vote against it.
none	- Any new health threat shall be identified and isolated by internationally recognised methods with all experts in relevant fields given the opportunity to voice their opinions with backing evidence. - Any "Instrument" must acknowledge the importance of free, public, televised debate on any action to be taken. Any person who wishes to shall be allowed to participate. - For the last two years the governments' steering bodies, in the Uk at least, involved psychologists and "nudge units" This is totally unacceptable and unnecessary if there is scientific evidence of a pandemic and can never be used again by the WHO or any other authority. - Any immunisation programme MUST be voluntary as per the Nuremburg code. - Pharmaceutical companies shall publicly publish their trials findings in total and in a timely manner determined by an independent committee. - Pharmaceutical companies shall be obliged to supply products targeting any new health threat AT COST FOR THE DURATION OF THE EMERGENCY. - Vaccine adverse reaction agencies i.e. VAERS and YELLOW CARD must publish parameters to determine what level of vaccine damage is acceptable rather than the situation at the moment where, every month regardless of the numbers, they "continue to monitor" the situation. - Expert opinions contrary to the WHO's opinion must be allowed in internationally televised debates at the WHO in which all sides are given the opportunity to present evidence supporting their positions in order that the truth and reality of a given situation may be objectively evaluated by all.
ev. Kirche	- As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and (private) economic interests
Private	- Assurance that national sovereignty is upheld - Assurance that bodily autonomy is upheld and that compulsory vaccines are rejected - Assurance that people will not be subjected to digital surveillance of any nature, shape or form
Adelaide inc.	- Autonomy remains with National and local leadership, prioritising citizens interests. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Keine	- compulsory vaccinations must be prohibited - discriminatory measures must be prohibited - all measures must take into account human rights and social interests - Vaccines and medicines must be purchased at cost or minimal profit in the event of a pandemic. - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states and must remain with producers. - All decision-making must be made fully transparent and subject to a peer review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to WHO must be banned from the outset - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - The pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Translated with www.DeepL.com/Translator (free version)
Keine	- compulsory vaccinations must be prohibited - discriminatory measures must be prohibited - all measures must take into account human rights and social interests - Vaccines and medicines must be purchased at cost or minimal profit in the event of a pandemic. - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states and must remain with producers. - All decision-making must be made fully transparent and subject to a peer review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to WHO must be banned from the outset - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - The pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Translated with www.DeepL.com/Translator (free version)
Private	- Countries must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities must be in control of their own health. - A world health organisation independent from pharmaceutical pressures.
NHS - UK	- decision to declare a pandemic - must be full transparency and independent scrutiny of criteria and data - human rights must be respected, any restrictions on normal social and business gathering must be the responsibility of democratically elected representatives only, not technocrats from intergovernmental bodies - conflicts of interest between big pharma, governments and intergovernmental bodies such as WHO must be declared and steps taken to ensure these do not influence the decision making process - any use of therapeutics, particularly novel therapeutics must be completely voluntary and subject to the same informed consent as any other medical treatment - medical community should be encouraged to design pragmatic trials of repurposed drugs to find efficient treatment and supported to roll these out - any use of novel therapeutics must be subject to close adverse event monitoring. Reported adverse events should be independently and rapidly investigated and roll out halted if necessary - governments should not be encouraged to use fear based messaging, instead promote normal life as possible as this will promote health for most people - diverging views should be encouraged and openly debated. There should be no censorship of differing opinions. - any treaty must only be advisory. Decisions of the magnitude of those made during the Covid pandemic must only be made by elected politicians who are answerable to their electorate. Power should not be placed in the hands of unaccountable officials.
none	- Each government of each country will be free to determine its own course of action in treating any and all health threats without external coercion or deception of any kind. - Each level of government eg. federal, provincial, municipal will be free and able without coercion to treat any health threats in the way they best see fit. - Only independent, non-partisan physicians/health experts will be allowed to advise but not enforce health recommendations on the people of their own area, province or country at all times. - Doctors and other health experts will not be given at any time, any bureaucratic powers that would enable them to enforce any health measures on any of their citizens. - In all situations, every individual of every country will be free to decide for themselves without coercion or compromise what course of action they will take to ensure their own health safety. - Only proven and safe medicines eg. Ivermectin, Hydroxychloroquine, Vitamin D3, Vitamin C, Azythromycin, etc. will be used to treat patients. Experimental and non-approved medicines will be forbidden to be used. - Highly vulnerable people eg. elderly in nursing homes/have comorbidities will be isolated. Healthy people will carry on as normal.
Public	- each nation should have the right to set their own health policies, as the government of each state is elected by its people. The national constitution provisions should also prevail over WHO's constitution. The management of WHO is not elected by regular citizens. - the WHO should remain a body that only makes recommendations to the states for health policies, not become one that is dictating one size fits all health rules that can leas to harm to individuals. - the International Instrument should include clear and severe sanctions for any nation that is involved in gain of function research especially those leading to a pandemic - the Instrument should also focus on investigating the source of any future pandemics and form teams of truly independent experts skilled to look into the virus sequence and identify sequences that have already been patented by Pharma companies that promote solutions for the problem created. - the International Treaty should encourage and promote free speech between scientists and doctors coming from all points of view (as science is not fixed, it is constantly evolving) in order to find truly safe and effective solutions in a pandemic. - encourage doctors to early treat patients, always! - encourage the use of repurposed drugs and not threaten doctors with losing their license for using such repurposed drugs. - the WHO should apply in the international instrument its core nine values: service-orientation, integrity, being equitable, respect, collaboration, transparency, accountability, efficiency, inclusiveness and continuous improvement.
Personal	- Every nation should be able to opt out from everything in the agreement, if they choose so. - The process should always remain open and transparent and the people of the countries should be able to vote even against the agreement. - Great care should be taken to reduce the effect of influence from medical companies and doctors etc. WHO should not be in charge, nor should the medical companies. It should be up to every single nation, and single person in a country, what to do and not. WHO can give recommendations. Forced interventions are, and have always been, a recipe for catastrophe.
EU Bürger	Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen - Achtung der Menschenrechte und Grundrechte - gesetzliche Haftung der Regierungsbeamten und der Pharmaunternehmen - Ausschluss von Impfpflicht und digitalen Impfausweisen -Verbot von experimentellen Genmedikamenten und Impfstoffen - Datenschutz der Bürger, insbesondere der Genomdaten - Bürger müssen deren Datenerfassung zustimmen - Pandemievertrag sollte nur freiwillig zur Anwendung kommen -Pandemievertrag sollte zeitlich begrenzt sein auf erstmal 1 Jahr - Öffentlichkeit soll umfassend informiert werden über den Vertrag - Bürger haben Mitspracherecht
Non	Garantien für die Erhaltung der Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen Bürgers, - die Garantie der Achtung der Menschenrechte, - die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen für alle eingesetzten Mittel, Maßnahmen und Medikamente
privat	- Garantien für die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten und Bürger - Achtung der Menschenrechte - gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten / Pharmaunternehmen - keine Impfpflicht - Recht auf analoge Gesundheits- bzw. Impfnachweise - Recht auf ein analoges Leben
Arzt, selbständig	Garantien für die Souveränität des jeweils beteiligten Staates und des Einzelnen - Achtung der Menschenrechte - Beachtung der folgenden ethischen und ärztlichen Grundsätze: "Primum nihil nocere", Tue Gutes, schade nicht, behandle alle Menschen gleich und lass jeden Menschen selbst entscheiden - alle sind autonom - Beachtung seit langem anerkannten medizinischen Grundwissens (Pandemie-Definition nur bei schwerwiegender, für viele Menschen tödlicher Erkrankung, Wiederanwendung der "alten" Pandemie-Definition, natürlich erworbene Immunität ist wirksamer als Impf-Immunität) - Kein Impfzwang oder keine Impfpflicht, und vor allem nicht mit experimentellen oder noch nicht ausreichend bewährten und noch nicht längere Zeit in Verkehr befindlichen Impfstoffen, und vor allem nicht bei laborchemisch nachgewiesener Immunität - keine zentralen digitalen Kontrollsysteme bezüglich Impfpässe - gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen - Wahrung der Verhältnismäßigkeit aller Maßnahmen
Private	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen - Achtung der Menschenrechte - Gesetzliche Haftung von Pharmaunternehmen - Gesetzliche Haftung der Regierungsbeamten
privat	"- Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen - Achtung der Menschenrechte - Beachtung folgender ethischer Grundsätze: Tue Gutes, schade nicht, behandle alle Menschen gleich und lass jeden Menschen selbst entscheiden – alle sind autonom - Beachtung seit langem anerkannten medizinischen Grundwissens (Pandemie-Definition nur bei schwerwiegender / für viele Menschen tödlicher Erkrankung, natürlich erworbene Immunität ist wirksamer als Impf-Immunität) - keinerlei zentrale digitale Kontrollsysteme (keine "Impfpässe") - gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und / oder Pharmaunternehmen"
Family	- Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen - Achtung der Menschenrechte - Beachtung folgender ethischer Grundsätze: Tue Gutes, schade nicht, behandle alle Menschen gleich und lass jeden Menschen selbst entscheiden – alle sind autonom - Beachtung seit langem anerkannten medizinischen Grundwissens (Pandemie-Definition nur bei schwerwiegender / für viele Menschen tödlicher Erkrankung, natürlich erworbene Immunität ist wirksamer als Impf-Immunität) - keinerlei zentrale digitale Kontrollsysteme (keine "Impfpässe") - gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
Privat - Teacher - Mother	- Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen - Achtung der Menschenrechte - Beachtung folgender ethischer Grundsätze: Tue Gutes, schade nicht, behandle alle Menschen gleich und lass jeden Menschen selbst entscheiden – alle sind autonom - Beachtung seit langem anerkannten medizinischen Grundwissen - keinerlei zentrale digitale Kontrollsysteme - gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
Free individual	- Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen - die Achtung der Menschenrechte - die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
Midwife	- Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen - Achtung der Menschenrechte - Beachtung folgender ethischer Grundsätze: Tue Gutes, schade nicht, behandle alle Menschen gleich und lass jeden Menschen selbst entscheiden – alle sind autonom - Beachtung seit langem anerkannten medizinischen Grundwissens (Pandemie-Definition nur bei schwerwiegender / für viele Menschen tödlicher Erkrankung, natürlich erworbene Immunität ist wirksamer als Impf-Immunität) - keinerlei zentrale digitale Kontrollsysteme (keine "Impfpässe") - gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen in english: - Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual - Respect for human rights - Observance of the following ethical principles: Do good, do no harm, treat all people equally and let each person decide for themselves - all are autonomous - Respect of long recognized basic medical knowledge (pandemic definition only in case of severe / for many people fatal disease, naturally acquired immunity is more effective than vaccination immunity) - no central digital control systems at all (no "vaccination passports") - legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
The Public	- Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, - die Achtung der Menschenrechte und - die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
keine	- guarantees for sovereignty of the states an the individuals - respect of human rights - liability of government officials, pharmaceutical groups and doctors
No	- Guarantees for the sovereignty of each individual state - Guarantee of the physical inviolability of the human individual - Guarantee for the establishment of human rights - Legal liability of government officials pharmaceutical companies as persons
private	- guarantees of state and individual sovereignty - respect for human rights - legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies
Titanic AG	- Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty - Respect for human rights - The legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Arge Ja zur Umwelt, nein zur Atomenergie	- I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty with precedence over national law
free	- I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
None	- I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
Private	- I generally oppose and reject an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. - All measures must take full account of all health interests. - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen	- I heavily object to an international mandatory pandemic treaty. - Any such treaty must not breach any human rights. - If put in place, then a pandemic treaty must by no means supersede national law. Under it, the WHO may only issue recommendations. - Any recommendations made under a non-mandatory international pandemic treaty must be made for drugs and treatments with a proven track record for efficiency and reliability. - All drugs recommended for treatment must be fully certified after having successfully completed a regular trial and approval phase. Emergency use authorizations do not qualify. - The producers of the drugs recommended for use under the treaty must be fully liable for their products. - Full transparency on the part of all parties involved (WHO, national governments, pharmaceutical companies etc.) must be warranted at all times. - WHO to demonstrate its independence at all levels. No interference (neither financially nor otherwise) from third parties and no conflicts of interest allowed.
Private	- It is my belief that all emergency contingency measures (eg. lockdowns, vaccination mandates, public wearing of masks, social distancing etc) for whatever reason, must be solely decided by the governments of each sovereign nation state. There must therefore be no imposition of emergency policies by unelected, international bodies such as the World Health Organisation.
keine	jedes Land hat eigene Bedingungen, deshalb unbedingte Unabhängigkeit der; keine Gleichmachung mit gleichen Pandemiemaßnahmen für alle - keine Impfpflicht mit Vakzinen, die keine Langzeitstudien vorweisen können - Impfpflicht nur für Erkrankungen, die eine hohe Letalitätsrate haben - Unterstützung der Bevölkerung durch Maßnahmen aus der Resilienzforschung und Maßnahmen zur Stärkung der Immunkräfte heißt Nutzung komplementärer Medizin - die Verantwortlichkeit der Gesundheit muss weiterhin jedem Individuum bleiben, keine staatliche Kontrolle darüber
privat	keine Impfpflicht - analoge Gesundheits- und Impfnachweise - nationales Recht / Souveränität gewährleisten - Menschenwürde der einzelnen Bürger gewährleisten
private person	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden 40 - Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance 61 - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law 70
private	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests. - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must be considered and carefully balanced on human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contact, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding, Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precendence over national constitutional law I GENERALLY OPPOSE AN INTERNATIONAL, MANDATORY PANDEMIC TREATY !!! yours faithfully Jürgen Fadljevic
none	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law - All decissions by the gov must be 100% transparent and published in an ligh open format, including all contracts to the industries, it is the money from the folks, who is paying this, not 5 persons deciding to get an advantage by this deals
Private	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Individual	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state and at all times - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be equally included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Fa. Seiberl	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - all measures must take full account of all health interests - respresentive sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
private	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
privat	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	- Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!!!!! - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests!!!!! - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states
privat	- Mandatory vaccines should be voluntarily and NEVER compulsory. - All measures must take full account of individuell health interests´. There is no ONE health for people all over the world. - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner and undergo a peer-review process. - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Business interests must not be allowed in times of crisis. - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. There can never be only one vallid response to a pandemic situation. Each human should have the possibility to choose as it should be in any other topic. kindly regards Andrea Futschik
Heart of wellness	- Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Private	- National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities must have the ability of to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures have to be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	- National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities must have the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process must be put into place with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	- National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be given. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, has to be established. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, must be installed.
Public	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
not applicable	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all non-governmental organizations and private interests.
None	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
None	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Protect the rights and health of individuals by forbidding the illegal implementation of mandates forcing unwanted vaccines, drugs or therapies on individuals - Allow medical profesionnals to practice medicine according to their training and skills - Protect medical profesionnals from losing their licenses for practicing medicine to save lives - Prevent pharmaceutical or other unelected organizations from influencing or controling world health policy
Professional Nature Phitographer	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health alliances.
self	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unlimited Potentials	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Simona Gahary	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or collaborators. - Complete right of individual to opt out of any inspections, mandates, treatments, etc. - No removal of patients' bill of rights in hospitals, clinics, outpatient settings, etc. - Complete utter transparency of all funding in and out directly and indirectly of the WHO agency including all financial dealings of each country and individual stakeholders . Including all stakeholders in medical interventions of any kind affiliated with the WHO agencies and of each Gov. Representatives in each country including any stakeholders in their FDA CDC or Affiliated with such agencies to keep everything purely transparent to the people. - Ensure natural law freedoms provided by the U.S. Constitution are not infringed on. Require the protection of health by removing liability protection of research and development entities (regardless of whether private or governmental), particularly in instances of fraud. - Provide injury compensation for children completely and all adults equally. - Ensure no vaccine mandates, vaccine passports, or discriminating by vaccine status.
Public	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers and ceases to accept funds from these sources. - The WHO as a supra international organisation cannot place itself above sovereignty of any nation, is apolitical and seeks scientific views from others in an open manner. Acts in advisory capacity.
Self	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America citizen	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None - personal	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IT Matrix	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the Public	- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be no mandatory participation of countries or States. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures do not break the International Bill of Human Rights 1948. - Measures do not break UK The Human Rights Act 1998. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
White Stool Productions	- National and local leadership retain total autonomy, reserving the right to previously consult their people and make decisions based on their own people´s best interest. - Nations and local municipalities have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they deem fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process enabling all peoples of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow involvement for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers.
-	- National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We know what the globalists are planning for the people of the world
none	- national and local leadership should retain ultimate power including veto. This means they can opt out of any measures without punishment or persecution - all processes should be transparent on all levels including sources of funding - no influence from any profit-making bodies
B M & N M Newson	- National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	- National Governments must retain full authority and autonomy so that all rights are reserved to make decisions based on their national circumstances. - Open and transparent processes including the ability of people to vote and implementation of strong measures to retain medical sovereignty. - Strong measures to prevent persuasive influence in the process by any corporations and entities which might profit monetarily or otherwise. Thank you
Entrepreneur	nicht (nach-)verhandelbare Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen - die Achtung der Menschenrechte und Menschenwürde - weiterhin uneingeschränkte Reisefreiheit innerhalb der EU für jeden Bürger ohne digitale Zertifikate - und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/ oder Pharmaunternehmen für alle an die Bevölkerung ausgegebenen Medizinprodukte (einschließlich Impfungen) auch wenn sie per Notstandsverordnung eingeführt wurden Danke.
Satoshi Nakamoto	- no conditionally approved vaccines - no imposed secret contracts between pharmaceutical companies and states - maintain proportionality. What measures are suitable, necessary and appropriate ? - mRNA must be thoroughly and conscientiously researched
Citizen	- No digital surveillance - No Council dictatorship - No Compulsory vaccination - No marxism - For freedom - For true science
none	- no digitale id issuing - full respect of all internazionale agreements like "Nuremberg code, Oviedo convention, declaration of human rights, etc." - no vaccine or treatments mandates - no genetics treatments or vaccines - no mandatory adesion for the States to who directives - no use of experimental treatmen on large scale - public database on treatmets efficiency

Organization	Written submission
Self	- No one should be forced or mandated to take a vaccine - WHO's position only must be left as a guidance body - Disclosure of WHO's financial income associated with vaccine manufacturers and politicians must be disclosed
Nourishing Wellness	- Our national and local leaderships must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Global profiteers and all pharmaceutical companies should not be allowed to influence the process.
n/a	- Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law - Mandatory vaccination should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - Member states must provide 100% of WHO funding - Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited
none	- Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost during pandemic state - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests If these demands are not guaranteed, I reject an international, binding pandemic agreement
private	Pandemievertrag darf nicht vor nationalem Verfassungsrecht stehen! - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state! - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states! - All measures must take full account of all health interests! - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited! - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance! - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process! - All measures must take full account of all health interests!
None	- People receive full autonomy for their health choices, by larger organisations or governments only providing guidance and resources, which people can follow if they choose to do so. - The ability of people to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process and balanced information, based on unbiased, independent research without any conflicts of interest - Prevention of human rights violations under any circumstances - Recognition of common law/equality law that upholds living women/men as sovereign
General public	- People's fundamental rights must be observed even during a pandemic ! - No vaccine mandates. - Citizens/governments should not be bypassed but must be involved in the decision-making process. - WHO must remain unbiased. - Citizens/governments must have the right to appeal against WHO decisions. - Global pandemic control should not result in a global sanitary dictatorship.
private	- please check that no economic interests are involved - this is absolutely not bearable as far as the health of humans is concerned !!! - please let alternative medicine be as strong as pharmaceuticals, chemicals - please only choose independent companies for development of any medicaments, vaccinations etc. - please guarantee that individual states as well as their individual subjects are sovereign - please guarantee that any producers of any medicaments, vaccinations or alternative healing methods are hold responsible for their products ! - there should be no financial involvement of groups like foundations which are not elected by sovereign subjects in democratic states! This dependence is absolutely not bearable
citizen	- Principle no. 1: All decisions WHO about every pandemic should undoubtedly be of a recommendatory nature for each state. Any form of coercion is unacceptable.
Glory Evangelical Church	- prioritize on natural medicine - make public all the contracts for big pharmacies and stake holders - Big pharmacies should receive compensation in CASH NOT in KIND especially government owned areas, rights to a property etc. - make public all the data for clinical trials of medicine/ therapies etc - fix the EUA standards - if there is a certain number of deaths, take it off the market. No EUA drugs should be given to pregnant women and those in the transportation industry due to the nature that not only 1 body will be affected but 2 or more. - if there's no consensus among the doctors, scientists etc. - CONSIDER ALL SIDES no matter how small the voice can be - ALL donations should be given for general fund. It must go to where the MOST NEED is. Donors ARE NOT ALLOWED to say where they want their money to be for (example: vaccine development or to fund a research that is highly biased to their business) - WHO can suggest or encourage but never force a country to abide to a certain decision regarding health - WHO must respect the local practice of medicine - WHO must release data neutrally without branding anyone "misinformation" or "disinformation" - Social Media and Main Media platforms should promote a therapy/ drug by CLEARLY STATING that "This is an advertisement FUNDED BY" - Social Media and Main Media platforms should not erase personal testimonies of people telling how they overcame a certain disease or their experience about a new therapy or intervention NO MATTER if they tell positive or negative comment. It is their experience. Let them tell their story. - 3rd world countries should NOT be the "DUMPSITE" of unwanted EUA medications/vaccines/therapies etc.
Individual	- reaffirm the Nuremburg principles and denounce any coercion of new and experimental technologies - that decision making will always remain with local authorities and Governments, and subject to the relevant local laws and Constitutions - complete transparency and openness in data used to guide decisions within the WHO - the inability for pharmaceutical companies to manipulate narratives via the WHO or anyone else that can profit from global health
privat	- respect all human rights without any exclusion depending on vaccine status - standardized data collection (representative data) and publish the raw-data as open source - respect human immunity based on antibodies and T- and B-cell activity - standardisation of PCR-Tests regarding genom-sequences and Ct-Value (<30) - count death only when illness was the root cause, not if a PCR-Test was positiv
Keine	Souveränität eines jeden Staates - eigene und freie Entscheidung über seinen Körper -keine Strafverfolgung bei anderer Meinung
private	- sovereignty of every country and all individuals - respect for Human Rights - personal liability of officials and of pharmaceutical companies.
Private	– sovereignty of national states and individuals – assurance of human rights and integrity of national constitutions – the right of self-determination for every human being according to health measures and medical treatments – accountability of pharmaceutical companies and government officials
Student	– sovereignty of national states and individuals – assurance of human rights and integrity of national constitutions – the right of self-determination for every human being according to health measures and medical treatments – accountability of pharmaceutical companies and government officials
keine	- sovereignty of the states an individuals - liability of government officials, pharmaceutical groups and doctors - respect of human rights
Private citizen	sovranità statale e individuale, - rispetto dei diritti umani, - responsabilità legale anche penale per i funzionari governativi e le aziende farmaceutiche, - messa a disposizione immediata e tempestiva di tutti i dati medico-scientifici, dei trial ecc (inclusi i dati grezzi)
-	- That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - That nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - That there is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - That the measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. NH
IBLCE	- The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights - The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. - We, the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being.
South Korea	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights - The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. - We, the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. - WHO □□□ □□□□□□ □□□ □□□ □□□ □ □□ □□□ □□□□ - WHO□ □□□ □□ □□□ □□□□□□ □□ □□□ □□□ □ □□. - □□ □□□ □□□ □, □□ □ □□□ □□□ □□□ □□ □□□ □□□ □□□ □□□□.
South Korea	- The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights - The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. - We, the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. - WHO □□□ □□□□□□ □□□ □□□ □□□ □ □□ □□□ □□□□ - WHO□ □□□ □□ □□□ □□□□□□ □□ □□□ □□□ □ □□. - □□ □□□ □□□ □, □□ □ □□□ □□□ □□□ □□ □□□ □□□ □□□ □□□□.
Ani-KH haniwon	- The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights ! - The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. ! - We, the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. !
Individual	- The WHO is an unelected body/organization. - There has been no transparency of factual data within the WHO. Instead, there's been much suppression, corruption, collusion, and coercion within the WHO organization. - Public health is not a one-size-fits-all solution. - Dr and patient relationships should be confidential and decisions personal. - Every human has the right to their own sovereignty. - Every human has the right to their own body/autonomy. - Every human deserves their God given, unalienable rights. - Natural Law is paramount. - Natural Immunity MUST be recognized and. honored. - The Bill Of Rights MUST be honored and upheld. - The Constitution MUST be honored and upheld. - The Hippocratic Oath MUST be honored and upheld. - There should never be mandates, passports, or any discrimination, involved in or with any humans personal health choices. - This violates the Nuremberg Code, all personal choice, all human rights, and every point as stated above.
Australia	- The WHO recognises its role and advice is advisory only and non-binding on Nation States who retain the sovereign right to self determination and implement pandemic policies in accord with the will of the people of the sovereign state. - No advice or action taken by the WHO may be contrary to human rights in the universal declaration of human rights. Medical and health rights violation will mean criminal charges in the ICC. - Any vaccine or medicine or prophylactic studied must provide all raw data to be verified by independent parties with 5 replicable trials and give up all patents in perpetuity otherwise are pandemic profiteering. Only if absolute risk reduction is > 0.9, can the WHO recommend the medicine/vaccine. - Criminal charges will apply to data errors in medical trials and war crimes will apply to charges of data manipulation and fraud where the data is used in formal advice to sovereign countries. These charges will be tried in a special court chosen by the ICC and ratified by a 75% vote of member countries of the WHO. This court must be chosen and ratified within two weeks of the declaration of a pandemic. - Current definition of "pandemic" must be voted by a 75% majority of member states and can only be changed on a 75% majority. The definition to include defined number of "enormous death and illness" to be classed as pandemic. - Declaration of "pandemic" must be ratified by 75% majority of member countries representative and their head of state. Prior to declaration of "pandemic" requires a special investigative taskforce comprised of both business, military and health reps of each member-country to assess the disease vs economic risk of supply shock due to over-reactive pandemic policies.
karlos.cz	- there is huge potential to misuse such tremendous powers for benefit of certain groups of people - countries and individuals must retain their rights to decide what's best, especially if their decisions are not imposing any harm to others - all the processes need to be transparent based on science discussions across the whole spectrum which wasn't clearly the case for last two years
private	- There should be an INDEPENDENT research done BY UNBIASED SCIENTISTS OF ALL countries what went well and what went wrong during Covid and the response to it - The declaration of a pandemic should be thoroughly scrutinized and independent scientists should have the right to help decide when it is applicable to use the term pandemic. Covid wasn't a pandemic. It seems that terms get bent a lot these days to suit the interest of certain people/organisations. - the WHO shouldn't be a central institution which regulates every single country on this planet. It shouldn't take away the right of individual countries to respond individually. It shouldn't be an organisation of central power but an organisations which gives advice and recommendations. The whole process should be a democratic process as there is the danger for the power to misused and for countries to be forced into actions they don't want Unfortunately there are too many power, influence and money hungry people and organisations in the world these days who don't seem to have the best of interest for humanity in their minds and that's why I have completely lost my trust in the WHO and other powerful central organisations. I can see that it is too easy for organisations (and the people who run them) with great and global powers to misuse their powers and to forget that there were created to make it easier for humanity, to help humanity and not to have power over or reign humanity.
private citizen	- transparent and public data on the development of future possible vaccines, with the restoration of longer and wider trials on the group population involved, that foresees differentiation among the group people among: age, sex and possibly also among previous genetically developed diseases in order to asses more precisely the utility and the proper functioning of a possible vaccine. - a transparent and robust pharmacovigilance, that can be userfriendly and that takes into account the individual autonomous spontaneous reporting of the treated person. Also punctual at any time reporting of the practitioner, and most importantly encouragement to the practitioners in take into consideration symptoms as correlatables, going beyong the algorythm with which this is usually assesed. Medical issues cannot be left to the judgment of an algorythm. - doctors and practitioners should receive a precise and in-depth explanation as to how vaccines function, their inner mechanism, benefits and risks.They should be well prepared to understand whom can really benefit from it, and who doesn't need it. not all human beings are the same, and one treatment does not apply to all. we have learnt it well now from this pandemic tournament. a vaccine cannot be and does not need to be applied to everybody. - DEVELOPMENT OF A VACCINE THAT IS EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT IN PREVENTING CONTAGION. THIS IS WHAT A VACCINE IS MEANT TO DO. NOT TO ONLY PREVENT SERIOUS ILLNESS AND HOSPITALIZATION, BUT TO PRIORITIZE THE PREVENTION OF SPREAD. - protocols to cure at home that proove to be productive in reducing severe illness. preparedness by practitioners as to the drugs that can work and that already exist and can apply given their specific wide range of use
Publik	- Warranty of sovereignty of the state and the individual - Respect for human rights - Legal liability of goverment officials and pharmaceutical companies
PAPAGEORGIOU HOSPITAL	- We ask for transparency. - We ask for freedom of speech to be allowed. We demand that the Greek representative be allowed to speak alone in the debate (not through a representative from another nation). -We demand that the WHO meetings be made public in real time, with access to the recordings. -Virus outbreaks are not homogeneous: they differ in population density, climate, population diversity, availability of drugs, etc. A single method of action to be implemented worldwide seems to us to be a seriously misguided idea.
Private	- We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. - We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. - The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. - Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. - Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. - In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. - We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any conse quences. - We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. - We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. - We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). - We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. - We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
AUTH	- WHO should exclude the recommendation of the use of drugs and vaccines that are in clinical (experimental) stage. Likewise, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. Instead. it should act as a custodian of credibility. Thus, with its actions it should support the flow of reliable information to the citizens. - We ask the restoration of the high mortality rate in all age groups and the serious course of a pandemic in the characteristics of the pandemic. At the same time, high transmissibility should be eliminated as a key factor in initiating pandemic measures. - We ask for freedom of speech to be allowed. We demand that the Greek representative be allowed to speak alone in the debate (not through a representative from another nation). - We demand that WHO meetings be made public in real time, with access to the recordings, above all with transparency. - We ask that the representatives of the states be asked to consult the public. To be accessible. - We request that all contracts and additions with the pharmaceutical companies and the implementing bodies of these activities be made public and available to the public (published on the WHO website). - We ask that it is ultimately in the hands of the patient to decide on the choice of treatment or prevention, without any consequences. - We ask that the range of WHO activities include building natural immunity and prevention.
FC Fruppe	Wichtig ist, dass die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen garantiert wird! Dass die Staaten noch selbst über die tatsächliche Umsetzung entscheiden! Der Souverän eines Staates ist das Volk und nur die gewählte Regierung ist befugt weitreichende Entscheidungen zu treffen! Nach COVID19 ist eindeutig,dass die Achtung der Menschenrechte oberste Maxime darstellen muss! Viel, wenn nicht sogar die meisten Szenarien stellten sich als Fehleinschätzung heraus! So zb die Annahme, es gäbe eine Pandemie der Ungeimpften, was zur Ausgrenzung Dieser vor allem in Deutschland aus dem Gesellschaftlichen Leben geführt hat. Vergleiche zum 3. Reich sind hier nicht gänzlich von de Hand zuweisen (Impfpass für den Zutritt zu Lokale etc.) !! Evebfalls muss die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen für Medikamente Grundbedingungen für eine Not-, oder Bedingte Zulassung sein, ansonsten zerstört dies das Vertrauen in den Wirkstoff und führt des Weiteren auch zu keiner hohen Qualität des Produkts!!
Private	· I oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, power should stay in local gouvernments. If necessary a Pandemic contract should save these conditions: As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Best regards, Sibylle Kremsmair
Praxis wesentlich-leben	I generally disagree and oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Thank you
Private person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
gebetsnetzwerk für das Leben	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty When it will come: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
REITER	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Thank you! God bless you!!!
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!!
Austria	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
None/private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ... Oder · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	" I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty"
Privat	" I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty"
Eu Bürger	As a condition of a pandemic contract,member states must provide 100% of WHOfundingP rivate donations to the WHO must beprohibited in advance
....	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Michaela Reich-Eberl
Privatperson	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herrn, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mit freundlichen Grüßen Andrea Großsteiner
US Citizen	-------- If new organization/function is created, they must have the following characteristics which are absent from the proposal. A-It must have an open and transparent process: all people of the world to vote, not just politicians. B-National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. C-Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. D-Covid-19 management of WHO or UN has been disastrous. Those decision makers must not participate the new potential organization. Any persons with the conflict of interest must be removed. E-Prohibit censorship of any kind to debate merits of proposals during the entire process. F-Separate the activity from World Economic Forum objectives. We need solution recommendations using the world-wide resources (scientists, engineers, and many other experts), not an enforcement mechanism.
Married, Mother of 3 adult children, Professional Educator	-------- If new organization/function is created, they must have the following characteristics which are absent from the proposal. A-It must have an open and transparent process: all people of the world to vote, not just politicians. B-National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. C-Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. D-Covid-19 management of WHO or UN has been disastrous. Those decision makers must not participate the new potential organization. Any persons with the conflict of interest must be removed. E-Prohibit censorship of any kind to debate merits of proposals during the entire process. F-Separate the activity from World Economic Forum objectives. We need solution recommendations using the world-wide resources (scientists, engineers, and many other experts), not an enforcement mechanism. Thank you

Organization	Written submission
Married	-------- If new organization/function is created, they must have the following characteristics which are absent from the proposal. A-It must have an open and transparent process: all people of the world to vote, not just politicians. B-National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. C-Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. D-Covid-19 management of WHO or UN has been disastrous. Those decision makers must not participate the new potential organization. Any persons with the conflict of interest must be removed. E-Prohibit censorship of any kind to debate merits of proposals during the entire process. F-Separate the activity from World Economic Forum objectives. We need solution recommendations using the world-wide resources (scientists, engineers, and many other experts), not an enforcement mechanism. Thank you
Private	- I generally oppose an international treatment mandatory, it is surely a wrong way to deal with the Problem and makes in result , more Problems
AVW GROUP, LLC	It is important that the treaty and policies include the following provisions/items: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Gerald Watson
artist	!!! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty !!! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private individual.Not an organization.	1[It is expedient that all National and local leadership retain full autonomy,reserving their right to make decisions,based on what is considered best for their own people. 2[The ability of Nations as well as local municipalitiesto opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit,without consequence. 3]An open and transparent process,with the ability of all people of the world to vote on,including the failsafe measure,that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4]Measures put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies,or other global health profiteers. 5[The above dictates would ensure that the democratic rights of all people and their freedom to choose what is best for their health,is retained,respected and not violated.
Private	" Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Submit a comment today to #StopTheTreaty
Self	" What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Given the woeful and entirely politically biased and unscientific approach by WHO over the past two years of a so called pandemic, it is entirely obvious that an unelected supranational authoritarian and top down diktat approach to managing emergent potential future pandemics would be anathema to anything resembling a coherent approach in terms of medicine, science, heterodox views and success.
none	""Die Bestrebungen des "Pandemievertrags" zielen darauf ab alle Souveränität aller Staaten auszuschalten, beliebige Entscheidungen zu fällen und fundamentale Grundrechte beliebig außer Kraft zu setzen, ohne Rechtfertigungen erbringen zu müssen. Jede beliebige "Gesundheitsmaßnahme" wäre somit durchzusetzen – auch entgegen der Würde des Menschen. Der angestrebte "Pandemievertrag" ist daher ein Menschen- und Völkerrechtskonträrer Ansatz und vollkommen inakzeptabel! Ich sage NEIN – und dieses NEIN, ist nicht verhandelbar!" Carla Denecke
Individual	"1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency"
my personal free will	"An unelected, privately funded organization such as the WHO has NO authority over sovereign people. ALSO, The leadership of this organization has been absolutely disastrous over the past two years, resulting in mindless, draconian restrictions that did nothing whatsoever to promote public health and, indeed, led to many more deaths than were necessary." "Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Each and every human being has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy."
General Public of Private Person of Human	"Dear Sir, Madam, In answer to your question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" in relation to a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as introduced by the WHO, I would like to submit the following criteria that should be included in my humble opinion: 1 - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. On top of that I would like to stress that the mere question is not addressing the main underlying question on the necessity of such an instrument and, if deemed necessary, how the execution should be organised and where. With this proposed new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as introduced by the WHO, a global shift in leadership from nation states to WHO (and the underlying corporate and NGO organisations) is introduced without any democratic consultation nor endorsement. For your information: I do not consent. Kind regards, Ron Molenaar"
IT	"Die Bestrebungen des "Pandemievertrags" zielen darauf ab alle Souveränität aller Staaten auszuschalten, beliebige Entscheidungen zu fällen und fundamentale Grundrechte beliebig außer Kraft zu setzen, ohne Rechtfertigungen erbringen zu müssen. Jede beliebige "Gesundheitsmaßnahme" wäre somit durchzusetzen – auch entgegen der Würde des Menschen. Der angestrebte "Pandemievertrag" ist daher ein Menschen- und Völkerrechtskonträrer Ansatz und vollkommen inakzeptabel! Ich sage NEIN – und dieses NEIN, ist nicht verhandelbar!"
Self	"Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
Citizen from Velbert Germany	"Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." " Die persönliche Entscheidungsfreiheit bei Impfstoffverbreitung ist für jeden Erdenbürger immer, auch in Zukunft bis ins Jahr 2500 gewährt" "Die Würde eines jeden Menschen ist unantastbar.
Empty	"Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies should definitely be part of the contract."
Canada	"I believe there should be NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response; such measures are the jurisdictions of individual nations and individual citizens within them. It is not possible, as demonstrated throughout the Covid 'pandemic', for an entity such as the WHO to properly inform individual citizens of measures sufficiently suitable for their consent, and Nuremberg Code forbids the enforcing of such measures on individuals without informed consent, with historic penalties including death by hanging for doing so. If there should be no international instrument for pandemic preparedness or response, there can be no elements for it, substantive or otherwise." 1. The Instrument must be advisory and in no way compulsory for nations or individuals. 2. The Instrument must recognize that 'One size fits All' is a recipe for unnecessary injury of commission and omission and for failure to recognize and adopt alternative measures. 3. The WHO hearings must allow and enable wide and public discourse around all recommendations being considered for the Instrument. 4. The hearings must be free of commercial interest and all recommendations must be justified by cost/benefit analysis, not focused solely on pandemic suppression.
None	"I do not think that there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I don't agree with the premise of the question. I wrote this I do not think that there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO is an unelected body with conflicts of interest and corrupt practices. You have no right to impose restrictions on anyone. Everyone is entitled to personal sovereignty and bodily autonomy, the basis for local and national sovereignty which must protect the rights of their citizens. We are granted these by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other international agreements. No nation, local entity or individual may be required to follow rules imposed upon us unjustly by the WHO which has no authority over us. Health issues are local and regional and must be addressed on those levels. We know you rigged the PCR tests to manufacture the illusion of a pandemic where there was none. Lockdowns were ineffective and did more harm than good. They extended Covid by preventing young, healthy people from getting mild or asymptomatic Covid, acquiring natural immunity contributing to herd immunity while protected the vulnerable. The Amish acquired herd immunity in months. You are unjustly usurping illegitimate power and will ultimately cause your own destruction, as more catch onto your obvious dangerous, ulterior motives."
Private citizen	"I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum"
N/A	"Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." This is part of your constitution. International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? No. "International" in this respect is a shaded euphemism for total control over the sovereign rights of countries, states, but mostly, individual human beings. We, the people, see what is really going on. And we will not stand for it. We will continue to spread the truth.
No organization	"Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of UTMOST importance in the improvement of the health of the people". This line is part of the WHO constitution. If we still believe in the power of constitutions, then we must know that a "one-size fits all" healthcare governance will NOT work. It played out in real time during what is known as the Covid pandemic. Here is the ONE and most important element that needs to be included in a pandemic preparedness response: ALL HEALTH DECISIONS NEED TO STAY AS CLOSE TO HOME AS POSSIBLE. They in no way, shape or form should be mandated by a higher governing power. Healthcare should be decided by the individual.
no affiliation	in each participating country. Voting should be organised by national electoral commissions. Election campaign not less than two months. WHO should provide international platform, on which any person can ask questions of the candidates and discuss candidates. "Instrument" must publish its budget on a regular basis, making public all sources of finances. "Instrument" must make public full disclosure of all affiliations and declare any entity with financial interests. Any "Instrument"'s decision must be publicly discussed by any interested party. Time for discussion souls be not less than three months. WHO should provide platform for such a discussion. "Instrument" must ensure that all interested parties will be able to participate in the public discussion. Everyone should have a way to participate in the questioning. Answers should be up to satisfaction of asking entity. "Instrument" must not remove any person from participation in the public discussion nor remove or redact any contribution(s). All public contributions to the discussions should be protected from tampering with technology, like blockchain. In the case that such a tampering should be proven, the "Instrument" should be immediately dismissed. Dismissed persons should be banned for 10 years from participation in any organisation affiliated with WHO.
M.I. society	"International Pandemic Treaty." Since human body is a divine creation and not created by "science",any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doctrine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law,and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to re strict/curtail/ violate bodily rights of hu mans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmission and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be established scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correlation. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal to-human or human-to-human transmission/infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
Self	"It is the responsibility of governments to seek guidance on health from qualified external organisations. They may decide to make recommendations to the people who appointed them, but they have no right to make decisions that over-rule the inalienable rights of any individual. There should thus be no written commitment that restricts, reduces or in anyway inhibits these rights. Any agreement made by a government with an external organisation cannot be one of ceding power or accepting obligations, because the government has no power other than that granted to them by their people. Any obligation in such an agreement would be unlawful - and any opting out is therefore moot. Open and transparent advice based on independent and widely-based authorities must be made available - and challenges must be aired. There must be recognition that measures may be recommended for different areas and peoples of the world. It is not "one size fits all". Conflict of interest must be avoided as a priority. Pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers must not influence decisions. Furthermore, any former employee within, say, the last 10 years should play no part. And anyone participating in the process should not take up a position in these companies for a similar period." C.T.
Citizen	"New elements as a new international instrument for preparedness and response to a pandemic are not needed at all if it is essential ensured that every human being on this planet has access to clean drinking water at all times as a human right or as an expression of international respect for human dignity as the supreme human right, and that adequate seasonal vitamin-rich, toxin-free, fresh food is made available or that people are able to grow it themselves, or that their own acquisition of this food is ensured through adequate remuneration for the respective professional activities of the people. If the burden of work and occupation is balanced with leisure and recreation, this strengthens the health of the people. Speculations with water, food and medicine must be forbidden and put under penalty and the development of necessary medicines may not be patented, but must be made accessible to the general public under appropriate compensation of the development costs as possible treatment option on voluntary basis. The teaching and application of time-tested herbal preparations, herbal knowledge and natural remedies must be promoted and preserved. Fundamentals of good scientific work must be comprehensibly adhered to, definitions must not be arbitrarily changed without justification. Hypotheses and model calculations must never become the sole basis for restricting inalienable human rights. Foundations worldwide must always be for all man kind: Respect for the pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice within the limits of the respective constitutions and human rights conventions by every government worldwide equally. Peace be with you and us all around the world
citizen	"New elements as a new international instrument for preparedness and response to a pandemic are not needed at all if it is essentiell ensured that every human being on this planet has access to clean drinking water at all times as a human right or as an expression of international respect for human dignity as the supreme human right, and that adequate seasonal vitamin-rich, toxin-free, fresh food is made available or that people are able to grow it themselves, or that their own acquisition of this food is ensured through adequate remuneration for the respective professional activities of the people. If the burden of work and occupation is balanced with leisure and recreation, this strengthens the health of the people. Speculations with water, food and medicine must be forbidden and put under penalty and the development of necessary medicines may not be patented, but must be made accessible to the general public under appropriate compensation of the development costs as possible treatment option on voluntary basis. The teaching and application of time-tested herbal preparations, herbal knowledge and natural remedies must be promoted and preserved. Fundamentals of good scientific work must be comprehensibly adhered to, definitions must not be arbitrarily changed without justification. Hypotheses and model calculations must never become the sole basis for restricting inalienable human rights. Foundations worldwide must always be for all man kind: Respect for the pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice within the limits of the respective constitutions and human rights conventions by every government worldwide equally. Peace be with you and us all around the world
Jesus is Lord	"No rushed vaccines in a pandemic. Freedom of choice, my body my choice. Stop being big pharmaceutical puppets. Thanks."
AGH	"NO" to the draft global anti-pandemic treaty
N/A	"Pandemic"? - The definition needs to be URGENTLY defined: it is unreasonable that any WHO involvement is needed or necessary.
None	"Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program! We need people and communities in control of their health - not supranational, unelected agencies. Doctors need to be able to practice medicine as they always have, taking into account the particulars of an individual's health history and prescribing care and even off-label medications, if necessary, based upon their unique patient knowledge. This proposed treaty NEEDS to include the following language: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Keep massive bureaucracy from expanding even faster than it already is. No more global solutions that stifle freedom and censor individual thought.
Retired senior in the United States of America	"Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services. "We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed."
TruthForHealth	"Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services." "We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed."
Citizen of United States of America	"Substantive Element" recommendations #1: The W.H.O. may only make recommendations and suggestions, and it may NEVER have binding authority upon any nation, government, or individual. It MUST remain an advisory-only and independent body of research. #2: The W.H.O. may declare a "pandemic", but may NEVER "manage" the response. #3: All documentation and sources utilized by the W.H.O. in declaring a pandemic must be openly accessible, and in easily searchable formats, on the internet. NO EXCEPTIONS. #4: All W.H.O. employees and contractors MUST provide yearly public financial reports that include their salaries and all sources of income and investments. Again, without exception. This serves to rebuild our lost faith in the W.H.O. #5. The W.H.O. must provide yearly public financial reports detailing all sources of income. This prevents corporatism from playing any meaningful role. #6. The W.H.O. must provide easily searchable, and fully complete, datasets for every research program it supports. All data must be visible, with nothing hidden or redacted. Hidden information is a glaring indication of collusion and manipulation.
Private individual	"Substantive elements that should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drasticallly affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not those who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process.
None	"Substantive elements that should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drasticallly affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not those who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process.

Organization	Written submission
None	"Substantive elements that should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drastically affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not "experts" who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process. "
Individual	"Substantive elements that should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drastically affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not those who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process.
Na	"Substantive elements that should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drastically affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not those who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process.
none	"Substantive elements that should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drastically affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not those who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process.
Ireland	"Substantive elements that should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drastically affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not those who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process.
Not Applicable	"Substantive elements vital to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their citizens, with legitimate citizen input. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individual citizens to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in locations where a majority of voters are opposed. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or ANY other global health profiteers. 5. Physicians must be allowed to exercise their best judgment for themselves as well as for their patients in seeking & obtaining ANY medical treatment in pursuit of their own health and well-being for themselves or their family members.". I don't want UN to take over our national sovereignty. I oppose the one World government plan.
my life	"Substantive elements vital to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their citizens, with legitimate citizen input. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individual citizens to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in locations where a majority of voters are opposed. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or ANY other global health profiteers. 5. Physicians must be allowed to exercise their best judgment for themselves as well as for their patients in seeking & obtaining ANY medical treatment in pursuit of their own health and well-being for themselves or their family members."
none	"Substantive elements vital to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their citizens, with legitimate citizen input. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individual citizens to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in locations where a majority of voters are opposed. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or ANY other global health profiteers. 5. Physicians must be allowed to exercise their best judgment for themselves as well as for their patients in seeking & obtaining ANY medical treatment in pursuit of their own health and well-being for themselves or their family members."
N.a.	"The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
HMB	"The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
none	"The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all sovereign people of the world to vote on including global measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement everywhere throughout the world when a simple majority of people do not wish it. 4. Measures restrict and limit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health entities. 5. All and any public health measures to be imposed at any time must be supported by peer-reviewed relevant scientific evidence from a credible representative of an approved scientific or medical/public health institution/s."
Citizen of Italy	"The following statements ought to be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of 'emergencies.' 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Freelancher	"The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: It is necessary to ensure - that national/local regulatory bodies retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own population. - that participants or participating nations or organizations can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see this necessary, without consequences. - that an open and transparent process takes place enabling all people of the world to vote on measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - that pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers cannot influence the process."
SAAMA	"The most important "substantive element" included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is, no doubt, that mandatory vaccines must be forbidden! Furthermore, all measures must take full account of all health interests as well as our human rights and social and economic interests. Another crucial point is that private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance (and at once). Additionally, a pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law."
N/A	"The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year."
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Resident of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Citizen of italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency." >Prima prima di cliccare su "submit" selezionare I have read… " (ho letto...ecc) >. Click
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Nessuna	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency." >Prima prima di cliccare su "submit" selezionare I have read… " (ho letto...ecc) >. Click
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Citizen of Italy	"These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
MAFA	"To begin with, it is absolutely unacceptable that the nations of the world would submit their sovereignty to an unelected, privately funded organization such as the WHO. The leadership of this organization has been absolutely disastrous over the past two years, resulting in mindless, draconian restrictions that did nothing whatsoever to promote public health and, indeed, led to many more deaths than were necessary. WHO's "guidance" is the greatest global human rights crisis since World War II. Basic civil liberties were arbitrarily suspended across the globe, and millions plunged into poverty. You have no business amassing any more power, and deep in your souls you must know this. But if there is to be a treaty among nations, the very first clause should be an immutable and inalienable guarantee of the right to informed medical consent for any procedure, and a consequential ban on mandatory vaccination of any kind. Should you include this, it may prevent the planetary uprising you are surely courting with this undemocratic global power grab."
Private person	"We ask that the terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course be reintroduced in the characteristics of the pandemic. We also demand the cancellation of a high risk of infection as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. The instrument for pandemic preparedness should be advisory and give recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to change or refuse to implement recommendations without consequences. Under no circumstances should WHO recommendations override the legislation of signatory states, regardless of the circumstances surrounding a pandemic. We ask that it should be in the patient's hands to ultimately decide on treatment or taken prophylaxis, without any consequences. We demand that the freedom of expression of all doctors and scientists be allowed, respected and considered to give WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to conduct clinical trials and all possible side effects. We request that all contracts and additions with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers be public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings be published in real time, with access to the recordings. We ask that the range of WHO activities include the building of natural immunity and prophylaxis.
WHO	"We request that terms high mortality in all age and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official
private	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Monika	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measured We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about this
WHO	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Xxx	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media
Wolny Czlowiek	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
No	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
Poland	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Osoba prywatna	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Poland	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Poland	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Osoba prywatna	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.

Organization	Written submission
Gabriel	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Statut Ogólnopolskiego Stowarzyszenia Wiedzy o Szczepieniach „STOP NO	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
nie dotyczy	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
Czlowiek	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Anna	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
NOP	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
NOP	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abo
Worker	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media
	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
No	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Pl	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about
Czlowiek	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
No one	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
djd	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
Mazurek	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through offici
Private	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about
Private person	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.

Organization	Written submission
Stop cov	'We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Nauczyciel	'We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Poland	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Private person	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stop nop	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Private	'We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media.
Non	'We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Polska !!!	'We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Wolna Polska !	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Polish citizen	'We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
N/a	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Freedom. Dignity	'We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media ab
	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Polska	'We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). I
Poland	'We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Citizen	'We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical co
Who	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Who	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Human	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.

Organization	Written submission
Stopnop	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
People For Health	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Osoba prywatna	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Justyna	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Private	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stowarzyszenie Nauczyciele dla Wolnosci	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official
Polska	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state
Public	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informe
No	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Public	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through o
Non	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Stopnop	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through o
Private	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Justyna Socha	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
lacznik	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Private	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou..
K	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stop nop	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Name	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Wolni ludzie	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about

Organization	Written submission
Prive	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Stop Nop	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media.
Wolna Warka	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Wolna Warka	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Anna	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Stowarzyszenie Nauczycieli i Pracowników Oświaty Nauczyciele dla Wolnoś	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Brak	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
-	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official
None	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Freedom	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
private person	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media
Ppp	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Home	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Osoba publiczna	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Private person	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Głos Rozsądku	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contacts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implemeners are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactis.
Brak	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through
E-parlament	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the
Fundacja tesla	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official stat

Organization	Written submission
Private person	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Brak	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
PL	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Wizjoner Polska	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Osoba prywatna	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed
Non	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
INDIVIDUAL PERSON	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the
Private	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media
No organization	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stopnop	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Private person	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
x	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
stop nop	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
prywatna	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics." Ewa Matusiak
------	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
None	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactic.
Osoba prywatna	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
none	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Michal Romanowski	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou

Organization	Written submission
PRIVATE	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
private	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Private person	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Wolny Czlowiek	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
eu citizen	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
ue citizen	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Obywatel UE	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
private person	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
My one question. What kind of lady is supposed to protect us from this seem	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics." ! ! !
brak	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
brak	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Iza	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about
Privat	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state Media about the failure to complet clinical trials and all possible adverse effect.
	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
No	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics." ! ! !
general public	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Osoba prywatna	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
human freedom	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Militarna Organizacja państwa podziemnego Polska	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Privat	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."

Organization	Written submission
natural person	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Glos Obywatelski, Stop NOP	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
STOP NOP	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics." ! ! !
Emilia	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary.Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abo
Self	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Citizen of country	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stowarzyszenie kamrackie	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible
Stowarzyszenie Mlode wilki	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible
wolność i suwerenność	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Civilian	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stowarzyszenie dla Wolności - Teachers for FREEDOM Society	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectru
Poland	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Poland	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectru
Teacher	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with farmeceutical companies and WHO and implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and profilactis.
ECM	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Obywatele	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
private person	groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of
self	"What substantive elements do I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" It should include the absolute and never-to-be-infringed upon requirement that any single individual or country or local area is not held to anything such a proposed treaty might say, that we/they retain the autonomy to make our/their own decisions. Locals are always the only ones who know their own population and resources. They must always be free to mobilize them in the way only locals could possibly know. A treaty or international instrument on a pandemic preparedness and response is anathema and abhorrent and antithetical to alleviating a pandemic. If our recent covid response is any example, the international and national authorities forming a response to it failed hopelessly because they relied on lies and manipulation of statistics and ill-chosen power hungry individuals and pharmaceutical companies who stood to gain financially and who were never qualified to make the decisions they forced on us all. It was proven that local responses worked the best in all cases, because the locals knew their populations and what was occurring. We do not need this proposed new international treaty. It should also include the language that real immunity is not created through injections of unknown ingredients and science, but through individuals contracting the illness and fighting it off naturally. It is well established that those who received covid injections got covid at a much higher rate than those who did not. Any purported response instrument must honor and support the individual right of a person or country or locality to choose its own preferred and known response.
Self Employed	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The most substantive element that should be included is that of respecting the right to medical autonomy for all individuals, following the principles of fully informed consent. Medical professionals, scientists, and other health professionals should be permitted to undergo open debate regarding the safety and efficacy of any "vaccine" presented as a solution to a pandemic following extensive clinical trials, which need to be performed independently of the pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to avoid conflicts of interest and bias. All clinical trial safety data should be made available to the public from the outset, so that health plans between doctors and their patients can be made with full disclosure, and therefore informed consent. This includes long and short-term safety data or the lack thereof. Doctors should be restored their right to decide on treatments for each patient, as individual health differences are not considered with enforcing one global treatment (eg vaccine). Media platforms should not censor and control the narrative so that the public can be informed openly and honestly about safety, public health data and medical interventions. Nations, local municipalities and individuals should retain the ability of to opt out of any and all portions of the "agreement" as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the forced application of the "agreement"

Ukrainian	Міжнародні медичні послуги для осіб, які мають стійкий вегетативний стан, що перешкоджає їм у прийнятті медичних рішень, що стосуються їхнього життя, лікування та догляду
	ПРИНЦИПИ, ПОЛОЖЕННЯ ПРАВА, ПО ОБМОВІ ПРИМІНЕНІ ОБЛАСТЯ
	Основою цієї серії цілей є основний принцип, згідно з яким, як і інші пацієнти, пацієнти, які перебувають у стійкому вегетативному стані, мають право на гідне лікування, догляд та турботу. Цей принцип також слідує з основних положень права, що діє в Організації Об'єднаних Націй, а саме: 1. ЗАГАЛЬНОЮ МЕТА ПОЛІТИКИ ЗАГАЛЬНОГО ПОЛОЖЕННЯ Кожна людина має фундаментальну, дійсність, невідчужувану і універсальну основу права на гідне життя та на підтримку, що забезпечує кожну людину достатньою для гідного життя. 2. ЗАГАЛЬНОЮ МЕТА ПОЛІТИКИ ЗАГАЛЬНОГО ПОЛОЖЕННЯ Кожна людина має фундаментальне, дійсність, невідчужувану і універсальну основу права на гідне життя та на підтримку, що забезпечує кожну людину достатньою для гідного життя.
Polish	Międzynarodowe medyczne usługi dla osób w trwałym stanie wegetatywnym, które uniemożliwiają im podejmowanie decyzji medycznych dotyczących ich życia, leczenia i opieki
	ZASADY, PRZEPISY PRAWNE, DO OBMOWY ZASTOSOWANIE OBSZAR
	Podstawą tej serii celów jest podstawowa zasada, według której, podobnie jak inni pacjenci, pacjenci w stanie wegetatywnym mają prawo do godnego leczenia, opieki i troski. Zasada ta wynika z podstawowych zasad prawa obowiązujących w Organizacji Narodów Zjednoczonych, a mianowicie: 1. GŁÓWNY CEL POLITYKI OGÓLNEGO STANOWISKA Każda osoba ma podstawowe, dosłowne, niezbywalne i uniwersalne prawo do godnego życia i wsparcia, które zapewnia każdej osobie godne życie. 2. GŁÓWNY CEL POLITYKI OGÓLNEGO STANOWISKA Każda osoba ma podstawowe, dosłowne, niezbywalne i uniwersalne prawo do godnego życia i wsparcia, które zapewnia każdej osobie godne życie.
Russian	Международные медицинские услуги для лиц, находящихся в стойком вегетативном состоянии, которые не позволяют им принимать медицинские решения, касающиеся их жизни, лечения и ухода
	ПРИНЦИПЫ, ПОЛОЖЕНИЯ ПРАВА, ПО ОБМОВЕ ПРИМЕНЕНИЕ ОБЛАСТЬ
	Основой этой серии целей является основополагающий принцип, согласно которому, как и другие пациенты, пациенты в стойком вегетативном состоянии имеют право на достойное лечение, уход и забота. Принцип вытекает из основных принципов права, действующих в Организации Объединенных Наций, а именно: 1. ОБЩАЯ ЦЕЛЬ ОБЩЕЙ ПОЗИЦИИ Каждый человек имеет фундаментальное, буквальное, неотъемлемое и универсальное право на жизнь и поддержку, которое обеспечивает каждому человеку достойную жизнь. 2. ОБЩАЯ ЦЕЛЬ ОБЩЕЙ ПОЗИЦИИ Каждый человек имеет фундаментальное, буквальное, неотъемлемое и универсальное право на жизнь и поддержку, которое обеспечивает каждому человеку достойную жизнь.
Arabic	الخدمات الطبية الدولية للأشخاص في حالة نباتية مستمرة تمنعهم من اتخاذ القرارات الطبية المتعلقة بحياتهم وعلاجهم ورعايتهم
	المبادئ، الأحكام القانونية، الحق في الحماية، النطاق
	يقوم هذا الإطار على مبدأ أساسي، وفقًا لمبدأ أساسي، وكما هو الحال بالنسبة للمرضى الآخرين، يحق للمرضى في حالة نباتية مستمرة الحصول على رعاية كريمة وعناية ورعاية. ينبثق المبدأ من المبادئ الأساسية للقانون المعمول بها في الأمم المتحدة، وهي: 1. الهدف العام للسياسة العامة لكل شخص حق أساسي، حرفي، لا يتجزأ، وعالمي في الحياة والدعم، مما يضمن لكل شخص حياة كريمة. 2. الهدف العام للسياسة العامة لكل شخص حق أساسي، حرفي، لا يتجزأ، وعالمي في الحياة والدعم، مما يضمن لكل شخص حياة كريمة.
Chinese (simplified)	国际医疗服务为处于持续植物状态的人士提供，这些人士无法做出有关其生命、治疗和护理的医疗决定
	原则、规定、权利、范围
	这一系列目标的基本原则是，与其他患者一样，处于持续植物状态的患者有权获得尊严治疗、护理和关怀。该原则源于联合国现行法律的基本原则，即： 1. 一般政策的一般目标 每个人都有基本、字面、不可剥夺和普遍的生活和支持权，这为每个人提供了尊严生活。 2. 一般政策的一般目标 每个人都有基本、字面、不可剥夺和普遍的生活和支持权，这为每个人提供了尊严生活。
Chinese (traditional)	國際醫療服務為處於持續植物狀態的人士提供，這些人士無法做出有關其生命、治療和護理的醫療決定
	原則、規定、權利、範圍
	這一系列目標的基本原則是，與其他患者一樣，處于持續植物狀態的患者有權獲得尊嚴治療、護理和關懷。該原則源于聯合國現行法律的基本原則，即： 1. 一般政策的一般目標 每個人都有基本、字面、不可剝奪和普遍的生活和支持權，這為每個人提供了尊嚴生活。 2. 一般政策的一般目標 每個人都有基本、字面、不可剝奪和普遍的生活和支持權，這為每個人提供了尊嚴生活。
Hindi	स्थायी वानस्पतिक अवस्था में व्यक्तियों के लिए अंतर्राष्ट्रीय चिकित्सा सेवाएं जो उन्हें उनके जीवन, उपचार और देखभाल के बारे में चिकित्सा निर्णय लेने से रोकती हैं
	सिद्धांत, प्रावधान, अधिकार, सीमा
	इस श्रृंखला के लक्ष्यों का आधार एक मूलभूत सिद्धांत है, जिसके अनुसार, अन्य रोगियों की तरह, स्थायी वानस्पतिक अवस्था में रोगियों को सम्मानजनक उपचार, देखभाल और देखभाल का अधिकार है। सिद्धांत संयुक्त राष्ट्र में लागू कानून के बुनियादी सिद्धांतों से उत्पन्न होता है, अर्थात: 1. सामान्य नीति का सामान्य लक्ष्य प्रत्येक व्यक्ति के पास मौलिक, शाब्दिक, अविभाज्य और सार्वभौमिक जीवन और समर्थन का अधिकार है, जो प्रत्येक व्यक्ति को सम्मानजनक जीवन प्रदान करता है। 2. सामान्य नीति का सामान्य लक्ष्य प्रत्येक व्यक्ति के पास मौलिक, शाब्दिक, अविभाज्य और सार्वभौमिक जीवन और समर्थन का अधिकार है, जो प्रत्येक व्यक्ति को सम्मानजनक जीवन प्रदान करता है।
Bengali	স্থায়ী উদ্ভিজ্জ অবস্থায় ব্যক্তিদের জন্য আন্তর্জাতিক চিকিৎসা পরিষেবা যা তাদের তাদের জীবন, চিকিৎসা এবং যত্ন সম্পর্কে চিকিৎসা সিদ্ধান্ত নিতে বাধা দেয়
	নীতিসমূহ, বিধান, অধিকার, সুযোগ, এলাকা
	এই সিরিজের লক্ষ্যগুলির ভিত্তি একটি মৌলিক নীতি, যেমন অন্য রোগীদের মতো, স্থায়ী উদ্ভিজ্জ অবস্থায় রোগীদের সম্মানজনক চিকিৎসা, যত্ন এবং যত্নের অধিকার রয়েছে। নীতিটি জাতিসংঘে প্রযোজ্য আইনের মূলনীতি থেকে উদ্ভূত হয়, অর্থাৎ: 1. সাধারণ নীতির সাধারণ লক্ষ্য প্রতিটি ব্যক্তির একটি মৌলিক, শাব্দিক, অবিচ্ছেদ্য এবং সার্বজনীন জীবন এবং সমর্থন রয়েছে, যা প্রতিটি ব্যক্তিকে সম্মানজনক জীবন প্রদান করে। 2. সাধারণ নীতির সাধারণ লক্ষ্য প্রতিটি ব্যক্তির একটি মৌলিক, শাব্দিক, অবিচ্ছেদ্য এবং সার্বজনীন জীবন এবং সমর্থন রয়েছে, যা প্রতিটি ব্যক্তিকে সম্মানজনক জীবন প্রদান করে।
Tamil	நிரந்தர தாவர நிலையில் உள்ள நபர்களுக்கு அவர்களின் வாழ்க்கை, சிகிச்சை மற்றும் கவனிப்பு பற்றி மருத்துவ முடிவுகளை எடுப்பதிலிருந்து தடுக்கும் சர்வதேச மருத்துவ சேவைகள்
	கொள்கைகள், விதிகள், உரிமைகள், நோக்கம், பகுதி
	இந்த தொடரின் இலக்குகளின் அடிப்படை கொள்கை, மற்ற நோயாளிகளைப் போலவே, நிரந்தர தாவர நிலையில் உள்ள நோயாளிகளுக்கு கௌரவமான சிகிச்சை, கவனிப்பு மற்றும் கவனிப்பு உரிமை உண்டு. கொள்கை ஐக்கிய நாடுகள் சபையில் பொருந்தும் சட்டத்தின் அடிப்படைக் கொள்கைகளிலிருந்து உருவாகிறது, அதாவது: 1. பொதுக் கொள்கையின் பொதுவான நோக்கம் ஒவ்வொரு நபரும் அடிப்படை, சொல்லர்த்தம், பிரிக்கப்பட்ட மற்றும் உலகளாவிய வாழ்க்கை மற்றும் ஆதரவு, ஒவ்வொரு நபருக்கும் கௌரவமான வாழ்க்கையை வழங்கும் உரிமையை கொண்டுள்ளது. 2. பொதுக் கொள்கையின் பொதுவான நோக்கம் ஒவ்வொரு நபரும் அடிப்படை, சொல்லர்த்தம், பிரிக்கப்பட்ட மற்றும் உலகளாவிய வாழ்க்கை மற்றும் ஆதரவு, ஒவ்வொரு நபருக்கும் கௌரவமான வாழ்க்கையை வழங்கும் உரிமையை கொண்டுள்ளது.
Urdu	مستقل نباتاتی حالت میں افراد کے لئے بین الاقوامی طبی خدمات جو انہیں ان کی زندگی، علاج اور دیکھ بھال کے بارے میں طبی فیصلے کرنے سے روکتی ہیں
	اصول، فراہمی، حقوق، دائرہ کار، علاقہ
	اس سیریز کے اہداف کی بنیاد ایک بنیادی اصول ہے، جس کے مطابق، دوسرے مریضوں کی طرح، مستقل نباتاتی حالت میں مریضوں کو باوقار علاج، دیکھ بھال اور دیکھ بھال کا حق ہے۔ اصول اقوام متحدہ میں لاگو قانون کے بنیادی اصولوں سے نکلتا ہے، یعنی: 1. عام پالیسی کا عمومی مقصد ہر شخص کے پاس بنیادی، لفظی، ناقابل تردید اور عالمی زندگی اور سپورٹ ہے، جو ہر شخص کو باوقار زندگی فراہم کرتا ہے۔ 2. عام پالیسی کا عمومی مقصد ہر شخص کے پاس بنیادی، لفظی، ناقابل تردید اور عالمی زندگی اور سپورٹ ہے، جو ہر شخص کو باوقار زندگی فراہم کرتا ہے۔
Persian (Farsi)	خدمات پزشکی بین المللی برای افراد در وضعیت نباتی مستمر که مانع از تصمیم گیری پزشکی در مورد زندگی، درمان و مراقبت آنها می شود
	اصول، مقررات، حقوق، دامنه، منطقه
	اساس این سری اهداف بر اساس یک اصل اساسی، مانند سایر بیماران، بیماران در وضعیت نباتی مستمر حق درمان شایسته، مراقبت و مراقبت دارند. اصل از اصول اساسی حقوق حاکم در سازمان ملل متحد، یعنی: 1. هدف کلی سیاست عمومی هر شخص دارای حق اساسی، لفظی، غیرقابل انکار و جهانی زندگی و حمایت است، که زندگی شایسته برای هر شخص فراهم می کند. 2. هدف کلی سیاست عمومی هر شخص دارای حق اساسی، لفظی، غیرقابل انکار و جهانی زندگی و حمایت است، که زندگی شایسته برای هر شخص فراهم می کند.
Swahili	Huduma za kimataifa za matibabu kwa watu walio katika hali ya mimea ya kudumu ambao huzuia kufanya maamuzi ya matibabu kuhusu maisha yao, matibabu na utunzaji
	KANUNI, KANUNI, HAKI, WIGO, ENEO
	Msingi wa safu hii ya malengo ni kanuni ya msingi, kama wagonjwa wengine, wagonjwa walio katika hali ya mimea ya kudumu wana haki ya huduma inayostahili, utunzaji na utunzaji. Kanuni inatokana na kanuni za msingi za sheria zinazotumika katika Umoja wa Mataifa, yaani: 1. LENGO KUU LA SERA YA JUMLA Kila mtu ana msingi, halisi, haiwezekani kutengwa na ulimwengu wa maisha na msaada, ambao huwapa kila mtu maisha ya heshima. 2. LENGO KUU LA SERA YA JUMLA Kila mtu ana msingi, halisi, haiwezekani kutengwa na ulimwengu wa maisha na msaada, ambao huwapa kila mtu maisha ya heshima.
Yoruba	Ilera kariaye fun awon eniyan ninu ipo koma ti o ti pẹ titi ti o ko awon eniyan lati ma ti ipinnu egbogi nipa igbesi aye won, itoju ati itoju
	ILANA, ASEYORI, EYANA, IBIBO, AGBEGBE
	Ipilẹ ti jara awọn ibi-afẹde ni ipilẹ ipilẹṣẹ kan, eyiti, bii awọn miiran, awọn alaisan ninu ipo koma ti o ti pẹ titi ti o ko awọn alaisan ni ẹtọ lati itọju ọlọla, itọju ati itọju. Ilana naa ti wa lati awọn ipilẹ ofin ti o ṣiṣẹ ni Orilẹ-ede Amẹrika, iyẹn: 1. ÌFẸẸRẸ GBOGBOGBO TI ÈTO GBOGBOGBO Olukuluku eniyan ni ipilẹ, ọrọ-ọrọ, ainiyapa ati agbaye ti igbesi aye ati atilẹyin, eyiti o ṣe iṣeduro igbesi aye ọlọla fun olukuluku eniyan. 2. ÌFẸẸRẸ GBOGBOGBO TI ÈTO GBOGBOGBO Olukuluku eniyan ni ipilẹ, ọrọ-ọrọ, ainiyapa ati agbaye ti igbesi aye ati atilẹyin, eyiti o ṣe iṣeduro igbesi aye ọlọla fun olukuluku eniyan.
Zulu	Izinsizakalo zezokuphila zomhlabeni kubantu abasesimweni esiqhubekayo esivimbela ukuthi bathathe izinqumo zezokwelapha ngokuphathelene nokuphila kwabo, ukwelashwa nokunakekelwa
	IMIGOMO, IMITHETHO, AMALUNGELO, UBUBANZI, IMPAHLA
	Isisekelo salolu chungechunge lwezinjongo ngumgomo ophuthumayo, ngenxa yokuthi, njengezinye izigulane, izigulane esimweni esiqhubekayo esivimbelayo zinaloku-ke-ke-ke

Organization	Written submission
STOP DLA WOH	MEGA PILNA SPRAWA!!! CZAS: do środy 13.04.2022 do godziny 12.00!!! Do środy do godziny 12.00 można wysłać postulaty dotyczące założeń projektu Globalnego Traktatu Antypandemicznego. ! ! ! KAŻDY Z NAS MOŻE I POWINIEN TO ZROBIĆ. Postulaty mogą zawierać maksymalnie 250 słów. Można je napisać w języku polskim lub angielskim. Przykładowa wersja angielska poniżej. ⬇️⬇️⬇️ WYSYŁAMY POSTULATY POPRZEZ STRONĘ. https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions ! ! ! Przykładowa wersja postulatów w języku angielskim: "We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.
Private	MEGA PILNA SPRAWA!!! CZAS: do środy 13.04.2022 do godziny 12.00!!! Do środy do godziny 12.00 można wysłać postulaty dotyczące założeń projektu Globalnego Traktatu Antypandemicznego. ! ! ! KAŻDY Z NAS MOŻE I POWINIEN TO ZROBIĆ. Postulaty mogą zawierać maksymalnie 250 słów. Można je napisać w języku polskim lub angielskim. Przykładowa wersja angielska poniżej. ⬇️⬇️⬇️ I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice
Prywatna osoba	MEGA PILNA SPRAWA!!! CZAS: do środy 13.04.2022 do godziny 12.00!!! Do środy do godziny 12.00 można wysłać postulaty dotyczące założeń projektu Globalnego Traktatu Antypandemicznego. ! ! ! KAŻDY Z NAS MOŻE I POWINIEN TO ZROBIĆ. Postulaty mogą zawierać maksymalnie 250 słów. Można je napisać w języku polskim lub angielskim. Przykładowa wersja angielska poniżej. ⬇️⬇️⬇️ WYSYŁAMY POSTULATY POPRZEZ STRONĘ. https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions ! ! ! Przykładowa wersja postulatów w języku angielskim: "We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.
Stop NOP Poland	MEGA PILNA SPRAWA!!! CZAS: do środy 13.04.2022 do godziny 12.00!!! Do środy do godziny 12.00 można wysłać postulaty dotyczące założeń projektu Globalnego Traktatu Antypandemicznego. ! ! ! KAŻDY Z NAS MOŻE I POWINIEN TO ZROBIĆ. Postulaty mogą zawierać maksymalnie 250 słów. Można je napisać w języku polskim lub angielskim. Przykładowa wersja angielska poniżej. ⬇️⬇️⬇️ WYSYŁAMY POSTULATY POPRZEZ STRONĘ. https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions ! ! ! Przykładowa wersja postulatów w języku angielskim: "We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.
Beata Pszczółka	MEGA PILNA SPRAWA!!! CZAS: do środy 13.04.2022 do godziny 12.00!!! Do środy do godziny 12.00 można wysłać postulaty dotyczące założeń projektu Globalnego Traktatu Antypandemicznego. ! ! ! KAŻDY Z NAS MOŻE I POWINIEN TO ZROBIĆ. Postulaty mogą zawierać maksymalnie 250 słów. Można je napisać w języku polskim lub angielskim. Przykładowa wersja angielska poniżej. ⬇️⬇️⬇️ WYSYŁAMY POSTULATY POPRZEZ STRONĘ. https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions ! ! ! Przykładowa wersja postulatów w języku angielskim: "We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.
N/A	MEGA PILNA SPRAWA!!! CZAS: do środy 13.04.2022 do godziny 12.00!!! Do środy do godziny 12.00 można wysłać postulaty dotyczące założeń projektu Globalnego Traktatu Antypandemicznego. ! ! ! KAŻDY Z NAS MOŻE I POWINIEN TO ZROBIĆ. Postulaty mogą zawierać maksymalnie 250 słów. Można je napisać w języku polskim lub angielskim. Przykładowa wersja angielska poniżej. ⬇️⬇️⬇️ WYSYŁAMY POSTULATY POPRZEZ STRONĘ. https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions ! ! ! Przykładowa wersja postulatów w języku angielskim: "We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.
Polska	MEGA PILNA SPRAWA!!! CZAS: do środy 13.04.2022 do godziny 12.00!!! Do środy do godziny 12.00 można wysłać postulaty dotyczące założeń projektu Globalnego Traktatu Antypandemicznego. ! ! ! KAŻDY Z NAS MOŻE I POWINIEN TO ZROBIĆ. Postulaty mogą zawierać maksymalnie 250 słów. Można je napisać w języku polskim lub angielskim. Przykładowa wersja angielska poniżej. ⬇️⬇️⬇️ "We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic.
Poland	#TraktatWHO SKOPIUJ I PODAJ DALEJ! We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
who	#WHO ve #WEF , insanların bedenleri veya içine girenler üzerinde kontrol sahibi olmamalıdır.#StopTheTreaty
bIOS	(1) The fundamental substantive element is that any WHO input on "pandemic preparedness" should be advisory to each nation - the opinion of WHO as guidance & in no way "mandatory" . Example of Sweden & certain US States not following in "lockstep" faring incomparably better than WHO / Event 201 co-ordinated response demonstrates how "essential" it is to have "heterodox" approaches - not slavishly orthodox responses It is "essential" that the diverse nations of the world pursue their own pandemic responses - with WHO advice as "guidance" ; just that : WHO opinion as one "advisory" that may be factored in to a national response.
McGill University	(1) define "pandemic" to include severity (not merely transmissibility) criteria, such that severity is measured by fatality and permanent disability, detected at a high level internationally both by and within nations and by and within the WHO itself; (2) forbid any international agency, including the WHO, from acting in any fashion that overrides or circumvents either national sovereignty or the principle of subsidiarity within nations; (3) discourage extended use of emergency powers; (4) discourage nations or regions from permitting public health authorities to take decisions encroaching on areas of the common life that lie outside their competence, for example in law or religion or the economy, or in specialized medical research and treatment; (5) reaffirm the Nuremberg Principles and preclude all forms of medical experimentation without fully informed consent; (6) recognize the principle of bodily autonomy, such that coercive measures are precluded, including mandatory vaccination and any treatment known or reasonably suspected to have serious adverse effects; (7) recognize the right to earn a living and attendant civil liberties during a pandemic; (8) contain transparency provisions that make it possible for publics to examine and contest the claims of those supporting special pandemic measures; (9) provide for special scrutiny of industries profiting from pandemic measures; (10) contain internal and external investigative provisions that make possible effective prosecution of anyone manipulating WHO decision-making, especially for purposes other than those clearly communicated to and agreed by the affected states and publics.
Philippine resident	(1) First and foremost national and local leaders of every country must retain the right to make decisions for their own people. (2) All nations and all peoples must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement without any consequences. (3) Everything must be open and totally transparent with all peoples, world wide, who must be allowd to vote on anything affecting them. There absolutely must be failsafe measures to prevent the use of any global agreement in places where the simple majority of the people do not agree with it. This would be defined as the most local area of elections or, at its largest, a state or province at the discretion of the people in a particular country. (4) There must not be allowed any influence in any way what-so-ever by any and all pharmaceutical companies, there agents or other global for profit entities regarding agreements or decisions effecting any peoples. They shall not be allowed to advertise their agendas with regards to any voting on issues at hand.
Citizen (general public)	(1) It is vital for reasons of trust and transparency that measures are included and are binding on the WHO that do not allow for influence in the process or outcome by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. (2) For trust to be meaningful and for the genuine participation of people an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. (3) a binding commitment for the protection of existing Human Rights to individual sovereignty over any medical procedure must enshrined within the treaty framework and honoured to reflect other human rights based outcomes for freedom of movement, for freedom to work. (4) For the protection and defense of Democratic Values and norms National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. (5) Scope within The Treaty must guarantee and empower the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
KINDAI UNIVERSITY	(1) No international unelected organization should be allowed to override the duly established laws of a democratic republic, or independent nation. (2) Health is best provided by doctors in contact with individuals. Political appointees must not interfere with the doctor patient relationship. Do no harm. (3) Corporations with financial interests in the outcomes are not "stakeholders" they are providers of a service and must be treated as such. The only true stakeholders of heath in a health issue are the patients.
Private Citizen	(I) Give no powers to people or organisations not directly elected by the people they seek power over. (II) All elected people must be able to be removed by those who voted for them in elections. Ref (I).
N/a	(quoted from Steve Kirsch) "1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers." WHO are you protecting? James B.
Self	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	* National or local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what they consider to be best for their residents or constituents. * The ability of nations or local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people are known to be opposed to said agreement or terms thereof. * Measures that do not allow for influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies, commercial healthcare entities, worldwide. * In the absence of the considerations noted above, I would not support the participation of the US.
Private	* All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process * As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance * All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body * Discriminatory measures should be prohibited * Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law * Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states
University of Cambridge	* Any future international instrument should have special regard to the rights and freedoms of the individual, particularly the rights to free association and expression protected under articles 10 and 11 of the ECHR. These should not be further abridged in the name of public health since free communication and freedom of assembly are necessary to ascertain the true extent of the danger associated with a public health crisis. * National governments should retain full autonomy in implementing the instrument, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * Nations, devolved governments and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * There should be explicit recognition that whilst a technical understanding of the behavior of a virus is within the competence of scientists, the way that a society responds to that knowledge is an issue of high policy and so is properly the domain of legislators rather than medical experts.
Private Öffentlichkeit	* Die Verfassung jedes Staates sollte gewährt sein. *Jeder Bürger, jede Bürgerin sollte verantwortungsbewusst selbstständig über die Vorgehensweise bzgl Gesundheit und Krankheit bestimmen können. *Sinnhaftigkeit eines Pandemievertrags
None	* Health is a personal and local issue. At no point should any individual be required to take any medical treatment including vaccination or face any negative consequences for their choice. * Any nation, state, or locality must have the freedom to opt out of any rules or suggestions. * There must be NO influence, direct or indirect, of pharmaceutical companies. * There must be scientists with a wide range of perspectives who are part of the process of crafting any suggestions.
Medecin	* medecine libre,liberté des soins * debats scientifiques objectifs
Boulder Stands Up	* National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * WHO is no democratically elected body and did not ask the people of the world whether or not they believe a global agreement is necessary. Instead, the organization has decided for itself that this measure is warranted and is asking for input on what people believe should be included in it. It should be the other way around.
None	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * Nations and municipalities must be able to opt out of parts of or the entire agreement without consequences. * An open and transparent process, in which all the people of the world are given the opportunity to vote on, including failsafing, the measures that prevent the global agreement from being applied in places where the majority of the people don't want it. * Measures must not allow any influence from any pharmaceutical company or other global health profiteers in the process.
Self	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for hearing us.
Private Person	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies.
Individual	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Governments should not be allow to mandate a one-size fits all policy. Individuals need to be able to make decisions for their health that do not conflict with their morals and are in the best interest of their families.
self	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * Include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Ligertwood Peter	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers including the political gain of governments. * The right to full bodily autonomy from any medical procedure including vaccine based on persons faith and medical concerns. * Recognition and reinstatement of natural immunity and therapeutics instead of complete reliance on vaccines
Informed Medical Options Party	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UCSF	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	* National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Every country is unique and it is only the citizens of the individual country who truly understand their needs, beliefs and how it is to live there. * People, nations and local municipalities should be free to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * There should be an open and transparent process allowing all people of the world to vote on all suggested or intended measures, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Considered measures should only be those that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	* National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BSU	* Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Henry's Happy Hens	* The term "pandemic" should revert to its original definition, namely that of an extremely virulent, infectious and DEADLY disease, causing extreme illness and high mortality worldwide. * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
German General Public	* Vaccination must not be forced as mandatory, as basic human rights, social and economic interests have to be balanced * Vaccines as well as medicine should be provided on a non-profit basis; State / public agencies should be entrusted with their development * Manufacturers may not be exempted from product liability * All decision-making bases must be publicly open in full transparency and subject to a peer review process * All measures must take full account of all health interests * Any measure should avoid discrimination * As a prerequisite for a pandemic contract, it must be ensured that the member states provide 100% of the WHO funds. Private donations must be prohibited in advance. * All decision-making bodies must be diverse in composition. It is essential that critical voices be represented on them. * Instead of uncoordinated mass testing, statistically representative samples must be tested, * A pandemic treaty may NOT break national constitutional law!
NHS (National Health Service). UK	** WRITING in a PERSONAL CAPACITY ** Dear Colleagues With regard to the proposed global pandemic agreement, here are the points I consider to be of upmost importance: a) Local and national leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. b) Local municipalities and nations must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without adverse consequences. c) There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. d) Measures agreed must safeguard against influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Any WHO must not provide incentive structures for profiteering to the detriment of specific nations or populations, their needs and preferences. e) In consideration of issues pertainig to health equalities, we require an approach not centered on one-size-fits-all treaty regime. People's specific living conditions are often made worse by discrimination, stereotyping, and prejudice based on gender, age, religion, sexual orientation, race, ethnicity, or disability / mental and physical health conditions. Discriminatory practices are often embedded in institutional and systems processes. Therefore, when it comes to global health agreements and international instruments of preparedness and response, different populations and groups must be able to make decision based on assessment of their own specific needs, preferences, and right to self-determination.
Private	**** Following items are important for me: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law As a consequence, as I doubt above conditions will finally be fulfilled, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. ****
Italia	**Circa la richiesta di feedback da parte OMS** Il testo di risposta al Trattato OMS (una sorta di Golpe per le politiche sanitarie) e' stato preparato da un gruppo di ricercatori UK Fate copia e incolla di questo testo: These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergen
New Zealand Citizen	*Comprehensive unbiased information gathering from every world region / country possible. *Corrections in data made trackable and with explanation/accountability *All raw data made available to be analysed with maximum temporal geographical / age / health condition increments *Open discussion panels broadcast representing all specialty areas *Assessment of Guidance and decision making process made available *Health guidance given but not made obligatory
Private	*Ensure no influence from conflicted third parties allowed, ie no pharmaceutical companies or profiteers. *Ensure all levels of elected govt retain full autonomy, in concert with said governed people, in the face of any recommendations. *The retention of full autonomy: Ability of nations, sub-states, municipalities, and free individuals to opt out of any and all portions of any agreements as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	*Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will.
Gut Health Coach	*It's imperative that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Therefore we must have the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *We also need an open and transparent process and we need the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures that will prevent the demands of the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *We need measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cootharinga	*National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own community *Nations and local municipalities need to at all times be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Barroll Holdings	*National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	*National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The People's Revolution	*National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kim Aseltine	*National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen of South Africa	*National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual in USA	*National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australian citizen	*National and Local Leadership Retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of Nations and Local Municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all the people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	"No" elements, substantive or otherwise. "No" "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response." There is no "health" in this authoritarian idea. It is unacceptable. I reject it.
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privatperson	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohib ited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	. ensure that any potential vaccines are well trialled and with no major side effects, and all trial data represent true results not just those advantageous to those promoting the vaccine/s . ensure that ALL those professionals qualified to comment on a specific treatment are included in the collaboration, even if there are some with severe concerns about that treatment and who believe more research is necessary . ensure that companies involved in vaccine development are not profit driven (that their motivation is to supply a safe and effective vaccine without harsh and potentially dangerous side effects) . ensure total unbiassed transparency at every stage of vaccine development and roll out . ensure that other treatments are also taken into account and not discredited just because they may be the cheaper option . ensure that no person is forced or coerced into taking any treatment they genuinely believe is dangerous, particularly to their own body or situation . ensure that all data is transparent and not slanted for financial gain, or other sinister agenda . ensure that any treatment does not intrude on personal rights and freedoms . be able to prove with wide and diverse professional consensus that it actually is a global pandemic situation and take account of all qualified, scientific debate even if that is contrary to status quo
Dallas Community College	. I believe that the national and local leadership in each country should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each nation and local municipality should also preserve the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. WHO and any other international political, health, or hunan rights bodies should function and have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it and be able to refuse measures that are contrary to the nation's specific constitution. Likewise, the nations of the world should preserve the right to reject measures that allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers in a detrimental manner for the wellbeing of each nation and its citizens. In conclusion, each narion should preserve and use its inalienable rigts as sovereign countries and nations which rights should not be infringe.
fowl territory farm	. 1)National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers 5) In so far as any and all provisions violate our constitutional rights to liberty and Freedom, they must be eliminated 6) a broad national referendum comparable to the passage of an amendment to our US constitution, must be made mandatory before any adherence to a single provision of any such "international instrument"
Thailand Ultramarathon	. 1. Individual countries must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. All nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	. Guarantees for state and individual sovereignty. .Respect for human rights and upholding of the Nuremberg Code .Legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies when developing, licensing and testing of pharmaceutical products . No pharmaceutical products to be mandated . Each country needs to deal with any pandemic in a way that is appropriate for that country- one size does not fit all.
LEnel Designs	. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency
me	. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen	. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Privat	. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Austrian citizen	. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden . Discriminatory measures should be prohibited . All measures must take full account of all health interests . All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests . Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit during pandemic state . Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states . All decision-making bases must be available in an all-encompassing transparent manner and undergo a peer-review process . As a condition of a pancemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance . All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body . Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing . Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
none	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. open and transparent data and research to support recommendations made by the WHO
personal	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. . The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. . An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. . Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that strictly uphold the medical principles of informed consent of the individual 6. Measures that do not require violating privacy of medical interventions and medical records nor aim to classify and expose citizens to others or to authorities on the basis of their personal medical decisions 7. Measures that do not condition or undermine, directly or indirectly, the exercise of the right of "my body, my choice", and do not make it's exercise a basis for the loss of exercise of other basic human rights. 8. Measures that cannot be a source of confidential/secret agreements/records between authorities and providers/contractors/servicers involved in the proposed response.
Education	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be NI contribution by any kleptocratic big pharma lobbying or corporations. Individual donors must NOT be allowed to participate eg Bill Gates) a failure to comply will run the risk of making the WHO become illegitimate and be seen to have an unacceptable conflict of interests.
South Texas Surgeons	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
California healthcare provider	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual Person	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers Yours sincerely, James Mooney
Self	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nurse	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Yes	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks!
USA	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Farmers union	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
DAL	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self employed	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Research scientist	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US CITIZEN	. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	. The right to accept or reject any or all aspects of the WHO treaty (or "agreement") on pandemic and/or other human health matters shall be retained by all National and local leadership without restriction, whether officially incorporated or established as an ad hoc group of individuals of any size; 2. The freedom of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any or all parts of the treaty/agreement to retain their right of autonomy, without restriction and without consequence; 3. A guarantee of openness and transparency of all decisions and processes involved in preparations of would-be impositions of rules, laws, and suggestions of behavior- and activity-control of human beings and animals, all of which would be subject to approval by a majority in a worldwide voting process involving an unrestricted number of independent medical and other professionals unaffiliated with any governing body, over a period of no less than three months following a period of three months of widely publicized fully disclosed intentions driving said decisions and processes; 4. A prohibition of influence in processes stated in paragraph 3, above, by any one or more of pharmaceutical, medical, medical research, or medical equipment company or companies, or other medical or health-related company or companies, whether for-profit or not-for-profit in incorporation, to ensure that there shall be no treaty/agreement influence or control over any single or group of humans or animals by individuals and entities capable of benefiting or profiting from consequences resulting from said processes.
Self	. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Who	. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privatperson	... ein definitives "Nein" aus Österreich
"including the general public"	..."substantive elements do you think should be included": Must have the ability for any entity to opt out of the WHO's "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" directives, without being coerced or punished in any manner. This "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is subject to all the Nuremberg Code.
none	...not one person on earth should be forced to do anything that could possibly harm his healthyness! Please think about Nürnberger Codex! WHO should stand for HEALTH not supression. Clean water, air and food would be all to prevent most illness on this planet. Please use Your power for good solutions, not to fight against humanbeings and humanity, just by fighting any "virus". Do not serve the money, serve humanity worldwide!
Syntropy Healing Arts, LLC	.1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
And We Know	.1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process is needed with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Fellowship of Freedom	.The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NONE	: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private citizen	: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, >>reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.<< 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities >>to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement<< as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures >>that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.<< 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process >>by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers<<
Individual	:- "Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private citizen	: I strongly oppose this treaty as National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote and opt out. There should be strict measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	:1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	"Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lietuva	[Forwarded from UK To Do List] These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Citizen	[I apologize in advance for having to write anonymously and via a proxy server, but feel compelled to do so because I am a Canadian citizen who fears post-hoc reprisals from his government, much as already happened to the Canadian truckers and to those who donated to them. I'm sure you can understand such demonstrably valid concerns.] I believe that national and local government should retain control over pandemic issues. The Covid response has demonstrated clearly that it is better to try different approaches and - a la Sweden - to have a control group or two as well. Any nation or region or individual should be able to opt out of treatment. Localities should be able to tailor their own approaches, per their situation. Moreover, a mandated top-down approach will only encourage undue influence to Big Pharma and to its lobbyists. This has already proved detrimental; why make it worse? The dangers of absolute power are well known. To invite such danger is beyond foolhardy.
None	—— "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for those they REPRESENT 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Independent social scientist and holistic heath practitioner	'Public health is not a one-size-fits-all affair. People and communities must be in control of their own health. This means: • The WHO treaty process must be open and transparent to all, and everyone in the world must vote on whether or not they wish their country or region to accept this or any WHO treaty. This must happen in a free and fair election by the people. • National Governments must retain full autonomy, making health decisions appropriate for their own people. They must have the ability to opt out of any part of any WHO treaty, or reject it altogether by a majority vote of the people. • Influence by pharmaceutical companies on any WHO treaty (or influence by anyone else with the potential of profiteering therefrom) must be strictly prohibited.' Thank you. Ursula Kraus-Harper
Ngo	"1. Subsidiarity: local decisions - global knowledge. 2 Freedom of choice as to vaccination. 3. Local immunologists have to be included in the debate/not merely virologists and epidemiologists. Opposite opinions are allowed. 4. Imposed measures and solutions should not be aimed solely at saving older/weaker people's lives, but should include care for the immune system of the youngest people and of future generations. 5. Freedom of choice concerning every possible medical treatments. 6. Severe punishments for companies and people in charge of policy and solutions who lied to the population. Including WHO-officials." 7. Public hearing before any medicine introduction. 8. Lifetime Compensation after causing any medical problems or damages. 9. All compagnies are legally obliged to inform the public in full, completely and openly. 10. No country can be obliged to join or participate. A local referendum is mandatory. The people always have the last decision.
No	"1. Subsidiarity: local decisions - global knowledge. 2 Freedom of choice as to vaccination. 3. Local immunologists have to be included in the debate/not merely virologists and epidemiologists. 4. Imposed measures and solutions should not be aimed solely at saving older/weaker people's lives, but should include care for the immune system of the youngest people and of future generations. 5. Severe punishments for companies and people in charge of policy and solutions who lied to the population. Including WHO-officials." PL LINK: https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions
	"1. Subsidiarity: local decisions - global knowledge. 2 Freedom of choice as to vaccination. 3. Local immunologists have to be included in the debate/not merely virologists and epidemiologists. 4. Imposed measures and solutions should not be aimed solely at saving older/weaker people's lives, but should include care for the immune system of the youngest people and of future generations. 5. Severe punishments for companies and people in charge of policy and solutions who lied to the population. Including WHO-officials."
NGO	"1. Subsidiarity: local decisions - global knowledge. 2 Freedom of choice as to vaccination. 3. Local immunologists have to be included in the debate/not merely virologists and epidemiologists. 4. Imposed measures and solutions should not be aimed solely at saving older/weaker people's lives, but should include care for the immune system of the youngest people and of future generations. 5. Severe punishments for companies and people in charge of policy and solutions who lied to the population. Including WHO-officials." PL LINK: https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions
O	"1. Subsidiarity: local decisions - global knowledge. 2 Freedom of choice as to vaccination. 3. Local immunologists have to be included in the debate/not merely virologists and epidemiologists. 4. Imposed measures and solutions should not be aimed solely at saving older/weaker people's lives, but should include care for the immune system of the youngest people and of future generations. 5. Severe punishments for companies and people in charge of policy and solutions who lied to the population. Including WHO-officials." Catherine
NA	"A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" would need to be merely guidance. The guidance should be informed from the bottom up and not the top down. If any man, woman or any parent making decisions about their own or their children's health deviates from the recommended guidance, it should be recognized that it is their right to do so, as an unalienable right. There shall be no interference in personal liberty no matter the extent or scope of a declared or actual pandemic. There shall be no threat of coercion directly or indirectly from the "international instrument" or any of its affiliates, be that via media, government, military, police, etc. If the "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is a servant of the people, and not the other way around, it might work. An "instrument" is merely a tool after all. Also, if the people choose to remove this "instrument" it shall be done swiftly.
Somerset woke	"I believe the following substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Establish if thousands of lives are in danger before calling a pandemic Establish the age group and those who will be vulnerable to the disease Establish where the disease originated from, and prevent persons from leaving that area or country for a short period of time (2 weeks) Sovereignty of each and every country is paramount and each and every sovereign nation is to assess its own position with regard to danger from the disease
private citizen	"In a consensus decision…international instrument under the Constitution of the World Health Organization…" The response to Covid-19 has not been driven by science, but very certainly, by politics and profit. This proposed "convention, agreement or other international instrument" is another step toward the weakening of the democratic process. This sentence is very concerning; "Article 19 of the WHO Constitution provides the World Health Assembly with the authority to adopt conventions or agreements on any matter within WHO's competence". I do not see an option for citizens of any country to voice concerns for any potential decisions that may be made. Please consider the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No individuals or groups of people should profit in the way that the pharmaceutical companies have during the Covid-19 event. One last word: ordinary citizens did not vote for the World Health Organization.
Emerging Paradigms	"Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response."
Please Select	"Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people" These are words from the WHO's constitution. In that regard, my position is that all decisions about my health require that the entity making decisions about my health , should be ME. If it is relegated at all, it needs to be as local as possible so as that I can dialogue with that entity. A global treaty is the furthest and most inappropriate body to be making decisions about anyones personal health.
citizen	"Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." It is that spirit that I submit my comments. 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3)An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the unethical, immoral, antidemocratic, application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4)Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Pharm-aceutical companies that have been fined for fraud and unethical behavior should not have influence in a Health proposal of such world importance. Please respect the will of the people who do not want this infringement into their lives and well-being. Respectfully submitted
N/A	"Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." While the WHO can have a centralised role in coordinating "World Health" and provide relevant and necessary information to nation members, ultimately the sovereignty of each nation must be respected and each nation must have the power to implement their responses with participation and input from the national community.
Member of the public	"It is only prudent never to place complete confidence in that by which we have even once been deceived." Rene Descartes This consultation comes forward to question the public about what it considers to be important for inclusion in the WHO instrument under proposal, it does not, however, questions the public about views on the WHO instrument itself, and whether there is wide support for its introduction. The public should have the right to express their level of support (or lack thereof) to the introduction of such an instrument. It is simply unacceptable that it should be otherwise.
	"One size doesn't fit all". We have lived thru the Covid pandemic without ever receiving the vaccine or boosters and have been healthy and have lived without masks and lockdowns like most of the country and world. So masks, lockdowns and vaccines didn't stop the spread of Covid however we survived in spite of those steps. No one should tell us how we are to handle these types of situations except our primary care physicians and making our own decisions
Nie dla traktatu WHO	pandemic characterization. We also demand the end of high contagiousness as a key factor in taking anti-pandemic action. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and should contain recommendations, not orders. Following the recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement the recommendations without any consequences. Under no circumstances can the WHO recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, regardless of the circumstances of the pandemic. We kindly request that the final decision about the choice of treatment or prophylaxis, without any consequences, belongs to the patient. We demand that the freedom of expression of all physicians and scientists be allowed, respected and taken into account when formulating WHO's recommendations. We urge WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the 1947 Nuremberg Code). If such a recommendation is made, the global community must be informed through the official state media of the non-completion of clinical trials and any possible side effects. We ask that all agreements and annexes with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers be open and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that WHO meetings be made public in real time, with access to recordings. We ask that the spectrum of WHO activities include building natural immunity and
Citizen of the United States of America	"The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
Human Rights Lawyers	"There is no evidence that lockdowns, school closures, border closures and limiting gatherings have had a noticeable effect on COVID-19 mortality. In fact,studies show that lockdowns "are ill-founded and should be rejected as a pandemic policy instrument." "They have contributed to reducing economic activity, raising unemployment, reducing schooling, causing political unrest, contributing to domestic violence, and undermining liberal democracy" . And yet this was the global policy. As was mask wearing and experimental injections. None of these major decisions should be left in the hands of vested interests, far removed from the people they serve. No international body has the right to impose their unnecessary, dictatorial, freedom - suppressing policies on the citizens of the United Kingdom or any other country. Citizens of every country have the right to chose (referendum!) reasonable measures. Any person(s) imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, MUST be held accountable for their actions. No person responsible for dictating these rules should be able to benefit directly nor indirectly, nor have any patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from rules imposed. Proper notice should be given to remedies that are safe and established, not just those promoted by the pharmaceutical industry. Further gain of function research in to such dangerous pathogens as Covid 19 should be stopped. In the event of a real pandemic, human rights and bodily autonomy MUST prevail without question as it is our right, and we must have the freedom, to decide our personal risks and health challenges without draconian (nor medical) intervention".
retired	"There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations would be stronger for less UN-orchestrated intervention.
Freedom Coalition NZ	"There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations would be stronger for less UN-orchestrated intervention.
NRG 30	"There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations would be stronger for less UN-orchestrated intervention.
Personal	"There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations would be stronger for less UN-orchestrated intervention.
GGI - Grüner Verein gegen Impfpflicht	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and responds. · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
GGI	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and responds. · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
GGI	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and responds. · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Me inc.	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? The constitutions of all individual states and countries MUST be respected. Any 'instrument' prepared should be a guideline only. A global instrument is NOT required, period. Note: It would be appreciated if the WHO / WEF ceased all involvement in sovereign matters immediately.
Harmony Harbor Coaching	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" What should be included is that people of the countries of the world have the sovereign right to decide what is best for their health, not the government. The government is in place to serve the people, not the other way around. People should be able to decide what is best for them and how to treat their bodies. We do this with abortion. Why shouldn't the same respect and agency be given to people about their bodies, for them to decide whether they want to get a vaccine or wear a mask? For the government to say "they know best" for me is crossing boundaries of people's rights. I and many that I know are far healthier than many because we take care of our bodies, we listen to them, and nourish them with good nutrition. To force healthy people to put toxic vaccines into their body is a violation of our rights as sovereign beings. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." If this is really true, then please act in accordance. It is NOT a selfish, self-serving act to do my best to be healthy and be a role model of that. I believe in informed consent. Give people the full scope of information, the ingredients in the vaccines and other pharmaceuticals, as well as their side effects. Let them decide from that standpoint and not from a fear-based reaction to public opinion and coercion from government and other social forces. I believe that if people were fully informed, they would not consent to the vaccines, but ultimately, it's their decision.
None	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" What I would hope to see in any pandemic preparedness on the part of the WHO is a willingness to listen to the doctors and nurses on the front lines and strongly consider recommending protocols that those doctors and nurses have found successful when treating patients on the front lines. In addition and most importantly, National and local leadership in all countries world wide should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Harder Mecahincal	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I think this organization should consider the 4 points below. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Humanity/General Public	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" - what a leading question you ask! The world situation had shown the dangers of centralisation and homogeneity with food shortages and supply chain disruptions - yet here you are, trying to centralise pandemic response. Any overarching document forcing countries (and individuals living in them!) to abandon their sovereignty regarding their health would violate the most basic of human rights - that of choice - and the most basic of nature's laws, that of variety as the safety measure of life. The history of health and medicine, like any human endeavour, is fraught with the see-saw of "We know best" - "Oops, we were wrong". No single person or organisation can know "the one and only best way" and it is the heterogeneity, the variety of approaches, that allows us to find better ways of healthcare. Imagine if unwashed bandages were enshrined in law or treaty because they were universally accepted as the best way to use God's power for healing (washing them presumed to wash off the sacredness) - how would Florence Nightingale and countless others possibly manage to show a better way? The most important element of any instrument is the ability to use it only as required - i.e, the provision for countries to NOT adhere to it. The provision to be able to help, but to NEVER be able to force or coerce.
Pinehurst Health Advocates	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unaffiliated	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" - Complete transparency of all WHO financials, posted on WHO website and on a blockchain readily available to any member of the public. - Complete transparency of all meetings and gatherings that include any WHO representative or official. (Meeting topics, who was there, what was discussed, outcomes.) posted on WHO website and on a blockchain readily available to any member of the public. - The right for any treaty member to opt out at any time for any reason. (i.e. fully voluntary participation) - Public elections of all WHO leadership, with term limits, and strict behavioral guidelines - Funding limits for individual donors/sponsors. (Where an individual is a person, a company, a corporation, an organized group, an NGO, etc.) - An independent and detailed report on how the Covid-19 pandemic was managed by the WHO. How decisions were reached, and which members consented/abstained/objected to each decision that was made posted on WHO website and on a blockchain readily available to any member of the public. - Removal of all current WHO leaders regardless of above report findings, and a lifetime ban on their participation in WHO affairs.
Private citizen	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I think countries should be able to opt out of any treaty should they deem it to be in their best interests. Also countries should always have the ability to let their citizens decide what medical and health measures are best for them. In other words nothing should ever be mandated, such as quarantines, lock downs, testing, face masking, social distancing, temperature checks, vaccines etc. etc.
private	instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ...
Consultant	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The only substantive element that can be included are recommendations. My evidence for this is the covid response in itself and outcomes. Most countries followed the general recommendations of the WHO. However there was great disparate outcome between countries individually showing that a one size fits all approach does not work. In fact those countries that followed the WHO recommendations more closely had worse outcomes. In order for there to be true freedom in this world an individual must take responsibility for themselves and for their actions and be able to make choices in those actions so as to affect their own outcomes. This is the basis of sovereignty of which the WHO has no say in. Must I remind the council of the universal declaration of human rights? I stopped reviewing it this time at article 15 because all of the articles up to and including article 15 applied to restrictions that have been applied during the covid response due in part to the WHO's recommendations. Thank you for your time, Brian
Cedar Foundation	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1 A full investigation into vaccine associated deaths, how can we make the vaccines safer. Many people are concerns about a transhuman agenda. When Vaccines are given in the future, they are too be rigorously tested for safety before being administered. All Vaccine component parts are listed in full, and a list of possible side effects clearly defined. People are concerned about graphene hydroxide in Vaccines. 2 Vaccines have proved to be ineffective as reducing transmission or uptake of infection. A stronger emphasis must be placed on preventative treatments i.e Ivermectin and other Zinc delivery mechanisms. 3 The profit motive has to be removed from any delivery of a worldwide plan. People have a huge concern about the role of big pharma Bill Gates and WEF Great Reset Agenda. Countries should be financially supported to research and develop early intervention treatments, and should be funded to produce their own safe effective Vaccines. There are huge concerns around the major vaccine manufacturers and their attempt to ask for 75 year hold on the release of the research and outcome studies as to vaccine efficacy. 4 Countries remain independent to choose their own course for tackling pandemics and should be supported with funding to do the same. 5 Lockdowns are ineffective in managing pandemics, and up until the last pandemic were never employed. 6 Every human being has the right to choose whether they want to take a vaccine, it could be argued that we should make early interventions like Ivermectin mandatory, cheap and clincally proven as safe over 40 years.
Living Woman	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. The Overseeing organisation needs to be a not for profit, receives Nil funding from private companies, incorporations, foundations ie Not the WHO. 2. The Overseeing organisation needs to take DIRECTION and support from the Local Communicities that they are co-ordinating Care Response into. 3. Qualified, elected Experts on Health and Wellbeing need to come from Local Communities, voted upon by local men, women and young people , these experts must have nil connections to or receive nil private funding from private companies ie pharmaceutical, weapons manufacturers, cigarette or alcohol manufacturers, AI and tech companies, communication, fertiliser or chemical manufacturing companies - who will represent their communities at this global level, these experts are known as Voices for the people whom if found to not be acting in accordance with the Mandate of their Communities can be removed by special means - said experts are not answerable to any global companies, companies or national governments - only to communities & juridictions they represent. Responsible & Elected. 4. DEFINITIONS that have been changed in the last 40 years ie pandemic, vaccine, immunised etc - need to be researched by qualified experts - medical, scientific historians and alike..... put forward again by the Local Communities they represent and that any Care Responses will effect. Findings to be presented and publicly debated. Findings ratified and provisions made to Never allow definitions to be changed again ie a new word needs to be created to encapsulate the 'new meaning'. Clear and Transparent.
general public	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. Better education on what to do if there's an outbreak in your area, with the information provided in a concise and easy-to-understand manner. 2. Ongoing education on how disease is spread, and what precautions to take around people who are ill or who may have been exposed to a contagion. 3. Recommended practices for remaining healthy. 4. For individual countries to have the right to enforce or not enforce these practices as they see fit for their area. 5. For informed citizens to have the right to choose how to protect their health. 6. For the cooperation of the general public to be engaged from a level of understanding, never enforced out of fear or prejudice. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to protect the health of the public, and for allowing me to comment on this matter.
Legal Practitioner	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. Recognition that pandemic preparedness and response is best carried out by a nation state within the context of and with sensitivity for its culture, customs, approaches to medical care, public health approaches and is not a top-down, one size fits all notion. 2. A readiness to accept that approaches to medical emergencies differ in different communities and solutions should not be imposed on nations under a doctrine of all-knowing superiority of WHO or any other international organisation. 3. A procedure for responding quickly to on-the-ground information that points to possible errors or misinformation in the international response. 4. Acceptance of legal liability for damage or harm caused by inappropriate or inaccurate mandates or dictates emanating from WHo or other international organisations.
Councillor, Wirral Council	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. Sovereign nation democracy is of tantamount importance. It needs to be retained. WHO cannot and should not circumvent this. 2. Supranational entities such as WHO have their place but are far from infallible. This has been evidenced in recent times, such as their poor investigation in Wuhan. 3. Pharmaceutical companies with economic imperatives should not necessarily be trusted, particularly if they have had poor history of trials / unethical practices. 4. A proportionate response is needed and this is different within nations/ blocs of nations. 5. Democracy reigns supreme and cannot be over-ridden by non-elected entities such as the WHO. 6. All vaccines should be non-mandatory. Individual bodily choice is very important and supercedes any WHO recommendations.
self	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1.) That each sovereign country will authorize it's own national institutes of health or health ministries for pandemic preparedness that may occur in the future and will also have the authority to determine whether or not it is actually a pandemic for their own sovereign country. 2.) That the global community communications for said pandemic(s), should consult/meet in a timely matter within 48 hours to determine the origin or ground zero of the deadly pathogen in the country where the outbreak has taken place. Initiate a true track and trace of the carrier(s) and/or shedding individuals person(s) for treatment and quarantine, to combat and eliminate the spread. of said deadly pathogen. ALL information, data, videos, scientific research, background and facts of said deadly pathogen causing pandemic to be shared immediately with the global public for scrutiny. 3.) With all the research biolabs across the globe there cannot be a mystery pathogen that cannot be identified within 7 days and be declared the culprit of said pandemic. This will be done by scientifically isolating the bacteria, virus, fungi, parasites or genetically modified organisms, including all A.I. technologies. 4.) No one organization, person, leader, expert, scientist, researcher, president, religious leader will have the power or authority to control sovereign governments and/or legislative bodies to issue ultimatums orders, decrees, laws or mandates to other sovereign countries or their citizens.
Private Citizen	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1: Recognize member nations national sovereignty. 2: Recommendations carry the force of guidelines not mandates. 3: Protect data privacy from erosion, intrusion, and violation. 4: Ensure systems of data collection can not be leveraged for violating human rights and exerting control and restrictions on populations that have nothing to do with protecting their health 5: Decrease the global sharing of pathogens among organizations.
n/a	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Accountability
Architect	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Answer: Local communities will make their own decisions about whether or not they will follow your big pharma guidelines. Otherwise, you will not be welcome here. We are watching you closely and are ready to take whatever actions may be necessary to insure that we control the future of our health. Not you. You are one of the most untrusted organizations in the world today, followed closely by the CDC.
Individual US citizen	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Any agreement must state that there can never be any usurpation through the agreement of sovereign rights of the country, and sovereign rights of its people. Any agreement may make resources or recommendations available, but may never mandate or dictate or impose actions or enforce compliance or exact penalties or impose sanctions upon people. Governments agreements are not to impose any medical procedure. #InformedConsent is a basic human right. Propaganda Narrative and/or hiding relevant information that must be disclosed to people to make truly fully informed decisions constitute Fraud and Malfeasance at the least. Informationmust not be 'dumbed down or glossed over. Personal and familial autonomy in the pursuit of life, liberty, and the pursuit happiness is a basic human right. No censorship or suppression of full open discussion is to be tolerated in any manner and is a #CrimeAgainstHumanity for which the highest penalties for participants will be enacted. All power rests with the individuals to disagree and refuse compliance unencumbered by coercion, threats , penalties or any and all other possible negatives.
None	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness has to focus on individual nations' decision to use their own health indicators and resources to decide on their individual response. No international organization should interfere with individual nations' capacity to decide about their public health decisions. No treaties should be made that would remove power from individual nations. National pandemic preparedness plans have to be based on time-tested public health strategies, that rely on informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public.
none	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness should allow for national and local authorities to maintain full autonomy and have full right to make decisions according to their own local situation and what is best for the local people. Every individual is unique and every setting is unique and therefore a one size fits all response is not appropriate and should not be required. Nations and local municipalities must have the right to out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Such a new international instrument must include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be no conflict of interest. Such an instrument must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Every nation should be free to set their own health policy. What works in one nation does not mean it will work or be appropriate in another nation. Nuance peculiar to a nation or region needs to be respected. The WHO should NOT supersede the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. This MUST be negotiated in full transparency and decided by publicly elected officials, not appointed individuals. All conflicts of interest must be made fully transparent of every person invovled in this "instrument".
None	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I believe that no substantive elements should be included and that there should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. These issues should be handled by the elected governments of their respective countries. The WHO should advise, but take no further action. The WHO has no authority to do anything else beyond this advisory role.
Self	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I believe that the WHO ought to establish GUIDELINES, and NOT enter into any binding treaties with members which could remove self-determination from individuals. We have seen over the last two years that there is a preponderance of opinions, and only through meritocracy can we bring the best ideas forward. Initially, it was believed that the Covid-19 virus was transmitted heavily through surfaces and later this was walked back. It is important to not focus power, especially to sovereign nations in a small population of "experts" as that exposes individuals to the risk of power-brokering, and myopic vantage points without room for dialogue or investigation. The WHO and any pandemic instrument would be best utilized by surfacing diverse opinions, and never suppressing information. Instead, an international instrument can provide a great platform for information and data sharing to assure that no one voice is the loudest or most powerful. Removing power from our countries, states, communities and individual selves is a dangerous precedent and should not be pursued for any reason. All decisions regarding the health and welfare of an individual or family should foremost contain informed consent and allow the most local decisions to have the largest bearing on health choices. The US and any other countries should steer clear of any tactics or treaties that surrender authority, responsibility, and self-determination away from our own systems where the rights of the individuals is paramount and provided for in foundational documents.
Health Authority	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I do not believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is required. If there was a new international instrument, the substantive elements should include the mandate to allow medical science and physicians to be free to explore all ways of treating a pandemic without the threat of censorship from a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The Covid pandemic has taught us that there is no one answer to fit the whole world communities in treatment and preparedness. This new instrument would stifle the ingenuity of individuals to problem solve the medical crisis in their own areas and then to share that knowledge. The risk of being sanction, of losing their livelihoods, because of they thinking outside of the official narrative would cost thousands of lives. We need to encourage individuals to problem solve and be heard with facts to problem solve a situation, not to have one source that can control everything that is then subject to corruption through financial pressure that shuts down freedom to speech rather than the good to save as many lives as possible in all communities. Centralisation would do nothing to help the world to solve pandemic preparedness and response, it would stifle the ingenuity of individuals that is needed to solve the problem.
On behalf of myself	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I do not believe that there should be a fully centralized pandemic response via the WHO; certainly not one that overrides the autonomy of established nations. A "substantive element " of international cooperation in light of pandemic preparedness and response should be centered on completely transparent information sharing and robust discussion by a wide variety of well-trained scientists and doctors who are without past, current or promised future monetary entanglements with pharmaceutical companies of any kind. Whatever is created MUST be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any WHO group identified for the this international cooperative instrument must respect and defer to local leadership which should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the recommendations this group may make, without consequence, must be retained. Again, it bears repeating, that any measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I do not think a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is either necessary nor desirable. However, if the WHO is determined to produce this instrument, which I am sure will be strongly opposed by people worldwide as well as any governments who stand up for their national sovereignty, I propose the following substantive elements. 1. It is for sovereign nations to prepare for and respond to world events, and any international action must be agreed unanimously by them. In turn, sovereign governments must respect and represent the views of their people, and their environment. 2. Any future agreed measures must (a) be justified by independent evidence, respecting the world's differing medical approaches and knowledge (for example accept evidence based remedies proposed by those with a non-western medical tradition) (b) respect the human rights and liberties of all under the UN Charter: the people of the world have an absolute right to refuse medical treatments; (c) be freely available to all at the point of use - no international instrument can coerce governments, corporate bodies or individuals to pour money into the pockets of the pharmaceutical companies. 3. Unlike with Covid, any future measures agreed must be subject to rigorous due diligence and environmental assessment. Suppliers of any relevant products, including PPE, vaccines or treatments, must in future be liable in international law for any harm caused to individuals, companies, governments, other species, or planetary biodiversity. John Wood
Private	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I do not think an international instrument is required or necessary. However, that said, should this be a consideration, following elements should be central: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I feel there should not be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I feel that individual countries and nations should have the freedom to make decisions and restrictions and provide undictated responses. I feel strongly that there is no one answer that fits for the full globe and countries need to be able to adjust without influence, pressure or orders from the World Health Organization. I feel that the way in which emergency situations, relating to health, should be managed by the elected officials of the Country not a globalized company. Should those countries wish to seek consults from the WHO then they can be welcome to due so.
Health coach	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I object to ANY international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Local agencies should have the power to do what is best in their communities and not be beholding to some faraway bureaucrat with no connection to the area. Such a plan is a usurpation of local control that I strongly object to. Countries are different, states are all different and communities are vastly different--there is no one size fits all in any emergency. Elected leaders with local control should retain the rights to do what is best for those people who elected them to positions of influence. Having policies made by other agencies with no connection to those communities makes this a policy that is ripe for corruption and poor decision making even under the best conditions. I urge you to abort this policy and leave local leaders alone. If you feel to compelled to have an "international instrument" for future emergencies, it should consist only a voluntary data base where leaders can input information and data that can be accessed by other countries/communities for ideas for best practices. Diversity in a situation like this is a strength because no one person or entity has a corner on the market of all knowledge.
Member of the public	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I think the main thing should be that each country decides in it's own sovereignty how the people of that country will deal with any emergencies. Just as Sweden did in 2020 and we can all learn lessons from them. Imposing a blanket response would not work as each country is different with different cultures which have to be respected and honoured. The rights of the individual in England will always outweigh those of society and we know this. The WHO is a private organisation and should not be interfering in sovereign nations.
I am submitting this on behalf of myself and my family here in Australia	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I think these elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Daniela F
Healthy Liberty	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" If a new "instrument" is a foregone conclusion, them please include in it the following elements: 1. National and local leadership must retain FULL AUTONOMY, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Include the ability of nations and local municipalities to OPT OUT of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Make it an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to VOTE on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures MUST NOT ALLOW for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There must be a system of transparency in all measures, made public to the world. Thank you for your time.
Person	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" In response to the above question, I believe that national leadership / nation states should at all times have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. These nations should have the ability to opt out as they see fit without any consequences, financial or otherwise. It is also crucially important that no pharmaceutical companies or other large corporates have any influence on the process - there is too much conflict of interest if this is allowed. Also all processes should be open & transparent - no one country or group of countries should exercise undue influence on another country in return for favours / bribes/ financial rewards etc. We have seen in the Covid response how Sweden, despite it not following the crowd, fared much better than other countries , not least in terms of death from Covid but also in terms of less damage to society, education & economy, to name but a few. Thank you Helen Burke Ireland
Private citizen	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" In short, NONE. The WHO should act as nothing more than an advisory council. The WHO is WAY too fallible, and that's being kind, to have any authority over sovereign Nations. Thank you.

Organization	Written submission
Healthy Families	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" In the same way that a medical doctor's role is to provide individual assessment for clients (one size does NOT fit all), countries and localities should retain their full autonomy for decision making as their local situation requires. One global response to a situation is NOT in the best interest of individuals. There should be no conflict of interest between the organizations or leaders who are making decisions and the pharmaceutical companies. Transparency is crucial for all to know that decision are truly made in the best interests of the individual countries/localities and not for a mere few people. No country or locality should be penalized in any way for opting out of decisions made that do not benefit their people.
General public	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Most importantly: Health is a responsibility of the individual! Therefore, any measures applied by governments and international organisations must be treated as voluntary and optional. This includes isolation, vaccinations, business operation, everything. In order for the individual rights to be guaranteed, it must be clearly stated that all national constitutions and legislations are superior to any instrument of WHO. Since the goal of this treaty is exactly the opposite, I do not think the rights of the citizens will be taken in account, and I really hope you fail implementing any of it. :) Second, the bitter experience with the corona response showed us that the relationships between pharmaceutical companies, NGO's and governments must be closely monitored by the citizens and I would certainly be working on an instrument that works for more transparency on the contracts with vaccine manufacturers etc. Every contract, every discussion, every voting and everything related to medicine data must be made public and easily accessible for everyone! All censorship, manipulations and hiding of the real data had catastrophic impact on our society in terms of health, economy and most importantly - on the psychological health of the people around the globe. And lastly, do not forget that the World Health Organization is not in any way representative of the general public. You are a parasitic structure which has not been chosen by any of us to dictate the policies of our governments, our responses and our "preparedness". The WHO instruments could work ONLY as a recommendation at best.
RMLM	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" My submissions are as follows: 1. An element which prohibits undemocratic rights over sovereign people; 2. An element which ensures that national and local leadership retain their rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; 3. An element that provides the ability to nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences; 4. An element that ensures an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it; and 5. An element that ensures real and effective measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" NONE!!! All health decisions should be between the individual and their doctor. The WHO can make recommendations but should have NO power over health decisions!
PANDA	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" None. Exactly none. The purpose of nations is to decide for themselves, on behalf of they inhabitants. (One might argue that even that type of decision structure necessitates too much power, but that is a different debate.) The purpose of the WHO is to offer advice, guidance, experience, data. There should be no absolute authority arising from or bestowed upon the WHO. This is particularly true in terms of an agreement on FUTURE events. It is particularly telling that the desire for such a measure is arising when so much of the international response went against the WHO Guidelines. Whether or not those decisions is a matter for each nation to decide, not for the WHO to mandate. Each nation is, functionally and politically, on its own. The WHO does not now, nor should it in the future, seek to rule on pandemic responses or anything else. That such a measure is even being considered indicates a deep and unsettling desire for power. No means no. None. And just to be crystal clear, do not ask again.
N/A	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" None. We the people do not vote for the employees or leaders of the WHO. It is therefore UNFATHOMABLE that this unelected bureaucracy would purport to have any authority, during a pandemic or otherwise, over people or sovereign nations. Frankly, seeing the WHOs "leadership" during the COVID-19 pandemic, I, along with millions of others, feel it much more appropriate to disband this so called "public health organization" rather than allow it to form new "instruments". Respectfully, Julia
Self	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" None. The way this question is framed is exactly the reason why there should be no international instrument. The WHO is an unelected body that presumes and acts upon its own dogmatic, self-serving interest. There should be no international instrument. Unmeasurable harm to public health, the global economy and civil liberties have resulted from the appalling policies dictated by the WHO and naively followed by nation states who have callously tossed aside their sovereignty and their obligations to their citizens. An international instrument of this type would simply make it possible to repeat these catastrophic failures with even less regard for national and international laws under the pretence of an international emergency as and when the WHO decides to (re)define one.
My family's well being	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Preparedness and response should be based on extensive review of what was effective and had a positive outcome with regards to the COVID 19 Pandemic. These responses must not create Mental and Social negative outcomes greater than the actual effect of the pandemic. Preparedness would be based on reviews from this Pandemic and once agreed upon not be allowed to deviate from the "plan" through Government intervention. Governments and their Health Ministries are not our Health Care Providers. The Scientific bodies need to treat with known, affordable and effective medications. Never again should there be only "one" forced procedure with an experimental injection. When there is no justification or scientific evidence backing measures such as masking, self-distancing or lockdowns society must not be placed in such stressful inhuman situations again. Protect the vulnerable and allow healthy people to continue to work and live to maintain social and economic stability as has been done for hundreds of years.
Academic	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" That any pandemic preparedness by the WHO shall not supercede any law of a sovereign government or sovereign citizen thereof. No citizen voted for the WHO and therefore all citizens must refuse to acknowledge any WHO to country treaty or WHO to country legislation put in place by the WHO if it attempts to overrule sovereigny law and legislation. Already citizens of Australia & Canada are unable to leave their own country if unvaccinated due to less than transparent treaties. This must stop. Dr Donald Sutherland
-	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The element of top priority to include is that each country should have absolute sovereignty over its own preparedness and response, and without negative repercussions from the international community.
n/a	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individial	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Public	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequenc
Human Rights	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Greystones Community	instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Yosdesigns	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the
none	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The people of the U.S. should be able to make health decisions for themselves. Being held accountable to what other countries decide goes against everything in our constitution. This is dangerous and out of control. Emergency orders for the world are all just a part of the agenda of control and takes away our freedom. The pandemic has taught us how power hungry people can be and it's not about health at all. That is why personal health choices should not be any part of the government of some other controlling body. YOu state that decisions are hugely impacted by the public, well, it's time to start listening.
Self and Family	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" To Whom it may Concern: In response to the guiding question above, I would like to propose a few things. 1. The World Health Organization does not have the authority to make any overarching decisions for all countries. Decisions about pandemic preparedness and response should be made on a more local basis, so that is can be individualized to meet the appropriate needs of the people. In addition, these pandemic responses should NEVER infringe about people's inalienable rights and sovereignty. 2. Lockdowns are not okay. Forced quarantine is not okay. Forced pandemic personal protective equipment is not okay. Forced injections are not okay. None of these are to be done through force as they infringe upon people's inalienable, God-given rights. 3. Money should NEVER be the guiding factor when making decisions, and unfortunately, if you have followed the money the past couple years, it is very clear that people's interests and well-being have been at the forefront of the decision-making process. Money has guided irrational decisions to be made that have made people's lives worse off rather than better. Conflicts of interest are to be completely removed from the picture. No "revolving door" nonsense at all. I hope I have made it very clear how WHO should not have the power to make decisions on behalf of all countries, regardless of the circumstances. These decisions, even made on the local level, are to made out of the well-being of the people and to not infringe upon people's inalienable, God-given rights. Thank you your time!
MOM	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" We, the people do not wish to be dictated. We did not ask for nor do we endorse this new pandemic preparedness response. Cease & desist. The end and sincerely.
N/A	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" "National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The right to autonomy. "The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The right of Nations to act via intelligent freewill/choice without consequence. "An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The right to Know, to Truth, via Transparency so that an honest voting may take place. "Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NSU	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" —> Ensure that nations and local municipalities are not punished for ignoring whatever global suggestion was made. We are still sovereign nations unto ourselves and are not subject to any other nation's policies, which means there is no need to force global cooperation. —> Never use regulations and protectionism to thwart small business growth or sustainability. —> Never force anyone to abide by a pandemic procedure, or put them in a position to abide by the policy or loose their job.
"	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Multi-National	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Any response must allow people the freedom to think for themselves and determine the need for such a response. No entity has the right to speak for any other entity.
Myself	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Given the catastrophic consequences of the WHO and world governments' one-size-fits-all policy, the only substantive element is trusting that people actually are born with a sense of responsibility and making space for them to use the prevention and treatment measures fit for their individual needs.
Concerned Citizen	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I don't believe the WHO should be making a worldwide/international instrument on future pandemics. Countries should have the capability to utilize their own tools without being governed by WHO. WHO provides too much overreach.
General public	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" In an international instrument on pandemic preparedness, beside all possible action, a mandatory vaccination or other medical treatment should be forbidden. For all measures, they have to take full account of all health interests, also they have to take into account and careful balance of human rights, social and economic interests. All medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. Any decision making must be fully documented and made available fort he public and science in a transparent manner, and have to undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Because of the massive risk and potential of abuse as written above, in general I oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
lindienaughton.com	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" That normal life allowed to continue and that people be trusted to use their common sense re socialising; as they did with flu epidemics for decades; and as indeed you recognised before 2020. As human beings, we are social animals; we look out for each other and we are not vermin. Trust us.
General Public	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Rights	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The substantive and essential elements to be included in a new International Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness and Response should contain all of the following: 1. All national and local leaderships should retain full AUTONOMY so that FULL preservation of rights is upheld to ensure that they can make decisions in the best interests for their own nations and own local people. 2. All nations and local governments, leaderships and municipalities should retain rights to OPT OUT of any and all portions of agreement as they see fit without suffering consequences or prejudice. 3. Transparency and open processes maintaining the ability of all people in the world to vote on decisions with explicit, extra safety measures to PREVENT applications of global measures where the MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE do not want them. 4. Exclusion of pharmaceutical companies and representatives who would profit, as well as exclusion of their lobbyists and global health profiteers, from any influence or role in the process of global health decision making. 5. Pandemic preparedness and response do not override human rights. Therefore, no containment/quarantine camps and no police brutality will be acceptable forms of pandemic preparation or response. Inclusion of the above elements ensures fair and reasonable measures to assure the world that The World Health Organization will not abuse its power to inflict tyranny in any part of the world. In contrast, any exclusion of the above elements would create a global lack of trust in many nations.
Mother and Child's Reunion, Org	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" WHO treaties that remove any power from any individual/citizen of any country are dangerous to the health and welfare of that country. Each individual human being has the God given right to choose their own medical decisions - not some group believing they have the power to make decisions for all mankind. Only one entity holds power over all humanity and that is God Almighty who created all living things.
MISR LANGUAGE SCHOOLS	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" "I would like each country to have autonimy when deciding what actions to take during an epidemic.
Human being	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" NONE
self	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. Helping provide only what is asked for by each nation. Especially poor nations. 2. No binding treaties that require any nation's citizens to do anything. That would violate their sovereignty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Thank you.
None	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Any such agreement should include provision for nutritional advice to populations + advice on which natural remedies that would help to protect health, as alternatives to pharmaceutical products, which many people do not use, cannot use, and are not prepared to use. Under no circumstances whatsoever should there be mandates to enforce pharmaceutical products on an individual who refuses them, and punishments and penalties on those who refuse such trademarked products should never be acceptable. Any approach that does not include such provisions will fail anyway. In addition, pharmaceutical products that have not been through the most rigorous testing system and thus proven safe for human consumption, should never again be used under "emergency use" conditions, other than on a voluntary basis, for those who are willing to use them. So, in conclusion, I feel that the WHO should work to compile information on ALL types of illness that could be spread through societies around the world, and the natural medicines that can be effectively used against them successfully. Promoted medicines should not only be drug/chemical ones. There is an enormous amount of scientific information that supports the natural medicine approach, and if this is excluded from WHO recommendations, it doesn't bode well for WHO credibility, and suggests a biased leaning towards the pharmaceutical industry at the cost of peoples' health. If the WHO does not embrace natural medicines, and provide information in support of such, then I suspect that other organizations will provide that instead, and oppose WHO actions.

Organization	Written submission
world citizen	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" It is now agreed throughout the world that voting for leaders to represent the people is the highest form of government. Imbibed in this idea of democracy is the concept of the people giving their power to leaders they have elected and that the elected serve the people. If however, this power is diverted to another person or another organisation — even for the highest and most honourable of motives — even if it seems that that person or organisation knows what's best for the people — we have then lost the power of democracy and given our power away. The result is dictatorship — which even if it appears benign — is still a power form which is of potential harm to the people and more open to corruption. We cannot therefore allow individuals or organisations to override democratically elected governments — even for the so called best of reasons. It is for individuals and the governments who represent them to best know the circumstances of their nation and to act in the best interests of that nation. Governments may need to seek advice, but the decisions on all things including preparedness for pandemics must lie with individual governments who best know how to prepare their own nations. 224 words
American and New Zealand Citizen	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" No elements should be included at all. None.
Not belonging to any	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" None. Every country and every individual take their own decisions. There must not be any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response!!
GS Integrative Therapies	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The WHO constituents states that "informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Therefore one of the most important items that an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include is continuous, politically free information regarding the pandemic be made available to the public. This treaty should not remove power from any individual or from any state or country. By providing continuous politically free up-to-date information on a pandemic countries and just as importantly, individuals can make informed health decisions (and opinions). Any treaty that does not include this is a danger to the health of the people. Any country that signs/agrees to a treaty that does not include this constitutes a danger to the health of its people. Thank you
United States Citizen	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Response to the above question. The WHO changed the definition of a pandemic. With a Definition Change, WHO Can Create Pandemic Anytime Original Pandemic definition: "When a new influenza virus appears against which the human population has no immunity, resulting in several, simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of death and illness". In 2009, the WHO removed "enormous numbers of death and illness" leaving the definition with "a worldwide epidemic of disease" The WHO can declare a pandemic anytime there are more cases than normal. The WHO has emergency powers over 194 member countries, they are required to follow "in lock step" with WHO's directives. The WHO SHOULD NOT be involved in any international policies on pandemics at all, they will have too much power over people, with very low / loose definition of what a pandemic is and will not be liable for damages done by their policy's. As we have seen during Covid-19, Lockdowns, Masking, Injections, and the propaganda with it is clearly the power to destroy.
NA	"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" To whom it may concern According to my rights as a citizen of the world, I am stating my opinion on the above question here- 1. An international instrument on anything, including medical protocol should never be permitted to exist, as all cultures, and indeed, all individuals, have differing requirements and approaches. 2. As the past two years have sadly demonstrated, the use of force against people in order to make them comply with actions which they know to be detrimental to their health and life choices, causes grave damage to the status quo and the integral values of humanity. So, something which should definitely be included in any instrument of anything in any country is this element – never again will any person be coerced or forced into complying with any action concerning his personal rights or bodily autonomy. Those who are ill can be advised to isolate until they are well, but beyond that, no forced ruling should be implemented. 3. Doctors are at the frontline of any illness, and as qualified, intelligent, medically knowledgeable professionals, they should be allowed to make their own decisions as to the treatment of their patients at all times, and in addition, record their successes and make these available to others in their field in an online data base. Even though I haven't even scratched the surface of my opinion, I am grateful for the opportunity to express these points.
private person	"What substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Voluntary	"ivermectin" is an fantastic medicine been insulted in this pandemic voluntary care treatment where you yourself as an individual make your own decision about your medication treatment for written or recommendations from relatives of previous hearsay no quarantine or storage of people in individual barracks voluntary vaccines especially the quickly developed ones do not mix pandemics with political intentions life is meant to be lived with love between individuals Earth's resources recover if people are treated well with respect love care
Judith Brendel	„Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. KEIN globaler Pandemievertrag! Die WHO hat in den letzten beiden Jahren auf ganzer Linie versagt!!!!!
self	„Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen."
Privat	„Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen."
Erzieherin	„Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen."
privat	„Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen."
privat	„Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen."
Privat	„Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Das muss bleiben!!!!!!
European Citizen	„Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." „Achtung des Nürnberger Kodex, keine Zwangsimpfungen oder Einsatz experimenteller Medikamente" „Neu eingeführte Medikamente/Impfungen müssen strengen Kontrollen unterliegen und jedes Land muss gesetzlich zur genauen Datenerfassung (Mit/an der Erkrankung im Krankenhaus/etc. verpflichtet werden." „Garantie für einen wissenschaftlichen fachlich fundierten Austausch und das Gehört werden ALLER wissenschaftlichen Meinungen, sowohl Pro als auch Kontra."
privat	„Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Jeder Mensch hat das Recht, selbst zu entscheiden, wie er sich vor Krankheiten schützt. Ich bin gegen jedes Gesetz und jede Vorschrift, die Zwang auf die freie Entscheidung ausübt. "Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies." Everyone has the right to choose how to protect themselves from disease. I am against any law or regulation that imposes coercion on free choice.
privat	„Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen." (ich schließe mich der Empfehlung der „Off Guardian" an)
DieBasis	„Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. Keine Impfpflicht !
Privat	„Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen."
EU-Bürgerin	„Ich erwarte Garantien für die Souveränität jeder einzelnen Person und jedes Staates, ich verlange die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die freie Selbstbestimmung, Menschen sollen keine Objekte politischer Abwägungen sein. Jeder Mensch soll die freie Wahl der medizinischen Behandlung haben ohne Zwang, Ausgrenzung und Repressalien zu erleiden und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen muss oberste Priorität haben, diese Verantwortung darf nicht weggedrückt werden."
Private	In the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Privat	„Wer Garantiert für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen?" Ich möchte keinen Pandemievertrag, Danke!
Private	+ I oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty + Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law + Mandatory vaccinations must be banned + All measures must take full account of all health interests + All measures must be taken into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests + All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process + Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states + As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance + All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body + Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing + Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
Wildstar	+ Local and national leadership maintain control reserving the right to make decisions based upon local conditions and what local leadership understands to be best for their own people. +The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. + An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to participate + Measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. + Removing the profit motive completely from the process
Wildstar	+Local control should absolutely be maintained to determine best course of action based upon local conditions. +There should not be any penalties or consequences if any municipality should decide to opt out of any or all portions of any agreement. +A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. +Any entity with a profit motive should be excluded from the process to avoid conflicts of interest.
Privat	"=> Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests!! Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states! All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body!!
Private person	» 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. » » 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. » » 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. » » 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	» An open and transparent process » National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people » Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence » Failsafe measures that will prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it » Measures that meticulously exclude influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Retired	» National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. » The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. » An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. » Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. » Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities to be in control of their own health. #StopTheTreaty.
pehka	» nations must retain sovereignty over decisions that affect their unique contexts. » nations and local gov'ts must retain the authority to 'opt out' of any agreements in the best interests of their populations » liability exceptions must be removed for any forced medical or pharmaceutical interventions instituted against someone's will. » infected individuals must not be subject to solitary confinement and retain the right to have direct contact and visitation with immediate members of their social support systems. »parties to any any agreements shall recognize rights to receive support for research and recognition of a wide range of alternative methods by which to manage pandemic prevention and response. » treaties must recognize the basic premises of human rights including consideration of medical and religious exemptions.
None	» The following elements should be included: » » 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. » » 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. » » 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. » » 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. »
None	»Mandatory Vaccines shall be forbidden. »Discriminatory measures shall be prohibited. »Decisions concerning medical treatments/vaccines must not be made by governments, but always remain the free decision of each individual. »Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited. »All decision-making bodies must be diverse; critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. »Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national law. »Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. »All decision-making bases including all studies must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner and undergo a peer-review process. Beside all of these points, based on my experience of the last two years, showing me the high level in which financial interests dominate all decisions and acting among politicians, media and "big" institutions such as WHO, EU, RKI..., I personally totally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. It is nothing people will help, but quite the opposite for sure. I always had been "pro EU", but now... should this "instrument" finally come to reality, I would personally vote for "EU exit" in every further election and congrat Great Britain for having dine that in time.
N/A	»National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. »Ability for local municipalities and nations to opt out of all or any portions of this agreement, as they see fit, with no consequences. »Open and transparent process. Ability for all people of the entire world to vote on fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. »Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other global health profiteers.
Healthcare	»National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. »The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. »An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. »Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	»National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. »The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. »An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. »Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	»I strongly oppose any WHO interference in any pandemic.«
Slovenie	»I strongly oppose any WHO interference in any pandemic.«
n/a	»I strongly oppose any WHO interference in any pandemic.«
n/a	»I strongly oppose any WHO interference in any pandemic.«
n/a	»I strongly oppose any WHO interference in any pandemic.«
Free citizen of no organization	»I strongly oppose any WHO interference in any pandemic.«
n/a	»I strongly oppose any WHO interference in any pandemic.«
Self	· As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
privat	· As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
Bank	· Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Kiga Mattsee	· Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	· Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ...
None	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
None	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat perdon	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
ARGE US	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Industrietechnik Filzwieser GmbH	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private Person	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden by constitution · Discriminatory measures must be prohibited by constitution · Human rights on the individual must be prioritised over social and economic interests · Full liability for side effects of vaccines or medicines must remain at the manufacturer of those vaccines or medicines · Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ·
private	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Private	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! In particular: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Krankenanstalten GesmbH	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. In addition to that: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Thank you

Organization	Written submission
no	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
Private Citizen	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures must prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states but fully taken by the manufacturer and/or the licensee · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Grüne Austria	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Humans	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty !
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Best regards, Thomas Weichbold
Nurse	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Humans	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privatperson	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
Gruene Gegen Impfpflicht	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
many	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
None	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
General Public	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Non	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing

Organization	Written submission
none	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
own	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Harald Meusburger	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
EU Citizen	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Freie Bürger	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
N/A	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
my	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ... hence: · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
iga	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Fam. Grtubmüller	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Fam. Grubmüller	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
general public	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
None	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
EDA	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ... · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty

Organization	Written submission
–	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private person	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Human	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
CST by Matt Steven	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to memberstates · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Mutz	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law and · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
None	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
none	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
none	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
-	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Georg Burkhardt I	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
me	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
UA	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
none	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Ivy Grafik Design	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional la
University	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law

Organization	Written submission
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Planegger	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privatperson	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private person	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
no organization	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing ·
None	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance!! · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private person	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
4656	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
NA	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
GGI	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Best regards, Gunda Tichy

Organization	Written submission
fabene	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ...
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
None	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private person	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private Person	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Grünen Partei Vlbg.	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privatperson	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states
Eigenverlag Christine kasem	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Responsibility for the rliability of vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states, it has to be on behalf of the producing company. · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
world wide design	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particuar must bei included
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests It would be great dnger for our democratically structures and from whom WHO will be controlled?
	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privatperson	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
no Organization	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
None	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	· Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
Privat	· Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states
Private	· Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state!
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	· * Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · * Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · *All measures must take full account of all health interests · * All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · *Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · *Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · * All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · * As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · * All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · *Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · *Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Plattform Respekt Plus	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	· I am fundamentally against an international, binding pandemic agreement! · Compulsory vaccinations should be banned and discriminatory measures should be prohibited! · All measures must take human rights, social and economic interests into account and carefully weigh them against each other! · The Pandemic Treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law!
Privat	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty and Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
Unternehmer	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law

Organization	Written submission
ZT DI Susanna Hoffer	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law and therefore: I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Bernadette Stadlbauer-Grill	· I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ... Otherwise I oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
FPÖ	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law * Define when is a Pandemic a Pandemic: Death accorting to the Virus, etc. And not with the Virus, etc. Death rate, when is a Pandemic and so on.
privat	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private nurse	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Hilfswerk	· Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private preson	• As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
Monika Chouchene	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty thank you Monika Chouchene
Private	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private EU-person	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states
Privat	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
Privat	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Forum Respect	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private EU-person	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Human	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Grüne	• Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law • I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
N/A	• Allow the leadership of countries to retain complete autonomy in their right to make choices based upon the needs and desires of their people • Allow countries, states/provinces, or municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of this global agreement as they see fit without penalty • Protect individual freedoms and basic human rights and ensure that all levels of governments allow individuals to opt out of this agreement without penalty wherever it is they may reside • Ensure this is a completely transparent and open process that in no way obfuscates information from the general public • Allow all people to vote on whether this global agreement should be invoked in their country and to vote on any failsafe type measures that would stop the global agreement from being applied in areas where a majority of people do not want it • Create appropriate measures and regulations to prevent pharmaceutical companies and other businesses that stand to gain economically from an agreement such as this from influencing it or governments considering implementing this agreement in any way • Ensure governments are fully transparent with all matters regarding this global agreement and it's potential implementation
None	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
besorgte Mutter	• Impfungen müssen freiwillig bleiben. • Niemand darf für die Entscheidung, die er für seine Gesundheit getroffen hat, diskriminiert werden. • Alle Maßnahmen müssen alle gesundheitlichen Belange berücksichtigen • Menschenrechte müssen unantastbar bleiben! • Impfungen und Medikamente dürfen während des Pandemiezustands nicht zu einem Gewinn der Pharmaindustrie führen. • Die Haftung der Medikamente müssen vom Hersteller getragen werden. • Alle Entscheidungsgrundlagen müssen transparent und gut verständlich für alle Beteiligten zugängig sein. • Der Pandemievertrag darf nicht durch wenige Privatpersonen zustande kommen, private Spenden an die WHO dürfen dabei keine Rolle spielen, diese Personen dürfen wegen Befangenheit kein Mitspracherecht erhalten. • Wissenschaftler, vor allem auch kritisch denkende, müssen angehört und in die Entscheidung mit einbezogen werden. • Ein Pandemievertrag darf nicht über dem nationalen Verfassungsrecht stehen.
None	• Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden • Discriminatory measures must be prohibited • All measures must take aspects of mental health and well-being into account (see suicides, depression and other mental disorders during lock-downs) • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or only at a minimal profit during a pandemic • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. Liability must return to pharma-companies to prevent willful deception • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a transparent peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance, to ensure something resembling independence of the WHO. • All decision-making bodies must be diverse, in the sense that critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Thank You.
privat	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • ·Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • ·All measures must take full account of all health interests • ·All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • ·Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • ·Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • ·All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • ·As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • ·All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • ·Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • ·Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law • ·I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Austria	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Human Being	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance! • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law • I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty

Organization	Written submission
Town Government	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law • I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
STMS	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
NON	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Die Kräutersammler	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Freunde der Heilkräuter	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Public Health Austria Consulting	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must be of a recommendatory nature only and not overrule the national constitutions. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • Producers of any vaccines or treatments must be held liable for their products as it is in every economy!
no	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
None	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. • All measures must take full account of all health interests. • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. • Decisions should be made on evidence-based foundations. • Individuals' rights to integrity must be protected.
physician	• National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. • Measures to protect that any declared emergency never suspends the constitutional rights of the citizens of a country, state, or local jurisdiction to have access to the scientific or medical information they deem necessary for obtaining informed consent, so they have free choice regarding whether any test, treatment, or recommended measures are right for them. • Measures to protect the authority and confidentiality of the Physician-Patient relationship, Patient Health Record, and professional and patient choice of medical care. • Measures that protect against discrimination or restriction imposed on the freedom to work, travel, gather together, bodily autonomy, speech, access to one's property or any public spaces based on medical status, when acutely asymptomatic. • Measures based on full, transparent and uncensored scientific investigation into the success and failure of the COVID pandemic public health measures: what did and did not contribute to the best health outcomes, based on 2020-2022 morbidity and mortality statistics for each country.
Nil	• National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	• National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ashbury Christian Academy	• National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States of America	• National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	• Our national and local leaders must be able to reserve the right to make decisions as to what is best for us. • If they see the need, national and local authorities must have the ability to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement, without consequence. • There must be an open and transparent process by which all people of the world may vote for fail-safe measures to prevent the global agreement being applied where most of the people do not want it. • Enforceable measures must be provided to prevent pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers from influencing the process.
n/a	• Our national and local leaders must be able to reserve the right to make decisions as to what is best for us. • If they see the need, national and local authorities must have the ability to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement, without consequence. • There must be an open and transparent process by which all people of the world may vote for fail-safe measures to prevent the global agreement being applied where most of the people do not want it. • Enforceable measures must be provided to prevent pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers from influencing the process.
Praxis für Psychotherapie	• The definition of pandemic should be changed back to the old one before 2009 · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Praxis für Psychotherapie	• The definition of pandemic should be changed back to the old one before 2009 · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Self	• The dignity of each individual human being in physical and mental-emotional aspects has to be the overriding principle in all agreements and deliberations • The primary recognition of individual personal health responsibility and freedom of choice within the socio-cultural and religious framework of communities. • As a consequence of the above basic principle to self-determination the following provisions need to be safeguarded: 1. local, regional, and national leadership to retain autonomy. 2. a transparent and open process for all peoples of the world to vote on the application of measures that involve population groups 3. the ability of local communities and nations to opt out of any binding agreement. 4. A statute and time-limit to be placed on any binding agreement with regular reviews and guaranteed opting-out options • Measures to prevent and exclude commercial pharmaceutical interests from influencing health policies as such influence would manifest a direct and illegal conflict of interest.
General Practitioner	• The dignity of each individual human being in physical and mental-emotional aspects has to be the overriding principle in all agreements and deliberations • The primary recognition of individual personal health responsibility and freedom of choice within the socio-cultural and religious framework of communities. • As a consequence of the above basic principle to self-determination the following provisions need to be safeguarded: 1. local, regional, and national leadership to retain autonomy. 2. a transparent and open process for all peoples of the world to vote on the application of measures that involve population groups 3. the ability of local communities and nations to opt out of any binding agreement. 4. A statute and time-limit to be placed on any binding agreement with regular reviews and guaranteed opting-out options • Measures to prevent and exclude commercial pharmaceutical interests from influencing health policies as such influence would manifest a direct and illegal conflict of interest.
Bürger	• • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
no organisation, citizen of the world	 • Abidance by the Human Rights and rights of the individual • Sovereignty of state and individual • Citizens must be informed about all side effects that are already known about the product • The individual must make the decision uninfluenced by violence, fraud, pressure, pretence, and other forms of persuasion or compulsion (please see Nürnberger Codex!) • The individual must have the right to decide against the product without further consequences • Freedom of journalism and science must be guaranteed, no influence and suppression of media, medical, scientific speech and content • Legal liability of pharma companies and politicians • Independent and strict control of pharmaceutical products given and immidiate withdrawal if the product is not effective to the cause and produces damage • No concentration on one product (e.g. vaccines) but different forms of products must be investigated, supported and accessible • Scientific consultancy for governments must be at all stages diverse and independent • Realistic and scientific measurements for start and end of pandemic situations • Independent investigation committee for every government to record all the actions taken by the government and after the declared end to analyse and evaluate these actions • Independent and honest investigation of the source of the pandemic. If it is a laboratory or experiments, actions must be taken to avoid further incidents. Deliberate acts of causing pandemic situations must be prosecuted.
Private person	• All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
GGI	• All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	• All measures must take full account of all health interests • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights and social interests • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost , during pandemic state • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
privat	• All measures must take full account of all health interests • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights and social interests • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost , during pandemic state • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
keine	• All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
employee, mother, grandmother	• All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests• All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
private person	• As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. • A decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state
no	• Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Forced vaccinations are against every human right.
Privat	• Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
-	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
danner consulting	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private, medical doctor	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Ursula Karch	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
MFG	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Psychotherapie	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty ... but in case, it will come ... I urgently insist on several points: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Yours faithfully, Elisabeth Ragl
Private	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Mynoris OG	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Handel	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process
privat	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty best regards Ruth Pfeiffer
Non	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited!
Private	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
private	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	• I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty; because of the sovereignty of a state must not be violated. With regard to physical integrity or health, state and international institutions can only recommend. The individual must never be forced to undergo medical treatment! • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden (my body my choice) • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. Manufacturers must be liable, as with any other product. • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private citizen	• It is absolutely vital that each Nation and local leadership retain full autonomy on matters of health, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each country's populations differ in their underlying health and healthcare priorities, resources and ethnic characteristics. Each patient should treated as an individual when assessing the suitability of any medical procedure. Similarly, each nation should assess the suitability of any protocols for their own population. • Each nation and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • To respect the democratic process, each citizen of each nation should have a vote, following a period of open and transparent debate. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures should be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. WHO should be seen in fact and in appearance independent from private organizations and other bodies that profit directly or indirectly from its recommendations. Funding should be sourced directly from taxpayers via citizens' governments.
Retired	• It is paramount that an open and transparent process be carried out so all people of the world have the ability to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit. • The importance of National and local leadership cannot be overstated. To retain full autonomy, the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people is essential. • Processes to be in place that do not allow for any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Country/Area	Medicines Category
Cyprus	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Czech Republic	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Denmark	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Djibouti	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Dominica	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Dominican Republic	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Ecuador	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
El Salvador	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Equatorial Guinea	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Eritrea	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Estonia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Eswatini	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Ethiopia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Fiji	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Finland	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
France	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
French Polynesia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Gabon	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Gambia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Georgia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Germany	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Ghana	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Greece	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Grenada	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Guatemala	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Guinea	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Guinea-Bissau	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Guyana	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Haiti	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Honduras	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Hungary	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Iceland	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
India	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Indonesia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Iraq	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Ireland	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Israel	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Italy	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Jamaica	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Japan	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Jordan	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Kazakhstan	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Kenya	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Kiribati	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Kuwait	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Kyrgyzstan	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Lao People's Democratic Republic	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Latvia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Lebanon	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Lesotho	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Liberia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Libya	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Lithuania	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Luxembourg	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Madagascar	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Malawi	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Malaysia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Maldives	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Mali	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Malta	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Marshall Islands	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Mauritania	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Mauritius	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Mexico	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Micronesia (Federated States of)	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Monaco	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Mongolia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Montenegro	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Morocco	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Mozambique	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Myanmar	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Namibia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Nauru	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Nepal	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Netherlands	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
New Zealand	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Nicaragua	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Niger	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Nigeria	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Niue	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
North Macedonia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Norway	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Oman	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Pakistan	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Palau	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Panama	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Papua New Guinea	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Paraguay	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Peru	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Philippines	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Poland	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Portugal	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Qatar	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Republic of Korea	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Republic of Moldova	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Romania	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Russian Federation	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Rwanda	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Saint Kitts and Nevis	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Saint Lucia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Samoa	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
San Marino	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Sao Tome and Principe	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Saudi Arabia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Senegal	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Serbia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Seychelles	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Sierra Leone	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Singapore	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Slovakia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Slovenia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Solomon Islands	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Somalia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
South Africa	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
South Sudan	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Spain	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Sri Lanka	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Sudan	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Suriname	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Sweden	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Switzerland	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Syrian Arab Republic	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Tajikistan	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Thailand	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Timor-Leste	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Togo	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Tonga	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Trinidad and Tobago	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Tunisia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Turkmenistan	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Tuvalu	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Uganda	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Ukraine	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
United Arab Emirates	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
United States of America	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Uruguay	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Uzbekistan	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Vanuatu	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Viet Nam	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Yemen	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Zambia	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)
Zimbabwe	Intermediary medicines (short list prioritised)

Organization	Written submission
Private	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law • I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
general public	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. • Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privatperson	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Austria	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
wohnen@nindl	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Johann Nindl
non	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private person	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance! • Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law • I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Independent entrepeneur	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
no	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
private	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Mag. Michael Waldner	• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
Private individual	• National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, without any consequences whatsoever. • Nations and local councils/municipalities must have the freedom to opt out of any and/or all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences whatsoever. • Nations must put in place an open and transparent process to ensure every rightful citizen of the world can vote freely without any fear on the rules they wish to live under. • Stringent measures must be put in place to ensure lobby groups of the big pharmaceutical companies, political parties and others with vested interests are not allowed to influence in the decision making processes to determine what is good for people at all levels of any community.
General Public	• National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • It is important that nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of ANY and/or ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit and WITHOUT consequence. • There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We MUST NOT entrust our health in the hands of anyone who profit and those who are paid "bribed" to follow a health narrative.
Private	• National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. • Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. • Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. • Transparency and honesty towards the world populations is a profound human right.
Unknown	• National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	• National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical
None	• National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. • Individuals must maintain their inalienable rights and cannot be forced or coerced into medical treatments they do not wish.
Turbo Dynamics Limited	• National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers, stakeholders or donors..
None, personal representation	• National, regional, and local governments retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of those governments to opt out of any and all provisions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that prohibit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	• National/local leadership retain full autonomy, possibility of nations/local municipalities to opt out of agreement any time without consequence • Mandatory vaccines are forbidden. Natural immunity/proof of it is valid. • Discriminatory measures are prohibited, population must not be terrorised or prosecuted/presented as enemies but their fears and rights understood & taken into account. People are the sovereign, elected governments are working for the people. People are neither the slaves of the government nor of big Pharma or big Data • All measures must be wise, balanced, take full account of all interests & carefully balance human rights, social, (psychic/developmental) health & economic interests. Collateral damage to society, people & economy must not be higher than the saving of lives • Vaccinations/medications must be purchased at cost/minimal profit during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines/medicines not to be shifted to member states. A diversity of vaccines/technologies must be supported and people free to choose. Adverse effects, deaths must all be registered • All decision-making bases/contracts must be made available in an all-encompassing transparency and undergo a peer-review process. An independent ethics board elected democratically must be installed with full rights of veto with voice and accountability. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical experts in particular must be included in the decision-making body • As a condition of a pandemic contract member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • Representative sample testing of symptomatically ill must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
Gerhard Hutter	• Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
MFG	• Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Ethnic Minorities Health Council	• The dignity of each individual human being in physical and mental-emotional aspects has to be the overriding principle in all agreements and deliberations • The primary recognition of individual personal health responsibility and freedom of choice within the socio-cultural and religious framework of communities. • As a consequence of the above basic principle to self-determination the following provisions need to be safeguarded: 1. local, regional, and national leadership to retain autonomy. 2. a transparent and open process for all peoples of the world to vote on the application of measures that involve population groups 3. the ability of local communities and nations to opt out of any binding agreement. 4. A statute and time-limit to be placed on any binding agreement with regular reviews and guaranteed opting-out options • Measures to prevent and exclude commercial pharmaceutical interests from influencing health policies as such influence would manifest a direct and illegal conflict of interest. Dr Rene de Monchy Ethnic Minorities Health Council New Zealand
Ethnic Minorities Health Council New Zealand	• The dignity of each individual human being in physical and mental-emotional aspects has to be the overriding principle in all agreements and deliberations • The primary recognition of individual personal health responsibility and freedom of choice within the socio-cultural and religious framework of communities. • As a consequence of the above basic principle to self-determination the following provisions need to be safeguarded: 1. local, regional, and national leadership to retain autonomy. 2. a transparent and open process for all peoples of the world to vote on the application of measures that involve population groups 3. the ability of local communities and nations to opt out of any binding agreement. 4. A statute and time-limit to be placed on any binding agreement with regular reviews and guaranteed opting-out options • Measures to prevent and exclude commercial pharmaceutical interests from influencing health policies as such influence would manifest a direct and illegal conflict of interest. Dr Rene de Monchy
Olde World Designs	• The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please allow personal medical freedom...Thank you!
Self	• There is no need for a WHO-led Pandemic Agreement. One-size-fits-all solutions are never the solution. One-size-fits-all is group-think and can readily be subject to the will of a very small, ideologically driven group of people. Countries differ by geography, demography, climate, ethnic, social and economic characteristics. Treatment of people in such diverse situations must allow for the judgement of local experts. • Prior to the Covid-19 pandemic, epidemiological evidence weighed against masking, border closures, lockdowns, disinfection, mass-vaccinating during a pandemic, especially with leaky, non-sterilizing products that fail to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. • Emphasis needed to be on early treatment, but while well understood and widely available, they continue to be denied. • The right to make decisions on what is best for their own people must reside with National and local elected leaderships. • Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • Processes must be transparent and allow all people of the world to vote, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • The influence of pharmaceutical companies or other global health organizations that have only their stakeholders/shareholders financial interests at heart must be prohibited. Personal autonomy and medical decisions must remain the responsibility of patients and their physicians.
Weber Kevin	• Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a zero profit, during pandemic state
UNAIDS (re-submission)	• We appreciate the efforts for broad stakeholder engagement in the Member State-led process. • The health infrastructure, including laboratories, built to end AIDS is being successfully leveraged for the COVID response and should be foundational for future global efforts on PPR. The lessons learnt from fighting AIDS, TB and now COVID should inform strategies for tackling outbreaks to come. • UNAIDS would like to highlight lessons rooted in ongoing experience from progress and challenges in the AIDS response and other pandemics that are key for an effective sustainable recovery and "building forward better" from current and future pandemics. • Universal access to services and community and civil society participation at all levels are two key elements to guarantee effectiveness in PPR. Inequalities underlying AIDS and COVID pandemics underscored the need for sustainable health financing as a development priority. • We strongly submit that the new instrument includes the following substantive elements: Ø human rights principles to be safeguarded and a focus on inequalities to prevent discrimination and ensure UHC. Ø continuing civil society and community contribution in advisory and decision-making and governance to be secured. Ø medical countermeasures and health technologies to be accessible and affordable on a non-discriminatory basis irrespective of ability to pay, nationality, gender, race, etc, and equity addressed as a cross-cutting theme across the new instrument. Ø the new instrument must give prominence to public financing and public investment in health systems. These must be a key priority to ensure sustainable health system strengthening and equitable access to public goods.
UNAIDS	• We appreciate the efforts for broad stakeholder engagement in the Member State-led process. The lessons learnt from fighting AIDS, TB and now COVID can help us tackle future Pandemics. • UNAIDS would like to highlight some lessons rooted in ongoing experience from progress and challenges in the AIDS response and other pandemics that are key for an effective sustainable recovery and "building forward better" from current and future pandemics. • We believe that universal access to services and community and civil society participation at all levels are two key elements to guarantee effectiveness in pandemic preparedness and response. Also, inequalities underlying AIDS and COVID pandemics have underscored the need for sustainable health financing as a development priority. • We strongly submit that the new instrument includes the following substantive elements: Ø human rights principles to be safeguarded and a focus on inequalities to prevent discrimination and ensure Universal Health Coverage. Ø continuing civil society and community contribution in advisory and decision-making and governance to be secured. Ø medical countermeasures and health technologies to be accessible and affordable in a non-discriminatory basis irrespective of (ability to pay, nationality, gender, race, etc), and equity to be addressed as a cross-cutting theme across the new instrument. Ø and lastly, the new instrument must give prominence to public financing and public investment in health systems. These must be a key priority to ensure sustainable health system strengthening and equitable access to public goods. • Thank you for your attention
Self	• WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. • All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Golden State Post	•National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	•National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	•National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •Nations and local municipalities retain their ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. •Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." •The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. •The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. •Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. •Vaccine status not be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. •All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. •All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public, as everyone has the right to their own opinion. •All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
N/a	•National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	•National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	•National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Vaccine Skadet.	•National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. •Nürenberg Code of 1947 to be included in a New Pandemic Treaty •Respect and ophold each respective Countries Democratic Constitution, laws and values. •A Financial and transparent restructure of WHO, as where no private individuals and entities can gain political influence
Resident	•The United States shall receive WHO recommendations, however, the United States shall NOT be required to abide by said guidance.

Organization	Written submission
Private citizen	0. Do No harm! 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	1 - A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. 2 - It is plain to see lockdowns are detrimental to society in every way. 3 - Vaccination programmes are clearly unsafe and ineffective. 3 - Digital ID is just another form of mass discrimination. 5 - No person or organization especially one that is funded by the public, and by private donors who have wasted interests should have any authority to make decisions regarding my health and liberty.
N/A	1 – All financial interests of all funding parties of the WHO be published. Updated quarterly. 2 – All financial interests of employees be published. Updated quarterly. 3 – Any recommended products for a "response" need to have financial, conflicts of interest disclosed. Before and during any recommendation. 4 – An agreed level to which the WHO is financially or legally responsible for any recommendation it makes both at a person level and at a country level 5 – That the WHO allows a clause in any response where the local patient doctor can have authority to override the recommendations after having an individual patient assessment. 6 – That vaccination is only one element to any response and must be balanced with other therapeutic approaches, without wilful blindness to other approaches. 7 – Individual sovereignty and human rights must be upheld in every recommendation. 8 – The medicine already in existence must be repurposed in the first instance. If new drugs are to be used, all trial data will immediately be in the public record. 9 – An exit clause for any country that signs the agreement. 10 – Any meetings (and minutes of those meetings) with corporate organizations that will profit or benefit from the recommendations made by the WHO will be recorded on the public record.
N/A	1 - Be sure to check you understand what is causing the disease. Do not make assumptions. Do not rule out toxins. Do not ignore technological environment. Note the complete absence of scientific evidence for the virus theory of disease and the increasing proliferation of evidence against it. 2 - Do not infringe established rights and principles of liberty and freedom. 3 - Do not redefine established terminology. This causes confusion and distraction.
NA	1 - National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2- Nations and local municipalities MUST be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3- There MUST be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4- There MUST be measures that do not allow for influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Visa Inc	1 National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1 - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of the public	1 - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2- The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3- An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4- Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health and Fitness Org.	1 > Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden (if at all, only for risk groups - e.g. 70 years and above and with previous diseases that increase the risk of severe illness) 2 > Focus on the actual risk groups: Focus Marketing on actual risk groups, focus the pressure on the actual risk groups (e.g. people with age above 70 and with previous diseases that increase the risk of severe illness). Do NOT focus on low risk groups such as kids and healthy adults at medium age. 3) Perform a transparent communication where communicated measures (for risk groups) are underlined by scientific studies --> this will be understood by the people. 4 > As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance to avoid conficts of interest!
The Delta Centre	1 All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and be decided by Australians, and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2 WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3 WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4 Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 5 To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6 Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7 No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8 The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest.
Sydney Irish Ceili Dancers	1 All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and be decided by Australians, and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2 WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3 WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4 Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 5 To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6 Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7 No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8 The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest.
Australian citizen	1 All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and be decided by Australians, and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2 WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3 WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4 Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 5 To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6 Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7 No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8 The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest.
No	1 Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 2 Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 3 Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 4 Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
Myself	1 Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
I do not represent anyone. Speaking as a citizen	1 National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Ensure natural law freedoms provided by the U.S. Constitution are not infringed upon 2 Ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any & all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 Open & transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 Measures must not rely on influence in the process by any & all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, including NGOs, 5 Require the protection of health by removing liability protection of research & development entities (regardless of whether private or governmental), particularly in instances of fraud. Provide injury compensation for children completely and all adults equally. Establish appropriate punishment for anyone instigating, hiding, misleading information and coercing of experimental medical procedures going against the Nuremberg Code and Hippocratic Oath. 6 Provide complete unabashed informed consent under all circumstances, including under emergency circumstances, NO hiding behind emergency powers to withhold data. 8 End gain-of-function research, 9 Ensure no digital ID, vaccine mandates, vaccine passports, or discriminating by vaccine status are instituted or allowed, even in an emergency, 10 Reinstate and/or compensate individuals fired or smeared trying to reveal fraud, 11 Prohibit news organizations from limiting free discussion of issues, even those counter to WHO pandemic preparedness &
Self	1 National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Additionally: 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Permaculture Gympie	1- National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2- The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3- An open and transparent process must be created with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4- We must have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1 National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5 Pandemic plans and responses governed by the instrument must include open and transparent evaluation of the value of off-patent pharmaceuticals, diet, dietary supplements like vitamins for prevention and treatment 6 Pandemic plans and responses governed by the instrument must not infringe human rights, must not use "emergency" arguments to infringe human rights, and must not condone coercion of people to take pharmaceuticals, biologics or vaccines against their will. Fully informed voluntary consent must remain paramount under all circumstances.
Individual	1 National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nil	1 National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, Ray.
Individual citizen	1 National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1- National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2- Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3- There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4- Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5- Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6- There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7- Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves.
Individual	1- No lockdowns- They have been proven detrimental for physical and mental health 2- Freedom of choice on health decision like vaccinations, specially in a case where the so called vaccine do not prevent from getting sick or passing on the virus. Health decisions are an INDIVIDUAL right. 3- Stop methods of persuasion and coercion through media for vaccination. 4- Allow public presentation of different opinions on whatever methods are used, without favoring a narrative or opinion 5- Fear is proven the biggest reason for virus to spread. Address situation the same as a flu season. Less people will get sick. 6- No mask mandates. There is no proof of being useful.
Private	1 South African gov and local leadership must retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions for our citizens based on what is best for our country 2 Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit without any consequence 3 The process must be open and transparent for all citizens to see and to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it 4 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers 5 I do not consent to any forced medical treatments for my family or I.
self motivated human being	1) First of all; Follow the protocol of considering that "informed opinion and active co-operation from the public are of utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the People" as stated in your policy. 2) The WHO should openly and honestly share all truthful scientific and statistical information with the public not prejudiced toward any private or monetary interests or any censorship that could play into people's fears and lead them into making certain decisions that would be either misinformed or uninformed. 3) The WHO should be independent of any undue or unfair influence by any donors public or private. Their loyalty and service should be to humanity as a whole and all final decisions concerning medical treatment should be left to each individual. 4) The WHO, in it's decision making, should include input of all differing opinions and interpretations of any and all data, so that the WHO is fully informed and not swayed by private for profit enterprises. 5) The WHO should emphasize natural and existing treatments and practices that have been known and shown to benefit people's health already so that there is not room for big Pharma to manipulate the science and subsequent decisions for their immense profits at the expense of the People !! 6) I absolutely DO NOT support the implementation of any type of medical or vaccine passports. That would mean that the WHO is promoting (requiring) one treatment for all People which violates human rights as protected in many nation's constitutions. 7) I do not support the WHO mandating any medical practice for everyone including mask wearing. One protocol is not appropriate for everyone.
House or Residence	1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Statement	1) The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. It should only make recommendations. 2) The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should not make orders or be mandatory by any means. 3) The WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical (experimental) stage. Similarly, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. 4) The high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic should be restored to the pandemic's characteristics. High contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. 5) WHO meetings should be made public in real time, with access to the recordings. 6) All contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies, and with the implementers of these activities by the WHO, should be made public and publicly available on the WHO website. 7) It should remain in the hands of a sick person to decide on the choice of treatment or prevention, without any consequences. 8) The spectrum of WHO activities should include the building of natural immunity and prevention.
Certified Health Coach	1) There should be NO MANDATES for compliance to any global measures suggested. 2) There should be credible, clean, complete, long-term data sources made available to anyone for making their own evidence-based decisions. 3) There should be NO PATENTED drugs or vaccines included in any global RECOMMENDATIONS, and never be mandated. Any recommended treatment should be generic, inexpensive or free, and easily and quickly distributed to the poorest, most remote places on the planet. 4) There should be EQUAL EMPHASIS and inclusion of alternative or natural or nutraceutical treatments as there are medical or drug treatments offered. 5) Where no long-term health studies via rigorous, independent clinical trials have not yet been conducted, these drugs or vaccines should be excluded from global recommendations. Reliance should always be first on proven stabilization and treatment methodologies. 6) A compensation fund should be provided for and maintained by the WHO for injury due to treatments recommended for people who choose them. 7) There should be NO CAP ON LIABILITY for products recommended for global distribution. Producers of injurious treatments should be required to provide compensation to all of the injured without them having to file suit. Just a simple claim process and vetting is all that should be required. 8) There should be NO MONETARY INCENTIVES allowed to be offered to health care providers at any level for pushing drugs, vaccines or other treatments onto patients. 9) Patients should ALWAYS maintain the right for a trusted advocate for in person visitation during any hospital or clinical treatment. 10) Profits obtained by the distribution of products should go to the liability fund.
belgian citizen	1) A binding legal tool already exists under IHR 2005, and could not add unacceptable restrictions of personal freedoms, and overrule national sovereignty in health matters which should stay at country level: country and citizens, and not the WH0 are accountable for and take the hit of, any response ; 2) if WHO wants to add value we should consider the knowledge acquired since the idea a Treaty emerged end of 2020, including on: the likely origins, assessment of lockdowns which have had little to no public health effect and imposed great economic & social cost where adopted (see study John Hopkins Institute, January 2022); the safety and efficacy of novel vaccines (incl. security issues linked to mass roll-out), which have shifted immense wealth to 9 new billionaires in 2021 which have been able to benefit from an emergency authorization (at the detriment of safety) as a result of the "Pandemic declaration"; Imperfect vaccination can enhance transmission of highly virulent pathogens (issue known since at least 2015) while WHO continues to recommend a 70% global vaccination coverage 3) These "novel draconian measures" are exactly what WHO has recommended and have effectively been followed around the worls (instead of preparedness plans based on IHR2005); 4) since WHO controls all levers (start/stop of pandemic button), and is largely funded by private interests (manufacturers and investors) a Treaty would need to ensure all of the following : -conflict of interest control in full tranparency, -Ethics Committee reappointed to assess objectively safety & efficacy of vaccines and any alternative & less costly treatment -control of bio-labs and violation of Biological Weapons Convention
General Public	1) A new treaty for pandemic preparedness should include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty and respect for human rights and legal liability for government officials. Pharmaceutical companies should also have liability on any new products it produces in response to a pandemic and no individual should be forced or coerced to take a treatment they do not want. Trial data on new treatments/vaccines should be available to the general public so they can give their informed consent on any intervention. 2) A country should be able to opt out of the treaty without penalty. 3) Lockdowns should be abandoned as they cause more harm than good and were never used in previous pandemics with good reason. 4) Quarantine centres should be dismantled as individuals are safer in their own homes and can maintain their autonomy which is a basic human right. 5) The definition of a pandemic should revert back to what it was before it changed around May 2009 so that it encompasses diseases with both high morbidity and mortality in countries simultaneously around the world. The idea of asymptomatic people as disease carriers should also be dismissed as there is no strong evidence for this so testing healthy people for disease should also stop. 6) All conflicts of interests should be declared by media spokespeople and governments and there should be no censorship of opposing voices. Early, cheap and safe treatments should be used with no consequences to the doctors prescribing them and there should be no one size fits all treatment.
Teacher at Vocational School	1) A pandemic contract can and must not take precedence over national law -- local parliaments must have the last say 2) For the WHO to lead such efforts in an authoritiative manner, a necessary precondition is that WHO is funded at least 90% by the contract member states and not by private donors -- even the slightest appearance of conflicts of interest, e.g. lobbying for a specific mass vaccination campaign by private foundations, must be avoided. 3) Liabilities for any vaccinations and endorsed medecines must be with the makers of these, not shifted to the member states. 4) _Mandatory_ vaccines should be prohibited (current situation clearly shows the conflict) in favor of well-founded, evidence-based recommendations and endorsements, based on thorough, free, open scientific, public discourse and full transparency.
privat	1) Achtung der Menschenwürde, vor allem das Selbstbestimmungsrecht über die körperliche Unversehrtheit. 2) Garantien für die Souveränität des Individuums und des Staates. 3) Gesetzliche Haftung von Pharmaunternehmen und Regierungsbeamten
Private citizen	1) all financial interests of all funding parties of the WHO be published. Updated quarterly. 2) all financial interests of employees be published. Updated quarterly. 3) any recommended products for a "response" need to have financial, conflicts of interest disclosed. Before and during any recommendation. 4) an agreed level to which the WHO is financially or legally responsible for any recommendation it makes both at a person level and at a country level. 5) That the WHO allows a clause in any response where the local patient doctor can have authority to override the recommendations after having an individual patient assessment. One size does not fit all, and the nuances of individual care must be upheld. 6) that vaccination is only one element to any response and must be balanced with other therapeutic approaches evenly, without wilful blindness to other approaches. 7) individual sovereignty and human rights must be upheld in every recommendation. 8) the medicine already in existence must be repurposed in the first instance. If new drugs are to be used, all trial data will immediately be in the public record. 9) an exit clause for any country that signs the agreement. 10) any meetings (and minutes of those meetings) with corporate organisations that will profit or benefit from recommendations made by the WHO will be recorded on the public record.

Organization	Written submission
Valour Consultancy	1) All governments must retain full autonomy when it comes to pandemic response and should remain free to make decisions that reflect the will of their people. Any response to a future pandemic must be decided at a national level by democratically elected governments accountable to their citizens. Said governments must therefore be free to disregard the advise of the WHO. 2) Any council that arises as a result of the signing of this treaty should not include anyone with conflicts of interest and/or who profits from the sale of pharmaceutical products that may be recommended to combat a future pandemic. That is, nobody with a vested interest in the sale and distribution of vaccines should be in a position to dictate the rollout of vaccination programmes. 3) None of the contributors to the WHO's annual budget should be included on the council. 4) Council members must reflect the full range of scientific opinion and not only the science that is deemed "acceptable". Further, any scientific organisations providing data and advise to any council must have no conflicts of interest. That is, they should not have received funding from any body that has a vested interest in pharmaceutical products. 5) Individual human rights must always come first. No human being on this planet should be forced to enter quarantine, to take tests, or to receive vaccinations against their will. They should also not have their right to work, to travel, to socialise, to utilise public institutions, to assembly or to protest in any way impeded by any future response to a pandemic. These rights are inalienable and not the business of the WHO or any other unelected body.
Private	1) All human rights like the Nuremberg Codex have to be taken into account. 2) Furthermore the General Data Protection Regulation GDPR, which is valid for example in Europe. 3) Before entry into force the legal text has to be checked by national human rights lawyers as well, because of the nationally differencent experiences and measurents with human rights. 4) Their review and statements has to be taken into account. 5) Last but not least before entry into force there should be a broad and pluralistic public discussion outrolled by the media and politicians to build up trust. 6)If necessary including opinion polls and nationwide referendum. Thank you!
Calvary	1) All prescriptions are between a doctor and patient and over ride any mandates. 2) pharmaceutical companies are liable for adverse effects of their products even in emergencies. 3) a factual data of deaths in pandemics, if exceeds more than 4% death rate is collected over four consecutive months, than and only than will any emergencies be allowed to be put in place and for not more than one month after the death rate is below 4%. 4) mask wearing is an absolute free choice. 5) children are only to be vaccinated upon the parents approval and never just a government.
Citizen of India	1) All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2) No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 3) Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to preventimbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights 4) The centralization of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. 5) Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. 6) The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states should agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO, in the public interest 7) The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest 8) The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of the guidance. This Treaty is not required and should be cancelled .
Citizen of India	1) All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2) No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 3) Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to preventimbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights 4) The centralization of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. 5) Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. 6) The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states should agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO, in the public interest 7) The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest 8) The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of the guidance
Citizen of India	1) All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2) No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 3) Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights 4) The centralization of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. 5) Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. 6) The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states should agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO, in the public interest 7) The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest 8) The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of the guidance
Citizen of India	1) All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2) No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 3) Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights 4) The centralization of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. 5) Prior determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. 6) The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states should agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO, in the public interest 7) The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest 8) The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of the guidance
Citizen of India	1) All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2) No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 3) Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights 4) The centralization of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. 5) Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. 6) The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states should agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO, in the public interest. 7) The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest. 8) The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of the guidance.
None	1) Conflicts of interest by pharmaceutical companies and other for-profit health organizations MUST be prevented. 2) The ability to opt-out of any policies that are not desired or do not work for local populations is incredibly important. 3) Substantial guarantees of autonomy for national & local authorities MUST be maintained. 4) Prevention of harmful and/or coercive sanctions or similar measures should be a crucial part of any agreement. Politics will always play a part and no single small group can speak for the entire world. 5) Transparency is vital ... and any lack of transparency on the part of the WHO should carry heavy consequences ... for the WHO -- not everyone they claim to 'protect'.
HRP	1) Dismantling WHO, GAVI and other institutions meddling in public health 2) Isolating the virus and satisfying Koch postulates before announcing worldwide pandemic 3) No sick until proven healthy, no digital ID/vaccine passports 4) Charging people responsible for Covid response in last 2 years with crimes against humanity and sending them to labour camps
Retired	1) Do no harm: inflict no physical injury, do not intrude upon, or cause loss or damage to one's health, privacy or property without explicit permission. This us strictly prohibited and is regarded a crime. 2) Protect the right of body autonomy of every man, women, and child, and parents, for being responsible for their children. To violate this us a crime. 3) No one is guilty, can be arrested or detained without evidence of a crime. Violence is absolutely prohibited by peace officers and all employees of branches and agencies for keeping the peace, law enforcement, and protecting the rights and freedoms of all men, women and children. Exception: when one's life or property is under im has the right of body autonomy, with parents being responsible for their children. To violate this us a crime. 3) No one is guilty, can be arrested or detained without evidence of a crime. Violence is absolutely prohibited by peace officers and all employees of branches and agencies for keeping the peace, law enforcement, and protecting the rights and freedoms of all men, women and children. Exception: when one's life or property is under immediate and present danger. Inflicting violence without imminent danger is a crime. 4) The imperatives of free speech, right to bear arms, right to assemble and make peaceful protest must be upheld at all times. Censorship of free speech in any form which does not cause individual physical harm or to their property is strictly prohibited. Censorship is a crime.
Public	1) Each country is sovereign and should make pandemic preparations and laws according to their own wishes, not WHO. This includes understanding what is a "pandemic". 2) No forced medical procedures including vaccinations and no manipulation/coercion/loss of freedom/legal rights. 3) Stop treating global citizens as your subjects to be controlled at your wishes 4) Leave people alone
Columbus Publishing	1) Each country should hold full autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including establishing whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should take place with the consultation of the citizens of those countries in their best interests. 2) Each country should be able to opt out of any and all portions of any world agreement as suits that nation. 3) Countries are no more identical than individuals and this "one size fits all" top down dictating is not in the interests of citizens worldwide. 4) The past two years have seen a huge loss of faith in the WHO with changing advice, changing definitions (herd immunity, what a vaccine is etc), making statements that turn out to be categorically false (COVID-19 is not transmissible human to human). It is the worst possible time for the WHO to be trying to adopt world domination. 5) The WHO is way too conflicted to be allowed to assume this level of power. Gates and pharmaceutical companies need zero involvement/influence before WHO should be allowed to do anything, let alone more than is presently tolerated.
CT3	1) Each nation shall be permitted to determine death rate due to specific cause using metric of their own determination. A clear distinction of deaths caused Exclusively by identified pandemic infection vs deaths due to Complications of pre-existing conditions Must be made. Any definition of a pandaemic Must be based on an increase of 3% or more in Crude Global Death rate over a 10 month period. 2) Antibody testing shall be used to identify and include citizens who have contracted natural infection and developed antibodies among the total count of any vaccination rate. 3) Any definition of Herd Immunity must account for citizens who have developed natural immune response to any identified pandemic. 4) Each nation shall be permitted to determine their own response plan including but not limited to masking, distancing, stay at home orders. 5) under NO circumstances shall a vaccine ever be mandated under terms of the treaty for any participating nation
Humanity	1) Ensure natural law freedoms provided by the U.S. Constitution & equivalent Human rights are not infringed on, 2) Require the protection of health by removing liability protection of research and development entities (regardless of whether private or governmental), particularly in instances of fraud, 3) Allow complete unabashed informed consent under all circumstances, including under emergency circumstances, 4) Provide injury compensation for children completely and all adults equally, and not based on whether they have recourse to legal professionals, 5) End gain-of-function research, 6) Ensure no vaccine mandates, vaccine passports, or discriminating by vaccine status are ever instituted or allowed, even in an emergency, 7) Reinstate and/or compensate individuals fired or smeared trying to reveal fraud, and 8) Establish appropriate punishment for anyone instigating, hiding, misleading information and coercing of experimental medical procedures going against the Nuremberg Code, Hippocratic Oath & other ethical principles.
none	1) Ensure natural law freedoms provided by the U.S. Constitution are not infringed on, 2) Require the protection of health by removing liability protection of research and development entities (regardless of whether private or governmental), particularly in instances of fraud, 3) Provide complete unabashed informed consent under all circumstances, including under emergency circumstances, 4) Provide injury compensation for children completely and all adults equally, and not based on whether they are a federal government employee, 5) End gain-of-function research, 6) Ensure no vaccine mandates, vaccine passports, or discriminating by vaccine status are instituted or allowed, even in an emergency, 7) Reinstate and/or compensate individuals fired or smeared trying to reveal fraud, and 8) Establish appropriate punishment for anyone instigating, hiding, misleading information and coercing of experimental medical procedures going against the Nuremberg Code and Hippocratic Oath. 9). National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 10). The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 11) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Self	1) Ensure natural law freedoms provided by the U.S. Constitution are not infringed on, 2) Require the protection of health by removing liability protection of research and development entities (regardless of whether private or governmental), particularly in instances of fraud, 3) Provide complete unabashed informed consent under all circumstances, including under emergency circumstances, 4) Provide injury compensation for children completely and all adults equally, and not based on whether they are a federal government employee, 5) End gain-of-function research, 6) Ensure no vaccine mandates, vaccine passports, or discriminating by vaccine status are instituted or allowed, even in an emergency, 7) Reinstate and/or compensate individuals fired or smeared trying to reveal fraud, and 8) Establish appropriate punishment for anyone instigating, hiding, misleading information and coercing of experimental medical procedures going against the Nuremberg Code and Hippocratic Oath.
Pembina Hills Regional School Division	1) Ensure that human rights, as asserted in the U.N. Declaration on Human Rights, are not infringed upon, 2) Remove the liability protection of research and development agencies, 3) Provide full informed consent under all circumstances, including under emergency circumstances 4) End gain-of-function research, 5) Reinstate and/or compensate individuals fired or smeared trying to reveal fraud, and 6) Establish appropriate punishment for anyone violating the Nuremberg Code.
Privat	1) es muss jedem Land selber die Entscheidung überlassen werden, wie man mit einer Pandemie umgeht 2) es muss jeder einzelne Menschen über seinen Körper selber entscheiden dürfen, ob er sich irgendein Mittel, wie Impfung oder Medikamente geben lässt oder nicht 3) es darf keine Impfpflicht von einer WHO oder sonst einer Institution oder einem Land vorgegeben und durchgeführt werden 4) es dürfen keine Menschenrechte angetastet, verletzt oder verändert werden
Citizen of Germany	1) Human rights are inalienable. They must not be suspended due to emergency cases. 2)Rights of nations, states, groups and organisations are subordinate to Human Rights. 3) The right to a legal proceeding is inalienable. Beaurocrats must not refuse life saving treatments to anyone. 4) The physical autonomy hast to be respected in every case. The right to refuse a treatment is inalienable. 5) Vaccination Status must not be used to impose restrictions on the excercise of rights to travel, rights to work, rights to get access to public institutions as well as rights to receive Emulation. 6) Quarantines for healty people are wrong measures! 7) everyone hast the right to have an own opinion. All people can make public their opinion. 8) We request full transparency. All clinical studies should be open to the public instantly. 9)All producers and distributors of health products must be made responible for all damages caused by their products or Services. 10)People must have the right to vote on the Terminator of the state of emergency.
Power Engineers	1) I oppose any treaty/agreement that limits an individual's right to choose their own medical treatment or not, including vaccines. Vaccine or drug mandates are a violation of basic human rights. Mandates have already torn our world apart tragically and unnecessarily. Force never works in the long term and will create resentment and rebellion. 2) If there is going to be an international agreement, the individual's right to choose should be the most important and central tenet. Additionally: - National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - This agreement should be created through an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures should be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Andrew Sarkany
General Public	1) I oppose any treaty/agreement that limits an individual's right to choose their own medical treatment. Vaccine or drug mandates are a violation of basic human rights. Very few treatments are ever safe and effective for the entire population. With all treatments come risk and potential consequences. Mandates have already torn our world apart tragically and unnecessarily. Our children and those in poverty are suffering greatly as a result. 2) Transparency and accountability for agencies and companies is essential, along with true informed consent regarding any health decision without fear of punishment or loss of freedom. Additionally: - National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - This agreement should be created through an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures should be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Amy Enochs RN
Self	1) I would like to see full autonomy for each nation but also local autonomy, on the basis of what decisions are best for their own people. 2) I would like to see that national and local authorities / groups can opt out with the input of their own people as they see fit, without consequences. 3) I would like to see an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote and that those votes count and are valued. So a global agreement isn't put in place where the majority of the people do not want it. 4) I would like to see measures in place that will not allow for influences in this process eg pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.
none	1) Individual autonomy and choice is at the heart of the agreement – at no time shall any individual's choices regarding their health or well-being be overridden. 2) All governmental bodies (including, but not limited to state, local, national, and municipal) shall be free to determine their level of participation in any agreement. Any such body may opt out of any portion of or all of the provisions of the agreement, with impunity, and without consequence. 3) Any further solicitation of public comment regarding this agreement or any of its provisions shall require a 2-week open period for such comment, with notice provided by whatever means are required to reach at least 80% of the affected populations. 4) Input from any pharmaceutical company or any related business regarding any of the terms of the agreement – is prohibited. 5) Any business or entity that stands to profit from the implementation of the agreement or any of its terms is barred from participation in the creation of the agreement. Any person or entity with a conflict of interest will be excused from participation in the creation of and implementation of any agreement. 6) All negotiations and discussions regarding terms of the agreement will abide by a strict open-door policy – with full public disclosure.
Self employed	1) Individual Countries, Nations, and Leaders should make health/medical decisions based on what is best for the local people they represent 2) Individual Nations and local governments to retain full autonomy for all health, medical and any other related issues. 3) Individual Countries/Nations/Local governments to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the proposed agreement as they wish without any negative impact/reputation damage 4) Proper governance and ethical approach to ensure/guarantee an open, transparent and honest approach/process so that human beings across the world can vote on any measures including the option to prevent the application of a global health/medical agreement in countries/nations/local governments where people do not want it. 5) Proper governance and legal measures that prevent conflicts of interest by pharmaceutical companies, investors and global health entities who stand to profit financially from such measures
Lifelong Wellbeing	1) It is important that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) there needs to be an ability of countries to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence 3) There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. 4) The drawing up of this agreement should carefully disallow any influence in the discussions by any and all pharmaceutical companies, or indeed any other profit-making so-called "health" companies.
Human Being	1) It would be nice when quarantining humans not to lock them together in tight spaces with locked windows, especially when the health crisis is a RESPIRATORY ILLNESS. (Countries with highest healthcare standards have used this practice) 2) it would be nice if WHO and the UN put in place checks and balances to STOP governments from using health policies to further authoritarian and fascist agendas. 3) WHO should oppose manddates for EXPERIMENTAL vaccines.
public	1) LOCAL control: The most important element would be supporting varied local response. The most destructive component of the recent global behavior was applying the same response to a world with multitudes of different population densities, health needs, economic health, viral load, etc. I feel this over-broad approach is responsible for more tragedy than the virus. 2) Heightening the individual doctor-patient relationship so the appropriate response to a specific human biome is used. No one treatment or medication is ever prescribed for all people. 3) Listening to the front line doctors who are treating patients. 4) Freely, globally sharing all learning & approaches in real time, not hiding any data, so that all doctors can use their training , experience, ingenuity and medical arts to problem solve, collaborate with colleagues, and consider each other's findings—synergy. 5) Silencing no one. If a treatment doesn't work, it will gain no traction. Silencing views only makes them more attractive. 6) Always seeking to find the cheapest, most readily available remediations rather than hoping for new medications. New meds can be developed over time but are not appropriate backbone in an emergency situation. 7) Not trying to mass-vaccinate during any outbreak, thereby driving mutations to develop more quickly. 8) Focusing at least as much on treating symptoms and patient recovery as on prevention. 9) Faithfully supporting patients unintentionally injured by treatments or vaccines, esp so as not to make the treatment as/more dangerous than the outbreak. 10) Not using relative risk reduction, but actual risk reduction to measure any treatment's effectiveness. Thank you for the opportunity to be heard.
non	1) Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden 2)As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance 3) All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests 4)All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process Last but not least 5)I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
public submission	1) mechanisms for knowledge sharing. In the initial responses to the Covid pandemic, a huge benefit was gained by the freedom of sharing knowledge, however this is not guaranteed to happen again as in-house knowledge is often seen as financially sensitive. An international instrument can be a mechanism to ensure strong and appropriate knowledge sharing 2) information gathering. Different health systems, different ways of counting, different definitions. Potentially: different governments made for different reporting. Information is the backbone for understanding and responding; having a consistent minimum set of information and clarity around the definitions of information gathering means much better analysis, summarising, etc. 3) widening the net for pandemic response-options. It's a "luxury" to achieve a vaccine quickly, so in the interim it may be life-saving to be able to utilise all medical knowledge. Especially in support of those critically ill / dying. Treatment successes by medical practitioners and hospitals need to be actively sourced and disseminated; an international instrument can provide mechanisms for data collection and organising that's exhaustive, managed and updated. 4) fast investigations to the appropriate standards. Trials need to be independently monitored and adherence to appropriate standards needs to be independently verified, regardless of country or organisation. An international instrument can define mechanisms for this. 5) supportive of people everywhere. Unfortunate news to hear that sometimes vaccines were hoarded; better international equity is desirable 6) understanding of diversity across the human spectrum. Have international acceptance for outliers to average human biology.

Organization	Written submission
Genesis Community Health—Caldwell	1) National and local leadership have full power to make decisions based on what is best for their populations. 2) WHO collects and collates data from all these entities and makes it available to the public. 3) All financial sources of WHO income are disclosed including funds given for special projects. WHO will accept no contributions from pharmaceutical firms. Funding from nations and non-profits will be disclosed. 4) All nations and regional entities retain power to opt out of WHO global agreement. 5) Each nation, non-governmental organization, and for-profit corporation signing on to the global agreement will disclose their research into pathogens and their pandemic response plans.
United States of America and the state of Michigan, Muskegon County	1) National and local leadership keep our full autonomy, and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for our people. One size does NOT fit all. We found that out the first time around in Michigan. 2) Nations and local municipalities will retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequences. 3) Allow an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures which prevent the application of a global agreement in those areas where a majority of individuals do not want it. 4) Measures that don't allow for influence in the process by any and all the pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RN	1) National and local leadership must retain full autonomy to make decisions based on their circumstances 2) Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence . One size does not fit all. 3) There must be transparent discussion between physicians and scientists in making decisions based on sound science. 4) Pharmaceutical companies and other global profiteers should not be allowed to influence the decisions of the WHO as their conflicts of interest are too great. Respectfully submitted. Lori Turnbull
Alliance for the Defence of the Family and Marriage	1) National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities must not be hindered from opting out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures must not tolerate influence in the process from any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy to make their decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) Ability of nations and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4)Measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers.
General Public	1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Less Lectins Foundation	1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Just to say .... It's important you understand, People Will Do Whatever it Takes. The World WILL come to Your Doorstep.
General Public	1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual citizen	1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual citizen	1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK Citizen	1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	1) National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and the WHO should only act in an advisory capacity. National and local leadership should have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit and without consequence. 3) Each Nation should have an open and transparent referendum on whether to agree to a global agreement on pandemic preparedness and response and no sanctions should apply to any Nation whose people do not accept the global agreement. 4) Measures that prevent any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers from influencing the recommendations on pandemic preparedness and response.
MTSAPMC	1) National and local leadership should retain full autonomy. 2) Ability of nation/state governments and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without retribution or consequence. 3) Complete right of the individual to opt out of any inspections, mandates or treatments. 4) No removal or cancellation of patient bills of rights in hospitals or outpatient clinics, private or public. 5) Safeguards that do not allow influence pedding by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1) National and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2) Local leadership should retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, rather than dictates from foreign interests that do not represent or respect individual ethics and choices. 3) There should be a fully open and transparent process whereby citizens all around the world can participate by vote, alongside failsafe measures that would prevent the treaty from forcing unwanted actions of a global agreement on populations where the majority do not agree with or want the said measures imposed on them. 4) All treaty measures should be completely free from any influence by pharmaceutical companies, health industry profiteers, or other individuals seeking personal gain. 5) All individuals should retain authority over their own bodies and that of their children, and be able to practice their own integral health beliefs. 6) Individual needs and health status should be priority.
self	1) Notification to other signatories of new, contagious, and lethal or highly injurious diseases should be mandatory. 2) The destruction of evidence in the form of collected samples and analyses re such diseases should be penalized. 3) Restricting or suppressing discussion of such diseases and their treatments or countermeasures should be penalized. 4) Mandating treatments and bias on the basis of received treatment should be penalized. 5) No other provisions should be binding.
citizen	1) obligation to declare any outbreak and all info about it, on an international health platform 2) Fly outs forbidden wherever an outbreak is found, only supply fly in. Till disease identified and understood. 3) All other Countries are to quarantine all interring travelers, in the arrival facility, sick or not, with medical monitoring. 4) Humane rights are unalienable, including, try other medication, bodily autonomy and speak in public. Vaccination status shouldn't affect humane rights. 5) Medication, if limited, distributed to the most vulnerable, worldwide. No rich Countries hording it for themselves. (maybe have an international manufacture in Antarctica would help with even distribution, quality and safety?) 6) All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 7) All depersonalized data, from all clinical studies, should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8) No more 100% of population vaccinated with experimental drugs policies, unknown long-term effects are too dangerous for specie future. 9) past international two thousands deaths directly related to jabs/medication, it has to be recalled and fixed to be safe. 10) On international health platform, a section to declare medication induced injuries (up to a month after treatment) 11) Considering previous points, a threshold of 10% death rate before thinking to emergency state.
Registered Nurse	1) Pharmaceutical companies should not have power to influence policy. They need to be open and transparent regarding their data asap. 2) WHO need to stick to original pandemic plan and not veer away from towards lockdowns. Lockdowns caused more harm in the long run. 3) Open debates on a world stage between all dissenting views should be televised. No more censorship of alternating views. 4) No mandatory vaccinations in any sector.
Self-employed	1) Public health cannot be an international one-size-fits-all program, as was proved during the Covid response in 2020/22. Totally inappropriate and unnecessary lockdowns and businesses closures achieved nothing but starvation and destitution to many millions of economically vulnerable Africans. Many jobs were permanently lost and other diseases have caused far more deaths through closure of normal primary health services and the sudden irrational focus of all resources on a single (largely mild) disease. Some of this might have made sense for 1st world countries with vulnerable older populations and with economic resources to withstand such measures, but not for Africa. We need people and communities in control of their own health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions and opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2) Blanket global measures will always attract the undue influence of pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers; as proved during the Covid response where the sole focus was on hugely expensive roll-outs of short-lived experimental vaccines, which proved largely ineffective against fast emerging variants. A far more appropriate and effective strategy for poor African countries would have been mass handouts of immune-boosting vitamins D, C and zinc together with a focus on cheap and effective treatments using repurposed generic drugs. These were ignored and even falsely demonised by vested financial interests pushing a global standard (and hugely profitable) "solution" of mass vaccination every 6 months (forever?).
Self	1) Public health cannot be an international one-size-fits-all program, as was proved during the Covid response in 2020/22. Totally inappropriate and unnecessary lockdowns and businesses closures achieved nothing but starvation and destitution to many millions of economically vulnerable Africans. Many jobs were permanently lost and other diseases have caused far more deaths through closure of normal primary health services and the sudden irrational focus of all resources on a single (largely mild) disease. Some of this might have made sense for 1st world countries with vulnerable older populations and with economic resources to withstand such measures, but not for Africa. We need people and communities in control of their own health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions and opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2) Blanket global measures will always attract the undue influence of pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers; as proved during the Covid response where the sole focus was on hugely expensive roll-outs of short-lived experimental vaccines, which proved largely ineffective against fast emerging variants. A far more appropriate and effective strategy for poor African countries would have been mass handouts of immune-boosting vitamins D, C and zinc together with a focus on cheap and effective treatments using repurposed generic drugs. These were ignored and even falsely demonised by vested financial interests pushing a global standard (and hugely profitable) "solution" of mass vaccination every 6 months (forever?).
Individual	1) Public health cannot be an international one-size-fits-all program, as was proved during the Covid response in 2020/22. Totally inappropriate and unnecessary lockdowns and businesses closures achieved nothing but starvation and destitution to many millions of economically vulnerable Africans. Many jobs were permanently lost and other diseases have caused far more deaths through closure of normal primary health services and the sudden irrational focus of all resources on a single (largely mild) disease. Some of this might have made sense for 1st world countries with vulnerable older populations and with economic resources to withstand such measures, but not for Africa. We need people and communities in control of their own health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions and opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2) Blanket global measures will always attract the undue influence of pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers; as proved during the Covid response where the sole focus was on hugely expensive roll-outs of short-lived experimental vaccines, which proved largely ineffective against fast emerging variants. A far more appropriate and effective strategy for poor African countries would have been mass handouts of immune-boosting vitamins D, C and zinc together with a focus on cheap and effective treatments using repurposed generic drugs. These were ignored and even falsely demonised by vested financial interests pushing a global standard (and hugely profitable) "solution" of mass vaccination every 6 months (forever?). 3) Measures taken are an abuse of rights and threaten the constitution
The Sourcery	1) Public health cannot be an international one-size-fits-all program, as was proved during the Covid response in 2020/22. Totally inappropriate and unnecessary lockdowns and businesses closures achieved nothing but starvation and destitution to many millions of economically vulnerable Africans. Many jobs were permanently lost and other diseases have caused far more deaths through closure of normal primary health services and the sudden irrational focus of all resources on a single (largely mild) disease. Some of this might have made sense for 1st world countries with vulnerable older populations and with economic resources to withstand such measures, but not for Africa. We need people and communities in control of their own health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions and opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2) Blanket global measures will always attract the undue influence of pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers; as proved during the Covid response where the sole focus was on hugely expensive roll-outs of short-lived experimental vaccines, which proved largely ineffective against fast emerging variants. A far more appropriate and effective strategy for poor African countries would have been mass handouts of immune-boosting vitamins D, C and zinc together with a focus on cheap and effective treatments using repurposed generic drugs. These were ignored and even falsely demonised by vested financial interests pushing a global standard (and hugely profitable) "solution" of mass vaccination every 6 months (forever?).
Human Race	1) Recognise that WHO is an advisory body 2) Recognise that WHO is not elected by the populace 3) Recognise that democratic countries are entitled to officials they elect to craft laws and responses. 4) Recognise that different countries have different needs and will never benefit from decisions made for all. 5) Recognise that "health" is not interchangeable with "medication" nor is health dependent on medication. 6) Recognise that wealth can make individuals too powerful and that no single minded vision is going to be right for all. Be mindful that these individuals are self elected and not democratic. 7) Recognise that any vested interest may not be working for the good of humanity and may be influenced by a desire for power or wealth 8) Recognise local knowledge in health and health care. 9) Recognise the mistakes and bad advice given by WHO during this time and acknowledge the overreach of the organisation and its members. 10) Recognise that any idea that a world solution is needed is misguided. 11) Recognise it's time to move away from pharmaceutical company interventions being seen as first and last responses and put more emphasis on clean water, clean air, accessible sanitation, education and ending poverty, particularly food poverty.
individual	1) Rely on Doctors and Scientists who are specialists in their field, not due to financial incentives. 2) Each nation must retain its own control/power to decide, and implement its own remedy. 3) Anyone who has proven to be corrupt in any matters in relation to plans must be found and held accountable and removed from any position of authority 4) No organization has the power nor the right to control or to dictate on or for the masses.
private person	1) Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted a declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie. 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance. 11) Require informed consent for recommended interventions. 12) Ensure an open, transparent decision-making process.
King Chiropractic Clinic	removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your own guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance.
Winterberry Farms	removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance.
general public	1) Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "Simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness". Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science, fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols. 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance. 11) Require informed consent for recommended interventions. 12) Ensure an open, transparent decision-making process. Thank you very much.
self	removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie. 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance.
general public	removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public.

Organization	Written submission
self	removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance.
Individual	removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance.
Independent Scholar	removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce
Academia	removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce
None	removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance.
N/a	1) Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Your suggestive question contains presuppositional framing that implies this instrument is a foregone conclusion. I reject that premise. Here are my demands, however, in a world where this tool exists Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance. 11) Require informed consent for recommended interventions. 12) Ensure an open, transparent decision-making process.
None	removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce
N.A.	removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce
Self	1) Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech. 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health
None	1) Sovereignty of countries on how to decide if a situation requires emergency action. 2) WHO should not make treaties that remove power from the US or any other country, since removing sovereign power is dangerous to the health and welfare of humanity. 3) All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. 4) Each country should have power over their health decisions, not the WHO. 5) WHO should not have the power to preempt the national sovereignty of member States, and by implication, the civil liberties and health rights of the world's citizens. 6) WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. WHO should not have power over the health decisions of any country: 7) The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people," we need to have a way of having WHO accountable to their word.
The Gentleman Sparky	1) That vaccination is only one element to any response and must be balanced with other therapeutic approaches evenly, without wilfull blindness to other approaches. 2) That WHO allows a clause in any response where the local patient doctor can have authority to override the recommendations after having an individual patient assessment. One size does not fit all, and the nuances of individual care must be upheld. 3) Individual sovereignity and human rights must be upheld in every recommendation. 4) an exit clause for any country that signs the agreement 5) an agreed level to which the WHO is financially or legally responsible for any recommendation it makes both at a person level and at a country level. 6) all financial interests of all funding parties of the WHO be published. Updated quarterly. 7) all financial interests of employees be published. Updated quarterly. 8) any recommended product for a "response" need to have financial, conflict of interest disclosed. Before and during any recommendation. 9) If new drugs are to be used, all trial data will immediately be in the public record.
Member of the general public	1) The instrument should be for guidance only and not compel any country to follow it. 2) Where the instrument recommends a treatment or treatments for a pandemic disease, the members of the committee drawing up the recommendations must be identified and must not be former or current employees, directors or shareholders of any pharmaceutical company. They must not be employed by any institution that obtains more than 5% of its funding from pharmaceutical companies. 3) Recommendations for a response to a pandemic disease should not be restricted to pharmaceutical remedies but should also encompass diet, and lifestyle, and the effects of the totality of the recommendations on the mental health of the public.
noncorporate	1) The last decision must remain under national control. 2) Harm mustn't exceed benefit. 3) The WHO is obliged to propose only solutions that don't hurt human rights in their essential content. 4) Vaccination mandates must always be forbidden.
Person	1) The National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, and reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2) The process must be open and transparent, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3) Effective measures must be put in place and used that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Large corporations with big money must not be allowed to dictate science and data to the public and Media.
None	1) The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature (and make recommendations, not orders). 2) Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 3) Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 4) Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override them. 5) Virus outbreaks are not homogeneous: they differ in population density, population diversity, availability of drugs, etc. A single method of action to be implemented worldwide seems to be a seriously misguided idea. 6) The WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical (experimental) stage. Similarly, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. On the contrary, it should act as a guardian of credibility. Thus, by its actions it should support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 7) We ask that the high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic be restored to the pandemic's characteristics. At the same time, high contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. 8) We demand that the WHO meetings be made public in real time, with access to the recordings. 9) We request that it is ultimately in the hands of the sick person to decide on the choice of treatment or prevention, without any consequences.10) We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies, and with the implementers of these activities by the WHO, be public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).11) We request that the spectrum of WHO activities should include the building of natural immunity and prevention.
Privat	1) The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. 2) It should make recommendations, not orders 3) Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4) Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5) Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override them. 6) We ask that the high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic be restored to the pandemic's characteristics. At the same time, high contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. 7) We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies, and with the implementers of these activities by the WHO, be public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). 8) We request that it is ultimately in the hands of the sick person to decide on the choice of treatment or prevention, without any consequences. 9) We request that the spectrum of WHO activities should include the building of natural immunity and prevention.
Human	1) The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. 2) It should make recommendations, not orders 3) Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4) Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5) Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override them. 6) Virus outbreaks are not homogeneous: they differ in population density, climate, population diversity, availability of drugs, etc. A single method of action to be implemented worldwide seems to us to be a seriously misguided idea. 7) The WHO should prohibit the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the experimental stage. Similarly, it should prohibit the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. 8) We demand that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies, and with the implementers of these activities by the WHO, be public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). 9) We demand that it is ultimately in the hands of the sick person to decide on the choice of treatment or prevention, without any consequences. 10) The spectrum of WHO activities must include the building of natural immunity and prevention.
doctor of NHS of Greece	1) The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. 2) It should make recommendations, not orders 3) Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4) Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5) The WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical (experimental) stage. Similarly, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. On the contrary. It should act as a guardian of credibility. Thus, by its actions it should support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 6) We ask that the high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic be restored to the pandemic's characteristics. At the same time, high contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. 7) We demand that the WHO meetings be made public in real time, with access to the recordings. 8) We request that nation representatives be required to consult the public. So that they are accessible. 9) We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies, and with the implementers of these activities by the WHO, be public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). 10) We request that it is ultimately in the hands of the sick person to decide on the choice of treatment or prevention, without any consequences. 11) We request that the spectrum of WHO activities should include the building of natural immunity and prevention.
Viogenesis pharmaceuticals	1) The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. 2) It should make recommendations, not orders 3) Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4) Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5) Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override them. 6) Virus outbreaks are not homogeneous: they differ in population density, climate, population diversity, availability of drugs, etc. A single method of action to be implemented worldwide seems to us to be a seriously misguided idea. 7) The WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical (experimental) stage. Similarly, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. On the contrary. It should act as a guardian of credibility. Thus, by its actions it should support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8) We ask that the high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic be restored to the pandemic's characteristics. At the same time, high contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. 9) e request that freedom of speech be allowed. We demand that the "Greek representative be allowed to speak in the discussion alone (not through a representative from another nation).
World Freedom Alliance	1) The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. 2) It should make recommendations, not orders 3) Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4) Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5) Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override them. 6) Virus outbreaks are not homogeneous: they differ in population density, climate, population diversity, availability of drugs, etc. A single method of action to be implemented worldwide seems to us to be a seriously misguided idea. 7) The WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical (experimental) stage. Similarly, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. On the contrary. It should act as a guardian of credibility. Thus, by its actions it should support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8) We ask that the high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic be restored to the pandemic's characteristics. At the same time, high contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. 9) e request that freedom of speech be allowed. We demand that the "Greek representative be allowed to speak in the discussion alone (not through a representative from another nation). 10) We demand that the WHO meetings be made public in real time, with access to the recordings. 11) We request that nation representatives be required to consult the public. So that they are accessible.
Greece	1) The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. 2) It should make recommendations, not orders 3) Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4) Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.
Citizen	1) The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. 2) It should make recommendations, not orders 3) Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4) Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5) Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override them. 6) Virus outbreaks are not homogeneous: they differ in population density, climate, population diversity, availability of drugs, etc. A single method of action to be implemented worldwide seems to us to be a seriously misguided idea. 7) The WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical (experimental) stage. Similarly, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. On the contrary. It should act as a guardian of credibility. Thus, by its actions it should support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8) We ask that the high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic be restored to the pandemic's characteristics. At the same time, high contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. 9) e request that freedom of speech be allowed. We demand that the "Greek representative be allowed to speak in the discussion alone (not through a representative from another nation). 10) We demand that the WHO meetings be made public in real time, with access to the recordings. 11) We request that nation representatives be required to consult the public. So that they are accessible. 12) We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies, and with the implementers of these activities be public
Self	1) there shall be no pharmaceutical,biological company direct or indirect financial input to the group involved with protocols. 2) no involvement of foundations that have a major part of funding for vaccines. Note Gates Foundation involvement in covid shows they are biased and not working in best interest of common man. 3) Rather than dictate after pandemic,WHO should focus on preventing them e.g. Shut down all biolabs working on pandemic scale research (if escaped) Co VID showed that counsel from WHO,masks,social distancing,only vaccines not recognizing effective protocols ,not aggressively seeking location of source were wrong . Why should I believe an organization than failed so badly will be the solution for next pandemic. Based on its failed response to covid WHO is not the choice to lead the world in health emergencies
TX Tech University School of Medicine	1) Transparency in any processes required that will allow people who object to opt out without any penalty. 2) All countries/local cities (towns/jurisdictions) to vote on whether or not they would allow any mandates for their own area. 3) Every jurisdiction must retain full rights to make decisions on health of their own population by what is best for their own population. 4) Prevention of influence by Big Pharma or those making money on medical testing/equipment/treatments. 5) All recommendations must be discussed with health leaders/providers before any rules/mandates/laws are adopted.
General Public	1) Trust is extremely important. National and world health experts clearly have affiliations and vested interests. Any bodies making decisions and disseminating information must be 'bipartisan'. Whether they are actually bipartisan should be determined by or ratified by the represented people. Furthermore, any meetings they hold where relevant information is discussed and agreed upon should be observable. 2) As with individual patients, localities demonstrate a range of needs and outcomes. There must be mechanisms where reasonable opt-out options exist, nationally and more locally. 3) A global crisis response mechanism puts tremendous power in the hands of a few. There must be mechanisms to prosecute any who misuse this power, that process should be straightforward, and the penalties substantial. 4) Testing of any drugs or treatments for global administration should be overseen by uninterested parties, the full testing documentation should be available to all before implementation, and any allowable profits should be determined beforehand by uninterested parties.
South African sitizen	1) WHO treaties that remove power from the the sitizens of the world are dangerous to the health and welfare of everyone on earth. 2) All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the the countries of the world agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	1) I do not consent to a WHO International Treaty which will nullify our rights to receive or reject medical treatment, as enshrined in the Canadian Constitution. 2) I submit the following for this International Understanding – not Treaty: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • No Health Regulatory Agencies (global, national and local) should dictate or prescribe mandatory treatment/ practices that may be harmful to an individual's health condition. • No gene therapy (mRNA, DNA alteration) is to be applied on all living beings under any uses, due to their unforeseeable damage. • Any proposed treatment must be vetted by a large array of reputable expertise. And alternative treatment should be explored in good faith. They should be publicly debated among all people. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. All ingredients in any proposed treatment must be fully publicized. • No private health data should be collected, monitored and/or saved into any digital mechanism, nor to be collated with other non-health data. The Neuremberg Code must be strictly abided. • It is critical to include any responses must foremost ensure essential workers are fully protected, especially the low-wage working class. Data shows large disproportionate numbers of infection and deaths among them. • Special care must be prioritized to ensure the survival of the homeless, disabled, orphaned, widowed, single and elderly.
None	1) Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. In fact the one size fits all approach to Covid 19 lockdowns, has led to a large increase in overdose deaths by a poisoned drug supply and policies that led to people using substances alone, and therefore overdosing in the community that I live in. The overdose deaths have skyrocketed during the Covid 19 pandemic as a result of these one size fits all policies. The local communities will be more likely to identify and respond to health issues in a more effective way, as they are bearing direct witness to the impacts of policies on local health outcomes 2) Community and health issues frequently evolve and change, and it is important for local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence to adapt to the local health needs. 3) Democracy requires that the majority of people support any agreement, and therefore, voting by and for the people must be taken for any global agreement to be passed. If people do not want the global agreement, then we must listen to the people and deny the enactment of this proposed global agreement 4) Strict and stringent measures must be enacted to not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Affordable Website Design	1) Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: a. "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" b. Similarly, restore the previous definition of "herd immunity" that includes "immunity developed through previous infection" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 3) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 4) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 5) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 6) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 7) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie. 8) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 9) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance. 10) Require informed consent for recommended interventions. 11) Ensure an open, transparent decision-making process that includes the input of all nations and all people in the world
earth citizen	1). National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2). The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3). An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4). Measures that do not allow for influences in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1)all entities shall have complete autonomy to accept or reject WHO dictates 2) minority opinions cannot over rule majority 3)No penalties to not following WHO dictates 4) Single ruling body not needed or wanted. Note how each country,state responded to covid and all happy with their choices,because they made them themselves NOT foisted on them by non country entity the they did not elect. No control without election by controlled
Self	1)lack of TRANSPARENCY 2)the States should have appointed Medical and Epidemiologists and appointed not through any POLITICAL associations but for knowledge and experience. 3) associating the TRANSPARENCY- A list of ALL possible monoclonal uses NOT just ONE route of treatment! 4) create a UNIVERSAL PLATFORM -not the NIH WHO, etc WEB SITE - where FREE SPEECH is NOT SUPPRESSED and the PEOPLE can DISCUSS ANY CONCERNS - THOUGHTS - QUESTIONS with access to several appointed doctors. Doctors not paid off by the GOVERNMENT or WHO. ETC. THESE DOCTORS ARE FULLY VETTED by A board developed at the state level.
private person	1)National and local leadership are to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2)The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3)An open and transparent process with the ability for all people affected by any measures to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4)Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1)Transparency highest priority 1.1)WHO authorities/pandemic team provide income sources. 1.1.1)no income and/or close relationships of/with pharmaceutical industry. 1.1.1.1)5 years prior/after WHO engagement. 1.1.2)conflict of interest, person cannot vote/decide 1.2)potential experimental medication (PEM) transparency 1.2.1)openly accessible (OA) list of ingredients prior distribution, no secrets. 1.2.2)OA reports by industry prior distribution. 1.2.4)Availability of medication to all laboratories, eg proof of ingredients. 1.2.4.1)OA laboratory response page. 1.2.5)OA adverse events data page. 1.2.5.1)Everyone can write. 1.3)National permission authorities (NPA)(egFDA) Income proof same as WHO. 2.)pre-pandemic democratic process 2.1)WHO president/pandemic team elected by UN, 5 years term, max 2 2.2)NPAs selected by lottery. 2.2.1)10 different NPA. 2.2.3)Lottery secret. Application material send without anyone knows where. 2.3)Pandemic Ethic Council (PEC) to create. 2.3.1)citizens elect national representatives of PEC. 2.3.2)UN elect PEC in secret vote. 5 year term. 2.3.3.)PEC consult/veto authority of WHO pandemic decisions. 3.) Human rights (HR) 3.1.4)informed free consent medical interventions.
world citizen	1,NATIONAL AND LOCAL LEADERSHIP RETAIN FULL AUTONOMY, RESERVING THE RIGHT TO MAKE DECISIONS BASED ON WHAT IS BEST FOR THEIR OWN PEOPLE 2,THE ABILITY OF NATIONS AND LOCAL MUNICIPALITIES TO OPT OUT OF ANY ALL PORTIONS OF THE AGREEMENT OS THEY SEE FIT ,WITHOUT CONSEQUENCE 3, AN OPEN AND TRANSPARENT PROCESS WITH THE ABILITY FOR ALL PEOPLE OF THE WORLD TO VOTE ON INCLUDING FAILSAFE MEASURES THAT WILL PREVENT THE APPLICATION OF THE GLOBAL AGREEMENT IN PLACES WHERE A MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE DO NOT WANT IT 4,MEASURES HAT DO NOT ALLOW FOR INFLUENCE IN THE PROCESS BY ANY AND ALL PHARMACETICAL COMPANIES OR OTHER GLOBAL HEALTH PROFITEERS
Justice & Peace Group, Johannesburg Archdiocese	1. An open and transparent democratic process is necessary in order to be fully democratic . All the people of the world to be able to vote on an agreement. The global agreement must not be applied in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 2. All Nations and local municipalities to have the option of opting out of any and all portions of the agreement, without any consequence. 3. National and local leadership is to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make their decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. It is essential measures be included that do not allow for influence in the process by global health profiteers.
Personal organisation	to feel ill. They should not be taken to hospital when the illness is mild. It has been proven across the world that treatment with known medication within the first 5 days has been 100% successful. THIS IS THE MOST IMPORTANT PROTOCOL YOU CAN ADD TO YOUR INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT. 2. All media should be banned from ramping up fear across the globe. All stats, data and figures should not be allowed to be manipulated by the media or governments to show events worse than what they actually are. This causes widespread suffering. 3. Lockdowns have been proven to not work, especially for healthy people 4. All doctors and nurses experiences with anyone suffering from a new disease should be closely documented and released. 5. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 6. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 7. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 8. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 9. Complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 10. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Phyllis	1. I want inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty 2. Respect my human rights 3. I want legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies 4. No digital identification ID
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Taking Back Our Freedoms	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Citizen	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is right for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any, and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual private citizen of the United States of America	1. A primary substantive element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is to not place mandatory requirements that are unreasonable considering the uniqueness of individual variations in peoples, conditions, cultures and environments 2. The most valuable assets to controlling a pandemic lies with individual members of the practicing medical community administrating to the best of their abilities what can help their individual patients the most, do no harm and in turn convey their successes to as wide an audience as possible. 3. The final consideration should include the provision that the responsibility is to the individual or sovereign nation to either accept or reject any mandate.
RCH Enterprises	1. All decisions should be controlled by local authorities such that they relate to local situations and what is best for local people. 2. There must be no coercion from a centralized authority. 3. Pharmaceutical companies and others in the medical field should have zero influence on any medical or health decisions since their profits depend on the outcomes of these decisions.
UK citizen	1. Countries should be allowed to make their own decisions regarding pandemic response, as long as their decisions do not contravene individual human rights 2. To protect human health and safety during a pandemic, rigorous efficacy and safety data should be collected on new or emergency use treatments and this process should be overseen by an independent (ie, no direct or indirect interests in treatments being used) and qualified panel. 3. New and emergency use treatments should never be mandated (not even in a pandemic) in order to protect from unforeseen adverse events. 4. All safety and efficacy data of used treatments should be made public during a pandemic. 5. A new treatment should be defined as one that has less than 5 years' rigorously and independently collected efficacy and safety data post-approval. 6. If countries wish to agree a response between them, panels should be comprised solely of persons that neither benefit nor have a personal motive/interest in deciding on such a response (eg, by being shareholders in suggested therapies/gainers of business through suggested response or by holding patents). Meetings should be publicly recorded.
Member of U.K. public, Free, living, sovereign human being	1. each member state must be the final arbiter of what can and cannot be applied in their territory, respect national sovereignty and democratic principles. Recognise that different cultures, states, territories have different opportunities and challenges; no "one size, fits all" solution. 2. Global proposals should be in the form of recommendations only. If a state is by default opted in, it must always reserve the right to freely opt out without consequence in part or in full. 3. Individual health sovereignty and bodily autonomy are sacrosanct, inalienable human rights. Individuals MUST be able to opt out without discrimination or other consequence. 4. Process must be fully open and transparent, free from inappropriate influence, and should not include those who benefit in financial and related ways. 5. All recommendations for medicines or methods should be free from commercial rights and profit or nefarious motive. All rights rescinded by contributors. Full transparency of content and technology. All science and data freely published in advance. Never used in haste. Never use untested solutions, control or methods. 6. Liabilities must be held by adopting states and the WHO. Adverse effects to any human must be compensated fully
USA citizen	1. Every local community, each county, every state within a country, and every nation or country shall retain its sovereignty and its ability to make its own decisions, which may be different or contradictory to the WHO's determinations about how to best move forward during pandemic situations. This would allow for the greatest versatility and reveal truly successful decisions and pathways, which are actually and truthfully based on real science and not on manipulated science and manipulated data to achieve desired political outcomes. Sovereignty at all levels including Individual, local community, counties, states, and nations, must be the foundation for all the world. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Women's Environmental Artist Directory	1. First Element: 100% transparency and access to information at all levels and strata at all times. All language accesses. 2. Second Element: Statement of all previously hidden agendas, whether hidden in plain sight or buried in obscure language twists, past, current or future. 3. Third Element: Thorough and accurate list of all corporate entities who are on any level of this NGO or corporate decision making process and processes; and their shell corporations, stock holdings by company name and individual stockholder. All of these, Earth and elsewhere if this is a reality.
individual	1. Full autonomy should be maintained by individual countries, based on their own national needs and circumstances, which will vary. National and local leadership, accountable to the people they govern, must retain the right the make the best decisions for their people. 2. All nations must have the freedom to enter into all or parts of the agreement, or none if they so choose. There should be no penalty for this. 3. Transparency. This means honesty in the media and a means by which all people have the opportunity to vote on the application of any kind of global agreement. 4. Obvious conflict of interest needs to be addressed. Pharmaceutical companies have not been elected and should not dictate policy for the world's population. 5. The doctor / patient relationship must never again be allowed to be over-ruled by any government or organisation. 6. Address preventative health measures, such as education on improving overall health, thereby reducing the possibility of serious disease in any outbreak. 7. Forced or coerced medical procedures must never ever be allowed to occur again. 8. Learn from this pandemic. That is carry out honest research to assess the damage caused by the measures put in place, which far outweigh the risk of the virus. It was said by an Australian politician that we were engaging in the biggest clinical trial in the world. We now need to see the results of that trial. The mental health costs, suicides, poverty, family breakdown, societal decline and catastrophic injuries, including death, from the experimental, rushed vaccine, which has not worked.
Private Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
General Public	1. I would hope that local national councils and leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A process that is transparent and open and provides for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Also, and all as crucial as this, to have measures in place which do not allow for any processes to be influenced in any terms by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other global health profiteers.
Together for Life	1. Individuals are responsible for our own healthcare. 2. There should be teaching & encouragement on how to boost your immune system with Vitamins C, D3, K2, and zinc etc. 3. Doctors and scientists who disagree with the mainstream protocol, should have an objectionable voice along with research and evidence. 4. Experimental MRNA vaxxines have proven to be harmful and deadly according to the USA-CDC reports at www.openvaers.com and governments, health authorities and pharmaceutical companies should not be coercing people to take these unsafe vaccines. 5. Since flu season comes annually, the media fear mongering should stop. People die annually from the flu, especially those over 75 years old, who are the most vulnerable. 6. Travel should not stop, however people showing signs of sickness should not be allowed to board a plane. 7. PCR tests have proven to have a 56 to 95% false positive rate....so new proven tests should be implemented when necessary. 8. Only SICK people should be required to wear a mask, not healthy people, unless they want to. Thank you, Sincerely, Denise Mountenay
private citizen	1. It is evident that the WHO imagines that the whole world wants IT to be our global health leader. 2. A One-World Solution does not fit individual countries, just as each child in a family needs individualized attention. 3. The most recent pandemic is clear evidence that the WHO, along with many of the different countries' top health organizations, have not been effective, and have alienated the people, while not preventing disease and disaster. 4. The question framed is inadequate and presupposes many things, as in Number 1, above. 5. Most of the people I know would respectfully suggest that your organization be dissolved. When we are in need of such an organization, we'll be sure to let you know. Thank you very much.
citizen	1. National and elected local leaders must retain full autonomy, and be in control of the decisions that affect the people who elected them. 2. Any nation or local community must have the option to opt out to best serve that local level community. 3. The whole process must be transparent and communicated such that all citizens are aware of what is being proposed so they are not blind sided with decisions made on their behalf. Ensure failsafe options are made so in the event of a mistake there is recourse. 4. Ensure that profit is not the motivation and any profiteering is not hidden from the people.
None	1. National and local leadership must retain full authority with the absolute right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities need to have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A transparent and completely open process with the right for all people of the world to vote on. Must incorporate absolute measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people reject it. 4. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must not have any influence whatsoever in the process.
none	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. This agreement must provide for open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on its provisions, and include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. This agreement must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Working for Oman Water and Wastewater Services Company. Submitting as	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be implement to prevent undue influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profit making companies/bodies.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership must, categorically, retain full autonomy and retain and reserve the legal right to make decisions based on what's right for the citizens of their country/region. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, as they see fit, without consequence, must be enshrined. 3. An open and transparent process, with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers, categorically must be an intrinsic and binding part of the global agreement. 5. The global agreement, categorically, must not include any coerced or mandated medical intervention. Bodily autonomy, and fully informed consent, without penalty or consequence, must be enshrined and protected, in perpetuity, in the global agreement.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy and can make their own decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, states and local communities to opt out of any or all of the agreement without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for its own people. 2. The ability to opt out by nations and local municipalities of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, 3. An open and transparent process with the abi,it's for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of a global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right make decisions voted on by their community. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. Open and transparent process, with the ability for all people to vote on measures. This will avoid unwanted opt-in in areas where the people do not agree or want those measures. 4. Measures may not allow for any influence by pharmaceutical and global health profiteers. 5. Recognize that not all nation states are members of WHO and contributions are not equal - impacting representation. 6. No donations are allowed regarding issue for which measures are put in place.
United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people on a state by state and county by county basis (or the equivalent in other nations) 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Personal body rights and informed consent among individuals without consequence 6. Respect for people's free will, body sovereignty, and free choice.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Canadian	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Immutable guarantee that the constitutional rights and freedoms of sovereign nations will and must always take precedence over any terms or conditions of the international instrument. 6. That each human be able to maintain there own individual body autonomy without threat of losing there job or being denied healthcare.
Human being	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 6. Bureaucrats have no right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 7. Bodily autonomy must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 8. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 9. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 10. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 11. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 12. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Education	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Born Again Christian	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Health Organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people don't want it. 4. Measures that don't allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Gracias.
World Health Organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people don't want it. 4. Measures that don't allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Physical Therapy of Arizona	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Enity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Concern for public good	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healing Centre	1. National and Local leadership should maintain full autonomy to reserving the right to make decisions on what is best for their community. 2. The ability for all Nations and Municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
general public	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent registered voters	1. National and local leadership, who have been legally approved by the people, must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. People make their own medical decisions for their own body and those of their children. Personal choice is essential to equity for all persons. 2. Nations are sovereign and as such make guidelines that are as diverse as their citizens
Stand for Health Freedom	1. Respect for sovereignty of each nation; 2. Respect for the superior position of local makers of decisions to know what is best; 3. Strong reluctance to trade freedom for safety, which has historically produced neither.
A member of the human race	1. Self determination by individuals for body autonomy. Natural immunity must be taken into consideration. Individual choice over use of any medical procedures/medications/apparatus. 2. National and local leadership retain autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world for self determination on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
consumer	1. STRICK guidelines for reporting a TRUE pandemic death. 2. Multifaceted traditional and alternative medical treatment for the pandemic that may be chosen by the doctor or patient. These treatments should be broadcast over various medias to the public. 3. Doctors should not be threatened or lose their license for successfully treating patients with new or alternative treatments. 4. NO ONE treatment should not be mandatory. 5. Passports nor proof of treatment based on ONLY ONE method of treatment should never be implemented. 6. A universal network to report medical deaths or reactions to treatments for the illness. 7. Initial pandemic measures should be determined by a lottery of 18 selected MEDICAL BACKGROUND individuals and 6 disaster preparedness backgrounds (individuals should have NO conflict of interests ex: have ties to a company who will profit from items used in the pandemic) from each country to form a pandemic team. 8. Let people's lives matter most, not the pockets of the pharmaceutical, large corporations and egos of those in high positions. These are some of the guidelines that should be employed, if your terms of participation were in layman's terms instead of lawyers terms, perhaps my input would be more precise.
Aero-Engineering	1. The ability of nations and local government to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. 2. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all of the people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. The International instrument should not be a treaty or binding in any way. 2. Full autonomy of National and local leadership. 3. Ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement by nations and local municipalities. 4. Prevention of the application of any global agreement in places where even a 'minority' of the people do not want it. Due to possibility of race, etc. specific bio hazards/weapons. 5. No allowance for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health stakeholders/profiteers. 6. Any recommendations based on verifiable public and third party independently verified data. 7. Members making recommendations with verifiability of no conflicts of interest personally or through direct family. 8. The international instrument should consider itself a 'goodwill' measure and assist in offering independently proven better health methods to international attention. 9. Should have complete veto power over any WHO recommendations.
Individual	1. The Pandemic Preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override a country's decision on how to respond. 6. Circumstances vary widely between countries. A single, worldwide pandemic response is grossly inappropriate. 7. Individual human rights must be upheld regardless of any "emergency." 8. All people should be fully informed about options for prevention and treatment. Potentially life-saving treatments should not be withheld. 9. The right to refuse any treatment must be respected. 10. Healthy people should not be discriminated against on the basis of their medical status. They should not have restrictions placed on them nor be required to submit themselves to any treatment or test. Health freedoms must be protected. 11. Depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone, worldwide, to review in real time. 12. The inalienable right to free and open discussion of observations, experiences, opinions and any other relevant data must be respected. 13. People must not be subject to experimentation without their fully informed consent. 14. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of healthcare products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 15. Government officials may start emergencies but the people must be empowered to end them.
None	1. The sovereignty of nations is paramount and must never be usurped by any other power. Nations must be free to make their own choices. 2. Pharmaceutical companies must never be allowed to dictate policy and must always be held to account for the safety of their products. 3. Individuals must have the ultimate choice on any medical procedure. 4. Freedom of speech regarding any measure must be guaranteed 5. All trial data must be freely available and must be reproduced by independent studies
Governors Land	1. There should always be National And Local leadership that retains the right to make decisions for its citizens. 2. Nations should be able to opt out of any or all portions of agreements as they see fit, without consequences 3. No application of global leaders to force decisions regarding health choices on a population where a majority are opposed 4. Do not allow influence in decision making by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. There should be full autonomy of local and national leadership when determining what is best for people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out without punishment. 3. There must be an open and transparent process, and all people of the world must be able to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent global agreements being forced on people who do not want them. 4. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers cannot benefit profit from, or influence this process in any way.
self	1. WHO to have NO SEAT AT THE TABLE . . . . educated representatives from every contributing country submit recommendations to an international commitee for policy formulation. 2. EVERY country in the world must contribute to WHO expenses (prorated based on population) or be quarantined until pandemic ceases. 3. Any citizen attempting to escape their quarantined country should be shot dead and immediately cremated.
No organization	1. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. 2. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions. Let's keep it that way.yet. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
East Delhi RWAs Joint Front - Federation - www.RWABhagidari.com	1. "The World Together": COVAXIN: While a huge population of our Country has taken 2 doses of COVAXIN, just want to ask the reason - why the WHO has suspended the U.N. supply of Bharat Biotech's Covaxin for COVID-19? Is there any health issue? Can't we be informed if it's a health issue? It will help us for the preparedness of any future virus impact on our country. 2. "The World Together": TREATMENT: WHO must share worldwide links on its website, where patients can get all kinds of Medicines, at Normal prices, in case of coronavirus surfaces again. It will help in saving precious human lives worldwide as people will get the medicines on time and without any black marketing. 3. "The World Together": VOLUNTEERS: WHO must have its volunteers, in each & every city, worldwide, to spread awareness of any such Pandemic.
n/a	1. A clean isolation of the accused pathogen must be provided by at least 3 government-, industry- and WHO-independent laboratories in 3 different countries before the declaration of a pandemic can be considered. 2. There must be proof of severe pathegenicity of this isolated pathogen in humans - delivered equally by at least 3 government-, industry- and WHO-independent studies in 3 different countries before the declaration of a pandemic can be considered. 3. Any response to a pandemic, sugggested by the WHO, is to be considered a suggestion and not a binding instruction. Any members of the WHO are free to follow such suggestions in full or partially. or even refuse them at their own discretion. National souvereignty is to be explicitly guaranteed and underlined.
None	1. A commitment by all members to sharing verifiable information as soon as possible about a potential outbreak. There must be a serious penalty for breach. 2. All decisions about how to implement a policy response are left to national governments. 3. Hoarding of medical supplies and piracy to be explicitly banned 4. A ring fenced fund to be established for future pandemics. Disbursed to countries based on a needs assessment with limited conditions. 5. National governments may not impose medical treatment on citizens. Government acts in an advisory capacity only. 6. All medical treatment is based on informed consent and is personal to the individual. No individual should be coerced into taking medication for anyone's else's benefit.
Independent	1. A completely open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a simple majority of the people do not want it 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Notification of such "opt out" option made publicly known 3. .National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. Pharmaceutical companies should have minimal input; any input should be completely transparent
Private individual	1. A failsafe agreement that national leadership retains the right to implement or not any and all recommendations from WHO. Nations must retain autonomy over a global organisation such as WHO and must never be subject to them. 2. A commitment that Pharmaceutical and other companies and individuals with vested interests in public health policy have no input into recommendations from WHO 3. A commitment to transparency on the scientific research and enquiry leading to recommendations from WHO. 4. A commitment to hearing and including disparate scientific voices and expert opinions in the decision-making process, and transparency around this process.
private	1. A legal recognition of the incontrovertible right to bodily autonomy, enshrined and protected at the highest level. This extends to choice of treatment and prevention of medical or other conditions. 2. A legal recognition of the right to privacy. This applies to digital and physical surveillance and tracking of movement. 3. The recognition of the rights of individuals as prior to the rights of governments/institutions. 4. The provision for individual signatory states to respect the rights and wishes of their citizens over the adherence to the treaty, i.e. the right of the signatory states to remain bound by the treaty at the sufferance of their citizens.
none	1. A must that all National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BRAVE FREE CITIZEN	1. A nemzeti és helyi vezetés teljes autonómiát tart fenn, fenntartva a jogot, hogy a saját népük számára legjobb döntéseket hozzák. 2. A nemzetek és a helyi önkormányzatok lehetősége, hogy a megállapodás bármely és minden részéből saját belátásuk szerint, következmények nélkül kilépjenek. 3. Nyílt és átlátható folyamat, amely lehetővé teszi a világ minden népe számára, hogy szavazzon a globális megállapodás alkalmazását megakadályozó biztonsági intézkedésekről azokon a helyeken, ahol az emberek többsége nem akarja azt. 4. Olyan intézkedések, amelyek nem teszik lehetővé, hogy a folyamatot bármilyen gyógyszeripari vállalat vagy más globális egészségügyi haszonleső befolyásolhassa. https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/news/2022/04/stopthetreaty-comment-who-pandemic-treaty/66422
none	1. A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should absolutely include language that any and all information within it is for guidance only, and nothing within the instrument shall be binding on any country. All countries should use the instrument as guidance in developing their own protocols, but ultimately retain their sovereignty to make their own decisions; nothing shall be mandatory. 2. Gain of function research should be addressed and expressly condemned, and any and all countries performing it should be banned from the collective here. 3. The individual's right to accept or decline any and all medical treatments must be preserved pursuant to the Nuremburg Code, and mandates surrounding any medical preventative action or treatment should be expressly discouraged.
concerned citizen	1. A pandemic bill of rights for all the people of the world, which needs to include: A. The right of any government entity, at any level, to accept or reject any recommendations of the WHO or any other international body. B. The right of all individuals to freely debate and comment on these recommendations, and to have a say in whether their government at any level adopts these recommendations as their own. C. The freedom from coercion to accept any and every medical intervention. D. The right of people who are ill to the treatment of their own choice, and to be treated by the health care professional of their own choice. E. The right of any individual, whether ill or healthy, to visit face-to-face with any person willing to do so. F. The right to remain in one's own home while being treated for, or recuperating from any illness, whether the pandemic illness or any other. The reason this is the most important element is that when governments or international bodies inhibit these rights, many lives are lost due to mis-management of illnesses, ineffective or harmful treatments, and conditions like depression and despair due to economic and social isolation.
self	1. A proper cost benefit study needs to be done to ensure that the solution is not worse than no action. 2. Existing drugs should be evaluated prior to any experimental drugs being introduced. 3. Trials should not be shortened and control groups unmasked. 4. Autopsies must be mandated for ALL deaths after taking new medicines 5. Adverse events must be monitored and full data such as sex, age & vaccine status must be published. 6. No contrary views are to be censored either in the Press or on Social media. 7. The WHO is an advisory body and is not permitted to mandate any actions. 8. Pharmaceutical companies are to be held to full account for the malfunction of any drug. 9. No member of the WHO or and associated government body may be allowed to profit from any holding 0f shares in any pharmaceutical company. 10. There is to be no coercion in any form to take ANY medical substance.
University of California	1. Above all, informed consent must be respected. People should not be coerced to be vaccinated against their will. To do so is not only unethical but counterproductive as it will result in great resistance to vaccination campaigns. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Responding as an individual	1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own and their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Dr. Petra	1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent, making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelin
-	1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent, making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK Citizen	1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 10. My body, my choice.
Human	1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Alone	1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organisation	1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 10. All world governments commit not to use behavioural science as a tool to 'nudge', coerce, or manipulate populations into following particular courses of action. The public must be allowed to evaluate information and make informed decisions.
none	1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 5. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 6. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 7. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 8. No one-size-fits-all course of action, ever 9. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines
Health and Information Literacy Access (HILA) Alliance	1. Adopt systems approach to address underlying factors contributing to pandemics (i.e., climate change, disinformation, social and economic inequality, colonialism and imperialism, and ineffective and exclusionary governance). 2. Develop more accessible, just, timely, reliable, and community-led information systems in partnership with diverse stakeholders. 3. Invest in public health systems: safety and wellbeing of healthcare workers and universal healthcare (including affordable and accessible mental health, psychosocial, drug and therapeutic, and community health supports). 4. Co-lead and partner with civil society and marginalized communities (i.e., youth, and Indigenous, Black, racialized, disabled, sexual and gender diverse, and displaced persons) in governance and decision-making processes related to health emergencies. 5. Develop global fund and resource centre for community-led capacity building, knowledge sharing, innovation, and mutual support. 6. Invest in health and social infrastructure: comprehensive literacy, universal basic income, living wages, and accessible and affordable public transit, housing, food, healthcare, and education systems. 7. Adopt Open Science principles to all drugs, therapeutics, and interventions related to public health emergencies. 8. Develop oversight mechanism ensuring technologies are not exploiting people's data and information, spreading disinformation, arbitrarily limiting mobility and freedom of movement, and/or contributing to human rights violations. 9. Develop & fund comprehensive literacy programs: infodemic management training, deployment of experts, informal and formal education curriculum, and strategic partnerships with communities. 10. Develop WHO foresight team.
SGI	1. Agreement shall not be legally binding. 2. All members party to any Agreement shall remain autonomous. 3. Member countries shall maintain their individual sovereignty. 4.The right of every global citizen to decide what they do with their body shall be maintained as their human rights. 5. Unproven medical treatments shall not be introduced into any society. 6. Freedom of Speech for any citizen shall not be hindered in any way. 7. No element of any Agreement shall override any member Nations sovereign Government, Constitution, Bill of Rights and Citizen's Democratic Privilege.
Individual	1. AGREEMENT TYPE Why is it needed? Objectives? Problems with current agreements? Need flexibility with sovereignty protections. 2. HUMAN RIGHTS SAFEGUARDS Overarching binding protections that make absolutely clear which rights may not be suspended under any circumstances. Rights include but not limited to: -Bodily autonomy, right to refuse or try any medical treatment without discrimination -Sanctity of Doctor-patient relationship, must not be subverted by government -All personal medical information is private and may not be co-opted by anyone -Vax status cannot be used to restrict a healthy person's right to travel, work, or participate in society, including access to education, public spaces, finances, or businesses -Mandates, lockdowns and quarantining healthy people is wrong -Everyone has the right to speak their mind in public -People must have right to vote to end states of emergency. 3. INFORMATION TRANSPARENCY All interactions open to public scrutiny. Anonymised data from clinical studies/analysis used for health policy made available to all in real time. 4. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST Auditable mechanisms to prevent health product manufacturers and other profiteers from influencing health policy and to hold them liable for any damages.
Toronto Catholic School Board	1. agreements must not be designed to override the sovereignty of nations 2. vaccines and experimental drugs must never be mandated... when there is risk, there must be choice 3. censorship and authoritarianism are abhorrent and must not be part of any pandemic responses
Concerned citizen	1. All countries, states and cities of the world should retain full autonomy, no legislation without representation reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Any participation should be strictly voluntary including the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world including those who disagree to be represented without threat of force or abuse. 4. Strict prohibitions against the participation in the process of any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Provide a platform for data transparency where access to all health related information is available without redaction to any citizen even those who choose not to participate..
School District	1. All countries, states and cities of the world should retain full autonomy, no legislation without representation reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Any participation should be strictly voluntary including the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world including those who disagree to be represented without threat of force or abuse. 4. Strict prohibitions against the participation in the process of any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Provide a platform for data transparency where access to all health related information is available without redaction to any citizen even those who choose not to participate.. Please consider all these facts.
None	1. All countries, states and cities of the world should retain full autonomy, no legislation without representation reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Any participation should be strictly voluntary including the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world including those who disagree to be represented without threat of force or abuse. 4. Strict prohibitions against the participation in the process of any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Provide a platform for data transparency where access to all health related information is available without redaction to any citizen even those who choose not to participate.
N/A	1. All financial interests of all funding parties of the WHO be published. This is to be updated quarterly. 2. All financial interests of employees be published. This is to be updated quarterly. 3. Any recommended products for a "response" need to have financial, conflicts of interest disclosed. This is to be done before and during any recommendation. 4. An agreed level to which the WHO is financially or legally accountable for any recommendation it makes at all levels, from personal through to the country level. 5. The WHO allows a clause in any response where the local doctor can have authority to override the recommendations after having an individual patient assessment. One size does not fit all, and the nuances of individual care must be upheld. 6. Acknowledgment that vaccination is only one element of any response, and it must be balanced with other therapeutic approaches evenly, without wilful blindness to these other approaches. 7. Individual sovereignty and human rights must be upheld at all times. 8. Medicine already in existence must be repurposed in the first instance. If new drugs are to be used, all trial data will immediately be made available in the public record. 9. An exit clause for any country that signs the agreement. 10. Any meetings (and minutes of these) with corporate organisations that will profit or benefit from recommendations made by the WHO will be on public record.
Private Medical Practitioner	1. All health and emergency decisions must be made in Australia by Australians. All emergency rules and recommendations must conform to the requirements of the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO must promote and make the Siracusa Principles available with all guidance documents. 3. WHO must not exaggerate any situation or create alarm to benefit any spurious interests. If found guilty, member states agree to permanently cease all association with and funding of the WHO. 4. WHO must accept full legal liability for injuries and damages from the use of the guidelines. 5.The centralisation of national data must be prevented at all costs. Access by government bureaucracies, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma and other conflicted organisations poses unacceptable national security threats as well as the threat of personal oppression. 6. Inquisitorial denial, demonisation and deplatforming of experts dissenting from WHO advice must be prohibited. Science evolves through ongoing open and free discussion of all relevant and evolving data. WHO must disclose al it's data and sources of advice. WHO must accept ongoing open, free and independent analysis of all it's recommendations, to protect individual rights from large conflicted organisations. 7. No binding treaty can can confer upon WHO any right to overrule the national constitution, sovereign legislation and written definitions. 8. WHO must immediately and fully transparently declare all annual funders and stakeholders and allow independent oversight with the ability to remove all conflicts of interest.
Private Medical Practitioner	1. All health and emergency decisions must be made in Australia by Australians. All emergency rules and recommendations must conform to the requirements of the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO must promote and make the Siracusa Principles available with all guidance documents. 3. WHO must not exaggerate any situation or create alarm to benefit any spurious interests. If found guilty, member states agree to permanently cease all association with and funding of the WHO. 4. WHO must accept full legal liability for injuries and damages from the use of the guidelines. 5.The centralisation of national data must be prevented at all costs. Access by government bureaucracies, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma and other conflicted organisations poses unacceptable national security threats as well as the threat of personal oppression. 6. Inquisitorial denial, demonisation and deplatforming of experts dissenting from WHO advice must be prohibited. Science evolves through ongoing open and free discussion of all relevant and evolving data. WHO must disclose al it's data and sources of advice. WHO must accept ongoing open, free and independent analysis of all it's recommendations, to protect individual rights from large conflicted organisations. 7. No binding treaty can can confer upon WHO any right to overrule the national constitution, sovereign legislation and written definitions. 8. WHO must immediately and fully transparently declare all annual funders and stakeholders and allow independent oversight with the ability to remove all conflicts of interest.
Private Medical Practitioner	1. All health and emergency decisions must be made in Australia by Australians. All emergency rules and recommendations must conform to the requirements of the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO must promote and make the Siracusa Principles available with all guidance documents. 3. WHO must not exaggerate any situation or create alarm to benefit any spurious interests. If found guilty, member states agree to permanently cease all association with and funding of the WHO. 4. WHO must accept full legal liability for injuries and damages from the use of the guidelines. 5.The centralisation of national data must be prevented at all costs. Access by government bureaucracies, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma and other conflicted organisations poses unacceptable national security threats as well as the threat of personal oppression. 6. Inquisitorial denial, demonisation and deplatforming of experts dissenting from WHO advice must be prohibited. Science evolves through ongoing open and free discussion of all relevant and evolving data. WHO must disclose al it's data and sources of advice. WHO must accept ongoing open, free and independent analysis of all it's recommendations, to protect individual rights from large conflicted organisations. 7. No binding treaty can can confer upon WHO any right to overrule the national constitution, sovereign legislation and written definitions. 8. WHO must immediately and fully transparently declare all annual funders and stakeholders and allow independent oversight with the ability to remove all conflicts of interest.
Private Citizen.	1. All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and be decided by Australians. 2. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests. If found guilty, the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO. 4. Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arise from the use of the guidelines. 5. To prevent oppression and imbalance of power, the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media, poses an international security threat, and must be prevented at all costs. 6. Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public, to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7.No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interests.
Sovereign individual	1. All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3.WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4. Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 5.To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6.Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7.No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8.The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
private	1. All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4. Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 5. To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6. Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest

Organization	Written submission
Little Aussie Farm	1. All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4. Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 5. To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6. Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Voices against tyranny	1. All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4. Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 5. To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6. Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
individual	1. All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4. Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 5. To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6. Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8.The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Self	1. All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia, and be decided by Australians, and all recommendations and limitations to rights In an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles 2 . WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents 3 WHO will not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4 Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arise from the use of the guidelines 5 To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6 Scientific process takes time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity and opinion regarding WHOs 'evolving science' is expressly prohibited. 7 No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nation's constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation.
NA	1. all individual retain their rights, has the freedom of medical choices, which including natural immunity and natural therapy, without subject to coercion nor depriving of any rights 2. individual, national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decision based on what is best for them, without violating individual rights 3. the ability for individual, nations and local municipal to opt out of any or all agreement as they deemed fit, without any consequences 4. open and transparent process with the option for the people to vote on the measures 5. measures that do not allow for any influences by any companies nor individual that acting out of personal gain or depriving other individual
WEF	1. All individuals retain full autonomy over their bodies and are born with and maintain the natural right to decide what is best for themselves and their children and their family. 2. Every individual is responsible for their risk assessment and risk tolerance. Those who fear catastrophic illness or death will take any and all measures to remove themselves from their perceived risks and not impose risk mitigation onto any other individual. 3. Governments shall not subsidize or incentivize statistical noise. It shall not subsidize or pay for vaccinations. Individuals who want vaccination and cannot afford it can accept charity from the pharmaceutical companies or foundations like the Gates Foundation and others who have ample funds to provide vaccines for those who volunteer to take them.
Self	1. All individuals retain full autonomy over their bodies and are born with and maintain the natural right to decide what is best for themselves and their children and their family. 2. Every individual is responsible for their risk assessment and risk tolerance. Those who fear catastrophic illness or death will take any and all measures to remove themselves from their perceived risks and not impose risk mitigation onto any other individual. 3. Governments shall not subsidize or incentivize statistical noise. It shall not subsidize or pay for vaccinations. Individuals who want vaccination and cannot afford it can accept charity from the pharmaceutical companies or foundations like the Gates Foundation and others who have ample funds to provide vaccines for those who volunteer to take them.
None	1. All nations must retain ultimate sovereignty and the right of independent action. 2. An independent organisation to be created to monitor for outbreaks of infections of concern, funded by signatory countries, not Pharma or WHO. 3. Said organisation to be capable of running independent trials on new novel medical interventions before general use. 4. The members of the controlling board of this org to be limited to a two year max term of office.
Private Citizen	1. All Persons retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for themselves and their families. 2. The ability of persons, nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
An Individual	1. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall retain full autonomy from the WHO, any WHO designated entity, or any other sovereign nation. 2. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, and adopt, any portion of the agreement as they see fit. 5. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide fail-safe measures that shall prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide measures that prohibit any influence in the process by any and all corporate entities of any kind, global health profiteers, and especially pharmaceutical companies.
self	1. All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 3. The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states should agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO, in the public interest 4. The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of the guidance 5. The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest 6. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights by UN's Trusted News Initiative. 7. In order to prevent oppression and imbalance of power, the centralization of national data, AI with Big Tech and media poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. 8. Procedures for meaningful participation by all people in the enforcement of human rights enshrined in Siracusa Principles shall be made readily available in all future WHO pandemic guidance.
N/	1. All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2. Procedures for enforcing the human rights enshrined in Siracusa Principles shall be readily available and promoted in each country and by the WHO with all guidance documents. 3. The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4. The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arises from the use of the guidance 5. In order to prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralization of national data, AI with Big Tech and media poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. 6. Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited and free and open discourse shall be encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8.The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest.
None	1. Allow nations and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Genevieve Lawrence	1. An international "instrument" on pandemic preparedness must embrace the individual's free will to choose a medical treatment or to refuse one. 2. An individual must be able to make their own choices about what treatments they take. 3. This is a unalienable right as a human being. 4. Mrna vaccines have caused immeasurable suffering and have not prevented disease, rather the opposite. No more of that please. 5. There should be no conflict of interest at all 6. An international "instrument" on pandemic preparedness must provide for natural immune-boosting options which have so far been suppressed by the WHO 7. An international "instrument" on pandemic preparedness is unnecessary and harmful as it forces people to receive injections of any kind. This must not happen 8. An international "instrument" on pandemic preparedness opens the door to more abuse of power. We the people don't need it 9. We have natural law and democratic processes in our countries. This is enough. Enough now. Stop the treaty.
Private	not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. Under no circumstances may a country's constitution be suspended and authority granted to non-elected bodies or individuals. 3. The relationship between a patient and his/her doctor is sacrosanct. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. The principles embodied in the Neuremburg Code must be respected. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. No individual, organisation or government should be allowed to exert influence over the WHO. In particular, rich individuals like Bill Gates should not be in a position to influence the WHO. No scientist should be silenced in order to promote a certain agenda. Pharmaceutical corporates should have no influence in the WHO. 12. The WHO should not serve the interests of any other organisation (such as the
Private citizen	1. Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness & response must rightly act in a purely advisory capacity. 2. Local leadership, at national, state & council levels, must retain the right to make final health-related decisions, based on what they consider best for their own people. 3. Ability to 'opt out', should that be the will of the people, must be guaranteed and guaranteed without punitive consequences. 4. Advice from any such proposed international instrument, must not be influenced by lobbying and pressure from pharmaceutical companies (i.e. for monetary profit), but by objective, reproducible scientific method.
Self	1. Any recommendation made under this Treaty shall be considered the practice of medicine, and any person recommending or implementing such a recommendation shall carry adequate liability insurance, post a bond, and be subject to liability to any person adversely affected thereby. 2. This Treaty shall be subordinate to each nation's own laws. 3. All recommendations shall require informed consent from each person affected. 4. No person shall be subject to any penalty or sanction for withholding their informed consent. 5. All recommendations shall include religious exemptions and protections for minority cultures.
Michael Medicine Trust	1. Anything that impacts the entire globe is subject to abuse by those who seek absolute power or degrees of power. This acknowledgment should be clearly stated to bring caution to any and all aspects of global agreement. 2. Because of the inherently unclean influences of global decisions, they must in general be avoided at all costs, and always defer to the decisions of countries, and within countries, to the decisions of individuals. 3. As evidence of number 1), today we cannot believe published peer-reviewed science. Science alone cannot be referred to as the basis of a decision, and any science presented from establishment sources must be heard vis a vis citizen scientists analyzing the establishment view of science, and the minority voices of scientists as well. 4. Checks and balances on every step of fact-finding and decision-making should be included with opposing views sought and heard, and public comment allowed. 5. Transparency is required regarding interconnections of individuals and organizations, and the flow of money. These should be visible to all. Thank you.
General public	1. At no point and under no circumstances should people be obliged to take medical substances or be subject to medical procedures of any kind without their consent. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
united States resident	1. At no should an international organization have any authority over a united States resident. 2. Local leadership retains autonomy and juridiction for the residents they are to serve. 3. Each and every governing body can opt-out of any suggestions at any time without consequence. 4. Residents of each locality have a voice and a vote on all implementation. 5. Any and all companies that would benefit from the implementation of any rules are not able to influence the outcome. 6. The last 2+ years have shown us that those in authority do not have the best interest of the persons nor have we been given the truth.
The Free People	1. Australia Federal Government and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Altus Biologics	1. Autonomy of individuals and nations to accept or reject all or portions of directives from WHO must be maintained. 2. WHO must focus on providing foundations of health, clean water, nutrition, sanitation, shelter over the application of pharmaceutical interventions. 3. Vulnerable populations must be protected from experimental or trial medications.
Private Person	1. Basically the WHO must represent the interests of the citizens independently and sovereignly. Nobody! with possible self-interests or conflicts of interest may influence decisions of the WHO or part of the WHO. Donations must also be excluded in order to guarantee their independence. The WHO is losing credibility. 2. Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty 3. Respect for human rights, observance of the following ethical principles: Do good, do no harm, treat everyone equally 4. Let everyone decide for themselves, everyone is autonomous 5. Observance of long-recognized basic medical knowledge (pandemic definition only in the case of a serious disease / disease that is FATAL FOR MANY PEOPLE, naturally acquired immunity is more effective than vaccine immunity 6. No CENTRAL DIGITAL CONTROL SYSTEMS (no "vaccination cards") 7. Legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies Thank you! Kind regards, Fadeh Thommel
N/A	1. Bodily Autonomy must be respected by the WHO regardless of the severity of the pandemic. 2. All nations and municipalities must retain full autonomy as well, meaning there must be no power given to the WHO to violate constitutional human rights protections, and no power to force compliance. As in any clinical trial, all participants must have the ethical option to "opt-out" at ANY time they wish. 3. Individual human rights were violated during the Sars-CoV-2 pandemic, and this mustn't happen again. Experimental drugs must never be mandated.
Independent	1. Call for a pandemic have to be based on evidence that a minimum of 20% of a population is affected. 2. This should only be activated if an isolation of the virus which causes the pandemic has been done and registered, so that anyone can consult those data. 3. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Uprigle	1. Centralized decision making did not benefit the community over the last two years...so national and local leadership must have right to retain full autonomy, and reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. Clear rules to forbid any undemocratic measures, such as restrictions on movement, mandatory medical treatments etc. 2. Clear definitions of acceptable rhetoric used by governments in regards to population groups. If a public figure uses a hateful speech the he must be held accountable. 3. Only recommendations can be given for the public. 4. Emergency laws can be used for no more than a week. 5. Polls of public opinion should be conducted regularly and action must be taken in accordance.
Tulare United	1. Complete transparency: all information is shared with governmental authorities and the public. 2. Individual people are allowed to decide for themselves and their families how to respond to said pandemic. 3. No central global agency should be allowed control over sovereign nations or peoples.
none	1. Counties should keep full autonomy and make decision that THEY know best for own people. 2. Counties and local authorities should be able to opt out of any portions they choose. 3. Transparent adn open processs with all people being able to vote on measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HPCSA	1. Countries should be able to individualise measures needed for their specific population. 2. Autonomy of national and regional leadership should be protected, and reserving the right to make the best decisions for their people. 3 if WHO plan is used as a guideline countries should have the ability to opt out without consequence. 4 Measures should not be imposed on people against their will. 5. Any proposed measure should be based on medical reasons and not be influenced in any way by pharmaceutical companies or global health Profiteers. 6. Ethical principles should be followed at all times.
General Public ... no organization	1. COUNTRIES SHOULD RETAIN FULL AUTONOMY, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to OPT OUT of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Awaken India Movement	1. Declaring the outbreak of a contagious disease as pandemic should be done with utmost care and after proper scientific research with solid evidence. It should not be a guess works of few people. 2. Considering the growth of science, it should be able to keep life on earth as it is under any circumstances. At one hand, we boast in our technological advancements, but on the other, we ask people to run away from virus and stay under lockdowns. Such measures show the helplessness of modern medical science. Come what may, people should be able to lead a normal life throughout any pandemic. But if modern medical science and healthcare systems can't ensure that and are totally helpless, better they don't enact any rule to help people. 3. In India, physicians practising Ayurveda, Homoeopathy, Naturopathy and other traditional treatments were confident to treat covid patients and they had proved it prior to the arrival of vaccines. Since WHO don't recognize such effective and harmless treatments, governments resort only to modern medicines whose EU vaccines worsened the scenario worldover. Hence, it is requested to change your perspective from 'only modern medicines' to 'all effective and safe' treatment modes. WHO, being a health organizaion should prioritize 'Helath' over 'Medicines and Vaccines'. Samuel George
Personal	1. Declaring the outbreak of a contagious disease as pandemic should be done with utmost care and after proper scientific research with solid evidence. It should not be a guess works of few people. 2. Considering the growth of science, it should be able to keep life on earth as it is under any circumstances. At one hand, we boast in our technological advancements, but on the other, we ask people to run away from virus and stay under lockdowns. Such measures show the helplessness of modern medical science. Come what may, people should be able to lead a normal life throughout any pandemic. But if modern medical science and healthcare systems can't ensure that and are totally helpless, better they don't enact any rule to help people. 3. In India, physicians practising Ayurveda, Homoeopathy, Naturopathy and other traditional treatments were confident to treat covid patients and they had proved it prior to the arrival of vaccines. Since WHO don't recognize such effective and harmless treatments, governments resort only to modern medicines whose EU vaccines worsened the scenario worldover. Hence, it is requested to change your perspective from 'only modern medicines' to 'all effective and safe' treatment modes. WHO, being a health organizaion should prioritize 'Helath' over 'Medicines and Vaccines'.
Covid Call To Humanity	1. Definition of "pandemic", and precise description of any such outbreak, must be made clear for all to understand. 2. Individuals and local regions have primary decision-making power as to preparedness and response to any such "pandemic". 3. Individuals are primarily responsible for their own health, preparedness and response to any disease outbreak. 4. Top-down diktat from such organisations as WHO or the UN may be taken into account by national, regional and local governments, as well as by individuals. However, final decisions as to preparations and response rests with local governments and individuals. 5. Full and transparent information should be provided by any government or organisation claiming there's a "pandemic", health threat or any such danger. 6. Any preparedness or response measures that may be recommended by governments or organisations concerning health threats should be fully backed by supporting information, data and reasons. Individuals and local communities must be fully informed before they may consent to participating in any preparedness or response measures, which they are free to opt out of. 7. Pharmaceutical companies and medical organisations may provide their assessments and possible remedies for any health dangers, but they are not to dictate what individuals or local communities must do. Final consent to any medical preparedness or intervention rests with the individual, as per the Nuremberg Code.
Member of public	1. Democracy - we should be able to vote for the people making the decision. At present we don't vote for people at the World Health Organisation. 2. People making the decisions should definitely not profit from what happens. For example pharmaceutical companies should not have any say, this would be a major conflict of interest. 3. Transparency is an absolute must for the public to trust you. A good start would have been to make this open letter known to all through mainstream media. 4. Independent scientific research should be used at all times! Scientists funded by the WHO or anyone funding the WHO is unacceptable.
Bürger der Bundesrepublik Deutschland	1. Die Menschenrechte sind unveräußerlich. Regierungen dürfen die Menschenrechte nicht aufgrund von "Notfällen" aussetzen. 2. Die Rechte von Nationen, Staaten, Provinzen, Gruppen und Organisationen sind den unveräußerlichen Menschenrechten untergeordnet. 3. Das Recht auf einen Versuch ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. Bürokraten haben nicht das Recht, jemandem potenziell lebensrettende Medikamente zu verweigern. 4. Die körperliche Autonomie ist unantastbar und muss IMMER respektiert werden. Das Recht, eine Behandlung abzulehnen, ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. 5. Der Impfstatus darf nicht dazu verwendet werden, das unveräußerliche Recht der Menschen auf Reisen, Arbeit, Bildung oder Zugang zu öffentlichen Räumen oder Unternehmen einzuschränken. 6. Abriegelungen und/oder Quarantänen von gesunden Menschen sind falsch. 7. Wir fordern vollständige Transparenz. Alle entpersonalisierten Daten aus allen klinischen Studien sollten sofort und in Echtzeit für jeden zugänglich sein. 8. Jeder hat das Recht auf eine eigene Meinung. Alle Menschen haben das unveräußerliche Recht, ihre Meinung in der Öffentlichkeit zu äußern 9. Alle Hersteller, Vertreiber und Verwalter von Gesundheitsprodukten und/oder -verfahren müssen für alle Schäden, die durch ihre Produkte und Dienstleistungen verursacht werden, haftbar gemacht werden. 10. Das Volk muss das Recht haben, über die Beendigung des Ausnahmezustands abzustimmen.

Organization	Written submission
Privat	1. Die Menschenrechte und der Nürnberger Kodex sind unumstößlich, sie stehen über allem 2. Die Souveränität der einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten besteht in jedem Fall Die WHO wird schon lange nicht mehr von den Mitgliedsstaaten getragen, sondern privat finanziert. Sie vertritt nicht die Interessen der Bürger dieser Staaten, sondern ausschließlich die ihrer Geldgeber. Als eine zu drei Vierteln private Organisation ist sie keine übergeordnete Behörde und hat somit keine Berechtigung, Eingriffe in Leben und Gesundheit der Bürger vorzunehmen.
Member of public	1. Doctors and nursed should have the right to make their own decisions regarding best and most helpful treatment for their patients. They should not be dictated to by bureaucrats. Advice should be sought from Doctors and nurses actually treating people. They should be listened to and taken seriously. 2. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Local people should be consulted and included in decision making. Great wealth, or success should not be seen as a reason to be taken more seriously than others. Anyone who inputs significant funds into organisations should have no right to influence or comment. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Those who could profit from pandemic measures or increase reach of personal power and authority should not have a role in decision making nor be allowed to wield influence.
US Citizen	1. Duly ELECTED National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, according to the will of the majority. 2. Retain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be taken to not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Win Win Parenting	1. Each country needs to create their own plan for their own unique circumstances - there MUST NOT be ONE plan for the world 2. Human rights and freedoms MUST be maintained 3. Medical freedom and choice - absolutely NO forced medical procedures including vaccinations 4. Freedom of discussion between scientists and medical professionals and others - NO censoring 5. True science ... not science paid for by pharmaceutical companies or organisations that have financial interests 6. No long-term stringent lockdowns and masks - they don't work and harm individuals, communities and economies 7. Open contracts not redacted
Member of the public	1. Each country should have their own way of handling future pandemics. This ensures the best for their people. 2. The voting process should be open to everyone. It's not fair for a government I power now to vote when it could change the next day and this has consequences for the people in that country. 3. No big pharma or other medical firms are to have a say. Thus stopping medical firms to influence the decisions of others. 4. The option for governments or local governments to opt out of either portions of the agreement or all of it without being penalised. 5. The health of the world should be the priority. Not money in bank accounts.
People of South Africa	1. Each country, each state and each municipality within the country should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each human being, however, has the ULTIMATE RIGHT to retain full autonomy and has the RIGHT to make their own health decisions. 2. An open and transparent process that all people of the world can vote on. There should be NO global agreement imposed on places where the majority of the people do not want it. The International Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness and Response will serve as a GUIDELINE ONLY and it will be up to the individual countries, states, municipalities, people of the country to adopt their own health measures. 3. No Pharmaceutical companies or other 'vested interest' parties should influence any part of the health decision making of the country. 4. Countries, states, local municipalities, people can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Sovereign US Citizen	1. Each National and local leadership should retain FULL autonomy AND reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own population, (example: United States) 2. There should be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of ANY as well as ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. (I mean the US is it's own sovereign country) 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-Employed	1. Elected officials should never, ever be required to surrender sovereignty to unelected officials such as the WHO. The WHO can only advise and recommend, never direct. 2. Local governments must be able to retain sovereignty and autonomy without consequences so they may act in a manner that is best for their communities. 3. Local governments must be able to opt out of any or all of the WHO's advice. Even in a global pandemic, "one size fits all" measures—that work for all peoples in every location—are highly improbable and unlikely. 4. All processes and advice must be open and transparent, and a wide variety of scientific and medical opinion must be considered before making global recommendations. 5. The advice and recommendations from the WHO must be without bias or undue influence from any and all industry, corporations, and financial donors to the WHO, including but not limited to the pharmaceutical industry, the medical device industry, and the the medical insurance industry. 6. Physicians and medical professionals must be free to practice medicine according to the Hippocratic oath, and be free to treat their patients in a manner that they think is best for their patients.
General Public	1. Emergencies are not sufficient reason to override human rights, the unintended negative outcomes are typically worse than the emergency 2. Transnational organisations must not be able to dictate the health policies of individual nation states and individual states must maintain the freedom of individuals to choose what treatments they receive 3. Transnational organisations and individual states must not deny anyone access to existing medications in favour of novel therapies 4. Bodily autonomy must not be overruled by governments. The right of individuals to refuse treatment must be respected 5. Vaccine status must not be used to restrict people's right to travel, work or gain access to public spaces or businesses 6. Lockdowns & quarantines of healthy people are wrong & counterproductive 7. We demand complete transparency for anonymised data from clinical studies which should be immediately available to everyone 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion and to be able to speak their mind in public 9. No manufacturer or provider of health care products or services should have legal immunity from claims for damages caused by their products or services 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency
Home	1. Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. Ensure that national and local leadership retains full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Guarantee the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Provide an open and transparent process that enables all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Include measures that explicitly preclude influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	1. Every country government should decide what is good for the citizens of that country 2 The country should have referendum and the citizens will vote what is good for them
private person	1. Every human being has the sole authority about his/her bodily autonomy and about the choice of medications, vaccinations and medical treatments (etc) the person allows in their body, even during pandemics. 2. NOBODY, NO government of a country has any authority about coercing 'medications', or vaccinations or gene-treatments upon any individual human being, even during pandemics. 3. Parents have the sole responsibility to decide about their children, schooling, medical care, medical treatments even during pandemics. 4. special regulations how people's delegations can control that the treaty is held according to the people's wishes. 5. when pandemic measures are being proposed they SHOULD BE DEMONSTRABLY SCIENTIFICALLY BE PROVEN TO BE TRUE AND NECESSARY, AND DOING WHAT THEY MEAN TO DO, AND PROVE THERE IS A PANDEMIC WITH EXCESS DEATH. 6.THE NEURENBERGER CODE AND THE HELSINKI TREATY, THE GENEVA CONVENTION and others MUST BE HONOURED EXPLICITLY.
Personal	1. Every human on this earth has personal sovereignty over their own body, soul and mind. 2. Corporations and entities such as WHO and WEF are limited by the authority of given by duly elected governments 3.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
McBride Memorial Library	1. Every individual retains full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own health, inclusive of mental health. 2. The ability of every individual to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
independent citizen	1. Every national and local government should be able to retain full independence, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Every nation and local municipality should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The people of any nation or local municipality should be able to read/hear the details of such an agreement as this should be an open and transparent process. The people of the world should have the ability to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be no influence peddling by ANY pharmaceutical company or global health group and by no means should these individuals/organization profit from such measures.
Private American citizen	1. First and foremost, National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The clear ability of nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A fully documented open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Strict rules that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	1. First and foremost, National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The clear ability of nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A fully documented open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Strict rules that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. May God bless it! May God bless it! Zechariah 4 7 My child, LISTEN to what I Say, and TREASURE my Commands. TUNE your ears to Wisdom, and CONCENTRATE on Understanding. CRY OUT for Insight, and ASK for Understanding. SEARCH for them as you would for Silver; SEEK them like hidden Treasures. THEN you will Understand what it means to fear the Lord, and you will GAIN KNOWLEDGE of GOD. Proverbs 2:1-5 ◆ Show Me Your Ways, O LORD; Teach Me Your Paths. Lead Me in Your Truth, and Teach Me. Psalm 25:4-5
Self	1. First and foremost, National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The clear ability of nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A fully documented open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Strict rules that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USDOT	1. First and foremost, National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The clear ability of nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A fully documented open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Strict rules that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private American citizen	1. First and foremost, National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The clear ability of nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A fully documented open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Strict rules that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. First and foremost, National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The clear ability of nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A fully documented open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Strict rules that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private American citizen	1. First and foremost, National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The clear ability of nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A fully documented open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Strict rules that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Under organization write, private American citizen
Private individual	1. First, do no harm 2. National sovereignty and right-of-law must be preserved 3. Individual rights and freedoms must be respected and preserved 4. Individual safety must not be compromised 5. Scientific and medical due process must be observed 6. Democratic process must be observed 7. No outcome can result in discrimination regardless of individual vaccination status
	1. Freiwilligkeit muss gegeben sein 2. Alle Nebenwirkungen müssen kommuniziert werden 3. er Hersteller muss für Folgeschäden haften. Mfgheuer
None	1. Full autonomy and decision making to remain with Local and National leaderships of Sovereign Nations. 2. Nations to be able to opt out of part or the whole of the agreement without consequences, if they do not agree with the proposed policy. 3. Implementation of an open/transparent voting system including measures to prevent global agreement where the majority of the people decline the proposed measures. 4. The removal of any influence by Big Pharma and other Global Health profiteers. 5. The right of an individual to body autonomy to remain sacrosanct.
U.S. Citizen	1. Full autonomy for national and local leadership, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt in or out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A minimum of 90 days warning on planned changes. If rules are promulgated without warning, they shall be automatically suspended or replaced after 90 days. 4. Strong safeguards against manipulation of policies by entities that have a direct financial interest in chosen policies. In particular companies in the medical and pharmaceutical industry must fully disclose to the public any communications to the WHO that might serve to influence policies.
NA	1. Full autonomy is retained by national and local leadership maintaining their decision-making authority based on their determination of how best to serve their citizens. 2. The right of nations and local governing bodies to opt out of any/all portions of the agreement should they deem it appropriate. 3. The process must be open and transparent, one that all Earth's citizens can vote on, including failsafe measures that would stop the agreement's application in regions where a majority of citizens do not want it. 4. Measures that prohibit influence in the process by any/all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Avenues for individuals to receive redress and or compensation for damage done to their health from following the policies that would be enacted. There needs to be a process of accountability.
private	1. Full Federalism, since only a local decision-making level can solve local problems that affect local people. 2. Free personal freedom of choice regarding one's own health, treatment methods and medicine. 3. Full transparency and accountability of all official decisions, especially with regard to the increasing corruption all over the world and the too close connection between institutions and industry, financial institutions and business. 4. Eliminate any form of lobbying!!!
Individual	1. Full personal individual bodily autonomy remains in place with no forced medical treatments or interventions and no penalties or disqualification to live a free and full life. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profit seeking entities.
Organisation for the Prevention of Intense Suffering (OPIS)	1. Full transparency regarding decision-making processes, including the key sources used as evidence 2. An explicit estimate of both the number of lives saved and the amount of intense suffering (e.g. Years Lived with Severe Suffering) averted, that can be expected under different policy options - both due to the disease and as a result of the measures taken 3. Updating of guidance as soon as new evidence appears that justifies it 4. Respect of individuals' human right to bodily autonomy and to be able to refuse treatment 5. An emphasis on information with references, rather than coercion, in seeking compliance with recommended measures 6. Ready access in all countries to morphine or other opioids for the relief of moderate-to-severe pain
privat	1. Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates UND des Einzelnen! 2. Die Achtung ALLER Menschenrechte! 3. Die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen!
God's child	1. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. God gave us inalienable rights that government can't take away. 3. Bureaucrats should not not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. My body, my choice. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable rights period. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are morally wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Freedom of speech is an inalienable right. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or medical procedures, including politicians and non profits supported by such entities, must be held personally liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thomas Jefferson said, "there is no justification for taking away individuals' freedom in the guise of public safety."
N/A	1. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups, and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real-time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	1. Governments should not suspend human rights of the individual for any reason whatsoever, even in an emergency. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Adam Rhau GmbH.	1. Grundsätzlich keine Impfpflicht 2. Keine sinnlosen freiheitsbeschränkenden Maßnahmen; bei Maßnahmen sind immer auch die Kollateralschäden zu berücksichtigen 3. Offenlegung der Rolle und der Cofinanzierung der Pharmaindustrie 4. Keine verpflichtende Direktiven an die Staaten, sondern Empfehlungen
not applicable	1. Health care is not a one-size-fits-all. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The making of health care decisions must be open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We must put in place measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. Health care is not a one-size-fits-all. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The making of health care decisions must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We must put in place measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. Health care is not a one-size-fits-all. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The making of health care decisions must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We must put in place measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Blue cross blue shield	1. Health is not one size fits all. 2. There is a substantial amount of risk to all vaccine's. 3. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. Health needs freedom and democracy 2. Pillars of natural law 3. Individual medical care as well as self-determination and personal freedom. 4. Individual health policy, adapted to the respective countries, regions and their population 5. Preservation of individual state sovereignty 6. Consideration of regional and individual needs; after all, different living conditions and environmental conditions also require different measures 7. Perceive the person as a whole, with all aspects that contribute to his or her well-being: the physical, the mental, and the social. 8. People have a right to participate in all arrangements that affect their lives, livelihoods and well-being
Independent	1. Home rule - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Opt out - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Voting - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Keep pharma out - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the UK	1. Human rights are inalienable and may not be suspended in "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Bureaucrats may not deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. Complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. All human beings have the inalienable right to their opinion and to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable and MUST NOT be suspended anymore in case of futures emergencies. 2. Digital ID's will not be introduced because they don't have any benefit for the people but only control purposes. Digital vaccine passports will be abolished. Health data is strictly personal and may not be saved on central servers. 3. Health care is part of national or even regional sovereignty. 4. National and regional laws MUST respect the inalienable human rights. 5. Vaccines and other medical treatments must NEVER be mandatory. THE CODE OF NUREMBERG MUST ALWAYS BE RESPECTED. People MUST NOT be pressure to accept medical treatments. 6. Vaccine passports must not be used to restrict the inalienable right of the people to travel, work, take part in social life, enter public spaces, education etc. 7. Lockdowns did much more harm than benefit. They distroyed the livelihood of countless people and are not in accordance with human rights and freedoms. They will never be accepted again. 8. Masks cause physical and psychological damages to people, especially children. They don't prevent transmission. Mask mandates will never be accepted again. 9. As every other branch, manufacturers of vaccines and other medical products are liable for potential damages caused by their products. 10. Health care must be oriented to common good and not profit maximization of few. 11. The treaty has the aim to strenghen national and local sovereignty and not to destroy democracy.
Subject of Great Britain and the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable and supreme; they cannot be suspended by any government due to perceived or real "emergencies". Human rights superced those of organisations, states and nations. 2. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must be respected ALWAYS. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Similarly, bureaucrats do not have the right to deny anyone from accessing potentially life-saving medication. 3. Everyone has the right to their own ideas and opinions and have the inalienable right to express them freely and without censure. 4. An individual's right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses is inalienable and cannot be restricted by vaccine or treatment status. Quaranting or locking down healthy people is wrong and contradicts these inalienable rights. 5. There must be complete transparency. Real-time anonymised data from all clinical studies should be made publicly available. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of healthcare products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 6. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UAB Saugos draugas	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments cannot suspend human rights because of a "state of emergency". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subordinate to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to a trial is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny anyone the use of potentially life-saving medicines. 4. Bodily autonomy is inviolable and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status must not be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, to work, to pursue education or to have access to public spaces or businesses. 6. It is unjust to close and/or quarantine healthy people. 7. We demand full transparency. All de-personalised data from all clinical trials must be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All people have the inalienable right to express their views publicly. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of healthcare products/procedures must be responsible for any and all harm caused by their products and services. 10. The people must have the right to vote on ending the state of emergency. Translated with www.DeepL.com/Translator (free version)
privat	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not abrogate human rights on the basis of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subordinate to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to trial is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny someone potentially life-saving medication. 4. Bodily autonomy is inviolable and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccination status must not be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, education or access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand full transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical trials should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All people have the inalienable right to express their opinions in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health products and/or procedures must be held liable for any harm caused by their products and services. 10. The people must have the right to vote on ending the state of emergency.
Sovereign Human	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend any human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment and any/all pharmaceuticals is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status and mask recommendations cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies and governmental tracking databases should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public and on social media without censure or retribution. 9. Pharmaceutical companies must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services (no indemnity from liability for pharmaceutical products, including vaccines/biologics.) 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. WHO recommended responses are not binding, and individual nations are not required to follow any of the recommendations.
personal	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of 'emergencies.' 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Our countries constitution must not be overridden. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Private	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of 'emergencies.' 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny any potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Self	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies"! 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights! 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone.! 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right.! 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses.! 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong.! 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public.! 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.! 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.!
NSF	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Skye Holistics	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
self represented	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
US Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Once freedoms are stolen they ae never returned. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. The right to refuse treatment is part of the Nuremberg Code. In the US it is also part of the Federal Law of Informed Consent. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Covid vaccines are nor vaccines but Gene Therapy ans they have failed. 6. Lock downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. They have had devastating affects on young people and specifically small children who have lost IQ points. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Our tax dollars in the US pays for al research by the government therefore we are entitled to transparency-not secrecy. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. Freedom of Speech is in the US Constitution. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Dr Joan Hangarter	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. DI
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
AAA+	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, groups, and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse any treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try alternatives is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Surveillance by any tracking and tracing chips, cell phone QRC & similar methods must be banned everywhere on Earth; vaccine and any health, thought, association, or voting status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are unconstitutional, and HAVE NOT worked. 7. We demand complete, depersonalized data from all clinical studies to be made immediately transparent and available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures, and all media, advertising and messaging entities must be held liable for any and all damages caused by administering, advertising, promoting or selling their products and services. 10. People must have the right to directly vote to end states of emergency. 12. The "emergency" is over. We demand full opening of our global societies.

Organization	Written submission
Humanity	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Government is here to serve and protect the people. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right...our bodies, our choice! The right of parents to make informed pressure free health decisions for their own children must respected until their children become adults. Informed consent to any protocol provided by a health provider is absolutely imperative. NO external mandate over an individual's right to make personal choices and choices for their children is appropriate! 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. The official definition of "vaccination" cannot be modified in order to push through gene altering therapies. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind freely in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Optic Press	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try alternative health practices is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people do not work and are morally wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Carol C Wittman, Inc	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
do not belong to any organization	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Natural Solutions Foundation	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Human being	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Antiquariaat Morgenster	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
America	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Myself and my family	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Dr. Robert Saltiel	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
EASY DOES IT personal organizer	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
general public	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
In Harmony Healing Arts	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. I'm one of 10's of thousands of people with the commitment to the above truths, and will stand for them for the rest of my days.
General public - US Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products, vaccines and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
NA	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. Complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
USA	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
general public	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Non-profit	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Myself	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
RRG	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
no organization	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
My Human Rights	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Australian Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.
American citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
US Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
HM	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Student	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Student	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Wife	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Expanded viewz, LLC	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
private citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
PRIVATE CITIZEN	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
International Union For Land Value Taxation and Free Trade	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
general public	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. The WHO may not violate the constitution of any country.
myself	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The People's Treaty	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Human	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The Human Race	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
ms	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The Peoples Treaty	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Please act accordingly.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
College	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Oil and balm Ministry	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Individual	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Universal Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. The WHO is NOT an elected body and therefore has no permission from the people of the world to be dominant in health policies, privacy policies or indeed ANY policy purporting to GOVERN free people around the world.
euthanasia prevention	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
na	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. You do not have the right to dictate to the whole world. PERIOD!!!
CIAAG.net	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
We the People	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Katherine Waller	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lamar, Inc.	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Free People Movement	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses.
Innerbalance	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Open Truth	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. Complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private contractor	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
represent myself	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
PCRW	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
public	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
no affiliation	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Health Freedom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
NM Unmasked	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Real Madrid FC	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. These are the elements that I believe MUST be included in a new pandemic preparedness and response instrument. Sincerely, Dr. Michele M Stockton
Self	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Sol System, Terra Three	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Pharmacutical free human	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Free thinkers	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.
none	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
MoonGlow Data Forensics	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
My self	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Non	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
private citizen who demands freedom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Nia	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
TAAD	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Human Race	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The People's Treaty	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The People's Treaty	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The People's Treaty	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
world citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
KJ Wellness Solutions	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures
Private citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
R MPro	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
common law	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. I do not consent to harm injury or loss from any experimental vaccines
CCHR	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Federation of States	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
People's Treaty	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. any decent human should now these rights
NA	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Casa Bacchus	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Weston A Price Foundation	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
NJ	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Retired	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. n
Myself and my family	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
THE PEOPLE'S TREATY	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
We the people	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Canada	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
non	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. All people around the world are free living souls and they are not under corporate jurisdiction.
American Woman	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
USA citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
John Cadena	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Retired USAF	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Social Compact	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Quid pro quo is unlawful and coercion. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and unlawful. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time with the protected right to refuse without coercion or discrimination. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People have the right to self govern in participating or not and to end states of emergency.
n/a	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Human Rights	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Advanced Health Center	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
VIV Consulting	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Columbia Valley Freedom Lovers	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Free individual	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
freedom loving individual	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Retired United Church of Canada minister	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
NA	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
person	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
SOM	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
America and Earth	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. We are Sovereign, here to live in full liberty, originality, faith, trust, and justice for all. Truth is the leader in this new season. All else will fall. Full transparency and health, health and life freedoms, and whole food justice for all! Thank you!
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Counseling	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
church of CHRIST Jesus	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 2. [illegible] 10 [illegible]: https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions
American Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lock downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
I represent myself	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
NA	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. Rushed through science does not work for anyone in the long run. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
US	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Illinois Vaccine Awareness Coalition	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Canadian Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Peoples of the Salmon	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. No to this treaty
N/A	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Marina	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
TRUE SELF HELP	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Pruszkowskie Ogonki	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
TRUE SELF HELP	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Not applicable	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Freedom Loving Patriot of the USA	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
TofC	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Dan Fergo Consulting, LLC	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
All of Humanity	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Concerned living sovereign	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. The WHO is Not a governing body and does not have the right to control or influence any country or sovereign beings within those countries.
Ashcroft Designs	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Human Being	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
non-affliated	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
USA	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Human	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Love Force	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
international alliance of healthcare practitioners	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Home	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thank you! Shawn
N/A	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Kyrgyzstan	[redacted]
Lao People's Democratic Republic	[redacted]
Latvia	[redacted]
Lebanon	[redacted]
Lesotho	[redacted]
Liberia	[redacted]
Libya	[redacted]
Lithuania	[redacted]
Luxembourg	[redacted]
Madagascar	[redacted]
Malawi	[redacted]
Malaysia	[redacted]
Maldives	[redacted]
Mali	[redacted]
Malta	[redacted]
Marshall Islands	[redacted]
Mauritania	[redacted]
Mauritius	[redacted]
Mexico	[redacted]
Micronesia (Federated States of)	[redacted]
Monaco	[redacted]
Mongolia	[redacted]
Montenegro	[redacted]
Morocco	[redacted]
Mozambique	[redacted]
Myanmar	[redacted]
Namibia	[redacted]
Nauru	[redacted]
Nepal	[redacted]
Netherlands	[redacted]
New Zealand	[redacted]
Nicaragua	[redacted]
Niger	[redacted]
Nigeria	[redacted]
Norway	[redacted]
Oman	[redacted]
Pakistan	[redacted]
Palau	[redacted]
Panama	[redacted]
Papua New Guinea	[redacted]
Paraguay	[redacted]
Peru	[redacted]
Philippines	[redacted]
Poland	[redacted]
Portugal	[redacted]
Qatar	[redacted]
Republic of Korea	[redacted]
Republic of Moldova	[redacted]
Romania	[redacted]
Russian Federation	[redacted]
Rwanda	[redacted]
Saint Kitts and Nevis	[redacted]
Saint Lucia	[redacted]
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	[redacted]
Samoa	[redacted]
San Marino	[redacted]
Sao Tome and Principe	[redacted]
Saudi Arabia	[redacted]
Senegal	[redacted]
Serbia	[redacted]
Seychelles	[redacted]
Sierra Leone	[redacted]
Singapore	[redacted]
Slovakia	[redacted]
Slovenia	[redacted]
Solomon Islands	[redacted]
Somalia	[redacted]
South Africa	[redacted]
South Sudan	[redacted]
Spain	[redacted]
Sri Lanka	[redacted]
Sudan	[redacted]
Suriname	[redacted]
Sweden	[redacted]
Switzerland	[redacted]
Syrian Arab Republic	[redacted]
Tajikistan	[redacted]
Thailand	[redacted]
Timor-Leste	[redacted]
Togo	[redacted]
Tonga	[redacted]
Trinidad and Tobago	[redacted]
Tunisia	[redacted]
Turkey	[redacted]
Turkmenistan	[redacted]
Tuvalu	[redacted]
Uganda	[redacted]
Ukraine	[redacted]
United Arab Emirates	[redacted]
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	[redacted]
United States of America	[redacted]
Uruguay	[redacted]
Uzbekistan	[redacted]
Vanuatu	[redacted]
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	[redacted]
Viet Nam	[redacted]
Yemen	[redacted]
Zambia	[redacted]
Zimbabwe	[redacted]

Organization	Written submission
	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
CEO of WALUKIEWICZ family	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and do only harm. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Freedom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to undertake any and all efforts to combat illness is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.”2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights.3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone.4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right.5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses.6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public.9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Person	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”.
Citizen of Hungary	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Resident in the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. People have a right to participate in any agreements that affect their lives, livelihoods and well-being.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine/medical intervention status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. Complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. Free and open discourse will be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Bureaucrats do not travel the right to deny potentially live save medications to anyone - Doctors must be given the right to decide on the treatment for their patients . Not Bureaucrats. 4. The Nuremburg Code Must be observed. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and cause more harm. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to choose a self-determined treatment path is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to interfere with self-determined patient medication choices. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end States of Emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
US Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
myself	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. No medical treatments, including prophylactic agents such as vaccines should be authorised before adequate long term safety testing, irrespective of any declared emergency. 11. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Italy	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.”
Citizen of Libya	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Future Vianion Training Ltd	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Resident of Italy	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Self	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
NA	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Human Citizen of Italy	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United States	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Italy	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Privat	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
privat	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
home	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
citizen and mother of UK	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen United States	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
LT pilietė	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
U.K. citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuania	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
LIETUVOS PILIETIS	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Austria	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Austria	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights.
Citizen of Ireland	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lietuva	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
private woman	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Lithuania	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Withheld	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuanian citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
nera	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of UK	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Perspectives Planning	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Resident of Singapore	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Student Nurse	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lietuvos pilietis	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Student	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Lietuvos pilietis	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
US Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Medical doctor	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Rangers	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Cambodia	**Article 31 Protection of personal data** 1. Medical data and other personal information may not be used or made available to third parties without the consent of the data subject. 2. The rights of patients, elderly, pensioners, groups and organizations are subordinated to the public interest rights. 3. The right to to an inalienable right. Be accountable do not have the right to deny personally Revoking mandatory of anyone. 4. Medical secrecy is a component of civil rights NHMPR has requested. The right to refuse medical care is an inalienable right. 5. Medical data cannot be used against patients hospitalized against their will, work permission or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Unnecessary control or surveillance of healthy people is wrong. 7. The demand complete transparency, the disproportionate Electronic or chemical media should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to have non-opinion. All the best beings have the inalienable right to speak their truth in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and medicines must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Canada, AB Region

Organization	Written submission
Concerned member of the public	rights for "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations ("the greater good") cannot override individual human rights.The individual doctor-patient relationship must be protected. 3. The right to try treatment is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccines or other medical status cannot be used to restrict people's fundamental rights to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Informed consent (without pressure, coercion or penalty/restriction being applied) to accept or refuse a treatment (given the full risks and benefits and alternative treatments) is the bedrock of ethical medicine and must never be compromised or denied to any human being with capacity, regardless of the circumstances. 7. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are unethical and must never be used again. 8. We demand complete tranparency for all clinical trials. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to the public, for independent scrutiny and analysis, in real time. 9. Doctors and scientists must have their fundamental human right to free speech protected in all circumstances. 10. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
General Public	rights for "emergencies". 2. The rights of states, provinces, groups and organizations ("the greater good") cannot override individual human rights.The individual doctor-patient relationship must be protected. 3. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. Right to refuse treatment is inalienable. 5. Vaccines or other medical status cannot be used to restrict people's fundamental rights to travel, work, education or gain access to public spaces/businesses. 6. Informed consent (without pressure, coercion or penalty/restriction being applied) to accept or refuse a treatment (given the full risks and benefits and alternative treatments) is the bedrock of ethical medicine and must never be compromised or denied to any human being with capacity, regardless of the circumstances. 7. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are unethical and must never be used again. 8. We demand complete tranparency for all clinical trials. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to the public, for independent scrutiny and analysis, in real time. 9. Doctors and scientists must have their fundamental human right to free speech protected in all circumstances. 10. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
N/A	rights. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations cannot override individual human rights.The individual doctor-patient relationship must be protected. 3. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccines or other medical status cannot be used to restrict people's fundamental rights to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Informed consent (without pressure, coercion or penalty/restriction being applied) to accept or refuse a treatment (given the full risks and benefits and alternative treatments) is the bedrock of ethical medicine and must never be compromised or denied to any human being with capacity, regardless of the circumstances. 7. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are unethical and have never worked so must never be used again. 8. Complete transparency for all clinical trials. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to the public, for independent scrutiny and analysis, in real time. 9. Doctors and scientists must have their fundamental human right to free speech protected in all circumstances. 10. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 11. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
private citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. They cannot be taken away. Governments have no authority to suspend human rights, even during "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are against human rights. 7. We demand complete transparency. All data from clinical studies should be immediately available to all. 8. Everyone has the right to free speech and to express their own opinion. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
NA	1. Human rights are inalienable. They cannot be taken away. Governments have no authority to suspend human rights, even during "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are against human rights. 7. We demand complete transparency. All data from clinical studies should be immediately available to all. 8. Everyone has the right to free speech and to express their own opinion. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Human	1. Human rights are inalienable. They cannot be taken away. Governments have no authority to suspend human rights, even during "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are against human rights. 7. We demand complete transparency. All data from clinical studies should be immediately available to all. 8. Everyone has the right to free speech and to express their own opinion. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Personal	1. Human rights are inalienable. 2. Governments should not suspend human rights because of emergencies. The rights of nations, states, groups etc should not override these basic rights. 3. The right of freedom of choice as an individual, the right to refuse medical treatment, the right of bodily autonomy is also inalienable. 4. Individual choice over their body should not be questioned, or discriminated against. Personal choice having clear and full information including adverse reactions remains with the individual. 5. Vaccine status or medication choice should not be used to restrict a person inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to any public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns or quarantine of healthy people is proven to be ineffective and should not be used. 7. All depersonalised data from clinical trials should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of healthcare products injected, ingested or applied and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damage caused by their products and services. 9. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 10. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public without censorship. 11. Media should have to report sincerely and without bias, they should not be controlled by authorities for their own gain. 12. That funds allocated to the pandemic be first utilised by the healthcare sector for staffing and equipment levels necessary to deliver service to the sick. 13. That all medicines that may be useful in treatment are fairly assessed
General public	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7.We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8.Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their Products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	1. Human rights are non negotiable. Governments may not suspend or hinder human rights because of so called "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is a non negotiable right. Administrators and office-bearers do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is protected and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is a non negotiable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's non negotiable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be made immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. We all have the right to our own opinions. All human beings have a non negotiable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Sovereign of United Kingdom	1. Human rights are unalienable. Governments cannot suspend human rights because of emergencies. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to unalienable human rights. 3. The body has an innate intelligence granted by the Creator, an infinite mystery humans will never succeed in unraveling, to challenge the divine Creator violates natural law and must not be violated. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right. 5. The right to try is an unalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 6. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's unalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 7. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and have unimaginable consequences. 8. We demand complete transparency, All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 9. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the unalienable right to speak their mind in public. 10. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of healthcare products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 11. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 12. All people have the right to choose without fear or favour.
Living woman in the United Kingdom of Great Britain	1. Human rights are unalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to unalienable human rights. 3. The body has an innate intelligence granted by the Creator, an infinite mystery humans will never succeed in unravelling, to challenge the divine creator violates natural law and must not be disrespected. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right. 5. The right to try is an unalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 6. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's unalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 7. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and have unimaginable consequences 8. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 9. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the unalienable right to speak their mind in public. 10. All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 11. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 12. All people have the right to choose without fear or favour.
Uk	1. Human rights inalienable. Government may not suspend human right because of " emergency " 2. The rights of nations, status, provences groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights 3.the right to try is is inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life saving medication to anyone. 4.bodily anatomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5.Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public places or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantine of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency all depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and /or produces must be held liable fir any and all damages caused by their product and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end Status of emergency
n/a	1. Human Rights must be recognised and upheld. All the univerally recognised Human Rights that are globally instituted by the United Nations Human Rights Act must be included and honoured in any global pandemic treaty. This is absolutely non-negotiable. 2. Any treaty that removes power from a country's government is dangerous to the health and welfare of the country's people. One external body - that has not in any way been democratically selected by the people of the world - cannot take over the rights and responsibilities of a country's government. 3. All health decisions are intrinsically linked with local context and conditions and resources. Such health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. No external body - particularly one that is funded and therefore faces numerous serious conflicts of interests in its decisions - must not be given power over the health decisions of countries and citizens.
Venned Sea Indigenous Court or Thing / þing / Ding / þinga	1. Human rights of UN & ECHR are unalienable. Governments can not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups & organizations are subservient to unalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an unalienable right. Bureaucrats or politicians do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right. 5. Vaccine status or any medical status cannot be used to restrict people's unalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are against human rights. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the unalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors & administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products & services. 10. People have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Strömstad Academy	1. Human rights of UN and ECHR are inalienable. Governments can not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats or politicians do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status or any medical status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are against human rights. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1. Human rights shall always prevail. This means the Universal Human Rights, as well as the European Human Rights. 2. The Nürnberg Code needs to be respected in all circumstances 3. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities will be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Corsa Inc	1. I am opposed to any non-elected person making decision about private health matters. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. All nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process in needed with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be in place to prevent influencing by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Qld health	1. I believe and want National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jilka Designs	1. I believe national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Otherwise it renders WHO by this treaty as the unelected global governance for the world. 2. Local municipalities and nations must retain the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process must be open and transparent to the people of the world and the people must be able to vote on the measures, especially if the majority of the people oppose the measure. Otherwise the WHO renders themselves a dictatorship government. 4. Measures agreed upon in the treaty should not fall under the influence of global health partners, pharmaceutical companies, or other NGO organizations that are not under the regulation of the people in local communities or countries. In the wrong hands, these treaties could preemptively take over the normal governance granted to the people. We oppose such a treaty that would take the rights of national and local leadership away.
Individual	1. I believe National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Nor allow for any pharmaceutical company to have any liability waiver but instead full medical and financial obligations for any negative impact on the individual or communities. 5. WHO would.be required to compensate all nations and individuals if their response causes any economic impacts, and/or deny any individual's right to operate autonomously pertaining to their personal health regardless of WHO'S belief in the community impact.
none	1. I believe national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Should provide for the ability of all nations and all local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of this agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. 3. This should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health organizations for profit.
concerned citizen	1. I believe that local leadership needs to maintain full autonomy in order to make the decisions that best serve thier population. 2. Conflicts of interest must not be allowed, such as pharmaceutical companies and others that profit from measures taken. 3. It should be an open and transparent process in which anyone can vote on measures and decide if it is something that should be implimented in their lgeological area. 4. Nations and states should have the ability to opt out of any measure without consequences. Thank you for your time.
self	1. I believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. I believe that all nations and local municipalities should be abler to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Trinity Fellowship	1. I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.This course could threaten the soveriegnity and responsibility of each nation state. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence would seem the most helpful and appropriate toward the goals of health. If this is not permitted, it would seem abusive to many, and even good advice may be rejected. 3. I believe an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures should be respected and supported otherwise, an application of this declaration would prevent global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. I believe that the direction of such a course should make sure that neither any pharmaceutical company or another global health entity that seeks to promote their own profit should be allowed to influence in the process.
Private person	1. I believe that National authority and Local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and I insist that provisions are made for these rights to be implemented and fulfilled! 2. The possibility of nations and local districts and municipal authorities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be integrated into the final version of the recommended instruction. 3. Health of each individual is and should continue to be a matter of personal responsibility and private consideration! 3. I call and insist for an open and honest debate where the opinions, positions and considerations of majority of people (not a small elitist profiteering minority!) should be respected and taken into account. 4 Pseudo concerns for public health should not be used for manipulative orders that turn into pure dictatorship.. I demand that my and everyone's Freedom Of Choice should be guaranteed!
Sovereign man as a citizen of the world and the United Kingdom	1. I do not consent in any way to this treaty or any form of agreement under a public health emergency of international consent (PHEIC) and do not consent that they represent me and oppose all decisions previously made and from now on, all of which require serious revision and the oversight of we the people. 2. Inalienable rights cannot be substituted or superseded by treaties and this one in particular would threaten our very sovereignty, both in terms of the people and nation state. 3. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people as "one size fits all" cannot and will not work. 4. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. Measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. An open and transparent process should be employed with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Phillip's Flowers	1. I do not support the United States in complying with the WHO's proposed pandemic treaty, as I believe each sovereign nation, its health professionals and state and local leaders should have autonomy in recommending the best pandemic response for for their citizens and circumstances. 2. Further, I believe that nations and their local authorities may wish to take into account any proposed regulations by global health authorities, but should be able to opt out of any and all portions of such regulations as they see fit. 3. I support an open and transparent process to allow people to vote their preference for not allowing any global agreement to apply where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. I strongly support the need to maintain transparency of the process in order to avoid the influence by pharmaceutical companies, mega corporations and wealthy foundations or individuals to sway the decisions in a self-interested manner about global health.

Organization	Written submission
Private citizen	1. I firmly believe that all nations and national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This is vital ! 2. All nations should be permitted the option including local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This must be democracy throughout the process. 3. Each and every citizen of this world should have the option to be involved in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.We must be free to choose and not have regulations thrust upon us . 4.There must be no facility that will allow for influence in the process by any one or all pharmaceutical companies or other global health companies The people must choose . We are all individual and our country must be free to choose at all stages on any law which could force regulations upon us against our rights and free choice.
Private citizen	1. I firmly believe that national and local governments should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process must be open and transparent, allowing all people of the world to vote, Any international instrument should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. The measures must absolutely not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. I think that every country/government should take decisions based on what they think is best for their citizens, hereby taking clearly into account what the citizens would want to happen to feel protected (for instance, to not implement unnecessary and harmfull rules without the majority's consent) 2. I therefore think that an international instrument can only be used as a guideline: to be taken as an inspiration and not as the one and only golden standard. 2.a. In this sense my suggestion would be that a proposed international instrument can be left aside at any time, by any government, if that government assumes it not fit for the situation in their country. 2.b.Regarding that, I would find it even more important in this case that besides a government, the citizens of a country also decide whether the international instrument should be implemented or not, or only partly, by way of some sort of democratic votingsystem. 3. Last, but definately not the least (on the contrary even): I would base all guidelines and content of this international instrument only on given expertise from independent fieldexperts who don't have any financiel ties of interest with the pharmaceutical industry (Big Pharma). And I would not only limit this expertise coming from independant researchers and experts in virology, medicine, pandemics and vaccines. Who should be heard as well are general practitioners, psycologists/psyciatrists and social workers: i.o.w people who work with people. The experiences they can share, based on how things were done during the last two years are enormous and can only be so very enriching for the development of an international instrument (I'd make it a guideline, rather than an instrument. See point 2.)
private citizen	1. I think the national and local leadership of our nation (and the same for other nations) should retain full autonomy in health policy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. I think that the US and any nation and any of their local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.There should be an open and transparent deliberation process as well as a process for all people of the world to vote to include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. No pharmaceutical companies or other global health companies who could stand to profit by any decisions should be allowed to have any vote or advisory positions in the process.
Autumn Wind Christian Fellowship INC.	1. I want national and local leadership that retain full autonomy; I want the ability to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own citizens. 2. Also clarity for nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Further, a fully documented open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Finally, strict rules that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. I wish to see that all countries national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in the event of a future health crisis or pandemic. 2. I believe that all nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. I would like to see an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. I would like to see that measures are put in place to not allow pharmaceutical companies and global health organizations to influence the processes and desicions of pandemic measures.
privat	1. Ich bin gegen weltweite Impfpässe/sonstige Registrierungen, da diese den Datenschutz ad absurdum führen und die Gefahr bergen, das Individuum zum reinen Verwaltungsobjekt zu machen und auf jeden Einzelnen restriktiv und erzieherisch bis totalitär einzuwirken. Diese Gefahr sehe ich insbesondere, wenn Regierungen den Boden der Demokratie immer weiter verlassen, weil weltweit agierende kapitale Konzerne ihren Einfluss auf diese nehmen und somit selbst regieren - aus welchen Motiven auch immer. 2. Gain of funktion Forschung gehört verboten, zumindest rigoros überwacht und der Öffentlichkeit mitgeteilt. 3. Unbedingte Ehrlichkeit im Pandemiefall statt Panikmache. 4. Das körperliche Selbstbestimmungsrecht des Einzelnen ist ein Menschenrecht u.darf niemals durch bedingt zugelassene Impfstoffe unterlaufen werden.
Individual	1. If history teaches anything it's that central control always degrades into inefficiency and corruption. Conversely, efficiency and vibrancy is best maintained by varied national and local leadership retaining full autonomy, competing against each other to do what is best for their own people. That right to that local control must be enshrined. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The concepts all very simple and well proven, don't muck it up.
Souverän	1. Impfungen dürfen nur als solche bezeichnet werden, wenn zu 99,6% eine Immunisierung erfolgt. 2. Das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit muss gewahrt bleiben. 3. Vor den freiwilligen Injektionen automatische Messungen von Entzündungswerten, Blutuntersuchungen, D-Dimere zur Feststellung möglicher Injektionsreaktionen 4. Zum Schutze der Umwelt, wegen Klimawandel Verzicht auf zentrale digitale Erfassungen des Injektionsstatus 5. Kein Einfluss privater Geldgeber auf den Vertrag!!! 6. Haftung von Injektionsschäden durch die WHO. 7. Die Definition an den Begriff Pandemie darf nicht durch Testergebnisse ohne Symptome bestimmt werden, sondern muss an echte, klar definierte Erkrankungen durch Symptome gebunden sein. 8. Verbot des Einflusses der Pharmalobby!!!
Private	1. In general, we do not need any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response! The WHO is not a democratically legitimized institution, i.e. all decisions have to be taken at the national level as before. 2. The definitions of what constitutes a "pandemic" must be returned to the pre-Corona, pre-2009 status. 3. In addition, the definition of herd immunity needs to be changed to its previous status to include not only vaccinated but also the contribution of the contamination by the virus. 4. Another important component of pandemic prevention and response must be the recommendation and should be the financing of truly health-enhancing methods of food supplements such as vitamine D, K2, A, E. zinc, melatonin, quer
I am a major and well-informed citizen	1. In general, we do not need any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response! The WHO is not a democratically legitimized institution, i.e. all decisions have to be taken at the national level as before. 2. The definitions of what constitutes a "pandemic" must be returned to the pre-Corona, pre-2009 status. 3. In addition, the definition of herd immunity needs to be changed to its previous status to include not only vaccinated but also the contribution of the contamination by the virus. 4. Another important component of pandemic prevention and response must be the recommendation and should be the financing of truly health-enhancing methods of food supplements such as vitamine D, K2, A, E. zinc, melatonin, quercetin, etc. As well as the administration of approved medicines to be prefered to an prioritized to newly developed experimental treatments or so called vaccinations imposed by BigPharma.
Stillpoint Center for Conscious Healing	1. In order to rid the WHO of corruption, policy makers should have no conflict of interest. 2. Given the track record of the WHO in handling the recent pandemic, I am not in favor of the WHO telling my country what is the best way to handle health issues. 3. Having one body determine the policies of so many nations and people in my opinion would be a bureaucratic nightmare and would be unable/unwilling to take into account non-establishment approaches to disease. 4. As much of the funding for WHO is from individuals and corporations with their own agendas, I am strongly opposed this idea of the WHO determining pandemic policies. 5. If the WHO wants to do something useful in this regard, seek to end and ban all biological weapons research and development. 6. Above all, transparency is paramont. Why not start with that first?
Member of the public	1. In the past a Pandemic involved significant numbers of deaths. That definition has been changed to 'significant numbers of cases', through testing. However, this definition allows for variation in interpretation based on a) numbers tested, b) the test used (PCR has been proven inaccurate), c) interpretation of test results. No instrument can be permitted to utilise such an arbitrary mechanism to define a pandemic event. The definition used must relate to significant numbers of deaths over multiple international borders, where the cause is proven to be a deadly pathogen and not a co-morbidity. 2. During the Covid pandemic doctors discretion in treatment of their patients was overruled. No 'state' or transnational organisation must ever be permitted to overrule a doctor's discretion about the best treatment for each individual patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will inevitably vary from country to country. It is therefore impossible and impractical to design a 'one rule fits all' solution. National governments must retain the right to determine their own response. 4. The WHO is an unelected organisation with strong links to industry and no public accountability. In dealing with public health it is reasonable for WHO to express an opinion about public health interventions, but the preservation of diverse, independent medical and scientific advice is crucial to avoiding inappropriate influence upon policy and to promote critical scientific investigation. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty constitutes a dangerous and unnecessary step towards global centralisation of power vested in the hands an unelected, unaccountable organisation and is a threat to democratic freedoms and values.
Self employed	1. Individual nation governments must retain full autonomy decisions need to be based on what is best for local populations. 2. There should be opt outs of any and all portions of the agreement without punitive consequences. 3. All decision processes and the information on which they are based must be public, open and transparent. All peoples of the world should be able to vote so that measures cannot be implemented against the express will of populations all public health measures must be by consent. Application of any global agreements should not take places in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Where companies stand to make profit, there can be undue influence on policy. There must be full transparency of the process, meetings, minutes between WHO and any pharmaceutical companies and other health companies who stand to profit from pandemics. There must be a mechanism whereby corruption is eradicated.
Private	1. Individual nations sovereignty, democracy and self governance must be respected. 2. Individual Human Rights must be sacrosanct. No forced medication/ vaccination of any type for any reason. The right to bodily autonomy must not be challenged or overridden for the 'greater good'. 3. Individuals must not be prevented from living a normal life via the use of vaccine passports or any other means. 4. Nations must be able to withdraw from the treaty without fear or penalty. 5. National and local government should be able to opt out of any part of the agreement at any time. 6. Open and transparent policy making and financial auditing. 7. Pharmaceutical and other parties who profit from these policies should not be allowed influence over any part of the process. 8. Open and transparent process where people may vote on any measures suggested. Failsafe measures to prevent government overreach and abuse. 9. All pharmaceutical products must be open and transparent. All research, trial data, ingredients and any other relevant information must be fully and transparently disclosed to the public.
A person	1. Individual sovereignty and human rights must be upheld in every recommendation, including person's right to decline any medical intervention. 2. Vaccination should be only one element to any response and must be balanced with other therapeutic approaches evenly, without wilful blindness to other approaches. 3. In the first instance, medicines already in existence should be repurposed, and if new drugs are to be used, then all trial data must be immediately available publicly. 4. There should be a free exit option for any country who signs the agreement, without penalty, and countries should be free to accept or reject any part or all of the recommendations, both initially or later. 5. All financial interests of all funding parties of the WHO should be published, updated quarterly. 6. All financial interests of all employees should be published, updated quarterly. 7. Any recommended products for a 'response' should have financial interests and conflicts of interest disclosed, before and during any recommendation. 8. The WHO should be to some degree financially or legally responsible for any recommendation it makes both at a person and at a country level. 9. Any response suggestions must allow for local patient doctors to have authority to override the recommendations after having individual patient assessment. The nuances of patient care must be allowed for. 10. Any meetings with corporate organisations that could profit or benefit from recommendations made by WHO, and the minutes of those meetings, should be recorded and made publicly available.
non affiliation/self	1. Individual stakeholder rights should be preserved; nobody should be forced to take any medical intervention or participate in any medical experiments; the Nuremberg Codes should be referenced in this instrument and adhered to at all times by WHO. 2. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be foundational to the instrument. 4. An open and transparent process should take place globally, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures should be included that exclude any and all pharmaceutical companies, intelligence agencies of any country or global organizations, other global health profiteers, military and/or security forces, and/or agents or assigns from having any influence over the terms of the instrument; with the express purpose of safeguarding and protecting individual rights. Please protect the rights of individuals and nations to govern themselves as they see fit, as a one-size-fits-all solution is never effective and often does more harm than good.
none	1. Individuals must retain bodily autonomy. They must be able to make their own decisions about their health. 2. There shall be no collection of or tracking of personal data. There must be strong protections of individuals' privacy. No biometric tracking. 3. Pharmaceutical organizations must not have input into this process as it would be a conflict of interest. 4. This treaty must not undermine the sovereignty of individual countries, states, counties or cities. It must be advisory only. 5. There must be no censorship of information, medical or otherwise.
emilypeyton.com	1. Individuals retain full autonomy and privacy over their personal bodies 2.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. A prohibition on profiteering on the back of a pandemic or other global health emergency, or catastrophic event 7. A prohibition on mandates or governmental restriction on individuals freedom during a health emergency or emergency of any kind. Recommendations are the only capacity of government to influence individual behavior. FYI the who is a non governmental entity and has no mandate or authority. It's authority is simply made up.
Person	1. Individuals retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own self. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SRBailey Farm LLC	1. Individuals, local and national leadership in that priority order retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for themselves or their own people. 2. The ability of individuals, local government organizations and nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any person or organization with conflicts of interest.
Private person self employed	1. Individuals, National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for themselves and their own regions. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to read, understand and decide on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where individuals do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for any influence whatsoever in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other organizations which could profit from any measures.
None-public	1. Instrument must align with the sovereignty and constitutions of all countries. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. Strong effort to identify drugs for re-purposing for treatment.
individual - single person	1. internationaler Erfahrungsaustausch auf wissenschaftlichem und populärwissenschaftlichem Niveau 2. Empfehlung von Maßnahmen zur Stärkung und Verbreitung der natürlichen Immunität 3. Wiedereinführung natürlicher Immunität in die Definition der WHO für Immunität. 4. Verbot von Zwangsimpfungen und Verbot von Impf-Pflichten u.a. gegen Atemwegsviren 5. keine rechtliche Bindung der Nationalstaaten an Beschlüsse und Maßnahmen-Beschlüsse der WHO, statt dessen Vorrang für Subsidiarität 6. Ein Pandemievertrag muss frei sein von maßgeblichen Einflüssen interessierter Konzerne und Geldgeber
No Organization	1. internationaler Erfahrungsaustausch auf wissenschaftlichem und populärwissenschaftlichem Niveau 2. Empfehlung von Maßnahmen zur Stärkung und Verbreitung der natürlichen Immunität 3. Wiedereinführung natürlicher Immunität in die Definition der WHO für Immunität. 4. Verbot von Zwangsimpfungen und Verbot von Impf-Pflichten u.a. gegen Atemwegsviren 5. keine rechtliche Bindung der Nationalstaaten an Beschlüsse und Maßnahmen-Beschlüsse der WHO, statt dessen Vorrang für Subsidiarität 6. Ein Pandemievertrag muss frei sein von maßgeblichen Einflüssen interessierter Konzerne und Geldgeber
Healthy-Informed Georgia	1. It is absolutely necessary that national and local leadership in every country retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people don't want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of public	1. It is essential for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There must be an ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people in specific localities do not want it. 4. No influence whatsoever in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers who have benefited enough.
Private submission	1. It is essential that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. It is essential that nations and local municipalities retain the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. It is essential that there is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It is essential that measures are set in place that ensure there is absolutely no influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. It is essential that New Zealand retains the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people of New Zealand . 2. It is essential that all nations are able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Private citizennot part of any organisation.	1. It is imperative that national and local leaders retain full autonomy and the right to decide fortheir own people what measures are appropriate.Since this treaty is entirely unnecessary,this should be obvious. 2. The right for national and local areas to opt out of any and all parts of this treaty without penalties or consequences. 3The process must be and be seen to be open and tereransparentwith the rights of ALL the people of the world to vote on failsafe measures to prevent application in places where a majority of the people do not want them implemented,without penalty or consequence. 4.There MUST be NO influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or their public or political representatives or paid promoters or other global health profiteers or advocates of mass vaccination against the will of the peoples of the world.
Associated Producers, Inc.	1. It is important that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process is necessary, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures that will prevent, if necessary, the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The present leadership, for example, may want to do what is best for everyone, but those who follow may not. Measures need to be in place to protect all people. 4. Measures need to be put in place to eliminate possible conflicts of interest -- especially through ties to any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
None - individual capacity	1. It is not clear such an instrument is needed in the first place. 2. Individual countries must be allowed to opt in or out of the instrument (both as a whole and in part). 3. The instrument should explicitly take into account that the WHO can get things wrong (as it has done with some aspects of Covid-19 response), and that therefore establishing a single pandemic response from the WHO (or similar entity) for the world can backfire. 4. The instrument should explicitly state that the more countries that are allowed to go their own way at the beginning of a pandemic response, the more likely we are to find the best solution (multiple experiments instead of one). 5. The instrument should explicitly state that the WHO is subject to significant financial influence from unelected private entities, and should find ways to counter such influence. 6. The instrument should explicitly state that the WHO is subject to significant financial influence from its chief donor countries, and should find ways to counter such influence. 7. The instrument should explicitly state that responses to pandemics (Covid-19 is a good example) are subject to significant financial influence by Big Pharma, and should find ways to counter such influence. 8. The instrument should explicitly re-state basic universal human rights in relation to pandemic responses, including the right to refuse medical procedures, and the right to informed consent. 9. The instrument should explicitly state that the free and uncensored flow of information is essential to a rapid and optimal pandemic response. 10. Responses to pandemics (starting with Covid-19) should be critically reviewed by an independent body and participating countries.
Self	1. It is paramount, national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be reserved. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on; including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, must prevail. 4. Lastly, measures must be implemented that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. It should NOT be legally binding, only advisory. Legally binding WHO treaties are dangerous to the health and welfare of the citizens of sovereign nations, including the United States. 2. All individuals in every country must have the right to informed consent without coercion or penalty. 3. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All health decisions must stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency has been declared. 4. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people oppose it. 6. Measures must be applied to prohibit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other entities that stand to make profits from decisions and actions of the WHO.
n/a	1. Leadership at the national and local must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Once size fits all is bad policy--whether individual health care or global policy.
Citoyen canadien	1. Les dirigeants nationaux et locaux conservent leur pleine autonomie, se réservant le droit de prendre des décisions en fonction de ce qui est le mieux pour leur propre peuple. 2. La capacité des nations et des municipalités locales de se retirer de toutes les parties de l'accord comme elles l'entendent, sans conséquence. 3. Un processus ouvert et transparent avec la possibilité pour tous les peuples du monde de voter sur des mesures de sécurité qui empêcheront l'application de l'accord mondial là où la majorité des gens ne le souhaitent pas. 4. Des mesures qui ne permettent pas d'influencer le processus par toutes les sociétés pharmaceutiques ou autres profiteurs mondiaux de la santé.
individuel	1. Les dirigeants nationaux et locaux conservent leur pleine autonomie, se réservant le droit de prendre des décisions en fonction de ce qui est le mieux pour leur propre peuple. 2. Les nations et des gouvernements locaux gardent la possibilité de se retirer de toutes les parties de l'accord comme elles l'entendent, sans conséquence. 3. Le processus reste ouvert et transparent avec la possibilité pour tous les peuples du monde de voter sur des mesures de sécurité qui empêcheront l'application de l'accord mondial là où la majorité des gens ne le souhaitent pas. 4. Il faut des mesures qui ne permettent pas d'influencer le processus par toutes les sociétés pharmaceutiques ou autres profiteurs de la santé mondiale.

Organization	Written submission
fizička osoba	1. Ljudska prava su neotuđiva. Vlade ne mogu suspendirati ljudska prava zbog "hitnih slučajeva". 2. Prava nacija, država, pokrajina, grupa i organizacija su podređena neotuđivim ljudskim pravima. 3. Pravo na pokušaj je neotuđivo pravo. Birokrate nemaju pravo bilo kome uskratiti lijekove koji potencijalno spašavaju živote. 4. Tjelesna autonomija je svetinja i uvijek se mora poštivati. Pravo na odbijanje liječenja je neotuđivo pravo. 5. Cjepivi status ne može se koristiti za ograničavanje neotuđivog prava ljudi na putovanje, rad, traženje obrazovanja ili pristup javnim prostorima ili poduzećima. 6. Lockdowni i/ili karantene zdravih ljudi su pogrešni. 7. Tražimo potpunu transparentnost. Svi depersonalizirani podaci iz svih kliničkih studija trebali bi biti odmah dostupni svima u realnom vremenu. 8. Svako ima pravo na svoje mišljenje. Sva ljudska bića imaju neotuđivo pravo da javno govore svoje mišljenje. 9. Svi proizvođači, distributeri i administratori zdravstvenih proizvoda i/ili postupaka moraju odgovarati za bilo kakvu štetu nastalu njihovim proizvodima i uslugama. 10. Ljudi moraju imati pravo glasa da okončaju izvanredna stanja.
Sofia University	1. Local (including National) administrations retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make their local decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local administrations retain quthority to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement with WHO as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family	1. Local and federal US officials should make decisions on what is best for their people and they should retain the right to make these decisions. 2. Local and federal US officials should be able to "opt out" of any and/or all of the agreement. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. Local and national elected representatives should have full control over all decisions that affect the electorate. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Shield of Grace HHC	1. Local and National leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people don't want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.
None	1. Local authorities must remain sovereign and not be required to submit to external control of their response to health crisis by the WHO. 2. A one size fits all approach to medicine negates a basic human right to chose what is best for the individual and their family. This choice may be influenced by science, local customs, family history or religious or spiritual values. 3. People and sections of the population should not be ostracized for having a conservative approach to experimental medicines. 4. Support regenerative agriculture to protect the basic nutritional requirements that will in fact make individuals more resilient to infection and disease. The health and well being of people and communities is completely reliant on a healthy environment and ecosystem. Natural systems must be protected and preserved to maintain a reliable and nutritious food system for future generations.
Private	1. Local governments retain authority and are not obliged to follow international institutions instructions 2. Local communities and people are in charge of their own health 3. No influence whatsoever from pharmaceutical companies or affiliates
Private	1. local leadership (national) retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. Local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to include their own local citizens to be heard in making decisions based on what is best for them. 2. To include the option for individuals to opt-out of such medical policies that are deemed harmful to family members through religious, medical, and philosophical exemptions. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. To include failsafe measures that will prevent bias corporate influence in the application and safeguard those where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. Local leaderships should have the ability to to override international guidelines on any issue they deem relevant. 2. Nations and regions can opt out of any arrangement at any time without providing reasons or penalties flowing to the government or their citizens. 3. Prevention of digital monitoring system can be implemented for any purpose, including entering buildings or countries. 4. The individual right to medical privacy shall be made sacrosanct. 5. Individual right to make informed consent should be inviolable.
Ciudadano del Mundo	1. Los dirigentes nacionales y locales conservan su plena autonomía, reservándose el derecho a tomar decisiones basadas en lo que es mejor para su propio pueblo. 2. La capacidad de las naciones y los municipios locales de optar por no participar en todas y cada una de las partes del acuerdo según lo consideren oportuno, sin consecuencias. 3. Un proceso abierto y transparente con la capacidad de que todos los pueblos del mundo voten para incluir medidas de seguridad que impidan la aplicación del acuerdo global en lugares donde la mayoría de la población no lo quiera. 4. Medidas que no permitan la influencia en el proceso de todas y cada una de las empresas farmacéuticas u otros especuladores de la salud mundial.
FAEC - Fundación Argentina de Ecología Científica	1. Los liderazgos nacionales y locales conservan plena autonomía, reservándose el derecho de tomar decisiones basadas en lo que es mejor para su propio pueblo. 2. La capacidad de las naciones y los municipios locales podrán optar por no participar en ninguna y todas las partes del acuerdo según lo consideren adecuado, sin consecuencias. 3. Un proceso abierto y transparente con la capacidad de que todas las personas del mundo voten sobre la inclusión de medidas a prueba de fallas que impidan la aplicación del acuerdo global en lugares donde la mayoría de las personas no lo desean. 4. Medidas que no permitan la influencia en el proceso de ninguna y todas las compañías farmacéuticas u otros especuladores de la salud global.
Coalition for Autism Prevention	1. Mandates of any kind are a violation of the Nuremberg Code. 2. Individuals are sovereign everywhere and always. 3. No one with a financial conflict of interest shall be allowed to participate in the process. Anyone with ties to the pharmaceutical industry must be excluded.
none	1. Mandatory vaccination/ medication must be strictly prohibited. Each citizen of any country must have full sovereignty over his/her own body. 2. As a precondition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations by pharma companies or other interest groups to the WHO must be prohibited, otherwise the WHO will not be independent in her decisions. 3. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. 4. Constitutional law of each nation must never be overrun by any kind of supranational pandemic treaty.
privat	1. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden 2. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited 3. All measures must take full account of all health interests 4. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
BMD	1. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. 2. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited 3. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
general public	1. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, investors, nor outsized influence by any individual. 2. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
none	1. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
N/A	1. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
member of the general public	1. Measures that guard against influence in the process by any entities with conflicts of interest including all pharmaceutical companies. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process which permits all people of the world to vote on any measures including guarantees that the global agreement will not be enforced in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Healthcare	1. Medical interventions like vaccines should never be mandated, as there are always those like myself who are at high risk of adverse reactions. There should always be a choice to opt out without consequence. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. Men and women's rights are unalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to unalienable human right and derive their just powers from the body politic. 3. The right to try is an unalienable right. Unelected, unaccountable Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's unalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. Quarantines are effective for and to be used for ill people. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the unalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency at the local and state level as well as the federal level.
privat	1. Menschenrechte sind unveräußerlich. Regierungen dürfen die Menschenrechte nicht wegen "Notfällen" außer Kraft setzen. 2. Rechte von Nationen, Staaten, Provinzen, Gruppen und Organisationen sind den unveräußerlichen Menschenrechten untergeordnet. Keine Länderübergreifende Machtbefugnisse der WHO. 3. Freie Behandlungswahl und es müssen immer Alternativen geboten werden 4. Körperliche Autonomie ist sakrosankt und muss IMMER respektiert werden. Das Recht, die Behandlung zu verweigern, ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. Verbot von Zwangsbehandlungen (Nürnberger Kodex). Freie selbstbestimmte Behandlungswahl. 5. Der „Impf"status darf nicht dazu verwendet werden, das unveräußerliche Recht der Menschen auf Reisen, Arbeit, Bildung oder Zugang zu öffentlichen Räumen oder Unternehmen einzuschränken. 6. Lockdowns, Quarantäne gesunder Menschen sind falsch. Ein Mensch ohne Symptome ist gesund. 7. Wir fordern vollständige Transparenz. Alle entpersonalisierten Daten aus allen klinischen Studien sollten sofort für alle in Echtzeit verfügbar sein, und in allen Sprachen. 8. Jeder hat das Recht auf seine eigene Meinung. Alle Menschen haben das unveräußerliche Recht, ihre Meinung in der Öffentlichkeit zu äußern. 9. Alle Hersteller, Vertreiber und Administratoren von Gesundheitsprodukten und/oder -verfahren müssen für alle Schäden haftbar gemacht werden, die durch ihre Produkte und Dienstleistungen verursacht werden. 10. Die Menschen müssen das Recht haben, abzustimmen, um den Ausnahmezustand zu beenden. 11. Pandemie muss wieder definiert werden durch sehr viele Schwerkranke und überproportional viele Todesfälle, wie vor 2009. 12. Keine Einflussnahme durch Pharma und pharmanahe Organisationen wie z.B. Gavi, Covax, B&M. Gates etc.
Samurai Studios	1. My country the United States of America should never hand over our sovereignty or rights for any emergency. Health emergencies are better handled at local level. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. My own country should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Independent nations should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
soukromá osoba	1. Národní a místní vedení si ponechává plnou autonomii a vyhrazuje si právo rozhodovat na základě toho, co je nejlepší pro jejich vlastní lidi. 2. Schopnost národů a místních samospráv odstoupit od jakékoli a všech částí dohody, jak uznají za vhodné, bez následků. 3. Otevřený a transparentní proces s možností hlasovat pro všechny lidi na světě, včetně bezpečných opatření, která zabrání uplatňování globální dohody v místech, kde si to většina lidí nepřeje. 4. Opatření, která neumožňují ovlivňovat proces žádnými farmaceutickými společnostmi nebo jinými globálními zdravotnickými zisky.
self	1. National leadership will retain full autonomy. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Medical Doctor	1. National & local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations &municipalities to opt out of any portion of the agreement as they see fit. 3. Open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
John V. Spencer, M.D.	1. National & local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, states, cities, individuals can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Nothing shall violate any of the USA Bill of Rights.
Nil	1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lennox	1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations & local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Human right are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 7. Complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially lifesaving medications to anyone.
CITIZEN JOURNALIST	1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia School Board	1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My Own	1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EBS	1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National & local leadership to retain full autonomy & the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations & local municipalities to opt out of any & all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open & transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on & including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any & all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local (states) leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, states, and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In other words, treatments from specific pharmaceuticals must not be forced on an unwilling population and other treatments that the individual patient may want to explore cannot be refused.
Individual	1. National and local communities shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Robust exceptions to any mandate to accommodate an individual's religious, medical or other liberty interests.
Private individual	1. National and local democratically elected governments retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people from time to time. 2. The ability of nations and national/local govt and bodies to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all peoples of the world to vote directly on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process or planning by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	1. National and local elected leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local full autonomy be preserved so countries can make decisions based on country needs. 2. The ability of countries/nations to opt out of any of these portions of the agreement without penalty or consequences 3. An open and transparent process with the ability of all people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please, we need normality and stability. Life has become unbearable for the poor :)
Author and journalist	1. National and local government must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
IT solutions	1. National and local governments have full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is the best for their country and people. 2. The ability of countries and local governments to opt out of the agreement without consequences. 3. The ability of all people in all countries to vote on measures, especially where the majority of population disagree with measures. 4. Measures and processes that eliminate any opportunity of influence by pharmaceutical organizations or other parties which have a conflict of interest.
None	1. National and local governments must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. All levels of government, local & national, must be able to opt out of any or all of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Pharmaceutical industry and other global health profiteers must be denied any influence over public health measures at all levels - local, national & supranational - to avoid the most basic conflicts of interest. 4. There is no need for this WHO treaty/agreement. Scrap it.
Me as an individual person	1. National and local governments retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions for what is best for their own citizens. 2. The full ability for nations to be able to opt out of agreement fully or portion of agreement with no penalty or consequence. 3. Complete transparency with all global citizens with an allowance for each nation to vote on each and every decision made regarding any pandemic response mechanism to be instituted. Leaving the decision solely in the hands of the citizens of each country through a vote that includes every citizen. 4. Measures that remove the ability of corporate funding or influence including pharmaceutical companies in any mandates and regulations.
	1. National and local governments should be in charge of making decisions based on what is best for their nations/people. 2. If passed they should be able to opt out of any parts of this as seen fit. 3. Transparent processes with the ability to vote on said processes and the ability to opt out where areas do not agree with said implementations. 4. The inability of any company that may profit from said measures to influence decisions in any way.
N/a	1. National and local leaders must retain full autonomy to make the best decisions for the people they serve and represent. To do otherwise would be an assault on democracy and would bring the WHO into disrepute. 2.Following from point 1 National and local leaders must have the right to opt out of any or all recommendations made by WHO without consequence. 3. In any pandemic preparedness plans the WHO must be required to demonstrate that their recommendations or instructions have been made without influence from pharmaceutical companies (or their investors) or from any other body or organisation that could profit from such. I note that the former head of the WHO acknowledged that funding from sources other than member country contributions might come with obligations.
MUSLIM LEADERSHIP ORGANIZATION OF MASSACHUSETTS, CAPE AN	1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, MUST remain. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, MUST BE INVIOLATE. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers MUST BE ASSURED.
EDoM	1. National and local leadership reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it and we do NOT want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
humanity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of agreement as they see fit without consequence. 3.an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US health professional	1. National and local leadership ""retain full autonomy"", reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities ""to opt out of any and all portions"" of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An ""open and transparent process"" with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that BLOCK all influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Swedish citizen	1. National and local leadership and citizen retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people or them self. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any non elected bureaucrat, executives or any pharmaceutical company or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership and individuals retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and themselves. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership and the individual must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people/themselves. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be included permanently. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is paramount. 4. Measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Individual bodily autonomy must be preserved first, foremost, and forever, as well as the rights of parents to make decisions for their children without interference or coercion from any entity.
None	1. National and local leadership as well as individuals retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for themselves or their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership entities retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote, including fail-safe measures, to prevent the application of the global agreement where a majority opposes it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership entities retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote, including fail-safe measures, to prevent the application of the global agreement where a majority opposes it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership entities retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote, including fail-safe measures, to prevent the application of the global agreement where a majority opposes it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership entities retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote, including fail-safe measures, to prevent the application of the global agreement where a majority opposes it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership in New Zeakand must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. We and every country must have the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be sacrosanct measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Individual sovereignty over medical procedures of any and all kinds, including vaccines, must be upheld as a funda human rightvigat is never negotiable.
Qualitative market research in healthcare	1. National and local leadership keep the right to make their own decisions representing the view of their people 2. Nations and municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. A process whereby people can vote for participation at the local level of level of nations 4. Assurance that pharmaceutical companies and other unelected global organizations do not have influence over decisions!
None	1. National and local leadership keep their full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all companies with potential financial interests.
none	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Medical practitioner	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The instrument must preserve the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. ie countries must be able to veto any proposal. 4. The instrument must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The instrument must respect true scientific rigor and have protections for those whistleblowers who have identified significant conflicts of interests that have swayed science or policy.
Private	1. National and local leadership must have full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process must be open and transparent with all people of the world able to vote, and with failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Must have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy & have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process used must be open and transparent and all people of the world must be able to vote on proposed measures. 4. Must include a provision that the global agreement must not be imposed in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, global health organizations (for profit, NGOs or otherwise), or corporations/companies.
Individual	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. This must include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Those that profit from the measures and decisions and/or have conflicts of interest (i.e. pharmaceutical companies, individuals with vested interest, whether via a non-profit or other organization or as an individual) must not be allowed to participate in the decision making process in any form.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy on matters of health emergencies, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be retained in any agreement. 3. An open and transparent process must be out in place with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, which must include inviolable and failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be put in place that disallow any influence in the process of any and all pharmaceutical companies, multilateral health organisations or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all positions of the agreement as they see fit without any consequences 3. Open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-Safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Home	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy while reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the choice of opting out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. I would like to see an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, full sovereignty, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence or prejudice. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote/ ratify on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by ANY pharma companies, investors, or other health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions as best for their own citizens and communities. 2. Nations and municipalities must be able to opt out of any portions of an agreement without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process where people of the world can vote and demand fail safe measures to prevent application of unwanted measures. 4. Measures that prevent influence by drug companies and other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people they represent. There must be no element of coercion or compulsion within a new 'international instrument'. 2. There must be the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. This should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in those places where a significant proportion of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, non-governmental organisations, or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and their particular circumstances. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is essential. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Protection of individual inalienable human rights.
Humanity	1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people AND with the input of their own people, respecting at all times, that people can make their OWN decisions for themselves and no restrictions or mandates can ever be placed be on anyone. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as and when they see fit, without consequence of any kind. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a ANY of the people do not want it, even if that is a minority if people. 4. Measures that completely forbid the participation of any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, in any decision making or advisory process.
Retired professional	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people based on local circumstances. Central control does not work. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Coercion such as we have seen in the last two years is totally destructive. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by the Gates Foundation, Welcome Trust, Pfizer, or other pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers.
Thinking Caps	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in accordance with local laws and local needs. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to have the ability opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open, transparent and fully and publicly disclosed process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
./.	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people! 2. Ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No "one size fits all" measures! 6. Always ask the people!
Public	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Leaders who have no and have mever had financial or political ties to pharmaceuticals, WHO, CDC, or government. Leaders must be diverse in knowledge and experience and must be truly independent.
Citizen of Australia	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local governments need to be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Avalon Wellness	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local governments need to be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. As should individuals. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
westwood oph assoc	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Doctors and other qualified health care professionals should not in any way be limited or constrained in their ability to act with flexibility and freedom to apply any and all remedies that they deem potentially helpful and appropriate given that such approaches are reasonably supported by literature or defensible scientific principles and when proper informed consent is given. Off label or repurposed drugs should always be considered. No political entity should ever supersede the authority or judgement of private health professionals. 2. The USA-CDC has been completely wrong about every aspect of the covid pandemic. Mechanisms must be in place to protect and air alternative theories without fear of censure, loss of licensure or status. 3. Measures that prevent undue influence, by any pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers. Financial conflicts of interests must be rigorously and openly disclosed and discussed. Violations must be prosecuted. 4. The covid pandemic has demonstrated that politically centralized bureaucratic organizations are incapable of responding nimbly and without ideological bias to health emergencies and thus every attempt should be made to encourage all nations and political jurisdictions to defund and eliminate their public health bureaucracies and socialized medical systems and return health care and disease management entirely to the private sector where it belongs. 5. The WHO, as one of the most failed and corrupt among these groups should be abolished
None	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. 6. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses.
none	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be maintained. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities need the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prohibit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities need the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prohibit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Private	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Ensure the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. This must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Antu Imports	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. it is imperative that nations and local municipalities retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. We must retain An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We must retain Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mission Catalyst	1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities MUST be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is IMPERATIVE. 4. Measures MUST be put in place that EXCLUDE influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned human	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Legion of Mary	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Western University, London, Ontario	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process allowing for all people of the world to vote on it, and including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement whereever a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Food for Victoria	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There needs to be an open and transparent process that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Big Pharma, Big Health, and Big Insurance must be explicit and public in any involvement and must be prevented from participating in ultimate decisions.
U.S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on all measures; insure the prevention of the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Inclusion of measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Covenant Church	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process must be open and transparent, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Women Against Military Madness, Tackling Torture at the Top Committee	1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities MUST retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There MUST be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures MUST be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health entities that profit from health care.
None	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process must be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be included.
Secret Lantern Society NYC	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of all nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CHD Africa	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of individuals, nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Brian L Ward S E Inc.	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RW Chandler MD	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is essential. 4. Measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, victimisation, harassment, or false reporting. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all organisations with investment in pharmaceutical products, patents, licenses, organisations that would gain from imposition of arbitrary or false "lockdowns" / other restrictions / mandatory treatments, or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Must address measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, unconditionally and without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. This has to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that prevent influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, technology companies, or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Montalbetti & Campbell	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The ability of individual citizens to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement and it's subsequent recommendations as they see fit, without consequence.
none	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is essential. 3. This measure must be stopped unless there is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and that will make criminal any measures that attempt to interfere with and and all constitutional rights of any individual, regardless of their country and regardless of the opinion of the majority in that country. 4. It's essential that any such treaty be completely insulated from all those with fiscal / control interests, including but not limited to any and all pharmaceutical companies, health insurers, or other influencers and profiteers who contributed in any way to the current pandemic response.
None	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Outlawing governments preventing physician from using their medical expertise by governments stipulating what treatments shall be used nfor any medical condition .
Myself	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None - General Public	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I believe in American sovereignty as well as the sovereignty of all nations. Lisa Cohen
brujaorganica.com	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N.A.	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, and without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No conflicts of interest. 5. The safeguarding of medical autonomy for all individuals when there is the presence of risk, in any and all forms.
public	1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
None	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizens	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK citizen	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Sovereign	1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Associated Energy Developers, LLC	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The nations and local municipalities must retain their ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process must be in place with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
People for Worldwide Medical Freedom	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There must be an ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. This must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It is imperative that there are measures put into place immediately that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Uphold the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Measures which prevent such influence should be clearly stated and included.
None	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Vital provision for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement for any reason, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability to vote on all measures including failsafe provisions that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence by any/all pharmaceutical companies or other global for-profit health organizations.
Self	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that preclude any influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other private organizations that stand to benefit from any changes in public policy.
NA	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including measures that will prevent the application of a global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be included that absolutely prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process is required that possesses the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, National governments, or any other direct or indirect global health profiteers. 5. All citizens of the world have sovereignty over their own bodies and may not be coerced or forced against their will to abide by any policy or procedures that goes against their own free will and/or moral conscience.
n/a	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All individual people and governments on all levels must retain the right to decline any & all medical requirements without persecution, punishment, or any other consequences, most specifically when natural immunity is present. 6. Natural immunity is recognized as salient and equivalent to any suggested or recommended medical interventions. 7. No biosecurity measures, digital or otherwise, will be imposed up or interfere with the inalienable right to travel within one's own country or abroad when healthy. 8. No censorship or punitive measures taken on professionals or individuals who question or raise legitimate scientific concerns regarding "suggested" medical interventions. 9. All medical treatments will be considered & administered, even when off label, when efficacy is shown. No vaccine should ever be imposed when any efficacious medical is present. 10. Lockdowns are inhumane, punitive,& ineffective in containing spread for healthy individuals and should not be imposed upon peoples who are healthy and/or at low risk. Quarantine is for the sick only.
GrenRise	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities maintain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. There must be measures included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health and Wellness	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process is necessary with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Joy Jolie	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be retained. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Praxis für Psychosomatische Medizin und Psychotherapie	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities and any individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on; including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. There must at all times and under any be clarity that any asymptomatic individual is to be considered healthy. 6. There must be unequivocal clarity that the Nuremburg Codex is upheld to prevent healthy people from taking damage from experimental medication/vaccines.
GrenRise, Grenada	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities must be present to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.There must be present measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own populations. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be provision for an open and transparent process with measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority vote to reject it. 4. Measures must be put in place to outlaw influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global organisations with a vested interest in making a profit from public health.
Musician	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions that reflect the interests of their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local areas to opt out of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the applications of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people don't want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy. They must make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities shall be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual (former pandemic planner at UK Treasury)	1. National and local leadership must retain full decision-making autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health special interests.
None	1. National and local leadership must retain their full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Local conditions will cause variable effects, as seen in COVID-19. Countries have suffered through two years of edict by unelected experts; why repeat the disaster? 2. An open and transparent process must allow all people of the world to vote on such a change. There must be failsafe measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, to focus on what works for their people. Local resources and methods are most strained by global demands. 3. Any measures proposed must be made without influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, medical or hospital corporations, or other global health profiteers. In emergencies, heal or ease the afflicted; do not sell "one size fits all" solutions at exorbitant costs. "Rule by technocratic expert" includes the companies and NGOs that work in lock-step with bureaucracies.
Our family	1. National and local leadership must retain total autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open, transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people don't want it. 4. Measures that don't allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership need to be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There must be an ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process needs to be present, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must ensure no influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None - private US citizen	1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Include masures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability is needed of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process is needed with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures are needed that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Neurosurgery, St Thos Hospital, Nashville TN 37205	1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of UK	1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Va citizen	1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Children's Health Defense	1. National and local leadership needs to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Image Sensations	1. National and local leadership of any country or state retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership of countries should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process should be defined such that all peoples of the world by vote can prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should be in place that protect against influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kid Lives Matter	1. National and local leadership of sovereign nations should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Sovereign describes the structure of these nations. They have their own founding documents and functioning systems and should foremost acknowledged and respected as such. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This is crucial to the continuing human progress towards chosen national paths for individual peoples and nations. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. People all across the globe have rejected the idea that decisions should be made for them. As such, no one governing body should have precedence over a nation.
None.	1. National and local leadership ought to retain full autonomy to make pandemic decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities ought to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There ought to be an open and transparent process for nations and local municipalities to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures ought to be instituted to prevent influence on the process by pharmaceutical companies.
US Navy	1. National and local leadership representing citizens under their purview retain autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. A transparent and open process that allows all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority do not want it. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global entities or profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Life Liberty Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Freedom and the right to choose always.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that completely prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, drug development companies or other global health companies, groups or services which stand to benefit financially from any and all measures adopted under the treaty.
citizen of Asia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the peolpe do not want it 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmacuetical companies or other global health profiteers.
Brevard Church of Christ	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global heath profiteers.
Independant	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving and preserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit or deem necessary, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process must includes the ability for all people of the world to vote on said agreement, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places, nations and local municipalities, where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that forbid and do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Ability of nations & municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right bio to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decision based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of Nations and Local Municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on their own reading of the scientific evidence. 2. Nations and competent regional authorities should be fully entitled to opt out of all and any global or supra-national plan of co-ordination 3. Measures should be taken to cancel the grip that pharmaceutical companies have on global health organisations and on the business of testing new medecines and vaccines. 4. The complete chemical analysis of any new pharmacalogical/vaccine treaments must be made public whenever anything is authorised. 5. The standard presumption against new off-label treatments should be investigated and reversed.
Resource Centre for Personal Development	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is AGREED BY ALL INDIVIDUALS of their own population. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. FAILSAFE Measures that do not allow for ANY influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies and other global profiteers in any industry.
Losaks	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for all of their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local government to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for every1 of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any band all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any band all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their citizens, with legitimate citizen input. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individual citizens to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in locations where a majority of voters are opposed. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or ANY other global health profiteers. 5. Physicians must be allowed to exercise their best judgment for themselves as well as for their patients in seeking & obtaining ANY medical treatment in pursuit of their own health and well-being for themselves or their family members."
...	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the World	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An OPEN AND TRANSPARENT PROCESS with the ability for all citizens of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sport- & healthspecialist	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own local population. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Blue Cross	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and body. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence, coercion, or threatening of loss of food or jobs in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and national/local circircumstances. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Full transparency on all scientific advise, including all dissenting views to any strategies/proposals.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and opting out of any and all recommendations without repercussion from any and all organizations directly or indirectly involved . 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Respecting all individuals rights to their decisions of what's best for themselves and their families and without coercion of any kind not being able to live life fully and make a living . 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Repurposed drugs are accurately and willingly considered and used for situations were the physician and patient see fit without any coercion or backlash from any agencies , departments in health directly or indirectly involved. No medical mandates of any kind and should be left to the individual. Complete utter transparency of all funding in and out directly and indirectly of the WHO agency including all financial dealings of each country and individual stakeholders . Including all stakeholders in medical interventions of any kind affiliated with the WHO agencies and of each Gov. Representatives in each country including any stakeholders in their FDA CDC or Affiliated with such agencies to keep everything purely transparent to the people.
FDC ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their own locality 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. People around the world have no vote to WHO, WHO has no bite to decide what's best for people
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people which may not be in agreement with International dictates. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to individually decline to participate in any and all global agreements that advocate experimental or even approved medical treatments if they do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all for profit pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers such as the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people WITHOUT POLITICAL OR AGENDA INTERFERENCE. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Shareholders, stakeholders, companies, organisations and any other entity or influence with any outcome-related agenda will have no participation in this process. ABOVE ALL ELSE THE INDIVIDUAL MAN, WOMAN OR CHILD RETAINS AND RESERVES THEIR SOVEREIGN HUMAN RIGHT TO REFUSE PARTICIPATION IN ANY AND ALL MANDATES AND DIRECTIONS PROVIDED SHOULD THEY CHOOSE WITHOUT ANY CONSEQUENCES.
Self-Employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people WITHOUT POLITICAL OR AGENDA INTERFERENCE. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Shareholders, stakeholders, companies, organisations and any other entity or influence with any outcome-related agenda will have no participation in this process. ABOVE ALL ELSE THE INDIVIDUAL MAN, WOMAN OR CHILD RETAINS AND RESERVES THEIR SOVEREIGN HUMAN RIGHT TO REFUSE PARTICIPATION IN ANY AND ALL MANDATES AND DIRECTIONS PROVIDED SHOULD THEY CHOOSE WITHOUT ANY CONSEQUENCES.
Not applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people WITHOUT POLITICAL OR AGENDA INTERFERENCE. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Shareholders, stakeholders, companies, organisations and any other entity or influence with any outcome-related agenda will have no participation in this process. ABOVE ALL ELSE THE INDIVIDUAL MAN, WOMAN OR CHILD RETAINS AND RESERVES THEIR SOVEREIGN HUMAN RIGHT TO REFUSE PARTICIPATION IN ANY AND ALL MANDATES AND DIRECTIONS PROVIDED SHOULD THEY CHOOSE WITHOUT ANY CONSEQUENCES.
Not Applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people WITHOUT POLITICAL OR AGENDA INTERFERENCE. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Shareholders, stakeholders, companies, organisations and any other entity or influence with any outcome-related agenda will have no participation in this process. ABOVE ALL ELSE THE INDIVIDUAL MAN, WOMAN OR CHILD RETAINS AND RESERVES THEIR SOVEREIGN HUMAN RIGHT TO REFUSE PARTICIPATION IN ANY AND ALL MANDATES AND DIRECTIONS PROVIDED SHOULD THEY CHOOSE WITHOUT ANY CONSEQUENCES.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Member of the world society	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise 6. Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 7. Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic and acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people! 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence! 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it! 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers!
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people(s) and culture(s). 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, at any time. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority or even a plurality of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteering interests.
Skeels Design	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and based on their local situation and conditions. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, based on local feedback. 2. Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Protection from influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, this is most essential 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No one can profit on the pandemic.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Nirvana	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Libera	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. If the pandemic taught us anything it is that responses have to be tailored to the area. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Not a centrally imposed and enforced mandate. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health bodies that have a financial interest in outcomes. 5. The encouragement of open discussion and plurality of opinion.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The current pandemic shows the catastrophic results of poor decisions. Unifying control will only serve to make the potential negative impacts even greater. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The WHO should NOT have authority over worldwide populations and countries, therefore, this international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should not even be created. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, MUST be retained, should this international instrument take effect 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it MUST be part of any agreement 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers MUST be included, should this misguided and ill-thought out agreement take effect.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There is no one-size-fits-all top down medical requirements 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This will prevent "one size fits all." 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. What is best for London, England could be a disaster in Columbia, South Carolina, USA. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Treatments that have proven safe and effective by independent scientists shall not be hindered in any way by any organization.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Allow the citizens of constitutional republic of the United States to follow it's constitution.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Electrician	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers

Organization	Written submission
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. -
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Acronis	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Full disclosure of all financial holdings, affiliations, and interests by each official participating in any pandemic response.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Trilogy	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Other	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Thirumala	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hair Candy Shoppe	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for ANY influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or their designees.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. A pandemic treaty should seek to guide rather than override national sovereignty. Every country is different and has different needs and interests, even and especially in a pandemic scenario. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Elected governments must retain full autonomy and their decisions must take precedence over those of unelected bureaucrats. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Input of national populations must be respected. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Lobbying members of any relevant committees must be forbidden and any conflicts of interest fully declared or not allowed.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Every country is different, and we should listen to what the people want. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Healthcare is not a one fits all approach. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is so critical that determination ALWAYS rest with the local governments. NO SINGLE ENTITY should have authority over the response of the entire world 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Maintain States right designate on deciding how pandemics are handled. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Never does a one size fits all approach work. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Not every country/region has the same problem or require the same solution, so it must me customizable to their unique situation. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Transparency in all data information; no censorship.
Light Channel TV	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Not WHO! 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. One size policy does not fit all. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. One size policy does not fit all. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. People are in quite different circumstances across the world. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Let the people of a democracy have a say in how they handle. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. All information presented by governments should include the list of funding amounts and persons/ groups funding the information at least 3 levels deep where applicable.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. People are not the possesions of the WHO 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. People are not possessions of the WHO 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. People are not the possessions of the WHO 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. People are not the possessions of big pharma or the WHO Where there is risk there must be choice. Science, especially on a global level should always be open for debate and personal choice should be a basic human right.
K.T. Beck Enterprises, LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The United States citizens will never submit to global tyranny. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There can be no 'one size fits all mandated' 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This is based on the fact that there was literally no cohesive plan. Covid in one nation was no different in any other nation, yet different responses were rolled out in different nations and it has been an epic failure. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The WHO as unelected officials should not be granted decision making powers over sovereign nations, nor should any agreement supersede any founding/governing documents of any nation. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Something that affects all people of the world, they should have a a say. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This pandemic (generous at best) saw the greatest transfer of wealth ever. Absolutely disgusting.
public health sector	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Woman	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
N.A.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. As an adult, I refuse to let anyone else make decisions about my health and treatment. I have to bear the risk of certain vaccinations/gene therapies alone. The state does not have to interfere in the health of the population. It is enough to give advice. I will never accept top-down decisions about the health of individuals.
World Council For Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Each person is allowed to consult with their own doctor and then determine what medications, vaccines, medical procedures, etc. Each person may choose without consequence their own treatment options, if any.
Artgerecht Leben	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of the general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RTG	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
An individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
world citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mounties4Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me, myself and I	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bulgarian patients organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - - - - - - - - -
Bulgarian	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private No Organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
nhs	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Ensure measures are put in place to limit influence on decision making based on pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers subjective views 3.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of individual nations and local councils to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired writer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities (and individuals) to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. No conflict of interest: Include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4. Automatic nullification of any treaty and/or provisions should any parties be indicated on charges of corruption, including undue influence due to campaign funding or business relationships.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities as well as individual citizens to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Should be voluntary participation only. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	1. National and local leadership retain FULL AUTONOMY, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to OPT OUT of any and all parts of agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An OPEN & TRANSPARENT process with the ability for all people of the world to have a voice and vote including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that weed out conflicts of interest and prevent individual, company, or institution who profits via global health initiatives to have influence or a vote.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Normalville	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AGM Distribution	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WHO	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Decision makers of WHO have to disclose their relations & interests related to Pharma Companies itself or their owners.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5). Individuals have bodily rights given by our Creator; and no man, woman, or organization has any right to believe they are higher than our Creator, nor that they can interfere with those rights.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
JPM P. Stafford, VA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Full disclosure of all financial and other vested interests by any related parties be they government authorities/bodies, individuals, companies (esp. media and pharmaceutical) 6. Enablement and encouragement of live open and/or recorded public debate by health professionals with opposing views, viewable on all social media channels and platforms without any censorship or retaliation
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Retain bodily autonomy for all people of the world with no mandates that require any individual to take medications, vaccines or submit to any other medical treatments they don't want.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Free Americans	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen of planet earth	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tdl	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am not an organization. I am a citizen of France and of Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Crusade For Christ	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BES, Inc.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MySelf	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. US national sovereignty is paramount.
Survival of the Constitution of the United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A Fine Touch	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
San Luis Obispo Classical Academy	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Attorney	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This should not be a global agreement. Each sovereign country should be able to make their own decision for their citizens. I am against the WHO Global Pandemic Treaty
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
360 Sol Corp	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other corporate profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Bob
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Healing the Divide.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We the people, did not vote for the people in WHO to be in charge of public health. Please respect national sovereignty of nations. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not Applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Federal Government	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IT	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Energy Works	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual citizen of Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In my opinion, this WHO international instrument is unnecessary and without an unbiased democratic process involving the people of the world, not just governments, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for listening and considering my views.
Citizen of the world	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lean transformation group	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World council for health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Society For Quality	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
GG INC.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Full On Pro Auto	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Gifts from Colorado	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Google	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No parties with any conflict of interest, past or present, especially profits from related business ventures, should have any role at all in the formulation of measures recommended by the WHO.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UMMC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IMD Graphics	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bubba Org	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Creative Narcosis, Inc	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
living souls	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Justina Kammerad	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bates College	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Osborne Village Church	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Emery Consulting	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CRCHUM	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USG	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Period!
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Homemaker	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Physicians should retain full rights to treat as they see fit.
Crayson	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No VACCINES
My Own	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
maui muse productions	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Chez Collins	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Interested Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration.
ana	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The osinoff group	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Humanity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sweet Meadow Farms	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SELF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Any agreement should include a sunset clause—agreement should expire after one year.
Rachel	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-Employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public, US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Arts Collective	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. I will never vote Democrat again if this takeover is granted. Climate change will never end and neither will the takeover
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I do not belong to any organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. WHO is unqualified to lead> They exhibited poor judgment, wrong policies, falsehood, conflict of interest over the COVID pandemic for two years.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Starks-Backer Foundation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that Prevent for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
North Sails	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Represent myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Thomson Teleprompting services	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I am an American, I will not follow any foreign power. I retain all my rights and will not comply to anything out side of my pledge to the Constitution of the United States of America. Ever
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthy Being	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self Employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Paul Eachus	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World council for health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Census Bureau	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TOT	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Actually discouraging HCQ, Zinc, D3 and Ivermectin all together, preemptively, caused more harm than the virus and you know it. Good day.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Health Organisation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privet Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
123	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
villagepian care	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
NYC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for considering including these important parts. Mary Bloom
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Absolutely no mandates for mRNA vaccines to be encouraged or dictated by the WHO without full studies and alternatives such as re-purposed drugs.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
LLE	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, nongovernment organizations or other global health profiteers.
an individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Arthur W. Wood Company	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Obesity Medicine Physician	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ullman Sails	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Make America Free Again NY	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Barton Alloy Fabricators	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profite
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family Man	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Wade Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USAA resident	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No one organization, entity, or person, is adequately equipped to make sweeping decisions for the "world." We recognize there are always underlying influences of finance and power that come into play. This is evident in history and the handling of wars, epidemics, finance, and supply (just a few.). There is only one God not WHO or any individual. We are not capable of making sweeping decisions for all.
retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
America First	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IBM / technology	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
none n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Georgia Tech	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NBCS 54	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed attorney	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FREEDOM	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None, just a concerned citizen.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
LLUH	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4. Severe penalties for WHO officials who lie or misinform the public, or censor or attempt to censor freedom of speech and the press, including permanent disbarment from public office and long prison sentences.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. A sunset clause so that the treaty must be reviewed and renewed every few years and public input must be a part of this process. 6. Transparent and clear definition of what criteria will be used to declare an outbreak a pandemic.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen of Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freelancer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
phsa	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BLINK	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5.Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ADMF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Actually discouraging HCQ, Zinc, D3 and Ivermectin all together, preemptively, caused more harm than the virus and you know it

Organization	Written submission
United States of America citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Robust, open debate must be allowed by all people, especially those with dissenting, unpopular or otherwise differing opinions from the mainstream narrative. 6.Consideration of natural and Traditional Chinese Medicine preventions, therapeutics and diagnostics should be relevant, discussed and included in any protocols implemented.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ACLU	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Scott-Glenn Company	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Earth for Life	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health entities.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None - concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to have a vote -- must include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. All Measures must not allow for any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self Employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen of the United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I AM TOTALLY 100% AGAINST OUR UNITED STATE OF AMERICA BEING GOVERNED BY WHAT THE WHO SAYS. Gretchen Martin
University of Illinois at Chicago	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Birdrock labs	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers No central authority should have this level of control over individual health decisions and their related consequences.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that strictly uphold the medical principles of informed consent of the individual 6. Measures that do not require violating privacy of medical interventions and medical records nor aim to classify and expose citizens to others or to authorities on the basis of their personal medical decisions 7. Measures that do not condition or undermine, directly or indirectly, the exercise of the right of "my body, my choice", and do not make it's exercise a basis for the loss of exercise of other basic human rights. 8. Measures that cannot be a source of confidential/secret agreements/records between authorities and providers/contractors/servicers involved in the proposed response.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WILD BIRCH FARMS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I represent myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tag Commander	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. As a global society it might be we do not possess the maturity to implement this treaty without Abusing the social contract.
A citizen of the United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen Who Votes	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that strictly uphold the medical principles of informed consent of the individual 6. Measures that do not require violating privacy of medical interventions and medical records nor aim to classify and expose citizens to others or to authorities on the basis of their personal medical decisions 7. Measures that do not condition or undermine, directly or indirectly, the exercise of the right of "my body, my choice", and do not make it's exercise a basis for the loss of exercise of other basic human rights. 8. Measures that cannot be a source of confidential/secret agreements/records between authorities and providers/contractors/servicers involved in the proposed response.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Uphold individuals right to refuse any treatment that goes against their own better/ best judgement.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WeThePeople	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pro Human Rights	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
artist	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Stay out of our business.
CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Indvidual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Janet Lynch
Concerned Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Clear Choice Two	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of the public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
kc0dxf	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Penn State University	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
There isn't any	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I don't agree to the terms of participation for the hearings but you need to hear my opinion anyway.
City of Boston	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Robert Giamondi
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Capital and coast DHB	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Calworth	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Parent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please take it into consideration. Neil Haberman
Self-Employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Herbal Energetics	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ARPartners	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that strictly uphold the medical principles of informed consent of the individual 6. Measures that do not require violating privacy of medical interventions and medical records nor aim to classify and expose citizens to others or to authorities on the basis of their personal medical decisions 7. Measures that do not condition or undermine, directly or indirectly, the exercise of the right of "my body, my choice", and do not make it's exercise a basis for the loss of exercise of other basic human rights. 8. Measures that cannot be a source of confidential/secret agreements/records between authorities and providers/contractors/servicers involved in the proposed response.
an individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U S CITIZEN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Perl Advisory	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5, last and not least, I believe no one needs or should even consider conceding personal and national sovereignty to an organization such as yours, which has demonstrably acted against science and the interests of free people everywhere. You are not selected by the people, therefore are not a form of governance that can or should be accepted.
CP Kelco	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Very important: Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers!
Business	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Elizabeth Childs-Clarke Translations	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Grandview Medical center	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please allow nations to opt out at their request without consequences. Elizabeth Ma'rie Haarala
World Council for Heath & Healing the Divide	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PTG	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizens for Equal Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A free decent human being	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Live and let live	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council of Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Laura Louis Consulting, LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home owners across America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Visser Legal Consult	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Perl Advisory	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. All of the above are imperative.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self, individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Xyz	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kris Laroche Coaching	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Clinical Consulting	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Wild Roots	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
writer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that strictly uphold the medical principles of informed consent of the individual 6. Measures that do not require violating privacy of medical interventions and medical records nor aim to classify and expose citizens to others or to authorities on the basis of their personal medical decisions 7. Measures that do not condition or undermine, directly or indirectly, the exercise of the right of "my body, my choice", and do not make it's exercise a basis for the loss of exercise of other basic human rights. 8. Measures that cannot be a source of confidential/secret agreements/records between authorities and providers/contractors/servicers involved in the proposed response.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen of the USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CHad	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CEO Vanderbeels Inc.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
David Lockhart and Associates, Inc.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No Organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Vanderbeels Pty Ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pleasant Township	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mindwalk Media	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Naturavida	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health institutions
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VCC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
mary mcdowell	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SELF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that strictly uphold the medical principles of informed consent of the individual 6. Measures that do not require violating privacy of medical interventions and medical records nor aim to classify and expose citizens to others or to authorities on the basis of their personal medical decisions 7. Measures that do not condition or undermine, directly or indirectly, the exercise of the right of "my body, my choice", and do not make it's exercise a basis for the loss of exercise of other basic human rights. 8. Measures that cannot be a source of confidential/secret agreements/records between authorities and providers/contractors/servicers involved in the proposed response.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Blaine youth sports	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
JHM Design Works	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It has become grossly evident that the interests and profits of the pharmaceutical industry have compromised all regulatory bodies, including the WHO. The prevention of early treatment for Covid-19 has resulted in immense loss of life simply to facilitate the mandates of Emergency Use Authorization. Public Health policy should be determined by what is in the best interests of human health instead of prioritizing corporate profit. Given the disastrous failure of public health throughout the pandemic, I have zero confidence in the integrity or authority of the WHO to be solely responsible for dictating global health response now or in the future. At the very least, the points I have listed above must be the bare minimum required to ensure and regain public trust. Sincerely, Jane Macdonald
Us citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.”
Hungary	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Vsrf	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All trust in WHO has been lost. It is now controlled by the large pharmaceutical and business, companies of the world and corrupt governments of the world. These organisations are no longer interested or capable of looking after the health of the worlds people. 6. WHO should be closed down and replaced by democratically selected group of medical experts. World Council for Health could be a good starting point.
Sole Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Providing comment on behalf of myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom foundatiin	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cco	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Isadore Chiropractic	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stop the Treaty!	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
S and S WL control	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Conscious US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Steve Kelley Group	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CHD	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sara Lee	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australian Registered Voter	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CannaBalance Living	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stop the treaty	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Poland	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Subscribe to Steve Kirsch's newsletter Thousands of paid subscribers I write about COVID vaccine safety and efficacy, corruption, censorship, mandates, masking, and early treatments. America is being misled by formerly trusted authorities.
IHD	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthcare	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NATA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Laura See	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The Constitution of the United States is not infringed.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-esafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ICT	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Red Panda Solutions	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EU-Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the world	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Working Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am a United States citizen who believes in the Constitution.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nope	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
All Hockey	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
STL	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kruse Investment Group, LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private consumer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Philips	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The ability for all people of the world to include fail safe measures to stop and not allow any agreement in places where a simple majority of the people do not want it. 4. Rules that do not allow for influence or decision making in the process by any public or private for-profit companies related to the health and wellness industry. 5. The fundamental laws and rules of the Nuremberg Code will be followed.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. all of this needs to be not only taken into account,but needs to be the rule of how future pandemics are to be dealt with. also, the definition of "pandemic" needs to be changed back to where it includes excessive deaths to be considered such.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Alaska Flight Attendant	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers and private foundations. 5. reinstate pandemic guidlines prior to 2005
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stop The Treaty	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen/Nurse	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tuba City Assembly	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Alpine Surgical	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WHO	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Business	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. That individuals retain control over their body.
Self employef	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Akaija&Art	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
University of Tasmania	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mine	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independant	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Washoe County School District	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. 6. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom For People	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Living man	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sikhlens	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Akaija&Art	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedomain	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self & Spouse	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
homemaker	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Ensure full transparency for and consistent publishing of metrics for cases, hospitalizations, and deaths, with clear and, to the extent possible, unchanging criteria and measurements.
Anesthesia Associates	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
synthtel	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human being, mom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FLIGHT ATTENDANT	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA - Previously owned a Medical Education Co	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NT Consultancy	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
We The People - not an organization but just us ordinary people	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Nothing may usurp national or local constitutions, laws, or other legal structures. Nations, states, and cities retain full sovereignty over their territories and people.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FAEC - Argentinean Foundation for a Scientific Ecology	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Meijer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. My body my choice of medical procedures, respect the Nürenberg code. 6. Cleanse WHO of people with ties to the medical industri.
Stand For Health Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Build trust with the public by allowing diverse voices, including those you do not agree with and those outside the realm of science/research to be heard.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Flight attendant	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Consultant Surgeon	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Columbia University	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Precision Abrasives, Inc.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sol Properties	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
1281Solutions	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profite
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
- None -	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SVLG	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Resident of Virginia, USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully submitted, Kathryn Kroboth
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A4C	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
the wholeness center	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Dow Chemical Company	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Representing Self, USA citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tuba City Assembly	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Thornapple Ridge Farms	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No official affiliation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration. Lynne Taciak
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Communications Consultancy	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Constitutional Law Group	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homemaker	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Populist party	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely John Flynn
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Russell Research	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PAW	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Compassionate service	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
McLemore&Mclemore	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PAM	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Doan Law Group	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Clean	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers

Organization	Written submission
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sunbelt	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none - self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Simon Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen - US	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
pam	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom4Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bear Mountain Press	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Constatutional Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none . im a concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Sam Lougheed
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Veterinarian	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Suffolk County Green Party	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jarvis & Co	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Finance	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen, German passport-holder	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Gregory M Crow, PhD	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
margaritaman	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Doctor	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, charities or foundations. 5. Ensure that different strategies are encouraged so weaknesses in approaches are identifiable, not masked.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
COJC&MF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
obundnas.se	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
General	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the world	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Alaska Airlines	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Patriots United	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Natural Therapies	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health and safety	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired Teacher	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Beagles	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizens of the Bahamas	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Prairie Animal Hospital	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stephanie Scoggan	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NRA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Punakaiki People	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
STOPTHETREATY	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
C&R Muskie Club	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Freedom fighter	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Foresight institute	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IndusTREE Holdings	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dental nurse	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
the general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Oversight shall be by an entity or entities not linked to the WHO with violators prosecuted to the full extent of international law. 5. Civil liberties, human rights and medical freedoms must be respected.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Public Schools	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Church	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cda enterprises	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The sovereignty of nations, states, local towns, cities, communities and families needs to be retained absolutely.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EastLake Church	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SDZ	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Barreto Org	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Gravely	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Becker College	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Faro neuro & health center	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measurements that don't allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health providers.
American Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member if the Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Nhs	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
self, USA citizen.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time and consideration to this important matter.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Roman Catholic Church	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Formerly NICHD	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Options ensuring individual bodily autonomy and privacy for all citizens as part of their inalienable human rights.
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Conflicts of interested should be declared including all connections to direct and indirect funding from the super rich.
US CITIZEN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nhs	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
nine	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Above all, I insist that we maintain our sovereignty. Period.
Rawley Consulting	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Farmer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lindquist, von Husen & Joyce LLP	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of US	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Citizen of the US	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council For health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Geraldine sullivan	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homeschool	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human being	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Just myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ironmen properties	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Education	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Law	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Farms	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
keller	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned parent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We are also free country and should make the choice if we want to inject anything into our bodies.
Private Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Any medicine prescribed by any GP, specialist or medical staff member of any institution requires fully informed consent from any patient or the representative that he/she has appointed while compos mentis.

Organization	Written submission
Concerned US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizens for a better life	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tracy Cummings	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
America's Frontline Doctors Citizen Corps	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the world	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Together signatory	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am a university chair professor but commenting in a personal capcity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4. No part of any agreement should give WHO powers to recommend or implement any actions that interfere with any of the basic human rights set out in the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rughts, including right to free movement, right to work, right to education, right to free assembly and religious worship. 5. WHO recommendations must never be allowed to supersede the right of decision making between a patient and their doctor based on their judgement.
SSM Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Liberty Coalition	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures can not override any governments Constitution.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of the general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sole Trader Geologist	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom for All	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers.
an individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Alternate voices should be included in any and all scientific and health related discussions. SCIENCE IS NOT BLACK AND WHITE. All shades of "grey" should be included in discussions about approaches to a pandemic.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
DoDEA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HFS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pathways Supports	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Don Tester Ford Lincoln, Inc.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers No one agency should have such control ever.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Christianity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FIGU	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Faro neuro & health center	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measurements that don't allow for influece in She process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mercy Health St Marys	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
R&B Health Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Open dialogue, full disclosure, full debate and full accountability on any policy formulation to establish least harm and evidence based emergency measures - with provisions to withdraw policies which fail these and punish malfeasance in bad players swiftly and with severity matching the injuries they have caused. No exceptions.
Body of Christ	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
not applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TSP	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nursing	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WHN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Rick Hansen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No company	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
LSFA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
writer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, including academics who accept large research grants and who are active in gain of function research.
People's Rights	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you,

Organization	Written submission
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Chapel Arts Centre Ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Petter Haugli	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lifestyle Realty	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the Isa	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Integrity Films	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
People's Rights	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sel	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AHC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Us citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Life	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Antuongchauau ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
hMicro	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Punta Box	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My own	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Peoples Rights	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Like Comment
Nil	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
WL Gore & Associates	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Farmacy	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned health professional	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Schmidt-Curley Design	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen of NYS/USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canyon Hills Assembly of God Church	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Funeral director	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Old woman	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Associated Anesthesiologists	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Memyself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No affiliation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
born in the United States and lived here my whole life	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Abbotsford Veterinary Clinic Ltd.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
M.D. retraité	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Aptitude Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ACS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. More importantly I was born a free person and you have no right to tell me how I should live my life or how I shocks behave or what medications I take. We do not need no worldwide treaty
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cornell University	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Chandler Co.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all big pharmacy and other health profiteers.
Private party	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USPS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned member of public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No indemnity for manufacturers or administers of medical products or procedures.
BrigitteMaheux Osteopathe	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World council of health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures may not cause in any way harm, discrimination, loss of freedom, loss of speech, vaccine or pharmaceutical treatment mandatory to any citizen or be in conflict with the constitutional rights of any citizen.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
LIFE	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Social care worker	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sens Production	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Individual of the general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank-you so much!
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Social care	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Farmer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks for accepting my input
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UNIST and IBS CMCM	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Individuals that assess themselves as low risk to the population are able to "opt out"
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other health global profiteer's.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My neighbours, family and friends	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pharmacist	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and LOCAL leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This insane for a sovereign nation to give over that power....INSANE
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am a citizen and not an organisation.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council For Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human in Support of Love, Peace & Liberty	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cantley Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Adrian&Adrian LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We should not agree to this plan.
Cabo LUX	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Universität Freiburg	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The basic principles of bioethics are always respected. Individuals will never be forced or pushed by direct or indirect means to any medical treatment against their will. There is always a right to fully informed consent.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Libertysul.org	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers Keep health local.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
home owner	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
An individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Fellow Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A world citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Griffith University	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The people of Australia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dora	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Millennium	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bürger	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual citizen no organisation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homes2Own	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
With loving kindness	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self-employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies.
Regional pharmaceutical association- Blagoevgrad	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Patricia Worthington, MSW	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Club Scrap, Inc.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5- We need to have personal choice in what goes into our body!
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Grandview Acres	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BHP	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HealthBG	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Simeon Private Cl	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Under no circumstances shall pandemics and epidemics be treated as "global", neither should international law be applied. Every country will remain sovereign within its borders, thus applying its own pandemic and epidemic measures. 4. No basic freedoms shall be removed for the purpose of "international health". 5. No forced vaccinations shall be implied by any means including inability for the unvaccinated to attend public places, workplaces, schools, universities, and every other kind of basic human rights denial shall not be acceptable. 6. Every country shall have a referendum before taking any legal actions against pandemics and epidemics, the results of which will be treated as democratically chosen decision of the people, thus it will have the legitimacy of a law. 7. If the scenario of the coronavirus pandemic repeat itself, it's possible massive riots and violence to erupt, causing much more disastrous effect, so that should be taken for a consideration.
CITIZEN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please assure that the above verbiage is included. Thank you, Anne Rose
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I trust you will take all people's submissions into consideration as we all have valid concerns about these proposals by the WHO Josephine Worrall
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ihsan academy	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The WHO has proved to be totally unworthy of my trust, period. No way I would agree with this effort.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Crescent Public Schools	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RMIT	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Logistics consulting business	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability of all people in the world to vote including on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of global agreement in places where majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myownworld.org	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you

Organization	Written submission
Not applicable,	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. WHO can be held liable for damages where harm has occurred.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Wohaco ltda	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. thank you Kathryn Kingston
CanAda	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
No	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours sincerely Mo Roberts
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Stephen Worrall
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All parties concerned must fully abide by the principles of the Nuremberg Code, and the entire U.S. Constitution.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Religious exemptions must be honoured. 6. Lack of confidence in integrity of proposed healthcare solutions must be grounds for exemptions. 7. Individual level of risk adversity must be grounds for exemptions.
CKU, Chiba City, Japan	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
--None--	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pure Bloods	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Stephen Worrall
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lynx creations	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. A governing body can not mandate a one size fits all solution for all people. Individuals must have the right to make choices consistent with their morals and in the best interest of their own health and that of their family.
Bulgarian International Movement	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign being	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence, directly or indirectly, in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Ultimately, all individuals should retain full autonomy over their bodies and are born with and maintain the natural right to decide what is best for themselves and their children and their family. They also are responsible for their risk assessment and risk tolerance. Those who fear catastrophic illness or death will take any and all measures to remove themselves from their perceived risks and not impose risk mitigation onto any other individual.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Stephen Worrall
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PHBA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US CITIZEN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
LGI Properties, LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Swedes in New York	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pedson	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australian citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Placer County	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Absolute power corrupts absolutely.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IAH	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Please do not enter the US into a global treaty/agreement.
Healthcare	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
USA citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ERA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Harmonia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Target	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Regarding Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A medical organisation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Weiss Family Organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bishop Design	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Business	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kaiser	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Defend Florida	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Essex Power	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Windsor Essex County Health Unit	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Front Line Covid-19 Critical Care Alliance	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homemaker	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Artsen Collecties	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Fera	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, media companies or other global health businesses.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Stephen Worrall
QuantumTouch	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Everything that was done by Government with covid 19 was backwards. Local community's that we're not scared we're absolutely more able to adjust and communicate what works and did not work. The actual boots on the ground DR's knew the real safety of HCQ , IVM. And had the discernment to know the LANCET and NEJM put out completely overwhelming fraudulent studies. What happened and is still happening would not have been so devastating had real science been able to operate freely.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Together Declaration	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Weiss Family Organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ribic vision	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Free Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MAXDZ	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizens of planet Earth	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Groupe CFC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no organization, citizen of the U.S.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I strongly disagree with this proposal.
Nil	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Danish Furniture Ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BBC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
non/ US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
"General Public"	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Full access to all research on any health measures taken to vaccinate people.
Teacher	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
St Mary MacKillop Schools Australia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Supermicro	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Leeds Trading Post	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Billy Ghoate	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MAFA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Peeler House	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homeschooling Association	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FACCP	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Curtin University	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CHD	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
North Carolina Medical Society member	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Deerbrook	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
City of Saskatoon	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nurse	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Make America Great Again	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U S citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Alberta health services	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Madry Technologies Pty Ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Poland 🇵🇱	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada Post	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Adams Healthcare, PC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Affected citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I believe it is not the WHOs place to have direct influence over policy the world over.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public / Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
All Intentional	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Allowing Independent reviews to be conducted where seen fit, without consequences and help make suggestions that would benefit the people of that nation, in a Independent review that would be available for the people to be able to make an informed decision without any consequences to themselves or others.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Cannot subvert any country's laws or Constitution.
dmc	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Education	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Covex	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NHS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
(None)	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Larissa Stevens	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizens for Bodily Autonomy and Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. As a US citizen, I do not want the WHO having any say in how my country handles its health policies. I am vehemently opposed to this proposal. Patricia McNulty
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I will follow my own guidelines.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not affiliated	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All persons will keep their inalienable rights (i.e. the right to freely breathe without obstruction, the right to choose their own medical procedures, the right to travel, etc.) without persecution from government, state, jobs, etc. in the event of any declared emergency.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
God's Girl	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self Employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WHO	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Verönd/Heimur þúsundþjalasmiðsins	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Chandler Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal response	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cornode Educational Consulting	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Medical Association	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australian Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
JED	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen of free nation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ED	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hell's Bells Performance Training	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health organizations.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Erin Machuk Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measure that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Associates	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Waddell & Reed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nurse	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Evi Dixon	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
National Health service	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Many Paths to Healing, LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. THIS IS THE MOST IMPORTANT GUIDANCE. ABSOLUTELY NO INPUT OR PARTICIPATION BY PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATIONS.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Teacher association	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Time limit of no more than 12 months, with no extensions. Research moved so fast on the Covid Pandemic that it is possible to figure out what works in 12 months.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PBSI	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Interior Design	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Moms for Liberty	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FLCCC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homemaker	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nil	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Tom Siler	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration Tom Siler M.D.
Human with concerns	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Autonomy of each citizen over a fundamental right to choose what and how to manage their own body.
World citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SKRP Media LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mister Butler	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profitee
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen of the United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Evolution	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pissed off American Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain FULL AUTONOMY, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is BEST FOR THEIR OWN PEOPLE. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to OPT OUT of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, WITHOUT CONSEQUENCE. 3. An OPEN and TRANSPARENT process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people DO NOT WANT IT. 4. Measures that DO NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. NONE!
citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
U.S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Moose International	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization affiliation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Open data files to ensure transparancy.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This should not usurp the United States constitution.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UNAM	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self as a person in the US	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Metro Wastewater	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stand for Health Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Tb	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Children's Health Defense	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SMNW	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family at Hand	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Spectrum Health Lakeland	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All citizens of the world are entitled to informed consent to weigh the benefits and risks of medical therapeutics without coercion.
MBA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Canadian citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australian Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UCO ong	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal Ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizens	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. An absolute right of any individual to refuse, without ANY consequence, any medical treatment including vaccination, masks and/or testing. Countries should have no right to enforce mandatory vaccination, masks and/or testing or any other medial treatment nor the right to enforce that, indirectly, by coercing not compliant individuals by unlawful and discriminatory laws and rules (for example: If you are unvaccinated you cannot travel, you cannot enter a public space.
M4L	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Fortinet	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Medical Spa llc	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Oceanside School District	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Usa citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
DIVO	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family Chiropractic Clinic	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Recognition that the practically uniform world wide response to Covid 19 (lockdowns, masks, vaccinations) had virtually no positive effect on stopping the spread and that it had a disastrous effect on the world economy and the health of the world.
PSA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Spa	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bet Lev Foundation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom industries	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedomvision	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
South Fork Thrift and Discount	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthcare	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Aya Peard Media	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freelancer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Boston Fire Department	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
teacher	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AppBusinessBrokers	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
City of Hamilton	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virtus	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human race	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
just me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None (n/a)	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bulgaria	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open

Organization	Written submission
personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tucker Chiropractic	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SCF concerned citizens	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hofhuis farms inc	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Parent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None. Self.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I strongly oppose to the WHO having control over all nations in response to a pandemic and individual right being overridden.
Joanne Hough	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
5G Free RI	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No censorship of those doctors and scientists who do not agree with the pharmaceutical driven narrative. Choice for people to use their own health protocols rather than a One Size Fits All as prescribed by the WHO.
Independent Researcher	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unaffiliated	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University Health Network	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kootenay Freedom Alliance	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WCH Org.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you!! Linda
PSC Disability Consulting and Training	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FNUK	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BFRU	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Brklyn Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CARLOS NIETO	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Heath decisions need to be made by each individual.

Organization	Written submission
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
county resident	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
non violent communication	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
DMC Services	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human being	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am a United States of America citizen.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. thanks.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health are worthless. 5. NO one should be forced to rely on self-appointed, conflict of interest plagued, non-voted on, non-regulated, or otherwise wildly compromised people to "help them", simply because they have installed themselves as "THE Science" or "THE Help". No one agency which has not been voted in and cannot be voted OUT should ever obtain this much control.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Honouring of Informed Consent with all Information Statements for Vaccines and/or Prescription Medications fully transparent. No coercion or mandates. 6. Open Scientific Debates with all Professional views being expressed and discussed. 7. Eliminate Emergency Use Authorization of medications that have not gone through the full range of trials for 5-10 years. 8. Make Pharmaceutical Companies fully and legally liable for damages including Vaccine Injuries. 9. Allow Off-Use of Medications that are already proven safe for early treatment and prevention.
Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Transparency of all data and scientific information related to any decisions put forward.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ME	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. TRG
Local Residents Assocaiation Kardinya	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
LightHouseToronto	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen and human being of a world without control!!	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profi
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The above items must be allowed.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No individual be denied their right to refuse a medical procedure (my body, my right) and to not be coerced/forced/punished/fined/vilified for refusing (per UN/NZ Bill of Rights). 6. A person's religious objections are to be respected and upheld.
person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
verizon	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
APP	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen of the United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HDC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IMF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pfizer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AARP	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Individual citizens have FULL AUTONOMY to refuse medical treatments including vaccines.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired RN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no affiliation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pfizer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
National Sovereignty Organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Shanita	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PCM	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jefferson Dept. of Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U. S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Yoga & Meditation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human effected by this decision	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ICAN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. My body and I should be able to have the authority to do what I feel is best for me.
TPUSA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WDM Geology	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Individuals are allowed to opt out of any and all mandates, for any reason. Individuals maintain full autonomy for determining what is best and proper for them and their minor children and/or legal dependents. Minor children are defined as anyone under the age of 18.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dr. Jennifer Lees, LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Marsha Verde
Citizen of United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration of these comments:-)
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dobson Building and Engineering	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
managment	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public School System	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WHO	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WHO	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank You Mike
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Other	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BPP	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
space consulting	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UA Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Convergence Ensemble	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Childrens Health Defense	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Race - American Division	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Z	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SMOC Behavioral Healthcare	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The United States of America rejects the proposal of a W.H.O. treatie. We have all the resources to manage any pandemic or health crisis. We The People are against any outside entity having power and control of the health and welfare of Americans. Sincerely, Dolores Walton
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Erica M. Elliott, MD	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MICHELINE LAPOINTE	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
33	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Eduwrite	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WEF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hudbay	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Wbh	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Too much power in the hands of few unelected officials
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual Rights Matter	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Respect for individual rights and bodily autonomy above all else.
The Human Race	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Pittsford Central Schools	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ProInterior and Co.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Human Race	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Student	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Us	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Time to represent the peple
Homemaker	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
gink jimblers united	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jacqui bateman	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers.
Svetla	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
DASF Enterprise Ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mind Matters	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
JOLEEN BLACK ACUPUNCTURE	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SDSU	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of US	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Trina Matthews hair & nails	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American citizen	1. National and local leadership RETAIN full AUTONOMY, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for THEIR OWN PEOPLE. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities TO OPT OUT OF ANY AND ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, WITHOUT CONSEQUENCE.. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for ALL PEOPLE of the world to VOTE on - INCLUDING failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that DO NOT ALLOW FOR INFLUENCE in the process BY ANY AND ALL PHARMACEUTICAL companies OR other global health PROFITTERS.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Chad Mitchell Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Solo	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
# Stop The Treaty	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CRES	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
autozone	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Academic	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My own	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
J.R Mudd Design	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nurse	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NoCollegeMandates.com	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Copley	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Primal Cuisine	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BBV Construction.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that strictly uphold the medical principles of informed consent of the individual 6. Measures that do not require violating privacy of medical interventions and medical records nor aim to classify and expose citizens to others or to authorities on the basis of their personal medical decisions 7. Measures that do not condition or undermine, directly or indirectly, the exercise of the right of "my body, my choice", and do not make it's exercise a basis for the loss of exercise of other basic human rights. 8. Measures that cannot be a source of confidential or secret agreements, records between authorities and providers, contractors or servicers involved in the proposed response.
Concerned Citizens for Health Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Autonomous Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Reduce the undo influence of the W.H.O. on dictating policies that go counter to human rights by allowing the opposition to have equal media time to express their views that may go counter to any narrative.
Being Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence at any time. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Anyone related to not be involved.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WM	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Rocky Mount Eye	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is maintained. 3. It is critically important to maintain an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on questions including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be in placed and enforced that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen Representative	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health agencies.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Joint	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Freedom Westchester	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U S Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Freedom Louisiana	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen Representative	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
humans	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Medical freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures t1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.hat do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Amy
Concerned human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Resident of U.S.A.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Holdware	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
unaffiliated physician	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks! Best, Sam
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
R2beadtoo	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sole trader	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HCSS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
parish Medical Center	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5.Better yet let's don't participate! James Nichols MD
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. JEnnif
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Stop the treaty NOW!!
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Absolutely NO ruling that ever interferes with bodily autonomy of each individual. My body, my choice.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kingfisher Adventures	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Altessa Inc.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nil	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World freedom association of sovereign people	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. As a sovereign being I do not consent to being governed by big tech, big pharma, who or any global alliances in response to any future declared pandemics.
Lifeline Direct	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I agree.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of U.S.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Gloria Plascencia Portraits	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Chavez Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Citizen of U.S.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Treatments and/or vaccines especially those of experimental and/or mRNA are to be voluntary only. Coercion, blocking of any service, job or other reprimand is to be strictly prohibited should anyone refuse treatment and/or vaccination.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
black rabbit consulting	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Child of God, citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Florida Freedom Keepers	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Take MS Back	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
...	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RTR Truth in Media	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ret.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. One method suits all is not an option. different circumstances suit different measures. 6. the current pandemic was handled badly as far as the general public is concerned. Methods must suit the majority not the powerful and rich only. 7. The medical people who are the guides to heath measures to take should be non political and non partizan and no links to those who will profit the most.
person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mother	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Health Organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Neshanic Pastures LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IM Coaching	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Christian	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
T G Residents' Committee	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Rules and Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Know Your Rights Foundation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Brave Church	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ARKT	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
us citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including, failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Breese Enterprises	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Kenneth Daniel Broach
Individual person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Judd Farm	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Parent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Western Australia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Abbott	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human race	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
East Boston	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Ability for open and public debate on all policies prior to any suggestions and implementation.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Peggy Bojduj Pilates and Fitness	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Calgary Catholic School District	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Take MS Back	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. -
Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ACLU	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. That freedom of choice be retained by all citizens in terms of prevention & treatments of any declared pandemic & that none of those options be banned, discredited or otherwise suppressed.
batcactus international	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FREEDOM LOVING AMERICAN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Individual Human Rights MUST be respected. Nuremberg Code Must be applied and respected.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Miles City Vet Service	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please excuse the cut and paste. Just received this on short notice.
Physician	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Salt City Chiropractic	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Conservative Party of Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CO4Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Complete right of individual to opt out of any inspections, mandates, treatments, etc. 6. No removal of patients' bill of rights in hospitals, clinics, outpatient settings, etc.
JAGDust	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Physician in my own private practice.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Seniors for Freedom to Choose	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a - individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MDCE	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO is NOT a government authority by any charter of the United States of America. No international dictates are lawful. The Constitution for the United States and the Bill of Rights preserve natural individual rights.
WMUZ	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. There must be accountability to the people, and a process guaranteeing the right of the people in all countries impacted by the adoption of this Treaty to vote and be able to say NO to adoption. No punishment as a result of such a vote. 6. There must be guaranteed appeal procedures, transparency that prevents excess authority, favouritism, or corruption in the application of this Treaty. 7. National & individual autonomy must not be facilitated or allowed by adoption of this Treaty.
Truth, Freedom, Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TGRV (Vice President)	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Rules and measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VacationFix	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cru	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Complete right of individual to opt out of any inspections, mandates, treatments, etc. 6. No removal of patients' bill of rights in hospitals, clinics, outpatient settings, etc. Thank you, Tamara Doci
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TCDSB	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health for profit entities.
Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process whit the ability for all people the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or their global health profiteers. N.
Fred Endow	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Center for Fitness Resources LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Do not belong to any formal organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Henry Hall and Associates	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Rules and conditions that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MOM	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Democracy. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Transparency in all data relating to recommended actions, policies and treatments proposed. Access to sound, peer reviewed data reviewed by independent councils with no financial conflicts prior to proposing an intervention.
Private Sovereign Citizrn	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that strictly uphold the medical principles of informed consent of the individual 6. Measures that do not require violating privacy of medical interventions and medical records nor aim to classify and expose citizens to others or to authorities on the basis of their personal medical decisions 7. Measures that do not condition or undermine, directly or indirectly, the exercise of the right of "my body, my choice", and do not make it's exercise a basis for the loss of exercise of other basic human rights. 8. Measures that cannot be a source of confidential/secret agreements/records between authorities and providers/contractors/servicers involved in the proposed response.
American	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private practice	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Narodna Mreza	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Channel 7 TV Producer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Rules and measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tauranga Psych	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent (self employed business owner)	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Complete right of individual to opt out of any inspections, mandates, treatments, etc. 6. No removal of patients' bill of rights in hospitals, clinics, outpatient settings, etc.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
APT Metrics	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
farm	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Defeat the mandate	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Medical Practice	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profited.
Weston	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MD	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mother Earth	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canyon Hills Assembly of God	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Bulgarian	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
people for freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sean Lymworth RMT	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Sean
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Horas Bangso Batak	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Consultant	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kremena Anastasova	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The ability to travel worldwide without vaccine and test restrictions should be considered as a human being right.
non	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transperrant proccess with the abillity for all people of the world to vote on including falesafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bulgarian Medical Society	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Defund WHO	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The McAlpin Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bulgaria	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical
Bulgaria	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open
Unaffiliated	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health
Concerned citizens	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profite
Alchemy's Grove	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Organization of Liberty	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bulgaria	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open
The Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizens Rights	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Alex Covo Studio NYC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Eu citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Integrity Personal Training	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
godie	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the Human Race	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not Applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Generali public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ralitza	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open
United States citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5.Truly a bad idea when every country, state or city has unique nuances that needs to be addressed at a local level.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SELF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of American	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Complete right of individual to opt out of any inspections, mandates, treatments, etc. 6. No removal of patients' bill of rights in hospitals, clinics, outpatient settings, etc.
Bulgaria	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Natural Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
European institutions	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No medical mandates.
Freelance translator, member of the public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Republic of Bulgaria	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
An individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
INDIVIDUAL	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TPC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Life	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The sovereignty of each and every nation, province or state, municipality and region are to be above any declarations of the WHO.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Rocca Building NSW Pty Ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
California Teachers Association	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ch9 Director	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures and Rules that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Y	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Privat	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NZ Convoy	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Winner Spinner	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Global Health int	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Foundation Vedi Makrina	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Mafa	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Holy Spirit	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ideality-AA ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mafa	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Flinders University	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self, Public Health Professional	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that totally prevent influence in the process by any and all companies or philanthro-capitalist foundations.
Nunya business	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Mind & Gut Clinic	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Nurse	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Viva	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dr Krista ND	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Aletheia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Фондация "Брадърхуд Чарити Груп България"	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Children's Health Defense - CA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Shangri la farms ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Viva	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No unelected Global body should have a mandate to override the wishes of a sovereign nation, this also includes any corporate entity.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
IRT	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Human dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms are to be fully respected. 6. The interests and welfare of the individual should have priority over the sole interest of science or society”. 7. The autonomy of persons to make decisions, while taking responsibility for those decisions and respecting the autonomy of others, is to be respected. For persons who are not capable of exercising autonomy, special measures are to be taken to protect their rights and interests.
We the People	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Law Enforcement	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Considering the WHO's active disregard of the UNESCO BIOETHICS ACT, namely passive encouragement of unlawful mandates around the globe via means of coercion, it appears therefore, that any international treaty is open to being disregarded at will.
Sv. Nadella	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizens	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Millennia Cloud	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
We the People	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the processes by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Being	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada Concerned Citizens	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
R.N.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Each country should be allowed the sovereignty to manage the health and wellness of its own people in response to any medical threat in their country. All persons are to be guaranteed personal bodily autonomy and the Patient's Bill of Rights must be guaranteed in all situations.
Public department	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Kind regards Slavi Bogdanov
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Decisionbox	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privae	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cornelia Ministries, Inc.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen NZ	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VGH	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EU citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Danes for Medical Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tidebreaker Ltd.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Flccc	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Providence Health & Services	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
NOYFB	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Matt
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Natural person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Owner operator	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Surbiton Osteopaths	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mother	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NHS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Ucs	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all
Hands Off Our Kids	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen of the United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence and without question. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on every single measure, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that prevent the influence of corporations or non-commercial organizations in decision-making. Private citizens of nations alone should possess all decision-making power.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Prevention of the Tech Giants from silencing alternative views from medical professionals.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Help the planet	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours, William
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign woman	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Norway - Norwegian	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind Regards, Rinn Rolfsen
My Own	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hazardous Frog	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
An individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
International Art	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No fiscally benefiting person and/or organization shall decide the fate of any nation or group of people; for the decisions of this benefiting party would be skewed. The power shall only lie in the hands of those whose goal is to aid others without self benefit. Before this is the entire reason there is organization for health.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A woman	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Fredom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SELF employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Woodfield Language Services	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen of the United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lumen Animae	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
POLSKA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Plankton Pundit	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Owner	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Aristotle Associates	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Business Owner	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. And open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the world	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Wendy Murray	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Scarborough Chiropractic Centre	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Landscape	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
desM ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NHS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Uk citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
unaffiliated	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteer
Ecomission 21	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
AUsomeTalk	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Australia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies".
White Willow	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 6. The human right to fully informed consent, making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are. 7. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA. 8. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action. 9. An acknowledgement of the rights for open discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Integrative care Ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards Simon Billings
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stop The Treaty	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ship 7 Delaware	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lambsmead	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Swiss Landscaping LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dobson Building and Engineering	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bath Spa	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Vaccines should never be forced on any individual.
HALSEY BUILDERS LTD	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nil	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Member of public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Forum voor Democratie	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence._ making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Willow Properties Warrington Limited	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
counsellor	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ironside Ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
New Zealand	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
factotum	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
James Erith	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers

Organization	Written submission
No organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences
No organisation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Iweb365	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. I feel the above are critical to wellbeing. Humanity might be one global family but it is made up of individuals.. who are each unique and have rights based on that. To take away these freedoms is not ever acceptable.
Simple Login	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. worldcouncilforhealth
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
null	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Patrick McCormick Safety	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None - private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IKAPA Technology Services GmbH	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
business	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IKAPA Technology Services GmbH	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Pool Company	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Thai People's Freedom Trust	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Global Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TFF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers 5. The treaty should acknowledge that individual bodily sovereignty is an irrevocable human right, and that all persons shall always have the right to refuse or accept any vaccine or medical treatment.
Australia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ritachka Acupuncture & Optimal Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Any measures accepted by individuals, must require full disclosure, full transparency and informed consent.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
House wife	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nothing	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family Martial Arts Association	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
home based studies	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health pro
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence or penalty. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ARM	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Naturskyddsföreningen Sverige	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Complete right of individual to opt out of any inspections, mandates, treatments, etc. 6. No removal of patients' bill of rights in hospitals, clinics, outpatient settings, etc. 7. Prevention of the Tech Giants from silencing alternative views from medical professionals.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person opinion	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Private Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not Applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Children's Health Defense	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. One size fits all medicine is simply bad medicine. Informed Consent must always be honored as the bedrock of prudent and ethical medicine. Thank you
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cafegroup	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Otherwise I do not agree or comply with the WHO Treaty
ddsls	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Poland	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Rights Watch	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers 5. The treaty should acknowledge that individual bodily sovereignty is an irrevocable human right, and that all persons shall always have the right to refuse or accept any vaccine or medical treatment.
Independent Country United Kingdom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
#StopTheTreaty	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Otherwise I do not agree or comply with the WHO Treaty
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independant	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hope group	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of the public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Otherwise I do not agree or comply with the WHO Treaty
Us citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
QLIC.org	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australian citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global
Not Applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on incl
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
nil	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lux sd bhd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Vancouver General hospital	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Nord University	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Koster & Birdsall Partnership	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CustomWorks	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Include religious exemptions
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Copia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Civil person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world To vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a major of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Informed Fitness	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SITP	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Goodness Growers	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.rd
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not Applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NHS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am writing in my personal capacity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
nil	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Crystal Sound Medicine	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. To look at true health, natural immunity. To promote good healthy organic food, clean water, clean air and strategies to undo the poisoning of people and our planet.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jeena sikho	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. not want it.
WPO world people organisation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the world	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Student	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3, An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal submission.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lifting the Fog Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteer
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizens	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United kingdom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Resident of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
USA sovereign citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. I live in the USA and will never give up my health sovereignty to any foreign or domestic organization.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Heritage Woodworking, LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 6. There shall be no censorship of doctors, nurses or therapeutics.
Member of EARTH	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dr. Patrick Purdue, DOM	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
People of the World	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Global	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Patriot	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
School	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
man on the land	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
H.R. Holp & Sons, Inc.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteer.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
nome	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I believe the WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member if public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The WHO completely responded incorrectly to the covid virus, and I do not trust the corrupt world health organization. I will not comply.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Stop Globalist's Greed and Control desires NOW!
Business owner	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote. This should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Convention of States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the world	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, NGO's or organizations. 5. Independent scientists and auditors teams selected independently with no conflicts of interest within the last 5 years confirmed with background checks in each country/state who are given full access to all information and answer directly to the people with regular reports on data, action plans, budget, etc.
human being	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that allow each Human Being to decide for themselves their own health care without being cut off from their own funds or ability to care for themselves and one another, nor denied proper and timely quality health care and disease prevention as they do choose.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Any measures recommended or mandated by the WHO or any other global body with influence over the UK must be openly and transparently including with online resources: a) fully explained with supporting evidence for any claimed benefit b) fully costed with a clear indication of all the expected consequences, their economic and quality of life year (QALY) costs c) a reasonable period of time for public discussion, debate and counterargument - at least 10 days.
Human Race	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MPC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. God will judge your decisions- so be aware that He is in charge. With concern, Michelle Harrast
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
van B studio	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Circumventing proper quality assurance processes will not be allowed in the testing of medication and medical treatments that are to be distributed to the general public. EVER! 6. Pharmaceutical companies will not be exempt from legal actions against them when their products cause harm.
Sovereign Being	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Inclusion of common sense free and cheap easily available remedies, exercises and practices to boost natural immunity.
Frances Shure Designs	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Just a concerned Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Yuga Dharma	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Non-binding recommendations only. 6. Acknowledgement of Nation-State sovereignty. 7. The right of Nation-States to follow their own course of actions contrary to the WHO. 8. The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 9. Transparency: a. All trial information of "vaccines" will be made public before general use. b. Doctors shall have autonomy to pre-treat patients. c. There shall be no censorship of doctors, nurses or therapeutics.
Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Quantum Yachts	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cardinal Lane INC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO has no right to have any control over our country. We as individual citizens should have the right to decide what is best for us concerning our health, not any organization. The WHO's function concerning international preparedness when it comes to pandemics and such should be only limited to reporting information. We can decide individually what to do with that information.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public / private person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5.
sustainable community	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
R S Black & Co	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
108 Healing spaces	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Resident of US	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VG Media	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks, Scott
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cornerstone United inc	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Natomas USD for Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We should not strip nations of their rights to set their own health policies. A one size fits all approach has never been a good idea as each nation and individual is different. Thank you
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free Citizen of the United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privé	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
#together	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Ultimately, personal choice has to be maintained. There is no one size fits all policy that can be unlawfully blanketed across the populous. Any individual must have the right to abstain.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired American Military Member	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The Natural God-given rights of every personon earth should never be trampled by an unelected world health organization.
NONE	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Freedom Convoy	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
as an individual, a grandmother and a mother	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Informed private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The right of any country to immediately withdraw from any agreement or part thereof in the event of a change of government. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on issues of human rights (including, but not limited to public consultation and referendums on any type of proposed medical mandate), ensuring failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or entities representing such parties. 6. Elected and fully accountable leaders charged with the implementation of any such agreement to be independently vetted to be free of political, commercial or financial vested interests, nepotism or otherwise stand to gain from the performance of their duties under any such agreement. 7. Irrevocable enshrinement of the entire original Nuremberg Code and all of its tenets without immunity from prosecution for those seeking to circumvent the principles of human rights and medical ethics. 8. The pursuit of scientific evidence free of bias, commercial or other vested interests to inform any key recommendations.
Private person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hospice RN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal Submission	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or other global organizations.
World Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places/for individuals(!!) where a majority of the people do not want it/if any individual doesn't want it, should be able to pull out from the agreement including the individual's underage children! 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Medical freedom and choice for every individual. 6. Risk vs. Benefit stated. Health conditions taken into effect. 7. Bodily and National protection from global authority.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Commercial real estate	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Not for profit approaches only!
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hok	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Marusya Petkova	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RTLMI	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places/for individuals(!!) where a majority of the people do not want it/if any individual doesn't want it, should be able to pull out from the agreement including the individual's underage children. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individuell	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places/for individuals(!!) where a majority of the people do not want it/if any individual doesn't want it, should be able to pull out from the agreement including the individual's underage children. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
National Health Service NHS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Arc	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Florida Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of United Kingdom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizens	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Repurposed drugs be allowed to be used and prescribed by physicians.
American Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stand Up Michigan	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
European citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizens	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, NGO's, or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Partner Hand	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
not applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Marquardt Farms, LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freewoman	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places/for individuals(!!) where a majority of the people do not want it/if any individual doesn't want it, should be able to pull out from the agreement including the individual's underage children. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No establishment outside of our own country and constitution should be making any decisions for the US people. This is ridiculous!
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Salesforce	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Vax control group	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health companies
Member of the general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Comunidade Liberdade Algarve	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Shipping	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PT	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
3AD	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NYS Teacher Asst.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ministry	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or others looking to profit.
CoJC&MF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Take MS Back	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sweden	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
GRTG Foundation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for the process by any and all pharmaceutical or other global health profiteers.
Free Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Good citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Maltabookers	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Haus	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self/citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. An organization with reputable medical professionals to run vaccine testing, where transparency in data can be seen by everybody and not have to wait 75 years to see this info.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
representing my family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Catholic Church	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not representing an organization. Just a concerned US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AFW4F	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
F.u	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Metrik Studio	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. That holistic health care modalities such as nutritional supplements, homeopathy, Ayurveda, Oriental Medicine, and any other holistic modality be equally available to all those who are wanting it, regardless of whether or not the powers that be including the pharmaceutical industry approve of it.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical
Citizen of US and Citizen of Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Council for Sanity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom Foundation	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RCF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No data should ever be used from countries that do not allow full transparency. Data from these countries should be considered fundamentally unsound and inaccurate.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen comment	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australian Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Tbc	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
Toll Transport	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Our America 21	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired RN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NHS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Household	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Basic human rights, dignity, and freedom MUST be preserved otherwise people will cease to feel human and mental health will skyrocket evermore. 6. Given the nature of the stakes involved with any decision that affects life and death for people around the world, those who act in bad faith wanting to harm and not promote healing must be brought to justice swiftly.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Photographer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stand for Health Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WCH	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Indi	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Information, clinical data and outcomes, procedures and medications should not be suppressed or limited ensuring freedom of the practice of medicine to determine the optimal methods for management and treatment. Rather, information should be widely shared to enhance clinical decision making and formation of consensus. 6. Doctors should never be penalized or limited in their scope of making good-faith experience-based clinical decisions on behalf of their patients. 7. No approach can be one size fits all and must ensure freedom for all involved.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of United State of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non profit	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Four Site Leadership	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Us citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Next Step Produce	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Next Step Produce	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Next Step Education	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Next Step Education	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. Retention of individual human rights. 3. An open and transparent process where all people of the world can vote to include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement anywhere a majority of the people do NOT want it. 4. Measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health entities.
Citizen of the United States of America and resident of the state of Ohio	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the proposed agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it nor need it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
school	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
S.T.I.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Felco Business Services, Inc	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time and consideration of this response Karel Anthony Phoenix, Arizona, USA
mother	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free People of the World	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Astral Mira	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TRI R CONSTRUCTION	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
STOP THE TREATY	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The People	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The right for individuals to indefinitely withdraw their consent and retain their inalienable rights. In addition, the removal of any and all implied consent for their Government to sign any legal document (contract) forming an instrument or treaty on their behalf.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Lisa Ticehurst
Wattsun Tech	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sustainable Arlington	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities and all individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Individuals may opt out without consequence even if their governing body votes otherwise. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Pharmaceutical companies will be held financially liable for any damage from any product used in a pandemic. 5. Coercion of any kind (threats of loss of jobs, rights, status) and enticements (offers of money or other benefits) will not be permitted, and those who propose or enforce them will be personally liable, without protection of office.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the people do not want it, whether these people form the majority or minority. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities, individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Religious exemptions to opt out of any and all portions of the agreements.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, regions, and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The world cannot be one size fits all. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. But no organization or government may force any sovereign human to act or speak in a manner unwillingly. No person can be placed under obligation by any other person or organization. For any reason. The overreach and intrusions have already exceeded beyond our unalienable rights. People do not need governments or organizations making decisions and/or forcing policies and mandates onto people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I repeat, without consequence. 3. God created us to be able to handle what Earth may throw at us. We do not answer to the WHO or anygovernment. We believe in our savior Jesus Christ. No man has power and authority over another. Back off.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 . Provision that retains respect for an individual's bodily autonomy. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen of the USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must have the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that prevent all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers from influencing the process.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. National and local municipal Human Rights legislation, established Human Rights Common Law, and International legal agreements pertaining to Human Rights to take precedence at all times.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
HollaWealth	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I do not want the Treaty to be signed by any country especially Canada
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Morgan Stanley / self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MER LTD	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retour Inc	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Executive Solutions	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
private US concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, tech companies, multi-national corporations, nor any entity that can yield undue influence due to size and scope of influence such as but not limited to news or reporting organizations that can be biased. Any reporting should contain any positive or negative discussions with no input or the journalists' personal feelings or thoughts.
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Full disclosure of all financial holdings, affiliations, and interests by each official participating in any pandemic response.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mechanical Engineer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal submission	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mechanical Engineer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal submission	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
DigiTel	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Full disclosure of all financial holdings, affiliations, and interests by each official participating in any pandemic response.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual and family leader	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University of Southern California	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Genevieve Hicks Design	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tuberias Procarsa	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homo sapien	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
a US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
OMR, Inc.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I'm an everyday hard working concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unaffiliated	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Joensuun Luontaistuote A.Korhonen Oy	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Adhere to the Nuremburg Code. No mandates of experimental drugs or vaccines. 6. We the People retain our right to Body Autonomy. 7. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people."
USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Sharla Winters
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Freedom from coerced/forced/mandated vaccines especially when vaccine is experimental. Allow doctors freedom to treat patients without fear of losing their license. Remove pharma protection from liability from vaccines.
Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
DaisyMD	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Visceral Adventure	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Traders Plus	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Welles Consulting	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SELF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Badass, Inc.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lido	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Registered Nurse	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Joyce Haver & Associates	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please take this into consideration. We don not want to be governed by WHO in any way.
Beacon Music	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Florida, USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired HC professional	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This should be left to localities and nations, not the WHO
Quinn Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Moms for Liberty MOrris county NJ	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Work from home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lettuce Be the Change	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unity project online	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hemostatix	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unity project online	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I represent myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. 3. Anon and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
United States Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BGPS PLtd.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NGO Black Sea Route	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self employer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Entity	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
An Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australian resident	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
An Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
An Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
one of the normal people	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Center for Health and Education Edicts	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Queensland Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Being	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All individuals retain full autonomy over their bodies and are born with and maintain the natural right to decide what is best for themselves and their children and their family.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Access Refrigeration	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nina Moliver	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. A clear provision that any individual may opt out of any medical procedure or vaccination on the basis of personal choice. 6. Protection from coercion to submit to any medical procedure or vaccination
Vienna University of Economics and Business	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen of the world	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
nick graves	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on "preparedness and response" measures, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. As a guarantee of individual autonomy and freedom, and as a recognition of the tendency of governments to use "preparedness and response" for political oppression, that for both experimental and non-experimental medical treatments of any kind, the following language from the 1947 Nurnberg Code be adopted by WHO: "The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision."
Bulgarian Church	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a significant number of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Rocky View Coounty	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person only	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BIA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humankind	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
V Becker LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VIRGINIA FREEDOM KEEERS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a--concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Ability for individual countries, states and localities to experiment on their own with diverse and creative ways to respond to a future pandemic, lessening the possibility of groupthink, and increasing the opportunity for innovative solutions and sharing of best practices.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self- Virginia Freedom Keepers	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PSYCHOLOGIST	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I do not know where to find the Terms of the agreement below so I have not read them but I am checking as if I did to submit my thoughts.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
artist	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Thompson & Schroyer DDS, PC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mister Signs	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Underwater Adventures	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Practitioner	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Libertarian Party	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, mega corporations, banking institutions, big ag businesses or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Florida Freedom Keepers	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Who	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual citizen, not representing an organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration.
Freedom keepers	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Power corrupts... Absolute power corrupts absolutely! Thanks
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
1971	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
student	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. #stopthetreaty
Human kind	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedomkeepers Health Organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen of the United States of America	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Murray Business Solutions	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dom expert Ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizens for medical choice	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ME	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Only a consensus of proven experts from each country in the fields of sciences and public policy backed up by their scientific research and publications will be allowed to suggest possible solutions to whatever crisis should arise and citizens must give their consent within their countries before countries can participate.
PONTES SpLTD.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
farmer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Administrator	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Society	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Xxxx	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Concerned Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. MF
NA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Recognition of natural immunity. Give people the option to make decisions based on their own health concerns 6. Any treaty or "national instrument" needs to have - the ability for countries to maintain their own sovereignty - the ability for countries to disagree with WHO's suggested measures and make their own decisions - the protection of individual citizens and their rights/individual sovereignty to make their own decisions - the protection of individual citizens from discrimination if they choose not to follow WHO's suggested measures - the protection of doctors, scientists, and policy makers to disagree and express their position on matters relating to WHO's suggested measures
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Recognition of natural immunity. Give people option to make decisions on own health concerns. 6. Any treaty or national instrument needs to have the ability for countries to maintain their own sovereignty, ability for countries to disagree with WHO, with suggestion measures who can make their own decision, protection of individual citizens and their rights/individual sovereignty to make their own decisions, protection of individual citizens from discrimination if they choose not to follow WHO suggestioned measures, the protection of doctors, scientists and policy makers to disagree and express their position on matters relating to WHO's suggested measures.
Alpine Pacific Honey	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N.A.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N.A.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stripey Horse Health	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australian Citizens	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
GC Online Solutions	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. #STOP THE TREATY
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Complete right of individual to opt out of any inspections, mandates, treatments, etc. 6. No removal of patients' bill of rights in hospitals, clinics, outpatient settings, etc.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal opinion	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MSSN	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A transparent process with an ability of all people in the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in place where majority of people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general publik	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Synedrion Global Group of Companies	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal input	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank You.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ORION Consulting SA Ltd	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Armeec Insurance	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Odvjetničko društvo Jukić & partneri j.t.d.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ADK	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freelance	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USCitizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ES	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
New Zealand Inc	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dobrincka Dimitrova	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
freelance	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Hungarian citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Niya Mihaylova	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My Family	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AKCladding	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
a citizen of the EU	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
man	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ax-Varna	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - - - - - - - - -
person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UAP	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreementin places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.
Free citizen of the World	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All contracts between private or public companies with governments on drugs and vaccines to be public before the contracts are signed
Private Man	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Koleva	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private bussines "by Mare"	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Croatia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Australia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CDCPH	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Odp Asia	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lawyer	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Therefore, this agreement must be only a recomendation, not an obligation 6. If this agreement makes an obligatory one health aproach , then it is the defekt of Democracy and fundamental human rights , in other words inapplicable in its settings
---------	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5.Public tranaperency of the agreeements between pharmaceutical companies and national governments
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Non-obligatory and voluntary nature of all medical interventions. 6. Contracts and negotiations between governments and drug and vaccine companies should be all public before they are signed
private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
physical person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Boot Inn	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Civil citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteering.
Privat	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Uk Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BADJ	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Each and every individual has the right to accept or refuse medical treatment or interventions of any kind as they see fit without pressure, discrimination cohersian or forced in any way. Freedom of choice for each individual.
Tais Costa Howard	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people and individual of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5- The Nuremberg Code Should be adhered to
Organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All Technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 6. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's 'evolving science' is expressly forbidden. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systemic violations of human rights. 7. Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process is prohibited by law and is punishable. 8. The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment or artificially create alarm in response to spurious interests. If found guilty the member states should, in the public interest, agree to permanently stop all funding and relationship with the WHO. 9. The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest.
- None -	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
физическо лице	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
физическо лице	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I do not see why the WHO would need to control the entire world in terms of such a response. The over-estimations associated with the current pandemic, and the associaged lockdowns, are likely to have caused more health problems than the virus itself. Oher responses, such as the over priced and largely ineffective vaccines, have also been less than perfect. Many of these responses and result have been initiated by large organisations such as the WHO, and th affiliations that your organisation has with parties such as the Gates foundation and the CCP makes me doubt whether any future response will not be based on the requests of these types of entity. Scientists and doctors who have attempted to find answers other than those 'allowed' have had their careers ruined for no reason. I therefore do not trust the motivations behind this move, and believe strongly that the reasoning behind this is control rather than health.
физическо лице	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
nil	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Attorney at law	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
People of the world	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 6. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, what the ACTUAL risks are 7. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 8. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 9. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines Thank you.
I am an individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PRIVATE	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Say no to the great reset	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
LLB	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
attorney-at-law	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Attorney-at-law	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Purolator	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Each country - state - citizen must have the right to choose what's best for them.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dujmovic & partners g.p.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
free	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freelance	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
attorney at law	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Prof. chemistry	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Physical education teacher	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The human right to life, movement, decision-making on one's own health and any intervention concerning health - naturally acquired at birth and therefore inalienable.
Homemaker	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Strict criminal penalties for any pharmaceutical company agent/representative, other global health profiteers, or WHO member who has benefited from the influence of specific health measures.
parent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Strict criminal penalties for any pharmaceutical company agent/representative, other global health profiteers, or WHO member who has benefited from the influence of specific health measures.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
privately	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-Employed	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humankind	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Strict criminal penalties for any pharmaceutical company agent/representative, other global health profiteers, or WHO member who has benefited from the influence of specific health measures.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
School teacher	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RBX	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Student Organization	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Zagreb	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The human right to life, movement, decision-making on one's own health and any intervention concerning health is naturally acquired at birth and therefore inalienable.
Camden & Islington NHS Trust	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ja sam čovjek	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The human right to life, movement, decision-making on one's own health and any intervention concerning health is naturally acquired at birth and therefore inalienable.
Citizen of the USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CSSC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. pharmaceutical treatments must be fully tested, and results of these tests made available publicly, prior to making available to the general public.
U.S. Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of ANY and ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homeopathy Forum Center EOOD	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Truth and Freedom Seekers, Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Us citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Natural Person	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.”
zubolt ad	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Geografija	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CityHealth	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable - Individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NHS	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. That holistic health care modalities such as nutritional supplements, homeopathy, Ayurveda, Oriental Medicine, and any other holistic modality be equally available to all those who are wanting it, regardless of whether or not the powers that be including the pharmaceutical industry approve of it.
general public	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Theacher	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The human right to life, movement, decision-making on one's own health and any intervention concerning health is naturally acquired at birth and therefore inalienable.
Teachers Union	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The human right to life, movement, decision-making on one's own health and any intervention concerning health is naturally acquired at birth and therefore inalienable.
Hrkljuš d.o.o.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The human right to life, movement, decision-making on one's own health and any intervention concerning health is naturally acquired at birth and therefore inalienable.
Human	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The human right to life, movement, decision-making on one's own health and any intervention concerning health is naturally acquired at birth and therefore inalienable.
Property Management of Southern California	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Millennium Wellness LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Helping Hands CPR	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sveučilište u Zagrebu	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keeper	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ourspace, LLC	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Skola	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The human right to life, movement, decision-making on one's own health and any intervention concerning health is naturally acquired at birth and therefore inalienable.
-	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Penzioner	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The human right to life, movement, decision-making on one's own health and any intervention concerning health is naturally acquired at birth and therefore inalienable.
none	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Penzioner	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The human right to life, movement, decision-making on one's own health and any intervention concerning health is naturally acquired at birth and therefore inalienable.
	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HFDF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Freedom Defense Fund	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
kids House Montessori	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We oppose The Pandemic Treaty. Annie Jablonski
Health Freedom Defense Fund	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personel	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Health Freedom Defense	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Freedom Defense Fund	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HFDF	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BULGARIAN INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION - UNION OF THE BULGARIAN B	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n.a.	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people don't want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
G	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
British	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; who should maintain total medical freedom. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, their shareholders or any scientists with financial ties to those companies.
US citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best our citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is in the best interest of their own people, including the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. 2. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including solid measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 3. Measures that ensure that those who are in a position to profit from decisions are not able to influence decisions, such as pharmaceutical companies and other global health companies that made profits. 4. Measures to ensure that individual rights over bodily autonomy are respected and that individuals are able to decline participation in any medical treatment or procedure without threat, harm, loss of rights, or any present or future physical or non-physical property.
Registered Voter of the State of Rhode Island USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open transparent process that all the world's people can vote on, including fail-safe measures to prevent applying the global agreement where the majority don't want it. 4. Measures that don't allow influence by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5) Revert to the pre-2009 definition of 'pandemic', which didn't include a non-threat with near-100% survival. Restore the pre-politicized definition of herd immunity. Expunge the myth of 'asymptomatic spread'. 6) Follow science. Support the culture of scientific inquiry and free speech. 7) Prohibit financial and political conflicts of interest. 8) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 8) Heed your guidance against masking, border closures, lock-downs and disinfection. 10) Condemn mass-vaccination during a pandemic. 11) Acknowledge natural immunity's superiority. Encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 12) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie. 13) End PCR tests and other tools yielding false positives and misleading the public. 14) Forbid psychological tactics to induce fear and coerce compliance. 15) Require informed consent for any recommended interventions. 16) Ensure open, transparent decision-making processes.

Organization	Written submission
Self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions in the best interest of their own citizens. 2. The right of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Parallel Universe	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make medical decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None - Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make their own decisions. 2. The ability of nations and local communities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement if they wish, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or others who profit from global health
City of the Lord	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any portion of the agreement as they see fit and to make decisions based on what is best for their own people without consequence. 2. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I do not represent an organization: private citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, with full rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This must remain true even if those decisions are completely different from WHO recommendations and guidance. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places (from municipalities upwards) where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, unduly influenced NGOs, or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that prevent the influence on any pandemic preparedness and response planning or action by any and all pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers, or unduly influenced NGOS. 6. Measures that ensure that an open and transparent process is used to evaluate the value of repurposed off-patent pharmaceuticals, vitamins, diet and dietary supplements as part of any plan or response in comparison with any proposed drugs, biologics or vaccinations under patent. 7. Measures that ensure no plan or response limits human rights or freedoms in the UN universal declaration of Human Rights or the right of all people to refuse treatment without sanctions or consequence from government or employer. "Unduly influenced NGOs" means any organisation that obtains more than 0.1% of its funding from any single individual, government, pharmaceutical or company in the business of health, or other unduly influenced NGO.
Concern citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy,reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit,without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where majority of the people do not want it . 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely: K.P
Independent	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all peoples to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where unwanted by the majority. Above all there must be respect for national democratic processes, and protections respected to limit powers of unelected persons or bodies over sovereign nations and their peoples. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There must be transparency in regards to all potential conflicts of interests and clear systems in place that promote a balanced and evidence based approach. 5. There must be transparency in all financial dealings and payments.
citizen of USA	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An ability for all people of the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that strictly uphold the medical principles of informed consent of the individual.
self	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen USA	1. National and local leadership retain must have full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The process to establish preparedness and response should include a wide range of experts with impeccable reputations and no conflicts of interest. The end goal should be a strategy that considers cost along with the least harm, restrictions and negative impact on life.
Eduardo Wu, MChem, PhD	1. National and local leadership retain sovereignty, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or banks. 5. Retaining individual rights and bodily autonomy.
Shibui Art	1. National and local leadership retain. full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the AGREEMENT AS THEY SEE FIT, WITHOUT CONSEQUENCE. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizenship organisation for human rights in Prague	1. National and local leadership retains full autonomy and reserves the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability for nations and localities to opt out of any part of the agreement if they see fit, without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process where all people in the world can vote on it, including fail-safe measures that prevent the global agreement from being applied in places where a majority of people do not want it to be. 4. Measures that do not allow any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to influence the process.
None	1. National and local leadership retains full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Ability of nations and local leadership to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers. 5. Inclusion of the irrevocable option of choice by each individual regarding whether or not to accept any and all vaccines or other medical treatments. Recognition that individual bodily sovereignty is a basic human right.
none	1. National and local leadership sahould retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy and sovereignty, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities shall have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There shall be in place an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Any and all measures shall not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Any and all measures adopted by The World Health Organization shall be advisory only and shall not be binding on any nation or local municipality.
Concerned US Citizen	1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Different regions, ethnicities and other inherent variables prevent using a one size fits all strategy. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Because citizens have not elected or even accepted the members and the authority of the WHO, it is imperative that an open and transparent process exists, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on all matters, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration.
Representing myself	1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities shall have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Require an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Must have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, shall be preserved 3. There must be An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be kept that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Barnabas International	1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RealityShifters	1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures to prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of local citizens do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership SHALL retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen nurse	1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retiree	1. National and local leadership shoud retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Respectful treatment and guarantee of full free right for people, to decide over their own bodies, without suffering consequences (e.g. in all and any settings: overeaters should be allowed to eat; vaccine sceptics should be allowed to refuse vaccines; smokers should be allowed to smoke; ...) 6. Reports should be put into context - e.g. when reporting deaths such as through COVID-19 this should be put in context with all other deaths. 7. Proposed measures such as lockdown should be put in context and weighted against impact on: psychological health – including suicide and alcohol and drug consumption; social impact; economic impact; collateral damage.
General Public	1. National and local leadership should ALWAYS retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership should be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Health issues in one country may vary widely from one country to another which is why National and local leadership should be retained to address specific needs of each country.
Public	1. National and local leadership should be continue to be allowed to make decisions based on what is best for the people they serve. 2. Nations and local councils should retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.u 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy on pandemic and other health issues, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Altair Ecovillage	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, must be able to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and their unique situations. 2. The ability of every nation and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without ANY consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for every person in the entire world to vote on; including, most importantly, a failsafe measure that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers OR anyone who will gain financially from the measures requested. 5. All scientific facts must be open to debates to ensure that real statistics and true facts are considered for any and all decisions.
NA	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving any and all rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Without consequence, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other non-governmental agency. 5. A sunset clause, whereby any and all agreements expire every 2 or 3 years.
Concerned Citizen	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. All nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. This process should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Any enacted measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Point Nexus Consulting Inc	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own constituents. 2. The ability for any nations and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process for all people of the world to vote including Fail-Safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow undue influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or any other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and always giving informed consent and freedom of choice regarding any proposed treatments because every individual has a unique health history. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Liability always needs to protect all who receive medical treatments and protect lives, rather than protect profits of pharmaceutical manufacturers.
None	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and their sovereignty. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in the country. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of United States	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Global government is not the solution to global health risks. Please do not mistake the solution for the impact. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence and without permission. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The WHO should not have an authority that supersedes that of the nation. It should only be an advisory body to governments and public health officials 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open, transparent and highly publicised process relating to joining the treaty. Nations should hold referenda in which after a prolonged period of open, fair, and uncensored debate, they can vote on joining the treaty. People of the world should be able to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be strong safeguards against the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other national or international health organisations that exist primarily to promote pharmaceutical interventions such as vaccinations.
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The WHO should not have an authority that supersedes that of the nation. It should only be an advisory body to governments and public health officials 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open, transparent and highly publicised process relating to joining the treaty. Nations should hold referenda in which after a prolonged period of open, fair, and uncensored debate, they can vote on joining the treaty. People of the world should be able to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be strong safeguards against the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other national or international health organisations that exist primarily to promote pharmaceutical interventions such as vaccinations.

Organization	Written submission
Private citizen	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. All nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process should be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should disallow any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Every nation and local municipality should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people are against it 4. Must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5.No concentration of power in one individual should be allowed.
Restorative Solutions Maui	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures are required that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CNV	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. All people of the world should have open and transparent elections which should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should be put in place to prevent undue influence on the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private permanent resident of New Zealand	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be part of the decisions made.
I am not representing any organization	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Health care should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There whould be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should be put in place to eliminate any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should be put in place to eliminate any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should be put in place to eliminate any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. We should allow an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We should allow measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private person	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities should opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on issues including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be in place that preclude the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
mrakgraphics	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NONE	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be included. 3. Should include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Grace Bible Community Church, Halsey, Oregon, USA	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The right of any individual to refuse ANY medical procedure or treatment without any legal or economic consequences.
School Grounds Coffee	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit should be ncluded without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures should be added that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Michelle Bennett
Patrick M. Design	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence or pressure to join in. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This will garner trust from the people which has been lost in our current crisis. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or companies and/or corporations. Including having the people in power making the decisions disclose any and all affiliations, payments or other potential benefits from people who work in those corporations. Thier partners if applicable should also have any ties or associations with any large companies made available as well. Transparency in what is occurring is key to public trust. WHO should ensure no mandatory vaccination, medication or other medical procedures are undertaken/enforced on the world's population. WHO should allow the opportunity to all countries to choose to engage or not in aspects of health that may benefit the people, but all overall decisions should be up to that countries elected officials or the people at large.
N/A	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be necessary. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. STOP THE TREATY!!!!!
Private Citizen	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Save our Rights	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. National and LOCAL leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. It should include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or their associates. 5. Substantial studies should be done by multiple agencies prior to making any decisions regarding the safety of any person.
Myself	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There must be an ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures need to be free from influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There should be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be neasures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process which all people of the world are able to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be in place to prevent influence on the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process should be open and transparent, and all people of the world should have the opportunity to vote on decisions. 4. Failsafe measures should be in place to prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people are opposed to it. 5. Measures should also be in place that prevent pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to have influence in the decision-making process.
Houchin Community Blood Bank	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of the public	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There should not be any conflict of interest or corruption between decision makers and parties that stand to profit.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prevent the influence in the process by any and all individuals or firms that stand to gain financially or politically from it, e.g., oligarchs, pharmaceutical companies, or other health organizations.
No formal organisation, simply my husband and myself	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be retained. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. An independent body to review decisions of the WHO in relation to pandemic preparedness and response. 6. Trust and respect for personal choice and natural immunity.
None.	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people and to opt out of any and all recommendations without repercussion from any and all organizations directly or indirectly involved . 2. The process should be open and transparent, including sources of funding of the WHO.. 3. Failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Respect for the rights of all individuals to decide what is best for themselves and their families without coercion of any kind nor any repercussions affecting their ability to live life fully and make a living. . 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Repurposed drugs should be accurately and willingly considered and used for situations were the physician and patient see fit without any coercion or backlash from any agencies ,or health departments. 6. There should be no medical mandates of any kind.
Individual	1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make full decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreements as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Children's Health Defense	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy to decide what's best for their ppl 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prohibit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organisation	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving full rights, with no rights ever waivered to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequences; loss of rights, ability to travel and to conduct their business freely within society without prejudice. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of any parts of the global agreement in places where people, including individuals who do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global, national or local health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our and their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. We need all conflicts of interest exposed and dealt with first and foremost.
Concerned Citizen	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities to be free to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
public	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Retired	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jo Curle Acupuncture	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A sovereign human being	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Exceptional criminal sanctions against any organisation or person found to profiteer on the process up to and including the death penalty. 5. The inalienable right to absolute bodily autonomy in all circumstances- regardless. 6. The WHO only to have an advisory role. No active powers of any kind.
Linda Ugelow International LLC	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Treadgold Executive Development	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Full disclosure of all financial and other vested interests by any related parties be they government authorities/bodies, individuals, companies (especially media and pharmaceutical) 6. Enablement and encouragement of live open and/or recorded public debate by health professionals with opposing views, viewable on all social media channels and platforms without any censorship or retaliation
Private individual citizen	1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy. Governments reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This is extremely important. 4. Measures that do not allow for and fully prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health organisations that generate financial profits. All corporate entities and individuals should be prevented from exerting any influence in any actions or decisions with huge financial penalties ($ billions in fines) and long prison sentences for those found guilty of subverting the process. If these preventative measures are not in place the system will be wide open to abuse and corruption.
none	1. National and local leadership we vote into power must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Allow nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Provide an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. Include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. National and local leadership WILL retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	1. National and local leadership, as well as individual persons retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, themselves, and family. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None/US citizen	1. National and local leadership, preferably elected leadership, retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves.
Home Loan Options	1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves.
Victorian Health Alliance	1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves.
None.	1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves.
self	1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves.
Person of interest	1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves. 8. Most important freedom of one body, it does not belong to anyone but that person.
Citizen of the U.S.	1. National and regional leadership should retain full autonomy within their jurisdiction, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be ensured. 3. There must be an open and transparent process. All people of the world should be allowed to vote on whether or not measures will be implemented in jurisdictions where a majority of the people do not want them. 4. Policies should not be subject to influence by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National and subnational (e.g., state or city) governments should retain full autonomy, including but not limited to the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement unilaterally and with no consequences. 2. Pharmaceutical companies and similar entities and individuals with potential conflicts of interest should not be allowed to have any influence in the process.
Tam Tam Advertising	1. National as well as local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other trans-national health entities.
none	1. National constitution. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1. National government and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability of to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be strict measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. WHO protocols must remain advisory and not mandatory.
None	1. National government and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be strict measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. WHO protocols must remain advisory.
NONE	1. National government and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be strict measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. WHO protocols must remain advisory.
None	1. National government and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be strict measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. WHO protocols must remain advisory.
None	1. National government should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local authorities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they want, without any consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	1. National governments and authorities retain their full autonomy and have the right to make decisions based on developments, resources and opportunities for action in their own country, and thus to determine the most appropriate and best course of action for their own populations. 2. Nations and communities must be able to opt out of all parts of the agreement at their own discretion and without consequences. 3. Influence by pharmaceutical companies and other profiteers of global health on the content of the treaty, as well as later on decisions to be made in the event of a pandemic, must be excluded. 4. Legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies must be guaranteed. 5. In the case of authoritative decisions, it is also imperative to ensure that the full spectrum of opinions from specialists in a wide range of disciplines is taken into account.
Ontario Canada School Board	1. National leadership and policy, while safeguarding the health of the nation, should maintain each individual's right to autonomy of personal health matters. 2. National and local policy should allow leaders to opt out of any and all parts of the policy without consequence. 3. The policy should not be one-size-fits-all, as each individual's body and health require an individualized approach and treatment. Control and/or monitoring of the health and welfare of the people should not infringe upon any person's freedom and right to choose. 4. Conflicts of interest, such as major funders on the council, should be prohibited.
Private	1. National leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies (including any scientist/researchers funded by such companies) or other global health profiteers.
Genral public	1. National leadership retains full autonomy and can make decisions as they deem best for their people. 2. The ability of nations to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by those who can profit from the outcome (e.g. pharmaceutical companies).
Private individual	1. National leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on - including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers and private interest groups.
Self	1. National, intermediary & local leadership should retain full autonomy with the right to make decisions based on what they believe is best for their own people. 2. Nations & local municipalities should remain autonomous & able to opt out of any & all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. All processes, personnel appointments & contractor selection should be open & transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should totally prohibit influence in the process & selection of personnel by any & all pharmaceutical companies & other profiteers. 5. Basically, the proposal I'm commenting on will result in more harm than good & should be scrapped.
N/A	1. National, local and personal autonomy is paramount and only way to ensure cooperation and long-term buy-in for pandemic control measures. Therefore, no international organization shall have the right to determine or dictate the pandemic response of any nation. 2. The agreement shall not be binding upon its signers but instead shall be a memorandum of understanding describing the common views of the signatories but which shall not be binding upon them. 3. To the extent that any agreement could in any way be binding upon a signatory nation, that portion must be ratified by an open, free and fair referendum in the signatory nation in respect of which any adult citizen of that nation may vote. 4.Any agreement and any cooperative process must be free of any influence by any person, entity or any other collective body which stands to benefit, directly or indirectly, in any manner whatever, from such agreement, the process itself or the results of that process. There must be clear safeguards against conflicts of interest, no matter how trivial they may appear.
n/a	1. National, state and local leadership retain full autonomy, free from coercion, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any repercussions. 3. The process must be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. The pharmaceutical companies, or any other local heath profiteers, must not be allowed to influence the process. Measures must be taken to stop any influence by these people.
Citizen of Idaho USA	1. National, State and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make regional and local decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, at any time. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on participation, including fail safe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Individual liberty is paramount. Individuals retain the right of informed consent and the ability to refuse to participate in experimental drug/vaccine studies. Individuals retain the right to choose, whether participating in a WHO protocol, passport program etc. Individuals have a fundamental right to privacy. 6. Standards of care must be flexible and medical providers must be allowed to practice without fear, coercion, or threat to their practice for prescription of off-label drugs or alternative therapies.
Particulier	1. Nationale en lokale leiders behouden volledige autonomie en behouden zich het recht voor om beslissingen te nemen op basis van wat het beste is voor hun eigen mensen. 2. Het vermogen van landen en lokale gemeenten om zich af te melden voor alle delen van de overeenkomst naar eigen goeddunken, zonder gevolgen. 3. Een open en transparant proces met de mogelijkheid voor alle mensen van de wereld om te stemmen over het opnemen van failsafe maatregelen die de toepassing van de wereldwijde overeenkomst zullen verhinderen op plaatsen waar een meerderheid van de bevolking het niet wil. 4. Maatregelen die geen invloed in het proces mogelijk maken door alle farmaceutische bedrijven of andere wereldwijde gezondheidsprofiteurs.
reparaturcafé jettenburg	1. nationales recht steht über der WHO - die WHO als teilweise privat finanzierte organisation verzichtet auf jede bevormundung von nationalstaaten 2. regierungs beamte, pharmafirmen und andere akteure werden nicht von haftung freigestellt. 3. es gilt immer das recht auf den eigenen körper und alle anderen menschenrechte auch
private citizen	1. Nations and local gov's retain full free will to reserve rights to make decisions upon what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local gov's leaders retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of agreement with effect. 3. Open discussions to be held nationally and locally so agreements can be voted upon to determine the will of the people. 4. Measures to insure pharmaceutical companies or or other global health care entities do not benefit over the rights of the people.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	1. Nations and local leaders should retain full autonomy and the right to make their own decisions based on what is absolutely the best for their nations people. 2. Each nation and indeed local municipality shoukd be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. 3. There should be a clear and transparent process where everyone in the world can vote on, including measures that will prevent global agreements being applied in places where a majority of the people do not want them 4. Measures should be taken so that all Pharmaceutical companies, or other bodies that stand to profit from any agreements on world health, should not be allowed, in any way, to influence the process and proceedings
Self Employed	1. Nations and local municipalities must retain full autonomy, thus reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process must be provided, thus giving the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures that may affect their health, economy, and livelihood. 4. Measures should be added that do not allow for influence in the process where there is a conflict of interest. This includes (but should not be limited to) influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none, public	1. Nations retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, with agreement from the people, no coercion or passports, loss of access to services or medical treatment. 2. The ability of nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or pharmaceutical profiteering, any fast to market drugs should be at cost, and pharmaceutical companies should be liable. 5. Above all else individual bodily autonomy should be respected without consequence, and freedoms shall remain intact. 6. NPI should not be employed without robust evidence to support the widespread implementation. And any NPI should have a robust cost risk/benefit analysis performed, to ensure the cure is not worse than the disease.
None - Private Citizen	1. Nations retain sovereignty & complete control over final decision making, & state & local municipalities retain autonomy as well. 2. Nations and local governments retain the right & ability to opt out of any & all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There needs to be an open & transparent process, with the ability for all people to vote on this globally. It also needs to include fail-safe measures to prevent application in places where the majority of people don't want it. 4. Oversight measures that do not allow process influence by any & all pharmaceutical companies or global health corporations, non-profits, or anyone who stands to benefit financially.
N/A	1. Nations should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other corporations.
River Editing	1. Need full autonomy for national and local leadership, so they can legally make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. We need an open and transparent process for everyone in the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Self	1. No influence allowed by pharmaceutical or other companies who would profit from the pandemic. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. Nations can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. All persons retain bodily autonomy as regards taking medications and are not discriminated against for their decision.
none	1. No mandates at all. The WHO should offer guidance to the world based on evidence gathered by Frontline Doctors. Educate, Do Not Mandate. 2. Early treatment using re-purposed drugs should be utilized before untested vaccines with unknown long term side effects. 3. Pharmaceutical companies should not be shielded from liability related to adverse events. 4. Televised discussions between frontline doctors and WHO leaders should occur on a monthly basis. 5. Misinformation campaigns resulting in censorship of opposing views of the pharmaceutical narrative should be eliminated. 6. Conflicts of interest must be transparent. 7. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 8. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 9. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 10. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 11. People over Profits Please
Individual	1. No model should ever be a "one size fits all" so an international treaty should not be considered especially when there could be financial conflicts of interests in the decision making processes of elements of proposed treaty. 2. No model in any sovereign nation involve law enforcement agencies to enforce any measures. 3. No model should ever implement a required or mandated acceptance of any medical, nutritional, physiological, biological, chemical, material or other product to enter inside, be withheld from, be taken from or placed on ones own body without full informed consent and should NEVER be a condition for participation in society such as work, school and daily life. Children shall NEVER be required to participate in such measures without full transparency, education of their parents or guardians. 4. Full transparency and truth of real data and facts and NO hiding of pertinent life-saving information. 5. All healing arts, treatment modalities and therapeutics will be respected and up to the individual recipient. 6. No ONE governing body will EVER make decisions for international affairs and will be made by each sovereign individual only.
Allied Health	1. No more lockdowns 2. No more rushed vaccines 3. No more mass vaccination 4. No mandatory vaccination 5. Treatments for sick. Well allowed to move around freely.
private citizen	1. NO suppression of relevant information and discussions in dealing with a pandemic. 2. NO power of WHO over individual nations. 3. NO suppression of individuals' freedom of conscience 4. NO to profiteering by politicians, non-governmental agencies and business entities
Year	1. Non-binding recommendations only. 2. Acknowledgement of Nation-State sovereignty. 3. The right of Nation-States to follow their own course of actions contrary to the WHO. 4. The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 5. Transparency: a. All trial information of "vaccines" will be made public before general use. b. Doctors shall have autonomy to pre-treat patients. c. There shall be no censorship of doctors, nurses or therapeutics.
Retired	1. Non-binding recommendations only. 2. Acknowledgement of Nation-State sovereignty. 3. The right of Nation-States to follow their own course of actions contrary to the WHO. 4. The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 5. Transparency: a. All trial information of "vaccines" will be made public before general use. b. Doctors shall have autonomy to pre-treat patients. c. There shall be no censorship of doctors, nurses or therapeutics. 6. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form.
none	1. Nothing, abolutely nothing must be mandatory. Everything has to be in form of suggestion. 2. Every alternative has to be taken into account, like other than mainstream measures and treatments. 3. Lobbying must be forbidden (I know this sounds like "no more wars"). At least: no big spenders. Big money stinks, in one way or another.
Self	1. One solution doesn't work for all communities. Local leadership should retain full control. 2. Opting out should be a required option. 3. All options should be on the table including off-brand medicine.
private entity	1. Only people who actually feel sick and/or have symptoms should seek medical help if/when they decide, and have test done for diagnosis. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	1. Organisations like the WHO have a right to ad vise but they must respect the democratic deci sions of individual nations. There should be no compulsory vaccination or discrimination through vaccine passports/digital id's. 2.Lockdowns of healthy people are unethical and should not be used. They result in more long term damage than good. 3.The right to refuse treatment and bodily auton omy is sacrosanct and MUST always be re spected. In the use of any medical intervention fully informed consent giving the full risks and benefits must never be compromised or denied to any human being. Coercion to take vaccines through travel restrictions, entry to venues and shops is unacceptable and nobody's human rights should ever be compromised. 4.Repurposing of existing drugs for early treat ment and investigations by scientist/doctors should be respected and open debate allowed without people being accused of being conspir acy theorists or anti vaxxers. Free speech must be respected. 5. Pharmaceutical companies should be liable and accountable for any damages caused to hu man life through their products.
None	1. Our country should maintain leadership, at local and national levels, to retain FULL autonomy based on what is right for our country. 2. Any and all nations or local governments should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. All processes must be completely open and transparent. It should include the ability for ALL people to vote on any measures taken, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Pharmaceutical companies or others who could stand to benefit from certain measures absolutely MUST NOT be allowed to influence processes in any way. They should be shut out completely. That includes those who hold any stake in such companies.
World Council for Health Member	1. Our National and Local leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions on what is best for all of us here in Australia. 2. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it ie not just the leaders voting their people's rights away, the people actually voting. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, at any time without consequence. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1. Our national and local leadership needs to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. We need to retain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Effective Life Management	1. People at National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of the people at their nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
North Shore Schools Federated Employees retires group	1. People have God- given inalienable rights to freedom including self expression, body autonomy, freedom from coercion. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers and must hold companies, suppliers, prescribers, liable for ANY adverse effects, harm, or death.
None	1. Personal health freedom must be included. Any person (whether you're a citizen of the country or not) should have the choice over what medical treatments (testing, medications, biologics, etc.) they wish to use. Each person has their own unique physiology and one size fits all treatments do not work. 2. People should not be forced or coerced into taking any medical treatments as bodily freedom is a god given right. Only an individual should make their own health decisions. The governments can inform their citizens of the supposed benefits of any medical treatment but not use propaganda to sway public opinion. 3. Countries should make their own rules regarding what pandemic response works for their individual countries. No countries should be forced into creating rules/systems that do not work for that countries health/economic systems.
Member of general public; citizen of USA	1. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must be prevented from influencing the pandemic response. They corrupted the response to Covid-19, forced a one-size fits all approach focused on new-fangled, highly profitable vaccines that don't work and toxic experimental drug therapies that made patients worse, and prevented access to safe, effective, and cheap drug therapies like hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin on WHO's list of essential medicines. 2. Pre-existing pandemic response plans must not be ignored, as happened with Covid-19 when WHO (and its member states) ignored already-prepared pandemic response plans and adopted non-medical interventions like lockdowns and public masking known to be ineffective and counterproductive. Any deviations must reflect demonstrably different real-world events. 3. Local and national governments must retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what they determine is best for their own citizens, and they must be able to opt out of any part without consequence. All processes must be open and transparent, and there must be failsafe measures to prevent the application of any global agreement where a majority of people do not want it. 4. There must be a mechanism for holding Nuremberg-type proceedings to prosecute wrongdoing, specifically including prosecuting those who manufacture an artificial pandemic, engage in gain-of-function research, infringe basic civil liberties or fundamental rights, use a pandemic to achieve political goals or enhance control over populations, or commit other crimes against humanity.
Self	1. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must be prevented from influencing the pandemic response. They corrupted the response to Covid-19, forced a one-size fits all approach focused on new-fangled, highly profitable vaccines that don't work and toxic experimental drug therapies that made patients worse, and prevented access to safe, effective, and cheap drug therapies like hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin on the WHO's list of essential medicines. 2. Pre-existing pandemic response plans must not be ignored, as happened with Covid-19 when the WHO (and its member states) ignored already-prepared pandemic response plans and adopted non-medical interventions like lockdowns and public masking known to be ineffective and counterproductive. Any deviations must reflect demonstrably different real-world events. 3. Local and national governments must retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what they determine is best for their own citizens, and they must be able to opt out of any part without consequence. All processes must be open and transparent, and there must be failsafe measures to prevent the application of any global agreement where a majority of people do not want it. 4. There must be a mechanism for holding Nuremberg-type proceedings to prosecute wrongdoing, specifically including prosecuting those who manufacture an artificial pandemic, engage in gain-of-function research, infringe basic civil liberties or fundamental rights, use a pandemic to achieve political goals or to enhance control over populations, or commit other crimes against humanity.
Florida Man	1. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must be prevented from influencing the pandemic response. They corrupted the response to Covid-19, forced a one-size fits all approach focused on new-fangled, highly profitable vaccines that don't work and toxic experimental drug therapies that made patients worse, and prevented access to safe, effective, and cheap drug therapies like hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin on the WHO's list of essential medicines. 2. Pre-existing pandemic response plans must not be ignored, as happened with Covid-19 when the WHO (and its member states) ignored already-prepared pandemic response plans and adopted non-medical interventions like lockdowns and public masking known to be ineffective and counterproductive. Any deviations must reflect demonstrably different real-world events. 3. Local and national governments must retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what they determine is best for their own citizens, and they must be able to opt out of any part without consequence. All processes must be open and transparent, and there must be failsafe measures to prevent the application of any global agreement where a majority of people do not want it. 4. There must be a mechanism for holding Nuremberg-type proceedings to prosecute wrongdoing, specifically including prosecuting those who manufacture an artificial pandemic, engage in gain-of-function research, infringe basic civil liberties or fundamental rights, use a pandemic to achieve political goals or to enhance control over populations, or commit other crimes against humanity.
none	1. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must be prevented from influencing the pandemic response. They corrupted the response to Covid-19, forced a one-size fits all approach focused on new-fangled, highly profitable vaccines that don't work and toxic experimental drug therapies that were killing people!, and prevented access to safe, effective, and cheap drug therapies like hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin on the WHO's list of essential medicines. 2. Pre-existing pandemic response plans must not be ignored, as happened with Covid-19 when the WHO (and its member states) ignored already-prepared pandemic response plans and adopted non-medical interventions like lockdowns and public masking known to be ineffective and counterproductive. Any deviations must reflect demonstrably different real-world events. 3. Local and national governments must retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what they determine is best for their own citizens, and they must be able to opt out of any part without consequence. All processes must be open and transparent, and there must be failsafe measures to prevent the application of any global agreement where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Holding Nuremberg-type proceedings must be adhered to prosecute wrongdoing, specifically including prosecuting those who manufacture an artificial pandemic, engage in gain-of-function research, infringe basic civil liberties or fundamental rights, use a pandemic to achieve political goals or to enhance control over populations, or commit other crimes against humanity. 5. Do good. The world can be a good place for everyone, There is enough food and space for all. Waste, corruption, ego and greed get in the way.
Concerned Citizen	1. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must be prevented from influencing the pandemic response. They corrupted the response to Covid-19, forced a one-size fits all approach focused on new-fangled, highly profitable vaccines that don't work and toxic experimental drug therapies that made patients worse, and prevented access to safe, effective, and cheap drug therapies like hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin on the WHO's list of essential medicines. 2. Pre-existing pandemic response plans must not be ignored, as happened with Covid-19 when the WHO (and its member states) ignored already-prepared pandemic response plans and adopted non-medical interventions like lockdowns and public masking known to be ineffective and counterproductive. Any deviations must reflect demonstrably different real-world events. 3. Local and national governments must retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what they determine is best for their own citizens, and they must be able to opt out of any part without consequence. All processes must be open and transparent, and there must be failsafe measures to prevent the application of any global agreement where a majority of people do not want it. 4. There must be a mechanism for holding Nuremberg-type proceedings to prosecute wrongdoing, specifically including prosecuting those who manufacture an artificial pandemic, engage in gain-of-function research, infringe basic civil liberties or fundamental rights, use a pandemic to achieve political goals or to enhance control over populations, or commit other crimes against humanity.
private	1. Please make sure the national and local governments can opt out of any sections that they feel do not apply to them at any time. Any one-size-fits-all ruling cannot work since pandemics act differently in different locations at different times. Governments must maintain their local autonomy at all times. 2.Because this is so wide-ranging and all-encompassing there are bound to be circumstances in the future that have not been thought of today. There must therefore not be any bad consequences for any nation, province, or other geographically localized government if they choose to opt out of the treaty at any time. 3.In order for this treaty to be adopted, the people of the countries involved should be given the chance to vote on it. In many countries the government leader is not representative of many or most of the population and does not speak for the people. If the treaty is to affect all the people of the world or of a country, the people must be given the opportunity to vote on it. 4. Measures must be instituted to prevent any and all interference by industry or corporations. Pharmaceutical industries, or any other multi-national corporation cannot be allowed to influence decisions that affect the whole world. There must be provision to prevent them from doing this - it must be clearly laid out, with some clauses that state the full intent that no industry or corporation may use even a loophole to influence the WHO decisions.
The Harwick Group	1. Previous to WHO alterations , a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
Member of public	1. Priority is to return the definition of a "pandemic" as being "specified simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illnesses". These "enormous" illnesses and deaths to now be verified by independent oversight bodies in each nation before a pandemic is declared by the majority of nations. 2. Any new internationally agreed instrument should ONLY be an advisory set of clear guidelines and support systems based on prior evidence of effectiveness, without ANY LEGAL BINDING requirement for any nation state or municipality to comply, or to violate its own constitution, laws of its country or international human rights laws, medical ethics and declarations currently in existence. 3. ALL decision holders to have NO prior or current conflicts of interest, financial gain from measures taken, or influence from any pharmaceutical, health or media related corporation/institution ever. Experts from these fields only advise but not influence, benefit from or decide the outcome. 4. No surveillance system or pandemic response can contravene any basic human right or choice for privacy, bodily autonomy, freedom of speech and movement or right of income and sustenance. 5. No censorship of medical or health science professionals giving feedback to these proposals. All comments to be fully available for review by the public.
General public	1. Prohibit governing bodies from mandating vaccination and discriminating against citizens based on vaccination status. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	1. Ratification of any and all agreements must be made at the local and national government levels where leadership will retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local entities such as municipalities and provinces to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open-to-the-public and transparent-to-the-public process which provides sufficient time for review and ratification by local jurisdictions, and includes measures that will prevent the application of an agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers.
Jim Gamblin Photography	1. Regardless of the Agreement, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the Agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The people of the world must be able to vote on the Agreement or any part thereof in an open and transparent process that includes failsafe measures to prevent the application of the Agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be in place to prevent any influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers on the formulation of the Agreement or any part thereof. 5. The Agreement or any part thereof must be evidence-based and communicated to the public such that this evidence base is obvious to and easily understood by the public. 6. The Agreement or any part thereof shall not prevail over the right to bodily autonomy of the individual. 7. The Agreement or any part thereof shall not prevail over the right of a doctor to provide medical advice to a patient as the doctor sees fit.
CFMD	1. Remove liability that a medical practitioner may have treating a patient infected with a new pathogen; free practitioners from any guidance put out by research organizations, companies, or any international or national bodies and authorities. 2. Immediately close all biolabs in the area deemed to be the origin of pandemic until full independent investigation can conclude their safety and not having any connection to the pandemic. Should an investigation find a connection of a lab to the pandemic, immediately open a criminal investigation and bring complete legal action against perpetrators. 3. Promote independent data collection by any researcher, journalist, or practitioner and publication in any viable media of the data related to transmission, infectivity, or treatment related to the pandemic. 4. Ban police or governing authority from any health organization, public or private.
General Public	1. Representative decision-making for sovereign nations and local municipalities to participate or not participate in the proposed international instrument must be enshrined and respected, without any retribution for the decisions made. 2. Voting on the enactment of any measures carried out from the international instrument based on the informed consent of every citizen in the world, conducted through a transparent and accountable process. Each citizen's vote must be accounted for and acknowledged in the voting process. 3. Conflict of interests must be addressed and investigated, to determine the eligibility and legality of any individual or corporation who seeks to contribute in the steering or execution of the international instrument. Prevention and accountability procedures in mitigating unlawful participation by such individuals and corporations must be governed by an independent board of democratically elected citizens, and independent scientists and professionals.
General Public	1. Representative decision-making for sovereign nations and local municipalities to participate or not participate in the proposed international instrument must be enshrined and respected, without any retribution for the decisions made. 2. Voting on the enactment of any measures carried out from the international instrument based on the informed consent of every citizen in the world, conducted through a transparent and accountable process. Each citizen's vote must be accounted for and acknowledged in the voting process. 3. Conflict of interests must be addressed and investigated, to determine the eligibility and legality of any individual or corporation who seeks to contribute in the steering or execution of the international instrument. Prevention and accountability procedures in mitigating unlawful participation by such individuals and corporations must be governed by an independent board of democratically elected citizens, and independent scientists and professionals.

Organization	Written submission
General Public	1. Representative decision-making for sovereign nations and local municipalities to participate or not participate in the proposed international instrument must be enshrined and respected, without any retribution for the decisions made. 2. Voting on the enactment of any measures carried out from the international instrument based on the informed consent of every citizen in the world, conducted through a transparent and accountable process. Each citizen's vote must be accounted for and acknowledged in the voting process. 3. Conflict of interests must be addressed and investigated, to determine the eligibility and legality of any individual or corporation who seeks to contribute in the steering or execution of the international instrument. Prevention and accountability procedures in mitigating unlawful participation by such individuals and corporations must be governed by an independent board of democratically elected citizens, and independent scientists and professionals.
N/A	1. Reserve the peoples right to make our own decisions, reserve the right to seek alternative medicine. 2. The ability of people, nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freelance Copywriter	1. Reset the criteria for a "pandemic" to the pre-2009 level (before the Swine Flu) 2. Do not allow private donors like Bill Gates to influence the WHO agenda 3. Stop gain-of-function research 4. Condemn Zero- or No-Covid ideologies 5. Do not spread panic
Citizen of the World	1. Respect and adhere to the principles enshrined in the 2005 UN Declaration on Human Rights and Bioethics. 2. Governments must respect human rights during declared "emergencies" because human rights are inalienable. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 3. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment, or try a treatment, are inalienable rights. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. People's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses must not be restricted by vaccine status. 5. Healthy people must not be subject to lockdowns and/or quarantines. 6. Complete transparency must be practised. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies must be immediately available to everyone in real time. 7. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 8. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Private individual	1. Respect human rights, never suspend human rights for emergencies Nuremberg code 6.3 must apply 2. Nothing trumps basic human rights ever 3. No one should dictate what medication someone should or should not take, it's a basic right to decide what to put in your own body 4. The right to refuse treatment or preventative treatment is inalienable 5. Vaccine passports are a choice of the individual and the lack of one should not restrict people's movement, right to work, right to education, right to use public spaces 6. Lockdowns of a healthy population are not only wrong they are proven dangerous and ineffective 7. Informed consent must mean, complete transparency of clinical data 8. Open debate and personal opinions are an essential human right and censorship of them is criminal 9.If you manufacture any drug you as a company must be held liable and accountable for the damage inevitably done by experimental drugs not tested for long term safety 10. People must have the right to vote to end mandates, emergency laws and there should be open debate on what is a state of emergency and votes on when it should end
Psychologist, Psychotherapist - private practice	1. Respectful treatment and guarantee of full free right for people, to decide over their own bodies, without suffering consequences (e.g. in all and any settings: overeaters should be allowed to eat; vaccine sceptics should be allowed to refuse vaccines; smokers should be allowed to smoke; …) 2. Reports should be put into context - e.g. when reporting deaths such as through COVID-19 this should be put in context with all other deaths. 3. Proposed measures such as lockdown should be put in context and weighted against impact on: psychological health – including suicide and alcohol and drug consumption; social impact; economic impact; collateral damage. 4. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 5. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 6. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 7. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Happy People Inc	1. Respectful treatment and guarantee of full free right for people, to decide over their own bodies, without suffering consequences (e.g. in all and any settings: overeaters should be allowed to eat; vaccine skeptics should be allowed to refuse vaccines; smokers should be allowed to smoke; …) 2. Reports should be put into context - e.g. when reporting deaths such as through COVID-19 this should be put in context with all other deaths. 3. Proposed measures such as lockdown should be put in context and weighed against the impact on psychological health – including suicide and alcohol and drug consumption; social impact; economic impact; collateral damage. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Meridian Township Community Planning & Development	1. Response to pandemics is different from place to place. Having a worldwide authority would apply a broad brush approach and overlook regional variances. 2. A worldwide authority for pandemics seems like an entry to a worldwide government.
private citizen	1. Since every nation, country, state, or principality are not the same, individual consent must be included at every level in any world inclusive treaty. 2. Informed consent on an individual level must be include. 3. The Nuremberg Accord must be considered when addressing any pandemic response. 4. An international instrument should only suggest options, not mandate actions and reactions.
Wink Fasteners, Inc.	1. Since individual decision making and sovereignty seems to be off the table for discussion then national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. Sovereign nations and peoples have final say on how they are governed. Reason: Self governing nations are the wealthiest and healthiest. Central governed nations the poorest and unhealthiest. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1. Sovereign nations retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local governments to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process that requires all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prohibit influence in the process by any pharmaceutical and medical device companies, by population control providers or by other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	1. Sovereignty and total independence of each signing country and government must be guaranteed, as well as the authority to accept, modify or reject any measures recommended by the WHO or affiliated entities. 2. Complete disclosure and guaranteed informed consent for every natural person concerning all health measures, vaccinations, medicines in any format existing now or to be produced. 3. Liability of all entities involved in the production, distribution and mandating of any medical treatments, interventions etc. 4. Public disclosure of all conflicts of interest and financial support of the WHO and the option for any signatory to leave at any time with no penalty whatsoever.
private	1. sovereignty of states to build and execute their own state decision 2. explicit promise to fully tested and for human beings approved ingredients
Law Firm	1. Subsidiarity: local decisions - global knowledge. 2 Freedom of choice as to vaccination. 3. Local immunologists have to be included in the debate/not merely virologists and epidemiologists. 4. Imposed measures and solutions should not be aimed solely at saving older/weaker people's lives, but should include care for the immune system of the youngest people and of future generations. 5. Severe punishments for companies and people in charge of policy and solutions who lied to the population. Including WHO-officials.
Civilian	1. Subsidiarity: local decisions - global knowledge. 2 Freedom of choice as to vaccination. 3. Local immunologists have to be included in the debate/not merely virologists and epidemiologists. 4. Imposed measures and solutions should not be aimed solely at saving older/weaker people's lives, but should include care for the immune system of the youngest people and of future generations. 5. Severe punishments for companies and people in charge of policy and solutions who lied to the population. Including WHO-officials.
Private	1. Substantive elements must be: - guarantee the sovereignty of all countries and people, - guarantee human rights (especially prohibit force for any treatment and vaccination), - guarantee no force for digital identity card of any type for any purpose, - no duty for buying and distributing treatments and vaccinations by any country and human, - unrestricted monetary and criminal liability of all governments, WHO and pharmaceutical enterprises by law, individual as well. 2. I claim more than „elements": WHO must never ever order and conclude such treaty („instrument"). Reason: Pandemic definition change made by WHO in April 2009, therefore any worldwide illness can be declared by WHO as pandemic without evidentially threat.
Retired	1. That Countries National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1. That National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Preserve the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Keep an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Spanish citizen	1. That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	1. That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1. That national and local leadership retains full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people which may not be in agreement with International dictates. All national and state constitutions and bills of rights are supreme over any international agreements, measures and dictates. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent debate process to determine the measures must be employed with the ability for all people of the world to individually decline to participate in any and all global agreements that advocate experimental or even approved medical treatments if they do not want it without consequences. Parents rights of responsibilities for their children must not be violated. Full informed consent for all people must be used. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all non-profit and for profit pharmaceutical companies or other global health non-profit and profiteers such as, but not limited to, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, GAVI, the Welcome Trust, and the Rockefeller Foundation. If any monies were provided by pharmaceutical companies and any organizations, such as listed above, the measures become null and void. 5. The Nuremberg Code applies to all situations, people's, and nation's, and must not be violated. The WHO will be legally liable for all injuries and deaths due to measures employed.
Self	1. That National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities need the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We must have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual human	1. The 'question' is disingenuous, implying that it is already a given fact that such a pandemic treaty is needed/authorised. 2. It is a fundamental and inalienable human right for each sovereign individual in any democratic (and totalitarian dictatorship) to have jurisdiction over their own bodies and health-related decisions. 3. This treaty is neither needed nor ethical and is a thinly-disguised global power grab being slid in whilst the worlds attention is elsewhere. The globalists who control the WHO either by their funding (viz GAVI/Gates foundation) or via pharmaceutical power must not be permitted to exercise their agenda via the control of world health. 4. Every citizen must have the opportunity to engage in a transparent debate and vote as to what individual countries are willing to opt into/out from. There is no one size fits all 5. Many are aware of the extreme power and dominance pharmaceutical companies exercise globally and it must be made absolutely forbidden for them to be influential in any pandemic debate. The world does not need any more control from unelected, unaccountable corruptible bodies such as WHO
none	1. The ability of any/and or all nations and any/and/or all local states, cities, counties, municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other local or global health profiteers to include public, private, political and/or government entities. 3. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
individual	1. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4. Health decisions made by a team of fully licensed medical professionals drawn from a pool of top-of-their field experts as reflected in their publications in peer-reviewed journals, and not from unlicensed self-appointed "leaders".
self-employed	1. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in place where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or any global health profiteers.
Australian Medical Professionals Society	1. The Australian Medical Professionals Society (AMPS) believes in the sovereignty of the Australian people. All health and emergency policy decisions must stay in Australia with frontline professionals involved in all emergency decision-making. All policy and legislative requirements in an emergency shall conform to the Siracusa Principles and Australian law. 2. Our frontline professionals are best placed to provide the objective data, evidence and experience required to determine best practice guidelines. Grass-roots workers must be in charge of handling any future pandemic/emergency response. Not politicians and certainly not unelected bureaucrats. 3. Centralised power is a threat to individualised response based on local evidence and grass-roots engagement. Political and medical oppression is a real risk, with Big Tech, Big Pharma, Mass Media and multinationals creating a massive power imbalance that must be prevented. 4. We understand the importance of the scientific method and engaging with the frontline to ensure policy direction reduces harm. Therefore, censorship of scientific evidence-based debate must be expressly prohibited to prevent systematic violations of human rights. 5. Australia must not enter any treaty that confers emergency policy power on the WHO. International bureaucrats cannot have the power to enforce emergency guidance which may supplant our sovereignty and laws. 6. Transparency is central to trust. AMPS demands full transparency from the WHO. Declaring all annual funders and key stakeholders and allowing for independent oversight.
No affiliation	1. The citizens of a country should have the ultimate say where a public health response is concerned - their ELECTED officials represent them, not a supranational organisation such as the WHO. I did not elect the WHO to have decision making power in my country. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the final say in what would work, since they know the local setup best, incl resources and the community being served. A one-size-fits-all approach just won't do. 3. Open and transparent scientific debate should be the order of the day, not hidden conversations in backrooms to decide what is acceptable science and what isn't. Science at its heart is rooted in open and transparent debate. A science of concensus is no science. 4. Those who could potentially benefit from a particular response should be nowhere near the decision making table. The pharmaceutical industry have no business setting policy, and at the moment they do, since they fund every governing body (internationally and nationally) that makes these policy decisions.
United States Citizen	1. The citizens of a country should have the ultimate say where a public health response is concerned - their ELECTED officials represent them, not a supranational organisation such as the WHO. I did not elect the WHO to have decision making power in my country. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the final say in what would work, since they know the local setup best, incl resources and the community being served. A one-size-fits-all approach just won't do. 3. Open and transparent scientific debate should be the order of the day, not hidden conversations in backrooms to decide what is acceptable science and what isn't. Science at its heart is rooted in open and transparent debate. A science of concensus is no science. 4. Those who could potentially benefit from a particular response should be nowhere near the decision making table. The pharmaceutical industry have no business setting policy, and at the moment they do, since they fund every governing body (internationally and nationally) that makes these policy decisions.
none	1. The citizens of a country should have the ultimate say where a public health response is concerned - their ELECTED officials represent them, not a supranational organisation such as the WHO. I did not elect the WHO to have decision making power in my country. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the final say in what would work, since they know the local setup best, incl resources and the community being served. A one-size-fits-all approach just won't do. 3. Open and transparent scientific debate should be the order of the day, not hidden conversations in backrooms to decide what is acceptable science and what isn't. Science at its heart is rooted in open and transparent debate. A science of concensus is no science. 4. Those who could potentially benefit from a particular response should be nowhere near the decision making table. The pharmaceutical industry have no business setting policy, and at the moment they do, since they fund every governing body (internationally and nationally) that makes these policy decisions.
World Citizen	1. The citizens of a country should have the ultimate say where public health response is concerned including their ELECTED officials represent them - not a supranational organisation such as the WHO. I did not elect the WHO to have decision making power in my country. 2. I as an individual have full power over what I will/will not have administered to my own body and must have full INFORMED CONSENT ! 3. Nations and local municipalities should have the final say in what would work, since they know the local setup best, incl resources and the community being served. A one-size-fits-all approach just won't do. 4. Open and transparent scientific debate should be the order of the day, not hidden conversations in backrooms to decide what is acceptable science and what isn't. Science at its heart is rooted in open and transparent debate. A science of concensus is no science. 5. Those who could potentially benefit from a particular response should be nowhere near the decision making table. The pharmaceutical industry have no business setting policy, and at the moment they do, since they fund every governing body (internationally and nationally) that makes these policy decisions. 6. Doctors MUST have full ability to treat patients as they see fit without retribution. Only then, can we see how some doctors may be having successes compared to others following different protocols. I firmly reject any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that may be drawn up by the WHO. National and local leaders should retain full autonomy over such policies; reserving the right to support the elected decisions of their own people.
Citizen of US	1. The citizens of a country should have the ultimate say where public health response is concerned including their ELECTED officials to represent them - not a supranational organisation such as the WHO. I did not elect the WHO to have decision making power in my country. 2. I as an individual have full power over what I will/will not have administered to my own body and the body of my minor children, and must have full INFORMED CONSENT before I make any decision ! 3. Nations and local municipalities know best what works for their local area. A one-size-fits-all approach is detrimental to people's health and well being. 4. Open and transparent scientific debate should be the order of the day, not hidden conversations in backrooms, and media hype to simulate consensus. Science at its heart is rooted in open and transparent debate. A top down simulated consensus is not science. Science is always evolving, it is NEVER settled. 5. Anyone who could potentially benefit from a particular response should be nowhere near the decision making table. The pharmaceutical industry has no business setting policy, and they should not be funding every governing body (internationally and nationally) that makes these policy decisions. 6. Doctors MUST have full ability to treat patients as they see fit without retribution to allow for true best-practice protocols to emerge. I firmly reject any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that may be drawn up by the WHO. National and local leaders must retain full autonomy over such policies; reserving the right to support the elected decisions of their own people.
Privat	1. the control of genuine pandemics must remain a national competence 2. the determination of a pandemic situation must in no case be linked to a PCR test only. The definition must necessarily take into account clear pathological events 3. international and multidisciplinary expert consultation is obligatory 4. permanent evaluation of the measures 5. fear-reducing communication
Privat	1. the control of genuine pandemics must remain a national competence 2. the determination of a pandemic situation must under no circumstances be linked only to a PCR test. The definition must necessarily take into account clear pathological occurrences, such as the number of deaths, hospitalizations according to the severity of the disease and age cluster, mandatory autopsy, determination of the degree of contagion, i.e. the real distribution of the pathogen, mandatory differential diagnosis. 3. international and multidisciplinary expert consultation mandatory 4. permanent evaluation of the measures 5. no digital forced tracking, no forced quarantine, no forced medical interventions 6. reappraisal and research of the collateral damage of the "corona pandemic
man	1. The focus and any response is natural world health, natural world immunity and honoring the freedom of man or woman, and their rights on this earth. 2. Initiatives to reduce and eliminate toxins, poisons, metals, synthetics, gmo and chemical products and patents in food and drugs for man or woman or animals, plants, water, air or soil. 3. Material liability for drug, food and product manufacturers and promoters, including private and public organizations / companies / governments et al, encouraging products, treatments and interventions which have or will alter the natural, organic, state of food, drugs or products. 4. A task force is developed to study and report on actual events, errors, data, bias and possible interpretations of the "Spanish Flu", Polio, Ebola, HIV, Covid 19 and the other diseases, and how wellness and alternative measures can impact response. 5. The ability of man or woman to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see choose, without consequence. Rights of individual man or woman are greater than government, quasi government or organizations
self	1. The fundamental human right of all individuals to refuse vaccines & other medical treatment, free from punishment, fines, confinement, & coercion must be preserved. This includes the right of parents to refuse vaccines & other medical treatment for their minor children. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. Pharmaceutical companies must be held fully liable under national and international law for injuries caused by their products. 7. WHO, national, & local health officials, government employees, and politicians must not profit from the sale of vaccines or treatments, and must not hold or trade stock in pharmaceutical companies, nor accept donations or gifts from pharmaceutical companies.
Self	1. The fundamental human right of all individuals to refuse vaccines & other medical treatment, free from punishment, fines, confinement, & coercion must be preserved. This includes the right of parents to refuse vaccines & other medical treatment for their minor children. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. Pharmaceutical companies must be held fully liable under national and international law for injuries caused by their products. 7. WHO, national, & local health officials, government employees, and politicians must not profit from the sale of vaccines or treatments, and must not hold or trade stock in pharmaceutical companies, nor accept donations or gifts from pharmaceutical companies.
US citizen	1. The fundamental human right of all individuals to refuse vaccines & other medical treatment, free from punishment, fines, confinement, & coercion must be preserved. This includes the right of parents to refuse vaccines & other medical treatment for their minor children. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. Pharmaceutical companies must be held fully liable under national and international law for injuries caused by their products. 7. WHO, national, & local health officials, government employees, and politicians must not profit from the sale of vaccines or treatments, and must not hold or trade stock in pharmaceutical companies, nor accept donations or gifts from pharmaceutical companies 8. Informed consent, always.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	1. The fundamental human right of all individuals to refuse vaccines & other medical treatment, free from punishment, fines, confinement, & coercion must be preserved. This includes the right of parents to refuse vaccines & other medical treatment for their minor children. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. Pharmaceutical companies must be held fully liable under national and international law for injuries caused by their products. 7. WHO, national, & local health officials, government employees, and politicians must not profit from the sale of vaccines or treatments, and must not hold or trade stock in pharmaceutical companies, nor accept donations or gifts from pharmaceutical companies.
Dipl.oecc	1. The human rigans of every human is to live, move, make dexisions on his own health or any intervention concerning health and that is naturally acquired at birth and therefore inalienable. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	1. The initial models of infection and death were grossly overstated, not the first time it's happened from same source. Need better sourcing for modeling. 2. In USA no therapeutics allowed initially. Travesty for many! Do not let countries purposefully constrain well intended doctors. Doing so gives the impression that government doesn't want a cheap solution, but rather would wait for Big Pharm to come up with an expensive alternative. 3. NO VAX MANDATE. One size fits all does not work for everyone. Rushing a vaccine, followed with mandates, then hiding initial findings, merely proves the point listed in point 2 above.
Gregory Strebel, Person	1. The Instrument must be advisory and in no way compulsory for nations or individuals. 2. The Instrument must recognize that 'One size fits All' is a recipe for unnecessary injury of commission and omission and for failure to recognize and adopt alternative measures. 3. The WHO hearings must allow and enable wide and public discourse around all recommendations being considered for the Instrument. 4. The hearings must be free of commercial interest and all recommendations must be justified by cost/benefit analysis, not focused solely on pandemic suppression.
none	1. The instrument must preserve state sovereignty. That is, the right to decide what is best for one's own people cannot be abridged. 2. The instrument must be aspirational only. It cannot have the force of law. It cannot permit a state or entity to be able to sanction another state or group.
none	1. The most substantive element for any pandemic response is to completely ban passports based on health/vaccine status. History has proven every time people were segregated for 'heath' reasons that the practice was inevitably misused for control and discrimination, usually of the most vulnerable. 2. The new treaty must strengthen the ability of states, nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, and any directives resulting from the agreement, as they see fit, without consequence. They need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The Infodemic Department of WHO and the paid censorship agreements with social and legacy media companies must be disbanded. In order for a globalized system to avoid corruption, people need access to ALL information, scientific and otherwise, not a selection from any one person, organization or selected group of organizations. A global treaty gives great power to a small number, and risks the Noble Lie becoming a corrupt one. 4. The treaty must include measures to drastically limit the influence of any and all pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers, non-profit organizations or Countries with large donor influence.
Self employed	1. The most substantive element for any pandemic response is to completely ban passports based on health/vaccine status. History has proven every time people were segregated for 'heath' reasons that the practice was inevitably misused for control and discrimination, usually of the most vulnerable. 2. The new treaty must strengthen the ability of states, nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, and any directives resulting from the agreement, as they see fit, without consequence. They need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The Infodemic Department of WHO and the paid censorship agreements with social and legacy media companies must be disbanded. In order for a globalized system to avoid corruption, people need access to ALL information, scientific and otherwise, not a selection from any one person, organization or selected group of organizations. A global treaty gives great power to a small number, and risks the Noble Lie becoming a corrupt one. 4. The treaty must include measures to drastically limit the influence of any and all pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers, non-profit organizations or Countries with large donor influence.
WILL Impulse Inc.	1. The national and local leadership must retain full autonomy keeping the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities have the choice at all times to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any penalties. 3. Every single adult around the world have the right to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This reassures representation and transparency. 4. Any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers do not influence any of these processes and right measures guarantee this.
World Health Organization	1. The National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should maintain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. We deserve an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Be Active Foundation	1. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. 6. The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. 7. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary. WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8. In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of scientists and civil society groups that do not work in regular collaboration with WHO and which are not subsidised by major donors to WHO are taken into account. 9. We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics.
voice of the people	1. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. 6. The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. 7. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary. WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8. In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of scientists and civil society groups that do not work in regular collaboration with WHO and which are not subsidised by major donors to WHO are taken into account. 9. We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics.
Free people	1. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. 6. The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. 7. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary. WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8. In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of scientists and civil society groups that do not work in regular collaboration with WHO and which are not subsidised by major donors to WHO are taken into account.
PL	1. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. 6. The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. 7. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary. WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8. In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of scientists and civil society groups that do not work in regular collaboration with WHO and which are not subsidised by major donors to WHO are taken into account. 9. We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand t
private	1. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. 6. The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. 7. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary. WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8. In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of scientists and civil society groups that do not work in regular collaboration with WHO and which are not subsidised by major donors to WHO are taken into account. 9. We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand t
Instytut Integracji Psychosomatycznej "INTEGRA"	1. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. 6. The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. 7. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary. WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8. In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of scientists and civil society groups that do not work in regular collaboration with WHO and which are not subsidised by major donors to WHO are taken into account.
Ruch Społeczny Polska Jest Jedna	1. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. 6. The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. 7. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary. WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8. In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of scientists and civil society groups that do not work in regular collaboration with WHO and which are not subsidised by major donors to WHO are taken into account. 9. We demand that freedom of speech is allowed. We ask for the Polish representative to be allowed to speak in the discussion alone.
private person	1. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. 6. The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. 7. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary. WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8. In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of scientists and civil society groups that do not work in regular collaboration with WHO and which are not subsidised by major donors to WHO are taken into account. 9. We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the c
Grupa społeczna, Pokój i wolność	1. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. 6. The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. 7. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary. WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8. In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of scientists and civil society groups that do not work in regular collaboration with WHO and which are not subsidised by major donors to WHO are taken into account.
Individual	1. The Pandemic Preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override a country's decision on how to respond. 6. Circumstances vary widely between countries. A single worldwide pandemic response is grossly inappropriate. 7. Individual human rights must be upheld regardless of any "emergency." 8. All people should be fully informed about options for prevention and treatment. Potentially life-saving treatments should not be withheld. 9. The right to refuse any treatment must be respected. 10. Healthy people should not be discriminated against on the basis of their medical status. They should not have restrictions placed on them nor be required to submit themselves to any treatment or test. Health freedoms must be protected. 11. Depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone, worldwide, to review in real time. 12. The inalienable right to free and open discussion of observations, experiences, opinions and any other relevant data must be respected. 13. People must not be subject to experimentation without their fully informed consent. 14.All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of healthcare products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 15. Government officials may start emergencies but the people must be empowered to end them.
Malopolski Bunt	1. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. 6. The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. 7. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary. WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8. In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of scientists and civil society groups that do not work in regular collaboration with WHO and which are not subsidised by major donors to WHO are taken into account. 9. We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics.
Private	1. The proposed instrument shall at all times comply fully with the Nuremberg code 2. Shall not override the sovereignty of elected governments. The instrument should be participative and collaborative, preferably guided with the inclusion of independent medical professionals and scientists to help keep the processes honest and ethical 3. Shall not override the legal and constitutional rights of citizens in participating countries. 4. Costs of supplying medical and other related goods and services in terms of the instrument shall be reasonable. Participating companies and individuals may not be permitted to profit excessively from the pandemic, as has happened throughout the SARS COVID 19 pandemic. 5. Data relating to the pandemic, treatments etc shall be made freely available to enable proper research and analysis. 6. In the interests of transparency and inclusiveness, media and alternative viewpoints to the WHO may not be censored, and must be considered by the WHO and implementing agents.
General public	1. The retaining of the full automony of National and local leadership. They must make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they deem fit, without consequences. 3. The process must be open and transparent with all people of the world to vote on whether the majority of the people want to do it or not. No duplicity. 4. The process does not allow influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers. 5. There must be accountability and efforts made to address the concerns of the National and local leadership and not use coercive tactics to force compliance. 6. The head of WHO leadership should meet the highest qualifications of medicine, virology, ethics and morality. These leaders should be reviewed regularly and annually by National and local leadership.
Private	1. The rights of living men/women/boys/girls are unlienable. Governments may not suspend these rights even under "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to unlienable individual rights of living men/women/boys/girls. 3. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The refusal of providing treatment is not respecting ones unlienable rights. 4. The right to try a medication is an unlienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict the unlienable rights to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses of living men/women/boys/girls. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy men/women/boys/girls are wrong and have unimaginable consequences 7. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. Everyone has the unlienable right to speak their mind in public. 8. All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services, to maintain equitable and honarable 9. All people have the right to choose their treatment without fear, duress or favour.
Private citizen	1. The treaty has to respect the Constitution or laws of the signatory countries. 2. Countries can opt out any time and for any reason. 3. Medical transparency without the conflict of interest associated with the pharmaceutical companies is an absolute must.
Self	1. The Treaty must be subordinate to each nation's own laws. 2. No signatory shall be subjected to any penalties or sanctions for ignoring or overriding the WHO's guidelines. 3. Any non-pharmaceutical intervention (NPI) recommended or prescribed by the WHO shall be deemed the practice of medicine, subjecting the WHO and its agents to legal liability in any country or countries that adhere to these recommendations/prescriptions. 4. The WHO and its members shall carry adequate liability insurance. 5. The WHO and its members shall post adequate bonds prior to the implementation of any NPI in any country or countries. 6. Any NPI shall have reasonable exceptions for religious and cultural practices (including minority religious and cultural practices). 7. Any NPI shall be culturally appropriate. 8. Any NPI shall require informed consent from any and every person affected. 9. No person shall be subjected to any penalties or sanctions for refusing their consent. 10. Any IP developed in response to a WHO emergency shall be in the public domain. 11. Signatories' tax laws shall prevent any person or persons from profiting from any WHO recommendation.
Individual	1. The treaty should preserve individual dignity and free will. 2. Any test phase of new drug must follow safety standards , accounting for human biological time framework 3. Apply diversification: fighting the unknown requires multiple and diversified approaches : No one size fits all
Corcened Citizen+Human Being of the USA	1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
Individual person.	1. The WHO are unelected. What is being proposed gives unelected people far too moloch power and people Worldwide no instruments for removing these people if they are corrupt. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	1. The WHO is an unelected and therefore unaccountable institution and must ne er be given the right to enfore policies or de isions on elected national governments. 2. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be respected and protected. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it has the highest priority. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be implemented. 6. Individual human rights are inalienable and sacrosanct and pertain in all circumstances. All existing HR protections in the form of agreements, treaties, etc, are paramount. 7. It is the duty of every elected government to protect those rights. 8. Full transparency on any and all existing and/or potential medical interventions is an inalienable right. Corporate interests do NOT have a higher priority than individual health and well-being. ALL existing information on any medical intervention must be freely available to all people, free from censorship of any kind on any media platcorm. All expert oponions must be available to all people. 9. The right to refuse treatment is inalienable. 10. Fully informed consent is an inalienable right.
None	1. The WHO is corrupt and should have no authority over any other nation. They can evaluate and advise only, but even those are often wrong. 2. The Constitution is the Supreme Law of the land in the USA, no outside Law or Organization should have any legal ultimate force on it.
Private citizen	1. The WHO is to desist from interfering with the inalienable rights of New Zealand and its citizens. The proposed global convention/international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. 2. The WHO will not threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self determination, through a sweeping blanket mandate that we DO NOT CONSENT TO. 3. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemic, impose dehumanising lockdowns, enforce expensive unsafe and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that work is to be null and void.
Private Citizen	1. The WHO shall not have the authority to mandate pandemic response and preparedness measures. 2. Sovereign Nations may consider WHO guidelines but are not bound to them. 3. All health decisions of a sovereign nations citizen are between the citizen and his doctor. The WHO shall not intervene in the patient-doctor relationship.
Private Citizen	1. There can be no autonomy removed from democratically mandated national, state, provincial of local authorities; authority must not be ceded to WHO, a not elected body. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	1. There must be acknowledgment that given human nature dictates power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely, and given that operating on a global scale as the WHO does naturally invites such corruption, the right to opt in or out of pandemic measures must be retained at national and local levels of government of member countries without consequence. 2. Clear and reasonable guidelines defining under what circumstances a pandemic can be declared, which must include severe risk of permanent harm and or death to healthy child bearing age adults, and severe risk of permanent harm and or death to healthy children. 3. To ensure pandemic measures do not impose more harm upon societies than they prevent, a primary substantive element must be open sharing of all data and information pertaining to the risks and benefits of said pandemic measures, with the protection of free and open discussion around those measure being of the highest priority. 4. Clear and reasonable guidelines defining how the state of declaration will be ended, which must be based upon whether the original requirements for the pandemic declaration to be made are still being met.

Organization	Written submission
General Public	1. There must be large number of Virologists, Epidemiologist, Doctors, etc. from ALL participating countries that would meet, debate, discuss and make known all the scientific data directly to the world. 2. If a repurposed drug shows signs of being effective, it must be kept available for use and discovery. 3. Concentration should be on protecting the the most vulnerable and letting natural herd immunity take place via those who would not be vulnerable. 4. In order to stop variants from becoming more virulent, mass vaccination can not take place during the pandemic. 5. Other means need to be found instead of using lock downs. Not only did they show to be ineffective, it caused other public health issues to get worse and caused great harm to the economy. 6. There needs to be an understanding that ONE-SIZE does NOT fit all. That each person should be able to maintain their health freedom along with being able to keep their rights. 7. Each nation, however, should be able to maintain their own sovereignty and do what they feel is best for THEIR country. 8. Totalitarian rulings or governing on a global level should NEVER take place!
Individual	1. There needs to be an independent, ombudsman (absolutely unaffiliated to any corporate or governmental bodies) to be able to challenge, scrutinize on recommendations/ decisions of WHO. 2. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. In event that transparency is compromised or conflicts of interest is detected/ reported, every relevant individuals shall be liable personally without immunity, to their action(s) that is found (as determined by independent bodies) to be corrupt, compromised or dishonest. 6. Measures must be in place to decouple influences from all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 7. Processes must be in place for WHO to be held accountable for their incompetence or corruption or dishonesty with ANNUAL appraisals by representative independent bodies on the effectiveness of the organisation on achieving public HEALTH. That is, are the societies healthier, needing less medication, less medical procedures, less illnesses, less hospitalisations, fewer deaths in the younger generation.
Home	1. There should be a referendum in a country with all aspects and advantages and disadvantages of the treaty be fully explained to the general population before the government is allowed to agree to the treaty. 2. Governments should be required to publish full-page copies of the treaty for public discussion before they are allowed to agree to it.
private	1. There should not be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response whatsoever. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. Measures that are not in breach of human rights, or restricting freedom of movement. 7. All measures should be recommendatory only, not mandatory.
general public	1. There should not be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response whatsoever. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. Measures that are not in breach of human rights, or restricting freedom of movement. 7. All measures should be recommendatory only, not mandatory.
UK NHS	1. These decisions must be taken nationally, not globally, as effective healthcare responses require a localised, at most national response. 2. Nations should be free to act independently of any world government, especially in the absence of influence from pharmaceutical companies, sorry. 3. I believe that such measures can only be imposed by elected governments, which the people can select or remove by voting. Such global measures are arbitrary and the people cannot oppose them or remove them democratically 4. Nation states need to be able to opt out in the interests of their own people without criticism or punishment from other nations or powers
Na	1. To openly share what is the virus and findings. Treatments both successful and not successful. 2. Example Using local herbs - yes or no . 3. Allow each nation their own authority to decide the necessary treatments as each culture has their own elements which they may not accept . 4. Treatments results can be affected by the location like water supply , weather which affects the storing and delivery of the medication. 5. Production of medication to enable quick response should be allowed at each nation with the technology shared openly and transparently . So that every human has the right to live . .
Cranleigh House	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
Private individual	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. No definitive proof of any pandemic re Covid has been offered, numbers were constantly being changed, manipulated and falsely reported in all mainstream media globally with so far zero accountability. The whole issue of testing re pcr being unfit for use along with the exclusion of treatments proven to have been effective. (ivermectin, monoclodal antibodies, hydrocloxychloriquin) the misuse of remdesivar and palative care drugs midazolam leading to organ failures and deaths , deaths within 28 days of a falsely used unfit for purpose deaths led to gross inflation of cases and deaths. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. Doctors censorship. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country
North-Devon Assembly	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
Tag commander	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
I am answering as a world citizen	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
EHS Denmark	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
Individual	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
Privat	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
Soothing Spaces	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
Retired Teacher	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
Non	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
None	1. Traditionally, a Pandemic implied a significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
No organization - individual only	1. Transparency and factual information is essential to fostering and maintaining integrity. 2. Need to Educate the world population on how to increase natural immunity. Not every wants to or should take experimental drugs, vaccines, gene therapies or inadequately tested or otherwise questionable treatments, 3. Members of WHO must seriously accept that there is no "one size fits all" remedy or cure when it comes to the treatment of the world's population. A director of the Santa Barbara County Mental Heath Department once told to me that every human body is different from the others. Therefore there must be a provision that allows individual doctors to determine the proper treatment of each individual when it come to addressing disease. Blanket policies and world-wide mandates deny individual integrity. Recommendations are wonderful but mandates should be avoided at all costs. Policies must allow freedom to chose what is right for one's own health and body. 3. Full disclosure must be given for all recommended treatments including risks before administered, allowing for "informed consent" for all individuals. Health recommendations must not engage in coercion but rather value the integrity of free will and free choice. 4. No one agency should be making policies for the entire world. Putting power in the hands a few only leads to distrust and possible corruption - the best preparedness is education and prevention.
self	1. TRANSPARENCY on all levels. No closed door transactions or backdoor deals. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	1. Treaties that remove power from the US are detrimental to health/well being of Americans. 2. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
retired	1. U.S. national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. Voluntary basis: All policies recommended by the WHO must be non-binding so that nations maintain sovereignty and must actively opt in to all decisions. 2. Conflict-free leadership: No person with conflict of interest may play a role in formulating or deciding on policy recommendations. This includes any person with current or former professional or financial ties to pharmaceutical corporations. Leadership must be prohibited from accepting employment or money from pharmaceutical or other corporations with conflict of interest in promoting drugs or vaccines in future. 3. Investigation and trials of safe repurposed medicines must be prioritized over novel drugs in an emergency situation when the need for intervention is too urgent to wait for safety data from new drugs. 4. Natural immunity must be assumed to be superior to vaccine immunity unless proven otherwise for any given pathogen.
none	1. We are not interested in becoming a colony of the World Health Organization and the WHO does not have the right to control a sovereign nation. 2. All individuals retain full autonomy over their bodies and are born with and maintain the natural right to decide what is best for themselves and their children and their family. 3. Every individual is responsible for their risk assessment and risk tolerance. Those who fear catastrophic illness or death have the right to take any and all measures to remove themselves from their perceived risks, but not to impose risk mitigation onto any other individual. 4. No government should subsidize or incentivize statistical noise, or subsidize or pay for vaccinations. Individuals who want vaccination and cannot afford it can accept charity from the pharmaceutical companies or foundations that have ample funds to provide vaccines for those who volunteer to take them.
None	1. We need meaningful engagement of civil society and communities in the development, implementation and decision making structures of pandemic preparedness and response, by strengthening the role of community led organisations. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. Vaccine development is paid for in large part by tax-payers. Financing of development should be shared on the basis that the intellectual property and manufacturing know-how also be shared and not held by select private companies.
Central Michigan University	1. While the WHO should alert nations and their localities about the time to initiate pandemic measures, the choice of all measures and practices must remain within the sovereign control of those nations and localities. 2. Centralized universal approaches and practices must NEVER be imposed on populations, national and local, whose own knowledges haves been best adapted to local conditions to fight pandemics and other challenges. (This was a lesson long learned by the mistakes of the Green Revolution that ignored environmentally sound and sustainable practices of local populations.) 3. Given this, no singular uniform approach should be taken (e.g., vaccines), nor local efforts be inhibited (e.g., the repurposing of existing medications or other practices) in efforts to mitigate pandemic impacts . All knowledge and efforts should be permitted given the inadequate time to follow gold standard double blind randomized practices. 4. Efforts to maintain population health, through the distribution of known nutraceuticals (Vitamins C, D, and Zinc) should be implemented by health agencies, and good nutrition should be encouraged and supported, e.g, through food distribution in areas where it is lacking. 5. Above all, each individual is a sovereign being and should never have any treatments imposed on his/ her body against her informed consent and will. 6. Let us learn from anthropological research how local populations with unique histories, resources, knowledges, cultural practices, and possibley genetic variations, have successfully combatted pandemic challenges at the local level (e.g., some African and Indian nations during the Covid 19 pandemic).
Privat	1. WHO- Änderung der Zusammensetzung der WHO- keine Abhängigkeiten von den Pharmafirmen, politischen Interessen und Sponsoren! 2. Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen 3. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte 4. Alle wissenschaftliche Ergebnisse einholen- Wissenschaftsvielfalt! 5. Nach den ethischen Grundregeln entscheiden! 6. Keine Medikamentenexperimente an Menschen durchführen! 7. Sich an den höheren Naturgesetzen orientieren nicht an den Interessen der „Geldgeber"! Ich bitte Sie diese Punkte höflichst zu beachten!!!
Citizen	1. WHO needs to reserve the right for individual nations to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. For example New Zealand's response to covid19 differed substantially from Sweden's. 2. Nations need to be able to opt out of the WHO agreement as they think. 3. People/ states/ nations need to be able to vote whether or not they want to be part of the WHO agreement, and follow the majority decision, at any time. 4. If WHO goes ahead with a Pandemic agreement, their needs to be written statements that prevent any influence in the process by "any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers".

Organization	Written submission
XXX	1. WHO should be abolished. 2. Ensure full autonomy for national and local leadership, not to override every individual's freedom of choice of health measures, and not to erode or abolish the sovereignty of the individual, local group, province, state, or nation. 3. Prohibit influence upon WHO, or funding thereof, by pharma product vendors or their investors or financial supporters. 4. Stop assaults on privacy of personal private health data. 5. Ensure the ability by any nation or local entity to be able to opt-out of any provision of such agreement without coercion or negative consequence. 6. Provide that any proclamation or recommendation from WHO be advisory only.–
Nil	1. WHO should gather/colate reliable evidence and provide it transnationally to local authorities and globally directly to citizens 2. WHO should recognize local authorities as being best placed to assess, make all decisions and to enact health measures in and for their situation. WHO should have no such powers. 3. WHO should remain independent of all financial interests (eg drug companies) and should make thorough and transparent assessment of evidence including risk data for drug interventions 4. WHO should remain in an advisory and coordination role, and should avoid gaining, and actively eschew the exercise of any coercive powers over sovereign governments.
Self	1. WHO should have zero authority over local, state, and national communities's health decisions. 2. Expanding WHO's powers, in any way, is dangerous to people everywhere. It is easy for global pharmaceutical companies and government intelligence organizations to infiltrate and control key WHO positions, using WHO to achieve financial, military, and political objectives. 3. As an American national, I will push for the US to discontinue American financial support of WHO because of its increasing danger to national sovereignty of all countries. 4. Individual health decisions are also endangered by WHO's exploding powers. 5. I urge ALL personnel within WHO to actively resist this assault on their home countries and on their own families.
none	1. WHO should promote highest degree of freedom for worldwide governments to implement their own health policies, in lign with basic principles of hygiene and established health science. This is because: a. Diversity is the basis of resilience b. Every country faces different challenges in totally different context. 2. WHO has no basis to reprimand the surrendering of governments' sovereignties, in any situation, despite the effort to curb spread of a disease. 3. WHO being a non-elected entity has no right to mandate any policies, while giving established science based advices and recommendations, customised for each different countries. 4. WHO must declare and keep clean from any form of conflict of interest, when giving out recommendations. 5. Despite emergency, WHO has no right to implement any mandates on any country, because WHO is never elected by the people as a policy maker.
Stand for Health Freedom	1. WHO treaties that remove power from the United States are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. 2. All heath decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. 3. The World Health Organization (WHO) does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Human Beings	1. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. 2. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
United States citizen	1. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. 2. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people."
general public	1. WHO treaties that remove the power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans and all who live there. 2. All health decisions need to stay close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Comenius University, Botanical Garden, Detached Unit	1. WHO treaties that try to remove power from the national governments are dangerous to the health and welfare of nations. 2. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible (the subsidiarity principle in the EU law), including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet and for the sake of the subsidiarity principle it should stop its attempts to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Lithuanian	„nepaprastųjų situaciją". 2. Tautų, valstybių, provincijų, grupių ir organizacijų teisės priklauso nuo neatimamų žmogaus teisių. 3. Teisė teisti yra neatimama teisė. Biurokratai neturi teisės niekam neleisti vartoti galimai gyvybę gelbstinčių vaistų. 4. Kūno autonomija yra šventa ir VISADA turi būti gerbiama. Teisė atsisakyti gydymo yra neatimama teisė. 5. Vakcinos statusas negali būti naudojamas siekiant apriboti neatimamą žmonių teisę keliauti, dirbti, siekti išsilavinimo ar patekti į viešąsias erdves ar verslą. 6. Sveikų žmonių uždarymas ir (arba) karantinas yra neteisingi. 7. Mes reikalaujame visiško skaidrumo. Visi nuasmeninti visų klinikinių tyrimų duomenys turėtų būti nedelsiant prieinami visiems realiuoju laiku. 8. Kiekvienas turi teisę į savo nuomonę. Visi žmonės turi neatimamą teisę viešai sakyti savo nuomonę. 9. Visi sveikatos priežiūros produktų ir (arba) procedūrų gamintojai, platintojai ir administratoriai turi būti atsakingi už bet kokią žalą, kurią sukelia jų produktai ir paslaugos.
Клуб пенсионеров	1. Права человека неотъемлемы. Их нельзя забрать. Правительства не имеют права приостанавливать действие прав человека даже во время чрезвычайных ситуаций. 2. Права наций, штатов, провинций, групп и организаций подчинены неотъемлемым правам человека. 3. Право на суд является неотъемлемым правом. Чиновники не имеют права никому отказывать в лекарствах, потенциально спасающих жизнь. 4. Право на отказ от лечения является неотъемлемым правом. Телесная автономия священна и должна ВСЕГДА уважаться. 5. Статус вакцинации не может использоваться для ограничения неотъемлемого права людей путешествовать, работать, получать образование или получать доступ к общественным местам или предприятиям. 6. Закрытие и/или карантин здоровых людей противоречат правам человека. 7. Мы требуем полной прозрачности. Все данные клинических исследований должны быть немедленно доступны для всех. 8. Каждый имеет право на свободу слова и на выражение собственного мнения. 9. Все производители, дистрибьюторы и администраторы медицинских продуктов и/или процедур должны нести ответственность за ущерб, причиненный их продуктами и услугами. 10. Люди должны иметь право голосовать за отмену чрезвычайного положения.
Individual	1. The right of sovereign states to determine whether or not to implement in whole or in part any such instrument. The democratically elected ministers of states should have the final say to decide whether to implement all or some of any such instrument. This sovereign right cannot be ignored and this treaty should definitely not be forced upon democratically elected governments by an organisation like WHO which is not democratically elected. 2. Freedom of speech and free and full debate on all aspects of dealing with future pandemics should he guaranteed light of the suppression of open debate and the control of the media narrative seen in the Covid pandemic 3. All stages of the implementation of this treaty should allow for states and citizens to vote on same. It us concerning hiw little effort has been name to make people aware of this proposal. I have seen NO main strem media articles discussing it. 4. There should be robust procedures to ensure that those individuals or companies likely to profit from a pandemic are precluded from unduly influencing any decisions related to pandemic response eg. Pharmaceutical companies or medical supply companies. 5. In line with the Nuremburg principles the instrument should ensure the use of any vaccines is VOLUNTARY. Mandatory vaccination should be banned to avoid discrimination. If one dies not have the right to bodily integrity one is merely a slave.
DB Nixon Holdings PTY LTD	1. All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4. Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 5. To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6. Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Covid Medical Network Australia	1. All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4. Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 5. To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6. Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Private Citizen	1. All health, emergency and environmental decisions must stay in Australia and be decided by Australians. All recommendations in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO will make Siracusa Principles readily available, promoting them in each country with all guidance documents. 3. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarmist situations in response to spurious interests. If found guilty, member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with WHO. 4. WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arise from the use of the guidelines. 5. To prevent oppression and an imbalance of power, the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media, must be prevented at all costs as this poses an international security threat. 6. Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and violations of human rights. 7. No treaty can be binding which confers upon WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nation's constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8. WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest.
Jaeger Health	1. Australia and Australians should retain their rights and powers over all emergency decisions. 2. The requirements of the Siracusa Principles should be used to inform any policy changes or limitations to rights of individuals. These principles should be promoted by the WHO. 3. WHO should agree to liability if injuries and damages arise from their guidelines and must not overstate or falsely create alarm over the seriousness of the disease or illness, complicate or promote one treatment over another in response to conflicted interests. If found guilty the member states would cease all funding and relationships with the WHO. 4. Misuse and corrupt use of data caused by centralization data and access via AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media must be vigorously prevented. 5. Censorship and persecution of differing opinion must be officially outlawed and proper scientific debate, opinion and discussion must be encouraged and officially asked for. 6. WHO should not have a binding treaty that allows the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance that overrule the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 7. The WHO must agree to full transparency, independent supervised, including that of annual funders and key stakeholders. Conflicts of interest would then be removed by the independent body.
AIDS Healthcare Foundation Europe	1. Binding nature of the agreement – in crisis situations the countries are obliged to respond to own-populations needs first. Public decision makers are under strong pressure to find a right balance between population's needs and international solidarity. Binding nature of agreement, approved at the highest political level, would allow the leaders to balance these priorities. Therefore, a Pandemic Treaty should go beyond what the current WHO architecture allows for and ensure a single unified set of rules applicable and binding to the entire world. 2. Equal access to medical countermeasures, diagnostics, treatments, and protective equipment – COVID-19 has again exposed the division between developed and developing world and the obstacles to fight global crisis at harmonized level. The future Pandemic Treaty should propose a toolbox of tailored instruments to allow timely access, such as know-how sharing and technology transfer clauses in procurement contracts, financial support to geographically balanced local production, limitation of profitability during the pandemics, easier compulsory licensing or earmarking percentages of procured products to be controlled by the WHO or Pandemic Treaty Council. 3. Transparency, inclusiveness and accountability – the decision-making systems have lost confidence of the European citizens. Consultations with civil society, by creating a formal Advisory Body, would allow to rebuild trust. The international health community must strive for transparent, inclusive, and accountable mechanisms. The incentives for cooperation should be closely linked with the obligations. Rebalancing geopolitical and financial representation powers is also urgently needed.
HIGH IMPACT	1. Early treatment should be allowed with repurposed drugs. 2. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 3. No experimental product or vaccine without informed consent per Nuremberg Code 2.0 which is an international law. Where there is risk with a medical product there must be informed consent. 4. Doctor/patient relationship should not be compromised in decision making. 5. One size fits all medical treatment is not workable as we each have our own physical situation. 6. Human rights should not be violated. Article 5 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights states: No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. In my opinion being forced to take a vaccine or therapy that causes persons to become permanently disabled or dead would fall under this category. 7. That natural immunity be recognized as it is a scientific fact. 8. Natural remedies need to be tried first. The body by its nature wants natural and not drug remedies. Taking vitamins, eating healthy and exercise makes the immune system strong. 9. Safety signals should be closely monitored and if medical product is causing a lot of harm it should be removed from use. 10. I think it is best and I would prefer that each country maintain their own protocols.
Privat	1. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
citizen	1. It should include the right to informed consent for any medical procedure, treatment, intervention, or pharmaceutical product, and the right to refuse same. This should guarantee the right of medical choice and bodily autonomy down to an individual patient/physician relationship. 2. Guarantees that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their own location and situation. It should include the right to informed consent for any medical procedure, treatment, intervention, or pharmaceutical product, and the right to refuse same. This treaty should guarantee nations, states, and all subdivisions thereof the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. It should guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on it, and it should include fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It should include measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any individuals, pharmaceutical or other companies that might profit from a pandemic.
private	1. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and discriminatory measures prohibited. 2. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. 3. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner and undergo a peer-review process. 4. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states should provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations should be prohibited. 5. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. 6. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. 7. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
None	1. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden 2. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited 3. All measures must take full account of all health interests 4. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests 5. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state 6. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states 7. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process 8. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance 9. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body 10. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing 11. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Nil	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	1. National, state and local governments must retain the sovereign right to remain autonomous, and make decisions based on what's best for the people they represent. 2. National, state and local governments must be able to opt out of any or all parts of any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process is needed, in which all people of the world can vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Checks and balances must be included to transparently ensure no influence by any pharmaceutical companies, or any other companies or entities that stand to profit from any global health measures.
Private	1. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" shall be expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 2. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. Measures to inhibit any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. The centralisation of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs. 7. Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. 8. The Member States require that WHO agrees to be liable in the event of damages arising from the use of the guidance.
Free Network	1. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" shall be expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 2. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. Measures to inhibit any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. The centralisation of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs. 7. Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. 8. The Member States require that WHO agrees to be liable in the event of damages arising from the use of the guidance.
Cavendish Capital Partners LLP	1. Sovereign nations to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and state/provincial/local authorities to have the right to opt out of any part of the Instrument (and any of its edicts and declarations) as they see fit, without consequence. 3. To include an open and transparent process allowing all the people of the world to vote on any measures and declarations flowing from the Instrument with measures to prevent their application in countries/ regions/ places where a majority of the people do not find them acceptable. 4. Strict measures to prevent any influence whatsoever in the application of the Instrument (including all its derivatives, edicts, declarations, recommendations etc) by any party or entity with a vested interest or a potential for a conflict of interest including, inter alia, companies in the pharmaceutical (and all related sectors) industry, investors, funds and any other global entities with a direct or indirect interest in profiting from the healthcare sector.
NA	1. The individual relationship to his or her physician must be maintained and should be of highest priority. 2. No incentives to force physicians to do one treatment or other. Physicians must treat each person as an individual rather than boxes on a checklist. 3. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. Individuals must have access to the open and transparent process and information to make informed decision for his or her health. The individual has the ultimate right to decline any and all portions of the agreement without any punishment. 7. Measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Scientific Progress Foundation	1. The treaty should not be approved by legislative powers, so that it remains elective, not constitutional, and does not reduce sovereignty of nations, provinces, municipalities: it should only involve free cooperation without any punishment, retaliation or withdrawal of funding, for failing to follow guidelines. No UN agency or entity has the right to override national, provincial or municipal norms, laws and regulations. 2. Patient's and health rights begin at conception, defined, not at implantation, but when a cell, usually the result of the union of the sperm and egg, has the natural potential to develop into a human body. 3. All parties should swear and defend the hypocritical oath, respecting life from conception to natural death, compromising to do no harm. This implies the explicit rejection of the culture of death: abortion, abortifacients, contraception, euthanasia, artificial insemination, pre-born research, psychedelic drugs, surrogacy, transitioning, etc. A pandemic or epidemic is no excuse to violate local norms or to promote the culture of death, ever. 4. Doctors and people have the right to conscientious objection. This includes the right of not required telling information (like the closest abortion facility). 5. Personal doctors have the right to override any hospital or government protocol, even artificial intelligence. 6. No entity can prevent a doctor from applying what he and his patient agreed as treatment. More in http://bit.ly/stoptreaty
N/A	1. WHO play the role of an independent counselling agent to sovereign member states, no strings attached. 2. WHO become a non-aligned, independent body promoting global health. 3. WHO should not have any role on promoting, discarding or recommending any drugs, medicines or vaccines. 4. WHO should do independent research and investigation in the matter of any pandemic outbreak and should not take help from affected member state machineries. 5. WHO should be independent of major non state actors, donors like GAVI, WEF et al. 6. WHO should give equal voting rights to all member states irrespective of their funding.
None	1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Maintain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Ensure an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Create measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council For Health	1.) Any agreement must be advisory only. There should be no loss of state or individual sovereignty. 2.) The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence 3.) All deliberations and processes MUST be completely transparent. 4.) There should be NO influence from pharmaceutical companies and no person involved in the process should not be allowed to be in a position to profit from any guidance derived. This would include themselves or anyone that they have any relationship with.
Private Individual	1.) There is no need for a treaty that suspends the constitution of a country in order to treat a pandemic. 2.) This i.m.o. is an overreach by the WHO.
Putnam Health Choices	1. Every human being has the right to make their own health decisions for themselves and their children. No Government can supersede that right. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Individual choice is always paramount. This treaty must have a definite sunset clause in five years. Once the treaty expires it is null and void. 6. No Government can force any citizen to get vaccinated or have any medical procedure imposed on them. .
React19.org	1.. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	1.Acknowledgement of Nation-state sovereignty, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. 3.The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. All information of 'vaccines' will be made public before general use. 6. Measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	1.Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SMInc	1.Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their dependent children's bodies , through fully informed consent without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. Acknowledgement that this treaty is created by an unelected body and does not constitute law within any country, nor can it supercede inalienable rights guaranteed by individual countries laws or bill of rights.
Member of public	1.Bodily autonomy of the individual must be sacrosanct. 2.The Nuremberg Code must be respected and adhered to. 3.Effective existing medicines should be the first line of treatment and must not be brushed aside to allow new drugs to be introduced. 4.Members of the WHO should have no links to the pharmaceutical industry. 5.Donors should not benefit financially from any of the WHO decisions. 6.Individual countries should be able to follow their own medical/economic guidance for it is not all about the physical being, we have mental and spiritual needs too. 7.Lockdowns must never be implemented again as they have been shown not to work. 8.Masks should not be recommended as there is no evidence to support the use of these in the general public. In fact they can lead to development delays in children.
Germany	1.Die WHO ist keine unabhängige Organisation. 2. Keiner sollte über die Gesundheit und deren Erhaltung oder gar Schädigung entscheiden dürfen, schon gar nicht weltweit.
Hilltop Group	1.Freedom of choice and speech without punishment. Doctors told me in secret not to take vaccines but they feared for their jobs so they kept silent in public. Respect for Doctors and Scientists has declined because we know they are silenced when treating patients. We feel that the doctors hands are tied when it comes to doing their job. They are following a script that promotes profit over health. They are exhausted. Let doctors be doctors. News Media should never be paid by the government, pharma or Unions or organizations like the WHO or the Gates Foundation. 2. Pharma needs to be liable for harm. 3. In the case of a new pandemic All hospital administrators, nurses and doctors need to stand in a public square to report local data directly to the public bypassing the media. 4. All rules and regulations should be made by non-paid local public citizens. 5. No government officials that have accepted donations from pharma to be allowed to make decisions . 6. All information on medications and vaccines should be available to the public. If anything is hidden, those hiding the information should be jailed.
Individual	1.Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups or organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacred and must be respected. The right to refuse treatment is inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status can not be used for restricted people' s inalienable rights to work, travel, educate or gain public access to buildings and services. 6.Lock downs and quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies shall be available to everybody in real time. 8. Everybody has the right to their own opinion and the free speech to express that opinion. 9. All manufacturers, distributors, administrators of health care products or procedures shall be held liable for any and all damages caused by these products and services. 10. People must have right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	1.Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2.The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations aresubservient to inalienable human rights 3.The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone 4.Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse the treatment is an inalienable right 5.Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spacesor businesses 6.Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong 7.We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time 8.Everyone has the right to their ownopinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public 9.All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right tovote to end states of emergency
Bombardier	1.Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	1.Human rights are inalienable.Governments may not suspend human rights because of"emergencies". 2.The rights of nations,states,provinces,groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3.The rights to try is inalienable right.Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4.Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected.The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5.Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel,work,seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6.Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7.We demand complete transparency.All depersonalizad data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8.Everyone has the right to their own opinion.All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9.All manufacturers,distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10.People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Ben Gurion University	1.Human rights cannot be revoked. You can not take them. Governments have no authority to suspend human rights, even in times of "emergency." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subject to irrevocable human rights. 3. The right to a lawsuit is an irrevocable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny drugs that could save anyone's life. 4. The right to refuse treatment is an indisputable right. Physical autonomy is sacred and should always be respected. 5. Vaccine status may not be used to restrict the inalienable right of individuals to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Locking and / or quarantine of healthy persons contrary to human rights. 7. We demand full transparency. All data from clinical trials should be immediately available to everyone. 8. Everyone has the right to freedom of expression and expression. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and managers of health products and / or procedures must be held liable for any damage caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end emergencies.
personal comments	1.Let national and local leadership retain full autonomy, Able to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process. Let all people of the world vote on whether or not the decisions apply to them. 4. Don't let pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers influence the process.
self	1.Measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
UK citizen	1.National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own population. 2.The ability of countries and local councils to opt out of any & all part of the agreement as they see fit without incurring any consequence. 3.A transparent & open process so the people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that prevent agreements being applied to where the majority of the population do no want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all Pharmaceutical manufacturers, NGOs, charities or other global profiteers
Concerned Citizens	1.National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities MUST BE PRESERVED to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process MUST be established providing an ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures MUST BE put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The WHO MUST BE kept out of politics. Politics MUST be kept out of public health matters. 6. Lockdowns, vaccine and mask mandates are a direct violation of human rights and civil freedoms guaranteed by many a nation's constitutions. The WHO MUST stop pushing for these initiatives and base its recommendations on actual, uncensored science instead of the globalist political agenda which has nothing to do with either health or welfare of the public.
General Public	1.National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Health matters are never a "one size fits all" 2. Leaders of nations and local municipalities need to retain their ability to opt out of any, and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. As well as the ability of individuals to still have the option to opt out as they see fit for themselves and their families. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decision based on what is best for their own people 2.Un open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
General Public	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow influence in the process by any or all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
OAW	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people taking in consideration race, age, and philosophical preference. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Need to encourage traditional health wisdom like naturopathy and reliance on the innate capacity of immune system to keep one's health.
Private citizen	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PAMF	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 6. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 7 There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 8. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves.
Navcanada	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dk	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health/Education	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Deepwater Academy	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Franklin Community Preschool	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Prohibit any restriction on a diversity of medical practice so that patients, doctor, medical professionals can choose and learn which are the best practices.
General Public	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Own business	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Graduate	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired Health Care Provider	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Government	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FreeCorp llc	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out at any time, of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out at any time, of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freelance	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out at any time, of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
UTHM	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out at any time, of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jabatan Netizen Negara	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out at any time, of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Definitely WHO need to implement this.
ICAN	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, at any given time and place, without any consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hallelujah Acres, Inc	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.
None	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private organization	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
None	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the applications of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process for any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The ability to travel without being coerced or forced in anyway shape and form to take vaccines, medications...etc against the will of the individual. 6. There cannot be any centralized data base on people or organizations. 7. There cannot be any digital tracking of people since it is not the U.N. W.H.O business. 8. The WHO cannot abitratarily make any claims of a pandemic or epidemic without demonstrably proving that an outbreak exist. 9. National, state/provincial, municipal governments autonomy not be violated under the banner of an outbreak, epidemic or pandemic. 10. The WHO shall not direct the actions of any nations or its government.
USA	1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	1.Nations and communities shall have the ultimate right of self determination in public health matters pursuant to locally agreed health needs and not to remotely decreed health diktats ignorant of local factors. 2. Scientists and health professionals shall have the freedom to express their own views and offer their own diagnoses and prescriptions in health matters without fear of reprisal, bullying or censorship. 3.The independence and integrity of health decision making bodies and regulators shall be assured by the prohibition of any funding or personnel input from Big Pharma thereby minimizing conflicts of interest which may otherwise result in putting commercial pharmaceutical profit above the need for patient safety 4. No health authority shall have the right to suppress the principle of individual informed consent to medical treatment by means of medical coercion, blackmail, marginalization or exclusion of participation in society.
Private	1.No mandatory vaccinations ever, 2.No discriminating measures, 3.No vaccinations that have compounds that are not for human use 4.The pharma firms should have liability in case of side effects of their products 5.No vaccination certificates or passports ever 6.Every person has all the rights concerning his own health and body
Private	1.Our Human rights are inalienable. Governments must not suspend our Human rights because of emergencies. 2.The rights of Nations, States, Provinces, Groups and Organisations are subservient to an individual's human rights. 3.The right to try is an inalienable right.Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4.An individual's bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected.The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. I would sight the "NUREMBERG CODE" as the best example of how an individual's rights must be 100% respected. 5.Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict peoples inalienable right to travel, work,seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6.Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7.We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8.Everyone has the right to their own opinion.All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9.All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10.People must have the right to vote to end an emergency in a vote that is not manipulated by sinister forces with their own secret agenda such as the Dominion Servers currently in use by certain countries which have been recently brought into question in the USA in various widespread legal actions due to the widely held opinion that these servers can be used to alter the true result of a vote in a wholly untrustworthy and criminal way.
General public	1.The agreement must not supersede constitution or national laws of any individual state. Each state is unique and there is no such thing as one size fits all. Autonomy and sovereignty of signatory countries must be fully respected, meaning they keep autonomy in final decision-making that affect its own people. They confer to no other body the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 2.Individual states must keep right to opt out of the agreement in parts or in full. Each decision that impact Humans their dignity and personal freedom, should be put on democratic vote via public blockchain voting and must be fully transparent. If majority of people in specific state vote against something it should not be implemented in this particular state. 3.Definitions used in the agreement, i.e. pandemic must be fixed and immutable before any treatment is accepted. Further modification of these definitions must be approved with the 3/4 majority of all signatory states. 4.Centralization of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. 5.Companies that profit from implementation of the Treaty must not be allowed to influence any part of the process. WHO must allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest. 6.WHO can approve for treatment only the products for which the manufacturer provides a list of short- and long-term side effects before-hand and takes full responsibility for. 7.Signatory States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of the guidance.
Privat	1.The humanityrights and the selfdecide 2.Alternative Medicine is more effektiv and cause not death 3. A vaccination have to be only an offer and not a force 4.WHO hasn't to be mighty than the governments 5.To many people suffer from the sideeffekts and die already Everybody have to be free to decide about their body !!!
Individual	1.The National and local governments need to retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The nations and local municipalities need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. It needs to be an open, transparent and democratic process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers in order to prevent corruption, bribery, fraud and genocide hidden as a medical treatment.
just a person	1.To take up such sweeping power over free and sovereign peoples, you/we need to build in accountability. Who is the WHO accountable to? 2.The free and sovereign people you are proposing to rule over, as in a biosafe world, need to have a say in the persons who sit on the WHO. We are striving for a free and democratic world. 3.Health, and health knowledge and wellness do not exist solely in the hands of pharmaceuticals and the medical establishment, therefore some alternative knowledge is needed on the WHO. 4.The knowledge that came to light during the covid pandemic was changing all the time, and data was also being manipulated to fit someone's power agenda. This has caused much mistrust and division among people and also between the medical establishment and people. The use of the media to create unrealistic fears has much to do with this mistrust. 5. To propose such sweeping control over free and sovereign states, you must allow more publicity so that people know what you are proposing and can discuss it among themselves, More time is needed to allow people to respond. The WHO knows very well how to use media for their own ends - now it needs to be used to advance people's freedom and upliftment. We must be allowed to take control of our own bodies and health. Thank you.
General Public: Private Being:	1.While the WHO may give directives it is imperative that self autonomy is maintained at a national and local level within any given country due to the dynamics of cultures and beliefs. 2. All living beings have God given rights which need to be acknowledged, and this includes the right to maintain bodily integrity in accordance with cultures and beliefs, which no government or international body has the authority to supersede. 3. Private practitioners and their patients are in the best position to determine the best course of action to address any concerns for the welfare of patient's without the influence and interference of the State or any other national or international body. 4. All nations should have the right to exit any part of the proposed pandemic preparedness and response should it conflict with cultural and other beliefs. 5. Preventive measures should be included that absolutely restrict any organisation or business that may benefit financially from having any input or influence on any plans or decisions involving pandemics; any and all conflicts of interest must be declared.
General public	1.WHO needs to reserve the right for individual nations to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. For example New Zealand's response to covid19 differed substantially from Sweden's. 2. Nations need to be able to opt out of the WHO agreement as they think. 3. People/ states/ nations need to be able to vote whether or not they want to be part of the WHO agreement, and follow the majority decision, at any time. 4. If WHO goes ahead with a Pandemic agreement, their needs to be written statements that prevent any influence in the process by "any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers".
none	1/ A fully evidence-based assessment of each pandemic's potential risk and necessary control measures, to include consequential adverse effects of any proposed societal and personal liberty restrictions. 2/ Encouragement and enabling of open scientific debate about risks and measures, in an international forum legally guaranteeing open sharing of information, not controlled by any sectional group, including WHO. 3/ Full recognition to the primary importance of natural / acquired immunity as a means of assisting and achieving herd immunity. 4/ Reiteration that no measure must undermine the Nuremberg code obligation for informed consent from individuals for any medical interventions. 5/ No commercial companies to be responsible for overseeing andmanaging its own drug / treatment trials, and all trial information to be made publicly available in the shared open forum. 6/ Legal power to waive / restrict commercial interests (inc intellectual property rights) in order to facilitate the development and distribution of effective treatments.
Australian citizen	1/ All governments and world organisations must be subservient to inalienable human rights. 2/. The Nuremberg must be observed and obeyed. ..3/ pharmaceutical companies must be liable for all injuries and deaths caused by their products. 4/ Experimental vaccines must never be used again ever on a mass basis.
Linda Keen	1/. The WHO treaties that remove power from the UK are dangerous to the health and welfare of the British people. 2/. All health decisions need to remain with the British government, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the UK agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty, and this must not happen. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The WHO must be accountable to their words.
Citizen of UK.	1/. Firstly I completely disagree with the introduction of any such treaty. So No to any such Treaty. On the basis of if there was such a Treaty then the following must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 2/. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 3/. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 4/. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 5/. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 6/. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 7/. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 8/. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 9/. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 10/. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 11/. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	1: National and local leadership should retain full antonomy and sovereignty to make the decisions solely based on what is the best options for their population. 2: The ability of all countries and local administration's to be able to opt out of all or any parts the agreement as they see fit. 3: The treaty must remain fully transparent and open to allow the people the right to vote on parts that prevent the application of the global agreement when the majority of the people do not want or agree with it. 4: Measures must be in place that prevent any influence in the process by all pharmaceutical companies . Or allow any one person or global company to profit or have any influence over any aspect of the treaty.
retiree	1] There can be no international preparedness as a response to any unknown disease until it manifests and is studied by scientists qualified in the appropriate field. [2] Remove all incentives – patents – copyright – and declare 'no-profit' motive that can be derived from such a scenario [3] remove the military industrial complex from participating The human immune system has survived all the previous genetic manipulations and interventions without any prior preparedness; that in itself is proof that no international efforts are required thank you
Self employed and retired	100 independent scientists and experts for human health care should always be consulted and heard publicly before a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is implemented. This includes their appearance on any type of Media. Such experts must be biologists, toxicologists, immunologists, and medical practitioners, but also Professors like John Joannides of Stanford University. All must prove to be without conflict of interest with pharmaceutical companies, govenments, organizations, or research institutions. Any measures taken on pandemic preparedness have to allow the people of this world to be able to get enough natural vitamin D by walking outdoors and exercising. Children's psychological and physical needs must always be observed. The elderly must be allowed to think for themselves deciding what is good for them always, as their age and wisdom are priceless. Our God-given natural immunity, as well as human rights, must always be an immense criterion of any rules applied to us by others.
Private	100 percent-Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty and respect for human rights. The legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies is a must have. Force or colpulsion by WHO or state decisions to vaccinate people have to be excluded without exeption.
private	100% free will. Not to use any kind af vaccines, lockdowns, masking, enforcing or the like. Complete review of testing technique. Much more research into natural immunity and the role of among others vitamin D, C, K + melatonin plus food and clean water and air.
Private Citizen	12 April, 2022 Dear Ladies and Gentlemen of the WHO, I write in reference to the WHO's call for the submission of substantive elements that we think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. We respectfully would suggest the following points be included as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people taking into consideration cultural, religious norms, to ensure compliance. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all parties or entities that may have their own interest over and above that of the people of the world. Thank you, Michael F. Rellosa Manila, Philippines
Medical Herbalist	13th April 2022 Dear Sir/Madam I strongly disagree with the 96 Country Treaty and in my opinion, it remains imperative that each country, nation and local geographic retain the right for their own decision making and autonomy. It is important to me that countries remain completely in charge of the decisions made for the people within their demographic regarding all aspects of physical and mental health. The process of decision-making process must be 100% free of the influence from government, corporation, or pharmaceutical company. Including any other global health profiteers. Given the diverse nature of any given global phenomenon. To maintain human rights and the best interests of each person must ensure that decisions made 'first do no harm'. It is important that all 96 countries have the power to opt out of any or all aspects of the agreement, as they feel fit, without any negative consequences. I appreciate the time given to hear my opinion on this important issue. Yours Sincerely Jemma Ward Medical Herbalist, MNIMH, MAMH.
Ambro Media Design AB	17057 Swedish people strongly oppose any involvement in this treaty! It would hinder our sovereignty in public health; policies that require us to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health; sharing of private health data; and would impact the freedom of travel and trade.
Personal	1-Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Personal	1-Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
WHO	1National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I do not agree with the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness for the WHO treaty.
Retired	1National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your attention
None	1-national and local leadership retain full sovereignty and authority to decide what is best for their citizens. 2-the ability of nations to opt out of anything they see fit without consequence. 3-an open and transparent process that all nations can vote on preventing a global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. 4-meassaures that do not allow for the influence of pharmaceutical companies and profiteers
Self, as acitizen of Australia and the world	2022 April 12 My will is that the following substantive elements be included as the foundation statements at the beginning of such an instrument as a proposed new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership and the people of the state retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for that national collection of people. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the instrument as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global instrument to any community, family or person that does not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or by any and all commercial or political profiteers. Such measures shall include involvement of a public and open court of the people of the world (that is independent of the WHO) to make the sole and final decision to disallow disallow such influence. 5. The acceptance of the proposed instrument and any proposed future changes to the instrument, if adopted and offered to the world, shall be decided solely and finally by a public and open court of the people of the world that is independent of the WHO.
Private And Freedom loving citizen	4 Points to keep all people free from ever being FORCED to take a dangerous experimental drug—EVER again! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	4 suggestions: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Family	4/12/22 To Whom It May Concern: Although I believe this letter will not change the course of events of this Treaty being accepted in the United States of America due to the politicians who fail to represent US or uphold our Constitution, I feel compelled to write this to you. Any treaty adopted by US, whether pandemic related or other MUST include the following guarantees: National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy. Any and all decisions MUST be based on what is best for their own communities/constituents. NO micromanaging by unelected bureaucrats who don't understand local issues. Individual nations and local municipalities/governments MUST have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the Treaty as they see fit. No punitive measures or punishment for such an opt out. Transparency to all MUST be guaranteed and accompany the right of the people to see what is being done in their name. All opines of the citizenry of all countries facing the adoption of this Treaty MUST be encouraged and allowed and openly shown. Anyone opposing this adoption must be protected to truthfully share their reasons/concerns and MUST be guaranteed, listened to, protected. There MUST be accountability to the people, and a process guaranteeing the right of the people in all countries impacted by the adoption of this Treaty to vote and be able to say NO to adoption. No punishment as a result of such a vote. There MUST be guaranteed appeal procedures, transparency that prevents excess authority, favoritism, or corruption in the application of this Treaty. National and individual autonomy MUST not be facilitated or allowed by adoption of this Treaty.
Individual	50 states in the USA have the right to tailor medical laws to their specific needs. This is the way it should be. Worldwide solutions are not only unsuitable but coercion at best, and the height of inflexibility to meet the needs of disparate people in disparate locations with disparate needs.
none	65.629 Covid 19 deaths as a result of the Covid 19 vaccine set alarm bells ringing. This figure does not take account of thousands, who continue to suffer significant health problems, often resulting in early retirement or job loss as a direct result of receiving Covid 19 vaccines. Such issues seriously impact the economy. This treaty needs to be stopped. It has not been proved that the Covid 19 vaccines are fit for purpose as the above evidence demonstrates. The following considerations need to be taken into account. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, and hold the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. No mandatory impositions to be imposed. 2 All Nations and local authorities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3 Transparency at all times, allowing all people to freely express their opinion without prejudice and to vote .accordingly. 4 Vested interests such as pharmaceutical companies/health personnel . should not be allowed dictate terms.
None	A "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" absolutely has to include the option for every state, region, and community to agree on the measures that best fit to their specific situation. This should include a mandatory hearing of various experts from all areas, medical, epidemiological, as well as social, economical, pediatric, psychological, religious experts and members of the respective communities. Measures must be adapted to the very local situation at a specific time. The experience of the covid19 pandemic, where the measures and vaccinations ended up bringing (and still continue to bring) more harm and death (hunger, economic breakdown etc) than the disease itself must absolutely not be repeated!
none	A "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" should have no "substantive elements." Health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including in the case of emergency. I oppose the concept of a WHO pandemic treaty.
Private	A "one-size-fits-all" for public health measures do not work, each and every nation & community is different. We must have autonomy, not a global approach to mandates brought about by technocrats & pandemic profiteers.
personal	A "top down" approach to pandemic response can and will have devastating consequences on the health of many nations. Please consider the following recommendations based on my 25+ years in the field of Public Health : -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration. Jodie L. Reimink, MPH
None	A "Treaty" such as this should not exist at all, in any way. The WHO is in dire need of reformation.
None	A 'one size fits all' approach is never a good idea with health measures; they have been shown to be problematic, even dangerous, time and time again. Instead, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is essential to any good and helpful plan.
private	A ban on lockdowns Ban on mandatory vaccines No vacine passports
Department of Health Studies, Royal Holloway University of London	A better mechanism for including communities most seriously impacted, in developing responses based on mutual aid and community support that work for all. The pandemic highlighted again that too much effort in resilience is focussed in the wrong place – securitized into looking for enemies rather than trying to understand challenges in order to solve them. This manifested throughout the pandemic in: [1] Reacting too slowly because there was no credible plan for engaging and including the general public in designing pandemic restrictions that worked for everyone – e.g. the low-income 'essential worker' who had to travel on public transport to a public facing job; make multiple short shopping trips; and 'break curfew' to clean, cook and care for elderly relatives across town. Restrictions benefitted affluent office workers but ignored the needs of others, and thus were inevitably 'resisted'. [2] Failing to understand that the public is capable of processing complex and contextualised information on how to navigate pandemic risks and to understand quite complex science if it is well-explained (piloted on non-expert audiences), thus avoiding misunderstandings (not 'misinformation') on how vaccines work & why infections still occur.
USA	OF JURISDICTION of governing "health" organizations. Here are some examples of UNIVERSAL HUMAN HEALTH RIGHTS that should be included: • Body Autonomy - no government body or entity should be allowed to force medical treatments on ANY individual for ANY reason EVER. • Power of the government is LIMITED TO providing GUIDANCE ONLY to people. Any forced medical treatments or mandates by any governing bodies that attempt to coerce medical treatments on ANY PERSON are to be established as ILLEGAL. Such forced or coerced treatment on any unwilling individual VIOLATES basic human rights (see the Nuremberg Code & also see the UN Bill of Rights). • ALL GUIDANCE issued by governing bodies MUST BE BASED ON PUBLICLY AVAILABLE & SOUND SCIENCE. NO GUIDANCE will EVER be issued based on the "advice of experts." • ALL science that supports the need for issuances of health guidance MUST BE DISCUSSED in a NON-BIASED, UNCENSORED forum of scientists and doctors. BOTH SIDES of EVERY argument must be addressed in this forum before a VOTE is made to issue ANY guidance. In addition to establishing such rights, which is necessary to protect the free people of the world, limitations should be placed on WHAT QUALIFIES as a "pandemic". Example: • Any disease that effects LESS THAN 1% of the population shall NOT be considered a pandemic. • Guidance shall ONLY BE APPLICABLE to population sectors that have been SCIENTIFICALLY IDENTIFIED as VULNERABLE. • NO GUIDANCE SHOULD EVER BE FORCED OR MANDATED ON A MOSTLY HEALTHY POPULATION. In the name of FREEDOM, please consider including these RIGHTS in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	A blanket imposition of health mandates on entire populations is counterproductive and dangerous. I'm against such practices.
Private	A breach of the nürenberg treaty and ALL Human right messures. Pure evil. Must be stopped.
Kaizennet LLC	A brilliant start would be the World Health Organization formally agreeing to never interfere with the national sovereignty of any nation or it's citizenry. Next formally declare that no global digital identification system will ever be pursued, advocated or required in any form. Finally pledge that the WHO will never accept private donations, especially from any person / fund / corporation in any way associated pharmaceutical community. Including, but not limited to, complete distancing from Merck, GSK, Pfizer, Moderna, Sanofi, Johnson in addition to any corporation / fund / foundation that has financial ties to same corporations. WHO's role should be delegated to non-affiliated monitoring of global health trends and alerting nation-states in an advisory role only. In this small-scale focused capacity separated from outside influence trust can slowly be regained in this organization.
Emeritus Professor Biology, and Environmental & Sustainbility Studies, Unive	A broad independent representative oversight committee with NO vested interest in any vaccine or medication protocol. Complete transparency and publication of all data used in decision-making, including research and safety trials. Valid pathogen tests that are clearly shown to be effective in analyzing specific pathogens of concern with high reliability. A mechanism for valid scientific and medical voices to be heard for open debate without censorship simply because alternative perspectives are inconvenient. The WHO treaty must be advisory only and never contradict the Nunemburg Code for any reason. All final decisions for pandemic response must reside with local and regional governmental health policies that adhere to full transparency.
none	A central information resource from treating physicians and scientists the world over to enable effective treatments to develop, evolve and be made known as the disease or epidemic unfolds. This will give physicians all the tools they need.
privat	A centralized health policy makes no sense because it cannot take into account regional and individual needs and makes it impossible to react to an emergency situation in a country or region-specific manner. Should the treaty materialize, it must include guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Self	A clear demonstration that the views of ordinary nationals of each country involved have been consulted about possible policy moves prior to implementation. A clear demonstration that a variety of medical and scientific views in each country have been sought and that there is a clear rationale for taking one path over the other. All suggestions from the who, both pharmaceutical and non pharmaceutical, should be advisory only and not binding.
Individual	A clear statement must be made that the role of WHO will be purely advisory and that any proposed measures cannot and will not be mandatory for the member states under any circumstances. A clear statement must be made that the agreement is one of good will and that a member state will be free to opt out of it at any time and without any consequences. Security mechanisms that will prevent the influence of the WHO by profiteers must be clearly stated and described in detail. An independent commitee will be monitoring all potential cases of conflict of interest. Pharmaceutical companies and private organizations which might have direct or indirect financial interests related to the promotion of specific health policies may not participate in any way in shaping the organization's proposed health strategy.
Citizen	A clear statement must be made that the role of WHO will be purely advisory and that any proposed measures cannot and will not be mandatory for the member states under any circumstances. A clear statement must be made that the agreement is one of good will and that a member state will be free to opt out of it at any time and without any consequences. Security mechanisms that will prevent the influence of the WHO by profiteers must be clearly stated and described in detail. An independent commitee will be monitoring all potential cases of conflict of interest. Pharmaceutical companies and private organizations which might have direct or indirect financial interests related to the promotion of specific health policies may not participate in any way in shaping the organization's proposed health strategy.
Hellas	A clear statement must be made that the role of WHO will be purely advisory and that any proposed measures cannot and will not be mandatory for the member states under any circumstances. A clear statement must be made that the agreement is one of good will and that a member state will be free to opt out of it at any time and without any consequences. Security mechanisms that will prevent the influence of the WHO by profiteers must be clearly stated and described in detail. An independent commitee will be monitoring all potential cases of conflict of interest. Pharmaceutical companies and private organizations which might have direct or indirect financial interests related to the promotion of specific health policies may not participate in any way in shaping the proposed health strategy of the organization.
Individual	A clear statement must be made that the role of WHO will be purely advisory and that any proposed measures cannot and will not be mandatory for the member states under any circumstances. A clear statement must be made that the agreement is one of good will and that a member state will be free to opt out of it at any time and without any consequences. Security mechanisms that will prevent the influence of the WHO by profiteers must be clearly stated and described in detail. An independent commitee will be monitoring all potential cases of conflict of interest. Pharmaceutical companies and private organizations which might have direct or indirect financial interests related to the promotion of specific health policies may not participate in any way in shaping the proposed health strategy of the organization.
NO	A clear statement must be made that the role of WHO will be purely advisory and that any proposed measures cannot and will not be mandatory for the member states under any circumstances. A clear statement must be made that the agreement is one of good will and that a member state will be free to opt out of it at any time and without any consequences. Security mechanisms that will prevent the influence of the WHO by profiteers must be clearly stated and described in detail. An independent commitee will be monitoring all potential cases of conflict of interest. Pharmaceutical companies and private organizations which might have direct or indirect financial interests related to the promotion of specific health policies may not participate in any way in shaping the proposed health strategy of the organization.
Choose Well Campaign, Inc.	A commitment by each nation to uphold the rights of their citizens to life, liberty, individual sovereignty. A commitment by each nation to offer support, education, financial, medical, and scientific resources but to never again resort to mandates, manipulation, coercion, lies, and force. A commitment to never again force healthy people to quarantine and close businesses. A commitment to never again close churches and stop public gatherings. A committment to never again use police and military against their own people.
Conserve New Zealand	A consideration for human rights, civil liberties and a recognition of the sovereignty and self determination for countries. We need a guarantee that WHO will not override a country's sovereignty if a country decides to go against their advice. We also want a clause in the constitution that allows a country to withdraw from this new institution and to stop paying their contributions for any reason. We also want this new task force to respect our freedom of the press, freedom of expression and other freedoms guaranteed in the UDHR. We also demand a guarantee that WHO will allow a country to produce their own solutions and pharmaceutical drugs instead of forcing a country to receive the products of a multinational corporation. We demand that this new institution respect the duty and authority of Pharmac and will not attempt to subvert their power to negotiate in any way.
own family	A contract like this is nor neccesary neighter good for the people. The states should be souvereign and not addicted from the others / mighty global people / the WHO or others. The poeple, each for eachselves, should able to stay indepoendently and responsible for oneselves. We neighter need a maternally state nor a maternally big organisation for the whole world.
Panel for a Global Public Health Convention	A convention ensuring the right systems in place, and swift, coordinated response, may have prevented the pandemic we have been enduring. It must start with four non-negotiable principles: Solidarity – because we are all in this together. Solidarity is in our own self-interest. Equity – there must be equal access to tests, vaccines and treatments, as well as processes where all countries are included in decisionmaking. Transparency – we can only stop outbreaks and manage pandemics if countries share all they know throughout, and especially at the outset. Accountability – lack of accountability of countries was the fundamental reason we are in such disarray. What do we need to be accountable for? Preparedness includes accurate public health surveillance, and readiness to act when alerted by WHO, requiring finance for poorer countries. Preparedness would be cross-government, not only health. Because countries are at different stages of preparedness, we suggest countries develop five-year plans, independently assessed by a Monitoring and Assessment Body (Body) mandated by the Convention, but at arms- length from WHO. The time of detection, alert and immediate response most critically needs assessment. WHO would have authority to give rapid, pragmatic evidence-based advice. The Body we envisage will importantly assess countries at these times, requiring action and to public in its findings. It is in countries' own interests to comply with guidance providing mutual assurance to each other. Because we are still at high risk of new pandemics, we must act fast to be ready. Read about the Pandemic Convention We Need Now: https://gphcpanel.org/the-pandemic-convention-we-need-now/
Private indivdual	A co-ordinating and guidance role for the WHO could help with future pandemics. However, the role of the WHO should remain as guidance and National governments must retain full autonomy and remain accountable to their electorates. If any nation state wants to it must be able to opt out of any part of the treaty. There must also be measures in place to ensure large pharmaceutical companies are at arms length from the WHO and that they cannot influence action recommended by the WHO.
General Public	A cost-benefit analysis should be performed on all and any measures before they are introduced. First define what the measures are intended to achieve, then calculate the full costs to society of introducing the proposed measures (including the costs of delays in non-pandemic-related medical treatments, damage to children's and young adult's education, damage to the economy, damage to mental health of all humans affected by the proposed measures, opportunity costs in all areas of human activity, and taking into account long- and medium-term as well as short-term costs), and finally calculate whether the benefit outweighs the costs by a significant margin. Any measure which fails cost-benefit analysis should be rejected, no matter how strident the calls for it to be adopted. Always include among the options to be considered the option of doing nothing -- that is, of taking no measures. It is not always necessary to act in response, and actions often have unintended consequences that are in the medium or long term more damaging than taking no action. Those drawing up measures to be adopted in a pandemic response must bear in mind that all parties involved, including professional scientists, have vested interests of one sort or another which may influence them in their recommendations. For instance, a professional scientist or medic may hope to obtain promotion if their recommendations are adopted by WHO. Again, those with the loudest voice or greatest number of supporters are not necessarily the most expert.
Self	A countries constitution should always take precedence over WHO pandemic treaty. I believe that a consortium of doctors that are involved in actually treating illness should form a commitee (The World Council in Health is a perfect example) and present their viewpoints. Treatments should be a major focus and vaccines shouldn't be at the forefront as we see in this pandemic, vaccines fell short and so did treatments but because vaccines were the only narrative. World Council on Health has provided us with treatments and help when one had covid.. The WHO didn't.. get vaccinated is all we heard. Lockdowns have harmed all people and the mental health of it's people will be an issue for years to come. Let doctors be doctors! Don't have one narrative and if doctors disagree, don't blacklist them. The doctors that spoke out saved millions of lives with early treatments. Lastly, no more gain-to-function research. Keep this out of our population! Keep pharma out of WHO! Let us live our lives in a healthy way with healthy commitees guiding us not for-profit pharmaceutical companies guiding us. Thank you!
Self	A countries constitution should always take precedence over WHO pandemic treaty. I believe that a consortium of doctors that are involved in actually treating illness should form a commitee (The World Council in Health is a perfect example) and present their viewpoints. Treatments should be a major focus and vaccines shouldn't be at the forefront as we see in this pandemic, vaccines fell short and so did treatments but because vaccines were the only narrative. World Council on Health has provided us with treatments and help when one had covid.. The WHO didn't.. get vaccinated is all we heard. Lockdowns have harmed all people and the mental health of it's people will be an issue for years to come. Let doctors be doctors! Don't have one narrative and if doctors disagree, don't blacklist them. The doctors that spoke out saved millions of lives with early treatments. Lastly, no more gain-to-function research. Keep this out of our population! Keep pharma out of WHO! Let us live our lives in a healthy way with healthy commitees guiding us not for-profit pharmaceutical companies guiding us. Thank you!
United States Citizen	A country's national & local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations & local municipalities to opt out of any & all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open & transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And most importantly, measures put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There should be punishment for a country (and it's partners in health, business or political circles) that either deliberately or through malfeasance unleash a virus onto the world to pay reparations to the world. But only after definitive evidence is presented, beyond a shadow of doubt of guilt.
Independent	A critical inclusion is the right for sovereign nations and states to opt out of the agreement when they see fit.
Private citizen	A decentralized approach with a best practice sharing & exchanging platform must be firmly enshrined in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. While a limited degree of coordination and open, trustful information flow (particularly on epidemiological data) between Member States will be important for preparedness and response, the past two years have shown the dramatic shortfalls, harms and damages of rushed attempts to institutionalize centralized one-size-fits-all approaches and/or recommendations, particularly when issued by supranational/international institutions that cannot be held directly to account by local citizens. The lessons of these shortfalls must be carefully examined first. Evidence has shown that communities are best suited to go through epidemiological outbreaks with the least damage, harm and life years lost if their customary ways of living are least disturbed and if their trusted local elected leaders with direct local accountability decide autonomously and in full knowledge of the local specificities of the situation about the most adapted strategy moving forward. Decentralized approaches should thus be enshrined as the primary guiding principle - supplemented by clearly limited roles & responsibilities regarding international coordination.
NOne	A definite NO to the WHO Pandemic Preparedness Agreement! Every nation must keep their right to decide and choose their own health (and other political) measures. Mandatory, one-size-fits-all measures touch on several fundamental rights, violate human dignity and thus undermine the basis of our democratic and liberal order. The subordination of the individual state and also individual people to the collective must under no circumstance be accepted.
ASU biology	A democratic process for everyone to vote on is paramount. People should be able to make autonomous decisions at national and local levels. One size does not fit all and choice without consequence is not to be sacrificed. The lines between science, politics and profit are so blurred at this point that there is no trust and this decision will only make these problems worse. There can be absolutely no influence from big pharma, but unfortunately, their hands are in everything!
ROM Electronics	A discussion that includes views, data & opinions from all branches of the medical field. Uncensored & open to the public to view & hear. An open preamble that discloses What the W.H.O.'s function is and who is running it. Additionally, all members of this organization should be listed and why their background relevance qualifies them to be considered expert. Every expert participant will have the time to present evidence & facts that supports their conclusion. Decisions would need public approval before implementation, especially when it concerns the Emergency Use Authorization.
ROM Electronics	A discussion that includes views, data & opinions from all branches of the medical field. Uncensored & open to the public to view & hear. An open preamble that discloses What the W.H.O.'s function is and who is running it. Additionally, all members of this organization should be listed and why their background relevance qualifies them to be considered expert. Every expert participant will have the time to present evidence & facts that supports their conclusion. Decisions would need public approval before implementation, especially when it concerns the Emergency Use Authorization.
ROM Electronics	A discussion that includes views, data & opinions from all branches of the medical field. Uncensored & open to the public to view & hear. An open preamble that discloses What the W.H.O.'s function is and who is running it. Additionally, all members of this organization should be listed and why their background relevance qualifies them to be considered expert. Every expert participant will have the time to present evidence & facts that supports their conclusion. Decisions would need public approval before implementation, especially when it concerns the Emergency Use Authorization.
ROM Electronics	A discussion that includes views, data & opinions from all branches of the medical field. Uncensored & open to the public to view & hear. An open preamble that discloses What the W.H.O.'s function is and who is running it. Additionally, all members of this organization should be listed and why their background relevance qualifies them to be considered expert. Every expert participant will have the time to present evidence & facts that supports their conclusion. Decisions would need public approval before implementation, especially when it concerns the Emergency Use Authorization.
CNRS	A few simple but fundamental requirements that I consider indispensable: All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Thank you
Privat	A few suggestions in this regard: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Independent Citizen	A first substantive element involves allowing the people in each country to determine - as a separate nation - how and whether to prepare and what response, if any, the people choose to agree to. The response affects the people and must be agreed by the people, and not by politicians. A second substantive element is to permit all countries to freely leave and to freely join any pandemic agreement free of all covert and overt coercion and penalties of any kind. A third substantive element is to ensure that the United Nations, North Atlantic Treaty Organization, World Health Organization, European Union, World Economic Forum, International Monetary Fund, and the Bank for International Settlements have no executive nor overarching power to influence any nation's participation in a pandemic response. A fourth substantive element is to prevent one country penalizing another, even if they share a border, if their pandemic responses are different, whether there is a one-sided or mutual disagreement about the other's response.
Less-electric	A FORMAL SCIENTIFIC PANEL TO DECIDE PANDEMIC ISSUES A panel of the most senior world renowned scientific experts should be formed to decide if a pandemic should be declared, and what steps will be taken to mitigate it. For legitimacy, this world panel should consist of scientists chosen only by their peers, not by governments or institutions. 2. A DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTERESTS To build trust and transparency, persons, institutions and entities that would have a profit-making incentive from supporting a pandemic, should not be permitted to influence decisions. 3. A DECLARATION TO ALLOW GOVERNMENTS TO WITHDRAW FROM POTENTIALLY HARMFUL POLICY Governments should retain the right not to implement policy that may be potentially divisive or harmful to the wellbeing and human rights of their citizens and society. 4. A DECLARATION OF PROTECTION FOR INALIENABLE HUMAN RIGHTS To allay fears and suspicion over a global institution wielding unprecedented powers, it should be declared that if an individual's inalienable human rights could potentially be infringed, then all citizens of the world will be invited to ratify through a referendum.
none	A free person must have a choice even if it causes that person harm. All elements of a pandemic should be approved by the people that are being affected. We should always have a voice, be heard, and choose our own fate. Severity of disease should not be considered when infringing on personal liberty.
Private	A fundamental substantive element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is the voice of the Public.
Citizen	A global agreement / international instrument on pandemic preparedness is simply not required. Governments should be representing their citizens under national rule of law, and not being ultimately told what to do by the WHO acting as a supranational organisation. Governments should be directly accountable to citizens in these circumstances - a global consensus effectively devolving responsibilities to an unelected, unaccountable body is excessive.
Human Health Alliance	A global agreement is NOT necessary - each country should be allowed to make its own decisions with regards to the health of its people - this is not a one size fits all - please respect each country's democratic rights.
Citizen	A global agreement is NOT necessary, every country should decide what's best for their citizens. No one organization should control the world. If that were the case then whoever funded the organization would control the world. Countries need to decide for themselves. No treaty.
Not affiliated to any organization.	A global agreement is not necessary. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. And the influence of pharmaceutical companies have not be nallowed.
N/A	A global agreement regarding pandemic preparedness and response - needs to derive from the interests of participants, and not from the interests and agendas of the WHO - must not obligate any who do not agree with the proposed measures

Organization	Written submission
concerned citizen	A global blanket approach should not be implemented for any pandemic now or in the future for the following reasons. It is imperative that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people as the welfare of those people can vary greatly throughout the world depending on diet, climate, religious beliefs and environmental factors. The elements to be included should be focused on health and wellness and preparedness as a general guideline that may be based on modeling to start with but will change as science is tested and proved and then tested again with statistical gathering along the way so that this is possible. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I do not believe any pharmaceutical companies or other business be able to influence the process by which the World Health Organization operates and all health experts the WHO uses to come up with the suggested guidelines should be completely independent of anyone profiting from the pandemic.
None	A global instrument for pandemic response should enable each sovereign nation to opt out of components with which its people disagree, with no penalty. The full sovereignty of each nation, and the ability of its national and local leaders to make decisions for the people they represent, must be fully protected and guaranteed. Pharmaceutical companies and other organizations that stand to profit from WHO pandemic recommendations must be excluded from all decisions or proposals such that conflicts of interest are eliminated.
none	A global instrument should include these elements: 1. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on adopting the instrument, including measures to prevent the application of the instrument in places where a majority of the people rejects it. 2. Assurance that national, state, and municipal/local authorities retain full autonomy over their pandemic response. 3. The ability of national, state, and municipal/local leadership to reject any portion of the instrument at any time as they see fit, without any negative consequences. 4. Measures that specifically prohibit influence in the adoption and/or implementation process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other non- and for-profit organizations (e.g., pharmaceutical companies) that stand to benefit from any aspect of the instrument.
Private individual	A global instrument that caters to the needs of so many diverse and geographically separated cultures would require a deep understanding that one size does not fit all. Therefore, oversight of any future preparations and response to perceived global health crises and final agreements should always reside with each individual sovereign nation. It should allow for national debate and discussion. There should be no coercion of populations into measures that have not been agreed through thorough and proper consultation and debate at the national level. This proposal for a global pandemic treaty does not cover this aspect of sovereign nations but assumes that what it proposes is to be adopted. In this case, it should contain opt-out clauses that nations can choose if they do not align with certain proposals. There should also be focus on ALL medical interventions available i.e. there should be no one solution that has to be adopted at the national level. In conclusion therefore, these are the elements that should be included: Highly visible opt-out clauses for each and every participant country Sovereignty of each nation respected in all cases National agreements in each case should be sought through parliamentary debate and/or referendum No global mandatory requirements All available medical treatments/solutions/interventions should be considered and offered if deemed, through ALL available evidence, effective (allowing each country to use those it deems best) Definition of 'emergency' should be made clear and not just subject to media coverage and political rhetoric i.e. This should never be at the whim of the WHO but should involve many other health experts, government and independents.
USA	A global law concerning individual citizens choices is a breach of intrinsic freedom and threatens everybody's right to informed consent. There should absolutely not be an international Pandemic response written into law.
Individual	A global 'one size fits all approach' is profoundly anti-democratic and does not take into account local or national variations in culture, genetics, economy, diet, baseline health and other factors like population density which may be highly epidemiologically relevant. For an economic example, when lockdown was attempted in Bangladesh it rapidly becane clear that the resulting starvation would kill more than lockdown could possibly save. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	A Global organization such a WHO, with a limited amount of experts, should not be in charge of health of billions of people, but rather a guide. The health decisions of individual is between the individual and a physician - only those two people know the detailed patients health history, life circumstances, mental state, etc.. Humans are not all the same, and humans are not just a number. As a Covid pandemic showed, centralized approach does more harm than good. Thank you, Miroslava Gilbert
N/A	A Global pandemic plan is NOT required . Each individual state needs to be in control of their own destiny and not controlled by one body. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	A global pandemic treaty is not a good idea. Every country should decide independently how to handle future pandemics. If, however, a country does decide to sign the proposed treaty, they should be able to opt out at any time without repercussion. Unbiased, independent, triple verified science, where there is absolutely no conflict of interest, should be used to make decisions regarding the best plan of action for treatment and prevention in each country. Front line medical professionals should be a much bigger part of the equation and they should be very involved in the decision making process for treatment, prevention and best practices during a pandemic. Treatment and prevention measures that are administered internally (ie. vaccines and medications) should be optional and true informed consent must be obtained prior to administering such. Coersion and duress should have no place in healthcare especially with regard to newly derived vaccines and medications.
Self	A global pandemic treaty is not necessary at this point in time. All countries should retain the right to do what's best for their own citizens. Each country is different, each situation is different and that consideration should be preserved above all else. No more rules from governing bodies that do not know it's citizens close at hand. Stick to the guidelines if you want to do something but nothing should be binding to a country. Freedom should not be squandered freedom should not be taken away from the citizens of a country or the country itself from some overseeing governing body claiming to promote health.
Citizen of the United States	A global pandemic treaty is not required. Centralized planning is ineffective in solving large scale issues. It is better to allow sovereign nation last to maintain sovereignty and each work on solutions to the problems at hand and then share their results with others. Nations and individuals need to be allowed the freedoms to operate to develop treatments without interference from rigid organizations trying to make one solution fit all! A global treaty is NOT required and the idea needs to be abandoned!
none	A global pandemic treaty is very disturbing to me. All countries have national sovereignty and must continue to have the right to handle a pandemic in the way they feel is the correct way not be tied to decrees made by non elected officials. Given the horrendous way the WHO handled COVID 19, destroying economies through draconian lockdowns that proved not to work, harming the populations with masking, which they knew wouldn't work, not providing information on early treatments, which do work. Not looking at the real science from scientists all over the world. Not allowing a meeting of minds and drawing a true consensus of the situation. I would not trust this organization making decisions for my country, my community nor my person. The WHO has far too much power as it is and has been bought by big money. Anytime there is outside money from stakeholders it becomes a corrupt system. There should be no international pandemic response agreement. We've already seen what Lockstep thinking has done to the people of the world. As far as I'm concerned the WHO has done nothing to help the sick and impoverished around the world, it's simply become a depository for big pharma and their money making schemes.
World Council for Health	A global pandemic treaty such as proposed 1. Ignores that disease does not affect regions in the world equally, ignoring local and economic factors. One size does not fit all. 2. Should never be binding and over-ride democratic wishes of the people or nations. 3. Ignores the benefit of local solutions to health problems and local autonomy 4. Gives far too much power and influence to the WHO and global corporations by withdrawing people's rights over bodily autonomy and how to conduct their lives, such as through mandatory treatments or vaccination. in particular it gives unfettered power and influence to the pharmaceutical industry and their lobby groups. 5. Overrides elected national governments and their ability to govern The few governing over the many has rarely benefited the many and with the WHO being a non-elected Organisation it should not be entrusted with such sweeping health policy without many checks and balances, including for nations or regions to opt out of any or part of the policy at any time..
None	A global pandemic treaty that is legally binding is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and the sovereignty of all nations. It would take health decisions out of the hands of American families where these decisions belong. I urge our lawmakers and the global community to keep health decisions at home. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO should not have power over individual health decisions. Each country has the responsibility and ability to make their own decisions regarding the health of its citizens. The US should not agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Interested public	A global pandemic treaty will only be truly humane, ethical if n used only for a TRUE pandemic, NOT one constructed on misinformation/manipulation of people for political reasons & gains as with COVID "pandemic." n based on WHO: o ensuring promotion of a wide base of solutions: § NOT withholding inexpensive proven treatments (like ivermectin, HCQ re COVID) so that only reliance on untested, experimental, dangerous solutions are available (eg experimental gene therapy injections intentionally mislabeled "vaccines," used in contravention of Nuremberg Code) § only drugs recommended are TESTED ones o ensuring no censorship of negative results of solutions (eg data on COVID "vaccine" adverse effects) o discouraging protocols only being ones designed to harm & kill, eg "don't come to the hospital until so sick that ONLY deadly remdesivir & late intubation are offered," contributing to mortality, not recovery, & MASSIVE PROFIT for hospitals at expense of lives n WHO only recommends solutions, leaving final decisions to local/regional agencies, where TRUE needs can be evaluated; citizens have appropriate input & informed adoption. o NOTHING in life is "one-size fits all." n WHO ensures informed consent/involvement, with no sanctions against member states not wanting to abide by any articles [aka freedom of choice]. n all elements respect human rights, including choice of what goes in one's body, with accountability for recommendations & remedies n WHO's not funded/supported/influenced by Big Pharma/profit-based entities to the extent that it becomes their mouthpiece rather than a trustworthy credibility warden, with informed opinion/attitude of the public being of paramount importance.
General public	A global Plan for Pandemic preparedness is Not needed. Every Nation should have its own precautions which meets their very Owen requirements. No Need for a central Organisation which dictates measures etc and controls every citizen in a digital way. Against imposed medicinal Treatments and other dictated measures.
DieNasis	A global regulation on pandemic issues does not meet the immunological need. In the past 2 years, all diversities in science have been disregarded, so that the individual as a human being could not develop further virologically or bacterially. Also disregarded is the resistance to disease that has developed over millions of years and which, according to parts of science, is considered to have been destroyed by the vaccinations against SARS.
None	A global response can never take into account local and regional differences. It also has very little, if any, capacity for accountability and would have the powers of a dictatorship rather than democracy. It is imperative in any policy developed by the WHO that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Furthermore, nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is necessary, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Heartland Vet Care	A global response to realistic health pandemics is important, but the global response should be secondary to individual nations and local communities which are inherently more qualified to make health decisions about their local communities. The health making decisions should be open and transparent and not controlled by individual donors that exert excessive influence over health policies that may adversely affect millions of people.
Self	A global style response to a pandemic is not something I support. I believe each nation should manage a pandemic themselves independent of other nations. Nations are very unique in their culture, environment, economy, living conditions, healthcare system and dietary requirements. While managing COVID, some nations did better than others and we learned from them. Some nations used drugs for specific conditions which appeared to reduce the spread of the virus and prevent it altogether. There is nothing more important than having the freedom to exercise health treatments that work for us. There should not be a one-size-fits-all approach. Thank you.
N/A	A global system must NOT be implemented. It is imperative that each different country of the world retains its right to govern its people and make all decisions that affect them. Each country is different and what works in one may not work in another. Let the WHO advise based upon available science and research, but recommendations are all it must ever be allowed to give. Each individual person must also retain bodily autonomy and the right to make their own decisions on healthcare. Too much power should never be given to one organisation, this has dangerous consequences. Therefore it must be written in that each country/states decisions as to pandemic responses and public healthcare remain the right of that country to make for itself.
none	A global treaty on pandemic response should reserve total autonomy of decision-making for sovereign nations. National and local authorities should have the right to opt out of portions of the agreement without any consequence. Citizens of all nations should have the right to vote on measures. If a majority of people vote against measures, then the treaty will have no force. Paramount is prevention of pharmaceutical and health companies who stand to profit from influencing the process.
Individual	A global treaty on pandemics is the same as having a global government and each sovereign nation will not be able to exercise their own right in how they handle future pandemics. One size fits all approach is not the solution. Each nation should be able to devise and select its own strategy as it fits best their nation.
Individual	A global treaty to managing any future pandemics does not allow for autonomy in the individual countries as different countries are affected differently. For example a small island nation like us in NZ shut our borders so we have had minimal infections here because he don't have any land borders, only air borders. We are quite unique from or American European countries in this way. Therefore a one size fits all approach, is not suitable when all situations are not equal.
Milken Institute	A globally coordinated early warning system is a critical component of pandemic prevention. However, current global disease surveillance infrastructure suffers from deep fragmentation, a lack of coordination, a need for a standardized data platform, and no sustained governmental and organizational commitment. Given this, FasterCures has been working with a diverse group of global health leaders to form a consensus vision and create a blueprint for mobilizing a rapid and nimble early warning system to monitor and detect early outbreaks. At a minimum, a system capable of preventing future pandemics must: monitor microbe, animal and human interfaces; provide information on the geographies and animal populations at highest risk of zoonotic spillover to humans; capture and integrate traditional and nontraditional (such as population migration and climate events) sources of outbreak information to identify potential areas of risk; leverage advanced genomic sequencing technologies and prediction methods; and ensure all data captured are translated into insights to support outbreak response and decision-making. An early warning system must also be built upon a flexible and transparent governance model, build on existing surveillance infrastructure while filling critical gaps, and incentivize commitments from global governments, the private sector, civil society, and individuals – with a strong focus on bottom-up participation in signal reporting and response. In the longer term, securing financing for an early warning system from multisector stakeholders will be critical. No single entity can achieve this alone, and we must immediately leverage existing global alliances to ensure the mobilization of an early warning system.
Personhood	A globally coordinated response to any pandemic is inherently a bad policy. It can be misused by a few people at the top to dictate a one-response-fits-all course of action. Pandemic responses must have the flexibility required to allow local national and state or provincial governments to modify policies and mandates according to the situations unique to their jurisdictions.
Self	A hard NO to any international treaty on anything related to health. Freedom is the highest good not what some bureaucrat decrees, especially about individual health.
Myself	A hard pass for me. Central solutions do not work on a global scale - we see the evidence of this even within our country limits where responses differ from province to province, state to state, region to region. Not to mention that this centralized power is unelected, unaccountable and an outright outlandish proposal. This does not seem in the best interest of the people. We can't ignore the potential for influence in your organization when your top donor is an individual and includes the likes of the CCP. Give me a break!
	A horrible idea. This eliminates sovereignty.
None	A huge NO to the WHO deciding pandemic responses. The lessons of the Nuremberg experience should suffice. Leave the decision to the individual as to how best to use re-purposed medications or any other treatments that they may want to try. A huge NO to mandates and lockdowns and compulsory vaccination. Especially untested experimental vaccines!
Private	A human settlement should include - pillars of natural law and civil liberties such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice. Governments must remain loyal to the people they serve. #StopTheTreaty
University of British Columbia Affiliated College	A international pandemic instrument should contain strict limitations of the WHO's power. Pandemic measures should not be left in the hands of a centralized body but may only be determined by each national government in order to allow for scientific dialogue and differing viewpoints on which genuine scientific research depends. The current pandemic response to COVID has demonstrated that centralized, blanked measures do more harm than good. A new international instrument is not needed, and certainly not a centralized body that can overrule national, or even local authorities who will have better insight into the needs of each case.
Centre for Biomedical Ethics, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National Univ	A key aspect of any international instrument pertaining to pandemic preparedness and response would be principles about how vaccines and other interventions for the disease are to be distributed. While considerations of need and burden of disease may be paramount, an instrument should also allow countries which contribute to the development and production of these treatments to secure for themselves some degree of priority in accessing those treatments. Such an allowance for (even limited) producer priority may strike some as inequitable, insofar as producers are generally more well-off than non-producers. But despite these appearances, limited producer priority would best promote global equity. In addition to respecting the autonomy and dignity of the citizens of those producing countries, limited producer priority will help incentivize countries with production capacity to support the instrument at its inception. Moreover, limited producer priority incentivizes countries to contribute to the development and production of interventions once a new pandemic hits. This would result in faster development and deployment of the interventions, thus saving many lives. Both insufficient initial buy-in and later reluctance to maximize production would in turn harm the most vulnerable populations around the world, insofar as the 'pie' of interventions to distribute to them would be smaller and made available slower. Limited producer priority by contrast represents a standard of fairness that should be acceptable to a range of WHO member countries while also promoting global equity. In order to secure cooperation from all, producers and developers should have limited priority.
Private Citizen	A legally binding global treaty will be another disaster protocol pandemic, just like the one we still can't seem to get out of currently. A global lockstep approach simply makes no sense, just as treating everyone in a specific population the same with a broad stroke, this actually has significantly more adverse effects as we've seen materialize in real time over the past two plus years. Even with a more logical and effective approach where the vulnerable (co-morbidities, unhealthy lifestyles, immuno-compromised, etc.) are given options to take action that may preserve their health, individual rights cannot be over-ridden by some decree from an organization that was not chosen, elected or wanted by the people in the first place. Free people as a matter of absolute necessity must retain their civil liberties and individual rights to choose, not be forced, co-erced or extorted by any entity, government, body or person. If indeed the protocols and remedies are proven to be safe, effective and without adverse effects then people will naturally make a choice to pursue those ends; if they are proven to be safe, effective and without adverse effects people may still choose not to participate that is their perogative because if indeed the solution works they are taking the risk upon themselves not endagering anyone else. When you force healthy, intelligent, informed people into the same protocols as those that are not it is a grave mistake clearly evidenced by recent and past "pandemics". If the solution is worse than the disease, it's not a solution at all ... if the globe is bound to this approach the negative effects of the error and folly of this proposed treaty will be amplified nearly exponentially. I vote NO.
Private citizen	A lot of things have gone terribly wrong during the last 2 years of handling the covid crisis resulting in unnecessary financial burdens and health risks to individuals and entire states alike. The collateral damage has been huge. As a result I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, of no obligatory vaccination mandats, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and panals of independent experts to be included in the decision making. The definition of a pandemic has to be revised. In no way a virus which is comprable to a medium severe flu virus should lead again to measurements which have to be reserved for much more deadly diseases such as Ebola.
Individual	A major substantive element is to allow each country its sovereignty. We the people did not choose you to govern us.
privat	a mandatory pandemic treaty is not compatible with the sovereignty of the national states and therefore I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty. In case, that WHO despite it the following point must be there: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohib ited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Zahnaerzte in der Alten Post	A mandatory vaccination is versus Human Rights and each should have the possibility to decide for himself.
Self	A necessary element would include respecting the right of each country and its citizens to have the autonomy to determine how they will prepare and respond according to their respective needs. Thank you.
Self-eployed	A new instrument for pandemic preparedness is not needed nor being requested by me. Any substantive elements for any care of the human body should come from the Earth under guidance of wise elders, who have studied the natural processes of creation in accordance with the Cycles of nature. Each nation should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Each Nation, each local municipality, each human individual should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - This agreement should be created through an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures should be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	A new agreement must uphold the sovereignty of each country and an honoring of the land each comes from and what their particular challenges are. The new format would allow many ideas and scientists views for debate, etc instead of this "one size fits all" for the whole of humanity that was tried for the covid pandemic. Different parts, lands, countries were effected differently and therefore a one size fits all will not bring out optimal health for every human. Each of us is unique as is each plant, animal, soil, etc. We must recognize and work with that and our connection to the land to come up with the best solutions.All of this is guidance only, no restrictions, etc. or labeling one better than another and taking financial or any other sanctions against any country should ever be involved. The WHO treaty should always be a suggestive part not a command That's not science! Collaboration not sameness and one rule is key in any treaty per se.
None	A new agreement on pandemic preparedness and response must MOST IMPORTANTLY respect and maintain the power and sovereignty of individual countries to make all decisions impacting its health outcomes. Integrity, transparency and freedom of choice must be foundations of this agreement. Without this democracy can no longer exist. The WHO has the task and responsibility to advise and support all its countries in its health issues. It is not meant to control them. These countries all significantly contribute to the financing of this organization. The WHO should NOT have the power to decide on any actions a country should take in response to a pandemic or other health matter. It should only advise and support for the safest health outcome of each country. All information and science used in deciding whether a pandemic actually exists, and all resulting advice for proposed action must be shared with complete honesty and transparency with each country. Each country then has to have the power, sovereignty and freedom to decide what actions to take in response to that advice. This cannot be over emphasized.
Privat	A new contract is not required. What does the WHO even mean "privacy", sovereignty of states,. I can't see that!! Here it is about monopoly position in the pandemic case for an NGO, which is supported for the most part from private money. Rejection to this change!!
Privat	A new instrument for global pandemic prepardness is not in line with the interests of free, independent states, democratic states. It can not be accepted, that an international organization like the WHO or any other organization can dictate, what measures an individual, democratic state has to take in case of a pandemic. The pure focus on vaccines and lockdown measures as as response measures to a pandemic is against the interests of millions of people and can not be accepted. The people will REFUSE such a measure!
private	a new instrument like that is not required!!! I demand sovereignty of any single nation + any human being + the keeping of human rights. A contract as you plan is nothing but force to people + states and spurn human rights. This is the 21st century + not the 18th!
World Health Organization COVID-19 Ethics and Governance Working Grou	A new instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should: - articulate a set of ethical principles capable of guiding and serving as an accountability framework for all activities addressed by the instrument. The set of principles should articulate substantive values like global justice, respect for persons, non-discrimination, solidarity, and values relating to our relationship with the environment and animals, as well as governance and process values like transparency, inclusion and participation, and accountability. The principles should be connected with clear objectives, timelines, and targets related to substantive areas addressed by the instrument, such as commitments regarding data sharing, resource allocation, etc. Expectations or standards should be established regarding the integration of these principles and ethical analysis in decision-making. - apply not only to states and intergovernmental organisations, but also civil society, academia, and private industry stakeholders. - include reporting mechanisms for parties to the instrument to update progress towards implementation and application. Such reports should be made transparent and publicly available. - contain and/or include capacity for the development and implementation of standards and/or effective mechanisms for ethical oversight and support of research, innovation, and practice activities governed under the instrument, as well as activities that may span research and practice, such as surveillance. - Wherever possible, accountabilities ought to be legally binding and enforceable. Outline a framework of governance that explicitly specifies time-bound accountabilities of states, intergovernmental, governmental, public, and private actors.
Rechtsanwalt Schick	A new instrument regarding pandemic response should address the question of digital infrastructure by providing legal and ethical guidelines on the collection and processing of personal data and health data. Any instrument regarding health issues will have to resolve the acceptance problem, namely the acceptance and cooperation by large parts of the population subjected to any type of measure to combat an epidemic. In order to attain the necessary collaboration, the fundamental rights of any person affected need to be preserved to the maximum amount possible. With regard to the processing of digital data, the instrument should contain strong safeguards with regard to the protection of personal data, including requirements regarding the safe and definite erasure of any personal data processed. A requirement to notify any data subject immediately of any collection and processing of personal data and enforceable claims regarding the correction and deletion of data should be included in the instrument in order to foster acceptance. Furthermore, strict security requirements and adherence to technical standards of care should be incorporated and the overall processing should be made accessible for audits. Lastly, an instrument should provide interoperability requirements for any participating nation regarding health data. Such interoperability requirements should not function as a threshold against participation but could enable participating countries to avoid lock-in effects with regard to health data.
Cameron Resource Consulting, LLC	A new instrument should include respect for individual rights, dignity and individual sovereignty, full liability for pharmaceutical companies and bureaucrats who produce "vaccines" and public policy, with full redress in courts of the citizens' countries, completely open meetings, and requirement of research and testing for all existing vaccines, fully independent of industry funding and direction, investigation of individuals and organizations responsible for the disastrous Covid-19 lockdowns and decrees. Complete ban on pharmaceutical advertising and lobbying.
Human	A new international agreement on pandemic preparedness and respond is extremely unnecessary! I highly disagree with the world health organization practices. The world deserves medical freedom.
psychologist in private practice	A new international agreement on pandemic preparedness and response should mandate that (1) national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; (2) maintain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; (3) enforce an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the planet to vote, and include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; (4) disallow influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers with conflicts of interest.
individual	A new international agreement on pandemic response MUST include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. A clear statement that all opinions and comments will be heard, that there will be no "fact checking" or arbitrary prohibition on some comments or opinions.

Organization	Written submission
private individual	A new international instrument for emergency preparedness and response must be a service organization. At all costs, it must fundamentally respect human rights, medical ethics, and local participatory democratic governance. The proposed WHO agreement should be gutted immediately as it is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. WHO leadership during the past two years should be evidence enough of its misplaced interests. Lockdowns and other control measures have undermined every single social determinant of health and caused all sorts of ongoing health harms. Turning health and emergencies into a single virus issue with a single solution (expensive developing drugs and novel immunotherapeutics) enriches private interests at public cost. (It should be noted that about 9 million people die each year as a direct effect of soil, air, and water pollution and yet corporations continue to pollute with impugnity!) It is no secret that WHO is now funded largely by private donors with private interests who want to be in full control of what gets called a pandemic and how it is to be managed. That should alarm every thinking human being and every government that dares call itself democratic! The best way to create health is to empower people and local communities through "allyship" and through participatory governance rather than through imposed direction. Health decisions – including what constitutes an emergency – need to stay as close to home as possible. Any WHO treaty that removes power from the US over the health and care of its people is dangerous!
Personal	A new International Instrument for pandemic preparedness absolutely MUST include the following: A way for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Every nation has different ethnicities with unique health concerns. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Again, different nationalities mean different health concerns. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. No one entity should ever have complete control. That us a recipe for disaster! Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I shouldn't even have to explain this. It is common sense.
private	A new international instrument for pandemic preparedness and control should include: Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Thank you very much Chriselda
Individual	A new international instrument for pandemic response needs to have clear, research-based guidelines based on what has actually happened, not what was expected to happen. Alongside these it needs provision for individual nation states to implement these as best suits their local environment and culture. It needs to be completely free from influence from pharmaceutical interests, if it is to retain/regain credibility as an agency of independent authority.
Treatment Action Group	A new international instrument must explicitly acknowledge the right of everyone to benefit from scientific progress and its applications (right to science) as central framework. ICESCR Art. 15 (1) (b), (2), (3) and (4), and CESCR General Comment 25 require states to develop, diffuse, and conserve scientific progress and its benefits. Centering the right to science will ensure a new international instrument: 1.Reminds states that preventing future pandemics requires ending pandemics today. HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, viral hepatitis, and antimicrobial resistance, in particular, deserve concerted attention within any new international instrument. 2. Enhances transparency in pandemic response by articulating a right of affected communities to participate at all levels of science, from the design of clinical trials to the creation of evidence-based health policies through e.g., community advisory boards and other engagement models. 3. Defines 'access' as intangible scientific benefits (knowledge, information); the material results of scientific progress (medicines, vaccines, diagnostics); and the means, methods, and materials of scientific discovery. 4. Articulates state obligations for purposive development of science and technology for public benefit in ways that ensure the availability, accessibility, affordability, and quality of scientific goods (diagnostics, vaccines, treatments). 5. Invokes the obligation for international cooperation in science and health and turns solidarity statements into concerted action. 6. Reiterates non-discrimination through the primacy of human rights over intellectual property (IP) protections so that exercise of IP during pandemics does not undermine human rights by privatizing public goods.
Tower Dental	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response in no circumstance will take precedence over the legislature and statues in place in a sovereign nation whatever the circumstances. A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response will be secondary to the democratic process of each sovereign nation. The people of a sovereign nation are the sole decision makers for the preparedness and response and a new international instrument will be for guidance only and have no legally binding power on a sovereign nation nor on the people of that sovereign nation at any time. Matthew Jackson BDS
Consumer	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must allow nations and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, legal and otherwise, while reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The agreement should allow an open and transparent process where all people of the world can vote including measures where people have the right to decline any and all measures set forth in an agreement. Any agreement should not mandate pharmaceutical companies to dictate treatment, lockdowns and other such measures when the people decline it.
citizen	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must demonstrate flexibility and adapt to the variable world and community needs. It must be completely transparent and provide all documentation data, and sources for its recommendations. All conflicts of interest must be exposed and citizens must be allowed to veto through their elected officials any portion of the treaty or its entirety if they believe it is in their best interest as a community. All members and contributors to the treaty must be held accountable and any or all policy must be suspended if any investigation exposes any corruption or unethical activity. National & local autonomy must be guaranteed without any punitive measures and all final decisions must be made only by elected officials who are also bound by a public appeals procedure. Freedom of speech must be guaranteed and protected for all persons with contrary views and data.
Individual	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must ensure that information and actions are guidance and advisatory, allowing national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Any advice given by the WHO within this instrument must remain advice only and ensure that nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures included must not be mandated or otherwise lead to coercion, and must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Measures must be optional for all nations and individual citizens and should be based on health guidance and advice, and not allow for mandatory implementation of any measure.
Citizen	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Member of the public	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must honor national and communal sovereignty, first and foremost. Elected officials who are closest to their communities must make their own decisions related to the specific local needs. It is these elected officials who must make the final decisions on public health measures. An international instrument may issue recommendations, but must not override democratic principles and due processes.
private	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include at least the following guarantees: No recording of individual movements or actions, e.g. by enforcing electronic passports. No mandatory vaccinations, medications or other medical measures. Transparent accountability and liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies. Sovereignty of nations and individuals. Full respect for human rights.
private person	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include the guarantee of open communication that is free of censorship, intimidation, bribery, threats of punishment or reprisal, etc. For example, it must allow for the free and open exchange of information, data, scientific debate, analysis, studies, preventative measures, treatments, and testimonials; and it must ensure unbiased and uncensored news media. It must conform to all articles under the Nuremberg Code for any medical experiments. Regardless of whether the medical inventions are experimental or not, a new international instrument must ensure free and informed consent regarding any type of health interventions, particularly those that are directly administered to people, e.g., drugs, injections, or personal protective equipment. It must prohibit coercive actions that force people to undergo any particular health measure, e.g., threat of loss of employment, ability to travel or to use any public facilities, and it must ensure freedom of bodily autonomy and sovereignty regarding people's own health. It must prohibit discrimination against anyone who chooses not to undergo any particular health measure. It must prohibit the use of lockdowns, since they entail heavy collateral damage that does not justify their use. It must prohibit the presumption of illness and of asymptomatic infection, and the testing, treatment, and quarantine of healthy people. It must balance treatment with encouraging preventative measures such as healthy diets, exercise, adequate sleep, exposure to sunlight, adequate water consumption, supplementation with vitamins and minerals, stress reduction, and general wellness and self-care practices such as meditation.
Individual	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include: rights of individuals to have medical autonomy in choosing treatments. rights of businesses to determine their own policies regarding if/when to be open and how they will or will not serve. rights of regions and countries to determine their own response
private person	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must respect and not overrule national constitutions. Also, every participant country must have the ability to choose its own way to respond to a national or global health challenge.
Pankgräfin e.V.	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should definitive include the Pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice. Expcially the freedom of choice, which is in my oppinion, a significant sign of developed countries in democratic systems, the right to bodily integrity are among the infinitely important achievements that our modern world should concede to its citizens. Thank you for acting responsible and compassionate!
Private person	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should ensure National and local leadership retain full autonomy and sovereignty, and its policies must explicitly allow Nations to retain the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people of their Nation, leaving Nations free to choose whether or not to adopt the policies of the international instrument, with ultimate authority lying in Nations' own democratic systems and the consent of their own people. The pandemic treaty should explicitly allow nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Before introducing any international measures, all nations must adopt an open and transparent process with the ability for the people of each nation to vote independently through referendums on the terms. The pandemic treaty must include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The Pandemic treaty must also include explicit measures to exclude any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should first and foremost grant autonomy to the people. Local elected leadership must be given authority to decide on best measures to be taken in case of a pandemic and that decision must be based on the people's votes. There must be openness and transparency in all aspects of deciding on and implementing pandemic preparedness and response measures. Great care must be taken to eliminate the influence of conflicting interests in deciding on measures, namely guard against influence of large pharmaceutical companies. Lastly, there must be individual choice at the local level that is free from coercion, manipulation, and threats. An opt out of pandemic measures must be included and it must be free of any consequences. Any international instruments aimed at preparing for, responding to, or guarding against a global threat must honor individual liberty, human rights, and local laws above all else.
Individual	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include an education campaign that encourages individuals to cultivate healthy habits on a daily basis. This would include eating fresh, whole, organic foods; getting adequate exercise; spending time outdoors (especially in sunlight and in nature); grounding to the earth; and meditating. It should include provisions that stipulate that important health decisions should be made by individuals in consultation with health professionals. It should empower health professionals to use their training and experience as the basis for any protocols they chose to follow or chose not to follow.
none	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include autonomy within individual nations and individual people without consequence. National and local leadership needs to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The international instrument on pandemic preparedness MUST include measures that do not permit or allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers. It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people world wide to vote on. There needs to be failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in locations where a majority of the citizens do not want it. The international instrument on pandemic preparedness must provide for the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Private	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include natural law and civil rights pillars like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice.
none	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include provisions for individual countries to formulate their own preparedness.
Member of the public	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include the following substantive elements: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Writer; health information professional (retired)	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include the following substantive elements: 1. The word "pandemic" should be understood to mean its classic 2003 WHO definition: "An influenza pandemic occurs when a new influenza virus appears against which the human population has no immunity, resulting in several, simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness." https://web.archive.org/web/20030202200410/http://www.who.int/csr/disease/influenza/pandemic/en/index.html 2. "No immunity" means NO IMMUNITY. Many people were immune to SARS-2; particularly people who had SARS-1 eighteen years earlier, and also many who had had coronavirus infections during their lives did well with it. 3. "Enormous numbers of deaths and illnesses" does not apply to figures such as those given by Dr. John Ioannidis re SARS-2, in "The infection fatality rate of COVID-19 i data," published in Bull. World Health Organ, Oct. 14, 2020. The infection survival rates given were: Age 0-19, 99.973% Age 20-29, 99.986% Age 30-39, 99.969% Age 40-49, 99.918% Age 50-59, 99.73% Age 60-69, 99.41% Age 70+, 97.6% (non inst.) Age 70+, 94.5% (Inst.) https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33716331/ 4. Preparedness and response elements developed in an instrument to address future pandemics, as described in #1 above, should be limited to ADVISORY GUIDELINES ONLY. 5. Such guidelines should be developed democratically through consultation with the national governments of the world 6. It MUST be stated in the instrument that all countries will be free to voluntarily accept or reject the guidelines instrument at any given future time. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input. April 12/22
Canadian citizen	the following substantive elements: 1. The word "pandemic" should be understood to mean its classic 2003 WHO definition: "An influenza pandemic occurs when a new influenza virus appears against which the human population has no immunity, resulting in several, simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness." https://web.archive.org/web/20030202200410/http://www.who.int/csr/disease/influenza/pandemic/en/index.html 2. "No immunity" means NO IMMUNITY. Many people were immune to SARS-2; particularly people who had SARS-1 eighteen years earlier, and also many who had had coronavirus infections during their lives did well with it. 3. "Enormous numbers of deaths and illnesses" does not apply to figures such as those given by Dr. John Ioannidis re SARS-2, in "The infection fatality rate of COVID-19 i data," published in Bull. World Health Organ, Oct. 14, 2020. The infection survival rates given were: Age 0-19, 99.973% Age 20-29, 99.986% Age 30-39, 99.969% Age 40-49, 99.918% Age 50-59, 99.73% Age 60-69, 99.41% Age 70+, 97.6% (non inst.) Age 70+, 94.5% (Inst.) https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33716331/
United States Citizen	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include the following: 1. The national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include the following: 1). A clearly expressed understanding of the true meaning of health 2). A clear, meaningful, appropriate and acceptable definition of a pandemic 3). An intention to serve the best interests of humanity, rather than the financial interests of large corporations 4). Fairness, respect, consideration and compassion towards all human beings 5). A considered, proportionate and appropriate response, taking account of all aspects of human welfare 6). Bodily integrity and autonomy 7). Acceptance of differences between people and their responses to medical treatments and products 8). Provision for nations and individuals to opt out, without consequence 9). The acceptance that science is not fixed, and that learned people can have a diversity of views worthy of expression and of being heard 10). Absence of conflicts of interest 11). Acknowledgement of past mistakes and the impacts on human lives, and the commitment to mitigate any such consequences in the future 12). A democratic and transparent process.
Children's Health Defense (As a member of CHD, I was notified by the organ	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include the substantive element of respect for a nation's sovereignty over its citizens' privacy (particularly private health data), civil liberties, medical freedom, and freedom of travel and trade. These God-given human rights should not be sacrificed in an effort to prevent disease with means such as gene therapy. The Covid gene therapy appears to be ineffective and more dangerous than Covid according to current health data reported by Children's Health Defense. Mandating a one-size-fits-all worldwide vaccine program would be a big mistake in my opinion. As a U.S. citizen speaking up for my freedom, I think a new international instrument should not include additional authority, additional U.S. funding, and additional health data for the WHO International Negotiating Body to regulate in event of global emergencies. I reject a global world order of health that threatens to strip me of my liberties, especially my bodily autonomy. Since the U.S. Constitution protects my freedom to make my own best personal health decisions, I must object to trading its security for a treaty or agreement which would not.
Independent	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include, at minimum, the following: A. National and local leaderships retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. B. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. C. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. D. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies, major corporations or other global health profiteers. E. Mechanisms where policies can be properly discussed with representatives from different areas of competence.
none	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include: 1) Required quantities of sufficient PPE created and kept ready for each nation - INCLUDING NIOSH-approved respirators for the general public. 2) Massive overhaul of regulatory oversight of virology labs and procedures, with genuine transparency of research history, methods, and funding. 3). Separation of pharmaceutical manufacturers from government policies, including WHO policies. 4) Protection of physicians' freedom to treat according to their best judgment, when patient outcomes are no worse than the standard of care. 5). Promotion of least expensive treatment options, including safe off-label drugs.
no affiliation (member of the public)	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include: 1. Mechanisms and provisions that allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prohibit and prevent any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The ability for individual citizens to make their own choices on any measures toward their person that are irreversible (e.g. immunizations).
N/A	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should instruct each nation to craft a response based on their particular situation. Each country will have different laws governed by a different form of government and a different Constitution. Each country specific response must conform to their own laws and governing documents. Each country will also have different population densities, different genetics, different cultures and customs, etc. Each of these differences means that the nation state should craft a unique and well suited response to the challenges of a pandemic. Some cultures encourage mask wearing and social distancing, for instance, while others may be less able to fit such measures into their cultural and religious traditions. Each nation should, therefore, craft their own response to a pandemic. This approach will also allow for different methods to be tested. Perhaps one country has high levels of vaccination while another does not. By having different countries use different approaches, we can look at the overall data to see what is working and what is not. It is therefore absolutely necessary that the WHO refrains from imposing a one-size-fits-all approach to a pandemic. Doing so would mean that each country would not necessarily follow their own governing documents and would deny the world the opportunity to see which approaches worked better and which did worse.
Citizen	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should make a clear declaration and implement the steps to ensuring that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I sincerely hope that people's voices are heard and considered in this process.
self-employed	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should: 1) Not be binding on any country. The WHO should only provide guidance and in no way have any power to mandate pandemic preparedness and responses for any country. 2) Maintain the right for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy and make decisions based on what they believe is best for their people. 3) Give Nations and local municipalities the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit. 4) Be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5) Prohibit pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers from influencing the pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, coordinated by the WHO, should always be an advisory instrument as is has been thus far, and it must assure that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy in the public health decisions they choose to inforce - and are also liable for their consequences, civil and criminaly, according to the national and international laws if the public of such nations decides to press charges against its government ill advised action; - As a consequence of the above, nations, states and municipalities may choose and be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of this agreement as they see fit, without further consequence, as they are non mandatory and the WHO holds no sovereignty above nations; - Measures that prevent influence of multinational companies or foundations with ties to health care on WHO decisions must be enforced and scrutinized publicly; the WHO must disclose WHO experts' conflict of interests and ALL decisions regarding pandemic preparedness and response should be scrutinized by a large panel of independent scientists and healthcare professionals worldwide from all viewpoints and affiliations to prevent irreversible damages on health and economy (public health is ALL health, not just one disease). Best Regards
Private	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should, for the sake of mankind: 1. Strictly respect and cooperate with local preparations and responses to pandemic risks 2. Uphold the hippocrathic oath, first of all "Primum non nocere" 3. Uphold the right for Medical Doctors to prescribe freely according to their own judgement, including off-label medications 4. Uphold the right for patients to informed consent and informed disconsent 5. Be transparent - and MUST stay away from opaque funding and conflicts of interest 6. Promote free medical and scientific exchange of information, without any censorship 7. Promote international cooperation without conflict of interest 8. Promote low-cost, quick and easy-to-make medications 9. Promote the rejection of profit in all health-related matters
Self	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness is NOT necessary or warranted. What is required are health organizations that can actually remain free from politics and provide guidance based on objective science. If a new instrument will be produced, however, it must: 1. Not remove or seek to remove sovereign powers from any individual nation to make health decisions it feels are best for its citizens. This is true even in emergency situations. 2. The above freedom must be protected even for nations that sign on to the instrument. It must not be legally binding, but rather on the order of a Memorandum of Understanding to guide future international cooperation and perhaps sharing of resources. Thank you.
N/A	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness must not violate national and individual sovereignty. The world is not a one size fits all, and such consolidation of power, is dangerous because institutional corruption can undermine concerns for humanity. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness should include elements of national and state sovereignty for decision-making. Decisions will look different for countries such as Nigeria, China, the United States, and so on. If the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness removes control from local governing bodies, threatening leaders' freedom to make decisions relevant to their particular nation's or state's needs, then international decisions may not be beneficial for unique people groups. International control that threatens such freedom is not in the best interest of citizens around the world whose situations are not identical with other nations and regions. As the WHO already states, "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Please allow decision-making autonomy for leaders in each country, and therefore allow the public to make informed decisions and actively participate in issues related to their health.

Organization	Written submission
Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law	A new international instrument on pandemic response should have a legally operational definition of "pandemic". During the A(H1N1) influenza and COVID-19 pandemics, there has been confusion among Member States of the World Health Organization (WHO) on the difference between, first, a public health emergency of international concern, enshrined in the International Health Regulations (IHR); and, second, a "pandemic", which to date is not defined in any legally binding instrument, but rather in influenza-specific WHO guidelines. Through an operational definition of "pandemic", the scope of application of both the IHR and a new international instrument on pandemic response would be more clearly delineated, avoiding unnecessary overlaps. Likewise, any definition should be flexible enough to include known and novel health threats, and concise enough to distinguish between pandemic and non-pandemic times. As is the case with a public health emergency of international concern, the authority to declare a "pandemic" could be granted to the WHO Director-General. Such a declaration would convey the need for extraordinary actions at the global level. Procedural steps can be established to ensure the decision to either declare a "pandemic", or that there is none, is taken carefully. Drafting an operational definition of "pandemic" would require the active participation of members of the medical and public health communities, including representatives of social sciences. Devising a definition that is both accurate and of practical use requires a deliberative exercise involving multiple perspectives. Therefore, any future processes to achieve this goal should be as inclusive as possible.
KAFR	A new international instrument on pandemic response should serve all global people by first recognizing national sovereignty. Any country should be able to opt out of any or all elements as they see fit for their particular conditions and needs, and all countries should likewise allow locales within their countries to tailor response to their conditions and needs. A new instrument should be transparent and adoption subject to the democratic process within countries on an ongoing basis (not one vote, one time). Profit making institutions (e.g. pharmaceutical companies) should not be allowed input in the instrument, as best practices may not necessarily serve to maximize profits. Thank you
none	A new international instrument on preparedness and response should have only guide lines, absolutely NO signed treaty where all countries have to abide by one rule. There is no such thing as "that one plan fits all".
self	A new international instrument on preparedness and response should include the following elements: 1. Encouraging and valuing input and diverse opinions from world-wide scientific and health experts who wish to participate in the process. 2. A set of guidelines that is based on peer-reviewed, unbiased scientific data that is relevant to the issues being discussed, including studies that may present findings or perspectives that challenge dogma or the prevailing view. 3. Measures that prevent pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers, or anyone else who could have a conflict of interest from participating in or influencing the process. 4. Explicit recognition and safeguarding of a patient's ultimate right to make his/her own medical decisions. 5. Preservation of health care providers' unique role, expertise and knowledge in advising their patients during the informed consent process and a stipulation that the informed consent process must disclose any known, possible or suspected risks, so a risk/benefit analysis is possible. 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
myself	A new international instrument should be advisory only, not authoritative. It should thus include the following: Guarantee of sovereignty of all states and their inhabitants, full validity of state constitutions of states, respect for human rights, and legal liability of government officials as well as pharmaceutical companies. In addition, freedom of information and freedom of the press should be strengthened, especially in times of pandemic. There should be a civil society oversight body that evaluates WHO decisions. The background of all decision-makers - relationships with political organizations, foundations, companies - must be disclosed in detail.
General Public, US citizen	A new international instrument/treaty on pandemic preparedness and response should provide for the free and uncensored sharing of all ongoing research data, therapy approaches, and continued findings. It should in no way remove power from the US, or take health decisions out of the hands of American families. We should never give power and authority to unelected representatives of any organization...local, federal, or international.
Private consultant	A new pandemic preparedness and response plan should consider the widespread and catastrophic failures of the approaches followed by the WHO during the COVID pandemic; in particular it should not seek to impose a single top down solution for the entire planet when public health measures need to be tailored to widely different local environments: local public health authorities should remain autonomous. Scientists at the WHO are not omniscient. For instance, they were many months behind independent scientists in recognising the emergence of person to person transmission and that the dominant mode of transmission was through the airborne route. Likewise the WHO has failed to validate the use of cheap repurposed drugs that are safe and display unambiguous efficacy. Repurposed drugs are the fastest route to identify efficacious molecules when confronted by a new disease. If the efficaciousness of a particular candidate drug is in doubt, the WHO ought to promptly organize suitable clinical trials to resolve the issue, which it failed to do properly. Treating any disease as early as possible is a central tenet of medicine. This critical failure has cost millions of lives. The WHO has promoted the widespread use of vaccination and non-pharmaceutical interventions that have both dramatically failed to limit contamination and transmission while causing massive collateral damage to the population. Evidence of the unfortunate and serious toxicity of COVID vaccines was apparent within 2 months of the launch vaccine campaign, yet instead of prompting it is immediate suspension, the campaiging has continued unabated.
Independant	A new pandemic response instrument should have complete independence from all pharmaceutical companies, pharmaceutical agencies and health regulatory bodies (eg, CDC, FDA, NIH, MHRA). There should be no external influence from any of these agents. There should be a jury of citizens from all countries involved and with no connection to any of the above mentioned pharmaceutical agencies or health organizations so that citizens are represented with voice that carries weight on all decisions made. All decisions and documentation supporting decisions should be made available to public scrutiny.
ZVKO	A new pandemic treaty should fully respect the national sovereignty of the participating states. The WHO, an entity not democratically chosen, should not have the right to give binding instructions to the states. Otherwise, the treaty would give an incentive to private parties like pharmaceutical companies to gain influence over the WHO, risking over time the WHO could instruct for measures that would benefit those private parties (for instance vaccine mandates). A treaty should be purely incentivised to serve human health and medical needs and should avoid all incentives and risks for "regulatory capture" of political an democratic institutes by private entities.
Private	A new WHO treaty for fighting pandemic developments shall contain the following: a) Redefinition of Pandemic to the former WHO version. The actual version is not adequate! b) Redefinition of sickness and disease. Healthy People shall not be included in the statistics of pandemic. c) A pandemic is a health sector problem. Non-health sector interventions such as lock-downs do more harm than they are helpful in fighting pandemics. So oblige the countries to strengthen the health sectors and introduce better targeted solutions. d) Introduce an unrestricted and easy accessible networking platform for exchange among health sector professionals throughout the world about suitable treatment protocols and suitable potential vaccines and their effectiveness. e) Request for complete stop of any bioweapon and gain of function research and introduce verification mechanisms in the treaty for all member countries. f) Increase member country contributions to the WHO and complete stop of any WHO financing by the private sector, philanthropies, churches, companies or any other interest and lobbying groups. g) There is no need for health passports or any health and social status related ID in no country at all as it can be abused and will be abused and is already abused. So oblige the countries not to introduce such systems as they are useless in fighting pandemics, the handling is expensive and they are humiliating for the persons and counteract their personal rights.
Private	A non-democratically elected organization must not determine humanity. Everyone must be allowed to decide about his own body. Recognition and adherence to democracy must be maintained. Therefore 'STOP the Treaty' - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	A one fit policy to be implemented or entertained when dealing with any sort of medical emergency is overstepping the boundaries of proper and restrained governmental powers. A person should have an ability to exercise one's own agency to act in their and his family's interest. The governmental bodies should stay out of people's medical business unless they (governments) are animated by other sinister objectives.
Ashdown Psychotherapy	A one fits all approach will not work and will prejudice poorer countries. All countries across the world will be made to follow guidelines and rules as layer put by people who they have not voted for. There is no guarantee that the decisions as made by the WHO are not open to corruption and there is no evidence of true scientific and economic debate around pandemic control and approaches to pandemic control.
NHS	A one size fits all "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness" is NOT appropriate to suit individual nations. Local precocial decisions and implementation from democratically elected officials is critical.
Individual citizen	A one size fits all approach to any global crisis, as is being proposed by the approach of this Pandemic Treaty has been shown during the past 2 years to be totally unsuccessful. It is vital that member states retain control over their own decisions FOR their community, as no one body, such as the WHO, can gauge the needs of such diversity. So, the provisions need to at least (word limit is too small) include: - giving member states autonomy in how they implement any recommendations from a centralized body such as the WHO. - respect for the individual's claim to body sovereignty in consultation with their selected physician. Preserving this physician-patient relationship is a central aspect to health, the removal of which amounts to a form of tyranny and not public health promotion. - A Statement related to liability whereby the WHO agrees to be liable for any injuries or damages arising out of their Treaty. - safeguards against all conflicts of interest are declared by those at the WHO and any Body implementing such a Treaty, in order to ensure that those implementing any such agreement are not gaining in any way as individuals or as silent partners to any company likely to gain from measures taken under such a Treaty. - provision for endorsement, via referendum, by citizens of each member state before final ratification of such a Treaty is made, since this consultation has only arisen AFTER a decision to have it was completed.
Canada	A one size fits all approach to public health is neither inclusive or complete. Different people's and different countries will have effective, ethnocentric ways of dealing with substantive health issues. One organization trying to impose what they see as right and just may crush other, more effective ways. A universal public health treaty is neither needed and can easily be weaponized against people seen as noncooperative or just different from the norm. It will not improve health and will only serve to deter innovation.
N/a	A one size fits all approach to this is just wrong. Hong Kong is very different from Jackson, Wyoming. You know and I know this is the right thing to do. Stop with the "One size fits all" mindset. Let people decide for themselves. The so-called experts have not been right once during this pandemic.
Private	A one size fits all command culture has the potential for the very worst outcome without the ability for those on the ground in each unique circumstance to make their own judgement. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Health Rights of Massachusetts	A one size fits all international pandemic preparedness and response treaty will remove power and autonomy from countries who are seeing first hand what exactly is going on within their own boarders. When it comes to something as important as health decisions, especially if it deals with life and death, individual communities and the families they affect should be front and center making those decisions.
Heath + Wellness	A one size fits all plan does not work. We need have community involvement at a local level to ensure that we are truly addressing the general publics needs and concerns. Trust is at an all time low, community voices must be heard and proper adaptations to plans made as required. Please show the public that you care about the greater good for humanity Kind Regards, K
Vsu	A one size fits all solution seemed like a bad plan. People have diverse responses and populations are not biologically homogeneous. The current Covid spread is a perfect example. Local solutions worked better than macro solutions. Leave health freedom as the right of the individual. My body my choice.
N/A	A one-size-fits-all approach to any medical situation it's not sufficient to address specific needs in local areas and the following recommendations should be taken into consideration seriously: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S citizen	A one-size-fits-all plan would not work. Nations, states, towns, must be free to assess their own situation. People must be allowed to make their own health decisions and opt out of anything they find objectionable. Corporate entities, such as pharmaceutical, must not be allowed to dictate.
None	A one-size-fits-all response could be a disaster since each nation has a constitution unique to it's country, Therefore, I believe national and local leadership should retain full autonomy in how to respond to a pandemic. It cannot super-cede the rights of a country as laid in its constitution. Each Nation must reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people (which, again could be nationally or locally). It should include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It needs to be a much more open and transparent process than what we just dealt with and must include the ability for all people of the world to vote on the process including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The steps taken should not be laid out or influenced by those who could profit from it--such as pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	A pandemia should be characterized by many deaths proved by autopsy of a majority of dead people. When doctors or researchers find efficient treatment(s), WHO should and must make it (them) public and encourage other doctors to use it (them). Finally, WHO should and must discourage censorship on new and efficient treatments and publicly denounce those who publish fake news and false science and data.
Personal	A pandemia should be characterized by many deaths proved by autopsy of a majority of dead people. When doctors or researchers find efficient treatment(s), WHO should and must make it (them) public and encourage other doctors to use it (them). Finally, WHO should and must discourage censorship on new and efficient treatments and publicly denounce those who publish fake news and false science and data.
privat	A pandemic contract is not wished from EU citizens. It's no democracy what you all are doing right now! Stop and let us live in freedom!
private	A pandemic must be defined as lots of deaths not as an investigation of a new virus etc all over the world. Our analytical methods will be better and better. So they will be able to detect more and more species. This fact must not be necessarily mean that they were not always there all the time and our body is familiar with it. Best regards, Antje Laske
Member of the general public	A Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory, with recommendations voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or decline to implement recommendations without consequences. WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override decisions taken by member nations. Virus outbreaks vary greatly in different countries, due to population density, diversity, climate, availability of healthcare, prevention, etc . A single method implemented worldwide is inappropriate and misguided. WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical experimental stage. It should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. The prior definition of pandemic should be restored, to reflect risk of high mortality rate in all age groups. High contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. WHO meetings must be made public, with access to the recordings. Country representatives must be required to consult the public. All contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and with the implementers of these activities by the WHO must be publicly available. All conflicts of interest must be declared to ensure transparency and accountability. Decis
Individual	A pandemic repsonse governed by solely the WHO presents a real threat to the safety and autonomy of individuals and the ability of national and local entities to tailor their policies to local concerns. To prevent a single, centralized agency from holding too much power over responses that need to be flexible and tailored to individual communities, needs, here is what I feel any instrument on pandemic response must include 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other entities that stand to profit from global health initiatives.
DFS Planning & Scheduling	A pandemic response should be advice and information only.
General Public	A pandemic response should have the following components: 1. Inclusion of a variety of health care professionals and physicians - outside of and in addition to public health agencies - in analysis of the situation and problem solving (e.g. therapeutics, repurposed medications, alternative health solutions). Multiple solutions must be presented, accepted and promoted (versus "one size fits all"). 2. Influence and conflict of interest should be avoided between industry (e.g. Pharmaceutical) and government in managing health and pandemic response. 3. Each country has its unique strengths and deficiencies and as such, one over arching international response may not be the best fit for all. Physicians (a variety doctors including practicing community physicians) appointed for each country should come to the table to discuss the matter. The ultimate decision for each country should be by the country- a decision in the best interest of its people and in line with the nation's constitution. 4. Open and transparent sharing information is imperative. 5. No consequences to nations choosing to opt out of some or all health measures proposed.
None	A pandemic response should include holistic and traditional medicine approaches. It should give options to the public, as to what kind of medicine they apply to themselves. Medical doctors should be encouraged to respect and honor patient preferences. No type of medicine should be mandatory for the public if it's a new technology, or it's under patent protection, or it's largely profitable for private enterprises, or it hasn't been tested appropriately, or if it's test results were performed without third party supervision, or it's confidential information, unavailable to any third party. The freedom to enter in public spaces should not be restrained by law. Digital vaccination certificates should not be demanded or become only option to enter places or do any activities. Hospitals should be kept with a high standard, pre-pandemic times, and nurse and doctor salaries should be as high as those of politicians or other professionals. Trained human beings should be employed in hospitals, not robots. Alternative temporary facilities should be thought of to take care of excess patients. WHO should encourage prevention through nutrition and lifestyle and the public should be educated on being responsible for their own health. The WHO should not be funded largely by foundations because this will influence their response to the pandemic. The WHO and should recognize at all times the importance of a holistic approach to medicine.
Australian	A pandemic treaty is necessary and would not benefit the people of our world. WHO needs to be transparency in all funding matters. WHO needs to return to open debate and dialogue, respect of our inalienable human rights and civil liberties and the sovereignty of all people and nations. All people have the right to choose and refuse treatments, or medical interventions, including access to repurposed medications. WHO must reject mass experimentation and social engineering, and discrimination based on medical status or choice. WHO must compensate individuals and communities for harms from medical interventions recommended by WHO.
VCU Medical Center	A pandemic treaty is NOT necessary and would not benefit the people of our world. The WHO and CDC have demonstrated corruption, lack of transparency and utter lack of respect for human rights. It is wholly inappropriate to think they would ethically and effectively manage said treaty. We need transparency, a return to open debate and dialogue, respect of our inalienable human rights and civil liberties and the sovereignty of all people and nations. All humans have the right to choose and refuse treatments, or medical interventions, including access to repurposed medications. They also have the right to reject of mass experimentation and social engineering, and discrimination based on medical status or choice. The WHO should promote restoration for harms from medical interventions. -National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be included.
Public	A pandemic treaty is NOT necessary and would not benefit the people of our world. The WHO and CDC have demonstrated corruption, lack of transparency and utter lack of respect for human rights. It is wholly inappropriate to think they would ethically and effectively manage said treaty. We need transparency, a return to open debate and dialogue, respect of our inalienable human rights and civil liberties and the sovereignty of all people and nations. All humans have the right to choose and refuse treatments, or medical interventions, including access to repurposed medications. They also have the right to reject of mass experimentation and social engineering, and discrimination based on medical status or choice. The WHO should promote restoration for harms from medical interventions. -National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be included.
Citizen	A pandemic treaty is not necessary. We should return to an open debate based on sound scientific data. The inalienable human rights should be respected with everyone having the rights to decide what goes into his/her body. Respect for civil liberty and the sovereignty of nations. The WHO has simply changed definitions like pandemic without any justification. The WHO does not use it's power responsibly. It will simply abuse more power to do whatever it like. So why should we trust the WHO with more power? Therefore I say NO to this treaty.
private person	A pandemic treaty must not curtail the sovereignty of individual countries. Each country must continue to be able to act within the framework of its individual laws and on the basis of human and fundamental rights, even in the event of a pandemic. The WHO is not an institution democratically elected by the citizens. It is not allowed to issue binding rules for the world population; this massively exceeds its competence. At best, the WHO's task is to evaluate the effectiveness of the measures taken during the Covid pandemic and, on this basis, to give non-binding advice for future pandemics.
French citizen	A pandemic treaty should maintain WHO in its role as advisory and in no circumstances allow for individual countries to surrender their sovereignty or own constitutions and human rights. It should restore the principles that underpinned the pandemic plans pre pandemic as well as those established by the Great Barrington signatories. It should aim at maintaining to the full possible extent the social and economic life under pandemic and hold responsible those who impose unreasonable social constraints without consideration for proven efficiency or consequences. It should ensure continuity of individual dignity and information protection. It should ensure continuity of the medecin-patient relationship and ability and responsibility to choosing treatment. It should protect GP's freedom to prescribe and not be subject to menace. It should ensure access to safe and efficient treatments. In this respect it should reestablish the principle of companionate prescription; not only should it protect natural and repurposed safe drugs from fraudulent trials and interdictions; it should prioritize and organise for the indépendant financing of researches from existing molecules with known safety and mechanisms of actions vs new molecules and therapeutic approaches with significant safety and efficiency issues. It should ensure strict and indépendant contrôle of trials for new molecules or approach, conformity to authorizations, pharmacoviglilances and full availability of trial and pharmacoviglilance to public and scientific community. It shout set mechanisms ensuring that un-contrôled and un-precedented grave effects and mortality as revealed by trials, pharmacoviglilance or FOIA do result in reconsidering roll out. It should ensure th
European citizen	A pandemic treaty, without exception, MUST enshrine guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. In einen Pandemie-Vertrag MÜSSEN ohne Ausnahme Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen verankert werden.
Self Employed	A Pandemic treaty? No. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
anonim	A people's treaty should include: Natural law and civil rights pillars like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. There should an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
myself, member of the family of humans	A persons body only belongs to that person and no group or government has any right to make binding decisions over the health of that person except that person. If a person is young, or judged incompetent by a court of law, then his will or last testament ,or his parents, should appoint their choice of themselves or another entity to make necessary health decisions. Failing to have those documents in this case, or parents, is the only reason , any other entity can step in and make health decisions. During so called pandemics, I intend to make medical decisions for myself , even if the decision is unpopular or unconventional as no one has license to the whole truth.
Private	A private company such as the WHO should not be allowed to interfere with the running of a country. Citizens of countries did not vote for you. You have absolutely no right. Especially when you are funded by donors/sponsors. This just opens the door for the elites to interfere when they have a vested interest in shaping what happens.
I am an individual representing no organization	A proper approach to a pandemic is to only quarantine sick people, not those who are healthy. All individuals must be given the freedom to accept or reject any medical protocol that is recommended to them. To control what a person can do and where the person can go based on vaccination status is wrong. The recent story of a COVID outbreak at a prominent Gridiron dinner where all guests had to show proof of vaccination, and many other stories like it show that the vaccine does not prevent you from getting COVID. With any treatment, the public must be clearly told the pros and cons of such treatment so they are informed and freely consent or refuse the treatment without inciting prejudice or peer pressure or facing the loss of employment for doing so.
Self	A proposal of such magnitude should not be determined by unelected national representatives. There will always be differing opinions and no binding decisions should be taken without very extensive scientific debate. Such debate has been heavily censored in the case of Covid 19, and it is obvious that vested interests might easily sway decision-making. I believe the proposal should be rejected
General Public	A referendums should be held so people of our country can vote on an issue such as this. We the citizens elect our Irish politicians to represent us. At no time can unelected people from any organisation make decisions on any issues without full debate and the full consent of the Irish people. Full transparency and debate is needed on this issue immediately.
Public	A requirement to boost public health services beyond mere vaccination services, for example contact tracing, etc. A requirement to include local traditional medicine in pandemic service efforts. A requirement to respect the right to informed consent for all patients as regards vaccination or other treatments; the patient's consent will supersede any requirement. A requirement to acknowledge personal medical excuses from vaccination or other required treatments for patients whose independent physicians deem to be have underlying conditions that may put them in jeopardy. Any physician's medical decisions as regards their patients is to supersede any and all requirements of the treaty. A requirement to fully respect individual and community religious beliefs and philosophical values as regards any treatment. Any individual's personal beliefs and values will be held to supersede the requirements of the treaty.
Law Office of K.E. Cronin	A response to any emergency must be local and organically driven. What is correct for one geographical area of the world and its population does not make it fit for another. I oppose submission to any governing body as the individual disappears becoming voiceless in the bureaucracy. As a human being, I know my body better than any international entity. I trust that many do as well. This goes for being a parent as well. I know what is best for my child over some faceless bureaucrat somewhere else that does not know my child and therefore their health status. Covid has made clear that sinister forces seek to profit off of pandemics. The best way to eliminate their influence is to make responses decentralized with no obvious figurehead or entity in control.
Kinderarztpraxis	A safeguard of the sovereignty of the state and the individual. The unconditional guarantee of human rights and a ban on methods of digital surveillance. Personal responsibility of every person for questions of health promotion and prevention. Exclusion of the option of compulsory vaccination. Legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies in any regulation and all its consequences. Ban on lobbying by the pharmaceutical industry and obligation to forego profits in the event of a pandemic emergency. Protection of family and childrens' wellbeing within their family as an absolute priority, no decision-making authority for the state over parents.
Yale-NUS College (RLRQ) and the Centre for Biomedical Ethics, Yong Loo Li	A significant issue in the early stages of the current pandemic was the unequal access of Covid-19 vaccines due to limited supply. Since centralised vaccine and distribution entities like COVAX cannot obligate governments to be charitable, it was difficult to distribute vaccines equitably on a global scale. Therefore, a pandemic preparedness instrument should bind governments in an agreement to reduce the gap between poorer and wealthier countries in obtaining doses, increase the global supply of vaccines by increasing global manufacturing capabilities, alleviate the effects of vaccine nationalism and vaccine diplomacy, and encourage knowledge-sharing and global cooperation. Some potential elements of such an agreement include: wealthier countries pledging to donate a percentage of their purchases to poorer countries in order to ensure poorer nations have access to vaccines concurrently with wealthier nations; wealthier countries providing poorer countries support in domestic vaccine rollout campaigns to improve efficiencies and reduce vaccine hesitancy; wealthier countries with vaccine manufacturing capabilities in financing and supporting poorer countries to ramp up vaccine production capacity; promoting global coordination between governments through an accountable and transparent system with mechanisms to ensure reporting, compliance and implementation of the above. As it is unlikely countries will agree to an enforcement mechanism for the preceding, compliance can be maintained through the reporting mechanism above. This pragmatic approach has some precedent with, e.g., the Paris Climate Agreement where, despite lack of enforcement mechanism, countries have taken concrete steps towards compliance.
Self employed artist	A single entity or organization being the centralized authority on matters of public health is a terrible idea. The substantive elements that should be included in an international instrument are the ones that give the most power to local governments and decentralized authorities. Clearly, the severity and spread of a pandemic is in no way uniform, so local officials should be encouraged to evaluate their own communities in terms of threat and response. In terms of preparedness, the ability to accurately test for a pathogen is critical. Organization that would help deploy rapid and reliable tests to medical professionals would be most helpful. Any policies that would open the communication between clinical practice physicians to allow them to pool their experience with different treatment protocols would be helpful. Allow medical professionals to lead the way instead of being told what to do by governments.

Organization	Written submission
Private citizen	A single policy for the world is not a suitable means of facing a future pandemic. National governments must retain full authority to make decisions based on what is best and appropriate for their own people. There does not need to be a global agreement allowing WHO to direct governments' responses. If one is created then- 1. nations and local communities must be able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without adverse consequence. 2. there must be no influence allowed for pharmaceutical companies on the processes.
Humanity	A singular pandemic approach for all natiins is dangerous. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must not have any influence over any measures taken.
Member of public	A sovereign country should not be mandated to comply with a global plan for pandemic preparedness and response, such a plan being enforced by an unelected body. Pandemic preparedness and response is more effectively provided at a local level as situations and populations vary across the globe. Pharmaceutical companies and any individual or organisation having a vested interest in the provision of a particular mitigation or treatment have absolutely no place in this process.
Citizen	A sovereign democratic nation is by definition independent, governed by its citizens and in control of its own affairs. To relinquish the authority for the US to determine and control the health and welfare policies of US citizens is, then, to relinquish its status as a sovereign nation. This is not in keeping with the tenets of the Constitution and must, therefore, be refuted.
Private	A sovereign nation state should make the relevant decision. Nation states should be free to opt out of the Treaty, or part of the Treaty. Any decision made should be transparent to all peoples of the world Pharmaceutical companies and any body profiting from global health decisions should not be able to influence any decision by a sovereign nation state.
individual	A Substantive element of any national health response would be eliciting results of any and all grassroots success achieved in early treatment practices from licensed medical professionals towards lessening effects of viral infections. Therefore embracing and coordinating all possible intelligence available. This has not been allowed to take place. Until it has and until only showing uncensored failures as a result, we logically do not need any questionable international efforts usurping national sovereignty and even common sense. Regards, R Snobelen
Parent	A substantive element should be guidelines, which remain as just that - a guideline for someone to follow if it suits them. Relative studies that do not hide the truth from the public. Options that give people a choice instead of a mandate. Public Health is not a one size fits all approach, as members of "science" you all should be well aware of something that works for one may not work for five others. Every human being should be responsible to choose their path in their life and not be dictated by indirect constituents.
Private individual	A substantive element that must be included is that such instruments remain voluntary for all nations and all individuals. An opt-out alternative must be included where individuals retain full rights to participate in society without restrictions. This must be guaranteed for the infinite future.
General public	A substantive element that needs to be included is LOW COST ANTI VIRAL MEDICATION. These should be made available to the general public as a TREATMENT & PREVENTIVE OPTION. It is crucial that we do not rely on only vaccination. All options should be available to prevent the spread of infection, hospitalisation & death. Early treatment is also ESSENTIAL to those infected as it has proved to save lives. Various lots of Anti-virals in combination with immune boosting vitamins should be readily available for public distribution. Please refer to the Preventive treatment home kits such as those distributed to the public in parts of India would be an economical and fast way to dramatically SLOW THE SPREAD.
private capacity	A substantive element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is that of personal choice. ie if an individual would like to guard against or cure him or herself of a pandemic disease in his or her own way - this human right must be included and permitted. I believe that another substantive element to include is to address the need for basic, natural, good health in humanity to optimize the capacity to withstand a pandemic. Basic requirements for strong health being clean air, clean water, abundant fruit and vegetables grown without pesticides, herbicides, and chemical fertilizers, and no radiation contamination from nuclear plants or electromagnetism .
Personal	A substantive element that should be included is to ensure a national referendum is held for each nation-state, seeking the citizens' agreement on permitting a centralised global organisation (e.g.,WHO) having legal authority to implement & dictate mandatory orders to those states in response to a pandemic.
Personal	A substantive element that should be included is to ensure a national referendum is held for each nation-state, seeking the citizens' agreement on permitting a centralised global organisation (e.g.,WHO) having legal authority to implement & dictate mandatory orders to those states in response to a pandemic.
Personal	A substantive element that should be included is to ensure a national referendum is held for each nation-state, seeking the citizens' agreement on permitting a centralised global organisation (e.g.,WHO) having legal authority to implement & dictate mandatory orders to those states in response to a pandemic.
Uni Trento, Italy	A substantive element to be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is the development of a robust community engagement and communication strategy to raise awareness and to develop skills for the success of an outbreak response in dense, overcrowded urban conditions. To date, small gains have been made in a slum context to control disease outbreaks and importantly a standard procedure to engage with communities has not yet emerged. Experiences with the EVD in West Africa showed the potential of how poor sanitation and high population density can create favourable conditions for the rapid spread of an infectious disease with a complicated logistical and population context for management and control. The social sciences to understand this context and to develop the strategy are a crucial part of this substantive element.
Concerned citizen	A substantive element to be included is the respect for the principle of subsidiarity - Decisions in society need to be made at the lowest competent level. Any activity that can be efficaciously performed by a more decentralized entity - should be. "one should not withdraw from individuals and commit to the community what they can accomplish by their own enterprise and industry. So, too, it is an injustice and at the same time a grave evil and a disturbance of right order to transfer to the larger and higher collectivity functions which can be performed and provided for by the lesser and subordinate bodies" (Pope Pius XI, 79) "No actual or established power has the right to deprive peoples of the full exercise of their sovereignty." (Pope Francis, 3.2) "Experience has shown that where personal initiative is lacking, political tyranny ensues. (Pope St John XXIII, 57) We do not need a State or supranational organization which regulates and controls key health decisions, but Goverments which, in accordance with the principles of subsidiarity, acknowledge and support initiatives arising from decentralized and sovereign collectivities, and that in doing so, fosters freedom and participation through assumption of responsibility, thus respecting its citizens' human dignity.
Not applicable	A substantive element to include would be how WHO and World governments propose to protect and safeguard the rights and freedoms of the global population in the event of future health crises. Will the Nuremberg Code and all other human rights declarations be honoured or violated? Will the data collected through any bio-surveillance technology of citizens worldwide be used to enhance our health and well-being or used against us as currency? Please define the term 'the common good' to avoid relying on the emotional meaning which plays on good will. If the current pandemic management is anything to go by then there is major scope for abuse and the first casualties will be the aforementioned. All previously designed preparedness plans were abandoned through panic and we will never know if they would have worked. What could possibly go wrong with this envisaged plan? I now lodge my objection to the WHO Pandemic Preparedness Treaty, any form of global health governance or interference with sovereignty of nations and of individual men and women of all nations.
PHD Financial Services	A sunset clause should be included outlining the conditions necessary for the cancellation of pandemic responses. The criteria for what actually defines a pandemic needs to be revisited also as Sars Covid 1, and Covid 19 do not technically qualify as a pandemic due to their survivability among various age groups, save for the elderly and people with pre existing conditions. Corona viruses themselves do not pose a threat to humanity and the human body can normally defend itself with antibodies and its own natural immunity.
N/A	A supra-national treaty on 'pandemic-preparedness' is wholly inappropriate under any circumstances. Each nation is sovereign and should be able to manage any pandemic for its own people without oversight from the WHO, who should be advisory at most. The past 24 months have demonstrated that the WHO cannot be trusted to act for the health of the people of this world, independently of the aims and interests of its many financially-motivated backers, and as such should stay firmly in the background in future, and should never be granted any powers which override those of sovereign nations. We need less corruption and control in this world, not more. Public health, away from questions of clean water etc is a misnomer. There is no such thing - there is only individual health. And individuals are best placed to manage their own health for themselves with honest, unbiased, properly-evaluated information which has no ties to money and undue influence. Please, WHO, stay in the background, for the better health of all of the men, women and children of this world.
Daubert Law PLLC	A supranational, global, unelected organization should never exert power, influence or control over sovereign individuals. My further comments are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Patrick K. Daubert, Esq.
Independent researcher, former INSERM, France	A total ban on gain-of-function experiments that almost certainly led to the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Let each country control the management of a pandemic according to scientifically proven methods and not by following mathematical models Physicians should be allowed to treat diseases with the drugs they deem effective
Private citizen	A treaty cannot negate or cancel our freedom and our individual, inalienable right to self-determination through a comprehensive, top-down, single mandate.
unaffiliated	A treaty for public health in emergency and pandemic situations should include: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	A treaty must allow for: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fails afe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	A treaty of this kind would not be in the interest of any nation that wishes to retain its sovereignty. The idea that power should be passed to the WHO to determine how countries should regulate their affairs in relation to public health is absurd. Each country must have the autonomy to make its own decisions about public health policy taking into account the will of its own people as well as matters such as culture and economics. The WHO is funded by a group of people who mould and influence its guidance to benefit themselves. The idea that an unelected body could have such a huge impact on the civil liberties of any country is undemocratic and not in the interest of the people. At most, the WHO should issue guidance and provide information. It must never have the power to override a nations laws and to infringe on and alter the individual rights and civil liberties of the people. Different people have differing views about how health issues should be dealt with and no organisation should have the power to bind nations to their assessments which, in the case of the WHO, have already been proven to be flawed and made with questionable intent.
None	A treaty of this kind would not be in the interest of any nation that wishes to retain its sovereignty. The idea that power should be passed to the WHO to determine how countries should regulate their affairs in relation to public health is absurd. Each country must have the autonomy to make its own decisions about public health policy taking into account the will of its own people as well as matters such as culture and economics. The WHO is funded by a group of people who mould and influence its guidance to benefit themselves. The idea that an unelected body can have such a huge impact on the civil liberties of any country is undemocratic and not in the interest of the people. At most, the WHO should issue guidance and provide information. It must never have the power to override a nations laws and to infringe on and alter the individual rights and civil liberties of the people. Different people have differing views about how health issues should be dealt with and no organisation should have the power to bind nations to their assessments which, in the case of the WHO, have already been proven to be flawed and made with questionable intent.
Member of Public	A treaty of this kind would not be in the interest of any nation that wishes to retain its sovereignty. The idea that power should be passed to the WHO to determine how countries should regulate their affairs in relation to public health is absurd. Each country must have the autonomy to make its own decisions about public health policy taking into account the will of its own people as well as matters such as culture and economics. The WHO is funded by a group of people who mould and influence its guidance to benefit themselves. The idea that an unelected body can have such a huge impact on the civil liberties of any country is undemocratic and not in the interest of the people. At most, the WHO should issue guidance and provide information. It must never have the power to override a nations laws and to infringe on and alter the individual rights and civil liberties of the people. Different people have differing views about how health issues should be dealt with and no organisation should have the power to bind nations to their assessments which, in the case of the WHO, have already been proven to be flawed and made with questionable intent.
Poth	A treaty on pandemic preparedness and response may under no circumstances contain provisions which supersede or could be interpreted as superseding any guarantees of basic human rights, including the right to personal integrity, enshrined in international treaties/declarations or in national constitutions or comparable legal instruments on the level of nation states including any rules regarding enforcement of such rights by way of the national justice system or whatever means thereto are foreseen in national legislation.
Citizen	A treaty on pandemic preparedness must state very clearly that all countries are independent in their decisions and that supranational institutions like the WHO that are not democratically elected can never give mandatory orders on how to deal with health emergencies. It must further state that pandemics may never be used as an excuse to restrict civil liberties and that all procedures intervening with bodily autonomy (e.g. vaccination) must be completely volontary and free from any threats or pressure. It must also concider ALL GLOBAL SIDE EFFECTS of any measures (e.g. effects of lockdowns on poverty and hunger in countries of the global south, effects of prolonged uses of face masks on speech developement of children or psychological and social side effects etc.)
Non	A treaty should include the individuals rights and the stress his or her autonomy on medical treatments and interventions to his or her body. There has to be a free and informed consent to such measures and coersion by states in any shape or form has to be outlawed.
Self	A treaty that bypasses local authority, eliminates individual body autonomy and sovereignty is illegitimate. In general I believe we should adher to what Thomas Jefferson said, "there is no justification for taking away individuals' freedom in the guise of public safety" . Absolute power corrupts absolutely. I wouldn't want a globalist representative coming to my door and forcing a vaccine or injection.
n/a	A treaty that supercedes our current national laws and decision making processes? No, thank you.
None	A treaty which does not allow the following is not wanted or justifiable. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Therefore, I am against the WHO having the powers granted by this proposed treaty.
Self	A treaty which grants any powers to a non-elected organization like the WHO violates human rights and democratic principles. Due to influences from sources of funding, an organization like the WHO can never be unbiased. Each country and more appropriately local governments and individuals should be in complete charge of their health care decisions.
Christianity	A unified global response will invariably discriminate against people who choose not to participate in mandatory treatments or measures. Furthermore, the people responsible for formulating and implementing measures may not be trustworthy, could be susceptible to corruption, or may have evil intentions. Sovereign nations already have the means to respond effectively to emerging pathogens.
MySelf	A uniform global regulation can never respond quickly and flexibly to the different local conditions. The rule over the people should lie with the people themselves, i.e. in the administrations of the individual towns and cities, not with an NGO paid for by a few partially private people.The role of the WHO and the governments of the various countries in the pandemic has been a disaster that has done more harm than good to people. Before further harmful measures are taken, I propose that the antibody status of the people should first be checked, that all proposed measures are absolutely harmless, and then that appropriate offers be made to the countries. To date, the WHO has failed to provide proof that a virus is dangerous and that the measures taken are harmless.
private citizen1	A universal pandemic treaty would eliminate nation state soveriegnty and can not be allowed. Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people.
Compass	A vaccine passport with QR code is the most egregious abuse of power. It is a violation of privacy and constitutionally illegal.
none	A vital element to be included in this treaty must be that each state retain overall/final decisions when a pandemic arises. The WHO must not be given complete power over the health of all those in other states.
Self	A vote from We The People to approve or disapprove any or all of the "pandemic " policy , mandates or programs
Individual	A WHO treaty is a terrible idea. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. We want our elected officials and those directly accountable to us to make decisions affecting our health, not unelected, unaccountable people at WHO. The WHO is a corrupt organization controlled by its donors and is bot accountable to the people it seeks to control through this treaty.
Knowledge Ecology International	A WHO treaty on pandemic preparedness and response should create norms and mechanisms for governments to share the costs and benefits of research and development for pandemic countermeasures, such as diagnostics, therapeutics and vaccines. The norms on R&D funding should include obligations to ensure that the publicly funded science and technologies are shared, as global public goods when possible, and at a minimum, among countries that agree to pool rights in inventions, data, manufacturing know-how and biologic resources (sometimes referred to as a type of share and share-alike approach). The measures should also address constructive, timely and effective technology transfer obligations to scale manufacturing and avoid hoarding of manufacturing know-how. Governments should agree to collectively use exceptions to intellectual property rights that are permitted in existing trade agreements and treaties. A model for this is the WIPO Marrakesh Treaty for the Blind, which mandates its members to use exceptions in copyrights to enhance global access to works made accessible to persons who are blind or have other disabilities. There should be a robust chapter on transparency, addressing at a minimum the topics enumerated in WHA72.8, as well as other pandemic response specific issues. The lack of transparency in many areas for the current pandemic is an appalling and unnecessary policy failure, and one that both makes it more difficult to manage a pandemic response, and undermines the public's trust in institutions.
Individual	A WHO treaty that interferes with the doctor-patient relationship presents dangers to the health and welfare of individuals and populations and must include provisions which: --Protect the rights of sovereign nations to establish their own public health policy free of sanctions --Enforce the Nuremberg Codes, providing for informed consent free of coercion --Ensure individual rights to bodily autonomy and freedom from unwanted medical treatments or interventions --Protect parents' rights to determine medical decisions for their children --Protect physician rights to determine a patient's course of treatments --Protect patients' Bill of Rights in hospital settings --Protect physicians from censorship and sanctions for practicing effective medical treatments --Protect populations from medical propaganda, censorship, and population-wide behavioral fear-based manipulation techniques to promote medical compliance --Eschew the use of PCR diagnostic tests when falsely inflating case counts for propaganda purposes --Reestablish the previous definitions of "pandemic" (removing the provision that the disease need only be "present") and "vaccine" (to include the concept of "immunity" once again) so the power of these words is not abusive on a population. --Provide for adequate aggregate data collection—in a manner which respects privacy--and for transparency of information so that individuals and physicians are able to make information-based decisions --Promote open discussion of treatments, free of censorship, among physicians --Prevent the use of discrimination, segregation, prejudice, and oppression--based on the medical status of individuals and groups--in order to coerce compliance to medical treatments
Self Employed	A WHO treaty that replaces power of the United States to respond to local health concerns is not in the best interest of Americans. A global health concern is still a local matter best addressed by local policy. Global policy making is too general to be helpful across such a large and diverse population as that of the U.S. Local authority for decision making and responsiveness during an emergency is far superior for matters of health.
self	A WHO treaty that would remove power from the United States would be dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Decisions around health need to stay with our country, including during an emergency situation. Thank you.
Citizen	A WHO unified response is a 'one size fits all' approach. The WHO handling of the COVID pandemic has created more problems than it has solved. A WHO pandemic treaty which aims to supplant individual sovereignty is unacceptable and will not be followed as a result.
Individual	A WHO-mediated health crisis response system cannot begin to meet the needs of the various countries and cultures, much less of individuals. During the Covid epidemic we have seen human rights trampled upon by certain governments acting in the name of the "greater good." Modern first-world healthcare systems are at present too centralized. The WHO would magnify the centralization of health and related systems, to the detriment of the individual and the time-honored relationship of the individual with his physician. I appeal for respect for the sanctity of human life and of personal and national sovereignty. People and communities can and should make their own healthcare decisions.
Citizen	A world pandemic treaty is totally unsustainable as every nation has to be responsible for decisions on healthcare of its citizens respecting the constition of individual countries. Moreover citizens have democratic elections voting for those responsible to make national decisions, thus the public would lose freedom and rights due to this Internacional treaty.
N/A	A world wide pandemic response should be designed by a broad range of different stakeholders, recognizing and respecting that different cultures and paradigms approach health in different ways. It should not be entirely dominated by the dominant Western scientific culture, and more importantly it should not be dominated by corporate pharmaceutical profit interests. It should also seek some input from the greater public and, transparency, education and reasonable debate encouraged toward eventual adoption. This should not be rushed, but should be approached thoughtfully and with some tolerance for people who are not scientifically trained or literate (within reason). I believe that true science has been undermined and discredited by corporate profit interests and will only be restored to its rightful place as a powerful tool for knowledge if it stops being used as a big stick to discourage all but the very informed from participating.
Private Person	A world wide treaty in the intended shape must under any conditions -including pandemic- ensure 1. national sovereignty and constitution of each member 2. human rights as defined in the UN Charta 3. no requirements for digital health- or vaccination documents are met 4. no such documents whatsoever in digital or written form are required for international travel, for accessing work, for admission to any public places and events 5. that a continuous scientific evaluation of a pandemic situation by independent and renowned scientists is guaranteed. 6.the measures taken must be minimized to the indispensable according to scientific bases.
N/A General Public	A worldwide action plan enforcing individuals to a certain set of mandated rules is unconstitutional and should not be allowed. This agreement will: • Deeply compromise or otherwise destroy privacy at a global level • Funnel tax dollars to a global agency to "enhance, update, and strengthen" its own ability to be a global health authority • Create an international structure for sharing dangerous pathogens and genetic information • Censor (or "cancel") more and more voices for informed consent through "global coordinated actions to address the misinformation, disinformation, and stigmatization that undermine public health." I do not agree and do not consent to these decisions being made on behalf of the people.
Western Bison P/L	a) All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. b) WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. c) WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO d) Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines e) To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs f) Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. g) No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. h) The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Private person / Living man	A) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. B) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. C) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. D) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Veteran Studies	A) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. B) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. C) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on - including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. D) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
www.avalondecosme.com	a) There should be full autonomy for national leadership and local leadership. It is important that nations, states and local municipalities are able to make decisions on what is best for them. b) Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement, without consequence, if they so think it best. c)There should be an open and transparent process so that all people of the world should be able to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement, if the majority these people do not want it. d)All or any pharmaceutical companies and any global health profiteers should not be allowed to influence the process.
United Kingdom citizen	a)National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own population. b)The ability of countries and local councils to opt out of any and all part of the agreement as they see fit without incurring any consequence. c)A transparent and open process so the people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that prevent agreements being applied to where the majority of the population do no want it. d)Measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all Pharmaceutical companies, Non-government Organisations or other global profiteers
public	a. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. b. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. c. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. d. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
myself	absolutely not necessary. Each state is able to do such Jules bye himmelt. The WHO has not brought any advantage during this pandemic! Lockdowns, closing of frontiers and vaccination have not been necessary
Citizen	Absolutely not! National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens.
Myself	Absolutely not! America must not participate in this treaty.
British subject	Absolutely not! There is no way that the WHO should be allowed to dictate health policy over the elected politicians of democratic countries. This power grab is the start of a globalised government.
Individuals	Absolutely not. The WHO has no business in my medical decisions, period. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions . The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I will hold you accountable to your word.
Citizen	Absolutely NOT. The world does not need a global pandemic agreement which will be sure to fail in free countries. This is another attempt by the WHO to act as unelected dictators and push an evil agenda on the world. NO PANDEMIC AGREEMENT
General Public	Absolutely not. This is unconstitutional!
Private Citizen	Absolutely not. Nations are Sovereign and have the resources to address their independent needs. No third party will dictate to private citizens what they can or cannot do in terms of their own personal risks and assess accordingly. In response to the WHO Pandemic Response Plan, I believe this should include: 1. Non-binding recommendations only. 2. Acknowledgement of Nation-State sovereignty. 3. The right of Nation-States to follow their own course of actions contrary to the WHO. 4. The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 5. Transparency: a. All trial information of "vaccines" will be made public before general use with FULL CONSENT WITHOUT CONSEQUENCE. b. Doctors shall have autonomy to pre-treat patients. c. There shall be no censorship or retribution of doctors, nurses or therapeutics, ever. And lastly, the WHO must be put under immediate investigation for fraud, corruption, medical malpractice and coercion #crimesagainsthumanity #Nuremberg2
Together	Absolutely NOT. The WHO should never be given rights to determine what happens in any sovereign nation. You are unelected and should have no authority over citizens of sovereign nations, with zero accountability to them! I do no want you to determine pandemic response, and with the disastrous results of most countries, instead of following previous pandemic planning, and following the CCP, our governments will be held to account for their actions. I will NEVER comply with you having any say in my life. You must never be given such power. A million times, NO!
Citizen of the United States of America	Absolutely NOT. We do not elect the WHO and they don't represent our people or values. This is against every principle in my nation and our Constitution. This is against bio-ethics, morals, and principals. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization, Citizen of the United States of America	Absolutely not....it leaves the fate of many in the hands of a few. It makes it so much easier for corruption to thrive and dominant. Please, for the sake of generations to come, say NO to this plan that would be the end of freedom as we know it.
Glaziers local 1044	Absolutely nothing!!!! For all we know you are what caused this pandemic. No organization should ever have the authority over sovereign people. We the people should and deserve our right to make our own decisions. Not to mention the number of foreign entities involved with WHO. I think you should be disbanded and have absolutely no authority over any Americans on American soil!
US citizen	Absolutely unacceptable proposal. Any such "agreement" must include the following without reservation: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MU Trade	Absolutely, this action is NOT ACCEPTED.
Privat	Absolutes Nein zu den Vertragsplänen der WHO. Die körperliche Unversehrtheit eines jeden Menschen, die individuelle Entscheidungshoheit über den eigenen Körper, Meinungsfreiheit das Recht auf Selbstbestmmung und Freiheit sind die wichtigsten Grundsätze demokratischer Grundsätze und Bestandteil des Grundrechts. An diesen Säulen für Frieden und Freiheit darf nichts verändert werden. Nein zur Europaweiten Impflicht. Nein zu digitalen Impfausweisen. Ja zum Erhalt nationaler Verfassungen im Grundgesetz. und den darin verankerten ethischen Grundsätzen bezogen auf Menschenrechten, persönliche r Unversehrtheit und Freiheit. Mit freundlichen Grüßen J.B Zimmermann
private	Absolutes NO-GO: Die Unterzeichnung diese Vertrags birgt für die Mitgliedsstaaten ein enormes Gefahrenpotenzial. Denn das Abkommen ermöglicht es der WHO, den Ländern beliebige Maßnahmen zu diktieren. Einzige Voraussetzung: Sie erklärt zuvor den globalen Notstand. Was als „globaler Notstand" gilt, entscheidet die WHO. Weltweit agierende Behörden und Interessensvertretungen dürfen niemals über das Leben der Menschen bestimmen. Die Verfassung der jeweiligen Nationalstaaten garantiert den Menschen ein Recht auf ein unabhängiges Gesundheitswesen und das soll auch so bleiben Wir brauchen kein WHO-Diktat.
Polak	Absolutnie sie nie zgadzam na to aby WHO ZARZADZALO SYTUACJA KRYZYSOWA!!!!!!
Non organization	accept human rights and democrathie,you Not my Leadership and Not my parents
Access to Medicines Ireland	Access to Medicines Ireland welcomes this opportunity to contribute A pandemic preparedness treaty should reflect the following: 1. The COVID-19 pandemic underpins the need for an international legal principle-based approach such as Common Concern of Humankind (CCH) to guide decision making in a way that ensures an equitable sharing of health technologies and other resources for all humankind 2. Resilience of regional and national health systems are likely to require a mechanism for technology transfer and an institutional structure to facilitate it. Lessons in this regard may be learned from the Medicines Patent Pool, C-TAP and technology transfer hubs and should inform these necessary developments. 3. Consideration should be given to provisions that enable States party to the treaty to act together to mandate knowledge and technology sharing when facing an existential threat 4. Political leadership and commitment are enhanced by a treaty that clearly and transparently commits to minimizing the impact of future pandemics on societies and economies by providing sustained and predictable funding to pandemic preparedness 5. There is a clear need for a strong global coordinating body in a pandemic treaty. Experience of the WHO in the current pandemic suggests that it requires significant strengthening if it is to effectively discharge this role. 7. Transparency should be a core principle that informs all aspects of pandemic preparedness 8. Public consultation at all stages of instrument development and implementation must occur and the voices of women, those in other vunerable groups and regions must be heard. Thank you
Member of the public	Included: 1. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" shall be expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 2. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Measures to inhibit any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. The centralisation of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs. 7. Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable.
Parent	According to the WHO Constitution: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I, my family and many others do not agree to any legally binding pandemic treaty. We support informed consent and medical freedom of choice. Any WHO treaty that removes power from us is dangerous to the health and welfare of each individual and their children. All health decisions need to stay close to home as possible, especially when an emergency exists. The WHO should not have power over our health decisions. We know our personal situation better than the WHO organization.
Private	Achtung der Grundgesetze/Verfassungen der Mitgliedsstaaten; z.B. Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit /Menschenrechte Haftung für Schäden durch Impfstoff-Hersteller. Einhaltung des Datenschutzes. Keine Überwachung.
Privatpersonen	ACHTUNG DER individuellen Menschenrechte !!!! Unversehrtheit von MEINEM Körper!
Mfg	Achtung der Menschenrechte
Mfg	Achtung der Menschenrechte
Austria	Achtung der Menschenrechte und der Grundrechte des einzelnen. Souveränität der Staaten.
Private	Achtung der Menschenrechte und Grundrechte Souveränität der Bürger und Regierungen Freiwilligkeit bei medizinischen Maßnahmen Keine willkürlichen Maßnahmen ohne wissenschaftlicher Basis! Keine regierungskonforme Medienkontrolle Expertenrat ohne Korruption und Interessenkonflikt Befragung der Bürger bei Maßnahmen
Privat	Achtung der Menschenrechte, Einhaltung des Nürnberger Kodex, Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten, gesetzliche Haftung für Pharmaunternehmen.
Mfg	Achtung und Wahrung der Menschenrechte jeder Staat soll selbst entscheiden die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und oder Pharmaunternehmen
Self	Acknowledge the studies that show viruses travel and exist everywhere and therefore require no transmission by humans or animals or respiratory droplets because they're everywhere at all times and we are constantly exposed. Therefore, no interventions are needed, worthwhile, effective or useful in any positive or life preserving way - period. The most important thing to enshrine is bodily autonomy and informed consent. The Nuremberg Laws are vital for a functioning free populace. The WHO is circumventing our United States constitutional rights and should be abolished. The WHO should not exist. Each country should deal with their OWN issues and pandemics - local governments deal with local problems. Support nutrition and healthy, active lifestyles. Pharma is biased for sick care, is NOT one size fits all, and is NOT the only option despite silencing additional experts and proven science. True health care is presenting alternative health care methods and treatments. Allow people to make informed decisions for themselves with their doctors based on their own bodies and health challenges, as well as their beliefs. Support small farming and local businesses, non-GMO and organic foods. The response to COVID was completely unnecessary and disastrous. It destroyed people's lives in ways the sickness NEVER did. There should be no international response to a sickness. Thank you.
Independent	Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
freelancer	Actions of WHO is undemocratic, it violates individual freedom and sovereignty. We didn't elect you or request you to save us. We will be safe If you abstain from your plans from enslaving us in the name of Public Health. Given the actions and motives WHO doesn't look like a savior of Humanity. Pandemic Treaty is a step towards stripping us away the basic rights, that's how I and the world sees it, after the WHO has lost it's credibility over the last 2 years of pandemic.after all you are not the govt I voted to govern me and my fellow citizens. The way you pushed the vaccines without trial data shows you are not working on the best interests of the people, but as a PR agency for corporations.
Private individual	Active encouragement of the innovative repurposing and off-label use of any and all medications (and combination protocols thereof) to address existing and emerging health concerns. Measures to ensure that pharmaceutical companies and others who may profit from preventing or treating illness cannot influence the preparations for, or responses to pandemics. Pharmaceutical and other companies and organizations that profit from WHO recommendations be held legally liable for injuries and damages resulting from the treatments or services they provide or abet (such liability to be determined by the courts of the country where the injured person resides or, if deceased, resided when the injury occurred). Nations retain full autonomy with the right to make national, regional and local decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations be reminded of their duty to respect individual human rights and autonomy in both their preparations and responses. Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the instrument as they see fit, without consequence.
None	Actual, verifiable, peer reviewed facts. No more lies and no globalist agenda. Early treatments using decades old medicines should be priority. NO mRNA, snake venom jabs. Remdesevir should be banned. If the WHO, FDA and others cannot act in good faith, in an honest manner, they should be abolished. Health care and currency or money should not be used to control a population. GOD wins!
N/a	Add the following wording: In NO case shall any international pandemic preparedness agreement take away from individual liberties. Any pandemic preparedness agreement shall be considered a suggestion and shall confer no authority to deny the inalienable right to individual freedom.
Private	Addressed to: The WHO The WHO's function is to serve the world with constructive health advice, collated and balanced encompassing many expert scientific opinions. Real Science is an ongoing discussion from many schools or thought. This has not been the case. Thus I do not concur with your proposed treaty for many reasons, including the handling of Covid-19. • WHO overemphasised the lethality of Covid -19 • Extreme unnecessary measures were imposed on the world • The suffering caused was in no way proportionate to the adopted measures in most countries • The devastation of the economies and the destruction of lives and livelihoods due to unnecessary mandates is unprecedented and will take decades to rebuild • Health is not a one size fits all. Each country's sovereign authorities, with the backing of its people, needs to construct measures according to their own particular circumstances. • This treaty gives the WHO tremendous unelected power over sovereign countries and territories. I therefore vote a 'Big Nay' to your pandemic treaty !!! Sincerely, Maria Somerville
Private Citizen	Adhere to all the human rights agreements and the Nuremberg Code. Accountability to the peoples of the world, and complete transparency about CONFLICT OF INTERESTS. Otherwise you should be disbanded forthwith.
Sentries of Liberty	Adhere to the Constitution of the United Sates of America and on no way allow an override by any entity in our affairs, particularly the WHO. I'm watching closely. The pretext of global concerns to enforce anything is new territory and it is NOT ACCEPTABLE!
APHP	adies and gentlemen, Please find below my opinion on the new international pandemic preparedness instrument: 1/ I consider it essential that national and local leaders maintain their full autonomy and retain the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2/ I ask that nations and local municipalities be able to withdraw from all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3/ I hope that an open and transparent process will be put in place to allow all peoples of the world to vote, including integrated security measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the population does not want it. 4/Finally, it would be infinitely desirable to take measures to avoid influence in the process of all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you in advance for your reading, and please accept, ladies and gentlemen, the expression of my distinguished consideration.
Dailyclout.io	ADVISORY ONLY - no mandates, dictates, nor directives. World Health Organization is not a government body, does not have status for rule- nor law-making, and is not an elected representative of any kind for anyone.
private person	After 2 years of constant violation of basic human rights due to a supposed (or maybe real, this is not the point) health danger, I would like to remind, that the Human Rights treaties are always emphasising, that human rights are EQUAL to each other and no right should or may stay above the others. Such an occurence is a violation of such treaties which is sadly already occurring before, during AND after (and among others because of) the recent alert because (?) of COVID. I therefore: - generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty - call your organisation to work for transparency, to uphold the human rights treaties (at least two things your organisation is committed to do but is NOT doing), to investigate the reasons the treaties were trespassed and so on (I think you got the point). - call all states NOT to sign a treaty of an organisation, which is NOT under democratic control
Expectations Studio	After careful consideration, please include the following stipulations to your proposal to make it fair to all people groups and citizenry. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank-you for your consideration of these measures as you create your proposal.
BryteWorks	 After in-depth study of pandemic management from the Black Plague through legitimate modern pandemics of varying impact on populations of assorted nations, I call for the consideration of three criteria: 1) sovereignty and independent choice of individuals and elected collectives to determine options for disease/health management in individual countries, based on each country's unique climate and social conditions; 2) inclusion of actual health education such as nutrition, exercise and detoxification in addition to vaccines; and 3) construction of agreed on scientifically valid, reliable options for globally determining consistent, accurate factual information about cases of a clinically verified disease based on a unified scientific strategy that remains constant until and unless adjusted through agreement from an international board of some fashion. I encourage a truly transparent treatment of all facets of determining what constitutes a true "pandemic," with a reconsideration of all definitions determined by a body of representatives from many nations. Since individuals from different geographical and social backgrounds are proven to have subtle differences (such as African-American blood components evolved in a sub-Saharan element), such differences cannot be lumped into one product or so-called panacea, such as a "vaccine." Likewise, individuals from different nations have been acculturated within different emotional and mental worldviews and practices. Such collective national peoples are entitled to determine their best practices, albeit with the input of international verified educational and clinical information. A one-world solution seems over-arching.
General Public	After reviewing the WHO's Pandemic treaty, I am opposed to it for the reasons below. First of all, to even consider signing on to an international treaty before holding an inquiry into the consequences of our own response to COVID-19 is foolish. We must learn the lessons from the past two years and establish better best practices for the future. Second, to give the WHO full control over what gets called a pandemic for things we've seen or been dealing with on a daily basis which is not hurting the general public. That have been treated for many years such as cancer, heart conditions, strokes, etc. these are part of life. We are born, we live and then we die, it is the natural process. Thirdly I am not opposed to Canada working with our allies around the world when it comes to fighting pandemics, but to only allow WHO professionals to make the decision and give little trust in doctors around the world who have many, many years of learning and training in different specialty fields and tell them what they can and can't use, or which vaccines are approved, without the knowledge from other doctors around the world who may be having success using other medications that actually work. We have already seen this with the current pandemic. Unfortunately we would end up with a one-size-fits-all approach for the entire world, which is unacceptable. Thank you for allowing me to express my views.
Self	After the debacle of the past two years it has become increasingly clear that any so-called "plan" has been woefully abusive of an individuals bodily autonomy. The irony of an organization using the word "health" in it's title while completely ignoring the wishes of the populace it purports to represent does not fail to escape me. And how is it that health matters when mandates for forcible innoculations of dubious and altogether questionable reasons are rammed through? There is and has been not enough leeway for informed consent or the freedom to decline. This is disturbing to say the least. What we need is for local autonomy to make decisions in the best interest of the people. To opt out without consequence. And for pharmaceutical companies and health profiteers not to be allowed to influence the process.
Private citizen	After the disaster of the international response to COVID, in which those least at risk (children) bore the heaviest burden of lockdowns and school shutdowns, and were in many cases coerced or misled into taking a vaccine that offered them little benefit while putting them at significant risk for adverse side effects, global health officials should reflect very carefully about how they can improve in the future to better serve humanity. Any new pandemic treaty should put the Nuremberg Code ethic at the forefront: the voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. Pandemic response should be decentralized with power concentrated at the local level to best serve the needs of the local community. The value of natural immunity should be recognized because in most cases it will be the most powerful tool for ending the pandemic.
Privat	After the experiences from the Covid 19 pandemic, the incorrect data collection and the lack of discussion with internationally renowned scientists, something like this must never be repeated! All decisions about measures must be discussed and decided nationally in a transparent manner. It is a scandal that an NGO organization like the WHO, which is mostly financed by multi-corporations, wants to decide here on all democratic principles about countries! We say no ! ! ! □
self	 After thinking and reading about the ramifications of this international instrument, this list best sums up my opinion of what needs to be included to protect the rights of citizens: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	After two years of autocratic and not evidenced based Corona Policy I am very worried about the plans of worldwide pandemic instruments. I feel threatened as an individual, as Corona Policy could include total surveillance and being forced to vaccinate with vaccines, whose risks are not transparently discussed. A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include at least the following guarantees: • No recording of individual movements or actions, e.g. by enforcing electronic passports. • No mandatory vaccinations, medications or other medical measures. • Transparent accountability and liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies. • Sovereignty of nations and individuals. • Full respect for individual human rights.
Wolfgang Bayer Beratung GmbH	After two years of autocratic and not evidenced based Corona Policy in main fields through the whole world, I agree to discuss this topic to include all points of views and make chances/risks-analysis on any form of suggestions from the WHO: Nevertheless mentioned before a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include at least the following guarantees and elements: • No recording of individual movements or actions, e.g. by enforcing electronic passports. • No mandatory vaccinations, medications or other medical measures. • Transparent accountability and liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies. • Sovereignty of nations and individuals. • Full respect for individual human rights.
Wolfgang Bayer Beratung GmbH	After two years of autocratic and not evidenced based Corona Policy in main fields through the whole world, I agree to discuss this topic to include all points of views and make chances/risks-analysis on any form of suggestions from the WHO: Nevertheless mentioned before a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include at least the following guarantees and elements: • No recording of individual movements or actions, e.g. by enforcing electronic passports. • No mandatory vaccinations, medications or other medical measures. • Transparent accountability and liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies. • Sovereignty of nations and individuals. • Full respect for individual human rights.
Concerned citizen	After two years of witnessing the trial and error responses to covid19 such as lockdowns and mandates that have not hindered the spread of disease but rather caused catastrophic economic, social and mental distress on the general public I find the most important substantive element we need to have put in place is a priority for individual liberty and medical freedom of choice. There's no reason for the public to be told what is best for them and forced into compliance by a small government body who will suffer none of the consequences of the decisions it makes on behalf of the masses.
Health Coach	After watching the pandemic play out for the last two years, I do not believe nations should be grouped together in the response, I believe every sovereign nation should work to a solution as their nation deems best. But I do believe a treaty to share data, and to share therapies, and to share scientific findings would be extremely conducive going forward.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	After what I consider to be atrocious handling of the Covid 19 I strongly suggest that the only element that should be discussed is that there should BE NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness. The WHOs handling of the last 2 years has created what I consider to be utter turmoil, trauma and death through harsh lockdowns and suppression of early treatments, segregation, hate and economic duress. It has caused Government's to utilise what I can only describe as totalitarian overreach. I want to see a full investigation of the WHO and all involved. I do not want my country to be subject to any treaty from the WHO. In fact I would like to see this organization dismantled and replaced by one such as the World Council for Health. Yours Sincerely. L. Crawford
Private	After what the world has been through over the past 3 years, it seems clear that there should not be an international instrument for pandemics orchestrated by the WHO or any organisation. There is never a one size fits all solution to most of life challenges and it seems clear that WHO has misled and misinformed the public and world leaders in many instances with regards to Covid. Actual science has been mysteriously absent and leading scientists and doctors all over the world have been silenced. The lack of open transparent scientific debate has been alarming. Let each country work out its own strategy. Being dictated to by the WHO has to stop. Be a platform for actual scientific debate instead of a voice for the pharmaceutical industry and you may build trust up again. At the moment the WHO has lost all credibility and any further attempts to dictate ineffective and harmful global mandates to the world has to cease. So, what substantive element should be included: remove global overreach of political power. Advise but don't dictate and only if your advice is actually founded in science and not in what the pharmaceutical industry wants you to say!
Citizen	After witnessing the complete disaster the WHO, the CDC, the FDA, the NIH, etc. have made over the last two years and the serious conflicts of interest all of the above agencies are engaged in, there is no way in hell I want any of these agencies to be the sole arbiter of my health or my health decisions . The Constitution rules in this country and no treaty will ever usurp it...whether the illegitimate resident in our White House signs it or not!
Citizen of the US	After witnessing the WHO's attempt to coerce the declaration of a swine flu pandemic in 2009 and then their successful declaration of a covid pandemic in 2020 - with only 33 cases reported worldwide at that time, the substantive elements that must be included in any binding WHO pandemic preparedness treaty are: (1.) accountability in all matters - with supporting evidence peer reviewed by unaffiliated but qualified scientist and physicians - with all private foundations and corporations banned from influential positions within the UN WHO agency; (2.) transparency across all paradigms involved in the WHO decision making processes - without exception; and (3.) the sovereignty of any and all signatory nations to the treaty to reject, dispute, disagree and/or abstain from any or all WHO pandemic decisions that violate the constitutional guarantees, rights and freedoms of those respective signatory nation's citizens. Furthermore, the rule of law must remain inviolate in all WHO pandemic declarations, with extra emphasis placed on upholding the Nuremberg Protocols.
Just a citizen...	After your disastrous operations of the last „pandemics" the best you cab do is: ABSOLUT NOTHING!!!
Self	Afyer having read your outline, I am strongly against you global pandemic plan. We the people are more than capable of managing such affairs without your involvement. Thank you Bill Stevens
N/a	Agree 100% with below 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat	Akzeptiere die menschenrechte den Nürnberger codes die demokratie und die Freiheit der Menschen in den jeweiligen staaten
privat	ALL DECISION-MAKING BODIES MUST BE DIVERSE. !!! CRITICAL VOICES IN PARTICULAR MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE DECISION-MAKING BODY !!!!!
Institute for Dialogue Therapy	All adult human beings (and parents of dependent children, for them) deserve all rights and sovereignty over their own bodies, their health care decisions, their self-determinations about what substances/injections/immunizations are safe for them. No one else but the individual can make these determinations. Such oppression of bodies is slavery and not wholesome for adult humans in any setting or situation.
none	All advice from who, should just be advice, and totally voluntary down to the individual person.
Own practice	All all states and all individuell people must be free to decide what they do if there is a danger of Pandemie.
Ira White's Publications	All below must be included or the agreement will not work for humanity. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right for people to decide based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Women's home group	All communities and people will take control of their own health choices. Public health is not a 1size for all people. Thankyou
none	All communities need to be free to choose how they want to address any pandemic. You cannot ban or suggest not using, or limit the availability of any medicine or nutritional element, or herb or any natural preparation that people might want to take. You can put out guidelines but zero enforcement. Period.
St dominic Church	All contries lives different situations, they will handle a pandemic differently. There is not a themplate that works for every country. Instead of having an "international Instrument" have a realiable source of information and support the different "national intruments" with medical and personal resources. Another important aspect is the fact we have the right to choose, forcing us to take a vaccine is against our freedom, if I dont want to take a treatment and if I am mentaly capable, let me be and handle the consecuences.
None	All control over pandemic response should be left to informed (truly informed not indoctrinated) consent of the individuals. The WHO has no authority over nation states,nor should they. All recommendations by the WHO should include full transparency of contributing parties, as well as full disclosure of all data that drives the recommendations. No pharmaceutical companies or their surrogates should be allowed to dictate policy recommendations. No large financial contributor to the WHO, whether nation, non-profit, or for-profit entity should have controlling power over recommendations from the WHO.
Private Individual	All countries are different with different styles and different circumstances, finances cultures etc. Because of this it is important that. 1. A global approach to health will not work as the measures employed to help can easily cause more harm than good if they are not adapted to local situations. The best people to do that adaptation are the local community leaders. Each country and each province should be allowed to establish their own guidelines and not forced to follow globally enforced rules. 2. The process needs to be open and transparent and each decision that affects the people of the world should be voted on democratically and not autocratically handed down. If the people of a certain region disagree with the global agreement they should be allowed to not follow it at their own discretion. 3. Extreme care should be taken so that there is no influence by companies or bodies that in any way may profit from any measures. This includes non-profits that appear to be philanthropists but are actually owned and driven by profit making corporates that profiteer from the outcomes.
Private	All countries are different, there isn't a "one size fits all" therefore, National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. What follows is that nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where people do not want it. Strict measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any person or entity that has conflicts of interest who may use this as a way of profiteering from global health
N/A	All countries are sovereign nations and therefore no global organization (including WHO) should have the power to override the individual decisions (including health-related decisions) made within the context of the nation. Please ensure the following points are included in the treaty document :- 1. The right (and ability) of any nation, state, township etc to opt out of any action in any part of the agreement with no adverse consequence to the nation, state, township or individual 2. That the treaty does not in any way, shape or form take precedence or can infringe upon the individual right of each human being to make their own health decisions and choices, freedom of movement etc 3. The right of any nation to modify/completely reject any decision, instruction made by WHO or bloc of countries 4. Prior to the treaty being presented, full and transparent disclosure is made of the sources of funding of WHO and any initiative taken by WHO, as well as the sources of funding for any research project, members of any advisory panels etc
None	All countries are sovereign, as are each man & woman who lives there. Any combined international response must always observe this tenet and must never impose any medical treatments on them. The men and women of the world must be guaranteed their full and inalienable human rights. As a living woman I expect to be able to make my own medical choices, not to have experimental gene serum forced on me by unelected officials of my country. The WHO involvement over the past two years of 'pandemic' was done under false pretences, and therefore it is questionable if WHO should have any role going forward. WHO have supported draconian measures, that have denied men and women their human rights, therefore supporting their enslaving by their own governments. WHO has not provide independent verified evidence of isolated genomic sequencing of Covid-19, it appears to have accepted that it exists from the advice of other advice such as from the CDC. A full review of what has happened so far to learn from the many errors would be preferrable to quickly signing up countries to a treaty that cedes the sovereignty of that country's men and women. Thank you for taking the time to read this.
Multiple - Self employed	All countries local leadership must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organisations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. Every human should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit withouth consequence All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Follow the science, check the science, challenge the science. all to improve and better understand the science.
Individual	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organisations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights.
private	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organisations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights.
Northbound Property Investments	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organisations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights.
Not applicable	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organisations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights. Graeme Stafford
Private	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organisations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights.
Me: a man.	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organisations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is a gross violation of individual man and woman sovereign rights.
Private	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organisations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights.
Engineering	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organisations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
America	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organisations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty in any way as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights. I do not agree to the terms below stated as they are not provided.
n/a	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organizations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights.
Public	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organizations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form.
Citizen	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy and rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organisations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments and natural immune system support supplements and dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights.
Private citizen	All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy and rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organizations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg Code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments and natural immune system support supplements and dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights.
Canadian citizen	All countries must be free to make their own response decisions regarding the well-being of their citizens without being improperly influenced by outside parties such as NGOs, WHO itself, international businesses, & other foreign agencies. Citizens of each country must be free to make their own response decisions. They must be provided with accurate information, not propaganda or threats. Legal emigration may be the best choice for many people. If people choose to leave a country for an accepting country, their home country must not illegally detain them. Other entities (e.g., businesses, cities) in each country must make their own decisions, but should do so in consultation with similar entities with the overriding goal being the health of the entire population.
Canadian citizen	All countries of the world demand their own autonomy e,ach country's national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote; which includes failsafe measures that will prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Implementation of measures that do not allow the influence of any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	All countries or municipalities should have the right to opt out at any time as there is no one size fits all solution for any situation or emergency. Pharmaceutical companies should have no influence at all in any pandemic situation or emergency.
NZ Citizen	All countries should be able to choose their own way to deal with a Pandemic. We are a very small country and do not back onto any other countries so therefore we have less reason to share a WHO pandemic treaty that is the same for all countries when there is no evidence that being under the same pandemic treaty that would make any difference. NZ has already taken its own conservative approach of being locked down, no entry into the country but we should be separate to the rest of the world under a pandemic. We do not agree with WHO making requirements to our country of what we can and cannot do without our knowledge. NZ has been a free country up until now and it would make no sense to have another country, another organisation control it when they are not in NZ. If the WHO want to do a Pandemic treaty then ask the other countries and the people of those other countries don't try and hide it from us. It is our tax payers money that keeps the country running and pays for the Politicians so why do they get the chance to agree to this without consulting ourselves as it affects all of us. Lets work together as humans can do
Citizen UK	All countries should be able to have their own policies guided by WHO advice. This is essential to maintain impartiality of the public opinion of the WHO. Sovereign countries have not had the ability to vote on an any treaties and the consequences. Any policy forced upon a country may negatively affect especially minority groups and different socio economic groups adversely. Each countries must be able to adopt tbeir own picies and WHO should only ever offer advise only not instructions on how tp run tbeir country. Each country has their own economic, cultural differences that need to ne considered.
Global citizen	All countries should be free to make their own decisions away from Global influence. This Global influence comes with big pharmaceutical Companies, Corporate and Political corruption as seen in the Covid 19 vaccine roll out.
None	All countries should become aware of and stress health measures that their citizens and residents can and should adopt to strengthen and improve their own biological response to any attack on their biological bodies including weight (obesity), nutrition (vitamins and minerals known to strengthen immune response and physical stamina). We cannot force or rely on 'one-size-fits-all' treatments and actions as it is obvious that any danger is never one simple threat. Let individual countries and areas research and develop their own effective treatments and share with the others. Some solutions will work on some but ONE SOLUTION can never work on all.
None	All countries should have the option to opt out from any decision they feel isn't appropriate for their country.
Citizen of United kingdom	All countries should have the right to opt out of parts of the agreement according to their circumstances . The measures should be voted on in each sovereign state and the right to change or opt out retained without penalty. It's important to retain democracy within each country as their parliaments are elected and accountable for their decisions. No commercial interests especially pharmaceutical companies should have any voting power or advisory position . No official that holds any interests or contacts should be allowed to make decisions that are linked to any pharmaceutical companies or funded institutions. One approach doesn't fit all . Citizens should have a right to body autonomy and a means to appeal against any measures . Thank you
Cheltenham	All countries should maintain their ability to make their own decisions and not be forced into following rulings from the WHO. Countries need to be able to opt out of some or all of the agreement. For any controversial rules, the people must have a say before a decision is made.
individual	All countries should retain their own rights to choose how they manage a pandemic. This pandemic has shown us that no one country has got it right despite various approaches used. They all have different geography, populations and needs so it cannot be one size fits all. People need to feel they are in control in their own country and not dictated to. We have all learnt a lot from this pandemic, let us continue to learn.
Citizen of Australia	All countries should solely make decisions on emergency situations for their own citizens. The citizens should also be consulted on when and for how long emergency legislation should continue and end. Respectfully Jelena Simunovic
UNITED STATES CITIZEN	ALL COUNTRIES/STATES/COUNTIES.CITIES SHOULD REMAIN AUTONOMOUS IN RESPONSE TO GLOBAL PANDEMICS AND RESPONSES SHOULD BE DECIDED ON LOCALLY. NATIONS AND LOCAL MUNICIPALITIES SHOULD OPTIONALLY DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT TO OPT IN TO PROCEDURES AND PROTOCOLS. MEASURES SHOULD NEVER BE TAKEN TO ALLOW FOR PHARMACEUTICAL PROFITEERS OR ANY OTHER ENTITY. NO PROFITS SHOULD BE MADE FROM ANY RESPONSE. WE REQUIRE AN OPEN AND TRANSPARENT PROCESS FOR SAFELY AND FARELY VOTING ON ANY PROCEDURES AND MEASURES TAKEN.
Member of the public	All data concerning infections, hospitalisations and deaths should be widely shared in a completely open, transparent and timely manner so that as many individuals and institutions can study the pandemic problem and provide ideas for the best responses depending on the status of specific demographics. This should include all raw and interpreted data from clinical trials of potential therapeutics and prophylactics. With WHO sharing all data, information and options for responses to any pandemic, national and local governments will be in position to determine the most appropriate policy responses for their nations and provinces. By accurately recording the responses and relevant ongoing data this intelligence can be shared via WHO so that lessons can be learned from real world experience and less effective responses adjusted as judged necessary by national and local leadership. Nations and local authorities must be able to opt out of any subsections of, or indeed the entirety of, the agreement as they judge appropriate since the effective emergence of a global health dictatorship will be completely counterproductive when population buy in and collaboration will be required. Safeguards must be included to prevent conflicts of interest steering pandemic responses to, for example, new and patentable pharmaceutical products when alternative treatment options or existing medications may be in the best interests of patients and populations in general.
Member of the public	All data concerning infections, hospitalisations and deaths should be widely shared in a completely open, transparent and timely manner so that as many individuals and institutions can study the pandemic problem and provide ideas for the best responses depending on the status of specific demographics. This should include all raw and interpreted data from clinical trials of potential therapeutics and prophylactics. With WHO sharing all data, information and options for responses to any pandemic, national and local governments will be in position to determine the most appropriate policy responses for their nations and provinces. By accurately recording the responses and relevant ongoing data this intelligence can be shared via WHO so that lessons can be learned from real world experience and less effective responses adjusted as judged necessary by national and local leadership. Nations and local authorities must be able to opt out of any subsections of, or indeed the entirety of, the agreement as they judge appropriate since the effective emergence of a global health dictatorship will be completely counterproductive when population buy in and collaboration will be required. Safeguards must be included to prevent conflicts of interest steering pandemic responses to, for example, new and patentable pharmaceutical products when alternative treatment options or existing medications may be in the best interests of patients and populations in general.
General Public	All data concerning infections, hospitalisations and deaths should be widely shared in a completely open, transparent and timely manner so that as many individuals and institutions can study the pandemic problem and provide ideas for the best responses depending on the status of specific demographics. This should include all raw and interpreted data from clinical trials of potential therapeutics and prophylactics. With WHO sharing all data, information and options for responses to any pandemic, national and local governments will be in position to determine the most appropriate policy responses for their nations and provinces. By accurately recording the responses and relevant ongoing data this intelligence can be shared via WHO so that lessons can be learned from real world experience and less effective responses adjusted as judged necessary by national and local leadership. Nations and local authorities must be able to opt out of any subsections of, or indeed the entirety of, the agreement as they judge appropriate since the effective emergence of a global health dictatorship will be completely counterproductive when population buy in and collaboration will be required Safeguards must be included to prevent conflicts of interest steering pandemic responses to, for example, new and patentable pharmaceutical products when alternative treatment options or existing medications may be in the best interests of patients and populations in general.
privat	All decision making-bodies must be diverse. Critical voices must be included in particular the decision making bodies/more "scientific based information" but most of all different meanings should not be ignored!!!
	All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-renew process.
Johann und Elsbeth Kamptner	All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process
Menschen mit Pferden helfen	All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
privatperson	All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance

Organization	Written submission
Privat	All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
medical practitionar	All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden
privat	All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Certain critical voices must be transferred to the decision-making body As a condition of a pandemic treaty, Member States must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Compulsory vaccinations should be banned Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must comprehensively take all health concerns into account All measures must take human rights, social and economic interests into account and carefully weigh them against each other Vaccinations and medication must be purchased at cost or minimal profit during the pandemic condition The liability for vaccines or medicines must not be passed on to the Member States All decision bases must be made available in a comprehensive and transparent manner and subjected to a peer review process Instead of uncoordinated mass inspections, representative random inspections are to be carried out Pandemic Treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Pharmacy	All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
privat	All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
Private	All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
...	All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
privat	All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
privat	All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Kind regards Sonja Masarik
Guenther Hoelzl	All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body.
Privat	All decision-making bodies must be diverse.Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
Self	All decisions are best made by local people not a central authority. Local governments are more flexible and responsive in times of trouble. Do not surrender my freedom with this treaty
Neustart 1050 Wien	All decisions making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices must be included. All measures must take full account of all health interessts all over the world. WHO should not be sponsered privat, but get enough money from the member states.
Self	All decisions on how to care for someone should be left up to the individual. Organizations should be allowed to only make suggestions.
Metcalf Building Consultants inc	All decisions regarding future pandemics must be left up to the individual countries to determine only
self	All decisions regarding health must remain a personal choice. Our family does not want the US to enter in to an international health treaty with the WHO. Thanks!
A Concerned Individual	All decisions regarding response to alleged pandemics should rest solely with each individual nation and it's citizens and not be mandated by any outside or international organization. Sovereignty of individuals and their nations come before the attempts by external force to control or dictate policies of any kind.
I am writing in a personal capacity.	All decisions relating to healthcare must be a local and democratic in their design and implementation. The WHO does good work but central pandemic oversight is overreach and will undermine the nuanced local and democratic knowledge of national and local municipalities. In addition, all voting must be transparent and include robust failsafes to ensure confidence in the system, this is essential given the undermining of public faith in public health due to Covid. The WHO was once held in supreme regard throughout the world yet this has slipped slide to allegations of operational opaqueness and vested interests by the pharmaceutical industry and I am keen for this once venerable institution to open up and be as transparent as possible. Unfortunately, the proposals that are to be voted on do not meet the spirit of this. Faithfully, Richard.
Self	All decisions should be left up to the individual to decide how best to care for himself. Organizations should be able to make suggestions only.
Member of the world community	All decisions taken by the WHO should be absolutely free of conflict of interest, means no funding from private entities more than 1%, no pharmaceutical industry, military funding, independant analysts, independent also free from conflict of interest and pharmaceutical, military interests.
Nurse	All decisions to a human body must be diverse! Thank you :)
GP	All elements are limited by the Human Rights and the freedom and responsibility of every single person for himself. The basic rule since Hippokrates is "primum nihil nocere": First do no harm: Only rules that are definitely proven and save to cause no harm can be administered. Removing causes of illness and death are always primary and are enough. So only passive elements are needed: avoiding customs, fashions and consumption that damage the health. So no drugs and no forced action or examinations.
Masheret Missions	All elements must be determined by each country based on its citizens input relevant to their area/state of domicile within their country and never outsourced to a separate entity from anywhere else.
Private UK limited company, none of WHO's business	All emergency contingency measures (eg. lockdowns, vaccination mandates, public wearing of masks, social distancing etc) must be solely decided by the government of each sovereign nation state. There must be no imposition of emergency policies by unelected, international bodies such as the World Health Organisation.
Great Britain individual	All emergency contingency measures, for any reason, should be decided only by the elected government of that sovereign nation state after full consultation with the sovereign men and women of that country. The men and women must also have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Governments do not have the power to assign the management of national health issues over to 'unelected' bodies. Elected governments are remunerated by the people to run the country but cannot do this with complete disregard to our inalienable and fundamental human rights. These rights may not be suspended by any government for any 'emergency' as they supersede the rights of any nation or organisation. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected, including the right to refuse treatment. 'The autonomy of persons to make decisions while taking responsibility for those decisions and respecting the autonomy of others is to be respected' Article 5 The Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights. Article 3 Section 2 also states 'The interests and welfare of the individual should have priority over the sole interest of science or society'. No elected or unelected body can imposed their will upon sovereign individuals regardless of any 'preparedness plan'. Governments are also legally limited with any plans during times of 'emergency' as per the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Part 1 Article 1 'All peoples have the right of self-determination. By virtue of that they…freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development'.
	All emergency decisions should be made by Australians for Australia, not by a global institute. there should be no false diagnosis to promote any alarming pandemics .
John Arnold	All global agreements regarding pandemic responses should encourage and protect the full autonomy and sovereignty of individual nations and local governments to choose their own health policies without negative consequences. The centralized, coordinated responses to the covid-19 pandemic resulted in very poor outcomes for the nations most involved, as highlighted by recent Johns Hopkins research on the effects of lockdown policies. Additionally, variance in response strategies is required to evaluate effectiveness of differing strategies. Centralized planning should be discouraged in any global agreement, and mandates should be uncompromisingly disallowed. Any agreement should be completely voluntary with no penalty for any entity to withdraw from the agreement at any time and for any reason. An agreement should focus on encouraging public sharing of anonymous, aggregated data, rather than sharing policy positions and strategies or even sharing data privately with the WHO or other health agencies. Agencies such as the WHO have lost their credibility through the covid-19 pandemic, and unmitigated transparency with the public and scientific communities is a necessity for managing any future crises.
open acts	All guidelines should be only advisory and they should never overrule nation´s constitutions.Mandated centralized healthcare can never be effective. Medical professionals should be able to apply them according to the situation they have at hand. Any mandatory element should be removed from this treaty.
personal	All health and emergency decisions must stay in Australia and be decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not overestimate the risk of infection, risk of morbidity and mortality, disallow early treatment, various treatments or artificially create alarm situations in response to commercial interests. If found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO. Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from following the guidelines. The centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented, to prevent oppression and violation of individual rights Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Covid Medical Network	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and be decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arise from the use of WHO guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power, the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nation's constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Independent journalist	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and be decided by Australians, and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2 WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3 WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4 Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 5 To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6 Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7 No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8 The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest.
Australia	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and be decided by Australians. All recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set out in the Siracusa Principals. WHO will make readily available these principals and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests. If found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO. Member States require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arise from the use of the guidelines. To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, governments bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat, and must be prevented at all costs. Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore, persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's evolving science is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitutions, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest.
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF INTEGRATIVE MEDICINE	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must adopt the safe and effective nutritional medicine approach such as high dose vitamins D and C over and above lockdowns and vaccination.
Australian Citizen	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Self	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Medical Dr	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Neonates Service Trust	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
self	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
JJ Investments	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Liberal Democratic Party of Austria	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest.
Private New Zealand citizen	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest.
individual	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Self	All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest

Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine, Office of the Ombudsman	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates	[illegible]
United Kingdom	[illegible]
United Kingdom, Scotland	[illegible]
United States	[illegible]
United States, Alaska	[illegible]
United States, California	[illegible]
United States, Colorado	[illegible]
United States, Connecticut	[illegible]
United States, Florida	[illegible]
United States, Hawaii	[illegible]
United States, Illinois	[illegible]
United States, Indiana	[illegible]
United States, Kansas	[illegible]
United States, Kentucky	[illegible]
United States, Louisiana	[illegible]
United States, Maryland	[illegible]
United States, Massachusetts	[illegible]
United States, Michigan	[illegible]
United States, Minnesota	[illegible]
United States, Mississippi	[illegible]
United States, Missouri	[illegible]
United States, Nebraska	[illegible]
United States, New Jersey	[illegible]
United States, New Mexico	[illegible]
United States, New York	[illegible]
United States, North Carolina	[illegible]
United States, Ohio	[illegible]
United States, Oklahoma	[illegible]
United States, Oregon	[illegible]
United States, Pennsylvania	[illegible]
United States, Rhode Island	[illegible]
United States, South Carolina	[illegible]
United States, Tennessee	[illegible]
United States, Texas	[illegible]
United States, Utah	[illegible]
United States, Vermont	[illegible]
United States, Virginia	[illegible]
United States, Washington	[illegible]
United States, Wisconsin	[illegible]
United States, Wyoming	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Venezuela	[illegible]
Vietnam	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]

Organization	Written submission
Dr. Colleen Huber	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This legal notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
n/a	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
General Public	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
Soledad	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances.
Home	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
Christian	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
Citizen	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
Human race	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
EverPulse	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
U.S Citizen	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
On behalf of myself	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
Citizen of the United States of America	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
US Citizen	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. 5. As a citizen of the United States, a sovereign nation, whose individual rights and freedoms are lawfully guaranteed by her Constitution, I reject the asserted authority of any foreign entity acting in violation of the aforementioned. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022
Private	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
US citizen	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
Educate. Advocate .	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
USA	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 13, 2022.
US citizen	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
no organization	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 13, 2022
Individual, sovereign human	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 13, 2022. As a citizen of the United States, a sovereign nation, whose individual rights and freedoms are lawfully guaranteed by our Constitution, I reject the asserted authority of any foreign entity acting in violation of the aforementioned.
None	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances.
Craig Silverman Design	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
None	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
concerned human being	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. As a citizen of the United States, a sovereign nation, whose individual rights and freedoms are lawfully guaranteed by her Constitution, I reject the asserted authority of any foreign entity acting in violation of the aforementioned.
General public United States of America	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 13, 2022.
Living Human Being	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 13, 2022.
Private Citizen	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. Nations and their provincial and local leaderships retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. 5.No pharmaceutical Company or Body that stands to gain financially should be part of the decision process, This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 13 2022 (12.12 UK time)
Private citizen	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022. As a citizen of the United States of America, a sovereign nation, and protected by her Constitution, I reject and will not submit to the authority of any foreign entity which impugns my rights and freedoms including the aforementioned.
None	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances.
none	All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.

Organization	Written submission
None	All international treaties are subordinate to, subject to, and must conform to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it. This is to be accomplished via one adult person, one vote referenda to avoid Representatives, Senators, Heads of State, etc. being influenced by politics and donations. 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. The treaty will in no way supersede these rights.
citizen uk	All laws over UK citizens must be originated, proposed, debated and passed in UK parliament and be able to be repealed in that parliament. Powers must not be given to a supra-national body. All medical decisions affecting an individual must be made by that individual on the basis of informed consent. Lockdown as a Public Health measure is measurably harmful and must not be used again.
retired University professor	All legitimate national and local leadership must retain full autonomy during any health emergency, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world, regardless of status or income, to vote on any agreements, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, including any and all funders of the WHO.
Interest of Justice	All limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the conditions set in the Siracusa Principles. Control of the legality of emergency power by independent citizen bodies is in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation, backed by science, denies due process and is prohibited by law; declared emergencies are no exception. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion by the WHO, Trusted News Initiative, private actors or state is expressly prohibited The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest Centralization of national health data, biotechnology, AI, Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented in order to protect all human rights, the human genome and health. The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO WHO must waive immunity in the event that unjustified damages arise from the use of their guidance or treaty. A democratic process should be made by the people rather than the Intergovernmental Negotiating Body to ensure meaningful participation
Home	All living beings have the right to chose how to live their life. Parents or Guardians of children under the age of 18 have the soul right to decide what is needed for their child and the right to chose how to live as a family unit. Recommendations can only be made and NOT enforced by any person, government, organisation, health authority or human being. No one, Nobody, No Human, No Being has any right over another Human or Being on this entire earth. The people of the world are and always will Sovereign.
N/A	All local and national leadership should retain autonomous-especially when it comes to health measures. The people elect who should represent them and to eliminate that is wrong. All measures being taken should be done openly, allowing for awareness and ability to express the will of the people. Last, but most importantly-guess giving power to organizations that have shown to be influenced by the very companies profiting from health measures, is wrong.
Family	All local rights and laws have to be followed first. No overruling by WHO!
Highwire	All mandates need to stop worldwide and the government needs to remove themselves from all medical decisions and leave it to knowledgeable doctors to do protocol. Government are supposed to make sound reasonable decisions to protect the people not hurt us or the economy.. let everyone go back to work and stop tyranny.
None	All mandates on the public to take any vaccine or medication should be outlawed. Because of possible political and financial conflicts of interest, the views of what solutions the WHO believes are appropriate may conflict with what a person's personal health and/or religious views are good. Trying to save someone by persecuting them to death is always counterproductive. The vaccines being forced on many in the present pandemic are neither safe nor effective and the resistance to them is justified and shows the fallacy of such an approach. We cannot vaccinate ourselves out of a pandemic. Alternative therapeutic measures that aid natural immunity is essential. Banning the voluntary use of such therapeutics should be a crime.
Private	All measurements must respect priority of democratic national decisions and regional law. The WHO is only a contractor subordinated to member countries representing the principal. So the WHO can give suggestions, but must never give orders to the member countries, as all health measurements have to be adjusted to local conditions and may never be valid generally or worldwide. Human rights must be granted without exceptions. Freedom, integrity of personal health and self-determination of individuals must not be restricted, especially by any organization not authorized democratically, for example WHO. Liability of WHO, governments and companies must remain granted. All scientific facts and objections must be considered in order to prevent any harm of individuals. Any recommended medical treatments or injections must require regular approval and in-depth assessment. There should be an in-depth evaluation following any epidemic or pandemic, beginning with the Covid-19, for each country or region, calculating the positive or negative effectiveness of each single measurement used by any government, to eliminate further the propagation of unusable or dangerous methods of fighting against the pandemic, as well as to check if the principles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights have been met in any case. This would be a good and useful task for the WHO.
Universität Mozarteum	All measures must be taken into account and carefully balanced concerning human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications even in a pandemic must be purchased at cost or at a minimum of profit and it has to be re-assured that pharmaceutical companies - and indirectly WHO financiers of any kind - do not gain influence or have a benefit from announcing a pandemic or prolonging it. Conflicts of interest of that kind need to be rigorously monitored at all levels!! All decision-making bases must be made available in a transparent manner and undergo independent and public peer-review processes. I believe that member - states must provide 100 % of the WHO funding. Any kind of private donation to the WHO should be prohibited in the future. In general I oppose to an international mandatory pandemic treatment, specifically as the financing of the WHO is not allowing full independence to date.
Privat	All measures must be taken into account carefully balance human rights, social and economic Interests
ELTE ÁJK HUNGARY	All measures must comply with the principle of proportionality. The imposed disadvantages cannot be greater than the expected advantages. Fundamental human and civil rights cannot be denied on the basis of certain partial interests.
privat person	All measures must take full account be prohibited and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
privat person	All measures must take full account be prohibited and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty...
Amnesty	All measures must take full account of all health interest
ungeimpft gesund	All measures must take full account of all health interests
Privat	All measures must take full account of all health interests
Theatermacher	All measures must take full account of all health interests and liability for vaccines/ medicins must NOT be shifted to member states. Private donations to the W.H.O. must be prohibited in advance.Member states must provide 100% of W.H.O. funding. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body,therfore all the decisin-making bodies must be deverse. I generally oppose an international, mandatoty pandemic treaty !
Private	All measures must take full account of all health interests especially aspects of mental health. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Thank you!
privat	All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
none	All measures must take full account of all health interests!
privat	All measures must take full account of all health interests, All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance, Health is not an instrument to global players influencing politics and geostrategy by influencing and corrupt markets for their own pockets.
privat Person	All measures must take full account of all health interests.
Privat	All measures must take full account of all health interests.
MFG	All measures must take full account of all health interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests, therefore mandatory vaccines must be generally forbidden because they violate the human right to live and not to be harmed in any way. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states it has to remain with the producers and distributers.As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance especially for certain foundations with closeness to the pharmaceutical industry. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Discriminatory measures must be prohibited. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law!
none	All measures must take full account of all health interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. This must be a condition of a pandemic contract. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden. Discriminatory measures must be prohibited. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. . . . .
private	All measures must take full account of all health interests. Decisions for vaccination must not be done by persons, which are making profit out of it. People does not want weak tested vaccines!
prin	All measures must take full acount and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests.
Rene Binder	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, and social and economic interests. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and each person should have the natural right to decide by himself if he likes to get medical treatment or not. When not, he must keep the same rights as all other people of the country.
Tanja Binder	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, and social and economic interests. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and each person should have the natural right to decide by himself if he likes to get medical treatment or not. When not, he must keep the same rights as all other people of the country.
None	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interest
Privat	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interest. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. With best wishes, Gerald
privat	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
Grüne	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
Grüne	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
Private	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
MFG grüne	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
No	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht & 2G	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
Privat	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
keine	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
Privat	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
Private	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
-	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
private person	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests and also mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
Privat	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states
Self	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states
Privat	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state
Privat	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state.
Private	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Österreich	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat person	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Private	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests! Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state!
Privat	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests.
Social institute	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests.
my self	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests.
Private	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Duty of vaccinazion is against the right of freedom.
	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. The Human Rights are there for a Reason its the same with the Nürnberg Kodex. They are there so that no Human Being will be forced to take any Medicine or Treatment against there own will ever again. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. The Human Rights are there for a Reason its the same with the Nürnberg Kodex. They are there so that no Human Being will be forced to take any Medicine or Treatment against there own will ever again. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Laboratory for Sleep, Cognition and Consciousness Research - Univ. Salzbur	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications even in a pandemic must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit and it has to be re-assured that pharmaceutical companies -and indirectly WHO financiers of any kind - gain influence or have a benefit from announcing a pandemic or prolonging a pandemic status. Conflicts of interests of that kind need to be rigorously monitored at all stages!! All decision-making bases must be made available in a transparent manner, and undergo independent and public peer-review processes. Personally I believe member states must provide 100% of the WHO funding. Any kind of private donations to the WHO should be prohibited in the future. In general I oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, specifically as the financing of the WHO is not allowing full independence to date.
Private	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications even in a pandemic must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit and it has to be re-assured that pharmaceutical companies -and indirectly WHO financiers of any kind - gain influence or have a benefit from announcing a pandemic or prolonging a pandemic status. Conflicts of interests of that kind need to be rigorously monitored at all stages!! All decision-making bases must be made available in a transparent manner, and undergo independent and public peer-review processes. Personally I believe member states must provide 100% of the WHO funding. Any kind of private donations to the WHO should be prohibited in the future. In general I oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, specifically as the financing of the WHO is not allowing full independence to date.
Private person	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications even in a pandemic must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit and it has to be re-assured that pharmaceutical companies -and indirectly WHO financiers of any kind - gain influence or have a benefit from announcing a pandemic or prolonging a pandemic status. Conflicts of interests of that kind need to be rigorously monitored at all stages!! All decision-making bases must be made available in a transparent manner, and undergo independent and public peer-review processes. Personally I believe member states must provide 100% of the WHO funding. Any kind of private donations to the WHO should be prohibited in the future. In general I oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, specifically as the financing of the WHO is not allowing full independence to date.
Private	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests.
WHO	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Also: All decions-making bodies must be diverse. Critica lvoices in particular must beincluded in the decision-making body
7amTraunsee	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. And Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Greetings RH
Human	All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Greetings RH
SOL	All measures must take into account and carefully balance of human rights, social and economica interstst, must take full account of all health interests
GrüneBasis	All measures must takefull account of all health interests. Mandatoryvacciones should be forbidden. Best regards, Jutta Larcher
N/A	All measures ought to be based on evidence-based, evolving, open and transparent research, that is conducted by independent, unbiased parties, that overrides financial interests. Local, and small businesses would be supported above big businesses. Any treatments, vaccines, inoculations, should be open-source, unpatented, and available to all at NO PROFIT. Research, data, pertinent information and documentation, reporting, and other processes ought be open, transparent, accountable and openly available to ensure that the methodology and processes are robust, reliable and valid; and invite scientific rigor, uncensored, open science. Research ought be peer-reviewed where applicable, assessed for methodological limitations, potential biases and confounders, ensuring all conflicts of interests are sought to be identified, openly disclosed - no one should profit from suffering. There must be a strong message that censorship has no place in science: that scientists are invited to falsify knowing that conclusions are a best guess, not a "one truth". Human rights and freedoms must be considered over dictated measures. Respect for individual choices, and support for varied pathways of treatment choice ought to be supported. To provide open discourse and public inclusion in conversations about whether the absolute risk reduction warrants the use of interventions. For the media to not be pervaded by Governmental sway, rather for journalists to uphold unbiased reporting procedures. That informed consent is a vital construct in decision making: coercion has no place in medical choice – medical passports are a violation of medical confidentiality.
none	All measures to fight pandemics and the like need to be decided by each souvereign entity by their individual deciding instruments (parliaments etc.) according to their rules. Freedom for such entities must not be overruled by some overnational authority.
General public	All medical and non-medical interventions during a pandemic should respect national and local autonomy, and the rights of the individual. Every intervention should be accompanied by a full cost-benefit analysis in terms of the short, medium and long-term costs to the overall health and finances of the population and the country; they should also be assesed to ensure the lowest possible impact across all members of society.
Self	All medical decisions are best made as close as possible between the patient and their doctor. Attempts to remove, limit, abrogate or supplant medical decisions made between the patient and the doctor that have potential life or death consequences for the patient should not be tolerated. The proposal to have legally binding authority by the WHO or its designee on such personal medical decisions as well as removing legal authority by sovereign nations is a huge step in the wrong direction from the relationship described and one that has deep historical and legal roots in much of the world. Authorities that are removed from the point of the issue and who will not suffer the consequences for their decisions and who would not be familiar with the specifics for groups of patients or of a sovereign nation should not have such legally binding authoritative power available. The Nuremberg Code, Declaration of Helsinki and the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights were written to protect that deeply historic and widely held right that there must be informed consent by the patient for experimental medical procedures. By extension and practice all medical procedures and actions affecting the life and death of the patient was viewed to be similarly protected. The information to be provided by the patient's physician. The proposed changes by this pandemic preparedness consul would profoundly affect this protected right and privileged relationship. The WHO Constitution states "informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I trust the WHO will be true to these words by listening to the public.
Sweet Holy Church of Nature's Wisdom	All medical decisions must remain voluntary lest there be a violation of the most basic of human rights. All laws and policies, whether established at the municipal, state, national or international level, must abide by these principles lest they be unlawful and constitute a violation of human rights. Though preparedness for medical emergencies is essential, doing so by entering into any legally binding agreements that would comprise the sovereignty of the US (or any other country) or the basic human rights of its citizens would be unlawful. It would be wise to bear this in mind as you proceed.
US Citizen	All medical decisions should be between patient and their doctor in their own country.
None	All medical decisions should be made up to the individual.
Health Freedom Ireland	All Medical Interventions (including vaccines) come with risks. So freedom to choose is absolutely critical for all Human Beings. Where there is any potential for harm or even death, choice must always be protected & preserved. Vaccine passports must never be compulsory & the ability to travel freely unencumbered is our RIGHT. These C19 vaccines do not give immunity or protect against ongoing transmission. There have been so many injuries and even deaths already. We must ensure this does not become a law and that we do everything in our power to preserve our bodily autonomy and freedom of choice
none	All medication and vaccination shall be proven safe by 3 years of randomized control studies before public use. Producers shall be held liable for damage caused by their products.
Member of UK population	All member states should hold the right to decide for themselves which actions are appropriate for their citizens. There should be no assumption that there is a global one-size fits all solution, such that local government organisations can choose which recommendations to implement based on the wishes of the majority of their population. Recommended solutions should clearly identify risks and benefits by age, gender etc and benefit the population rather than the profits of the pharmaceutical industry. Individuals should be able to select the best option for them and retain bodily autonomy with informed consent.
Michigan State University	All members must retain their soveign authority. Allow members to address pandemics how their people democratically and scientifically see fit. Pandemics are a fact of life, preparedness with hospital space, staff, and treatments should be the actions. We learned from this covid pandemic that no amount of mandates can stop it. We have suffered far too greatly from the government overreach. If the WHO wants to stand up for freedom and fight against tyranny in pandemics , yes! Please agree to never allow lockdown or mandates of any kind.
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	All mesaures must take full Account of all interests!
New Zealander	All national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	All national governments must retain full autonomy to independently determine the best course of action for their people. All nations must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. The agreement must not allow for influence from pharmaceutical companies or other bodies that might profit from these decisions. Every aspect of this agreement, and processes relating to it, and future decisions emanating from it must be completely transparent, with strong oversight from citizen bodies, and with genuine mechanisms for accountability. This means no secrecy whatsoever, no private meetings with pharmaceutical executives and other parties with perverse incentives. There should be an explicit recognition that this type of agreement carries with it immense power, and therefore immense opportunities for corruption. Any threshold for action to be taken therefore has to be extremely high. There must be additional failsafes that allow for populations to choose not to implement measures.
private citizen	All nations and local authorities must be free to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, in line with the local characteristics, needs, observations and democratic processes. Any national or local variations or opting out must be explicitly entirely free from consequence.
General public	All nations and local governments should have the right to do what they see is right for their own people without any outside pressure or repercussions. One size does not fit all and governments should be working for the best interest of their people.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	All nations must retain full autonomy. The WHO may only advise, as it is a body with no democratic legitimacy. There must be total transparency on how decisions are arrived at. All voices must be heard, regardless of whether they support an official narrative or not. Pharmaceutical companies and others who stand to profit from global health decisions must not be allowed influence. Conflicts of interest must not be allowed.
Individual Citizen	All nations must retain individual sovereignty and have the right to make any decisions determined to support needs of their people. All nations must be able to opt out of any agreement or part of agreement as they deem necessary to the benefit of their people. All treaties and agreements must be transparent and the people allowed to prevent the treaty or agreement by vote. Any individual or company that has a financial stake must not be allowed to influence any part of the treaty or agreement.
None	All nations must retain their sovereignty. Policies need to be put to a vote of the people. There needs to be ability to opt out of policies as there is no one policy that could possibly offer a fits all solution.
United states people	All nations must retain their sovereignty. Turning over decision making to UNELECTED entities should never be allowed. We are in vehement opposition to this illegal power grab. No. Full stop NO! Carol Poole
self	ALL nations own the right to decide on pandemic preparedness and response. The term "pandemic" is subjective. There can be no "ONE SIZE FITS ALL" to deal with health or economic stability on this planet. Each culture is different with numerous factors determining course of action. These actions may be based on many components including but not limited to geography, natural immunity, personal health decisions and economy. There should not be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
American Citizen	All nations should remain sovereign and separate. America says NO to a global union .
Brak	All nations should/ must be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Life	All nations, country's should be free to decide for themselves with they're god given democratic right. There should not be anyone who profits Feom the products they are pushing during a pandemic. There should not be any of the WHO major finders on the council. There should be more natural cures & common sense on human body regeneration available! No more fear & scare mongering. A multitude of council brings wisdom, but a consensus of procedures must always council only & always be voluntarily by the people & the sovereign nations, country's that they live in. No more propaganda narrative control of the MSN.
Citizen of the U.S.A.	--All nations, states, &/or local municipalities can, according to their judgment and without prejudice, opt out of any and all parts of the agreement. --All nations, states, &/or local municipalities retain the right, without prejudice, to base decisions on what they determine is best for their own people. --The process by which all nations, states, &/or municipalities can vote on the global agreement, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the agreement where the majority of the people of any such place are opposed to it, will be open and transparent. --Neither the process nor the agreement will include measures that allow any pharmaceutical company or global health profiteer to have any influence on either.
Citizen of Canada	All of the following are self - evident in a Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments will never suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is SACROSANCT and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	All of these elements must be included.... 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private human being	All pandemic actions must be voluntary. No one has the right or authority to impose anything on free human beings! 1. Human rights are inalienable. They cannot be taken away. Governments have no authority to suspend human rights, even during "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are against human rights. 7. We demand complete transparency. All data from clinical studies should be immediately available to all. 8. Everyone has the right to free speech and to express their own opinion. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
https://i7argumente.de/	All pandemic measures must be stopped immediately. Immediate abolition of all covid certificates, a vaccination passport and any form of a corona vaccination obligation and a vaccination obligation no matter what kind!
General Public	All pandemic preparedness and response mechanisms should be designed, maintained and implemented by local jurisdictions. National and supranational organisations, such as the WHO, should be advisory in nature, and can help with co-ordination and sharing of information, but should never be given authority to make decisions or to lead a response. All human beings make mistakes. The more local governing structures there are during a crisis the better. That way each can learn from the others, and mistakes can be corrected. If one organisation is making all the decisions there is no mechanism for correction when something goes wrong, which it certainly will do. No unelected body should be making decisions for a democratic people. Any future pandemic response instrument must devolve decision-making authority to the lowest appropriate level, where there can be democratic accountability and legitimacy. Put simply, the principle of subsidiarity is essential to future pandemic response planning. Secondly, proper Emergency Management protocols should be followed. This means that the goal of any governing structure is to minimise the effect of the hazard on the population for which that governing structure has responsibility, taking care to cause no more than minimal disruption. This relates to the first point, because the bigger the jurisdiction, the more difficult this becomes. The goal is not to eliminate the hazard, whatever the cost. The goal is to minimise the effect of the hazard, causing as little harm as possible.
Utica Bridge Farms LLC	All pandemic response policies must include, as a PRIMARY CONSIDERATION, recommendations that will strengthen the immune system of humans to fight off infection, specifically adequate intake of Vitamin D, Vitamin C, Zinc, and trace minerals, along with healthy eating and adequate exercise. SECONDARILY, if infection occurs, ALL KNOWN EFFECTIVE TREATMENTS should be allowed for doctors to prescribe and administer, such as Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine. Any program of vaccination should be a LAST RESORT, and ONLY with 100% transparency as to the contents of the vaccines and ONLY AFTER thorough and rigorous animal and human testing. Pharmaceutical companies must be made 100% liable for vaccine damage.
None--this is a personal submission	All pandemic responses should be coordinated by our local and state governments. There is no need for the WHO to get involved. Thank you, Brett Curle
GetInsured	All pandemic treatments should be third party approved. Treatment plans should be available for review by all industry leaders and have an arms length consideration. Nobody who invested in treatment plans should be on the review committee.
(self)	All participating governments should commit themselves to the preservation of the civil rights of their citizens. No person within their jurisdiction should be denied the right to travel, work, or assemble with others - on the basis of vaccination status. No medical procedure that carries any risk should be required of anyone. Governments should be be transparent with risks or consequences associated with any disease or treatment, and provide accurate and timely data to the public. There should be no restriction of free scientific inquiry into diseases and proposed treatment. No researcher or medical practitioner should be denied work or access to public fora because of an expressed opinion.
Private citizen	All participation in the treaty is optional and non-binding and the nation/country can elect to withdrawal at any time.
private individual	All parts of any treaty should include the right of private individuals to accept or reject WHO advice and recommendations according to their own research and wishes.
Public	All people eventually die. Health is not guaranteed. The law can and must guarantee and protect health related freedoms. Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. The right to try is an inalienable right. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. Discrimination based on medical status is wrong. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Berlin Germany	All people eventually die. Health is not guaranteed. The law can and must guarantee and protect health related freedoms. Governments should not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. Discrimination based on medical status is wrong. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and quarantines of healthy people are wrong, and are right only for ill people. Complete transparency is a must. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment. Guilt cannot be legislated or contracted away. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. Government officials may start emergencies, but the people must be able to end them. Excerpted from the peoples treaty.
Australian	All people have the right to freely choose whether or not to use or take or receive medication of any kind for any reason. All people need to be fully informed of all possible risks and adverse effects from any medication. No medication of any kind should be available unless the full trial period for safety, efficacy and effectiveness is completed and said medication is deemed viable as an appropriate treatment or preventative medication for infection or disease.
None	All people should be given information and allowed to make informed decisions regarding their own health. No government or organization should be allowed to make any decisions regarding mine or my families health. Respectfully, Robin
member of public	All people should have the right to their own health autonomy. This is an inalienable right as a human being. National and local leadership should be able to make decisions based on local conditions and the requirements of local populations, Open and transparent processes should be in place and information should not be censored so that honest debate can be heard and taken account of in determining actions. Measures should be in place to ensure that vested interests do not influence processes. For example profiting by pharmaceutical companies, immunity from liability for adverse consequences of pharmaceuticals, regulatory capture of agencies that should have a protective role.
Open source truth	All persons have inalienable rights to freedom of choice regarding their own health and welfare. That right is not to be abrogated by any agency for any emergency.
Citizen of the United States of America	All persons should have full bodily autonomy. All persons should have the right to pursue treatment that they, and their personal health care providers see fit. No person should be required by any law or governing body to participate in medical care, treatment, or testing that they choose to decline. Experimental drugs and vaccines should not be used or required in any circumstance because informed consent can not be met. Private and government employers should not have any say over the health care of the employee and must remain entirely neutral. Health care providers and/or insurance bodies of any type may not require for any reason the use of experimental vaccine or drug.
Personal statement	All policies should be at the city, county or at the very worst, state level. NOT an international body.
I am representing only myself as a citizen of Poland.	All possible regulations in this treaty, which would have the consequence, that the WHO could compel member countries to any regulations, are not acceptable! The full sovereignty of the member countries has to be retained! That is the most important problem.
Singapore	All preparation and response needs to be backed by scientific studies made public. WHO needs to engage in debates with dissenting voices to restore any confidence in its credibility, to convince the world's citizens that it is impartial and does not have conflicting interests to the health of the people it supposedly serves. WHO has botched the response to Covid and if I had a vote, it would be one of no confidence in WHO's ability in preparing and responding to a pandemic or any other health crisis.
private individual	All provisions should be based on respect for national and individual sovereignty. Full disclosure and transparency in respect of recommended measures should be guaranteed to enable informed consent on the part of all populations. Guarantees should also be given in respect of legal redress for damage inflicted by government measures and pharmaceutical interventions.
Self-employed	All public health policies must remain as a matter of individual nation's choices, NOT a centralized body. It is vital that each climate, population, geography and immune populace are able to make their own decisions, possibly with suggestions from various international cooperative bodies. This approach is exceedingly dangerous for national autonomy and for freedom. Freedom leads to health, nothing else comes close.
Junge Grüne	All public restrictions concerning COVID 19 must be cancelled, Human rights must be restored, the personal freedom to choose an individual medical Treatment must become must be be guaranted
Self	All regulations should be coming from the small local governments, not larger international ones! John 3:16
Private	All research and test results for vaccines, treatments, medications and trials should be made publicly available for information on decision making. External independent 3rd party medical experts, not funded or contributing towards or benefiting financially from such above mentioned treatments, trials, medications or vaccines should be consulted on behalf of the public before presenting findings to governments, decision makers or world leaders who are in a position to influence laws and institute policies.
private	All rights of the individual governments shall remain untouched. No global pandemic treatment without respect to the individual human rights and they local development of the pandemic situation.
Citizen	All sovereign nations will have their rights and autonomy preserved to self-determine the appropriate pandemic or endemic preparedness and response, to include delegating to subregions and localities within their autonomous sovereignty. All announcements, updates, proclamations, and/or directives issued by WHO pandemic preparedness INB shall be determined to be non-binding recommendations to autonomous sovereign nations. No penalties or incentives will be levied for adoption or non-adoption. WHO pandemic response INB will be funded by non-commercial entities, including corporatized non-profit organizations, to avoid the appearance of a conflict of interest from product, service, individual, or idealogical providers. WHO pandemic response INB will be fully transparent in data collection and reporting for all aspects of pandemic preparation and response and close-down. WHO pandemic response INB will consider equally the effects of their determinations, recommendations, updates, proclamations, and announcements on developing nations, under-represented minority populations, including and specifically, impact studies on women and children. The distribution of resources, as applicable, will be made equitably and inclusively.
None	All statements should be advisory only. Mandating any behaviour or restrictions should not be possible. Sovereign governments must retain the right to act in the best interests of the population. Any emergency measures must be put to a vote of the adult population affected every 3mths.
private	All states and individuals shall be free in their decisions concerning pandemic or health questions.
private	All states and individuals shall be free in their decisions concerning pandemic or health questions.
General Public	All states must agree to outlawing any endeavor that involves gain of pathogenic function. Strict penalties should be imposed on all parties who facilitate gain of pathogenic function.
humanity	All substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should follow asking the people of the world whether or not they believe a global agreement is necessary. Instead, the WHO has decided for itself that this measure is warranted and is asking for input on what people believe should be included in it. This is not a preferred path in democracies. This type of authoritarian rule should be rejected. Four substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home Free Press	All supranational regulations or recommendations governing medical decisions concerning individual countries shall not override the constitutional authority, sanctity, or sovereignty of the national signatories to this contemplated international health treaty. The medical professionals and their organizations including their established vetted medical protocols shall not be supervened by untested, or un-verified medical pronouncements dictated by non-medical experts or their boards. Government agencies tasked with protecting the public health of their citizens shall at all times have access to full transparency of decisions reached by any international bodies such as WHO or the WEF. The adherence to the primacy of human rights and human needs shall always trump any motivations of private profit and corporate welfare. The public welfare shall be preserved by strict observance of the principles of informed consent and the reverence for bodily sovereignty that each person owns by natural and common law. Political, financial agendas, or ideological considerations shall remain in abeyance to the right of world citizens to have and enjoy the integrity of life originally conceived in the image of God, preserved and supported by ordinances that respect the sanctity of their lives and that foster the liberties vouchsafed to humanity, still growing and maturing and evolving upward to actualize that image as a physical reality.
Individual	All technical recommendations and limitations of rights must conform to the requirements set by the Siracusa Principles. All technical recommendations and limitations of rights must conform to the Nuremberg Code, particularly regarding informed consent. WHO shall be responsible for providing any and all information necessary for individual's informed consent. All potential conflicts of interest of WHO, its' funders, stakeholders and private partners shall be disclosed and verified by independent auditors. A rigorous and transparent evaluation of any harms caused by WHO guidance shall be independently undertaken. WHO shall be held liable for such harms. The definition of pandemic shall revert to pre-2009 standard. WHO must renounce suppression of divergent scientific and social views by itself, its' funders, stakeholders and private partners. Treaty shall require rigorous, transparent and independent evaluation of any harms caused by Covid-19 guidance. The use of psychological tactics to "nudge", induce fear and coerce populations must be renounced and forbidden. Any pandemic response plan shall be published and distributed to the public. Such plan shall be scrutinized and evaluated by parties independent of WHO, its' funders, stakeholders and private partners. The definition of "cause of death" shall revert to pre-Covid19 standard. Outset autopsies shall be widely performed.
N/A	All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements in the Siracusa Principles. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which supplants nations' constitutions, written definitions and sovereign legislation. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be encouraged in public interest to prevent imbalance of power and violations of human rights. Centralization of health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented. Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law. The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, artificially create alarm; if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO. The WHO must declare all funders with full transparency and allow independent oversight with ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest. The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from use of the guidance. The final decision in a truly democratic process, should be made by the people, not INB to avoid bias and usurping individual and national sovereignty. Procedures for meaningful participation by all people in the enforcement of human rights enshrined in Siracusa Principles shall be made readily available in all future WHO pandemic guidance.
MITMA	All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights The centralization of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states should agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO, in the public interest The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of the guidance The final decision in a truly democratic process, should be made by the people rather than the Intergovernmental Negotiating Body which may be widely perceived as biased and usurping individual and national sovereignt
Private	All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. Persecution; censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest The centralization of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. misinformation By WHO is prohibited by law and punishable. The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states should agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO, in the public interest The WHO must declare all funders with full transparency; allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of the guidance The final decision in a truly democratic process, should be made by the people rather than the Intergovernmental Negotiating Body
Individual	All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights The centralization of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all cost. Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states will agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO, in the public interest The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from use of the guidance. The final decision shall be made BY THE PEOPLE. Procedures for meaningful participation by all the people in enforcement of human rights enshrined in Siracusa Principles shall be made readily available in all future WHO pandemic guidance
Melinda	All that needs to be said: The treaty should not exist at all!!!
Fluency Foundation	All things considered, it is optimal that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Citizen of the United States of America	All treatments and preparation for any future pandemics should be completely optional and all decisions should remain local. Emergencies are not legitimate reasons to overstep personal and constitutional freedoms. Health agencies, the media and those in authority must be completely transparent and free from conflicts of interest. No injections or treatments should be forced and there is never a need to quarantine healthy people. WHO treaties that remove or overstep U.S. sovereignty are dangerous for Americans and we will not acknowledge the authority of the WHO to make our health decisions for us.
	    The handling of the COVID-19 was a debacle. The measures implemented were detrimental on many levels and did little to stop the spread. They did more harm to our communities than good to our health. As the Pfizer documents are slowly being released, it is evident that the vaccines are more harmful than protective for the majority of the population, yet many felt forced to be injected to feed their families. All roads led to the vaccine rather than treatments and early intervention. We must learn from these past two years.
	    No government should be controlling healthcare. All health decisions should remain between doctors and patients. Governments should not interfere with doctor's decisions. At most, a government has the right to close borders during times of pandemics, but otherwise, they should only seek to find treatments or fund research to help their constituents.

Sault Area Hospital	All vaccines must have a choice never forced on people. Health information needs to remain with doctor and patient only. No more government overreach.
member of public	All virologists, epidemiologists and scientists should be able to discuss, debate and contribute to the plan with the exception of those who work for pharmaceutical companies or those who seek to profit from public health. It should be a democratic process where individual citizens can vote on the details of the plan and therefore be free to reject the plan or any part thereof which is deemed inappropriate.

Organization	Written submission
University of Leipzig	All WHO measures must be transparent and being regulated with regards to the well-informed and sustainable (long-term) common interest, taking into account all the restrictions as given in the established human rights provisos, and not being dictated by profit. This excludes any regulations by the WHO in its present state. So, for the near future, I am strictly opposing any international mandatory pandemic WHO treaty.
Individual	All wording must include at every step and measure for nations to have the right to determine their own health policy in the event of a pandemic. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in any event or non-event. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	All World Health Organisation policies must preserve full autonomy for national and local leadership as they are in the best position to determine what is in the best interest for their people. Additionally, diversity in response can be important for understanding the impact of public health measures in a time of health emergency, such as the Covid pandemic. The decision to opt out of any or all sections of an international agreement, without consequence, should be available at all times. The world needs more transparency and open, democratic decision-making in relation to all areas, particularly health care, and public health measures should never be forced or coerced onto a population or individuals that do not want to participate. Finally, pharmaceutical companies, or any other business that profits from recommended public health measures, should be prevented from participating or influencing global health policy.
Privat	Alle Grundrechte der Menschen müssen geachtet werden, egal ob Geimpft oder ungeimpft. Niemals darf jemand zur Medikamentösen Behandlung gezwungen werden. Wer jemanden zwingt oder dazu aufruft Menschen zu zwingen Medikamente zu nehmen (oder zu Impfen) muss bestraft werden.
keine	Alle Instrumente müssen den Vorrang demokratischer nationaler Entscheidungen und regionaler Gesetze respektieren. Menschenrechte müssen ausnahmslos gewährt werden. Freiheit, Unversehrtheit der persönlichen Gesundheit und Selbstbestimmung des Einzelnen dürfen nicht eingeschränkt werden, insbesondere nicht durch eine nicht demokratisch autorisierte Organisation, zum Beispiel die WHO. Die Haftung von WHO, Regierungen und Unternehmen muss bestehen bleiben. Alle wissenschaftlichen Fakten und Einwände müssen berücksichtigt werden, um Schaden von Personen zu vermeiden. Behandlungen oder Injektionen müssen einer regelmäßigen Genehmigung und eingehenden Beurteilung bedürfen.
keine	Alle Instrumente müssen den Vorrang demokratischer nationaler Entscheidungen und regionaler Gesetze respektieren. Menschenrechte müssen ausnahmslos gewährt werden. Freiheit, Unversehrtheit der persönlichen Gesundheit und Selbstbestimmung des Einzelnen dürfen nicht eingeschränkt werden, insbesondere nicht durch eine nicht demokratisch autorisierte Organisation, zum Beispiel die WHO. Die Haftung von WHO, Regierungen und Unternehmen muss bestehen bleiben. Alle wissenschaftlichen Fakten und Einwände müssen berücksichtigt werden, um Schaden von Personen zu vermeiden. Behandlungen oder Injektionen müssen einer regelmäßigen Genehmigung und eingehenden Beurteilung bedürfen.
GGD Amsterdam	Alle landen zijn soeverijn . Het is niet aan de WHO om te bepalen waartoe landen verplicht zijn in case of a pandemic.
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	Alle Maßnahmen müssen Menschenrechte, soziale und wirtschaftliche Interessen berücksichtigen und sorgfältig ausgleichen. Pandemievertrag darf keinen Vorrang vor dem nationalen Verfassungsrecht haben Angela Badertscher
Keine	Alle Mitgliedsstaaten müssen weiterhin, die in ihrer Verfassung stehenden Rechten handeln dürfen. Und NICHT von Geldgebern, wie die Pharmaindustrie verdienen und gewährleistet Rechte aushebeln können!!!
Privat	Alles, was unsere Grundrechte einschränkt und missachtet, ist abzulehnen. Uns, dem Volk, wird zwar das Recht zugestanden, unsere Meinung zu sagen, leider erfährt man durch absichtliche Informationsunterdrückung zu spät oder gar nicht davon. Wir werden wieder einmal - an der Nase herumgeführt. "Good bye democracy!"
Self	Allopathic medicine is not the only form of medicine in this world. People have the right to chose what will be best for their body based on their own choices. Please stop the treaty. Thank you.
Concerned citizen	Allow and reccommend early treatment with any medication the physician deems useful, including monoclonal antibodies. . You may not block any medications! Most importantly no mandated or forced treatments including injections of any kind.
None	Allow each country, state, county and other global regions be responsible for themselves.
None	Allow every country their sovereign rights of independence, freedom and self-determination to decide and implement as they themselves, not a world government, see fit, for their own people and own country.
Individual	Allow for personal choice and body sovereignty. Mandates are unethical. Protect freedom
Private Business	Allow the following: National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	Allow the physicians to use any drug that could be efficient, in accordance with the patient. Particulary, allow early treatments with ancient and well known drugs like ivermectin, chloroquin, D vitamin, zinc.... Focus on global health conditions : organic food, sport, pollution reduction. Treat people as adults.
人[illegible]	Allowance for the receipt of transparent and factual dialogue from governments, NGOs and other international organisations on pandemics, pandemic response and pandemic preparedness, the facilitation of the freedom of the human race from related misinformation campaigns and forced medical or therapeutic procedures experimental or otherwise, and the retraction of any subversion or planned subversion of the natural biological and spiritual condition of the human being - in addition to affordation of the same or equivalent principles to the concept of the sovereign nation-state in the construction of the subject article.
retired	Allowances and tests for Natural Immunity should be acknowledged.
Teran James Young Foundation	Aloha, I request and support: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Sulara James
EU Bürgerin	Als wesentliches Element sehe ich die Einhaltung der Grund- und Menschenrechte sowie die Möglichkeit für alle Menschen, ihr Leben individuell, selbstbestimmt und befreit von jeglicher digitalen Kontrolle gestalten zu können. Grundsätzlich bietet die Naturheilkunde ein breites Feld an Möglichkeiten und sollte im Interesse der gesamten Weltbevölkerung und Gesundheit mehr als alle pharmazeutischen Produkte gefördert, respektiert und akzeptiert werden. Schluss mit Chemtrails und Geo-Engineering, das für viele verschiedene Erkrankungen verantwortlich ist.
privat	Although centralization is a noble idea it not to be a good answer when it comes to questions of health. Every part of the world has it's own challenges and difficulties, even public health systems differ from country to country. So, there is no prescription that fits all world. Nevertheless it's a good idea to provide support and help and coordinate aid from a central organisation like the WHO to suit the needs of each region / country / government. Therefor the following key ideas should be implemented in such a contract: * The WHO answers and serves to the call of individual nations. * The WHO does not intervene into countries individual measurements. * The WHO makes sure medications and vaccines can be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit. * If a country joins the pandemic contract, the member state must provide 100% of WHO funding. Therefor private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. * All decision-making bodies of the WHO must react to the countries needs. The WHO decision-making bodies must not overrule local measurements. * Therefor mandatory vaccines and discriminatory measures should be forbidden, suggested pandemic treaty must not overrule national constitutional laws. Thank you very much! Best regards! Christian Macher
Citizen	Although I agree that there should be an element of coordination amongst countries in the preparing for global pandemics I have concerns about this and certain things should be included Full transparency so that larger companies and individuals who are involved in the process do not directly benefit financially The right of individual nations to opt out at any point and retain autonomy Full transparency of funding of WHO especially from large companies and individuals
Steve Henderson Fine Art	Although I am NOT in favor of any " treaty" the following should be addressed: 1) All provisions/ recommendations should be NON-binding. (National sovereignty should be honored). 2) NO incentives / NO punishments. 3) True free speech/discussion should be encouraged without punishment or incentives. 5) Individual choice: People should be allowed to speak freely and make their own choices freely. 6) Government AND private institutions and companies should absolutely be made legally liable for their decisions. 7) Any and all medical intervention (treatments, vaccines, products) should not be forced upon individuals. All medical treatments should be accompanied by full disclosure of risks.
Private citizen	Although I am opposed to the treaty, I strongly recommend that, if this proposed treaty is to go ahead, it includes a person's democratic right to govern their own body and that it respects a person's freedom of choice to manage their own health. Yours sincerely, Lynley Brown
NA	Although I am vehemently opposed to the WHO treaty, since we are "allowed" to only voice our opinion within the provided narrative framework, I submit that all the following conditions must be met: * National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, MUST be included * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on - including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it - MUST be included * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers MUST be included In conclusion, I am compelled to make it clear that I do not agree or consent to the premise of this WHO treaty in any way shape or form.
Individual	Although I can see the attraction of a central agency dealing with global health events, I know of several factors that speak against that policy, primarily because it is insensitive to context and conditions, ignores the precepts of bio-ethics & medical consent, and is blind to the value of diversity. Therefore, I suggest the following should be baked into any decision going forward. 1. AUTONOMY: National and local leadership reserve the right to make their own decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. OPT-OUT: Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, which is consistent with human rights and supportive of initiating an agile response to changing circumstances. 3. OWNERSHIP: An open and transparent process that guarantees all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. PROTECTION FROM CORPORATISM: Institution of measures barring the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	Although I fully recognize the constructive role that the WHO could play in promoting health throughout the world, it is NOT ACCEPTABLE for the WHO to act as the sole arbiter of medical and health policy decisions or legal requirements within individual nations. Every nation must retain its sovereign status to make decisions based on what is best for their respective peoples. And what is best for any nation must be determined by those who will suffer the consequences of their decisions and actions. All nations must retain their individual and sovereign right to opt out of any and all portions of any WHO agreement and to act in the best interest of their peoples without consequence from international organization. Any and all agreements made at the WHO should be open, transparent and easily accessible so that the peoples of the world can vote for those measures they agree are in their best interest and to have the assurance that global agreements are not applied to nations where a majority of the citizens of said nation DO NOT want such measure implemented. Until the WHO can demonstrate that their policies and decision are in the best interest of the world's peoples and transparent regarding special interests, pharmaceutical companies and global elites, there should be no increase in WHO powers.
Private citizen	Although I respect the role of WHO as coordinator and advisor to governments around the world, all member nations should retain their freedom to choose what methods to apply in a pandemic. This should not be a legally binding matter. The role of the WHO is not to impose laws on the citizens of the many member countries. We have democratic elected governments for that purpose. Our elected representatives can advice their citizens of the best recommendations, but citizens should retain the option to choose for themselves. National governments can be held accountable to their own citizenry - the WHO is international's and is accountable to nobody - this is not acceptable. Do not introduce anything that has to do with the law and binding contracts or legal agreements!
US citizen	Although it appears obvious, the cultural, religious and economic facets of a state or nation must come into play anytime a world body deems it necessary to lay down guidelines for health and the effort to prevent the spread of disease. Each state or nation has its own Constitution or set of public health laws and guidelines; those must be respected by a world body seeking to throw a net over the entire globe and essentially paint it with a very, very broad brush. There must be provisions for exceptions and understandings involving specific and particular 'peculiarities' held by each and every member of the world family with regard to public health. One item to consider is dietary concerns. While some cultures find it perfectly normal and acceptable to consume animal protein uncooked, others do not. Often, these become public health issues.
Concerned Citizen of the United States	Although it may seem crucial to enhance the strategy of pandemic preparedness, I agree with the World Council for Health's recommendations for deliberations of a treaty. Of special importance is sovereignty and autonomy, opt out features and establishing strict measures to not allow Pharmaceutical industry influence in the process. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	Although the WHO should certainly monitor health-related situations worldwide and provide pertinent researched information to all nations, each individual nation must maintain the right to set its own health policy based on the national/local situations and needs, and respecting the freedoms of its people. Health choices must be made by well-informed citizens, not by large organizations that have no stake in the local community or its citizens. This treaty must not rob countries of their sovereignty nor people of their health-choice rights.
-	Am besten gar kein Vertrag Wenn doch, dann Garantie 1. der Souveränität der Nationalstaaten und des Einzelnen 2. Achtung der Menschenrechte 3. Für gesetzliche Haftung der handelnden Personen, staatlichen Stellen sowie beteiligter Unternehmen.
None - private citizen	Am I am concerned about how our country, state and city has the autonomy to have full freedom to determine what best serves the needs of local citizens and to determine if participation in whole or part is wise or expedient without repercussions. Further I am concerned that all people have liberty to see a fully disclosed plan with all minor measures included in which to voice their concerns and even to vote on with full opt out clauses offered and protected without punitive consequences. Lastly conflict of interest by Pharma and large orgs ie WEF etc are not allowed to influence or buy out and profit from.
Health Freedom	am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
individual	am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Swa	am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. I will NEVER EVER let you make decisions about my health and MY body given to me by God. JLF
self	America is a sovereign nation and all treaties by the WHO affect the right of America to govern itself as it sees fit. World-wide treaties do not cover the individual needs of all nations. All nations need different laws/emergency responses as they have different topography, customs, laws, etc. A one-world treaty can never be right for every country. The WHO should never have power that supersedes the power of individual nations. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." We have seen during the COVID outbreak of the last 2 years that governments have censored the informed opinion of many experts who disagree with the prevailing narrative. This is not science but tyranny and we are seeing the disastrous results of pushing one narrative only for the entire world. The WHO and all nations must do a better job of including ALL experts the next time a calamity arises and certainly not ruining the careers and reputations of those experts and censoring them when they present dissenting opinions concerning the issues at hand.
none	America must not have any legally binding pandemic treaty with WHO.
Individual	American citizens do no elect the leaders or directly influence policies of the WHO, and therefore we should never be placed under its authority. This is fundamental to the very fabric of who we are as a nation and democratic republic. Danger through the abuse of power and the oppression of corruption waits behind treaties like this which transfer the authority and power of a sovereign nation and its peoples, and places it into the hands of a third party such as the WHO. Healthcare should be determined by those who it affects the most — ie. the individuals making choices about their own lives and survival. Responsibility lies upon individuals, not governments, or non-regulated and non-elected entities like the WHO. This truth and policy does not change or evaporate simply because a certain group deems something an "emergency". Abuse and evil have thrived through history under the guise of "emergency powers". The need and responsibility for pandemic preparedness and response is solely the responsibility of that nation's government, and the individuals therein. A new treaty must not be made. As Benjamin Franklin said, "Those who would give up essential liberty, to purchase a little temporary safety, deserve neither liberty nor safety."
USA Family	American citizens do NOT want a global committee that American Citizens did not vote for to be in charge of our healthcare during a pandemic. The Constitution is our final authority and it gives rights to our government and state governments to make our welfare decisions. Ultimately we are each responsible and can make our own decisions. Thank you
self	Americans should have the choice themselves to keep decisions within our country. We as individuals should be able to make our own choices regarding the health of ourselves and families. The WHO, CDC, FDA and government should not be making medical decisions for us. The last 2 years has proven, they have made the wrong decisions for us and caused more death than should or could have been. It is no surprise as so many Americans and people throughout out the entire world have lost trust in all of these organizations. I for one, will not trust a single thing that comes from them for the rest of my life. Health decisions need to be left to the people.
America First Patriots	Americans want to keep their sovereignty and do not wish the WHO to infringemon our rights to be free to decide our own health approaches.
Humanity	Americans will keep their sovereignty. 1. Our national and local leadership will retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. We support the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. We support an open and transparent process with the requirement for all people of the world to participate by vote. The imposition of rules, guidelines or measures on bodies of people who have voted against a them. Rules, guidelines or measures against local laws or statutes are prohibited. 4. We do not support measures that allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Among other substantive elements, a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include a public mechanism, or a public committee, which assess, ensure, and explain to the public the measures to guarantee the right middle point between pandemic containment and individual rights and liberties. The proposed public mechanism or assessment commission must be composed of different representatives of different countries, and release to the public the results of each measurement & evaluation.
household	Among the substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are the protections of the Nuremberg Code -- also listed at https://ori.hhs.gov/content/chapter-3-The-Protection-of-Human-Subjects-nuremberg-code-directives-human-experimentation Considerations encoded in the Declaration of Helsinki should also be incorporated - also listed at https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/ Allowance for the sovereignty of the human citizens of earth need also be recognized and perserved to allow for personal decisions about their own bodies and the dependents under their care, especially children. Due process of review and dissent regarding any provision of the treaty needs to allow for redress of any individual's complaint and request for exemption based on medical, religious, and/or matters of conscience. A WHO treaty on health would best be proscriptive in nature rather than prescriptive. The body that creates such a treaty need also be comprised of democratically selected representatives of the member countries and each should have recourse to secure agreement of its respective peoples. Please also consider findings of the Belmont Report, posted at https://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/regulations-and-policy/belmont-report/read-the-belmont-report/index.html Thank you for this opportunity to participate in this process; I trust my contribution will be considered.
Brazil	An "International Pandemic Treaty" is unnecessary, as each country is free and has autonomy to decide what is best for its citizens. Furthermore, no communication about this treaty was made to the Brazilian people, making any change in this sense invalid, as we have a sovereign constitution that must be respected.
N/A	An "international instrument" for pandemic responses should not be considered at all. So to answer the question posed… NOTHING. No substantive elements should be included. This is an outrage and should not be considered. Americans are qualified for, capable of, and entitled to make decisions for OUR people on OUR soil by OUR leaders that WE elect. ALL pandemic responses should be handled at the state level as was done under President Trump.
General public	An acceptance of the forces of nature & interference with it will result in greater more wide reaching harm.
Not affiliated with any organization	An agreement for the people should include the following: Pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. A takeover by the WHO, on the other hand, would threaten our freedom and our individual, inalienable right to self-determination, through a comprehensive, one-size-fits-all mandate imposed from above, which we have not given it.
Private	An agreement for the people should primarily include civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice, as well as pillars of natural law. I am against the Plan of "World together"!
private person	An agreement must include a guarantee of both, the sovereignty of states and of each individual. Respect for human rights is mandatory, including in pandemics. Freedom of science must be guaranteed. Furthermore, legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies should be established. Dependence on individual private donors must be strictly avoided.
None	An agreement of this sort concerns me greatly. After living through the past two years of governmental overreach with mandates, lockdowns, and seeing the ruination of local and state economies, the very last thing I would want to endure would be any worldwide health organization making all the decisions about the next serious health crisis. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy in these matters, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations, states or regions, and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any such agreement as they see fit, without consequence is key. What works in Arizona may not work in New York, or in Zimbabwe. Such agreements should contain open and transparent processes with the ability for leadership, influenced by their citizenry, in all nations to decide on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it or it simply does not fit their situations. Measures should also be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health groups who plan to profit from influence with global or national health agencies. We saw evidence of this in the past 2 years and do not need more of it.
Privat person	An agreement should contain the pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice.
Private	An agreement should include for the people: pillars of natural law and civil liberties such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice in every sense, especially according the personal body and health.
Self	An attempt by WHO to create a new inter national pandemic treaty with all countries is highly objectionable, as it will totally ab stain people from their right to health. Such a treaty will hamper individual scien tific growth at country level. COVID guidelines nd protocols seemed so unscientific and illogical at times that it did more harm than good for public health. Any disease should be first categorised and acclaimed by authorities at country level,due to geographical/demographic variations before labelling it as a pandemic. Every country needs to have its own health right to declare a pandemic,and create indi vidual guidelines for the same,wether it is preparedness,prevention or response. Sabotaging the fundamental right to health of any individual is unethical. I am totally against this Treaty.
No	An attempt by WHO to create a new inter national pandemic treaty with all countries is highly objectionable,as it will totally abstain people from their right to health. Such a treaty will hamper individual scientific growth at country level. COVID guidelines and protocols seemed so unscientific and illogical at times that it did more harm than good for public health. Any disease should be first categorised and acclaimed by authorities at country level,due to geographical/demographic variations before labelling it as a pandemic. Every country needs to have its own health right to declare a pandemic, and create individual guidelines for the same, weather it is preparedness, prevention or response. Sabotaging the fundamental right to health of any individual is unethical. I am totally against this Treaty.
Individual	An attempt by WHO to create a new international pandemic treaty with all countries is highly objectionable,as it will totally abstain people from their right to health. Such a treaty will hamper individual scientific growth at country level. COVID guidelines and protocols seemed so unscientific and illogical at times that it did more harm than good for public health. Any disease should be first categorised and acclaimed by authorities at country level ,due to geographical/demographic variations before labelling it as a pandemic. Every country needs to have its own health right to declare it as a pandemic for itself and create individual guidelines for the same,wether it is preparedness,prevention strategy,or response. Sabotaging the fundamental right to health of any individual is unethical. I am totally against this Treaty.
Private individual	An element that must be included in any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is that the government of every country must be free to choose how they respond to any pandemic. To make choices for any country is to treat us like babies, incapable of making wise and educated decisions for ourselves. An element that should be included is the free sharing of all information pertaining to a pandemic and how to respond in full. Another element that must be included is the external review of all World Health Organisation actions, decisions and communications relating to any pandemic by an external, independent body. Regards
Private United States citizen	An essential element must be the preservation of national autonomy and the honoring of constitutions around the world that protect individual rights to make healthcare decisions for themselves and their families. Period. Do not trample basic human rights to bodily autonomy.
None	An essential element that must be included is total, complete and full transparency of any and all factors of involvement in any pandemic matters involving other countries in particular. No conflicts of interest whether financial or otherwise to be associated with any WHO matters.
Private citizen	An essential part of pandemic preparedness is a global commitment by all countries to fully cooperate to ensure that any future emerging epidemic is fully isolated within the first host country borders. All other countries commit to support the host country in isolating, fighting and eradication of the epidemic and making certain it never becomes a pandemic. We made Covid-19 a pandemic, we must never do it again Success is that simple .

Organization	Written submission
Self employed	An example that can be copied and pasted: Any treaty adopted by the UK, whether pandemic related or other MUST include the following guarantees: National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy. Any and all decisions MUST be based on what is best for their own communities/constituents. NO micromanaging by unelected bureaucrats who don't understand local issues. Individual nations and local municipalities/governments MUST have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the Treaty as they see fit. No punitive measures or punishment for such an opt out. Transparency to all MUST be guaranteed and accompany the right of the people to see what is being done in their name. All opines of the citizenry of all countries facing the adoption of this Treaty MUST be encouraged and allowed and openly shown. Anyone opposing this adoption must be protected to truthfully share their reasons/concerns and MUST be guaranteed, listened to, protected. There MUST be accountability to the people, and a process guaranteeing the right of the people in all countries impacted by the adoption of this Treaty to vote and be able to say NO to adoption. No punishment as a result of such a vote. There MUST be guaranteed appeal procedures, transparency that prevents excess authority, favoritism, or corruption in the application of this Treaty. National and individual autonomy MUST not be facilitated or allowed by adoption of this Treaty.
None	An exceedingly important element that needs to be included, is the inclusion of individual family physicians and healthcare providers having the final decision in any individuals healthcare regimen, as well as the individuals right of consent in the final decision! Healthcare is NEVER a "one size fits all" solution…..everyone is different on many levels. Mandating any health regimen for everyone, is NEVER appropriate.
None	An important element in the response to any pandemic, would be for the WHO and other government entities to be completely neutral in the decisions making process of citizens lives and livelihoods. Allow the public to make their own decisions on their health and risks of such. The WHO and other government entities do not deserve the power to dictate the lives of ANY person. The WHO and other government entities may only recommend, not require; proven treatments with clinical data of said treatments or recommendations as proof to the public and for their consideration. Citizens have the right to make their own decisions based on their beliefs and health. If the "Covid-19" pandemic has taught the people of the world anything, it's that our governments and entities, including the WHO and the CDC failed, lied and got it wrong and do not have The People's best interest in mind.
self	An important element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is that each country is responsible for engaging in and carrying out agreed-upon measures. Countries are sovereign and individuals are sovereign. WHO treaties that remove power from the United States are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Likewise, treaties that remove power from ANY country's government are dangerous to the health and welfare if its citizens. This should apply to ALL countries and NO global organization, including WHO, should be allowed to make decisions for governments. Recommendations, perhaps, but NOT decisions, mandates, and/or edicts. Thank you for your time and consideration.
Privat	An inclusion of both, quarantee of state and individual sovereignty, as well as the respect for human rights and the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies as a Substantive element in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response shall be mandatory.
privat	An inclusion of both, quarantie of state and individual sovereignty, as well as the respect for human rights and the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies as a Substantive element in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response shall be mandatory.
Private	An independent advisory board is necessary. Every member must prove that it is absolutely independent from third parties (organisations, industry).The advisors must change very 6 months. The WHO must be absolutely independent from private industry and organisations so that it is sure that the health is the top interest and that there are no conflicts of interest. Every country is different and therefore no general solution is possible. Humanity, individual sovereignty, dignity and freedom must be respected. The Nürnberg Codex must be observed.
Citizen	An independent advisory body needs to be established to ensure a fair weighing of opinions! All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process! However, mandatory vaccines have to be forbidden! Discriminatory measures have to be prohibited! ll measures must take full account of all health interests! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law!
n/a	An individual or their legal representative must be free to grant or deny consent for any medical treatment including but not limited to vaccines, medicine, hospitalization, surgery, etc. This is their God-given right and should not be taken from them by any person, organization or government. No one should be able to force a person to participate in any activity against one's will. It is not up to another person or organization to determine what is in one's best interest. Each person is unique and what is good for one person is not necessarily good for everyone.
Praxis	An individuell health treatment is the most important thing for every human being. We need peace and harmony for balancing our lifes. Fact is, that this not work in the way, the WHO does during the pandemic. Please stop and find other ways for live our life! Thank you!
Private	An instrument like the Pandemic Treaty must never come into existence, as it impairs or nullifies health-related (and other) individual human rights, while befitting only certain corporations. Instead, the sanctity of the patient-doctor relationship, the freedom to choose and perform individual medical treatment based on conscience and knowledge, not on coercion and financial incentives, must be restored, while the top-down "one-size-fits-all" approach must be banned. People are sovereign. They have the inalienable, God-given, human right to freely choose over their lives and bodies, and must never hand "emergency powers" to any national and supra-national body. Since there is no ongoing democratic discussion/negotiation between the WHO and all those affected by this pandemic treaty, any such agreement will be illegal and invalid anyway.
Citizen of the USA	An instrument of pandemic preparedness and response should include elements to uphold: inalienable human rights (that all governments/organizations are subservient to); full transparency of all response measures; open debate with no censorship; the right to choose to either try or refuse treatment; that there are to be no restrictive measures imposed against asymptomatic people; and that there is established the right for citizens to end a state of emergency by vote. Furthermore, the Constitution and laws of each country should remain intact and unattenuated regardless of their cooperation in the pandemic response. At a minimum, these are the substantive elements that should be included in a pandemic response instrument.
Citizen of the United States of America	An international agreement on pandemic preparedness and response must recognize and be consonant with the sovereignty and differences of individuals and nations around the world. * National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, sanction, or retribution. * Apartheid based on any personal choices must be prohibited. * There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote. * Failsafe measures must be included that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *There must be no influence on the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Measures must be in place to ensure complete transparency, full integrity, and total respect for all human rights around the world.
Madrid Junction	An international agreement on pandemic preparedness must contain these 4 elements: 1. Provision must be made to exclude drug companies, vaccine manufacturers, or any other commercial interest from drafting the agreement or subsequent plans. 2. A country's participation in the founding agreement and in subsequent plans must be by the consent of its citizenry, as affirmed in a vote. 3. Every country must have the right to opt out of a plan with no economic or political reprisal. 4. No portion of any agreement or subsequent plan may supersede the authority of officials in a country as dictated by local and national laws.
UHCL	An international agreement on pandemic preparedness should NOT be developed and implemented. Countries around the world are not the same, do not have the same needs, and should never be bound to an international agreement of any kind involving health care.
Member of multiple medical freedom and health defense organizations	An international agreement should have as its foundation: informed consent, freedom of individual choice, support for robust scientific debate, a free press that encourages independent journalism and laws empowering parents to act as protective guardians of their minor children should be supported. Future WHO agreements should be based on the Nuremberg Code and the lessons learned as the result of WWII. No human beings should be forced, pressured or coerced to take part in medical experiments. Science should not be rushed, differing viewpoints should be considered and long-term safety studies should be carried out to conclusion prior to large scale rollout of new medical technologies. Experimental products should be compared to an inert placebo and the control group should not be given the opportunity to take the experimental product prior to the completion of long-term safety research. Maintain the purity of the inert placebo group over the 3 or more-year term of the study. WHO should maintain awareness that in the USA medical errors and adverse reactions to medical products are the 3rd leading cause of death. Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting Systems around the world have recorded an unprecedented rise in vaccine adverse reactions, injuries, disabilities and death since the Covid-19 vaccine roll out. This is a direct result of rolling out experimental gene technology "vaccines" at "Warp Speed". Humanity has paid a heavy price for this reckless rollout that preceded the completion of long-term safety studies. Humans have been used as lab rats, many have been injured and killed.
private	An international agreement to combat pandemics should be subject to very strict conditions, if not rejected entirely. Mandatory or even compulsory vaccinations must not be ordered under any circumstances. Medicines, especially vaccines, must be tested in sufficiently long series of tests and assessed in transparent peer reviews. In addition, the manufacturers have to assume all liability for any side effects. After all, international agreements with the WHO must never stand above the constitutionally guaranteed guarantees of the respective partner countries.
Private Individual	An international body cannot know the specific situation in different parts of different countries. Therefore, it is imperative that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations, states and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures need to be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies - or other global health profiteers.
Liberty OFS	An international body should not have oversight or decision making powers in any democratic country. There are far too many variables in access to healthcare, level of care, what is deemed healthy, standard medical care, medical costs. We would need to see global standardized healthcare before a global pandemic response would be valuable An International approach to controlling travel and a universal standard vetting of the science would bring value Global / Unified approach to control measures would bring value However this is a dangerous path. The US protects its citizens under a constitution that guarantees certain freedoms. Americans should follow Federal and State guidelines but turning over control to an International body would violate our constitutional rights and only further divide this nation.
General Public	An international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response should include the right for a country or part of a country to choose not to participate, and ensure that every country (or part of) have the right to decide themselves how to prepare and respond to a pandemic. It should include a trustworthy, accessible, and well-advertised democratic process that allows every single human being the opportunity to vote on including rock solid, incorruptible measures that would ensure that groups of people who do not wish to participate are not forced to do so. It should include measures that make it absolutely impossible for any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to influence the development, implementation, or any other part or process related to this instrument. Thank you.
Citizen	An international instrument for pandemic preparedness is unnecessary as evidenced with Covid. Countries need to be able to make local decisions based on their specific circumstances, risk to their populations and swift to change as new evidence emerges. A global approach would not be altered quickly enough to deal with emerging evidence for any new disease. Indeed the WHOs recommendations for Covid are proving to be quite ineffective and this would not be obvious unless countries such as Sweden had deviated from WHO guidelines. Countries and individuals must be empowered to make their own decisions based on evidence based medicine. There should be no place for pandemic profiteering through any avenue, especially when expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments are being promoted. If there is to be such a plan it MUST include: 1. Recommendations only; countries and individuals should not be obligated to implement 2. Countries and individuals to undertake thorough informed consent process 3. Local and individual input to adapt to suit actual risk 4. A health rather than wealth philosophy
Private Citizen	An international instrument for pandemic response and preparedness should allow for full national and local autonomy, and allow local and national governments the full ability to respect their own human rights laws. Nations and local governments should also be completely free to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. There should also be an open and transparent process allowing for all people of the world to vote on the inclusion of failsafe measures that would prevent all and any application of the global agreement, should a majority of people not want it applied. There should also be strict measures forbidding the influence of any and all pharmaceutical companies or any health product suppliers (e.g. personal protective equipment manufacturers) in the application or drafting of such an instrument, and severe penalties for any entity that participates in, or allows the participation of any such profiteering.
GP	An International instrument is not required. Public health is not a one size fix all. Nations and it's people there in should decide how to respond to pandemics and this should be evidenced based on real world data not simulated data. Interventions should be evaluated for effectiveness and pharmaceutical companies should have no influence over decisions taken where they stand to profit. Thank you for considering my submission
private	An international Instrument of pandemic preparedness may not in any chapter or wording violate the "Universal Declaration of Human Rights" which was proclaimed by the United Nations General Assembly in Paris on 10 December 1948 (General Assembly resolution 217 A). Furthermore an international Instrument of pandemic preparedness may not violate the "Nürnberger Kodex" from 1947. The international Instrument of pandemic preparedness should be a non-binding proposal for Goverments which has to be adapted from local - non political - medical experts.
public	an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is not needed.
Citizen	An international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is unnecessary and in most cases inhumane. We have the right to an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are incorrect and inhumane.
Koberwitz 1924 Inc.	An International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must first of all recognize and respect that the primary focus is THE HUMAN BEING, sacred in his being made in the image and likeness of God. Human beings in the world are unique due to their varied national, cultural, social, religious, and socio-political contexts. Assurance of the basic human rights of EVERY INDIVIDUAL, as stated in the constitutions of the free countries in the world and in international conventions and treaties is the only basis for us to move towards a truly more harmonious world. And as all of us wish this for ourselves, we need to be striving to listen from the heart to where each is coming from and together craft policies that will be fair and humane for all concerned
World Federation for Animals	An international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must holistically address all significant risk-factors for the emergence and spread of diseases with epidemic potential and antimicrobial resistance. The following substantive elements are therefore essential. Deep prevention: Tackling the root causes of the emergence and spread of disease including methods of production, trade policies, development investments and subsidies that exacerbate inequalities, excessive consumption patterns or high risk practices such as commercial wildlife exploitation, habitat degradation and encroachment, and global food systems based on industrial farming. Fairness: Protecting the interconnected health and wellbeing of all people, animals and ecosystems through investment in healthcare and veterinary infrastructure, and resolving trade-offs in ethical ways that protect the global majority including women, children and animals. Transformation: Creating a different type of policymaking that reduces global risks and overcomes inertia and short-term financial interests by measuring wellbeing and health risks, assessing environmental and animal impacts, investing in fairer and safer systems as we recover from COVID-19, collective accountability across government ministries and IGOs, and assured responsibilities of the private sector. Animal welfare: Transforming our non-harmonious treatment of animals, as a key driver of crises including endemic and epidemic animal disease, the emergence, spread and spill-over of zoonotic diseases, antimicrobial use, excessive and exploitative use of natural populations and ecosystems, local and global pollution, excessive consumption patterns and unsustainable production practices.
Citizen New Zealand	An International Instrument on Pandemic preparedness and response should allow for countries to have and maintain their own autonomy and sovereignty allowing their own political, cultural and religious beliefs to be upheld in the response to any pandemic or other occurence. Each country and citizens of that country shall be able to base their own response on informed recommendations not from a single source organisation who may have misaligned political agendas. Experimental products shall never be used again with little or no safety data at such a large scale as recently in the Covid 19 pandemic. Pharmaceutical companies and big business to be accountable for their products and ongoing safety monitoring and management of unfavourable outcomes. Any International Instrument of response put in place must adopt the sole responsibility ensuring all of the above outcomes are achieved by managing the process. This will be the overarching process ensuring countries and their citizens are informed honestly, with respect to their own response and options available. Media to be held accountable for any actions and/inactions causing harm. This International Instrument shall not cause or allow any harm to be caused. I, Adrian Hewson do not consent to any International Instrument assuming total control of this entire process declaring international emergency and overriding our country. Aotearoa New Zealand (Nu Tireni) or its citizens sovereignty and/or political system or any influential agenda. I do not consent or will allow any member of my extended family to eb subject to any International Instrument control over the sovereignty of our Land, Body and/or mind. Thank you for allowing my submission.
Citizen New Zealand	An International Instrument on Pandemic preparedness and response should allow for countries to have and maintain their own autonomy and sovereignty allowing their own political, cultural and religious beliefs to be upheld in the response to any pandemic or other occurence. Each country and citizens of that country shall be able to base their own response on informed recommendations not from a single source organisation who may have misaligned political agendas. Experimental products shall never be used again with little or no safety data at such a large scale as recently in the Covid 19 pandemic. Pharmaceutical companies and big business to be accountable for their products and ongoing safety monitoring and management of unfavourable outcomes. Any International Instrument of response put in place must adopt the sole responsibility ensuring all of the above outcomes are achieved by managing the process. This will be the overarching process ensuring countries and their citizens are informed honestly, with respect to their own response and options available. Media to be held accountable for any actions and/inactions causing harm. This International Instrument shall not cause or allow any harm to be caused. I, Andrea Nicholas do not consent to any International Instrument assuming total control of this entire process declaring international emergency and overriding our country. Aotearoa New Zealand (Nu Tireni) or its citizens sovereignty and/or political system or any influential agenda. I do not consent or will allow any member of my extended family to be subject to any International Instrument control over the sovereignty of our Land, Body and/or mind. Thank you for allowing my submission.
none	An international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include an option for early treatment with repurposed drugs that have already been previously approved and a method of sheltering highly susceptible persons without locking down the whole world.
Private citizen	An international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should recognize and allow for the national sovereignty and autonomy of each country to tailor a pandemic response that aligns with local needs, conditions, and legal rights of the citizenry.
Privat person	An international instrument on pandemic preparedness must respect each person's rights and choices about their body, must provide help when desired and necessary, must give recommendations, but must in no case and in any form exercise coercion, either as a prohibition or as a command.
Privat	An international instrument on pandemic preparedness must respect each person's rights and choices about their body, must provide help when desired and necessary, must give recommendations, but must in no case and in any form exercise coercion, either as a prohibition or as a command.
German Lawyers Association AfA	An international instrument should ban vaccination passes for ever, and all vaccination related data needs to be protected as private and not to be stored by any government. The right to cross any borders must not be related to vaccination status or health status. It should not be allowed to even ask for any of this statuses. Any discrimination because of a specific health status needs to be banned. People, companies or organization which earn money through dealing with vaccination related issues should be obliged to disclose any earnings in a transparent way, also to disclose their connections to other companies or organizations. Governments are not allowed to restrict any human right to secure personal health. It should not be allowed to reduce freedom rights and human rights for any reasons, especially should it be forbidden to any government to restrict any human right because of anti pandemic maesurements. Human rights are untouchable, now and forever!
USA citizen	An international instrument should have suggestions, but with no negative consequences if countries don't want to follow those suggestions. Each country and each local area needs to make their own decisions. Global companies that will profit from the decisions should not have any say in the deicions.
None	An international instrument suggests that national sovereignty may be usurped. The people of each sovereign nation participated in a democratic election, including voting on a manifesto including healthcare at a national level; safeguards must be in place to prevent an unelected body having the power to override national interests. A fundamental right of all people should be true informed consent. The Declaration of Helsinki must be upheld. Any pandemic response must prioritise this consent & treatment based on the medical need of the individual. All aspects of life must be considered when assessing a pandemic response, not solely avoidance of the disease in question: impact on & protection of education, business, mental health, right to free movement, access to healthcare, etc. An appropriate assessment of actual & relative risk must be performed, with any treatment given based on need of the individual; sacrifices of the low risk to protect the high risk must be carefully assessed (& avoided).
MBS	An international organization has no right to dictate local conditions because: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Market Breakthrough Specialists	An international organization must NOT dictate because: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	An international pandemic instrument needs to be open and transparent with the understanding that one size does not fit all, and must include the ability of every individual person in the world to have an option to opt out of any part of the agreement as they see fit, with no consequences. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Again, nations, local municipalities, and individuals must be able to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit. The pharmaceutical industry and its representatives must be recused from any involvement.
Canadian	An international pandemic response needs to include release of actual data related to vaccine adverse events which are being withheld by the producers. That we need court orders and freedom of information requests to access the data is in a word, astonishing. Please consider reporting adverse events on a single website in a timely fashion in order that people can make informed decisions about their lives and those of their loved ones.
Marie Rodriguez, ND PLLC	An international pandemic response should prioritize: 1) Prevention Health Optimization through diet, lifestyle, natural and traditional methods of treat 2) Early treatment that is accessible to ALL citizens. Repurposed medications and natural medicines as top priority 3) Each county remaining sovereign in their decision making regarding pandemic approach 4) NO overarching public health policy that was used in Covid should be repeated. The limitations on freedom, the censorship if safe and effective early treatment resulted in excessive deaths as well as damage to the economy and mental health that we will suffering from for years to come.
individual	An international pandemic treaty should retain the sovereignty of each nation and not take precedence over individual national constitutions. It shall retain the health sovereignty of individual nations, citizens, and people living in the country.
None	An international response is NOT needed. Please leave us alone and let every country decide for themselves.
me	An international treaty is totally unneccesary, and extremely undesireable, because a "one-size-fits-all" approach to health is inappropriate. A treaty would mean each person covered by it would lose control of his or her own health measures. One size does not fit all.
Medical Scientist	An international treaty on pandemic preparedness and response is not required at all. The world is too large and has too different climatic regions and seasons to make a global approach necessary. As the "Covid pandemic" has shown, it was less a true epidemic but a world media pandemic, triggered by interested organisations. Without the media hype, nobody would have recognised a catastrophy. Any such treaty would undermine freedom, democracy, and rule of law. For the benefit of few. No for such treaty, yes for freedom, democracy and rule of law - and humanity!
Not representing any organisation other than my family	An international treaty seems inappropriate and unnecessary. I am concerned for loss of freedoms, nuance, customised approaches to unique situations. I am very cautious about a treaty that could allow pharmaceutical corporate interests to have influence in global government policy. In my observation during Covid-19, Big Pharma has had undue influence to the detriment of public health.
private	An international, mandatory pandemic treaty – must forbid mandatory vaccines, – must prohibit discriminatory measures, – must in no way take precedence over national constitutional law. A fundamental condition for a pandemic treaty is that 100% of WHO funding is provided by the member states; any private funding must be prohibited well in advance. Vaccinations and medications used during a pandemic state must be purchased at cost, liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted from producers to member states. All measures must consider all public health interests (comprehensive global health impact assessment). All decision-making bases must be fully transparent and undergo a peer-review process.
Northestern University	An open and transparent notification of the existence of a pandemic, its origin, and method of transmission needs to be provided globally. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The right of nations, states, provinces, and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without adverse consequence must be guaranteed. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, the CDC, the NIH, or NIAID or other global health profiteers. A promise of no harm to dissenters from the scientific and medical community who shall be free to publish in professional, lay literature, or any type of media whether paper or digital media.
Non	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people around the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Without an unbiased democratic process any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid .
Individual	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on . This should include fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Health issues should be decided by the people .
Retired RN	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority do not want it.
U.S. Citizen	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it must be a part of this agreement
US Citizen	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it must be part of this
None	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
N/A	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
(Sarasota Ballett)	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Public	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
General public	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Variant BG LTD	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
citizen	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Independent	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
general public	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Individual	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.

Organization	Written submission
None	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We are living breathing beings and deserve respect and we have unleanable rights which no man can take from another. #stopthetreaty
privat	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual .Citizen of the U.K	An open and transparent process with the ability for all people the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. National and Local leadership retain full Autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and control their own health. The ability of Nations and local Municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement astray seem fit without consequence. Measures that do not allow for Influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers.
Stakeholder in world health	An unelected 'health' body has no place in the governance of countries, especially over health matters. A world health organisation may gather / offer information and advisory guidance, but this must be where its role must STOP. No singular body has rights to take lawful powers over and above those of a country's own constitution, and their populations, nor to impose medical decisions and policies upon them. Any pandemic preparedness should learn from, and take account of, historic experiences as well as openly-debated science, without censorship and denial of those scientists/doctors who bring other views, evidence-based studies to the discussion. Doctors must be able to bring their successful treatments to the platforms, allowing people to choose what/how/whether they may be treated. The covid-19 pandemic response was disastrous. Failed global policies (lockdowns, mask-regulations, vaccines, medical mandates) caused more destruction and death than the virus itself. UK study: "Lockdowns are ill-founded and should be rejected as a pandemic policy instrument. They have contributed to reducing economic activity, raising unemployment, reducing schooling, causing political unrest, contributing to domestic violence, and undermining liberal democracy". Health policies and pandemic protocols belong to the constitutional powers in each independent country. These must not be overruled by any global organisation seeking lawful powers over democratically appointed governments who must operate openly, honestly, and subject to the scrutiny and accountability of the populations that instate them. Historic pandemic protocols should not be summarily dismissed by world health bodies but rather lessons learned from them.
Self	An unelected international organization should NOT have the power dictate policy - even in a global pandemic. It can make recommendations, but there should be no punitive action if a local government decides not to follow it. Every individual has their own unique situation and a one size fits all policy does NOT work. In fact, a person's own doctor's input is vital and is most important.
Orthodox Church in America	An unelected, unaccountable bunch of bureaucrats unduly influenced by the money big corporations and the rich provide cannot be permitted to exercise medical dictatorship over the world's people. Nothing good will come of that. The power will be abused. Therefore, in any "instrument" must include and affirm that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. No measures will allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Human beings made in the image of God are not to be subjected to any medical procedures, medicines, therapies, shots, etc., without their consent and may refuse any treatment without prejudice in exercise of their God-given right to govern their own bodies in responsibility before God.
individual	An unelected, unaccountable political lobbying group acting in the interests of the pharmaceutical industry - namely, the World "Health" Organization - has no right to be dictating policy to any democratically - elected government. This is the very definition of overreach; corporate "welfare" should NEVER come before the welfare of the people - EVER!
Individual	An unelected, unanswerable and faceless global organisation has no role in deciding what I can and cannot do in any circumstances. Who I see and what medical interventions I do or do not consent to are my own concern and human right. There is no circumstance under which any such organisation should be able to define my ability to travel freely, see who I wish and live my live free from intervention and disruption by an organisation that has no democratic accountability directly to myself.
Private	And an unelected body must not have control over peoples health decisions. It is necessary: For national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. For nations and local municipalities to have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. To have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. For the adoption of measures, which do not allow for influence in the process, by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Peggy Appel
Nurse	Anna Darling Brådskande att skriva på detta innan deadlinen kl 16 idag!! Firstly I want to object to treatment of the eventual existence of the legislation as an a priori assumption. Your question is what should be included in the treaty, not should the treaty exist at all. That said, any future treaty should unequivocally include the following: • the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty. The WHO should not have the power to overrule countries' governments in any matter. • respect for human rights • legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies - things that have been completely ignored for the last 2 years.
None	From http://thepeoplestreaty.org/ https://www.bitchute.com/video/SucKwMgtNb0W/ "Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
None	Answer: None I do not consent nor will I contract with any pandemic preparedness and response treaty of any form developed by WHO.
Family	Answering the question, "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Ladies and Gentlemen: I urge you to carefully craft any legislation you propose on future pandemic preparedness and response so as to respect the sovereignty of each nation that would fall under its jurisdiction. WHO treaties that remove power from individual nations to exercise decision-making for their own people as they see fit, violate those states' rights to best serve their citizens. Leaders of each nation should retain the right to make health decisions, especially in an emergency situation, since they best know their population and its needs. Any one-size-fits-all approach to public health situations across the globe will fail to take into account the situations present in each individual country. I urge the United States and all other members of the WHO to maintain hold of their individual country's power to make health decisions for themselves. Any legally binding pandemic treaty signed on to by members would remove this right, and should be opposed at all costs. Sincerely, Jean Tretow-Schmitz Madison, WI USA
Global Leaders Group on Antimicrobial Resistance	Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) as a substantive element in the pandemic instrument: AMR is already an insidious pandemic that has been spreading for decades and is associated with almost 5 million deaths annually. In addition to its impact on human health, AMR poses threats to the health of terrestrial and aquatic animals, plants and environmental ecosystems, as well as to food safety and food security. It undermines economies and livelihoods, placing millions of people at risk of poverty. The impact of untreatable drug-resistant pathogens will steadily grow without a sustained, long-term response. Approaches and interventions to prevent, prepare for and respond to pandemics have co-benefits for the response to AMR and vice versa. The pandemic instrument should be grounded in a One Health approach that tackles the multiple health threats arising at the human-animal-environmental interface. Furthermore, effective infection prevention and control across sectors will prevent and mitigate infectious disease and AMR risk. The instrument should facilitate needs-driven research and development for anti-infectives, including new antimicrobials (particularly antibiotics), vaccines, diagnostics, waste management tools, and safe and effective alternatives to antimicrobials. All people should have equitable and appropriate access to antimicrobials as global goods. The instrument should promote responsible and sustainable use of antimicrobials. Finally, mechanisms must be in place to sustainably finance all measures required under the pandemic instrument.
Global Leaders Group on AMR	Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) as a substantive element in the pandemic instrument: AMR is already an insidious pandemic that has been spreading for decades and is associated with almost 5 million deaths annually. In addition to its impact on human health, AMR poses threats to the health of terrestrial and aquatic animals, plants and environmental ecosystems, as well as to food safety and food security. It undermines economies and livelihoods, placing millions of people at risk of poverty. The impact of untreatable drug-resistant pathogens will steadily grow without a sustained, long-term response. Approaches and interventions to prevent, prepare for and respond to pandemics have co-benefits for the response to AMR and vice versa. The pandemic instrument should be grounded in a One Health approach that tackles the multiple health threats arising at the human-animal-environmental interface. Furthermore, effective infection prevention and control across sectors will prevent and mitigate infectious disease and AMR risk. The instrument should facilitate needs-driven research and development for anti-infectives, including new antimicrobials (particularly antibiotics), vaccines, diagnostics, waste management tools, and safe and effective alternatives to antimicrobials. All people should have equitable and appropriate access to antimicrobials as global goods. The instrument should promote responsible and sustainable use of antimicrobials. Finally, mechanisms must be in place to sustainably finance all measures required under the pandemic instrument.
Spanish Medicinal Agency	Antimicrobial resistance must be a substantive element of the international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. Life-saving antibiotics revolutionized our society and economy. Previously deadly diseases became routine illnesses, requiring little more than a brief treatment. These achievements are now at risk mainly because of the excessive or inappropriate use of antimicrobials. It is a silent pandemic. Antibiotic resistance imperils global health, with multi-drug resistant bacterial infections accounting for over 33,000 deaths in Europe alone in 2015. Results from a recent study on the global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance (AMR) show that in 2019 there were an estimated 4.95 million deaths associated with bacterial AMR in the world. A ONE HEALTH approach with the animal and human sector, animal or plant -derived food and environment is the only way to fight against AMR. As is stated by the AMR Global Leaders Group, addressing AMR and pandemic prevention, preparedness and response together will optimize synergies and contribute to increased impact, efficiency, cost-effectiveness and harmonization in global health and food and water safety and security.
Electrohealth	Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must have the agreement of every person in the nation concerned before any goverment may hand over any rights to control the personal lives and activities of the said persons, to any extranational organisation.
Grey Power Timaru	Any treaty between sovereign nations should only relate to the full and truthful sharing of any and all information, and on a regular time interval, relating to - status and progress of the measured pandemic situation in the particular nation, in particular - all the effective treatment methods employed in that nation, - all the effective preventative measures employed in the nation -all the progressive knowledge gained on accurate capturing of these information sets. The only guidance (not absolute requirement) from the international forum should be in the standardising of the definitions of all tests, data collection methods and result sets that make international comparisons valid. The ability of nations to self determine best practise for their citizens is sacrosant.
3Sisters Sustainable Management, Inc.	Any treaty regarding preparedness for a pandemic can and should not include mandated actions that override the sovereign rights of nations and the sovereign rights of individuals as is clearly upheld in common law. Economically interested parties need to be transparent about their interests in regard to suggested panaceas and should abstain from voting on matters that affect them beneficially from a financial perspective. Well proven methods that are known to strengthen the human immune system and support it such as ayurvedic, Chinese herbal medicines, acupuncture, homeopathy and naturopathy, should always be allowed and individual doctors and healers should be encouraged to develop new remedies and protocols without facing opposition by a centralized power such as WHO or the CDC. This is how Science evolves.
individual	Any "agreement" should be used only to collect international data for the purpose of providing information/non-mandatory guidance to each country. It should allow for each country to decide it's own response to the pandemic as every country is unique. and no one organization should have that amount of power over the international community; otherwise there is too much opportunity for interference and corruption. No agreement should supersede a country's constitution or bill of rights protecting it's citizens from governmental or "agreement" overreach.
Daikaiju enterprises Ltd.	Any "instrument" must preserve the right of individuals to make or withhold informed consent before receiving any medical treatment or procedure. This means that each person must retain the right to accept or refuse medical intervention based on their own interpretation of available information without any coercion or threat of punishment or loss of rights, even if promised to be temporary. Any instructions from the WHO must be advisory only. Pharmaceutical companies must not be involved in the process other than providing data, said data must be verified independently, and all data used by the WHO must be publicly available for assessment by anyone that wishes to access it.
Private citizen	Any "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" must exist in the form of suggested guidance only. It must never override, interfere with, or in any way undermine, either directly or indirectly, any individual nation's own determination of the most appropriate response for its own citizens. Each nation must retain complete control over its own health policy, as well as any other national policy. Sanctions or penalties of any kind should never be imposed on nations that choose policies and responses differing from those proposed by an "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". Communities must always retain control over their own health.
No affiliation	Any "international instrument" should allow for local autonomy, without penalty. I echo this sentiment, "An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it." Also, please do not allow stakeholders who will profit to be in a decision making, or influencing, position.
Man	Any "treaty" or agreement needs to include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Romanesque Films	Any action regarding health should be on a national and local level, and definitely not on a global scale. Every country should have the right to asses the right measures and protocols for its citizens regarding public health, as the conditions and circumstances vary from country to country, be it natural (climate, geography, density of population etc.) or social (health care systems, level of wealth of the populations, level of education etc). Constitutions on a national levels have predicted what needs to be done to protect public health, and constitutions are the result of democratic processes historically for each and every country around the world. Any measure on a worldwide scale which would interfere with constitutions and democratic processes, would create despair and anger among peoples, and would long-term threaten the credibility of any worldwide organization trying to bypass its validity. Any treaty which would pass without addressing individual countries' viewpoints, and which would not involve direct consideration of peoples around the world could be quickly deemed invalid. The WHO should take into consideration that it's handling of the SARS-COV-2 pandemic has been questioned widely. Any further insistence on interference on protocols and policies on a global scale could totally end its credibility.
Herr	any activities are to be regulated autonomously by each member state. No global treaties may be concluded over the national constitution. The autonomy of each state must be preserved.
UK citizen	Any advice from the WHO must recognise that individual countries may respond differently to that advice. One size does not fit all when it comes to health.
self	Any agreement between nations pertaining to pandemic preparedness should uphold each nation's right to determine their own course of action in accord with their country's constitution or other national law. Under no circumstances should any person, group of people, or entity (public, private, or quasi-governmental) dictate how or when a sovereign country must take action or what that action must be. Each country must be allowed the right to respond as it sees fit, including defining what constitutes a pandemic, travel across its borders in such times, acceptable treatments, etc... There must be no coercion or sanctions to try to sway a country to any course of action it does not wish to pursue. Additionally, all treatments should be explored, not just pharmaceutical ones. Attempts to discredit experts because their professional opinion does not agree with the "official narrative" should be forbidden.
Texas Citizen	Any agreement entered into by the USA must fall with in the guidelines of the US Constitution. There is no person in the USA government elected or appointed that has the authority to sign a document that would infringe or violate the rights and liberties of the citizens. In fact going by 10th amendment the federal government is restricted from entering in any agreement that is not specifically expressed as it's authority in the US Constitution. Any one signing such a document should immediately be investigated for Treason, Misprision of Treason, and Sedition. All right must be maintained with in the state and local levels to be ratified by the citizens of those communities.
private citizen	Any agreement must contain the right for the nation and local government to make it's own health decisions. The agreement must contain the ability to opt out without penalty. The agreement must maintain an individuals human and civil rights and bodily autonomy and the right to refuse any and all medical inteventions. The agreement must not allow any influence or input from pharmaceutical companies.
individual	Any agreement must include the following caveats - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian taxpayer	Any agreement must include the following elements: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired, U.S. citizen	Any agreement must include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
health care provider	Any agreement must NOT give up local control and autonomy. Nations should not give up sovereign rights and responsibilities to safeguard the health and welfare of their citizens. To that end, any agreement should have features such as: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that remove financially conflicted entities, both corporate and NGO, from WHO governance processes
Self	Any agreement must respect the autonomy of all national and subnational governments to opt out or tailor their responses for their own people, free of coercion or penalty. Must include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Must include measures to identify and restrict influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Any agreement must respect the rights of all individuals to opt out of any and all mandates, laws, treaties, dictates, treatments, etc from a global, national, regional and local level that infringe on their bodily autonomy, their health care choices, their right to pursue an honest living, their right to freely associate, their right to free movement and in general their right to liberty. Secondly, and subordinate to the individual, the rights of local, regional and national governments, in that order of priority and autonomy, to opt out of any and all mandates, laws, treaties, dictates, etc agreed upon in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Finally, the rights of all individuals and organizations, whether expert or layman, to express and share evidence, citations, interviews, opinions, etc that may or may not agree with "the science" or the "consensus" without retribution or censorship.
Private	Any agreement must respect the rights of all individuals to opt out of any and all mandates, laws, treaties, dictates, treatments, etc from a global, national, regional and local level that infringe on their bodily autonomy, their health care choices, their right to pursue an honest living, their right to freely associate, their right to free movement and in general their right to liberty. Secondly, and subordinate to the individual, the rights of local, regional and national governments, in that order of priority and autonomy, to opt out of any and all mandates, laws, treaties, dictates, etc agreed upon in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Finally, the rights of all individuals and organizations, whether expert or layman, to express and share evidence, citations, interviews, opinions, etc that may or may not agree with "the science" or the "consensus" without retribution or censorship.
Individal	Any agreement on pandemic response must allow national & local leadership to retain their autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people & communities. Further, local municipalities should be free to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, based on local data and conditions. The agreement must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This agreement must be developed via an open and transparent process and include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of said agreement in places where a majority of the people don't want it.
Self	Any agreement should allow States and nations the ability to it to make rules that are appropriate for their own their own constituents. There should not be a global one size fits all.
McGill University	Any agreement should be non-binding to member states. Member states should always be able to overrule any WHO suggestions and to implement their own solutions to any critical health issue. This is the best approach because competition, and individualized approaches foster innovation and will be the fastest avenue towards identifying the best solutions towards global health problems. In many respects, such an approach is very similar to evolution, e.g. virus evolution, where many variants crop up randomly all over the world, but only more infectious (more successful) variants will take hold and will outcompete previous variants. One-size-fits-all approaches are extremely dangerous, because in the case of failure, there is no fallback plan, there are no alternative options.
Personal	Any agreement should be that which is ultimately voted for by individual citizens via their sovereign democratic process. It needs to take account of national needs and health provisions. Such huge responsibilities should be in the hands of national governments which can be monitored by and accountable to its citizens.
Aycock Enterprises, Inc	Any agreement should include : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WHO	Any agreement should include a "bill of rights", which includes guarantees that 1. No one may be compelled, through force or threat of any kind, to accept any test, drug, therapy, or medical procedure. 2. Only those proven to be ill with a deadly, contagious disease may be quarantined, and no one may be presumed to be ill, without due process of law. 3. All existing international codes, including the Nuremberg Code and the Biological Weapons Convention, must be strictly enforced. 4. All government and international organization actions and records regarding health measures, including the related actions and records of private individuals, companies and other organizations contracted by governments and international organizations, must be open to unfettered review by all individuals. These provisions will be enforceable by fines, jail, and declaration of war. Also, 5. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 6. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 7. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 8. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Any agreement should include a "bill of rights", which includes guarantees that; 1) No one may be compelled, through force or threat of any kind, to accept any test, drug, therapy, or medical procedure, 2) Only those proven to be ill with a deadly, contagious disease may be quarantined, and no one may be presumed to be ill, without due process of law, 3) All existing international codes, including the Nuremberg Code and the Biological Weapons Convention, must be strictly enforced, and 4) All government and international organization actions and records regarding health measures, including the related actions and records of private individuals, companies and other organizations contracted by governments and international organizations, must be open to unfettered review by all individuals. These provisions will be enforceable by fines, jail, and declaration of war.
Self	Any agreement should include the following: - All calls for comments must allow reasonable time to respond. - Nations and subdivision of nations retain the right to disagree with and opt out of WHO promulgations. - Commercial interests must not affect WHO determinations. - Scientific consensus must not be assumed. All credentialed scientists must be allowed to participate in free discussion and debate.
Retired Professor	Any agreement should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Researcher	Any agreement should not circumvent undermine or derogate the sovereignty of member countries esp so the WHO is not an elected body and is heavily influenced by private NGOs. Its policies and interventions in this pandemic from the start in 2020 upto the present fundamentally showed it was not prepared for it and had no coherent plan.its reactions were delayed, confused and contrary to the prevailing science. The one size fits all policies and guidance of WHO in this pandemic and the ongoing surges in highly vaccinated countries, the increasing deaths among the vaccinated reveals that it hesitated even ignored possible pre hospital therapeutics which could hve lessened the number of deaths and put all its support behind vaccination which was less than a silver bullet to prevent transmission, infection even death in the delta and omicron era. Any agreement should be based on transparency allowing independent and critical appreciation of any global problem where countries upto the municipal level are consulted and the influence of big tech and big pharma will not be allowed to direct the global response.WHO should show its transparency and, credibility as a global leader in managing infectious and emerging disease.
Self	Any agreement to this should protect the freedom of the individual to accept or reject any therapies involved. Those who are well should not be restricted (quarantined) or have their freedom of movement hampered. Thank you.
Retired	Any agreement wit the WHO must be limited, where counties make their own conclusions and are sovereign and make their decisions about health and actions to be taken on pandemics and other health matters. Recommendations and data can be given but each country has the final say.
None	Any agreement would need: 1) Local and national leadership retaining full autonomy. 2) Ability for local municipalities to opt out of any portions of the agreement. 3) Failsafe measures to prevent application of the treaty where the majority do not agree with it. 4) Transparent tracking of any fiscal benefits accrued by any members, petitioners, etc. to the agreement.
Youth With A Mission	Any agreements made with any nation must not usurp the innate right of any subject, great or small, to choose for bodily autonomy. This choice is granted representation by governing authorities only through upright electoral processes and not via transfer in any treaty. The W.H.O. is given no such right by the individuals it serves. The W.H.O. shall remain subject to the will of the people at all times and forever.

Kyrgyzstan	[illegible]
Laos	[illegible]
Latvia	[illegible]
Lebanon	[illegible]
Lesotho	[illegible]
Liberia	[illegible]
Libya	[illegible]
Lithuania	[illegible]
Luxembourg	[illegible]
Madagascar	[illegible]
Malawi	[illegible]
Malaysia	[illegible]
Maldives	[illegible]
Mali	[illegible]
Malta	[illegible]
Marshall Islands	[illegible]
Mauritania	[illegible]
Mauritius	[illegible]
Mexico	[illegible]
Micronesia (Federated States of)	[illegible]
Monaco	[illegible]
Mongolia	[illegible]
Montenegro	[illegible]
Morocco	[illegible]
Mozambique	[illegible]
Myanmar	[illegible]
Namibia	[illegible]
Nauru	[illegible]
Nepal	[illegible]
Netherlands	[illegible]
New Zealand	[illegible]
Nicaragua	[illegible]
Niger	[illegible]
Nigeria	[illegible]
North Korea	[illegible]
North Macedonia	[illegible]
Norway	[illegible]
Oman	[illegible]
Pakistan	[illegible]
Palau	[illegible]
Panama	[illegible]
Papua New Guinea	[illegible]
Paraguay	[illegible]
Peru	[illegible]
Philippines	[illegible]
Poland	[illegible]
Portugal	[illegible]
Qatar	[illegible]
Romania	[illegible]
Russia	[illegible]
Rwanda	[illegible]
Saint Kitts and Nevis	[illegible]
Saint Lucia	[illegible]
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	[illegible]
Samoa	[illegible]
San Marino	[illegible]
Sao Tome and Principe	[illegible]
Saudi Arabia	[illegible]
Senegal	[illegible]
Serbia	[illegible]
Seychelles	[illegible]
Sierra Leone	[illegible]
Singapore	[illegible]
Slovakia	[illegible]
Slovenia	[illegible]
Solomon Islands	[illegible]
Somalia	[illegible]
South Africa	[illegible]
South Korea	[illegible]
South Sudan	[illegible]
Spain	[illegible]
Sri Lanka	[illegible]
Sudan	[illegible]
Suriname	[illegible]
Sweden	[illegible]
Switzerland	[illegible]
Syrian Arab Republic	[illegible]
Tajikistan	[illegible]
Tanzania	[illegible]
Thailand	[illegible]
Timor-Leste	[illegible]
Togo	[illegible]
Tonga	[illegible]
Trinidad and Tobago	[illegible]
Tunisia	[illegible]
Turkey	[illegible]
Turkmenistan	[illegible]
Tuvalu	[illegible]
Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates	[illegible]
United Kingdom	[illegible]
United States of America	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela	[illegible]
Vietnam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]

Organization	Written submission
Individual	Any international body overseeing a pandemic response should respect the rights of an individual to decide on a course of treatment for his or her illness that corresponds to their religious and spiritual beliefs and biochemical individuality. No mandated vaccinations. Early treatments using available drugs, nutrients and herbs should be easily available. Any health board should include herbalists, nutritionists, exercise physiologists, acupuncturists, naturopaths and homeopaths along with epidemiologists, psychologists, medical doctors and nurses. Any pandemic response should avoid the detrimental effects of lockdowns and masking, especially for youth. A robust discussion among medical professionals should happen freely without fear of losing livelihoods. Prompt medical care and financial support should be given to all vaccine injured people along with those afflicted by the contagion. Media should be truthful, free of pharmaceutical financing, and exemplify respect for differing approaches and points of view.
Individual	Any international directives regarding health of individuals especially in pandemic situations should be developed by current Medical professionals who are working in the field developing protocols based on effective results with respect to the current situation and be based on accurate science of the situation currently being dealt with. These directives must not be bureaucratic edicts based on political or monetary agendas. There have been far too much of both of these in the current pandemic.
Private Citizen	Any international guidelines or policy for pandemic preparedness should include: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Beate Stengg	Any international instrument for pandemic preparedness must not overrule the sovereignity of individual states or the human rights of the individual. Therefore it cannot be mandatory by definition, only voluntary. There must not be mandatory vaccinations. Politicians and the Pharma should be made liable for any measures and vaccines and the consequences if their implementation.
Interested public	Any international instrument must make provisions for the retention of full autonomy at the national level. This is in order to ensure democratically elected leaders are implementing such an international instrument in a manner best suited to the country they serve. Anything less devalues and undermines the democratic imperative. Following on from this, any international instrument must be available for VOLUNTARY IMPLEMENTATION or PART IMPLEMENTATION. No component of such an instrument must be tied to sanctions or disciplinary consequences of any kind. Further, any international instrument must be well-guarded against the influence of vested political and/or commercial interest. For this reason it should be completely transparent and open to frequent review and amendment by contributions from any and all members of the UN. Any 'experts' employed in the design and implementation of such an instrument should be thoroughly vetted for conflict of interest both current and in the past and should be contractually bound not to fraternize with commercial and political interest groups while working for the WHO.
No organisation	Any international instrument needs to hold pharmaceutical companies liable for any adverse events of any of it's products, whether it be medicines or vaccines or gene therapies. It needs to ban all non-liability clauses. Reports in adverse event reporting systems like VAERS and Eudravigilance need to be investigated completely and in a timely manner. If the reports are not treated or investigated completely and in a timely manner, then adverse events reported need to be legally considered as true until proven false, and not the other way around. As there is always some risk to any product, medical choice and freedom needs to be protected. True informed consent and bodily autonomy needs to protected. Health decisions need to be made as close to home as possible. The human right to choose what goes in one's own body is an inalianable right and needs to be recognized above any local, state, federal, or international law. This right needs to be protected and not penalized by other laws that deprive people of their jobs and livelihood if they assert this inalianable right to bodily autonomy. Thus any law needs to be subservient to this right to medical freedom and bodily autonomy.
General Public	Any international instrument of pandemic preparedness and response should include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Steve Hill
Individual	Any international instrument on health should not provide for a central authority to dictate policy to countries. As demonstrated by this past "pandemic" there is no consensus on how matters should be handled and results have varied. Therefore, any instrument should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must be rejected out of hand if it fails to include the following: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must crucially be based on the human rights of (bodily)autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. Collective decisions can not take precedents over individual pillars of human rights as above. Governments should expand hospital capacities in general as well as emergency capacities, and strengthen the healthcare worker base by improving working conditions and salaries. Any governments, institutions and/or organisations involved in the instruments must be fully liable and accountable for their actions. Crucially the overall health and wellbeing of society must be prioritized and improved not just in an emergency, we must fight obesity, chronic disease etc.
self-employed	Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include ALL available remedies for treating the illness, and not just man-made drugs that are labelled vaccines. We must honor all cultures and societies that have trusted and proven remedies using natural substances and address underlying health issues that compromise individuals who are then infected with an illness that further taxes the immune system. We must start NOW by educating people on how underlying health of the body is a REQUISITE for surviving any and all environmentally transmitted illness.
I do not represent or have affiliation to any organization. I am speaking on m	Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include the sovereignty of any nation and local government to make the best decisions for their people based on the local situation, their culture and values. Any response must be based on an open transparent scientific process where individuals can question the response without censorship in any form and include frequent independent reviews of the outcomes. The process should bar any pharmaceutical company (or anyone else who stands to profit from the response) from having any influence on creating the response. There is no one size fits all approach for healthcare and public health. Individuals should be assured of bodily autonomy and the ability to accept or reject any recommendations or treatments without coercion or repercussions of any kind. There should be assurances that no medical interventions will ever be required for employment, school, access to finances, or participation in any aspect of daily life. Any testing should be clinically appropriate and non-invasive and should not be applied to any individual who are asymptomatic. Natural immunity should be recognized and be considered equal or better than any recommended intervention. Any response should prevent the implementation of harmful lockdowns and restrictions of movement. The organization or individuals who are responsible for creating any response should be held accountable and liable for any harms resulting from the response. Any international instrument should preserve the religious freedoms of all and should value human rights above all.
Private Individual	Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must respect national and regional leadership which typically reflects the democratic wishes of the electorate Please note that UK pandemic preparedness plans were ignored during the recent COVID19 pandemic, instead the health authorities relied on Non-Pharmaceutic Interventions expressly excluded from government plans. This must not be allowed to occur again and will not be assisted by yet more layers of guidance, however well-meaning Nations must be able to develop and follow their own pandemic preparedness / response guidelines without undue influence or even interference from WHO or other international bodies In every case (national and international) the pandemic preparedness/response processes and procedures MUST be open to independent and public scrutiny and must include the identification of any lobby groups / influencers, particularly pharmaceutical companies and similar. Please note that some pharmaceutical companies have demonstrated a a willingness to ignore national laws when promoting their products (CF: https://violationtracker.goodjobsfirst.org/industry/pharmaceuticals)
Individual response.	Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response needs to treat all humans as individuals with free will. Discrimination on the basis of vaccination must be prohibited, as participation in society is for all humans. Also, the right for informed consent from each individual before any medical procedure, including vaccination, is essential. Each individual must have the freedom to make decisions about their own body, without this freedom, we are vulnerable to coercion and intimidation and may become slaves to the will of others. I believe that any preparations and responses to a pandemic need to respect the free will of individuals. I believe the WHO should provide uncensored information to all, that includes the best available information about medicines, vaccinations and practices that protect the health and well-being of all humans.
Blue Avians	Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should absolutely guarantee the human right of individual bodily autonomy and the right to choose treatment of illness and medical practitioner, should promote natural health and the detoxification of global operating systems as an apriori to health, should guarantee the sovereignty of individual nation states, should nurture open scientific discourse, education and debate, should protect the world from premeditated corporate interests and their subsequent authoritarian implementation (fascism), should protect the integrity of the natural human genome and prohibit the use of mRNA injection technology to avoid the aggravation of the disaster we now face from the experimental COVID-19 mass gene therapy injections imposed by the blackmail and connivance of national and global authorities and should not promote and support an imposed technocratic philosophy that usurps the natural order, lifestyle and the survival and continuance of natural humans.
Representing myself and my family	Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be a guidance document only and not require mandatory participation of any nation. Health care is, by definition, an individualized service and so each locality, state and nation needs to be able to retain the flexibility to make their own decisions as it pertains to their specific populations. The instrument should include the right of every nation or local municipality to opt out of any or all portions of this agreement without suffering any adverse consequence. Measures should only be implemented with the agreement of the vast majority of the individuals whom it affects and this vote should be performed in a transparent and open way. Any entity, including pharmaceutical companies or other corporations which stand to benefit financially from this response should not be included in the decision making process, but rather any measures recommended should be done so by independent scientists and doctors. Ultimately, all health decisions need to remain autonomous, with each locality making the final decision for themselves.
None	Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response would necessitate an open and transparent process in which a country's right to contribute to drafting such an instrument, without the influence of pharmaceutical companies and or other global profiteers, and being able to then put this to it's people in a referendum. A country would also need to retain the right to opt in or out of any aspect of this instrument in keeping with it's own laws and or constitution and needs of it's citizens without consequence. As a citizen of Ireland, with our own constitution, it is essential that we retain our democratic inalienable rights including in the area of health so that an international instrument with a possible 'one size fits all' approach would not override our laws and constitution.
Commonwealth of Australia	Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness must explicitly abide by fundamental obligations to the rights of states, regional and local communities, and individuals. Relevant rights of states and communities include: • rights to remain independent of the instrument and to withdraw from it; • rights to full disclosure of funding arrangements and of real and potential conflicts of interest bearing on any such instrument in development, adoption, or operation; • freedom from political, financial, or other undue pressure in the instrument's development, adoption, or operation; • full transparency of the instrument's development, adoption, and operation; • rights to keep public policy free of corruption by the profit motive. The relevant rights of individuals include: • the rights listed above; and • the rights enshrined in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights as interpreted via the Siracusa Principles, including freedom from coercion to undergo medical or surgical procedures, and in all other applicable human-rights instruments.
General public	Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness would be singularly unhelpful. Varied national responses are the best and fastest and safest route to effective pandemic response. Central planning and authority is the worst possible approach, subjecting large populations to the central planners' "best guess" as to how to respond. No matter how skilled and well intentioned, the central planners stand little chance of choosing the best response, and their guesses must not take the force of law. If there WERE to be such an instrument, it must include the following points: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able/authorized to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at their own sole discretion, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process on which all the world's people can vote, and must include failsafe measures that will prevent any implementation of the global agreement in any localities where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be specifically prevented from influencing either the creation or implementation of any agreements/processes/measures.
private	Any international instrument on pandemic response should be guided by the following principles: 1. Full autonomy on a national and local level, i.e., the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Clear measures to prevent ANY influence of pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers on the pandemic response process.
Private citizen	Any international instrument on pandemic response should contain the unmistakably explicit statement that the role of the WHO is advisory, that national governments of sovereign nations are the ultimate arbiters of health policy in their territory, their territorial waters and their airspace, and regarding all methods of transport into and out from their territory, their territorial waters and their airspace. The instrument should contain the unmistakably explicit statement that there will be no compulsion on any citizen of any country to carry any form of identification to prove "healthy" status, and that any government that seeks to encourage the voluntary carrying of such identification, shall not seek to discriminate between residents of its territory on the basis of such identification. The instrument should contain the unmistakably explicit statement that there will never be any obligation to undergo any compulsory vaccination within a territory as part of a claimed response to any alleged "pandemic", and that refusal of any such vaccination is to be respected as the legitimate right of any and all residents of that territory. The instrument should contain the unmistakably explicit statement that the use of any testing method, claimed to be reliable for the determination of "infected" persons, shall not be obligated upon any resident of any territory in the world. The instrument should contain the unmistakably explicit statement that any government policies labelled as "public health measures" are based on accepting belief in the theory of contagion.
Personal	Any international instrument regarding pandemic preparedness should be focused on sharing data. An international organization like WHO or any other entity should be an information gathering and sharing body. Decisions regarding how to respond to a health crisis or pandemic should be left to sovereign nations and their existing manner of governance and decision making. WHO or its equivalent must focus as well on no conflicts of interest with pharmaceutical companies or any entity that stands to substantially benefit from a policy recommendation. Such a policy of conflict of interest must include, but not be limited to, a ban on employing any former employees of pharmaceutical companies as well as hiring clauses that preclude WHO employees from going to work for pharmaceutical companies. Additionally, WHO and its equivalents acting as international advisors in the sphere of infectious disease must not be allowed to accept any payments, funding, donations, contributions, services-in-kind, gifts, or any other potentially entangling monies and services from biotech, pharmaceutical, medical, hi-tech, insurance and other finance companies as well as individuals with ties to such companies that might constitute a conflict-of-interest. Finally, WHO and its equivalents must establish a broad-based board of qualified scientists, doctors, statisticians, and other professionals to carefully review past infectious disease outbreaks and responses, as well as keep such a board on hand to advise in the event of future outbreaks. Such a board must not be funded by WHO or any other outside party, but must be comprised of financially independent individuals with no conflicts-of-interest.
The University of British Columbia	ANY international instrument should allow for local autonomy, sovereignty, and self-governance, including that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Any international instrument should first be presented as a national referendum for the legal citizens of each nation to vote on, and require a supermajority in order to pass. Elected representatives and leaders shall be precluded from voicing any opinion on the matter. The details of each instrument shall be presented in the local vernacular without relying on legal jargon to obfuscate the implications of said instrument. No organization or government agency receiving public funds may discuss or attempt to sway the mind of the public. No media outlet may discuss or attempt to sway the mind of the public. This international instrument must also include language declaring strict punishment for any violation of an individual's bodily autonomy, religious beliefs, or moral objections regardless of the outcome of the referendum. Civil and criminal penalties can be brought again all local and state agencies, as well as the governing body of the World Health Organization and all individuals therein. Individual regions and communities retain the inalienable right to opt out of any international instrument and determine what is most appropriate based upon the needs of the population and the recommendations of the local health officials. These local determinations do not supersede the previous declaration of an individual's bodily autonomy or right to their personal religious or moral objections.
none	Any international instrument that addresses pandemic preparedness and response should include provisions that allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy over all medical and health decisions, regulations, and responses, in both emergency and non-emergency health situations. I believe it is critical that they should reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Additionally, it should include the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. All efforts to address pandemic preparedness and response should always be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Lastly, I believe it is extremely important that we safeguard against any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other local, national, or health entities that stand to make a profit from any and of the pandemic responses or preparedness measures adopted.
Cerise PhotoArtistry	Any international instrument that addresses pandemic preparedness and response should include provisions that allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy over all medical and health decisions, regulations, and responses, in both emergency and non-emergency health situations. I believe it is critical that they should reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Additionally, it should include the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. All efforts to address pandemic preparedness and response should always be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Lastly, I believe it is extremely important that we safeguard against any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other local, national, or health entities that stand to make a profit from any and of the pandemic responses or preparedness measures adopted.
none	Any international organisation cannot be above independency of every country. No person should be tied with rules that are being stated in the name of care of their health and safety. Each person is responsible for their own, and if WHO thinks it has knowledge what could help people at any matter including about their health then this knowledge should be shared, advised, recommended and every person can use their own brain to decide what is better and what is worse. No one shall be forced to anything for their good. Otherwise we withdraw the most crucial point of wisdom and experience - allowing people to make mistakes and learn from their own mistakes and mistakes of others. The knowledge should be shared between countries. And if one country officials make mistakes others can see the outcome and learn from mistakes. If there will be no diversity in approach to any situation between countries it will mean that as long as directives are good all will be good, but if for once the World ruling organisation will fail, whole human race will fail and there might be no one who could learn from your mistakes.
Self	Any international organization attempting to dictate behavior of individuals in any country, and especially the United States, is anti-ethical to the founding of this country. We will not allow an elite bureaucracy to dictate how we live our lives. Individuals, communities and states are the closest to the unique situations in the US and should have the ability to make their own decisions. The one thing that we should have learned from COVID is that a one-size fits all response, even within a single country, tends to not work. A WHO mandated response would exacerbate this effect 10-fold. The WHO should instead concentrate on ensuring that all member countries have the best information about any pandemic situation. This doesn't mean the information you want us to have based on your political views either. The people are not dumb (contrary to what many elites think), and with accurate information can make these decisions on their own. Unfortunately, the COVID experience has soured many against the WHO - multiple mis-steps in putting information out or appearing to favor one view over open dialogue. Without some major re-organization, I don't believe the WHO is a workable organization. Many in the US don't could care less what the WHO says. The other issue to consider is that problem of doctors and health professionals making decisions absent other viewpoints. Maybe the COVID data pointed to lockdowns, maybe they didn't, but if all you consider is that viewpoint and ignore the mental, social, and economic viewpoints, you will make the same mistakes over again. Health policy experts should be involved in these decisions. They have been trained to bring in other factors to the decision making process.
Private Citizen	Any international pandemic plan must recognise the sovereignty of nation states and ensure that parliamentary process is observed when responding to a pandemic threat. The WHO pandemic plan must only be advisory and must not dictate policy directly or via other organisations such as the United Nations to individual nation states. Non pharmaceutical interventions such as lockdowns should never be advised, as current meta analysis from John Hopkins demonstrated no beneficial affects to limiting viral spread. Vaccines must always be a personal decision and never mandated. Pharmaceutical companies must never be involved in the planning and decision process.
none	-Any international pandemic response including vaccination and other treatments must include religious exemption for those who cannot comply due to religious objection to the development, testing or administration of particular vaccines or treatments. -Additionally, people must also not be forced to lockdown from work or school. -Further people should not be forced to observe mask mandates. Masking is well-known to be ineffective against viruses. -Personal freedom must be paramount in all pandemic planning.
Church of England	Any international pandemic treaty MUST include provisions for protections for the individual against the state and supra-national organisations such as the WHO. It must essentially protect the little guys from the big guys. That is what law is for, otherwise it only merges into tyranny and global oppression of the individual on a mass scale. Provisions must be for freedom of speech, individual autonomy, informed consent, freedom of movement within the nation state; transparency about methods such as 'nudge theory' used to control people is essential. Relationships between government and the media must be handled carefully and controlling the press must be resisted. Vaccine mandates must not be a part of this treaty. It should be a treaty of cooperation allowing for the sharing of effective treatments as soon as they are discovered, ensuring that there are no conflicts of interest in those that are recommending such treatments. It is perfectly permissible for nation states to control their own borders to deal with infection and disease. All control must rest with each nation state where normal democratic procedures offer the individual some protection. It is important that people working on this at the WHO realise that people in each nation state can mobilise and organise resistance to attempts to dominate made by a non-elected body. It is very important that the multi-national organisations of the world realise their proper place, as servants of the nation-state, which is in turn a servant of the citizens and people within its borders and accountable to them. Do not seek to control. Do not seek to dominate and dictate. Do not overrule national borders and national cultures. It will not end well if you do not listen.
human	Any international pandemic treaty must, by necessity, clearly and explicitly protect the right of the individual to retain ANY and ALL health care decisions. Guidance must be provided in a consistent and transparent manner by local, state, and only then national and international entities. Of critical importance is the protection of the rights of the individual.
Self employed	Any international plan or "instrument" should state that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Any international response needs to reserve for individuals and their local leadership the rights to make decisions based on what is best for themselves and their own people. It would also need to be free from influence by companies and individuals who profit from the decisions.
Cyclops Acceleration	Any international response to a pandemic MUST respect the sovereignty of every nation. While WHO may have the best interest of the population in mind, each nation has its own concerns.
NA	Any international treaty for pandemic preparedness and response should include maintaining the sovereignty of individual countries over their citizens. There is no one-size-fits-all solution for public health.
None - this is my individual statement.	Any international treaty must respect the fundamental human rights as originally declared by the UN. Any medical treatment must be free from pressure and may only be applied under full informed consent of every individual. Any treaty must respect the inner and outer sovereignty of every state. I am against any mandatory international pandemic treaty.
Harvard Medical School	Any International Treaty must respect the laws and sovereignty of nations. Allowing for a diversity of responses enables the human race to learn and adapt and will ultimately improve our chances survival. In this pandemic, some of the best outcomes were in Sweden, which did not follow the consensus, but rather followed the recommendations its public health officials. Any International Treaty must reject must the current practice of censorship that enables group think, poor decision making, and the politicization of health. If there must be a treaty, let it promote transparency, debate, and democratic decision making. Any International Treaty must also support principles of informed consent that protect the inalienable right to bodily autonomy. Without this right, we are slaves for the pharmaceutical industry and our corrupted governments, funded disproportionately by this industry. Without this right, there is no pressure to ensure the development of cheap, safe, and effective treatments. The current practice of vaccine passports has taken world civilization back 2000 years.
private individual	Any international treaty must respect the sovereignty of each and every participating nation. Therefore the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. No measure proposed under the agreement may be applied to any jurisdiction unless and until the population has been given the opportunity to vote on its acceptance. 4. No person or organisation which might profit or benefit in any way from the adoption of particular policies may be involved in negotiating the contents of the treaty. 5. Contents of the treaty should be agreed by representatives of nations, not NGOs.
Self	Any international treaty on pandemic preparedness and response must be coordinative in nature but not obligatory. This instrument is a treaty and should be clearly stated as such. In the US, treaties must be ratified by the President and 2/3 of the Senate. That will not likely happen unless the treaty does not violate the US Constitution. The Constitution places its first eight Amendments above treaties. Thus, an international treaty cannot limit the rights enumerated in and derived from those Amendments to the Constitution.
Myself	Any international treaty on pandemic preparedness should include the following items: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process so that persons within a jurisdiction can vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should be in place to prevent the influence of any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers on pandemic measures.
self	Any international treaty or plan to prevent or deal with pandemics should emphasize control at the local level. Not one size fits all. Each country is unique and must maintain the capability to deal with health emergencies based on the judgement of the local citizens

Organization	Written submission
US citizen	Any international treaty regarding pandemic preparedness must include a clause that allows the rule of law for each member country to prevail. Since I am a US citizen, I need the Constitution of the United States to take preeminence and any treaty formed must be in agreement with it or else be null and void. I would also imagine that citizens of other countries would need their own country's rule of law to remain in effect and also take preeminence as well. I also think that any international treaties regarding pandemic preparedness should be suggestions for countries rather than laws. And certainly should NOT include enforcement measures. We see the dramatic differences in COVID-19 deaths each country experienced BEFORE any vaccines were available. This proves that there are natural differences in the ways each country for whatever reason—maybe its due to unique food, weather, health habits/pressures, etc. Who knows why, but there are definitely natural differences in how each disease affects each country. Therefore every country must be free to choose the best pandemic response for its own individual circumstances.
Personal	Any international treaty to include the following instruments: . National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. . Right of nations & local municipalities to opt out of any & all sections of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. . Open & transparent process with the ability for all humanity to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. . Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any & all pharmaceutical companies or any other global health profiteers. . The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups & organizations are subservient to Inalienable Human Rights. No "emergency" situation can result in the suspension of any of these Inalienable Rights. . The Right to Choose is an Inalienable Right. Bodily autonomy is SACROSANCT. People have a right to refuse. No person, organization or government body has a right to coerce a person to undergo any type of medical treatment or procedure or to consume any substance.
Individual	Any internationational treaty on pandemic preparedness MUST hold the sovereignty of individual informed consent (as contained within the Nuremberg documents), and the constitution of nation states as sacrosanct over unelected global institutions in planning, implementing and executing legal mandates in response to declared pandemics. These rights are well established and have been bought with the blood of countless innocents (in the case of Nuremberg) and patriots (in the case of national constitutions). They provide ample provision for effective action under the mandate of democratic principles and must not be supplanted or undermined under any circumstances. In particular the individual rights to life, liberty (religious and secular) and to the pursuit of happiness through freedom of speech, travel and association must be protected and maintained according to the sovereignty of the individual and of individual nation states.
Private Citizen	Any intervention by the WHO regarding pandemic response must include the right of every person to have freedom of choice as to their response. Mandates and lockdowns must never be imposed again. Proper informed consent must be provided and respected for any who do choose medical intervention.
Member of the general public	Any involvement in such a treaty must include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Reverend	Any known collusion between government health agencies and pharmaceutical companies should disqualify that nation from exercising any global pandemic responses defined in a treaty. The US health agencies have promoted ineffective and unsafe vaccines throughout the COVID-19 pandemic in order promote these vaccines.
Independent Veterinary Surgeon	Any legislation must respect the individual's right to make medical decisions for themselves, and not to be forced or coerced. This is a human right. Forced or coerced vaccination can never be ethical, whatever the situation.
Self employed	Any legislation should include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Dutch People	Any measurement should be based on the principle of trust in individual responsibility and common sense. Stressing the importance of the natural immunity and everything that supports it. Allowing cheap and effective medicines instead of prohibiting people the treatment of their own body with the means they trust. Preventing all interference from the farmaceutical industry. It should be about health and never about money or power.
member of the public	Any measures must be free from any influence of Pharmaceutical Companies or any organisation that can profit from the measures. People should be able to vote for measures so that they do not include measures that most people do not want in any given area or country. Nations must be able to opt out of any measures they do not agree with, with no penalty or consequence for this. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy so that they can opt out of measures that they do not consider are beneficial for their own people.
none	Any measures must include: An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Self	Any medical emergency guidelines need to be created locally not globally.
self	Any member country of the WHO may opt out of any or all of the global agreement, at any time, and make its own final decisions as to what is best for the health and wellbeing of its own people, without interference or consequence. Open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	Any new "international instrument for pandemic preparedness" should include these substantive elements: Establish a platform for doctors and scientists to share Independent Research and collaborate with their colleagues. This action would inform doctors and scientists that their Independent Research is encouraged. This must be the central feature of WHO's contribution. Set clear policies that exclude from policy-making positions anyone who could gain financially from any particular treatment so that the best course(s) of action are put forward – not the most lucrative to any person or group. Obviously, going forward, WHO must accept guidance from people who have medical and scientific training. Establish a clear policy that limits WHO to providing information so that each person and nation may make informed decisions in directing their own health choices.
none	Any new health threat shall be identified and isolated by internationally recognised methods. Any "Instrument" must acknowledge the importance of free.public, televised debate.on any action.to be taken. Any person who wishes to shall be allowed to participate. For the last two years the governments' steering bodies, in the Uk at least, involved psychologists and "nudge units" This is totally unacceptable and unnecessary if there is scientific evidence of a pandemic. Any immunisation programme MUST be voluntary as per the Nuremburg code. Pharmaceutical companies shall publicly publish their trials findings in total and in a timely manner. Pharmaceutical companies shall be obliged to supply products targeting any new health threat AT COST FOR THE DURATION OF THE EMERGENCY. Vaccine adverse reaction agencies i.e. VAERS and YELLOW CARD must publish parameters to determine what level of vaccine damage is acceptable rather than the situation at the moment where, every month regardless of the numbers, they "continue to monitor" the situation.
Personal	Any new health threat shall be identified and isolated by internationally recognised methods. Any "Instrument" must acknowledge the importance of free.public, televised debate.on any action.to be taken. Any person who wishes to shall be allowed to participate. For the last two years the governments' steering bodies, in the Uk at least, involved psychologists and "nudge units" This is totally unacceptable and unnecessary if there is scientific evidence of a pandemic. Any immunisation programme MUST be voluntary as per the Nuremburg code. Pharmaceutical companies shall publicly publish their trials findings in total and in a timely manner. Pharmaceutical companies shall be obliged to supply products targeting any new health threat AT COST FOR THE DURATION OF THE EMERGENCY. Vaccine adverse reaction agencies i.e. VAERS and YELLOW CARD must publish parameters to determine what level of vaccine damage is acceptable rather than the situation at the moment where, every month regardless of the numbers, they "continue to monitor" the situation.
Children's Health Defense	Any new instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must reflect the fact that neither personal health nor public health recommendations and/or policies can be one-size-fits-all.
Myself	Any new instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include the following: 1. The absolute requirement that local leadership at the regional and national level should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The WHO should not impose itself on the sovereignty of independant nations. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process that gives all people of the world the ability to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be strong measures in place that do not allow and indeed prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the general public	Any new instrument should contain within it a guarantee that individual nations retain their own sovereignty at all times concerning what happens within their own borders to its own citizens. It should be clear that any measures advocated by WHO in response to a pandemic are advisory only. Each country should decide for itself how and if they will implement any measure recommended by WHO and there should be no penalty for choosing not to implement any or all recommended measures within the global agreement. For every measure that WHO believes should be implemented, they should publish the evidence base underpinning it. Included must be transparency regarding any potential conflict of interest, for example companies who could profit financially from the guidance should have no influence at all on recommendations, none. Such an instrument also should state overtly the right of a nation to opt out of an existing or future relationship with WHO, an unelected organisation, without consequences. Finally, there should be a process to ascertain whether the citizens of a state actually want the global agreement, and if the majority do not want it, then they should not be subject to it.
Pure Turtle	Any new instrument should respect the freedom of nation states to respond to health emergencies independently of WHO. WHO'S response should be ADVISORY and supportive based on unbiased objective information and truth. Where doubt exists or conflicting medical opinions globally these should be faithfully reproduced for consideration when planning. Health information and responses should include prominent advice on promoting and actively supporting interventions that build the health of citizens such as reduction in obesity, increase in outdoor activity and exercise, meaningful access to good nutrition. Information should be delivered to populations usingcaring calm methods. Fear and propaganda should be actively discouraged so as to reduce collective and individual stress. Pharmaceutical interventions should be advised not mandated and all financial interests declared. Large populations of people should not be experimented on without informed consent. All advice in any instrument should be rigorously and continuously reviewed by a wide range of unconfirmed global experts. All decisions should be based on decent morals and basic human values, respecting both the individual and collective human life on this planet. WHO should remain grounded in the hippocratic iath: First do no harm.
Member of public	Any new instrument will signify guilt from the huge failure of covid policies worldwide. It is far too soon to establish any new policies, rather it is far better to investigate these failures before these mistakes are replicated over and over again. We need to be taking stock, not surging forward for the sake of it. Please, please consider your actions. Populations are already weary at this time. Thank you Regards Stephen Feldman
Self	Any new international agreement must leave major health decisions in the hands of each respective member nation.
N/A	Any new international instrument has to respect citizen rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice, It has to abide to the Nuremberg codex. Any measures have to be solely advisory and not compulsory.
Foothill High School	Any new international instrument must be advisory in nature and allow local jurisdictions to chart the course most appropriate for their citizens. These local governments must be supported by the WHO with information, but then left to make their own decisions. All politics are local. The people most impacted by the decisions, policies, and procedures put in place to respond to a pandemic must have a voice in those decisions, policies, and procedures. While the WHO should provide information and research, the decisions should be made by local governments.
Individual	Any new international instrument needs to reflect the national/provincial/local interests of a specific nation rather than making everyone conform to a specific set of guidelines. Everyone in every country is a unique individual who is going to react to any infection in their own way. Doctors who will be treating the subject need to therefore treat any person in their own unique way. They should have the ability to diagnose and treat on an individual basis, using the tools that they believe are necessary for that particular individual. The pharmaceutical industry should not be driving the narrative of the situation. Pharmaceutical companies need to be made accountable for their actions and be open and transparent on all of their results immediately prior to the release of their product or within a maximum of 30 days. Public needs to be informed so that they can make their own INFORMED choices. Dissenting opinions by professionals need to be available to the general public to once again make INFORMED choices. Trust the science is not believable when everyone says the same thing suppressing those who have a different interpretation of the same facts. It is the old story of "is the glass half empty, or is it half full"!
N/A	Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must contain the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Turtle Island Earth Stewards Society	Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must ensure the following: 1. That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Ensure that every citizen has full inalienable rights and autonomy over the health and wellbeing of their body and that regional, national or international entities can usurp these human rights under any conditions.
none	Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, and respect for human rights. Further, government officials must retain legal liability for their actions, and pharmaceutical companies must be legally liable for harms from their products.
--None--	Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include the following elements: 1) The right of sovereign nations to determine their own policy for enforcing lockdowns and related economic harm 2) The adoption of medical sovereignty and the freedom to make health decisions regarding experimental and new vaccines as a human right 3) A guarantee that any new, and thus experimental, vaccines and medical treatments will not be subject to a global mandate 4) A guarantee holding the pharmacuetical companies accountable for injuries caused by any new and experimental vaccines or medications 5) Adopting a policy of researching and recommending all options for targeted protection of vulnerable citizens and only recommending lockdowns as a last resort when it clear that targeted protection measures will not work.
n/a	Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must leave complete power and control of health decisions in the hands of each individual country and their citizens. A one-size-fits-all approach to health does not work. Each country, and even areas within countries, have different risks and circumstances. The WHO's role should be solely advisory, not making any kind of binding rules on member nations. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." This means citizens have the right to make their own medical decisions based on informed consent. The WHO should never implement any kind of agreement that attempts to override a country's sovereignty or tramples on the rights of any country's citizens.
self employed	Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must protect and preserve our health related human rights, our sovereignty and bodily autonomy. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. No person, organisation or governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. Discrimination based on medical status is wrong. No person, organisation or governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Each country must be allowed to risk assess and decide its own response to a pandemic rather than following a one size fits all dictate from the WHO.
Citizen of Australia	Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must recognize that measures must be proportionate and reasonable, (not a "one size fits all" response), and that people have inalienable human rights. A person of sound mind should be able to accept or reject any and all medical treatment, no matter how rational or irrational.
Judith m webster	Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must take into account individuality within the world population. A 'one fits all' approach would be totally inappropriate as consideration has to be given to each individual Race, Creed and Culture who, by their own innate diversity, will require a unique approach to maintaining their health and preventing disease. The WHO can play an advisory role in this but implementation of any international instrument must be left to the discretion of national leadership, backed by the consent of the people it serves. Two years of Covid 19 has illustrated the variation in susceptibility of different types of people. We are not one herd but a collection of individual groups with differing needs.
Sam Turton Creative Services	Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must: • be limited to unbiased information gathering and recommendation dissemination. • NEVER have power of enforcement over sovereign nations and individuals. • open to an uncensored base of medical and scientific professionals with diverse hypotheses and recommendations. • NEVER allow input or influence from corporate entities. Health diagnosis is based on individual symptoms within the unique bodily system of an individual person. Advocacy for bodily health is the informed decision of the individual, based on independently gathered information and/or the recommendation of a healthcare provider of the individual's choice. Individual informed consent is a non-negotiable human right. Healthcare decisions beyond the individual are only functional and successful as the result of a democratic process within individual communities, cultural groups, regional groups and individual nations. The unique needs of these groups of individuals cannot be served by a global authoritarian power structure such as WHO. All public health diagnosis and action must come from democratic regional entities. Sam Turton Registered Psychotherapist
None	Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should contain nothing that commits the UK to any course of action. We have an elected Parliament and it should be they who approve any course of action proposed by the Government relating to pandemics, whether preparedness or response.
Private citizen of Australia	Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should not override my elected government's ability to respond as it determines via its own democratic processes, without adverse consequences of any kind. Australia is a national state with a democratically elected parliament and international instruments of any kind ought be advisory only. The World Health Organisation ought offer well-founded advice, and support, but ought not impose any kind of mandated action. While such sovereignty provisions apply to all matters, it seems especially important to assert them when there are possible commercial influences on international decision-making, as has clearly been the case regarding the present (ongoing) pandemic. No commercial entity should be permitted to engage directly or indirectly in forming any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
US citizen	Any New International Instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should ONLY be guidelines. Countries need to continue to stay sovereign, and independent and out of any forced WHO health decisions, particularly - the US WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Therefore, WHO should stay inside its own limits and boundaries, and NOT look to "rule" healthcare decisions.
n/a	Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response would undermine the sovereignty, human rights, fundamental freedoms, democratic institutions and the rule of law in member nations. Individual administrations and their citizens must retain the right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and wellbeing. In accordance with Article 12 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, no person lawfully within the territory of a State should be deprived of their right to liberty of movement. So, no measure should be passed that would prevent any person from being able to leave any country (including his own) or to be arbitrarily deprived of the right to enter his own country—as happened as a result of the unprecedented and ineffective authoritarian panic of the last two years. Any measures that reduce democratic processes internationally or reduce the full autonomy of national and local leadership will further reduce public confidence in the broader aims of the WHO.
none	Any new international instrument regarding pandemic response and readiness should: 1) Allow national and local leaders to retain full autonomy, including reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2) Allow nations and local governing bodies to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) Make sure decisions are made through an open and transparent process, and allow people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people don't want it. 4) Ensure pharmaceutical companies and others who profit from health measures do not have any influence in policy making. There is no one size fits all solution to health. Less regulation is often better than more.
/	Any new international instrument should be recommendation-based only and not solely enforceable by the WHO directive. Democratically voted national governments and municipalities must make the final decisions about public health topics, as they have the best understanding of the specific needs of their citizens and can take into account local specifics and consensus. They will indeed consider WHO recommendations but should be able to draw their conclusions.
Private	Any new pandemic preparedness and response instrument should ensure sufficient pragmatic strategies to address the two primary pillars below: 1. State accountability: Implementation of IHR(2005) First and foremost is the willingness of the governments to commit themselves to "adaptation and implementation" of the instrument with a close monitoring by the IHR(2005) system, with a clear multi-stakeholder accountability framework. Such commitments should be ways beyond the WHA or RC resolutions that end up as dusted documents in the shelves and are sunset now and then. 2. Immunization systems The new fast track vaccine development and bulk production (recombinant, mRNA, Nano-molecules), puts the immunization at the heart of any pandemic preparedness and response instrument. Upgrading immunization systems at the country level along with implementation of impactful tailor-made national vaccination demand generation programs (for the public and the health care providers) - for influenza and other pandemic prone agents- should be at the priority focus of the instrument. SARS-CoV-2 pandemic revealed incompetency in responding to the pandemic by doing the business as usual (lack of solidarity among the nations in applying the global recommendations (political games), pre-ordering large amounts of vaccines and the intention of the pharma industry to prioritize provision of pandemic vaccines to the rich among others). This takes us back to proper governance of IHR(2005) implementation, that seems to require an overhaul in its implementation, where other global stakeholders should also be engaged. Perhaps a UN Security General committee could be a great support for implementation of IHR(2005).
Dr. K. Sclater Inc.	Any new pandemic response agreement should include the following elements: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dr. K. Sclater Inc.	Any new pandemic response agreements should include the following elements: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ilchi-go	Any new policy should be based on: - Full informed consent, and this must be confirmed registered - Full national and regional ownership, control and oversight - Full public transparency and democratic control - Full accountability of industry and private parties - Extensive public debate - Proportionality - Options to opt out voluntarily, for regions and for individuals - Full bodily autonomy of the individual citizens - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
banking	Any new treaty must include 1/ individual sovereignty 2/ respect for human rights 3/ legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Thankyou.
n/a	Any organisation that deems it can dictate what the world should do in case of a pandemic, should first gather all the information regarding the so called illness which is supposed to be spreading around the world. This includes all experts in the field, not just those attached to the pharmaceutical industries which are only driven by money. Any corruption should be immediately revealed and dealt with in a court of law. Those found guilty, including pharmaceutical companies, should never again be allowed to produce or be connected to the production of experimental drugs. Unnecessary injections causing thousands of deaths and adverse injuries should be cancelled immediately. The case for any experimental injections without prior safety trials vigorously inspected by independent scientists, should never be considered even if a so called state of emergency has been declared. Known medicines which can cure or lessen the impact of any disease should always take precedent over medical intervention via injected drugs. Full reports of data should be carefully inspected by all experts in the field, not just government bodies who have a special interest in the rewards of a so called "vaccine" roll out. Each country is sovereign and should always be free to decide for themselves whether or not to take part in any global action.
Self	Any Pandemic agreement should have a commitment to respect human rights, bodily autonomy, and the right to opt out of any agreement without consequence. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An open and transparent process should be put in place with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Safeguards should be put in place so that NGOs, wealthy individuals, global health providers, and pharmaceutical companies are not able to influence any decision making processes. All meetings between WHO officials and Government officials or other bodies should be recorded and made public to avoid allegations of corruption.
No Affiliations	Any Pandemic agreement should have a commitment to respect human rights, bodily autonomy, and the right to opt out of any agreement without consequence. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An open and transparent process should be put in place with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Safeguards should be put in place so that NGO, wealthy individuals, global health providers or pharmaceutical companies are not able to influence any decision making processes. All meeting between WHO officials and Government officials or other bodies should be recorded and made public to avoid allegations of corruption
None	Any pandemic decisions should be made by individual countries by their democratically elected governments. Where they are accountable to the people and can make decisions in the best interests of the countries needs. Governments can only govern by consent in all matters including health. Not unelected organisations.
Fearless Wellness LLC	Any pandemic measure- preparation or response, must acknowledge personal body autonomy and individual country ultimate authority. Mandatory isolation of anyone, especially healthy people or asymptomatic people must not be included. Free movement of individuals within their countries must continue, and international travel should be determined by individual countries. Any intervention must be based in science, using the expertise of people in the private sector as well as government. Coercion has no place in pandemic response. Any measures must also preserve personal privacy.
none	Any pandemic preparedness and response international instruments MUST allow for each and every nation to evaluate the SUGGESTED guidelines promulgated by the WHO and make decisions on how best to implement (or not implement) same guidelines based on the individual situations in that particular nation. It is NOT the WHO's place to issue international instruments that are other than RECOMMENDATIONS. Each nation is a sovereign entity with the ABSOLUTE RIGHT to determine, on its own, any course of action for that individual nation. The WHO is not a governing body of any independent nation and has NO RIGHT to mandate anything.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen	Any Pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. All countries should have full authority to act in the best interests of their own citizens and the ability to opt out of any and all sections of the agreement as they see fit without any consequences. The WHO should never override a countries response to a pandemic. The process should be open and transparent to all. Conflicts of interests should be clearly stated. All recommendations should be backed up by evidence, research, data that is made readily available to the public. In regards to health pandemics, pharmaceutical companies and their fund shareholders should have no influence on the process or recommendations in any way.
private individual	Any pandemic preparedness instrument should include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SELF	Any pandemic preparedness plan needs to start with conversations, resources, and training for the world population on real health which starts with diet and lifestyle. Gather a variety of educational experts on various aspects of physical and emotional health (i.e. Dr. Dean Ornish, Chris Kessler, Dr. Michael Greger, Dr. Brene Brown) as well as providing free access to yoga, meditation, and breathwork experts to keep people sound of mind and body. In addition, any medical response should be based on low-cost, easily accessible solutions. Seek to first scientifically study supplements and repurposed drugs with long safety history, instead of jumping to create new, expensive, costly, and difficult to distribute new drugs. Consider the cost of any solution to the full health of the public and to the planet.
Pachamama Alliance	Any pandemic preparedness plan needs to start with conversations, resources, and training for the world population on real health which starts with diet and lifestyle. In addition, any medical response should be based on low-cost, easily accessible solutions. Seek to first scientifically study supplements and repurposed drugs with long safety history, instead of jumping to create new, expensive, costly, and difficult to distribute new drugs. Consider the cost of any solution to the full health of the public and to the planet.
Public	Any pandemic preparedness response must respect individual countries constitutions and individual human rights. The response can be a collective mitigation guide, however forced vaccinations and treatments that prevent humans from their right of movement, and work, are against human rights and must never happen again. Otherwise this will be a world too terrifying to live in.
Wold council for health	Any pandemic preparedness should come from local authorities only and not the WHO or any centralized authority.
No formal organization represented	Any pandemic regulation needs to be a general recommendation for the public as a guide to overall immune health information. Any regulatory process should be thoroughly considered to reduce unintended harm from the regulation itself interfering with innate natural immunity. Any regulation should be only sound overall guidelines and not be a mandatory inflexible structure due to variability in people, nations, opinion, beliefs, etc. The use of a global governing health body is to promote good info and should not be allowed to be unduly influenced by commercial interests, whose monetary gain would be in conflict with the utmost need for good information and unbiased decisions. The world is a vast and complex system that has beautifully developed adaptation to any number of health crises, and any statement by WHO should be for information and not to force governments or citizens to do anything that their conscience disagrees with in terms of their own health.
#StopThe Treaty	Any pandemic related treaty needs to address the ability of local authorities to opt out of compliance based on local situations. If the WHO calls for lock downs when there is no pandemic in certain areas of the world, then those areas will only have the negative effects of lock downs and no benefit. Any pandemic treaty needs to address the overbearing influence of large pharmaceutical companies influencing the process for their profit. Any pandemic treaty needs to be subject to democratic approval to prevent a corrupt government from profiting at the expense of its people. Finally, any decrees issued by the WHO need to prove the actions decreed are more beneficial than harmful, subject to third party analysis. This would limit unintended consequences.
USA citizen	Any pandemic response should at least include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies, as well as respect for the rights if all people to deny use of medical equipment (includimg but not limited to masks, ventilators, sheilds, gloves, etc.) and /or administration of medical intervention (including but not limited to vaccines and other WHO/ government / health agency recommended drugs). They should also preserve the rights of individuals and businesses to stay open and work as they see fit with no interference from any government and /or health agency.
Individual	Any pandemic response needs to respect an individual's right to make informed decisions over their own body. People need to have the right to discuss treatment options with their doctor of choice and doctors need to be able to freely offer advice without fear of losing their license. There should be open discussion and information sharing on treatment options between doctors and scientists. Treatment with repurposed drugs and supplements must be considered as valid treatment options. Mental health considerations must also need to be taken into affect in regards to any response. That includes any patients being able to have a family member or loved one available to advocate for them and children not being separated from their families.
Private individual	Any pandemic response recommended/directed by the WHO should not be able to override the decisions of a sovereign nation, or the wishes of any individual man or woman who does not wish to take part or respond to such a recommendation. Bodily freedom and autonomy should supersede all other considerations.
personal	Any pandemic response should include the local goverment's ability to be autonomous and make decisions by and for their local peoples. Local governments should be able to opt-out of any action as the plan set it motion by other countries may not be applicable to the local region. Peoples, the cultures, and religions of all local regions should be respected and peoples should be given a transparent method of voting for actions that may be taken. Corporations and businesses sometimes exert their money and power to encourage corruption in governmental processes and this causes unnecessary and undeserved suffering among local peoples.As such, corporate interests should be subdued during any pandemic planning or preparation process, and full transparency must be ensured to prevent corruption.
USA	Any pandemic response should not include those in authority that wish to restrict freedom or that a have a conflict of interest. Pandemic responses should not infringe upon a people's inalienable rights. No government should have the power to force people into mandatory medical interventions such as lock-downs, masking, or vaccinations. Proven therapeutics should be available to whomever needs them. Any pandemic response should be decentralized and localized to fit the needs of each particular region.
Sovereign	Any pandemic responses should be the responsibility of the flesh and blood sovereign beings, beginning with their bodily autonomy, followed by family, and then community support and direction. All respo sessions should be a flow up, not a flow down from a centralised power which nobody voted for. No singular body should have power over multiple countries, communities or their peoples.
private	Any pandemic treatment must be subordinated to national interests of the respective country. Organizations which give out obligatory rules to part taking countries has to substantiate their neutrality. If they are sponsored by non-government organizations as it is the case for WHO there is a potential risk for the influence of commercial interests on health care related decisions. Worldwide pandemic treatments may a real danger for a countries individual society when they are not definitely subordinated to the respective national laws and judicative. Thus a pandemic treatment from WHO can only considered as a recommendation.
Personal	Any pandemic treaty must take cognisance of natural law and civil liberties. It must honour our right to personal freedom autonomy and bodily integrity. It must allow for proportionality. It must be committed to ensuring public health approaches include prevention at all levels and give consideration to all scientific findings and research regarding measures that prevent ameliorate and cure disease. This should include natural remedies as well as pharmaceuticals. It must ensure transparency and that all considerations are shared with the people in a manner which is clear , unambiguous and represents all sides of the debate. It should ensure national autonomy. It should promote population health and immunity in advance of another pandemic. It must mandate that results of any medical trials are available to the public in advance of such medicine been made available. It must not mandate any individual to take medication. It must ensure that the concept of informed consent is that .
Public citizen	Any Pandemic Treaty needs to ensure that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. As well, it needs to allow for the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We know decisions at the local level are usually made in the best interests of the local people. Any appropriate Treaty would also include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This Treaty must remove any possibility of influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, and it must preserve the right of the individual to make full and informed consent for any medicalized procedure.
No organization representation	Any pandemic treaty should only solidify WHO's commitment to the principle of subsidiarity, respecting the right of nations to determine what is best for their citizens. As a citizen of the USA, I respect the authority of officials that I and my fellow citizens have elected, in the following order: city, county, state, federal. The citizens of Florida being very different from the citizens of New York, with different needs and priorities, they require all decisions affecting them to be based on local information and demographics. Local governments can better address the needs of citizens during a health crisis than any central government or organization. Membership in WHO does not confer omniscience, which would be required to make decisions for multiple countries or the entire world. My desire is that the United States of America's WHO representative will not sign any treaty that purports to have authority over the citizens of the USA.
N/A	Any pandemic treaty you have should protect people's bodily rights. They should have the right to choose what goes into their bodies and what does not. Not everyone is the same, so the treaty needs to have a provision that protects people's rights. Also, it should not supercede the constitutions of the states and countries that sign it. It could make recommendations, but each place should be able to do what they need to to keep their people safe. They should be able to decide what is best.
general public	Any pandemic will have a unique presentation in and impact on individual countries. It is crucial for the international community to allow each country the freedom and leeway to choose how they manage preparedness and response to each unique issue. Any international instrument should focus on communication and sharing documented recommendations. Attempting a "one size fits all" solution to force all nations to respond the same is not a good solution to this complex problem.
None	Any participating nations are SOVEREIGN! WHO does not have the right to usurp a sovereign nations elected officials and make decisions for that country. Medical and pandemic decisions remain the choice and freedom of each individual country. Their are millions of brilliant doctors and scientists worldwide and one organization cannot make one solution that fits all. WHO should not have the right to call a worldwide pandemic or dictate to the world how it is handled and what medical treatments may be used.
public	Any plan by the WHO (or any organization) should not be able to override a country's laws or legal system, or to override the rights of individual states. It should not be able to override a country's constitution and other legal foundations. The loss of democratic process within countries and the potential for misuse of power is just too great a price to pay.
None	Any plan for health must take into consideration the vast differences in people and places around the world and only provide broad recommendations to countries on health issues. It must also recognize the religious beliefs of populations vary greatly, and recommendations must be flexible for those beliefs. Health decisions are ultimately the decision of each person and not of their government.
None	Any plan for international preparedness must be fully in accordance with the principles set out in the UN's Universal Declaration of Human Rights and in the Nuremberg Code. Furthermore the principle of subsidiarity must be applied, with sovereign nations able to adapt or selectively adopt recommendations issued by the WHO; and sovereign individuals able to be fully informed about and in control of recommended (not mandated) interventions made in the name of public health which impact upon their own health. Lastly, the use of mass manipulation techniques as used in the COVID response must cease. Public confidence in institutions such as the WHO has been seriously undermined in the last two years. My proposals as set out above will help to restore confidence.
United States of America	Any plan for pandemic preparedness and response should be optional or recommendations. Individual nations must be able to incorporate their own culture and adapt to individual circumstances. Each nation is unique and must be treated as such. Therefore, any plan must be optional and allow room for nations and states to adapt the plan for their individual needs.
general public	Any Plan must look & be one of quality , both parties must have a part in it , it must not be a one sided deal , if a nation has to do things , so must the WHO , there must be an equal part in it for both parties , a dictator like or dictated plan must not be made , it must not be - do or else ... If a Nation is to be made to submit to a WHO plan , the WHO must pay all costs that are needed to do that plan , not a loan . If the Plan - - Impacts the economy of that nation in any way , the WHO must pay all compensation costs involved . - Disrupts any payments to things like IMF , WB or other payments , the WHO must Pay these & any & all extra costs involved . - Requires any products to be used , the products must have independent over view & testing , not the - WHO - where it is developed , made , any organizations involved , schools , foundations or any people connected to the product or service or device . - Is required for a nation , the reason must be a valid one & must be independently confirmed , by others that are not connected in any way to the plan , WHO , products to be used , Banks , investors or anything connected to the operation or products involved in the plan & it must be a real thing , not done on a computer model . Example of what must never be done again , false connections made to a process & call it a test , a PCR process , is not & can never be called or used as a test , this kind of fraud must never happen again , a plan has to allow misinformation like this to be debated , if none is allowed , the plan can not stand & must not be implemented - in any nation , if feed back or concerns are not allowed from all nations - the Plan must not be allowed .
myself	Any Plan needs to Focus on sovereignty of nations and freedom of rights so every local community can decide what is best for the free humans who live there in peace. Freedom, nature based food, clean water, care for mother earth and each other will be the best and profound basis for human health.
Individual	Any plan should only contain non-mandatory guidelines. Each country should be able to adapt the plan to local conditions. Health is not only about disease. Poverty and economic collapse could kill more people than a pandemic. Any risk plan is more effective when it is tailored to the specific case. Aviation did not mandate the same actions on the Boeing 777 max as on other Boeing 777 models. On the other hand, aviation tries to learn the lessons from one crash. But, this step requires an analysis from the specific to general principles that are then again made specific to each airplane design. Why would it be different for epidemic situations? Human beings are different. Environment e.g. hygiene, climate, population density, means of transportations, etc. is different. Human behaviour is different. For all these reasons, a global unique plan is at best inefficient. If it is wrong, then everybody makes the same error. This is tragedy.
None	Any Plan would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy, making ground for authoritarian one-sided measures and civil and human rights violations.
None	Any planned measures should be open to nations and local municipalities to opt out of any aspect of the treaty without consequence. Regard should be taken of national, individual and geographic diversity; a 'one size fits all' approach is unlikely to provide more benefit than harm. Measures should be decided upon in an open and transparent manner, without undue influence from the pharmaceutical industry and others with a vested interest. Regard must be taken of individual choice to accept or reject any measures sought to be imposed. Mandating is not an option, imposition is not an option!
Emile D. Broussard, M.D., APMC	Any policy must adhere to the following principles: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Education	Any policy or mandate created regarding Public Health must be backed by substantial scientific research that clearly demonstrates the efficacy of the treatment or personal practices being recommended. All policies created should recognize the individual as sovereign in making choices regarding their health, and no policy should override personal autonomy when the individual does not pose a direct threat to public health and safety.
The University of British Columbia	ANY policy regarding pandemics MUST include an objectively verifiable definition of 'pandemic' as involving a statistically significant increase in overall, age-adjusted mortality. NO emergency measures are justified unless this threshold is met.
general public	Any preparedness response instrument created should include National and local autonomy, with the ability to opt out of "recommendations" without consequence. It seems clear that if you want to regain any trust from "the people" the process of decision making will be transparent and without big pharma influence.
Meadowbrook Farm	Any proclamations by the WHO must be advisory only. I am opposed to any binding policies on member nations. In epidemiology and public health one size does not fit all and each nation must chart its own course. I am opposed any "international instrument" of any kind.
individual	Any proposal for pandemic preparedness must be in the form of guidance only. This guidance cannot and MUST NOT supplant the democratic processes of sovereign nations or sovereign individuals. The powers suggested within this proposal are egregiously invasive, and have no place in any modern democracy. No government has the right to sign up to this proposal, and sign away its rights and its citizens' rights. If my UK government does, I will dedicate time and resources to supporting a legal challenge.
N/A	Any proposal or suggestion for medical treatment coming from the WHO should always take into account the will of individuals. In no circumstances an individual must be forced to take a medical treatment or vaccine. Informed consent must be received by WHO. Forcing a medical presidia is immoral and against human rights. Coercion cannot be an option.
Self	Any proposals must support: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired teacher	Any rapid response to an infectious disease must be multidimensional, where many researchers from many countries are encouraged and supported to investigate existing well documented medicines that can be quickly repurposed as early treatments. The off label use of proven medicines must be encouraged, not suppressed. This approach was not used in this past pandemic, with the WHO led Covid response discouraging off label use and narrowing the scope to a few self interested pharmaceutical companies producing unproven novel mRNA technologies. The more the response to a future pandemic is centralized and controlled by a few, the less flexible it will be to new and greater challenges.
private	Any recommendation, agreement. conclusion regarding handling the pandemic has to respect sovereignty of each participating country and protection of the basic individual human rights including choice of medical treatment .
None	Any requirement to carry or show 'vaccine passports' should be strictly limited to the international entry/exit points that currently require 'ordinary' passports or visas and they should be physically separate from the latter, containing only pandemically- or epidemically-relevant personal health information.
Concerned person	Any response drafted to combat a pandemic should take into account that everyone is different and a one size fits all response only hurts people. Leave decisions to the local areas of each country.
Citizen	Any response from your organization should adhere to personal freedom and personal rights. The only response that should be delivered should be from professionals from all relative fields who should not be influenced by pharmaceutical companies or their stakeholders. Most importantly should nothing ever be made mandatory like the corona vaccine.
Public	Any response should be propotionate and prioritise the welfare of the individual. Decisions should be made locally to address the needs of different societies and cultures. Any international instrument should surmount to guidance only and should not be enforceable. Individuals must maintain the right to decide on any intervention that affects their mind, body and spirit.
Private UK citizen	Any response to a Global pandemic must allow National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Any WHO decisions or dictum must allow Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The WHO must allow an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The WHO must prevent any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Leading International independent front line Doctors and researchers must be the source of advice. The United Kingdom has implemented Brexit in order to regain its own Sovereignty and the power to make its own decisions and laws as best fitting the needs of the country. It would be inconceivable to waive that right to an unelected profit driven body.
Private Citizen of the United Kingdom	Any response to a Global pandemic must allow National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Any WHO decisions or dictum must allow nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The WHO must allow an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The WHO must prevent any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Leading International independent front line Doctors and researchers must be the source of advice. The United Kingdom has implemented Brexit in order to regain its own Sovereignty and the power to make its own decisions and laws as best fitting the needs of the country. It would be inconceivable to waive that right to an unelected profit driven body. Above all, an individual's rights are sacrosanct, and everyone must retain the personal choice of all medication that they take. My body, my choice.
None	Any response to illness, no matter the severity, should be handled at the local level. The WHO can recommend responses, but it is not positioned to judge what is happening in any one town, city, state or country. All medical treatment decisions should be made between a patient and their doctor, and every individual must retain autonomy to either accept or refuse any medical intervention, including vaccination. Doctors must retain autonomy to practice medicine as they deem best, unencumbered by dictates from any health agency or agent. This treaty should never be written, period.
Asti Inc	Any response to pandemic of the future needs to support the rights of free people and the creative genius which comes from people working voluntarily together to achieve a goal. Certainly include the following: *national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Privat	Any result and conclusion of every negotiation which is used for creating a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must obey fundamental human rights. No violation of these rights are tolerated in any case. The development of new drugs or vaccines in order to treat or prevent illnesses in a pandemic situation must undergo every step of provement, control and clinical trials as obligate for all the other drugs . A shortage of any testperiod in the development and testing period of these drugs or vaccines is not allowed.
The Christian Church	Any role that the UN has in relation to pandemic preparedness and response should be limited and in submission to the sovereign authority and choices of individual nations. The WHO should not position itself in such a way as to be able to define or control what is considered a pandemic or what should be the response of an individual nation. They should have no legal power to control national decisions, but rather, an advisory role,. or at best, a facilitating role. The World Health Organization, along with the UN, seems to be too interested in global power, and the strategic movement toward global economic and power structures and should limit itself to being of assistance when it comes to helping and giving actual expert advice rather than controlling and facilitating global political structures. Individual citizens of countries haven't elected the power groups and decision making bodies within the UN and the WHO, as well as the many smaller bodies connected to them, therefore they should not be given authority over them. We need to maintain a genuinely democratic process, one in which people can be both voted in and voted out. National checks and balances are vital for maintaining genuine and relevant freedoms. There is too much potential for globalist control and the "suffocation" of individual and national freedoms. Sincerely, Kim Roberts
world citizen	Any sort of centralised control of response is bound to fail due to inevitable lack of flexibility. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Any sort of international instrument by WHO must be of an advisory nature only. As a US citizen, I do not vote on WHO officials to represent and make decisions for me, therefore I will not be bound via this international agreement to something that an unelected official decides to impose, especially on something as important as personal health.
Childrens Health Defense	Any sorts of pandemic response measures must take the health of children as top priority. We know that children have the lowest risk of catching it there isore and more proof coming forward that vaccines are not only ineffective, but they're also dangerous. Thank you for your attention to this matter.
private citizen	Any substantive elements of an international agreement should be limited to collaboration only of research and health officials as wells as private doctors and hospitals to share data only to help understand the nature of whatever disease is out there. The agreement should in no way attempt to supercede the laws of any nation and all decisions should be left to the local community to determine what is best for them. The one size fits all approach we have seen the past two years makes no sense and it would be irrational to assume every place on earth would be affected in exactly the same way so responses should and ultimate decision making should be left to individual communities.
USA	Any substantive overall role of the WHO should be informative only. Providing accurate statistics and data is helpful. Every country, state, province, city, town, neighborhood can make policy best suited for their population.
None	Any such agreement must also include: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Without that there is in effect no representation of the people that are going to be effected. * Thus, a decision taken by nations or local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit should not entail any consequence on part of the WHO. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement, in places or communities where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. At the very minimum this means complete disclosure of any potential conflict of interests.
Concerned Citizens	Any such agreement must preserve local and national autonomy, individual freedom, and full transparency. The following are specific aspects: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Any such endeavor must be for the people's protection and rights. National/local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be protected. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, their investors or those who profit from pharmaceutical industries such as the CDC or the FDA or the NIH, or other global health profiteers. Individual citizens must not lose the ability to make their own decisions in favor of profit/power for WHO, the WEF, and its allies.
General public	Any such global agreement must be seen as voluntary and non-coercive. Any attempt to supersede individual governments or local populations will be regarded as a step toward world government. The treaty should therefore include an opt-out provision not subject to any form of penalty.
me	Any such global umbrella must allow for retention of national and lower governments' sovereignty and ability to implement some all or none of the policies. Individual rights must be even above this, and individual right of bodily autonomy and self determination respected above all. Pharmaceutical companies, large medical corporations, and other such parties must be excluded from any undue influence.
Private	Any such instrument must be in the form of recommended guidelines and not rules and laws, as the WHO is an unelected body and is supposed to serve us, the people of the world. Individual countries must retain their independence and allow their citizens to decide on an individual basis, either in favour of or against such recommended guidelines, as is set out in our constitutions. Our governments, servants OF the people, must allow us to determine, on an individual basis, whether the guidelines will help us or harm us. As we are well aware, measures undertaken worldwide during Covid have been far more harmful than helpful and have destroyed more lives and livelihoods than Covid itself has. Lockdown measures are and have been inhumane, with most of the regulations being nonsensical and hypocritical. Those in power have not adhered to them, while expecting the rest of us to do so under threat of severe consequence, including forced quarantining at facilities not of our choice, fines and imprisonment. Mandating experimental medical procedures that have proved to not only be ineffective at either stopping the spread of a virus or preventing infection but in many cases have had severe side-effects, is illegal and immoral and violates the principles of the Nuremberg Code, specifically those of bodily autonomy and that informed and voluntary consent MUST be given. I refer you to https://research.unc.edu/human-research-ethics/resources/ccm3_019064/ The frequency and severity of those side-effects have far surpassed those of all other vaccines combined that have undergone stringent, long-term testing before being rolled out to the public. We, the people, reject the notion of forced global control of all aspects of our lives.
Private	Any such instrument requires safeguards to prevent overreach by the WHO, which is and should remain nothing more than an advisory body. If the WHO can change the definition of what constitutes a public health emergency, then it can, for whatever reason, declare such emergency on a whim, and at the behest of its sponsors (Private, corporate and national). This would be a very dangerous and easily abused situation. Any such treaty or instrument should be nothing more than a memorandum or a broad agreement on how to deal with a global health event. It should not in any way hand over governing power of any state or nation to a global body such as the WHO or the UN in general.

Liechtenstein	Article 1 – Scope This Protocol shall be an instrument complementary to the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine (ETS No. 164, hereinafter "the Convention") and the provisions of the Convention shall be interpreted in the light of this Protocol, which supplies certain rights and principles which stem from the Convention and which are to be considered as an integral part of the Convention. The provisions of the Convention shall be applicable to this Protocol, mutatis mutandis, in the sense that it shall be interpreted in the light of current medical practice and standards. Article 2 – Definitions For the purposes of this Protocol, the following terms shall be defined as follows: a. "human tissue" means any tissue of human origin, including blood, or any substance derived or extracted from human tissue, including blood, with the exception of those which are the subject of other specific protocols and do not in the future cease to be considered as human tissue or substance; b. "transplantation" means the removal of substances or tissues, including cells, from a donor for the purpose of their implantation into the body of a recipient; c. "protection of the human rights and dignity of the human being" means the human rights and fundamental freedoms, including the right to respect for the integrity of the person and other rights and freedoms arising from the application of biomedicine, including the protection of the dignity and identity of the human being and the prohibition of discrimination and harassment; d. "medical practice" means the practice of medicine, including the practice of medical acts, in accordance with the relevant professional standards and rules, including those which are based on the knowledge and experience of medicine, medical science and related sciences, and which are intended to be of benefit to the health of the individual concerned, taking into account his or her specific situation and his or her lifestyle and environment, and which are not intended to be of benefit to other persons; e. "medical acts" means any act performed by a health professional, including the provision of a medical opinion, for the purpose of diagnosis, prevention, treatment or rehabilitation of a person, including the prescription of any drug or medical device, and including the provision of information, advice, care and treatment, and the taking of samples of human tissue or substance, including blood, and the use of human tissue or substance, including blood, for medical purposes; f. "medical research" means any research, including research in the field of biology, medicine, psychology, or related sciences, which involves medical acts and which is not intended to be of benefit to the health of the individual concerned, but may be of benefit to other persons, or which involves a risk to the health of the individual concerned, which may be minimal, and which is not intended to be of benefit to other persons, or which involves the use of human tissue or substance, including blood, for research purposes; g. "health professional" means a person who is authorised to perform medical acts and who is subject to the provisions of the Convention and of this Protocol. Article 3 – General provisions 1. Any human being, without discrimination, has the right to respect for his or her integrity and other rights and freedoms, including the right to respect for his or her dignity and identity, and the prohibition of discrimination and harassment. 2. Any human being has the right to respect for his or her dignity and identity, which includes the right to respect for his or her integrity and other rights and freedoms, including the right to respect for his or her private life and the right to be protected against harassment and discrimination. 3. Any human being has the right to respect for his or her private life, which includes the right to respect for his or her integrity and other rights and freedoms, including the right to respect for his or her dignity and identity, and the prohibition of discrimination and harassment.
Malta	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Mexico	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Moldova, Republic of	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Monaco	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Montenegro	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Netherlands, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Norway	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Poland	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Portugal	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Romania	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Russian Federation, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
San Marino	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Serbia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Slovakia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Slovenia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Spain	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Sweden	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Switzerland	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Turkey	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Ukraine	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
United Kingdom	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Uruguay	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Zambia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Zimbabwe	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Afghanistan	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Algeria	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Angola	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Antigua and Barbuda	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Argentina	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Armenia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Australia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Azerbaijan	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Bahamas, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Bahrain	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Bangladesh	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Barbados	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Belarus	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Belgium	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Belize	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Bolivia, Plurinational State of	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Botswana	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Brazil	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Brunei Darussalam	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Bulgaria	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Burkina Faso	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Burundi	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Cabo Verde	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Cambodia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Cameroon	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Canada	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Central African Republic, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Chad	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Chile	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
China	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Colombia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Comoros	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Congo	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Cook Islands	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Costa Rica	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Côte d'Ivoire	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Cuba	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Cyprus	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Czechia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Democratic People's Republic of Korea, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Democratic Republic of the Congo, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Denmark	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Dominica	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Dominican Republic, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Ecuador	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Egypt	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
El Salvador	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Equatorial Guinea	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Eritrea	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Estonia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Eswatini	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Ethiopia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Fiji	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Finland	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
France	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Gabon	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Gambia, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Georgia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Germany	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Ghana	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Greece	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Grenada	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Guatemala	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Guinea	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Guinea-Bissau	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Guyana	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Haiti	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Holy See, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Honduras	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Hungary	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Iceland	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
India	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Indonesia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Iraq	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Ireland	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Israel	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Italy	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Jamaica	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Japan	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Jordan	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Kazakhstan	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Kenya	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Kiribati	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Kuwait	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Kyrgyzstan	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Lao People's Democratic Republic, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Latvia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Lebanon	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Lesotho	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Liberia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Libya	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Lithuania	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Luxembourg	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Madagascar	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Malawi	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Malaysia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Maldives	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Mali	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Mauritania	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Mauritius	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Micronesia (Federated States of)	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Mongolia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Morocco	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Mozambique	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Myanmar	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Nepal	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
New Zealand	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Nicaragua	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Niger	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Nigeria	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Niue	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
North Macedonia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Norway	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Oman	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Pakistan	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Palau	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Panama	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Papua New Guinea	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Paraguay	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Peru	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Philippines, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Qatar	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Saint Kitts and Nevis	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Saint Lucia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Samoa	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Saudi Arabia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Senegal	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Sierra Leone	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Singapore	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Solomon Islands	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Somalia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
South Africa	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
South Sudan	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Sri Lanka	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Sudan, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Suriname	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Syrian Arab Republic, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Tajikistan	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Tanzania, United Republic of	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Thailand	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Timor-Leste	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Togo	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Tonga	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Trinidad and Tobago	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Tunisia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Turkmenistan	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Tuvalu	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Uganda	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
United Arab Emirates, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
United States of America, the	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Uruguay	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Uzbekistan	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Vanuatu	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Viet Nam	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Yemen	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Zambia	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions
Zimbabwe	Article 1 – Scope Article 2 – Definitions Article 3 – General provisions

Organization	Written submission
Retired	Any treaty adopted by the UK whether pandemic related or other MUST include the following guarantees: National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy. Any and all decisions MUST be based on what is best for their own communities/constituents. NO micromanaging by unelected bureaucrats who don't understand local issues. Individual nations and local municipalities/governments MUST have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the Treaty as they see fit. No punitive measures or punishment for such an opt out. Transparency to all MUST be guaranteed and accompany the right of the people to see what is being done in their name. All opines of the citizenry of all countries facing the adoption of this Treaty MUST be encouraged and allowed and openly shown. Anyone opposing this adoption must be protected to truthfully share their reasons/concerns and MUST be guaranteed, listened to, protected. There MUST be accountability to the people, and a process guaranteeing the right of the people in all countries impacted by the adoption of this Treaty to vote and be able to say NO to adoption. No punishment as a result of such a vote. There MUST be guaranteed appeal procedures, transparency that prevents excess authority, favoritism, or corruption in the application of this Treaty. National and individual autonomy MUST not be facilitated or allowed by adoption of this Treaty.
Individual	Any treaty adopted by the United States or any country on earth, pandemic related or otherwise MUST include the following guarantees: National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy. Any and all decisions MUST be based on what is best for their own communities/constituents. NO micromanaging by unelected bureaucrats who don't understand local issues. Individual nations and local municipalities/governments MUST have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the Treaty as they see fit. No punitive measures or punishment for such an opt out. Transparency to all MUST be guaranteed and accompany the right of the people to see what is being done in their name. All options of the citizenry of all countries facing the adoption of this Treaty MUST be encouraged and allowed and openly shown. Anyone opposing this adoption must be protected to truthfully share their reasons/concerns and MUST be guaranteed, listened to, and protected. There MUST be accountability to the people, and a process guaranteeing the right of the people in all countries impacted by the adoption of this Treaty to vote and be able to say NO to adoption. No punishment as a result of such a vote. There MUST be guaranteed appeal procedures with full transparency that prevents excess authority, favouritism, or corruption in the application of this Treaty. This Treaty MUST not be used as a legal lever to require national or individual autonomy by adopting in to this Treaty. Total national and individual autonomy MUST be upheld unequivocally.
Individual	Any treaty adopted by United Kingdom of GB&NI whether pandemic related or other SHALL include the following guarantees: National and local leadership SHALL retain full autonomy. All decisions SHALL be based on what is best for the residents. NO micromanaging by unelected bureaucrats. Individual nations and local governments SHALL have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the Treaty as they see fit. No punishments or sanctions for such opt outs. Transparency to all SHALL be guaranteed and accompany the right of the people to see what is being done in their name. All opinions of the residents of all countries facing the adoption of this Treaty SHALL be encouraged and allowed and made public in their entirety. Anyone opposing adoption must be protected to truthfully share their reasons/concerns and such freedom SHALL be guaranteed, and all opinions considered and protected. There SHALL be accountability to the people, and a process guaranteeing the right of the people in all countries impacted by the adoption of this Treaty to vote and be able to say NO to adoption. No punishments or sanctions as a result of such votes. There SHALL be guaranteed appeal procedures and transparency to check excess authority, favouritism, or corruption in the application of this Treaty. National and individual autonomies SHALL NOT be violated by adoption of this Treaty. Individuals SHALL NOT be coerced, mandated or required to take any medical treatment. Individual consents to all medical treatments SHALL be free and fully informed.
Public private citizen	Any treaty created by the WHO MUST include transparently accountable conditions which ensure the legal enforcement of accountability upon the individuals, groups and entities deciding upon and implementing any actions which has an actual or potential negative outcome on the citizens of every country involved. Those charged with such responsibility MUST have their identities publicly disclosed. Any treaty made by the governments of countries with the unelected, unaccountable WHO organization must make the proposed treaty public and hold a National ballot/ vote prior to proceeding with agreeing to any treaty which may have an actual or potential negative outcome on the citizens that government represents. These conditions are non-negotiable and must be included. Failure to include these conditions will have political consequences for the government concerned.
none	Any treaty entered into by any country, should only provide recommendations for pandemic preparedness/actions, and not legally bind any country to follow WHO recommendations.
mothers	Any treaty in response to the global pandemic must keep sacrosanct that... -Human rights are inalienable and may not be suspended for any reason. Human rights come before the rights of governments, business, organizations, and other groups. -The right to refuse medical treatment is an inalienable human right and cannot be denied by bureaucrats or government entities, or punished through the denial of basic human rights such as work, access to public spaces, travel or education. -Freedom of speech is paramount. Transparency is also paramount - we demand access to all depersonalized data from all clinical studies regarding Covid and the vaccines. -Manufacturers of drugs must be held liable for their products - this is the only check we have to ensure that they are safe. -Lockdowns or quarantines of healthy people is unethical and should never be mandated by governments or others. -People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Sincerely, Jessica Damon teacher, mother, somatic educator, U.S. Democratic Party
Visible Authority	Any treaty must be ratified by the individual nations in a way that the nation does not lose its autonomy nor commit its citizens to the undue influence or coercion of any transnational entity. Failing this, the level of non-compliance on the part of humanity will be stunning, causing this measure to fail in a spectacular manner no matter by how great a margin it may pass.
Private individual	Any treaty must ensure that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people whether at a national, regional and local contexts The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without direct or indirect consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Personal choice, and unalienable rights must not be infringed ever again. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, along with a commitment to disclose all relevant data for scrutiny by citizen scientists. Global alignment is a noble goal, but never at the expense of individual liberty or personal choice.
General public	Any treaty must ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Also the treaty must prioritise the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The treaty must ensure measures are in place that ensure there is no influence in the treaty process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers and philanthropic foundations that have vested interests in the pharmaceutical industry.
Patriot Motors	Any Treaty must focus on preventing further worldwide pandemics by requiring the following: 1. Criminal proceedings against nations or groups for gain-of-function research that created this pandemic. 2. Nationalization of all patents held by pharmaceutical companies and conversion of these corporations into "not-for-profit" organizations. 3. Guarantees of national sovereignty of member nations who sign the treaty. 4. Guarantees of individual liberty for citizens of member nations who sign the treaty.
None	Any treaty must have the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	Any treaty must include the following: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
individual	Any treaty must include 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of public	Any treaty must not over-ride a nation's individual sovereignty. A guarantee to that effect will need to be included. As will a guarantee to respect the human rights of every individual. There should be no exemptions from legal liability for governments, pharmaceutical companies, interested outside influencers, or the World Health Organisation. If something goes wrong or is harmful in any way, everybody needs to know they can have adequate and appropriate redress. Also there should be 100% complete openness and transparency. And all media should be able and encouraged to question the rights and wrongs of decisions made, so there is a dialogue, bringing understanding and not dictatorship. Thank you
Private Citizen	Any treaty must respect and be subject to the rights and laws of the participating countries, the rights of their citizens and all human rights in general, in particular each individual's right to solely decide on any and all medical treatments, vaccinations, preventive measures, restrictions etc. Any treaty not guaranteeing the above shall be illegal, null and void.
private individual	Any treaty must respect the sovereign autonomy of signatory nations so that no country is forced against the will of its people to adopt measures deemed disproportionate or inappropriate. No individual, entity or organisation with any vested interest should be allowed to exert any significant or undue influence and all options should be given consideration, including those which are already tried and tested. To this end scientific debate must be permitted. The primary objective of any treaty should be the exchange of data in a transparent and unbiased fashion to assist with the formulation of appropriate measures for each signatory nation. The WHO should not have the power to insist on a single health strategy for the whole world, but rather seek to disseminate information to assist signatory nations in their choice of action.
Private	Any treaty needs to respect national and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Also, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It needs to include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	Any treaty of this sort should permit National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Any treaty should provide an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers should not be permitted to influence this process.
none	Any treaty on health from WHO is unacceptable unless: the wording clearly states no nation or individual shall be bound by it without express written consent no pharmaceutical company has any say on wording the United States is not party to the treaty, unless ratified by the Senate.
none	Any treaty on pandemic preparedness and response should include respect for individual people and individual countries. The rights and dignity of the human person much be respected.
none, representing self	any treaty or "national instrument" needs to contain the following elements: - the ability for countries to maintain their own sovereignty - the ability for countries to disagree with WHO suggested measures and make their own decisions - the protection of individual citizens and their rights/individual sovereignty to make their own decisions - the protection of individual citizens from discrimination if they choose not to follow WHO suggested measures - the protection of doctors, scientists, and policy makers to disagree and express their position on matters relating to WHO suggested measures
United States Resident	Any treaty or agreement between nations must provide the Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty for all People, respect for and maintain all human rights, legal liability for each government, all government officials, and/or pharmaceutical companies and/or medical professionals.
Independent	Any treaty or instrument for international pandemic preparedness must at its most basic level respect pre-existing legal rights of individuals as enshrined in numerous treaties, constitutions and documents. Namely: 1. The right of individual consent of any medical treatment. 2. The right of any sovereign nation to opt out and take its own course of action and medical treatment and prevention. 3. The rights of assembly, speech, religion as preceding any governmental policy and even emergency rules. There must be a decision making process in place that involves people and consults openly, transparently with all citizens. Furthermore, all treatments should be non-profit and equitably available to the citizens of the earth.
individual	Any treaty proposed by the World Health Organization is already suspect and should not be allowed to be submitted to the US Congress for consideration. The full plan of action by this (WHO) group should be immediatly sent to congress to review and announced to the citizens of the US as medical propaganda by an organization that has lost all credibility with it's actions in covering up the do-clied pandemic.
individual	Any treaty should allow for autonomy of individual geographic areas. The economic impact needs to be accounted for and allow individual freedoms. The individuals medical and religious needs should be paramount and allowed for freedom of decision making. Experimental untested drugs should not be forced on individuals or countries.
Concerned German Citizen	Any Treaty should guaranty to all citizens of the world the freedom of choice (for any medical treatment) transparency who benefits financially. Human Rights, Natural Law.
Na	Any treaty should include language that the WHO does not have any power over the sovereignty of any country,including the United States. Removing any power from the US is dangerous to the health and welfare of our citizens. Any WHO recommendations must be advisory only. Each sovereign nation must decide when an emergency exists for their citizens and what emergency actions should be taken. Decisions need to remain under the control of the US, for the US, and that power must not be designated to the WHO. Thank you.
Silverback IT	Any treaty should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed Retired RN	Any treaty should include voluntary admission by the sovereign States of America. Our government allows for states to have sovereignty over the federal government There has been so much debate Re the morality of some of the substances used to treat pandemics that religious exemptions should be allowed The medical community does not often agree on alternate treatments but enough do that alternative treatments should be studied and allowed iI am opposed to any dictatorial methods that include camps, fines ,social ,food or money penalties Home isolation should be allowed
None	Any treaty should include…. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Yates Performance Training	Any treaty should make sure the people of the American public have the power to make health decisions for themselves and their families. No treaty should remove power from the people of the American public when it comes to our own health and welfare. All health decisions including when an emergency exists such as a pandemic should stay within the home of the American public. No decisions should be made for the American public without informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of each individual. Individuals should have the power do decide for themselves what course of action to take for themselves and their families regarding their health and welfare even during an emergency such as a pandemic.
Australian citizen	Any treaty should not supersede individual nations sovereign rights to make decisions-it should constitute guidelines only
Private citizen	Any treaty should provide guidance only and member states should be free to adopt or reject recommendations based on the unique needs of the nation state. The greater good must not trounce the needs and wellbeing of individual states and communities. A one size fits all policy is potentially more damaging than self governance.
none	Any treaty should recognize the sovereignty of member nations to decide for or against WHO recommendations for use domestically. Nations, localities and individuals must have the right to opt out of any WHO decisions, without consequence. An individual's right to choose their own healthcare providers and modalities must be respected as inalienable and sovereign. No one can be forced to receive any medical treatment through threats, shaming or coercion. Informed consent must be sacrosanct. The decision-making process must be transparent and provide the ability for all world citizens to vote to elect decision makers and approve safety measures and global agreements. The WHO must reject funding from corporations and individuals, and accept only governmental funding. It must clearly and deliberately reject the influence of individuals and organizations with conflicts of interest regarding its decisions, especially billionaires and their foundations, pharmaceutical companies, NGOs and the WEF. No voice can be censored when providing evidence to support or refute any medical decision affecting members. There can be no such thing as 'misinformation' in scientific discourse. All voices must be heard and all opinions considered. Doctors must always be allowed to treat their patients as they see fit, without interference or threats to their livelihoods. No medical professional can be forced to prescribe, or be prohibited from prescribing, a certain medication. Low cost, proven treatments should be prioritized over experimental, high-profit alternatives. The WHO must take an active role in ensuring that relevant medical studies are publicly funded and independently conducted and reviewed.
self	Any treaty should recognize the sovereignty of member nations to decide for or against WHO recommendations for use domestically. Nations, localities and individuals must have the right to opt out of any WHO decisions, without consequence. An individual's right to choose their own healthcare providers and modalities must be respected as inalienable and sovereign. No one can be forced to receive any medical treatment through threats, shaming or coercion. Informed consent must be sacrosanct. The decision-making process must be transparent and provide the ability for all world citizens to vote to elect decision makers and approve safety measures and global agreements. The WHO must reject funding from corporations and individuals, and accept only governmental funding. It must clearly and deliberately reject the influence of individuals and organizations with conflicts of interest regarding its decisions, especially billionaires and their foundations, pharmaceutical companies, NGOs and the WEF. No voice can be censored when providing evidence to support or refute any medical decision affecting members. There can be no such thing as 'misinformation' in scientific discourse. All voices must be heard and all opinions considered. Doctors must always be allowed to treat their patients as they see fit, without interference or threats to their livelihoods. No medical professional can be forced to prescribe, or be prohibited from prescribing, a certain medication. Low cost, proven treatments should be prioritized over experimental, high-profit alternatives. The WHO must take an active role in ensuring that relevant medical studies are publicly funded and independently conducted and reviewed.
Private Citizen	Any treaty should respect and guarantee both state and individual sovereignty. Doctors should be given rights to prescribe off label treatments and patients given the right to choose. It must respect human rights. There must be legal liability for government officials, regulatory boards and bodies, pharmaceutical companies and all involved in positions of authority.
Personal	Any treaty should respect individual and government sovereignty and most importantly informed consent to vaccination.
none	Any treaty that about pandemic response must ensure that all decisions about health, treatment protocols, and disease mitigation should remain with individual nations. WHO must not have any power over how individual nations respond to health issues or emergencies. The Unites States must not allow WHO or any other outside entity to have power over health decisions.
none	Any treaty that contravenes in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, the principle of the right to self-determination of peoples is ultravires and therefore illegal and illegitimate. The principle of the right of peoples to self-determination has liberal and democratic roots. Not only does it exclude for a people any form of forced surrender and annexation, but it establishes a link between its consent and the state structure in which it must develop and find its particular well-being. This principle is expressly enshrined in the Charter of the United Nations. Thus, it is up to each State to decide, for and for itself, how to deal with ANY SITUATION REPRESENTING A REAL AND/OR POTENTIAL DANGER, PRESENT AND/OR FUTURE, ACCORDING TO THE INHERENT AND PARTICULAR INTERESTS OF THE NATION, IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS VALUES, CULTURe AND HISTORY. This WHO project involves some form of transfer of national rights to, and forced annexation of, national rights by, an international organization. I, hereby, express my complete opposition to this project.
US Citizen	Any treaty that is repugnant to the US Constitution is null and void. Further, US political leaders are obligated to uphold the Constitution, which does not legally support any agreement, treaty, etc. that they may make to the contrary. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please cease and desist your disrupting influence. We are peace-loving and do not appreciate nor want your governance.
USA	Any treaty that is repugnant to the US Constitution is null and void. Furthermore, US political leaders are obligated to uphold the Constitution, which does NOT legally support any agreement, treaty, etc. that they may make to the contrary. Any action by US leaders in violation of the US Constitution is an act of treason against the US. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. WHO treaties that attempt to remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does NOT have power over the health decisions of the US, but it will attempt a power-grab if the US agrees to an illegally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The citizens of the US will hold you accountable to your word. The US must remain a sovereign nation as it was founded. I and the overwhelmingly majority of US citizens do NOT support the dangerous WHO Pandemic Treaty.
Verbatim A-V	Any treaty that limits the sovereign rights of counties to make their own heath care polices would be tyranny. And will not be accepted.
Retired	Any treaty that overrides and subverts the will of a sovereign nation to respond as they deem appropriate to a global threat (be it health or otherwise) is a dangerous precedent to set and does not bode well for the citizens of any nation. We have observed how the WHO is HUGELY influenced by Big Pharma and has attempted to ram illogical, ill-conceived and downright dangerous solutions to Covid down the throats of citizens worldwide. One size does not (and SHOULD NOT) fit all. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not currently have power over global health decisions and that is how it MUST remain. The WHO is free to make recommendations, but unelected officials should NEVER be granted unlimited power over every single person on earth. This kind of power leads to absolute corruption. We have already experienced massive corruption because these unelected officials have pursued power and money over the best interests of the global population. We should be moving to a less authoritarian system, NOT MORE!"
Individual	Any treaty that overrides and subverts the will of a sovereign nation to respond as they deem appropriate to a global threat (be it health or otherwise), is a dangerous precedent to set and does not bode well for the citizens of any nation. We have observed how the WHO is HUGELY influenced by Big Pharma and has attempted to ram illogical, ill-conceived and downright dangerous treatments and solutions to Covid down the throats of citizens worldwide. One size does not and SHOULD NOT fit all. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not currently have power over global health decisions and that is how it MUST remain. The WHO is free to make recommendations but unelected officials should NEVER be granted unlimited, unconditional power, over every single person on earth. This kind of power leads to absolute corruption and agendas which favor profit over people. We have already experienced massive corruption in the handling of Covid worldwide. We should be moving toward a LESS authoritarian response/system, NOT MORE!
Self	Any treaty that removes power from a nation is dangerous to the health and welfare of the citizens of that nation. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Globally, we are very different in demographics, genetics, food sources, baseline health, access to health care and many other characteristics that factor into assessing risk. A top down approach, especially from an organization that is heavily influenced by a handful of wealthy nations or donors will never serve the best interests of every person on the planet.
Independent	Any treaty that removes power from individuals or individual counties with regard to health measures that know and need to protect their people best should always remain sovereign and independent from any world order or treaty. These decisions need to stay at the local country level and must NOT be a part of a larger controlled governing organization, body or treaty. Such measures will surely thwart the right to any freedoms on humanity.
citizen of the United States	Any treaty that takes away a nation's sovereignty and its individual citizens' right to make their own health decisions are dangerous to the health and welfare of those citizens, including during emergencies and pandemics. Therefore, the core of this agreement should be guidance only, and not coercion, keeping the full autonomy of each nation and its citizens to make their own decisions. There must be the ability to decline to follow any or all parts of the agreement at any time, for any reason, without consequence. Room must be made for physicians, scientists, and researchers who actually analyze the data to think, and speak independently without censorship. New medications and vaccines must be safety tested with tranparency, and never mandated. Manufacturers need to take liability for injuries caused, especially when the side effects and risks have been covered up. No Nuremberg Code violations!

Organization	Written submission
Doe Kelly Voice, Doe Kelly Reiki	ANY treaty that takes away sovereignty and power from the United States of America is wrong, dangerous, and can compromise the health and well-being of ALL Americans. Our health decisions BELONG in the United States, and as close to where we are domiciled as possible. This includes any kind of emergency, health and otherwise, international or domestic. The United States does NOT belong in any broad or international treaties that cede the sovereignty of we the people of the United States of America to any other entity (in this case, the WHO). I and others like me do NOT agree to any legally binding pandemic treaty, to do so, especially in this instance, surrenders the sovereignty of this land we call home to an entity (the WHO) that has repeatedly shown itself to be untrustworthy.
Education	Any type of treaty that allows any organization to dictate to the entire World is not only dangerous, but an attempt to usurp one of our most precious rights - that of CHOICE - choice as to what goes into our bodies, choice as to which therapeutics to pursue, and choice as who and where to be treated, if we wish treatment at all! Emergencies should never be an exception!
US citizen	Any United pandemic response must have a rule allowing for the respected dissent of any affected party/parson. Whatever unified policy that is recommended must allow for people who do not want to participate, to be allowed to do so without repercussion. Thanks Gilberto Garza
Te Poho Collective	Any use of force or an international pandemic preparedness and response tool or treaty that undermines - or intentionally disregards- New Zealand's foundational treaty, Te Tiriti ō Waitangi is illegal, dangerous and should not proceed. This is because: For best health, National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It needs to be safe for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This process has not been An open and transparent. All People have not had the ability to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. People of the world must be protected from Measures of influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hrcbr llc	Any WHO action 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any companies or other global health profiteers. 5, People maintain their freedom to choose medical treatment. No government can force an individual to participate in treatment.
chiropracdtic central	Any WHO guidance for Pandemic or any emergency should absolutely look first to give each nation absolutely authority to ultimately decide which policies to take to safeguard the people o f that country. The WHO can never have authority to over rule the elected governing bodies of all nation states. Who guidance should be just that-guidance for the world . The guidance should always be science based and as conservative as possible as to evasiveness. T he human bodies own immune system should be enhanced and supported before all other procedures are promoted.
None	Any WHO international agreement should include clear written statements that WHO provides recommendations or "strong recommendations" and not international laws or mandates, nor can WHO include any punishment. Pandemic response must be decided by individuals which may done in consultation with their own physicians and local, state and/or their nation's pandemic recommendations. Pandemic decisions cannot be mandated on an international basis.
United States Citizen	Any WHO Pandemic Agreement must: 1. Include the Nuremberg code, the Hippocratic Oath, and Doctor-Patient confidentiality, above all WHO powers. 2. Preserve State sovereignty as plenary above all WHO powers. 3. Explicitly notify each person of the difference between vaccines and all mRNA or DNA modifications or treatments, and their hazards. 4. Forbid all gain of function research that might make pathogens more deadly. 5. Require the Rule of Law with supreme law necessitating 2/3rds Legislative approval and ratification by 3/4ths of all Legislatures. 6. Require Constitutional Supreme law of each State have precedence over all WHO administrative rules. 7. Require a Bill of Rights with all elements of the English Bill of Rights 1689, and the United States Constitution's Bill of Rights. 8. Preserve as supreme law the unalienable rights of each individual and groups in all physical and online public forums, with the WHO forbidden to make any law, act, or rule respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition all WHO and signatory government authorities for a redress of grievances. 9. Establish in supreme law unalienable rights of each person to access, purchase, and obtain food, drink, clothing, shelter and the essentials to maintain life and livelihood for themselves, their relatives, and dependents, including physical and online access to information, medications, medical advice from their physician of choice, and associated financial transactions.
None	Any WHO pandemic treaty should include the following so a not to usurp individual right: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Any WHO recommendations should remain voluntary to follow – they should not override states' own rules and legislations or impose any fines or other consequences for non compliance on the member states. Every patient should be able to decide on the choice of treatment suitable for them without coercion or any consequences (e.g. financial) especially if that treatment violates integrity of their body or their beliefs or if the treatment is experimental. The WHO should not recommend the use of medications or treatments (e.g. vaccines) that are undergoing clinical trials and whose effectiveness and safety is unknown beyond several months (medical experiments by Nuremberg Code 1947). Otherwise, the public must be informed through official state media about the fact that they are taking part in an experiment and that possible adverse reactions are unknown. Pandemic characteristics should include terms 'high mortality and severe illness in all age groups' not just in selected age groups. High contagiousness alone should not be the key factor for imposing anti-pandemic measures. Natural immunity should be recognised and included in WHO's activities as the history of medicine has proven that natural immunity is far superior to that artificially-induced. All contracts with pharmaceutical companies as well as WHO meetings should be made public and publicly accessible via WHO website. WHO board members with conflicts of interest should be excluded from the decision making process. Completely independent doctors and scientist (with no vested interest or ties with pharmaceutical companies) should be involved in decision making process.
Alaska Air Group	Any WHO response to ANY pandemic should respect the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RECONOMICS Institute	Any WHO treaties that remove power from the United States are dangerous to the health of Americans. Health decisions should stay as close to home as possible, especially in emergencies. WHO doesn't currently have power over our health decisions, but they will if the USA agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution says: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." They should live up to that promise.
Self	Any WHO treaty should have the following details and guidelines that must be adhered to for any person living in that person's locality: 1. National, state or regional, and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, states/regions, and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, will not be blocked. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The WHO treaty should also stipulate that it will support an accurate, legitimate, neutral audit of the votes cast by the general public concerning health matters during a pandemic. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers will be maintained at all times.
LTCG	Any WHO treaty that is agreed to WILL remove power from the United States of America and ALL other countries and is dangerous to the health and welfare of world citizens. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Decisions must be made between a doctor and his/her patient and NOT by some organization from thousands of miles away. Furthermore, the WHO IS NOT a representative body--members are NOT elected by the people whom they purport to represent. In other words, unelected bureaucrats can make decisions that profoundly affect world citizens WITHOUT input from those directly affected. THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO NEED FOR A WORLD PANDEMIC TREATY.
Parent	Any WHO treaty that removes power from the United Staes is dangerous to the health and welfare of all Americans. All health decisions need to stay close to home, even in emergencies. The WHO does not yet have power over health decisions, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Self	Any WHO treaty that removes power from the US is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. The proposed treaty is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." According to the WHO's own words, we must have an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures that pertain to their own health.
general public	Any WHO treaty, agreement, convention or other international instrument should guarantee personal choice in health care issues.
Do We Need This	Any world pandemic agreement must 1. Be only at the consent of the local people affected shown through referendum. 2. The organization must be completely transparent with the funding of the organization and the participants in deliberative bodies revealed. 3. There must be no influence by pharmaceutical companies or those invested in pharmaceutical companies. 4. No pharmaceutical or non-pharmaceutical measures may be taken without full liability of the manufacturers of the products and full liability of those ordering the measures.
Life	Anybody who has studied to human body very carefully knows that there is not one size that fits all whatsoever. Not all trees are the same, not all animals are the same, water from different parts of the world even has its own unique structure. So trying to pass a law that takes the freedom away from people being responsible for their own bodies is Obviously for someone who wants control and is ignorant of what makes this earth so beautiful which is diversity!
None	Anything created that will attempt to catalog, identify, or subjugate the human population needs to be stopped immediately. The ideals of the few should never be imposed on anyone. Freedom is much more important and should never be eradicated in the name of supposed health concerns.
Hauraki Flooring	Anything implemented best not have any power over the rights of the individual and bodily autonomy. You will not have the power to compel lockdowns or vaccinations or any other abuse on the individual. Don't even think about it. If you think you saw protests over the last couple of years you have no idea what will happen if the WHO attempts to take over global health. You have zero mandate. If you try it your organization and your jobs will be gone. You do not have the authority.
Myself	Anything that keeps free people from being truly free is against the laws of nature. Leave free people alone and that includes every single being on this planet. We do not need anyone or organization having any type of say in what the people should and shouldn't do even during a Pandemic. That clearly hasn't worked well at all the last 2 years. Thank you.
none	Anything that removes individual countries ability to handle a pandemic in the way they want to, I am against. I don't believe the WHO taking over countries during a pandemic is the solution here. Individual countries know what is best for their people and need to be kept in control and connection with their citizens. WHO treaties that remove power from the US and or any country are dangerous and is an over reach of power. Please stop reaching and grabbing for power that belongs to each country.
None	Anything that removes power from America and gives it to a foreign entity should be voted against. Our country knows what it needs better than a foreign policy maker. Thank you.
International Labour Organization	Appropriate investment in the health sector and its workforce is needed to ensure resilience of health systems to be well prepared for public health crises. The critical role of the health workforce in pandemic preparedness calls for measures to protect and support health workers. The "ILO Global Call to Action for Human-centred Recovery from the COVID-19 crisis" requests to make sure that those workers at higher risk of exposure to COVID–19 and those at greater risk of negative health impacts, such as healthcare workers and all other frontline workers, have access to vaccines, personal protective equipment, training, testing and psychosocial support, and that they are adequately remunerated and protected at work, including against excessive workloads. Social dialogue, involving governments, employers' and workers' organizations, plays an important role in the response to the pandemic in many countries and sectors. Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, maritime and transport workers have been severely impacted by government measures to control the spread of the virus, with an adverse impact on economic, environmental, and social sustainability. The new instrument should contain elements drawing on the many declarations, resolutions and other instruments adopted individually and jointly by UN agencies and the General Assembly to ensure that Governments have legal and operational measures in place to comply fully with their obligations under international law to protect workers. The safeguard of workers' fundamental principles and rights at work, as enshrined in the 1998 ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work, are of importance, and for seafarers the Maritime Labour Convention, 2006, as amended.
None	April 10th, 2022 Pandemic Preparedness Treaty/Agreement - Statement I am against any treaty and/or pandemic plan implemented by the World Health Organization (WHO). There should not be any international instrument on pandemic preparedness/reponse. With Covid-19 WHO has proven itself to be unwilling or unable to manage health matters with any kind of credible scientific modalities. Unproven methods such as lockdowns, dangerous experimental vaccines, social distancing, and masks have caused harm with no benefit. Failing to endorse early effective treatment with repurposed drugs has cost many thousands of lives. Bruce Coary 1146 Julie Lane Crete, IL 60417 USA
Metta	April 12, 2022 "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I claim my constitutional and soviergn right to say that a one size fits all to a "Legal Binding Global Pandemic Treaty" is not the solution to a global pandemic. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible including when an emergency exists. That being said, All American Leaders must "Not" agree to a Legal Binding Global Pandemic Treaty that removes power from the USA and is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Respectfully Yours, Karla Ramsey
none	April 12, 2022 Dear WHO: Regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: My requests are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, John Ost retired clinical microbiologist Vancouver, Washington 98664
US citizen	April 13, 2022 Please stop the WHO Global Pandemic Treaty! We are not in a state of Emergency. There are safe and effective treatments which have been scientifically documented in numerous peer reviewed journals and are not experimental gene altering Covid 19 injections. Based on the US VAERS data which are only reported at ~1%, " experimental genetic modified Covid 19 injections" have caused great harm, death, and injury since their roll out in December of 2020. A one size fits all approach is not appropriate ie: "the SARS CoV 2 vaccine" supported by the WHO does not apply to all individuals. Certainly, people do not develop innate natural immunity with lockdowns, masking, social distancing, and ongoing "vaccinations". It is well researched that the "experimental genetically modified Covid 19 injections" made from the original Wuhan strain do not prevent transmission, infection, or viral replication. Lastly, this WHO Global Pandemic Treaty does not respect our inalienable human rights, or the autonomy over one's body, our constitutional liberties, and the freedoms guaranteed by our U.S. constitution; all of which are necessary for our health, livelihoods, and well being. Our children, grandchildren, and future generations depend upon our strong voices to raise questions, concerns, and outright objections to the proposed WHO Global Pandemic Treaty. Thank you for the opportunity to express my significant concerns.
CPU Canadian Peoples Union	April 13, 2022 I am against this treaty. I do not want the WHO to make any treaty with my country and to make any decision for my country. I am for the following; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Natural law and civil rights pillars like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. Sincerely, Slavica Vukmanovic
AMBULATING REPUBLIC	Art. 1: Sólo mi autoridad es soberana y declaro nulos todos los contratos notificados y aceptados inconscientemente en el pasado que vayan en contra de este principio. Esto no es negociable. Art.3: Como ser libre y soberano vivo, ninguna autoridad o ley que no haya reconocido y consentido expresamente puede tener ascendencia o impacto sobre mi persona. Art.2: Mi consentimiento debe obtenerse de manera honesta y completa para todo lo que me concierne directa o indirectamente. Por lo tanto, no puede extraerse mediante engaños o mentiras. Art.4: No consiento ningún acto negativo dirigido contra mí, o contra cualquier otro Ser vivo. Art.5: No consiento en ninguna limitación de mi libre albedrío. Art.6: Este nuevo contrato irrevocable surtirá efecto inmediata y retroactivamente _ Art.7: Me reservo el derecho a modificar este contrato en cualquier momento y para cualquier motivo que considere necesario. Me niego a consentir cualquier contrato firmado con la OMS en el que no doy mi consentimiento sobre pandemias inventadas, con vacunas o experimentos génicos que no son eficaces, eficientes, efectivas, ni inmunizan o cualquier contrato que realicen los gobiernos corporativos con la corporación OMS. La OMS es una corporación y no tiene juridiccion sobre un ser humano vivo y real, no tiene autoridad sobre los seres humanos vivos. Firma; Ramón. Sin perjuicio, UCC1-308
The Peoples Treaty	Article 1 Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Article 2 The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Article 3 Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Article 4 The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected Article 5 Discrimination based on medical status is wrong. Article 6 Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. There is already egregious, unconscionable overreach of powers by government that has occurred under the name of safety and health. Individual human rights are inalienable, no moral legitimate government has the right to violate anyones bodily medical autonomy. I am against any further implementation of national or international policies and am strongly urging htose that exist to be vetted and rescinded. d
None	As "Covid-19 pandemic" has shown in recent years, all measures that have been undertaken to combat "the pandemic" have failed in providing an adequate and accurate response. What is more, they have proven to have brought more damage than benefit to the world's population altogether. Therefore, I strongly oppose any actions and measures to be taken by WHO, as this institution can not be trusted, for thus far it has been working only for the benefit of big pharma corporations or certain individuals, so called philanthropists, and they are the only ones that have profited immensely from so called "Covid-19 pandemic", which has been only virtual, i.e. fabricated by corrupted mainstream media. Hence, a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is NOT NEEDED at all. Also, there is no need to negotiate any of WHO convention, agreement or other international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response! All countries should be free in decision making in regard to their individual health security and status, and retain and maintain each its own sovereignty in that matter. I strongly oppose signing any treaty by any country belonging to UN, including Poland, aimed at empowering WHO, or any other institution, with decision making in the arias of health and welfare of citizens around the world. NO MORE VACCINES!
Canadian Citizen	As a Canadian citizen I do not support this treaty as it has not been given the full weight of public debate. Before we agree to the loss of our sovereignty we need a public referendum. Yours sincerely, Marlene Smith
Citizen of Canada	As a Canadian citizen, I believe it is important that any treaty does not supersede an individual country's ability to formulate its own policy response to health issues or to any other issues for that matter. A one-size fits all policy is not appropriate and further removes policy-makers from accountability to the citizens of individual countries. In effect it becomes a dictatorship by unelected and unaccountable officials.
SD23 Parents Advisory Council	As a Canadian I feel it is imperative that our country and my province retain full autonomy in making public health decisions for our citizens. To that point our local regional, provincial and federal health authorities at each level should have the option to opt out of any WHO directed health initiatives or guidance without penalty or consequence.
Canadian citizen	As a Canadian-born mother and grandmother, I strongly oppose implementation of any new "instrument" that assigns or avails ANY body global power at any time. The citizens of the world must be made aware of, engaged in and widely consulted regarding any such initiative. It must not be enacted without true global awareness. National and local leadership should retain autonomy and decide independently what is best for their own people. National and local leaders should be given any right to opt out of such sweeping measures, as they see fit, without consequences. An open and transparent process must allow all the people of the world to vote on this, with failsafe measures to prevent application in any place where the majority do not agree to accept it. The only appropriate measures would prevent the pharmaceutical industry, bureaucrats or global profiteers from assuming such power. Democracy, independence, bodily autonomy, freedom of choice and free will are ultimate human rights which are direly threatened by such heavy-handed measures. We, the citizens of the world, voted for our governments (not the W.H.O.) to protect and preserve these rights. Sincerely Kristina
None	As a citizen born and raised in the sovereign democratic nation of Canada I do not agree with the proposed global health treaty. I do not want Canada to participate in this or any other treaty which takes away individual rights to one's own medical choices. I believe this treaty interferes with democracy and as WHO is not formed by a democratic election process I feel Canada as a whole would be giving away the rights of our government and citizenry. We should not give in to global pressure or give away power , especially as I understand that the decision would be irrevocable. If any pandemic preparedness is required, our country should make its own decisions with the input of its citizenry.
Private citizen	As a citizen I am very opposed to the WHO being in charge of the world pandemic response. I prefer that my nations sovereignty remain. WHO supersedes the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. I strongly object to this. No one agency should have that much power over any other country. There is no way they can make good decisions that fit the good of those citizens because every country and it's population are vastly different in needs and priorities. It simply creates another agency to drain away resources from the real issues at hand.
Individual	As a citizen if the United States I oppose any treaty or other agreement that allows any person or organization authority over my constitution. My freedoms and rights, in this case regarding my health, are not for any group to limit or suppress. This proposed treaty is a gross over-reach by an organization whose purpose is to advise - only.
N/A	As a citizen in my country, in my community, and on this beautifully-created blue and green planet, it is my responsibility, as it is the responsibility of us all, to become stewards of our own health, our families, our communities, our economy, our governments (namely, on a regional, municipal, provincial, and national level) and follow and execute my government's current emergency response plans (which were effective, with the exception of the COVID Pandemic, when governments reinvented the wheel and only focused on healthcare systems). Therefore: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open, transparent, fair and reasonable process (e.g. media press releases, enough time for citizens and organizations to respond without restricting freedom of conveying the message in a respectful manner) with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time in reviewing my submission, Respectfully, Christine Schumann, A Responsible Canadian Citizen 001-780-920-9586
Piotr Malecki	As a citizen of a sovereign country and European Union, which is not in favour of communist ideas of depriving countreis and citzens from basic freedoms and human rights I would like to ask you to decline the project which is subject to these consultations.
None	As a citizen of a sovereign country and European Union, which is not in favour of communist ideas of depriving countries and citizens from basic freedoms and human rights I would like to ask you to decline the project which is subject to these consultations.
Civilian	As a citizen of Canada I am against a global organization making any decisions regarding pandemic preparedness. Personal autonomy is paramount. We citizens should have the right to choose how our country reacts. There are too many different values globally and vast differences in wealth and access to resources.

Organization	Written submission
Personal	As a citizen of Canada I ask the following to be part of the treaty if it happens: Calling for: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	As a citizen of EU I am strongly against the "treaty". A growing amount of data suggests, that the whole "Covid response" was a disaster of unmitigated proportions. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. People demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.
zfp	As a citizen of Germany I am against of a pandemic contract. There is no need for this. For everyone it have to be his own will for a vaccine .
Physician in own practice	As a citizen of Germany I don't feel represented by the WHO an the presented plans. I want, that my own government proves the impact of any illness on the people by itself and figures out, what kind of measures and treatments are the best. I expect serious research on different illnesses in my own country and consecutive proposes of treatment based on the outcome of the studies. And I want absolute sovereignty of my own country and government in deciding measures concerning healthy of citicens. In general I appreciate a constructive collaboration of the different countries bringing together the results of their research.
Citizen of Malaysia	As a citizen of Malaysia, I oppose then new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as this is undemocratic and unlawful to any democratic country. Malaysia has its' own Constitution and rule of law as well as its' own laws for health. Our Consitution protects the rights of every citizens' freedom to choose. Whether it be health, medical, education, finance, freedom to travel, etc., we the citizens of Malaysia are sovereign and we have the right to make our own choices. No organisations can take our rights away. I object to this instrument on the pandemic prepardness and response and any minister from Malaysia who signs such treaty will be deemed illegal, null and void as the government does not have the referendum nor the mandate from the people. Therefore, the treaty will also be deemed as such.
University of Malta	As a citizen of the free, sovereign, democratic country of Malta, I do not condone, support or approve that a non-elected body should be given any power over a sovereign country such as Malta's laws and constitution in any event, including that of a pandemic. This is contrary to all logic and personal health choices. Over-riding personal decision making with regard to an individual's health or a foreign nation's laws is contrary to all democratic processes, regardless of where we are globally located. Moreso, due to the differing needs of different countries' populations and their underlying health and healthcare priorities, resources, and ethnic characteristics, it is absolutely vital that each country retains full autonomy on all matters of health to protect against creating further pain or suffering induced by possible unfit regulations imposed during a pandemic; as was often the case during the Covid-19 pandemic. Noting also, there is no event that can extenuate subjection. Every country should be free to opt out of any or all of the WHO's recommendations which might be created pursuant to this instrument, without sanction or other consequences. Lastly, such treaties must only be adopted following a period of open and transparent debate. It is abundantly clear the WHO is no longer independent of private corporations or other bodies which profit directly or otherwise from it's recommendations, yet hold undue influence over the organization through substantial and regular donors. Every citizen must be given the opportunity to judge for themselves whether the sources of funding might be influencing policy recommendations.
Moederhart	As a citizen of the Netherlands, I object to the transfer of power to the WHO. Important decisions concerning the health and well-being of citizens are primarily a matter of democratic decision-making. Cross-border measures may only be taken on the basis of the consent of national parliaments. Fundamental rights must be guaranteed at all times. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We demand: That funding should be provided by member states never by private companies! Adjustment of guidelines regarding pandemic definitions, treatment modalities and immunity should only be made after an open and transparent scientific debate. Measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
NA (Healthcare professional)	As a citizen of the republic of Ireland with its own constitution, I am deeply concerned by the intention of the WHO to ultimately override the inherent democratic rights over sovereign states and its peoples. This is both immoral and unconstitutional according to our constitution which was instituted at the beginning of the Irish state to safeguard the inalienable rights of Irish people including how they are governed. ARTICLE 6 states that "All powers of government, legislative, executive and judicial, derive, under God, from the people, whose right it is to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy, according to the requirements of the common good". ARTICLE15 also states "The sole and exclusive power of making laws for the State is hereby vested in the Oireachtas: no other legislative authority has power to make laws for the State". It is therefore imperative that Ireland retains the ability to decide on its own health policies tailored to the needs of its citizens, as concluded by medical and other experts of its own choosing. It is equally important that Ireland retain the ability to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as it sees fit having consulted with its citizens, by referendrum if necessary. It is also vital that there are instruments and measures in place to preclude any interference or influence in any proposed policies either by pharmaceutical companies or other organisations with vested interests.
citizen of US	As a citizen of the United States I am keenly aware of the need to allow critical decisions that need to be made concerning any impactful events or pandemic illness to be made by those who are a part of our country and realize the need to uphold our constitution which better serves our healthcare needs and welfare. Therefore, maintaining our status quo, ensuring citizens' guaranteed rights would allow each person to bring their objectives, their creative responses, their abilities to the forefront increasing the potential for solving, addressing, and sharing specific contributions leading to better outcomes. There is great potential for negative outcomes if one leadership and subsequent rule of govern were enacted, such as lack of service to realize the need for individualized medical care, individualize right to self govern/self manage, and the very real threat of dictatorship arising. Power to decide must be left to each country, most directly its citizens, so potential threats can be met with a diverse means by utilizing the aptitude of the citizens, in this case the United States and then be "brought to the table" to be offered and shared. It is unnecessary to enact any rule of govern, constitution, or any other element of direction when a country has already in place such. To do so is actually a threat of invasion and takeover. Therefore, I see the greatest potential to mount any successful agenda for preparedness and response to pandemic threats is to allow each country to utilize their own constitution ensuring the citizens can freely bring their best, live their best, and contribute their best to limit and contain pandemic threats.
private citizen	As a citizen of the United States I believe that it is vitally important that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. All nations and local municipalities should have full athority to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need for all people of the world to have access to an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. People must be provided with the ability to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally we need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We should not be allowing profit motivated people and corporations from controlling the citizens of the world.
Self	As a citizen of the United States I have freedom of choice. I choose to NOT GIVE UP THAT RIGHT over my bodily choices. I CHOOSE what does or does not be mandated by anyone else. My body..... My choice!!!!!!! My country or the world does not have this right.
USA Citizen	As a citizen of the United States I reject the proposal by the WHO. The individual nations should provide policy to their people, not the WHO. This is a God given right not to be circumvented by any organization of nonelected officials.
US Citizen	As a citizen of the United States I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution.
None	As a citizen of the United States I would not agree with giving power to the World Health Organization with a legally binding pandemic treaty. Decisions about America's health should be made by people who live in America not by anyone else. We should not give other countries the power to make decisions when it comes to what is best for the American people. An organization that removes power from the United States is a danger to the welfare and health of the American people. When an emergency happens America should be the only country to be responsible for the action that needs to be taken to solve that emergency, not another country. Please put American people first.
YUMA KIDNEY & DIALYSIS SPECIALISTS	As a citizen of the United States of America my government is obliged to follow the U.S. Constitution first and foremost; any international agreements must be made with this in mind. The follow 4 points need to be included with ANY WHO agreements. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	As a citizen of the United States of America there are no any substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response governing the United States of America. An international instrument such as this would infringe on the sovereignty of the Untied States of America. As a citizen of the United States of America, the WHO and the United Nations organizations do not have authority over me or my fellow citizens. We reject any and all attempts by the United Nations to usurp our personal and national authority or autonomy.
None	As a citizen of the United States of America where we are born free and will die free, I would like to respond to the WHOs inquiry by stating that there should be NO global international response for any pandemics. Each state and county can decide on our own how we would like to respond to a pandemic. We can make our own choices and vote on a ballot. Just as we have with COVID-19 and Florida thrived despite our lack of mandates, we will do so again. Thank you
Citizen of the United States	As a citizen of the United States of America, I am not beholden to a consortium of unelected officials who seek to determine what is best for my health and well-being. The preparedness document being drafted should be a resource for consideration by the member nations and not a dictate which must be followed. The integrity of individual nations must continue to supersede an unelected body that does not answer to citizens of individual countries and whose policies are opaque.
electrolyte laboratories	As a citizen of the United States of America, I am completely opposed to this treaty.
United States Citizen	As a citizen of the United States of America, I am only beholden to our Constitution, a document that our Founding Fathers crafted with great care. No outside organization, particularly the WHO, should infringe on our sovereignty, particularly our individual freedoms. If we have learned nothing else form the last 2, we should NEVER allow unelected beaurocrats to dictate public health policy. The WHO should be supporting scientific debate as it relates to public health and should never be actively censoring relevant science as they and so many governments did throughout the last "pandemic". Thank you
None	As a citizen of the United States of America, I believe it to be critically important to maintain the individual's right to make personal Healthcare decisions and that any such decision-making needs to stay as close to home as possible...even in the event of emergencies. Turning over that power to WHO or Any other entity outside of the United States, would have disastrous effects on the health & wellbeing of the citizens of this sovereign nation.
Concerned Citizen of the United States of America	As a citizen of the United States of America, I believe strongly that each country and subdivision thereof should take precautions and set rules for pandemics individually. There is no "one size fits all" that would be appropriate for such a massive mandate. The laws and rules to regulate these types of issues or health problems can be handled best by the local citizens and officials of each area. I am ardently opposed to the WHO organization overseeing this issue. Sincerely, Patricia Rogers
none	As a citizen of the United States of America, I'm accustomed to and require continuous freedom of movement, bodily autonomy free of coercion, and fully informed freedom of choice and consent regarding any medical actions. I require that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I require that the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit is maintained without consequence. I require that there is maintained an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I oppose measures which allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	As a citizen of the United States or America, protected by the American Constitution, I believe that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I recommend that provisions include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We must protect the individual rights of peoples and of nations. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We cannot allow big money from big pharma to dictate this process. Please include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Yvonne Welz
WAPGLO	As a citizen of the United States, I do not want any disease to be part of a pandemic. It is my god given right to have the freedom to decide what I choose. I am not in favor of any Treaty that takes individual rights taken from human beings. I am not in favor of any Treaty that will over ride our constitution.
Citizen of the United States if America	As a citizen of the United States, I do not want WHO dictating medical freedoms, procedures and rights of the United States. This organization should not be given rights over that of the individual stage governments or our federal government. This is not in the best interest of our citizens. Thank you.
Self	As a citizen of the United States, I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. We oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. We also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. The undersigned respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution. Please stand with the American people and keep health at home and stop a global WHO power grab for financing, private health data, and authority.
Self	As a citizen of the United States, it should always be upheld that I have the right to medical freedom. There is never one blanket solution that Will effectively combat any emergency situation: each country is unique, each region is unique, each community is unique, each individual is unique. This is why levels of government have varying roles and are a function of the desires of the people they represent. Anytime power gets transferred to an entity too far removed from the individual needs, effectiveness of those decisions suffer drastically. #stopthetreaty
United States Citizen	As a citizen of the United States, the Constitution of this country governs our people not international agencies. Our elected officials have NO constitutional authority to enter into any agreements with such agencies that would restrict the people or create laws within our borders. Our country's citizens will not recognize any agreements formed or created during this meeting.
Concerned mom	As a citizen of the US I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution. Please stand with the American people and keep health at home and stop a global WHO power grab for financing, private health data, and authority. I'm in charge of medical decisions surrounding my family!! Me and no One else!
citizen	As a citizen of the US strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. We also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. The undersigned respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution.
concerned citizen of the United States of America	As a citizen of the US, I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. The undersigned respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution. Please stand with the American people and keep health at home and stop a global WHO power grab for financing, private health data, and authority.
None	As a citizen of the US, I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. We oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. We also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. The undersigned respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution. Please stand with the American people and keep health at home and stop a global WHO power grab for financing, private health data, and authority.
US Citizen	As a citizen of the US, I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty or individual rights in any area, but especially public health. I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis, thereby denying our Constitutional rights. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty and rights, especially during times of emergency, so that the entire global community can be protected. I respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution.
US citizen	As a citizen of the US, we MUST maintain our sovereignty. I require this unelected "GLOBAL COMMITTEE" must include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. As a registered nurse and clinical scientist, I understand patients and data. What has occured the last 2 years was not in the best interests of any human being in the world. The censoring of valid data, the fascist lockdowns, mandatory vaccines all for the benefit of dishonest pharma companies is unacceptable and the TRUTH will come out.
American Citizen	As a citizen of the USA, I do NOT want the United States to be obligated in any way to be subjected to partake in any of the WHO mandates regarding any pandemics. The United States should operate as it's own country and continue to follow our own Constitution!
Member citizens for free speech	As a citizen of the USA, our constitution requires that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Al nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Any negotiation for this agreement must include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Sovereign nations can work together without abdication of their citizens individual rights. Measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none of your business	As a citizen of the world I demand that you stop this nonsense NOW. Cease and desist all activities with respect to vaccine passports. This is not and should not be any part of WHO jurisdiction.
None	As a citizen of the world I would like to comment that we are not interested in a revamping of our international pandemic response. Top heavy organizations like the WHO need to keep to recommendations and providing supportive information and keep their one size fits all cultures and countries approach off our planet. Efforts to unify global responses are more likely to end oppression and human rights abuses than they are to save our species.
Individual	As a citizen of this world, I feel strongly that this international agreement should empower individual nation states to make decisions based on what is best for their country with advice and consultation from WHO on best practices. Nation states and local municipalities should be allowed to opt out of certain portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It is imperative that the elements in the agreement not be influenced in any way shape or form by any pharmaceutical company, global corporations or private institution. There should be an open and transparent process so that individual citizens of the world are able to vote on measures that prevent the implementation of the global agreement where majority of the people do not want it. Thank you.
Citizen	As a citizen speaking, my physical well-being is MY personal responsibility; it is my decision how I want to medicate and treat my body, not the government's choice. I do not want the city, state or country I live in to be dictated to from any entity or to me how I shall medicate or treat my body. Worldwide health mandates impede upon MY personal medical choices and I implore you to not instate them.
United States Citizen	As a concerned citizen and healthy person, I implore you to consider the following in your decision-making: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	As a concerned citizen of Ireland, I would be firm in my views that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people with regard to health policy and pandemic response, whilst guided by international evidence based proven methods of response. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is core to self determination of any country. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is fundamental to democratic due process. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be maintained and bodily autonomy safeguarding.
US Citizen	As a Concerned Citizen of the United States of America, I believe the following: National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please respectfully consider human inalienable rights for autonomy.
Na	As a concerned citizen of the world, I believe the following elements should be included: 1) That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement where the majority of people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influences in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	As a concerned citizen, I believe that a global agreement is NOT necessary, as it will infringe on each country's democracy and every country should be in charge of it's own health policies. Not to mention that every individual should be in charge of his own health and medical interventions should never be made mandatory, as this goes against Human Rights.
Member of the public	As a concerned member of the general public regarding a global pandemic preparedness treaty I submit my comments. That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, absolutely reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of individual nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and fully transparent process enabling all people of the world to vote on, including absolute failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global pandemic preparedness agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be in place that give absolutely no influence whatsoever to any and all pharmaceutical companies and any and all other global health profiteers, in the process. The World Health Organisation should not control individual nations and deprive their citizens of their inalienable rights to make decisions about their own lives and bodies. The failure during covid 19 of the many, and now proven totally ineffective restrictions imposed on people's freedom, has sadly destroyed vast numbers of lives and livelihoods. The rollout of a rushed experimental gene therapy injection which was promoted as safe and effective, has caused many deaths and disabilities. Many people were coerced into taking these damaging injections being told it was for the good of others but it's now known that is not the case. These things must never be forced on people again.
Independent	As a concerned member of the public, I believe strongly that an international treaty for pandemic responses as defined by the WHO, is not advisable. Countries need to retain their sovereignty when deciding how to address health crises, which are not 'one-size-fits-all' matters. Such a treaty would take health decisions out of the hands of the local population and, by so doing, may prove uninformed and possibly dangerous. I am asking you to reject such an over-reaching scheme so that health decisions of all kinds may continue to be made on a local basis, including for any 'emergency' situations. Sincerely, Lesley Johnson
citizen of the United States of America	As a concerned parent, active community and world wide citizen. I am vehemently opposed to WHO treaties that take power away from the United States and are dangerous to the well being and health of Americans and all humans.
US Citizen	As a concerned US citizen, I do not support an international instrument on pandemic preparedness. I believe each country should determine what is best, since each country has unique governments that are working on behalf of their constituents.
private	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100 % of WHO funding. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body.
Non	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
Midwife	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
privat	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
Private	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
Privat	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
privat	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
private	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance and mandatory vaccines must be forbidden. The public must be included in all decision making. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law

Organization	Written submission
privat	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I GENERALLY OPPOSE AN INTERNATIONAL, MANDATORY PANDEMIC TREATY
Privat	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private Citizen	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process
Privfate	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance!
Initiative Ludesch IL	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance!
Sanatorium Kettenbrücke	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance.
Privat	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance.
Österreicher	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance.
Private citizen	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
private	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. But I am generally opposed to an international, binding pandemic agreement. Since the WHO gets 50-80% of its annual budget from private "donors" or investors, I have little confidence that anything decided will really be in the interest of health or for the people.
private	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Ingenieur	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body.
No organization	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. All measures must take into account human rights, social inequalities and environmental and climate protection. International instruments on pandemic preparedness must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Private	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Producers must have the Liability for vaccines or medicines.
Private	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Producers must have the Liability for vaccines or medicines.
Vorhauer Verwaltungs GmbH	As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Producers must have the Liability for vaccines or medicines.
Private person	As a condition of a pandemic contract, memberstates must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibitet in advance. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated masstesting.
-	As a condition of the WHO, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Free citizen. I am not in an organization	As a cotizen of the World I do not agree on having the WHO deciding for me on what I put into my body, or what type of medicine I take regarding my health. NO to Big Pharma and its dangerous vaccines and medications.
	As a demonstrably dangerous and failed organization such as WHO attempts anything new, they cannot possibly garner support for anything they propose to undertake. Your organization is a degenerate substitute for anything genuinely associated and concerned with the wellbeing of people anywhere. Tribunals are what that await you and all who support you. WHO is a shameful fraud that should be shut down immediately.
Ancient traditions natural medicine LLC	As a doctor treating individual patients, I am writing to strongly request that medical decisions and responses, including those of pandemic proportion, be left up to the individual communities. A worldwide response to any health situation takes away from so many factors that differ amongst individuals, communities, ethnicities. To create any requirements, plans or responses that affect "all humans of the world" is shortsighted and against what being a human is. I treat patients every single day who all require different treatments for their health challenges. There is no one-size-fits-all. Please respect that. Leave these choices up to individuals and their personal doctors and individual communities. Please do not feel that any organization, including the WHO, should make blanket decisions for all. Thank you for your consideration of my comment.
Self	As a EU member, the rights for the community to make their own decisions is important as we have an elected members who we respect to make decisions on our behalf. It should not be enforced by a non elected or voted body. Hence we should have the option to opt out of any agreements which do not meet the needs of our community, without any consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be implemented that do not allow for or be influenced in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The interests of Pharmaceutical Companies and Other Global Health Profiteers should be made completely transparent and any profits should not be allowed as long as the companies are not held accountable for or the products are still in emergency use only.
Port Hope Health Center	As a family doctor and mother of four children, I am writing to urge the following substantive elements be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1/ Provision for national and locally elected leadership to retain full autonomy and sovereignty 2/ The ability for national and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions they see fit, without consequence 3/ Measures to ensure democratic, and transparent processes and safeguards against influence of pharmaceutical industry and other global health profiteers.
Allina Health	As a family physician, I am appalled that medical decision making has been taken out of the hands of patients and their local trusted medical provider and put into the hands of large corporations and employers and global "experts" A one size fits all plan for public and personal health and well being has no place in modern medicine. Africa is not Atlanta!
Father	As a father of three teenage children it would be impossible to provide the necessary degree of unbiased 'Informed Consent' to these human beings, from the information provided by the World Health Organisation. I am certainly not going to put myself in a position where any of my children are going to ask me why I did not warn them of the long term effects later in their lives. If I was only following the information and suggestions by the WHO as a global health authority I believe that I would be in neglect of my responsibilities and obligations. There is sufficient peer reviewed credible scientific data and studies available to strongly suggest that there is no good reason why the young population should follow guidelines espoused by the WHO. The legal maximum of 'beyond reasonable doubt', or even a 'balance of probabilities' simply does not exist if applied to the principles of the WHO in regards to this COVID pandemic and global vaccination programme. It is my informed view that thi makes the current agenda irresponsible on a global scale. The potential future risk to the younger generation is sufficient to immediately halt and reconsider the existing WHO guidelines. It is plausible that financial and political pressure has created a non-scientific group think scenario in which the WHO has compromised its own values.
Humanity	As a father, grandfather, I would like to see that individual sovereignty and self determination be the bedrock of any health organisation, and that all meetings decisions and governance are transparent and decisions are voted on by the people/public. This has to be implemented before any pandemic preparedness or 'other' health issues. Thank you!
-	As a former Registered Nurse who has worked in the hospital system in Australia and as a citizen of a democratic country, I oppose the World Health Organization's (WHO)'s moves to implement a global pandemic agreement. The proposed WHO agreement is not necessary and is a threat to sovereignty and unalienable rights. It looks like a power grab to further seize control and declare unjustifiable pandemics, impose unhealthy lockdowns, dehumanize societies, breakdown social structure and economic functioning across spectrums of populations, while enforcing expensive, unproven, experimental, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. As a citizen of a democratic country along with many millions of other such people, we have a right to participate in any such agreements that affect our lives, livelihoods and ongoing health and wellbeing. The WHO has not engaged in a process of public consultation or participation which point to this move being a power grab that is not people centered or people informed or democratic and is therefore biased. WHO's response to the recent declared pandemic means I have lost all faith in the WHO in terms of implementing accurate and safe science based public health measures in response to any global health threat. The WHO cannot be allowed to enforce or control the worlds health agenda or enforce biosurveillance. WHO is in a conflict-of-interest position in terms of funding and private interest groups who function to make money and build financial alliances and are not science or health based or trained.
Nurses	As a former RN I suggest substantive elements should include - educating the public on what actually creates health & prevents illness. A healthy diet, optimal Vit D levels, pure sources & ample amounts of water, being in community - not isolated, exercise, breathing fresh air & most of all minimizing fear. In other words educate- equip & empower each individual . Each state/country must be responsible for planning & implementing this - not one global "one size fits all"approach.
Private individual	As a free and sovereign individual everyone must maintain the right to choose health care providers, products, and treatments with no limitations to freedoms. This must hold true throughout any pandemic as it does during times of societal contentment.
Not Applicable	As a free citizen of the Republic of the United States, I do not recognize the WHO as having any authority over anything that occurs in the U.S. So you can just go F' yourselves! Sincerely, Rita Atwood
none	As a free citizen of the USA, I want to CHOOSE my own pandemic preparedness and I do not agree with government or WHO telling me what to do with my sovereign self.
PhD	as a free man of this world, I stand against this treaty, the treaty must not surpass the constitution and bodily autonomy of each human
Homo Sapien	As a free natural born American with a love for humankind and future generations I will fight and pray for: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I DON'T WANT TO BE FORCED TO DO ANYTHING BY ANYONE INCLUDING ANY GOVERNMENT, the WHO OR THE GLOBAL ELITE. I AM PERSONALLY CAPABLE OF MAKING MY OWN HEALTH DECISIONS AND HAVE THE RIGHT TO CHOOSE WHAT IS BEST FOR ME AND MY LOVED ONES.
Free Person	As a free person and a true believer in government's ability to make the decisions for what is best for its people, I do NOT support a global initiative by WHO or any other large organization. I have traveled around the globe and know that people's needs differ significantly. Please do not regulate the world. Thank you! -Aaron
selfemployed	As a free person, I apply for the recording at least the following points in the project "World Together" - Civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice, self-determination in all health-relevant concerns, Self-determination in medical interventions in the integrity of the own body
Do not belong to any organisation	As a freeman living in Australia a sovereign country where I have voting rights do not want an outside organisation having right over and above our law makers having a say in Australia
Gallery Cottages	As a global citizen I do NOT agree with this proposal. It is invasive, restrictive and contradicts my inalienable human rights as a global citizen.
A representative for a sovereign Humanity	As a global citizen I feel very strongly that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We deserve an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We demand measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The sovereignty of humanity is protected under international law and the Nuremberg Code. Implementation of the proposed measures would therefore be an egregious violation of fundamental human rights.
British Public	As a global citizen I witnessed the WHO organise a global response to the pandemic. I saw the WHO act on poor advice from bad characters that put profiteering before the welfare of people and endorse vaccines that are doing more harm than good. In hindsight I can see that the WHO are complicit in deliberately harming humanity. The organisation will face criminal charges. The world deserves better. I do not consent.
Global Medical Services	As a global medical and health services team, we can see how of a disaster the management of COVID has been across the world. The unscientific approach to lockdowns, mask wearing and vaccinations have all been shown to at best not work but with growing certainty caused untold damage to children's mental and educational health, to old peoples last days and to the economy as a whole. The WHO has also changed the definition of what a vaccine is and what it should do to fit their own narrative. If we had stuck to the pandemic preparation we had successfully used for decades before, we would have been out of this pandemic much quicker, with far less economic and social costs. The WHO has demonstrated arrogance, manipulation and incompetency in dealing with COVID. Yet it is an unaccountable organization and should merely offer advice based on unbiased interpretation of real data and accumulated knowledge. Actual policy must be left to duly elected country officials who can also decide on local needs and approaches based on culture, infrastructure and local knowledge. These are then personally accountable and will be better placed to take decisions in the best interested of their electorate.
Environmental scientist & GIS professional	As a global mixed society we need to safeguard personal rights and freedoms, as well as to accept disease is a normal part of human existence. Natural immunity is valid and more long lasting than something contrived in a lab. Pandemics created from diseases created by people need to be held to account by the world countries. WHO as an unelected body of self appointed bureaucrats do not and should not have the right to dictate to any country how to govern themselves. In regard to this question: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MedClinic	As a health professional of 30 years, I believe Pandemic preparedness is essential. Medical Professionals at a local level are solely responsible in assessing the condition of the individual in front of them. They are trained in clinical treatment and do not require the guidance of a non present entity. The WHO can be best used to pass on up to date descriptions pertaining to what's happening around the globe in various regions when disease is present. Secondly, they have infrastructure that enables them to improve water supplies and sanitation. They might share treatments that medically trained practitioners are using elsewhere, however they are not qualified to make recommendations as this is the sole role of the practitioner present to make this judgement.
General Public	As a health professional, and in light of the recent pandemic response, it is my strong opinion that is it essential that the WHO allow individual countries the freedom to create their own individualized pandemic response. I am aware that the WHO has changed the definition of a "pandemic." A "pandemic" now just means widespread disease, regardless of mortality. This makes pandemic preparedness and response confusing and dangerous because it gives too much power to the WHO and governments around the world. Diseases travel the world all the time. It is an exceedingly high death count that should be considered a pandemic, not simply disease that is widespread. For this reason, doctors "must" be allowed to prepare for pandemics and treat patients in ways they believe and have experienced will be effective when responding to a pandemic in their country and state, rather than be forced to follow a specific protocol. Different populations respond differently to different diseases, and this must be taken into account in a pandemic response plan. Increasing government control is NOT a safe or effective way to address future pandemic preparedness for the best interest of the people around the world. This is very clear based on our current experience.Thank you.
	As a homeopath, I treat the individual in its uniqueness, which we all are.. the problem I see with mass vaccinations is that it doesn't work for everyone.. I've seen them especially the MRNA do a lot of harm .. especially with the the adjuncts added. I think it's really important for our healths sake and our individual countries sakes, that we let the individual make there own choice whether to get vaccinated or not .. I think who ever made the decision to do this mass vaccination and have people lose there livelihood over there decision.. this is just wrong .. I understand the concern for everyone's welfare is a big responsibility.. but to have a few to make all the decisions without more experts in the field to contribute .. is not a very intelligent or balanced approach .. the masks we used were more harmful than good .. underneath what's going on is the pressure of living in this day and age .. I grew up in a time when people stayed home when they were sick, but with cost of living so high we can no longer do that.. kids get sent to daycares , school etc sick .. gone are the days when people stay home .. I think most people are aware to keep there distance , take extra supplements, if the government did anything it would be to help the folks that could afford d , c and zinc, the basics .. masks just gave sick people a way to mingle and the vaccination gave people the over confidence to mingle, I think they got Covid more than anyone .. I may be off topic here .. but right from the beginning we should have taken a more preventative approach and we don't have a lot of experience , but clearly what we've done hasn't worked.. to centralize and use one medicine for all approach is wrong .. it doesn't work ..
N/A	As a human being, i do care about my body and we need to take care of our bodies. Therefore, we need to have choice what we input into our body. Furthermore, have a treaty that will paint everybody with the same paintbrush is not correct. Regions, countries, are to be able to treat pandemics as they know best. They are not to be mandated by the treaty. Therefore, I oppose to the pandemic covid 19 treaty
SAHM	As a human I worry greatly about my future and the future of my children. The WHO/WEF/UN/CDC has destroyed two years of my childrens lives with made up unscientific rules that have only had negative impacts on humanity. No one wants this. It's inhumane and illogical. We are done. Body autonomy is the only way forward.
Personal	As a human with bodily rights, I am firmly opposed to any worldwide mandates regarding vaccines, lockdowns, abilities to engage in every day activities in an open society. No government or health organization should ever be mandated to proscribe matters related to what a free individual should or should not have to do when it comes to their health. While I recognize many would like a One World Order to enable those who "know best" to run our lives, I think the recent Covid 19 outbreak proved to the world that those are the least capable of enacting that program. In America, between the FDA, CDC, pharma, hospitals and doctors playing games with people's lives through restricting early intervention, no treatments until the patient needs to be hospitalized, Remdesivir and vents, which countries have banned due to death rates being so high, the medical community and people with no one-on-one interaction with those they're meant to serve, is the last place to put this enormous policy.
Defending Humanity	As a large global group of people who want to have, enjoy and be in total conrol of our individual Health Freedoms, we do NOT want or need the w.h.o. to prepare or create any type of "new international instrument or agreement for pandemic preparedness and response". We do do NOT want any such type of "public health policy" from the w.h.o. that is basically a one-size-fits-all program. As the Billions of Health Freedom loving people of the world, we ONLY want and need the w.h.o. to let us and our communities be 100% in control of our own health.
Birchbark Private Language Academy	As a leftist, I believe that the following points MUST be included in any such proposal: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I would categorically oppose any international instrument that does not include the above stipulations.
private citizen	As a legal citizen of a sovereign country, which constitutionally is supposed to guarantee my inalienable rights, I request the USA not sign any WHO Health or Pandemic treaties. Given the mess WHO has created with Covid-19, I have no hope that that organization can successfully handle any future health crises.
Personal submission	As a lifelong physician with half a century's experience of managing health and illness in my local community, I am deeply disturbed by the notion that any form of health management should be removed from the realm of the local (or national) community. The global experience of the Covid catastrophe exemplifies this precisely. Centrally directed and controlled policy has been an unmitigated disaster in each and every country - indeed for the whole world. To think that a global, i.e. UN directed approach will provide anything other than an amplification of the disaster we have all witnessed for the last two years, is not so much naïve as it is blatantly ridiculous. I have copied, below, the summary which has been submitted by Steve Kirsch – with which I fully agree. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	As a living person on this earth in 2022 and as a citizen of the United States of America, an international treaty that is being proposed has NO jurisdiction in the USA nor should such policy affect ANY country in this world. Medical companies and agencies have NO place to impose process or procedure on any person's physical body in ANY country.
Somer Valley Health and Recovery Project	As a local organisation we had considerable contact with people who suffered during the last two years. Many suffered more from the imposed restrictions and recommended treatments than from SARS-cov-2. Local people made a considerable impact on alleviating distress caused by restrictions that research has demonstrated were not needed but many suffered loss. In my own family & friends 2 people lost their previously fit sons during the 1st lockdown - 1 died of an overdose, another went to emergency services 4 times but was not offered treatment as he did not test positive for Covid & died after being ignored by NHS. Some family members & friends became acutely distressed due to overplaying dangers of SARS-cov-2 & some became distressed as their businesses folded or their savings drained. Since vaccine rollout the cases of Covid-19 have increased worldwide as have Covid deaths. The vaccine has not protected against catching Covid nor transmitting it. Meanwhile cheap repurposed medications that were effective have not been used and indeed their use has been prohibited. Because of the restrictions imposed worldwide the economic situation worldwide has deteriorated and now we face enormous budget deficits. This will inevitably cause raised inflation due to the printing of money during the epidemic, increasing the cost of living to the point where many will suffer extreme hardship. Local solutions are better than international imposed solutions & these must respect inalienable rights, freedoms and freedom of speech. Vaccines for Covid-19 are not safe because they did not have sufficient testing & no vaccine should be administered without proper trials and informed consent outlining risks of any treatment offered.
Individual Citizen of the USA	As a logical human being with God given inalienable Human Rights, including the freedom to decide one's own private medical information, the most substantive elements to include in a pandemic response is complete transparency and individual freedom to choose how to respond to the information given. No organization whether governmental or private has the right to dictate how a sovereign individual makes medical decisions. Through transparency they will be able to decide for themselves how they proceed with medical advice. If the WHO's handling of COVID-19 is any indication of what the futureay look like, I believe we have a far better chance of survival when we tackle these types of situations, when given the truth about the seriousness or lack thereof regarding any "pandemics" such as what we have seen. What has also been shown by the WHO's handling of COVID-19 is that you have absolutely no concern or regard for the safety of human beings. More people died due to the experimental vaccine than they did the virus. The reason was due to lack of transparency and ethical behavior. We know what's best for ourselves and it is our right to decide.
NOWCast	As a media person, I stand for health freedom of choice as well as speech. I strongly CONDEMN any expansion of International control over public health measures! Any measures for pandemic mitigation must be the exclusive purview of sovereign Nations. Public health strategies must be community-embedded and locally directed, and all public health programs must be first accountable to local community control. This means the many not the few make all of the important decisions. True democracy is the only way.
Flourish Anti-Aging Medicine, Corp	As a medical doctor, I am writing to urge you to not create/impose the proposed Pandemic Treaty. Doing so is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Health decisions are highly individualized and as such should be made with proper care and consent, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Thank you for your time and consideration.
Independent	As a medical professional myself, I am writing for every person that I have every taken care of or will take care of. Medical care is not a one size fits all situation as we have seen clearly with Covid. There should always be a choice, autonomy, and clear transparency when dealing with health issues. My job as a nurse is to be an advocate for my patients, to provide informed consent, never to dictate, to overrule, or to coerce them into medical treatment that they don't feel is right for their body. There shouldn't be one ruling governance over the entire worlds medical treatment during emergent situations, this will hurt more people than it would help.
General Public	As a medical Speech Language Pathologist, I witnessed the absolute mental destruction of the geriatric population due to the response to Covid-19. A response that could have been avoided had people simply been allowed free will. I oppose ALL future lockdowns and refute any sway that WHO may have among U.S. citizens. Local authority takes precedent. Rural areas compose much of the United States and to be treated as Los Angeles is asinine. I firmly oppose any "pandemic treaty" as this is a blatant attempt at the removal of freedoms that Americans innately have, and is simply going to cause problems, potentially violent ones, should the U.S. take part and attempt to enforce WHO policies.
Public	As a member of the general public having observed the events of the past two years, I strongly believe that it is highly important that checks and balances be incorporated into any pandemic plan that strongly defends the rights of all individuals to make their own health and safety decisions free from coercion, threat, or discrimination. Freedom of bodily autonomy must be protected. Thank you for your time spent reading and considering my comment.
The Human Race	As a member of the human race I do not give permission for the WHO to dictate to me what I can and can not do with my own body. As long as I am not doing any harm to myself or others and live as healthy a life as I possibly can, allowing for the fact that good quality food costs more than poorly mass produced "so called food," then I do not need the advice, guidance, or persuasion from an organisation that I do not believe in or trust! Pfizer has just released documents under a court order basically stating that natural immunity to Covid is as good if not better than their so called "vaccine." Also adverts come through on my TV in my home telling me of the devastation in various countries around the world of children starving or desperate to find clean drinking water. These charities that are asking the public to pay £1 or £2 per month to help these unfortunate children should be asking the millionaires and billionaires who are the members of (The World Economic Forum) and the (World Health Organisation) for financial help. I do not agree with a Global Pandemic Treaty!
member of the general public	As a member of the public who has been appalled by the harm caused by the Covid "health protection" measures mandated in my country of Canada, I submit the substantive elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. These statements are drawn from The People's Treaty . First, a man and woman's inalienable right to bodily autonomy and freedom of choice around their own health decisions should never be suspended because a government or any other body declares an emergency. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, organization or governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. I do not consent to any Treaty that overrides my right to bodily autonomy, nor do I recognize any such treaty as lawful or legitimate. In short, the rights of nations, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Second, no government or international body should have the right to censor treatment information or deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. The right to try is an inalienable right. Every human being has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize to maintain or restore their health. Third, discrimination based on medical status is wrong. No healthy person should be subjected to any form of treatment or testing in order to live a normal life.

Organization	Written submission
My family	As a mother of three, I believe it is important for parents to be in charge of their children's medical choices, and their own. No governmental body can force, or coerce through removal of freedoms, a person to do a medical procedure, take a vaccine, or anything else that has the potential to harm or alter their health or body. I believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We need to allow the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We must keep an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We MUST keep measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family	As a mother, I plead with this organization to take a step back and remember what our country was founded on. We are sovereign individuals; competent to take advice and recommendations without necessary means of force. We have the freedoms to choose our health and safety over anything else. Let people choose what their priorities are. Medical consent is not one size fits all for any individual, let alone family. Every body reacts differently to different medical treatments. It shall remain a treatment discussed between patient and doctors.
Health matters plus	As a now new organizations here in Ontario Canada, Health matters plus. We feel people have the right to opt in or out of this. As we see the pandemic in our option is done and over with ones body should be theirs and not of the government or the WHO. NO one not the WHO, government of Ontario, or Canada have this right. I for one will not do it, I would rather die and so are my follows in my group!. Thank you Joseph
Self	As a nurse and patient advocate I believe pandemic related health decisions should be based upon local community leadership first to purposely retain full autonomy. A global 'one size fits all' approach is not effective and all healthcare decisions should be determined locally for improved citizen/patient outcomes. My community, all individual Counties and States, and ultimately the federal branch of the United States of America should have the ability to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they deem fit without consequence. I do not believe a small unelected group of people should solely determine a binding 'global agreement'. The majority of world citizens will not endorse a process that they are not allowed to vote upon, is not failsafe, and lacks transparency. Additionally, how can the World Health Organization effectively prohibit undue influence by pharmaceutical companies and/or profiteering global scavengers? Finally, why is there no public scientific fact sharing or public debate sponsored by the World Health Organization regarding the evolving science of current vaccines, vaccine injuries, and post-pandemic policies around the world? Thank you.
Parent, RN	As a nurse, I believe in informed consent and patient privacy and autonomy. The proposed treaty violates an individual's rights and freedoms and our nation's ability to manage a health crisis with the flexibility that best protects our citizens. The proposed legislation effectively creates a one world government with ruling powers greater than any national authority. This paves the way for medical tyranny that no longer values individual decisions or national interests. Our membership in The WHO in no way forfeits our rights to civil liberties, medical freedom or human rights. I am against this proposed treaty because it is a violation of our sovereign God given rights to bodily autonomy and privacy.
US citizen	As a parent and active citizen of the United States of America; it is imperative that all health decisions as made and stay as close to home as possible, including emergency situations. The WHO should and does not have power over health decisions, but if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty they will. This is not the best interest of the United States Citizens. No binding pandemic treaty!
none	As a parent I have learned there is not such thing as one size fits all. That is true for all aspects of life including health. Each national and local entities should make their individual decisions. This pandemic has impacted everyone but every nation and persons experience is different. There is no place for a one size fits all approach. It will not work. It never does. Instead there should be full transparency when it comes to any health issues or approaches with supported data so that each nation can make their own decisions based on those facts. And even after those nations make their decisions each individual needs to assess their own risks and decide for themselves. We are one world of a human race but we all make various decisions that impact our lives in different ways and that is the beauty of our experience in this vast world.
Integrative Pediatrics	As a pediatrician for the past 34 years, with a busy practice of over 10,000 patients for the past decade, and an author of "The Vaccine-Friendly Plan and numerous articles on aluminum and vaccines, I am uniquly qualified to address the issue here. Pandemic planning and responses of public health officials, as relates to COVID, were a disaster! Having one authority such as WHO, CDC and limited voices like Fauci harmed public health more than anything we have ever seen. We need less power in the hands of these big agencies as they are too heavily influenced by special interest groups and money. DO NOT MOVE FORWARD WITH THE PLAN to have WHO gain more power. This will be disastrous for our planet. Paul Paul Thomas MD
United States Citizen	As a person who believes in human autonomy, I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Indipendant Citizen	As a pharmacy tech i say that big pharma must be banned from influencing the process. Global health is more important than profit. National and local leaders should retain Autonomy with the ability to opt out as needed. There also need to be compleat transparency of the entire process and all new research findings so that shady governments can not obfuscate for political purposes.
Usa	As a physician I am appalled at the power grab you propose. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Mark bordenca MD
Hawaii Family Physicians	As a Physician I strongly recommend the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. "Decentralized power is honest power.
VMFH	As a physician I would expect that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers What has happened during the pandemic was unacceptable; lockdowns hurt the people, especially the poor, the children
None	As a practicing physician I want to strongly express my opposition. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffoca4ng power to declare unjus4fied pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffec4ve treatments against the will of the people. The WCH believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations , will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. Sincerely, Dr. Gerrit Henry
Whitsunday Family Practice	As a primary care physician with over 15 years medical practice experience I consider it of the utmost important that this instrument cotains protections for medical ethics, including protecting informed consent for patients and strong discouragement of vaccination mandates,; protections around medical privacy and patient confidentiality; protections around patient autonomy and abolition of any measure restricting the ability of persons to freely travel, engage in work, attend schools and education and recreational facilities and to undertake sport and exercise or any other freedom. That the instrument include strong discouragemt of lockdown measures and enforced quarantine, of mandatory testing and any mandatory treatment measure. That the instrument include strong cautions around the emergency use approvals of new medications and vaccines, prohibiting mandatory use, as well as encouraging efforts to research efficacy of established repurposed medicines. That the instrument focus solely on measures that do not restrict the rights of patients, such as measures for de-identified data sharing, for uncensored sharing of research, for restrictions on patent rights for medicines funded by governments in pandemic situations to allow unrestricted access worldwide, for ensuring equitable access to PPE, antibiotics other treatment options including resources to assist nations establish emergency stockpilesand to focus first and foremost of measures to improve the health of all persons, through access to clean water and sanitation, wholesome food sources, exercise and social contact and adequate shelter and living standards and that any measure directly or indirectly impacting these measures be specifically discouraged.
Dr. Francesco Aiello	As a primary care physician, I have seen the enormous societal, mental health and physical health deterioration caused by the last 2 years of CoVID response. Centralized control over these pandemics have not worked. In the same way that 'one size fits all' medicine is a failure, a Centralized Pandemic Response by the WHO would not fit the needs of communities across the globe and would lead to greater harm. I am in opposition to such a move to centralize control. I would be in favour of briefings as to situations as they develop, but we have seen that WHO / CDC inspired government mandates are a disaster.
n/a	As a private citizen I am refusing to give the private agency WHO the right to enforce my democratically elected government in regards to health measures. Any health measure that is not overseen by a medical doctor that has a direct patient-doctor relationship is inefficient and can be very likely be dangerous to patients. One-size-fits-all approaches are unethical. I accept that the WHO can make unbinding recommendations.
None	As a private citizen of the United States of America, I believe that having a uniformed response in the case of a pandemic is a grave error. As every single human body is unique, so is the make up of every country. To have sweeping actions taken on a global scale does not consider each country's own duty to serve its citizens in a manner that best suits its country. It also impedes on human rights as the individuals would no longer have a voice in how to care for his or her own body and make health decisions that are based on his or her own knowledge of one's body. The world clearly saw that the overall COVID response had massive negative ramifications, that included depression rates soaring, suicide attempts steadily increasing, and constant fear being at the forefront of decision making. It is not only irresponsible to say that the world needs to have one response to a pandemic, but it is also a slap in the face to human thought and reasoning. I implore you to look at all the facts and consciously make a decision that is actually beneficial for all people.
Private citizen	As a private citizen of the United States of America, I DO NOT want WHO dictating what our country is doing regarding healthcare. I will also advocate for the removal of current leadership unless changes are made and you are working to help humanity not big business and pharma. Get MONEY OUT OF YOUR DECISIONS on health care. Your current response to covid is a complete FAILURE.
None	As a private citizen of the United States, I am in agreement with the World Council for Health on the following points: The proposed agreement the WHO is proposing would be a potential threat to sovereignty in our country, particularly in the freedom for individuals to make for their own bodies during a pandemic or similar health-related circumstances. People should have the rights to make decisions about anything that impacts their well-being, their freedom to work, and their say as to what medicines will or will not go into their bodies. The world's health should not be controlled by a single entity, the WHO. Individuals should have that power for themselves. This treaty will side-step that individual power. I am worried about apparent conflicts of interest, where finances are concerned. Private interests are free, of course, to donate, but their generosity should not be used to influence decisions. PLEASE STOP THE TREATY. Thank you. Joni Janssen
Private Citizen	As a private citizen who believes in democratic rights, I think the new international instrument should include the following elements: 1. There should be measures to prevent all pharmaceutical companies and other global health interests from influencing this process; 2. Everyone in the world should be able to vote on the process in an open and transparent way, with failsafes preventing this global agreement from being applied in places where it's not wanted by the majority of people; 3. Local and national leaders to retain full autonomy so they can make decisions that are best for their own citizens; 4. Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit in the best interests of the citizens they serve, without consequences. Thank you.
Private Citizen	As a private citizen, I am outraged and dismayed about the proposed WHO agreement which is unnecessary and a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. This agreement would increase the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of free people around the globe. Free citizens have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The following are of the utmost importance: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	As a private citizen, I believe that every individual has the right and responsibility to make informed decisions for themselves based on factual and unbiased information. In any emergency situation, every country MUST have the freedom to respond to that emergency with the best interests of their citizens in mind. Responsible actions must be expected for the protection of all, however there is never a situation where only one response is necessary or possible. The World Health Organization has the enormous responsibility of monitoring factors and diseases that impact the health and wellbeing of the general world population, however, it does not have, nor should it obtain the right. to mandate what response should be imposed. The role of the WHO should be to monitor, consult and recommend! It should not have the ability to impose a mandated response! As was seen during the Covid-19 pandemic, organizations such as the WHO are too prone to being improperly influenced by big Pharmaceutical companies or by certain world leaders with particular agendas. Recommendations should be obtained by doctors and scientists from around the world without the application of political pressures. There should never again be such a blatant stifling of scientific information just because it did not match the desired message! ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS: 1. Input must be allowed from all scientific communities without political influences. 2, Protection guaranteed to prevent personal and religious objections to procedures being violated. 3. No organization should have the ability to mandate what countries must do and even within countries, mandates should not be imposed.
Private person	As a private citizen, I do not condone nor accept that a non-elected body should be given such sweeping powers to over-ride a sovereign nation's laws in the name of a pandemic. Overriding personal decision making with regard to health or a foreign nation's laws is contrary to all democratic processes regardless of where we are located globally. It is absolutely vital that each country retains autonomy on matters of health, not least because each country's populations differ in their underlying health and healthcare priorities, resources and ethnic characteristics. Nobody should advocate treating a patient using a protocol without assessing its suitability for that individual and likewise this applies at a population level as well. Every country should be free to opt out of all or any of the WHO recommendations which might be created pursuant to this instrument, without sanction or other consequences. To respect the democratic process, each citizen or each nation should have a vote - following a period or open and transparent debate - before powers which affect people's daily lives are handed over by their governments to a supra-national body. The WHO is no longer independent of private corporations or other bodies which profit directly or indirectly from its recommendations, yet hold undue influence over the organization through substantial and regular donations. This should cease, with all funding being sourced directly from taxpayers via citizens' governments or at the least there should be full transparency so that every citizen can judge for themselves whether the sources of funding might be influencing policy recommendations.
Private Citizen	As a private citizen, I do not condone nor accept that a non-elected body should be given such sweeping powers to over-ride a sovereign nation's laws in the name of a pandemic. Over-riding personal decision making with regard to health or a foreign nation's laws is contrary to all democratic processes regardless of where we are located globally
Private Citizen	As a private citizen, I do not nor accept that a non-elected body should be given such powers to over-ride a sovereign nation's laws in the name of a pandemic. Over-riding personal decision making with regard to health or a foreign nation's laws is contrary to all democratic processes regardless of where we are located globally.
Private Individual - Forensic Accountant	As a professional Forensic Accountant, I respectfully disagree with the delegation of my rights, responsibilities and control of my Health to a global third party. This decision should be made at the National, State or local level of the Country of my citizenship. I agree and am in full support that the following substantive elements should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully D Close
Public Health nurse	As a public health nurse I believe in the freedom of medical choice for a medical procedure that has demonstrated substantial adverse events that have been documented in the VAERS system. Medical freedom has been one of the basic rights of what our constitution supports. There are many medical as well as religious reasons that individuals have for choosing what medical procedures are done to them. It has never been a one-size-fits-all response that demonstrate a constitutionally appropriate application to fit every human being. Scientific studies have demonstrated that mandated vaccines have not proven to be effective against any virus. Early intervention was not used to save so many individuals that could have benefited from the treatments. I watched individuals not be told what would support their immune system, no one said to take vitamin D, exercise, get fresh air and eat better. That's always been a mantra of health, until Covid hit, then those commercials disappeared, and our hospitals did not encourage healthy living. Obesity is out of control and unhealthy lifestyles are a norm. Please give humans the right to make decisions for themselves. We don't tell people that have diabetes that they will be mandated to take insulin by force or tell alcoholics that they will be locked up for drinking another sip of alcohol. Thank you.
Unaffiliated	As a Registered Nurse with Bachelor of Science Degree, I do not agree with a one size fits all Public Health Program. Individuals need to make health decisions with their physician. Communities need to have autonomy to make Public Health decisions.
Unaffiliated	As a registered nurse, I don't believe in a one size fits all public health program. We need communities and individuals in charge of their own health plan.
KW Health Care	As a Registered Nurse, I seen first hand the great harms done to children, adults, families and society due to the mandates and lockdowns from the new 'pandemic' rules. I am totally against any further powers being given to the WHO for 'pandemic preparedness'. Allow each community and nation to do what they see fit to protect their citizens. Not some bureaucracy that has been operating for Corporate interest and NOT the interest of people. STOP this INSANITY.
Resident	As a resident and human being I do not agree to an international treaty on pandemic preparedness. Anything that is imposed on a human being in terms of losing liberties, human rights and right to body autonomy. I do not agree to any of the approach set out, nor do I condone it and vote against this.
None	As a resident of the USA, I oppose joining any rules from the Who.
None	As a resident of UK WHO has no right to dictate any aspect of pandemic response to me or my family. The WHO has no authority in our country. Any attempts to enforce any measures on the people will be met with fierce resistance. Be warned.
Citizen	As a responsible citizen I am responsible for my health and the health of my children. I am fully vaccinated and should I become I'll, I will self quarantine to protect others. I oppose mandates by bureaucrats and prefer to rely on the advice of a private physician. All medicines have side eff3cts and each person responds differently. Something that may not phase me might be lethal to someone else. The Nuremberg Code protects people of every nation. I oppose any new treaty which limits my right to choose.
Care worker in a hospital	As a result of the Corona vaccination, which was administered without sufficient testing and results from the drug companies and sufficient informed consent of the risks, many people are now suffering from adverse reactions to the drug. The coronary arrears rate is also higher among those who have received the vaccine. The mortality rate is higher among those who have been vaccinated. I believe that the freedom of individual human beings to self-manage their own health is guaranteed by natural law. Therefore, we should not create a pandemic treaty that threatens these basic human rights.
JAPAN	As a result of the Corona vaccination, which was administered without sufficient testing and results from the drug companies and sufficient informed consent of the risks, many people are now suffering from adverse reactions to the drug. The coronary arrears rate is also higher among those who have received the vaccine. The mortality rate is higher among those who have been vaccinated. I believe that the freedom of individual human beings to self-manage their own health is guaranteed by natural law. Therefore, we should not create a pandemic treaty that threatens these basic human rights.
no	As a result of the Corona vaccination, which was administered without sufficient testing and results from the drug companies and sufficient informed consent of the risks, many people are now suffering from adverse reactions to the drug. The coronary arrears rate is also higher among those who have received the vaccine. The mortality rate is higher among those who have been vaccinated. I believe that the freedom of individual human beings to self-manage their own health is guaranteed by natural law. Therefore, we should not create a pandemic treaty that threatens these basic human rights.
Retired medical scientist	As a retired medical scientist I am very deeply disturbed but the potential for abuse of human rights by this treaty. We have already seen in Canada how public health officials have set aside constitutional rights in order to place draconian and harmful restrictions on the people far beyond what WHO recommended including extreme discrimination against the unvaccinated to point that politicians were urging families to ban the unvaccinated from attending Christmas dinner. The unvaccinated are still prisoners in the country because they are banned from travel in airplane, train and ferry. Unvaccinated have suffered extreme discrimination on all aspect of life including being fired from jobs and denied housing. This treaty should not go through with very strong measures designed to ensure that the human rights of individuals world wide cannot be infringed upon by public health officials applying the terms of this treaty to their population. The lessons of Canada's descent into tyranny must not be ignored.
My Midlife Do-Over / MMDO	As a retired nurse of 30 years, I have learned, both personally and professionally, that everyone is an individual. Every person reacts differently to every medication and vaccine. There is NO "one size fits all".. I believe that we need to include people's right to choose what they ingest or inject into their own body. Doctor's should be allowed to utelize their training, ability to assess and recommend what their INDIVIDUAL patient needs. Politics and big Pharma have NO BUSINESS dictating what an individual's medical needs are! There is bias politically and financially, in both areas. This skews their ability to think morally and with human decency.
Member of public	As a retired Pharmacologist who has a full understanding of the events of the past 2+ years including errors made by the WHO and country Public Health Officers, I strongly oppose handing any further powers to the WHO. The substantive elements I propose in response to the question above are : National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Boards of Internal Medicine and Anesthesiology	As a retired physician after 50 years in medicine, Boarded in Internal Medicine and Anesthesiology, I believe strongly that the WHO has lost its way. The recommendations for shut downs and masking and vaccination in the COVID19 Pandemic all fly in the face of everything I learned as a student of and practitioner of Medicine. These recommendations have caused countless suffering, economic devastation and famine across the world. Until WHO regains its footing and begins recommending policies based on scientific principles, I don't trust anything WHO says. To ask people to give up their personal rights to any international organization is an abomination. If there is any agreement among nations, it should ONLY be to share information freely, to let the peer review process play itself out, and to share that information with the governments, people and medical practitioners of the world without bias. There should NEVER be mandates of any kind. If information is accurately portrayed, people will do the right thing. Perhaps the greatest tragedy of the Pandemic is the loss of trust in organizations like the WHO and CDC.
Individual	As a retired physician, I know the importance of a proper approach to both individual health care and a pandemic. Vital to both is ensuring that decisions are made based on the individual (local) situation. No solutions that are broad-reaching, or made too far away from the patient or location, have ever been the best ones. A legally-binding, global Pandemic treaty would not only violate the principles above - it would also nullify one of the most important foundational issues in health care that has come up over the last 60 years. Informed consent. That is something which needs to be considered and decisions regarding that are best made by individual doctors, local cities, states, and countries. My opinion on this matter is that the WHO, while providing valuable assistance in many circumstances, would be best advised to not attempt to create this Pandemic treaty.
Philippa Ross	As a self determine d citizen of the planet I abhor the concept of a one size fits all approach to managing global health. Every human is a distinctly unique being with distinct and unique needs that no organisation or other individual should dictate how they should manage their own health. The only message in my mind that can be given globally, is for everyone to spend time getting to know themselves, then learning to honor their own needs in whatever way they choose
private	As a sovereign and free-thinking human being, I reject the very premise of your leading question, and it's obviously manipulative formulation. Likewise, I reject each and every goal of what the WHO is attempting to achieve through this so-called pandemic treaty, lamentably marketed in the name of goodness for mankind, for the economy, for our ecology. The WHO's deceitful approach to achieving your "pandemic treaty" goals are clear to the majority of mankind, and for this reason your plans will fail. Health, true real human health, as opposed to your bureaucratic, technocratic, and industrialized definition of health, is an individual and local matter, which a supra-national body such as the WHO cannot and will not improve on, especially when your health policies are based on industry-serving, paid-science, with industrial-led global objectives. I will continue to reject every aspect of your pandemic treaty objectives, until such time as the WHO is proven without a shadow of a doubt to be truly working in the interest of individual health as opposed to being a proxy for industrial, societal, political goals which are not my definition of health.
Living Crown Council	As a sovereign and original of the land Nui Tireni, grand daughter of Sovereign Chiefs, you are not Authorized to sign any agreement on behalf of myself nor people of the nation of Nui Tireni; and The corporation named NEW ZEALAND is a corporation of the Crown and only have jurisdiction of their own corporate policies; and As i have made my standing clear to the soverign of New Zealand and her agencies, they too have no authority to sign any agreement with you on behalf of those of us who have not been patent and no longer "natural person's"; and You are authorized to stand down and do not have permission to proceed with any treaty moving forward for the only covenant viable is our one and only true holy father, creator of heaven and earth, and I as his child, you will not succeed; In God's name i pray :karen-lauriss:
Luna Azul	As a sovereign being I declare my right to decide what I put in my body, as well as what I choose to do on a daily basis. You (The W.H.O. and all people associated with it) have no rights over me unless I give them to you. And I certainly do not. Therefore you have no right to take away my freedom, my ability to earn a living, or inhibit me in any way. This is not your job and you will cease all activities that take these natural rights from the people of this planet, now.
Voices For Freedom	As a sovereign being, born free to make my own choices, I do not agree that a body of people have any right to make decisions on my behalf and on the behalf of the global population. No one has the right to deny us our ability to indeed be a human being and choose for us. Your proposal is not a one size fits all, as each person, town, city and nation have distinct needs and it is paramount we choose our own policies and ways in which we wish to live. We must be free from organisations wishing to desecrate our freedom of speech and choice and our right to be a human being.
n/a	As a sovereign citizen living in the UK, and as a free man created by God in his image, I DO NOT RECOGNISE YOUR AUTHORITY OVER ME. The influence that organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates foundation exerts over the WHO means that the WHO lacks authority over any of us common men and women. I do not comply.
Australian citizen	As a sovereign citizen of Australia I insist that any future legislative formulations orchestrated by the WHO be free from stipulations that require countries to mandate any vaccine or medication or to lock down it's citizens for longer than two weeks at a time as such measures have been demonstrated over the last two years to have caused much more harm than the virus to which these measures were purported to provide remedy. Indeed if it's powers extend this far, the WHO should take steps to outlaw these measures as crimes against humanity, as will be demonstrated in years to come by international courts of law.
Australia	As a sovereign citizen of Australia I strongly object to this undemocratic treaty which hasn't been presented in any depth to the sovereign citizens of any nation. This is corruption and I rebuke it.
N/a	As a sovereign citizen of the UK, I do not agree to delegating ANY independent sovereignty to an unelected and democratically unaccountable entity like the WHO, UN, or similar. The current system of providing advice to sovereign Governments is more than adequate. Dr. Nick Keca

Organization	Written submission
N/A	As a sovereign citizen of the United States of America,I will not comply to any UN treaty rule that circumvents the Constitution of the United States of America. Americans will not comply to any UN treaty ruling that tramples our GOD GIVEN civil rights. As an American my treaty demands are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Any demands here in not met by the UN and its sponsors will null and void the entirety of the treaty.
None	As a sovereign citizen of the United States, I do not consent to any control by outside governing bodies. As a human being I alone have control over my body. Parents alone have control over their children. These are God given rights. No entity can change this. The WHO can issue guidelines, but it is up to we the people to choose whether or not to follow them.
Self	As a sovereign citizen, the one critical element is that the WHO remain neutral as an agency. Its best value is that of a agency that delivers research of high value to countries that may not have resources and need to source aid and supplies. That is the value of your organization. Your value must end there. The WHO can not play favorites or become vested in the politics of a country or the sovereignty of its citizens. WHO you are not a new national instrument, you are an old addage that countries reach out to in a time of need. Leave the people alone, leave our ability to govern be. Stick to what you know or be defunded by our leaders under pressure by the people. Regards,
Education	As a sovereign human being, I believe the following, that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. A transparent and open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will stop the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Any centralisation of power, more so in a climate of fear runs the huge risk of turning into tyranny. I believe the treaty should be scrapped because every person in the world should have the right to self determination. Thank you.
Human being	As a sovereign human being, I do not consent to the proposed treaty on pandemic preparedness for the following reasons. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	As a sovereign individual, I reject the World Health Organization's pandemic response treaty. Individual human rights should be the most substantive element in any response. The idea that unelected bureaucrats can centralize, limit and dictate the health choices of billions of individuals with a one size fits all solution, is an absolute infringement against our most basic human rights.
Personal	As a sovereign of the Commonwealth Of Australia, I hereby inform you that the WHO (World Health Organization) and its self appointed heads, have no constitutional authority to make decisions regarding the health of Australian citizens, either at a national level, or, more importantly, an individual level. I have no doubt that this would also be applicable to ALL sovereign nations. Such a decision would need to be implemented by referendum to the Australian people. I repeat, the WHO (or WEF) has no given authority by the people of the Commonwealth of Australia to make any decisions in regard to the health of we the people. Any interference by your organization will be regarded as a criminal offense of interference in our nations standing by our duly elected government. Yours sincerely Kenneth Kelley
Public	As a sovereign person of Ireland I do not agree with your proposal to decide for me or my family how we deal with our own bodily integrity, This is against my inalienable human rights and I do not consent...
I am a sovereign person of GOD	As a sovereign person of the only true and living GOD, no organization may lawfully supersede my rights. I do not submit to, or contract with any of your organizations whatsoever. I do not give any of the organizations, countries, politicians, businesses or any other entity the authority to enforce or even present said sinister plans upon my person, body, soul, spirit. We know what you are doing. You will not win this.
Ulf Raymond Peter Noren	As a Swedish citizen I courteously call upon the organization to include the following in any proposed treaty: That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. With best regards
Public citizen of the U.S.	As a trained Registered Nurse of over 40 years I know the value of informed Consent and the right to choose your own medical treatments. If we loose that right, citizens of the U.S. will no longer be free. We will loose our God given bodily Sovereignty. Once lost it will not be regained. Enforced protocols removes the right to choose and is restrictive to human rights... something U.S. citizens have fought long and hard for. Our health is our wealth and everyone is an individual in their approach to their health and well-being. Forced protocols are dangerous for our health and well-being and does not allow other well proven approaches. One size does not fit all. I urge the U.S. government to reject this move to restrict our liberties which violates our very precious Constitutional rights. May God Bless our U.S. Freedoms and preserve them! Vote NO for this treaty.
Citizen	As a U.S. citizen with respect to all sovereign nations and citizens of the world, I believe it is paramount to include that each nation will remain sovereign and independent and their constituents will not, under any circumstances, be suspended. This protects the rights of nations and their people. This treaty should only provide guidance, however, it should include that each nation is unique and has unique circumstances, populations, access to resources, etc. Therefore, it is the utmost importance, above all else, that each nation will never have its constitution suspended. I say with with the most impassioned voice in respect for each nation and there sovereign independence which shall never be governed by a one world entity of any kind.
Concerned American	As a U.S. citizen, I am completely against this treaty. I did not vote for the WHO. This treaty is completely unconstitutional and endangers U.S. sovereignty. If Biden signs this treaty he will have committed treason: a high crime and impeachment proceeding should begin immediately. The U.S. Constitution is the rule of law in the U.S., not some WHO treaty. People of the world do not want to be dictated to by the WHO or by the WEF.
Workplace champion - workers with Myalgic Encephalomyelitis (M.E) and Lo	As a UK champion for retaining those with M.E (Myalgic Encephalomyelitis) chronic fatigue and Long COVID in the workplace, nations must retain full autonomy and flexibility so that they are fully responsive to all their peoples' individual needs. Governments must reserve the freedom to make decisions that are not blinkered by a global "one size fits all blanket approach" - as seen in some countries during the COVID-19 pandemic. Individuals, including those with health conditions such as M.E and Long COVID (which are very little understood by doctors) must be free to exercise full individual wisdom, freedom and autonomy. Nations and local municipalities must be free to opt out of measures as they see fit. There must be no global agreement that coerces people in any way especially impacting those who are already the most vulnerable. Pharmaceutical companies must not influence any decisions for profit.
British resident of the UK	As a UK citizen I believe that it is my elected government who should decide on emergency measures in any further pandemics such as lockdowns, vaccination mandates, wearing of masks and social distancing. I object to another unelected organisation who may be influenced by possible dubious characters taking control of those measures. They are not a body elected by citizens of the UK - we must maintain our own democracy and sovereign state without outside interference.
NHS	As a UK citizen, I do not consent to either the WHO or UK government imposing and rules or laws that might supersede laws of our nation as created through normal full democratic process of parliament. The WHO does not have the right to supersede national sovereignty whenever it deems "emergency". Human rights are inalienable and governments must not suspend them for "emergencies". The greater good cannot override individual rights. The individual doctor-patient relationship must be protected. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potential life saving treatments to anyone, bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected, including the inalienable right to refuse treatments. The WHO does not have the right to re-define established medical scientific truth such as "vaccine", "herd immunity" to fit the political narrative and objectives. Vaccine or other medical status cannot prevent individuals' rights to travel, work, access education, public spaces or businesses. Informed consent (without coercion, penalty) to accept or refuse a treatment (informed of all benefits and ALL risks, without penalty) is fundamental to ethical medicine and must never be denied or compromised to any human being with capacity regardless of the situation. Lockdowns of healthy asymptomatic people (and other NPIs including masks), have proven harmful, unethical, failed to show benefit and must never be used again. Doctors and scientists must have their fundamental right to free speech protected at all times; censorship and media-controlled propaganda is dangerous to public health. Transparency in clinical trials with full access to anonymised data must be available real time for independent scrutiny.
Citizen	As a UK citizen, I strongly object to the Pandemic Treaty. I believe in democracy and liberty.Individual rights are essential. I object to global public private partnerships and stake holder capitalism. I believe in freedom of speech and not a totalitarian dictatorship.
United States citizen	As a united state citizens and a mother of 3 we can make our own health care decisions. We are a sovereign nation. Our constitution protects us from people coming in and DICTATING.
Private citizen	As a United States citizen I am not interested in being part of the treaty. Each country should have the right to enact their own health laws. In the U. S. citizens have rights guaranteed by the U.S. constitution and the Bill of Rights. No outside agency has the right to determine my health care or health decisions. Stop the treaty!
US Citizen	As a United States citizen I believe that all WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions of American Citizens and was not given power through our Constitution in our sovereign nation. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I believe that most American Citizens would not consent to The Who being in control of any part of their health and lives if they were informed of this attempt by the Who to gain power over them. As such I do not agree with this illegal and foreign attempt to govern our Nation's citizens.
United States citizen	As a United States citizen I do not want any of our sovereignty surrendered to global organizations. I respectfully decline the UN pandemic treaty. It would not be good for our nation.
N/A	As a United States citizen I submit this comment that under any pandemic agreement, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The agreement must also protect the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Civil liberties, specifically the freedom of medical choice, the freedom of movement, and the freedom to gather must be preserved as the underpinning of any agreement.
US Citizen	As a United States citizen, I and my fellow citizens have the right to our national and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. We require the right to retain the ability of our nation and our local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as we see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. In addition, we call for measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Finally, the need for a treaty at all is most likely not necessary, as each nation can protect her own citizens best.
United States	As a United States citizen, I oppose the World Health Organization's (WHO) World Health Assembly (WHA) global pandemic agreement that will give it rights over people of global nations who have not democratically elected the WHA and WHO. The WHO "Constitution" is not a democratically elected constitution by the nations that it seeks to govern. I oppose the application of Article 19 of the WHO "Constitution" to any United States citizen. The global community must strive to cooperate in global matters, but this must not be accomplished at the cost of democratic rights of individuals and the sovereignty of each nation to autonomously self-govern. Each nation, and its local municipalities, must retain the right of non-participation with any of the WHO World Health Assembly's global pandemic agreement. The WHO owes every global citizen a completely transparent and well-published process with the ability of every global citizen to vote on its measures. The WHO's guidelines must not be applied in any nation or nation's state, province or region, which does not have a majority democratically voted agreement for the application of the guideline. I oppose any international health regulation (IHR) upon any nation that is not democratically elected by the citizens of that nation. I oppose the ideology and application of a global "one health" architecture and a "whole of government and whole of society approach," that is being discussed and forwarded by the World Health Organization, now in relation with the concept of creating a global pandemic treaty.
Hermann's Pickle	As a United States citizen, I strongly and FIRMLY DISAGREE with the WHO's plans to be in charge of future global pandemic control. In the last two years, our entire world has been locked down (and continues to be in some countries) and turned upside down. Our children continue to be ineffectually and unnecessarily masked, and DANGEROUS, EXPERIMENTAL VACCINES HAVE BEEN MANDATED at the terrible expense of our jobs and mental health. Our global economies are FAILING, and our citizens are DIVIDED over the FEAR that has been created by those in charge: The truth has been absolutely suppressed by the WHO, the CDC, the FDA, the NIH and most other global governmental health agencies, in that none of these organizations can be trusted now as a source of counsel and protection. There are highly credentialed doctors and scientists around the world who have been treating their Covid patients with award-winning, inexpensive, multipurpose medications like ivermectin that CURE Covid, negating the need for expensive EU gene therapies, especially as they are even being considered for our children and young adults, who have a 99% survival rate for Covid. These specialists and clinicians have been shamed, canceled and ignored when they could have saved thousands of lives around the world with life-saving treatments that were DISMISSED and DEMONIZED by the WHO and the other agencies cited above. So I stand with them, and I oppose your control. I will be spreading the word and fighting this at every opportu
Concerned Citizens od Cleveland	As a United States citizen, I strongly and FIRMLY DISAGREE with the WHO's plans to be in charge of future global pandemic control. In the last two years, our entire world has been locked down (and continues to be in some countries) and turned upside down. Our children continue to be ineffectually and unnecessarily masked, and DANGEROUS, EXPERIMENTAL VACCINES HAVE BEEN MANDATED at the terrible expense of our jobs and mental health. Our global economies are FAILING, and our citizens are DIVIDED over the FEAR that has been created by those in charge: The truth has been absolutely suppressed by the WHO, the CDC, the FDA, the NIH and most other global governmental health agencies, in that none of these organizations can be trusted now as a source of counsel and protection. There are highly credentialed doctors and scientists around the world who have been treating their Covid patients with award-winning, inexpensive, multipurpose medications like ivermectin that CURE Covid, negating the need for expensive EU gene therapies, especially as they are even being considered for our children and young adults, who have a 99% survival rate for Covid. These specialists and clinicians have been shamed, canceled and ignored when they could have saved thousands of lives around the world with life-saving treatments that were DISMISSED and DEMONIZED by the WHO and the other agencies cited above. So I stand with them, and I oppose your control. I will be spreading the word and fighting this at every opportunity.
self	As a US citizen I believe these are critical points in include in any and all agreements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	As a US citizen I cannot agree with extrajudicial agreements which bypass the US Constitution, bypass National sovereignty, bypass states sovereignty, and directly violate individual sovereignty. While I do appreciate 3rd party insights, voluntary cooperation, and multi-national engagements in multi-national issues; this cannot supersede the sovereignty of the nation, state, or individual. This being said, any and all preparations must respect the human rights of informed consent, biological integrity, and personal agency. And beyond this preparations must not supersede the laws and customs of the nations agreeing work with the WHO on issues and events going forward.
USA	As a US citizen I demand the following: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Ellen FitzGerald
US Citizen	As a US citizen we as a country should be allowed to remain free. I do not mind suggestions as pandemic response but to allow a organization to control the USA response is absolutely going against the freedoms this country has been founded on. Not to mention their is a major concern of corruption when giving this much power to one entity. So I'm totally against this act that would reduce freedoms and could definitely become corrupt. Less government interference and more individual freedoms. Not to mention trying to tell people in the rural areas how to respond vs a city involves a lot of differences. A dense population vs a low population area would need to respond differently. Example rural areas cannot do schooling from home because of no internet available for a lot of rural families. The complexities in the US alone is beyond the understanding of a big entity. There are cultural, population densities, class, region difference that would cause a overall response to not work in certain areas. This is why are nation was founded on small government making local decisions. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	As a US citizen, I am against any agreement that could force the United States to follow rules made by other countries that may or may not be allies or have our best interest in mind. I am ok with an agreement that promotes open communication and exposes coverups of illegal or questionable activities and that sets a guideline for best practices, but I do not want the UN to be able to force their rules on US citizens. I feel that I and other people should be given the information in a clear and truthful manner and then allow each individual to make a choice on how to mitigate the risk to them and their family. I know this is messier than a totalitarian rule but that is part of being FREE and having the ability to make one's own choices instead of having all of the choices made for you by a government.
Camphill Village Kimberton Hills	As a US citizen, I am totally opposed to any treaty that would give binding power to the WHO or to the federal government over my own medical decisions, which I deem to belong to the realm of individual freedom and privacy.
Self	As a US citizen, I do not want my health freedoms removed in our country. Each individual body is different and have different deficiencies and surplus. God made our bodies to work and function on their own. To give power to a global entity removes my individual Liberty to choose what is best for my body and one knows there body better than anyone else. I believe in individual freedom. To allow a global entity to rule health decisions of individuals shows the entity and those forming this entity are only concerned for the masses and not the individual. Across our globe there various cultures and lands (from coast to mountains to prairies and deserts) A global entity assumes there is a one decision fits all but that is not reality. Keep The United States free and do not join a pandemic treaty.
II	As a US Citizen, more vigilant to WHO 's actions and international health oversight than ever due to the pandemic...this treaty is simply unacceptable. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own constituents. Global health powers and pharmaceutical companies are not to be allowed any influence over the pandemic preparedness and execution process. People should retain tranparency and ability to prevent any global agreements where people are certainly in disagreement.
USA citizen	As a USA citizen, I am completely opposed to a Pandemic Treaty.... The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	As a USA citizen, I am completely opposed to ANY global instrument of pandemic preparedness or response from WHO or ANY other organization! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Very Concerned UK Citizen	As a very concerned parent on how the this pandemic was so disastrously handled on a lockstep worldwide scale. I feel if any measures are required the following key regulations should be in place if we are to have any hope of returning to free, democratic society where everyone is represented. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not even want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	As a veterinary surgeon and student of a Masters in One Health, I have grave concerns about a global mandated response to any future pandemic and therefore oppose this idea. It has been clear that the response to SARS-CoV2 has been disproportional to the threat and has caused overall more harm than good. There simply is not one size fits all solution to a pandemic. To impose a centrally dictated objective in such a one-dimensional way on complex societies causes no end of unintended suffering. This should never be repeated. I would prefer the WHO gave impartial advice based on real world science (not models), stuck to pandemic plans and provided assurance.
not affiliated with any organization	As a World citizen and specifically a Canadian citizen, I appreciate the opportunity to make this submission of influence. It remains of utmost importance that individual countries,perhaps even individual provinces or municipalities,retain control over pandemic preparedness and response, knowing that the WHO's main job is to provide guidance. Therefore,in answer to your above question: the substantive element most necessary in the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is each country's ownership and control of their individual pandemic plan based on guidance from the WHO. And within this, the ruling to opt out of any or all of the guidelines WHO institutes. Perhaps there needs to be country-wide referendums done for such important matters as a world-wide pandemic response treaty. I believe all people need to be heard, not just elected officials, for this is about an individual's health and he/she/they are ultimately responsible for this.
US citizen	As Americans, we are not and will not be subject to global health measures. Americans value their sovereignty as a nation to govern themselves to the best of their abilities, without global input. We do not need any other nation dictating what we do in a pandemic. Vaccine mandates, lockdowns, and restricted access to our God given freedoms are NOT subject for debate, and have no place in a free society. We are responsible and can think for ourselves and make decisions for our own families based on our PERSONAL doctor's recommendations, not one appointed by a government official. Bodily autonomy is a top priority to Americans, and the WHO has no business in our personal health choices.
Individual citizen	As an American citizen I am opposed to any treaty or action that limits the sovereignty of the United States. Governance, rule-making, and even pandemic response should be under local control. Pandemic or no pandemic, increasing centralization of power and control is in opposition to democratic principles. I would encourage the exclusion of any suggested measures or language that limit the autonomous decision making of any individual nation or state. I would expect any elected officials representing the United States to oppose any proposed language or actions that would remove decision making from American states, individuals, and families.
self	As an American citizen I am vehemently against this treaty. We do not want unelected NGO's making our health policies and decisions. We also do not want Pharma involved in mass producing, fast tracking "medical interventions" approved by any entity that has monetary incentives. That is a conflict of interest and that's when the health and wellness of the people is not the number one priority. Covid19 taught us all that Governments, NGOs and Pharma are not concerned with the actual science or the health of the people, just their own financial interests.
individual	As an American citizen I want my elected American (USA) and local government officials to retain full autonomy, reserving the right for my national leaders to make decisions based on what is best for Americans. I want this same right for the citizens of all other countries on Earth. I want any country and local governments to have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. As a citizen of Earth, I demand an open and transparent process allowing all people of Earth to vote on accepting procedures recommended by WHO so that the global agreement will not apply in places where a majority of the people do not want it. All pharmaceutical companies and any companies or organizations that would profit from WHO recommendations must not be allowed to influence adoption or approval of any WHO process. All WHO processes must be for the benefit of the common people of Earth. Pharmaceutical companies or any other companies or organizations that profit from WHO recommendations must be held legally liable for injuries and damages resulting from the treatments or services they provide or abet as determined by the local courts of the country where the injured person resides or, if deceased, resided when the injury occurred.
American citizen	As an American citizen we have the God given and US Constitutional right to determine our own individual course of action. We determine our laws, not some outside organization. The WHO has no authority to tell us what to do. And I am strongly against handing over any authority to them. Each town, city and state can decide for itself what is best.
World Council on Health	As an American citizen who believes in our Liberties, both natural and governmental, I do not believe that the WHO should usurp individual government dictates. But more than that, also the rights of individuals who make wise and thoughtful decisions regarding their own health which fall under the local governmental regulations. A full overriding ability by the organization to usurp power is not of good decision, this would eliminate a transparency of policy and reasoning we now experience. The ability to take into account different views and situations is impossible if this freedom given to individual governmental agencies is abolished and a world wide health dictate is established.
American Citizen	As an American citizen who believes in the democratic freedoms guaranteed in our Constitution, I oppose the adoption of a new treaty on a 'global pandemic response' agreement proposed by the World Health Organization. This is an unnecessary authoritarian strategy conducted by undemocratic means to subvert national and individual health sovereignty that serves no other purpose than to constrain or eliminate all individual human rights to direct the responsibility for one's own health and safety. This proposed treaty is a dangerous and unprecedented power grab by global elite interests that could undermine national political, economic, social, and spiritual communities by imposing unjustifiable and immoral restrictions on human rights. Do not adopt this proposal!
Self	As an American I believe in the right to choose over myself and my family as to what is best for us, not a global corporation!
American citizen	As an American I do not support WHO to have the final say in MY health, health of my family, friends or country. They have no right to force countries to follow their rules not knowing details of every single human on Earth. Even if it's considered guidelines no country who disagrees based on their data should faces consequences. My health and personal decisions are not risking anyone else's lives therefore are none of WHO business. Autonomy for all human lives at all stages of human life regardless of environment.
Sperry Chiropractic Office	As an American I value my unalienable rights to freedom and privacy. As a holistic physician and inhabitant of the Earth, I believe that I have the right - as we all do - to determine what goes into and happens to my body. I do not support any government or system who interferes with my God given immunity and liberty to be who I am and to live as I choose. I believe in total medical freedom and my individual right to choose what happens to my health and my body which cannot be honored by sacrificing my current freedoms to a World Health Organization.
Self	As an American I WILL not give up my rights for a "health emergency" as per my construction.

Organization	Written submission
NA	As an American Woman, I have freedom to decide and freedom to choose if I will participate in any medical act. As a victim of rape, I do not welcome the thought of another governing body imposing it's will on my person in the guise of "health and safety of the greater good". I am autonomous, and regardless of the circumstances, emergency or otherwise, I have the right to choose whether or not I participate in something. As a mother, that extends to my children. Keep your business out of my family. Your 'pandemic practices' were an unsubstantiated force of power supported by faulty modeling and an affront to true science. The lockdowns and masking has had no statistical impact in 'saving lives', but have had catastrophic and far reaching economic, sociological, and environmental impacts which will take years to correct. Education has suffered, mental health issues have skyrocketed, families destroyed, businesses decimated. You would almost have to believe such ineptitude to be intentional. Surely the greatest minds in science were capable of much more than what laziness we were given. Never again. I will not be mislead for the sake of thinly veiled political agendas. I didn't vote for you. I do not consent. The innumerable lives destroyed by your pridefully arrogant ignorance is appalling. Each of you should resign.
CES	As an American, I am deeply offended by the attempt to userp our national soverenty. The United States Constitution enshrines a Republican form of government to be administered by it's people's elected representatives. There is NO WAY for an unelected foreign body to weild influence or controll over our national affairs. I stand strongly opposed to such overtures by the World Health Organization. There is no possible way such an organization will have the best interests of the American people at heart, as it is not made up of American citizens. Please consider this proposal rejected by the American people
None	As an American, I believe the following: 1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	As an American, I do not approve of the World Pandemic Treaty. National and local leadership of each sovereign country makes its own decisions on what's best for its people. There is no such thing as a one-size-fits-all approach for human health. Thank you.
The United States	As an American, I do NOT want or need any international requirements for pandemic response. Each country's citizens should be trusted to make their own health choices; we do not need the WHO to manage a response strategy, nor try to force any medical choices on citizens. A treaty would violate U.S. Sovereignty and my rights as a U.S. citizen and the natural rights of ALL human beings. I strongly OPPOSE any U.S. involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. NO TREATY. No international regulations!
Cariblue Pty Ltd	As an Australian business I submit the following key elements for inclusion: 1. It is very important that this proposal for a new international instrument is publicised much more widely to the citizens of each country. An element ensuring open and transparent process to enable all people across all continents and individual countries of the world to vote on this, including guaranteed measures to prevent the application of this global agreement in places where a majority of the population may not want it. 2. This must include good governance elements being put in place to ensure this process is free from any conflict of interest; particularly from pharmaceutical companies or other national/global health organisations and/or businesses who operate for profit and who would therefore have a conflict of interest whether financial, reputational or otherwise. 3. Having lived, worked and travelled in many countries, the world's countries and their populations are very different. As a result, an important element is to enable each individual country's national and local leadership retains full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, in their own country, at the time. Furthermore, a related element to include is that any nations and their local municipalities must have the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as and when they see fit, without negative consequence to their country or their populations. Thank you.
Australian Citizen	As an Australian living through the COVID "pandemic" it is clear that obligations under the IHR 2005 to follow the directives of the WHO caused unnecessary economic and social devastation in Australia for a virus with a 99% + infection survival rate for healthy working aged people. A global pandemic treaty administered from the Geneva will interfere in the political decisions made by elected officials and transfer decision making to an unelected UN body which is not acceptable for a free democratic country. Australians want: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australian citizen	As an Australian sovereign citizen I insist that any pandemic agreements formulated by the WHO be free from stipulations that require any country to mandate any vaccine or medication or lock down measures which extend longer than two weeks at a time as such measures cause much more harm than the virus for which these measures purport to provide remedy. Indeed an organisation dedicated to world health should legislate to outlaw such crimes against humanity.
General public	As an educated member of the general public, I strongly oppose this treaty. I believe it would infringe on national sovereignty and propose health doctrines unacceptable to all countries who are members of said treaty. Each country has been handling the pandemic in their own way and the countries (predominantly developing or third-world) that have allowed early treatment with repurposed drugs have had the lowest death tolls and least amount of social disruption. This treaty and this treaty's strongest proponents come from western (North America and Europe) nations and they have created health guidelines that have been the most detrimental to their populace. There is no reason to believe the unsuccessful and harmful guidelines that were instituted (and in Canada are STILL being instituted) would be any more successful if transferred to countries with more logical and less damaging edicts. Were the results from countries that allowed early treatment with repurposed drugs taken into account and held in the same esteem as western countries, this would be a step forward. Were the hundreds of censored and deplatformed medical professionals allowed to have a voice, this would be a step forward. I don't believe there will be even the slightest bit of transparency or open debate with this treaty and therefore, cannot endorse it.
S.D Potgieter PC	As an experienced physician, I want to strongly object to your treaty where you want to force all control under the UN, in case of another "pandemic". With all the expertise around the world, countries should instead work together and exchange information to combat such a "pandemic" whatever that condition will be classified to be a "pandemic". All control of such a problem should be left to be managed by individual self governing people groups and countries instead. The fact that the WHO gets it's biggest support from a couple different countries and individuals, raise concern for such a treaty to be abused tin order to strip the freedoms of all the citizens of this earth, created and governed by only ONE GOD instead of a person or a group, appointed by other human beings.
NA	As an Indigenous person raised by family that lived off the land, it is imperative to our survival that, just as in the past, we make decisions regarding our health and well-being based on our cultural/traditional practices, as well as the knowledge of our innate immune systems, contributing factors to ill health such as obesity, diabetes, unhealthy diet/lifestyle, (information regarding innate immune system and contributing factors to ill health should be made available to all starting in elementary school and to young families. Finally, any and all information regarding the pandemic, virus, disease should be provided to all so that they may act accordingly. Funds should be provided to ensure those that are financially unable to, may purchase needed vitamins/supplements, healthy fresh food to keep immune system strong and service providers identify and provide support to all those in need, especially the elderly, those that are isolated, those in need of healthy living coaching and especially supportive counselling for mental health. There should be truthful reporting by news outlets, journalists with no fear-mongering permitted by anyone whatsoever. It should be clear to all, especially those in power, that stress contributes to ill health and therefore, they should be providing ethical, practical information to keep everyone calm, grounded and focussed on health and immunity. There should be no lockdowns, censorship, suppression of safe, including repurposed medications or forced injections of medications/vaccines. Hospitals/Doctors/Nurses/health care workers, law enforcement, journalists, politicians especially, must act ethically, honestly and openly and there should not be one sole decision maker.
private	As an individual and having been responsible for my own and my families health all my life I do not agree to this treaty that takes ownership of my individual right and responsibility to look after my own health. Neither the government, who suddenly took deep interest in my health and trying to prescribe to me what to allow to be put into my body since Covid 19, nor the WHO have any right to do this. We continue to raise responsible people, with free will, to decide for themselves what is best for themselves. No government or world wide institution has that right, ever! Kind regards Annelore
Personal	As an individual living in a sovereign country, I DONT accept and will never comply with any WHO treaty. You are unelected and untrustworthy. This power grab will never succeed, you have zero authority.
Women's Medicine Bowl, LLC	As an individual whose life-long practice of medicine for self-care has embraced many healing modalities in several nations, I am deeply opposed to any governing body prescribing health practices to be applied to all peoples in all nations. Such governance, as is being considered by the WHO, is counter-intuitive to human nature. Within any nation, the factors affecting the health of groups of denizens are highly variable. Social, mental well-being, and physical health are extremely varied in the smallest community. Sweeping decisions on health matters across regions within a nation and across national boundaries could never be made wisely and in the best interest of humanity. If the purpose of the WHO is to support the best health practices, how that is best decided and managed must be up to free choice by the populace in each region, state, and nation. The WHO should serve to research and coordinate information on global health matters, not to dictate policies.
Independent	As an individual whose life-long practice of medicine for self-care has embraced many healing modalities in several nations, I am deeply opposed to any governing body prescribing health practices to be applied to all peoples in all nations. Such governance, as is being considered by the WHO, is counter-intuitive to human nature. Within any nation, the factors affecting the health of groups of denizens are highly variable. Social, mental well-being, and physical health are extremely varied in the smallest community. Sweeping decisions on health matters across regions within a nation and across national boundaries could never be made wisely and in the best interest of humanity. If the purpose of the WHO is to support the best health practices, how that is best decided and managed must be up to free choice by the populace in each region, state, and nation. The WHO should serve to research and coordinate information on global health matters, not to dictate policies.
Individual citizen of USA	As an interested citizen of the USA, I simply want to state that it is not in the best interests of the sovereignty of the USA to sign on to this proposal. The USA should retain its sovereign right as a nation to determine this based upon the wishes of its' citizens. Therefore, we do not want to enter into a legally binding pandemic treaty. As always, the USA will always help to alleviate the sickness and suffering of the masses throughout the world -generally in a more generous manner than all other nations, Thank you for this opportunity to address this matter. C. Joseph Bilott 4-12-2022
Citizen of Ireland	As an international organisation, WHO needs to consult those who will be affected by the passing of any statuory instrument,or instruments I presume that we still live in a democracy and,as such, i am absolutely entitled to examine any proposed laws that will affect our human rights and right s of choice, under the Geneva Convention. Any proposed legislation must be stalled until it has been publically and democratically discussed, the process must be fully transparent to all peoples that will be affected by such proposed legislation.
None	As an Irish citizen and sovereign being I oppose the WHO and their ownership of Ireland's laws and response to health and freedoms. We are an independent state and demand our own health laws according to our natural right to self care and bodily autonomy.
General Public	As an ordinary member of the general Public I am concerned by the idea of an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. In person, I solemnly believe that it should never exist at all. However, should the perception of urgency arises and the instrument be enacted, I would like to request three substantial elements: 1. The instrument must guarantee a person's individual sovereignity to determine what they wish to do with their own body. Thus, a sweeping mandatorization of any medical action (including vaccination) is off limits. 2. The instrument must respect inherent, innate, and irreplaceable human Rights such as written in the UN Declaration of Human Rights. Hence, any measures intended to punish those who wish not to follow the instructions in the instrument is off limits. 3. The instrument must ensure that any actors who participates in the policy making are held responsible and accountable should the policy goes wrong. This includes, but is not restricted to: pharmaceutical companies, national health ministries, national authorities on Public health, and the WHO.
Canadian taxpayer and human / citizen of the planet earth	As an organization based outside the continent of America, the World Health Organization (WHO) do not have any expertise to defend expertise in any instructions nor mandates as pertains to any health protocols, in the Canadian land masses that deal with sub zero temperatures. WHO has no place nor standing to advice Canadians, their elected representatives nor their medical practitioners of any rules, mandates, suggestions, laws, legislation, medical protocols nor societal parameters. WHO you are to stay out of Canadian health matters. WHO keep out of Canada your treaty in its entirety.
Private individual	As an unelected advisory NGO, The WHO should have zero powers to force medical interventions on sovereign people of any country. Any government signing powers to you will be removed by the people.
Indi	As an unelected body it is undemocratic that the treaty should override a nations sovereignty. Each nation should have the freedom to follow your recommendations or not. With a huge variation in health system across the globe each needs the flexibility to act in their own way to any pandemic. Advise yes but I would not trust any unelected body to have rights over a nations citizens.
Individual	As an unelected body the WHO should have no legal say in the pandemic response individual sovereign nations decide for themselves. The responsibility lies with those the people have elected and if found wanting can be democratically removed. The WHO has no right superceeding the laws of nations for their own agenda based on the funding of other non elected entities and individuals. Nations must decide as a one size fits all approach rarely works. This proposal will make medical slaves of the world population at the hands of a nefarious organisation and must never happen.
private	As being healty is an individual phenomenon I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Citizens of USA	As citizens of a sovereign nation we reserve the God given right to govern ourselves and not be manipulated by or subordinate to foreign governments and entities. This is particularly true in a pandemic or state of emergency - where, as we have recently seen, many throw caution to the wind and operate under authoritarian and oppressive ways. Citizens and their local governments are best suited to determine the policies they will use in such circumstances. Giving up freedoms for safety (or the promise of safety) has never and will never be a benefit to the human race in the long run.
N/A	As citizens of the United States, we have a constitution that is our authority. The WHO is NOT a governing agency. Your constitution states that "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." This treaty would remove power from Americans to make our own health decisions. Bodily autonomy is each individual's right. No one should have the right to force another to have some procedure, drug, or vaccine that the person does not want to have. Even in an emergency! This treaty is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. I see that your overarching mission is "attainment by all peoples of the highest possible level of health." Really? Are you going to hold to your organization's constitution and mission? Or are you going to run roughshod over individuals worldwide just to obtain your agenda? THIS TREATY IS WRONG!!!!!!
public	As covid has never been isolated other than on a computer - how can the WHO set out a treaty to deal with a computer simulation? The idea of the liberties and god given freedoms being taken away by mam when we are under God's law- and gods law only. Man created government's and they should act in the best interest of the people it allegedly represents.
General Public	As described in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights individual sovereignty, free will, freedom of speech and bodily integrity are fundamental rights. National sovereignty springs from individual sovereignty. National and regional representative bodies must retain full autonomy to opt out of all or any part of any new instrument, at any time, without sanction. The WHO is not a body elected by the people, but a body of appointees. This democratic deficit must preclude the WHO from imposing its will on any free people. The relationship of trust between a doctor and patient must remain sacrosanct. That corporate interests have inserted themselves into this relationship during the last century, escalating since 2020, is tragic. The WHO must avoid accepting funding from, and ensure that any accord is not influenced by, these interests. Since 2020 diktats have replaced collective wisdom and informed consent, causing immense harm. We must relearn the lessons of World War II rapidly, to avoid its worst mistakes. We must not persuade ourselves that this time it is different, that the wisdom of any small group of appointees transcends that of the collective, and individual sovereignty. In 1937 the last living architect of the League of Nations received the Nobel Peace Prize. The WHO must recover its heritage and ensure that any treaty or agreement made is not, as it is currently conceived, an instrument of oppression, but one of individual freedom and of peace.
No public organisation	As each of the measures used during the last pandemic violated the law in the matter of freedoms and personal liberties, including numerous constitutions, any further attempts to regulate the internal regulations of individual countries, including treaty provisions, should be rejected and these matters should be left to the discretion of individual countries.
Just a human	as engraved in our constitution, bodily autonomy must be honored at all times
Private citizen	As ever, you (self) Appointed Bureaucrats have abrogated power to yourselves without the consent of citizens. The initial question has to be 'Is a global pandemic plan needed?' If it is, and that is a big IF. Is the WHO an appropriate organization to lead this plan? Looking at the catastrophic failures of the WHO throughout the worldwide Covid 19 progress from epidemic to endemic the answer to that question must be a firm NO. Only following these existential questions is it appropriate to ask what should be in any plan.
New York Education	As every country is unique in pandemic prevention, response , and preparation, a plan must fit each countries needs accordingly. Early treatment with repurposed medications during any pandemic must be recognized. ' Doctors are trained to do no harm and to think critically for their patients. A Treaty cannot meet the individuals need. Only their physician should treat them as they know their medical background. There are trillions of pathogens in our environment and a good education in sanitation, nutrition, daily activity, proper sleep, reduced stress, meditation, yearly medical evaluations, and the use of supplements and herbs can curb illnesses from these pathogens. Prevention, preparation and response all must be executed by individual government agencies that do not have any conflict of interest. Simply, introduce education for a healthy quality of life to our world population. Global health treaty's have no place in individual countries as every country has their own needs surrounding economics, geography, religion, and besides why would anyone want a one size fits all treaty for a pandemic? One last thing… a joint coming together of the minds from all areas of academia and research should be encouraged to review all data surrounding any pandemic.Removal of conflict of interest and big contributions from individuals should not make a difference to help humanity.
Frauenpraxis	As every seasonal flue can be considered as a pandemic I think the definition must include a proven very high mortality of the younger population. Moreover each country is special with a different climate, different people and has its own remedies - nothing suits for all, therefore it cannot be ruled from one point. Thank you.
Member of the public	As evidenced during this recent Coronavirus pandemic. The ability of individual countries and individual states to adopt their own pandemic preparedness plans is paramount to achieving the best outcomes. A one size fits all approach is unworkable and indeed can be counter productive. Any new pandemic preparedness directive issued, should promote the right of the individual countries and states to adopt their own planning and preparation, as the circumstances change.
Midwest Upper Cervical	As far as any sort of new policies being considered, there needs to first be an understanding of where we came from: There have been diseases or viruses before & much worse than sars 2. We have handled them much better in the past than we did with this last one. Understand that natural immunity is best and what any vaccine hopes to emulate. The vaccine is there for those to choose if they are afraid that their own natural immunity may be compromised. It is not a one-size fits all and should not be given to healthy individuals or most children. People can choose on their own & should never have a medical procedure with risk of injury or death forced upon them. Our constitution was put in place to preserve these human rights and not permit any certain groups or organizations to yield such power over another human life. Especially when there are so many questions, it is wrong and there is way too much corruption and lies, we do not need any more of this. We need to get back to basics, what we've done for many years has worked much better. And by the way, a vaccine takes many years of work & clinical trials to be ready to roll out, not 6 months, thats ridiculous. No mandates for a short no mandates for masks or isolation or quarantine. As always, a sick person can stay home until they are better, we do not need rules to control us. Less govt power less organizational power more power to the individual to decide, its simple. People want to live and most have the common sense of what to donor not do to survive. Besides we have a body that is intelligent and knows how to overcome and survive. Our innate intelligence knows much much much more about our bodies than any governor ever will.
General public	As far as health and pandemic response is concerned, I would like as much decentralisation as possible. National and local bodies should retain full autonomy and act as they see fit for their own people. There should be no repercussions whatsoever if a local or national body decides to act differently and opt out of some policy if their people want it. There should be no automatic implementation of any part of the treaty without consent of any given party at any given time. There should be ample measures in place to prevent any conflict of interest by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
0	As far as pandemic are concern, the issues and the the protocol need to be suitable, as it differs according to various issues related to geographical, medicinal, physiological, regional or local research and dietary too. And hence rather than making universal protocol as mandatory, an individual may allowed by awaring him to accept the way he think as a suitable.
WHC	As free people, we OBJECT to any type of elimination of our own sovereignty to follow what we believe to be in our OWN best health interests and object to being controlled by some globalist power. Therefore we say believe that we: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Philippine Medical Association	As God given stewardship of our physical bodies we uphold individual's right to choose our freedom to accept or reject man made vaccines that have not undergone long term studies on the efficacy and safety of the vaccines.
Government worker	As has been proven with the COVID pandemic and response in various areas throughout the world, the recommended course of action that has been remarkably similar have shown to be ineffective, with different local solutions have been better, vis-a-vis India, Japan, Sweden, Mexico. These decisions are best made at the local level.
None	As has been seen by the behaviour of corrupt organisations such as the WHO over the last 2 years, I do not want to see any kind of instrument set up to deal with pandemics, especially not on an international level. It should be an individual's free will as to how they choose to behave. Nothing should be forced by non-governing bodies. The WHO is a biased and untrustworthy organisation and has no business in even discussing this scenario.
Family	As human beings we all have the God given right to decide what is best for ourselves and our families. There is not a 'one size fits all' solution at a global level, not should individual rights and freedoms be forsaken for opinions and views a the few in control. As we have seen with the Covid 19 pandemic, there have been differing opinions on what is the best strategy, and, now, 2 years later we have been shown the data the proves the commonly used strategy did not provide superior benefit or a lasting solution. You must know in your heart and soul that this is not right.
My own	As human beings we have the right to our own sovereignty to decide what happens to our own country and people. We do not need a corporation with no legal authority telling every individual what they can and cannot do. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human being	As humans beings, we have individual rights that NO organization should demand or enforce us to do something to are own bodies! Public health is NOT a one-size-fits-all program!!
general public	As humans we should respect that public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. The most important is to educate for healty lifestyles and personal freedoms, as well as the fundamental human rights, should never be ignored. The health system in every country should be reviewed and human resources should be in line with the needs off the population despite the natural or emergent evolution of all infectious illness. All measures regarding diseases should be decided in endemic framework. Since science is always evolving the opinion of doctors and scientists should be considered (not politicized or descredit) and population should decide based on ALL information, their own medical background and not by inforced general management measures that don't take personal heath history in consideration (leading to possible comorbilities). Any medical act should have a informed consent. Mass measures should never again be taken leading, as it happened, to the massive lack of consent permission since the population decided "media based", with poorly or no medical advised.
General Public	As I see it, it will be essential(!) to ensure the respect for national sovereignty, for Human Rights as laid down in the Declaration of Human Rights, and of the Nuremberg Code. The instrument should make it possible to offer support wherever needed and wanted but not function as a de facto World Governance.
n/a	As I see it, person-centered, voluntary and locally driven public health responses are absolutely crucial. This means that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It means nations and local municipalities are able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. To safeguard such far-reaching legislation from corruption and malicious intent, it is non-negotiable that an open and transparent process is implemented, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Additionally, the process needs to include measures that do not allow for influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or private entities, including not-for-profit organisations. Should the implementation of such a piece of legislation succeed, it will need to be within the bounds of the above outlined considerations and safeguards, or it will be rejected by the people of the world. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input, and I hope comments from the public will be valued, and integrated, in a fully democratic manner as should be expected for a supranational health organisation with the best of intentions.
personal	as I understand,……there must be free will allowed…..the free choice as to be vaxxed or not. with all the developments from this pandemic I believe its the individual responsibility of leaders to prove what they are saying is true….and the publics responsibility to choose which way they believe is the correct way forward……nobody has proven that this jab is anything more then an experiment and I will not subject myself or my loved ones to another experiment ….plainly, I DONT TRUST YOU!! prove to me that this is a good thing….give me my choice as to what I can and cant do, I cant argue the scientific data for my position in technical terms but I can say I dont trust you with my choice.
Citizen	As it's been evident with the covid failure and the many countries that used it to deny even basic human rights, as long as WHO is financed by private entities and/or influenced by lobbies, honesty, impartiality, transparency, and legality cannot be granted so we the citizens don't want nor need any "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response".
Privat	As long as more than 50% of the WHO budget is raised by private investors, it is an absolute no-go that the WHO has the right to interfere in national laws. In general, the whole budget of the WHO should be raised by the member states, private influence must be forbidden.
No Pandemic RESPONSIBILITY with WHO!	As long as PERSONAL health is based in PERSONAL responsibility and PUBLIC health is based in PUBLIC responsibilily and BUSINESS interests are kept in BUSINESS interest sphere and not in WHO as present is the case WHO CAN NOT IN ANY RESPONSIBLE WAY BE ENTRUSTED WITH DECISIONS OVERRULING DEMOCRATICALLY RESPONSIBLE SOVEREIGN STATES. AS BASIS OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND DIGNITY THE RESPOSIBILITY FOR YOUR LIVE IS YOUR UNALIENABLE RESPONSIBILITY ! No WHO treaty, Yours DR med M.Bujatti
Hubner Immobilien	As long as private donations to the WHO are permitted there is no way for a pandemic contract, also all governments should decide their own. All mandatory vaccinations and mandatory medical indications must be forbidden!
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	As long as the WHO is financed not only by member states, it is VERY DANGEROUS , that private interests of industry will take influence to their own advantage. It is the right of people to decide how a pandemic should be met at a given time. DIVERSITY of opinion can be very helpful in dealing with a new health phenomen. Every single state should keep the right of decision about conditions to deal with a pandemic. This right should not be given to the WHO.
free foundation	As long as the WHO is not a fully independent orginisation they have NO RIGHT whatsoever to decide in world matters. This is outrageous and has nothing to do with world health. Stop your lobby! Stop your businessmodels! Cancel the WHO. This would be a good step forward for the people!
general public	As long as there is financial interest involved by those funding the WHO and those profiting from pandemic management, no organization should have that kind of power over sovereign people. An independent body of scientists and experts of various fields should assess the handling of the Coronavirus pandemic, present it to the public, perhaps debate it on a public platform and let the people make an informed decision on how such a situation should be dealt with in the future.
self	As many Americans fought for and lost their lives for the Freedoms we have. Our Government is elected by the people and have swom to uphold our Constitution. This does not allow for a Global Body to control any of our lives. Their job is to protect "The American People" and do what is best for our Country. If the WHO adopt such a measure there absolute ability for National, State and local municipalities to adopt out. All agreements the WHO adopts should be transparent and open for the world to view. Any agreements with health entities and pharmaceuticals companies who will profit from this should be governed by strict rules. Respectfully, Cheryl Elliott-Zyss

Organization	Written submission
none	population density, different klimate zones etc., it is of elemental importance that WHO is to be an advisory institution only, never one of decision! Governments have to be free to act according to the situation given in their countries. And above all other issues, humanistic principles must never be violated by advice of the WHO! Substantive elements to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparednes and response: - providing advice about effective self-protection - providing advice about common measures to inhance one's immune system - providing advice about early treatment with existing medicines to prevent deaths - providing protection against pharmaceutical experiments against people's will under the smokescreen of pandemics - providing surveillance of all new therapeutics to make sure people don't get harmed by hazardous substances - providing advice for governments about which measures do not help fighting a mild pandemic but leading to it's prolongation - removing the PCR-Test from the list of diagnostic tools for any infectious desease in living creatures Advice should be given in all conscience and all sense of honour, and in no way be dependant on funding by pharma industries or any foundations that make good use of global pandemics. There should be a 'round table' for scientists from all over the world and from different faculties who may speak openly with their conclusions being made transparent for everybody. These are the substantial elements i can think of in the short time available, which might be able to re-establish WHO's name and regain people's confidence... Thank you for hearing me!
Western New England University College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences	As multiple commentators pointed out during the public hearing on April 12, 2022, the instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response must remain advisory in nature and allow member states to use it (or not use at all) according to their needs, available resources, and economic , social and cultural environment in their respective state. There must be no cajoling, threatening, or other forms of enforcement present. We have now observed (and continue to observe) multiple instances of human rights violations due to lack of consideration of unique contexts at member states. Locking down populations of Geneva and Kinshasa did not lead to comparable outcomes. While Geneva population kept on with their daily needs of food and water, people in Kinshasa were starving to death due to the effects of the strict lockdowns. That was because people in Geneva's WHO chose to ignore contexts when issuing their pandemic suggestions. No instrument can be enforced irrespective of what that instrument ends up including. Please use the accumulated experience of the past two years to not repeat the disaster we all witnessed. Best regards, Natalia Shcherbakova
Jad22a@gmail.com	As noted with the previous response to our initial covid spread, increased mandates and other measures proved largely unsuccessful. Future responses should be concentrated on disseminating as much accurate information as possible as quickly as possible. History has proven when one centralized organization controls too much, it is prone to corruption and influence to agendas that are not good for the whole. As such is important to keep the individuals right and autonomy to choose what medically works best for themselves. Particularly there should be additional measures to ensure pharmaceutical companies aren't lobbying or influencing public health.
Private citizen of the USA	As of this date, I am still a free citizen of the United States. I strongly disagree with an organization , WHO, making any health decisions for me or my family; especially because WHO has zero credibility to do so by mishandling and misleading the world on all things Covid, including lying about it being a pandemic. The Covid 19 outbreak never rose to a scientifically proven criteria of a pandemic. The lockdowns, mask wearing and now dangerous vaccines have caused serious harm to millions of people and have not prevented contagion from the virus. Please stay away from my health freedom; I will make my own decisions.
SLSL Ltd	As one of many funded corporate instruments to promote their interests at the behest of people, aside there was no pandemic [Govt evidence bears out] any proposed emergency contingency plans such as lockdowns, medical mandates, mask-wearing, social distancing, will be solely decided by the people via their elected reps in each country and not unelected bodies. No INGOs, UN nor unelected bodies, have any authority to override our own emergency policies to inflict fines, unproven mandates and overreaching control. Furthermore, human rights and bodily autonomy must prevail without question as it is our right, and the people have the freedom, to decide their personal risks and health challenges without draconian (nor medical) intervention that is severely detrimental to our health to a greater degree than the perceived/over-estimated risks and over-reported outcomes/deaths. Any person(s) imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, MUST be held accountable for their actions. No person responsible for dictating these rules, may benefit directly nor indirectly, nor have any patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from rules imposed.
one of the people	as one of the people, i write to bring awareness to those in perceived positions of power that the people are wide awake to the lies and evil being perpetrated on us in this time and in the past. we stand united today and in the future to let all malevolent beings in perceived positions of power know that we do not consent to being ruled in any way, shape or form. thusly, the perceived social contract between the people and WHO is null and void, and any man or woman operating in their capacity to defraud the people will be accountable under the full force of the law. this world is under the jurisdiction of universal and natural law, which may come as a surprise to many in perceived positions of power who believe the world operates in maritime or admiralty jurisdiction. stop these murderous lies at once and repent before the creator. abandon all masonic and luciferian activity at once and begone. the people will decide how this works, not the fictitious WHO.
Individual	-As only democratically elected local governments are accountable to the people, they must have complete autonomy over their own people and the ability to veto any recommended health guidelines by the WHO. -Local governments must have the ability to opt out of any recommendations -There must be complete transparency for governments and the people and a way to vote on any measures they do not agree with. -There must be measures to prevent for profit and non profit organizations and wealthy donors from influencing the process in any way shape or form.
Private citizen	As part of the treaty national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian	As people of this earth and as a women that lives here I believe that each person should have the right to choose what they need to do for their health and what's best to put into their bodies! With good nutritional food ,clean water ,clean air and good soil which is the bases for good health! A group of people that don't live where I live will not know all the factors of what's best for me and my family and friends . We can do this and we have in many other areas of life! With people in our community that know our needs assessment and committees of our choice and good leadership we can create what is best!
Tenby	As per the Nuremberg code everyone has the right to decide whether to take a vaccine without coercion from losing their job or access to basic freedoms. People must be fully informed of the full list of potential side effects before taking vaccines.
Carl von Ossietzky University Oldenburg	As preparation for an upcoming pandemic, a substantive element includes the use and approval of established off-label medications early in the outbreak. Never should new medication technologies be approved in abbreviated procedures and then over-promoted. There must be no censorship of the critical opinions of established scientists and no unified control of pharmaceuticals, media, politics and business. Pandemic control should be decentralized, allowed to vary according to location and specific circumstances, and a diversity of scientific opinion should remain assured. In addition, there must be open communication and access to data on the course of the disease and those affected by it. Medication errors or side effects of novel vaccines, for example, should be taken seriously and the public warned immediately about dangers. There must never be restrictions on freedom or discrimination against those who do not want to participate in novel medications. Politicians must not freely dispose of the rights of citizens and the supreme power remains with the people. WHO should listen to warnings from experts and open discussion of different therapeutic approaches should be a matter of course in order to keep the population fully informed.
Citizen	As prevention I would recommend to have constant readiness for full lockdown of each country where the pandemic firstly occurs for the quarantine period.
none	As scientists we all know that knowledge about what makes human healthy changes very fast. health is very complex topic and we can't let any organization let decide what's good for all people. Humans should have the freedom to choose the right thing for their own health, yet they should be given the possibility to gather knowledge. But every human should have the right and possibility to choose actions for themselves.
Free Earth Alliance	As seen from Covid -19 situation and response from countries and WHO, there is an accute need to work on people's immunity than on short lived solutions of drugs and vaccine. As clearly seen in the Covid19 response all the drugs, treatments, vaccines have failed and created more panic and insecurity in the population. Bring about the holistic approach to make people strong than to depend only on pharma solutions. Human body, as a great gift from nature has all the abilities to adapt and cure itself if solutions are alligned to nature. Above everything any of the solution included in the treaty should not compromise on Right of Freedom of any person under any situation. People should be made aware completely of the drugs/vaccine and then they are to decide what solution they want as they have complete right of there body and life. No mandate should be forced under any circumstances as the temporary solutions given before are seen to be not working as expected and created more insecurity. Definitely nobody want to die but if they dont trust the solutions which might be imposed on them then the whole pupose of this treaty and faith is lost.
Private individual	As situations are different in different parts of the world, at different times, it is important that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions, based on what is best for their own people, and as agreed by a majority of their people. 2. Nations and local municipalities are able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. the process be open and transparent at all times, and that all people of the world are able to vote on each decision / amendment proposed, and include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement, in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers, or their holding companies, or those bodies / organisations who may subsidise or fund them, be these funds for research or any other projects.
Person Freedom NZ	As someone who holds democracy and freedom of the highest of values, what is proposed is in direct contradiction to these values. For Democracies like New Zealand we have elections to hold elected officials to account. This proposal would mean there is no accountability of the WHO, unlike our elected politicians. The WHO completely botched up the recent COVID outbreak and cannot be trusted to have the sort of power this proposal would give them. As such countries need to retain their own sovereignty to make health decisions that are best suited to their people. People of the WHO are not elected on to the WHO by us, there is no accountability to those on the WHO, as has been shown by what happened with COVID, and those on the WHO are not experts in pandemics. If the WHO gives good advice, duly elected Governments will take that advice, but when the advice is not good advice then Governments can ignore the advice and do what is best for their own people. The current set up works to ensure that this happens. This proposal is about absolute power, rather than about health. And as the saying goes absolute power corrupts absolutely. This is not what New Zealanders want. The WHO should stay an advisory group not an unelected power force over countries and people's of the world.
Humanity	As someone who studied Public Health History for 4 years, I cannot state my opposition to this, and other unelected global governance on health more strongly. Global responses are often devoid of cultural, national & individual nuances, which may affect diseases. Certain diseases may hit certain ethnicities harder for eg, and people in the west will not put up with the crap we see in Shanghai. Canada & Australian responses have already split their citizens in two and completely unacceptable attacks on human rights of citizens, when lockdowns vaccinations and masks have FAILED miserably to stop the pandemic. In addition for eg your ideas about food & health are also inappropriate to nuanced solutions by individual nation states appropriate to their cultures & citizens wishes; and again favour global corporate power over land resources & humanity. The LAST thing we need is a global authority directing policy when CEPI, Gates Farrer/Wellcome & big pharma etc are in control & influence, and unelected, and they have plans for digital ID, mandatory vaxx etc Profoundly undemocratic. Back down. NOW, or face the consequences of the backlash already happening which will see you as the enemy of humanity in the coming wave of opposition against the WEF.
Handsontherapies	As soon as a group is identified that is 'unlikely' to suffer long term or severe' symptoms from a new viral strain. Groups of volunteers, with written permission and consent, that fit into that catogory should be isolated and infected under save conditions. Serious scientific test can/should be done about the transferral of the virus, length of infection, alternative treatmens, lifestyle influences, symptom controll, etc… Also a buffer can be build up (i.e. pensionhouse- and/or medical personal, schoolkids, etc…) to protect the vulnerable in society. If well deployed (make it fun or worth while the time of the volunteers) this aproach will generate knowledge, prevent lock-downs and emotional damage and it doesn't smell of big pharma financial interests. I would volunteer, the riscs are manageble…. Herman
Living woman	As sovereign individuals we should have autonomy and should retain freedom of choice in all health decisions. Governments and governmental bodies can advise but ultimately we should be able to make our own decisions over all health and other matters. Giving control to one body to make decisions for all countries could be dangerous where individual governments across the world and even smaller local governments should be entitled to make their own decisions and every individual to have freedom of choice. The Pandemic Treaty goes against this and so therefore I very much oppose it.
Retired healthcare worker	As substantiated by the previous pandemic, all local areas exhibited different effects at different times. There is no way that a global attempt to handle such a crisis will be properly effective. The Health Department of each State of the United States must provide guidance to the County Health Departments which would be in change of how to handle its local part of the pandemic. Far too much financial, emotional, developmental, and social damage has occurred in the broad shut-downs during the last pandemic. Please allow all local Health Department entities to do their properly administered jobs in assessing what is happening in their locale, then making the best decision with the people in mind. Cynthia Dittman, MT (ASCP), DDS
Private individual	As the alleged pandemic has shown, it is in any case better to leave it up to the individual countries to deal with it. This shows which approach is the better one. If everything is determined from one place, we will no longer have such comparative data.
Citizen of the United States of America	As the citizen of the United States of America, our country was founded on the sovereignty of individual rights, freedoms and liberty. Elements of an international 'one size fits all' instrument and response to a pandemic conflicts greatly with our Constitution. When creating elements to response, wording of such an instrument affecting each country agreeing to this treaty, should be left to each individual country on how we respond. Our needs here in the USA are different in each state, city and community, and that within itself is the reason no uniform 'code' should exist when other countries have their own individual needs, like we have. To compile a uniform code for a response to a health crisis, or any situation, ignores the individual needs of a person, their family, their community, their state/Provence and their country. We all live in different environments, hemispheres and socio-economic communities, and all have differing medical responses and medical facilities. These entities are specific to the country/area they service, and are individual and therefore should be kept sovereign. Therefore, the element of SOVEREIGNTY should be first and foremost included in any new instrument, treaty or the likes involving multiple countries and municipalities that affects each citizen, especially when concerning health.
.	As the COVID response has shown, there is no "one solution is best for all" that's going to work. Local control and decisions are preferred in my opinion.
private	As the COVID-19 pandemic shows, there is no need for a new international instrument. Local governments further on have to be able to react and decide independently in concern to heath issues . People worldwide wouldn't accept to be ruled by global WHO restrictions. This endangers world peace and opens an addiction to Pharma industry influence. Best regards Jürgen Zehent
Privat	As the COVID-19 pandemic shows, there is no need for a new international instrument. Local governments further on have to be able to react and decide independently in concern to heath issues . People worldwide wouldn't accept to be ruled by global WHO restrictions. This endangers world peace and open an addiction to Pharma industry influence. Best regards Sonnhild Bernreiter
Medical service	As the COVID-19 pandemic shows, there is no need for new international instrument. Local governments further on have to be able to react and decide independently. People worldwide wouldn't accept to be ruled by global WHO restrictions. This endangers world peace and open an addiction to Pharma industry influence. Best regards Dr. Lars Pahlke
Private citizen	As the different nations have various cultures and needs it isnt practical to have a one world order to control future health crises, as proven with the current covid pandemic.
WaterAid	As the INB negotiates and decides on the substantive elements, we request consideration of the following key principles and elements: Political commitment and strong institutional coordination structures at all levels will be the foundation to supporting a multi-sectoral approach across all relevant sectors including environmental, water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) and climate, all of which are critical to strengthening pandemic preparedness and a One Health approach. Technical and financial resources to strengthen national capacities should centre on strengthening health systems, particularly PHC and community systems in reach of everyone. Ensuring all healthcare facilities have adequate WASH services is a critical building block of resilient health systems, helping to prevent infections, deliver quality care, encourage healthcare seeking behaviours and ensure patient and health worker safety. Availability of and access to non-pharmaceutical countermeasures and essential public health measures including WASH in households, communities and healthcare facilities should be a substantive element of the treaty. Participation and engagement of civil society, communities, and non-State actors, including those from other relevant sectors is important to ensure a whole-of-society approach to pandemic preparedness. A part of this includes consultation on the actual treaty text, not only the substantive elements. A new instrument should ensure equity, non-discrimination and the right to health are key principles – both in terms of equal access to medical and non-pharmaceutical countermeasures, but also in regard to strengthening health systems in pursuit of UHC, and look at specific health inequalities within countr
Awakeisme	As the last pandemic has made so clear the only unified effort Globally for a pandemic should be to give Early Home Care Treatment Guidelines and advise each person to work closely with their Medical Doctors who should all be trained in early Home Care. Every country should be advised to follow their own known Immunity Enhancing Protocols and offer assistance of advising the people via Media outlets on home care. India recovering in a matters of weeks from the worst potential pandemic crisis giving out Ivermectin to all it's citizens tells us of tremendous success stories outside the mass Vaccination concept. Africa again had no access to vaccines yet a whole population who were unvaccinated and recovered from Covid leaving the world with fantastic and safe Omicron which muted over 50 times in Africa because of unvaccinated natural immunity. Omicron virtually ended the Pandemic worldwide and gave immunity for life to everyone against all strains of Covid, even the vaccinated, something the Vaccines never did. Vaccines are impractical, unproven, untimely and proven damaging to recovery as stats revealed worldwide in all countries using vaccines which resulted in the highest spike levels. Thank You, Anna Smith Awakeisme
private citizen	As the last two years have taught us, the consequences of measures taken on the basis of public health reach far beyond public health. Therefore all elements of the instrument must be explicitly subject to national and local democratic processes with local and national autonomy being fully protected.
Self	As the latest Covid-19 pandemic has shown, centralized bodies do not have the capability to survey all effected countries / areas effected by a given health crisis. Generic, sweeping decrees can't hope to capture all the nuances of local situations, and are most likely to be ignored for that reason. It is my estimation that central public health bodies (WHO, FDA, CDC, etc...) have lost substantial credibility for these failures - this is not an accusation of lack of effort, but a recognition of human limitation when trying to centralize control. Empowering LOCAL leadership (at the Country / State / Town level) should be the focus to prepare for future public health emergencies. A distributed response system has a better chance of adapting quickly to rapidly evolving situations, rather than a centralized entity. At most, the WHO should provide guidance that can be superseded by local leaders who are most familiar with the local situation. Declarations: I have no conflict of interest, I am simply a concerned citizen who's life has been impacted by responsive measures to this Covid-19 pandemic.
Ärztekammer- Member of the Medical Board Baden-Württemberg	As the Nations worldwide face very different conditions, the people expect adecvat responses from their elected national goverments. While the WHO is a non-elected organisation, all pandemic responses issued by the WHO could not reflect the need and will of general population nor the expertise of national experts such as doctors and epidemiologists, the online ones familiar with local conditions. The Corona Pandemic has shown that Early Treatment emerged from the experience of local doctors. Local solutions will be even more needed in the future. Any attempt to global solutions will by its nature disregard a large amount of knowledge and unevitably lead to mismanagement, as well known from the history of Communist Regims of the past. As such, it would even endanger peoples lives either directly or via collateral damage. (as has already happened with the Lockdowns). Never can centralized control even under the best of intents as opposed to a democratic approach find the right solutions. The proposed Pandemic Treaty reflects a globalized, centralistic, undemocratic, and unflexible approach and has no future. I am deeply worried by the proposal of The Pandemic Treatment overriding national governments decisions and hereby speak out against it. With Best regards, B. Schuller-Roma, MD
none	As the past two years have shown, such a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response IS MOST DEFINITELY NOT NEEDED. Careful,decentralized case-by-case management and response, and absolute national, local sovereignty will be the best and only instruments compatible with fundamental human rights. WHO should play no more than an advisory role.
HayesTough Foundation	As the president of a foundation that helps thousands of children battling cancer and as a mother of a child that battled cancer, I come to you asking for the WHO to stay out of our health decisions. Recommendations are one thing, but requirements cannot be the norm when it comes to our individual and unique health needs. When my son battled cancer, we got second opinions when it came to his treatment plan. We should all have the freedom to do the same when it comes to future health needs.
None	As the USA citizen, I strongly believe that WHO treaties are not necessary for the USA. Health decisions should be made in the country, by the country's officials even in the state of emergency. Because they should consider local populations, demographics and environmental reasons.
Individual	As the WHO comprise of appointed officials and NOT elected officials, the Organisation should not and cannot be given authority to make global policy decisions affecting the sovereign people of the countries represented in the WHO. While it may be useful to have the WHO make recommendations to members states these recommendations should and cannot be made to be binding on individual states.
Personal	As the WHO constitution states, "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." WHO, please abide by your own constitution since: WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO should not have power over these health decisions.
Sovereign person	As the Who has no elected right to make rules for any Country It has no right to even contemplate the make rules that take rights away from sovereign people
private	As the WHO is affiliated to many private interest groups, I don't agree on an international treaty. It is essential to keep up the autonomy of elected governments and to hold up the bodily autonomy and integrity of each human being. So please stop the attempts of overthrowing the principles that had been developed after WW2 and the atrocities by Germans. Thank you for considering my point of view.
Private citizen	As the WHO is no longer wholly funded by Nation states, but has funding from Foundations as well as business interests connected to Pharmaceutical companies, I am against this treaty as it is put forward. The WHO has overstepped its authority in suggesting that it has the right to make rules for all people in all countries of the Earth. Any treaty they may hope will work must include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Again, this treaty must not pass in its current format.
Individual	As the WHO is not a democratically elected organisation I totally disagree with it obtaining permission to overrule individual governments on matters of the health of its people. In a spirit of cooperation, democratically elected governments should be responsible for their country's response to any pandemic , based on the will of their own population. If their people so wish, they can protest against any decision, or indeed vote their government out at the next election. That is democracy – which is a scared right in this modern world. If the WHO is in sole control it takes away the basic human right of having a voice and a say in the decisions made about individuals and changes the right of free state to that of a slave state. A very frightening prospect.
Private	As the WHO ist a semi private and non democratic organisation I don't support any form of action on registration of citizens or implementation of rules. It is the job of democratic governments. WHO is at the highest a coordinator.
Private citizen	As the WHO seemed to respond to the last pandemic in varying stages of panic, I'd suggest never letting you guys near any major decision making at all. You've shown yourself to be a corrupted organisation, with no foot in the real world or ability to have a balanced nuanced approach to pandemic planning.
Singapore	As the WHO, please protect the health of the people of the world by: * Allowing national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving their rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * Allowing nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * In spite of the results of the above voting process by their countrymen, each individual adult in every country are to be allowed the medical freedom to opt out of any medical treatment as they see fit, without consequences. For children, their parents/guardians to have the right to make that right for them, without consequences. * Ensure that the measures are not influenced in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	As the world health organisation you have a duty of care to educate the world on health. Strengthening one's immune system through healthy diet, exercise, positive human connection and connection with nature. Surely that would be great preventative action in pandemic preparedness. With strong immune systems there is no need for pharmaceutical intervention. One should have the choice to have medical intervention. So for those who feel medically vulnerable can chose to have intervention and this who don't can decline. I am standing up for our bodily autonomy, freedoms and Human Rights.
Self	As the world health organization already assists with the health of communities globally with it's various programs, guidance and policy, I would like to see the proposed treaty include review as part of it's process to regularly include the community under it's guidance and review the effects of this and determine where the most efforts are needed for improved health outcomes, but also those areas that perhaps don't want to have outside guidance and therefore there needs to be a way for communities and people groups and Nations to have some kind of control and autonomy to determine the best ways of treating themselves, directing their own health future and having opportunity to opt out of the world health organization treaty without fear of ramification. The treaty will be signed by leaders around the world but they do not represent the right to health of every person as not every person is able to vote but every individual has a right to protect their health and accept or decline health measures according to processes of informed consent which must be upheld. Thank you.
Self	As the world responds to health issues. I believe that you are working it backward, health starts with the individual and works upward, and not downward. Governance is also should operate that way from individual freedom first and guidance from local, state, country, world. It is not healthy for WHO to be governing a response that removes freedoms to control a pandemic. That has proven to not work in the Small Pox response in the late 1880s and Covid in the 2020s. Please instruct, give guidance, and do not govern. Health is a personal choice.
N/A	As there is no one size fits All for a Planetary World with a multitude of various and differing Citizenry, the entire concept is inappropriate. Above all, conflicts of interest are rife in the WHO organization and the parties involved must be explicitly removed from any discussions or decisions. All countries must have input, and the Citizens mustn't be able to vote on what is proposed, having been given FULL disclosure regarding implications and expectations. All countries must be free to withdraw from any agreement without adverse consequences as they and their Citizenry decide.
N/A	As things stand I see nothing wrong with the present work of the WHO – to collate and share information, and to advise governments and countries. I feel that the present situation is satisfactory, and that any changes which might allow the WHO to coerce individual governments or nations should not be allowed, and should remain outside the scope of the WHO's duties. Your 'guiding question' presumes that an 'international instrument' will be formed, but this is as yet undecided; therefore the question is already biased. I would appear that control of such an 'instrument' will rest with the members of the WHO - in other words a group of unelected individuals not chosen through democratic means and therefore unrepresentative of any country that will be affected by their decisions. There must be some means by which these members' decisions can be questioned and challenge. If not, there is no accountability for their actions, and this constitutes an over-reach of power. The idea that any sovereign nation with its own government, its own laws, its own constitution and human rights declarations, should cede control (in any circumstances) to any persons or organisations other than its own democratically elected representatives is absolutely a step too far. A nation and its government are much more aware of its own unique local needs and requirements, particularly in an emergency situation, than any faceless and unaccountable organisation that may wish to control it.
Healthcare plus	As United States citizens the most important subject of any international group should and must be preserving the rights of the people. No international entity has the right or power to dictate to our citizens no matter the severity of the situation/pandemic. Covid proved the incompetence and sheer disregard for our rights by government mandates organizations like WHO recommended and the world followed leading us all into economic and food shortages for a virus much less deadly than we were told and mislead. Generations will be effected from misleading the world, the next time a "pandemic" comes nobody should be given anything except information so they can do with it as they see fit. Thank you for allowing me to speak on behalf of 1000s of healthcare employees. Remember your organization should advise the world with real true data, not predicting the unknown and only information that is undeniably the truth without bias.
LTTB LLC	As US citizens, my family and friends strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. We oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. We also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. For these reasons and many more, we respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution.

Organization	Written submission
self	As we all have learned from the recent COVID-19 response, one size does not fit all. It is now recognized that older citizens, especially those in nursing homes, needed more protection than children and younger adults. So too was this true between various states within the U.S. It is therefore vitally important that individual nations have the right to decide what is the best course of action for any medical decisions. A one-world-order cannot adequately do this. One country might experience an outbreak of some disease and will need to make its own decisions about how to deal with the problem. Decisions must be local and not directed from some ivory tower politician (and health decisions have become politicized) who believes he/she knows best. The best solutions always come from the local people dealing with the problem. The U.S. cannot give up its fundamental right to self determination in all respects, especially the health of its citizens. Just say NO to the idea that we would give up control of our own health decisions to people who weren't elected by us and do not adequately represent us.
Human Being	As we are in severe distress globally from lack of clarity on what is being presented via government organizations - this needs to be addressed honestly and TRUTHFULLY before a position such as this is presented to the global masses. We need unilateral TRUST of which is clearly non existent and basically being ignored by many government levels. The public masses have common sense and the ability to use it to make proper informed intelligent decisions towards their health- this is our natural right as a human being. I have not seen sufficient evidence that has been presented truthfully for a global situation to result as what we have now. I see this as an opportunity for the people to come together to invoke healthy changes that will benefit all, provided we are not ignored. From a global perspective - having a global group is important to benefit all of humanity - provided NO HARM IS DONE TO ANY HUMAN LIFE. So all i am asking is for this organization to take a good long look in the mirror and tell the TRUTH - is it time - not one group should hold this kind of power - this creates Dictatorship and we all know these do not last and the vast many suffer from it - our global history proves this. History can no longer be avoided - it needs to be heard loudly and clearly. Human Health is the most precious gift we have it needs to be protected and not violated in the manner that has occurred throughout our histories and especially over this past 2.5 years.
Citizen	As we develop policies for dealing with future pandemics and international health emergencies, it is imperative that we keep autonomy with local markets and countries to determine the policies that best meet local needs. A global body with the powers to supersede local and national interests would greatly destabilize the global response and would ultimately lead to far worse global and local health outcomes. Any attempt to establish a global body with veto powers over sovereign nations would be a catastrophic decision that ultimately would limit freedom, increase conflict, and lead to health choices that were not sensitive or in tune with local health needs. This is one of the most important decisions in history and i hope to appeal to the human side of all voting members. Lets do what is right. Respect sovereign nations. Respect individual rights. Restrict overreaching power grabs from those who would ultimately abuse it. Kind regards.
Privat	As we focus a lot of sideeffect (inclusive Kreuzfeld-Jacob) on mandatory vaccines, they thave to be excluded or better forebidden. As pandemic are very different to handle in different states and stadium and success. So it depends on multifactorial decision. This could not be done worldwide. So worldwide there could be guidline but nothing more. Additional: Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process
Sozialministerium / Service für Bürgerinnen und Bürger	As we have learned from the pandemic in the last 2 years that public health is not a one-size-fits-all program, it will be mandatory and unavoidable that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	As we have seen in the U.S. over and over again, central policies applied broadly can be useless or even harmful such as lockdowns, school closures, masking toddlers etc ... It would be helpful for the W.H.O. to establish guidelines that local jurisdictions can choose to implement on an as needed basis and with complete autonomy.
Retired public health nurse	As we have seen with the current pandemic new information emerged rapidly and globally. No one central organization can keep up with the critical changes that emerge. Countries must be allowed to control their own issues that are pertinent to their own locations.
Public	As we have seen with this pandemic, one size does not fit all. Had countries, regions and hospitals not been able to implement different therapeutics or strategies we would have been in a far worse place. I believe that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	As we look at what transpired during the last 2 years, it is clear that there should not be ANY global response to a future pandemic. The only countries/states that did well were ones that did NOT follow the recommendations of the WHO. There seemed to be NO interest in listening to any doctors that did not follow the marching orders of the WHO. As a result, we are 3 years into COVID and no end in sight. That should really be an embarrassment to the medical community, including the WHO. The only places that seem to have moved past the "pandemic" are places like Uttar Pradesh that used Ivermectin. What a disgrace, that drugs existed that offered early treatment and a much better covid outcome. Instead, thousands of people died/suffered needlessly. This is evidence that the WHO is not in a position to command any adherence to its protocols. Unfortunately, the WHO fell captive to groupthink and it was to the detriment of the world. Power in the hands of a few is NEVER good. Every country/state/province/city should be able to follow whatever path they deem to be most suitable. They are the elected officials and should be able to listen to their own people/doctors, etc. Perhaps the WHO might take a greater role in making sure that ALL airports are shut down immediately should another pandemic arise!! They might offer several treatment options as they are discovered and they might make sure that safety data is released immediately BEFORE "vaccines" are released. Other than that, the WHO should NOT be in charge of a global pandemic response.
	As we saw during this pandemic different countries had different responses with different results. Although less vaccinated Africa has less deaths and almost no waves. We also saw lack of transparency when UE made the contract with vaccine manufacturer and after more than 1 year the contracts are not public. Having one point of decision will increase the risk of corruption. We also see lack of transparency regarding the release of raw data from vaccine manufacturers which makes the review of independent scientists impossible. This will make it impossible to detect problems in real time, which would lead, by applying a single mass solution, to a potential global catastrophe for humanity. We also see the politicization of public health and the burning of scientists or doctors who find problems in the policies now being implemented. By achieving a single point of management of a pandemic, this trend will remain and will continue to be implemented in search engines, media and social media. Of course, this can lead to a greater acceptance of the proposed solution, but unfortunately it is possible that any error will not be found in time with catastrophic effects.
N/A	As we've seen over the past two years, data takes time to properly represent levels of sickness, age affected and relative death rates. Please do not create something that would hold power over all people, regardless of healthy status, age, race, etc. Peoples health decisions should be their own, always. Thank you!
N/A	As we've seen in the last 2 years WHO is a propaganda machine for the super rich. Since they are in a war against humanity consider yourselves as my enemy. May God punish you as an example for what the lying mercenaries deserve.
None	As WHO and government agencies are already fully captured by Big Pharma, it is unwise to give any further powers, and especially standard "lock-step" procedures to those.
World Council for health	As WHO are attempting to make changes that impact the earths population it is only fair and right that the citizens of earth decide on the outcomes not WHO. National and local leadership should retain all autonomy and have the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process should be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The should also be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	As WHO is an organization that has not been elected by people . It is inappropriate to mandate on the whole world to be the lead to make a decision that dictates all countries have to submit to WHO in case pandemic. Looking back on how WHO managed what is called COVID 19 crises . Evidently it showed failure . There was no transparency in collecting sharing data of infection , evidence of proper testing and treatment options consent for treatment . Countries and individuals has to adopt and have there own policies as per other parameters to manage health in crises. WHO can have a role of advice and guidance rather than dictatorship . Unfortunately due to conflict of interest WHO lost its credibility and transparency
Austria	As WHO is not anymore a neutral and objective organisation, is has to be ensured in the contract that WHO only has the right to communicate recommendations to the countries.This is important because WHO is dominated by pharma industry through sponsorship.It has to be guaranteed that WHO does not have any authority to put any measures/restrictions/laws with regards to any local countries. The souverenity of all local states has to be guaranteed and the souverenity of each human being as well. All existing human rights and chartas need to be respected fully. Liability of WHO Management as well as liability of pharma industry has to be guaranteed.
American Citizen	As with any emergency event or otherwise, a root cause analysis should be conducted to determine which courses of actions worked as well as those actions that did not. Lockdowns of healthy human beings had never been done before. As the world discovered on a global basis, lockdowns did not prevent the spread of Covid-19 and we're a complete failure. Many countries experienced high numbers of deaths of their advanced age (older population) with the United States experiencing some of the highest numbers. The HIGH death projections were nowhere near the predicted almost 6% percent deaths of the population, instead Covid-19 came in to being 0.1 % of deaths of the population, largely older people with up to four co-morbidities. This must be considered as part of any strategy for an international organization like the WHO. Additionally, the United States of America is a sovereign nation and constitutional republic. The WHO can make recommendations but respect for our country's laws is paramount and take prevalence.
Australian citizen	As with any international treaty this treaty should first and foremost comply with existing treaties on Human Rights. In particular the requirement that medical treatment should be given only with the full and informed consent of the person receiving it. Obviously those whose mental faculties are eroded by Dementia and other similar diseases should have a family member or guardian present when consent is requested. Any international treaty that breaches those conditions would actually not be legally binding anyway.
Private citizen	As world citizens, we must maintain personal sovereignty within our human community. This balance is critical to the flourishing of connectivity, creativity and health (personal, flora/fauna and global). Otherwise, the "spark" of all life on earth will be extinguished. The complete takeover of personal liberties by one entity belies this fundamental tenet.
Individual not representing any organization	As you draft a legally enforceable document hat many nations will sign and be bound to. Please keep the rights of the individuals in mind. Not all people respond the same to a treatment or vaccine. Please allow provisions to allow choices for people who will not submit to invasive irreversible therapies. Do not impose experimental injections or treatments to all the citizens of all ages - should something go wrong, the consequences could be devastating. All treatments that will be ingested or injected by/into individuals should be thoroughly tested for safety and the process should be transparent and free of conflicts of interest. The population should also have a say as to what constitutes an emergency and its duration, to prevent abuse of emergency powers. It should not be decided solely by unelected agencies, or a few elected individuals. Lastly, more effort should be made to prevent deadly pathogens from spreading or being released in the first place, and that includes ending all gain of function research, actively searching for and destroying any pathogens generated through such research, and to impose stiff penalties for anyone engaging in such practices.
Germany	Ashby's Law proves that complex situations cannot be solved from top down, so leave the states with the decentralized authority to solve their problems.
A member of the public	At a bare minimum, any treaty should include provisions such that: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stop Public Health Overeach	At a minimum, these elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. NO COERCIVE MANDATES OR LOCKDOWNS OF ANY KIND.
Private citizen of the United State of America	At a time when people around the world should be focused on resuming their normal lives after more than two years' worth of unscientific COVID restrictions and unprecedented government infringements against their individual rights that did nothing to stop the spread of COVID-19, millions of us are still wondering when - or if - these infringements will ever actually stop. And now, with the WHO promoting a worldwide health agreement that puts the WHO in charge of public health policy, it would appear that big pharma's allies and power hungry globalists in the WHO are more determined than ever to ensure the madness will continue indefinitely. ANY agreement that would effectively form an international vaccination authority or could mandate isolating the healthy or could conduct medical surveillance on private individuals and track people down based on their vaccination status is not only invasive and unnecessary, but reminiscent of far darker times in our world's history that must be avoided at all costs. The WHO is ill equipped to be given that kind of power over the lives of everyone in the world. It is antithetical to democratic notions of freedom from state control over our lives.
retired	At age 78, I have not had covid although I take the dog for a walk every day, on which I meet and chat with a variety of people, all unmasked, who may or may not have it or have had it; it is simply not a subject of discussion. I therefore regard it to a large extent as a measure of personal health; I eat organically and because I eat organically, I also see no need for vaccination. I know that in general medical practise, which is based on the motto, primum non nocere (first, do no harm), vaccines have always required a minimum of 20 years to develop. I would not therefore allow vaccination against such a recent phenomenon as covid.
UK Citizen	At all times, and in all circumstances, national sovereignty must take precedence. Unelected bodies such as WHO do not have a democratic mandate, and their opinions must be purely advisory.
None	At all times, individual and state sovereignty should prevail. There should be acknowledgement of universal individual rights and the Nuremberg Code. No individual should be subjected to a loss of bodily autonomy and it must be acknowledged that each and every person has the right to decide on their own medical treatment (whether experimental of not) without fear of retribution or discrimination of any kind. All proposed pharmaceutical interventions should be subjected to true independent and rigorous assessment before being offered to people. There should be tru and full transparency and declaration of interests. All companies, politicians, public health officials (including the WHO) and medical practitioners MUST be held responsible for their actions, regardless of the passage of time. All current Internatinal Rights agreements must be maintained and be a part of this "international instrument".
member of College of Naturopathic Physicians of British Columbia (reg. no. 0	At first notices of threat detection, globally, notice should be given to existing Emergency Response Services, who shall notify; all medical personnel and then the general public.Subsequently, procedures should be implemented under the direction of medical experts, to the exclusion of any political involvement. Politics has no business engaging in medical matters, just as medical personnel do not insinuate themselves into political issues. This was the prime error in the management of the covid crisis. Politicizing such events is tantamount to yelling "fire" in a crowded building.
Free	At first: If you want to make real pupic hearings, you have to make it pupic. Really public ;) THIS is hypocritical. Well, I will agree with this, if the WHO will receive their money from the countrys, not from privates!!! Work on your budget. Go back to your roots. Be a WHO for the people, NOT for investors and big pharma :)
Private Person	At First: WE don't need an international instrument to handle pandemics. Die Souveränität des Staates muss garantiert sein! Die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Bürgers muss garantiert sein!!! Menschenrechte müssen geachtet werden!!! Regierungsbeamte und Pharmakonzerne müssen gesetzlich haften für ihre Entscheidungen und Produkte und deren eventuelle Folgen auf jeden einzelnen Menschen!!! Franz-Joseph Rust, Germany
General Public	At least 6 elements should be included: 1. Measures that ensure that all raw and all processed data used in pandemic decision making, without exception, is published on a public website so anyone in the world can freely study it. 2. Measures that ensure that no bias exists towards seeking pandemic plans from large funders of WHO. 3. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement. 5. The ability for all people of the world to vote on the pandemic plans, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. Measures that rigorously and fully disclose any and all influence in the process by profit-driven companies, and do not allow those companies to claim "proprietary information" to prevent such disclosure.
US citizen	-At no time shall the instrument supersede national, state, local, or individual law, liberty, or rights. -Voluntary participation and full autonomy in decision-making based on what is best for people at the most local/individual level possible. -Transparent, open, user-friendly access to all data and communications, including raw/unredacted data and considerations upon which recommendations are based. -Transparent, open, user-friendly access to all names and contact information of all people involved in said considerations and recommendations. -Majority vote in any form cannot force or coerce participation of any participant. -Each participant has the right to decline any or all part(s) of the instrument without penalty or restrictions, regardless of adoption of instrument by any jurisdiction within which the participant resides or visits. -No input or influence in recommendations shall be afforded any corporate entity, including but not limited to: pharmaceutical companies, NPOs, philanthropical organizations, academic or research institutions with any connection to pharmaceutical companies, lobbyists, PACs, shareholders/family of shareholders in companies involved in any aspect of instrument recommendations. -Any input or influence afforded academic institutions without connection(s) to pharmaceutical companies shall be disclosed in advance. -Any recommendation, once adopted, will not be subject to a minimum period of usage, can be rescinded at any time, and will be re-evaluated at least every 2 years. -There shall be no liability protection for any person, company, or entity producing or promoting any product or intervention subsequently recommended as a result of the instrument.
Sundance Realty of Juneau	At the bare minimum should include the following elements: 1. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
None	At the base of any considered treaty the Fundamental pillars of human rights must Remain. First of all freedom of choice, Transparency and abiding with natural law.
Private	Attention the WHO: With regard to any international or national health matter, health crisis, health pandemic, any and all health emergency, planning or response, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The instrument should consist of an open and transparent process that allows all people, of the world to participate, and should include failsafe measures that can prevent the application of a global agreement in places where the population are not in agreement with it. In addition, the instrument must include measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO is not to become the dictator of health for any country. The people of the world did not vote nor elect the WHO to dictate health measures or for the WHO to make health decisions for our world. Reject the very idea of the WHO forming any form of a treaty for any country or for our world. End the tyranny.
Citizen	Attention WHO Under no circumstances do I agree with the WHO having any powers over a citizen or a country. The WHO's sole responsibility is to inform and recommend after information is gathered and reviewed from various academics and researchers around the world and to base their recommendations based on a vigorous debate on clear data collected.
Member of public Australia	Attention, World Health Organization, As it has come to light on a global vaxport, I would like to go on record with the following suggestive ideas: 1) Countries reserve and retain sovereign rights on final choice, they will do what is best for the people, by the way their own democratic or political means are organized. This is non negotiable as countries should not surrender to a single body to govern what is best for their people, that has and will not be favourable in many circumstances. 2) Transparency - This needs to go without saying, no more hiding behind deals cut by big pharmaceutical companies on products. All deals, agreements and terms need to be easily gained by public and political population alike. Too long has this been a large factor in many responses, too many times have pharmaceutical companies and government entities being able to violate human rights and other such democratic notions. 3) End to medical discrimination and violation of trust - This goes to even the current response of the pandemic, forcing the injection on a person should never ruin their livelihood. The medical practice should always, first and foremost have consent by the person in question, never a single ounce of coercion should be on the cards. However, this pandemic has showed exactly that, and as such, if this was to pass, I would feel safer with a guarantee that my medical and democratic rights would be protected at all times, in accordance with the treaties signed with UN and other bodies.
Myself	Attention: WHO The PCR Test is not a diagnostic tool for Covid according to the inventor, Dr. Kary Mullis, himself! These injections are NOT vaccines. They are an Experimental Gene Therapy in the guise of vaccines! Israel, the most highly injected country has experienced the highest death rates. This virus has never been vaccinated and this must stop!!!! Sincerely, Maureen Fedail
Human Being	Attention: I speak on behalf of my family and friends in writing this letter. 1. We get our rights from God, not government and government/agencies have no right to take them away. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. No one has the right to deny potentially life saving medications to anyone. 4. Humans have the God given right of choice. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status must never ever be used to restrict a humans inalienable right to travel, work, attend school or gain access to any public spaces including businesses. 6. Forced lockdowns or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7.We demand full and complete transparency in all depersonalized data. Such data must be made available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has their unalienable right to free thought and opinion. All humans have the right to speak their mind in public without fear or retribution. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures and are fully liable and are liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. All above individuals must strictly uphold The Nuremberg Code. 10. Humans must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Respectfully, Aimee Torres
Privat	Auch im Pandemiemanagement muss die demokratische Selbstbestimmung der Nationen und Regionen a erster Stelle stehen. AUTONOMES, DEZENTRALES Umgehen mit Krisen hat sich immer als effektiver erwiesen bei Krisenmanagement.
Privat	Auch in einem Pandemie Fall, oder in Belangen der Gesundheit hat der einzelne Staat das letzte Wort zu haben. Die einzelnen Staaten MÜSSEN AUTONOM und selbständig entscheiden können! Alles andere ist abzulehnen!
Canada	Aucun pays ne doit transiger avec une organisation criminelle comme l'OMS. L'OMS n'a jamais été élue. Il est impératif d'abolir l'OMS et de restaurer la souveraineté des nations. Toutes les organisations internationales doivent être démantelées et leurs représentants doivent être traduits en justice. Advenant la ratification d'un traité illégal par les états nationaux, un référendum national sera organisé pour rejeter le traité et poursuivre en justice ses signataires. L'OMS n'a et n'aura jamais de juridiction sur la vie des individus.
keine	Auf jeden Fall sollte es für alle Menschen freiwillig sein, welchen Maßnahmen sie sich unterziehen. Jeder ist für sich selbst verantwortlich und niemand hat das Recht, in das Leben eines anderen Menschen einzugreifen. Schon gar nicht, wenn es die Menschenrechte verletzt!
Privat	Auf keinen Fall darf eine allgemeine impfplicht kommen habe Antikörper und lasse mich durch keine gespritzte mein Immunsystem zerstören
Selfmade	Auf keinen Fall darf von einer Organisation, welche von privaten Spenden abhängig ist, in die Grundrechte der Menschen eingegriffen werden!!!
Bohnenkamp	Auf keinen Fall so run Vertrag, jeder Mensch hat Grundrechte und die kann die WHO keinem entziehen Füße Vorhaben ist vehemmebt abzulehnen
Private	Aufgabe der WHO: lediglich beobachten und beraten !!! Keinesfalls: in die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten eingreifen und keinesfalls die nationalen Verfassungen untergraben !! Grundrechte der Freiheit und der Selbstbestimmung der Menschen respektieren !!
privat	Aufzählung grundlegender Bestandteile: Geltung Verfassung des jeweiligen Staates Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates Bindende Normen des Völkerrechts Geltung aller Menschenrechte Haftung aller Beteiligter Praktische Evidenz ist nachzuweisen. (Translation with deepl.com) Enumeration of basic components: Validity constitution of the respective state Guarantees of the sovereignty of the state Binding norms of international law Validity of all human rights Liability of all parties involved Practical evidence must be demonstrated.
Privat	Aus den Erfahrungen der letzten beiden Jahre ergeben sich folgende Elemente als unbedingt notwendig: - Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen/der Einzelnen - die Achtung der Menschenrechte - die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und - die gesetzliche Haftung der Pharmaunternehmen
Privat im Gesundheitssektor	Aus der Erfahrung der vergangenen zwei Jahre scheint es mir am allerwichtigsten zu sein, dass die Menschen in ihrer Freiheit, in ihrer Würde, in Bildung und Menschenrechten, sowie in ihrer Gesundheit nicht eingeschränkt werden dürfen. Egal durch welches Gesetz, egal durch welche Institution. Gene müssen tabu sein, weder eine digitale, noch eine genetische Veränderung soll angestrebt werden. Die Gesundheitsvorsorge darf nicht Alibi für Profit- und Machtstreben einer Gruppe von Menschen sein. Ethik und Moral müssen überwacht werden von neutralen, hochgebildeten Menschen!
privat	Aus meiner Sicht gar keine neuen Instrumente, jeder Staat soll Selber entscheiden welche Maßnahmen notwendig sind ein zentralisiert ist System ist unverhältnismäßig und Demokratie gefährdent
Privat	Aus meiner Sicht ist es absolut wichtig, daß die Souverenität des Staates und jedes einzelnen Menschen garantiert wird. Unumstößlich müßen auch die Menschenrechte sein! Was selbstverständlich für die Pharmaindustrie zu gelten hat ist, daß sie die Haftung für ihre Produkte übernehmen müssen - auch für die mRNA-Impfstoffe!
Self	Australia must not be put in a position whereby the WHO can dictate to Australia in regards to our health system and what our pandemic responses will be. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Australia	Australia should not be part of any world pandemic treaty. No one organisation should have control over all others.
Nil	Australians have not been informed or voted for the treaty, it is by chance some of us have knowledge of the treaty. We are sovereign people and want bodily autonomy! Our health is our personal privacy and decision to make and our god given right and free will, and not for corporation or industry or governing bodies to rule and decide. I do not agree or consent to this treaty

Organization	Written submission
Concerned citizen of Australia	Australians say no the the treaty. We do not need a foreign organisation to overrule our constitution and dictate health orders. 1. It is our right that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own countries people. 2. Australia should retain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence or duress. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Any measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. That being said Australia says no to the treaty.
Humanity Inc.	Authority for public health decisions should be granted locally. The understanding of doctors treating patients on the ground and in real time should be given as much weight as researchers in a lab. Robust scientific debate should be encouraged. Freedom of speech must be protected. Comprehensive harm/benefit analyses of all actions taken by governments should be undertaken by panels of independent researchers. Pharmaceutical companies should be barred from conducting safety and efficacy on their own products. Financial ties to the pharmaceutical industry should exclude anyone from donating to or working for the World Health Organization and any "Instrument on pandemic preparedness and response."
Mensch	autom desision by every state of his own. there is no need for such preparings like WHO is providing. The WHO is not transparence, neither democratic legitimated and occupied by other interessests. This kind of preparings are a risk for human health. The WHO have to be terminate immidiatly.
none	-AUTONOMY at every level of governance -the ability to choose not to participate in the WHO's recommendations without penalty -pharmaceutical companies and others with monetary interests not allowed to influence -leave countries alone if they don't want to participate
N/A	Autonomy for health decisions MUST reside with the elected officials of local government. A "one-size fits all" policy created by a body of unelected people CAN NOT be enforced. The value of the WHO is to create guidelines with whatever information is available for use by local government. Any further powers given to a central unelected body is a step towards authoritarianism.
Individual	Autonomy of the individual is guaranteed by the UN Charter, thus arguments for expediency in suborning these rights are suspect. The W.H.O. is an advisory and coordinating organization, not setup for enforcement. Please leave local & organic polities intact!
General Public	Autonomy regarding medical decisions is paramount to a civilized society. Nations must be able to opt out of any mandates given by an organization whose members were not elected by the people. Global agreements need input from their constituents and pharmaceutical companies should not influence these personal decisions between doctors and patients.
Public	Autonomy should always remain with each individual country on how they address a pandemic. I would be wholly against unelected officials making these decisions globally.
AVAC	AVAC is committed to health equity and disease prevention, and those goals are paramount to addressing pandemic preparedness and in fashioning our joint response. First, any pandemic preparedness treaty must commit countries to working toward universal health coverage in a way that ensures true universal access to health services across groups and geographies. Pandemics have a severe impact on broad health system functioning, and it is crucial that health coverage is strengthened to withstand the extra burden that an unexpected pandemic can place on routine essential health services. Second, the pandemic preparedness treaty should commit countries, multilateral institutions, and regional bodies to ending all pandemic diseases present and future. There are still a total of three million deaths attributable to AIDS, TB and malaria every year. Millions of lives and billions of dollars in global investments can be saved by ending the HIV, TB, malaria and other pandemics today, while preparing for future pandemics tomorrow. Third, the treaty must commit countries to reaching and protecting the most marginalized groups and to engaging civil society organizations in the governance mechanisms and key decision-making processes. COVID-19 has had disproportionate impact on those with limited access to health services, including women & girls, LGBTQ+ groups, sex workers, and children. We cannot continue to worsen inequalities, and civil society and communities should be explicitly recognized as equal partners at every stage from conceptualization and design to implementation and governance of key pandemic preparedness and response decision-making mechanisms.
I don't belong to any organization	Avant de nous demander quels éléments devraient être inclus dans ce traité, ils seraient bon de nous poser La question si nous sommes d'accord avec ce traité. Si j'ai bien compris, si ce traité est approuvé, l'OMS aura beaucoup de pouvoir et décidera pour nous sur différents sujets concernant notre santé. Avec un chef comme Dr Teodros Adanno, je ne suis pas surprise que cette organisation aille dans cette direction. Au lieu de parler de santé globale tout ce qui l'intéresse est le problème du Tigré, sa région natale. Je suis contre le traité et j'aimerais voir une OMS plus démocratique et plus axée sur la santé et le bien-être de toute la population du monde mais pas seulement du Tigré.
N/A	Archive Move Delete Spam URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Yahoo Mail / Inbox Steve Kirsch's newsletter <stevekirsch@substack.com> Unsubscribe To: yung00066@yahoo.com Tue, Apr 12 at 1:03 PM URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment.
Self, Grappling Vines Vineyard LLC	Background: Board Certified Family Physician, 37 years private practice. Col (retired) USAF ANG Flight Surgeon, specialized training PH. As clearly demonstrated by the worlds response to Covid, there arise many ways to respond. Some work, many are ineffective and some are disasters. Different agencies impose their will in these endeavors with the above result. The question I pose to you is what evidence do you have that any single entity got it right from the start. The variables are vast, both in the possible pandemic unknowns and range of individual and regional differences of the human condition. Given those realities: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. If you insist in central ownership and imposition of an orchestrated worldwide response there should be a mechanism for accountability for the outcome.
Humanity	Bad Idea , to concentrate power into the fewest of hands , truth has a hard time being found.
TNE	BAD IDEA IN ALL WAYS, EVERYONE CAN MAKE DECISIONS BASED ON WHAT IS BEST IN ANY SITUATION. OUR RIGHTS ARE INALIENABEL AND THE POLICIES IMPOSED DURING THE COVID DEBACLE ARE NOT TO HAPPEN AGAIN.
Imaging Peace	Balancing power is substantive. US founded upon balancing power, and history shows the importance of the practice of balancing power. Imperative to not give control to WHO, whose arguably unbalanced practices have caused great suffering throughout the world, even with some balance of power structure in place. Substantive balancing power needs to be increased. US needs to revert to making health decisions based on reality needs of individuals. Quite simply and of real substance, LIFE is dynamic, interconnected and starts MICRO, . . . expanding from personal to group to community to regions to culturally connected and on toward world level MACRO. The macro is an idea based on abstracts which philosophically has merit; however micro level is real, and in the beginning and end, reality rules.
magánszemély	Bármilyen nemzetközi együttműködésben az egyes országok, nemzetek saját jogon és önállóan dönthetnek. Semmilyen nemzetközi szerződés vagy szervezet nem emelkedhet felettes hatóságként vagy joghatóságként a nemzetállamok jogrendje és szuverén döntése fölé. Sem kényszervakcinázásokkal, sem "kötelező" személyi ID vagy "oltási igazolvány" kényszerített bevezetéséről. A magyar állampolgárok élete, személyes szabadsága, élete, szabad mozgása és testi épsége felől csak és kizárólag a magyar állampolgár dönthet. Elutasítom a kötelező ember és gyógyszerkísérletet, és a valós vagy műpandémiával összefüggő mozgás és szabadságkorlátozást. Elutasítom a digitális személyi azonosítót, a személyi ID és QR kódos "vakcina útleveleket" és mindenfajta totális digitális ellenőrzési, irányítási és korlátozási lehetőséget. Minden európai állampolgár - szabad állampolgár és alapvető emberi jogaiban sem a WHO, sem az EU által nem korlátozható.Elutasítom az életveszélyes és sokaknak halálos kísérleti vakcinákat, és annak kötelező beadatását akár az EU, akár a WHO, de bárki részéről.
University of Johannesburg; Johannesburg-based Community Organising Wo	Based on South African experience, I recommend the following: 1) Social scientists with academic and research knowledge should be involved at the highest level in governments' advisory committees. 2) Governments should be encouraged to work with informal, grass-roots structures, as well as high-level civil society organizations. This is critical for effective public education and high rates of vaccination. 3) Adequate funding should be provided for outreach/pop-up vaccination sites in poorer countries and among marginalized communities. 4) Measures should be put in place to counteract vaccine inequality between and within nations. 5) RCCE structures, including Social Listening, should be retained. Credentials: • Research professor in social change, University of Johannesburg (UJ). • Co-leader: UJ/Human Sciences Research Council's Covid Democracy Survey. • Leader: Vaccine Rollout Project (funded by National Institute for Humanities and Social Sciences). • Leader: Covid-19 and Civil Society Project (funded by National Research Foundation). • Adviser: Community Organising Working Group, the premier grass-roots coordinating body in informal settlements and other poor areas in Johannesburg, funded by UNICEF among others. • Member: RCCE (active in Social Listening Committee in particular).
DFO	Based on the current wording, the following elements should be added: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence and unilaterally. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organisation	Based on the human rights Ensuring financial independence from the pharmaceutical industry and private individuals The processes of discussion of this plan should be more open and longer open for the people than now it should bring back to the people in all countiers from the ground to the top Participation for discussion and decisions in form of directe democraty - Volksentscheide multiprofessional independent Teams together with Vertreter of the people no mandatory vaccinations Freedom for individuel decicions for different kind of medicin during pandemia only evidenzbased
Concerned citizen	Based on the total failure of WHO recommendations in controlling a global pandemic in comparison to nations that did the opposite. I am deeply concerned that a faceless, unaccountable organisation should have any further hand in over riding of decisions made by an elected government. The full and yet to come impact on the global economy will be felt by all global citizens of the world and the WHO has been involved in this ruinous episode. In my opinion further powers to the WHO would not be of significant benefit. In answer to your question above, the substantive element I would request is for the WHO not to be involved in overriding sovereign countries decisions due to lack of accountability and the faceless sponsors of your organisation who may have vested interests countering that of the people.
Concerned citizen	Based upon my observations as a citizen of Canada and what has occurred globally over the past two years, the following MUST be included in this proposition: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. National and personal bodily autonomy MUST be upheld at all costs.
Private Dental Clinic	Basic Human rights for the making decisions and be free to walk around is done by the birth.
Humanity	Basic needs of humanity are important at all times, including during pandemics. Safe, reliable access to food, water and shelter are the most important foundation to any society, pandemic or or not. We have seen these basic needs be threatened by our global systems during the latest pandemic. We need more localized stockpiles/supplies-where there are people living there needs to be ample food and clean water, prepared for emergency. We can also add in emergency medical supplies. This very basic measure should be the foundation to any society's emergency preparedness. Also, regarding pandemic information; it is important to have transparency and availability. Research, counts, regions; whether it be from a government or corporate entity, information regarding anything to do with the pandemic must be made public and transparent. People need to be informed, just like their governments. We saw in this latest pandemic so much manipulation of data and information, and more profiteering. I would like to end with a reminder to all those who have the responsibility to make decisions for many people (you, the WHO); Be in the true interest of the people, of humanity. Of freedom, of truth. There is no organization greater than this, including yours. Respect where the true power lies; with the people, not the institutions. Thank you.
Private person	Basically, I reject any treaties that act and apply worldwide through the individual states. However, if implemented, there must be guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies!
ICU	Basics first :redefine pandemic. It used to be defined as a lethal pathogen and not as a contagious or transmissible pathogen. Use the old definition we used to have.
Individual	Basis for a strong response - Pandemic emergency contingency plans such as lockdowns, medical mandates, mask-wearing, social distancing, must be solely decided by the democratically elected governments of each country. No international agencies nor unelected bodies, must EVER be allowed to override our own emergency policies to inflict fines, unproven mandates and overreaching control. Furthermore, human rights and bodily autonomy must prevail without question as it is our right, and we must have the freedom, to decide our personal risks and health challenges without draconian (nor medical) intervention that is severely detrimental to our health to a greater degree than the perceived/over-estimated risks and over-reported outcomes/deaths. Any person(s) imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, MUST be held accountable for their actions. No person responsible for dictating these rules, may benefit directly nor indirectly, nor have any patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from rules imposed.
Family	Basta vaccini! Basta green pass!!!
Healthcare	Be aware that the "vaccine" for Covid is more dangerous than the illness. I am living first hand the repercussions of the Covid "vaccine". My Dad is dead because of this atrocity. I am sad, angry & informed. Please, do your research.
Zeleg inc.	Be sure that: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. And in the end, we don't want any of it.
Privat	Beachten Sie die Würde des Menschen uneingeschränkt! Weitgehende Eingriffe in den menschlichen Lebens- und Entscheidungsraum schaden anderenfalls der Gesundheit auf einer existentiellen Ebene, anstatt ihr zu dienen! Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Bewegungsfreiheit, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl müssen bestehen bleiben, damit nicht zum Vorteil von Einzelnen über das Wohl der weit überwiegenden Mehrheit der Menschen entschieden wird. Diese Eingabe beruht auf meiner 40-jährigen Berufserfahrung als Psychogischer Psychotherapeut im Gesundheitssystems Deutschlands. Dipl.-Psych. Jürgen Obholzer
Privat	Beachtung der Menschenrechte und Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten, sowie Haftung der Politiker und Pharmaunternehmen bei Nebenwirkungen der Impfstoff.
privat	Beachtung der Menschenrechte! Beachtung der Verfassungen/ Grundgesetz der jeweiligen Nation! Beachtung der weiteren Entwicklungen zu den Wirkungsweisen(einschließlich Nebenwirkungen) von Impfstoffen! Keine Zwangsmassnahmen! Haftung von Pharmaunternehmen für Ihre Produkte!
Privat	Beachtung der Menschenwürde und Unversehrtheit des eigenen Körpers, medizinische Entscheidungen immer dem Menschen selbst zu überlassen. Keinen medizinischen Zwang ausüben.
keine	Beachtung des Nürnberger Kodex!!! Subventionen von Pharmakonzernen dürfen bei der Entscheidung keine Rolle spielen. Menschenwürde, Unversehrtheit und Selbstbestimmung müssen gewahrt bleiben!
n/a	Bearing in mind the now proven damage and inefficacy of the vaccines, often mandated or at the very least subject to coercion, I cannot believe that a globally coordinated response is in the best interests of the individuals within the 194 countries. In addition, we should consider the concerted and coordinated attempts at discrediting of the use of off-label use of safe existing products, Add to that the obvious influence of WHO's major donor (Bill Gates) and that of the pharmaceutical sector and a variety of NGOs concerned with effecting coordinated global change (WEF for instance), this goes against every principle of Western democracy. The level of conflict of interest is not perhaps unprecedented but it is, despite a controlled mainstream media and cancel culture, never more apparent. The death toll from COVID is a tragedy that could largely have been avoided through the cheap issue of vitamin D, zinc and one of Ivermectin. Lockdowns not only promoted obesity through inactivity and the self-medication through food and alcohol but poor mental health and actual lowering of vitamin D levels by restricting access to the outdoors. National measures, seemingly replicated across the globe, were the very antithesis of a sound pandemic response. I do not trust WHO to act in my interest and will not be complying with any future measures that I assess as detrimental to my health.
NA	Because efficacy of vaccines has not been proven (and vaccines haven't been tested as in the past for other contagious diseases,) because many people have developed serious permanent health problems, because women have miscarried in their pregnancies or delivered still born babies, I believe your actions are unwarranted and absolutely infringe on the people's right to choose what health care is warranted for ourselves and our families. The very fact that they contain such untested biotechnology is another reason we don't trust what the pharmaceutical industry has created.
	Because every person has individual bodily requirements, make-up, and reactions, because every person has the intelligence and discernment to know their own bodies, because every person has the right to make their own personal health choices, health regulations should be based as close to home as possible--made by the individual and local governments who have access to and can respond to people in their own area. It would be ineffectual and unjust for an international body to dictate to everyone in the entire world on a one-size-fits-all basis. The responsibility of such an organization for individual health and the substantive element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be limited to guidance and dissemination of information.
self	Because I am concerned about the health and welfare of humanity, I feel that any WHO treaty that removes power from the US or any country is dangerous. Health decisions should be at the discretion of individuals affected by any illness or disease, whether an emergency or not. In fact, the WHO constitution states "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The WHO must uphold their own constitution when it comes to the health and well being of humanity on this planet. A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include individual freedom to choose one's own health care.
Hill Rentals	Because individuals have individual health and biological makeups, no medical rules in the medical care of individuals should be mandated by any governmental body. The governmental body can issue guidelines and recommendations, but not mandates. Allow the medical practitioner to use their profession judgements in treating patients and clients on an individual basis as was allowed before the pandemic started. The positions of treating the pandemic which had a changeable and contradictory course of action was not a scientific approach. No one person nor one group can be the ultimate face of science or medicine.
Health Care	Because of the crises the last 2 years I learned a lot about things go wrong! This is my opinion: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
n/a	Because public health is not a one size fits all program for every nation, each nation's people and communities need to control their health. Therefore, the following should be included: All national and local leaders must retain full autonomy for their own people and communities and must reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own nation and people. All nations and local leaders must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit and without consequences to that nation and local communities. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on the global agreement and measures must be included that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must also be strong measures that do not allow for any influence in this process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers and any individuals with personal agendas.
Concerned U.S. Citizen	Because public health is not a one-size-fits-all program, there should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Instead, we need to make sure that people and communities remain in control of their own health. In the United States, the governments of the individual states should determine their own approach to any future pandemics. As part of this approach, the governments will stay informed about the latest scientific developments and make any necessary policy changes in the best interest of the citizens of their state.
None	Because the present crisis has been manufactured out of thin air for the purpose of frightening a gullible public into giving up their rights of privacy and medical autonomy, I see no reason whatever for "a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". So-called "pandemics" are nothing more than the seasonal flu, which is no cause for alarm, and never will be, unless deliberately fostered by lies. Even if you believe the official story, there will never be sufficient reason to override the autonomy of the nation, or that of its citizens. It is an extremely reckless move to hand over medical decisions to an international body of extremely dubious intentions. The only reason to do so would be to deliberately oppress people, in the pretense of protecting everyone, which does seem to be the intention.
Self	Because the terms of the pandemic preparedness agreement would override the constitution of the United States, we should never sign it. The U.S., and every other country that values freedom and democracy, should never enter into an agreement that turns this highest law of the land over to foreign interests. The world can trust that we will cooperate voluntarily with the others who express similar interests and values. No foreign interest should have control or authority over any sovereign state. While cooperation can be achieved where where the values of individual issues are aligned, self-determination must be respected. And the WHO is not to be trusted since it contributed to the redefinition of "vaccine", thus allowing the "experimental gene therapy injections " to be misrepresented to the world public as "vaccines", and because WHO is under strong, self-serving, financial influence from Bill Gates and the WEF. Stop the pandemic preparedness proposal now and allow our global community to seek its natural alignments as the needs arise.
We The People	Because there are huge differences between local genetic, environmental, political, climate, social, and economic conditions, there is not nor can there be an effective centrally-planned international pandemic preparedness and response, but there can be effective international support for local pandemic preparedness and response. Therefore, the two most substantive elements for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: #1 A ruling for local self-determination by local front-line medical professionals and local leaders for the best local pandemic preparedness and response, based upon their local genetic, environmental, political, climate, social, and economic conditions. #2 Infrastructure for the aggregation and sharing of local discoveries by local medical professional for which therapeutics and combinations of therapeutics the local front-line medical professionals see having the best results, in real-time. The best innovations and adaptations to effectively treating new pandemic-causing pathogens will come from local front-line medical professionals who see dozens of new patients per day, not from bureaucrats who do not see any patients first-hand on a daily basis. A centrally-planned international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response would also introduce an unnecessary moral hazard because of the large contracts that would be issued to companies who lobby most successfully to get their "official response" modalities approved. Decision-makers are NOT omniscient nor are they morally perfect. Therefore, centralized decision making is more a problem than a solution, especially information is imperfect and case-by-case life and death front-line decisions have to be made.
None	Because there are so many variables (sociological /geographical) I wish to submit that a one world approach will be at best ineffective and at worst hugely damaging to all people. Far greater than the damage a world pandemic could bring. In my own country, New Zealand, we had a small number of cases with the Delta variant in Auckland, however the whole of New Zealand was locked down, including the South Island, + the outer islands, namely Stewart island and the Chatham's which collectively all had zero cases. New Zealand also has a small population spread out across a similar sized area as Great Britain, clearly we can mitigate a pandemic more easily by taking precautions to isolate the vulnerable. Healthy Immune systems cope best when subjected to small amounts of pathogens at a time, this is how you build herd immunity. Locking down healthy people is tyranny, isolating sick people has always been the correct thing to do. Our cities are testament to this by all having old quarantine islands in their harbours, no longer used but I would far rather see them reinstated than experience the traumatic times we have had to endure these last 2 years +. NB: All medical procedures should be voluntary. Thank you.
EU citizen	Because this type of participation is too opaque and too short-term, this global pandemic strategic plan is invalid and illegal. There needs to be an open process with the opportunity for all the people of the world to vote on it, including fail-safe measures that prevent the global agreement from being applied where a majority of people do not want it. Measures must be taken to prevent pharmaceutical companies or other profiteers of global health from influencing the process. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I do not support the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and impose inhumane lockdowns. WHO failed during the Covid 19 chapter when it promoted lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have not been proven safe or effective. WHO is not allowed to control the world's health agenda or enforce biosurveillance. Although it is funded by public sources that belong to the people, it is in a constant state of conflict of interest, as it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own populations. Nations and localities should be able to opt out of any part of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.

Organization	Written submission
-	Because this type of participation is too opaque and too short-term, this global pandemic strategic plan is invalid and illegal. There needs to be an open process with the opportunity for all the people of the world to vote on it, including fail-safe measures that prevent the global agreement from being applied where a majority of people do not want it. Measures must be taken to prevent pharmaceutical companies or other profiteers of global health from influencing the process. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I do not support the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and impose inhumane lockdowns. WHO failed during the Covid 19 chapter when it promoted lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have not been proven safe or effective. WHO is not allowed to control the world's health agenda or enforce biosurveillance. Although it is funded by public sources that belong to the people, it is in a constant state of conflict of interest, as it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own populations. Countries and localities should be able to opt out of any part of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Vdc Tončke Hočevar	Because you were completely ineffective and very damaging to the last epidemic (which you called yourself, even though it didn't exist at all), I strongly oppose the WHO ever intervening in any pandemic, epidemic, or anything in my country.
N/A	Because you were completely ineffective and very damaging to the last epidemic (which you called yourself, even though it didn't exist at all), I strongly oppose the WHO ever intervening in any pandemic, epidemic, or anything in my country.
ne	Because you were completely ineffective and very damaging to the last epidemic (which you called yourself, even though it didn't exist at all), I strongly oppose the WHO ever intervening in any pandemic, epidemic, or anything in my country.
Slovenija	Because you were completely ineffective and very damaging to the last epidemic (which you called yourself, even though it didn't exist at all), I strongly oppose the WHO ever intervening in any pandemic, epidemic, or anything in my country.
No	Because you were completely ineffective and very damaging to the last epidemic (which you called yourself, even though it didn't exist at all), I strongly oppose the WHO ever intervening in any pandemic, epidemic, or anything in my country.
ne	Because you were completely ineffective and very damaging to the last epidemic (which you called yourself, even though it didn't exist at all), I strongly oppose the WHO ever intervening in any pandemic, epidemic, or anything in my country.
I am not in a organisation	Bedingung ist die unbedingte Einhaltung der Freiheits- und Menschenrechte. Ich verlange, dass ich über mein Leben und meinen Körper weiterhin selbst entscheiden darf. Es darf keinen Zwang zur Duldung von Eingriffen in meine köperliche und seelische Unversehrtheit geben!
Keine	Bedingungen zu erfüllen ist in sich schon mal ungerecht und schlecht. Ich bin gegen jedwede Art von Ausweisen im Zusammenhang mit der Gesundheit. Ich bin ein selbstbestimmter Mensch, der sich selbst um seine Gesundheit kümmert. Ich sorge für mich eigenverantwortlich und brauche keine Fremdbestimmung. Ich bin vollständig gegen irgendwelche elektronische Pässe. Hören Sie auf die Menschen zu reglementiert. OK !
ME Sicherheitssysteme	Beenden sie UMGEHEND DIESEN GEPLANTEN VÖLKERMORD per Giftspritze oder sie werden den HASS DER VÖLKER ZU SPÜREN bekommen!!! LÜGENVEREIN!!!!! HVV Fam. E.
Canadian Citizen	Before any measures can be adopted by a nation there must be a vote by the people of that nation and it must have be at least 95% majority of that nation to pass. Only one question can be voted on at a time. And the questions must be simplified. A nation and/or it's local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Strict measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	Before any policies are enacted at the global level we need to be absolutely certain that the policy in question is both necessary and prudent. An outside, third party with no vested interest(s) should be tasked with reviewing the measure and consulting with national and local leadership to insure that each governing body retains its autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for its own people. Nations and states should have the right to opt our of any policies/guidelines if their regions are not affected and are not likely affect surrounding regions. The process would ideally include a transparent voting mechanism whereby nations/states/municipalities would have the ability to approve or reject any proposed global policy based upon what the majority of the public wants. Corporate and political interests should be strictly omitted from consideration and prevented from exercising influence.
Awaken India Movement	Before going forward, going a little backward please. WHY did WHO change the definition of a Pandemic just before they announced there was a 'Pandemic'? So on what grounds can we trust WHO at all, when the new definition was a very convenient one to fit in all the Non Science that has been propagated since then. We do not give you the sovereign right to make decisions or plans for us should there be a health emergency of any kind. You can label it what you want. We do not want our lives to be dictated to, nor run by your discredited organisation. Hence I have no suggestions on what WHO should do, except to leave us alone to make our own decisions. WE DON'T WANT YOU! World 'Health' Organisation! #MyBodyMyChoice #NoVaccineMandates #NoMoreLockdowns #NoJabforKids #WHO_QuitIndia #StopTheTreaty
None	Before including any substantive elements in a new international instrument, the WHO needs to look at and correct the previous pandemic failures, such as: lockdowns that were counterproductive, masks that were more damage than help, and social distancing that was routinely ignored when political protests for one side, but not the other, came up. The WHO has proved itself to be incompetent in pandemic preparedness and cannot move forward without correcting the past.
private person	Before the question of possible international instruments for pandemic preparedness can be asked, a few important things must be clarified in advance. Are human rights respected under all circumstances? Is there statutory liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies? Is the sovereignty of the individual member states respected under all circumstances? If these questions are ignored in the Pandemic Treaty, I, as an EU citizen, resolutely reject this treaty!
ALOHA KAUAI YOGA FESTIVAL AND WORLDWIDE	Before the World Council for Health suggests the following elements must be addressed: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Humans lived in balance with nature for thousands of years and relied on Natural remedies and treatments. We need to bring this historic approach to health back, without authoritarian overreach into the privacy of personal choices.
United States citizen	Before voting on the Pandemic Treaty please consider the following. I, and everyone I know, is completely horrified that you would even consider such a deplorable measure. The following must stand! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organisation, psychotherapist and psychologist in own practice	Before your question can be substantially answered, there needs to be an investigation about the usefulness of the elements which were used in response to the actual Covid-19 pandemic. If this is not done BEFORE thinking about a new instrument of international pandemic response I would be afraid this would do more harm than good and would think that such a new international instrument on pandemic preparedeness and response should not be mandatory for the states of the world.
Myself	Behave
Keine	Bei der nächsten Pandemie sollen viele Professoren die Lage bewerten und Ergebnis offen forschen .Einen einheitlichen Zwang , der weltweit von der WHO ausgeübt wird und die Gesetze der Nationen aufhebt lehne ich entschieden ab.
Privat	Bei Erkrankungen die möglicherweise in einer Pandemie Enden sollten Staaten rechtzeitig melden. Die WHO darf nur empfehlen. Staaten die Grundrechte einschränken, dürfen dies nur kurzzeitig mit der Vorraussetzung eines Isolates des Erregers und einer Erfolgreichen Vermehrung. Zwangsbehandlung und Ausgrenzung sind mit den Menschenrechten nicht vereinbar. Für alle Medikamente und der Handel damit müssen alle Daten öffentlich sein und nichts geschwärzt. Absolute Transparenz!
Health	Bei zukünftigen Entscheidungen sollte ein breiter wissenschaftlicher Diskurs mit Wissenschaftlern auch konträrer Meinungen geführt werden. Gleichwertige Einbeziehung von Psychologen, Soziologen und Pädagogen.
Privat	Beibehaltung der Grundrechte!! Kein Impfzwang, keine Diskriminierung von Ungeimpften!!
Rackleff Place	Being a Registered Nurse in the United States for 46 years has afforded me the clinical ability to understand the more intricate aspects of disease. As a citizen who pays taxes and professionally, legally, and morally is deemed responsible for the safety of those in my charge, as well as my self - I do not believe there should be any international instrument or platform for pandemic preparedness and response. Free will and the constitutional right to make my own choices and protect the God - given freedom of others to do the same. The time allotted to respond to this grave decision is extremely brief and without appropriate public awareness. This is extremely disconcerting and without relevant cause . What has become of our Constitution and Bill of Rights ? The CDC, FDA and NIH are to obligated to factually, scientifically and ethically responsible to provide information that is verifiable . These are the platforms that the WHO should be supporting and mandating - rather than corporate interests and wealth dictating the outcomes - in America - as well as our world.
public health department	Being in public health, I am very concerned and want to say that it would be counterproductive to develop democracy and the rule of law for an NGO to have control over the legislative and enforcement power in society. I strongly suggest that a country, a group of people, a community retain their right to choose and make those decisions that are best for them and their situation.
NATURAL WOMAN	Being aa Portuguese citizen, I say: 1.Portuguese National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for Portuguese own people. 2.The ability of Portugal and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Belief that in order to create fairness and equity all control measures should be instigated locally not by an unelected out of sight unaccountable organisation. More detail required to define and clarify " international instrument " - too vague and that may be deliberate. Group intelligence is the answer not a closed group with no alternative viewpoint to provide and agitate thinking and substance. Thank you
None	Below are some key items for future pandemics. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO can provide recommendations for how to deal with a pandemic, but under no circumstances should the WHO have authority over how countries address a pandemic. Each country is different and should have full autonomy on how to best address a pandemic.
Private	Below are some of the issues I think should be addressed National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	Below are the conditions that should take primacy in any agreements we enter into go forward: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Freedom for All	Below are the four most relevant points we would like to submit to your hearing. We believe it is of utmost importance that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We believe that nations and local municipalities should be able to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We believe that there must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We believe that not any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers do should be allowed to influence the process.
My organization	Below are the only even remotely acceptable terms to this accord. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No more pharmaceutical profiteering. Period.
Concerned Individual	Below are the points that I am requesting that you consider in this process 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A (I am a member of the general public)	Below are the substantive elements which I believe should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. It must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kevar Nv	Below is an abbreviated version of "The People's Treaty" that fits the WHO's 1,250 character limit. Please feel free to copy and paste the text below. Let me know in the comments section if you successfully sent your written submission to the WHO. Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	Below is an abbreviated version of "The People's Treaty" that fits the WHO's 1,250 character limit. Please include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	Below is my input regarding the "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response": 1. All nations and municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit for the good of their people without consequence. 2. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy. They are the leaders who should have the right to make decisions based on what is right for their own people. 3. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other or other global health profiteers. 4. There should be a clearly stated, open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. Thank you John Hutchinson
Private	Besides not agreeing to the WHO taking this kind of role of implementing a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response first of all, it should include garanties for sovereignty for the states and each single person, the respect of Human Rights plus the the legal liability of government officials and/or Pharmaceutical companies. Next time give more time for participation, please: a few hours is not sufficient for such a decision with enormous impact.
private	Best preparedness for any pandemic or similar situation would only be achieved if and only if the WHO would regain the trust of the population : That is only possible if : . Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law, nor over basic human rights. With any of the above conditions not met i completely oppose any international pandemic treaty. Thank you, lucas pradiwarter
Retired	Bestrebungen des "Pandemievertrags" zielen darauf ab alle Souveränität aller Staaten auszuschalten, beliebige Entscheidungen zu fällen und fundamentale Grundrechte beliebig außer Kraft zu setzen, ohne Rechtfertigungen erbringen zu müssen. Jede beliebige "Gesundheitsmaßnahme" wäre somit durchzusetzen – auch entgegen der Würde des Menschen. Der angestrebte "Pandemievertrag" ist daher ein Menschen- und Völkerrechtskonträrer Ansatz und vollkommen inakzeptabel! Ich sage NEIN – und dieses NEIN, ist nicht verhandelbar!
MAHE	Better communication flow between the organisation involved making decisions/declaring PHEIC
Not applicable/individual	Better education around public health, vaccine history, and the benefits of vaccines. The public need to be 'immunised' against misinformation, disinformation, and conspiracy theories. This could be turned into some kind of a program or campaign. It could also be included in school curriculum as part of critical thinking (something I am not sure we teach in Australia). Vaccine mandates for viruses such as COVID-19 or similar are surely not the best way.
N	Better say No!!!
Strange Entertainment	Between open sourced information (not MSM reports), and real world consequences, it is abundantly clear to my community, friends, family, colleagues and me, that your overreach has gone too far and for too long. Stop now while there is the chance for a peaceful recoil. The only alternative if you continue is catastrophic for everyone.
Bürgerin	Bevor die WHO das Procedere zum beabsichtigten Vertrag fortsetzt, sollten dessen Initiatoren, die Inhalte und Ziele weltweit transparent, ausführlich und verständlich vermittelt werden. Dazu ist eine nachweisbare, wirkungsvolle Aufklärungskampagne erforderlich. Die WHO soll ihre "Pandemie"-Definition auf den Status von 2007 zurücksetzen und mit sofortiger Wirkung dazu übergehen jegliche Vertragsverbindlichkeit abzustellen. Es darf keine Legitimation von WHO-Regularien durch nationale Regierungen oder gar deren Minister (für Gesundheit) erfolgen. Jegliches WHO-Regularium darf lediglich auf "Empfehlungsbasis" erfolgen Dazu ist es erforderlich, dass die WHO ihre Empfehlungen mit nachfolgenden Festsetzungen versieht: 1. Gewährleistung und Sicherstellung der Souveränität der nationalen Mitgliedsstaaten.Jeder Mitgliedstaat entscheidet aufgrund der nationalen Situation individuell darüber ob und welche Maßnahmen er jeweils für angemessen erachtet und durchführen möchte. 2. Gewährleistung und Sicherstellung der nationalen parlamentarischen und basisdemokratischen Entscheidungsprozesse. Ob und welche Maßnahmen druchgeführt werden entscheidet jeder Nationalstaat aufgrund seiner demokratischen Strukturen und Gremien. 3. Gewährleistung und Sicherstellung des Naturrechts der Menschen. Das Naturrecht basiert auf dem freien Willen eines jeden Menschen. Im Besonderen sind hier dass Recht des Menschen auf Sebstbestimmung hinsichtlich medizinischer Behandlungen und sein Recht auf und körperliche Unversehrtheit zu manifestieren.
Keine	Bevor Sie hier darüber entscheiden, wie Sie zukünftig mit Pandemien umgehen wollen, muss der Pandemiebegriff neu definiert werden, sprich ihre aktuelle Definition revidiert werden, da dadurch mit einem quantitativ ungefährlichen Erreger schon eine pandemische Lage erzeugt werden kann. Außerdem sollte eine zur Lobby der Pharmaindustrie verkommene Vereinigung von Grund auf reformiert werden. Keine Finanzierung durch private Stiftungen und Organisationen! Nur eine finanziell unabhängige WHO ist glaubwürdig und hat das Vertrauen der Bürger verdient. Solange hier nicht demokratisch legitimierte Personen die internationale Gesundheitspolitik bestimmen, sind Sie ein Instrument der totalitären und menschenverachtenden Interessen des Kapitals, in dessen Interesse die Gewinnmaximierung um jeden Preis steht und nicht die Gesundheit der Bevölkerung. In Ihrer jetzigen Konstellation sind Sie ein Machtinstrument der Globalisten zur Unterdrückung der Massen und diese Welt wäre ein besserer Ort ohne Ihre Organisation. Sie könnten das ändern, wenn Sie tatsächlich am Schutz der Bevölkerung interessiert sind. Stellen Sie sich neu auf!
Private	Bevore declaring a pandemic ther should be proof of a virus and an isolate that can be seen and experimented with. No computer generated genom.
none	Beyond a Band-Aid approach to outbreaks, chemical corporate agriculture must be eliminated and replaced by locally produced non chemicalized healthy foods to restore and sustain a healthy body. CAFO's must be eliminated for their destruction of healthy environments and because of their danger of producing toxic and deadly health threats. Bio labs suspected of any involvement in the spread of disease must be shut down and eliminated. There must be absolutely open communication globally. Especially between mainstream medical and alternative practitioners. No overreaching global authority. Local needs and solutions should be paramount. All resources should be shared equally and any profit motive eliminated right off the bat.
Cutizen	Biggest element. Individual country autonomy. No global management.
	Bin dagegen!!!!
Selbstständig	Bin dagegen. Evidenzbasierte Medizin von unabhängigen Medizinern und Pflege. Die nicht gekauft werden können. Selbstbestimmung nach ehrlicher Aufklärung. Dann auch Akzeptanz/Toleranz und Möglichkeiten von Alternativen. Das Schwarz/Weiß-Denken muss weg, ist nicht mehr zeitgemäß. Gesundheit ich mehr wie nur Fernbleiben von Krankheit..... Körper - Seele - Geist .... nur weil viele das nicht begreifen, kann man nicht an alten Mustern stumpf festhalten und Menschen der Freiheit und Gesundheit berauben!
Privat	Bin gegen ein Pandemiegesetz von der WHO. Es soll von den einzelnen Staaten geregelt werden.
Keine	Bin gegen einen globalen Pandemie Vertrag !
privat	Bin gegen einen zentralen Pandemievertrag. Jeder Staat soll seine eigene Pandemieverordnung umsetzen wie bisher. Menschenrechte sollen gewährleistet bleiben. Es soll zu keiner Diskriminierung kommen.
Raffenberg	Binding openness regarding all risks of vaccines, regulation on liability/damage payments of all parties involved to enforce the vaccination obligation, even if side effects/deaths are only temporally related to the vaccination. The burden of proof to the contrary lies exclusively with the manufacturers. Duration of legal clarification maximum 3 months per patient/death.
We for Humanity	Bis vor dem Ausbruch der Covid 19 Pandemie dürfte jeder Bürger und jede Bürgerin über seine eigene Gesundheit selber entscheiden. Dieses Recht ist ein Grundrecht was nicht verletzt werden darf. Es sollen weiterhin die Säulen des Naturrechtes und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl gefördert werden und nicht diese den Bürgern weggenommen werden. Damit äußere ich mein Dissens über ihre Entscheidung. Stoppen sie den Vorgang!
Nezavisna lista	Bitni elementi koje treba uzeti u obzir su da vas financira privatni kapital. Vi ste organizacija koja je korumpirana od strane farmaceutske industrije. Vi niste nezavisna organizacija i ulazite u sferu ljudskih prava te time kršite zakon. Sve vaše odluke su u službi krupnog kapitala. Navedite tko vas financira. Kad proglašavate pandemiju obavezno to radite na osnovu obdukcije umrlih kako zakon nalaže i osudite one koji nisu podržavali provođenje obdukcije.
Privat	Bitte achten Sie darauf, dass die Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen gewährleistet sind sowie die Achtung der Menschenrechte. Bearbeiten Sie bitte auch die Definition einer Pandemie, sie sollte nicht ausschließlich durch testen festgestellt werden, sondern durch tatsächliche Krankheitsfälle, wie es noch vor der Schweinegrippe der Fall war. Und stellen Sie sicher, dass die Verantwortlichen, die sich über uns erheben zu bestimmen, wie die Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen, für ihr Handeln die gesetzliche Haftung übernehmen. Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar, das muss ganz klar daraus hervorgehen.
WHO	Bitte alles auf freiwilliger Basis machen: Keine Lockdowns, - keine Impfpflicht, - keine Masken Pflicht, - keine Registrierungspflichten, - keine Tracing Apps,...
Praxis	Bitte beachten Sie das Selbstbestimmungsrecht der Menschen und der einzelnen Staaten. Die Menschen müssen in Zukunft selbst über ihre Gesundheit und mögliche Impfungen entscheiden dürfen. Ich bin entschieden gegen die Bündelung der Machtbefugnisse in einer Organisation wie der WHO. Sie wurde nicht von Menschen gewählt und hat somit keine Legitimation!
Keine	Bitte beachten Sie die Säulen des Naturrechts und Bürgerrechte, wie Transparenz, Autonomie und die Freiheit der Wahl
KINEWAX	Bitte bei allen Entscheidung, das sie faellen werden, beachten Sie die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl. Danke. Die Menschen Recht sind die Essenze unsere Spezie. Jeder Impfzang ist da einer grobe Verletzung von unsere Essenze.
privat	Bitte berücksichtigen Sie Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.

Organization	Written submission
EU Bürgerin	Bitte bewahren Sie unsere Naturrechte und unsere Bürgerrechte ! Bitte erhalten Sie die Autonomie, die Transparenz und die Wahlfreiheit!
EU Bürger	Bitte erhalten Sie die Bürgerrechte und die Naturrechte. Bitte bewahren Sie die Autonomie, die Transparenz und die Freiheit der Wahlen.
Heilpraktikerin	Bitte keine Impfpflicht! meine Schwester ist mitten im vollen Leben nach der Impfung an Lungenembolie verstorben. Deshalb bessere Untersuchung der Nebenwirkungen, der Gentherapie! Kein Überwachungsstaat z.B. durch Impfregister, keine Diskriminierung von Ungeimpften. Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Zulassung von alternativen schulmedizinischen Methoden und allen Naturheilmethoden bei der Behandlung von Infektionskrankheiten, die helfen! Schutz der Mediziner, Wissenschaftler und allen Anderen, die andere Meinungen vertreten, als die von der WHO zugelassenen. Keine einseitige Berichterstattung und Propaganda für Unternehmen, denen es hauptsächlich um Profite geht, Einbeziehung der Bevölkerung in alle Entscheidungen, z.B. über Bürger-Räte. Einbeziehung aller Ebenen unserer Existenz, von Körper, Seele und Geist, Förderung der klinischen Psycho Euroimmunologie, Homöopathie, Psychotherapie, der persönlichen und kollektiven Traumatherapie und - Aufarbeitung. Zusammenhänge zwischen Kriegsführung, Profiterzeugung und einseitiger Gesundheitspolitik berücksichtigen!!!
Morgenlicht	Bitte keine weltweiten Einschränkungen. Dankeschön!
Privat	Bitte keinen Zwang! Jeder Mensch muss über seinen Körper selbst bestimmen dürfen!!!. Daher keinen Impfzwang!!!!!
Privat	Bitte lassen Sie den Staaten ihre Souveränität, wie auch dem einzelnen Bügern ihre Selbstbestimmung im Rahmen hmen der Grundrechte. Der Nürnberger darf niemals aufgehoben werden. Bitte achten Sie e die Menschenwürde.
Keine	Bitte lassen Sie diese Entscheidung den einzelnen Staaten entscheiden. Ihr Vorschlag und Ihre Frage ist indiskutabel. Beste Grüße
Privat	Bitte lassen Sie uns unsere Selbstverantwortung. Wir wollen selbst entscheiden, wie wir mit Krankheiten umgehen und was wir unserem Körper zuführen und was nicht. Bitte lassen Sie uns unsere Freiheit. Vielen Dank!
Privat	Bitte lasst den Staaten ihre Selbstständigkeit , den Menschen ihre Grundrechte, jeder Mensch soll über seinen Körper selbst entscheiden!! Hört auf in die Naturgesetze einzugreifen , das wird auf Dauer nicht gut gehen!! Bedenkt eure Entscheidungen sehr genau !!! Denkt an die Zukunft unserer Kinder u Enkel !!!
	Bitte lasst uns unsere Entscheidungsfreiheit…bitte hört in euch rein,das kann doch nicht zum Wohle der Menschen sein....
Private	Bitte nehmen Sie Abstand von diesem Vorhaben, das völlig intransparent ist und der Korruption in ganz grossem Stil Tür und Tor öffnet. Nicht nur die globale Pharmaindustrie kann sich ohne nationale Umwege über die WHO Zugang zu den nationalen Gesundheitsbudgets, womit auch immer (Pandemie etc.) verschaffen. Tun Sie den Menschen das nicht an!!!!!! Wir sind mehr wert als den Reichen zu helfen immer reicher zu werden!
Private Person	Bitte stoppen sie das globalen Pandemiegesetz! Die Verordnung ist mit demokratischen Werten unvereinbar! Die Grundgesetze auf Unversehrtheit, Gesundheit, Selbstbestimmung, Meinungsfreiheit wird mit den Füßen getreten. Ich fordere sie auf, sich für den Menschen einzusetzen und den Naturgesetzen des Bürgerrechtes wie Anatomie, Transparenz und Freiheit! Es geht auch um ihre Enkelkinder! Danke
My Self	Bitte stoppen Sie diesen Irrsinn! Es gab unter dem Vorwand der Pandemiebekämpfung vielerorts bereits einen massiven Demokratieabbau zum Vorteil der Pharmaindustrie. Die daraus resultierenden gesundheitlichen Kollateralschäden überwiegen laut offiziellen Statistiken den Nutzen bereits um ein vielfaches. Gerade in Zeiten, in denen die Demokratie von außen massiv bedroht wird, sollte man sie von innen stärken, statt sie noch weiter zu demolieren, auch wenn die Pharmaindustrie dadurch weniger Gewinne macht, als ihre Nutznießer sich wünschen. Please stop this madness! There has already been a massive dismantling of democracy in many places under the pretext of fighting the pandemic for the benefit of the pharmaceutical industry. According to official statistics, the resulting collateral damage to health already outweighs the benefits many times over. Especially in times when democracy is massively threatened from the outside, it should be strengthened from the inside instead of demolishing it even further, even if this means that the pharmaceutical industry makes fewer profits than its beneficiaries would like.
None	Bodily autonomy and individual liberties must come first. The state must respect the human rights of the people it serves. This is non-negotiable.
N/a	Bodily autonomy and individual sovereignty for how one goes about treating engineered and falsely implanted ailments, access to clean land air and water contingent upon nothing.
None	Bodily autonomy has to be sacrosanct. You cannot force people to take experimental therapies which haven't been properly tested. All vaccine/drug development must be transparent and trials subject to full independent scrutiny. Conflicts of interest between Big Pharma, Regulators and Government must be strictly policed and avoided.
Stylecraft	Bodily autonomy is a foundation of human rights. For individual sovereignty to be in the hands of one governing global body, distinctly threatens the basis of those human rights. One size does not fit all. As such, I believe it is critical to include the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I also believe that it should not allow for the influence or any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers, and billionaires with strong social standing and political influence. I believe it is important for nations and their local governments to have the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at their discretion with no consequence. Thank you
NA	Bodily autonomy is a human right and national autonomy is necessary. Nations and locals must have the right to opt out and to make choices for their own people. Safety measure to prevent corruption and influence by profiteering corporations are absolute critical.
Individual	Bodily autonomy is and always should be a given that no government or organisation has the power to automatically override. Many lessons have been learned during the last two years but for me the biggest take away has to be that freedom of choice has to be paramount. Using emergency use legislation to coerce people into taking "safe & effective" treatments that were untested in the traditional manner has proven disastrous leaving many thousands injured and deceased. Every human being has a right to life and to not be coerced into accepting experimental drugs as a means to continue their work or travel. When viewing the healthcare systems around the world it is clear that the quality of care differs from country to country and as such there can never be a one size fits all solution. The WHO are entrusted to guide the governments around the world, however, anything which steps beyond guidance to enforcement becomes a step too far and should be rebuked globally by the very governments that were elected to govern rather than hand over health responsibility to the highest bidder.
Myself	Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. Lockdowns and quarantines of healthy people are wrong. Human rights are inalienable and governments may not suspend human rights because of emergencies. Manufacturers, distributors must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products or services. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict peoples inalienable right to travel, work, seek education, or gain access to public spaces or businesses. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life saving medications to anyone. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Individual doctors have the right to practice medicine according to their training and experience. People have the right to decide what is best for themselves.
None, privateperson	Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.
Concerned Citizen	Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and MUST be respected. Bureaucrats and/or governments do not have the right to isolate/lockdown the healthy, refuse certain treatments to the sick or suspend inalienable human rights because of "emergencies" decided by them. Vaccine status is a matter of choice and confidential and not to be used to prevent the right to work, travel, access public places or receive education.Everyone has a right to their opinion and freedom of speech. They should not be censored and unable to state their case. The people must be able to vote to end states of emergency. Depersonalised data from clinical studies should be available to all in real time and not excessively delayed. All manufacturers,distributors and administrators of medical products and procedures MUST be liable for any damages caused. The rights of nations,states, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights.
self-represented	Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and the right to refuse or try treatment is an inalienable right. Medical decisions should be made upon consultation with a doctor who is familiar with one's personal situation. The state should not supersede the doctor-patient relationship, as it is impossible for the state to take into account absolutely every single individual situation. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong; and vaccine status cannot be used to restrict peoples' right to work, seek education, travel, or gain access to public places or businesses. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups, and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. These human rights may not be suspended because of "emergencies".
Individual	Bodily autonomy is the core element of individual freedom. Without it, we are no better than slaves. Form my point of view, the WHO is an incompetent, corrupt, unelected and unaccountable transnational body. As such to let if have ANY say in my health is completely out of order. We have just had a major exercise in removing another such body from our polity, i.e. the EU, and I have no desire whatsoever for another such to hold ANY sway over my country or me. Go away and leave us alone.
Personal	Bodily autonomy should be one's own and should not overridden by any Government or the WHO , under any circumstances, Remember the Nuremberg Code ,you can't allow this to happen
US citizen	Bodily sovereignty and the ability to provide informed consent belongs solely to the individual, not any government agency under any circumstances! A pandemic treaty is a clear violation of human rights and I absolutely do not support this and a proposal of this magnitude must be rejected if we are to remain a free society.
AMERICAN RESIDENT	Body Autonomy. The right of a human to decide what he or she puts inside their own body. No forced medical intervention of any kind. Focus on helping the high-risk groups and let the healthy humans fight disease on our own. As Fauci says, "Infection is the best vaccine."
Individual	Both individuals and nations should should be able to decide for themselves which treatments to take, what non-pharmaceutical actions to take, or any other actions, also whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic. All information should be free from censorship and all doctors should be consulted and none excluded from being able to offer information, including those who practice complimentary medicine. Medical decisions cannot be taken by politicians since they are not doctors and all doctors have to be consulted not just those who adhere to government politics. No doctors should be threatened with losing their licence if in their professional opinion there is no need for vaccines and other forms of treatment are available. A pandemic cannot be declared by politicians, or made into a global emergency as each country may have a different health situation and each country should be free to chose their own way of dealing with any health issues.
Private	Both national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is considered best for their own people. There needs to be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Most of all, an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Given the gloomy insights of the past 2 years of undemocratic infringements on basic human rights, we urgently need measures that do not allow for any influence in the process of decision making by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other companies and individuals with proven conflict of interest.
private	Both, guarantee of state and individual sovereignty and the respect for HUMAN RIGHTS, as well as the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies as a substantive element in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must be mandatory. Health issues shall count, not pharmaceutical interests. NO ONE must be forced to be vaccinated!
osoba fizyczna	Brak przymusu, państwa we własnym zakresie rozwiązują problemy, bez przymusu szczepień, sprzeciwiam się paszportom sanitarnym. Zlikwidować WHO.
Obywatel	Brak szczepień , sprawdzanie z krwi poziomu antygenu na covid
citizen	Bring international digital ID documents is objectionable. When introduced, the sovereignty of state and individual must be guaranteed. Human rights respected. The ten points of the Nuremberg Code are unconditionally applied. In case vaccines are developed and vaccination recommended, everyone has freedom of decision. No one is hindered in any way in his freedom. The WHO refrains from interfering with prescription drugs. Giving any medication is left to the doctor and patient There is objective reporting and no subjective influence by government, agencies, newspaper or media. Complete clarity and transparency about the content and side effects of the vaccines. Proper and honest registration of the side effects. Full legal liability for pharmaceutical companies, doctors and for government officials. The vaccines are patent free. Profit pursuit is reprehensible in times of crisis. Amendment of the definition of pandemic as it was before May 2009. If a definition is changed due to conflict of interest, the WHO is questionable/ debatable and its status unworthy. Only doctors are associated with the WHO. The WHO is no longer a puppet to its lenders and can decide honestly and sovereignly what is best for public health.
Citizen	Bring international digital ID documents is objectionable. When introduced, the sovereignty of state and individual must be guaranteed. Human rights respected. The ten points of the Nuremberg Code are unconditionally applied. In case vaccines are developed and vaccination recommended everyone has freedom of decision. No one is hindered in any way in his freedom. The vaccines are patent free. The WHO refrains from interfering with prescription drugs. Giving any medication is left to the doctor and patient There is objective reporting and no subjective influence by government, agencies, newspaper or media. Complete clarity and transparency about the content and side effects of the vaccines. Proper and honest registration of the side effects. Full legal liability for pharmaceutical companies, doctors and for government officials. Amendment of the definition of pandemic as it was before May 2009. If a definition is changed due to conflict of interest, the WHO is questionable/ debatable and its status unworthy. Only doctors are associated with the WHO . The WHO is no longer a puppet to its lenders and can decide honestly and sovereignly what is best for public health.
N/A	Broadly speaking I believe the international on pandemic preparedness and response should contain safeguards that protect nations and their citizens autonomy and individual human rights. I believe the National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities should retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, pharmaceutical industry aligned bodies or other global health profiteers.
Jewish Pro Life Foundation	Bs'd. Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, with regard to the Public hearings regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response and your question "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" My answer: please, include these 4 substantive elements: 1) the national sovereignty of member states, 2) patient autonomy, to right to say 'no' to a medical treatment, 3) the sanctity of human life, born and unborn, 4) the doctor - patient relationship as cornerstone of any health policy whatsoever. Kind regards, Meir Schroyer
privato	Buongiorno, in qualità di cittadina italiana chiedo che nel trattato pandemico siano incluse alcune garanzie quali la sovranità statale e individuale, il rispetto dei diritti umani, la responsabilità legale e anche penale per i funzionari governativi e le aziende farmaceutiche, la messa a disposizione continua e tempestiva di tutti i dati medico scientifici, dei trial, inclusi i dati grezzi. Saluto cordialmente
Privato cittadink	Buongiorno, ritengo che in ogni circostanza si debba rispettare la Legge di ogni Stato e il DIRITTO di ogni essere umano DI RIFIUTARE un trattamento sanitario . Grazie . Paola Gerli
private	Bürger und Bürgerinnen sollten dabei unterstützt werden, sich an Leib und Seele gesund zu erhalten und sich beispielsweise gesund zu ernähren, viel zu bewegen, Übergewicht abzubauen und Suchtverhalten (Alkohol, Zigaretten, Medikamente) abzulegen. Dies stärkt ihr natürliches Immunsystem auch im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Pandemie. Soziale Beziehungen der Menschen untereinander sollten gestärkt werden, da auch sie für die seelische und leibliche Gesundheit unverzichtbar sind. Ansonsten sollten die vereinbarten Menschenrechte auch in der Pandemie Gültigkeit behalten. Niemand sollte zu einer medizinischen Behandlung genötigt werden. Dies gilt insbesondere auch für Impfungen. Die gain-of-function-Forschung sollte hingegen vollständig untersagt werden, da sie zu gravierende Gefahren birgt. Bewährte und hilfreiche Medikamente sollten ebenso wie Schutzmaterialien (Masken, Schutzkleidung usw.) auch in ärmeren Ländern bereitgehalten werden.
Bürger	Bürgerrechte, Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl müssen erhalten bleiben. Die Befürchtung liegt nahe, dass es hier zu einem Ermächtigungsgesetu kommt und liberale, demokratische Werte ausgehebelt werden. Individuelle und kollektive Gesundheitsfreiheit müssen erhalten bleiben. Jeglicher Zwang und Einschrenkung der Freiheit ist abzulehnen.
Heaven and Earth Educational Services	By "substantive", I assume you mean a measure that is a) relevant b) arises in response to an immediate threat of a Pandemic/ perceived world health threat/ war. c) And the response that international bodies should embark upon via international instruments at their disposal. Number One: "It is a characteristic of wisdom not to do desperate things." (Henry David Thoreau). First stage: do nothing. Second stage: do not rush to conclusions. Play down the danger; do NOT seek to elevate it. Third: inform the relevant health bodies of all countries that a disease may become a pandemic but determine NOT to lockdown as the lockdown will cause greater immediate and long-term mental health damage than keeping all people out and about. Fourth stage, embark on a) A strategy of "Keep Calm and Carry on." Death is normal and nothing to et excited about. b) Increase supply chains of mdeications for insulin/diabetes mellitus/ cancer treatements etc so that the world medical supply chain remains intact. c) Increase the production of coffins/et al d) Less is more. Working from home is bad for mental health. Keep all offices open. e) Less is more. Keep all schools open. f) Keep internatonal borders open. g) Enshrine the above in statute law and common law. It is already in natural law.
Public	By all means have an agreement to cooperate on sharing information but decisions on national responses should be taken by democratically elected and accountable governments
NA	By far the most important element is that the "instrument" should be advisory, with no claims to any right, plan, organization or ability to enforce its terms.
n/a	By far, the most important provision in any pandemic preparedness treaty is that each person shall retain the absolute right to decline any and all unwanted medical interventions, for themselves and their minor children, without the threat of loss of livelihood; loss of the right to travel; or loss of the right otherwise to participate fully in society. The individual must retain full rights to decide what level of risk s/he is willing to accept. Respectfully submitted, Clare Halloran
Public	Cada nacion tiene que ser soberana en los temas de sanidad publica. Los mandatarios de la OMS no representan a los ciudadanos ya que no han sido elegidos.
Na	Can copy and paste the below. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	Canada and Canadians are free to make their own medical decision. We do not need or want any interference from the WHO. No government has the right to make these decisions for us either as stated in our Bill of Rights. Sovereignty is and must remain a right. We do not want to give that away to the WHO Schwab or any other elite.
Canadian	Canada can handle any pandemic on it's own and does not need guidance or assistance from the WHO. NO TO THE PANDEMIC TREATY. Thank you SheilaCaporali
individual	Canada does not need any private organization to dictate policies….that is why we have a government and many capable & qualified doctors to consult best practices within our own SOVEREIGN nation. Stop this attempt to POLICE THE WORLD in the name of medicine and the 'better good for humanity'….we have our own time tested methodologies to tackle events and situations and incidents Perry Daniello
Human Race	Canada is still a sovereign country with its own executive, legislature and judiciary and all of the other elements of a free and democratic society. "Offshoring" health decisions or for that matter any kind of decisions to an unelected, unaccountable, supranational body of any kind is a recipe for abuse of power and people. We do not want or need the WHO telling Canadians what we can and cannot do. We have managed to govern ourselves without outsiders interfering since 1867 and especially 1982 when the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms was enshrined.
None	Canada must not enter into any health treaty with the WHO or any other body similar. The WHO should not be funded by tax dollars from Canada. Canada must remove itself from participating with the WHO. Governing jurisdictions must maintained the power and autonomy to make their own health decisions without pressure or influence from the WHO or other similar Bodies.
Canadian	Canada should never agree to that
Resident of Canada	Canada was once a democratic society who's citizens enjoyed the freedoms and the right to make their own medical choices. This ceased to be the case with Pandemic rules, and this Treaty would only further erode our freedoms by putting decisions about such important matters into the hands of a body that was not elected by the people and that can not possibly govern such a large swathe of such diverse nations and cultures. The following points must be considered: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	Canada would prefer to have canadians remain in control of the health of their people. Individual healthy autonomy is the only way canadians will move through any future pandemics or crises. We must maintain our independence and autonomy. The pandemic treaty is not right for Canada or Canadian people. Thank you Sheila Crowe
Paragraf 32	ce this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
HCS	Cease and desist! 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Institute for Human Liberty	Cease and desist. The entire concept is wrong and serves no good purpose and in and of itself is contradictory with regard to its purpose. The WHO has proven to be 'Not Fit For Purpose' by way of misleadingly purporting to be independent and for the good of Humanity. To proceed with this agenda further proves the very point. NFFP. The WHO has literally no way to benefit humanity. This particular proposal fits an agenda that will set back World Health just as everything to come out of the Organisation in the last decade has proven.
Citizen of Canada	Ceding national sovereignty to a supranational entity or foreign power is an act of treason. If the Government of Canada signs on to this treaty, they undermine their authority and ensure future strife and conflict. The WHO has no legitimate authority to tell Canada or Canadians how they should act or react on any topic. The WHO has demonstrated a lack of competence in addressing the COVID crisis and cannot be trusted.
Private Citizen	Central planning for pandemic preparedness is counterproductive for public health outcomes. WHO should provide independent information & advice, but (as with COVID) it is medical practitioners in the field collaborating & communication what is working & not working that leads to the best outcomes in the quickest time frame. This of course includes the utilization of repurposed safe medications. Vaccinations may play a part, but must not be pushed as the only option & never mandated for the general population. If vaccines are proven safe & effective, people will recommend & choose them.
Other	Centralisation of emergency powers is dangerous. People must have the right to live without having digital ID and without having the state or international bodies force them to take medecines of any sort against their will. If any organisation mandates the taking of drugs/vaccines from a private corporation then that organisation may be seen as an extension of the private corporation and thereby undermine the credibility of the organisation. The autonomy of the individual must remain sacrasanct. If evidence for the taking of a particular medecine or vaccine is overwhelming then let the evidence speak for itself and then people will take it without need for mandate. There is no democractic mandate for the WHO to receive these kinds of extraordinary powers. This is something that must have a mandate from each nation that signs up to it. There must never be a centralised pandemic preparedness plan that is mandatory as different nations may need to react differently. There may be guidance but not a legal framework behind it. I'm disgusted that the WHO would try and do this. It draws back the curtain and shows it's dirty corporate underbelly!
UNITED STATES	CENTRALIZATION, BY DESIGN, IS SUBJECT TO SYSTEMIC FAILURE National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. THERE HAS BEEN NO INFORMED CONSENT or RESPECT FOR NATURAL RIGHTS SUCH AS BODILY AUTONOMY THROUGHOUT THE OUTBREAK
Retired	Centralized control during a pandemic is not feasible nor desirable. States, countries, regions have their own unique circumstances in any situation and must be allowed individual control and sovereignty.
None	Centralized control in this situation cannot possibly deliver effective responses in localities, therefore national and local governance must retain full power and authority over any decisions leading to measures applied in their jurisdictions. Any measures must have the clear decision making process and any data and sources of data made publicly available in real time. There must be protection against conflicts of interest, particularly financial, any WHO related official found to be conflicted must be immediately replaced and legal proceedings should be taken against them in such conflicts were hidden.
Free Thinkers	Centralized governing bodies, which is what has been proposed concerning pandemic response, will abuse power and limit alternative ideas. A world centralized controlling body concentrates power to a small few and constricts creativity. The best outcomes for optimal results will come from decentralized organizations sharing ideas. You are creating the world that George Orwell predicted. Stop it
Company	Centralized pandemic management by the WHO or any other single organization is highly undesirable for humanity. Local circumstances, knowledge, understanding of conditions, and expertise will always lead to superior outcomes. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process is essential with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please abandon this proposed pandemic treaty. Humanity would be ill served by it. History shows the folly of such centralization, over and over and over again.

Organization	Written submission
General Public	Centralized power has many benefits, but it also had disastrous disadvantages. When one body has complete control over how everyone reacts to a certain event, there is no room for error. As we learned from Covid, different approaches allowed for better, faster learning. It may have been chaotic, but it still had its advantages.
n/a	Centralized power proves time and time again to be ineffective at actually solving on the ground problems. Countries, especially the USA, must maintain sovereignty over their own public health response. If the WHO needs reasons to justify their bloated budget, the most they should do is provide a means of sharing information quickly and efficiently. This primarily pertains to China, based in the recent pandemic response.
citizen of The Netherlands	Certain human rights are inalienable and non-negotiable, even in times of extreme emergency: Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies". Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. Discrimination based on medical status is wrong. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed.
France Insoumise	Cette proposition est contraire au droit international et aussi au droit Français. La hiérarchie des normes, en France, prévoit du haut en bas, l'OMS dans notre cas est en haut, la législation en dessous ne peut qu'améliorer celle qui se trouve au dessus or dans ce cas, on voit bien que la France si elle signe ce texte, devra se soumettre aux décisions de l'OMS, sans aucune marge de manoeuvre. Aucun texte ne peut prévaloire les règlementations nationales. Les textes supranationnaux doivent êtres mesurés pour laisser de la marge aux nations, pour qu'elles puissent les améliorer. Ex : Si l'OIT écrivait, par accord, que le temps de travail maximum était de 28 h par semaine, plus personne ne pourrait travailler 32h ou 35 h par semaine, on ne pourrait qu'améliorer ce texte et par exempel dire qu'en france ce serait 25 h. C'est pourquoi l'OIT a choisi 48h par semaine,pour laisser de la place aux nations qui peuvent améliorer cette liégislation et dire pàr exemple comme en France que le temps de travail maximum par semaine est de 42 h, sauf en cas de catastrophes.... Donc je suis contre cet abus de pouvoir de l'OMS ! Si vous voulez que l'OMS lutte efficacement contre les pandémies faites un texte qui interdit les fermes-usines, et l'élevage intensif en cages ou en batteries. Faites un texte qui interdise le clonage des animaux pour redonner de la vitalité à la biodiversité...etc. Mais cela, la cause des pandémies, tout le monde s'en moque, ce qui compte, c'est de faire du fric !
Myself	Challenges caused by a potential global pandemic in my opinion should be discussed globally but individual countries and citizens should always be in charge of their own response and interpretation of the perceived risk. As demonstrated by recent events during the last 2 years, erosion and removal of personal rights can result in longterm consequences not realised while countries and global community scramble to impose some kind of order they perceived to be best course of action. Lockdowns have been shown to reduce spread under 1% but impacted severly on individuals and economies. This proposed new agreement is over reach by WHO.
Juristin	Change the definition of a pandemic back to 2008.Hands off from the people and their immune sytems, their health and their humanity, their liberty and freedom. Don't do any contracts to change national rights and rights of humanity. We have to go with and trust in God. Amen.
None	Chiedo che nel trattato pandemico siano incluse condizioni di garanzia quali: - sovranità statale e individuale, - rispetto dei diritti umani, - responsabilità legale anche penale per i funzionari governativi e le aziende farmaceutiche, - messa a disposizione immediata e tempestiva di tutti i dati medico-scientifici, dei trial ecc (inclusi i dati grezzi).
I have worked in education	Children's and university education was hugely disrupted by government and local restrictions and lockdowns. This has caused mental health problems for some, and a lack of social interaction which is so important, especially for that age-group. Being stuck in a house or flat with perhaps a short-tempered parent, has been hugely detrimental for many children and teenagers' safety and well-being. In addition the huge push towards young people and children taking the vaccine was led by hysteria and propaganda and not by the medical code of practice. Natural immunity and the overwhelmingly very minor affects of Covid 19 in the young appeared to have been dismissed by those advising the government. In summary, no-one should be forced or coerced into taking a Covid vaccine, because they were not fully trialled over a long enough period. No jobs should be threatened if the worker has a reason why they don't want to take the vaccine, be this moral, ethical or for health reasons.
Concerned Human	Choose wisely. Your continued future and relevancy depends on it. History will decide. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PIS	citizens do not want WHO interfering with their lives. you'd better do nothing related to pandemic prevention
Citizen	Citizens rights and natural rights as well as autonomy of the people need to be respected and protected. Transparency of all decisionmaking as well as transparency of funding need to be ensured. The WHO may only have advisory functions to local governments. Ist is essential that local governments hold power since they represent the will of the people governed. Freedom of choice for all people concerned needs to be guaranteed at any time, even if the WHO recommends otherwise. Freedom is to be considered of highest value and needs to be saved above everything else.
Citizen	Citizens should maintain the right to make health-related decisions on their own; we have inalienable rights as given to us by God. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and independently decide what portions, if any, should be implemented, without consequence regardless of their decision(s). When the majority of the people globally do not want it, the process should be sufficiently transparent and open enough to respect the majority rule. Decisions should not be implemented based on the influence of pharmaceutical companies and other global health benefactors.
Magdalena Kraus	Civil rights, autonomy, transparency and freedom are the most important pillars in a liberal society. A ban on all compulsory medical treatment must be enshrined. In particular, there must be no vaccine mandates. There should be an independent monitoring body of scientists who must disclose that they do not receive any benefits from the pharmaceutical industry. No ordinance or law shall be enacted in violation of the constitution of any country
Rhytmik Nord	Civilian rights, autonomy of the countries, transparency and the freedom of choice!
Civillian	Civilians of the world population should only be represented by their elected officials to govern said population. Each area of the world has its own unique characteristics and issues which should be solved at the local level with input from the civilians of each area. We have rights given to us by GOD which cannot be infringed upon by man. Please stop with one world solutions that harm so many.
xxx adult distribution	Clearly we are not the same and we response to the illnesses differently. With the last pandemic that was obvious. Isn't it?
Thomas Arends	 Cohort study in any country at once Models that show deviation >20%/40% within 2/4 weeks to be taken out from decision making and further usage Autopsy of at least 10% with evidence of the source and comorbidity and correctly attribution "on or with" Prepare by removing artificial food and heavy chemical on growth from the supply chain
None	Comment on the WHO's International Pandemic Treaty It is my will for the WHO to: 1) Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit any conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance. 11) Require informed consent for recommended interventions. 12) Ensure an open, transparent decision-making process.

N/A	Comment to W H O regarding international pandemic agreement
	
	Building on the W H O statement regarding public information and participation, and on the constitutional rights of American citizens, I would expect the following points to be included in any international agreement.
	
	  No nation, organization, agency, group or individual may take on the authority to mandate, require, manipulate, or coerce any person or group of people to accept any form of prevention, intervention, or treatment for any condition, sickness, or disease.
	  No doctor, nurse, researcher, or healthcare provider shall be required, coerced, or manipulated into providing a particular form of prevention, intervention, or treatment for any condition, sickness or disease. Nor shall they be punished, threatened, or censored for sharing publicly their observations, experiences, and research relating to any condition, sickness, or disease and the safety and/or efficacy of preventions, interventions, or treatment of the same.
	
	  Freedom, transparency, and accuracy of prevention, intervention, and treatment information between nations, governments, agencies, groups, and individuals is protected.
	
	  Individual fully informed consent is protected. The right of refusal without harm or reprisals is protected.
	
	  All nations, governments, agencies, groups, and individuals agree to openly share information, observations, and experiences regarding preventions, interventions, and treatments for a disease, condition, or sickness. All agree to make available the resources, medicines, supplements, and other therapies to return affected individuals to a state of health and well-being.

Langford & Associated	Comment: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Trinity of iAm	Comments as follow: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not affiliated with any organisation	Comments on WHO's proposed pandemic treaty Public Health is not a one size fits all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failure measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

N/A	Comments on WHO's pandemic treaty:
	
	   The following elements should be included:
	
	   1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
	
	   2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	
	   3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	
	   4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Self	Commitment to never restrict freedom of movementor lock down countries or regions as this kills more than any virus. Commitment to use natural and dietry immune system boosting remedies before wasting money with pharmaceutical organizations. Commitment to improve the health of populations through diet, exercise and education on reduction of stress to facilitate healthier populations that are naturally immune to disease. Focus on protection of the most vulnerable in society.
US citizen	Communication. A single source to report to and quickly disseminate to all countries if a potential deadly virus is "found". Stop all travel in and out of that country immediately. Then top Scientists from ALL countries study and report their findings then without bias those findings are communicated to every country to respond. No international instrument beyond communication should be under 1 organizational purview as it jeopardizes the sovereignty of nations. And no mandatory vaccine passports for vaccinations that have not been fully vetted for 10 years and have historical data for efficacy, not EUA, except for those that willingly choose to.
USA Citizen	Community health should be in the hands of the people of that community. There is no one size fits all solution and the past 2 years clearly show that. States in the US had drastically different solutions to handle Covid 19 and the outcomes are clear, different locations require individually tailored approaches.
sem vinculo	Como cidadã brasileira e habitante do planeta Terra, venho aqui expressar minha opinião sobre este tratado da pandemia.Nao concordo com o fato de os paises perderem sua autonomia para as decisoes quanto a saude de sua populacao.Cada país deve continuar a seguir suas leis soberanas e medidas proprias para combate a pandemias e endemias.
Compassion in World Farming	Compassion in World Farming welcomes the chance to submit a written contribution. In line with the One Health definition by the One Health High Level Expert Panel, we highlight the importance for the proposed instrument to focus on preparedness, preventing the spill over of viruses and other pathogens from animals to other animals or humans. The One Health definition recognises that good health cannot exist without the positive well-being of humans, animals and nature. Therefore, it is necessary to transform food systems, by phasing out industrial animal farming systems supporting a just transition, in order to tackle environmental degradation, habitat loss and fragmentation, biodiversity loss and climate change, all of which increase the risk of zoonoses. Animal welfare, similarly to what stated by the FAO - is an integral part of sustainable development. Working on good animal welfare standards represents an important aspect of pandemic preparedness: poor animal welfare leads to a chronic stress response, and together with the selection and use of fast-growing breeds, reduces the immune systems of animals, making them more vulnerable to diseases, fostering the spread and amplification of pathogens. On the contrary, good animal welfare standards reduce stress, increasing the animals' immune capacity. We also call the attention on the need of investing in "health for all"(humans, animals and nature) considering it as an outcome of governments strategies, asking Member States to institutionalise the One Health approach by OHHLEP with shared competence and accountability between ministries responsible for agriculture, environment, trade, health and nutrition.
No	Completely against any covid restrictions. Nuremberg conde
None	Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary, not obligatory
Polska	-Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. - Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. -In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. - The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. - We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary, WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. - In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of scientists and civil society groups that do not work in regular collaboration with WHO and which are not subsidised by major donors to WHO are taken into account. -We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. -We demand that free
Not applicable	Compliance with the recommendations have to be voluntary

Citizen	Comprehensive pandemic management should follow two basic principles:
	   - that the severity of the pandemic be demonstrated by exceptional lethality
	   - that the States keep their autonomy in the application of directives in the respect of the constitutions
	The limitation of citizens' rights must indeed be a priority that cannot be overridden only by an exceptional mortality due to a virus or bacteria. States manage restrictions according to local conditions that cannot be applied uniformly across the planet.

private	Compulsory vaccination is against all human rights and basic laws. It must remain a private and voluntary decision whether or not to undergo medical or gene therapy treatment! If there is to be an agreement, it must include the pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice. Governments must remain loyal to the people they serve. They are elected by the people. Lobbying and influence by pharmaceutical companies, general corporations and business must end and be stopped.
Private person	Compulsory vaccinations should be banned and discriminatory measures should be prohibited. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Member states must provide 100% of WHO funding, consequently private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bases must undergo a peer-review process, and must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner. All decision-making bodies must be diverse and critical voices in particular must be included. All measures must take full account of all health interests and must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state and liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states.
privat	Compulsory vaccinations should be banned, and liability must not be passed on to the Member States. Everyone should decide for themselves whether they want to be vaccinated or not - no compulsion - no division!
	Compulsory vaccinations should be banned, as should social or economic pressure on those who refuse to vaccinate and all discriminatory measures taken as a result! All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process – no secret agreements and contracts and blacked-out passages! Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or a minimal profit, during pandemic state.
Retired	by bad actors and the impact of their poor policy decisions can be fatal and injurious to millions. I believe the following points MUST be included in the Pandemic Response Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. In terms of the Hippocratic Oath no treatment may be performed without complete informed consent. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. Complete transparency is imperative: all depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. All medical practitioners remain bound by all terms of the Hippocratic Oath.
Citizen	Concerning future pandemic preparedness individuals should have the right to do what is in their best interest to protect themselves and their families. Health organizations can guide and suggest but it should not be forced upon society to do what they suggest. Doctors should also be allowed to say what they think is ends without fear of losing their job and license to practice.
WC for Health	Concerning health one size does not fit all. Although cooperating globally is important, individuals, communities, municipalities and countries should have the freedom to choose how they participate. Especially the medical community must have the freedom to react as they see fit in their own community. Decisions should not be made by governments (politics) but by a world wide medical community that specializes in handling pandemics.They should be free from influencers and funding from big corporations, especially the pharmacological branch. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AOA	Concerning Substantive Elements: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thank-you
MD. No Organization.	Concerning the future role(s) of the WHO in pandemic preparedness and response it should be clearly distinguished between providing advice and any form of governance. Governance, including all decisions in pandemic response (such as deciding for or against lockdowns) should remain a matter of nations and/or states in accordance with their laws. Thus a «new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response » must include a guarantee on nation/state sovereignty. As a matter of respect for human rights a guarantee of the sovereignty of the individual should be included. Also for a future WHO it should not be legally possible i.e. to impose vaccine mandates. Concerning invasive medical procedures (such as vaccines) a guarantee on individual sovereignty must be included. Further included should be a guarantee of a "healthy scientific discourse", where scientifically well-founded opposing opinions (i.e. concerning the efficacy of lockdowns) are respected and not subjected to censorship by private companies. In practice this could include providing a platform for an open scientific argument-exchange immune to censorship by private companies. During the Covid-19 Pandemic censorship by private companies has reached grotesque levels. A future WHO could advise governments on how to more efficiently establish expert panels which include all disciplines relevant to a pandemic response (i.e. virology and closely related disciplines dominated decision-making in many countries, neglecting psychological impacts of pandemic management). Included should be the advice that government officials, institutes and/or companies providing pharmaceuticals should be legally liable for severe adverse effects of their actions/products.
Citizin of Germany	Concerning the global character of the pandemic preparedness response, all nations and all citizens of the nations should have the possibility to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.. Measures have to taken that no pharmaceutical company or NGO can influence a transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where people do not want it
Concerned Citizen	Concerning the question of 'what substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
OEF	committees who or committees formulating policies that are conflicted cannot serve and must excuse themselves from discussions. Full transparency and accountability and full disclosure of registrational data from vaccine manufacturers to independent scientists and the public immediately, instead of the FDA and Pfizer trying to hide them for 75 years. All normal procedures for vaccine approvals to be followed and not ignored. All required committees to be constituted and to meet when they have to and steps for authorization not skipped. Regulatory bodies and their officials fined for hiding or sitting on data as happened with he CDC. Health Policies supported by cited scientific peer reviewed evidence and not just propaganda. Full explanation why polices/definitions are being altered, e.g. the change of definition of Herd Immunity by WHO was unclear. All public health officials and scientists to clarify their conflicts of interest when they discuss pandemic matters National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical
N/A	Congratulations on this initiative. In my opinion, it is imperative that individual countries have a degree of independence. No outside body, including the WHO, should be dictating, other than in an advisory role, the direction a country should take with pandemics. In addition, I believe the WHO should distance itself from pharmaceutical companies and private donors. Affiliations with same create conflicts of interest and the perception that the WHO is actually governed by corporate interests. For the public to have trust in the WHO, there should be a complete separation between it and corporations.
None	Consent is paramount and cannot be freely given without being fully informed. Any and all participation on the part of the people of the world with any measures MUST be voluntary and NEVER compelled. There MUST be full and complete disclosure of all data, information and without any gaps or omissions. Your organization should be acting purely in an advisory capacity only. Sic semper tyrannis.
a	Consent of the WHO and all its members to the non-exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of all countries who are party to the agreement, including consent to the non-exclusive jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court, to be prosecuted for any crime or sued for any civil violation alleged to have been committed under the agreement, or the authority thereof, under the laws of any nation participating in this agreement.

Organization	Written submission
Private	Consider and apply the Hippocratic Oath, the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association), Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights (UNESCO), the Siracusa Principles (American Association for the International Commission of Jurists) and the Nuremburg Code, which includes among others, bodily autonomy, freedom of speech, informed consent (not manipulation by fear or otherwise), freedom of movement all off which was violated during Covid19. The guiding question assumes we need an international instrument on pandemic preparedness. I reject this idea. It is clear to anyone who looks at the data that the globally orchestrated response to the Covid19 pandemic failed the people it purports to protect. Big business is now bigger, while small business had to close or downscale. Small business employees are destitute. Economies are shattered, the poor are now starving in great numbers. Has some lives been saved, perhaps a few survived Covid19 that would have died, a lot that would have survived Covid19 without lock downs etc. have and will pay the ultimate price because of the pandemic response. The power of the WHO needs to be diminished not expanded. Centralized control produces inefficiencies, broad measures instead of targeted measures. In addition, the WHO funding model gives rise to conflicts of interest.
Retired executive	Consider my opinion and the opinion of others. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Consider the following: A scientist wins the Nobel Prize in chemistry for the development of the polymerase chain reaction method. Despite saying it is fraudulent to use in diagnosis of a known illness, it is nonetheless used in the course of a supposed pandemic specifically for the prohibited application as specified by its inventor. This should be the end of the conversation. Imagining any degree of global authority being given to the WHO in addition to what it has already, is an utter farce. If I had to guess, it's as much as 3/10 people today who are highly aware of the degree of fraud perpetrated by this administration. If it were not for the government and media's collusion to prevent the citizenry from organizing, this issue would be settled, and we would be having a very different conversation: not a "World Government Summit." God will judge you all.
Humanity	Consider the word disease, we recognize fundamentally that it is dis-ease, that which is not at ease. If we are to have health, then it must arise through removing dis-ease, and cultivating ease. Fundamentally, for there to be health in the world, [as in a World Health Organization to serve such] then the sovereignty of human beings with their bodies, minds, and environment, as well as organizations' and regions' forms must be respected. Thus organization [WHO] must avoid force and coercion at all costs. For such form [WHO] to work, it needs to provide compassionate dialogue and discourse to have compassionate understanding first and foremost. From compassionate understanding material support may then be arranged, only where the is all due respect and consent. Please consider the Brahmavihara as mission statement or aspirations : 1. Maitri / Love - May all sentient beings have happiness and the causes of happiness. 2. Karuna / Compassion - May all sentient beings be free of sorrow and the causes of sorrow (such as dis-ease) 3. Mudita / Sympathetic Joy - May we dwell in sympathetic joy, that is happiness free of sorrow. 4. Upeksa / Equanimity - May these arise through serene non-judgement and impartiality.
Individual	Consider voluntary isolation and the right to work from home for high risk individuals, but no government imposed lockdown where children and vulnerable people suffer and people lose their livelihood. Also consider early treatment e.g. ivermectin. Do not mandate vaccinations, especially when little is known about long term side effects. Not base policies on worse case scenarios by individuals, but most likely scenarios . Better ppe for front line workers.
None	Consideration for a pandemic response worldwide should hold general guidelines for countries based on CURRENT and ongoing assessment of need. A universal one/size-fits-all approach leaves major life impacting decisions in the hands of a very powerful few. Each country should ild have equal access to treatments and interventions with the major emphasis on TREATMENT. We know there were many who were denied powerful treatments that would save lives and instead were sent home until it became respiratory and unmanageable. WHO must allow for alternative, Eastern and Western minds to summit and not be censored in their attempts to provide answers. Restrict censorship of effective treatments, provide room for discussion between experts in the field and give humans options. Keep the decisions that impact economy, mental health and life and death to thw cultures and countries they impact.
privat	Consideration of and compliance with the respective national laws must continue. Human rights must be respected and must not be broken. There musst be no surveillance of people, but their freedom must be preserved.
n/a	Consideration should be given to research and opinions of all highly qualified scientists & doctors. Safe, inexpensive, readily available treatments should be prioritized. Studies indicate that early treatment with ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine could have saved hundreds of thousands of lives during the Covid-19 pandemic. WHO recommendations should be non-binding as conditions in various nations may be radically different. The one size fits all approach has proven to be disastrous.
Private	Considerations to address: 1. Any country or local government should retain the ability to decide against participation in any measures the WHO deems necessary. This must be without consequence. 2. No censoring of any contradictory views. Science and democracy is about debate and opposing views, not about a fixed mindset and silencing, which is quite the opposite of science and democracy. 3. Any measures should have a solid scientific ground, confirmed by an international, independent body of non political scientist, reviewed constantly when implemented. The last pandemic has shown that 'science' has too easily become 'politics', where a biased view was sought to be confirmed instead of adjusted.
none	Considering our first pandemic response (Covid 19) to a global pandemic was a complete disaster. I think we need to first recognize how miserably we failed. I feel we need to take the ONE voice human element out of the equation. We need to allow sovereign countries the freedom to deal with it as they see fit, little disruption into their privacy, culture, religion and their economy. A minimum would be to simply monitor and advise, but not demand or control. That failed and produced needless human death and suffering. The monitor / advisory board could be made up of a team of independent scientist and doctors from around the globe. This team will be made aware that their knowledge, and training will be ONLY advisory not the law of the land. This team will receive no financial compensation from governments, or business. They will not work for a government or pharmaceutical company of any company where their advice can be profited from. A volunteer board where they are free to openly challenge and debate one another and these challenges will be made available to people in all nations.
N/A	Considering that every nation and culture faces different challenges; that every individual faces various and personal medical issues; and, that highly trained medical professionals should be trusted to treat patients according to their personal knowledge of patient history, the WHO should NOT be considering implementing ANY international instrument for future pandemics. One size never fits all. The WHO's attempt to implement any all-encompassing measures for all nations would violate national sovereignty and the personal freedom of individuals who live within those nations. Thank you for your consideration.
N/A	Considering the 194 country membership of the World Health Organization, it seems the six member body regulating the Pandemic Preparedness Agreement is excluding a great number of those expected to bind themselves to such an agreement. There are some points of the agreement that are ambiguous and cause concern for a member nation exercising the right to decide what is in the best interest of their citizens. It has been reported that during other pandemics nations have historically designed individual protocol successfully. Medical freedom is a human right of the individual as stated by the WHO. A pandemic should not nullify this human right. To bind a nation is to bind the individual's liberty to decide what is best for that individual's health. Just as the world is made of individuals so the pathway to good health is an individual decision and not the decision of a select body. The Pandemic Preparedness should protect the fundamental right of the individual to decide what is the best health system thereby the individual has full participation in the individual's own care and not a foreign body. It should also be acknowledged that a parent has the right to decide the best care of a minor child. A parent's ability to understand the best course of action for the health of the child should be respected by the agreement and should be guided by the local government and not a body far removed from the parent and child. It should also be noted that the choice of health system of the individual should also be respected and not cause them to be marginalized by any body. whether media outlets, governing bodies, workplace, etc. It is the human right of the individual to decide his/her best health system.
Na	Considering the attention it's been given to this point, natural immunity should definitely be considered a substantive element to include. Along with this, educating the public on viral structure, and how washing hands effectively dissolves virus lipid coatings. Halting all coronavirus vaccinations until they've been given the same opportunity for testing and research as all other vaccines have, and so that anyone who wants one will have sufficient information to provide informed consent. Promoting the health benefits of foods, hydration and physical exercise and supporting doctors who uphold the Hippocratic Oath by allowing them to minister to their patients as their knowledge and education sees fit. Refraining from directing the actions, choices and movements of citizens, or segregating groups of people for making private medical decisions, which is their God - given right.
Awaken India Movement	Considering the growth of science, it should be able to keep life on earth as it is under any circumstances. At one hand, we boast in our technological advancements, but on the other, we ask people to run away from virus and stay under lockdowns. Such measures show the helplessness of modern medical science. Come what may, people should be able to lead a normal life throughout any pandemic. As modern medical science and healthcare systems can't ensure that and are totally helpless, they MUST NOT enact any rule under the guise of helping mankind. In India, physicians practising Ayurveda, Homoeopathy, Naturopathy and other traditional treatments were confident to treat covid patients and they had proved it prior to the arrival of vaccines. Since WHO don't recognize such effective and harmless treatments, governments resort only to modern medicines whose EUA vaccines worsened the scenario worldover. Hence, it is requested to change your perspective from 'only modern medicine' to 'all effective and safe' treatment modes. WHO, being a health organizaion should prioritize 'Health' over 'Medicines and Vaccines'. NO LOCKDOWNS, NO VACCINE MANDATES, NO INDIVIDUAL TRACKING, NO TO INDIVIDUAL RESTRICTIONS ALSO. STOP AND CANCEL INTERNATIONAL TREATY. NO POWER OVER SOVEREIGN NATIONS AND STATES. NO POWER OVER INDIA AT ANY CIRCUMSTANCES.
Health Rights MA	Considering the most recent pandemic and the response of countries around the world, this treaty should seek to protect individual rights and medical freedoms that were severely threatened throughout the Covid crisis. The WHO should enforce upon nations a ban of any lockdowns or mandates that infringe upon the rights of individuals in favor of enlightenment and suggestions from such government entities. At the same time the government response should encouraged to share information from all aspects of science, especially perspectives and information that offer new insights into how individuals can protect themselves. As an independent organization, the WHO should seek to provide all such information and ensure that the flow of information is not impeded by the financial goals of wealthy individuals, corporate entities, and governments alike. The WHO also needs to the ensure that the parents of children also remain in full control of all decisions for their children and family. Any such infringement by government or corporate entities should be banned by this treaty. Children are precious and only should be cared for by competent parents and the parents' right to care for children should never be threatened. The WHO also should outlaw experimental treatments and ensure that treatments for any disease be proven beyond any possible doubt to be safe for individual consumption and proven to be effective against the disease it aims to treat.
Boon.co	Considering the pandemic response. Each country and citizen should be free to choose what is good for their own personal health and keep the freedom of choice whatever happens. We live in a democratic country and I believe in the strength of our human nature. The power of our inmate immune system And the diversity of people. So never one size fits all policies . I ask you freedom of choice , freedom of speech , freedom of what we want to write and how we want to live , with respect for each other and our love and respect for nature. Please let the countries be souvereign. Let people have democratic rights . Let us live together in harmony but adress all people as how they choose to be adressed .
Noosa Spine and Sports	Considering the widespread variation in Health management of the Covid-19 crisis in Australia, I would request the following most substantive elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. That no one group, including the WHO, be the sole co-ordinator and administrator of the response but consult with all world leaders before any decision is made about the possible response. 2. That the public be informed of any decision before that decision can be carried out, and that each individual country have a democratic response to decide whether that decision be valid. 3. That each individual country's Constitution and Human Rights be respected prior to any decisions being made or carried out. 4. Allowance and consideration be given to natural immunity development, natural health and research into natural remedies be fully incorporated into the research and management of any declared Pandemic. 5. All people's sovereign right to personal decisions in their own health management be respected at all times. 6. That no medical procedure ever be mandated or made compulsory in any nation under any circumstance.
Freedom	Considero que el manejo de la "actual" pandemia ha sido calamitoso. También ha sido muy poco transparente el modo en que se han tomado las decisiones y quiénes las tomaron. No puede repetirse NUNCA MÁS un manejo tiránico y de control de libertades de decision, de opinion y de pensamiento como si fuera una DICTADURA de mediados del siglo pasado. La LIBERTAD HUMANA ante todo. En resumen me parece absolutamente innecesario que W.H.O. tenga un manejo discrecional sobre la soberanía de los estados y LAS PERSONAS. De hecho, esto se parece demasiado a un GOLPE DE ESTADO MUNDIAL, donde van a levantar una instancia de gobierno en el que las personas no pueden elegir. Y esto está MUY LEJOS DE SER DEMOCRATICO.
N/A	Considero que las decisiones sobre la salud deben quedar finalmente a discreción de cada persona y familia. Hacerlo de otra manera, sería un ataque contra los derechos humanos.
Freedom Keepers	Constitutional rights should not be trampled on or overwritten in the name of "public health" or a "pandemic" One world response sure sounds like the next step to a One World Government. Unelected officials, health policy makers, should never have the ability to take away freedom or make law. We will not comply, we will never comply. Power to the people. Power will always be to the people. Defeat the mandates, stop the treaty, stop the agenda.
private citizen	Consultation with opposing experts and no voice at all from big pharma
The Doherty Institute	CONT. LABORATORY CAPACITY. Public health laboratories are the cornerstone of effective pandemic preparedness and response in global surveillance, innovative diagnostics and vaccine development. The new pandemic instrument can drive important reforms summarised below: 1. The role and remit of existing surveillance systems needs to be articulated, particularly as laboratories move toward pathogen-agnostic or multi-pathogen approaches. For example, GISRS has supported COVID-19 surveillance. However, the coordination of these systems through reference laboratories, akin to the WHO Collaborating Centers for Influenza, has not been defined at an international level. 2. Rapid sharing of data and specimens at an international level can be markedly improved. While genetic information is more readily shared, sample access has been a major barrier to more effective research, innovation and public health responses. The Doherty Institute was first to isolate and immediately share SARS-CoV-2 outside of China, sharing the virus with over 20 countries and biorepositories across the world. The decision was critical for public health as live virus is needed for diagnostics, therapeutics and vaccine development. 3. Country-level laboratory capacity building is essential, particularly in LMICs. This includes workforce development, access to resources and establishing pathways between research and public health. 4. International antibody standards should be made readily available from the WHO. The International Standard prepared by NIBSC is currently the best option but is not always widely accessible. International standards are critical for designing high-quality immunologic assays and should be considered a global public good.
The Doherty Institute	CONT. RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE. The WHO can play a key role in developing research infrastructure and platforms across basic, clinical and applied research that can be activated during pandemics. More specifically this includes: 1. Research platforms that have pre-approved protocols, ethics pathways and implementation plans can enable the mobilization of research in response to novel pathogens. For example, the Sentinel Travelers and Research Preparedness Platform for Emerging Infectious Disease (SETREP-ID) platform has enabled near-immediate access to clinical samples in Victoria, Australia. 2. We know that pre-existing research networks are highly important in disease emergencies, where effective collaborations and relationships take many years to flourish. ANRS (France) APPRISE (Australia) are effective research network initiatives which could serve as a model for WHO member states. 3. Design of standardized frameworks for rapid international clinical trials, including equitable enrolment across countries and regions is needed. 4. The WHO can play a constructive role in aligning similar research programs internationally and facilitating data linkage projects to match clinical, diagnostic and population data. 5. Notwithstanding inevitable uncertainties in decision-making, public health interventions need to be fully supported by high-quality evidence that is generated in the preparedness phase. 6. The WHO should encourage the development of generic antiviral drugs that can be stockpiled and adapted to emerging pathogens. The Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations has been instrumental in the vaccine space but there is a need to further improve, enshrine and expand this capacity.
N/a	Content that should be included in the agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned woman of Aotearo	Continued: Under the Magna Carta, Common Law is the Supreme Law of New Zealand soil, which can never be removed. New Zealand has the 1835 New Zealand declaration of Independence, which if it was to be ignored then pursuant to section 61 of the Magna Carta grants New Zealanders the absolute rights to refuse to obey any Crown or government commands that deprive anyone of their rights under Common Law. New Zealand is also protected by the English Bill of Rights Act 1689, passed in Parliament on 16 December 1689. This Bill creates separation of powers, limits the powers of the Crown, enhances the democratic election and bolsters freedom of speech. The 1840 Treaty of Waitangi was to grant Sovereignty of the land to the Mauriora Tribes. However, there was a deception of language and the Treaty transferred Sovereignty from the Mauriora Tribes to the British Crown, creating Roman Admiralty, or Civil Law. Admiralty law is for a ship at sea, we are not at sea, thus falsely creating and promulgating under Admiralty Law to create a private corporation to run by the so-called government under a New Zealand Business Number (NZBN), using a globally unique identifier required for all New Zealand businesses. We the people of New Zealand have sovereignty and we have independence or autonomy to self-govern under our unalienable rights. The government is created by man and the created cannot have power over the creator. No consent = no contract
Privat	Contradiction! We do not need a single element! We need democratic nation states that cannot be bought, that equip their health care systems well with non-corruptible personnel. No neutral, altruistic evaluation can be expected from a non-democratically legitimized, supranational, predominantly privately financed organization like the WHO with dubious interests. Therefore, one can only warn against getting involved in such hubris-brainstorms at all.
Individual	Contrary to the one-sided question being proposed--a proposition which WHO assumes everyone will support--I do not believe that a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is necessary. Whereas WHO has made contradictory and/or counterfactual claims over the past few years (e.g., supporting masking as a "key measure to reduce transmission and save lives" despite numerous studies showing that the opposite is true, including a 2019 WHO review of RCTs involving masking), I do not have faith in this entity, nor any other international entity, having the power to unilaterally declare what practices should or should not be followed, least of all when this could include coercing an individual into taking an experimental vaccine which has not been monitored for safety for even five years. Furthermore, because different nations approached the past few years with different strategies, we have seen that some strategies decried by many as foolish have proven to be no worse (and in some cases, even better) than other methods. This never would have come to light if everyone followed the same script. The right to medical freedom, to make informed choices about one's own health and body independent of any external pressures (whether those decisions are arrived at with the guidance of a medical professional or not), must be safeguarded. This proposal indicates a greater power given to WHO, which would be unnecessary if it were just providing suggestions, and would detriment society by laying the groundwork for a future usurpation of human rights.
Private citizen	Contributions to all processes must ensure full and open access to scientists, medical experts and stakeholders regardless of whether they agree with any viewpoint, and all contributions and opinions must be published including dissenting views and information at all times without censorship or penalty.
None	Control must be maintained at the local and regional level. WHO should be research and advice only. Countries can either accept or reject. WHO botched the entire pandemic response--from where it allegedly came from--to the mask mandates. Adding layers of quasi-governmental influence will only make the next response more cumbersome, more incompetent.
Citizen of the United States	Control needs to flow from the bottom up. This means localities need final say on implementing WHO recommendations. It would also be helpful if lying and data misrepresentation was strongly discouraged by everyone in the WHO, including pharmaceutical companies. You do not need to force people to do the right thing for themselves. If you are lying or using force to "convince" people to do what you want, you are violating the cooperative spirit necessary for civilization and its members to live.
Homesteader	Control over what goes into or on my body is for me to decide and me alone. That is a right endowed upon me by my creator. No person, no governmental agency, to a particular country, or one claiming authority over the world, can undo what God Himself has given to me. This is an inalienable right. It's not just my right, it's the right of every human being on the planet. I choose to not accept any unrighteous dominion over my body. If there is a worldwide pandemic, naturally occurring or man made, no person(s) will remove my personal bodily autonomy from me. No World Health Organization or any other entity will exercise any dominion over my body or my choices about my body, health, nutrition, medications, medical procedures, or even travel from my home or any place I choose to go anywhere within my own country. I will respect other countries borders.
Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro	Coordination of the development of new vaccines globally and international distribution.
human	Core elements must include: - no measure can make any profit for any individual - all measures respect and support the use of free-will while enabling eradicating self-lies - consent is supported by total transparency of all developments, research and decisions - this transparency is enhanced by enabling humans globally intellectually, culturally and emotionally - acceptance of non-physical pandemics, like in the concept of Wetiko in indigenous context - celebration of humans as an aspect of nature is key to future development you, who read this: you can change the trajectory and it is your responsibility to act in your specific here/now according to ethical standards in our free-will universe. Get Real!
Cosmetics	Corona ist eine Inszenierung um der Pharmaindustrie Geld in die Kassen zu spülen und um den Reichsten der Reichen zu ermöglichen, das Volk zu kontrollieren. Die Regierung hat im hohen Maße versagt und inzwischen glaubt kaum noch jemand daran, dass wir eine gefährliche neue Krankheit haben. Die Impfstoffe sind gefährlich, was immer mehr ans Tageslicht kommt. Das Ganze ist ein nie da gewesenes Verbrechen, welches hoffentlich aufgeklärt wird
No organization, private citizen of Germany	Corona pandemic has shown that countries acted in unison without special global contracts. WHO has no legitimate democratic justification to override national constitutions and national laws. The reason is that WHO is funded to more than 75% by special interest groups, global pharma corporations. These experts may voice their opinions publicly but lack democratic legitimation to override us, the people. WHO has lost trust of the people during the recent pandemic. Too obvious was the influence and propaganda of profit over health benefit. If the WHO were truly concerned to act in concordance with THE PEOPLE the time slot to collect peoples written concern would have been adequately longer.
Tax payer - CITIZEN	Countries should have the right (via democratic elections) to make their own laws and enact their own policies. Organizations such as WHO - WEF etc, with no elected mandate/ no accountability can not have more power than democratically elected governments/individual countries . Said organizations are responding to the interest of a minority of non-elected individuals with huge financial companies that do not take the best interest of the population into account
Student	Countries and local municipalities should retain sovereignty and the ability to make all decisions for their citizens. Citizens in each locality should be able to vote on each provision to determine whether it will be applied at their location, and if not, they reserve the right to opt-out of the agreement in whole or in part without penalty. This process should be open and transparent allowing for people of the world to vote on its measures. A fail safe should be included to prohibit its adoption if the majority of its people vote against it. The influence of companies or individuals (i.e., pharmaceutical companies and other health profiteers) who might profit from it passing should be prohibited throughout the entire process.
USA Citizen	Countries and municipalities should retain full autonomy on legislating what is best for their citizens. All nations and local governments should be able to opt out of any or all portions of the treaty without consequences of any kind. The citizens of each country or state should be given the opportunity to vote whether their country should be part of or reject this treaty. Measures also must be put in place that prevent all pharmaceutical companies or any other health profiteers from influencing any part of the treaty in any way. Stacey Chippendale Virginia, USA
n/a	Countries and states/provinces/regions/counties/cantons should be autonomous in making decisions about the health of their constituents. Public health risks need to be determined at the local level, not according to what is happening in a far-flung region of the world. Local authorities understand best how the situation needs to be addressed. Bureaucrats in another country lack this insight.
Private	Countries and their elected representatives of the people retain full autonomy and the right to decide based on the best interests of the people they represent. All structures of government may choose to opt out of any or all aspects of the agreement with zero repercussions. Open, transparent processes subject to approval by people at a decentralised level. I.e. people in local communities must agree to imposition of any rules. Measures that actively prevent ANY influence on decisions by any pharmaceutical or for-profit healthcare company.
Leadership Matters Consulting Services	Countries and their local leaders should retain full autonomy. They must have the ability to make decisions that are best for their citizens. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out if necessary to do what is best for their citizens. There must be full transparency in terms of studies showing efficacy of various treatments. A "One size fits All" approach never fits anyone. Scientists, researchers and doctors must be able to have open and civil dialogue about their work with effective treatments that were used on their patients. Never politicize or monetize a pandemic again. Never lockdown again!! Never make people's livelihood a non-essential service.
Private	Countries are sovereign. They know their resources, populations and general health. A superimposed one-size-fits-all is in democratic, inefficient and probably ineffective. A European, aging population of fat people fared far worse than young, non obese Africans. The WHO is unelected by the people therefore it is unaccountable, non- transparent and evades the checks and balances essential to freedom
Member of the public	Countries DO NOT need the WHO to be in charge of pandemic response. ALL countries must have absolute sovereignty over their response to ANY crisis including, but not limited to, pandemics.
Citizen of the UK	Countries have very different health resources+resilience.For example, Ebola in West Africa has approx 50% fatality rate. Such diseases imported to the UK require all lot of supportive therapies, but are well managed+survivable.An attempt to introduce a one-size-fits all Pandemic Treaty or change international health regulations to centrally impose WHO health measures globally, is therefore illogical+not compatible with our inalienable human rights+democracy, which should never again be suspended or encroached upon, not by our government, nor by any other nations, state, province, group, foreign constitution or organisation-not under any circumstances or any declared "emergency". UK voters must have the right to vote out any government who introduces "emergency" measures disproportionately. In the UK, all the guidance from the WHO during Covid, led to more suffering, death+damage to our society than if we had pursued our own established, sensible national pandemic plan like Sweden+Florida+had allowed doctors the freedom to try different off-label medicines with an established safety record.With fully informed consent of the risks as well as potential benefits, no-one should be denied the chance to try a medicine because WHO scientists, who are not immune to influence from their research sponsors in the pharmaceutical industry, advise against it. Bodily autonomy is absolute.No-one should be forced to take any medical treatment or vaccination.Freely given informed consent without repercussions has been undermined by the Covid Vaccination campaign, but that needs to stop and those who were party to this, need to be held accountable for the vaccine injuries/deaths
Private individual	Countries must be able to reserve the right to decide what is best for their own people. We should be able to decline any and all sections of the agreement as we see fit, without consequences or penalties of any kind, keeping our full autonomy. There should be an open and transparent process which includes allowance for all people of the world to vote on measures which prevent the global agreement being applied in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The people of the world have the right to have their say and be listened to. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must not be allowed to influence these processes in any way.
Private Person	Countries must evaluate and publish exactly the root causes of deaths. A cause "died with the virus" is not acceptable. This means that evy state must establish a wider range and higer capacities for obductions in this regard. Only fully proven vaccinations with official approval AND public transparent approval studies are allowed to be taken for mass vaccination. Statistics for vaccination caused effects must be easy and time-safing to communicate by doctors. People who do not want vaccination must keep their full freedom to live and die. Governments must not force individuals or groups to get vaccinated. Vaccinations can be a kind of biological weapons against human race. If someone likes to move out of the EU countries to avoid political vaccination pressure, then leaving must be possible for the whole family. The body's own antibodies must be accepted as "healed and protected" status.
None	Countries must remain independent and continue to make their own laws. The WHO should remain an independent advice body only. The use of travel restrictions, vaccine passports and other such restrictions on individual freedoms should never be mandated.
Private citizen	Countries must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, for any reason, and without consequence. The treaty should only provide GUIDELINES on pandemic responses, not edicts. As well, there should be NO provisions for things like global vaccine passports or other digital ID systems, which only undermine the sovereignty of individual nations. Measures should be put in place for an open and transparent process, which prevents influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other unelected global health entities that stand to profit from such agreements.

Organization	Written submission
Private	Countries must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is right for their own citizens. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all provisions of the agreement, for any reason and without consequence. The treaty should only provide GUIDELINES, not mandates or edicts. If there is a vaccination program, it must be VOLUNTARY. Individuals must not be coerced into taking a vaccine, nor should their jobs depend on vaccination. There should be NO vaccination passport nor any other global digital ID system which would infringe on the freedoms, and privacy, of the individual. Consideration should be given to all possibilities of treatment, including the off-label use of generic drugs. Vaccination should not be the only solution of dealing with a pandemic to the exclusion of all other forms of treatment. Open and honest dialogue and debate amongst various health professionals should be encouraged, without fear of reprisal or censure for offering a different opinion. Measures should be put in place for a transparent and open process, which prevents influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other unelected global entities that stand to profit from such agreements.
none	Countries need autonomy to handle the health of the people they represent. Doctors need to have autonomy to treat each individual human as they see fit. Any treaty MUST allow autonomy of care within each country. That means freedom from mandates. Medicine and health care treatment has never been a one size fits all scenario. Please make sure humans around the world continue to have the freedom to take care of their own bodies and that doctors and governments have the freedom to do the same. Thank you.
None	Countries need flexibility in their ability to imposing different measures. Compare the positive outcomes in Scandinavian countries which used minimal restrictions to the failure of zero-covid ultimately in New Zealand, Australia, Korea, and Hong Kong, and the humanitarian crisis caused by lockdowns in Shanghai. We don't immediately know the best course of action so flexibility at the state level is key.
Children's Health Defense	Countries need the power to act autonomously to any domestic and/or global concerns.
Epu	Countries need to be able to respond to their citizens. States should be able to allow citizens to be in control of their health. Lockdowns are not effective and should not be used. Healthcare is not one size fits all. Healthy people should not be punished by masking and lockdowns. Quarantine only the sick. Without the freedom to choose protocols, how do you find out what works and what doesn't. Allow doctors to try treatments that may use repurposed drugs, instead of a mandatory treatment protocol. It is dangerous to allow any one entity to choose the protocol for the entire world.
Halogent LLC	Countries need to maintain they own local autonomy. Each country is different and we can not have a one size fits all policy. States and nations need the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, at no cost. A single policy is asking for influence of corrupt forces to set a single global response. If each nation state has its own oversight and decision making we can better assess each situation.
self	Countries need to make their own decisions for their people. Centralized health takes away that right and ability to determine what is best for their own people. One size fits all cannot work around the world.
WHO	Countries own governments should select a pandemic response, not a globalist organisation who do not have the general publics health as priority. Priorities and early measures such as simple medication should not be dismissed when there are proven benefits. Medical procedures should not be forced on people creating a two tiered society with the only beneficiaries being pharma and organisations linked to pharma including WHO and WEF. Globalist organisations such as these should be disbanded and should never exist. The puppets who are part of these organisations and are leaders of countries worldwide will be found out and will not be leaders in the future. The voice of the people will be too much for these to take control of the general public
General public	Countries should and will remain independent to make decisions regarding their citizens, not questionable organizations that no one elected to run the world with heavy funding from pharmaceutical companies.
None	Countries should be free to decide how to deal with a pandemic. BETTER YET, WE the People have a RIGHT to decide about our Own Bodies and how we respond to Health Issues. You are ONLY here to Advise, NOT Decide how we respond to health issues!!!!!!!! REMEMBER. Our body Our choice!!!!!
Garnet Commerce Ltd	Countries should be responsible for their own pandemic preparedness. The WHO needs to stay out of it.
Individual	Countries should decide how best to handle medical emergencies for their own populations. The proposal of the WHO is a threat to democracy. One size fits all is not appropriate. This is a terrible idea.
private person	Countries should decide what's best for them, not an un-elected body funded by a billionaire with and agenda. Lockdowns and masks have never been public health policy because they don't work, they should never be mandated. Vaccines should never be mandated, bodily autonomy should be respected and accepted as human right. Open conversation about public health issues should be welcome. People questioning policies should not be silenced. Science is not absolute, it's about open covesation, and raising questions. The WHO must stay in a position where they can recommend policy but not mandate it!
None	Countries should handle their own needs, not have some un-elected group dictate to the world what they will do. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. People should be free as well as countries should be free to follow the path that is good for them. All countries should be self-governing.
Myself	Countries should have the right to govern themselves, there should be no umbrella pandemic policy for everyone. There's too much overreach as it is now. Not just countries but individuals should have the right to choose what's best for their health.
Private	Countries should have the right to make their own decisions on what is best for their people based on democratic principles. No one group or company should have the power of control over the whole world. It could lead to abuse on an international and unprecedented scale. Individual human rights should always be taken into account and countries should retain their autonomy.
None	Countries should have their own protocols in place. No one size fits all and never any overriding of constitutions. Pharmaceutical companies should be liable for all products and injuries caused by such. WHO shall not be able to mandate any protocol.
General Public	Countries should maintain control over their pandemic response. An outside organization, such as yours, should not be able to control our country's actions. The decisions for this are best left to each country where an individualized response can be created. You, of course, could offer recommended guidelines, but the power to control responses is too rife with possibilities for abuse. It is indeed a scary thought to think that one organization could control the entire world. I am strongly against your proposal.
N/A	Countries should not be bound by any unelected body that is not capable of acting in the best interests of the local population, it is undemocratic. A "one size fits all" approach is rarely effective and may be counter productive. Individual countries and leaders must be able to pick and choose the right approach, there should be no blanket agreements, and opt in or out provisions should be guaranteed. I am generally NOT in favour of any outside interference in how countries are run. The public should be able to vote on any agreements before they are ratified. Government and corporate overreach are reaching unhealthy levels which only erodes public trust; there is way too much corporate interference in the state. Pharmaceutical companies have too much influence and freedom from liability is a recipe for disaster. The WHOs guidance over the COVID outbreak has been a disaster. The vaccine rollout has been a disaster. Now we should hand over power to an organization that failed to protect the world from disease and death? That advocated for trampling our constitutional rights and freedoms? On what basis? The general public can only be pushed so far before they push back.
None	Countries should not have rights over other countries, being an independent state we make our own decisions, not have laws put on us by unelected people. We left Europe for our sovereignty and rights won over many centuries. If there was to be another pandemic we should decide our own course of action as we have with this one. And who is to decide if it a pandemic? I say no . It would bring in forced vaccinations and we could do nothing!
Individual	Countries should remain sovereign and free to close their borders and implement their own policies. Information on safety of drugs, vaccines, mRNA treatments etc must not be censored. Conflicts of interests must be strictly policed. No international policies or agreements should be formulated until a proper cost/benefit evaluation of worldwide policies has been conducted.
Lotus Center for Integrative Medicine	Countries should retain autonomy other than in their ability to force treatments (or prophylaxis) on someone who refuses. There need to be VERY strong protections against corporate influence and against censorship of dissenting opinions. Nurenburg Code should be incorporated into the accepted standard for medical ethics. WHO must insist on the recognition of an individual's right to refuse treatments or prophylaxis.
None	Countries should retain the right to opt out, and overrule on any aspect to ensure the rights of their people are not infringed, or to act in the best interests of their own country. Citizens should not be forced to engage in any global digital ID schemes or vaccine mandates. Measure should not include or be influenced by pharmaceutical companies, or private individuals with an interest in global health profiteering.
Private	Countries throughout the world have different levels of freedom, some have none. You cannot have a worldwide policy on any health issue unless it only goes along with the countries that allow their people to be free and not countries that are happy to take away their people's rights with no questions asked. The collateral damage done by policies that have been pursued during covid are coming to light now and will haunt us forever. Respiratory viruses have and will never be wiped of the face of the planet, we come into equilibrium with them, so no policy put in place should be trying to achieve zero.
Public	Countries, cultures and people are unique and should be considered on individual case by case matters not as a whole or world collective. I do not agree that an overarching preparedness or response is a one size fits all topic and it should jot be implemented
Private citizen	Countries, local government must maintain autonomy to do whats best for their citizens. No decisions influenced by Big Pharma. Opt out clause for any countries wishing not to participate.
Citizens' Covid Origin Inquiry. www.covidorigins.org	Countries, states and localities must be able to establish their own response to a pandemic based on conditions and realities in their area; their ability to make these decisions should not be circumscribed or limited by a global entity such as the World Health Organization.
Humanity	County and similarly small, local leadership (the elected and the un-elected) retain FULL autonomy, reserving the and all rights to make all decisions based on what is best for their own people. Local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the "agreement" as they see fit, without consequence. Open and fully transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to securely vote, with votes being counted by fully unbiased, neutral, and fully transparent non-paid individuals, on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of any of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; and the freedom of the individual to personally, lawfully and legally, opt out for him/herself and/or his/her children. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, their affiliates, their board members, their investors, or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	Covid has showcased the following flaws: 1. Lack of identifying risk factors and reducing said risk factors 2 years into the pandemic, governments have promoted policies that lower our vitamin D levels (known to be a risk factor for covid) and make us gain weight (via lockdowns, less movement), which has exacerbated our risk as a population. Solution: Focus on identifying these risk factors and promote reducing them across the population. (Go out into the sun, exercise/move more, eat less junk) 2. Lack of early treatment and active searching of said treatments We still only have expensive and ineffective treatments available for some patients (Remdesvir as an example) but have completely ignored cheap and safe alternatives such as Hydroxychloroquine and Ivermectin. If these treatments are even half as good as treating doctors and multiple studies have shown, they should have been implemented. Instead, they were banned across the board - essentially sentencing at-risk populations to disease and death. Solution: All possible treatments must be thoroughly tested and tried if shown to be safe. 3. Lack of critical thinking on immediate, rash decisions Lockdowns, flight bans, mask and vaccine mandates were universally accepted as legitimate responses to covid without question, and justified retrospectively with very flimsy and unreliable post-hoc "evidence". When implementing such extreme measures, the cautionary principle MUST be applied. We have punished 100% of the population to avoid risk for a miniscule %. Solution: The panic needs to be put aside and extreme measures avoided at all costs.
none - personal interest for humanity	Covid has shown that a vaccination-focused strategy has failed. we need the independence of the countries and regions in the fight against the pandemic. With all recommended measures, the other human aspects for a good and mentally healthy life and death should be taken into account in addition to the purely medical ones. Lockdowns, fear and isolation have severely traumatized many people and often caused more damage than the pandemic itself. All measures should only be taken as recommendations and in any case should be evaluated by all scientific disciplines with regard to possible collateral damage. Calculation models have often failed, so it is imperative to continuously check them and, even better, to evaluate the practical experiences of individual countries.
NONE	Covid policy for the pandemic based on the facts has been far from flawless. Therefore, individual choice rights must be upheld. Evidence suggests mistakes have been made. False assumptions made, such as the idea that 70% herd immunisation would eliminate the disease became 90% requirement and then 100% when such an elimination was later reported to be impossible. A significant proportion of scientists, such as the Israeli Public Emergency Council for the COVID 19 CRISIS, comprising of 23 leading research and medical professionals, flagged these errors. They also debunked the false media assertion that the vaccinated did not spread the virus, when they did. The unvaccinated were falsely blamed for lack of elimination success. It is now accepted that vaccinated and unvaccinated kids spread it equally. So why put a relatively new vaccine into kids with no consequent reduction on being contagious ensuing. In addition, covid is not a serious threat to kids. Not to mention how, the long-term side effects of this new type of vaccination have yet to be established. So, setting up a legal apparatus to put established policies in place before such science is done puts the public in danger. The lack of transparency has been evident also, a judge having to impose on Pfizer to release data on such side effects. All this indicates a lack of thrustworthiness on the part of such companies. Based on all of the above, I strongly object to individual choice being subservient to governmental powers and policy.
private individual	Covid was not a pandemic at a recovery rate of 99.8%. The entry level rate of a pandemic needs to be seriously lowered from this level. Quarantining healthy people should not be allowed, quarantine the sick only. People cannot be forced/coerced/threatened with their livelihoods to take medical treatments they do not agree with. WHO is not a worldwide police state making laws to govern peoples rights of movement or freedoms. The WHO needs to stay in it's lane. The WHO needs to be open & clear about who is funding it & why.
Harm Reduction International, International Network of People who Use Drug	COVID19 and related policies, often overwhelmingly punitive, disproportionately impacted vulnerable, marginalised, criminalised communities such as people who use drugs and sex workers in all their diversity. This led to widespread rights violations, and ineffective pandemic responses. Learning from this pandemic, any new instrument must be developed with meaningful consultation with communities most impacted, and aligned with international human rights law/standards, building upon and enhancing human rights protections. This entails: -Incorporating international standards on derogations to human rights in public health emergencies, including principles of legality, necessity, proportionality, non-discrimination. These should apply not only to civil-political rights, but also to social-economic-cultural rights. The instrument should elaborate on the implications of these principles,particularly on the introduction of criminal sanctions for non-compliance with pandemic policies, which must be a last resort. -Explicitly expressing limitations and guidance on the role of law enforcement in pandemic response,building on existing human rights standards, including necessity and civilian oversight. -Explicitly acknowledging the need for specific protections for communities facing heightened vulnerability;including marginalised and criminalised populations such as people who use drugs and sex workers. -Including meaningful participation of communities in design, implementation, evaluation as a core element of the instrument;with a focus on most affected populations, and on the essential role of community-led organisations. Meaningful engagement must also be a guiding principle regarding the governance of the new instrument
WHO Technical Advisory Group on Behavioural Insights and Sciences for He	COVID-19 has confirmed that the behavioral and social sciences have guided our understanding of the drivers of transmission and how to design and deliver effective interventions. Changing behaviors is complex and may not happen even when life is at stake – it requires more than clinical and epidemiological expertise. To ensure a robust international instrument, our first suggestion is that you consider including uses of behavioral and social sciences in pandemic prevention, preparedness and response at relevant places in the international instrument. This ranges from informed political and public health leadership, the choice of legislation for mandatory vaccination, financial measures to support self-isolation, guidance and scripts for contact tracing, and tailored communications for vulnerable communities to minimize health inequalities (explained well in this article). Second, we propose the inclusion of at least one article focusing on critical behavioral and social actions for pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. One example of this is "intensify and target risk communication, strengthen community engagement, empowerment and support, addressing community concerns, combating misinformation and building trust" as highlighted by the WHO Director-General in his charge to countries at the World Health Assembly in 2021. Finally, to facilitate the appropriate integration of behavioural and social sciences in the new international instrument, we propose that experts in behavioural and social sciences should be included as members of the Intergovernmental Negotiating Body or as advisors. We, together with WHO Headquarters' behavioural sciences team, can help source these experts.
International Commission of Jurists	COVID-19 has ended the lives of millions of persons across the world, and, for those of who have either avoided transmission or recovered, upended almost every aspect of life. It has also led to what the UN Secretary General has described as a "pandemic of human rights abuses". In this context we draw attention to the need to ensure the very foundations of the Pandemic Treaty are firmly grounded in human rights. We have co-published 10 Human Rights Principles for a Pandemic Treaty, which we submit should guide the INB's deliberation. (https://tinyurl.com/5cfxkwry) We emphasize the fundamental importance of a legitimately negotiated, drafted and implemented Pandemic Treaty. Without full, meaningful and effective participation of civil society organizations, the Treaty will not embody the vital lessons learned through the experiences of those who have courageously fought for human rights and the rule of law throughout the pandemic. We strongly recommend that the INB dramatically widen and extend its efforts to ensure public participation. (https://tinyurl.com/468txa8b) We note with great disappointment the ongoing impasse at the World Trade Organization in relation to the waiver of intellectual property rights in order to ensure globally equitable access to the full range of COVID-19 diagnostics, vaccines and therapeutics (https://tinyurl.com/yuzzdjd6). The human rights obligations of Member States require the drafters of this Treaty to ensure that in future pandemics it will not take over two years, 500 million cases of illness and six million deaths for States to take serious collective action to protect the rights to health and life of all persons.
Knowledge Ecology International	COVID-19 has shown that the terms of contracts to fund the development of new countermeasures to address an emerging pandemic ("funding agreements") largely determine what state actors can and cannot do to rapidly expand access. The pandemic has further confirmed countries' tendencies toward nationalism, their willingness to expend unprecedented sums of money toward the development and procurement of countermeasures, and the impropriety of monopolies under these circumstances. A treaty on pandemic preparedness and response should recognize this reality, and should commit governments to implement terms in future funding agreements that would guarantee the ability to perform a technology transfer of all of the inputs needed to enable generic manufacture of any useful countermeasures (a "deep technology transfer"). Such a deep technology transfer would authorize governments to issue third-party licenses to background and foreground patents, data, knowhow, cell lines, copyrights, regulatory filings and authorizations, and any other input needed to facilitate generic production. Such terms should be implemented at the outset of any pandemic, when governments award massive subsidies and bear the risk of failure, because it is not yet apparent which technology will be useful. There should be no qualifications for governments performing this deep technology transfer. The treaty should further commit governments to full transparency of the funding agreements, by obligating them to post the agreements on a publicly accessible website with no or minimal redactions, and to share the contracts with a WHO hosted public repository.
University of Rochester	COVID-19 has taught us of the fallibility of the WHO and other national and international agencies that attempted a coherent centralized pandemic response. As such, it is clear that further centralization of power will prove to be a catastrophic error. Critical elements of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Sovereign nations maintain full autonomy in pandemic response, including opting out of any or all portions of the proposed WHO instrument. 2. A fully democratic process for adopting the proposed WHO instrument by vote of citizens in each nation. 3. A pandemic response that is driven by clinical outcomes of practicing physicians. The response should evolve and be agnostic as to the method of treatment or prevention of infection. Best practices of physicians that reduce death and disease should be widely adopted. Anything that does not work should be jettisoned immediately. 4. A clear statement of support for informed and voluntary consent for any medical treatment, as well as support for basic human rights that supersedes any emergency measures. NOTE: My comments are mine alone and do not necessarily reflect those of the University of Rochester.
private person	CoVid-19 never was a true pandemic according to the old definitions. Why were these changed in the first place? In my opinion, this was done in the intent to extend WHO's influence and power worldwide. This course of action is not desireable, it is detrimental, as it continues to interfere with natural, fundamental human rights and with national and international legislation. WHO is helping along forces that aim at a centralized global government and further interfere with human rights. This cannot be allowed to continue.
S. Ingram & Associates, LLC	events/deaths/reactions No experimental gene therapies masked as vaccines; no experimental anything. Use what we have or has already passed the rigor of safety and effectiveness, evaluated by 3rd party, independent credentialed, neutral, researchers All adverse events and reactions presented to the public at all times All Nuremberg Codes must be implemented and followed at all times No concentration type facilities to be used for mandatory quarantines Use Federally Medical Station Models already documented and ready to go by the Strategic National Stockpile via the CDC No PCR test use to determine active infectious status Allow physicians and other healthcare personnel to use products off label where there is existing evidence of their effectiveness and excellent safety profile Utilize cruise ships for quarantine facilities so that there is no loss of revenue to them Unless masks can stop a 5 nanometer particle, don't use them Allow people to get treatment and prophylactic therapies wherever they want to go; not segregate delivery of such based upon income or race Get PPE made from manufacturers in home countries when possible; invoke war act in US to allow americans to make meds and ppe to prevent imports and keep the economy going News outlets are prohibited from giving one side of the information; every pro story must be allowed a counter story presented
private	Creating an intergovernmental instrument that can decide which course to take in a pandemic might seem as a great first step but is it really? Every country, including those in the European Union, chose evidence informed policy and a way that, according to the politicians, would work best to convince the general public to adhere certain rules. Since we are not in a pandemic anymore it's necessary to reflect on what happened. Not by creating a new way of forcing certain standards upon countries but by looking at what we did wrong and how we can improve that. A new instrument might be interesting to talk about what went wrong during the previous pandemic but wil not give us any insight on what we need to do if another one comes. Too many variables, e.g. the nature of the disease, the political system, overall public opinion in a country ... Make it almost impossible for an international body to give guidelines. Countries therefor must decide themselves what they need to do, in accordance to their constitution. The WHO can play an important role in knowledge sharing, in helping developing countries tackle certain problems but should not become another intergovernmental body that wants to put a stamp on the world.
Private	Creation of a legally binding pandemic treaty is an absolute violation of basic human rights. WHO DOES NOT have the right under any circumstances to dictate what toxic and hugely damaging "medications"/substance/s an individual must take simply because WHO says so!! There is simply no valid and ethical reason for creating a pandemic treaty! WHO's failure to adopt Dr. Peter McCullough's hugely successful treatment protocol for COVID 19 which reduces hospitalisation rates by 85% is a perfect example of why a legally binding pandemic treaty should never be implemented. Ignoring such treatment protocols highlights gross negligence on part of the WHO.
GBS Ventures LLC	Criteria for determining an actual pandemic that is unbiased, unsponsored by people affiliated with companies where they have financial connections of any sort. The People have the right to choose their method of treatment and preventative measures, not any overarching body(s). If there is a naturally occurring pandemic or otherwise, let it run its course because locking down a population does not contain it, as we have seen.
I do not represent an organization	Critical elements that must be included are independence, sovereignty and third party oversite. Institutions that stand to directly profit from pandemic solutions must have no influence over pandemic policies. Regulatory bodies that receive money from the industry they are charged with overseeing must be replaced with good faith oversite regulatory bodies. Finally, public health is not a one-size-fits all matter. Individual member states and democracies must have the autonomy to respond to their own public health needs specifically to the needs of their own democratic institutions, social, and health needs in their locality.
None	Critical elements that should be included are: 1) open streams of communication between nations and organizations that are not politicized or only follow one narrative because we learn and grow from considering different viewpoints; 2) implementation of decided response should be left up to individual governing bodies, not by any single organization, such as the WHO, or government.
Whispering Trees Publishing	Critical inclusions to the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Shear Law	Critical substantive elements that should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: -> Participation by states in any coordinated pandemic preparedness or response should at all times remain voluntary. National and sub-national governments should retain the flexibility and autonomy to make independent decisions about how to prepare for and respond to a pandemic if they so choose. -> Local circumstances in individual states may vary widely, and both health and non-health factors may be important considerations in any decisions by individual states about local pandemic preparedness or response. As such, a universal preparedness and response approach may not be appropriate or suitable for all states at all times. -> In addition, qualified health experts in individual states may hold views about pandemic preparedness and response that differ from the views of other states (or the WHO). Local states should retain the flexibility to follow the views of their local health experts even if those views differ the views of other states (or the WHO).
none	Critical to include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
...	Critical voices have to be heard. A society also has to keep her sovereignity - never has to be divided from outside! Every country decided for itsself and this has to be guaranteed!
Selfemployed	Crucial is the freedom of choice for each individual person when it comes to medical treatments. Crucial is the protection and conservation of ecosystems and natural systems that are sustainable in providing food and areas for relaxation. Crucial is terminating artificial ways in which animals are produced for their meat, in such a way, that their natural immune systems are severely compromised.
Individual	Citizens have the right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid.
Incentives for Global Health	Current monopoly rewards guide innovators to neglect diseases concentrated among the poor, to price patented pharmaceuticals out of reach of the poor, and to shun strategic efforts to contain and suppress the target disease which, if successful, would slash future sales of their product. As a result of these three factors, poor populations become breeding grounds for infectious diseases, for new disease strains, as well as for drug resistance, which often emerges when poor people cannot afford to take an expensive drug at full dosage for the full course of treatment. To motivate innovators to address these gaps, we propose a supplementary reward system: the Health Impact Fund, which would enable innovators to trade their monopoly rents on a new pharmaceutical for rewards based on the health gains produced with it, fully including positive externalities, such as the infection risk reduction enjoyed by third parties, even those who never took the drug. The Health Impact Fund would induce the development of precisely those high-value innovations that the current regime leaves inadequately rewarded. Plus it would give innovators additional strong incentives to promote – through information, training, technical assistance, discounts, etc. – the fast, wide, impactful diffusion of their participating new pharmaceuticals. Creation of a permanent international Health Impact Fund would greatly reduce the risk of pandemics by guiding innovators to fully include the world's poor in an efficient global population-level strategy of disease eradication. In their pandemic preparedness treaty, states should agree at least to explore and pilot the Health Impact Fund.
none	Current protocols for pandemic preparedness and response should governed by a state or nation and not an international organization.

Organization	Written submission
Stowarzyszenie Świt	czas się kończy!!! W. J. Korab-Karpowicz W najbliższą środę o godzinie 17:00 Światowa Organizacja Zdrowia zakończy składanie pisemnych wniosków na pierwsze publiczne przesłuchanie w sprawie traktatu pandemicznego. Dotyczący to opracowania globalnej konwencji lub instrumentu międzynarodowego, który może poważnie ograniczyć suwerenność państw i naszą osobistą wolność. Jeśli plan WHO się powiedzie, zdobędzie uprawnienia do ogłaszania nieuzasadnionych pandemii, narzucania lockdownów, proponowania drogich, niebezpiecznych i nieskutecznych terapii oraz prowadzenia dezinformacji i wprowadzania cenzury. Dlatego pronuję aby każdy z nas skorzystał z prawa opinii i wysłał swój sprzeciw i uwagi na link poniżej. https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions Podaję proponowany tekst sprzeciwu po angielsku: Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Izabela Smoter
Philipp Kanjo	D • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Öffentlichkeit	Da die WHO eine Regierungsinstitution ist, nicht demokratisch gewählt und ohne Mandat des Volkes, darf sie keine die regionalen Regierungen bindende Bestimmungen formulieren. Die Gesetze etc. stehen über den Empfehlungen der WHO und dürfen keinesfalls durch ein Pandemiegesetz o. Ä. dominiert werden.
Private	Dear Madam/Sir, I'd like to provide my input to your request related to the intended WHO Pandemic Treaty. In my view National and local leadership Shouf retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. That means without limiting universal human rights as laid down in the Declaration of Universal Human Rights. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. With kind regards, Paul Gieles, PhD
Private	Dear Sir or Madam, I don't agree with the new instrument for the pandemic respons. I think that our Health system should not be dictated by global regulations and people should be free and able to respond in this issue without being forced in a direction and against their concience. We know that there Are at least doubts on the effectiveness of the vaccines so please let people make their own decision on how to react on the pandemic matter. Thank you very much.
keine	Dagegen!!!!!!!!!
DAI https://www.dai.com/	DAI submits the following recommendations: 1. Ensure a One Health Multisectoral coordination mechanism as the gold standard for preparedness and response • as a component of preparedness and response - whole of society approach and recognition of the integral role of local organization as an extension of National Action Plans (NAPs) • develop a one health framework for surveillance, early detection, and rapid data sharing in the prevention of emerging zoonoses • acknowledgement that One Health is not just animal and human health, but environment health as well. We cannot fully achieve pandemic preparedness and response if we don't consider each and their interactions/intersections 2. Strengthen the Humanitarian Development Nexus (HDN) • leveraging on existing humanitarian early warning systems and the humanitarian cluster systems to be more effectively connected to development programs • Institutionalizing resilience within country programming 3. Start with a Local System • Recognition of locally led programming that is strategic and intentional 4. Gender – Inclusion and equity among decision-making power by prioritizing representation and voice • include women – particularly women from the Global South – as 50% of global health security decision-making bodies and expert groups • provide health workers, most of whom are women, with safe and decent working conditions • adopt gender-responsive approaches to health security data collection/analysis and response management • fund women's movements and particularly, women's organizations in low- and middle-income countries, to unleash capacity to address critical issues in global health security Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to this important process.
KUK	Danke NEIN, NO THANK YOU Take care for your own health I take care for my health
Privat	Darin sollten die Garantien für die Souveränität der jeweiligen Staaten und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen verankert sein.
Private	Das Abkommen (new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response) soll für die Menschen beinhalten: Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl. Die Eigenverantwortung des Menschen/Individuums und seine Entscheidungsfreiheit sind die tragenden Elemente bei der Bewältigung aller Krisen, auch gesundheitlichen. Die Menschen haben in der Vergangenheit immer wieder bewiesen, dass sie diese Aufgabe, wenn es man es ihnen ermöglicht, mit Bravour meistern. Sie können auf Grund ihrer Erfahrungen und Intuition besser helfen als wenn sie nur nach strikten Vorschriften handeln müssen.
privat	Das Abkommen soll die Möglichkeit enthalten, dass das nationale Parlament jährlich beschließen muss, dem Abkommen beizutreten. Andernfalls endet das Abkommen mit der bezeichneten Nation automatisch. Damit behält das nationale Parlament jederzeit die volle Souveränität. The agreement should include the possibility that the national parliament must decide annually to accede to the agreement. Otherwise, the agreement with the designated nation ends automatically. This means that the national parliament retains full sovereignty at all times. El acuerdo debe incluir la posibilidad de que el Parlamento nacional decida anualmente adherirse al acuerdo. De lo contrario, el acuerdo con la nación designada termina automáticamente. Esto significa que el parlamento nacional conserva la plena soberanía en todo momento.
Privat	Das Abkommen sollte unbedingt die Säulen der Naturrechte und Bürgerrechte beinhalten. Der Mensch sollte zu Nichts gezwungen werden was seine Bürgerrechte sowie Grundrechte in Frage stellt. Des weiteren muss jedem Menschen erlaubt und garantiert werden freie Entscheidungen treffen zu dürfen ohne Nachteile zu erleiden. Darunter fällt vor allem das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit sowie Einhaltung des Nürnberger Kodex. Bitte setzen Sie sich dafür ein!
Privatperson	Das aushebeln der Verfassungen der Mitgliedstaaten darf auf keinen Fall möglich sein.
Keine	Das darf nicht passieren. Die Entscheidung muss bei den Mensch bleiben. Bin gegen die impfung. Mann sollte sich besser auf die impfschäden konzentrieren, und bekannt machen
Family	Das Gesetz ist gesetzeswidrig und abzulehnen. Selbst Bestimmung der Völker und jedes Einzelnen muss erhalten werden.
Hebamme	Das ist meine Antwort an die WHO An international instrument on pandemic preparedness must respect each person's rights and choices about their body, must provide help when desired and necessary, must give recommendations, but must in no case and in any form exercise coercion, either as a prohibition or as a command.
Privat	Das ist NICHT, worum IHR euch kümmern müsst/sollt!!!! Alle Staaten, Länder und Regionen sollen die Autonomie für Maßnahmen zur Abwehr von vermeintlichen oder tatsächlichen Gefahren behalten!!
Privat was denn noch?	Das Menschenrecht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit gilt immer und überall! Die WHO kann dieses Recht niemals und unter keinen Umständen abschaffen! Meine Selbstbestimmung umfasst auch meine Entscheidung, medizinische Massnahmen abzulehnen! Selbst im Falle einer Pandemie! Die WHO ist eine private Organisation und hat keinerlei Legitimation, über mein Leben zu entscheiden! Empfehlungen kann sie geben, aber niemals Befehle! Jeder Mensch ist frei geboren! Die WHO wurde von den Menschen nicht gewählt! Sie hat nichts zu befehlen und über niemandes Leben zu bestimmen! Der geplante Pandemievertrag darf nicht realisiert werden!
Privatperson	Das noch zu schaffende Instrument soll die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl beinhalten
Privat	Das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit muss unbedingt eingehalten werden, sowie das Recht auf Selbstbestimmung. Es dürfen keine experimentelle Versuche am Menschen durchgeführt werden. Die Menschen dürfen nicht zu Sklaven gemacht werden. Jeder der für eine Impfpflicht stimmt, muss dann auch persönlich für Impfschäden haften, genau müssen die verantwortlichen der Pharmaindustrie für ihre Produkte haften. Eine Pflicht zur Impfung ist Todesstrafe .
Privatperson	Das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit sollte immer gewahrt bleiben. Impfungen müssen freiwillig bleiben. Grundrechte dürfen nicht von einer Impfung abhängig gemacht werden.
Privat	Das Recht der Eigenstaatlichen Entscheidungen ist ein wichtiges Recht und darf auf keinen Fall überregional entschieden werden und ist somit ganz klar abzulehnen. In der EU ist es außerdem eine Wirtschaftliche Gemeinschaft und keine Soziale und Gesundheitliche Ein klare nein zu diesen Entwurf. Mt freundlichen Grüßen Rausch Alfred
Private	Das vorgeschlagene WHO-Abkommen ist unnötig und stellt eine Bedrohung der Souveränität und der unveräußerlichen Rechte dar. Er erweitert die erdrückende Macht der WHO, ungerechtfertigte Pandemien auszurufen, entmenschlichende Abriegelungen zu verhängen und teure, unsichere und unwirksame Behandlungen gegen den Willen der Menschen durchzusetzen.
Family	Das vorgeschlagene WHO-Abkommen ist unnötig und stellt eine direkte Bedrohung der Souveränität und der unveräußerlichen Rechte dar. Er erweitert die erdrückende Macht der WHO, ungerechtfertigte Pandemien auszurufen, entmenschlichende Abriegelungen zu verhängen und teure, unsichere und unwirksame Behandlungen gegen den Willen der Menschen durchzusetzen. Medizinische Behandlungen sind zu recht dem Nürnberger Kodex unterworfen. Menschenrechte sind unveräußerlich. Die Maßnahmen haben zu Armut, Hunger und Tod geführt, mehr Schaden am Leben angerichtet als es Leben gerettet hat.
Keine	Das wichtigste inhaltliche Instrument sollte die Selbstbestimmung eines jeden und die freiheitliche Entscheidung über den eigenen Körper sein! Der Auftrag der WHO sollte es sein, Menschen vor gesundheitlichem Machtmissbrauch und damit einhergehenden Lobbyismus und Kapitalismus zu schützen!!
Private	Data exchange between national health authorities. The WHO could propose data formats and standards to allow the timely sharing of all epidemiological data. This should be freely available, open source to allow as many eyes as possible to see it. Similarly data on interventions and their effectiveness or otherwise should be gathered by nations and shared. The WHO could propose and maintain standards for this and record it for future use. An open source library should be kept by the WHO, gathering all nations Pandemic Preparedness Plans if they have them, together with the results of all WHO funded research. This should be available to all and maintain a historical record allowing informed decisions to be made. This will increase trust in the WHO. The WHO should never again be dictate any actions to be taken, you've made too many mistakes and no one trusts you. Be open. Share raw data.
None	Data gathering from around the world in the event of a pandemic and quickly distributing this information worldwide, resulting in a quicker response and greater understanding of what actions are having a positive effect and which aren't. It's important that individual countries and their elected officials have the final say in how they respond and the WHO are present in a data gathering and sharing capacity. Citizens of every country need to be given truthful, unbiased information and advice without input from parties who stand to gain financially or otherwise from any decisions made, whilst still maintaining their rights to informed consent without mandates and upholding medical reasons for declining any resulting treatments. As the WHO say, the opinions and cooperation of the public are important to the overall health of the people and they should always be free to make their own decisions based on the information provided.
Mathews Law Firm	Data transparency is the only substantive element needed in such an agreement. That along with a "bill of rights" to include: * Free discourse with all such available relevant data * Freedom of bodily autonomy * Freedom of doctor patient privilege * Free from restrictions on the WHO list of essential medications * Respect for the sovereignty of all member nations and their citizens to the exclusion of anything else in such an agreement. WHO is not an authorized government entity. Never.
Runnescafe	De status van eine pandemie moet worden aangepast . bij een dodelijkheid van boven de 5 % gata een protocol in. Niet als het over de hele wereld gaat dat is met influenza nu ook elk jaar. dat netekent niet dat het een pandemie is . of waar je je zorgen om moet maken. een lockdown werkt niet en moet worden verboden. wel beschermen van kwetsbare Een toegangspas met verplichtingen eraan vast als vacinatie en test bewijs zijn niet werkend en moeten worden verboden. een vaccin moet ten allertijde een eigen keuze zijn. bij wet verbieden dat overheid dit toch verplicht stelt. WHO zet in op preventie, verlaging van btw op groente en fruit , op sport en beweging, verplicht gym les op alle opliedingen, suikertax who controleert of landen genoeg IC bedden hebben voor bevolking bij pandemie zetten we in op medicatie ipv vaccinatie Verbod op lobby praktijken binnen WHO en overheden van farmaceutische bedrijven.
Burger	De WHO mag in geen geval bepalen hoe individuele landen omgaan met een pandemie. De WHO is niet onafhankelijk en niet bevoegd om beleid te bepalen. De WHO moet de definitie van pandemie terug aanpassen, niet de besmettelijkheid maar mortaliteit weer leidend.
Retired	Dealing with pandemics must not be a "one size fits all" approach because in the rush to respond, major errors and harm may result - as I believe has been the most recent case. Human ingenuity to innovate solutions must be allowed - for the benefit of all. The right for personal, individual choice needs to be entrenched. Additionally, the following need to be included in an agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Human Race	Dear WHO The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is that they are Not binding. Countries should maintain their sovereignty in these matters. We already have International Health Regulations (IHR) (2005) that are binding on the World Health Organization (WHO) which it looks like you will be adding amendments, as well as an INB to bring in yet another treaty. Enough is enough especially when we watched in real time the flip flop handling of this pandemic from your end. Last thing we need is more bureaucracy. Share data and advice and leave it at that. We dont need a Global World Health dictating terms to the Worlds Nations. Regards Gail Hopps
None	Dear all who will read my submission, I want to make this absolutely clear, I am against this global pandemic agreement which will leads us into a trap. Decisions regarding individual health should be left to individuals to decide. Our national leaderships should keep full autonomy and should reserve the rights to make those decisions based on what is best to their people. Not all people are the same and the approach to healing or curing the disease is not something that could be universally defined. Each nation should be free to opt out any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit and without any consequence. The people of the world should vote on including so called failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where majority of people are against. All pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not be allowed to influence this process.
Privat Person	Dear all! this plans are against human rights, against the "Nürnberger Codex", against the freedom of people and therefore I am against forcing people to be vaccinated!! There is no pandemic reason for such a law! COVID-19 vaccine murders people as you can see on worldwide death rates. Young healthy athletes dies in an enormous number compared to times before vaccination!! Therefore I object to compulsory vaccination!!!
Privat	Dear all, · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law With friendly regards Irene Kapl
privat	Dear all, First of all thanks for your efforts in fighting against pandemics. As I am a so called "Lean Six Sigma Black Belt" I would appreciate concentration on facts and figures. And the message should be "let all known data speak" And that is the big point, who has the right to present the data? ... The one with the biggest power or the one with the biggest knowledge .... Of course it is not easy to make decisions on the basis of facts and figures which do not lead to the procedure someone has in mind, but that would meat appropriate 20th centuries requirements.
citizen	Dear all, I think it should include following 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. best regards Vážení myslím, že by mělo zahrnovat 1. Národní a místní vedení si ponechává plnou autonomii a vyhrazuje si právo rozhodovat na základě toho, co je nejlepší pro jejich vlastní lidi. 2. Schopnost národů a místních samospráv odstoupit od jakékoli a všech částí dohody, jak uznají za vhodné, bez následků. 3. Otevřený a transparentní proces s možností hlasovat pro všechny lidi na světě včetně bezpečných opatření, která zabrání uplatňování globální dohody tam, kde si to většina lidí nepřeje. 4. Opatření, která neumožňují ovlivňovat proces žádnými farmaceutickými společnostmi nebo jinými globálními zdravotnickými zisky.
Germany	Dear all, the final decision on any kind of measures shall remain at national authorities. Any kind of 3 or 2G rules (admittance only for vaccinated, recovered or tested persons) shall be illegal. Please consider human rights. You shall improve human rights instead and not limit them. Therefore national authorities and Individuals shall remain Independent. Kind regards
n/a	Dear all, WHO should provide only non-biding recommndations for countries to apply during pandemic. All decisions on country level should be taken on a local level by country itself following laws and statue of the individual country.
keine	Dear All, In my opinion mandatory vaccines should be forbidden because: Discriminatory measures should be prohibited an all measures must take full account of all health interests Also human rights, social and economic interests should be considered. During pandemic statel vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Kind Regards Doris Mayer
Private	Dear All, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Kind regards, A. Wimmer
	Dear all, - In my opinion your proposal is not sustainable and against other values and principles of the United Nations. - All World Instuments need to be democratic (elected & represented) - the WHO and the UN aren't. Non-democratic institutions are by consequence prone to power regimes, and corruption. Can you guarantee the world instrument is not misused by certain powers, can you guarantee it doesn't lead to authoritarian or totalitarian or technocratic regimes, nor similar regimes? - A global vision and collaboration is a plus, but only works (and is only respectful towards humanity) when there can be pluralism and when local culture and habits are respected. - Please don't confuse global collaboration with a 'taking over'. A taking over is always intrusive, and won't work (look at history) but only causes war. I want peace. - Crises are always complex and need to be treated this way. This means that all voices need to be heard, all stakeholdes, all opinons (also dissident voices). It also implicates there's no truth, and the problem of a crsis can't be solved. We can only moving along together. TOGETHER. Are you sure you can provide the necessary infrastructure, basic rules of participation, etc. Can you guaranty them? Are they in the treaty/instrument? - Can you prove you're independant and non-influenced in order to take this coordinating role? As democracy is at stake, are you prepared to complete transparancy? - World orders need wise men. Can you provide proof of wisdom? - The future will be build by all humanity, bottom up. Please don't inhibit this by sticking to old methods. - The future will respect right human relations and human free-will. Or there will be no future.
Penemat Ltd.	Dear All, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All contracts between governments and drug and vaccine companies to be made public before they are signed 6. Non-binding and voluntary nature of any medical interventions Best Regards D. Dobreva
None	Dear all, as far as preparation for Pandemics is concerned the efforts need to focus on strengthening the immune system by all possible means and to educate people on that, the rather than enforcing drastic measures afterwards. The treatment of each person on this planet needs to be accepted as a indivual choice. Mandates are the wrong path.
Citizen of UK	Dear All, As free individual I am strongly opposing to the proposed power grab of sovereignty of individual countries. WHO is privetly funded corporate structure and should never be allowed to set policies. The members of this organisation are not elected by the people therefore have no right to impose policies and restrictions to sovereign states. The underlying agenda envisions a world where WHO supersedes the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. Most disturb of all is the fact the WHO's sweeping treaty — is effectively being negotiated in secret. It is almost impossible for the the average individual to express their views and this is frankly disgusting as it is affecting that same individual health and rights. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program/protocol. We need people and communities in control of their own HEALTH. We do not need a ill structure that has lost its purpose to dictate upon individuals and states, especially when it is openly known that the same has no impartiality. I strongly oppose and urge anyone that has not lost their moral, ethical and critical thinking to do the same. Thank you.
Private citizen	Dear All, I am writing to reject the idea that the WHO have say over each state and each country. There are vast differences between each country, state and individual. Each person has their own genetics, healthy or unhealthy lifestyle and exposures. Each person has their own right to determine what is best for themselves and their children. No one governing agency should make that decision. Thank you. Susan
citizen of Poland	Dear All, Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Best regards, Malgorzata
Citizen	Dear all, It is essential that each Nation preserves the right to decide for itself which measures to impose in the case of a pandemic and how to control these measures. Only really dangerous illnesses are to be declared as a pandemic (see initial definition of pandemic, not the new version). The medical doctors have to be free to treat their patients in the way they consider it the best, with all available treatments, especially those that have been used for a long time. No exceptions are to be made in the control of newly designed treatments in comparison with the usual rules. The fundamental human rights have to be respected at any time and everywhere, especially the principle of free informed consent !!! Patents of new treatments have to be published for free access and the contents of the new treatments have to be controlled regularly by independant laboratories in every physical, chemical and medical aspect. The pharmacovigilance for vaccine side effects has to be adapted and reinforced to be able to detect rapidly if there are any problems with new products. Honesty has to prevail in all aspects of the pandemic management and the linked science, and not financial profit. Conflicts of interest are to be avoided by all means.
Naturopathic practice	Dear Assembly, world health legislation is an issue that affects millions of lives and needs to solely serve human interests. 1) As the WHO is not an body elected by the general public, every decisions taken with regard to public health ought not to have any binding character but should be defined as mere recommendation 2) It has to respect human natural law and the rights of people to decide what it going to happen to their bodies. 2) alle recommendations have to be based on the grounds of the Nuremberg codex of 1947 designed to maintain ethical principles with regards to medical treatment (i.e. informed consent to any experimental treatment) 3) Lobby control as to the constitution of a WHO assembly has to be strenghened in order to avoid WHO members acting on behalf of the pharmaceutical industry. Each candidate of the WHO assembly has to give information about possible conflict of interest and links to the pharmaceutical or other industries. 4) There has to be an control committee to check on forthcoming WHO decisions and inform the general public sufficient time in advance, so people can use their right to comment or intervene 5) Recommendations should aim at strenghening the human body by natural means (herbs, vitamins, nutrients, sports, sunshine, healthy lifestyle and psychological support) bevore any other suggestion. 6) None of the suggestions pronounced should interfere with human rights 7) None of the suggestions should interfere with national sovereignty 8) Suggestions or decisions acting against human law, national sovereignty, the Nuremberg Codex or other inviolable ethical principles should be taken to the International Court of Justice or other appropriate bodies possessing power to sanction.
Artsen Vereniging Integrale Geneeskunde	Dear colleague, In our vision the main measurements for the following pandemic are: - health promotion by lyfestyle (food, movement, stress-management and supplements if necessary) - specific advices for elderly paople and other people at risk - no lockdowns - freedom in medication and vaccination - use of complementary medicine (herbs, TCM and homeopathy)

Organization	Written submission
Veriditas Healthcare	Dear Colleagues at WHO: Thank you for the opportunity to comment on pandemic preparedness and response. The COVID-19 pandemic was a devastating experience for the world, but it was not wholly due to the virus. It is possible that more people died due to the totalitarian policies enacted by world governments which prevented the continuance of trade, active employment and human communication. Starvation, postponed medical care for terminal illnesses, a surge in mental illness including suicides (most tragically among younger populations), the rise in poverty and crime, etc., have likely killed or debilitated multiple millions more people than the COVID-19 virus itself because of lock-downs. I implore the WHO to preclude lock-down measures in future pandemics. Secondly, when COVID-19 was an unknown virus, physicians were forced to use whatever measures needed to save lives. When the pathogen is yet to be well-understood, a seasoned health practitioner can only use what tools they have available to do their job of restoring health to sick people. Physicians all over the world were able to help COVID-19 infected patients with various repurposed drugs that had been safely used for decades. Unfortunately, many of these safe drugs were being restricted from being used in the COVID-19 pandemic, causing the needless death of millions of people. I implore the WHO to preclude any restrictions on the use of safe, repurposed drugs by doctors and physicians for the treatment of unknown diseases in future pandemics. Finally, the COVID-19 pandemic affected nations differently. I implore the WHO to promote each region and country's right to secure natural law and civil rights like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice.
privat	Dear comission in my opinion there should not be any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. with regards, Susanne Marks
member of British public	Dear Comittee I wholeheartedly object to any concept of an international pandemic response directed by the WHO. I have absolutely no trust that its decisions are evidence based or in the public interest having followed its directives through the Covid pandemic. I insist that we reserve the right as sovereign citizens in our own country to locate decisions at the national level where our MPs can be held accountable. There are no circumstances in which it would be in the public interest to devolve these decisions to unelected bureacrats at the WHO. I hope I have made myself clear. Kind regards Henrietta lang BA Oxon. MSc Public Health. London.
None	Dear Commissioners, The elements I think should be included from the start of creating a pandemic preparation tool, A - the right for all countries or partners to choose freely. To either: 1) not to be in the treaty at all or 2) to choose not to remain in the treaty and 3) be able to exit at any time without explanation or delay and 4) to exit at any time without penalty. B - CITIZENS APPROVAL NOT GOVERNMENTAL APPROVAL I think it should involve the entire citizenry of potential partner groups. To vote, discuss, agree or debate on any planning, systems or consulting being done. Their should be much public approval given on things covering pandemic management or preparations. No one entity or group has rights to rules over the others. We've seen this too much already and created an immense loss of trust or respect for national and international leaders. It's important to not allow these temporarily voted-in leaders to assume they can make decisions on their entire population's behalf.
Private Citizen of the USA	Dear Committee Members, It is my conviction that every country needs to make pandemic related decisions independently. I firmly think that a single policy for the entire world is dangerous leading to individuals making mandatory decisions without knowing the circumstance of the individuals of the world, especially medical decisions. Any country that has individual states, such as the United States of America, must allow the local states the ability to make decisions for their local citizens with the input of those citizens. I also oppose any Global policy related to food, agriculture, textiles, trade, etc. Individuals must be free to make their own life-choice decisions for themselves and for their families. Jillene Turner
person	Dear Committee, please include all of my concerns stated below, National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. For Canada this includes Federal, Provincial and Municipal pandemic and emergency preparedness plans which already exist. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Margo Poklewska
Privat	Dear committee, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty With kind regards, Sophie Geymüller
Lima Community for Medical Freedom	Dear Committee, I am a Physician and stand against the WHO dictating health policy for sovereign nations. I support the following points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for reading my comments. Robert Neidich, MD, CPE
US Citizen	Dear Committee, The following elements should be included: A. Federal, State and Local leadership retain full autonomy. They reserve the right to to make decisions based upon what is best for their citizens. B. The ability of Federal, State and Local governments to opt out of any portions of the agreement without consequence. C. An open and transparent process that allows a vote by the citizens that will prevent the application of the agreement in places where the majority of citizens do not want it. D. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by Pharmaceutical Companies or any other Global Health Organization. Sincerely, David M. Dresden Newport Beach, California, USA
Savage Artists	Dear Committee, The profiteering from Covid vaccines is being enjoyed by some of the very people who fund your organisation. It is no longer a secret that your "health" recommendations come directly from those who fund you. Covid vaccines have been causing extraordinary numbers of side effects, injuries, and complications leading to deaths. Coupled with the lockdowns which have led to staggering levels of inflation, ill health, and economic catastrophe, the WHO has been complicit in its support of these eco-communist adventures. Never again should lockdowns or mandatory vaccines be recommended. Ever. Much of the global population will sooner destroy the WHO than allow you to destroy their lives. Mr Gates and the WEF should have no place doing business with the WHO. You have lost your authority.
Self	Dear Committee, The world is a large place with varied needs in every region. As such, a "one-size-fits all" policy is not appropriate. Please consider adding the following important guides into any agreement that may come about: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Global Citizen. Mark Corlett
Privat	Dear committee, such: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Thank you for your consideration, Sophie Geymüller
Self	Dear council, All the people of the world deserve to be free in their persons and health choices, no matter what is happening in the world around them. Each country has the right to be sovereign and govern it's own people according to their own will. There is no one-size or one-solution fits all world government that can conceivably address all the different needs of all the people of the world. As such, the idea of a one world instrument to pandemic preparedness is misguided. Every country has its own customs, traditions, practices and beliefs that cannot be discounted when it comes to handling crises. No matter the good intent of such a plan, it will invariably be counterproductive and cumbersome to the world to enact let alone measure any amount of success. Do not allow this to transpire. Let the people of the world live by self governance in accordance with their culture and local desires. Sincerely, A concerned human being
Women Eco Artists Dialog	Dear crafters of the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: Please include these substantive elements: ~Industrialized countries shall not impose their understanding of "infectious" disease and the transmission thereof onto other cultures that may have different understandings about how imbalance/ill health arises in the human body. ~ National and local leadership must retain the right to make decisions for their own people regardless of what has been dictated in this document. ~Any nation or local jurisdiction must be able to opt out of participation in parts of this agreement, without punishment or coercion. ~Pharmaceutical companies and wealthy individuals with a financial stake in the widespread implementation of vaccines must be prohibited from participation in the development or implementation of this instrument. ~If a particular nation signs on to the instrument but the people of that nation indicate they do not wish to participate, the people must retain the right to overrule their government's decision ~Individual freedom of choice regarding medical treatments shall be protected. No person shall be forced, coerced, or manipulated into receiving any medical treatment, or punished for refusal to receive it. ~Vaccine passports and vaccine mandates shall be prohibited given the high rates of suspicious death and disability occurring among the vaccinated. ~Include an open and transparent process with all people able to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people reject it. Thank you for incorporating these elements into your document.
Private	Dear delegates, There should be no new instrument for pandemic preparedness and response at all! If there will be pandemics in the future should not be evaluated and dictated by WHO.
Private	Dear descision makers of the World Health Organisation; · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. · All measures must take full account of all health interests. · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance, for the obvious reason there might be a conflict if interest. · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Sincerely yours, Gary Hermans
Sovereignty Society	Dear Fascists 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	Dear Fellow Citizens, It is important to protect the health and welfare of all peoples of the earth by protecting individual health sovereignty. There are many companies and organizations which seek to destroy and enslave individuals through the nefarious means of creating an emergency state through pandemics or otherwise. Any policies which compromise the God given sovereignty and responsibility that each person has over their body should be put down. Any policy that seeks to overturn this unalienable right is not in the best interest of human kind and will surely result in disaster. Any individuals, companies, national leaders, etc. that seek personal gain at the expense of citizens of the world's health freedom will be held accountable before God. Protect individual health freedom. Provide a means where situations can be accurately assessed using local authorities and citizens in determining proper measures. Listen to the collective wisdom and experience of the people of the earth. It is vast and deep. Surely, there is room for all. Are we not all part of this great human family with God as our creator? Thank you for your thoughtful consideration, Gretchen Durham
Concerned citizen of Earth	Dear Fellow Citizens, All local and national must retain full autonomy to do what is best for their people. Must be able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement. Measures do not allow for influence by pharmaceutical companies and/or global health providers. An open and transparent process for all peoples to vote to approve or reject measures as they see fit. Thank you
Human Being, Resident of Earth	Dear fellow human beings, This is what I believe should be included in a global pandemic agreement. Nations to uphold Natural law and civil rights pillars like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to disregard the global agreement in places where any of the people do not want it. Measures that prevent any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration and I will pray that wisdom, kindness and love for fellow human beings prevail in decisions being made. Kind regards, Susan Wilson
Christian Goldstraß	Dear fellows, we realy don't need any vaccin regulation! No mandats - no passport - no nothing! Please keep that in mind... Kind regards Christian Goldstraß/Germany
private citizen	Dear friends I submit that holistic health care modalities such as nutritional supplements, homeopathy, Ayurveda, Oriental Medicine, and any other holistic modality be equally available to all those who are wanting it, regardless of whether or not the powers that be including the pharmaceutical industry approve of it. In health and wellness
private citizen	Dear friends I submit that holistic health care modalities such as nutritional supplements, homeopathy, Ayurveda, Oriental Medicine, and any other holistic modality be equally available to all those who are wanting it, regardless of whether or not the powers that be including the pharmaceutical industry approve of it. In health and wellness
CIRCULAR WISDOM	Dear Friends , your work is appreciated. All people require healthcare during their life time. Good health care considers physical, emmotional wellbeing, mental health, healthy relationship with self, environment and other human beings. Lastly is importance of spiritual health. Indigenous peoples and Eastern medicine recognise these aspects of well being and provide effective remedies that are specific to patient and symptoms. Effective treatments address ,signs, symptoms and constitution of each patient. Education leading toward self care is one of the most effect ways to manage public health. Providing information that is clear and simple with accessible ,easy to do methods of self care for general and specific conditions. Public can be trained to take responsibility for their health by helping, informing and educating each other. Prevention by injection for covid assumes that the patients it is administered to are all the same. There are simple cost effective methods to prevent and if needed to treat early stages of covid and other diseases long before they become life threatening and require hospitalization. This type of education cuts costs and increases funds available for hospitals and frees medical professionals to attend to serious and life threatening conditions. Covid is not life threatening. There are many available products to address covid symptoms before increased viral load generates serious symptoms. A one size fits all is not sane and sensible treatment. With TB or Polio one injection of a proven vaccine is proven to be sane and sensible. Covid vaccine has not proven to be effective. Side effects from Pzfiser injection cause, stroke, death, myo-carditis, immune disorders and many more.
N/A	Dear friends, I believe that each state in the United States and each country should have the ability to determine what their policies will be for any future pandemic. One policy for the whole world is not logical and could be very harmful to people in different parts of the world. In short, I did not believe this new Health Plan by The WHO is a good idea and it should be rejected. Sincerely yours, David Warren

Capital Caring Hospice and Palliative Care	Dear Friends,
	  WHO has done incredible work to alleviate the effects of poverty in developing nations. This helps them economically but also especially helps their public health. However, the policy of viral containment adopted mainly in industrialized nations, and in the middle and upper class of developing nations, caused harm, and failed to contain the virus. I have been a hospice physician for the past 18 years and I continued to see patients in private homes and long-term care facilities throughout the pandemic. The policies of social isolation and solitary confinement of people who tested positive made them worse and made them die faster. The vast majority of excess deaths would have been avoided if people had gotten normal high quality care instead of understaffing, reduced caregiving, social isolation, and healthcare disruption. Instead of viral containment, the best pandemic response is to focus on true public health including sustainable economic health, encouraging social support, and increased caregiving for people who are ill.
	  Vaccines can be an important part of this plan, but as voluntary choices, not mandates. The vaccine policy not only failed to contain spread, it even failed to reduce spread of the virus, making mandates unethical and unlawful.
	Sincerely,
	Matt Irwin, M.D.

General Public	Dear friends, I am vehemently opposed to removing health related decisions from individuals at any time even, and especially, in the time of an emergency. I DO NOT support centralized worldwide government in any form, including the proposed abdication of local authority to the WHO for emergency healthcare guidelines. I believe this is dangerous and furthermore, it sidesteps our country's basic laws and structure. All healthcare decisions need to stay as local as possible, even in the case of an emergency. Please do not proceed with any kind of hand-off of sovereign authority to an unelected body such as the WHO.
Privat	Dear health agents, As the health problems are locally very different, I don't agree to a treaty that will leave all countries no choice but doing the same. Every country should have the possibility to decide by his voted politicians what to do. Please change this to an option and not a must! Kind regards F. Beck-Petersen
	Dear Health Minister I Amelia Davids hereby objects to the proposed amendment and to forced vaccination it is unconstitutional and against our rights No to National Health act and Mandatory Vaccination Kind regards Amelia Davids
none	Dear hearing members, Mandatory vaccines shouldnt be in any way allowed. Mandatory vaccines are a given thread for public health and therefor the decision have to stay at the individuals. You could realize how dangerous such actions are during the last years when the fabric of society was damaged with such unresponsible actions in many countries. Therefor discriminatory measures have to be forbidden. Another problem is the influence of private companies. Neither released thos companies their patents (so that poor countries havent access to the vaccines - which resulted in many variants) nor i should it be allowed that private companies should have any influence at all on a organization within the United Nations. Kind regards, Peter Kadlec
FOUR PAWS International	Dear Honorable WHO secretariat and your Excellencies, the Representative of the Member States: It is of utmost importance to emphasize the importance of Prevention. In the guiding question, there is not strong enough language that brings attention on tackling the root causes of zoonotic diseases. There need to be language that helps encapsulated the consideration for the various ways communities need to source their diets, from farming or from the environment, to provide food security and food sovereignty. For us to be able to protect the most vulnerable populations in the world; food systems, agriculture, the environment and livelihoods need to be included in the consideration of an holistic strategy through the one health approach to properly address future pandemics. Thank you.
Citizen of the world	Dear human reading this, firstly thank you for you work, I appreciate you. I'm choosing to participate in this because the well being and health of every individual in this world is important to me. And there are things we must remember. Every country is different, their climates, their flora and fauna, their terrain, their people. Breaking it down even further, even within one country people are in different environments. Some by the ocean, some in the mountains, some in desserts and overs in cities. They are not the same. Within one town there are differences, every one of us has individual needs. Yes there are common threads that link us all and yes there are a lot of ways in which we are exactly the same. However our health is individual, our mental and physical states are too. We are not the same and there is no one size fits all. WHO is a body of knowledge and as such its role is to present the facts. All of them in their entirety and total transparency. Each country must make their own chooses, each individual their own again. Preparedness means being aware and gathering that knowledge. Response is down to each individual when that knowledge is presented. Our diversity is how we have survived, it's what's needed for us to flourish and we must have it to thrive. This must be remembered. Sincerely, Sacha
iska p. gschaider	Dear Humans! · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Thank you for your consideration. iska p. gschaider
private	Dear Humans! ·I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Thank your for your consideration.
Office of Publicity Engagement and Participation	Dear Initiators, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! The public must be included substantially in such a process, also on the level of regions. Best regards Bertram Meusburger
privat	Dear Komission,think we don't need it,about Things getting better in every years bevor.We are all free Nations.Thank you for Listining.
-/-	dear Ladies & Gentlemen, pls understand tht i am AGAINST any contract which would be binding our duly elected governments to carry out rules, measures etc which are issued/imposed by a body which has not been elected by the people, like the WHO f.i. our elected governments must remain and be the ones to decide! st reith

Organization	Written submission
private individual	Dear ladies and gentelmen, - as a pandemic has different aspects in different countries and as the culture and therefor response to measures is significantly different country by country in my opinion it will be absolutely crucial to respect the sovereignty of each country and each individual! - there must be guarantees that human rights will be respected first and foremost! - all parties with the right to decide about measures taken, contracts made with pharmaceutical industry etc. must be free of any conflict of interest to avoid missmangement, fraud, betrayal and misstrust on country level! - the WHO should never accept that pharmaceutical industry will not be responsible for any damage occuring out of their products to make sure the products will be tested and produced with highest possible standard to protect human health
privat	Dear Ladies and Gentemen, I am a female person with a handicap. Self-determination is not a privilege, is a basic right. Particulary for disabled people. Stop the treaty! Best regards Mareke Wübbens
private	Dear ladies and gentleman! Discriminatory measures should be prohibited! Best regards!
Private person	Dear Ladies and Gentleman! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Yours sincerely, Martina Neuhauser
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	Dear Ladies and Gentleman! Please listen and take care of this des points: - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states -All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Yours sincerly Andrea Hauser
Private	Dear ladies and gentleman! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Best regards
Private	Dear Ladies and Gentleman, in my Opinion with Pandemic prepardness and infact prevention in general in terms of global health you should Start at the roots of Health issues and Not just try to cure the symptoms. Your global agenda should include increase of Vit D Intake, because we all know That Theres a lack of it and That leads to immune system weakness. You should put the same effort for vaccine advertising in advertising of reducing body weight by a Healthy lifestyle, provide people with healthy food and much More education about whats healthy and make access to it easier by lowering prices. Reduce Fastfood and Fastfood companies. Give Governments Advice about Supporting and subventing local Farmers by providing people with good nutrition and stop Supporting cheap Mass production. And the Most important Thing: stop taking Money from global players and pharma industries to work in their favours. Your Mission should be to do whats best for the Health of people all over the Planet and Not whats best for the Health of Pharma industries and co. Kind Regards
private	Dear ladies and gentleman, mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Best regards
private - non organization	Dear ladies and gentleman, the global pandemic strategy treaty is unnecessary and threatens the sovereignty and inalienable rights of each country or human being. Local and national leaderships are elected by the people, they should retain full autonomy as well as having the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. A single organization should not decide for billions of people. Also measures should be tranparent and do not allow for influence in the process by any or pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
DRK	Dear Ladies and Gentleman, I believe, that we do not need a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.If we want to live in a peacefull Europe, every Nation needs to be independant and able to manage their own problems. Lg Schmidt
private person	Dear Ladies and Gentleman, I think there shouldn't be any substantive element be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response because there shouldn't be any international instrument for pandemic preparedness and responde at all! An international general valid and used instrument applied by a non-democratic elected organization would obstruct the national self-determination of every country in their existing situations within their countries. This kind of instrument couldn'd be influenced anymore by any country/country governement - in detail: its people. It would be superimposed by a not democratic valided organization that could decide discretionary over the future of millions of people without their consent. There is too much potential for this kind of instrument to be abused to reign over everybody's destiny. So I say insistently: there shoulnd't be any international instrument introduced by the WHO at all! Its way more important for every country to react for itself according to the countries own geografical need and cultural costumes and traditions especially in medical treatment. Diversity and exchange of kownledge between countries and organizations in this case is more important than a general international treatment.
Stopt den Who Pandemivertrag	Dear Ladies and Gentlemans, Please do not make a pandemic treaty that does not take into account the International Constitution. Thank you.
Private	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen of the WHO and associated organizations, please abstain from trying to implement procedures and global governmentalal elements. It is not the way the WHO should develop itself. The WHO was always working perfectly as a recommending body with lots of reasonable guidelines for all affiliated countries and their governments. You shall not act like a global governmental body, that doesn't suit you. Why so? As a citizen of Switzerland, I will oppose strongly against such external forces, as many will. I believe that the Covid-19 pandemic could have been managed in a better way, with less rigid and strict rulings. We have to find the way back to open debates, and facts, even in times of great insecurities. Every opinion must be heard. It cannot be that we as humanity sacrifice freedom and prosperity for short sighted policies and centralized controls. It's simply very scary. And fear is alway the worst consultant that one has. That's why such a global and centralized instrument shall not be implemented, not today and never in the future.
private person	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests . All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process . As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance . All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Thank you .
Citizen	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen As an Austrian citizen, I would like to comment on the efforts and negotiations of the WHO and its member states to conclude an international pandemic treaty to prevent future pandemics. As a normal citizen, I do not wish to comment on the content of the matter, as I simply lack the scientific and political competence to do so. The lack of competence is not a problem for me as a citizen, because we have our democratic political system in which our elected representatives have to conduct this discourse and make decisions in parliament to the best of their knowledge and belief for the good of society. But that is exactly the crucial point, this discourse does not take place in parliament or in public. On the one hand, this non-transparent procedure does not create trust, and on the other hand, it is not at all justifiable in terms of democratic policy, if not outright anti-democratic. Especially when decisive competencies are placed in the hands of a supranational organization and the autonomy of the member states is thus severely curtailed or even abolished in some aspects. As you can see, I am personally massively concerned about the lack of public debate and a transparent procedure and as long as this is not the case, I do not want to and cannot agree to this project or I would like to speak out strongly against it. Thank you very much. Guenther Schrammel
Mitglied Freiämter Ärzte	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen I wouldn' t accept that kind of Treaty, because were is a great ability that someone could abuse those Informations for other aktivities. Also should be there a clearly definated Number of States (majority) in the Leadingparty, so that there are no possibilities for privat or financal interests to manipulate decisions. Greetings Hartmut Bauer
DIEBASID	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen To successfully respond to any future pandemic it is necessary to develop medicines to treat the disease. Some medicines already exist, like Ivermectin. Prof. Arber in Israel has already developed a spray to successfully treat the cytokine storm which may occur during a Covid disease in the worst case. M RNA vaccines must be banned because of the severe adverse effects that have occurred very frequently already. In addition, it is assumed that mRNA vaccines have prolonged the Covid pandemic. Hygiene is essential to avoid the spread of any future pandemic. Lockdowns have turned out to have a very negative effect on the population, both on their health and the economic situation if the respective country. The prerequisites to proclaim a pandemic must be changed. The amount of deaths with reference to any further disease must be the reason for this measure. PCR tests must only be used on persons with symptoms. Panic must be prevented because this harms the immunological system of humans.
Therapie	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen When designing a pandemic treaty: I call for compliance with guarantees for the sovereignty of each individual member state and individual, respect for human rights, and the legal liability of each individual government official and pharmaceutical company. regards, K.L.
fitallegra	Dear ladies and gentlemen I believe that each country should decide for itself what is good for its citizens and there is no need for a superior body to do this for the whole world. Freedom is the highest good of the world and it is in the interest of all of us to protect it and not to restrict it under the guise of health. Here is what I stand for, in behalf of myself, my family and our all's future: - national and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Everyone should and must at all times decide for themselves what is good for their body. Thank you for taking humanity seriously, for acting for the highest good for all of us, and for not compromising humanity's freedom. Sincerely Annatina Schorno
Free Artist	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen The WHO is a powerful and important global organisation. In the event of future pandemics, it should be able to issue non-binding recommendations. These recommendations should take into account all aspects of physical and mental health, as well as social well-being. In the future, every person in the world should be able to decide for themselves which medicines and vaccinations they want to take. This decision must be possible freely and without coercion. It is also important that the individual countries remain democratic and independent and can thus find the best solutions for their societies. With best regards
private	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden without any doubt!
"general public"	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen! I demand guarantees for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability for government representatives and/or pharmaceutical companies. Furthermore, the non-negotiability of bodily inviolability must not be touched. Yours sincerely, G.N.Gruber
None, privat.	Dear ladies and gentlemen! Stop developing the contract. We are free people, have the rights to live in harmony with nature and human kind. It is important to make our own choices for ourselves. No one should have the rights to tell us what to do as WE are all caring and sensitive people to humankind and nature. God gave us the possibility to choose all aspects As our right for our lives. You have to make decissions for us completely transparent. To serve and help us. To let US, you make Plans for, decide. Yours sincerely S Robbe
"general public"	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen! I demand guarantees for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability for government representatives and/or pharmaceutical companies. Furthermore, the non-negotiability of bodily inviolability must not be touched. Yours sincerely, Heike Speiser
Privatperson	dear ladies and gentlemen, · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states Best regards Beate Blum
-	Dear ladies and gentlemen, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty ! Yours sincerely
general practitioner	 My statement : · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a possible pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic stat Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - very important - human rights !! so in conclusion - I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Expert for Public Health	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Therefore I strongly against an international pandemic treaty. Sincerely, Mario Lackner
private person	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, I consider these aspects to be fundamental: Law and behavior of people in a pandemic must not be enacted by a state or higher organization. Every human being has the right to self-determination. Every treatments is the decision of each individual. A check on a vaccination or recovery status is to be rejected. It does not correspond to equal treatment if access bans to the workplace or entry bans are issued and controlled as a result. Human rights must be garanted without exceptions. Everyone must have the right to make decisions about their own health. No state or organization is allowed to make regulations here, be it through bans or laws. Guarantee of freedom of information self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence, especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines The integrity of personal health and selfdetermination of individuals must be guaranteed. The sovereignties of the states must be guaranteed, even in times of a pandemic Thank you and best regards
A major and well-informed citizen	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, we do not need any new international instrument on preparedness and response! The WHO is not a democratically legitimised institution, i.e. all decisions have to be taken at national level as before. I therefore call for your sincere responsible attention to this fundamental condition for acceptance: the sovereignty of each nation state and each individual MUST be guaranteed, human rights HAVE to be respected, governments and pharma industry MUST be legally liable for damages from medical and non-medical interventions! Furthermore, some points need to be changed in the existing statutes 1. An independent (!) commission needs to be established, consisting of all sectors of public life: epidemiologists, infectiologists, virologists, but also paediatricians, psychologists, sociologists, etc. Ask Anders Tegnell about the possible composition of such a commission - he will know best. 2) The definitions of what constitutes a pandemic must return to the pre-Corona status. 3. the definition of herd immunity needs to be changed again to include not only vaccination but also contamination of the population by the virus. 4. in addition to vaccines, the administration of approved medicines must be used to combat the virus. Regarding SARS-CoV-2, I mention ivermectin, hydroxychloroquine, fluvoxamine. There are many others. Another important component in pandemic control must be the administration of nutritional supplements such as vitamin D, zinc, melatonin, quercetin etc. With my kindest regards
privat	 Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, dear folks! Please stay although as a huge organisation at the bottom! Accept national rights at first ! Don't panic, we make it together but in good version. Avoid to earn money from pharmacy-people and do their ideas on humans like animals. · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Kind regards, Sylvia Elias
Privatperson	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, please stop this plan! - National health systems should never be monopolized! - This treaty would be a direct threat to a nation's sovereignty to make decisions for itself and its citizens and would erode democracy. Not only would the treaty empower the WHO to mandate COVID jabs and vaccine passports globally, it could potentially also expand the WHO's power to dictate all health care policy worldwide. The treaty would also give the WHO the power to censor health information worldwide. When people are harmed by the WHO's health policies, there's no accountability because the WHO has diplomatic immunity. This would mean to much power over peoples sovereignty worldwide in the hands of a few. That is dangerous and contrary to a free society.
private	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. Tha
Private	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should ensure, that Mandatory vaccination is completely forbidden and that discriminating measures to rise vaccination are prohibited. Therefore WHO should only be in the position to give advices. All measures have to beware human rights. In the last 2 years at least in my country Austria, this was not guaranteed, I was shocked about the dicrimination of not vaccinated people and about the handling and separating of the infected persons. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law, in no way. Every country has to be sovereign, otherwise WHO would make itself noncredible. Best regards, Harald Professner
	 Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should ensure, that Mandatory vaccination is completely forbidden and that discriminating measures to rise vaccination are prohibited. WHO should only be in the position to give advices. All measures have to beware human rights. In the last 2 years at least in my country Austria, this was not guaranteed, I was shocked about the dicrimination of not vaccinated people and about the handling and separating of the infected persons. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law, in no way. Every country has to be sovereign, otherwise WHO would make itself noncredible. Best regards Christiane Professner Austria
---	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, After all, we still want to have healthy and efficient inhabitants after the pandemic, as well as financially efficient economies. In all efforts, the natural needs of children and old and sick people must be taken into account. This includes open schools and kindergartens and the pursuit of children's hobbies, as well as contact of the old and sick with healthy relatives and friends. Moreover, those responsible must ensure cohesion among the people, not a division of society. In all measures, economic efficiency must be taken into account and the measures must be sustainable in the long run. Therefore, I am in favour of as few measures as possible that can be implemented in the long term and that do not harm the weak in the people.
Vogel-Millonigg, Versicherungsagentur	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, All instruments must respect priority of democratic national decisions and regional law. Human rights must be granted without exceptions. Freedom, integrity of personal health and self-determination of individuals must not be restricted, especially by any organization not authorized democratically, for example WHO. Liability of WHO, governments and companies must remain granted. All scientific facts and objections must be considered in order to prevent any harm of individuals. Treatments or injections must require regular approval and in-depth assessment. With best regards G.Vogel-Millonigg, MA

Organization	Written submission
Rutgers University 1985-90	Dear Ladies and gentlemen, having followed the so-called pandemic over the past two years, I am thoroughly convinced that fraud is en jeu. Big Pharma tries to improve their business, the people are told it be for their health's benefit. But they are mislead by a huge and very corrupted propaganda machinery. Please ask honest doctors like Robert Malone, Peter McCullough, Michael Yeadon, Sucharit Bhakdi or Wolfgang Wodarg, before you move ahead with any kind of pandemic policy. Sincerely yours, Dr. J. A. Petersen
No organization	Dear ladies and gentlemen, I am not in facour of a general, international, mandatory pandemic treaty due to the following eight considerations: • Any potential discriminatory measures should be excluded and therefore prohibited • All measures must consider all health interests and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in a transparent manner and they have to undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, any private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance in order to be as neutral as possible and to avoid any influence • Critical voices must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Thanks for taking my considerations fully into account!
Privat	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, I don't need much words. I do NOT agree with your plan for global digitalization and faster permission from vaccines. This all is not in my interest. As long as we live in democracy, this should be decided by all people in every country, NOT from a few politicians. My body - my choice, this is the only way in an real democracy!!
private	Dear ladies and gentlemen, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. And let me say that I am heavily concerned that the funding of the WHO is provided by pharmacy companies, who primarily benefit from a pandemic. Sincerely, Martin Gleiss
Private person	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, I generally oppose the idea of having an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It is the individual alone who is responsible for his/her behaviour during a pandemic. The WHO or governments as such should offer guidelines on how to manage a pandemic, there should not be ANY form of enforced laws. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. It is against fundamental freedoms of any human being to be forced to WHO mandated regulations. In addition mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures of non compliance should be prohibited. If vaccination is available, it has to be each individuals choice to get vaccinated or not. If one decides to do so, liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing tranparent manner, and undergo a peer-review in public. The public should not be excluded from being within the process of decision making or reduced to giving his opinion on mandates AFTER a decision has been made. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Kind regards, Katrin Harreither
none	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, I, Raphaela Ryslavy, request nothing less than the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies. Therefore the only treaty government officials should sign has to prohibit any international authorities and interest groups to control the lives of people. The national epidemic laws have to be reset to the state of 2019 and the measures be evaluated (impact on individual and public health, society, economy). Please consider, if your decisions lead to a world you would love for your children to live in.
Rockwell Risk Advisors, LLC	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, If not crafted with very careful attention to the preservation and safeguarding of human rights, the proposed new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response may serve to erode two key universally established principles: 1) the sovereignty of independent nations, and 2) the rights and liberties of individuals within those nations. To obviate that outcome, the proposed agreement must contain the following provisions: 1. National, regional and local governments must retain full autonomy with respect to the agreement, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. National, regional and and local governments must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. All peoples of the world must retain the right to vote, in an open and transparent process, on including failsafe measures to prevent the application of said agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.The agreement must contain measures that prohibit any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profit-making enterprises in the field of health from exerting influence in the process of its design and ratification. Thank you for your attention. Sincerely, Peter G. Laurens Founder & CEO Rockwell Risk Advisors 45 Rockefeller Plaza, Suite 2000, New York, NY 10111 United States of America
privat	Dear ladies and gentlemen, Mandatory vaccines are not always the best solution and NOT the best for everyone. So in my opinion should mandatory vaccines be forbidden. and it's absolutely uncorrect that someone earns money with it. Corona was / is not an easy time but the discrimination measures we had / have must be prohibited. We have now 2 classes, unvaccinated persons are standing in front of shops or restaurants and are treated in this case like animals. Stopp it! We want to live together, like in former days. best regards Susanne Kohlberger
Privat	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, mandatory vaccine should bei vorbidden all over the World! Pandemic treaty must not take presidance over national constitutional law! I generally oppose an international, madatory pandemic treaty! Pleased rethink your plan!!!! Greetings, Holzer
Osteopathie Salzkammergut	Dear ladies and gentlemen, Preparation for future pandemics are very important and essential for our survival. Nevertheless there can't be a solution that suits EVERY country. A plan for a pandemic like covid-19 has to be individual since health systemes and peoples health status are totally different from country to country. Mandatory vaccines - especially with experimental vaccines - can never be the only solution (as we were able to see during covid 19!). All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests.
Hauptstraße 14	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included in any contract of the WHO's members on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for the inalienable human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. With best regards A.Szillat
General Public	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included in any contract of the WHO's members on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for the inalienable human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. With best regards Elena
[Private]	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. Best regards, Dr. Christof Schalhorn
no	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Die folgenden Elemente sollten enthalten sein: 1. Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Individuums; 2. Achtung der Menschenrechte; 3. Garantie für einen offenen wissenschaftlichen Diskurs, insbesondere über die Entstehung und Gefährlichkeit einer potentiellen Krankheit, ihre Teststrategie und ihre Therapie; 4. Gewährleistung der Freiheit der informationellen Selbstbestimmung, Überprüfung von Informationsmonopolen und möglicher Zensur; 5. Gewährleistung von Transparenz über finanzielle und politische Interessen und Einflussnahme, insbesondere bei der Entwicklung und Beschaffung von Tests, Medikamenten und Impfstoffen; 6. Garantien für die vor der Covid-Pandemie geltenden regulatorischen Produktstandards 7. Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und / oder Pharmaunternehmen. 8. Unabhängige Bewertung / unabhängiger Prüfer jeder Maßnahme.
privat	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
Non	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship
German	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
Zukunftslabor eV.	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
GWL - Gmuender Wissenschaftslektorat	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. 9. No mandatory vaccination
private	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
non	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. We need no WHO, its a crime!!!!! No vaccine mandatory, no slavery!!!!
1981	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. I SAY NO
Stadtapotheke	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
Nothing	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
Keine	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
IT	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. Cincerely
None	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
Private	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent
privat	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
Arbeiter Samariter Bund	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
ASB	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
Citizen of the Federal republic of Germany	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
private	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
Law	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. 9. Ensuring the physical self-determination of each individual. 10. Ensuring liability for damage resulting from the recommendation of medical treatments by organizations such as the WHO, pharmaceutical companies, health ministries and the like
keine	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, the following points must be included: 1. guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. respect for human rights; 3. guarantee for an open scientific discourse, especially about the origin and dangerousness of a potential disease, its testing strategy and its therapy; 4. guaranteeing freedom of informational self-determination, checking information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. ensuring transparency about financial and political interests and influence, especially in the development and procurement of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. guarantees of pre-Covid pandemic regulatory product standards; 7. legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies; 8. independent evaluation of each measure by independent investigators; 9. approval of alternative / naturopathic medicine; And, I am generally opposed to an international, binding pandemic agreement! This is an absolute NO-GO!
Private citizen	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, This last pandemic has been very revealing in many ways, some nations doing particularly well and others poorly. That being said I would rather continue as we are. I do not want an international organization in charge of responses across the globe. I want each country to respond as they see fit with input from their own health organizations, physicians and citizens. They will have to live with their decisions good or ill. This is a National problem, not a global problem. Yes, as we have seen air travel makes it global. Look at New Zealand completely locked down until vaccines were available, now look at their Covid rates and death toll. What a sad joke. I want my own country, good or bad in charge of our health problems.

Organization	Written submission
Team Menschenrechte	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, we don't need any pandemic preparedness controlled by the WHO. Let the countries ant the people decide by themselves what to do! Best regards, Michaela Amedick
no	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, Basically I think the WHO and their "pandemic contract" ist unnecessary. Only thanks to your support this long-planned project could take place. You have disregarded basic human rights. Huge sums of tax revenues have been transfered to the pharmaceutical industry. And you helped to divide our society. So please stop any further activities in this direction! Thank you - Irma Mages
privat	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, I am clearly against any mandatory vaccination programm on WHO recommendation. Each single individual must decide wether to be vaccinated or not. This is our law now and this legal contract must be held. The WHO is not entitled by the people to create a new health-law. I oppose to emergency law constructs in regard of common health. Yours, Bärbel Manske
private person	Dear ladies and gentlemen, I do not wish to hand over powers from our democratic system to a system out of democratic control like the WHO and firmly advocate that questions of health continue to be decided in the democraticly elected national governments with their local knowhows and responsibilities. Sincerely Theresia Festner
Privat Person	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Best regards Nadja Windhofer
text	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, I would like to politely request you to create an agreement in which you consider the following points: decide for the people the pillars of natural law civil rights such as autonomy Transparency and freedom of choice Sincerely Anne Kramer
Privat Person, Occupational Therapist, 25 Years Experience in Phytotherapie	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, I write here as a privat person, even if this seems irrelevant. But I do it anyway, because any organization on this planet is formed by individual humans. I have two points to contribute to the topic: 1) There is a great wish of lots of people all around the world for a cooperation between "official" medicine and a nature orientated approach, as many surveys show. The WHO should not ignore that, and go for a wise synergy of these two approaches.This would give better results and a high compliance. Why don´t we use evidence based natural treatments for prevention and therapy? Hand in hand with all the benefits of academic medicin? It seems there is huge preponderance of the WHO emphazising pharma-industrialized products. In my surrounding a lot of people are deeply concerned about the tendency to digitalize medical treatments, as f.i. published in a paper "Global Healthcare Outlook " in Feb. 2022 by Stefanie Allen, Deloitte. Do we really want to delegate our healthcare to big data and live with implanted chips measuring all the data of our bodies? This sounds like a scaring dystopy. We are human, we are nature, with all the limitations we might have. 2) As the work of the WHO is so important, there should be a current, wide open and easily accessible platform for discussing descisions of WHO. I am still stunned by the fact, that we wouldn´t have had a pandemic situation the two last years, if the WHO wouldn´t have lowered the amount of positive tested people, to proclaim a pandemic situation. Nations should never loose the right to adapt the recommendations of WHO individually. Or there should be a democratic process of electing the members of the WHO panel. Sincerely, H.J. Hornung
none	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, In this treaty, national and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The treaty must provide the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Please make sure there is an open and transparent process including the ability for all people of the world to vote for failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Please define and insure Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best regards, David Hilt
Private Person	Dear ladies and gentlemen, Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests, Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding, Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. For me it's important, that pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! Mag. Maria Spielmann
citizen of Germany	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, Please stop this treaty. It only serves to install a world government, financed by private investors. There is no democratic legitimacy for this. You are not democratically elected representatives of the peoples of the world either. Yours sincerely
German Citizen	 Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, prepareness is always good, but please respect each single state and their sovereignty. It's not necessary to respond everywhere in the world with the same measures!!! Please also consider the legal liability of all government officials and pharmaceutical companies in context of such an international instrument - it shouldn't be a letter of authorization for them as well as for the WHO!!! The last and most important point is that also with such an instrument, respect for human rights should be binding at all times and in all places!!!! Kind regards, Sven Kirsten
nothing	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, Stop robbing people of their health in order to make the pharmaceutical industry richer. What you are doing here has nothing to do with humanity and health. Stop it!!!!
General Public	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 7. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
private Person	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen; Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law In the big hope that there will be a change in the way of thinking which would lead to respect and appreciation of the souverainity of the individual person I remain with Kind regards! Margit Hirschbichler
privat	Dear ladies and gentlemens! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Please think about what are you really doing. Think carefully about your decisions and your laws. Don't wipe out humanity. Best regards from Austria Angelika Konzett
Inhabitant of Germany	Dear Ladies and Sirs, Keep State souverainity! Keep souverainity of individuals! Please!
private	 Dear ladies and sirs, needless to say, an effort to respond to future pandemics may be noble. I still doubt any one-size-fits-all solution to any global problem. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people! This is what it all should be about. Crucial to that is -of course- the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I demand an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers should never become the decision makers - they naturally cannot be neutral and will always try to influence the public. With my best regards, JF
private	Dear ladies, dear gentlemen! I'm an EU citizen and I've learned that the WHO is introducing a pandemic treaty that could potentially overturn laws that protect our fundamental rights and liberties. After two years of the pandemic, we saw that people's health played less of a role than the interests of large pharmaceutical companies and individual profiteers. Even in times of pandemics, human rights, equality and tolerance must apply. ALWAYS! Everyone must and is allowed to decide for themselves what they inflict on their body. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Best regards Michaela Gomez
Private	Dear Ladies, Gentlemen and everybody else, It is imperative that WHO funding will solely be provided by member states of the UN and not by „private" donations, to prevent excessive influence of companies that are acting in their own interest rather than following the goal of global human well-being! All decision-making must be done on a broad basis. Critical voices in particular have to be included in the decision-making body. Liability for vaccines or medicines should lay with the patent holder or the subscribing party and not be shifted to member states. Kind Regards Börge Heidinger
-	Dear ladiesand gentleman! All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Best regards Elke Pink
privat	Dear lady and sir, National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Priority should have to enable people to take responsibility for their own health The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. Beside a green deal we need a health deal, in which the health and dignity of every single women and man is the central starting point for every further step, and not the interests of big pharma and big data.
World Council for Health	Dear Lady and Sir, A world wide treaty in the intended shape must under any conditions -including pandemic- ensure 1. national sovereignty and constitution of each member 2. human rights as defined in the UN Charta 3. no requirements for digital health- or vaccination documents are met 4. no such documents whatsoever in digital or written form are required for international travel, for accessing work, for admission to any public places and events 5. that a continuous scientific evaluation of a pandemic situation by independent and renowned scientists is guaranteed. 6.the measures taken must be minimized to the indispensable according to scientific bases. With kind regards Ute Höinghaus-Poland
-	Dear ladys and sirs, I say NO to this treaty! It disregards our individual health autonomy and collective freedom. It disregards our inalienable right to freedom and self-determination. It would give the WHO more power than our governments have. It would give the power to impose the strictest lockdowns worldwide as soon as the WHO even suspects a pandemic. It would bring endless suffering to the people. No health dictatorship through a worldwide pandemic treaty! Best regards
private	Dear Ladys and Sirs, National and local leaders retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions that are best for their own populations. Allowing states and localities to opt out of any part of the agreement at their discretion and without consequence. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities to have control over their health. An open and transparent process in which all the people of the world can vote on measures to prevent the global agreement from being applied where a majority of people do not want it. Measures that do not allow pharmaceutical companies or other profiteers of global health to influence the process. God bless you.
None	 Dear leaders of our world, Thank you for opening up commentary with regards to questions on the next steps that should be taken to prepare for a global pandemic. It is in my respectful opinion that there should be a global education effort that should be perused by all public health entities to guide and enlighten citizens ways to prepare their immune systems through lifestyle and diet shifts that can boost their personal defense systems to fight viruses. I believe our personal immune systems collectively can combat a pandemic and there is far too little public educational efforts that legitimate the effectiveness of sleep, healthy food choices, vitamins, hydration, sunlight and exercise. This should be where the world focuses it's efforts. The rest should be left to individual communities and between doctor and patients personal relationship. Thank you for your time, Ashley
Pvt	Dear leaders, The proposed PP&R treaty which member states are expected to agree to, is outside of the WHO mandate, in my opinion. It undermines the concept of sovereignty of member states as well as the right to choice of their citizens. If any agreement regarding PP&R is to be made it should be voluntary, an advisory and not a mandated legal treaty. Any member state should be able exit the agreement without prejudice at any time and without reason. The idea of "laws" being passed by any un elected global advisory body which effects the rights of citizens of democracies would be neither democratic nor binding and would seriously undermine any trust in governments that endorse these actions as well as the credibility of the global body its self.
private individual	Dear Madam and Sir, in my opinion the WHO should only have the possibility to consult national authorities. Legal regulations are the sole privelege of democratic elected national authorities. Many thanks. With kind regards Alexander Bitzer
private person	 Dear Madam and Sirs, Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. sincerly Stephan Unger
Self-employed	Dear Madam or Sir, I believe that the follow elements should be determined in the treaty- -- 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards, Sieren
private person	 Dear Madam or Sir, A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is not needed. The treatment of epidemics or pandemics shall stay on national level. Herewith, I clearly decline any international instruments for pandemic preparedness and response.
None	Dear Madam or Sir, It is with strong concern that I read about a pandemic treaty that would reduce national parliaments to only viewers of politics. This would be a heavy violation of democracy. WHO is NOT an elected party or organisation but privately financed by 80% and might not be acting in people's best interest but in the interest of who pays the most! This cannot be accepted. No one should be this powerful! No one who is only hungry for power! This would lead to international dictatorship and lead the world into chaos! You are a health organization and not a world government, please leave it that way.
private	Dear Madam or Sir, upon it's global information system the WHO can give general advice and recommendations to national states on how to react in certain health situations, epidemics or even pandemics. It is wise to collect information, surveys and expert know-how in an organization as the WHO, under the precondition of objective search, collection and review. But the WHO is not an independent organisation - neither from pharmaceutical sector nor from private/finance sector. Thus, it may not have the power to enforce certain interpretations upon any national state. regards C. Jülich
Private Individual	Dear Madam, dear Sir I consider the WHO as an Organisation who only consults national authorities. Legal regaluations are the privilege of democratically elected national authorities. Regards Patrizia Mikulcik
Activate Your Potential	Dear Madam, dear Sir I hereby urge you to stop the proposed treaty because I believe that: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities should be upheld to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers strongly need to be put in place. Regards Souzie Hart
private person	Dear Madam, dear Sir, I have to state up front your guiding question "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" is kind of inadmissible as it implicit to become an unavoidable fact already in future. As the planned international contract was presented / introduced by your organisation (by the way – from which democratic base derived the WHO the right to install such a contract ?), it has to be refused in total. As the projected contract and its measure regime would become obligatory for the participat-ing nations, it will violate many constitutions of participating nations and human rights of the nation's residents (as e.g. right of personal self-determination, inviolability of the own body etc. p.p.) and will establish further, still incalculable damage to democratic rights of the in future affected nations. Personally, I will engage for my home nation to abstain from participating in the projected contract regime. Speaking under conditional circumstances, the projected contract has to include in minimum the following contents - irrevocable guarantees for the sovereignty of the participating nations and its individuals - respect of all human rights - juristic accountability for involved administrative personnel and pharma companies - absolute financial independency from all pharma- and other business enterprises (which is necessary for the entire WHO also) With the submission's limitation, I have to stop my 'minimum wish list' here. And stop this insane, superfluous, dangerous contract project as a whole.
Individual	Dear Madam, Dear Sir, I need to draw your attention that mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and discriminatory measures prohibited. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests and vaccinations for those willing to receive them and medications should be purchased 100% at cost or at minimal and transparent profit. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to Member States. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a representative peer-review process. All decision-making bodies must be diverse: critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Member States must provide 100% of WHO funding and private donations to the WHO must be prohibited, in order to avoid all possible conflict of interest and to to ensure the objectivity and neutrality of the WHO. Furthermore, a pandemic treaty must not take precedence over a national constitutional law. Thank you very much for considering the content of my submission! Very kind regards, Sophie
none	Dear Madam, dear Sir, It is vital that local and national democratic decision-making processes continue to be respected and are not ignored or overruled by an undemocratic global agreement. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any agreement must therefore be upheld. It is also of utmost importance that the decision-making process is open and transparent and respects the health needs of the individual and the accepted standards of medical ethics, such as informed consent and bodily autonomy. I thank you for allowing me to make a submission. Kind regards, Christoph Bosenick
none	Dear Madam, dear Sir, It is vital that local and national democratic decision-making processes continue to be respected and are not ignored or overruled by an undemocratic global agreement. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any agreement must therefore be upheld. It is also of utmost importance that the decision-making process is open and transparent and respects the health needs of the individual and the accepted standards of medical ethics, such as informed consent and bodily autonomy. Kind regards, Kathleen Bosenick
privat	Dear Madam, Dear Sirs, I am writting to express my requirements to your knowledge and to be covered in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1.) The voluntary consent of an individual human subject is absolutely essential for any kind of measures. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject/measure there should be made known to him the nature,duration, and purpose of the measure; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment. 2.) This new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response cannot, under no circumstances, override any law of any individual country or member state.
no organization - private	Dear Madam, or Sir, it is really important to me and for my kids that Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law . -All measures must take full account of all health interests! - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests . advance . People do not trust you anymore! They have the feeling your decisions are NOT full account on health interests!!!! Thatswhy: -All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body! - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process -As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in. · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited ! Best Regards, do your job for human, social interests and not for pharma industry please!!!! S.Dilling
private	Dear Madam, Sir, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty because of the following arguments: Each country has very different preconditions of virus spreading (population structure) All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests and overall health interests – therefore discriminatory measures should be prohibited Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden, because nobody can guarantee that they are not affecting the health of the individual. All decision-making bodies for a pandemic law must be diverse--critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I am really hoping for the right decision! br Karin Scharrer
None	Dear Madam, Sirs, I have myself been hospitalised with covid-19 and witnessed the hardships this pandemic has brought on the world. The hardships on physical health have been great but so have the consequences of the actions taken by governments in response. The ideas I would like to put forward to the public hearing are: 1) Governments should devote all necessary resources towards analysing the effects of public policy decisions taken since 2020 on: a) Reducing deaths and hospitalisations - this should also consider whether other measures could have been taken to achieve the same or better results b) Mental health , considering how negative impacts on mental health may lead to additional negative consequences for physical health c) Economic hardship and standard of living - and the implications for mental and physical health d) Social interactions and isolation - the effects of this on human health and well-being. e) Divisions within society - the effect of public policy on both separating people physically but also creating animosities between people based on their reactions to the pandemic 2) I have noticed a lot of censorship in the various media of opposing views on covid. I believe this should not be done lightly. Different views should be allowed to be heard. If in so doing one breaks the law, prosecution could be considered. 3) Vaccines should pass their experimental phase and their safety and efficacy proved so that people may have more confidence in them.

Organization	Written submission
privat	dear Sir, thank you for this opportunity! First of all: Mandatory vaccines should be strictly forbidden - Different people respond different to medications, vaccines cant be the only treatment, there are other means. . fear leads to aggression and depression and is very unhealthy - states should be encouraged to take all meassures that people get out of their fear, they should encourage people to encounter each other in respect and with joy and encourage them to help each other, to take care of each other. · It is more than proven that people need other people. People need to come together, so sing, to play, to laugh.... Isolation makes them sick. There are ways to make things like this possible even in times of a pandemic. People act responsible it you trust in their personal responsibility. · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass
Poslovni Sistemi d.o.o.	Dear Madam/Sir I believe his treaty on pandemic preparedness is unacceptable for variety of reasons. Primary reason is complete loss of sovereignity that this treaty represent for elected members of government and local health authorities. The elected public servants should have autonomy in making any kind of decisions that affects human rights and livelihoods in any particular country. We dont want those rights to be taken away from elected public officials, as it represents unpredecented attack on basic democratic rights. Further to that, local authorities and local health authorities should have final word in deciding what measures and to what extent should be taken, when it comes to any health issues. For that reasons, I strongly suggest that WHO abandon this idea and steer clear from attempts at making executive decisions that would trample on democratic rights of the citizens. WHO role must be strictly advisory, as it is from the beggining. Any deviation from strictly advisory role would represent an illegal power grab, and would compromise integrity and trust that people have in this organization. Regards Darko Rakich
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thank you,
Privat	Dear Madam/Sir, I hereby provide my input to your requeestrant relatief to the Pandemic Treaty. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. That without limiting human rights as documented in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. With best regards, Drs. Clementine Coopmans
TEB!Communicatie	Dear Madam/Sir, In answer to your call for input on an international pandemic policy the following: • The dignity, autonomy, physical and mental needs of each individual human are the key principle in all agreements and deliberations. Human Rights stay backbone. • Freedom of personal choices enabled by a responsibly and evidence based health policy, made possible within the socio-cultural and religious framework of communities. • Room for local, regional, and national leadership. This includes a transparent and open process to vote on the application of measures that involve population groups all over the world. There would always be the possibility for local communities and nations to opt out of any binding agreement. Any binding agreement has a statute and time-limit and will be regularly reviewed. • Measures to prevent and exclude commercial pharmaceutical interests from influencing health policies as such influence are a manifestation of a direct and illegal conflict of interest.
We the people	DEAR MADAM/SIR: I do not support and VOTE NO to the new international instrument pandemic preparedness and response treaty. I do not support a notion that attempts to strip my sovereignty and the sovereignty of my family. This " new international instrument pandemic preparedness and response " does not feel to be "for the people" but rather for more control for the corporations who attempt to control governments and their people.
We the people	DEAR MADAM/SIR: I do not support and VOTE NO to the new international instrument pandemic preparedness and response. I do not trust corporations or governments to have the sovereign rights, freedom of choice and best interests of me and my family as a top priority. I vote NO. .
Private person	Dear Madame, dear sirs! I am absolut against it! I belive in human rights and the free will! Yours faithfully Susanne Riedl
None	Dear Madame/Sir, The following elements should be included and mandatory: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Partei Die Linke (member) /germany	Dear Madams and Sirs! The following elements should be contained: Guarantees of the sovereignty of the state and the individual, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. And: Full transparency of science trial results. Best regards C. Preuß
Ramsl.Attersee.Com	Let me state that I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohib ited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Thank you! Erich Ramsl
Private Person	Dear Madams and Sirs, Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden fot several reasons, there is no such evidence of effectiveness for this measure. Discriminatory measures like "Green Pass" and measures like prohibited entry for unvaccinated People should be prohibited! All measures must take full account of all health interests, especially those which effect children! All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state and the conditions and contracts of the procurement must be published to the public! Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
private	Dear madams and sirs, 1. Parametres for a pandemic have to be crystal clear, evidence based and withstand public and scientific scrutiny. A pandemic can only be declared in accord with the parliaments of each nation. 2. Any measures in case of a pandemic have to be approved by the parliament of each participating country after a public hearing and in accordance with the countries public health experts and epidemiologists. 3. Protocols for early treatment must be researched and funded 4. Vaccines must be globally distributed to those most at risk
german citizen	Dear Madams and Sirs, any treaty should garantee the the physical selfdetermination and individual free vaccination decision. Any mandatory vaccination must be excluded and forbidden. The risk evaluation must be an individual one. One size fits all will allways be a bad strategy. The sovereignty of of any state must be protected. The current pandemic demonstrate, that there is no generall valid way to handle the situation. Beyond a cherry picking view, the cases and deaths rates of covid patients in the current pandemic are not reliable and causal related to any mandotory meassures in a middle or long term. But the colleteral damage is very well. Sincerely Nora Nowatzyk
Member of the General Public	Dear Madams/Sirs Please accept this submission as a response to your question presented. It is my opinion that your elements for a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" should include the following for the sake of all of humankind: That full autonomy by national and local leadership be retained, reserving the right of decision making based on what is best for their own populations. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including protective measures that will prevent the application of this global agreement in places where the majority of the population do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.. I believe that these points are relative and must be considered given the scope of what is being proposed. Thank you.
Health Consultant	Dear madams/sirs Please consider my thoughts on pandemic responses: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Evelyn Morrissey
	Dear Member of Who: I do not want you making decisions for me regarding my health. I have managed to do that quite well myself over the last 70 years. I prefer the United States follow our own constitution and make our laws accordingly. We do not need you setting our laws for us; we the people of the United States are quite capable of doing that ourselves. We have the tools to educate ourselves on any pandemic and have laws in which to do this according to own constitution. We do not need you interfering with our government rights. I for one do not choose you to make law's effecting our health care and what we as people do the United States chose to do about it. As much of what you have released to the public has been wrong.
EU Citizen	dear members as a EU citizen I strongly reject the contraints decided at this scale. Citizens are not included in decisions which is a denial of democracy. OMS guidelines should be made with throrough concern about people acceptance. Covid crises has shown decision making should be both local and target fragile membres of the communities. compliance and constraints should bé prohibited.
Concerned Citizen	Dear Members of the Committee, I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Regards, Melanie Hargrove
member of general public	Dear members of the W.H.O., Any such agreement or treaty should contain the following elements: 1. All National, state and local governments of each member country MUST retain full autonomy over health care for their citizens. 2. All national, state and local government must maintain the right to to determine what is best for their own people's heal needs. 3. All national, state and local governments must be free to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the implementation of the global agreement anywhere a majority of the people reject it. 5. Exclusions from the treaty, any means of influence in the process by any and all corporate pharmaceutical and for-profit health interests. Yours sincerely Martin Duffield
Knip	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered by making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the
Not organisation, I am a member of the public, a little person	Dear members of the WHO! I think it is neccessary to let the ministers of each country decide whether the certain bacterium or virus is able to threat a nation or not. It depends on the health level of the people, the climate, etc. You need to let ministers and people decide wehether a certain treatment would be beneficial or not.
Private Person	Dear members of the WHO, because of misleading the while world through this Pandemic, never in history the WHO should given such power. Every single Nation hast their own Health Care System, qualified Doctors and Specialists, and has to look after there citisens. With this I place my OBJECTION on record, to any negotiated international Instrument on pandemic preparedness and response unser Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regularien) of the WHO Constitution, adopted 15th of Februars 1946, in the absence of a Peoples Referendum. Kind regards Beate Voigt
Fund Balance	Dear Members of the Word Health Organization We are strongly opposed to any "Treaty" that fails to take into account the highly problematic politicization of science that occurred during the recent health crisis. Due to the conflicts of interest associated with drug company participation in health policy, the public no longer has faith that science and policy are working together in the development of this treaty. In fact, due to the specific compromises of the World Health Organization, especially its association with Tony Fauci and Bill Gates, we do not believe that there is grounds for a binding treaty of any kind, and believe that power on this subject must devolve to local communities who are unconflicted and able to make the best health decisions for themselves. Thank you for your consideration, R. Leland Lehrman Partner
Servant of The Most High God	Dear Members of The World Health Council, April, 12, 2022 I am STRONGLY urging you to avoid, STOP, cancel, PROHIBIT any involvement by The United States, (or any of the 50 States which comprise The United States), with the World Health Council. No other nation should be required to be involved with an overarching "world health" council either. A. National and local leadership must be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. B. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. C. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. D. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any / and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Brenna J Lawrence
Patricia Coffey MA, RP, RMFT | Registered Psychotherapist, Registered Mar	Dear members of the World Health Organization, I ask you to please include these CRITICAL elements in this instrument: #1. National and local leadership to retain FULL AUTONOMY, with the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. #2. Nations and local municipalities have the ABILITY TO OPT OUT of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. #3. An open and transparent process with the ability of people of the world to VOTE on including FAILSAFE MEASURES to prevent the global agreement in any region where a majority of the people do not want it. #4. Measures that PREVENT INFLUENCE in the process by any and all PHARMACEUTICAL companies or other GLOBAL PROFITEERS. Thank you for your consideration, Respectfully and prayerfully, Patricia Coffey MA, RP, RMFT
Faculty Emerita, University of British Columbia	Dear Members of WHO and INB, I am both an American and a Canadian citizen. The most important substantive element that my family and I think should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is medical freedom. Your constitution speaks, rightly, to 'informed opinion', and the information you provide has been valuable to all of us. People all over the world care about their own health and the health of others, and are intelligent enough to put serious effort into informing themselves before making their own medical decisions. This has worked well with regard to pandemic preparedness and response, and it is unnecessary and would be highly counterproductive to force a legally binding global pandemic treaty on member states. Such a treaty, if it removed families' and individuals' medical freedom, would be a unacceptable threat to the sovereignty of your member states as well as that of citizens worldwide. We are grateful for your research into pandemic preparedness and response, and look forward to your continuing to make this information available to the world. Many thanks for your time and attention to this important point, Anneliese Schultz, MA MFA
Individual citizen of Canada	Dear Members of WHO, I wish to express my profound opposition to allowing my Country and my Provincial, Governments (Quebec, Canada) to hold any private or official discussion with the WHO related to: New International Instrument On Pandemic Preparedness And Response. The reasons: 1. WHO has recently changed the definition of the word 'pandemic', by deleting the condition of 'high number of deaths. It should have been legally called a Covid-19 Flu instead of a Covid-19 Pandemic, which would have had imposed far less sanitary restriction measures. Sweden had less mandates and turned out to be in a better position than other countries. 2. Such discussions between my Province and Country are so important that it should first be voted with a Referendum. 3. WHO's revenues come in part by parties that have financial interest in this project. WHO decisions can't therefore be made purely in people's health interest. 4. WHO's already have preparedness plan in place but the final power has been given to WHO's President. This is just far too much power in one- person. History has proven that democracy fails as soon as such power are in the hands of one or small group of persons. 5. WHO's response and clear messages while handling the Covid-19 situation has been none effective. WHO has a very poor track record on its quality work for handling Covid-19. Why should countries agree to a world treaty in the sole hands of WHO?
Member of public	Dear Members of WHO, TO be very brief, it is my opinion that WHO should have absolutely no role in setting public policy of any government or nation. The foundation of the WHO is designed to benefit those who founded it. Their opinions have been proven wrong in many, many cases over the last few years, and they are a non-elected, non-governmental organisation in the first place. How could they be considered to be allowed or able to set up any form of legal framework that might bind countries when they are unelected by those countries? Thank you for considering this opinion. Regards, Mrs. JT OConnor England
individual citizen of Canada	Dear Members of WHO, I wish to express my profound opposition to allowing my Country and my Provincial, Governments (Quebec, Canada) to hold any private or official discussion with the WHO related to: New International Instrument On Pandemic Preparedness And Response. The reasons: 1) WHO has recently changed the definition of the word 'pandemic', by deleting the condition of 'high number of deaths. It should have been legally called a Covid-19 Flu instead of a Covid-19 Pandemic, which would have had imposed far less sanitary restriction measures. Sweden had less mandates and turned out to be in a better position than other countries. 2) Such discussions between my Province and Country are so important that it should first be voted with a Referendum. 3) WHO's revenues come in part by parties that have financial interest in this project. WHO decisions can't therefore be made purely in people's health interest. 4) WHO's already have preparedness plan in place but the final power has been given to WHO's President. This is just far too much power in one-person. History has proven that democracy fails as soon as such power are in the hands of one or small group of persons. 5) WHO's response and clear messages while handling the Covid-19 situation has been none effective. WHO has a very poor track record on its quality work for handling Covid-19. Why should countries agree to a world treaty in the sole hands of WHO?
DK	Dear Members We will not in any manner accept violations nor degradation of national sovereignty nor bodily autonomy. The Nuremberg Principles stand eternal and any attempt to circumvent these principles will be considered a crime against humanity. Kind regards The conscious people of Denmark
None	Dear members I am writing to strongly urge you to say NO to a legally binding pandemic treaty. This is completely unnecessary. Thank you for your time. Regards, Steve and Linda O'Neill

Organization	Written submission
Self	Dear Members, Thank you for your service and the opportunity for response to the first round. The best substantive elements would be: 1. Voting members be free of financial, professional, political or other conflicts of interest. 2. In seeking professional knowledge for final decisions that only independent professionals that are untethered to institutions, corporations, organizations and others so that all conflict of interest is removed.. 3. Each nations possible pandemic needs would be very nuanced; the use of a "one size fits all" broad brush stroke would be short sighted, lack national professional guidance, and cause financial hardships.The WHO need offer health guidelines to the world community, not dictates. Thank you, Cecilia Lance
Universal Engineers	Dear Members, There is NO need of such New Pandemic Treaty as for now or future . Every country has an established method of treating diseases , whether modern or ancient , applied in the society for all class of people . People know how to take care of their personal body better than anybody else . It's is a personal choice to choose which modality should be used by a person , when and where required . No body has the right to violate the Freedom of Choice of any person , across the globe . GOD take care of each person , hence don't worry about their Health or other matter . Don't be an instrument to create HELL on earth , since GOD is watching you all always & have to suffer it's consequences . Let the Kingdom of God always prevail on Earth . Thanks
n/a	Dear Members, I would like to you consider the following points: 1 Prevention is key. Educating the public to stop licking their fingers, coughing into their hands and then touching everything is crucial - not only for certain diseases but many. For example, cytomegalovirus, Epstein Barr, Coxsackie B, hepatitis etc etc 2. PPE equipment should be at the ready 3. Preventative strategies should be taught - early treatment as opposed to waiting until too many people are ill. Again, educate the people on their immune systems, how Vitamin C and D are useful, Educated them about general health principles. If we look around apparently not many people know about them or actually do them. 4. Follow Safety Code 6 to reduce limits on WiFi so as not to damage immune systems 5. Teach hand washing to EVERYONE - ESPECIALLY after using the bathroom. Apparently not many people have heard of this! 6. Educate people not to eat out of the same bowl and put their dirty utensils into a common dish. 7. IF there is an outbreak, educate the ILL PEOPLE TO STAY HOME! While the non-ill people including those who work can carry on supporting the society at large.
Humanity	Dear members, This matter needs to be decided by the people whom it will effect. We're still living in a democratic world. A select group of people should not be able to make decisions for a majority of the people as the public is distracted by the war. Each country has different health measures. WHO should not be able to overwrite any sovereign nation's laws. Warm regards, Arman Vaziri Vancouver, British Columbia Canada
Private	Dear members, we are profoundly disturbed and anxious about the fact that our rights and freedoms may no longer be evaluated by the politicians we democratically vote for. We want to be masters of our fate in the future, not passive recipients of orders from unknown sources we neither know, vote for, talk with, nor trust. National laws must be above European ones because we, the people must stay in charge of our fate. We do not want to have European instruments on pandemic preparedness made by people we did not vote, being dictated on us. Countries ought to stay sovereign and independent, democratic. We therefore decline international interference.
Privat	Dear members, It is important that Every country Jeeps the right and Rules to Devise even a crisis ist an endemic or Pandemie risk for His own.
Gema	Dear Mister and Misses. The people should be asked for every global situation that appears. Like pandemics, food, power or oil crisis. The people are free and able to think by there selfs. Power and freedom to the people. Your sincerly Marco Schlimgen
Private	Dear organisation · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Hochachtungsvoll Georg Pallin
Individual	Dear Organization, I am an American, I've worked as a registered nurse here for more than 22 years. I understand the possible need for a more comprehensive response to a world health crisis. However, the more centralized the control, the slower and less efficient the response becomes. Therefore,I cannot stress enough the need to keep any global response plan very general. With flexibility for the individual countries, states, and territories to perform necessary health care responses as they need for their populations. So, flexibility and the ability for individual states and territories to OBJECTIVELY perform, assess and change the response plan as they need to should be the goal. The Covid response has been less than impressive and we should admit our failures and learn from our mistakes. Thank-you, Pam Bradford
Privat	Dear Organization, I don't think we need any kind of international agreement on pandemic issues since healthy circumstances are strickly individual and privat. Thank you!
Individual –public member	Dear Panel Members Can we see a world organised round table with both sides of pro and opponents of these anti-Covid-19 and its variants to explain scientifically to the world at large why medication is not used along with these vaccines ? Please can we the public at large be shown clinical and scientific evidence of these side effects from all vaccines? Can we see the reason why the present trend of associated flu with some of Covid variants ? Is this the reason to use anti-Covid vaccines and to replace the original flu shots? Yours faithfully Theodor Marcu
Retired oncologist	Dear panelists, Probably there will be only few and select opinions presented to you so I will strive to be very succinct. Your activity is being scrutinized by the world community. Lately there has been a gradual degradation of the 'Do no harm' Hippocratic principle. Should you choose to proceed with this convention the ramifications for this organization, you personally and anything you love shall be severely impactful and negative. Consider this a fair warning from a doctor. Do not proceed!
Valley Life Church	Dear Partners in Health, The substantive elements for health that should be considered are that national and local leadership will retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. Because public health is never one size fits all, all recommendations should be able to be fit to the local situation. There also should be an open and transparent process where the measures can be scrutinized, and the ability for all the people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Lastly, you should not allow influence in the process form pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration.
self and family unit	Dear People at the WHO, What should be included is the freedom of choice as to whether or not to vaccinate. Also, there should be a plan in place to provide for the needs (food, medical care, etc) if/when people are quarantined & small businesses need consideration in a shut down to have at least their rent and their minimum income/expenses taken care of. Lastly, there should NEVER be a vaccine passport for people too travel or buy for their needs. Thanks. Ann Cougle Orford NH
self	Dear people at WHO, These are the substantive elements that I think should be included in an international instrument regarding pandemic response: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stephan Krippl - Holistic Healing Trainer	Please do not vote for a system that can override any human rights that easily. The possibility to regulate health concerns from one single point may sound intriguing in terms of possible actions, but it opens an uncontrollable highway to abuse and misapplication. From the point of holistic, humanistic psychotherapy this idea of external control definitely has to be disapproved. So I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Here are some points that are of major interest in this case: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance, especially from industrial branches that have a huge financial benefit in cases of pandemic emergencies. · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
USA Citizen	Dear People, It is my strong opinion that the two plus years of experience with SARS Covid 19 indicates that the WHO is not capable of acting in the truth interest of world health in its present form and is far too much influenced by profit motivations of powerful pharmaceutical companies and their investors. We need a major revision of the organization truly in the interest of public health, The principle need on a global level is accurate reporting without suppression of information about treatments which may be highly effective, saving lives, reducing suffering, and reducing negative economic impact, precisely because they can be affordably produced and rapidly distributed.
private	please think about any law that gives any institution so much power as to have influence on the human rights. Human rights have to be preserved in any case, no institution (in particular no one financiated by pharma industries) should have the power to influence them. A vaccination has to stay a private decision of every person itself, as it concerns the own body and health. In particular a vaccine that does not prevent the disease, only perhaps decrease it a little. And which has so many risks (most of them negated by nearly all doctors and governments). Here some important items: - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states (or to the patients...) - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body (and not discriminated or threatened to lose their jobs...) - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Hoping for a positive and human rights conserving law, yours sincerely, Rebekka Schreiber
Private	Dear Reader, Herewith my comments and input on your question: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This treaty is non-democratic as the people of the nations are not involved or asked for their consent. I strongly object to any WHO mandate to control or mandate any medical treatment without consent as this would in violation of the Nuremberg Code: https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Nuremberg_Code&action=edit&section=3 Kind regards, Frans Visser The Netherlands
Personal capacity	Dear Reader, I wish to thank you for the opportunity to state my opinion on the matter. I do not believe that there can be any elements that will be instrumental in a pandemic preparedness response. If you look at the data from different countries and areas across the world and you compare the different protocols and results, you will soon realise that if we did not have these different objectives and systems we would have been able to learn from each over. Many countries did things differently and we have been able to learn from these actions. To not do this would be detrimental. All nation are different from each other and traditional values need to be considered and respected. I also do not believe my country, South Africa, and it's leaders have the right to sign an agreement that gives the WHO authority for which they themselves do not have the legal authorization to command and govern. Kind wishes, Cindy
UoP technician retired	Dear reader, I would like to confine myself to the issue of vaccination(s). 1) In my view numerous problems ensue from making Vaccinations mandatory. Consequently it is my belief that circumstances requiring this should almost NEVER happen. Making vaccinations mandatory would be a big mistake. (For the record I have been vaccinated against SARS-COV-2). 2) Vaccines Should be made publicly available world-wide as part of the effort to avoid mutations and spread of disease. 3) Vaccine content should be declaired fully and openly (publicly) in advance of distribution. Yes this gives rise to funding problems which will need to be solved (IMF grants perhaps ?). - Ownership rights still protected by Patent / copyright. 4) Side effect data should be collected thoroughly and analysed just as thoroughly - otherwise the job is only half done. Yours Adrian jerram
Self employed	Dear readers I am writing this submission to express my concern over the proposed treaty. Having an organisation have global authority over world citizens is a structure that is vulnerable to corruption and reduces individual rights to make their own informed medical decisions. Medicine is not a one size fits all arena. Please do not hand more power to fewer in regards to health choices. Yours sincerely Janet Donald
USA	Dear reader's, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Every person has a duty and should be responsible for their own health decision.
Personal	Dear Readers, You asked: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" And I would offer that international co-operation is important, but that each individual person, group, region, country, must have their own freedom of decision in how to handle the issues, because the issues will appear different and will need a different approach from each stand-point. Richard.
SEY	Dear recipients, every country in the world is different. The situation in every country during an epidemic or pandemic is different, and every person is different. The central leading of health issues in that respect is more than questionable, as the basic human rights and the freedom to choose will be by these means eliminated. The decisions should be made locally as is appropriate in a democratic world order and no person should be forced to submit to any proceeding forcibly. Besides, as we have seen during the last two years, enough nonaligned information about the means used to protect people, should be available. There should not be any kind of financial affiliations to pharmaceutical companies of the sources of information backing up the means used to handle the pandemic. The using of tests to announce a pandemic has started, is also questionable, because the tests have not been made for mass testing and can often distort the real situation leading to the usage of means not appropriate for handling it. I hope you take these aspects into account when discussing the subject.
None	Dear Representative, No Global Pandemic Treaty can be customized to every country. Every country is individual to its needs for the health of the population. Any WHO agreement that removes power from the US is both dangerous and unnecessary to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency, as defined by the specific country, exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions for Americans. And the US will never agree to any legally binding pandemic pact since healthcare is a personal decision, as defined by informed consent by the individual for any treatment. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Be accountable to your word.
N/A	Dear Representatives, I urge you to not take away individual freedoms by joining this "treaty". The past two years should have thought us that lockdowns do nothing but hurt the economy as well as the mental well being of the people being forced to lockdown. We are a free society, where individuals have a right to choose what is put into their body. Let no man/woman think that they should have the right to force anyone to take any drug, particularly one that is experimental. We will not comply!
Risk Factor Research	Dear Review Committee Members, The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration, Dr. Andrew G. Huff
private individual	Dear Sir I would hope fervently that the following be respected - 1. That National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. That the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. THat an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in place where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. That Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	Dear Sir / Madam , In order to maintain a transparent democratic process I feel it would be necessary for each country to make decisions based on what is right for their own country . Governments should have full autonomy and should be able to opt out of any part of the agreement without any consequence in order to maintain trust in the WHO. Strict measures should be taken to ensure that any Pharmaceutical company or Global Health Profiteer can take influence within the organisation. Yours faithfully Jayne Kelly
University of Cambridge	Dear sir / madam I am graduate in social anthropology (MA) from the University of Cambridge, currently based in Brussels. I have watched with concern over the past couple of years as various pandemic responses have succeeded only in transforming a medical situation into a social crisis. I think 3 guiding principles are essential to manage any future pandemic. a) the unconditional respect of fundamental rights, including physical integrity. As president Macron is fond of saying, citizens have both rights and duties. Unfortunately too many of the repressive covid policies have made fundamental rights subservient to arbitrary duties. This can only cause social and political unrest. The notion of 'proportionality' used to justify this is finally fairly subjective; whilst the rights they suspend are (supposedly) absolute. This undermines democracy and the rule of law. The best covid model to follow is that of Sweden, both the least repressive and most successful in Europe. b) respect for national sovereignty. Any international treaty that trumps national sovereignty will simply confirm the theorists who claim that covid is a world plot. It will permanently undermine public trust in the WHO and national health ministers. Such a treaty would be entirely counterproductive in any future pandemic. c) a free and open debate. Medical censorship (implicit and explicit) succeeds only in sowing distrust and undermines the authority it seeks to uphold. Full public debate of any measures is an essential component of a democratic society Best Regards Daniel Barbenel (Brussels)
Personal	Dear Sir / Madam, I oppose the WHO getting all powers on health issues especially as we have seen the WHO has been absolutely failing at the Covid 19 wave. WHO declared a pandemic while it was not a pandemic as a pandemic is based on the number of deaths and not the number of cases. This was planned in order for the WHO to then take aver countries and this is not what should happen for functioning democracies The health policies and rules should stay in the hands of governments and before anything the Nuremberg code and Oviedo convention should be respected. This is basic human rights and was put in place for the horror of forced experimental injections in the concentration camps to never happen again. It is paramount that WHO does not get to overrule democratically elected governments. Also any medical procedure should stay between a doctor and a patient otherwise this will lead to tragedies. I totally oppose the WHO getting any further powers in fact they should be disbanded and defunded as they have totally failed and this was in plain sight for all to see especially in the last 2 years Best regards
Member of the Public	Dear sir / madam, Last two years showed that Governments absolutely should not be trusted with unlimited amount of power as has been the case with current Covid-19 Pandemic. Private individuals must have a right to bodily autonomy and right to earn a living (work) whether they are vaccinated or not. In many countries around the World these fundamental rights have been taken away from people. This is a direct violation of basic human rights and violation of the Natural Law . Therefore, any future responses to similar pandemics must include guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. More specifically, pharmaceutical companies must be liable for the lot products . Vaccines must have long term studies and not rushed through like happened with new mRNA gene therapies. Yours sincerely, Tom Cooper
Japanese Homoeopathic Medical Association	Dear sir / madame, I am against forced vaccination as it is a clear violation of human rights. I also know that vaccination helps to promote the welfare of mankind, but it does not guarantee the safety of the drugs we will be vaccinated with in the future. I believe that it should be voluntary and freedom of choice, not mandatory. Best regards.
Individual	Dear sir / madame, I oppose compulsory vaccination as a clear violation of human rights. Best Regards
	Dear sir / madame, I oppose compulsory vaccination as a clear violation of human rights. Also, I know that vaccination has helped promote the welfare of humankind, but there is no guarantee of the safety of the medicines to be vaccinated in the future.

Organization	Written submission
Green plus co. LTD.	Dear sir / madame, I oppose compulsory vaccination as a clear violation of human rights. Also, I know that vaccination has helped promote the welfare of humankind, but there is no guarantee of the safety of the medicines to be vaccinated in the future. With my best regards, Tai
Free	Dear sir / madame, I oppose compulsory vaccination as a clear violation of human rights. Also, I know that vaccination has helped promote the welfare of humankind, but there is no guarantee of the safety of the medicines to be vaccinated in the future. With my best regards, Tai
taikyojapan	Dear sir / madame, I oppose compulsory vaccination as a clear violation of human rights. Also, I know that vaccination has helped promote the welfare of humankind, but there is no guarantee of the safety of the medicines to be vaccinated in the future. With my best regards
OB&GYN CLINIC	Dear sir / madame, I oppose compulsory vaccination as a clear violation of human rights. We believe that vaccination safety should not be used and compulsory. Inoculation that ignores the intention of the person is considered to be a violation of human rights. With my best regards,
serendipity	Dear sir / madame, I oppose compulsory vaccination as a clear violation of human rights. We believe that vaccination safety should not be used and compulsory. Inoculation that ignores the intention of the person is considered to be a violation of human rights. With my best regards,
Slow Food Nippon	Dear sir / madame, I oppose compulsory vaccination as a clear violation of human rights. Also, I know that vaccination has helped promote the welfare of humankind, but there is no guarantee of the safety of the medicines to be vaccinated in the future. With my best regards, Meg
Citizen	Dear Sir and Madam's, The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, T Eichhorn
Cao Peace seed	Dear sir and madame As a result of the Corona vaccination, which was administered without sufficient testing and results from the drug companies and sufficient informed consent of the risks, many people are now suffering from adverse reactions to the drug. We believe that the freedom of individual human beings to self-manage their own health is guaranteed by natural law. Therefore, we should not create a pandemic treaty that threatens these basic human rights. Norihito
Family	Dear Sir and Madame, • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, sincerely Sabine Hell
None	Dear Sir and Madame, the so calley "new international Instruments of pandemic preparedness" are missing crucial paragraphs, which ensure the following: - Guarantee of the sovereighty of each state - Guaranty of the sovereighty of each single person - The Respect of the Human Rights - Legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies whithout limitation of liability - Obligation to publish publicly all studies on medial products at the same time, when provided to official institutions I expect the responsible for the contract to add those paragraphes completely and respectively. Best regards, Ms. Kailer
Private	Dear Sir And Madame, National and local Leaders must retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions that are best for their own population. Allowing states and local authorities to opt out of any part of the agreement at their discretion and without consequence. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all-program. We need people of the world can vote on measures to prevent the global agreement from beeing apiplied where a majority of people do not want it. Measures that do not allow pharmaceutical companies or other profiteers of global health to influance the process, must be accomplished. Sincerely Joerg Lantzsch
Private Citizen	Dear Sir Madam, I fundamentally in principle do not agree with the premise behind the Pandemic Preparedness Response, so there are no suggestions from me regarding what should be included because it should be completely scrapped. Its completion was fashioned against the backdrop of Co-Vid 19 and response to it, the likes of which is still ongoing, ie despite WHO recommendations for the mRNA EUA Co-Vid experimental vaccines in response, which themselves have been an unmitigated global health, economic and societal disaster. They have not arrested infection rates, rather spurred rapid mutation into new variants that continue to maintain Co-Vids infectivity in society. This WHO strategy to deal with the Co-Vid pandemic is unprecedented and has not been effective and led to widespread destruction of society and has not allowed the alleged virus to run its natural course, if indeed it is possible to do so. In summary I do not have any suggestions to add to the current proposal, but do suggest it be scrapped for the benefit of humanity. Sincerely John Beach
Private individual	Dear sir madam. In the first instance the inalienable human rights of all the worlds citizens must be held in the highest order. We have witnessed these rights trampled upon worldwide in the rollout of the pandemic procedures and our citizens coerced against their will regarding vaccine mandates which are illegal. Forced to make a choice to either loose their job and their house or to take the jab. I speak on behalf of 1.2 million australians who attending the freedom rally against forced mandates in Canberra at Parliament House a few months ago and for millions of Australians who attended 10s of rallies against the forced job mandates. Please consider carefully all future pandemic planning to avoid coercion and damaging and destroying small businesses and economies.
none	Dear Sir or Madam! I deeply feel that an international plan on pandemic preparedness and response should not be created AT ALL. Each country is different in climate and nutrient quality, and each people is different regarding medium age, percentage of overweight people and so on. An international plan on pandemic preparedness could easily be misused as an instrument of mere dominion - imagine an error in that plan being rolled out worldwide - this would lead to a catastrophe. I strongly believe that each country is absolutely able to handle its health issues WITHOUT an international plan. I absolutely oppose such a plan. Yours sincerely, C Berger
personal	Dear Sir or Madam, Please consider these issues in formulating the Treaty for pandemic response: While we appreciate the effort of the WHO to preserve health for all people, we believe each country knows what is best for its people, and the measures should not be dictated by a "removed" organization or treaty like the one being formed by WHO. We believe any country deserves the right to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement. We believe any decisions made for the "good of all" need to be removed from any pharmaceutical companies information, or any incentives or pressure from them. Countries need the right to withdraw from this treaty as they see fit, if it does not appear to enhance the health of its people. If a treatment causes more problems than the current "health issue", it is not a treatment and not in the best interest of the people. Respectfully Steven and Dawn Campbell
Private EU citizen	Dear Sir or Madam, a possible pandemic treaty, in any case, must contain the following, essential points: - Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty; - respect for human rights; - a legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies; I ask for your attention and inclusion! Yours sincerely Elisabeth Schmoelz
Privat EU Citizen	a possible pandemic treaty, must in any case contain the following, essential points: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I ask for your attention and inclusion! Yours sincerely
Private EU Citizen	a possible pandemic treaty, must in any case contain the following, essential points: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I ask for your attention and inclusion! Yours sincerely
Human	Dear Sir or Madam, as a basis, the pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, complete transparency and, above all, the freedom of choice of each individual must be taken into account in the first place. Doctors must also have freedom of action to the best of their knowledge and conscience and be allowed to care for patients according to the hypocratic oath, patients must be able to choose themselves about their bodies and, if necessary, treatment options, whether they use them, when, by whom and in which scope without restricting their freedoms and all human rights.
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. My points for this submission are as followed: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Tamkang University	Dear Sir or Madam, I strongly object any attempt by the WHO to override the constitution of member states. I believe the following four points are critical: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Best regards, Chihiro SHIRAISHI
Zonne Corporation	Dear Sir or Madam, I wholeheartedly disagree with what you are trying to implement. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, rather than each country having to yield what their neighbors are doing. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This point is extremely important as it ties in with freedom and human rights. To disallow this is against human rights. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. As witnessed in protests around the globe during the last two years. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best regards, Emilia Karlsson
Individual	Dear Sir or Madam, I would like the following to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places/for individuals(!!) where a majority of the people do not want it/if any individual doesn't want it, should be able to pull out from the agreement including the individual's underage children. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you in advance.
Private	Dear Sir or Madam, please bring back the guidelines of a pandemic situation, to what it was before the Covid-19-pandemic. There is so much evidence, that it was not that bad, as politics and media told us. The really good doctors have so much medicin to help the people. not with the new gene manipulating so called vaccine. But with anti-viral medicin. Please do not give away all the money to the new harmful vaccine. Please do not go more and more globally. The people in the several countries are able to go ahead with the coronavirus. There is no need for a global solution. And people want to live free, and not want to be under a global WHO! Tanks.
Mark's Health Solutions, Inc.	Dear Sir or Madam, The solution to any health crisis is maintenance of human rights and decentralization of power. Science must be transparent and public and allow for public debate. International law and human rights conventions must be respected along with The Nuremberg Code and the right to informed consent. National constitutions should be upheld locally. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Finally, the right of the individual person as the final arbiter of how they live their life is paramount. Sincerely, Marc Connor
Human	Dear Sir or Madam, Based on the last 2 years it would be important to let each individual decide for himself which medical methods should be used. Toxic, genetically modified or modern chips and metals should be banned. Substances harmful to the body must not be administered under any measures. Suppressive psychological methods and punishments must be banned. Pharmaceutical companies that manufacture drugs etc must take responsibility for any harm caused by that drug. Medical experiment must never be called vaccination. Thank you Best regards Tatjana Beller
Natalia Schaupp	Dear Sir or Madam, I am absolutely against a 'pandemic contract' because I am eagerly defending my freedom of choice to get vaccinated or not. Kind regards, Natalia Schaupp
None	Dear Sir or Madam, I hereby reject the plan of the WHO for the preparedness of pandemics etc. The planned special status of the WHO massively interferes with fundamental human rights and the right of states to self-determination. It is therefore not compatible with applicable international law. Thank you for your consideration
FALA Volunteer Association	Dear Sir or Madam, I wish to voice my opposition to the proposed WHO international treaty. I am unalterably opposed to any international treaty that usurps the right of sovereign nations to legislate their national medical policies, thereby overriding the rights of their citizens to determine their personal health care treatment. I am especially opposed to giving such power to an organization like the WHO, whose funding private funding sources, especially those emanating from Bill Gates, compromise the objectivity of medical research and the WHO's health policy making. This has resulted in the highly questionable handling of the 2020 pandemic, with often contradictory policies and all too often wrong headed decisions negatively influencing governmental policies, such as the lock downs that are now known to have caused more health problems than it was designed to solve. Please excuse my negative tone, but I see little good coming from an NGO that at this time is overly influenced by private money coming from the pharmaceutical industry and self interested partners. Best regards, Michael Robeson Germany
Austria	Dear Sir or Madam, Vaccination must not be compulsory Because: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Best Regards, Marcel Schmid
private	Dear Sir or Madam, I reject any agreement, convention or other instruments relating to the above-mentioned subject matter that are to be legally binding under international law. Global solutions contradict the enlightened, Christian image of man as well as the inalienability of human dignity and the right to physical integrity. Furthermore, the WHO is not to be regarded as independent, as it is largely financed by private donations and NGOs.
Private Persons	Dear Sir or Madam, an international law on pandemic prevention and control must take into account national laws and not take sovereignty out of the hands of nations. Each nation has its own living and environmental conditions, so national pandemic response activities must come first. The rights of individual citizens established by the constitutions of the countries must not be curtailed. The people of each country will be democratically involved in decision-making processes. The authorities, organizations, and individuals responsible for pandemic prevention and control measures take responsibility for their decisions and actions. Technologies and medicines used must be adequately tested before they are put into widespread use. Their developers are not absolved of their responsibilities. The United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights is a fundamental prerequisite for any interference in national legal circumstances. However, these must not undermine human and social rights in individual nations. Please respect the sovereignty of the member nations of WHO in the sense in which WHO was originally founded as an independent international organization committed to the welfare of individuals and not to private or governmental interest groups. Thank you. Yours sincerely, Markus Lau Hintzenstern and Astrid Hellmundt, Berlin, Germany
none	Dear Sir or Madam, At the outset, if I may say so, I do not think we need a new international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response. That is something that each state, independent of other institutions, should decide for itself individually. But if such an international instrument is to be created, it should have the following elements: - the pillars of natural law must be respected - also the civil or human right, with autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice. Thank you very much. Yours sincerely Marita Scherge
Member of the General Public	Dear Sir or Madam, Here is my submission: National governments retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what they decide is best for the people of that country. Individual countries and governing bodies can choose to opt out of any, and all parts of the agreement, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be no global agreement that will, in any way, restrict our human rights and freedom. Pharmaceutical companies and or their representatives must not be allowed to influece any decisions made by WHO. Yours sincerely, Patrick V Power
privat	Dear Sir or Madam, I am definitely against all forced measures during a pandemic. Each person must decide for him or herself whether to vaccinate him or not. Kind regards, M. Schuster

Organization	Written submission
U.S.A. Citizen	Dear Sir or Madam, I am not in favor of the WHO's proposed pandemic protocols. United States citizens abide by laws that are set forth by our elected government officials. These officials retain their positions as long as they have the consent of the governed. United States citizens do not elect WHO members. Therefore we are not obligated to follow the protocols set forth by the WHO. Speaking for myself and my family we will make health care decisions that are most appropriate for our family and our community, and within the laws of our state and country. This may not comport with the protocols the WHO sets forth. Sovereign citizens of free countries are not ruled over by the WHO, and the WHO's assumption that they should be is outrageous. -Karen Browning, MNS, CPNP
private	dear sir or madam, i believe that national and local leadership have to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. that they have to have the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. there should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. there should be explicit exclusion, from any decision-making process, of participation or influence by pharmaceutical companies or any other body with a financial or political interest in the outcome. yours faithfully, myriam berger
Gerald Lees	Dear Sir or Madam, I believe that national governments should be totally autonomous and continue to have every right to decide, according to the country's local needs, what measures should or should not be taken. Local and national governments should therefore be able to opt out of any portions or decisions of the international agreement, without consequence. There should be a transparent process allowing people throughout the world to vote on measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be explicit exclusion, from any decision-making process, of participation or influence by pharmaceutical companies or any other body with a financial or political interest in the outcome.. Yours faithfully, Gerald Lees
No organization - general public	Dear Sir or Madam, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Best Regards, Luisa Pape
dresden elektronik gmbh	Dear Sir or Madam, I reject a Europe-wide pandemic treaty and advocate national implementation of protective measures. I consider this more effective, as each country can react more individually to a pandemic situation depending on the health care, age and health of the population. Yours sincerely, Sebastian Melzer
Sovereign Being	Dear Sir or Madam, I understand you are writing a treaty on Global Pandemic Preparedness. There are a few salient points you need to consider. First, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Second, nations and local municipalities retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Third, the process must be open and transparent. Where all people of the world have the ability to vote on this treaty which includes fail safe measures to prevent application of the treaty where the majority of the people do not want it. Fourth, measures to prevent pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers from influencing the content of the treaty. Sincerely, Kathleen Chambers
None	Dear Sir or Madam, I wish to oppose the plans of WHO to centralize decision making on pandemic responses as I think one has to allow ideas and strategies to compete with each other. Moreover, one should always think of the possibility that decisions can be wrong. The effect of such wrong decisions can be minimized in a decentralized system. With these words I do not doubt the integrity and competence of WHO officials. Yours sincerely, Maximilian Glänzer
EU Bürger	Dear Sir or Madam, I would ask you to take the following points into account. Guarantees of the sovereignty of the state and of the individual, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. We do not need a WHO dictate. Sincerely, Rita Albrecht
Graceful Space Organizing Services	Dear sir or madam, I'm astonished that you actually are pursuing this very undemocratic initiative to assume 100% control of any and all future viral threats! And to be able to pretty much overrule virtually the entire planet. This is not good, nor is it called for. Below are some key points I want to inform you of. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The entire world is made up of many nation states and to even conceive of a global agreement is a potential act of tyranny. This measure/initiative should not move forward in any way, shape, matter or form! Thank you for your consideration, Mark Nedleman, Portland, Oregon, USA
Member of Public	Dear Sir or Madam, In my view it is essential that: all decision making processes made by the WHO should be completely transparent and any nation, national body or local government that wishes should have the right to opt out of any agreement either wholly or partially. Any agreement made should be available to be voted on by the general public. Provisions must be made to ensure that those who may seek to profit or gain political advantages are prevented from doing so. Best regards Alan Heaton
No Organization	Dear Sir or Madam, In principle, WHO makes a good and important contribution to monitoring the health protection of all peoples in the world. This includes monitoring and the emergence of new diseases and pandemics. However, in the case of a new pandemic treaty, I fear that the powers of the WHO will be exceeded and that direct instructions for action will now be possible from pure information and independent recommendations for state action. The WHO is not a democratically elected and legitimated authority and may therefore at no time stand with your recommendations above the constitution of the individual nation states or intervene in them. Furthermore, I always see the perspective of health protection very critically to put the protection of many people above the protection and the rights of the individual. The pandemic treaty must therefore not take precedence over individual rights anchored in national constitutions. Furthermore, maximum transparency must be introduced in the choice of the committee, the monetary income and possible conflicts of interest. I therefore ask you, in your own interest, to take a very critical view of the possible powers of the WHO through a new pandemic treaty and also to evaluate the dangers of abuse very critically. The responsible leaders can be replaced very quickly and then a system has been created which will be exploited by the wrong people and will threaten the freedom of all of us. I hope you take the many concerns to heart and wish that you are very aware of your responsibility here and will do the right thing and not the easy thing.
Wolne wybory	Dear Sir or Madam, Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Best regards Karolina Plaza
citizen	Dear Sir or Madam, Thank you for the opportunity to contribute. The element I think most certainly should be included is for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy and also reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Furthermore, nations and local municipalities should always have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement regarding a possible new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as they see fit, without consequence. Also what I think should be included, is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And lastly I think measures should be included, that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, J.M. Rietra
paragraph32	dear sir or madam, the free decision about any health measures, even in times of pandemics that involve physical intervention, must be preserved for each and every individual worldwide and must remain so for all time! with a friendly greeting bushman & lorenz
citizen	Dear Sir or Madam, The most important points in answering Your question for me are: No mandatory vaccines! They should be vorbidden! Also discriminatory measures should not be allowed! Critical voices must be heard, they have to become part of the decision-making body! best regards, Herbert Mayer
Private person	Dear Sir or Madam, The proposed WHO agreement constitutes an unwarranted intrusion into people's lives on a broad scale, because it is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights of all people living on this planet. This national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities should by all means be retained to have the choice to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. Instead an open and transparent process should be adopted with the ability for all people in the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Broad measures should be implemented that do not allow for any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Therefore stop the treaty. Yours faithfully W. Deitert
private	Dear Sir or Madam, these terms should be included in the contract in all cases: - the sovereignty of the state and the individual must be guaranteed, - respect for human rights is paramount, - legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies must be compulsorily realized, - full compliance with the "Nuremberg Code" With kind regards A.E. Schäfers
Privat Person	Dear Sir or Madam, to directly answer your question, one substantive element for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is, that the decision for a medical treatment like a vaccine must be on an individual level. In other words measures must take full account of all health interests on an individual and personal level. We are all different. So it's not realistic and successful to treat each and everyone with the same medical treatment in case of a pandemic disease. I therefore demand that mandatory vaccines should be absolutely forbidden and must not be part of a new international instrument to fight a pandemic. As we have experienced a dramatic division in our society due to different opinions in regards of the Covid-19 measures that have been executed in the last two years, I further demand that discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body to prevent a further catastrophic division in our society. Since there has been so many different data and data systems used, I really think what would be helpful is to work on a central data base, which is administered and analyzed by a thoroughly objective and financially independent Organisation. Free choice and trust is much better for a society than anxiety-inducing communication and mandatory health treatments. Best regards!
Private person	Dear Sir or Madam, to directly answer your question, one substantive element for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is, that the decision for a medical treatment like a vaccine must be on an individual level. In other words measures must take full account of all health interests on an individual and personal level. We are all different. So it's not realistic and successful to treat each and everyone with the same medical treatment in case of a pandemic disease. I therefore demand that mandatory vaccines should be absolutely forbidden and must not be part of a new international instrument to fight a pandemic. As we have experienced a dramatic division in our society due to different opinions in regards of the Covid-19 measures that have been executed in the last two years, I further demand that discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body to prevent a further catastrophic division in our society. Since there has been so many different data and data systems used, I really think what would be helpful is to work on a central data base, which is administered and analyzed by a thoroughly objective and financially independent Organisation. Free choice and trust is much better for a society than anxiety-inducing communication and mandatory health treatments. Best regards!
Not applicable	Dear Sir or Madam, An international pandemic preparedness plan should not be implemented. Each nation needs to have autonomy to chart its own course without relying on an unelected and undemocratic board that is not accountable to any person, and does not have the capabilities of determining what is best for each country and their citizens. Please stop trying to usurp the power of each country, and stop treading on my rights as a free person, in a free world, to decide how I want to manage my health and happiness. Alternately, if you feel that a global plan is necessary, then I request that each nation's citizens are given the opportunity to vote on this issue via moratorium. The past two years has, unfortunately, confirmed to all of us that absolute power corrupts absolutely. Thank you, Alexandra
privat	Dear Sir or Madam, Contractual agreement should be reached that local, national health authorities and national governments retain autonomy. Local and national leaders must have the autonomy to decide what pandemic response measures make sense for their local populations. Local and national leadership must be given the right to withdraw from any part of the agreement without consequence. There should be contractual assurances that global agreements and measures cannot be enforced against the will of the majority of people in nations. The agreement should stipulate that pharmaceutical companies, NGOs, other private investors or individuals have no influence on health policy measures. Yours sincerely Iris Born
Citizen of Austria	Dear Sir or Madam, I am of the opinion that mandatory vaccines should bei forbidden. More, discriminatory measures should be prohibited by all means. In addition to that, all measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. On top of that, all decision-making bodies must be diverse and critical voices must be included in the decision-making body. All in all, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Yours faithfully Katharina Scholsengever
None	Dear Sir or Madam, I am very concerned about the elements of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I believe it is best to keep pandemic preparedness and response details left up to the individual countries, and the role of the WHO should be information gathering and advisory in nature. I do not believe that any pandemic treaty should involve laws that countries are required to submit to based on WHO rules or regulations. Elements that I do believe should be included the individual rights of countries and the individual and medical rights of all humans. Speaking as an American, any WHO treaty that removes power from the US is dangerous to the health and welfare of American citizens. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Please uphold your word. Sincerely, Heather Sanchez, USA
Kingston Village	Dear Sir or Madam, I strongly disagree that the WHO should dictate what I and my UK government and health authorities should enforce during any health risk situation. It is none of WHOs business or authority to mandate any such instructions. Yours sincerely, Brian Woodman
CHD Oregon	Dear Sir or Madam, In the upcoming international instrument being developed by the WHO in regards to pandemic planning and preparation the following substantive elements shall be developed: 1) Respect for National Sovereignty and the rule of law via--- 2) Safeguards implemented so that no actions of the WHO can strip a person's rights to own and operate a business, buy food, work, travel, attend school or recieve the medical treatment of their own choice or their individual medical professional's reccomendations. 3) Adherence to an advisory role only. All responses to communicable disease at the international level should come as reccomendations and should NOT contain the options of force or coercion over any Sovereign Nation or the citizens thereof. If reccomendations prove harmful, destructive, or cause loss of life, the WHO must be liable and held fully accountable 4) Dissenting views on treatment, disease causation, and response tactics must be thoroughly debated, publicly, with multiple options made available. All reccomendations must be reviewed monthly for safety and efficacy. Vaccines must be tested long term against saline placebos for all cause mortality, and never forced, coerced upon any individual. Medical experimentation may never be carried out without fully informed consent of the participant. 5) If the WHO reccommended measures are followed and cause widespread loss of life, disability, supply chain disruptions, business failures, harms to pregnant women or children, then those who reccommended and promulgated the failed measures must individually and collectively be fully liable in the law courts of each Sovereign Nation in which they were adopted.
Firmeneigner	Dear Sir or Madam, It is desirable that the sovereignty of the individual member states be preserved. Each of the states usually has a ministry of health that can decide whether there is actually a pandemic or not. It would also make sense that contributions to the financing of the WHO come from the individual member states. Contributions to the financing of the WHO should not come from foundations. As a rule, these foundations are backed by interest groups that want to see their own ideas taken into account. This would lead to a distortion of decisions on the part of the WHO. The past has shown that in the meantime a pandemic can be declared at any time, for which the criteria are not comprehensible. The criteria for when to declare a pandemic and then lead to the pandemic situation have been adjusted in recent years. Here, the member states no longer have the right of veto to exert a regulatory influence. With best regards from Germany Robert Brandelik
Sovereign Being	Dear Sir or Madam, Thank you for the opportunity to respond to your proposal. While I'm aware of the need to safeguard against any future pandemic or bio warfare, I hold my democratic freedoms dear. My added suggestion for your charter is that all freedom loving nations hold this issue to individually decisive voting processes with their respective populations. I don't believe one single group could or should ever be given the power to govern any or all nations. That would be Authoritarianism. Even in the face of death, that is unacceptable. With all due respect to good intentions, I find myself quoting our great American hero, Patrick Henry; "Give me Liberty or give me Death".
Kodiak Horsepower	Dear Sir or Madam, The recent pandemic response was a complete disaster, all most worldwide. The policies reccommended caused tremendous loss of life, destruction of livelihoods, businesses, supply chains, lowered childhood development, and created the greatest movement of wealth from the poor and middle classes to the wealthy and billionare class in the history of our world. The WHO should develop an international instrument which prevents such a catastrophe from ever happening again by moving into an advisory role, permanently, and by developing the means to capture in real time the results across all nations of successful treatment strategies utilized by individual physicians to combat new and developing illnesses. This information gathering, analyzing, and movement forward with implementation, through a grading system of results, which are open to all licensed physicians, and of which all physicians may freely choose to utilize, or to not utilize, is an appropriate application of the WHO'S capacity to respond to an international pandemic, and would prevent the type of disaster we have just witnessed. Finally, pharmaceutical companies must not be allowed to make inaccurate claims of safety and efficacy for products in trial, and must maintain liability for all harms their for-profitproducts cause, and no individual may ever be forced or coerced into receiving treatment of any kind whatsoever. These are the appropriate issues to be addressed in the instrument under development. National Sovereignty, the rule of law, and human rights are the three foundations that all valid international agreements must abide by to maintain their legality.
Private	Dear Sir or Madam, We exclusively agree on the fact that local and national governments are to decide what is best for their people. It is absolutely against human rights, WHO forces a worldwide health agenda, superficially excluding local and realistic needs etc, and pushing an overall biosurveillance which the people, human beings will never accept because it is deeply against human nature incl evolutionary ethical components. Here, the conflict of interests reflects desastrous outcomes and not a single human is eager to ignore her/his nature nor existence. Human nature - past and presence proves it- will always hold on to its natural core and will never accept this kind of agenda.
None	Dear sir or madam: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. For health and freedom, MihaelaTelecan
Nil	Dear sir or madam: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
none	Dear sir or madam: At this point no systematic and public appraisal of the instruments and possibilities of public health concerns has occurred. The same is true regarding the scientifically rigorous, yet clear, appraisal of medical mistakes, inequalities and injustices during the so called Corona pandemic. The man-made Corona disaster has spread in all sectors of society. Any attempt to discuss the question ""What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" or possible solutions is pointless as long as we have not reviewed what happened and the results of any actions taken. In view of rising vaccine injuries and vaccine deaths, never mind the emerging economic catastrophe, any attempt to restrict solution finding to the same people who caused the current state of affairs is a sure path into disaster. Therefore, I urgently request: Stop this initiative! We need an open and public discussion - not such a clandestine hearing, in effect restricted to a secret society of influential players. We, the public, must be properly informed and involved. This has NOT happened - or why is it, that this so-called "public hearing" is not widely announced? Making it essentially impossible for us people to participate? After all, you claim the right to decide about the future of us, all humans on this planet. At this point you merely create a democratic fig leaf. Under these conditions the WHO lacks democratic legitimacy to decide about anything. Especially our future.
rConstiuttion.us	Dear Sir or Madam: The only substantive element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is an absolute prohibition on centralized action of any kind by the international body, of course including coordination of trials, issuance of guidance, and curation and dissemination of information. Entrenching a central single point of failure would be the least-robust, most-dangerous action that could be done in the name of improving health. It would cause widespread, inescapable failures by enabling pharma companies and socialist government healthcare producers to focus pressure on a single organization in order to gain their desired harmful results of suppression of inexpensive generic medicines, promotion of costly branded medicines, and monopolization of healthcare goods and services. If this action would take hold, it would extinguish all control groups that would otherwise provide invaluable evidence whenever traditional and alternatives approaches improve health more. There is no action that's as valuable as production and dissemination of accurate information. Centralized control permits dissemination of information, but the intrinsic political and commercial incentives and processes work strongly against the information disseminated being complete and accurate. The free exchange of information that is fastest and most valuable would become more-strongly inhibited the more strongly a central single point of failure would become entrenched. Sincerely, James Anthony St. Peters, Missouri, USA
Private Citizen	Dear Sir or Madam: The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Diane Miniel
Private Citizen	Dear Sir or Madam: The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, David Miniel

Organization	Written submission
Municipal Agency Manager	Dear Sir or Madam; Any agreement should include the following stipulations: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Must mandate and maintain an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NONE	Dear Sir or Madame, following the most substantive elements, which should be included in the new instrument: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Best wishes
General public	Dear Sir or Madame, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Furthermore I suppose several points for the management of future pandemics: - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law The Corona pandemic has learnt us the importance of these suggested points. Therefore, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Kind Regards Ami
Physiotherapist	Dear Sir or Madame, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Furthermore I suppose several points for the management of future pandemics: - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law The Corona pandemic has learnt us the importance of these suggested points. Therefore, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Kind Regards, Heike Rabl
none	Dear Sir or Madame, Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Also no social pressures on vaccines and discrimination of people' personal life attidude. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
World Citizen	Dear Sir or Madame, Substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is an unbiased approach to decisions based strictly on science and not profit driven choices made by corrupt opportunists, as well as freedom of choice to decide what type of medical treatment is best for oneself, which includes informed consent. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Respectfully, Mrs. Jessica Casas
EU Citizen	Dear Sir or Madame, as a EU citizen I don´t agree that decision-making power in regards to population health shall be transferred to a supranational organization. Civil rights and bodily autonomy are the fundamental basis of a democratic society. Such important question has to be discussed appropriately with and by the European population. The process lacks transparency as the majority of Europeans is not even aware what happens. Freedom of choice has to be respected. #StopTheTreaty
Privat Person	Dear Sir or Madame, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Furthermore I suppose several points for the management of future pandemics: - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law The Corona pandemic has learnt us the importance of these important points. Therefore,I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Kind Regards, Babsi Ch
World Health Support	Dear Sir I would wish to propose only two things: 1. Never, ever consider a general population lockdown for any future pandemic. The incidental damage of such an action is extraordinary in terms of individual mental health, individual physical health and, above all, the economic health of a district, region or country. The cure is far worse than the illness. 2. No enforcement of mandatory vaccinations. The Nuremberg Code of 1949 was written and accepted worldwide, including Helsinki Act 1964 involving experimental testing of human beings. The first point of the code states: "The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment. The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs, or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated."
Public participation	Dear Sir My response to your request for submission in what should be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is as follows: 1. Each countries National and local leadership should be allowed to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. All nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process should be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, and including failsafe measures that will prevent the global application of the agreement enforced in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures to be implement that do not allow for influence in the process, by any, and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours sincerely Jenny Williams
a private individual	Dear Sir, This Treaty should include natural law and civil rights pillars such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice. This Treaty should include safeguards that stop unelected officials, who are not representing people and are almost certainly influenced by wealthy donors, such as the Gates Foundation and GAVI, to declare unjustified pandemics, dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments (including experimental vaccines/gene therapies), and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. The people have the right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, well-being and livelihoods. Without an unbiased democratic process any agreement by the WHO will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid, so this Treaty should include contributions made by groups of scientists representing people, such as the World Council for Health. The WHO must not be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance because, while it receives funding from public sources (the taxpayer), it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private groups that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO's decisions and mandates. Therefore, safeguards against this kind of abuse of power must be included in this Treaty. Best regards Eva Collier
private person	Dear Sir, dear Madam of the WHO, I think the World Health Organisation cannot be a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" and thereby outrule national laws, measures and policies. Because: The WHO is not elected by the people, who would be affected by their pandemic preparedness and response measures, for example by mandatory vaccination programs with experimental vaccines and a technology, which is/was in many countries illegal, thus this would contradict national law, and would therefore be illegal. The WHO is highly influenced by their financiers and by stakeholders, many of the WHO employees have their longtime career background in the pharmaceutical/vaccine industry thus these WHO employees cannot act and decide independently. This circumstance is not how it should be and prevents any unbiased decision, additionally the relatedness with industry influences all taken measures. This is completely to refuse, particularly when pandemic laws and measures are hugely civil liberties. Furthermore such affiliations with industry and financing by industry in lawmaking organisations, especially in pandemic lawmaking – are not according to many national laws. The WHO should safeguard a mandatory disclosure of all affiliations with pharmaceutical companies and vaccine producers, of all acting people - whether inside WHO or in national organisations and policies.
General public	Dear Sir, dear Madam, thank you for involving the general public in efforts of improving pandemic preparedness. Substantive elements for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness are: - Redefining the definition of a pandemic in the way it was before 2009, which means: again including that a pandemic is also associated with a high mortality rate. This helps us in medicine and politics to a better focus if and where help is needed. - It is most important to strengthen the independence of health care and health science from economic interests. Any private partnership must be stopped in the future if private streams of profits become evident. - Any pandemic preparedness plan should not only rely on the supply of chemical drugs and vaccines, but broaden its spectrum of measures including nutrient-rich nutrition, traditional and alternative immune system promoting healing methods. - The future pandemic preparedness plan must guarantee state and individual sovereignty. Much damage was caused when people were locked in and couldn't run their businesses or see their next of kin. Health includes not only protection from a virus, but also mental and social wellbeing. Any pandemic preparedness plan must respect human rights. - Legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies is necessary for trustworthy measures. - Sharpen the awareness: Health is not digital surveillance. The future pandemic preparedness plan must explicitly refrain from digital surveillance tools or using health data as an entrance ticket for participation in the working and social world or traveling. Artificial electromagnetic fields can weaken human immune systems, which is to be avoided in the pandemic response. —
Self	Dear Sir, dear Madam, Thanks for giving people of this world an opportunity to speak. We don't need instruments to be prepared for pandemics, we just need to use common sense, eat in general healthily, work out, take nutritional supplements where we feel we don't get sufficient via our food. Some groups of people may lack in protein and Vitamin D so we need to make sure we provide this to these people. That is an element we should consider and be prepared throughout life but the WHO should have promoted this from day 1 of their existence! We can't allow again to stop children going to school, playing outside with their friends, having to wear masks - all without any evidence. Many 8 and 9 year old today can hardly write or read because of lack of schooling. We can't stop people again from travelling and enjoying to see the world, so no, I don't believe we need instruments of control or prepardness to get us through so called pandemics. Can you not recognise how much hardship the last 2 years caused to humanity, the economy etc. Don't you have families, friends yourself. Please please don't take our freedom away whatever influenza/ corona season is around the corner. A very concerned person of the world, Silvia
Individual	Dear sir, madam, Any new to be launched instrument shall take into account a national and local leadership retaining full autonomy. Countries shall reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Such international instrument shall solely be used to give guidance to the member countries, with nations and local municipalities having the possibility to deviate or opt out of any and all portions of the instrument. Furthermore, it is of utmost importance that such instrument is chosen and supported democratically, for which an open and transparent process shall be needed. Last but not least the instrument shall be free from any interference/influence by the pharmaceutical companies or any other global health profiteers. Best regards, Henkjan
Dutch citizen	 Dear Sir, Madam, In answer to your question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I would like to add the following fundamental principles we should adhere to in a free society: - When policies are made, democratic representation and redirection should be guaranteed. - Full transparency and accountability should be guaranteed. - For every policy a full and open check on subsidiarity and proportionality should be made and published before the policy is enacted. - When there is risk, there should be choice. - If there's any risk of conflict of interest, people and parties involved should be removed from the policy making process and the making of the policy should be completely reconsidered and start anew. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Further, I strongly believe that a centralized, one size fits all approach is not the way to go. For your information: I do not consent. Kind regards, Titus
Personal submission	Dear Sir, Madam, In answer to your question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" in relation to a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as introduced by the WHO, I would like to submit the following criteria that should be included in my humble opinion: 1 - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. On top of that I would like to stress that the mere question is not addressing the main underlying question on the necessity of such an instrument and, if deemed necessary, how the execution should be organised and where. With this proposed new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as introduced by the WHO, a global shift in leadership from nation states to WHO (and the underlying corporate and NGO organisations) is introduced without any democratic consultation nor endorsement. For your information: I do not consent. Kind regards, Maaike Riemersma
General public	Dear Sir, Madam, In answer to your question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" in relation to a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as introduced by the WHO, I would like to submit the following criteria that should be included in my humble opinion: 1 - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. On top of that I would like to stress that the mere question is not addressing the main underlying question on the necessity of such an instrument and, if deemed necessary, how the execution should be organised and where. With this proposed new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as introduced by the WHO, a global shift in leadership from nation states to WHO (and the underlying corporate and NGO organisations) is introduced without any democratic consultation nor endorsement. For your information: I do not consent. Kind regards, Anthony Katgert
ButeykoCapelle	Dear sir, madam, We live in a world with billions of people in countries with different conditions in climate, health, nature and circumstances. It isn't possible that an organisation as the WHO can make the decisions for each of them . It would be contraproductive to develop democracy . I therefore reject to all degrees in which this legislation of the WHO thinks to handle to block the freedom and health of the free People all over the world. When there will be a vote to an unnecessary yes, the WHO is claiming the dictature over the world and we didn't asked for that at all. I vote NO. Let peoples health to be an item for selfresponsibility. Monique Engels
None	Dear Sir, Madam. The treaty should in my opinion under no circumstances undermine the sovereignty of the nation states. This would be undemocratic. Instead complience should be achieved through education and public available data. Information and data should always be available to the public throughout the emergency. Yours sincerely, Britta James
Self	Dear Sir, Madame With all my respect i deeply belive in personal autonomy and personal decision on how to protect ourselves in the case of Any Pandemic. I deeply belive in Democracy and personal choice in All regards, especially in terms of medications and vaccines. Please STOP THE TREATY. Respectfully, Marzena Preis, Canada
self employed	Dear sir, I believe everyone should have freedom to select whether they have vaccinations or not. Being healthy means having their own rights to select their own treatment.So please respect and protect the freedom of selection. best regards Rumi Takahashi
General Public	Dear Sir, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Tiffiny Halblaub
Catholic Church Member	Dear Sir, I am in opposition to any International Treaty. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Diane
None	Dear Sir, Thank you for your concerns. Please refrain from implementing this agreement as it violates national sovereignty. Or, included should be a line that states this agreement is not mandatory or enforceable by any agency external to the signatory nation including the WHO. Thank you. Sincerely, Bill
retired teacher, Portugal	Dear Sir, I am very preocupied that WHO and the UN decides that a new pandemic response instrument should be launched. First, we don't have a clear idea of what it will be; then, we don't know who and how it will be controlled it. Finally I think the role of WHO is to coordinate, not to substitute itself to national health policies decided by the various countries. I wish the best for WHO and its members. Many useful things can be done but not a sort of «international health passport» or «international mandatory vaccine passport» or «an automatic vaccination program for international travellers». Only in real cases of epidemics should there be restrictions and mandate for vaccinations as long as really necessary. Thank you Manuel Baptista
General public	Dear Sir, I hereby inform you that I will completely oppose, and I have also instructed my local and national members of parliament to oppose to your proposed Pandemic response treaty, unless the below conditions will be incorporated into the treaty: - National and local governments / leadership will retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make their own health and associated decisions based on what is best for their own people, without any consequences / pressure imposed by the WHO - The ability of nations and local governments / leadership to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences / pressure imposed by the WHO - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Strict and adequate measures that do not allow for any influence in the process of declaring and / or handling a pandemic by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Dear Sir/ Madam 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
None	Dear Sir/ Madam No i do not agree to your proposal, choice, liberty and freedom are the democratic right of individuals. The centralization of anything can never be an alternative to the needs if independent nations. Thank you.
Barclaycard	Dear Sir/ Madame, The substantive elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies for EUA and fully licenced products. Kind Regards Susan Ross
None	Dear Sir/Ma'am, I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Respectfully, Annalee Baimbridge
Private citizen	Dear sir/madam My name is Darren Smith and I am from Queensland Australia and I do not consent to the world health organisation proposed pandemic treaty. I do not consent to having my fundamental rights and freedoms restricted in any way. Regards Darren Smith

Organization	Written submission
Art-Energy LLC	Dear Sir/Madam 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Igor Nazarov
N/A	Dear Sir/Madam I am asking that the WHO desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens as this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The WHO will not threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.is to be null and void.
None	Dear sir/madam I believe in the following points, 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks
Self	Dear Sir/Madam I feel there should be NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I live in New Zealand and New Zealanders should be the ones deciding themselves what is right for them. Each individual country has it's own rules, regulations, should make it's own decisions. We live in each individual country, not a world wide run country. It is not up to the WHO or any other country/state/person/organisation to make rules/decisions for the entire world. Please stop this notion immediately. Kind regards Ragini
Self	Dear Sir/Madam In order for nation states and citizens of those states to retain their sovereignty and human rights, I propose that this charter include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Alan Corne
PES	Dear Sir/Madam Kindly take note of the following: The World Health Organisation is not voted by me, nor my municipality, nor my country. I retain full autonomy on national and local levels. I reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for myself and expect that my municipality councillours and government officials will the the best of my country. I, as well as my local municipality and government of my country is to opt out of any and all sections of this agreement as they see fit, without consequence to myself, my municipaility and my government. I have not voted you into power. We, as the people of the world, want to vote on any section of this proposal. Kindly refrain from overreaching the world population - we want to decide for ourselves. All pharmaceutical companies and health profiteers to have no say in these measures. I have not voted for them. Kind regards ST Procos
Private individual	Dear Sir/Madam Thank you for the opportunity to respond to this treaty. I firmly believe that all local and national governments must be given the opportunity to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit and there must be no consequence as a result. There should be national and local leadership with full autonomy with the right to make decisions based on the needs of their own people, one size does not fit all. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures in place that do not allow for any influence in any of the processes by any and all pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers. Thank you Julie Kelly
Private individual	Dear Sir/Madam The most important substantive elements should be that The particiaption of Nations and local municipalities is entirely voluntary and they can opt out of any and all agreements at any given time without any consequences. Nations must be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards Kerstin de la Rue
none	Dear Sir/Madam Treaties that remove power from nations and local regions are in reality dangerous to the health and welfare of the very populations they claim to safeguard. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not and must not have overarching global power over local health decisions and it will be wrong for our nation state, Canada or any local regional jurisdictions to agree to any legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Following the spirit of that very Constitution, it is in fact impossible for the WHO to ensure sufficient informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of a global public to uphold the improvement of the health of the people throughout the world. In fact, it is impossible for the WHO to know what is required or how to best safeguard the common good. Furthermore there is clearly questionable "science" and political agendas that underlie these kinds of decisions. No global entity can justly or effectively place itself in a position of decision authority above those who are closer to the people, the local environment and the real circumstances involved. Please let it be noted that we oppose this Global proposal by WHO. Judy/Bert McCutcheon.
WCH	Dear Sir/Madam We ask for An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And we also need Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, and no conflict of interest Our body autonomy, informed consent and our right to choose other alternative treatments should be protected.
Education	Dear Sir/Madam It is with genuine, serious concern I write to formally object to the WHO TREATY taking total control of health regulations for independent human beings from independent countries, against all human rights and International Treaties. The International interference over recent years has resulted in devastation of economies, deterioration in human health and security of nations. The WHO is therefore obligated to provide for sovereign individual rights, and State rights, respecting Human Rights for all. Liability for imposed Pharmaceuticals MUST be included for all levels of adverse reactions, caused by all/any associated parties. The last two years adverse reactions to the imposed Clinical Trials, are a transparent reflection of agendas NOT in the interests of any country or its public. WHO only needs to ensure accurate, honest open reporting and timely communication pertaining to any health concerns. My colleagues, my family and my local community are all watching events very closely. Yours sincerely Lesley Harrison
Private citizen	Dear sir/madam My name is Darren Smith and I am from Queensland Australia and I object and do not consent to the proposed WHO pandemic treaty. I do not consent in having my rights and freedoms restricted in any way. Regards Darren Smith
Tree House Sanctuary	Dear Sir/Madam, First of all, all national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. Second, there must be a provision for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Third, an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be installed. Sincerely, Vija Rogozina
Bespoke Niche Marketing Ltd.	Dear Sir/Madam, I wholeheartedly object to the World Health Organisation dictating my future and sovereignty in matters of a pandemic. Especially overriding my elected representatives. Regards, Andrew Ross
Get up Stand up for your Rights	Dear Sir/Madam, Organisations and businesses are operating in a clandestine manner in my opinion as they change Terms and Conditions without proper consent or make it hard/impossible for the individual/customer to oppose. This is what's wrong with the world. Business, greed and power. Businesses operating in favour of their targets rather than the satisfying the clients needs.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	Please see the statement below that reflects my views and opinions. These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
General Public	Dear Sir/Madam, In regard to the New International Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness and Response: 1. Personal medical choice, body integrity and body autonomy must be paramount at all times. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards,
freelance	Dear sir/madam, I oppose compulsory vaccination as a clear violation of human rights. Of corse I know vaccination has helped promote the welfare of our life, but there is no guarantee of the safety of the medicines to be vaccinated in the future. Best regards, Kanako
none	Dear Sir/Madam, Any proposal must have the following elements: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Any measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
N/A	Dear Sir/Madam, As a dual citizen from Denmark and Canada, I very strongly oppose the signing of an international treaty on future pandemic management, as this would remove the rights from our own governments to decide on their own course of action in the future, which I believe should be essential rights of sovereign countries. As we've seen in the management of the COVID pandemic, there have been different approaches in different countries, resulting in many different outcomes. We have now mandatory vaccinations in some countries that have essentially removed the rights of individual citizens to make choices for themselves. Not only that, but the most vaccinated countries are also the ones where the number of COVID cases have been the highest. As such, a one-size-fits-all approach does not seem to be a desirable solution. Nor is it one that allows for healthy scientific debate. Yours sincerely, Peter Lykke Nielsen
N/A	Dear Sir/Madam, As a dual citizen from France and Canada, I very strongly oppose the signing of an international treaty on future pandemic management, as this would remove the rights from our own governments to decide on their own course of action in the future, which I believe should be essential rights of sovereign countries. As we've seen in the management of the COVID pandemic, there have been many different approaches in different countries, resulting in very different outcomes. We now have mandatory vaccinations in some countries that have essentially removed the rights of individual citizens to make choices for themselves. Not only that, but the most vaccinated countries are also the ones where the number of COVID cases have been the highest. As such, a one-size-fits-all approach does not seem to be a desirable solution. Nor is it one that allows for a healthy scientific debate. Yours sincerely, Sophie Bizeul
None	Dear Sir/Madam, As an Australian citizen I am writing to you regarding your "pandemic preparedness and response". I vehemently oppose this attempt to remove nation sovereignty from individual countries. There is already massive distrust amongst organisations and governments globally, by citizens from every country, due to the underhanded way the coronavirus pandemic has been managed. A globalist move such as what is suggested here will inevitably inflame this distrust further. Individual countries have a right to manage their affairs without the input of an unelected organisation. Fiona.
Singapore Institute of Management	Dear sir/madam, Considering what the world has gone through with the COVID-19 pandemic. I think it is suffice to say that countries and nations have the ability to work together. The main substantive element that needs to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, is autonomy for nations and states to determine what is best, in collaboration with one another, without an over-arching instrument. To include an international instrument to govern pandemic preparedness lends light that governments of nations and states are inept and incompetent, or do not care for their citizens. So allowing nations and states to take responsibility and allow their citizens to take responsibility for their health is vital. We can tell that even though certain countries have bought up more of the vaccines, leaving certain nations with fewer vaccines, has not detrimentally hurt the latter nations. Thus, I have confidence in the governments of nations and states to do what they see best, as well as individual citizens of their states and nations to do what they (we) must do the survive.
Retired Chiropractor	Dear Sir/Madam, I am concerned over your proposal, because it violates the Human Rights Act of 1998, it minimises the Siracusa Principles of 1998 and breaches the Nuremberg code of 1947. All of these have been created to safeguard the future of Humanity, their transgression is thereby unacceptable. Love, compassion and nurture under the auspices of the Lord Jesus are the only acceptable modes of existence. Yours sincerely, Dr. Chris Richardson DC
UK citizen	Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing about my concerns that the WHO is considering a worldwide one-size-fits-all pandemic treaty. Please do not go ahead with a treaty that may prevent national and local leadership from retaining full autonomy. Nations must reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be free to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process must be available and all people of the world must be allowed to vote for what they see is best for their nation including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also important that measures are put in place to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours faithfully, Shirley Burgess
I am citizen.	Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing to you as an ordinary citizen of the UK. I object strongly to trans national laws superseding the democratic will of sovereign parliaments or legislatures. In times of a health crisis we must let individual nations decide the best response for their country and people. Yours faithfully, Thomas Mc Caughey.
NA	Dear Sir/Madam, I believe that any future pandemic response would be best managed and coordinated at an individual national level, taking into consideration the individual local circumstances and using expertise available nationally which would understand thes prevalent conditions. In addition, international management cannot have access to national health data as this may be considered sensitive and could contravene national laws which provide or the privacy and security of their citizens. Any attempts to overcome such laws would be likely to bring the relevant international organisations into disrepute. There must therefore be opt-outs of any elements deemed appropriate at a national level, as a 'one size fits all' approach would be unacceptable to national citizens and likely to be doomed to failure. Further opt-outs and safe-guards must also be included to avoid the all-too-common spectacle of regulatory-capture and the corrupting influence of affluent multi-national corporations. Without these safe-guards and opt-outs there is likely to be permanent reputational damage to thevrelevant international organisations implementing these measures. Yours sincerely, JM
Public	Dear sir/madam, I believe that the national and local leadership should retain full autonomy and should be able to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is important that nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Also you should perform an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally measures should be taken to ensure that there is no undue influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Dear Sir/Madam, I object to the Pandemc Treaty for the following reasons 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	Dear Sir/Madam, I oppose any attempt to make health responses on a global basis. The WHO have shown these are antidemocratic and antihuman as well as being incapable of responding to the facts on the ground. The influence of unelected officials in the minutiae of life is to be opposed not promoted as it will inevitably lead to further and further excise of power under the guise of health. The push for lockdowns in 2020 promoted by the WHO, with the horrenous collateral damages to human health and well being, has shown that this must be dealt with at a local level where responses can deal with the situation at hand not dictates from upon high from those who are unlikely to be even aware of the situation on the ground. My doctor is aware of my history, you are not. There should be no substantive elements included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This removes consent from individuals and nations and is to be resisted as it always has been.
N/A	Dear Sir/Madam, I strongly believe in the idea that every human being on our planet has his/her inalienable rights, which must not be taken away by anybody under any circumstances. So, in all events and circumstances: 1. The Treaty must not interfere with universal free will of any individual person in any country. 2. Freedom of choice, constitutional and human rights, and national rights must not be affected by the Treaty. 3. The Treaty must not override the Constitution of any country. 4. If any of the Treaty statements contradict the principles of The Nuremberg Code (1947), then The Nuremberg Code (1947) prevails. 5. For thousands of years fresh air and free movement were known to help human health. Vaccination should not be considered the only method to tackle the world-wide problems, and age-old approaches to boosting our evolved natural immunity must be considered just as valid. 5. There must be full transparency and accountability on the Treaty and the INB proceedings and deliberations. Helen
Retired Chiropractor	Dear Sir/Madam, I write with concern about the above proposal. It violates the Human Rights Act of 1998, it minimises the Siracusa Principles of 1952 and breaches the Nuremberg Code of 1947. As such it is unacceptable to We, the People who adhere to the teachings of Our Lord Jesus Christ. Love, compassion and nurture are everything. Humanty's survival is important on a Galactic scale. Yours sincerely, Chris Richardson
Self	Dear Sir/Madam, It is with great concern that I write to you. The above proposal violates the Human Rights act of 1998, it ignores the Siracusa Principles of 1952, it destroys the Hippocratic Oath and breaches the Nuremberg code of 1947. As such it is unacceptable. Respectfully, Chris Richardson

Organization	Written submission
Individual	Dear Sir/Madam, Please note I strongly oppose the current proposition and would ask that you please take note of the below: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best, Nic
USA citizen	Dear Sir/Madam, An effective way to prepare for a pandemic is to have a large panel of of treating physicians, research scientists, psychiatrists, economists, etc (200-500), who represent a larger body of treating physicians, scientists, psychiatrists, economists. etc. The physicians must be a fair sampling of regions geographically, economically, age, etc. The physicians MUST not be financially influenced or have any relationship to the pharmaceutical industry or governments. When the panel is selected and needs to convene they must be given access to the larger group they represent, must be given the forum, encouragement and respect to debate the science, share and report treatments and outcomes, etc. If any one person who makes recommendations, gives opinions without being questions, debated or is censored by media then the public is misled and moves forward on their own accord with distrust. There is nothing good that will come when a handful of "experts" dictates what all world citizens should or should not do. There are far too many individual circumstances to be considered.
Epidemica Ltd	Dear Sir/Madam, Firstly, I would question the need for a global pandemic treaty. However, if one is to be created, I would recommend that the best means of preparing would be to increase natural immunity (to any infectious agent) by promoting healthy eating (low sugar, unprocessed foods, grass-fed meat), vitamin D at high enough doses over autumn/winter, zinc and zinc ionophores and anti-inflammatories. Any interventions recommended by the WHO must remain optional (without derogatory consequences) at the individual level and not be counter to the Nuremberg Code or Declaration of Helsinki. The people of the world must not be coerced. Treatments or preventatives must never be mandatory. Thank you for your kind attention to these matters, which are fundamental to human dignity. Yours faithfully, Katherine MacGilchrist, MSc (Epidemiology)
private citizen	Dear Sir/Madam, I am a knowledgeable citizen, retired lawyer, and follower of scientific literature and debate. I am deeply concerned about any policies, laws or treaties that require US citizens to do something in the context of health simply because a supranational agency claims they must. The lessons from the COVID-19 global experience include that a diversity of approaches to an unprecedented health issue is best. Different countries and peoples face different challenges and deserve the latitude to tailor any responses to their particular situations. We learn best by observing which types of responses are most effective and preserve autonomy wherever possible [such as comparing Sweden's approach to other countries'], allowing solutions to bubble up from practitioners with hands-on experiences with patients, not from bureaucrats working in offices far removed from actual clinical experience. From my observations, policies that undermined individual medical privacy and attempted to sweep the entire globe into a one-size-fits-all protocol or prescription did not successfully combat the spread or severity of COVID-19. The most promising treatments were those developed by individual practitioners based on the health needs of individual patients. Any global pandemic treaty that would legally bind countries to act according to supranational "consensus" would necessarily impede that process of health care and undermine the sovereignty of individuals making decisions about their own health needs. Respectfully submitted, Elizabeth Arnold Greenbelt, MD USA
Citizen	Dear Sir/Madam, I believe that The WHO should provide guidance only on pandemic preparedness and response. I stress the word "guidance" because challenges in health are never solved with a "one size fits all" approach. I have experienced an enormous degradation of quality of life and health brought on by blanket rules that have been put in place under emergency measures and it has done little to help the population. Each country, each citizen has multiple facets that cannot be catered for using a broad international instrument. Guidance based on categories such as population density, age groups, health status, etc should be provided as GUIDANCE only. Sincerely, M Hambley
private individual	Dear Sir/Madam, I request the inclusion of a guarantee that all State and individual sovereignty will be respected in any preparedness and responses to a pandemic. . We are no longer a civilized society if we do not uphold the rights of individual human beings to choose eg. what substances enter their bodies .It is imperative that the human being is considered as a being of body, soul and spirit, and all three elements need to be nutured according to an individual's choice especially in times of crisis. The opinions of all medical professionals, especially doctors, should be seriously considered.No doctor should be penalized or lose their right to practice, for helping individuals maintain their freedom of choice. Pharmaceutical companies need to be held legally liable for any damage their products cause to a persons health.. Also in cases of death. Yours sincerely Mary Mansbridge
None	Dear Sir/Madam/X, I respectfully request that any agreement you develop maintains he ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Alexis Kaiser
N/A	Dear Sir/Madam: I am opposed to any world organization controlling the health agenda of any sovereign nation without that nation voting on each and every one of the motions. The community itself makes better informed decisions for its residents than any external agency. Any agreement must be written so that any nations government can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement...without consequences...as said nation sees best for its people. The vote must be free and transparent which will prevent the unwelcome and unfair application of the global agreement. Pharmaceutical corporations or their representatives or employees cannot be allowed to exert undue pressure on the process nor the outcome of the process itself. Thanking you beforehand for your consideration of my thoughts, I remain Yours truly, Bruce Bushey
Fatima	Dear Sir/Madam: I do not believe that the W.H.O. should be vested with any executive power to deal with pandemic preparedness and response. W.H.O. can be a coordinating body for statistics as well as efforts among various sovereign nations whose leaders are elected through a democratic process. I believed based on a true global democratic framework, the substantive elements included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must contain the following element;- (1) National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving basic rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. (2) The ability of nations and local municipalities democratically elected, to opt out of any/all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. (3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. (4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other profit oriented health companies. Sincerely, Fatima Diosaban Philippines
None - citizen of the USA	Dear Sir/Madam: Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the following question: What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without any adverse consequence. 3. An open and transparent process permiting all peoples of the world to vote and includes a failsafe measure that prevents the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not permit influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other global health profiteers in the process.
Private citizen	Dear Sir/Madam: I strongly believe the following items should be included in the proposed international instrument on pandemic preparedness & response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Joe Tewes
The Rid the World from Evil Organizations and their Puppet Masters	Dear Sir/Madame/ We the (remaining) free people of the World demand that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours faithfully, Gregory Johnson
General Public	Dear sir/madame, As A LIVE HUMAN BEING and citizen of the world, I do not consent in any way to such a treaty. I do not CONSENT to such treaty in any way because such treaty would intervene in BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS. That would especially be violation of the right to the freedom of inviolability of the human body and the freedom of the LIVE HUMAN BEING to decide about his/her's body. Such treaty would also mean a harsh interference in the internal policy of the country's and it's constitutional and legal order of the country and ruling of the law of the country's. Therefore I as a LIVE HUMAN BEING DO NOT CONSENT TO ANY TREATY THAT WOULD HAVE THE POWER AND WOULD MEAN INTERFERENCE IN ANY COUNTRY'S LEGAL ORDER AND ALSO IN ANY WAY IN VIOLATION OF BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS. So from my point of view there are no needs and justification of existance of such treaty because every country and that country and it's citizens alone has the authority to decide about it's health policy and internal policies. I do not consent to any issued international instrument or treaty in such manner. Best regards!
General public	Dear sir/madame, As A LIVE HUMAN BEING and citizen of the world, I do not consent in any way to such a treaty. I do not CONSENT to such treaty in any way because such treaty would intervene in BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS. That would especially be violation of the right to the freedom of inviolability of the human body and the freedom of the LIVE HUMAN BEING to decide about his/her's body. Such treaty would also mean a harsh interference in the internal policy of the country's and it's constitutional and legal order of the country and ruling of the law of the country's. Therefore I as a LIVE HUMAN BEING DO NOT CONSENT TO ANY TREATY THAT WOULD HAVE THE POWER AND WOULD MEAN INTERFERENCE IN ANY COUNTRY'S LEGAL ORDER AND ALSO IN ANY WAY IN VIOLATION OF BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS. So from my point of view there are no needs and justification of existance of such treaty because every country and that country and it's citizens alone has the authority to decide about it's health policy and internal policies. I do not consent to any issued international instrument or treaty in such manner. Best regards!
Anonimus	Dear sir/madame, As A LIVE HUMAN BEING and citizen of the world, I do not consent in any way to such a treaty. I do not CONSENT to such treaty in any way because such treaty would intervene in BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS. That would especially be violation of the right to the freedom of inviolability of the human body and the freedom of the LIVE HUMAN BEING to decide about his/her's body. Such treaty would also mean a harsh interference in the internal policy of the country's and it's constitutional and legal order of the country and ruling of the law of the country's. Therefore I as a LIVE HUMAN BEING DO NOT CONSENT TO ANY TREATY THAT WOULD HAVE THE POWER AND WOULD MEAN INTERFERENCE IN ANY COUNTRY'S LEGAL ORDER AND ALSO IN ANY WAY IN VIOLATION OF BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS. So from my point of view there are no needs and justification of existance of such treaty because every country and that country and it's citizens alone has the authority to decide about it's health policy and internal policies. I strongly oppose any WHO interference in any pandemic. I do not consent to any issued international instrument or treaty in such manner. Best regards!
General public	Dear sir/madame, As A LIVE HUMAN BEING and citizen of the world, I do not consent in any way to such a treaty. I do not CONSENT to such treaty in any way because such treaty would intervene in BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS. That would especially be violation of the right to the freedom of inviolability of the human body and the freedom of the LIVE HUMAN BEING to decide about his/her's body. Such treaty would also mean a harsh interference in the internal policy of the country's and it's constitutional and legal order of the country and ruling of the law of the country's. Therefore I as a LIVE HUMAN BEING DO NOT CONSENT TO ANY TREATY THAT WOULD HAVE THE POWER AND WOULD MEAN INTERFERENCE IN ANY COUNTRY'S LEGAL ORDER AND ALSO IN ANY WAY IN VIOLATION OF BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS. So from my point of view there are no needs and justification of existance of such treaty because every country and that country and it's citizens alone has the authority to decide about it's health policy and internal policies. I strongly oppose any WHO interference in any pandemic. I do not consent to any issued international instrument or treaty in such manner. Best regards!
Anonim organizarion	Dear sir/madame, As A LIVE HUMAN BEING and citizen of the world, I do not consent in any way to such a treaty. I do not CONSENT to such treaty in any way because such treaty would intervene in BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS. That would especially be violation of the right to the freedom of inviolability of the human body and the freedom of the LIVE HUMAN BEING to decide about his/her's body. Such treaty would also mean a harsh interference in the internal policy of the country's and it's constitutional and legal order of the country and ruling of the law of the country's. Therefore I as a LIVE HUMAN BEING DO NOT CONSENT TO ANY TREATY THAT WOULD HAVE THE POWER AND WOULD MEAN INTERFERENCE IN ANY COUNTRY'S LEGAL ORDER AND ALSO IN ANY WAY IN VIOLATION OF BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS. So from my point of view there are no needs and justification of existance of such treaty because every country and that country and it's citizens alone has the authority to decide about it's health policy and internal policies. I strongly oppose any WHO interference in any pandemic. I do not consent to any issued international instrument or treaty in such manner. Best regards!
Filipe de Oliveira	Dear Sir/Madame, I am writing to you in relation to the WHO launching of the process to develop an historic global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. We believe that it is paramount that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must always and under all circumstances be an option. Nations must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And last but not least, measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies/industry or other global health profiteers. An organisation such as the WHO should be completely free from any interests and only truly honestly be concerned for the health of the people. The above mentioned points must always be upheld and honestly respected, in order to maintain and follow a non authoritarian democratic world for the true good, freedom and well-being of all humanity. Kind regards, Filipe de Oliveira
A citizen	Dear Sir/Madame, I'm writing as a highly concerned citizen. I'm worried that changes you would like to introduse to global health policies won't increase quality of our lives. I strongly believe that national and local leadership have to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is an essential to free people and have to be maintained as well as an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are a must in a current situation. Sincerely yours, Grzegorz Gorski
Foundation for Endangered Languages	Dear Sir/Mam I oppose any global or international health treaty. I will make my own health decisions in consultation with my doctor. Thanks Doug
Citizen of the United Kingdom	The text below reflects my view and hence why I have used it to help me communicate in this public hearing my views, values and wishes. These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Youthforhumanrights.org	Dear sir:
	We are all individuals, with different bodies and minds. We don't have a one size fits all approach to our own health and wellbeing.
	  What you're proposing will eliminate all private and personalised Doctor / patient relations.
	  I never take drugs as an example, and always go to my Naturapath whenever anything isn't quite right with my body or mind.
	  It's worked wonderfully well for me personally.
	  If I'm forced to undergo some kind of medical procedure against my will, it's going to cause psychological and emotional and spiritual issues.
	  Please reconsider your plans and look at the big picture. This will only enslave and potentially sicken mankind,all in the guise of "Help". I know this is not what you really want to have happen.
	  End your proposal now.
	  Kind regards George Georgievski.

Personal	Dear Sir: I am writing to voice my objection to the WHO pandemic treaty. No organization or document should supersede the United State of America's constitution. I do not believe in globalism. This is the beginning of that agenda and needs to be stopped now. My country is perfectly capable of handling their own pandemic response. I am shocked that early treatments have been ignored in response to Covid. I have five comorbidities (heart failure, Afib, Hypertension, Pulmonary Hypertension, Primary Immune Deficiency) and had Covid during the Delta variant. I received a monoclonal antibody treatment, Ivermectin and inhaled Budesonide; I recovered very quickly with no damage or long haul Covid issues. My physician is independent from any corporate medical facility and treated me the day I tested positive for Covid. I was well the next day! Please register this message as a "no" response to the need for a WHO directed pandemic treaty. Sincerely, Betsy White
none	Dear Sir: I believe the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include these elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration, Adelaide
Citizen	Dear Sir: I believe your international instrument for pandemic preparedness should affirm that all the nations of the world retain full autonomy and that those nations reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. You should uphold the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. You should conduct an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote. Your instrument should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. You should adopt measures that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, J. Johnson
Citizen	Dear Sir: The following are critical items that MUST be included in this agreement. Accountability and the demands of individual rights and democratic society demand it. The world has seen enough of un-elected persons making decisions for populations with no right to do so, and no accountability. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely. Paul Jamison
Self	Dear Sir: I respectfully request you read my concerns; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely Michelle Drotos
Individual	Dear Sirs - Pandemic emergency contingency plans such as lockdowns, medical mandates, mask-wearing, social distancing etc must be solely decided by the democratically elected governments of each country. No international agencies nor unelected bodies, must EVER be allowed to override our own emergency policies to inflict fines, unproven mandates and overreaching control. Furthermore, human rights and bodily autonomy must prevail without question as it is our right, and we must have the freedom to decide our personal risks and health challenges without draconian (nor medical) intervention that is severely detrimental to our health to a greater degree than the perceived/over-estimated risks and over-reported outcomes/deaths. Any person(s) imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, MUST be held accountable for their actions. No person responsible for dictating these rules, may benefit directly nor indirectly, nor have any patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from rules imposed.

Organization	Written submission
None	Dear Sirs & Madams, The WHO should never have the right to mandate or dictate any rules in future pandemics. This should be always be left to local governments to decide (after open debate with scientists and experts) on Solutions for us the people.
Freelance Broadcast Professional	Dear Sirs / Madams I would like to herewith express my concerns about the global pandemic treaty and ask you to note that I and many others do not consent to medical sovereignty of individuals and individual countries being overwritten by the WHO in case of yet another pandemic. My comments are as follows: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. I object to and withdraw my consent from measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours sincerely Anika Plinel
Resiliency Institute Corporation	Dear Sirs and Madames, I will not comply with any compulsory instructions, demands, regulations, etc.from the World Health Organization (WHO) which is an unelected body of individuals. Anything that violates the sovereignty of my country, i.e., Canada is not only unacceptable, it would be illegal. I will be urging my federal and provincial political representatives to not sign on to this draconian agreement. If they do, for whatever reason, I can promise that the Canadian people will take to the streets in revolt. Yours sincerely, Christie B. Rush President & CEO Resiliency Institute Corporation Vancouver, British Columbia Canada
Gradalis Teacher Training	Dear Sirs and Madames, Please consider the following options. 1. Allow national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Ensure the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Create an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. I hope to see these and other suggestions that allow for freedom of the people and governments to be exercised. Shari Adams
None	Dear sirs and madams, Here's what I thought should be taken into consideration regarding the above projected instrument. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for taking this into consideration!
Non	Dear Sirs and Madams, while WHO does a stellar job in supporting health visions througout the world I think it is very important to let nations decide for themselvs how to respond to possible pandemics, because e.g. a government elect will be more in touch with what their people need foremost in such a crisis. Decentralizing is key for a fast and effektive response to a pandemic crisis, as other crisises have already shown.
- independent -	Dear Sirs and Madams, the following elements should be included in any contract of the WHO's members on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Consequent respect for the inalienable human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. With best regards Matthis Kratzmann
Individual	Dear Sirs and Madams, I urgently ask for the following to elements to be included in a new international instrument for preparednes on pandemic response in order to avoid the undermining of democratic rights of all states and individuals. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, Kristine Juergensen
none	Dear Sirs and Madams, the question assumes that such a treaty was to come about, wich I oppose. Since there is at least a certain possibilty of influence via funding on your organization (Gates-Foundation, pharma industry) and no democratic election process in wich "the people" would have a say, there is just no justification to give that much power to WHO. Since Covid, we saw (I'm talking about "Western" countries, especially Germany) a unprecedented decline of basic human rights, all in the name of "health". And this have been our "chosen leaders", the ones we could vote out of office. I fear what might happen if such possibilities are given to an organization wich is not elected by "us" and isn't subjected to any kind of checks and balances at all. Sincerely
None	Dear Sirs and Madams, In asking the question, What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?", the assumption is made that there should be an international instrument. There should not. Our world is diverse, and solutions that make sense for Australia may not make sense for Zimbabwe. Instead, national and local leaderships should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, allowing nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of this agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Nations and municipalities stands a better chance of making decisions with the best interest of their citizens in mind. International instruments by definition are fallible, allowing for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Before instituting any international agreement, allow for an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
WHIE COLLAR	Dear Sirs and Madams, We are the nation with a rich history. We appreciate our independence and We don't want WHO to make rules for our country. We survived wars in diseases and We will cope with health problem by ourselves . We wish We would make our own decisions regarding future pandemias and medical care. Tkank you for offering us your care but we prefer to be cured by Polish independent doctors who know the conditions of our living and local needs and medicines. Your sincerelly, Margaret Kowalska
retired	Dear Sirs and Madams: Regarding the proposed international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response- I support national and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, including the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The proposed instrument must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on its implementation, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is especially important that the proposed measures must ban any influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Also, pharmaceutical and other for-profit concerns must not be allowed to make demands for legal indemnity or control of national resources in exchange for products or services. Thank you for your efforts and for the opportunity to comment on the proposed measures.
Private Citizen	Dear Sirs and Ms's: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The instrument needs to include all of the following language: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration, Best regards, Mr. Kevin B. Brown
Private	Dear Sirs or Madam, please never force anyone in taking vaccines the individual does not like to have never make a medical treatment mandatory people should always and under all circumstances have their own will and say. Please listen to doctors and medical staff not only to theories.
Humanity	Dear Sirs or madam, please take my heartfelt wishes into account I very strongly wish to retain National and local leadership's full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I wish to keep my freedom to choose what happens to MY BODY and SOUL. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I wish for us to retain our sovereignty
None	Dear Sirs or Madams, I do not support or subscribe to the establishment of this treaty in any form. However if it is established, tHe following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Stephen L. Ford
Citizens of America	Dear Sirs or Mams, Stop The Treaty, Stop The Madness. I would like to go on record as an 60 year healthy male,who has natural Immunity Protections against Covid-19. Also I constitutionally and respectively will never allow any infringement of my body ,my choices of what is put into my person. All my life in America when a populas society of people's got got ill stricken, only the sick would be quarantine and or treated with multiple types of treatments not an unchecked method done in weeks time,but years of testing and tested for side affects and or long term problems thru approved or applied science approved applied medicines. What is and has taken placein the last two years is not approved qualified medical care of the medical professionals or medical science as we know or acknowledge. Thank you for your time. God's Blessings. Virgil

Private person	Dear sirs
	        This treaty is unnecessary and undemocratic I want the British government to decide these matters in consultation with the British people.
	
	         I don't want any supranational organisation or pharmaceutical companies deciding what is good for my health, that is my job.
	
	         I want all the the governments of all sovereign countries in the world to decide these matters for themselves in consultation with their people.
	
	        Raymond Gerald O'Neill

Private	Dear Sirs #StopTheTreaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In conclusion, it is my opinion that The World Health Organization (WHO) has proposed a global pandemic agreement that will give it undemocratic rights over all of us.
Formerly Chair of City & Hackney Community Health Council representing th	Dear Sirs I disagree with the proposition there should be a WHO convention, agreement or other international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response produced by an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body. I write as someone who for 10 years was Chair of a statutory body, a Community Health Council, representing local users of the NHS. The "globalist" and panicked response to sars-cov-2 has brought undemocratic and risky actions in many places throughout the world. In the time since the vaccines came on stream I have not met a single person who has given informed consent. In what forums could the user question: the policies introduced; the statistics made public and many other very contentious issues. I can only see this situation becoming even more rigid and less responsible to the concerns of communities. 1.Nevertheless in responding to the only question, I respond as follows: It is fundamental that national and local governments and leaderships retain their full autonomy at all times to decide policies and procedures based on their knowledge of what is best for, and acceptable to, their own people. 2.It is essential that all nations and municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit and without consequence as it fundamental in a democratic process. 3. The process must be open and transparent, and all the people of the world should have the ability to vote on it including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK Citizen	Dear Sirs I would like written within this treaty; Guarantees on nation state and individual sovereignty Respect for individual human rights and the right to bodily autonomy Legal liability for government officials whom implement provisions within this treatty Legal liability for pharmaceutical companies who provide medecines under this treaty's stipulations yours sincerely John Imeson
None	Dear sirs In my humble view it would be important to recognise within such a new international instrument that national and local leadership would nevertheless retain full autonomy in how they are able to choose to respond to the WHO's proposed instrument. Meaning that this choice enables national and local leadership to maintain the right for decisions to be made based on what is best for the people of their own nation and locality. Many factors come into play (general health and environmental) within a nation/location which affect the people within that nation/location differently rather than it being a global situation. Such factors need to be fully appraised and recognised. I think the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be included. It will also be very important to include measures to provide an open and transparent process, ie which provide all people of the world with the ability to vote on its implementation including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It will be important to include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any or all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Dear Sirs In order to keep democracy in place, there should be more complex mechanisms developed rather than a single entity/organization or a person making decisions for the entire world. Individuals tend to be corrupted by the power given or money, which could impose great danger/threat to the entire world. There should be a system of checks and balances/separation of power put in place. It is important to make sure that the organizations that make decisions are not biased. They should be truly independent. When an organization has a sponsor/donor who will benefit from say production and sale of the vaccines, they may not take part in the process of decision making. The best way to go is to let the professionals in each country to evaluate the situation and make decisions. There should be a debate with all kinds of opinions. There should be no such situations when the most qualified doctors with titles in the field are censored by the media for any reason! All opinions must be heard. Oligarchs should have no say in the fields where they have no competence to say anything. Mandates for vaccination should be dropped immediately. It is not the business of politicians to make medical decisions. Unfortunately, that was the case with the recent pandemic. Also, it is important to see people in charge who can communicate clearly. Organizations can only give recommendations that are not mandatory for the entire world! They can be provided by highly qualified medical doctors after close consideration of evidence and are open to public discussion. Each country should vote for the way they want to proceed with the recommendations. Sincerely Iryna Schaerer
Selfemployed	Dear Sirs- It should be left up to each regional government to address the health challenges of their local populations. Nowhere has it been more evident than in the United States that different approaches to tackle the current pandemic is wiser than a one size fits all approach. Regional governments can look at the data of others areas and adjust their response accordingly based on emerging data. This proposed treaty is problematic on many levels but mostly because it attempts a one size fits all approach with no regard for possible error, different social economic realities, cultural differences, and physical differences among all people. Please do not push forward with this treaty. Thank you Sandra

Self	Dear Sirs
	
	With regard to the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, I believe:
	
	   Our own Australian national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what their own
	   people want and have voted for.
	
	   The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit should be included, without fear
	   of consequence.
	
	  An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the
	  application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	
	  Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	
	  Measures must be entirely to do with health, and not to do with world control of any type by anyone purporting to give "health advice" that is
	  unfounded, unsubstantiated, unproven and untested.
	
	Thank you for an opportunity to contribute.
	
	Yours Sincerely
	Sue Mackintosh
	Perth, Western Australia

none	Dear Sirs With all due respect, after what we've gone through for two years with Covid lockdowns, job losses, mental issues and many suicides, the very LAST thing we want is that WHO should have any jurisdiction, and legal authority over Canadian medical issues. There is no need for a new International Instrument and the World Health Organization has proven that they can NOT be trusted to disseminate medical advice, data and information. When for the past two years they said there was no prophylactic medications that could be used to combat Covid in fact by early 2020 there was high success rates of treating the Covid virus using off-label medications. Because the pharmaceutical companies couldn't make enough money selling off-label meds and vitamins, they outlawed the option to use them -- mandating unproven, poorly tested injections of unknown ingredients which are now proving to be more deadly than the virus itself and they still have not withdrawn the mandate. Therefore, the WHO cannot be trusted and should never be given any authority to announce any pandemics or advise international governments with respect to how to treat any disease. The proof that they should no longer be trusted is clear during the last two years. The WHO is no longer a trusted medical authority. It is a totally political organisation.
Grünen gegen Impfpflicht & 2G	Dear Sirs! · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Kind regards Karin Kara
private	Dear Sirs! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. yours faithfully Christian Pircher
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	Dear Sirs! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Kind regards Anna Maria Thaler
Grünen gegen Impfpflicht & 2G	Dear Sirs! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Kind regards Karin Kara
Grüne gegen Impflicht und 2 G	Dear Sirs! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Kind regards Tanja Thaler
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht & 2G	Dear Sirs! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Kind regards Veysel Kara
Cornucopia Institut for Cultural Space	Dear sirs! After the very bad experience of the WHO's management of the COVID situation, in which an unjustifiably declared pandemic (the conditions for declaring a pandemic were not met at the time) was misused for purely unilateral and coercive treatment protocols, I am strongly opposed to any arbitrary interference by the WHO in the course of any medical treatment. The role of this organisation must be purely supportive. With best regards, Ira Zorko
CONCERNED CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	DEAR SIRS, YOU ARE ASKING WHAT ELEMENTS SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN A NEW INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT ON PANDEMIC PREPARDNESS. I FEEL THAT EACH COUNTRY SHOULD GOVERN THEIR OWN PREPARDNESS PLAN FOR PANDEMICS OR ANY OTHER CATASTROPHE. WHO TREATIES INTERNATIONALLY WILL ONLY REMOVE POWER FROM THE U.S. AND ARE DANGEROUS TO THE WELFARE AND ULTIMATELY THE HEALTH OF U.S. CITIZENS. YOUR CONSTITUTION STATES: INFORMED OPINION AND ACTIVE CO OPERATION ON THE PART OF THE PUBLIC ARE OF THE UTMOST IMPORTANCE IN THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE HEALTH OF THE PEOPLE……I AGREE……WE AS AMERICANS NEED TO FORM OUR OWN OPINIONS AND ACTIVELY PARTICIPATE IN KEEPING OURSELVES HEALTHY….NOT BE SUBJECT TO SOME UNIVERSAL TREATY OF ALL NATIONS THAT WOULD LIMIT OUR FREEDOMS AND THE FREEDOMS OF OTHERS IN OTHER NATIONS. I THEREFORE AND EVERYONE I HAVE TALKED TO HERE IN THE UNITED STATES ARE FIRMLY AGAINST ANY TREATY THAT WOULD JUST LUMP US INTO ONE WORLD WIDE GROUP THAT IS CONTROLLED BY THE "WHO". PLEASE HERE OUR VOICES….WE DO NOT WANT A NEW INTERATIONAL INSTRUMENT ON PANDEMIC PREPARDNESS!!! EACH COUNTRY SHOULD HANDLE THEIR SITUATION AS THEY SEE FIT. SINCERELY BARRY WINEROTH UNITED STATES CITIZEN

Individual	Dear Sirs,
	
	  Please consider leaving the citizenry of our nation with the autonomy to make health decisions for ourselves.
	
	  1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
	
	2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	
	3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	
	4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	
	  Respectfully, Dr. Jeffrey Bilotti

Organization	Written submission
KALMAN SAMUELS , Avocats - Attorneys	Dear Sirs, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The needs of various states differ. Local leadership is best placed to make the best decisions. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The autonomy of the state members must be respected, all the while promoting a unified response. The opt-out option is the best compromise. 3.. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This should have been self evident and remains self evident. The power and influence of pharmaceutical companies must be very carefully monitored and limited. These are not uninterested parties. The conflict of interest is clear and manifest. We trust in a public health organization to make the best decisions for us. We do not trust in private, for-profit companies to be motivated by the same goals. Respectfully, Daniel Romano, Attorney Law office of Kalman Samuels, Attorneys 1 Westmount Square, Suite 711 Montreal, Quebec, Canada. H3Z 2P9 514-939-1200 dan.romano@kalmansamuels.com
Andrea Weber	Dear sirs, I generally oppose an international pandemic treaty. There is nothing to add. Sincerely Andrea Weber
Citizen	Dear Sirs, I wonder if this or any submission will get the attention of those it concerns. It appears to be clear, what the objectives in terms of "particular" interests are. For the same reason I, and with me many other citizens, have lost trust in institutions. Certainly we do not trust the WHO, considering who mainly funds it. If you want to continue to distroy democracy and provoke (at some point) civil unrest, than just continue this way! For my part I will never have any third party deciding for me, when it comes down to dubious medical interventions. Concerned regards, Hemut Amort
Oliver Watson	Dear sirs, I would like not for this treaty to move forward as it is going to limit our individual sovereignity, national and individual giving full power to an entity that can't be supranational by any means. The final decision on health remains on each individual. Where there is a risk there is a choice. With every pandemic there is a risk but you can't just give the same remedy to all the same when there are plenty differences in age, gender, commorbidities and other details. I say no to the treaty which is going to end with many human rights. I appreciate your interest in health but not in my name as for all the world. Be Well and good health. Oliver
private	Dear Sirs, We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics. Yours faithfully, Barbara Pyzel
Retired	Dear sirs, In response to the pandemic protocols please consider the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards, Cliff Reedy
Team Mere	Dear Sirs, my terms for a oandemic are as follows. Pandemic situations must be decided by the percentage of dead people, not on the number if people who carry a virus. The percentage of dead people must be significantly high, no lower than 5 % of the population. I demand that there will be a decentralized way of overcoming the pandemic situatuon. Centralized decisions are a bad choice. Let the local governments go their way. That way we will find the best solution of handling the crisis. That way we can see who is taking the best policy and the other countries can follow if they want. The world is divers. Alike should be the ways of battling the crisis. No more centralisation. Thank you
Usbl	Dear sirs, The majority of the people of the democratic countries in Scandinavia wants National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours sincerely, Marianne Skoglund
Privat	Dear sirs, The majority of the people of the democratic countries in Scandinavia wants National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours sincerely,
Free Volonteer Organization	Dear sirs, The majority of the people of the democratic countries in Scandinavia wants National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kalua	Dear sirs, dear madam, Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and also Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. best regards Manuela Stotz
none	Dear Sirs, dear Madams, substantive elements that must be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: - autonomy of every human being concerning his or her health that is free decision on what kind of treatment is appropriate for the individual itself - the dignity and physical integrity of everyone must be protected - own state right of veto on WHO measures must be granted. Decisions against WHO measures must be respected by the WHO and its affiliated organizations - in the case of treatment measures, ALL forms of therapy must be approved and a FREE individual choice of treatment must be recognized and remain recognised. There must be no preference. Natural treatments (e.g. alternative medicine) must have the same status as pharmaceutical ones. Alternatives to vaccinations must always be approved. - a person must never be forced into treatment against their will. - Restrictions on freedom always require re-evaluation and immediate lifting if the threat no longer exists. There must be no individual termination of social life if there is demonstrable non-existent danger or its averting by simple non-invader measures. - Declaring a pandemic requires strict control by a wide range of experts and close regular re-evaluation. -
None	Dear Sirs, I believe these substantive things should be in: national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	Dear Sirs, I read and hear you are about to install a world wide law on how to deal with pandemics or other oncomming desasters like weather etc. On one hand I can understand that there may be a need to support certain problems by finding 'big orders' for as many people as possible. On the other hand history shows us that what may be good for one may NOT be good for the other, just as it has always been in medicine. It always depends on what you want to achieve. As what it shows up more and more now, you don't even have to go world wide. Just take a look at Germany. How the Government dealt with Corona. For some it was good, for many, many other it is the opposite. Many of them who thought in first place the vaccination had to be taken for the sake of health for the others now are more and more getting confronted with pushed letality, growing health problems around them or even for themselves. They are so dissappointed that the refuse to go any further that way. Others may still be happy for they did not have to deal with any problems. As for I know people mentioned first, just as me are asking for more rights 'of nature', self decicion, autonomy. Call it, however you want. People realized that indoctrinated ways of solution are mor and more going to fail. Civil rights have been and still are by far been ignored or- even worse - been distinguished. Laws had once been established to make sure that things that happened in history will not harm as again (Nürnberger Congress). What happens now is that whatever we did not want to repeat is repeating over and over again. People are fed up. They've had enough and are most likely not willing to go on like this. So I really do hope you are going to rethink over a worldwide conce
private	Dear Sirs, Madams, Progress in human history was always made by those DOING THINGS DIFFERENTLY than everyone else. The scientific debate has been censored lately, and revenue making has become the 1st goal rather than utilising old and lowcost methods. We know who funds the WHO and which interests they follow. A global pandemic plan is not only endangering sufficiant local methods, it installs a global directive that can not be accepted by souvereign nations. Covid has shown the inefficiancy of global DOING THE SAME when clearly those countries DOING IT DIFFERENTLY like Sweden had better outcome. Say no to this endeavor! Regards, - Dr. F. Weber
Private practitioner	Dear Sirs, I am a medical doctor with a higher qualification in public health medicine. I consider that a pandemic treaty is unnecessary and May adverse affect health. Within my own country and the countries of the developed world there is already sufficient expertise to define,understand,investigate and treat pandemics. Each nation has specific health requirements,for example, Ireland has the youngest population in Europe and we are are underpopulated by European standards. Also we are an island. So then epidemiology of pandemics have been and will always be medically different from pandemics that's may or have occurred in other parts of Europe eg northern Italy. Furthermore funding for the WHO is now in part coming from private diners or investors. Historically private funding often comes with private agendas,real or imagined but public perception and confidence in organisations is paramount in order to ensure population 'buy in'. As long as the WHO receives private funding the fundamental issue of vested interest can not be addressed. Therefor I am opposed to the proposed treaty as it will undermine confidence in public health measures if the decision making is suspected to be linked to private interests and agendas. Kind regards, Vincent Carroll MB MPH Dip Trop.
human race	Dear Sirs, As regards pandemic preparedness I wish to enter the following for consideration. 1. Any element of a treaty must be subject to approval by individual nation states and the right of a nation not to accept measures that they deem unsuitable in their locale must be upheld. There is no one-size fits all solution and any treaty should acknowledge that. 2. The right of bodily autonomy should be fundamental and must be fervently protected by the WHO. 3. The approval of experimental treatments must never be made mandatory and discrimination against people who decline experimental treatments must be made illegal. 4. The evidence of safety of treatments is not for the manufacturers to police as they are too financially motivated. To gain trust, the WHO must seek a truly independent arbiter of treatment safety. 5. Far more risk/benefit analysis must be used to achieve a proper balance in health measures. The costs of public health measures (PHM) must be considered far more broadly. Any PHMs can affect the economy, education, transport, industry, healthcare, etc to ignore the collateral damage must be considered and tangible evidence provided that on balance the PHMs provide a long term overall benefit. Thank you for your time, M Kellaway
private person	Dear Sirs, First: my apologies for any grammar or word mistake- English is not my main language. Second: The Plan of "World Together" is important- but- above all- the most important thing in the whole wild world is that the people living in this world will have the right for autonomy, to choose for themselves, to have the freedom of choice. I urgently beg you not to forget the important fact, that we all are indivuduals with our pride, worth and personality. Your choices will be very important for the whole world and the people living in it. Thank you very much for your time! Best regards, Carina Hanselmann
N/A	Dear Sirs, Here are my views: 1. National and local leadership to have and retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process allowing all people of the world to vote on it including failsafe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures prohibiting influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours faithfully, Fiona Rice-Mellor
no organization	Dear Sirs, Here are the following elements I would wish to include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Susan Wysor
concerned citizen of the United States of America	Dear Sirs, I believe that neither the WHO nor any other entity or body or person should impose upon me or my family anything concerning our health and the right to choose or not a health treatment. This should include individual freedoms for each citizen of the world, overriding anything else. I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. However, the WHO has not previously engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is not world health and freedoms for individuals regarding their own health. I also want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid, and I don't want this to happen, especially without my explicit permission and consent as a FREE citizen who had to undergo many abuses in the last 2 years and 4 months under pretext of a global health emergency. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. Therefore, I don't want this Treaty and/or the WHO to threaten my free life and health choices now or in the future. NO treaties, EVER, by the WHO to impose anything upon the world's citizens. Let individuals be free to make their own choices in their health matters. Sincerely, Joyce Ehlerding
Private citizen	Dear Sirs, I belive in the following points, which I reckon ought to be included to preserve freedom of the nations and people: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards I. Stallo
N/A	Dear Sirs, I don't agree on this Treaty and I don't give my permission to the WHO to impose me anything concerning my health and the right to choose or not a health treatment. During the pandemic since early 2020, vaccines proved to be unsafe and ineffective causing many health issues. I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices. I also want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid, and I don't want this to happen, especially without my explicit permission and consent as a FREE citizen who had to undergo many abuses in the last 2 years and 4 months under pretext of a global health emergency. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. I don't want this Treaty to threaten my free life choices now or in the future.
None	Dear Sirs, I don't agree on this Treaty and I don't give my permission to the WHO to impose me anything concerning my health and the right to choose or not a health treatment. During the pandemic since early 2020, vaccines proved to be unsafe and ineffective causing many health issues. I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices. I also want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid, and I don't want this to happen, especially without my explicit permission and consent as a FREE citizen who had to undergo many abuses in the last 2 years and 4 months under pretext of a global health emergency. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. I don't want this Treaty to threaten my free life choices now or in the future. PLEASE, HELP MY STOP THE TREATY THAT THREATENS OUR FUTURE OF FREEDOM AND SEVEREIGNTY.
WHO	Dear Sirs, I don't agree on this Treaty and I don't give my permission to the WHO to impose me anything concerning my health and the right to choose or not a health treatment. During the pandemic since early 2020, vaccines proved to be unsafe and ineffective causing many health issues. I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. I also want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid, and I don't want this to happen, especially without my explicit permission and consent as a FREE citizen who had to undergo many abuses in the last 2 years and 4 months under pretext of a global health emergency. I don't want this Treaty to threaten my free life choices now or in the future. I respectfully request that whatever measures deemed necessary to safeguard the health and welfare of all people globally must include "without infringement of every person's basic freedom and right to choose". A person's right to live depends on their own choices and should not be taken away from them unless they have committed a crime punishable by law.
Privat	Dear Sirs, I don't agree on this Treaty and I don't give my permission to the WHO to impose me anything concerning my health and the right to choose or not a health treatment. During the pandemic since early 2020, vaccines proved to be unsafe and ineffective causing many health issues. I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices. I also want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid, and I don't want this to happen, especially without my explicit permission and consent as a FREE citizen who had to undergo many abuses in the last 2 years and 4 months under pretext of a global health emergency. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. I don't want this Treaty to threaten my free life choices now or in the future.
Altro Fitness Center	Dear Sirs, I don't agree on this Treaty and I don't give my permission to the WHO to impose me anything concerning my health and the right to choose or not a health treatment. During the pandemic since early 2020, vaccines proved to be unsafe and ineffective causing many health issues. I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices. I also want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid, and I don't want this to happen, especially without my explicit permission and consent as a FREE citizen who had to undergo many abuses in the last 2 years and 4 months under pretext of a global health emergency. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. I don't want this Treaty to threaten my free life choices now or in the future. Regards Roger Hedge
Private European citizen (member of the World Council for Health as a subsc	Dear Sirs, I don't agree on this Treaty and I don't give my permission to the WHO to impose me anything concerning my health and the right to choose or not a health treatment. During the pandemic since early 2020, vaccines proved to be unsafe and ineffective causing many health issues. I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices. I also want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid, and I don't want this to happen, especially without my explicit permission and consent as a FREE citizen who had to undergo many abuses in the last 2 years and 4 months under pretext of a global health emergency. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. I don't want this Treaty to threaten my free life choices now or in the future.
Private	Dear Sirs, I dont agree with this Treaty and do Not give permission to the WHO to impose on me anything concerning my health or bodily autonomy.

Organization	Written submission
The White Peacock Interactive Center for Preventative Medicine	Dear Sirs, I have been blessed to live in the United States and to be protected by our 200 year old Constitution. We hold these rights to be self evident! No other entity should have the power to override the laws of our country, our states, our cities. I believe that the WHO can serve as guidance to all countries, however, they do not have the right to override our laws. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequenc An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteering. Humanity is at stake. Politics and Corporations have taken over and the common man is suffering for all their greed. Sincerely, Debra Clarke
Me, I and Myself UnLtd.	Dear Sirs, I have seen your plans for a global "pandemic treaty" and believe it does not serve the public interest. We cannot have treaties being drawn up to benefit corporate profits. If the WHO's relevance is to be maintained, I suggest the citizenry come first. The following are the points we want to draw to your attention as being of FUNDAMENTAL IMPORTANCE. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It is important for organisations such as yours to work in the public interest and I do, wholeheartedly recommend that you do not confine yourselves to total irrelevance if artificial for-profit crises are not addressed the way they should be. Honestly. Best regards Pablo Farba
Moms on The Ground	Dear Sirs, I implore that you read my statement below. Thank you for your time and attention. Below are my thoughts: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Dear Sirs, I support all Nations and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I support the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I support an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I support measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Tony Crane
None	Dear Sirs, I would like to request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. Regards, Marco Ferreira
None	I would like to voice the following comments regarding the WHO's proposed Pandemic Treaty. The following principles should be observed if this agreement is truly all about the safety of the citizens of the world. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lock downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private citizen	Dear Sirs, Please, find my suggestions below: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind Regards Plamenna Zlatanova
N/A	Dear Sirs, Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Besides this, we have seen how quickly large organizations in the world have suffered from corruption. Everyone with a background in history remembers when the Catholic Church, the greatest power at the time, sold indulgences. We've come a very long way from the failings of the Catholic Church, but the issues of corruption and wrongdoing of a few very powerful people in the world today have not. That is why I ask you today not to engage in agreements that only further erode public trust. Find a way to be accountable before you find your way into tyranny. The blood and welfare of many count on freedom, responsibility, accountability, and civility which up to this point have not been demonstrated. Find the good before the profit, I implore you. -Alex Boese
Citizen of germany	Dear Sirs, the main point should be that the only authoritation when managing a pandemic crisis should be at the national Health Ministry of each member of the WHO. The WHO ist not a country itself and it should respect the sovereignty of each country and government. The government CAN ask the WHO for advice, ONLY advice. Our government need not transfer the legal power to the WHO whose fundees are Bill Gates or the WEF or other NGOs.
Health Freedom	Dear Sirs, The proposed WHO Agreement is not necessary and will impede the right of national and local leadership to retain full autonomy. National and local leadership need to reserve the right to make decisions on what is best for their people and only making decisions with full input from the public to guarantee zero coercion.. Nations and local municipalities need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without fear of any consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it and there needs to be provision for even small numbers of people to opt out if it threatens their freedom of choice. There also needs to be measures in place that discourage the process being influenced by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	Dear sirs, The treaty is to be cancelled. No organisation is to decide which medical treatement I undergo!!! Regards, D. Evers
General public	Dear Sirs, The WHO is and should stay an organization gathering relevant health data globally, providing guidance and support for national health authorities. If the WHO wants to improve their work, they should include open discussions with experienced medical professionals around the world about possible health risks and treatments during crises. Information coming from the WHO during the Covid-19 time was non-transparent and appeared to be biased. An authoritative role of the WHO directing responses to health crises will necessarily restrict the sovereignty of national and local governments, and negatively affect human rights and freedoms of their citizens. On the other hand, it will give governments extra protection, if they decide to misuse the WHO rulings for their agenda. For the above-mentioned reasons, I strongly oppose the changing of the role of the WHO, giving it extra powers. Best Regards, Jerry Prilesky
World Health Organization	Dear Sirs, We all, citizens of the world, have the right to our own choice of religion, our choice of what health measures we wish to have, and many other human rights which are vital to the health, and safety of mankind. Yours truly, Patrick Lussy
private	Dear Sirs, we live in a democratic country (Austria, UE) which has developed during centuries methods and aims to prevent diseases currently occuring in this particular region, by means of materials, plants, sociohygienical and/or other applications. Thiese methods allowed to develop a functional health system and a consistent srate of salutary consciousness. There is no need to adopt WHO regulations elaborated with unknown finalities and not publicly or parlamentary discussed in the respective countries. The concept of disease during milleniums, has always been related to socioeconomic, cultural, alimentary conditions in the particular regions. All these prepositions show you the unnecessary aims of the planned implementations of WHO methodology which are by no means equivalent to the development of sane individual, social , political structures for human beings
No organisation	Dear Sirs, what we need ist the Guarantee of the soveingnty of the States, respect of the human rigths, accontability of the Pharma companies. Best regards
Houtdraaierij J. F. Kramer	Dear Sirs, Your new instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is a great threat to the soverenity of the member states. Without any democratic control a relatively small and untransparent group would yield enormous power. I can only hope you will not continue with this very dangerous idea. I am very much against it. The past with regards to corona has shown that the liberty of single states like Sweden, Japan and India has given them much better results than the majority of states that did what their neighbours did, as advised bij the WHO. So, please, stop this plan. With regards, Joost Kramer
private	Dear Sirs, as substantive elements should be the following: respect for human rights, guarantee of the nation's/ country's sovereignty as well as the individual sovereignty and the lawful liability of Government officials and pharma industries/ corporations. A lack of transparence and open debate lead to numerous damage. This must be avoided in the future.
None	Dear Sirs, everyone should have the right to decide on his own, concerning his body. This is an elementary human right and should not be a political or economical decision. Kind regards,
Private individual	Dear Sirs, I do not agree with the proposed Treaty nor do I give my permission to the WHO to impose any item or method or treatment concerning my health including the right to choose or not choose. This includes preventing me from living a free life. I firmly believe that I, as well as all the people of the world, have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. The WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which it should do via nation state governments who should then in turn put the proposals to their populations. This process of the proposed Treaty is undemocratic and therefore unlawful. The world wide suffering, mental and physical hardships endured by the world's populations during these past two years over a 'flu virus' were totally unwarranted. To think that this could again be possible if another 'virus appears' and the WHO and the UN decides, via nation state governments, to 'imprison' people and take away their sovereign rights is evil and cruel. This should never, ever happen.
none	Dear Sirs, I object to a global WHO Pandemic Treaty. The WHO consists of unelected people, who have no accountability to the different nations, in fact, their accountability is primarily to the people who fund them. Allowing the WHO to make decisions on behalf of elected governments is undemocratic and causes me grave concern. Therefore, I propose to drop the idea of a global unified response and to keep the decision making in the hands of the governments who have been elected by their people. They know the situation best and are also accountable to their citizens. Yours sincerely, Julia-christiane: McMaster
My Family	Dear Sirs, I strongly disapprove of this Treaty and I don't give my permission or authority to the WHO to diagnose and impose any health treatment or the right to make any decision concerning the health of my family and myself. My family's health and choice health care is a private matter, between a family and it's doctor/health practitioner. Doctor Patient Confidentiality, should be honored above all things including treaties. I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. The WHO is governed by un-elected bureaucratic that are only beholden to the person/entity that responsible for their appointment, not to the people they claim to serve. As such, the WHO's function should be strictly advisory to government NOT to dictate policy and the bureaucrat Again, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices. Therefore, it must be stated emphatically that without an unbiased democratic process, any mandatory enforceable agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, should be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO "agreement" is in total unnecessary since governments of the world already have mechanism in place to deal with health emergencies. Therefore, the "agreement" is completely unnecessary and threatens and infringes on our sovereignty and inalienable human rights of free citizen worldwide. Per Dr. Swaminathan chief scientist at the WHO on Dec. 3rd 2019 in Geneva, Switzerland, the WHO does not has any post-marketing safety surveillance program in place to capture adverse react
Panelectron Bt.	Dear Sirs, Just a quick note to you that I strongly oppose compulsory vaccination as a pandemic response, as well as restrictions against those who decides not to take the vaccine. Think up a more democratic solution. Best regards, József Iszály
Private Person	Dear Sirs, Please note that there is absolutely no need to introduce an „international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". Contrary, it is essential to respect the individual rights of each country to do the needful in case of an event, depending on the specific situation. Therefore, please kindly refrain from concluding such agreement, do not exceed your mandate. Sincerely, C.M. Schmidt
Private	Dear Sirs, Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process. Measures must be taken that do not allow pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to influence the process of decision. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 phase as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from the decisions.
Citizen of Germany	Dear Sirs, the main point should be that the only authoritation when managing a pandemic crisis should be at the national Health Ministry of each member of the WHO. The WHO ist not a country itself and it should respect the sovereignty of each country and government. The government CAN ask the WHO for advice, ONLY advice. Our government need not transfer the legal power to the WHO whose fundees are Bill Gates or the WEF or other NGOs.
Nalagag Text Ltd	Dear sirs, The majority of people of the democratic countries in Scandinavia wants National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours sincerely, Nina Maria Eidheim
Australian Citizen	Dear sirs, The majority of the people of the democratic countries in Australia want National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
European Union	Dear Sirs, The only good way to provide for effective health care is TRANSPARENCY on data, RIGOROUS testing and UNBIASED information. Then people will follow suit. People must be in control of their body and their health. Mandates don't work and alienate people. Reliable and transparent information is the key to a proper response on pandemic preparedness.Everything else will fail. Thanks for your attention.
Individual	Dear sirs, The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours faithfully Maria
public	Dear Sirs, the WHO s opinion must at any time not be more than a recommendation to different countries. It has to keep away from telling countries how to analyse and react to deseases. Stopp this international Instrument of preparedness and response immediately. With kind regards UP
Self	Dear Sirs, There should be opt out measures for all persons/municipalities/towns/counties/states/countries affected by any WHO proclamation. Sincerely, Debra Wade
United Kingdom	Dear sirs, These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	Dear Sirs, We do not need any of this. There is not even a COVID virus isolated and purified from a host available and it does not satisfy Koch's postulate. The PCR test is not a valid diagnostic tool for infectious disease as stated by its inventor (Mullis). The WHO has changed the definition of a pandemic to manufacture pandemics our of minor diseases when it wishes. We do not need your pandemic treaty to enrich big pharma. Locally decided measures are perfectly adequate. Regards, Yves Lettens
None	Dear Sirs. In the event of a possible pandemic, the measures to be taken should: -Ensure individual rights and freedom of people -Guarantee personal freedom of movement -Promote access to vitamin D3 -Promote the use of natural medicine. -Do not promote drugs whose safety has not been demonstrated. -Only consider as a pandemic the infectious disease whose lethality is greater than 5% in all age ranges and affects more than 50% of nations Greetings.
Private group of concerned citizens	Dear Sirs/ Madam I respectfully suggest the proposed Pandemic treaty include the following National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health or otherwise profiteers. That freedom of choice be retained by all citizens in terms of prevention & treatments of any declared pandemic & that none of those options be banned, discredited or otherwise suppressed. Respectfully J Lewington
art de genki-well being	Dear Sirs/ Madams: As a result of the Corona vaccination, which was administered without sufficient testing and results from the drug companies and sufficient informed consent of the risks, many people are now suffering from adverse reactions to the drug. The coronary amears rate is also higher among those who have received the vaccine. The mortality rate is higher among those who have been vaccinated. I believe that the freedom of individual human beings to self-manage their own health is guaranteed by natural law. Therefore, we should not create a pandemic treaty that threatens these basic human rights. Please reconsider carefully. Thank you very much for your attentions. Best regards, Seiko
Australian Citizen	Dear Sirs/ Mesdames I propose that any world health treaty should include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5.That freedom of choice be retained by all citizens in terms of prevention & treatments of any declared pandemic & that none of those options be banned, discredited or otherwise suppressed. Sincerely, Adam Cahill
Responsibly Happy	Dear Sirs/Madame, Health is the greatest gift we have, and one that is solely our own to decide on how we manage and maintain it. It is not up to any organization to decided what is put into our bodies without consent. Under no circumstances should any treatment be mandated, it is against basic human rights. That being said the treaty needs to include the following. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards, Gina Pilon
Concerned Canadian Citizen	Dear Sirs/Madams, I don't agree on this Treaty and I don't give my permission to the WHO to impose me anything concerning my health and the right to choose or not a health treatment. During the pandemic since early 2020, vaccines proved to be unsafe and ineffective causing many health issues. I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices. I also want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid, and I don't want this to happen, especially without my explicit permission and consent as a FREE citizen who had to undergo many abuses in the last 2 years and 4 months under pretext of a global health emergency. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. I don't want this Treaty to threaten my free life choices now or in the future. PLEASE, HELP MY STOP THE TREATY THAT THREATENS OUR FUTURE OF FREEDOM AND SEVEREIGNTY.

Organization	Written submission
Member of the Public	Dear Sirs/Madams, The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Diagnosis Foundation	Dear sirs: The treaty must not over ride national autonomy. The WHO has proven that they are successively to inappropriate influence by profiteers in previous pandemics. Nations must have the right to participate in any aspect of the program at their discretion. The WHO has no provisions to be elected directly by the people and can not be removed DIRECTLY by the people of the world. Due to this indirect relationship between the leadership of the WHO and the people, governments that are DIRECTLY elected by the people must retain final authority. Thank you.
U.S. Citizen	Dear Sirs: Please respect everyones' personal sovereignty by allowing us to decide whether or not we choose to be injected with these shots. Thanks for your attention in this matter, James Walton Sr
	Dear Sirs: I am writing to ask for your consideration in including the proposed pandemic preparedness response instrument for the World Health Organization. As a concerned citizen, I request that the following elements be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Above all, it is critical that national, local and individual decision-making be preserved. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Nancy Taylor
World citizen	Dear Sirs: I do not acknowledge the power of a non-elected international body to control decisions regarding my health care. Particularly when that body continues to be significantly funded and controlled by people/entities that have conflicts of interest regarding functional alternative treatments for 'pandemic' viruses (quotes because your definition of 'pandemic' changed midstream) in favor of novel gene-therapy treatments. You portray yourselves as an international body, yet act in almost lockstep accordance with financial interests of private companies. The WHO has not asked us, the citizens of the world, whether we believe a global agreement is necessary. Instead, the organization has decided for itself that this treaty is warranted - we reject this self-asserted control over the narrative. We want to STOP THE TREATY altogether. We do NOT want a UN Treaty on Pandemic Prevention, Preparedness and Response - we want individual, national responses to any pandemic, determined by verified, un-corrupted scientific studies, and democratic processes, voted on by elected officials, where our direct voices can be registered. You do not have the right to take responsibility for my health care decisions, or anyone's, away by edict and pronouncement, particularly in your position as a non-elected, non-removable body. Even if your intentions to control personal healthcare on a global scale were shared by the majority of people, that would not justify your attempt to remove choice of the world's population over how, when and why they are given medical treatments they do not want.
Concern USA citizen	Dear Sirs: I want to submit the following important points to be in the Public hearing records. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Wilson Leong
self	Dear sirs: My health is of utmost importance to me, my family and my doctor. We are all very close and know and trust each other well. However, the WHO is full of unknowns and what we do know does NOT have a very good track record. Please refrain from any attempt to push your world care into the USA. We prefer to make our ouw adjustments when necessary. Thank you very much. Bob R.
Personal	Dear srs I think that it can not be taken off the sovereinity of Theo countries. Ir Is extremely dangerous what can arise from Thais Thank you
self	Dear Staff, Please consider that public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Local leadership needs to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Local municipalities need to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be a transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures need to be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration, Serra
private	Dear Team of WHO, i generelly oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty! !!! Mandatory vaccines have to be forbidden! !!! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! !!! All decision-making bodies must be diverse! Critical voices must be heard and must be included in the decision-making body! !!! Private donations to the WHO must be PROHIBITED in advance! Yours sincerely Claudia Taferner-Nasé
privat	Dear Team of WHO, i generelly oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty! !!! Mandatory vaccines have to be forbidden! !!! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! !!! All decision-making bodies must be diverse! Critical voices must always be heard and must be included in the decision-making body! !!! Private donations to the WHO must be PROHIBITED in advance! Yours sincerely Elsa Nasé
privat	Dear Team of WHO, i generelly oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty! !!! Mandatory vaccines have to be forbidden! !!! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! !!! All decision-making bodies must be diverse! !!! Critical voices must everytime be heard and must be included in the decision-making body! !!! Private donations to the WHO must be PROHIBITED in advance! Yours sincerely Manfred Taferner
privat	Dear Team of WHO, i generelly oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty! !!! Mandatory vaccines have to be forbidden! !!! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! !!! All decision-making bodies must be diverse! Critical voices must be heard and must be included in the decision-making body! !!! Private donations to the WHO must be PROHIBITED in advance! Yours sincerely Elsa Nasé
Individual	Dear The Intergovernmental Negotiating Body, The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I personally believe that each individual person has a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. I believe that medical choices should always be left up to the individual. Medication, procedures, vaccinations or other aspects of health should never be allowed to be mandatory in order to travel, work, or participate in society. In summary, I do not wish to suggest any added elements because I do not believe that an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be created. Citizens vote for their leaders with the expectation that they will act in their best interests. The WHO has not been voted in by citizens of the world, therefore they should not have the power to make life-altering decisions. Respectfully, Sara Brougham
citizen of the USA	Dear W.H.O Members, It is imperative that the following substantiative elements be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: All national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Everyone has different health needs and a one size fits all approach will not work for every individual. Therefore, individuals and communities must retain the ability to have medical autonomy for their own health decisions. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Democracy must remain in tact within democratic nations. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Amy O'Brien
I do not represent an organization.	Thank you for your commitment to being of service to others. Elements that I consider crucial to the development of an international instrument "of guidelines" are: That humility is retained in the creation of guidelines, with the awareness that the 7 billion + people of the world are each unique individuals living in unique bio-systems and one organization of people however intelligent can never hope to determine what is best for all. An open and transparent process, including public access to all data and statistics compiled and the detailed means of acquiring that data and those statistics. The including of doctors in the field having success with off-label inexpensive drugs, botanicals, and other methods. Helping countries develop efficient reporting systems for the side-effects of recommended treatments. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, being able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.. Pharmaceutical companies or people profiting from the sale of pharmaceuticals or profiting from any recommendation of the INB should not be allowed to contribute funds to this process or influence the proceedings. Acknowledgement of how healthy human immune systems develop natural immunity and lead to natural herd immunity thereby helping to end pandemics. Insistence on true (non-drug,non-vaccine) placebos in drug and vaccine trials. Respect for individual health autonomy. A variety of approaches to a pandemic
Waterfall ltd	Dear WHO Hereby my submiasion to vote for keeping ALL our human rights which are beiing taking away step by step. Kind regards Meram J.
Free Citizen	Dear WHO i strongly disagree that the WHO should be the worldwide governing body of Free and Sovereign Citizens of the world to implement Global Health Requirements Sovereign, National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own countries people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The WHO does not provide an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, and including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any financial influence from Globalists, WEF and NWO representatives In particular all pharmaceutical companies, medical sponsors and / or other global health profiteers with vested interests. I strongly agree that the WHO should be an international body to offer advice to representative countries but in no way should WHO be the overall governing body to implement rules on a global governing scale Sincerely Carl Kettlewell
Myself	Dear WHO You must preserve the rights of individuals at cost & for countries to have right to choose their measures accordingly based on what they think is right than what you think is best. Assuming the measures are evidence based & looking after everyone's health there is no need for a 1 size fits all approach. Trying alternative researched-centred approaches can lead to new innovations. Just as with the crisis so far we have had many countries NOT use highly restrictive measures & perform far greater with lower caseloads, Hospitalisations & deaths. It would appear Lower levels of restrictions & providing countries with vitamin D, C, zinc, exercise & a balance diet should be provided more than masks & lockdowns as they appear effective in reducing infection rates & C-19 deaths. Thank you Joshua
Columbia Shores OB/gyn	Dear Who The most important component should be the maintaining of individual/local autonomy in order to maintain trust, thus strength in any public health policy. If individual/local autonomy is overridden then loss of trust will occur and erosion of the power of public health will ensue. Individual choice and freedom to decide and maintain ones health should also be a core part of any policy. First of us because although we think we know what is best at the moment and everyone should follow us, we have proven ourselves wrong many times. Second, by deciding what is best for an individual on the basis of the assumed benefit for the whole may cause more physical and emotional damage on a whole. I encourage all those in the decision process to not allow themselves the arrogance of thinking they will always be right. Because as we say in medicine, when you think you know everything and stop learning from others it is then you should retire. Thank you for your time Dr Daniel Bahnmiller.
Public	Dear WHO , I fear that having one single organisation instructing a global population how to respond to a pandemic may be inappropriate and damaging to the well-being of many. Many countries adopted the same policies and restrictions throughout the past 2 years resulting in disastrous health/mental health / socioeconomic outcomes. Arguably these blanket approaches have done more harm than good. I would propose future decisions should be made at a local level, not a global one. Thank you for considering my opinion.
General Public	Dear WHO and future board members, Based on the worlds current flop of handling the Covid 19 pandemic I think it is imperative that you reevaluate all the measures you used and didn't use from masks, restrictions, vaccines and treatments across the globe. Side affects from vaccines were ridiculous and third world countries were left to fend for themselves while other countries shoved vaccine mandates down younger and younger populations . Mental health was negatively affected by the extreme restrictions and segregation that was created out of paranoia. Unfortunately there was major lack of transparency from many organization representatives in the political and health care fields. Many educated wise people were constantly discredited. My advice for future pandemics would be to create regulated boards to review all the information unbiased by not being connected to any party , political or business . I believe any board member that would benefit financially from recommendations should resign their position. The world has lost trust in their governments and health authorities because of the lack of ethical review and consequences to those that have failed to respect their position. I believe healthcare should be separated from politics and instead all the information be provided for personal informed choice or consent. I am a regular person that thought I was doing my part for my community and I received the vaccine x2 , I suffered many months of side affects and no doctor would acknowledge that the vaccine caused them from fear of political consequences such as loosing their license. May these problems never be experienced again in any pandemic. Regards Veronica Long
Follower of Jesus Christ	Dear WHO and World Council for Health Members; WHO treaties that remove power from the US are VERY dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. There should NOT be included in any WHO Treaty any statement, wording, (nothing), which removes power from the US to make our own healthcare decisions for our nation and for our people. ALL health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO should NOT have power over the health decisions for the people of the United States of America. But it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I strongly urge you to keep your word and adhere to your statements and do not interfere in the health decisions for the people in any nation. Sincerely, Brenna J Lawrence
Sovereign Citizen	Dear Who Committee My request to you is very simple: Natural law and civil rights pillars like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. That's what needs to be included in your treaty for the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Freedom of choice to have anything that is to be injected into ones body. Sovereign choice only.
Everreal Organics	Dear WHO Committee, It is imperative that any Global Pandemic Treaty include the following: 1. The right for every nation's local and national leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The right for every nation's local and national leadership to determine whether their region is or is not experiencing a pandemic. Additionally, because of concerns of additional and unnecessary suffering due to overseeing of affairs by a non-local and non-democratic committee, that local populations cannot vote for, I adamantly disagree with the formation of this Treaty. Lastly, I call for additional transparency from the WHO, which can further support nation's existing laws and abilities to protect themselves and keep local populations safe. Thank you, Cayleah Matthews
Individual	Dear WHO Committee, It is imperative that any Global Pandemic Treaty include the following: 1. The right for every nation's local and national leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The right for every nation's local and national leadership to determine whether their region is or is not experiencing a pandemic. Additionally, because of concerns of additional and unnecessary suffering due to overseeing of affairs by a non-local and non-democratic committee, that local populations cannot vote for, I adamantly disagree with the formation of this Treaty. Lastly, I call for additional transparency from the WHO, which can further support nation's existing laws and abilities to protect themselves and keep local populations safe. Thank you, Pamela Eyre Canada
PathMaker Neurosystems Inc.	Dear WHO Committee, Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must incorporate the following substantive elements: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Nader Yaghoubi, M.D., Ph.D.
None	Dear WHO governing body, These are the substantive elements I feel are imperative to be included in a worldwide pandemic treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Joanne Adda
Children's Health Defense member	Dear WHO Health ministers, Whatever pandemic or health treaty you enter into with member states should not override each member state's sovereignty, Constitution, laws, or citizens' rights, especially those of: individual God-given body sovereignty, personal treatment choice, complete drug disclosure and consent, and health freedom in general for themselves and their children. The treaty should be concerned with coordination of non-binding policy guidelines among states and equitable distribution and cost of resources only. Certain data should be shared among states, such as: public data from each state, world-wide trends, sharing of clinically proven treatments at all stages: early, late, post, and side-effect reversal. The treaty should be a welcome, helpful addition to what states are already doing, and not dictates to states telling them what to do, forcing them to do something, or penalizing them for not doing something.
private person	Dear WHO leaders, I do not give NZ government the right to enter into any agreement with any organisation related to my health, or any monitoring and control there-off. We didn't agree to this nor did we get a referendum on it. This is not how democracy works It is completely unnecessary and threatens and infringes our sovereignty and inalienable human rights. Are we, as the people in our own countries no longer able to think and decide on our own long-term well-being? Each person has the individual right to choose, the way he /she will care for their health I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. I believe the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. I don't want this Treaty to threaten my free life choices now or in the future. I also want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid, and I don't want this to happen, especially without my explicit permission and consent as a FREE citizen This not what I or my family want.
Parent	Dear WHO Leaders, It is your fundamental role to help individuals make informed medical decisions for their families. It is also your fundamental role to protect the people against misinformation provided by pharmaceutical firms, certain governments, and to ensure the media outlets that benefit financially from pharmaceutical and technology corporate advertising are sharing unbiased information about public health. The COVID-19 pandemic proved to be misleading to the public and to lead to coercive measures that took the financial, physical, mental, educational, and economic freedom from people, only to benefit the most powerful. It is your fundamental role to help the public make sound, informed decisions about their health, while protecting each country's sovereignty. Any future treaty must provide guarantees of this protection.
Nonw	Dear WHO leadership, Thank you for allowing me to make my input here. I believe the following and propose for your consideration: - that national and local leadership should be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; - that there exists the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; - that there be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; and - that there will be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you!
(Individual)	Dear WHO leadership, Thank you for allowing to make my input here. I believe the following and propropose for your consideration: - that national and local leadership should be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; - that there exists the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; - that there be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; and - that there will be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you!

Organization	Written submission
None	Dear WHO leadership, Thank you for allowing us to make our input here. I believe the following and propose for your consideration: - that national and local leadership should be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; - that there exists the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; - that there be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; and - that there will be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you!
Citizen of the United States	Dear WHO members and other hearing participants, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the international pandemic preparedness and response policy. I believe that each nation, state, community and individual are unique in their healthcare response needs and must act appropriately in service to those unique needs. Nations, local leadership within nations and individuals should continue to have full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and themselves. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I am unsettled by the WHO gathering to decide how people of the world live their lives without an open and transparent process and global citizen vote. It is important that we continue to honor democratic process. With the current pandemic we have seen global investors and pharmaceutical companies profit immensely as they have influenced policies that benefit their corporations and investors over the well-being of the people. They have become the new science and use their power to shape the narrative. Measures must be taken to exclude them from the table and from policy making. Thank you for receiving my comments. Sincerely, Erin DeWitt
none	Dear WHO Members, I am writing to ask that the elements I have listed below be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Sylvie Chevallier
Personal	Dear WHO members, The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered when making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available.(publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Selfresponsibel	Dear WHO members, Each Country should stand for itself. We should preserve and protect the historically grown Individualität and nationality in all ways. I See it like an organism where each organ has a different and precise function to Support the entire body and also the function of controlling each others. This is only possible , if the Organs/ the countries stay Independent. I do not agree at all in an all-world government!
Self and my family	Dear WHO members, I do not believe a global response to pandemics should be created. People should have the ability to make health decisions for themselves and not be dictated by third parties such as the WHO. I do not support this proposal. Best Regards, Shannon Gainey
Private Voice	Dear WHO members, I would like to emphasize that such a treaty must : - respect an individual's personal objections; - respect the sovereignty of member states and their governmental bodies; - respect the Nuremberg Code; - consider potentially catastrophic side effects of pharmaceutical products (Examples: Contergan (birth defects), Pandemrix (narcolepsy), Cominaty, Covishield, Vaxzevria, Spikevax, (V-AIDS)...) - legally enforce liability of manufactures, even if the product is only authorized for "emergency use". The the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrates that Government responses to COVID-19 have manipulated established standards, violated treaties, especially human rights agreements. How will the WHO ensure that the citizen, who has no direct vote in the WHO, is not bypassed in the decision-making process and that a shift of competence further and further away from the voter does not lead to a de-democratisation' of our society?
Not Applicable	Dear WHO members, Im writing in to submit my individual public concern to this proposal. Any kind of pandemic needs proper governance from the sovereign countries and its citizen. This is achieved through national and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The 2019-2021 clearly showed that the world sovereign countries did this and achieved stellar results. So its unnecessary and clearly undermines the national and local leadership shown during 2019/2020. Pharmaceutical companies need to reveal all their invested details to the public. And any kind of media or professional bodies threatening professionals from speaking up on medical treatments should be allowed. During times of pandemic, ALL Pharmaceutical companies should NOT charge ANY amount for treatments. This will allow proper assessments and safety protocols are not perverted. in addition, no blanket ban on any traditional or modern medicine or treatment during a pandemic. Any patient doctor relationship and medical information is confidential. No centralized institution should not interfere in the patients decision making. And ultimately the patient decides. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Thank you.
A Better World	Dear WHO Members, It has been reiterated for many years the problems in WHO, that its agenda is governed by its donors in place of the true needs for public health, that it is Big-Pharma ruled, it has lost whatever credibility it may have once had at its inception. Therefore, it is not qualified to define Global Public Health policy nor does it have the legal authority. The billions of people populating this planet will not be interested at all in listening, let alone following its policy. What happened in respect to its Covid policy in regard to blow-back, will be minute in comparison to any further actions it may seek to take. Hundreds of millions and more oppose the WHO policies and will continue to as so many of us feel it no longer plays the role of truly protecting and building public health that such a body should. Despite that you are propped up by big donors and business, it doesn't matter, it has no relevance, as its actions have proven that it is not doing the business of the public but the business of its donors. You don't seem to be aware how many people are aware of what was really behind the Covid manipulation, from gain of motion toward bio-weaponry (from the highest, medical sources), forward to propagandizing fear, to the manipulation of testing procedures to the manipulation of clinical studies for jinning the MRNA vaccines through the FDA. These facts are emerging in courts around the world and WHO is being named. My recommendation is that you abandon your efforts, examine yourselves and your true motives, because the rule of law will demonstrate the illegalities and the unethical practices WHO has been promoting. In the meantime, stop the current effort to set such sick policies. Thanks
Global Citizen	Dear WHO Members, Developing an international response to global emergencies must allow open discourse from all levels of expertise, not merely from individuals or organizations sanctioned by the WHO (and by those who fund the WHO). An international response cannot override member state powers as global emergencies affect different regions in unique ways. Silencing the needs of member states effectively silences the citizens of the world who are most affected by emergencies and the responses to them. Every member state must have the freedom to respond to emergencies in the ways that best meets the needs of their citizens, rather than having to abide by a 'cookie-cutter' approach that serves only a small portion of the global population. At the very least, a responsible international response would include funding infrastructure challenges that are primary factors in the development of pandemics: access to clean water, adequate nutrition and sanitary environments. Historically, spending money in these area has always slowed the progression of infectious diseases. Thank you, Michele Barnes
SELF	Dear WHO Members, The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. However, my wish is that you do not pass any rule that attempts to control the world's health because no citizen has authorized your organization to do this and because such power to do so is beyond the ability of any organization to responsibly manage - and I feel you should know this.
Self-employed massage therapist with BScN	Dear WHO members, The substantive elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1) respect for the sovereignty of every individual, local community and country. 2) respect and honouring of human rights, each country's constitutional rights and the Nuremberg code. 3) the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreements as they see fit, without consequence 4) transparency - the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places were a majority of the people do not want it. 5) measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Burton Security Ltd	Dear WHO members, We believe it is important to ensure that any international instrument on pandemic preparedness must ensure National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That there must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. That there must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. That there must be measures in place to ensure that the international instrument does not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time and consideration. Yours sincerely Susan Burton
Novartis	Dear WHO Members: Each nation must maintain its sovereignty in making decisions for the health of its people, as long as there are no crimes against humanity. Vote for sovereignty and liberty, not slavery. Sincerely, Kate
Independent Science Writer	Dear WHO membership: Even at the best of times, the practice of science represents a complex undertaking in large part due to the funding partners required to undertake any scientific investigation or enterprise. For the field of medical science, this becomes all the more difficult during a time of medical crisis when the inherent limitations of both institutions and the humans who endeavour to function within them, are put under immense pressures to solve urgent time-sensitive questions within short order. This no doubt is why the WHO believes it is in the interest of all concerned to create a system that can better respond to such crises in future. However, any international instrument aimed at doing so should not constrain proper and open scientific debate within the scientific community, for as we know in science, what may appear to be a valid course of action today can in a matter of a few months turn out to be one that is either ineffective or even counter-productive. Given this simple fact, any international coordinating instrument should allow nations to engage in a "bandwidth" of appropriate investigative pathways that do not bet on any one course of action to attend to a problem, as was the case in the last two years, when it was assumed the single best method for limiting the damage of Covid-19 was an experimental vaccine. Other treatment options (such as Ivermectin) also need to be on the table. In short, placing all of one's solution eggs in one action basket hardly seems like a wise way to proceed. Thus, such any agreement should allow individual nations the flexibility to apply their efforts using a multi-pronged approach, one that grants citizens a range of choices.
Global Citizen	Dear WHO officials, I value your intentions and while it may seem that a harmonized response between nations directed by a central body is what we need, it will do more harm than good. Public health is comprised of more than just the ability to control viral outbreaks, it is also about people's rights and autonomy. Nations should be free to determine their own policy in a more enlightened global future. Thank you for your consideration, Emilio
La Bicyclette Restaurant	Dear WHO Officials, I am writing to offer some thoughts on the proposed international pandemic treaty. I fell that what should be included in this treaty is a set of guidelines and cooperative measures that never-the-less leaves the sovereignty of each country intact. Having to come to an international agreement could take undue amounts of time and would bind countries to a protocol that might not be productive for their particular situation or for some of the individuals of the countries involved. Health is a very complex issue, as we've clearly seen. In the Covid pandemic I have noticed that people who have been equally exposed to Covid very often do not respond in the same ways. The determining factors seem to be the individual's current state of health, the strength of their immune system, and most particularly whether or not they have other serious health issues. These variables are not going to change. I feel that the focus should rather be on setting international guidelines for removing the most obvious obstacles to good health and immunity in our environments and food chains in order to improve all around immunity and health. That is the real crisis we're dealing with. Let's begin to address those things right away and begin making real steps to healthier countries overall. That as well as clear guidelines on working together in a cooperative way in the case of other international health issues is to me a sound way to proceed. I thank you for your consideration. Respectfully submitted, Sheila Sheppard
Self	Dear WHO officials: As you contemplate the passing of this WHO treaty, I have grave concerns that this will mark the end of Freedoms as we know them in our free Western societies. Freedom of body sovereignty is a primary and most important freedom alongside freedom of speech. If this Treaty passes you will take away all of our choices to decide how we look after our bodies and the bodies of our children. Please do not allow this to go through - it will mark the end of democracy around the world. I fear that it is giving all power to those who have a nefarious agenda to end democracy and to control the whole population. Please stop this from happening. Rebecca Brownell
Private	looking back to the COVID pandemic the nations made different experiences. As I observed those different reactions I noted following points as important: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Best regards Markus Werthmann
General public health	Dear WHO pandemic preparedness team, I respectfully ask that your group would consider four important points in your discussion-- #1 National & local leadership would retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. #2 The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any & all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. #3 An open & transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. #4 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I appreciate that you allow public discussion on this important matter. Thank you. Mary Kaster 609 Bluebird Lane Green Bay WI 54303 USA
A Fare Story	Dear WHO Panel: I strongly oppose any treaty that would apply a top-down, or one size fits all approach to health and health care. We have seen over the past two years that major commercial interests such as big pharma can easily infiltrate and dominate centralized institutions and drive those institutions to enforce wasteful and potentially harmful policy on a huge scale. Innovative treatments almost never come from top down systems. Instead of creating a world health institution that can be co-opted by powerful interests we should instead seek to empower induvial practitioners to be as innovative as possible in treating their patients and develop a system where lesson learned can be quickly shared and vetted without prejudice or stigmatization. History clearly shows that even the best intended institutions can become corrupted over time. Please desist from any treaty that would supercede the rights of local government and sovereign citizens. Thank you, Naomi
none	Dear WHO Panel: I strongly oppose any treaty that would apply a top-down, or one size fits all approach to health and health care. We have seen over the past two years that major commercial interests such as big pharma can easily infiltrate and dominate centralized institutions and drive those institutions to enforce wasteful and potentially harmful policy on a huge scale. Innovative treatments almost never come from top down systems. Instead of creating a world health institution that can be co-opted by powerful interests we should instead seek to empower induvial practitioners to be as innovative as possible in treating their patients and develop a system where lesson learned can be quickly shared and vetted without prejudice or stigmatization. History clearly shows that even the best intended institutions can become corrupted over time. We should not create institutions but decentralized networks where talent can easily rise & bad ideas can be quickly abandoned. Furthermore I do not think that the WHO should have any authority to superspeed local law. This is un-democratic, disempowering and dangerous. And ultimately few people would respect such a system. Please desist from any global pandemic health treaty. Thank you, RT
Keys To Heaven And Earth	Dear WHO Public Hearings, The primary substantive element to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be the ability of individuals, local and national governments and organizations to opt out or to not be bound by the new international instrument. National and local leadership by virtue of their responsibility and service to sovereign men and women of necessity must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Therefor, nations and local municipalities need the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The new international instrument on pandemic preparedness should include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The new instrument on pandemic preparedness and response to should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you very much for receiving this submission.
none	Dear WHO Reader: I strongly urge you to adopt these requests: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Gary Hazard
Self	Dear WHO Representative: I have grave concerns with the treaty as it is currently written. I believe it is imperative that a number of issues must be considered. I have summarized these concerns by requesting the following points be included in the treaty: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours respectfully, Rita Macoritto
Human Citizen	Dear WHO Representative: I have grave concerns with the treaty as it is currently written. I believe it is imperative that a number of issues must be considered. I have summarized these concerns by requesting the following points be included in the treaty: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours respectfully, Ron Raes
Childrens Health Defense	Dear WHO representatives, There is no "Covid19 Pandemic." There never has been. Though there does seem to be virus-like agent(s) producing the flu-like syndrome known as "Covid," there is, to date, no isolated virus called "SARS-COV-2" in evidence with any government or private organization anywhere on Earth. And since "Covid" has a lethality comparable to the flu in adults, and event less virulent in youth, any and all "Covid measures," including experimental "vaccines," are unwarranted. So, if there is no scientific/medical reason for the last two years of unconstitutional, ineffective and harmful measures, then what are they about? There is an old saying, "Power corrupts, and absolute power corrupts absolutely." A Central World government only concentrates power further and therefore only increases corruption. And corruption is always antithetical to health. Thank you, Jason Winnett Berkeley, California Republic
Canadian Citizen	Dear WHO representatives, With regards to the proposed "pandemic preparedness and response" it is essential that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This policy can be utilized for the benefit of the global population if done openly, with respect for all people in every nation, through transparency and full autonomy. This will then act as a guiding tool and provide global support if such an event would occur again. Greater acceptance will occur if the above is respected and built into the "international instrument". Each country needs to be entrusted to lead its own people with international guidance. Thank you for your time and consideration with this matter, Chantel Moran Calgary, AB, Canada
cititzen	Dear WHO Team, I propose to include - Stop of all gain of function research on viruses - supporting the strengthening of natural immune system of the people, especially the poor - supporting a heathy health care system in all countries - Lokal responcibility instead of central (WHO) - free decision of the people/patients about treatments and vaccines Not included should be - obligation to offer or receive vaccines - Digital certificates about treatments especially vaccines - discrimination of people who decide not to get vaccinated - obligation to war Maske Thx! Kind Regards Ivo Karrasch

Organization	Written submission
Self	Dear WHO team, I would like to have the following points applied in preparation for the pandemic preparedness: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Many mistakes were done during this Pandemic, so let's make sure that this does not happen again. kind regards,
Personal	Dear WHO team. In regards to your recent proposal for a global agreement on pandemic preparedness I submit the following declaration in opposition to your proposal on the base of sovereignty of all and each individual and their rights to chose what is best for their own health without any consequences or persecution of any kind. In such terms the following is to be upheld National and local leadership to be maintained and their right to make a decision based on the needs and wants of their people. The ability of nations and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without any consequences. An open and transparent process where all people of the world and every person independently will have the ability to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people don't want it. Measure be put in place that don't allow for the influence of the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers.
private citizen	Dear WHO via World Health Assembly, Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr Soumya Swaminathan, If a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is implemented, then I believe that there are some vital issues that need to be addressed. Each country, and people, of the world is different with it's own health problems and societal concerns. As a result, I believe that it is imperative that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions best for their own people. There must also be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Governments of countries change and priorities of the governed people also change. This opt out clause is important so that this agreement reflects the opinion and wishes of the governed. Australia is a democracy. As such, any agreement that the Australian government enters into with the WHO must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. It is also important for this to include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The integrity of Australian sovereignty must be upheld. So that the integrity of this proposed instrument is upheld, it is vital that measures are implemented within this instrument that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WHO	Dear WHO - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social a nd economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Best regards, Silvia Schuler
PMLLA (Private Music Lessons Los Angeles)	Dear WHO 1. It is of importance for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It's important to be able to make important health decisions quickly and on a local level. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit (without consequence) should be on top of the agenda. 3. The treaty should allow for open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It should be clear that no measures are allowed for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
none	Dear Who America is a sovereign nation. I do not want WHO to control my medical decisions.
NA	Dear WHO I think it is important that each country decides what to do in a case of a pandemic - especially since the WHO has changed the definition of pandemic to include anything that spreads across borders, regardless of numbers of deaths or of the severity of the illness. This is an inappropriate definition of pandemic and has belittled the meaning of the word. I stand strongly against any 'internationally imposed' regulation on my personal behavior or on my society. I believe in having sovereign states in the world and in supporting a variety of cultures who of course, will react differently. If one country wants to close their borders, they should do that, without imposing the rule on everyone. A Global Health policy is a horrific idea. I will not comply Sincerely Anne Tasker
Private	Dear WHO I'm writing to object to your proposed global pandemic treaty, this would be a gross violation of people's democratic rights. Every person, let alone every country has the right to determine their own health decisions. We want self determination and sovereignty over our own health. The evidence now is mounting that the reaction to the Covid 19 virus has been insane and many people have been badly affected by forced vaccinations, forced lockdowns and loss of jobs through vaccine passport mandates. It is our inalienable right to choose what works for us, every country is different, we cannot all be lumped together in a one-size-fits all policy. Every person is unique and we should not all have been subjected to the same policy during the past two years. Please have some respect for our mana and our Treaty of Waitangi too. Monique Murphy
General public - Health care worker	Dear WHO In response to your question, I believe each country should implement such interventions as they see fit in response to a pandemic in accordance with what their people want, as voted upon democratically within that specific area or country. I don't think a global initiative is the correct method here as there is no 'one size fits all' in Health, and most people value freedom of choice. In regard to global preparedness, I believe that each country should encourage its citizens to be healthy, with focus on fitness, diet, lifestyle habits such as sleep and social connections and above all, education on the importance of all the above. The benefits of lasting healthy habit changes, and not sole reliance on any 'quick fix 'will have the greatest long term Health outcomes worldwide. However, ultimately each individual should have the right to make their own informed decision. Thank you
None	Dear WHO My submission is that I don't believe any international instrument is needed, nor do I wish to see one implemented in any fashion. No instrument should in any way infringe upon the national sovereignty of Ireland, her constitution, or that of any nations. In addition I submit the following: - National and local leadership of Ireland retain full autonomy, reserving right to make decisions based on what is best for their people - Nations must have ability to opt out of any and/or all portions of any agreement on pandemic response/preparedness without consequence - An open and transparent process with ability for all people in affected nations to view publically and to have a vote on - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health companies or partners or profiteers. Many thanks, Dillon Burns Ireland
Self	Dear Who Thank you for inviting submissions. Above all, I ask that each individual retain personal choice as to how they take care of their bodies when sick and what medications or external input they choose to ingest or apply for the care of their health . The continued provision of sound advice, suggestions, information, guidance is highly useful and relevant and would benefit all. Thank you. Your sincerely Lisa Moore
Citizen, taxpayer, ratepayer, father, husband, neighbour	Dear WHO Thank you for the opportunity to submit on this concept: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The substantive elements I think should be included in any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: - That any such international instrument has been agreed to via an independent, nationwide referendum within the respective sovereign nations. - That any claims of a 'pandemic' are subject to the strictest scrutiny by independent, non-WHO, publicly-approved, experienced, medical professionals and their findings must have precedence and final authority over any WHO recommendations or orders. - That a nation's central and local leadership, and private individuals retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - That any guidance provided is optional to a nation, its local municipalities, and private individuals and such guidance must be carefully scrutinised and approved by formal, publicly-approved medical professionals, to the exclusion of political, industrial, lobbying, or pharmaceutical influence or participation. - That sovereign nations and their local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - That safeguards, processes, policies, and measures are built in to prevent the undue influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, influencers, industrialists, political powers or lobbyists. Sincerely Phil
N/A	Dear WHO The following elements should be included in the proposed treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. regards Michael Orchard South Africa
Individual	Dear WHO The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	Dear WHO While i appreciate your effort to get the world to be more prepared for the next pandemic. I have the following concerns as a citizen of the world. It is important for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities can choose to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you hearing my thoughts.
Cultural Evolution LTD	Dear WHO You must not extend your power, You must be more transparent. You must rectify your funding model, as currently you are deeply compromised by a funding process that gives undue influence to private interests, Bodily autonomy is a important human right and we must safeguard informed consent. Freedom of speech is a fundamental human and democratic freedom. Yet over the last 2 years we have see an new authoritarian medical tyranny take route in so many 'freedom' loving countries. Sadly your org, which has done so much good in the past. Is now causing real and dreadful harm. You must win back your financial independence. Best T Nicolson
home	Dear WHO 1. any global document must include the realization of differing peoples and cultures. The diversities within each country must have appreciated value. 2. individuals must not lose the right to retain their decisions regarding personal health decisions
Retired	Dear WHO Here are my comments: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency
Build international	Dear WHO I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE EXTRAORDINARY LEGISLATION REGARDS PANDEMIC SITUATIONS. People have a fundamental right to freedom of choice. Most of us know our own bodies really well and have the Democratic right to choose what is and is not good for us. I have suffered shocking side effects from the vaccine and would NOT like to see anyone else suffer this through draconian measures taken. Thank you Susan
Concerned Individual	Dear WHO I don't agree to the Treaty and I don't give my permission to the WHO to impose anything thing concerning my health. Nor the right to choose my health treatment for me. During the pandemic since early 2020, vaccines proved to be unsafe and ineffective causing many health issues. I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices. I also want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid, and I don't want this to happen, especially without my explicit permission and consent as a FREE citizen who had to undergo many abuses in the last 2 years and 4 months under pretext of a global health emergency. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven I am very concerned and I therefore reject to all degrees the direction in which this legislation is going and opt to leave full control over the health of citizens with the individual citizens themselves.
New Zealand citizen	Dear WHO I would like to see national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I believe it is the birth right of all human beings to retain the right to their own healthcare and that it be localised and nature centered. A return of people being empowered with knowledge of the plants that grow around them and how they can bring health to the body. If you want to be more effective in promoting health, I recommend that instead you out your efforts into cleaning up the toxic food chain, and promoting healthy, whole life giving foods. Increase education on food. Thank you Kim
Hawaiian Volcanic Organic	Dear Who Individual Nations, States, Providences, Counties, and Cities are all different in their physical make-up, their Citizens, and their attitudes towards their own health and freedom. We want our local and national leaders, whom we elect and are held accountable for their decisions, to be the ones to govern our Citizens. Not some non-elected bureaucrat – we saw the terrible management of the last health crisis. All the losses of Freedom, our Liberties, and the physical and emotional trauma caused by unelected "health" officials. Remember that "Absolute Power Absolutely Corrupts" Sincerely Rick H Cabados
Independent consultant	Dear WHO Thank you for organising this consultation. In response, I am writing to highlight the following points to the question you have posed. As a respected organization, transparency and accountability are of the the utmost importance. However and whatever is implemented, the following principles should be respected, and its processes be made transparent to the public: 1) Given the experience of the last two years, as a citizen of a nation state, I believe that country and local leadership should retain full autonomy to make decisions according to what is right for their citizens. This means that national governments and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without fear of any ramifications. 2. Each country should be able to conduct their own assessment of the appropriateness of this WHO initiative BEFORE THIS AGREEMENT IS SIGNED, based on a public consultation with their own citizens. For instance, each country and the global community should be able to observe an open and transparent process; be able to understand the application of WHO's global agreement on them, and formally vote whether the country should sign any binding agreements. 3. WHO personnel, contractors and associated affiliates, involved with implementing these measures should declare their involvement in any activities that would constitute potential conflicts of interests e.g. such as any associations with, or funding by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other corporations that could serve to benefit from these global policy implementations I believe the above principles should implemented to ensure a fair and accountable system for all. Thank you.
Private person from the general public	Dear WHO This pandemic treaty is not the solution to ensuring health in the public. It violates all sovereign rights of each individual natural person in the world. As a German citizen I am against this proposal. This one size fits all is NOT the solution. I will not allow my civil liberties and rights to be taken away in this manner. This is a coup d'état usurping our rights, which we cannot allow to happen. We will not submit to the whims and fancies of the pharmaceutical industry. With all due to respect, the pharmaceutical industry has been making billions of revenue on substances that do not cure illnesses but only create more side effects and health issues. Therefore this pandemic treaty cannot be passed. Yours sincerely Barbara VOON
@ Home M•[]m	Dear WHO We the people of this world DO NOT support this treaty!! Countries have the individual right and freedom to decide what happens to its citizens! You do not have and should never have power over individual countries, no matter what the reasons! Democratic countries elect officials to speak for them and I do not support or allow you an unelected group to make ANY decisions for me and my freedoms! Shame on you for putting profit before people Shame on you!! As a citizen of Canada I do NOT support this treaty!!! You should remain a source, hopefully one of many, to offer a perspective of guidelines and suggestions. This should then be up to each individual country to decide what is best for their people, not you! Please please do not allow this to proceed. Our freedoms and democracy depend on it!!
private citizen	Dear WHO You are not elected - so that is the first step. Given the power you have, and desire to increase over all governments and citizens, we call on you to immediately restructure your organization and ask the people properly who they wish to see at the helm of WHO. The President of the WHO and his immediate deputies should be up for election every other year to avoid possibility of corruption. You seem very fond of technology and the like, am sure you are able to coordinate a worldwide referendum. Pandemic plans should be reviewed yearly by a wholly independant non-WHO assembly of people including something akin to a People's Court. This form is well and good however very few citizens of the world were aware of this and I fully expect the unfavorable responses to be buried. Secondly, there needs to be full open disclosure of the nature of where you receive your funding particiulary in regards to monies given to you by The Gates Foundation and similar. Again, there is an obvious conflict of interest there when the biggest financial donor historically has the power to dictate policy. Any future funding needs full and open examination. Then and only then can we the people trust anything you put forward.
Citizen	Dear Who You need a human rights clause to the fair treatment for personal chooses as per Australia's constitution other wise the citizens will not adhere to your authority. Thinks John
Private	Dear WHO! Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Thanks and best regards
Privat	Dear WHO! You have not to make a new construction for international health and pandemic prepardness! I prefare that only the gouverment of my country make pandemic respondes and reforms! It is not clear,when you make such things! Because it is open for corruption,and I think you have not to do this! I vote my goverment so they can make some reforms,but not the WHO! People to not vote the WHO! So it is not possible that you make some things for gouverments! It ist not correct! You have to left this way because it is uncorrect! I to not like that the WHO make it for me,because I never vote the WHO and you are not my gouverment and not my health organisation! You only a organisation to give tips to goverments,not more! Also it was a great trouble during corona pandemic when WHO powerfull say what gouverments and Chef from gouverments have to to! People in all Europian Countrys to not like this! Otherwise they say:We to not need the WHO!! Best regards! Barbara Weissenbacher 5541 Altenmarkt
Myself	Dear WHO, It is not your job to control us. We are a sovereign people created by God. Be open honest and transparent, unlike you have been. Stop catering to special interest and big money doners. Give control to state and local officials to make the call. People who represent the community they live in rather than a small group of unelected global diplomats to make decisions. We deserve our rights to be respected. Thank you! God Bless, Matt Kern
Self	Dear WHO, Please respect our individual rights and liberties granted to us by our sovereign countries. You need to bring transparency and honesty, instead of catering to big pharma and large donors. Respect our rights to make our own decisions regarding our health and how we choose to take care of ourselves. Leave decisions to locally elected officials that have to answer to their people and not an unelected organization that is paid off by big pharma and large money donors. Thanks God Bless, Matt Kern
—	Dear WHO, as the COVID-19 pandemic shows, there is no need for a new international instrument. Local governments further on have to be able to react and decide independently in concern to health issues . People worldwide wouldn't accept to be ruled by global WHO restrictions. This endangers world peace and open an addiction to Pharma industry influence. Please think seriously about this. Thank you Best regards
privat person	Dear WHO, do not make vaccinations mandatory for anybody!!! Never! My body, my choice! No vaccination passports for travelling or participation at social life! Analyse on a transparent way the side effects of vaccinations especially for new vaccination techniques e.g. MRNA. Never use lockdowns for complete towns/regions/countries!!! Keep in mind psychological effects of lockdowns! Look for children, they should never again be arrested at home! NO MANDATORY VACCINATIONS Don't spread panic! But: Spread tips on how to live a healthfull lifestyle (usage of Vitamines / movement and so on). Thank you! Kind regards Kea

Organization	Written submission
The People's Treaty	Dear WHO, I am writing to comment on the proposed by your organization the " Pandemic Treaty'. I am opposed to your proposal. To my mind, individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I hope my opinion will be considered by you. Kind regards, P. Cichowska
USA	Dear WHO, I object any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. We the people opt to leave full control over our health with the individual citizens themselves. Thank you, Ms. Smith
People of Earth	Dear WHO, I would like you to Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty; this is incredibly important because no one should ever be forced to take an experimental vaccine against their will to be able to continue at their job, to travel, or otherwise: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups, and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services and should never be given an exemption from this liability. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. Environmental and wildlife protections should never be waived for pandemics or any other emergency situation. Thank you!
General Public	Dear WHO, Please find my submission for the public hearing that I believe should be included within the International Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness and Response 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Dear WHO, These elements must be included. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and what is in alignment with the universal laws of higher consciousness and vibration. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers, or anyone with a conflict of interest that such measures creates personal monetary gain. Thank you!
Individual	Dear WHO, These elements must be included. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and what is in alignment with the universal laws of higher consciousness and vibration. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers, or anyone with a conflict of interest that such measures creates personal monetary gain. Thank you!
	Dear WHO, Fully understanding your motivation to improve your impact and its rapidity on the world health in case of a pandemic. Nevertheless to do so should respect the democratic principles and the fact that health does not answer to the 'one size fits all'. Therefore allow the following suggestions: -National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -last but not least the measures taken should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, D Petit
None	Dear WHO, I firmly believe that the practices of each community/country during a pandemic should be individual and related solely in response to what is happening in each specific region. Any attempt to make a " global mandate" could only subvert the information and intelligent responses of a local authority. Independent action should be preserved in each country, not a one size fits all edict which could only be overreaching in order to cover a global pandemic response. Sincerely, Suzanne Thompson RNBS
POLSKA WOLNOŚĆ ARKA OCALENIA	Dear WHO, We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Citizen of the United States of America	Dear WHO, As a Citizen of the United States of America who firmly believes The recent pandemic over the last two years was handled terribly by not only the United States but other countries around the world. That being said, some countries handled it much worse and are still to this day. We as a nation, need to focus on our nation and our nation only. We need to fight to preserve our own Freedoms, liberties and protection from pandemics, outside and inside threats. The idea of letting other countries decide for us Will be severe threat to our national security, freedoms and safety. The United States of America has a lot of very intelligent people that can handle our own pandemics and threats. (NOT Anthony Fauci) In order to be a strong nation again we need to focus on ourselves while assisting others as we always have and always wi'll. We cannot help others if we can't help our own selves so please focus on the United States of America only or we will be in grave danger of become another communist nation full of hate, violence and fear. I pray that you and your colleagues will make the best decision in the United States of America's behalf and Pray on it yourselves as there are Hundreds of thousands who feel as I do on this matter. Sincerely Robert A. Patenaude United States citizen NH
Billing	Dear WHO, I am deeply concert about the pandemic treaty you are planning. It appears to be a one solution to fit the whole world and as such doesn't seem to follow democratic guidelines. I feel the need for every state to be able to make sovereign decissions about the health of their people, including the democratic right of the people to make their voice heard by their leaders – enabling leaders to respond to the needs of their people without any negative consequences imposed by 3rd parties, such as the WHO. I deeply feel that the effects of the resent pandemic measures need to be fully understood and evaluated, peer-reviewed with free speech, before talking about future management strategies, as such any decision made now, seems very much pre-mature. I need this research to be done independently and not by any pharma or other involved who benefitted from the current responses, as my trust in this organisation had been shattered during the last 2 years and I no longer feel save in the country I life, nore my country of origine. Please accept my opinion in you hearing as at least a stakeholder. Kind Regards Kerstin
Private	Dear WHO, I do not consider that an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is workable. Every country is different, and there cannot be a 'one size fits all' response to any global pandemic. Local governments need to assess the situation to apply measures that are best for their own people, and depending on the severity and distribution of the pandemic. At the same time, local governments and councils need to be able to opt out of any part of an international instrument, without consequence. This would include a transparent process where local people could could have their say on any response being considered. Any instrument must be free of the influence of pharmaceutical companies and health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration. Kind regards, Rosanne Jacks
none	Dear WHO, I have concerns about a binding Pandemic Treaty, but since you ask what I think should be included, I would stipulate that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is essential. The treaty should include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And, perhaps most importantly, measures must be taken to prevent any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	Dear WHO, it's understandable that you have a very important job and role in keeping the planet safe. We can all agree that the implementation of medical grade masks for international travel helps with all airborne viruses. However, mandating vaccinations for new strands, and new viruses does not seem the best route. I urge you to keep this in mind when discussing your protocols. Please allow individual freedoms when it comes to medical treatments. Masks are a quick, inexpensive, easy way for every single person to protect themselves and others. Thank you!
Family	Dear WHO, Please do not take away my rights! Our forefathers fought to be able to have the freedom of choice which you were trying to take away from me at a very great cost ……you or anyone else does NOT have my permission to take away my first amendment rights!! 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency
Teacher	Dear WHO, please don't vote for the global pandemic plan. Please support the education, so that each man, each woman can decide how to react if an illness will come. Please support the education, so that all man worldwide is able to live healthy life and eat healthy food! Thankfully A. D.
Bulgaria	Dear WHO, please stop forcing people and taking human rights to use your products. They are not even vaccines but trial genetic products with many side effects. Do not try to subscribe our lives with your products. This may be great deal for you but we, people, do not allow. We, Bulgarians, reject! We can decide if we will live, take anything or die. We are owners of our bodies! Remember that. You have no rights to make it obligatory. If you make it obligatory, we do not agree and do not take!
Citicien	Dear WHO, the following is missing: All measures and mechanisms are only recommendations. No country shall be forced to follow these recommendations. No third party, in particular EWF, Worldbank or any companies may force a country to follow it, e.g. as conditions for anything such as donations, loans, reducing depts etc. Any country or any person has to weight recommendations with any other values, such as economy, (potential) psychical or physical damage and has the right to refuse any recommendation. The human rights and each country's constitution are above the recommendations Anybody has the right of an analog life. No digital certificate, ban of in-body information storage. Right of physical integrity: No injections, medical treatments may be ordered against anybody's free will. Anybody involved must be independent from providers for measures or treatment, such as pharma industry, digital companies etc. It must be published who was involved in defining and roll out of measures (persons, organizations, consultants) including their connections to providers. Personal responsibility for recommendations. Failure culture within WHO and member countries: Any critical voices must be heard and encouraged to do so. Good example is ISO26262-2 ED1. Freedom of science: Open discussion in science has to be ensured especially contradicting opinions and results Freedom of opinion, no censorship Any herbal or conventional treatments that reduce the cause or relieve symptoms need to be considered. It is in the responsibility of a medical doctor or herbal /alternative doctor to provide treatments best for any patients. No forced treatment plans.
USA	Dear WHO, these are the elements I think should be included in the new instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom for all people	Dear WHO, what I thought would be a conspiracy theory in TWITTER, is actually true and is being proposed by you. So I disagree with this proposal, this is why: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best Regards
None	Dear WHO, The people of the world do not need your treaty in regards to pandemic response. Individual countries, states, counties and cities must keep their autonomy to decided what is best for themselves because each community is unique and has it's own strengths- anything else would be disastrous to humans. The world does not need a one size fits all approach to health but rather keep the individuals choice of options and paths to health open and accessible. Stop the treaty.
None	Dear WHO, Thank you for this opportunity to provide a written comment submission on the WHO's Pandemic Treaty Any Pandemic Treaty should include the following elements: · National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. · The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. · An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. · Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time. Respectfully, Nicola Austin
Independant	Dear WHO, I did agree with this 'treaty' 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please consider my request. Karen Donovan
none	Dear WHO, With regard to any international pandemic planning or response, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The instrument should consist of an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to participate, and should include failsafe measures that can prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population are not in agreement with it. In addition, the instrument must include measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	Dear WHO, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time, Joanna Robinson
Vesica Design	Dear WHO, A global treaty on this subject is unnecessary as the last so called 'pandemic' was a confidence trick. There was no pandemic, the amount of hype and mis information coming from mainstream media world wide was iniquitous and designed to keep people scared and compliant. There should be NO treaty.
None	Dear WHO, All communities affected must have opt-out clause for such communities where the majority are against any rulings.
Humble Abode Music	Dear WHO, All efforts regarding improving human health should be solely focused on removing toxins from food, water, air, clothing, and habitat and educating communities on the importance of exercise, mindfulness, sunlight exposure, nature and community. Any effort to remediate illness before a holistic diet and lifestyle approach is widely accepted and implemented is simply compounding societal problems and leading to a further decline in human health overall and into the foreseeable future. Good, clean health starts with good, clean living. Period. With Love & Light mimir
general public	Dear WHO, An individual's health and pandemic preparedness lie with himself and those healthcare providers with whom he consults. An international treaty would likely strip individuals of their ability to make critical health decisions for themselves. Doctors prescribe different drugs for different patients, even those suffering from the same afflictions, so how would the one-size-fits-all approach likely to come from an international treaty serve all, or even most, of the world's population? For the sake of public health, please do not pursue a global pandemic treaty. Thank you, Katherine Wasik New York, USA
Self employed	Dear WHO, Each citizen of the world should get a first aid kit. The person can decide with their own doctors or family physician how to proceed. Independent studies and case by case evaluations should be done by local juridictions. The WHO only involvement is to have these data available to all. The WHO does not need to make any rules or recommendations. Thank you
none. I am representing myself	Dear WHO, Governments should not suspend peoples' right because of any emergency situation. Peoples rights are God given and not given or taken by governments or any small group of people. People should have the right to make their own decisions for their healthcare and politicians or bureaucrats do not have the right to deny medications or supplements to anyone. The status of vaccines should not give or take rights away from people lockdowns on healthy people is not governing it is controlling and has not shown to be of help All human beings should have the right to free speech manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care need to be held liable for damages of any product or procedures they are involved in
Free human	Dear WHO, I along with many other citizens of the world decline and refuse an intergovernmental agreement on pandemics. Our citizens did not elect you, your movement is not a part of a democrat process whereby citizenship is served by government where I live. You do not govern me. For a long time now many many people have begun to hold deep suspicions about your movement and its aims. We say no to you, we say no to your backdoor power grabs. I do not consent and I do not comply with any treaty created forthwith. The government of my country of residence do not speak for me and my family should they be in accordance with you. It is perhaps time you read the room and backed off. Nations should be sovereign u to themselves only. Go away.
Self	Dear WHO, I am very opposed to the WHO organization dictating what other governments should and can do. Our world's countries need to remain autonomous and fully should make decisions for their own populations. All citizens of the world have a right to vote on being a part of the WHO. Any agreement needs to be non-binding with no consequences if an agreement is reached or subsequently broken. Any recommendations should be totally transparent and free of the pharmaceutical industry and free of benefit to these pharmaceutical companies with open information of whom stands to benefit from recommended drugs/ injections. Sincerely, Holly Groh, M.D.
Person	Dear WHO, I am writing in response to the global pandemic agreement you are proposing. I believe the sovereignty of each country should be respected and every person and culture should be respected, even in the time of pandemic. Hence I would like to see the agreement be withdrawn and power be given back to each individual countries and goverments. Kind Regards, Emily
Public	Dear WHO, I am writing to express my concern of the proposed treaty regarding "pandemic preparedness and response". It is imperative that people have bodily autonomy and no one organization should have the right to decide for the masses. Thank you
none	Dear WHO, I am writing to express my concern over any treaty regarding future pandemics. The world's response to COVID has destroyed so many lives, and will continue to destroy and disrupt lives as a result of lockdowns, masking, shuttering of businesses, vaccine rollouts and ignoring of injuries, suppression of early treatments. I have lost any trust I might have had in the WHO, or public health. Leave us alone to live our lives free from your interference

Organization	Written submission
no organization	Dear WHO, I am writing to express my grave concern regarding the proposed WHO pandemic treaty. The WHO is not an elected organization and while it may advise on health issues it should have no control over a country's pandemic or health matters. This agreement would grant the WHO sovereign rights over a country's constitution. This is not acceptable. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy. Nations and localities within the nation must act according to what is best for their people, Nations and local parts of nations, eg provinces, municipalities, must be able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they decide, without penalty. The process must be open and transparent, not rushed and hidden. There must be measures to ensure that there is not influence from organizations that would profit from such a treaty, including pharmaceutical companies. This is such an important matter that I am asking for more time for nations and people to be told what this treaty entails so that they may consider the implications and respond as they see fit. Thank you for listening to my concerns on this most important matter. With concern, Dr. Jane Dobson M.D.
self	Dear WHO, I am writing to state my complete and utter rejection of the proposal for a Health Pass/Digital ID in any way, shape or form. There have been enough erosions in our democracy since the pandemic began in March 2020, and it it time to put that behind us and manage the virus as natural human beings... let me spell that out for you: we the people were led astray and it's time to return to nature, where humans belong. No more mask wearing. No more lockdowns. Freedom of choice in medical issues We are seeking the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last two years.
Concerned Citizen	Dear WHO, I believe responses to Emergencies and Pandemics and the like can be given important information and guidelines through international organizations like the WHO and others. But, I believe that each sovereign nation should make decisions for their own people. That is a protection of freedom as well as it can be a more diversified response. Just like other medical interventions, one size does not fit all people, all locations, at all times. When want our own opinions and to have informed consent over medical procedures as well as pandemic responses. Often there are several opinions as to what the best plan is and with a diversified action plan by different people we can test to see which is working the best keeping in mind all of the variables. Thank you for trying to prepare ahead but please respect our ability as sovereign nations to adopt or to tailor our own response based on evidence and a cost/benefit analysis.
Rutgers University	Dear WHO, I believe that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Alicia Bator
Private practice mental health	Dear WHO, I believe the following substantive elements need to be included for preparedness and response: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for this opportunity to comment.
Self employed	Dear WHO, I do not agree with a world wide one fits all pandemic strategy - if anything the Covid-19 pandemic has shown that more regional autonomy is needed. An international agreement must contain the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Many thanks for taking the above elements into consideration. Yours sincerely, Daisy Huet-Roell
Citizen	Dear WHO, I do not take credit for writing the below statement, however I agree with it. The undersigned citizens of the US strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. We oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. We also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. The undersigned respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution. Please stand with the American people and keep health at home and stop a global WHO power grab for financing, private health data, and authority.
Private individual.	Dear WHO, I feel very strongly that nations should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, with the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I wish to reserve the right to decide what treatments are best for my own body, there is no one size fits all. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours sincerely, Marie Whitham.
private	Dear WHO, I fully do not trust any idea of a central pandemic strategy. Countries are different as well as each individual. We all have different and unique ways of managing diseases, troubles and any other complications. Monopoly structures tend to deeply be abused. The past so called 'pandemic' has proven it all over the world. More than ever it turned out that the pharmacy lobby and politicians act in their own profit, but not in an ethical and moral proper way in terms of wanting to have the 'best' for the people. I See a total danger in that the WHO is privately sponsored. Power these days (probably always) is where the money is at. This circumstance needs to be seen. It is and should remain a human right not to be 'lead' by a few people but to always have the right to decide on your own about your body. As an Austrian citizen I refer here to the very threatening 'vaccine duty' that has been tried to establish.
Self-US Citizen	Dear WHO, I oppose this idea. WHO is not a government nor can it or should it direct other soverign governments to do anything. Your advice is crucial but it is just advice. Parental rights are different in different countries and even within a country. Parental rights are God given. Informed consent is also required. This has been woefully absent during this pandemic. Experimental drugs are still being jabbed without proper consent. Please stop this Pandemic Treaty NOW. Jack Collins
Public	Dear WHO, I respectfully object to this Treaty as it is in violation of established Rights of one's choice to bodily autonomy concerning health treatment. Citizens have the right to participate in any agreement that directly affects them and their families & elect their governing officials who shall have the best interest of their populum without corporate influence Thank you, Sheila Sprung
Representing myself as a sovereign being of the Republic of Ireland	Dear WHO, I strongly object to the surreptitious attempt to implement this treaty without the say so of human beings who will be affected by it. I live in a democratic society. Such overriding of our Constitution cannot be decided by a handful of politicians. Regards, L Delaney
Private Person	Dear WHO, I think that New Zealand people can take very good care of their own future pandemic needs for all the races of people here. The New Zealand government is able to connect with the indigenous people of New Zealand far more better than and international organization would be able to. The New Zealand government understand the unwillingness of Maori of this country for any medical care. The ministry of health has a far better understanding how to engage with Maori. If the WHO was to move in to try and help it would end is a disastrous outcome, you would loose all trust from people and very little uptake and I suspect a lot of pushing back from New Zealand. New Zealand has a long history and the governments have worked hard to gain the trust of the people, this would bring a lot of distrust into New Zealand. This would push all the work done over many years back to zero. Kind Regards Bruce Ward
Private	Dear WHO, I think, a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is not needed. The current guidelines and recommendations are sufficient. These onse just need to be adjusted with the learings and experiences of the latest Covid pandemic. All sovereign countries should make their own decisions on treating pandemic situations without getting orders from the WHO. Thank you!
None	Dear WHO, I wish to make it very clear that i do not agree with or accept any such plan to have a one size fits all approach by WHO and ober and above the local Country Health advice. There have already been severe failings in the approach towards the 2020 Pandemic and favoritism towards experimental pharmaceutical injections over existing and safe options. We all need to learn from this experience before commiting to a unelected group funded privately by entities with vested Nz financial interests. Kind Regards Mark Bladon
US Citizen	Dear WHO, I wish to request that the following elements are included in the agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. These items are essential component. Regards, Kelly Jones United States Citizen
Individual submission	Dear WHO, I would like to make a short submission to state that I oppose the proposed pandemic response currently on the table for discussion. I believe that each individual nation should remain sovereign to make choices that best suit the local health requirements of their people rather than a one-size-fits-all, global, approach. Please consider that national governments frequently have the most appropriate views for the people in their nations. Hence, a top-down WHO pandemic response should, at best, only be in an advisory capacity. Thank you for considering my submission. Hank Optland
n/a	Dear WHO, In making your upcoming decisions, it is imperative that you abide by the following. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, A concerned citizen of the world
private	Dear WHO, In my opinion the planned contract is a danger to democracy ans sovereignty of national states. Every advantage will be out-competed by the dangers that come with the concentration of power. The opinions and sights of few people will decide over the destiny of the rest. That makes me shiver. Even when these few are experts in their field, who chooses which opinions are the right ones? At the end there will be one solution, that will be imposed at worst in the whole world. Science is discourse! You should see and fear the possible outcome, that follows the implementation of such a contract! How will the rights of the citizens be respected? How will the the contact ensure the control of power concentration? Sincerely, Janine Quass
privat person	Dear WHO, In my opinion, the following essential elements must be complied with: The guarantee to adhere to the Nuremberg Code. The insistence on one hundred percent transparency in all approval procedures, as well as absolutely interest-free and objective research. All measures are fully explained and justified. Any criticism will be taken seriously. Respect for and protection of human rights. The sovereignty of the state and the respective constitutions is guaranteed. The well-being of the individual is paramount. Any collectivism is rejected. Yours sincerely Andreas Schierl

	Dear WHO,
	
	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included:
	- Pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response.
	   therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. Where there is risk there must be
	   choice!
	-another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single mem.
	  ber and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries.
	  Apart from that, all people in Europe have the right to transparency and experts who are not influenced by conflicts of interest.
	 - The fact is that there are a large number of people who do not want Covid vaccinations. A split in society is already noticeable and there is a risk that it will deepen. The concequences have to be counted.
	
	Thomas Liska

U.S. Citizen	Dear WHO, Include consent of those affected. State clearly that these are guidelines. Be extremely clear on sunset clauses and maintain timelines and metrics that do not allow interpretation to fit political ends or timelines. Include language acknowledging that the WHO has no power to enforce. Respect bodily autonomy. Include consensus from experts in fields other than physical health as the recent guidelines have wrecked the social fabric and economy. Thank you,
CA Teacher's Association	Dear WHO, Include language in your treaty that requires the consent of each individual to agree to your suggestions. Include language acknowledging local control of each city and county to agree to your guidelines or change them in response to local needs and individuals' desires for health freedom and autonomy in medical decisions. Include language acknowledging that the WHO has no power to enforce, but only to advise all people. Thank you, James Wakan
Terra Nova High School	Dear WHO, Include language in your treaty that requires the consent of each individual to agree to your suggestions. Include language acknowledging local control of each city and county to agree to your guidelines or change them in response to local needs and individuals' desires for health freedom and autonomy in medical decisions. Include language acknowledging that the WHO has no power to enforce, but only to advise all people. Thank you, Maria Tan
none	Dear WHO, It is imperative that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. We allow for an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We must protect against measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Christine Horner
Private	Dear WHO, mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! They are against human rights. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance! That's very important, because of that the human health is not in focus!!! I'm definitly against this project, because there is no focus on human health. So please do not implement it at all. If you really focus on human health, you have to change completely. This would be difficult for you of course. But it's time to change the strategy!!! Best regards Michael Seewald
God Powered	Dear WHO, No to such policy and response. We do not consent. Dissolve the WHO and all related anti-God organizations. In God and his creation we trust. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Regards, Milad
TKR group	Dear WHO, one point is most important of all: The sovereignty of each state and each individual person must be guaranteed. Constitutions and human rights must be observed. sincerely K Simpson
self (Registered Nurse)	Dear WHO, Please examine why Covid patients had to die alone in the hospitals. Perhaps you can specify that it's appropriate for families to mask/gown to hold their loved ones hand while dying. Would you please put in writing and communicate to all hospitals that a basic human right is human beings should never have to die alone. Thank-you, Mary Richardson
private	Dear WHO, please let me make clear that no authority on earth has the right to decide what's right for me and my health. I strongly reject every technocratic or authoritarian approach to implement such policies. Please note that such policies would undermine the Nuremberg Codes which clearly forbids any forced or otherwise imposed health intervention in every persons body. Kind regards, Alexander Günthör
Private	Dear WHO, Please refrain from drafting any provisions that provide for compulsory vaccinations or administration of medical products that have not gone through full safety and efficacy controls over standard pharmacovigilance periods (5 years+) You have no authority to override a myriad of international treaties of superior legislative scale than anything you agree to on the basis of whatever a bunch of civil servants without mandate or accountability can ever vote for in Geneva. Sincerely Guillermo M.
Part of the Human Race	Dear WHO, Please understand public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Communities have different needs with different populations. This was clear during our last experience with COVID. Don't pass any element that constricts local communities from providing what is best for their communities. This has already proven itself not to work, and people now understand it.
Semiconductor manufacturing	Dear WHO, Thank you for the work you've done... Where Successful. Unfortunately the responses and decisions made over the last 10 years shows that consolidation of power is NOT what is needed at this point in time. Best Regards, Charles Clark U.S.A.
Individual	Dear WHO, Thank you for this opportunity to provide a written comment submission. I oppose the WHO's Pandemic Treaty based on the assertion that the sovereign right of people to make their own health decisions, over and above what the WHO representatives may want under the proposed Pandemic Treaty. Further, any Pandemic Treaty would need to include the following: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time. Respectfully, Brian Taylor
The United States of America	Dear WHO, Thank you for your time. Briefly; 1) I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) I believe the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be included. 3) Make this an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on any activated provisions of any such treaty including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And finally, 4) The treat should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	Dear WHO, The 189 WHO members need to be able to be self responsible during a future pandemic. Kind Regards Raphael Bullet
Independent	Dear WHO, The 189 WHO members need to be self responsible during a future pandemic. Kind Regards Raphael Bullet
None	Dear WHO, The crucial element to include is a clear and concise definition/description on whom has the authority and over what aspects of life, be it financial, human rights, employment rights, and legal rights in respective independent nations that will regretfully agree to be a part of this arrangement. I strongly believe that individual countries as well as regions within those countries have the ultimate decision-making authority to do what's best for their citizens. Furthermore, there should be a widely approved definition of the threshold for these sweeping powers to be used by the WHO. For example, a universally approved definition of when a pandemic can be declared (without any overnight changes done on the WHO website) by all scientists, not just does that say what the WHO wants. There should NOT be any protection/immunity for the WHO for any damages, be it physical or mental, that the WHO'S decisions have caused. You wish to undertake this task and its your own narrative, then the WHO should assume all the risks that comes along with such an endeavor. All of the above need to be included and done with proper due course.

Organization	Written submission
General public	Dear WHO, The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Margaret Mai
none	Dear WHO, the most important element to consider in your vote is the sovereignty of each human being based on fundamental rights given by birth! For example, the right to integrity and self-determination must always be considered individually and must not be restricted in any way, be subject to conditions or collective coercion! Organizations that are not democratically elected must never dictate measures or regulations to the people! The freedom and self-determination of each individual must always come before the protection of health! Please be sure to consider the points I have mentioned in your vote! Sincerely Robert Kleinman
United States of America	Dear WHO, The most substantial element for international pandemic preparedness and response is to have large collective of qualified and diverse opinions. And allow for public discussions between these qualified experts. This past pandemic was and is still very one-sided and biased. WHO treaties that remove power from the US and any other country are dangerous to the health and welfare of those citizens. NEVER should people who do not live in a region be deciding what is best for that region! Good day, CC
None	Dear Who, There is so much proof that the vaccines are harmful. Why would you cause further unrest and mistrust by mandating passports and hurt international tourism and communication further by requiring them? Choose carefully. Your decisions are being recorded by history. Would you perchance submit to a healthy debate? Are you afraid to? If you are correct in your standing you have nothing to worry about! Please do not go foward on this and further degrade a hurting world. Each person has a God given right to make their own choices. Did they not quickly and willingly sequester themselves at the initial signs of the pandemic? Remember this: absolute power corrupts absolutely. Even if your intentions are pure, do you really trust the next offical to take this power and not abuse it?
Na	Dear WHO, There should not be a treaty in any pandemic preparations. The world should not be dependent on what one organization decides. We do not vote for you and each country has different laws. The globalist agenda hiding under the health and wellness of all and protection of the greater good vs individual rights is against human rights.
Personal	Dear WHO, This proposed treaty is undemocratic because it removes an individual's choice as to how to respond to a health threat and overrides each country's constitution in a blanket fashion which prevents personal choice and control over an individual's life. I vehemently object to its adoption. The world is aware that you, as a body, are unelected and funded to a greater extent by the Gates Foundation affording potential conflicts of interest given the foundation's connections with and ownerships of numerous agencies that are focused on pandemic solutions including vaccination. Please stop medaling with an individual's freedoms. The WHO creates more harm than good. The WHO is not trusted and lacks credibility. Yours faithfully Geoffrey Owen UK, London
Citizen of the World	Dear WHO, This treaty is not required and will not guarantee a better response to any pandemic. This should be left to our scientists and doctors to advise and for sovereign countries to decide on the best approach. Past pandemics, and in particular this one, has shown us that such a treaty can be potentially detrimental to global health, and the freedoms and rights of countries and individuals.
New Zealand citizen	Dear WHO, Us kiwis dont need your help in any future health problems whatsoever here in our sovereign country, New Zealand. We've got this. We always have and always will. Allan
Queensland Department of Education	Dear WHO, We are disillusioned by big government and big business. We need to value and empower smaller communities. In light of this any policy should include the following principles. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Phillip Tucker
Citizen of the United States	Dear WHO, We DO NOT want you in charge of pandemics. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. We OPPOSE the WHO agreement. Thank you. Steve
-	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the
None	Dear WHO, Whatever items you decide to regulate cannot supersede or override the power and decisions of each independent nation. Your protocols should be non-binding and unenforceable. "One size does not fit all." In fact, according to you own terms and conditions, you are unable to ensure that all interested parties will be able to participate in the public hearings, therefore (and especially because of this), your decisions should be, by default, non-binding. Thank you, Lisa
Self	Dear WHO, With a career in health care I have seen over and over that with patients the "one size fits all" mentality does not work for optimal health. I am asking that you consider the following in terms of your proposed treaty: -That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -For the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for reading my request. In Health, Shannon May
South African	Dear WHO, As a CITIZEN OF SOUTH AFRICA, I, along with everyone in my country who has not been injected with the gene therapy jab that you are passing as a "vax" do not want your treaty, neither need it. You have not been elected or have any say in our lives. We are not your lab rats. We are not interested in any of your products or services. May God bless you🙏 and bless South Africa and HE will lead us and heal us. As you can see in our infection rate and "vax" rate, we have reached herd immunity. Thank you and goodbye.
Praktijk Alea, Therapy.	Dear WHO, As we all know health is a very important personal good. Health is extremely individual even when a disease is contagious: some get very ill, some die, some have no problems at all or only light symptoms. As we have seen in Holland it does not work when decisions are centrally made, because differences are so big: people differ, have different ideas or wishes how to cope with a disease and circumstances are always different. So coping with a contagious disease should start at the bottom: how do I take care for my own health, my family, my environment. When people can follow their sensing responsability they will act most prudently. So no global, centralised decisionmaking. That has proven to be false in the corona-example. The other part is: we all have a very strong and respectabel immune system which has its main goal to protect us in whatsoever circumstances. It is extremely flexible an creative and can learn. It is a great good that people can rely on ths help. Many people do not want to spoil this capacity by medical experiments. And that is a basic human right, to care for your body in the way you percieve it is the best for you and your body, and this is a strenght for your environment as well. The third part is that pharmaceutical industries have too much power. The best thing could be that they loose their rigth to make profit, beacause this run for profit makes them blind for peoples rights. Their companies are specialised in persuading people to take their products, even when proved deadly, dangerous or experimental. As long as pharmaceutical industries work with power (money/profit) they should not cooperate with other power-systems as the WHO is. So please NO global treaty !!!
United State Citizen	Dear WHO, First, I do not support a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. However, if you must go forward with such an international procedure, the most important substantive element that must be included is the retention of sovereign control for each nation participating. Any effort to create an international body with authority over individual nation states is not in the best interests of the citizens of those states, nor of their health. Times of emergency are the most important times when freedoms and liberties must be respected and protected. They are not times to put such basic human needs and rights aside. No amount of perceived safety, whether health-related or not, is worth the subjugation of basic freedoms. Local control is necessary to meet local needs. International communication is essential, but not international control. Please do not use the occasion of a pandemic to further elitist goals of globalism. Thank you.
Jones Family	Dear WHO, I am totally against your mandate and taking over my individual decisions for my health and best care for my body. You are not in charge of my body. What you are suggesting here is against my constitutional rights and I am totally against it. And so is everyone else in my family and I'm going to get them to write and tell them that they need to be against this as well. Nancy M. Daniel
self	Dear WHO, I am writing to express my concern over the Global Pandemic Treaty your have proposed. I feel that this treaty, although may have good intentions, will place the power to control the health, safety, and well-being of all of Earth's citizens in the hands of a few. This is especially concerning to me after the COVID-19 pandemic and the manner in which WHO has handled/not acknowledged any opposing scientific debate or thoughts on their determinations and declarations. How can one organization be right about everything? How can we trust your sources of information? Why can't we hear debates, discussions, etc.? It is my feeling that your organization seeks to censor, silent and control at the behest possibly of pharmaceutical companies. What is your process going to be in the future to determine pandemic criteria? I disagree with the way you handled COVID-19 in certain areas and I am certainly not okay with surrendering my health to you in the future. So what would your process include in this treaty? Why should we trust you? Right in the terms of participation if find myself feeling great anxiety with this statement "WHO may, at its sole discretion". We have seen in our governments and in the WHO itself, a great amount of "at its sole discretion" in the past year. Trust is lost. You must do better to restore trust and open communication before you can get my support for such a treaty.
N/A	Dear WHO, I am writing to you because I am deeply concerned about how the Covid-19 pandemic has been handled, especially for the fact that most countries have been following your guidance and to express my opinion about your preposition on how to handle future pandemics. First of all I believe that every country should follow their own expertise on how to handle any health danger that it's people are exposed to. There can and should NEVER be a centralised guidance for the whole world. Every country's situation, climate, customs are so vastly different that to have one guideline for all would be inappropriate and even detrimental. Second of all, I was shocked when the natural remedies that are well documented and tested for its safe and successful usage for decades have been advised by WHO to be ignored and even forbidden! Some doctors who were courageous enough and continued prescribing these natural remedies were stripped off their licenses and lost jobs. Disgraceful! Instead, WHO pushed rushed, insufficiently tested, unsafe new pharmaceutical drugs, medical devices and hospital procedures that endanger, harm and claim lives. Under the WHO's guidelines people all over the world have been manipulated, coerced and threatened into accepting unsafe injections, wearing the masks, isolate etc to keep their jobs, avoid fines, be able to travel.... In my view, following WHO guidelines, did very little to help Covid-19 situation. In fact, it caused grave harm and loss of life. Therefore, I am strongly against WHO's centralised influence on countries of the world in case of another pandemic. Every country should follow their own traditions and ancient knowledge of how to keep it's people safe and healthy.
Local Business Legends	Dear WHO, I am writing today to express my extreme opposition to your proposed Treaty. Anything that is designed to overrule Constitutions is counter to what we have learned over centuries. I am referring to Government and bureaucratic overreach We have now reached that point and more You have not earned the right as you have proven to be unrepresentative to the people and are not democratically elected by the people of this planet. We will not stand for it and we are awake to your plans. We will fight this all the way and ask you respectfully to listen and remove this proposed treaty to avoid the inevitable backlash on you and all the Governments and institutions supporting this and other draconian measures. Sincerely Julian Thornton
Self	Dear WHO, I believe a global agreement is NOT necessary. Should you proceed against my advice, then I hold that the minimal elements which must be included in it are the following: 1) That national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) That nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, at any time, without consequence. 3) That there be an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) That there be stringent measures which do not allow for influence in the process by any and all political, media, pharmaceutical, food, agricultural, chemical, environmental, technological, financial companies or other entities or global health profiteers or so-called philanthropists.
Private	Dear WHO, I do not agree with your proposal regarding a new pandemic response treaty. You do not represent me nor any humans. Your organization has demonstrated incompetence in current COVID response. Therefore, the WHO should be dissolved. It must be ensured that, any international organization does not have own constitution. Natural law & a human right to make own choice cannot be suppressed by any public nor private organization! Kind regards, Dr. Bednarz
FertilityCare Center Rochester	Dear WHO, I do not believe we should have one global response to any pandemic. Any response needs the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely Anne Olek
Loom International	Dear WHO, I have appreciated your work for many years. I know you understand that public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. I believe that people and communities need to be in control of their own health. The world has never been a safe place. Most of us know this and live our lives at best in the midst of risk. We choose the freedom of our choices over mandated health programs. Sincerely, C Morales
World citizen	Dear WHO, I respectfully object to this Treaty as it is in violation of established Rights of one's choice to bodily autonomy concerning health treatment. Citizens have the right to participate in any agreement that directly affects them and their families & elect their governing officials who shall have the best interest of their populum without corporate influence Thank you, Virginia Stright
Sundoor	Dear WHO, Ich bin gegen eine Impflicht! Gegen Regulierung der Gesundheit durch impfpässe ! Ich vordere sie auf die Menschenrechte insbesondere das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit Mit freundlichen Grüßen Helmut Konrad
Private	Dear Who, I'm against your pandamic plans. I must be the right of every country to implement their own rules, so that your plans are not necessary. Regards, Fischer
Nickols Realty, LLC	Dear WHO, In regard to the contemplated pandemic treaty, I believe that National and local leadership ought to retain full sovereignty & autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Since the WHO is an unelected body, nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Any contemplated treaty should include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Undue influence should be absolutely restricted by all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers that stand to benefit from impositions and mandates. Thank you, ED Nickols
None	Dear WHO, My suggestions would be to allow nations and their federal and state governments to opt out of any such treaty/agreement and retain full autonomy as it pertains to their governance and handling of any global pandemic. Full transparency in drafting and voting would also be critical, and the ability to not participate in said agreement without the threat of repercussions.
Individual	Dear WHO, Next pandemic response: Allow doctors to provide the medical protocol each doctor believes to be in the best interest of their patients!! Do not prevent early treatment with drugs and/or therapies they clinically see have success. This past covid season we saw the huge difference in those who received early treatment surviving compared to those who were told to go home without ANY treatment dying! It doesn't take a rocket scientist to see what happened during the last two years with your tying the hands of capable doctors! People died. We also saw first hand vaccine deaths and injuries. Young children dying on the basketball court and soccer field at the ages of 12 and 10 post vaccine! These little ones were not even at risk of death from the virus but died after the vaccine! My girlfriend flatlined 8 hours post vaccine. She died five days later. The vaccine push must stop! You must gather the worldwide data and tell the truth—EARLY treatment works and vaccines have caused millions of injuries and deaths. More death is yet to come! Cancers are recurring and autoimmune diseases are coming back in people who've been in remission. Clots are causing heart issues from this shot. My husband had 60% of his lungs filled with covid pneumonia. We would not allow him to be treated in a hospital setting. After almost 4 months his lungs are almost clear. It wasn't easy but through God's power and a good doctor my husband is alive and will return to work soon. Tell the truth!
Human Rights	Dear WHO, Please understand that your proposal is not a one size fits all and no human is a one size fits all. We are all individuals with different body rhythms, and you possibly cannot decide on behalf of the entire world population. Additionally, who elected you to do so?
Non- retired physician	Dear WHO, The recent Pandemic has demonstrated that Democracy and democratic processes and true science and advice have been compromised by powerful economic interests with disastrous consequences to the lives, health, and wellbeing of millions of people, families, communities, small businesses, and livelihoods. This must never happen again. In any Global agreement, the following is essential. 1 It is imperative that national and local leaderships retain their full autonomy, and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and not be compelled to implement policies placed on them by outside bodies. 2 Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 There must be an open and transparent process in place with the ability for all people of the world to have a vote. There should be guaranteed failsafe measures established that will prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 Measures must be established that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, powerful non-elected foundations, and their Philanthropists or other global health profiteers.
Nhs	Dear WHO, The Who agreement is total uncall for. It is completely unnecessary it threatens and infringes our sovereignty and our human rights. Humans was not born to be controlled by unable thinkers. I greatly disagree with this agreement. Thank you.
N/A	Dear WHO, The Who should make suggestions and recommendations concerning the preparedness for and response to a pandemic. It should not be able to demand acceptance of these by the member nations. Each nation has its own unique type of governing, culture, societal structures and internal situations. Nations need to have independence to properly assess their needs and how to best respond. As an American I strongly oppose control of USA health by the WHO, for any health reason, pandemic or other. Thank you for considering this opinion. Scott Finlayson
n/a	Dear WHO, We'd like: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Selfcare	Dear WHO, When you think we need experimental Vaccines for people that makes for sure people sick!!! Than you are for dead bodys or sick bodys.And the people want no experimentals.The people want peace and a free life!digital passes are a absolutly no go for freedom and WE dont want it. Stand for humanity and not for Pharma control. Thanks The human
Private	Dear WHO. Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Regards Lukasz O.
private	Dear WHO. we need fast and at state of time our Medical care system and health care recommondation and offers for all citizens to be organized, financed and done BEFORE it is needed in case of next pandemica! State of time includes all sights and caracters of medical care that we know and want to use starting with healthy natural food, correct advises about supplements, sports and nature offers, healthy working conditions up to psychological care and attention. And we need better and true communication about research and protection of health - not only hearing about vaccines but also other possibilities to reduce risk and about therapies. Further it is NOT MY INTEREST to be forced to anything but recieve better information and take my own decision with regarding my personal situation and health state. Thank you. Ig Elke Lun
African Evolution	Dear Who. We have a divine right to have control over our bodies and free will to determine an informed accountable method of treatment. Please show me the virus and the peer reviewed double blind studies for this. As you well know, our God-given immune system is truly marvelous . Also, these measures are in contravention to the Geneva Convention . For clarity, these measures are in direct opposition to Cosmic and Natural law. Love M African Evolutionary
concerned citizen	Dear WHO... the substantive elements i think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are as follows... first, measures are put into place that ensures an uncensored exchange of relevant evidence such that any potential for market product preferences is controlled for... second, that this open transparent uncensored exchange of relevant evidence is allowed to be valuated with relevance ultimately determined at the level of the individual doctor/patient relationship... third, that any WHO public health recommendations that go beyond the doctor/patient relationship are to be considered at the local level where local control has the authority to follow or not without consequence... thankyou for your due consideration... rylan
Personal	Dear WHO... The substantive elements i think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are as follows: 1. Measures are put into place that ensures an uncensored exchange of relevant evidence such that any potential for market product preferences is controlled for... 2. That this open transparent uncensored exchange of relevant evidence is allowed to be valuated with relevance ultimately determined at the level of the individual doctor/patient relationship... 3. That any WHO public health recommendations that go beyond the doctor/patient relationship are to be considered at the local level where local control has the authority to follow or not without consequence... Thank you for your consideration.

Organization	Written submission
Family&Citizenship	Dear WHO/politicians, If any one of the following items is not included, I am going to vote+work for my country leaving the WHO altogether. The following elements must be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respecting human rights; including Nuremberg Codex 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
Concerned individual	Dear WHO: Your global actions are unwarranted. For two years, I've witnessed failure after failure of this agency (WHO) to correct an Upper Respiratory Infection. WHO ignored good medicine and censored existing simple treatments. Countries, able to avoid WHO's influence, had better health outcomes than unfortunate countries following WHO's message. Health is a personal matter. Health is an asset which belongs to each individual. Health is a family problem, a problem best addressed by an individual with the help of their family and or advocates. WHO's medical leadership is a failure, didn't work, isn't working, WON'T work.
Independent Citizen/Self	Dear WHO: I am in opposition of the proposed treaty and respectfully offer these comments to substantiate my stance: I strongly believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is imperative that the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures need to be included that absolutely do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Dianna Bonny US Citizen in good standing since 1963
None	Dear WHO: The assistance my community would need could not possibly be received at the local level by your Organization echelons above the locality. You will be too engaged with Corporations and other Countries to make a meaningful difference. Realistically, how responsive could you possibly be to an absolute immediate need for any kind of emergency treatment in a small city or county. How could you even possibly cut through the red tape or unintended competitive barriers. It has been awful enough with guidance like remaining at home to recover and don't go to the hospital unless you are so ill you probably can't seek help. Not to mention citizens who live alone. The main element missing would be one on one and face to face response. Your actions will prove to delay response in my opinion.
Self	Dear WHO: I understand your efforts of a Pandemic Treaty so if this happens again a structured response can be mobilized. I support this in theory BUT national, local & individual rights must remain sacrosanct. As a United States citizen, I cannot agree to something "unknown" you may do in the future. We, nationally, locally & individually, reserve the right to reject any & all portions of what the Treaty may decide in the future without consequences. (You know we will regardless.)
No	Dear WHO: I feel it essential to include that leadership on the national and local levels make decisions based on the needs of their people and that they have the right for themselves to opt out of any and all portions of an agreement at their discretion, at any time. I feel it also essential to include clear boundaries to allow for independence from any and all pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers. Many thanks . Respectfully yours, Zina Schiff
Concerned USA citizen	Dear WHO: I am in opposition of the proposed treaty and respectfully offer these comments to substantiate my stance: I strongly believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is imperative that the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures need to be included that absolutely do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Ron Waller US Citizen in good standing since 1960
Private citizen	I do not feel we need a World Health Organization at all. Health is a private matter between God and me. The following are reasons I oppose any type of Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thank you, and good night.)
Private Citizen	Dear WHO: Please adhere to following conditions in regards to a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Arnold Matechuk
US Citizen	Dear WHO: WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people. Please hold true to your word. Sincerely, Karen Fox
N/a	Dear WHO: With regard to any international pandemic planning or response, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The instrument should consist of an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to participate, and should include failsafe measures that can prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population are not in agreement with it. In addition, the instrument must include measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Dear WHO: With regard to any international pandemic planning or response, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The instrument should consist of an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to participate, and should include failsafe measures that can prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population are not in agreement with it. In addition, the instrument must include measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Dear WHO: With regard to any international pandemic planning or response, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The instrument should consist of an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to participate, and should include failsafe measures that can prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population are not in agreement with it. In addition, the instrument must include measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	Dear WHO: With regard to any international pandemic planning or response, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The instrument should consist of an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to participate, and should include failsafe measures that can prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population are not in agreement with it. In addition, the instrument must include measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Anna
Individual	Dear WHO: With regard to any international pandemic planning or response, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The instrument should consist of an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to participate, and should include failsafe measures that can prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population are not in agreement with it. In addition, the instrument must include measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Roccus3@hotmail.com	Dear WHO: With regard to any international pandemic planning or response, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The instrument should consist of an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to participate, and should include failsafe measures that can prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population are not in agreement with it. In addition, the instrument must include measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Dear WHO: With regard to any international pandemic planning or response, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The instrument should consist of an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to participate, and should include failsafe measures that can prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population are not in agreement with it. In addition, the instrument must include measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
self	Dear WHO: With regard to any international pandemic planning or response, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The instrument should consist of an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to participate, and should include failsafe measures that can prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population are not in agreement with it. In addition, the instrument must include measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Eric Martinson
private person	Dear WHO-Organisation, in my oppinion • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Best regards Auer Sandra
privat person	Dear WHO-Team, In my opinion each state should have the option to decide moves against and for preventing pandemics themself. I think it´s not good if just one organisation has the real power due to an international instrument to decide what the whole world has to do or when something is called a pandemic or not. History has shown us that to much power in one hand has just madness and cruelty as a result. I don´t expect it to happen right away or in the way history has shown us but the threat for it is always gonna be there. Also when ther is just one organisation that has the power to decide how are we supposed to believe them and trust that they really just wanna do what´s good for the whole world? Only a handful of people will be able to decide what´s gonna be fact for 7,84 milliard people and what they have to follow. Thank you and Best wishes M.Schmid
non	Dear World Health Organisation As one essential element in an international agreement about how to handle pandemics, is, the human rights will ever stand in front of.
Individual	Dear World Health Organisation, I thank you for the opportunity to provide a submission on the new pandemic treaty. I would like to include the following: 1. National and local elected leadership to retain full autonomy, including the ability to make decisions based on the best outcomes for their own communities and people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I hope this helps shape a fair and reasonable agreement.
Individual	Dear World Health Organization Commitee on the proposed International Instrument/Treaty re: Pandemic preparedness and response: As a Canadian who is still grieving my beloved family member as a result of this Pandemic, I vehemently object to the assumed WHO International Treaty as stated in the following: 1) While the Canadian Constitution still governs the law of the land, Canada, we Canadians have never been adequately informed of this propounded International Treaty of the WHO, which will essentially invalidate our rights to receive or reject medical treatment under our Constitution. To think that Mr. Trudeau or any Canadian government officials working with the WHO can quietly bypass proper public discussion among all Canadians to assume we would agree to an International Treaty that nullify our guaranteed rights under the Constitution is appalling to say the least. I do not consent to a WHO International Treaty which will nullify our rights enshrined in our Canadian Constitution. 2) In regards to what should be included in this International Understanding – not Treaty, I submit the following: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • No Health Regulatory Agencies (global, national and local) should dictate or prescribe certain treatment over others
myself	Dear World Health Organization members, I believe the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response so that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully Andrea Beatty
myself	Dear World Health Organization members, I believe the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response so that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully Andrea Beatty
physician	Dear World Health Organization members, I believe the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response so that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Thanos Papastergiou
none	Dear World Health Organization members, The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response so that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, David Muller
Self	Dear World Health Organization, I am writing to let you know I oppose your Global Pandemic Agreement which would give the WHO total and complete governmental control over all citizens of the world in the event of another pandemic. This is unacceptable. Each country has their own constitution that not even the World Health Organization can override. You are not a World Government. In addition, per the Nuremberg Code, no government can force experimental medical treatments on anyone without their consent. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for all that you do - but please do not go forward with this proposal. Bridget Dawson
privat	Dear World Health Organization, I am very pleased that we can participate. A pandemic requires a regulation that gives every person in the respective country the opportunity to decide freely whether a vaccination is dangerous for him or not. Every person should have the right to protect his life! I am writing this to you insofar as I was bitten by a dog twenty years ago and had an anaphylactic shock after the second anti-raby vaccination the following day. My heart raced and my chest shook as if the heart was popping out. The emergency doctor would have come too late, if my mother had not quickly given me 40 drops of Valium, I would have died. After that, I could not fall asleep for three months, as long as my internal clock woke me up, for fear I could stop breathing. I was claustrophobic and physically very weak. I became completely healthy again. In the meantime, over twenty years have passed and my heart has aged. Insofar as one of the vaccines against Covid-19 even contains the same substance that is also contained in the anti-rabies vaccination, I have a justified fear of this vaccination and cannot accept that a country like Italy, where I live, wants to force everyone over 50 years of age to be vaccinated against Covid-19. The WHO has the duty to draw up a regulation that guarantees that every person can protect his life, even those who doesn't want to get vaccinated, because they know that it is very dangerous for their life. Best regards Johannes
none	Dear World Health Organization, I believe the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response so that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Katherine Lambraki
Public	Dear World Health Organization, I oppose the pandemic treaty. Countries should be able to retain their autonomy, having the freedom to do what they deem best for their citizens. Government works for the people of the country and no global unelected organization should have compulsory influence over the citizens of a country. Citizens should have the ability to vote on any measures imposed upon them by a global agreement. The voting process needs to be open and transparent with the ability of experts in related fields to have uncensored and open debates. Any country signing any agreement should have the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Pharmaceutical companies or any other organization that profit from suggested measures should not be allowed to influence in the process in any way, whether by advertising, lobbying, donating money, being on the board, etc. Thanks for your time. Pierre Fauchon
general public	Dear World Health Organization, The events from the last two years showed that it is time to re-evaluate the existing measures, with regard to the new international instrument which you are preparing. As an individual, part of the general public, I believe that the following substantive elements should be included in the new instrument for pandemic preparedness and response: 1. All the contracts between the Governments of different countries, and the Pharmaceutical companies - producers either of vaccines or of medications related to the pandemic, are made public before they are signed. 2. The national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving he right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people pf the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration. Yours faithfully, Tsveta Krasteva
Concerned Citizen	Dear World Health Organization, With regard to the proposed WHO Global Pandemic Agreement, I strongly feel that democratic principals must be respected and safeguarded at all costs in such an agreement. Each country, at the national and local levels, must have full autonomy from any such pandemic agreement, with the ability to decide for themselves what is best for them. They must have ability to opt out, in whole or in part, without reprisal. People must have the ability to vote on this agreement, in an open and transparent process before it is ever implemented in their country at the local or national level. Pharmaceutical companies must never be allowed to influence the agreement in any way. Democratic principals must be safeguarded in the proposed Global Pandemic Agreement so that the sovereignty of countries and the inalienable rights of persons are never controlled by the WHO. WHO must never dictate to a country what they must do with their healthcare; it must not be used to control the world's health agenda and enforce surveillance on the people. This Global Pandemic Agreement must be a tool to serve the people, according to the will of the people. Respectfully submitted, Katherine Ramseyer

Organization	Written submission
none	Dear World Health Organization, This letter is summited for participation in public hearings addressing the proposed question. "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?". In the event a new international instrument is deemed necessary I appreciate the opportunity to contribute the below elements. • To eliminate undue influences from non-governmental and governmental organizations. • Establish stringent measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. • Investigative committees to eliminate conflict of interests. • Guidance for Nations. • Ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • Nations would retain without surrender sovereignty and health sovereignty. • Nations would maintain Nation's constitution. • Persons shall maintain fundamental freedoms of Person and maintain freedom of choice. • Persons shall remain free from paper or digital global vaccination passport. • Co-operation among scientific and professional groups which contribute to the advancement of health. • Seek contributions from Doctors and medical personal on the forefront of a pandemic. • National and local levels leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Openly transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Thank-you, Cheryl Ban
self	Dear World Health Organization, We do not need a World Pandemic Preparedness Agreement. It is my United States government, U.S. State government and my personal doctor that has input into what health measures I take. A one size fits all approach to health will not be what will help us deal with global health issues. I do not believe this type of boxing all countries into this type of global agreement is the best use of our efforts and resources. This type of plan needs to be thrown out. Sincerely, Jean Schue
N/A	"The WHO should not be making any legally binding rules for governments that are bound by their own constitutions. Human beings are naturally free, and no global agency should have power to dictate what a free nation, especially the United States of America, does or how it handles a pandemic. In the USA, each individual state makes laws to protect citizens, and those are determined by the citizens of that state. The best way that the WHO can be helpful is to provide protection to people from medical over-reach and advocate for free will, bodily autonomy, and choice in medical and healthcare decisions." As such, I request that these elements be included in WHO's pandemic treaty: 1. "National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people." 2. "The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence." 3. "An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it." 4. "Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers." Respectfully Submitted, Linda Wade
None	Dear World Health Organization: As a free citizen of the United States, I respectfully believe that any global treaty to respond to pandemics should provide that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be preserved, - An open and transparent process must be available with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and - Measures be enacted that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for consideration of my views on this critical matter. Sincerely, James McCurdy
AHA mediaworks	Dear World Health Organization: WHO treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Each city, county, state has unique needs that are best handled at the LOCAL level. Please observe the importance of diversity and free speech in a healthy world democracy. There is much to study and learn from how each state and country responded differently to Covid. The United States membership in the WHO does not you give your organization the right to violate our civil liberties, human rights, and medical freedom. The U.S. is a sovereign nation and will remain so. I am a sovereign being and will remain so. I believe in bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent. In Love and Light, Clarymond Hardt
Concerned Canadian citizen	Dear World Health Organization: I oppose the proposed pandemic health treaty that would violate national and local leadership's full autonomy to make decision based on their peoples' best interest. We are all different around the world and there is never a one size fits all. I believe nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of ANY and ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is wrong for pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers to influence any process in the proposed global pandemic health treaty and measures need to be put in place to prevent biased interests of profits from measures put in place without the people who would be harmed by measures put in place arbitrarily by this global pandemic treaty. Helen Kroeker, Concerned Canadian citizen
I represent only myself	Dear World Health representatives, When debating the important issue of the world's health please allow for the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully and with great hope for the continued health of humanity, Alfhild Anda
Sovereign Human	Dear World Heather Organization, I am writing to express my opposition to the Global Pandemic Response Treaty before you. My opposition is based on the unreliability of a one-size-fits-all agreement. Each individual, each country, each local community is comprised of a diverse group of people. As such, health protocols need to be managed at the local level. To do otherwise could have catastrophic consequences in physical and mental health due to adverse reactions. As Sovereign Humans, we have the Divine right to choose what works best for our families. I urge you to stop forcing your ideas on other people. I know who you are in Truth. I know what your are in Truth. I know how your serve in Truth. You are Free, your are Free, you are Free. I am Word through this intention. Word I Am Word. Respectfully, Mary Jane Larson
Personal	Dear, I think the following elements are important: 1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Vaccines should never ever become mandatory, since absolute safety can't be guaranteed.
n/a	Dear, As USA voting constituents, before our government ratified any putative WHO Treaty, will we insist on the following points for our support. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Cordially P. Durieux Virginia, USA
General public / private	Dear, In my opinion and according to the experience made during the last few decades there is no need for a new international Instrument in pandemic preparedness and response. Each national entity can do this by themselves. What we first need is to go back to the definition of a pandemic pre-2009. The price people payed by beging subject to the performed measures during the covid-19 "pandemic" was in no relation to the benefits. Wee do not need any ID, any experimental vaccines, masks, social distancing which is not benfiting to the good of the people but creating fear and reducing the use of common sense. People have a basic intelligente what to do and what not to do. Each individual can judge best by himself what to do. National entities should provide honest and early medical treatment. No experimental vaccines with unknown short and long term effects are needed. We can See the harm vaccines are now causing. It is all documented. People should meet each other, encourage each other, get out, do sports, eat healthy diet. Thank you.
free citizen	Dearest Ladies and gentlemen You are working for a powerful organisation aiming more power for the latter, yourself and sponsors. Every human being has a natural sense of justice and so do you. Please let not lead power and money to false conclusion. Decide against suppression and stand by free will of mankind. We all will die one day and we all want to die as free persons. So no pandemic situation can ever be so dangerous to justify violence over our bodies and mind. The WHO should never obligate anybody to inject any kind of substance in order to be a free person or to give away their data for the same reason. Please use your power for the right thing. Use your power with a clear consciousness and as a free person in order to serve freedom. Sincerely yours Martina Uehli
Self	Dears Mdm and Sirs: As a sovereign citizen, the one critical element is that the WHO remain neutral as an agency. Its best value is that of a agency that delivers research of high value to countries that may not have resources and need to source aid and supplies. That is the value of your organization. Your value must end there. The WHO can not play favorites or become vested in the politics of a country or the sovereignty of its citizens. WHO you are not a new national instrument, you are an old addage that countries reach out to in a time of need. Leave the people alone, leave our ability to govern to those we vote for. Stick to what you know or be defunded by our leaders with our permission and pressure. Regards,
none	Dears, as the WHO is an organization, which is not elected by the people, there is no way to decide anything concerning anybody (the people) The WHO can make any recommendation to anybody, but no decision concerning people and states. The WHO is payed about 80% by private money, that means it is not a public organization. A private organization cannot fix global rules. With democratic greetings Joachim vom Stein
Neighborhood association - Radosc	Dears, based on past epidemic experience in Poland, in particular during Covid-19 pandemic, when a number of mistakes were conducted - our recommendations are as follows: 1. Central management of pandemic are ineffective and lead to incorrect treatments, each person has a different level of immunity - it was not effective on country level and will not be effective on global level - the essence of an individual approach to each person will be lost. 2. Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take - it must not be mandatory. 3. WHO should recommend only a treatment, but decision should be taken by each country independently - it should be underlined that also coutries may recommend treatment and never force anything. 4. Ownership of the human body should remain in every human. 5. Full transparency of the negative effects of treatment or vaccines should be published. 6. Life on the planet will no longer make sense as people lose their right to their bodies. Please STOP central management of pandemic by WHO, every human, village, city and region is different - Only a close contact doctor can prescribe the best treatment - not centrally controlled treatment or prevention procedures. I sincerely hope you also LOVE other people and planet :-) Hubert Pilarski
N/A	Dears, Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Sincerely Marcin Kasprzyk
Private	Dear WHO You have proven time and time again that your organisation is not fit for purpose and only follows it's own agenda, nothing to do with health. The treaty is wrong and will not serve any purpose for the world. The pandemic you created only serves for you to be erased. Kind regards FRANK RASCHKE
Glenn Davisson Consulting	Decentralized, local control by the people, for the people is the only solution that adheres to and respects basic human rights. NO centralized control will work. Do not try to impose it. WE don't want and don't need "leaders", "authorities", from outside of our small neighborhood communities directing us. Leave us alone. Stay out of our business. Do not try to impose centralized control in Texas. We will not comply. We will not obey. We have our own institutions. We do not accept yours. We are armed. You have been warned.
The Phyto Physic	Decision making at national, regional and local authority level is imperative for the people in each local area. Global phenomenon of this kind can be diverse, therefore the ability to opt-out at national, regional and local levels is imperative and should be without consequence. Democratic processes should be open and transparent, allowing all peoples in all nations to to vote. This includes the voting on failsafe measures to prevent application of a global agreement, if the majority do not want to participate, or have enforced actions made. The same democratic process must be 100% without influence of governments, corporations, pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
British Citizen	Decision making process should be open and transparent. All nations should have the right to vote and the global agreement should not apply to countries where the majority have voted against it. Countries and local boroughs should be free to opt out of any or all of the agreement at any time. Each country should be able to retain autonomy, allowing them to make decisions in the best interests of their citizens and their own economy. Safety measures to ensure that decisions are not influenced by health profiteers or pharmaceutical companies. Individuals, regardless of any global agreement, should retain bodily autonomy, enabling them to accept or decline any medical intervention and should never be mandated to take any form of medical treatment or intervention against their will.
Concerned citizen	Decision regarding health and a pandemic should be made on a local basis not globally. There are so many unique situations and there is no way that one governing body could make the correct decision for all of them. As an American, our freedom is of prime importance and we must maintain the right to make the best decision for our particular situation, not only as a nation but as a state, county, town and even individual. Please put to rest the idea of a world wide agreement and let us govern ourselves in a democratic matter on a local level. Thank you.
N/A	Decisions about health and everything else should be made on a national or local basis by democratically elected bodies, e.g. Parliament or local councils. The World Health Organisation may give advice but it is up to national governments to evaluate this and present their conclusions to their voters who can assess if the suggested measures are appropriate. We know that many supra governmental and governmental decisions are open to corruption for a variety of reasons. The civil rights of the English and Welsh as stated in Magna Carta and the Bill of Rights and our Common Law put limits on what national and local government can impose on the individual. These rights have been severely transgressed recently and this is illegal and should not be repeated. The World Health Organisation has no legal rights to impose anything on the English or the Welsh.
not affiliated with an organization	Decisions about how to handle health crises should be made as locally as possible. Even in a pandemic, local situations can vary, and local officials should be free to make decisions based on local circumstances.
n/a	Decisions about medical tests and treatments that affect the health of Canadians must rest with their provincial parliaments in order to maintain accountability. The centralization of decision making can be disastrous when it goes wrong, so a plurality of approaches should be considered and data collected to evaluate what works best. Nations and states and local municipalities should have the option to opt out of any WHO devised instruments as they may face different circumstances that are not suitably treated by a single response. All data that goes into any pandemic preparedness measures should be fully transparent so that the measures can be independently validated and so that undue influence of corporations does not sway the drafters of the measures. Thank you.
none	Decisions about my healthcare and my family's need to be made by us. They should be made by people who do not know me or my family and our circumstances. Our medical concerns and conditions need to remain as local as possible and never should decisions be made for one size fits all.
US citizen…	Decisions affecting Americans should be made in America! Isn't WHO supposed to listen to the public and take the public interest into account? Hold them accountable! We Americans don't need or want the rest of the world making health care decisions for us. Local issues should be dealt with locally!
American citizen	Decisions based on health and welfare of Americans must remain close to home. The WHO should not have the power to determine the health of Americans especially in cases of pandemics.
Public	Decisions concerning public health outbreaks should not be taken at a global level. Each individual country is made up of cultural, economic, environmental and biological issues unique to themselves. Sovereign countries should not defer governance of health decisions to an organisation which has not been elected by its citizens to rule over them. Public health is not one size fits all and cannot be decided in this way. This may well be well meant but is a disastrous move towards a world dictatorship that we the people reject and will not consent to.
Anaïs Gerber	Decisions impacting the life of citizens should be made by democratically elected national representatives, not unelected international bodies like the WHO. Each country must remain sovereign in its management of medical emergencies on their territories. Nations and local municipalities must remain able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Nothing should supersede the power of nations over their own people. The WHO must remain an advisory body with no political power.
Ciconi Ltd	Decisions in regards to responding to a potential pandemic need to remain in the remit of each Country's government/ruling body. Sharing information across countries is vital. However each Country needs to be able to have full jurisdiction to manage their own populace. What may work in one country may not necessarily work in another country. Pandemic decisions should not be made by one governing body.
citizen	Decisions like this should be left to local people Centralizing these decisions is inhumane.
Individual	Decisions made about pandemic and or responses that include medical treatments and or vaccines should be left between individuals and their doctors and should not be mandated. Medical freedom must be preserved even when facing a pandemic. Travel should not be restricted for a long time and freedom of movement and travel should not be subject to vaccines or any biological/medical treatment. Individual freedoms must be protected. No internacional agreement or treaty should be signed that erodes these freedoms. Thanks
n/a	Decisions made for any present or future pandemic should be made at a national / local level as each country or area has a much better idea of what would best serve the needs of its' own people for instance Sweden decided not to pursue a policy of national lockdown and its figures for Covid infection and death was no different from the UK. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequences. Bodily autonomy and sovereignty of all peoples should be a sacrosanct principle which should not be violated for any reason. If a pandemic is genuine, people know and automatically take the right action in most cases. Any pandemic measures should be openly available for all peoples of the world to vote on, so that such measures [especially mandated vaccination but including any other measure] should not go ahead unless wanted by the vast majority of the people. Even if the majority voted for a particular measure, there should always be the principle of bodily sovereignty so that medical procedures should not be done against a persons' will. This is unlawful and should any such measures prove harmful, then the WHO and governments who implement these measures, be held wholly accountable. Any measures should be completely free from influence by pharmaceutical companies and any other bodies who would stand to profit from any pandemic measures. In conclusion, it is ethically wrong for a by-the-people-unelected body [in this case The WHO], to impose measures on governments who have signed up to the treaty unless all their citizens have voted on such measures. Otherwise this can be interpreted as a form of totalitarianism.
Citizen	Decisions made for people are always in the end most just when made closest to the citizens they effect, subsidiarity. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	Decisions on actions to protect health should be left to local and regional bodies, not a centralized organization with no accountability. You are not sovereign. Also you should make this entire process transparent. Finally, remove pharmaceutical influence over your decision making.
Green Oasis Acupuncture	Decisions on how to deal with a pandemic should be made locally. A one size fits all solution mandated from a centralised body should not be instituted. Pharmaceutical companies or other companies who profit from the legislation should have no voice when it comes to deciding how to deal with the conditions present in a pandemic.
Individual	Decisions on lockdowns, face masks, vaccine mandates and other restrictions on individual freedom should not be imposed by unelected technocrats at the WHO. All decisions involving restrictions should be made as locally as possible and be voluntary guidance where possible. Freedom to choose is all important.
Sovereign being	Decisions on the policies that determine the health of citizens of sovereign countries must remain with the governments of that country. The WHO can set guild lines but should never be able to mandate.
Individual	Decisions on the policies that determine the health of citizens of sovereign countries should remain with the governments of that country. The WHO can set guild lines but no mandates. It is important to recognise within such a new international instrument that national and local leadership would nevertheless retain full autonomy in how they are able to choose to respond to the WHO's proposed instrument. Meaning that this choice enables national and local leadership to maintain the right for decisions to be made based on what is best for the people of their own nation and locality. Many factors come into play (general health and environmental) within a nation/location which affect the people within that nation/location differently rather than it being a global situation. Such factors need to be fully appraised and recognised. I think the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be included. It will also be very important to include measures to provide an open and transparent process, ie which provide all people of the world with the ability to vote on its implementation including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It will be important to include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	Decisions regarding healthcare and treatments should be personal, individual and local. There should be no treatments mandates at a global, country, region or local level. Any country, state, county, etc. should be able to opt out completely of any WHO agreement, treatment strategy, medication, etc. at any time free from coercion, threats, penalties or fines. Any global, etc. agreement about responding to pandemics and signed by participating countries should in no way be legally binding in any jurisdiction anywhere in the world and should include no enforcement mechanisms or penalties. Individual country sovereignty is absolute and cannot be impinged upon or transgressed by the WHO, United Nations or member countries when responding to a pandemic, potential pandemic or biological threat.
personal	Decisions should be made by democratically elected leaders in nation states. Nation states should have the ability to opt out. No influence by those with a conflict of interest, any decision made deemed to involve conflict of interest should be null.
Public	Decisions should be made locally, and not by an unelected and undemocratic organization.
world council for health	Decisions should be through an elected and board monitored group of constituents not affiliated with vested interests and who partisanship.
Private	Decisions should prioritize liberty and whenever they are mandatory should always try to be as locals as possible. This proposal goes against this. It is a violation of individual freedoms by global organizations
n/a	Decisions shoulf be made by individual nation states
Humanity	Decisions surrounding my personal health and the health of my child should not be mandated or coerced by governing bodies regardless of pandemic status as these governing bodies do not bear the consequences of these decisions.
None	Decisions that affect the health and safety of citizens of sovereign nations need to remain with those sovereign nations and not with some overarching global body with little or no accountability to those citizens. It would be quite dangerous for Americans(indeed all people) to give up that right and responsibility to the WHO.
Individual	Decisions that have to do with medical treatments to include those concerning public health in face of pandemics are and should be individual and local not done via treaty or international blanket agreements. Medical freedoms should be protected always and when it comes to any vaccine, medical treatment or biologics they need to remain between the individual and their doctor. Travel and international movement should not be restricted for a long period of time and should not be dependent on any vaccine passport. No international agreement should be done that impact civil liberties. No agreement should be made unilaterally. Thanks
Anita Wicks RGN	Decisions to do with peoples health & well being are best left in the hands of national and local leadership, who reserve the right to make decisions based on what works / is best for their own people; that Nations & local municipalities have the right to opt out of any parts of the Agreement as they see fit, without any consequences Any global guidelines initiative must be kept open and transparent, and open to everyone world wide to vote, and include 'fail safe' measures to prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority do not want it Its equally important that this 'process' does not allow for influence by any organisation with a 'vested interest' in the outcome, including pharmaceutical companies
Individual	Demand is hereby made to add language protecting the sovereign and constitutional rights of nations and individuals: Notwithstanding anything else herein to the contrary, in NO event whatsoever shall the WHO or any other international organization by other name, have any right to dictate or impose their dictates upon any sovereign nation and it's citizens without their voluntary, written consent (and each of them). Furthermore, mandatory vaccinations, lockdowns and other draconian/ tyrannical methods of controlling peoples freedom to voluntarily travel, work and/or assemble shall be prohibited 🚫 ..
Individual	Demand the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Freelance	Democracy and sovereignty require national answer to any pandemics. Covid is the sole and only pandemic I have experienced in over 50 years, residing in Europe. This proposal is obviously oversized. Lockdown and other measures are proven infective at best, counter productive at worst. With this proposal, we wouldn't be able to see and experiment with different approaches to a pandemics: See Sweden answer versus it neighbours'. The WHO is overreaching, and has covered up the origin of covid for political reasons. Sovereign countries, and their populations, do not (and should not) trust WHO, or any global overreach.
Health education for children	Democracy and the rights of ALL people need to be considered before imposing global treaty. Do the people think it's necessary. The people need to have a say. Countries/nations need the right to make decisions based on what is best and what is wanted for their own people. If they don't agree with something they need the right to opt out without prejudice. The WHO needs an open and transparent relationship with all nations - and people, and insure everyone is full and honestly informed. This needs to be without any influence or co-ersion from profiteers. Of whom should the people should also be informed.

Organization	Written submission
Private	Democracy and the will of the people is for fundamental importance to the flourishing of mankind. Any transnational or trans-sovereign bodies must not be able to make decisions that bind countries and their people who have not participated in the election of that body,and it's policies such as the WHO. It is for utmost importance that; National and local leadership retain full autonomy and are not dictated to by global bodies /WHO- and must retain right to determine what is right for their country based on national interests and special demographic, geographic, health care systems etc, Any nation be able to opt out of any or all of a portion of any agreement entered into - without threat or consequence , The process be open and transparent, that all the citizens of the world have the right to and are given the means to vote on, including fail safe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement on people, in places, where they have indicated that they do not want all or part or none of the agreement to apply to them That no influence is exerted on the process and on the agreement and it's application by non Government entities such as pharmaceutical companies and WHO financier I-Wellcome Trust, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation etc. only nations, acting on behalf of an in the interests of with a mandate provided by the people must participate
stichting Fdka	Democracy is a known good, but it developed since decennia to autocracy and in this way also organisations like WHO. So the voice of the people is far from the few people who make the final decisions. Democracy as developed by the greek and italian citystates where more developed by using not only voting to manage a contry. To give WHO autocracy in the proposed way is giving away the power of the people, the citizens.
Personal	Democracy NOT tyranny
Private	Democratic oversight. I know that might be a bit radical these days, but let a man dream.
General Public	Democratically elected national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people that elected them to do so. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. An open and transparent process also means that all pharmaceutical companies, stakeholders and other global health organisations must not profit from WHO measures has they have to date. Dictating Pandemic Response depends on the definition and proof of a pandemic which needs to be democratically established and not laid down by the WHO to suit its own ends and prevent fraud. Bodily Autonomy must be granted to counter the WHO's and stakeholder's intended role out of unwarranted global vaccine passports.
Member of Public	Democratically elected national leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. A single approach in response to any event does not allow for innovation from which lessons can be learnt and the optimum solution can evolve (effectively A/B testing).
None	Der „Pandemievertrag" zielt darauf ab alle Souveränität aller Staaten auszuschalten, beliebige Entscheidungen zu fällen und fundamentale Grundrechte beliebig außer Kraft zu setzen, ohne Rechtfertigungen erbringen zu müssen. Jede beliebige "Gesundheitsmaßnahme" wäre somit durchzusetzen – auch entgegen der Würde des Menschen. Der angestrebte "Pandemievertrag" ist daher ein Menschen- und Völkerrechtskonträrer Ansatz und vollkommen inakzeptabel! Ich sage NEIN – und dieses NEIN, ist nicht verhandelbar!"
Privatperson	Der geplante Pandemie Vertrag einer Organisation wie der WHO, die nicht unabhängig ist, sondern sich mit sehr viel Spendengeldern wie z.B. der "Bill und Melinda Gates Stiftung" finanziert, kann und wird niemals unabhängig, frei, objektiv und im Sinne der Gesundheit handeln, agieren oder entscheiden. Daher ist dieser Vertrag nicht rechtens und höchst unethisch.
privat	Der geplante Pandemievertrag sollte nicht abgeschlossen werden, da zumindest ein Vertragspartner, die WHO, kein demokratisch gewähltes Organ ist. Sofern dies aber doch geschieht, müssen folgende Punkte klar geregelt sein: - Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen - die Achtung der Menschenrechte/Nürnberger Codex - die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Mitbürger	Der globale Pandemie Vertrag der WHO darf nicht zustande kommen denn er hebelt die Gesetze der Mitgliedstaaten aus. So eine große Macht darf keine Institution bekommen. Es würde gegen die Menschenrechte als auch gegen die körperliche Unversehrtheit gehandelt werden und führt nur zu einer weiteren Überwachung der Menschen. Die WHO gehört zum jetzigen Zeitpunkt aufgelöst, denn sie steht nicht mehr für das, wofür sie gegründet wurde.
Scalio	Der globale Pandemievertrag ist grundsätzlich abzulehnen. Diese Art der Kontrolle und Einschränkung der Rechte der Einzelstaaten ist eine undemokratische, jeder Evidenz befreite Zwangsmassnahme die mitnichten etwas mit Gesundheitserhaltung der Bürger zu tun hat. Nach allem was bislang bekannt wurde, und nach mehr als zwei Jahren ist das schon gewaltig viel, ist das so wie das digitale Covid-Zertifikat erstens, so wie es von der EU angedacht wird (daran ändern die den Mitgliedstaaten belassenen bescheidenen Freiräume in der Endausstattung nichts) ein immenser Eingriff in die international jahrzehntelang geltenden Datenschutzverordnungen und ausserdem stellt es zweitens ganz klar eine Verletzung des Nürnberger Codes dar, Menschen in die Impfung zu zwingen; was selbst ohne staatliche Impfpflichten indirekt ja bestünde, wenn Menschen die berufsbedingt auf Reisen innerhalb Europa's bzw weltweit nicht ohne weiteres darauf verzichten könnten. Ob man Menschen in eine Impfung zwingt oder Staaten jederzeit Krisenmassnahmen verpflichtend aufzuerlegen im Stande ist, - beides ist unzulässig. "Der Peiniger der behaglich Lebenden dient ebenso wie der Tröster der Gepeinigten!" (John K. Galbraith) Es scheint das Niveau jeglicher Couleur tief gesunken und die geistlosen Diener folgen mehr oder weniger ideologisch vergiftet ihren Meistern. Sie jedoch sind nicht verpflichtet mit den Wölfen zu heulen! Wer weiterhin pharmabranchen-hörig bleibt und wortreich antihumanistischen Agenden folgt (unbewusst oder bewusst, tut da nichts zur Sache; die Zeitqualität determiniert und decouvriert ihre Kompilatoren) hat sich für alle Zeiten diskreditiert und wird sich wenn nicht vor weltlichen Gerichten, dann am Ende vor seinem Schöpfer verantworten müssen
Scalio	Der globale Pandemievertrag ist grundsätzlich abzulehnen. Diese Art der Kontrolle und Einschränkung der Rechte der Einzelstaaten ist eine undemokratische, jeder Evidenz befreite Zwangsmassnahme die mitnichten etwas mit Gesundheitserhaltung der Bürger zu tun hat. Nach allem was bislang bekannt wurde, und nach mehr als zwei Jahren ist das schon gewaltig viel, ist das so wie das digitale Covid-Zertifikat erstens, so wie es von der EU angedacht wird (daran ändern die den Mitgliedstaaten belassenen bescheidenen Freiräume in der Endausstattung nichts) ein immenser Eingriff in die international jahrzehntelang geltenden Datenschutzverordnungen und ausserdem stellt es zweitens ganz klar eine Verletzung des Nürnberger Codes dar, Menschen in die Impfung zu zwingen; was selbst ohne staatliche Impfpflichten indirekt ja bestünde, wenn Menschen die berufsbedingt auf Reisen innerhalb Europa's bzw weltweit nicht ohne weiteres darauf verzichten könnten. Ob man Menschen in eine Impfung zwingt oder Staaten jederzeit Krisenmassnahmen verpflichtend aufzuerlegen im Stande ist, - beides ist unzulässig. "Der Peiniger der behaglich Lebenden dient ebenso wie der Tröster der Gepeinigten!" (John K. Galbraith) Es scheint das Niveau jeglicher Couleur tief gesunken und die geistlosen Diener folgen mehr oder weniger ideologisch vergiftet ihren Meistern. Sie jedoch sind nicht verpflichtet mit den Wölfen zu heulen! Wer weiterhin pharmabranchen-hörig bleibt und wortreich antihumanistischen Agenden folgt (unbewusst oder bewusst, tut da nichts zur Sache; die Zeitqualität determiniert und decouvriert ihre Kompilatoren) hat sich für alle Zeiten diskreditiert und wird sich wenn nicht vor weltlichen Gerichten, dann am Ende vor seinem Schöpfer verantworten müssen
Citizen	Der globale Pandemievertrag muss die Souveränität der Mitgliedsstaaten und des einzelnen Bürgers garantieren. Jede Impfentscheidung muss freiwillig sein und eine Aufklärung über alle möglichen Impfnebenwirkungen beinhalten. Der Nürnberger Kodex muss Grundlage sein. Pharmaunternehmen sollen für ihre Produkte in Haftung genommen werden können. Ich lehne eine Verlängerung des digitalen Impfzertifikates (z.B. CovPass) ab!
Privat	Der Mensch ist souveräner als so eine WHO. Jeder ist für seine Gesundheit selberverantwortlich und weiß was ihm gut tut.
Mensch	Der Nürnberg Kodex darf niemals untergraben werden. Die Corona Impfungen sind nicht Hilfreich gegen die Krankheit. Zufälle Nebenwirkungen .
Privat	Der Nürnberger Kodex. Körperlich Unversehrtheit des einzelnen Menschen, Freiwilligkeit jedes einzelnen Menschen zur Impfung und ähnlichem. Garantien für die Souveränität des einzelnen Staates und jeder einzeln Person. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die Haftung der Regierungsbeamten und der Pharmaindustrie für jeden Schaden, der durch verordnete/ angeordnete/ und auf den Markt gebrachten Impfungen / Medikamente/ Gesetze/ Anordnung... entsteht. Auch müssen Gegenstudien , Argumente ...geachtet und bekannt gemacht werden für die Öffentlichkeit, ohne das Menschen dafür bestraft werden
Privat	Der Pandemie Vertrag ist abzulehnen, denn er würde die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten untergraben! Zudem beweist ihre Fragestellung, der Zeitraum in der dieses Papier kommentiert werden kann, dass es hier nicht um Gesundheit geht. Wir sind bis dato auch ohne ein solches Papier sehr gut gefahren! Daher ein striktes NO!
none	Der Pandemievertrag hebelt nationale Verfassungen aus und ist daher undemokratisch und totalitär. Er darf niemals zustande kommen.
No	Der Pandemievertrag ist abzulehnen, da die Souveränität eines jeden Staates dadurch untergraben wird und im Krankheitsfall somit auch Maßnahmen gegen die Interessen und auch gegen die Würde eines jeden Einzelnen durchsetzbar wäre. Von daher: Nein danke zum Pandemievertrag. Den brauchen wir nicht, den wollen wir nicht. Was wir brauchen ist eine weise umgesetzte Eigenverantwortung aller Bürger.
Foundation Heidehofstiftung germany	Der Pandemievertrag muss enthalten: 1. zwingend einen internationalen Erfahrungsaustausch auf wissenschaftlichem und populärwissenschaftlichem Niveau 2. Empfehlung von Maßnahmen zur Stärkung und Verbreitung der natürlichen Immunität 3. der Zustand und Begriff "natürliche Immunität" muss wieder in der Definition der WHO für Immunität aufgenommen werden- 4. Ein Verbot von Zwangsimpfungen und das Verbot von Impf-Pflichten u.a. gegen Atemwegsviren 5. Es darf keine rechtliche Bindung der Nationalstaaten an Beschlüsse und Maßnahmen-Beschlüsse der WHO geben, statt dessen Vorrang für Subsidiarität 6. Ein Pandemievertrag muss frei sein von maßgeblichen Einflüssen interessierter Konzerne und Geldgeber
None	Der Pandemievertrag muss enthalten: 1. zwingend einen internationalen Erfahrungsaustausch auf wissenschaftlichem und populärwissenschaftlichem Niveau 2. Empfehlung von Maßnahmen zur Stärkung und Verbreitung der natürlichen Immunität 3. der Zustand und Begriff "natürliche Immunität" muss wieder in der Definition der WHO für Immunität aufgenommen werden- 4. Ein Verbot von Zwangsimpfungen und das Verbot von Impf-Pflichten u.a. gegen Atemwegsviren 5. Es darf keine rechtliche Bindung der Nationalstaaten an Beschlüsse und Maßnahmen-Beschlüsse der WHO geben, statt dessen Vorrang für Subsidiarität 6. Ein Pandemievertrag muss frei sein von maßgeblichen Einflüssen interessierter Konzerne und Geldgeber
	Der Pandemievertrag sollte enthalten: Unabhängige Transparenzkontrollen und Qualitätskontrollen in nationaler Befugnis mit nationalem Vetorecht Offenlegung von Arzneimittelverträgen vor deren Abschluss Garantierte Pressefreiheit im Pandemiefall Entfernung aller Entscheidungsträger, die im Interessenskonflikt mit Pharmaindustrie, Tech-Industrie und privaten Stiftungen stehen könnten Veröffentlichung ALLER themenbezogenen wissenschaftlichen Studien Das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit jedes Bürgers, Selbstbestimmung und Autonomie bei medizinischen Massnahmen
Privat	Der Pandemievertrag sollte Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und deren Bürger, die Achtung der Menschenrechte (Grundrechte) und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten sowie Pharmaunternehmen enthalten.
Bau	Der Pandemivertrage ist mit allen erdenklichen Mitteln zu verhindern und wird keinesfalls akzeptiert. Viel mehr sollte über eine totale Auflösung der WHO nachgedacht werden, da diese in keinerl Weise Ihrer eigentlichen Aufgabe nachkommt und nur die Iteressen der Pharmaindustrie vertritt und diese stützt.
EU BÜRGER	Der Plan kann die individuelle Gesundheitsautonomie und kollektive Gesundheitsfreiheit ernsthaft schwächen. Dieses internationale Instrument bedroht die Freiheit und das individuelle, unveräußerliche Recht auf Selbstbestimmung. Es ist ein umfassendes Einheitsmandat, dass nicht gegeben wurde seitens der EU-Bürger. Die Gefahr besteht, dass ungerechtfertigte Pandemien ausgerufen werden, welche mit Abriegelungen einhergehen, daraus könnten teure, unsichere Behandlungen resultierenden, welche mit möglicher Zensur und Desinformation gerechtfertigt werden würden.
EU Citizen,	Der Sir or Madam, I am kindly asking to stop the Tready! IT is Not accaptable the the WHO will be able to make decisions which result in a restricton of Our basic rights. Thank you for your consideration! Your sincerly, D Fath
Privat	Der Vertrag darf die Souveränität der Staaten nicht einschränken, er darf die Grundrechte der Verfassungen und Grundgesetze der Staaten nicht einschränken. Die Gesetze der Staaten müssen über dem Pandemievertrag stehen. Wir brauchen keinen Pandemievertrag, der die Staaten rechtlich bindet. Die WHO ist keine demokratisch gewählte Organisation. Sie hat Vorschläge zu erarbeiten, denen die Staaten nach parlamentarischer Debatte folgen können.
Kein	Der Vertrag darf nicht nur die Souveränität der Nationalstaaten und ihre Verfassungen aushebeln.
Private	Der Vertrag muss Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen enthalten!
Stiftung Lebensraum	Der Vertrag muss garantieren, dass alle Maßnahmen, die die WHO in Falle einer Pandemie empfiehlt, durch nationale Parlamente legitimiert werden. Alle Maßnahmen der WHO haben sich an den Menschenrechten und an den Verfassungen und Grundrechten der einzelnen Mitgliedsländer zu orientieren. Maßnahmen der WHO, die diese Grundrechten widersprechen, können von den nationalen Parlamenten abgelehnt werden. Insbesondere darf nicht gegen diese Grundrechte verstoßen werden: - Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar. - Jeder hat das Recht auf Leben und körperliche Unversehrtheit. - Die Freiheit der Person ist unverletzlich. - Die Freiheit des Glaubens, des Gewissens und die Freiheit des religiösen und weltanschaulichen Bekenntnisses sind unverletzlich. Weiterhin: - Jeder medizinische Eingriff bedarf der Zustimmung der betreffenden Person. - Jede Art von Zwang zu einer medizinischen Maßnahme ist strafbar. Neustadt, den 13.04.2022 Dietmar Frhr. von Blittersdorff
Private	Der Vertrag muss zwingend eine Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen,sowie die Achtung der Menschenrechte beinhalten.Auch muss die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und den Pharmakonzernen festgelegt werden.
EU-Bürger	Der Vertrag muss zwingend enthalten: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelindividuums, Achtung der Menschenrechte, insbesondere das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit, und gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen für sämtliche Kurz-und Langzeitschäden von befürworteten Medikamenten, Impfstoffen und Therapien jedweder Art.
Private	Der Vertrag soll die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten sowie die Souveränität des einzelnen Menschen verpflichtend beinhalten. Er soll unabdingbar die Menschenrechte in allen Einzelheiten berücksichtigen. Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar, das soll in diesem Vertrag verpflichtend beinhaltet sein. Ein Ausstieg aus diesem Vertrag muss jederzeit möglich sein. Bei Missachtung der Menschenrechte und der Grundrechte jedes Staates muss der Vertrag sofort und ersatzlos aufgehoben werden. Dr. Maria Regina Kendöl
Privat	Der Vertrag sollte eine Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und jeder einzelnen Person enthalten. Ausserdem die Achtung und Einhaltung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. Alles Andere widerspricht einem demokratischen Verständnis.
WCH	Der Who members, I think it is a good idea to solve a pandemic like Covid 19 globally. But not for all in the same way. Every country and every human being is unique. Which is good for one need not to be good for the other. Therefore we have doctors who decided which medication is the right one for his patient. We aren't machines. It isn't enough to put some oil on us and everything is fine. You can see it with the Covid 19 pandemic. Some have big reaction after infection, some have none. Some have no problems after vaccination other have massive side effect. In my opinion we need various strategy which included different ways to handle the pandemic and herefor health personnel with miscellaneous focusing. Something like a task force would be a good thing, with virologist, general practioner, epidemiologist but also psychologist and sociologist. Kind regards Petra
Private	Der WHO- Team members, although I generally oppose the planned pandemic treaty I encourage you to follow my thoughts: All instruments must respect priority of democratic national decisions and regional law. Human rights must be granted without exceptions. Freedom, integrity of personal health and self-determination of individuals must not be restricted, especially by any organization not authorized democratically, for example WHO. Liability of WHO, governments and companies must remain granted. All scientific facts and objections must be considered in order to prevent any harm of individuals. Treatments or injections must require regular approval and in-depth assessment. All treaties shall respect the principle of subsidiarity. Greetings, Jan Menne
Own	Derogation clauses are essential that allow for individual sovereign governments to determine how the implementation of global pandemic measures can be tailored to the needs of their own country; that there should be flexibility as not all countries are the same and different peoples are affected differently according to where they live in the world. WHO needs to enhance its role as a coordinating body, advising countries on best practice and sharing data, rather than imposing a single solution.
Centro de Investigação em Saúde de Manhiça (CISM), Mozambique	Despite the tremendously invaluable efforts of the WHO and health workers worldwide, the response to the Covid-19 pandemic is jeopardized by a disastrous colonial endeavour. It is intolerable that while surveillance systems share data on pathogens and sequences, colleagues have died unvaccinated due to lack of access to health products that could only be a reality through data sharing, and that the emergence of variants is enabled through insufficient vaccination coverage. Still far too often the South collects and shares data and the North acts as the manufacturer, distributor and main beneficiary of the outcomes of processing this essential resource (in publications, medical products, etc) without a fair retribution and equal distribution worldwide. This is intolerable. We must live up to the era of global health decolonization through a boldly ambitious pandemic treaty. Full timely access to health products through mandatory IP sharing must be guaranteed as a pandemic prevention, not only as a response. Current epidemics and outbreaks should therefore be treated with this consideration. TRIPS flexibilities have not and do not guarantee access. We need a mechanism to sustainably finance R&D including delinking cost from price, and investments driven by public health needs. Primary healthcare and surveillance systems must be appropriately resourced and their personnel protected from becoming pandemic martyrs. This treaty should be about giving WHO the power and resources it deserves to handle the next pandemic, and preventing it from being handcuffed by the excess power currently held by the pharmaceutical sector and its influence.
Privat	Deutschland benötigt keine Richtlinien zur Pandemiebekämpfung seitens der WHO. Jeder Staat soll in Gesundheitsangelegenheiten selbständig agieren.
Les Entreprises du Médicament - Leem	Deux objectifs sont essentiels pour faire face aux futures pandémies : la mise à disposition de produits de santé efficaces dans un délai de 100 jours, et la garantie de leur accès équitable et universel. Dans cette perspective, les entreprises du médicament appellent à : -Favoriser un écosystème de R&D garantissant la protection de la propriété intellectuelle (incitations push and pull, politiques industrielles…), explorer de nouveaux modèles de partage des risques. -Établir un engagement multilatéral assurant le partage rapide des agents pathogènes et informations associées (exemption au protocole de Nagoya et autres exigences nationales). -Prédéfinir le cadre réglementaire applicable en cas de pandémie permettant de simplifier, et d'accélérer la prise de décision (collaboration, partage entre autorités, reconnaissance mutuelle…) et garantir l'approvisionnement rapide. -Construire un réseau mondial de sites et de cliniciens formés, capables de mener rapidement des études respectant les normes de qualité. Mieux coordonner la réalisation des essais cliniques (améliorer leur efficacité, éviter les doublons, fournir des résultats plus rapidement). -Mettre en place un dispositif clair (délais, achats, mesures anti-corruption, responsabilités) permettant à tous les pays d'accéder aux moyens de financement et à l'assistance technique nécessaires. -Renforcer les systèmes de santé, et les capacités des états à mettre en œuvre les programmes de vaccination. -Définir une stratégie d'accompagnement pour le développement des capacités de production mondiales (marchés, transparence, incitations), éviter les interdictions d'exportations arbitraires. -Renforcer les systèmes globaux de surveillance des maladies.
PerkinElmer	Development of a global surveillance and early warning system with the development of laboratory networks to identify and analyse pathogens and share the results. Then an intervention & containment protocol should be developed. A systemic approach across food, water and health is required.
EU citizen	Discriminatory vaccines should be prohibited
bioMérieux SA	Diagnostics are pivotal for global health emergencies, clearly seen during COVID-19 and Influenza H1N1 pandemics and other crises like Ebola in Africa and Plague in Madagascar. Especially during the first months, prior to therapeutics and vaccines. Diagnostics enable tracking of the disease, epidemiologic analyses, and outbreak control. There are 4 priorities for diagnostic preparedness: 1. BIOBANKS. Availability of well-characterized and accessible biobanks globally, whether it is blood, respiratory or other specimens. This must be facilitated by prior agreements taking into consideration Intellectual Property, specimen ownership, regulatory aspects and supply chain issues like import/export. 2. GLOBAL HARMONIZED EMERGENCY USE PATHWAYS. Needed to accelerate regulatory approval and avoid re-validation or re-registration of diagnostics in different countries. If the WHO maintains a qualification process for emergency diagnostics, this must be fast, simple and harmonized with other agencies. 3. HARMONIZED REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS BETWEEN FDA AND NEW EUROPEAN IVDR RULES. Crucial to facilitate emergency access. Countries outside of these jurisdictions should accept such a unified regulatory designation to avoid long and inefficient re-validation and re-registration of diagnostics. 4. ACCESS TO DIAGNOSTICS IN LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES. A pre-established system for LMICs to enable access to diagnostics which are easy to transport, inexpensive, and simple. Diagnostics are essential for the anticipation and management of pandemics; diagnostics preparedness is a priority. Advanced resolution of the 4 diagnostic priorities is essential to have diagnostics available when and where they are needed in a pandemic.
None	Did I elect WHO? No. So why do I want them making decisions on my behalf? I don't.
Privat Person	Die Achtung der Menschenrechte sollte an oberster Stelle stehen dabei sollte auch berücksichtigt werden, dass der Nürnberger Codex als Richtlinie gelten muss. Außerdem muss eine Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen Individiums gewährleistet sein. Die gesetzliche Haftung von Rigierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen muss bestehen bleiben und darf unter keinen Umständen eingeschränkt werden.
Familie Lissner, EU citizen.	Die angestrebete Regelung muß auf jeden Fall Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen sicherstellen. Der "Nürnberger Kodex" (Nuremberg Code) ist erforderlicher Bestandteil der Regelung. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte darf nicht vergessen werden. Darüber hinaus ist es notwendig die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen in der Regelung festzuschreiben. Die letzte Entscheidung über jegliche medizinische Behandlung oder auch nicht-Behandlung muß immer beim individuellen Menschen bleiben. Die Regelung muß sicherstellen, daß diese Entscheidung frei, auch ohne inidrekten Zwang (z.B. Reise- oder sonstige Freiheitseinschränkungen) getroffen werden kann. Die Regelung sollte auch freien Zugang zu jeglichen medizinischen Informationen aller staatlichen und privaten Stellen ermöglichen.
Gewerbeinhaberin	Die Beantwortung der Frage: Unbedingt notwendig sind die Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. Die Beibehaltung der Unversehrheit des eigenen Körpers sowie die persönliche Entscheidung über medizinische Indikationen. Freundliche Grüße Gertrude Schöner, MA
privat	Die Beschlüsse der WHO sollen empfehlende oder beratende Wirkung haben. Die WHO soll keine administrativen, exekutiven oder gesetzgeberischen Befugnisse gegenüber Staaten oder den Bürgern von Staaten bekommen. Die administrativen, exekutiven oder gesetzgeberischen Befugnisse sollen bei den Parlamenten und Institutionen verbleiben, die von den Bürgern demokratisch gewählt wurden.
privat	Die Bestrebungen des "Pandemievertrags" zielen darauf ab alle Souveränität aller Staaten auszuschalten, beliebige Entscheidungen zu fällen und fundamentale Grundrechte beliebig außer Kraft zu setzen, ohne Rechtfertigungen erbringen zu müssen. Jede beliebige "Gesundheitsmaßnahme" wäre somit durchzusetzen – auch entgegen der Würde des Menschen. Der angestrebte "Pandemievertrag" ist daher ein Menschen- und Völkerrechtskonträrer Ansatz und vollkommen inakzeptabel! Ich sage NEIN – und dieses NEIN, ist nicht verhandelbar!"
Privat	Die Bestrebungen des Pandemievertrages zielen darauf ab, die Souveränität aller Staaten auszuschalten, beliebige Entscheidungen zu fällen, fundamentale Grundrechte ausser Kraft zu setzen, ohne dafür Rechenschaft abzugeben. Jede beliebige Entscheidung zu Gesundheitsmassnajmen wäre damit durchzusetzen, ohne Berücksichtigung zum Wohl der Menschen und entgegen der Menschenwürde. Der angestrebte "Pandemievertrag" ist daher menschen- und Völkerrecht verletzend. Daher spreche ich mich als Europäer gegen diesen Vertrag oder dessen Inhalte aus!
	Die Definition von Pandemie muss wieder auf den Stand von vor 2009 geändert werden. Regards Kurt Corinth, Deutschland
private citizen	Die Einhaltung nationaler Grundgesetze und Verfassunen muss immer an erster Stelle stehen. Grund - und Menschenrechtseinschränkungen sollen und müssen ausdrücklich verhindert und verboten werden.
#StopTheTreaty	Die entgültige Entscheidung ob, wann und wie Eingriffe in und an seinem Körper und Geist geschehen muss beim Individuum (Menschen) selbst liegen. Kein anderer hat das Recht darüber entgültig zu entscheiden als er selbst. Sämtliche Organisationen (egal welcher Natur) haben eine beratende, keine für das Individuum entscheidende Funktion. Die Entscheidung liegt bei ein jedem Selbst, wie und ob er sich vor oder gegen etwas schützen möchte. Kein Globaler Pandemie Vertrag!
none	Die Entscheidung jedes Menschen muss akzeptiert und umgesetzt werden dürfen.
Privat	Die Entscheidungen über Gesundheitsthemen sollten weiterhin die den jeweiligen Ländern bleiben und vorallem sollten sie demokratisch abstimmbar bleiben. Die WHO sollte Weltgesundheits Beratung/Empfehlung bleiben und nicht zum ausführenden Organ werden.
Keine	Die Entscheidungender WHO dürfen nicht über demokratisch legitimierte Entscheidungen der jeweiligen Länder gestellt werden. Demokratie first. Transparenz ist sicherzustellen. Einflussnahme politischer und kommerzieller Interessen ist auszuschließen. Die Finanzierung der WHO ist offenzulegen. Wirksame Mittel zum Machtmissbrauch sind einzubauen und Kontrollinstanzen zu benennen, die regulierende Befugnisse haben.
Bürger	Die Entscheidungskompetenz in diesen Fragen muss nationales Recht bleiben. Das schlimmste ist dass es für die WHO keine Kontrollinstanz gibt und hier kein Bundesbürger eine Wahlmöglichkeit hätte. Wenn eine ,durch die z.T. Wirtschaftsorganisation finanzierte WHO, über Gesundheit jedes Einzelnen entscheiden kann verstößt das gegen Menschen und Persönlichkeitsrechte.
Privat	Die erste Bemerkung ist das nicht die Frage wie ein neues Instrument aussehen muss, aber die Frage ob es ein ähnliches Instrument überhaupt geben muss für eine nicht demokratische, nicht unabhängige Organisation! Die WHO ist NICHT unabhängig, denn der stetig wachsenden Einfluss von privaten Akteuren, wie philanthropische Stiftungen usw., machen die WHO finanziell abhängig und leitet zum Interessenkonflikt zum Nachteil der Menschen und deren Gesundheit. Die WHO ist NICHT demokratisch legitimiert und hat NIE das Recht über Völker oder einzelne Personen zu entscheiden mittels "ausgerufener Pandemie". Die durch die WHO bis jetzt schon verursachte verheerenden Folgen für die Gesundheit abermillionen Menschen (als Versuchsfeld oder "Impfkampagne" getarnt) darf NICHT fortgesetzt werden. Keine (weitere) Aushöhlung der Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, nein zu der Aushebelung von der Grund- und Menschenrechte und nein zu der Einmischung/Einflussnahme der Pharmalobby/Pharmaindustrie und dem Finanzkomplex. Gesetzliche Haftung und Verfolgung von Pharmaindustrie/-Lobby, Regierungsbeamten, Regierungsinstitutionen, NGO's muss bei Verbrechen gegen den Menschen/Menschlichkeit an oberste Stelle stehen, nicht deren Schutz! NEIN zum Pandemievertrag!
Privat	Die Feststellung der pandemischen Lage darf nicht nur am PCR Test gebunden sein, internationale und multidisziplinaere Experten sind notwendig permanente Evaluation ist notwendig Angst reduzierende Kommunikation ist notwendig
no organisation, privat	Die Frage, welche Formulierungen in dem Abkommen zur Pandemievorsorge enthalten sein sollten, zeigt schon, wie sehr die WHO die Interessen der Bürger vertritt - ABSOLUT NICHT!!! Es war einmal, dass man glauben konnte, die WHO hätte die Gesundheit und das Wohl der Bürger im Sinne. Das ist nun nicht mehr so - Demokratie , das war einmal. Wir wollen keine Diktatur, von diesem geplanten Abkommen wurde die Bevölkerung erstens nicht informiert und es sollte sofort eingestellt werden. Die WHO darf nicht über alle Köpfe der Menschen und Regierungen Gsetze erlassen, dies widerspricht in höchstem und gefährlichen Maße einem bisher gut funktionierenden demokratischen Miteinander! Mit freundlichen Grüßen Monika Kitzlinger, Österreich
None	-die Freiheit der eigenen Entscheidung jedes Individums muss gewährleistet sein. Kein Mensch sollte zu Behandlungen, Einschränkungen oder Maßnahmen gezwungen werden -die Grundrechte und Menschenrechte müssen in der Form gewahrt werden, wie sie es bis 2019 waren. -die Autonomie der Menschen und Länder muss sicher gestellt sein -es darf keine Zwangszertifikate/green Pass bzw. Diskriminierung von Menschen stattfinden - Transparenz und offene Diskussion mit Kritikern und Oppositionellen müssen gewährleistet sein. Am wichtigsten: kein Zwang, keine Überwachung oder Überwachungsinstrumente, Menschenrechte und Grundrechte ausnahmslos und ohne Einschränkungen wahren
	Die Freiheit der Menschen darf durch nichts beeinträchtigt oder angetastet werden. Jede Verpflichtung zu etwaigen Impfungen ist strikt zu unterlassen. Alle Maßnahmen dürfen für niemanden verpflichtend sein. Es darf keine Repressalien gegen Menschen mit anderen Meinungen geben. Alternative Medizin sowie Homöopathie und anthroposophische Medizin muß zugelassen sein. Es ist auch ein freier, repressalienfreier verbaler Gedankenaustauch zuzulassen.
Heuer	Die Freiwilligkeit der Einnahme respektive Impfung ist Grundvoraussetzung Die Pharmaindustrie muss für Folgeschäden haftbar gemacht werden. Alle bisdahin bekannten Nebenwirkungen müssen kommuniziert werden.

Organization	Written submission
Privater Bürger	Die führenden Elemente sollten die Grundrechte der Menschen vertreten und wahren. Selbstbestimmtheit, Autonomie, Recht auf köperliche Unversehrtheit und eigene Entscheidung über das Reisen in anderer Länder und das uneingeschränkte Recht auf Bewegungsfreiheit im in und Ausland. Es sollte NICHTS als Zwang gesetzlich festgelegt werden. Die Entscheidungen einer Reaktion in einer Pandemie sollten weitergehin von der who an die Länder übergeben werden. Keine einheitlichen Pässe bezüglich eines Impfstatus.
Deutschland	Die Garantie für die Souveränität des einzelnen Staates und des Einzelnen Bürgers, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Ein Zwang muss ausgeschlossen werden.
Keine	Die Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und den Einzelnen, sowie die Achtung der Menschenrechte muss gewährleistet sein.
Privat	Die Garantie für die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Staates und jeden einzelnen Menschens, Entscheidung über körperliche Unversehrtheit jedem Menschen selbst überlassen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die gesetzliche Haftung von Pharmaunternehmen
privat	Die Gesetze der einzelnen Nationen sollen generell Vorrang gegenüber den Vorschlägen der WHO haben. Es darf nicht sein, dass eine zum großen Teil privat finanzierte Organisation Gesetze/Vorschriften erlassen kann, die dann von den Regierungen nur noch umgesetzt werden. Gesetze zu erlassen, muß in den Händen der einzelnen Nationen bleiben. Die Aufgabe der WHO sollte der Informations- und Erfahrungsaustausch sein.
Privat	Die Gesundheitsversorgung ist Ländersache Ich bin gegen eine globale Lösung der gesundheitspolitik egal in welcher Form und Impfungen schon gleich erst recht nicht
Privat	Die Gesundheitsvorsorge sollte eine nationale, persönliche und freiwillige Entscheidung bleiben. Jedes zentralistische Diktat einer nicht unabhängigen Organisation ist unbedingt abzulehnen.
Einzelperson	Die Grund- und Freiheitsrechte sind ein hohes Gut und dürfen nur eingeschränkt werden, wenn eine ganz außergewöhnliche Gefährdung der allgemeinen Gesundheit vorliegt. Jeder Mensch muss selbst entscheiden dürfen, ob er sich einer medizinischen Behandlung unterzieht oder nicht. Direkter oder indirekter Zwang zu Impfungen, Zwangsmedikation oder sonstigen medizinischen Eingriffen sind unethisch und daher abzulehnen. Ferner wären auch die Auswirkungen der Gegenmaßnahmen auf die körperliche und psychische Gesundheit gegen den Nutzen allfällig getroffener Gegenmaßnahmen zur Pandemiebekämpfung abzuwiegen. Es sind jeweils die gelindesten Mittel zur Verhinderung bzw. Reduktion der Krankheitsübertragung auszuschöpfen. Eine grundsätzliche Verknüpfung von persönlichen Daten und Gesundheitsdaten ist abzulehnen.
privat	Die Grünen gegen Impfpflicht haben folgende Vorschläge gemacht: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private Person	Die Implementierung des Pandemievertrags hebelt die Souranität der Staaten und Länder völlig aus und aus diesem Grund abzulehnen. Da die WHO zum größten Teil von privaten Organisationen finanziert wird, ist ein Interessenskonflikt mit der Souveranität der Länder und deren Bürger nicht auszuschließen. Da die Definition der 'Pandemie' und die zu ergreifenden Maßnahmen unilateral und willkürlich definiert bzw. verhängt werden können ist der Schutz von Persönlichkeitsrechten und die Würde des Einzelnen nicht mehr gewährleitstet. Der angestrebte 'Pandemievertrag' ist daher auf Menschen und Völkerrechtsverletzender Ansatz und völlig abzulehnen. Ich sage NEIN - und eine Entscheidung dagegen werte ich als persönlichen Angriff auf meine Rechte. gezeichnet Theresia Malon
Privatperson	Die klare Einhaltung der Menschenrechte und des Nürnberger Kodex muss garantiert sein! Die körperliche Unversehrtheit und das Recht auf ein selbstbestimmtes Leben muss geachtet und anerkannt werden. Kein Mensch darf zu körperlichen Eingriffen, Medikamenteneinnahmen oder zu Injektionen gezwungen werden. Es muss auf Freiwilligkeit beruhen (KEINE Sanktionen wie Arbeitplatzverlust, Kontensperre, Geldentzug, Buß-/Strafgelder etc.) Volle Akzeptanz, wenn jemand wegen seiner Welt-, Lebens-, Gesundheits -, Glaubenseinstellung keine "angeordneten" Medikamente /Injektionen einnehmen möchte. Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen beim Auftreten von Nebenwirkungen. Menschen mit Nebenwirkungen respektieren, sie entschädigen und betreuen. Nebenwirkungen anerkennen. KEIN Mensch der Welt hat das Recht sich über das Leben eines anderen zu stellen und über dieses zu bestimmen!
Privat	Die Länder haben in der Vergangenheit bewiesen das sie auch ganz gut allein klar kommen. Es bedarf keiner WHO die Maßnahmen auferlegt. Das muß Ländersache bleiben. Deshal: Nein zu Pandemievertrag. Hochachtungsvoll Frau Marika Gastecki.
None	Die Länder können sehr gut eigenverantwortlich mit Krisen umgehen, es ist dazu keine WHO nötig.
private	Die letzten 2 Jahre haben gezeigt, wie unterschiedlich jeder auf das Virus und auf die Impfung garantiert. Auch sind viele Fälle aufgetreten, die zeigen, dass es wichtig ist, dass jeder für sich eine Risiko-Nutzen Entscheidung hinsichtlich einer Impfung machen darf. Ich bitte daher um die Berücksichtigung und Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen zu Maßnahmen und Entscheidungen! Weiters ist die Achtung der Menschenrechte zu berücksichtigen! Keine Zwangsmaßnahmen und Entscheidung und Abwägung durch persönliche ärztliche Beratung des Einzelnen muss möglich sein! Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen! Danke sehr!
Keine	Die Menschenrechte sollen ausnahmslos wiederhergestellt werden. Sämtliche Gesetzesänderungen seit 2019 müssen erneut überprüft, bzw rückgängig gemacht werden.
Myself	Die Menschenrechte sollten in jedem Fall berücksichtigt werden. Wir haben das Recht auf freie Selbstbestimmung. Niemand sollte gezwungen werden an medizinischen Experimenten teilzunehmen.
Privat	Die Menschenrechte, Geburtsrechte für jeden Einzelnen, die Souveränität der Länder und jedem Einzelnen müssen gewahrt werden. Gesetzliche Haftungsübernahme von Pharma, Ärzten, Regierungen, Beamten, allen Ausführenden aller Maßnahmen. Das Gesetz steht weder über irgendwelche Eide noch über dem Nürnberger Kodex.
Philipp Urban	Die nationale und lokale Führungen sollen ihre volle Autonomie behalten! Länder müssen ohne Konsequenzen aus allen Teilen des Abkommens aussteigen können. Ein offener und transparenter Prozess, über den alle Menschen auf der Welt abstimmen können, sollte Voraussetzung für einen Pandemievertrag sein. Der Pandemievertrag darf nur Maßnahmen enthalten, die keinen Einfluss auf den Prozess durch pharmazeutischen Unternehmen oder andere globale Gesundheitsprofiteure zulassen.
keine	Die nationale und lokale Führungen sollen ihre volle Autonomie behalten. sich das Recht vor. Länder müssen ohne Konsequenzen aus allen Teilen des Abkommens auszusteigen können. Ein offener und transparenter Prozess, über den alle Menschen auf der Welt abstimmen können, sollte Voraussetzung für einen Pandemievertrag sein. Der Pandemievertrag darf nur Maßnahmen enthalten, die keinen Einfluss auf den Prozess durch pharmazeutischen Unternehmen oder andere globale Gesundheitsprofiteure zulassen.
Bürger	Die Nationalen Staaten behalten ihre eigene Souveränität. Es dürfen nur Hinweise ausgesprochen werden. Eine Organisation wie die WHO hat keine Kompetenz um Entscheidungen, Maßnahmen oder Restriktionen zu bestimmen. Es kann und darf keine Rechtsfolge von Nichtregierungsorganisationen ausgehen. Sie sind nicht legitimiert und können und dürfen nicht legitimierter sein bzw. Werden. Da sie sich über Gelder von Interessenvertretungen finanziert fehlt ihr die Neutralität, und damit auch jegliche Kompetenz in Sachverhalte die Rechtsfolgen begründen könnten. Entscheidungen zu treffen. Der Souverän ist immer das Volk und das muss so bleiben. Das Volk muss unmittelbar Entscheidungsträger und deren Entscheidungen korrigieren oder wirkungslos machen können. Insofern dürfen Verträge nichts anderes beinhalten oder und bewirken können. NGO sind lediglich Interessen Vertretungen, Meinungsbilder am Berg keine Entscheidet mit Rechtsfolgen. Regierungen haben keine Kompetenz diese Grundsätze durch Verträge auszuhebeln oder Kompetenz abzutreten. Dafür sind sie grundsätzlich nicht autorisiert. Die Verfassungsmäßigen, oder Grundrecht bleiben immer bei den Nationalstaaten!!! Alles andere ist Verfassungsbruch und damit nicht Wirksam.
Private	Die Nebenwirkungen der Medikamente sind x- fach schlimmer als die Gefahr an Covid schwer zu erkranken. Die Impfpflicht ist ein reines Politikum und füllt die Taschen der Pharmaindustrie. Never Impfpflicht!
Gemeinderat	Die persönliche Entscheidungsfreiheit über den eigenen Körper muss oberstes Prinzip sein und bleiben. Voreilige Aktionen mit ungesichertem Ausgang sind zu unterlassen.
	Die persönliche, gesundheitliche Entscheidung für Leib und Leben sowie körperliche Unversehrtheit für Körper und Geist sowie Gleichbehandlung und demokratische Freiheit muss fester Bestandteil bleiben. Danke.
Medical	Die Rechte / Unabhängigkeit der Nationalstaaten muss gewahrt bleiben. Kein grüner Pass. Keine privaten Spenden an die WHO und keine Einflussnahme von jeglichen Unternehmen, Siftungen, Lobbyisten. Breite wissenschaftliche Debatte zur Notwendigkeit von Maßnahmen. Verhältnismäßigkeitsprüfung.
Bürger für freie Impfentscheidung	Die Regelung muß Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen sicherstellen. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte und des "Nürnberger Codex" sind zwingende Voraussetzung. Es sollte die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen eingeschlossen werden. Es ist sicherzustellen, daß die Finanzierung der Regelungen ausschließlich aus öffentlichen Mitteln und nicht aus privatem Geld oder mittels Spenden erfolgt. Der freie Zugang zu jeglicher Art von medizinischen Informationen (z.B. auch Statistiken und deren Grundlagen) muß für jedermann in einer einfachen Form sichergestellt werden. Maßnahmen im Sinne der Regelung dürfen nur von demokratisch eingesetzten Institutionen und nicht von privaten Unternehmen umgesetzt werden.
Privatperson	Die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte müssen sicher und standfest bleiben.!! Darunter fallen Autonomie und die freie Wahlentscheidung jedes einzelnen Bürgers. An den Grund- und Freiheitsrechten zu rütteln, sollte niemals auch nur irgend jemandem erlaubt werden!! In Pandemiezeitet natürliches Durchseuchen der Menschen aktiviert das eigene Immunsystem und ist 1.000 mal wertvoller als sinnloses Masken tragen, testen oder Impf - Versuche an Menschen!
Privat	Die Säulen des Naturrechts. Die Autonomie der Bürger. Bürgerrechte. Freiheit und Unverletzlichkeit der Person und der Wohnung. Freie und demokratische Wahl. Transparenz der Rahmenbedingungen und Entscheidungen. Verhältnismäßigkeit. Angemessenheit. Das mildeste Mittel ist zu wählen. Diversifizierung der Experten.
Privat	Die Selbstbestimmung der Staaten muss erhalten bleiben . Die WHO hat kein politisches Mandat und kann meines Erachtens maximal eine beratende Funktion haben und keine verpflichtenden Handlungsanweisungen er lassen.
Forensic science	Die Selbstbestimmung über den eigenen Körper ist ein grundlegendes Menschenrecht. Daher ist eine Impfpflicht abzulehnen. Insbesondere diese Impfungen mit Nanolipiden mRNA bzw. Vektor Impfstoffen, da uber die Langzeitwirkungen nur spekuliert werden kann. Ich habe drei Todesfälle untersucht, die in zeitlichem und kausalem Zusammenhang mit dieser impfung stehen und ich hoffe dass die verursachen den Organisationen, Erzeugerfirmen, WHO und FDA irgendwann rechtlich zur Verantwortung gezogen werden.
Privatperson	Die Souveränität Der einzelnen Staaten muss Auch in Krisenzeiten erhalten bleiben! Zentralisierte Abläufe; und Entscheidungen außerhalb des Einflussbereiches der einzelnen Länder, Können niemals lokale Notwendigkeiten abbilden! Keine Organisation darf weltweit alles entscheiden oder beeinflussen; da dies nur der Türöffner ist für Machtübernahme; Unterdrückung, und Korruption auf höchstem Niveau! Wer diese Macht einmal hat wird sie nicht mehr abgeben sondern behalten um jeden Preis! Dies darf nicht und niemals geschehen! Es müssen immer neutrale unvoreingenommen Kontrollgremien existieren; die nicht von den CEO's Großer Firmen beeinflusst oder gesponsert werden! Sonst ist die Freiheit und Unabhängigkeit aller Menschen dieses Planeten gefährdet!
Privat	Die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten und der einzelnen Menschen bewahren Die Achtung aller Menschenrechte bewahren Gesetzliche Haftung der Regieungen u. Pharmaunternehmen Unabhängige Kontrollen über deren Einhaltung
private	Die Souveränität der Länder muss gewahrt bleiben. Das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit darf nicht verletzt werden. Die WHO als nicht demokratisch legitimierte Institution darf nur beraten und empfehlen, aber keine Anweisungen geben!!!
private	Die Souveränität der Länder muss gewahrt bleiben. Das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit darf nicht verletzt werden. Die WHO als nicht demokratisch legitimierte Institution darf nur empfehlen und beraten, sie darf nicht weisungsbefugt sein.
Freier Bürger der Bundesrepublik Deutschland	Die Souveränität der Nationalstaaten bleibt unangetastet! Der Pandemie-Fall darf nicht von der WHO allein festgestellt werden. Die Gesundheitsminister von mindestens fünf Staaten müssen den Pandemie-Fall bestätigen. Maßnahmen dürfen erst umgesetzt werden, wenn die Parlamente zugestimmt haben!
-	Die Souveränität der Nationalstaaten und ihre Verfassungen müssen weiterhin über unangetastet bleiben und dürfen NICHT unter den Verträgen der WHO stehen. Die freie Impfentscheidung muss weiterhin gewährleistet werden.
none	-Die Souveränität der Staaten muss erhalten bleiben. -Haftung der Pharmahersteller -Haftung der Politiker -Gewissenhafte Aufklärung von Impfschäden -Grundrechte dürfen niemals eingeschränkt werden -Menschenrechte dürfen nicht eingeschränkt werden -Korruption und Lobbyismus müssen bestraft werden
Private. Human	Die Souveränität der Staaten muss erhalten bleiben. Die menschlichen Grundrechte dürfen NICHT verletzt werden! Jeder Mensch hat ein Recht auf diesem Planeten zu leben und über seinen Körper frei zu entscheiden! Das muss respektiert werden. Sofort!
Austria	Die Souveränität der Staaten muss erhalten bleiben. Vollumfängliche Haftung der Politiker/innen und Pharmakonzerne. Keine Impfpflicht der Bevölkerung
none	Die Souveränität der Staaten. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte und des Nürnberger Kodex. Die volle Haftung von Pharmaunternehmen und Regierungsbeamten, im Falle von Korruption auch mit ihrem Privatvermögen.
Privatperson	Die Souveränität des Staates muss garantiert sein, also keine automatische Verpflichtung des Staates zur Umsetzung internationaler / WHO-Maßnahmen ohne nationalen Parlamentsbeschluss. Garantie der Souveränität des Einzelnen durch Achtung der Menschenrechte und des Nürnberger Kodex. Also kein Zwang, keine Isolation / Quarantäne und keine Strafandrohung für den Einzelnen bei Nichtanwendung internationaler / WHO-Maßnahmen. Gesetzliche uneingeschränkte Haftung von Regierungsvertretern für ihre Handlungen sowie von den Pharmaunternehmen für ihre Produkte, deren Sicherheit sowie für gesundheitliche Unbedenklichkeit für die Anwendung an allen Menschen.
Privat	Die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen müssen einbehalten werden.
Privat	die souveränität des staates und des einzelnen, die achtung der menschenrechte und die gesetzliche haftung von regierungsbeamten und/oder pharmaunternehmen ist im vertrag zu verankern.
Keine	Die Souveränität des Staates und jeden Einzelnen soll garantiert werden. Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen... Die Achtung der Menschenrechte.
Bürgerin	Die Souveränität eines Staates und jeden einzelnen Bürger*innen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen müssen geachtet und umgesetzt werden. Hiermit äußere ich meine tiefsten Bedenken zur Umsetzung eines Pandemieplans, der die oben genannten Punkte aushebeln könnte.
Wien	Die Souveränität jede Staates muß für immer gewährleistet bleiben und darf niemals durch allgemeine Verträge, durch wen auch immer und womit auch immer, beeinflusst werden bzw sein. Jeder Staat muß das Recht haben auf eigener Staats- bzw. Landesebene über Gesundheit und in allen anderen Belangen durch seine eigene Bevölkerung zu entscheiden. Daher ein klares nein zu derartigen Verträgen die durch die WHO und deren Geldgebern/ Sponsoren beabsichtigt werden!
Freischaffende Künstlerin	Die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Staates muss gewahrt werden. Nur dann kann auf die konkreten Gegebenheiten angemessen reagiert werden. Die körperliche Unversehrtheit und die freie Entscheidung, der freie Wille sind Menschenrechte die es zu wahren gilt! Wirtschaftliche Interessen sollten von medizinischer Behandlung entkoppelt werden. Als wichtigen Bildungsauftrag sehe ich die Vermittlung für den verantwortungsvollen Umgang mit der eigenen Gesundheit jedes Individuums. Diese oder eine neue Befragung sollte verlängert werden und vor allem vorher öffentlich bekannt gemacht werden! Dagmar Fischer
Private	Die Souveränität jedes Staates und jedes Einzelnen, die absolute Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaindustrie sind unabdingbar einzuhalten!
kein	Die Souveränität liegt bei jeden einzelnen Staat. Menschenrechte und Menschwürde stehen an erster Stelle. Jeder einzelne Mensch hat ein Recht auf seine eigene Entscheidung. Der einzelne Mensch entscheidet ganz alleine über seinen Körper! Dies ist ein Grundrecht!
Staatsbürger Deutschlands	Die Souverinät der Staaten und jedes einzelnen Bürgers kann durch WHO Entscheidungen und Vorschriften nicht gebrochen werden. WHO kann lediglich Empfehlungen aussprechen.
Private	Die Staaten müssen souverän und unabhängig bleiben. Es darf keine digitalen Zertifikate geben, die Grundrechte und/oder Menschenrechte einschränken. Entscheidungen zur Impfung muss immer freiwillig bleiben. Über Impfstoffe und ihre Nebenwirkungen muss umfassend aufgeklärt werden . Die Hersteller müssen haften für Impfschäden. States must stay free in decisions. No digital legitimation/vaccination pass to cut off human rights. Decision for vaccinations must not to be forced. About vaccination drugs and possible bad efforts has people to be fully informed. The pharmacy industry producing the vaccination drugs has to be responsible by law for the vaccination and its (possible bad) consequences.
privat	Die Staaten und Länder sind selbst in der Lage auf gesundheitliche Ereignisse angemessen zu reagieren. Es ist nicht legitim die Entscheidungsbefugnisse der gewählten Volksvertretungen an eine nicht Regierungsorganisation wie WHO abzugeben, die dann zentral über alle Staaten und Menschen zu entscheidet und das womöglich gegen Grund und Menschenrechte.
privat	Die staatliche Souveränität muss erhalten bleiben, sowie die freiheitliche Selbstbestimmung über medizinische Behandlungen/Eingriffe und der Schutz der persönlichen Daten.
privat	Die staatliche Souveränität muss erhalten bleiben, sowie die freiheitliche Selbstbestimmung über medizinische Behandlungen/Eingriffe und der Schutz der persönlichen Daten.
keine	Die staatliche Souveränität muß gewahrt bleiben. Die Entscheidung über durchzuführende Maßnahmen im Pandemiefall muß bei den Mitgliedsstaaten bleiben. Die WHO als Verein mit freiwilliger Mitgliedschaft darf niemals in die Grundrechte der Bürger seier Mitgliedsstaaten eingreifen !!!
Mensch	Die Unabhängigkeit der WHO von privaten Geldgebern und Konzernen ist nicht gegeben. Interessenkonflikte und Beeinflussung von Seiten dieser z. B. das weltweite Vermarkten und Verkaufen experimenteller „Impfstoffe" über die WHO können nicht ausgeschlossen werden. Dies diente nur privaten Interessen und dem Profit dieser Player aber nicht der Gesundheit des Menschen. So muss eine generalisierte Macht-und Kontrollfunktion durch die WHO unterbleiben, in allen Fragen! Vielleicht sollte die WHO so wie sie organisiert ist aufgelöst/abgeschafft werden. Stattdessen sollte die Souveränität und Unabhängigkeit der Staaten gestärkt werden. Global denken und lokal Handeln sollte hier die Devise sein.
Privat Person	Die Unversehrtheit jedes einzelnen Menschen sollte gewahrt werden. Nur zugelassene Mittel, Medikamente und Impfstoffe dürfen verabreicht werden, keine Notfallzulassungen. Der Nürnberger Kodex muss Weltweit berücksichtigt werden.
Privat	Die Vereinbarung sollte die Freiwilligkeit von Impfungen und weiteren medizinischen Maßnahmen betonen und garantieren. Es darf nie zu einer Diskrimierungen von Menschen kommen, die eine bestimmte medizinische Behandlung ablehnen.
Österreich	Die Wahrung der Menschenrechte Muss oberstes Gebot sein ! Die Haftung der Regierungen und der Pharmaindustrie muss eingefordert werden !
Privatperson	Die WHO darf nicht mehr Macht bekommen als die Nationalstaaten. Es braucht über alle geplanten Maßnahmen einen öffentlichen Diskurs und eine Abstimmung in der Bevölkerung.
Privat	Die WHO darf zur Pandemievorsorge und -bekämpfung keinesfalls die Kompetenz erlangen, das Recht der Nationalstaaten zum Schutz ihrer Bevölkerung zu unterbinden oder eine höhere Priorität zu erlangen. Das Nationalrecht und ihre Verfassungen dürfen keinesfalls in Frage gestellt werden. Die WHO sollte nur das Recht haben, Empfehlungen abzugeben und bei Anrufung Hilfen zu organisieren auf nicht verpflichtender Basis.
BRD	Die WHO ist keine demokratisch legitimierte Organisation. Daher lehne ich Verträge zwischen Staaten und der WHO ab. Die Souveränität der Nationalstaaten sollte unbedingt erhalten bleiben.
None	Die WHO ist keine demokratische Organisation und wird teilweise privat finanziert. Eine derartige Organisation darf nicht über der Souveränität der Mitgliedstaaten stehen.
Human Interests	Die WHO ist NICHT unabhängig, denn der stetig wachsenden Einfluss von privaten Akteuren, wie philantropische Stiftungen usw., machen die WHO finanziell abhängig und das leitet zum Interessenkonflikt zum Nachteil der Menschen und deren Gesundheit. Das haben die Skandale der letzten zwanzig Jahren - wo auch die WHO involviert war - klar gezeigt. Die WHO ist NICHT demokratisch legitimiert und hat NIE das Recht über Völker oder einzelne Personen zu entscheiden mittels "ausgerufener Pandemie". Die durch die WHO bis jetzt schon verursachte verheerenden Folgen für die Gesundheit abermillionen Menschen (als Versuchsfeld oder "Impfkampagne" getarnt) darf NICHT fortgesetzt werden. Keine (weitere) Aushölung der Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, nein zu der Aushebelung von der Grund- und Menschenrechte und nein zu der (weitere) Einmischung/Einflussnahme der Pharmalobby/Pharmaindustrie und dem Finanzkomplex in das Leben der Menschen. Wir brauchen KEIN international instrument 'on pandemic preparedness and response'. Wir brauchen auch keine Labore überall auf der Welt , wo Experimente mit (lebens)gefährlichen Erreger stattfinden. Darauf soll man sich fokussieren, statt Menschen ihr Leben und ihre Freiheit zu nehmen! Bei Verbrechen gegen den Menschen und gegen die Menschlichkeit muss gesetzliche Haftung und Verfolgung von Pharmaindustrie/-Lobby, Regierungsbeamten, Regierungsinstitutionen, NGO's und Privatpersonen an oberste Stelle stehen, nicht - wie momentan der Fall ist - deren Schutz!!! NEIN ZUM PANDEMIEVERTRAG!
Bürger	Die WHO ist nur eine Organisation...diese dürfen nie und nimmer das Sagen über die Menschheit haben...
Privat	Die WHO ist stolz auf ihre Netzwerke und Partnerschaften welche einen wesentlichen Baustein darstellen um mit ihren globalen Initiativen ein weltweites Publikum zu erreichen. Diverse Spezialisten sollten ein umfassendes Verständnis für die Realitäten und Bedürfnisse eines Landes haben. Ich fühle mich von der WHO nicht vertreten und widerspreche dieser Pandemievorbereitung! Sämtliche Mitgliedsstaaten, Länder und Regionen der WHO müssen die Autonomie für Maßnahmen zur Abwehr von vermeintlichen oder tatsächlichen Gefahren selbst behalten!
-	Die WHO muss alle Staaten selbst entscheiden lassen!!!!
privat	Die WHO muss als internationale Organisation unabhängig von den Interessen privater Personen und Organisationen agieren. Sie muss medizinische auf Evidenz basierende Entscheidungen treffen und diese permanent kritisch evaluieren. Private Geldgeber insbesondere ohne jegliche medizinische Ausbildung darf kein Raum on den WHO Entscheidungen gegeben werden. Persönliche Souveränität und Integrität müssen Leitlinien des Handelns bleiben. Die freiheitlichen Rechte jedes Individuums haben auch in einer Pandemie Priorität. Die Staaten müssen frei entscheiden, welche Maßnahmen geeignet sind, die Pandemie zu bekämpfen. Die WHO darf nur Empfehlungen aussprechen und weder in die Souveränität des Einzelnen noch der Staaten eingreifen. Kein Zwang zu medizinischer Behandlung! Freie Entscheidung der Bürger! Nie wieder Lockdowns, die mehr Kollateralschäden als Nutzen bringen. Keine Angstpropaganda! Eine Pandemie darf nicht mit politischen Interessen verbunden werden.
privat	Die WHO muss dringendst aufgelöst werden oder darf nur beratend - aber nie entscheidend - tätig sein. In einem übergeordneten Vertrag muss die Souveränität eines jeden Staates und des Einzelnen garantiert werden. Die Achtung und Einhaltung der Menschenrechte bleibt verpflichtend. Eine gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen ist zwingend notwendig. Die Immunität politischer Amtsträger muss aufgehoben werden. Nur so kommt jeder in die Selbstverantwortung.
Privat	Die WHO muss unabhängig von Lobbyisten und Pharmafirmen werden. Sie darf keine Spenden annehmen. Kosten werden gedeckelt durch anteilmäßige Zuwendungen der Mitgliedsstaaten. Alles Wissen der WHO darf nur als Hilfe an den Mitgliedsstaaten weiter gegeben werden. Alle durch Gefahrenlagen notwendige Entscheidungen sind unabhängig, von jeden einzeln Staat selbst zu treffen. WHO gibt nur Hinweise und Hilfestellung.Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen sind durch die WHO zu überwachen und bei Verstössen öffendlich zu machen. Die WHO hat besonnen zu handeln und darf nie Druck auf Regierungen oder Bevölkerungsgruppen ausüben. Verstöße sind zu Verfolgen. Andere Meinungen sind zu akzeptieren und müssen in die eigene Diskussion mit einzufliessen. Die WHO läd auch außenstehende Wissenschaftler ein bei Pandemischen Lagen mitzuarbeiten
Oh	Die WHO soll abgeschafft werden!!! Jedes Land muss frei für sich in Gesundheitsfragen entscheiden!!!
privat	Die WHO soll sich aus ALLEN die einzelnen Länder betreffenden Entscheidungen raushalten!!! Da sie ein privater Zusammenschluss von privaten Personen&Vereinigungen ist, hat sie KEIN Recht den Ländern der Welt ihren Willen aufzuerlegen. KEINER dort wurde von den Menschen gewählt. Haltet euch raus!!!!
Privat	Die WHO sollte als teilweise privat finanzierte Organisation ohne demokratische Legitimation auf keinen Fall über den Entscheidung von nationalen Regierungen stehen. Das ist weder Aufgabe noch Legitimation der WHO. Dieser Vertrag wäre ein schwerwiegender Eingriff in die Demokratien und darf nicht passieren. Wir brauchen keine Weltregierung.
privat	Die WHO sollte Beobachtungsinstrumente für Gesundheitskrisen entwickeln. Aus den beobachbaren Daten sollten Empfehlungen für die weiterhin souveränen Staaten entwickelt werden. Die Staaten müssen souverän bleiben !
privat	Die WHO sollte ihre Tätigkeiten auf Beobachten und Beraten beschränken, aber alle Versuche unterlassen, Einfluß auf die Gesetzgebung souveräner Staaten und die Rechte ihrer Bürger zu erlangen. Die WHO darf nicht zu einem Handlanger finanzkräftiger Sponsoren werden, nur um die Gewinnmaximierung der Pharmaindustrie zu unterstützen und dabei die elementarsten Menschenrechte zu mißachten und um demokratische Strukturen zu unterwandern.
Privatperson	Die WHO sollte in jedem Fall beratend, somit ohne rechtliche Befugnisse arbeiten. Dabei ist auf die Grundrechte zu achten, im Rahmen einer Pandemie insbesondere auf das Recht körperlicher Unversehrtheit. Keine Pflicht- und/oder Zwangsmedikation. Ebenso Beachtung des Rechts auf freie Berufsausübung (Vorsicht mit einer Empfehlung für einen Lockdown). Wünschenswert: Erstellen eines Gremiums unabhängiger Wissenschaftler (Statistiker, Ärzte, Pathologen, Chemikern, Juristen, Journalisten, Virologen/Bakteriologie, Ethiker) die kontroverser Meinung sind. Die Souveränität einzelner Länder und Personen muss gewahrt bleiben. Transparenz für alle Bürger, die öffentlich dargestellt sein soll, halte ich für enorm wichtig. Diese sollte jedem leicht zugänglich sein. Mit freundlichem Gruß, Ursula Ehlert
Public	Die WHO sollte keine vereinheitlichte Instrumentalisierung bei Gesundheitswesen anstreben solange nicht gewährleistet ist dass die WHO unabhängig agiert! Die höchsten Zahlungsbeträge kommen von Interessensvertretern. Es darf nicht sein dass uns die Pharmaindustrie durch die WHO vorgibt was wir alles einzunehmen haben! Sorgt für Unabhängigkeit und Transparenz ansonsten ist ihre Organisation im Ursprung des Entstehens und des eigentlichen Ziels obsolet!
Berlin	Die WHO sollte nicht eigenständig entscheiden können, es sollten zwingend unabhängige Experten einbezogen werden. Alle Entscheidungen sollten wohlwollend und verhältnismäßig geprüft werden, jeder Mensch sollte unbedingt ein Mitbestimmungsrecht haben und frei wählen dürfen.
Privat	Die WHO sollte nur Empfehlungen abgeben und die Realisierung den nationalen Regierungen bzw Parlamenten überlassen. Die WHO - Veröffentlichungen müssen von demokratisch gewählten Gremien mit einer Legitimation der Weltbevölkerung (keinerlei Interesseneinflüsse von Privaten, NGO's und Lobbyisten einschließlich der Berater) vor Verabschiedeung bestätigt werden. Dies beinhaltet auch die Frage, wann eine Erkrankung überhaupt eine Pandemie sein kann. Alle empfohlenen Maßnahmen müssen alle Aspekte ihrer Auswirkungen betrachten. Hierzu muss ein internationales Beratergremium mit renommierten Fachleuten, die auch unterschiedliche Meinungen haben müssen, gebildet werden. Es muss dringend eine Evaluierung aller Maßnahmen bereits nach einigen Monaten und dann auch fortlaufend erfolgen. Die Corona - Pandemie ist auszuwerten, bevor neue Instrumente installiert werden.
Selbstorganisation	Die WHO sollte nur Empfehlungen abgeben und die Realisierung den nationalen Regierungen bzw. Parlamenten überlassen. Die WHO -Veröffentlichungen müssen von demokratisch gewählten Gremien mit einer Legitimation der Weltbevölkerung (keinerlei Interesseneinflüsse von privaten NGOs und Lobbyisten einschließlich der Berater) Vor Verabschiedung bestätigt werden. Dies beinhaltet auch die Frage, wann eine Erkrankung überhaupt eine Pandemie sein kann. Alle empfohlenen Maßnahmen müssen alle Aspekte ihrer Auswirkungen betrachten. Hierzu muss ein internationales Beratergremium mit renommierten Fachleuten die auch unterschiedliche Meinungen haben müssen, gebildet werden. Es muß dringend eine Evaluierung aller Maßnahmen, bereits nach einigen Monaten und dann auch fortlaufend erfolgen. Die Corona-Pandemie ist auszuwerten, bevor neue Instrumente installiert werden.
No Organisation	Die WHO unterstützt mittlerweile Big Pharma und Big Tech und wird wiederum von ihnen gesponsort. Gesundheitliche Themen sollten nie zugunsten von der Pharmaindustrie entschieden werden. Wir Stehen ein für Menschlichkeit, Recht und Freiheit .Nein zu den Verträgen
privat	Die wichtigsten Elemente bei der Vorbereitung und Reaktion auf zukünftige Pandemien: 1. Rücknahme der geänderten Definition für eine Pandemie, keine unnötige Verbreitung von Panik ohne Datenbasis 2. Unabhängikeit von Pharmalobbyisten und anderen Organisationen wie GAVI oder der Bill & Melinda Gates Stiftung, Sicherstellen, dass keine Einflussnahme durch diese erfolgt 3. Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen 4. internationales Völkerrecht muss Vorrang haben 5. Einbeziehung und Prüfung aller (auch widersprechender) wissenschaftlichen Fakten und Erkenntnisse 6. externe unabhängige Prüfung der Verhälnismäßigkeit empfohlener Maßnahmen 7. Einhaltung der "internationaler Gesundheitsvorschriften", Ihre Artikel speziell zu den Menschenrechten schützen die Bewegungsfreiheit, sie schützen das Transportwesen und die Wirtschaft. Schutz der privaten Daten. 8. Prüfung empfohlener Maßnahmen durch externe unabhängige Ethikkommission 9. Maßnahmen dürfen nicht schaden, auch nicht Einzelnen 10. Gleichbehandlung und Selbstbestimmung müssen garantiert werden, keine Zwangsmaßnahmen oder experimentelle Behandlungen
entfällt	Die wichtigsten Elemente, welche in die neuen Bestimmungen zur Pandemievorsorge und -reaktion aufgenommen werden sollten, sind die Pandemiedefinition, die bis 2009 galt, und ein Widerspruchsrecht aller Nationalstaaten gegen jede Bestimmung, die dem widerspricht.
Keine	Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar Jeder. Mensch hat das Recht auf Selbstbestimmung und somit auch das Recht zu entscheiden welche Medikamente er einnimmt
mobil4you	Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar! Lassen Sie das mit Ihren weiteren Beschlüssen, die ins Leere laufen werden und widmen sich besser solchen, die die Armut, den Hunger und das Leid von Milliarden von Menschen weltweit beseitigen.
Privat	Die Zahl der Erkrankungen, die Anzahl der Todesfälle sowie die Schwere der Erkrankungen sollten in Relation zu sonstigen Erkrankungen/Todesfällen gestellt werden. Es braucht Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte. Die Unversehrtheit des eigenen Körpers sowie die individuelle Entscheidung darüber muss eine freie und individuelle bleiben. Die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten bzw. Pharmaunternehmen muss klar geregelt werden. Breite wissenschaftliche Evidenz - bezogen auf die Fachgebiete sowie internationaler Forschungsergebnisse - muss in die Entscheidung einbezogen werden. Es darf niemand gezwungen werden, digitale Codes für Zugang in öffentliche Bereiche zu verwenden.
Privat	Die Zeit für einen Kommentar abzugeben ist viel zu kurz. Bevor es zu einen Vertrag kommt, sollte Lehren aus der jetzigen Pandemie gezogen werden, damit eine Verbesserung des Mangement vollzogen werden kann. In Moment ist schon absehbar, dass das Mangement der Pandamie durch eine einseitige zu Sicht, zu vielen Gesundheitsfolgen in der Bevölkerung führt. Das die Evtl. Impfstoffe eine genaue Prüfung unter zogen werden müssen, bei der Impfung genaue Daten erhoben werden müssen, damit Impfnebenwirkungen und Wirksamkeit der Impfung erfasst wird. Bei der jetzigen Pandemie wird es ungenügend erfasst. Über den Vertrag darf nicht nur die Politiker abstimmen, sondern nur ein Volksentscheid in den jeweiligen Ländern.
Privat	Die Zeitspanne zwischen Ausbruch einer Pandemie und Meldung an die Who ist zu lange. Durch die Möglichkeit überall auf der Welt einen Aufenthalt ist zu gestalten ist für jeden Menschen jederzeit möglich. An Flughäfen bessere Kontrollen (scan des Gesundheitzustanded) ist leicht möglich. Für alle Staaten gleiche Checkliste und schnelle Meldung an die Who
Michaela Kodat	Diese Elemente sollen aufgenommen werden: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of Germany	Diese müssen in den Pandemievertrag aufgenommen werden: 1. Menschenrechte sind unveräußerlich. Regierungen dürfen Menschenrechte nicht wegen „Notfällen" aussetzen. 2. Die Rechte von Nationen, Staaten, Provinzen, Gruppen und Organisationen unterliegen den unveräußerlichen Menschenrechten. 3. Das Recht, es zu versuchen, ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. Bürokraten haben nicht das Recht, jemandem potenziell lebensrettende Medikamente vorzuenthalten. 4. Körperliche Autonomie ist sakrosankt und muss IMMER respektiert werden. Das Recht auf Behandlungsverweigerung ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. 5. Der Impfstatus darf nicht dazu benutzt werden, das unveräußerliche Recht von Menschen auf Reisen, Arbeit, Bildung oder Zugang zu öffentlichen Räumen oder Geschäften einzuschränken. 6. Lockdowns und/oder Quarantänen gesunder Menschen sind falsch. 7. Wir fordern vollständige Transparenz. Alle anonymisierten Daten aus allen klinischen Studien sollen sofort und in Echtzeit für alle verfügbar sein. 8. Jeder hat das Recht auf seine eigene Meinung. Alle Menschen haben das unveräußerliche Recht, ihre Meinung öffentlich zu äußern. 9. Alle Hersteller, Vertreiber und Verwalter von Gesundheitsprodukten und/oder -verfahren müssen für alle Schäden haftbar gemacht werden, die durch ihre Produkte und Dienstleistungen verursacht werden. 10. Die Menschen müssen das Recht haben, über die Beendigung des Ausnahmezustands abzustimmen.
Citizen Germany	Diese müssen in den Pandemievertrag aufgenommen werden: 1. Menschenrechte sind unveräußerlich. Regierungen dürfen Menschenrechte nicht wegen „Notfällen" aussetzen. 2. Die Rechte von Nationen, Staaten, Provinzen, Gruppen und Organisationen unterliegen den unveräußerlichen Menschenrechten. 3. Das Recht, es zu versuchen, ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. Bürokraten haben nicht das Recht, jemandem potenziell lebensrettende Medikamente vorzuenthalten. 4. Körperliche Autonomie ist sakrosankt und muss IMMER respektiert werden. Das Recht auf Behandlungsverweigerung ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. 5. Der Impfstatus darf nicht dazu verwendet werden, das unveräußerliche Recht von Menschen auf Reisen, Arbeit, Bildung oder Zugang zu öffentlichen Räumen oder Unternehmen einzuschränken. 6. Lockdowns und/oder Quarantänen gesunder Menschen sind falsch. 7. Wir fordern vollständige Transparenz. Alle anonymisierten Daten aus allen klinischen Studien sollen sofort und in Echtzeit für alle verfügbar sein. 8. Jeder hat das Recht auf seine eigene Meinung. Alle Menschen haben das unveräußerliche Recht, ihre Meinung öffentlich zu äußern. 9. Alle Hersteller, Vertreiber und Verwalter von Gesundheitsprodukten und/oder -verfahren müssen für alle Schäden haftbar gemacht werden, die durch ihre Produkte und Dienstleistungen verursacht werden. 10. Die Menschen müssen das Recht haben, über die Beendigung des Ausnahmezustands abzustimmen.
Citizen of Germany	Diese müssen in den Pandemievertrag aufgenommen werden: 1. Menschenrechte sind unveräußerlich. Regierungen dürfen Menschenrechte nicht wegen „Notfällen" aussetzen. 2. Die Rechte von Nationen, Staaten, Provinzen, Gruppen und Organisationen unterliegen den unveräußerlichen Menschenrechten. 3. Das Recht, es zu versuchen, ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. Bürokraten haben nicht das Recht, jemandem potenziell lebensrettende Medikamente vorzuenthalten. 4. Körperliche Autonomie ist sakrosankt und muss IMMER respektiert werden. Das Recht auf Behandlungsverweigerung ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. 5. Der Impfstatus darf nicht dazu verwendet werden, das unveräußerliche Recht von Menschen auf Reisen, Arbeit, Bildung oder Zugang zu öffentlichen Räumen oder Geschäften einzuschränken. 6. Lockdowns und/oder Quarantänen gesunder Menschen sind falsch. 7. Wir fordern vollständige Transparenz. Alle anonymisierten Daten aus allen klinischen Studien sollen sofort und in Echtzeit für alle verfügbar sein. 8. Jeder hat das Recht auf seine eigene Meinung. Alle Menschen haben das unveräußerliche Recht, ihre Meinung öffentlich zu äußern. 9. Alle Hersteller, Vertreiber und Verwalter von Gesundheitsprodukten und/oder -verfahren müssen für alle Schäden haftbar gemacht werden, die durch ihre Produkte und Dienstleistungen verursacht werden. 10. Die Menschen müssen das Recht haben, über die Beendigung des Ausnahmezustands abzustimmen.
Citizen of Austria	Diese Punkte müssen in den WHO Pandemievertrag aufgenommen werden: 1. Die Menschenrechte sind unveräußerlich. Regierungen dürfen die Menschenrechte nicht aufgrund von "Notfällen" außer Kraft setzen. 2. Die Rechte von Nationen, Staaten, Provinzen, Gruppen und Organisationen sind den unveräußerlichen Menschenrechten untergeordnet. 3. Das Recht auf ein Gerichtsverfahren ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. Bürokraten haben nicht das Recht, jemandem potenziell lebensrettende Medikamente zu verweigern. 4. Die körperliche Autonomie ist unantastbar und muss IMMER respektiert werden. Das Recht, eine Behandlung abzulehnen, ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. 5. Der Impfstatus darf nicht dazu verwendet werden, das unveräußerliche Recht der Menschen auf Reisen, Arbeit, Bildung oder Zugang zu öffentlichen Räumen oder Unternehmen einzuschränken. 6. Abriegelungen und/oder Quarantänen von gesunden Menschen sind falsch. 7. Wir fordern vollständige Transparenz. Alle entpersonalisierten Daten aus allen klinischen Studien sollten sofort und in Echtzeit für jeden zugänglich sein. 8. Jeder hat das Recht auf eine eigene Meinung. Alle Menschen haben das unveräußerliche Recht, ihre Meinung in der Öffentlichkeit zu äußern. 9. Alle Hersteller, Vertreiber und Verwalter von Gesundheitsprodukten und/oder -verfahren müssen für alle Schäden, die durch ihre Produkte und Dienstleistungen verursacht werden, haftbar gemacht werden. 10. Die Menschen müssen das Recht haben, über die Beendigung des Ausnahmezustands abzustimmen.
Nonr	Diese Punkte müssten in den "Pandemievertrag" aufgenommen werden: 1. Die Menschenrechte sind unveräußerlich. Regierungen dürfen die Menschenrechte nicht aufgrund von "Notfällen" aussetzen. 2. Die Rechte von Nationen, Staaten, Provinzen, Gruppen und Organisationen sind den unveräußerlichen Menschenrechten untergeordnet. 3. Das Recht auf einen Versuch ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. Bürokraten haben nicht das Recht, jemandem potenziell lebensrettende Medikamente zu verweigern. 4. Die körperliche Autonomie ist unantastbar und muss IMMER respektiert werden. Das Recht, eine Behandlung abzulehnen, ist ein unveräußerliches Recht. 5. Der Impfstatus darf nicht dazu verwendet werden, das unveräußerliche Recht der Menschen auf Reisen, Arbeit, Bildung oder Zugang zu öffentlichen Räumen oder Unternehmen einzuschränken. 6. Abriegelungen und/oder Quarantänen von gesunden Menschen sind falsch. 7. Vollständige Transparenz. Alle entpersonalisierten Daten aus allen klinischen Studien sollten sofort und in Echtzeit für jeden zugänglich sein. 8. Jeder hat das Recht auf eine eigene Meinung. Alle Menschen haben das unveräußerliche Recht, ihre Meinung in der Öffentlichkeit zu äußern. 9. Alle Hersteller, Vertreiber und Verwalter von Gesundheitsprodukten und/oder -verfahren müssen für alle Schäden, die durch ihre Produkte und Dienstleistungen verursacht werden, haftbar gemacht werden. 10. Das Volk muss das Recht haben, über die Beendigung des Ausnahmezustands abzustimmen.
Privat	Diese sollten immer individuell auf Land bzw. Staat entschieden werden. Mit einem globalem pandemievertrag verliert mein Land dann noch die letzte Souveränität. Profitbringende Vermarktung v Impfstoffen, auf Basis einer evtl. Globalen aufgerufenen Pandemie sind für mich sinnfrei und sollte NIEMALS Grundlage werden. Pauschalisierte,überspitzt vorsorgemassnahmen ,die wiederholt übertrieben u willkürlich sind, gehören nicht in den Friede der menscheitsfamilie. Ich möchte frei,selbstbestimmt und friedvoll leben und nicht global diktiert!!!!! Primär steht IMMER die würde des einzelnen Menschen. NICHT PROFIT!!!!
Privat	Diesen Vertrag darf es nicht geben, niemand darf über meinen Körper bestimmen, es darf niemals eine Impfpflicht geben. Jeder Staat muss seine eigene Verantwortung tragen können
Privat	Dieser angedachte globale Pandemieplan verstößt gegen Menschenrechte und würde eine nicht legitime Organisation dazu befähigen ganze Demokratien auszuhebeln! Wehret den Anfängen!
MH-Solution	Dieser Pandemievertrag ist sofort zu stoppen. Das ist nicht im Sinne der Menschheit!
None	Dieser pPandemievertrag ist menschenrechtsverachtend und aus diesem Grund unzulässig. Menschen Therapien aufzuzwingen ist genauso unzulässig und menschenechtswidrig. Eine Medikamenteneinnahme soll und darf ausschließlich auf freiwilliger Basis erfolgen. Es darf keinen Zwang zur "Freiwilligen Entscheidung" geben.
Person	Dieser Vertrag darf nicht zustande kommen, da er die Souveränität der Staaten untergräbt. Die Bevölkerung der jeweiligen Staaten ist der Souverän, von ihnen geht alle Staatsgewalt aus. Diese ist nicht delegierbar! Selbst wenn die WHO eine demokratische Institution wäre, wäre die Legitimation als globale Organisation niemals gegeben, es hat das Subsidiaritätsprinzip zu gelten! Diese Begründung wäre bereits hinreichend, allerdings kommt erschwerend hinzu, dass die WHO auch indirekt keine staatlich legitimierte Organisation ist, sondern weit überwiegend von privaten Organisationen finanziert wird. Mit diesem Vertrag werden also öffentliche Interessen durch private Interessen ersetzt und mit staatlich Mitteln durchgesetzt. In der deutschen Sprache nennt man diese Methode Staatsstreich und das Ergebnis Korruption! Dieser Vertrag ersetzt Menschenrechte und Menschlichkeit durch private Gewinninteressen und für die sind Menschen/Organisation bereit sehr sehr weit zu gehen, nur nicht zum Wohle der Menschen! Nein zu diesem Vertrag!
P	Dieser Vertrag ist bei der Beweislage grundsätzlich abzulehnen.
no Organisation	Dieser Vertrag verstößt gegen die Menschenrechte und das Datenschutzgesetz. Deshalb darf dieser Vertrag nicht abgeschlossen werden. Die WHO hat sich mit diesem Bestreben in der öffentlichen Meinung sehr geschadet und erweckt nicht mehr den Eindruck, daß es um die Gesundheit der Menschen geht, sondern nur noch um Einkünfte der Pharmakonzerne und Unterdrückung der Menschheit.
People	Dieser Vertrag/ dieses Abkommen hat kein Mandat der Bevölkerung und darf daher niemals zustande kommen!
Keine	Dieser WHO Vertrag wird nicht gebraucht. Es sollte in den Händen der jeweiligen Regierung bleiben, wie mit Pandemien verfahren wird. Nein zu diesem Vertrag.
Retired UK NHS doctor	Different countries should be encouraged to react as they see fit. This is the only way to discover the best response. If all countries are told to act identically, this is not scientific and more of an adherence to an ideology. Genuine science would encourage experimentation, variation and questioning. There should therefore be no agreement but if there was one the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the world.	Different jurisdictions need to have full autonomy every one is unique and must be free to make there own choices without penalty. The populations involved must have voting rights on such an issue where human rights issues (such as freedom of movement) can be drastically affected. Simply put the people must decide. The vast majority of the world's population have no knowledge of what you are planning. There must be full transparency and people must have voting rights. Due diligence must be maintained. Any Pharmaceutical Company or Company who can potentially profit from a pandemic must have no influence on any measures proposed.
N/a	Different people have different immune systems - there can be NO one rule catches all - people are entitled to have bodily autonomy , the right to freedom of movement and to provide for their family, no matter what you think you can do. You should provide guidance based on proven SCIENCE - not something that was changed in the last few years to fit a narrative so that you can impose restrictions to FREE people. No masks, no lockdowns and no jabs - if people want to fo something then they will. It's not your job to be my father. That job is already taken by God and Jesus. I will live my life according to HIS teachings and not your mandates so make sure you don't impinge on our religious rights ever again.
Na	Digital identity and 3 month vaccine development is something I don't agree with at all. Vaccines & any medicines need a ten year minimum trial process and digital identity is not needed and both must be stopped.
Global Health Centre, Graduate Institute Geneva	Digital technologies are increasingly central to pandemic preparedness and response. The Pandemic Treaty should promote a human rights-based approach to digital technologies, affirming States' obligations to optimize benefits and mitigate risks such as data breaches, intrusive surveillance, bias, discrimination, and unequal access. The Treaty should mandate that use of digital technologies, including contact tracing, only be deployed if there is sound empirical evidence that the technology is effective at achieving health outcomes. The Treaty should also include clear safeguards protecting individuals' privacy and confidentiality, requiring states to limit data-gathering, and prohibiting use of health surveillance for political or discriminatory purposes. This should be linked to effective national data protection policies. Use of digital technologies during pandemics should fulfill the core components of the right to the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health. This includes accessibility and affordability of hardware (e.g. phones), data or Internet for all, including women and rural residents. Health information should be accessible in diverse languages and accessible to persons with disabilities. Digitization of health information and services should not exacerbate existing inequalities within or between countries. The Pandemic Treaty should also encourage States to utilize social media to share scientifically accurate public health information and avoid infringing right to freedom of expression. Any Treaty language on digital technologies should be reviewed for consistency with human rights norms and standards, including those from the UN treaty bodies and Special Procedures.
Drexel University, Dornsife School of Public Health	Digital technologies are increasingly central to pandemic preparedness and response. The Pandemic Treaty should promote a human rights-based approach to digital technologies, affirming States' obligations to optimize the benefits and mitigate risks such as data breaches, intrusive surveillance, bias and discrimination, and unequal access. The Treaty should mandate that use of digital technologies, including contact tracing, only be deployed if there is sound empirical evidence that the technology is effective at achieving health outcomes. The Treaty should also include clear safeguards protecting individuals' privacy and confidentiality, requiring states to limit data-gathering, and prohibiting use of health surveillance for political or discriminatory purposes. This should be linked to effective national data protection laws and policies. Use of digital technologies during pandemics should fulfill the core components of the right to the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health. This includes accessibility and affordability of hardware (e.g. phones), data or Internet for all communities, including women and rural residents. Health information should be accessible in diverse languages and accessible to persons with disabilities. Digitization of health information and services should not exacerbate existing inequalities within or between countries. The Pandemic Treaty should also encourage States to utilize social media to share scientifically accurate public health information and avoid infringing the right to freedom of expression. Finally, any Treaty language on digital technologies should be reviewed for consistency with human rights norms and standards.
Privat	Diktatur des Geldes-niemals
Private	Disagree with everything you plann. No mandatory vacination, no masks, no lockdowns
None	Disagree with this completely ...we are all individual countries with individual governments and do not one to be ruled by one central body who can do what they like when they like This is a threat to democracy SHAME ON YOU
Personal submission	Disagreement with WHO's Pandemic Treaty; We must ensure that- - National and native leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the correct to form choices supported what's best for his or her own individuals. - The ability of countries and native municipalities to choose of any and every one parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and clear method with the power for all individuals of the globe to vote on together with failsafe measures which will stop the applying of the worldwide agreement in places wherever a majority of the individuals don't wish it. - Measures that limit the influence of pharmaceutical corporations or different international health profiteers.
USA family	Disaster and pandemic responses need to begin in the local area. When responses are done on a global scale, the most vulnerable people and places remain vulnerable and exposed. When things are kept locally friends and neighbors can identify and help the vulnerable and exposed people and places quickly and efficiently. Neighbors helping neighbors is the best response. Maybe the WHO could come up with a basic community response plan that each community can take under consideration and modify it to fit thei particular needs.
Human	Disband the WHO
Private	Discriminatory measures must be inhibited. Also mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Greetings Eva Berka.
SkommaSainne	Discriminatory measures should be forbidden. Vaccines and other medicine should never be mandatory!
Die Berliner	Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
None	Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
Deutsch Brodersdorf	Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
Privat	Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
Keine	Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
Privatperson	Discriminatory measures should be prohibited and mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Mariola Mischek
none	Discriminatory measures should be prohibited and severely punished
Privat	Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights.
GGIP	Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
privat	Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Privat	Discriminatory vaccines should be prohibited. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. All measures must take full account of all health interests. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state.
Privat	Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
Vaccine Injured	-Discuss ways to re-gain peoples trust, in WHO, CDC, NIH which was completely lost over the past 2 years. -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	Disease characteristics, geographical /environmental, population density, demographics, and many other factors influence disease transmission. A "one-size-fits-all" approach is not only unnecessary, but inefficient, and may have unintended consequences. No ONE organization should have the final say/sole discretion for health policies. Keeping decision making at the local level also will enable decisions to be made more swiftly in response to local disease transmission, and will be more tailored to the needs of the country/region affected. Thank You.
none	Disgraceful power grab. A way to override the sovereignty of citizens and nations. Stop it.
keine	Diskriminierung von nicht englischsprachigen Menschen! Zum Thema: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Self	Dissolve the WHO. Let nations handle their own issues.
Independent	Distinguished Delegates and WHO Secretariat: In light of recent history and as a public health lawyer who participated in FCTC INBs, I believe the proposed instrument absolutely must safeguard the inalienable rights of people worldwide and the sovereignty of nations. Thus the proposed instrument should include: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open, transparent process and failsafe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, thus guarding against governmental and/or multigovernmental overreach - or worse, tyranny (even if it is a tyranny of best intentions). 4. Measures to eliminate the influence of any and all global health profiteers (e.g. pharmaceutical companies and nongovernmental WHO funders) on all aspects of the international instrument (from processes to outcomes). Thank you for your consideration.
Lifetime Development	Distinguished Delegates and WHO Secretariat: In light of recent history, the proposed instrument absolutely must safeguard the inalienable rights of people worldwide and the sovereignty of nations. Also given the proposed instrument would create a global governance regime administered by unelected officials, transparency and accountability must be paramount. Thus the proposed instrument should include: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open, transparent process and failsafe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, thus guarding against governmental and/or multigovernmental overreach - or worse, tyranny (even if it is a tyranny of best intentions). 4. Measures to eliminate the influence of any and all global health profiteers (e.g. pharmaceutical companies and nongovernmental WHO funders) on all aspects of the international instrument (from processes to outcomes to implementation). Thank you for your consideration.
Individual	Diversity in responses to new outbreaks of illnesses is best left to individuals and nations. Hence I reject your proposal and see it as snake posion to the health of the world.
Individual	Diversity is key. We need different areas trying different things in order to best determine what is effective.
Independent	Diversity is strength. Each nation should retain its own autonomy and have opt-out powers. No centralisation, as one mistake would mean a mistake for all. Democracy is inclusive. There should be mechanisms to ensure the public are in control of their own fates. Data is vital. Science should be free from all forms of persuasion and outside pressure, financial or otherwise. Accurate, trustworthy and transparent data should be available for all.
Drugs For Neglected Diseases Initiative (DNDi)	DNDi welcomes the prioritization of equity as a strategic pillar within the WGPR and INB. As a not-for-profit research and development organization, DNDi focuses these comments on how an international instrument can best ensure investment in, discovery, and development of, and equitable access to essential health tools. The instrument should ensure national, regional and global coordination of R&D as a substantive element and encompass the following: Scope: All health tools, including medicines, diagnostics, and vaccines for existing pandemics and epidemics, including AMR and pandemic-prone neglected and other diseases Financing: Sustainable financing of end-to-end R&D and access, including mechanisms for rapid mobilization of at-risk public investments; support for open collaborative approaches; increased surveillance, clinical trial, manufacturing, and regulatory capacity which strengthens infrastructure to address both pandemic and existing health priorities Governance: A distributed, decentralized, and democratic approach to production of knowledge and innovation, including R&D priority-setting, decision-making and resource allocation and coordination architecture that is governed to ensure greater parity between public and private actors and the 'global south' and 'global north' Global norms: Globally agreed norms and binding rules that govern the R&D process - including transparency and open sharing of research data, knowledge, technology and equitable allocation of health tools - thereby accelerating the R&D process and ensuring the benefits of scientific progress will be equitably shared and considered global public goods, available to all regardless of where they are discovered, developed or produced.
concerned citizen	do not agree to anything the who has to offer.
concerned citizen	Do not agree to making a legally binding pandemic treaty as it would be detrimental to the health and welfare of U.S. citizens. The health decisions belong to each and every individual citizen and no one should be making those decisions as to what is right for each person's own body and how it would affect their health. Do not agree to making this type of treaty.
Keine	Do not agree with the compulsory vaccination and no covid passports!
Tesla surfacing	Do not agree with the new treaty. Every individuals right to determine what goes into his/her body must be respected. No forced vaccinations to be part of society. Must not panic unnecessary over possible non lethal sicknesses.
none	Do NOT allow any pharmaceutical company or global health private profiteering entity any influence in policy setting or implementation! Allow full local and national autonomy and ability to opt out. Appoint a new director general who has had formal medical training and qualifications in public health policy.
My Body My Rules!	Do not allow international rulings on health and welfare. Each person should be allowed to choose how they are going to handle their own health and welfare.
individual	Do not allow the WHO to govern world pandemic response! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	Do not approve this treaty. All it does is take power and concentrate it in a body no one has control over. Subsidiarity is the standard. No global organization needs to have these powers. Educate, inform. That's all a body at this level should be able to do. Thank you.
None	Do not attempt to coordinate pandemic response internationally. We do not want it. Keep your elitist dreams to yourselves.
N/A	Do not circumvent national governments by using a global treaty that undermines local democracies. Individuals should have bodily autonomy regardless of local prevailing health issues.

Organization	Written submission
N/a	Do NOT develop a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". Full Stop. End of. We do not need your organisation mandating rules for us. You are not elected and are unaccountable and frankly your organisation is a politically motivated and is easily influenced by bad actors with nefarious vested interests. I do not trust you or your employees or your bosses. Stay away from us.
Privat	Do not do that to our freedom. We do not need this contract to give you even more control over the EU states, because of an Influenza. The people can handle it alone.
BLD PLC	Do not do this. I am 100% opposed to this Governments must act individually If the WHO gets it wrong and we all follow them we all get it wrong
None	Do Not force medical decisions on people, it ist Not democratic to force vacinations directly orf indirectly(as in Austria) on people.
Self Pay	Do Not give anyone the control of our health
none	DO NOT give away my rights as a citizen of the United States to the WHO to determine how to handle my OWN HEALTH! I speak for hundreds of thousands who are not able to write. We do NOT want the USA to sign a treaty to allow the WHO to handle our country's health crises. Here are some reasons: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities shall have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. We shall have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We will not allow any measures that permit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	Do not give my freedoms away to anyone!!! Decisions should be made on the local level. Not national nor global.
person	Do not give rights to the WHO instead of the Health Board of the Republic of Estonia!
Feick Design Group, Inc	Do NOT give undemocratic global power to the WHO. No one group should have Global power. That has been proven true with the Wuhan virus. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health care professional	Do not go through with this world wide submission. You have absolutely no right to supersede the rule and constitution of free democracies.
Myself	Do not hamstring nations by saying they can only follow your protocols for treatments. You MUST give countries the freedom to evaluate different protocols and even test so-called unapproved therapies to see if they work on people who are willing to try other options. Do not restrict countries' ability to create drugs or experiment ethically with off-label uses.
Na	Do not include anything that overpowers the decisions of individual countries. They should guide themselves
Siamese Organization Malaysia	Do not institute the so called Pandemic Treaty. World Health Organization is an advisory institution on health matter to people of the World. It should stay that way. It shouldn't act as a global government or policing body for governments to abide strictly to any treaty or global law. Each country of the World as before can and will govern all matters of that country alone and that include health matter as well. There is no need for World Health Organization to dictate any health treaty and matters on behalf of that country. It was before. It should be for the future as well. Thank you.
Whitewall	Do not introduce any agreement. It is against all human rights and will serve to control freedoms
Self	Do not involve the USA in any order involving the WHO. We need to make our own pandemic decisions.
erdbeer	DO NOT MAKE an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. NO !!!
Individual	Do not move forward with this. The public can decide for themselves!!!
Private	Do not only invest in vaccines, take medicatons also into account. And most important: mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
Self	Do not panic Do not spread fear Do not require mandatory anything, especially vaccinations This should not be written by Who Local government should handle this situation
Humanity	Do not pass this "pandemic plan", do not press on a footstep more. You've done enough, or rather, haven't done anything at all - for the good of those that actually matter - for those you've taken everything from… and no, I'm not happier because of it. No reason or excuse is adequate when stealing away the sovereign rights of 1 person, let alone 8 billion. I hope this universe swallows your lot whole and you find yourselves the very "dredges" that you oh so fear and yearn to dominate.
Slf	Do not pass this bill and take away our freedom.
Scientist	Do NOT pass this global treaty, the govt response to the "pandemic " is what is killing people. The pcr test is fraudulent and asymptomatic sickness is a complete lie. The mRNA technology used will kill the human race especially when combined with animal genome. The covid vaxx gives people aids, heart attacks and strokes. STOP KILLING US!!!!! Defund The Who and the global govt
Self	Do not pass this order. Sovereign countries and states should manage their individual constituents.
NS	Do not pass this treaty. People and communities should control of their own health, NOT THE WHO!
Harmony Village	Do not proceed? Far too risky and not thought through.
ABC Co	Do Not Promote Lockdown ever again National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	Do not shut down economies during epidemics
Children's Defense Fund	Do not sign a treaty w the WHO. The WHO is an unelected body that is attempting to take control of our country's governance. This is an attempt to eliminate our Constitutional Rights. Vehemently Opposed!!!
none	Do not sign any agreement that would override our United States Constitution. Politics cannot be allowed to enter into any response to any "pandemic." Also, vaccine manufacturers should NEVER be allowed to have 100% immunity from lawsuits from adverse effects from their product.
Grand Father	Do not sign any international agreement…. We should take care of our own and not let other countries dictate what we do!
Citizen	Do not sign away our sovereign rights to an unelected organisation, it's not the governments to give away
Cotizen	Do not sign this agreement. The WHO, makes to many mistakes, & takes away our freedom of rights. Let's get back to NaturalHealth.
Retired	Do Not Sign Up for This! The U.S. must not put itself in the position by being bound by a treaty like this. It opens the door for the U.S. to lose its sovereignty, for individual states, for individual people. We cannot put ourselves in the position of being bound to a Global public health policy that has the potential of going against our Constitution and Bill of Rights. We need to be free to handle our own business in the area of public health and not be bound by a treaty that we potentially might not agree with. We open the door for Global or Foreign politics to interfere with our sovereign nation!
Citizen of the United States of America	DO NOT SIGN! We the People of the United States should only follow our Constitution and never be ruled by any "Authority" outside of the Constitution and our Rights as FREE Human Beings!
Public	Do not try to control humanity Let each country have its own GUIDANCE Do not mandate useless mask wearing Do not mandate vaccinations of any kind Let people think and use common sense You have made people trust science less You have made many errors along the way
Hello	Do not want a treaty to take away human rights
Student	Do the world a favour and resign. Apologise too maybe.
None	Do whatever you want. I will not comply.
Physician	Do you remember things like the Nuremberg Codex? It is time to study this document! And remember history and actually learn from it! No tracking of data, no inhuman experiments on population, humans are no experiment mices nor only numbers which can be controlled in every second of their lives. Stop the aggression and obsession of controlling humankind, illnesses etc. Stop playing god!
none	Doctors and healthcare workers must be allowed to share experiences they are having with any treatments for any illness. There must be no top down approach to any pandemic in the future. The best treatments can come from any one anywhere in the world. The focus must be on the care of each individual and the freedom all have. Treatment must be up to each individual. All people must be allowed to refuse any medical treatment for any and all reasons. All countries must not make any mandates only recommendations. This is the same for the WHO only recommendations are to be allowed to be put out in any and all situations no matter how bad things seen to be. Different treatments are to be allowed and Doctors encouraged to find out from their own patients what works best. Censorship of any kind must not be allowed as that is anti science in it's self. What works for many people will not work for all so different approaches must be recommended and not forced on any Country or individual.
Self	Doctors can choose the appropriate treatment, Ivermectin or whatever saves lives instead of mandating one treatment for all that doesn't work. Individuals will choose to comply or not, taking responsibility for their own health. We can no longer trust guidance from governmental entities, foreign or domestic.
World Council for health	don't agree with this. The direction is not what I or my family want. We didn't agree to this nor did we get a referendum on it. How is this democracy!!? IMO and my family's this is not the way forward.
TheBodyGroup	Don't allow pharmaceutical, tech companies to sponsor WHO and let them influence decisions. Renowned scientists should be heard and stop emergency vaccines non senses!
Split	Don't even think about QR code for all.
None	Don't give control to a world health organization That can Require Lock downs, Treatments forced on Individuals. The world health organization has shown it self to be very political. It's amazing that any country would even consider voting for something such as this. Thank you
Party for Normal Life	Don't use experimental vaccines. And let WHO and governments take responsibilities for vaccine damages.
United States Citizen/Parent/Elected School Board Member	Drawing from my own personal experience as a locally elected School Board Member during the Covid-19 pandemic and response, the following elements should be included in any new international instrument related to pandemic preparedness and response: 1) It is imperative that any such agreement afford full and complete autonomy to national and local leadership. National and local leaders must be able to maintain complete and total decision-making authority for their own people and constituents. Local control is necessary for local response to be appropriate - a "one size fits all" approach is never effective in health. 2) Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of any such international agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people object. The agreement should be publicly announced and advertised on all television channels and in all printed and on-line newspapers. 4) Must include measures that don't allow for any process influence by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Further, the investment portfolios and the professional or political associations/affiliations of all who are drafting/facilitating the creation of this international agreement must be disclosed widely and publicly.
Privat	Drei wichtige Bereiche: 1. Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, 2. die Achtung der Menschenrechte und 3. die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen
private	Drei wichtige Bereiche: 1. Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, 2. die Achtung der Menschenrechte und 3. die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen
European citizen	Dringend erforderlich sind Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Voicepresence	Due to democratic principles the WHO should and must leave the rights for self regulation in all pandemic questions (And More) to the national governments. Only here the people of the countries can take the legitime and granted influence on the circumstances concerning rights and regulations of their daily life. An overall worldwide regulation by the WHO is undemocratic and should be avoided from the beginning. The role of the WHO should NEVER exceed advice and voluntarily demanded support.
none	Due to differing social and environmental elements, I believe it is essential that individual nations should be free to opt out of any regulations they feel are not beneficial to their people or controlling pandemics, etc. in their regions. One size does not fit all. Also, any such agreements should be open to public scrutiny to avoid any bias favoring interested parties - pharmaceutical companies for example.
Citizen	Due to many facts, countries without masks go better throw Covid. We need more sport, healthy food and keep the human rights. Freedom and peace. Boost the Immunsystem with vitamins and Sport!
general public	Due to severe concerns with regard to data security I submit my statement anonymously. Terms of Participation do not contain any information, if or how these private data will be published (!). My written statement concerning a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. I do so for the following reasons: An international mandatory pandemic treaty restricts public and political influence on and control over measures, but cannot fully ensure that it does not * contain or legitimate discriminatory measures * contain or legitimate measures which do not take into account and carefully balance human rights, social an deconomic interests, * contain or legitimate measures which do not take full account of ALL health interests * contain or legitimate measures which cause public costs in member states but result in profit of private companies * measures or legitimate which do not accord to evidence and do not include critical voices. * precede over national constitutional law. Best regards.
US Citizen	Due to the regions of the World, the populations of each community as well as health and age, I believe no one size approach to health fits our Global population. Therefore, where I see the WHO adding value is to share the data collected globally, share the differences in approaches to health. Share what is working and what is not. I believe the WHO can and should create an Open Forum for Peer Review. The benefit to the Globe would be a Publicly monitored peer review. With the WHO encouraging and Open Source/ Global Thought Forum we, as humans, can get through any health issue that may come long into our future.
Canadian Citizen	Due to the WHO's past history with mismanagement of pandemics, I feel this proposed Treaty is completely unnecessary as well as a threat to our sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's overreaching power to declare unjustified pandemics (definition WHO changed), impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I feel that people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. In the past, WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
Canadian Citizen who	Due to the WHO's past history with mismanagement of pandemics, I feel this proposed Treaty is completely unnecessary as well as a threat to our sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's overreaching power to declare unjustified pandemics (definition WHO changed), impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I feel that people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. In the past, WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
None	During a pandemic National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local authorities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open process with the ability of people affected to vote on the process and agreements. There should be measures in place to ensure there is no influence by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	During a pandemic there should not be a one size fits all plan of action. The Health decisions for United States citizens should not be taken out of US citizens hands. Each individual should take responsibility on what risk they are willing to take. The responsibility of Government and WHO is to inform the people on how it is transmitted and how to protect yourself from getting it and inform on treatment options. And most importantly DON'T CHANGE THE INFORMATION AND GOAL POSTS. If you don't have all the info to give good information, SAY IT. Don't authoritatively say "this is truth" and then change to opposite in 24hrs and flip it to be something else in days or weeks. AND DON'T SAY IT'S PERFECTLY SAFE to take an emergency vaccine or treatment. SAY to the best of our knowledge it appears to be safe but we will continue to research and will let you know if there does seem to be any trends or risks.
Private capacity	During a pandemic, people are scared, stressed and uncertain of the future which opens the door to the mighty social media to spread harmful messaging. During a worldwide pandemic I would expect WHO to communicate a unified message globally to all governments, all countries, which will largely prevent miscommunication and misinterpretations of how to manage a pandemic. The unified message of guidelines should include medicinal recommendations, preventative/during/after care. Lockdown periods and protective gear if necessary. Standard, quality and provision (frequency) of gear. Safety measures to prevent spreading disease. Management of bodies. Although a strong recommendation to all heads of state, WHO should not have the authority and power to replace the autonomy of those heads of state. Financial contingency plan to support poorer countries receiving same medical assistance as those that have the ability to buy. Further WHO must ensure when pharmaceuticals has new medicinal cures during a pandemic, it has been thoroughly tested as would any other product for the medical market. Never again must it be allowed that medication is made mandatory if not rigorously tested for safe human consumption. WHO to coordinate research into highly infectious diseases and control there off, which could become a global pandemic.
Individual	During an emergency such as a pandemic, instructions and guidelines can and should be suggested to leaders of countries, but to leave complete enforcement in the hands and control of one remote organization is egregious, over-reaching, with the potential for more militant enforcement over a population. This is a path that would be incredibly problematic for the future of human rights.
Individual	During the COVID-19 pandemic, several countries have been enforcing economic sanctions on other countries for a variety of reasons. These sanctions made it difficult for sanctioned countries to develop, manufacture and sell vaccines and other countermeasures to other countries, and also to acquire COVID-19 countermeasures, because of both the real and the perceived effects of the economic sanctions imposed by other nations. The Treaty should require that its members implement clear, workable exceptions to such sanctions, including plain language regarding permitted activities, web pages describing best practices, white-listed suppliers, and comfort letters to entities interacting with sanctioned countries.
N/A	During the Covid-19 pandemic, the WHO focused on vaccines exclusively. These vaccines were rushed. Grossly insufficient safety data were collected on a new technology. An unacceptably large number of people were vaccine injured, something the WHO refuses to acknowledge. The vaccines are non-sterilizing. They were not delivered in a targeted way. Mass vaccination in the midst of a pandemic is DANGEROUS. It promotes the development of escape variants. The WHO gave no serious consideration to preventative and early treatment with safe, affordable, already available medications. I have grave concerns about the stranglehold this organization will have on global health. People of all nations have sovereignty over their bodies. They should certainly be able to reject experimental treatments. The WHO's proposed actions constitute overreach. I am opposed to this for both scientific and moral reasons. I say NO to this treaty. NO.
None	During the Covid-19 pandemic, WHO promoted novel interventions which have caused appalling damage to mental and physical health and social cohesion around the world, and hugely increased poverty world-wide. WHO's promotion of these interventions, and sudden changes of advice on issues like masks and travel restrictions according to political whim, have contributed to a massive reduction in trust in governments and institutions. I am strongly against any international instrument. Each country should make its own decisions based on its own situation. But if there is to be such an instrument, it should ensure that in future any country wishing to pursue such interventions considers every aspect of people's health and well-being, bearing in mind that mental health has a huge impact on physical health, as does the health of the economy. It should strongly advise against using fear and coercion in pandemic response. It should strongly advise against shutting down discussion and criticism of government policies. Unless there is absolutely compelling evidence that they are needed, it should strongly advise against: - removing people's basic rights and freedoms; - isolating healthy people, or preventing them from socialising; - shutting down education; - shutting down travel, which does more than anything else to transfer wealth from the rich to the poor. It should insist that recipients of rapidly developed vaccines are clearly told that there is no medium or long-term safety data, and that authorities deal with concerns about safety and efficacy in an honest, transparent and evidential way.
The People of the Globe	During the past two years it has been proven that the WHO is not fit for purpose and will see investigations into its behaviour with probably convictions. With this in mind it is clear that this organisations powers should be diminished not increased. In fact I would go so far as to say this organisation is now beyond repair and that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people at all times. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the any agreement as they see fit, WITHOUT consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence or infiltration in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. That all previous enshrined Medical, Ethical and Human Rights Law take precedence over any guide lines that are created Please note my words as another catastrophic failure can never be endured on this planet.
BAM LIMITED	During the past two years the WHO have pushed to implement damaging pandemic preparedness and response policies that many of us knew at the time to be ineffective, which have subsequently been proven as such in numerous peer-reviewed papers. Namely: - Advocating mask-wearing - Advocating Lockdowns including the closure of schools and many 'non-essential' shops and businesses, such as gyms while permitting fast-food establishments including Mcdonald's to remain open. - Ignoring successful treatment of Covid patients using drugs from the WHO Lists of Essential Medicines including both Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine despite the fact it had proved effective in numerous studies. Why? These experimental Covid-19 vaccines were fraudulently granted Emergency Use Authorisation (EUA). If the WHO and medical establishment had admitted that hydroxychloroquine or Ivermectin is effective against COVID, it would have been illegal for them to grant the Emergency Use Authorisation (EUA) to the vaccines in the first place. What does this mean? That there is a safe, proven drug that is effective against Covid-19. There always was. All Covid-19 mandates and the continued rollout of the vaccines should cease with immediate effect. - That the current crop of Covid-19 vaccines are "Safe and effective." Given the staggering number of serious adverse drug reactions both in the pre-EUA clinical trials, the first 3 months of the vaccine rollout (according to the Pfizer Documents released) and the catalogue of ADR's in global databases such as VAERS in the US and Yellow Card Reporting in the UK Never Again.
World Certification Services	Each and every country has the right to decide thier own citizens health and medical assistance without being overridden by WHO or any bodies from any organisation. No pandemic preparedness and response program should be taken place, and NO international instruments is required to control the healty people and immunocompromised people from all nations.
COncerned citizen	Each and every country in the world should retain sovereign control over Their countries Health policies. A global " one size fits all" heath control plan is a very bad idea. Global control is not in any country or citizens best interest. Pandemic prepardness and response is a soverign right of a nation. The WHO is funded in part by private corporations and individuals and cannot be trusted to remain strong in the face of demands by their funders. It is a conflict of interest.
N/A	Each and every country votes in many different dynamics. This is based on education, economy, LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES. One size does not fit all. WHO must not be given power over any decision making in countries with there own sovreignity. WHO is not voted in by the people, it is not transparent with whom it is governed by or with whom it's stakeholders are. The average public cannot be hoodwinked i to being governed by non local candidates. We do not pay taxes to WHO for WHO to decide how our taxes are spent.
Doggone Enterprises	Each area of this beautiful earth, each local group of people know better how to help each other without top down agendas or coercions. Trust, honesty and compassion are best expressed face to face.
Citizen	Each Citizen of each country worldwide should be permitted to have a voice in the preparedness for pandemic ensuring their rights of sovereignty. WHO must acknowledge this sovereignty and the right to vote for any laws or mandates before they are invoked
SOVEREIGN - Global Citizen	Each citizen of our world is a Sovereign! Each Sovereign must be ask individually for his personal decision concerning pandemic preparedness and response. In the first place the Sovereigns decision is mandatory for ANY organization (including WHO). Second place, human rights and local constitution must not and never be restricted in any way in the name of "pandemic preparedness and response". The Sovereign decides which organization misused the lent field of activity, which will lead to termination of mandate of relevant organizations. Any organization willing to deal with "pandemic preparedness and response" must apply for agreement. Each Sovereign will freely decide to accept or to refuse. As as result "pandemic preparedness and response" can be suggestions, JUST suggestions.
Private	Each city and state must retain their right to run their elected position in the best interest of the people that elected them. The WHO has proven to have its own agenda and is not part of an elected group nor does it have the people's best interest in their agenda. It has no governance over the people nor should it. No one wants a group of rich people making decisions on their behalf much less decisions regarding individual health needs. The WHO has proven who they are and are not welcome to make any decisions on behalf of the American public nor will the American public abide by an such attempts at these criminal plans and decisions.
Mucha Grandmother	Each community is based on the needs of its citizens. It will be as varied as the peoples, cultures, and locations of the world. One size does not fit all, and there will be objections to cooperation with any treaty that is imposed without regard to the individuality of need. The WHO has not shown objectivity, leadership, or any commitment to truthful science and discussion and has squandered its confidence capital with the world. Time to step back and let the individual communities determine health priorities.
Private citizen	Each community/country should have full control of their pandemic response. Everyone should have a right to opt out of anything they want to without consequence, bodily autonomy is paramount. Medics at all levels should be able to treat patients as they choose and discuss patients options without consequence/censorship. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Preventative measures should be promoted to increase immune health eg 'optimal' vid d (correlates with positive covid outcomes), zinc, vit c, healthy diet, exercise and stress reduction. It should not be optional for medics to report suspected vaccine side effects.
None	Each country , state or individual have their own circumstances . It is only in the interests of the WHO to Allow full autonomy for those people. Without that ability to opt-out of any or all Portions an agreement , without consequence there will only be distrust . We have allegedly seen pharmaceutical Influence and profiteering . Any agreement that is not transparent in all of these areas will not be in the interests of national and local people .
Citizen	Each country and individual areas of countries should have the right to say what works for them. Also individuals have the right to what works for them and their bodies. No one organization should be in control of anyones health or have the ability to take away individuals rights. Thank you.

Organization	Written submission
None	Each country and it's citizens should decide the appropriate response. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Each country and local municipality must maintain the freedom to make their own decisions and choices based on what is right for them, without consequences. We are now seeing that Florida, who did not follow what everyone else did, has done just as well as any other state in the pandemic and their people have suffered less consequences (mentally and financially). We would not know this if everyone was forced to follow the same procedures. ALL voices need to be included in discussions and decisions and not just the ones who are in alignment with the current narrative or agenda. Open dialogue rather than shutting down and canceling people must happen. Anyone who stands to benefit financially from decisions being made must not be included in the decision-making process.
Self	Each country and locality should continue to determine their path forward, based on the individual needs of that country or entity, when responding to viruses and other issues of health. Centralized control over the broad spectrum of health concerns throughout the world is way too myopic to be effective and will certainly lead to corruption of power and ineffective measures. Each country or locality must have a variety of voices and expertise allowed to openly debate, and at times perhaps even express conflicting views, to ensure a diverse set of perspectives are heard and considered. People are smart when offered to consider for themselves what is best for them and their family. World or national recommendations made by global committees are ok but should never be mandated. Protect the most vulnerable whenever possible but ultimately it is up to the individual in a free society. Be transparent and ensure that conflicts of interest are not forcing an agenda that is not suitable to the greater good. I do not what the WHO to have one world authority on health!
United States citizen	Each country around the world has its own people and culture. There is no "one size fits all" program that can be properly implemented to cover all of the different cultures. The response to Covid 19 should have showed that using a "blanket"policy does not work and can actually do much more harm than good. The data speaks for itself.
General public	Each country has an extremely different medical, geographical, political and cultural landscape and needs autonomy to respond within those boundaries to any pandemic moving forward. It is not acceptable to try and white wash a response across these demographics. The WHO is not run by a somone that each country democratically voted in and is not considered acceptable to respond on such a wide scale taking these considerations. The WHO has not demonstrated a reliable and trustworthy organization and therefore should not be considered to manage such a high number of countries outcomes as it is not fit for purpose.
Self employee	Each country has its own control of the best management of its issues, including a specific approach for controlling pandemics. There are many details, peculiarities related to each country and these aspects cannot be ignored. Every time it is tried a one-fit-all model, the results are devastating. We cannot accept that, we live in 2022 and such a fact would send humanity's development centuries backwards.
Ethan Irie Group	Each country has the right to retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for its citizen and to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. People of the world should be able to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it with measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
cocotier corp	Each country has the right to retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for its citizen and to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. People of the world should be able to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it with measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	Each country in the world has unique health and healthcare characteristics, needs, priorities and resources, so it is it is absolutely imperative that each country maintain autonomy on issues of health. No person or organisation should have the power to mandate a health treatment or measure. No treatment should be mandated for any individual. No individual should be coerced or pressured to accept a medical treatment. All countries should be free to opt-out of WHO healthcare recommendations without sanction or pressure. To respect human rights and democracy, each citizen of each country should vote, following a period of open and transparent consultation and debate, before any powers that affect people's heath and daily lives are transferred from their national and local governments to the WHO or any other supra`-national authority. The WHO must become an independent organisation with direct accountability to each country's citizens. The WHO must become free of private members and donors that can profit directly or indirectly from the WHO's recommendations. All funding for WHO should be sourced exclusively from tax-payers via their governments. All activities of the WHO must be fully-transparent so that citizens of all countries can determine how its funding is deployed and can determine for themselves the suitability of its recommendation and any resulting health mandates, directives.
Self	Each country is a unique entity with unique needs. Beyond that, every state, province, county, or territory within those countries have unique needs. To imagine that the WHO could replace the elegance of local governance with a one-size-fits-all hammer of a global organization is foolishness. It is also dangerous. For our legislators or unelected bureaucrats to hand off our sovereignty to an organization that the people of the country would be a crime of unimaginable proportions. Keep the WHO as an informational and advisory organization only. We grant them no authority over us.
None	Each country is autonomous and should be responsible for any action plan in a pandemic. International boundaries and its people are diverse and we must respect the choices of individual countries and the choices they make in the circumstances.
American	Each country is responsible for their men and women, and each man and woman takes responsibility for their health. The WHO, UN, central government do not add value to handling diseases as shown by the current events. Why should one trust a group of foreign individuals to care about my welfare?
Germany	Each country is sovereign and has to do what's best for its own population. Democratically elected leaders and representatives are accountable to their people - the people are not accountable to unelected WHO members. I do not accept that a non-elected body should be given such a power. Furthermore, the procedures and protocols from WHO against the covid19, have been proven to be a big failure in many communities. Therefore I'm absolutely against the treaty.
AFLDS	Each Country is Sovereign under it's own leadership and should handle any future pandemic on it's own as it sees fit. No international organization can tell a country what's best for that country or the world. As we see recently with the current covid-19 situation is nothing but chaos and wrong information from international organizations.
None	Each country is unique and thus the responses to any pandemic must remain entirely at the discretion of each sovereign state. General health, population density, and behaviours are all very different from country to country and therefore a mandated global response may harm some country's well-being rather than help. There cannot be a global response which would override the wishes of a country as it would invalidate the democratic elections of each country as the elected government may have a different strategy for dealing with an epidemic or pandemic.
None	Each country knows best how to address the needs of their citizens. What's good for one is rarely good for all. It would not be prudent for the USA to enter into any global pandemic treaty, as to do so would remove sovereignty from the US and would endanger the health and welfare of American citizens. The health decisions for each country need to remain the responsibility of each country, including and especially when an emergency exists. I do not support this new treaty or international instrument!
N/A	Each country leadership retain full autonomy, having the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Private	Each country must decide for its own people. I object to any global laws and rules. WHO is not the right institution for this. It became very clear during the last, vastly exaggerated and wrongly managed pandemia that we had.
Independet	Each country must decide what is best for its people and entirely through a referendum, and not an independent / private organization should dictate to all countries what to do, I think that is very wrong and undemocratic.
.	each country must have freedom to decide their response .... complete autonomy. .. areas within countries must be allowed to opt out... there must be standardised and validated collection of data .... that data to be INDEPENDENTLY analysed and subjected to red team and blue team investigation... including ECONOMIC impacts of any assessment.. people are then FREE to decide how they can respond
US citizen	Each country must maintain its ability to make decisions regarding health and well being as is deemed appropriate by the unique circumstances and needs of that country. To somehow think that one policy or approach instituted worldwide could ever begin to make sense is absurd. Even within our own country it is ridiculous to think that an urban area like NYC should have the same health policies and restrictions as a rural area such as Tipton, IN just makes no sense at all.
private	Each country must retain the ability to make its own decisions with regard to what is best for its own people. Each country and local municipalities must retain the right, without consequence, of opting out of any portion of the agreement. There must be No global agreement that people do not want, i.e. no agreements influenced by pharmaceutical companies or/and global health profiteers. Failsafe measure must be put in place to prevent global health measures that a 'well informed' majority do not want. (i.e. informed by means other via a media indirectly controlled by pharmaceutical profiteers)
Private	Each country must under any circumstances be in the position to make its own decisions and take appropriate response without blackmail or pressure from any other state or organisation.
Medical office Frankfurt	Each country needs a surveillance system to monitor the spread and fatality etc. of a disease, like a meteorological measuring weather stations, to enable forecast and make predictions. Each country should have a board of experts from a broad range of relevant areas of natural science and humanities and society, being able to evaluate each particular pandemic situation accordingly. Each country should have particularly health oriented political decision making structures within its society that are especially designed to react in situations of pandemia. An ethics committee has to accompany and evaluate these political decision making processes in order to take care that these are appropriate and adequate, that basic human rights will not inadequately be restricted or even injured by health care measures. „Audiatur et altera pars" - it has to be taken care that also different opinions and especially deviant standpoints will be heard and respected.
Self	Each country needs its own sovereignty. I say no. #StopTheTreaty
Resident of the uk	Each country needs the right to decide for themselves what they do in a pandemic situation, one size does not fit all.. the treaty is a threat to nations rights to decide what's in their citizens best interests, it threatens a nations sovereignty, the people of the country did not vote for who to control their country. This whole treaty is a danger to democracy.what should NOT be included are any mandates of any description, NO to lockdowns, NO to covid passes or similar and NO to compulsory vaccinations. These are all against a persons bodily autonomy and right to choose. I do NOT consent to the WHO power grab
Physician	Each country needs to be able to set their own standards based on the research they trust. No entity has knowledge that is omniscient or infallible. Separate and different point of view need room to be expressed and thought about. There is no organization that should be what determines policy for all.
SHF	Each country needs to maintain authority for its own people.
Marilyn M Singleton, MD	Each country on this vast planet are different physically, mentally, culturally, and bureaucratically. Each country has a different form of government as well as health care system. Democratic republics such as the United States of America cannot have countries run by a dictator have input or control over our policies. Accordingly, WHO treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. The United States is always willing to lend aid to countries with fewer resources. However, it is against the interest of our nation's residents for the U.S. to sign on to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Family	Each country or regional jurisdiction ought to be able to opt in or opt out with out penalty or reproach to all or any part of any treaty. Any treaty must serve and uphold the fundamental human rights of every living person, respecting their culture, medical and spiritual values; and unequivocally endorse informed consent. Any treaty needs to be transparent and democratic, with full consultation, and full disclosure. Any treaty needs to meet the needs of the majority of people, and not be subject to any influence or interference from corporations, agendas or non-democratic governance. Any invocation of any treaty ought to be by an independent board of decision-makers who have absolutely no conflicts of interest, who are moral and upright, and most importantly who have considerable expertise in any specific disease that the treaty seeks to be invoked for.
US citizen	Each country or territory should retain the ability to make its own decisions regarding the well being of its citizens.
General public	Each country responds differently to viruses as proved many times with the Covid pandemic. Masks & lockdowns have no benefit & cause tremendous harm. There should be no "international preparedness"!!!
Saol Sona Nutrition	Each country retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No discriminatory measures to be included to coerce people into abiding by measures recommended by WHO. Individual rights on bodily autonomy to be considered.
Kirksey	Each country shall govern itself. Each country should stop infringing on the freedom of its citizens. There are proven treatments and natural things to take care of sickness that can be done for this "pandemic". Let people govern their own medical choices. You are governing on false premises, and this must stop. For the love of God.
Citizen	Each country should abide/ follow their own constitution as they know whats best for the well being of their own citizens . Each country can opt out of specific parts of the treaty as they deem necessary. Complete transparency for everyone to see the details of every aspect of the treaty, no "side / backroom deals"
None	Each country should address any public health issue as necessary and to the best of their ability to protect people without the need for lockdowns, untested vaccines and draconian measures. They is NO need for any one organisation to take overall control on any occasion or for any reason. We the people have rights and we will exercise those rights to freedom to choose, the right to earn a living, and the right to socialise with whom we wish. We will NEVER agree to one organisation taking control of our lives.
Individual	Each country should be able to adopt their own response, accept their own challenges and take responsibility for their own fails and wins. WHO should provide a general recommendation for any number of possible scenarios and when a concern arises, they should release a statement of concern with their recommendations. That is it, the power to make decisions should be held within each country to determine for itself what risk/benefit there is to follow the WHO recommendations.
osoba prywatna	Each country should be able to legislate for the health of its citizens in the event of a pandemic. It is unacceptable to give WHO rights that violate the internal laws of states, civil rights and personal inviolability.
Canadian Citizen	Each country should be able to make decisions based on what is the best course for their own people. Each country such be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process of developing this agreement must be open and transparent, allowing all world citizens to vote. There must be failsafe measures to prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of a country's citizens do not want it. There must be no influence by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Norfolk Polonia	Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Citizen UE	Each country should be able to refuse to implement recommendations without aby consequences. All contracts with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers should be public and publicly available (WHO website) Thank you
South African Teachers Association	Each country should be allowed to decide on its own mandate in the event of a pandemic. We are not one global country.We are not a global people. Each individual has a different DNA and RNA, plus different physiological conditions. Therefore there cannot be one medical rule for all people. Not all occupations can operate under the same restricted conditions. There are too many ethnic and intrinsic differences in mankind, developed over the millennia, for us all to become one genus.
N/A	Each country should be allowed to prepare its own pandemic response, independently, free of interference by the WHO. The WHO should have absolutely no jurisdiction over sovereign nations and sovereign beings. It is not a governing body; it is supposedly a "health" organization.
individual	each country should be free to decide their own will lockdowns and face coverings should never be used again vaccines should never be mandatory bodily autonomy is a basic human right and it should stay that way forever the world health organization should be less political and less corrupt and welcome a wider range of experts dissenting outside voices should always be welcomed and listened to the world health organization should be humble and learn from its past mistakes only god can judge us however we decide to live he is the creator of everything we are all made in his image
Living woman	Each country should be independent to make its own choice. The sharing of ideas and advice is always important. However, the final decision should always rest with the leaders within that country, on how best to advise their own people. Therefore, National and local leadership should remain within each country as it sees fit for its living men and women. However all leadership should always allow for freedom of choice and bodily autonomy and remember that they are there purely in an advisory capacity at the will of the people.
Private	Each country should be responsible and make their own decisions, as should that be for each individual. So I vote for NO global regulations on any base. The fear of a pandemic is forced and used by individuals and companies. It has nothing to do with the real situation. Quite the opposite. The vaccination has severe side-effects, which have been known before. Yet it is still forced and advertised as the only solution. Facing these kind of misleading and global panic-creation in the last two and a half years the WHO should seriously think about what they are doing and fir whom they are speaking. Definitely not for health. An international instrument in your hands promises only repression, control over all kinds of life in the name of health and the end of any individual sovereignty. So NO. No regulation, no global rules. Let each person decide and take responsibility. And trust that everyone is capable and able to make that choice.
self	Each country should be responsible for its own pandemic preparedness
Self	Each country should be responsible for pandemic response that is particular to their current situation. I do not feel "one size fits all" type responses are proper as no 2 situations are identical. I feel there is a lack of encouragement and support for individuals to be healthy and take responsibility for their health. If emphasis was put on proactive behavior instead of reactive behavior, health outcomes would be far more positively reflected. I do not believe the current direction of the WHO with an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is appropriate.
Private	Each Country should control any pandemic internally as it sees fit. WHO should be in advisory capacity ONLY!
None	Each country should control how they handle ANY health issues -- We do not belong to a one-world order yet. I strongly believe in FREEDOMS within my Country - USA. And OUR constitution. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FJB inc.	Each country should decide it's own course, WHO proved it has no value with the covid pandemic and useless requirements that didn't work
None	Each country should decide it's own response to any "pandemic" as what suits one country doesn't necessarily suit another. The WHO will have undemocratic authority over sovereign states with this proposal which I don't agree they should have.
-	Vaccines must NEVER be mandatory Lockdowns and masks should NEVER be enforced again Pharmaceutical companies need to accept liability for any adverse reactions caused by vaccines Scrap the 'death for any reason within 28 days of a positive test' and replace with a more tangible and realistic measure. Don't give financial incentives to hospitals for putting Covid (or said new virus) on death certificates Don't pay GPs to administer vaccines Security and safety should NEVER trump liberty Bodily autonomy is a human right. Any pandemic response must NOT be to the detriment of treating severe illness or disease, such as cancer or mental health. Other factors such as economic impact must be considered – the cure should not be worse than the disease. "The Science™" does not exist. Science can and should be questioned. Opposing expert opinions MUST be welcomed and debated. Opposing expert opinions should NOT be silenced, ostracised, humiliated, labeled as disinformation or threatened with professional exile. Honesty and transparency are paramount to any organisation wishing to earn my trust, and I believe most would agree with this sentiment. The WHO has made many mistakes throughout Covid-19.
LDC	Each country should decide its own rules Lockdowns and Masks should NEVER be used again. Vaccines must NEVER be mandatory. Bodily autonomy is a human right. The WHO should be less political and less corrupt, and have a wider range of experts. There is too much groupthink. Dissenting voices should be welcomed and listened to. "The Science" does not exist. The WHO has made many, many errors. The WHO needs to be humble and learn from its many mistakes. Please do not try and control all humanity. We are not sheep. We are individuals with human rights. We want to enjoy our lives. You are not our masters. Thank you.
member of the public	Each country should decide its own rules. Lockdowns and Masks should NEVER be used again. Vaccines must NEVER be mandatory. Bodily autonomy is a human right. The WHO should be less political and less corrupt, and have a wider range of experts. There is too much groupthink. Dissenting voices should be welcomed and listened to. "The Science" does not exist. The WHO has made many, many errors. The WHO needs to be humble and learn from its many mistakes. Please do not try and control all humanity. We are not sheep. We are individuals with human rights. We want to enjoy our lives. You are not our masters. Thank you.
N/a	Each country should decide its own rules. Lockdowns and Masks should NEVER be used again. Vaccines must NEVER be mandatory. Bodily autonomy is a human right. The WHO should be less political and less corrupt, and have a wider range of experts. There is too much groupthink. Dissenting voices should be welcomed and listened to. "The Science" does not exist. The WHO has made many, many errors. The WHO needs to be humble and learn from its many mistakes. Please do not try and control all humanity. We are not sheep. We are individuals with human rights. We want to enjoy our lives. You are not our masters. Thank you.
Home	Each country should decide on its own depending on the specifics of its health status.
Who	Each country should decide their own rules Vaccines must NEVER be mandatory, each vaccine comes with side effects and people should be allowed to decide if the benefit outweighs the risks. As someone who has suffered from the continued effects of a vaccine injury I will never be forced to take another vaccine. Body autonomy is a human right. The who had turned into a political and corrupt organisation and no longer seems to "follow the science" The who have made many errors and have no right to control humanity.
None	Each country should decide what it's best for it's own citizens health and welfare. The WHO should not be a dictator. It should only share information worldwide for the benefit of all. Every human has the right to make their own personal choices concerning their lives, including whether or not to submit to any treatment- experimental or otherwise - pertaining to their health and well-being. The Power to control outcomes for all can be easily corrupted by outside influences such as politics and money. There is no place for this on a global scale. Leave the decisions to each nation individually.
Independant citizen of United States	Each country should determine their own response to any health crisis. Each country is unique and therefore they should be allowed to have their own unique response. This allows for more creativity in deciding courses of action. All countries can see how the others are responding and if those actions might work for them but not be forced upon them.
none	Each country should have absolute control over its internal pandemic reactions, not a global or even regional organization. Strength comes from diversity and the ability of individuals, countries in this case, to address their needs and best interests to suite their own needs. For proof of this look at our covid reactions. We have nations with extremely low covid death rates that have allowed early treatment of covid with inexpensive, readily available drugs. Counter to this approach, we have (primarily western) nations with strong federal health agencies that have essentially made it illegal (at least, highly difficult and painful) to use these same treatments. Instead they have chosen to push new, unproven, and extremely expensive drugs (in order to collect their royalty payments), with no regard to the actual health and well being of their citizens. Do we really want this absurdity to be dictated on a global level? I absolutely DO NOT! Stop this insanity.
Individual	Each country should have autonomy and set individual health policy. It is dangerous to allow for any centralized global health organization such as The WHO to set global health policy and pandemic control. WHO should recommend, but their recommendations should not have any binding control over any country. Any kind of global digital pass or centralized disease managment and control should not be concentrated in one organization or digital data base. Centralization creates a climate for corruption and is very dangerous to the foundations of freedom. Centralization of health policy will breed curruption and give big pharma a breeding ground for control and curruption. Each country has different health issues and population dynamics. A health policy for one country is not the right policy for another. The WHO or any other global health organization should only create recommendations for global health issues, but should never have any binding influence over any country or it's boarders. Leaving global health laws in the hands of any organizaiton such as WHO is the framework for the distruction of democracy and the grounds for curruption.
#stopthetreaty	Each country should have control of their own health policies. Not have to do what WHO say.
US Citizen	Each country should have control over their own people and pandemic response!! No control by WHO! NO!
Individual world citizen	Each country should have full autonomy over their response to a pandemic including the decision whether it is pandemic or not and when to end it. This has to be with the consultation of the citizens of that country. Independent trials and immediate direct emergency care needs to be applied. This needs to be from a variety of modalities to establish which have an optimum outcome. So the response needs to be decentralised so each country can respond in the best and most appropriate way to deliver the best outcomes for their citizens. Under no circumstances should a global health care organisation override a country's self determining autonomy and sovereignty or that of their citizens.
None	Each country should have full autonomy. There should not be a global entity that decides on issues for the whole world. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Public response	Each country should have its independent rights in determining its own health preparedness strategy, as each region has its own unique cultures, beliefs, healthcare, religion and government. There is not a one size fits all preparedness and response as no two countries/cultures are alike. It's absurd to judge the world with one narrow perspective response strategy and such a concept is biased, unfair, which should be considered an infringement to basic human civil rights and a demeaning approach. I strongly oppose this hearing that imposes an international instrument of injustice to humanity.

Organization	Written submission
Public Response	Each country should have its independent rights in determining its own health preparedness strategy, as each region has its own unique cultures, beliefs, healthcare, religion and government. There is not a one size fits all preparedness and response as no two countries/cultures are alike. It's absurd to judge the world with one narrow perspective response strategy and such a concept is biased, unfair, which should be considered an infringement to basic human civil rights and a demeaning approach. I strongly oppose this hearing that imposes an international instrument of injustice to humanity.
Self	Each country should have its own plan for response during a pandemic. We learned in the USA that each state should have its own voice in how the crisis is met. Some states did effective steps and others learned the hard way. There is no need for anything but the most general universal guidelines. Each country must retain sovereignty in these matters.
USA	Each country should have limited rights; one's residency within the country gives the individual the right to make their own decision for health, not government. This is one individual rights as established in the US Constitution, not the government of WHO. NO PANDEMIC TREATY!
None	Each country should have sole sovereignty over their country's pandemic response. In each country, each state should be able to choose how it moves forward and the people of that state should have the power to decide what they choose for their own family. Each person gets their unalienable rights from God, their Creator and not from their government. There should never be a global power that supersedes each sovereign nation or state, in its decisions on any matter. Thank you for your time.
n/a	Each country should have the right to make its own decisions and should not be made to give this up i.e. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers or others with conflicting interests.
NA	Each country should have their own policies. We are all different in each way. We are not one world.
University of Westminster	Each country should hold full autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should be with the consultation of the citizens of those countries. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In no circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign countries and their citizens. This is overstepping their mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who should and must remain a-political.
Self employed	Each country should hold full autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should be with the consultation of the citizens of those countries. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In no circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign countries and their citizens. This is overstepping their mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who should and must remain a-political.
Shruti's	Each country should hold full autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should be with the consultation of the citizens of those countries. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In no circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign countries and their citizens. This is overstepping their mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who should and must remain a-political.
Humanity	Each country should hold full autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should be with the consultation of the citizens of those countries. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In no circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign countries and their citizens. This is overstepping their mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who should and must remain a-political.
Free man	Each country should hold full autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should be with the consultation of the citizens of those countries. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In no circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign countries and their citizens. This is overstepping their mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who should and must remain a-political.
none	Each country should individually decide how to go about epidemics. This is absolutely unacceptable that an organisation - such as WHO - with abominable conflicts of interest, run in fact by pharmaceutical corporations, wishes to decide how everyone in the world should take care of their own health. WHO's proposals are ridiculous and there is no reason why anyone should agree to what is being suggested. It it not up to WHO to change definitions of what a pandemic is. WHO should not and cannot decide what an individual should do, how people should behave in case of an infection. Guidelines are ok and this is the end of WHO's power. No corrupt organisation working on false, made up science, will decide what people should put in their bodies. WHO, as a destructive organisation, should be terminated, not given more power.
The Home Church	Each country should maintain its own sovereignty, and each person should always be allowed to make their own personal health decisions for themselves and their families. It is not the right or responsibility of the WHO or any international organization to make those sweeping judgements for anyone. Individuals worldwide have the right and responsibility to take in all available truthful information to make decisions for their own health and assess their own risks.
None.Singular	Each country should make their own decisions based on each and specific health matters for their own citizens and they should be able to opt or not for any of Who 's decisions and free from any consequences.
Liz Cobb Therapy	Each country should remain independent in the way it chooses to respond to health crises. There should be no global override of individual laws which must remain in the hands of citizens and their elected governments.
Private citizen	Each country should retain its full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Within that individuals should have bodily automy and freedom to chose what is right for them. It should be void of conflict of interest and the ability for pharmaceutical and other global health bodies to be able to profiteer. One size does not fit all and each country should be able to respond accordingly.
None	Each country should retain its sovereign right to apply whatever measures to be taken according to the wish of its people.
I do not represent any organization	Each country that partners with the WHO should be able to decide what is best for their citizens within the guidelines of a developed pandemic protocol. No country's or individual family's health decisions should be totally taken out of their hands. Each individual's body reacts differently to infection as well as treatment, one size does not fit all. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." This should be uppermost in the minds of all involved when it comes to a decision about a treaty.
General Public.	Each country to retain full autonomy and the right to decide what is best for it's people; Each country has the option to opt out in full or in part of the agreement without any consequences; Safeguards to be in place for protection against any outside influences that would profit from agreement, policy or practices implemented such as pharmaceutical companies, foundations or patent owners; An international independent review panel to address grievances and other matters relating to the agreement, policy or practices with authority to implement changes and amendments.
none	Each country will have the LIBERTY to perform what is best for their nation. Without the need of external "guidelines". Because last time I checked that did not go well and is still not going well. So just leave each country alone. We know is not about health, stop getting rich with our tax money.
Member of public	Each country, and local directorates there of, should decide what is best for their own people. Each country needs the power to opt in or out as they see fit, with no consequences if they decide to opt out. Any global agreement should be open and transparent, with the opportunity for all people of the world to vote on it, and include failsafe measures to prevent it's application where the majority of people have voted against it. It should be free of influence from any and all pharmaceutical companies and any other organisations that profit from such agreements.
N/A	Each country, down to the state level, should have control to make its own decisions regarding what is best for its people. For that matter, each individual person should have the right to choose what is best for their own health and the health of their family. No government or governing body should force or require specific treatment or restrict access to health care. Nor should they impose seclusion or lockdowns in response to pandemics or widespread disease. No forms of medicine should be denied or restricted by governments for the research of possible treatments of disease. Any such medicines or treatment regime should be shared for the good of the people to cure the illness. No research should be conducted on diseases that would change, enhance, worsen the disease, known as "gain of function". Reporting factual data with clear descriptions to not inflate numbers and not tie any monetary means for funding research or recovery based on those numbers, but based on actual population of people.
None	Each country, each community, and each individual are able to opt out of any part of this agreement, without consequence, at any time, for any reason. Pharmaceutical companies and other global profiteers shall be restricted from influencing or participating due to their conflicts of interest.
Self	Each country, region, province, state, municipality should be able to modify or reject any ruling, provision, or guideline of this international instrument based on their own individual situation and should be able to use another approach without penalty or reproach. Each region must still maintain territorial autonomy even regarding a worldwide pandemic response.
N/A	Each country/government must be allowed to develop their own response to future pandemics. WHO must not be allowed to make global decisions.
United States Citizen	Each country/nation/local community needs to retain autonomy to be able to do what is best for their citizens. Any participants who contribute in the planning and by providing products should not be able to profit at all and should be liable for any of their products that are used. Withdrawal from this treaty should be allowed at any time without penalty or consequence. I believe that the idea of a WHO sponsored pandemic plan is a terrible idea. Individuality and innovation of each nation is needed to conquer a pandemic. One size does not fit all.
retired	Each country's autonomy has to be preserved. Elected representatives of each country should have final approval of all health response measures, not the unelected bureaucrats of WHO. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NIMH	Each democratic country must preserve the right to make its own decisions regarding public health. Public health decisions should be subject to voting, transparency and freedom of corporate or pharmaceutical financial interests. People should be allowed to make informed choices when it comes to their bodies and health.
Self	Each individual around the world should have the right to decide health decisions for themselves and their family. No government or organization should have that right. We are born free in the imagine of the almighty god Jehovah, and we should be allowed to live free with freedom of choice
General public	Each individual country must have autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for its own people and the proposed WHO "instrument" should not be able to override that. Any organisation that exists to make a profit must be excluded from voting on any global pandemic response or actions
Individual	Each individual living on planet earth is an individual capable of making their own decisions. We don't need any organization to do that for us. We are sovereign beings who need our individual freedoms respected. You have no right to rule over us or make decisions for us. God is the only one we need to answer to. I will never comply or submit to any evil force such as your organization that tries to take away my God given rights to freedom and sovereignty.
Health Care	Each individual man or woman should have the right to make their own personal health choices. It shouldn ot be for an all-controlling organisation to make such decisions on their behalf. Each man and woman has the sovereign right to their own bodily integrity and to maintain their ownership over it.
None	Each individual must have the free choice to accept or reject any medical or non-medical treatment or implant for any medical or non-medical condition without any social, economic or other consequences arising from the individual's free decision. No person, organization, company, government or other party shall have the right to mandate any intervention in the individual's body to treat or prevent any form of disease, injury, handicap or other condition. Each individual is responsible for its own health, safety, education, work, relationships and so on and no other person, organization, company, government or other party shall have the right to intervene in the individuals live choices as long as these choices are not against local/national law and order.
Sorted For Life	Each individual nation is to be able to make its own decisions based on their own circumstances. Control by any authority ie WHO over independent sovereign nations goes beyond their mandate and should NEVER be allowed.
General public	Each individual person is born with the right to bodily autonomy and should not be mandated by any organization, government, region, municipality or person to undergo any medical procedure they do not agree with. Locking down healthy people and forcing gene therapy vaccines on society as a whole did not stop the spread of Covid, how can those measures be expected to stop the spread of any virus of the like in the future? Informed consent is the key and when for profit organizations are involved in mandating medical procedures while also profiting from them, while they hide important information (annihilating informed consent) and lie about trial results (absolute evil), they are committing crimes against humanity and all involved should be brought to justice. Freedom of choice is now the most important choice one can make.
self	Each individual shall be guaranteed their full bodily autonomy and autonomy of their children's bodies as to reserve the right of refusal of any bodily invasive procedure, as in penetrating the skin or any bodily orifice. Nations and local municipalities must be free to opt out of any and all portions of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as they choose, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Poland	Each individual should have a Freedom of choice how s/he (her/himself and their children) prepares and respondes to any virus or disease. Stop forcing Your rules on people!!!
Service (construction company)	Each individual should have freedom of choice for medical treatment. There are alternative treatments for every sickness. Nobody should be forced for testing or treatment. Don't panic when you "think" there is "Pandemic". If Authorities want to help, let them set up clinics to diagnose and treat. When people are really suffering, they will come for treatment.
Citizen of Earth	Each individual state must keep right to opt out of the agreement in parts or in full. Each decision that impact Humans their dignity and personal freedom, should be put on democratic vote via public blockchain voting and must be fully transparent. If majority of people in specific state vote against something then it should not be implemented in this particular state. Local and national leadership knows the best what is good for local people and should keep autonomy over making the final decisions. Pharmaceutical and other companies that profit from implementation of specific measure put in place must not be allowed to influence any part of the process. This agreement must not supersede constitution of any individual state and their national laws. Each state is unique and there is no such thing as one size fits all. Definitions of any kind that are used in this agreement, e.g. pandemic must be fixed and immutable before any treatment is accepted. Further modification of these definitions must be approved with the 3/4 majority of all states that have signed the agreement. Thank you for your time
No	Each individual state must keep right to opt out of the agreement in parts or in full. Each decision that impact Humans their dignity and personal freedom, should be put on democratic vote via public blockchain voting and must be fully transparent. If majority of people in specific state vote against something then it should not be implemented in this particular state. Local and national leadership knows the best what is good for local people and should keep autonomy over making the final decisions. Pharmaceutical and other companies that profit from implementation of specific measure put in place must not be allowed to influence any part of the process. This agreement must not supersede constitution of any individual state and their national laws. Each state is unique and there is no such thing as one size fits all. Vaccines are not safe enough.
ConsumCoalitioners Health Freedom	Each member nation must have the right to determine its own health policies in response to any disease threat. The WHO may be a helpful provider of information found medically useful to members of WHO. WHO can be a valuable clearinghouse of medical/scientific information The lessons of the Covid 19 pandemic have shown that there are limits on high technology pharmaceutical remedies such as vaccines and patented drugs. WHO should prioritize the use of established drugs and basic more natural products which enhance the human immune system without doing harm to a subset of the population who experience serious adverse events. A thorough review of medical/scientific literature has shown Vitamin D to be highly effective at preventing covid 19 and Calcifidiol, an analog of vitamin D has been shown to cure covid 19 in hospitalized patients. see https://www.ncbi.nlm.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7456194 and J Clin Endocrinology & Metabolism, 2021, 106(10) e4017 The WHO should be promoting sustainable organic agriculture rebuilding soil and controlling toxic emissions of cancer causing chemicals. Genetically Modified Crops should not be promoted and nations should be able to limit and regulate any of these adulterations or modifications of the food supply. The WHO should consider the increasingly powerful Electromagnetic Fields being used in the proliferation of wireless communications . Wireless transmission is a an inferior technology to the healthier, secure fiberoptic cable system. See ehtrust.org/science. .
Concerned USA citizen	Each nation and local government are to have full right without penalty to opt out of any and all mandates and etc. You bow to money and big pharm companies- they are NOT to make our decisions - period Do the right thing - you did a terrible job with covid - no one trusts you - do what is right now !
US DOD	Each nation has sovereignty and can choose what is best for their individual nation without consequence. Heath agencies are ineligible for mandating actions due to profit conflict of interest. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, again ensuring the people in every nation have a legitimate voice and choice. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Bodily autonomy shall not be superseded by any mandate, law, or policy. Every human has the right to bodily autonomy.
None	Each nation is sovereign so must make their own plans with data available. One agency calling the shots is a very bad idea. Include all the great minds and work together.
Exxon	Each nation knows the best what are strengths and weaknesses of its own helth system so it should never be centralised as there are massive differenced eg between Uganda's and USA' health systems
General public	Each nation must be able to respond based on their own standing laws and not required to follow any "international" law set forth by this potential instrument. As every country is unique in its culture, government, genetic disposition, and location, this is absolutely vital to ensure that each nation is able to respond in a manner appropriate to its country and citizens. No instrument should create a legal obligation for any nation to comply with guidance given by it. This would create a situation of great ethical concern. Every nation must be able to maintain autonomy from the instrument. Thank you.
Illinois Vaccine Awareness Coalition	Each nation must maintain its independence to follow WHO's recommendations or reject them. Similarly, citizens of nations must have the rights to decide how they will keep themselves healthy. We have seen with Covid-19, many recommendations such vaccines, were more harmful than the disease.
NGA URI O RAIHA RAUA KO HONE	EACH NATION MUST MAINTAIN ITS OWN AUTONOMY, SOVEREIGNTY AND INALIENABLE RIGHTS. NATIONAL AND LOCAL LEADERSHIP MUST RETAIN ITS FULL AUTONOMY, RESERVING THE RIGHT ALWAYS TO MAKE DECISIONS BASED ON WHAT IS BEST FOR THEIR OWN PEOPLE. THIS INCLUDES THE ABILITY OF NATIONS AND LOCAL MUNICIPALITIES TO OPT OUT OF ANY AND ALL PORTIONS OF THE AGREEMENT AS THEY SEE FIT WITHOUT CONSEQUENCE. THERE IS NO ONE-SIZE-FITS-ALL IN PUBLIC HEALTH. THERE MUST ALWAYS BE THE RIGHT FOR LOCAL EXPRESSIONS OF THIS THROUGH INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS TO PURSUE AND PROTECT THEIR HEALTH THROUGH USING THEIR OWN CULTURAL WELLBEING MEASURES THEIR OWN EPISTEMOLOGIES. THERE MUST BE OPEN AND TRANSPARENT PROCESSES WITH THE ABILITY FOR ALL PEOPLE OF THE WORLD TO VOTE ON INCLUDING FAILSAFE MEASURES THAT WILL PREVENT THE APPLICATION OF THE GLOBAL AGREEMENT IN PLACES WHERE A MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE DO NOT WANT IT. THERE MUST BE MEASURES IN PLACE THAT DO NOT ALLOW FOR INFLUENCE IN THE PROCESS BY ANY AND ALL PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES OR OTHER GLOBAL HEALTH PROFITEERS. THAT ANY PARTICIPATION BY THESE PARTIES ARE IDENTIFIABLE AND TRANSPARENT (INCLUDING RESEARCH/SCHOLARSHIP FUNDING).
Citizen USA	Each nation must retain full sovereignty according to its own laws. Each person must retain or regain full bodily sovereignty on treatment decisions.
patient advocacy Rockford Michigan	Each nation must retain its independent decision-making capability and be able to accept or reject any portion of the guidance from the World Health Organization or other controlling authority regarding pandemic responses.
Citizen	Each nation needs to retain the right to autonomy over its own people. Each local entity should retain the right to opt out of any health measures that they don't agree with. Each country needs to retain the same opt out provisions. There needs to be transparency in ALL aspects of any decisions to be made with provisions for all people to opt out of any global agreement that they do not want. Measures need to be taken so that Pharmaceutical companies or others who would profit cannot be able to influence the decisions or processes.
Self represented	Each nation needs to retain the sovereignty to manage any and all health matters without due influence, coercion or under direction from groups or people outside it's borders, especially those who were not elected by the people of the nation concerned. We have never authorised our politicians to make deals or sign treaties of this type. It's undemocratic and unsafe. The UN and the WHO needs to be dismantled.
Registered nurse	Each nation should be able to retain full autonomy in their country. No countries are a one size fits all and should be kept separate. Each nation should be allowed to participate as they so choose and retain full autonomy. Everything in this process should be fully transparent and open to all citizens of every country with the ability to opt in or out for any parts they so choose. Pharmaceutical or global health providers should not have any part of this process.
Personal	Each nation should be free to do what is decided by their people & the peoples leaders. Our nations sovereignty and that of its people is of upmost importance. A global approach is not the solution and kills the autonomy of each country. It is not acceptable to have members of the council who also financially back the council. This is counterproductive. No one who is a member of the council should stand to make a financial gain from their products in the case of a pandemic. Transparency is crucial.
Olivewood Holdings (Pty) Ltd	Each nation should have autonomy over its own health systems. Every nation is unique and diverse in so many aspects: whether cultural, geographical, economic or its climate. With that in mind, there is no one size fits all for any nation, and each nation must retain its right to independence and sovereignty. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and is in direct conflict with inalienable rights of each nation and the individuals who make up those nations. The WHO can be useful in its capacity for gathering data and distributing facts as they become available from each country. The WHO cannot and should not have any say in any form as to how a country should be run. Each country has its own individual elected governments and the WHO cannot have any influence or authority on these elected bodies.
CJM	Each Nation should have control and autonomy to deal locally with a Pandemic repose and not be told what and how to respond by the WHO. Each Nation knows what needs to happen to several their country and people best.
None	Each nation should have sole discretion on how to handle public health in their own territory. Global health policies and mandates do not take into account the cultural diversity of the people on this planet. To give one organization the power to mandate public health policies would take away the freedom for the people of the world to express their diverse cultures in the way that is fitting for them. WHO having the ability to control public health guidelines and policies would not allow for equity to exist, and would bring further inequity and discrimination against certain groups.
N/A	Each nation should have the power to make their own decisions even during emergencies. The situation during an emergency in the US may be different than that in Australia or Africa. It is not good to create a one size meets all situation during an emergency when every nation could be facing a different scenario. Also, one organization should not take away the freedom of a nation during an emergency. There's too much risk of a power grab, and the citizens do not want this. Each nations government should be tasked with the emergency and how to handle it on their own land. It's not a task for WHO to take on.
US citizen and public school teacher	Each nation should have the right to do what is best for their own citizens, abiding by that individual nation's laws and constitutions. I, and millions of people, say no to an international, Universal instrument. Thank you
Retired	Each nation should not be required to enter an international agreement for pandemic relief. Participation should be optional.
personal	Each nation should not be under the leadership of the WHO. Full autonomy should be given to national and local leaders. Each nation should reserve the right to make decisions for the citizen of that nation. It is apparent that that people need to be able to vote for a transparent process and be able to opt out of any recommendations that they see fit without reprisal. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	Each nation should retain its own preparedness measures and not be subject to comply with any WHO treaties that remove power of the US or any nation. Treaties that override the US policies are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO should not have power over any health decisions and the US should not agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty that would give the WHO such authority. The WHO's purpose and mission do not align with the granting of such authority nor should they.
None	Each nation should retain the authority to apply whatever measures suited to their people in accordance to the wish of its people.
CCnomandates	Each nation state and local government should be able to decide for themselves what, if any, WHO guidelines they will follow. This allows each area to respond to conditions that affect that population. If there is an international response protocol, it should be available but not mandatory. Individual health freedoms are essential for a democratic society. Effective early treatment to avoid hospitalization should be made available at no or very low cost, as it was in India and some S. American countries. Any vaccination program must be optional. Where there is risk there must be choice.
None	Each participating country has SOVEREIGNTY over their own decisions regarding pandemics and medical strategies to healing. There are literally millions of talented doctors and scientists around the world and one organization cannot possibly draw on the wisdom and ingenuity of that many people when there is an emergency. Necessity is the mother of invention! There is no one size fits all decision that any organization should have the ability to enforce for the world. WHO has no right to usurp individual nation members elected officials and make any decisions for any country. WHO is not an elected body and as such it may make suggestions, that's it! WHO should also have no rights to call a pandemic! Period! The world is a very large place and our country was shut down on your recommendations when we had 2 cases in our entire province! None of your modeling and death predictions were correct! As was clearly demonstrated in the covid pandemic, vaccines are NOT THE ONLY OPTION. MASKS do not work. Lockdowns cause nothing but harm. Many brilliant doctors worldwide have developed much more effective cures and strategies than the vaccines. The covid death rate could have been reduced by 85% if Dialogue on varying treatments would not have been interfered with. WHO is wrongly trying to take power from sovereign countries that it should not have!
self	Each participating country having a democratic government must alter its' constitution to allow their citizens to be governed by this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
unaffiliated	Each person and nation should decide autonomously how to react to a possible epidemiological threat. Any measures should be suitable to conscience and health condition (individuals) and capability of the health service and the state of the economy and constitutional law (nations). The rule of absolute independence should be included in any instrument of pandemic preparedness and response.
None	Each person can decide by herself without fear of punishment to take vaccination or drugs or not; only longterm tested and approved medication allowed to be used; guarantee of sovereignty of each country and individual person; follow Nuremberg Codex; legal liability of government + representative of government + pharmaceutical industry is a must(!); no enctoachment on fundamental rights
BENEFICIAL WATER	Each person each family each town each county each region and each county has a right given to them to control what they eat .. how they live their life! .. who they trust respect and follow. What medical treatment if any they choose to use ...and no one global organisation has the autonomy to tell that single person or country how to use their health system to control any disease.. it is unethical , disrespectful of religions and or belief systems and a breach of our human rights as human beings living on our planet I disagree completely with this kind of treaty. The past two years have seen how this kind of management is a global failure. No consultation no discussions and failure to stop something that has been more harmful and greater risk to health than the issue. Stop the Treaty process.
None	Each person gets the right to choose what they want to put on or in thier own bodies. That choice, that human right, does not end when a pandemic is declared. We maintain all our body and medical autonomy. No governments shall ever control our bodies, now our god- given freedoms.

Organization	Written submission
None	Each person has the right to make their own medical choice. Informed consent is the basis for modern medical ethics. The genius of the USA constitution is the recognition that our rights come from the God who made us. The state or multi-state organizations cannot take these rights from us. They were with us before the state was founded and will be with us after the state comes to an end. Ultimately, you have to give people the right to make their own decision especially when it comes to experimental therapies or treatments.
Little Flower of Jesus High School	Each person is unique and needs different type of medication as per his need. There are different systems of medicine that I have a choice to use for myself. No medicine should be forced on me against my wishes. No medicine should be used without trying on the people of that particular region and lifestyle. No medicine should be used on people who are suffering from sickness without a trial on that type of sicknesses. No politician should signed any medical document on my behalf which I don't approve. I should have the full right to choose or refuse any medical test, medicine or system.
None	Each person must be given the freedom to exercise their own choice for their health. It must not be imposed on them. In case of whatever affects his health, he must be able to choose what he deems is best for his ailments. Others may suggest alternatives but never ever to impose, enforce, coerced or administered without the person's willingness to do so. Both parties must be in good faith and respect one's decisions.
Central Mountain for Himalayan Medical Help	Each person must have the full right to their bodies and to their health decisions. Each country has its own values and priorities, it is NOT for an international body to decide. Each person must have full and complete power over their own health care. Monitoring by any organization, particularly a global one such as WHO, is OUT OF THE QUESTION.
None	Each person should be able to make there own choices . With there health the wealth and time. We don't need the Gov to make decisions for Us
Public	Each person should have freedom of choice in response to vaccination. It is proven now that vaccines can save lives, but not without a harm. Lockdowns don't prevent deaths, they only delay for certain time. Mental health is as important as somebody's life. At the moment we see consequences of young generation suffering from mental and other illnesses due to lockdowns and mandates, but most vulnerable people are still dying.
None	Each region and country should be free to determine its own response to any public health crisis rather than a global one size fits all approach Further more this should be done by including the people in a way that is transparent and in keeping with human rights and does not unduly discriminate against people on any grounds.
U.S. Citizen	Each sovereign citizen bears responsibility for their own body and health. The World Health Organization (WHO), nor any of its subsidiaries, nor any national government, nor any local government, shall dictate to the sovereign individual what actions the individual is to take during a 'pandemic.' Any treaty entered in to by the WHO and any governmental entity will be disregarded by the sovereign citizen, and considered null and void. The WHO has no jurisdiction over citizens of the United States of America. There is no reason for any sovereign citizen of the United States of America to lend any credence to the notion that the WHO is relevant to them in any shape, form, or fashion. If the WHO chooses to engage in science, rather than politics, and makes recommendations, rather than issues edicts, then, and only then, might the WHO be considered one of many available sources of information worthy of consideration in the event of a pandemic. The reality is, the United States of America, and its sovereign citizens, do not need the WHO. The WHO needs them.
Individual	Each sovereign country and region within that country must retain the right to full autonomy on decision making regarding health and the response to any new and emerging diseases based on what is best for the people of that country and with serious consideration to the economic and social impact of any measures proposed. Each nation must have the ability to opt out of and part of the proposed agreement that they choose not to accept or adopt without fear or consequence The WHO must guarantee an open and transparent process and enable all nations to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of any global measures being implemented where the vast majority of the people within that country do not want to be implemented. All measures considered must not allow for any influence by the pharmaceutical industry and any other companies that will stand to make a profit from those measures. There must be no conflict of interest Nations who donate to the who must not use their economic influence to determine the measures proposed. All measures proposed must be risk assessed and have a full and transparent assessment undertaken and published taking into the potential harms of any proposal made.
Together Declaration - United Kingdom	Each Sovereign country MUST hold full ABSOLUTE autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic (and indeed all its Healthcare), including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This MUST be with the consultation of the citizens of each country. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In NO circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign countries and their citizens. The WHO is overstepping its' mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who should and MUST remain TOTALLY a-political.
Mentoring 4 Success (U.K.) Limited	Each Sovereign country MUST hold full ABSOLUTE autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should be with the consultation of the citizens of those countries. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In no circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign countries and their citizens. The WHO is overstepping its' mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who MUST remain a-political.
Mentoring 4Success (UK) Limited	Each Sovereign country MUST hold full ABSOLUTE autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should be with the consultation of the citizens of those countries. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In no circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign countries and their citizens. The WHO is overstepping its' mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who MUST remain a-political.
Ample Power	Each Sovereign country MUST hold full ABSOLUTE autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should be with the consultation of the citizens of those countries. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In no circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign countries and their citizens. The WHO is overstepping its' mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who MUST remain a-political.
Bush and Bundu	Each Sovereign country MUST hold full ABSOLUTE autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should be with the consultation of the citizens of those countries. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In no circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign countries and their citizens. The WHO is overstepping its' mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who MUST remain a-political.
Private citizen	Each sovereign government should deal with any further pandemic as it sees fit utilising pre 2019 pre pandemic plans not copying CCP draconian rules
Private individual	Each sovereign nation must hold full and absolute autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should be with the consultation of the citizens of those countries. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In no circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign nations and their citizens. The WHO is overstepping its' mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who must remain a-political.
N/a	Each sovereign nation should be able to decode their own pandemic response. There should be no overarching treaty that stops them doing this. Each nation will have deferent levels of funding etc that will also mean that no two responses are the same
Sovereign Individual	Each sovereign nation should be allowed to address health in a manner which best fits their population. No sovereign nation should be dependent on a global response to problems within its established borders. Only, and I mean ONLY, if a sovereign nation REQUESTS assistance, should a nation receive assistance. Regards, Sovereign citizen of the sovereign, United States of America
N/A	Each sovereign nation should be free to make their own decisions regarding the health and safety of its own citizens based on the needs of its own people,not based on what the WHO decides is appropriate. During the Covid pandemic, there were varying infection rates in countries throughout the world at different times. A set of rules to be followed by all nations at the same time makes little sense in situations where infection rates may be different in different places. Let each nation determine which course of action is appropriate for their own people at any given time.
HUMANITY	EACH SOVEREIGN WILL HAVE THE RIGHT TO CHOOSE THE PATH OF NONE MEDICAL INTERVENTION AND LET GODS WILL BE DONE.THANKYOU...WITHOUT ILL WILL OR FRIVOLITY. PEACE...SPARTACUS
Citizen of Germany	Each state and country must keep sovereignty and be able to decide themselves Human rights must be kept Pharmaceutical industry must by law be responsible for their products and the side effects they bring along Also politicians must by law be made responsible if something goes wrong
Citizen	each state knows best how to respond in the event of a disease threat, and the WHO has donors whose business interests conflict with those of health. WHO could be set up only if the organization was completely INDEPENDENT of the private interests of donors. In this crisis, we have seen that the global response has not reached any, let alone the best solution. The WHO cannot set universal rules because they do not work.
US citizen	Each state, nation, region, etc must retain full autonomy and reserve the absolute right to make decisions based on the best interest of it's people. There is no one size fits all answer even in a pandemic situation. Every nation and local municipality must be afforded the opportunity to opt out of any portion of the agreement as they see fit for their area and it's people and without consequence. There must be an open and highly transparent process that can be challenged, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, especially to include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. Majority must rule. Measures must address any conflict of interest and under no circumstance should decisions be made regarding the agreements contents by anyone who may profit from said agreements. Measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Day Designs	Early treatment and natural solutions should be the first priority. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	Early treatment is imperative along with proven drugs like ivermectin and hydroquinone, concentrate on at risk patients and the elderly, wholesale distribution of vital vitamins and immunity boosting supplements.
Individual	Early treatment should be the first focus. Vaccines are not effective, nor lockdowns. Early treatment means protecting the most vulnerable when they need it and not applying blanket policies for the general population. Other sections of the population should be able to freely go about their business. Why were drugs like Ivermectine discarded form the inventory of treatments available to combat the virus? The rush to use newly prepared drugs should be considered the last resort. Individual freedoms should be respected and no general measure should overwrite them. Electronic passports and track and trace systems have proven to be not effective and poorly executed, on top of lending themselves for corruption and cronyism. A broader panel of experts should be consulted than the approach used for Covid 19, where thousands of scientists experience and input were not taking into account in the process of preparing a response.
EcoHealth Alliance	EcoHealth Alliance wishes to raise three key considerations: 1) Pandemics rarely start from public health and medical sectors alone. Most are caused by zoonotic viruses originating in wildlife and domestic animals. Prevention must address environmental drivers and human actions in other sectors, i.e. extractive industries, land conversion, agriculture, trade, and travel. Thus, an international agreement needs to elevate the topic across the United Nations or beyond for genuine engagement of all sectors. If the Treaty is kept under the WHO's mandate and expertise, the INB will need to clarify the bounds of the envisioned Treaty, to clearly identify where other action is led by other UN agencies and international bodies. 2) Pandemics and their impacts are not limited to human populations. As we see with COVID-19, transmission from and to animals has led to the evolution of new variants and new animal reservoirs, reducing our ability to contain the pandemic. Public health system strengthening alone is not adequate to protect other species and ecosystem health. This broader scope is consistent with the more inclusive definition of One Health endorsed by the four Quadripartite organizations and should be reflected in any potential Instrument. 3) While broad goals and targets will help promote collective action, it should not replace individual action by countries and communities to reduce risk in the immediate term. Uptake of practical tools is urgently needed, such as integrated health, environmental and social impact assessments for development projects and safeguard policies that include attention to emerging disease risks.
EcoHealth Alliance	EcoHealth Alliance wishes to raise three key considerations: 1) Pandemics rarely start from public health and medical sectors alone. Most are caused by zoonotic viruses originating in wildlife and domestic animals. Prevention must address environmental drivers and human actions in other sectors, i.e. extractive industries, land conversion, agriculture, trade, and travel. Thus, an international agreement needs to elevate the topic across the United Nations or beyond for genuine engagement of all sectors. If the Treaty is kept under the WHO's mandate and expertise, the INB will need to clarify the bounds of the envisioned Treaty, to clearly identify where other action is led by other UN agencies and international bodies. 2) Pandemics and their impacts are not limited to human populations. As we see with COVID-19, transmission from and to animals has led to the evolution of new variants and new animal reservoirs, reducing our ability to contain the pandemic. Public health system strengthening alone is not adequate to protect people, other species and ecosystem health. This broader scope is consistent with the more inclusive definition of One Health endorsed by the four Quadripartite organizations and should be reflected in any potential Instrument. 3) While broad goals and targets will help promote collective action, it should not replace individual action by countries and communities to reduce risk in the immediate term. Uptake of practical tools is urgently needed, such as integrated health, environmental and social impact assessments for development projects and safeguard policies that include attention to emerging disease risks.
Mental Health Therapist	Education on the risks and what individuals and businesses can do to protect themselves should be the focus and then allowing them to take responsibility for their own choices. Except in public places maybe like public transit and hospitals where stricter health measures could be enforced. Ultimately, what failed this time, was trying to force people to do things that didn't make sense. Some people are more willing to take risks than others and not everyone felt there was high danger. People need to know the risks and what the recommendations are, and then they can proceed accordingly, making their own health choices. Trying to force anyone to do anything is never going to be a successful tactic and it will backfire. There should also be more open sharing between medical professionals so that they can communicate about various treatment outcomes. This was a top down approach where there was only one or two options that weren't working well while others had found success in treating using different methods. The censorship and silencing of diversity in voices was an epic fail. Take out pharmaceutical influence and lobbying.
TCA	Effective health measures must be based on health freedom, respect for individual choice, the rights of parents, and informed consent. These components are essential to ensure broad popular support of public health. Thank you.
Berkeley asset management	Efforts should be made to help shield the most vulnerable, whilst ensuring families, the young & healthy can go about their lives as close to normal as possible. Intl borders should be closed immediately to retard the spread. Lockdowns & facemasks clearly do more harm than good (as no evidence any good exists). Borders should remain closed for as short as time as possible ie when repurposed drugs have tested for effectiveness. Vaccine use should be limited to the most vulnerable. Immune system boosting via vitamins , diet & exercise should be promoted.
Ohne	Eigene Entscheidungsfreiheit, normales Leben zurück ohne Einschränkungen und Diskriminierung. Das braucht die Welt. Corona ist einfach nur eine Grippeform und jeder sollte selbst entscheiden wie er damit umgeht. Ohne dass dieser dann angegangen wird. Es herrscht immer noch Meinungsfreiheit. Diese Panikmache ist korrupt und muss aufhören
None	Eigenständigkeit von Person und Staat muss erhalten bleiben, egal welche medizinischen Vorschläge die who vorgibt. Reise Beschränkung oder Freiheit der Person in Abhängigkeit von Impfstatus und/oder digitaler persönliche Gesundheits Daten enthaltene Identitätsnachweise darf nicht erfolgen, dies ist Grund und Vorgabe von Datenschutz und den Inhalten der demokratischen Verfassungen und derGrund-Gesetze zur Einhaltung der persönlichen also individuellen Menschenrechte. Der Begriff Krankheit muss explizit in Pandemien in Falle von persönlichen Beschränkungen ausschließlich symptomatisch geprägt sein.
Privatperson	Eigentlich wäre es eine gute Sache, wenn die Staaten der Welt sich für die Gesundheit der Menschen zusammentun. Jedoch zeigt sich zunehmend ein Missbrauch solcher globalen Zusammenschlüsse. Ich sehe hier die Selbstbestimmung der Mitgliedstaaten im hohen Maße in Gefahr. Es muss garantiert werden, dass die Souveränität eines jeden Staates und jedes einzelnen Menschen gewahrt bleibt. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte sowie die Würde aller Menschen müssen stets eingehalten werden. Weiterhin muss eine gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten wie auch Pharmaunternehmen festgelegt bzw. definiert werden. Es kann nicht sein, dass die Verantwortung auf die Bevölkerung heruntergebrochen wird, die ohnehin die Konsequenzen für alle Maßnahmen zu ertragen hat. Ich persönlich bin gegen einen Pandemievertrag.
Privatmensch	Eigenverantwortlichkeit der einzelnen Staaten / Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit / Grund- und Freiheitsrechte der Menschen / Lobbykontrolle und Offenlegung für alle Politiker / Breite Diskussion über solche schwerwiegenden Themen und Entscheidungen von Fachleuten aus aller Welt, die von den Menschen der Länder ausgewählt werden / Angebot von "herkömmlichen" Impfprodukten statt oder zusätzlich zur Gentherapie / KEIN GESUNDHEITSPASS für alle!
Privat	Ein Abkommen für die Menschen beinhalten sollte - die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl. Dies ist in Ihrem Abkommen nicht enthalten. Darum widerspreche ich Ihrem gewünschten Abkommen ausdrücklich!!!
Keine	Ein Abkommen für die Menschen sollte beinhalten :Säulen des Naturechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie ,Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl .
KEINE	Ein Abkommen für die Menschen sollte beinhalten: - Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl. Und wenn sie nicht auf uns oder ihre Geldgeber hören, können wir dafür sorgen, dass unsere Regierungen den Menschen, denen sie dienen, gegenüber loyal bleiben.
Privat	Ein Abkommen für die Menschen sollte beinhalten: Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl. Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar.
Private	Ein Abkommen für die Menschen sollte beinhalten: Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte sowie individuelle Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl für die Bürger. Gewählte Regierungen müssen den Menschen, denen sie dienen, ihrem Volk, gegenüber loyal bleiben. Nationale Regierungen sollen in der höchsten internationalen Verantwortung gegenüber dem Souverän, dem Volk bleiben. Supranationale Organisationen dürfen diese Macht nicht haben. Auch die WHO nicht.
Privat	Ein Abkommen für die Menschen sollte folgende Leitprinzipien beinhalten - Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz, Informiertheit und Freiheit der Wahl sollten erhalten bleiben. Loyalität der Regierungen gegenüber den Menschen, denen sie dienen, muss jederzeit erhalten sein.
Bürgerin	Ein Abkommen für die Menschen sollte Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl beinhalten.
Bürger	Ein Abkommen für die Menschen sollte Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl beinhalten.
Privat	Ein Abkommen für die Menschen sollte unbedingt die Säulen des NaturRechts u der BürgerRechte wie Autonomie,Transparenz u Freiheit der Wahl beinhalten.
Biologin und Mensch	Ein Abkommen sollte die Säulen des Naturrechts und die Achtung der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz, Freiheit der Wahl enthalten!
Unternehmen	Ein derartiger Pandemievertrag ist abzulehnen. Die WHO kann im Falle einer Pandemie allenfalls Empfehlungen aussprechen. Jeder Staat kann und muss individuell auf die Situation in seinem Land reagieren. Die Länder sind höchst unterschiedlich, insbesondere auch in Bezug auf ihre Bevölkerungstrukur und dem Gesundheitswesen. In einem demokratischen Staat kann nur das jeweilige Parlament weitgreifende Maßnahmen und Berücksichtigung ihrer Rechtsordnung und Werte beschließen.
Spin-IT	Ein globaler Pandemie Vertrag, der in staatliche Souveränität eingreift, ist nicht akzeptabel. Sollte ein solcher Vertrag tatsächlich vorliegen, muss er schriftlich garantieren, dass Menschenrechte, persönliche Freiheit medizinischer Behandlungen gewahrt bleiben, Eingriffe in staatliche Souveränität und Maßnahmen, die außerhalb demokratischer Strukturen liegen, ausgeschlossen sind.
Privat	Ein globaler Pandemie Vertrag, der in staatliche Souveränität eingreift, ist nicht akzeptabel. Sollte ein solcher Vertrag tatsächlich vorliegen, muss er schriftlich garantieren, dass Menschenrechte, persönliche Freiheit medizinischer Behandlungen gewahrt bleiben, Eingriffe in staatliche Souveränität und Maßnahmen, die außerhalb demokratischer Strukturen liegen, ausgeschlossen sind.
privat	Ein globaler Pandemievertrag muss schriftlich garantieren, dass Eingriffe in staatliche Souveränität und Maßnahmen, die außerhalb demokratischer Strukturen liegen, ausgeschlossen sind. Ein Vertrag, der in staatliche Souveränität eingreift, ist nicht akzeptabel.
Non	Ein globaler Pandemievertrag untergräbt die demokratischen Entscheidungen der Länder, die den Vertrag unterzeichnen. Jedes Land sollte weiterhin entscheiden dürfen, wie sie bei einer Pandemie vorgehen, da Pandemie unterschiedlich stark in den Ländern auftreten können und nicht überall das Gesundheitswesen gleichermaßen belastet. Impfungen dürfen in keinem Land zur Pflicht erklärt werden, denn jeder Mensch sollte zu jeder Zeit entscheiden dürfen, welche Eingriffen an seinem Körper durchgeführt werden und welche nicht. Deutschland hat gezeigt, dass eine demokratische Abstimmung eine Impfpflicht verhindern kann. Ich befürchte, dass weder Bürger noch Politiker einzelner Länder solche Entscheidungen beeinflussen können, sollte es zu dem Pandemievertrag kommen.
Deutsche Staatsangehörige	Ein internationales Instrument darf derzeit nicht eingeführt werden! Es wird hier die demokratische Mitbestimmung der einzelnen EU Nationalstaaten von Ihnen außer Kraft gesetzt. Eine vorherige Abstimmung der Bürger in den EU-Staaten ist Pflicht.
Private	Ein klares NEIN zum Pandemievertrag
Keine	Ein klares NEIN! Der WHO, die zu einem großen Teil privat finanziert ist, bekommt Kompetenzen, die ihr nicht zustehen!
Bürgerin Deutschlands	Ein Mitbestimmungsrecht der Bevölkerung eines jeden Landes, oder einer jeden Nation, in der ein internationales Instrument zur Pandemiebekämpfung Anwendung findet, ist unbedingt zu verwirklichen. Dieser Akt der Mitbestimmung durch die Bevölkerung muss aufgrund interessenfreier, unabhängiger und kontroverser (!) Informationen zur Sachlage ohne Maßnahmendruck, Einschränkung von Grundrechten oder auch nur der Androhung derselben erfolgen. Eine besondere Berücksichtigung individueller Grundrechte (z. B. das Recht der Verweigerung einer Impfung oder anderer medizinischer Maßnahmen!) darf niemals verletzt werden und dabei niemals zur Abstimmung stehen oder einem Gemeinwohl geopfert werden. Dies dient der Wahrung demokratischer Rechte. Länder oder Nationen muss ferner das Recht des Rücktritts aus diesem Instrumenten Vertrag eingeräumt werden. Vor Anwendung von Instrumenten muss zweifelsfrei nachgewiesen werden, dass diese Maßnahmen einen Erfolg herbeiführen können. Reine Modellierungen, Einschätzungen, einseitige Studien oder Vorausberechnungen reichen nicht aus, um Maßnahmen einzuführen. Der Maßnahmen Erfolg muss über mindestens drei Jahre erwiesen, dokumentiert und durch unabhängige Experten verschiedener Fachrichtungen geprüft sein, damit Schutzmaßnahmen überhaupt angewandt werden dürfen.
Vermietung	Ein pandemievertrag ist striktes abzulehnen da er nur der big pharma nützt. Please let the people in a normalen Life. IT is Hard enough.
Individual	Ein Pandemievertrag muss die Charta der Menschenrechte bedingungslos akzeptieren. Aushebelungen der Rechte der Bürger, wie dies bei Covid19 der Fall war, müssen explizit ausgeschlossen werden. Die Autonomie der Staaten in gesundheitlichen Fragen muss bewahrt bleiben. Einschränkungen für Bürger und verpflichtendene medizinische Behandlung müssen im Pandemievertrag ausgeschlossen werden. Das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit und die Entscheidung für oder gegen medizinische Behandlungen muss bei den Bürgern bleiben. Einschränkungen der Bewegungsfreiheit müssen im Pandemievertrag ausgeschlossen werden. Der Ausschluss aus dem öffentlichen Leben und somit Diskriminierung muss ausgeschlossen werden. Jedem Bürger muss Zugang zu allen Einrichtungen des öffentlichen Lebens und Bereiches erhalten bleiben.
Trauerbegleitung-Lebensberatung	Ein solcher Vertrag greift zu tief in die Freiheitsrechte der Bürger ein. Die WHO ist zudem eine Organisation, die nicht demokratisch legitimiert ist. Ein solcher Vertrag ist abzulehnen, da er erlaubt, in die Souveränität einzelner Staaten einzugreifen und Bürgerrechte verletzen könnte. Dieser Vertrag ist abzulehnen
Privatperson	Ein solcher Vertrag ist überhaupt nicht nötig. Die Zeit zur Anhörung der betroffenen Bevölkerungen ist zu kurz. Wesentlich zu berücksichtigen: Garantien für die Souveränität jedes Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und -würde und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
Privatperson	Ein solches Gesetz ist gemäß dem Völkerrecht sowie den Grundrechten der Menschen der EU nicht akzeptabel. Jeder Mensch hat das Recht über seinen Körper selbst zu entscheiden. Zudem ist die Würde des Menschen unantastbar. Es hat sich gezeigt, dass Länder, welche den Menschen Ihre Eigenverantwortung gelassen haben, besser durch die Pandemie gekommen sind. Freiheit ist das höchste Gut!
MFG	Ein Staat sollte autonom bleiben und nicht durch andere Institutionen Bevormundung werden
Privat	Ein striktes NEIN zu jeglicher Form eines globalen Pandemie Vertrages!
freelancer	Ein WHO Vertrag gefährdet die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten, unterstellt diese der Willkür der Pharmaindustrie. Die Menschenrechte werden massiv verletzt!!! Kein WHO-Vertrag!! Keine Macht den Konzernen!!! Ein WHO Vertrag MUSS die RECHTE DER PHARMAKONZERNE EINGREZEN. Die Informationen zu den Medikamenten und Behandlungen dürfen nur von qualifizierten Ärzten gegeben werden. NICHT VON POLITIKERN UND GESCHÄFTLEUTEN!!
none	Ein wie auch immer gearteter WHO-Pandemievertrag darf die Souveränität der Mitgliedsstaaten und des einzelnen EU-Bürgers in keinem Falle gefährden. Daher sollte der Vertrag zwingend unter allen Umständen zu wahrende Garantien zum Erhalt der Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten und des Individuums, die immerwährende Achtung der Menschenrechte - insbesondere der Freiheits- und Selbstbestimmungsrechte - und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und von Pharmaunternehmen beinhalten. Der WHO-Vertrag sollte ausschließlich empfehlenden Charakter haben, niemals aber direkte Handlungsfolgen in den Staaten ohne jedwede Einspruchnahme- und Rechtsschutzmöglichkeit durch diese und ihre Bürgerinnen und Bürger auslösen dürfen. Einspruch- und Rechtsschutzmöglichkeiten durch die Mitgliedsstaaten und seine Bürger und eine hierfür zuständige, unabhängige Gerichtsbarkeit wären bei Aktivierung des Pandemievertrags durch die WHO im Falle weiterer Pandemien zwingend erforderlich. Ebenso erforderlich wäre die Aufnahme einer Vertragsrücktrittsklausel, die es den Mitgliedsstaaten ermöglicht, bei Unzufriedenheit jederzeit aus dem Vertrag auszusteigen.
Diamondwaves	Ein zentrales Vorgehennist.nicht gewünscht. Die nationale und lokale Führung .der Länder erhält ihre volle Autonomie und behält sich das Recht vor, Entscheidungen auf der Grundlage dessen zu treffen, was für ihr eigenes Volk am besten ist. Die Möglichkeit für Nationen und Kommunen, aus allen Teilen des Abkommens auszusteigen, wie sie es für richtig halten, ohne Konsequenzen. Ein offener und transparenter Prozess, über den alle Menschen auf der Welt abstimmen können, einschließlich ausfallsicherer Maßnahmen, die die Anwendung des globalen Abkommens an Orten verhindern, an denen eine Mehrheit der Menschen dies nicht wünscht. Es gibt nur Maßnahmen, die keinen Einfluss auf den Prozess durch alle pharmazeutischen Unternehmen oder andere globale Gesundheitsprofiteure zulassen.
No	Eine Impfpflicht mit Covid-19 Vakzinen ist nach derzeitigem wissenschaftlichen Kenntnisstand rechtlich und ethisch nicht begründbar. Die von Befürwortern einer allgemeinen Impfpflicht vertretene Auffassung, dass die kollektive Impfung in der gegenwärtigen Situation alternativlos sei, ist nach derzeitigem wissenschaftlichen Kenntnisstand unhaltbar. Es gibt keine den üblichen Standards folgenden wissenschaftlichen Daten, die belegen, dass die Impfung für jede Bürgerin, jeden Bürger unabhängig von Alter, Geschlecht, Vorerkrankungen oder anderen Faktoren mehr Nutzen als Schaden stiftet. Weder liegen hierzu die üblicherweise in Zulassungsverfahren geforderten Daten aus randomisierten kontrollierten Studien noch aus epidemiologischen Kohorten mit hinreichender Qualität vor. Für große Gruppen der Bevölkerung gibt es überhaupt keine Evidenz für einen Nutzen, z.B. für gesunde Kinder und junge Erwachsene oder für Schwangere im ersten Drittel der Schwangerschaft. Dagegen ist ein Schaden nicht auszuschließen, sondern ist mit einer gewissen Wahrscheinlichkeit sogar anzunehmen. Solche Gruppen zur Impfung zu nötigen, heißt von ihnen zu fordern, dass sie eine Körperverletzung hinnehmen. Die Frage, ob eine Impfung für eine konkrete Person sinnvoll ist oder nicht, verbleibt eine individuelle Entscheidung, die ggfs. nach Rücksprache mit einer Ärztin/Arzt des Vertrauens von jeder Bürgerin und jedem Bürger, bzw. von Eltern in eigener Verantwortung beantwortet werden muss. Die immer wieder postulierte »Notlage« ist hypothetisch und muss nach fast zwei Jahren in einem der bestentwickelten Gesundheitssysteme der Welt als unrealistisch betrachtet werden.
Privat	Eine Impfpflicht muss freiwillig bleiben, egal ob Masern oder Corona. Niemand darf über die körperliche Unversehrtheit des Menschen bestimmen, als jeder selbst. https://www.neopresse.com/gesundheit/masern-virus-existiert-nicht-dr-lanka-gewinnt-prozess-vor-dem-bgh/ https://eingeschenkt.tv/stefan-lanka https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stefan_Lanka Es finden sich noch genügend weiterer Beweise. Ich schlage hiermit vor, Herrn Stefan Lanka zu einer Anhörung einzuladen! Mfg Annabella Russo
Keine	Eine Impfung sollte jeder für sich selbst entscheiden. Es ist ein persönlicher Eingriff. Außerdem stellt sie ein enormes gesundheitliches Risiko dar.
keine	Eine NGO sollte nicht so viel Macht besitzen und über den Regierungen stehen. Sie darf nur Empfehlungen aussprechen, nicht anordenen. Daher bin ich gegen diesen Vertrag, aber wenn er dennoch abgeschlossen werden sollte, muss er zwingend folgendes garantieren: die Achtung der Menschenrechte u. Menschenwürde, diese beinhalten: das Selbstbestimmungsrecht jedes Einzelnen über seinen Körper und die Wahlfreiheit zur medizinischen Therapien (kein Impfzwang, kein Testzwang, keine Maskenpflicht etc.). Die Bewegungsfreiheit darf nicht eingeschränkt werden (keine Quarantäne-Anordnung). Die Souveränität der Staaten und der einzelnen Bürger muss gewahrt bleiben. Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen müssen für ihre Entscheidungen und Produkte gesetzlich haften. Gesundheitsdaten gehören in die Hand des Bürgers und nicht in die Hände von Regierungen, NGOs oder Tech-Unternehmen. Sie dürfen nicht mit persönlichen Daten oder Bankkonten verknüpft werden: Keine ID2020! Kein Impfzertifikat! Kein Gesundheitsausweis oder ähnliches!
privat	Eine NGO sollte nicht so viel Macht besitzen und über den Regierungen stehen. Sie darf nur Empfehlungen aussprechen, nicht anordnen. Daher bin ich gegen diesen Vertrag, aber wenn er dennoch abgeschlossen werden sollte, muss er zwingend folgendes garantieren: die Achtung der Menschenrechte u. Menschenwürde, diese beinhalten: das Selbstbestimmungsrecht jedes Einzelnen über seinen Körper und die Wahlfreiheit zur medizinischen Therapien (kein Impfzwang, kein Testzwang, keine Maskenpflicht etc.). Die Bewegungsfreiheit darf nicht eingeschränkt werden (keine Quarantäne-Anordnung). Die Souveränität der Staaten und der einzelnen Bürger muss gewahrt bleiben. Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen müssen für ihre Entscheidungen und Produkte gesetzlich haften. Gesundheitsdaten gehören in die Hand des Bürgers und nicht in die Hände von Regierungen, NGOs oder Tech-Unternehmen. Sie dürfen nicht mit persönlichen Daten oder Bankkonten verknüpft werden: Keine ID2020! Kein Impfzertifikat! Kein Gesundheitsausweis oder ähnliches!
Familie	Eine Pandemie gehört als Staatenlösung nach Gefährdungslage der Bedrohung und nicht als GatesFoundation geregelt unter Beachtung der Menschen- und Freiheitsrechte jedes Einzelnen! Viruslaborforschung gehört verboten! Standards von medizinisch versierten Ärzten und Wissenschaftlern mit nachgewiesener Erfahrung und Kenntnis sollten unabhängig von pharmazeutischen Firmen Standards erarbeiten und sonst niemand. Die freiheitliche Entscheidung des menschlichen Lebens, des Individuums ist höher anzusiedeln als politisch vorauseilender Gehorsam der Panikmache, Geldwerte oder Wirtschaftslage!

Organization	Written submission
Private Mensch	Eine Pandemie hat es nur in den statischen Zahlen gegeben. Es gab zu keinem Zeitpunkt eine Rechtfertigung für die Maßnahmen, die Menschenrechte verletzten. Durch die Maßnahmen kam es zu undenklichen Schäden und durch die sogenannte Impfung zu vielen Toten und Impfschäden, die nicht verhältnismäßig sind. Die Übersterblichkeit sehen wir jetzt, nach den Impfungen, die nicht immun machen. Ihr Gesetz ist unmenschlich und greift meine Grundrechte an. Für die Gesundheit darf niemals eine Organisation oder ein Staat , das Recht haben in Menschenrechte einzugreifen. Ich bitte Sie die Nürnberger Gesetze zu beachten!!!!!
Physiotherapist	Eine Pandemieregelung darf keine Impfpflicht enthalten und diskriminierende Vorschriften, wie die 2G Regelung. Mit freundlichen Grüssen
Privatperson	Eine private Organisation darf nicht über die Gesundheit und allgemein über das ganze Leben von Milliarden von Menschen entscheiden. Ich bin strickt dagegen! WHO muss sogar abgeschafft werden.
Privatperson	Eine so umfangreiche und vom Grundgesetz geprägte Fragestellung/Entscheidungsmacht darf auf keinen Fall einer einzelnen Institution übertragen werden! Jedes Land soll mit den Ärzten sowie Virologen, der tatsächlichen Intensivbettenausstattung und auf das betroffene Gebiet, die wirklich notwendigen Maßnahmen heraus arbeiten und in regelmäßigen Abständen auf ihre Notwendigkeit überprüfen.
N.a.	Eine zentralisierte Gesundheitspolitik ist NICHT angebracht. Staaten müssen und jeder Mensch muss souverän bleiben! Menschenrechte sind auch von der WHO unantastbar. Die gesetzliche Haftung von Pharmaindustrie und Regierungen muss sein.
none	Eine zum Großteil von privaten Geldgebern finanzierte Organisation wie die WHO darf nicht das Recht haben, der Bevölkerung eine Pandemievorsorge und -bekämpfung aufzuzwingen.
Keiner	Einforderung von Garantien für die Souveränität eines jeweiligen Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und/oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen."
Bürger	Einhaltung der Menschenrechte, Haftung für Regierungsbeamte und Pharmaunternehmen, Keine Aushebelung der Souveränität der Nationalstaaten, keinen Impfzwang
none	Einhaltung des Nürnberger Kodex, Recht auf Selbstbestimmung, Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit, Einhaltung der jeweils staatlichen Rechtsform
None	Einzig die uneingeschränkte Bereitstellung von Impfstoffen weltweit sollte Aufgabe der WHO sein. Die WHO kann keine Entscheidungen mit wirtschaftlichen Folgen treffen.
Privada	El pasaporte covid es totalmente discriminatorio, la coacción para inocularse debería ser ilegal y los contratos con las farmacéuticas transparentes. Ningún político o familiar debería tener beneficios económicos de estas. La OMS no debería asumir papel normativo ante estados soberanos de Europa.
individual	Elected leadership, locally and nationally should retain full autonomy and the ability to amend, enact or deny any proposed responses to fulfil their prior and direct obligation to their electorate. This should include the ability for any level of government to opt out of any portion/s of the agreement not in alignment with the will of the population and be without consequence for such actions. As the instrument affects the entire population of the world, an open, transparent process should include the ability for all people to vote on the components, ensuring adequate failsafe measures are in place to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population do not want it. The safety of all depends on the adoption of strict measures to ensure there is no influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers. The wording and definitions of what constitutes a 'pandemic' should be restricted to extremely communicable diseases with a high mortality rate and one that poses a verifiable risk to world populations. There must be provisions to ensure there is adequate justification prior to any 'response' being initiated.
Human Race	Elected leadership, locally and nationally should retain full autonomy and the ability to amend, enact or deny any proposed responses to fulfil their prior and direct obligation to their electorate. This should include the ability for any level of government to opt out of any portion/s of the agreement not in alignment with the will of the population and be without consequence for such actions. As the instrument affects the entire population of the world, an open, transparent process should include the ability for all people to vote on the components, ensuring adequate failsafe measures are in place to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population do not want it. The safety of all depends on the adoption of strict measures to ensure there is no influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers. The wording and definitions of what constitutes a 'pandemic' should be restricted to extremely communicable diseases with a high mortality rate and one that poses a verifiable risk to world populations. There must be provisions to ensure there is adequate justification prior to any 'response' being initiated.
None	Elected National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it ie ACCOUNTABILITY Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I do not belong to any organisation.	Electorates have not been consulted regarding the need for this treaty, which many of us oppose, believing that the greatest successes in treating infections with SARS-CoV-19 over the past two years have not been achieved by directives from the WHO, but by doctors who have worked together across borders, drawing upon years of professional experience and sharing treatment protocols involving off-label medicines. The most useful part the WHO could play in future pandemics would be to facilitate co-operation at that inter-national level, rather than by imposing dubious one-size-fits-all diktats involving ineffective interventions and experimental medications. Since it seems this treaty is to be imposed whether we will or no, it should be drawn up in a way which preserves the sovereignty of elected governments and those they represent, and must, above all, respect medical ethics and the provisions of the Nuremberg Code, both of which require the informed consent of the individual based on full disclosure of the risks as well as the benefits of any medical treatment offered. The indiscriminate dosing of whole populations with virtually untested medications, some, it has been suggested, produced in as little as three months, must be specifically ruled out. Full testing of all medicines and vaccines for medium- to long-term as well as short-term effects must be a sine qua non, and the treaty must include a clause making the pharmaceutical companies themselves liable for any adverse effects of their products.
Privatperson	Elemente können definitiv nur Vorschläge sein, die sich mit sinnvollen Studien und eventuell Hygienemaßnahmen befassen. Empfehlungen, die - wie bei Corona geschehen - der Wirtschaft und der menschlichen Psyche stark schaden, dürfen auf keinen Fall in den Inhalt aufgenommen werden! Als wichtigstes Element eines solchen (eigentlich absolut unnötigen) Vertrages ist die Klarstellung, dass alle Vorschläge auf Freiwilligkeit beruhen, jedes Land seine souveräne Entscheidungsgewalt behält und die Einhaltung von Menschenrechten und dem Nürnberger Kodex für alle teilnehmenden Länder verpflichtend ist und niemals gesetzlich ausgehebelt werden kann! Ebenfalls sollte aufgenommen werden, dass bei der Einschätzung einer möglichen Gefährdungslage verpflichtend beratende Gremien gebildet werden, in denen Mitglieder aller wichtigen Wissenschaftsrichtungen mit allen vertretenen Ansichten sitzen und berücksichtigt werden! Ein Einfluss der Pharmaindustrie auf eventuelle Maßnahmen (z. B. durch Lobbyismus) muss kategorisch verboten werden! Weiterhin muss eine Verpflichtung zur Offenlegung aller Daten und eventuellen Verträge mit Pharmaunternehmen - und zwar in nachvollziehbarer und für alle verständlicher Art - vorgeschrieben werden. Vielen Dank!
General Public	Elements do you think should be included: 1) Influences must not be from pharmaceutical companies and organizations, as these 2 groups focus on profits. 2) Nations and local councils have the right to opt out of some or all of the policy/agreement without being punished. 3) Govt Ministers, Mayors and the Director-General of Health have the right to make decisions for their own people. 4) Vaccination mandates and vaccination passports to be banned. These measures are enough for the treaty. Thanks
none	ELEMENTS FOR A GLOBAL PANDEMIC PREPAREDNESS POLICY: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.Local leaders can best serve their people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Responding to the needs and preferences of the people not running roughshod over them. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Elements for consideration: 1. A framework and mechanisms are required to ensure that sovereign nations may participate in WHO guidelines whilst retaining full autonomy in line with their constitutions, reserving the right to make and tailor decisions based on what is best for their citizens and individual circumstances. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. 2. The ability of nations and municipalities to opt out of the agreement as and when they see fit, without consequence. 3. A fully transparent and open process whereby citizens can instigate and vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the global agreement from being implemented in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where measures are failing to deliver expected benefits. 4. Fully auditable measures that prevent any influence or interference in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Vaccination passports and digital IDs - these undermine democratic principles and individual freedoms and must be explicity dealt with to ensure that these are not imposed on the global population under the guise of a health emergency.
ComDoc Consulting LLC	Elements I believe need to be included. Thank you for the opportunity to help. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The latest pandemic caused a massive loss of credibility for national and international health organizations. Rebuilding trust is critical as we move forward, and including all stakeholders in the process and reducing dictates from a central power will be essential. Thank you, David Uhr, PhD
iFusion Research Corp	Elements I think should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. Pharmaceutical companies and those in the public and private sector that benefit monetarily or derive like value from pharmaceutical companies should not have any influence on the process. 2. Non-governmental organizations that contribute to the World Health Organization should not have any more or less influence on the process than any other individual. 3. National and regional governments can opt out of any portion of the agreement without repercussion or critisism by the WHO. 4. Prior to ratification of an agreement and annually the WHO holds an annual public hearing that openly debates the arguements for and against any lements of an agreement.
American Citizen	Elements in a new international instrument must include provisions which guarantee: 1. National and local leadership retain independence, with the right to make decisions according to what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. All people on the earth are able to vote on measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. That pharmaceutical companies are not allowed to influence decisions on measures , considering their first motive is financial profit.
A Private Citizen	Elements included in the instrument should: -- Preserve of national and local municipal autonomy. -- Preserve the ability of regional citizen majorities to vote to bar application of the agreement in their region of residence. -- Ensure that nations and local municipalities may opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without consequence. -- Preserve as non-derogable under any conditions internationally-recognized individual rights to life; to freedom from degrading treatment or punishment, and from medical or scientific experimentation without consent; and to freedom of thought, conscience and religion in accordance with the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Part II, Article 4(1) and (2); and Part III, Articles 7, 18(1) and 18(2), as clarified in the Siracusa Principles of 1984, Section II, D(58) and (63). -- Ensure that no preventive, diagnostic or therapeutic medical intervention may be carried out without the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information in accordance with the UNESCO Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights of 2005, Article 6(1). -- Ensure that the process is open and transparent. -- Bar pharmaceutical companies and for-profit NGOs from participation in the process.
Patriot	Elements included in the instrument should: — Preserve of national and local municipal autonomy. — Preserve the ability of regional citizen majorities to vote to bar application of the agreement in their region of residence. — Ensure that nations and local municipalities may opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without consequence. — Preserve as non-derogable under any conditions internationally-recognized individual rights to life; to freedom from degrading treatment or punishment, and from medical or scientific experimentation without consent; and to freedom of thought, conscience and religion in accordance with the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Part II, Article 4(1) and (2); and Part III, Articles 7, 18(1) and 18(2), as clarified in the Siracusa Principles of 1984, Section II, D(58) and (63). — Ensure that no preventive, diagnostic or therapeutic medical intervention may be carried out without the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information in accordance with the UNESCO Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights of 2005, Article 6(1). — Ensure that the process is open and transparent. — Bar pharmaceutical companies and for-profit NGOs from participation in the process.
American	Elements included in the instrument should: — Preserve of national and local municipal autonomy. — Preserve the ability of regional citizen majorities to vote to bar application of the agreement in their region of residence. — Ensure that nations and local municipalities may opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without consequence. — Preserve as non-derogable under any conditions internationally-recognized individual rights to life; to freedom from degrading treatment or punishment, and from medical or scientific experimentation without consent; and to freedom of thought, conscience and religion in accordance with the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Part II, Article 4(1) and (2); and Part III, Articles 7, 18(1) and 18(2), as clarified in the Siracusa Principles of 1984, Section II, D(58) and (63). — Ensure that no preventive, diagnostic or therapeutic medical intervention may be carried out without the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information in accordance with the UNESCO Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights of 2005, Article 6(1). — Ensure that the process is open and transparent. — Bar pharmaceutical companies and for-profit NGOs from participation in the process.
Myself	Elements must be put in place to ensure the continued sovereignty of member states. At no time should the WHO be able to make one response mandatory in any emergency or pandemic. There must be an awareness that any emergency is best dealt with on a local level as all member states are different and know best what response is most fitting in their own countries and local communities. No treaty must be proposed that attempts to override the sovereignty, bodily autonomy and individual rights of the people of any member state!
Private citizen	Elements must explicitly provide that human rights of every person are paramount without discrimination on any basis, and take precedence over any measure, rule, process or recommendation.
USA citizen	Elements Must Include: 1) autonomy retained for national and local leadership to do what's best for their own people; 2) the ability for nations to opt out without consequence to any and all options; 3) the process must be open and transparent for all people so those who don't want WHO measures also have ability to prevent application of these measures; 4) guarantees that public and private entities (governments, businesses, lobbyists, agencies, individuals, et. al) do not influence the WHO process which also needs to have serious measures in place to prohibit potential financial gains by those just mentioned.
Private individual	Elements must include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Julie Goldring	ELEMENTS NEEDED IN EVENT OF WORLD WIDE PANDEMIC 1. If another global pandemic happened, the first and foremost element I feel that would be necessary to implement is not allowing this to become politicized as the Covid pandemic became. 2. The decisions of treatment should be made by doctors TREATING THE DISEASE - not people put in charge who have no contact with the sick population. This would include not censoring doctors who are bringing forward off label usage of medications and preventative measures. 3. NATURAL IMMUNITY to the pandemic disease should be acknowledged. 4. If treatments are experimental they should NOT BE MADE MANDATORY and should only be given with INFORMED CONSENT as set out by the Nuremberg Code. 5. Independent MEDIA should be allowed - the buying off of our mainstream media has NOT allowed for proper INFORMED CONSENT in the current Covid crisis. 6. Any response from the WHO should not override National Constitutions and our Bill of Rights 7. All conflicts of interest should be made known openly to the public - ie. Pharmaceutical companies and the many people profiting from vaccine and treatment selling to countries. Thank you for your consideration of my thoughts.
Private	Elements of autonomy should be at the top of the list for all individuals to allow everyone to make their own personal choice on their response. All information should be transparent and independent. Health matters should be completely independent from economic and political considerations and should never limit people's freedoms. Where guidance should be provided by health professionals, it should be up to each individual to make their own decision on their response. Health matters do not fit a once-size-fits-all 'solution'.
US patriot	elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	Elements should include stipulations as follows: That a nation's national and local leadership retain full autonomy to independently make their own decisions for their populace for pandemic preparation AND for response; That this would be an opt-in model and no nation or people are considered part of the international instrument unless they have a popular vote with the right to choose for their own country whether to participate; Once a nation/people decide to participant, those nations or any part of the nation via local governments can opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time indefinitely without cause or consequence, temporarily or permanently.
USC	Elements should include the maintenance of autonomy in the medical response for localities and nations. Moreover, no funding or oversight from sources or individuals that gain financially from decisions made by the WHO, such as pharmaceutical companies or investors with large investment holdings in companies investing in pharmaceuticals. Decisions made by treaty holders must be made via voting rights of the country leaders participating in the treaty, not the WHO. Lastly, each year countries must have a national vote by its citizens as to whether their country participates in the treaty.
Parents for Health Choice	Elements should include: 1) Research on the pathogen or other cause of an active pandemic 2) NO research on any other pathogens - this is much too dangerous and has to stop world-wide 3) Emphasis on a search for existing and inexpensive drugs that are readily available to treat the disease 4) NO funding to ANY pharmaceutical company for new drugs 5) Data accumulated on gross numbers affected by the pandemic by country 6) NO data on any type of individual basis
none	Elements should only include tracking of cases and of those measures to treat, cure or prevent the impact of diseases world wide. Effectiveness of treatments or preventative measures could be shared, along with possible recommendations as information only. The choices that an individual makes for his or her own health care needs to stay with the individual.
personal	Elements that I think should be included are to stay abreast of medical issues without major input from non-medical entities like corporate company leaders or wealthy individuals. Also, WHO treaties which remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Health decisions should be made locally based on what is happening in that particular area - including when an emergency exists. I do not believe it is in the best interest for individual countries to be under the dictates of one organization.
general public	Elements that I would like to see included are the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Private	Elements that I would like to see included: the decision if the appropriate measure to be taken in a pandemic has to stay within the souvereignity of the nations since situations, necessities and capabilities vary widely in this world. Therefore, nations possibilities to opt partially or fully out of global measures has to be included.
private individual	Elements that must be included on an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities/jurisdictions to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including measures that would prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people object. 4. Measures that prevent influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you,
Peace Properties, LLC	Elements that need be included to an international instrument of preparedness include: -A system of checks and balances that protects the constitutional rights of each citizen. -A system to include those it is unsafe to be vaccinated -A fair and just system that allows for autonomous personal medical choices without penalty, discrimination, force or any other means to sway the decision of what is best for an individual. -A system to allow freedom of speech, freedom of written word, without limitation from any governing bodies to connect with other human beings and share information without interference from any third party. -To allow children to breathe and see each other's face at school. -For the government to pay for natural disaster recovery. -The freedom for each person to choose if they want supportive services or medical intervention.
US Citizen, USA taxpayer, voter	Elements that need to be included- 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Elements that need to be included in the instrument are guarantees that bodily autonomy, religion and culture will be respected. There needs to be a system in place that ensures individual informed choice and that an agenda is not being forced. An instrument or response should not override a countries laws, constitution or other human rights. There must be accountability, transparency and a system of checks and balances that allows for honest discussion of both sides or expert opinions without censorship or threat of being canceled. If companies profit from a treatment or instrument there must be a system in place that allows for individuals who are harmed to report and seek relief from damages physically, psychologically or financially. Consent must be considered and obtained for any treatment or response. Nothing shall be forced upon a population or humanity without consent. Individual free will and choice shall never be something that the WHO should have authority or power over.
Citizen of U.S.	Elements that need to be included in the Treaty are that the Treaty does NOT remove any power from the U.S. or any Nation/State/Country or People of the world. All matters related to health, life, liberty and happiness must be recognized as an absolute right of all people/humans. Free choice and bodily autonomy even in emergencies.
Mofa	Elements that require MS' duty to cooperate should be included.
Self	Elements that should be included for international response to pandemics. 1). Allowing Nations & States to decide what measures they want to opt in or out of as they deem necessary. As we learned with Covid, it was not and is not a "one size fits all" scenario. WHO should merely act as a conduit of information and resources but should hold no powers over any Nation or State. 2). Any voting member of WHO that invokes pandemic response measures that harms any one person shall be held personally liable. WHO and it's members shall not be covered by any liability protections. If you believe you have the insight to decide such things. You should be personally responsible for any and all negative outcomes. 3). Individuals should be allowed to decide to opt in or out of any measures offered. No one and no organization should be allowed to decide for another what risk(s) they shall or shall not take. 4). EUAs and Emergency Powers shall be eliminated.
None	Elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Independent assessment of mathematical / epidemiological modelling before any measures are introduced. A systematic review of previous pandemic responses, comparing accuracy of modelling with real world data should be carried out now. 2. Publication of all conflicts of interest between industry and members of public health bodies (either at international or national level). For example, vaccine advisory boards where members are current or ex-pharmaceutical industry staff. 3. Rigorous and open scrutiny of evidence-based medicine throughout any proposed measures, including acknowledging the hierarchy of evidence in clinical care. Front-line medics to be given proper recognition, even if this does not align with the results of lab-based testing. 4. Allow for patient history and clinical judgement by medics / physicians to factor in treatment options at all times, rather than a 'one-size fits all approach' to public health. 5. Recognise that altering the definitions of 'pandemic' or 'herd immunity' or other key terms will result in huge damage to public trust. 6. Above all else, individual countries must be allowed to opt out of international responses if and when measures are not in the best interests of patients.
General Public	Elements that should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. The new instrument must have an overriding opt out clause saying that an individual's right to bodily autonomy supersedes the new instrument in all cases without any consequences to the individual. 2. The new instrument must allow for the nations and local governing bodies to retain full autonomy for decision making and have opt out clauses for any and all agreements without risk of any consequences. 3. The new instrument must ensure that all pandemic decisions are done in an open and transparent manner. Real, independently verified, publicly available data must be presented immediately for all actions made under this new instrument. Risk/benefit analysis must immediately accompany all measures that might be recommended by such an agreement. Full data transparency must accompany the risk/benefit analysis and be immediately available to the public. Conflict of interest declarations by all participants must be public and independently verified. 4. There must be no influence by any or all pharmaceutical companies/organizations or other global health profiteers. 5. Robust, active adverse event monitoring systems must be in place and fully accessible to the general public before any measure can be implemented through this instrument. 6. Any new instrument must be implemented in an open, transparent way. Enactment of the agreement must not happen without a vote by individuals in every nation.
Individual	Elements that should be included in the treaty: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Elements that should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers!!!!!

Organization	Written submission
Citizens for BHealth	Elements that will keep this initiative from becoming tainted with major corporate influence on the process -- thus fully transparent operations. That means all factors considered on every proposed universal policy be made to the public, including making public all safeguards utilized to prevent large corporate and capitalist philanthropic influence on decisions. In general a transparent process on decision making, revealing publicly all data incorporated, all conflicts of interest existing with voting and formulating parties. Also including emergency "fail safe" opt-outs for unforeseen contingencies with particular countries.
individual	Elements to be considered in response to a world wide health issue: *it must be clearly defined as to what type of health situation necessitates the WHO to make a recommendation to its members. *no recommendation by WHO should supersede the sovereignty of the laws of individual nations-one size does not fit all, as nations are diverse and may have different needs. *medical information should always remain private for every individual regardless of health circumstances or emergencies. *all individuals should have the right to body autonomy which allows them to decline any medical intervention suggested by WHO. *any recommendation made by WHO should be backed by true scientific debate, questioning and experience from unbiased, independent scientists with varied backgrounds and opinions. *a variety of medical interventions should always be recommended as options and only after thorough testing by independent organizations who have no conflict of interest. A threshold of safety (# of deaths or adverse events) must be established prior to the use of any intervention. If that threshold is met, the intervention must be withdrawn. *non nation donors to WHO may not be a part of decisions that impact member nations.
Keep Britain Free	Elements to be included - Each country to have a veto on any suggestions, recommendations, guidelines, etc. As we have learned since 2020, mandates are publicly rejected, so the WHO should reject any powers to mandate anything. Each country has different populations with different needs, centralised decisions are unlikely to work, the WHO should limit itself to guidance. The WHO could ensure each country has enough intensive care beds, respirators, and other medical equipment that may be needed, while accepting that masks provide little to no protection. The decision to take a vaccine rests with the individual, no authority should compel or use coercion, ever. The WHO can protect populations against such measures. The WHO should ensure lockdowns never happen again - they did more harm than the virus. The WHO should actively free itself of obligations and ties to big pharma to re-gain public trust
writing as an individual	Elements to be included: It is important that if any instrument on Pandemic Preparedness and Response is drawn up by an international body such as WHO, that all nations, states and local entities should retain their right to make decisions that are based on what is best for their own people. This would mean that neither WHO nor any international body would be allowed to get in the way of localities having their own democratic processes. These localities would have the right to have presented to them and to review all medical evidence & research available from those w/ various backgrounds and perspectives. This would mean that nations and local jurisdictions should have no barriers to opting out of any part of such an international treaty. The process for considering the feasibility of and the possible drawing up of such an international treaty would be open, transparent, & w/ plenty of notice and w/ the ability of all people of the world to vote on all measures. This would include language insuring measures that would prevent the global agreement to be applied in places where the majority of the people don't want it. There should be provisions that disallow any influence in this process by all pharmaceutical companies or others that profit from local or global health crises.
NA	Elements to be included: SAFEGUARDS in place to revoke the instrument, or parts thereof, as soon as: 1/ Undemocratic overreach of any kind is suspected or claimed by a nation's people. Reversible, if agreed by a nation's people. 2/ Debate re possible approaches to pandemic preparedness, IS NOT encouraged and made open to public (not governmental) scrutiny 3/ Debate re possible alternative approaches to pandemic preparedness is ignored, minimised, suppressed or censored by the WHO, thus constituting a lack of democratic process 4/ Conflicts of interest are shown to exist which could create bias in pursuing one kind of pandemic response over another. 5/ The right of individuals to refuse treatments ordered by WHO is not honoured. CHECKS 1/ The instrument should be reviewed annually, to confirm that the instrument continues to operate in the best interests of a nation's people. A nation's people should be canvassed directly, not via governments, as the instrument affects people's sovereign health directly and governments are fallible mechanisms. 2/ A fixed item tabled as part of the review, which orders the instrument revoked, unless desired to be continued, by a nation's people, who are to be directly canvassed. After all, the WHO is an unelected body, and governments would be assigning far reaching power to the WHO without proper consultation with state populations having occurred. Thankyou for your time Simon Powell
St James's Place Wealth	Elements to be present. Countries and individual states within those countries to be completely in control of any response to a pandemic threat. They should be completely autonomous and assess their own risks according to their own unique situation. On no account should any centralised organisation be able to determine a one size fits all response except in terms of a suggested response which is just that, suggested and advisory with no compulsion in law to adhere to the recommendations. The advisory body itself to only be made up of specialists in epidemiology and virology who are leaders in their field and who have no monetary links to pharmaceutical companies. Where such links are unavoidable then all financial interests to be fully disclosed and published publicly online.
Independent member of public	Elements to include are Independent research and advice from sources who offer a range of therapeutic and pharmaceutical options. Full disclosure of qualifications and background of advisors. Agreements not to legislate or enforce vaccinations or medication against the will of individuals. No 'Emergency use' of any treatment that has not undergone full trials. Full disclosure of all ingredients in any medication recommended.
Marsha Y. Blakeslee D.O. LLC	Elements to include in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain FULL autonomy in pandemic preparedness and response, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of ANY and ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Functional Living Services	Elements to include in international pandemic preparedness and response: Vehicles for robust participation, data gathering, analysis and input to policy from a wide range of knowledgeable and experienced individuals. Every attempt to provide full scientific inquiry without censor or exception Risk/reward analysis - initial and ongoing related to any and all policy decisions with mechanisms to readily reverse without political influence Ethical oversight of conflicts of interest with stringent guidelines on limiting participation and biasing of decision-making by any individuals in position to gain monetarily or politically. Protecting the rights of individuals and each nation to accept or reject recommendations Taking a role of issuing guidelines, education, open dialogue and debate, promotion of transparency, and attending to health promotion in the areas of nutrition, mental health, development, and other areas with a focus on true health and well-being as the ultimate goal. Agile and rapid oversight of the effects of policies and the ability to change course as needed. Minimizing the transmission of fear - and once again attending to science based on improving the immune system as well as overall health and well-being to support resiliency in body and mind.
Self-employed	Elements to include in this treaty include: 1. No impact to any nation's (or locale's) sovereignty. 2. Maintain maximum local flexibility to allow rapid adaption to a pandemic as it is manifesting locally. 3. All health decisions need to remain as close to the individual as possible, with the individual (or their parent or guardian) making their choices unless they are physically incapacitated. 4. Declaration of an emergency needs to be made, and controlled, at the lowest possible level of government. 5. Emergency declarations needs to be avoided unless there is hard, verifiable evidence that such an emergency exists and issues genuinely can not be resolved by other means. Thank you!
Self	Elements to include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	Elements to include: 1. Ensure parties retain their medical sovereignty. Any jurisdiction should be able to opt out to ensure local priorities can be addressed. Any jurisdiction could by majority vote, decide not to participate. 2. Prohibit lobbyist, private financier and nongovernmental participation. 3. Provide an online forum for scientists to share and debate the science of related to any new pandemic. 4. Ensure pharmaceutical companies are limited to recovering costs. 5. Require independent study of the effectiveness and costs of any measures used to combat the Covid-19 pandemic to ensure they are appropriate for use in a future pandemic.
Private Individual	Elements which must be included at a minimum: 1. Above all, all countries are not only able but required to set up a citizens' vote to decide, without coercion or pressure, whether or not to opt in or out of any or all provisions of the agreement, at the point of and before implementation. 2. Specific exclusion from the process of corporate interests of any sort, such as pharmaceutical companies or PPE manufacturers, or their representatives, or those compromised by funding from such sources, who may benefit financially from the medical emergency or its declaration.
Family	Elements which MUST be included: The guaranteed rights of the people of nations and of counties to refuse to implement any or all parts of this treaty as they see fit and without penalty. The guaranteed rights of the people of counties and nations to rescind, without penalty, any parts and even all provisions of this treaty after initially agreeing to them. The guaranteed rights of individuals to refuse any and all medical interventions (including wearing a mask) for any reasonn without penalty. Full transparency must be ensured at every step of the process.
private	Elements which should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: As countries differ markedly in demographics and GDP/person, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The past 2 years showed that a "one size fits all" approach devastated poor economies with younger populations. For this reason, nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on the inclusion of fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Pharmaceutical/medical companies need to be kept at arm's length when plans are drawn up and implemented. NPI's mandated during 2020/2021 need independent scientific study to determine effect (positive and negative), before they are included in future plans. Front-line medical doctors need freedom to treat with off-label drugs and protection of their independence from political interference. Inalienable human rights (bodily integrity, freedom of movement, speech and association) should form the basis of any formulated plan (UN declaration of Human Rights). Mandating invasive medical procedures remains unethical (Nuremberg Code). Primum non nocere
Human	Eliminate making germs in labs Eliminate spreading germs using aerial drops Allow physicians to exercise their best professional judgement Allow physicians access to all potential treatments Eliminate germs in pharma products Eliminate poisons in vaccines Eliminate GMO "foods" Reduce pesticides, herbicides and other bio poisons Revert cell technology back to 2G Eliminate institutional lying
Self	emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK Citizen	Emergency restrictions cannot be made permanently binding, and an exit strategy must be agreed at the outset. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete tranparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. Unelected NGOs and the pharmaceuticals and other corporations must not lobby for or fund specific solutions from which they benefit financially e.g. sale or patented products. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	Emergency health decisions should be made at the local level and as close as to the situation as possible. Every country has different cultures, policies and considerations to be the best decisions for the highest good of all.
self	Emphasis should be placed substantially improving our natural immune system responses through naturally nutritious diets and life affirming activities. Objective research and assessments should be done to gain understanding of population groups, cultures,nationalities and environmental conditions which foster susceptibility to virus related morbidity. Research should be enhanced to better understand and foster adherence to life affirming natural immune system responses. We should better understand our mind, body connection and how our natural immune system is designed to protect us.
Hawaii citizen	End censorship, listen to the doctors and scientist that have been speaking out for almost 2 years. Use scientific data from organizations other than the CDC. Dismantle the CDC completely as they are nothing but a bunch of Big Pharma cheerleaders. Never use lockdowns, masks or social distancing! I don't see colossal mistakes I see a COVERUP of the truth all orchestrated for many years by the WHO the CDC and funded by the NIH and tax payers money. The WHO is a corrupt organization that does not care about public health.
None	End coercive vaccine passports and mandates. Voluntary compliance only.
Canada	End mandates. No Lockdowns. No ridiculous regulations. Allow for medical freedom. Allow for medical freedom.
childrens health defense	End this legislation now
Humanity	End this treaty. The global pandemic response is not what we need. Individualized response and monitoring , not applying overreach to everyone when ppl love different lives and varying levels of risk. The science does not support treating this as more than a flu. With mass public mistrust tread carefully.
Mensch/Apothekerin	Enorm wichtig für eine vernünftige lebenswerte Zukunft aller Menschen sind Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen!!!!
Australian Citizen	Enough. Just stop it. You have no mandate to be making decisions based upon money thrown at you from people with vested interests. The WHO ceased to speak for the health of the people of the world when it allowed itself to be captured. There is no longer a democratic country in the world where informed citizens don't simply distrust their governments (which they were denied an opportunity to preselect) but fear our governments. When democratic governments morph into despots, we are in big trouble - and the United Nations (not) lacks representation by anybody other than the Cabals. Ditto the World Health Organisation. As a priority you MUST reinstate the Nuremberg Code, begin a new series of Criminal Trials and cease your barbaric State of Emergency propaganda. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of 'emergencies.' 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable human rights. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are totalitarianism gone mad. 7. We demand complete tranparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. Shame on you.
N/a	Ensure inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
None	Ensure that individual countries should have the ability/right to opt out of any or all of the measures , should the majority of national or local citizens oppose them . There should be no involvement at any level in the decision making process from health, pharmaceutical or companies of a similar nature who may have a financial interest in the outcomes .
Organic Consumers Association	Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and that individual's bodily autonomy is respected above all else. Guarantee the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Ensure that individual human beings retain personal rights over their own bodies. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Ensure that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Leave Citizens Alone	Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO is NOT Dictator of the World! Stop this madness NOW!
None	Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Individual	Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Whistering Pine	Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Guarantee a person's freedom of choice.
N/A	Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Individual	Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers Thank you for hearing my plea. Shannon Irish-Galehouse
Self	Ensure: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, at any time. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. -People over profits!!
Van Lewen Consulting Inc.	Ensuring that a democratic process for determining declarations, guidelines, and mitigations in real time is the core inclusion in the treaty. Secondly would be an effective accountability system for those making those decisions, with a structure akin to the International Criminal Court , but with global reach and real consequences for abuse.
The Missing Medicines Coalition	Equitable access to pandemic response products including vaccines, diagnostics and therapeutics, clinical care and other health services must be a key objective for a new international PPR instrument. Key principles to achieve equitable access must address all contributing elements, from the development to delivery of pandemic health technologies, and work to improve transparency and accountability throughout all these processes. Furthermore, equitable access during pandemics must acknowledge broader structural health inequities and non-pandemic health needs. A pandemic preparedness instrument must therefore include support and funding to facilitate alternative approaches to biomedical research and innovation for pandemics, mechanisms to increase local manufacturing capacity in low-income regions, international commitment to sharing knowledge regarding health technologies, including the manufacturing know-how required for technology transfer, a commitment to securing the affordability of pandemic health technologies globally, and measures to prevent nations stockpiling these technologies. To achieve this in the short term there must be international support for a waiver of the WTO TRIPS Agreement in addition to support for complementary initiatives including the WHO mRNA hubs in Africa and other mechanisms for pooled procurement such as the Covid-19 Technology Access Pool. These mechanisms must also be built permanently into any instrument for pandemic preparedness as key principles for equitable access. Lastly, there must be robust policies for the regulation, monitoring and evaluation of equitable access within PPR mechanisms that facilitate collaboration with patients, communities, and civil society.
partikulier	er mag nooit een vaccinatie verplichting komen vanuit de who en de farmaceuten moeten aansprakelijk worden gesteld voor vaccin schade en medicijnen moeten worden ingezet en vroeg behandeling bij de huisarts moet als eerste optie gaan gelden en mensen niet onnodig bang maken als een virus helemaal niet erg dodelijk is de definitie van pandemie moet worden aangepast dit is en was geen pandemie. lock downs mondkapjes ect werken niet er moet helemaal geen verdrag komen landen regelen het zelf wel
Privat	Er muss Garantien für die Souveränität der Staaten und jedes Einzelnen, die Beachtung des Nürnberger Kodex, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen und insbesondere die freie Entscheidung zur medizinischen Behandlung beinhalten. Eine Impfpflicht muss im Vertrag ausgeschlossen werden.

Organization	Written submission
Ciudadana particular y libre	Es antidemocrático y atenta la libertad del individuo que un organismo NO ELEGIDO DEMOCRÁTICAMENTE Y FINANCIADO POR EMPRESAS PRIVADAS elabore un plan supranacional para decidir sobre nuestra salud. No queremos inoculaciones forzadas o coaccionadas, no queremos que las empresas farmacéuticas decidan por nosotros, no queremos que elaboréis e impongáis ningún plan para tratar lo que vosotros decidáis llamar pandemia. Respetad la libertad de los individuos a decidir sobre su propio cuerpo.
-	Es bedarf einer Garantie für die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten und ihrer Bürger, die Achtung der Menschenrechte (insbesondere das Recht auf (Bewegungs-)Freiheit und der geistigen und körperlichen Unversehrtheit) und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen bei Zuwiderhandeln. Die Souveränität von Nationalstaaten und ihrer Verfassungen sollte nicht durch "Pandemievertrag" beschränkt werden. Ebenso bedarf es keiner internationalen digitalen Ausweispapiere oder länderübergreifender Festlegungen zu Impfungen. Alle Bestrebungen gehen in die Richtung – Emergency-Laws, alles aussetzen, keine Rechtfertigung bringen, keine fundamentalen Grundrechte beachten, jede Gesundheitsmaßnahme ist recht – auch entgegen der Würde des Menschen. Also ein vollkommen rechtskonträrer Ansatz, der mit diesem internationalen Gesundheitsvorschriftsvertrag nicht zu rechtfertigen ist. Die Entscheidung über die eigene Freiheit und den eigenen Körper muss - auch in einer Pandemie - jedem Menschen selbst überlassen bleiben!
privat	Es bedarf keinem internationalem Instrument zur Vorbereitung und Reaktion auf Pandemien, Es ergibt keinen Sinn, wenn keine eindeutige Definition für eine Pandemie exsistiert. Es muss eindeutig mit festgelegten Zahlen beschrieben werden, wann eine Pandemie von der WHO ausgerufen werden kann. Mit der jetzigen Fassungen reicht 1 Fall und die WHO kann eine Pandemie ausrufen. Abgesehen davon wird die Handlungsfähigkeit der einzelnen Länder dadurch stark eingeschränkt, alle Länder sollen gleich sein, sowie alle Menschen gleich sein sollen. Das funktioniert so nicht. Damit wird der Mensch an sich mit seiner Individualität abgeschafft, dem kann ich nicht zustimmen. Jedes Land muss selbst frei Entscheiden können welche Maßnahmen es ergreift. Die WHO sollte nur Empfehlungen geben, nicht mehr.
xyz	Es bedarf keines internationalen Intrumentes zur Vorbereitung und Reaktion auf Pandemien. Angst wird künstlich geschürt, um unsere Grundrechte außer Kraft zu setzten. Ich sage NEIN! Freiheit und Selbstbestimmung ist unser Grundrecht. Dafür stehen wir ein.
None	Es besteht absolut kein Interesse an Zwangsimpfungen und vor allem hat es was mit Menschenrechten zu tun. Es ist die persönliche Entscheidung eines jeden Menschen ob jemand die Impfung annehmen möchte oder leber nicht. Mit einer solchen Regelung wird die Kompetenz der Regierenden bei weitem überschritten. Zusammenfassung: Nein, keine Impfung erwünscht.
Keine	Es besteht keine Notwendigkeit, es ist eine persönliche Angelegenheiten und Eigenverantwortung, zur Kenntnisnahme, Manfred Watzek
Keine	Es braucht diesen Vertrag nicht. Die Staten sollten eigenständig bleiben. Auch bedarf es keinem digitalen, wie auch immer gearteten Impfpass. Kehren sie zurück in ihre beratende Rolle.
Privat	Es braucht keine Internationale Vorschrift, eine Pandemiebekämpfung muss jedem einzelnen Staat selbst überlassen werden. Ein derartiges Überwachen von Statten nützt nur den Pharmariesen, nicht aber dem einzelnen Menschen.
Mensch	Es braucht keinen Pandemievertrag , da es keine Pandemie gibt.Wir sind souverän und können über unseren Körper selbst entscheiden.
Bürgerin privat	Es darf diesen Vertrag niemals geben. Deutschland muss aus der WHO austreten.
Privat	Es darf KEIN internationales Instrument zur Vorbereitung und Reaktion auf Pandemien geben - diese Aufgabe gehört einzig und allein in die Hände der jeweiligen nationalen Regierungen
privat	-es darf keine allgemein Absolut verpflichtenden Vorgaben geben -Die Nationalen und lokalen Führungen müssen ihren eigenen Entscheidungs- und Handlungsspielraum haben -Freie/eigene Entscheidung über Zustimmung des Abkommens oder Teile des Abkommens -Maßnahmen zum Außschluss von Beeinflussung von Pharma
	Es darf keine Einschränkung der Gewährleistung auf körperliche Unversehrtheit geben. Jegliche Maßnahmen müssen zwingend von den Beschließenden auf Gefahren und Sicherheit bzw auf Effektivität nach den Sicherheitsvorschriften des Landes mit dem höchsten Anspruch bewertet und unabhängig untersucht sein. Die Freiheit des Einzelnen darf nicht mutwillig gefährdet werden.
Privat	Es darf keinen Pandemievertrag geben. Die Begrifflichkeit Pandemie wurde 2009 schon missbraucht und totalitär umgeleitet. Zudem ist die Injektion mit schädlichen Injektionen Körperverletzung und der Zwang dazu eine Verletzung der Menschenwürde und -rechte. Das ist keine Demokratien
Arbeitnehmer - Mensch	Es darf nicht passieren, dass so viel Politik über die Köpfe der Menschen hinweg gemacht wird. Es gibt für mich und alle !! Menschen nur eins zu sagen: die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar ! Die körperliche Unversehrtheit muss gewährleistet sein, immer. wir leben in einem Zeitalter der Selbstentscheidung, Selbstbestimmung. Unsere Politiker müssen uns als Volk vertreten, und nicht auf den Profit schauen, der für sie rausspringt. Bitte !! Der Mensch steht im Mittelpunkt, lasst uns vernünftig miteinander leben.
	Es darf nicht sein, dass die WHO sich über die nationalstaatlichen Gesetze stellt und über der Selbstbestimmung eines einzelnen Bürgers steht. Den Pharmalobbyisten und -konzernen sind damit Riesengewinne auf Kosten der Selbstbestimmung der Bürger und somit auch deren Gesundheit sicher. Der Verlauf der Covidimpfungen und der damit verbundenen Nebenwirkungen haben deutlich gezeigt, dass jeder für sich entscheiden muss, ob er sich einem derartigen Eingriff und den damit verbundenen Risiken aussetzen möchte oder eben nicht Ich lehne eine Regelung durch die WHO entschiefen ab. Ursula Segl
Privat	Es darf nicht zu solch einem Gesetz kommen. Jeder darf ueber seinen Körper selbst entscheiden. Auch die Einstufung einer Pandemie ist beeinflussbar und nicht real. Wenn, kann sowas in einem Land festgelegt werden, nicht weltweit. Das ist alles manipulierbar!!! Und ich kann niemand verpflichten, sich mit was impfen zu lassen, was nicht erforscht ist.. Die Nebenwirkungen sind sichtbar. Wersendertes Genmaterial, was wird aus Blutspenden. Hat da mal jemand nachgedacht. NEIN, keine Zustimmung!!!
EU Bürger	Es darf überhaupt keinen Pandemievertrag geben, da er die Grundgesetze der Länder außer Kraft setzt. Die Menschenrechte mit Füßen tritt. NEIN zum Pandemievertrag.
Privatperson	Es ist absolut unerlässlich, dass allen Menschen ein Recht auf ein analoges Leben eingeräumt wird und es Garantien gibt, für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte einzuhalten. Die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen muss definitiv verankert werden. Jeder Mensch hat das Recht am gesellschaftlichen Leben teilzuhaben, ohne digitale Nachweise!
Drum Unlimited	Es ist in keiner Weise akzeptabel der WHO europäische Rechte zu erteilen. Niemals darf das passieren. Es muss verhindert werden Menschen zu etwas zu zwingen was sie nicht wollen
	Es ist nicht möglich was gerade jetzt abläuft.... Ich habe die WHO nicht gewählt und sie hat kein Recht auf mein Körper. Ich entscheide was in meinem Körper reingeht. Nürnberger Kodex !! Mit freundlichen Grüßen
Privat	Es ist unbedingt erforderlich, dass die Impfentscheidung bei jeder Person selber bleibt. Keine Spaltung zwischen ungeimpft und geimpft. Vorgeschriebene Impfzwänge sind zu unterlassen.
Twchnik news	Es ist wichtig, darauf hinzuwirken, dass Staaten, Länder und Regionen die Autonomie für Maßnahmen zur Abwehr von vermeintlichen oder tatsächlichen Gefahren behalten!
keine	Es ist zu garantieren, dass auf keinen Fall die Verfassung eines Landes außer Kraft gesetzt wird. Es ist zu garantieren, dass auf keinen Fall die Menschenrechte außer Kraft gesetzt werden. Es ist zu garantieren, dass niemand zu einer Impfung gezwungen wird.
Privatperson	Es kann nicht angehen, dass sich eine Organisation über die Macht des Staates stellt! Die Politiker wurden von den Menschen des Landes gewählt und sind demnach befugt Gesetze zu erlassen. Länderübergreifende Organisationen dürfen diese Macht nicht besitzen! Viele Menschen werden dafür kämpfen!
Privat	Es kann nicht sein das diese Organisation über die Körperliche Gesundheit entschieden darf.
WHO Vertrag	Es muss auf die Säulen des NATURRECHTS und der BÜRERRECHTE wie AUTONOMIE ,TRANSBARENTS und FREIHEIT der WAHL geachtet werden! ACHTUNG , RESPEKT UND FREIHEIT! DIE GESUNDHEIT DARF NINAS MISSBRAUCH WERDEN ❗
Privat	Es muss auf jeden Fall die eigene Souveränität der einzelnen EU Staaten erhalten bleiben. Die menschliche Würde ist unantastbar und medizinische Behandlungen und Eingriffe müssen unter allen Umständen freiwillig bleiben !! Der Nürnberger Kodex muss weiterhin gelten. Kein Mensch darf zu einem Eingriff gezwungen werden, noch dürfen wegen einer med. Verweigerung keine sozialen, finanziellen oder Freiheitseinschränkungen angeordnet werden. Da es unterschiedliche Länder, mit unterschiedlichen Standards und Hygieneeinrichtingen sowie ärztlicher Versorgung sind, bin ich generell gegen eine Gleichschaltung im Pandemiefall. Es kann nicht sein , dass eine Organisation für alle Länder bestimmt. Das ist kontraproduktiv und nicht erstrebenswert!! Dies sollte unterlassen werden. Die Länder müssen eigenständig entscheiden können, was für sie am besten ist.
privat/ Mensch	Es muss enthalten: Garantien für die Souveränität der Staaten, auch Deutschlands, und des einzelnen, Menschen, die bedingungslose Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen sowie aller sonstigen involvierten Unternehmen.
Privat	Es muss im Ermessen jedes Landes bleiben, in Mitsprache der Bevölkerung, wie und mit welchen Maßnahmen das Land, die Region auf Gefahren reagiert. Weltweite Regelungen sind übergriffig und nicht passgenau. In Gesundheitsfragen muss es jedem Einzelnen erlaubt bleiben, selbst zu entscheiden.
Privatperson	Es muss unbedingt die Aufrechterhaltung von Lieferketten im Vordergrund stehen. Der Vertrag darf NICHT zur Legitimation medizinischer EXPERIMENTE, Eingriffe oder Impfungen an Menschen führen, welche das nicht möchten. Ebenso darf es KEINE Zutritts- oder Reisebeschränkungen aufgrund nicht durchgeführter medizinischer Eingriffe geben. Das Aussetzen der staatlichen Verfassungen halte ich außerdem für problematisch. Eine von der Pharmaindustrie mitfinanzierte Organisation (die WHO) darf NIEMALS zu solchen weitreichenden Entscheidungen legitimiert werden!! Auch die Entscheidung darüber, welche Informationen verbreitet werden dürfen, darf auf gar keinen Fall Inhalt einer solchen Übereinkunft sein!!!
Privat	Es müssen die Menschenrechte gewahrt werden. Jeder Einzelne hat das Recht auf Selbstbestimmung über seinen Körper sowie die Eigenverantwortung. Kein Politiker oder Organisation hat das Recht Menschen zu körperlichen oder menschenunwürdigenzwingen Maßnahmen zu zwingen.
EU Bürger	Es müssen Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen und Ärzten enthalten sein. Es muss jedem Mensch möglich sein, frei über seine Gesundheit zu entscheiden. Jeder Mensch muss die Möglichkeit haben, sich frei zu bewegen ohne, dass er dafür Ausweise / Zertifikate usw. benötigt.
Bürger	Es müssen Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen gegeben werden.
Private Person	Es müssen meiner Meinung nach folgende Punkte eingeschlossen werden: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Keine	Es müssen unbedingt alle Menschenrechte eingehalten werden. Körperliche Unversehrtheit ist oberstes Gebot. Keine Impfpflicht. Die Pharmaindustrie muss in die Haftung für Ihre Schäden genommen werden
Keine	Es soll kein internationales Pandemie Gesetz geben sollte jedem Staat freigestellt sein wie er mit Pandemien umgeht Die WHO sollte nur Empfehlungen geben aber nie gesetzlich festgelegte Vorschriften geben
keine	Es soll kein neues internationales Element zur Pandemiebekämpfung geben. Die nationalen Strategien waren völlig ausreichend. Im Übrigen muss die Selbstbestimmung jedes Menschen über seinen Körper gegeben sein. Die Souveränität jeden Staates muss gegeben sein. Die Verantwortung für nachteilige Folgen im Zuge der Verabreichung eines sogenannten Impfstoffes und die Haftung muss den Herstellern der Produkte zugesprochen werden.
Keine	Es soll keine Vollmacht der WHO zur Bekämpfung von Pandemien geben Jeder Staat soll nach eigenen Willen Pandemien bekämpfen soll nur Empfehlungen geben dürfen aber keine gesetzlich geregelten Vorschriften
	Es soll keinen Pandemievertrag geben!!! Jedes Land soll selbst in Pandemiezeiten entscheiden.
World healt Organisation	Es sollen alle Menschen selbstständig entscheiden, ob die von der WHO und sonstigen Entscheidungsträger, genannten Vorschläge und Vereinbarung en angenowerden oder nicht
-	Es sollen Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen enthalten sein. Ich möchte nicht als Objekt behandelt werden! Die Selbstverantwortung und Selbstbestimmung eines Menschen hat einen hohen Wert, der gewährleistet werden muss.
none	Es sollen vertraglich und verbindlich festgelegt werden Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Es sollte die Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen berücksichtigt werden, die Grundrechte wie Freiheit und Unversehrtheit des Körpers, wie auch die individuellen Situationen der Länder eine Rolle spielen. Die WHO hat somit keinerlei Berechtigung solche Einflüsse zu nehmen.
privat	Es sollte dieses Instrument nicht geben. Die Verantwortung solle auf nationaler Ebene bleiben.
Privat	Es sollte immer der Nürnberger Kodex eingehalten werden , auch in pandemischen Zeiten . Alle Politiker und WHO Mitglieder müssen ihre nebenberufliche Tätigkeiten öffentlich darstellen und außerdem muss Ihnen und ihren Familienangehörigen verboten werden , Verträge zur Pharmaindustrie zu schließen. Eine Immunität muss aufgehoben werden . M.Janssen
25364 Brande-Hörnerkirchen	Es sollte keinen WHO Pandemievertrag geben. Jedes Land sollte für sich selber entscheiden dürfen.
Privat	Es sollte unbedingt aufgenommen werden: 1) Die gesetzliche Haftung der Pharmaunternehmen! 2) Die gesetzliche Haftung der einzelnen Regierungen! 3) Die gesetzliche Haftung der einzelnen Regierungsmitglieder! 4) Die gesetzliche Haftung der einzelnen Regierungsbeamten! 5) Die Garantie für die absolute Achtung, Einhaltung und Befolgung der Menschenrechte jeder einzelnen Person! 6) Die Garantie für die absolute Achtung, Einhaltung und Befolgung der Freiheitsrechte jeder einzelnen Person! 7) Die Garantie für die absolute Achtung, Einhaltung und Befolgung der Grundrechte jeder einzelnen Person! 8) Die Garantie für die absolute Souveränität jedes einzelnen Menschen! 9) Die Garantie für die absolute Souveränität des einzelnen Staates, auch und besonders auf dem Gebiet der Gesundheitsvorsorge und der Gesundheitsversorgung der eigenen Bevölkerung! 10) Die Garantie für die absolute Rechtssicherheit innerhalb des souveränen Staates! Folgerung: Aus den Souveränitätsforderungen bezüglich des einzelnen Staates und damit verbunden der jeweils einzelnen Bürger geht hervor, dass ein so angelegter WHO-Pandemievertrag nicht gebraucht wird!
Keine	Es sollte unbedingt die Aufrechterhaltung von Lieferketten im Vordergrund stehen. ABER der Vertrag darf nicht zur Legitimation medizinischer Experimente an Menschen führen, die das nicht möchten. Ebenso darf es keine Zutritts- oder Reisebeschränkungen aufgrund nicht durchgeführter medizinischer Eingriffe geben. Das Aussetzen der staatlichen Verfassungen halte ich außerdem für problematisch. Eine von der Pharmaindustrie mitfinanzierte Organisation (die WHO) darf nicht zu solchen weitreichenden Entscheidungen legitimiert werden. Auch die Entscheidung darüber, welche Informationen verbreitet werden dürfen, darf nicht Inhalt einer solchen Übereinkunft sein.
Powerbalance	Es sollten alle Daten für alle offiziell sichtbar sein, welche Erkrankungen wo stattfinden, in welchem Ausmass, es sollte Kontrollorgane geben, die ein Durchschnittsgehalt verdienen und immer wider ausgetauscht werden. Bei Themen der Gesundheit, sollten wirklich alle Experten zu diesem Thema mitreden und eine Lösung finden. Diese Experten sind für mich alle im Heilberuf tätigen Personen
no Organisation	Es sollten die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Selbstbestimmung, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl aufgenommen werden.
Privat	Es sollten Garantien für die Souveränität der Staaten und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen verankert sein. Danke, Doris Maria Koch
Private EU Citizen	Es sollten Handlungs-Vorschläge und eine Datenbank mit aktuellen Forschungsergebnissen für die Regierungen der unabhängigen Staaten enthalten sein. Es sollte eine Abstimmungsplattform auf Basis von Bürgerbeteiligung enthalten sein.
privat	Es sollten keine neues internationales Instrument zur Pandemievorsorge und -bewältigung geben. Ich bin dagegen.
Privat	Es war noch nie gut für die Bevölkerung, wenn Massnahmen durch eine einzige Organisation beschlossen werden können. Wenn man sich hierbei noch die größten Geldgeber der WHO anschaut, läuft es einem eiskalt den Rücken runter. Sehe pandemisch keine großen Vorteile der Machtübergabe der Massnahmen an die WHO. Daher ist dieser Beschluss abzulehnen.
LV	Esmu kategoriski pret šāda līguma noslēgšanu ar Latvijas valsti! WHO nav tiesības uzspiest savus likumus un būt pārākai pār citas valsts likumiem! Dzīvām saprātīgām būtnēm ir tiesības uz brīvu izvēli savā valstī un dzīves vietā! Jūsu intereses ir vienpusējas un bīstamas saprātīgai būtnei! Esmu kategoriski pret šo konvenciju un tās realizāciju!
Pašnodarbinātais	Esmu pret vakcīnām,ko izstrādā 3 mēnešu laikā.
General public	Essential components: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and states can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public (private citizen)	Essential elements the instrument should include: 1) The guarantee that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, respecting inalienable civil rights as laid down in national law; 2) The right for nations and local communities to opt out of any elements of the agreement; 3) An open and transparent procedure allowing all individuals in the world to have a voice, with the power to prevent application of the global agreement in places where the majority do not want it; 4) Verifiable assurances that decision-making is not influenced by any pharmaceutical company or other global health profiteer.
privat	Essential for every contract are Warranties for sovereignty of every country and person Observance of human rights Never compulsory vaccination Legal liability of government officials and involved companies
Australian citizen	Essential inclusions for any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include: Article 1 Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Article 2 The good of the nation is the sum total of the benefits enjoyed by all its people. Article 3 Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Article 4 The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Article 5 Discrimination based on medical status is wrong. Article 6 Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. Article 7 We demand complete transparency. Article 8 Everyone has the right to their own opinion. Article 9 Guilt cannot be legislated or contracted away Article 10 Government officials may start emergencies, but the people must be able to end them. Article 11 Recognition of natural immunity. Sincerely, Antony Jurlina
None	Essential inclusions in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend them because of 'emergencies'. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment and the principle of free, informed consent are inalienable rights. 4. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. It cannot be used as a tool of coercion. 5. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are morally wrong. 6. No person should be compelled to act or receive treatment against their conscience. 7. Free speech is an inalienable right. 8. Manufacturers, distributors and administrators of healthcare products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 9. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Planet Earth	ESSENTIAL PROVISIONS FOR ANY JUST PANDEMIC TREATY Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to personal treatment is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. Complete transparency is not negotiable, nor subject to proprietary dictat. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public on issues of public policy. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
PERSONAL	Essentially National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Any and all sovereign nations should retain the right to govern, and a reminder that subjects are governed by consent. There should never be an overarching unelected entity who can enforce actions and events which effect negatively on the people. Any representatives should be able to be held responsible for any negative consequences. There MUST be an open and honest dialogue / process which allows the people of a Country to vote on any measures that will affect them. This must also be open and honest and include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. No treaties should be developed where any beneficiary is involved in the structuring / influence / rewards of it. Such as pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Grupo Asesor en Migración y Salud (GAMyS)	Esta pandemia ha mostrado que los migrantes son poblaciones especialmente vulnerables en contextos de crisis sanitaria. Un nuevo instrumento internacional de preparación y respuesta debería de reconocer la vulnerabilidad potencial de estos grupos, a diferencia de planes e instrumentos que los hacen invisibles o no incluyen protecciones para ellos. En este sentido, las restricciones a la movilidad impactan desproporcionadamente a la salud y la economía de los migrantes, sobre todo los que están en tránsito o en situaciones de trayecto interrumpido en regiones de frontera. Dado que no existe evidencia de que los migrantes sean el principal vector de transmisión de enfermedades infecciosas, situaciones como la pandemia de COVID-19 no deberían utilizarse como justificación para limitar su movilidad, ni impactar los procesos de solicitud de asilo y refugio. En cambio, no proporcionar servicios de salud (incluyendo vacunas) a estos grupos sí incrementa el riesgo de contagio en estas poblaciones, lo mismo que el mantenerlos en espacios inseguros como campos de refugiados o sitios de detención migratoria. En un contexto global, las restricciones a la movilidad impactan negativamente los derechos humanos, incluyendo el derecho a la salud. En algunos países, las restricciones a la movilidad en la actual pandemia no han sido universales, sino que se dirigen a grupos específicos, excluyendo por ejemplo a quienes no tienen algún tipo específico de vacuna anti-COVID-19, o a los solicitantes de asilo en la frontera México-Estados Unidos. Estas restricciones constituyen fuentes de inequidad social, y tienen consecuencias sobre la inequidad en salud.
U.S. Citizen	Esteemed Panel: It has been my understanding that your organization has limited powers and input as to how our nation, the United States of America, is governed. We were founded on the basic principles of "...one nation under GOD with truth, justice and freedom for all." The Bible, Constitution of the United States of America, Declaration of INDEPENDENCE, and Bill of Rights have led us to being one of the greatest countries on earth and NOTHING can take that away from us. We are a STRONG nation that nothing can take down or destroy. We are at our BEST when faced with pressure from opposing forces, as I am sure you can see today. The more we are pushed, the more our fight to ensure our freedoms increases, and the stronger we become. Our decisions are made by using a system of checks and balances that has worked for us from the infant stages of our creation and will continue to do so. We do NOT need or want your interference in deciding what you think is good for us...we are more than capable of making our own health decisions that we take responsibility for. You do NOT have power over any of our health decisions and we will NOT AGREE to relenquishing our independence to a legally binding pandemic treaty. As the WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I respectfully ask you to honor your word. Thank you.
Particular	Estoy encontra , que la WHO sigue tomando descesiones encontrá de pandemias mundial...Eso tiene que ser solo tomado por cada país , supuestamente implicado.
GGI - Grüner Verein gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	EU Charter of Fundamental Rights: Article 3 - Right to integrity of the person 1. Everyone has the right to respect for his or her physical and mental integrity. 2. In the fields of medicine and biology, the following must be respected in particular: (a) the free and informed consent of the person concerned, according to the procedures laid down by law; (b) the prohibition of eugenic practices, in particular those aiming at the selection of persons; (c) the prohibition on making the human body and its parts as such a source of financial gain; (d) the prohibition of the reproductive cloning of human beings. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
Privat	Ev. Pandemievertrag soll nur Vorschläge bieten Garantien für Souveränität der Einzelstaaten und deren Staatsbürger Achtung der Menschen- Grund- und Freiheitsrechte Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten, Pharmafirmen,sonstigen Entscheidungsträgern
Private	Even in the pandemiefall; the sovereignty of the state must be given. The highest good of the rule of law is the constitution, this must also have absolute validity in addition to human rights in a pandemic.
no Organisation	Even more decision-making power for the WHO is undemocratic and destructive for people's health, since it's all about business and death through medical experiments on people is accepted for the profit of Big Pharma. The WHO is a private Organisation because it is financed at a level of 80 % bei private Companies. It is not okay to be able to make destructive and exploitative decisions for so many countries and their people.
NA	Even the mere suggestion of handing over soveignty to an organization of such dubious character as the WHO is ludicrous. Every aspect of this contrived pandemic has been mishandled. Were it a 'real' pandemic, I fear it would have been worse. Most people possessing an IQ in excess of 2 digits have figured out what is really going on here, because we don't follow paid off main stream media. Nuremberg 2.0 is going to be interesting, to say the least.
Stand For Health Freedom	Even though I am against the WHO health proposal completely, substansive elements that must be included in the health treaty are: The ability of nations and local municipalities, including citizens' consent, to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. All of these measures MUST be included, as nation and states MUST have the final word on any measure and be able to opt out at any time.
Writing as a citizen of the UK	Events of the past two years have exposed for all to see how an event that is labelled a 'pandemic' can be used as an excuse by unelected international groups to pressure governments to remove essential civil liberties from citizens even of free countries. The authoritarian measures taken by numerous governments in the past two years supposedly to contain the spread of COVID-19 were evidently catastrophic failures in that regard, as well as ruinous to economies, damaging to public health and corrosive of trust in governments and in public health authorities. They represented an unwarranted attack on basic human rights. This present attempt by the WHO to determine future pandemic preparedness and response is widely regarded as a power grab by an organisation that is deeply distrusted. No doubt governments will sign up. Citizens, however, will fight back hard against any measures that seek to override civil liberties or bodily autonomy. Substantive elements that should be included are the right of member nations to exercise self-determination in their pandemic response, and the recognition of human rights and civil liberties as superordinate.
Not affiliated to an organisation, just a member of the public	Events of the past two years have exposed for all to see how an event that is labelled a 'pandemic' can be used as an excuse by unelected international groups to pressure governments to remove essential civil liberties from citizens even of free countries. The authoritarian measures taken by numerous governments in the past two years supposedly to contain the spread of COVID-19 were catastrophic failures in that regard, as well as ruinous to economies, damaging to public health and corrosive of trust in governments and in public health authorities. This present attempt by the WHO to determine future pandemic preparedness and response is widely regarded as a power grab by an organisation that is deeply distrusted. No doubt governments will sign up. Citizens, however, will fight back hard against any attempt to override civil liberties or bodily autonomy. Substantive elements that should be included are the right of member nations to exercise self-determination in their pandemic responses, and the recognition of human rights and civil liberties as superordinate.
Retired person	Every biological body treats of its own. The modern medical treatment harms the self treatment of human body. Viral fever is not a disease. BP Sugar & viral fever is the self treatment of human body. That was how people lived since generations. Diabetic treatment pollutes blood and thus the condition of Type 2 diabetics worsens. Diabetic treatment creates fatty liver. Give me an opperunity to educate world population.

Organization	Written submission
/	Every citizen must be able to retain autonomous control over his or her health at all times and under all conditions, without having to disclose his or her status in this regard or being forced to undergo health treatments and freedom restrictions. No one should benefit disproportionately from a crisis; this requires control mechanisms. Decision-makers to manage a pandemic must be nationally electable and act independently.
US citizen	Every citizen, local government, and nation should have the right to make the decisions they deem best. WHO should advise, not rule. Refusing to go along with WHO's guidelines should have ZERO consequences. This would be true for citizens, local governments, and nations. WHO should listen to their reasons why they decide against follow the recommendations. All processes in dealing with a pandemic should be transparent to every citizen. Every citizen, local government, and nation should be able to disagree and not follow through with recommendations without consequences. Anyone who profits from pharmaceuticals or products used to treat it should have no voice in the final say and all communication with those brokers needs to be transparent on a daily basis.
2U	Every community has unique differences, cultures, ideologies, and ways to function efficiently. People need to have voices within their local communities to determine what's best for themselves and their families. Every situation is best analyzed on a case by case basis. That being said, it is illogical to apply global measures that don't take into account the processes and novelties across communities. This treaty strips global citizens from their God given human rights and places the state as an authority over all humanity. That is inherently immoral and a cause for great concern. The public backlash this treaty will face will cause more damage than the issues it seeks to prevent. The effectiveness of the Covid safety protocol has not been proven with data, in fact the data shows it worsens the issues at hand and creates a set of new issues. We need to promote a healthy lifestyle and educate people on taking care of themselves rather than forcing blanket statement policies on the entire world. This treaty has potential to violate all countries' constitutions on a mass scale, and that will not be tolerated.
Self employed	Every community should decide on their own health requirements. Every country is different and I am opposed the WHO having the final say for the entire world
Privat	Every conclusion or result of negotiations forming an international Instrument on pandemic response and preparedness must obey fundamental rights and must not violate human rights at all. Any product of companies e.g. drugs or vaccines to treat or prevent illnesses in a pandemic has to undergo every step of developmentcontroll, securitychecks and all the clinical trials which are necessary for every other drug or vaccine. There must be no excuse.
Human, Pole	Every country and every human should be able to make sovereign decisions about their own health and life. It is absolutely non-negotiable!
n/a	Every country and nation is unique and therefore national and local leadership should retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. A one size fits all approach has shown disastrous in many cases and therefore nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of and able to refrain from partaking in any agreements. No consequences should be levied on populations that choose not to participate. An open and transparent process for all recommendations should be priority. All people of the world should be able to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There has been clear evidence of conflicts of interest when pharmaceutical and other health profiteers are involved in deciding what's "best" for populations, often these decisions can be traced back to clear profits over health. This becomes exceedingly clear when pharmaceutical companies are exempt from liabilities of harm. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Association for the promotion of the rule of law and sovereignty	Every country has a specific health system and a specific culture, so no institution should overrule the national sovereignty and decisions made by its inhabitants, how ever this finding process in each country takes place. I am strict against such a powerful law in the hands of privately financed institutions which subsequently have to fulfill the investors economical and political interests. This will weaken enormously the democratic rights and the freedom of European people and might lead to upheaval among the population.
Personal	Every country has different cultures and different medical challenges. I oppose the WHO taking over medical policy for Americans
New York State education	Every country has individual needs surrounding economics, geography and religion during a pandemic. A World health treaty would do little to help the world because of the individuality of humanity. We are surrounded by trillions of pathogens and an education in handling our environments and personal lives is key to pandemic Prevention, preparation and response. A meeting of the minds of academia and research scientists to troubleshoot any pandemic issues is paramount. Reviewing a plethora of studies in a discussion that is intellectually presented by individuals who have no conflict of interest in the outcome of their decisions must be the goal. It is simple…. Teach individuals to improve their quality of life to prevent and prepare for any pathogenic issue.. it is not up to a world treaty to make decisions for individuals in different countries. A response to a pathogenic issue would be early treatment and media to give preventive information. Proper Sleep, improve nutrition, reduce stress, meditation, daily activity, incorporating herbs and supplements all help with quality of life.
None	Every country has the right to decide for themselves. I do not support this treaty. No one I know supports this treaty. This is not over hatred for the WHO, but simply believing every country has the right to decide for themselves. Thank you.
self	Every country has the right to manage their own plans for a pandemic. Country populations, ages, demographics, and health analysis are each unique and deserve unique responses. One size does not fit all. The benefits of the WHO in handling pandemics is that countries may collaborate in their response and learn from one another as they customize reactions to meet the needs of their citizens. There is, however, NO need for the WHO to manage a pandemic for the world. This is on the face of it idiotic. It stifles creativity and ingenuity and response times. What should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are the following: Autonomy of countries to recognize the unique needs of their citizens. Encouragement of finding existing medical pharmaceuticals as solutions and distributing this knowledge rapidly inter-country and intra-country. Seropositive population samples of pandemic viruses and the sharing of techniques across countries to understand the reach and exposure. Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pensioner	Every country has their own health experts and one size does not fit all, never did. Nothing should be international/global. WHO can only give advice, but no international law should be defined for everyone! People are so different. One medicine works for one, but not for another patient. P.S. WHO should have ONLY health specialists in the organization, who would be working for free there. When money is involved, there is no confidence in that organization. Esspecially if they are linked to pharmaceutical corporations! We should work on himanity, not on laws!
JJJJ	every country has to decide for own, what to do when there e might be another pandemie or anything similar for the health..no global team knows what is happening in a country... every country must be independent to make right decisions.....global decisions are not good for the countries.!!!!!...
Self	Every country in the world has the right to self-determination. As does each individual citizen. It is not up to a single body with extraordinary powers to essentially highjack those countries health policies. Such action to lead to medial tyranny which we are already seeing across the world with the mandates and lockdowns. No one measure fits all. I VOTE NO to this new power of the Pandemic Treaty. Elisabeth
Donna Campbell Art	Every country in the world must be sovereign in their abilities to decide how it responds to a pandemic. Citizens must be sovereign in there abilities to make informed decisions during a pandemic. The World Health Organisation is not a reliable authority on world health or on pandemics.
humankind	Every country in the world needs to be able to determine the health policy that is most beneficial for their citizens. WHO, being controlled by stakeholders such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundations, with massive conflict of interest issues, should never be allowed to set policy and rules regarding health for the whole world. Our health policies must be that which promotes health of people, not the profits of Big Pharma and their stakeholders. - as is happening currently with WHO recommendations.
private USA citizen	Every country in this world is their own country. Each country solves issues in their own way. Pandemics affect each country differently, some worse; some not too much. Every country has their own freedoms, or lack of freedoms. Every country has their own Constitution or laws that work for that country. There is no place for WHO to have control over every country. This is a BAD idea. Do not do it.
Self	Every country is an independent entity with individual values, and laws. No one common law for anything, be it pandemic, commerce, or resident behavior, should be governed by a world controlling group or organization.
AIM	Every country is unique per its geographic and climatic conditions and hence universal health policies or decisions cannot be applicable to all. Each country should have their independent medical policies without any interference from WHO. Countries are capable of taking medical decisions independently.
None	Every country is unique as it hosts a mosaic of resources, ethnicities, social issues, and political and cultural views. An approach that supports a "one fits all" international response not only goes against well established and UN respected multilateral principles, but more dangerously, it negates the basics of national sovereignty. This approach is not only carving a path that will undermine much needed flexibility is responses from countries In light of those differing circumstances, but also sets a precedent that undermines the strengths of the multilateral order so carefully built through decades. Countries authorities shall always retain the autonomous right to decide how they best to face a pandemic or a national disaster, taking into account their own situation and conditions. This is a fundamental principle, buttressed in experience and law, that ought to be respected in all cases. That does not mean coordinated help cannot take place, or recommendations be set. But the decisions as to what course to take in response to the pandemic should be as close as possible to those affected. This means to keep the ability of countries, local municipalities to remain to free to opt out or decide to do differently. Ignoring this, is erasing the political landscape which forms the world diversity. All human beings in the world should be able to vote for these crucial decisions that could affect us all. Who monitors transparency and legitimacy of this supra organisation, especially if pharmaceutical companies have a direct influence in the process?
General public	Every country is very different economically, socially, and climatically and thus should be allowed to prepare for pandemics as they determine is best for their citizens. One size preparation does not fit all. We need less involvement with Big Pharma and more involvement with scientists who receive no financial gain by being a part of pandemic preparedness. People should never be mandated to be vaccinated or punished in any way if they chose not to. Repurposed medicines should be available for doctors to treat people especially in countries that have less money.
Private	Every country MUST be able to decide what to do with the health of own citizens, according with the laws. It is very dangerous for people's freedom to do differently from this
No organization	Every country MUST make it's own decisions , rules in case of an pandamic or other urgent matters. NO MORE POWER TO THE WHO !!
None	Every country must retain control over its response to all local and global events. To centralize power in the hands of the unelected officials of the WHO undermines the democratic process and allows undue influence by large donors, who may not have the best interests of the people at heart.
Retired	Every country needs it own sovereignty to decide it's own health laws and norms. WHO Treaty violates that sovereignty.
N/A	Every country needs to be able to implement their own rule, none of which should infringe on individuals right to body autonomy. Any members of council should have zero profit potential for any products they promote, including any major contributors to WHO. It is highly unethical and a clear conflict of interest. Councils can be an excellent tool but any procedural consensus must be voluntary by each individual person and should not restrict their quality of life. Thank you, Megan Jennings
Independent	Every country needs to be independent of the WHO, as to how they treat any epidemics. Let's be realistic....the WHO haven't been unbias regarding their narrative towards Sars V 2 and it is because of outside influences towards the WHO. It would be too draconian for one organisation to dictate to 190+ countries to effectively 'shut down' their countries
Individual	Every country needs to solve their response individually. We do not need a global response that agrees lockstep with each other. There is too much money and control temptations. A few get rich at the expense of the peasants
Keine	Every country should be able to decide it self whats the best option in a pandemic situation. The WHO ist a private Institution and decicions depend also in privat interests. lat's time that people decide themthelfs ! lat's mit correct that a Fee people have to das what's going in Wirth Millionär of citicens . Stop thus way of making politic!
privat	Every country should be able to make decisionon theirown,to do what is best and suitable for a certain situation for its own people.
- None -	Every country should be able to make their own pandemic rules. The WHO should not be in charge of how the US responses to a pandemic.
Personal	Every country should be allowed to plan their individual response to pandemics. A one size fits all is wrong and tyrannical.
barons butchers	Every Country should be free to govern themselves, already with the last pandemic there has been a lot of problems with people falling ill after having the jab. I feel until the WHO is completely disconnected from private industry and the under certain influence from the big donors it is not fit for purpose. This is not the way forward, and should be stopped and a root and branch investigation into who says what within your organisation.
None	Every country should be free to set its own pandemic preparedness response, as it sees fit, for the good of its people and individual region and circumstances.
N/a	Every country should be in control of their own response. An overarching response can never be as effective as a bespoke response by country. WHO is heavily influenced by its funding so cannot be impartial. No government should be controlled by an unelected body. People have human rights an an unelected organisation cannot waive these
Green peace	Every country should decide for itself, which measures has to be taken. No organisation in the World should have the power to decide for everyone, we need a diversity of solutions and of meanings... we need to work out things accepting different ways of taking measures and we need variety of opinions. That leads to a collaboration and a sane development. We need everyone to take responsibility and thinking on their own, and not an Organisation that tells, what is right and what is wrong. That leads to control and will never ever give the human race to take responsibility.....
Private	Every country should decide itself how to handle with prescriptions and rules in time of pandamic and the way how to protect people! We stay democratic and not autocratic!!!
Concerned Citizen of Canada	Every country should give their citizens full disclosure of the constituents of the medicines and the trials that were conducted for their safety. The family doctor should know the medical history of patient and if they may have any known adverse effects if meds taken. (Allergies) IF there is a side-effect ,the gov't OR pharmaceutical company to be make liable. There should be proof of a REAL PATHOGEN from a human for the existence of desease by legitimate testing in a lab by Kocks Method NO children under 18 to be given injections without parental consent. Isolation ONLY for the sick. Choice of using alternative methods of cures for the person, and educating them in following good healthy style guidelines. Providing clean water/shelter and unadulterated food sources for the under priveledged. Sincerely Lillian McKelvey
privat	Every country should have independent elections The procedure should be fair and transparent Fair human rights - we dont need extraordinary, extremely pandemic regulations
VFF New Zealand	Every country should have the right and freedom, to make its own choices. Every man and woman on this earth should have the chance, and the right ,to vote. Men and women are not the property of the pharmaceutical companies, or governments ,or any other corporations. It is time to stop pure greed and evil, driving the elite to get what they want at all costs.
Finland	Every country should have their own plans. Covid pandemic has show'n that there is many ways to handle things. You dont know what is The best way. So it is very dangerous to have one international plan. WHO has been wrong in many thins and it is not independent organization. People shouldn't be outlaw.
Community	Every country should have their own say about the actions taken for pandemic preparedness. Every community is diverse and wants diverse options. No one single entity should ever control a massive portion of the population. I highly disagree with this one size fits all narrative that the WHO wants to implement. Keep our world free and open to make their own decisions. A wide range of decisions allows scientists to look at different scenarios and outcomes of those scenarios to figure out how to best handle breakouts in their own territory. Having a one size fits all preparedness strategy limits the success of other strategies that can benefit each community individually.
Private person	Every country, county, province or state should have the sovereign right to make their own rules or laws when it comes to pandemics. The people who have voted in their the leaders of the above - are responsible for decisions made on their behalf - as the people's of the world are free beings who have sovereign rights over their own bodies and therefore health decisions. The WHO should only be able to give guidelines - nothing more.
Blah	Every county is unique with own culture and traditions for health and healing. Do not attempt to control the worlds health as this is an abomination and a great overreach which is not wanted or asked for. 'who' stay in your lane and stop meddling in our personal health!!!
Mid Sweden University	Every decision made, should be under each countries sovereign & democratically chosen governments. Not by a global government, with leaders, NOT, chosen by the people. The WHO need to keep every decision transparent for the people of each country to review. Bodily autonomy should be of greatest concern, at all times. Violating this need to have consequences.
BROCHIER Holding GmbH + CO. KG	Every decision of such importance must be decided from democratic institutions and not from unelected oligarchs
Canadian citizen	Every each country has suverainty and the governments are capable of handling the health emergencies on own. There is no need for another layer of birocracy in top of what the structure already displays. A centralized system is probably effective in collecting data and issuing guidance but should not have any additional powers to dictate over each country structures, needs, actions and response. Each country elects officials in charge of such programs, we do not elect international bodies to decide on local handling of any issues. Please abstain on your desire to decide for the whole planet, such power only leads to corruption and science needs to be preserved in the face of financial interests of a few global players. Respectfully, Gabriela Prodea, Canada
private	Every elected government Must have full autonomy and be able to decide what is best for their own people. No influence for anyone else!
The Mountain Centre for Healing, Inc.	Every element of a WHO treaty should recognize individual liberty in every nation.
retired citizen	Every global citizen (& nation) is sovereign and therefore has the right to sovereignty over their individual bodies to make all medical decisions for themselves. The WHO has no right to have any power over that, the WHO cannot make treaties that remove power from the nations or individuals that are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over health decisions; but can only offer advice .
private person	Every government of a country must keep the power to act accordingly to or dismiss any guidelines on how to handle a pandemic or any other health issue in their own country. Countries have different circumstances, different types of people , different geological locations There is also a growing distrust in the people deciding where the money flows from my part and I am not happy with how we have been juggled about in the past two years with so many different recommendations back and forth, creating an unhealthy unseen barrier between people for a disease being dealt with as if it where the plague where most people are sick and get well, they don´t die unless they have underlying diseases or have had the inoculation it seems. Since the swineflu with people getting narcolepsy, having to go to court to be compensated, I am opposed to inoculating people with a so called vaccin that there is no way of knowing about the adverse effects especially when the virus mutates .How can anyone make a remedy for a disease they don´t even know about. As long as the vaccin manufacturers are not liable for faulty product and people with adverse effects from vaccins/inoculations are being ignored by the healthcare system and the informed consent is non existing and then people are loosing their jobs being barred from various establishments when they do not want to be injected. Should we then succumb to a few people in a group deciding for all people everywhere when we already know there is corruption and desinformation as of today. No! Let us inform and educate ourselves with trustworthy teachers and doctors, let us believe in our natural immune system and respect the individuals right to decide over their own body and mind.
Private	Every human being has the right to make decisions regarding their health and the health of their families! No government has the right to make these decisions for them! No government has the right to take away peoples livelihood and businesses on account of "public health"! The United States Constitution states very clearly what our rights are and this constitution needs to be respected and held to!! Thank you!
None	Every human being shall have the right to decide what is put inside their body! Every parent shall be left to decide the same for any children under 16 years of age!
Lakeview Fellowship	Every human being should be autonomous. The government and all of their entities have exercised too much control over the will of the people in the past two years. The only thing the government should be providing is information, not intrusive regulations and mandates.
USA citizen	Every human being's bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent should be maintained. To sacrifice any of these, in the name of safety, is likely to and already had lead to tyranny. Mandated Lockdowns, Masking, and gene therapy shots, to attempt to eliminate COVID, have caused more harm than good. Individual risk analysis is essential to maintain humanity and reduce harm for the so called greater good.
Private	Every human can decide for himself how to protect his health and what kind of medical care to choose in case of illness. Regulations in any form, no matter who is dictating them - WHO, EU, UNO, EU or every country's own government - are violating human rights, civil rights and according to medical issues like an experimental mRNA vaccine, even violating the Nuremberg Code. I am speaking out for the sovereignty of every responsible human being and I am willing to stand up for it.
Independent analytic and researcer	Every human has a non-discriminatory right to decide for him/her self to expose or protect to disease. Every human must have the option how and if want to be treated with prevention or curative for any disease whatsoever. This is undeniable right of all sovereign men and women, even with diseases which are 90% deadly. Nobody has an authority over a living body.
Origins	Every human should decide for himself what health is all about and not get injected by pharmaceutical trials
Women's Institute for Evidence Based Medicine	Every individual is able to decide for themselves what is in their own best interest health-wise and for the health of their children. Nothing infringes on an individual or a parent being able to make health care decisions.
Center Street Chiropractic LLC	Every individual nation must be allowed to determine for themselves how to handle any future pandemic. Each individual nation must be allowed to decide how, when or if to enact any quarantine procedures. Each individual nation must be allowed to determine for themselves the best possible treatment options. No single organization can be allowed to mandate pandemic procedures for the entire world.
Self	Every individual should be granted his or her basic human right to freedom of medical choice, without any form of coercion. There should also be unfettered access to information and governing bodies should not be comprised of people with vested interests.
Privat	Every life must be individual an self reflected
private individual	Every nation and local community should be able to determine how its citizen should respond to a health emergency. Every nation and local community should be able to freely choose what health considerations are required to keep it's citizen's safe, free of influence and recourse from their decision. Organizations should be transparent in their data supporting health recommendations. Corporations which create products for implementation must be transparent about the science and clinical trials supporting their products. The reasons why community health recommendations are made must tranaparent to the community, and its citizens must be allowed a democratic process in that the citizens choice must be allowed and respected. Individuals involved with the acquisition of the data to support decisions must be transparent in their financial ties to the products that are recommended, to the organizations they work for and represent, and in terms of any financial compensations afforded them in the result that certain recommendations are implemented.
Self	Every nation and local leadership should retain autonomy and make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they determine without any consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies of other global health profiteers.
Privat	Every nation and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BuildWorks NE - A self employed business owner whose health and wealth d	Every nation has a different set of circumstances regarding to: quality of healthcare, obesity problems and general consumption depending on that nation's wealth. It doesn't make sense for a uniform approach to a health problem, especially when the level of that particular health problem would fluctuate depending on a nation's unique challenges and their citizen's accessibility to a healthy life. Part of the beauty of a variety of different approaches is learning from them. This treaty would be undemocratic and the cure threatens to be even worse than the disease itself, if it doesn't take into account the variety of different consequences it would have based on the differing challenges a nation faces depending on it's wealth.
General public	Every nation in the world has its own unique cultures, beliefs, healthcare, religion and government. There is no such thing as a one size fits all global strategy for pandemic preparedness and response as no two are alike, even within regions where many are similar. In every nation, local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Both nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process must be open and transparent, allowing all people of the world to vote on including measures which will prevent the application of the global agreement in any place where a majority of people do not want it. Measures must be included to prevent influence in the process by or involving any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	Every nation in the world has its own unique cultures, beliefs, healthcare, religion and government. There is no such thing as a one size fits all global strategy for pandemic preparedness and response as no two are alike, even within regions where many are similar. In every nation, local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Both nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process must be open and transparent, allowing all people of the world to vote on including measures which will prevent the application of the global agreement in any place where a majority of people do not want it. Measures must be included to prevent influence in the process by or involving any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We do not want this, thank you.
Independent	Every nation in the world has its own unique cultures, beliefs, healthcare, religion and government. There is no such thing as a one size fits all global strategy for pandemic preparedness and response as no two are alike, even within regions where many are similar. In every nation, local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Both nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process must be open and transparent, allowing all people of the world to vote on including measures which will prevent the application of the global agreement in any place where a majority of people do not want it. Measures must be included to prevent influence in the process by or involving any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Every nation is sovereign entity and should be treated as such! WHO should absolutely have no authority on pandemic preparedness which supersedes a nation's sovereignty period!
	Every nation is sovereign, with elected officials making decisions based upon the needs and wishes of its citizens. The WHO is not elected to rule the health decisions of the world. It should not be granted those powers by any nations. It should remain advisory in matters of public health.
Self	Every nation is unique therefore local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. No measures should allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and medical firms.
The Monique Clinique	Every nation is unique with unique health needs and as such every Nation / Country needs to retain the right to make health decisions for their people at a local and national level autonomously. Each Nation / Country needs to be able to choose and decide on what is best for their people, even if it is different to that of the agreement. Each Nation / Country or municipality within a country also need to be able to opt out of the agreement in its entirety or part, all without consequence. The process creating the treaty needs to be transparent and open and allow for all people throughout the world to vote upon it. It also needs to have measures and processes in place that are robust and failsafe that will prevent the treaty agreement being applied in places where the majority of the people do not want it.
n/a	Every nation must have the right to take care of it's citizents in a humble and democratic way. No one should be able to tell anyone else how they should manage their lives. When it comes to health, we can offer information and care as societies, but without rules and regulations and absolutely without any mandatory vaccinations or medications, ever! Conducting experiments with humans is banned and must remain so. Always remember the Nuremberg trials and the code of Helsinki to never ever again do harm like in the second world war. The so called vaccines that are rolled out all over the world are extremly harmful and must be banned. Deaths among otherwise healty young people has risen by 40% in a year after the start of "vaccinations". Pfizer has mentioned 1100 adv.effects in their report from Feb. 2021. VAERS now has 25 000 deaths reported in the US and hundreds of athletes have died after vaccination. WHO has a list of 3 000 000 cases of adv. effects at Vigiaccess.org. WHO's attempt to overrule national sovereignty must be stopped immediately and never occur again! Democracy and freedom must be cherished.

Organization	Written submission
Woodstock Hospital	Every nation must, first and foremost, make its own decisions and take any WHO input as recommendations only. Any agreements made between a nation and the WHO must be done on an "opt in" basis and must also include an "opt out" option which may be made at any time. Open and transparent debate of the issues is an absolute necessity before any specific recommendations are made. Then continued open and transparent debate must occur to ensure any recommendations made are still valid, or if other options must be considered. Great pains must be taken to avoid any influence from pharmaceutical companies.
Mariano Firpo	Every nation or province or municipality should retain full autonomy to decide the actions to be taken during a pandemic as they consider fit to their people. They should be able to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. That's why people chose them to represent them. We should take measures to avoid pharmaceutical companies and other health related companies to influence any of these decisions. There also should be an honest and transparent method with the capabilities for all people worldwide to vote on it, with safety mechanism measures in order to prevent the global agreement from being put in place in places where the majority of the population oppose it.
Private citizen	Every nation should be able to make its own decisions over its own populations needs rather than a supranational o rganisation over which they have no democratic control Whilst the WHO can provide guidance and advice it should not be able to enforce actions on individual nation states. They should retain autonomy
The People	Every nation should be able to respond to any pandemic with respect to our constitution. We are a sovereign nation with individual rights. Local communities should always have the ability to do what's best for their people and people should always have the choice in regards to their health decisions. I do not support this overreach and infringement on human rights.
POET	Every nation should be in charge of their own pandemic responses. If we learned anything from COVID, we should have learned that responses must be tailored to each area and for each population. The WHO will always have a valued voice and input in health responses in times of crisis, but local, state and federal leaders for each nation must make the final decisions.
The United States	Every nation should have the right to make its own decisions on policies independent from the WHO. A single entity with the power to decide what the response will be for the whole world is just begging for corruption and abuse from the Elite class.
Myself	Every nation should have their own ability to decide how they should respond to a pandemic. When the powers are not transparent about common remedies and demand only one remedy to alleviate a problem so that Big Pharma can enrich themselves; that is not for the good of all people. I have authority over my body. Individual states should be able to vote on lockdowns, masks or remedies. Not paid for and bought scientists or politicians.
Humans like myself	Every Nation should maintain the right to make decisions for its own people. These decisions to be made as close to home as possible as every nation has its own special set of challenges cultures and traditions. And I think that most countries struggle with maintaining their own order and health in their societies and trying to do it globally will just bog everything down and make a giant mess things are better handled in smaller batches. It's terrifying that you're even considering this question.
Private	Every nation, country, individual must have the right to consent to interventions regarding treatments directed to the individual during a pandemic. WHO is not an organisation that should have the sole rights to direct and determine about treatments globally. WHO is a private organisation whose interests must be questioned. There are many dangers to give mandates to an organisation that is largely financed and supported by private means. As a Finnish citizen I strongly oppose that WHO is given any rights to decide about my health or treatments in any situation. The so called covid pandemic has turned out to be a dangerous and complete failure. Such a disaster must be avoided in future. All voices must be heard in a crisis situation where the role of controlled media, organisations and personnel interests must be limited. This has not been the case during the last two years of ill health in the world. Yours sincerely Anne-Charlotte Söderström
private citizen	Every national and local government need to keep full autonomy, reserving the right to make their own decisions. Every nation or region has to keep the right to opt out of any part or the whole of the agreement at any time without consequences. All the people of the world need to be able to decide if they agree or not. They must be able to withdraw an agreement at any time without consequences. Measures need to be taken to make sure that there is no influence of the pharmaceutical industry or other commercial interests on the process.. It must be made sure that the right of each individual to decide over their own body including medical treatment or vaccination is preserved. It must be made sure that the constitutions of countries can not be overriden or violated.
US Citizen	Every National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK private citizen	Every national government should have full autonomy regarding the implementation of any measure recommended by the WHO and every individual should have full autonomy over their own body. NO VACCINE MANDATES!!!
Krishna guruji social welfare society	Every One guide to do breath exercise pranayam daily overall to save our body along with vaccine YOGA VACCINE MUST I built a package of YOGA VACCINE
Freelance	Every pandemic should be controled on national level and use national resources that are first at hand - medicines, stuff, protection equipment, previous experiences ecc. WHO should not interfere with national epidemic plans.
privat	every people, human have to decide by own a medical indication - states have to control by itself if its necessary or not to start instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Robert Intile	Every person in the world have rights to their bodies over and above any organization or country. Threats like pandemics or so called pandemics are rare. Humans have managed to survive as long as we have by building natural immunities. A strong immune system will prevent or reduce most illnesses. Quality food, clean water, shelter are the best basics we in society can give to every citizen on this planet. Nature and scientists have provided us very safe and effective vitamins and drugs to tackle most disease. During this covid 19 disaster we can see we already had the tools to prevent and reduce illness in 99% of the population. It was the proscribed protocol treatments that harmed or killed people. I am against this proposed treaty #stopthetreaty and believe it will do more harm than good. Governments should be promoting a healthy lifestyle, helping people reduce there dependants on drugs to solve their problems. Junk food and poor quality of food in general is causing illness. Big pharma is not helping either. Sincerely, Robert intile Rintile@telus.net
Children's Health Defense	Every person in the world needs to be FREE to make their own health choices. No agency should EVER restrict or sanction an individual because of what they choose for their own body.
citizen	Every person must have the unalienable right to chose their own medical treatment in conjunction with their personal physician. Every person must have access to informed consent before accepting any medical treatment. All research data on any novel pharmacutacle drug or vaccine must be made available to every person considering or considered suitable for any such treatment. Every person must keep all of their human rights wether they chose to accept or refuse any medical procedure, and there is no right to live their lives as normal to be removed.
CEO	Every person on this planet and all nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Thank you. With kind regards Kate
Self	Every person should be free to make their own decisions because the government is not always correct as with Covid19. Shut downs, masking and isolation did nothing to prevent the spread of the virus and instead did much harm to the mental health and well being of both young and old.
Not applicable	Every person's ability to decide about their own health care choices needs to be protected. Removing this personal health care power from individuals and the United States goes against our inalienable, God-given rights to freedom of choice. I am against the United States agreeing to a legally-binding pandemic treaty.
no e	every person's decision must be accepted and allowed to be implemented.

GENERAL PUBLIC	Every region of the world is different. In regards to virulence and spread of pathogens, every region of the world is affected differently due its individual TERRAIN. Eg, whilst Europe suffered its peak of SARSCoV2 spread in the winter of 2020, India was almost unaffected,and vice-versa. When India suffered peak spread in June 2020, Europe was very mildly affected. Regions and cities within the one country can also be differently affected. The factors that account for the different terrains in each region can be studied so that each region can respond according to its individual needs.
	   Accordingly, all health and emergency decisions need to stay in individual states or countries. Australians must decide for themselves what course of action to take. All recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles
	   WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents.
	   Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arise from the use of the guidelines unless it is made clear that the country's decision is voluntary and thus the WHO will not be responsible.
	   Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited.
	   No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation.
	   The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders.

private citizen	Every signer of this treaty MUST have right to decline. A bidding treaty with WHO, or any international organization, that would give sovereign control of many different countries is a frightening thought. Living through the COVID-19 pandemic with CDC having the power to enforce their recommendations on citizens without regard to their rights, has caused me to realize what harm this power has caused. The recommendation, I believe, were part of a global power grab that should never happen again. Citizens lost the right to earn a living, continue their education, travel and more. Fear was used to manage populations who trusted their health advisors to make wise choices. Their choices have caused many health issues besides the COVID-19 illness and deaths. Recommendation for senior living facilities have caused great harm. Seniors are not criminals to be locked up and abandoned. I have first hand experience with the great harm done to these people. Limits keep me from going into detail, but from years of trying to change the "recommendation" because of the known harm they caused has convinced me the health managers can not be trusted. Other health conditions harmed by the lock downs have been publicly acknowledged. Even more alarming is the response to the vaccine and the government forcing everyone to receive them or loss their right to education, employment, travel etc. Those lucky enough to be granted exceptions are treated horribly. Health privacy has been violated and they are being treated as someone to avoid. In some cases parents are being forced to vaccinate children. Some have been refused medical treatment. Other dissenting professional opinions have been censored and criminalized.
private	Every single human being on this earth is responsible for their own health. They MUST NOT under any circumstances be forced to participate in any medical treatment they do not consent to.
Art for all	Every sovereign nation has their own rights. Stop the madness. Stop the overreach.
None	Every sovereign nation should be able to decide what is best for them in response to pandemics. We are not sheep or cattle. People want to live organic lives free from chemicals and pharmaceuticals. Even farmers in the US are free to decide whether to start an organic farm or not. It would be absolutely insane if member nations did not have the same freedom for their citizens.
Provate citizen	Every sovereign state retain autonomy to decide in the best interests of that country measures deemed necessary
Private Citizen	Every state and every single person must retain their sovereighnty! Human rights must be respected in any case! I am against an internationally led pandemic control by a single institution!
privat	Every state has to be his own sovereign and change their national common health programs if necessary or they must be adapted on the national and regional situation. The population of the every single members state must decide, wether they want the pandemic contract, any reaction or not. Results from the "Nürnberger Prozesse" stand over all, so no state, doctor ore anybody else can force an single person to get treated with special medicaments or being vaccinated with any actual or to be developed vaccine. We need no GAVI, just use the money you receive to stop hunger and not to force vaccination on earth.
Citizens Advocacy	Every state must enjoy full autonomy and freedom to decline implementing any part of the WHO agreement, at the sole discretion of the State.
Not applicable	Everybody is different. Every country is different. There should be no global health treaty for anyone. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans.
NA	Everybody is sovereign, so is every nation. Therefore, everyone should have the sovereign right to his / her own health decision, and does not require a third party to interfere. The individual right comes with the responsibility to bear the consequences of that decision too. By making the decision for an individual, does WHO wish to bear the costs / consequences of it as well?
NOW Media	Everybody should have/ has the freedom of choice. My body is my choice. Mask wearing should always be optional! Let the vulnerable mask up if they so wish and social distance, and let the health roam free!! Especially our children! Experimental emergency use drugs/gene therapies (marketed as vaccines or otherwise) should never be used on the population, not until there is clear un-bias, independently reviewed LONG TERM data to prove effectiveness. Criteria for this needs to be draw up, phara is full of conflicts of interest this makes for bias data! We still don't know the long-term effects on the fertility of both male and femaly, there is evidence now showing the spike protein is ending up in the reproductive organs. The vaccine and yet a large percentage of the population has taken it and continues to be encouraged to take boosters, while still no long term data, but loads of data on side effects. Lockdowns don't work and should be banned!
Private Eye	Everyone has the right to self-determination. Nobody should be able to tell someone how to live. Please leave it too! I will not participate in these power games!!!
individual	Everyone is entitled to have a say about their own health. Nobody should be forced to take any medication they do not wish to take under body sovereignty and autonomy . Governments have no right to force anyone to take any substance against their will. Individual rights should be respected. No experimental drugs should b used. Everyone should b informed of what they are putting in their bodies and the adverse effects explained clearly before any consent. Natural immunity and natural remedies should be prioritised before any other treatment.
/	Everyone must be allowed and able to be responsible for their own protection. This should not be regulated by an organization. Especially not worldwide, since there are major regional differences with regard to pandemics. It is not the job of an organization to force people to do something to protect themselves. Recommendations pave a more meaningful path, as has now been seen. You EU vs. China.... There are big cultural and regional differences as to what would make sense and where. Everyone should be able to make their own protection decisions.
No	Everything about covid is a lie... i hope everybody supporting this lue will go to prisson... I lost any trust in your Organisation...
Private	Everything included should be based on solid empirical research. Anything proposal deviating from established and widely normalised medical knowledge must be provided with sufficient empirical evidence. Measures taken must not infringe personal rights and freedoms, hence mandates on medical therapy or treatment (i.e. mandatory vaccination or coersion thereof) are not acceptable.
Tisdale Law, PLLC	Everything you do should be advisory, and you should make sure people's basic human rights are upheld. No forced lockdowns, no forced masks, and no forced medical treatments, including gene therapies and/or vaccinations.
Self	Excess deaths among the youth are equivalent to the Vietnam war. You do not belong in our lives. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ophtamologist	Exclusion of politicians in healthaffaires. Decisions should be taken exclusively by national authorities independent from sponsoring of anykind of donations. Absolute Transparency of financial and scientific background of testing and production. Garantee of no financial interest of pharma in pandemic situations related to public health.
Cc portugal	Exijo que acabem com as vacinas e com o uso de máscara DIGAM A VERDADE
Pacific Edge	Expectations: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
n/a	Experience of the Covid-19 pandemic leads me to believe that this initiative is not required. However, should it proceed then the following are essential conditions that should be included: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The protocols mist ensure open and transparent processes with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is critical that effective measures are included to prevent influence and conflicts of interest by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	EXPLOITER!
Zygote	Expressio unius est exclusio alterius. The treaty shall include interpretation section which explicitly details the drafters' intended meaning of the words and terms in the treaty text, and which also explicitly details how the International Organisation derives its assumed powers from the substantive elements known as immemorial possessions. The treaty shall acknowledge the reality that the feto-maternal organ of each man and woman is the proof of origin, status, powers and international personality of each one of us. Ex injuria non jus oritur. The treaty shall not continue presuming that the misleading documentary evidence from hospitals, churches and population registers proves that the immemorial possessions and the powers attached thereto were displaced knowingly, resulting in abandonment of the inherited status and full powers of all people, when in fact no intent of the abrogation of powers can be demonstrated, due to the presumption arising from an injury. Fictio iuris non est ubi veritas. National identities of citizens, which are the objects of the proposed treaty, are legal fictions. A government authored ens legis with a fictitious registered birthday, created under the color of law using untruthful documentation based on material omissions, material irregularities and undisclosed chain of custody of the substantive elements, is void 'ab initio'. The proposed treaty shall make it explicitly known that it applies to fictitious juristic persons only.
Private Citizen	Extract from European Commission answer E – 002335/2020 "The mandatory contributions by WHO Member States accounted for only 20% of the WHO's budget in 2018-2019, while voluntary contributions represented 80% (USD 4.488 billion). These voluntary contributions include those from the WHO's Member States and other contributors, including the Commission. Because of its observer status in the WHO, the Commission makes voluntary contributions only." If the above information is still correct (to any extent), it is inequitable, in fact dictatorial, that the W.H.O. wishes to have the absolute power to institute a dictate to override the often hard-earned democracy and sovereignty of member countries to make their own decisions relating to pandemics when past experience has shown that they are capable of considering their particular isolation, ethnicity, education and standard of living. As an illustration in another area of world-wide co-operation, the United Nations has been ineffective in preventing the war in the Ukraine. R J Davis New Zealand 12 April 2022
Self	Extremely important for independent freedom to choose is allowed in any type situation. No mandates should ever be made based on bias. All medical professionals in all areas should be allowed to present information. Any entity with a financial gain should not be allowed to make decisions. Only peer reviewed papers and reports should be allowed. True data needs to be presented. Natural remedies should always be allowed if that's a persons choice. Never should I person have to inject their body with any foreign substance against their will and better judgement. Parents should always be the person making medical decisions for their children. The constitution is number one! It should always be followed.
None	Eyes, to look at what's actually happening, on the ground, rather than a computer model. Redefine pandemic, again, because what we're having now is not a pandemic.
Private individual	Faceless unelected groups, who are far to close to pharmaceutical companies and certain powerful countries just like the WHO. Having the say over our health. Totally undemocratic and far too dangey to support.
SAC EDV-Dienstleistungs GmbH	Facing the expirience of the last 2 years I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Keenschool	Fact is that worldwide policy across the globe in response to covid and based on WHO recommendations has caused tremendous suffering, most notably for our youths. I believe this to be the result of the WHO to be too far removed from daily running of countries thereby insufficiently in touch with local situations. At the same time too dependent on lobbyist and private party funding. I terefore strongly believe the WHO should go back to its roots and advise en support financially poorer nations who show interest in improving their basic healthcare infrastructure
Human Race	FACT: The WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. OF UTMOST IMPORTANCE: All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, INCLUDING when an emergency exists. FACT: The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Be steadfastly accountable to the words of The WHO Constitution!!!
none	Failing to understand that the "one world" is not homogenous. Local leaders and elected officials are close to their constituents and allow for changes and modifications to the laws - this is necessary. This is what I am talking about: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Dixie Gainer
Member of public	Failure of the WHO in respect to their response to C-19 should mean that the organisation should be dissolved rather than given further power over the population of the world.
private individual	fair enough to have a common approach but it will only be accepted by the people if: it is fully transparant with sources of funding of the research behind the position being fully exposed it is optional by the individual governments, and subject their individual democratic processes
Deutschland	Falls ein Pandemievertrag geschlossen wird, müssen sowohl die Souveränität der nationalen Parlamente, als auch die Grundrechte jedes Bürgers gewährleistet werden.
Government	Financial aid to governments Access to medicines Balanced information Open to criticism
Self	First & foremost as a free human spirit, we need to be able to have a voice as one especially regarding health issues & not one voice for all, leaving it in the hands of a certain number of individuals. World wide emergency or not health choices need to be of personal choice with informed consent.
General Public	First and foremost a Global Pandemic Treaty would be an abomination for the entire world! This would be unacceptable to Canadian sovereignty and dangerous to our individual health and welfare. Families or an individual should be the sole director of their healthcare decisions including when there is an emergency. Healthcare must remain at the local and community level. No one entity in the world should hold sovereignty over the healthcare rights of the entire individuals of the world! We as humankind must maintain our rights to body autonomy and sovereignty.
Health and human services	First and foremost choice needs to remain with individuals. Given correct and TRUTHFUL information, allow people to make free and informed decisions based on the facts. There can never again be the lies and deceit of these lockdowns and false information given. The scare tactics are over. If we cannot trust the institutions who are Responsible for this information, then Disband them!
private	pandemic treaty. We all elect our respective governments and nobody, no entity or organization shall have the right to dictate their own rules or restrictions, not even the WHO. The WHO is NOT a governing body and thus has business to interfere in any way. The WHO can issue recommendations but nothing beyond that. In case the pandemic treaty does come to pass after all the following safeguards MUST be implemented: · Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden · Discriminatory measures must be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance and perpetuity · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
private	pandemic treaty. We all elect our respective governments and nobody, no entity or organization shall have the right to dictate their own rules or restrictions, not even the WHO. The WHO is NOT a governing body and thus has no business to interfere in any way. The WHO can issue recommendations but nothing beyond that. In case the pandemic treaty does come to pass the following safeguards MUST be implemented: · Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden · Discriminatory measures must be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance and perpetuity · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
N/A	First and foremost 'Preparedness' should be education from an early age on how to be healthy, with the guidance being supplied by people with appropriate knowledge of how to achieve health. These educators should NOT come from any drug industries or their affiliates. Decisions on medical protocols to be applied at the personal level should NOT come from the WHO. The WHO health record, as shown by the great increase in chronic disease in the last few decades, is dismal. Medical decisions must remain at the personal level, which level, when information is made available by those with true healing capacities and knowledge but WITHOUT vested financial interests in the 'medical industries', will allow each individual to make informed decisions on how they will deal with any medical challenges, be they worldwide, local or personal. A much healthier world would ensue than the current one run by unethical, immoral profiteers.
The United States	First and foremost the decisions made by any world group should be openly, intensely, and publicly debated. These debates should be available for all to see, and public comment should be allowed. Secondly, local, state, and national governments should retain the right to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement. Nations and local leadership should retain the right to make decisions that are best for them, as every town, state, country, and continent has very different needs, details, and health statuses. (Case in point: Africa has largely been unaffected by the pandemic compared to Europe and North America.)

Organization	Written submission
Voices For Freedom	First and foremost there should be an independent panel of experts in all areas of medicine, immunology, virology who can be brought together in an international public forum and hosted independently from W.H.O. This should happen very early on in the pandemic and meet throughout in response to changing situations and variants. There should be no conflicts of interest including the body who is hosting the independent forum for debate. There could even be more than one body responsible and changes to panel experts to bring in fresh perspectives. All known facts and evidence as well as risks and threats should be raised and discussed here. Agreement on a series of suggested ways forward to coordinate and create consistency internationally in the response of individual countries can be presented. Elements that need absolute consensus from the independent panel of experts are a reliable means of: - testing peoples' natural immunity - testing peoples' contraction of the virus - providing evidence of transmissibility of the virus - providing evidence of the isolated virus Finally recommendations should be made to governments and governments should be able to choose a course of action (rather than a one size approach for all).
Pensioner	First and foremost, an international, and honest panel of experts, including expertise in doing a proper risk/benefit analysis, should be set up. There already was an excellent paper on the WHO website on how to handle a pandemic. Why was this not used? An analysis of that question would be a good start to improving the credibility of the WHO. The Covid response has proven beyond doubt that such a "perfect storm" scenario creates a perfect scenario for greed and corruption on the highest level. Funding to this panel of experts should not come from anyone, or any organization with vested interests in profiting in any way from such decisions. Indeed such funding should NEVER be allowed to fund the WHO! If you sincerely want a "substantive element" then that needs to be changed immediately! There is no "one size fits all" solution to human susceptibility to infectious diseases besides having a good innate immunity. Healthy lifestyle and good food, clean water, clean air etc. is a universal solution to good health. A global solution where any one organization can dictate and enforce medical interventions on all of mankind can never work. Institutions like the WHO can make good honest suggestions but it has to end there. There has never been and there never will be one solution for the "greater good" of all mankind that has worked. Please let us all learn from history and the mistakes of the past!
Keen Eyes Editing	First and foremost, any new international instrument must protect the rights of individuals to freedom of expression, freedom of assembly, freedom of association, and freedom to choose their own form of healthcare. The instrument should incorporate the principles of the Nuremberg Code regarding the requirement for fully informed consent before a person can be given an experimental treatment (or indeed, any treatment). Physicians must be free to use their own knowledge and judgement in designing treatment protocols for each patient. They must not be subjected to threats of de-licensure if they adopt a protocol that is not the same as some international authority. Censorship of health-related information must be forbidden, regardless of the source of the information. Anyone who publishes alleged misinformation should be answered, not censored.
none	First and foremost, bodily autonomy, right to refuse and informed consent must be included in all planning elements, across the board. These elements must be included because they are integral to human rights, physical, mental, and emotion health and safety. There must be open access to all trial data. Long-term studies must be done prior to mass medical interventions. Access to study data and long-term studies help to evaluate efficacy, all-cause mortality, side effects and provide the necessary information needed to give informed consent. There must always be liability to any companies and individuals creating and providing medical interventions. This holds said companies/people accountable for the highest standards for trials, delivery and for any outcomes of medical interventions.
Individual	First and foremost, everyone should have the right to make medical decisions for themselves. Bodily autonomy is key. Mandating vaccines, especially those without long-term safety studies, should never be mandated.
Marie Walker, PhD	First and foremost, guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. Further, guarantee that nations and local governments can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit and without consequence. Second, prohibit all conflict of interest, including influence in any part of the process by pharmaceutical companies, healthcare profiteers, and other financial and political influences. Third, require an open and transparent decision-making process to restore trust and ensure safety. Finally, forbid the censoring of free speech to allow the return of science that is not politicized, monetized, or incentivized.
None	First and foremost, I am not in favor of the WHO making any decisions for any citizen of any nation. However, since that is not the scope of this situation, I believe that the following limitations are absolutely essential: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	First and foremost, I want to acknowledge the absolute disaster of a COVID response by the WHO. Also, it is necessary to bring awareness to the alarming notion that the WHO believes themselves to be the ultimate authority on how a pandemic should be handled in the future. Due to the conflicts of interest regarding pharmaceutical companies and their ties to the WHO; the WHO has no right to tell the world how to handle anything regarding a pandemic. All your measures and decisions will be based on the profits of these companies and funding for yourselves. Not to mention various political and government agendas. There is too much corruption within the WHO and on the world stage regarding Big Pharma. I also hope the collective consciousness is becoming more aware of this. In conclusion, the main measures that need to be included are to let individuals decide what is best for themselves and to accept bodily autonomy. No one should trust a global authority on health, especially when it is far too disconnected from what it means to be actually healthy. No time was spent by the WHO to educate people on how to be healthy. All the propaganda based mainstream media said was to wait for the vaccine. Pharmaceuticals have their place, but they are by no means the end all be all. Profits cloud the mind and cause incompetent decisions to be made. Too much power in one institution will inevitably lead to incompetence and corruption.
Department of Education	First and foremost, I would like say that PLEASE STOP MANDATORY VACCINATION to us Government Employees as well as the other agencies. We have our own immune system in our body, let us just trust God made. I have a lot of friends and Relatives who died because of the vaccines, the others are suffering from illnesses since when they got the jab. We are now in Level 1 which is the Covid is being lesser.. PLEASE STOP THE VACCINATION!!! If you guarantee that if something happens to me after getting the vaccine, would you assure that you will pay? I will not going to be part of the EXPERIMENTATION!!!!
Completekare	First and foremost, prohibit, cease and desist any single agency, institution, person, or entity from controlling a global pandemic response. Secondly, use all available resources to prioritize re-purposed medications to treat symptomatic individuals. With that said, allow individual medical professionals to treat their patients without government, WHO, or federal constraints; there is no "once size fits all" approach to health. Thirdly, form an investigational body that encourages debate, discussion, and openness of conversation to identify containment, prevention, and population treatment goals. Never, ever propose universal lockdowns again - it did not work! Finally, limit health guidance to recommendations and opinions that always yield to a personal doctor-patient relationship.
None	First and Foremost, respect of our inalienable human rights and civil liberties. Every human being must remain autonomous and their self-determination be respected in their health and wellness decisions. Fully respect the sovereignty of all peoples and nations. There should be no treatment or medical intervention that should be mandated or imposed nor any restrictions that threaten these human rights and civil liberties. Human beings should be able to choose the treatment they want having access to all the information to make an informed decision. We, the people, should also have full access to treatment of our choice including repurposed medications. No human being should be discriminated against based on their medical status or choice. There should be complete transparency about any experimental testing and treatment with any and every medical body or pharmaceutical company providing full accountability and that they be held liable. There should be restoration for harms from medical interventions/treatments. Any mass experimentation and social engineering needs to be fully rejected. Allow for open debate and dialogue from healthcare providers, scientists, people in research and hearing any and every point of view. Medical practices should be evidenced-based if not also held liable. Be guided by the oath of healthcare providers to Do No Harm not just physically, but also emotionally, mentally and spiritually.
Cleo Loizou	First and foremost, the most substantive element to include in such a treaty is the body autonomy of each person. Personal sovereignty to choose if they have a medical procedure or vaccine must be legally protected. The sovereignty of each government to decide what is best for their country is also paramount. Lastly, all governments, WHO, government agencies, pharmaceutical companies must be held accountable and liable for any wrongdoing, fraudulent trials or activities, harm through emergency measures or vaccines.
private citizen	First and foremost, the treaty should not override the constitution of any country. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to the sovereignty of all nations throughout the world. During Covid, the WHO leadership has failed the people by encouraging lockdowns, masks, leaky vaccines, and the suppressed of early treatments. The measures recommended by the WHO have not proven effective in reducing the death count from Covid. Rather, they have increased all cause mortality in some countries, ruined economies and decimated small businesses. The WHO has demonstrated it cannot be allowed to control the world's health and impose a "one size fits all" policy for the entire globe. It did not work this time, and will not work in the future.
Private Citizen-USA	First and foremost, there is no desire to turn over personal and local decisions of health matters to an unelected, undemocratic organization. No one has asked our citizens, or of any country, to vote on this for approval. WHO is a seriously conflicted organization that classically demonstrates corruption and power of those wishing to influence and be in charge of global decisions. 1. An open and transparent process that respects the right of all people of the world to vote on the matter, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 2. The agreement can only be guidance and any person, community or nation may choose not to implement any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. Nations and their local communities must fully retain the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. Such measures may not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health agenda influencers or profiteers.
None	First and foremost, you must ASK the the people of the world IF THEY WANT YOUR MEDICAL ADVICE AND HELP and honor their choice. Please do not appoint yourself health czars to the world. Secondly, you need to educate yourself on the various healthcare modalities BESIDES pharmaceuticals. Third, you need to demonstrate that the health protocols you want to offer to the world are effective. For example, the United States is a very unhealthy country and that being so, its health care system does not serve as a good role model and is not one to emulate. Lastly, you need to educate yourself on where the world went wrong with regard to health. If you don't conclude that the problems have to do with processed food introduced in the 20th century, you need to re-think things. Good luck.
Unaffiliated	First and foremost. I do not think that a global pandemic treaty is a good idea at all. Things controlling peoples human rights should not be controlled by an non democratic global organization. The risks are to great. This must be included in the Pandemic Treaty, in a case such a treaty is to be signed: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	First and foremost: the right to information, including that which governments, and international non-governmental bodies do not agree with. It is a fundamental breach of solemn ethics to censor dissenting interpretations of evidence or challenges to factual findings. Second: Data and contractual transparency. Those who wish to make official recommendations have the burden of publishing "all" relevant evidence, including, but not limited to, source code for computer models, and contract terms between pharmaceutical buyers and suppliers. Third: A re-enshrinement of the right of individuals to judge their own risk, to decide for themselves how they will respond to the medical threats they face.
Bonds of Affection	First and foremost: we are sovereign human beings. That, among many other things, means that we decide what goes into our bodies. No government or corporation or individual has any right to decide that. And will never have, no matter what the 'emergency'. Period. That's all.
Ohio Advocates for Medical Freedom	First health is very bioindividual and all health decisions must be made at the level of the individual not the state or global level as individual health is affected by age, gender, health conditions, and family genetics. How will you protect the individual right to choose how to take care of your own body and the right to bodily autonomy? Because all medical decisions involve risks, there must be a choice and that choice is best made at the individual level where the consequences of that choice are experienced. Second, how will you protect the national sovereignty of individual nations that are part of this treaty? In the United States we have the Constitution and the Bill of Rights to protect our civil liberties, human rights, and medical freedom of choice in the event of a pandemic. How will you guarantee those national sovereign liberties with this treaty? Also, because the US is so big and diverse, individual states have varied greatly in their response to the pandemic and how would you protect the rights of individual states to make their own decisions within their states based on their situation and their constituents? Finally, how will you protect religious freedom through this treaty. And individuals very personal religious beliefs govern how they choose to take care of their own bodies and medical decisions. How will you protect religious convictions as regards to health decisions?
Right to Life	First I do not see the need for such an organization. I believe each country/state/city has autonomy regarding the health of their own citizens. Second there should be no consequences if an entity does not enter into such an agreement.
None	First I do not think there should be an international instrument to pandemic response. The WHO have not been elected by the people of the world to represent the people of the world. The WHO could make suggestions but individual countries should not be bound in any way to carry out those suggestions. Each nation can decide how they want to handle future outbreaks. There should be no consequence for not following a WHO recommendation. There is way too much risk of financial corruption if everything is totally centralized. Pharmaceutical companies (and anyone with a financial interest in such companies) should have NO influence in recommendations coming from an NGO or a government. If current leadership of any nation signs on, that nation must be free to terminate the partnership at any point for any reason without consequence. I strongly oppose any one entity deciding what measures will work the best for the entire world.
n/a	First is it an Emergency, can it be a Pandemic, are people dying and bodies are everywhere. or do you need to go out in public, enter a public place, wearing something on your face that does nothing, get tested with a PCR test that is incorrect, throw the infected mask anywhere, not in a biohazard bin. Allow the media to scare people into believing everyone is going to Die. TRUTH
Privat	First of all before there is such an instrument WHO should be financed fully by states and not by private organisations or companies to make sure that there are no privat advantages by any action of WHO! The following issues must be part of any instrument for regulations in case of pandemic: -Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden -Discriminatory measures should be prohibited -All measures must take full account of all health interests, including psychologic and social issues -All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
none	First of all I don't think we should vaccinate our way out of every illness that will come our way in the future. Much rather I think we should encourage people to build healthier and fitter bodies. Especially if it's a respiratory infection with a 99% survival rate that was always supposed to become endemic at some point. Also we should stop reducing hospital capacities and rather invest. The human body is the most ingenious thing ever and we should develop it and have faith in it. Protect the people at risk and let everybody else go on, especially if there is no way of stopping transmission. Most of all we should never make the same mistake ever again and causing so much friction and hate amongst people with different opinions.
TRAVNICEK	First of all I herewith declare, that I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! In case the WHO'S proposal cannot be prevented I demand as follows: - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Vorlaufer-Bayer	First of all I herewith declare, that I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! In case the WHO'S proposal cannot be prevented I demand as follows: - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Koehler	First of all I herewith declare, that I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! In case the WHO'S proposal cannot be prevented I demand as follows: - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Roguski	First of all I thank the commitee to allow this open forum. I feel that any further dictates by health authorities is unlawfull in that inalienable human rights may infringed upon. Whatever the good intent our constitution must apply with all due respect. History has revealed time and time again that tyranical mandates and orders always fail in the face of true an God fearing people. In short I ask this who to cease and desist as to the vote of taking our human rites. Sincerely yours Arden Ambrose
no organization	First of all I want to say that I am against such an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response because I am in favor of sovereignty of each country in case of crisis - I am against any instrument that undermines the sovereignty of a country. But if it should still come to that then the following points are of great importance: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
no organization	First of all I want to say that I am against such an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response because I am in favor of sovereignty of each country in case of crisis - I am against any instrument that undermines the sovereignty of a country. But if it should still come to that then the following points are of great importance: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
no organization	First of all I want to say that I am against such an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response because I am in favor of sovereignty of each country in case of crisis - I am against any instrument that undermines the sovereignty of a country. But if it should still come to that then the following points are of great importance: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
no organization	First of all I want to say that I am against such an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response because I am in favor of sovereignty of each country in case of crisis - I am against any instrument that undermines the sovereignty of a country. But if it should still come to that then the following points are of great importance: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Mankind	First of all I would like to state that we do not need any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. In all countries we do have elected politicians which are in a position to decide for the country and for their people. All countries have own individual prerequisites and do have specialists which can exactly capture their needs. There is no international leading and ruling Organization necessary. As I have mentioned we do not need any preparedness. We do not need any electronic device which is through all countries unique and we also do not need any vaccine mandate. The only preparedness which I could imagine is that we have to establish all over the world human rights again and the clear statement is that each country have to decide by its own.
	First of all my belief is that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy concerning health care decisions for their people. Secondly, nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. Thirdly, measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	First of all there must be independent decisions. Without f.e. pharma industry lobbying. No private fundings with conflicts of interest. Only public fundings. Diverse decision making. Health must be understood globally including social interests. No mandatary vaccines. Transparent structures so that we can trust WHO. Can we?
Human Being	First of all there should be Made clear That noboy can be threatened to participate in medical treatments. It must remain voluntarily. Especially if the treatment is so new and experimental That there is no knowledge of possible Long term side effects. Let People be responsible for themselves and provide possibilities. Thank you.
Humanity	First of all there should not be a globalist instrument in the first place. Each nation should make their own arrangements in accordance with the rights and freedoms of their people. The will of We the People of each nation must prevail at all times. Nature rarely produces pandemics, so the source must be investigated by each nation separately and thoroughly. Separation of duties is a well known method for preventing corruption. The sanctity of life and of freedom must be paramount. There must not be any mandatory actions and the Neuremburg Code must be honoured and respected. All scientific / medical information must be discussed openly and a wide range of views promulgated.. The power of a strong immune system needs to be communicated. For example the power of a diet rich in fat soluble vitamins and other key nutrients needs be known by all.

Organization	Written submission
Privat	First of all we need trust. The WHO should become an independent organisation just financed by member states. Otherwise there are conflicts of interest and no credibility. In a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response it should be included: - Most important: A clear statement about the respect for all human rights - The focus during a pandamic should always be on health and not on economical or political interests - International true experts from different countries and subjects (public health, psychologists, doctors, scientists …) should be included into a kind of board to give fundamental advices - Exchange platform to learn from experiences in different countries (what works, what doesn't) - Open dialogs between different experts to avoid making mistakes and overlooking risks - Switch on common sense - All measures need to be analysed in terms of their benefits AND harms. - Recognition of different ways to treat a disease (there is never just one solution) - Transparency in terms of contracts with pharmaceutical companies - Information about possible side effects of vaccines or drugs should be mandatory - No mandatory vaccinations or drugs - No violation of data protection – a vaccination should always be a personal decision and no rights should be connected to a vaccination status - Everything can just be an advice to the member countries - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Many thanks for the opportunity to give input.
Kirsi Vitikka	First of all WHO has no rights to enter into state and individual-binding international agreements. In this case, the proposed agreement would deprive the peoples of independence and individuals the right to decide on their fundamental rights and life and health choices. Hence, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The nations and local municipalities must maintain their self-evident right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process and decision making by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be established. Stop tyranny of global enterprises and politicians in their pockets from ruining independence of the nations. We are not slaves or robots and will not comply to be programmed as such. I am a Finnish citizen and I will remain a free individual! Democracy must be preserved.
Laughing Brook Zen Group	First of all, any global recommendations of the WHO should be clearly recognized as the opinions of the WHO, and not be presented as world-wide mandates. Secondly, for the credibility of the WHO, it is of primary importance that its voting members not be tainted by conflict of interest. As it stands currently, the WHO is funded and controlled by one narrow portion of the world's health modalities; i.e., the pharmaceutical industry. This is a shameful situation.
None	First of all, I am concerned about the way this question is worded. It ASSUMES that a worldwide policy is needed. It implies that there is no room for discussion about whether a policy is even needed or not. Too one-sided in its ideology. Secondly, our United States government is responsible for the health and well-being of its citizens. That responsibility is ours and ours alone. We do not need an outside organization telling us how to take care of our health issues. Thirdly, it's impossible for one organization to know how to best meet the health needs of people all over the world! A one-size fits all policy is beyond comprehension. Control. Control. Control.
Private Citizen	First of all, I am very skeptical on any international instrument dealing with pandemics, since the last one went so poorly. Here are things I think should be considered if one is necessary. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and leadership, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, like many NGOs.
Citizen of the European Community	First of all, I generally oppose an international pandemic treaty that takes precedence over national constitutional law. Secondly, any mandatory vaccines and discrimination measures must be prohibited, both on a national and international scale, and liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states of the WHO. Thirdly, all decision-making bodies of the WHO must be diverse and its funding must not depend on individual sponsors and/or private interest groups. Critical voices in particular must be heart and be included in the decision-making process. The latter has to be totally transparent to the entire public and citizens of all member countries and the world at large.
OPC	First of all, I reserve the right to make decisions on what is best for me and my family. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Prive	First of all, I think that for something to be called "pandemic", it has to gave a very high lethal rate, not something with rate less than 1% of the population. There should be diversified information available, but the personal freedom and choices have to be respected. Everybody must be free to decide for themselves how to take care of their personal health and their own bodies! And this without any repression or obligation or consequences.
Healthcare	First of all, I would like to oppose the nature of the question, because the crucial question is whether there can be international instruments at all there are asked about national laws. I strongly urge that man's dignity and bodily integrity be upheld! I was afraid during the COVID Pandemie for the first time in my life of the transgression of the state and the WHO! I would like to point out that the WHO was not formed in accordance with democratic principles and thus does not represent representatives of the people!
Individual	First of all, is it justifiable to have a so-called "global pandemic response treaty" as every country is distinctive in every aspect? We all know that a person's medicine could be poison to another. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. These measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Platania	First of all, it is absolutely unconstitutional for one organization funded by the private sector and non-elected officials to dictate the lives of everyone on earth. Second of all, gain of function should be completely stopped so we don't have to live through corrupt fabricated pseudo pandemics for the interest of the banking cartel and the pharmaceutical industry. Globalists must all be arrested so these crimes against humanity don't happen again.
private	First of all, it must be clear under a treaty as to what a pandemic is. Traditionally, this meant significant numbers of deaths. This has been changed to significant numbers of cases, through testing. But this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis), c) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation in statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. Secondly, under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule doctors sense for what is best for their patient. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to open-mindedly determine what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step to centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but to democratic freedoms and values.
General public	First of all, it should not be the government of participating countries together with WHO to make a unilateral decision for the whole world to follow. Health experts like the virologist, scientist, epidemiologist, etc with no ties to the pharmaceutical companies should be involved in any decisions to be made for mankind. From the Covid pandemic episode, we now know there is no protection against Covid even with Covid vaccination. So it does not make sense for WHO to only allow the vaccinated to travel. My point is WHO should not take matters into their own hands without consulting independent experts. If not, WHO will become irrelevant, untrustworthy and not an organization that the world looks up to. It's time for WHO to look inwards and examine what they could have done better.
My household	First of all, know the illness before you shut the world down. COVID-19 was not as severe as the WHO and the media made it out to be. Information was falsified all over the world, money was given for the right results to the medical industry. The dishonesty concerning COVID-19 was extremely shocking. The cure was worse than the illness. Lockdowns are not helpful. People should have the freedom to choose their own destiny and not be mandated. The masks are not helpful! You wouldn't wear them to work in the construction site exposed to asbestos… And asbestos is much larger than a virus in microns. Mandating masks was a cruel and useless mandate. In fact your recommendations were quite harmful.
Private	First of all, such a treaty should never take place. Countries and governments should decide on their own what is best to its people, and collaborate with each other without the interference of non-elected body such WHO. If ever such a treaty would be settled inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies is mandatory.
private person	First of all, there always needs to be RESPECT FOR THE HUMAN EXISTENCE in the first place. It has been deeply neglected for a long time now, for example by masking children, by indoctrinating them with fear and responsibility for their family, and by closing schools against better knowledge. Plus: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law …only to name a few things.
none	First of all, there should not be an international instrument in pandemic preparedness. Every country should have the sovereign right to direct their own response based on their needs. An international response to the potential of a pandemic only serves the goals of businesses and individuals who have a financial stake in the outcomes. The international community should focus on every country having access to safe, potable water, therapeutics for common illnesses and investigations into the rise of immune disorders, asthma and obesity. These are our priorities as a global community. And finally, the Nuremberg Code should be strictly upheld. The individual's right to personal autonomy should serve as the foundation of any agreement.
Poland	First of all, they cannot overcome the law of a given country, they cannot violate human rights. Every adult person is FREE and decides about his own life.
CHD	First of all, this treaty is undemocratic and a direct violation of national sovereignty. But since the WHO doesn't care about the public's opinion, I'm writing anyway for the record. A treaty of this type must consider national and individual sovereignty. The right over their own bodies. Not the potential use for mass slaughter of populations (especially ethnic groups that suffer from systematic racism, including the UN itself). Not population reduction, which will be proposed to stop an alleged global warming that has never been proven to exist. Population reduction is not a solution to anything. Overpopulation is a myth. Respect for the rights of each individual over their own bodies. Not for use/application of medical techniques and/or experiments, including "vaccines", without informed consent. Removal of vaccine passports as they do not prevent the alleged transmission of a virus. Above all, a pandemic cannot be considered a pandemic if a virus has never been isolated (I am not referring to the technique of mixing genetic material contaminated with toxic drugs and claiming, without evidence, that the virus is there and from this lie making the false sequencing of a virus that does not exist). Any and all cases of adverse vaccine reactions should be publicly reported. Any physician who does not publicly record cases will be removed from the order. Not for the deletion of information.
N/A	First of all, you made an underlying assumption that a treaty was necessary without engaging in the the voices of the people of the world. With this is mind here are my recommendations: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy - thus ensuring the needs and the will of their people are met * An opt out option for nations and local municipalities for any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * Ensure each country has a Referendum pertaining directly to this treaty thus allowing an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote; this should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Ensure that profiteers such as pharmaceutical companies cannot influence the process * Ensure that the people and nations of the world have a greater voice than individuals or few nations/corporations that finacially support and thus dictate or heavily influence your organization's policy/direction. * Ensure Regulatory Capture is not possible.
ARH	First of all,I would like to question the assumption that there needs to be a global response, because this has been assumed and we have seen no discussion about it at all. Countries' sovereignty should be respected. There has been a frightening lack of discussion or debate about how to deal with the Covid-19 pandemic within counties and globally with a one size fits all medical approach which focussed on vaccination as the one and only response where new generally poorly tested experimental products were used which already have shown that the not insignificant risks associated with the vaccines were both underrated and not rigorously followed up when so called adverse events occurred. The vaccines are still very much experimental and we, the public ,can not trust when we know pharmaceutical companies are trying to suppress findings./reports as in the case of Pfizer for 70 years, which suppression the courts disallowed but we are still ina very early stage of these vaccines being used. There has been a suppression of views recommending other ways of tackling covid-19 , and no sacrifice of individual bodily autonomy to government control should ever be allowed. A totalitarian health regime is abhorrent to many of us, who have alternative views for promotion of good health , welcome non-vaccine therapeutics and urge wider discussion of human rights and have horror of violation of these.
None	First off next time there is a pandemic we need to take the time to listen to all different people in the medical community. We need to be more open to a variety of treatments and not just push a certain med or agenda. Doctors went to school to study and learn they practice. We weren't listening to physicians that had good results with a treatment, just because the government wasn't making enough money off if it. We need to in the future allow patients and family members to decide what is best for them. Not dictated and forced by the government. We need to listen to a wide spectrum of medical practitioners in a variety of fields. Micro pathology, holistic health, icu physicians, general practice. We need to remember not everyone has the same response to disease and may need different treatments, and more or less. The big thing is the government should not be pushing their agenda. They should be open and then using a variety of medical care options/treatments and encourage those and what is best. Also, not pushing vaccines or meds the next pandemic that have not been in a study for years like other meds/vaccines/immunizations have to pass.
Private	First off, I don't support the treaty on principle. Each country should be able to handle their response individually with the best interest of their population in mind. "If " there were such a treaty it must hold provisions for the sovereignty of nations and of individuals. Individuals must hold sovereignty over their own bodies and health without government or global interference.
self	First off, I don't believe a global agreement is necessary; however, I understand that this opportunity is only to provide input on what people believe should be included in it. What should be included: - National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	First off, I think it is egregious overreach to not ask the people of the world whether or not they believe a global agreement is necessary. Instead, you've skipped right ahead and decided for yourselves that this measure is warranted. That in and of itself is scary and results in much skepticism and distrust in a world already rife with both. So, if my voice is only being allowed to comment on the contents of what may be included, I ask the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Without coercion, threat, bribery. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time, Jacquelin Zubko-Cunha
Public	First one organisation should not decide for all countries and should only advise. Secondly each countries population should have the final say whether choosing to follow guidance
no organization	#StopTheTreaty 1 March 2022 TO: People throughout the world. Governments, presidents, health ministers. Independent media TO: WHO via World Health Assembly, Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr Soumya Swaminathan TO: United Nations via Antonio Guterres, Liu Zhenmin, Elliott Harris, Maria-Francesca Spatolisano The World Council for Health, a coalition of scientists, doctors, lawyers and civil society advocacy organizations, opposes the World Health Organisation (WHO)'s moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement, while the world's attention is diverted by the latest crisis. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WCH believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments
Private person	First the population should vote for the members of the WHO and than correct the meaning of a pandemic (can't be a flue) no money from the pharma industry and no money from Mr Gates or Gavi or any foundation only from the member states. We are humans with human rights and nothing else. Stop the crime We cannot have an international Instrument on pandemic prepardness and response set in place by all the corrupt members. Let the population decide and start normal debates again.
Private Citizen	First the WHO should not govern any requirements for sovereign nations such as the United States of America where I live. However, your document should include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies that make decisions on behalf of the citizens.
Not applicable	First you must restore your original definition of pandemic. Second you must reduce rather than increase the power of the WHO. You are not elected officials. Do not reduce the sovereignty of your members. The handling of the covid situation has been a disaster everywhere in the world. The lock downs, vaccine mandates etc. have done far more damage on every level than the virus. There have been unprecedented violations of human and civil rights. Endless and ruthless propaganda with zero input or outright persecution of opposing views has been the new normal. All with the encouragement of the WHO. Right now there's thousands of people in Shanghai screaming out of their windows in fear of starvation and frustration after being imprisoned in their homes. These measures are not necessary and clearly not effective yet they continue. Countries without high vaccination rates and oppressive mandates have fared better than those with them. The only people who have benefitted from the WHO guidance are the uber rich .The world does not want or need a WHO with legal global authority. Any "safety " that such an authority may provide is absolutely not worth the cost of our freedom.
Self	First, all those that are currently heading the WHO should be removed immediately. There is a fundamental lack of compassion, intelligence, and common sense coming from this organization. The last two years have shown the disaster that exists in this organization. We need to move away from a globalist view and move towards being the change for ourselves at a local level without the over reach of the WHO or any other governmental agency. What has been demonstrated over the past two years is those with authority parroting an agenda rather than actually caring about the people - a literal song and dance show. Those who lack compassion and do not know how to listen should immediately be removed. Those who have the funds to establish foundations and be part of private groups with lofty entrance fees, should be the ones giving money and tax dollars from citizens should be given back (without a debit to their yearly taxes). Put your "philanthropy" where your mouth is. If you can't agree to move to the local level, then dismantle the entire WHO at once - we would all be better off without it's existence.
Self	First, and foremost, I want any future pandemic response to allow for the sovereignty of every country to remain in place, as well as that of individual states within those countries, to implement or not, any guidance from WHO or any other organization. Due to the utter failure of the WHO and others in regards to the SARS CoV2 pandemic, I will resist any authoritarian, top-down, global policies. Second, I want any future pandemic response to include these elements: 1. Risk stratification analysis and communication 2. Focus on early treatment, especially using cheap, repurposed drugs. 3. NO MORE lockdowns, NO MORE mask mandates, NO MORE vaccine passports. These DID NOT WORK. 4. Policies that honor individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy. 5. FULL TRANSPARENCY on all trial data and the contents/ingredients of any therapies, vaccines, etc. 6. NO IMMUNITY from prosecution for vaccine manufacturers. 7. A complete overhaul of the vaccine injury reporting systems used in the U.S. and elsewhere to capture ALL vaccine injuries AND full support and medical treatment of those who are injured. I am 100% against any global pandemic response that is implemented and governed by the WHO or any other organization.
world citizen	First, citizens of the world did not vote for this "treaty" or grant the WHO authority to make decisions that will compel us to follow the direction of the organization. Humans have the right to make decisions about our own health--it has not, and never will be, one-size-fits-all. Therefore, autonomy must be retained by nations, local leadership and individuals; all of whom should be able to opt-out to any or all of this treaty with no consequence. The process must be transparent, with all parties involved and financial interests disclosed and considered. The health decisions for billions of people cannot possibly be made by an organization such as the WHO, and should not be, for that very reason.
Home Health Care	First, determine if such an instrument is really necessary, and what would be the pro's and con's of doing so. Keep in mind that trust is not automatic, and include: 1. Full autonomy for national/local leadership making decisions based on best interests of their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. An open, transparent process with the ability for all people worldwide to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the imposition of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures prohibiting influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Patos Suertudos	First, do no harm. Evaluate every intervention based upon solid evidence free of conflict of interest. Empower local communities, families and individuals to decide for themselves how to address potential threats armed with independent uncensored and complete information from all perspectives. Protect personal privacy and freedoms. Provide a wide range of options of prevention and treatment with freedom to choose. Weigh long term impacts to quality of life when considering potential consequences of intervention. Listen. Observe. Tell the truth. Allow nature to take its course.
Na	First, I don't think there should be an international treaty with so much power and control concentrated in one agency. Shortening a vaccine development window puts public health at risk. Vaccine companies should be held liable for injuries due to their products. There should be individual and state sovereignty and respect for human rights.
Citizen	First, I am against such an instrument. But, if one had to be utilized I would like to see the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process, including a provision for open discourse of the pandemic and possible responses/actions being considered. For all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	First, I believe that an international instrument to manage global pandemics is potentially harmful for democracy and can risk deleting individual human rights as has recently happened. If this did happen, then I believe the following must be included: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be ensured. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are essential.
living woman	First, I do not believe any global treaty is necessary or advisable. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Should not tie future generations to bad policy! 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Strict liability for corporations and government for policies which end up degrading health. Compensation from pharma for any damages caused by vaccines, whether injected or self-spreading. 6. Freedom for individuals to access their own choice of drugs and treatments. Disempowerment of medical establishment grip on access by people to pharmaceuticals in the western world and open access as in India. This would favor the poor of the world.
None	First, I do not think the development of such an international treaty is needed or helpful. In healthcare one size does not fit all and any healthcare or remedial measures should be tailored to an individual's health situation and profile. Specifically, there should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organizations that may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. In sum, I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty, as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights.
Sovereign	First, I don't think the "one size fits all" approach is viable or doable. Autonomy at the individual level, respect for individual choices would be the ideal situation at all levels of government.

Organization	Written submission
none	First, I don't believe there should be a treaty. But is there is one, Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private individual	First, I don't think we need such an instrument - each country must retain the democratic ability to govern itself. The WHO must remain an advisory body only - it is unelected and must not ever wield power or authority over any sovereign, nation states. Second, it is of course up to the WHO if it creates a pandemic instrument, but this must permit free speech and the open exchange of ideas - not the dogma and censorship that have been the hallmarks of the last two years. Third, any such instrument must unequivocally confirm and embed the right of free and informed consent - coercion and mandates of medical interventions are unethical and abhorrent. Fourth, the WHO (and its personnel) must divest itself of any private funding. It is insufficient to declare interests - there must be no conflicts (or potential conflicts) of interest. Funding must be truly independent and dispassionate. Fifth, the WHO's (or its members') analysis of covid or any future public health issue must include a full cost/benefit assessment of any policy responses, and these should remain under continual reassessment as the relevant issue progresses and new information arises. We must recognise any errors in a chosen path, admit them, and adapt.
Person	First, I don't think we need such an instrument - each country must retain the democratic ability to govern itself. The WHO must remain an advisory body only - it is unelected and must not ever wield power or authority over any sovereign, nation states. Second, it is of course up to the WHO if it creates a pandemic instrument, but this must permit free speech and the open exchange of ideas - not the dogma and censorship that have been the hallmarks of the last two years. Third, any such instrument must unequivocally confirm and embed the right of free and informed consent - coercion and mandates of medical interventions are unethical and abhorrent. Fourth, the WHO (and its personnel) must divest itself of any private funding. It is insufficient to declare interests - there must be no conflicts (or potential conflicts) of interest. Funding must be truly independent and dispassionate. Fifth, the WHO's (or its members') analysis of covid or any future public health issue must include a full cost/benefit assessment of any policy responses, and these should remain under continual reassessment as the relevant issue progresses and new information arises. We must recognise any errors in a chosen path, admit them, and adapt.
private	First, I oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty. No political authority for the WHO for any health issues. I stand for human rights, for man's dignity and free will, for free choice of medicine and medical treatments, for self-determination regarding my body, and for free speech. I stand for clear separation between individual health issues and general politics. I stand for individual sovereignty of the living human being with body-mind-soul, and national sovereignty of every nation worldwide. Medical professionals as well as politicians have to serve the common good of the living human people, not act against it. Only strong and healthy individuals by their own means make a strong nation. This must as well be the goal of the king or political leader of each single nation. I believe in man's spiritual and divine nature and my god-given free breath.
none	First, make sure there is not another pandemic like covid by working to eliminate bioweapons and gain of function research. People cannot stay healthy with these deadly activities in labs across the globe with leaks being all too common. The people of each country should maintain their sovereignty in determining how they will proceed in a pandemic. Freedoms and liberties so hard fought for should never be sacrificed out of fear of staying disease free. Medical professionals should debate the best course of action with no one being censored. Lockdowns cause more harm than good, so should be avoided.

NA	First, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Second, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	  Third, an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	  Fourth, measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

American Citizen	First, no treaty of this kind should be entered into. That said, is such a treaty is entered into, the treaty should expressly without qualification preserve U.S. and member sovereignty, and should not strip or infringe in any manner whatsoever on American family's freedom to make their own health decisions.
Self	First, not enough people know about this proposed WHO pandemic treaty that affects our life and health. Please extend the comment period six months or more, and promote the opportunity to comment. Second, I question the need for a world pandemic treaty that apparently can overrule laws made by existing governments. This has potential to disregard our US Constitution and its Bill of Rights which thousands have fought and died for. This unelected world body purports to represent all the people of the world. Because WHO is sponsored in great part by pharma companies, it cannot represent people who are patients or whose lives would be regulated by this treaty. Any world agreement needs to abide by the Hippocratic oath ("Let doctors be doctors" and treat individual patients with the goal of healthy lives) and the Nuremberg principles of not experimenting on people without their consent. That is all. Thank you for this opportunity to comment. Please Listen!
Self-Employed	First, should the treaty exist at all? I am requesting the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years such as scientific proof that additional lockdowns and mask-wearing prevent the spread of virus to any appreciable degree. I am interested in preventing further damage to health related to WHO pandemic preparedness. For example, the news media can easily broadcast a number of ways to remain physically and emotionally fit by discussing exercise, healthy habits such as listening to music or taking a walk outdoors, proper diet, and elimination of junk foods, rather than relentless 24/7 fear mongering which served to enrage, divide, and kill people. Medical/dental practices can safely remain open and in full operation for necessary patient care for those not ill from a pandemic du jour. Many renowned and respected physicians continue to voice concerns about the safety and efficacy of the covid vaccines. Their voices are stifled and their medical licenses and sometimes their very lives threatened. Can the public expect the same poor treatment of licensed physicians during upcoming pandemics from WHO? If so, what is WHO's justification for such treatment, especially during a pandemic?
None	First, the consideration should be natural immunity of the population. Healthy adults and children should continue their daily activities without restrictions. Second, elderly and vulnerable adults and children should be given protocols for enhancing natural immunity response- vitamins, diet and exercise recommendations. Third, transparency to all available data regarding the virus without censorship. The data should be open to the public from multiple sources following the true scientific method. There should be open debate regarding the adequate response relative to the absolute risk to the population. Individual freedoms, rights and choices should be respected and upheld and public health should be promoted all year round focusing on natural immunity, low BMI and mental health.
Self	First, the leadership at the National United States of America level and the local level in each US state should retain the autonomy to decide for its citizens what is best for them. Decisions regarding health and health care must be local decisions. Each nation and local municipality must retain the power to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. A transparent and open process with all peoples of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that prevent the application of any global agreement in any place where the voting majority of people do not want it. There need to be measures that do not permit any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical manufacturers, lobbyists, or any other company having a concern with the manufacture, pharmaceutical research and development, or distribution of any drug, pharmaceutical product, injection product, oral solid tablets, capsules, or any other pharmaceutical transfer method into a patient or citizen by which any company, organization, non-governmental organization, government, municipality, or any other global health profiteers
Familienvater	First, the right to bodily self-determination must be guaranteed; it must not be possible, even indirectly, to use this instrument to force medical treatment, e.g. by linking rights such as freedom of travel to it. There must be guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual, the respect of human rights, as well as the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies. There must be the possibility for the individual to opt out without limiting any personal rights.
general public of citizens of the United States of America	First, there should be no agreement, and no WHO. The definition of pandemic should be unmutable as to be defined by the extent DEATHS/MORBIDITY - not fake/contrived case numbers of any disease, illness, virus, etc. WHO Decisions should take into account the entire global medical community - not just those being rewarded to support a particular narrative. The WHO is not recognized as part of the Judicial, Legislative, or Executive body of the US Constituion. It is Unconstitutional and the WHO should have no governmental policy enforcement capability or oversight for the United States of America. No remarks, comments, or idealogies should be censored, deleted, altered, etc. All comments should all be included in the hearings or elsewhere that comments consistent with the WHO narrative would be present.
Privat person	First, there should be NO such an international treaty on pandemic preparedness and response. Second, IF there is to be such an agreement for the people: - it should NOT undermine the sovereignty of nation states and their constitutions; - it SHOULD guarantee inalienable civil and human rights, individual sacrosanct bodily autonomy, the inalienable right to refuse treatment, freedom of choice, and the inalienable right to speak his or her mind in public; - governments, states, provinces, groups and organizations may NOT suspend human rights because of „emergencies", and citizens must have the right to vote to end states of emergency; - it SHOULD guarantee complete transparency (such as all depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time); - it SHOULD include legal liability of government officials, pharmaceutical companies, manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and procedures (i. e. they must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services); - it SHOULD guarantee that vaccine status will be NOT used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses, and healthy people will NOT be lockdowned or quarantined in any way.
general public	First, to agree a definition of a pandemic. To respect each sovereign country's individual circumstances and right to decide their own strategy; circumstances are very different in different parts of the world and require different strategies appropriate to each individual community. And within each community every individuals' right to body autonomy should be respected; each individual should be encouraged to take responsibility for their own health. The more you all people what to do the less responsibility they will take. Peoples' health is individual and we have the right to choose how we look after our own health.
World Citizen	First, WHO has no business attempting to implement control over countries. Second, the people do not believe for one moment that you did not actively participate in the creation and development of of the last pandemic and are 100% guilty of crimes against humanity. Third, any future plans that you try to implement will be met with complete resistance. This is simply your and the elites attempt to control and imprison the population. You are evil and we see you. I recommend you tread lightly.
n/a	First, you should understand that ordinary citizens in democratic countries do not support your undertaking. The WHO does not constitute a supra-national governing entity with dictatorial powers. Therefore, under no circumstances will this "pandemic treaty" supersede the sovereignty of nation states. The WHO can make recommendations, with no power to enforce such recommendations, NO obligation for nation states to accept the recommendations, and NO punishment of any kind for nation states who reject the recommendations. The WHO has NO authority to censor or throttle information that goes against their official guidance. There must be an avenue for substantive legal recourse against the WHO and its employees for issuing bad guidance, such as class-action lawsuits. There must be clear instructions for how to formally remove WHO employees who transgress their limited duties and lose public confidence. There will be clear and high standards for justifying the declaration of a state of emergency. There will be clear and realistic expectations for declaring the end of an emergency. The state of emergency will AUTOMATICALLY END at the end of one year if no course of action has achieved realistic and measurable goals for pandemic management. IF THE WHO'S RECOMMENDATIONS FAIL, THEY WILL BE ABANDONED AS A COURSE OF ACTION FOREVER. The WHO must agree to its own terms of dissolution, should this undertaking transgress national sovereignty and the will of the people.
Grünen gegen Impfpflicht & 2G	First: How dare you?! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Journalist	First: The WHO should change its definition what a pandemic is. A pandemic should be a disease, that is dangerous to all ages of a population. There should be a danger of dying or damaging the health of many people. Second: All measures to prevent infections should be voluntary. To protect yourself against a disease is something elementary for your personal life. If you're convinced, you will do it yourself. There should be never repression or exclusion of people. Repression will cause resistance and that people don't do what is usefull. Third: An open discussion of scientists of all subjects is necessary to find the best way to protect the population. It is absolutely essential that the scientists are independent, that they don't receive donations of the pharmaceutics industry and that they publish openly which firms pay money to them.
	First: The WHO should change its definition what a pandemic is. A pandemic should be a disease, that is dangerous to all ages of a population. There should be a danger of dying or damaging the health of many people. Second: All measures to prevent infections should be voluntary. To protect yourself against a disease is something elementary for your personal life. If you're convinced, you will do it yourself. There should be never repression or exclusion of people. Repression will cause resistance and that people don't do what is usefull. Third: An open discussion of scientists of all subjects is necessary to find the best way to protect the population. It is absolutely essential that the scientists are independent, that they don't receive donations of the pharmaceutics industry and that they publish openly which firms pay money to them.
none	Firstly I believe it needs measures in place to ensure companies who would profit from any decisions made are prevented from having a say in the matter. Specifically pharmaceutical companies and any others with financial gains in the process. Secondly I believe it is important that each country still has the right to the final say on what is best for their people, even if this differs from the majority of other voices within the international effort. Thirdly there needs to be full transparency with failsafe set into the process so the people have a say and cannot just be governed by a few who think they know best even if it isn't what the general public want. Lastly and most importantly the ability for nations to leave the agreement without consequences if the decisions made inside the agreement aren't in the best interest of their people.
Human Race	Firstly I do not approve of the 'international instrument' whatever that means. Each place and each person can make their own choices. To respect human bodily autonomy. No mandatory procedures without fully informed consent. Emergency procedures that have happened during Covid were uncalled for and not effective or safe. Censorship of good alternatives were suppressed. Local measures are more effective and guidelines can be given, but not to be enforced by a centralised unaccountable organisation such WHO. No laws are necessary to override people's choices. No universal control. As a species we have lived and thrived without this type of intervention. All the interfering did this time was cause more death and more stress.
Member of the public	Firstly I oppose wholeheartedly the WHO treaty. No unelected health body should have authority over any nations population. All nations must maintain the right to autonomy. The right to opt out of all preparedness procedure if deemed unsuitable/ unnecessary/ counter productive. No conflict of interest. No pharmaceutical bias or exploitation, extremely lucrative drug peddling has to be separated from any international governing body. Awareness of local/national characteristics, cultural identity and indigenous population are best represented by those nations leaders and health authorities. No one size fits all.
Private	Firstly I recommend that each community take responsibility for itself and give guidelines after evaluation of available information. As every community and every country and the peoples and environment of every community and country differ, I regard an international one size fits all approach as inappropriate. Secondly, create a sound database where all experts on viruses, microbes, environment, not only those within the WHO, can publish their opinios without any sensoring so that all available information is revealed. I recommend that researchers all over the world be drawn in so that independent scrutiny and opinions on health related issues by different specialists can assist in a multi-disciplinary appreciation of a situation approaching emergency. Also I recommend that more clinical studies be done to verify the value of any treatment before unverified and "probable" solutions are distributed, by independent experts so that results can be compared. As best response and approach to a pandemic on international scale I recommend that much more information be made available on healthy living to support the immune system, making vitamins available at low cost and motivating people to produce their own basic vegetables free from chemicals.
Retired	Firstly I want to object to treatment of the eventual existence of the legislation as an a priori assumption. Your question is what should be included in the treaty, not should the treaty exist at all. That said, any future treaty should unequivocally include the following: • the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty. The WHO should not have the power to overrule countries' governments in any matter. • respect for human rights • legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies - things that have been completely ignored for the last 2 years.
None	Firstly I want to object to treatment of the eventual existence of the legislation as an a priori assumption. Your question is what should be included in the treaty, not should the treaty exist at all. That said, any future treaty should unequivocally include the following: • the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty. The WHO should not have the power to overrule countries' governments in any matter. • respect for human rights • legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies - things that have been completely ignored for the last 2 years. MvH Christina Ekström
Member of the Public in the UK	Firstly I would like to state my belief that this treaty is entirely unnecessary. However as the WHO believes that it is acceptable to impose this treaty upon the citizens of the world with no democratic mandate, it is important to put on record the following demands. That the national and local leaderships of member states retain full autonomy over pandemic and other emergency responses and that all nations and local municipalities are able to opt out of any and all parts of any agreement as they wish, with no consequences. There should be an open and transparent process that is subject to a democratic vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority doesn't want it. The measures should not allow for any influence from any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. As a global citizen, I do not consent to being subject to any treaty that seeks to override the sovereignty of the democratically elected government.
RAKU O'GAIA MUSIC	Firstly may I respectfully observe that over arcing question provided by the WHO does not ask the people of the world whether or not they believe a global agreement is necessary. Instead, the organization has decided for itself that this measure is warranted and is asking for input on what people believe should be included in it. I feel this is an inappropriate first step in it's own right. In addition to this, within the enforced context of considerations created by your guidelines, I would suggest: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
National Sea Rescue Institute	Firstly there can be no world body that decides to implement any policy on A SOVEREIGN NATION unless the people of that nation agree by majority vote to these sugestions. Second point being the pharmaceutical company's and people who profit from vaccines/ medical treatments cannot have any say in what is decided by this decision. That is to say there can be no organisations who will make a profit from any pandemic in future times. Thirdly if any sovereign country wants to opt out of any mandated or agreed policy's by these world health organization's there should not be any consequences leveled against the county or citizens of that country.
Human	Firstly we should never attempt to vaccinate during a pandemic. We should wait to the pandemic is over before we start issuing out any vaccines. Secondly these vaccines must never be mandated and humans should be allowed free will whether they decide to opt in to experimental therapies. Most importantly we need to use Robert Koch Postulates to identify any reported viruses. Thanks
None	Firstly we don't want a global international agreement. Each sovereign country has a right to decide it's own policies on the health of it's people and their right to chose their own treatment. The WHO has proved to be totally corrupt during the so called pandemic. It ignored all safety data on the vaccines it enforced masks and lockdowns that brought Europe to its knees - it negated all other tried and tested treatments in favour of dangerous untested vaccines and as Rochelle Walensky reported it presented Pfizer's own propaganda as fact. With its main source of funding coming from those who have a vested interest in the pharmaceutical industry we have no faith at all in the WHO and would deeply resent it's attempt to control international health policies when its members are not even elected by a country
Private	Firstly, all countries are and should be forevermore, sovereign. No international pandemic response should overarch that sovereignty. Specifically, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen and professional health care provider	Firstly, any nation or local municipality MUST have the right to opt out of any and all portions of such a new instrument, at any time and without consequence. An international instrument (on pandemic preparedness & response) (aka: IIPPR) MUST include the right of nations and local leaders to retain full autonomy in making the best decisions for their own people. An IIPPR MUST involve an open, transparent process with integrity and well-established biomedical ethics (including the Nuremberg Code). Under NO circumstance should features of an IIPPR be imposed on any nation or local municipality. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers MUST be excluded from positions of substantial influence given high risks for conflicts of interest. This exclusion must be verified and documented. Lastly but not least important: the very notion of an IIPPR should be made as a PROPOSAL to member nations of the WHO to consider, suggest terms and vote on participation; all within a generous time frame allowing for comprehensive analysis and review.
Concerned citizen	Firstly, every single person working in this "dept" during the covid pandemic need to be fired. Start over with stronger minded individuals who won't sell out to the highest bidder and use this position of power to actually do something constructive and helpful. After that everything else will just fall into place. The results are amazing when you replace the corrupt bottom dwellers with decent caring people.
Living women	Firstly, I believe in total body autonomy. I am sure many people would find national and local government to be useful to help guide their decisions. However, government should only be there to advise its people, the final choice should be always be left with the individual. This treaty aims to stop that happening which is something I cannot condone and see no justification for.
None	Firstly, I believe there is NO NEED for a new "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". However, on the assumption that one may be created anyway, the new instrument: 1. Will have as it's centerpiece the preservation of individual free, fully informed consent. 2. Must never override national sovereignty of signatory states. In other words, compliance must be wholly voluntary. 3. Must never violate ANY fundamental human rights (including religious freedoms and freedom of conscience), due to the fact that the dictatorships and tyrannies of history rely on "emergency powers" to rise to power and retain that power. It is a tool too powerful to be in the hands of any individual, group or institution. 4. Must solely focus on providing guidance and information to signatory states. No orders, directions, mandates or laws. 5. Must have as a guiding foundation that the state of scientific and medical knowledge is constantly changing and irreducibly complex. Moreover, in recognition that history is replete with examples of genuine scientific/medical advancements that were met with broad based contempt (or at least resistance) sometimes for years or even decades before being accepted as correct, the instrument will champion openness, tranparency and debate. It will never sanction censorship of opposing views, no matter how absurd they might appear. Rather, it will champion countering bad explanations with better explanations and let individuals make their own free, informed choice.
None	Firstly, I believe there is NO NEED for a new "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". However, on the assumption that one will be created anyway, the new instrument: 1. Will have as it's centerpiece the preservation of individual free, fully informed consent. 2. Must never override national sovereignty of signatory states. In other words, compliance must be wholly voluntary. 3. Must never violate ANY fundamental human rights, due to the fact that the dictatorships and tyrannies of history rely on "emergency powers" to rise to power and retain that power. It is a tool too powerful to be in the hands of any individual, group or institution. 4. Must solely focus on providing guidance and information to signatory states. No orders, directions, mandates or laws. 5. Must have as a guiding foundation that the state of scientific and medical knowledge is constantly changing and irreducibly complex. Moreover, in recognition that history is replete with examples of genuine scientific/medical advancements that were met with broad based contempt (or at least resistance) sometimes for years or even decades before being accepted as correct, the instrument will champion openness, tranparency and debate. It will never sanction censorship of opposing views, no matter how absurd they might appear. Rather, it will champion countering bad explanations with better explanations and let individuals make their own free, informed choice.
Citizen	Firstly, I believe there is NO NEED for a new "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". However, on the assumption that one will be created anyway, the new instrument: 1. Will have as it's centerpiece the preservation of individual free, fully informed consent. 2. Must never override national sovereignty of signatory states. In other words, compliance must be wholly voluntary. 3. Must never violate ANY fundamental human rights, due to the fact that the dictatorships and tyrannies of history rely on "emergency powers" to rise to power and retain that power. It is a tool too powerful to be in the hands of any individual, group or institution. 4. Must solely focus on providing guidance and information to signatory states. No orders, directions, mandates or laws. 5. Must have as a guiding foundation that the state of scientific and medical knowledge is constantly changing and irreducibly complex. Moreover, in recognition that history is replete with examples of genuine scientific/medical advancements that were met with broad based contempt (or at least resistance) sometimes for years or even decades before being accepted as correct, the instrument will champion openness, tranparency and debate. It will never sanction censorship of opposing views, no matter how absurd they might appear. Rather, it will champion countering bad explanations with better explanations and let individuals make their own free, informed choice.
I am not affiliated with any organisation.	Firstly, I do not believe in the need for this treaty at all, but seeing as you have already concluded that there will be one, given the question posed, "what would you like to see in it", then I feel the following must be included; 1.National and local leadership must have ultimate say when it comes to decision making on health, and measures towards restrictions in the name of health. What the people of China are willing to put up with, is not necessarily right for the people of the UK. 2. Pharaceutical manufacturers need to be made accountable for the safety of their products. 3. Genuinly independent testing paid for by governments must be performed on all new vaccines, and no new vaccines used publically until a minimum 2 years of test data has been complied and verified. 4. PCR testing cycle threshold (when relating to testing for virus) to be capped globally at a number of 25 or lower.
Citizen of the USA	Firstly, I do not believe there should be an 'international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response'. I trust that each and every municipality, state, province and country has the right and responsibility to make their own decisions and choices in this matter. A 'one size fits all' response' never has, and never will be the best case scenario. Secondly, policies that are created or influenced by pharmaceutical companies should be strictly prohibited. Allow the people to decide for themselves...not government agencies wielding power. The WHO should NOT be deciding for us. Thank you.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	Firstly, I propose smaller, localised responses at grass roots level to any such 'pandemics'. Bearing in mind that cancer pandemic of 1 in 3 is far more deadly than what the world has been observing over the past two years and that the data coming out is not at all indicating that it ever warranted pandemic labelling. Secondly, the only implementations I propose should be given to each country's governments promoting natural ways to increase immunisation in anycase. These include - dietary intake of green vegetables in the form of pesticide free chemicals. The WHO would be better placed to raise awareness and command a halt to the chem trailing of our skies, the contamination of GMO's to our food supply, keeping our waters pure as nature intended and stopping use of harmful non-natural chemcials. - immersion as often as possible in nature; daily movement which the body thrives on. - paying attention to beliefs around 'catching' illness, educating the masses on Epigenetics. Sadly, the education of people in the body, mind connection is about 30 years odd behind. With all this instant communication, one cannot help but wonder why the new sciences are not being filtered into mainstream. There are many integrative ways to promote strong health as preventative measures. As the WHO are well aware, the role of face masks is worthless. They have littered the environment with an abhorrent amount of plastics and this goes against everything the public have been told regarding the narrative of climate change. The promotion of values of living in alignment with Nature, kindness, honesty, compassion and co-operation and respect are critical for us all to overcome any kinds of 'external' threats to humankind.
Citizen of Planet Earth	Firstly, I think that all gain of function studies should be permanently curtailed world wide so as to prevent future "pandemics". I also believe that changing the way that we grow our food needs to change to sustainable and soil revitalizing systems without the use of GMO plants or chemical herbicides and pesticides in order to increase the health and vitality of humans and the planet that we live on. We also need to take a serious look at our healthcare systems which are currently being grossly influenced by for-profit pharmaceutical companies. In addition, I think that as sovereign individuals, that each of us has the right to determine what we will or won't put into our bodies or our children's.
Individual	Firstly, it's interesting that this consultation doesn't even ask WHETHER a WHO treaty on pandemic preparedness is necessary, but what changes need to be made to it to make it fair. It's not fait by it's very suggestion of it being an international, one-size-fits-all instrument, not taking into account personal health issues, which must be taken into account when pumping the body with experimental injections. Here are a lit of other factors that need including: 1. All countries' national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Very strict, and constantly monitored and checked measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Complete and utter right of individual to opt out of any inspections, mandates, treatments, etc. It has to be a personal choice whether someone has a 'vaccine' or not. Especially now, there is overwhelming evidence that not only do the covid-19 jabs not work, but cause more harm than good. 6. No removal of patients' bill of rights in hospitals, clinics, outpatient settings, etc. 5. Prevention of Tech Giants and mainstream media from silencing alternative views from medical professionals. Debate must be allowed otherwise this is medical tyranny.
public	Firstly, no body of the public voted for WHO to take control of the public. You are there for no reason but to further a non elected governing body. Secondly, no virus has EVER been isolated 3, The PCR is not a test 4. You have no right to an individuals bodily automoy, none. 5. Common core is not based on individuals. This is Agenda 2100 6. It has been proven that the models of Furguson and Drosten are false. 7. This is against all human rights You have twisted the science to create a false dis ease to make the pharmaceutical industry richer, the public, ill and take control of what we have. I, along with many others who see through the corruption, do not and will not particpate in your crimes against humanity.
Self	Firstly, pandemic preparedness is not universal. Areas of the world are different, have access to differing resources, and thus, we must account for differences in response by retaining national and local autonomy. We must allow for nations and regions to opt out of all or any of the response plan without penalty. When planning the response, it should be transparent and free from influence of those who stand to profit from said response. Human rights should not be violated in the name of safety. And finally, people of the entire world should have the opportunity to vote on the plan itself before it is approved.
General Public	Firstly, thank you very much for giving us (General Public) an opportunity to put forward our views on this very important topic. As per my opinion, following substantive elements can be included in a new international instrument on Pandemic preparedness and response :- 1. The most important thing I believe is we need to consider "Emotional Health" at par with "Physical Health" of adults and children around the globe while preparing / responding to any Pandemic / s in future. 2. All alternate medicines / treatments should be given equal opportunity and importance. 3. People's natural rights / human rights must be protected at all costs while taking required actions to combat any future Pandemic / s. 4. "Freewill" - freedom / right to choose the treatments / medications along with informed consent should be given utmost priority ! 5. Transparent communication regarding the disease, treatments, medications, effects / side effects of the treatments / medications, trial data are a must. Thanks & Regards, Prachi Kudale.
ex - hospital worker - 25 year career in public hospitals	Firstly, the global pandemic agreement is unnecessary. But if you go ahead - then: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We do not need UN-ELECTED 'global' bodies like the WHO or WEF telling us what to do. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Firstly, the initial question should be whether such a instrument should exist. To which I would say no. In response to the actual question, as a citizen who is supposed to have 'rights', I would demand the following: - inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty - respect for human rights - legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies - absolute transparency on WHO funding. - absolute transparency on WHO employee links to the pharmaceutical industry
None	Firstly, the people of the world should be able to vote if such an international instrument of pandemic preparedness is required. Secondly, all nations must remain sovereign and be able to decide on these decisions for their own people with governments who elected them. Thirdly, if a nation decides to not be a part of this treaty there should be no consequences. And lastly, no pharmaceutical company, profiteer, investors, politics must be allowed to influence the design and implementation of this treaty for their own advantage. This treaty can easily be used to bring down nations' economies, cause social and political chaos, remove the middle class while pharmaceutical companies, medical technology companies, politicians, big tech and corporations increase their wealth as has already happened these last 2 years of covid. United Nations may have a benevolent agenda for this treaty but it needs thorough research into how it can be manipulated to cause harm to nations, economies and human rights.
None	Firstly, there is no need for an international organisation to control our public health. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities need to be in control of their health. Not the WHO, Furthermore the WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. You have not asked the people if we want this either, you have decided that this measure is warranted and opened up for comments on the agreement quietly with little time given to respond. This is not right. Secondly, what is required is the following; 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Firstly, this treaty should not even exist, WHO and CDC made a complete mess of Covid which ruined people lives, with the cons of restrictions far out weighing the pros. Before any processes are decided upon, there should be a FULLY repsentative publicly selected council to put forward the suggestions, and these suggestions need to then be agreed upon by everyone that the process will affect via a fully transparent public voting system. People should also be left in their environment with all of their health and lifestyle support systems if they don't want to adhere and those that want restrictions or changes, should go to their delegated "safe place". Stop the dictatorship now.
Children First	Firstly, transparency in guidance and advice to everyone. Freedom of speech for all parties- local, national, church groups, educational establishments. In order for them to make informed choices to benefit our community, regions, towns. We are a sovereign nation. Democracy must prevail within our country.
Concerned citizen	Firstly...therapeutics. Early medical treatment for the immune compromised, those with co-morbidities, the elderly. Since this has proven to have a recovery rate of over 99%....not quarantining the healthy and crippling economies which help only those in power. Treating people early. Not sending them home to get sicker. Forbidding the profiting from vaccines and drugs developed by the same individuals and companies in the industry, thus eliminating a conflict of interest and truly finding helpful healthy solutions. . With early proven, effective medical therapies... no other steps have proven effective or necessary. Therefore vaccines ("unavoidably unsafe") should not be the only option. Reestablishing WHO's previous work at tackling clean water and malnutrition. Creating healthy people as an aid to eliminating the hyper use of untested therefore not proven safe vaccines. If maintaining and continuing population growth is truly the concern. Allowing states the right to continue to govern themselves as they see fit. There is no one size fits all to medicine. We are all individuals. We are all different. We have a country filled with vaccine damages to prove it. The benefit should always out-way the risk. If creating happy growing societies is the true mission. Better data collection..."we didn't know or "we weren't sure" are not scientific justifications. Everyone should be held accountable for the decisions that impact society in such a devastating fashion. And finally all vaccine manufacturers and those influencing policy should be stripped of all immunity. Transparency and honesty.
Concerned citizen	Firstly...therapeutics. Early medical treatment for the immune compromised, those with co-morbidities, the elderly. Since this has proven to have a recovery rate of over 99%....not quarantining the healthy and crippling economies which help only those in power. Treating people early. Not sending them home to get sicker. Forbidding the profiting from vaccines and drugs developed by the same individuals and companies in the industry, thus eliminating a conflict of interest and truly finding helpful healthy solutions. . With early proven, effective medical therapies... no other steps have proven effective or necessary. Therefore vaccines ("unavoidably unsafe") should not be the only option. Reestablishing WHO's previous work at tackling clean water and malnutrition. Creating healthy people as an aid to eliminating the hyper use of untested therefore not proven safe vaccines. If maintaining and continuing population growth is truly the concern. Allowing states the right to continue to govern themselves as they see fit. There is no one size fits all to medicine. We are all individuals. We are all different. We have a country filled with vaccine damages to prove it. The benefit should always out-way the risk. If creating happy growing societies is the true mission. Better data collection..."we didn't know or "we weren't sure" are not scientific justifications. Everyone should be held accountable for the decisions that impact society in such a devastating fashion. And finally all vaccine manufacturers and those influencing policy should be stripped of all immunity. Transparency and honesty.
Me	Fk off The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	Flexibility and rapid response to changing circumstances would be achieved by allowing for local and community, neighbourhood, and household, and personal autonomy in decision processes. Ability to opt out of international and national/territorial mandates and regulations would provide more people with hands on participation and acceptance of responses which are tailored to local needs and resources. Cooperation would be enhanced due to immediate stakeholders being empowered and connected to processes and decisions; better outcomes for all peoples would be produced by allowing for various cultural, racial, socio-economic, and other factors to play out in full, in the field, versus remote and inappropriate, or otherwise unworkable mandates and diktats being imposed from authorities with no responsibility or accountability. Coercion does not foster cooperation. Central planning stifles creativity, ingenuity, and success.
NA	Focus on building natural immunity and human relationships. The ultimate solution. We have advanced in science and technology more than needed and remained backward in living natural human life and growing and eating natural food. More people should be in food cultivation and production the right way and not in jobs or businesses. Doing physical labour is the need of body to be healthy. We don't need warfare, machinery, industries, AI and 5G. Any proof so far that human race is happy with the so called developments? They only destroy earth. Are we providing better future for next generations really or creating more fear and Unstability. Earth has enough resources for all living beings. Education and Health should be free service sectors. This is real development. Transparant and independent organizations and systems are real need. As human beings we are more evolved than plants and animals. They have definite conduct and they are our sources of life. and so we have more responsibility to take care of every element of nature without corruption. No virus is big enough to kill human being unless intervened. It's not natural design. To know what actually is human goal, how to achive it and to continue human tradition on earth meaningfully pl join the jeevan vidya workshops in India. https://youtu.be/P68dAkZw1bU Let's work together to make real better world. Otherwise we are in vicious cycle of others suffer due to my mistakes and I suffer due to others. #Stop the treaty
Non	Folgende Bestandteile sind unabdingbar: - Unantastbarkeit der Menschenwürde, - Recht auf Selbstbestimmung, Wahlfreiheit, - Ausschluss von Lockdowns, Impfpflichten und anderen Verpflichtungen für die Bürger - Anhörung aller Experten u d nicht nur ausgewählter - Haftbarmachung der WHO, der Politiker, der Pharmaindustrie und ihrer Manager sowie sämtlicher weiterer NGOs die mitmischen
EU-Bürgerin	Folgende Elemente müssen Bestandteil des Pandemie-Vertrags sein: 1. Es bedarf unbedingt eines internationalen Erfahrungsaustauschs auf wissenschaftlichem und populärwissenschaftlichem Niveau 2. Es braucht Empfehlungen von Maßnahmen zur Stärkung und Verbreitung der natürlichen Immunität 3. der Zustand und Begriff "natürliche Immunität" muss wieder in der Definition der WHO für Immunität aufgenommen werden- 4. Ein Verbot von Zwangsimpfungen ist notwendig sowie ein Verbot von Impf-Pflichten u.a. gegen Atemwegsviren 5. Es darf keine rechtliche Bindung der Nationalstaaten an Beschlüsse und Maßnahmen-Beschlüsse der WHO geben, statt dessen Vorrang für Subsidiarität. 6. Ein Pandemievertrag muss frei sein von maßgeblichen Einflüssen interessierter Konzerne und Geldgeber.
Privat	Folgende Elemente sind zwingend mit Aufzumehme inden Leitfaden zur Pandemie: 1) Einhaltung des Nürnberger Codex für die gesamte Weltbevölkerung. 2) Akzeptanz auf die Körperliche Unversehrtheit und freie Entscheidung jeden Weltenbürgers, jeglichen Alters oder Geschlecht 3) Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl, hat die WHO zu akzeptieren. 4) die WHO unterliegt den Weisungen der Regierungen. 5) die WHO hat sich den Forderung der Regierungen (Geldgeber) anzuschließen. Wenn sie nicht auf uns hören, können wir dafür sorgen, dass unsere Regierungen den Menschen, denen sie dienen, gegenüber loyal bleiben und der WHO die Geldmittel streicht.
Privat	Folgende Inhalte müssen im Vertrag unbedingt schriftlich verankert werden: Jede Maßnahme, die zur Prävention oder Bekämpfung einer Pandemie gesetzt wird, darf auf keinen Fall Grundrechte, Freiheitsrechte oder andere Menschenrechte verletzen. Der Schutz der Kinder sowie die Entscheidungsfreiheit der Obsorgeberechtigten muss immer gewährleistet bleiben. Kinder dürfen nie Nachteile aufgrund der Entscheidung ihrer Eltern erfahren. Medizinische Interventionen müssen immer eine freie Entscheidung bleiben. Teilnahme am gesellschaftlichen Leben darf nicht von einer medizinischen Behandlung abhängig gemacht werden. Eingesetzte neue Arzneimittel sind immer einer vollständigen Prüfung zu unterziehen. Kein Staat darf seine Bevölkerung direkt oder indirekt dazu verpflichten, Medikamente oder medizinische Präparate zu verwenden, die nur eine bedingte Zulassung haben. Die WHO darf keine Maßnahmen anordnen, welche die Souveränität der Mitgliedsstaaten untergräbt. Jeder Mitgliedsstaat bleibt autonom und trifft die Entscheidungen zu bestimmten Maßnahmen nur im Einvernehmen mit der Bevölkerung des jeweiligen Staates. Demokratische Entscheidungen müssen auch zu Pandemiezeiten respektiert werden und die WHO darf sich nicht darüber hinwegsetzen. Es muss immer die Verhältnismäßigkeit von Maßnahmen im Auge behalten werden. Maßnahmen, die zwar kurzfristig zur Eindämmung der Infektionen führen, dürfen nicht umgesetzt werden, wenn dadurch andere Schäden (wie derzeit z.B. Psychische Erkrankungen von Kindern und Jugendlichen aufgrund von Social Distancing oder Sprachentwicklungsstörungen bei Kleinkindern aufgrund von Gesichtsmasken) auf gesundheitlicher oder auch wirtschaftlicher Ebene zu erwarten sind.
Keine	Folgende Punkte sind ausnahmslos zu berücksichtigen: - Menschenrechte sind unveräußerlich, auch im Notfall - Recht auf Selbstbestimmung - Es ist das Recht jedes Einzelnen, Behandlungen zu verweigern ohne weitere Folgen wie eventuelle Einschränkungen oder Strafen - Impfstatus ist keine Eintrittskarte, egal für wen oder was - Lockdowns und Quarantänen sind falsch und menschenunwürdig - Seiner Arbeit nachzukommen, sollte nie eine Thematik werden, jeder hat das Recht hierzu, vor allem wenn keine tatsächliche bzw nachgewie- sene Gefährdung für andere besteht - Vollständige Transparenz und Daten in Echtzeit für jeden zugängig, Daten dürfen nicht nur von ausgesuchten Experten und Organisationen herangezogen werden - Jeder hat das Recht auf seine eigene Meinung, alle Menschen haben das unveräußerliche Recht, ihre Meinung öffentlich zu sagen - Hersteller, Händler und Verwalter von Gesundheitsprodukten und/oder Verfahren müssen sofort für jeden Schaden haftbar gemacht werden - Ein Wahlrecht muss für alle gegeben sein - keine digitalisierte Überwachung durch Elektronische Impfpässe und persönliche Datenzusammenfassungen - Kinder und Jugendliche unterstehen einem besonderen Schutz, hier ist besonders auf die Unversehrtheit zu achten, es sollten ausnahmslos alle vorgenannten Punkte eingearbeitet werden
No Organisation	Folgendes muss auf jeden Fall im Vertrag verankert werden: -Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, - die Achtung der Menschenrechte und -gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten bzw. Pharmaunternehmen.
Private	Folgendes muss gewährleistet werden: „Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Der freie Wille des Einzelnen ist Bezug auf den eigenen Körper.
Canadian Citizen	Follow Science, Not political science (a.k.a.the science) fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: Science can flourish only in an Atmosphere of free speech. I condemn mass-vaccination during the pandemic, especially with a leaky, nonsterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yields false positive and mislead the public. Ensure an open, transparent decision-making process. Require informed consent for recommended intervention. Hope this will help to make free decision again about our own healthconcious. ❤
Private	Follow The human rights! No more Restrictions. A big No to vaccinepassport!
Spiritual I AM University	Follow the Nuremberg Court's health and human rights law, that no-one should be on no any ground to be forced or pressured like now, taking any medication of vaccine, jabs. Taking medicine and vaccine should be a free personal choice! On no any health ground people' s freedom of movement and travel should be allowed to be limited or taken away . All these limitation is against freedom of choice and human rights. Ivermectin drug should be allowed to use it again by health professionals. Doctor s cannot be ordered or pressured in any way if a drug is proven working.
private	Following text must include in a "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response": 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	Following are the elements I believe should be included in a pandemic preparedness plan: 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for (i.e. eliminate) influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. At home, over-the-counter and/or herbal and supplement protocols if studies exist that prove they're useful.
None	Following elements must be included: 1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents and people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves. 8. Inalienable rights of all people cannot be restrained, repealed or superseded by human laws.
None	following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
citizen	Following elements should be included: a. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. b. Must allow nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. c. Must provide an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. d. Measures that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Liberty	following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Following items are important for me: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law As a consequence, as I doubt above conditions will finally be fulfilled, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.

Organization	Written submission
Privat	Following items are important for me: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law As a consequence, as I doubt above conditions will finally be fulfilled, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
None	Following MUST be included in the International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for considering adding these important points.
Private	Following Parts should be included in a pandemic contract: - sovereignty of the individually states - sovereignty of the individually humans - appreciation of human rights - liability of government officials by law - liability of pharmaceutical companies by law - no force to take medicine and /or genetic engineering medicine that is not exactly and enough testet by all necessary and official and independent people - no no force to take vaccines and / or genetic engineering vaccines that is not exactly and enough tested by all necessary and official and independent people - no force to take medicine and / or vaccines with or without genetic engineering at all
privat	Following Points are very important to me: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibiten. All measures must take full account of all health interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law!!
Personal	Following recent events it has become increasingly clear that there are very different ways of understanding what constitutes health. Excessive control over personal health choices, lockdowns and bio surveillance, the pushing of untested experimental injection programmes and the demonisation of those who object do not represent a healthy balanced society. It is of vital importance that sovereign rights be upheld and that any agreements made be made with full disclosure and consultation with those who will be affected. It is unacceptable in a healthy society that those with vested interests be given excessive opportunities to profit. This is basically power abuse and not in the best interests of the majority of people living in the world today. Let's not continue to make the same inhuman mistakes that have resulted in the degradation of humanity that have been perpetuated through history in pursuit of unhealthy power.
no	Following shall be included: 1)The definition of Pandemic, as it has been until 2006 before the swine flu, shall be included. It shall have a clear threshold for confirmed infections per capita, and excess death per capita attributed to the infection, to trigger a summit of all countries to define and agree on proposed measures to be taken. 2)Based on the proposed measures, all countries shall get a vote by its population about all proposed measures to be implemented or not. For each measure there shall be a verification method implemented to observe the success of the measure, and to cancel any measure immediately, which proves unsuccessful. No measure shall be put for longer then 15 days without review of its success criteri. 3)If countries vote for measure implementation, the full responsibility of these implementations are with these countries, and in their jurisdiction. There shall be no governing board on top of countries legislation. WHO shall have no role in the pandemic handling.
General Public	Following substantial elements must be included: - citizen sovereignty - country sovereignty - garantie of force division - WHO must be a pure consultatory organisation for medical issues only - WHO must not have any legal authority - All issues must be discussed by all kind of experts, no opinion must be excluded
None	Following the diabolical and hypocritical way covid was managed I would prefer you left each country to make their own decisions. Independent from any external interference. WEF for one.
Private Practice	Following the most current up to date literature that reflects the totality of evidence rather than large sponsored trials. Furthermore, by giving full attention to even smaller publications which suggest potential harm ie VAERS, case reports and series in order not to condone one size fits all measures especially unconstitutional, immoral mandates to low risk populations. By not following once size fits all potentially hazardous recommendations this will move us closer to more personalized and precision medicine taking into account the majority of people rather than the sunsets at high risk.
Privat	Following Topic are mandatory and to be included: Guarantees of the sovereignty of the state and of the individual. Respect for human rights The legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
ProVeg International	Food systems transformation as a powerful pandemic risk mitigation strategy The best preparedness strategy is prevention. Current preparedness approaches need to be complemented with strategies that address the root cause of zoonotic disease origin and spread, rather than only its effects. There is a strong connection between our animal-based food system and pandemic risk that is still widely ignored. Three food-related human activities are root causes of the problem: (1) The use of farmed animals for food in intensified settings provides a hotbed for pathogen emergence and spread while also being a key driver of loss of natural biodiversity (80% deforestation, 70% species extinction). (2) The destruction of ecosystems and loss of biodiversity, which is driven largely by animal agriculture's need for pastures and feed crops, is increasing contact, pathogen emergence and spread. (3) The use of wild animals for food, which also provides a hotbed for pathogen emergence and spread. Our animal-based food choices help to create a recipe not only for pandemics but multiple health threats. Intensified animal agriculture is not only a large-scale zoonotic incubator but also a contributor to climate change, and is the main driver of antimicrobial resistance. Shifting to a more resilient and sustainable global food system that replaces animal products with plant-based, cell-cultured and fermentation-based alternatives ranks among the best options. It provides a multiproblem solution that not only mitigates future pandemic risks, but also minimises major parallel crises such as climate change, world hunger, and antibiotic resistance.
Back on Track Running and Fitness	instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical
Individual	For all future pandemics, we should maintain local, state and federal laws that are already in place in our constitution and state constitutions. All individuals should be able to vote for what works for their community. The WHO should NOT have authority over global pandemic responses, preparations or anything! We never voted the WHO in to help us. It's up to each individual and each individual local community across the globe.
Citizen	For any international coordination on pandemic response and preparedness, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. And arguably the most important: Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	For any international treaty to work there must be provisions which: 1- stipulate that all nations retain their sovereign authority to determine their own response to any global health event even as they seek to cooperate with other sovereign nations 2- allow for full citizen participation in any nation state's decision making via democratic voting processes 3- allow for decision making by consensus - NOT fiat from any director or otherwise extra-national authority 4- when disagreement occurs, any nation state will retain the right to withdraw from any international plan or program without punitive repercussions Each of these stipulations must be present so that all ends are achieved through true cooperation of member states and never via authoritarian coercion on the part of any authority which no longer answers to the democratic will of sovereign peoples.
private	for any measure impacting social and economic freedom , local authorities at country , state, municipal level must retain autonomy to decide on best measures for their population. In no circumstances should medical freedom be taken away from the individuals. in particular , medical treatments / prophylaxis / vaccines rushed through the development process and lacking data about short and long term effect must not be mandated, or being pushed by putting social and/or economic freedom at stake. Further more a scientific platform must be created that enabled scientific discussions and debate to be publicly available so that those who want to build an informed consent for experimental treatments have access to the latest know-how, facts, concerns and opinions about those treatments. Every contributor to this platform should explicitly disclose any interest link (financial, familial, scientific....)
general practitioner	For any medical decision even during the pandemic, human rights should be the top priority. In particular, the right to physical self-determination. Furthermore, no measures should be taken that result in discrimination. Vaccinations should be decided according to individual risk.
Citizen of Spain	For any pandemic treaty, it is essential that: - National and regional authorities retain full autonomy to adopt any measures recommended by international authorities, respecting their sovereignty and without automatic mechanisms. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement when they decide it, without sanctions or penalties. No treatments should ever be mandated, particularly when they have not been tested for periods longer than 3 years. - Ensure that ratification procedures are taken to national referendums that constitute large-scale consultation. - Strenghtened and strict measures to prevent conflicts of interest. Pharmaceutical companies should in no way be linked to decision makers. There should be strict 'chinese walls' and complete incompatibility between authorities in charge of research and those who have powers for analysing or recommending non pharmaceutical measures. - Measures with large scale societal and economic implications, like mass testing, contact tracing, travel bans, social distancing, or similar restrictions, should be an absolute last resort.
No	For Covid excessive restrictions were imposed even though the mortality rate was and is low. The restrictions caused more harm than good. If international inruments are to be adopted, they should be used only if the infectious disease causes high mortality. Same should apply to global use of experimental vaccines. Countries should be independent at imposing restrictions.
N/A	For Each country in the World, National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your attention to these concerns.
Constructive LAB	For free choice how to prevent my health!
YouthWorkUnity	For good stop medling on with health stop the toxic programming and start endorsing vegan farming small scale organic vegan wholesome fresh produce growth and mass general uptake to it.
Individual	For inclusion, I think that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and that those people have autonomy over there own health. Also, there should be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should also be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
nothing	for me it is important hat mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!! Discriminatory measures should be prohibited!! All measures must take full account of all health interests and must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests!!
Musician	For me it is impossible to have any all over the world "contract" about health! EVERY single case have to be discussed personaly by doctors, scientists and heart!
Germany	For me it is impossible to have any all over the world 'contract' about health. Every single case has to be discussed by doctors, scientists and by the heart!
Human	For me it is not acceotable to depress the sovereignty of the states within the question of human health. Wherever we look we support the principle that decisions are made at the lowest possible level. To break up with this rule would mean that the decision-making authority of the states and federations would be treated with violence. I don't support the idea of a "pandemic preparedness and response". It is not bearable.
keine	For me it is not acceotable to depress the sovereignty of the states within the question of human health. Wherever we look we support the principle that decisions are made at the lowest possible level. To break up with this rule would mean that the decision-making authority of the states and federations would be treated with violence. I don't support the idea of a "pandemic preparedness and response". It is not bearable.
Individual	For national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make their own decisions based on what is best for their own people. Without coercion, pressure to follow any other parties' views or agendas. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, indefinitely. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement and agenda. Measures that do not allow the process to be influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or other profiteering governments, organisation, companies, media or businesses.
Sovereign human being	For national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	For not only Australia but for all countries this should include the following caveats: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SC	For one, there should not be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response because they are clearly engineered. So please stop engineering them and there will be no more "pandemics". But in the event that you will likely ignore the previous paragraph, this new instrument should provide guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other entities involved in this new instrument.
None	For pandemic preparedness it is important to have national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None, private	For sovereignty, national and free decision making about people's heath! Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In my opinion the WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I'm not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. It's important to retain full autonomy to national and local leadership, reserving the right to make decisions based on local conditions. Municipalities should be able to opt out of any parts of the agreement!
BeFree	For substantive elements I'd like to suggest firstly that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is retained. I suggest an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Measures that do not allow for the skewing of statistics, facts, figures, science to misrepresent, misguide & delude populations solely for hidden corporate profit. Health decisions made based on what is genuinely good for all and not on what benefits the few.
self	For such an international contract to be binding, it must be approved by the US Senate. Therefore any WHO draft must include guarantee of sovreignity. While the US Congress has limited corporate liability for phamaceutical companies, officers may be held accountable in international law. Therefore clear statement of "vaccine" liability is advised. Lastly no individual should be forcefully "vaccinated" and so a suitable WHO proposal would honor the sancitity of individual choice.
Retired Registered Nurse	For the following reasons, I submit the following in response to the guiding question - "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" That national and local leadership of all countries complying within a framework via a global(ist) international instrument of health control on its own people, retain full autonomy, and especially at all times, reserving the full and explicit right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That the ability of all nations and local municipalities to autonomously opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without restraint or consequence, is implemented within the agreement. That an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places of democracy where a majority of the people do not want it. That measures are implemented preventing (further) influence in the agreement process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards Fiona Cox New Zealand
N/A	For the greatest good of ALL, in the LIGHT .....the WHO is to desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens as this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom.....we do not, will NEVER, submit or concur. The WHO is NOT to threaten, ever.. our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lock downs, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work, is TO BE IMMEDIATELY, NULLIFIED. Gratefully, on behalf of Humanity
none	For the health of all people, you should ensure that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Your global agreement should ensure the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This must be enshrined within your document. You must ensure that the global pandemic agreement includes an open and transparent process whereby all people of the world are free and able to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. You must include measures that forbid the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Heileurythmie Berufsverband Schweiz HEBV	For the near future there should be NO international on pandemic prevention made, none at all! Any honest and truthful step in that direction cannot be undertaken until the MISTAKES of the WHO itself and all the political institutions of all countries which forced "Lockdowns" (and all other destructive "safety" measures) on the world's population have done a thorough and completely independent, transparent REVIEW of all the terrible mistakes that have been made since the beginning of the "pandemic" declared two years ago. Thank you for bringing this message onto the table in your considerations! Sincerely, from the heart, Daniel Marston (former member of the board HEBV)
State of Texas	For the record, I do not agree in ANY WAY with the WHO governing my country. But, if so, then: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	For the sake of Democracy, I strongly believe that the people of the world need the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SelfHealthIndividual	For the sake of human kind I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Thank you!
N/A	For the sake of humanity and the future of our children, grandchildren, and future generations, I strongly urge you to vote NO on this treaty! It is NEVER in the best interests of any person to have our rights to bodily autonomy and our choices stolen by governmental or quasi-governmental organizations. When choices are stripped away, that is tyranny and I guarantee the world will not stand for the annihilation of our rights and freedoms, especially to entities with questionable agendas and histories of bad choices based on contrived data and scientific research that cannot pass scrutiny from uncompromised experts. I ask you, did the mass vaccination program stop COVID-19 or simply force the virus to mutate much more quickly than it could have done otherwise? Had our leaders listened to the experts who jave been systematically ignored, discredited, and removed from the public discourse, we could have saved far more lives! Have you looked carefully at the massive adverse effects from these experimental injections? Have you looked deeply into the all-cause mortality data since COVID vaccines have rolled out? What about the unprecedented rates in young people's cardiac deaths, myocarditis, pericarditis, strokes, blood clotting disorders, neurological problems, etc.? One size does NOT fit ALL - in healthcare or in anything else! I personally find it astounding that intelligent humans could believe the absurd idea that injecting experimental chemicals into the bodies of all humans could possibly lead to better health! Every single requirement and mandate has led to worse outcomes for the people and more governmental control. That is NOT the kind of world I'm willing to leave to our children and grandchildren!!
Self	For the sake of individual freedoms for citizens of member countries, I propose the following provisions be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, William Burris
An American	For the United States of America, we have rights guaranteed by our Constitution. No agreement or treaty can demand or suggest that these rights for American Individuals must or will be given up either voluntarily or involuntarily by any entity, either foreign or domestic. It must be clear that, regardless of any treaty, any proclamation or directive from the WHO or any designated body of the WHO may be used as guidelines or recommendations if deemed worthy and that, regardless of any treaty, the WHO will not usurp or replace the authority of the individuals and elected governing officials of the United States of America. In other words, we will not give up our own power to self govern as endowed to us by our own Constitution. It must be clear that the final decisions on health and wellbeing will ultimately be in the hands of the Individual Men and Women of the United States of America and no treaty, even if signed by an official of the United States of America, can eliminate the rights guaranteed by our Constitution.
RETIRED	For the USA to be a party to this treaty, the following are required inclusion: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. These requirements are NON-NEGOTIABLE!!!

Organization	Written submission
Individual	For the WHO to take control of a global pandemic is exactly the wrong response. The UK is a national democracy and its leaders are accountable to the population, not an unelected body accountable to no one. UK citizens owe no allegiance to the WHO, but only Her Majesty the Queen. UK citizens are not WHO subjects and are therefore not bound or boundable by the WHO to whatever diktats they so choose. The WHO may not make UK law or regulation, and must never be allowed to. The UK government must decide what is the priority for the UK citizenry, taking into account local demographics, conditions, economy, etc. There cannot be any 'one-size-fits-all' approach. Should the WHO take control of global pandemic response, there is no opportunity for any democratic country to either opt out or modify the demands WHO makes. This is fundamentally counter to a free democratic society, and must not be allowed to happen. Moreover, if the WHO demands a response and the UK disagrees and declines, the response any other national governments would be negative, with sanctions placed on the UK and its peoples. This too is totally unacceptable and must never be allowed to happen. The singular reason the WHO must never take control of a pandemic, is that it shuts out all truly scientific scepticism, the necessary process of science to query, debate, experiment, and disagree. It has been clear in the current COVID pandemic that forces have been at work to close down and shut out alternative voices to the response policies most of the world's governments have enacted. These voices are from very senior and highly experienced medical and virology experts, shut out simply because they question government policies. This is unacceptable.
Individual	For transparent, inclusive, democratic principles to be protected the following safeguards need to be included in the Pandemic Preparedness and Response Treaty:: - Countries must retain full autonomy and have the capacity to make decisions and amendments based on what is in the best interest of their people. - Countries are able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without consequence. - Transparency around any pharmaceutical interests benefiting from any components of the Treaty including transparency regarding profits made from pandemics. - Measures are included which safeguard against any potential for pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to influence the treaty process. - It is stated clearly that human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organiations are subservient to inalienable human rights. - Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right.
None	For United States citizens, all health decisions need to stay in the United States, even when there is an emergency. No other agency should have power over the U.S. citizens. Americans have the right to body autonomy and freedom to choose.
Dr. Tess Breen, LLC	For your consideration: I am strongly opposed to international guidelines for pandemic/health protocols. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The pharmaceutical industry is benefiting from these programs more than the people are, putting billions in the pockets or shareholders. Individual rights and freedom need protection. Please oppose protocol for pandemic response.
private	Forcing decisions or medical procedures on nations or human beings is a gross violation of human rights. Bodily autonomy should always be granted and respected. The WHO should serve as a consultant for nations in questions regarding global health. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No	Forcing people to vaccinate without being open about the ingredients is not human.
University of Warsaw; University of Guelph; University of Alberta/Yukon Univ	Forest ecosystems must be part of a global pandemic treaty. Research abounds on the health-enhancing benefits of forests through services they provide: carbon sequestration, clean air, cooling effects, fiber, food, medicine, recreation, healing, and primary pandemic prevention. Research from Italy shows proximity to forests is associated with fewer COVID-19 deaths (https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01309-5). Old forests with large old trees in particular impart health benefits, for example phytochemicals with biomedical properties and virus-inhibiting fungi (https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01372-y). Old forests also provide cultural connections for Indigenous Peoples (https://doi.org/10.1139/facets-2020-0047). Infectious disease emergence is associated with forest loss (https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-02341-1). Yet, connections between forests and physical, mental, and spiritual health remain nebulous in policy spheres. There is ongoing resistance to logging and other forms of industrialization by forest-dependent communities and allies. War and humanitarian crises may de-prioritize forest conservation. Forest conservation governance must protect biodiversity while also providing a mechanism for improved health and wellbeing in a One Health context. A new international instrument should acknowledge how forests contribute to One Health goals and include language about 1) protection of old-growth and world heritage forests, 2) rights of land defenders, 3) Indigenous-led conservation, 4) incorporation of forests into public health, 5) practices that nourish people-forest connections, and 6) strengthened cooperation that connects forest stewardship with crisis and pandemic preparedness and response.
I am an individual.	Four elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Local Health Agency	Four ideas for submission: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	Four important points that must be in any agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the General Public and Citizen of the United States of America	Four Important Points: 1. National, state, and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, states, and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Conduct an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Implement measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, quasi-governmental, or other global health profiteers.
Public	Four points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Daughter of The King Jesus the Messiah	Frankly there should be no "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response".New Zealand is a democracy and we want National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This is an important principle for all humanity. If a "New international instrument" is implemented, it must include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote (not using corrupt dominion software please) on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Free agency and choice is left up to the individual. No forced mandates or lockdowns!
None	Free and clear information from all sides and points of view to be able to base a rational informed consent rather than threats and manipulation to ensure the one anointed view is pre-eminent and cannot be questioned without risk to livelihood and social status.
Independent	Free and full disclosure on trial data to assess safety and effectiveness should be distributed to independent academia and competitors for criticism. Openness, transparency is crucial for the trust of the public to accept any invasive measure. The trial data must be made available to these 3rd parties at the same time as it is made available to the sponsors. This must become mandatory for emergency approval. mRNA vaccination should follow natural infection methods. This means to produce it as a nasal spray to avoid systemic infection where it is not naturally supposed to appear Accept natural immunity as a tool for those who are not at risk. Isolate & protect the vulnerable through voluntary vaccination. Clearly define diagnosis criteria for patients in hospitals and at the doctor to make infections more comparable across countries. Avoid hospital incentives to avoid unnatural higher count striving for higher subsidy.
Le Ciel	Free choice & fully informed consent. No health professionals should under any circumstances be put in a position to violate their Oaths to protect the health and wellbeing of everyone.
The human race	Free will by each individual following a referendum by the people of the country, to decide for themselves what medical choices they make, and no coersion to make them comply to anything against their will.
Automech	Freedom
U.S. citizen	Freedom belongs to each nation's citizens. US citizens should retain ALL their freedoms and WHO can go to hell if American Citizens lose our constitutional rights.
Freedom Convoy	Freedom convoy is coming ! You can't hide !!!!
Freedom Convoy	Freedom Convoy is coming . You can't hide !!!
Individual	Freedom for each State in deciding health polices in the event of a pandemic.
Non	Freedom for everyone.
Earth	Freedom for individuals. Sovereignty for each human. No you as a corrupt institution will not make decisions for anyone. You have failed and you will be dismantled.
Uk	FREEDOM IS CHOICE You take away our freedom then tell me what my grandparents were fighting in WWII for? Mandatory vaccination removes choice - who do you think you are to even suggest such an action. I've read the data from the NHS and the Yellow Card reports. Given I am fully informed to make my own choices I would kindly ask WHO to keep out of my affairs.
Teacher, poet, songstress	Freedom is not free. Please include the 10 points in the People's Treaty at your May meeting. I wrote an explanation, but I was timed out and it was erased. Not OK!
AFLD	Freedom of choice and parental rights over governmental rights should be included.
None	Freedom of Choice for all individuals. No Violation of National Autonomy ever, under any circumstances
Self	FREEDOM OF CHOICE FOR EACH AND EVERY INDIVIDUAL. There must be no mandates or manipulation by any entity of authority. Every individual must have a right to choose even it means they will harm themselves or die. The manipulative threat of "One must do something for the good of others" must be abandoned. No more manipulation and lies by government and global forces.
Privat citizen	Freedom of choice is the farmost important value in all there is. Also lifestyle and healthcare instead of sickcare should be focussed on.
Canada	Freedom of choice over one's own body , must be upheld .
Self	Freedom of choice should be given to any natural person that chooses or decides to partake in any organization that gives medical proposals like vaccinations or lockdowns to be more of a recommendation. This would allow civilians to decide if they would like to be involved in the suggested medical recommendation as such they will put themselves at risk for knowing they had a choice to begin with. As a medical professional, I do not support radical control by government or any organization that does not allow people the freedom to choose. The choice for abortion follows similarly to that argument. The choice should be made by the people. As this is what our country in America stands for, freedom. Does it not? If we give radical control to government and organizations that is communism. Our country has fought for our freedom, and for that, it is our choice. I stand for that. I am aware of the implications of what I state, I state freedom of choice, freedom to choose. I confirm this is the truth coming from a living, breathing entity, natural person like myself.
Private	Freedom of choice whether to vaccinate or not. No use of un-trialed drugs including vaccines.
	Freedom of choice, information guidelines,alternative solutions, non mandatory applications to behaviour.Self awareness and responsibilities. Help when help is needed and not imposed.
private	Freedom of Choice. Against World Order and Pandemic Plan.
none	Freedom to choose health interventions for themselves and their own family based on their personal beliefs and lifestyles. No mandates or lockdowns shall be included in this international pandemic response and preparedness. Additionally it will be very effective to give each community the choice to act on public health crisis independently.
Mom	Freedom to choose. Choice for each individual. Include real and unbiased facts and data. Include real science and data. Show proof of data.
personal	Freedom to chose his/her ways of treating himself/herself medically is an inaliable right of all individuals
Home	Freedom to consent to any medical treatment
Self	Freedom. The WHO should only have the role of researching what's going on and providing expert advice, recommendations, and general information. The governments should pass that information on to the people, and the people should decide how they respond individually. What we've seen governments do in the last 2 years is a disgrace to humanity. More lives we lost due to the response than to the virus and in time this will become more apparent. Freedom must be the order of the day going forward. The WHO is not elected by us, yet it has power over us. This MUST change.
Anonymous	Freedom. Freedom to choose is imperative. We were born free we will live free we will die free.
None	Freedom. Freedom. Freedom. Freedom. Freedom.
Fährleute Rheinland	Freie Entscheidung ob und wie ich mich medizinisch behandeln lasse Selbstbestimmung Autonomie Alle Menschen und Wesen, Tiere und Pflanzen, das Gesamtwohl vom Lebewesen Erde berücksichtigen
Bürger von Deutschland	Freie Impfentscheidung!!! Für jeden Bürger, unbedingte Einhaltung des Grundgesetzes der Bundesrepublik Deutschland! Keine berufsbezogene Impfpflicht!!
N/A	From 1917 throughout the Spanish Flu outbreak many different countries, and areas and states within countries such as the United States, adopted a variety of responses. Epidemiologists and other scientific experts around the world have analysed the results of these interventions ever since. Like Thomas Jefferson's remark that democracy is an experiment, medicine also progresses by comparing competing best practices to see what provides the best outcome. The centralisation of response to pandemics partially practised during the Covid-19 outbreak, and the conversion of the scientific method into orthodoxy that this pandemic treaty proposes would remove any possibility of a control group to the mad experiments on people's lives that modern scientists impose on us. To the draconian restrictions on human relationships, the cancelling of the human face and the vaccine human trials there would be no better practices to look to in the case of all countries being bound by international treaty. The structure of our societies, from the local doctor to the national politician, depends on the ordinary person being able to voice their concerns and problems up the chain of bureaucracies. It seems that the WHO is tired of listening to us. Tired of hearing about the deaths of despair, the impact on children, the ruined livelihoods, the misery of the loss of human relationships and the human face. You seek to hear us no more. Some plain numbers showing a fewer number of virus victims will allow you to silence the sound of the collateral victims.
Venue of Merging Arts (VOMA)	From here on out, we should treat any virus (present or future) with common sense. Same as we did with the common cold for decades. By not enforcing anything on anyone. Just tell people to cover their mouths when coughing, washing hands often, and telling them to stay away from others when sick. No more mandates or lockdowns. They did tremendous harm to people's mental health.
None	From my perspective as a physician and surgeon working on the front lines throughout the COVID pandemic, the following substantive elements must be included: 1. Promotion of rapid, clear, unfettered communication regarding origin, cause, virulence, potential treatments, or other matters that will assist treatment of the ill and decrease the rate of spread. 2. Decentralization of pandemic response measures managed by local / regional entities under jurisdiction of each sovereign nation rather than any international organization; each response may be tailored based on the region's population density, genetics, finances, travel patterns, and other factors. 3. Accountability for parties that obfuscate (whether deliberately or through incompetence) any information vital to pandemic decision-making, including but not limited to the suspected point of origin, mode of transmission, virulence, possibility of human tampering with the infectious agent, inaccurate pandemic modeling, and effective treatments. 4. Freedom of speech on all platforms without censure or reprisal for all persons, including members of health care teams and particularly including physicians, to shed light on factors listed above, facilitate wide-spread initiation of effective treatments, and speed the conclusion of the pandemic. 5. Exclusion from pandemic decision-making of any entities that are directly or indirectly funded by or that stand to profit from any aspect of pandemic management (including but not limited to pharmaceutical companies). Please note that I do not represent any organization in this response, and the above opinions are my own.
private	From my point of view there is absolute no indication for an "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". What should be done is to bring transparency between the pharmaceutical industry and their investors. Furthermore there is the need of independent control and the WHO should be limited to its core competences to ensure that the international bill of human rights is respected. At no time there should be experiments on a beings!
private	From my point of view, there are several points that appear crucial to me: 1) No One-size-fits-all-rule. Nations or parts thereof must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they deem appropriate. There must not be any negative consequences. It absolutely must be possible to use local or regional knowledge to deal with a medical emergency. Think global - act local! 2) There must be measures that ensure for now and in the future that there is no influence of private persons, NGOs, pharmaceutical companies or otherwise on the suggested measures that may in any way profit from the measures now or in the future. 3) National and local leadership must retain their full autonomy reserving the right to judge and decide what is best for their own people. There must not be any negative consequences. 4) The people of the nations of the world must have the choice to vote on suggested measure in order to decide against the application of the global agreement.
Personal capacity, but work for Biomind	From the failings of the current vaccines to control covid, we should do the following: - Have clinical trials of drugs be performed without any influence of big Pharma companies. - Disclose what is happening to Maddie de Garay and Brianne Dressen right now, and demonstrate how adverse reactions to treatments will not be swept under the rug. The best way is to address the failings of the covid vaccines right now. - Give an explanation as to why Pfizer vaccines for adults continue to be approved despite higher deaths in the vaccinated group. - Mandate drug documentations to be in the public domain 6 months at most after approval. - Make all forms of treatment voluntary until sufficient amount of time has passed. - Speak out against countries and companies who link employment and access to amenities based on vaccination/ treatment status. - Make double blind clinical trials in separate countries mandatory before any drug or treatment is recommended in a country. - In the Pfizer trial for adults, the placebo group were quickly given the vaccine after 6 months. With this, we lost our signal to long term effects of the vaccine. Mandate that there must be a sufficiently large number of people who do not perform treatment. - Make it illegal for countries to ban off patent drugs. For example, Ivermectin is banned in Singapore. - Have emergency use authorisation last only 6 months at a time.
Private individual	From the start of the COVID 19 emergency the WHO has demonstrated its corruption through its bias in favor of narratives supporting its largest contributors. As such, giving it any kind or form of authority in any way only empowers those who fund it. A treaty would make the WHO a defacto arm of government which would effectively put governmental power in the hands of foreign financiers. This would be a gross threat to national security and sovereignty.
No affiliate	From what I understand, this forum is to make a decision globally proposing that whatever is agreed here shall become law for all nations to abide by with regard to future pandemics. I am not in favor of such a stand because it locks every country into this agreement. Each country should have the right to govern themselves as to what way they handle a pandemic. Furthermore, once locked in, what's to say this agreement won't evolve and have new agenda added at its own convenience. I feel that the WHO is pushing authority here.
None	From what I've observed during the last two years: Countries and States that chose their own path (plan) had the best overall outcome. Doctors should be free to practice medicine to achieve the best outcome according to their best judgment. Forcing doctors to follow protocols that conflicted with their understanding of the best treatment for the patient before them was largely a failure over these past two years. Countries should be autonomous in their health care policies. Each individual should be fully informed and be allowed to make their own decision with respect to any medical treatment.
Individual	From WHO website: What if I don't want to provide personal information? Providing personal information on the WHO site is optional. If you choose not to provide personal information, you can still browse and use the WHO site, but you will not be able to carry out certain actions such as purchase items, register for a newsletter or apply for a job. -- 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Full autonomy by each nation, state and it's people must be the first rule in any decision regarding health, medical choice, law and personal freedoms. Each of the following points must be guaranteed to every government and every citizen of every country in the world. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the applica tion of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	Full autonomy for National and local leadership must be retained, thereby reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ECD Specialist	Full autonomy is retained by National and local leadership thus reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	Full autonomy must be reserved to national and local leaders, so that they can make the best decisions in their own context. For this reason, they must also be able to opt out of any parts of the agreement. The rights of all people must be respected, so any agreement must be open to a democratic vote. And any interested parties, such as pharmaceutical companies, must be prevented from undue influence.
Authentic Massage Waihi	Full autonomy must remain with National and local leadership, reserving their right to make decisions concerning what is best for their own people. Allow nations and local municipalities to decline any and all parts of the proposal as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process that gives all people of the world the capacity to vote on this proposal and including failsafe measures that prevent the application of the global agreement where a majority of the people do not consent. Measures implemented that prevents influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Forum for Critical Thinking	Full autonomy to be retained by national governments so decisions are made within local and national contexts. This should be managed through offering national governments to opt in to whatever parts of the protocol they consider is beneficial for their public. Once opted in, they should be at liberty to opt out again at any point. Full transparency of all interests of every person who is part of any stage of the process of constitution and running of the protocol. Especially important is for all persons involved to be completely free of pharmaceutical industry influences and any other kind of profiteering.
human being	Full body autonomy for every human being. Natural health remedies must be promoted. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Full control and responsibility for the handling of a pandemic should reside with national governments. The role of WHO should only ever be advisory and should never have control over sovereign governments. WHO should acknowledge the right to full bodily autonomy for every individual and promote this right. The individual members of the WHO should hold personal accountability, within the jurisdiction of each country, for any courses of action proposed to address a pandemic. Where WHO recommends a course of treatment during a pandemic, that treatment should have passed all phases of testing and have full approval for human use. Measures must be put in place to prevent any influence on WHO recommendations by any pharmaceutical companies or other people likely to profit from those recommendations. There must be complete transparency in the way any recommendations made by WHO for addressing a pandemic are reached.
Free human being	Full independancy of countries, regions and cities in implementing any WHO recommendations or orders. Without any consequences. Full respect of personal freedom, consent, information and choice of all people worldwide. Full respect to persons body autonomy. No mandates, no passes or restricting anything. Health matters was, are and will always be persons choice only.
None	Full individual bodily autonomy is required. Freedom of choice is required for family and individual This treaty is wrong morally. Especially after the Covid response failure. And vaccine failure. Mask failure. The WHO needs to be abolished and a true third party group of real scientists can weigh in the opinions without any compensation. Open debate amongst the scientific community world wide. True peer reviewed studies and real science auditing with transparency for all to see. Individuals will make their own choices after being presented with truth info.
Children's Health Defense	Full transparency — uncensored international publication — re — vaccines (mRNA and other) injuries/deaths — as per VAERS and all other reporting systems -- and re — preventive & early-treatment resources, including Functional Medicine, nutritional, orthomolecular, homeopathic, CAM,TCM, indigenous…. — altogether, not limited to Big Pharma / Big Biotech monopoly. Full reparation to vaccine-injured individuals / families. Restore manufacturers' liability (financial incentive for actual safety-and-effectiveness — not just depending on censorship to create the illusion, a religion self-defining as "science"). Protection for vaccine-safety researchers/ whistleblowers, and for researchers on pandemic preventive & early-treatment resources, including those outside medical mainstream and Big Pharma's / Biotech's finanial interests. Restore and uphold human rights — the Nuremberg Code, requirement for Informed Consent — i.e., ban vaccine mandates — or at least leave them up to nations/states to decide. If allowing them within nations/states, nevertheless require (internationally) — allowing, at a minimum, medical exemptions based on, not CDC/WHO, but doctor <—> patient knowledge of patient's health (the same respect for doctor/patient relationship that we call for regarding, for example, abortion rights); and — protection for said doctors. (STILL subordinates Nuremberg Code to patient's financial-etc. access to doctor — wrong.) Transparency, Informed Consent, about not only vaccines, but also pandemic-contributing factors: toxic exposures / other practices (commonly approved) that impair peoples' immunity (or/and breed resistant strains) in the first place (e.g. pesticides/herbicides, EMF/RF, CAFOs' antibiotics
Suffolk GP Federation	Full transparency Freedom of choice for all individuals The truth No lies Provide evidence to back up claims
Public	Full transparency of WHO activities, especially financial interests and timely publishing raw scientific data for independent peer review - something that hasn't been forthcoming during Covid-19. A mechanism for presenting safety/ethical/financial concerns in public for the public to be able to observe the issues raised. No implementation of proposed policies without representative agreement by the populations they will impact. A mechanism for immediate cessation of WHO policies if safety concerns are raised by world populations. A public presented audit of WHO activities. A full public organisational chart of those developing and implementing any WHO policies. Any policies to be proposed in an international court of law to the satisfaction of independent judges. Any proposed medical interventions to undergo rigorous independent long term trials, unlike the short term Pfizer trials that covered up side effects as has now been exposed by FOI requests in the USA.
Personal	Full transparency with the public in regards to all dealings. Full disclosure on affiliations with other organisations, including the WEF.
Self	Full Transparency: Political, commercial, academic, scientific, and medical Science based decisions free of political and commercial influence Recognize natural immunity Recognize and respect bodily autonomy Do not silence, restrict or threaten medical professionals or the treatments they provide Demand established, safe, and effective remedies and supplements before embracing experimental and unproven remedies Demand well designed, unbiased, and independent studies, not influenced by the drug makers, scientists preserving academic or other forms of funding Follow pre 2019 guidelines that define a pandemic Make sure the pandemic is real Ensure that there is no financial incentives for hospitalization, intubation and positive test results Ban PCR testing

Organization	Written submission
Global Citizen	Full transparent information sharing in regard to any potentially dangerous pathogens. To include all known infomation that could help in a global response, along with genuine global co-operation and assistance from all countries in line with their expertise and logistiacl, financial capabilities. Absolutely no mandated medication to be forced onto anyone and each country is entirely responsible for its own response within its borders. An open minded response to any pandemic response that prioritises all sections of society and takes into account the whole populations needs, not just a narrow section of society. A cost/benefit analysis to be applied to medications, side effects of any medication investigated seriously and all sides of the medical profession are allowed to contribute equally to the debate. The WHO should be genuinely independent and advise, collate global information sources and offer assistance when asked, but its powers limited to advice and assistance only.
Government of Canada Border Services	Fundamental and substantive elements MUST include third party scientific debate, due diligence in researching any conflicts of interest, referendums by and for the people and general populations, freedom of choice over bodily autonomy for ones own and ones children body. It is crucial these freedoms be incorporated in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response so as to apply lessons learned. Note these are my personal beliefs and not necessarily those of my employer(organization)
Ignite Chiropractic	Fundamental human rights to manage and sustain proper health should be upheld. Pharmaceutical practises primarily resolve symptoms. Educate to implement and maintain health of immune system and general body systems.
None	Fundamental to any preparedness to any pandemic is to protect human rights at all times. Protect open debate. There should be no media censorship or censorship of experts with different viewpoints. Bodily autonomy and freedom of choice must be protected. Vaccination passports should not be used as a means of coercion and discrimination.
None	Funding and initiatives for early treatment, especially for repurposed drugs. Cheap, repurposed generic drugs, if proven safe and effective through funded studies, can be effective and at low cost and possibly alter the course of pandemics. Unimaginable and not-understandable that such is not already in place. On the contrary, we have seen censorship on early treatments. Forced lockdowns have shown (for the covid19 pandemic) that they are not very useful in limiting excess deaths, thus lockdowns should not be forced upon citizens. Especially centralist decisions on lockdowns had and can have devestating effects and is undemocratic. I worry, that such draconian, authoritarian and undemocratic measures (even proven ineffective (John Hopkins meta-analysis)) be used too lightly and more and more frequently. Thank you. I wish you well.
Citizen	Für diesen geplanten Vertrag fordere ich die Garantien für die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Staates und jedes Einzelnen Bürgers, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
Privatperson	Für ein individuelles und selbstbestimmtes Leben der Menschen sollte zwingend das Recht auf Selbstbestimmung berücksichtigt werden, zusätzlich die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl.
Keine	Für eine Pandemie Bekämpfung sollte der Schutz der Bürger an erster Stelle stehen. Für die eingesetzten Maßnahmen sind jedoch wissenschaftliche Erkenntnisse wichtig. In dieser Pandemie wurden Menschen verängstigt und ausgeschlossen ohne wissenschaftliche Erkenntnisse. Geimpfte können genau so anstecken und erkranke wie ungeimpfte. Außerdem sollten mehrere Aspekte in Betracht gezogen werden, auch Naturheilmittel etc. Medikamente... Kollateralschäden müssen auch besser betrachtet werden. Siehe Schule.
Citizen	für euch: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Praxis für Kunsttherapie	Für Pandemien in Zukunft sollten folgende Instrumente beachtet werden: -Alle im Grundgesetz in Deutschland festgehaltenen Grundrechte müssen vollständig gewahrt bleiben und nicht teilweise oder ganz ausgesetzt werden können. - der deutsche Staat muss weiterhin eigene, souveräne Entscheidungen in Pandemien treffen können - es dürfen nur Medikamente oder Impfungen eingesetzt werden, die eine vollständige Zulassung haben und nach dem alten Arzneimittelrecht geprüft und zugelassen worden sind - keine weiteren Schulschließungen oder Maskenpflicht in Schulen um die Gesundheit unserer Kinder zu bewahren - keine Lockdowns mehr, um wirtschaftlichen Schaden abzuhalten - vergrößerte Expertengremien aus allen Bereichen der Gesundheit, Wirtschaft, Politik, Sozialwesen, die eine intensive wissenschaftliche Debatte, auch konträr, führen muss, bevor irgendwelche Entscheidungen getroffen werden - Impfungen müssen eine individuelle Entscheidung bleiben und dürfen nur nach intensiver Beratung erfolgen.
None	Future pandemic response should be left up to the individual countries, and not up to the WHO. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is not a one size fits all solution. We know that lock downs did not curb infections and did more harm than good.
Sandra Levy Massage Therapy	Future responses to pandemics or other health crises should be left up to individual nations. Decisions on preparedness and response will differ according to local circumstances and judgement and should remain in the control of local governments. The WHO is unelected and unaccountable and any "international instrument," therefore, should be advisory in nature, and should be based on the best judgment of an international panel of scientists and public officials.
Non	FWIW, I wrote the following for my WHO submission: "It is the responsibility of governments to seek guidance on health from qualified external organisations. They may decide to make recommendations to the people who appointed them, but they have no right to make decisions that over-rule the inalienable rights of any individual. There should thus be no written commitment that restricts, reduces or in anyway inhibits these rights. Any agreement made by a government with an external organisation cannot be one of ceding power or accepting obligations, because the government has no power other than that granted to them by their people. Any obligation in such an agreement would be unlawful - and any opting out is therefore moot. Open and transparent advice based on independent and widely-based authorities must be made available - and challenges must be aired. There must be recognition that measures may be recommended for different areas and peoples of the world. It is not "one size fits all". Conflict of interest must be avoided as a priority. Pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers must not influence decisions. Furthermore, any former employee within, say, the last 10 years should play no part. And anyone participating in the process should not take up a position in these companies for a similar period."
Private	FWIW, I wrote the following: "It is the responsibility of governments to seek guidance on health from qualified external organisations. They may decide to make recommendations to the people who appointed them, but they have no right to make decisions that over-rule the inalienable rights of any individual. There should thus be no written commitment that restricts, reduces or in anyway inhibits these rights. Any agreement made by a government with an external organisation cannot be one of ceding power or accepting obligations, because the government has no power other than that granted to them by their people. Any obligation in such an agreement would be unlawful - and any opting out is therefore moot. Open and transparent advice based on independent and widely-based authorities must be made available - and challenges must be aired. There must be recognition that measures may be recommended for different areas and peoples of the world. It is not "one size fits all". Conflict of interest must be avoided as a priority. Pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers must not influence decisions. Furthermore, any former employee within, say, the last 10 years should play no part. And anyone participating in the process should not take up a position in these companies for a similar period."
US citizen	Gain of function research should be outlawed. Lockdowns and mandates are a violation of human dignity and should be banned and condemned by all governments. People must be free to travel, see their friends and family, conduct business, and enjoy the inalienable human right to liberty.
privat	Ganz eindeutiges NEIN (in Worten: Nein) zum Vorhaben, Entscheidungen aus den Jahren 2020 bis 2022 mit einzubeziehen. NEIN zu Änderungen, die Ursache in der P(l)andemie der letzten Jahre haben. Freie Entscheidung des Individuums ist OBERSTES Gebot !!!
privat	Ganz klares NEIN zu dieser Maßnahme. Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar. Jeder Mensch muss frei entscheiden können, was er will und was nicht.
Privatperson	Garantie der Souveränität des Staates und jeder einzelnen Person! Achtung der Menschenrechte! Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und /oder Pharmaunternehmen. Offener fachlicher /wissenschaftlicher Diskurs!
Keine	Garantie der Souveränität jedes Staates und Einzelne Garantie Menschenrechten, Nürnberger Gesetze Strafbarkeit von Institutionen, Beamten, Pharmahersteller und Ärzte Kein Zwangsbehandlungen siehe Nürnberger Gesetze, Impf- und Medikamentenschäden untersuchen Sofortiger Stop bei mögl schädigender Substanzen Behandlungen und Impfschäden bis definive Abklärung
Hospital Leipzig	Garantie die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelne Individuums Achtung der Menschenrechte und des Genfer Gelöbnisses der Ärzte sowie der Patientenrechte, Erhalt der freien Entscheidung über die vorgenommenen Behandlungsmassnahmen durch die Person, Eltern für ihre Kinder bis mindestens zum 18. Lebensjahr gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen für Impffolgen/ Gesundheitsschädigung durch vorgenommene medizinische Eingriffe jeglicher Art sofern nicht ausdrücklich die persönliche Einwilligung erfolgte, dies darf nicht mit Zwang erreicht werden. Guarantee the sovereignty of the state and the individual Respect for human rights and the Geneva Pledge of Doctors and patients' rights, Obtaining the free decision on the agreed treatment measures by the person, parents for their children up to at least 18 years of age legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies for vaccination consequences/damage to health caused by medical procedures of any kind, unless express personal consent is given, this must not be achieved by coercion.
Privat	Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates & des Einzelnen Achtung der Menschenrechte Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten & Pharmaindustrie
Sonneberg zeigt Gesicht	Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, Achtung der Menschenrechte, gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Keine	Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder des Pharmaunternehmens.
Austria	Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte. Die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantie für Souveränität der Staa-ten und des Einzelnen, Achtung der Menschenrechte und Haftung von Pharma und Politikern sollte unbe-dingt enthalten sein!!!! Kein Impfzwang und keine experimentelle Medizin!
MFG	Garantieen für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen! Einhaltung, Wahrung und Sicherung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen!
Privatperson	Garantien der Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten +jedes Bürgers bezügl. der Menschenrechte Autonomie jedes Bürgers über seine Wohnstätte +seinen Körper Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Verantwortung jedes Menschen, der diese Bestimmungen dieses Vertrages anwendet/Auslegung gegenüber dem Schöpfer jedes Menschen und der Erde, dem Gott Jahwe.
Privat	Garantien der vollen Souveränität der Nationalstaaten und Regierungen. Haftung der Pharmafirmen für alle ihre Präparate. Keine an persönliche Daten gekoppelt elektronische Impfdokumente.
N/A	Garantien für die Achtung der Menschenrechte und der Souveränität der Staaten sowie der Bürger und gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
privat	Garantien für die Achtung und den Bestand nationaler Souveränität der beteiligten Staaten, ihrer Verfassungen und der Menschenrechte. Garantien für eine demokratische Legitimation aller durch die WHO vorgeschlagener Regeln. Garantien zum Ausschluss von Interessenkonflikten: privatwirtschaftliche Aktivitäten dürfen staatliches Handeln nicht lenken und überlagern.
OWN	Garantien für die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten und des Einzelnen. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte Die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen, sowie aller beteiligten Organisationen (z.B. Gavi).
Familienvater	Garantien für die Souveränität der Staaten und der einzelnen Person, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die garantierte gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
_____	Garantien für die Souveränität des Einzelnen und des Staates, Einhaltung des Nürnberger Kodex, Achtung der Menschenrechte, gesetzliche Haftung der Pharmaindustrie und der entscheidenden Regierungsbeamten bei Medikamenten- oder Impfnebenwirkungen
Privat, Scientist	Garantien für die Souveränität des Einzelnen - Achtung der Menschenrechte - Beachtung ethischer Grundsätze: Tue Gutes, schade nicht, behandle alle Menschen gleich und lass jeden Menschen selbst entscheiden – Menschen sollen in ihren Entscheidungen keinem Zwang folgen - Beachtung medizinischen Grundwissens - Pandemie soll in ihrer Definition wieder beschränkt sein auf schwerwiegender / für viele Menschen tödlicher Erkrankung -natürlich erworbene Immunität muss anerkannt werden und ist wirksamer als Impf-Immunität. Somit ist Herdenimmunität durch Durchseuchung möglich. - keinerlei zentrale digitale Kontrollsysteme (keine "Impfpässe") - gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten sowie Pharmaunternehmen
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Keine Pflichtimpfungen, das freie Recht zur Mitbestimmung und Entscheidung über die eigene Person und den eigenen Körper ; auf körperliche Unversehrtheit. Aufhebung der Impfpflicht von Pflegekräften aufgrund der wahrhaftigen Wirkung der Impfung, der neuen Erkenntnisse der Nebenwirkungen und des Zwangs der Pflegekräfte ihre eigene Gesundheit aufs Spiel zu setzen.
Privat, Bürger der EU	Garantien für die Souveränität des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenwürde, die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungen und der Pharmaindustrie.
private	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates (inklusive deren Verfassungen und Grundrechte) und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, das Recht auf Selbstbestimmung jedes Einzelnen und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
Keine	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und das Rechts auf körperliche Selbstbestimmung des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten, WHO-Vertretern und Pharmaunternehmen. Keine Sanktionen für Menschen und Staaten, die sich gegen eine Behandlung oder die Teilnahme an den Pandemie Plänen beteiligen.
Ulrike	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und der Eizelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmer
Deutschland	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des einzelnen Menschen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen müssen unbedingt gegeben werden. Die Achtung aller Menschenrechte muss garantiert werden. Für alle Maßnahmen muss die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen explizit gegeben sein, um jeder Art von Missbrauch entgegenzuwirken.
None	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen Achtung der Menschenrechte Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
Family	-Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen -Achtung und Einhaltung der Menschenrechte -Haftung von Regierung und Pharmaunternehmen -Keine Impfungstoffe mit Notzulassung -Wissenschaftsdiskurs zulassen -Keine Zwangsmaßnahmen
Human being	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen Garantien für die Achtung der Menschenrechte Festlegen der gesetzlichen Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen Garantien, dass wirksame Medikamente und Verfahren zur Vorbeugung und Behandlung nicht unterdrückt werden Garantien für eine freie Wahl der Behandlung Garantien, dass keine Zwangsbehandlung mit nicht ausreichend geprüften Medikamenten/Impfstoffen durchgeführt wird, deren Studien noch nicht angeschlossen sind und deren Langzeitwirkungen nicht bekannt sind Garantien, dass Reisen ohne Gesundheitsdokument möglich ist Garantien, dass der Nürnberger Kodex eingehalten wird Garantien für eine Informationsfreiheit Garantien für die Einhaltung des Grundsatzes: "Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar."
none	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, Wahrung der Menschenrechte, Beachtung der ethischen Grundsätze: Tue Gutes, schade nicht, Gleichbehandlung aller Menschen, keinerlei Eingriffe in die Privatsphäre, Beachtung seit langem anerkannten medizinischen Grundwissens (Pandemie-Definition nur bei schwerwiegender / für viele Menschen tödlicher Erkrankung, natürlich erworbene Immunität ist wirksamer als Impf-Immunität), keinerlei zentrale digitale Kontrollsysteme (Impfpässe etc.), gesetzliche Haftung von Politikern und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
none	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, Achtung der Menschenrechte, gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, Achtung der Menschenrechte und die Haftung von Regierungsbeamte sowie Pharmaunternehmen.
Privatperson	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, Achtung der Menschenrechte, gesetzliche Haftung auch von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
Bergzow	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, Achtung der Menschenrechte. Gesetzliche Haftung der Regierungsbeamten.. Gesetzliche Haftung der Pharmaindustrie. Das sollte Bestandteil sämtlicher noch zu verfassender Vereinbarungen sein.
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte .Die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Kritische Prüfung der Impfstoffe durch unabhängige Wissenschaftler. Abgeschlossene Studien, keine bedingten Zulassungen vor Abschluss der Studien. Keine Unterbindung von anderen Behandlungsmethoden!
Keine	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder / und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Die Würde und das Selbstbestimmungsrecht jedes einzelnen Menschen muss unantastbar bleiben! STOPP von Lobbyismus. Bei gesundheitlichen Fachfragen muss ein großer Kreis an unbestechlichen Wissenschaftlern (ohne politische und finanzielle Motivation) mit langjähriger Erfahrung befragt werden.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharma Unternehmen. Ich stimme gegen die Maßnahmen die in die körperliche Unversehrtheit und in das Recht auf Freiheit eingreifen. Ich verweise auf den Nürnberger Kodex.
epo	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
none	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
EU-Bürgerin	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
private Person	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen müssen enthalten sein.
None	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen müssen zwingend erhalten bleiben!
MFG	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen sind die Hauptgründe, daß niemals irgendwer oder irgendeine Organisation entscheiden darf. Deshalb bin ich strikt dagegen!
No	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen und seitens der Ärzte als Ausführende." Freie Impfentscheidung, kein digitaler Impfpass, kein Impfzwang, keine Impfpflicht, freie Wahl der Medikamente. Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability on the part of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and on the part of physicians as performers." Free vaccination decision, no digital vaccination record, no compulsory vaccination, free choice of medication
non,	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen Dear Members, i would like to mention,that ANY WHO-pandemic measure should guarantee the rights of the national states and their inhabitants for free and own measures to fight pandemics by their own best practises. The right to reject any vaccination as a person has to be determined as a universal human right,binding for any health organisation like the WHO National laws and constitutions are above any WHO-manifests and break any agreement by the WHO Pharmaceutic Companies HAVE to be responsible and reccountable for ANY side effects of their produced vaccines without ANY form of state-approved rejection (like with the current COVID-vaccines happened) in any case any ideas of WHO domination above national laws shouldnt be even thought off my best regards Christian Feider
General public	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen Ich bin absolut gegen einen Pandemie-Vertrag, der gegen die Grundrechte verstößt.
Prvat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen Ich will keine Gleichschaltung oder eine Organisation die bestimmt was gut für mich ist und die mein Recht auf Selbstbestimmung untergräbt.
none	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen!
Bürger	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen!!
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen, sind nicht verhandelbar und dürfen von niemanden außerkraft gesetzt werden.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen, sowie die ethischen Werte!!!
Private	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Private	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Private person	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Media	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
public health	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Private	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
EU- Person	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Private	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Citizen	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
-	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
privat person	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
-	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Bürgerin	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
private	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
SD Knorr	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
N/a	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Keine	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
-	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
none	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Freunde der Freiheit	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
keine	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
German citizen	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Mensch/PTA	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Bürger der EU	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Keine aktive oder passive Impfpflicht! Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. no active or passive compulsory vaccination!

Organization	Written submission
Private Person	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Das Nürnberger Kodex muss verankert sein.
Citizen	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Human	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Ich bin absolut nicht einverstanden, dass dieser Vertrag abgschlossen wird! Jeder Staat hat eine eigene Regierung und das reicht vollkommen aus!
Krankenschwester	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Jeder Staat sollte diese Angelegenheit selber regeln dürfen. Jeder Mensch hat ein Recht über körperliche Selbstbestimmung und Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit!!! Dies sollte oberstes Gebot sein und bleiben! Danke.
Keine	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Schutz vor Krankheit muss ein selbstbestimmtes Recht bleiben. Sogenannte Impfstoffe und/oder in den Körper injizierte Behandlungen, dürfen niemals Gesetz werden Einführung einer Untersuchungskommission, unabhängig von Pharma und WHO, zur Wahrung der Freiheit, Menschenrechte und zur Verhinderung von Korruption in Zusammenhang mit allen Auflagen, Entzug von Menschenrechten, Befangenheit und Interessenkonflikten aus wirtschaftlichen Vorteilen und rechtlichen Machtmissbrauchs.
ghhgh	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. WHO sofort abschaffen
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Andrea Kalina
Private	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Freiheit der persönlichen Entscheidung über Eingriffe in den eigenen Körper und dessen Unversehrtheit.
UOKG	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Bürger	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Mitbestimmung der Bürger. Recht auf körperliche und geistige Selbstbestimmung und Unversehrtheit. Offene weltweite Kommunikation aller gesetzlicher Vorgänge.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
None	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
Privatperson	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
keine	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
Ordination	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
Keine	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
Private	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
private	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
-	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
EU Bürger	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
EU Bürger	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.” // I demand guarantees for the sovereignty of each state and individual. Base for any new instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must be the acknowledgement of human rights. As well necessary is the statutory liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.” A. K.
Bürger	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.” Die Einhaltung der Menschenwürde, des Menschenrechts und des Völkerrechts und des Naturrechts.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.” Franz Salcher
citizen	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”, as the WHO has no democatic legitimation, is a private organisation, mainly financed by the pharma industry, I refuse any contract, that overrules the souvereignty of national states and human rights. The Pharmaindustry, leading heads of the Pharmaindustry, WHO and national leading officials and politicians have to be held responsible for any damage caused by their decisions.
Citizen	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte sowie die gesetzliche Haftung der WHO, von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
EU-Bürger	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und den Nürnberger Codex sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und / oder Pharmaunternehmen.
private	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und oder Pharmaunternehmen. Eventuelle Impfungen nur freiwillig, keineswegs unter Androhung von Strafen, keine Impfpässe und kein Zwang .Förderung des Diskurses über Maßnahmen, Zulassung abweichender Meinungen. Wertfreie Untersuchung verschiedener Behandlungsmethoden, Freigabe von Impfungen erst nach vollständiger Zulassung und Ausschluss von Nebenwirkungen. Aufbau von Strukturen zur genauen Erfassung von Wirkung und Nebenwirkungen von Maßnahmen und Behandlungsmethoden durch von der Pharmaindustrie unabhängige Experten. Die WHO und andere Organisationen können nur beratenden Charakter haben. Die Zusammenarbeit kann immer nur auf Freiwilligkeit und Konsens beruhen. Die Mitarbeiter und Organe der WHO sind sich ihrer Verantwortung bewusst und beanspruchen keine Immunität für ihre Handlungen.
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und oder Pharmaunternehmen. Eventuelle Impfungen nur freiwillig, keineswegs unter Androhung von Strafen, keine Impfpässe und kein Zwang .Förderung des Diskurses über Maßnahmen, Zulassung abweichender Meinungen. Wertfreie Untersuchung verschiedener Behandlungsmethoden, Freigabe von Impfungen erst nach vollständiger Zulassung und Ausschluss von Nebenwirkungen. Aufbau von Strukturen zur genauen Erfassung von Wirkung und Nebenwirkungen von Maßnahmen und Behandlungsmethoden durch von der Pharmaindustrie unabhängige Experten. Die WHO und andere Organisationen können nur beratenden Charakter haben. Die Zusammenarbeit kann immer nur auf Freiwilligkeit und Konsens beruhen. Die Mitarbeiter und Organe der WHO sind sich ihrer Verantwortung bewusst und beanspruchen keine Immunität für ihre Handlungen.
Keine	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharma Unternehmen.
Privatperson	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen!
keine	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
None	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. Ich lehne den Einfluss der WHO auf einzelne Staaten und jeden Einzelnen ab.
Bürger	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen müssen integrale Bestandteile des Pandemievertrags sein.
none	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen sollten im Vertrag enthalten sein.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen, das ist , was ich möchte .
Bürgerin	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Hlw Hartberg	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat person	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Keine Impfpflicht sondern eigenverantwortliches Handeln des Einzelnen.
Privatperson	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Es sollte keine generelle Impfpflicht darin enthalten sein.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
Bürger	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
Person	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.” müssen eingehalten werden. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Ernestine Rauchenbichler
Unternehmer	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.” Keine weltweite Impfpflicht!
	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, des Grundgesetzes. Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit. Die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungen und Pharmaunternehmen sollte verpflichtend sein. Sensible Daten sollten keinesfalls unter einer "ID" abgelegt und als behördliches Instrument genutzt werden dürfen.
JJMusic	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen
?	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten, die gesetzliche Haftung von Pharmaunternehmen und den Vorrang und Schutz des Patient-Arzt Verhältnis bei allen medizinischen Behandlung und Eingriffen.
General Public	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten, Pharmaunternehmen, Ärzten etc., Einhaltung des Nürnberger Kodex, absolute Transparenz gegenüber der Öffentlichkeit, Mitbestimmungsrecht des Einzelnen und des Staates, keine Zwangsbehandlung oder Zwangsimpfung, Stärkung des Immunsystems statt medikamentöser Behandlung, stoppen des Lobbyismus !
Private	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die Unversehrtheit des menschlichen Körpers und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Weiters erfordert ein Pandemievertrag die Würdigung der menschlichen Grundrechte. Ein Inkrafttreten eines Pandemievertrags muss eine vollständige Aufklärung über die Effektivität jeglicher Maßnahmen (u.a. Lockdown und Impfung) enthalten und die Transparente Darstellung von Impf-Risiken gewährleisten. Eine Impfpflicht, eine Ungleichbehandlung der ungeimpften Bevölkerung und ein verpflichtendes Covid-Zertifikat muss in einem Pandemievertrag ausgeschlossen werden.
privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder der Pharmaunternehmen!
Keine	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte. Die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.”
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, Unantastbarkeit der Verfassung des souveränen Staates, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen bei Impfschäden oder sonstigen Schäden verursacht durch die Pharmaindustrie.
none	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, Achtung der Menschenrechte, gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
Bürgerin	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
Eigene Meinung	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und der Pharmaunternehmen. Einhalung des Nürnberger Codecs.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen!!!
EU Citizen	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen. Garantien für die Achtung der Menschenrechte (vor allem Recht körperliche Unversehrtheit, Reisefreiheit, Meinungsfreiheit, Religionsfreiheit, keine Diskriminierung, auch nicht wegen freier Impfentscheidung und anderer Entscheidungen die eigene Person betreffend, Achtung der Freiheitssphäre des Einzelnen - keine Überwachung und/oder Kontrolle, Gedankenfreiheit, Gewissensfreiheit, Kunstfreiheit, Informationsfreiheit - keine Zensur, auch nicht duch google, facebook, twitter, youtube und Co., Recht auf soziale Sicherheit) Garantien für die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungen, Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen, Ärzten und Apothekern. Außerdem muss die WHO-Pandemie-Definition (wieder) in die Fassung von 2009 geändert werden - eine Pandemie begründet sich vor allem in der Anzahl der Toten - eine Pandemie geht mit einer enormen Zahl von Todes- und Erkrankungsfällen einher - es geht nicht um Überlastung des Gesundheitssystems!
keine	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen; die Achtung der Menschenrechte und des Nürnberger Kodes für Pharmaunternehmen und Ärzte verpflichtend; gesicherte freiheitliche Impfentscheidung; Anerkennung medizinischer Diagnosen/Kontraindikationen zu einer Impfung regulär nach ärztlicher Beratung/Aufklärung/Konsultation/Begutachtung/Attest; Ausschluss von experimentellen Covid19-Impfstoffen/Gentherapien u. zukünftigen Impfstoffen/Gentherapien mit Notzulassung o. Teilzulassung zur Anwendung am Menschen; Transparenz der Prüfungsverfahren bis zur vollständigen Zulassung mit verpflichtender Einhaltung der Fristsetzung für Impfstoffhersteller national und international mit pharma-unabhängigen Prüfungskriterien/Studien/Evaluierung; die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen und Krankenkassen; verpflichtende zeitnahe Entschädigung von Menschen mit Impfschäden und Folgeerkrankungen durch Impfung, gesicherte Diagnostik, multimodale u. interdisziplinäre Behandlung und verpflichtende Weiterbildung für Ärzte um Impfschäden behandeln/erfolgreich therapieren zu können; verpflichtende vollständige zeitnahe Erfassung und Evaluierung von Impfnebenwirkungen/Folgeerkrankungen von Covid19-Impfstoffen/Gentherapien/Medikamenten und jeglichen anderen u. zukünftigen Impfstoffen/Gentherapien/Medikamenten durch behandelnde Ärzte, Wissenschaft, PEI u.a. Meldestellen national und international.
Keine	Garantien für die souveränität des Staates und des Einzelner, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und /oder Pharma unternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und jeden Einzelnen. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und den Pharmaunternehmen
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und jedes Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder der Pharmaunternehmen.
freelancer in architecture, design, 3D-work/rendering	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates, der Gerichte und des Einzelnen; die Achtung der Menschenrechte; die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates, des Einzelnen und für die Achtung der Menschenrechte, sowie eine gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates, sowie jedes Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeanten und Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität desStaates und jeden Einzelnen. Selbstbestimmung über den eigenen Körper, Wahrung der Menschenrechte.
+++	Garantien für die Souveränität jeden Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschen- und Freiheitsrechte sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten, Politikern und Pharmaunternehmen.”
Privat	Garantien für die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. Die Unversehrtheit des Einzelnen. Die Funktion der WHO als beratende Institution.
FT	Garantien für die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
-	Garantien für die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Private	GARANTIEN FÜR DIE SUVERENITÄT JEDES STAATES UND JEDES EINZELNEN, DIE ACHTUNG DER MENSCHENRECHTE, DIE GESETZLICHE HAFTUNG VON REGIERUNGSBEAMTEN UND DER PHARMAUNTERNEHMEN.
None	Garanties for the souverign rights of each country and every single person should be given!! Gouverment and and pharmaceutical industry should take responsibility for their action!!Strict observation of Human rights will be necessary!!
Private	Garanties for the sovereignty of the state and single persons, respect of human rights and legal liability of administration officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Nessuna	garanzie sulla sovranità statale e individuale, rispetto dei diritti umani, responsabilità legale per funzionari governativi e/o aziende farmaceutiche e ed enti governativi
	Geachte leden. Als burger van Nederland geef ik geen toestemming aan minister Ernst Kuijpers om mijn burgerrechten op integriteit van lichaam te op te offeren aan een vaccin wat nog in de testfase zit en wat gebleken geen effect heeft op preventie of transmissie om een virus die ik allang gehad heb te bestrijden. Aangezien de WHO een ondemocratische organisatie is accepteer ik ook geen mandaten van deze organisatie. Om pandemieën te bestrijden zal eerst naar de IFR van het virus moeten worden gekeken voordat een hele economie wordt stilgelegd. Maatregelen moeten door een team van verschillende artsen, economen, virologen, sociologen, juristen die los staan van het WEF of de Pharmaceutische industrie worden beoordeeld. Deelnemers mogen geen belangen hebben bij de maatregelen of gefinancierd worden door de pharmaceutische industrie. Met vriendelijke groeten, Jetty Verhoog
Privat	Gegen den Pandemievertrag der WHO: Jeder Staat soll selbst bestimmen. Die WHO darf nicht die Macht über alle Staaten ausüben. Demokratische Grundrechte würden dadurch verloren gehen. Dadurch wäre denkbar, dass die WHO entscheidend in das Thema Impfpflicht eingreift. Das darf nicht passieren.
Privat	Gegen einen globalen Pandemievertrag!!!!
Privat	GEGEN globalen Pandemieverträge. NEIN!!!!!
myself	1. Different cultures exist and these influence human behaviour. No approach will be optimal for all countries or cultures. Ensure that countries can opt out of any pandemic guidance. 2. Individuals have sovereignty over their own bodies and health options. 3. Control conflicts of interest in developing the pandemic plans. Industries (e.g., Pharma) and powerful players (e.g., Bill Gates) have their own goals and preferred approaches. They should not influence the pandemic plans. 4. Encourage the use of robust cost-benefit analyses to avoid the over-emphasis on effects of a specific pathogen at the expense of other aspects of wellbeing (e.g., fundamental human rights, economic well-being, etc.). Preparedness: 5. Highlight the importance of co-morbidities, such as diet, exercise, sleep, stress and mental wellbeing, in fighting off diseases. Response: 6. No lockdowns unless there is a high likelihood of pathogen elimination within a short period of time. 7. Use a targeted approach for interventions (e.g., Great Barrington Declaration). Treatments should not be wasted on people who have low risks (statistical or personally perceived risks). 8. Do not recommend medications under Emergency Use Authorisations. There is no way to do a robust cost-benefit analysis on medications that have not been properly tested. 9. Make better use of therapeutic repurposed drugs which have a strong safety record.
Private	1. Different cultures exist and these influence human behaviour. No approach will be optimal for all countries or cultures. Ensure that countries can opt out of any pandemic guidance. 2. Individuals have sovereignty over their own bodies and health options. 3. Control conflicts of interest in developing the pandemic plans. Industries (e.g., Pharma) and powerful players (e.g., Bill Gates) have their own goals and preferred approaches. They should not influence the pandemic plans. 4. Encourage the use of robust cost-benefit analyses to avoid the over-emphasis on effects of a specific pathogen at the expense of other aspects of wellbeing (e.g., fundamental human rights, economic well-being, etc.). Preparedness: 5. Highlight the importance of co-morbidities, such as diet, exercise, sleep, stress and mental wellbeing, in fighting off diseases. Response: 6. No lockdowns unless there is a high likelihood of pathogen elimination within a short period of time. 7. Use a targeted approach for interventions (e.g., Great Barrington Declaration). Treatments should not be wasted on people who have low risks (statistical or personally perceived risks). 8. Do not recommend medications under Emergency Use Authorisations. There is no way to do a robust cost-benefit analysis on medications that have not been properly tested. 9. Make better use of therapeutic repurposed drugs which have a strong safety record.
self employed	1. Different cultures exist and these must be respected. No one approach is optimal for all countries or cultures. Ensure that countries can opt out of any pandemic guidance. 2. Individuals have sovereignty over their own bodies and health options. 3. Acknowledge and control conflicts of interest in developing pandemic plans. Industries (e.g., Pharma) and powerful players (e.g., Bill Gates) have their own goals and preferred approaches. They should not influence pandemic plans. 4. Encourage use of robust cost-benefit analyses to avoid the over-emphasis on effects of a specific pathogen at the expense of other aspects of wellbeing (e.g., fundamental human rights, economic well-being, etc.). Preparedness: 5. Highlight the importance of co-morbidities, such as diet, exercise, sleep, stress and mental wellbeing, in fighting off diseases. Response: 6. No lockdowns unless there is a high likelihood of pathogen elimination within a short period of time. 7. Use a targeted approach for interventions (e.g., Great Barrington Declaration). Treatments should not be wasted on people who have low risks (statistical or personally perceived risks). 8. Do not recommend medications under Emergency Use Authorisations. There is no way to do a robust cost-benefit analysis on medications that have not been properly tested. 9. Always consider use of therapeutic repurposed drugs which have a strong safety record. Do not favour only one medical approach (i.e., vaccines) or favour proprietary
Personal	General: 1. Different cultures exist and these influence human behaviour. No approach will be optimal for all countries or cultures. Ensure that countries can opt out of any pandemic guidance. 2. Individuals have sovereignty over their own bodies and health options. 3. Control conflicts of interest in developing the pandemic plans. Industries (e.g., Pharma) and powerful players (e.g., Bill Gates) have their own goals and preferred approaches. They should not influence the pandemic plans. 4. Encourage the use of robust cost-benefit analyses to avoid the over-emphasis on effects of a specific pathogen at the expense of other aspects of wellbeing (e.g., fundamental human rights, economic well-being, etc.). Preparedness: 5. Highlight the importance of co-morbidities, such as diet, exercise, sleep, stress and mental wellbeing, in fighting off diseases. Response: 6. No lockdowns unless there is a high likelihood of pathogen elimination within a short period of time. 7. Use a targeted approach for interventions (e.g., Great Barrington Declaration). Treatments should not be wasted on people who have low risks (statistical or personally perceived risks). 8. Do not recommend medications under Emergency Use Authorisations. There is no way to do a robust cost-benefit analysis on medications that have not been properly tested. 9. Make better use of therapeutic repurposed drugs which have a strong safety record. Do not favour only one medical approach (i.e., vaxxines) or favour proprietary drugs over those which are off-patent. Encourage the use of a wide spectrum of therapies. 10. Do not recommend medical mandates, ever.
University of Otago	General: 1. Value the differences in culture and religion. No approach will be optimal for all countries or cultures. Ensure that countries can opt out of any pandemic guidance. 2. Individuals have sovereignty over their own bodies and health options. No one should be pressed to receive treatment in response to a pandemic. 3. The right of doctors to treat patients in their best interest need to be preserved. No treatments introduced by organizations (e.g. Pharma) or prefered governments should be pressed on health care professionals, leaving them with only one choice of treatment. 4. Encourage the use of robust cost-benefit analyses to avoid the over-emphasis on effects of a specific pathogen at the expense of other aspects of wellbeing (e.g., fundamental human rights, economic well-being, etc.). Preparedness: 5. Highlight the importance of co-morbidities, such as diet, exercise, sleep, stress and mental wellbeing, in fighting off diseases. Response: 6. No lockdowns. 7. Use a targeted approach for interventions (Great Barrington Declaration). Treatments should not be wasted on people who have low risks (statistical or personally perceived risks). 8. Do not recommend medications under Emergency Use Authorisations. There is no way to do a robust analysis on medications that have not been properly tested. 9. Make better use of therapeutic repurposed drugs which have a strong safety record. Do not favour only one medical approach (i.e., vaccines). Encourage the use of a wide spectrum of therapies. 10. Do not recommend medical mandates, ever.

Organization	Written submission
concerned citizen	Generally I oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty, especially if it takes precedence over national constitutional law. Regarding pandemics in general, decision-making bodies have to be diverse and unbiased and decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner. Liabilities for vaccines or medicines must never be shifted to member states or the public in general. It is very important, that all measures take full account and carefully balance human rights, social and economics interests especially those of the states and their citizens. Mandatory vaccines or medicines are an absolute no-go and shall be forbidden. Aswell as discriminatory measures shall be outlawed. Very important as well is, that all vaccines and medicines are bought at cost in pandemic situations. If public money is used for development of vaccines or medicines, there shall be no patents granted and the developed product shall be public property. For the future, all funding shall be provided to 100% by it's member states and private funding of the WHO shall be outlawed to avoid influence of private interest groups. The sole goal of the WHO shall be the health of the individual over the economic interests of companies and cooperations.
Privat	generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
none, concerned citizen of Austria	Generally, Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests and discriminatory measures should be prohibited. WHO Desicion Making (as well as those from EU or National Goverments) must be made in transparent manner and show what opions are taken into the process and who is funding them
Egg bürger	Generell NEIN zum Pandemie-Vertrag. Er ist ein Instrument, um alle Menschen um die Entscheidungshoheit über ihren Körper zu bringen, sie jederzeit zur Teilnahme an medizinischen Massenexperimenten zu zwingen und stellt somit eine eklatante Menschenrechtsverletzung dar.
just a concerned individual	Gentlemen/Meadames; I am very concerned about the WHO over reach with an idea of instituting a global treaty which would give WHO much more power and reach than it now has, with no checks and balances, to be sure they are not abusing their power. As we saw only too well, during the current Covid crisis, WHO declared an emergency when there were fewer than one percent of people dying of the so called virus. They further instituted measures which were patently ineffective and very harmful, like maintaining distances from others, forbidding contact with others, closing of public institutions, including churches, demanding that people wear masks, and forcing them to stay home, at their own expense; that is, institutine prison like rules in which people were forced to behave as if they were in prison. I am totally opposed to any use of passports, masks, distancing, and the lies and misinformation and fear which were instituted by WHO. Sincerely yours, Janet Desroches
Save Our Church	Gentlemen: I am strongly compelled to let you on this committee know that we absolutely do not need ANY international instrument of pandemic preparedness and response! Any such instrument would be dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans, We can and should make our own decisions on how to deal with such complex matters, just as as any nation should, without restricting ourselves by some treaty that supersedes our Supreme Law, our constitution, with its dogmatically stated freedom protections! We are well aware of the diabolical motives behind this dangerous and wholly unnecessary international treaty! If any of you vote for any such treaty you are part of this diabolical plan to destroy the sovereignty of our nation and the nations of the world! You will become treasonous betrayers of America and all Americans.
Self-Employed	Gentlepeople, A global agreement on pandemic response is too far reaching in its attempt to homogenize response and medical care for the entire globe. Each country, and its leaders, should have the right to decide on a pandemic response and medical care for their own country, and do what's right for their own citizens. Individual countries, and their leaders, need to be able to opt out of any provisions within a global agreement, based on the best decisions for their own citizens, and not face any penalties for doing so. There should also be allowances for countries to decline participation in the global program if it's decided the program will not serve its citizens in any meaningful manner. Pharmaceutical companies, and others attempting to profit from the agreement, should not be allowed to have any input into a global program. If allowed to interfere, these entities will make decisions based on profit and not in the best interests of global citizenry. This program offers nothing of value because it's based on a faulty, one-size-fits all quotient. The countries of the world, including states, municipalities, counties, cities and towns are populated with citizens of different biological, social, cultural, employment and other needs. A one-size-fits-all program of pandemic response does not, and will not, serve the needs of entire populations and certainly will not provide any viable solutions for pandemic response for the entire globe. Thank you.
Alpha Service Grundwerte eG	Gerade, weil sich gezeigt hat, dass die im Falle von Corona getroffenen Massnahmen zur beschleunigten Sonderzulassung ungeprüfter, also experimenteller Genspritzen verheerende Ausmasse der Schäden annehmen können, wenn sie denn weltweit verordnet werden, darf keinesfalls die Souveränität der Nationalstaaten und ihrer Verfassungen ausgehebelt werden. Nationalrecht über WHO-Verordnungen, die lediglich Empfehlungen bleiben dürfen.
Private	German: Diese Vereinbarung zwischen den Nationen und der WHO darf nicht zu Stande kommen, da dieser Vertrag auf Grundlage falscher naturwissenschaftlicher Fakten basiert. Die meisten Regierungen wurden von mächtigen Interessengruppen infiltriert, sodass die Regierungen nicht im Interesse des Volkes handeln. Außerdem wurde diese Volksbefragung in den Medien mit Absicht nicht genug beworben, sodass das Ergebnis nicht repräsentativ ist und diese als demokratisches Tool von der Wirkungsweise nicht genügend ist. CH.G.
Petra Steinacker	Gesetzes Fragen müssen Ländersache bleiben und durch das Volk abgestimmt sein und werden.
Energiepraxis	Gesetzesverordnungen müssen Ländersache bleiben und durch das Volk abgestimmt sein
Sans objet	Gestion indépendante des conflits d'intérêts, s'appuyant sur le repositionnement de molécule, les traitements précoces et la médecine de ville. Écarter les vaccinations à ARN messager pour des vaccinations possibles sur la base d un virus mort ou inactivé pour les populations à risque et avec consentement éclairé (possibilité au patient de refuser) Un plus l 'OMS : arrêter de flécher vos financements sur la volontés de vos donateurs. C'est à des experts mondiaux, pluridisciplinaires et sans conflits d'intérêt de proposer vos recommandations...et améliez vos modèles mathématiques...on ne peut pas fonder des recommandations sur un problème à plusieurs inconnues sur des modèles mathématiques...ils se sont trompés 8 fois sur 10 ! Merci de penser à l'intérêt des citoyens du monde et pas à celui d'une petite caste méprisante.
privat	Gesundheit ist Privatsache. Die WHO kann Empfehlungen geben, aber keine rechtsverbindlichen Anordnungen und schon gar nicht die Souveränität eines Landes außer Kraft setzen. Medizinische Behandlung ist Privatsache!!!! Jegliche Anmaßung medizinische Zwangsmaßnahmen anzuordnen sind abzulehnen. Weiterhin dürfen medizinische Maßnahmen nicht verpflichtend für die Bewegungsfreiheit jeglicher Art sein! Halten Sie sich an den "Nürnberger Codex". Der wurde nicht umsonst entwickelt!
Stay at home Mom	Get people Healthy!!! People with co-morbidities are at the greatest risk- obesity being the biggest one. We have an unhealthy population of people, stop subsidizing sugar and switch to organic farming- they need some financial support. This is not about funding the pharmaceutical industry for another "cure" . We are incredibly resilient humans if we put the right stuff in. Vaccines are actually making people more unhealthy by messing up their immune systems. The cure is to stregthen our bodies and minds, not pour poison into it!
Citizen of USA....let's make it Sovereign again.	Get rid of UN & thus get rid of WHO. We have a robust constitutional representative republic & therefore there should be no UN/WHO which are both captured & corrupt.
self	Giant Pharmaceutical Companies have promoted emergency use authorizations without adequate testing, even eliminating control groups, pressuring countries to absorb any liability for vaccine injuries, while enhancing pharma profits the more vaccines are promoted with advertising dollars and paid for by complicit health "experts" rewarded by governments. All of this while mounting worldwide evidence demonstrates that countries without mandates have fared equally as well as the severest lockdown countries. Countries and individuals should be able to maintain sovereignty over their own health decisions in synch with real world outcomes but in stark contrast to what was promoted as settled science.
Bilderberg	Give vaccine to everyone in US and if the the pandemic proven disappear. I will think about it until then leave other countries.
Springfield Hospital	Given all of the errors in handling Covid and overriding of significant safety signals regarding the mRNA vaccines I find the idea of a centralized global binding pandemic response to be very worrisome. Coordination and information sharing is a good thing but pharma dollars and other monetary influence must be excluded if public health is to be protected.
Fraternity of Global Citizens	Given both the significant mismanagement of the most recent Covid response and the failure to recognize obvious patterns of seasonality and geographic differences (Africa) coupled with the inconsistent position regarding the use of therapeutics - the WHO has not earned the right to act as an unelected arbiter of pandemic response. The notion that nations should surrender sovereignty to an unelected body with such a poor track record as the WHO fails at first blush. Further given, given the influence of large nations states and the pharmaceutical industry on the WHO governing body - the notion of equanimity for citizens' rights in such deliberation is underserved - consequently, there should be no "substantive elements" in an international instrument, as the WHO does not have the track record to guide such an agreement.
Individual	Given that almost all national governments around the world including all international organizations have done a terrible job with the Covid19 virus, I am strongly opposed to any international agreements on pandemics. There should be no agreements on matters that effect citizens on all continents. Never. National sovereignty is essential on all health matters and must not be relinquished under any circumstances. No on any pandemic treaty.
Green Medicolegal	Given that covid modelling was wildly wrong - with Ferguson et al's spectacularly poor Report 9 overestimating fatality rates by around 1,000 times- its lack of ethical committee approval and peer review before triggering ineffective and financially impoverishing lockdowns - there must never again be a pandemic response like this based on wholly flawed science. A global abandonment of medical ethics- with support for mandatory vaccinations with fatal mRNA technology - shows that the WHO is totally irresponsible and unfit to call a pandemic and coordinate a pandemic response.
n/a	Given that the rights of every natural born living human are paramount and are the things most worthy of preserving in any treaty, and given that these rights are what will preserve humanity through time as humans come and go from a multiplicity of causes, the following should be included in any international instrument on pandemic preparedness: Maintenance of national and local sovereignty and authority. Full right of self-determination for individual nations regarding appropriate course of action The right of nations to refuse any WHO proposal The right of individuals to determine their compliance or non-compliance with proposed measures Assurance that pharmaceutical companies or other entities in a position of profiteering or influencing any process are duly restrained
None	Given that the WHO is a corrupt organization that may have committed serious crimes against humanity, I demand: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	Given that the word pandemic (as with so much other wordplay) has been redefined from being a medicine that provides lasting immunity against a given disease, to being that of a drug that may (or may not) provide partial protection, I consider it a complete overreach on the part of the WHO to consider themselves the arbiters that should be permitted to reside and dictate to democratic countries what they may or may not do in the event of a "pandemic". Recent history has shown that very little policy has had anything to do with health, and everything to do with government power and overreach. I am therefore voicing my opinion that I am strongly opposed to any further involvement of the WHO in the affairs of countries that have democratically elected officials to do so. By enforcing your proposed treaty, you (and through the WHO, the respective governments) are violating the trust people have in their elected officials. Further meddling will result in a loss of faith in the medical industry in general, and lead to greater motivation for a comprehensive withdrawal of countries from the WHO
Stop TB Partnership (hosted by UNOPS)	Given that Tuberculosis (TB) is the world's second leading airborne killer, second only to COVID-19, TB elimination efforts should be positioned at the center of the Pandemic Preparedness and Response (PPR) agenda. Because TB and COVID-19 are similar, many countries used their TB infrastructure to respond to COVID-19. Human resources were re-assigned, while TB laboratories and diagnostic equipment were redesignated for the COVID-19 response. TB programmes were centers of expertise on many COVID-19 interventions, such as airborne infection control, contact tracing, quarantine and isolation, and respiratory care. TB programs were severely under-resourced and underfunded when the pandemic hit, leaving many countries with significantly less capacity to respond to COVID-19. If governments had been fully funding their TB programs, countries would have been better prepared to respond to the COVID-19 crisis. Adding investments to strengthen TB programs will help develop surge capacity to fight new pandemics. Smart investments under PPR will help the fight against TB and at the same time prepare the world to face the next pandemic. Capacity to eliminate TB is so closely linked with PPR that monitoring progress in TB should be part of the monitoring of state of preparedness to fight any new respiratory infection. Investments that have dual benefits for TB elimination and PPR should be considered and funded. National TB programs should work closely with their health ministry to ensure that PPR plans and investments are built into the country's TB response. The TB response should also benefit from new PPR investments since successful PPR responses depend on fully funded TB programs.
none	Given the catastrophic failure of WHO, indeed of all institutional responses to Covid and other such situations, the only proper answer to this question is that the WHO and all other "authorities" should GO AWAY and leave us all alone. Humanity is better off without you and your ilk. Covid would have been a normal flu season with a normal fatality count without institutions like yours.
None	Given the disastrous response to the previous covid pandemic by the WHO it is imperative that we allow all nations to form their own pandemic plans. Universal lockdowns, universal masking and forced medical procedures / vaccination should never be allowed to replace an individuals basic human rights to decide for themselves what is best for their well being. Individual countries must be able to determine what is recommended for their region and country however these recommendations should never be forced or enshrined in law or policy unless it is to reinforce individual civil liberties. Member states must look to their current individual constitutions for guidance regarding the preservation of religious freedoms and individual civil liberties during a pandemic. Lockdowns, masks and vaccinations have clearly prolonged this pandemic and only benefited the golden billion while the rest of the world suffered. This can never be allowed to happen again. No more global one size fits all approach to medicine.
n/a	Given the dismal effectiveness a current globally cooperative effort whivh has seen the WHO promote truly fraudulent testing protocols and dangerous treatments, the main element of a new pandemic preparedness instrument should be health care professionals who are completely unbiased, uncorrupted and not affiliated in any way with manufacturers who have an interest in an accelerated response to a pandemic. The result of using completely independent health care professionals for decision making as part of a new international instruments for preparedness would see the WHO promote actions which benefit the citizens of the world, instead of those of big pharma, which is obviously the current situation.
General Public	Given the diversity of each country I dont believe a one size fits all solution would be appropriate for this treaty. Every Country should have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each nation should have the ability to opt out of any agreement as they see fit without consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people in every country to vote on this treaty including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. Measures to be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health providers.
None/Self	Given the experience we just had with the recent pandemic, here are some of the substantive elements that I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - every country and state within the country (if applicable) should have the right to assess their own risk independently - every country and state within the country (if applicable) should make their own decisions about what actions they should take, based on their analysis - there should not be one solution or approach that fits all that is mandated on all countries, as it is ignorant, discriminatory and dangerous Thank you!
Public	Given the fact that the WHO was mistaken about every recommendation they made with respect to the COVID pandemic, it has become clear to the world that the WHO is not capable of handling a pandemic and should refrain from attempting to mount any global response. The WHO has lost the trust of the people of the world and it will be decades before it can regain that trust. The best thing that the WHO can do to regain that trust is to agree to monitor any significant risks and agree that the WHO will not attempt to make recommendations or otherwise dictate what any one person or country should do in response. However, since the WHO will probably decide that they must be involved, then any response should first guarantee that they will not attempt to restrict the movements of the people nor their freedom of assembly. Also, given the volume of scientific research proving that masks are ineffective against viruses, no response should ever mandate the use of a mask. Finally, the WHO should agree that any response will be bound by the Nuremberg code.
representing self	Given the global response to COVID-19, you must include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Retired physician	Given the lamentable outcome of the global response to the recent pandemic, I oppose giving the WHO sole authority to do this again. Instead: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
America	Given the mechanism of origin and failure of drastic response by governing officials to assuage the SARS viruses' effects or transmission, it is totally illogical to assume that less variability in response to infectious agents in the future will lead to positive outcome. Given that this culminates as a loss of life on mass scale in favor of corporate interests, the proposal to plunge humanity into a state lacking variability and individual sovereignty, this proposal is criminal. It will lead to civil unrest and death for many people across the globe. This violation of existing international laws is unacceptable, and egregious. The purpose of your organization is tainted by pursuit of power and corporate profits, and this proposal simply highlights the fact. Upholding the interests of health does not entitle any small body of people to assume control over the large majority. The failure of such attempts has been made clear over the past decade, especially since 2019. Mathematically speaking, elimination of variables diminishes the probability of success. Take Roman water ways as a shining exemplary reason not to attempt one measure across the board without the elimination of entire civilization. No human being has ever been impervious to the future bringing unforeseen catastrophe. The plans of mice and men as they say. Putting the whole of humanity into one proverbial basket is not only moronic, but sinister. The fact you would move to do so highlights your lack of perception and ineptitude to achieve real success to benefit humanity. This trend indicates that your purpose and utilities are bereft of their original intent, and your organization is no longer needed nor effectual. Suffice to say in summary, sit down.
Citizen of the world	Given the well documented huge conflicts of interests in today's WHO , the unfollowing corruption and the catastrophic management of the covid 19, I firmly oppose any world treaty under the auspices from the WHO . Furthermore , the idea that one solution fits all is against all natural laws . Each country has to keep the right to entirely manage any health situation on its own terms, based on the local situation and experience. And the most important is that a health procedure should never be mandated . It is and must remain an inalienable human right to manage our own body and health .
United States of America	Given the WHO's performance during the Covid pandemic, the WHO should not be granted any new powers via international agreements. If anything, elements should include an independent review of WHO actions and consider stripping the WHO of existing power, authority and resources as accountability measures. Individual countries should have complete power and authority to govern themselves - including their health policies. The WHO uncritically believed and repeated misinformation from the CCP about Covid – for example, that it could not be spread from person to person. This despite the CCP's well-know history of suppressing unflattering public health information. The WHO's complete lack of skepticism betrays either ignorance or something worse. The WHO repeated this error in their investigation into Covid's origins (a year into it) where they again relied on the CCP's word and failed to conduct an independent rigorous investigation. Meanwhile, the WHO ignored Taiwan, who was an exemplary global citizen trying to warn the world by supplying accurate information about Covid's transmissibility. Even after this was confirmed and despite the fact Taiwan managed Covid in the early months better than almost any other nation, the WHO excluded Taiwan from critical global pandemic meetings. This is inexcusable. The WHO was also incredibly slow to declare a pandemic. Given all this, we should be investigating why the WHO got so much wrong and why they uncritically trusted/praised the CCP. The WHO proved they do not deserve the trust and resources they currently command and certainly not any new powers. Covid made it crystal clear the WHO should not exercise any power over sovereign countries' health policies.
Public	Given the WHOs recent appalling failure to respond in an intelligent way to Covid 19 I do not believe the WHO should be attempting to extend its influence to any degree whatsoever The WHO showed itself to be a pawn to political influence and to be both dishonest and corrupt in its dealings with over powerful forces I am shocked that any government should indulge the inflated egos of the WHO by engaging in a process designed to undermine democratic government.
Electrician	Giving power to an unelected group of people to make decisions over my own actions that I cannot hold accountable is the worst thing I can think of just look at how bad the WHO already handled COVID-19. This instrument should not exist.
Cooking Pots	Giving this kind of power to any organisation, institution, or unelected body is far more DANGEROUS than anything we have ever seen in world history! It is utter madness that this could happen. If the real plan here is to END democracy in much of the world then well done, goal achieved. It is a disgrace that this is even being suggested as an idea. I'm shocked and horrified. PLEASE THING AGAIN.
Healthy Lifestyle Alternatives	Global all-cause mortality did not change in the first year of the pandemic giving no justification for any of the Authoritarian Pandemic Response. In fact the International pandemic response to date has been a global failure. Non-evidence based lockdowns, mask usage and mandatory participation in medical experimentation has caused unquantified harm to society. This has resulted in destruction of the middle class, poverty, mental illness, suicide, chronic illness and an increase in non-covid all-cause mortality. Clearly centralised control of the pandemic response has had catastrophic consequences, not taking into consideration population vulnerability, necessity, practicality or evaluation of the risks associated with a centralised response. Suppression of scientific enquiry and dissent is a frightening precedent that illuminates the need to remove an international centralised governing body to allow freedom of speech and true scientific enquiry.
InlandPsychRedlands,Inc.	Global centralized control will cause more harm than good, especially with regard to mental health. The global COVID response is exemplary. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There is never a one-size-fits all strategy that is appropriate for everyone. All nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Because of widespread corruption at all levels of governance, public health measures must prohibit the influence of any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, especially the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.
Uk citizen	Global decisions cannot be managed. They would impact everyone, but not equally, not equitably, not fairly Nobody has the right to make those words reaching decisions on behalf of 8 billion diverse individuals Plus, recent history has shown that the governance of WHO is corrupted by wealthy individuals, who profess benevolence, but in reality merely want absolute control Those overseeing the implementation see to it that they benefit the most
N/A	Global health management should include the following: 1. While a global source of information and advice may be important, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. A one-size-fits-all global health response is inappropriate as needs can vary greatly by country and region. 2. Nations, regional governmental entities, and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Conflicts of interest must be eliminated by measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, including so-called non-profit organizations that receive funding derived from pharmaceutical companies or from governmental agencies influenced or financed by pharmaceutical companies or profiteers.
Individual	Global healthcare/one set response is not the best response for individuals. Each country has individual cultures, ideals and traditions that are important to that country. Each country has different leadership style and each government has different levels of support from their people. Counties has different resources, access to funding and healthcare. One world wide response will not work and will not cater to individual people.
US citizen/CHD	Global management of local health paints the too broad a stroke in exercising a one size fits all and is inequitable to millions of people across the world. In the spirit of freedom of personal rights and decisions, the WHO should remain a non political, unbiased organization without assumption that they are a world governing force. This action would cause more issues than positive outcomes. Thank you.
na	Global organizations are not properly situated to deal with public health issues, which responses are location dependent. I support national and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. In a like manner, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The national response to COVID revealed that an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is warranted. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be in place and accountability measures must be open, honest and transparent.
United States Citizen	Global Pandemic Mitigation measures must be drafted free from any influence of and without consideration or favor for the fiscal health of any international or local commercial business interests, which, on the historical record have been motivated by self-interest and financial opportunity to reap exorbitant profit resulting from adverse public health outcomes produced by real, imagined, or amplified public health crises. National or local participation in any W.H.O. Global Health Pandemic Mitigation Measure must be strictly voluntary, by consent of the people, at the national and local levels. Any consent with such measures is non-binding and may be revoked at any time, without notice Political leaders elected by the citizens of their respective nation-states are public servants with a constitutional obligation to serve the interests of their constituents only. These political representatives are of the people and are under no obligation to follow the dictates of unelected members of international organizations, including the W.H.O. with respect to A Global Health Pandemic Treaty.
none	Global pandemic preparedness and response should contain these following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Global pandemic preparedness and response should include the ability for local governments to opt out of any policy, make common sense decisions that work for their communities, be creative and use the resources that they already may have and make policies based on the guidance of their citizens. Pharmaceutical companies or philanthropists should not have any say in any policy the WHO may recommend.
US citizen	Global pandemic preparedness should be grounded in bodily autonomy and freedom of choice and nothing less. There is never a health crisis so severe as to take measures that force or coerce another person to do or act in a way contrary to their beliefs or freedoms. Living is not without risks. What needs to be limited is agencies tampering with nature, exploiting humans for the sake of experiments and reckless scientific study that looks to change the natural order that has survived and thrived millions of years without our constant tampering with it. Allowing health decisions to be made by one or a few select agencies is a dangerous and creates opportunities for a monopoly of power to be wielded by a select few.

Organization	Written submission
Self	Global Pandemic Treaty I believe in bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent. It is the right of each individual to seek out medical information and treatment for themselves based on the needs and requirements of their individual body. Health treatments are certainly not one size fits all and neither should the rules governing them be. The US should not engage in an agreement to treat its citizens based on the recommendations of a foreign entity. I am asking that the US put it's citizens first and reject the offer to join the Global Pandemic Treaty.
Private person	Global pandemics and the like should be managed by each country and it's people in bodily autonomy as per basic human rights and the handling of such occasions should not be dictated by an organisation like the WHO who is absolutely dependent on big pharma's handouts and who's representatives, officials, experts and scientists have ties to pharma, economics and politics in every country they represent. In short, the WHO is one big conflict of interest and has not the health of the world's population as it's heart. It needs to be dissolved. Furthermore, A treaty can only be signed by the representatives of the country and not by a cooperation who pretend to act on their behalf. No Treaty for Australia!
None	Globalmeasures are unnecessary and have not been agreed to by the world population. National and more importantly, local authorities, are best-placed to judge appropriate measures for their citizens. If the WHO adopts global measures, without the consent of the people, there must be an option for national and local authorities to opt out of any parts of the agreement, without prejudice. The public should have the right to vote for or against these measures regionally, rather than having them forced upon them. Any global measures must include non-pharmaceutical and alternative approaches which have been largely ignored leading to huge profits for the pharmaceutical industry. These include vitamin, mineral and herbal approaches, amongst others. Measures should not not be influenced by the pharmaceutical industry or other health/medical services which have made huge profits over the last two years.
Individual	God created each of us as unique individuals with our own DNA, immune system, physical appearance, intelligence and thought processes. The WHO should not attempt to apply a one size fits all program to the management of our health and well being. Health related issues should remain decentralized so that they can be most effective in addressing each nation's, each community's and each individual's enique needs. We are opposed to a one size fits all approach to public health and opposed to any international agreement among WHO members to join together in a unified approach to a pandemic response. God gave us all a free will to make our own choices and it should remain as such.
Self	God has given people free will and responsibility for their own body. Any resolution should respect the personal sovereignty of all individuals to make health decisions for themselves and their children. The role of the WHO is to provide information on tradeoffs so that of any health decision someone will make can be performed with full informed consent in accordance with Nuremberg code.
N/A	Good afternoon - while this proposal may be cloaked in good intentions, in practice it's absurd and ludicrous on its face. Health is personal and a private matter between the individual and his or her physician. The more outside parties insert themselves into the process the worse the outcome. Unfortunately, health like politics is local. Look no further than the wake of destruction caused by the attempt to manage Covid thru failed lockdown policies. As an American I completely reject any outside authority presuming to have a voice in my life. Thank you for your time.
QTSafety	Good afternoon I think moving forward a couple of substantive elements that need to be implemented are very important, there has to be clear and irrefutable evidence that a pandemic is life threatening to the world or area it appears in. There has to be clear evidence on the cure for the pandemic before locking down the public and it should be passed by credited doctors as there are in the World Council for Health. Please keep politics out of the decisions. Thank you for the time to talk.
Becnel Plantation, LLC	Good afternoon! I am NOT in favor of the Pandemic Treaty: But if this foolishness proceeds, then the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks, Donald
myself	Good afternoon, I am respectfully writing to announce my displeasure on a treaty that will effectively supercede national constitutions and potentially oppose human rights. The WHO is not a publicly elected office and no currently elected politicians have been questioned on the merits of signing such a treaty in open debate. Countries and their citizens must be able to do what is best for them, independently as health circumstances change. Sincerely, Richard.
M Matyas Medicine Prof. Corp.	Good afternoon, As a medical professional and concerned citizen I strongly oppose the proposed WHO global pandemic treaty. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Centralized decision making regarding individual health is dangerous and ineffective. Sincerely, Dr M Matyas, MD FRCSC
N/A	Good afternoon, As a world citizen I do NOT agree with unelected WHO leadership to dictate to governments a specific response. Recommendation should be enough! The latest pandemic ruined the trust in WHO, as they had inconsistent policy recommendations with regards to masks, downplayed or tried to hide the severity of the virus when was discovered in China. WHO has a history of corruption and openly admitted that it's sponsors dictate policies that benefit the donors at the expense of human health.
Na	Good afternoon, I am against what you are you trying to propose. You have no right to mandate vaccinations or other treatments on people of the world. People should have the right for freedom of choice, autonomy of their bodies, freedom of movement and freedom of speach. Your organization is not the God to decide for the people what is right for them. You have no right to impose lockdowns on people's lives and destroy their lives. You should stop financing bio-weapon laboratories that create those viruses and then you create vaccines and medications that ruin people's health and some people even die from your vaccines and medications. In addition, stop introducing transhumanism, and implanting chips into people's bodies. Start being humans who care about others and not about how to become millioners. The God sees what type of evil your organisation and people who work there are and one day you'll pay the price for everything that you have done to the humanity. I hope that you'll wake up before it's too late. Sincerely, Victoria
In God We Trust (Jesus Christ)	Good afternoon, I stand firm in the belief that Nations under God must be and continue to be Sovereign to construct Independently their God Given Rights to practice without influence or constraints from outside entities that wish to use unyielding dictate and power to control a free society. A Free Country is that, Freedom of their citizens to hold the power, not their Government nor any other form. As Jesus Christ as my Witness, Tami
Art historian	Good communication between different partners is great, but we don't need a centralized institution to organize the same methods anywhere. Local problems and local differences need to be taken care of by local and regional actors who can find fitting solutions. Countries need to keep their souverignity to secure local solutions on health issues and do not need an internationally acting institution as there can never be the one holistic solution, which is suitable for all countries and all mankind.
Self	Good day to you. I submit this comment from the USA. This treaty has the makings of an absolute disaster. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This is not one size fits all! There must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. You must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We are not ignorant. We have seen the disaster these past two years. Thank you for your consideration.
Canadian Citizen	Good Day World Health Organization, As a Canadian citizen I write to you in concern for the future of humanity and our world. Witnesses the Last years of the Covid pandemic unfold, It is my opinion the handling from Governments and experts was not successful. The contradiction from mask don't work to they do .. Devastating lockdowns, cancelation of schools/sports for Children. Families not being able to say good bye to their loved ones. To canceled cancer treatments life saving surgeries.. All in the name for the greater good. Its hard to imagine that in a time where the world is suppose to be more inclusive,compassionate nondiscriminatory. We created a division in people so foreign to me if not reading in major news papers/news stations I would not have believed. The unthinkable hate unjab people have received is disturbing and should cause the world to pause and reflect. If we have not learnt from our history there is no hope for the future. I do not expect this Treaty that is being implemented by this organization. Sincerely Heather
psh	Good Day All policy's concerning health and governance should be handled at a local level. Any law should be very transparent, and the people should be able to vote it out and the people behind it if it is not working . Leo Ford
Pvt	Good day The proposed pandemic treaty will effectively eradicate democracy on our planet. Humans are meant to be free beings. This is nothing more than a power grab and attempt to control populations. Please do not implement any pandemic treaty! Kind regards Kim
None	Good day! In response to the question beforehand, these are a few of the important elements that I believe should be included. 1. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that would prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 2. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people with no coercion or force. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you!
No organisation, privat	Good day! I do not believe that the fight against the pandemic should be delegated to the EU. I mean, the national states have different health care systems, different population bases, different population densities and settlement areas. A national assessment and guidelines for combating a pandemic is sufficient. The different needs of the countries can be better coordinated at the local, national level than at a central level. The organizations of the EU do not have to worry about that at all. Kind regards Harald Winter
Concerned citizen	Good day, I am deeply concerned about this new push by the UN to consolidate power over our health and it's not something I will up with. The decisions regarding my health rest solely with me or my doctor, the government not the United Nations, having no say over what I do with or to my body during a medical emergency.
None	Good Day, I am opposed to a global pandemic solution. Countries should be independent of one another and be able to implement their own solutions. The WHO should be responsible to warn of crisis but not dictate how to resolve. Natural immunity is still a good course of action that we must consider and allows borders to remain open. Today most countries are advanced with science and medicine which should lessen the fear of contracting a virus. Countries should have a choice and not be under a new rule of law. Please consider. Thank you, Linda
Human being civilian	Good day, I heard about this opportunity to have my day just few minutes ago. I think that can be useful be organised against emergencies with plans but more than a plan we need people responsible to decide from time to time which could be the best course of action. I think no one decision should be made on behalf of local communities or larger populations. Especially if these decisions comes from an authority that the direct interested (we, the People) has requested. So WHO have only to suggest soft guidelines and no more higher ambitions to any nation like is showing with this horrendous proposal. Kind regards, Stefano
Citizen	Good day, I do not support the idea of a supranational body to determine global policies during a health emergency. There is no guarantee that a global body such as the WHO will be able to act impartially and free from outside influence or pressure. Such crises are best left to individual national governments and/or local authorities. The closer a governing body is to the affected people, the more effective, competent, and accountable their decisions will be. I do not support your proposed plan, and it should be dropped immediately. Thank you.
Independent	Good day, thank for the opportunity to share my concerns on this very important topic. We are in a country where the direction of freedom is being threatened. As a U.S. citizen, I am seeing the obvious changes especially from the Pandemic forward, how quickly our country's freedom and rights are being challenged. It is quite freightening how our government agencies are influencing, making decisions on our and our children's health, something never had to deal with before on this level. This pandemic has proven that if we allow outside entities, meaning outside of our bodies, outside of us, make decisions/influence/mandate certain medical/health requirements, we have lost that freedom this country stands on. The elements which should be included in this new international instrument for preparedness are 3: 1) allowing national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what they think is best for their own people. We ask that there be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2) an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3) measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	Good day, In my opinion, WHO lost all credibility when it changed the definition of a pandemic in 2009, with the ensuing swine flu "pandemic". Furthermore, it is totally unacceptable in itself that a non-elected organisation funded largely by commercial parties would overrule national constitutions. Sincerely, Johan Koopman
Grand public	Good Day, Any global instrument on pandemic preparedness: 1. should first of all include a clear and specific definition of the concept and reality of "pandemic", ie that severity (Infection Fatality Rate) be the primary measurement of any pandemic, diffusion or distribution being a secondary measurement only; 2. should provide for the full autonomy of national and local leadership, so that each country, state, province, etc. retain the right to make their own decision based on the best interest of their people; 3. should provide for nations and local authorities to opt out of any and/or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any fear of reprisals, nor actual reprisals; 4. should provide for an open, transparent and public process whereby all the people of the world can vote freely and without fear on measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; 5. should provide for measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, or any of their affiliates, partners, etc., or by any other global health persons or groups of persons profiting from any future health crisis; 6. should provide for the implementation, the management and maintenance of an open, public and accessible website that would list all individual decision-makers involved in the management of any future health crisis, and include their direct and indirect financial interests in the matter. Thank you for your attention. Claire Pachla
None	Good Day, I would not like any new global response for pandemic preparedness. Nor do I find the other responses to have been of much use. It is a non-issue. Thanks. Sincerely, Patrick O'Toole
USA citizen	Good Day, My family and I strongly oppose WHO being given the power to oversee as legally binding pandemic treaty. We oppose this for the following four reasons: 1) WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. 2) All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. 3) WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. 4) The United States Constitution overrides any international body claiming to have authority over any legal citizen of the USA. Thank you. Colorado USA Family
African Safari Group, South Africa	Good day, My points are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, Dominic Meulemans
Private citizen	Good day, My reasons for not agreeing with the proposed treaty: 1.) The suspension of a sovereign County's constitution in the event of a pandemic is a power grab by an organization with 0 interests in that country. 2.) Medical professionals should be allowed to treat any possible pandemic to the best of their own individual ability without interference or threat of loosing their licenses. 3.) The proposed treaty is a gross infringement on individual body autonomy as it would allow an organization to basically force any type of treatment onto people regardless of whether an individual refuses. 4.) It is of the utmost importance that countries maintain their sovereign status without a body prescribing a one solution fits all policy for the whole continent. It's been proven not to work.
None	Good Day, Please accept my submission for the proposed global pandemic agreement by the World Health Organization (WHO). I respectfully ask for the following: * National and local leadership around the world, retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
United States citizen	Good day, Please consider the following: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Julie Carper
www.wolnewybory.org	Good day, Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Best regards, Katarzyna Mlotkowska
Private	Good day, We don't need a corrupt organisation, like the WHO, to tell us what to do. Who do you think you are to make decisions for me and the world. Once again, we don't need you. Go and find your one world government somewhere else in the universe but not here.
self	Good day,I am writing to express that there is no need for an international agreement that gives you over riding powers to rule us.The power to provide guidance to each country,city or municilality needs to remain at the local level with the people incharge of guiding it themselves.Thank you for your attention to these this,
Individual	Good day. I do not believe the treaty should exist at all. Each individual Country and State should have their own sovereignty. There should be respect for human rights as defined in each Constitution. There should be legal accountability for government officials, medical providers, researchers, and pharmaceutical and/or other organizations that commit fraud or infringe on these rights. We cannot give the overall power suggested to so few. Corruption is guaranteed in such a circumstance. We the citizens of the world, have seen the tyranny, criminality, and politicalization of "health" mandates and the damage on our economies, individuals, and children.
Privat	Good day. Thank you for the oppruntity to speak from the heart and share some thougts. There is no need for a global/ international agreement on pandemic prepardness and response. If you truly want to true health for people you wont not opt for global control. You would help building local, decentralized structures. Or you would just do nothing but stand there and let the people do, what they think is best for them. Keep out of local governments. Please ask you heart for the rightness of your ation.
Private member DND Canada	Good day; I have read and agree to the Terms of the Terms of Participation for the Hearings My submission says "No booster shots recommended unless clinically proven to protect against all variants 100%." The vaccines out there are only good for the first 2 variants, and everything else recommended after Delta has been based on conjecture and pseudo science. Messages to the public included the words "We hope that the existing vaccines will be effective against the recent variants, (so get (a) booster(s) and find out if your guess is right"). For this reason I am not having anymore boosters until they are clinically proven to work on the variants I am surrounded with at any given time. 2ndly, keep your messaging to the public. I direct you to your completely incoherent and conflicting messaging at the start of the pandemic. You got the first 4 wrong: 1) Masks won't help with COVID, then 2) Mask are only good at protecting others if I have a mask on, then 3) H1N1 masks were only available to health care workers and are the only ones that protect the wearer from COVID, so why bother us with this? 4) It is "strongly recommended" (not binding) that masks should be worn although mask mandates are ending". As a result of this mismash, I have hardly seen anyone wearing masks recently, because the message doesn't make sense. 3rdly, since you seem not to be able to present a coherent strategy to deal with the pandemic(s), you should be under the supervision of a competent organization to ensure that your (mis-) fire directives do not cause any more confusion to the general pubic.
None	Good evening - These are the items that I believe should be included. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you - Robyn Sanborn
None	Good evening! This pandemic was devastating for everybody. We went through a lot and learn to do our research. A lot of scientists and doctors around the whole world were sharing their opinions, statistics, doubts etc. And it was mostly I ignored by those who make decisions. It was a big mistake. It is hard to admit that we don't know something, that we have no idea how to deal with it, what to do etc. However people are listening to these doctors and scientists because they are close to them, they sincerely wanted to help. Fear makes everything worse and doesn't work. Mandates will never work. Every body is unique, no chance to succeed if you push everyone to do what they don't want to. Choice. That is the first instrument. Second instrument is truth. Say truth if you don't know something yet. People will appreciate the truth and effort. Healthy way of living is also an instrument. Make prices for fast food higher then prices for fruits, vegetables and organic food. Educate people about vitamins, what are essential vitamins to take while you are sick etc. Please let's learn from the mistakes that have been already done and will accept that we keep living with that. And we everybody has a choice and respects the choice of another person. Thank you!
Private citizen	Good evening, I would like to comment that it appears that the WHO is not asking IF this instrument is wanted by the people of nations, rather what should be included in what appears to be a document in the making, granting the WHO immense powers over the individual health and welfare of individuals. I would like to propose that 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process should take place with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for receiving this submission. Regards, Marie-France Dedieu
Self	Good evening, I do not support this new pandemic preparedness responses because it inhibits our US Constitution and Bill of Rights protections. The WHO and governments will abuse this plan and Americans don't want to be apart of it. I believe country's should never override their constitution and God given rights as free citizens. The US Constitution should not ever be suspended due to a pandemic.

Organization	Written submission
Big House Converters	Good evening, I have several suggestions for this new international treaty on pandemic response. 1) Grant member states the ability, along with their local municipalities, to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any penalties whatsoever, according to their specific needs as actually reported on the ground and not according to data that may be coerced to fit a particular agenda of the WHO; 2) Grant for the people within member states to vote on whether or not they want that agreement, or if the majority of people in a local municipality want that agreement, thus, again, granting sovereignty to the people and paying attention to their specific needs and desires rather than pushing for a single unitary health care model upon every person; 3) Develop transparent and open processes to enhance the ability of member states, local municipalities, and the people therein to vote on this and other failsafe measures; 4) Reserve full autonomy to national and local civil magistrates, rather than to the WHO, which has not been granted any judicial authority by the Lord Jesus Christ but simply exists as an agreement between divinely ordained nations already; and 5) Forbid the influence of any and all pharmaceutical companies and other health stakeholders in constitutional decisions in this agreement. Please hear my concerns, and consider them, so that the WHO grants full sovereignty to member states and does not become the totalitarian governing body of every nation. May God grant repentance in your midst to accomplish this task of reformation and seek to serve the people of the world, for the glory of the only man who can bring anyone together, the Lord Jesus Christ. Chris Bell Calgary, AB
Myself	Good evening, My submission on the management of global pandemic is as follows and I believe formal directions should be issued to achieve the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards, Leanne Ledson
Teacher union	Good evening, The assumption that the covid 19 vaccination stops transmission and stops people from getting sick is now proven over and over to not be true. A healthy person should be able to provide a negative rat test before boarding a plane, reach their destination. Quarantine for 7 days provide another negative rat test and then be deemed safe to get on with the task they have planned for their trip Thank you
n/a	Good evening, We have read with some alarm the pandemic treaty proposal. It is very disturbing that with this treaty the WHO would certainly appear to have over arching authority regarding pandemic preparedness and response measures- power and authority that would usurp the rights and actions of countries and localities, appropriate measures that are appropriate, better serving for their citizens, their demographics uniquely. This has all been demonstrated with COVID-19, some parts of the world and countries have fared better for their considered demonstration of these factors. Too much power also has the ability to become corrupted, inflicting harm and cruelty upon countries and their citizens without redress. Thank you.
Catholic Church	 Good legislation (and treaties) are clearly written and are conscribed. It is limited in scope, by intent and design. Current proposals for a treaty that will commit counties to a coordinated response are ill-advised and violate their citizens' rights and freedoms as well as national sovereignty. I oppose such action. The United States and other nations must not be complicit. Block proposed treaties.
None	Good morning I believe that each country is sovereign and should be allowed to decide health policies according to their needs. I do not believe health care is one size fits all. Please assure in any decisions made that the elements include the ability for nations to be in control of their own health decisions. Thank you Yvonne Wilson
Tschautscher-Film	 I am very concerned! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Keep dialogue!! Please keep in mind the freedom of the indiviuals!!
WHO	Good morning , I don't understand , why your organization should be decide about my health and from all over the world. This had to do my governess. I vote for this government and not for who. Thank you
American Citizen	Good morning and thanks for the opportunity to provide substantive elements I think are critical for you to consider. - Respect the Sovereignty of all nations. - Encourage repurposing of safe and low cost medicine vs fast tracking vaccines - Lockdowns of any nature have proven to fail. Consider the Brownstone Institute and the Great Barrington declaration. - Let doctors be doctors, no regimented, one size fits all medicine. - First principle, Do No Harm Early on in this recent pandemic, the state of Uttar Pradesh in India effectively ended infection, transmission, sickness, and death with kits that cost $3 US. The WHO had observers there and did not disclose to the rest of the world an established best practice. I think you should consider this as a failure and implement corrective action before attempting to take on any new responsibility. Respectfully, Lawrence Van Ness
General public	Good morning Members: It is essential that in the event this is to move forward that the following principles be included: -That all Decisions taken be based on peoples individual Human Rights without exception. -That no one Country, Authority,Individual,Corporation ,Company,Group ,et el take decisions based on one event without inclusive discussion/agreement from Scientists from all walks of life external from the WHO -That decisions taken are not Political in nature nor harm the Economies of the World/Country/State/or Individual. -That ALL decisions rendered be Transparent with an ability to be Challenged , redressed by a mutually agreed upon third party whose decision is binding. -That the WHO decisions while based in Inclusive non political, non influenced Science be only Recommendations to an affected area of the world as the majority of Democracies in the world have ELECTED their ruling Leadership.. Thank-you for the opportunity to comment.. Karen Smith-Black
Tricia Lindsay Law Firm	Good Morning As I have been serving NY, I am opposed to this 100%. It is not of my nature or any to allow any of this to be legally binding global pandemic treaty, which was supposed to end almost 2 years ago. People have their god given right to take the vaccine or not It is their Body, their choice. I do not give you the right to do this to God Fearing good Citizens of NY, period! This is unconstitutional and it is an unacceptable threat to US Sovereignty that would take health decisions out of their own families. NOOOOOOOOOO I do not give you the right or condone any of this. It is NOT your right to invade peoples bodies with these vaccines. You do not have the POWER over health decisions for any human being and their families This is an utter disgrace. We have the god given right to oppose and I have the authority to not agree as being a part of the Legal System and knowing what is legal and illegal. This is illegal and it must end! Tricia Lindsay Law Firm
Nicole Malliotakis Serving NY 11 in Congress	Good Morning As I have been serving NY, I am opposed to this 100%. It is not of my nature or any of my constituents to legally binding global pandemic treaty, which was supposed to end almost 2 years ago. People have their god given right to take the vaccine or not It is their Body, their choice. I do not give you the right to do this to God Fearing good Citizens of NY, period! This is unconstitutional and it is an unacceptable threat to US Sovereignty that would take health decisions out of their own families. NOOOOOOOOOO I do not give you the right or condone any of this. It is NOT your right to invade peoples bodies with these vaccines. You do not have the POWER over health decisions for any human being and their families This is an utter disgrace. We have the god given right to oppose and I have the authority to not agree as being a part of Congress Nicole Malliotakis and I stand by my decision!
Lurner Law	 Good Morning As I have been serving NY, I am opposed to this 100%. It is not of my nature or anyone I know would definitely oppose to legally binding global pandemic treaty, which was supposed to end almost 2 years ago. People have their god given right to take the vaccine or not It is their Body, their choice. I do not give you the right to do this to God Fearing good Citizens of NY, period! This is unconstitutional and it is an unacceptable threat to US Sovereignty that would take health decisions out of their own families. NOOOOOOOOOO I do not give you the right or condone any of this. It is NOT your right to invade peoples bodies with these vaccines. You do not have the POWER over health decisions for any human being and their families This is an utter disgrace. We have the god given right to oppose and I have the authority to not agree as being lawyer in civil rights, NOOOOOOOOOOO! Let people live their own lives and stop this! This is illegal and they cannot keep pushing their agenda this way and forcing people, gaslighting them and lying to them for products that we know from Dr Malone do not work they just give you side effects. We as attorney can end up suing you for this!
The FU Firm PLLC	 Good Morning As I have been serving NY, I am opposed to this 100%. It is not of my nature or anyone I know would definitely oppose to legally binding global pandemic treaty, which was supposed to end almost 2 years ago. People have their god given right to take the vaccine or not It is their Body, their choice. I do not give you the right to do this to God Fearing good Citizens of NY, period! This is unconstitutional and it is an unacceptable threat to US Sovereignty that would take health decisions out of their own families. NOOOOOOOOOO I do not give you the right or condone any of this. It is NOT your right to invade peoples bodies with these vaccines. You do not have the POWER over health decisions for any human being and their families This is an utter disgrace. We have the god given right to oppose and I have the authority to not agree as being lawyer in civil rights, NOOOOOOOOOOO! Let people live their own lives and stop this! This is illegal and they cannot keep pushing their agenda this way and forcing people, gaslighting them and lying to them for products that we know from Dr Malone do not work they just give you side effects. We as attorney can end up suing you for this!
Greenberg Law Firm	 Good Morning As I have been serving NY, I am opposed to this 100%. It is not of my nature or anyone I know would definitely oppose to legally binding global pandemic treaty, which was supposed to end almost 2 years ago. People have their god given right to take the vaccine or not It is their Body, their choice. I do not give you the right to do this to God Fearing good Citizens of NY, period! This is unconstitutional and it is an unacceptable threat to US Sovereignty that would take health decisions out of their own families. NOOOOOOOOOO I do not give you the right or condone any of this. It is NOT your right to invade peoples bodies with these vaccines. You do not have the POWER over health decisions for any human being and their families This is an utter disgrace. We have the god given right to oppose and I have the authority to not agree as being lawyer in civil rights, NOOOOOOOOOOO! Let people live their own lives and stop this! This is illegal and they cannot keep pushing their agenda this way and forcing people, gaslighting them and lying to them for products that we know from Dr Malone do not work they just give you side effects. We as attorney can end up suing you for this!
Varghese & Associates	 Good Morning As I have been serving NY, I am opposed to this 100%. It is not of my nature or anyone I know would definitely oppose to legally binding global pandemic treaty, which was supposed to end almost 2 years ago. People have their god given right to take the vaccine or not It is their Body, their choice. I do not give you the right to do this to God Fearing good Citizens of NY, period! This is unconstitutional and it is an unacceptable threat to US Sovereignty that would take health decisions out of their own families. NOOOOOOOOOO I do not give you the right or condone any of this. It is NOT your right to invade peoples bodies with these vaccines. You do not have the POWER over health decisions for any human being and their families This is an utter disgrace. We have the god given right to oppose and I have the authority to not agree as being lawyer in civil rights, NOOOOOOOOOOO! Let people live their own lives and stop this! This is illegal and they cannot keep pushing their agenda this way and forcing people, gaslighting them and lying to them for products that we know from Dr Malone do not work they just give you side effects. We as attorney can end up suing you for this!
Siegle & Sims LLP	 Good Morning As I have been serving NY, I am opposed to this 100%. It is not of my nature or anyone I know would definitely oppose to legally binding global pandemic treaty, which was supposed to end almost 2 years ago. People have their god given right to take the vaccine or not It is their Body, their choice. I do not give you the right to do this to God Fearing good Citizens of NY, period! This is unconstitutional and it is an unacceptable threat to US Sovereignty that would take health decisions out of their own families. NOOOOOOOOOO I do not give you the right or condone any of this. It is NOT your right to invade peoples bodies with these vaccines. You do not have the POWER over health decisions for any human being and their families This is an utter disgrace. We have the god given right to oppose and I have the authority to not agree as being lawyer in civil rights, NOOOOOOOOOOO! Let people live their own lives and stop this! This is illegal and they cannot keep pushing their agenda this way and forcing people, gaslighting them and lying to them for products that we know from Dr Malone do not work they just give you side effects. We as attorney can end up suing you for this!
Law offices of Douglas M. Reda	 Good Morning As I have been serving NY, I am opposed to this 100%. It is not of my nature or anyone I know would definitely oppose to legally binding global pandemic treaty, which was supposed to end almost 2 years ago. People have their god given right to take the vaccine or not It is their Body, their choice. I do not give you the right to do this to God Fearing good Citizens of NY, period! This is unconstitutional and it is an unacceptable threat to US Sovereignty that would take health decisions out of their own families. NOOOOOOOOOO I do not give you the right or condone any of this. It is NOT your right to invade peoples bodies with these vaccines. You do not have the POWER over health decisions for any human being and their families This is an utter disgrace. We have the god given right to oppose and I have the authority to not agree as being lawyer in civil rights, NOOOOOOOOOOO! Let people live their own lives and stop this! This is illegal and they cannot keep pushing their agenda this way and forcing people, gaslighting them and lying to them for products that we know from Dr Malone do not work they just give you side effects. We as attorney can end up suing you for this!
The Cocran Firm of New York	 Good Morning As I have been serving NY, I am opposed to this 100%. It is not of my nature or anyone I know would definitely oppose to legally binding global pandemic treaty, which was supposed to end almost 2 years ago. People have their god given right to take the vaccine or not It is their Body, their choice. I do not give you the right to do this to God Fearing good Citizens of NY, period! This is unconstitutional and it is an unacceptable threat to US Sovereignty that would take health decisions out of their own families. NOOOOOOOOOO I do not give you the right or condone any of this. It is NOT your right to invade peoples bodies with these vaccines. You do not have the POWER over health decisions for any human being and their families This is an utter disgrace. We have the god given right to oppose and I have the authority to not agree as being lawyer in civil rights, NOOOOOOOOOOO! Let people live their own lives and stop this! This is illegal and they cannot keep pushing their agenda this way and forcing people, gaslighting them and lying to them for products that we know from Dr Malone do not work they just give you side effects. We as attorney can end up suing you for this!
Lawrence Law Group, LLC	 Good Morning As I have been serving NY, I am opposed to this 100%. It is not of my nature or anyone I know would definitely oppose to legally binding global pandemic treaty, which was supposed to end almost 2 years ago. People have their god given right to take the vaccine or not It is their Body, their choice. I do not give you the right to do this to God Fearing good Citizens of NY, period! This is unconstitutional and it is an unacceptable threat to US Sovereignty that would take health decisions out of their own families. NOOOOOOOOOO I do not give you the right or condone any of this. It is NOT your right to invade peoples bodies with these vaccines. You do not have the POWER over health decisions for any human being and their families This is an utter disgrace. We have the god given right to oppose and I have the authority to not agree as being lawyer in civil rights, NOOOOOOOOOOO! Let people live their own lives and stop this! This is illegal and they cannot keep pushing their agenda this way and forcing people, gaslighting them and lying to them for products that we know from Dr Malone do not work they just give you side effects. We as attorney can end up suing you for this!
Librett Friedland LLP	 Good Morning As I have been serving NY, I am opposed to this 100%. It is not of my nature or anyone I know would definitely oppose to legally binding global pandemic treaty, which was supposed to end almost 2 years ago. People have their god given right to take the vaccine or not It is their Body, their choice. I do not give you the right to do this to God Fearing good Citizens of NY, period! This is unconstitutional and it is an unacceptable threat to US Sovereignty that would take health decisions out of their own families. NOOOOOOOOOO I do not give you the right or condone any of this. It is NOT your right to invade peoples bodies with these vaccines. You do not have the POWER over health decisions for any human being and their families This is an utter disgrace. We have the god given right to oppose and I have the authority to not agree as being lawyer in civil rights, NOOOOOOOOOOO! Let people live their own lives and stop this! This is illegal and they cannot keep pushing their agenda this way and forcing people, gaslighting them and lying to them for products that we know from Dr Malone do not work they just give you side effects. We as attorney can end up suing you for this!
NY	Good morning Lately I have heard the World Health Organization wanting to conduct a global treaty for fighting pandemics. I think this is a horrible idea because COVID tends to follow different spread patterns in different regions and in local regions it has been very common to see one place with mask mandates, vaccine mandates, shutdowns, etc. with the other region being open and both of those places having the same curve. This also reduces say people have in picking government leaders as this treaty would put an unelected governing body in charge. Such a treaty would also increase civil unrest, depression, crime, suicides, and mental illness if forced upon a country. Having one unelected governing body decide rules during a pandemic it can randomly declare at the stroke of a pen is a horrible idea. Alex Atallah
Ninguna	Good Morning WHO should not be financed by private organizations. WHO should never have power over any nation. WHO should der a solely consultative body WHO it must be made up of the best scientists, not politicians. Thanks.
Citizen of the world	Good Morning I am writing to share my views on this consultation. I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. In the spirit of true democracy nations and local councils should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Additionally an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be honorable & not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you and warm regards, Emma Pedro
Self	Good morning! Please, I implore you to uphold the principles of democracy and the natural law. People of the world must have say in decisions regarding their rights to bodily autonomy and right to livelihood. Let nations, and people's within those nations, decide what makes them whole and healthy. Again, I ask you to consider what we have to lose if we give up these most basic of principles new to the world, fragile and most valuable. Thank you for your time and consideration Respectfully, Sherry McCullough
Private	Good morning, I believe that the pandemic response should not be coordinated at the global level due to the diversity of legal and political system across the world. I am particularly concerned with the WHO interference in my democratically-elected government's decisions. I never voted for the WHO and its officials but I did vote for the government officials in my home country. I am concerned with WHO violating basic human rights of me and my fellow citizens, such as the right to make decisions over our body (body autonomy), the right to the privacy of our data (including medical data) or the right to free movement, working and social contacts. The international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should not exist. The WHO's role should remain advisory to national governments. WHO is not an independent organization as it is financed, among others, by pharmaceutical companies and I do not consent such organisation to overrule with my democratically-elected government's decisions. Kind regards Barbara Rola
None	Good morning, i shall only ask that vaccines do not become mandatory, that the are a choice for the individual.
N/a	Good morning, As a faithful believer in peaceful negotiations in general, and the undeniable interconnectedness and interdependence of all cultures and peoples living on this planet in particular, I have always been favorably positioned towards organizations aiming for collaboration to solve problems and bring people together, like the UN, WHO etc. In the last couple of years, however, it has become frighteningly apparent that the WHO no longer holds viable credibility or reliability for accurate information for us the people; just as examples, the steadfast refusal for scientific debate, the censorship of doctors and scientists en masse, and the fact that after 2 1/2 years there is still not an officially agreed upon certainty of the origin of the COVID virus, makes for an overwhelming amount of doubt about this entire experience for those of us trying to make sense from the sidelines. Those of us trying to maneuver through to survive the declaration of a deadly pandemic engulfing the globe. The fact that the current vaccines are completely experimental and unsafe to many (including other vaccines in the past too for many decades, depending on personal genetics, substance & food sensitivities, illnesses and reaction histories etc.), the WHO should definitely not be in a totalitarian type position to declare and demand to all humans a single, solitary approach/treatment to whatever may be a threat to us. This must be an individual right of choice, and the right of all people in each nation to address the way they see fit. We are not living in a global tyranny yet, are we? The WHO can declare a pandemic based on supportive evidence, but it needs to be each nation's and each person's right to question and
OHWC	Good morning, I believe deeply, that a national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that all nations and local municipalities should be given the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe that all measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Alison Logee RDH
None	Good morning, I feel that national and local leaders should keep full autonomy in making decisions that are in the best interests of their own people. I oppose any international governance usurping the individual rights and countries to do what is in their own best interest. A 'one-size-fits-all' global ordinance is not logical. Thank you, Kim Cole Rochester, NH USA

Organization	Written submission
Private	Good Morning, In my opinion this elements should be included: (minimum): 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Respect for human rights; 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy like vaccination and so on 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action. Thank you!
Nilsen Home Inspections	Good Morning, Please consider these responses as my wishes for the WHO, -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. -Exposure of investments of WHO board and family member's in lobbing corporations. With respect, Randall Nilsen
member of the public	Good morning, We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary.Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics. Kind regards, Stephen Jürgenson
privat person	Good morning, as we easily can see, there is no such thing as a "common sense" on how to handle a so called "threat" of human health - and it is good like that, every individual should have the right to decide by her/himself, how to get along with her/his life. The power of humanity lies in each and every individual human beeing, I am a treasure, you are a treasure, we all are a treasure. We don't need a global leadership in any case or colour, we need to open up our hearts and minds for the higher spirits of life.
Jeff Burton	Good Morning, This is my written submission: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Jeff Burton
Member of public	Good morning, We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary.Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics. Kind regards, Malgorzata Makuch
Privat	Good morning, We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary.Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics. Kind regards, Sylwia jarosz
Matthews Law PLLC and as an individual	Good morning. In response to your question: An essential part of any proposed WHO collective policy or practice is that EACH country must have the ability to set its own standards - its own policies and procedures. Further, the recent covid experience shows there is no sound basis to support a authoritarian response from a single body to a perceived or actual pandemic. Please adjust your public statements and proposals to always encourage and permit each country to set its own policies, procedures and standards. Sincerely, Joan Matthews
Citizen of the USA	Good morning: Countries have the solemn responsibility of care for the health and well being of their citizens as befits each country. To promote and enforce One size global medical practices removes authority from sovereign nations. This is against Natural Law and the Constitution of the USA. This is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans by removing power from our institutions that are Governed by American citizens. WHO treaties in this regard would remove power from the United States and should NOT be instituted. Decisions for health, including those of an emergency situation, should remain solely within the Decision marking power of the USA. THe USA should NEVER agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty that would remove decisions from the USA and place it within the hands of the WHO. Thank you for this opportunity to present my opinion.
No organization	Good morning: I don't agree with vaccination , it's making people sick and also killing them . You should stop inoculation people and you also know that this is not right . Thank you. Yvonne
	Goodevening I would like to request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies as these things have been completely ignored by governamental bodies worldwide for the last 2 years. Kind regards Alessandra Scarpa
N/A	Goodmorning, I believe firmly that the best course of action when responding to any pandemic is to remove power the majority of power lying in the hands of the US and world governments because it is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Local decisions are of the utmost importance. Private medical conversations between patient and doctor are of the utmost importance. Mass, unified, streamlined responses are detrimental to preserving our God given rights, our freedoms, and our physical and mental well-being. The past two years have proven that. Thank you,
Myself	Goverments MUST decide it's own on what actions should be taken in case of pandemic and the lockdowns, forced vaccinations are against humans rights!
Ghana Health Service	Government and supporting donors of health should factor into their policies an empowerment tool to strengthen public health activities at the grassroots level through diversification of resources to the rural communities for health workers instead of concentrated approach developed as seen in the last Covid-19 pandemic. The grassroots' health workers are less resourceful in terms of capacity building, expertise and motivation to carry out their duties. The downward to upward approach of handling outbreaks could be helpful, especially in low and middle income settings.
Individual/unaffiliated	-Government officials may start emergencies, but the people must be able to end them. -All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. -All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment. -Complete transparency—All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. -Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. All people who are ill with diseases that are transmissible have a moral obligation to separate themselves from other people, but other people must be allowed to visit with the ill person so long as proper personal protective equipment is utilized. -Discrimination based on medical status is wrong. -The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. -Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. -The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. -Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies."
Stan Hurr Portraits	Governments & local governments must be free to opt out of the Pandemic treaty. Nations should have the right of autonomy & make their own decisions on what is right for their own people regarding all health measures. The WHO must not be allowed to overide decisons made by any national government, state or local government.
Canada	Governments and individual people should be able to make their own health decisions. There are multiple instances of "experts" making incorrect judgements and predictions and the world health organization shouldn't be allowed to make decisions on our behalf, especially if we don't get to say no. CHOICE is paramount.
None	Governments and pharmaceutical industries should be liable for any medical procedure administered under their guidelines. Respect of individual liberties and freedoms must be given. Tracking and surveillance of individual people is not acceptable.
Individual	Governments are distinctive to lands they cover, with different cultures, histories, weather patterns and seasons. They have developed their health and legal systems over millenia and they are fit for that nation's purpose; and many in number. The WHO is an undemocratic, unelected (by the people), part privately funded and therefore corrupted organisation that cannot reflect the will of all of the people in all of the countries of the World. This is simply a One World Health Government in disguise and smacks of the elite movement towards the One World Government, One World Military and One World Order. The WHO advice re masks and COVID has been at best flawed, at worst, criminal. There will be no accountability, no checks and balances and no control. It is a disastrous plan, to serve a minority only.
Just a citizen	Regardless of scope of disease outbreak, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. Not all can take vaccines even if they wanted to. We are all unique. The good of the nation is the sum total of the benefits enjoyed by all its people. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. The right to try is an inalienable right. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. Discrimination based on medical status is wrong. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses.
Iveta Zosena	Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". Bodily autonomy must always be respected. Right to decide not to use a treatment, vaccine etc, it is a basic human right. Vaccine status can not be used to restrict peoples' rights. No governments has rights to decide about lockdowns.
none	Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Human rights are inalienable. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Governments may not suspend human rights because of emergencies. Human rights are above everything. Potentially life-saving medications should not be denied and left for the doctors to decide if they should be used Every person should have the right to refuse treatment whether it is a vaccine or a medicine, especially new and unproven in time with no knowledge of long term effects. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. No lockdowns and/or quarantines of people without any symptoms. Doctors should be allowed to express their opinions whether they are the minority of a certain view or not. No persecutions for people with different opinions. Transparency in everything especially in new medicins or vaccines. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	Governments need to be stripped of the ability to lockdown society. Lockdown is tyranny.
person	Governments should be required to educate the public about health care. Additionally should be required to invest in primary health care units. Unilateral mandates of restrictions by WHO have not been proven effective. To the contrary they were de- structive and unsubstantiated.
Person	Governments should be required to educate the public about health care. Additionally should be required to invest in primary health care units. Unilateral mandates of restrictions by WHO have not been proven effective. To the contrary they were destructive and unsubstantiated.
member of public	Governments should have full autonomy and the right to make decisions based on what will be the best outcome for their own people , national and local leadership should base any decisions on the principles of an individuals sovereignty and right to choose. The ability of any nation or country to opt out of any or all parts of any agreement which they see fit to do so without any consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all the people of the world to vote on. Measures would have to be put in place that would prevent any application of any agreement where the majority do not want it. Measures should be put in place to prevent pharmaceutical companies from having any kind of influence in any decisions made.
Private Citizen	Governments should share information on viruses and provide low-cost medicines, preventative measures to third world countries. There should be no trampling of individual liberties for some 'greater good.' Religious and conscientious beliefs differing opinions are what make democracy the wonderful thing that it is. I have the right to refuse any and all medical treatments, "My body, my rights."
None	Governments, agencies and organizations should only ever provide guidance, not mandates; proved tools, not force procedures. We should empower humans to take their own health into their hands, with access to guidance and tools.
Individual	Greetings and appreciation for considering my feedback on your guiding question. Here are the substantive elements I would like to see included: 1. Individual stakeholder rights should be preserved; nobody should be forced to take any medical intervention or participate in any medical experiments; the Nuremberg Codes should be referenced in this instrument and adhered to at all times by WHO. 2. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be foundational to the instrument. 4. An open and transparent process should take place globally, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures should be included that exclude any and all pharmaceutical companies, intelligence agencies of any country or global organizations, other global health profiteers, military and/or security forces, and/or agents or assigns from having any influence over the terms of the instrument; with the express purpose of safeguarding and protecting individual rights. Please protect the rights of individuals and nations to govern themselves as they see fit, as a one-size-fits-all solution is never effective and often does more harm than good. Thank you
Pga	Greetings and appreciation for considering my feedback on your guiding question. Here are the substantive elements I would like to see included: 1. Individual stakeholder rights should be preserved; nobody should be forced to take any medical intervention or participate in any medical experiments; the Nuremberg Codes should be referenced in this instrument and adhered to at all times by WHO. 2. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be foundational to the instrument. 4. An open and transparent process should take place globally, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures should be included that exclude any and all pharmaceutical companies, intelligence agencies of any country or global organizations, other global health profiteers, military and/or security forces, and/or agents or assigns from having any influence over the terms of the instrument; with the express purpose of safeguarding and protecting individual rights. Please protect the rights of individuals and nations to govern themselves as they see fit, as a one-size-fits-all solution is never effective and often does more harm than good. Thank you
nueva solutions	are the substantive elements I would like to see included: 1. Individual stakeholder rights should be preserved; nobody should be forced to take any medical intervention or participate in any medical experiments; the Nuremberg Codes should be referenced in this instrument and adhered to at all times by WHO. 2. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be foundational to the instrument. 4. An open and transparent process should take place globally, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures should be included that exclude any and all pharmaceutical companies, intelligence agencies of any country or global organizations, other global health profiteers, military and/or security forces, and/or agents or assigns from having any influence over the terms of the instrument; with the express purpose of safeguarding and protecting individual rights.
self	are the substantive elements I would like to see included: 1. Individual stakeholder rights should be preserved; nobody should be forced to take any medical intervention or participate in any medical experiments; the Nuremberg Codes should be referenced in this instrument and adhered to at all times by WHO. 2. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be foundational to the instrument. 4. An open and transparent process should take place globally, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures should be included that exclude any and all pharmaceutical companies, intelligence agencies of any country or global organizations, other global health profiteers, military and/or security forces, and/or agents or assigns from having any influence over the terms of the instrument; with the express purpose of safeguarding and protecting individual rights.
Green Media Consulting	Greetings and appreciation for considering my feedback on your guiding question. Here are the substantive elements I would like to see included: 1. Individual stakeholder rights should be preserved; nobody should be forced to take any medical intervention or participate in any medical experiments; the Nuremberg Codes should be referenced in this instrument and adhered to at all times by WHO. 2. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be foundational to the instrument. 4. An open and transparent process should take place globally, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures should be included that exclude any and all pharmaceutical companies, intelligence agencies of any country or global organizations, other global health profiteers, military and/or security forces, and/or agents or assigns from having any influence over the terms of the instrument; with the express purpose of safeguarding and protecting individual rights. Please protect the rights of individuals and nations to govern themselves as they see fit, as a one-size-fits-all solution is never effective and often does more harm than good. Thank you
n/a	Greetings and appreciation for considering my feedback on your guiding question. Here are the substantive elements I would like to see included: 1. Individual stakeholder rights should be preserved; nobody should be forced to take any medical intervention or participate in any medical experiments; the Nuremberg Codes should be referenced in this instrument and adhered to at all times by WHO. 2. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be foundational to the instrument. 4. An open and transparent process should take place globally, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures should be included that exclude any and all pharmaceutical companies, intelligence agencies of any country or global organizations, other global health profiteers, military and/or security forces, and/or agents or assigns from having any influence over the terms of the instrument; with the express purpose of safeguarding and protecting individual rights. Please protect the rights of individuals and nations to govern themselves as they see fit, as a one-size-fits-all solution is never effective and often does more harm than good. Thank you
Citizen	Greetings and appreciation for considering my feedback on your guiding question. Here are the substantive elements I would like to see included: 1. Individual stakeholder rights should be preserved; nobody should be forced to take any medical intervention or participate in any medical experiments; the Nuremberg Codes should be referenced in this instrument and adhered to at all times by WHO. 2. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be foundational to the instrument. 4. An open and transparent process should take place globally, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures should be included that exclude any and all pharmaceutical companies, intelligence agencies of any country or global organizations, other global health profiteers, military and/or security forces, and/or agents or assigns from having any influence over the terms of the instrument; with the express purpose of safeguarding and protecting individual rights. Please protect the rights of individuals and nations to govern themselves as they see fit, as a one-size-fits-all solution is never effective and often does more harm than good. Thank you

Organization	Written submission
On Behalf of Myself	Greetings and appreciation for considering my feedback on your guiding question. Here are the substantive elements I would like to see included: 1. Individual stakeholder rights should be preserved; nobody should be forced to take any medical intervention or participate in any medical experiments; the Nuremberg Codes should be referenced in this instrument and adhered to at all times by WHO. 2. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be foundational to the instrument. 4. An open and transparent process should take place globally, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures should be included that exclude any and all pharmaceutical companies, intelligence agencies of any country or global organizations, other global health profiteers, military and/or security forces, and/or agents or assigns from having any influence over the terms of the instrument; with the express purpose of safeguarding and protecting individual rights.
Self	Greetings and thank you for considering my feedback on your guiding question. Here are the substantive elements I would like to see included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a private citizen	Greetings Delegates, In respect to the posed question - my recommendation is that the only included elements should be general health advice and encouragement that citizens of any country take care of their own health. WHO should also be ensuring that the proposed treaty includes full public dissemenation and complete disclosure of all data and research regarding the development of sars-cov-2 (or any other pathogen) treating vaccines in their entirety to more rapidly distribute them. WHO should also encourage parties to the treaty to investigate a wider range of therapeutics in order to ensure countries are able to more readily treat their own citizens, with these therapeutics based on peer reviewed scientific study. As part of this treaty WHO should NOT compel any nation towards mandates on any form of medication/drug prescribed or otherwise, lockdowns of wider populations beyond quarantine on individuals in the event of diagnosable physical illness, any form of digital or analogue identification that allows private businesses, or public services to discriminate on the basis of vaccinaton. It should also avoid any clause that overrides the sovereign laws of any nation state or country. Thank you for taking the time to read this submission. The most sensible thing for WHO to do would be to take measured approach to global health outcomes that trusts and empowers citizens to make their own decisions. Kind Regards, J Pamment
Self	Greetings Intergovernmental Negotiating Body, I am one of a number of world citizens concerned as to the content of a global Pandemic Treaty planned for adoption at the 2024 World Health Assembly. I entreat you to include the following recommendations of the World Council for Health, whom I believe has the public's best interest at heart, as opposed to special interests: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Erica Linson
Human race	Greetings to all involved and thanks for the opportunity. Any international agreement should have the following elements: 1) Transparency is critical. Any items under consideration should be clearly documented and all affected citizens informed and included. There cannot be any surprises. 2) international health treaties are inherently dangerous to public health. All nations must retain the right to make health decisions because broad brush solutions do not work. The Covid virus has made this abundantly clear and many have suffered and died needlessly as a result. 3) Along with complete transparency, there can be no censorship. There must be opportunities for discussion and debate. Again, the Covid virus created panic and fear that led to extreme censorship and stifling of problem solving and solutions that could have saved many more lives. 4) Doctors must be allowed to practice medicine and find medical solutions to health problems. They must no longer be handcuffed by policy makers and one size fits all solutions.
United States American	Greetings to all WHO members. I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your question on this new new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Although the details of this 'international instrument' are very vague in the USA, I want to express my concern of any new global health governance that will strip nations of the right to determine their own health policy. Although guidelines can be suggested, public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Thank you for your time, Sarah Lindsey, United States Citizen
Intelitruth LLC	Greetings to the WHO, and those it may concern. A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, must set standards for: - identifying (e.g., isolating the virus in human tissue); AND - validating (e.g., defining and building randomized peer review networks); AND - testing (e.g., defining acceptable PCR amplification cycles @ 20x or less) Without valid data, there is no pandemic - just mistrust, false positives and fear. Thank you for your attention and consideration, Shea Writer Director, Intelitruth LLC Enterprise-Level KYC/AML Onboarding (For banks, PSPs, governments, universities, multinationals, etc.)
general public	Greetings I believe an international instrument on pandemic response should be totally devoid of conflicts of interest with the pharmaceutical industry. It should never establish vaccine mandates with experimental vaccines or impose any other experimental drug to people. It should consider treatments with repurposed drugs, with established safety data. Thank you for your attention
Wisdom Heart Coaching	Greetings! I am concerned with the proposed global pandemic agreement that will give it undemocratic rights over sovereign people I believe that the following should be maintained fo democracy and health of global society: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The proposed agreement does not safeguard the above. This is deeply problematic. I am hoping that the above is maintained for all countries and global citizens. Warmly, Larissa Czuchnowsky
Public	Greetings! My name is Ash, and I live in the state of Hawaii. I'm writing to let you know that I am AGAINST the WHO's pandemic treaty. I am against this because a small group of people in general (WHO and stakeholder billionaires and corporations) should NOT have the right or power to overrule local governments, to decide the fate of the world's and communities' actions when it comes to our healthcare, for the obvious reasons that they do not know how individual communities run and how those authoritarian policies will affect nations and individual communities; that we are sovereign and free individuals with the God-given, rational, and conscience RIGHT TO CHOOSE; that it is in violation of the Nuremberg Code because essentially the WHO would push experimental products on people without their knowledge; because it is ILLEGAL and NO ONE is above the law, no matter how much money they have; the WHO are captured by Big Pharma, the Gates Foundation, and the World Economic Forum and therefore have conflicts of interest to push the agendas of the stakeholders AND members are not mentally or emotionally fit because of those conflicts of interest. I may also remind that the few who are trying to pull the strings, such as the Gates Foundation and WEF, display signs of sociopathy and psychopathy (the obvious sign being they have no remorse for the damage they've caused people and their lives, no remorse for the economic destruction, they think they're entitled to "rule" everyone, they're egotistical megalomaniacs, and more) and are therefore mentally unfit to decide what's "good" for the rest of the world, in addition to us being sovereign with the right to choose. We've been lied to and taken advantage of enough.
Mom	Greetings, I believe that the WHO should never have power over other countries including Americans. This is out of line of why the WHO started. It is dangerous for the health and well being of Americans to give this much power this organization. Health decisions need to stay with the people of their country anything less is stripping people of their dignity and personal freedoms especially in an emergency. I say No to this treaty's tyranny.
Joy of Case Management LLC	Greetings, I have been an Registered Nurse or over 40 years. I have seen many changes in the medical system that I work in. The most distressing is the assumtion that all bodies need the same treatment for each disease or condition. This is flagrently untrue as each of you know. Our physicians are becoming obligated to follow a script written by organizations that handcuff them in assessing the unique patient that they are working with. THIS MUST SToP. We did not get into this profession to give service to organizations such as yours and ignore what is best for our patients. Guidance and information,...Yes. But not a dictation of what must or must not occur in our interation with our patients. Each of us whether patient or prationer deserves the personal freedom to choose our pathways. Our experience is the most valuable quality that we posses and the automomy to make decisions for ourselves and our patients. Please respect that, and be the guidance and truthful information source instead of our dictator.
Independent	Greetings, As a physician assistant, I know the importance of creating specific treatment plans for individuals. Someone who has high blood pressure, for example, should not receive the highest dose of medication possible. Furthermore, attention should be on all of the research, which states a first line defense against a condition may likely include a lifestyle change, such as movement or stress reduction. Preparedness and response, both in this instance and a pandemic plan, should include involvement of each individual's right to decide what is the best course of action for themselves. Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include this element: individuals must retain their ability to decide for themselves how they will take ownership for their own health and family's health. They should be free to enact their choice without fear of repercussion, loss of wages, access to healthcare, food, water or electricity, or any other rights. Thank you.
Abe's Clothing Shoppe	Greetings, I oppose this treaty as it stands for several reasons. Firstly it does not address that National and local leadership retain should full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Secondly, Nations and local municipalities should have the explicit ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Thirdly, an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers need to be built into the framework. As it stands now this would be treaty is wholly and completely corruptable by those would abuse and engage in profiteering. Thank you. Abe Fielding
Citizen	Greetings, The substantive elements I think that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be ones that don't remove power from the US people themselves. This would be absolutely dangerous to our overall health and welfare. It is imperative that this type of health decision need to stay as close to where people reside as possible, especially when an emergency exists. The people need to have say over these health decisions thus I do not agree for US to be in a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Please stay true to this. Thank you.
General Public	Greetings, I highly believe that these substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you,
Individual	Greetings, if we must have a new international agreement on pandemics, I believe: --National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. --Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. --There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. --It should include only those measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Melissa Frey.
Americas Frontline Doctors	Greetings, I am deeply opposed to the creation of a new pandemic treaty between the WHO and select nations, including the US. We have three branches of government and a constitution to govern this country, there is no need for an outside entity such as the WHO to have direct control of what happens in this country, that is for our own governmental branches and the constitution to determine. This agreement is by far one of the most egregious attempts to override our constitutional rights since the Patriot Act. Americans are hard-working and patriotic individuals. We have the power to determine what is best for ourselves and our families, in fact, these are the elements that make this country so great and considered the free world. Humans have rights here to choose, and make decisions for themselves without the influence of external governmental overreach or outside global organizations. People come to American to live their lives as they see fit, NOT to be told how to live it, regardless of the setting or perceived level of emergency. This treaty will deeply compromise the privacy of the American people, exposing them to global entities with no business viewing American records. This agreement will also result in the concentration of hard-earned tax dollars to a global agency to bolster their unnecessary global health authority. NOTHING ABOUT HOW THE WHO, CDC, NIH, FDA, NHS and etc, HANDLED THE "PANDEMIC EMERGENCY" WAS EFFECTIVE OR APPROPRIATE! In fact, the way the agencies around the world handled the "pandemic" proved to be detrimental and negligent! WHY THEN WOULD WE TIE INTO A TREAT GIVING THESE SAME AGENCIES CONTINUED REIGN OVER PERCEIVED PUBLIC HEALTH THREATS?! WAKE UP and use common sense!
personal	Greetings, Any instrument must honor basic human rights such as those in the United States Constitution. No organization has any authority under any circumstance to violate human rights and the Nuremberg Code. Various mandates have proven to not serve any common good and, in fact, have brought devastation which will continue to be revealed for generations. Non-evidence-based policies have no place in public policy, nevermind World Health. For example, if protocols such as face masking and inoculations are so effective, then why cannot those ignorant people that believe in them use them and not be concerned about what other people are doing? May the great awakening continue and human freedom be served. Thanks and blessings of liberty, Mei Tschang
self	Greetings, I am concerned about the over reach of worldwide health organizations. They have the potential of being far too influenced by politics and economics. And WHO treaties that remove power from the US and its voting citizens are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans!!! Please retain our autonomy while respecting the OBJECTIVE collaboration that is possible. Warm regards,
Self	Greetings, I do not agree with GLOBAL PANDEMIC strategy treaty. This is not in any interest to the USA citizens. We are a free society, free to choice. It has been shown in the last pandemic, that the leaders of the world had no clue how to manage a GLOBAL PANDEMIC. Because it was so badly manage with lock-downs that did absolutely nothing, and used as a tool to suppress freedoms to this day, we should avoid central control. One shoe does not fit all. We still have states that want to give an experimental shot that have not worked as intended and major side effects. This is poor leadership. I don't believe WHO is any better. Please refrain for trying to control the world and worry about yourselves. Regards Chuck Streb
US citizen - Educator	Greetings, I would like to weigh is on the proposed "global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response" or WHO Pandemic Preparedness Agreement. I am vehemently against this agreement. A single autonomous organization should not be making single decisions for the whole globe, especially without any checks and balances. The organization and agreement can be utilized to do more harm than good. You are choosing to usurp the rights of individual countries, states, and people, to decide on what is best for them. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Please avoid this global catastrophe by deciding against this poorly conceived and totalitarian-based agreement. Respectfully, Heather Finney
Family	Greetings, I believe the substantive elements should include prevention in the way of natural health. You know, all the things the WHO, CDC and all government agencies left out that are so important. Like eating healthy, excercise and taking supplements. So strange that the WHO et al never provided the public with basic health advise. A proper response would be to advise the public on common sense and that each individual should take responsibility for their health decisions. Proclaim truth that masks and lockdowns do more harm than good! Gloria Mara Maine, USA
General public - Canada	Greetings, I wish to express my concerns about the "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" proposed by the World Health Organisation (WHO), despite the goals underlined in the proposal. After more than two years of COVID-19 infections and loss of precious human lives from various causes, exaggerated fear spread about its severity, government overreach and restrictions to our basic liberties, and mis-/disinformation that came from the very institutions we had come to trust, this pandemic exposed where our healthcare systems, medias and governments were the weakest and needed remedy. Those are all internal matters to Canada, and no International interference should be necessary nor imposed to Canadians. Although the WHO's proposition seems to have honorable goals, I do believe that Canada should remain sovereign and independent in its analysis, conclusions and responses to any health or security issues, and I do believe the WHO's proposal suggests instead a new global health governance that will strip nations of the right to determine their own health policy in the event of a pandemic. I therefore wish to express my opposition to such a proposal that would allow foreign entities to control, influence or impose measures upon Canadians, where we can make our own decisions, knowing our Country best. Thank you. David Cote
Congregation of Universal Wisdom	Greetings, Please take into consideration the sovereignty of each person, each country. It is very important that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any agreement that they see fit without consequence. It should have measures that prevent the pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers from having influence. The processes should be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of a global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Olga Ribble
United States of America	Greetings, Thank you for incorporating my comments. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Again, thank you for your efforts, but national and sovereign states must retain their authority to determine the best needs of their citizens. WHO is valuable from a global body perspective, but not as a dictatorship.
PPC	Greetings, These are comments in response to the the Global pandemic Proposal, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	Greetings: As a private citizen of the United States, I do believe that we can make our own decisions on our health and welfare. Guidelines can be given to people who can make their own choices. We do not need anyone telling us how to take care of ourselves because we are God's children and He guides us through this life. Thank you.
n/a	Greetings: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self employed	Greetings; I am writing with regard to the proposed Pandemic Response Treaty and sincerely urge all parties involved to please review and revise the document to better respect national sovereignty, which, to all appearances, it currently does not. As a US citizen, I realize we don't have a perfect government, but our representatives are ELECTED. Such is not the case with WHO. Should the Pandemic Response Treaty come to fruition, I sincerely request that nations be offered an 'opt out' option. Sincerely; David Hopkins
Va keepers	Grey Facebook Icon https://www.instagram.com/virginiafreedomkeepers Grey Twitter Icon Post ezirak 1 hour ago 1 min URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat Person	Grund- und Freiheitsrechte der Verfassung des einzelnen Landes dürfen nicht durch den Pandemievertrag aufgehoben werden.
none	Grundrechte (Germany) müssen gewährleistet bleiben, das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit und Wahlfreiheit der Therapien muss vorhanden sein. Die Hersteller von Produkten müssen für Schäden, die ihre Produkte hervorrufen haften. Damit meine ich Impfstoffe.
Privat	GRUNDRECHTE DER BRD UND DIE WÜRDE DES MENSCHEN DÜRFEN NICHT GEBROCHEN UND AUSGESETZT WERDEN. ES DARF KEINE AUSGRENZUNG VON ANDERS DENKENDE MENSCHEN GEBEN. KEIN IMPFZWANG UND BARGELD DARF NICHT ABGESCHAFFT WERDEN. KEIN WELTWEITER COVID IMPFPASS! FREIHEIT UND SELBSTBESTIMMUNG MUSS IM GESETZ VERANKERT WERDEN!
Privat	Grundsätzlich bin ich gegen einen globalen Pandemievertrag, doch wenn schon manche Organisationen glauben das ein sochler notwendig ist dann muss er zwingend klare, unmissverständliche und unumgehbare Regelungen und Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen enthalten.
privat	Grundsätzlich bin ich gegen einen globalen Pandemievertrag, doch wenn schon manche Organisationen glauben das ein sochler notwendig ist dann muss er zwingend klare, unmissverständliche und unumgehbare Regelungen und Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen enthalten.
privat	Grundsätzlich bin ich gegen einen globalen Pandemievertrag, doch wenn schon manche Organisationen glauben das ein sochler notwendig ist dann muss er zwingend klare, unmissverständliche und unumgehbare Regelungen und Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen enthalten.
xxx	Grundsätzlich bin ich gegen einen globalen Pandemievertrag, doch wenn schon manche Organisationen glauben das ein sochler notwendig ist dann muss er zwingend klare, unmissverständliche und unumgehbare Regelungen und Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen enthalten.
	Grundsätzliche Ablehnung gegen den geplanten Pandemievertrag!

Organization	Written submission
private person	Grüß Gott! Mit einem globalen Pandemievertrag bin ich eigentlich nicht einverstanden, da ich darin auch eine große Gefahr sehe. Es könnten die Verfassungsrechte einzelner Länder und deren Souveränität, Freiheit und Recht auf individueller Selbstbestimmung stark gefährdet, übergangen werden. Verschiedene Kulturen haben verschiedene Ansätze und Bezug zu Problemlösungen. Autonomie könnte damit Schaden erleiden. Dies ist der Grund, warum ich eine Gefahr sehe. Was einem anderem recht ist, kann wieder einem anderen eine unüberbrückbare Belastung darstellen. Ein globales Epidemieabkommen/vertrag müßte daher UNERSCHÜTTERLICH auf Grundlage, vor allem anderen, an den Menschenrechten aufgebaut werden. Diese gehören darin direkt nocheinmal übernommen und betont. Dazu gehört die Selbstbestimmung der Person über ihren Körper und das Recht auf Selbstbestimmung, welche naturheilkundliche und medizinische Behandlung sie über sich oder auch nicht, ergehen lässt. Es gehörte sichergestellt, daß Medikamente und Impfstoffe in bedingter Zulassung nur freiwillig eingesetzt werden dürfen, der Nürnberger Kodex gehört mit all seinen Regeln dazu beachtet, muss zwingend eingebunden werden. Verfassungen einzelner Länder dürfen dabei nicht außer Kraft gesetzt werden, und sind immer oberste Instanz, Bürgerrechte müssen dabei strikt gewahrt werden. Bei Erforschung eines eventuell neuen Erregers muss ein wissenschaftlicher Diskurs stattfinden, dies öffentlich, und jede Stimme eines Fachmannes gehört gehört und gleichwertig beachtet, damit optimales Managment, Wissensfindung stattfinden kann. Kritische Stimmen dürfen nicht mehr diffamiert und ausgeblendet werden. Vor Ausrufung von Pandemie u. empfohl. Maßnahmen muß so ein Diskurs öffentlich sein.
privat	Grüß Gott, keine WHO-Verträge! Da die Grundlagen der Medizin verkehrt sind, kann es auch keine Pandemien geben! Die WHO als private Organisation hat soviel Leid auf dieser Welt verursacht, daß diese komplett geschlossen werden muss. Keine Impfung - keine Pandemien - keine Ausrufung eines Notfstandes über Ländergrenzen hinweg. Wenn es ein Recht gäbe, wäre die WHO schon längst verboten worden und die führenden Mitarbeiter säßen hinter Schloß und Riegel. Gesegnete Grüße
None	Guarantee of personal rights. Every individual should be allowed to make their own health decisions. No global organizations with shady funding should be allowed to dictate a global response to individual health issues. Please focus on getting people clean water, fresh air and healthy food. All those should be enshrined individual rights truly supported by international law. Injecting billions with experimental drugs should not. Good day.
Sasobank	GUARANTEE of sovereignty of national states AND resident human beings of these states AND all constitutional human rights AND civil and penal liability of national governments for enforcement of genetic experiments with injections upon free and sovereign human beings. No introduction of any vaccine or medical treatment mandates.
Privat	Guarantee of state sovereignty of each country. Liability by all state officials. Free decision regarding getting vaccinated or not. No restriction of non-vaccinated. Disclosure of all contracts with pharma that come in contact with cures and vaccine for the pandemic. No mass surveillance. Acceptance of analog identity documents.
none	Guarantee of the sovereignty of the state and the individual. Full respect for the human rights. Legal liability of government and pharmaceutical companies.
Chinese General Colleges	Guarantee that all nations, through its national and local leadership, retains full autonomy and reserves the right to make decisions and actions based on what is best for its own people. All nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. Provide an open and transparent process where all people of the world are allowed to vote on including fail-safe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide measures to disallow those entities with conflicts of interest such as the pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers for influence in the process. Revert to the 2009 WHO's own definition of "pandemic" which requires "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness. Restore WHO's original definition of herd immunity: "Herd immunity is the indirect protection from an infectious disease that happens when a population is immune either through vaccination or a natural immunity developed through previous infection". Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. Expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. Provide a culture of inquiry following Einstein's words: "Science can flourish in an atmosphere of free speech." Follow Einstein's definition of science.
Personal	Guarantee that each nations, through its national and local leadership, retains full autonomy and reserves the right to make decisions and actions based on what is best for its own people. All nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. Provide an open and transparent process where all people of the world are allowed to vote on including fail-safe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide measures to disallow those entities with conflicts of interest such as the pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers for influence in the process. Revert to the 2009 WHO's own definition of "pandemic" which requires "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness. Restore WHO's original definition of herd immunity: "Herd immunity is the indirect protection from an infectious disease that happens when a population is immune either through vaccination or a natural immunity developed through previous infection". Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. Expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. Provide a culture of inquiry following Einstein's words: "Science can flourish in an atmosphere of free speech." Follow Einstein's definition of science.
Man	Guarantee the ability of local and municipal governments to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. Establish an open and transparent process with the ability for all of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people don't want it. Measures that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies. That it be based on allowing national and local leadership to retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
RFS	Guarantee the following:; Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Physician	Guarantee the sovereignty of the state and the individual Respect for human rights and the Geneva Pledge of Doctors and patients' rights, Obtaining the free decision on the agreed treatment measures by the person, parents for their children up to at least 18 years of age legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies for vaccination consequences/damage to health caused by medical procedures of any kind, unless express personal consent is given, this must not be achieved by coercion.
Medical doctor	Guarantee the sovereignty of the state and the individual Respect for human rights and the Geneva Pledge of Doctors and patients' rights, Obtaining the free decision on the agreed treatment measures by the person, parents for their children up to at least 18 years of age legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies for vaccination consequences/damage to health caused by medical procedures of any kind, unless express personal consent is given, this must not be achieved by coercion.
Privat Person	Guaranteed souveranity of each country and each individual person at all times and at all circumstances. It must take in acount human rights and protect the individual from being harmed by medications or other treatments or pandemic rules. Medical trials against the will must be forbidden. There must be liability of governmental officers at all levels and of pharmaceutical companies, as well as well as NGO's who support the WHO. Conflict of interest must be fined with a higher fine then the profit was. And the loss of the position including further payments from the government if it is a government worker. The anti corruption rules must be strong. Transperancy of moneyflow is crucial. Contracts must be transparent and open to public before signature. The WHO must be ruled by the nations, no privat interests are allowed here. The definition about what a pandemic is needs to be changed back to the old definition. And new definitions must go through an independent comitee. Thank you, with regards Anja Carow
persona	Guaranteeing autonomy in the management of one's own health should be a priority, instead of a "global agreement" that cannot provide efficient responses in each context and to each person. Health-related organizations should promote health education based on the autonomy of each community, each family and each person. People's health and freedom of choice should not be subordinated to political decisions (local or global) that can always be influenced by particular corporate interests. The need for a "global agreement" is a sign that political and corporate power is being empowered to impose health measures over the right of people to make their own sacred decisions about what they believe is good for their health and that of their children.
privat	Guaranteeing the sovereignty of a state and individuals, respect the human rights and the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies! That must be guaranteed!
Private person	Guarantees for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Dipl.-Psychologin	Guarantees for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, compliance with the Nürnberger Codex and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Journalist	guarantees for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies."
privat	guarantees for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies."
Privat	guarantees for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies."
Mensch (keine Organisation)	Guarantees for the sovereignty of each state and individual involved, respect for human rights, and the legal liability of government officials as well as pharmaceutical companies !!! Pillars of natural and civil rights: autonomy, freedom of choice (in medical and other personal decisions), transparency, Compliance with and maintenance of the Nuremberg Code !!! Garantien für die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Staates und jedes beteiligten Individuums, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten sowie Pharmaunternehmen !!! Säulen des Natur- und Bürgerrechts: Autonomie, Freiheit der Wahl (bei medizinischen und sonstigen persönlichen Entscheidungen), Transparenz, Einhaltung und Beibehaltung des Nürnberger Kodex !!!
-	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the national states and each individual, compliance with human rights and legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies for any injuries and damages of any kind caused by the proposed or imposed measures, treatments and pharmaceutical products.
German Citizen	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state (its constitution) and the individual, respect for human rights, legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. No global vaccination certificate, no compulsory vaccination!
privat	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual must be included. The respect of human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies must be secured. Centralized pandemic management by the WHO should be strictly rejected.
	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual must be preserved or granted/guaranteed! Human rights must be respected. The constitution and basic law of the countries must take precedence. The legal liability of pharmaceutical companies must be granted/guaranteed. The legal liability of the WHO institutions (according to the respective national law) is to be granted/guaranteed. Legal liability of government officials should be granted/guaranteed. Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen müssen erhalten bleiben bzw. gewährt werden! Die Achtung der Menschenrechte ist zu gewähren. Die Verfassung und das Grundgesetzt der Länder muss Vorrang haben. Die gesetzliche Haftung von Pharmaunternehmen ist zu gewähren. Die gesetzliche Haftung der WHO Institutionen (nach jeweiligem Landesrecht) ist zu gewähren. Die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten soll gewährt werden.
none	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual respect for human rights Legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies
none	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual respect for human rights Legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies
private	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual, each country must be able to decide for themselves, respect for human rights, legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
private	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual, respect for human rights and the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
privat	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies are necessary !
Private	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Private	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Family	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Democratic basic rules and the rule of law.
private person	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual, respect for human rights, Independent scientists, every citizen takes personal responsibility for his own health, natural prevention for health - pharmaceutical independent, legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
gci business counselors	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state/nation and the individual, recognition/acceptance of human rights, and the legal accountability/legal liability of government officials, their agents/representatives/officers and medical enterprises. Subjection of vaccination measures under the sole authority of the individual. Prohibition of repressive action ag. the individual in respect for his decision. Development of a scientifically sound statistical set of data-values, which reflect potential threat-indicators (science pls., not voodoo-science, as in sars-cov). Parameters, applicable globally. Data transparency. Which of my data is where. Globally forcable right for information for the individual (cost free and globally enforcable in short terms at no expense f. t. indiv.). Right for prohibiting proliferation and use of individual date, prohibiting use of individual data, if individual demands so. Accounatbility and duty of information about the whereabouts of individual data, if requested by t. individual. All mentioned individual rights are subject of substancial financial penalties in case of infringement (just for keeping guys focused).
gci consultant	Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state/nation and the individual, recognition/acceptance of human rights, and the legal accountability/legal liability of government officials, their agents/representatives/officers and medical enterprises. Subjection of vaccination measures under the sole authority of the individual. Prohibition of repressive action ag. the individual in respect for his decision. Development of a scientifically sound statistical set of data-values, which reflect potential threat-indicators (science pls., not voodoo-science, as in sars-cov). Parameters, applicable globally. Data transparency. Which of my data is where. Globally forcable right for information for the individual (cost free and globally enforcable in short terms at no expense f. t. indiv.). Right for prohibiting proliferation and use of individual date, prohibiting use of individual data, if individual demands so. Accounatbility and duty of information about the whereabouts of individual data, if requested by t. individual. All mentioned individual rights are subject of substancial financial penalties in case of infringement (just for keeping you guys focused).
private citizen	Guarantees of sovereignty of state and individual, respect for human rights and respect for constitutionally guaranteed fundamental rights and freedoms, the consideration of proportionality of the measures, the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
private citizen	Guarantees of sovereignty of state and individual, respect for human rights and respect for constitutionally guaranteed fundamental rights and freedoms, the consideration of proportionality of the measures, the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
private	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Private	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, regardless of the measures proposed by the WHO, respect for human rights, Liability of pharmaceutical companies in the event of damage caused by prematurely approved drugs and vaccines
Private	guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies must be included in the contract!
Private	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Privat	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Private	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. No one may be forced to undergo vaccination or other medical treatment against their free will, neither by law nor by social constraints. Every person may decide about his or her body and health completely freely! No human life may be more important than another!
Helping You Grow International Business AB	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies needed.
Keine	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
Private	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Decision making including ethic committees from each country. Thorough and detailed tracking as well as documentation of health issues in regards to vaccinations. All contracts and agreements should be public as it concerns everyone.
N/a	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights as well as the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
Person	Guarantees of State and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
SCHOTTPHOTOIMAGING	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies must be respected and maintained.
Citizen	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies should be definitely part of that contract.
EU- Person	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
TT	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
DD	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
private person	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Private	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Guaranteed compliance and observance of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights and Nuremberg Code.
private	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. No vaccination or other medical treatment mandat, free decision in respect of the physical integrity according to human rights. Pharmaceutical companies and/or state institutions must pay for any consequential damage of any mandatory treatment.
-	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies for any damages or undesirable results. Absolute prohibition of any action that could interfere with the individual liberties of the people. No exemptions for rushed or uncompleted pharmaceutical trials. No introduction of international digital ID cards or vaccination cards, no restriction of people's freedom of movement under any circumstances. All possible measures must be voluntary only.
IT-Trainer Hischer	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
None	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, freedom of choice and the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Offentlichkeit	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies, the constitution of the state is inviolable, vaccinations are only voluntary, human freedom is inviolable, freedom of movement is inviolable, the treaty is a proposal, comprehensive surveillance is forbidden, data protection, control and prolonged lockdowns with inhumane conditions are forbidden.
privat	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
None	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Citizen	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Nine German Citizen	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Mensch	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies." That should suffice as a pandemic treaty, nothing more is needed
Bürgerin	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Private	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies."
Privat person	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies."
none	Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, particularly physical self-determination, and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Human been	guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, the legal liability of government officials, the legal liability of pharmaceutical companies, self-determination of people in all areas, Personal responsibility of the individual in all health matters, Free choice of doctor, free choice of healer, free choice of healing methods, free choice of treatment including free choice of vaccination Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des einzelnen Menschen , die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten, die gesetzliche Haftung von Pharmaunternehmen, Selbstbestimmung des Menschen in allen Bereichen, Selbstverantwortung des einzelnen Menschen in allen Gesundheitsdingen, Freie Arztwahl, freie Heilerwahl, freie Heilmethodenwahl, freie Behandlungsentscheidung inklusive freie Impfentscheidung
Citizen	Guarantees of states' and every single human's sovereignty. Respect and guaranty of the dignity of man. Guarantees for the continuation of the basic laws and/or constitution of each state. Respect of self-determination of every human being. Lability of Governments' civil servants and/or pharmaceutical industries. .
Private	Guarantees of the sovereignty of nations (and their constitutions) and individuals, respect for human rights and one's decision not to get vaccinated, in depth testing of vaccines (5-10Y), legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. No lockdown or other regulations that restrict basic and fundamental rights for more than 1 week. Any medical decision must remain the decision of the individual. It must always be clear that the citizens are the sovereign and not just subordinates. The exclusion of those who do not undergo a specific medical treatment should be prohibited under penalty.
Private	Guarantees of the sovereignty of the state and of the individual, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies."
Private	Guarantees on individual sovereignty and autonomy, medical decisions are individual. Guarantees on state sovereignty. Act in the individual interest. Do not act in the interest of a group, set of states and corporations. Agenda must not be a part or inclusion. Human rights are respected and guaranteed. Pharmaceutical companies are not allowed to profit one over the over, size does not matter. Legal liability for pharmaceutical companies, governments, groups and parties.
Newgen	Guarantees on state and individual sovereignty . Respect for human right. Legal liability for government officials , medical, financial and pharmaceutical companies. Promotion of alternative treatments. The proper testing of vaccines before release to the public. Respect of medical doctors choice of treatments Legal consent for vaccines.
EU Citizen	Guarantees on state and individual sovereignty and guaranteed respect for human rights and choice. Complete legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies in cases of injury from products/procedures. Guaranteed right of freedom of speech in regards to critics of pharmaceutical companies/products and government bodies.
Private	Guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies should be included.
Philips	Guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
Me	guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
None	Guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
private person	Guarantees on STATE and INDIVIDUAL sovereignty, respect for human rights, LEGAL LIABILITY for governments, its officials AND any pharmaceutical companies involved. The 10 principles of the NUREMBERG CODE. The Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights, Article 6.1: "Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information." The absolute COMMITMENT to PROSECUTING all VIOLATIONS of individual sovereignty, informed consent and bodily autonomy that have already occurred within their jurisdiction, no matter how high or powerful the violator. 24 hours for comments by the public? Closed, guided question? This is hugely undemocratic and as such already in violation of liberal principles. I will never comply and millions of others won't either. The human spirit will never be subdued and the elites WILL fail in these genocidal plans.
25	Guarantees on STATE and individual sovereignty. Respect for Human Rights. Legal liability for government officials. Honesty.
US Citizen	 Guarantees that MUST be included: 1. State and Individual Sovereignty 2. Respect for Human Rights. 3. Statement that Natural Rights come from God (Creator) not Government or Government agencies. 4. Legal and financial liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies

Self - individual	
	Guarantees that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their own location and situation. This should guarantee the right of medical choice and bodily autonomy down to an individual patient/physician relationship. It should include the right to informed consent for any medical procedure, treatment, intervention, or pharmaceutical product, and the right to refuse same.
	   This treaty should guarantee nations, states, and all subdivisions thereof the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	   It should guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on it, and it should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	   It should include measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any pharmaceutical or other companies that might profit from a pandemic.

private person	Guaranties for sovereignty of the nation and of single persons, respect of human rights, especially the dignity of human, legal liability of government officials and pharmacological companies/drug firms. Individual responsibility for personal health. Guaranty for right to life and physical integrity.
privat	Guaranties for the sovereignty of the states and the individuals, respect for human rights and the legal liability of government officials and the pharma companies
human	guidance and advice only. no mandates, no digital id, no travel bans, no vaccine passports, no untried & untested medical interventions, no NPI's, no lockdowns, no masks, provide general advice on health & immunity, tranparency on funding sources & influence, trials for corruption, malfeasance & malpractice
n/a	Guidance based on evidence and expertise for dealing with pandemics should be provided, that can be used by national governments as blueprint for the measures the national governments decide to take as required. National autonomy must be retained under all circumstances at all times. The quality of the guidelines will drive adoption, not enforcement.
Privat	Guide/teach people to live healthy and strengthen their immune system! Teach and strengthen self-responsibility. Teach people to think at their own, not manipulate them. Independence's of the nations. SOLVING PROBLEMS requires creativity that can come from different roots and approaches, not from centralized approaches.
Keine	Guten ABEND, MIT WELCHEM RECHT ENTSCHEIDEN SIE ÜBER MÜNDIGE MENSCHEN. MFG
Private	Guten Tag ! Ich fordere im Vertrag der WHO festgelegte „Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Mit freundlichen Grüßen R.Müller
Privat	Guten Tag , wichtige Elemente zur Vorbeugung und Reaktion bei Pandemien sind von der Regierung und Lobby unabhängige wissenschaftlich erarbeite Studien. Dabei sind fundierte Zweitmeinungen hochrangiger Wissenschaftler, auch wenn diese für die Pharmaindustrie unangenehm sind, zu berücksichtigen. Es ist erschreckend, dass in der derzeitigen Pandemie auf frühzeitige Warnungen bei der Verabreichung von mRNA Medikamentationen mit Diskreditierung, Verläumdung, Suspendierung und Ächtung der Wissenschaftler reagiert wird zumal sich diese Warnungen leider bestätigen. Es werden jahrzehntelang praktizierte Definitionen über die Pandemie, Injektion und Nebenwirkungen kurzerhand geändert um einem Narrativ der angeblichen Ungefährlichkeit von neuartigen genverändernden Medikamenten zu folgen. Wichtig erscheint mir die unabhängige Kontrolle durch Institute und eine systhematische Erfassung der Ausbreitung einer Erkrankung und Nebenwirkungen der Medikamentengabe. Hier in Deutschland eine logistische Verschleierung von Tatsachen auf Kosten der Bewohner.

Organization	Written submission
privat	Guten Tag Alles was bis jetzt autark war, wie Länder, Demokratien und alles was darunter fällt, MUSS autonom und autoark bleiben ! Länder und Regierungen müssen weiterhin selbständig bleiben und selbständig Entscheidungen treffen können. Es kann nicht das Ziel sein, den Planeten zu globalisieren. Menschen sind Individuen, Länder sind individuell mit ihrer Kultur, Sprache und deren Eigenheiten. Lasst den Autraken die Autarkie ! Bitte keine übergeordneten Zusammenschlüsse und keine übergeordenten Entscheidungen. NO ! NO NO NO NO let the courtrys stay autonom ! Elisabeth Soussaine
Privat	Guten Tag! Ich erwarte, dass Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen darin festgeschrieben sind." Freundliche Grüße Josef Marchart
Private	Guten Tag! Das WHO-Abkommen soll für die Menschen sein und ihnen dienen. Was auf jeden Fall zwingend enthalten sein muss sind die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl. Sollte diese Mindestanforderung nicht enthalten sein, bin ich bereit dafür zu kämpfen, dass mein Heimatland aus der WHO austritt. Hochahctungsvoll Thomas Frauscher
private	Guten Tag! Ich möchte hier keine Fang-Fragen beantworten, sondern hinweisen, daß ich mit solcherart Bestrebungen der WHO und anderer Körperschaften grundsätzich nicht einverstanden bin. Hier werden Grundrechte verletzt! Robert Brandhofer
EU-Bürger	Guten Tag, Dieser Vetrag darf nie kommen. Wenn wie auf der Welt in Freiheit leben möchten. Dieser Vertrag hat nur als Ziel eine Weltdiktatur aufzubauen und nichts anderes. Bei corona ist es nicht anders. Die ganzen Maßnahmen sind völlig überflüssig. Man macht dem Menschen nur Angst um Hirnlose Maßnahmen durchzudrücken. Auch wenn es nachweislich alles falsch war. Ob Impfstoff, Krankenhausbelegung, Lockdowns usw. Alles hat nur als Folge gehabt Unterdrückung des Volkes.
privatperson	guten tag, ich bin absolut gegen einen globalen pandemievertrag! die entscheidung über pandemie maßnahmen muß den ländern vorbehalten bleiben. anders sind keine individuellen maßnahmen , der situtation des landes entsprechend , zu erwägen. also NEIN zum pandemievertrag der who mfg s. bergamnn
Private	Guten Tag, Ich stehe ein für nationale Gesetze und nicht für ein WHO übergesetz, welches die Verfassung der Länder übergeht. Ihr könnt gerne Vorschläge machen, aber sie sollen definitiv kein ‚must' sein für die einzelnen Länder. Wir haben gesehen, wie das bei corona rausgekommen ist, sowas will ich nicht nochmal erleben. Nein zum Pandemievertrag der WHO Freundliche Grüße Lara Forster
Keine	Guten Tag, angesichts existierender nationaler Parlamente und demokratischer Strukturen in den meisten Ländern der Erde sehe ich überhaupt keinen Anlass für eine zusätzliche, ggf die Parlamente umgehende, Machtstruktur. Stephan Zahn
Privat	Guten Tag, für kommende Pandemien wünsche ich mir eine breite Anwendung erprobter medizinischer Systeme wie traditionelle chinesische und tibetische Medizin, Ayurveda, Homöopathie und Phytotherapie verschiedenster Länder. Und dies angewendet von in der Disziplin erfahrenen Ärzten, Heilpraktikerinnen und sonstigen, glaubwürdigen Heilern. Sicher wissen Sie dass in Indien die Homöopathie und Ayurveda mit der Schulmedizin Hand in Hand arbeiten. Und Dr. André Saine in Montreal heilt viele schwer chronisch kranke Menschen mit Hahnemanns Homöopathie. Zur Vorbeugung und Stärkung der Gesundheit der Menschen weltweit wünsche ich mir das auch: mehr Förderung und Vertrauen in bewährte Verfahren der Naturheilkunde. Außerdem grundsätzlich mehr Vertrauen in die Immunsysteme der Menschen und mehr Mut, Zuversicht und Unterstützung anstelle von Angst. Das wird ALLEN zugutekommen. Es sollten überall Therapiezentren entstehen in der zum Wohle der Patienten gemeinsam gearbeitet und therapiert wird. Letztendlich entstehen so auch weniger Kosten in den Gesundheitssystemen. Mit freundlichen Grüßen, alles Gute wünscht Anja Schlütz
Bürgerin	Guten Tag, da es sich bei der WHO um eine nicht demokratisch gewählte Organisation handelt kann NUR unter folgenden Voraussetzungen dieses Abkommen zustande kommen: 1. Die Verfassungen und Grundgesetze der jeweiligen Länder stehen über jeder Verordnung, Anweisung, Vorschlägen, Abkommen. Sie sind nicht einschränkbar/aussetzbar. Sie sind unantastbar. Die Grund-/Menschenrechte stehen im Vordergrund (EU-Menschenrechtscharta usw.) 2. Die nationale und lokale Führung behält sich die volle Autonomie vor und behält sich das Recht vor, Entscheidungen auf der Grundlage dessen zu treffen, was für ihr eigenes Volk am besten ist. 3. Die Möglichkeit von Nationen und lokalen Gemeinden, sich von allen Teilen des Abkommens abzumelden, wie sie es für richtig halten, ohne Konsequenzen. 4. Ein offener und transparenter Prozess mit der Möglichkeit für alle Menschen der Welt, über die Aufnahme ausfallsicherer Maßnahmen abzustimmen, die die Anwendung des globalen Abkommens an Orten verhindern, an denen eine Mehrheit der Menschen es nicht will. 5. Maßnahmen, die keinen Einfluss auf den Prozess durch alle Pharmaunternehmen oder andere globale Gesundheitsprofiteure zulassen.
	Guten Tag, ich möchte absolut nicht, dass die WHO über mich bestimmt. Ich bzw. mein Staat weiß selbst am Besten, was für uns gut ist. Woher nimmt sich die Organisation überhaupt das Recht, sich so zu verhalten? Wir sind eigentständig und wollen es auch bleiben.
44267 Dortmund	Guten Tag, Ich möchte, dass Themen wie Naturrechte, Bürgerrechtler und das Recht auf freie Wahl mit aufgenommen werden.
Citizen	Guten Tag, eine Einmischung der WHO in die souveränen Staaten der Welt ist inakzeptabel und strikt abzulehnen. Es sollte keine Machterweiterung stattgegeben werden, da diese zerstörerischund demokratieschädigend ist! Mit freundlichen Grüßen K. Wedemeyer
Medizin	Guten Tag, Es ist höchst bedenklich das einige Wenige über dieses wichtige Thema entscheiden können, das muss unbedingt verhindert werden. Jedes Land muss seine Autonomie bei Gesundheitsthemen behalten und selbst entscheiden. Dieses ist so elementar wichtig, dass es darüber einen Volksentscheid geben sollte.
Keine	Guten Tag. Ich bin gegen die WHO Pläne für einen internationalen Pandemie-Vertrag. Keine internationalen WHO Katalog für irgendwelche Pandemien. Jeder Staat und Bürger sollte souverän bleiben. Zwei Jahre Zwangsimpfung und Zwangsmassnahmen sind genug! Es reicht, das Maß ist voll. Unter der WHO wird das auch nicht besser,sondern noch chaotischer..... Jeder Mensch ist für seine Gesundheit selbst verantwortlich. Der Tod gehört zu jedem Lebewesen dazu !! Entweder durch Krankheit, Alter, Krieg, Mord oder Unfall. MfG U. Heilmann
Private	H - - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability of all people in the world to vote on all subjects that are to be implemented to affect them. 4. Measures that do not allow for interference in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers and health providers.
Stadtrat	Haftung der Pharmaindustrie, schneller Grenzschliesung der EU Aussengrenzen, bei einem Ausbruch außerhalb, Wahrung des Rechtes auf körperliche Unversehrtheit des einzelnen also keine Impfpflicht.
DAO	Hall, There, If U aren't aware yet, pls acknowledge, that anything monocultural leading to the alteration of sane diversity! Not even that clan of Rotchilds & Co will stay healthy any longer. Because no matter, who you are, YOUR lineage including gets brutally disrupted as well. Thinking otherwise is an illusion, haha. Hey, we are all living under the same chemtrailed sky, breathing the same toxic air, eating the same GMOs foods even if U ignore that. Your body knows it all incomparably better anyway because it needs no permission to alert its wearer. The Cosmos disagree to agree with any kind if man-made crap & stands up to support the Spiritual living beings. Let it be. Namasté. Your Starseeder Zen.
Privat	Hallo , jedes Land bestimmt für sich selbst . Übersterblichkeit muss geprüft werden . Das viele testen muss enden . Viele positive haben keine Symptome.
Netze BW	Hallo zusammen, eine zu starke Machtkonzentration was die Einrichtung einer Instanz zur Ausrufung einer Pandemie über alle Staaten hinweg schlicht und ergreifend darstellt, halte ich für sehr bedenklich. Ich bin absolut und strickt gegen die Errichtung einer solchen Instanz. Ich bin gegen eine zu starke Globalisierung und finde das jedes Land für sich selbst entscheiden muss wie mit einer Pandemie umzugehen ist. Eine gemeinsame Reaktion in einer Pandemie muss auf Freiwilligkeit und nicht durch Druck und Zwang entstehen.
Keine	Hallo Ich will keinerlei zwangsmassnahmen. Jeder Bürger muss selbst entscheiden was er für notwendig hält. Sorgen Sie für eine entsprechende volksaufklärung und führen Sie die Menschen in die Selbstverantwortung.
	Hallo Ich bin nicht damit einverstanden, denn das verstößt gegen die Menschenrechte. Jeder Mensch soll für sich selbst entscheiden können ob er sich impfen lassen will. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Babette Schönwiesner
PRIVAT	Hallo Weltgesundheitsorganisation (WHO) plant ein Abkommen über die „weltweite Pandemievorsorge" zu etablieren. Der Vertrag wurde im Dezember 2021 präsentiert und am 1. März 2022 tagte ein Verhandlungsgremium zum ersten Mal. Laut Auskunft des Gesundheitsministeriums haben österreichische VertreterInnen der Schaffung eines „Pandemievertrags" bereits zugestimmt. Das Vorhaben ist hochgefährlich Dieses Abkommen soll ermöglichen, dass die WHO nicht mehr wie bisher Empfehlungen für die Regierungen der Mitgliedsländer abgibt, sondern Entscheidungen trifft, die als Gesetze gelten und sogar über unserer Verfassung stehen sollen. Das „Abkommen zur Pandemievorsorge" würde eine Umgehung aller demokratischen Institutionen bedeuten, denn die WHO selbst ist nicht demokratisch legitimiert. Die deutschen BürgerInnen haben keinen Einfluss auf dieses Gremium, die gewählte Regierung würde zum ausführenden Organ degradiert. Aber was noch wichtiger ist: Die Unterzeichnung des Vertrags birgt für die Mitgliedsstaaten ein enormes Gefahrenpotenzial. Denn das Abkommen würde es der WHO ermöglichen, den Ländern beliebige Maßnahmen zu diktieren. Einzige Voraussetzung: Sie erklärt zuvor den globalen Notstand. Was als „globaler Notstand" gilt, entscheidet allerdings die WHO selbst. Unabhängig sieht anders aus Ist die WHO nicht eine unabhängige internationale Organisation, die dem Wohl der Menschen dient? So war sie ursprünglich konzipiert. Inzwischen muss man sich fragen, ob die Weltgesundheitsorganisation immer noch ihren ursprünglichen Idealen folgt, oder eher den Wünschen ihrer Geldgeber nachkommt. Sie finanziert sich nämlich nur noch zu 20 Prozent aus den Pflichtbeiträgen der Mitgliedsländer. Ich sage " nein" zu Ihrem Bestreben!
Privat	Hallo! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. I fear that that this pandemic treaty poses a threat to human rights. If this treaty really comes and I fear that, the following points should be noted: - Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden and any discriminatory measures must be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process.Studies of vaccines or medicines have to be independent. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law - Human rights must not be violated. Sincerely, Birgit Grüner
Privat, Artist	Hallo!!!! I am absolutely against it! No more talking! Thanks for your wisdom in advance!! Vienna, Thank You! Iulia Buliga
Privat	Hallo, als EU-Bürger und überzeugter Demokrat lehne ich tiefe Souveränitätseinschnitte von Staaten ab, die mit einem Pandemievertrag einhergehen würde. Bedrohlicher sehe ich zudem die Verletzung der individuellen Menschenwürde und die Verletzung der medizinischen Freiheiten, die jeder für sich zu entscheiden hat. Weder Staat noch die WHO hat sich hier einzumischen. Bitte nehmen Sie von Ihren Plänen Abstand!
Hausfrau	Hallo, ich bin gegen Impfpflichten! Staaten müssen souverän sein!! Es dürfen keinerlei Pflichten ohne Rechte entstehen !
Keine Organisation	Hallo, ich finde es sollte überhaupt keine Ausarbeitung einer nicht vom Volk gewählten Institution über eine Pandemie geben, ich finde es sehr bedenklich das nur ein einzelner über internationale Bestimmungen entscheiden soll, dies sollte weiterhin in nationaler Hand bleiben. Außerdem ist die WHO nicht unabhängig und somit auch nicht für globale Entscheidungen zuständig.
Osteopathie	Hallo, jeder Mensch hat ein Bewusstsein, welches ihm mit allem Lebenden verbindet. Dieses Bewusstsein , wenn es erkannt wird, macht das Lebewesen stark und das Immunsystem bereit für die Abwehr gegen jedweden Angriff auf den Körper. Wir lebenden Wesen brauchen keine Vorschriften wie wir unseren Körper schützen. Herzlichst Jörg Streblow
Privat	Hallo, we don't need a global pandemic treaty!!! I am against any paternalism by the WHO! Regards Roman Fix
keine	Hallo, ich bin nicht einverstanden mit dem Pandemievertrag. Liebe Grüße
Privat Person/ General public	Hallo, I don't agree with compulsory vaccination in the EU ! I wann to decide for myself whether I wann to be vaccinated ! I reject comulsory vaccination in the EU !
Private	Hallo, the following terms should be included: - full compliance with "Nuernberger Codex" - the sovereignty of the state and the individuals must be garanteed - respect for human rights is paramount - legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies must be compulsorily realized Best regards Norbert Schäfers
Willhaus	Hallo. Ich möchte keinen Pandemie Vertrag. Es ist wichtig, dass Länder Maßnahmen für sich entscheiden, um möglichst viel Freiheit und Autonomie beizubehalten. Eine zentrale Entscheidungsstelle widerspricht der Demokratie. Nein zum Pandemievertrag!
private	Hands off my country's constitution. Leave South Africa to solve her own issues.
SHELLEY BLANKINSHIP HOLISTIC NUTRITION	Hard pass on anything "global."
Sovereign English individual	Has a sovereign Englishman I do not consent to the world health organisation, pandemic preparedness treaty. The British government serves we the people who lend the government their sovereignty through our vote, no government or person i.e. the health secretary, has the right to over ride our English constitution. Our government shall have sole responsibility for any or all actions taken, to deal with any pandemics, emergencies and health issues by using our own acts of law. The world health organisation is not our elected government, W.H.O. is there to advise, not to mandate any procedures or to have authority over sovereign people Best regards Mr Sydney Crowther
UGHA	Having a WHO sponsored international pandemic treaty is a very dubious idea, given the horrible performance of The WHO in the COVID-19 pandemic, and the obvious attempt of The WHO to cater to pharmaceutical companies' interests. If there is to be a treaty at all, it should satisfy all of these criteria and more: 1. Airtight scientific evidence would need to demonstrate the benefit to health for the world's population of coordinated internationally managed pandemics. This data would need to be authenticated by independent scientists (unaffiliated with special interest groups). I doubt this would be possible because pandemic management methods would need to individualized to the special needs of different countries and the special health considerations and cultural factors unique to each country. 2. The treaty would involve voluntary participation. Countries could opt out if they wished. Decisions about participation would require a majority vote of the population, to prevent authoritarian leaders from making independent decisions that are not reflective of the views of the population they serve. 3. Advisees of The WHO must be independent of special interest groups. They must include a variety of viewpoints, including vaccinologists, public health officials, but also those representing indigenous health care traditions, and those who have special knowledge of alternative treatments, whether those include repurposed available drugs, such as Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine, , nutraceuticals, such as Vitamin C and Vitamin D, herbal medicines such as Artemisia Annua, and Homeopathic medicine, all of which The WHO failed to promote effectively in COVID-19.
Rhode Island Environmental Health and Safety Committee	Having a WHO sponsored international pandemic treaty is a very dubious idea, given the horrible performance of The WHO in the COVID-19 pandemic, and the obvious attempt of The WHO to cater to pharmaceutical companies interests. If there is to be a treaty at all, it should satisfy all of these criteria and more: 1. Airtight scientific evidence would need to demonstrate the benefit to health for the world's population of coordinated internationally managed pandemics. This data would need to be authenticated by independent scientists (unaffiliated with special interest groups). I doubt this would be possible because pandemic management methods would need to individualized to the special needs of different countries and the special health considerations and cultural factors unique to each country. 2. The treaty would involve voluntary participation. Countries could opt out if they wished. Decisions about participation would require a majority vote of the population, to prevent authoritarian leaders from making independent decisions that are not reflective of the views of the population they serve. 3. Advisees of The WHO must be independent of special interest groups. They must include a variety of viewpoints, including vaccinologists, public health officials, but also those representing indigenous health care traditions, and those who have special knowledge of alternative treatments, whether those include repurposed available drugs, such as Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine, , nutraceuticals, such as Vitamin C and Vitamin D, herbal medicines such as Artemisia Annua, and Homeopathic medicine, all of which The WHO failed to promote effectively in COVID-19.
Self	Having an international set of laws regarding pandemic response is not in the best interests of the human race. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all equation, and creating centralized control will cause leaders to ignore the diverse needs and situations of each individual and community. Therefore, pandemic response should be left in the hands of each province/community/state etc to individually decide, based on the needs of each specific area. Doing so is absolutely essential to the well being of the human race.
None	Having been a participant in the recent global pandemic caused by SARS COV2 I do not see a need for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. Countries must be able to retain their autonomy in how they manage future pandemics, they can listen to advice and guidence from other countries but must be able to make decisions that best suit their own circumstances and people. 2. There must be no conflict of interest or financial gain from anyone in the WHO or anyone else involved in giving countries advice or guidance in how to manage pandemics. 3. Change the definitions of pandemic and vaccines back to the more accurate historical definitions. 4. No conditions imposed on countries who opt out.
N/A	Having just gone through a pandemic where there very much seemed to be a globally recognised response, choreographed and orchestrated by the WHO, it's clear that this didn't work. Public health is not a one size fits all issue. Cities, regions, countries and continents should be free to put in place the regulations and plans they think best suit their populace. Also the WHO is an unelected body of people who are not qualified by any globally recognised qualification (maybe because there is no globally recognised qualification as such but this just speaks ti the no one size fits all issue) and I worry that having this unelected group of individuals running a global response to a 'pandemic', that only they have the authority to label as such, is a worryingly undemocratic situation that WILL lead to favouring responses based on the capitalist drives of the individuals at the top.
UK citizen	Having read and understood the proposals for a pandemic preparedness response, I would like the following considerations to be made: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what leaders and their people decide is best for them. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they and the people see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It is important for the WHO to recognise and acknowledge that there is not a 'one size fits all' solution to a pandemic and that to retain diversity and culture as well as sovereignty, the WHO would serve us best in an advisory capacity.
Individual	Having the right to choose your own health care plan . Let people choose themselves how they want to look after their health. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. National and local Leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Self	Having volunteered for over twenty-five years for the American Red Cross, I know that preparedness is not only important but essential. That being said, we don't need an International body to mandate preparedness. We can continue to educate people and allow them to prepare as they choose to do so. However, the WHO should not be mandating vaccine passports as they limit freedom of choice. Uppermost, proceed with freedom to choose as the "new international instrument and substantive element on pandemic preparedness and response." Continue to educate as you do. Allow people to make their own decisions without having to show a digital vaccine passport. This kind of control should never become part of a new element of preparedness as it will hurt the world. T World Health Organization is here to help, not to hurt or control the world which will be the result.
Megan Graham Beauty	he following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ResearchWorks	he following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Health issues should be a local decision, and not be decided by an international agency, especially not an agency sponsored by money interests like the WHO is at the moment.
Individual	Health ≠ Medicine Health ≠ Hospitals Individual Health = Public Health History is a testimony that monopolies are never good. Same applies to the healthcare. The people of this world have followed many methods, some evolved as systems, to take care of their health. Many didn't survive the test of time and many indigenous healthcare systems are struggling the commercial onslaught. This can be attributed to monopoly. People need an organization to preserve their bodily rights and autonomy, protect them against being exploited as test subjects from powerful pharmaceutical corporations and corporate hospitals. An organization that is ethical and protects their rights as consumers and patients. An organization that facilitates a healthy, stress free environment and promotes individual wellbeing. The world doesn't need an organization that dictates terms and tries to force fit its approach towards diseases and 'one size fits all' solutions. The world doesn't need an organization that doesn't understand that diseases, naturally, can be regional and seasonal and fails to investigate the external non-natural factors for diseases at large scale. WHO is neither an elected body nor selected by the people. WHO doesn't represent people, neither does WHO work on the tenets of holistic healthcare and protecting individuals rights. WHO doesn't represent people neither does appreciate the diversity, respect indigenousness of healthcare systems. The treaty with an organization that represents the interest of non-inclusive 'Monopolistic Medicine System' is undesirable that will dictate the policy of a nation, in-fact is harmful for people and nations and must be avoided. Health cannot be equated to medicine.
Private	Health and ANY decision relating to it is a highly personal matter and must be based on choice and FREEDOM. Even at national level policy makers often fail to consider complex local and regional dynamics, but to address something so specific internationally can only result in catastrophe. The completely one-sided and criminally counterproductive response to a glorified flu season renamed "Covid" has demonstrated how such global agreements serve but one purpose: control by surveillance.
N/A	Health and medicine are not one-sized fits all for individuals, communities, or countries. The WHO should not be making blanket decisions for anyone - they are not the elected government of any country and by giving up the autonomy of individual countries we would be ending democracy across the globe. 1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without question or consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Health and meducal treatment is private matter. Any forced treatment like taking medicine, get vaccinated or so is against human rights. Human beings are autonomous. They are God's creation.
NA	Health and welfare of people are best served by local providers and elected representatives, not from a global top-down edicts. Tranparency dissolves at the highest level and individual's rights become secondary. Now is not the time, if ever there really was. Responsibility in proximity to those who entrust others is far better than those professed from afar. After sincere thought, I must object to this treaty.
GodSelf	Health begins at home and in the relationship of oneself to life. Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must respect the individual selves' ability to find healing through a natural and aligned response to biological threats. Natural remedies should always be encouraged for strong immune building and response, and the simplest measures are usually the best. Globally blanketed "universal" responses can be toxic to large percentages of population and should be avoided in any mandated procedures. Pandemics are the result of imbalances in the environment, and our disrespectful relationship to it. A natural alignment with life-force is the only true response that will bring health. If the WHO is serious about our health, then it should refocus its considerable power to address right relationship to water, food, and social issues that will encourage self-responsibility.
health freedom	Health care belongs to the individual and their doctor. The WHO and government entities have no business dictating health decisions to nations.
Personal input	Health care circumstances differ internationally. I would expect national and local leadership to retain autonomy in how they deal with future pandemic situations. All local governments and nations that wish to are free to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Full transparency of all scientific pandemic related studies with no censoring of differing medical viewpoints, whether in printed word or in on - line media outlets. All nations and/or municipalities are allowed to vote upon whether they will adopt the protocol put forward by this 'international instrument of pandemic preparedness'. If a nation/municipality does not have majority approval, they will not be in any way punished by the international community. All pharmaceutical companies or other profiteering health care organizations are not allowed to participate in any way in the decision making process.

Kazakhstan	Kazakhstan
Kenya	Kenya
Kiribati	Kiribati
Kosovo (in accordance with UNSCR 1244 (1999))	Kosovo (in accordance with UNSCR 1244 (1999))
Kuwait	Kuwait
Kyrgyzstan	Kyrgyzstan
Lao People's Democratic Republic	Lao People's Democratic Republic
Latvia	Latvia
Lebanon	Lebanon
Lesotho	Lesotho
Liberia	Liberia
Libya	Libya
Liechtenstein	Liechtenstein
Lithuania	Lithuania
Luxembourg	Luxembourg
Madagascar	Madagascar
Malawi	Malawi
Malaysia	Malaysia
Maldives	Maldives
Mali	Mali
Malta	Malta
Marshall Islands	Marshall Islands
Mauritania	Mauritania
Mauritius	Mauritius
Mexico	Mexico
Micronesia (Federated States of)	Micronesia (Federated States of)
Monaco	Monaco
Mongolia	Mongolia
Montenegro	Montenegro
Morocco	Morocco
Mozambique	Mozambique
Myanmar	Myanmar
Namibia	Namibia
Nauru	Nauru
Nepal	Nepal
Netherlands	Netherlands
New Zealand	New Zealand
Nicaragua	Nicaragua
Niger	Niger
Nigeria	Nigeria
Niue	Niue
North Macedonia	North Macedonia
Norway	Norway
Oman	Oman
Pakistan	Pakistan
Palau	Palau
Panama	Panama
Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea
Paraguay	Paraguay
Peru	Peru
Philippines	Philippines
Poland	Poland
Portugal	Portugal
Qatar	Qatar
Republic of Korea	Republic of Korea
Republic of Moldova	Republic of Moldova
Romania	Romania
Russian Federation	Russian Federation
Rwanda	Rwanda
Saint Kitts and Nevis	Saint Kitts and Nevis
Saint Lucia	Saint Lucia
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Samoa	Samoa
San Marino	San Marino
Sao Tome and Principe	Sao Tome and Principe
Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia
Senegal	Senegal
Serbia	Serbia
Seychelles	Seychelles
Sierra Leone	Sierra Leone
Singapore	Singapore
Slovakia	Slovakia
Slovenia	Slovenia
Solomon Islands	Solomon Islands
Somalia	Somalia
South Africa	South Africa
South Sudan	South Sudan
Spain	Spain
Sri Lanka	Sri Lanka
Sudan	Sudan
Suriname	Suriname
Sweden	Sweden
Switzerland	Switzerland
Syrian Arab Republic	Syrian Arab Republic
Tajikistan	Tajikistan
Thailand	Thailand
Timor-Leste	Timor-Leste
Togo	Togo
Tonga	Tonga
Trinidad and Tobago	Trinidad and Tobago
Tunisia	Tunisia
Turkey	Turkey
Turkmenistan	Turkmenistan
Tuvalu	Tuvalu
Uganda	Uganda
Ukraine	Ukraine
United Arab Emirates	United Arab Emirates
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
United Republic of Tanzania	United Republic of Tanzania
United States of America	United States of America
Uruguay	Uruguay
Uzbekistan	Uzbekistan
Vanuatu	Vanuatu
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
Viet Nam	Viet Nam
Yemen	Yemen
Zambia	Zambia
Zimbabwe	Zimbabwe

Organization	Written submission
Nursing Practicioner	Health of each individual is their own responsibilty. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Perry Home	Health of the individual citizen is best managed by that individual, then by the nearest and most personalized health practitioner. The further out the management, the less effective it is. All health management must respect the individual's choice for their medical needs. Neither the government nor the WHO should be given dominance over the individual rights.
IMMA	Health policies shouldn't be a one-size-fits-all matter. We want individuals in control of their health along with their physicians as they know their particular health history better and can understand/make decisions for their own body as it concerns them.
AGMP	Health policy and health decisions need to stay in the control of the individual and the state. Every state has it's own unique health profile and challenges. Turning such responsibility over to a world body would be catastrophic for nations freedom and wellbeing.
UK Citizen	Health policy needs to be locally-driven. Each country has distinct conditions, distinct cultures, distinct health needs. A central global system does not make any sense. It has been a disaster for the Covid pandemic with WHO-driven mandates that harmed more people than helped and destroyed economies and people's mental and financial health. Never again!
N/A	Health policy should be considered with a very broad scope as one-size-fits-all healthy policy is not compassionate nor realistic. Health policy is directly tied to the local culture and environment and thus policy should be made at those levels and those levels only. Diversity in biology is the strength of thriving. This can be scene in the soil and within diverse communities that honor and embrace each other's contributions.
n/a	Health policy should be determined at national and local level and not be determined by the supra-national WHO. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Any health policy should be free of coercion, such as QR-based health passes, and discrimination, such as not allowing unvaccinated person to engage in certain activities. Policy decisions should be transparent and free from undue influence from self-motivated parties, such as pharmaceutical companies and health lobbies.
Independent	Health policy should not be governed by a world organization (the WHO), it should remain local and individual. Health policy should never be one size fits all.
private	Health prophylaxis according to evidence-based independent recommendations. Independent regulatory authorities. Personal health responsibility of the individual and no state or other paternalism.
Mother	Health protocols from days gone by: washing hands, sunshine, a steady and healthy diet full of nutrients, and rest. These methods have been used for centuries and mankind is continues to thrive under them. The immune system builds off of healing from viruses, our children need to build up their natural defenses. Thank you for your time.
citizen	Health recommendations from WHO are welcome. All recommendations from different fields of Medical practice must not be censored, but must be included in data for public freedom of choice. The United States of America must stay self governing and must continue to assume power in State and Local Governance. All health decisions must have freedom for the individual to choose. The US must never agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty with a World Health Organization.
Green Party Austria	Health results from multidemsional factors. The base of health is an individual, who is free in desicions and actions and experiencing independence and self - efficacy. Therefore: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden, Discriminatory measures should be prohibited, all measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests, all decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a PUBLIC peer-review process, shown on TV, all decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body, connections to pharma-industries should be revealed and Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law The WHO should be an organisation only for supporting and consulting the health-departments of free und independend states. To give more power to an organization, in which individuals with restricted points of views, restricted abilities to think in systemic - multilevel solutions and being influenced by financial interests of investors would be a step into a dictatorship of a handful of people over the world population. Such a WHO is antagonistic to freedom and democracy.
The Sneed and Feed	Health should be between individual doctors treating their patients as individuals. Considering the latest pandemic of COVID-19 was unscientific and academically dishonest, I'd rather refrain from any international action. The COVID-19 vaccination has consistently shown to be more dangerous that the actual virus itself and organizations like the WHO, refuse to acknowledge that. P.S. I hate the Anti-Christ.
HeartWise Community Learning Center	Health starts at home. Amid conflicting laws and recommendations, state governors and lawmakers have the ability to say enough is enough and draw a clear line in the sand to protect the health of their citizens by prohibiting covid vaccine mandates. Consent requires choice. Therefore, medical mandates are coercive. There is no true choice when your options are between a jab or your job, school, community, travel, and social services. Mandates create discrimination based on vaccination status. This is the civil rights movement of our time. Exemptions are not enough. The Mandate-and-Exemption formula is inadequate to protect bodily autonomy. Americans do not need to be given permission to say no to medical interventions. Informed consent is not only for religious or medically fragile people. Exemptions limit Americans' ability to say no to unwanted medical treatments. It is unethical to treat Americans as sick until proven healthy. The vaccine is experimental and has known safety concerns. However, health authorities are telling the U.S. public the jab is "safe and effective" without exposing the adverse events and unknowns. Even if the federal government and federal agency mandates are struck down, the private sector will continue to do the bidding of the overreaching federal government until protective state policy is put in place. This is the role of the state government—to protect the health and welfare of its residents.
public	Health was never increased by digitalisation, compulsion, nor by international instruments for the whole world, Synchronization and fear make people sick. Nor more digital efforts nor international instruments do sustain or even increase health of people. We can assess retrospectively in 2022, that the used instruments during the covid-Pandemic were not useful for the health of the people: Lacking Data-Security, missing privacy, digital covid-certificates and vaccinations have to be doubted highly. Seperation of the weakest people in our society, elderly people and children, was one of the biggest mistakes of Mankind in the years 2020 - 2022. Now, as it is also well known, that the vaccination did not prevent from infection of oneselve as of others, the vaccination-Story has to be stopped for future times - the whole of humanity must not be vaccinated again until all the side effects and the long-term damage have been examined. There needs to be done a investigation of the WHO regarding the harms. Many people have made money in dealing with the pandemic, health in the whole has not been sustained. If the WHO is interested in sustaining and increasing health of people living, primaraly it is necessary to have access to food, water and air of good quality. Also to do sports, just as to be in good mental health. In case of illness prevent for everybody access to a personal doctor. In most cases hospital is not needed. It must build a free and self-determined Health System in which the individual no longer has to submit to a collective health trend. The WHO has to ensure that such damage happened due to the taken measures will never again be done to humanity.
General public (not representing any entity)	Health, including public health, is not more significant than fundamental freedoms and human rights. People in the past and the present have selected to sacrifice their life in order to support their freedoms. Besides physical health there are many other aspects of health, including mental health, social health, economic health, etc. The health policies applied in the last two years to combat the pandemic had very negative impact in most aspects of health. However, being isolated and without an income may affect negatively physical health as well. As far as I know, the role of WHO is not to order lockdowns and obligatory vaccinations, but to monitor the spread of pathogens, collect data and inform governments. Furthermore, after WWII, medical treatments were considered only voluntarily. Science is produced in universities, it is debated in the international scientific conferences and journals, without exclusions. Science is advanced through debating, not through mandates. As far as I know, WHO is not a University to produce science, although scientists are working in it. WHO, through the new pandemic treaty, should not attempt to impose measures in countries. WHO should not be above local constitutions and mandate measures violating fundamental freedoms and human rights. There is not one policy fitting all countries. Local scientists and governments know better what is best for their country. WHO is not and should not play the role of a global government, whether during a pandemic or not. WHO should remain in the initial frame defined since its beginnings.
Independent	Healthcare and response to a healthcare crisis should not be a one size fits all model which is authoritatively dictated by an unelected body. These decisions must remain within the power and control of each country and their respective governments along with further consideration given to each state, province and territory within each country. Sovereignty of the person must be upheld along with bodily autonomy as these are sacred human rights which must at all times be protected where any medical intervention must be carefully considered on am individual level. Thank you
healing practitioner	health-care belongs in the hand of the families and the individual. Nobody else than you can be responsible for you.
Private citizen	Healthcare is not one size fits all. I think the USA constitution should be upheld for all its citizens to maintain the freedom of choice for life and liberty.
Lasting Impression; independent business owner	Healthcare management--on any level--should not be a global initiative, as it is not a "one size fits all" proposition. As such, it is imperative that national and local leadership are able to retain full autonomy: reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and opting OUT of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit. The decision to opt out should be honored without consequence. The initiative should contain provisions allowing all people of the world to vote on the adoption of a global agreement--which is critical and essential in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures MUST be included in the initative that prevent the influence and engagement of any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers in the process.
	Healthcare needs of patients vary widely and no health rule fits all. Such a rule will limit one's freedoms tremendously and is a great infringement on their personal rights. Pandemics are situations that are severe in the beginning but that severity usually changes as the disease mutates. Rules that continue are unnecessarily restrictive
My family	Healthcare policy and healthcare decisions are local, private matters that belong strictly between one's doctor and oneself as per the HIPAA guidelines. Moreover, if health policy ends up getting made at a more distant level, the only level that is both legal and suitable is at the national level, else there is no more national sovereignty. Please stop this insanity. In so doing, consider your terrible track record on the Corona virus front, including but not limited to snuffing out affordable and proven repurposed anti-viral drugs such as ivermectin and HCQ. We the people, the American people, need to you to get back within the confines of "do no harm" and to stop trying to globalize healthcare policy and so-called pandemic responses. Your organization should be ashamed of itself, and stop adding insult to copious injury.
CHILDRENS HEALTH DEFENSE	Healthcare should be a personal choice. Pandemic planning should be up to the country and municipality you live in. Don't take away people's right to bodily integrity.
Children's Health Defense	Healthcare should be decided first at the individual level, then family, followed by neighborhood and community. A global health initiative or top to bottom mandate is an ineffective model for healthcare.
HealthCareCAN	HealthCareCAN, the national voice of healthcare organizations and hospitals across Canada, welcomes the opportunity to provide a written contribution regarding a new international instrument to strengthen pandemic preparedness and response. A new instrument should build on the scientific achievements and healthcare innovations throughout the last two years. To better prepare and respond to the next global pandemic, countries and partner organizations need access to disease surveillance tools, real-time data, a strong collaborative health research system, and a resilient global health workforce. The pandemic showcased the pivotal role of health research and innovation. Decades of prior health sciences research allowed for a real-time response to the pandemic by hastening vaccine development. The global collaboration of health scientists throughout the pandemic has been integral and that continued co-operation needs the right structures in place to be supported going forward. Scientific achievements depend on health data. Many countries have out-of-date health data infrastructure that spawn siloed, disconnected data systems that leave valuable health data inaccessible and unusable. Poor data infrastructure cannot support disease surveillance systems, an essential tool for pandemic prevention and response. Healthcare workers are the system's greatest resource. Healthcare systems are facing serious labour shortages, impacting patient care across the continuum of care. It is vital that global health systems have comprehensive strategies in place to strengthen and sustain the workforce, in particular, low- and middle-income countries where developed nations will recruit.
None	Healthy body weight, exercise, eating unprocessed foods (meat is a healthy, unprocessed food), adequate sleep, reduction in stress, and quitting smoking should all be promoted as an adjunct or in lieu of mandatory vaccinations. There should be NO mandatory vaccinations. Ivermectin and supplements like NAC and quercetin should be promoted, not kept from the public. Above all, the freedom to NOT get the vaccine (and still work and participate in society freely) should be allowed to all.
Deborah Lazar	Hello - Thank you for your attention to my comments. I believe these points are critically important to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you!! Deborah Lazar
MDT Communications	Hello - Thanks for the opportunity! Transparency, discussion, consultation from all sides, integrity, honesty, no conflicts of interest, accountability, credibility must take precedent in all your deliberations. Early in the pandemic, I really felt sorry for all the people including the WHO who had to deal with this unknown dilemma quickly surrounding the globe. Secretly, i was glad that i did not have to make any decisions or bear that great weight. I am a big proponent of global action and policy but only if the above elements are used. From the beginning and of course with the benefit of hindsight, it slowly became apparent that the way the pandemic was handled by public health agencies, governments and the media was fast approaching the same level of danger that the virus was giving us. As more time went on, this situation became more and more clear - [worst than i could ever imagine] The level of dishonesty, corruption, greed, conflicts of interest, blatant lies, minimization of facts and stats, no informed consent and the level of censorship made some so called banana republics look good. Even the total blockade of repurposed drugs for early treatment were all but impossible leaving us with very few if any options if we got sick. I have never in my 69 years of life experienced such lack of faith, fear and trust in our own health care system which i had previously had so much faith and trust in. I have never been so disappointed and angry. So, what makes you think you can fix this and make this all better by controlling the entire world after such a horrendous tragedy that will go down in history as an epic failure of our world government and health authorities? May your deliberations be fruitful!
individual	Hello - the WHO should remain an advisory body and each govt retain their sovereign control over implementation. Given the numerous errors in handling COVID, the WHO should first conduct a review as to why it abondoned well-known pandemic preparedness planning, and continued to recommend implementation of highly damaging and ineffective interventions such as lockdowns and closures, not to mention masks and ineffective (at best) vaccines. The WHO should really be ashamed of it's behaviour which will be looked upon very poorly in the future. UN has already said these interventions have put hundreds of thousands of poor children at risk of death, and millions back into poverty. It is nothing short of horrendous what the WHO has done. The WHO is in no position to coordinate a global treaty on these matters until it vows to have a more mature and measured response playbook. IN FACT, THE WHO SHOULD SPONSOR A TREATY TO ACTIVELY DISCOURAGE LOCKDOWNS, TRAVEL RESTRICTIONS AND VACCINE MANDATES as part of it's mission on civil rights.
N/A	Hello It would be imperative that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, as they see fit, without consequence. There is an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement, in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Freedom and human rights should not be allowed to be over-ridden, like what has happened over the last two years. Any measures, should not be allowed to over-ride the Nuremberg Code or the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights. People should not be forced against their will. Lockdowns should be banned. Masks only worn by those who think they work. Measures should be implemented that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers and 'charities', Bill Gates, and other wealthy elites such as Klaus Schwab and George Soros etc. Open debate should be allowed, from scientists and doctors on both sides. Censorship should not be allowed. True scientists know, that the science is never settled. The corruption and lies of the last two years should never be allowed to happen again. Yours sincerely Angela Kelly
U S Citizen	Hello - I am against the pandemic treaty. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must maintain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of an agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent local and sovereign process with the ability for all people of the individual country to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It must be a Democratic process lead by the the vote of a country's people, not solely by politicians. Measures MUST not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. A WHO treaty gives too much power to an organization that may not recognize the unique needs of a sovereign country to decide how best to respond to a pandemic. I urge you to NOT go forward with this treaty. Thank you, Lisa
not applicable	Hello -- Re: pandemic treaty idea One size does not fit all. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I can't agree more. So WHO treaties that remove power from sovereign countries and the participation of their citizenry in informed consent and their economic and political lives is dangerous to the health and freedoms of individuals around the world. This pandemic treaty is a dangerous idea & should be withdrawn.
None	Hello Can you please consider the following points? - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Tracy
citizen	Hello I believe that any treaty that takes the power away from the American people to make their own decisions is wrong. How can an organization make a blanket statement on treatment for multiple countries? We are all different, we have different infrastructure, different levels of care, and different population density. A one size fits all will not work, and as a democracy and a tax payer I want a say in how my government responds to different issues. Thank you for your time Scott
Private Citizen	Hello - I do not agree with your global pandemic agreement. I am from a country that governs ourselves. We decide what is right for our communities, states and our country. This agreement would give the WHO too much power over the people of the world. It's not good for one organization to have such power. I hope you hear my words - and those of millions around the world that feel the same. Thank you.
Teacher	Hello I strongly oppose you proposals on pandemics response. Covid has shown that all measures you have insisted upon were futile. They did not defeat COVID. I can say they were harmful for the society on a whole. Now under the umbrella of some presumed "upcoming" pandemics you want to introduce the mechanisms that gives you more power, and go against the needs of free development. It will lead to unjustified restrictions and limit personal rights. Furthermore, it will give an unelected body of WHO the power over nationally elected governments - all under the pretext of "emergency". And it is you who wants to define emergency! This is totally unnecessary, unjustified and dangerous trend. Thanks
Worklink	Hello , I am AGAINST the "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response", because it will limit the power of national democratically votet parliaments, which I cannot support as a 100% democratic person. The WHO still is a NGO and therefore NOT underlying any democratic prodecudres, but well fed with money by some people who have invested "coincidally" in shares of the pharmceutical industrie. No from me. Best regards, D.Deckert
Verein zur Förderung der Eurythmie ... e.V.	Hello ~ National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. Greetings, Ulrich ~
Citizen of the United States	Hello all, I would like to add my considerations, your discussion should take into account the following: The rights of all individuals to opt out of any and all mandates, laws, treaties, dictates, treatments, etc from a global, national, regional and local level that infringe on their bodily autonomy, their health care choices, their right to pursue an honest living, their right to freely associate, and in general their right to liberty. Secondly, and subordinate to the individual, the rights of local, regional and national governments, in that order of priority and autonomy, to opt out of any and all mandates, laws, treaties, dictates, etc agreed upon in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Finally, the rights of all individuals and organizations, whether expert or layman, to express and share evidence, citations, interviews, opinions, etc that may or may not agree with "the science" or the "consensus" without retribution or censorship.
EU citizen	Hello and good afternoon, I do not see any advantages of having a comon international approach for pandemics. Each country has different possibilities and starting points like climate etc and should act in the best way for their citizens on their own. Thank you!
SGI-USA	Hello and greetings: Included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be: 1. provisions protecting each individual's right to body sovereignty 2. provisions protecting each individual's right to informed consent 3. provisions protecting each individual's right to be free from coercive medical procedures 4. provisions protecting each individual's right to free speech on social media platforms. Dissenting voices must not be silenced. Scientific debate must be public and transparent 5. provisions protecting doctors and nurses from retaliation for exercising their right to free speech and for using their skills to actually practice medicine 6. The financial interests of Big Pharma must be secondary to making effective policies that protect people 7. Safe and effective treatments must be made available to combat an outbreak, not just vaccines 8. Safety must be the highest priority when deploying new technologies such as mRNA based vaccines 9. Big Pharma should not be shielded from liability for any injury and death their product causes 10. The independence and autonomy of national governments must not be superseded by some international agency trying to impose a one-size-fits-all body of regulations thank you Al
General public	Hello and thank you for considering my submission. I believe that the following points should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. All national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for and wanted by their own people. 2. The ability of all nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other organizations that have a vested financial interest in the outcome of the process. Blessings to you, David
Self	Hello and thank you for taking the time to read my submission. I am asking that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt of of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Hello and thank you for the opportunity to comment. WHO is not appropriate to oversee pandemics. Each nation needs to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for its own populace. People/nations who do not want to be part of this agreement should not have to be. I strongly object to oversight of our nation by unelected groups.
Human Right Office	Hello Dear Reader, Thanks for the opportunity to give some idea for this strange think. At least this is strange for me. Let me not explain the current pandemic because I think there were lot of problem on your side, but this is a nice time to make it better. First of all as human right officer I would like get that point you new agreement will be sync with the human right so with the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Done at Brussels on 19 December 1966. It must be so this is the most important for me. And you must think always for your family, because if you wanna create something against the people, then you made these against your family too. I hope you will read my writes and really hope you will be legally and follow the rights of the people during the new agreement creation. Thanks!
None	Hello I am submitting my comment pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Independent	Hello I submit my strong objections to WHO ever tighter control of peoples lives. It is of great concern for millions of us. Listen to our voices. Thank you
Canada	Hello members of WHO I would prefer not to have the pandemic treaty because if we have the exact same global response to any pandemic we will not know what worked and what did not. For example: during c19 pandemic, the response varied and had very similar results. Sweden has not locked down and was in a better shape. The total toll on life disruption especially children majority of whom did not get sick but suffered because of lack of socialization, missed school, missed sports, etc. The delays of their development is devastating and was not necessary. Locked down/ isolated seniors in senior homes had the worst outcome because they could not see their loved ones and many could not bare it and passed away. Lock downs brought forward the psychological, emotional, spiritual, social, financial problems and made them much worse than it would have been if many coutries focused on treatments that actually work and vitamins that boost immune system. I am against global pandemic treaty. Every country has the authority to decide for themselves how to approach their specific problems. Please, reconsider the treaty and stop it Ksenia

Organization	Written submission
N/a	Hello representatives of the WHO, I am writing to express my opposition to a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder my individual sovereignty in any area, but especially in public health. I am against policies that require American or any other citizen to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule any people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. Thank you for reading. God bless.
none	Hello there World Health Organization people, with due respect, i am very sure you are aware of and familiar with 1. the actual basic individual human rights as they are written down in the german 'Grundgesetz', the constitution of the Federal Republic of Germany - 'Germany' in short - as well as with 2. our general human rights as they are written down in the Human Rights Charta of the EU. All these rights are non-conditional, and they do belong to all citizens living here. We, the people of Germany, live in accordance to the above mentioned, and we greatly wish to keep our human rights intact. This involves the freedom to chose which medical treatment to use, which medication to take, which medical experiment to participate in, and indeed, all the freedoms those rights declare, with no privilege nor boundaries to those who participate in or neglect any of these. As a european citicen, i am against the implementation of your Pandemic Treaty. I kindly ask you to stop implementing the Pandemic Treaty. Thank you. Kind regards, Arne Neumann, Berlin Germany
N/A	Hello there Some concerns of a yound family father: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Best regards Fabian Reiner
citizen	Hello together, nothing no mandates, no restrictions, freedom of choice of medical treatment independence of every human beeing autonomy of national and local leadership - caring for basic human rights without any mandates regarding medical issues
Childrens health defense.org	Hello- we should have the right to choose what is best for our own individual health . Health- as in healing body an mind. Weather using plant medicine food or chemical pharmaceuticals. Viruses change and mutate we know this we have to take care of our body and our body and mind to stay well. Not to forget ivermectin and nutrition. We can make decisions for our own health without bill gates and big Pharma. Sincerely Velicity Hanley.
personal	Hello WHO representatives, I have grave concerns about how this Treaty is proposed, removing input control for citizens of the countries to which it would impact. These concerns are summarized in recommended changes as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, Gisele Baribeau
N/A	Hello WHO 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	Hello WHO, Thank you for accepting this submission. My belief is that the world is too diverse to support a single approach to pandemic response. I believe this autonomy should be exercised by national and local leadership who reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. Individual countries or states and provinces should have the ability to opt-out of any or all portions of any world agreement without any consequences. There should be a clear process for voting on measures by citizens of individual countries and those national or regional preferences need to be respected. I also believe that no policy should be influenced by any single organization, or special interest such as the pharmaceutical industry or its champions, health decisions are a personal choice and need to remain as such and should not be swayed by political or financial ambitions. With thanks!
Organic Consumers Association	Hello WHO, The question: What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" My answer: It should be local governments in charge of their pandemic preparedness. Health situations are not a one size fits all and response should be decentralized. What we have learned from the past two years is that following the WHO guidelines to lockdown countries as a strategy to combat the spread of a virus was ineffective at best and catastrophic to the livelihoods and lives of many people, manly the poor at worst. The strategy of mask use and social distancing was not based on the scientific method and was of no consequence as many studies have determined including one performed by the CDC. Countries that remained open, disrupted lives at the minimum, and trusted their citizens to use basic caution such as hand washing faired better overall. Under your guidance, the past two years have been a nightmare for many people. Please refrain from trying to control local governments in their response to health. Each area of the world is unique in their population demographics. Each country is unique in past exposure as we saw with Oceana and SARS-CoV-2: it was less aggressive because the populations has past exposure to SARS-CoV-1. Countries need autonomy as they have elected leaders to respond to health issues. As a non-elected body, you may offer guidance but full control is dangerous to the sovereignty of peoples across our world. You can not be held accountable for catastrophic rules and regulations. What you can include is the ability for local governments to obtain equipment, medicines and PPE by setting up a logistical framework.
Self	Hello I am a US citizen and I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: --National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. --Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. --The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. --Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you very much for your time!
Personal	Hello I believe any treaty should give individual countries and regions the autonomy to make decisions based on the needs of their own people - their lifestyles and preferences. Individual counties and regions should be able to select which parts of the treaty they wish to adhere to. Individuals around the world should be allowed to vote on their country's involvement in the treaty. Corporate interests in the treaty and the way it is administered should be monitored and managed to avoid corruption. Kind regards Laurence Levy
Self	Hello I believe that this treaty should not be put into action. It limits the God given rights of the people and their rights to bodily sovereignty. Each country should decide for themselves how to respond. Healthcare is not one size fits all and neither should the response to pandemic. How about we stop funding dangerous research that is creating disease. How about we stop letting globalists and the wealthy keep trying to take away our rights? Stop the treaty.
democratic legitimated "Stadtrat; Kreisrat"	Hello it undermines democracy, if instutitions withour any democratic legitimation like the WHO claim to receive rights like emercency-rights which are held by democratic legitomated institutions, like states. Such a transfer may never ever be tolerated by any democrat. Thomas
Paragraph 22	Hello Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Individual	Hello with regards to the global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response I want to express my support for the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Ceco Ivanchev
Individual	Hello with regards to the global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response I want to express my support the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Vanila Sevdalinova
Individual	Hello with regards to the global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response I want to express the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Vanya Sevdalinova
Rogue Spirit	Hello I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: · National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. · Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. · The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. · Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you AM Shelley
General Public	Hello I think that it is crucial that National and local leadership retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. To do otherwise would be to remove democracy for dictatorship and create taxation without representation. It should be based on voluntary cooperation, not coercion. To realise this, it must be accepted that not one size fits all. I think it is important that nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It is beyond crucial that it is made and operated by an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. One example of many, would be better publicised 'public submissions' with longer time periods to allow for said submissions. It is also important that measures are included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It must not become a captured agency. I would not trust an environmental agency that made money from the coal industry. All avenues of financial entanglement must be removed and prevented from reforming. Thank you Zoe
private individual	Hello I understand that it is imperative to have appropriate measures in place to handle a global pandemic effectively and efficiently. It is however also imperative to allow individual nations and regions to chart their own path that reflects their circumstances and the view of their populations. If any measures appear imposed - and especially imposed from the West - it could destabilize global peace yet further and further fuel extremism and polarization. Different views need to be respected and not bulldozed. It must also be a transparent process that does not seem to be fuelled by monetary interests of powerful global players and industries, every player must have a right to opt out. It should also include a commitment from wealthier nations to actively support less wealthy nations in their measures, rather than just impose measures and then let them struggle to finance it. Affordability of measures must also be written in and no patents must be involved that makes industries rich and cripple poor nations. This is a disaster for world instability and will fuel extremism.
Private New Zealander	Hello I wish to submit that the most important element to be included and maintained in any future global pandemic treaty or response plan must always be an individuals right to choose whether to have any vaccination of medical procedure in all circumstances. As per the Nuremburg trials there should never be forced vaccination or medical Procedures carried out against ones will and an individuals rights must be respected. There are other ways to manage risk from any virus and unless fully informed consent is given then there should never be forced or mandated vaccinations or medical procedures carried out. The persons choice should also be respected and no repercussions or punishments be inflicted for making their individual choice. Thank you.
Montney church	Hello In my opinion, since vaccines have never been proven to be effective in any form, since we know nature has prevailed for billions of years on it's own without man's intervention, since man still cannot even make a simple tree, and since modern medicine has the reputation of being the second greatest killer, it is insane and a sick power grab to start forcing the population to accept man's version of how to look after their health. This is not in keeping with God or the Universal rules of Nature or the Universe itself and could easily be the demise of humans on Earth. i.e. Gate's insane idea of removing animal protein from Earth! Humanity could easily become the dinosars of the past - including YOU. Man is not smart enough to challenge Nature.
citizen	Hello My sugestion: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Physician Assistant	Hello! The international response needs to focus more on prevention by means of overall health. As much as medicine is pushed, there also needs to be education around food, exercise, smoking, and preventing chronic disease states. All health decisions regarding Covid need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Vaccine mandates have taken away the ability to educate patients on informed consent, and many have chosen not to be vaccinated based on their disagreement with the mandate itself.
US Citizen	Hello! I am against the WHO Pandemic Preparedness Agreement. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities to be in control of their own health. The details of this treaty have not been widely shared with the communities around the world. We need transparency. We don't want the WHO to supersede the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. In the United States, people respect and honor their constitution, and they wouldn't be happy with this treaty. Please, let our countries decide our own health policies. Stop the treaty! Thank you for your attention and consideration, Sincerely, Karaleigh Roe
Freedom Keepers United	Hello! The response to the Covid pandemic qas been appalling detrimental to our individual health & wellbeing. We can not have public health without first acknowledging the health of the individual. It's imperative that each individual human being make informed decisions for their own body. Medicine is not and will never be one size fits all. No matter how safe someone may consider a medical product, there is the potential to cause someone else harm since our bodies may all respond or react differently. Where there is risk, there MUST be choice. Because of this I find any universal plan to respond to future pandemics completely irresponsible to the health and wellbeing of our societies. Any form of coercion onto the human subject including mandates, violates bodily autonomy and the Nuremburg Code. Therefore, we must respond to future pandemics with flexibility and focus on allowing the individual to make a risk/benefit analysis based on their medical needs, their medical history and their current health status. This decision must also be free from coercion or fear. When a person is empowered to play an active role in their health and has the ability to make informed and evidence based decisions, their health will improve and in turn the health of the community & the world will be better off. We need to focus on individual needs and acknowledge that a universal response is detrimental to the individual.
privat	Hello! All decision-making bodies must be divers! Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision making body. I know many people, who do not have a smartphone for example- I am a sozial-worker.. they are to old to learn. And many people here in my country cannot understand, why they will need all these technical things. They live in nature, have animals, they do not want such big changes and they never will understand, why all people should get a vaccination; because they feel good, they are healthy. Greetings from Austria, DSPin Christa Schaller
none	Hello! All measures you order must take full account of ALL health interests, although all measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional lawAll decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Eva Zuser
selfemployed	Hello! As much as I am informed the deffinition of pandemic was changed before so called covid bursted worldwide. Since the covid outbreak in the last two years I never heared anything about seasonal influenza. Before that influenza was present every year especialy on colder seasons. I also found that a couple years before the covid outbreak many institutions and countries were involved in tracking and collecting data about all possible aspects of influenza from social behaviour, spreadeness, contaignousness, economical impact, social impact, ... Before covid outbreak these studies and data were removed from public. According to all stated I wonder in what way is it possible for ordinary human to trust his own government and valiable institutions when they call out the new pandemic outbreak? We can all see and feall that all measures including masks, social distancing, vacination and other measures not just were ineffective but made enormous damage to society for decades. I must conclude that enyone involved in preventive and active fight with so called covid pandemic followed totaly wrong narrative. The main factor is the deffinition of pancdemic and danger that it presents. If this basic parameter is false or overestimated such with covid everything is wrong and results in human devestation! Best regards, Stanley
Connecting Continents	Hello! For me it would be very important to have really free informations from different sides and decide by myself what to do. Decide my behavior by myself like a free self conscious human being. And for children should be without fear and masks and elderly people not alone. Especially not dying alone! We should respect the human rights! Thank you! Ellen
non	Hello! I am against a global health governance of any kind! The WHO has already had far too much influence on the governments during the last two years and caused a lot of damage! The WHO needs to have less power, not more! It should only come to existance as a free nonhirarcic network of locally active groups of medical responibility, sponsored only by governments. Health is a subjective defined value and cannot be stirred by an "international instrument" - this can only lead to huge problems, much bigger problems than a pandemic could cause. What kind of humans should be able to be in charge??! This kind of instrument doesn't lead to anything good, and never had! Thank you for hearing me, with kind regards, Isolt Winkler
Psychologist	Hello, As a psychologist I know that having choices is important in terms of the amount of stress experienced in events and situations. I believe preparedness and responses to pandemics would ideally contain opportunities to make autonomous decisions. This applies to decisions about whether to become vaccinated, as well as other restrictions. Significant life choices must me made by individuals, families, and groups as much as reasonably possible. Assured privacy where ever should be practiced, guarding against fears of excessive gathering and storing if information. Rights and responsibilities should be balanced, and the risk of fear overly influencing decision making is ever present. Some institutional safeguards should be established to question "groupthink". Perhaps "devils advocate" positions should be established to present arguments counter to the consensus, especially when imposing restrictions on behavior, privacy, and vaccination. THANK YOU
none	Hello, countries and people are all different. While I understand the importance of certain guidelines, I don't think any bought agency should be empowered with the power to dictate rules and consequences for all. This whole covid situation has resulted in a huge overreach and overreaction. Masks and the "vaccine " don't work and only damage us. This is a huge power grab and isn't for the people. It is for the few pulling the strings behind the scenes. Any vaccines seriously damage me personally and cause irreparable harm yet my doctor is not allowed to write a medical exemption because she will be fired. So those of us who should have an exemption can't get one. People who have religious exemptions are being denied their rights to their strongly held beliefs and these problems are happening when just our country is trying to create the laws. How much worse will it be when another entity is trying to enforce guidelines for all countries. There will be no appeals or recourse. WHO does more to create the problems than it does to help. It is all about money and pharmaceutical sales. Not real people and their health. Do not allow this overreach to happen. You have a chance to stop it. You need to do it now.
Breatheout	Hello, I oppose plan by the WHO to have pandemic plan since I believe that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Different circumstances require different actions and global response is not acceptable in this case. Kind regards, Oksana Strelbitsky
Self	Hello, A pandemic preparedness and response should be unique to each community, each county, each state and each country. Public health is not one size fits all. Each individual must be able to decide for themselves, even in cases like a pandemic - it will always depend on the health of the individual to start with. WHO should only care about sharing scientific information with the public and let each person discuss with their primary doctor what is the best course of action. Thank you, Daniela
none	Hello, Here is what I feel is important for a pandemic response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It is imperative that people around the world be permitted to do what they feel is right for them. This pandemic preparedness and response must respect all people's rights. Sincerely, Paul Lammens
Self	Hello, I am against the global health preparedness initiative that the WHO and other world bureaucrats are proposing. 1) I implore you to allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own constituents, rather than a one -size fits all for the global world. 2) I am suggesting the ability of local municipalities and nations opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3) I would like an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) I want measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time with my concerns!
Teacher - RTC	Hello, I am writing to state that I think National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I think nations and local municipalities should have opportunity to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should also be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And that measures which do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration, Frances Eswald

Organization	Written submission
Individual	Hello, I believe it is important that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That the ability of nations and local municipalities have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And that Measures are put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank You, Nicole
NA	Hello, I don't think we should have such a treaty be in operation during times when there is no pandemic. It should only be in operation when there is a pandemic. Te treaty should include: The inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and provisions for people who do not want to participate in a high tech future due to the belief that living simply with nature is key to our survival. Top down control mechanisms like this tend to impede individual sovereignty, and people should have the opportunity to opt out if it doesn't align with their values and belief systems. No one should need to be vaccinated to participate in society, especially in order to obtain their basic needs. Pharmaceutical products prepared for pandemics should be mandated that the companies must operate in a not for profit fashion, and with liability for harms shared between them and the governments. This would ensure that products are as safe and effective as they can possibly be, that the precautionary principle is observed and that risky new technologies are not utilised for the sake of utilising them. Scientific research into new products for pandemic products should be publicly funded, to ensure utmost scrupulence, efficacy and to decrease the potential for corruption or overlooking data due to the potential for massive profits to be made. This would ensure optimum objectivity. Stakeholders involved in research and production of pandemic related medicines should not have vested financial interests in the products they are creating. Again, to eliminate the potential for corruption.
Family	Hello, I strongly disagree with going into a "treaty" or agreement that will take away individual rights as American Citizens. The WHO should have no governing authority over the decisions of the US. We are separate for a reason. Making an alliance or signing documents legally binding that allow others to dictate our freedom goes entirely against individual rights. This must stay protected.
Fabrice Bourrelly	Hello, I think the substantive elements included in an internal instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be : 1 - Make sure the sovereignty of the individual is respected at all cost and beyond any doubt. 2 - Protect at all cost the individual's choice to be vaccinated, early treatment, isolated, masked, according to their own free will, free of coercion, threats or manipulation, be it well meaning or otherwise. 3 - Try and inform the people focusing on the truth and the exactitude of the information, rather than try and make up simple, simplistic messages like "Get boosted" 4 - Avoid 1 size fits all policies. 5 - Allow the politicians/press to discuss policies, transparently 6 - Not allow biomedical companies to profits in time of pandemic. 7 - Avoid conflict of interest in scientist and politicians 8 - Make 200% sure the policies put in place benefit rather than harm the population. 9 - Do not allow a single individual to "dictate" the policies without being challenged or debated. 10 - The press should never be bought to convince people to get vaccinated, the press should be allowed to challenge the government. 11 - Governments should never be allowed to "overreach" their power, especially in an emergency. They should stick to the agreed plan. 12 - Plans should be worked on during non-emergency times, these plans should be allowed to be discussed and challenged by the press and the population, and their representatives. 13 - The people responsible should be made accountable, and under no circumstances given immunity of any kind. 14 -The #1 role of the instrument should be to restrict the emergency power so as to prevent at all cost the oppression of the people by the authorities.
Private Person	Hello, Thanks for the oportunity to comment: Corona has Showed a large spectrum of Interpretation and different measures to Counter the Virus. I am afraid the whole world will be lead by the wrong interpretations and measures. People will loose their Independentcy. You as WHO cannot gearatntee to have always the Right measures. There will be Politic Games everywere… This pandemic contract is a Tool to Control my Personal Freedom from far away without knowing my personal Situation. BR, Michael
Wall Township Public Schools	Hello, This treaty should not be created, signed, or enacted for several reasons. The first being that all countries are different. They have different laws, needs, populations, and customs. There is no "one-size-fits-all" scenario that works for each and every country concerning a pandemic and how to handle it. Second, humans are sovereign beings who deserve to make medical and lifestyle decisions and decisions concerning their health for themselves. Vaccines and other medical interventions do not work for every body or every human. In some cases, medical interventions make people sicker. Often, when a new or novel germ crates a pandemic, there is no clear protocol concerning how to treat patients because the situation is unprecedented. If someone is forced to participate in a protocol that could potentially harm them, that is not allowing for sovereignty. It also shows a deep disrespect for patients as well. One ruling body presiding over a pandemic and how to navigate it truly kills thought diversity. It doesn't allow for new discoveries, new ways of thinking, or open discussion. We all must be free to determine how we navigate a global pandemic. Of course rules and protocols are important, however, each country must be free to decide what those rules and protocols should be. Having one set of rules for every country and every person disregards the rules, laws, cultures, and customs of each country. It also stifles the diversity of thought. Thank you.
General Public	Hello, Here are the elements I think should be considered and included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Lisa Guarnaccio
General public	Hello, Here is a short list with the most important elements to be included: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best regards, Rumyana Pandeva
Citizen of Canada	Hello, I am a very concerned citizen of Canada. I have been following the demise of the World's rights and freedoms as the tyrannical mandates associated with "controlling Covid-19" have swept across the world. We denounce the proposed World Health Organization Pandemic Treaty; or whatever other term you change it to. It is a way for the WHO to redefine what a pandemic is, without the scientific basis to do so. It is a power grab that we, the enlightened of the world, deplore. Your organization changed the definition of a pandemic; eliminating the quantitative definition so that you could categorize the Covid-19 virus as such. The global death rate in 2020 did not increase from the trends of previous years. The death rate from Covid-19 of about 0.5% does not meet the criterion of a pandemic until your organization changed the definition. Death rates began to climb in 2021 following the rollout of these experimental gene biologics (the covid injections; not vaccines as they are labeled and promoted). Please listen to the world's citizen's and rescind your proposed Pandemic Treaty.
None	Hello, I am writing to strongly oppose any effort on the WHO's part to dictate or control global health decisions or responses to health challenges. Decisions in every country around the world should be make by leaders who represent the people of a region. Please consider these concerns: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration of these concerns. Sincerely, Karin Miller
Personal	Hello, It is my belief that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This is not the job of a worldwide organization to make decisions without regard to local level issues. There is never a one sized fits all approach to any difficult situation in this world. Down to the local level in a very undeveloped country to the most elite and highly funded county requires different tactics and techniques to address health decisions. It is my opinion that the ability of individual nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Each local government knows their population better than any worldwide leadership group or figure. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Removing the individual rights of human beings and their own bodily choices is a barbaric measure. Each human being only owns one thing in this world and their rights to the ownership of their body is at the utmost in human ethics. We must also be sure that measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The goal is to care for the individual health of each human being on this planet, not a pharmaceutical company board of directors or business that seeks profit over human health decisions at the most basic local level. Thank you, Jeremy
Kate Whittaker Design ltd	Hello, I believe every individual country should have it's own pandemic response and should not be governed by a one world pandemic response. There should not be an international treaty on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private Individual	Hello, I am a 57 year old woman living in rural New Zealand. I understand that WHO has a role in overseeing what is happening with health issues around the world. However I do not believe that it has necessarily made a good job of this (swine flu vaccine being a failure, promoting lockdowns and these lethal 'vaccines' for covid). Every country has its own climate, type of society, culture, and health practices. The WHO should not have the right to dictate what our country should do regarding diseases, and certainly should not be able to tell us what is supposedly a threat to our health, and how our Doctors can treat us. Your proposed 'treaty' is a threat to our rights, and that terrifies me. I want to have my say here on behalf of my family, my children, and my future grandchildren, and New Zealand as a whole. The WHO should not be entitled to have that power over us. You are supposed to be there as a watchdog for the world's health, not a Dictatorship run by big Pharma. Thank you
General Public	Hello, I am include my recommendations as a list of thoughts. 1. Material Supply Guidelines: inclusive list of materials (gloves, masks, gowns, alcohol swabs, etc) that a medical facility should have in stock. This may include other operational processes and guidelines for medical facilities or individual medical practices. 2. Best Practices: list of treatment options (or preventive measures) in order of documented effectiveness, so people with limited access to medical facilities have access to at least some treatment options. Everybody wins when people can stay out of the emergency room. 3. Documentation Standard: some kind of consistent structure in relevant scientific papers (along with open access) that make it easier for the general public and the media to understand the focus of a study and its results. 4. Policy Options: the ability of countries, states, and cities/counties to act (or not to act) on any portion of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This allows for local knowledge to be primary. 5. Open Process: all decisions are based on scientific evidence, and that that evidence is not only available to be questioned/examined, but also the entire process of decision making is transparent and publicly available. 6. Decision Access: limit the role of large contributors (primarily national and global health companies) to the WHO in creating the international instrument. While their knowledge and expertise are invaluable, there is however an equal potential for a conflict of interest with their fiduciary responsibilities to shareholders. Thanks Alan
AFLN	Hello, I am opposed to your Treaty. Health care should not be controlled by the world in any way. Below is what I believe: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self organized	Hello, I am writing AGAINST treaty. Every country should have a right to decide what they will do. WHO is not an elected body and their rules can be applied to people in other countries.
Non-affiliated	Hello, I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
N/A	Hello, I believe the following elements must be included: 1. The requirement that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and keeping control at the local level. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability everyone to vote on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. The inclusion of measures that limit or prohibit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Concerned Citizen	Hello, I do not believe there are any substantive elements to be added to this treaty that is being proposed because the treaty itself is not necessary. The people who make up the WHO are not democratically elected and yet it is the WHO who is creating a Pandemic Treaty to sovereign countries. Depending on each country that is involved in a pandemic, they have the means to determine and establish the means to deal with a pandemic. The treaty that is being suggested for the world goes against an individual country's democracy and an individual rights. As I review what has occurred in this pandemic, the WHO was not helpful in the least. There is too much conflict of interest in the WHO and I don't see for a second, how the WHO should be allowed to make decisions for the many countries who are affiliated with them, is helpful or necessary. In fact, the WHO actually changed the meaning of pandemic, in this pandemic. This is concerning. The problem I see here, among many, is that it appears the WHO does not answer to any authority. There is no overseeing body to monitor the dealings the WHO is involved in. People and organizations such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation contribute large sums of money. Therefore, how do the public know if the WHO is catering to particular people and organizations? There is no transparency. There is also too much interference from pharmaceutical companies. In other words, the WHO is not an organization with a squeaky clean contribution to society. I urge everyone to consider this information. Each country can decide for themselves what is best for their country in a given pandemic. There is no need for an overlord. Sincerely, Eli Wig
General public	Hello, I do not mind a partnership between the W.H.O. and national governments but I do not consent or agree with governments conceding health powers to one group or organization. In this light, I do not think a top-bottom one-size fits all approach is a solution. We have seen a significant erosion in civil liberties and a rupturing of the civil order which is something we need to address. Neither of these are good for overall public health. I urge everyone involved to reconsider and seek a better path and solution. Human dignity and liberty must prevail. Last, it doesn't help with the optics that the biggest donors to the W.H.O. are China and Bill Gates. It's something worth thinking about. Thank you. Alex
General public	Hello, I feel strongly that the new pandemic response document should include individual countries being able to opt out of any or all measures. It should include a safeguard for individual's right of bodily autonomy and informed consent for any and all measures, be it medical procedures (including vaccination) or non pharmaceutical interventions like facemasks. It should include the requirement of a cost/benefit analysis for any and all measures. It should include guidance only and implementation should be limited by each country 's laws and constitution. It should protect and respect an individual's right of bodily autonomy, right to move around and travel freely, right to go to work and make a living, right to go to school, right to leave their house as they please. It should include provisions making the use of behavioural science techniques to instil fear in the general public to achieve greater compliance illegal. It should state the principle that nobody is responsible for another person's health. Thank you.
privat	Hello, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden!· Discriminatory measures must be prohibited. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Mom	Hello, I just wanted to say that I do not agree with this treaty. Nothing should be able to override the declaration of independence or the Constitution in the United States of America. Please vote no for this "treaty." Thank you.
Privat	Hello, I refuse. We don't need a worldwide pandemic treaty. We need clean air, clean water and healthy food for all and free from the products of the pharmaceutical industry. We need a WHO which makes free and independent decisions for the good of mankind. Not in the interest of the economy but works for the people. Andreas Mueller
Private Citizen	Hello, I would like to just make the flowing points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time.
N/A	Hello, I'm writing in concern of the Global Pandemic Treaty that is being discussed. I fear this treaty will take away the rights of people over their bodies. I fear it is overstepping and attempting to infringe on personal liberties and body autonomy. I hope that you will reconsider this new pandemic treaty and remain with the current guidelines for addressing pandemics. I believe every person from any nation is entitled to the rights of autonomy and ask that you reconsider a new treaty.
A sovereign woman, (no organisation)	Hello, it's an honour to write to sovereign world doctors. I write to you as a sovereign woman, and not a commercial organisation for we have different needs and rights. World wide pandemics do not occur naturally without the help of man through gain of function. The covid experience was intentionally made in a bio weapon laboratory. Stop gain of function and you stop world pandemics. Clean water, nutrients and a environment without chemicals, pesticides and toxins brings health and decreases pandemics. No need for a global pandemic treaty. The global pandemic treaty must not allow future mandates for experimental medicine/vaccines until their is a history of safe and risk assessment data completed by seperate independent laboratories, doctors from different countries. Alternative natural medicine options should be available for all sovereign men and women. The mandates of covid vaccines have proven to compromise the immune system leading to pages of adverse side effects/deaths. The global health pandemic treaty must consider genuine concern for the sovereign men, women, and children first, over commercial organisations who's motivation and conflict of interests is based solely on expansion and profits. We need to allow voices of concern to be heard and freedom of speak debated in media truthfully to gain understanding and knowledge, not chaos. Independent Doctors by law need freedom to advise their patients on best treatments. We have had no options during the covid pandemic to seek, or knock and find other second opinions/options. Independent doctors must be respected, have a voice without the threat of job loss. Privacy, doctor and patients respected, no commercial interference. Thank you, very appreciated
love your body nutrition and lifestyle	Hello, My name is Christelle Pedersen and I am a Nutritional Health Science Therapist who is located in Denmark. I am contacting you because I feel that the proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, failed regulations, and enforce expensive, unsafe, ineffective and erroneous treatments against the will of the people. Thanks to the covid pseudo pandemic, the corrupt state of the medical establishment has never been more obvious to so many people. I am famously advocating for the integrity of science and its role in an open, democratic society. I also value truth as well as health freedom choices. In the best of possible worlds a science of integrity would be one in which practitioners and good scientists who hear challenges to their beliefs, assumptions, hypotheses, procedures or conclusions, should examine such criticism with due attention. They should respond by entering into civilised dialogue with their critics. They should be prepared to admit mistakes and take seriously the outcome of the most stringent experiments, and change their views if necessary." This ideal is, however, threatened by corporations, in which financial interests trump the common good. Medicine is largely dominated by a small number of very large pharmaceutical companies that compete for market share, but are effectively united in their efforts to expanding that market. The short term stimulus to biomedical research because of privatisation has been celebrated by free market champions, but the unintended, long term consequences for the progress of science has been thwarted.
citizen with no organization	Hello, my suggestion: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or geo-political considerations and/or compromises
privat	Hello, pandemic treaty must not precedence over national constitutional law and in any case discriminatoy measures should be prohibited. Further mandatory vaccines should be forbidden because we are humans and that meens, we can decide on our own. Thank you.
Privat	Hello, please note: As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Thank you Christof Pichorner
World Council for Health	Hello, regarding your Pandemic Treaty and how it could apply to the United States, our form of government allows the people's vote to dictate policy, please see my input below. Thank you 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Student	Hello, since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Drummond Family	Hello, thank you for reading my submission. There are many dangerous entities on this planet right now. The threat to our species from pathogens is just one. It is with this in mind that I would like to say; I believe all National and local leadership groups MUST RETAIN FULL AUTONOMY, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for THEIR PEOPLE. Nations and local municipalities MUST be able to OPT OUT of ANY AND ALL portions of an agreement as they see fit. With NO CONSEQUENCES! There MUST be an OPEN and TRANSPARENT process that TRULY gives ALL people of the world a VOTE on the INCLUSION of FAILSAFE measures that will PREVENT the FORCING of a global AGREEMENT where the MAJORITY of PEOPLE DONT WANT IT. There MUST be measures in place that PREVENT ANY AND ALL PHARMACEUTICAL companies, their affiliates and ANY AND ALL OTHER GLOBAL HEALTH PROFITEERS from having ANY INFLUENCE IN THE PROCESS - EVER!!! To allow this type of influence would be criminal, unethical and deeply immoral. Wanting to look out for the citizen of Earth is admirable; taking away their rights and freedoms whilst doing it is not. Best wishes, Jo
Private citizen	Hello, the history of the past pandemic showed that centralized approach to address an emergency yielded very poor result. Thus, it is my opinion as US Citizen that the decisions regarding health and wellness of US citizens must remain in the USA. Moreover, the local communities should be making local targeted decisions based on the community specific metrics. This past pandemic illustrated it in great detail. My own community here on Cape Cod, Massachusetts was on lockdown due to centralized decision, local businesses were suffering and many never recovered, children were missing important time at school, elderly were locked without visits from loved ones and experienced depression whilst the covid case count remained extremely low and stayed so throughout the whole time. The central approach failed us. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I would like to hold WHO accountable to their constitutional clause, and consider my statement. Thank you, Tatsiana Nickinello
Citizen	Hello, The main element that needs to be included is every countries sovereign right to govern itself in pandemic matters. Undemocratic involvement in sovereign countries should never be implemented. Countries should work democratically together not under world domination.
Self	Hello, This is in reference to Public hearings regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This treaty is inhumane. Do not pass/sign this treaty. It is up to individuals and parents to decide how to proceed with their health. It is not a one size fits all. Stop the treaty. Thank you, Larissa M.
Home Enterprises	Hello, this is in regards to the World Health Organizations' program in health care, I do not think there is a one size fits all program for every individual. While we are all human, we are all not alike in our health. We are individuals with different needs and this should be addressed properly. An individual's rights in regard to their health must be considered. It may be convenient to think that a one size fits all program will work for the masses but we should never overlook and lose sight of the individual and their unique needs. As that is how science works. Thank you. Sincerely, Fawn Caldwell

Organization	Written submission
Privat	Hello, this is our Statement. Please read! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional Greetings Josef and Hildegard Pesendorfer
none, retired, private citizen	Hello, WHO, I request that 1. national and local leadership retain full autonomy in their health government, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, 2. nations and local municipalities be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, 3. you ensure that all people of the world be allowed to vote on an open and transparent process, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and 4. you establish and ensure measures that prevent any pharmaceutical company or other global health profiteer from influencing your processes. Thank you for allowing my comments.
Self	Hello, I do not agree with the plan of giving WHO all authority over any potential future pandemic situations. Having a one size fits all strategy doesnt make sense. Every country should be able to make decisions based on the needs/ risks of that region.
self employed	Hello, I truly believe that the best way to protect against any pandemic is first to make sure people are healthier (less obesity), that they take a proper amount of Vit D3, and that Ivermectin and HCQ are available since they have proven themselves to be efficient and with very little side effects. Thank You!
WHC	Hello, I wish to express my desire for all sovereign states to retain their independence within this new international instrument. I would strongly request that the new international instrument remain free of undue influence from the pharmaceutical industry so that it can best serve the citizens of the world and not stockholders.
Earthwise Solutions	Hello, 1) I oppose any treaty/agreement that limits an individual's right to choose their own medical treatment or not, including vaccines. Vaccine or drug mandates are a violation of basic human rights. Mandates have already torn our world apart tragically and unnecessarily. Force never works in the long term and will create resentment and rebellion. 2) If there is going to be an international agreement, the individual's right to choose should be the most important and central tenet. Additionally: - National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - This agreement should be created through an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures should be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Steve Lett
Private citizen	Hello, 1) I oppose any treaty/agreement that limits an individual's right to choose their own medical treatment or not, including vaccines. Vaccine or drug mandates are a violation of basic human rights. Mandates have already torn our world apart tragically and unnecessarily. Force never works in the long term and will create resentment and rebellion. 2) If there is going to be an international agreement, the individual's right to choose should be the most important and central tenet. Additionally: - National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - This agreement should be created through an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures should be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Alexandra Melhuse
individual US citizen	Hello, 1) I oppose any treaty/agreement that limits an individual's right to choose their own medical treatment or not, including vaccines. Vaccine or drug mandates are a violation of basic human rights. Mandates have already torn our world apart tragically and unnecessarily. Force never works in the long term and will create resentment and rebellion. 2) If there is going to be an international agreement, the individual's right to choose should be the most important and central tenet. Additionally: - National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - This agreement should be created through an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures should be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Linda M. Brown 1730 S Harbor Way, #608 Portland, OR 97201
Independent	Hello, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kon Sabaidee	Hello, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Hello, A global pandemic treaty is not only unnecessary, but also dangerous. Having to comply to forced guidelines from an entity that is unelected is not the way sovereign nations operate, nor should it be. What the WHO may deem as a necessary measure for a WHO - proclaimed pandemic could very well result in more harm than the illness itself. Two examples: The swine flu vaccine that was halted after killing a few dozen people and the current COVID vaccine debacle that is killing and injuring millions across the globe while corporations make billions on its roll out. If the WHO wishes to put out guidelines for this or that pandemic, so be it -- but they should remain only suggestions. They should also do everything in their power to make drug manufacturers stand behind their products. Those corporations should be liable. Forced restrictions to stop a virus does not work. Have we not learned this from COVID? There is no reason to institute a global pandemic treaty. Just stop.
Self	Hello, For this treaty, I'd like to see national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Different regions and cultures can not all be put in a single bucket and must be permitted to manage their own countries and states. I would also like to see the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An additional important piece we need is measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Hello, Given that the World Health Organization is not a democratically elected organization, all recommended pandemic initiatives must be voluntary for all signatories to any agreement. Sincerely, Ian Dawson
Well-Being	Hello, Given the disastrous coordinated response to Covid pandemic that will reverberate for a long time through the world, countries should be left to decide their own Heath policies during future pandemics. No one nation is the same and the uniform response is not a healthy one.
Self	Hello, Here are the key points I believe need to take place for a proper response in a health crisis: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Niccole Emery
general public	Hello, I am a vaccinated individual. To allow for trust and transparency from as many member states and the their populations as possible I feel it is essential that elements should be included which transparently safeguard against any influence by pharmaceutical companies and other parties with profit motive or conflict of interest.
Self-employed	Hello, I am highly concerned about the absence of the sovereign rights of individuals in each nation in your proposal. Each country must implement interventions based on its own really, culture and values. Centralize governmental approaches like you are proposing are dangerous and a major step toward an authoritative world. WHO interventions should be limited to propose recommendations and suggestions but with no direct interference in a country's jurisdiction. Best regards
World Council for Health	Hello, I am NOT in agreement with the proposed World Health Organization (WHO) global pandemic agreement. Instead, I believe that: — National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. — The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. — An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. — Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Dona Cohan
American	Hello, I am writing regarding the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. Health decisions are always best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals with informed consent and legal actions. As such, the treaty should provide that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any type of consequence. 3. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or certain areas, as we are not all living under the same set of circumstances. 4. Measures that DO NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, like we grossly saw during the COVID-19 pandemic. Thank you, Dan
No Organization	Hello, I am writing the WHO to stress that the treaty allows for countries and citizens to opt in or out of the Pandemic Treaty at anytime. Health issues need to be decided at a local level by each country. Within the United States we value the individual rights of each sovereign being. The Pandemic Treaty should be a means of gathering important transparent data and truthfully distributing this information. What each country decides to do with this information is decided within their own health organizations. The WHO Pandemic Treaty needs to be void of any pharmaceutical input or profits. Thank you, Nancy Norton Portland, Maine United States of America
Independent	Hello, I am writing to express my objection to the full contents of this treaty. The substantive events that should be in treaty are: 1. WHO providing non biased research into repurposing generic drugs and OTCs for future pandemics to speed early treatment options. 2. Respecting the sovereignty of nations to self determine their path in any pandemic Thanks, Chris
Myself	Hello, I am writing to request that this proposal allow for conscientious objection towards any mandates be fully and reasonably considered. I don't believe that taking away peoples' sovereignty and come to their own conclusions regarding their personal health is warranted, no matter what external threats may or may not be facing us. For your consideration
Citizen of the United States of America	Hello, I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you.
Personal	Hello, I believe a response to a pandemic has to be handled on a local scale. We cannot have the whole world following the same pattern. We need to adjust the response accordingly. Here are some important things to add: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Patriots of Summit County	Hello, I believe National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. For Freedom and Life, Nick
n/a	Hello, I believe provisions must be included that allow each nation to do as they see fit. In Wuhan, for example, people were suffering from pneumonia long before the "covid virus". If the virus hunters from the CDC would have just looked out the window, they would have seen terrible air quality. Many, many toxins pollute Wuhan. From FOIA requests, it appears that no country can provide an isolated sample of this new "virus". Let's look to the obvious: toxic pollution, lack of nutritious food, poisons sprayed on food, poor air quality, before we go assuming there is a new "virus". The WHO, or any government, has no right to define science. Science is the ongoing discussion to learn the truth about our natural world. But when you assume the role of controller of the science, you shut down truth and this is in direct opposition to nature. But, perhaps, that is what you want. For now, Americans still wish to remain free.
Stand For Health Freedom	Hello, I believe that no agency or bureaucracy should have the authority or power to overrule citizens and put forth policy. We The People give consent to our public servants, not a "global" agency. There will never be an agency to dictate to sovereign citizens what we can do and how we can operate. I and BILLIONS of other people in other countries say we don't want any part of the WHO. We will not partake in any "WHO collaborations". We will never give up our freedoms to a so called health agency. There will be no plan to implement control of the population. We strongly reject this horrible thought. We The American People.
WISE Ministries	Hello, I believe the following precepts that I outline must be followed based upon my belief that every nation needs to maintain its autonomy - especially as it relates to healthcare and Pandemic responses. In a pandemic response, the following should be adhered to: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Charles Robinson USA
My family and all Americans	Hello, I believe: That national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is necessary with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We must have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. & That we the people can opt out of this at any time for any reason without consequence. Thanks
G Consulting	Hello, I do not believe the WHO should be tasked with managing preparedness for the entire planet. Such responses need to remain within sovereign states based on the unique needs and desires of the local people. Please stop attempting to control the planet from a single source. You will continue to meet large and growing resistance to such initiatives. Thank you. TD Gauthier
EU- Citizen	Hello, I do not speak good English, but I am say no to the WHO - Pandamic Contract!
N/a	Hello, I do not support an international treaty on pandemic preparedness and response. Please see the following considerations which I believe must be addressed. Since many people are not even aware of the WHO's public input request, it is my hope that you add more weight to the "regular citizen" input you do receive. I speak for my family and many, many likeminded people in my community. Thank you kindly. (1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. (2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. (3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. (4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Hello, I do NOT want the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks.. MC
myself	Hello, I feel strongly that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include: - National and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your attention. Marina
General public	Hello, I feel that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities need the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We also need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Good day.
N/A	Hello, I feel that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserves the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be a mechanism for the nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any such agreement as they see fit without consequences. The process needs to be open and transparent, for all people of the world to vote on including any failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. Lastly there must be measures that do not allow for influences in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for the consideration.
Privat	Hello, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!! Greetings Foltas Thomas

Organization	Written submission
None	Hello, I reject the treaty proposal a for the following reasons: 1. It is the violation of the sovereignty of my county as laws can only he established by my nation's legislative body. 2. The WHO has currently failed in its approach to COVID-19 as it has not be transparent with COVID-19 and it's current treatments. 3. The proposal seems questionable given that there is currently a grand jury underway in my country and further weakens the legitimacy of the WHO. Thank you, Florita Sheldon
Travis Lowen	Hello, I respectfully object to the WHO taking measures to ensure global public safety and health into their own hands. I understand the need to assist and present reasonable plans to cope with pandemics on a global scale, but in all honesty this is better left to local governments as well as state governments relative to the countries these situations occur in. The WHO involvement to this end is far too much for such an organization to undertake when local, state, and federal entities and agencies already exist and are prepared to deal with said pandemics/health situations. I respectfully ask that WHO table this vote and take further action to work with and communicate with existing associations and agencies in dealing with these types of situations. Thank you for your consideration and I hope you'll stand back and reflect before taking such hasty actions. Regards Travis
Freedom	Hello, I think that it would be extremely dangerous for Americans, if the power over our health, or any other aspects of our life, would be transferred from the US to the WHO treaties. The WHO could never fully understand the life here in the US, with all of it complicity. One rule could never fit all. We need local people, who live among us, to make the right decisions for our health. Others cannot possibility understand the problems we are facing or the solutions that are best for us. People who live in a different continent could not possibly know what best for me. They know nothing about my lifestyle, my surrounding and my personal needs. If these people, who have nothing to do with us and our lifestyle, will make health decisions for us Americans, that will be a disaster. Any decisions, including those made at a time of emergency, must be made by local representatives, who were elected by the locals to do so. The WHO should have NO saying and NO power over the American people's health and welfare. The WHO treaties are not elected by us, they do not have our best interests in mind and in fact, most Americans strongly disagree with everything the WHO stands for. We will not allow this despiteful organization to have any impact on our lives. Thank you, Hagar
Susan Donaghy	Hello, I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946 in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise' Many thanks Susan Donaghy
Private citizen	Hello, I would like to express my concern over the WHO's pandemic treaty which is being considered during public hearings on April 12, 2022. I object to any universal statement by the WHO with any authority being proposed for adoption by heath agencies and companies globally. There are many reasons for this, but the primary are listed below. - The WHO is an advisory agency only and as such, should not be proposing any mandatory actions to be taken by public health officials. - Any advisory agency by definition does not possess the power to make mandatory declarations. Advisory means the advise can be rejected when the specific circumstances justify a different course of action. - Any health decision must take into account the specific circumstances for that specific patient, and no health advice is universal. - Treatment decisions must be made by the doctor and patient in collaboration, and cannot be mandated by any bureaucrat. - In any medical treatment that involves risk to the patient, the decision must be made by the patient and made under full informed consent about those risks. During the COVID pandemic, this basic principle of medical ethics has been largely ignored. - Public health officials have become spokesmen and spokeswomen for a political agenda and have placed their jobs ahead of the wellbeing of the public. As such, the public has lost confidence in the public health officials and will not ascent to any mandatory declarations from these officials. - Any public policies that involve the weighing of various public interests must not be made by public health officials as they have a specific goal that does not take into account the other public goods that could be sacrificed by their decisions.
Private	Hello, I'm writing to convey my disagreement with this proposal. The main reason I'm against this is due to the fact that there will be no democratic process involved. How can anyone be ok with rules or laws created without a say in said rules or laws. Thanks for reading, Dustin Ross
Individual	Hello, I'm writing to you today to ask that you not create the WHO Pandemic Treaty because: -National and local leadership needs to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Sincerely, Robin Murray
United States citizen	Hello, In regards to the WHO plans for a treaty for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, I believe that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is needed with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any and all measure must ensure absolutely no influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Nancy Hoyt Southampton PA USA
Freelancer	Hello, In the preambul of any health related international treaty MUST be included the MAIN purpose of all medical organizatorial (incl. WHO!) activity: 1) MAIN preventive and preparing measure of any illness, disease or pandemic is human HEALTH. 2) Always prioritize and strive to HEALTH as the MAIN and therefore first "weapon" against any pandemic, and only then (if any) true pharmaceutical weapons AGAINST some "bug" or unwanted substance. How do human stays healthy? 3) Always firstly promote healthy lifestyle: sufficient rest, healthy food, exercize, gratitude etc instead of artificial man-made chemicals (only if truly needed). 4) No "international instrument" transcends the laws of the nation state. Regards,
Landhaus Fredenwalde	Hello, most important thing in this regulation is, that everybody can decide by himself about his body and what is healthy for him. No one should have that right to decide about live, freedom and body from other men! No pandemic reasons are big enough to give rights about other men! best regards, Urs Cordua
US Citizens	Hello, My husband and I are against any type of worldwide pandemic treaty. The USA is a free, sovereign nation. Our health decisions are to be made between ourselves personally and our family physicians. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over our personal health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty and we are fully against that. Thank you, Pat & Kathy Ardino
FWS-Ostfriesland	Hello, National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. With friendly greetings
GSM	Hello, National/local leadership should have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations/local municipalities have the rights to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement based on what is best for their own people, without consequence. We request to not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We request, to respect our rights to refuse any if not all that would violate our sovereign constitutional rights.
Self	Hello, No! The WHO mishandled the Corona Virus response. A corrupt and incompetent organization like this cannot and will not be trusted to ensure people's health. WHO, as a member of the UN, has no credibility in formulating public health policy, epidemiology, or large-scale responses to disase outbreaks. WHO should be disbanded and reformed without the current leadership. No one trusts the WHO anyway. This treaty, which is more akin to a power grab or big con, is not welcome anywhere on the planet. Rich Connolly
None	Hello, One size fits all is not the solution that people need. We need individual localized measures and leaders providing guidance to protect our health. One size fits all leads to problem as people in ever area of a state, every state of a country are different from each other let alone different from every country. It is peoples health not machines! Thank you so much!
citizen	Hello, Substantive elements which should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1) recommendation(s) for consideration of countries, so that each country can review the recommendation(s) considering the geographical location, social, cultural practices economic wellbeing, and infrastructure. i.e. recommendations, and not mandates since a 'one size fits all' approach often cause more harm than good 2) respect sovereignty of each nation, and consider travel advisories if a country chooses not to implement a recommendation(s) 3) recognition of all treatment \ healing modalities available worldwide, and ensure open debate and dialogue is encouraged. Countries should openly share their experience, both positive and negative, with various prevention and treatment strategies. 4) provide a forum to foster and facilitate open discussion among scientists, medical doctors, and other health care professionals to ensure all options are considered, and though which objective evidence can be presented for consideration of decision makers 5) ensure full respect for the individual, and upholding of their bodily sovereignty as recognized in: Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights (2005); the Helsinki Declaration (1964) Article 25, 26; and the Nuremberg Code (1947, with respect to treatments, vaccines, or other interventions recommended as part of the pandemic response thank-you, Doug
Photographer	Hello, Thank you for taking the time to accept and read my submission. In regards to the pandemic treaty I believe that national and local leadership should have full autonomy and reserve the rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Pandemic measures are not always one size fits all and therefore all countries and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement if they see fit without consequence. I would also like to see open transparency in regards to the process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. I believe It's very important for world safety and also to preserve our democracy, measures should be taken to prevent the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other global health Organizations to profit from the pandemic. My submission is ve Thank you very much for your time to read my submission. Kind regards Helena Dobrowney
private	Hello, Thank you for taking the time to read my written submission. Although i understand that the WHO is trying to find a way to manage future pandemics for the benefit of the people of the world, I do not wish for this treaty to be signed or proceed any further. Health always needs to be a personal choice and managing a pandemic needs to be done by a variety of strategies and tools. Pandemics will be different in different countries due to the each countries individual strengths, characteristics, climates, people, health system, etc. Therefore ithe national and local leadership of each country needs to be able to manage pandemics in a way that will be best suited for their population. not one organisation or leadership of a country can take sovereignity over the body of an individual. Countries need to be able to opt out of any oor all of the options of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences, as they know their country best and therefore, they know best how to manage the health in the country. Agreements on strategies and tools always need to be open and transparant to their people. the leaders of the country are chosen by the people of the country and it is the people's right to know which processes are being followed, due to which factors and circumstances. under no circumstances may these measures, tools or strategies be influcenced by pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers as then there is a conflict of interest. Thank you for listening. regards, Suzanne
General Public	Hello, The elements I believe should be included are as follows.... That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That there is the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. That there is an open and transparent process with the ability for all the people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. That measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Hello, The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Canadian citizen	Hello, The people of the world need to have the ability to react to any pandemic at their own national and local level. Having one organization oversee a pandemic response does not allow it to be handled efficiently and proactively at the national and local level. Citizens of each sovereign country must be able to vote on accepting or declining any recommendation put forth by such a group. The vote must be by the people and not by the government in power. Furthermore, there must be complete transparency in the group as to how and why any decision is made. Any company that stands to profit from pandemic decisions must not have any say or influence with the group. There can be no punishment or restrictions placed against the citizens of any country that decides to reject any pandemic recommendations put forward by the group.
Citizen	Hello, The WHO should have no substantive elements available to use as it is NOT a democratically elected body and therefore has no legal rights over anyone living in any democracy in the world.
Healing	Hello, The world health organization is meant to give guidance and information. The elements that you're asking for would be openness, transparency, listening to all sides of the situation, and not providing a one size fits all solution. The pandemic affects individuals, families and businesses. I would hope that the world health organization would be a neutral, supportive and informative organization. Thank you
NA	Hello, This is absolutely wrong and violates the basic rights of all human beings. No one should be forced under one combined body to be forced to inject or take any medications/vaccinations under a pandemic and this is a violation of global human rights. I agree with the following statements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	Hello, To me, I agree with what others have said and these points as well. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
human	Hello, To the question: What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? My answer is unequivocal and decisive: NONE Public health instrumentalisation is a waste of resources that can be utilized to implement local assistance. People do not need authoritative institutions to decide what is best for them. That is not the purpose of public health (if there is any). Please know that as a woman, a natural human, I oppose your attempt to control and force entire populations to submit to your perception of health and imposition or rules of healthcare. The only aspects that can help people are local engagement of food supply and local responsibility and personal empowerment. Institution like the WHO should be focusing on supporting healthy lifestyle choices which it obviously does not. The WHO is a pharmaceutical lobby enterprise and attempting to create a "treaty" is an attempt to control, not to assist. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to strongly affirm my position and request that you respect the value of human individuality and beauty. Nature is the most beautiful asset we have been granted. Let nature be please. carole ly
I'm an individual your trying to act in the name of.	Hello, We've heard of your desire to make a response plan in mass for all citizens in member countries. There should not be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. We in America, are a free people, who value our health privacy, and autonomy; and we retain the right to make our own heath decisions, without interference from other countries, and even our own government. This proposed plan seemingly seeks to strip the power away from individuals to make their own decisions on what they consider is acceptable as a response for a pandemic. We can never go along with that. We will keep our decision making between ourselves and our doctors. No others, regardless of intent. This is our way. And this is how it must be. We appreciate your concern, but if our government has lead you to believe they can enter into a binding contract on all of our behalf, without discussing it with us individually, they are mistaken. And we apologize for the misunderstanding. We Citizens of America, wish you all the best, but our health decisions are ours. And we will make them ourselves. Thank you for your time, and concern.
	Hello, With this I want to let you know, that personal freedom regarding my health and my body are crucial and extremely important to me. I respect diversity of opinions and ideas, but this stops, when it is about which medications enter my body. This is my personal decision and shall stay that way. As a biologist, I am capable to overlook the situation and I can read data. After having had Covid twice without any problem I am even stronger convinced, that this decision should be respected. Respectfully, Tanja Dias
US Citizen	Hello, Every country has the right to manage their own plans for a pandemic. Country populations, ages, demographics, and health analysis are each unique and deserve unique responses. One size does not fit all. The benefits of the WHO in handling pandemics is that countries may collaborate in their response and learn from one another as they customize reactions to meet the needs of their citizens. There is, however, NO need for the WHO to manage a pandemic for the world. This is on the face of it idiotic. It stifles creativity and ingenuity and response times. What should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are the following: Autonomy of countries to recognize the unique needs of their citizens. Encouragement of finding existing medical pharmaceuticals as solutions and distributing this knowledge rapidle inter-country and intra-country. Seropositive population samples of pandemic viruses and the sharing of techniques across countries to understand the reach and exposure. Encouragement of other non-medical solutions, including sanitation management, wastewater and drinking water usage to solve for the pandemic. Paralleling of finding new medical pharmaceuticals as solutions to round out existing therapies. Learn from poorer countries the basic responses that are working well for them. Learn and share from richer countries the medicines and technologies WHO manages the sharing of the data. You will be the heroes of the story!
N/A	Hello, I am a citizen of the United States. I think the element of transparency, openness and freedom of choice are important aspects to uphold. I do not believe that one size fits all. I think the world health organization should provide information, and balanced guidance in a time of a pandemic. I believe that each country and each individual can make their own decisions. I would hope that the world health organization would support individual freedom and informed choice. Thank you
none	Hello, I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you for reading my comments, Deirdre Laviolette
Citizen of Canada	Hello, I believe first and foremost there should be significant evidence and reasoning presented for any proposed measures, responses that may be deemed necessary for any arising global medical emergency. All resources, studies, contributing experts and any other sources must be made easily accessible and readily available to all citizens to review so there is complete transparency. I feel there must also be assurance in writing that each country's constitution or Charter of Rights remain Supreme law and legal guideline for any and all proposed measure; particularly in regard to the right to bodily autonomy protecting individuals right to informed consent and willing participation in any proposed medical treatments. Each government and municipality must retain the right to decide which proposed components, if any are an appropriate fit or measure for their local population, including the input of citizens residing in municipalities to provide input in regard to the impact of any proposed measures. Any committee comprised of individulas discussing or deciding on proposed measures, medical treatments etc should be subject to rigorous screening to ensure there is no conflict of interest, specifically any individual or corporation that may stand to gain financially or profit from any proposed treatment, advised protective equipment, procedure etc. Those individuals and entities should be removed or excluded from any significant contribution in regard to policies, measures and any proposed treatment. Of course public health is a very significant issue in our world, but maintaining the individual rights of global citizens is of utmost importance.
Privat	Hello, I disagree with the plan. Everyone should decide for themselves whether they want to be vaccinated or not. It is freedom for every citizen of this earth. Peter Gerlach
EOI	Hello, I do not agree with one entity gaining all the power to force people to take medical treatments. We are not cattle and we have human rights. Entities are free to suggest also advice but never impose. I do not agree on your organization gaining this kind of power. JBoss
EU-Citizen	Hello, I do not speak English very well, Bit I say no to the WHO Pandamic Contract.

Organization	Written submission
Freedom Rising	Hello, I don't agree with with a new international agreement on pandemic preparedness and response. The United States should independently decide how they will address pandemics. An international body such as the WHO should not dictate our response to pandemics. We don't need international overlords to tell us what to do. The peoples of the world should not be under the control of the WHO.
Freedom Rising	Hello, I don't agree with with a new international agreement on pandemic preparedness and response. The United States should independently decide how they will address pandemics. An international body such as the WHO should not dictate our response to pandemics. We don't need international overlords to tell us what to do. The peoples of the world should not be under the control of the WHO.
General public	Hello, I don't believe that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response by WHO is justifiable as the effect of the WHO response to the Covid 19 has been a disaster for the health of many people. Cancer and heart problems have skyrocketed, and the effect of mask-wearing and isolation had catastrophic effects on children's mental health. There is a strong impression that the WHO, while receiving funding from public sources belonging to the people, also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. This hasn't been discussed or addressed by WHO. Because of the above, In my opinion, WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda nor enforce biosurveillance. Yours sincerely Mira Ratkovic
Canadian Resident and Tax Payer	Hello, I respectfully ask that you DO NOT go ahead with such an overreaching Pandemic Treaty, as it is unwarranted, unnecessary and not to mention, the citizens of the world have not asked for it and do not require another organization to control our lives. Each country can and should make their own rules as to what works best for it's citizens. Your organization receives huge amounts of money and that money could feed the world's hungry if the money was administered correctly and by uncorruptable individuals. Your organization has lied numerous times throughout the current pandemic and has lost the trust of many global citizens. You should stop as you are trying to take away sovereign rights which are NOT yours to take, only GOD has such power. (I have followed your guidelines, agreed to your terms and conditions and taken a screenshot of this message so it doesn't miraculously disappear). Thank you.
privat	Hello, I would like to say and inform you about my opinion: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden & Discriminatory measures should be prohibited & Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Sincerely, Herbert Panwinkler
Self	Hello, It is of utmost importance to continue to allow individual countries and governments to make individual decisions in cases of emergency, While international treaties have a purpose, I do not support one that would create global authority over domestic authority. Individual citizens must be involved in these decisions and have rights for both health and personal liberties. Thank you
WHO	Hello, My name is Angela, With all due respect, please take in consideration the people's interest and beliefs before you act. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please let me know if you disagree with any of the above. Respectfully, Angela
Self	Hello, Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Centralizing global control of public health is designed to benefit Big Pharma, major corporations and global financial capital. Not the people. This is nothing more than a power grab and this treaty must be stopped. Thank you
I am a mom and teacher.	Hello, The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. As free human beings, we hves a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid.
Private, citizen of EU	Hello, there is NO NEED for a new international instrument towards Pandemics. The reaction towards different (pandemic) situations should be let to the souvereignity of each state! Furthermore, this discussion should be embedded in a longer democratic process with the governments and population world-wide. If WHO handels the implementation of international contracts like this, more and more countries will consider to exit the WHO....
Public	Hello, Zero, none, nothing should be agreed on as a worldwide pandemic treaty. You are a private organization that profits in people's misery. No one elected any of you. Go away and leave us all alone. Goodbye
Myself	Hello. I believe that informed consent based on the Nuremburg Treaty must be integral and non-negotiable in any vaccination or other health care scheme. People should never be forced, pressured or coerced into a treatment which they are not comfortable with and do not believe in. Please respect those human rights! Thanks for taking my message, Kirk Preston
Individual	Hello. I do not agree that an international organization should be able to declare a pandemic emergency, or any other type of emergency, even if this power is ceded to an international organization such as the WHO, by any and/or all participating countries. The ability to declare a public emergency should be kept at the local level, and in regard to the United States, to a local town or city, or in limited cases, a particular state. We, as citizens of a country, did not vote into power anyone at the WHO to represent our individual interests. Our rights as individual citizens, regardless of which country we live, are God given rights. They are not rights given to us by any governmental organization or power. The only thing governmental organizations should do is protect these God given rights.
Citizen	Hello. I think that your question should be "SHOULD we go ahead with a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The fact that you are bypassing this question is concerning. Unfortunately the WHO isn't fully funded by elected governments, but by private citizens and industry. This alone should negate the pursuance of this policy. The WHO is not free of influence from these "donors".
Home maker	Hello. The substantive element that must be included in the instrument for global pandemic response is this: leave America out of it. As a parent I do not give you, not any other government, individual, association, organization, entity, not any other group or person, the right to make decisions regarding my health or the health of my family. You who do not see the individual can have no wisdom on what is best for the individual. And as a society made up of many individuals with many opinions and needs on what is the correct and fair response to the global pandemic, the only right and fair thing to do is to leave each family to make their own decisions. As Americans our health sovereignty has been a vital part of our freedom. Families must be left to their own to decide what is best. To put it plainly, the substantive element needed is to leave us alone.
Healthcare	Hello. I do not think that global pandemic preparation is necessary. Covid is not deathly dangerous any longer but just like a flu now. If any new pandemics will ever happen than hope everyone learned that lockdown measures bring more harm than good to everyone and everything. Thanks.
Bodily autonomy	Hello. A global constitution for "handling pandemics" would likely go against my human rights to treat my body I feel/know is best. We already know that most governments don't have our best interests in mind, and there's not one health minister out there that looks remotely healthy. Why would I listen to them, when all they do is force-feed vaccines & bad foods on us? I'm sure your treaty would act no different. So please, don't bother. You have no results proving that you know best. Sustainability & regeneration are not your goals, and all you care about is money & control.
Self	Hello. Here is what I would like to see included: 1. Individual stakeholder rights must be preserved; no one should be forced to take any medical intervention or participate in any medical experiments; the Nuremberg Codes should be referenced in this instrument and adhered to at all times by the World Health Organization. 2. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions that are best for their own citizenry. 3. The ability of nations and local governments to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be foundational to the agreement. 4. An open and transparent process must be global in scope, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures must be included that exclude any and all pharmaceutical companies, intelligence agencies of any country or global organizations, other global health profiteers, military and/or security forces, and/or agents or assigns from having any influence over the terms of the instrument; with the express purpose of safeguarding and protecting individual rights. The WHO should respect the rights of individuals and nations to govern themselves as they see fit, as a one-size-fits-all solution is rarely effective and often causes great harm. Thank you
Frank Bunevich	Hello. I do not believe that the United States needs to be included in this treaty at all. Since the events of 2020 there have been many conflicting viewpoints and opinions have been substituted for reason and science that contradicts mainstream protocol. Sovereignty is what is needed and not every person who has an issue or approach to the pandemic so-called will be able to have their problems solved by an all-encompassing treaty. American families and citizen deserve to make their own choices with considerations to their health and above all deserve informed consent as well as the right to choose how they wish to approach these types of situations. The science has changed considerably and has created mass confusion while legitimate doctors who were not conspiring or fear mongering showed substantial evidence against masks, against social distancing yet the mainstream perpetuated their science and while small businesses were shut down in the name of safety big corporations were able to stay open. A vaccine was made and yet still people were threatened over their jobs when it is their inherent right as a human being to decided what goes into their body and if the vaccines are so effective why are people who are vaccinated in danger of those who are not vaccinated if it is effective? Regardless of stances everyone has the right to choose and this treaty will not allow that. Please omit the United States. Thank you and have a stellar day.
N/A	Hello. I firmly believe that a global, top-down, bureaucratic approach to pandemic preparedness and response is the last thing the world needs. Each nation has the responsibility to prepare for possible pandemics according to their unique needs and capabilities. Each country can clearly see from the recent COVID-19 pandemic which nations fared well and which did not, and they should be updating their preparations and response plans accordingly. The statistics show that Sweden got it right and most of the world got it wrong. The WHO recommendations got it wrong. So in light of this, national autonomy on these matters must be preserved. Thank you, Nathan Silva
N/A	Hello. I propose the following considerations: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	Hello. I would like to share my opinion as a US cutizen and tax payer. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks, Mellissa
CMTBC	Hello. Given the economic and societal damage that was accrued by lockdowns over the last two years, any pandemic response that includes lockdown measures must consider the local societal impact of lockdowns. A recommendation for lockdowns without reports of the multi-factoral harms of lockdowns would be reckless. Thank you, Simon Charles Graham
n/a	Hello. I suggest that this treaty should not exist at all as it will undoubtedly impinge on state and individual sovereignty. Individual human rights will be cancelled, the legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies may well disappear and humanity as a whole will suffer.
private and "Network "Musik in Freiheit", Germany www.musik-in-freiheit.de	Hello. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates.
ev.-luth.Kirchengemeinde Heppens	Hello. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. Friendly greetings, K. Warntjen
Medical center for psychotherapie	Hello. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. Thomas Thraen M.D.
Austria	Hello. · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Hello. Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatment to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take , whether or not situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response . Thank you
Public	Hello: Each country reserves the right to deal with any global incidents including a pandemic on an individual basis. Having one global response is inappropriate and unconstitutional. There is no " one size fits all" solution to any given problem. Countries need to remain unbiased and autonomous. Each country should establish individual pandemic preparedness according to their resources and the severity of their condition. It should also include public votes and opinion. All those willing to help and respond to the pandemic should be allowed and mandating vaccines that have not been thoroughly tested should be illegal!
WIRED	Hello: We vehemently oppose the WHO having undemocratic rights over sovereign people. Even during pandemics, democracy must rule and civil rights must be preserved including the right to informed consent and privacy regarding one's health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit must be retained, without consequence. It is necessary to have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The people of the world need evidence-based prophylactic measures that are determined, as options, without allowing conflicts of interest. Exclude from the process undue influence by pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers. It seems that the WHO is trying to create the public health equivalent of a "one world government". But you don't have authority to override the Constitution of the US, and We the People won't allow this to happen. Sincerely concerned, Phoebe Sorgen
Private individual	aid and good nutrition BEFORE pandemics emerge. Ensure that the word pandemic is not applied to illnesses that most (> than 90%) people will recover from without the need for hospitalisation. Ensure that fear is not used to make people comply with advice. Ensure that lockdowns are not implemented They have proved to be unhelpful in reducing incidence of disease and yet create a multitude of other serious problems eg loneliness, fear, depression, anxiety, poverty. Allow practicing medical doctors and clinicians who actually witness which treatments help patients, to make their own decisions on treatments, including non-pharmaceutical treatments. Allow medical doctors to administer preventative treatments, including non-pharmaceutical. Ensure that the people are not criminalised for not accepting medical treatment. There should not be mandates for any medical treatment. All people have the right to bodily autonomy and this should be respected, not denied. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary as a 'one-size' does not fit all and decisions need to be taken LOCALLY, not internationally, as to the best course of action for each locality. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the WHO agreement as they see fit,, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote including failsafe measure that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical
None	Here are 4 important elements that I believe should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Here are elements that need to be included in the plan. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the World to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	Here are four things I would like to see happen: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WOM	Here are just two main reasons that health should be an individual responsibility and not a worldwide order: 1. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. 2. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The treaty you propose contradicts your constitution.
Forest Glen Academy	Here are my comments: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. citizen	Here are my extremely firm views on this. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I am very concerned over the partnership with government and pharmaceutical companies and the corruption that is being uncovered at the expense of human health and lives. Thank you.
Individual	Here are my points to consider: An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Here are my recommendations: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	Here are my suggested "substantive" elements for inclusion in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - Local medical professionals know best. The WHO and other national or global organizations must not interfere or propose mandatory treatments or preparations - The WHO will base its guidance on a balanced input from ALL sectors of the health profession - The WHO will NOT be influenced by politics or ethnic or racial hatreds from ANY race or ethnicity Thank you

Organization	Written submission
Individual	Here are my suggestions . The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank You, Tom Woods
Rolling West Productions	Here are some guiding principles that insure any socialist or globalist philosophy does not overreach: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thank you for mandating these essential human considerations into your proposals.
N/A	Here are the aspects I see as essential, although I do not agree with such a treaty at all: the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
n/a	Here are the elements I propose should be included: 1) All instruments must respect priority of democratic national decisions and regional law. 2) Human rights must be granted without exceptions. 3) Freedom, integrity of personal health and self-determination of individuals must not be restricted. 4) Liability of WHO, governments and companies must remain granted. 5) All scientific facts and objections must be considered in order to prevent any harm of individuals. 6) Treatments or injections must require regular approval and in-depth assessment and application of them must always be on a voluntary basis (in accordance with elements 2 and 3)
Not applicable	Here are the elements I think need to be discussed: - Maintain in physicians' responsibility to determine what is best for the patient in prescribing medication, vaccination and/or treatment - Mandates at any level are not the answer, data we have today prove this point - Lockdowns also do not work, data we have today prove it
Ann Dorner	Here are the four points I agree with and suggest: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Here are the provisions which absolutely must be included in any treaty affecting all peoples worldwide: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
BodIntuit	Here are the recommendations I am saying no to this treaty and want to see the following. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private human	Here are the several aspects that I think should be part of the global pandemic agreement: 1) To serve best their own people , local and national authorities reserve the rights to exercise full autonomy in case of pandemic, being able to opt out of any part of decisions proposed by the WHO that they see unfit to their people at the time and without consequence. 2) Open and transparent steps where all people and with equal rights can vote to keep from happening the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people are opposed to it. 3) A system that does not allow any global health profiteers and/or any pharmaceutical companies to have the capacity of influence over the process.
None	Here are the substantive elements that should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process must occur, with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be adopted to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Publoic	Here follows the substantive elements that must be part of any proposed international agreement. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UNIVERSITY OF SAO PAULO - RETIRED FULL PROFESSOR OF MICROB	HERE IN BRAZIL , I AND MANY OTHERS DO NOT WANT INTERNATIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH THE NATIONAL RESPONSE TO A FUTURE PANDEMIC. THE BASIS FOR THIS DECISION IS WHAT HAPPENED DURING COVIS-19. THE WHO WENT BACK AND FORT WITH DECISIONS AND THE RESULT WAS WORSE CONSIDERING THE COUNTRIES THAT FOLLOWED WHO ORIENTATION AND WAS SUPERIOR WHEREVER PEOPLE TAKE CHARGE OF THEIR RESOURCES AND INTERESTS. EXAMPLE: COMPARE THE OUTCOMES (DEATH, HOSPITAL ADMISSIONS, ETC) AMONG EU COUNTRIES, UK AND US VERSUS INDIA, SENEGAL, EGYPT, ETC. YOU FAILED MISERABLY REFUSING TO STUDY IN SERIOUSNESS THE USE OF MANY REPURPOSED SAFE AND CHEAP DRUGS WITH THE RESULT THAT HUNDREDS OF THOUSAND LIVES COULD BE SPARED IF YOU'VE ACTED. AND YOU ARE SILENT AT THIS VERY MOMENT. MY POSITION IS NO INTERNATIONAL TREATY TO IMPOSE BEHAVIOR, DRUGS OR VACCINES, OR VACCINE PASSPORTS FROM ABOVE! WHAT YOU SHOULD ADD TO THAT TREATY? I AM AGAINST A TREATY. IF ANYTHING COMES OUT THE FIRST LINE IS HERE ARE OUR SUGGESTIONS FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE INDIVIDUAL STATES, ALL FREE TO FOLLOW WHATEVER THEY DECIDE APPROPRIATE. SINCERELY FRANCISCO G NOBREGA
McCollum Wellness	Here is a strong feeling I feel regarding health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Sincerely, Elizabeth
Self	Here is my submission: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Measures which respect and allow all individuals and individual countries to make their own health decisions, free from coercion in any form
Organic Sulfur For Health	Here is my response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Here is my submission National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Freedom as per the natural rights of human beings, wherever they live, to make their own choices for their own bodies free from coercion and influence in any form
None	Here is my written submission 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Here is what I believe is critical to any measure: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tufts University	Here is what I believe should be included: National and local leadership will retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities have the right toopt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. All people of the world should have the ability to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Total transparency. Measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Here is what I strongly believe to be true: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process should exist with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should be implemented that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I do not have much hope that sanity will prevail but I am sending in this submission anyway and will pray for God's help.
Myself	Here is what I think needs to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Written acknowledgement that each individual's personal autonomy is retained at all times.
Mothers everywhere	Here is what I think. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Protect the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Kathleen Hallal Irvine, CA
self	Here is what must be included in any such treaty: Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Here is what needs to be guidance going forward: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Here some points I think about it · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited, all measures must take full account of all health interests and must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state and the liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Greatings, Zechleitner Denise
WeThePeople	Here's a brief outline of our wishes: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	Here's my comment regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NSS "Sveti Georgi Pobedonosets"	Hereby I would like to share my statement on the discussed topic and to insist as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Open debate with each member of the society who desire to state his opinion on this matter regardless his status, education and proffesessional qualifications.
Yogaya Institute	Here's my thoughts on the treaty: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Also, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Additionally, an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And finally, measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks for your time and attention.
Oxfam	hese must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of uk	hese must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
PIP	Hey, I disagree with this plans!!! No more Power for this private organisation!! NO NO NO K.Kratzer
gfd	hfdgjiilyf fghhjj fghhjj fghhj
Individual	Hi - In respect to the WHO international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response proposal, I respectfully ask that the items listed below be an integral part of said instrument. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Hi - Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health and I oppose the treaty that the WHO is trying to implement for future pandemics. Everyone, every state, every country has a right to decide for themselves and their communities what is best for their health and medical choices. Please take this all into account so that grave mistakes are not made in the future. Thank you.
Self	Hi - Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health and I oppose the treaty that the WHO is trying to implement for future pandemics. Everyone, every state, every country has a right to decide for themselves and their communities what is best for their health and medical choices. Please take this all into account so that grave mistakes are not made in the future. Thank you.
Public	Hi , I request the following National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Hi all, I disagree to the planned treaty. This undermines the souverinity of the countries. It is ok to get recommendations but it is not ok to have mandates. The decision must belong to each country itself. Thank you
Self	HI firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), redefining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the cornerstone of world health, suppression of 'safe and effective' treatments that already exist, the extreme censorship and the damage caused by lockdowns, masks, mandates etc. We must learn and improve but preserve democracy!

Organization	Written submission
Seeds of Hope	Hi Folks; What I would like to see included in the Global Pandemic Agreement is that it is in fact an agreement that benefits all who participate. It would need to be transparent and coercion free. There would need to be provision made for questions to be answered by the examination of all relevant data. It would have to be demonstrated that there is no undue influence exerted by entities that may have a conflict of interest. And if the above considerations are not met, that the agreement is rendered non-binding to those who may wish to opt out. Thank you!
NA	Hi guys - so you're going for the ultimate power grab? I think it's a very bad idea. Instead, include in your treaty the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Enough of your over reach, Sara Damry
Events Company Stack -Cup	Hi my main concern is forced vaccination and the restrictions on those who chose not to take vaccine or medicine. It is a human right to choose. The Covid vaccines are a good example of what I mean. I'm happy that the WHO do this coordination but not if it means that forced medical procedures are not included. History tells us that it's not ok. ESP after WW2. Also I would like to see full transparency I work as Chairperson of an events company stack- cup and our business was devastated with the restrictions and the passes and the cost of it all.
Individual	Hi there I am fully against this. Kind regards Tran
Self	Hi there- It appears the WHO is attempting global centralized planning effort for pandemic responses. Given the fact that individuals involved in such an undertaking are often far removed from the local impacts of their policies (economically and socially), this undertaking reveals a great deal of hubris. Many involved in the previous pandemic response were caught not following their own mandates which immediately draws attention to the credibility of such a centralized approach. Also, given the vulnerability of such a small group of individuals to become captured by special interests groups, such an endeavor should be placed into the purview of local frontline physicians treating patients and managing the myriad of local variables that impact public health. There should be symposiums which facilitate the meeting of local frontline physicians to share data and treatment approaches. Input from epidemiologists and public health officials can be useful but not foundational to a pandemic response. Previous pandemic planning responses held by powerful billionaires focused on militaristic responses that undermined civil liberties. Further, the focus was about rolling out lucrative pharmaceutical products and technology easily manipulated by governments to create totalitarian societies. Of note, there was a great shift of wealth to said persons during the pandemic. This has been seen in the US. The US has seen an increase from 12-54% in chronic health conditions amongst children in the last 30-40 years. The person in charge of the organization addressing that issue has never been scrutinized for how this decline happened under his watch. He is heavily involved in the pandemic response.
N/A	Hi there, as a result of the ongoing discussion regarding mandatory vaccination here in Germany. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
None	Hi there, I am not in support of giving that level of power to anyone in the WHO organization or to the WHO organization themselves. This is not the best way to go a out sealing with things. Anything that's to be passed should be done with the following modifications 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Sports Health	Hi there, I wish to oppose the new international preparedness treaty for pandemics. Each country is different in terms of their culture, health, healthcare facilities, etc and therefore every country must deal with a pandemic in their own way. It cannot be a one glove fits all. Until the WHO stops taking funding from private entities such as pharmaceutical companies and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, all of who have invested interests as to how and what medications are given to ordinary people. The WHO in my opinion is compromised and cannot be trusted to make the best decisions for the general public. We need independent scientists and doctors.
N/A	Hi there, This is absolutely the wrong decision for WHO to own the pandemic response globally. Scientifically, culturally and ethically it is not OK. 1. You are an organisation that operates under the guise of funding. How would you assure that the recommendations you are putting forward are not 'sponsored' by your sponsors aka corporations and individuals with a lot to gain? 2. You have not demonstrated effective communication, transparency or handling of the current pandemic. You were delayed, you consistently shared the wrong information and contrary in a lot of cases consistently. We couldn't trust you to do this effectively. 3. You have also actively blocked the opportunity for early treatment- something that is pivotal and critical in medicine. Your views too have been myopic in handling the response. So I do not trust your critical thinking or ability to systemically address the complexity of the problem at a global scale. This requires global and local input/handling. You live in a tower of flawed 'data' separate from 'reality'. 3. The benefit of each country responding, will be unique to their cultural and economic needs. It will also demonstrate opp to see innovative ways of handling and learning from each other. This also holds corrupt governments who would take this as an opportunity to control through fear accountable and at least in the current state forces them to address questions their citizens have based on other country responses. Where you can do better: Be transparent both of your funding and where your decisions/guidance is coming from Provide learnings from across the globe on what worked and did not I.e lockdowns have been damaging. Masks don't work. Thank you.
Quakers	Hi there, i request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. Thank-you very much, robin
Private person	Hi WHO, I think that individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. Having this same measures is not applicable to many situations of different context. I do not agree for global orders to be sent from one place. Regards
Priory group	Hi It's a terrible idea to think that every nation needs to listen to 1 organisations rules on any matters let alone pandemic. What if the WHO got their measures wrong? There's no alternative to see if there is/was a better way - take Sweden for example with covid. They had much better outcomes than most. Imagine they had to follow the WHO's guidance over last 2 years - even more lives would have been lost. I strongly oppose this proposal and will not follow it anyway. I will do as I please
Individual	Hi From known to everybody assumptions any bureaucratic institution (nor WHO) is not able to respond flexibly to emerging threats. WHO should only limitate itself to coordinate exchanging information between nations. As we saw for past two years even in this WHO is not competent. Why you assume will be competent in reacting for the global emerging threats? I do not see this. What is much more important. WHO didn't help to improve situation by approving censorship of scientists disagreed with WHO. As we knew these scientists in many cases were right. I do not see WHO as the leader for managing on the global scale respond to emerging threats. In regards Artur Wlodarczyk
Self	Hi I am deeply concerned that this proposed treaty overrides a state or country's sovereignty and the power granted by the people of that country or state to their democraticly elected officials. The world health organization has no right to dictating what should or shouldn't happen in a country or state when the public do not have a democratic way of being represented. Regards Campbell Tuer
NA	Hi Please re-think your position on making any decisions regarding pandemic rule implementations, as this is not something that should be codified as law. This would be against basic human rights and laws of sovereignty. We don't need more restrictions to our freedoms. This has been demonstrated with extreme government measures such as lockdowns, mandates and other measures which have been demonstrated scientifically not to work, as well as causing more harm than good around the planet on many levels. Thank you for listening, Best Regards Scott
Elna home products	Hi We do not agree with in any form What we do with our body is our own sovereign right No medical group has any right to overide the constitution of ang country Kathy
Private	Hi! We are born in a free society! Free in the decision of medical treatment! For this reason is it very important to save our elementary rights!!! No force or mandatory for vaccination!!! The "Nürnberger Codex" say exactly what i and billion other people on this planet want! Greetings from Austria! :-)
informed citizen Committee	Hi! We need to be able to decide for ourselves. The vaccine is proven dangerous and ineffective. Nobody wants the U.N. forcing kids to get a dangerous and experimental jab. Thank you.
Imara Digital Media Foundation Limited	Hi, Kudos to the WHO for this landmark agreement. As a 35 year old youth from Kenya, I would like to see youth representation and engagement in your implementation of the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This is in order for the youth to feel ownership of the process, innovate inclusive solutions to global health challenges and communicate the instruments policies in a youth friendly way to the public at grassroot levels. I'm looking forward to the outcomes. Regards
Personal	Hi, Please don't make decisions for this country that don't align with our collective values. This is the home of the FREE. We have the right to retain ownership of our personal health, for better or for worse, and you need to respect that. Thank you
None	Hi, The treaty should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that do not allow the WHO or governments to mandate lockdowns and vaccines. Thank you
Xyz	Hi, WHO should just provide recommendation and not mandate any public health measures that infringes on personal human rights of any nations citizens.
Private	Hi, I cannot believe that a body of medical professionals, (I assume you are medical professionals), is thinking that the whole world is composed of 1 type of person n therefore only 1 response is needed. We are constantly being told of the diversity in the human race, surely you would've taken this into account, I.e. what the present setup can do. Even you must know of the diversity that is present in one country. I think your singular response is an affront to the diversity of the human race.
no organization	Hi, I disagree with this pandemic preparedness as I am fully aware that this whole pandemic is well planned agenda. As a citizen of the world I disagree with any agenda that is coming from you. You are not our representative as people of the world. You represent the big elites who own and sponsor you. Thank you.
private	Hi, I'd like to hear about WHO future plans, and make sure human rights will no further be violated.
Köber	Hi, no NGO or else not democratically elected organization should be able to be put before local law. Until now they the WHO did not respect human rights and should not receice any money from govermnents, they can play a consulting role. Not more. Bye
Free Living	Hi, the only thing That is of importance is that Universal Human Rights, the Helsinki and Geneva convention + the EU treaty are regarded for what they truely are; laws, regulations and our rights as human beings on this planet; they should never ever be Violated, disregarded, overwritten, changed or so forth - we cant change history and we should definitely not start trying to do so now.
privat	Hi, · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibitedin advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Pandemics are affecting countries differently and require an individual response Guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part Where there is a risk, there must be a choice! another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries. BR Ildiko Pari
None	Hi, about the question ""What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" " I think elements that give medical advice can be included and maybe elements that give more general advice, but always with the reminder that these elements are not there to decide for people and for governments around the world. WHO is not legitimate to decide for people. Instead, people, governments, local communities must be free to decide what to do, on the basis of WHO and on the basis of other moral authorities's findings and research. People have good reasons to choose to listen as well to other sources of information and research : - WHO's money comes from sources that are far from being a guarantee for independent research. - WHO has not always given good advice, for instance some years ago, WHO has hastily approved the H1N1 vaccine, we now know that this was not good advice. Thank you for listening.
JOYOT	Hi, About the question, "What substantive elements do you think should be inclued in a new internationnal instrument on pandemic preparedness and reponse ?" I think elements that give medical advice can be inclued and maybe elements that give more elements advice, but always with the reminder that these elements are not there to decide for people and for gouvernement around the world. Who is not legitimate to decide for people ? Instead, people, governements, local communities must be free to decide what to do, on the basis of WHO and on the basis of other moral authoritie's findings and research. People have good reasons to choose to listen as well to other sources of informations and research : - Who's money comes from sources that are far from sources that are far from being a guarantee for independant research ? - Who has not always given good advice, for instance some years ago ? Who has hastily approved the H1N1 vaccine ? We now know that this was not good advice. Thank you N. JOYOT
n/a	Hi, I do not believe that WHO recommendations should have any binding effect on the final implementation of national, state, or local pandemic policies. Recommendations are great as part of a larger conversation with the people of the World, but the most important thing is that we do not allow the WHO to dictate to every corner and crevice of the world anything that could in the future be mandated by force. Health decisions are personal, they are private, and basic human rights and common sense dictate that these individual decisions should remain personal and private health decisions. Best, Gavin
Presence Wellness	Hi, I strongly believe that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration. Democracy and honoring local human rights is essential for the 21st century. Best, Peter
na	Hi, The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards Greg
Self	Hi, The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." To that end, there should not be a universal one size fits all approach to health and wellness across the globe. There are differences in each region, county and human. As we are all different there should be no overarching governing body across the globe. Each country is a sovereign nation and should be able to adapt and respond to the needs of its people. WHO treaties such as this are dangerous in that they strip away the rights of the country and its people. This could lead to negative consequences and outcomes for the health and well being of all. The WHO is not a governing body elected by the people and should have no place in determining policy. This is critically important since as a US citizen if I do not like a policy implemented by the US government, I can vote them out. With the WHO, the people will have no say and no voice in the process.Therefore, I highly encourage you to NOT adopt a global pandemic treaty. Thank you, Rob
Engineer	Hi, The WHO pandemic preparedness and response must include individual freedom and liberties to chose what method or no method. Individuals are responsible for their individual health, NOT the WHO. ZERO mandates, as mandates is a one size fit all which never has worked and never will work. Mandates are push by the totalitarians and Marxist. Individual chose and individual freedom is what the WHO should be driving, not dictatorial/totalitarian rule enforcement. Thank you, KHS
Bruce	Hi, Your New international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is a disaster of an idea for humanity!!! Do you understand? Let each nation decide how they handle their pandemic response. You cause suffering in so many lives with your drastic measures against Covid which are unreasonable and scientifically unproven. Many of them have shown to be ineffective. Why would we trust you next time and want you to develop an international instrument on pandemic preparedness? Tell me a few good reasons!!!
Citizen	Hi, Here are my suggestions: - eu member states can still decide for themselves - every person can decide for themselves, freely, without pressure, whether or not to take any vaccination - human rights take higher priority than any pandemic measure - vaccine manufacturers / pharmaceutical companies shall be fully liable for their products - freedom of choice! Thanks.
No Any Organisation	Hi, I think something should be added:- 1. Make sure, It will never infringe the fundamental rights of people. 2. Any country can opt this anytime. 3. All individual scientific can challenge your decision any time, you should make a portal for this. 4. Transparency and scientific logics should be maintained and disclosed before imposing any restrictions or giving treatment to people, at same time govt./states should widely advertise the sero surveys reports.
personal	Hi, in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be written that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Hi. No one organization should ever hold the power over society. Especially when it comes to personal health choices. Everyone is different and reacts to medications and foods in different ways. Also there should never be any technology used in tracking peoples personal records. If the WHO spent more time and money on ensuring organic foods and clean water were provided to the world instead of drugs and vaccines, society would be healthier. That's a face. Continuing to fund toxins in food which leech into water are causing constant illness. Priorities need to be reset. That is what needs to be done to prepare for the next pandemic. Because as Covid has shown us, the majority of deaths are in people with several co-morbidities like obesity. When will the WHO look at why people are sick instead of a vaccine or drug to cure them??!? Suzanne Monaco USA
Member of public	Hi. All my family members and friends as well as myself are against your proposal for new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Your organisation has proven over the last 2 years that it can not be trusted with such power and that it generally is incapable of managing any "pandemics". Your response gives grave concerns over people's safety and privacy.
Privat	Hiermit bin ich gegen den Pandemievertrag der EU oder Deutschland mit der WHO. Ich werde immer eine souveräne Person lt. Grundgesetz bleiben. Nein zum Pandemievertrag. Peter Rentzsch
Free	Hiermit fordere ich Einhaltung des Nürnberger Codes- was zur Folge hat das niemand jemals zu einer medizinischen Behandlung gezwungen werden darf. Das bedeutet dass Impfungen nie verpflichtend sein dürfen. Außerdem darf es keine landesübergreifende Identifikation geben- ein europaweite elektronische ID ist unnötig und darf nicht verpflichtend sein.
-	Hiermit fordere ich Garantien, dass die Souveränitäten der Mitgliedsstaaten und der einzelnen Bürger erhalten bleiben. Die Menschenrechte müssen unter allen Umständen eingehalten werden. Es darf kein direkter oder indirekter Druck ausgeübt werden, an (neuartigen) medizinischen Behandlungen teilzunehmen. Auch muss die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmakonzernen sichergestellt werden. Es muss für alle Mitgliedsstaaten jederzeit einfach möglich sein, aus dem "Pandemievertrag" ohne Angabe von Gründen wieder auszutreten! Menschenrechte sind nicht verhandelbar. Mfg
Individual Comment	Highly crucial to included the following: 1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any & all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	History has shown us that the more centralized power becomes, the more incompetent it becomes. The more power is distributed, the more competent it becomes at the local level. The WHO has proved that the same applies to handling pandemics centrally, because the handling of the COVID-19 pandemic by the WHO has proven to be abysmally incompetent. Therefore the WHO must have exactly and precisely ZERO input into any future handling of pandemics. Pandemics must be handled locally by trained and qualified medical professionals in each hospital of each city of each country of the world, without any input or direction from the WHO. Had this been done with the COVID-19 pandemic, there would have been significantly fewer deaths than what we have experienced globally. The data makes this fact indisputably clear.
Believer of freedom	History should be used to help make decisions for a future plan. Honest and accurate numbers should be used to determine an actual pandemic. Local government leaders should be allowed to plan for their city, or county.
Michelle Wine Fitness Personal Training	Honesty! Truth! Tell the public that Pfizer unblinded all the participants of its study. We must focus on everyday habits that inspire vibrant health and immunity! We need to educate the public on proper food and exercise choices. And we need to steer away from promoting pharmaceuticals as a first option to health. The CDC and FDA are tasked with regulating the industry an instead they are beholden to the industry through funding user fees. The ethical compromises are STAGGERING! THIS NEEDS TO STOP! Stop the booster program AND FOR GOD'S SAKE STOP injecting our children who have a statistically 100% survival rate!!!!
robertflebbe.love	Honorable agents of the W.H.O. I list my inclusions to your query as follows: •National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, Robert Flebbe

Organization	Written submission
selfemployed	Honorable and dear WHO; In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included: -pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response. therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. where there is risk there must be choice! -another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries. -the decision whether or not to vaccinate against anything MUST remain a free personal choice -natural healing methods such as organic diet, moderate sport, alternative medicine should be promoted for keeping the immune system healthy. Thank you for listening to our concerns. May there be health, wellbeing and a fulfilled life for every single human on this planet, Sincerely Mag. Fiegl
member of the public	Honorable Members, Re: What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? 1. I would like to see all proposals put forward by the World Health Organization be the result of a completely open and transparent process. 2. Any decisions made need to be available for every person in every nation to vote on in the form of either a national, provincial, or local referendum, with the results of each referendum guiding elected leaders to either agree to, or opt out of participation. 3. National and particularly, local leadership as representatives of the wishes of their constituents, must retain full autonomy over health decisions. If a nation or a municipality within that nation does not agree with the WHO decision, they must have the right to opt out of any and/or all portions of the agreement, and without WHO imposed consequences. 4. There must be measures in place to monitor and prevent any undue influence from other unelected individuals or bodies such as any pharmaceutical corporation, financial or technology corporation or health organization that stands to profit financially from any part of the implementation of a global treaty promoting specific courses of action. 5. Lockdowns and quarantine must never include healthy people. They must only include those who are ill. 6. Existing global treaties be respected, including the International Declaration of Human Rights and the Nuremberg Code. Respectfully, Barbara Shepherd
n/a	Honorable Members, Re: What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? 1. I would like to see all proposals put forward by the World Health Organization be the result of a completely open and transparent process. 2. Any decisions made need to be available for every person in every nation to vote on in the form of either a national, provincial, or local referendum, with the results of each referendum guiding elected leaders to either agree to, or opt out of participation. 3. National and particularly, local leadership as representatives of the wishes of their constituents, must retain full autonomy over health decisions. If a nation or a municipality within that nation does not agree with the WHO decision, they must have the right to opt out of any and/or all portions of the agreement, and without WHO imposed consequences. 4. There must be measures in place to monitor and prevent any undue influence from other unelected individuals or bodies such as any pharmaceutical corporation, financial or technology corporation or health organization that stands to profit financially from any part of the implementation of a global treaty promoting specific courses of action. 5. Lockdowns and quarantine must never include healthy people. They must only include those who are ill. 6. Existing global treaties be respected, including the International Declaration of Human Rights and the Nuremberg Code. respectfully Ann
Global FranTech UK, Limited	Honorable Sirs, and Ladies, Thank you for the opportunity to address my Thoughts On the pandemic preparedness treaty. While I do understand a concern for proper preparedness, it is my thinking that one cannot make a one body response for all persons in the world in different areas of the world. National autonomy is necessary and a right of Freedom given by God, should be respected at all times. Furthermore; respectfully I submit that a persons bodily autonomy must be respected as well. And as such, Preparation Guidelines are always of use, however mandates are not something likely to be successful, as we have experienced in the past two years. Government belongs within the regions of the territories. With all due respect; the WHO is not our elected government, Nor do I believe this is a forum to which should have a governing power over free peoples. I thank you much for the opportunity to voice my opinion. Respectfully submitted, Linda Deavers
None	Honorable WHO, I'm submitting a recommendation for addition into the document for nations, states and local entities to retain sovereignty and ability to make their own decisions including but not limited to opting out of the agreement if they decide it's best for their country, locality and people. Thank you, CMckinnie
Alzheimer's Disease International	Honourable Chair, Older people, including those living with dementia, have been disproportionately impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Country level mortality data has demonstrated a critical need to protect those living with dementia. In Canada, of all COVID-19 deaths in 2020, dementia or Alzheimer's was reported on 36 per cent of death certificates; in Australia dementia constituted 41% of all COVID-19 deaths; 26% in the UK; and 20% in regions of Italy. Many governments are still to publish their COVID-19 and dementia mortality figures and, more worryingly, many are not capturing these data at all. The unpreparedness of healthcare systems globally meant that the human rights of those living with dementia were at best forgotten, and at worst disregarded. With some countries going so far as to implement clinical guidance to deprioritise their access to ventilators and non-rights-based triage decisions about access to treatment. It is for this reason, Alzheimer's Disease International and its federation of 105 associations support a convention, agreement or other international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. However, such an agreement must have rights based provisions in place to ensure that those living with dementia are still able to access diagnostic and support services, but most importantly, learn from the mistakes made during the current pandemic so that lives of our grandparents, parents or partners are not lost before their time.
Privat	Hören sie auf mit dieser sinnlosen Impfpflicht und der Unterdrückung der Grund und Freiheitsrechte für die Bevölkerung. Mit freundlichem Gruß Bernhard Holzmann
None	Hospitals should be state owned again, not for profit. There should be no compulsory vaccination. Schools, offices and authorities must be digitized in order to be able to work online. The care of particularly vulnerable people in their home environment must be guaranteed.
The human race	How about this… 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthcare	How anyone can think or believe a general or broad based plan or solution to a Pandemic or General Health problem/issue makes either common sense or is even practical is beyond me?? There can not possibly be a 'one size' fits all policy? As we have seen recently; mistakes both scientific and medical wise can and do(have) happen. Are we to suddenly to abandon individual Democracy for what appears to be Totalitarian Rule by Decree? I suggest you reconsider this motion or concept and focus on inclusion and the ability to discuss together and learn from this.
None	How can a unelected organisation tell a sovereign state how to run it's affairs, especially with all your sinister agendas
individual	How can the WHO design a pandemic treaty without first learning the lessons of the covid-19 pandemic response? The WHO must ensure a multi-dimensional approach to pandemic response, which includes a wide array of experts IN ADDITION to medical experts. It is clear from the devastation of the pandemic response that no thought was given to the net harms of lockdowns and other NPI's on education, child development, community relations, and the economy. All elements of health must be considered - physical, social, mental and community health and financial health. The poorer countries, those that did NOT adopt WHO's recommended level of vaccination have clearly out performed highly vaccinated countries. The WHO's ambition to create vaccine equity therefore appears as a thinly veiled disingenuous attempt to sell more vaccines.
self	How can this be a good idea? Giving up any freedoms is wrong. Please consider what this will do to your grandchildren. Please vote "NO" to this Treaty. Thanks for your consideration in this very important matter of freedom and choice.
NA	How can true "health response" be international? Every country has different needs among their population. There is no possible way that strangers in another country can know what is best for my children, for example. We will oppose this in every way possible.
Private	How dare you think about or discuss - the determination of discriminatory and arbitrary measures - masstesting or - mandatory vaccines not taking into account human rights and social interests and being sponsored by private investors and self-proclaimed philanthropists who try to make the biggest profits ever ??? I generally oppose an international pandemic treaty !!! All human beings are individuals and need individual treatment if necessary in the case of illness.
-	How does this treaty guarantee the sovereignty of states and, above all, of individuals? Are human rights respected or are government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies legally liable?
Sons of Liberty	How on earth can one entity of unelected officials effectively manage a pandemic response all across the world? The answer is obvious that the WHO is corrupt! The WHO dose not make recommendations based on science, it only obeys what their largest donors want and what the Gates foundation wants is not what the people want. Explain why 2021 was the deadliest year in U.S history. Could it be the first ever roll out of a MRNA jab?
Personal	https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/news/2022/04/stopthetreaty-comment-who-pandemic-treaty/66422/ THIS IS REALLY IMPORTANT. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. This will take you less than 2 minutes. Make sur to share Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/news/2022/04/stopthetreaty-comment-who-pandemic-treaty/66422/ THIS IS REALLY IMPORTANT. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. This will take you less than 2 minutes. Make sur to share Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	https://www.instagram.com/virginiafreedomkeepers Grey Twitter Icon Post ezirak 3 hours ago 1 min URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	https://www.instagram.com/virginiafreedomkeepers Grey Twitter Icon Post ezirak 3 hours ago 1 min URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen; retired	https://www.malcolmrobertsqld.com.au/malcolm-roberts-drops-bombshells-in-senate-after-covid-under-question-inquiry/?fbclid=IwAR1bNEn0p6OHLSyzBU4z5ysbB_GUR1j6DL9CsE8LhCTmiN2sg5g6DiAahQ
Individual Person	Human beings are NOT slaves of the WHO! The WHO needs to stop making health mandates for every person in the world. People should be able to decide for themselves!! Stop experiments with human beings! No mandatory vaccine, no shut downs, no lock downs, no restrictions!! Especially NO GLOBAL PANDEMIC PROGRAM!! #StopTheTreaty
Provate Individual	Human beings do not need any instrument on any pandemic from WHO.
USA and World Citizen	Human beings have inalienable rights to bodily autonomy including the rights to refuse medical treatments and the right to try non-standard treatment protocols in consultation with their doctors. WHO is not to have sovereign authority for health management over sovereign countries. Human rights and national constitutions are not suspended because of declared emergencies. WHO is not to have the authority to declare emergency and may not declare health pandemics for things like heart disease, and gain emergency powers over other countries. Individuals must always have free speech and not be subject to censorship at any time. Discrimination based on medical status must always be opposed and illegal. Lock downs and quarantines of healthy people must always be illegal. All peoples must have full access to all public health data. Citizens must be able to end emergencies governments start. Corporations, select professionals, and government officials must be held fully accountable for crimes against humanity with reparations given to citizens and the crimes legally prosecuted. The catastrophic COVID health policies and vaccines fit this category. Millions of Americans are aware of these crimes as are the people of other nations, as world protests have attested to. Billionaire oligarchs like Bill Gates are not to determine health policies simply because they contribute money to WHO and seek financial returns on their investments. Millions have died and are yet to die due to vaccine-induced diseases. The depopulation goals of WHO have been met; end future suffering by ending the vaccine programs.
US Citizen	Human beings have inherent autonomy, value and dignity. Any plan must include the following provisions: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. There must always be an individual opt-out provision to decline medical treatments for whatever reason to retain personal autonomy. 6. There must be freedom from any coercion. No threats, no job losses, no restrictions to move about freely. Inalienable rights must be respected.
None	Human beings were created by God to be free. This means that any organization has no right to demand that we must obey those who would take away our bodily sovereignty, parental rights, or other outside demands. As an American citizen, my rights come from Almighty God and our Constitution. So I am adamantly against any foreign entity that will try and take away my rights. This includes the WHO which is trying to create an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body to strengthen the authority of WHO governance of global health responses.
The National Reform Movement	Human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doctrine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/Universal Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ governing bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to restrict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of humans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmission and infection i.e., contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be established scientifically i.e., controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correla tion. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e., of animal to-human or human-to-human transmis sion/ infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
KR	human dignity is inviolable, the final decision, the person decides for and about themselves. And no third party or government.
Gynäkologie	Human dignity is inviolable.Nobody shouled be allowed to rule over anyone else.Compulsory vaccinations are strictly forbitten!
home	Human dignity is negated when one is rejected in the absence of providing a bureaucratic proof of one's biological states. All possible biological states of a human and their significance is not known let alone a net treat value of those states. There never could be a completely biologically inert human. All animals existence carries risks and benefits to others, existentially. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Human DNA is quantumely responsive. In other terms, the expression of human DNA is based on the vibrational frequency moving through it. Our mitochondria are coded by light frequencies, our thoughts carry vibrational signatures. Every molecule on this planet has its own vibrational signature and every human being has a personal and unique genetic sequence. If you try to solve problems you exist within the 'fear-based' spectrum of human genetic expression. You cannot see the possibilities, and your physiology follows suit. Even human intellect and modern 'science' make assumptions based on a closed system (thermodynamic law). These assumptions of intellect are fundamentally erroneous and outdated in a world of quantum mechanics and physics. There are no closed systems. Our fears and shadows are our teachers - seeds in the soil that contain the fruit if the right conditions are met. The right conditions will never be comparisons, blaming, criticizing, or the endless rhetoric and judgement of intellect and the narrow, rigid opinions that intellect forms. There is a better way and it begins with freedom, forgiveness and the understanding that our challenges and differences create possibilities, not problems. Control and fear-based responses will always vibrationally resonate with dis-ease and discord. Humans are sovereign beings and have an innate intelligence that begins where intellect and opinion ends, where control becomes willingness to change and learn, where frequencies of fear shift to love, and where toxic chemicals becomes tonic in the body. It all begins within, and by quantum vibrational laws of resonance, the physical outside will follow the inside. Instead of trying to fix the outside world start inside you.
/	Human freedoms should not be in danger. Pandemic or no pandemic, noone should be forced to undertake any medical procedure against their free will. Vaccines should be used for those who want them and not forced upon everyone. We have all seen how certain countries forced people to take the vaccine, even though they said otherwise. And this should never happen again.
GGI Grüner Verein gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	Human health and integrity must stay in everyones own responsibility Democracy must not be shattered Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state
Personl	Human rights are given by GOD & are inalienable. They are not to be taken away by mankind. Your organization is manmade therefore leave my GOD given rights alone.
Jasenterprises	Human rights are important! Individual rights are important! Medical freedom of choice is important! The pandemic treaty puts total control of the world in the hands of very few people, most of whom are power hungry sociopaths, people that have proven that power, control and money are more important that people's rights and peoples lives!! NO PANDEMIC TREATY!! I WILL NEVER COMPLY!!! I WILL NEVER COMPLY!!! I WILL NEVER COMPLY!!! I WILL NEVER COMPLY!!!
citizen of the united kingdom	Human rights are inalienable and should never be suspended for "emmergencies". Human rights should always be superior to the rights of nations, counties and organisations. Potential life saving medicines should never be denied to anyone if they believe they may work. Bodily autonomy should absolutely always be respected under all circumstances and there should never be any discrimination or refusal of treatment for anyone choosing to uphold this right. Vaccine status should never be a requirement for travel, work, education or access to public spaces. These are inalienable rights. Lockdowns and the quarantine of healthy people is wrong and should have no place in any treaty. Transparency is paramount. Clinical data should be readily available. Free speech should never be censored. There must be liability for damages for products and services for all manufacturers and distributors including procedures. The people must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The Greater Reset	Human rights are inalienable and should not be relinquished under the guise of a public health emergency.
Humanbeing	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Without being discriminated against. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses.Any State or country must be held accountable through the WHO, if these terms violate human natural rights. Governments may Never ever permit Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. Censorship will not be tolerated in an open and free society, Any State or country suppressing free speech will be excluded from the WHO. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. No QR Code Passports, no Digital IDs, no tracking and controlling tools will be permitted to be used, The sovereignty of nations, specially the individual human being, may not ever be paused, stopped, prevented or abolished in the Name of "emergencies". If these factors are not met, the country can dismiss the suggestions of the WHO without penalties.
Face and Body Place	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies."
Dr. Rima Open Souce Truth	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." It is unconscionable what was done GLOBALLY to infringe on these rights and make people cower in fear because of a false "pandemic." Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone, nor to suspend people from social media who are seeking or proclaiming the safe use of alternative treatments. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Furthermore, vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong, especially when it has been proven that the disease is not actually any more dangerous than the common flu. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public, without fear of reprisal. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. The very idea that a company like Pfizer requested 75 years to reveal their Covid data is outrageous. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency, especially when said emergencies are blatantly for political advantage and not true safety. Family members have suffered and died alone because of brutal lockdowns. Others have taken risky, unproven vaccines because of being scared or mandated into such action. You really need to listen to the PEOPLE!
Earth	"emergencies." No pandemic may be used as an excuse to erode or abolish human rights. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to human rights which are given to us by God and are inalienable. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines for healthy people is unacceptable. Also shutting down churches, schools, courts, and small businesses is unjust. 7. We demand complete tranparency. All data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone publicly published without delay. The data should not be altered and/or manipulated to skew results favorable to those profiting off Covid related treatments. 8. All people have the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public, question those who have conflicts of interests, listen to both sides of the debate and have ACCESS to both sides of the debate without censorship. 9. All manufacturers, distributors, and doctors who administer medical products such as drugs or devices must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. Every citizen of their own respective country must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
World Health Organization	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights.The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Breathe Free Eugene	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." *. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. *The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. * Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. * Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. * Lock-downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. * We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. * Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. * All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. * People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
US citizen	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
East West Holistic Medicine	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Indivodual	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone.Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrongWe demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Childrens Health Defense	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Open Source Truth	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are completely wrong, and never been proven in history to be effective. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. There must be complete transparency. Every single person has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. We the people must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thank you.
SHG for Business	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
self	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CITIZEN	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." STOP STOP STOP STOP STOP
Private citizen	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are secondary to inalienable human rights. The right to try a treatment is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong, and have been assessed as more harmful than the disease they attempted mitigate. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
We the People	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies" The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Citizen from Canada	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies".
Canadian citizen	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies".
Human Being	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Australian Citizen	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	"emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. RAW Paste Data These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to
UK Citizen	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Wiltshire	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status must not be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses.
Citizen	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of United Kingdom	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of India	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation
General Public	Human Rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of emergencies. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-savings medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict peoples inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinions. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote and end states of emergency.
Nhs	rights for "emergencies". The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations ("the greater good") cannot override individual human rights.The individual doctor-patient relationship must be protected. The right to try treatment is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccines or other medical status cannot be used to restrict people's fundamental rights to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Informed consent (without pressure, coercion or penalty/restriction being applied) to accept or refuse a treatment (given the full risks and benefits and alternative treatments) is the bedrock of ethical medicine and must never be compromised or denied to any human being with capacity, regardless of the circumstances. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are unethical and must never be used again. We demand complete transparency for all clinical trials. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to the public, for independent scrutiny and analysis, in real time. Doctors and scientists must have their fundamental human right to free speech protected in all circumstances. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Citizen	Human rights are inalienable. Governments must not suspend human rights because of "emergencies" -- especially when "emergencies" are pretend or man-made! NO parties with ANY conflict of interest, past or present, especially profits from related business ventures, should have ANY role at all in the formulation of measures recommended by the WHO. National and local leadership and individual citizens must retain full autonomy. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. In addition, bureaucrats do not have the right to mandate vaccines, medicines, surgical procedures, etc., on unwilling citizens. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education, or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and must never happen again. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to speak their mind in public: citizens, doctors, scientists, journalists, etc. A WHO treaty that removes power from the US leads me to believe that the US should stop all membership/participation in the WHO at all. The WHO and its leaders have already proven to be dishonest, deceitful, and fraudulent.
Citizen	Human rights are inalienable. Governments must not suspend human rights because of "emergencies" -- especially when "emergencies" are pretend or man-made! NO parties with ANY conflict of interest, past or present, especially profits from related business ventures, should have ANY role at all in the formulation of measures recommended by the WHO. National and local leadership and individual citizens must retain full autonomy. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. In addition, bureaucrats do not have the right to mandate vaccines, medicines, surgical procedures, etc., on unwilling citizens. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education, or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and must never happen again. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to speak their mind in public: citizens, doctors, scientists, journalists, etc. A WHO treaty that removes power from the US leads me to believe that the US should stop all membership/participation in the WHO at all. The WHO and its leaders have already proven to be dishonest, deceitful, and fraudulent.
Bay Area Freedom Alliance	Human rights are inalienable. Governments must not suspend human rights due to "emergencies." The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong/morally reprehensible/violation of fundamental human rights/more harmful than the freedom of movement and of voluntary human association.. Governments must be transparent. Corporations must be held liable for the injuries that they cause. The well being of people deserves greater respect than the pursuit of power and profits. Depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time and the genuine risks of medical procedures should be known, in order to have informed consent within clinical trials. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. Without free speech; liberty is not possible. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	Human rights are inalienable. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. A person is free to choose her/his own manner of treatment or therapy. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict inalienable rights. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's ability to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses Lockdowns and quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency from manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products. They must be held liable if damages occur after taking such products. Rights of nations, states, provinces, groups or organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Freedom of speech and personal expression is an inalienable human right.
General public	Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medical care, and/or to mandate potentially harmful drugs and medical devices to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected, therefore the right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are also wrong and not based on science. The one fits all approach should not be applied as we are all different. Everyone has the right to their own opinion, and expressing or participating in discussion in public is the inalienable right. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. WHO (and the UN and governments) should treat us with complete transparency. It is totally necessary for us to understand the real issues, so that people can participate to achieve the real goals by taking the right actions at individual levels, and it will save us from unnecessary budgets and waste. This cannot be achieved without knowing the truth.
60003191	Human rights are unalienable and no "emergency" can override them, even a medical one.
Verein Wiener Bastler Buben	Human rights first, das Grundrechr auf unversehrte Gesundheit darf nicht verletzt werden. arantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen."
Public	Human rights- individual choice which medicines to take. National sovereignty, but with no waiver of liability for leaders, drug companies, media or medical professionals. Proper transparent trials of new vaccines/medicines, NOT a race to develop quickly, thus risking the health and even lives of individuals. Individual HUMAN RIGHTS are paramount NOT money for drug companies and individuals who would benefit from your policies.
None	Human Rights should be in Fokus taken. Liability of pharmaceutical companies should be claimed. Die Menschenrechte beachten! Pharma-Unternehmen in Haftungen nehmen. Beachten der WHO-Finanzierung ....
Private citizen UK	They are not granted, nor contingent on any other individual, group of individuals or organisation. They cannot be removed by any group of individuals, however they style themselves (government, state, organisation...) or whatever pieces of paper or agreement they try to cite They cannot be removed because of claimed "emergency" or "pandemic" Among these rights are Bodily autonomy- I.e no coerced medical treatments, procedures. Even diagnostic or "preventative" Freedom of movement and association Ie no "Lockdown" or "quarantine" no travel restrictions Freedom of conscience and intellect Ie no coerced thought or "agreement" Freedom to non aggressive pursuits No restrictions on non aggressive activities, work and trade. There is a long history of aggressive attempts to infringe on and deny these and the non enumerated natural rights, often presented in canting terms as being "in our best interest", "for our protection", "for the greater good" There is no natural right to avoid responsibility for injury caused by attempts to coerce or mislead Those who were injured by policy decisions products and procedures have every right
Individual - a mother	Human rights, especially the right to choose what is put into their own body, should never be sacrificed under the name of pandemic prevention. Forced or coerced vaccination and/or medication are a violation of human rights. Hygiene and nutrition improvements should be prioritized before anything else.
none	Human rights, including that of bodily autonomy, must prevail without question. It is everyone's free choice to weigh up the risk benefit analysis relevant to their own health, and to make personal decisions. What is right for one is not necessarily right for another. A country's pandemic emergency contingency plan is the business of its own democratically elected government. No international agency or any other unelected body, should have the power to impose emergency policies on any country. Such interference would be an extreme over-reach that is not necessarily in the best interest of people and populations, and it gives too much power over the world to one relatively small group of so-called experts whom no-one has elected. Giving such power to anyone is dangerous, open to corruption. I would not expect my own Government to make such a decision on my behalf; if this is to happen, I want to vote myself. How would it be known that such a body will make the right decisions? I worry about the possibility that ill-thought-out measures could be enforced without evidence that they work, and without considering the harms that might be incurred in doing so. Recent example is Covid. Any person or group / organisation imposing rules that turn out to be harmful, whether physically or psychologically, must be held accountable. There must not be any connection between those who make the rules for people, and those who benefit financially from those rules (or scientifically, e.g. via patents).
UNIVERSAL HEALTH ORGANIZATION	Humanity has survived through worst pandemics without a treaty. Since IHR exist, there is no need for any pandemic treaty. Response by a country is driven by the stage of epidemic/pandemic, demographic factors, traditional medicines, resources available and other health issues faced. Biggest mistake in the pandemic was to practice "One size fits all strategy" while stratified approach is the right way. Vaccinating whole world with experimental vaccines for a disease with very low CFR is not only unwarranted but have proved counterproductive, examples include Israel, Hong Kong. Countries with lowest vaccine coverage have done better. Vaccines can NEVER be way out of pandemics. Important thing is surveillance, early warning and containment. The manipulation to viruses in name of research must be stopped and steps to prevent use of viruses as bioweapon must be strengthened. Vaccines even if safe cannot be administered by force or mandates. Lockdowns must never be used again. Doing an honest review of experience in the Covid pandemic must guide our future strategy. Lessons must be learned for each country. The pandemic treaty will only create totalitarian control over pandemic. If the chosen strategy is wrong, it shall affect whole world. No one is safe till everyone is safe is not true. Provide good nutrition, fresh air, healthy environment first and we shall never need a pandemic treaty. More research on early treatment of viral illnesses is required. Health is not attained through vaccines but developing innate immunity of people.
CAPTAIN NUTRITION'S EARTH MISSION	Humanity must be notified frequently by WHO, government, schools etc that VITAMIN D SUFFICIENCY, as measured in blood, is necessary to raise immunity to all microbes including viruses.
Free people	humanity wants to be free and not under the dictatorship of a who prescribes one pandemic after the next free law free decision
Mans' Consultancy	Humans autonomy to live and die in each own's responsibility makes humans humane. This instead of becoming more end more subdued as cattle, as abstract issues or particle-like parts of a enslaved community which is easy to handle for autocrats in global power.
Individual	Humans must be able to make their own health decisions due to biological individuality. Natural immunity should always be recognized. Let doctors be doctors by creating a sharing platform for what protocols are working for their patients. Teach HEALTH related to the immune system. Teaching is a preventative measure. No single group should be in charge of the entire world. Health is too nuanced. Open discussion and debate should be allowed and not censored. Many brilliant minds are available to contribute to these issues. Natural treatments should be included in pandemic protocols. Financial conflicts of interest should be dissolved in order for an international instrument to be unbiased in its recommendations.
Human	Humans should be able to make any and all decisions which determine their own health and life.
Mind, Body, Spirit, LLC	Humans, their communities, and their cultures are too varied globally to ignore differences that affect wellbeing, health, and opportunity. Laws and regulations need to be more heavily decided at the local level because of this. Other than the needs we know from Attachment Theory (love, connection, understanding, purpose), you can't really say what is best for all people. At the local level, governance can rely on local knowledge and needs. Even in emergency situations, the WHO should not have power over the wellbeing decisions for the U.S. or any other country. To do so creates disproportionate power on one group; it is neither ethical nor moral, and frankly, it won't work. Humans are too complex and varied. The WHO needs to protect local governance, not attempting to revoke it.
na	I am protesting about the WHO Treaty for Pandemics....I feel this is illgal and unjust as we have seen the world shohut down over a virus that has a 99% recovery rate...WHO need to back of
Privat	I do NOT accept the WHO standing over me , my Country and EU. It is a non-Governement , NOT by democraty chosen parliament but a PRIVAT sponsored Forum !!! although many countries participate. As long as the WHO- Pandemie Prävention is ONLY having been Injected and not any other kind of prevention, I do NOT Accept the WHO for my personal health!
Citizen of the Netherlands	I don't think there should be such a pandemic treaty at all. But if the WHO decides to go ahead she should take into consideration the following: Human rights are inalienable. No government should be allowed to suspend human rights because of an 'emergency'. Nations, states or any organization are not above inalienable human rights. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life saving medications to anyone, people have the right to choose their own treatment, and doctors to provide it. Bodily autonomy is sacred and must ALWAYS be respected. Everyone is entitled to refuse treatment they don't want. Non-vaccinated people should not be limited in their inalienable rights to travel, to go to school or work, nor to access to public spaces or businesses/shops etc. Lockdowns and/or quarantines are simply wrong and bad for (mental) Health. Everyone has the right to theirt own opininon and should not be persecuted because it differs from the official narrative. And people must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private citizen	I reject the health bill in its entirity
Australian Citizen	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Freedom of Information must be ensured to prevent corruption and health dictatorships. There have been many instances of " follow the science " and the science has been wrong. Silencing people because they have a different view. Is not what science is. Science evolves and is not fixed.
Midland Community Hub Midland ON Canada	I , Donald Asselin , do not consent to the Treaty that the UN and WHO are organizing. I believe it is an criminal act to follow thru with this Treaty
none	i , jane mariouw, do not approve and do not consent to any policies, rules, orders, treaties, contracts, laws, regulations made by anyone, singly or in a group, which take away the sovereign right to bodily autonomy, free speech, free movement, assembly, self defense with any weapons, right to own property, right to work, right to worship of any individual and their minor children. above all is the right to retain bodily autonomy under any circumstance. do not pass any such treaties which threaten these essential freedoms. signed jane mariouw
amnesty international	I absolutely agree to the following points: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohib ited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Dr. phil. Klaus P. Spiekermann, Diplompsychologe, free writer
Truth Freedom Individual Sovereignty	I absolutely believe more and more of our freedoms have been stripped away. No one organization should rule the world. Any Organization that wants to have power over the people is more than likely going to be doing so for their own advantages only and not for the people. We cannot stand for this, those in power are corrupt and nefarious things have already been happening and I strongly oppose any one Organization to roll over the many. There are too many countries tribes societies that all function in a different way and we should try to understand and have compassion for people doing things differently. but most importantly. we need to be our sovereign selves.
none	I absolutely disagree with giving the World Health Organization the power and right to make decisions for individual countries. This measure is not necessary or appropriate. It violates the freedom of the citizens of each respective country. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Decision making, in all aspects should fall to individual governments, not an international organization, which does not enable input, open forums/discussion or transparency of information. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement, in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be included. Pharmaceutical, technology and media companies should be prevented from censoring of any viewpoint related to personal health choices. 5. Individuals or organizations with conflicts of interest, including receipt of monies from pharmaceutical companies, should not be allowed to participate in WHO decision making, without full transparency. Individauls or organizations with conflicts of interest should not be allowed to vote, fund or in any way influence scientific study or institutions.
Personal	I absolutely disagree with the global overreach being discussed by the WHO on a pandemic preparedness that will remove governing power from nations around the world. WHO has not shown themselves to be capable or competent in the management or the ability to communicate facts and scientific realities, rather the propagandized rhetoric is what the WHO uses as a foundation for their messaging and recommendations. The people do not need the WHO politicized over reach. I 100% oppose this as an option. Shame on you all.
Costco	I absolutely disagree with this proposal. There is no reason that one organization should have ultimate control over the every countries' health in any way. The COVID 19 pandemic has shown us exactly that, in that a "one-size-fits-all" approach DOES NOT WORK! Rather that having full-control of anything, the WHO should be abolished.
Member of the public	I absolutely disagree with this treaty in the first place, and will never agree to it. There will never be a time that I agree to letting WHO, or anyone else tell me what to do with my body, and force trial medical procedures on me. What you've done already is immoral & unethical and it needs to stop. Millions of people are awake to the agenda, and where this is leading, and will fight it with everything we have.
Private citizens	I absolutely do not consent to WHO or any political body claiming rights or decisions over my bodily autonomy , i do consent to WHO having the right to subvert my rights as enshrined in the South African Constitution ! It is absolutely unacceptable that any institution can claim legal right over the sovereignty of any individual as defined by our God given inalienable rights under common law jurisdiction. I DO NOT CONSENT !
none	I absolutely do NOT support the creation or implementation of any new international governing body to oversee pandemic preparedness and response. The creation of such is a threat to our lives, livelihoods, sovereignty, collective well-being and inalienable rights. Natural law and our democratic constitution protect us from such global overreach. I believe that people should have a say in the decisions that impact our lives, and should not be wholly controlled by an agency that receives substantial funding from private interests hoping to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. In the recent pandemic alone, the WHO failed to protect people around the world, encouraging ineffective masks and quarantine/lockdowns, relying on faulty diagnostic tests to count cases and deaths, suppressing early effective treatments, and pushing dangerous vaccines and hospital protocols. The WHO cannot be trusted and cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda or enforce bio-surveillance. To do either would be criminal. Thank you for your consideration.
Mom	I absolutely do not want A Global treaty or alliance for the pandemic when it was terribly handled and intentionally segregated, and took people's livelihoods and choices away.
None	I absolutely object to myself or my Country being subjected to any Treaty that hasn't been formally put by referendum to the whole Country.
none	I absolutely oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
None	I absolutely oppose any form of global response to any or all future pandemics. Putting full control in the hands of a few is communism and will have disastrous health and economic results, as clearly seen over the last two years.
PAT	I absolutely oppose everything related to a WHO treaty to control all nations through COVID passports or more correctly segregation passports. I will never support anything related to the idea of creating a global technocratic dictatorship with digital currencies connected to a social credit score system which is the whole reason WHO changed the definition of what a pandemic is. The only way people would in some way accept these changes. There's should instead be a international court investigation into the corruption of politicians in the western world and all globalist organization's such as WHO, NATO, EU and onwards. Placing all the participants of these crimes against humanity on trial and eventually at Guantanamo bay or whatever else fitting punishment which is needed. Nothing any political figur , government, organization or NGO has to say about this should be taken seriously or even considered. Non of them are worth trust.
Nhs	I absolutely oppose the WHO plans to take away our democratic rights and autonomy over our own bodies. Part of the great reset and global totalitarian agenda. So many people are waking up to this.
Private citizen	I absolutely stand AGAINST any W.H.O. Pandemic Treaty !!!! This is in violation of our U.S. constitution.
none	I abseoulutely don't agree to an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
NONE	I actually don't think any substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. My rights as a sovereign citizen have been blatantly violated and I do not think that an international organization should be able to cast a plan upon individuals in sovereign countries, notwithstanding the existence of a pandemic.
	I adamantly am against this proposal ! I believe in democratic values.I live in a democratic country . Israel is democracy where democratic elections are held to choose the parliament.The chosen parliament is the sovereign - us . the people !! You have no right to replace the sovereign and trample Israel's sovereignty You have been chosen by no one. You funders are in conflicts of interests - such as Bill Gates. I highly recommend you mind your own business and stay away from the Democratic state of Israel . Our health is none of your business
N/A	I adamantly DO NOT support a pandemic treaty that expands the currently existing, International Health Regulations, 2005.
Canadian Citizen	I address the guiding question to express with utmost decorum that as a citizen of Canada who has not be consulted on this proposal I think no substantive elements should be included in a international instrument on pandemic preparedness. I am strongly opposed to this proposal; this approach does not have the support of the peoples of Canada. Over the last two years trust in all levels of government, whose job it is to serve, has eroded as businesses unnecessarily collapsed, professions lost, mental health pushed to the limit, and inflation rises. To add a global level of oversight with a one size fits all would not allow for decentralized, localized, targeted, proportionate responses. Although many Countries had uniform approaches, some Countries/states/provinces, took different approaches and the results are telling. Many argue that those that did not follow the mainstream had the best overall results. We can only learn from varied approaches; some conditions necessitate different approaches. The nature, severity and duration of a pandemic can vary greatly between and within countries. The WHO has a large funding from non-elected, non-Country representatives; could/ would the WHO act in unbiased fashion for all peoples. This proposal presents an overwhelming conflict of interest. This needs to be brought out in the open for uncensored, broad discussion and debate. An optimal approach needs be discussed but never should a Country be tied to a pandemic approach directed by the WHO.
Retired	I advise that the WHO approach the global agreement with full transparency and ability for all people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Put in place measures that would control influence from pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There should be ability of nations and other local municipalities to opt out. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy.

Organization	Written submission
I represent no organization	I advocate that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Further, I believe that nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The need to understand local realities and then act accordingly requires this type of model. All process deliberations, meeting minutes and capture of both affirming and dissenting positions articulated by individuals during policy matters must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to read it, see it and vote on policy including identification of fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not for whatever reason approve of it. Safeguarding measures need to be put in place and be made public that do not allow for coercive influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other organizations or profiteers with motives that do not necessarily align with public health.
Self	I agree and second Steve Kirsch's comments (with my revisions) and strongly urge you to consider this seriously. 1. National and local leadership must retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for THEIR OWN people and circumstances. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to OPT OUT of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, WITHOUT consequence. 3. An open and TRANSPARENT process with the ability for all people of the world to VOTE on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do NOT want it. 4. Measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or OTHER global health PROFITEERS. Thank you for your time and consideration.
Independent	I agree that the central co-ordination of a pandemic response is useful and necessary by an organization like the WHO. However, national and even state/local leadership, who are closest to the situation near their people, should retain full autonomy on making decisions that affected their citizenry. Full autonomy means that nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of a recommendation from a central world organization like the WHO. All pandemic response done by the WHO has to be guarded by transparent processes which ensure that outside entities that have large financial bearing on decisions are not influencing decisions to the detriment of the health and well being of people.
None	I agree that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	I agree that we need international co-operation to help stop the spread of pandemics. However as a citizen of the Republic of South Africa, I am totally against international treaty regulations that override the constitutional rights of South Africans and undermine the sovereign right of South Africa to determine and implement their own health policy free from outside interference , or any regulations promulgated by international bodies such as the United Nations or the World Health Organisation. Thank you
Private citizen	I agree that we need to be ready for a pandemic, but there is never just one way to treat something, so if you're plan is just these shots that cause more harm than good, think again!
Waterford Institute of Technology	I agree with a plan to deal with future pandemics but this should not include mandatory vaccination especially when based on limited data furnished by pharma companies who manufacture vaccines for profit. Mass vaccination of children is criminal and moreso where there is no evidence to show that it is of benefit. During the Covid pandemic the ideal of benefit outweighing the risk was clearly ignored and we will see what the outcome of mass vaccination brings as time goes on. Politicians are only concerned with getting votes in the next election so will sway with whatever is the popular movement. They will look to the WHO for direction so it is imperative that the best advice is given regardless of what the big pharma companies say. I am not vaccinated against covid 19 and I am fine after contacting it twice. We have had a completely disproportionate response in our wealthy Western countries whole the rest of the poorer countries lose their vulnerable loved ones. Please try get it right this time.
n/a	I agree with https://stevekirsch.substack.com/p/urgent-comment-on-the-who-treaty The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The ability for any citizen in the world to make legally enforceable Freedom of Information Requests to the WHO to determine all sources of funding and information for the WHO decisions.
Taxpaying Citizen of the United States	I agree with many other friends and acquaintances that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, as well as local municipalities to opt out of any portion of the agreement, as well as all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Each of these elements is extremely vital to the future of humankind.
N/A	I agree with Steve Kirsch 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Freedom	I agree with Steve Kirsch, who put it perfectly: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There is no reason why Ivermectin should not be used in future pandemics involving enveloped viruses. The evidence is clear that it works beyond the shadow of a doubt, for Covid. The only reason it wasn't approved in the US is because of corruption, and people know it. https://realcovidresearch.blogspot.com/2021/12/the-truth-about-ivermectin.html
N/A	I agree with Steven Kirsch that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I agree with the below statement - and would like the following to be included as substantive elements.. World Council for Health suggests the following elements be addressed: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Desert Life Real Estate	I agree with the following criteria before an agreement is made: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen of the United States	I agree with the following points originally written by others, and I put them forward as a reiteration which is thus my contribution to this very serious undertaking. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	I agree with the following points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I agree with the following statements 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I agree with the following. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I am against any International pacts on Covid. I've had it twice and it's simply just not a big deal.
N/A	I agree with the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I agree with the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hahnemann	I agree with the points below thank you: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	I agree with the statement: "public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health."
none	I agree with the statements of Tess Lawrie from the World Council for Health. Please honor Hippocratic Oath and the Nuremberg Code for the sake of your family, your friends and for every human. People should control their own health and the health of their children. Families should stay together.
Self	I agree with the World Council for Health (WCH) on the following: "The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lock downs, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people." People from all regions of the world have " a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid." Many of us want a democratic organization, not a dictatorship that will first and foremost, Do No Harm. No once company or organization should have the ultimate power to decide what is best for all. Doctors need to be free to practice medicine the way they feel best, and their patient has the choice to accept or decline the care offered. Where there is potential harm from treatment, there should always be choice. Thanks for your attention.
martin creative media	I agree with the World Council for Health when it states clearly: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No unelected global authority has the right to superimpose mandates on a sovereign country especially in a democratic society. This treaty is an uncalled for breach of individual human rights.
individual	I agree with the World Health Council that -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	I agree with these comments: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It has to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I have lived long enough to see that the more centralisation of responsibilities, then response time and relevance suffer.
Cubicle	I agree with these points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I agree with this statement " The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WCH believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. "
Together	I am 100 % opposed to the Global Pandemic committee and it's desire to rob my democratic right from me and my elected officials in my sovereign country. Please defer from these actions.
Citizen of the world	I am 100% against an international response to a pandemic in any way, shape or form. The WHO is not a democratically elected political body and as such cannot dictate to the people of the world.
None	I am 100% against any international pandemic treaty. Every sovereign country or state should be able to determine their own emergency preparedness plans. No external regulatory body should be able to override citizens rights. The WHO is far too politicized to be trusted in times of crisis. We all know you have allowed very unsafe ingredients in vaccines for years such as the adjuvant aluminum and the polysorbate 80 that takes ingredients across the blood brain barrier. The WHO ignores the real health crisis this had caused.
self	I am 100% against implementing a global pandemic treaty. The WHO should not have the authority to push health procedures and treatments onto entire populations without knowing anything about a person, most of all health history. No U.S. citizen should be forced into taking anything into their body. There should always be a choice. This is a completely unacceptable threat to the sovereignty of the U.S. The WHO constitution states: "Informed opinion & active co-operation on the part of the public are of utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." We MUST hold them to their written word!
none	I am 100% AGAINST this obvious power grab to usurp national sovereignty and inalienable Human rights. I do not expect my voice to be heard, so to answer the question as posed:- Any agreement would need to completely transparent, Any agreement would enshrine human rights to bodily autonomy and choice. Any new "vaccines" produced would be protected from prosecution of the manufacturers themselves (no protection from "emergency use" No hiding of data, it should be free for ALL to see and use No "vaccine passes" or track and trace to restrict travel and rights of the individual No lockdowns/quarantines that destroy economies and lives, and are shown to have no effect Recognition of differing opinions No censorship, equal rights of all opinions Ban the use of behavioural psychology and neuro linguistic programming to influence peoples behaviour and beliefs
S	I am 100% opposed to any global treaty regarding pandemics as its enforcement it's completely undemocratic. I did not elect the WHO and I have not had a say in the terms of this treaty which indicate global digital id.
Self	I am 100% opposed to the WHO having any say in health matters related to the United States. America must remain free from outside controls. We must strictly adhere to the Nuremberg Code where people can refuse any experimental (EUA) medical procedures and make our own individual treatment choices. We must always give informed consent before we receive any medical interventions. Thank you.
Retired	I am 100% opposed to this treaty. Such a treaty does not protect our natural rights, destroys individual and national sovereignty and should never exist. If your organization insists on pursuing this ill conceived document it must at a minimum include iron clad guarantees of individual and state sovereignty, absolute respect for all human rights, provide for REAL INFORMED CONSENT with complete transparency on all testing, components and clinical trial conduct and results, establish full legal liability for all government officials and pharmaceutical companies in perpetuity and memorialize all other things governmental bodies, including the WHO, have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Myself	I am 100%opposed to the implementation of this treaty. This is a clear violation of not only the US constitution, but basic human rights. As a free sovereign being I reject your attempt to enact such a gross violation of my rights. I do not consent to the sharing of my health data; something that this treaty will bring. Quoted from the Pandemic Treaty, "Global coordinated actions to address the misinformation, disinformation, and stigmatization that undermine public health". This is a clear attempt to silence anyone who disagrees with the current narrative and violates my First Amendment rights. I can see this for what it is. A means to bring a one world goverment and total control of every single free and sovereign being on the planet. Again, I am greatly opposed to and disgusted by this pandemic treaty.
art of a spiritual nature	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. Where there is risk, there must be INFORMED consent. The WHO cannot not possibly provide a generic narrative for the many cultures, peoples and ecosystems that exist on this Earth. The local people's governments are aware of their own statuses.
None	I am a British citizen and I do not consent to the WHO or UK government imposing any rules or laws that in any way might supersede the laws of our country, as created through full normal democratic process by our parliament. I have become aware that the WHO and WEF are being used by global agents such Bill Gates and other overly-influential billionaires to unduly influence policies to support their personal beliefs and profits. no global policies and approaches can be expected to fit the needs of diverse countries and their populations and recent example of countries such as Canada,NZ, France and Italy acting in coordination demonstrate the potential For ride scale and long lasting damage when these are are miscalculated and disproportionate. Many recent scientific publications show that lockdowns and other hugely damaging policies imposed in the name of safety and public health did not reduce mortality from Covid 19. We must resist undue influence from unelected undemocratic unaccountable organisation such as the WHO as each democratically elected sovereign government determines what is best for the people they serve.
Sunstone Trust Company	I am a business leader and the board member of a California health organization with hundreds of physician and patient members. The following substantive elements must be included in any international treaty related to pandemic response: 1. No treatment without the uncoerced, informed prior consent of each individual. There must never be coercion related to vaccines or any other drug or treatment. All treatments must be voluntary. 2. All local, state and national governments must be able to freely opt out of any protocol or procedure in their sole judgment. There should never be coercion of government to follow a protocol they do not believe is right for their constituents. 3. An open and transparent review process of any protocol or treatment where all viewpoints are heard and considered. There must be full debate with no censorship. Uncorrupted data and facts must be used without influence by pharmaceutical corporations. 4. Persuasion and not force. All international bodies must only provide ideas, suggestions and research and must advocate for freedom of choice.
Victoria Dale-Harris	I am a Canadia citizen and am against the WHO's Global Pandemic Treaty, and any binding commitment to it by the Canadian government. No organisation should ever gain the power to override the sovereignty of countries, and the rights and freedoms of its people to determine their own health choices and outcomes. Nations and local municipalities must reserve the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit and as their people demand, without consequence. Any global agrement of this far reaching scope must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in any place where a majority of the people do not want it.
Medeas Inc	I am a Canadian now. Until recently, I was an Indian Citizen. I am convinced that every country should have full rights of autonomy. They should have the freedom to opt out of any WHO directive in part or the whole, based on their populace's own rationale on what is good for them. This freedom should go to the level of Municipalities as we have in Canada or Panchayats in India. The Lockdowns of 2020 devastated mentally and financially close relatives and populations of both my beloved countries. Never again should such an anti voting measure happen because of the WHO. People should be able to vote on preventing global edicts that damage their life and livelihoods. The giants in Research of Viruses and Pharmacology influenced politicians world over and with the willing support of inexperienced Public Health Officers, ruined our lives Never should Pharmaceutical Companies and the Investment Companies that power them influence the way we live our lives. Never should the WHO be a panderer to these profiteering entities regardless of pandemics. Thankyou
The Flashpoint Army	I am a child of God and citizen of the United States. I am governed and protected quite nicely by my Creator and the US Constitution...and NOT the WHO. The WHO has absolutely right or need to try to govern me or my fellow citizens...and not just in the United States, but the entire world. An effort to change that is tyrannical...and those who push that agenda will ultimately pay dearly from our creator God for trying to usurp His power.

Organization	Written submission
USA Citizen	I am a citizen of a country with a republic democratic government. We believe in freedom, and will fight against a standard worldwide response if it doesn't include the following requirements: 1. National, state, and regional/local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private individual	I am a citizen of a democratic sovereign nation. Any treaty that compromises the sovereignty of our nation or our democratic or common law rights is intolerable. It may also be illegal because our freedoms are protected by our constitution.
None	I am a citizen of Canada, a Member nation of the WHO. I submit that the following substantive elements be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1) All Member nations and their local leaders retain full autonomy over all guidelines, suggestions, and protocols or rules of the pandemic response instrument. 2) All Member nations and local governments retain the right to opt out of any or all portions of the instrument, at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process allowing all potentially effected citizens of all Member nations to vote on the response instrument, and be allowed to reject it by majority vote. 4) Enforceable measures to prevent corporations, non-profits or any entity or person that is not a member nation from influencing the instrument. Sincerely, Rodney Palmer Canada
US citizen.	I am a citizen of the earth, and firmly believe that this "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is completely unacceptable for humankind. Each country needs to have their say over how their "pandemic" is handled and treated. Each country is different in many ways and one prescribed "response" for all will result in massive failure. Please reconsider.
My family	I am a citizen of the Republic of Ireland. I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise.
Resident of the UK	I am a citizen of the UK. Neither the government of this county or the WHO have sought to obtain my consent to impose any rules or laws that in any way might overrule the laws of my country. These are normally created through the normal democratic process by our parliament. People are becoming increasingly aware of the WEF's Global Agenda and their 'Great Reset' plans. We are also aware that the WHO is funded and is heavily influenced by certain global billionaires, who hold vested financial interests. Had the world followed the policies of countries such as Canada, Italy, Australia, and New Zealand for the past 2 years, then we would not have had the opportunity to witness the outcomes of Sweden, who followed a different pandemic path. The co-ordinated agenda of the G7 countries towards the pandemic, is being shown as demonstrably wrong, as evidence is now coming to light that show lockdowns and other restrictions, did little reduce the deaths from Covid. If any government abdicates their responsibilities and duty to their citizens, by allowing policy and laws to be dictated by an undemocratic, unelected organisation such as the WHO, then the government is guilty of nothing short of treason. The WHO should be nothing more than an advisory body, preferably independent without financial influence from parties who would gain from the decisions made by the WHO. It should not and does NOT have the right to overrule National Sovereignty.
NHS	I am a citizen of the UK. Neither the WHO nor the UK government has my consent to impose or dictate any rules or laws that in any way might supersede the laws of our country, as created through full normal democratic process by our parliament. It is increasingly clear that there is a "globalist agenda", aligning with the scheming plans of the WEF. It is also apparent that the WHO is being used by agents such Bill Gates and other overly-influential billionaires who are unduly influencing policies to their own ends for enormous personal financial gain. Had the world followed the example of countries such as France, Italy, Canada, Australia and New Zealand for the last 2 years, then we would not have had an opportunity to witness the outcomes in countries such as Sweden. The co-ordinated agenda of the G7 countries towards the whole pandemic was clearly, evidently and demonstrably wrong, as has been borne out now by many recent scientific publications that show that lockdowns and other NPIs did NOT reduce mortality from Covid. A 'global' diktat from an unelected undemocratic unaccountable organisation such as the WHO, which is heavily influenced by vested financial considerations, would have led to an even greater disaster. If any national government abdicates their responsibilities and duties to their citizens to a non-democratic quango like the WHO, then those politicians responsible are guilty of nothing short of treason. At best, the WHO should be nothing more than just an advisory body. It does NOT have the right to overrule or supersede national sovereignty.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	I am a citizen of the United Kingdom, I am not eloquent or well learned, I love my country and wish to raise my concerns regarding a global pandemic Treaty which is being proposed, I therefore suggest that the following is included for further open debate in the up and coming meetings. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
US citizen	I am a citizen of the United States and as such, the WHO should not have a say in what I do or in what I have injected into my body. This is a ploy to force vaccine mandates and say, "It wasn't me, it was the WHO who made this mandate". As a US citizen, I have the final say about what goes into or happens to my body. The WHO can give recommendations and that is it. NO TREATY
N/A	I am a citizen of the United States of America. I assert the importance of free citizens making health decisions in consultation with their physicians and with review of their health history. I am opposed to any outside agency forcing a one size fits all medical regime. Because of course, what works for some may inflict harm to others
Individual	I am a citizen of the United States. I would like to comment on the WHO Pandemic Treaty. I think the following should be included in the treaty if the U.S. participates. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Linda Shores
Self	I am a citizen of the United States. We are a sovereign nation. I DO NOT want a world pandemic treaty that would usurp my individual rights. I don't believe one entity or law should rule over entire world. Too much power corrupts!!! So I am against your proposed "world" health de ions and any treaties that destroy individual American rights. Thank you for listening and I hope and pray you take my message to heart. We must bless and empower people to make their own decisions. Not rule over them and trample their individual choices, especially when it comes to taking care of ones own body.
US Citizen	I am a citizen of the US writing to express my displeasure with the idea of the UN providing future pandemic oversight. The UN is an organization that has no jurisdiction over me as a citizen. I did not vote for any of you and I am not interested in your intrusion into my life. I do not trust you and have heard horror stories in countries with a significant UN presence. Thank you for your offer but NO THANK YOU. Please go away and never try this again. I do NOT consent to your power grab and do not consent to any official handing my freedoms over to you people. Have a good day.
Citizen of USA	I am a citizen of the USA, not the world,and I will not be beholden to a decree I have not voted on, especially one not meant to enhance my freedoms, but rather to limit them. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public citizen of world	I am a citizen of this world and I am submitting this response to make it clear that I am opposed to any form of global pandemic treaty. I have come to this decision from many years personal research and of working in health and psychology fields. No one organisation can be trusted to govern global health. Health is not uniform across the world or even across societies. Health is an individual issue and must be respected as such. No one organisation should have this level of power over world's population especially when there are private corporations that can dominate the outcomes for their benefit. Thus a treaty is unnecessary and dangerous for the global population especially if private corporations can dictate what can be mandated. My body is a free and sovereign being. I take great care and respect of my health. I am responsible for it. Should I require medical intervention I would consider the risks and benefits prior to consent. I am therefore against any individual or institutions deciding what is safe/effective or necessary when the opposite may be true and where my health could be jeopardised.
Nutrition Science	I am a clinical Nutrition Practitioner. I do not support this proposed Pandemic Treaty. Any medical treatment should only be recommended by a GMC registered Medical Practitioner with a relationship abd knowledge of the patients health history. The Public Health officials can only ADVISE never mandate. I have no trust in the WHO as they are not independent nor impartial as they accept funds or donations from individual countries and individuals who expect reciprocity. I have no trust in the studies conducted nor conclusions used by the WHO as the basis for their global advice as there will always be conflict of interest bias. It is my understanding that under the terms of the Treaty, the WHO dictates supercede sovereign nation laws. I would NEVER support this premise. The WHO is not voted in by the people of a sovereign state so has no validity under current UK laws. I will never support a change in UK laws which makes allowances or caveats.
Center for Natural Healing	I am a complementary and alternative medical practitioner (CAM). The wisdom of my healing practice can be summed up in three principles; 1. All that's ever happening is energy moving - Where the mind goes, energy flows. Where energy flows, biology goes. 2. All healing happens at the level of consciousness/mind - From above (God) and within (God) 3. For dis-ease to manifest, the conditions must be right for it to manifest - Healing (balance) happens in conditions that bring ease. None of these deeply fundamental principles depend on governments, nor organizations like the World Health Organization or the World Economic Forum, to facilitate solutions for healing. These principles rely on the protection of individual sovereignty and a relationship with the Creator. The basic premise of my practice's mission statement is; non-invasive preventative care. When our public health officials told us to "Stay home, take a Tylenol and wait", and then said, "Wait for the vaccine", I knew that the intelligence driving that direction would result in more harm than help, that we were embarking on the era of medical malfeasance. A commonsense approach to preparedness of any toxin in our environment would be to bring together a consortium of doctors; allopathic, functional medicine, naturopathic, as well as doctors and practitioners of eastern healing arts, indigenous elders and healers, spiritual leaders and healers to help prevention and early intervention. We now know that early intervention is paramount to prevent illness altogether, severity, and death. Health and healing should always be the decision of the individual and the family.
The Chinese University of Hong Kong	I am a computer scientist working as a contractor for my organization. The people here in Hong Kong has been more compliant than expected, seeing its fury in 2019, and I have spoken with many of them. They say the current medical countermeasures in this city have greatly inconvenienced them and they are jealous of the restrictions lifted in other parts of the world. The press may be controlled but the sentiment is clearly not. My submission is this: explicitly make provision for local, neighbourhood-specific (not just region-specific) pandemic preparedness plans. We here in Hong Kong, where I live, understand the motivation behind a uniform preparedness plan. It appears easier to implement. However, in practice, as we have seen in the 2020 pandemic, different regions have different degrees of responsiveness towards the virus. In Hong Kong people were very compliant because of the high degree of digitization of labour here. But in India and Africa, lockdowns were hugely unenforceable. The WHO needs to make sure the guidelines created must be enforceable in that even apparent resisters are allowed to put into practice their own custom pandemic preparedness plans even if they differ from the official WHO policy to any extent. In short: make provision for discrepancies in preparedness, especially at the level of smaller regions. Strict uniformity will lead to backlash but this provision will mitigate much damage that could be caused by clashes between the rule-followers and rule-breakers. As the resistance prophet Moses would say, "Let my people go".
The Chinese University of Hong Kong	I am a computer scientist working as a contractor for my organization. The people here in Hong Kong has been more compliant than expected, seeing what it has gone through in 2019, and I have spoken with many of them. They say the current medical countermeasures in this city are very inconvenient to them and they are jealous of the restrictions being lifted in other regions in the world. The press may be controlled but the sentiment is clearly not. My submission is this: explicitly make provision for local, neighbourhood-specific (not just region-specific) pandemic preparedness plans. We here in Hong Kong, where I live, understand the motivation behind a uniform preparedness plan. It appears easier to implement. However, in practice, as we have seen in the 2020 pandemic, different regions have different degrees of responsiveness towards the virus. In Hong Kong people were very compliant because of the high degree of digitization of labour here. But in India and Africa, lockdowns were hugely unenforceable. The WHO needs to make sure the guidelines created must be enforceable in the sense that even apparent resisters are allowed to put into practice their own pandemic preparedness plans even if they differ from the official WHO policy to any extent. In short: make provision for discrepancies in preparedness, especially at the level of smaller regions. Strict uniformity will lead to backlash but this provision will mitigate much damage that could be caused by clashes between the rule-followers and rule-breakers. As the prophet Moses would say, "Let my people go".
Self	I am a concerned citizen and father of 4 living in the United States and I am opposed to the proposed treaty. We cannot allow centralized control over pandemic response as each sovereign country and I turn local governments should be able to listen to their constituents and decide what is best for the PEOPLE. The WHO response to the COVID-19 pandemic has been abhorrent. Testing was a farce, early treatment has been suppressed as have adverse reaction to the ineffective and un safe vaccines. Governments across the world should listen to their constituents first because it's about the power of the people not the people in power.
Stand For Health Freedom	I am a concerned citizen that does not want me health freedom to be interfered with by other countries. The US is a sovereign nation and should not sign a treaty that would jeopardize our sovereignty. Many of our sons and daughters fought to make this country free and signing this treaty would make us go backwards. The WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Thank you for taking my words into consideration.
Concerned Citizen	I am a concerned citizen writing to express my strong opposition to the WHO mandating anything to any country, organization, or citizen. The WHO should be an advisory council only. Nations must be allowed to decide their own fates, as evidenced by Sweden, which ignored all your COVID policies and fared the best in the world. Nations require complete sovereignty and freedom to choose their destiny.
Hyperion Academics	I am a concerned mother who is worried about the overreach of WHO to dictate what my family can put into their bodies without any recourse if there are consequences. I have an aunt who had a stroke 10 days after receiving a Pfizer booster vaccine. Right after the injection, she had an immediate pounding headache. If my aunt has another booster, it could kill her. My entire family is on alert and very concerned regarding any more injections. How can you dictate that children must take the shot when studies have shown that one in 2000 male children could get myocarditis, a condition that has a 50% fatality rate in 5 years? This is for an age group that has extremely low contraction and death rates. It doesn't make sense. Local communities should make the decisions for themselves regarding public health. California and Florida had wildly different reactions to the crisis but similar rates of infection and deaths just the same. In countries such as Japan and Mexico, rates fell precipitously when early protocols such as the use of Ivermectin where administered. Will WHO dictate physician protocols? What I see if this is implemented is a tearing away from Western based systems and a new autonomy when it comes to health matters. Countries such as Japan cannot afford to lose population due to badly panned and ill-prepared substances. Despite the wealth and global standing of the US, we have the absolutely worst outcomes. We don't treat COVID immediately; instead we let it get worse. The rest of the world have different protocols and earlier interventions with better results. How many families will have an injured loved one? WHO should abandon this misguided quest to have 'one ring rule them all.'
concerned member of the public	I am a concerned UK Citizen. I believe that countries should be free to decide their own preparedness for pandemics, based on their knowledge of their citizens. I consider it unacceptable that an unelected group should be in a position to impose its version of what it feels is best for every country and every person on the planet in regard to said "Pandemic preparedness". It is a massive over reach of power, and I fear that this kind of Globalist Agenda is a risk to freedom and democracy. I worry that there are nefarious forces wishing to impose their will under the guise of a "Pandemic".
Self	I am a critical care nurse. I know from experience with patients that public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. I do not want the WHO to dictate what policies are implemented in the US. The WHO should only be a guide that countries can seek for more input to a health situation. The Pandemic has caused a major lack of trust in institutions, government, academia, and medicine. I no longer look to the FDA, CDC, WHO, NIH etc. as a trusted source. Turn down this treaty. It is NOT GOOD for the US or any country.
French Hospital of St Louis	I am a doctor with 40 years of experience in various fields. The pandemic service of the World Health Organization should reflect the similarities and differences that we find in the needs of every individual. Not every country is the same, but neither are they completely different. As with every illness or disease, the response should be tailored to local or personal conditions. It would be a disaster if the WHO tried to monopolize and control the pandemic response of sovereign states, because it is neither possible nor desirable for the WHO to be mindful of the whole variety of conditions that exist in the world. The role of the WHO is that of advisor and enabler in the case of countries which lack the personnel and resources. Thank you.
US citizen	I am a free man, and will not be subject to the haphazard decisions of any group. Health organizations can provide all the recommendations that they want, but it is up to each individual to decide what is best for them. I will defend my constitutional rights against any organization to the end. PS. I could not find your terms of Participation, so I don't consent to that either.
private	I am a free person. I don´t want an international pandemic treaty. I believe, that this is a way for the big investor´s to make more money. I don´t believe, that this is for the best of the normal people!!!!
Myself	I am a hospice RN in East Texas, United States who has recovered from COVID-19, as did my entire family ranging in age from 24 to 96, after receiving early treatment. I am a proud Texan and a proud American woman with significant nursing skills and many years of experience caring for human beings. I do not need oversight from an organization overseen by the United Nations, though I am always willing to consider recommendations and make my own choice. Should the WHO choose to implement the global pandemic treaty it proposes, I insist that the treaty serve ONLY as a guideline for nations dealing with a pandemic with decision making by national and local governments being the final outcome. Local leaders understand their communities, and their unique perspectives and challenges that global organizations cannot fathom. Therefore, a global organization cannot, in my opinion, effectively make decisions for all nations and peoples. Nations and local regions should have the right to consider implementing WHO recommendations or opt out of implementing any or all of them based on the needs of their communities, without retribution or penalty of any sort. Public health should not be addressed with a "one size fits all" approach; it is much more nuanced than that and is highly affected by local mores and cultures. All people affected by a global public health recommendation should have the right to vote on it and decline the recommendations if they so choose. Furthermore, the process should be devoid of influence by pharmaceutical companies, governments, organizations or other stakeholders who may receive substantial financial compensation. Public health should be about health, not money. Respectfully, Patricia Roberts, BSN RN CHPN
n/a	I am a licensed physician in the USA. Under no circumstance do I want the WHO or any other organization forcibly directing my nation's pandemic response for Covid or any other disease.
Earth	I am a living man created by God almighty, and I do not consent to this pandemic treaty. This is theft of mankind's autonomy given to us by the one and only living God Yahweh, and I didn't elect some private company to tell me what to do. I will not comply.
mankind	i am a man and i speak to you either a man or a woman of mankind that hold title in the Wold Health Organization. what is true man retains full autonomy to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best in his or her vicinity. any organization, nation or person of title that imposed consequence on a man or woman for not accepting any or all portions of any agreement violates the rights of man and woman. any voting must be a transparent process and give all man and woman the opportunity to cast their vote and include fail safes for the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of man or woman do not want it. furthermore to include measure that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Man	I am a man. I am not the property of the World Health Organization. The W.H.O. is not an elected authority, nor does the W.H.O have any right to administrate property of any man or woman. There is no contract between I and the W.H.O that relinquishes my God given right to self determination. If any man or corporation cannot produce as evidence, a written contract between I and any service corporation, there shall be no compliance, by ANY man, including I, to ANY demands,laws,statutes,ordinances,codes,or rules, made by any service corporations.
Self Employed	I am a member of the general public. I work privately in mental health services. The issue most important to me is Informed Consent and mandated Jabs for Jobs. I lost my job as result of these mandates. I also do not support the introduction of digital passports on the back of this, or any other, pandemic. Other issues of importance to me is the negligence of the TGA in releasing experimental vaccines to the general public without the due testing usually applied to new medicines, and the question of who is responsible for resulting vaccine injuries and deaths, as well as the accurate recording of these events. I am also in opposition to globally agreed directives mandating the sovereign governing of individual countries and states as to the responses to pandemic events, including the classification of what constitutes a pandemic rather than an epidemic as well as the classification of and the powers given to governing bodies in a Sate of Emergency setting.
citizen	I am a PhD Scientist and I am writing as a citizen with the greatest respect up till now for the World Health Organisation. I believe that this agreement is unnecessary and it is fundamental that nations have their own sovereignty and inalienable rights. Nations should decide for themselves, democratically, if they need to impose measures on their own people. Any discussions/decisions should be made without the input of vested interests, eg pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers who stand to gain financially from the decision. This needs to be an open and transparent process where all people of the world can vote including protection to prevent application where the majority of people do not want it. This treaty is not called for and unless it is totally transparent and democratic it has the potential to do much more harm than good.
Not affiliated	I am a philosopher of ethics and an expert on vaccine mandates: https://jme.bmj.com/content/48/4/240 I submit, on the following grounds, that the new international instrument must explicitly prohibit any discrimination on the basis of vaccination status. 1. Vaccine mandates imply that all humans are born in a defective, inherently harmful state that must be biotechnologically augmented to allow our unrestricted participation in society, and this constitutes discrimination on the basis of healthy, innate characteristics of the human race. 2. Discrimination against the unvaccinated denies the right to free medical consent. This must not be allowed under any circumstances, because without the right to free medical consent we have no rights at all; every other right can be subverted by medical coercion. 3. Vaccines are known to occasionally cause deaths of healthy people. Discrimination against the unvaccinated amounts to a violation of the right to life, because a small percentage of the targeted individuals are expected to die as a result of this coercive treatment. It may be objected that viruses also kill people, but these two categories of deaths are not ethically equivalent. Infection with viruses is not mandated, whereas deaths resulting from mandatory vaccination are mandated deaths, a legalised killing of some people for the prospective benefit of the majority. I believe we agree that the right to life must not be violated, in which case vaccine mandates are inconsistent with the fundamental moral commitments of The WHO.
private person	I am a private person, mother, wife and professional. It is not up to you to circumvent the sovereignty of the countries to introduce or enforce interests funded by lobby organizations.
Loyola Medical Center	I am a professor in the Loyola Stritch School of Medicine and have been practicing academic medicine for 37 years. The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. The national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is deemed appropriate and best for their local population. 2. Each nation and local municipalities should retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people or the local leadership do not want it. 4. Measures that prohibit any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VinUniversity	I am a professor of Data Science with 30 years international experience in 4 countries and major organisations and universities. The first principle of Data Science is collect good data. I am representing myself and not my university. The WHO needs to institute independent and rigorous safety data collection. While billions of dollars of public money has been spent on advertising vaccines, and billions of dollars of profits in industry, very little has been spent on reliable collection of vaccine safety data, and indeed whistle-blowers claim many hospitals discourage reporting by policy. The VAERS, MHRA and similar are widely dismissed by decision makers, are currently yielding the highest per-dose signals ever recorded, and the only evaluation done of VAERS, the Harvard Pilgrim Study, showed their signals heavily underestimate. Moreover, consider the BMJ opinion piece "The illusion of evidence based medicine" 16/03/22, discussing corporate corruption of experimental trials. There is a strong history of usually retired senior academics making similar claims. We cannot rely on industry or industry funded bodies like the CDC to manage the safety data collection.
retired (former "custos" of physics of the HTBLVA Graz Ortweinschule, former	I am a scientist who relies on his own observations, who has recognized alarming tendencies in the behavior of pharma industry and governments during the COVID-19 pandemic - which I urge you to avoid - and who knows well how it could have been and still could be done better. I therefore urge you to follow this principle for any pandemic treaty: Principle: Maximum resilience of a population is achieved by helping essentially different groups of people with pursuing different ways (when facing a problem like a pandemic) they can identify themselves with, in fair competition with other ways, by continuously evaluating, publishing and comparing results and progresses of ALL these groups, and using the achieved performance as an objective basis for allocating rights and/or restrictions to each group during the emergency situation. Violation of the above principle and suppression of „unpleasant" information was and is the source of: Loss of confidence into decision-makers and health authorities, division of societies leading to hate mostly based on incomplete information, „dictatorship" of a numerically predominant part of the society over a minority which – being aware of alternatives to potentially harmful vaccinations – is felt by those affected as a massive violation of human rights, as a war of the majority against them. The role of the WHO in any pandemic treaty must therefore be to provide a platform for objective comparisons of ALL competing treatments, to force research into ALL of them and to prohibit any government's enforcement of a single one.
Sovereign living man	I am a sovereign living man, i have rights under God, i will not be controlled by the WHO , the WHO has no right to impose any medical procedure on the public, this is contrary to the Nuremberg code and human rights act , the UK government cannot legally sign my human rights away to the WHO, the WHO has lied, about the Covid 19 Panademic, the PCR tests are false and give faulty data which is designed to generate fear in the public, the so called Vaccines are being forced through under emergency use Authorisation, this is illegal because there should be informed consent given prior to any medical procedure, the vaccines are causing many serious adverse reactions including death, children are being vaccinated so that the vaccines can be introduced as a everyday use vaccine, this is contary to the prior tests which had to be carried out for years under scutiny to test for adverse reaction and side effects. The WHO intends to medically control the worlds population and impose compulsory vaccinations on people who neither want or need them. The WHO do not have the peoples interests at heart, they have spent years forcing unethical , unneccesary , dangerous medical procedures on people, i will not comply with the WHO if this bill is passed, anyone trying to impose unwanted medical procedures on me or my family will be met with non compliance .
English citizen	I am a sovereign being and object emphatically to the supposed ruling classes dictating were I am allowed to go. Who I am allowed to go with and when. I am able to make my own decisions regarding my health and do not require the WHO or UN to make those decisions for me based on a false narrative. You are intending to cross a line with free sovereign beings . I would suggest that you take your future plan to rule the working class.
None	I am a sovereign being living as a citizen in the sovereign country of the United States. My rights are not, and will never be, dictated to me by any global agency.
Self	I am a sovereign being. It is unlawful to MANDATE/FORCE any course of medical treatment on me that I do not FREELY Choose to TAKE!!!! I WILL NOT COMPLY!
Industrial Evolutions New Zealand Limited	I am a Sovereign Citizen of NZ and do not want decisions concerning my health determined by anyone except by my own educated understandings. Accordingly, I declare that neither the NZ Government's Department of Health, let alone a foreign centralised Health Body that has any legitimates rights over the health of any individual in the world and certainly not over citizens of any Sovereign country irrespective of whether the leaders of such Sovereign countries have assigned these responsibilities to them.
AbbVie	I am a sovereign citizen of the Republic of the USA and I make my own health decisions for myself and my child. I am also a child of the most high living God and the Holy Spirit guides me in all my decisions. I do not follow the advice of any man or institution of this fallen world! I will always stand with God. I'd rather die on my feet than live on my knees! I will never do what the government or the WHO recommends since I am full awake and know they are not acting on my best interest but rather their own!

Organization	Written submission
Public	I am a sovereign entity under Common Law. Under NO circumstances will ANYONE dictate to me what substances enter my body. Under NO circumstances will ANYONE dictate to me what is "best" for the common good, without allowing me my God given right to determine what the truth is about ANY matter and act accordingly. Health or otherwise. My country is a sovereign nation under international law. Under NO circumstances will ANYONE dictate to us what is an appropriate response to any kind of declared medical emergency. Under NO circumstances will ANYONE dictate to us what is "best' for the common global good without allowing us our God given right to determine what the truth is about ANY global matter and act accordingly. You my believe the Government of New Zealand act on behalf of 'the people' of New Zealand when signing agreements with WHO. They do not. Period. The New Zealand government is acting illegally under He Whakaputanga - Declaration of Independence, 1835 .. and Te Tiriti o Waitangi 1840. We the people DO NOT CONSENT to our governments illegal behaviour and will NOT abide by any decisions they have made on our behalf regarding this, or any other international treaty.
TAYGAN PTY LTD	I am a sovereign man from Australia. This breaches my and all sovereign beings of all lands rights. I do not consent to corporate government entities surrendering my rights
Anti New World Order	I am a sovereign person within a sovereign nation. This agreement will take away a countries ability to assess risks for itself and implement any necessary steps to protect its population. Such power in the WHO's hand will enable steps to be taken which may effect a countries ability to adequately care for its people and economy. In the wrong hands, it may be used to encourage agendas detrimental to a countries best interests. For example, the New World Order, 'Levelling Up', energy issues.
Physician	I am a U.S. physician and strongly opposed to worldwide "experts" with medical training making decisions for the entire human species. We in the U.S. choose our elected officials to make those decisions for us. WHO needs to butt out. They have shown complete incompetence in the current pandemic.
Private Citizen	I am a US citizen and I a make the following comments in opposition to the WHO being solely in charge of health decisions and/or mandates for the world's population, in the event of a world pandemic or other world health issue. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. The process must be open and transparent with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I am a US citizen and live in the US. I DO NOT want the WHO making any decisions with regard to my health, community nor any emergency orders that would effect my immediate environment. the WHO organization performed politically and horribly during the Covid crisis I object to any form of a treaty thtat would give the WHO and jurisdiction over the US. Back off!
Private concerned citizen	I am a very concerned citizen of Canada and the world. For the following reasons I whole heartedly disagree with the pandemic response so far and I would like to reject and STOP THE TREATY! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I do not agree with the WHO and it's agenda to have power over our Charter Rights of Freedom in Canada.
Individual	I am a very concerned citizen who strongly believes that the proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. Yours sincerely Tali Atzmon
General Public	I am a very worried citizen and i truly believe that global agreement is not necessary. as it will interfere with other countries democracy. I personally think that each and every country should be in charge of it's own health policies. I also strongly believe that each and every individual should manage his own medical intervention and should never ever be mandatory as this just against our Human Rights.
#stopthetreaty	I am a woman, a daughter of an American hero, a Christian, an independent voter, an RN, a wife, a mother of 5, active in my church & community! Please STOP the treaty! We, alone, have rights over our own bodies! Thank you, & again… "Stop the Treaty."
American	I am able to make my own medical decisions about my individual body and it's needs along with my decision!!!!
WHO	I am absolutely against a controlling world governing body It is totally against all the different countries and their Sovereignty. That to me is it in a nutshell Stay out of our affairs thank you very much. Australia, as a nation, is quiet well able to maintain the health standards that they have managed for decades.
Independent	I am absolutely against it The gouvermants can decide it for yourself. We net not more interference from the WHO.
Citizen	I am absolutely horrified that the WHO is taking it upon itself to further erode our democratic systems by attempting to over-ride the power of our sovereign states in decision making. It is wholly unacceptable for any global body to get their tentacles into our systems of government, no less a body that has made so many grave errors, whether deliberate or simply due to total incompetence. In direct response to the question I think that the key inclusion for me is that all states must remain sovereign in their decision making on health issues. That is the beginning and the end of the discussion for me, career politicians with globalist agendas have proven themselves incompetent and dangerous.
Goldstein Business Group	I am absolutely in disagreement with this initiative. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. Please cease and desist with all pursuits of this pandemic treaty.
LL	I am absolutely not in favour of the WHO dictating our pandemic response. It is abundantly clear what a complete mess has been made of the past two years. The WHO is a corrupt organisation that has no clue about responding to any pandemic. Neither will individual citizens of countries follow its lead regardless of what those counties agree to. Pointless.
Citizen of the United States of America and of Planet Earth	I am absolutely opposed to any one size fits all approach to pandemic response. Each country should take a measured and scientific approach to restrictions placed on their citizens, examining the true risks vs benefits and MUST INCLUDE a TRUTHFUL REPORTING OF FACTS. No organization has the power to usurp the laws protecting the freedom and health choices of a sovereign nation. Period. Full Stop. End of Story.
Private citizen	I am absolutely opposed to any version of the proposed agreement going forward. WHO has demonstrated sufficient technical, medical and political incompetence and or malfeasance during the current pandemic that there can be no confidence that any of the proposed future pandemic management language will be of useful service to anyone at individual levels, anywhere, while evidence for probable repeat overstep and damage is current, abundant and verifiable.
Self	I am absolutely opposed to the so-called Pandemic Treaty being proposed by the WHO. This is draconian and will only serve to further erode our rights and democracies. Non-elected technocrats should never have this kind of unfettered power. The last 2 years have shown that this is a very bad idea. I will oppose this with every means possible.
self	I am ABSOLUTELY, UNEQUIVOCALLY OPPOSED TO ANY international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO is a political machine. It is not run by a doctor nor is it funded by doctors. Furthermore, its authoritarian and blanket advice to all countries of the world during covid has been absurd and catastrophic to people's mental and physical health as well as livelihoods. Everyone knows the WHO's instructions have nothing to do with health; they are all about control. And, as an American, I will stand for the sovereignty of my country to do what is right by Americans and the sovereignty of each state to do what is right by its citizens. And, above all, I stand for the God given right that every person in the world should have sovereignty over his/her own body. This power grab by the WHO is appalling. NO TO ANY INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT THAT CONTROLS THE LIVES OF PEOPLE AROUND THE WORLD AND NULLIFIES THEIR CONSTITUTIONS. THE THOUGHT ALONE IS BEYOND DISTURBING! We will make our own health decisions, not you!
Private	I am absolutly against any International Instrument on pandemic prepareness. Best regards from Vienna
none	I am adamantly opposed to any further centralization of control or authority with respect to health decisions or anything else. If the pandemic proved anything, it proved that further concentration only leads to an amplification of mistakes. We need to provide accurate information, which to who declined to provide during the pandemic, we need to enable competent leaders, who are subject to accountability at the ballot box, be providing for our safety and security. No more globalist cocktails.
Good Neighbors Realty	I am adamantly opposed to the Pandemic Treaty because it is an unprecedented power grab that will strip away the power of choice from national governments. This sinister Treaty has absolutely nothing to do with health and is all about the centralization of power and control into an unelected one world government. The horrific scenes of tyranny including mass suicides and government imposed starvation and brutality that we are now witnessing in Shanghai and Australia could become the norm in every country if this "Treaty" is approved. The world has awakened to the Great Reset and freedom loving people everywhere are fighting against the 2+ year freedom wrecking Covid-19 onslaught. This Treaty is the culmination of this two year trend towards global tyranny. We will defend our national sovereignty and continue fighting against the stripping of our individual freedoms orchestrated by the W.H.O. and the World Economic Forum. Say no to the Pandemic Treaty and Yes to human liberty!
I am a concerned citizen.	I am adamantly opposed to the proposal to give WHO the authority to make decisions for global health through their pandemic policy proposal. I find it greatly alarming that there would be agreement to such a plan. The risks for overriding freedom of choice are great. One size fits all does not work. It will kill people. This proposal would put the final nail in the coffin for freedom of choice, freedom of speech and democratic systems of governance. I urge you to please oppose this proposal on behalf of all of humanity – decisions are being made that do not support the health and well-being of the global populace.
None	I am adamantly opposed to WHO becoming the only entity handling any future pandemic. I am a firm believer that only boots in specific geologic locations on the ground are in the best position to determine what is needed. If we have learned anything from the Covid 19 pandemic it is that teams of doctors within each country are the top experts on how to solve the next steps. Functioning teams of doctors in each country is best to take responsibility for disasters or pandemics. Instead, The WHO should function as a supplier to the needs of each country affected by pandemics or disasters. If volunteers are needed to help a country then the WHO sends volunteers as well as supplies. The WHO should never act as send personnel to assist any country in a military manner or to assist in carrying out the will of dictators as we saw happen in Canada! This goes against the freedoms of every country!
Elder college	I am ademently opposed to the Pandemic Treaty as proposed by WHO. It makes discussion and comparative argument impossible by other institutions and individuals.
N/A	I am agains the WHO taking any decisions on my and my children's behalf regarding our health . ever.
DCC PC	I am against a global response to pandemics where States and Countries are not allowed to decide what is best for their respective communities. I am against global mandates set forth by the WHO.
Bildung	I am against a global treaty for pandemic prevention by the WHO, who are not a democratically elected body! I am for the sovereignty of each individual state, for self-determination of each person over his or her body and for the assumption of responsibility and costs for vaccination damage by the pharmaceutical industry. In addition, only vaccines that have been adequately tested, properly licensed, and not still in the testing phase and not allowed to be used beyond the expiration date should be used for vaccination.
private person	I am against a legally binding global pandemic treaty. The measures against a pandemic need to take the local circumstances into account. Therefore I am in strong favor of keeping the decision power over pandemic responses with the local governments of the individual countries. Thanks for considering my opinion.
self	I am against a legally binding pandemic agreement. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
Personal Capacity	I am against a new international instrument as NO person or body should have to power to determine what another person should or shouldn't do. The concept behind this pandemic preparedness is a power grab and an instrument whereby a self elected organisation can control the people of the world.
U. S. Sovereign person	I am against a one world fits all health protocol. Nothing good can come out of absolute power from an unelected group of people on a global scale without the consent of the world's populace. Each soul on this planet should have a say in what medical procedures are beneficial or harmful to them and their families. Thank you for your consideration.
Privat	I am against a pandemic contract . NO PANDEMICCONTRACT Safe the Human rights and the Souveränität of the different nations, which are democraticly elected by the people
private	I am against a pandemic contract!
AFLDS Citizen CORPS	I am against a pandemic treaty with the W.H.O. Keep health decisions at home and do not sell out the rights of the American people.
"	I am against a pandemic treaty, each citizen should decide for himself how he behaves.
Self	I am against a treaty forcing ANYONE to take a medical procedure against their will. Full stop
United States Citizen	I am against a treaty that gambles my nation's sovereignty administered by an organization, WHO, of unproven effectiveness in dealing with the Covid infection. The lack of leadership, the choking of public information and scientific inquiry and data, the failed authoritarian responses, the spreading of misinformation about the origin and compromised drug company involvement all point to the WHO's inability to pose any cogent leadership against this disease. To now hand unelected officials associated with this organization unlimited power over world "health" responses, making life and death decisions across the planet replacing individual freedom and choice, is a mistake.
None	I am against a universal WHO pandemic response system for all. All communities should vote for their own towns regulations and behaviors individually, that are suitable for their community. Further said: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Private united state citizen	I am against a world governing body that would supercede a country's own constitution and sovereignty. Let each country determine how they will handle a pandemic response. No mandated rushed vaccines. Please respect our human rights for self determination! I can make the best decisions for myself and family, NOT a world organization. Please provide INFORMATION so we can make the best decisions. Thank you
None	I am against a world pandemic treaty as it will limit my ability to travel and maintain control of my own body. If a person's own research tells them that getting a vaccination is dangerous to them, it would not be right to try and force them to take one. A person's right to choose their own course of action as it pertains to themselves, must be kept intact. Please consider how this would override a person's better judgement on how to take care of themselves. Thanks for your attention to this matter. Mark
Nil	I am against a world wide WHO lead and enforced global pandemic plan. However, if one was formed, I would support only a structure such as this: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Entrepreneur, private sector	I am against all elements and instruments.
private	I am against an "international instrument" that hast nothing to do with fighting against a desease but is a totalitarian tool to control the Population.
Teresa Saenz-US Citizen	I am against an international global pandemic treaty. All health decisions need to remain within each country and each state and local governments. WHO should not be allowed to take away informed consent and active co-operation from the public. I urge you to vote against allowing a global pandemic treaty.
private	I am against an international instrument in the form of a binding pandemic treaty via WHO. International treaties that remove the sovereignty and power from the United States are dangerous to the health and well-being of all Americans. With a WHO treaty, decision-making would be out of the hands of US and state officials. Health related questions need to be dealt with on a local/regional level to address existing conditions within the region. There is no "one size fits all" approach to health crises.
Self dependent	I am against an international pandemic treaty
private	I am against an international, binding pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccinations are an encroachment on the supreme fundamental right to bodily integrity and - as we have seen in the last two years - lead to unlawful discriminatory measures. In all measures, all health interests must be taken into account. These include not only the psychological consequences of coercion, but also human rights and social and economic interests, all of which must be carefully weighed. New vaccines must also be tested over the long term and be absolutely safe. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to the member states. A pandemic treaty must therefore also not take precedence over national constitutional law. All decision-making bases must be made comprehensively transparent and subjected to a peer review process. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. In particular, critical voices must be included in the decision-making body. Apart from this, it must be possible to purchase vaccines and medicines at cost or at a minimal profit in the event of a pandemic.
Free Woman	I am against and urge to stop the proposed treaty. Every person and country is different and there is no one plan that fits all. Our local governors should review current data and experts to determine the best plans for our communities. #stopthetreaty
Family	I am against any and all aspects of this "international instrument" of pandemic preparedness and response. I believe in complete sovereignty of individual nations.
PSI BD Ltd	I AM AGAINST ANY BLANKET PROPOSAL WHICH DOES NOT ALOW A FULL DEMOCRATIC PROCESS. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	I am against any country giving up their Medical freedom to you agency. We have a Bill of rights that is not for anyone To override. Who do you think you are? To even dare suggest or dare to try to take it from us. GO AWAY
free person	I am against any Covid related changes. Countries must be independent!
US Citizen	I am against any document/agreement that seeks to undermine the sovereignty of the United States and its citizens; forcing us into alignment with a global governing body. Every nation needs to make its own decisions regarding emergency response to threats and pandemics that align with its own values, judgements and that is welcomed by its own citizenry. In a world where there is only one answer to threats how can hindsight comparisons of effective vs ineffective responses be evaluated. In a world with only one answer what happens when that answer is wrong?
Private citizen	I am against any governing body mandating any health care intervention that forces an individual to receive treatments, injections, or other interventions that violate informed consent laws, demand compliance under threat of job loss, exclusion from care and services, or any other form or coercion. Informed consent means that an individual receives full information giving both the pros and cons of any suggested treatments, without censorship of alternatives, and is free to discuss any such with his/her health care practitioner, including alternative, natural, or other such care and choose, freely, a course of action. NO TO WORLD, STATE, OR LOCAL HEALTH CARE INTERVENTIONS ENFORCED AGAINST AN INDIVIDUAL'S WILL.
self	I am against any int'l pandemic policy. the entire world followed bad advice during these past two years. not you want to make it an agreement to follow what may yet again be more bad advice. dont get locked in. leave each jurisdiction to their preferred way to deal.
United States citizen within this SOVEREIGN NATION	I am AGAINST any international agency violating our sovereign rights to govern our own policies. International agencies are unelected and do not represent our nation. One size does NOT fit all, especially sovereign nations.
p/s332	I am against any international 'instrument' that forces all nations to adhere to a single medical practice.
Self	I am against any international treaty on Heath matters that are attempted to be implemented by WHO or the WEF. The WHO has shown they are a political body not interested in "REAL" science. Rather, Political science that has no bearing on real science, unencumbered by Big Pharm corruption has replaced the sanity of scientific discovery. Early treatment of Covid was banned to line the pockets of all involved. Millions of people were allowed to die untreated or treated to late to matter so that a political agenda could be reached. We the people of the world do not want more of this in the future!
private	I am against any international treaty that gives the WHO agency over decisions concerning people's health in my country.
Private Investor	I am against any involvement from WHO with U.S. Government laws, constitution and regulations. Every country needs to decide how to handle pandemics and what is the best course of action for them as every country is different. Centralizing this function leads to a concentration of power that results in bad decisions, unaccountability, corruption, and a one size fits all mentality that won't work for most countries. Stop This Insanity!
Private	I am against any new instrument of control! Just leave me alone!
Private	I am against any restrictions of individual liberties and against the expansion of control measures and physical assaults.
None	I am against any rule, law, regulation or mandate provision that infringes on my constitutional rights as a free citizen of the USA I am against USA entering treaty
family	I am against any state, nation or world "health" organization determining what goes into, on or brings any kind of exposure to my body. Man created government to protect us. The WHO is overstepping its boundaries when it presumes to mandate anything, be it lock downs, masks, vaccines or anything else that is man and woman's right to choose. You have shown yourselves incapable of unbiased policy decisions in the handling of covid, which when the numbers are accurately reported (rather than being inflated); and the deaths reported accurately was no more than a bad flu year. I am against the global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. I am against an intergovernmental negotiating body making decisions about health, food production, ecosystems, livestock and wildlife. Everytime some governmental agency has taken over the natural order of things, big money ensures that their own agenda dominates. Which means, that everything gets worse, rather than better. If you want to do some good on the planet, outlaw all chemicals being released into our air, water and crops. Make it illegal to produce or distribute vaccines and other medical poisons that have increased the rates of auto-immune disease. Support regenerative forms of agriculture and outlaw all Genetically modified crops. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program, and clearly many of us disagree with the "assumptions and decisions" that were made these past two years. We need people and communities in control of their own health, their own food and their choice to restrict chemicals, vaccines and radiation levels. Thank you so much for your attention to these matters.
Self	I am against any treaty from the US to WHO that can involve a violation of my us constitutional rights when it comes to control of my body and right to choose and/or break any covenant I have in place with with God and higher consciousness. We are free people and no outside organization has the right to violate my will and rights. Don Wortman
Self	I am against any treaty put forward by the WHO. They are unelected officials and should not be making decisions for sovereign countries and sovereign citizens.
Security Resources	I am against any treaty that is not passed by 2/3 of the US Senate. I do not believe decisions that effect us should be made by unelected bureaucrats, regardless of qualification or lack thereof. We fought a revolution against "taxation without representation". Control without representation is worse.
Citizen, just interested...	I am AGAINST any World Health Organization ability to dictate what goes on in individual countries. Period.
Fab Factory Studios	I am against any world treaty in regards to virus, specifically Covid 19 or any other illness or condition. I feel each country should make up their own policies in regards to these matters. Your group should remain as merely a suggesting board and in fact should have a more diverse board of those who actually live the lives you are trying to monitor and just not so called professionals who seem to be run by groups not aligned with my way of thinking or for the betterment of mankind.
Citizen of the World	I am against being included in a one centralized world wide response to any and all pandemic responses. To relinquish our autonomy and have people making decisions for individual nations is WRONG ! Individualism is what is needed…….one size does NOT fit all ! Countries will not comply and neither will I.
Privat	I am against compulsory vaccination, physcal Integrität is an inviolable right. Gene therapy is not a vaccination and the administered vaccines are increasing and are Not caculable. Iris Hacker-Maack Germany
Privat	I am against compulsory vaccination, physical integrity is an inviolable right ! Gene therapy is not a vaccination and the damages caused by the administered vaccines are increasing and are not calculable. Holmer Maack Germany
private person	I am against compulsory vaccination. Every person should be free to decide about his body.
privat	I am against extending so-called global pandemic guidelines. It does not serve health protection, but is just another totalitarian tool to control the population.
none	I am against giving any foreign agency, which has no accountability to the United States people, any jurisdiction over our sovereignty. There are too many blatant conflicts of interest between industry and regulatory agencies. Health decisions should be made on the individual level, between patient and doctor, without any outside coercion.
private	I am against global interference in health care. Citizens of each individual country are the only people who should be setting health practices. The proof is in the debacle that was enacted in 2020. We have a constitution that determines our choice of action.
Health freedom	I am against globalization of health care directed by The Who. Individual countries and states should be directing health care for their citizens.
Self	I am against have a world organisation controlling the future of pandemics and taking away my own rights to do what I want to do.
Heather Bester Nutrition	I am against international control of a pandemic response. The WHO's power grab will threaten our country of Canada's and our personal freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate that I do not give them. This agreement has the potential to increase tenfold WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. The WHO has failed miserably with Covid-19 which was NOT a pandemic at all. With this kind of record, I do not trust the WHO to control our pandemic response in the future.
No organization	I am against it and oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I am against it and want to decide for myself what is done with my body.
privat	I am against it! WHO is a privat non legal organisation and can not overthrow any countries legislation!
None	I am against it.
Cdcph	I AM AGAINST MANDATORY COVID VACCINATION OR ANY KIND OF MANDATORY THING ABOLISH THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION TREATY
Farmer	I am against my Country (Canada) signing on to this Treaty as it stands. Our National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, MUST be included. There MUST be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There MUST be easures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. So as it stands, I DO NOT CONSENT in any way to this Treaty or any form of agreement under public Health Emergency of International Consent (PHEIC). I DO NOT CONSENT that they represent me or my family and I oppose ALL decisions from now on and those taken in the past which need serious revision with the supervision of the people.
Crewcut Enterprises	I am against my Country (Canada) signing on to this Treaty as it stands. Our National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences, MUST be included. There MUST be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. There MUST be easures that do not allow for any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. So as it stands, I DO NOT CONSENT in any way to this Treaty or any form of agreement under public Health Emergency of International Consent (PHEIC). I DO NOT CONSENT that they represent me or my family and I oppose ALL decisions from now on and those taken in the past which need serious revision with the supervision of the people.

Organization	Written submission
Crewcut Enterprises	I am against my country (Canada) signing onto this treaty as it stands. Our National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, MUST be included. There MUST be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where majority of the people do not want it. There MUST be erasures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. So, as it stands, I DO NOT CONSENT in any way to this treaty or any form of agreement under Public Health Emergency of International Consent (PHEIC). I DO NOT CONSENT that they represent me, or my family and I oppose ALL decisions from now on and those taken in the past which need serious revision with supervision of the people.
Canada	I am against my country (Canada) signing onto this treaty as it stands. Our National and local leadership must maintain autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions as they see fit, without consequence, MUST be included. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people are not in favour. There MUST be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. So, as it stands, I DO NOT CONSENT in any way to this Treaty or any form of agreement under Public Health Emergency of International Consent (PHEIC). I DO NOT CONSENT that they represent me or my family and I oppose all DECISIONS from now on and those taken in the past which need serious revision with the supervision of the people.
General Public	I am against new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It will lead to global regime. World must remain free for all.
Individual	I am against not allowing countries to control their own health policies and individuals should and will have the right to choose their own right to choose what is best for themselves it should be their choice their body not mandatory.
None	I am against one size fits all policy on medical treatments of any kind. This violates all human rights and respect for bodily autonomy. A global doctrine that aims to implement sweeping pandemic preparedness worldwide is over reaching and an abuse of power by a select few, regardless of individual circumstances. Instead, you must uphold the following…. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I am against our nation, the US, participating in this proposed "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" It is NOT a matter of what should or should not be included, but whether any given nation should give up or lose its sovereign right to decide its own laws on health. I oppose this initiative on grounds that the US should not give up such sovereign right to decide how it handles any given national health situation.
None	I am against pandemic initiative by the WHO. During the coronavirus pandemic the the guidance by the WHO was lacking, and caused much harm in their response. Centralized power limits the testing of ideas to find the best path forward, centralizing power to the WHO will limit our ability to innovate and find the best practices when responding to crisis. Individual countries and territories should have the right to respond in a way they decide is best for their health. Taking advice from the WHO of one's own free will is a good thing, taking orders is not a good thing.
Self	I am against pandemic treaty. Pl refrain from interfering our life. We don't want you to control our life. We will decide what is good for us. Ayurved is self sufficient to cope up with any health issues.
The Remedy Center	I am against proposed amendments to the IHR that are scheduled to be voted on during the 75th Meeting of the World Health Assembly, May 22-28th. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. We humans are individuals. We have individual needs. Our bodies react differently to everything. Our individual lives matter. Let humans live freely. This treaty must not happen.
Beutner	I am against such an international "instrument". The WHO should immediately discontinue this format and reject such ideas, since this interferes with the sovereignty of the states and is not in harmony with the world population. Furthermore, the WHO will suffer a massive loss of reputation by such a procedure which will lead to the fact that about 50% of the world population will lose the confidence in the organization finally. This must not happen! The WHO should limit itself to its core competence and document, advise and inform independently of external influences. Furthermore, it should develop tools and recommendations that lead to transparent and resilient data within a pandemic event. This is important for a clean risk assessment, which is in the personal right of each individual.
No organization	I am against that agreement because it's against democracy and nationality
private	I am against that WHO gets so much Power … its a secret door in a very bad system.
Myself	I am against the ability of the WHO to over-rule the national health decisions I am against a world-based green pass WHO is a organization now hugely founded by private money, and therefore has no right to impose decisions on citizens which are based on private interests. WHO should limit itself on makig statistics, keep a watch on epidemics and provide advices.
Personal	I am against the decision of the World Health Organization to impose lockdowns and make independent decisions instead of holding referendums in any sovereign state.
personal	I am against the effort of the pandemic treaty with the WHO. The sovereignty and the decisions on health measures should remain in the respective states. The WHO is too dependent on Bill Gates, who is too involved in the pharmaceutical industry and therefore the WHO is not loyal for me. With kind regards P. Bohnert
Race4Life Trust	I am against the fact that WHO wants TOTAL CONTROL regarding any future pandemics or health agendas for the WHOLE WORLD. Every country has the right to its own sovereignty and must make its own decision with regards to its own people. You have only to look at what has happened with the handling by WHO for the Covid 19 pandemic all around the world. Massive lockdowns, job losses, medical segregation, covid casualties (people that could not be treated for other life threating diseases, treatments that could not take place. Huge divisions in families, work places and society, businesses closed and unemployment increasing and poverty increasing. The fact that there were so many people protesting to human rights seemed to have fallen on deaf ears. WHO is not listening to any of its people. One organization can not be responsible for the rights, of all people of this world, This is TOTAL CONTROL. Democracy is fast disappearing in this world. Look at the failure of WHO in letting early preventative methods happen, because it was not in the narrative of vaccines and huge profits to be made from the Pharmaceutical companies.
Citizen	I am against the formation of this dangerous global treaty. It is a power grab to centralize the control of global health. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people(individuals) and communities and individual nations to be in control of their own health, health programs, food and water sources in the case of a pandemic. No global organization has the right to dictate what that might be, and even less, in secret. Respectfully, Denise Clark
Personal	I am against the Global Pandemic agreement - each democratic country elects its own representatives, which should define our response to various emergencies, including pandemics, according to the will of its own people.
DieBasis	I am against the global Power of the WHO. Each country should care and dicide for his own people. Each illness habe different faces and different solutions in different states
Individual	I am against the health treaty being created by WHO. The WHO have no right to make medical decisions on my behalf or on behalf of my children and I do not give permission for my government to sign away my rights. If covid has shown us anything it is that governments and those in power manipulate and coerce those under their power of influence using fear and propaganda to the benefit of only the rich and powerful. Any decisions made by WHO will be heavy handed and overstepping and I am not prepared to subject myself to a blanket treatment regime that removes my right to choice.
Segurança Pública de São Paulo	I am against the idea of a global organization surpassing local laws. You are not a body of elected officials so you should not impose any legal action in my country or any contry whatsoever. Also, WHO Covid-19 response was not a good one, indicating that you are not prepared to handle pandemics.
None	I am against the idea of a global pandemic treaty Decisions when it comes to the next pandemic should not be made based off of a previously signed treaty but on a case by case basis. A Global treaty would remove the power from the US and it's citizens to be in control of their own health and welfare. Individual countries need to be able to decide for themselves when an emergency exists/arises - this should not be left to a pre existing global treaty This takes health decisions out of the hands of American families - please SAY NO
Person concerned about WHO actions	I am against the idea of WHO controlling or implementing global pandemic response. I didn't vote for WHO in any way, shape or form. WHO never had and never will have control over entire World, not in a pandemic nor otherwise. Every country has politicians in power that their citizens voted for. WHO stay in your lane and don't mess up with ppl anymore.2 yrs are long time and many won't put up with your restrictions anymore!
United States Citizen	I am against the implement of an international instrument in the form of a binding Pandemic Treaty via The WHO. United States Citizens vote on their elected officials through a democratic process, this is a usurp of such governing and leaves the fate and well-being in the hands of non-local officials. Only those who are within the radius of the individual know the true needs of their people and and out-of-country self-appointed official is reckless, illogical, and illegal. There is no "one-size-fits-all" solution to any of the pandemics, ever.
United States citizen	I am against the International Agreement for Pandemic Preparedness 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
	I am against the international treaty giving up all rights of pandemic to the WHO over sovereign rights and people. The decision should stay in hand of sovereign countries and nations
Ascot Homeopathic Clinic	I am against the mandating of Covid 19 vaccines for the following reasons. Each individual must have the right to choose or refuse any medical procedure. We are sovereign over our own body. No government or W.H.O. has the power to infringe this, as it is one of our human rights. The vaccines do not protect against catching or transmitting Covid, nor do they prevent hospitalisation or death. The figures (ONS and UKHSA) show that the more vaccines and boosters a person receives, the more likely they are to get infected. The adverse events and fatalities following the use of the Covid vaccines, are orders of magnitude higher than any other vaccine that has been developed. The Pfizer data which was released after a freedom of information request, lists nine pages of close-typed adverse events. This data is from just the first 3 months of the vaccine roll-out. This is a breach of the Hippocratic Oath to 'First Do No Harm'. The Covid vaccine damage figures in the UK alone head for 1.5 million. The treaty planned by the WHO would override the will of individual governments to manage the risk of Covid and future pandemics. At no point should pharmaceutical or global concerns who have a financial interest in selling and promoting vaccines be allowed to influence this decision. Already we have seen record myocarditis events in the young, particularly in athletes. We have seen the rate of miscarriages and stillbirths skyrocket in vaccinated pregnant mothers, also menstrual problems and difficulty conceiving and carrying a child to term. All this, for a virus which is now as mild as the common cold, which has an Infection Fatality Rate of less than 1%, and affects people with several comorbidities at the end of their natural life.
Private	I am against the Pandemic contract by WHO!!!
Private	I am against the pandemic legislation, because the WHO is partially privately funded. As precondition for considering such legislation, the WHO should be prohibited from receiving private funding, as this may lead to decisions favourable of sponsors rather than ordinary citizens.
self	I am against the Pandemic Treaty being proposed, please end this attempt and let the world return to normal with sovereignty for every nation.
Privatperson	I am against the proposed agreement from the WHO on pandemic prevention and pandemic preparedness because it is a threat to state sovereignty and the inalienable human rights of the human beings. As early as 2009, the WHO declared a controversial swine flu pandemic and opted the harmful H1N1 vaccine and regarding Covid-19, WHO supported inappropriate and harmful measures of isolation (lockdown), suppressed early preventive treatments that have been used successfully for so many years and recommended new products that are not sufficiently researched or effective and can cause serious consequences and even death (remdesivir, covid vaccines). I am against the proposed global pandemic agreement from the WHO which gives so much power to the WHO and which takes away the democratic rights of the people and the sovereignty of the states.
none	I am against the proposed global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response treaty that is in discussion at present. I am also against any further UN or WHO treaties that require nations or peoples to give up their autonomy and freedom to choose their destiny. I want the decentralization of control and power down to the individual human being, that each human being is his own autonomous and free nation and that no entity or person is allowed to deprive these rights.
NZ Citizen	I am against the signing of this treaty giving WHO the power to decide what happens in my country in any emergency situation. This is an overreach of power by an organisation outside of my country of origin.
private citizen	I am against the WHO being given the total authority over global pandemics. Aside from the fact they have done a dismal job on covid management, I as a citizen of UK did NOT elect the Who to this position....and I did NOT instruct my government to sign up for this abdication of power to the WHO.As an agency of the corrupt UN, the WHO should be given less power...NOT more. I hope I have made myself very clear...the world will NOT stand by and let this happen!
Geulah Life Center	I am against the WHO creating any guidelines for pandemic response. This organization's response to Covid was a total disaster, leading to millions of deaths from the disease and vaccine and millions of vaccine injuries. The first prerequisite for pandemic response should be to consult with worldwide medical experts.
none	I am against the WHO creating policy for the entire world that will dictate whether we are in a "pandemic" or not, whether we should shut down again, vaccine choice, and all mandates. You are about 50% funded by Bill Gates. You have not been proven to be honest enough and you are not a government. I did not elect you. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We should have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Tara
Self	I am against the WHO global pandemic treaty and the creation of an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body (INB) to work out the details of the global Pandemic Treaty planned for adoption at the 2024 World Health Assembly. The intended agreement is an unacceptable threat to the sovereignty of all individuals and would take health decisions out of the hands of families.
Cherry Childe shoolof dancing	I am against the Who interfering with governments, leave us alone.
Stand for Health Freedom	I am against the WHO making world wide one size fits all decisions for every nation. It is unreasonable to pre plan that kind of power and control over people. There must remain freedom for individuals and nations to autonomously and personally make decisions about our wellbeing and health issues. This sounds like a one world order kind of thinking and control and therefore it becomes more about money and power than care of humanity. People cannot be bought and coerced and controlled, we must fight for freedom to care for ourselves. I do not support any global pandemic treaty plans that remove freedoms. The WHO should never be allowed unlimited power over people.
None	I am against the WHO or any global treaty that impedes upon the rights of sovereign nations, countries or states. No organization should be able to enforce their laws or beliefs upon a sovereign nation or its people. The response to the 'Covid Pandemic "globally is a perfect example on how governments abuse and remove their citizens rights of freedom of choice . Mandating an experimental "vaccine" with no regards to human safety is immoral and unethical. There should be no GLOBAL Treaty or WHO in existence. Each nation should manage its own health issues.
Self	I am against the WHO Pandemic Preparedness Agreement. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities to be in control of their own health. The details of this treaty have not been widely shared with the communities around the world. We need transparency. We don't want the WHO to supersede the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. In the United States, people respect and honor their constitution, and they wouldn't be happy with this treaty. Please, let our countries decide our own health policies. Stop the treaty! Thank you for your attention and consideration, Sincerely, Natalia
Linda L. Skerbec LMFT	I am against The Who Proposal for the following reasons- 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I am against the WHO regulating Pandemic Policies in every Country considering that they have lied to us for the last two years. Countries are quite capable of regulating their own Pandemic Policies given each Country which must follow their laws and constitutions.
Self CHD.	I am against the WHO stating what any country must follow when it comes to pandemic responses and preparedness. Each country, indeed each city, should be able to care for its constituents as they see fit. Such a solution will enable those counties who have the resources to continue to work diligently on research and treatments, which can't then be shared with other countries. To mandate that everyone must follow the same protocols, no matter the disparity in wealth, population, resources, etc should not be allowed as it will stifle development, cures and thought. We are not machine. We are free human beings who should and must be able to assess our own needs and policies as the times reflect.
German citizen	I am against the WHO treaties that undermine national constitutions. the WHO is thereby granted too much power and the countries are deprived of their self-determination and the right to have a say. I am against an international digital vaccination card being forced on people.
Children's Health Defense	I am against the WHO treaty because I believe that 1) National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. For these and many other reasons I AM AGAINST THIS TREATY.
Citizen of USA	I am against the WHO treaty because I would like national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on any measures that will prevent the application of global agreements in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I am against measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I am against the WHO's proposal for a global pandemic treaty. Each country has its own elected body of representatives and we have the right to protest about their decisions if we disagree and can vote them out at the next election. The WHO is an UNELECTED body of people who should not be able to ride rough shod over the decisions of individual countries or states, especially as the advice pushed by the WHO and some of the decision making has been highly questionable. There seems to be too many individuals on the WHO and other globalist bodies who have vested interests in decision making which is not in the best interest of the global population. Just look at the advice about lockdowns and vaccines which were pushed by the WHO and the subsequent damage to economies of countries and the health of individuals.
citizen	I am against the whole plan , this shall pan out like a world government and I truly hope , enough nations do see the danger of this !!!
None	I am against The WHO's Pandemic Treaty. All countries should have the sovereignty to make their own decisions in a pandemic. One world treaty that takes power away from individual countries is unacceptable. The idea of a "one world" treaty should be abandoned!
No organization	I am against this agreement because it's against democracy and nationality
My health.com	I am against this as I believe every human being has sovereignty over their health and body. This pandemic of Covid 19 has shown that governments have relied on incorrect and unsubstantiated information and clearly made wrong decisions based on this. Using an untested Vaccine without understanding the implications on peoples health is criminal! Statistics are now showing that this 'vaccine' is causing death and disability. Perhaps your time would be better spent compensating victims and imprisoning the perpetrators!
Human being	I am against this contract - everybody has the right to decide whether and what kind of treatment one wants to receive - no organization should have so much power it has to be guaranteed that nobody is forced to any kind of treatment or gets discriminated for refusing a treatment No forced experimental medical treatment ! Pharmaceutical companies and government officials have to be made responsible and liable for any harm done and forced upon individuals „Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.“
None	I am against this contract!
EE. Co.	I am against this effort to remove the right of each country to fight pandemics the way they feel is best. Do not pass this instrument by WHO Organization.
Privat	I am against this EU-wide regulation, because it sets itself over the sovereign decisions of a country. It doesn't discuss and hear individual/country related issues or topics. The majority of comments will be ignored. Democratic rules will be destroyed.
Privat	I am against this for the following reasons new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Just a person	I am against this forced global rule that have been trying to push all of human since the closure that is controlled by only certain group of people we all know that it is communism. It is against constitution in the world wide and against Nuremberg code. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	I am against this global pandemic treaty and all it stands for. I ask that our American leaders take a stand against it also.
Microsoft	I am against this initiative of having a global system to restrict personal freedom and deprive personal privacy
Self	I am against this initiative per the following: Each country should allow their governing systems to represent the best interest of their populations per the democratic or governing structures that the people have elected. I should only adhere to the pandemic management initiatives that MY elected officials, that represent my region's vested interests, with regard to the safety decisions of me and my family. I want my private health and safety decisions to remain private and have the autonomy of democratic decision-making and voting for the leaders that my region selects. I do NOT agree with the WHO usurping decision-making authority on a blanket worldwide scale and having access to private health information. It does not make sense for the WHO to make global-wide decisions that have a risk to ignore nation and region dependent governing structures. It is a gross overreach and has grave and dangerous consequences. Do NOT proceed with this initiative.
Private party	I am against this legislation for government overreach. I am against vaccine mandates, mask mandates, lockdowns and anything that is unconstitutional. I don't agree with limiting states and countries rights to choose to live free when the federal government is trying to impose unconstitutional laws that restrict freedoms. Please stop trying to take our God given freedoms away!
Covid Updates	I am against this move. Philippines is a sovereign country.
self employed	I am against this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness that wants to reduce time for preparation of new vaccines instead of 10 years to have 3 months !!!
Individual	I am against this pandemic pact. Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Privat person	I am against this plans.
Privat person	I am against this proposal
0	I am against this proposal. Local Regions (Country, State, etc.)must establish their own response to situations in the future. One guideline for all fails to take into consideration the special qualities and characteristics of different areas and populations, which require different responses for effective outcomes.
Personal	I am against this proposition. Everyone should have their own choice in dealing with a pandemic. Please do not pass this.
none	I am against this supernational treaty that will enslave all humans to medical tyranny. We will not give up our rights to elected officials much less appointed ones. As a scientist, I know the lies and deceptions that have led to millions of deaths around the world. Do not place an unforgivable curse on yourselves by passing this illegal treaty.
US Citizen	I am against this treaty as each country has different needs and to make a one size fits all does not work well. I have great concerns for any treaty that may allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We need to be independent from such organizations. We must have the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on any issue. Thank you for your consideration.
none	I am against this treaty as it does not respect the autonomy of the individual. We must be free to make our own informed decisions. Thank you. Richard Forish
Teacher	I am against this treaty as it is put forward. The WHO have overstepped its authority in suggesting that it has the right to make rules for all people in all countries of the Earth. Any treaty they may work must include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Again, this treaty must not pass in it's current format.
Self	I am against this treaty because all citizens around the world have the right for their health decisions to be made by themselves with minimal government intrusion and zero intergovernmental intrusion. People, all people, have a right to informed consent. Should they not give consent, there should be no consequences to that person because we in healthcare wish to promote and preserve trust and confidence in the public. The right, just and ethical thing to do in public health is to advocate for better health decisions, not coerce. We want to build trust and not destroy it by overriding what people want. We need to get back to first principles in health care provision.
No organization	I am against this treaty but if it's going forward please address the following… National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	I am against this treaty! It is a deep infringement into our human rights and freedom of choice. My body belongs to me, and only to me, and you have no authority over it. Your proposal is a clear overreach and very concerning. I did not vote for you and I did not ask you for for taking drastic actions like this in any way, and I demand you to step back from this proposal. Love, peace and freedom. - A concerned earthling.
Citizen of the World	I am against this treaty. Centralized, top down, authoritarian measures do not help the people. Local level government and decisions should be allowed to operate on their own as they have awareness about what the community needs on the local level and it is not a one size fits all approach. I am against world government…good for the people at the top and terrible for the people of the world.
na	I am AGAINST this treaty. Countries are sovereign and should remain in charge of what is to be done in case of a health crisis. It is unethical to subject the population to the authority of an unelected body that overrules national constitutions. It is undemocratic and should be avoided at all cost. Also, I do NOT trust in the so called expertise of the WHO AT ALL.
MTB	I am against this WHO pandemic contract
Concerned citizen of the Free State of Florida	I am AGAINST THIS WHOLE THING, but if you want input on changes, here ya go. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	I am against to make a WHO a major decision maker re. pandemic restrictions. I vote for limited actions of WHO interms of any pandemic orders to be incorporated into seperate nations law and rules. I vote for individual apprach against pandemic situation and only a conutry can do it without any pressure from WHO. I oblige WHO not to interfere in any country on any subject re pandemic and health situation. I have many concerns re WHO as a dictative stucture and should be immediately dissolve as harmful and hostile organisation. WHO should be dismissed and dissolve in fastest way it is possible
Mental Health Aware UK	I am against WHO having sovereign power over any country and being able to impose a blanket approach to health care, a one size fits all policy. The data being gathered now supports a multifaceted approach when it comes to treatment and highlights the dangers of imposing a 'vaccine all' approach on the populations of countries denying them their basic human rights. Any mandate that takes away choice and imposes restrictions without nonbias scientific research and data evidence should not be allowed.
ne	I am against WHO interference!
Private	I am against WHO to have supreme power over each nation. Who is a private organisation not elected therefore not represented people. Stop who, stop bill killer gates
World Procommunities Organization	I am against WHO treaty and further globalisation process. We haven't been ask if we want to give power over our lifes to unelected bureaucrats with immunity. Not reachable and not accountable. What more public isn't even informed about globalisation since at least 20 years. We want to decide ourselves about our health. We have seen what who have done during pandemic, bringing lockdowns, destroying people lives for a virus 99.7 survival rate. Masks without any science behind it. Experimental injections. WHO decisions makers should be in prison for that.

Organization	Written submission
Us citizen	I am against world pandemic control by the WHO. Needed - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	I am against your covid policy. No Country is the same and they have to organise in their own. We want more democraty not less. Best regards, Raujana Esposito
Personal	I am against your proposal for worldwide pandemic regulations. All regulations should be decided on a local level.
None	I am against.
Private person	I am againts any act that will restricted the umin rights ! Stop control the peoples of the worlds .
NA	I am alarmed that 1 single organisation believes that it can make global decisions on behalf of sovereign nations and individuals. So many mistakes have been made which can be traced back to WHO statements and timing. The whole notion is undemocratic and, frankly, is heading in the direction of global government which I cannot support."
NA	I am all for preparing for pandemics and keeping the world's population healthy. I am NOT in supportive of digital surveillance mechanisms and certifications that can be used to restrict access and movement. Vaccine passes have the potential to exclude members of our society and create a second, lower class of citizen who may not be ready to receive a medical treatment or intervention, or for rightful reasons, may not trust the safety and efficacy of what they are being coerced to take. Digital certificates have too many downsides and can (and most likely will) be used to restrict people's movements, exclude them from society, and further segregate and discriminate against people beyond race and religious beliefs to now include medical status and compliance.
Self	I am among those concerned that the WHO is too heavily influenced by special interests, particularly the Gates Foundation, to be trusted with the health and welfare of the worlds citizens. At this point, the WHO appears to simply do the bidding of those supplying the funding. For the WHO to regain any credibility, it needs to disassociate itself from those who may gain wealth, position and power from the WHO's potential decisions, mandates and laws regarding global health issues. Otherwise, it will be all too clear that the WHO is simply for sale.
GreenMed	I am an 83-year-old public citizen of the United States. For my entire adult life, I have been very conscientious about the way I care for my health. I have never had a flu shot...and never had the flu, for example. I know not everyone takes care of themselves to the extent that I do, however, for those of us for whom natural, holistic health care is important, please take into consideration that one size doesn't fit all. To have a "plan" that forces everyone to take the same shots or to have to comply with guidelines that are unproven, just for the sake of getting everyone to "follow all the rules" is destructive to individual autonomy. Please don't override personal soverignty in your zeal to control pandemics. Being ompassionate and respectful and honoring of personal uniqueness can go a long way in encouraging wellness in the population. Thank you for reading this with your heart...
Individual	I am an American citizen and pride myself on taking good care of myself and my family by exercising daily, eating well and taking vitamins. My family all had Covid and because of our good health, we fared well. I understand this was not the case for all, however, I should be able to decide my risk aversion and what feels right to me. As an American, I place great value on our Constitution and individual liberties. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. WHO exactly do you think you are? I strongly oppose a WHO treaty that removes power from governments around the world.
Na	I am an American Citizen who does not want my government to sign a foreign policy treaty regarding Health Issues for me and other citizens of the US. I do not want any part of any International Treaty for pandemics or whatever other health issues come down the pike. My health issues and those of my family are between my doctor and my family. No one else should be involved. The WHO has shown itself to be an agency full of very bad ideas and recommendations during this latest crisis. Much of your advice has not been backed by science and has done great harm to humanity instead. In fact, if it were my decision to make, I would make sure my government has nothing to do with this organization at all. The WHO organization appears to have nothing to do with protecting my health but instead everything to do with control. In fact I think the WHO is trying to get this treaty signed without the open and transparent information relayed to the public. I do not want the United States of America to sign this treaty! Sincerely, Marie Mead
Na	I am an American citizen. I'm opposed to this treaty. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Please keep your own policy by rejecting this treaty. Thank you
None	I am an Australian Citizen, deeply affected by the covid fiasco, which was the result of faulty projections, recording and treatments WHO has proved that they are not reliable enough to direct a pandemic response. Their information was, at times, false, misleading and not effective. I do not consent to our Minister for Health signing us as a nation up to more poor management. The authority to make that agreement can come only through a national referendum. Many Australian citizens have no awareness of this proposal & would surely not consent of they were fully informed. As a relatively new nation, with strong democratic interest, we are capable of managing any risk on our own terms, particular to our own situation. Leave us to manage our own affairs, Thank you.
Citizens for freedom from tyranny	I am an autonomous being solely responsible for my own health decisions. I don't need, nor do I consent to being told how to live my life. The WHO has no right to dictate to me or anyone else, sovereign nations. Help the sick (not control)and leave healthy people to decide what is best for them. NO TREATY NO TREATY NO TREATY NO TREATY
Humanity. Child of God.	I am an autonomous person. I am unique, unlike other humans. In conjunction with my medical specialist, decisions about my Medical health are decided. One size does not fit all and we all have our own needs. One health does not factor in the unique needs of everyone. You don't try to fit a part made for a Bentley and put it on a Ford. So, humans are just as different. We all have unique needs. I do not recognize nor ever will recognize the WHO as the provider of medical services and opt out of anything the WHO mandates.
United States citizen	I am an independent citizen of the United States. I am also a physician with 24 years experience. I reject any measures being imposed by nongovernmental organizations over which I have no control. I am willing and able to protect myself from disease without interference from organizations that are privately funded and controlled and I agree with the World Council for Health in that the following must be part of any plan: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Kathy Clarke, MD
Private citizen	I am an Irish citizen. The World Health Organisation has got strong links with the pharmaceutical industry and is largely funded by said industry. The WHO is therefore not independent. I strongly oppose the WHO being granted any powers over national, elected governments.
NHS	I am an NHS doctor, I would prefer to remain anonymous. The WHO has shown itself to be deeply compromised by regulatory capture, and mainly serves the interests of Big Pharma, Bill Gates, and Investment firms such as BlackRock/Vanguard. Therefore to regain your integrity, you must ban all contributions from private sources, and promote cheap, safe and effective repurposed drugs for Covid. For future pandemics, you need a database set up, so that frontline doctors can alert you to potential repurposed drugs ASAP. Sure, if a safe and effective vaccine comes out, down the line, it can be used, but no such vaccine has being produced for Covid. But to wait only for a vaccine, shows how captured and inhumane your organisation is. By no means should the WHO have any powers whatsoever to mandate policies to individual countries, as this creates a medical dictatorship of private Big Money interests, that undermines national sovereignty, human freedom, health and security. Our time on this world is short, you must decide weather you want to promote health, well-being, and freedom of all, or the self-interest of a few powerful folk. Though position and power provide temporary security, it is quite a gamble in the long run even for yourself. With peace, love, and forgiveness in advance (as you have no real interest in what us few well-informed doctors think, and will only act to increase your power)
N/A	I am appalled at the power grab being quietly put in place in the name of public health. It should be obvious to all by now, given the disastrous handling of the events of the past two years. Public health must include the public, and there is never a good outcome when personal and national autonomy is lost, being handed over to a single organisation. The global pandemic agreement seeks to do just that by stripping all autonomy and the right to decline policies and procedures which have a devastating effect on people's lives, as well as economies. This MUST NOT be allowed to be put in place. National and local leaders must retain the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people. Nations and localised states must be able to opt out of any part of the agreement thereof, without facing any consequences for doing so. There should be a clear, open and transparent process for the people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures which will prevent any part of the agreement from being used where it is not needed or wanted. Most importantly, there must be measures put in place which do not allow for influence from pharmaceutical companies who undoubtedly have a vested interest in making large profits in the process, as well as any other medical/health practitioners. Citizens must retain freedom of bodily choice with their health, and indeed, should be encouraged to do so, whether their is a global emergency or not.
Keep Britain Free	I am appalled at the recent push of an experimental vaccine on the worlds population. Conventional proven medicines such as Ivermectin were brushed aside to allow the introduction of an experimental vaccine on the basis of a emergency. This is and will be proven to have happened purely for financial gain of all parties involved including the WHO. I will never accept the centralised world control of peoples health. The health response to any outbreak must only ever be decided by a countries elected government.
Keep Britain Free	I am appalled by the recent attempts to force vaccinations on to the populace of the world Long standing proven remedies such as Ivermectin were brushed aside to enable the introduction of an untested vaccine under emergency use. This was obviously done for financial gain and WHO were instrumental in this corruption. Decisions on the health of any countries populace can not be allowed to fall into the hands of a central world power. These decisions must remain the hands of the sovereign elected government of that country.
General public	I am appalled how this is not on the tv news ! If you don't search for yourself you are out of the loop ! This is public knowledge! So it seems like something else is being sneaked by ! Seems like you want control but so do we ! We are all made different! We can't be grouped as one !! We all have our own opinions ! Have you noticed it's not went well with this Covid thing ! Two sides definitely wasn't heard and is still happening! And you trying to sneak in something else into this mess !!! This by no means is Excepable !
freelance	I am appalled that a health organisation such as the WHO has allowed the youth of the world to be obligated to vaccinate with an experimental drug. This is against the Nuremberg Code of 1945 and will have health and financial effects well into the future. This is the number one signal that there is something amiss. This was not a voluntary consent as the public in most cases were obligated by the necessity of attending university and keeping their jobs. Unfortunately, the lack of debate by opposing scientists, doctors and health officials has been unprecedented added to the complete biasedness of the vaccine campaign which mislead the public into believing that the risks were lower than the benefits. I have been informed by numerous people who have been vaccine injured and unrecorded so can only imagine that the VAR data is truly unreported. If the WHO is hope to combat other future viruses, they must also recommend natural remedies which will improve overall in a basically unhealthy population. This could be combined in a real interest to preserve the natural habitats that we have left to improve air, water and soil quality working towards a worldwide interest in bettering the earth instead of destroying it. Instead of promoting respect for the environment and government, this pandemic has been the perfect example of private interests, no matter how destructive, prevailing and bulldozing through their own agenda. I take both my health and the environment very seriously and will be happy to help in any way to improve and safe keep them. Although putting experimental drugs in our bodies and consequentially into the environment is not a sound solution for the future. The 250 word limit is too short
None	I am appalled that this is being considered , more over reach we do not need . Every country should determine what is best for their own people , not some world wide takeover by the WHO .
Individual	I am appalled, disgusted and outraged that the WHO can make decisions on behalf of all citizens who's leaders have signed up. Any medical intervention should ALWAYS be the decision of the individual. No-one, No-one should have the right to decide what goes into someone's body. Since the start of the so-called Covid pandemic (official figures show no considerable excess deaths from covid alone), many changes have been quietly made. ie, the definition of "vaccine", the definition of herd immunity and a short time ago, the definition of a pandemic. According to the UK Yellow Card Scheme, The VAERS system and the European scheme, there are a HUGE number of adverse reactions to the new mRNA vaccines and a considerable number of deaths. Taking into account that it is officially admitted that only between 1-10% of reactions are actually recorded, the numbers are therefore much, much higher. The so called vaccines are still in Phase 3 trials and many many people are extremely concerned how quickly they were rolled out and now I hear you are looking at producing new "vaccines" in less than 100 days. You cannot mandate any medical interventions for anyone - everyone must have body autonomy - we were born with this right, the right to choose. It is part of being human and free. We live in a democracy, although it does not feel like it, especially since the start of 2020 when the world went completely mad over a flu-like illness. There will be a huge kick-back to this response and rightly so. We will NOT accept any authority having any rights over our bodies.
	I am Austrian and I am against the treaty of a pandenmuc awareness legislation act which would be imposed to enable our own legislation. The WHO would be enabled to overrule country's laws and enable WHO to act as a world health dictator. Each country must have the privilage to enact to declare a law for a pandemic as would be necessary for a special health problem an disease. There must also be the possibility's to decide which pharmaceuticals would help. Peoples and countries are different as there are the global locations where they live. It is not possible to provide legislation for each people and global spot on earth as you can see that the pandemic sars-cov-2 was not so severe in africa. The WHO ist not able and not should not be allowed to enact laws which could be applied for these different circumstances.. It is a power which should not be given to one organizations. Member States must have the possibility to OPT OUT any decisions they don't find applicable fir their countries. Headleadership of WHO should be taken by more persons each of a different country than one. I know that the current head of WHO has strong connections to pharmaceutical industry and to one of the wealthiest proponents of vaccine industry. These leaders should change positions each year and have to be inserted by vote of physicians and medical practitioners worldwide. Each one has to honestly declare by oath to have no connection to pharmaceutical industry and elite powers like Billabd Melinda Gates Foundation, Rockefeller Trust Foundation, George Soros, Claus Schwab, . . .
Public	I am aware of my rights and duties; I am aware of what sovereignty means. My sovereignty is my choice. The treaty you are preparing in secret, behind a smokescreen of constant intimidation, is the product of the globalist-capitalist aspirations of a few individuals for power and authority, which you want to take over in a covert manner under the guise of health concerns. I choose FREEDOM in the full sense of the word, freedom of decision, speech, movement, choice of medical treatment, etc. I am determined to uphold and respect fundamental human rights and freedoms, which are inalienable and in no way a privilege of a few individuals. I TELL YOU WITH ONE VOICE, LOUD AND CLEAR: THE POWER OF A UNITED PEOPLE FOR FREEDOM IS UNSTOPPABLE. FREEDOM, DESPITE YOUR ATTEMPTS, BURNS IN THE HEARTS OF MILLIONS AND CAN NOT BE EXTINGUISHED, CAN NOT BE BOUGHT, CAN NOT BE SUBJUGATED. BECAUSE IT LIVES FOR ALL THAT IS TRUE, WHAT IS GOOD AND RIGHT. WE UNITE TO TELL YOU A CLEAR "NO!" TO YOUR INTENTIONS.
On behalf of myself	I am categorically against a global framework for pandemic management of any kind. National sovereignty should prevail, not only for ethical reasons but the freedom to experiment with a variety of solutions to novel problems is a hallmark and major advantage of decentralising authority. Moreover, individual nations should be free to adopt those solutions in a manner they see fit, rather than being dictated to. None of us voted in any election for any of you.
Sämi	I am categorically opposed to the WHO in increasingly power. An organisation that should take care of people's health both mentally and physically. After this COVID pandemic this organisation should be wiped out altogether. As you can afford experiment with humans.
Citizen of the world	I am categorically opposed to this proposed international preparedness response being imposed on, and overriding/overruling any member country's choice of health policies even if they sign it in agreement with some or all of its suggestions. Such imposition on member countries would be abuse of power on the WHO's part, very disturbing, and perhaps illegal.
none	I am certain that science has been ignored and/or twisted in far too many instances of looking into the roots of this pandemic, and that preventing THAT is senior to actually preventing or handling the bugs or viruses. 1. Naturally created immunity, by virtue of having fought off an initial attack MUST be given real credibility. 2. Individuals must not be dictated to as to how they will handle their own health: they get vaccinated or they don't. They choose to go on a diet of fruits and veggies, to maximize available body energies in the period of threat, or they don't. I have no problem with large, chummy, back-patting, huggy assemblies of party-goers being NIXED but 3. Family groups must be able to gather and hug as needed. And 4. Private schools may set their own policies in regard to control of any possible spread of the disease among their students, on their premises. 5. Public schools will follow whatever rules their School Board(s) set for the time of pandemic alert. 6. And above all, the whole MASKING issue must be reevaluated and certainly must NOT apply to anyone under the age of five.
Aurora Jim + d.o.o	I am clearly opposed to the bill. Thank you very much.
Private	I am completely against a global pandemic treaty!!!Every individual can protect themselves!!!!
INDEPENDENT	I am completely against a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Each country should have the ability to address their own issues at a national and local level retaining full autonomy and reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. What works in one country does not necessarily work in another and we need open and transparent processes for citizens to vote for their rights. A global agreement is unnecessary and as recent events have demonstrated can be extremely harmful. I disagree with this proposed instrument. I do not want pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to benefit from it. Citizens elect their own government officials to work for them. We do not exist to work for the government under global dictatorships Please stop this now.
Citizen	I am completely against any instrument which in any way reduces the ability of a country to prepare for or respond to a pandemic in any way they see fit. Firstly because it potentially restricts their ability to act in a manner they consider in the best interests of their own citizens. Secondly because such an instrument, by nature, can not be tailored to the specific needs of each country. The principal of subsidiary should be the highest good in a pandemic response. sincerely Joseph Strevens
public	I am completely against any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Therefore, there are no substantive elements that should be included. The World Health Organization and the World Council for Health and similar international bodies need to respect the sovereignty of each and every nation in this world. The concept of subsidiarity and its power to be the best guide for local constituents must be followed.
Public citizen	I am completely against any international instrument on pandemic preparedness it's another excuse for government overreach against the people We are going back to living our free lives as we have always done without any dictatorship.
none	I am completely against any treaty that denies each country autonomy over decisions that affect the citizens of that country. This is a completely unnecessary agreement that is more troubling given the history of the WHO and it's abject failure during Covid-19 to identify the source of this virus and give advice that worked. Each nation should decide for itself how to manage anything that affects that nation. Thank you. Marilyn Britton
Private	I am completely against the proposals and medical tyranny.
Public	I am completely against the treaty. It is against our human rights, it is a crushing, dangerous and invasive response. It will harm millions of people and have no positive impact. You have not fairly consulted the sovereign people of this world and instead handed yourself power you are not worthy or warranted to have. This treaty is not a power that you are entitled to and must be stopped immediately. I am wholeheartedly against this pandemic treaty and urge you to urgently halt this and give the people of this world autonomy over their lives and a voice in all responses.
Private Citizen	I am completely against the WHO International Treaty on Pandemic Prevention, Preparedness, and Response. I do not want Canada or any other country to sign this treaty. The WHO has a long history of corruption and health policy failures that are directly linked to conflicts of interest. The Gates Foundation, which has numerous financial stakes in particular pharmaceutical interventions, is the second largest funder of the WHO, which is akin to putting the fox in charge of the hens. The WHO has diplomatic immunity, meaning it cannot be held accountable for its actions. This treaty will give the WHO the power to globally mandate pharmaceutical interventions and vaccine passports, and to censor health information. It could expand the WHO's power to dictate all health care policy worldwide. This treaty will increase the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people.
None	I am completely against this Treaty I feel it is unnecessary , I say no to this treaty. As a human being I have the right to my autonomy, Nobody on Earth can convince me otherwise. I say no to this treaty.
private individual	I am completely against this attempt to take power from the people. We do not need or want this treaty, which is intended to destroy our sovereignty. My rights are inalienable and I do not consent to this unelected body making decisions regarding my health.
Global Citizen	I am completely against this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Medical choices should always remain up to each individual. I will never submit my medical freedom to the tyranny of world government.
Shiatsu Society UK	I am completely against this Treaty as it currently stands and wholeheartedly oppose it unless it contains clauses outlined below. ALL of the declarations below SHALL - without alteration or omission - included in any such Pandemic Treaty: A) Human rights are inalienable. Governments SHALL UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES suspend human rights because of "emergencies." B) The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations SHALL ALWAYS BE subservient to inalienable human rights. C) The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats SHALL NOT AT ANY TIME have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. D) Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and SHALL ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment SHALL ALWAYS REMAIN an inalienable right. E) Vaccine status SHALL NEVER AT ANY TIME be used to restrict the inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. F) Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people SHALL NEVER BE PERMISSIBLE. G) Complete transparency of all WHO decisions and pronouncements SHALL BE MANDATORY AND SHALL AT NO TIME EVER be subjected to any delay.. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies SHALL BE immediately available to everyone in real time. H) Every human being SHALL RETAIN THE RIGHT to their own opinion. All human beings SHALL ALWAYS HAVE the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. I) All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures SHALL ALWAYS AND AT ANY TIME be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. J) All people throughout the world and in every country SHALL HAVE AND ALWAYS RETAIN the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	I am completely against this Treaty as it currently stands and wholeheartedly oppose it unless it contains clauses outlined below. All of the declarations below SHALL - without any alteration or omission - included in any such Pandemic Treaty: A) Human rights are inalienable. Governments SHALL UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES suspend human rights because of "emergencies." B) The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations SHALL ALWAYS BE subservient to inalienable human rights. C) The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats SHALL NOT AT ANY TIME have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. D) Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and SHALL ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment SHALL ALWAYS REMAIN an inalienable right. E) Vaccine status SHALL NEVER AT ANY TIME be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. F) Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people SHALL NEVER BE PERMISSIBLE. G) Complete transparency of all WHO decisions and pronouncements SHALL BE MANDATORY AND SHALL AT NO TIME EVER be subjected to any delay.. All de-personalized data from all clinical studies SHALL BE immediately available to everyone in real time. H) Every human being SHALL RETAIN THE RIGHT to their own opinion. All human beings SHALL ALWAYS HAVE the inalienable right to speak their mind in public.
ahs	I am completely against usurping the democratic power of the people to a world run organization that is heavily influenced by corporate and private donations, and politics that is not relevant to the United States of America. A top down approach to a pandemic, at first glance sounds like the most effective way to deal with a world wide crisis. However, the peoples, the demographics and countless special situations in local and even local subsets can not be dictated fairly and appropriately by a governing body that does not understand local conditions. Humanity can not and should not be ruled by a small group of conflicted biased people who would not be held locally accountable for their decisions. the masses would not allow such a power grab and the potential abuses that would likely happen..this is a hugely bad idea.. example.. in the US many states had different situations and approaches to the pandemic and argued as to who was right ,, it turns out that a minority of states that went against the federal approach had the best outcomes in terms of less cases, less deaths and less collateral damage from lock downs and mask mandates..so a top down approach would have made things worse..I personally will not respect the WHO as my authority for what course myself and my family take.. thats between me, my doctor, my pastor.. not a government body.. when i die no one from the government will care, so why pretend you care now. We are smart enough to decide for our selves..we saw many leaders mandating things they themselves didt follow and looking back, the CDC and WHO were wrong about most things..No early treatment? lock downs? masks? vaccine safe and effective? i give you guys an F. reward incompetency with more power? NO.
no organization	I am completely against your proposed treaty as it is taking sovereign countries' full autonomy and rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
None	I am completely and wholeheartedly against the idea of all countries being help to a pandemic treaty for future pandemics. Each country is unique in its needs and requirements and healthcare abilities. Each one is a sovereign country and it is necessary to recognize that sovereignty. A one size fits all approach to responses does not recognize that sovereignty nor would it solve any problems. I do not support a universal approach to vaccine requirements. Finally, the WHO is not an elected organization and as such does not represent the people of the world in any way and in no way should it have any input on individual countries and how they are ran and how they respond to crises.
World citizen	I am completely apposed to this. As individual humans and of a democratic country we alone should have the right to make choices and decisions for our self. Not be forced to anything or put anything in our body we chose not to.
citizen of USA	I am completely opposed to the W.H.O. Pandemic Response Treaty. I live in a democracy, and would like to keep it that way. People should be able to elect or oust any public official who is not acting in their best interests. The people have the right to decide what their best interests ARE. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Katherine Perez
Not applicable	I am completely opposed to the WHO having any jurisdiction over health policy in the United Kingdom. The Covid 19 so-called pandemic has highlighted very serious failings within the WHO, from it's subservience to principal donor the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation to the support of untested MRNA gene therapy. The idea that a WHO worldwide treaty would allow the organisation the ability to drive health policy across all signed up nations is for the populations of those nations a terrifying prospect, from mask mandates which many doctors and health professionals claim do more harm than good to acquiescence of the big pharmaceutical corporations, the WHO is not predicated on health but profit. Money and profit which is ultimately a corrupting influence is driving health policy across much of the Western world, the silence from the WHO on the huge numbers of adverse reactions and deaths from the MRNA shots not only demonstrates it's inability to act in the public interest but is tantamount to aiding and abetting vast profits of pharmaceutical corporations at the expense of millions of people worldwide who have suffered injury from this untested gene therapies. It is for these compelling reasons that it is in humanity's best interests that a worldwide treaty giving the WHO carte blanche authority over sovereign nations is to be opposed at all costs. Yours sincerely Christopher Roberts
free citizen	I am completely opposed to this treaty being implemented. I am opposed because I believe that each sovereign nation, along with local leadership, should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. After the nightmare that the world has experienced with Covid-19, I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I am also in support of measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It is unconscionable that this is even being proposed and seriously considered. This is completely inappropriate, especially in light of how the WHO handled Covid.

Organization	Written submission
concerned citizen	I am completely opposed to this treaty between nations. I believe that a one size fits all response to any crisis is NOT appropriate, particularly when it comes to healthcare decisions. There should be many solutions to a pandemic, not just one (eg. a vaccine). There should be repurposed treatments, anti-virals, monoclonal antibodies, etc in order to help as many people as possible: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. This prospect should be a totally open and transparent process. All people of the world should have the ability to vote on this, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Any pandemic plan or measures should never allow for influence in the process by any/all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, each person is to remain a free person in their home country and retain individual choice wrt medical procedures/treatment/vaccines etc.
United States citizen	I am completely OPPOSED to this treaty. Healthcare should be a local responsibility and NOT THE W.H.O. Nations should maintain complete sovereignty. Pandemic responses should be determined by individual nations and NOT BY THE W.H.O.
General public	I am completely opposed to this treaty. I do not wish to see further mandates or restrictions to our autonomy. I wish to be a free Canadian, whose Charter of Rights are upheld. I do not wish to see the further eradication of our freedoms in any way shape or form. I do not condone or support this treaty nor any "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response".
Independent	I am completely opposed to WHO interference in health measures in my country. 1. Worldwide measures aren't feasible. Every country has unique sets of risk factors as well as unique laws/constitutions which must be respected--something this "treaty" will not do. 2. As we have already seen the last 2 years from the Covid fiasco, overarching, world government, WHO, umbrella policies did NOTHING to save lives, but did a great deal of harm to people's lives and livelihoods by ignoring individual risk factors as well as individual rights. 3. Health is NOT one size fits all and is a private matter best kept between specialist doctor/patients. My family was considered at high risk from Covid as an illness due to our poor immune function. Yet, we are also at extremely high risk of death and permanent injury from actual vaccines (let alone the Covid gene altering injectables), as shown by previous life threatening and life altering reactions. Our specialists took a more cautious, holistic approach and though we got Covid, we recovered well and now have far more robust immunity to Covid and it's variants than most we know who took the injections. Health measures are best left in the hands of individuals and local areas to more correctly assess their area's particular risk factors and strengths.
retired	I am completely opposed to WHO's global pandemic plans. Individuals and communities must have decisions making powers in any situation concerning health.
self	I am concerned about an international plan for pandemic response, mainly that a 'one size fits all' approach does not take into consideration the sovereignty of individual nations. So, important elements include national and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Also, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Equally important is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. To prevent financial power abuses, there must be included measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I am concerned about having a 1 size fits all international response. In my opinion each country should have their own preparedness plan. The needs of each country most likely will differ at different times. Therefore it makes no sense to me to have any kind of international pandemic treaty. Let each country prepare in a way that meets the needs of their own individual countries.
Ryukoku High School	I am concerned about the following issues related to a WHO whose power is too far and overreaching for our own good. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities ought to retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The WHO effort and financial incentives need to be fully transparent and open so that all corporate pharmaceutical and individual billionaire's financial benefits be made evident. 4. This measure is a clear over reaching effort influenced by many pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers who stand to benefit at the expense of democracy and an individual's freedom to decide on fundamental and personal health issues. For the reasons above, I do not support the WHO's latest policy initiative. We need a lot more information about all profiteering stakeholders at the WHO who are getting rich while so many are in the dark as to who is can be really trusted. Sincerely, P. Lambert
Family Nurse Practitioner	I am concerned about the new international pandemic treaty that is being developed. I am concerned that it will interfere with constitutions and individual rights of citizens in many countries, especially the United States. I submit the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please add my concerns to the process of making your decision. Thank you.
Individual world citizen	I am concerned about the possibility that The WHO could stand above decisions made by our democratic elected leaders and also above each individuals right to bodily autonomy. To ensure that this does not happen I suggest that the following gets included National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence An open, democratic and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it Measure that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company or any other global health profiters.
Concerned Citizen	I am concerned about the WHO's proposed pandemic treaty and the potential it has for impeding the ability of individual nations to make decisions that fit the unique needs of each nation. I'm concerned about the process that will be used to determine the content of the treaty and the decisions that will be made under it, and that this may not be transparent or consider the views of all relevant stakeholders. I am also very concerned that this treaty will give too much weight and influence to pharmaceutical and other types of for profit medical related companies that may not truly have people's health at the forefront of their interests, given they are for profit companies. Thank you for considering my comment.
General Public	I am concerned at any orchestration that removes sovereignty of the Australian government by passing powers to the WHO (and it's member states) that ought properly be retained by democratically elected officials in Australia. The Federal & State governments in Australia should therefore retain their full autonomy. Our Commonwealth Constitution provides a separation of powers between the Federal & State governments and I would respectfully submit that the Federal Government does not have power to delegate a legal power that it itself does not possess. I am also concerned to ensure that provisions are included to make it clear that governments may opt out of provisions that they do not agree with/cannot practically comply with, whether at the time of entering into the agreement or at any future time when the WHO may declare a worldwide pandemic, without consequence. It is important for WHO cooperation around the globe that people 'on the ground' have confidence in information provided by the WHO. Having the WHO make decisions affecting them and becoming involved in the political processes that are currently made by elected officials and local appointed medical officers is counterproductive to this. This pandemic has already seen skepticism around information provided by conflicted public officials. On this point, the decision-making process needs to open and transparent with mechanisms in place to prevent conflicts of interest and undue influence involving pharmaceutical companies, charitable associations, governments and other stakeholders.
Transformational Health Inc	I am concerned for the direction taken of reducing human rights and freedoms, especially when it comes to our own bodies! 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	I am concerned over the possibility of any World Pandemic Treaty. These treaties threaten individual and national sovereignty and could easily add to the mass hysteria and fear mongering we saw in the last "pandemic" Please know there are many opposed to such treaties who will not be able to write you. Any attempt to implement such treaties is an overreach of your organization. Please do not do this!
Concerned citizen	I am concerned regarding the proposed treaty. I believe a centralized approach such as the one proposed opens up the possibility of corruption and could lead to undemocratic government and organization disregarding human rights.
General Public	I am concerned that a decision has been made that such an Agreement is necessary, I do not believe it is. If it is found that it is necessary: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is a must. An open and transparent process is required with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be put in place and enforced that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	I am concerned that a group of unelected bureaucrats could be granted too much control. The handling of the Covid virus was poor and has created economic hardship, division and government overreach worldwide. This cannot be allowed to continue, as our leaders should be elected and they should be accountable for their actions and answerable to those they claim to represent..
Private individual	I am concerned that a supranational and unelected body is to take over health matters of my country. This is especially worrying given the disastrous role your organisation has played facilitating the recent "pandemic". There are questions about how independent you are given the funding you receive from key donors, and the unqualified support you have given them, such as to China. There's so much more that I could add, but being a Democrat my simple objection to your greater involvement in my country and my life is that if I am unable to vote to dismiss you and your policies, then I am and always will be against you. Personally an organisation like yours is dangerous for individual liberty, and has long outlived its usefulness in our world. I think your existence and the nefarious and unnecessary involvement in the lives of people needs to end, never mind become greater. You did ask!
N/A	I am concerned that an international instrument will be a one size fits all approach. Every country has different resources and different needs so a one size fits all approach will not work. It takes away from the individual people living in those countries to be able to manage the pandemic in the best way for themselves, their country and the people. I don't agree that an international approach is beneficial.
Fit and Healthy Man	I am concerned that Ivermectin has been hindered from being used for COVID-19 patients and the biase on COVID-19 Vaccinations. At the moment the only people I know with COVID-19 are the COVID-19 Vaccinationed . Also COVID-19 Vaccinated Injuries and deaths are not being being correctly. Most of people I know dying have died COVID-19 Vaccination Injuries,Strokes and Cardiac Arrests. The Indian State of Uttar Predesh (220 million People) successfully treated many millions of COVID-19 Patients using a protocol using cheap ,safe and highly effective. Why is the supply of Ivermectin being restricted? Yours Sincerely David Fallon All Humanity deserved to have the correct and an update Health Information. Also the Pfizer Executives have not had any of the COVID-19 Vaccines. What are they using ?
None	I am concerned that large beaurocratic organisations are alway gathering power to themselves. It almosty always results in injustice. The motive for fighting a pandemic is likely to be benign but the power to detain, arrest, restrict movement etc. Should be very limited to real emergencies and to few people. Look at how e.g. the Melbourne police behaved on minimal evidence but all the power they wanted.
Private	I am concerned that the envisaged pandemic treaty will undermine the rights of the population guaranteed by the constitution and thus undermine democratic principles. Therefore I call for this treaty to be abandoned
Atlantic Energy	I am concerned that this proposed treaty imposes a one-size-its all health policy on each community in the world, without proper consultation or agreement or even knowledge of this process. Please ensure the following conditions are agreed in any such proposed treaty:- * All national and local leaderships are to retain their proper full autonomy and are able to determine locally and with open consultation the best health solutions in their local circumstances. * All nations and local governmental bodies and organisations are able to opt out of the whole of this proposed treaty and any part of it as they prefer and this will not have any consequences. * Any proposed treaty will only take place or be ratified with a full open and transparent process with all peoples of the world able to take part and vote freely with clear knowledge of the proposals. * Clear agreement for all areas which, following an open consultation, decides not to sign this proposed treaty are allowed and enabled to prevent this proposed treaty being applied, without any pressure being imposed on them. * All consultations and processes in the development of this proposed treaty are to be completely free of influence- whether hidden and secret or in the open - from pharmaceutical companies, agri-chemical companies and all global health profit centres. This must include routing out all hidden conflicts of interest, as these are a clear hindrance to reaching a higher standard of global health.
British Subject	I am concerned that WHO must not extend their power and must ensure their decision making is transparent, accountable and not unduly influenced. Also recognise cultural differences within countries
One sweet life	I am concerned with only one body deciding for the globe the best way to deal with pandemics. I am deeply concerned with the WHO only promoting vaccines in a one size fits all approach for people and not encouraging the repurposing of the safe drugs being used successfully by doctors in the FLCCC and other independent doctors around the globe. I have friends injured from covid vaccines - a stroke at the age of 28 after the first injection and pericarditis after the second injection. The censorship and lack of working collaboratively with doctors treating covid patients successfully with medicine is deeply wrong. I hope you can drop your agenda and focus on human health rather than supporting profit based pharmaceutical companies that seem to want to inject healthy kids and adults against covid which has a 99.9 percent survival rate for most humans. Please reflect and change. And speak to and support successful doctors.
None	I am concerned with vaccine side effects and the injuries caused by COVID-19 vaccines. I do not think they are safe and effective and that the pharma companies should be held liable for injuries cause by their defective products. The censorship of doctors and nurses speaking out on this issue is disgusting. I am against vaccine mandates because I do not think the pharma companies have to produce a safe product for consumers. I want to see the laws changed so that they are held liable for side effects and deaths. Until they happens, I will never trust the new vaccines . I have read too many reports in alternative media about injuries and deaths cause by the vaccines not the virus. They are ignoring patients that claim to be injured or families that think the vaccine has killed someone in their family. This is really sad, consumers must have a choice in taking a vaccine or any medication if not than this is dictatorship not democracy.
private	I am convinced that every country has to take care of its own response to pandemics according to the democratic choice of the people living there. We have no need whatever of GLOBAL institutes to direct individual nations in their politics whatever they may be. If we would need a point to support this argument - which we do not - just look at the disastrous handling of this wretched Corona - pandemic. Thanks to the WHO. NO THANKS, DO NOT MEDDLE!
Individual citizen	I am deadly opposed to any treaty that will take away our sovereign rights and this treaty is absolutely criminal.
Suaimhneas	I am deeply concerned about the new intergovernmental body's remit as I feel there should be a review first of what has happened with regards to the Covid Pandemic and what instruments came into play, how communication structures worked, their efficacy before introducing a 'new' structure as discussed in the preamble. Mise let meas Ailbhe Nich Ghearailt
Living Harmony	I am deeply concerned about the proposed pandemic readiness treaty in that it seeks to absolve citizens around the world of their inalienable right to choose for themselves, what is best for them. Similarly, it removes the ability of local governments to decide what is most appropriate for the people they serve, based on regionally distinct variables. Any agreement that might provide benefit for the future must honor the rights of individuals to choose for themselves, what is best for them.
Nichola Christi Photography	I am deeply concerned about the suggestion of the WHO creating an international treaty concerning pandemic preparedness. The past two years has proven that the WHO should be dissolved and not in charge of what is considered a pandemic. This has so many red flags I don't no where to begin. Let's start with these points: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No single group should be in charge of making global decisions and painting situations with black and white brushes when there are so many factors that come into play when dealing with medical decisions. 6. The WHO has not been able to show that they are not corrupt and do not have the interests of high stakes players over the care of the people. The opposite has been shown. 7. Medical and scientific debate MUST be allowed to take place without silencing and threatening people with opposing views which is what the WHO and many global leaders have done which has been disgusting and despicable. 8. Bodily autonomy and freedom of personal medical choice and speech must be protected at all costs.
Retired	I am deeply concerned about this treaty. What has happened to international sovereignty? I want no part of a world government. I did not get to vote on this. This is something which will impact me and my fellow citizens in significant ways, so I should have the right to vote on it. I say NO to this treaty and yes to letting nations decide freely how to act in their own best interests. Thank you.
HOPE	I am deeply concerned at the plans for a global pandemic strategy treaty. Health is not a one size fits all approach and that is what this treaty plans to do. I believe that health should be very much on an individual level. Global power on this level has the ability to be deeply corrupt and overarching.
Canadian Citizen	I am deeply concerned by the news that the W.H.O. is quietly undertaking to pass a global pandemic response. I would like the W.H.O. to consider: 1. That the draft Treaty be reviewed, publicly by elected figures of each province/state that is responsible for Healthcare in their country. 2. The Treaty either feature the ability for jurisdictions to op out or decline participation without consequences based on the needs of the elected representatives constituents. 3. That your Treaty be voted on by the global population as the W.H.O. is not made up of any country's elected representatives and is not representative of democracy. Please realize that creating historical treaties should not and cannot be done in the dark, behind closed doors, without the input of the global citizens. The W.H.O. does not represent us. This is very dangerous territory verging on totalitarian and authoritarian on a global scale.
Individual	I am deeply concerned by the proposal to implement an undemocratic response to any future health crisis I am against any such measures especially as it would give unprecedented authority to the WHO and take away the Human Rights of bodily autonomy from individuals. I strongly demand that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely and with hope, Leandra Ashton
The Journey of Life	I am deeply concerned with the proposal to have the health organization legislate laws for all people and want to say that this would be counterproductive to develop democracy and the rule of law for an NGO to have control over the world social decisions. I therefore reject to all degrees the direction in which this legislation is going and opt to leave full control over the health of citizens with the individual citizens themselves
Citizen of the United States	I am deeply opposed to the Pandemic Treaty. This treaty is a direct threat to US sovereignty as it remove powers decision powers from our legislatures. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Again, I am opposed.
private citizen	I am definitely against any Treaty with the WHO, which is no longer an independent institution, which would rule over our constitution.
Independent	I am disgusted by this proposal. It should never have passed the board room let alone gone this far.
member of Women Against Military Madness (a 40 year long non-profit org)	I am echoing many others who believe there should not be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO is an unelected body with conflicts of interest and corrupt practices. You have no right to impose restrictions on anyone. Everyone is entitled to personal sovereignty and bodily autonomy, the basis for local and national sovereignty which must protect the rights of their citizens. We are granted these by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other international agreements. No nation, local entity or individual may be required to follow rules imposed upon us unjustly by the WHO which has no authority over us. Health issues are local and regional and must be addressed on those levels. We know the PCR tests and the medical systems were rigged to manufacture the illusion of a pandemic where there was none. Lockdowns were ineffective and did more harm than good. They extended Covid by preventing young, healthy people from getting mild or asymptomatic Covid, acquiring natural immunity contributing to herd immunity while protected the vulnerable. The Amish acquired herd immunity in months. You are unjustly usurping illegitimate power and will ultimately cause your own destruction, as more catch onto your obvious dangerous, ulterior motives.
none	I am emailing you today to register, on record, my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control and, on this, there can be NO compromise.
none	I am emphatically opposed to international organizations with power to wield power that should belong only to the governments of individual countries around the world. Globalization is the greatest danger to the ideals of freedom enshrined in the United States Constitution, and the object of globalization is to create a new feudal system of tyrannical elites who own everything--including even the lives of every other citizen who will be kept in ignorance, poverty, and abject serfdom to serve the whims of the elite.
People for a just world	I am encouraging you to not approve any worldwide pandemic responses. You need to ask whether the cure was worse than the sickness. The worldwide closures caused severe disruptions in the supply chain and starvation and injured many people. The FDA documents used to approve the vaccine hid the 1291 known negative results many of which are fatal. When decisions are made based on fear, so many negatives happen. Please step back and let nature run its course. It is self correcting and will right itself sooner than if we try to step in and force corrections. Diana Guettlein
Lancashire County Council	I am entirely against an unelected organisation having any authority to impose public health measures in UK. All confidence has been lost in WHO over the last 2 years but even if i did hold the organisation in high regard, they are there to offer advice and guidance not to dictate how a country must act/react or impose mandates. It seems completely at odds with democracy to be even consulting on this matter.
AM-Consulting	I am expressively against WHO managed global pandemics. Because WHO is a pharmacy company's lobbied NGO, it serves interests of pharmacy profits, not citizens. E.g. there are hundreds of studies showing benefit of covid treatment with Ivermectin, yet WHO hasn't officially approved it and recommended it. Because that would harm big-pharma, incl. vaccine companies' profits. As a result, many people have unnecessary died. Global WHO policies have to be forbidden - they are proven to be harmful for millions of people already!
none	I am extremely concerned because WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans.All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty and that is just unacceptable.
canadian Health Alliance	I am extremely concerned with the pandemic treaty being devised for the global community. The WHO is not an elected body and has no representation from the public at large. Therefore, your policies must not be made mandatory, only suggestive. In my experience, (2003 Kelowna fires, hurricane in New Orleans, study of famines and distribution of milk to non milk drinking populations) local level authority can better deal with a crisis of their own populations. They have knowledge of local customs, cultures, topography, economics.
none	I am extremely opposed to any International Treaty regarding pandemic preparedness and response, and as a US Citizen would consider it nothing other than tyranny for the following reasons: All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 13, 2022.
Quinte Wellness	I am extremely opposed to this treaty. Canada must remain sovereign & in control of our health decisions. This pandemic was mismanaged & corrupted by big pharma. The consequences are yet to be played out. Please start listening to voices of reason instead of those who do the funding . Where are the voices of integrity?
Concerned UK citizen	I am fearful that this development by WHO will be over reaching governance. Individuals, and communities must be allowed to assess and react to their own health concerns in ways that work for them. A 'one size fits all' approach is dangerous and cannot possibly be the correct way to proceed.
Non organization	I am firmly against all measures regarding covid. Big no for vaccinations and green certificates. Stop this dictatorship of yours and the psyche of the people. Leave us alone.
None	I am firmly against any powers taken away from the US individuals to handle all health concerns for my family whether an emergency or not. I am against this treaty.
none	I am firmly against any world-wide treaty that does not allow countries to determine their own health policies. My vote is a big NO for such a treaty!
None	I am firmly against this treaty and do NOT want the US to participate. We need more diverse voices and decentralized action to address the unique needs of varying locations. Local input is essential for addressing the needs of a community. Putting control into the hands of one body is foolish and I strongly oppose doing so.
Sunracer	I am for Australia maintaining its complete independence in all health matters. I do not support Australia signing the health treaty with the WHO under the UN. I support individual freedom when it comes to health and what medications I allow into my own body, without interference from governments or international bodies.
Private person	I am for Australia maintaining its complete independence in all health matters. I do not support Australia signing the health treaty with the WHO under the UN. I support individual freedom when it comes to health and what medications I allow into my own body, without interference from governments or international bodies.
none	I am for cooperation, but not for loss of sovereignty. The WHO is an international agency that should work for us, not the other way around, so we can strengthen our local and federal and international responses and resiliency in times of health emergencies. Sovereignty is not only the cornerstone of individual human rights and civil liberties but also international law. Countries "decide which actions or measures take place within their territory—not other nation-states and certainly not third-party organizations such as the WHO. I do not want an "instrument" of a non-elected entity dictate how I live my life.
None	I am for individual sovereignty and respect for Human rights. At the same time, legal liability must be secured for government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
None	I am for self determination therefore I am against the World Health Organisation or any international organisation /corporation having any say in matters pertaining to individual or national health issues and concerns.

Organization	Written submission
Privat	I am for the individual freedom of health decisions. Never should an Organisation override the personal freedom of choice regarding medications and treatments. Vaccinations should never be mandatory, even not in health professions. Nobody can guarantee, wether these treatments turn out to be harmful to a person, therefore everybody needs to think and decide for themselves. With all respect, Tanja Dias
Natasha Counseling	I am from America and I oppose the WHO organization having power over our health decisions in case of future emergencies. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Culturally there are many health and lifestyle differences that do not span over continents. Please do not offer USA this treaty. Please do not accept it America. Thank you.
Self	I am fully against a treaty that gives the WHO global authority over health decisions in the US. The pandemic has shown that no one organization is capable. Therefore, I believe: - WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. - All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
Citizen	I am fully against any international organization taking over our public health system, for any reason. Every country should be against this!
N/A	I am fully against The World Health Organization's global pandemic agreement that will give it undemocratic rights over sovereign people for the following reasons: National and local leadership in individual countries must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. Each nation and local municipalities must opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The World Health Organization has no legitimate right to place any policy on sovereign nations. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one-world government" yet they don't have the authority to override the Constitution of the United States, nor its national sovereignty. For more details see below. A Pandemic Treaty could pave the way for an initiative like the Good Health Pass, described by Tony Blair as an "internationally-recognized system of health passes" for world travelers. I believe in bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent. Our membership in the WHO doesn't give this globalist organization the right to violate our civil liberties, human rights, and medical freedom.
Freedom Link	I am fully against this entire "pandemic preparedness" because we, the people don't need it. We've been surviving vor tens of thousands of years without a corrupted organization taking care of our health. Who is the WHO, who are the ones that finance the WHO to tell us independent, free human beings with a right to self-determination and also the right to become ill, if we want, what to do, what rules to follow and what medication to take? Nobody has the right to rule over other humans because we are born all equal and free. Just because somebody has some financial power it doesn't mean he has power over us, the people. The danger of this "preparedness" is clear: It is aimed to crash the countries constitutions and to take away our human rights, that are guaranteed in our constitutions. The WHO obviously tries to become the new leaders of the world but we, the people, are not going to comply. We do not want you, we do not trust you and we do not need anybody to rule over us. The WHO should better make sure, that those people, who are planning and working on the next pandemic get their fair penalty and disappear in dark prison cells for the rest of their lives. This is how the WHO is supposed to serve the people, not the capitalists. That's the only way to be prepared to avoid the next pandemic.
German citizen	I am fully AGAINST this treaty, the involvement of the WHO in this field of public policy and Germany or any other country signing it. Pandemic outbreaks shall be managed by democratically elected bodies, first and foremost Parliaments, in the best interest of the affected citizens under full observance of human rights and civic liberties. The WHO on contrast should first resolve its own financial interrelations with its donors and associated singular interests if not to say convictions and put its ressources into research of the adverse effects of novel gene therapies it seeks to promote. This would be in the interest of the many whose health the WHO should stand to protect.
Long Haul Seafoods	I am fully and completely against the WHO's new Pandemic policy and its attempt to further Klaus Shcwab's Great Reset and your main funder Bill Gate's vaccine depopulation plans by over-writing all countries constitutions. The WHO has lost credibility worldwide by kow-towing to these welloff so-called "philanthropists."
healthcare	I am fundamentally against an international, binding pandemic agreement! I completely reject this criminal organization!
general public	 I am fundamentally against an international, binding pandemic agreement! Each state must be able to act independently, whatever the issue, pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. An institution like the WHO should only be able to make recommendations for sensible healthy-measures and under no circumstances should it be authorized to prescribe them as binding. I consider signing this (or any other) pandemic contract to be very dangerous, since abuse of power and arbitrariness (e.g. when defining a "pandemic") cannot be ruled out.
Not applicable	I am fundamentally against an international, binding pandemic agreement. Private donations to WHO should be banned. No patents may be granted for pharmaceutical products recommended by the WHO. Mandates to member states and individuals are prohibited. Compulsory vaccinations should be banned. Full liability for pharmaceutical products/vaccines lies with the developer/manufacturer.
Die Grünen gegen Impfpflicht	I am fundamentally opposed to an international, binding pandemic treaty. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
I am just me	I am furious that you are trying to run the world in this way. You have lied to the world, you have allowed big pharma to dominate you. You have in fact caused deaths. You and every present government in this world should resign You need to allow the public to both speak, and to submit written statements, and you need to listen to the public. Remove all contact with the so called NWO, ignore what the Pharmaceuticals tell you. In fact vir, but not the same strain.s
VCA	I am generally against an international binding pandemic agreement. Especially since the WHO has shown itself to be completely incapable of dealing with a virus with a mortality rate of only 0.15% IFR according to internationally recognized studies. It must even be assumed that the measures recommended by the WHO have led to even more deaths than the virus itself would have caused. A simple analysis of the spread of the virus on the Diamond Princess cruise ship - which sailed from Yokohama in January 2020 - shows that about 80% of the passengers were NOT infected. Only 711 out of 3711 were infected, or 19%, with 331 showing no symptoms at all. So if overall only 10% of the passengers had symptoms, this means that the virus could NOT have been completely new to humanity, as claimed by the WHO. Of the 3711 passengers on the ship, 13 died within 2 months. Since the average age of all passengers was 58, one would expect a natural mortality rate of 2.05% per year, which statistically leads to 12.679 deaths in two months even without a virus pandemic. Thus, the virus can only be responsible for the remaining 0.321 deaths within these two months. This results in an overall mortality rate on the ship possibly related to the virus of only 0.052% p.a.. This was known early on in 2020 but was largely ignored by the WHO, which is supported by organizations that profit from the pharmaceutical industry.
none	I am generally against an international mandatory pandemic treaty!
private EU citizen	I am generally against an international treaty by WHO for pandemic measures to be followed obligatory by the member states. WHO should only make recommendations, elected governments must decide. Vaccinations must never be mandatory, vaccine producers must be fully liable in case of severe adverse effects, discrimination against citizens because of vaccination or health status must remain illegal.
private	I am generally against an international, binding pandemic agreement, since private "donors" and investors and earmarked money flows open the door to abuse for the self-interest of the donors. All measures should be the responsibility of the nation states, in compliance with the constitutional legal system, fundamental rights, human rights and rights of freedoms, data protection and the consideration of the individual responsibility of the citizens. Ich bin generell gegen ein internationales, verbindliches Pandemie-Abkommen, da durch private "Spender" und Investoren und zweckgebundene Geldströme dem Missbrauch für Eigeninteressen der Geldgeber Tür und Tor offen steht. Alle Massnahmen sollen eigenverantwortlich den Nationalstaaten obliegen unter Beachtung der verfassungsmäßigen Rechtsordnung, der Grund-, Menschen- und Freiheitsrechte, des Datenschutzes und der Berücksichtigung der Eigenverantwortlichkeit der Saatsbürger.
Private	I am generally not in favour of regulation bodies outside of my own country. I am heavily against this regulation.
privat	I am generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. I have to say: - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. - Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Sincerely Ricarda Kohler
pubic	I am glad to see that The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I am writing to hold them accountable to their word. I do not believe that any treaty with the United States is appropriate. Health care decisions should be made locally, as the local government has the ability to see what their constituents need and want. Global say as to how we govern would erode our constitutional rights to freedom, life, and liberty. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including what defines a pandemic or a health emergency, and when one is occurring locally. I do not approve of any treaties of this manner.
None	I am going to keep this to the point, consolidation of power in the hands of a few is always a bad idea, and the path to hell is paved with good intentions. What do you think this will solve? Nothing! Only create more problems. Pleas stop trying to get in peoples business. No one asked for your help. Stop trying, it is not helping. It will only make things worse. Let us figure ourselves out. Please Stop doing everything that you are doing. Final question, what god given right do you have to do this? That is right None!
Individual	I am grateful for the opportunity to comment on this proposal for an international instrument and I hope that the comments process will be scrupulously transparent for all. I cannot support any extension of the powers of this supranational organisation. It is not a democratically elected body, financially supported as it is by a hugely powerful, profit-driven industry and even a single immensely wealthy individual. This is so far from the ideal model for an undertaking to promote international welfare as to be, rather, a candidate for immediate closure. As the fortunate resident of a once model democracy, I firmly object to this proposal.
Christian	I am gravely concerned about fundamental overreach in response to the next pandemic. As we have seen from the Covid response, governments around the world (it seems at the urging of the WHO) have been heavy-handed with unvaccinated persons. As someone who eschews all forms of animal and infant cruelty I can oppose, I will not use many pharmaceutical interventions. These are religious beliefs and therefore basic human rights that have been trampled on. Since most of our pandemics come from animal cruelty it is foolhardy to rush to products of animal cruelty in unsuccessful attempts to quell many diseases. Advocate better lifestyle interventions over pharmaceutical pandering.
private citizen	I am gravely concerned that the WHO is working to create an agreement that will bind nations worldwide to submitting the the WHO's authority in the event of a pandemic. Countries and regions should have the right to self-determination when it comes to a pandemic response. Conditions, resources, and populations vary widely from nation to nation, and there is no "one-size-fits-all" solution that can or should be mandated globally. I urge the WHO to abandon this plan and restore the world to a sane, measured approach that recognizes diversity among countries and the right of nations to determine for themselves their response to a health crisis.
Human	I am hoping that there are still among you, persons with consciences , ethics and compassion, who use their moral code for guidance in what is best for all humanity, not private interests or those who seek power. You have become less and less interested in bettering the human condition than staying afloat. Maybe it's time to sink. Only then, can your corrupt organization start anew. You, our governments, and those who should advocate for us have been infiltrated by greed. We will make sure history does not forget how the path you have chosen to follow wreaked and continues to wreak havoc and even more division in our world. Enough, we don't need your treaties!
Path of Chi	I am horrified that the WHO would create a treaty to eliminate nations' rights to create their own health policy during pandemics. WHO's treaty would over-rule our own US Constitution, and replace it with unelected dictators telling us what we can and cannot do. This action would be wrong, unconstitutional, and tyrannical. It would not allow the US and other countries to democratically decide their own path to appropriate response to pandemics, and would disallow the countries from even deciding what IS a pandemic. I do not comply. WHO is a corrupt, dictatorial organization that should have NO rights to enforce such edicts.
Bump Into Fitness	I am in complete disagreement for a global 'pandemic' protocol. When it comes to supporting individuals and societies across the entire globe, guidelines and support are truly all that can be justified. After witnessing what the WHO and many politicians around the world have enforced and influenced upon normal civilians everywhere, I do not accept your divisive plans for global control. This is not going to happen again.
Disability management	I am in complete disagreement with this proposal for a global treaty and passport on vaccination declaration for pandemics. This should be brought to the peoples vote and not decided by a minority. This is the peoples decisions to make based on it covering our personal data globally. The most recent pandemic has been evident in showing us that medically the WHO is not in a place to determine what is best for the people globally. they can provide advice based on medical findings but they are not an elected group of people who should have any power to determine the ultimate way to manage peoples daily lives and the impacts any pandemic responses have on people of the world. This s a complete over reach of people who do not have the experience or authority to determine the global worlds choices on their responses during pandemics.
Self	I am in favor of bodily sovereignty and nation sovereignty and freedom of choice and I believe this proposed agreement is in violation of these rights. Therefore, I do not support its implementation. Sincerely, Stone
Private	I am in favor of free Travel without a Green Passport. Finally Hove the EU Citizens back their Owen responsibility! The MRNA vaccinations is Not harmless. Therefore the citizens should descide about themselves!!!!
United States of America citizen	I am in opposition of a WHO pandemic response. Let countries and individuals determine their response to health choices.
None	I am in opposition of the WHO's Pandemic Treaty. This does not allow National and local leadership to retain full autonomy or to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It does not allow for the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It does not allow for an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is a very bad and dangerous policy as it is currently written and needs to be stopped.
General Public, private citizen	I am in total agreement with Steve Kirsch's points and have permission to cite them: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
City of kitchener	I am in total disagreement with approval of the WHO Pandemic Pact and must be removed or withdrawn from further consideration. No international organization should have any authority and/or control over the personal health of citizens in any sovereign nation, or free country. Addressing the needs and requirements of the personal heath of any nation should be the complete responsibility of the elected representatives of all sovereign states or provinces. Today, the World Health Organization Organization represents far too many private interests. For example, The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is a significant contributor to the WHO which in turn completely compromised in its objectivity due to Mr. Gate' extensive ownership of pharmaceutical shares. The WHO must return to age old definitions for "pandemic" and "vaccine" - the current renditions allow for unacceptable decisions. Thank you for reading
Na	I am in total disagreement with approval of the WHO Pandemic Pact and must be withdrawn from further consideration. No international organization should have any authority and/or control over the personal health of citizens in any sovereign nation. Addressing the needs and requirements of the personal heath of any nation should be the complete responsibility of the elected representatives of all sovereign states. Today, the World Health Organization Organization represents far too many private interests. For example, The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is a significant contributor to the WHO which in turn completely compromised in its objectivity due to Mr. Gate' extensive ownership of pharmaceutical shares. The WHO must return to age old definitions for "pandemic" and "vaccine" - the current renditions allow for unacceptable decisions.
individual	I am in total disagreement with approval of the WHO Pandemic Pact and must be withdrawn from further consideration. No international organization should have any authority and/or control over the personal health of citizens in any sovereign nation. Addressing the needs and requirements of the personal heath of any nation should be the complete responsibility of the elected representatives of all sovereign states. Today, the World Health Organization Organization represents far too many private interests. For example, The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is a significant contributor to the WHO which in turn completely compromised in its objectivity due to Mr. Gate' extensive ownership of pharmaceutical shares. The WHO must return to age old definitions for "pandemic" and "vaccine" - the current renditions allow for unacceptable decisions.
Dale	I am in total disagreement with approval of the WHO Pandemic Pact and must be withdrawn from further consideration. No international organization should have any authority and/or control over the personal health of citizens in any sovereign nation. Addressing the needs and requirements of the personal heath of any nation should be the complete responsibility of the elected representatives of all sovereign states. Today, the World Health Organization Organization represents far too many private interests. For example, The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is a significant contributor to the WHO which in turn completely compromised in its objectivity due to Mr. Gate' extensive ownership of pharmaceutical shares. The WHO must return to age old definitions for "pandemic" and "vaccine" - the current renditions allow for unacceptable decisions.
Dale Moser Creative	I am in total disagreement with approval of the WHO Pandemic Pact and must be withdrawn from further consideration. No international organization should have any authority and/or control over the personal health of citizens in any sovereign nation. Addressing the needs and requirements of the personal heath of any nation should be the complete responsibility of the elected representatives of all sovereign states. Today, the World Health Organization Organization represents far too many private interests. For example, The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is a significant contributor to the WHO which in turn completely compromised in its objectivity due to Mr. Gate' extensive ownership of pharmaceutical shares. The WHO must return to age old definitions for "pandemic" and "vaccine" - the current renditions allow for unacceptable decisions.
Personal	I am leaving a message to stop the treaty. The WHO has no business to tell my country Canda how to respond to a pandemic. You have mismanaged covid and have no business in our country stop the treaty.
NCCSG	I am making a submission regarding the upcoming international plan for pandemic preparedness by the WHO due to the overwhelming implications for individual freedom, human rights and economic and social impacts that this could possibly have on people around the world. The covid pandemic was an example of how health policies and government responses to the pandemic played a greater role in devastating communities and countries than the disease itself. The advice of the WHO impacted greatly the response of governments around the world and resulted in the greatest loss of freedoms by societies the world had ever seen. Any response to pandemics in the future must uphold the rights of the individual over the state and over any global or local groups. Lockdowns, forced or coerced vaccination, mandates and laws forcing compulsory mask wearing, vaccine passports or health passports must never exist from now on, they are a stain on democratic and intelligent societies world wide. The WHO must be monitored and scrutinized by outside impartial and non biased agencies and held to account for any breeches of human rights and health rights. Likewise states/countries must act autonomously and without repercussions for deciding not to take the advice of the WHO.
N/A	I am most concerned at the proposition that the WHO should supercede national governments and laws with regard to any action perceived necessary due to pandemics or other similar or related health matters. By "action" I refer to untrialled experimental mRNA injections. If we have laboratories that produce toxic substances (of whatever kind) in order that vaccines may be created and deployed for great profits, where side effects are ignored and great risks to health are evident, it should not be for unelected bodies or persons to dictate to people or governments what action must be taken. Bodily autonomy must remain a core principle in law for all people. The WHO must become a more accountable organisation if it wishes to take the level of responsibility it advocates. Bill Gates is a wealthy man and his foundations are the major funders of the WHO. He is unelected to office and he has no medical qualifications. He must not be allowed because of his great wealth to dictate how individuals respond in a crisis. This is especially so where the crisis might be as a result of errors made by labs he has additionally funded. Corruption has no place at such an important organisation as the WHO and trust must be regained after the events of the last two years.
woodwerkz	 I am most strongly opposed to the creation of a global pandemic agreement if it's terms in any way restricts or surpasses our national or local elected authorities from exercising autonomy over the decisions that are made to protect people's health, and must be free of any influence or coercion from invested commercial interests, conflicts of interest, or by non elected bodies. The full rights and principles granted under the Neurumberg Declaration of Human Rights must be upheld, and never be overridden, and the absolute right maintained for all the worlds citizens to open and transparent processes, including robust public and independent scientific debate, giving the option for opting out, without consequence, of any measures if a majority of the people do not want it.
homemaker	I am mot in favor of any organization thinking that they can control anyone in regards to vaccines or what is put in my body. I wl fight tooth and nail against any such organization.
Person	I am mother, person, love one! I want my life to be in peace, but for the last two and a half years I see only division-vaccinated, or not, black or white, with mask without.... I don't want any more sadness and scared people around me... I do not support your idea of new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Stop this madness!!!!!! Please!!!! Regards Ognyana Tihomirova
	I am not agree
Private	I am not agree with this agreement
IZUMI Praktijk voor shiatsu therapie	I am not agree with this treaty, because the need of this kind of treaty is based on a total disinformation,which you KNOW and Di it on purpose. We are as human assured of basic human rights by natural law. These basic human rights should not be violated, you are in the position to protect them and assure the healthcare, please be honest and respect the basic medical ethics. 1. Informed consent 2. Evidence based medicine 3. First, fo no harm. In my view WHO have been completely violated these all three during the COVID 'pandemic' and continuing. That's truly dishonest and do diagraceful. COVID measurements are doing more harm than good to the world!!!! For more information go : www.grabd-jury.net Be awaken and let's create a new world based on good, not on evil.
—	I am not agree with this, every country will solve a pandemic problem can of a pandemic , many factors have to be included with every country This not is our word and we need to maintain our freedom like a countries , Noooo!
Self	I am not at all in agreement that WHO have power to impose a global agreement. The covid19 pandemic has clearly shown that many measures adopted around the world have had damaging effects on people. But because some countries did things differently we can see important things- for instance we can compare lockdowns with no lockdowns and see that these made no statistically significant difference and that the policy of trying for 'zero covid' is impossible. We can see that masks are ineffective and also that they have damaged children and vulnerable adults and created more unhealthy separation and isolation. We can see that the experimental mrna vaccines are ineffective. There are nearly 8 billion people in the world, with thousands of different cultural ways.there is not a one size fits all approach for us. I am with the World Council for Health and say firmly that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free people	I am not giving any permition to anybody to decide on my behalf about any apect of my life including my health and my body. I am fully equiped with Mother Nature and I am able to decide about my life, my health, my body on my own. Nobody else can choose the best decision for me when knows nothing about me, my beliefs. I am not agree that WHO could make any decision about me. I am free man and I use my free will.
Private	I am not in agreeance with Australia signing a treaty with the World Health Organisation if it is going to go against the Australian Constitution. Throughout this so called pandemic there has been so many contradictions in the rules imposed on the citizens of Australia. The countries of this world have been so focused on a couple vaccines that they have ruled out other possible remedies and preventive measures. These vaccines have clearly not worked, the facts are; vaccinated people still contract and transmit the COVID-19 virus. From what information I have seen on mainstream media our Government has been instructed by the W.H.O. all along and it has not always been the best advice so why would we want to hand over our rights to them? We as a nation have had the facts and science withheld by the Government both at a State and Federal level, if we as a nation cannot interact with our own Government, we will have even less chance with an organisation that is based overseas, it will be virtually untouchable. Our nation has been coerced and forced to be vaccinated with a trial vaccine that has not stood the test of time, this has been done by a Government that we have some measure of recourse with. If there is any injustice or wrong doing within Australia, we have a better chance to change this. We do not need to hand over our Sovereign rights to another governing body offshore from Australia. Regards Rod Gordon-Smith
USA citizen	I am not in agreement that the WHO should have any role in managing pandemics worldwide. The USA is a sovereign country and should not come under the authority of the WHO on any health matters including future pandemics, if any.
None	I am not in agreement with a need for or advisability of an International Treaty. That said, the following should be kept in mind. (My thanks to Margaret Anna Alice, Substack "Through the Looking Glass".) Revert to the earlier definition of pandemic (2009). Foster a culture of inquiry. Follow science and heed scientific guidance regarding masking, border closures, etc. Prohibit conflicts of interest. Guarantee national sovereignty with respect to medical questions. Condemn inoculation with experimental instruments, not proven to be long-term safe and effective. Condemn coercion and bribery to promote injections. Require informed consent for inoculations and medications. Acknowledge superiority of natural immunity. Encourage healthy lifestyle habits. Recommend safe and effective early treatment protocols.
None	I am not in agreement with having a pandemic preparedness treaty because it will remove the sovereignty of nations. This is extremely dangerous. The handling of the recent pandemic has demonstrated this. I do not have faith that the WHO will manage any future pandemic in a way that will benefit mankind. This will lead to unnecessary over reach and will remove freedoms without just cause. The WHO appears to want to ignore years of study and good practice in relation to management of pandemics and implement methods that cause harm to humanity. Each nation should be allowed to develop their own management plans based upon science and common sense. We do not want a one world government.

Organization	Written submission
--None--	I am not in agreement with the creation of a legally binding global pandemic treaty by WHO and as a natural born citizen of the US, I do not approve of the US participation in such a treaty. This type of treaty will remove decision making power from the US and is a threat to the health and welfare of Americans. I believe that all of our health and medical decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when a global or local emergency such as a pandemic occurs. As the WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I believe that the citizens of the US should be an integral and decisive force in all health related decisions and that individual health and medical needs and freedoms should be honored at all times and forever.
Compass Point Counselling	I am not in agreement with the current initiative of the World Health Organization to develop a global pandemic preparedness and response treaty. My utmost concern is that our freedom and individual inalienable right to self-determination will not be upheld; given the history of the past two years, my fears appear to be totally justified. The botched response to the Covid-19 'pandemic' has completely undermined my confidence in the WHO and other global and national institutions including governmental and health leaders. If, in fact, a treaty is to be instituted, the well-being of the people in the world must be taken into account. Of paramount importance, is strong safeguards in effect to prevent any influence in the decision-making process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers. Currently, the disregard for people's health and welfare, resulting in a multitude of adverse events and deaths from the experimental injections, can only be considered crimes against humanity. Although presently, coercion has been and still is in full force due to the unscrupulous practices by those in positions of power, a treaty must be comprised of an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Furthermore, there must be freedom to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without consequence. Thank you for receiving my submission.
Private global citizen	I am not in agreement with the proposed WHO world governed Pandemic response measures and authority. I believe the following, National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I am not in agreement with the treaty due to the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	I am not in agreement with WHO protocols or any government response to disease/ pandemic .. manmade or natural .. i am a sovereign being and have the right to choose what medical intervention is best for me
Myself	I am not in favor of a Global Pandemic Treaty. It would take away the ability for individual countries to make their own decisions about their health needs.
myself	I am not in favor of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Such a treaty would remove power from sovereign nations. Health decisions should be made locally, including during an emergency.
None	I am not in favor of a worldwide treaty that decides pandemic response. This removes power from the United States and is dangerous for the health of American families. Why is the world health organization trying to control my life? That is not OK.
Children Health Defense	I am not in favor of an international pandemic preparedness response. Each country and/or state should determine the best pandemic responses for their people. The local leaders should have the autonomy to determine and decide what their response should be. The idea a "one size fits all" approach seems problematic on many levels. Outbreaks have been different in every country. Some countries have gone in another direction from the "narrative" and have had better outcomes. I would support a team at the WHO that can offer recommendations to leaders but the ultimate decision should be at a local level. It would be imperative that politics is removed from all decision making.
None	I am not in favor of an international treaty. As an American, I don't not wish to hand over my medical decisions and health to a governing body. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. International parties should not dictate what happens on American soil in America bodies. An international law means that if I don't comply that I will be subject to discrimination and punishment for exercising my own sovereign and god given rights over my body. If I can prove my healthy status by taking care of myself on my own that should be sufficient to retain my citizenship and not be punished and have my rights taken away. This international treaty sets a dangerous precedent for all free nations and all free peoples. OPPOSE THIS TREATY!
self	I am not in favor of an organization outside the United States having the power make decisions about my health.
None, private citizen	I am not in favor of any agreement or treaty that gives the WHO or any other international organization power over my health decisions. I am against the U.S. signing any treaty of this sort. All health choices should be made as close to the local level as possible including during an emergency. I believe the WHO is a captured and controlled organization by foreign interests and Pharmaceutical Corporations and others with economic interests in vaccine production.
USA	I am not in favor of any governance at the world level. Decisions need to be made at the local level, based on what is appropriate for the given community. Any decisions at the WHO should ONLY BE RECOMMENDATIONS to be countries and CANNOT / SHOULD NOT BE RULES / LAWS that need to be obeyed. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Horst Mountain Properties	I am not in favor of any kind of WHO treaty that removes or affects local decision-making concerning health care or responses to illness. Each human being is unique and while all similar in many ways, such as having certain body features, what is healthy for one person can be detrimental for another! Healthcare is NOT one size fits all! I will strongly advocate against any organization that attempts to subvert the freedom of Unites States citizens to make their own health care decisions and to act in each family's own best interests.
Wife/mother/grandmother	I am not in favor of global health policy from the WHO. Health issues including pandemics should be dealt with on a National and state level.. as each scene ratio is unique. I therefore oppose this.. and believe the WHO doesn't have the legal right to even assume to decide on this issue.
No organization of any sort	I am not in favor of one-world government in any manifestation. Nations and individuals alike are sovereign bodies, and no man-made law will ever change that. The agenda is transparent to a great many people, and will be resisted.
Self	I am not in favor of the WHO having ANY authority to remove pawer for the U.S. as it would be dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. ALL health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible including when a so called "emergency" happens. The WHO constitution states " informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance importance in the health of the people" I am going to hold the WHO accountable to their word. Build Back Better?? No, just put it back to where it was...
none	I am not in favor of the Who having complete control over all people and nations for any reason, be it a pandemic, food, or any other reason. I want nations and local government to retain their autonomies. I think this proposal should be open and transparent and all the people of the world should be able to vote on it. Also there should be failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people don't want it. I don't want one organization to control the all the people of the earth. In my opinion, that is a recipe for disastrous corruption.
Concerned citizen	I am not in favor of the WHO having total control over pandemic response for all nations. These following points need to be included in the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
u.s. American citizen	I am NOT in favor of the WHO Pandemic Treaty for the following reasons: 1) Such a treaty would remove power from the US to regulate and implement its own Covid related guidelines and recommendation for its citizens as it deems suitable and necessary for its citizens, thus making the treaty potentially dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans and/ or to its citizens and their freedoms. 2) Having all member nations and their peoples of such a treaty subservient to the INB (Intergovernmental Negotiating Body) would essentially create global medical Tyranny abuse potential on a scale the world has not seen before. Nor could such abuses of power be tamed by smaller less influential nations or peoples. Rather than having the INB control the destiny of countless millions, the diversity of power and authority should remain given to individual nations to govern themselves, medically, in order to prevent global abuses of power by one controlling body.
University of Illinois	I am not in favor of the WHO proposed global pandemic treaty. Looking back on how the most recent pandemic was conducted and the great differences in death among the various nations, points out a global approach had less than a desirable outcome on every level with health outcomes being the worst. Changing long standing 'scientific' terms and standards further damaged outcomes and the cry for a global approach. I feel the following is a better approach. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank You, Cheryl Gilmartin
Non	I am NOT in favor of the WHO's proposed Global Pandemic Treaty!! The WHO are not elected officials; they do not represent the interests or concerns of the public, nor our Country!! National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be of utmost priority; which it will not be with this Global Pandemic Treaty. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. A Treaty like this does not allows for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, this is not in the best interest of the public, nor a country!! Again, my vote, NO Global Pandemic Treaty!!! A very concerned citizen!! L.P Detzler
Private citizen	I am not in favor of this treaty, but if ratified by the U.S. senate I would seek that any member state could opt out for any reason with 30 days written notice.
Central Philippine University	I am not in favor of WHO proposal to control individual rights and choice of vaccination.
none	I am not in favor or the WHO having control of pandemic health decisions. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. favor of the WHO to have control over any health decisions during a pandemic. Thank you, Renee Murphy
SCPC	I am not in favour of any international instrument on a pandemic preparedness and response. People should consult their own doctor on what response is appropriate for them. The doctor-patient relationship has been, and should remain, the keystone of patient care. Individual doctors, not the WHO or govts should advise patients on potential treatments for their health care. This allows proper informed consent by the patient, and the chance to discuss the patient's individual health conditions. However, if an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response was made, it should have the following elements included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on any new international instrument, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Xyz	I am NOT in go or of this. We don't want the WHO to dictate. Please stop this!
n/a	I am not in support of a global treaty that erodes democracy and is a threat to individual rights. There is no response that can stop the spread of a virus, you can see how these horrible mandates only affected people negatively and did not do anything to stop the spread or transmission of COVID.
Humanity	I am not in support of legally binding WHO treaties that remove power from individual governments. This would be dangerous to the health and welfare of people around the world and completely unnecessary in my opinion. The world DOES NOT need the WHO to dictate how to handle global "crisis". All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The world DOES need, less corruption, less suppression, less greed, more transparency, more integrity, more freedom. Please focus on these areas rather than pushing narratives and agendas.
Everlights	I am not in support of signing a treaty with WHO. Please do not sign over our rights as a country
Retired	I am not in support of the proposal. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I am not interested in a global bureaucratic nightmare which which has the ability to pass regulations which negatively effect me. The world is a massive and diverse place. Monolithic health policies won't work and have no place over an individualized approach to medicine.
City worker	I am not interested in somebody that's not a doctor and lives on the other side of the world to tell me what is best for me and my family. I want freedom !!!
Personal opinion	I am not okay with this blatant grab for power. The WHO does not deserve any such treaty and do not control different countries pandemic response. This treaty must not go forward. These people and doctors were not voted in and should not have any say on how countries protect their people. The donors for the world health organization are very nefarious and in fact do not have peoples health in consideration.
Self	I am not okay with this treaty and I OPPOSE its passage. The following is essential: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is essential. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is essential. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are essential.
Cityzen of Holland	I am not oké with this treaty. I want the following as a minimum. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I am of the belief that there is no need for any pandemic treaty as the response to COVID had already caused unnecessary harm to people across the globe. No measurements set in place have concluded any concrete evidence of reducing the spread of the current virus however, the responses did affect the quality of life of millions and still affects them. I believe every individual is responsible for their own health and no pandemic can be treated with a set of rules or regulations to "limit the spread" nor benefit an entire population as there will always be a margin of people that will receive the short end of the stick. The WHO should not be assisting in any way, shape or form for such misguided regulations and actions as this pandemic has made it clear that a larger portion of the population is affected more drastically than the lesser, the middle class has had nothing but a struggle during this pandemic, the poor has had it even worse. The actions during the current pandemic has exposed numerous political fraud, abuse of power and an outright attack on its citizens.
none	I am of the opinion that in future, evidence-based science must be the primary basis for pandemic control! In addition, there must by no means be a global policy of action steered by the WHO, because the prerequisites and conditions differ from country to country and can only be assessed on a country-specific (or even regional) basis and optimally supported and steered by appropriate measures. This should be done (in each country individually) by a body that is composed of a wide variety of scientific disciplines, is open to scientific discourse, respects country-specific needs and conditions and, at best, is composed in a grassroots democratic manner in the respective country. Under no circumstances should it be possible for the WHO to overrule a country's constitution. Ich bin der Meinung, dass in Zukunft die evidenzbasierte Wissenschaft die primäre Grundlage für die Pandemiebekämpfung sein muss! Darüber hinaus darf es keinesfalls eine globale, von der WHO gesteuerte Handlungspolitik geben, denn die Voraussetzungen und Bedingungen sind von Land zu Land unterschiedlich und können nur länderspezifisch (oder auch regional) beurteilt und durch entsprechende Maßnahmen optimal unterstützt und gesteuert werden. Dies sollte (in jedem Land individuell) durch ein Gremium geschehen, das sich aus den unterschiedlichen wissenschaftlichen Disziplinen zusammensetzt, dem wissenschaftlichen Diskurs offen gegenübersteht, die länderspezifischen Bedürfnisse und Bedingungen berücksichtigt und bestenfalls basisdemokratisch im jeweiligen Land zusammengesetzt ist. Keinesfalls sollte es der WHO möglich sein, die Verfassung eines Landes außer Kraft zu setzen.
Private individual	I am of the opinion that there should not be any treaties between the WHO and countries regarding any pandemic issues. I feel each country should be free to do things that they see fit taking into account the countries constitution so as not to limit people constitutional rights and freedoms. If there is to be something I feel the following should be considered and added and this should be stressed to people when any such plan comes into place. To many basic human rights have been taken away in this last episode in 2020/2021 and 2022. This should never be allowed to happen Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequenc
Genera Public	I am one hundred percent opposed to an international instrument, treaty or other organized response to a pandemic. The past two years have seen massive human rights violations and government over reach, mental health, opiod and partner violence crisis. The WHO should not have any type of control over how pandemics are responded to, because it was clear that the COVID pandemic has been massively mishandled. I want a future for my children where they are free to make their own decisions, where experts can communicate instead of being canceled or gagged if it disagrees with the narrative, and for the media to gaslight, lie and manipulate its readers and viewers.
Independent multidisciplinary researcher and consultant	I am only alive thanks to many individualized modalities, including medicine. I know the same applies to many, some some degree to everyone. Likewise, all animal and other sentient being (including viruses) are unique. While we are all interconnected, everyone's situation is unique. As scientists, our gratest challenge, and only hope, is to learn from nature again. One of my PhD's is in Mathematics (Habilitation in data security and cryptography). My other PhD is in Biomedical Sciences. Without insight, curiosity, wisdom, understanding, and tacit experience, we will NEVER be able to close the gap between a model and biological reality. There is NO one model that can ever work for everyone. Our responsibility must be to honor (our) dignity of life, so that each individual, family, community and country can make the best decisions that serve them - and by implication, everyone as a whole.
USA Citizen	I am only in support of these four things: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	I am opposed completely to subterfuge in organising international law not subject to normal channels of international decision making. The organisation of internationally shared medical information and advice should not form a coercive arm of the World Economic forum. Come back with a better plan.
Private person	I am opposed to a central global response to pandemics. I believe that each jurisdiction should be allowed to determine the best response for their context. I also believe that no one should be forced or be mandated to undergo medical interventions.
Private	I am opposed to a central one-size-fits-all approach to any health problem. Each country, and then area within a country, has its own circumstances that have to be respected. Responses should be decided locally, by citizens, and not by local politicians, a global organisation or pharmaceutical companies. Respect the rights and freedoms of individual citizens of the world.
Private citizen	I am opposed to a global organisation imposing health care policies on a sovereign nation. This is totalitarian overkill. National governments have their own nations interests at heart and should not be subject to a global body. This is the height of arrogance.
	I am opposed to a Global Pandemic Agreement. Decisions about actions should be in the hands of the Medical Profession of each state or nation. In Uttar Pradesh, a state in India, and the Solomon Islands, a small nation in the Pacific, the medical profession handled the crisis very successfully. At the base of a free medical profession is the doctor-patient relationship, catering to the individual need. Upon this is based the discourse between medical professionals, which spreads the news of what works and what doesn't. Monitoring and encouraging this discourse is a Medical Council. This system works and it is not for the World Health Organization to override a Nation's Medical Profession.
Affable Abodes	I am opposed to a Global treaty for "pandemics". The past 2 years dealing with Covid-19 we have learned that "leaders" do not have a crystal ball in regards to what will and won't work in case of a real pandemic. Agreeing to some sort of international treaty removes power from the United States to make decisions concerning their diverse populations. The US can't agree on what a good path forward is much less the entire world. Democracy works best on a local level, keep decisions close to the people who will be affected by the. I understand that WHO believes that "active co-operation on part of the public". Please understand that we know you mean well but the WHO does NOT have the ability to make decisions for individuals around the world. This is a terrible idea.
Self	I am opposed to a international vaccine or health digital id passport.
Professional Assessment	I am opposed to a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Recognition of and respect for national sovereignty needs to be preserved and protected. Ceding any portion of national decision-making authority must not be allowed to occur. Nations must remain free to make whatever choices they desire as it relates to a pandemic response. The WHO may choose to provide information, but must NOT have any degree of power or authority that might influence the independence of nation-states or subnational jurisdictions.
no organization	I am opposed to a one size fits tyrannical system for dictating the response to anything... It is necessary that the control remains local. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American citizen	I am opposed to a one size fits all solution We need freedom to make our own decisions We don't need buerocrats deciding our lives.
A Canadian Family	I am opposed to allowing an unelected organization like the WHO to mandate the health decisions of free citizens. This is an abuse of power and a misuse of funds. Sovereign nations have the right and the duty to make independent health decisions based on the needs of their citizens, values, and culture.
Concerned Citizen	I am opposed to an outside, unelected entity, who's authority we do not recognize, having any control over us!!! We are a sovereign country with our own Constitution that must remain in full force regardless of any pandemic. We have learned a great deal about power grabs and medical dictatorship during the past two years and the citizens of the United States are currently working to take back our freedom, sovereignty, and body autonomy. I am saying NO to your so-called People's Treaty, aka Power Grab!!! Sincerely, Cecelia Tiemann
Private	I am opposed to any attempt by unelected international organisations to subvert national democratic societies and civil liberties of citizens. After what WHO has done to people's human rights and civil liberties over the last two years by your unaccountable organisation. Your response to this pandemic has left society divided to its core. Your answers to the origins are wholly inadequate. You have obfuscated and played politics to hide and cover up. You have implemented unscientific CCP style lockdowns, which went against even your own pandemic guidelines up to 2019 - without any cost benefit analysis, impact assessment or exit strategy. Your sources or funding are extremely concerning, namely big pharma and "philanthropists" of questionable moral and ethical qualities who are wholly unqualified and again unelected. Corporate capture..? You should be thoroughly investigated as an organisation and if necessary, disbanded. Neither I, not my family will listen to your pontifications again, either directly or through your captured downstream organisations. We are free people. Yours sincerely. James Morris Ireland
none	I am opposed to any change in health authorities as pertain to the United States. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Our elected representatives should have vital roles in any legislation and political decisions with vital inputs from citizens.
Public	I am opposed to any form of measure that will bypass a country's democratic process. The World Health Organisation should not be given any powers to impose any mandatory response to an acute health outbreak. Advice only should be provided. Damaging measures like lockdowns, mandatory vaccination and unnecessary masking of the population is beyond the scope of a health orientated Organisation to impose. "Zero covid" measures, that have been adopted, are causing huge and negative implications on populations. Any organisation which receives funding from individuals and other organisations or corporations that have a vested interest in advancing specific medical products, ie Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and their current involvement in Vaccination development and distribution via funding of pharmaceutical industry and WHO, should not have any additional power whatsoever when it comes to a sovereign country's response to a health outbreak. This would be wholly unethical and would not be legally upheld. Substansive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include a programme that supports debate amongst non vested interested parties to uphold scientific conversation. Doctors and Scientists using existing drugs, off label, to help treat covid were "cancelled" as part of a culture that stifled proper scientific discourse. The focus was exclusively on the roll out of a novel medical treatment, lacking longitudinal safety studies and understanding. Education to support dietary improvement to support better immune health, to contribute to the reduced severity of comorbidities and an introduction of supplementary immune support advice would be welcome.
Britons Catholic Library	I am opposed to any global agreements being made by the WHO having power to implement measures such as lockdowns, the enforced wearing of masks, the closing of schools and so on. I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that the ability of nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Gwynne Teaching	I am opposed to any global agreements being made by the WHO having power to implement measures such as lockdowns, the enforced wearing of masks, the closing of schools and so on. I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that the ability of nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Britons Catholic Library	I am opposed to any global agreements being made by the WHO having power to implement measures such as lockdowns, the enforced wearing of masks, the closing of schools and so on. I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that the ability of nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Murrintown	I am opposed to any international instruments on pandemic preparedness and response. Each country is sovereign in their decision making and no unelected agency should have the power to dictate the response to people through policies brought in by corrupt governments. The response under your agency's guidance has been disastrous and I do not trust that you have the humanities best interest at heart. Lockdowns, isolation, masks, coercion, vaccine side effects have created an untold damage in order to push forward an agenda crafted by WEF & Co. You will never, ever be trusted again and neither will those that work with you and for you.
Individual	I am opposed to any international treaty compelling countries to relinquish health care autonomy. Any international cooperative agreements MUST retain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. In addition, national and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There MUST be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, and MUST include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There MUST be measures that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This proposed treaty is already in violation of these fundamental principles. It is unnecessary and harmful to all nations.
RL Ranch Press	I am opposed to any proposed WHO treaty because it removes power from the US and other nations. This solution is dangerous to the health and welfare of any country, including my own, the USA. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
New Energy Dynamics	I am opposed to any provision that would limit the ability of a country or other governing body to determine its own public health policy. I believe that the leaders of a country are best able to determine the appropriate public health policy and requirements for its population. The World Health Organization may provide some useful health policy guidelines. But I feel that each country should have the freedom to decide how those guidelines should be followed.
Individual	I am opposed to any single entity governing world wide response to pandemic. At a minimum any such increment must include: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Provision of an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Risk and remediation of dangerous remedies are required to allow for recovery from harm by proposed medical solutions such as vaccines. Thank you.
I do not belong to any Organization	I am opposed to any treaty or law that curtails the free choice of us citizens. Therefore, I am also against your pandemic measures, which also damage our health.
NO	I am opposed to any treaty or law that curtails the free choice of us citizens. Therefore, I am also against your pandemic measures, which also damage our health.
None	I am opposed to any treaty with the world health organisation as they have lied to the public about covid19; all forms of human autonomy were breached; Nuremberg code was disregarded; pure science and evidence of truth ignored and silenced; our Canadian constitution and rights abused, trampled on in order to profit big pharma and leaders while people starve and die needlessly. I am opposed to any treaty with the WHO or and other person, organisation with personal profiting interests.
Individual	I am opposed to any ultra-national organization making rules over a Democratic republic, without public vote. Such rules, laws and treaties will be treated as null, and without force of law at best, opposed with force at worst. Given the makeup and history of our people, the following adjustments should be made, at the earliest convenience: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dr Jenny Bromberger	I am opposed to any WHO treaty in relation to pandemic management. I am a GP in Australia and was dismayed by the excessive measures carried out to manage this pandemic. With a case fatality rate of 0.4% lockdowns and other draconian measures endorsed by your organisation should never have been entertained. The WHO is not elecated and therefore should not have control over sovereign nations
US citizen	I am opposed to any WHO treaty that affects multiple nations without the informed consent of individuals of any nation involved. WHO treaties that remove power from the US and its individual citizens are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. We are independent citizens, and must have ultimate authority over our bodies and our healthcare. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. No national or international organization should be allowed to make decisions for individual citizens when they cannot and do not have the information to know what is best for these individual citizens. All healthcare decisions should be made between an individual and his/her medical provider, not by any other authority, politician, government, or organization. Thank you.
unaffiliated with any organization	I am opposed to any WHO treaty that would remove or diminish power from the US. The health and welfare of Americans would be put at risk if such a treaty were implemented. Health decisions should be made locally as much as possible, regardless of the severity of any crisis or emergency. WHO should never have power over the health decisions of Americans, and I feel that would be the case if the US agrees to a pandemic treaty.
N/A	I am opposed to Canada signing an agreement giving the World Health Organization authority to determine Canada's response to a future health threat like the Sars cov2 pandemic. I wish Canadians to form their own health priorities free of agreements that obligate us to follow WHO directives. Canadian governments can be held directly accountable to the citizens of Canada which is not the case with The World Health Organization.
American citizen	I am opposed to countries being obligated to follow guidance or directives of the WHO. Countries should maintain autonomy. I believe that if each country has responsibility for crafting its management plan for any pandemic, the various plans will provide a natural laboratory from which the whole world together can learn what is the best response by studying the consequences of the plans as they are executed. Centralizing this is a very bad idea.
Individual	I am opposed to creating an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body to strengthen the authority of WHO governance of global health responses. I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It is dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. It is imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. We need transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. The agreement proposed by the WHO would remove local control beyond the federal government and instead to a global level. I am for cooperation, but not for loss of sovereignty. The WHO is an international agency that should work for us, not the other way around, so we can strengthen our local and federal responses and resiliency in times of health emergencies. Sovereignty is not only the cornerstone of individual human rights and civil liberties but also international law. Countries decide which actions or measures take place within their territory-not other nation-states and certainly not third-party organizations like the WHO-who did not spend a dime on the fastest, safest, and cheapest way to end the pandemic: using repurposed drugs.
Laura Shevlin	I am opposed to dictates of WHO for any reason. The WHO is not an elected body, and has no right to impose mandates on an electorate.
Citizen of USA	I am opposed to every aspect of the plan that the WHO wants to implement for pandemic preparedness for all people of the world.
Private citizen	I am opposed to granting any unelected World body such sweeping power over my most important possession, my body. We do not directly vote for your members, therefore you are assuming dictatorial, totalitarian powers. Your current recommendations over Covid are for an experimental gene therapy injection. Under the Nuremberg Code, I can refuse experimental medical treatment. In UK we also have a Public Health Act which specifies our right to be able to give 'informed consent' to any medical treatment, including prophylactics and vaccines. English Common Law - to mandate and force some one to have medical treatment, is assault and battery. Recent case law also reiterates the right to sovereignty over one's own body and medical treatment. Montgomery v Lanarkshire, and Sidaway v Bethlem. It will be a violation of every country's sovereignty and in UK, the people are sovereign. You are potentially proposing a violation of my bodily sovereignty too. This is a power grab and an attempt at one world government. As the UN are currently doing with Education. Choose the areas of policy where you can pretend to be acting on issues we can all agree on. My response is that this is a fascist proposal. It is unacceptable. Big Pharma interests will be acting behind the scenes. There will be no transparency and no accountability. I will not be complying with any of your diktats. If anyone attempts to decide on my medical treatment against my will, I will interpret it as an attack on my life and resist accordingly. Do not even think about it!
None	I am opposed to having a global organization dictate policies for the whole world, bypassing national constitutions. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it must be in place. Measure that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be in place.
Self	I am opposed to having the WHO apply a one sized fit approach to health throughout the world. Countries are capable of taking care of their citizens unique health needs. This is a slippery slope that can lead to unwanted totalitarian control, and take away a nation's sovereignty. This is not the right path to follow.
Individual	I am opposed to such a treaty that would cede national authority to a global body. Indeed, national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures MUST NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	I am opposed to the development and implementation of an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of an agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There would need to be an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on these issues, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any measures that are developed need to be completely unbiased, and free of conflicts of interest, from all organizations and outside influence.
None	I am opposed to the formation of any kind of global pandemic treaty.
Individual	I am opposed to the global health management of a pandemic. Our country cannot sign this treaty. We must develop our own pandemic strategy and invest in our healthcare system to create a robust pandemic response infrastructure. There is no one size fits all nations.
None	I am opposed to the implementation of a worldwide pandemic policy or treaty. Each country around the world must have its national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Health should never be a 'one size fits all' policy. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be maintained. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Censorship of alternative methods of treatment must stop. Science is a process of assessing, testing and changing as needed. Measures to be implemented should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. People before profit!
Retired	I am opposed to the International Pandemic Treaty. Medical Decisions & treatments are Not to be made by Bureaucrats at United Nations or WHO but by locals Doctors & their Patients! Humanity is Waking Up to useless endless pandemics, lockdowns, mandates, forced vaccinations while hiding or manipulating information to maintain a narrative of Fear & Force! Stop the Lies & Misinformation! We Will Not Comply!
Activpro Business Solutions	I am opposed to the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response in its entirety. No one organization should dictate how other countries in the world handle their affairs. This can lead to an abuse of power and is against the South African Constitution.
VFF	I am opposed to the Pandemic Treaty as the approaches to the treatment that have been promoted by WHO and the CDC over these past 2 years have had nothing but a destructive result on the mental health and economic wellbeing for people around the world. The Vaccines have not been effective and have resulted in an unprecedented number of deaths and long term negative injuries. Throughout the two years the doctors have been prevented from doing what they have been trained to do, treat their patients with the medicines needed. Instead the pharmaceutical industries have profiteered from the vaccine mania agenda promoted as safe and effective. Neither have proved to be true.Individual rights to choose medical intervention have been denied . The WHO has direct donations from the very same organizations that are promoting and vinancially benefitting from the vaccine programs. There is clearly a conflict of interest. The WHO is to desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens as this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The WHO will not threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.is to be null and void.
3 Burnham Close	I am opposed to the treaty , we are sovereign
Na	I am opposed to the treaty due to issues around autonomy and sovereignty of Australia. I do not want the country I live in being controlled at the behest of WHO. Any response to a future pandemic needs to be local and by sovereign agencies. As everyone has seen in the last two years, one size does not and in this case, will never fit all! Different solutions for different circumstances, in different towns, cities and countries managed by the agencies within those countries.
US Citizen	I am opposed to the treaty on principal since the global Covid-19 pandemic response, including actions of the WHO, demonstrably did more harm than good. National and local leadership must always retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of such agreements as they see fit, without consequence. Where a majority does not want the WHO's involvement, they (the WHO) should not interfere with the locals sovereign rights to reject their aid.
Mathis Brothers Furniture	I am opposed to the treaty. These are the basic reasons: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I am opposed to the W.H.O. gaining these additional powers, for the following reasons: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their own respective countries in all circumstances. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, MUST be retained. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It must include measures that do not allow for ANY influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health providers with financial conflicts of interest in the outcome. Thank you, Mark Pey AUSTRALIA
Individual	I am opposed to the WHO pandemic treaty as it takes away my human right to bodily autonomy and freedom to choose my own medical interventions.
private Citizen	I am opposed to the WHO (or any other global organization) dictating what countries must or must not do during a pandemic. Countries need to remain autonomous and democratic. Top-down centralized control does not create healthy, stable solutions to global problems. Only citizens can decide what is best for themselves. Decision-making needs to form from the ground-up not from the top-down. The WHO is susceptible to profit-driven, corporate pharmaceutical influence and has private donors who come with private demands, desires and power. It is not a democratically elected body and should have no rights over citizens. It may advise or offer offer guidance, but should not dictate to any country's government. The dangers of a global dictatorial medical surveillance structure are more dangerous than any disease. Countries must always have the power to disregard WHO guidance. Countries are already prepared with pandemic policies and there is no need to give this power to the WHO.
Open Source Truth	I am opposed to the WHO expanding its reach of power during times of future 'pandemics'. There can never be a one size fits all approach to health and governance. Sovereign nations must stay sovereign, and what works for one person will not work for everyone. I have done my own research these past two years and found guidelines given by the WHO to be flawed. For instance, masking healthy people and isolating them is ineffective. I have read the studies and the ones that show real life scenarios prove this. The studies that are clearly biased are the ones presented to the public as fact. No one person or organization can get 100% of the truth 100% of the time. That is why we have a right to our own opinions and reasoning. We keep each other in check. Otherwise it is a dictatorship. The idea of an organization such as yourself implementing a vaccine passport in order to travel, work or do basic things is morally gross. Without the choice, there can be no rational opposition. There are many rational reasons for a person to make the choice not to inject an mNRA spike protein into their body. I am all for full transparency. But I do not see that happening. Manufacturers of the products being pushed as vaccines are not being held liable for the injuries they are causing. That is unfathomable. The other transparencies I think ought to be a given is in regard to all research and actions of the WHO. I am especially concerned with the massive amounts of funding you receive from private individuals and organizations. These are a conflict of interest to the everyday person who does not have the same clout or vote on what policies are implemented. I vote NO on giving the WHO the power to override sovereign nations.
Private	I am opposed to the WHO Global Pandemic Agreement. We will take responsibility for our own health and democratic livlihood. • National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and what is wanted by the people. • All nations and local municipalities must have the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence whatsoever. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I am opposed to the WHO organisation creating an international pandemic response treaty. You are an unelected organisation who has no right to override any countries sovereignty in choosing a way to deal with a pandemic. Every country is different, and they have their own ways of dealing with health emergencies and to expect a one size fit's all scenario to be able to work is naive at best and medical maleficence at worst. I think that it's obvious with this current pandemic that a one size fits all scenario does not work. Plus to take away the sovereignty of the indigenous people of each country and how they deal with illness is yet another form of colonisation. We have our ways, we have our beliefs and our ancestral knowledge, and why should you be able to override this? Once again the indigenous are given no choice. But then no-one is, which is a red flag. Why is this not being shared openly with the citizens of the world? Is it because you know they'll say no, or is it because you believe they don't have a right to comment? It is not your right to appoint yourself to this lofty position. You are an unelected organisation trying to take over the world's response to pandemics which you have no right to do and I am strongly opposed to everything which WHO are proposing.
Member of the public	I am opposed to the WHO proposals to have undemocratic rights over sovereign people. People rights and freedoms were brutally taken away during the covid pandemic which I feel caused more distress and health problems and still is than the actual virus.
None. Private citizen of USA.	I am opposed to the WHO's plans for a global pandemic agreement for the following reasons. First, no nation, let alone municipality, should be denied autonomy over their methods of dealing with public health problems. In other words, no to central planning or "one size fits all". Second, there can be no global agreement that does not allow local governments to opt out of any such agreement. The agreement cannot wield power over any country or municipality contrary to the constitutional rights of its citizens. Third, there must be an open and transparent process that allows the citizens of all countries and all municipalities to vote on any such agreement with a method enshrined in code to prevent the imposition of any global health measures on those who do not want it. And, finally, there can be no participation in any agreement process by any pharmaceutical companies or any individuals who can or will profit from any such agreement. Global health policies cannot be imposed by the few. The function of the WHO in these matters must be in the form of recommending policies not imposing them. The mismanagement of COVID19 pandemic measures was to the detriment of world health. In particular, the suppression of effective treatments and the coercion of individual doctors to adhere to a scientifically flawed policy, contributed to countless, needless deaths. The WHO's behavior in the face of COVID19 is the loudest argument against any global pandemic agreement. Thank you, Franklin Jensen Hawaii, USA
Canadian citizen	I am opposed to the WHOs power grab to control our future in regards to pandemics. The autocratic 'World Together' plan to draft a global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. If successful, the WHO's power grab will threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement has the potential to increase tenfold WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. Please vote this madness down
U.S. citizen	I am opposed to this International Pandemic Treaty because the WHO will have control over our country as well as all countries in the area of public health. We have public health officials and do not need the WHO to make these laws. They do not have the authority to do so...... They are not elected officials of the U.S. The laws that WHO will make under this treaty will supersede our American Constitution and remove. the right for any country to make its own health policy. This is totalitarianism and should not be tolerated.
Private individual	I am opposed to this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness. National governments should be free to decide their own health policies and responses to any future outbreaks and must be directly accountable to their own citizens. This proposal would destroy national sovereignty and human rights, and would facilitate the imposition of tyrannical repression on a global scale. Any measures imposed by WHO would be unlawful and against the constitutions of member states. WHO's response to Covid 19 and previous pandemics has been disastrous, encouraging ineffective, harmful lockdowns and masking, suppressing early treatments and recommending experimental vaccines that have been neither safe nor effective.
Baker Borski Chiropractic, S.C.	I am opposed to this treat for the following reasons: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We have seen the devastation when policy is made with only partial information and based on misunderstanding and error. Millions of lives and jobs have been lost. It will be decades to recover. We can not allow this type of tyranny to persist or be condoned.
A Patriot & Sons LLC	I am opposed to this treaty for the very simple reason that I will not comply with the illegal orders of my elected representatives (employees), so I there is no way on God's green earth that I will comply with the dictates of faceless, unelected people with one-size-fits-all solutions to complex issues. You have no power over us and you never will.
Crow and Rose Attorneys at Law	I am opposed to this treaty in any form, but if it is ratified all of the following should be included 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Should include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Oxford Grassroots	I am opposed to this Treaty in its' entirety. It would be a gross violation of National Sovereignty, Individual Rights, and Personal Medical Privacy. Further, it is an avenue to threaten Biological Warfare upon, and suppress the free speech of, people who dissent from its' dictates. Stop this effort at once!
Private business	I am opposed to this treaty with the WHO. WHO treaties that remove power from the United States and individual countries are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions of individuals and if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty, individual decisions will be removed. WHO should focus on building the health of individuals instead of dictating steps during a pandemic. Get back to the science, including allowing physicians to practice medicine. For example, why did a safe drug, like Ivermectin get totally left out of possible treatments for COVID? The US should not participate in this treaty.
Family Farm	I am opposed to this treaty. Decisions, policies, etc. should always be made at the lowest level possible: towns, municipalities, cities, provinces. Having the WHO make decisions for me, a Canadian in rural Manitoba, is a horrendous perspective. Decentralization is never positive.
None	I am opposed to this treaty. I believe the US should be making its own decisions about these kinds of issues.
concerned citizen	I am opposed to to a world wide pandemic treaty to be written and carried out by WHO. Closing borders if another pandemic should erupt and letting each nation deal with the needs for that nation should suffice. I watched how a few countries did that with COVID and they remained untouched for the most part. We have brilliant physicians, scientists, and lawmakers who can come up with a better solution than bureaucrats who are moving us toward less freedoms. If you value the country you were raised in...if you value the friends and family that you have...if you value freedom, then please don't pursue this!!!!!
Private citizen	I am opposed to WHO taking precedence over my government in making decisions regarding my and my family's health. I do not consent to any interventions without due process and informed consent.
Zenage Wellness	I am opposing this treaty. The public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
self	I am pleading to disarm government mandates. We, the people, deserve to pay whatever consequences that our decisions may cause, but without punishment for bad choices. We must keep bodily autonomy and sovereignty -especially with our health. Every individual is the best advocate for their own personal needs, wants, and requirements. My one lesson in life was realized when I discovered how I got where I'm at: my trust must be earned; not given for convenience or assumed because of relation. I do not trust the government, and the absence of honesty and transparency only fuels my suspicions. It isn't an issue unless I lose privacy and free will. My health and happiness work hand in hand, please don't deprive me.

Organization	Written submission
none	I am pleased to comment on the proposed international WHO instrument on pandemic preparedness & response. This is a scary notion for those of us who do not believe that the recommendations of big pharma, Bill Gates, and the WHO are in our best health interests. I have been appalled by the lack of transparency, the lack of science, the deception, the censorship of the truth, and the politics that have tainted the official government response to COVID here. We knew from the beginning that the COVID vaccines did not prevent disease or transmission of the virus, and that "herd immunity" could never be achieved with such a leaky (and dangerous!) vaccine. Thankfully, the Omicron variant appears to be responsible for the true, natural "herd immunity" that may, in fact, prove protective for us. AT LEAST I am fortunate to be a citizen of the USA, and still have some of the liberties that I hope will never be taken from me. I do not want my country to lose its sovereignty over health decisions for its citizenry--with those liberties in place for its citizens under a true representative government--to an international instrument that may succumb to authoritarian control. I understand that future "pandemics" may require the resources of the global community to address, but this is not the way to do it. Let those global decision be truly based on science (NOT politics & $$$) in a respectful and democratic manner. We do not want the tragic mistakes made in this "pandemic" to be made again--and we cannot put our trust in the WHO to prevent them. I am a medical professional who is serious about this proposal--thank you, in advance, for taking my comments seriously.
N/A	I am posting what another person submitted because I think he has posted what the majority want: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Signed, A citizen of the State of California, USA.
Stephanie D Story, ND	I am providing a list of the important points that should be included in any Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try any "unapproved" medication that might prove beneficial is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lock downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and illegal. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. Censorship is wrong, immoral, and illegal. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
privat	I am rejecting the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response The plans of the WHO to link every person on the planet to a QR code digital ID and create a a global vaccine passport system are simply very bad news for autonomy. It should be noted that besides the moral implications of implementing health-based restrictions in the wake of future pandemics — whether they be COVID, polio, or anything else — a global vaccine passport also brings up national security concerns, as the WHO and its backers would have access to these "home-based records." A global pandemic treaty would legally bind member states to the WHO's International Health Regulations and allow the organization to dictate all future pandemic responses, utterly quashing national health sovereignty.
Private	I am representing my country - Finland - and its people. On our behalf we absolutely and unequivocally reject the proposed pandemic treaty, which will be binding to the nations who sign it. No one person or a group of persons (represntatives of nations) can decide the participation - and fate - of their country by agreeing to this treaty. No one official represents the whole nation without a mandate, without peoples' approval. The decision should be made by the people, which means there should be referendums in every country, at least. The treaty would be rejected as it should be. It is completely authoritarian and concentrates the power to an ultranational entity, to decide the fate of sovereign nations! Each nation has the right to make its own decision regarding pandemics and absolutely everything else. It must not under any circumstances be dictated from unelected bureaucrats. No entity must be able to dictate or mandate anything to sovereign nations. This goes against absolutely everything freedom and democracy stands for, against human rights and medical and ethic rights. This treaty would enable WHO to mandate treatment protocols, vaccines, other drugs, lockdowns etc. All of this goes agains the Nuremberg Code, the Declaration of Helsinki, human rights and the individual's right to make informed, educated decisions. All decisions in times of pandemics must be done within nation states, by the people and officials of those states, and no one else. The nation of Finland is against this treaty.
USA	I am requesting the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable. The treaty must include the following: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you
NJ Stands Up	I am requesting that countries around the world as well as national and local leadership retain full autonomy and the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. In addition, nationals and local municipalities need to have the option to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. All people of the world have a fundamental right to freedom, and people are entitled to voting on measures that impact them directly. The process needs to be open and transparent. The process can not be corrupted by any global health profiteers or pharmaceutical companies. If people worldwide do not agree with actions taken by world organizations or with proposed global agreements, they (the people) need to possess fundamental rights to exercise their right to vote and present their opinions without any adverse consequences. The will of the people must be followed, as they are entitled to natural and inalienable rights. It is the responsibility of government to ensure that laws and agreements are passed in accordance with the will of the people.
Citizen of the human race	I am respectfully against this treaty. I believe countries should be left to make decisions for themselves. The response to COVID has been ravaged with corruption and false information. I believe when power is concentrated to a small group, the possibility of being bought by lobbying groups will be all the absolute norm as they will answer to no one. Medical freedom of the individual should be nonnegotiable. This will be a disaster, and I am 1000% against this treaty. This is very obviously not about protecting our health.
None	I am respectfully of the opinion that public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health and I do not support the idea of an international treaty that will determine how a global pandemic response.
No	I am responding to this as we all need to make our own personal decision regarding what's best for health. Not a one size fits all policy. I wish to be treated as the individual not the collective. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
galway ceramics	i am saying no to this treatyand why mandotry vaccinations i dont agree with this i know loads off people who have adverse reactions ti the vaccine and know people who died also this will stop people travelling i wont and will never be vaccinated ever its my choice and the word is no my body my choice no no no no no no but guess what u dont care and i say again my body my choice
Personal and corporate	I am seriously concerned about this course of action on the following grounds: 1) Strategies to solve health challenges should always allow for local and national leadership to bring leadership for best responses to local populations; 2) Nations should never be expected or required to surrender their autonomy to be able to act in the best interests of their own populations; 3) There should be a very open and transparent process allowing / inviting input from all people around the globe on something as important as what is being considered here. The voices of the people should be both heard and listened to; 4) There should be clear transparency around the different 'players' - governments, big pharma, medical institutions and their representatives and regulatory authorities so that each is functioning with clear independence with no conflicts of interest or influence.
Einzelne Person	I am shocked to hear that even such a thing exists and we had no time to respond in any depth. If your proposal is such a good idea, why implement it without giving time for proper public discussions?? I am completely opposed to the idea that a non-elected organisation has the right to introduce punitive measures to restrict the freedom of individuals. I thought I lived in a democracy, but it seems that supranational organisations have the right to dictate what I can and cannot do! When I can go out and who I can meet. Leave these decisions to national governments.
CHD	I am sickened by this potential power grab. Not one size fits all works. Ever. The people know what's going on. This one world government will not work. This needs to stop.
International Council of Nurses	I am speaking on behalf of the International Council of Nurses (ICN) and the over 27 million nurses worldwide. The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the global health workforce is indispensable in pandemic preparedness and response. Nursing, accounting for 60% of the health professions, is an important element for developing action-orientated strategy to support real change. As demonstrated during the COVID-19 pandemic, deterioration of working conditions, insufficient protective supply, lack of access to vaccines and psychological support has had a profound effect on the mental and physical health of nurses and other health personnel. Furthermore, under-investment in the nursing profession and health workforce planning has resulted in nurse shortages and service disruptions that impacted pandemic response and people's health. ICN commends WHO's leadership of the drafting effort and strongly supports the development of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. We emphasize the need for a protected and respected nursing workforce to address the totality of healthcare needs and prevent health systems from collapse in future health emergencies. The new instrument needs to include the health and safety of the healthcare workforce in all health emergency preparedness and response plans at every level, ensure equity in access to medical countermeasures and secure investment to build strong and resilient health systems. Engagement and empowerment of nurse leaders in the region, such as the Chief Nursing Officer at government level is essential to supporting the design and implementation of health emergency. Thank you.
Ungeimpft-gesund	I am stricktly against mandatory vaccinations
Dr med	I am strictly against a international Pandemia contract which the WHO plans!
none	I am strictly against an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
none	I am strictly against any "instruments on pandemic preparedness and response". This is no political, but a medical problem. Politicians shoud do their work and leave Doctors to do theirs. There are so many very alarming side effects of these experimental vaccins - to force people to accept those shots is unwarranty. I am convinced, one day it will be clarified and those who are responsible for the death of many people will stand trial for this
Grüner Verein gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	I am strictly against any international pandemic treaty, mandatory or non-mandatory
individual person	I am strictly against it! WHO is not entitled to ask for rights which allows overruling constitutions of individual countries! WHO is not an elected organisation nor independent it developed into a pharma industry lobbying club
Citizen	I am strictly against the agreement of the WHO, which takes is all national rights.The WHO is not elected by Nations.
None	I am strictly against the agreement of the WHO, which takes is all national rights.The WHO is not elected by Nations.
Wamperling	I am strictly against the WHO Pandemic Contract. It steals the power of each individual country and gives it into the hands of a few, non democratic individuals. The WHO is powered and financed by a few big Players Like the Gates Foundation and their interests don't fit into a free democratic world. Civil Rights are a right which should not be influenced by private interests and such organizations. The Last 2 years showed that a few got a lot more rich and more powerfull but Most people lost their Rights, their freedom and their Money. Just for the "greater good" the rich will become richer and the poor will own nothing.
None	I am strictly against this contract as it bears the danger of restricting public life rather than securing public health! The interests of big pharma and other global players become very obvious when reading between the lines!
None	I am strictly opposed to any international mandatory vaccination treaty. In addition, only member States should be permitted to fund the WHO, and any donation from a private donator has to be be rejected, because it has a devastating effect on the reputation of the WHO, and it's ability to make decisions on it's own. Any influence of private donators has to be ruled out. Thank You Franz Müllegger
Free Citizen	I am strong against WHO globalistic tractats and constitution for coutries all around the World. We free People want to decide our health without WHO .
michael fuller gardens	I am strongly against any form of centralised control of health. Whilst the WHO can make recommendations, I feel very strongly that medical decisions should be in the first place made on an individual basis. this means that there should never be a world ruling that states that the WHO can make rules or decisions over any individual. Individuals should always have full sovereignty of their health decisions and never be coerced by a government or intergovernmental entity such as the WHO to do something against their wishes. so don't change the advisory role of the WHO please!
Heal practicioner	I am strongly against any pandemic resolution, impacting the souverinity of national states.
I am a living man	I am strongly against any pandemic treaty . I do not wish to happened. I know the best how to protect myself against any health threads. I wish you stop involve to my health !!! My body my choice.
M. Berger Land Co.	I am strongly against any WHO treaties that remove power and decision making from the USA or any other country. Such "treaties" are dangerous to the health and welfare of all Americans and people everywhere. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Individuals have the means and ability to make the choices that best protect themselves and their communities - not WHO, not any extra governmental organization.
Self	I am strongly against having anyone or any organization require that I must do anything- vaccinate or take medicine for the betterment of humanity. I am 80 years old- I've lived long enough to tell you- this will not work, and should not work- where are our rights, as citizens of the world? I expect you to reverse your thinking on the pandemic preparedness , and response bill. We do not need to be told what to do and how to do it! We are thinking individuals, and our bodies are self-healing. We could be prepared with enough medical supplies on hand should another pandemic occur- enough for all that is! Thank you, Yvonne Ramos
self	I am strongly against international control during a pandemic response. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions should be made by the individual and health decisions should stay close to home, including when an emergency exists.
Private	I am strongly against such a treaty, it would mean that all constitutions of the member states of the WHO would be abolished. That is very dangerous.
No organization	I am strongly against the WHO taking exclusive control over any pandemic response that would supersede any individual country's decisions applying to its own citizens.
Bridgetown	I am strongly against the WHO taking on the authority to control decisions concerning my health as well as the health decisions of the American People. I believe these decisions should be made by individuals and their own doctors that they have chosen for their healthcare. No organization should be able to force me to take medical interventions I do not want or approve of.
self	I am strongly disagree with any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The guidance by the WHO under the COVID 19 pandemic was not effective. Each country, state and local governance, elected officials, not appointed, should be the only ones guiding all responses and preparedness to future pandemics. Look at the outcomes of COVID 19. Each locality differs greatly and needs to be recognized to be effective in future pandemics. There is no one size fits all as a response.
Southern Oregon health Independence, (SOHI)	I am strongly not in favor of the WHO's plan. National and local leadership must retain complete autonomy, maintaining the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people--NOT according to what WHO thinks is best. . Obviously nations and local municipalities MUST be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This process Must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Prejudicial parties Must not be influential. Therefore only Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lack	I am strongly opposed of creating international tractat WHO. It can lead to unlimited abuses and breaking human rights, because this law will be more important than national constitutions. Citizens who will be hurt by wrong decision of WHO probably will never get recompensation for side effects of treatment or lack of hospitalisation caused by inappropriate restrictions. I completely disagree with using only this methods, which are recommend by WHO. I am follower of alternative ways of treatment. We know that each patient needs individual attitude. I reckon, that finding new possibilities and methods of treatment is a responsibility of each good doctor and scientist and it shouldn't be blocked by any ordinances. For 2 years of COVID-19 pandemic, we were witnesses of blocking transparent, public debate with scientists and doctors who has other opinions than opinion known from mainstream medias. We can not talk about scientific methods to deal with pandemic, if we don't allow to criticise them. In my country (Poland), a lot of people didn't get a medical help, because they had hardened access to hospital, which was caused by health minister decisions. Cutting them from hospitalisation set our country in the first place of ranking shown the highest death rate in all European Union. There is next strong arguement against this contract. WHO in majority is financed by private organisations like Melinda and Bill Gates' foundation and pharmaceutical concerns. This people and companies earned millions on COVID 19 pandemic by selling vaccination. It is logically that exists a conflict of interest and they will exert pressure on decisions WHO by increasing or decreasing flow of money.
United States Citizen	I am strongly opposed to a legally binding global pandemic treaty. This is a power grab, and must not be allowed. Forcing individuals to get "the jab" is a violation of the Nuremberg Code. It's human experimentation, period. There have been many "vaccine" injuries and deaths, that are not being disclosed. This is not a vaccine, it's experimental gene manipulation. I also oppose "vaccine passports". They are the same as the Gestapo saying "papers, please!" during WWII. These forced injections and vaccine passports are a violation of our rights, and must not be allowed. WAKE UP, WORLD!
PEN	I am strongly opposed to a WHO pandemic treaty, which is a completely undemocratic stranglehold against all countries of the world.
None	I am strongly opposed to a worldwide pandemic prepardeness agreement. All systems function best when a diversity of viewpoints and practices are allowed. A uniform, monolithic agenda is not suitable for various populations and environments and nationalities. There are far too many variables at play in any pandemic situation to allow for one solution fitting all circumstances. Please decline to ratify this agreement. It does not reflect the will of the people. It reflects the will of those who seek to impose their views and alleged expertise on the many. There is strength in diversity and this agreement does not tolerate or support diversity. Liz Carson
Councillor, Kent, UK	I am strongly opposed to any form of international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response which would have a devastating effect on nation states across the globe. The notion that one form of response for all is ridiculous due to cultures and seasonal zones. There is absolutely no need for a legislative instrument from the WHO or the UN for a one fix for all when governments across the world already have an information conduit through media agencies like.Reuters and similar bodies. The global mainstream media narrative promoting injections for viruses has already provoked a response from scientists and doctors from across the world. The World Council for Health is one, along with Frontline Doctors and many others who are opposed to such extreme measures when therapeutics are available and easily administered to third world countries. I repeat, there is no need for such an instrument as proposed by the WHO. I am strongly opposed to these proposed measures. L W. Barratt.
N/a	I am strongly opposed to any form of international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response which would have a devastating effect on nation states across the globe. The notion that one form of response for all is ridiculous due to cultures and seasonal zones. There is absolutely no need for a legislative instrument from the WHO or the UN for a one fix for all when governments across the world already have an information conduit through media agencies like.Reuters and similar bodies. The global mainstream media narrative promoting injections for viruses has already provoked a response from scientists and doctors from across the world. The World Council for Health is one, along with Frontline Doctors and many others who are opposed to such extreme measures when therapeutics are available and easily administered to third world countries. I repeat, there is no need for such an instrument as proposed by the WHO. I am strongly opposed to these proposed measures.
Na	I am strongly opposed to any treaties that take away the citizens of ths U.S. rights to make decisions regarding the health of their citizens. Decisions regarding health should live as close to home as possible with the indivdual and their doctor, then city and state. Giving that power away to some multinational organization is a mistake.
Self	I am strongly opposed to entering into ANYTHING on a global scale. The health of each individual is up to us, not the government, whether that be national or international.
Truth, Freedom, Health	I am strongly opposed to giving WHO or any other single organization all encompassing rights and power.
Noelle Harrison Design	I am strongly opposed to one entity (The WHO) having complete control over our emergency responses and personal health decisions. There is no one-size-fits-all health treatment. Every person has distinct, medical needs and respond differently to treatments. Giving the WHO power and control over emergency future pandemic situations will eliminate our Constitutional rights as Americans and other Constitutions will be absolute in other nations as well. The WHO will have the ability to determine what is considered an emergency and could enforce unnecessary and proven ineffective lockdowns. For theses reasons I am not supportive of the WHO making forceful global emergency, pandemic decisions for all people.
Self	I am strongly opposed to setting up an international negotiating body for pandemic response or really any health care issue. It is important for communities to determine their own needs. Clearly a health epidemic in a large city is a completely different scenario than out in a small rural town. Yet as we have seen, various governing bodies have applied a one size fits all approach which has failed miserably. Why would we continue to go down this path? All science as been disregarded throughout this pandemic. The real pandemic has been governments and various groups seizing power and control. I don't see how setting up this international group would change this direction. If the World Health Organization really has the worlds health interest in as it's goal, then it should recognize this and take steps to ensure that people's rights and freedoms aren't the things being killed.
N/A	I am strongly opposed to the proposed "global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response." According to the World Economic Forum, as of 2020, the WHO receives almost 10% of its funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. The last thing we need is a program that is not only one-size fits all, but would be implemented by unelected bureaucrats funded by one of the wealthiest men in the world.
Private	I am strongly opposed to the WHO having ultimate authority in any country relating to pandemic responses. Only elected officials that represent the governed should have this sort of power. However, I do believe all countries should enter into an Agreement requiring them to disclose to all other nations as soon as they become aware of a biological research release or leak that could have any negative effect on biological life, that such an escape has occurred.
Personal Health Dynamics LLC	I am strongly opposed to the World Health Organization (WHO)'s move to implement a global pandemic agreement. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. If a global agreement is developed, it must provide the following: National and local leadership should be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process which provide the ability for all people of the world to vote on and determine the outcome including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any agreement must provide measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We must provide transparency and hold all involved responsible instead of enabling pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers to withhold information regarding contents of their products and have no liability or accountability for the harm caused by their products. We should provide for open, uncensored discussion by doctors, scientists, researchers and medical professionals on the effectiveness of treatments and support transparency and open development and sharing of effective treatments developed by individual nations, including the use of natural remedies.
AG SHIATSU.CO.UK	I am strongly opposed to this proposed action. This is so far removed from the right to choose and take individual responsibility for one's health that it defies all democratic ways of being. One rule for all would not fit all. This is way too draconian and seems to be a drive to totalitarianism in the extreme. We are not animals to be herded and ruled over like animals.
None	I am strongly opposing any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response!!! The WHO is not a democratically elected body and I do not want them to have any power over peoples or my personal health!
Public	I am submitting my reflection on the proposed treaty of having an one world entity rule over our nations. Not only have human beings been able to engage in smart decisions but also work in cohorts for years to collaborate on the better health of a particular community. The notion that there is a need for a one world entity to supersede all decisions for a mass of different cultures and needs is absurd. Every nation, country, community has particular health concerns different from their neighbors. You cannot combine all into one ruling entity to cover and what will become blanket options for healthcare. If you decrease the reliance on technology to solve our problems and return our kind to thinking for ourselves we are more than capable of making reliant decisions for our healthcare. Those that will be in favor of this treaty are power driven individuals and it will lead to chaos, the people of this world will not stand for tyranny especially for their healthcare. If you believe there aren't those that will rise against it be warned now. God intended us to rely on our immune system and the world is reawakening to that. Think over before signing this treaty because it will lead to more harm than good.
The three colts	I am submitting this due to the worrying prospect that you at WHO, will normalise and pressure our British Government into Lockdowns . The evidence is clear, they do not stop the spread of Covid and merely delay, cause mental health problems, cause loneliness and damage businesses and economies terribly. I can assure you now, that the majority of British people would no longer comply with Lockdowns or accept the closure of their businesses. We will not accept a body such as The WHO determining our lives. Kind regards.
An individual	I am submitting this written response to the question asked by the World Health Organisation; "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I would be concerned about any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I consider that the government of each country should make the final decisions regarding what should or what should not take place during a pandemic. The Governments elected by the people have that responsibility for making decisions effecting the whole population and this should not be outsourced to an outside unelected body, unknown to the people. How could people be sure that such an organisation would be unbiased and trustworthy. Suggestions of course can be made by an outside source such as the World Health Organisation but there ideas should not be imposed on to a country. It has not been unknown that in the course of time organisations can loose credibility and trustworthiness and made use of by powerful people or organisation. A lot has been learnt from the past two/three years. One lesson surfacing is that many mistakes were made and the very fact that countries responded differently has enabled a better opportunity for assessment and reflection. I hope it will never again be seen to be necessary to impose such draconian rules as we have witnessed for the recent Covid 19 'pandemic'. 233 words
Affuminder	I am successful American with ADHD, a mental health condition. The neurodivergent demographic are not represented and this treaty further moves decision making away from the people. PLEASE GIVE US A CHANCE FOR DEMOCRACY. National and local leadership should retain full and complete autonomy. We need to have direct influence over has the the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people voting for them. If this is not the way it has been working (like an illusion or a lie) - that is NOT reason to change it. America was founded on beliefs of governing from the land of the people. This treaty violates every American right. Nations and local municipalities should be able to to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without worrying about consequence. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not have influence over any processes. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	I am sure, in order to be accepted by all countries, the main element to be included in this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and respons is the element of Democracy! A top down kind of world government dictator approach ist not suitable an will not bei accepted by the majority of nations!
Self	I am the best judge of what to do for myself medically. I am mentally sound and do not need others to make decisions for me. I have kept myself alive and healthy for all of my adult life and I strenuously object to that right being taken away from me. This is an unacceptable threat to the freedom I have now and I'm not open to relinquishing my freedom of choice.

Organization	Written submission
EX STATITEC	I am the former CEO of a CRO specialized in the management of clinical trials for the pharmaceutical industry, and more specifically in data-management and biostatistics. With my company I was involved in hundreds of clinical trials and I have worked for many companies, Roche, Janssen-cilag, Bayer, biotechs ... I sold my company, Statitec, in 2018 to one of top 5 French CROs. As a biostatistician for 23 years, I have written an "Evaluation of the methodological practices implemented in the Pfizer/ BioNtech trials in the development of its COVID 19 RNA messenger vaccine in relation to Good Clinical Practices" available here https://christine-cotton.1ere-page.fr/expertise-in-english/ The conclusions of this expertise: The results provided in the different Pfizer clinical reports, having been examined in a hurry by the different health authorities, both in terms of efficacy (symptomatic cases, severe cases...), immunogenicity, and safety cannot be considered as honest and reliable from the point of view of Good Clinical Practices, thus biasing the evaluation of the supposedly favorable benefit/risk ratio of the Comirnaty vaccine Given the risks identified and the information still missing Continued use of Comirnaty vaccine in real life poses a significant risk to the lives of individuals It is therefore necessary to urgently suspend all vaccination by Comirnaty , not only for the populations on which we have no information to date, but also for the entire population while waiting for explanations from Pfizer regarding the choice of its trial design, its evaluation methods, the algorithm for calculating the efficacy criteria...
Home Maker	I am the mother of two children who have just went through two years of hell for a pandemic that showed the worse of human nature and how corrupted the levels of government are and the large pharmaceuticals are. New 'vaccines' were made and tested out on billions. The definition was changed to accommodate it. This Vaccine didn't stop transmission. Trials were observer blind. Studies were flawed, yet, policies were made around flawed and corrupted information. Mandates and restrictions caused MUCH more harm than the virus itself. There cannot be an international treaty for a blanket pandemic response. If anything is flawed or corrupt, then nations and people will suffer. Where there is risk, there must be choice. We are humans! Not numbers!
Akademie nephrologischer Berufsgruppen	I am the owner and representative of the Academy of Nephrology Professionals. Neither I aks private person, nor my academy, does not agree with their project! This advance of an international control instrument cannot be justified ergo with the "danger" of a Covid snuff: Rather, it lays the foundation for ever new vaccination compulsions, on the basis of which the fundamental rights of citizens worldwide can be restricted even more easily. For reasons of the inviolable dignity of the human being, your plan and all the more further decisions must be rejected in their entirety. BR Thomas Fernsebner M.A.
unaffiliated	I am the sole arbiter (not politicians, bureaucrats, organizations, scientists, etc.) of any decisions regarding the health of myself and my family. I am capable of doing research regarding diseases, their potential to do harm, and their treatment. I determine where I go and what I do whether a disease is prevalent at that time or in that place. I take full responsibility for my health and expect others to do the same for their health.
Individual citizen of the USA	I am thoroughly opposed to the Pandemic Treaty you are working on because it infringes on our rights to bodily autonomy, as individuals while undermining the sovereignty of every nation! I, along with,millions of other people, here in the USA and across the world will not tolerate this power grab by those are are pushing for this Pandemic Treaty! It is beyond wrong to take over our world in this manner and it will not be accepted! Stop this unjust and inhumane treaty! Thank you!
Concerned U.S. Citizen	I am totally against a legally binding pandemic treaty as it would be detrimental to the health and welfare of U.S. citizens. The health decisions belong to each and every individual citizen and no one should be making those decisions as to what is right for each person's own body and how it would affect their health. Do not agree to making this type of treaty.
DISABLED	I AM TOTALLY AGAINST ANY COMPULSORY MANDATES PLACED BY WHO. WELL RESEARCHED ADVICE ONLY BY WHO TO COUNTRIES. MONOPOLISTIC BEHAVIOR BY PFIZER CORP AND ITS GOVERNMENT HELPERS HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO THE DAMAGE DONE BY THE VIRUS. WELL MEANING DOCTORS HAVE PUNISHED BY THE SYSTEM FOR NOT OBEDIENTLY FOLLOWING FAILED CLINICAL CRITERIA. SHAFIQ KHAN B.Sc Physiology.
EU citizen	I am totally against any kind of new international instrument since the WHO completely failed in this so-called Covid epidemic. There is no need for such a thing, on the contrary it is dangerous.
School	I am totally against any kind of WHO based international preparedness for a pandemic. The governments should communicate and inform each other, but there mustn't be a global commitment what to do. Each country must be able to act on its own.
Human being	I am totally against of the Global Pandemic Treaty and would ask you to take in consideration my posture. It's widely known until now that the so called pandemic was not handled in a proper way by the reasons unknown to me, but the numbers are talking on it's own. For unknown reasons the numbers of supposedly infected people were wrong in practically any country and WHO can with a simple check confirm that. When the vaccination started without any previous clinical trials and any previous peer revisions it is obvious that many facts about the ingredients of the vaccines were not correctly presented to the public and that was very early confirmed by the immense amount of the side effects vaccinated people were and are suffering, Here are few of them from 5.3.6 CUMULATIVE ANALYSIS OF POST-AUTHORIZATION ADVERSE EVENT REPORTS OF PF-07302048 (BNT162B2) RECEIVED THROUGH 28-FEB-2021 1p36 deletion syndrome;2-Hydroxyglutaric aciduria;5'nucleotidase increased;Acoustic neuritis;Acquired C1 inhibitor deficiency;Acquired epidermolysis bullosa;Acquired epileptic aphasia;Acute cutaneous lupus erythematosus;Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis;Acute encephalitis with refractory, repetitive partial seizures;Acute febrile neutrophilic dermatosis;Acute flaccid myelitis;Acute haemorrhagic leukoencephalitis;Acute haemorrhagic oedema of infancy;Acute kidney injury;Acute macular outer retinopathy;Acute motor axonal neuropathy;Acute motor-sensory axonal neuropathy;Acute myocardial infarction;Acute respiratory distress syndrome;Acute respiratory failure;Addison's disease;Administration site thrombosis;Administration site vasculitis;Adrenal thrombosis;Adverse event following immunisation;Ageusia;Agranulocytosis;Air embolism;Alanine aminotransfe
None	I am totally against the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response in its entirety. Do not pass/adopt this "global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response". All these matters should be left for the federal, state and local governments to decide -- Not the WHO.
Member of the public	I am totally against the proposed treaty by the WHO. It's an invasion of peoples rights and freedoms. National and local leadership should retain full power to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Myself	I am totally against the USA being part of a WHO pandemic treaty. Covid has proven that there are too many opinions, various studies which are invalid and some that are valid. We need to make our own healthcare choices for our citizens in the USA.
n/a	I am totally against the WHO's proposed new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This instrument is not required, and will jeopardize people's individual rights and freedoms regarding what medical treatment they choose. I strongly urge the World Health Organization to stop this process of developing this proposed new instrument.
Persons against dictates.	I am totally against the World Health Organisation overriding democratically elected governments to make there own health laws. Butt out of our affairs. Thank you.
Kyokushin karate club	I am totally against this
none	I am totally against this as each country will lose its sovereignty....and so 'who' will the WHO be answerable to?
Privat	I am totally against this contract
private	I am totally against this contract
Privat	I am totally against this contract
citizen of EU	I am totally against this contract because of this the result is the lost of independent decisions of my country Germany in ever sense of pandemic situation in the future. It contradicts any independence and promotes abuse, lobbying and corruption. The world countries do not need a higher authority for any kind of decision concerning only its own citizens!
Ho	I am totally against this International Pandemic Treaty and willing to die on that hill. I am very capable of making my own health decisions with my doctor and keeping my family safe. I do not that the world needs a pandemic preparedness response. I believe each state and country government have their own good sense to make the choices for their citizens. The WHO needs to stay out of the way of doctors and patients.
Private Citizen	I am totally against this pandemic treaty of WHO. This treaty is not democratic. All clauses are arbitrarily defined Just to gain Power over people. This treaty does Not allow national governments to carry Out there own conclusions. The WHO behaves Like a world government and this is illegal.
Private person	I am totally against this proposal
Citizen	I am totally against this proposal. We do not need any form of vaccine passport as has been proven in the last 8 months. In Ireland where I live we removed ALL mandates and the hospitalisation rate did not go up. We have lived NATURAL though the latest Covid wave and almost everyone I know has now had Covid and recovered in a day or two if they had symptoms which less than 10% did. This is not needed or required. I do not agree with this proposal
None	I am totally against this proposed treaty. The WHO does not have individual sovereignty over a US citizen. This should not be allowed to proceed.
UK Citizen	I am totally against this treaty as it goes against my human rights to have complete bodily autonomy over what goes into my body.No government or health organisation should have the right to supercede my basic human rights.
None	I am totally opposed to a one size fits all approach to pandemic response. There should never be an over riding governance of states health responses. Each jurisdiction should have complete autonomy to decide and implement what its citizens are in support of. An approach that integrates personal freedom of movement is essential. Any pandemic response should be undertaken with transparency and conflict of interest such as financial gain should prohibit involvement in responses. Pharmaceutical companies should not be part of a response. No individual should be mandated, forced, coerced into any medical procedure. No individual should be forced into lockdown ever again.
N/a	I am totally opposed to any international instrument on pandemic preparedness that takes sovereignty away from elected democratic officials and their citizens. The idea that unelected international organisations can hold that much unprecedented power is abhorrent in any democratic society.
self	I am totally opposed to any international or any other organization having the kind of control being proposed over health decisions that should be personal and private. Such control is totally unnecessary.
personal	I am totally opposed to any unelected, international organization dictating personal health choices for me or my family.
None	I am totally opposed to the WHO having any say regarding any National response to any future disease or virus outbreaks. I will not be complying with anything that you try to implement. My human rights are mine and NOT yours.
citizen	I am totally opposed to this. WHO has displayed it's incompetence and evil in this last go round. We are way better off without them!!
I am representing myself. However I am a licensed mental health provessiona	I am totally opposed to WHO's proposed international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. WHO performed very badly during the 20/21 epidemic, including causing many deaths due to inappropriate lock-downs, prohibition of workable treatment, insistence on ineffective vaccines, long term financial and psychological repercussions and great harm to our children. We must maintain a democratic process with citizens and leaders from local areas making decisions for our well-being. Representatives from all stake-holder communities must be included in any decisions affecting our society. I am opposed to the violation of the Nuremberg Code, U.S. Civil Rights, world human rights, and body autonomy. I will never submit to any authoritarian assault on my human and civil rights by any government or international agency. The WHO is shamefully funded by pharmaceutical companies, private wealthy citizens like Bill Gates, and woefully ignores true science and scientific debate. This agency is obviously not concerned about human welfare or the democratic process. L. Darlene Pratt
Private person	I am unequivocally voting against the WHO Pandemic Treaty, and I do not agree with it at all. I call for guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies. A concerned citizen
.	I am unsupportive of an unelected body (WHO) being able to make decisions for supposed democratic countries.
	I am unwilling to cede my freedom to anyone.
N/A	I am utterly against this agreement. I do not want it. Please stop this. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights
Far Corporate Services	I am utterly opposed to passing Sovereign control in this or any matter to an unelected and obviously corrupt organization such as WHO. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be preserved. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or incompetent corrupt bureaucrats. In short - NO to your proposal. Ian S
Self	I am vehemently against a one-size-fits-all approach to global health issues. The same is true for having ONE organization being able to dictate ONE health approach for the entire world. There should be a group of experts from around the world, and from a variety of disciplines, who analyze and debate in an open and transparent forum the pros and cons of various approaches/suggestions/solutions to whatever health situation is being faced. All options should be considered and utilized if determined they may be successful. This group must not have any individual conflicts of interest or ties to any organization so that they are unable to profit from any of their recommendations. The outcome of such a group should be a plethora of suggestions and recommendations that the world's governments, as well as all the earth's individual human beings, can then review and choose from. There should never be any ONE MANDATED "solution" because in life there never is one silver bullet and NO human should lose their ability to have authority over their own bodies - they must always be able to choose what is best for themselves.
Private citizen	I am vehemently AGAINST any world body like the WHO usurping power from a sovereign country and deciding what policies to implement—without the consent of the voters/citizens of said country.
LSU	I am vehemently against this. The WHO is not elected and does not have governing rights over me or my country.
self	I am vehemently opposed to having the W.H.O. have ANY control or ANY decision making over ANY emergencies or planned or naturally occurring pandemics. The W.H.O. is an NGO and has no business governing, deciding, dictating ANYTHING to me or anyone who considers themselves a sovereign being. Stay out of my business, you don't care, leave US alone.
None	I am vehemently opposed to such an action. Each country is sovereign and should decide what it wants to do and how it will handle another pandemic by its ELECTED leaders, not bureaucrats I don't know. absolutely NOT.
	I am vehemently opposed to the imposition of a Global Pandemic Treaty of any sort. Each nation should be able to decide on their own what measures to use to deal with any pandemic, based upon their individual situation. I am deeply concerned about this imposition on what should be sovereign nations rights, and deeply resent that the question posed is what should be included, when the larger question is whether or not a global pandemic treaty should be implemented at all.
Household	I am vehemently opposed to the WHO having any power through the proposed Pandemic Treaty or otherwise, to: 1) Mandate any health-related protocol of ANY kind to a sovereign country such as Canada. 2) Restrict my health related rights and freedoms or 3) Supersede our Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms or our Canadian Constitution. As we have seen from the Covid-19 response, the WHO was heavily influenced to overstate the severity of the virus and was essentially representing the interests of the Gates Foundation and Big Pharma at the expense of the citizens of the world. This can NEVER be allowed to happen again, and the WHO needs to be held to account regarding the crimes against humanity that it perpetrated in the past 2 years. The WHASS is morally obligated to address the many violations of the Nuremberg Code that have occurred worldwide since January 2020. It is an ongoing abomination that millions of people around the world have been coerced into accepting experimental gene therapy injections (aka Covid-19 vaccines) to keep their jobs, to travel or to pursue an education. I call on the WHASS to launch a thorough, transparent investigation into fraud and collusion in the approval process of "Covid-19 vaccines". Sovereign nations can never be obligated to follow protocols dictated by the WHO, as the WHO is too prone to corruption. At the 75th Meeting of the WHASS, I challenge you to turn away from expanding your power, funding and bureaucracy and take action to directly improve the health of people worldwide through non-pharmaceutical means. Thank you.
none	I am vehemently opposed to the WHO having any power through the proposed Pandemic Treaty or otherwise, to: 1) Restrict my health related rights and freedoms or 2) Supersede my unalienable rights given to me by God, my Creator This proposed Pandemic Treaty is to be scrapped and replaced by The People's Treaty. Each individual living being has the ability to make their own decisions for their own health and safety. Many natural health measures need to be encouraged such as a diet of whole natural foods, fresh air, exercise and natural supplements such as vitamin D, C, A, E, Selenium and zinc. Agree upon the legal definition of words and phrases that have been used by government officials & media to abuse the rights of human beings around the world. E.g. 'vaccine', 'gene therapy', 'pandemic', 'safe and effective' and 'case'. At the 75th Meeting of the WHASS, I challenge you to turn away from expanding your power, funding and bureaucracy and take action to directly improve the health of people worldwide through Nature. Thank you."
none	I am vehemently opposed to the WHO having any power, through the proposed Pandemic Treaty or otherwise to: 1)Restrict my health related rights or 2) Supersede our Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and/or our Canadian Constitution. The proposed Pandemic Treaty should be scrapped and replaced by The People's Treaty. The WHASS is morally obligated to address the many violations of the Nureberg Code that have occurred worldwide since January 2020. It is an ongoing abomination that millions of people throughout the world have been coerced into accepting experimental gene therapy (aka Covid-19 vaccines) in order to keep their jobs, travel or to pursue an education. I call on the WHASS to launch a thorough, transparent investigation into fraud and collusion in the approval process of the "Covid-19 vaccines". Agree upon the legal definition of words and phrases that have been used by government officials and media to abuse the rights of human beings around the world. E.g. 'vaccine', 'gene therapy', 'pandemic', 'safe and effective' and 'case'. I challenge you, at this 75th Meeting of WHASS to turn away from expanding your power, bureaucracy and funding & take action to directly improve the health of people worldwide. Thx
I am a sovereign woman of the Earth	I am vehemently opposed to there being ANY International Treaty giving unelected bureaucrats control over my bodily autonomy. This is a gross violation of my rights as an sovereign adult woman
Personal	I am very against a group of people who are not United States citizens having jurisdiction over what happens in the United States. I believe the democracy of the USA and the citizens electing the officials that makes decisions is one of the hallmarks of our country. It seems inconceivable that any American would want to transfer the power of our elected officials to a group of people who do not put the interest of America first.
N/A	I am very concern with the this propose treaty. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Please not that the WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Bodily autonomy is essential for all American citizens.
General public	I am very concerned about creating a WHO policy on pandemics. In my view, any treaty should ensure that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Sandy Ramlet
Public	I am very concerned about labs security and gain of function experiments. These issues should be strictly regulated. Other treatments should be tested. I believe that vaccines are not the only solution. I think that lock downs in some cases are not the solutions and can boost mental disorders and other illness like cancer. Masks are a terrible problem, they cause environmental damage and are not efetive.
Health care worker	I am very concerned about the direction the WHO is going with this one world treaty. Recent changes to definitions of herd immunity and pandemic .. all done unilaterally .. as a public health nurse of forty years I disagree with the power structure the WHO.. each country knows best what it's health needs are, our economic and political structures are unique.. I am very concerned about the influence of monetary donors who believe the top priority for health is experimental genetic injections… and vaccines as the only way to improve health. There is more to health than mandatory injections which I believe is the underlining agenda of the donors…. In British Columbia Canada, a freedom of information on hospital admissions and icu admissions related to seasonal flu showed more admissions in 2015/2016 than the so called pandemic of 2020. WHO declared a pandemic in 2020 and we did not have a pandemic.. our economy is crippled, businesses have collapsed, freedoms denied, mental health crises, suicides, children and youth development delayed.. academic studies delayed… let alone covid experimental injections adverse events including deaths as per the WHO calling a pandemic when we did have one… A global approach is very dangerous in my opinion… and it is not a solution for the world. Thank you for your attention..
I do not represent any organization.	I am very concerned about the drafting of an international treaty and what elements will be included in it. First of all, I do not believe that a binding treaty is warranted. The WHO should encourage collaboration between various nation-states in the event of a crisis, but I am concerned that any instrument developed could override national and individual sovereignty in its enforcement. I have no ability to vote for anyone to represent my interests at the WHO, and as such this seems to me to be very anti-democratic. I fear that a binding treaty would make it possible for the international community to forcibly impose actions upon the public, even if those actions are against the wishes of the national government and its citizens. I am also extremely concerned that due to the fact that the WHO receives a substantial portion of its funding from the Gates Foundation, and that any instrument drafted may be geared more towards meeting the demands of pharmaceutical companies, many of which face no legal liability for any harm that their products may cause, and less towards meeting the needs of the public during an actual crisis. If an instrument is to be drafted, then it must contain extremely strong protections for both national and individual sovereignty, human rights, and civil liberties. There should be no provisions for force or coercion in terms of implementing pharmaceutical or non-pharmaceutical interventions, such as mandated vaccination, stay-at-home orders, etc. Most of these kinds of interventions during the COVID-19 pandemic have caused more harm than good, and have done very little to protect vulnerable individuals. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.
	I am very concerned about the global treaty that is being discussed. No Government organization should have the power to decide on anybody's health. People are sovereign and should have the freedom to make decisions when it comes to their own health or the health of their children.Every country should stay sovereign and work together WITH the people in their particular country to find solutions to handle a pandemic. The WHO should never have this much power. The WHO should be limited to only provide guidance and science and peer reviewed studies should be used when providing this guidance. During the past pandemic we have seen too many regulations that were not peer reviewed. Mask wearing/ covid vaccinations that showed huge amounts of reverse reactions and no long term clinical trials were done prior. There are too many conflicts of interest when it comes to people working at The WHO.
A citizen of Canada	I am very concerned about the recent news that you are trying to create a world response to pandemics. I feel that it is important that a nation state is Sovereign and can control it's pandemic response in its own borders. There is not one organization that can control every part of the world and I do feel that it is a power grab and very dangerous , as it binds Nations to follow rules that can be manipulated and used in ways that a nation should be in full control of on their own. The flow of power at this time in human history has gone too far towards corporate rule and manipulation by those corporations. The UN, as you are part of, is also in crisis as corporate control over the UN is very apparent and concerning. If the autonomy of the UN can be manipulated by nation-states and corporations, why would we allow world control to the WHO? I feel this is very dangerous and takes away the freedoms of the individual and sovereign nation states. We all need to support and make freedom flourish all over the world, not take it away. Thank you. Darrell Shibley
Academy of Comprehensive Integrative Medicine	I am VERY concerned about the terms of any treaty with the W.H.O. that would be signed by anyone in the federal government of the U.S.A. in behalf of the individual states of the U.S.A. or the citizens of those states. If such a treaty concerning future possible pandemics is signed with the W.H.O. by anyone in the U.S. federal government, I, as a citizen of the state of Texas, would insist (and do everything in my power to make sure) that the state of Texas and its citizens maintain full autonomy in that treaty to make decisions that are deemed best for the citizens of Texas. I would also insist that Texas (and the other 49 states of the U.S.A.) as well as any or all of the 254 counties of Texas or the >3000 counties in the USA can opt out of such pandemic treaty with the WHO (by constitutionally nullifying, if necessary). One of my greatest concerns is that unscrupulous pharmaceutical companies or other unscrupulous people, functioning individually or through other entities, will try to manipulate the will of the people of the U.S.A. or other countries of the world. It is my opinion that the W.H.O. did NOT give sound advice to the USA or its citizens in the most recent pandemic, so why should I expect better performance by the WHO if there is another pandemic?
US Citizen	I am very concerned about the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals. I do not support a global treaty. If a treat moves forward, the following freedoms must be guaranteed to individual nations and the individual citizens of these nations covered by the treaty: 1) National and local leadership must maintain full autonomy and the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) Nations and local municipalities must be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without penalty or consequence. 3) The process is open and transparent. 4) Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must not be allowed to lobby, influence or vote on measures implemented by nations entreated.
Private	I am very concerned about this proposed treaty. Any international health treaty should allow for local autonomy, sovereignty, and self-governance including that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. STRINGENT measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private U.S. citizen	I am very concerned about this treaty. Specifically, these items must be included. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US	I am very concerned and want to say that it would be counterproductive to develop democracy and the rule of law for an NGO to have control over the legislative and enforcement power in society. I therefore reject to all degrees the direction in which this legislation is going and opt to leave full control over the health of citizens with the individual citizens themselves
None	I am very concerned at the overreach a new international pandemic preparedness and response could have on individual rights and freedoms. If something like this is created, it MUST without question maintain the critical rights and freedoms given in accordance to each individual country, governments, constitutions, states, cities and municipalities. Nothing should ever supersede those rights. Any international pandemic preparedness and response should focus on the sharing of valuable and time sensitive information, travel, potential treatment options, things to avoid, situation updates, to allow many and all medical experts to be heard, never silencing opposing views since debate is key to finding the best solutions in times like these. Individual freedoms and choices must remain paramount. You will gravely fail in this endeavor if you make it about controlling and dictating any mandates. The people of the world will not accept such a thing nor should they. Your goal should be to help humanity and NOT control humanity. The WHO has lost a lot of credibility during the COVID-19 pandemic and I suggest you work very hard to get it back. I believe it is possible if you do the right thing, maintain FREEDOM!
Private citizen	I am very concerned at the seeming assumption that a global organisation should supersede national Govt in any event at all. I do not accept that an supra National approach is right or should have any moral or legal legitimacy. If such an agreement does happen (and I don't accept that it should) natiobal and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must be an option for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies.
Concerned Citizen	I am very concerned local governments should not lose their autonomy and should be able to withdraw at their discretion Pharmaceutical companies and any other individuals or corporations who profit from pandemics and vaccines should not be involved in decision making Individual civil rights to choose whether or not to be vaccinated must not be violated

Organization	Written submission
private individual	I am very concerned regarding the potential for this proposed instrument to override the autonomy of participating countries and the human rights of their citizens. Any proposed instrument should include at minimum the following provisions: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the instrument as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Protection of the human rights of citizens in countries that adopt the instrument, such as freedom of movement and bodily autonomy. 4. An open and transparent process for adoption of the instrument including failsafe measures that will prevent its application in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process for adoption of the instrument by any and all pharmaceutical companies.
None	I am very concerned that as an unelected body WHO could have any jurisdiction other than advisory. It is up to individual countries and the right of an individual citizen to act as appropriate with reference to the true impact, based on real data; not models; in a situation such as a pandemic. WHO proved themselves to not be based on accurate data nor in the interests of the general world populations in the advice around the COVID 19 pandemic. They behaved in an unscientific, fear- mongering, irresponsible way. This cannot be allowed to happen again.
RGBPS	I am very concerned that the following points be considered before any action is taken on the proposed question. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for considering the above concerns when voting to restrict my rights to bodily autonomy.
Public	I am very concerned that the World Health Organisation believes that it has the right to determine a response to a global pandemic. Public health is not a one size fits all program and communities need to be in a position to control their own health and make informed decisions based on ALL available information. WHO's response to CO VID 19 has clearly demonstrated that pharmaceutical companies and associated industries have influenced the information and direction of the dealing with a pandemic and not in a good way. That WHO's is prepared to change the definitions of both "pandemic" and a 'vaccine' to suit the pharmaceutical industry clearly demonstrates that they do not have the health of an individual as a priority but are unduly influenced by those that provide funding for their organisation and programs. I do not support the implementation of an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The role of WHO is to provide guidance and information without being compromised and influenced by large corporations.
RGBPS	I am very concerned the following points be considered before voting on restrictions involving my bodily autonomy. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers Thank you for accepting my submission. Sincerely Roger Blenkarn.
Parents for Choice	I am very concerned with the premise of following a WHO constitution. The very idea of this worldwide agreed upon rule of law nullifying our own American constitution seems like it should be garnering more attention and more outreach so that all citizens can understand what our leaders are about to get our country involved in. Any worldwide counsel formed by the WHO could not possibly make decisions that are relevant for every area of the world, never mind take into consideration the nuisances and needs of individual states. Our own constitution allows for a balance between states rights and the federal domain for a reason…we are a very large country with diverse needs that should be decided upon at the local level. Furthermore, the WHO, along with many other major governing bodies and so-called experts, have been wrong more times than anyone can count during the pandemic of the last two years. Additionally, they have failed to be transparent and showed total lack of accountability for their mistakes. Entrusting our worldwide health and welfare to one governing body without the checks and balances afforded in our own government and completely devoid of the voice of the citizens being ruled seems like a step toward a worldwide autocracy.
Public	I am very concerned with the proposal to have the health organization legislate laws for all people and want to say that it would be counterproductive to develop democracy and the rule of law for an NGO to have control over the world social decisions. I therefore reject to all degrees the direction in which this legislation is going and opt to leave full control over the health of citizens with the individual citizens themselves
none/retired	I am very concerned with the proposed directives for pandemic strategies. All countries have existing medical expertise for their people with access to world wide knowledge at their fingertips. Countries adopt appropriate health care strategies for their unique geographic and cultural needs. Large organizations may have some efficiencies of scale but are also prone to large organizational errors. Mistakes happen because organizations are all run by people and soft ware, so problems will occur. To concentrate health and policy decisions in a global organization still run by people and software would eventually generate global calamity. Best practice in pandemic strategies is a world wide exchange of ideas and protocols to then be interpreted and implemented at a local level by local professionals. Thank you.
Personal Submission / No organization	I am very concerned with the ramifications of the treaty that is being pushed. I have the following comments. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy over their actions and responses, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Each nation and municipality within those nations should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences of any kind. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures considered must be carefully crafted to ensure that influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers shall not be allowed. 5. The autonomy and dignity of each human to have a say and to make decisions, without penalty, for matters affecting them.
Canadian Citizen	I am very concerned with this initiative and fully agree with the following elements proposed by Steve Kirsch. Any "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" should include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family physician	I am very much against a global response to a pandemic that includes a digital passport/ identification system. The Canadian government has over reached their powers and curtailed it's citizens' rights. People without a vaccine cannot work, attend events, or travel on some public transport. They brought in an emergencies act and froze accounts of law abiding citizens. They effectively demonstrated that during a crisis their fear and not logic drive decision making. The response to this pandemic has been catastrophic for health. We have closed schools and shut down businesses. Th level of poverty we have caused in the third world will have repercussions for generations. The inflation and supply chain issues are a disgrace. This was all in response to globally decided plans. We need to learn from our mistakes not set them in stone. Please retract th digits ID and call for an inquiry into all the mistakes we have made.
private	I am very much against mandate for privatly owned WHO to force anything upon anybody.
Self	I am very much pro bodily autonomy and integrity as a health professional for 20 years. I believe informed consent has not occurred with the rollout of these injections and to be mandated to take these for travel or work is against Nuremberg code and all medical ethics. I am seeing side effects (some very serious) for almost a year now and yet there is no open debate around the long term effects on health . The censorship in the past two years is very clear and evident. Every sovereign nation should have referendum in relation to this very serious topic.
Member of the public	I am very unhappy at the concept of an international plan to direct the response to any future pandemic. Countries have adopted very different strategies in their response to Covid 19, ranging from full lockdowns and campaigns to vaccinate the entire population, to almost no interventions whatsoever. However, massive interferences in people's lives have not necessarily meant successful control of Covid. Indeed, some countries which imposed few restrictions on their population have done better than those with enormous impositions on freedom, and additionally have not experienced huge damage to their economies. Likewise, some countries where large numbers of the population have been vaccinated are still suffering considerable Covid outbreaks and Covid deaths, whereas in others where very few people have taken the vaccine, the population seems to have acquired natural immunity. These different outcomes are presumably because their populations are of different average ages, different health conditions, work in different conditions, have different national economies. In short, a "one size fits all" global plan is not appropriate, and individual countries must continue to make decisions which they consider best for themselves.
Teacher	I am very worried by this proposal. No organisation should be able to enforce emergency health laws on any country. The following must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	I am very worried that in the future if there is a pandemic there will be no discussion, or cost versus benefit analysis of the policy to lockdown entire nations. The world panicked in 2020 and will no doubt panic again throwing out reasonable debate and differing scientific views to the detriment of all humankind. Kneejerk reactions and political expediency have no place when the issue is of the human right to various freedoms, notably the freedoms to make a living, to be educated and to move freely amongst each other. If the last couple of years of lockdown have shown us anything, it the real social distance between the lives of those who struggle to make ends meet (of which there are billions), and the lives of those who have nothing to lose but everything to gain from locking those people down (of which there are far, far, far less). To this end, nations should be free to decide what is best for their people and to debate the "science" of those that would lead us all, perhaps totally unnecessarily into something far worse than a pandemic.
Private	I am voting against the global plan of facing pandemics!
Private industry	I am whole heartedly against a one size fits all response to any future medical crisis. The WHO are an un elected body that already exert too much influence on the governments of the world. The WHO is also under the influence of individual who are again - unelected.
Individual	I am wholely against the WHO's interference in sovereign nations' affairs. Please abandon this "global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response!"
general public	I am wholly against the WHO penning an 'instrument' on pandemic preparedness. These past two years have demonstrated that the WHO has an appalling lack of interest in actual public health. Instead it panders to the interests of big pharma and big tech, colluding to maximise their profits while the general population is treated like lab rats. The organisation is corrupt and out of control. Please let sovereign nations decide their own public policies, and allow them to put their population's well-being first.
NHS	I am wholly opposed to the pandemic treaty. I want the UK to be able to respond to a pandemic in a way that respects our unique culture. I know that if you grab this global power you will make future vaccination in pandemics compulsory for all. I want my freedom of choice, I choose not to further take covid vaccines that have killed, injured and disabled thousands of people, the truth of which has been censored and suppressed. My partner is unvaccinated, is super hyper sensitive and could not take the vaccine, also has psychological issues, diagnosed with a complex form of PTSD. To be forced to take the vaccine would be wholly detrimental to her mental and physical health. I believe in bodily autonomy, we should maintain the right to choose what we put in our bodies, but you, who are a corrupted organisation, will likely take that away and erode our freedoms. I am very concerned about this, and pray you do not get agreement from all your member states. It would be less bad if the vaccines were safe and effective, but they are not, and neither prevent infection or transmission. I am against all mRSA type vaccines. Yours Susan hole
United States Health Care Provider	I am writing about the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves with the direction of their individual health care provider. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The choice of treatment can be recommended but the final choice can not be delegated. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a portion of the people do not want it. Measures need to be for the benefit of the patient, not pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I am writing against a world treaty that would override a country's constitution to make their own decisions regarding a pandemic response. Each country and locality is best suited to decide what is right for their citizens. One size does not fit all. Countries would need to be able to opt out of any part of the treaty that they do not agree with. Everything being discussed during this process must be transparent for all citizens to see. No back room deals.
No organizational affiliation	I am writing as a citizen of the United States of America to respectfully as that there is NO Global Pandemic Treaty that would be authorized by WHO or encourage the US to abide by. Soveriegnty of each individual country in the world needs to be preserved for the health of its own citizens. The WHO's proposed treaty would remove power from the US (or any other countries) from protecting the health and welfare of American citizens. One of the things we CLEARLY learned over the past two years is that local decision making is best. Stakeholders in each jurisdiction should be the main drivers of decision making for the health of their own community. We cannot expect a rual community to abide by the same needs as a urban city in respect to health measures, even in an emergency. There is no reason to even proposed such a treaty that would legally bind countries to an outside entity who does not have the citizens of this country at the forefront. Respectfully, Lisa Papke
citizen	I am writing as a citizen of the world. There should NOT be one organization responsible for decision-making on any one topic, including health, for the entire world. This would be an unwieldy challenge and would not be in the best interests of all countries. Countries, cultures, and health approaches are different, and are NOT a one size fits all. Thank you and please count my recommendation as NO on this proposal.
Self	I am writing as a concerned citizen of the United States of America, To quote Dr. Fauci, "Americans must now access their own risk." I applaud this wise remark as it is important for national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We the people choose our representatives, and they are chosen in accordance with the view of the people who have elected them to public office. To serve the will of the people. Therefore, it is imperative to include within the document the freedom and ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence to the nation, the municipality, the elected officials, or the people. Additionally, any discussion must be conducted in an open and transparent process in which all people of the world must have a voice and agency, with the ability given to all to vote on any and all issues, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Of course, any and all contra-indicated profiteers, including but by no means limited to: any and all pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers, vested for profit agencies, etc, may not influence the process. With all due respect, Laura Gallagher Byrne
General public	I am writing as a concerned citizen of the world. Given the disastrous handling of the pandemic by health authorities, including the WHO, an international component/treaty should not be considered. World experts were ignored and the WHO's own pandemic response guide was thrown out in favor of mass vaccination of a product that does not prevent infection or transmission, and has created countless injuries and deaths. We have endangered humanity by mass vaccinating during times of high transmission, creating selective pressure on the virus. Further, the World Health Organization does not include representation from every nation state and membership is not equal- in influence or contribution amounts. The WHO receives funding from profit seeking actors, such as pharmaceutical companies, and vaccination promoters, such as the Gates Foundation. The influence that these large 'donors' have over the decisions of the WHO remove consideration for an international treaty on pandemic response as the WHO is incapable of being unbiased. No nation is the same and sovereignty to do what is best for each individual nation is essential.
I am a Concerned Citizen	I am writing as a concerned citizen who believes in the sovereign rights of Nations to govern themselves; this right is especially important in the most difficult circumstances such as pandemic, war, and famine. While it would be my personal opinion that this proposed treaty is undemocratic. If you are determined to undertake such a drastic dissolution of Nations sovereign rights, then I respectfully ask that: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private practitioner	I am writing as a retired physician and still teaching (35 years) biology professor. It is essential that National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Additionally, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Open and transparent processes with the ability for all people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Plus Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	I am writing as a tax paying UK citizen. I want national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and to not hand that authority over to an outside enterprise. I want the ability of nations and local councils to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, this is only fair. I want an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I want measures in place to ensure the protection of the people over all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There should be no profit over people's health. And anyone working in government or organisations like WHO should be heavily vetted to ensure they have no ulterior motive for voting in legislation that will have an impact on the people, to whom they serve. With regards, Camilla
Creative Healing	I am writing as a very concerned citizen of Canada, and the world. I disagree extremely strongly with any bill or legislation that allows the WHO to have ultimate authority and power over its member states, when it comes to pandemic preparedness and their policies. This absolute concentration of power allows overreach and DOES NOT benefit the peoples of the nations. It is always a dangerous thing to allow too much power in the hands of the few, especially as some very nefarious people seem to have much power and control within the WHO, such as Bill Gates, who obviously only has his own selfish interests at heart. We the people must be allowed to voice our concerns and statements in this important affair. Sincerely Elizabeth
none	I am writing as an interested party mainly to state my opposition to any international instrument or treaty at the present time. The covid pandemic has been used worldwide to implement totalitarian and inhumane measures, from lockdowns and police brutality to media censorship, and to forward agendas such as digital ID requirements, which are not public health measures. The World Health Organisation is unelected and unaccountable, and it has not shown itself to be competent or trust-worthy. If there is to be a treaty it should state, or restate, the rights of every individual which are absolute and not subject to any state intrusion or control, over their own body and over questions such as the requirement of consent to any medication or medical testing. Rather than an international treaty which has no democratic framework, there should be a worldwide independent investigation into and exposition of the full facts of the covid pandemic.
Respect You & Me	I am writing as I'm quite concerned with any health mandates. First, I have had a very long journey that is still not over in getting to and curing the causes of my health issues. Western medicine and medical doctors have not addressed the root causes of my problems and therefore have not cured me. Having sought out non traditional or Eastern medicine avenues, I found that there are ways to get to the root causes of health issues. To be told that I could not continue to pursue these practices when I believe with all my heart that they are proving the solution to my problems is untenable to me. How can you as an organization dictate to anyone what they must do with their own bodies? You're crossing a very important line in civil rights that is unlawful to say the least. How would you explain your total disregard for the Nuremberg code and its main tenet of voluntary consent of a human subject? After all, pandemic "vaccines" created in haste and not fully tested are illustrating that there have been more vaccine injuries than others. Not to mention that most vaccines have around 14 heavy metals in them. Heavy metals are quite toxic to any body and the injury may not show up for years so this has never really been tracked. The fact that there is a possibility that in the name of science (which is constantly changing if doing what it is meant to do - research and discussion) people would be forced into taking some action they themselves believe to be harmful is unbelievable. I do not want to lose my freedom and consequently my own ability to find and live a healthy life!
None	I am writing because I am concerned about WHO (World Health Organization) setting up a worldwide pandemic treaty for the following reasons: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This should be decided by each country, not by WHO or their associates or financial supporters. 2. There should be the ability of any nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should this agreement come to pass. 3.There must be an open, transparent and well advertised process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, trust foundations or other global health profiteers, lobbyists and mainstream media. 5. The scientific input must be evidence based and open to updates based on new and proven data and must be from all areas of the world; completely free of political and pharmaceutical pressure and influence.
The Human Race	I am writing because I am extremely and gravely concerned over what agreements are being made behind the backs of the entire world that affect each of us. To the best of my ability, as a concerned citizen of the United States, and as a concerned human being for all of us on the planet, in my limited knowledge of what is truly going on, here are the important elements that I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership needs to retain its full autonomy ~ reserving the right to make decisions on what is best for their own people; 2. There should be clauses that give the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequences; 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; 4. Influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not be allowed in any of the measures of the agreement. There is "no one size fits all" for any or all cultures. This should be understood by now. There are a myriad of other issues that we need to be focusing on now, in each culture, in each country, to support the health and welfare of all inhabitants. There is a lot of information out there that is pointing toward ill intentions of an elite few and facts supporting how measures that have been taken (or not) have harmed a lot of people in this current situation of the past two years. Let's learn from it all.
private citizen	I am writing because I do not believe ANY elements should be included in this treaty as there should be NO treaty! It is imperative that all health decisions for U.S. citizens be made by the U.S. alone. The WHO does not have the authority and should not have the authority to become involved in making these decisions. WHO treaties that remove power from the U.S. will eventually weaken U.S. authority and power. Therefore, they are dangerous and not in the best interest of the health and welfare of Americans or the United States as a nation. I urge you to oppose any involvement in a treaty or agreement or legal global document that may hinder U.S. sovereignty in any area including public health. U.S. residents must not be subjected to the directives of a global body that may restrict trade and free travel and force its health care decisions or regulations on Americans. Furthermore, the sharing of private health information and data to support these global decisions must not be permitted. Every nation must be able to make its own decisions regarding what is best for their nation in times of emergency.
-	I am writing because I want to share my thoughts about the mandates forced apon the public. Each human has the right to choose what goes into their body as our sovereign right. I do not agree that I need a vaccine for covid since I have already had all of the variants and therefore herd immunity and same goes for my children. I know people who have been seriously effected by the shots so there is no way that I would ever agree to it. Please I beg of you set us free from this tyranny. Let our children grow with the freedom to choose what is right for them. Regards, Marisa
Private	I am writing in complete opposition to the WHO international instrument on pandemic preparedness. This would eliminate the rights of Americans to act independently on major health issues. It would strip the US of its rightful sovereign authority to act in the best interests of the American people on all health matters. The process for its approval and its contents violates the constitution of the US. Why would the US hand over its decision-making powers to a global authority? I urge the US government to withdraw from this oppressive plan that will ultimately place this country and its citizens under a world power. Thank you for considering.
N/A	I am writing in my capacity as an individual. I have every right to do this as I will be affected by the decisions that are taken by the WHO. I am strongly against any global pandemic guidelines by the WHO superceding those of national governments. The WHO is not elected by the public. There are vested interests who provide a great percentage of the funding e.g. Bill Gates whose only solution to everything is more and more vaccines. These have not ended this pandemic but, ironically, may have prolonged it. Global rulings are not in the interest of the citizens of the world but in the interests of big corporations such as the pharmaceutical industry. How can one body, i.e. the WHO produce guidance for a vastly different range of countries with different climates, different demographics, different economic conditions. This is insanity. Individual governments must have the final say in pandemic planning.
N/A	I am writing in opposition of a global Pandemic Treaty. This is dangerous for the well-being of Americans because it removes decision-making power from the United States. Health decisions need to be made individually by country, even when there is an emergency. Allowing the WHO to have power over health decisions sets a precedent that I am firmly against as a citizen of the United States. Thank you for your consideration.
Full Integrity Massage Therapy	I am writing in opposition to any world wide pandemic treaty. I am concerned about basic human rights violations. Human rights may not be suspended due to " emergencies". Every human on this planet has inalienable rights. It is not up to bureaucrats to decide how are what any person decides to treat their body. Bodily autonomy must always be respected. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's rights. Testing, masking and quarantine of healthy people is wrong. Everyone has a right to their opinion. Manufactures, distributors and healthcare products must be held liable for any damages caused by their products or services. Thank you for respecting inalienable rights and bodily autonomy.
none	I am writing in opposition to the Pandemic Treaty. It would violate national sovereignty and put what should be personal health decisions under the control of unelected bureaucrats at the WHO. It violates the individual sovereignty that every human being should have over their own body and their personal, medical and health decisions. We didn't elect the people who work at WHO. They should not be ruling over us all. Further, I urge that pharmaceutical companies should not influence any actions you may take. They have been manipulating governments and it is wrong for them to do this for their profit and at our expense. NO Pandemic Treaty.
Abba Exteriors Inc	I am writing in opposition to the proposal of the WHO having complete authority in declaring pandemics. Their failure and unscientific methods applied to the Covid pandemic is testimony of their unqualified position.
Private	I am writing in opposition to this proposal. Sovereign nations are best positioned to handle health emergencies for their own population. No entity can or should usurp that sovereignty. Freedom to choose is the ultimate right for humanity, not safety.
personal	I am writing in protest to the who getting involved in a global pandemic plan. These past 2 years have created nothing but lies and deceipt. This is about control and not health. Our lives have been restricted by useless mandates and vaccines that cause endless adverse events. Too many experts have been silenced about covid treatment and the real components of the vaccine. We need to be able to make our own decisions ourself and not listen to government control. Stay out of our lives along with the children we take care of.
No organization	I am writing in regards to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty with the following requests. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves, by nations and those public servants accountable to and elected by the individuals of these nations. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That the treaty NOT overturn the Constitution of that nation. As a citizen of the United States, I do not believe that any treaty should ever usurp or supplant any of the principles and laws of the constitution of the United States of America. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, punishment or sanctions. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Democracy must play a decisive role in decisions and agreements made and must be sure to represent the wishes of the people whom these decisions are meant to protect and serve. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. I believe there should be binding laws put into place that make it impossible for decisions to be made or influenced by those with conflicts of interest in organizations or companies that stand to profit by any such decisions made.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	I am writing in to express my concern about an international "pandemic" treaty. I do not think that there should be one at all. Why should the WHO dictate international policy when such policies may not be appropriate to individual countries, regions and individuals? Let them work it out themselves as to what may be appropriate in each circumstance. It also raises concerns of security, health and the rights of the individual. Such a policy seems an extremely convenient and sneaky way to introduce even more surveillance of citizens through digital ID and forced vaccination of experimental substances. If the WHO is so concerned about people's health, why would such a thing be considered? This proposal for a international pandemic treaty totally disregards individual and variable concerns of specific countries, regions and communities, not to mention the individual and should have no place in our world.
Self	I am writing on behalf of millions of others who have lost income due to the G.O.F. Research that produced the current 'pandemic'. An instrument that needs to be implemented is the safety of our personal sovereignty, which is our God given write to choose what goes into our body. Ending G.O.F. research across the globe will end the 'planning' of any pandemic and stop the utter control that has ensued over the past two years. We the People deserve to live in peace and without being controlled, stifled and forced to stay in doors!
N/a	I am writing on behalf of myself and my two children to exist that no vaccination mandates are put in place internationally. My children and I have medical conditions that make it impossible for us to get vaccinated without serious allergic reactions. Please stop the imposition of vaccine mandates as it was strange and divide our society even further. Thank you.
Individual	I am writing regarding the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. With all due respect, health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by their chosen medical professional who had taken an oath to provide care and to be accountable for that service to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
individual	I am writing this as the WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I appreciate that WHO is open to comments from the public as far as its proposed global pandemic treaty is concerned. I totally disagree with WHO treaties that remove power from countries' governments. They are dangerous to the health and welfare of citizens and disruptive to the balanced dialogue between democratically elected governments and their people. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions of a country's peoples. I am therefore against any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness that undermines individual country's governments.
None	I am writing this because I'm deeply concern about this treaty. I feel health decisions should be made by my doctor and I along with local government guidelines. We should all have a choice when it comes to making health decisions. I see life getting back to normal now. I definitely say no to this idea as I stated.
Not Applicable. Citizen of Canada	I am writing this letter as I disagree that the WHO should have autonomy over the world regarding health. Each country should maintain autonomy and make decisions on behalf of their own country. I have listed my concerns below. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Rosemary Maggio
Private Citizen of	I am writing this submission in response to the WHO having full authority and global control with possible future pandemics. My family and I, totally disagree with this and believe that: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Peachgrove Antiques	I am writing this submission on behalf of many others and our organisation We believe this treaty is a great evil, a blight on national and personal sovereignty as it centralises complete control to an unaccountable organisation the WHO who have proven their allegiance to agenda 2030 which is a war on humanity We recommend scrapping this insane proposal of the 'pandemic treaty' as a one size fits all is just wrong. Totalitarian policies NEVER prevail, we will fight this all the way to the end.
Church of Christ	I am writing this to the whole organization. I do not agree with one government deciding over the people. We have been granted natural human rights this violates them. I oppose this treaty.
none	I am writing to ask that in the drawing up this Treaty, that it ensures that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Also, I urge you to ensure that nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I feel strongly that there should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Lastly and very importantly, that there be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Pharmaceutical companies already have way to much power and influence globally. thank you, Kristin
private citizen	I am writing to comment on the proposed international instrument on pandemics. The following are absolutely essential: -All nations and local principalities should retain autonomy and ultimate decision making, in this process. No global entity should supersede the sovereignty of any nation. -All nations and local governments should be able to opt out of any part of the agreement as they see fit. No global entity should supersede the sovereignty of any nation. There should be no consequences for opting out. -There should be a transparent process and ALL people should have a say in what happens to them. Many actions taken during this most recent pandemic as suggested by the WHO, were detrimental, including lockdowns of perfectly healthy people, which caused unforeseen harms. The people should have a say in what happens to their lives. This is a human rights issue. -There should be measures in place that do not allow for pharmaceutical companies or others who would profit from decisions made, to have ANY influence in the decision-making process.
H H Wellness	I am writing to disagree with the plan of WHO to control the health of individual nations and of those individuals. Health is a choice on so many levels. It is my choice and only my choice as to what medications I agree to. I have made positive lifestyle choices- many more than the average person and therefore do not need an institution to impact my life directly. Do not take this route. As a citizen of the United Kingdom I demand to make my own medical choices. Sincerely Halina
Public citizen	I am writing to ensure that whatever preparedness measures are believed best MUST be the option of each sovereign nation and NEVER in any way supersede a countries' constitution. Ever.
General Public	I am writing to express deep concern in regard to the the proposed Pandemic Treaty. Informed opinion and active cooperation achieved by education and allowing decisions to be made by those as close as possible to the problem at any specific locality will always produce the best results. Rarely does one size fits all work. Enforcing one policy could in fact work solidly against the development of more nuanced or even better approaches through human creativity. We have seen this play out in the last 2 years with many different approaches to the sars cov 2 situation. Some more successful than others. This is how we learn. Just as each individual needs to take responsibility for his / her decisions and sovereignty so each nation must be allowed to do the same and not be dis empowered.
Nil	I am writing to express my absolute opposition and condemnation of the idea of overseas control on the Australian or any other country via the WHO. People are suffering now but will realise soon what has been done and will rise in huge numbers agains this move.
Select... No way to select in this form. I am responding as an individual.	I am writing to express my concern about the treaty. The following should be included in the treaty. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be included. It should also include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am an individual	I am writing to express my concern over this treaty. Individuals elect governments and governments act for the people. Each country has the right to determine, through the expression of its collective will, what the national health policy should look like. The WHO is neither an elected, nor governing body and has no right to be so. It has no right to impose its will on a country's citizens.
self/Canadian citizen	I am writing to express my concern regarding the implementation of the proposed "global pandemic preparedness" response. I do not think that this is necessary. In fact, I am very worried about the ensuing loss of individual and national sovereignty, should this proposal be enacted. The very tenets of democracy would be violated in this process. Health care is not a one-size-fits-all. For example, governments and the WHO essentially banned Ivermectin in the treatment of covid-19, and yet, India demonstrated beautiful results using this medication. And, what if the WHO decides that experimental vaccines are absolutely 'necessary' and must be universally distributed? This is not a far fetched possibility, given the number of governments who have squeezed and coerced large numbers of their people to receive this experimental vaccine - which we know has resulted in many injuries and deaths. The WHO is not an entirely independent body - a lot of the funding comes from the pharmaceutical sector - which stands to profit greatly from mandated vaccines and medications. And this will taint any recommendations/mandates now and in the future. Therefore, it is imperative that there be mechanisms for national and provincial/local leadership to override and make the best decisions for their populace. Further, it is also necessary that the people themselves be part of a consultative process - to decide what they are willing (or not) to implement. All of these processes need to be as transparent as possible. And, lastly, there must be no interference from the pharmaceutical industry and other 'health' profiteers.
Citizen	I am writing to express my concerns about the WHO's plans for future pandemic plans and responsiveness. I am vehemently opposed to the WHO having any power over national and local responses to future pandemic responses. Indeed, these past two years have demonstrated how much harm is done at the local level when the WHO merely makes recommendations. Years of research tell us the best responses are nuanced, local, and collaborative. This means working with local stakeholders; including publicly elected and locally accountable representatives, local citizens and local bureaucrats. Going further, we understand the best decisions engage a multi-sectoral approach which includes broad inputs from economics, education, psychology, etc. and not only organizations concerned with outbreaks/health. To summarize, there must be elected and locally accountable representation whenever a "body" has power. Our world is too diverse in terms of populations and resources for any single directive to be effective or appropriate. There is far too much scope for extraordinary harm to be done when local decisions are being managed by persons who have no understanding of local context. Therefore, I am strongly opposed to this proposal.
Self	I am writing to express my concerns on this matter. I believe that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There need to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The people need to be involved in how they are governed and that should not be by transnational bodies.
UK citizen	I am writing to express my disagreement of such treaty being imposed globally. We live in and want to continue living in a democratic society that is vital to a peaceful and prospering future, where each person has a voice, the right to vote, each vote is counted for and that laws are made only following open impartial and transparent debate. Powers directly or indirectly impacting peoples lives on a daily basis cannot be decided as a one size fits all policy and must be decided by the people , the pandemic has shown us how different we all are, especially when comes to health decisions, we are all individual, whether that be gender, age, ethnicity etc and with certainty, no final decision should be the decision of a profiteering organisation over the people of each country individually. Please reconsider, thank you.
private individual	I am writing to express my opinion on the WHO's Pandemic Preparedness Treaty/Agreement: The WHO is an unelected body and part of the UN who are also unelected and work closely with the World Economic Forum, another unelected body. Apart from being funded by the public, the WHO receives billions from private tax exempt foundations etc that exert their influence on all decisions while the public have no say. The above mentioned Treaty rides rough shod over the peoples' sovereignty and inalienable rights and will give the WHO the power to unlawfully force medical interventions upon the people. The WHO's track record is poor to say the least based on previous 'pandemics' and their advocacy of the covid experimental, unapproved injection and their policy on masks, lockdowns, distancing have been to the detriment of peoples' health, welfare and lives, therefore the WHO should not take control of any decision regarding future 'pandemics'.
Individual	I am writing to express my opposition to the WHO pandemic treaty. The WHO has become a sham organization that does nothing but the bidding of Bill Gates, the WEF and the pharmaceutical industry to the detriment of the countries they are supposed to be helping. We don't need more toxic vaccinations. We need clean water, organic food and adequate access to health care to help the world achieve optimal health so that their immune systems can function properly to fight disease. The global response to the Covid 19 virus has been a disgraceful failure. The "vaccines" are toxic and killing and injuring the population at an astounding rate. The WHO's participation in the crimes against humanity that have occurred is undeniable. The WHO backed up big pharma every step of the way as millions of people's lives were destroyed from the lockdowns, forced business and school closures and the toxic gene therapy "vaccines". There is no way in hell the WHO has earned the right to lead a global pandemic response. I'm an American citizen and my only Constitution is the United States Constitution. You have no right to give my inalienable rights away to any entity, much less the corrupt WHO. You will not usurp my rights with this treaty. I will not comply. Sincerely, Elissa Miller
No Organization	I am writing to express my opposition to this Treaty. Humanity does not need such a Treaty. We simply need to follow the rules of nature in order to be healthy. If the World Health Organiztion would encourage people to eat a Raw Plant Based Diet there would be no need for Treaties, Lockdowns, masks or vaccines. I haven't been sick in over 12 years since I changed my diet to a raw plant-based diet. Colds and Flus are the bodies normal way of detoxing. We don't need any special Treaties to combat the body's normal self-cleaning system of detoxing through colds and flus. Please reconsider your unneccessary and Authoritarian Treaty. Thank you! John
NA	I am writing to express my opposition to this treaty. Human health issues should be handled by individual nations and local municipalities, not multinational organizations.
Nil	I am writing to express my position in this matter. I do not approve of The Australian Minister for Health to sign this treaty on behalf of me or my family.
I am an individual, not affiliated to an organization.	I am writing to express my position on The WHO's proposed global pandemic treaty. I do not support an agreement binding US citizens to obey laws or policies that contravene US law or health policy. I do not support an agreement that could force me or my family or my neighbors or my fellow citizens to follow policies or practices that are against our own best interests. I do not support an agreement that could force me or others to follow policies or practices instituted without input, oversight and approval by those who are affected by these policies or practices. "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include the following substantive elements: Local participation in decision making. Local participation in implementation. No "one-size-fits-all" protocol of treatment. No "one-size-fits-all" policies. Mechanisms to evaluate outcomes must be clearly specified. Accountability and liability for harm done must be clearly maintained and enforced. No free pass to individuals, businesses, institutions or governments for harmful medications, vaccines, interventions, treatments or protocols. Full informed consent according to current legal and ethical standards must be provided. Human autonomy must be respected. Human dignity must be respected. People must have the power to influence decisions affecting their health, well-being and freedom. Thank you. Kathryn Willowcode
individual	I am writing to express opposition to the WHO global PandemicTreaty. I believe that individual countries, states and municipalities should be responsible for guiding their populace during the times of a pandemic or other illnesses. Just as each individual is unique, each local is unique in its ability to ascertain the specific medical approach for its populace. Local and national sovereignty should be maintained. Local areas and nations should have the ability to opt out of the treaty without consequences.. Sincerely, Kerrin McCall
Napa Neighborhood For Safe Technology	I am writing to express the absolute disgust I have with the power grab of the WHO and other Great Reset advocates pushing for control of all countries in any health emergency. That control and decisions lie with the governing body and people of each country and not a centralized very questionable claimed authority on response to health crisis. It is a bad idea for any government to hand over the control of their sovereign response to potential crisis to a central master. Not going for it. Stop the power grab.The substantive elements should be responses controlled by the collective of each individual country . Period !
Member of public	I am writing to formally object in the strongest terms to the WHO's proposed new Treaty for 'Pandemic Preparedness'. This draft Treaty represents an unacceptable incursion on national sovereignty and an unlawful infringement of my individual unalienable rights to choose who governs me and my country on all matters, including those issues concerning public health. To propose, as this draft Treaty does, that an unelected body, the World Health Organisation, mainly funded by China, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, and the profit-driven (and frequently corrupt) Pharmaceutical industry, should be permitted to override the health policies, including pandemic responses, put forward by our democratically elected Government, is outrageous. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the peoples of the world. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the recent Covid-19 'pandemic' by promoting unprecedented and harmful lockdowns, by suppressing early preventive treatments, and by recommending 'vaccines' that have proven to be neither safe nor effective.
Member of UK public	I am writing to give my views on an international pandemic preparedness and response. From the way the whole covid 19 pandemic has been handled worldwide it is obvious such an outbreak could not and should not be dealt with in such a way again. Countries should deal with things on a local level without interference from unelected globalist organisations. There are such a broad range of people in the world one size definitely doesn't fit all regarding treatments. Also, in the event of a future 'pandemic' impartial experts worldwide should be consulted on the subject of repurposing drugs which have already been approved for use on humans. This would be a less risky undertaking than coercing and forcing poorly tested experimental vaccines on the world population which have turned out to be at best useless and at worst deadly. For some victims the worst is yet to come. The stranglehold of certain pharmaceutical companies and the rejection of safe cheaper remedies is criminal and cannot be allowed to happen again. It reeks of corruption and was not in the best interests of humanity. In future it would maybe be a better idea to have scientific and medical communities coming together with ideas and methods they have learned live out in the field to create an ever-growing database on treatments. Looking forward for any future 'pandemics' (hopefully there are no more for a very long time) the world can learn from their mistakes with covid.
Freedom	I am writing to insists that every living man and women has body autonomy regardless of any planned pandemic or health crisis created by the NWO, WHO, Government etc or any other person who is under the misguided ideal that people should not be allowed to decide on the management of their own health.
individual	I am writing to let you know that pandemic response should be governed by individual nations and local jurisdictions as they are the body of people that have the right to make public health decisions based on what is good for their people. In the event an international programme is established, countries and local jurisdictions should have the option to ignore any and all portions of the agreement based on what is best for the people. The document can issue guidance but it cannot issue governance. Countries and nations must be sovreign and by allowing international law on some issues is contrary to most governing documents like Constitutions. Thank you for your consideration.
JW	I am writing to make sure that local and National leaders, municipalities and citizens may opt out of WHO Dictates, Protocols and Suggestions without any consequences as written in the Treaty. The laws of one's country must remain supreme. The pharmaceutical industry and Drug Profiteers must be excluded from all decision making processes and transparency in all decisions must be made visible to all. There must be Medical Freedom!
Private	I am writing to object in the strongest possible terms to this treaty. It is absolutely untenable for decisions of this magnitude for a country's population to be dictated by your organisation. The WHO receives significant funding from a number of foundations & companies and has become too political and too heavily influenced by these organisations. Some of these funders have massive financial interests within the provision and sale of healthcare and pharmaceutical products. For them to be funding the WHO and for the WHO to be making global policy which would impact the sales of said products is a massive conflict of interest. This is completely unethical.
Mother	I am writing to oppose any international instrument for pandemic preparedness that in any way infringes upon national governments and their respective governmental subdivisions full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their citizens, or delegates any authority for pandemic policy to an international government or other international organization. To do so would violate a foundational tenent of a functioning democracy that the government that governs best is closest to its citizens. Even more fundamental and important in this matter is the fact that no person, organization, or governmental body has the right to force any medical treatment on me against my free will.
Citizen	I am writing to oppose any international instrument for pandemic preparedness that in any way infringes upon national governments' and their respective governmental subdivisions full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their citizens, and delegates any authority for pandemic policy to an international government or other international organization. To do so would violate a foundational tenet of a functioning democracy that the government that governs best is closest to its citizens. Even more fundamental and important in this matter is the fact that no person, organization or governmental body has the right to force any medical treatment on me against my free will.
True Nature Health Consulting	I am writing to oppose the adoption of a Pandemic Treaty by the WHO. This will preempt the national sovereignty of member States, and by implication, the civil liberties and health rights of the world's citizens. Public health requires individual health responsibility and the measures required to adopt a treaty involve steps that both bypass this responsibility and perhaps create other illnesses that healthy people should not be required to face. As a 4-decades long functional health care practitioner, I have witnessed this time and time again, including our recent struggles with SARS Co-V 2. While the disease can certainly be dangerous, so can the measures that have been required to be taken. There must be a balance stricken to help the world's peoples have better public health.
-	I am writing to oppose the global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. The power for such decisions and policies should not be all in one centralized place. There are peoples lives on the line.
Citizen	I am writing to oppose the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I and people in my area feel that these matters should be handled by local communities and not be subject to heavy handedness of organisation's like the W.H.O. I feel the WHO did not or does not handle the COVID-19 situation well at all and has ignored many facts about the virus or the vaccines. I also believe that people should be able to be healthy of their own accord and not have use drugs for every ailment which have been pushed by pharmaceutical businesses for way too long, The W.H.O. Also repeatedly promotes vaccines for everyone on its website instead of promoting healthy living, to me that indicates a vested interest in pharmaceuticals , thank you, Michael
My Body My Choice	I am writing to oppose this WHO's Takeover of global pandemics. I cite the following reasons: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. David
Personal	I am writing to outline my reasons for objecting to the WHO World Together Plan. What is the evidence for such a proposal? It is critical that there is an evaluation of the effectiveness of the restrictions used for Covid 19 to date including - (a) proportionality of the response to a' corona virus'; (b) the role of the media, conflicts of interest, other agendas / opportunities to roll out control measures eg digital cards; (c) use and evaluation of evidenced-based human rights impact assessments, rationale and impact of definition changes, and accurate reporting of data gathered to date' eg excess deaths aged 82.5 years with multiple co-morbidities; (d) government healthcare and public health policy, spending and management leading up to and during the corona virus, data to date on the effectiveness of treatments; eg Ivermectin, and (e) impact on future mental health, relationships, human rights and freedom. Such evaluation should consider the specifics including diversity, culture, climate, in country context analysis and how government restrictions adversely impacted on individual inalienable rights such as self-determination and mental health as a result of a blanket approach applied. This WHO Plan will erode people's inalienable rights and risk de-humanising people, and erode our sovereignty. I object to the WHO having this overreaching power and authority including the declaring of unjustified pandemics and controls, imposing dehumanising restrictions that are divisive in nature, erode trust in decision-makers, enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and dis-information on treatments that do work.
Health Freedom Defense Fund	I am writing to reject the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and support: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for local people; Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; The process must be open and transparent with the ability for ALL citizens of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; and that there is NO influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual .	I am writing to remind the WHO to uphold the Siracuse agreement . Centralised pandemic policy / legislative power can not be imposed on individual countries with a blanket set of dictates from one source only, We have learned via two years of variable policies across the globe, that some work for some countries and other's have proven to be a disaster. One size does not and can not fit all scenarious . Lockdowns have inflicted more chaos ,unemployment , health issues , mental health issues , lowered IQ in children , debt, and a general fracturing of society .....than any supposed benefits of coerced isolation , suspension of ordinary freedoms in life , or mandated medical procedures. Zero Covid policies do not work .They are a cul de sac and a delusion . Medical discrimination divides societies and creates a scapegoat class . Women and children feel the brunt of all of these policies most. Open scientific dialogue , (long gone) and evidence based tried and tested not- for -profit medicines deserve to be an individual choice. Millions of people worldwide strongly reject any proposed centralised power deemed ' neccessary ' under further pandemic situations . Ignoring these objections paves to path to totalitarianism and a worrying precedent towards power resting in the hands of one set of people only . A set of people who have blithely ignored all evidence of the abject failure of one narrative for all to date. No to the proposal for centralised power over individual nations . Not to the WHO deciding what is best for other governments .
citizen of US	I am writing to request that ALL National and local leadership be allowed to retain full autonomy to make decisions based upon what is best for their own people. ALL nations and municipalities should be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of any agreements, as they see fit without any consequences being applied to them. This process should be open and transparent as a process that allows all the people of the world to vote on these measures. This should include failsafe measures that will prevent application of any global agreements in places where the majority of people do not want it. I also ask that measures be taken that will exclude the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or anyone who look to profit from decisions being made. Thank you very much. Remember that freedom and individual autonomy are of utmost importance and tyrrany should never be allowed to flourish.
Self	I am writing to request that National and local leadership maintain its autonomy on health decisions during times of any global health emergency.
Private Citizen	I am writing to request that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That nations and local municipalities retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. That there be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it! Most importantly that measures not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other agency that stands to gain monetarily from the deprivation of human rights and body sovereignty.
N/A	I am writing to request that the substantive elements do NOT include any statements that require a unified response globally for pandemic preparedness and response. It is NOT a "ONE SIZE FITS ALL" - never has been and never will be in the history of our world a "ONE SIZE FITS ALL" It would also be a violation of our human rights to require that every human being responds in the same way when every human being is a unique expression of GOD!

Organization	Written submission
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the Treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence; The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the global application in places where the majority of the people do not want it; and Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the Treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence; The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the global application in places where the majority of the people do not want it; and Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
World Council for Health	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the Treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence; The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the global application in places where the majority of the people do not want it; and Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
On behalf of myself and my family	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health/medical decisions are best made by individuals themselves and their physician. Not any one government or non elected non governmental agency. As such, I support the Treaty provided that... National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; Nations and local municipalities and individuals citizens may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence; The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the global application in places where the majority of the people do not want it; and Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Remember we are all one, united in love, truth and freedom.
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe health decisions are best left to individuals, with minimal input from local or federal government. There is no place for individual nations to obligate themselves to NGO organizations or universal health organizations. They are too easily corrupted, as we've seen during the Covid phenomenon. I do not support the the international pandemic preparedness treaty unless the following are explicitly written into the Treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. 5. Measures are only intended as a guidance and not human beings are not forced to comply with any mandates, dehumanizing measures, or agreements which cause for any person's livelihood. No measure is to be considered for any criminal prosecution locally, nationally, or internationally. Again, I oppose this Treaty but will reconsider if the above declarations are included in the language of the Treaty. Thank you, Mrs. Megan DiPrimeo
Health Freedom Defense Fund	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
University of Wisconsin-Madison	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
United States Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions for me or for my dependents are best made by myself alone. As such, I will only support the treaty provided it states clearly and unambiguously the following 4 items: 1.) National and local leadership or individuals retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own person or dependent people. 2.) Nations and/or local municipalities or individuals may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence and without compulsion. 3.) The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and that the objection of any individual to it shall be held sacrosanct without compulsion. 4.) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by "any and/or all" pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. IOW no individual nor organization which derives a profit or gain from any facet of health care may have any influence on the treaty or the process. Linda Ray United States of America
United States of America Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHOs proposed global pandemic treaty. I believe health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and public servants accountable to those individuals. Therefore I support a treaty that has an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. It is also important that the treaty contain measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Liberty Action Council	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals in consultation with their chosen health care practitioners and by elected officials who are public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I only support the treaty provided that: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Respectfully Submitted, Vincent Esposito
Individual	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global pandemic treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: . 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities mayopt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit with out consequence. 3. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.
United States citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, any treaty should have the following parameters: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Actions or proposals by WHO must NOT override individual citizens' rights regarding how they manage their own medical decisions. It would be grossly undemocratic and criminal to do so.
N/A	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I believe the Treaty should include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I do NOT support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people not a World entity unaccountable to sovereign citizens dictating a "one size fits all" approach to health. If enacted, Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty ONLY IF: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Individual	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities may opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Fire	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Health Freedom Defense Fund	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
none	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
NA	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Concerned citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
N/A	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
World Council for Health	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Human race	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
general public	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: *National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Mother	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.

Organization	Written submission
HFDF	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Sincerely, Chrissi Burnap
Health Freedom Defense	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
HDP	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Human family	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Healthcare	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Camp Pendleton fire	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Allied Pilots Association	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
N/A	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you, Gwen Stevens
Myself	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
HFDF	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
NA	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: •National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Freedom flyers	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
America	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
N/A	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Health Freedom Defense organization	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
US Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Children's Health Defense	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thanks, Tim Kinney
Individual	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Myself	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you.
Individual	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Glass Family	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you for your thoughtful consideration. Lynn Janusz
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Individual	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Citizen of the United States of America	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
HFDF	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Citizen of Croatia	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and country. • Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. • The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Na	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
N/A	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Kat B.
Commercial helicopter pilot	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Danny Ray Stewart
Concerned citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None/US Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you.

Organization	Written submission
Na	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented
NA	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you.
Individual	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Private	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Maryann
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Personal	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None. Not affiliated with any organization. No affiliations. I am a single perso	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
N/A	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: Individuals must maintain the ultimate decision over their own bodily autonomy and that of their children and those that rely on them. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Individual	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
United States Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Private	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
personal	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: (1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. (2) Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. (3)The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. (4)Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Sincerely yours, John Geist
AFC LLC	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Sincerely, Rod J. Guyton
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Individuals should be allowed to choose how they want to care for their own bodies. No one should ever be forced to do anything they don't want to do. Mandates are unnecessary.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: *National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Private	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. I hope the response to this issue is overwhelming on behalf of those of us choose medical freedom! What's going on in our world is disturbing on so many levels. God help us ALL. Sincerely, Liz
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Lina Braunstein April 12, 2022
American Patriots	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
NATP Member	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: *National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you. T Anicete
American Airlines	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Citizen of the United States of America	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. My freedoms are granted to me by God. I make the best decisions for myself and my family. Everything yes everything the left touches it truly destroys. Please leave my freedom and health alone so I may continue to prosper. Thank you, L. Stewart
citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you for your thoughtful consideration. Marcia Schattauer
Raff, Inc.	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Penn Medicine	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: Remember that health is very individual and one may opt out of any and all protocols. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Forest Community	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Laud	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Freedom	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. If you are truly about actual health, these are requests are common sense and would be easy to abide by because every single individual health is different. A one size fits all pertaining to health is absolutely imbecilic and completely against science, if what is truly cared about is health.
Private US citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Unaffiliated	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Robot Kontrol Netwerk	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: -There will be NO VACCINE MANDATES! -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.

Organization	Written submission
Colorado Health Choice Alliance	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Wintergarden	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
HFFH	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Individual	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
HFDF	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
World council for health	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
US Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. David Crawford
Health Freedom of America	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you.
N/A	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Health Freedom and Sovereignty	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
none	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
US Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Sincerely, Alan
HFDF	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
N/A	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
First Baptist Church	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
American	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Individual	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
United States of America	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Latin Rite Roman Catholic Family	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: •National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Individual Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Self-employed healthcare provider RN, IBCLC	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you for your consideration of the above. Respectfully, Marianne Graham
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Individual constitutional and human rights are never violated. These all must be met or else I will not support this treaty.
N/A - Self Employed Creative	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Or even private health or pharmaceutical companies only working individual nations. Health and wellness decisions should be an individual freedom. In such that we have a freedom to care for our bodies how we as individuals deem fit. I believe all health decisions should be personal decisions and kept that way. Thank you for reviewing my Input - Sam Hall
parent	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
HFDF	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
NA	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you, TMiller
Concerned Parent	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Rope Partner	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Individual	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
HFDF	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
CMR	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Katherine Barnes Consulting	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Health and Freedom Defense Fund	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Sincerely, Larry Worley
Children's Health Defense	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you

Organization	Written submission
Lymph Drainage Therapy	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented
Health Freedom Defense	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
U.S. Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
World Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. The WHO should not have unilateral pandemic authority as it is comprised of unelected individuals and other special interest groups that are heavily influenced by pharmaceuticals and globalists. The last 2 years have shown the dangers of myopic globalism and biosecurity and the importance of democracy and individual informed consent. Hysterical media and corporate/ political interests have caused much damage to our democracies and our children have suffered greatly due to lockdown measures and cruel masking and segregation policies.
Health Freedom Defense	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
General Public	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Innovative Flex Pak / Ontrac— Iz Miamo LLC	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Myself	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
General Public	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Stand for Health Freedom	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
HFDF	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
My self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Representative of Denver, NC	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
American Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: 1 National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
N/A	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Private citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Concerned citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
USA Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Sincerely, Tom Coulthurst
General Public	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
N/A	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
individual	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented
NONE	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
USA citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Dreams drama drums	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: · National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. · Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. · The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. · Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Individual	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. I do not believe that anyone who has monetary interest should be allowed to vote on this matter. I believe each individual has the right to their own health decisions. No individual should have their health decided by anyone but themself. Thank you for your consideration of my comment, Kelly Garner
Citizen of the United States of America	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
N/A	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Not an Organization	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. No global entity should be allowed to make health decisions for any persons. Remember the Nuremberg Trials??? No entity can force any medical treatments on individuals without consent! I am completely against this global control of health decisions. The US should not be part of this!
Anderson Family	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you, Genevieve Anderson

Organization	Written submission
Health Freedom Defense Fund	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Jin Shin Jyutsu	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I would not support any agreement without the following provisions: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I would only support the treaty provided that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
USA	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, the treaty must: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Nursing	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. I request: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by the public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you for your thoughtful consideration. Tom Janusz
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and those that the individuals trust. - Local communities retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations, local municipalities, and individuals, may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. You cannot force and individual to take a drug or do something, even if you claim it's for their best interest. - The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We do not want Centralized Control. Instead, we want locally-based, community organizations to have much say about what is healthy and/or not-healthy, and what constitutes a risk. We need to allow people to use their best judgement, and should they take risks that end in harm, that is the price we pay for freedom. It Not the WHO's job to protect people from themselves. If people are afraid to go out - then they can stay home. If they don't want to work with people who don't wear a mask, they can leave. Do not force others to do what you want them to do. Given the COVID disaster, I have virtually ZERO faith and understanding that the WHO is acting in the best way for the people. Instead, they have an ugly and harmful agenda that they are pushing, perhaps for profit. LEAVE US ALONE!
NA	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you
Citizen	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you for your kind attention and diligent work.
None	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. I do not consent to this treaty under any circumstances
Self	I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty: I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Self	I am writing to request the following substantive elements be included pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by the public servants accountable to those individuals. The world needs for people and communities to be in control of their own health. As such, I only support the treaty provided that: 1) It allows national and local leadership to retain full autonomy and reserves their right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) It preserves the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) It provides an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on and include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) It provides measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	I am writing to request the following with regards to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I firmly believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty under strict provision that the following occur: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Personal	I am writing to say I don't agree with what is going on in the world. You should have a choice on what vaccines you take, what you eat, where you go, what you do etc. I am not for eating bugs and other plant based foods. I am not for the lockdowns, or for the world economic forum and their plans
General public	I am writing to say I'm against any type of universal qr code/identification to prove health medications or vaccines have been taken. This is an innapropriate response to health protection on a world scale. It will be severely restrictive, ineffective to prevent illness, and prove to be harmful and damaging to the health of families and mental health worldwide. The harms of this will greatly outweigh any benefits. Thank you for the opportunity to share.
Private	I am writing to say that I do not agree with the concept of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response because this is a globalist concept which I do not trust. I want to continue to live in a society where unelected bureaucrats do not control my health and freedom. WHO is in receipt of funds who have a vested interest in the pharmaceutical industry, and is affiliated to entities such as the CCP and WEF, who certainly do not have my best interest at heart.
None	I am writing to say that I do not agree with the proposed treaty. Although I do I agree that some situations may require health measures be put in place I feel the decision to do so should ultimately lie in the hands of duly elected governments. Anything else violates the democracy of sovereign nations. I feel that the World Health Organization should act only as an advisor, and not the ultimate authority in these instances. Citizens of democratic countries vote for their leaders. To hand over the authority to govern those countries to an outside organization is undemocratic. In order to preserve and protect democracy we must not under any circumstances allow democracy to be sidestepped. Even if it is done with the best intentions. If we allow democracy to be undermined or diminished even a little it may eventually fail, and governments not held to account by their people are the cause of most of the issues troubling the world right now.
USA	I am writing to state that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe in the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We should have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We should have Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Cecilia
general public	I am writing to Stop the International WHO Treaty. I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, without being directed by your organization publicly or privately. I believe in the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I advocate for measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I advocate for personal medical freedom. I am opposed to forced human medical treatment or preventative measures of any kind. I oppose "sanctions" placed upon individuals for not complying with health recommendations such as restrictions to participate/ move freely in society. I advocate for the moral obligation to do that right thing: to do no harm.
Private citizen	I am writing to stop the treaty that they are trying to impose on people. Our Constitution should be the law of the land and no agency can violate the terms. We are free people and want to stay free. People have had enough of these overlords and tyrannical moves by such agencies. Keep people free!
Self	I am writing to stop this treaty. It takes away many of our fundamental rights humans. Do not pass this. This treaty supersedes the authority of every nation's constitution.
retired researcher	I am writing to strongly oppose a WHO global pandemic treaty. The proposed treaty would be dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Public health is not a one size fits all proposition. People and communities need to control their own health. Individual sovereignty to make informed health care decisions must be respected. Conflictual interests of pharmaceutical companies and other health profiteers should not influence the WHO. Instead the WHO could consider proposing a peoples treaty to include medical autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice as well as support research measures that actually improve rather than control public health. Thank you for your attention and consideration.
SAG/Aftra & IATSE Local 33	I am writing to strongly oppose this overreach by the World Health Organization to control the response to future pandemics. Health is one of the most personal issues, and is different for every human based on their unique physical bodies, along with their beliefs, religion, the region they live in, other environmental conditions, their family upbringing, etc....To put the power to make all the decisions about rules, laws, treatment, care, etc....in the hands of the WHO rather than letting each Country, State, Municipality, etc... is a vast overreach that can only have negative effects for each individual and for the world. People's decisions about their health should be between them, their family, and their doctor, and should not be guided by profit or politics or any ulterior motives or agenda. The WHO has tremendous conflicts of interest based on where their donations for support come from, and what elite personnel might be involved in their governance and their boards who do not have the best interests of each individual's health at heart, and therefore our individual freedom (which has already been violated by the 2020 pandemic restrictions) is in danger. Thanks for your consideration to this very important issue which will effect each one of us moving forward. Sincerely, Jena L Carpenter
None	I am writing to strongly oppose this proposal. It completely undermines the democratic process of each country. How can you propose to be able to make a universal decision on what the whole world should do when each country is so different. Your advice on how to deal with the coronavirus "pandemic" was not based on science and has resulted in devastating economic, health and educational impacts which is far worse and long term that covid. To propose that you, the unelected, should be the steering force during the next pandemic is nothing short of horrifying.
citizen	I am writing to strongly urge you to NOT move forward with any plans to create a treaty that centralizes world health decisions during a pandemic in one organization or nation. After watching different state, national, and global health organizations handle the Covid-19 era, I am fully convinced a Global Pandemic Treaty would not only NOT help health outcomes, but would hinder local organizations from doing what is best for the people they serve. Local, state, and national governments must maintain full legal autonomy and make the best decisions for their own people without fear of consequences from such a treaty. There is already a coordinated group of scientists and governments worldwide to deal with any future worldwide health scares; we do NOT need a WHO treaty to guarantee even more decision makers are not accountable to the populations. We do NOT need a coordinated global response through the World Health Organization. It is vital to democracy, human health, and basic human rights that health and wellness decisions are made at the local level. Stop this treaty today.
retired	I am writing to submit my concerns over the WHO's desire to globalize pandemic treatment of future outbreaks of disease. I believe individual countries should work within their own parameters to fight any diseases that may evolve in the future. Each country has individual circumstances and situations and they know best how to deal. Recommendations can be made by the WHO, but they should not have the power to mandate to the world how to react. So , I say "NO" to the treaty to globalize a response to anything.
Health Freedom Alliance	I am writing to submit my public comments regarding the WHO's proposed Pandemic Treaty. 1. I insist that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own people. 2. The treaty must support the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreements as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The treaty must include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. The treaty must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I am writing to tell you to stop the Treaty tomorrow. Nations and local communities have to be sovereign and have to be able to deal with health issues in the best way they see fit not one size fits all from a global organization. The rights and freedoms of the citizens must be upheld. The rights of local communities to deal with health problems the best way they see fit must be standard. The rights and laws of local and federal laws supercede the who.
US Citizen and licensed dentist in the state of Iowa	I am writing to the WHO as a citizen of the United States. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.Every sovereign nation should have the right to determine their own public health policies. The WHO is overstepping it's intended purpose. There should be NO international policies enforced on sovereign nations. This is power over-reach by the WHO. Thank you!
Private	I am writing to voice my concern and disagreement over a global, WHO-organized response to "health" related crisis. First of all, "health" is a subjective term and has been the excuse to dictate by many wicked dictatorships over the course of history. The WHO counsel is not elected but appointed. This would in effect give excessive power to only a few people lording over the world. This would be global governing. Unconstitutional and morally wrong.
freedom	I am writing to voice my concern over the plans of the WHO and whoever else is involved. I see the writing on the wall for the agenda 2030. this is very concerning. it needs to be reconsidered.
None	I am writing to voice my objection to a world treaty regarding a pandemic response that override a country's constitution. Each country and locality is best suited to make decisions for their citizens. One size does not fit all. Each country must be able to opt out of any part of the treaty that they do not agree with. All discussions and planning regarding this treaty must be made transparent for everyone to see. No back room deals .
Citizen	I am writing to voice my opinion about the upcoming treaty with our country, the United States of America, and the WHO. We are a sovereign nation that has a constitution of the people and we do not want to relinquish our rights to another body outside of our country or even within our country that goes against our constitutional rights. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We must maintain the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And lastly, measures need to be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global NGO health profiteers. We are sovereign nation and have sovereignty over our rules and constitution. We do not want to have that given to any other country, NGO, or governmental organization, especially unelected individuals.
Personal	I am writing to voice my opposition to any global pandemic treaty that would be legally binding on member states. This would be the public health equivalent of a "one world government," one that is not elected by the world's citizens and therefore undemocratic and more susceptible to corruption by corporate and other big money interests. In addition, WHO does not have the authority to override the Constitution of the United States, nor its national sovereignty. The WHO is an international agency that should work for us, not the other way around, so we can strengthen our local and federal responses and resiliency in times of health emergencies. But it should never have the right to violate our civil liberties, human rights, medical freedom and right to earn a living. In conclusion, the main substantive element that needs to be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, is that people's freedoms and privacy are respected and protected, and that decisions coming from the WHO remain recommendations that do not trump member states' sovereignty. Sincerely, Andrea Hiller
personal	I am writing to voice my strong opposition to giving the WHO any additional powers over the ones that they currently have. In fact, I don't believe that the WHO should continue to be funded by the United States as their advice and information shared over the past Covid 19 pandemic was not only incorrect, but was very costly to many, many people and countries. Do NOT approve this motion. Many people will be angry.
NONE	I am writing to voice opposition to America participating in any WHO treaty that removes power or compromises the sovereignty of the USA in the management of American health and welfare of all Americans. Health decisions are personal and must remain under the autonomy of the individual American citizen. America must never surrender any of her sovereignty to WHO or any international health treaties - ever. Government in America and governments across the Nations must operate under a mandate to provide reliable, timely and relevant information without cover-up or concealment of any nature so that the American people remain free to make their own medical decisions especially in the face of emergencies. America must never agree to any legally binding pandemic treaty. We Americans must remain free to make our own healthcare decisions.
N/A	I am writing to you as a concerned mother. I have watched these last 2 years utterly destroy societies and families and friendships, rights and soverighty - all based on unsound 'science' provided by your organisation. The WHO has mislead the masses and actively supported the blatant over reach by govts around the globe which disregarded human rights. The world has been watching the inconsistencies, the fear tactics, the lies. Through this so called pandemic a gross misuse of power by the WHO and its affiliates has left communities across the globe in ruin and worse yet. I believe any centralized power like the one you are proposing is too much power in any one groups hands, never mind one group which has questionable track record, funding and affiliations such as the WHO. That kind of power corrupts inevitably, and it has already under your influence, that much has been proven in the last 2 years. Local decision making on how to handle any crisis should NEVER be taken away from those who will be impacted. This appears to be a blatant political power grab and based on the WHO's track record of dealing with this last situation, they have shown themselves to be entirely without the necessary attributes to wield that kind (or any kind) of power with integrity. I doubt very much that this or any other submission will be taken into account because the WHO appears to be doing this as a tick the box exercise while it moves forth regardless with its agenda. I hope each country and all its individuals and groups are awake to this and will oppose this centralized power grab. It is the very worst thing humankind is facing into. Worse than any pandemic that is for sure. God defend us from those who seek to take and to corrupt.
Private	I am writing to you regarding my very strong opposition to this proposed WHO pandemic treaty countries are pressured to sign! This is a violation of Basic Human Rights and Freedoms. It is also a gross overreach of power and takes away my democratic rights. No organization should ever be given this much control and power. The WHO as misguided and misinformed all people around the globe about this last "pandemic", couldnt answer simple questions, and pushed a narrative that was detrimental to many peoples health and the global economy. Do NOT sign our rights and freedoms away, DONT sign this treaty, please! Thank you Birgit
None	I am writing to you to say the WHO are a unelected organisation and hold no rights to enforce anything onto me. You have no powers over me and I will fight to make sure you never will
N/A	I am writing today to oppose an international pandemic treaty through the WHO. There is not a one-size-fits-all approach to managing public health. If and when a pandemic emerges, every country and the states within them will have different needs that must be addressed by the citizens and leadership that know those needs best. In the U.S. that is why we greatly value state's rights. Such decisions must be left as close to home as possible, and cannot be effectively standardized across the globe.
Self	I am writing today to share the need for National and local leadership to retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt OUT of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. With an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do NOT want it. Measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. If there is risk there MUST be Choice & Informed Consent. I am against the Pandemic Treaty, as it will strip us all of our ability to choose freely & make health decisions based on our own health & beliefs. There can never be a one size fits all solution ...
Self, United States citizen	I am writing today to urge the United States and other global leaders to withdraw their countries from this proposed treaty. Globally orchistrated and predetermined actions may seem appropriate during a pandemic states but this approach is short sited. If we have learned anything from COVID, it should be that allowing countries to manage their own citizens created a diversity in action and now significant opportunity to study response and outcomes. THERE IS NO SINGLE APPROACH that is best when applied to very different countries with very different resources and wildly different public health resources. Sovereign nations deserve to retain the right to act as they see fit, for the benefit of their own people. A totalitarian approach will fail.
None	I am writing today with my input on what I feel should be included in a global pandemic treaty. The first thing I would like to see is a statement that allows each country in participation to choose for themselves how they want to handle the said pandemic as they see fit. As each country has unique needs, population, average age, and other differentiating factors, I do not believe a one size fits all approach would be acceptable. It would also be prudent to have in writing that each state would have the ability to decide for themselves how their unique needs would be handled instead of a federal mandate that is across every state. I would also like to see our government have the need for a democratic process within its senators and house representatives if the president would like to place an executive order upon its people, so it is a more just and unbiased ruling. It would be important also to include that each pandemic may have its differences, and that each pandemic may not need to be handled exactly the same. In addition it would be good to have an outline of succession of steps that would be taken with a precise indicatin of what criteria would need to be met to escalate to the subsequent level. Thank you for allowing our input on this delicate matter.
Self employed health practitioner	I am writing you to express my firm opposition to any international authority having the power or right to dictate or override my medical decisions during a pandemic or other public health emergency. My body my choice. My family my choice. Thank you, Mary MacLean
Holy Spirit	I am writing you to voice my concern. Please allow for countries to opt out of portions of this agreement. It should be ways to opt out for countries and individuals within those countries to opt out due to health and bodily issues related to their health and families health decisions. Every country is different. Common sense approaches are vital to getting us thru the next pandemic. To God be the glory.
Naturaleza Unipessoal lda	I and everyone I know is 100% NOT behind this. This is the biggest power grab in the world and will basically mean that whenever there is according to the WHO a pandemic or health crisis even based on a totally fraudulent test (such as the PCR test) they can override national sovereignty and even overwrite national constitutional rights. If this comes to be that is a Europe I will not live in. So A HUGE NO from my side. We should have people deciding themselves whether they want to wear a mask or inject themselves with experimental Gene therapy injections, if they trust these tools they are free to use them and if they don't want to do this or anything else people should be free to choose whatever they do. A bit like the Swedish model and they have done very well during this pandemic with very little disturbance to their economy and mental emotional lives. People should be free to make their own decisions and the governments should be focused on promoting (and only that) of a healthy lifestyle, passing out free supplements like Vit D3, Vit C, Zink and such and natural remedies as they did in central america.
individual	I and everyone I know strongly oppose a treaty which would give WHO priority over local decision-making, and local constitutions. We have long seen the pitfalls of centralized control. In the covid situation as in many others. Local decision making is essential for success.

Organization	Written submission
I represent an ad hoc group called Sunshine Coast Citizens for Truth and Acc	I and hundreds of my fellow constituents are very much opposed to being made subject to an "international pandemic preparedness treaty". This is due to the fact that such alleged pandemics have clearly been if not created, then absolutely used to take away our human rights and freedoms. We wish to not relinquish the democracy we still have remaining, to a domineering "new world order" that has only population control on its agenda, not the health and safety of the people of this earth. Kindly cease and desist all such attempts to carry out your "instrument". Sincerely, Joan K Higgs, BA, MA (Sociology)
None	I and many other citizens in Scotland that I know do not agree with a UN treaty in pandemic preparedness. Each country's leaders are voted in to make decisions for that nation. The sovereign decisions should NEVER be signed away to non elected persons. People do it want this and will not comply with this.
No affiliated organization	I and many other people I know do not want our Medical freedoms taken away from us and determined by the WHO. There isn't even a COVID-19 Pandemic right now. Considering how unsafe this (not a vaccine) jab is, I will never take it and it should not be mandated. Hopefully you will listen to all the jab injured people, and the people who believe in freedom to make their own discussions, although with Bill Gates running your show it's highly unlikely. The WHO must not be the leader in making all the medical mandates of a free society! It should be up to an individual! That's what freedom is!!!
Private	I and many others absolutely oppose this proposed treaty and will not support or respect it in any way. WHO have no right to override a country's constitution nor dictate to the people . This is abhorrent and could lead to civil unrest and worse. Just go away and leave the people alone, we do not care for this intrusion.
Self	I and many others stand strongly against this treaty. We stand for body autonomy and health sovereignty. The WHO does not have the right to dictate the health choices of individuals to the degree that this treaty would work. We resist any organization making laws or mandates to dictate how we care for our bodies to remain strong and healthy.
Family	I and my entire family of 9 object to having a non-elected body determine what we are to do or not do. There will be no or little accountability and gross abuse of power. We will do everything within our means to fight this.
Individual	I and my family and friends are adamantly AGAINST any treaty that gives a non-sovereign, private organization, namely WHO, the authority to dictate anything relating to our personal health. ALL such health decisions, should and will be made by us as individuals and not an external, one size fits all, organization. WHO and CDC completely botched the Covid 19 "pandemic" claiming it was a pandemic based on completely contrived and spurious data. The resulting world "lock downs", quarantines, and social distancing, and ridiculous mask mandates were beyond idiotic and cost millions their jobs, businesses, livelihoods, and freedoms. The covid virus has never been isolated or proven to be anything other than a bioengineered corona virus variant. The resultant gene modification shots, masquerading as emergency experimental "vaccines," were the real culprit, killing millions already, with millions more to follow. Much of the world now knows the agenda and hypocrisy of the many conspiring actors. WHO played a major role in the conspiracy and covid debacle. This was unacceptable and will not be tolerated again. The WHO is not in a position to dictate health policy for any country and certainly not for any individual. This power grabbing "treaty" must be discarded and disregarded. We will not tolerate external or sovereign centralized control over our actions, bodies, or freedom. The United States of America has a Constitution protecting our rights. And we will follow it to the letter. No more contrived pandemics. No more social controls. No more masks unless an individual desires to wear one to the proven detriment of their health. And no more WHO intervention in our lives via a Treaty or otherwise.
CSU (a Party in Bavaria); LMDR (Landsmannschaft der Deutschen aus Russ	I and my family as Jewish KARAIM (Crimean Karaites) with over Thousands Years of following THE 10 Commandments and faithfull only TO THE ALMIGHTY and his Holy TORAH, solemnly declare, that WE WILL NEVER ACCEPT ANY DECISION OF a secular Body as the WHO in our faithful and thorough obedience TO THE ONE AND ONLY KING OF ALL KINGS AND ONLY SOURCE OF LIVE AND THE WHOLE CREATION!!! Go away off us, YOU astray creatures who worship the EVIL and are servants of AMALEK. We CONDEMN YOUR PLAN!!! EMET!!! G_DS will shall reign only and ever!
Home education	I and my family firmly stand against WHO pushing pandemics policies onto the world No thank you Each nation can formulate their own policies that each populace can accept or reject
n/a	I and my family oppose any "one-size-fits-all" policy when it comes to health. Decisions regarding a person's health and what medicines they want to take should be left to the individual and not made by governments or governing agencies of any type. Preparedness seems wise and we do not oppose that. However, please respect the rights of individuals to make their own healthcare decisions.
Self-employed	I and my family strongly oppose any global imposition on our sovereign rights and civil liberties. In particular we want : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States private citizen	I and my family would like to suggest that the WHO hold true to their constitution that says that the public should be involved by active co-operation and informed opinion. Any WHO treaty that would remove power from the US and its citizens are dangerous to the health and welfare of American citizens. Health decisions that impact American citizens need to stay with the American people, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not and should not have the power over the health decisions of US citizens. There should be no legally binding pandemic treaty that involves the United States and its citizens.
Citizen	I and my whole family do not accept any parts of this proposition on "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". No elements should be added and we oppose this in its entirety.
Citizen of the United States of America	I and none of my large family recognize you the WHO as an authority over the Sovereignty of the United States of America. We didn't vote for you and you have no authority over our people or our lands. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is not discussed in your proposed treaty. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is missing. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are missing. We also do not support the fascists running World Economic Forum. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Any type of forced Vaccination is equivocally and undeniably murder as all of you know a percentage of the population will die from vaccines and it's unavoidable. We do not accept that risk. We will not comply with any foreign invaders from the WHO in any event. We have the right to defend ourselves and we will retain that right by any means necessary by our constitutional Bill of Rights. Forced vaccination or "medical treatments" are against Nuremberg Code and we have seen enough violations of that. As a Citizen and Army Veteran of the United States of America I decline membership to your proposed "global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response," Treaty / Plan.
Samoa Freedom	I Anthony Williams a sovereign being residing in Samoa, absolutely deplore the World Health Organizations attempts to create a treaty to give them the right to control future health policy on a global scale. This pandemic has provedcthat the interests of the WHO lie with thevprofiteering of health sponsores by Big Pharma. Under no circumstances shall the WHO become the leading world health authority.
The Evangelical Church	I applaud the WHO's concern and worry over all the global community; however, it would be more plausible to let the Sovereignty and Autonomy of the countries and people's right for them to make their final decision/s on any matter regarding health matters - the country and it's structure: Provinces, Regions, Districts, and local government municipalities, Churches/Religious ororganizations, NPOs/NGOs, health professionals, and businesses. Therefore, I propose that the WHO approache/s should be that of an Advisory manner. Let the people decide. Thank you.
Irma Powell	I applaud WHO for wanting to increase preparedness regarding future pandemics. I think that, as WHO is a health agency serving a collective of countries, that their role in this preparedness must remain in an advisory capacity. The autonomy of individual countries must be respected, as each country has the right and above all, the duty to deal with any and all issues within their borders (including health issues) according to their unique familiarity of their own country's needs, culture, governmental process, etc. As experience has taught us, pandemic preparedness must above all respect the individual autonomy of each person in regards their health. A campaign against one virus or bacteria must never overshadow the myriad needs that each individual has. Rampant anxiety and other mental illnesses, neglect of needed health care, and the myriad of other 'collateral damage' that was experienced in the past all show that a 'one size fits all' approach to dealing with a pandemic, as well as a 'top down' authoritative attitude towards those suffering will not serve the overall health of those you (or any government) have vowed to serve. This 'overall health' includes their own right to privacy, physical autonomy, freedom of movement and all the other basic human rights that make up a free society. I thank you for an opportunity to express myself in this forum.
Tuakau Presbyterian/Methodist Church	I oppose the treaty because every country should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make desicions based on what is best for their individual country. No two counties are alike and all have differing needs. There should always be for nations and municipalities the ability to opt out of aggrements if they see fit without consequences. There should always be open and transparent processes that allow all people of world to vote including failsafe measures to prevent application of global measures when majority people of world don't want it. There should always be measures in place to prevent influence of pharmaceutical companies in worldwide decisions as they have a conflict of interest. We are a world made up of individual people and individual nations a one size fits all approach to any problem is always going to fail in regards to meeting the needs of all people. Just like each individual has different health issues depending on many factorers one treatment does not always work for all people. We must address the individualism of every person and every nation. Neither does one pandemic response suit every individual nations as has been demonstratied over the last 2 years. Many countries have benifited from far less restrictive responses than others. Infact other countries could have learnt from them in many ways. Nations are individuals in their own right with different demographics, cultures, needs, resources, relidions and health issues. A blanket response would be detrimental to many nations as proven over the last 2 years in counties that have all done same thing and all failed compared to other countries who took an individual response.
None	I oppose the WHO obtaining the proposed health treaty agreement.
Yes	I oppose this law. I do not consent!
NONE	I APPRECIATE BEING ASKED TO OFFER MY VIEW. I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST THAT WE NOT INSTITUTE MORE LAWS ABOUT HEALTH, FOR HEALTH RELIES ON MANY FACTORS WHICH ARE FAR TOO COMPLEX TO BE GATHERED INTO A SET OF PANDEMIC MANDATES. HEALTH IS ACHIEVED THROUGH ORGANIC FOOD, PURE WATER, NATURAL MEDICINES WHICH HAVE BEEN TESTED FOR THOUSANDS OF YEARS, ADEQUATE EXERCISE, PEACEFUL ENVIRONMENT, AND A COMPASSIONATE ENVIRONMENT. HEALTH CAN BE ACHIEVED LOCALLY RATHER THAN THROUGH INTERNATIONAL MANDATES. I PROPOSE THAT THE WHO WORK WITH THE RISING TIDE OF NATURAL HEALERS USING ALL MANNER OF PROTOCOLS RATHER THAN SOLEY WITH THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY. PLEASE DO NOT IMAGINE THAT MORE LEGISLATION WILL INCREASE HEALTH AROUND THE WORLD. EACH CULTURE HAS ITS SOURCE OF HEALTH-BESTOWING NATURAL PROTOCOLS. THESE ARE WHAT SHOULD BE CONSULTED AND ACTIVATED. YOU WILL FAIL IF YOU FAIL TO RECOGNIZE THE AUTONOMY AND INTELLIGENCE OF HUMAN BEINGS AND HUMAN CULTURE AND TRY TO IMPOSE LEGISLATION FROM ABOVE. THANK YOU FOR LISTENING.
private citizen	I appreciate that WHO wants to help our world with a strong response to future pandemics. But after living through the pandemic in the United States, I have seen that having a one-size -fits-all approach did not work from city to city or state to state, so I do not see that it would work for all countries to be managed in the same way by WHO. With that in mind, there should be autonomy for all countries, and within those countries, municipalities, to determine their own best response to a pandemic. There should be no consequences for any group for not following WHO guidelines. There should be a transparency in the process of setting up guidelines. Also, pharmaceutical companies who stand to gain a profit from vaccines and other medications should not be allowed to set guidelines for treatments.
Student at MCLA, North Adams, MA	I appreciate that WHO was helpful during the Covid-19 pandemic, I took a couple classes they offered on-line. Though I do not think we would benefit with a single governance. At 62 years old, I am now more aware of what a pandemic actually is. My personal wealth was destroyed and right before I retire. No one organization should be a conglomerate and all powerful. "The World Health Organization is trying for an end run around any national policies for future pandemics, such that all countries would be obliged legally to do what the WHO ordered—as you can see from the most recent pandemic, they did not offer good leadership with regard to lockdowns, masks, mandatory experimental vaccines, medical choice, the science, freedom to communicate about the science, etc." SF
Private U.S. citizen	I appreciate the WHO'S efforts to address worldwide pandemic concerns. The world could benefit from coordinated plans. That said, I also feel strongly that any recommendations put forth should be advisory only, with no binding obligations. Each sovereign nation has the right to adopt its own policies, as does each citizen of those nations. Thank you.
citizen of Canada	I appreciate the WHO's efforts to provide effective tools for pandemic response. However, I strongly object to any infringement on the sovereignty of any country in the world as a result of WHO's activities. The following elements should be included in WHO's new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration of my concerns
Citizen of the United States / Not an Organization	I as a legally born in New York State citizen of the United States do not agree with my country signing away our sovereign rights that were granted to us at birth by god and the constitution written by our founding fathers of these UNited States. The United States does not give up its rights to a globally unelected foreign organization . The WHO has no legal authority to control the US no matter what any of our globalist politicians do. We are protected as one Nation Under God, with liberty and justice for all. Millions of us in the United States know this and our constitution protects our country to not be ruled by outsiders. No politician can change that with a treaty illegally signed with the WHO that lets the WHO remove our rights as citizens. No pandemic or other emergency can take away our liberty. It won't fly with the American People . I politely ask you to cease and desist with your globalist power grab . Evelyn Moore
TSS LLC.	I as a citizen of the United States am in opposition to a unilateral world governing health body. I am requesting that: 1. National and local (state) leadership retains full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any agreements made with the WHO as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to see and have access to the data that informs any decisions made by the WHO Dr. Janci Chunn Lindsay, PhD
Citizen	I as a citizen of these United States am fully against a treaty. The WHO has lied consistently throughout the pandemic along with the CDC they should be completely abolished. Medical Freedom is necessary
Human Rights	I as a citizen of this planet. I don't consent to this consolidation of power to the world health organization. The WHO should not in any way shape or form be allowed to dictate any health directives to the world.
Private	I as a human being of Earth do not want any supranational institution to decide what I should do in an emergency or crisis: I ask you instead to uphold the time-tested principle of subsidiarity and leave these matters to the nation states to decide and manage. Any centralisation of power, even more so in a climate of fear, lacks checks and balances and bears too great a risk of turning into tyranny. Stop any endeavour to centralise pandemic preparedness and response!
Local government	I as a human being of Earth do not want any supranational institution to decide what I should do in an emergency or crisis: I ask you instead to uphold the time-tested principle of subsidiarity and leave these matters to the nation states to decide and manage. Any centralisation of power, even more so in a climate of fear, lacks checks and balances and bears too great a risk of turning into tyranny. Stop any endeavour to centralise pandemic preparedness and response!
Human	I as a living human strongly oppose and forbid any interferance of a private founded firm - WHO to interfere in "pandemic".
Bidvest Bank Ltd	I as a South African and on behalf of the majority of my fellow countrymen reject this unconstitutional treaty. We have made our stance regarding the abuse of individual rights and the erasure of bodily autonomy/freewill very clear through our rejection of mandates and coercion to take ineffective vaccines. We have a constitution which has come about as a result of previous human rights abuses and will not have it undermined in this way.
N/a	I as a sovereign being do not give my consent for any government or organisation to have any control over medical requirements ..
Sovereign Citizen	I as a sovereign citizen living in a democracy do not recognise the WHO as having any influence over my personal health choices. As the WHO is funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates foundation the information you provide is comprised and is not independent.
Private	I as a whole do not support the document that you are preparing. That being said the following needs to be addressed and accomodated: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Herbal Spirit	I ask one simple question? Why is an international instrument on pandemic preparedness needed when basing it on COVID-19? This viral condition has only a 0.3% mortality rate in healthy adults; this is less than other Corona viral infections. There is a need to re-evaluate this 'health treaty' being proposed by WHO. Before, proposing any standardised 'pandemic' procedure for any viral, bacterial or fungal infection - it is always good scientific practice to isolate the pathogen. By using Koch's postulates to isolate and re-culture the original pathogen repeatably. It seems these scientifically grounded pathology principles have been disregarded. The averaged global annual mortality rate is 7.5% (over 10 years). There has been a slight increase over the past 2 years due to medical neglect, decline in available medical treatment and mass pharmaceutical experimentation. Unfortunately, the agenda for abandoning safe, repeatable scientific study is simple - profit at the expense of the global population. Is there truly a need for global inoculation for 0.3% mortality?
Self	I ask that all health decisions should not be made by WHO but instead stay as close to the local area or country as it is only within each region should any decisions be made about health and the appropriate response. I also expect that we the people are given all information, not just what is convenient or provided by vested interests. The public must be given informed consent and full and active cooperation in order to improve health. I ask that nations and regions always have the option to opt out without any consequences and do what they feel is best for the people they represent, and in line with this, the public must always have a voice. There must always be an open and fully transparent process that ensures open and informed decisions, and more importantly prevent the implementation of a global agreement that is not wanted by the people. I also demand that where there are differing opinions, that these be listened to and considered, value given to those opinions and due process being done to understand their concerns. There MUST be processes and measures implemented that do not allow those with vested interests (pharmaceutical companies, high tech companies, individuals etc) to be involved in the decision making. And last but not least, any response should always be lead with a view to health and wellness and how to empower the people. It is time to take money out of the equation- and understand that we need true health at a local ground level, eating whole natural foods that have not been modified or damaged by toxic chemicals. Teaching people that real food & healthy lifestyles are MUCH more important than taking a medicine or drug and it is time for the WHO to consider this.
US Citizen	I ask that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Also, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
OsteoStrong	I ask that the all of the following elements be included in any pandemic treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	I ask that the following be included in any WHO directives: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for considering my views! MC
General Public	I ask that the following elements be addressed: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote. This should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. There should be a commitment to the principle that a single organization should never be granted monopolistic power over health policy. The potential for corruption of science and policy is too high.
Public	I ask that the following elements be addressed: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote. This should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. There should be a commitment to the principle that a single organization should never be granted monopolistic power over health policy. The potential for corruption of science and policy is too high.
Individual	I ask that the following elements be addressed: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote. This should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. There should be a commitment to the principle that a single organization should never be granted monopolistic power over health policy. The potential for corruption of science and policy is too high.
Individual	I ask that the following elements be addressed: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote. This should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. There should be a commitment to the principle that a single organization should never be granted monopolistic power over health policy. The potential for corruption of science and policy is too high.
general public	I ask that when creating the new international instrument on preparedness and response you allow countries to decided for themselves what is best for their own country. Each country is different and individual and the people and officials for that country will know what is best for their situation and the citizens.
Self	I ask that you demand... 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	I ask that you do not: (1) Deeply compromise or otherwise destroy privacy at a global level; (2) Funnel tax dollars to a global agency to "enhance, update, and strengthen" its own ability to be a global health authority; (3) Create an international structure for sharing dangerous pathogens and genetic information and, Censor (or "cancel") more and more voices for informed consent through "global coordinated actions to address the misinformation, disinformation, and stigmatization that undermine public health." I believe in bodily sovereignty, parental rights [even though I'm not a parent], and informed consent and I'm standing up now to make sure my voice is heard.
citizen	I ask that you leave the people to be free and make up their own minds. The WHO is not GOD , not my GOD. If I fail , I prefer to fail on my own. I do not want your guidance or your "expertise". You are a self appointed ruler. Leave the people free. If you do not , in the end , you will be vanquished. Review history and you will see that evil always fails. You will fail , if you do not leave the PEOPLE alone.
Self	I ask that you reject a global pandemic solution for the future involving submission of all countries to WHO protocol. Countries are sovereign and as such, each can decide what's best for their citizens. The WHO cannot possibly know that. At risk is the trampling of the individual to make health decisions best for themselves and the enriching of pharmaceutical companies with the use of force to inject their products into these sovereign individuals. The proposal does not include an individual's ability to vote on whether WHO protocol is safe and as previous history indicates is not open and transparent.
none	I ask the health policy to be decided by the national governments, which are democratically elected, and not by the WHO, especially since your organisation receive lots of its money from private pharmaceutical companies , and therefore cannot be trusted to always choose the best for the population
Ryerson University	I attribute the many excesses, issues, and failures of the COVID-19 response to centralized decision-making, one-size-fits-all solutions, and group-think. Therefore, national and local political and public health leadership must retain full autonomy in order to make decisions based on national and local needs, with the added benefit of testing and comparing different strategies between and within countries. The WHO should continue to take an advisory role, providing relevant and transparent information in support of local decision-makers. The participation of pharma corporations in these advisory processes needs to be documented, publicized, and constantly monitored for undue influence.
self	I attribute the many excesses, issues, and failures of the COVID-19 response to centralized decision-making, one-size-fits-all solutions, and group-think. Therefore, national and local political and public health leadership must retain full autonomy in order to make decisions based on national and local needs, with the added benefit of testing and comparing different strategies between and within countries. The WHO should continue to take an advisory role, providing relevant and transparent information in support of local decision-makers. The participation of pharma corporations in these advisory processes needs to be documented, publicized, and constantly monitored for undue influence.
Medical Freedom	I attribute the many excesses, issues, and failures of the COVID-19 response to centralized decision-making, one-size-fits-all solutions, and group-think. Therefore, national and local political and public health leadership must retain full autonomy in order to make decisions based on national and local needs, with the added benefit of testing and comparing different strategies between and within countries. The WHO should continue to take an advisory role, providing relevant and transparent information in support of local decision-makers. The participation of pharma corporations in these advisory processes needs to be documented, publicized, and constantly monitored for undue influence.
personal comment	I beg you to consider the hell on earth that citizens everywhere have been going through for over two years. What steps should be taken? Common sense dictates that lockdowns, mandated injections of so-called vaccines, ineffective mask wearing and the like should be abandoned. People should be allowed to work again, children should be able to attend school, unmasked, to learn and socialize. And, if you insist on counting deaths as "covid", then the deceased should actually have died DUE TO COVID, not WITH COVID. There is a huge distinction which politicians everywhere have used to their advantage. Decisions should be made at the lowest level, meaning the individual, not any governmental body.
self	I believe the substantive elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Private citizen	I beleive the only element neccessary is that human beings should retain the right to their own health, the right to choose and the right to their personal freedoms, at all times, under any and all circumstances.
Tim Hortons	I believe .. :National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. :The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. : An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. :Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. STOP THE TREATY!

Organization	Written submission
Self	I believe a "hands-off" approach should be implemented which is to say no implementation at all. Countries and States should be free to decide what measures, if any, apply to their citizens. We elected our leaders to be our voice, we did not elect the WHO to decide on locking us down, forcing us to wear masks nor forcing us to take experimental shots. If you want to help at all, be a group that helps to organize responses to pandemics in that you put countries in contact with one another so that supplies and aid may be easily shifted to where it is needed most.
Just Me !	I believe a better and more open and transparent system of communication should be put in place. Countries need rapid advice, not commands. Each country can make its own decisions for its citizens, and citizens can make their own decisions. Only CORRECT information is a priority, not rules. I did not elect WHO to make medical decisions on my behalf. I and I alone make decisions that affect me. Please stay out of rulings and governing. Stick to information gathering and dissemination.
Citizen of New Zealand	I believe a international instrument may be flawed as it would not adequately take cultural and societal context into consideration. To best equip individuals to take appropriate, individual health action it is important to be familiar with the physical, social and cultural practises and settings - which can sometimes differ between suburbs.
Freedom from International Control	I believe a international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is unnecessary. If one is created then I suggest that all nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of this new instrument.
Private citizen	I believe a one-size-fits-all approach from the top down for a future pandemic response is not viable. Instead, it should be a bottom-up approach starting with local clinicians and local governments that should be free to experiment and develop their own policies that are best suited for their communities. All pandemics and diseases are different, and no single approach developed at the global level could effectively handle it. Treatments and policies would best to be developed by local doctors who actually treat patients, and then can feed these treatment approaches up to higher levels for dissemination to other localities. Such pandemic responses also need to take into account the economic and cultural conditions of the local communities. Policy makers should also be free from any representatives from the pharmaceutical industry or people that have a financial interest in these policies.
My Own	I believe a pandemic shouldn't be invented for the sake of certain people. There should be 100% proof that it exists prior to doling out vaccinations that haven't been fully tested. I also believe everyone should have a say in what should happen. We are all individual and as such should be treated accordingly. One organisation should not hold monopoly over the masses it will only lead to disharmony and mutiny.
Florida Freedom Keepers	I believe a successful element to any future pandemic response should include a hub of some sort for doctors around the world who've found success while treating their patients. We do not want a one world constitution; we need different ideas to flourish.
CA Freedom Keepers	I believe a system that will regulate and hold the WHO and the CDC accountable needs to take place. There is already a mass public distrust in these systems and therefore both need to be investigated to the fullest extent. There is a necessity for more improvements with the VAER system reporting.
none	I believe a treaty like the one being discussed is unnecessary. If the Covid-19 pandemic has shown us anything, it has shown that the intensity of the effects of a pandemic are driven by many factors and that a 'one size fits all' approach to dealing with the pandemic is not most effective for all areas and all countries. Third world countries for example, with less of an ability to individually quarantine, are much for difficult than more advanced countries. Hygienic habits in a culture or country also drive the susceptibility of viruses on a mass scale. Because of this fact, individual countries should be allowed to address their peoples' health based on the conditions and spread of any virus amongst their people. Proof of this in the U.S. has been released showing that not all U.S. states dealt with the Covid-19 virus the in the same way, and as such the effects and the spread of the virus differed between states. If this is true on such a small scale as U.S. states, than this is most certainly true for individual countries throughout the world. It is because of these differing reactions to viruses that we learn what effects tackle the virus better and which are not as effective. Without these varying reactions to a virus, than we all potentially suffer greatly under one bad plan.
None	I believe all considerations should include what is personally best for each individual and family and community. You cannot have a blanket statement that is good for everyone. Individuals need to make their own choices Especially pertaining to what goes inside the body
Personal	I believe all countries should have their sovereign rights upheld enabling national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We must have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	I believe all decision making authority relating to emergency health decisions need to stay in Australia. I believe all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency must conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to disease outbreaks. If found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO. Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines. Scientific process requires time, debate and open dialogue. Therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Science must not be a dictatorship but an evolving discussion. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. Clear and openly discussed evidence base for approaches must be available for open scientific critique without censorship. The WHO must declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest. Those that benefit form health decisions must not be allowed to participate in the decision making process.
None. Private citizen	I believe all health decisions should remain within our own country, mine being the United States of America's. If these powers are given to WHO then the American people's freedoms will be taken away from them. This is a violation of our Constitutional Rights that we have fought and bled for and won centuries ago.
retired	I believe all health decisions should stay in the power of the American people even in a pandemic.
Self	I believe all of these points should be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
None	I believe all pandemic decisions should be decided by our elected officials of our country! National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
mrovasolutions	I believe all people of the world are capable of helping themselves. The indigenous people have treatment for all illnesses . Specially in India. We don't want a third party to think for our health. There is no need for a treaty of any sort.
Citizen of the world.	I believe all the below-written points and submit them here to say NO to this subversive treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Erin Jones	I believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time
Not applicable	I believe an international arrangement of this sort is not only unnessary, but most likely highly dangerous and damaging to the public. We live in different cultures different climates and different living conditions. The last 2 years I learnt that the decisions based on WHO advise did not benefit the people in my country. Highest percentage of vaccinated people did not cause a lower death rate. Not even mentioning many other damages, both physical and psychological. The idea of following the rest of the world on pandemics is NOT for the common good. Please invest your valuable efforts, in other good causes you support. Thank you.
Private citizen of the United States	I believe an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is potentially very dangerous, setting up the possibility for everyone to do the WRONG thing, some of which we witnessed happening with this latest pandemic. The result could be loss of life, opposite of the goal. National and local leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and the specific needs of those people. Every nation and local government should have the choice to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, as should every person on this planet. In other words, nothing about it should be binding but done in a spirit of cooperation ONLY. Human beings have a right to be free and have ultimate dominion over their own bodies. The decision-making process should be open and transparent with ABSOLUTELY NO INFLUENCE from pharmaceutical companies, directly or indirectly, including financial support, previous or promised, to any of the decision makers. All people of the world should have the ability to weigh in as well as the ability to opt out. Thank you
Independent citizen	I believe an organization that functions as a data aggregation center and advisory body has some value. In no case should the approaches of many different countries, each with their own climate, seasonality, genetic background and political milieu be subjugated to the mandates of a single worldwide would-be governing authority. The value of diversity of solutions to a problem should not be ignored, as the recent covid pandemic had shown.
Person	I believe and advocate for: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Diana
Personal	I believe and agree on the following principles. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	I believe and think that there should be NO new international instruments on pandemic preparedness and response. I STRONGLY opposed to it. 1) WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation. 2) This agreement is more of a power grab for itself (the WHO) and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. 3) WHO agreement is unnecessary, because it is a threat to sovereignty and violation of human rights such as dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. 4) WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance while it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest. 5) Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. 6) WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. Furthermore, historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic.
General Public	I believe any global pandemic treaty by nature is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families (as well as families over the entire world who fared far better in this pandemic NOT following WHO and other international organizations' recommendations). Health decisions need to be in the hands of individuals and families, not states or national governments and DEFINITELY NOT in the hands of non-governmental international organizations. This is a dangerous trajectory on all matters, especially health matters. Concentrating health decisions in fewer hands can never be good, never be personalized, never be optimal; and for American citizens, it is could never be constitutional to cede authority to any entity outside of our national boundaries.
Individual	I believe any instrument of a pandemic preparedness or response should include: 1.) Each Nation, State, or local entity retain full autonomy for providing the best medical practices for each locality. 2.) Each Nation, State, or local entity retain the right to opt out of any or all portions of a pandemic preparedness agreement. 3.) Each Nation, State, or local entity retain the right for full transparency of any such agreement, with the ability to vote to accept or reject any or all portions of said agreement. 4.) Each Nation, State, or local entity, being solely accountable to its citizens shall require that any and all such agreements now and in the future shall exclude influence from all pharmaceutical entities and all global profiteers. Thank you for your consideration. Tony R. Porter
Private citizen	I believe any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include the fundamental right for every nation and its leadership, at a national and local level, to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It must include the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The agreement process must be open and transparent and allow the ability for all people of the world to vote on it. It must include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures within the agreement must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I believe any new international agreement must • Ensure that it does not override/overrule individual countries' sovereignty. • Include wording to ensure it will not override/overrule the national constitutional rights of citizens of individual countries. • Protect the right of individuals to informed consent (consent without coercion or penalty) to medical interventions, including during pandemics. • Allow nations and portions of nations (e.g., provinces, states, and municipalities) to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without penalty. • Prevent corporate or pharmaceutical companies from influencing decisions regarding pandemic responses.
n/a	I believe any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness must make clear that the WHO is only an external advisory body. It can make recommendations and then it must step out of the way so that each member country is free to make its own health decisions. Given there is no such thing as a "one size fits all" in anything, including health decisions, it is imperative that health decisions be fully left to each country, based on its own health situation, culture, and laws. This is particularly important in the event of a health emergency. It is inappropriate and dangerous for the WHO to have any legal binding power over member countries' decisions, over-riding each country's own constitutions, laws, elected officials, and decision making. Furthermore, any instrument on pandemic preparedness must be consistent with the WHO Constitution statement that "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." In other words - the WHO should provide information and encourage active cooperation across member countries, while fully allowing member countries to make their own decisions .
Private	I believe any pandemic treaty developed should give full latitude to individual citizens of the world to make their own medical decisions. I further believe that there should be no tracking of any type for citizens worldwide. Please let the people decide what is right for their own circumstances.
Citizen of the United States	I believe any Pandemic Treaty should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	I believe any plans need to all the option for all level of government, provinces and states to be able to opt out of WHO mandates. There also has to easily accessible exclusions for members of the public who have dissenting opinions on any grounds, religious, physical, scientific, political etc. in order to safeguard personal freedoms.
None	I believe any response to this current pandemic and any pandemics in the future should be based on a collaboration of independent scientists, free of ties to any political and pharmacological organizations to determine a course of action. Their decisions should be based on experience from this pandemic with critical analysis of which methods worked and which did not work. There were many mistakes made during these past 2 years, and most of them could have been avoided with less oversight from those who had an agenda to push whether it was money, power, or to further political advancements. This is not how the science method has ever been employed. A more pragmatic and less opinionated approach should be utilized in the future.
Citizen	I believe any system should include: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	I believe any treaty should respect local sovereignty and bodily autonomy. All responses should be as individualized and sensitive to local communities as possible. No treaty should supersede municipal, county, state or federal laws and regulations. There is no pandemic exception in the US Constitution.
n/a	I believe any WHO treaties that remove power from the US, or any other country for that matter, are dangerous to the health and welfare of individuals. A global response actor that has the ability to make health recommendations for wide swaths of the population without taking into account the harms of those recommendations for individuals, including children, the poor, homeless, and others and for that power to held in so few hands is not in the best interest of humans, health and individual liberty. The changing COVID responses and the bias of many parties in advocating for lockdowns, mask adherence, closing of businesses etc. has contributed to untold harm for families, children, and many others. The expertise of those making health recommendations is often assumed rather than demonstrated. It is clear that many within the bodies making these recommendations are captured by political and business interests that are contrary to the development of rigorous scientific evidence. These decisions and decision makers cannot be held within so few hands and absent proper scrutiny and skepticism from the populations it will most affect. The panic of the COVID response has not been confirmed by the deaths from COVID. Additionally the harms from the responses to the "COVID CRISIS" are already beginning to be seen and will likely increase as we move into the endemic phase. It is clear from the responses, including those promoted by WHO, contrary to WHO recommendations prior to COVID, that institutions and people within them can and are corruptible by interests outside of individual public health. The WHO CANNOT HAVE this binding power.
McClure Enterprises	I believe any WHO treaty should preserve All health decisions to each individual concerned and Never be dictated by any organization, even those which may be affected by that decision. This is non-negotiable for me. I even want the right to over-ride what my doctor thinks should be done for my health. All minors rights about their health care should be given exclusively to their parents/guardians and No other individual or entity should have say in their health care. Doctors and other organization should Only have an advisory role in any health care decision. Thank-you, Vicki McClure
Havalona Trust	I believe autonomy and national sovereignty should be upheld. The WHO is more effective as a stand alone entity giving advice rather than a governing body. Every nation and every people have different values and understanding. This past lockdown and mandates have shown how disastrous a one world policy can be. Our global shipping is destroyed and hyper inflation is around the corner. We are better to safeguard our future by allowing for diversity of approach to pandemics. Look at Africa versus America for instance. I am greatly concerned by the silencing of debate and the backdoor funded eco health alliance labs. We should be Shining a light on gain of function research as well as getting rid of this idea that big pharma like Pfizer is not liable for Vax injuries. They should be liable. Furthermore there is no democratically elected representatives to the WHO and there are no ethical barriers to outside donations which severely compromise your authenticity and trust. I am sorry but your treaty is a frightening reminder of totalitarianism. Regards. Peter Kittleson
none	I believe centralized control which creates a one size fits all approach has the potential to be harmful to populations. As such, I believe it is imperative to include language that provides that national and local leaders maintain autonomy in making final decisions for their respective constituents on what aspects of the pandemic response to follow and which to dismiss. There should be no consequences for local or national municipalities to alter their specific pandemic response from what is recommended by the WHO.
Self	I believe countries and individual municipalities should continue to maintain autonomy and their local regulations would supersede any regulations by the WHO. These local entities should also be able to opt out of this agreement. There needs to be a voting process for local areas as well. We all need to be able to vote on an agreement that affects everyone globally.
General Public	I believe countries governments should collaborate, but maintain independent control, of their country in the event of global pandemics. That, first and foremost is what I think should be considered. Protections of countries rights in the state of a global pandemic must be upheld, and in the event of not being there, be implemented.
N/A	I believe countries must maintain their sovereignty without interference from the WHO.
Private citizen	I believe countries, states and provinces etc should have full autonomy in any decisions making process regarding pandemic policy and protocol - and what is best for their own citizens ie decentralisation of the decision making process. There should be opt out clauses where necessary for nations & municipalities- and no consequences for doing such. Pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers should have NO SAY and no influence or lobbying power whatsoever! There should be a fully transparent and open process- with all peoples to vote and veto measures where the majority of people are against measures agreed globally- above their heads. Potential Adverse consequences of declaring pandemics and making global decisions re protocol, should also be considered
None	I believe decisions and direction should be left to Each country, region or zone due to each having it's own unique situation and thus should not be subject to one universal or global oversight group. The issues should be left to local or regional leadership to work in collaboration with WHO but not subject to a universal idea, thought or perspective which may not fit the specific issue the region or country is going through. There is enough data that supports a separation of oversight both from our own government and globally. What works in one area may not work in another and the over-reach, though well intention, places too much emphasis and decision power in the hands of a few. I am against any type of legally binding agreement that attaches any country to the directions of those who lack the insight to each specific situation.
None	I believe each country make it's own determination relevant to it's proximity and culture to determine how it responds to ant threat including any health issues. It is vital that nations remain sovereign to decide what path and direction it so chooses. Other countries or organisations should not interfere with this in any way.
N/A	I believe each country reflects not only a different economic/financial basis but also a different moral/approach to life and national character. These conditions merit the highest respect and accord. WHO should be a support organization only when requested by a sovereign nation or country.
Self	I believe each country should be governed by their country only and not be held to any agreement in regards to its citizens health by any workd0wide agreement. I do not want WHO deciding anything about my health care. Only I have those rights.
Links Street Digital	I believe each country should be sovereign, as each human being is sovereign. A one size fits all approach to public health is unhelpful and undemocratic. Each nation should decide it's own course of action, and to take advice from the WHO where appropriate. The WHO is not without vested interests, so cannot and should not be trusted to make decisions in the best interests of humanity. Any treaty should allow nations to opt out, and at the very least, for something so profound as loss of sovereignty, each country should be allowed a referendum to let the people decide. Thank you.
R R Bradley & Associates PA	I believe each country should have the ability to manage their own heath issues. Therefore I would reject the creation of an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and and response.
N/A	I believe each country should have the right to make decisions on what is best & individuals in all countries should have the right to make choices about what happens to their own body (bodily autonomy). Having a 'global plan' is not the right way forward as a future pandemic will have different impacts on different populations. Countries need to all have their say & ideas/ways forward discussed openly & any guidance should be just guidance & not enforced. We need to learn lessons from this pandemic, have a full enquiry on how it was managed & the role WHO had in it. Public health needs to be paramount above all other interests & there should be no conflicts of interests at play. All treatment options need to be fairly assessed so people have a choice moving forward & don't feel coerced into just one course of action (ie vaccination) Only allowing 5 days for views is shocking & the whole question needs to be opened up for much longer, allowing for a full review & independent inquiry before any decisions & plans are made moving forward.
Australian Person	I believe each country should have their own plan this overreach and powers from one or two organisations should not be allowed. Also free speech should be allowed to talk about issues. Governments should not be paid off by big pharma and pushed to take part in 'emergency medications'. Each country should test these themselves and not leave it to one or two countries as this is where issues come along where people operate and in unethical ways. Medical staff should have a voice and should not be reprimanded or silenced for being a voice for their patients. Medical staff and professionals should do no harm. These overreaching powers need to stop immediately!
NZ citizen	I believe each country should make decisions around a pandemic that works for them culturally, spiritually and geographically. *Each person and country needs full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards Jo Woodcock
None	I believe each individual bears total responsibilities for his/her own health decisions.
US CITIZEN	I believe each individual country has sovereign rights to determine what is in the best interest of their population in terms of health care. Countries abilities to react to and deliver healthcare varies greatly. No one rule should be placed to force all member countries to abide by. In other words one size doesn't fit all. The Covid pandemic as an example, countries instituting draconian measures stripped citizens of individual rights and destroyed their economies. I do not want a central authority to have control over my economy or individual rights. There does not need to be any kind of "world treaty" for Pandemics.
Individual citizen of Canada	I believe each individual country requires input into any International Treaty. Every country has their own specific requirements . No country is the same, with the same requirements to help their population through a pandemic, as we have witnessed the last 2 years. Each country has different tools to work with according to their location and resources. The populations vary greatly across a country, therefore what works in a city will not work in a rural setting. One country may have extensive health care services, while another may have very little. Access to medications and treatments are also a true concern. There are individual country's spiritual beliefs to be considered. Any International Treaty would have to be fluid , as one program would not fit everyone. Lynn McDonald , retired R.N.
None	I believe each individual country should hold full autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should be with the consultation of the citizens of those countries. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In no circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign countries and their citizens. This is overstepping their mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who should and must remain a-political.
World council for Health	I believe each municipalities leadership given its relevant knowledge of local health issues and considerations, should remain autonomous to make decisions for its own population that may differ from the WHO preparedness plan, without consequence. This process should remain transparent world wide and locally, and retain individual rights to any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, especially in places where a majority do not want it, without consequence. These measures should not allow pharmaceutical companies or any other global health profiteers influence in this process. It should remain a voluntary decision taking long term health into consideration, not simply global so-called pandemic preparedness measures into consideration. Thank you for listening.
NHRW	I believe each nation should decide his/her/their appropriate plans for fighting pandemics, not the WHO. National autonomy only for every nation. Same goes for local leadership too, based on local needs not on a global scale. All people must vote on any measures that affect them personally, locally. We must have foolproof measures in local jurisdictions to prevent the global agreements. We must make sure pharmaceutical companies' heavy lobbying power to control what measures we adopt.
LADISTRICT DANCE	I believe each nation should have the right to respond to every pandemic in a way that is correct for that country. You can share information regarding a pandemic, but that is all. The WHO has NO business dictating how sovereign nations should react. No vaccine mandates, no vaccine passports, no mandatory lockdowns, no mandatory mask edicts, no with-holding of potential drugs (off label use).
WHO	I believe each nation should remain sovereign. One situation does not fit all. All people must have the right to make personal choices. Nuremberg Codes must be protected for each person in the world. A world treaty is dangerous for individuals who inhabit this earth. I am against any WHO treaty.
Stand For Health	I believe each sovereign nation should develop its own plan for the health and safety of its people. A one-size-fits-all doctrine is not in the best interests of the health of the people. The closer to home these decisions are made, the better informed the process is. I do not support a global pandemic treaty for these reasons.

Organization	Written submission
Member of general public	I believe elements of an individuals human rights, religious, spiritual and cultural beliefs need to be preserved at all costs and not be traded in based on inconclusive and potentially biased information that could alter with the benefit of more time. In a day and age where individuals data is being sold at alarming rates the doctor patient relationship with its associated privacy needs to be protected so trust is maintained. There needs to be understanding that current government positions may not align with the population they represent nor with that of future government so where directions result in irreversible outcomes to the population then individual choice needs to be maintained without any forms of coercion. This is especially important where any proposed treatments are only provisionally approved.
	I believe every country needs to hold a national referendum and vote on this. Full disclosure and notice needs to be given to all citizens explaining the exact implications of this treaty and what it means for bodily autonomy as well as public health sovereignty of a nation. This should not be enacted on any nation who's citizenry is left in the dark about what this means essentially. If this treaty does get voted in, there needs to be a section allowing for exemptions with a broad range of allowed grounds to refuse or allow people to not have to take any medication prescribed protocols put forth.
The Henna Parlour	I believe every country should be able to decided independently how it reacts to a pandemic, because every country has its own individual needs and a unique understanding of its own people. It's not one size fits all. I really want the who to appreciate this and consider how different every culture is.
Self	I believe every country should follow its own laws, not dictated by a global entity. The United States has a constitution which gives its citizens control over their own health. The WHO should only recommend, not dictate policy. They have been shown to have many internal problems and their leadership has been faulty. Their response to this pandemic has been driven by politics not public health.
Private citizen	I believe every country should make its own medical decisions when any restrictive behavior including loss of any freedoms is suggested. I do not think the WHO should give mandates but suggestions only! In addition it would be helpful that the truth about any medical information be given without censoring or a bias being present. Those entities having any financial ties (including members of WHO staff) to drug companies should not be given a voice in any matter due to conflict of interest. Invite private and public scientists and physicians to speak their voice on medical recommendations. WHO is for info and advisement. Countries should make their own decisions on medical matters.
private citizen	I believe every country should make its own medical decisions when any restrictive behavior including loss of any freedoms is suggested. I do not think the WHO should give mandates but suggestions only! In addition it would be helpful that the truth about any medical information be given without censoring or a bias being present. Those entities having any financial ties (including members of WHO staff) to drug companies should not be given a voice in any matter due to conflict of interest. Invite private and public scientists and physicians to speak their voice on medical recommendations. WHO is for info and advisement. Countries should make their own decisions on medical matters.
None	I believe every nation should be responsible for its own citizens and should not be subject to a one-size-fits-all pandemic response plan. Although, I appreciate that "we are all in this together", each nation/country (like each family) is responsible for making decisions concerning the welfare and health of its citizens. I also believe that individuals are responsible for their own health care decisions and they should not be coerced or mandated to do something they do not believe is in their own best interest or the interest of their family.
Private	I believe every person should be given the right for autonomy regarding each person's healthcare. Local communities vote for representatives to represent them and I want these representatives NOT a global organization providing guidelines for my communities decisions regarding healthcare. Each person's private health must remain private. No organization should ever have the right to know about my personal health decisions or healthcare. A global organization having individual health data is an invasion of privacy and is unethical.
Unaffiliated	I believe firmly in local control over health care decisions. A world body mandating health care decisions is both unnecessary and unjust. Conditions around the world vary, and I am against a one size fits all pandemic response. Furthermore, I believe in states rights regarding health care and pandemic decisions. What is necessary in one state does not necessarily apply to a state 3000 miles away in the US. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.
More Than Monuments	I believe firmly that a cookie cutter approach to world policy is foolish. Each country needs to maintain sovereign authority over any policies relating to their health and pandemic preparedness because each country will have greater ability to respond with how things are developing within their own borders specifically and not be bound to a "one size fits all" policy which which cause them to repond too much or too little.
Greenlife Ltd	I believe full autonomy should be retained by national and local leadership who must have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that all nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement according to their considered judgment and without consequence. I believe there must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Most importantly, I believe that there must be in place measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I believe full autonomy should be retained by National and local leadership. They should make decisions based on what is right for their citizens. All nations must be able to opt out of any part of the agreement. There should be an open and transparent process for the global population to vote and for the application of this global agreement to be prevented where the majority of people do not want it. Any process should not be influenced by pharmaceutical companies and the like.
Private citizen	I believe God needs to be included in a preparedness approach to a so called pandemic. Trusting humans has gotten us into a huge mess here. Spiritual practises could easily be implemented in to a treaty. We are so reliant today on humans, who can possess evil, vile & harmful guidelines on to every person for an unknown agenda. After suffering through the last 2 years, I have observed that lock downs, masks, social distancing, & gain of function experimental injections have not worked. We need to have much more transparency with clinical trials. No more EUA justifications for Big Pharma & the CDC to dictate what is the only treatment for containing a virus. These experimental drugs were harmful & killed & disabled millions of people so far. The worst is yet to come from reading the released unredacted papers from Pfizer. It is sickening to see they knew the adverse affects before people rolled up their sleeves. I have read the reports from VAERS & am horrified & the CDC continues to state, no one was harmed, they are safe & effective. Covid is a flu/cold, with a 99.7% recovery rate, so why would I fall prey to any guidelines, that are illogical, harmful, & unnecessary. I don't not want anyone to take my informed consent away & this treaty will do that on a larger scale. Someone in an office across the world, will decide what I must take, according to them. One size does not fit, as we have just seen. Countries live differently & need to have autonomy over their countrymen, not a globalist approach. Maybe promoting healthy diets & lifestyles would have really gone along ways. How to boost one's immune system, instead of constant programming from MSM. Allow for alternative treatments that really do help. Thanks, Sherri
Public citizen	I believe government should have a limited role in personal health care preparedness. Information sharing would be key. And adequate pharmaceuticals and medical equipment such as ventilators are necessary. But given that, individual choice on any and all health decisions must remain in place. Our U.S. Constitution must always be followed to the letter. I am a Registered Nurse, a parent and a farmer. But first and foremost I am Protected by the Constitution and the Bill of Rights . No one has the right to prepare me for anything, including a pandemic, without my express consent.
Rest and repair massage	I believe health care should be decentralized. No to the treaty.
My Personal Body	I believe health decisions even under an "emergency" should be left up to the individual. I oppose giving any decision making power to international governing bodies especially in regards to the public's health. The global response to the most recent pandemic was an absolute failure to put it kindly. I and billions of others are dedicated to taking care of their own health and no one knows what's best more than the individual. Thank you for your time .
Private	I believe health is not the same for everyone and local communities should be managing this independently as they best see fit. If you want to do something encourage healthy food and ban junk food .
Myself with Children's Health Defense	I believe if a treaty is going to be developed and put into action that you must allow power to be given to each country to develop what is acceptable to them. You cannot develop something by a "chosen few" that would make decisions for all countries, that just CANNOT be done because we live in a democratic society!
None	I believe important elements to a Covid response are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. If these aren't present, I am in complete disagreement that the public's best interests are at heart.
NA	I believe in bodily autonomy. No one should be forced to submit to any demands to take a medicine or injection against their will. It is in violation of my human rights, it is in violation of the Nuremberg Code. I will not be forced to undergo a medical procedure (i.e. vaccination) because the WHO tells me it is mandatory to do so. I strongly oppose to this WHO treaty and the Dutch government signing this treaty. My human - and civil rights are not for sale, to no one!
Concerned citizen	I believe in bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent. I do NOT need a global health authority to tell me how to live a healthy life. I do NOT believe that every person, family, state, or country in this world should be dictated to on how to handle a "pandemic" or new viral infection. We cannot hide or run from the spread. The WHO has not proven to be a sound resource when dealing with Covid-19. The recommendations were neither scientific nor humane. I stand against the WHO Pandemic Treaty as it would override the United States Constitution, compromise or destroy privacy worldwide, and create more censorship. An international consensus is not the answer, particularly when it ruins economies, increases rates of suicide and alcohol abuse and drug overdoses and domestic abuse, and psychologically traumatized the whole world. The United States of America, DO NOT PARTAKE IN THIS TREATY!
private citizen	I believe in democracy, hence I want no international body to have a say on what's going on inside my country. I want international bodies with world government aspirations to get abolished and the money bureaucrats spend for their orgies be given to the poor. Wish best wishes
Private citizen	I believe in democracy. Germany, the country I live in, has a democratically elected government. In simplified terms, the country is ruled by the people. The WHO is not democratically elected, and relies on funding to maintain its existence. This means that there can be unethical pressure and influence from the donors to shape WHO policy and decide which people have positions within the organisation. The structure and policies of the WHO can not be influenced by the will of the people it "serves", and that means there is no incentive to act in their best interests. I am therefore against the proposed "global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness + response," a plan that would strip nations of the right to determine their own health policies in the event of a pandemic.
Individual	I believe in freedom of personal choice in respect of the vaccines. We should all have the ability to decide what medications or vaccines we put into our bodies. I have witnessed friends and family who have had negative adverse reactions to taking the vaccine. Heart attack, dying in their sleep and unexplained gut health issues within days of taking the vaccines. It could be said this is coincidence but I have heard many other stories like this. I ask that there is more investigation into what is occurring with adverse reactions before you, WHO go anywhere near looking to mandate the vaccine.
None	I believe in freedom of speech and rights for all individuals during a Pandemic as at other times. There should be no Lockdown of any kind, these are against Human Rights. People should also have a right to protest against inhumane Gov legislation. Governments are for the people and should serve their best interest. No Laws or political maneuvering should be implemented during Government terms. Politicians that go against human rights should be tried by Law. A pandemic does not give special rights to Gov employees. Dr's, Biologists and scientistsetc should be Independently employed for testing and all research during a Pandemic. Masks should always be voluntarily opted for. No mandates. Truthful information only. Governments should not be coercing people by use of propoganda and fear to persuade the public with false facts and information. All research and testing should be done by Independant staff, not Government employees or Agencies thereof.
	I believe in God my creator, I believe I was endowed with brains to use from my Creator. I do not agree with you. I need to be the arbiter of my health I know what's best for me to put or not put in my body and what I need to remain healthy. Please stop trying to stop to make decisions for my health that do not benefit my God given health at all.
Essential Wellbeings	I believe in health freedom and heath sovereignty and do not support a Pandemic Treaty.
Personal	I believe in the autonomy of individual countries to make their own health measures for their own population. Measures that are controlled globally can be influenced during the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cloud Tech Sales Inc.	I believe in the following: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must retain the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I believe in the sovereignty of each human being globally. Within this each individual should have the right to choose with informed consent to any medical intervention that concerns them. The WHO's guidelines should be just that guidelines that each Sovereign country can chose to follow or withdraw from at any time. Pharmaceutical companies and their funders should play no part in determining health regulations. An open collaboration of many qualified medical experts should be leading any intervention in the best interests of individuals health and welfare.
Private Citizen	I believe in: National and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of New Zealand	I believe individual countries and nations Must retain their sovereignty and autonomy and be able to make their own decisions on healthcare and be able to provide freedom of choice for their people without interference from globalist groups or Big pharmaceutical companies with agendas and financial interests. There must Not be a draconian 'one size fits all' approach to health issues. Our global diversity and natural immunity must be preserved, along with our sovereign rights, free speech and freedom of choice. Good advice from the WHO is always valued by individual nations but the WHO must not be allowed to overstep their authority and take over sovereignty of nations.... I see that as a very dangerous situation and totally unnecessary.....
Individual	I believe individual countries should have the option to choose what is best of their people without undue influence of external international organisations. There should be no penalty applied to countries when they make their own decisions for their citizens based upon local populations and their assessed health and wellness needs. Individual countries should have their own autonomy and sovereign rights. Also, any of these pandemic plans needs to be made without influence of pharmaceutical companies or others with vested interests. I strongly object to the passing of this 'suggested global pandemic response.'
Private motorist	I believe individual nations should be responsible for their own health care policies. I strongly disagree with WHO having overall control. This is undemocratic.
General public	I believe individual nations should have the option of opting out of such an agreement if the majority of the people of that nation sees this as appropriate. Pharmaceutical companies should have no influence on measures taken or treatments advised. There should be thorough checks so that no conflicts of interest arise between the people making decisions and the course of action chosen. Nations should remain sovereign in their ability to make final healthcare decisions.
Citizen of the United States of America	I believe it best for health and well-being of human beings to maintain local, i.e., city, county, state, nation decision making always. It is important to request dialogue and debate for full understanding. Thank you.
citizen of Canada	I believe it imperative that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We must ensure the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I insist on an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We must ensure measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I believe it is absolutely imperative that any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what they deem is best for their own people. Nations and even local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must also be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must also be measures that prevent influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Without these elements included, I believe the treaty would represent a severe destruction of democracy and national sovereignty, and would also bring with it far too great of a risk of corruption and manipulation by those with nefarious self-interest rather than the best interest of individual people. National sovereignty, morality, basic human rights, personal freedom and choice must never be compromised, regardless of whether or not this compromise occurs in the name of "health" or "safety".
General Public	I believe it is absolutely imperative that any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what they deem is best for their own people. Nations and even local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must also be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must also be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Without these elements included, I believe the treaty would represent a severe destruction of democracy and national sovereignty, and would also bring with it far too great of a risk of corruption and manipulation by those with nefarious self-interest rather than the best interest of individual people. National sovereignty, morality, basic human rights, personal freedom and choice must never be compromised, regardless of whether or not this compromise occurs in the name of "health" or "safety".
UK Citizen	I believe it is absolutely imperative that any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what they deem is best for their own people. Nations and even local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must also be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must also be measures that prevent influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Without these elements included, I believe the treaty would represent a severe destruction of democracy and national sovereignty, and would also bring with it far too great of a risk of corruption and manipulation by those with nefarious self-interest rather than the best interest of individual people. National sovereignty, morality, basic human rights, personal freedom and choice must never be compromised, regardless of whether or not this compromise occurs in the name of "health" or "safety".
Personal	I believe it is anti-democratic for the UN or any other global body to over ride national laws. This is especially the case when issues of personal health and bodily autonomy are the case. Failure to adhere to principles of national democracy and personal sovereignty are a gateway to global authoritarian control. That is the antithesis of freedom.
NA	I believe it is best if health decisions are made locally, especially when there is an emergency situation. Individual countries can access their situation better than a one size fits all approach. What may work for one locality, may not work for another. Time will be of the essence when an emergency situation occurs. Please keep all decisions as close to home as possible, for the benefit of all.
Myself	I believe it is better for a democratically elected government to decide for itself the best way to deal with the particular circumstances it faces. Lockdowns and masks are not the answer. Indeed, Sweden has come out of the pandemic better than most others. A "one size fits all" approach would be disastrous. We certainly do not need the ideas of a non accountable organisation imposed onto an elected government.
general public	I believe it is crucial that local and national leadership, for each country, continue to have full autonomy over the final decisions regarding any pandemic or other health-related recommendations made by the WHO. There is no one right way to manage any of this as it is dependent on things like food, environment and lifestyle, all of which can be very different depending on where someone lives. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement, with no consequences. There needs to be an open and transparent discourse on any recommendations made by the WHO, with true scientific discourse allowed, including debate between alternate viewpoints. There need to be safeguards in place that prevent any influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other groups that have a vested interest in profiting from any health measures. The environment needs to be taken into account to make sure that any measures do not create excess garbage that end up in landfills and the oceans.
General public	I believe it is dangerous for any international organization to hold this kind of binding power over citizens of sovereign nations. It is even more dangerous than a sovereign nation making policies that force its citizens to submit to medical interventions against their own will and consciences.
Self	I believe it is imperative that any plans considered must give final authority to National and local leadership to address pandemic preparedness and response. I feel it is vital that any instrument created allows nations, municipalities, and individuals the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit and without consequence. It is imperative that individual health is not put in the hands of one body of people. The globalization of health when there are vast differences in health outcomes and practices across countries is not only dangerous; it limits innovation and individual freedom. Any initiative must include maximum transparency and consider the chance for undue influence by pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers. I am adamantly against any blanket initiative that seeks to replace or minimize country-specific conversations where representation has been agreed upon socially in one facet or another. Thank you
self	I believe it is imperative that the following items be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freda	I believe it is important for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy to make the right decisions for the health of their community. It is a scary world in which a global organisation such as the WHO, who's independence from commercial and political interests is far from secure, can have far reaching power on global health policy. It is truly terrifying and not a world we should be creating.
United States of America	I believe it is important for WHO to coordinate and participate in health planning on a worldwide basis, but in order to respect the various peoples and nations of the world, WHO's role should be one of information-sharing and advising rather than imposing or dictating. Each country of the world has its own way of being which must be recognized and respected. For example, I am from the United States of America. We are a representative democracy and it would not be in keeping with our way of government if an unelected body from outside the U.S. tried to impose practices or policies that the majority of the people wished to reject. Happily, in future world health events, your advice will be good and helpful and we will willingly impose it on ourselves. But that decision must be left up to all the peoples of the world.
none, a concerned citizen	I believe it is important that the WHO educate the world on what is going on with pandemics so that citizens will have informed consent so that they can decide what to do to protect themselves and others. Every person/body is different and one shoe will not fit all when it comes to protocols. Therefore, education is so much more important than mandates. Thank you.
General Public	I believe it is important to include the following elements in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. These measures will ensure all nations retain the right to prepare and respond using measures that are best suited for their own people.
Children's Health Defense	I believe it is in the best interest of all people for national & local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I also believe it is wise to support the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I advocate for an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And, it is imperative that, whatever measures are taken, they do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	I believe it is necessary to include elements that: - Will ensure any medical treatment or testing has been firmly established as beneficial and safe. - Will allow local leadership to have authority to make decisions that are relevant to their own communities. - Ensure every individuals bodily autonomy is preserved at all times.
Ateres Yeshiya	I believe it is of the utmost importance that all nations, as a matter of national sovereignty, retain the right to opt out of any decisions rendered by the WHO authority, as they see fit.
self	I believe it is of utmost importance for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. In addition, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence needs to be included. It is further of importance that an open and transparent process be initiated. This process will include the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, all measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	I believe it is of utmost importance that the following elements be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
my own	I believe it is to each sovereign nation to determine what is best for its citizens. NOT the World Health Organization. You are not elected by the people, therefore you have no rights to determine for each nation what is best for their people. Frankly, you have proven yourselves to be Godless, bought and paid for. A disgrace actually.
Self	I believe it is unnecessary for the WHO to make the rules on any pandemic, all local municipalities should be making decisions for their community based on the situation and the wishes of the individual communities and shouldn't be punished for doing so and any rules the WHO makes may be changed by local government without punishment in whole or in part. Thank you
None	I believe it is very important for the WHO to include the following substantive elements in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I believe it is vitally important that national and local leadership be left in charge of matters related to public health. It is an area where one size does not fit all, and the handling of the Coronavirus pandemic (which had a very top down approach in policy) further proves that. At the very least why are we not having a global vote of participating nations on this? It ultimately affects us the people, but yet is decided without any real involvement from us.
Self	I believe it is wrong fir the WHO to be the over all authority to implement restrictions regarding lock downs. It is an invasion of personal rights. We do not want to be a part of any global treaty!
IDS	I believe it should be country's individual decision on how to deal with pandemic and WHO should stay out of it. Coronavirus pandemic recommendations from WHO proved to be a series of negligent measures which cost lives. The approach taken was not scientific and not inclusive at all. WHO failed as trusted institution and should cease to exist with such level of ignorance and malpractice.
none	I believe it would be extremely unwise to make any international agreement on how to handle a pandemic. Each country should be left as a sovereign nation. Each country has its own culture and its own understandings and methods of healing disease. And each person inside each country has a unique body and knowledge of what heals them. As well as national sovereignty, there is individual sovereignty. The Nuremberg Code at the end of World War Two is an agreement that no one should be forced to take any treatment against their will.
Self	I believe it's important for each country to make their own independent decisions regarding pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO can provide information and assistance yet each individual nation knows the needs of its people best and each individual nation should make their own plans and decisions. Thank you
Student	I believe it's very important that we save and honour our political expression our right to political expression it is organizations like stop world control that sees and honours the importance of free expression did they give a platform to others to practice that honour and that's what I'm doing now please honour our right to express ourselves freely I am a mother and I would love to see my children being a world they deserve to express themselves freely and as a person I would love to see myself in a world where free expression is honoured and I can really see that it's an important time right now that we remind ourselves by making sure do we protect our right to free expression Thank you
Na	I believe local and national authorities should retain autonomy and make decisions as they see fit for the benefit of their community. Any transnational agreement should require a public vote in each constituent state and any opt out should face no consequences. Furthermore the role of major pharmaceutical companies and their members and or shareholders or those that benefit employees of pharmaceuticals should be restricted.

Organization	Written submission
N/A member of the public	I believe local and national governments should have sovereignty over public health concerns including pandemics and therefore an international instrument is not appropriate.
None	I believe local and national governments should retain full autonomy on ALL decisions for their people! Individual nations/states/municipalities should have the right to opt out of all or any portion of an international/global agreement. All agreements/measures should be fully transparent to the public and decided by the people, majority rules. Nothing regarding the health of humanity should be based on profits for pharmaceutical companies, hospitals, politicians etc. In other words, the exact opposite of what has been occuring! In short, nothing global period. Sovereign nations/states/individuals.
N/a	I believe local communities are best to determine their health needs since it's not a one size fits all. I recommend that all recommendations be county and community based to determine what is best for the people. Local health officials and leaders should determine what is needed for their areas. Thanks.
Individual	I believe most decisions on health should be as local as possible starting with the individual, then their doctor, local community on up. These decisions (or treaties) should not be global. There is so much variability from one geographical location to another and every body is differently, do not create a system that assumes a one size fits all scenario.
Social work	I believe most heartily that at both tge national and local level, countries should be able to retain their autonomy by being able to choose what is best for their own community, not what is decided by a group of legislators. There should not be an influence from pharmaceutical companies that have monitory interests in the outcome. Nor should world health interested parties or health providers be able to authorize blanket requirements on all nations and peoples. The people must have a choice to participate or not based on their own needs or perceived needs without retribution or restriction of their rights as citizens because of their choice. Thank you
None	I believe my health is my responsibility and I have no faith in any profit led organisations or highly paid administrators. Please stop this domination and concentrate on reversing the damage being done by pill popping, fast food and fast fashion, consumerism, wars and destruction of our biodiversity air water and ozone. Respect our autonomy and environment.
Private	I believe National and local leadership are best served to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. They should retain full autonomy. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Transparent measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I believe National and local leadership have full autonomy allowing the right to make decisions best within their unique localities rendering them the ability to opt out of any broad spectrum program which would not include the specific needs that would vary from region to region. This includes protection from centralized control and monopolies on public health from profiteering pharmaceutical industries. In my opinion this should not be in the hands of a globalist majority which is subject to measures which do not provide the best solution for individuals within varied regions thank you
Citizen	I believe National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Public servants to seive the people they serve. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must remain in place. An open and transparent process must prevail with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Please keep the democracy of our system in tact and support the people.
NA	I believe National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is important that the ability of all nations and local municipalities to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None, private citizen	I believe national and local leadership need to retain full autonomy with the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities need the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of global agreements in place where the majority of people do not want it. Measures are neede that do mot allow for influence in the process by any or all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	I believe national and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, having the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Any pandemic/health response needs to be an open and transparent process. There needs to be measures out in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The current proposal is too open to misuse. In my country, the government itself has not opened up discussion with the public, and in fact, there has been no mention of this proposal to the public.
MFANY	I believe National and local leadership retain full autonomy for ALL health decisions, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures MUST NOT allow for INFLUENCE in the process BY ANY AND ALL PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES or other global health profiteers.
None	I believe National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This treaty you propose does not respect this.
Free Woman	I believe national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There is no need for the WHO to manage the globe as every area has different health require ments due to their different environments. All nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The WHO should offer an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The WHO should not allow measures that allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The people's health choices should not be influenced by any corporation that can profit from them.
Private individual	I believe national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Any nations or local municipality must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be in place to prohibit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	I believe National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. They should also have the ability (of nations and local municipalities) to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual citizen	I believe National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. WHO are not voted for or financed by the British people. We the British public should retain to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as we see fit, without consequence. It is import that an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it be in place as this is a democrat process. I also reject any measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I am astounded that Natural Immunity has been pushed aside & coerced tactics implemented for taking away bodily autonomy.
US Citizen	I believe National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe nations and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I support an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I support measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I believe National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Public health should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. All public health issues are local, not global.
Representing myself	I believe National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I believe National elected government should be responsible for all medical or pandemic regulations and responses for their own nations, and not unelected bodies of the World health organization or the United Nations. Regards Kevin Dillon
18501 Lime Tree Court	I believe nations and states should have the right to implement their own policies That nation's and states should have the ability to influence the policies and representatives of the people should have the right to participate in creating the policies I believe any policy shoild provide for exceptions to standard plans so that medical conditions and religious beliefs can be respected Any policy should consider that Different parts of the world may need different approaches
Human being	I believe no instruments should be included. WHO has no authority to control humans. We are free.
None	I believe no such international instrument should exist. National and local leaderships need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. In writing any such instrument, nations and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Creating, discussing, and revoking such an instrument requires an open and tranparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote equally on installing or removing it. Without such failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, such an instrument is amoral to the rights of all beings. As I believe is evident in all modern regulation, regulatory capture of such an instrument is inevitable so long as stakeholders of an instrument of this power include those in interest of profit or power. Is there a way to write such a plan in such a way as to be un-compromiseable? In a world of such inequity I believe not; thus centralizing any response effort should not be done.
Public	I believe one element should be that each individual nation should implement their own response. Each nation will have their own degree of need. Just as there are many different people...each nation has their own specific needs that another nation may not have. We may coordinate supplies among nations but each nation is sovereign and should act in kind.
a person in the USA	I believe pandemic decisions must be made locally. Someone in Asia or Europe does not know the situation in Westport MA. Also, during this past pandemic the "experts" were WRONG so much of the time. Local people understand local conditions.
Private US citizen	I believe participation by all qualified representatives is necessary. All must be given the opportunity to be heard. Open discussion needs to occur. Agreement and final decisions must be agreed upon by ALL who are affected not by just ONE entity.
self	I believe personal health care should be allowed in each country by private health practitioners--not world aliens interested in decreasing population to have millionaires who care nothing of God's people.
None	I believe response to world pandemics should remain at the national and local level of each country
Public	I believe responsibilty for any action that involves sovereign citizens should be decided upon by democratically elected representatives. Citizens should maintain their right to respond via a vote to remove those representative should they not agree. Therefore WHO should not have the power to influence controls as it is not subject to such checks and measures.
Public	I believe some substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be: - Each country has the right to create, establish and execute their own preparedness and responses. Health decisions for a specific country, region, group of people needs to be tailored to that entity(ies). - Instrument can be a "guide reference" ONLY. The instrument does not need to be followed exactly by any country. - There needs to be something in place to hold the WHO responsible if things don't go as planned. - What course of action do the citizens of the world have to protect themselves from the WHO's overreach? - No power should be removed from any country regarding the welfare, health and safety of it's own citizens. Thank you.
None	I believe strongly in personal freedom and responsibility! I do not want ANY global policy enacted! You mucked up this last one royally! Stay out of our lives! If you want to do some good for world health, focus on providing things like access to clean water and improved sanitation measures around the world. We certainly don't need your interference in medical issues or what medicines our doctors can try for treating our illnesses. No two people are alike, you cannot craft a "one size fits all" medical or Healthcare policy! SO DON'T TRY TO!
Words to Profit	I believe strongly that public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities and governments in control of their own health decisions. Therefore, I suggest the WHO publish GUIDELINES only, rather than binding rules, that will be allowed to be adapted to individual nations' governing bodies, and that will in no way override said national governing bodies' authority. Furthermore, I would hope that said guidelines would be based on science (and entertain a variety of input from qualified scientists after an acceptable period of scientific inquiry and debate), and not be politicized or bound to any one government or political agenda. Thank you for allowing this input.
Member of local community	I believe strongly that the best meaningful and effective pandemic preparedness instrument would include the following factors: 1. That national and local leadership should retain full autonomy in the decision making process, based on what is being observed on 'ground zero'. It is impossible to understand how a 'one size fits all' response would be safe, effective, ethical, or morally acceptable in a pandemic scenario. 2. I strongly believe that national and local leadership should have the autonomy to NOT follow any element of an agreement, without fear of consequence, if it is NOT believed to be safe, effective or relevant, both nationally or locally. 3. Any decision should be from stringent and thorough discussion with the people of the world and general public, meaning NO action/mandate can be globally implemented without full transparency and a vote by the people. 4. I strongly believe there should be robust measures to prevent ANY influence from ANY global health or pharmaceutical company.
General public	I believe strongly that the best meaningful and effective pandemic preparedness instrument would include the following factors: 1. That national and local leadership should retain full autonomy in the decision making process, based on what is being observed on 'ground zero'. It is impossible to understand how a 'one size fits all' response would be safe or effective in a pandemic scenario. 2. I strongly believe that national and local leadership should have the autonomy to NOT follow any element of an agreement, without fear of consequence, if it is NOT believed to be safe, effective or relevant, both nationally or locally. 3. Any decision should be from stringent and thorough discussion with the people of the world and general public, meaning that NO action/mandate can be globally implemented without full transparency and a vote by the people. 4. I strongly believe there should be robust measures to prevent ANY influence from ANY global health or pharmaceutical company.
NHS retired.	I believe strongly that we should return to the pre 2020 pandemic procedures. We should never have had such a turn around. Draconian measures have not helped the final outcomes. The damage governments and yourself has done will be immeasurable for many years. Freedom has taken a huge hit from your policies. The continual flip flopping of your policies was another problem. You should not be allowed to experiment any further especially on the whole world as 1.
The Way We Art	I believe that 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I believe that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving their right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I believe that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving their right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Asociación Recurso por la Libertad	I believe that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving their right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsI believe that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving their right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.afe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	I believe that a better way to prepare for international pandemic preparedness and response would be to have more than one expert practicing doctor from each area of scientific and medical specialty, totaling at least a thirty professional member board. These professionals should be free to speak without fear of repercussions or silencing. This board should, and must be available for the public to listen in on, if they choose. If all the experts cannot agree, than, obviously, more information is needed before making a decision that ALL must follow. Otherwise, we should be given a choice as to which doctors to follow. And, of course, there should always be exceptions to any medical treatment.
Self employed	I believe that a global approach to pandemic preparedness would be extremely undesirable. Even within countries, national policies did not fit population needs and international policies would be even less appropriate with a "one size fits all approach". A single global plan for pandemics does not take in to account variations in how disease affects different populations and sub groups within those. Nations should be free to choose their best path, and not be pressured into following inappropriate measures. The response to this pandemic shows very little difference in outcome for example, for countries showing very different degrees of lockdown measures and non pharmaceutical interventions. Many countries had no lockdown measures in their respiratory pandemic readiness plans, as these were deemed inappropriate, and yet inexplicably changed to this highly damaging policy with international endorsement. Repurposing of off label drugs is another area where countries should be free to follow their own policies. Researchers and scientists should also feel free to discuss and question findings, and express varied opinions, as this is how science moves forward. A global policy does not encourage independent questioning and innovation.
None	I believe that a irrevocable clause should be included in the document to allow countries to elect to withdraw from this agreement at any time. Also I believe this kind of power should only be vested in an democratically elected body so the populations of the countries effected must be given rights to elect a controlling body who determines the policy and procedures.
General public	I believe that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is not necessary. All countries and their governments (including provincial/state) need to continue to make decisions based on what is best for their people as public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Any pandemic response or process should be completely transparent allowing the people to vote on measures that will prevent a global agreement in places where majority of people do not want it. Any proposed measures should be free from any influence from pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. People have the right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being and this cannot be done on a global scale and must remain decentralized within regions. There have been several examples in years past where recommendations have been made by global NGO's that were found to be harmful (i.e. swine flu vaccine, lockdowns etc). People should have the right to make informed decisions for their own health.
self	I believe that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should not happen. Each political entity/state has learned from the COVID-19 what works in their territory. Having different responses from countries has been an experiment in itself; by comparing and contrasting, what works and what does not work, has educated the leaders and the public about next steps. Dictating next steps is stifling, harmful, and does not honor the individuality of each sovereign state.
Individual	I believe that a one size fits all approach to a pandemic situation will be restrictive and counter productive. I believe that individual states should be allowed to make autonomous decisions as these relate to their own circumstances and in accordance with their own national and local health authorities. I believe that individual medical choices and decisions must be left as they historically have in the hands of the the patient and the doctor. I believe that the definition of pandemic such that it would require a global response must be revised back to its former state where the severity of the disease was taken into account - i.e. that the disease concerned involved significant severe illness and death.
None	I believe that a one-size-fits-all approach in pandemic preparedness is a poor decision from the WHO. I believe that national and local leaders should retain full autonomy over their own public health responses and not be bound by decisions made by the WHO, which may not reflect their unique national and cultural situation. I believe that pharmaceutical companies should also be allowed no influence in shaping global public health policy, due to the significant financial incentives involved.
Private	I believe that a one-size-fits-all approach to a phenomenon that happens once in a hundred years or more, and may or may not affect different peoples in different ways, depending on a host of conditions, is misguided and unproductive. If nothing else, the Covid experience should serve as an example of what this is the case. Respectfully ef
none	I believe that a pandemic preparation and response should not be a function of an international instrument such as the WHO. I believe it should be decentralized and determined solely be each individual country.
None (member of public)	I believe that a treaty such as this would be a disaster for genuine "health care." Health care should always be in the hands of those CLOSEST to the ones whose health is being affected. It should be as LOCAL as possible, and "global health care" is an oxymoron that can only harm the health of communities and nations. Please do not push a treaty the would remove sovereignty from nations, communities and families. Thank you.
	I believe that a WHO treaty that removes power from the US is dangerous to the health and welfare of all Americans. My health care decisions should be mine alone. Every person is unique and there is no one size fits all treatments. More importantly to have an entity outside of the US making decisions for Americans as well as every other country involved in the treaty does not seem like something that would be a good move from the stance of a countries leader. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including and especially when an emergency exists.
Personal	I believe that ALL citizens of this world should have a vote on whether to follow or amend any outline set out in all pandemic related regulations.
American Citizen	I believe that all countries and communities should retain full autonomy and the ability to opt out of any portions of the agreement, without consequence. I believe that there should be safeguards put in place to prevent influence by political entities and pharmaceutical companies and their representatives. I believe that this should be a transparent process and every single person who would be impacted by it should have the right to express their acceptance or refusal of any aspects of any part of it. I believe that in places where the majority of citizen reject these measures, application and enforcement of any measure should be illegal. I believe that health and wellness are not one-size-fits-all, especially in consideration of a pandemic. Our previous experience has shown this to be true. Each community, local or national, should have every right to make their own decisions regarding health.
PT Mitratata Citragraha	I believe that ALL government agencies, NGO's and organizations such as WHO and the US CDC should play an ADVISORY role and should be responsible ONLY for disseminating FACTUAL information. This includes presenting a fair and balanced representation of both the PROS and CONS as well as presenting a SWOT analysis of the various options. To this day, "we the people" have no clue what really happened- how Covid was developed, who funded the development and how it "escaped" into the population in general. Clearly, SOMEONE was guilty of malfeasance, misfeasance and/or non-feasance and to see millions of people dying and no one being held accountable is unacceptable.
Princeton Theological Seminary	I believe that all health decisions should be made by each individual human being, in consultation with their health care providers. Each nation and each culture within each nation has different ways of healing disease. These differences need to be respected. There is no "one size fits all" and each human being has a right to discern what is best for their own body. I believe that any legally-binding international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response would be a huge mistake. It would only cause colossal disruptions of every human society and every human relationship, as this current pandemic has shown so well.
Individual	I believe that all health decisions should be up to the individual, or parent, if a minor. And that no restrictions should be put on those that choose to rely on their immune systems to keep them healthy. I believe the major drug companies often do not have the interest of the individual in mind when doing their "science" and studies. I am not against modern medicine but I do not believe that measures that are rushed to market are necessarily safe. I have listened to doctors and experts that have disagreed with the current process used during the recent Covid pandemic. Thank you.
GBCE of Evans	I believe that ALL health decisions should stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does NOT have power over the health decisions of the US. WHO needs to stay out of the health care concerns of the US.
The Natural Path	I believe that all medical choices should remain in the realm of a sovereign nation, state and municipality and that all decisions for health care and medical decisions remain in the hands of the people. WHO should not be allowed to make any health decisions on the above by unelected officials. There should be no international and national agreements for mandates for diagnostic and treatment protocols for any disease
private living woman	I believe that all nations should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence.
CDCPH	I believe that ALL people should be FREE to make decisions that will affect their body, their health, and their life. The right to life, liberty and security must be respected as it is part of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. 1. The people be allowed to make their own decision and their interest be represented by their own government - from local to national, as they are the elected representatives of the people. Hence, governments in consultation with the people, should be given full autonomy as they know what is best for the people. 2. Under no circumstances should medical treatment be forced or imposed upon the people, experimental or not, following the principles of the Nuremberg Code. As far as medical treatment or drugs are concerned, informed consent must be obtained for each individual. 3. Governments can create programs to address a medical emergency such as an epidemic or pandemic. But people should be given the freedom to decide whether they want to be part of that program or not, without penalty for them. 4. No medical measures or program or medical emergency should violate the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Nuremberg Code. As declared by a US District Court Judge in Pennsylvania, "The liberties protected by the Constitution are not fair-weather freedoms -- in place when times are good but able to be cast aside in times of trouble." 5. No international organization whose officials are not elected by the people should be allowed to impose their policy on individual countries, and especially if such policy violates or supersedes the Constitution. 6. Processes should be transparent to all, and should not be influenced by global business interests or profit
Individual	I believe that all people should have perfect freedom in all personal medical decisions, that no emergency should suspend basic human rights, such as free speech, freedom of movement, and free choice to accept or reject any and all medical procedures. No one should be coerced into an medical procedure or product and the public heath can never come at the expense of the freedom of the individual.

Ecuador	[illegible]
Ecuador	[illegible]
Egypt	[illegible]
El Salvador	[illegible]
Eritrea	[illegible]
Estonia	[illegible]
Eswatini	[illegible]
Ethiopia	[illegible]
Fiji	[illegible]
Finland	[illegible]
France	[illegible]
Gabon	[illegible]
Gambia	[illegible]
Georgia	[illegible]
Germany	[illegible]
Ghana	[illegible]
Greece	[illegible]
Grenada, St Vincent and Grenadines	[illegible]
Guatemala	[illegible]
Guinea	[illegible]
Guinea-Bissau	[illegible]
Guyana	[illegible]
Haiti	[illegible]
Honduras	[illegible]
Hungary	[illegible]
Iceland	[illegible]
India	[illegible]
Indonesia	[illegible]
Iran, Islamic Republic of	[illegible]
Iraq	[illegible]
Ireland	[illegible]
Israel	[illegible]
Italy	[illegible]
Jamaica	[illegible]
Japan	[illegible]
Jordan	[illegible]
Kazakhstan	[illegible]
Kenya	[illegible]
Kiribati	[illegible]
Kosovo	[illegible]
Kuwait	[illegible]
Kyrgyzstan	[illegible]
Lao People's Democratic Republic	[illegible]
Latvia	[illegible]
Lebanon	[illegible]
Lesotho	[illegible]
Liberia	[illegible]
Libya	[illegible]
Liechtenstein	[illegible]
Lithuania	[illegible]
Luxembourg	[illegible]
Madagascar	[illegible]
Malawi	[illegible]
Malaysia	[illegible]
Maldives	[illegible]
Mali	[illegible]
Malta	[illegible]
Marshall Islands	[illegible]
Mauritania	[illegible]
Mauritius	[illegible]
Mexico	[illegible]
Micronesia, Federated States of	[illegible]
Moldova, Republic of	[illegible]
Monaco	[illegible]
Mongolia	[illegible]
Montenegro	[illegible]
Morocco	[illegible]
Mozambique	[illegible]
Myanmar	[illegible]
Nepal	[illegible]
Netherlands	[illegible]
New Zealand	[illegible]
Nicaragua	[illegible]
Niger	[illegible]
Nigeria	[illegible]
Norway	[illegible]
Oman	[illegible]
Pakistan	[illegible]
Palau	[illegible]
Panama	[illegible]
Papua New Guinea	[illegible]
Paraguay	[illegible]
Peru	[illegible]
Philippines	[illegible]
Poland	[illegible]
Portugal	[illegible]
Qatar	[illegible]
Romania	[illegible]
Russian Federation	[illegible]
Rwanda	[illegible]
Saint Lucia	[illegible]
Samoa	[illegible]
San Marino	[illegible]
Sao Tome and Principe	[illegible]
Saudi Arabia	[illegible]
Senegal	[illegible]
Serbia	[illegible]
Seychelles	[illegible]
Sierra Leone	[illegible]
Singapore	[illegible]
Slovakia	[illegible]
Slovenia	[illegible]
Solomon Islands	[illegible]
Somalia	[illegible]
South Africa	[illegible]
South Korea	[illegible]
South Sudan	[illegible]
Spain	[illegible]
Sri Lanka	[illegible]
Sudan	[illegible]
Suriname	[illegible]
Sweden	[illegible]
Switzerland	[illegible]
Syrian Arab Republic	[illegible]
Tajikistan	[illegible]
Tanzania, United Republic of	[illegible]
Thailand	[illegible]
Timor-Leste	[illegible]
Togo	[illegible]
Tonga	[illegible]
Trinidad and Tobago	[illegible]
Tunisia	[illegible]
Turkey	[illegible]
Turkmenistan	[illegible]
Tuvalu	[illegible]
Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates	[illegible]
United Kingdom	[illegible]
United States of America	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of	[illegible]
Vietnam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]

Organization	Written submission
Individual	I believe that in order to reduce the risk of corrupt practices by both corporate and governmental entities, this treaties should not be approved. We have seen over the course of the COVID pandemic a series of lies, misstatements, downright censorship and retaliation against anyone who offered a different perspective on the subject. As such, the credibility of not only the WHO, but other govt and corporate entities is much diminished, Any decisions regarding pandemic response should be done at the local level, even at the risk of global non coordination. The dangers are less than the alternative.
Independent	I believe that in regards to response to medical issues national and local governing bodies should formulate responses. These should adhere to state and national constitutional guidelines and laws. And use up to date knowledge and data regarding the medical issues at hand During the Covid-19 response there have been varied responses; some more and some less successful. But different actions affords opportunities for learning and betterment of response as time goes on. One single planned response, even if well intended, and based on best understood knowledge of the moment, may not in retrospect be the best of actions. This could unintentionally and unfortunately cause harm by greatly diminished opportunities for learning . And if actions are taken by one thought line and great harm comes from that than many people globally may face great harm. And people would lack opportunities for choice and betterment of actions based on real life and local experiences and knowledge.
Self-employed	I believe that indidual rights should never again be removed/violated by implementing disasterous policies such as lockdowns, masks and vaccines - as well as the attempted vaccine mandates, given the total lack of evidence for these policies and their catastrophic impact on the global population. The collateral damage of these policies has been unimaginble. Untreated/undiagosed illnesses and injruies, mental health problems, developmental issues in young children. The first principle of medicine: Do no harm Policies employed during C-19 were rolled out without a proper risk/benefit analysis, which should never happen again.
Cornerstone	I believe that individual countries need to make decisions on thier responses to any further health emergencies (of which I hope there are none in the next generations) individually, while taking the varying needs of their populations into account. I strongly discount any 'one size fits all' policy, imposed from a central source, like the WHO. I would have grave reservations about this and how removed this would be from the needs of the diverse populations. Each country should act rationally and in rsponse to what is ACTUALLY happening, not to what could happen or enact a 'just in case' scenario. Public health across the board should be taken into consideration i.e.: mental health, economic health, constitutional freedoms etc, and public health science should form the basis of decisions made, which may impose on the population. There should be NO LOCKDOWNS, as they do not work. There should be NO MASK WEARING, as it does not work. Each of these measures significantly increases mental health disorders, which are still to be uncovered from the covid 19 measures imposed. Any vaccinations offered to populations should be fully tried and tested, with the appropriate time and respect given to this process, before being offered to any population. Vaccinations SHOULD NEVER be forced, coerced, nor punishments imposed for any choice made. People and communities need to be in control of their own health and diversity needs to be respected as sacred.
Stand for Health Freedom	I believe that individual freedom to make informed decisions regarding one's own health must be protected. This is the most "substantive element" to consider. Health policies, therefore, should not be broad or sweeping. Many differences obviously exist among all nations in the world. These include such variables variables as geography, climate, demographics, cultures, ethnicities, religious beliefs, nutritional choices, etc. Therefore, I believe it is illogical to assume problems resulting from a pandemic could be resolved by a one-size-fits-all approach. Individual nations (and smaller regions within those nations) are best able to recognize and address the problems of their own unique locale. Each person living within their own unique locale is also a unique human being. I believe each human being has a God-given right to make informed decisions about his or her own health and that of their children.
NHS	I believe that individual governments handle their own affairs in the event of a pandemic. There is to much from influence from individual financial supporters of the WHO to encourage decisions to be made that would most likely not be beneficial to the people of nations. There is no need for mandates on vaccines that are now proven to have very little effect on reducing transmission of disease with Sars-Cov-2. The mRNA technology to combat disease has been ineffective, therefore should pay no part if a future pandemic develops.
U.S. citizen	I believe that individuals should have the basic rights to make their own health decisions. In the case of a potential contagious condition, the rights of each person should be respected, and the rights of each individual country to make choices in the best interest of their citizens should prevail. I think that there can be some guidelines to encourage governments, researchers, and scientists to share information that can be helpful to everyone, but there should be some protections to be sure that the information is based on science that is not controlled or paid for by industries such as pharmaceutical or chemical, who have a vested interest in promoting their own agendas and are not looking out for the best interests of world citizens.
none	I believe that information should be shared globally but actions should be decided by each country.
an individual citizen	I believe that it is essential that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is right for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any or other global health profiteers. This treaty cannot have any legitimacy if only signed by governments, ultimately each individual has the right to informed consent and bodily autonomy ,it is their decision, not a government officials or anyone elses.
Private citizen	I believe that it is essential that nations retain the independence to make decisions in the best interests of their own people. Nations and local governments should be allowed to opt out, without penalty, of any and all provisions of the treaty. The processes should be open and transparent, allowing world populations to vote on measures thereby preventing the application of such measures in places where the majority of people do not want them. No pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be allowed to influence the measures being considered or adopted.
Private	I believe that it is imperative for National and local leadership retain to full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is also imperative. It is vital for An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, shall be in place. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be taken. Thank you
Valuebull Ventures	I believe that it is imperative for National and Regional Governments to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. One size does not and cannot fit all. Pharmaceutical companies influence should be curbed - the present pandemic response has been heavily influenced by the pharma companies and low cost therapeutics have been abandoned in favour of experimental shots. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An unelected body such as the WHO should not be able to make binding decisions on behalf of people throughout the world without some sort of democratic process
Stand for Health Freedom	I believe that it is imperative to include the public on all decisions related to their health. Humans are generally self-preserving in nature and want what is best for them, so they will naturally make that choice when informed and educated. Leave the decision of one's own health in the hands of the informed, educated individual, not a worldwide organization.
Private Individual	I believe that it is important for each country to formulate their own health policies as each country has its own health issues. We are a diverse planet and there is no one size fits all with regards to health. I believe handing over the power to the WHO to formulate health polices in certain circumstances would not be good for everyone as they can not possibly understand the complex health structures in each member country to give the best possible outcomes for each individual. It is the health care professionals on the ground that should be able to react as appropriate to deal with the situation. It is correct as now that the WHO should provide guidance and assistance to member countries in health issues that affect or can affect all member countries.
Personal	I believe that it is important for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. All measures should not allow for the influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	I believe that it is important for the proposed treaty to include the provision for individual countries to be able to opt out of any or all parts of the treaty as they see fit. Elected governments and leaders should have the right to make decisions that they feel are best for their country and for the people of that nation and not be bound by the guidelines of the treaty. There should be full transparency for all aspects of the treaty and no person, nation, corporation or business should have any undue influence in any aspect of the treaty, particularly those who may profit financially or otherwise as a result of the treaty or any proposed pandemic measures. In preparing and revising the treaty, experts from all fields should be allowed to present their advice freely in a public forum and without prejudice or censorship. I believe that only with fully open processes will people of the world have trust in the treaty. The treaty should include allowing the people of every nation to vote on important aspects of the treaty in order that every person has a voice in measures that may directly impact our lives, our health, and our livelihoods.
Citizen of The United States of America	I believe that it is important that individual countries retain autonomy when determining the best possible course of action in response to a pandemic. No country is better known or understood than by its own people. I believe what should be included is merely provisions to assist those countries that request it rather than to mandate those that do not want help.
Self	I believe that it is the duty of local respresentation and/or national per county to decide what is best for their community and not a large disconnected organization to dictate. Also, I belive that local and national authorities should be able to opt out of any provisions they choose is not in the best interests of their people. The rights and interests of the individuals in their local areas should be paramount and controls should NOT be directed on such a large scale globally. People and culture vary by regions and need to be respected. Transparent process with voting rights for all must be included. Thank you.
Member of the public	I believe that local and national leadership should retain full autonomy, allowing them to make the best decisions for their own group of people. Any measures that are adopted by the WHO should EXCLUDE any influence from pharmaceutical companies or from any other global health profiteers. All people in the world should be able to vote in an open and transparent process on the inclusion of failsafe measures to PREVENT an application of a global agreement where a majority of people are NOT in agreement with a WHO proposal. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they feel fit, without consequence. A "one size fits all" policy by the WHO is not beneficial to any Nation or municipality in the World. Thank you.
nil	I believe that local governments should retain autonomy and be able to withdraw from WHO policies with no consequences Pharmaceutical companies and those who profit from medical emergencies should have no input into any public health policies One size does not fit all and no one organization should be controlling the entire world no person should be coerced into taking experimental vaccines by any organization
USA citizen	I believe that local jurisdictional laws as voted upon by residents should determine pandemic response actions.
self	I believe that national & local leaders should retain full autonomy over their country & people! I believe that nations & local municipalities should be able to opt out of any & all portions of the agreement without being penalized! I believe that there should be an open & transparent process for everyone around the globe to vote on all matters, including failsafe measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in countries where the people don't want it! I believe that there should be measures that don't allow for influence in the process by any & all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers! Thank you supporting these critical issues!
N/A	I believe that national & local leadership must reserve the right to make decisions on behalf of it's own citizens and we must be able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement that we see fit without consequence. The entire process should be completely transparent with the ability for all people to vote on any measures that are put forward so that decisions are made democratically and without political influence. Equally important is that pharmaceutical companies or any other health profiteers should not be allowed to influence any process in any way. Thank you.
N/A	I believe that national and local authorities should retain full autonomy on pandemic decisions. Each person's need in response to a pandemic differs, and this can best be monitored by local authorities. If a nation and/or municipality does not agree with decisions made, they should have the option to opt out of WHO decisions. For safety reasons, any person or company who could profit from decisions should not be allowed to influence decisions. Lastly, to make sure all agree, the process should be transparent, with people of the world having the options to vote on any changes.
N/A	I believe that national and local governments should have full autonomy over preparations and responses to pandemics. This would enable any decisions to be made on what is best for their own people. I believe that any measures taken should be free from influence by pharmaceutical companies and any other private interests. This would be to avoid any potential conflict of interests such as making profits. I would like to see strengthening of laws and practice to not allow the use of personal health data by international organisations.
None	I believe that national and local governments should retain full autonomy to take decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is not possible nor recommendable that a global body, like the WHO, should decide policy for all. Often people have genuine disagreements about what is the best way forward and they should be free to adopt different strategies. In the long term, this will make it possible to discover what works and what does not. National and local governments should be able to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Measures should be taken to prevent pharmaceutical companies from influencing policies.
Not affiliated	I believe that national and local health officials should retain full autonomy, following their oath to "do no harm" and make decisions based on what is best for their own patients and constituency. Nations and local municipalities should have the authority to opt out of any and all provisions of the agreement as they deem necessary, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process for all people of the world to have the authority to provide input or opt out of applications of the global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. There needs to be measures in place that preclude the influence or interference in the decision making processes by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other corporations that stand to profit by the provisions of the agreement.
n/a	I believe that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The international instrument must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	I believe that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that there must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe there must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe that there must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I believe that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There is no ability of nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This removes sovereignty. There has been no ability for ordinary people to vote for this, WHO are also not elected by ordinary people. Neither I nor my family believe this bill would be of any benefit, as we have lived, in recent times, with the consequences of these proposals and feel our inalienable human rights were violated. Also, I feel that whilst WHO's funding comes from persons benefitting from pharmaceuticals there can be a conflict of interest, where is the transparency?
Half Moon Bay Chiropractic	I believe that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We need the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We must have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign Citizen	I believe that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I support the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I support measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I believe that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I think there must be an ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It is imperative that the process be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures provided that prevent and prohibit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I don't believe this proposed treaty should be created as it is against the interests of the sovereign governments of the world and interferes with the inherent rights and duties of each country to be responsible to its own citizenry and not be influenced or controlled by a foreign organization.
Independent	I believe that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is fundamental. We must maintain an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. That all Measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dentists of California	I believe that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	I believe that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving their right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	I believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people It is imperative that nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There needs to be strict Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Teacher and concerned citizen	I believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe in the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe that measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers eg forced vaccines, forced testing I believe in every nations sovereign right to lookafter their own citizens and for citizens to look after their own health
member of the general public	I believe that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that the ability of nations and local municipalities should retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe that an open and transparent process should reign, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe that measures should be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. In addition to, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe it necessary to have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. In addition to measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time.
None	I believe that nAtional and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	I believe that National and Local Leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own people. The ability of Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I believe that national and local leadership should be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for its own citizens in the event of another pandemic and/or another other disaster/outbreak response. The requirement for nation states and local municipalities to opt out of any 'blanket' response should be permissible without consequence or penalty. The entire process must allow for all citizens around the globe to be able to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any response measures should not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical, 'big-tech' or another other global health profiteering agency or private organisation.
Private	I believe that National and local leadership should be retained and rights to make decisions on what is best for their own people. They should have the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all parts of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	I believe that national and local leadership should be retained and rights to make decisions on what is best for their own people. There should remain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all parts of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. An open and transparent democratic process should be adopted with the ability for all people of the world to vote (including on fail safe measures) that will prevent the application of a global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I believe that national and local leadership should retain autonomy , reserving the right to make their own decisions on what is best for their own people. The nations and local municipalities should retain the right to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe there should be open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people don't want it. I insist that measures should be undertaken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Therefore, nations and local areas need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. I support an open and transparent process that provides for all people of the world to be able to vote on what is the best procedure for them in their area. I do not agree on enforcing a global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. It is important to ensure that any measures taken do not allow for influence in the process by any organisations which serve to profit by those measures. These include pharmaceutical companies and any other global health profiteers.
None	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy and therefore reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I also believe that there should be an ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent Journalist	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy over public health policy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Furthermore, I believe that the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be part of any international agreement on global health policy. I further believe that there should exist an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, I believe that any such health policy measures should most definitely not allow for any influence in this process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University of the Highland and Islands	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, and has to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for us here in UK. Any treaty that is propose has to retain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Any proposal should come with an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, any proposal has to include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health private corporations. The idea that it is you job to provide this for the worlds is flawed in many ways, your attention should be on providing an advisory health capacity to all, not seeking to legislate for countries where you do not have any idea of local circumstances. Sincerely, Dr. M. Funes
United Kingdom citizen	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people and therefore this should be included within the treaty. I believe that the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should also be included. I feel that an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it should be added. I also feel very strongly that measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be included within the treaty.
Not Applicable	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people of their own countries. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, sanctions or prejudice. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers or interested parties that provide funding to the WHO. Health is a priority over profit and self interest. It is understood that the WHO proposal of a global pandemic treaty does not assume that WHO member countries will automatically adopt the treaty.
Green Care	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and particular localities. The freedom and ability of all nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence will allow for a much more coherent and participatory framework. I do not agree with centralized decision making on matters of health which are so unique according to the individual or the particular society's situation. I believe that an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is essential. It would be important to take measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and that nations and local municipalities should retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I also believe it is vital there is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, I believe it is imperative there are measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Private citizen	I believe that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their own Sovereign nation. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is important to maintain sovereignty. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It important to include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each nation has unique situations and concerns that would be handled best by its own leadership. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self submitted	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Including their response to global and local threats and perceived threats. Using prudent judgement without outside influence or force. The local and national leadership should keep the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the WHO agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Retaining their ability to opt in or opt out of presented decisions made by other organizations. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Decisions must be made with factual evidence.
Private individual	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should also be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Zion Church	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This will be different in each nation. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is completely necessary. The rights of the nations and local governments must be respected. Governments may look to the WHO for guidance in pandemic situations, but need to retain the rights and laws laid out in their constitutions. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures need to be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. God given rights are inalienable.
private	I believe that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe in the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe in measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I believe that the responsibility fro declaring a pandemic should not be in private hands.
N/A	I believe that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe in the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe there should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe in measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that nations and local municipalities must be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not be allowed to have influence in the process.
General Public	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities shall have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully submitted, Debra Kellestine
private individual	I believe that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I strongly feel that nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I think we need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Not Applicable	I believe that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should exist an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health organisations, private corporations or any individual with any potential interest in policies decided by WHO. All conflicts of interests should be declared in the interests of transparency. Individual rights and choices must always respected without prejudice, regardless of policy decisions by the WHO.
None	I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; And measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time.
citizen and people of the world	I believe that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions on what is best for their own people and community. I believe nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit without consequence. The people of the World deserve open and transparent ways to vote .Safe measures should utilized , failsafe measures to prevent the application of a global agreement in anywhere the majority of people do not want it. We the People want measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non	I believe that National and local leadership should be retained and rights to make decisions on what is best for their own people. They should have the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all parts of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I believe that national and local leaderships should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I think that nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, with no consequence. I think it should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on and that it should include failsafe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, it should include measures that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	I believe that National leadership should retain the right to make decisions about what is best for their people and situation, and National and local governments should be able to opt out of any and all of the agreement without consequence. I would like to see an element that allows for referenda on the implementation, with the decision of the majority respected. I would like to see measures included which do not allow any influence in decisions by any pharmaceutical company, or other businesses which stand to profit from the outcome of those decisions.
UK citizen	I believe that nations and individuals should retain their sovereignty with regard to public health measures and bodily autonomy. This should never be outsourced under any circumstances to unelected global bodies. The WHO being an unelected body should never be allowed to override elected governments and constitutions whatever the circumstances. This would be a very dangerous precedent. The WHO needs complete reformation; it needs to have total transparency with regard to funding which should not come from Pharmaceutical companies, the CCP or the BMGF. There needs to be a thorough and independent investigation of its handling of the covid crisis and where corruption is identified, prison sentences need to be handed down. This body certainly does not need to be granted more powers with which to abuse its considerable influence.
Sally Taylor	I believe that nations should reserve their right to autonomy - managing a situation for their own people. Being enabled to make what they feel are the right decisions for their own people. I also feel strongly that nations should reserve their right to opt out of a joined up approach if they see fit - otherwise, this inevitably leads to totalitarianism. A healthy society / world is not one that is dominated by a select few. I also believe that nations / people should be invited to participate in decision making (democracy) and that any collective responses on a world-wide scale should not be implemented if people do not want it, or do not see its benefits. I call for a transparent and open process where every human is entitled to witness and contribute to the process.
None	I believe that no instrument should be created at a global level. Public Health should be a matter left to national governments
Private	I believe that NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be set up at all. The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation. Although it is partially funded from public sources, some of the mayor funding bodies are organisations like the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and GAVI. These private organisations have their own agenda and objectives and there are important conflicts of interest at play. The WHO should ONLY continue giving guidance to nations. The WHO should not have authority or influence over the nations own Public Health systems. The WHO agreement is threatens the sovereignty and inalienable rights of people. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The process needs to be made fully transparent with public consultations. This proposed agreement would allow the WHO to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WHO should not control the world's health agenda nor enforce bio-surveillance. Countries like India fared much better when not following WHO guidance. The COVID pandemic clearly showed that the WHO was hopeless and dangerous at giving guidance to nations and this resulted in additional unnecessary deaths.
Concerned citizen	I believe that no one governing body The Who should not make policy for the world I believe that would be prone to mis use of power Each country needs to decide what is appropriate Having no repercussions on a drug company no accountability is wrong everyone is to be accounted for mis deeds Transparency integrity important No vaccine for children that are not at risk Thank you I will not be part of verbal participation
None	I believe that NO substantive elements should be included in any new international intrument. The current system by which each country has dealt with the pandemic and interacted with other countries has worked very well and there is no need at all to alter this. There is absolutely no reason to suggest that all countries should attempt to operate under exactly the same principals. The leaders of individual countries are elected and serve the needs of their own citizens. Different countries will decide on different laws along with their people and if people do not like the laws of one country they have the ability to move to another. The laws of any one country are of necessity different from those of another country. No international in- strument is required and such a suggestion is certainly highly undesirable for all. If there is any attempt to implement such a suggestion of an international instrument the consequences for the world will be abso- lutely disastrous. I therefore very strongly recommend that NO substantive elements should be included in any new international intrument and each country makes laws for its own people according to their unique needs.
Private practice	I believe that open scientific debate should always be encouraged during a pandemic. Drs should abide by the hypocratic oath and all people have the right to choose their medical treatments. The WHO should not make changes to agreement that exclude personal autonomy, freedom to choose and open scientific debate.
n/a	I believe that our country should decide what action is taken in a pandemic which would suit the needs of our country and population. The WHO's function should be to advise but not to power grab as they seem to be trying to do by not publicising their 'public' consultation which we found out about Sunday with a deadline of Monday. This is a cynical opportunistic attempt to formalize the insanity of the last two years, generated and perpetuated by instantaneous international communications, into a permanent state of control over people. The WHO should be an entirely advisory organisation, but Britain should never enter into a treaty when it comes to decisions regarding domestic affairs, including disease-control. We should have the ultimate right - as we do now - to decide who makes the decisions in our country; we vote our governments in and out. This entrusting of democratic power should never be given away to an external organization, never mind to an organization largely in the control of undesirable states and big pharmaceutical companies. NO TO BRITAIN SIGNING ANY SUCH TREATY - EVER.
self	I believe that our local leadership retain should always first retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people in our area. The ability of the USA and all the municipalities within to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement should be granted without consequence. There should be a transparent, open process with the ability for all human beings to be able to vote on any and all measures that would prevent the application of this proposed agreement being implemented into places where a majority of the people simply do not wish for it to be the law of the land. Any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not have any influence upon any decisions made, regardless of the entity. i.e. government body, public organization, NGO, etc.
Citizen	I believe that pandemic preparedness plans should be made and implemented by elected govts of each nation state and not solely by unelected organisations.
None	I believe that pandemic preparedness should be dealt with at a local level. I do not support or believe that the WHO should have any authority in this matter.
No Organization Affiliation	I believe that pandemic response should be done by individual countries. I do not think that we need a "World Response". The lockdowns and other measures did not help with the pandemic and almost every country except Sweden followed the same bad plan. We don't need one organization to decide what the world needs to do. We need to maintain decentralization when it comes to health care. Therefore, I respectfully suggest that the WHO not be in charge of Pandemics or any other world health crisis again. The pandemic response should always be done within each countries own government. The WHO should make suggestions but not force any protocol on nations ever again.
Self-employed	I believe that pandemics should be handled, not by governments but by a team of both internationally recognised experts on pandemic and public health emergency management, (which used to be taught in Universities until the WHO pulled funding) local and national scientists and psychologists with absolutely no conflicting funding interests with tech or Pharma companies and members of the public, voted by local communities, with no particular political alliances, just experienced and caring members of the community who will do better than you have. I feel that vaccinations are for the majority, unnecessary and in any case, need to be regulated to the highest standard with full public transparency of safety and efficacy. lockdown measures and restrictions need to be avoided at all costs as we can already see the terrible harm they have caused both economically but more importantly mentally on us as human individuals. Suicide rates have rocketed and as a result of the restrictions and chaos I'm posed by government guidelines, we are now seeing a pandemic of extremely damaging mental health amongst our teenagers, along with a complete failure to maintain our children's learning and education. The past two years has had a devastating effect, in all areas, on predominantly the poor. Which, most of the world's population are. Governments and big business have made huge profits out of 99% of the populations misfortune, misery and death. it is a disgrace and you should all be ashamed. The whole system stinks. Mandatory vaccines and passports are divisive, unnecessary and don't even make sense as the vaccinated public are all catching covid, more than once in the majority of cases. They don't protect one bit.
Lauren Canitis, Violinist	I believe that personal health is not a one-size-fits-all solution, therefore I am against the idea that the world's countries follow the same plan. Please do not move forward with this. The people in our communities must be in control of their own health.
None	I believe that power to make decisions needs to stay local. We saw the massive failure of the lockdowns and the negative impact they had on society with no real impact on infection and that was a global approach that was implemented. This type of approach is a threat to the health and well being of Americans and our whole way of life. We are a free country, and we should be free to make our own decisions in any event.
Azalea Cottage Counselling	I believe that public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Individuals and communities need to be in control of their health. I think that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The option to opt out of any and all portions without consequence is essential. This needs to be a transparent process which includes being able to vote as to the details including failsafe measures to prevent abuse of the system. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not be able to influence the system.
Member of the public	I believe that public health issues and policy should be discussed and decided on at a national and local level rather than international, so that individual countries and regions retain full autonomy in relation to decisions for their own people. Any international instrument should enshrine the ability of nations and local areas to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit and without consequences. The process for any international instrument should allow all people in all countries to vote for any proposals, and those proposals should include failsafe measures to prevent the application of a global instrument where the majority of the people do not want it. Any international instrument should ensure that no part of any process is influenced by any pharma companies or other global health organisations who could profit from any of the measures. All those involved should declare all potential conflicts of interest, both financial and political.
General Public	I believe that science requires discussion, debate, and disagreement so any response to a pandemic must be done with complete freedom for any constituency and for any person so that the best solution may be found. Mandates are counter productive and must be entirely avoided. Data concerning any pandemic must be completely open for anyone to look at it and all the methods and rules under which it is gathered must be fully disclosed. It is essential that pharmaceutical manufacturers NOT be given a seat at the table in determining policy to avoid conflicts of interest. Any research or testing they do must be fully disclosed.
Individual	I believe that separate, sovereign, individual people and nations have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. Consequently, any 'global agreement' proposed by the WHO must not remove individual human rights and compel people or nations into complying with any proposals that will reduce their liberty and freedom. The WHO global pandemic treaty must not be a tool of enslavement and bondage imposed on separate entities in order to remove their inalienable individual freedoms and choice. It is imperative that individual human beings and their separate states/countries should be consulted and enabled to resolve independently for themselves which, if any, and to what extent, any offered intervention, cure, medication, vaccination or treatments to take, and which, if any non pharmaceutical actions to adopt or to comply with, to decide for themselves and to what extent, if any, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency, perhaps justifying the declaration of a pandemic, and should be free from all types of coercion and censorship. It is essential that this be included in any agreement on pandemic preparedness and response.
University of the Philippines	I believe that submitting national health governance and policy to bureaucrats is not only short sighted but fool hardy as well. It will lead to a revolution. I believe the following statements. 1.National and loco-regional leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence (any such move against any nation for non compliance may be deemed racist in nature) 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all the people of the world right to vote be respected. And to include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement/s in places where a majority of the people do not desire such. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned public	I believe that such a document, if ratified, is a gross violation of human rights, as it would remove basic choice from individual citizens. In simple terms 'My body, my choice'.
Citizen	I believe that that with health there can never be a one size fits all policy. As we are all different and what is good for one person may not be good for another. A set of guidelines showing the importance of good nutrition, vitamin D levels, exercise, gut health, connection would be far more appropriate. We cannot allow one organisation to rule over everybody's health when very few in this organisation understand what good health really means. An organisation which is open to corruption from big businesses wanting to do things to increase their balance sheet - rather that bringing us real health. I hope this is stopped as fast as it started.
Heath Rights of MA	I believe that the decisions of my health rights should be made within the United States of America and its governing states. I disagree with a world health organization pandemic treaty because a one size fit all does not work for every country in the world. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people."
I am not a prisoner of an organization.	I believe that the decisions to be taken concern the whole of society, lifestyles, economic development and mental well-being. For this reason, there must be a broad public debate. It is not democratic to make decisions without discussion, quickly. These actions raise doubts about the good intentions of the WHO.
Earth	I believe that the emphasis should be on supporting people and communities at a small-scale, local level. The needs of a rural community vary significantly from that of an urban one. A community with low access to grocery stores (food desert) has different needs than a suburban community with regular vehicle access when it comes to healthy eating and meeting nutritional goals. Operating at a large, international scale, like what we have seen in a disjointed manner since 2020, has led to more harm than help. Millions more people are now in poverty, and the statistics coming out show negligible improvement in death rates in locales that had strict lock downs. Most recently, all the data being published points to the vaccinated and boosted individuals being most likely to contract COVID-19 and require medical care. This is, again, something that can only be adequately addressed within impacted communities. One-size does not fit all, nor will it ever.
Stand for Health Freedom	I believe that the essential substantive element in any document relating to pandemic preparedness and response should be that the recommendations in the document are not binding on any member states, since the WHO does not have any statutory jurisdiction over the citizens or government of the United States, or any other sovereign country.
General public	I believe that the following elements are essential to be included in any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy to make decisions for and on behalf of the people they serve. 2. National and local governments must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. 3. All deliberations concerning this instrument must be open and transparent. All people of the world must be able to vote on any aspect of any global agreement, as it affects them and their country. 4. There must be measures in any agreement to prevent any influence on any aspect of the agreement by pharmaceutical companies and any other organisations, the financial interests of which might profit from the instrument and from any agreement. I respectfully request that the above measures are incorporated into any agreement by the WHO
PEMF Centre Ltd	I believe that the following elements are essential. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Most importantly regarding the process, I do not consent to any condition that states that I do not have a right to participate. If WHO is passing legislation that effects me, then I have a human right to have a say and it is the responsibility of WHO to provide for that right. I do not consent to any terms or conditions, now or at any time that limit my choice to live or what I put into my body. It is unlawful to require I agree to these terms as a condition of participation, I do not consent. Actions that harm under common law are subject to prosecution.
N/A	I believe that the following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership must retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process must exist with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other global health profiteers.
Personal submission	I believe that the following elements should be included in the proposed treaty: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, in order to take into account local circumstances, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process that includes the facility for all people of the world to vote on any proposed measures. This must also include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by bodies or individuals with conflicts of interest such as any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers 5. Measures to ensure that all products and practices that are advocated are environmentally sound and sustainable (i.e. do not generate burdens of waste or environmental pollution during manufacture or disposal).
private citizen	I believe that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I believe that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Alan Cone
Personal	I believe that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Judith A. Jones-Cone

Organization	Written submission
I represent my family and my community.	I believe that the following is necessary for the freedom and personal decision making of all people. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Complete right of individuals to opt out of any inspections, mandates, treatments, etc. 6. No removal of patients' bill of rights in hospitals, clinics, outpatient settings, etc. 7. That freedom of choice be retained by all citizens in terms of prevention & treatments of any declared pandemic & that none of those options be banned, discredited or otherwise suppressed. Thank you for taking the time to acknowledge the integrity and sincere intention of this submission. Gina-Marie Aburn
concerned doctors and citizens philippines (cdcph.org)	I believe that the following is necessary for the freedom and personal decision making of all people. 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
private	I believe that the following issues are of utmost importance: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Only measures should be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I believe that the following items need to be included as the foundation for international instrument on pandemic response. 1. National and local leadership needs to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please include these as the foundation for the program you are all trying to implement. Thank you.
Citizen	I believe that the following points hold merit and should be included- Each individual human has the power and authority to choose or not choose to be vaccinated in any form of outbreak or pandemic. There should also be no coercion involved in choosing to be vaccinated. The threat of loosing a job, or loss of health care, or basic human rights should not be an option used by authorities or government to promote vaccination. If vaccines are found to be causing death or harm above the typical standards prior to the first Covid outback the the government should recall all the vaccine or at minimum properly and diligently inform citizens of the dangers from receiving the vaccine. That governments and media inform citizens of other preventive measures to reach all people on a holistic level. This is to aid those who cannot take a particular vaccine be it for religious reasons, health reasons, or otherwise.
None	I believe that the following points must be a part of this treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Amanda Warner
I am a private citizen	I believe that the following should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Each nation and local leadership has full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what the local people desire. All decisions are made at the local level. The WHO pandemic can give suggestions that can be rejected by the local authorities and by the local individuals on a person by person basis. 2. Local authorities in each nation, as well as individual citizens, can opt out of any and all portions of the WHO agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Each nation, each local leadership body, and each citizen has the right to vote on any WHO measures so that no measures are instituted in places where a majority of the people do not want them. . 5. The WHO guarantees that no medicine, treatment, quarantine, is forced on any human being without their informed consent. That each human being has bodily autonomy and as such they can refuse any treatment, vaccine, medical procedure, face masking, and quarantine directive. No WHO measures nor treatment can be given to a child without the consent of their parent. No child can be taken away for treatment nor quarantine without the consent of their parent.
Individual	I believe that the following should be included in the proposal for any kind of pandemic response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you so very much. Cindy Krantz-Silverstein
none	I believe that the following should be included. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of ANY and ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of citizens do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other companies or organizations that operate in the health field. It is very important to prevent co-opting by the pharmaceutical companies.
individual	I believe that the following should be included. National and local governments should retain the ability to make the final decision to opt out of any measure without consequences if they deem doing so to be the best for their people, or if the majority of their population is opposed to it. There should be stringent policies in place against conflict of interest. Policy makers and advisors must be free of conflicts of interest, particularly with respect to pharmaceutical manufacturers and other entities that stand to profit in any way from any policies made. Any conflicts that come to light should be acted on immediately by removing the persons from the process and revisiting any decisions they influenced. Less risky and invasive methods, such as protecting the vulnerable or repurposing existing safe and low cost pharmaceutical, should always be promoted ahead of broader or riskier interventions. For any interventions that involve novel medical products, all documentation and trial data should be globally available to scientists immediately during the vetting process. Thank you.
NA	I believe that the following should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. A one size fits all approach simply cannot work. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for people worldwide to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I believe that the following should be the strategy of WHO. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Therefore, I do not agree with WHO acting lower alone be autonomous with disregard to the existing governments on every level from local and beyond.
Member of the public	I believe that the following substantial elements are needed for this agreement: 1. Maintaining national and local leadership to retain autonomy is critical. 2. Keeping and respecting the rights of national and local leadership to be able to opt out of any and all portions of agreements without consequence. Respecting the autonomy of all people and communities to care for themselves without any form of coercion. 3. Having an open and transparent process where there are no financial conflicts of interest either directly through corporations and/or indirectly through donations from not-for-profits and charities. For example, having measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4. Allowing for all scientific and humanitarian perspectives to be heard, not just one narrative, especially around safety, long term consequences and all possible solutions. A focus on the least invasive and safest measures is important.
Canadian Citizen	I believe that the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: • That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • That nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • For an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	I believe that the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best Regards, Andrew Appleby
Private Citizen	I believe that the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Revert to the WHO's original definition of a pandemic from May 1, 2009, specified simultaneous epidemics worldwide "with enormous numbers of deaths and illnesses". 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pharmaceutical Engineer	I believe that the health and safety of each individual is a private and personal matter and choice. I believe that we have Good given rights to make personal choices, and I live in a country that was founded on a Constitution that protects these rights. We would not need a pandemic response if the powers at be supported natural health and immunity, and not money backed treatments.
Easton landscapes	I believe that the health of a country should be determined by the elected government not by an unelected organisation which appears to be funded by pharmaceutical organisations ie the gates foundation whereby their may be bias in the form ofctreatments
University of Warwick	I believe that the most important element is a commitment to avoiding restrictive measures such as those euphemistically called Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions. Future pandemic plans should be drawn up to prevent countried from introducing such measures.
South African	I believe that the most important element should be that the World Health Organisation, should be held accountable should their response harm men, woman and children mentally, physically, emotionally or spiritually.
The Nevada Assembly	I believe that the Nation/State of Nevada, The United States of America, Unincorporated and local Las Vegas leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people regarding any and all health care issues and situations. I believe we also have the right and should always have the autonomous right as Nevadans and Las Vegans to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe in measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I believe that the National and local leadership of sovereign states retain full autonomy making decisions based on what they think is best for their people and to opt out of any or all parts of the agreement without any punitive measures at any time and for any reason. Measures must be taken to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people reject or disagree with the agreement. The agreement must be an open and transparent process with the ability of all the people of the world to vote on. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must not be allowed to influence the agreement in any way.
Nhs	I believe that the National and local leadership should be retained and rights to made decisions on what is best for their own people. They should have the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of of any or all parts of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global or other global health profiteers.
Self	I believe that the National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, their sovereign right. These measures will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I believe an open and transparent process must prevail with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the. application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Self	I believe that the National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, their sovereign right. These measures will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I believe an open and transparent process must prevail with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the. application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Individual	I believe that the new "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is completely unnecessary. Sovereign nations should be permitted to control their own pandemic response in future. The WHO has demonstrated that it is unfit for purpose so should certainly not be dictating to sovereign nations what they should do. We elect governments for this purpose. No-one from the WHO has been elected by the global population.
US Citizen	I believe that the only elements that should be included in the new international instrument is liberty for the citizenry. Although many would like to come up with a course of action that would apply to the world population as a whole, we are God created individuals with different needs. There is no one size fits all solution.
Hillsdale College	I believe that the only role that WHO should have is entirely advisory without any power of enforcement or compulsion. All actions and decisions regarding health responses should be directed by autonomous national governments with the consent of the governed. WHO does not have any binding authority on the world's citizenry. In order to ensure the above I believe the following elements must be part of any WHO declaration. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Linda DeCarlo Joyful Success Coaching	I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
Self	I believe that the people of a free country have the right/free will to have medical procedures or medications injected into their own bodies.
citizen	I believe that the people of each and every nation should be able to make their own decision as to what is right and proper for their citizens. It is not appropriate for any other entity to do so.
Self	I believe that the people should be able to make their own decisions ... 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you very much Renata
Christ church without walls	their health, and their life. The right to life, liberty and security must be respected as it is part of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. 1. The people be allowed to make their own decision and their interest be represented by their own government - from local to national, as they are the elected representatives of the people. Hence, governments in consultation with the people, should be given full autonomy as they know what is best for the people. 2. Under no circumstances should medical treatment be forced or imposed upon the people, experimental or not, following the principles of the Nuremberg Code. As far as medical treatment or drugs are concerned, informed consent must be obtained for each individual. 3. Governments can create programs to address a medical emergency such as an epidemic or pandemic. But people should be given the freedom to decide whether they want to be part of that program or not, without penalty for them. 4. No medical measures or program or medical emergency should violate the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Nuremberg Code. As declared by a US District Court Judge in Pennsylvania, "The liberties protected by the Constitution are not fair-weather freedoms -- in place when times are good but able to be cast aside in times of trouble." 5. No international organization whose officials are not elected by the people should be allowed to impose their policy on individual countries, and especially if such policy violates or supersedes the Constitution. 6. Processes should be transparent to all, and should not be influenced by global
Juan Dakila	their health, and their life. The right to life, liberty and security must be respected as it is part of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. 1. The people be allowed to make their own decision and their interest be represented by their own government - from local to national, as they are the elected representatives of the people. Hence, governments in consultation with the people, should be given full autonomy as they know what is best for the people. 2. Under no circumstances should medical treatment be forced or imposed upon the people, experimental or not, following the principles of the Nuremberg Code. As far as medical treatment or drugs are concerned, informed consent must be obtained for each individual. 3. Governments can create programs to address a medical emergency such as an epidemic or pandemic. But people should be given the freedom to decide whether they want to be part of that program or not, without penalty for them. 4. No medical measures or program or medical emergency should violate the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Nuremberg Code. As declared by a US District Court Judge in Pennsylvania, "The liberties protected by the Constitution are not fair-weather freedoms -- in place when times are good but able to be cast aside in times of trouble." 5. No international organization whose officials are not elected by the people should be allowed to impose their policy on individual countries, and especially if such policy violates or supersedes the Constitution. 6. Processes should be transparent to all, and should not be influenced by global
Juan Dakila: Concerned Doctors and Citizens of the Philippines	I believe that the people should be FREE to make decisions that will affect their body, their health, and their life. The right to life, liberty and security must be respected as it is part of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. 1. The people be allowed to make their own decision and their interest be represented by their own government - from local to national, as they are the elected representatives of the people. Hence, governments in consultation with the people, should be given full autonomy as they know what is best for the people. 2. Under no circumstances should medical treatment be forced or imposed upon the people, experimental or not, following the principles of the Nuremberg Code. As far as medical treatment or drugs are concerned, informed consent must be obtained for each individual. 3. Governments can create programs to address a medical emergency such as an epidemic or pandemic. But people should be given the freedom to decide whether they want to be part of that program or not, without penalty for them. 4. No medical measures or program or medical emergency should violate the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Nuremberg Code. As declared by a US District Court Judge in Pennsylvania, "The liberties protected by the Constitution are not fair-weather freedoms -- in place when times are good but able to be cast aside in times of trouble." 5. No international organization whose officials are not elected by the people should be allowed to impose their policy on individual countries, and especially if such policy violates or supersedes the Constitution. 6. Processes should be transparent to all, and should not be influenced by global business interests or corrupt profit motives.
Concerned Doctors & Citizens of the Philippines	I believe that the people should be FREE to make decisions that will affect their body, their health, and their life. The right to life, liberty and security must be respected as it is part of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. 1. The people be allowed to make their own decision and their interest be represented by their own government - from local to national, as they are the elected representatives of the people. Hence, governments in consultation with the people, should be given full autonomy as they know what is best for the people. 2. Under no circumstances should medical treatment be forced or imposed upon the people, experimental or not, following the principles of the Nuremberg Code. As far as medical treatment or drugs are concerned, informed consent must be obtained for each individual. 3. Governments can create programs to address a medical emergency such as an epidemic or pandemic. But people should be free to decide whether they want to be part of that program or not, without penalty for them. 4. No medical measures or program or medical emergency should violate the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Nuremberg Code. As declared by a US District Court Judge in Pennsylvania, "The liberties protected by the Constitution are not fair-weather freedoms -- inplace when times are good but able to be cast aside in times of trouble." 5. No international organization whose officials are not elected by the people should be allowed to impose policy on individual countries, and especially if such policy violates or supersedes the Constitution. 6. Processes should be transparent to all, and should not be influenced by global business interests or corrupt profit motives
Freedom	I believe that the people should be FREE to make decisions that will affect their body, their health, and their life. The right to life, liberty and security must be respected as it is part of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. 1.The people be allowed to make their own decision and their interest be represented by their own government - from local to national, as they are the elected representatives of the people. Hence, governments in consultation with the people, should be given full autonomy as they know what is best for the people. 2.Under no circumstances should medical treatment be forced or imposed upon the people, experimental or not, following the principles of the Nuremberg Code. As far as medical treatment or drugs are concerned, informed consent must be obtained for each individual. 3. Governments can create programs to address a medical emergency such as an epidemic or pandemic. But people should be given the freedom to decide whether they want to be part of that program or not, without penalty for them. 4.No medical measures or program or medical emergency should violate the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Nuremberg Code. As declared by a US District Court Judge in Pennsylvania, "The liberties protected by the Constitution are not fair-weather freedoms -- in place when times are good but able to be cast aside in times of trouble." 5.No international organization whose officials are not elected by the people should be allowed to impose their policy on individual countries, and especially if such policy violates or supersedes the Constitution. 6Processes should be transparent to all, and should not be influenced by global business interests or corrupt governments

Organization	Written submission
M E Riminton	I believe that the recent Covid-19 pandemic has demonstrated that a one-size-fits-all defensive approach is not appropriate. Local conditions mean that local solutions, agreed and implemented by elected national governments are undoubtedly the best approach. Any pandemic response must be supported by the trust of the populace if it is to be effective without significant imposition and trashing of human rights. People must see that they have a say in the process and that they can make their views known at elections. As an unelected body, the WHO is not the right institution to dictate health measures in individual countries. At all times, if measures are to find public support, the process must be open, transparent and flexible in the face of local conditions. People want to feel connected to decision-making processes. The role of the WHO should be to advise and support elected governments with research and policy creation. Thank you for allowing public comment in this process.
Social reasons	I believe that the substantial elements to be addressed are that generalized rules and regulations do not fit the neeeds of each individual country, let alone human beings. Each individualshould be entitled to make choices on what they think is best for their health. Reliable information on pros and cons for pandemic response should and ought to be offered but not as the one and only truth.
Canadian Citizen	I believe that the substantive element that should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is the commitment and adherence to respect individual citizens rights body autonomy, as well as, providing valid, full and timely communications in all aspects of any pandemic illness, treatments or vaccines related to. Each country is unique, and has unique rules, laws, cultures and citizenship that would be best left to individual countries to make up their own appropriate ways of coping with a intent to work as a global community on the issues that affect everyone without taking away the rights of the citizens. In my opinion, there is no way that a one world health organization is an answer that will fit all countries or situations and should not be considered.
Individual	I believe that the substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1) any new proposed instrument be subject to a referendum of all eligible voters in each country that it impacts with each country to pre-educate its citizens; 2) the WHO continue to present the facts and make recommendations to sovereign nations regarding preparedness and response to a real pandemic; 3) the WHO restores its pre-2009 definition of pandemic so that countries no longer take extreme measures for what previously would have been considered to be an epidemic that the vast majority of a population would be able to handle through natural immunity and other measures; 4) that preparedness and response measures include proven early treatments, healthy eating, exercise, supplements, etc. and not focus solely on vaccines 5) the WHO respects national and local sovereignty and alternative medical opinions such that democratically elected leadership and citizens retain full autonomy under their sovereignty, reserving the right to make the the ultimate decisions with regards to preparedness and response; 6) the WHO respects the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 7) the WHO maintains an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and 8) the WHO puts in place measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and private individuals who may provide its funding.
None	I believe that the treaty must take into account a legally binding opt out for individual governments, institutions and individuals who do not want to go along with the treaty. Soveignty of the individual must be respected. Consent must be respected. The doctor / patient relationship must be president over any organisational power. Parents must be free to choose treatment options for their children. Private companies must be kept out of the influence over policy or policy makers.
Individual	I believe that the UN/WHO should remain an advisory agency only to support national health organizations to do their job better and collect and analyze statistics to move towards "gold standard" therapeutic care. The WHO is not able to guide individual health care choices with a "one size fits all" approach and as we have recently seen with COVID-19, the botched approach by WHO cost time, money and lives by its errors, corruption and incompetence. "Stay in your own lane" and let our doctors do what is best and the WHO should just stick with providing guidelines to care. Also, abandon supporting vaccines as a solution to any pandemic as most people no longer trust government, WHO or pharma to do "the right thing" and will look to find another way.
	I believe that the United States of America should not enter into a legally binding agreement with the WHO that will take healthcare decision making power away from our democracy. We are a government of the people, by the people and for the people. The people of the United States of America, and their elected officials, should have a say in emergency healthcare decisions. This power shouldn't be surrendered to a third party. A treaty that removes this decision making power is dangerous to the health, safety and freedoms of Americans. The WHO constitution states that informed opinion and active cooperation on behalf of the public is essential for our health. Let's keep our citizens informed and trust our own country to respond with preparedness to the next pandemic, reserving the flexibility of changing our response to that time in the future, as deemed by the unpredictable nature of the public health situation.
individual	I believe that the US should not sign on to the WHO pandemic treaty as it would remove the rights of Americans to respond appropriately to their own unique circumstances when a pandemic hits. There is no way that a global institution and take into account the multitude of diverse factors particular to specific regions and countries. I also do not feel that it is appropriate to give any formal power to an agency that is rife with corruption and that is captured by the donors who fund it. Thank you.
none	I believe that the USA can and should remain independent when dealing with the individual health of each American. Our way of living is open and free from excess regulation and laws. The WHO's idea about this may be different but for that reason the US should not be a part of any 'treaty'. Never in my lifetime has such an idea been proposed and it's not a good one at all. Each country has it's culture and systems to help with health and there is no need to make that change. Thank you
none	I believe that the W.H.O. has as task to monitor all forms of health related events taking place throughout world communities and that it is permitted to formulate judgements over any such events. It may release detailed, factual recommendations to nation states and subsequently to the general public. I do not accept that the W.H.O. should entertain the possibility of assigning to itself an authoritarian status over the world's populations in relation to health related world events. Recommendation made to member states by the W.H.O. must be apolitical in nature and all parties involved must expect that the contents of such recommendations may be openly debated by multiple circles within civil societies. The W.H.O. must abstain in all instances from exercising any form of Absolutism; This has no place in modern societies. The W.H.O. must strictly uphold the principle of equality throughout all it's activities and in it's rapport with human communities of member states, the world over. i
Cape Cod Healthcare	I believe that the WHO is best seen as an educational organization, which is positioned to assess early on what is happening when there is a communicable disease that has worldwide implications. Toward that end, the who SHOULD PUBLISH ALL THAT CAN BE KNOWN ABOUT THE THREAT, and should provide reasonable threat assessments that are backed by data that is ALSO MADE PUBLIC. There should be an opportunity for academic epidemiologists to comment on the findings and present alternative viewpoints regarding the meaning of the WHO's findings. The WHO should make recommendations regarding the mitigation measures that could be adopted in order to combat widespread loss of life. These recommendations should take into account not only the threats related to the disease itself but should include the threats related to the implementation of the suggested mitigation measures themselves. These recommendations should be subject to the same scrutiny by outside experts and the public at large. The Who is not a governmental body, and has no mandate to govern, even in a health crisis. It should be the responsibility of duly elected governments to decide.how best to handle the crisis in their country. The widely divergent success in batting COVID 19 has certainly shown us that this is not only the only legal choice, but it is also a useful choice as a check on the fallibility of early decision-makers, as we see how differing localities using differing strategies fare in confronting the crisis.
Personal	I believe that the WHO is overstepping their bounds and the "treaty" is nothing more than a power grab. I can assure you that millions of Americans will NOT comply any longer regarding ANY further "pandemic" restrictions. Leave us alone!
Private	I believe that the WHO lacks independence due to the funding and association with certain individuals and organisations. I do not accept the WHO has any authority over a sovereign country.
world citizen	I believe that the WHO pandemic response treaty is wrong. Healthcare should ALWAYS BE the right of individuals, municipalities, local, countries governments. We don't want what you are doing and this will not stand. Medical policy should be derived by these means: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Freedom and liberty should not be waived, ever. 4. There need to be included measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. WE ARE AWAKE!!!!
Personal	I believe that the who should not be able to have any control at all over any pandemics as it has been proven that they was wrong many times and they don't actually follow any science they follow worst case scenario which isn't good enough when dealing with worldwide problems as if everyone worked on worst case scenario no one would ever move past their front door leave human race free we are sovereign people and you make our governments go against everything they were put there to do they work for us we pay their wages ! And you made them work against us NO MORE you shouldn't have any power at all
Self	I believe that the WHO should only provide advice on matters of global health concerns and not usurp the sovereignty of nations or otherwise control any nations decisions of what is best for its own people. For this reason I believe that any treaty among sovereign nations and WHO should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I believe that the WHO should provide an evidence based framework to help countries prepare for and respond to any future pandemics. In particular evidence from the recent COVID-19 responses should be analysed by INDEPENDENT scientists from a wide range of countries academic backgrounds and specialisms in order to inform any future pandemic plan. However the WHO are not an elected body and as such must not have powers to impose any plan on a country or state. The WHO must only operate in an advisory capacity and ensure that they are not influenced by any state/corporate or organisation who may benefit from influencing a global pandemic response. The WHO should build trust throughout the world by demonstrating transparency, an evidence based approach and a commitment to the sovereignty of all countries and states. By building trust in this way and by ensuring an ethical basis for any advice or plan, governments and more importantly populations can be assured of the value of the WHO and may be more inclined to follow any plan thereby making it much more effective.
US Citizen	I believe that the WHO should refrain from any role that involves a treaty that binds or compels any country into a course of action. The WHO should only offer guidance during a time of global medical or health concerns. Force only serves to diminish the publics trust in the institution's motives. The question shouldn't be what to put in the treaty, but how can the WHO better disseminate information during a time of crisis.
Small Business Owner	I believe that the WHO should serve as a guide ONLY and that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This is ever apparent during the last two years with COVID where countries responded differently all over the world and we can truly see which responses were effective and which were not. If everyone is told to follow one method then we will never know if that measure was worse or better. A world where people have choice (without consequence dictated from an organization) and can decide what is best for them is what must be upheld especially when it comes to their own personal health; there is no "one size fits all". It is vital that processes / WHO are open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. In addition, any voting / processes must be communicated to all the people of the world in a transparent and timely matter to give people the ability to reflect and react, otherwise the proposals should be disqualified. The process / WHO must be independent and must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. An independent quarterly review / audit of the WHO processes should be in place to ensure the above.
Rainbow Food Rocks	I believe that the WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. If the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty, the WHO would then have way too much power over health decisions. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Thus, I believe that people in each country should decide for themselves. The WHO should not oversee these decisions for each country, especially the US.
US citizen	I believe that the WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. If the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty, the WHO would then have way too much power over health decisions. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Thus, I believe that people in each country should decide for themselves. The WHO should not oversee these decisions for each country, especially the USA.
None - Private Citizen	I believe that the WHO's global pandemic agreement should include the following substantive elements: 1) full autonomy of local and national leadership to make decisions independent of the WHO's pandemic plan and recommendations; 2) ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out, without consequence, from any and all portions of the agreement, whenever they see fit; 3) protection of any person's individual democratic and human right to unbiased, uncoerced informed consent and individualized treatment; 4) removal of undue influence of any individual, organization, company, trust, and/or NGO from any pandemic planning process and treatment guidelines who is associated with any pharmaceutical company or global health profiteers; 5) open unbiased collection, analysis and dissemination of relevant data/information by individuals and organizations not associated with any pharmaceutical company or global health profiteers.
None	I believe that the World Health Organisation should be granted powers through any future international or global agreement with governments to impose and thereby enforce any measures that it deems necessary to exert unilateral control over populations should a pandemic occur, thereby furthering the philanthropic aims of the Organisation in the necessary protection of mankind. Local elected governments cannot be trusted to act in the best interests of their own citizens nor can their citizens be allowed to exercise free will or sovereignty in the matter of their own health. A coordinated W.H.O. and governmental response to any future pandemic, however unlikely, is essential. The W.H.O., by prior binding agreements with sympathetic world leaders, will then be able to dictate and thereby enforce, any measures it may consider fit and proper in the matter of human health and survival. The recent pandemic has taught all free thinking peoples of the world how important it is to have strong coordinated directional leadership, and the clearly observable effectiveness of national programmes of mandated vaccination and implementation of digital 'track and trace' systems has further reinforced this belief. As an unelected organisation with nested influence within governments in many parts of the Western world, I am confident that you can be trusted to safely implement this plan to the benefit of all mankind and your organisations interests.
Vff	I believe that the world Health Organization is in a unique position with the current health situation. There is a place for all countries to find and solve there own solutions to the current crisis to the covid pandemic. By using a one cover WHO centralized solution this would over step the power of the WHO as a guidance initiative. Let the individual countries decide the health responses so that there is robust amalgamation of different tactics to combat health issues. The spiritual and physical body is still got so much to understand. And health care is so young. I would not allow the WHO to impose a pandemic treaty, as this is still short sighted to the huge learning that our understanding of the spiritual body grasps. We are still learning about the health technologies and in no way efficient or knowledgeable yet. There is much that natural health care can help with Supporting the spiritual body. I would not support a global health treaty when current climate of health options are only experimental drug. There are other ways to solve health issues and this needs focus. Such as countries as India and Africa. Real prevention is not in the form of a drug but in long term food options that support the body mind and spirit.
Private party	I believe that the world operates best with maximum individual liberty and independent limited governments. Therefore, I do not believe that any international measures should be taken to control how "pandemics" are handled. The existence of this power will lead to its abuse. Respectfully, Austin Whitesell
General Public: Mother, grandmother	I believe that there are four important elements that need to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. There needs to be a very specific definition of what a case is and a definition of what a death related to the pathogen is so that we are all using the same definition to report statistics related to cases and deaths - even within our own country we have had serious issues with the reporting of deaths from coronavirus versus deaths from another cause but having tested positive for coronavirus and this has been very unfortunate. If all countries have a different definition of what is a death related to a specific pathogen, then the statistics are not helpful. 2. We need an international database for vaccine side effects registration, which would enable relevant adversomic studies - the transparency would help with trust (see Vaccinomics and Adversomics in the Era of Precision Medicine: A Review Based on HBV, MMR, HPV, and COVID-19 Vaccines. Omersel, Jasna and Kuzelicki, Natasa. J. Clin. Med. 2020, 9(11), 3561; https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9113561). 3. We need to make sure that we protect the rights of individuals set forth in the UN's Universal Declaration of Human Rights even during future pandemics; especially articles 12, 13, 18-20. 4. Regarding vaccines: We need to uphold and protect the importance of informed consent and decry coercion. Where there is risk, there must be choice. Coercion is not consent. We need truth and transparency. Thank you.
Retired Physical Education Teacher Loughborough University UK	I believe that there are many important issues to be considered with regards to future pandemics. 1) It is imperative that the Rights of the individual be taken into consideration when it comes to treatments that are being used in pandemics etc. Eg. Blanket vaccine programs do not take individual needs into account as each case is different. 2) Any new medication should undergo lengthy safety and efficacy trials before being used on the general public. 3) Adverse reactions and fatalities should be analyzed and recorded and companies should be held liable. 4) Each Country, State, and Province etc. should be able to decide how they adhere to advice given by the WHO 5) Alternative treatments to a blanket vaccine should also be available to help individuals to recover from a disease and thus prevent possible hospitalization and even death. 6) Lockdowns have proven to be ineffective, stressful, dangerous and fiscally disastrous for many. 7) It is essential that Human Rights and Freedoms be taken into account. 8) The quality and efficacy of PSI tests must be improved. 9) Animal and human tests should be humane and those people undergoing trials should be covered by insurance. 10) The vulnerable should be treated first and there should be a case by case examination with a doctor. 11) Remember the Hippocratic Oath when treating patients and that every life is precious. 12) In cases of malpractice, those responsible should be prosecuted. 13) While vaccine passports can be useful, they are currently segregating society and this is not acceptable. 14) The encouragement of a healthy lifestyle is critical. 15) Prevention is better than cure and supplements and vitamins should be encouraged. Thank you.
Citizen of New Zealand	I believe that there are several key features and safeguards that would have to be included in such an all reaching international instrument. * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers. *No part of the proposed response can override the express wishes of a person to maintain their bodily autonomy and decline medical procedures, without consequence. This would extend to their dependents also. Thank you for receiving and including my submission.
Na	I believe that there is no need for such jurisdiction of local nor the global world to be instructed and guided on future pandemics. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy , reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and their own country.
NA	I believe that there is no need for such jurisdiction of the global world. To be instructed and guided regarding future pandemics. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, their own country. For example we now know based from the information with Sweden that lockdowns do not save lives. As Sweden's mortality was no more severe than those countries that had lockdown. They made wise decisions. Their independent decisions. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Is paramount to self determination. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We also know that any vaccination passport. Does not guarantee health. It seems to be controlling movement of people. As with this pandemic. One can obtain and spread virus whether jabbed or not. So I conclude that future pandemics and the response to such threats should be determined by the sovereign country. Thank you.
Individual	I believe that there is no ONE single path to health, as the latter is a fine balance between multiple factors: genes, environment, nutrition, etc. As such a one-size-fits-all solution, medicine, vaccine or treatment is by definition illogical, irrational and potentially extremely harmful. People should be educated in how to encourage good health naturally, then make conscious informed decisions on how to maintain it. Vaccines should never be mandatory.
Self	I believe that there should be NO added elements to a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response because there should be NO SUCH THING! Communication between countries in the event of another pandemic? YES! A one-world treaty? NO! Let individual countries abide by their own laws and preparedness procedures. No international group of people should EVER tell an American citizen or any citizen what he or she can or cannot do!! I STRONGLY oppose this idea! Please go back to the drawing board and leave me and my fellow Americans out of it!!
Stand for Health Freedom	I believe that there shouldn't be an international instrumental response to a pandemic. I believe this control and response should stay within each country. Who treaties that remove power from individual governments endanger the health and safety of everyone. This power should stay with each country rather than at a global level to protect individual freedoms and health. To answer your question, there should be no substantive elements in a global pandemic treaty or response because this power should stay within the countries government with elected leaders.
general public	I believe that these are the best four substantive elements that should be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you!
n/a	I believe that these elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. ** National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. ** The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. ** An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. ** Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	I believe that this "treaty" is not necessary. Every country already has sufficient regulation in case of the dramatic event. However, there are also few points I would like to turn the attention of the makers of this particular document. I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiouness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Citizen of a nation participating in the treaty	I believe that this document must contain elements that preserve national sovereignty of the nations who sign it. It should include language that makes it clear the WHO can provide advice and recommendations, but that it not enforceable law. Keep out all language that would allow it to override any member nation's constitution. Individual nations, based on their knowledge of their own geography, populations, and form of government should be able to reject outright, or reject specifically, any measures they deem not in the best interests of their own people. I believe it also should include a ban on input from any pharmaceutical company, anywhere in the world, or any of its representatives. We know that pharmaceutical companies operate for profit alone, and therefore their input and decisions are never in the best interest of health, but of money and greed. As they profit most when people are sick, their opinions and recommendations should be ignored in a document promoting health. I think pharmaceutical companies (and any person invested in or who has interest in one) should therefore be banned from all treaty discussions, and prohibited from making any decisions in any future pandemic. Allow only those to participate in its creation and its policies who are elected officials from the nations they represent. I finally believe that vaccines should not be mentioned or allowed in this document. Vaccination is a personal, autonomous decision of an individual, and cannot therefore be dictated by organizations or agencies that do especially do not contain elected officials (such as the WHO). Freedom of the individual must be preserved in all forms in this document.
None	I believe that this proposed treaty is undemocratic. National and local elected leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We must have the ability, as individual nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as we see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people are not in favour of it. It is also essential that measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, should be put in place. The role of the World Health Organisation is surely to protect and promote health for all citizens and empowering them to make their own informed decisions regarding their health and well-being – this treaty does not fulfil this.
General Public	I believe that this treaty is a vast overreach of the WHO's power, as it is not a democratically elected body. There must be clear safeguards to ensure that whichever measures are proposed or enacted by the WHO can be vetoed, reversed or ignored by any country unilaterally without negative effect. The safeguards must have a very high bar in terms of "burden of proof", and, most critically, must not be amended or backtracked upon during any future pandemic period.
General public	I believe that this treaty is being done in an underhand way without true public consultation. I do not consent to the WHO or any government taking away my sovereignty as a human being to make decisions about my health and that of my family. I believe that WHO, which should be an organisation working for a healthier world, is nothing but a Puppet for its masters who fund it. All they preach is vaccines and pandemics, nothing about health. I do NOT consent!
Globetrotter's Independent Trading	I believe that to properly prepare for future pandemics the WHO must consider stepping back from attempting to involve itself whatsoever. The organisation must recognise that it is partially responsible for millions of deaths, and vaccine related injuries worldwide - by previously promoting ineffective preventative treatments to the last 'pandemic'; which have patently done far more harm than good. WHO must recognise it's own inability to act as an authority should there be a future pandemic, as the previous track record proves not only their unsuitability for in anyway involving themselves in 'substantive elements' of a response - but that as an international instrument, it could continue to ignorantly contribute to the loss of millions more lives worldwide. If the WHO were to gain legal authority regarding public health decisions within my own country, I would strongly feel that in the best interest of my health, that I have to consider permanently leaving it - because had I followed WHO's previous advice, given my own health conditions, I would likely be dead already. I have lost other family members to vaccination. Thanks for your consideration, please reflect upon whether WHO needs to step-back from involvement in any future pandemic response whatsoever. Respectfully, R L MacMurray, Esq.
None	I believe that true health should be prioritized- good vitamin and mineral levels in people, a focus on exercise, sleep and mental health. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have. The ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it- Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Worried citizen	I believe that uniting the entire world will only create more problems. Our current governments are so corrupt and also are failing, so I really believe that combining all of the world government bodies in order to fight a virus that is a coronavirus, which is a type of flu and will never be eradicated, is extremely inane and will create chaos world-wide.
Personal	I believe that USA Citizen & country should retain all inherent personal and Sovereign unalienable rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and country. Keep your Your hands off our rights...
None	I believe that voluntary cooperation between nations is more effective than mandatory controls that have no political legitimacy or mandate. This is anti democratic and authoritarian and I object strongly to this proposal as drafted.
General Public	I believe that we all have the right to stand up for our sovereignty and our autonomy. I do not believe in forced vaccination or vaccine mandates, especially when the "covid vaccine" cannot be medically defined as an actual "vaccine". I believe in medical freedom and I believe that the choice for an individuals will to choose what they want or do not want for their own body, should never be determined by any government entity. Again, I believe in medical freedom, and I believe that the health and wellness of an individual and what they believe is right for their body and their life, should be their choice and not be decided upon by government officials or political parties. Thank you!

Organization	Written submission
Ruckus HQ	I believe that we as free citizens of the world deserve the following to be 100% recognized before any such implementation of ANY global agreement; -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time.
home	I believe that we can handle any and all issues just fine here in the United States of America. We Don't need the interference of the WHO or any international governing body meddling in our affairs. Thank you.
General Public	I believe that what should be included in the instrument is how each nation can work together to prepare for and deal with future pandemics. This would include sharing supplies, expertise, up to date data. So that no one gets caught off guard. This would be done decentralized with every nation retaining their own health sovereignty over pandemic decisions.
Individual	I believe that whatever measures are implemented for an international pandemic preparedness plan, the rights of individual nations to determine what measures to take or not to take must be respected. Many people in the world believe that the Covid-19 measures are being used to strip the people of the world of fundamental human rights and establish totalitarian governance. Freedom is a necessity for happiness and quality of life. It should not exist only for an elite few.
Sovereign person	I believe that within a global agreement there will be an ability for each nation and community to reserve the right make decisions based on the good of their people, with an ability to opt out of unfit for purpose portions of the agreement - without consequence. For all nations and people's to see, understand and vote through a transparent process. And for majority decisions to be acted on. Each nation and their people's to be governed by sovereign rights not influenced by pharmaceutical bodies or profiteers.
Individual	I believe that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	I believe that: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open, transparent and democratic process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Thompson Project Management	I believe that: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Gregg Thompson
Stand For Health Freedom	I believe the best and most effective response to a potential pandemic is to address the potential pandemic at a local level with the emphasis on improving each persons' immune system and treating the potential illness with all measures possible. I think it would be effective to have Doctors, who practice medicine with patients, meet in groups to discuss possible treatment plans, once again at local or state levels. There should be open discussion and sharing of all treatment plans. Scientific methods should be used to prove or disprove treatment plans with all the data released to the Public immediately. I do NOT encourage a global lockdown EVER again. I also feel the WHO having authority to dictate what the response should be is a complete overreach and ILLEGAL in the United States of America. The USA is a sovereign country and the WHO has no authority whatsoever. In addition, I heard about this opportunity to respond to your question and comment only because someone I know is close to the WHO. This pact, agreement has the potential to affect every person on Earth therefore, every person should have the opportunity to know about this and to comment. This question and the pact/agreement should be on the national, state news and local news levels in every country to include TV and written media. Furthermore, a means to comment in writing should be available as not all people have access to a computer.
The people of the United States of America	I believe the Constitution of the United States and the Bill of Rights supersedes the WHO's international notion of controlling the health and well being of all countries in the world. We did not vote for your leadership and since WHO has failed in their response to Covid 19, the citizens of my country do not trust nor want you making ANY personal health decision. We have God given rights that you do not respect and you have NO right to push your agenda on free humans in ANY country. LEAVE US ALONE.
Self	I believe the core element of such a instrument(s) should be sovereignty of each participating member. It should be strictly maintained, that any member state can refuse any intervention, action, recommendation, dictate, decree, mandate, or otherwise any action or clause in said instrument(s) without threat of retaliation nor coercion. No person, nation, state, nor individual shall be forced, in any form, to follow such an instrument for any reason. All participation shall be voluntary and can be revoked at any time for any reason; without repercussion, or the threat of repercussions. The WHO and all it's members, including any as part of such an instrument, may offer guidance ONLY and have no element of enforcement of said recommendations, policies, mandates or any other clause, implied or otherwise as part of such an instrument. where there is risk, there must be choice. Also, a required element is that no power can be derived from such an instrument as to force, coerce, intimidate, nor mandate any action, intervention, lockdown, or identification of any individual(s). The rights of individuals cannot be given or taken away through such an intervention.
Concerned citizen	I believe the different nations can talk to each other and collaborate on ideas to help during a pandemic, but I do not think power should be given to an institution to dictate what course of action should be taken. Each country needs their sovereignty. Everyone's situation will be different and each country, state, and city will have different needs and responses.
General public	I believe the elements that need to be included are the following: 1.) National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.) The ability for nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.) It must include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.) It should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Jocelyn Grover
Individual	I believe the final wording should allow 1) Countries should retain autonomy and make decisions which are best for that country , using WHO recommendations as advice only 2) There should always be a "cross party " element to any discussion , so that alternative opinions may be considered 3) No pharmaceutical company should benefit financially from pressure groups within the decision making process 4) Societal repercussions must be considered alongside medical decisions 5) NO mandating of decisions and advice produced by the WHO
Self	I believe the first requirement should be to define who is responsible for your body, Then it will be important to describe the purpose of the document, And finally who is responsible for policing the document, Thank you for allowing my comments , Bob
Harvard business school	I believe the following should be included: 1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I believe the following 4 points need to be established as part of the WHO pandemic preparedness instrument: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities need te option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process must be established with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free Thinking Humans	I believe the following 4 points should be taken into account. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards Brendan
Healthy Community Society	I believe the following elements are key to this instrument: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Without these factors this would not be a useful instrument but more of a dictatorial edict. Sincerely, Julia Greenlaw
The general public	I believe the following elements must be considered and implemented: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The first thing that should be considered is the prompt provided by the WHO does not ask the people of the world whether or not they believe a global agreement is necessary. Instead, the organization has decided for itself that this measure is warranted and is asking for input on what people believe should be included in it. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Thank you for considering my input.
concerned citizen	I believe the following elements must be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - All nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. - There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - There must be no influence from any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers in this process.
private citizen	I believe the following elements need to be included in an international agreement on pandemic preparedness and response: - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank You
Individual	I believe the following elements need to be included to prevent an international agreement from nullifying our national sovereignty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	I believe the following elements need to be included. Open, clear and concise fact based communication. Timely responses that allow for international input, planning and management. Respect of individual citizens rights and choices. Seek and allow for strong feedback and input from individuals, which can be easily and quickly achieved via various social media sites. Allow sufficient time for appropriate, considered and thorough testing of any future responses or treatments to ensure maximum public health safety. This process is vitally important to maintain citizen trust and cooperation Ensure rigorous and thorough scrutiny of the system, processes and any treatments Ensure 100% transparency throughout all stages Ensure thorough record and report keeping is adhered to and openly published immediately and throughout all stages
N/A	I believe the following elements should be included in a any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for taking these elements into consideration.
Individual/general public	I believe the following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	I believe the following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not affiliated with any organization	I believe the following elements should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: In each country, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The new instrument must not automatically override current national and local constitutions or laws in each nation. All nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should contain measures that do not allow any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Reinertsen & Associates	I believe the following elements should be included in the global pandemic agreement: 1. Full autonomy for national and local leadership, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt in or out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A minimum of 90 days warning on planned changes. If rules are promulgated without warning, they shall be automatically suspended or replaced after 90 days. 4. Strong safeguards against manipulation of policies by entities that have a direct financial interest in chosen policies. In particular companies in the medical and pharmaceutical industry must fully disclose to the public any communications to the WHO that might serve to influence policies. Respectfully, Don Reinertsen
Public	I believe the following elements should be included in the language of this agreement. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you,
None	I believe the following elements should be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank-you
Private Citizen	I believe the following elements should be included in the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Shakti Centre	I believe the following elements should be included. Pandemic and and any health measures should be voluntary, never compulsory, at both the national and personal levels. I.e.: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Under no circumstances should any health legislation/mandates be imposed globally that violate national and personal rights and freedoms of bodily integrity and self-determination. To do so would be against the Nuremberg Code and UN Declaration of Bioethics.
Private citizen	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers shall be included.
DHMA Academy	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should also be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My own	I believe the following elements should be included: - The option for a nation to opt out of any or all the measures agreed on. - The ability for leaders to make decisions on what is best for their nations. - Measures that prevent external influences in the process from pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Measures that make this entire process transparent.
CDCPH	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership and personal choice to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and oneself.. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
United States citizen	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
General Public	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pacific University	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Private	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. C. Hardinge.
Jon Horton	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for requesting input regarding this important treaty.
private individual. retired.	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I believe the following elements should be included: Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to OPT OUT of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
General Public	I believe the following elements should be included: National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully submitted, David Kellestine
Virginians for Safe Technology	I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I believe the following in relation to the proposed WHO treaty: National and local leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should maintain the ability to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In summary, I am against the proposed WHO treaty.
Forest Glen Academy	I believe the following is what you should include in the treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	I believe the following items should be included: a) National and Local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. b) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. c) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do NOT want it. d) The ability of nations and local councils to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. e) No monopoly including the WHO should have any control over other nations or their peoples.
Individual	I believe the following points are essential if any agreement is made. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Michael Rogers
Private citizen	I believe the following points shod be taken into consideration and MUST be included for a fair and just system. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	I believe the following should apply- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Member of Public	I believe the following should be assured, namely that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I believe the following should be considered and implemented in any type of international pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American taxpaying citizen, wife, mother, grandmother	I believe the following should be considered for pandemic preparedness and response: An independent committee, not affiliated or aligned with the WHO past, present or future, in any form should be commissioned to review the existing pandemic preparedness and response to determine if a new policy is necessary and made transparent to the general public in a timely manner. Investigative verification of an emergency pandemic and its origins should be discovered / disclosed with great transparency to the public in a timely manner. Bio-labs should be scheduled for regular inspections for safety and security measures to create a leak-proof facility and deter the ability to utilize facility for gain-of-function experimentation. Also, if investigations are necessary they should not be denied and interference should not be permitted. A review of the outcome of what has occurred in the past two plus years in terms of lock-downs, business and school closures, isolation, quarantining healthy people, unavailable early treatment, absence of informed consent for experimental injections without long-term clinical studies and its impact on humanity. How could the pandemic have been managed differently? Elected officials in states who did well during the pandemic should be invited to provide their insight in the decision-making process. Health-care professionals of all levels, medical scientists and researchers, virologists, epidemiologist, should also be invited. Transparency about changes that have the potential to impact humanity should always be in place as well as regular updates in a timely manner to the general public.
Self	I believe the following should be include on your treaty. It is of utmost importance that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is also important to allow flexibility for this treaty and allow nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It is critical to state in this treaty the process will be transparent and open and the opportunity is Available for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be in place to not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sovereignty is important ! Thank you for allowing more involvement from the people who care so much ! We are all on this together. Thank you !
Individual	I believe the following should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4. Body autonomy. No human rights are denied any person refusing medication or testing of any type. All must be free to choose or reject any medical treatment or test. 5. Employment discrimination on the basis of vaccination history is forbidden. No privileges may be granted or denied on that basis. 6. Access to otherwise public events and venues, indoors and out, is not barred to anyone on the basis of vaccination history or medical test. Thank you.
NHS	I believe the following should be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I believe the following should be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration.
Self	I believe the following should be incorporated as non-negotiable terms of WHO's proposed treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you!
No organisation	I believe the following should be part of any pandemic preparedness: 1) That National and Local leadership retain full autonomy and have the right to make decisions that are best for their own people. 2)That Nations and local municipalities are able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit and without consequence. 3)That there is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private US Citizen	I believe the following stipulations need to be included in any new treaty: **National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. **The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. **An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. **Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I believe the following substantive elements -- as a minimum -- should be included in any new, proposed instrument on universal preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	I believe the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I believe the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. A universal response should consider and respect the diversity of land, people, climate, culture, beliefs, and economics of the Earth. First, national and local leadership should be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. With the COVID-19 pandemic, we saw a varied response to the SARS-CoV-2 virus as it invaded very different locales across the globe. Just as people groups are unique, the planned response to a contagion should be uniquely tailored. As such, there should be a clause that allows nations and local groups to opt out of portions of the agreement as they see appropriate for their unique populations without any penalty or consequence. There should be a provision that allows individual people groups to vote on the adoption or rejection of a specific plan based on the unique needs and beliefs of the community and a discouragement of the use of centralized power with a provision for local leadership. Finally, there should be language that forbids the influence in the process by all pharmaceutical companies, laboratory testing companies, and any other entities that should stand to profit from a global health policy. This should include the specific forbidding of individual or the immediate family members of pharmaceutical corporate executives, former pharmaceutical corporate executives, pharmaceutical corporate board members, former pharmaceutical corporate board members, as well as leadership personnel from pharmaceutical contracted research labs from being on any global or local health boards. Thank you!
UK Citizen	I believe the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The leadership in a country whether national or local must retain the right to make decisions based on what is right for the people and communities of that country. There should be measures in place to stop, whether by funding or lobbying, the influence of Pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, on such an instrument. For countries and their communities that do not wish the application of a global pandemic agreement there should be an open and transparent process for voting in a fail safe measure to prevent this agreement. Added to this Nations and their communities should be able to opt out of any and all proportions of the agreement as they see fit.
	I believe the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The Leadership in a Country whether national or local must retain the right to make decisions based on what is right for the people and communities of that country. There should be measures in place to stop, whether by funding or lobbying, the influence of pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers in this international instrument. If a Country and their nationals/communities do not want to participate in a global agreement on pandemic preparedness there should be an open and transparent process of voting in a fail safe measure to prevent this agreement, with no repercussions to the Nation. Added to which Nations should be able to opt out of any and all proportions of the agreement as they see fit.
Citizen	I believe the following substantive elements should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - freedom for individual countries to vary their response to the circumstances within their population, - full transparency and accuracy of data, - a published evidence-base for guidance, - post-mortems to inform treatment options, - freedom for doctors to see patients and treat accordingly, including the use of off-label drugs where indicated, - adherence to the UN Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights, including informed consent, - the duty to support natural immune systems, ie no messages of fear which would increase stress, no lockdowns to prevent outdoor exercise, the promotion of good nutrition and supplementation of vitamin D.
Prescott College	I believe the following substantive elements should be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness & response. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A. Retired	I believe the following substantive elements should be included in the treaty. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	I believe the following substantive elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
N/A	I believe the following substantive elements should be included: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Kelly Buskirk
Citizen	I believe the following to be fair and reasonable: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Cynthia
None	I believe the following to be important and true: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	I believe the following to be true. 1 - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The above statements should be held in place. Virginia Holmes
US Citizen	I believe the following: 1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities MUST have ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There MUST be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures MUST NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Being	I believe the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human being	I believe the following; 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where any person does not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the United States	I believe the health issues of our country must be determined by our own people and as locally as possible. Health freedom is of paramount concern and we must maintain control of all of our health policies in order to ensure maximum individual liberty. For our government to cede any of our powers to another entity is a betrayal by the government of its own citizens.
representing myself as an individual	I believe the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must allow for individual countries and communities to respond in ways they deem appropriate to their unique populations and circumstances. Public health can not be supported by rolling out a singular strategy on a global scale. This is because it will be neither effective, nor respectful of the essential autonomy and uniqueness of communities.
N/A	I believe the International Instrument on pandemic preparedness must include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Koenig Ministries	I believe the international response should involve notifying all countries involved if there is a pandemic. I also believe that each country and state should be trusted to decide what the response should be. There should be no global lockdowns even during pandemics. The social and economic costs are too great. So I believe the most important element in place should be the freedom for each country to choose their own response.
Construction	I believe the lockdowns are damaging and unnecessary, both on health, mental health & severe economic damage. I believe its damaging to Childrens development. With many studies now backing up the truth, Lockdowns caused more harm than good.
Self	I believe the main substantive element should be in preserving the voluntary nature of medical and non medical interventions. Any global coordination should be limited to information sharing and allowing individuals, families and communities to make appropriate medical decisions.
self	I believe the most substantive element that MUST be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is that of both allowing and fostering individual and national authority to question any proposed policy, as well as the full freedom to pursue options that best fit their own needs, without retribution. Any instrument that does not include respect for such individual responsibility is doomed to failure. He who sits in the heavens shall laugh at any who take counsel together against the LORD.
Self	I believe the most substantive element to be included in a new instrument of pandemic preparedness and response is clear language of restraint. Any elements to be considered should be shared as information and recommendation only, leaving the final decisions regarding implementation to the sovereign member countries to employ. At no time should a top-down protocol supersede or nullify the individual rights and freedoms of sovereign nation citizens. Exploration of all viable options, including early, easily accessible treatment options (including off label drugs), consideration of experts from differing points of view, and wide dissemination without censorship of opinions of those experts (e.g. those that counter the "official narrative") must not be dismissed or diminished. It is troubling that there seems to be an acceptance of the "fact" that another pandemic is inevitable. The corporate and special interest money that is involved must be eliminated, or, at the very least, those donating entities must have no authority in any directive suggestions of the WHO so as not to create a gross conflict of interest. All health recommendations must include the totality of unintended negative health outcomes: for example, the shutdowns may have slowed the virus, but they led to greater incidents of depression, obesity, domestic abuse, poverty, bankruptcy, starvation, and suicide.
Private citizen	I believe the national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tillamook County Community Health Center	I believe the needed elements that are needed in an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response include keeping the control local where the outbreaks are occurring; recognizing and promoting that natural immunity is superior to any and all pharmaceuticals produced; collective efforts in utilizing safe and already tested medications in repurposing medications for treatment; agreements on how positive testing is to be determined and data collected and SHARED; transparency on all information/data collected; open dialogue with scientists that have differing viewpoints, from different regions, different countries (no silencing "misinformation"); recognizing the rights of all patients to seek treatment that is in accordance with their religious/personal beliefs and that this supersedes all rules and laws.
Stand for Health Freedom	I believe the only proper response to a global pandemic is the honest sharing of information and freely open scientific debate. A legally binding pandemic treaty is unnecessary and potentially dangerous to the rights of individuals and individual nations. Freedom of choice and informed consent must be preserved in regards to any health decisions. Any country participating in the World Health Organization should be allowed to maintain national sovereignty.
Member of the people	I believe the people can prepare themselves for any future pandemic by boosting their immune systems. If there are specific threats like bio-weapons, the public can be guided by television broadcasts, radio and the internet. Without panic and with clear suggestions.
N/A	I believe the people should have a say on whether there should be a Pandemic Preparedness and who gets to control it not just told the WHO will have full control over deciding what constitutes a Pandemic and gets to decide when we are in one.
American Citizen	I believe the people should have the right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, health, and livelihoods. Any agreement that is formed should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	I believe the people-in-charge at The WHO have honourable intentions and valid concerns about public health in the world. However the proposed WHO Instrument/Agreement/Treaty is very unnecessary. It is a form of political over-reach and threatens the sovereignty and rights of individuals and countries. It is absolutely un-democratic. Our citizens did not vote for The WHO to be an international organisation that can control our public health policies and political decisions, which can cause massive harm to our economy, freedom, mobility and mental health. The WHO should only propose guidelines and allow individual countries to make their own medical and political decisions based on these guidelines. When crafting these guidelines, The WHO must listen to all professional medical opinions and advice across a wide spectrum, and must not be biased in accepting (uncritically) the views of powerful organisations/countries with vested interests. In particular companies in the pharmaceutical industry have their own selfish agenda and can exercise their undue influence due to their massive financial clout. The WHO must exercise a high level of professional conduct and be totally unbiased in their actions.
concerned citizen	I believe the proposed agreement is fundamentally undemocratic; destructive to national sovereignty; & fundamentally breaches the Nuremberg Code with respect to coercing humans into being subjects in an experimental gene therapy - the success of which remains controversial as to whether risks outweigh the benefits, and this is evidenced by the many adverse reactions and deaths detailed in the UK's MHRA Yellow Book, US' VAERS Database and the EU's Eudravigilance system all covering reports of adverse reactions and deaths surrounding Covid-19 vaccinations. Such legislation needs to be completely revised in order to effect the following rights and guarantees: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards, Chris Novak
Citizen of the United States of America	I believe the proposed global pandemic agreement will give the WHO undemocratic rights over sovereign people and submit instead that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Abigail R. Harris Portland, OR, USA
Myself	I believe the proposed treaty must do the following: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. And lastly, requiring all signatories to go through their proper constitutional & legislative channels to approve such a treaty.
Equity	I believe the proposed WHO agreement is not necessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects our lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has hardly sufficiently engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is perhaps capturing more power for itself and its corporate buddies, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, I believe will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during Covid-19, as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year. I stand against this costly abuse of power.
None	I believe the right of the individual should never be overlooked again for the greater good , most local communities want to do the best for each other and should never be forced to comply from any governments or organisations . Individual rights and human rights far outweighs any collective or global benefits. Thank you
N/a	I believe the role of the undemocratically elected WHO should be to remain as an advisory organizational and not seek to over rule the sovereign countries and individuals free will. The WHO should respect those sovereign individuals free will and right to make their own decision about their own bodies and how they protect their own bodies.
WCH	I believe the role of WHO should be provide a world wide data base of critical health information and task pharmaceutical Companies to provide accurate trial data, including deaths and adverse events and detailed composition of proposed new drugs in order to receive the WHO recommendation. National and local duly authorized leadership retain full autonomy for making decisions for their own people. In each case the people have the right to opt out! Thank you for your understanding - NO MANDATES
Vallejo City Unified School District	I believe the scientific evidence supports comprehensive testing of both vaccinated AND unvaccinated segments of the population to best determine epidemic/pandemic vectors, and their appropriate responses. Thank You
Self Employed	I believe the substantive elements below should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen of the United States of America	I believe the the following should be considered: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Religious beliefs and freedoms should be respected, even when contrary to accepted science. 6. Freedom of speech should in no way be suppressed, even when in direct opposition to mainstream accepted science. All views should be allowed to be expressed in the public market place of ideas for people to make their own decisions. 7. The Nuremberg trials, and the international rules derived from them, should be a direct influence on any future rules decided upon regarding a pandemic. Respectfully Submitted, Dayton Keyes, Citizen of the United States of America
None	I believe the treaty must include the following; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Private Citizen	I believe the treaty should include the right for nations or sovereign places to opt-out of any part of the treaty without consequence, if it conflicts with their national interests. I also believe that the right for nations or municipalities to choose not to comply with any part of the treaty, without consequence, if it conflicts with their interests or value systems should be included. In other words, the treaty should allow nations to voluntarily follow the provisions of a pandemic response since public health has never been one-size-fits-all.
Member of the British public	I believe the treaty should include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health and Safety Contractor	I believe the treaty should not move forward unless the following issues are included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, Mike Bonkalski
General public	I believe the uniform response should include autonomy for each and every country and government. Those countries and governments should be provided guidelines for which they may choose to follow or not follow. This ensures that if a mistake is made and the wrong course of action is taken by the WHO with the handling of a pandemic or other health emergency, that not everyone is affected. Some countries or governments may find an alternative way of handling the situation that works better than the one determined by the WHO. Having the WHO take control of autonomous nations will be sure to start inner conflicts within some of those countries. This would potentially lead to armed conflicts during a health emergency and the destabilization of government. If the WHO is acting as an authoritative figure at the time, it may result in WHO infrastructures being targeted. This is why it's important to decentralize the authority during a pandemic or health emergency.
just a human	I believe the WHO already has too much power and should not be allowed proceed with this endeavor. Sovereign Countries are more than capable of making their own decisions and plans, this is nothing more than a power grab and coup d'état. I am a sovereign human being and I value my unalienable rights! I am a free Canadian, free to make my own decisions, free to worship God in my own way! Canada needs to exit the WHO immediately as most Canadians want to remain FREE!
Private Citizen	I believe the WHO can give ONLY recommendations and National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Additionally, nations and localities can opt out of any portion at any time without consequences. And finally, there needs to be strict rules that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Church	I believe the WHO does not have the best interest of the US in its considerations nor do I believe the WHO is a trustworthy organization. If there is to be a new plan for future pandemics it needs to be tailored to each individual country and it should be managed by that said country and not by the WHO. The steps taken for the Covid 19 pandemic were punitive, unwarranted and debilitating for so many! If the Covid 19 pandemic is any example for future pandemics then all is lost. The WHO must be the example and voice of reason. Shutting down our nation for an illness that is survivable at 99.9% is ludicrous and outrageous. Not permitting simple, inexpensive and effective medications for treatment of Covid 19 was counterproductive to the health of the people and the stamping out of the pandemic. The WHO had every opportunity to step up and advocate for a better protocol but did nothing of the sort. In the future, the WHO absolutely MUST abandon political agendas and focus on creating the most effective treatment and stabilizing plan for our nation. No pandemic in the future must be allowed to follow the chaotic and political plan that Covid 19 followed. The WHO must set aside any political notions and create pandemic plans that are for the people no matter what. The WHO must be above political divisions and pro family order. Our new elements must include true science - not science that twists facts and defends an agenda. It must be for the good of the people and not for a political party. It must consider all the facts and not get sidetracked with the whims of those in power.
private	I believe the WHO has no business dictating policy to sovern nations, and should mind their own business. Suggestions are fine.
Member of the public	I believe the WHO has no right to take any power over a country's government policy regarding pandemic control. Every country has different circumstances, whether that is being in their winter/summer seasons, religious beliefs and customs, and communist/capitalist policies so how can a "one size fits all" policy by a centralised authority possibly work for each country. I think it would be a very dangerous strategy and would lead only to hardship and resentment, which could easily lead to conflict.
No affiliations	I believe the WHO has proven itself incompetent in the management of a global pandemic. It's been proven in the past that a more dispersed and independent means of local self determination is favorable, with regards to pandemics. I think the handling of the current pandemic has already proven that independent states are more competent in understanding and handling their local issues at local levels. The idea that 'one size fits all' has been proven many times over, not to work. The Kwa Zulu Natal riots, which lead to looting on a mass scale in South Africa because of forced lockdowns, in a third world country, is a key example of how a centralized global dictat, does not work! A globally centralized ability to dictate over independent state constitutions regarding public health policies is dangerous in that it is dictatorial, almost certainly won't answer local level issues which will be different in every state in every country. It's undemocratic in that only one solution decided upon by a government group, which has subjective and often questionable interests, gets to dictate outcomes, to often other large independent opposition groups. We have already seen that there are many reputable individuals and scientific groups that do not agree with the way the global pandemic was managed and the proposed system would not allow these independent voices to be taken into account. Rather it would lead to a dangerous centralized dictat.
Private citizen	I believe the WHO is making a large, faulty assumption that there is a need for a global preparedness and response that entails taking over health and financial decisions for the world in a pandemic. WHO members do not have the authority, subject knowledge, nor cultural day-to day experience to be able to make sound decisions which will impact peoples' lives for decades. This wasla proven again and again through the last two years of Covid-19. The WHO chose panic over sound science and patience, big corporations over health. Just the mask mandates alone have made global pollution even worse. I am a scientist by training and I cringe every time WHO goes opposite the right path. Just the fact alone that the proposed global preparedness was pushed through quickly and stealthily without providing the public much time to respond is proof that this is not an inclusive process. Something as large of an undertaking such as this should be totally transparent, not hidden in the shadows of political agendas and power games.
Personal	I believe the WHO needs to stay true to their constitution that ALL have a say in their health decisions, not just the WHO. This takes the health rights away from "We the people".
Self	I believe the WHO organisation is totally corrupt and not fit for purpose. I therefore 100% OPPOSE any form of treaty that removes sovereign rights as the WHO clearly intend to do. Therefore I believe ; 1. National and local ELECTED leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based proper science, not the WHO version which is patently corrupted, on what is best for their population. The WHO at best to provide science based advice 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.There can be NO place for big pharma in this process so measures must be in place that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Frankly I believe far from entering a treaty, the WHO should now be eliminated.
Citizen	I believe the WHO pandemic response should be limited as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I believe the WHO should be an advisory organization, not a regulatory one. It's impossible for one policy to be equally applicable to all nations, or to individual states and municipalities in those nations. Any policy decisions should not be mandatory, so there should be a provision for individual countries to opt out. Also it should be stated that there should not be any penalty for choosing not to comply with WHO decisions. Each country should be allowed to make choices based on what path is best for their own citizens. Provisions should be made to ensure that pharmaceutical companies and other powerful and wealthy health companies are not allowed to have any influence on decisions made by the WHO. One size does not fit all. Parents know what is best for their own families, Similarly, local, state, and national governments know what is best for their citizenry.
American citizen---retired	I believe the WHO should continue its original role as providing scientific and medical information and advice to sovereign nations whose leaders can then act to protect the health of their citizens without excessive damage to their economies, educational systems, political systems, and so forth. The international perspective could be invaluable in helping the leaders of sovereign nations to do their jobs properly.
Citizen	I believe the WHO should have a large think tank of scientists from across the globe, disclosing their education, employment, direct political affiliation etc so that scientific based medicine can be discussed and used to only give non-binding advise and recommendations to the world's nations. It should also be free from before mention politics and corporate donations to maintain credibility. This will also help reviewing scientific data quickly to expedite recommendation changes.
Second WakeUp House	I believe the WHO should not have the power to dictate what the US does. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans.
N/A	I believe the WHO should not proceed with any proposal for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. We did not elect the WHO, which is largely privately funded by Bill Gates - another unelected individual trying to dictate global health policy. Every living man and woman is able and should be free to make health choices for themselves, free from outside interference.
n/a	I believe the WHO should provide guidance and suggestions, however, member nations must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. One size never fits all. In addition, countries must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Delivery driver	I believe the world health organisation is flawed and only benefits the few. Over the past 2 years it has failed to bring cohesive answers to the pandemics end. Why would a global answer, be the goal? It has already been a global effort for 2 years. Yet now you wish to introduce globalism as the answer. This is just a power grap and stepping stone for more control of the common people of this world.
The United Kingdom	I believe there are a minimum of four main elements that must not only be considered but upheld. These are as follows: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I could go on, but the points above are pertinent to this round of discussion. Yours sincerely, Dr Christopher Wilkinson Cambridge United Kingdom
Private	I believe there is no requirement for a pandemic treaty and this is an overreach by the WHO We are not subservient to the WHO they are not elected by the people of Australia
Thyroid Petition Scotland	I believe there is no requirement for any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness because sovereign countries are best placed to decide the needs of their own countries. The pandemic preparedness strategies prior to covid were sufficient to tackle Covid 19 yet not used. Any international instrument remove autonomy from individual countries and citizens.
Ara institute of Canterbury	I believe there is no set way for every country regarding health and it's regulations. What works for one does not always work for another. Every leader of a country should be governing that country with its own response to a health crises, because we often have different beliefs when it comes to health, not just different religious beliefs, but personal. Nobody has the right to make decisions about another person's body and what goes in to it. We have no more right to tell another country how to handle their business, than they do telling us how to handle ours. Already, the autonomy of certain world governments and their solutions over covid, have overpowered our own doctors, who quite frankly, had better solutions. Being under the rule of one institution, does not make room for improvement, nor accountability if it goes wrong. It is pure dictatorship. Your handling over the pandemic and the misinformation you gave out back in 2020 was catastrophic. Do you really think you should be solely in charge of the world when so many do not trust you to do so? You have not earned that right.
None	I believe there is too much government intervention now. I'm a libertarian and I did not vote for the WHO to make rules on my behalf. Please, if this is as important as you believe it to be, educate the public and put it to a vote. Thank you, Carolyn Smith
None	I believe there should be a limit to the WHO's pandemic policies as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
GB Citizen/School worker	I believe there should be absolutely NO "new international instrument" on pandemic preparedness or response. Every country MUST be able to go it's own way via its elected governments and officials. Sweden for instance stuck to the original pandemic plan and fared better than UK for Covid. We must not be shackled to WHO instructions but be free, independent thinking populations.

Organization	Written submission
Humanity	I believe there should be checks and balances for abuse of power. Any actions towards the population of earth must be held to a high standard and any violations should be heard by the World Court. Should there be evidence of abuse towards humanity, all authority must be removed and if harm is experienced then serious consequences punishable by death and/ or seizing of all assets should be inforced in a speedy fashion. Each countries legal representatives should able to lay complaints for their citizenry.
NHS	I believe there should be democracy and transparency in all decision making re: future 'pandemics' re: lockdowns. Decisions should be made locally or national as appropriate. Impact on majority taken into account - cost v benefit looking at impact on general health. Manipulation of figures/data by media needs to be stopped by WHO (quoting deaths within 28days for example on news bulletins). Promoting fear is not a way to stop a pandemic it exacerbates it, this must be stopped. There should be fairness for all and the right to choose/opt out.
Member of the public	I believe there should be individual respect of human rights and an opt out of any medical procedure including vaccination the individual does not give their consent to. There must be a clear opt out process which does not curtail the freedom or human rights of the individual opting out.
Me	I believe there should be less centralised control, greater transparency of corporations both medical and financial both in preparedness and response to anther pandemic. There should be better and more open communication with citizens in every country. Personal autonomy must be respected and allowed without dicrimination. One size does not fit all with health and allowing the WHO to rule over the world under the guise of a 'pandemic' is undemocratic and wrong. The WHO is not an elected group of people so should not have the ability to control the world jsut because they have said there is a pandemic
None	I believe there should be NO Global Pandemic Plan, different countries have different needs and should be able to organise their responses as they see fit, not mandated by (unelected) health advisors who, despite their general understanding of pandemic and other health emergencies, can't possibly be experts on individual countries. A "one size fits all" response isn't appropriate and may well cause catastrophic harm to some countries whilst helping others. Rgds Peter Wright BSc
General public	I believe there should be NO international instrument for pandemic preparedness. Creating an international instrument attacks our bodily sovereignty, parental rights and informed consent. It would demean the principles of our US constitution and our privacy would be unprotected.
Individual	I believe there should be NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response; such measures are the jurisdictions of individual nations and individual citizens within them. It is not possible, as demonstrated throughout the Covid 'pandemic', for an entity such as the WHO to properly inform individual citizens of measures sufficiently suitable for their consent, and Nuremberg Code forbids the enforcing of such measures on individuals without informed consent, with historic penalties including death by hanging for doing so. If there should be no international instrument for pandemic preparedness or response, there can be no elements for it, substantive or otherwise.
Rebe KAH	I believe there should be no new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, and I am completely against the proposition. Every nation state should make its own mind up, regarding what needs to happen next, if there was ever another global pandemic. Every country will have its own experiences, and will know what is best for its people, according to the situation. Taking away the freedom of the state to choose what is right for its people can only lead to a dictatorial global leadership, and a disconnect from the reality of what is happening at the ground level, and the freedom to do something about it. A global treaty demanding a 'universal' approach could never work in a pandemic, as each country will have a naturally different response, depending on its own scientists, advisors and ministers. An International treaty' also directly affects the basic human right that gives us sovereignty over our bodies. The freedom to choose our own health care is a fundamental part of living in a democracy, with basic human rights. This International instrument could be construed as a fascist take over at a global level and using this pandemic as leverage to manifest this power at a global level. Let democratically and locally elected governments choose what is right for their people.
NA	I believe there should be no substantive elements included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It should be left up to the individual person to decide what they see fit to do for theirselves and their families.
n/a	I believe there should be no treaty. If there must be one sovereignty to states and nations MUST supersede any provisions in said treaty.
--	I believe there should NOT be an international instrument on pandemic response, but that all countries should retain full autonomy in the management of a pandemic. The instuments implemented should be decided at national and local level. Every country has to act in the interest of its own people, repsecting its Constitution and the rights of its citizens, in any case, even a pandemic. If an international agreement were to take place, then Nations and local municipalites should be free to opt out, without punishment. Most of all, the people should be given the right to oppose it, thorugh voting, without incurring in any negative consequence, for the people and the countries. No pharmaceutical company, no stakeholders and no investor in the medical and pharmaceutical field should be allowed to be part of the treaty and/or its implementation, even if only as advisors.
Individual	I believe these elements must be addressed / included: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process that enables all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures preventing the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all global health for profit entities.
World Council for Health	I believe these elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I believe these provisions should be included in any WHO treaty: 1. National governments retain full autonomy to decide what is best for their people. 2. Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Mechanisms to ensure that the treaty does not apply in countries where a majority of the population does not agree with its provisions. 4. Fail-safe mechanisms to ensure that pharmaceutical companies and any global health profiteers are prevented from influencing the treaty's implementation.
World Council for Health	I believe these should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	I believe these should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	I believe these should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign Huemans	I believe this can undermine the sovereign and unalienable rights of individual citizens and their constitution of their country's. There should be an implementation of some sort where the citizens of those countries have a say, a vote, a clear voice to be heard in how to go about these processes. The WHO has time and time again shown a lack in providing the care needed for the people they are to keep healthy from N1H1 blunders to allowing toxic laden foods to be consumed and distributed in the world. Is there a way for these to be corrected? yes! by allowing the voices and concerns of All the citizens to be heard and accounded for after being present clear and easy access to the information of the issues at hand, for corporate financing to be transparent in these issues, for un biased individuals to be elected not appointed, for each Nations constitutions to be honored above all else.
University	I believe this international instrument must respect the autonomy of every country. Each country as a matter of fact has its own peculiarity (people, climate, geography, laws, ecc.), it would be absurd to impose same guidelines for all. Also this pandemic showed us that some suggested measures were not appropriate, just because one universal recipe good for all is just an utopia. Health is sure very important but freedom and human rights are, as well. So I suggest to keep in mind the following elements. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. In any case minorities must always be protected.
Private citizen	I believe this international instrument must respect the autonomy of every country. Each country as a matter of fact has its own peculiarity (people, climate, geography, laws, ecc.), it would be absurd to impose same guidelines for all. Also this pandemic showed us that some suggested measures were not appropriate, just because one universal recipe good for all is just an utopia. Health is sure very important but freedom and human rights are, as well. So I suggest to keep in mind the following elements. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. In any case minorities must always be protectedI believe such international instrument must respect the autonomy of every country. Each country as a matter of fact has its own peculiarity (people, climate, geography, laws, ecc.), it would be absurd to impose same guidelines for all. Also this pandemic showed us that some suggested measures were not appropriate, just because one universal recipe good for all is just an utopia. Health is sure very important but freedom and human rights are, as well. So I suggest to keep in mind the following elements. 1. National and local leadership ret
Detour Pictures, Inc.	I believe this international instrument on pandemic preparedness is an overstep by the WHO, and it will have the potential to threaten civil liberties globally. Any substantive element should prioritize individual freedom and choice. This instrument will be a mistake and a catastrophe for freedom.
N.A	I believe this is a bad idea. Global knowledge share is good but forced policy is not. Many of the reactions we saw to covid such as masks have been shown to be of limited or no use. Sweden and Florida went light on rules, in the face of pressure globally, have come out best in terms of deaths etc
Nafd	I believe this is not about health and all about control so I do not agree with this planned preparation for a pandemic that is made up and about money and control ! Against against against
None	I believe this is not in course with the constitution of the United States of America. I do not think WHO should be taking any funding from a billionaire who is not a Doctor nor a elected representative and following his guidelines ever. I believe pharmaceutical companies and the greed that is very public knowledge shouldn't surpass the data that shows all records have or have not been recorded appropriately. Vote No! Be for the people. May god bless each and everyone of you. Do the right thing for the people!Vote No
private citizen, high school teacher	I believe this is the wrong way to approach world health. World health is not a one size fits all prescription. It should be left up to each individual nation to do what is right for it's own country, not for one organization who's leaders weren't even elected by the people to decide on the fate of the entire world. It is the job of WHO to make suggestions not binding policies for independent sovereign nations. If a proposal is to be put forward the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	I believe this must allow National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Every nation's situation will vary and each population will have different needs. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Most importantly, it must include Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I believe this new treaty, or instrument, needs to respect local governments and allow local leaders to make appropriate choices for the people they lead. These leaders must be able to opt out of the treaty if they believe it is in the best interest of the people they represent. In addition if a majority of people do not want to follow portions of treaty, they must be able to opt out without negative repercussions.
Self employed	I believe this sets a very dangerous president, judging by the poor decision making and over reactions in this last pandemic, allowing one governing body, not elected by the people is totally undemocratic. Poor decisions were made, I.e locking down healthy individuals along side infected individuals has never been done throughout the history of humankind and made no sense what so ever, especially for a disease that mainly effected the old with underlying health issues, there was no open debate fear was channeled through the media, the consequences of over reaction and of poor decision making will be felt for decades to come especially by the young. The greed of the rich and powerful will always find ways of influencing organisations and bodies such as this in an effort to gain more wealth and power, so no I think this is a very very bad idea.
Self and family	I believe this should not pass. In the event you proceed // we request. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	I believe this treaty should be stopped. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Furthermore, nations and local municipalities need to have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
General public	I believe this 'World Together' plan to draft a global convention or international instrument would threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate. It has the potential to implement suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. If we learned anything this past two years is that the loss of freedoms, the media manipulation and censorship has caused government over reach, and major mental health issues. I do not want global governments infringing upon, We The People, what you think is best for us. Regards, Susan Nickels
None	I believe vehemently that the following verbiage needs to be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Interested Alternative Health Practitioner	I believe very strongly that National and Local leadership must retain full autonomy, as it is fundamentally important for a Country to make it's own decisions about what is best for its population. I am concerned about the sway pharmaceutical companies and organisations funding the WHO have on policy making and decisions, which is why I absolutely believe each Country should have full autonomy to base their decisions on what would work best for them and not be controlled on a global level. An open and transparent process with the ability of every individual in the world to vote and have their say on all important issues , especially failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority do not want it. Measures put in place to stop the influence of any pharmaceutical company or organisation funding the WHO. The ability of any Country to opt out of any agreement that does not suit them.
A Sense of Balance Ltd	I believe we all have an inalienable right to autonomous sovereignty, both as an individual and as a nation.
Self	I believe we have learned the last few years that communication and cooperation are the most important things going forward regarding any world health crisis. In general ALL knowledgeable medical personnel should be invited to the table of discussion on treatment options of what is working and what is not. No one organization can possibly be in charge of a global issue that unfolds differently in different countries, and certainly no organization should be in charge of disseminating a vaccine that it is invested in financially! All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO can operate as a central clearing house of information for various countries to look to for advice on what is working in other countries, but there is no place to give up national sovereignty in some sort of global treaty. Medical situations and successful treatment plans can and should be as diverse as the cultural areas they are in, using local professionals and tools when at all possible. Respectfully submitted. Thank you.
member of public	I believe we need full autonomy at the national and local level in health care. Trying to apply health care strategies to a global population cannot address what is best for a local or national population. The world is not populated by "average" persons in an "average" environment, but by very unique populations in unique environments. It would be detrimental to impose a "one size fits all" approach via a worldwide healthcare agreement. We need individual populations to agree to their specialized healthcare applications, not to have them mandated without the population having any input. In addition, agreements between the WHO and its members need to be free of the influence of entities that would benefit from their being imposed. Pharmaceutical companies and global health organizations may likely fall into this category. In summary, I do not believe that an international instrument that is binding to member signatories is necessary. Guiding (not mandating or legally binding member nations) for pandemic preparedness and response, should be the path of the WHO going forward.
Concerned Citizen	I believe we need to decentralize the decision making and put the power back to communities. The following four points are my suggestions to how any further action should be taken: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank You for your consideration - we need to think of the generations to come and foster values of love, freedom and autonomy. Regards, Dilip PS The one size fits all model is dead and gone - please allow for individuality and the use of metric's to evaluate need by individual communities not dictated by a globalist agenda.
Health	I believe we need to provide a holistic open individualised approach to all health issues. There is no one answer to health and there is never a need for mandatory interventions of any kind. As from my experience there is no one fixed answer to any issue as people and their bodies response vary greatly. Each person is unique and what they need to recover can vary depending on their specific needs. There is no one fix for all. All discussions and responses to any crisis need to include natural and herbal options that are easily available, response can be quicker, more effective and financially cheaper to all people. Vitamin/mineral and herbal information that has been in use for centuries needs to explored for these possibilities in helping people quickly, as more accessible and at times more effective in helping people's health without consequences. Especially when experimenting with pharmaceutical products with unknown longterm consequences and studies. There was many natural options that could have helped people substantially but were not put out in the public media. This information was actively banned and labelled as 'mis information'. I believe there has to be complete separation of powers of interest of pharmaceutical companies and other corporations and all public health advice. There cannot be allowed by any public health body members or advice any conflict of interest. No research or studies used can be back by any corporation that could possibly influence or have a financial interest in the outcome. There has to be complete separation of public health measures from political and financial corporations.
Home	I believe we need to see a change in the healthcare system that allows more natural approaches and gives power back to the people instead of the money-grubbing pharmaceutical industry. The Earth and people are crying for a turn back to a more natural lifestyle and natural healing methods that are gentle on the body. We are killing people and the planet with the current "healthcare" system, which is really just based on keeping people sick so they can get more treatments out of them. Please stop trying to control the people with methods that are not working and are destroying our species.
Personal	I believe we should be able to have the following rights: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health to profiteer
Member of the public	I believe we should learn from the lessons of this recent pandemic and the wholly destructive course of action many nations imposed. We have learnt that lockdowns, imposed social distancing and masking along with untested vaccines have had a detrimental impact on society as a whole as well as on individuals. Governments have a duty to inform and reassure their citizens, not frighten and isolate them. The frightening use of ultra vires powers must not be used again. Democracy and human rights should NEVER be overturned. Natural herd immunity and common sense regarding self isolation is the approach which should feature solely in the international instrument, allowing people to have unbiased information from which they can make an informed decision about their health choices. The instrument should prevent the use of government acts using psychological manipulation such as behavioural science (SPI-B) to continually promote fear instead of calming the anxiety levels of the population down. It should stipulate that governments are never able to forcibly prevent family members and loved ones seeing each other, including in care homes and hospitals, especially in their dying days. From a psychological perspective the testing of healthy people should be prevented in future too. In addition should governments employ Covid daily reporting there has to be context and comparison. We have to be able to compare daily pandemic deaths with deaths from flu, pneumonia, cancer etc. and compare the total daily death rate to that of previous years, going back at least a decade.
Childrens Health Defense	I believe we the people are more than capable to make our own health decisions based on one's own bodily autonomy. We the people HAVE A RIGHT to choose what is best for us. We DEMAND full disclosure and transparency WITH AND NOT LIMITED TO ALSO THE RIGHT TO VOTE ON THESE DECISIONS. It is our God given right to choose what is best for our bodies.
n/a	I believe weight should be given to existing medications and treatments before proceeding with any emergency use authorizations for vaccines or genetic procedures. We have many extremely effective medications already in existence to resolve pandemics, and support our innate immune systems. Vaccines should be a last resort.
Personal	I believe what needs to be included in this new plan for Pandemic preparedness by the WHO is details of exactly what the plan will actually do for the world. It should include each countries existing preparedness and consider which countries are well prepared to deal with it as has currently been established, having recently come through the 2 year Covid crisis. The plan should contain what has been learned by each country in the world and never exclude the autonomy of countries to choose what is best for the population of that country. Many countries are well equipped and there fore do not need severe restrictions based on perhaps the weakness of other countries to make decisions. The WHO should work for the people of the world and not be making decisions that may profit large corporations while taking away the rights of individuals to choose their health care. The WHO has already proven that it does not have all the answers or resources to give the world what it needs in the event of another crisis. The Who also needs to research and recognize the science of alternative treatments to these diseases and not just allow the large pharmaceutical companies to create the notion that there is no other method but what they have developed. Make sure you are actually acting on behalf of all humanity and not the agenda of a few who stand to prosper in whatever their agenda is. Make sure your own agenda is clear and guilt free as you make the decisions that amount to demanding absolute submission by every country in the world.
Canada post	I believe when it comes to pandemic response we were horribly wrong with the one presented during covid. We need to have a sustainable way to deal with problems in our society and restricting life to the point of economic collapse is just not possible. We will see the damage done by covid lockdowns for years to come financially and also on the health of our citizens. There are many variables to be considered but i would say the best option is for everyone to make small adjustments if necessary, and protect the vulnerable. There should never be lockdowns, they quite obviously did not work. If the vulnerable are protected and we can function as a society to 90% normalcy i think that is in the best interest of everyone. Thank you
Cook and stay at home mom	I believe when large corporations such as the WHO or CDC get involved in public health from across the pond, it takes out the personalization to the health care needs of thay very community they claim they are trying to help. The larger the organization the worse off the situation will become when they get involved. Taking away a nations individual constitutions they have put together in order to say we have to follow these giant corporations making billions of dollars during a pandemic I'm not convinced was real. We have seen there was a problem with the very diagnostic tools used during these lockdowns that have high propensities for false results. The very PCR tests that were used were never meant to be used as diagnostic tools, which really leaves me wondering why this organization, CLAIMING to have health and well fare at forefront, didnt even know that these tests were never meant for diagnostic tools for viral infections.... which leads persons to believe either the who is incompetent at handling a pandemic on any scale, in which case it would irresponsible to the constituents of any nation to sign any treaty with this organization, OR they are malignant and wish to do harm under the guise of a pandemic, which only they can call out if it is pandemic?
N/A	I believe WHO needs to remember that each individual has the inalienable right to body sovereignty. WHO should not have legally binding power over the elected officials of the USA. By agreeing to a treaty, Americans give up the accountability our system of government ensures through checks and balances and fair elections. It is not beneficial for the American people.
Childrens health defense	I believe WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible including when an emergency exists. WHO does NOT have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Please do NOT allow the WHO to control our USA health decisions.
-	I believe WHO, if any, should be a comprehensive communication body for all state authorotiies. There should not be any international instrument prepared for next epidemies. WHO should stricktly go back to its roots - firstly with its stake parties, secondly as an advisory board. Or - it should finally say it is a private company and sell its knowledge on market - if there is any sense left. Earth does not need us. It is Good to know some people are ready to sell grandchildren and yell at the buyers at the same time. Earth will spit us out all.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	I believe wholeheartedly that the people of a nation should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities with the support of their population must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process must be upheld with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Self	I believe wholly that The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	I believe WHO's role should only be advisory and must not infringe on the sovereignty of member nations. I am firmly opposed to mandatory edicts from any non-governmental agency with a one size fits all solution to any global health emergency. Health freedom is a fundamental human right which must be respected by WHO. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone as WHO did in the case of Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquin in the Covid19 Pandemic. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right, and bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. Discrimination based on medical status is wrong. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong on the science and must be left to local health authorities. WHO should require complete transparency and require all pharmaceutical companies to provide full disclosure of all ingredients of their products and provide results of their clinical trials before any recommended treatment is made, even in the case of a Public Health Emergency of International Concern. Safe and effective repurposed drugs should be the first line of defense against any new emerging threat to public health, not experimental "vaccines' with minimal safety and efficacy standards. Thank you for your "advisory role" and respect for individual human rights.
Natural Bed Store, inc.	I believe with all my soul, that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A Human being with God given rights	I believe you are well aware of what the treaty should be built on which would Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	I believe you need to make sure governments and states have the right to determine what measures they wish to take when dealing with a pandemic or health crisis. One size does not fit all and you must not take away the rights of nations to react in the way they believe is best for their people. The WHO is trying to acquire way too much control over the nations of the world.
U.K. citizen	I believe your handling of the covid pandemic has been the worst, very unprofessional and completely pointless. I do not feel your organisation is capable of dealing with such a situation and there for I am totally against your plans as an international organisation. You have caused mor harm than good and feel ashamed that you hold the Hippocratic oath to help and heal. Kind regards, Ben Bagley
Citizen of the world	I believe, the substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument, on pandemic preparedness & response would be the following: National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Benchmark	I believe, the WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights.
N/A	I believe... 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any WHO agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All parties and persons involved declare publicly any financial and / or personal interests in said Agreement.
None	I believe: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
I am not affiliated with any organization	I believe: 1) National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; 2) Nations and local municipalities should be able to to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3) It is essential that an open and transparent process should be in place, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; 4) The influence of any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, should not be permitted.
Alterna-international	I believe: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	I believe: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is critical. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It is essential that measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	I believe: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I Bernadette and John kavanagh wish to place on record our official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
privat	I call for guarantees of state and individual sovereignty and guarantees of respect for human rights, and I call for legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies for violations.
Individual	I call for the precautionary principle to be respected, for guarantees of state and individual sovereignty to be upheld, and for respect for human rights and legal liability of governments and/or pharmaceutical companies to be integrated.
privat	I call for vaccine manufacturers and government officials to be held accountable, respect for human rights and for individual states to have sovereignity.
Degrassi Residents for Human Rights	I call on the World Health Organization to recognize both national sovereignty and the fundamental human rights. Voluntary and informed consent prior to any medical procedure, including injections, is absolutely essential. The threat of job loss or preventing people from traveling is objectively harmful, and using such threats to increase vaccine uptake is medical coercion. Medical coercion violates and invalidates informed consent. This is both unlawful and deeply unethical. Using an inadequately tested vaccine on children is like using them as human shields to "protect" the elderly. If we do this, we don't deserve to survive as a species. No jurisdiction should be allowed to violate our basic human rights with vaccine mandates, nor should the WHO be allowed to violate our national sovereignty by dictating any public health policy, including but not limited to vaccine requirements, mandatory masking and any type of lock-down. The World Health Organization must not be given power with out any mechanism for accountability. We have little enough representation in our "democracies" since the only voters who get the polices they want are the very wealthy. This is unacceptable and an international pandemic treaty is nothing but another power grab by greedy billionaires. Some may have good intentions, but "absolute power corrupts absolutely." Lord Acton wrote that in 1870 and his very next words were "Great men are almost always bad men." Some began with good intentions, but we all know the names of these men. We must stop them from proclaiming "the greater good" while doing immeasurable harm.
Norwegians	I can not see you are democratly ellected. I norway we have democrazy. We dont want your over ruling laws.
self	I can not think of a more poor use of public or private money. The notion that countries would voluntarily give up their sovereign rights for a one size fits all approach is unbelievable. This vaccines were rushed to market, they are very poor at their job and unsafe. 1223 people died in the first 90 days of distribution of Pfizer, previous vaccine safety programs are shut down after 50 deaths. The relationships between the WHO, corporations, these vaccine makers is so transparent, you should be embarrassed. The silencing of all voices on using repurposed drugs has been criminal and also so obvious. The vaccines are not safe, and no major media will talk about this. Not to mention whatever effectiveness they may have had, has long since gone Take a minute to look at case stats in Israel for example, after 3-4 jabs. How about natural immunity? In the history of vaccines and transmissible illnesses, no vaccine has ever out performed natural immunity. What makes this one so special? I can answer that, nothing! The wet market? Seriously folks, read book "what really happened in Wuhan." Start to think for yourselves people. Then there is the censorship of all rational voices if favour of your repetitive and non-based diatribe "trust the science" and "safe and effective" Frankly it just goes on and on. I wish I had more time to write something more thoughtful. I suspect no one actually reads these anyway, as it is "counter narrative" but as long as I can breathe I will continue to try. I have no confidence that with $31 B USD per year that anything will be any better than this disaster for the past two years. Stop this entire plan immediately, its insane for humanity
self	I can tell you what should NOT be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response—ANYTHING which presumes to usurp the power of sovereign nations to chart their own course, whether that be regarding health, food or ANYTHING else. People are waking up to this game you are all playing, and you are no longer deceiving a naive world. No one I know will stand for this, and any elected public servant here who goes along with this theft of our freedom will not have a job for long.
general public	I cannot accept the WHO making overreaching decisions in a sovereign country. WHO are not a democratically elected organisation and I therefore will not adhere to any of their diktats, Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
N/A	I cannot believe this has just come out and our government has not informed the Australian society regarding such an important matter. We also have not voted for the treaty, it is by chance some of us have knowledge of the treaty. We are sovereign people and want bodily autonomy! Our health is our personal privacy and decision to make and our god given right and free will, and not for corporation or industry or governing bodies to rule and decide. I do not agree or consent to this treaty
Private citizen	I cannot condone the plan by the WHO to use a globalist approach to the next pandemic. This would effectively mean each Eu country is handing over what's left of its health sovereignty to a private pharmaceutical funded organisation. Complete madness. During covid many Eu countries went against the WHO recommendations and from the latest data the results show that the WHO recommendations were not the only or right way to handle this pandemic. I hope this is not just a cover exercise by the WHO as I fear you have already made plans for total health control already. Please take into account that many educated people do not follow western medicine and would be forced into submission if the WHA is voted for by their countries. This would go against peoples human rights and freedoms. Do not expect the people of Europe to accept this. Thank you
Private	I cannot find words to express what do I think about this sick WHO idea. You should stay away from free People and stop telling lies! You should be locked down forever!!!!
None	I cannot think of any universal standards for pandemic health, except to let each country deal as it will, with the issue. I do not believe an international board to govern health policies is right. I am adamantly against such an organization.
Private person	I CATEGORICALLY DO NOT AGREE TO IMPLEMENT THIS DOCUMENT. THIS IS AN ATTACK ON OUR RIGHT OF CHOICE AND OUR FREEDOM, WHICH IS DUE TO EVERYONE, WITHOUT EXCEPTION.
Private person	I CATEGORICALLY DO NOT AGREE TO IMPLEMENT THIS DOCUMENT. THIS IS AN ATTACK ON OUR RIGHT OF CHOICE AND OUR FREEDOM, WHICH IS DUE TO EVERYONE, WITHOUT EXCEPTION.
Health	I categorically oppose the WHO developing an instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, given the WHO's history of declaring unjustified pandemics, imposing dehumanizing lockdowns and support of expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condoning censorship and disinformation on treatments that work. Funds are better spent on a thorough investigation on the WHO's performance in relation to COVID-19. Open debate and objectivity shall not be stifled by an organisation that has not acted in the best interests of humanity.
Not applicable	I categorically oppose to the proposal for the unelected members of the WHO to make decisions on behalf of billions of people all over the world. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities to be in control of their health. National leadership should retain full autonomy over national health issues and be answerable to local people, with recourse through national courts. Only those measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be passed and only on local or national (but not global) level. The WHO should retain advisory role only.
Gandhian Resistance FB page	I challenge the WHO to cite any time in the human history of pandemics when healthy people were locked down. If not, the proposal stands for tyranny.
none	I choose to remain anonymous. "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Nothing. vacate all activities and cease to attempt to override the sovereignty of the world nations. Bottom line: Stay out of our countries and cease all emergency preparedness activities.
usa	I claim my rights, and in particular the right to make my own decisions for my own body and mind and spirit. A treaty of any sort that takes away my God-given rights of health and liberty, such as the one that the world health organization is considering at this moment is the wrong path forward.
Individual	I claim: Guarantees for state and individual sovereignty Respect for human rights Exclusion of compulsory vaccination with mRNA vaccines Legal liability of government officials Legal liability of pharmaceutical companies Extension of the hearing by at least 2 weeks Information and invitation to the hearing in all major media, including public service broadcasters
Libertarian Party of Ontario	I claim: 1. I [nor is any man or woman] ...property of any man or woman; 2. No contract exists or consent to jurisdiction [control] over my property [body]; 3. No man or woman can administrate property without right; 4. No verified claim exists from any man or woman I trespassed cause wrong or harm; Produce verifiable evidence if facts above are NOT true... otherwise all involved will be held liable; I, wish to forgive all involved who trespassed moving forward and to protect our fellow man right now; Unless the WHO has a two party signature document with one of them being mine that states my body is the property of WHO and they are responsible for its maintenance, take your treaty and shove it where the sun does not shine. The very fabric of our society which includes our friends, families and all of life itself is being torn apart from your rhetoric
None	I Colin Aubury DO NOT want the World Health Organisation or any government's deciding the laws on any pandemics. I want Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. C.Aubury.
Private citizen	I comment that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I complete reject this proposal. WHO must be an organization that is there to advise and not to mandate how governments should act in a pandemic situation. The professional debate must be kept open for other medical experts have their say.
No	I completely agree with the Littlehampton Guild of Co operators submission
none	I completely agree with the WHO continuing to have a role in research and in the establishment of internationally accepted criteria and definitions of illnesses as is currently the case. An example of this appropriate use of WHO expertise and scope of practice is the ICD-10 which was created and is maintained by the WHO. It is an invaluable tool for diagnosing health complaints which advises practitioners on how to care for and treat their patients and/or clients. The WHO should remain within its scope of practice. For the WHO to operate outside of or beyond its scope of practice as a research organization and advisory board on health and patient care is tantamount to malpractice. Further, to grant any individual or organization the authority to impose their/it's will, it's definitions, or a set of demands/restrictions on sovereign nations or persons with regard to their medical decision making is a violation of the Nuremberg Code. Concerning the establishment of guardian-ships or conservator-ships of otherwise independent persons or their dependents, or the release from the same, there is a lengthy legal process requiring that incontrovertible proof of a person's capacity or incapacity to make medical decisions for themselves be presented to a court. For the WHO to be universally granted an absolute power of unchecked authority to impose it's decisions without consent or agreement (which ideally entails majority consensus obtained via democratic processes, voting, court proceedings, or on case-by-case basis) is a de facto violation of the constitutional rights and freedoms of citizens in most countries. Allyson Hurd, MA Psych
selfemployed	I completely and strictly reject having a global pandemic management through the WHO or any similar global Organization. The past years have shown that the focus was and is narrowed on just one 'health' topic neglecting almost all others. What we need is a diverse public health management instead of focusing on one singular problem (the virus) with one singular solution (the vaccine). Besides of that (the focus) such an instrument of power must not fall into the hands of a few decision makers without any democratic legitimation of the many who are affected of the measures. Yours sincerely Margit Koch
private	I completely and strictly reject having an overarching pandemic management on the part of the WHO. The past has shown that the objectivity of this facility is no longer given and critical voices have been removed. Such an instrument of power must not fall into the hands of a few given the current situation. Before such a system can even be thought about, it is necessary to cut the WHO's financial dependencies on interest groups, remove private investors from the organization, prohibit all financial connections of decision-makers to the pharmaceutical industry and punish misuse with above-average severity.
Personally	I completely disagree with new rules and instruments on pandemic! I don't want all the power to be given to one person or organization worldwide to make decisions about human freedom and health! Every country should decide for its citizens!
UNITE the Union	I completely disagree with the WHO proposal. It is dangerously undemocratic. We must not have our rights removed, including the right not to share our data.
Me	I completely disagree with the WHO taking full charge of world health responses. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	I completely disagree with the Who's pandemic preparedness. Each country should retain autonomy and control of what to do in a pandemic. Each country should make individual decisions on what's best for their country during a pandemic. A country's constitution should override any decisions made by the WHO. People's freedoms and liberties cannot be controlled by one organisation such as the WHO. Pharmaceuticals CANNOT influences the WHO or country leaders during a pandemic. To reiterate 100% against the WHO controlling people's lives during a pandemic. Choices are individual and should absolutely NOT be controlled by one organisation such as the WHO.
Marin Health Empowerment Center	I completely disagree with WHO governing all countries with regards to the pandemic response. I have no faith in this organization who is loaded with people who are paid/ were paid/ were employed by Big Pharma. The foxes are guarding the hen house and to make them in charge of everyone, everywhere is terrifying. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regular people need to have a say in this as they are being taken advantage of and forced to do things that are not in their health interest.
N/a	I completely disagree with your power grab, during a pandemic or otherwise. The World needs to condemn you for even thinking of it. Shame on you!!!
Self-employed	I completely object to this proposed pandemic agreement and the expansion of the WHOs powers and the subsequent loss of each individual countries sovereignty and autonomy over health matters. Public health needs to be governed and decided locally by the people locally. It is not a one-size fits all. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions on what is best for their own people. The process must be open and transparent, allow individual countries to opt out of any or all parts of the treaty as we see fit, without consequence and prevent the application of global policies if the majority of people do not agree or want it. The measures and policies should not be under the influence of any pharmaceutical companies or other health organisations that will profit from the policies.
n/a An Individual Person and citizen of Ireland	I completely oppose any act that will result in my loss of sovereignty as an Irish Citizen and a sovereign individual Human Being. WHO has no rights to override a country's sovereignty and power to make decisions for their citizens. Human beings have a God given right to bodily autonomy and to decide what goes into their body, in particular medical interventions. The right to decline pharmaceutical substances is mine, nobody has a right to mandate substances, not even governments or individual/s within that government. Our democratically elected governments are entrusted with treating everyone fairly and reject all discrimination. World governments, the WHO, and CDC completely ignored the rights of world citizens over the past 2+ years. In fact, trampled on our rights. I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official Official delegates to the WHA have no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland.
Citizen	I concur with the submission given by "intrest of justice" and the world health alliance. I want to make it plain as a citizen I will not recognise the authority of the WHO if a pandemic treaty is attempted. The WHO is overreaching, it is an unelected body and it has been made clear through the events of 2020 to the present the WHO are not to be trusted to follow clear unbiased common sense science. Doctors and scientists should not be censored, free speech should be free, a one fit for all approach to health crises is neither sensible nor approporaite. Individual freedoms should be maintained at all costs.
private	I consider an international instrument on pandemic preparedness NOT to be necessary. But if it is implemented it should be unambiguously formulated. Moreover, it should be negotiated by a fully democratic organisation in which every participant is elected directly by the people who will be affected by the regulations (which is not the case within the World Health Organisation). Furthermore, it must be ensured that the national sovereignty is guarantied as well as that the human rights are not affected in any matters! Finally, there must be a legal possibility to rise objection and negotiate eventual controversial subjects in front of an international and independent court.
Biologial Research Centre	I consider fundamental that national and local leadership must retain their full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The treaty must provide the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process must be granted with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. Should the majority of people of a given country do not want measures to be taken, the global agreement must not be applied in those areas. In order to avoid conflicts of interest, measures that do not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be accomplished.
general public	I consider it crucial that the World Health Organization global pandemic agreement does not undermine national autonomy. If the WHO tried to fit all the diverse populations of the world into one box, more problems will be created than solved. There has to be a very clear mechanism for countries or local authorities to opt out of any response declared by the WHO. And most important: no mandates. It is our inalienable right to chose or reject treatments and mandates have recently undermined confidence in the medical system, politicians and indeed the WHO itself. Of particular concern is the influence of the pharmaceutical industry with its huge vested interest. Where such astronomical sums of money are concerned, it is difficult to have any confidence. Therefore the WHO must remain completely independent of the pharmaceutical industry.

Organization	Written submission
n.a.	I consider it important that the following issues are addressed: 1. Countries retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I consider that the following must form the backbone of any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - The democratic right of every nation to govern their own response to any future pandemic or emergency, in line with the needs and wishes of their own people, without consequence. - Measures to ensure that pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers or any other organisations with a conflict of interest do not have undue influence on the steps taken.
Private citizen	I consider that the following substantive elements should be included: • National and local leadership must retain full autonomy and sovereignty, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • There must be an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to vote as individuals on the implementation of the agreement, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • The agreement must contain measures that prevent influence being exerted by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers, corporations and non-governmental organizations, either before or after implementation of the agreement.
Personal submission	I consider that the substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy over their area 2. The right for them to make decisions based on what is best for their own people should be preserved 3. Nations, states and local governments must be able to opt out of any and or all of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. " 4. An open and transparent process should be included allowing all people of the world to vote and prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. " 5. Commercial conflicts of interest should be prevented by excluding pharmaceutical companies from the process. It should not be the business of any government or health bodies to be promoting any supplier group's products. 6. Individual choice and autonomy over what goes into their bodies must be ensured without coercion.
Private Citizen	I consider the following 4 elements as paramount to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I request that WHO give serious consideration to their inclusion: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
N/A	I consider the following elements should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: Sovereignty of all nations as to their individual response to any future pandemic. It is vital that sovereignty and democracy are upheld in times of crisis, just as much as at any other time. If they are allowed to unravel in such periods, then this is the thin end of the wedge and risks far greater harms than any pandemic.
Private person	I consider the following points as indispensable - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private Retired	I consider the WHO a corrupt inconsistant dishonest organisation which has brought in self into serial disrepute . The WHO do not have the God given authority to violate the sovereignty of the people of the UK with its policy. The WHO policy is a serious violation of my personal sovereignty As a sovereign man of the UK I will not be contracting or complying with the intended unlawful WHO policy and rules .
Private Pharmacy owner and pharmacist.	I consider this to be extremely important to be fulfilled: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
just me	i copied this from steve kirsch and agree with it fully: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. "maintaining freedom to make our own choices about our own bodies, literally our own selves, is paramount.
None	I could write a whole essay but I won't, my thoughts can be simply summed up in one sentence …. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all programme, we need people and communities in control of their health.
America Front Line Nurses	I d not want to be a part of this treaty! No treaty!
private	I decline an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and Response under the leadership of the WHO, because this organisation has no democratic legitimation, but is financed by private organizations and states. The people of the democratic countries can not participate on any decisions that be made. The WHO should only give advice. How to Deal with a pandemic Situation is the task of the undepending countries.
US Citizen	I decree and declare that the US leadership does not have the authority to give an unelected group, the World Health Organization, control over the USA during a pandemic. It is outside their scope of authority to surrender our right to govern. They may only ensure we are protected from foreign attack or infringement of our rights. Any vote to allow this is illegal and without enforceable power.
None	I deem it important that WHO offers guidance and truthful education alone without attempting to govern any countries in their responses to any pandemic. All Nations and local authorities should remain free to make decisions that they, along with considerations of their peoples, find appropriate. There should be no consequences by WHO to this. No influence should be allowed by pharmaceutical companies or any other profiteering entities in suggestions developed for the good of the world. All this should be handled openly and with full transparency, allowing voting from all those affected and preventing any installation of overseeing policy if the majority of any peoples do not agree or don't want it. WHO should be held accountable for any deliberate misleading or falsifying of medical records, research and risks or manipulation of information or people in order for any individual or group to gain control over another. ALL that WHO contemplates should be considered and done for the interests and well being of ALL without preference. Thank you for any considerations
Ruth Merry	I deeply concerned about proposed changes to the remit & jurisdiction of the World Health Organisation, a noble but unelected supranational organisation funded by private business politically subjective government departments/state or industry-funded donations. Whilst I respect the integrity of those committed to promoting better health all over the world and of those highly qualified experts in their field, I cannot accept that a completely unelected body with such political 'clout' should be granted authority over nation states' governments during a declared pandemic emergency. Regardless of whether a so-called pandemic (I express it thus because we know you changed the definition of what a true pandemic was always agreed to be in 2008 leading up to the Swine Flu' of 2009) does kill millions of people, we must STILL not concede our sovereign national rights to an unelected body. This may sound uncaring but sometimes I do wonder if population reduction is a central part of the W.H.O.'s unpublished agenda, when we hear you talk so much about abortion provision globally and when we listen to one of your biggest donors Bill Gates talk about the role of vaccines in population reduction...so it is genuinely hard to accept that saving lives is the one and only motive involved in extending the power and reach of the World Health Organisation. Personally, I would rather COVID19 had taken the lives of a few more people in a 'natural' way, while the VAST majority of people developed lasting, free, natural immunity than all the people who have been injured or killed by the experimental gene modification intervention through mRNA - because most of them would have survived covid19.
Freedom Is Our Human Right	I deeply oppose this. It is antithetical to human freedom, breaks the social contract and is against common law. I will never recognise any notion of authority over me by the WHO.
01796 Pima	I definitely don't want a contract like that
Private	I demand every country should have their own pandemic policy, I don't give WHO the right to be over all governments and force the governments to follow WHO rules regarding pandemics.
private person	I demand guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and of every human being. I demand respect for human dignity, human rights and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. I demand the self-determination of each individual.
Germany	I demand guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights!!!
None	I demand health freedom. NO medical tyranny
India	I demand STOP the treaty immediately. This treaty is a direct infringement on our basic fundamental rights. I will not allow anybody to interfere even remotely on our Fundamental Rights.
Non	I demand that every person should be able to decide for themselves whether they want to be vaccinated and what health care they want to take. I reject a higher-level organization that dictates and commands this decision. Fundamental rights must be respected! Otherwise, this is misanthropic and a crime.
	I demand that every state and every citizen remain sovereign. I demand that the people keep and get back all their constitutional rights and freedom. I demand that the companies of the pharmaceutical industry which produce and sell the 'vaccines' are held accountable and have to take responsibility for the damage they do to the people. Besides that it is unbelievable that we can only comment on the way the contract is gonna be rather than reject such a contract in general. This is not a democratic act.
European Economy - citizen	I demand that mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and all discriminatory measures should be prohibited. everybody has the right over his own body!
AuthenticParent.com	I demand that my country stays free to choose its medical path in a pandemic and at all times. WHO should never be in charge of the USA or any country. A country and in the USA, each state, must stay independent of any global control in all regards, specially health. The USA is the country of freedom and the WHO will only obstruct out rights and override our country and states' decisions.
NotYourBusiness	I demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media
We the people	I demand the following 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best regards
private	I demand the possibility to democratically vote on any decision by the WHO since I have not elected you in a democratic process. I demand the guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, the respect for human rights and free choice concerning vaccinations and all else. I demand the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies of any medical intervention. I demand the freedom to travel without a digital vaccination passport. In short, Thank you for granting and respecting my sovereignty. Ina Hurst
Private	I demand the preservation of the sovereignty of the countries, respect for the constitutional and basic rights of the citizens. The liability of pharmaceutical companies for vaccine damage must be anchored. Disclosure of all pharmaceutical contracts, investigation of all reported vaccine damages. no global digital control! Respect for physical self-determination
Privat	I demand the sovereignty of the individual states and the souvereignty of the individual. Vaccination must always remain free decisions of the individual. Gouvernments or Pharmazceutical Company must be held accountable for their decisions. Human rights must be respected.
Rorschach Puss	I demand the treaty include these following clauses: the national and local leaderships retain full autonomy while reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the treaty as they see fit without consequence, an open and transparent process where all people of the world can vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it, and measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Walzfamily	I demand, that the WHO has to respect the following points: -Strengthening the independence of science an health care sector from economic interests. -Approval of alternative healing methods. -Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, -respect for human rights, -and legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
private	I demand: - Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, - respect for human rights, and - the legal liability of government / government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
None	I di not agree with what looks like a power grab, and your history dealing with covid, leaves me with no confidence in the WHO.
-	I dissagree that WHO should manage pandemic. I recommend that this topic should be the decision of each state itself.
member of public	I did not elect any member of WHO and do not prefer to have the WHO develop any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I don't accept any international instruments. There can be no one size fits all and the ability to abuse power with such an instrument is too great.
None	I did not elect WHO to represent me in my health decisions as a matter of fact I have not elected anyone to represent me in making my health decisions It is my body my choice at all times. I oppose WHO in all regards in imposing health decisions at any time for any one around the world.
Private	I did not vote for an international organization to control our public health. WHO has no right controlling anybody's country as the vast majority of voters did not vote for you.
My House	I did not vote for any of you. I live to a country where I can still vote for the people I want running the government and health \ pandemic preparedness policies. I don't want some international organization over riding the sovereignty of my country. Butt out.
Member of public	I did not vote for the WHO to take control of my medical needs. This is going against our human rights. I object to this strongly and will not be controlled by the WHO. This should be broadcasted on the news world wide so that the wider public can have a say on this.
Personal	I did not vote for WHO to determine my country's pandemic response. I wish for my government to provide a pandemic response which is relevant to this country's needs, not a generalised response given by an organisation that has not been democratically voted for by the people of this country.
N/A	I did not vote/elect for another body outside our nation to govern us. If our current government fails to deliver a worthy response to any health crisis, then we the people must be able to overrule and re elect new public servants to provide a better system. No one power should have full control over all countries and societies. Different countries and societies are there to be able to demonstrate different ways and responses to any crisis, thus test how it can be done different approaches. If we are to use one method and get it wrong, the results will be catastrophic!
Sovereign Person	I didn't elect anyone to oversee or manage my healthcare choices, therefore I don't plan on surrendering my right to choose or refuse them. That being said, if my right to determine what healthcare processes and procedures I am subjected to is stripped away then certain guarantees must be put in place. 1. If I am physically harmed or altered in any way by a forced healthcare process or procedure, the person or entity administering the process or procedure will be held personally liable for any damage, physical or mental disability, monetary loss, loss of life, loss of property. 2. My State Governor and representative will be held personally liable for my loss of my right to refuse such a healthcare process or procedure under my state Constitution. Monetary damages to be determined by me based on my present and future obligations, and awarded. 3. My national Government, including my President and national representatives will be held personally liable for my loss of the freedom to choose or refuse such a healthcare process or procedure under my National Constitution. If I am forced into accepting any intrusive and/or damaging medical intervention resulting in any physical or mental disability or loss, I will be guaranteed complete protection financially, physically. Monetary damages to be determined by me based on my present and future obligations, and awarded. 4. I do not agree to the terms of the WHO agreement.
UK CITIZEN	I didn't vote for you. You should not be able to dictate to countries as to how they should be run. The politicians serve the people if the country, not WHO
Sunolar	I disagree and am AGAINST with the global Pandemic Treaty. I feel it's the right of the citizens/public to control their rights and choices and not a governing body! Please vote NO on a Global Pandemic Treaty!!!
General public	I disagree entirely that there should be a global instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. We saw a lot of different approaches by country in this last pandemic and that is as it should be as each country's values and approach to health is different. There was much disagreement and unrest in most countries about the nature of the restrictions that were placed on citizens within their own country, can you imagine the global unrest if there was something universal required? Also, the WHO lost much credibility with the American public with the way they handled many aspects of this pandemic. They wavered back forth between different explanations of origins and recommendations for the public that didn't even provide any information regarding proactive action for people to take to prepare themselves for encountering a new type of virus/illness aside from fear based directions such as keeping away from others, masking, and waiting for a vaccine. This led to the censorship of health & wellness providers from many fields who offered helpful advice on anything other than the above mentions three actions, which is utterly ridiculous in the year and time in which we live, and with the knowledge that individuals have about supporting/protecting their own health. We all have many tools at our disposal. This is not the Middle Ages. I don't believe the WHO has the power to govern American life besides providing recommendations, and I don't think their policies resulted in dramatic positive differences from other countries. As such, I don't believe they are equipped to lead the creation of a global standard, which I believe would result in the further trampling of people's constitutional right to freedom of choice.
None	I disagree profoundly that any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response be imposed by anyone.. However if the WHO try to impose these measures on the world than I have the following to say. 1. I refuse to accept that anyone other than those I vote for to have any say in what rules I am obliged to follow regardless of any emergency real or imposed. So I demand that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. I will not accept that my country follows any rules or laws imposed by any one other than those I vote for hence I insist on the ability of my country, the UK, and other nations and local municipalities be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. I will not accept that anyone who I have not directly voted impose any rules or laws on me and consequently I insist on an an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. I will not accept that any organisation or company, including Pharmaceuticals, Medical, Governmental or Social Media impose measures that allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or social media. It's my life and body and the WHO or anyone else will not be allowed by me to interfere in it unless I have given my permission. I will only do that when I agree with anything unless I have been given sufficient truthful information to allow informed consent.
self	I disagree that any treaty of this sort should take effect. However, at least you should consider the following. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Every body is unique and a one size fits all medicine/program does not work just as each area of the world is unique also. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dr. Susan Chiddix	I disagree that the WHO should be able to supercede the power of governments of countries in the case of a pandemic. The response to the Covid pandemic has been catastrophic, with countries mandating vaccines which were advertised as safe and effective, while in reality they failed to stop transmission, severe disease and death and also caused death and severe injury for too many. Doctors must be free to use their clinical judgment, which a centralized response prevents by mandating treatments or declaring a rigid standard of care.
Construction Industry	I disagree that the WHO will have all the say when it comes to world wide pandemics, all the people from each and every country should have a say on how to handle another pandemic if and when one occurs , we the people are the ones that suffered the most, I disagree with how our government and councils treated the vulnerable the elderly, leaving them to die in care homes is unacceptable and should never happen again, treatments should have been given to people so that hospitalization wasn't necessary, these treatments need to be looked at in great detail, by independent companies and everything must be available for us the public to see and vote on how to handle a situation like this it needs to be done now, the pharmaceutical companies should have nothing to do with it, their agenda is to make profit, we the people need to be involved in how our lives are run, not being told be people who don't live in our shoes.
Citizen of USA	I disagree that there is a need for a Pandemic Preparedness Treaty. No agreement should be put in place that limits the free choice of individual citizens of the USA to making their own personal medical decisions in conjunction with their doctor. Personal medical decisions should not be made by an international organization such as the WHO. The WHO should in no way usurp the freedoms of the USA and the freedoms of US citizens to live freely and make their own medical choices under the US Constitution. Even as relates to a pandemic, the USA should not give away any of its sovereignty as a nation to an international body that is not elected by the US citizens. I request that you rescind the proposal for a Pandemic Preparedness Treaty.
Individual	I disagree that there needs to be an international pandemic treaty. However, if government choose to proceed without a referendum then the treaty should guarantee both state and individual sovereignty, so that people are not forced to put anything in or on their body that they disagree with. Also so that they are not forced to reveal sensitive medic information in exchange for goods and services. Human rights should remain untouched and intact and not be overridden or decided at the international level. Governments should remain liable for any infection control related non pharmaceutical interventions such as locking people in their homes, putting cloth over their mouth and enforcing deprivation of social contact Pharmaceutical companies should become liable for death and injury caused by their products
Sterling	I disagree that there should be any international pact or agreement that affects globally all countries or any two or more countries The so called pandemic should be dealt with by individual counties and states Not even countries The human rights and freedoms of humans is more important than any pact or treatise or global agreement No to this proposal
Resident	I disagree that there should be one unified response to any future pandemics or health issues . Different countries have different variables and any decisions should be voted on by the public, following an informed unbiased debate from a variety of different agencies . Pharmaceutical companies and others with monetary involvement or bias should not be involved .
Greater Nourishment Publishing	I disagree that we can even hold or should hold a world wide binding agreement on emergency pandemic response. The reason being that basic needs vary considerably from country to country. Cultural foods which are our first line of defense in support of our health differ enormously from one country to the next. Traditional medicines vary greatly. Exposures to toxins that are root causes to disease vary immensely. Each country, each culture needs to make their own best decisions. Westernized countries have no business making decisions for third world countries. Secondly, unless you can guarantee that no one at the table of decision-making has any personal financial benefit by the decisions made they have no business being there. If we have learned anything from the recent COVID pandemic, it is that we have made the very rich much more so and the very poor much more. This must stop. Thirdly, we need to close Gain-of-function labs wherever they may be. We need to consider them Chemical War-fare and make them illegal. We humans are making our science-fiction pandemic fantasies and we need to stop, now.
Verdure coaching	I disagree with a global alliance. It is impractical, unsustainable and unethical and it obliterates an individuals human rights. I wish the following; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I disagree with a global health pandemic policy. My body is autonomous and no one should be able to override this, let alone an organisation I have not voted for.
Private citizen	I disagree with ANY changes to give WHO more "authority" over citizens of the U.S. At the very least, National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I envision an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I disagree with any proposal for a one size fits all policy. Each country should be able to continue its own policies in accordance with national sovereignty.
Citizen of the United States of America	I disagree with any treaty being developed that will mandate lockstep pandemic responses. I believe that the United States of America is a sovereign nation as are many others and should not be stripped of its rights of selfgovernance by a few rich people who have systematically bought off numerous leaders and much of the medical establishment to further their own unrighteous goals. I don't believe what has been happening during the last couple of years has anything to do with promoting good health for the many people of this world, but in reality is the evidence of the selfish interests of a few being exalted over the best interests of the many. If these so called philanthropists were really interested in promoting good health for the peoples of the world then they would allow healthy debate and allow the truth to be expounded rather than muzzling anyone who does not agree with their narrative.
Individual self	I disagree with centralizing or diluting leadership. Sure it might seem efficient and cleaner but national and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Someone,and yes you are just a another human being who may have an interest in controlling order and that means me, have no right to manage how our local and its members behave. The powers you have should only ever be to make a recommendation and nations and local municipalities should have the option to enact these or opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. With social media and global communication systems you could have a more open and transparent process to seek input from all interested people and not just the current elected party who in some countries only care about what they can change and measure in 3 years. I would like to see you consider a process that will provide more open global input and the ability for all people of the world to vote on issues including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want to participate in the decisions made by your small team. If health is really what you believe in why are you so heavily influenced by pharmaceutical companies. There need to be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I disagree with giving the WHO power under any emergency, health wise or other. The WHO does not have the United States in their best interest and does not constitutionally have that power and should not be given that power. Once it's given, they will not give it back. Additionally the WHO is an appointed group that is NOT representative of the people. This power should stay with Congress. I demand that we do not give any power to the WHO regardless of the situation!

Organization	Written submission
SHF	I disagree with handing the power over to the WHO in time of emergency. This is unconstitutional and not for the interest of the people. The WHO does not know what is best for the people or the country because they don't have a clear picture of every detail of what our specific country's needs are. They don't know if there's any security issues entangled with the health issues. This will destroy our country. Additionally the WHO is just some appointed group of people. Why should we hand over power to them? This is a power grab set up and once power is handed over, we will never get it back. I disagree with the government signing this treaty and request they don't do it. The power shall stay within the current power structure (congress) and leave some foreign power grab out of our country.
None	I disagree with having a global pandemic action plan I don't believe it is the best for all solution. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Health Protection Agency	I disagree with the concept of a global body being able dictate on local issues. Being on the ground is far more important than being part of a global body with the disadvantages of delayed response to crises. Autonomous control of countries and regions must be the goal not globalism.
No	I disagree with the concept of a global strategy for addressing a pandemic. Global solutions will be too broad and a practice that may be effective in one region may be less effective in another. Each region of the globe will be affected differently and specific medical decisions will need to be made. It is unrealistic to think that a "one size fits all" policy would be more beneficial than each locality applying solutions that fit their particular circumstances. Respectfully submitted.
Synergenics Consulting	I disagree with the decisions made in the health care of myself and others in my nation sovereign state to be made by a world organization such as the WHO who has no authority under the Canadian health care jurisdiction specifically when it acts as a non-governing government body made up of pubic votes. The heads of state need to follow my desire to have democracy in our own country and control of our own. health care decisions not made by the WHO. Following needs to be included for any preparedness. Legally we are not bond by the WHO. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Fjuka Bait	I disagree with the forthcoming treaty giving the WHO autonomy over future pandemic legislation. This should only be the right of an elected government.
None	I disagree with the general idea of there being a global scale pandemic response after seeing the gross abuse of power during the last two years. If there were to be a global scale response, the independence and individuals choice must be recognized. There is no one size fits all to health and well being, and a person must be able to make the choices they deem best for themselves and their families. There would be absolutely no forceful mandates, laws, or requirements. From locking people in their homes, reprimanding those refusing to wear the highly controversial facial masks, and firing from their livelihoods those refusing to inject their bodies with a shot they disagree with, we have seen that our governments and organizations care little for freedom and independence.
Independence Pass Foundation	I disagree with the idea of a International Agreement on Pandemic Preparedness. Countries should have their own plan as they best know their people and it's way of life. The idea that a panel can make decisions for the entire globe is just misguided and if implemented will prove the downfall of whatever it attempts.
Self	I disagree with the implementation of this proposed instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It seems the catalyst (genesis) of this has been under the guise of the supposed threat of COVID-19. Even had this Covid, turned out to actually be a significant danger to our health, the way it was dealt with has caused much more harm. The world can only see more destruction coming from further acts, similar to that, of the Covid response. Our rights and freedoms, are more valuable, than another failed attempt at forcing the people of the world to undergo dangerous treatments, and enforced isolation.
Privat	I disagree with the international management of any pandemy. Each state should behold its sovereignity to call and end any pandemy. I am against any international pandemic body.
None	I disagree with the need for this intervention by the WHO. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The agreement must provide the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This agreement is currently not an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This agreement does not provide measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PIP	I disagree with the plans for pandemic preparedness and response because I don't trust the WHO anymore. I think there is to much power concentration on the WHO. I need selfdetermination und human rights. The WHO is not independent especially not from M. B. Gates Foundation. To much comercial and power interst and less health interest.
Podrekas Benefits	I disagree with the proposal of state of emergency or pandemic being signed over to WHO. Such an agreement would only be possible with a referendum. I say no to WHO plan.
British Citizen	I disagree with the proposed pandemic treaty. It is a gross breach of individual sovereignty and human rights. Further the WHO is not an elected representative of UK Government and is therfore not directly accountable to the electorate. The proposed treated waives the rights of democracy in the UK, to a non accountable body with no powers of redress for any adverse consequences to health wealth and wellbeing. Every country should in consultation with its own electorate have the right to develop its own public health policy. The UK government has no mandate to universally sign away the rights of its own people to bodily autonomy in this way. I strongly advocate against this treaty it is a flagrant breach of fundamental rights.
none	I disagree with the proposed treaty. As citizens we have a right to privacy, and informed consent, which this treaty could preclude. Thank you.
Self	I disagree with the proposed WHO treaty. The WHO is no longer independent of private corporations or other bodies which profit directly or indirectly from it's recommendations, yet hold undue influence over the organisation through substantial and regular donations. To reflect the democratic process, each citizen of each nation should be given the right to vote - following a period of open transparent debate - before powers which affect people's daily lives are handed over by their governments to the body of unelected 'officials' which is WHO. Without the ability to respond to future health events on a country-specific level, we are in danger of falling prey to one bad plan, such as the response to Covid-19 where people's human rights were not respected and measures were implemented which have destroyed the very fabric of our society. This cannot be allowed to happen again.
public	I disagree with the suggestion for an International Treaty. A pandemic must be dealt with by appropriate and flexible cooperation; open exchange of information with respect to the principles of sovereignty. Sharing information on maintaining individual rights and freedoms in order to encourage free cooperation to tackle an evolving situation is necessary. Also considering the ORIGIN of a pandemic and various ways of treatment are very important.
Private	I disagree with the suggestion for an International Treaty. A pandemic must be dealt with by appropriate and flexible cooperation; open exchange of information with respect to the principles of sovereignty. Sharing information on maintaining individual rights and freedoms in order to encourage free cooperation to tackle an evolving situation is necessary. Also considering the ORIGIN of a pandemic and various ways of treatment are very important. NO MORE LIES FROM YOU AND MEDIA
	I disagree With the Treaty And The WHO's overreach. The question asked leaves no room for dissent to the question
Self	I disagree with the treaty for the following reasons: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not Applicable	I disagree with the treaty. In no way should our constitution and our God given rights be overridden by anyone or for any reason. It is truly dangerous to allow power to a few in the name of the "greater good." We should learn from our past that this never has a good outcome. We can already how the pandemic is being handled poorly by countries blindly following dictator style leadership, with no dialogue and debate.
No organisation just an individual	I disagree with the WHO having this pandemic treaty. This will increase the power the WHO has and demise the autonomy of the individual. I feel strongly that no organisation should be able to have that much power.
General public	I disagree with the WHO implementing an international pandemic preparedness plan for the following reasons: 1) The WHO is made up of unelected staff therefore they should have no right to make decisions on behalf of global citizens. 2) Pandemics affect different areas of the world differently so there can not be one solution for the entire world. Keep in mind countries that did not lock down their citizens actually recovered much faster than those that did lock down... 3) History demonstrates clearly (especially in the past 2 years) that politicians do not feel obligated to act on behalf of their constituents. This looks like yet more over-reaching by those who do not have the publics interest at heart, and want to have complete control over them. 4) Pharmaceutical companies should not be allowed to influence in this process, as they have demonstrated their mandates/restrictions etc are based solely on making money, and have no concern for the health of the global population. Thank you, W. Martin
personal	I disagree with the WHO plan for a pandemic treaty. This is based on these four principals. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Marland	I disagree with the WHO taking global control over health issues on a number of fronts. They are not an elected body and big pharma has far too much influence. This results in decisions being made that are influenced by economic agendas. Health decisions are to be made by elected people on a local basis. Judging by the handling of Covid19, I do not want the WHO to be responsible for my health. It has been appallingly badly handled! So a big NO from me!
Independent	I disagree with the World health organization having any control over New Zealands governmental bodies. My reasons stated as follows, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I disagree with this entire organization. Me and my family completely opt out. Please destroy this document and a disband immediately.
self employed	I disagree with this international instrument completely. This is just a grab for power which is not yours to take. The people will not tolerate what you are trying to do. You are not voted officials and you have nothing to do with Canadians health.
None	I disagree with this proposal because it undermines dangerously national sovereignty and individual freedom, just as it happened with covid-19 "pandemic". I am deeply unsatisfied with the way in which the situation was managed and there is no reason for believing that things could go better another time.
N/A	I disagree with this proposal. Vaccine passports would take away bodily autonomy. None of us know how we would react to this vaccine and some people have had serious adverse reactions from taking it. Plus the vaccine does not stop you catching or transmitting the virus. Digital ID's are discriminatory because not everyone has a smart phone, some people struggle to access health care and some people have genuine fears about the vaccine. There are people with conditions such health anxiety, needle phobias, Autism etc . Is it fair to punish these people? I don't believe we will ever eradicate COVID-19 and its something we are going to have to learn to live with. Instead of vaccination, we need to make sure people are eating right, getting enough exercise, vitamin C and D, having good mental health etc. The more healthy people we have, the less Covid deaths we will have.
N/A	I disagree with this treaty and it should not be implemented. At a minimum, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	I disagree with this WHO global pandemic agreement that will give the WHO undemocratic rights over sovereign people.
Privat	I disagree with this WHO pandemic contract! We dont need it. I want decide myself what to do. You have to save our human rights and our freedom! You have also to save our national laws!
	I disagree with what you are trying to implement, i think each individual country should assess the situation as it arises and not have one organisation dictate how we define a so called pandemic, the rules and definitions of a pandemic have been altered to suit the needs of the elite. This was never a pandemic but just the next move on the chess board. I for one do not agree with it
self	I disagree with WHO having ANY control of the USA AT ALL!. FOR ANY REASON! PERIOD!
Personal	I disagree, and want Australia to remain independent in healthcare decisions of its citizens All citizens should make their own healthcare decisions National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There are no protections from corruption or tyrannical over reach
Private Person	I dismiss anything like a treaty for an international preparedness and response process, because it cancels the national constitutions, annuls the right of self-determination of nations and would not be legitimized via an democratic process by the public!
N/A	I do believe countries should keep the individual legal full authority to make decisions independently . The decisions should be based on scientifical objective facts . All scientists should be heard not just the official advising committee , also and especially those who raise doubts and objections . Therapies and treatments should always be voluntary , especially when experimental but not only . There should not be any discrimination or restriction of any sort based on choices of therapies or other choices affecting human rights
Bayside Christian College	I do believe it is of utmost importance for any international pandemic documentation to first and foremost uphold the right of each individual as well as that of participating countries. The World Health Organisation as a governing body should offer support and suggestions but not become a political entity overriding countries' sovereignty. The pandemic has affected different nations in different ways and a one-size-fits-all approach of for example global vaccine mandates is not the answer.
Private citizen	I do believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I am not sure why the WHO thinks they are uncharge of my health or that of my family and that they have the right to make choices on how things should happen.
none	I do believe we cannot join together with other countries with WHO for healthcare needs. Our needs need to stay close to home. We need a patient doctor relationship. We need detailed studies and complete informed consent. We need freedom to make our own healthcare choices. With global entities in charge healthcare is slow and corruptible. There are not one size fits all for all humans. We cannot expect the same needs for everyone all over the world. The needs in Kansas United States is different in Zambezi Africa just one example. I do not even believe the oversight even in US have made better choices for patients than a patient/doctor collaboration works. I do not believe the WHO or CDC should be dictating care but be a recommendation group only. I recommend that all of the errors which have been very harmful should be reviewed. A recommendation to review all of these departments and have them changed to a much safer choice than current operations of these departments is important for public health in general.
Private	I do DISAGREE to an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! I do not trust the WHO, as it is financed by parties in the background, which I do not trust. I propose to finance the WHO by countries again, instead of private interests. AND: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden
Germany Citizen	I do firmly protest any treaty that rules out the laws of my country and that is against the highest laws in every democratic country: the right to be free and the right to decline medical procedures. Ever since excanging the passage that a sickness needs to be deadly to the guise that a virus only needs to be spreading fast for it to be labled as a pandemic, in that definition it could be the common cold that allows the anyone to shoot citizens who sneezes on spot. And No I will not accept a signature of germanys health minister to allow any NGO to choose over the rights I have over my body.
private	I do hope, that the focus of such an agreement will return to the single goal to help mankind. What we saw very clearly in the past pandemic is the sacrifice of human dignity on the altar of medical politics and management. Please stop that!
An American citizen	I do mot believe there should be a global response to a pandemic. I believe individuals and sovereign nations should be responsible for their own response to health issues. I do not believe turning responsibility over to a remote organization will be workable.
individual	I do no agree with the concept that there should be any form of global authority over any form of medical interventions. Each nation should be able to make their own decisions subject to their own democratic processes. Also every individual should have the right to privacy and choice over any medical decisions and there should be no surveillance in any form.
American PATRIOT	I do no believe there should be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. An international treaty would remove power from the US and would be dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Our nation and local leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Private citizen	I do no think an international instrument is appropriate re pandemic planning. It would afford WHO too much power and crush the constitutional rights of every country involved. The WHO is not the appropriate organization to be deciding on international rules and regulations for free citizens of the world.
Personal	I do no think any elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as I do not believe that WHO should have any directing powers over sovereign nations and that no such instrument should be developed. The only evidence needed for my proposition is the woeful response by WHO to the recent COVID-19 outbreak.
Individual	I DO NOT support any level of WHO as an international instrument or partner or Coordinator in ANY Level of WorldWide preparation for future pandemics. ALL decision making should be left at a nation , state , or local level !!!
Robert Evans	I do not accept that a private organisation dictates to me or my family any medical procedure or intervention whatsoever without my/our express and fully informed consent.
Person	I do not accept that the WHO makes any sort of decision on any health issues that overrides any elected government, you are not an elected body, you mandates are not legal or based on any type of civilized law or natural law.
citizen of Poland	I do not accept that. Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private individual	I do not accept the premise of the guiding question, asking what substantive elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This is because I do not consent to the WHO being given the power to determine a "pandemic response" or responsibility for managing any kind of future emergency which will apply globally, and therefore do not believe the UK should be a signatory to any new international instrument for this purpose. Sovereignty in this matter should remain with the government of the UK which is accountable to its citizens, who would fund such response through the payment of taxation; the WHO is not accountable to the citizens of the UK. A 'one size fits all' WHO devised response, where the same measures are imposed on countries all around the world, may not be appropriate for the needs of the UK. Such a response, if required, should remain solely within the purview of the government of the United Kingdom. I do not want to be subjected to any dilution of my bodily autonomy, fundamental freedoms and human rights due to measures imposed on me by virtue of the WHO.
Private	I do not accept the treaty, it removes sovereignty of countries and strips individuals of their personal, private medical rights, including their right to travel & earn a living.
Public citizen	I do not accept the WHO's objective of controlling every countries health, even in a pandemic, they can give advice and Information. But each country is sovereign and should have the right to decide what's best for it's people, even if that means ignoring the who. The Who is an unelected body, and as such has no authority
Private	I do not accept this solution. Who should have nothing to do with any country. You are a private and corrupted organisation. No regards
None	I do not agree
Vitallounges GmBh	I do NOT agree
Peace	I do NOT agree and stand against this. It's wrong and Goes against America's constitution and the freedom of every human being on earth.
self employed	I do not agree and vehemently oppose the WHO being in charge of medical protocols of other countries.
Sovereign Citizen	I do not agree at all with an "International Instrument" on pandemic preparedness and response. I feel that any plan that would strip nations of the right to determine their own health policies in the event of a pandemic is nothing short of a power grab. Public health is not a "One Size Fits All" program. We need people and communities to be in control of their own health. There should no such thing as an "International Instrument" that can supersede the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution.
None	I do not agree at all with any international instrument to be used/implemented world wide during a pandemic. We do not need any int'l organization on pandemic preparedness. The WHO already has an advisory role om Health and it should just stay an advisory role. In democratic societies the people can elect politicians who can to do the decision-making and if they do not make the right decisions, these politicians can be replaced in new elections. Every country has their own government that can decide on what is in the best interest for their particular country or situation. No international organization should have the authority to decide what needs to happen in an autonomous country.
Please select	I do not agree children should be vaccinated. We need our human rights. We have the right to make our minds up about what goes into our bodies. Force vaccination should be made legal, the vaccines are killing people.
self employed	I do NOT agree in having a treaty with the WHO. We must have: 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, (if signed), as they see fit, without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe, measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, (and the majority of people DO NOT want it). 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Signing a treaty with the WHO gives full authority over our lives to unelected officials and is against our civil rights. We do not want this!
Myself	I do not agree nor do I consent to any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Individual human rights must never be eroded "for the greater good" as that philosophy is communism and I reject that totally.
Citizens for Health	I do not agree on any over-arching health authority to supersede local physician/state/national autonomy during pandemics, and the primary reason for this is that individualized health care is a laboratory for medical progress in the same way that the US states are laboratories for democracy. Decentralized autonomy is good; centralized control leads to abuses of power and moreover it allows for political control to be exerted in the guise of medical necessity. It's appropriate for guidance to be given by health authorities that stand over and above local authority, but it is not appropriate for commands or dictates to be handed down that have authority of law or are in any way coercive. I agree with the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	I do not agree or comply with the WHO Treaty, unless the following points are integrated into it: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An information process that will promote the right to INFORMED CONSENT for each and every individual for any medical procedure. 4. Measures must be put in place to ban any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	I do not agree or comply with the WHO Treaty, unless the following points are integrated into it: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An information process that will promote the right to INFORMED CONSENT for each and every individuals. 4. Measures must be put in place to ban any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public citizen	I do not agree or comply with the WHO Treaty. Under their administration, there has been much carnage. There must be an allowance for nation's rights to determine their own actions. There must open discussions with world specialists on problem solving. There can be no science without freedom of speech.
None	I do not agree or support any global pandemic policy from the WHO organization, which will over-ride, undermine, and supplant the democracy of any or all nations.
Retired airline captain/self	I do not agree or want a global pandemic response. It goes against our constitution in the United States and the good of the people in the rest of the world. This has to end NOW. The WHO has no jurisdiction in the lives of private citizens. I know you will try to do it anyhow, but this must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Captain Kathryn McCullough Microbiologist/Environmental Health degree from Colorado State 747 Captain NWA/Delta Air Lines, retired
Family	I do not agree that a global initiative needs to be made regarding a pandemic response. Each community should decide for themselves how to respond. There should always be bodily autonomy, no one should be forced or coereced into recieving any treatment or prevention unless they personally consent. Any company or health authority should be completely transparent and accountable for any health care/medications/vaccinations they propose people receive. Those in poorer situations deserve the same access and right to refuse that any other community is allowed.
None	I do not agree that a global pandemic treaty is either necessary or required. Each individual country and citizen should have the right to decide for themselves how they respond to such an event. The WHO's recommendations with regard to Covid-19 about mask waring, social isolation and vaccine mandates/certificates have proven by many studies and accredited scientific professionals to have been disastrous in trying to prevent and contain this virus and have led to economic meltdown, mental health issues, restricted medical practices leading to many more deaths and injuries than would of happened if the physicians of the world had treated this virus with the knowledge and medications already at their disposal. Covid is a virus like many others from which the majority of people recover given good health, worldwide the rate of recovery is over 99%, this cannot in any way be seen as an epidemic that is causing major loss of life. The WHO would be better employed in increasing the health of the world's citizens through better nutrition programmes, improved sanitation and promoting the facilitation of clean water. If as much effort and money was spent on just these two measures, more lives will be saved than were ever lost to covid19!
citizen	I do not agree that a global treaty is required. I do not agree that the WHO has the power and authority over citizens of the world. And do not approve of giving it to the WHO.
Citizen of Eire	I do not agree that a pandemic treaty is necessary.It will take away a sovereign nations ability to deal with health matters as it sees fit.It would be against Irelands constitution to enter such an agreement.The WHO I believe has been corrupted as it is funded by pharmaceutical company's who's main interest is profit.The handling of the covid pandemic has been disasterous and has possibly created more collateral damage than it did good.If the measures used for covids are what WHO have in mind in the future I am totally against this approach.NO TO THE TREATY.

Organization	Written submission
NA	I do not agree that an institution whose members are not elected by the people of any country should have executive powers over people's lives. I do also not agree that one same institution should have the powers to both call out an epidemic and then have wide ranging powers to manage that same epidemic. what I do request teh WHo consider is that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Being	I do not agree that an unelected international body should be in control of global pandemic responses. Government democratic processes need to retain their sovereignty without the WHO dictating global responses.
Private citizen	I do not agree that any foreign body such as the WHO should be granted overriding authority to implement pandemic responses as they deem necessary even if under such a 'treaty'. Such authority should only ever sit with each countries government with the WHO as consultants but they must not be granted any kind of mandate that includes any form of authority. This treaty would serve to undermine the constitutions of democracy in many countries as it the WHO would ultimately be mandated to override laws and even human rights as they deem fit.
Citizen of Canada	I do not agree that ANY international pandemic response should be created. Each individual country should remain sovereign over their own health responses in the event of ANY health issues including pandemics.
Individual	I do not agree that any world treaty should be enacted. Specifically, the following should be included: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I do not agree that Canada should sign this Treaty. It is not in our best interest.
X	I do not agree that countries should be banded together and forced to react in a manner which is dictated to them from outside powers. This step leads to one-world governance which is out of the question. Countries should look at their own situation and weigh up what is correct for their own people. The recommendations from the WHO and other global medics was a complete disaster and overreactive. People suffered far more from the measures than Covid 19.
Barbara Belger Coaching | Therapy	I do not agree that such international pandemic response should be in place at all as it represents an unjustified overreach by the WHO as organisation on individuals' basic human rights. The last 2 years as well as certain measures in 2009/2010 and even during the first SARS epidemic have increasingly drawn people toward panic and unhealthy fear-driven behaviour INSTEAD of providing health responses and advice on how to be and remain healthy. And no, experimental drugs such as these 4 main 'vaccinations' are NOT a solution and neither is it to change definitions of words such as 'pandemic' just to suit a current plan. I am aware that this submission wouldn't change anything as it will not be regarded in this growing undemocratic or rather totalitarian world, but at least I've tried. My understanding and admiration of the human body-mind system is enormous, its ability to regenerate and heal endless and what has been implemented these last 2 years by the WHO has NOTHING to do with health and wellbeing. Please stop !
Self	I do not agree that the W.H.O. has the authority to make treaties with governments without the consent of the people especially if they are not aware of what they are locking themselves into. This treaty should not go ahead.
Individual man	I do not agree that the WHO should have any binding influence over pandemic or any other medical responses, either locally or internationally. Any advice on pandemic response should be merely advisory. As an unelected body the WHO have no right to impose rules or restrictions on sovereign nations or their citizens. The covid response was unfounded in science and contributed to suffering and death on an even greater scale. No nation should ever be bound by WHO policies or recommendations.
MD	I do not agree that the WHO should have any powers that supersedes the rights of people within their nation. The WHO must respect individual nations.
Public	I do not agree that the WHO should have control over individual countries . Individuals and individual nations should be able to decide for themselves how to manage any pandemic or emergency.
Layperson	I do not agree that the WHO should have control over over country and it's citizens in future pandemic responses. Each country and it's citizens have individual rights that need to be adhered to irrespective of emergencies. The complete failure of the COVID-19 response has shown how removing people's rights can have severe detrimental effects. I did not vote for the leaders of the WHO so they have no right to govern my choices on how to live, speak or research what to do for my individual health.
Private individual	I do not agree that the WHO should have the authority to do this. It should be left to each countries democratic governments. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I do not agree that the WHO should have this kind of unchecked authority. The WHO has seemed to know what it was accomplishing throughout the pandemic and millions have died. You seem too connected to businesses and business leaders to make independent decisions. Those companies and leaders have prospered beyond belief. The idea of "Health" has gone out the window.
American citizen	I do not agree that the WHO should have this sort of authority. At the very least, any agreement should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I do not agree that the WHO should participate in Decisions of health on behalf of sovereign nations. These must remain with National and local leadership which should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is necessary. Health decisions should remain an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat	I do Not agrèe that the WHO should rule over the the countries Every Country should ne souverain and Make their own décisions
Private	I do not agree that the WHO, and its wealthy funders , are legitimate, appropriate or safe stewards of such power as the WHO Pandemic Treaty will give them. Why would a distant institution that is not directly accountable to the people enjoy such power over them? Power is intoxicating and being in the clubhouse of the super-rich no less so. It is no cliché to say former generations fought and died to secure our basic freedoms, sovereignty and democratic processes. They fought quite recently, against authoritarian regimes that used public health as a pretext to impose totalitarian control over citizens' daily lives. Surely they did not intend for a future public health bureaucracy and its corporate partners to reimpose such conditions on humanity. Human rights matter. Conflicts of interest and the enabling of human greed harm the public good. The current SARS-COV-2 experiment in the removal of hard-won freedoms has had dire consequences , and once such freedoms are taken away, they are rarely voluntarily returned. This is why constitutional democracies exist. The WHO Pandemic Treaty will entrench poverty, inequality and ill-health for decades to come under a 'New Normal' that benefits a wealthy and powerful few. I prefer recovering the freedom, health and dignity to which we are all entitled by birth right. If the WHO is really interested in health, why don't they focus on illnesses that actually kill millions every year and against which our innate immunity has little chance of wining? Diseases such as malaria, tuberculosis, are low hanging fruit for them. Clearly the pandemic treaty is not about health but about power.
Sovereign being	I do not agree that The World Health organisation had the right to dictate to the world rules on inoculation particularly as they got it wrong with Covid advice
N/A	I do not agree that there needs to be an international agreement to administer pandemics. Recent experience has shown that sensible, scientific advice from experts has been routinely ignored and even censored at great cost across the globe and the one-size-fits-all approach adopted at global level has proved catastrophic in many cases. The politicisation of public health is of great concern to people across the world and there can be no doubt from copious evidence, that the measures taken during the current pandemic, such as lockdowns, mask and vaccination mandates have been at best ill-advised and at worst, extremely damaging to public health, irrespective of arguments concerning vaccine efficacy. Individual governments need to be free to decide how to deal with any such eventualities in consultation with a diverse range of advisers, without recourse to censorship and corruption. This is not a job for a remote, unelected, global organisation which disregards/excludes the wishes of individuals.
Vanessa Rudin	I do not agree that there should be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It is too risky to place so much power in governing bodies. Many of the countries that did not have funding to follow WHO guidelines (eg. Africa) did much better during COVID than the more developed world. At the very least, a one-size-fits-all approach is not appropriate. Perhaps Africans have better immune systems, perhaps they eat less processed food than the U.S. or other countries that had terrible COVID outcomes, perhaps they had to make use of existing, safe, low-cost medications and did well with that, perhaps they have better vitamin D levels. The point is, they did not need the tactics and treatments that the U.S. and other countries used and had worse outcomes. The bottom-line is that it is better to enable smart people in many different countries to decide what is best for their own countries. If a country wants to block others from entering or lock-down their citizens, or require vaccine passports, then it should make those decisions on its own. WHO treaties that remove power from the US or give the US CDC too much power are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans and others throughout the world. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists, and included DECIDING whether an emergency exists. In many African countries, malaria is still an emergency, COVID19 is not. Thank you
ared & Assoc.	I do not agree that there should be an international agreement on pandemic preparedness. Countries are sovereign, and as sovereign countries, should make decisions for themselves as to all health issues. Thus, it should be up to each sovereign country to determine how to handle health, a pandemic, and all related matters. I am opposed to an international agreement, treaty, or constitution, which removes the power from the individual countries.
None	I do not agree that there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response since each country and region should be left to determine what is best for their own citizens. Guidelines may be provided if countries are looking for suggestions, but should not mandated or required.
Private Individual	I do not agree that there should be an new international instrument for a future pandemic response. It should be up to each individual country to decide the best response for its citizens. Although we are all human, the people of the world have different cultures, traditions, and skill sets depending on where they live. Each country also has a different health care service. We cannot assume every country will be affected or manage a pandemic in the same way. Some cultures may be better equipped or naturally resilient to fight off a pandemic due to their genes. Some may be be more naturally susceptible to the illness, like black populations were more affected by Covid 19. A one fits all approach is not appropriate- each country should retain its own autonomy for health related decisions.
Private citizen	I do not agree that this legislation should exist all. Health crises should be left to individual state and countries to manage. Any legislation MUST include iron clad guarantees regarding state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies.
Member of the public UK	I do not agree that this treaty, or instrument is required. The WHO should not be able to override any ability of individual nations to make their own decisions on how to respond to any future pandemics or other health events or crises. The WHO has, in its advice, been inconsistent during the recent pandemic. Furthermore, it has changed its definition of a pandemic so that health events with relatively low IFRs can be so named. We have no control over what the WHO may define as a pandemic or other health emergency. We can see from different countries' responses that some have fared better than others - Sweden, for example, did not follow measures to the extent that other countries did, and their economy and mental health effects fared better than, for example, the UK, without a higher death rate. We elect our own governments in most Western societies, so that in principle, people can have a say in what happens. For the WHO to be in a position to override the will of individual nation states' population is undemocratic and an abuse of inalienable rights. We have actually yet to see much of the harm in the long and medium term from measures such as NPIs and dangerous experimental vaccines; it is totally premature to decide on guidance on these matters, let alone introduce a treaty or legal instrument which will allow an unelected group of people to decide what citizens around the world must do. For these reasons I am totally against any WHO interventions to try to have a global response to future events. Any measures should be in the form of advice only.
Priv	I do not agree that who determines my health
Member of UK public	I do not agree that you at the next supposed health emergency, you will take my human rights away and deem what you can do to me , to ensure others are safe . I didn't vote for you , I do not consent to this , there has been no transparency , the world's media are not telling the people your plans . I want my children and grandchildren to be free and not tied to the ideals of the WHO , who I don't agree with . I will fight this if it ever becomes the law in my life time . I will not comply . The WHO is a corrupt gigantic machine , who has lost my respect , you made a monumental mess of covid 19 , you changed science , you changed the narrative to suit yourself , you told the world the covid vaccine was safe and effective, it is neither , you lied , you've hidden and suppressed the vaccine side effects , why should anyone trust the WHO .
Private	I do NOT agree there SHOULD be a new international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response at all. National and local govts must retain full independence and decide what is best for their people. The proposal is simply an attempt to bypass democracy and obtain global control. Local and national govts must be able to opt out when they wish with no consequences. Transparency AND accountability are needed with people able to vote on failsafe measures to prevent the application of any global agreement being forcibly imposed. Finally, there must be measures taken to exclude the powerful and corrupt pharma companies and global health profiteers from having ANY influence at all in the process.
Self	I do not agree to a global plan. Territories should be able to make their own arrangements
Member of the public	I do not agree to a worldwide instrument for pandemic planning. If there is to be one, it should be for general guidance ONLY and be backed by agreed science, and this should be open to question. What we have seen with Covid was an unforgivable mess.
privato	I DO NOT AGREE TO AN INTERNATIONAL TREATY ON PANDEMIC. PERSONAL FREEDOMS HAVE BEEN DESTROYED WITHOUT ANY HEALTH REASONS.
personal	I do not agree to an unelected organisation having authority over people's lives or medical treatments. We did not agree to allowing this to happen.
Individual	I do not agree to and would not comply to this outrageous piece of legislation as it is not suitable to a one size fits all, and it is totally undemocratic. I am a sovereign being and I do not consent to this legislation ever being enforced as it takes away my binding God given, inalienable rights, as well as human rights.
Poland	I do not agree to any anti-pandemic treaties. I do not agree to restricting people's freedom and compelling vaccinations or other preventive measures under the guise of a pandemic. Firm NO!
Independent	I do not agree to any global who authority having the right to make choices for independent countries! Each country makes better decisions at local governments
Citizen	I do not agree to any kind of increasing surveillance of ordinary citizens nor to any sort of mandatory vaccination etc. If the WHO wants to improve the management of pandemics, they should increase their engagement in hygiene measures, other general means of prevention and equal chances of treatment everywhere in the world - and free themselves of sponsorship by parties with particular interests, e.g. pharmaceutical companies.
Free person of the world	I do not agree to any legislation that takes away any of my present freedoms.
Private	I do not agree to any medical decisions made on my behalf by either government nor organisations like the WHO. My constitutional right is that I have the freedom to choose what is right for me.
None	I do not agree to any proposal for an international treaty with regards to pandemic preparedness overseen by the WHO. No one organisation should be in control of this especially considering the very serious conflict of interest there is with regards to WHO funding. There are far to many differences between countries to have a one size fits all approach. It certainly hasn't helped during Covid apart from destroying economies, mental health,relationships between friends and family and children well-being. I certainly do not support a continuation of any such approach. Countries should maintain their own pandemic preparedness approach and the WHO should only be there in an advisor role and should also cut all private funding so as to provide a trust base to build upon.
Private citizen	I do not agree to one health governing body that oversees and governs all member states health policies. For one body to govern fairly, we will first need to see equitable outreach programs and fair treatment towards and for all member states first, instead of the current bias towards first world and western nations. The december omicron debacle that led to African nations being the world pariah state is one example of non-equitable treatment of member states in all regards with respect to research, scientific studies and respect towards tribal and localized alternative therapies. One governing body will likely reek of authoritarian power, collusion and corruption that will see first world states jostle for full power. So I am not in agreement to one governing body.
PANDA	I do not agree to the current proposed treaty by the WHO. A blanket approach to a possible pandemic threat takes away the nuances of the ongoing circumstances of every country. As we have seen in Africa during the SARS-cOv2, Africa suffered worse threats than SARS-cOv2 such as malaria in young children, and severe hunger due to slowed economy. We suffered from more HIV/AIDS deaths because people could not access their medication at the hospitals. Each country has its own economy that should be taken into account as well as percentage of younger population who are generally healthier than an elderly population. This all factors into how a country fares during an outbreak. This is why a response to a pandemic and it's measures must be the decision of a democratic process. The people and the country's government must have a say regarding how the country responds to a pandemic not a blanket global WHO treaty.
No organization	I do not agree to the interference of the WHO in any way in Polish law. The WHO can only give opinions and not prohibit the order. I do not agree with being forced to wear masks and take preparations of unknown origin, composition and action, which are called vaccines by the WHO, to make our everyday lives dependent on it, to have access to doctors, institutions, communication or travel. I demand that the WHO make available live coverage of the meetings as well as subsequent recordings of them.
Free	I do not agree to the pandemic contract under any circumstances. The responsibility must remain in each individual country, the decision to be vaccinated must remain with the person. It must not be a global vote or decision.
Privat	I do not agree to the plan, that the WHO can tell the countries what to restictations they have to do. Each country needs to stay independend.!
Not applicable	I do not agree to the proposed global pandemic treaty. Please take into consideration the following points: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Med Choice Advantage Co. Ltd.	I do not agree to the treaty being proposed due to infringement on our sovereignty and freedom.
NHS U.K.	I do not agree to the WHO - which is not a democratically elected organisation - having the power over national governments to dictate pandemic response. All countries are different and the individual rights of their citizens must be upheld. U.K. is a democratic peaceful country with a unique healthcare system that does not require external interference. I am deeply concerned about conflicts of interest between WHO and Big Pharma. Giving WHO powers to become a medical dictatorship is simply not right nor necessary. Many of the laws passed in the Covid 19 pandemic response have destroyed human rights and breached international laws. This must not happen again. These powers do NOT belong to WHO.
Canadian	I do not agree to the WHO International Treaty on pandemic prevention and preparedness which gives the WHO blanket powers over myself or my country, Canada, in regards to any future health emergencies. My body is sovereign and I have sole authority over it in regards to any health decisions. Canada is a free and sovereign country and I do not accept this treaty moving forward. This is a bad decision for the world.
Individual	I do not agree to the WHO plan for a global pandemic strategy as that will give it undemocratic rights over people who have not elected it.. the proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and undemocratic. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their own country/area. We must preserve the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO does not engage with or represent the citizens of every country. Every country should retain its sovereignty and be able to act as appropriate to the situation in that country. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
None	I do not agree to this resolution it means that one organisation can take away all civil rights without control .
Private	I do not agree to this, the answer is no! I have looked after my own body since I was old enough to and I intend to keep it this way, nobody but nobody on Gods green Earth will tell me what I have to have injected into MY body, nobody tells me I HAVE to take tests, mask up etc, not ever again. We are born free under Gods sky and that's the way it should remain.
Private person	I do NOT agree to your plan to enslave people. You have already proved that you are NOT a trustworthy institution.
n/a	I do not agree we should move forward with this as WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Not all countries have the same laws and/or this may compromise our livelihoods since all nations are not equal and this also is inclusive in any emergency situation. We have the right as a Country to have our own ways of resolving any situation and this is very dangerous to our freedom if we were to enter in this treaty.
Private citizen	I do not agree with a global pandemic plan. Pandemic responses should remain subject to specific variables such as vulnerable population and resources available. Nations should focus on increasing their populace knowledge regarding good mental and physical health and encourage best practices leaving it up to individuals to decide what is on their best interest.
Canadian Citizen	I do not agree with a Global Pandemic Strategy. What is good for one person or community in public health is not always good for other communities or countries for that matter. In other words, one size does not fit all. I believe each individual has the right to control their own health decisions. A small group of people or organization should not have control over global health and force their agenda and beliefs upon others. I say STOP THE GLOBAL PANDEMIC STRATEGY TREATY!!!!!
none	I do not agree with a global treaty on pandemic preparedness and response. This oversteps the rights of humanity and their autonomy. No one governing body can possibly make rules that encompass every unique individual, situation, and environment. There are just to many variables. This also steps on sovereign nations and their sovereignty to include basic human rights and constitutions.
beonewith	I do not agree with a need for a global pandemic treaty. Substantive elements that are necessary to protect people from ill-intent, are that the people of world are fully informed, which means that all processes are open and transparent where the people vote. There is not the ability for profiteers of health such as pharmaceutical companies or individuals with personal interests to influence the process. At all times National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, needs to exist to protect people from the corruption that exists at all levels of the World Health Organisation and all governments.
n/a	I do not agree with a new international instrument and my reasons are as follows. Medical Governance to the extent of controlling the lives of the population should not be a part of a healthy democratic society of free-living individuals and, as we have witnessed from the pandemic over the last two years, the draconian measures put in place have caused much social divide, mental health problems, unnecessary deaths, economic collapse, loss of livelihoods and lack of trust in common policy and the official narrative. Also, the WHO is not an independent body as it relies heavily on funding from other organisations which might steer decision making in a particular direction into a more wider political spectrum. As we have witnessed over the last two years, leading medical experts with alternative views to the WHO have been ignored and discredited rather than listened to and their views have not been published on mainstream media. This lacks transparency and credibility. As well as this, the desperate push and coercion to drive people to take an innoculation should never occur in a humane society ever again regardless that these particular drugs do not prevent the spread nor offer little benefit to healthy individuals.
AgraELOQUENTA d.o.o.	I do not agree with a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response because I do not believe that the centralisation of power in any matter can bring the best solutions. National and local leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The nations should have the ability as well as local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I wish there was an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	I do not agree with a one size fits all pandemic plan. I have different heath concerns in Canada than one has in Africa. I also eat organic, do not smoke, exercise, meditate, and do not drink alcohol. Let me take care of my own health needs. Allow me to vote in people who are going to represent me and health concerns. Do not squash my county's charter of rights, and freedoms. Stop this now.
Irish citizen	I do not agree with a Pandemic Treaty. We do not need one. Each country should decide for themselves how this wish to deal with any future possible pandemics by democratic means.
private	I do not agree with a treaty that controls human beings around the world like livestock. I feel that an organization like the W.H.O should include in such a treaty , that human rights are their main pillar of this treaty, natural laws are adhered to and that it will be a transparent treaty for all people in the world to participate in.
Private	I do not agree with an International Pandemic Preparedness Plan. This should only be done by sovereign nations who, if they wish, may take advice only from the WHO. There are many reasons for this amongst which are national sovereignty, differing local conditions and customs, costs of such plans and availability of expertise to implement them, the current ineptitude of the WHO and the seeming outside influence by people heavily invested in vaccine/drug companies guiding the WHO responses.
NONE	I do not agree with an unelected organisation funded primarily by private companies can make decisions based solely on the best health interests of the public. WHO backed lockdowns and the related pandemic response to CV19 has been a disaster resulting in more deaths than he disease has caused. The Great Barrington Declaration approach should have been used and I am no longer confident that the WHO are working for people, but rather for profit.
privat	I do not agree with any kinds of international instruments for health questions. Especially I do not want that the WHO can design rules, when they think about a new pandemic situation. All decisions about my health are my decisions and if there should be a danger for others only my country could make laws to overrule my decision, not a privat non-demokratic organisation like WHO.
Private	I do not agree with any new international instruments for global pandemic preparations. I feel that natural immunity should be encouraged world wide and not let Big Pharma dictate how we look after ourselves. The WHO needs to be seen as an impartial organisation and not Big Pharma controlled agency - which it currently seems to be
	I do not agree with any of this. Stop it now
Public comment	I do not agree with any organisation having power over a democratic elected government to mandate how a government should react to any situation. Also this organisation not being elected by its people not by its government officials.
Human	I do not agree with any organization saying they know what's best for my body. It is not your job to medically make decisions for my health
Private Citizen USA	I do not agree with any plans by the WHO to develop any International pandemic plan which would interfere with the sovereignty of any nation and it's citizens to make individual medical decisions regarding their health or medical treatments and I will not support any legislation by my country to take part in a global pandemic plan. I do not agree that the taxpayers of the United States should in anyway financially support with their tax dollars this or any global plan regarding any pandemic.
Canadian citizen	I do not agree with any WHO policy or recommendation that overrides or supersedes the policies or practices of a sovereign nation. The information that is considered by the proposed WHO policies or recommendations should be transparent and open for debate by any qualified person of that sovereign nation whether they are appointed or in the employ of the sovereign nation's government or not. I appreciate the opportunity to be a part of the process that insures the continued fiduciary duties the WHO has to all sovereign nations and it's peoples.
Private citizen	I do not agree with any world pandemic response. Each country should implement their own response only when & if needed. WHO should not play politics
Self employed	I do not agree with centralized systems dealing with health issues. They tend to seem to be swayed by profits and not true representatives of the people they serve. I advocate for localized health care. If something is to be adopted the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Singo	I do not agree with global health mandates. Each country has a different situation, healthcare, economy etc... I am for freedom of choice! I believe each country should have the right to make their own decisions. Countries should not incur penalties or any other discriminatory actions if they do not line up with WHO approache to pandemic management. I am against digital passports and vaccine mandates.
Individual	I do not agree with global health mandates. Each country has a different situation, healthcare, economy etc... I am for autonomy and freedom of choice! I believe each country should have the right to make their own decisions. Countries should not incur penalties or any other discriminatory actions if they do not line up with WHO approach to pandemic management. Any process should be open and transparent for people able to vote for any restrictive measure during pandemic. I do not agree that WHO should declare unjustified pandemics and impose dehumanizing lockdowns. I am against censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. I am against discrimination with regards to vaccine status, especially at workplace, but also in everyday life situations. I am against digital passports and vaccine mandates.

Organization	Written submission
Private citizen	I do not agree with global health mandates. Each country has a different situation, healthcare, economy etc... I am for autonomy and freedom of choice! I believe each country should have the right to make their own decisions. Countries should not incur penalties or any other discriminatory actions if they do not line up with WHO approach to pandemic management. Any process should be open and transparent for people able to vote for any restrictive measure during pandemic. I do not agree that WHO should declare unjustified pandemics and impose dehumanizing lockdowns. I am against censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. I am against discrimination with regards to vaccine status, especially at workplace, but also in everyday life situations. I am against digital passports and vaccine mandates.
Member of Public	I do not agree with global health mandates. Each country has a different situation, healthcare, economy etc... I am for autonomy and freedom of choice! I believe each country should have the right to make their own decisions. Countries should not incur penalties or any other discriminatory actions if they do not line up with WHO approach to pandemic management. Any process should be open and transparent for people able to vote for any restrictive measure during pandemic. I do not agree that WHO should declare unjustified pandemics and impose dehumanizing lockdowns. I am against censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. I am against discrimination with regards to vaccine status, especially at workplace, but also in everyday life situations. I am against digital passports and vaccine mandates.
Natalia Inferior Design Ltd	I do not agree with global health mandates. Each country has a different situation, healthcare, economy etc... I am for autonomy and freedom of choice! I believe each country should have the right to make their own decisions. Countries should not incur penalties or any other discriminatory actions if they do not line up with WHO approach to pandemic management. Any process should be open and transparent for people able to vote for any restrictive measure during pandemic. I do not agree that WHO should declare unjustified pandemics and impose dehumanizing lockdowns. I am against censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. I am against discrimination with regards to vaccine status, especially at workplace, but also in everyday life situations. I am against digital passports and vaccine mandates.
Independent	I do not agree with global health mandates. Each country has a different situation, healthcare, economy etc... I am for autonomy and freedom of choice! I believe each country should have the right to make their own decisions. Countries should not incur penalties or any other discriminatory actions if they do not line up with WHO approach to pandemic management. Any process should be open and transparent for people able to vote for any restrictive measure during pandemic. I do not agree that WHO should declare unjustified pandemics and impose dehumanizing lockdowns. I am against censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. I am against discrimination with regards to vaccine status, especially at workplace, but also in everyday life situations. I am against digital passports and vaccine mandates.
Millennium london property services	I do not agree with global health mandates. Each country has a different situation, healthcare, economy etc... I am for autonomy and freedom of choice! I believe each country should have the right to make their own decisions. Countries should not incur penalties or any other discriminatory actions if they do not line up with WHO approach to pandemic management. Any process should be open and transparent for people able to vote for any restrictive measure during pandemic. I do not agree that WHO should declare unjustified pandemics and impose dehumanizing lockdowns. I am against censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. I am against discrimination with regards to vaccine status, especially at workplace, but also in everyday life situations. I am against digital passports and vaccine mandates.
Personal claim	I do not agree with global health mandates. Each country has a different situation, healthcare, economy etc... I am for autonomy and freedom of choice! I believe each country should have the right to make their own decisions. Countries should not incur penalties or any other discriminatory actions if they do not line up with WHO approach to pandemic management. Any process should be open and transparent for people able to vote for any restrictive measure during pandemic. I do not agree that WHO should declare unjustified pandemics and impose dehumanizing lockdowns. I am against censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. I am against discrimination with regards to vaccine status, especially at workplace, but also in everyday life situations. I am strongly against digital passports and vaccine mandates.
Yara + Davina	I do not agree with global health mandates. Each country has a different situation, healthcare, economy etc... I am for autonomy and freedom of choice! I believe each country should have the right to make their own decisions. Countries should not incur penalties or any other discriminatory actions if they do not line up with WHO approach to pandemic management. Any process should be open and transparent for people able to vote for any restrictive measure during pandemic. I do not agree that WHO should declare unjustified pandemics and impose dehumanizing lockdowns. I am against censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. I am against discrimination with regards to vaccine status, especially at workplace, but also in everyday life situations. I am against digital passports and vaccine mandates.
private person	I do not agree with global treat of any future pandemics. Every country knows best its citizens needs and culture. Local governments can rapidly and accurate address any health concern based on local data from local health authorities. On top of that every country is a sovereign country and can decided how to react to any danger within its borders. Central control leads only to wasting resources and inadequate response that may cost many people's lives. WHO treat may leads to corruption and not allow on full transparency. Any leader of WHO isn't elected in any democratic election and should not have any impact on lives of millions of people.
Private	I do not agree with having a WHO international treaty as that would mean that a tiny group of people which has made numerous mistakes in the past could make them on a grander scale. Knowingly ot unknowingly. It is a dumb idea and open to corruption and abuse of power as we have seen over the past 2years worldwide. I object this in the highest!
The DeKruyter family	I do not agree with international pandemic preparedness mandates. This is what my family wants: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for considering.
Citizen of Planet Earth.	I Do not agree with making your organization sovereign over my freedom. If I chose to risk death, that is none of your business. If I chose medicine that you do not approve, that is none of your business. This pandemic was an attempt at initiating the UNs agenda. You have no right to rule the people of planet earth unless we agree to it. I do not agree with your plans.
Personal	I do not agree with many elements of this treaty, but I am particularly worried that the WHO response to the pandemic was heavy handed and resulted in far more collateral damage than damage prevented. I am also concerned that you will have the ability to override national governments. Your interests and actions show me you are way too aligned and entrenched with big pharma. Please leave us to live in peace
Independent citizen	I do not agree with or support the WHO to introduce a new international instrument. I believe the WHO is a captive UN agency that has contributed to worldwide damage, no longer to be trusted, largely as it has become co-opted by the pharmaceutical industry and private foundations. A good guide is the "Trust WHO?" film made by Lilian Franck. https://www.journeyman.tv/film/7246/trustwho. No sovereign country should have the WHO interfere in its citizens' lives in the way it is doing and plans to do. Sovereign countries require proper boundaries as sovereign nation-states. It is imperative that: * National and local governing systems remain fully autonomous, their constitutions upheld, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. * Nations and local municipalities be able to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement- if it comes to pass- as they see fit, without consequence.
None	I do not agree with or support thus treaty and our elected officials should not either. No single organization or person should have control over the health choices of any individual, city, state or country. The WHO and the people that work within are not the voices of the people and do not have any authority to speak on our behalf as to best treat and take care of ourselves.
None	I do not agree with or trust the WHO regarding an international pandemic readiness and response team. The WHO has lost confidence of many due to allowing donations from organizations the have conflicting interests. I believe that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	I do not agree with putting the WHO in charge of autonomous pandemic response for the future. I believe local state and federal government should be able to tailor make their responses to best serve their population. Having an organization that does not have elected officials or does not report the people control pandemic response is a horrible idea. Way too much opportunity for conflict of interest. We should not give away our autonomy to an organization or put anything above our ability to govern our own actions and the actions of our government.
Gps	I do not agree with such a treaty being imposed globally. We live in a democratic society that is vital to a peaceful and prospering future, where each person has a voice, the right to vote, each vote is counted for and that is how rulers become or are able to make and create laws following open impartial and transparent debate. one size fits all policy does not and will not work, the pandemic has shown us how different we all are, especially when comes to health decisions, we are all individual, whether that be gender, age, ethnicity etc and with certainty, no final decision should be the decision of a profiteering organisation.
Young Hope Ministries	I do not agree with such international treaty but if we must have one, I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
None	I do not agree with the concept of an unaccountable health agency dictating mandates to sovereign countries. But if there us to be one, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I do not agree with the extension of the obligation to provide a certificate of vaccination, test or recovery in connection with COVID -19 or in any other simular situations as a condition for exercising the right of free movement of European Union citizens. I do not agree with the new international instrument on pandemic preparedmess and response. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and nation. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Fire Storm	I do not agree with the health regulations SA is trying to implement. I believe that it can potential ly force me to do something I am uncomfortable with for example take a vaccine etc
Human Race	I do not agree with the mandating of anything. I wish to remain a free citizen of this world. I do not consent to the signing of this treaty.
Ms	I do not agree with the measures contained in the proposed WHO Pandemic Treaty. My reasons are:- Individual nations must retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations must at all times have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit - without consequence. It is vital that an open and transparent process is maintained at all times to enable all citizens of the world to vote. Failsafe measures must be integral to any agreement in order to prevent any application of the global agreement in places where the majority of citizens do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies and/or other global profiteers must be excluded from influencing any and all processes pertaining to this agreement.
Individual	I do not agree with the need for a global pandemic treaty. Substantive elements that are necessary to protect people from ill intent must be based on the fundamental cornerstone that all the people of the world must be fully informed - meaning that all processes are open and transparent where the people vote. With this cornerstone in place there is no possibility for profiteers of health adversities such as the pharmaceutical companies or individuals with personal interests to influence the process. It is important to note that at all times national and local leaderships retain full autonomy- reserving the fundamental right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is important for nations and local municipalities to be able to opt out of any and all portions of potential agreements under this heading as they see fit - and with no consequence. Fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of a nation's people do not want it need to exist to protect those people from the corruption and conflicts of interest that exist at all levels of the World Health Organisation and all Governments.
Private citizen	I do not agree with the need for a international instrument on pandemic preparedness. This would remove each countries sovereignty. There is no organization that deserves that much power over the lives of people. I want only my own country making decisions on what is best for the health of its citizens. That kind of power is best when used close to home; not some international organization like the WHO. I can't vote The Who out of office for dereliction of duty.
None	I do not agree with the newly proposed WHO pandemic treaty. The following elements SHOULD BE included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Camille
N/A	I do not agree with the Pandemic Treaty being presented by the WHO. Individual choice of personal welfare is essential. Aid from government should come only when requested by the individual or the parent/guardian of a minor, never enforced. The concept of "me-first" is inflammatory. Individual freedom is not a selfish act, it's an inalienable right. A global solution to the next pandemic is unnecessary and dangerous. Science, policy, politicians, medical workers can be and have been wrong. Putting potentially wrong choices on a global population is a dangerous gamble. Stop the Pandemic Treaty. Brandi Dieno April 12, 2022
Privat	I Do Not agree with the Plans by the WHO!
The Leys	I do not agree with the proposal for a new international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response. The public implications for such a bill are frightening given that Sovereign power to act as an independent nation will be taken away. This bill is against an individuals bill of rights.
I represent myself	I do not agree with the proposed treaty as it would infringe on National and local leaderships right to full autonomy and the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is of the outmost importance nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There need to be: 1. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 2.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. As it can clearly never be guaranteed that this type of entities does not have influence over corrupted decionmakers or that they are subjected to the mass hysteria to which no level of organisation seem be immune to these days, see it would pose a great risk to place such a power of authority on a global institution. The purpose of the organisation is for the benefit of the health of the worlds individuals and the treaty would counteract this as there is no way this can be found in a one size fits all type of strategy. Thank you for listening. Sara Strandman
	I do not agree with the proposed treaty from WHO to have a worldwide universal approach to pandemics. Each country is entitled to their own pandemic pathway. Your approach to the covid pandemic was so disappointing. You promoted an approach that was a complete infringement on our human rights and it created mass problems for all countries. I do not agree to your proposal and this should be put to a democratic vote.
Individual	I do not agree with the proposed treaty, countries need to be able to make decisions that best suit their people. Bodily autonomy and people's sovereign rights need to be protected and a one size fits all global response does not work. Countries need to opt out of this proposed treaty. Furthermore the WHO were not elected by the people and have the potential to be influenced by other stake holders, pharma and people who have their own pockets and interests in mind how is this issue going to be addressed? I question that the implementation of this treaty is even lawful. I am completely against this treaty and pray that our world leaders do not push ahead with this.
-	I do not agree with the treaty as I feel National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each nation should have the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dentistry practice	I do not agree with the treaty made by the WHO. I believe each person had the right to make their OWN decisions about the use of medications or vaccines. A world wide plan for a pandemic, made by a government or ngo like WHO is never the solution and may never overrule the freedom of the people to decide for their own.
UCSD Medical Center	I do NOT agree with the treaty 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I do not agree with the treaty! Every people/country decides democratically for itself!
Personal	I do not agree with the treaty. What happened to peoples rights and freedom of choice. We have a choice to choose what we want to do with our bodies. And the consequences are our problem. I don't want someone to choose for me, and they don't know me or my history. What gives some random person to make a choice on my behalf. It's wrong , what happened to bodily integrity and constitutional rights.
	I do not agree with the Treaty. It is wrong. You cannot make a plan as things are not the same for every person. What may work for one, may not work for another. Every one is different and every country is different.
Natural Birth	I do not agree with the Treaty. Public health is not a global decision. Any business or pharmaceutical industry who gains profits from health care should not be involved in the decision making of public health.
Private individual	I do not agree with the UK signing this treaty, the WHO has private individuals as members who have a conflict of interests. Not enough is known about pharmaceutical companies influence if any on the organisation. It is concerning that the WHO could have control over our government, this should go to a peoples referendum as it will ultimately impact on the people of the UK. If this treaty is signed without citizens understanding the implications.. our government could potentially lose credibility and respect. We need a strong independent government at this time. Thank you for considering my thoughts.
CHD	I do not agree with the universal pandemic treaty giving the WHO full reign over the government of our country. This is absolutely wrong on so many levels - the people of the US DID NOT AGREE to this. There should never be centralized power over this many people. This will create so many more problems than we already have. I stand against this treaty as it is unlawful and absolutely unnecessary. This treaty is about everything but the health of the people.
None	I do not agree with the W.HO. pandemic treaty it violates the constitution of the United States of America.
Nhs	I do not agree with the who been in control of world pandemics
None	I do not agree with the WHO getting autonomy and one size fits all governance of future pandemic. Local state and federal government should have the ability to Taylor responses to what best fits their populations. Responses should not come from an organization that does not have Elected officials and does not work for the people. They would be too much opportunity for a conflict of interest. Having the WHO over the all pandemic response would be catastrophic.
Human	I do not agree with the who getting involved in British politics medicine or decisions regarding our country We have a Parliament and a House of Lords Which meets our needs. We do not want or need any assistance from unelected people.
Human	I do not agree with the WHO getting involved in our rights in any way whatsoever they have not been elected by the British people they are not overseen by the House of Lords They have no business in our country decisions it as taken a long time to create a fair democracy and we left Europe to rule our own land and so it should stay. Freedom to rule themselves that's what the British voted for !!!!!
Independent	I do not agree with the WHO having power over elected officials during times of emergency. I do not agree with the WHO having any say on what goes on in individuals countries.
Home	I do not agree with the WHO having the kind of power that this treaty will give them. Each country should make their own decision and agreements for their own people. Incorporate every strategy that worked in the last pandemic. This means that lockdowns should never again be used. It has been proved that they do not work. It has been proved the masks do not work so these should not be implemented ever again. Do No Harm and evidence based medical science should be the mantras in future. One size fits all is not the way forward.
Private business owner	I do not agree with the WHO making policies for me as an American. I make my own health decisions. It is not safe for a small, select group of individuals to be making decisions about what people all over the world should be doing. I am sovereign over my own body, I am free and I do not support any agreements made between my country and the WHO that takes away my rights.
The Health of Holland	I do not agree with the WHO one size fits all approach to healthcare. As we have seen in the 2 years of Covid restrictions, with lockdowns, mask-wearing, vaccinations the WHO has given conflicting advice, pushed experimental vaccines with no long term trial data to back them up. If the WHO had pushed for this trial data from Big Pharma it would have seen the 1300 adverse side effects, and the ineffectiveness of the vaccine in 99.8% of people of all ages. How children are getting heart issues because of the jab. The learning difficulties mask-wearing has created in children plus the fear, the paranoia, and depression in the public wherever they live in the world. The "WHO" is not fit for purpose, especially now the biggest funder is the Bill & Melinda Foundation which have many pharma interests, so this bias the WHO. With this history of making many mistakes that do not help the many but the few. I repeat I DO NOT agree with this Global Agreement and want National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I want an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Interested individual	I do not agree with the WHO organising or implementing a global pre-pandemic response nor do I agree with the written Treaty. It is important that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. For this reason nations must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. In addition pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must not have the ability to influence global or national heath policy. The introduction of this Treat goes against all these concerns and the introduction of a QR code or other ID for citizens is totally unacceptable in a free and democratic society.
Private	I do not agree with the WHO pandemic response treaty. This treaty threatens our national and individual sovereignty. We as individuals have not agreed to be ruled by an unelected organisation and our elected national representatives should at all times be in charge of our nations well being. Regards HS Goodlad
Farmer	I do not agree with the WHO Pandemic Treaty proposal as it may interfere and counteract with actions needed at the local level to deal with an imminent threat to life and safety. The decision about actions needed shall not made by some nameless bureaucrats from remote areas.
Private	I do not agree with the WHOs implementation of this treaty myself as a South African did not elect or vote to have individuals or organisation's outside of the republic of South Africa to dictate what I do with my body or life. It goes against democracy and my inabile rights as women under the law of the land.
None	I do not agree with these unelected bureaucrats making decisions regarding my God - given rights and I will not comply.
Private	I do not agree with this
N/A	I do not agree with this at all, but if it HAS to happen: The inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty. The right to individual bodily autonomy. Respect for human rights. Legal liability for governments. Legal liability for pharmaceutical companies.
AA	I do not agree with this Global Pandemic Agreement, the citizens of the world need their sovereignty respected!!
Individual	I do not agree with this instrument for the following reasons. 1) Evidence is emerging that lockdowns have done far more harm than good. 2) Different nations can and should be able to respond to pandemics in a way that is tailored to their own needs. A one size fits all approach does not work. 3) The WHO has made multiple mistakes during this SARS COV2 pandemic and has not proven it has the right to set the global rules. 4) It is entirely undemocratic in nature. 5) If the WHO in future becomes governed by 'bad actors', they would have far too much power available to cause lasting damage around the globe 6) The WHO has not earned the trust, in order to carry this much power.
Retired Bank Manager	I do not agree with this motion as it goes against democracy and infringes on basic human rights
none	I do not agree with this move you are making to create a global pandemic treaty. The treaty completely flies against the freedom of individuals, states and countries to decide how the manage their health care. In fact, I believe my own research has been much more protective than ANYTHING this (and the world at large) has accomplished. Even something as simple as checking Vitamin D levels and making sure everyone has the resources to keep theirs at a truly protective level hasn't been promoted, yet there are studies that show how important this is. And, what about the gut microbiome? And, healthy food choices? Anti-virals that have been around for decades, even centuries? NO information about real protection has been covered in mainstream narrative. The powers that be have made a mess out of this pandemic: Vaccinate, wash your hands, wear a mask (which, by enlarge, are not protective), and socially distance. That's all you got. Vaccinations have not stopped the spread, has not prevented one from catching covid, hasn't kept people from getting sick, or from being hospitalized or even dying. Nearly everyone I know who has been double and triple vaccinated has gotten covid, and some are even suffering with long covid. A friend of mine was severely injured by the vaccine, and two clients also have been injured although severely not as much as my friend. A global treaty when the pandemic has been so horribly mismanaged? Not to mention the censorship of helpful info. No No No.
N/A	I do not agree with this nor do I trust the WHO. If anything, the last two years has been an example of exactly why this should not happen. WHO should stay out of politics.
Retired	I do not agree with this plan. It is not a democratic process and I do NOT consent
No organisation	I do not agree with this policy each country needs to have sovereignty over there citizens
none	I do not agree with this proposal. Each country, state should be in charge of their own pandemic response not a global organization. In the United States of America, we have our inalienable rights per the Constitution and the Declaration of Independence and I and many other Americans want to be protected by these documents, not governed by any Global organization or any Global treaty where we have no say what is the course of action in response to a pandemic. The Current Coronavirus Pandemic response and vaccines from the WHO has been shown to have been more harmful to the people and Economies of the world.
Self employed	I do not agree with this proposed Treaty to dictate to individual countries on how to run their own health affairs. To impose restrictions on sovereign nations by introducing compulsory vaccinations or emergency use of untested treatments which pose little threats to the populations is a violation of all human rights.
Na	I do not agree with this proposed treaty. You do not have the right to govern individual countries and their responses to medical situations. This is treason by governments should they agree to this without consent of their people. Mandating any medical procedures is an infringement of our human rights. I will not comply with such a dishonest proposal. Your handling of the covid situation was irrefutably wrong and those of you who took part in it will some day be convicted of your crimes. This treaty will not be sanctioned by anyone who loves their country and their people.
NA	I do not agree with this Treaty and I don't give my permission to the WHO to impose on me anything concerning my health and the right to choose or not choose a health treatment. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives and well-being for themselves and their families. However, the WHO has not properly engaged in a process of public participation, because most people I know haven't even heard about it, and the timeframe for this submission process is far too short. With such an undemocratic process, any agreement by the WHO should be considered unlawful. Since 2020 the WHO leadership has failed the people. During the Covid-19 epidemic WHO has encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. I don't want this Treaty to threaten my free life choices now or in the future.
N/a	I do not agree with this Treaty or any such thing that may take away my basic human right to chose when it comes to bodily autonomy or how to live my life during any wave of covid 19 virus or any other virus for that matter. That's what democracy is.

Organization	Written submission
Youth worker	I do not agree with this treaty, however should it go ahead, protection of human rights must be considered. Bodily autonomy and the right to choose what individuals put in their bodies is a must. There also needs to be full transparency and informed consent. Not goverments or the WHO should dictate an individuals right to refuse medical treatment.
Personal disagreement	I do not agree with this treaty.
None	I do not agree with this treaty. Our freedom as Americans is valued by the people of this country. This treaty will take away our health freedoms and infringe on the rights of the people. There should not be one size fits all in this approach. Our health decisions should not be placed in the hands of an international organization. This may work for other countries, but it is not acceptable for the American people who value their God-given rights as a citizen of this country.
Resident of United Kingdom	I do not agree with this treaty. 1) It is not right to make any vaccinations or medical treatments mandatory - body autonomy is a essential for ALL people. 2) The WHO is run by unelected people. Much of their funding comes from GAVI & The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation - both have Gates at the helm. Gates himself has made billions from vaccinations and boasted of this too. This is a clear conflict of interest. He puts profit above health. His organisations have experimented on people in India and Africa causing great harm. 3) Under this treaty we could be forced to take dangerous treatments/vaccinations which would cause people great physical harms with total indemnity for those doing g the forcing. 4) Individual countries need to be sovereign & not run by global elites and corporations with nefarious intentions. Once more, I this treaty should NEVER BE ALLOWED. VWills-Card
Maskoffmn.org	I do not agree with this you do not own my body or anyone else body I reject this mark of the beast Revelation 13:16
Private	I do not agree with treaties put forth by the world health organization for pandemic responses. The peoples and nations autonomy, rights and freedoms ought to be respected. I propose the alternative 'the peoples treaty', which has been already forwarded to the WHO.
None	I do not agree with unelected commissions having rights over soverign nations. That's why I voted for Brexit in the UK. It is ridiculous to think that there can be a one size fits all policy for the whole world. It would be so generic as to be utterly useless. Sovereign nations and their democratically elected leaders should have the final say over what is right for their electors. If there are some suggested guidelines from bodies such as the WHO then that' all they should be - guidelines that can be accepted or ignored without consequence. There also needs to be a clear process that ensures that Big Pharma and other entities that have hugely profited from the pandemic, vaccinations, PPE provision and lockdowns are barred from the decision making process and that any dissenting voices are clearly heard and not cancelled.
Human	I do not agree with WHO beeing responsable for my health. I can do that my self. I strongly opose Who. No =No
Individual	I do not agree with WHO not giving We The People the option to choose them as some sort of leader. This should go up for a vote!!!
Personal	I do not agree with WHO proposal to mandate and override national pandemic guidelines and sovereignty.
Citizens	I do not agree with who taking control during pandemic
Private person	I do not agree with WHO taking control of states in managing a pandemic. In 2010, the Council of Europe accused the WHO of unjustifiably publicizing the threat of H1N1 flu and unnecessarily declaring a pandemic. In the opinion of the Council of Europe, the above activities were aimed at enabling the sale of vaccines to pharmaceutical companies. WHO sponsored by private companies and organizations represents a conflict of interest. No private organization like WHO can be above the Polish Constitution and Polish law.
Private	I do not agree with Who treaty !!! It's undemocratic and violates constitution and human rights !!! Who are unelected ppl and cannot speak for people . COVID-19 pandemic demonstrates that this proposition has no basis in fact. Government responses to COVID-19 have purportedly violated or manipulated many treaties, including human rights agreements. They did everything wrong : masks , lockdowns , vaccines .. Again WHO Commission aren't choose by citizens , and citizens have no direct vote in a body such as the WHO so it's undemocratic !!! Who ever signs this treaty is not my government!!
None	I do not agree with WHO treaty in opposition.
Self	I do not agree with world control over personal health decisions. Thank you for respecting my choice.
Personal	I do not agree with worldwide implementation of the same pandemic planning. Each country should have their own. Imagine treating citizens like they are in Shanghai? Absolutely not.
None - private citizen	I do not agree with your entire proposal that the WHO should be able to decide health policy and actions for all countries. WHO should be no more than a gatherer and distributor of information from around the world on matters of health, and a provider of advice to governments and medics based on that information, but NEVER the ultimate decider on what should/may or should/may not be done or spoken about. All information so gathered should be available to medical specialists, practitioners and the general public and open to full debate, including the possibility for people to disagree and propose and adopt alternative solutions and methods. That is the way science, and medicine in particular, has developed and advanced throughout history – it should never be abandoned. It should never be forgotten that sometimes what may seem heretical at one point, ultimately proves to be correct. No-one, and no organisation, has all the answers. WHO is not elected by individual citizens and is answerable to no-one. While it may, as now, give advice to governments, it should NEVER be the ultimate decider on health matters. Health is not a one-size-fits-all issue, we are all different, and our bodies react differently. All health matters and choices should ultimately be between medical practitioner and patient following full discussion between them, based on that patient's medical history. No-one else - not hospital board, nor government, nor unelected global committee should come between them.
Private citizen	I do not agree with your proposal. You are not a democratically elected body and have no jurisdiction over me or my country when it comes to pandemic response. Quite frankly your response to covid has been erratic, unscientific and caused harm. I am a citizen of the United Kingdom, not of the world and not a plaything of yours to dictate to as you wish. I am governed by my government, who is elected through a democratic process and who are answerable to their citizens-you are answerable to no one. I abide by the the laws of my country, a sovereign state which you are not.
Private	I do not agree! It's against human rights!
Private	I do not agree!!!!
Personal	I do not agree, nor consent to your proposed digital ID system. I do not agree to my private medical information being disclosed. The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation and I do not consent to being tracked.
CS_Audio	I do not agree, nor consent. To have my person hood, forced or coerced into being tracked by your proposed digital ID system. Nor do I agree to have my private medical information disclosed within your digital ID system or any such unlawful invasive system. At any given time in the future. The W.H.O is not a democratically elected organization. The W.H.O does not have my consent and does not legally preside over any laws that require any citizens in any country to be subjected too or adhere too any such proposal for a digital ID tracking system. Any attempt by the W.H.O to force a digital ID system, will be feverishly denied.
E-parlament	I do not agree.
The Family	I do not agree. I believe it is wholeheartedly not what I will support. It's impact locally and globally are not what our country should support. I vote NO. Please hear our collective voice.
My own	I do not agree. National constitutions are inviolable and should reign supreme for each sovereign member state. Also, the tenets of the Nuremburg Code are not to be violated.
None	I do not agree/consent to the WHO having any more powers over governments. They must be held accountable for the way they have caused fear and caused world chaos for a virus that has not been isolated.
MusikerinFreiheit	I do not agreen that the WHO will continue starting a world wide pandemic vertrag Sincercly Marion L.
U3A	I do not allow anybody or any organisation to make decisions about my body/health or make any decisions regarding my freedom. These mandatory laws that haven't been in consultation with the general public and then discussed in court and then voted on are ILLEGAL.
US Citizen	I do not allow your foreign treaty to undermine the US Constitution. Your organization lied about the pandemic in the early stages which could have saved lives. Instead of trying to help save lives Your organization (WHO) hid the truth, so this is why I don't want my government to sign this treaty. IT SHALL BE ILLEGAL AND NON-BINDING UNDER INTERNATIONAL LAW
Freedom Lovers	I do not and will never regconize the WHO as a governing body, this treaty does no supercede my god given rights. I do not consent.
Individual	I do not and will not recognise their authority, and the influence of organisations, such as Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation , and also wealthy stakeholders , gatherers together, just to make a decision on peoples behalf , what to do next ? It's totally unacceptable! Every sovereign country, must decide individually what's best for its people ! It's a huge NO to that WHO treaty .
WCH	I do not approve any measure that takes away any body autonomy
Not applicable	I do not approve more regulations from WHO in concern of the covid Pandemic. The vaccines fail as many people death or sever injuries after the jabs. The lockdown create a lot of damages in the economy worldwide, the mask useless, only promote people inhale the carbon dioxide is exhale again and again with serious danger for the lungs
None	I do not approve of a legally binding global pandemic treaty. We are all unique individuals with different make up, sizes, constitutions, conditions and ideas about health, wellness and illness prevention and treatment. There is no such thing as one size fits all when it comes to health care decisions. All health decisions need to be weighed carefully and individually. Cookie-cutter medicine is dangerous and does not take into account individual variations and uniqueness. Healthcare decisions need to stay as close to home as possible. We need to focus on empowering individuals to make educated health care decisions tailored to their specific situation. It is dangerous to allow too much control to be centralized into the hands of few.
Humanity	I do not approve of a pandemic treaty. I believe in personal freedom. As you have already proven, you do not accept opinions different than your own. You have focused on one single condition and ignored every other aspect of health and well-being. You have damaged humanity and refuse to accept responsibility for your actions. You assume you are the most intelligent, important people in the world. You expect unquestioned compliance to rules but you have proven yourself untrustworthy, lacking in integrity and harbingers of darkness. You refuse to accept that the emergency is over. You refuse to accept that humans have evolved and survived without your mandates. You want to continue to control rather than bring peace to humanity.
Sovereign human	I do not approve of any of this. The WHO should not be creating anything that will overshadow any government in any way. I do not agree and I think the WHO should stay in it's place. I do not consent.
U3A	I do not approve of anyone/anybody of taking away our freedom, of making any decisions regarding our health or making us do anything we don't wish to do, especially regarding MY BODY. Nothing should be mandatory unless discussed in court and after consultation, then approval by the general public. Otherwise these mandatory rulings are ILLEGAL.
Personal	I do not approve of the WHO and their power grabbing agenda. It was not voted for or supported by the people it claims to protect and serve. This is veiled corruption and it must stop!
Citizen	I do not approve with all the restrictions and mandates. I highly suggest to go back to normal and provide the proper medicine and care any Covid patients, and acknowledge the vaccine injuries and report it in the mainstream media.no more hiding!!!! I am a citizen that wants our kids and adults to have normal life.
None	I do not at all support individual, sovereign nations ceding the authority to WHO in all matters concerning pandemics and pandemic decision-making. The WHO should have only a supportive role in such matters. One where global education, information dissemination, and resource mobilization enhance a sovereign nation's response to illness and health matters. To this point, I believe: 1) National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process be in place, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures should be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
OCNZ	I do not believe a "new international instrument" for pandemic response should have any ability to force countries to comply with its decisions. We are not a one size fits all world. Individual countries are in the best position to assess their own path. Having a pandemic response team in the WHO that shares information from around the world, collates and shares data and organises aid for poorer nations that may need assistance, would be great. But I do not believe that a WHO pandemic instrument should have any power to enforce any aspects of a pandemic response. This is far too open to corruption and greed.
Individual person	I do not believe a global agreement is at all necessary for dealing with any future pandemics. In fact I believe a global agreement is totally inappropriate. Nothing should be able to over-rule a country's own legislation. For the WHO to be able to do this would open up the way for a very concerning misuse of power. There would be considerable vulnerability for countries who are needing to make decisions that suit their own situation and their own people. One size does not fit all. And putting too much power in the control of an organization can lead to corruption on a global scale. If some form of global agreement was to be put in place, it would be essential to have the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process would be necessary, failsafe measures must be included that will prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It would be essential to have measures in place that prohibit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. But best of all do not push for a global agreement, leave each nation to formulate its own response to a pandemic. Thank you Christine Pounsford
None	I do not believe a global agreement is either necessary or desirable. Any agreement would need the retention of national and local leadership, to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers would be of paramount importance. In the current pandemic, we have seen the toxic results of unscrupulous control and profiteering organisations. We have witnessed first hand, wealth before health. Lessons need to be learned and protective measures put in place for the future.
Citizen of the world	I do not believe a Global Pandemic Response Treaty should be put in place. I believe National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	I do not believe a global response is needed or democratic. The dangerous centralization of powers is open to abuse. The WHO response to the covid "pandemic" ignoring science and history, was clearly influenced by political and corporate interests. and caused great harm. There has yet to be plausible investigation to address the origins of covid and continuing gain of function research. Individual responsibility will always be the best route to community health. My body my choice. I strongly oppose the proposed treaty which atacks national sovereignty.
N/A	I do not believe a pandemic treaty is necessary and would not benefit the people of our world. I believe the national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe the need for transparency, a return to open debate and dialogue, respect of our inalienable human rights and civil liberties and the sovereignty of all people and nations. I believe the right to choose and refuse treatments, or medical interventions, including access to repurposed medications. I believe in the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in the rejection of mass experimentation and social engineering, and discrimination based on medical status or choice. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The WHO has the responsibility to promote restoration for harms from medical interventions and measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I do not believe a pandemic treaty is necessary and would not benefit the people of our world. Any advisement from the WHO should include the following: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Clearly stated ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A transparent process with open debate and dialogue. 4. Respect of our inalienable human rights and civil liberties and the sovereignty of all people and nations. 5. The ability for all people of the world to vote on fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. A rejection of mass experimentation with fast-tracked medical treatments and social engineering. 7. The right to choose and refuse treatments, or medical interventions, including access to repurposed medications. 8. No discrimination based on medical status or choice. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health entities with conflict of interest. 10. The WHO should promote restoration for harms from medical interventions.
Private	I do not believe a pandemic treaty is necessary and would not benefit the people of our world. I shared sixteen recommendations which included the need for transparency, a return to open debate and dialogue, respect of our inalienable human rights and civil liberties and the sovereignty of all people and nations. I called for the right to choose and refuse treatments, or medical interventions, including access to repurposed medications. I also called for a rejection of mass experimentation and social engineering, and discrimination based on medical status or choice. And, I enjoined the WHO to promote restoration for harms from medical interventions.
None	I do not believe a pandemic treaty is warranted or necessary, nor advisable. Each country deserves its own sovereignty in all things, especially the handling of large scale medical emergencies. Each country and it's citizens are best equipped to understand and respond to the needs of it's population. We do not need this treaty. Thank you for your time Sincerely, Elizabeth Tighe
Not affiliated to any organisation	I do not believe a sole organisation can decide the correct behaviour on behalf of an entire world, not even during a pandemic. Each nation, each culture and each government should be free to respond to health crisis as they find most suitable for their people. This treaty would not only strip nations of the right to set their own health policy, but would also dictate an extremely narrow minded way of dealing with a pandemic. The way the WHO dealt with the Covid pandemic was atrocious. Focusing all funding and attention on an "emergency" vaccine by halting all trials on pre-existing cheap medications which could have saved thousands of lives. Why would we trust you to do a better job when the next pandemic comes?
Gods hands	I do not believe a treaty should even exist there will be a world wide uproar if you all think of making some kind of digital ID or mandates. We need to have freedom and our rights not be impacted. You are all pushing people too far and at some point they will break and it won't be complying to these treaty. Keep this in mind when you decide on this "treaty"
None	I do not believe a WHO TREATY on pandemic preparedness is necessary or lawful since it might override a national and local leadership full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities SHOULD BE ABLE to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. The process should be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Strict, transparent measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be included without any room for misinterpretation.
Self	I do not believe all powers should be assigned to one entity. Every country deserves to respond to a pandemic according to their benefit/risk analysis.
None	I do not believe an international agreement is necessary in regards to pandemic response. The USA, in particular, should maintain the power and authority to make decisions based on what is best for the people of the country. The mass population of people should be allowed to vote on such matters, and should not be decided on by a select few. Any such treaty created should not be mandatory. As humans created by God, we all possess the inherent ability of freedom of choice, and that should be honored, particularly in the United States of America. Thank you.
USA citizen	I do not believe an international body should govern national and local level responses to a future pandemic. Full autonomy with the ability to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement must be maintained for nations and local governments. Additionally, an open and transparent process must be in place which would give all people the ability to vote on and include measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This process must be free from cronyism. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health interests should be specifically prevented from having any input in the process of developing a "new international instrument" in preparation for a future pandemic.
None	I do not believe an 'international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' should be developed or exist. I believe each country should develop its own preparations and responses to pandemics and other health issues based on its constitution and the desire of its citizens. I do not believe the World Health Organization should have any authority, at any time, in any capacity. The WHO should be limited to gathering, assessing and sharing true, factual, unbiased information countries can use in making their own decisions and policies regarding pandemics and other health issues. I believe all members of the WHO and those making decisions within the WHO, regarding pandemics and any other health issue, should be delegates elected by the countries they represent, not individuals, and not representatives of any business. I believe all funding of the WHO and its operations, regarding pandemics or any other health issue, should come from the member countries and never from individual or business donors.
None	I do not believe an international pandemic preparedness response is appropriate given each nation has unique circumstances regarding their environment and population so using a blanket response is counter-productive as we have seen in C – 19. If it is decided to go ahead with this internationally based response then The following MUST be included: 1 guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, 2 PRIORITISING human rights, particularly the rights of bodily integrity and informed consent to any medical treatments or interventions of any kind and freedom of movement. 3 legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies for any proposed mass 'mandates', and medical or pharmaceutical interventions or treatments 4 cultivating and making accessible to anyone interested, open intelligent debate and discussion from international appropriately qualified professionals on ongoing responses and treatments to any disease, not only those who agree with WHO's views but those with any view backed up with genuine and proven (by track record, awards, publications and professional positions held) research and expertise 5 use behavioural psychology to MINIMISE fear and panic among populations especially young people and children to protect mental health and long-term consequences such as those that we are seeing during The aftermath of C-19 6 promote the use of known and proven natural health support supplements like (vitamins and minerals and healthy diet) and remedies as well as existing Long proven pharmaceutical interventions for prevention and treatment of any disease.
None	I do not believe an international treaty of any sort should be implemented for pandemics as it's not a one size fits all situation. Basic human rights need to be maintained and the advice provided by any international organisation must not be mandatory or biased but should encourage open dialog, information sharing, no censorship, respect for all opinions, and discourage any sort of government overreach. What I have seen in many countries through this pandemic has been shocking, disturbing, discriminatory, and eye opening. Any world organisation must discourage this from ever happening again and be on the right side of humanity rather than encourage the authoritarian behaviour undertaken by many governments.
self	I do not believe an international treaty should be created. I feel health decisions should be allowed on a country by country level.
Private citizen	I do not believe an overarching global policy is the best way forward. I feel individual countries should be able to maintain their sovereignty and make their own decisions.
Health care	I do not believe an unelected corrupted organization like the WHO, whom takes money from people like Bill Gates and Gavi, should be in charge of anyone's health and should never be allowed to override governments in democratic countries.
Informed choice Iowa	I do not believe any country should join in a treaty that would violate the sovereignty of their nation, constitution, or the rights of the individuals. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to view the discussions and votes. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	I do not believe any elements should be included in this instrument. Our health response to a pandemic lies firmly with our elected government and required no international intervention, guidance or response.
private citizen	I do NOT believe any elements should be included in this treaty because there should be NO treaty! All health decisions for U.S. citizens should be made by the U.S. alone and WHO should NOT and never should have power over health decisions. WHO treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. I oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or legally binding global document that hinders U.S. sovereignty in any area especially public health. I oppose all policies that require U.S. citizens to act as directed by a global body that may impact free travel or trade. All sharing of private health information to underly the functioning of global responses must not be permitted. Every nation must be allowed to make decisions regarding its own citizens in times of emergency. I urge you to STOP this treaty!
University	I do not believe any force should be laid upon individuals in regards to the pandemic. Nature comes and goes and humans need to be able to process this on their own, not at the will of others. The pandemic is no longer one to be feared, but is being exploited for power.
Self	I do not believe any global entity should have any influence over any country regarding any health matter. I strongly urge against the formation of this new international instrument.
General Public	I do not believe any substantive elements are necessary. I do not believe there should be a global treaty/agreement regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response or, at least, that the United States should not participate. I do not want the WHO to remove the freedom of choice that the United States currently has. I believe each sovereign county and its people should choose how to respond to a pandemic. "World oversight" is too intrusive and completely unnecessary. I am hopeful that the United States will keep itself as a sovereign nation and will not give over our rights and freedoms to the WHO or the world at large.
Wesley Gibbard Software & IT	I do not believe any substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I do not even believe such an instrument is wanted or indeed needed. It will be a threat to sovereignty. It will increase the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I and my fellow-citizens have a right to participate in any agreement that affects our lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO is not engaging in a process of public participation, but has instead silently provided an opportunity for 'stakeholders' to submit their thoughts, ideas and feedback on the proposed plans, evidenced by the fact that highly interested parties have only managed to discover this 'opportunity' at the very last minute. Therefore, it is impossible for an unbiased democratic process to take place and any resulting 'agreement' by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO has no right to control the world's health agenda, nor to enforce bio surveillance. I oppose any international instrument that can overrule sovereign state laws, which this instrument will do.
Author of nine books	I do not believe any substantive elements should be included in international law regarding sovereign nations and their response to pandemics and epidemics. These should be left solely to the individual nations governed by their constitutions and frontline physicians in their countries and not governed in any way as law by the World Health Organization. The World Health Organization should provide data-driven data guidelines recommendations that are not laws. This is only role the WHO should provide during a pandemic.
self employed	I do not believe any top down legal framework for pandemic response is going to be effective. This will only increase people's suspicion of unelected global institutions making policy that effects peoples' lives very directly and intimately. This is never going to be acceptable long term. We saw during the covid pandemic that different countries had different public health policies and even within countries. It is now clear that lockdowns, masking, school closings, vaccine passports didn't make much difference. Countries like Sweden which never mandated any of that did better than countries which had stricter mandates. In the USA there is no difference in death from covid rates between states like Florida which did not mandate masks, or vaccines, and states like New York where there were mandates. A large % of the global population is very skeptical of these policies. More and more evidence is coming about regarding the damage covid policies have caused, both economically and in terms of mental health. China, Australia and New Zealand are the proof that Zero Covid doesnt work. Any treaty should take into account these realities. Mandates are going to cause resistance and distrust. People are learning to live with covid and are starting to wonder about the panic and authoritarian policies that were enacted. The WHO should respect science, and the uncertainty of science and the fact that public health policy always involves trade offs.
Green Med	I do not believe anything should be held against the public during an event such as the ones stated. The public should be responsible for their own health and seeking their own health care providers. The governments of the world nor any organizations set up by said governments should have any restricting controls over the public in the name of "for your own safety"
US citizen	I do not believe centralized power over the world is safe, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
n/a	I do not believe Global Control of anything works. However Global agreements are a good thing. Having worked for many large organisations in my experience no matter how responsive the communication systems are; getting the correct information and relevant weighting takes far too long and as such become unable to respond efficiently. National and local leadership must be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own local people. As such there needs to be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is essential with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Swine Flu (2009) and Covid19 (2019) have made a huge number of people wary of Global Pharmaceutical Companies. Their influence needs to be curtailed and as such any Global Measures must reduce their influence in any process. Anyone that has the ability to Profit from disaster must be specifically excluded due to their conflict of interest. In particular all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Misan Assistance Ltd	I do not believe in any elements being added. A sovereign nation should be able to control its own affairs. That nation can work in conjunction with other organisations, but any decisions that affect the population have to be referred to them in a referendum.
N/A	I do not believe in the World Pandemic Treaty. I find this to be unnecessary and to much control over the people.

Organization	Written submission
None	I do not believe international measures should apply. Each country should apply measures independently, adhering to human rights and no persons should be forced to lock themselves into their homes, or close their businesses. The covid plans were shambolic, and caused death, economic castrastrophy for citizens, whilst big business profited massively. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, with the people leading this. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No supressing if alternative views.
General Public	I do not believe it is in the best interest of the general public for a one size fits all response for public health at any time, including during a pandemic. Each sovereign nation or region, should be able to decide for itself what's the appropriate response for its citizens. Individuals and communities should be in control of their own health, not dictated to by an organisation that does not answer to the citizens. No 'body' should have the power to supersede the authority of the constitution of a nation, democracy must be upheld. Any agreement should allow nations to keep autonomy and therefore be able to opt out of any part of the agreement, without any consequence. What is important is that there should be full uncensored dialogue between scientists/medics of the world. All information used in the process should be freely available for scrutiny and discussion. Measures need to be in place to prevent influence from those who will profit from decisions.
D&M Tutoring	I do not believe it is necessary, or beneficial, for a one-size-fits-all pandemic response. The health needs of different populations vary, and require localized responses. Any global intervention should be on an opt-in basis only, leaving decisions to those in local areas. With this in mind, a global response should include options of responses for countries or areas to choose from according to local needs. These options should be based on clear evidence which has been widely shared for transparency, and be open to discussion and contributions from all interested parties - being all citizens of the earth.
Private Citizen	I do not believe it would be in anyones best interest to sign up to this treaty.in fact I believe it will be detrimental to the public in general to be signed up to this treaty.
Self	I do not believe it's a wise or safe decision to remove personal healthcare choices from the individual within the USA and the state by allowing a foreign/international body of unelected leaders to make decisions about me and my families personal health choices. Therefore, I think the choices and decisions should be left to the individual and their chosen elected leaders within their country, ie. USA and even closer to home the state, city.
American citizen	I do not believe making an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is in the best interest of Americans or any other nation, therefore I recommend NO elements for this instrument but rather leaving each sovereign nation to come up with their own measures in preparing for a possible future pandemic. Each nation is responsible to make those decisions for its own citizenry, and as an American, I do NOT support substantive elements made on an international scale. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people, or any people for that matter, in a time of crisis. Maintaining Sovereignty is imperative, and is not only the cornerstone of individual human rights and civil liberties but also international law.
Ireland	I do not believe mandatory vaccines to be a benefit the covid vaccine has proved that despite the coercion and bullying this vaccine still did not prevent people getting tge virus, passing it on, being very ill or dying. Uk government figures are very clear that most people dying have been fully vaccinated. Also there has been a lot of injuries which has for some reason not been very transparent and there has been a lot of shame brought to those that dare mention it. I also do not believe lockdowns to be the way forward this has had big impacts on childrens well being and economy has been destroyed by it. A one system fits all wouldn't be appropriate and we can see whats happening in shanghai which looks horrific. Please consider the mental wellbeing and human rights of everyone whilst preparing this information.
Self	I do not believe my health or a governing body outside of the United States has power over my decisions for my health....I/we are sovereign people and do not need a governing body to make these decisions on our behalf let alone for our country ....thank you
USA resident	I do not believe nor would I support a WHO sponsored global pandemic response. It is the responsibility of every sovereign nation to determine what is best for its citizens through the processes legislated by the people of the nation and their representatives.
Human	I do not believe one corporation or entity should be in charge of health choices in a diverse world. We are not a one size fits all. Every country should have there own set of rules decided by the people who live there. Life is about risk and responsibility. I am sure the WHO would live to have this power but it is irresponsible and unethical.
self	I do not believe public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
None	I do not believe Public Health is something that others whom aren't part of our local community of Physicians, should have any control. As we've learned, over the last two years especially, it's not a one size fit's all situation. In fact, this really couldn't have been made more clear. In a Democratic society, we as people and human beings, should have the right to make these decisions for ourselves, our children, and within our own community. I also believe we have the right to decide with our own physician, what medications we believe are best to treat any and all physical illnesses. In my years of experience, this has never before been a right that others get involved. And Doctors being reprimanded for treatment if an agency may not agree. Our privacy is sacrament to our Doctor and Patient relationship, and therefore of healing. I respectfully Thank You for your time and consideration.
Private individual	I do not believe such a treat of such nature is required. I reject the concept in its entirety. Each person is a sovereign being and each nation sovereign. I did not vote for you. I choose to exempt myself from your powers. I reject and disagree with the need for this treaty.
Myself	I do not believe that a central international unaccountable non-elected organisation should govern all countries- each country should decide how to respond to pandemics. There is no accountable mechanism for this to viably work - each country is different and a global plan devised by the few for the individual characteristics will not serve the many.
None	I do not believe that a future International instrument for pandemics should exist in such a correlated manner. Prior to Covid-19, research trials had been completed and documents created outlining the optimal response to such a pandemic. It is clear to see the numerous issues that existed globally with these guidelines. First, the majority of countries decided to implement harsh lockdowns against original guidance and opted to use very different strategies. Fundamentally, they all failed to control the spread of the virus within the following two years which supplies little confidence in the ability of the WHO and commercial partners to create a better response pattern next time around. Second, the long lasting effects of continued and sporadic lockdowns will further increase the risk of health deterioration throughout populations, which should be an absolute priority for the WHO to avoid at any given point in the future. I truly believe if we are to be successful in the face of future pandemics, civil liberty has to be at the forefront of any plan in order to keep life as normal as possible and allowing the people to evaluate their own risk factors regarding the spread and contracting of a virus. This is an easily achievable feat if the correct support networks are created in order to ensure the most vulnerable are not neglected.
Self-employed	I do not believe that a global agreement on pandemic preparedness, or particularly on pandemic response, is required. The concept fails to recognise human diversity. People's vulnerabilities, beliefs, needs, desires and solutions vary greatly across cultures and as such people must be allowed freedom to choose their responses at the point of crisis. If such an international instrument is nevertheless to be created, It must not be mandatory, and people at different levels of administration right down to the local or the individual must be allowed to make their own choices including opting out without penalty. In order for people to make choices as they must be allowed to do, it must be far more open, transparent and truthful than appears to have been the case with regard to the current/recent covid-19 pandemic, in which the information forthcoming to the public has been sadly lacking and in some cases appears to have been misleading in the extreme. It must ensure that it is not subject to influence by pharmaceutical companies, which frequently push for the use of more expensive "preventatives" and "cures" than others which are sometimes more effective. It must similarly guard against any kind of profiteering, it must in itself be non-profit, and it must guard against profit-motivated influences. It must ensure equality in the availability and accessibility of information, as well as of drugs and other potential solutions as long as the people in question choose to be part of any programme offered.
None	I do not believe that a global cookie-cutter response will work in the next pandemic. Each country and each state has completely different outcomes..especially if you look at the data. Compare Florida or South Dakota to California. CA was clearly mis-managed, and their Covid numbers show that in data. Each state, each country needs sovereignty. Treating India like the US or treating Costa Rica like Europe does not make sense. We need more local decisions made, and we will be able to act on them faster if they are kept more localized, and then they can be tailored to the specific problems in each region/country.
World Citizen	I do NOT believe that a global pandemic agreement is even necessary. The WHO should NOT have any say on the rights of any individual in the world, nor the ability to dictate to any government how they should deal with a pandemic.
Retired individual	I do not believe that a global Pandemic Treaty will be of any use in meeting pandemics. Each nation fends for itself and WHO has outlived its use other than, as I experienced during the Covid pandemic, spreading chaos and confusion in a volatile situation in flux, giving a handle to governments to impose extra-constitutional and even illegal processes to control, manipulate and crush basic human rights using information about diseases and vaccinations that were not scientifically proven beyond doubt. Also, global institutions like the UN, WHO, FAO etc etc are no longer trusted by me and large swathes of populations and unless they can prove themselves in exceptional ways of being generous and equitable in the face of crises, the crises themselves are best resolved by individual nations. WHO can give some advice but there is no need for a binding treaty. The notion of centralised agencies working according to global imperatives is flawed and has become a tool for hegemonistic powers to implement their will on unsuspecting and helpless populations. Given these reflections, I oppose the signing of an international pandemic treaty.
PRIVATE	I do not believe that a health organization should have any jurisdiction over the personal medical treatment or freedoms of people. If democratically decided, The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A (Self as US Citizen)	I do not believe that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be created at all. Such an instrument is not needed and would not be beneficial. Health issues are matters for local and individual decision, and not appropriate for centralized international oversight or control. While information pertaining to health concerns should be shared freely with all, it should never be imposed as international policy. Individual nations should continue to retain their rights to determine their own actual health policies.
private citizen of Canada	I do not believe that a universal approach to pandemic preparedness is necessary or advisable. As pandemic risks and realities are closely tied to national and local conditions, it's most important to respond accordingly, and not according to a one-size-fits-all methodology. Thus national and local leadership must retain full autonomy and be able to opt out of over-arching regulation as they see fit. It is also very important to maintain full transparency with policy contributions and decision-making influence. There should also be no influence from any relevant corporate, business or supra-national organizations. (I personally am not affiliated with any organization with an interest in the outcome).
none	I do not believe that an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response overseen by WHO (a non-elected body and whose main contributors are non-elected entities) is a viable proposition. Every country in the world has it's own identity and culture and practices. There can never be a global response to a pandemic as each separate country is best suited to deal effectively with the situation within it's own borders. Based on the performance shown by the WHO over the covid-19 pandemic it would fail miserably. The planned international instrument would never be accepted by the public and should be abandoned now.
Individual	I do not believe that any elements should be included. Furthermore I do not believe such an instrument is required nor do I want to see one. The WHO is not an elected government body. It does not and must not have any power or hold over any citizen. Human beings are individuals and their right to self-determination must be protected, cherished and enshrined at all costs. No organisation should ever even consider taking that away regardless of any situation.
n/a	I do not believe that any further elements need to be included in a "pandemic preparedness" response based on it defying individual sovereignty. The WHO already has what it needs and more to provide guidance to countries for a wise response to a pandemic. To create a treaty that binds countries to certain actions good or not is an overreach of authority and does not allow for nations to guide their own response. Collaboration is good, but ultimately decisions need to be made by individuals and not a single governing body.
Private citizen	I do not believe that any government / agency has the right to control the individual medical and personal rights of any human being. This is significant overreach and power-grabbing by a few individuals who do not have enough knowledge, pure motives, or the ability to make a decision about what is best for me, my family, or my fellow humans on this planet. God gave us autonomy over our bodies and no one has the right to control individual medical and personal freedom. The human race is tiring very quickly of the power grab by a few individuals to control the many - most who are totally clueless as to what is going on. There is no such thing as "one size fits all" in anything! NO ONE has the right to decide what is "best" for me and my family.
None	I do not believe that any international body should have the power to dictate what I as a sovereign citizen of the United States should or should not do to protect my health and body integrity. The state I live in should decide what procedures or protocols I can use to address any health issue I encounter, not some un-elected bureaucrat in some global body with no accountability to the people they are harming with their decisions.
None	I do not believe that any organization should have power to tell the world how to handle a pandemic. Each area should decide on a local level.
Carmel Global	I do not believe that any organization should have sovereign control over individuals. This is against human rights.
General Public	I do not believe that any unelected body has the authority to decide what steps to take, be they Lockdowns or Mandatory Vaccinations. I do not believe that any Government has the right to sign up for your proposal without proper consultation with their populations. It is not a democratic measure that is being proposed. I am vehemently opposed to your " treaty ".
Freedom Party	I do not believe that any unelected body should dictate to my country and my elected government. Given the last 2 years of fear driven and destructive measures (lockdowns,masks,forced injections)it should NEVER be allowed to happen again. No one should decide what I am allowed to do during a pandemic especially seeing as the definition has conveniently been changed!!
n/a	I do not believe that it is wise to enact any treaty that takes away the sovereignty of individual nations. Such agreements are dangerous, and put the power in the hands of too few. While current leaders may have the best interests of the people in mind, forming a structure whereby a small group has the power to define and address a "crisis" and "response" opens the door for abuse. Any centralization of power to such a degree will undoubtedly lead to the suffering of people whose perspectives are not valued, and I do not support any such action. Thus, if there is to be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, it is critical that decisions about health be made at the local level. Protections should be put in place for people to have a voice, and channels should be created whereby dissenting opinions may be put forth and debated. There should be set limits on how much an authority can limit people's freedoms, and it must be stipulated that liberties, religious or otherwise, are not to be sacrificed, even in the case of emergency. Protecting the dignity of human persons must be of the utmost importance.
Myself	I do not believe that taking away peoples rights is at all the first step or any step after for being more prepared for a world wide pandemic of this magnitude. Encouraging presentation is key in my opinion: nutrition, sunlight exposure, exercise, healthy relationships body & mind health is what is important. Since the beginning of this pandemic no one has stood and talked about these things with the public. They are easy steps to prevent death and destruction during a pandemic. Treat the body before the pandemic begins! Taking away liberties and our choice to choose is not the answer. Teaching preventative health is. Get healthy now, so if we have another virus, our bodies will handle it.
Pvt	I do not believe that the citizens of the world need a single governing body to manage health related issues globally. Each country is quite capable of handling their own issues in a way that best suits their conditions. A singular top down, one size fits all approach will more likely cause immeasurable damage to citizens for whom the dictated approach may be wholly unsuitable for their socio-economic conditions, plus many other differentiators. I suggest the status quo remains in place where the WHO exists as an advisory body and for each country to determine the extent of the WHO advice they think best for their country.
private	I do not believe that the treaty should contain any provisions relating to control of people or governments. What I would like to see is a set of guidelines that governments can consult should there ever be a need to. Covid has set a good example and shown us that strict control is worse for people and better for the pharmaceutical industry. It is quite clear that Covid was far less severe in Africa than elsewhere and I believe that this is due to the lack of control of her people. Staying free has meant that immune systems can continue to function naturally and people are stronger. If anything, a treaty should contain provisions to ensure that the kind of measures applied during Covid are never seen again in the free world. Thank you.
none	I do not believe that the United States should authorize Who or give powers to who that would take Americans rights away of alter them in any way. We are a soverign nation and should act as so. We may co operate with other nations on policy. That is as far as it should go. Sincerely Faith R. Colburn
Member of the Public	I do not believe that the WHO has any legitimate role to play in deciding Government policy. The WHO is not a democratically elected 'body'. To give over our rights and freedoms to an unelected 'body' leaves nations and its citizens vulnerable to the whims of Elite Globalists who fund the WHO and therefore influence WHO policy. Democracy is the foundation of any civilised society and to give over our sovereignty to a faceless, unaccountable entity completely obliterates democracy. This is nothing more than a power grab, this is nothing more than consolidating power into the hands of one global entity. This is abhorrent. I vehemently oppose handing over any decision making process to the WHO.
General public	I do not believe that the WHO has any right to involve itself in the decision making process of the UK government when it comes to emergency measures i.e. another pandemic etc. We have elected our officials in the English government whereas there are no elected officials in the WHO and they must not interfere with the sovereignty of the UK government and people.
Personal	I do not believe that the WHO has the right to implement regulations upon other countries. Every country knows their own circumstance, their people and the environment in which their countries are affected. I see this as an overreach.
Self	I do not believe that the WHO or any entity or person should be allowed to overrule a Nation's Constitution under any conditions especially for future happenings - for that future happening would surely then come true. I do not believe the WHO or any entity or person should be allowed to force a human being to take a gene editing pharmaceutical. It is unethical to force mankind to participate in such medicine or technology. I do not believe the ruling elite have the best interest of the common man at heart. Nor do I see that they have any faith in God who created them and is able to provide. And I do not believe they are honest beings. Because of this I do not believe they are qualified to be endowed with such overreaching powers over all of humanity. For once the ink of the signatures is to paper, before the ink is even dry, I do believe they will begin to initiate whatever pandemic is required for them to suspend the Constitutions of the Nations and rule the world. After seeing the unethical overreach the Emergency Use Authorizations allowed, the NWO with such unchecked power seems a far more dangerous thing to contemplate then another virus. Nature is not so dangerous as the bio-labs of the Nations. I would rather propose to close the bio-labs and destroy their creations.
Health Freedom Canada	I do not believe that the WHO should be the one, deciding organization on any pandemic, or health question. Each country should keep the right to respond in the best way for their citizens. Climate and circumstances vary greatly around the world, as so should the responses to any health concern. Since the greatest contributor to the WHO is a man who is determined to have everyone vaxed, it is paramount that other voices and methods continue to prevail as solutions to any pandemic threat and preparedness. Thank you for restoring health freedom for all countries.
None	I do not believe that the WHO should have any authority over our individual health. It should be determined and a local and personal level!
ACC	I do not believe that the WHO should have sweeping power or control over so many. The WHO are not always correct and this pandemic has shown that. Nations need to make their own decisions in the best interest of their people. The WHO should stick with offering guidance only. People should have rights to choose their own medical interventions and treatments with informed consent. I do not agree or consent to the Who's proposed.
none (private citizen)	I do not believe that the WHO should have the right to make blanket decisions about how individuals or individual nations should respond to pandemics, and I believe it should offer only its recommendations. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that all nations and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I further believe that any individual within any nation or municipality should have the right to choose the course of action, with regard to the ingestion and injection of any substance recommended by the health profession, that they understand to be the best one for them. I believe that recommendations made by the WHO should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe that the WHO's recommendations should be based on measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health bodies.
US citizen	I do not believe that the WHO should make a document referencing what should or should not be done in any country. People should be able to decide for themselves what they should or should not do. Regarding any rules/laws, the WHO should have ZERO say. The WHO governs no person and should not be permitted to interfere in any people's decisions. NO INTERNATIONAL PANDEMIC ANYTHING NEEDED.
Citizen	I do not believe that the WHO should provide anything except guidance in a Pandemic plan. As we have clearly observed during the Covid Pandemic, "one size fits all" does not work well. As different communities face different threat levels, they should be able to respond to the specific situation on the ground. A good case in point would be the early lockdowns in American states with little to no community spread. Everyone was treated like New York with a high rate. Which made people skeptical and resistant to lockdowns once they were more realistically needed. Look at Australia and NZ. They are now facing soaring cases after two year failed mitigation strategy failed. Should the countries with more herd immunity be forced to follow rules set for mitigation in another country with a different reality? I would much prefer our local agencies to have the flexibility to act in the interest of their communities. And all public policy should be reviewable and accountable through free and democratic elections.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	I do not believe that there needs to be an international treaty especially one that would place the WHO or any other pharmaceutical industry funded non-elected body in charge of all countries in the world - this would effectively be a 'one world government' ushered in via a biomedical dictatorship based on the flimsy premise of a 'pandemic' using the WHO altered definition. The following must not be violated by any such treaty: Decisions should be made by sovereign countries based upon local needs, culture and capacity rather than a central body such as the WHO. There is no issue with the WHO providing Guidelines for countries but nothing beyond that. As we have seen with the Covid-19 pandemic different countries have had very different experiences with infection rates and the way that countries have responded with measures. Interestingly, countries that did not use totalitarian liberty-destroying measures fared better in terms of infection rates (such as Sweden) than those that decided to destroy freedoms, society and the economy to impose lockdowns (UK). It also appears to be the case that countries with high vaccination rates have much higher infection rates than countries (such as African nations) that have low vaccination rates. I would like to see a proper data-driven evaluation of all of the measures as well as cost-benefit analyses as I believe that none of the measures imposed have been effective at preventing infection but have succeeded in destroying the economies and societies of various countries. The medical rights and freedoms of individuals set out by international law and the Nuremberg Code should never be violated by any treaty; the 'common good' should not violate individual rights.
None	I do not believe that there should be a "global" instrument. The World Health Organization is not an elected body and does not represent the health or welfare of the average person. Each area, region, country needs to remain independent.
None	I do not believe that there should be a "one world pandemic response." Every nation, every people, every situation is unique and should be treated as such. The planet, its resources, and the right to exist on it belong to everyone equally--there is NO ONE who is "more equal" than anyone else, or due the authority to make determinations for the lives of others, especially not on a global scale. A few dozen individuals have no place governing billions, especially if they are not elected by those they seek to govern. No group has any place appointing themselves "in charge of the world." Should the U.S. be a participant in a coordinated pandemic response plan, it MUST include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
N/A	I do not believe that there should be a new WHO-led international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. We are still seeing the disastrous consequences of the policies implemented throughout the world in response to the Covid-19 pandemic. The guidance throughout from the WHO has been poor, and dissenting voices have been silenced. Anyone who has the power to dictate how people live and to curtail their freedom 'for the common good' must be democratically elected, and their policies must be held up for scrutiny. The general public has been denied proper informed consent for the past two years; this must never again be repeated. Individual countries must be free to respond to any future pandemics as they see fit, in a way that serves their citizens. An international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response will not achieve this.
none	I do not believe that there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. If it goes forward.... I will not comply. Nations and local leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the autonomy to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Further, in the United States, the individual states must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement regardless of if the federal government signs the agreement, and individuals must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement if the state agrees to it or it goes against their religious beliefs. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	I do not believe that there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I think that is to be left to the individual and his or her own locality. I prefer to serve God. Anyone or anything that leads us to believe we are not sovereign beings is against God.
N/A	I do not believe that there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. If it goes forward.... Nations and local leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the autonomy to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Further, in the United States, the individual states must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement regardless of if the federal government signs the agreement, and individuals must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement if the state agrees to it or it goes against their religious beliefs. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
belong to no organization22901 rim way	I do not believe that there should be any organization that should have any rights to govern my health or my body. It is my body and i am the only one who that has the right to say how it should be treated!!!!!!!!!!
None	I do not believe that there should be anything imposed upon free people in society, pandemic and epidemics are a part of life unfortunately. We as mankind are not able to stop or curb the manner in which they effect society. We do however cause much harm by imposing lockdowns and forced vaccinations upon people, no matter how bad a pandemic is, it does not give others i.e. governments to push their thoughts and ideas upon others it should be live and let live. If our time upon this earth is done then so be it rules we have lived by for as long as I remember like we have always lived. Restrictions are not necessary and once we had information to look at we saw that they ultimately made little to no difference anyway. In fact of anything the vaccines only served to prolong the pandemic for longer than it would have originally gone on for.
Eichstatt University	I do not believe that trans-national frameworks are effective, we need localised institutional provision personnelled by local people. I think that the use of potential threats is simply a way of the stakeholders behind the WHO to express their own interests to the detriment of the people across the globe. The WHO is a bankrupt, and probably corrupt, organisation that should have no right to interfere with national, let alone, personal, sovereignty.
N/A	I do not believe that we need an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I do not feel that the steps taken to deal with the covid-19 virus were necessary nor effective and from this basis it would be wrong to put anything into position for any future outbreak. Substantive elements required: * Isolate the virus / disease. * Use tests that are 100% reliable. * DO NOT rush through vaccines or MRNA treatments in the hope that they will work. * Carry out proper medicinal trials, over the correct period of time, with volunteers. * Ensure there is also a control group to compare and contrast with. * Agree to stop new treatments when links to deaths are evidenced for any reason. * Agree to stop new treatments when links to severe adverse reactions are evidenced for any reason. * Be honest and honourable with the world population. * Respect each individual's rights to bodily autonomy and their sovereignty to make their own health decisions. * Respect the Nuremberg Code. * Respect human rights.
Private Citizen	I do not believe that we should have a Global Treaty that takes the health decisions out of the hands of individual citizens and individual governments, and therefore I think any plan for dealing with another global pandemic should address that issue. We want, need, and must maintain our sovereignty. I believe this kind of thing sets a dangerous precedent, and instead governments should work together as issues arise and develop plans that can be modified as needed, but we should not give up our freedoms, neither willingly nor by force. Thank you.
Private citizen	I do not believe that WHO should be able to over ride the basic human right of all individuals to bodily autonomy and the right to choose how they lead their lives . There is no place for either mandatory pharmaceutical or non pharmaceutical interventions, digital health passes , compulsory quarantine or house arrest under any circumstances. The treaty should not over ride the sovereignty of any nation and the right to chose the correct course of action for its people in the event of any health emergencies. The treaty should be free from all influences from and by any pharmaceutical company , NGO , philanthropic organisation , individual nation or person .
none	I do not believe that WHO's formulation of one single approach to any particular health crisis afflicting humanity at any given time is an effective solution. Each local entity requires the right to determine its own best practice regarding public health challenges...and diverse responses to public health crises, along with accurate data of the results, can provide honest, rigorous scientific evaluation which may serve to improve treatment

Organization	Written submission
Individual	I do not believe the United States should be entering into a treaty with the World Health Organization. Especially during an emergency, decisions need to be kept to a local level, targeting the uniqueness of each individual area and the people effected. Yielding power to a global power is a grave mistake, which we will come to regret.
Individual	I do not believe the WHO (or anyone else)should be setting or implementing any "international policies on dealing with Covid or any pandemic. I believe in the sovereignty of each state here in the US to set policies with this covid "pandemic" or ANY future pandemic, based on the individual circumstances that apply in each state, and in each Country. I TOTALLY OPPOSE, AS DO MOST PEOPLE I KNOW, the WHO setting INTERNATIONAL POLICIES REGARDING PANDEMICS!
None	I do not believe the WHO is a credible organization to be responsible for such a treaty. There is an obvious conflict of interest given the people who fund the WHO (ie. Gates and GAVI) also have significant investments in the companies that produce vaccines.
N/a	I do not believe the WHO should be in charge of any pandemic response, you are motivated by profit and not what is best for the citizens of the world, you have been wrong about almost every aspect of this pandemic and have pushed a narrative around the world so you can have full control. Every country has its own laws and should be allowed to deal with pandemics as they have always had in the past, what is best for its citizens not a one size fits all approach. Every person should be allowed to asses their risks and have confidence in their chosen doctors to make the best informed decisions on healthcare. I would suggest your input with counties on ways to reduce spread/transmission but to have full control is not something I think you should have.
individual human	I do not believe the WHO should be involved in any future pandemics from whichever source. The steps taken by the WHO in dealing with the alleged pandemic 0f 2019 were indicative of the organisation's inability to make the world safe. I do believe the WHO should be barred from any future world decisions, and should in fact be dismantled as an organisation with immediate effect. Any future health scares around the world would be more efficiently handled by elected representatives of the areas affected. No future funding to maintaining the WHO should be withdrawn, and the private donors should have no say whatsoever in the running of this totally undemocratic edifice.
private	I do not believe the WHO should be responsible for an overall influence of other countries. I believe as it now mainly funded by private wealthy organisations that are not democratically elected, we should not be forced to submit to these influences. Due to private funding, an organisation like the WHO can no longer be seen as independent, nor beyond private influence and people should not be made to submit to policies decided by these influencers. No international influence can decide what is right for each individual country without dividing the world into those who make these decisions and everybody else, thus creating a two tiered world of those in power and those that are not and making a mockery of democracy. I feel it is morally wrong for any one organisation to hold so much power - offering advice under the basis of health is one thing, issuing dictates and regulations to be enforced creates a far more sinister world for generations to come. I feel that in their history the WHO has done good work but now seek more power and global control than is necessary for an organisation allegedly concerned with health. People are quite capable of making good helath choices and should be trusted in their own judgments and health care, which most people do, without dictates from states and world organisations.
Splendeeds LLC	I do not believe the WHO should ever have jurisdiction or controlling power over any citizen of any country. I am a US citizen and feel it is dangerous for unelected officials to have power over our citizens. I do NOT believe countries should give up their sovreignty to the WHO during a pandemic. Citizens should always have medical freedom. I do NOT believe the WHO or any organization should circumvent the US constitution or the ruling articles of any country. The WHO should act as a supportive organization supplying but not mandating best practices during a pandemic; those practices should NOT tied to competing interests like big pharma or donating factions. with political or monetary interests.
	I do not believe the WHO should have any jurisdiction over anyones personal information ! No one's personal info should be shared with them to be used in any way! If there is one health organization I don't trust it is this one! The government needs to stay out of everyone's personal business! Whoever is promoting this is totally going against our personal rights! It is wrong and should not be tolerated !
private individual	I do not believe the WHO should have any more power to tell sovereign nations what to do in a pandemic or any other situation. Less world power structures with central control is the way forward.
concerned citizen of USA	I do not believe the WHO should have control over sovereign countries. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. You must allow for the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. You must have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I do not believe The Who should have the ultimate power to decide for all nations in an emergency situation. This treaty is a terrible idea and The Who should leave all countries to decide for themselves.
Private individual	I do not believe the WHO should play any part in the UK's health policies save for an advisory basis only. Our sovereignty must be preserved and our responses to health matters internally determined according to the wishes of the British people. I do not and will never, recognise the WHO as having any authority over me or my country.
Private	I do not believe the WHO, an unelected organisation should have the powers as specified. There is a real and evident danger that the proposed may lead to mandatory medical procedures, loss of bodily autonomy, and loss of sovereignty for making decisions on measures in terms of public health matters. I did not vote in a democracy for the WHO to have the proposed powers and therefore do not, and will not, recognise the WHO in this capacity.
Human being	I do not believe the world would act as one to combat pandemics. We are all individual countries with different needs and different ways to protect ourselves and that should be respected. For example New Zealand is an island easily prevent people and their bugs from coming in, well easier than countries who have borders with other countries. Each country has the right to choose how they choose to live. How they fight wars, how they govern how they feed their people....
The Morris Family	I do not believe there are any reasonable or substantive elements to be included in an internationally based preparedness and response to pandemics. Each region of the world and down to the local state and city should have the responsibility to take appropriate actions if and when a pandemic arises. No country, especially the United States of America, needs to subjugate itself to WHO's perceived authority. I, as an American citizen and independent human being made in the image of God, am capable of making my own independent decisions regarding the health and wellbeing of myself and my family, and I gladly confer that authority to each and every individual worldwide. Thank you for considering these responses.
not applicable	I do not believe there is a need for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. If such an instrument is brought into being I believe it must make clear that the World Health Organization must act solely in an advisory capacity and must not be allowed to take away or override the rights and ability of individual countries to respond to an emergency such as a pandemic as that country sees fit. It should absolutely not give the WHO the ability to dictate what a country's pandemic response should be and it definitely should not give the WHO the power to prescribe public health measures such as vaccination. The right to refuse medical treatment must remain with the individual.
self	I do not believe there is a one-size fits all procedural approach to world-wide health matters. Different countries fared differently in the pandemic according to things like geography, natural immunity, and general attitudes. To prescribe a worldwide lockdown, for instance, is to invite disaster. Sub-Saharan Africa did better than the US for all the would-be effective policies with all the vaccinations, lockdowns, masking, etc. that took place in the US. If a wrong path is chosen for the world as a whole, there could be a real disaster. It would be better for WHO to investigate what dangerous pathogens are being collected, created,/augmented in function; and the conditions under which they can be so carelessly spread to the public. An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of questionable cure that is destructive on so many levels.
World council for health	I do not believe there is any benefit in a global treaty, in the contrary. WHO attempting to take control has no benefits to individual countries, and should not be carried out.
Individual.	I do not believe there is any need for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness. I have full confidence that the UK government can prepare for and deal with any future pandemic, without any direction from other sources. I do not recognise that any UK government minister has the authority to sign the UK up to such a measure. Therefore, I will refuse to comply with any directives arising from this instrument.
Scottish Citizen	I do not believe there is need for an international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response. Sovereign governments alone should be the only authority allowed to make decisions during a pandemic. During such times the wellbeing of the individual country should be paramount, as such the ONLY body making decisions should be that government. Decisions tailored to the needs of the country in question ONLY are required. Overarching bodies interfering with ideas not specific to the country in question act as a hindrance and would add confusion. There is NO NEED for WHO involvement IN ANY CAPACITY, IN ANY COUNTRY DURING ANY FUTURE PANDEMIC.
Self & family	I do not believe there needs to be a Global contract as each country is different and needs to be allowed to develop region specific programs vetted by qualified physicians with extensive prior or current experience with hand's on patient care in local emergency rooms &/or clinics.
"	I do not believe there needs to be a treaty. 'One size fits all' does not work when it comes to a pandemic. However, if it must go ahead then Each nation, and local authority must retain autonomy. These authorities must be able to opt-out of any agreements, at any time as they see fit. The WHO must be totally transparent, with the people of the world able to vote out the treaty, without consequence. Pharmaceutical companies or other health companies must not have any influence whatsoever on the process.
None	I do not believe there should be a global treaty for pandemics. I believe each country should have the right to create their own response to a pandemic and the WHO should not have the authority to dictate what each country needs to do. This is an overeach and takes away from the sovereignty of each country.
General Public	I do not believe there should be a legally binding international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Other nations can join together if they wish and comply with rules made outside of their borders but this should never include the United States of America. No other nation is formulated on the premise that "We the People" are sovereign with inalienable, individual rights given by God. The citizens of the United States are not answerable and should never be under the legal authority of any other government, an agency such as WHO or any other, or regulated by any global contract that usurps our sovereignty to make our own decisions, separate and independent from the rest of the world. To do so would violate our constitution and the democratic republic guaranteed in that document. I have spent the last two years watching country after country whittle away at what freedoms their citizens once had – mandates and vaccine passports, imprisonments and forced isolation camps, demonization of citizens for their individual choices, depriving them of jobs, livelihoods, even their freedom. This insanity has even occurred here in varying degrees despite it being an obvious violation of our constitutional rights. I have no desire to leave the fate of my nation, in the hands of those who have a global mindset. People who want power placed in the hands of a chosen few, who have no regard for individual rights and dignity and no appreciation for what we have here in the United States.
P.I.	I do not believe there should be a treaty. Allow sovereign countries to make their own decisions. WHO's involement has been detrimental to the lives of billions of men, women, and children and to the unborn. Step aside.
n/a	I do not believe there should be a WHO pandemic preparedness and response at all, this is up to local governance to decide. As stated by Sajid Javid, the UK Helath Secretary:- "The Zero-covid policy has been an absolute disaster & is doomed to fail! You can't control nature". I have the right to assert who governs me - those being elected by a democratic process, and therefore not the WHO. Especially as regards matters of my health, bodily sovereignty & integrity - I alone reserve the rights to these, and only my doctor advises me on these.I have never taken health advice from the WHO & will never take health advice from the WHO.
USA citizen	I do not believe there should be a WHO treaty relative to this or other pandemics. No global solution can meet the needs of each locality. I recommend that each government allows best possible local response which will address the diverse resources and needs of their citizens.
N/a	I do not believe there should be an instrument to stop a global pandemic. There should be early treatment and an open forum for allowing doctors to practice medicine.
N/A - individual citizen of the United States of America	I do not believe there should be an international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response. The World Health Organization should not be granted undemocratic rights over sovereign people. Such an instrument would remove the individual rights granted to me by the United State Constitution, which is not acceptable. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy during any national or international crisis, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. If such an instrument is created, nations and local municipalities (states, counties, cities, etc) should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. In no way should the World Health Organization be granted the power to overrule national or state leaders who have been elected by the citizens of the United States. The World Health Organization should never have power to limit the rights of the people and citizens of the United States and other countries around the world during the time of crisis or pandemic.
Australian Private Citizen	I do not believe there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response at all. The interference of The WHO has caused irreparable harm to the citizens of Australia and the world. The forced introduction of experimental gene therapy on the entire human race……please. The WHO is a disgrace. My experience as an unvaccinated person has been nothing less than cruel and callous by the rest of the Australian community. The discrimination these new GMO's show towards those that have remained human is intensifying constantly. There is zero protection after 3 months. What is the use? Booster after booster after booster. Please stop any further experimental mRNA gene therapy 'vaccines' in the future.
Private individual	I do not believe there should be an International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Particularly not one which overrides National sovereignty. I believe nations should be free to decide their own strategies for dealing with pandemics. One size does not fit all. As the Corona virus epidemic has shown, there were differences in contagion between hemispheres and continents as well as epidemiology having different effects on different people due to physiognomy, diet, lifestyle and environment. Different countries need the flexibility to respond appropriately to their own situation. Lockdowns implemented in many countries were draconian, unwarranted and deeply damaging to society in general, without having significant impact on the trajectory of the virus. An overall authority claiming power over sovereign nations is a danger to democracy and should be resisted at all costs.
None	I do not believe there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Such an instrument would be created by a body of unelected officials and that threatens the sovereignty of every nation, every family and every individual. Health care decisions belong in the hands of individuals, not outside organizations and governments.
Citizen of the United States of America	I do not believe there should be an International Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness. Each country should handle any future pandemic as they see fit. We are a Sovereign National and do not need to follow rules from another country. Our rules work for us, but may not work for you. Your rules may not work for another country who has a completely different culture. Any SUGGESTIONS about pandemics may be helpful, but not MANDATES. As is now known, what was thought in 2020 to end the COVID pandemic did not work. The 3 week lock downs turned into years of lock downs. The world is now paying the price for all the bad decisions made. Freedom, Free markets and more FREEDOM is what is needed in this world.
United States Citizen	I do not believe there should be an international instrument on the pandemic preparedness. I believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Citizen of United States	I do not believe there should be an international treaty for something as individual and private as healthcare. However, if a treaty is to exist, it should contain the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Woolton Consulting Ltd	I do not believe there should be an overriding international approach to pandemics driven by any organisation. Each sovereign nation must be able to react AS ITS ELECTED GIVERMENT as voted for by its people decide THEY wish to deal with a pandemic. No international organisation has advised the correct approach to the Covid pandemic and indeed the advocated approaches from scientists behind the Barrington Declaration, which was the correct approach, was dismissed out of hand.
Hugh Hall, MD	I do not believe there should be any international instrument with regard to pandemic preparedness & response. This should be left to each individual country. I believe that the WHO should be headed by a medical doctor. I also believe that the WHO completely failed the world with regards to the most recent infection. They didn't follow the Science, they recommended wearing masks, knowing or they should have known, that paper masks are worthless in stopping transmission of a virus.
Health Coach NZ	I do NOT believe there should be ANY international instruments for any pandemic or future health issue. I vote that each single, individual country respects each individual citizen to make their own personal choices on their individual health. People should be able to reserve their fundamental human rights to make their own health choices, NOT be dictated to by any government or international organisation regarding any health "events." We want to live in freedom. Not conform to any global dictatorship.
Citizen of the United States of America	I do not believe there should be any international instruments. Each country and individual state should have their own preparedness. More importantly, each individual, sovereign being should have their own choice as to how to respond to a pandemic. The world has seen too many mandates, overbearing shutdowns, forced injections which have proven to do harm and do not stop the transmission of the current disease that is said to be the cause of a pandemic, loss of life, loss of jobs, division of family and friends, athletes collapsing on fields, children being forced to wear masks, and so much more. Common sense needs to be brought back into the world. If you're sick, stay home. If you're healthy, stay unmasked and breathe the air that the creator intended you to breath in order to be exposed to the environment and build natural immunity. No World Health Policies please!
none	I do not believe there should be any new international instrument, and especially not one that overrides national constitutions. All countries already have the tools that they need to handle pandemics. Moreover, the WHO is not elected and that is concerning. The organisation's advice and statements over the past 2 years have often been inconsistent, to say the least. The WHO should advise, not rule.
Together Declaration	I do not believe there should be any substantive elements included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Elected representatives have duties to act for the security and prosperity of those who elect them and hold them to account. A new international instrument may not be in the interests of the electorate. Cost-benefit analysis is required first at the national, accountable level. There can be no one-size fits all solution - particularly one proposed by unelected, unaccountable officials whose interests are rarely transparent. Please answer who you are, what you do, who you do it for, who pays you and why, and how can I get rid of you before you get too involved in my interests, freedoms and innate human rights. Thank you, Peter Sonnex
None	I do not believe there should be any treaty on pandemic preparedness and response. International treaties of this nature undermine the sovereignty of individual nations. I further believe that in doing so the WHO will be used by the so called elite globalists to further their own agenda of worldwide control. WHO leaders are not elected by citizens of sovereign nations and therefore possess no mandate from such citizens to prepare such a plan. To do so undermines the principles of democracy.
NA	I do not believe there should ever be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that allows the WHO to overrule any country's democratic principles and processes. Outside of the facilitation of sharing scientific data relating to all aspects of a pandemic and supporting the distribution of optional medical interventions, there is no function for international pandemic response; rather it must stand that the democratically elected officials of each country and each country's states decide pandemic response. It must occur that all scientists, doctors, and other health policy experts from all sides of the issues at hand be allowed to debate and discuss all possible responses and mitigations on national and international platforms and that any pandemic response policy be based on consensus arising from said debates and discussions as determined by local elected officials. Healthcare mandates and collection of healthcare information, digitally or otherwise, of any kind, are not the purview of any international instrument ever. Digital passports, etc. need to be done away with immediately.
None	I do not believe they there should be any "International Instruments." I believe that each country should remain sovereign in all decision making regarding ALL situations, including health & safety of its citizens. In no way are American citizens interested in allowing other National leaders to decide what is best for them. It is a breach of our country's sovereignty!!!!
NA	I do not believe this a pandemic treaty is necessary or ethical. If adapted, it should include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and guarantees that no individual, corporation or government will be coerced or compelled to comply, & mandates should not be legal, the choice ultimately should rest on individuals or sovereign countries. There should also be a clear framework for recourse should the treaty be broken or abused.
Equinopathics	 I do not believe this new treaty is in the people's best interest. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kindest regards, Heidi Gonzalez
Private	I do not believe this treaty is morally or ethically justified. I would not like to see this treaty pass, as I believe it is crucial for the sake of our freedom and humanity that autonomy on health remains locally governed. #StopTheTreaty
Sovereign Woman of New Zealand	I do not believe this treaty is necessary and I think it is even more dangerous for the human race of this world to have an organization run by non elected leaders enforce measures and encroach on their personal human rights. However, if you must insist on going ahead with this treaty please include: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Openness to explore local natural and homeopathic health services and solutions that are appropriate for the local communities from any current and future health crisis.
Clontarf Chiropractic	I do not believe this treaty is necessary or valuable. Any future measures should be decided at local level by elected representatives. Universal mandation of measures is inappropriate as can be clearly seen, by differences in disease penetration levels across the world, both geographically and temporally, over the past two years.
Interested party	I do not believe unelected bodies should be making global dictats about future pandemics (or other issues). Given the variation of response from individual countries to COVID19 governments should not be committing to global guidelines that do not take account of citizens rights and requests.
Citizen	I do not believe we can have an international response/instrument which can apply to all countries. This neglects individual countries cultures and the diversity of citizens within each. Lockdowns should never ever be repeated along with discriminatory vaccine passports. The emphasis should be on living alongside a virus and putting effort into early treatments rather than vaccines which, in the case of Covid, have not prevented transmission and immunity wanes extremely fast. Any action should have a full risk benefit assessment prior to its introduction. Too many disastrous effects of lockdowns and psychological manipulation. We cannot have one size that fits all and the WHO are unelected officials which shouldn't be allowed to determine individual countries responses. No mandates, no lockdowns, no discriminatory actions
Individual	I do not believe we need a global agreement or international instrument. Each region or country should be able to handle their outbreaks as they see fit for their citizens. We appreciate recommendations by the WHO but no global agreement or international instrument is needed or warranted for this pandemic or any future pandemic.
N/A	I do not believe we need a global plan to respond to emergencies. It is much better that each country retains its sovereignty & responds to its own needs. People have autonomy & do not need to be controlled. The government is elected to represent the people & to serve the people. Governments should not forget that. Many millions of people do not want and will not submit to emergency preparedness if it is brought in globally and deceitfully.
Self-employed	I do not believe we need a new pandemic treaty or any kind of global agreement on this. Not one of the measures over the last few years has worked. This is totally unnecessary. Each individual needs to have total autonomy over their own individual health decisions and over those of their families. It is no-one else's business.
Personal	I do not believe we need an international pandemic response which strips nations of their sovereignty and ignores independent needs. However if the people of the world are given no choice in this then at the very least the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	I do not believe we need an international plan to prepare for future pandemics. Each country should have independence and autonomy over their own health policies. It is fine to coordinate and share information globally but ultimate authority needs to reside within each country. This is vital to the health and independence of each country. No single organization should be allowed to have this much authority, power and control. There are smart people in every country. We should not assume that an exterior organization knows better than the leaders within a country what is in the best interest of the people. We do not elect members of the WHO, they have no accountability to Americans and do not put American interests first, which is a necessity when making health policies for all Americans. We have our own researchers who we trust. We cannot have critical policies being made by people we did not elect or choose to have this authority. It is unconstitutional and feels like a massive power grab. In the spirit of virtue, I ask you to do what is right and honor the sovereignty of each country and nation by allowing them to set their own independent health policies. Thank you.
Humanity	I do not believe we need this treaty. However, if one was to be made elements to include would be to ensure that regional and local authorities have the ability to modify any responses recommended by the WHO to fit the locals. It should be ensured that people maintain their body autonomy particularly when it comes to any irreversible medications or products being recommended to put in their bodies. Especially when those products are new with no long term understanding of their effects. Thank you.
Education	I do not believe we need to have a world treaty. It is obvious that many countries are far from us and we need to look at becoming a more sustainable self-serving country, rather than relying on others to make our decisions for us. If we agree to anything in a treaty it would be that we may opt out at any time we feel the treaty is EVER violating human rights and we MUST always have freedom of choice over our bodies and health choices WITHOUT COERCION OR LIMITATIONS ON LIFESTYLE.
Member of public	I do not believe we should be having a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response at all. Each country should retain the right to listen to their own experts and decide for themselves, with their population, what is best for their citizens.
Self	I do not believe we should have a pandemic treaty. This seems to be aimed at taking away resources normally held by nations (the handling of natural disasters) and allocating it to international entities.
Individual	I do not believe WHO should have powers over national governments in deciding on appropriate response to new viruses. Different countries have used different approaches and that is how it should be- with elected leaders taking responsibility for decisions with the local health and science leaders advising them. WHO's advice in this pandemic has not been consistent or backed by the best science. Unfortunately their dependence on funding by pharmaceutical, vaccine producers and over powerful billionaires like Bill Gates gives large corporations who can profit from certain policies, recommendations a serious conflict of interest. But even if WHO were a neutral , uncompromised , non-political institution it should not over-ride the decisions making power of democratically elected governments. WHO will lose public respect as it becomes evident those pulling the strings and determining Pandemic policies are profiting greatly by the policies enforced by WHO. This is not a suitable role for WHO to take and will undermine its reputation and effectiveness in other more appropriate spheres where it can do good work
WEF	I do not comply with this treaty and any centralized unelected world power. This is not for the good of the world and will lead to terrible consequences.
Personal	I do not condone nor accept that unelected WHO officials be given the right to dictate to sovereign nations what they must do in a declared pandemic. This is contrary to all logic, democratic process, & personal health choices.
citizen of NZ	I do not condone nor accept that unelected WHO officials be given the right to dictate to sovereign nations what they must do in a declared pandemic. This is contrary to all logic, democratic process, & personal health choices.
Private Citizien	I do not condone nor accept that unelected WHO officials be given the right to dictate to sovereign nations what they must do in a declared pandemic. This is contrary to all logic, democratic process, & personal health choices.
Private Citizen	I do not condone nor accept that unelected WHO officials be given the right to dictate to sovereign nations what they must do in a declared pandemic. This is contrary to all logic, democratic process, & personal health choices. These unelected people have no right to decide to do this. The voices of the citizens are righteous and this is not what we agree to. This is against the best interest of every single human being.
Self	I do not condone nor accept that unelected WHO officials be given the right to dictate to sovereign nations what they must do in a declared pandemic. This is contrary to all logic, democratic process, & personal health choices. Each country is sovereign and has to do what's best for its own population. Democratically elected leaders and representatives are accountable to their people - the people are not accountable to unelected WHO members.
CHC	I do not consent
none	I do not consent and agree with this treaty, Coercion to take medical interventions violates the Nuremberg Code, principle 1: "The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential." The degree to which the Nuremberg Code is considered governing law varies with jurisdiction. Thus, for avoidance of doubt, the new international instrument should incorporate the ten principles of the Nuremberg Code. Any new health threat shall be identified and isolated by internationally recognised methods. Any "Instrument" must acknowledge the importance of free,public, televised debate.on any action.to be taken. Any person who wishes to shall be allowed to participate. Also for avoidance of doubt about whether the restrictions apply only to experimental medical interventions or to all such interventions, the instrument should further incorporate the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights, Article 6.1. For the last two years the governments' steering bodies, involved psychologists and "nudge units" This is totally unacceptable and unnecessary if there is scientific evidence of a pandemic. Any immunisation programme MUST be voluntary as per the Nuremburg code. Pharmaceutical companies shall publicly publish their trials findings in total and in a timely manner. Pharmaceutical companies shall be obliged to supply products targeting any new health threat AT COST FOR THE DURATION OF THE EMERGENCY. Vaccine adverse reaction agencies i.e. VAERS and YELLOW CARD must publish parameters to determine what level of vaccine damage is acceptable rather than the situation at the moment where, every month regardless of the numbers, they "continue to monitor" the situation
NZDSOS	I do not consent and do not wish for the WHO to hold my democratic rights to ransom. I do not wish to hand over our freedom of choice to mandated tyranny of foreign entities. I do not consent for this new legislation and authority of a foreign entity to control New Zealand's health policies.
Finnish citizen	I do not consent any foreign authority taking control over any independent countrys' government that is democratically selected in elections.
Voices For Freedom	I do not consent as I most certainly don't want to lose our sovereignty in New Zealand. Our position is unique in the world as we are a small island nation fairly isolated from the rest of the world anyway.
Nikki's Grooming	I do not consent in regarding a new international instrument on pandemic . It is against Human Rights ! We as a country do not need your consent on anything here in Alberta Canada Thank you

Organization	Written submission
none, privat	AGREEMENT UNDER PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY OF INTERNATIONAL CONSENT (PHEIC). I DO NOT CONSENT THAT THE WHO REPRESENTS ME and I OPPOSE TO ALL DECISIONS FROM NOW ON AND THOSE TAKEN IN THE PAST WHICH NEED SERIOUS REVISION WITH THE SUPERVISION OF PEOPLE Your mesures have failed completely and provoked huge harm to the world. Therefore I can't see any reason to entrust you with the handling of future emergencies. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. I demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Aotearoa New Zealand	I do not consent nor will I be party to any treaty between my sovereign nation of New Zealand and any Non Governmental Organisation. There is no mandate for this treaty it is unlawful. I will not be bound by it. Do not progress it.
Sovereign	I do not consent on WHO having the authority to make health decisions for other sovereign nations. WHO is known to be funded by private entities such as pharmaceutical giants and other NGO's! It's unlawful for nations to be ruled and dictated by WHO on any health matters as their local governments and democracies would mean nothing! I'm in total disagreement if WHO's powers over sovereign nations and would vote out should UK put this as a vote to the people!
Zest Quest	I do not consent or agree to the WHO's proposals and submit the following points for consideration: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IANTD SA	I do NOT consent that the WHO establishes any INB or other body to overrule or negotiate any personal, any property, any company or any country's right to plan their own pandemic prevention. I will decide my own protection and promote my own well-being.
AIM	I do not consent to the signing of this unethical, immoral, dangerous treaty. A foreign body namely here the WHO or any other should and will have absolutely no authority over any decision making over my body, my health, my right, my choice of treatment, nutrition or anything and any intervention. My decision is sovereign. On behalf of my fellow family, my fellow mankind, womankind, of Bharat of india of my country, my desh I refuse any consent and cooperation of signing this ridiculous treaty. I refuse the government, or governments or government representatives or any organisation or entity or corporation to make any decision over my own health and everything related to this. No to the pandemic treaty. I declare this and any other past, present and future treaty over my body/health autonomy null and void.
Independent RN	I do NOT consent to a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response unless each country retain full autonomy and can reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I demand that the sovereign nations and local municipalities can maintain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I demand an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I do NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	I do not consent to a one size fits all health regime from an unelected organisation. People are different. No authority or organisation should have a say in my my family's medical decisions and definitely not forced or coerced into any . No medical digital ID , no mandatory vaccinations, no control over my life . Your record of outcomes and suggestions is not good , this has been proven over time . NO TO THE TREATY !
individual	I do not consent to a supranational body like the WHO deciding what I put into my body. It is not the right of the WHO to decide to imprison me on their declaration of a pandemic. My rights are being violated by the WHO without my having any say as nobody has asked me.My consent is withdrawn. There is no justification for any corporation funded by billionaires to have any say in my health decisions, it is my decision and mine alone.Only myself and my creator , God can make these decisions.
N/a	I do not consent to a third party criminal organisation having any power of authority over me or my people and we will act accordingly should treason be committed against the people.
None	I do not consent to an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Pandemic preparedness and response should be formulated at a local level with full respect for human rights including individual bodily autonomy. The covid-19 response and outcomes showed us that recommendations made at a global level are not the wisest, on the contrary countries and US states that didn't follow the 'orthodox' response fared better.
public	I do not consent to an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and responsefreedom. This threatens our individual, inalienable right to self-determination by a comprehensive, one-size-fits-all mandate imposed from above that we did not give it. I call for the right of each nation state to determine its own health policy.
N/A	I do not consent to any attempt to destroy my sovereignty and rights to make my own health decisions whatsoever and it is the rights of all people to make their own health concerns.
Concerned Australian	I do not consent to any global committee or similar organisation making any decisions regarding the health and wellbeing of myself and any person I am responsible for, during any future event or scenario. The rights of each nation to determine their own path must be maintained, including but not limited to the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The World Health Organisation has not been elected by any vote, and should not have the authority to make decisions that impact all nations.
Nhs	I do not consent to any goverment to be part of WHO preparedness as not been democratically vote by me and other people. After the last 2 years where you show clearly how untrustworthy you are as organization and been push by pharma corporation I clearly stated I do not consent to your ill plan.
myself	i do not consent to any proposal that undermines countrys individual rights as a soverign nation or to peoples human rights under natural law.
Private	I do not consent to any substantive element to be included in this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. NO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" has any right over national issues. All elements are subject to the national laws of the nations and subject and not to any international instrument governed by the WHO. The WHO is not intended to represent any legal body or legal arm or nationale measure on behalf of any national democratic choosen parliament of any nation. The nations and their legal parliament are the only body to decide on theeir national affairs ande matters, not the WHO. The WHO is not by any means authorized to decide on any measure or element to be effected on their soil. Only legally elected parliaments/goverments are authorized to do this Please respect this legal and democtratic rule and confirm. Stefan Immanuel
Helping the Homeless	I do not consent to anything the WHO are trying to push onto society. I value Sovereignty and want a future free from mandatory vaccines that are killing people.
none	I do not consent to external coordination to my state or country by, nor do i support, a one world organization around purported pandemics. the recent absurdity of a bio lab research escape and failure to reject the forced narrative that we are unsafe from nature and not simply from our fellow man shows that WHO will only empower itself by promoting/necessitating its own usefulness and enabling exceedingly bad actors. while at founding it seemed the mission and purpose of WHO could have been a good one now we are at a great turning point and a great deal of work and internal reflection would need to be done for the organization to redeem itself in my eyes. best wishes i hope you there can wake up and see from a new perspective for all of our sakes.
N/A	I do not consent to future lockdowns, vaccine or mask mandates, nor vaccine or medical passports, or censorship. I find all these measures to be not only detrimental to public health going against the actual science; also to going against the fundamental rights of every human being on this planet. As a United States citizen I also know this goes against the First Amendment, our constitution, our bill of rights and the Nuremberg codes. If you continue on this route it will be considered crimes against humanity. Please take what I am saying into account. Thank you.
NA	I do not consent to future lockdowns, vaccine or mask mandates, vaccine passports or censorship. This treaty goes against the fundamental rights of every sovereign human being, and violates the U.S. 1st Amendment, our constitution, bill of rights and the Nuremberg codes.
Private citizen	I do not consent to having mandatory vaccination applied to the citizens of the world. Citizens of the world should be able to travel freely without mandatory vaccination either within their own borders or internationally. The safety and efficacy of the Covid vaccines that have been offered in the last two years have not been tested for long term use, nor has the data for adverse reactions been adequately compiled as many health professionals do not report these events either because they do not think the symptoms are related (but this IS a global experiment and ALL events should be reported) or the process of reporting is too laborious, or they have tried to report adverse events but have been denied acceptance of the report.
N/A	I DO NOT consent to or support an international pandemic treaty. Our health choices should be confidential and electable with fully informed consent. No entity or government or international body shall have permission to dictate the health choices of individuals or populations. No treaty is needed because no entity shall have the knowledge or power to enforce any provisions, which in themselves would have to override liberty and freedom of choice. We don't need a treaty to make mainstream news recommendations, so there is really no point to a treaty other than to gain abusive power of the masses through the guise of a pandemic treaty for their safety. "He who trades liberty for security deserves neither" - Benjamin Franklin The only person I trust for my health choices and for my dependents is me. You will not take that right from me. Like our American fathers before us, we will do whatever it takes to earn that liberty. We will not be bound to any treaty that takes away our freedoms. We will not comply, so don't waste your breath. Leave us alone and give us medical freedom!
General Public	I do not consent to participating in any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that you may try to convince world leaders to sign away their citizens sovereignty with. We the people did not elect you as any government official/body in any capacity. On top of everything the WHO's handling of the pandemic has been abysmal and corrupt to the core. The entire world has witnessed your complete ineptitude whilst pushing dangerous polices and living in the pocket of big pharma. We will not comply. And there are lots of us.
None of your business	I do not consent to proposed treaty as it is clear from WHOs investors there are too many conflicts of interest to give WHO anymore influence on government decisions as there's a conflicted interest influencing WHO. I do not consent to the use of vaccine passports
Private	I do not consent to South Africa signing the treaty, each country is unique and any crisis needs to be treated in a manner specific to the requirements of the inhabitants of the country. One organization controlling everything gives too much power and deprives all of humanity of their souvereinty.
one big human family	I do not consent to such a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that gives all powers to the WHO and is not democratically chosen and that would take over the governments. I DO NOT CONSENT TO SUCH A TOOL. POINT.
Healthcare	I do not consent to the ceding of governmental powers to the WHO. I do not consent to the WHO having any say in provision or determination of healthcare in the UK or British Isles.
General Public	I do not consent to the creation of such a treaty and any attempt to push it will not be in my name. In this last pandemic the world has been held captive by a handful of people who unilaterally decided they are THE science, whilst we witnessed extreme suppression of discordant views and research. Increasing multilateralism will only lead to more control and weakening the decision making power to the people, going against many principles in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 1948. No safeguards will ever be sufficient to avoid concentration and abuse of power.
Concerned citizen	I do not consent to the creation of such a treaty and any attempt to push it will not be in my name. In this last pandemic the world has been held captive by a handful of people who unilaterally decided they are THE science, whilst we witnessed extreme suppression of discordant views and research. Increasing multilateralism will only lead to more control and weakening the decision making power to the people, going against many principles in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 1948. No safeguards will ever be sufficient to avoid concentration and abuse of power.
None	I DO NOT consent to the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It is not needed and it could lead to an abuse of power by the United Nations Organisations and the co-signatory countries.
Citizen of Ireland	I DO NOT CONSENT TO THE PANDEMIC TREATY. No organisation should have to power to control the world. Corruption comes from power. Giving power to an organisation will not benefit the human race, only those that are connected financially and politically will benefit from such a treaty. It is wrong on every level. We as individuals have the God Given Right to freedom of choice. If this Pandemic Treaty proceeds, then we will be giving up those freedoms. We deserve the right to live a life free of chemicals, poisons, genetically modified produce, etc. etc., and to use our natural immunity to fight viruses that come and go daily, to leave our homes to look after loved ones, exercise, grow produce etc. Any treaty, including this proposed Pandemic Treaty should be voted for by the people and not those that represent them. We all have a say in our future and any laws/legislation/governance that effects us. I DECLINE TO BE GOVERNED BY A TREATY THAT IS NOT FOR THE GREATER GOOD OF HUMAN BEINGS. I DO NOT CONSENT. I DO NOT CONSENT.
None	I do not consent to the Pandemic Treaty. I do not consent to my country of New Zealand having anything to do with the Panemic Treaty.
Love Green Permaculture	I do not consent to the proposed Pandemic Treaty. It removes national and personal sovereignty in dealing with real health issues and puts the reins in the hands of an unaccountable transnational organisation that is purely focused on facilitating the agendas of big pharmaceutical companies and globalist organisations such as the World Economic Forum. I would rather the following participatory and transparent terms formed the basis of the treaty. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada - General Public	I do not consent to the treaty that is being planned by the WHO and the UN.
Personal	I do not consent to the WHO having any authority over personal health issues. End of story. No agency has the right over living men and women. End of story.
Clubhouse	I do not consent to the WHO having any input in my countries policies and governments they are an unelected group of people and have no right to implement anything affecting citizens
YMCA	I do not consent to The WHO having authority over the United States during time of emergencies. That power needs to remain with each country, not a one world entity.
YMCA	I do not consent to The WHO to have control over countries during emergencies. The power of people needs to remain with each country, not one entity of the world.
Sports	I do not consent to this international treaty!
Me	I do not consent to this overreach. I reserve all rights. You are far outside your realm of authority and at odds with the true Law of God, the origin of the Law.
Sovereign	I do not consent to this power grab by WHO. As a global citizen, I reserve the right to privacy and freedom over my own body. We the people will prevail. This proposition is unethical and highly offensive.
Sovereign being	I do not consent to this Treaty and I will not comply
no organization	I DO NOT CONSENT TO THIS TREATY. I am capable to make my on decision about my health and well being.
N/A	I do not consent to this! The WHO is an unelected group of people who private citizens can not vote out. This is undemocratic, and given the shockingly low quality of advice provided about covid from the WHO; I will not be accepting direction from the WHO under any circumstances. You all have blood on your hands and have failed to honour the ethos of informed consent.
UK citizen	I do not consent to this. But if you try force this through these elements must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. I do not consent to delegate my rights to anyone or party and certainly not the unelected corrupt WHO.
NA	I do not consent to tyranny of any form, especially medical tyranny. Nor should anyone submit to any person(s), entity, or organisation that infringes on our God given human rights. The WHO and those that control this organisation are unelected individuals and have no jurisdiction over any person of any nation no matter how much authority they claim to have. These self-appointed " elites" have no right to force their belief systems or morals on any free people under the mistaken guise of " health and security", whilst subverting, silencing, censoring thousands of qualified professionals who question the corporate mainstream media's narrative and the " science". There is currently an ongoing international criminal investigation on this very questionable pandemic, and the illegal coercive measures of the experimental injection into populations without informed consent. There is a serious public trust issue and this illegal medical takeover must be brought to an immediate halt.
Bermuda Freedom Alliance	I do not consent to WHO having authority over my jurisdiction. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AHS	I do not consent to you dictating to me what I can and cannot do during a pandemic. You are not part of any government and are therefore not accountable to the people. Because of this your proposal is completely inappropriate. In 2009 the definition of pandemic was changed; it no longer has to be a severe illness or have a high mortality rate. This means that a pandemic could be declared for many things that are not life threatening and do not actually require pandemic protocols. The WHO has completely bumbled the pandemic response for covid by encouraging damaging lockdowns that did very little good and a great deal of harm, promoted masks that were known not to be beneficial long before the pandemic, prohibited safe and effective treatments, and pushed not only for "vaccines" that were neither safe nor effective, but also for vaccine mandates to force citizens to forgo their bodily autonomy and right to informed consent. All for a virus with a >99% survival rate! Furthermore, you have ties to China, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and big pharma, and therefore have way too many conflicts of interest to be a trustworthy source of what is best for global citizens. Citizens of each country have different demographics, cultures, economies, health statuses, and genetics that make it completely inapproprpriate for a one-size-fits-all protocol. Medicine is based on an individuals risk to benefit ratio, as well as their belief systems and values, not on what some globalist dictator tells them they have to do. You have greatly overstepped your bounds.
World Council for health	I do not consent to you setting up any organisation that supersedes my natural rights over my body
Yes	I do not consent! I oppose this law.
none	I do NOT consent. I do Not approve. You have no right !!
Lynn-helene: Sanders	I do not consent. I choose to live in wellness supported by natural immunity.
Individual	I DO NOT CONSENT. THE NEW ZEALAND GOVERNMENT DOES NOT HAVE THE CONSENSUS OF THE PEOPLE TO SIGN UP TO THE WHO PANDEMIC PREPAREDNESS RESPONSE.
Self	I do not consent. All rights reserved.
Factory	I do not consent. None of you are elected and have no right in interfering with how people live their lives
Sovereign human being	I do not consent/understand to a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The question is leading . The WHO must not represent the sovereign , with rights and entitlements given by YHWH to live on the earth.
Member of the public	I do not consider a worldwide policy necessary Decisions should be made at a National & local level. The definition "pandemic" should be redefined to its earlier meaning There should be OPEN debate among a wide range of experts There should not be anyone who can benefit in any way (eg in financial terms) from any decisions made.
Cowboy Up Recovery	I Do not currently agree with the wording of the treaty as it exists. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I do not deem it necessary for an unelected global (privately funded) Organization to oversee health matters of sovereign nations. Each sovereign country on earth will be responsible for health matters in their nations as their respective elected leaders see fit. As we have seen with Covid 19, so-called necessary steps as suggested by the WHO, like Lockdowns, are not necessary nor did they work but caused horrific damages especially in third world and developing countries.
Self	I do NOT desire to have an international entity of ANY sort defining or directing what us to be done in any pandemic whatsoever. STOP.
none	I do NOT ever want any mandate. Personal decision and Numberg rules need to remain intact. WHO should only suggest and coordinate but without impositions.
Citizen	I do not feel a new international instrument is required for pandemic preparedness and response. Full transparency is needed from all parties related to research into infectious diseases (viral, bacterial, etc.). Until and unless transparency is established and open public debate is conducted, any new discussion around pandemic preparedness and response will not achieve a beneficial result. So much of what happened around SARS-CoV-2 has not been shared with the public which has eroded trust in this body, specifically the WHO. It is high time the facts get presented and people are allowed to evaluate those facts.
Public	I do not feel an instrument of global pandemic preparedness should be developed we are people of the earth and have and should always have freedom of choice.. everyone is individual and has a different set of views ways of life etc and that is how life is to remain. Strategies should always be put to public vote and people of the earth should always have a choice in the matters that effect their lives. How can one size fit all? Every country is different every community is different, different environments and cultures so one instrument will not fit all .. each country has a right to choose with the people of that country what is best for them and their lands. Why should any human/business have a say over what is implemented on the world with out the people of the worlds say so or interactions, it simply shouldn't and wouldn't be viable. Something as big as this needs to be put to the people of the world and give them enough of a consultation period to discuss and gain knowledge on what it's all about and allow them time to vote on weather this is something the world wants or needs, as I do not believe this would be met with agreement or acceptance as I believe it is neither a need or a want.
None	I do not feel an international agreement should over ride individual countries pandemic responses. A sharing of best practice is of course beneficial but we elect our individual governments to make the right choices for us.
Individual	I do not feel an unelected, unaccountable organisation should make a blanket instrument that could affect the lives of individuals from a variety of cultures and ways of life. How an individual responds to a health matter should be up to that person's own knowledge regarding their health and their own life. One size does not fit all. Instead people should be equipped with the resources and education to boost their immunity and take charge of their own health. We do not need a paternalistic, vast organisation to make choices for us as individuals.
None represented	I do not feel one organisation can dictate what the world must do. We need national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Mandating injections seems to be for the benefit of the pharmaceutical companies and not for the people who should have the right to seek more natural remedies for their ailments. Lockdowns seem to cause more harm than good. All nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. If people do not want your treaty it should not be imposed against their will. Autonomy, freedom of choice and transparency are important and the WHO are not allowing this. Any treaty against these qualities will cause outrage among the people.
n/a	I do not feel that any GNO or government organization should determine any pandemic responses or protocols over any country. Period. It is a clear power grab.
N/a	I do not feel that any international treaty is necessary to begin with because it would go against the constitution of every free nation and against the freedoms of every sovereign citizen. Furthermore, I do not feel that any one particular organization should ever be given that much power. It is dangerous and by creating such a treaty, I feel it would revoke the ability for every human on the planet to make their own health decisions, a right which should never be tampered with.
None	I do not feel that ANY substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. We do not need such an "international instrument" because I strongly feel that ALL international treaties that remove power from sovereign nations (like the US) are dangerous to the health and welfare of that nations' citizens. ALL health decisions should really be made as close to home as possible, even if – especially if – an emergency condition exists. Conditions on the ground will vary and different circumstances call for different approaches and handlings. Those can only be assessed and enacted at local/regional/national level. There CANNOT be a worldwide "one size fits all" response to a health emergency – no matter how well intended. The most recent pandemic has taught us that. It was the worst managed pandemic we have ever experienced on this planet. The WHO was in a large part responsible for this mismanagement with its "one size fits all" approach, which it continued to pursue despite lots of evidence that it was NOT working at all.
None	I do not feel that by any means anyone should be mandated to inject anything into their body which they do not want. By no means do I think you have the right to head the mandating for any country or any person. This is beyond anyones authorisation. Please keep your plans to yourself!!!
La Jolla Institute for Immunology	I do not feel that such an agreement is necessary. In fact, I feel that having a central authority could be dangerous, because we cannot know whether a particular agency is acting in good faith, or even has all the necessary data to make a valid recommendation. Nevertheless, if such an agreement were to be proposed, it should include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
None	I do not feel that the Pandemic Treaty is a good idea. The world is too diverse and the many countries have different customs, laws, lifestyles etc. Just look at the contrast between people who live in the far north compared to those who live near the equator. One solution would not work for both regions equally well. I think it is best for the individual governments to make decisions for their own countries.

Organization	Written submission
Private individual	I do not feel that the WHO contributed positively to the pandemic we have been going through as there were mistakes made all along. For example, it seems the WHO has been wrong about where the virus came from and was too quick to believe what China was saying. The WHO I believe was also wrong about the way virus was spreading and how to stop it. And this demonstrates the major problem - the WHO's word is taken as gospel, because it is seen as this overarching organization, and actually it can be wrong. One organisation being in control of what steps every country in the world should take is a dangerous precedent. Too much central control does not allow for positive differences of opinion. It also doesn't allow for the different circumstances that exist in different countries. With the Internet doctors and hospitals are more than able to communicate with each other directly and it is better not to have one central organisation giving its opinion. The WHO is not value for money and seems to have been swayed by vested interests, for example, pharmaceutical companies and individuals who stand to make a lot of money from the advice given by the WHO. It is not a trustworthy organisation.
Individual	I do not feel that the WHO should be making these kind of decisions on a global scale and instead of democratically elected governments in each country. Any decisions taken about health matters need to be returned to local level within each individual state/county taking a vote, including input from local population about what might be needed and work best in any given situation. There should be no blanket decisions taken, particularly with regard to individual bodily autonomy and liberties. I do not see a future role for WHO. In my opinion they have encouraged governments to make wrong and harmful decisions during the coronavirus fiasco with have led to many deaths and long-term harm to many people. Under these circumstances worldwide funding needs to be withdrawn from WHO and used on a country basis for the real benefit and health of the people living in those countries rather than wasted on propping up a useless and even harmful bureaucracy which is so obviously doing the bidding of corrupt money/power structures with little or no concern for the health of people and planet.
N/A	I do not feel that there is any basis for an international plan for pandemic control. The last 2 years have seen democracy and human rights overlooked all in the name of public health. I do not feel that the WHO has come out of this very well at all and given that they can't even identify the source of the pandemic and weren't given access to the origins then why are you the vehicle for leading pandemic responses. Democracy is essential for the world and organisations who are unelected should not have powers that override this.
Public	I do not feel that there is in anyway it necessary to have a one world rule for any pandemic as we are all capable of looking after our own people where we see fit. This treaty will govern us all with a 'one fits all' approach which will be catastrophic
Citizen of United Kingdom	I do not feel we need a Global Pandemic Treaty. If one is introduced, these points must be considered/included: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency 11. One size does not fit all, whether policies or medications. There should be no global mandates
be l burke esthetics	I do not give consent for any mistreatment of the body, or any family members as a minor.
private person	I do not give consent for any new international istrument on the pandemic pre-paredness and response, I would like to decaided about my health and metod of treatments by my self ,I do not want anything to be imposed. This is very dangerous for all the world. Countries should be sovereign not controlled Absolutly NO to this type of new instrument!
Own person	I do not give consent to a pandemic treaty. We the people must be informed of and have our say, vote in these matters. You cannot make up rules that go against the welfare of the people There has been so much harm since who has installed pandemic directions that have hurt so very many. I do not consent to any pandemic rules, we are free people and it is our right to stay free.
Individual	I DO NOT GIVE CONSENT to this WHO Treaty - WHO wishes to put forward an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body to draft and negotiate a WHO convention, agreement or other international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response (the INB). I object to this being done. I do not give you the right to take away my Sovereign Status as a human being, nor will I ever ever give my Consent to this group set up or decision by this Government or any Government or World Order or any World order Organising purporting to make this charge, nor take over indivual human beings who have Free Choice, Free Rights to Choose. I am one of many human beings who feel the same and I will not give Consent! Changing CURRENT legislation to create new legislation even at WHO level is unacceptable by me. Nor have you asked me personally to consent to this WHO Treaty nor have you presented to the people of the World an open honest dialogue for discussion around this proposal. I DO NOT CONSENT to this International Instrument on Pandemic Prevention, in my country of New Zealand nor to it being adopted Worldwide. Gail Jones
US citizen	I do not give my consent for any person, world health organization, or any entity to make any decisions, medical, religious, education, or censorship in any way! May God Bless America! We are the only hope for the world! Stand up against world health organization! They are guilty of crimes against humanity, and are to be duly charged! Sincerely, Mona Seering
Awaken India movement , Free Earth Alliance	I do not give my consent for this WHO Treaty. Every country has its won beautiful way to treat their their countrymen. Specially in India we have very effective therapies like Ayurveda , Naturopathy etc. If WHO really wants bring pandemic treaty . lets WHO must ne funded by states (commomn person in country) and not by big pharma companies.
United Kingdom resident	I do not give my permission for WHO to take over the United Kingdom's de ion making. The government was elected to serve the people and we do not agree so the government has to go with those who elected them
Independent	I do not give permission for the who to have any say in my life, I do not trust them or the bill and Melinda gates foundation, there for I revoke any consent from me and my family .
World Council for Health	I do not give the WHO permission to make health decisions for myself or my family. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people.
Self	I do not in anyway support what who propose. The last number of years has shown the impact of what has happened world wide regarding all aspects of life
Bulgaria	I do not Like !
No	I do not like Organizations witch are no legalized by democratic elections. We all know, that those organizations could easy doing what sponsors or other influences want. The latest pandemic shows allready that the WHO was wrong to call that a pandemic.
Australia	I do not like the wording of this. I amend it to say: 1. All individuals retain full autonomy over their bodies and are born with and maintain the natural right to decide what is best for themselves and their children and their family. 2. Every individual is responsible for their risk assessment and risk tolerance. Those who fear catastrophic illness or death will take any and all measures to remove themselves from their perceived risks and not impose risk mitigation onto any other individual. 3. Governments shall not subsidize or incentivize statistical noise. It shall not subsidize or pay for vaccinations. Individuals who want vaccination and cannot afford it can accept charity from the pharmaceutical companies or foundations like the Gates Foundation and others who have ample funds to provide vaccines for those who volunteer to take them.
None	I do not personally believe that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is required, I feel strongly that countries and sovereign nations should be able to decide for themselves what is best for their populace. Globalism does not work for all. However if this is going to be brought into force without public vote, I think it should allow for the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, as well as respect for human rights (i.e. not segregating or quarantining healthy people). There should also be legal liability for government officials/official bodies and/or pharmaceutical companies for any action taken/legislations put in place that have not been allowed public consultation/debate and democratic voting (all things that have largely ignored for the last 2 years).
None	I do not recognise any authority given out by the WHO. I believe that individual nations should decide for themselves especially as the WHO is heavily influenced by outside sources and funders such as the Bill and Melinda Gates foundation. These people are not medically qualified and should have no say in such a large decision that affects individuals of independent nations.
Private	I do not recognise any outside authority over my God given right to my bodily integrity, my right to personal autonomy, self-ownership, and self-determination is private to me, I choose and I decide what course of action I take with my vessel. The WHO has no jurisdiction or legitimacy in my private world.
private	I do not recognise any outside authority over my God given right to my own body integrity, my right to personal autonomy, self ownership, and self determination is private to me. I chose and I decide what course of actions I take with my body- my vessel. The WHO has no jurisdiction or legitimacy in my private life. I am a sovereign person.
independant	I do not recognise any outside authority to have control over my bodily integrity. Only I get to choose how I live my life and what goes into my body. The WHO have no credibility whatsoever especially given that the head of the WHO has no medical qualifications or experience whatsoever. You have no jurisdiction or legitimacy as far as I and many thousands of others are concerned.
Sovereign Humanity	I do not recognise the authority of the WHO and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation means the WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over us. I am a free human I do not and will never consent to being "ruled" over governments or organisation least of all a global one that is being influenced by individuals & organisations with their own agendas. We don't need such "treaties" - its clearly & simply part of a move toward world government and further control of all the human population who are not either other in high level of government or large corporations (same thing) No thanks!
Private Individual	I do not recognise the WHO as an elected organisation. Therefore, any attempt to remove my inalienable rights are void by proxy. In the UK, inalienable rights are not Parliament's duty to monitor . As an unelected, unrepresented organisation. The WHO has no jurisdiction to enable their laws within the UK. Any Act of Parliament which signs away the people's rights in null in void. Pandemic's have to be delegated at nation level. The UK should not give the power the create a blanket vaccination programme to the WHO. The right to choose and individual freedoms superceded external control!
Self	I do not recognise the WHO as having any authority over the British people. I also do not recognise them as being an independent organisation, more an organisation funded by those with vested interest in making mountains out of molehills. Lockdowns, masks and enforced vaccines have no place in society and do nothing to curb the spread of viruses, in fact quite to the contrary. Draconian measures cause further illness and harm.
Personal	I do not recognise the WHO as having any authority whatsoever over my bodily autonomy, I do not recognise the WHO as having any authority whatsoever as having rights over the sovereignty and healthcare of any country, the Who has no authority or jurisdiction over me as a living breathing man. The bill and Melinda gates Foundation are not healthcare professionals and have no authority or jurisdiction over anything whatsoever - they are just a corporation much like MacDonalds or UK HM Government plc
Personal	I do not recognise the WHO as someone who has rights over the sovereignty & healthcare of every country. The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation are not health care professionals. The pandemic was dealt with in the best it could be given the circumstance's.
independent	I do not recognise their authority and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation means the WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over me & will never give such authority over, the last two years of this alleged pandemic has been one lie after another from WHO to stride to take away peoples personal choices on how they decide to live is a step to far the organisation is corrupt & shamefully lacks morality ! i for one live in hope that the truth of what has been happening comes out especially over the source of covid -19 & the dangerous ways you as a organisation have injected so called vaccines to line not only ur own pockets but of big pharm ! so no & no from me for you to have anything from me !
Public	I do not recognise their authority and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation means the WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over us.
natural body	I do not recognise WHO as authority. You have no power over individuals such as myself.
	I do not recognise your authority and also the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.
None	I do not recognise your authority, and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation means the WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over us the people.
Private	I do not recognise your authority, you lack independence and are funded by organisations such as the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. We do not need you dictating what we put into our bodies. Covid 19 was always about mandatory vaccinations, digital ID and a one world government to complete your 2030 agenda. Please leave us alone. This is the 21st century, we don't suffer pandemics, only the ones created by yourselves
None	I do NOT recognize that you have ANY authority over my body! NONE. I will not be taking any orders from you and I will not be voting for anyone who thinks, agrees or commits that you do!
N/A	I do not recognize the U.N. or WHO to have any authority at all over me or my life and health. I am a United States citizen, and as such, am only under the U.S. constitution and no other body of law.
Terence MacDougall	I do not recognize their authority and the influence of organizations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation. The WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over Mankind
Member 9f Catholic Church	I do not recognize world organizations. I owe loyalty to my country, not an unelected group of globalists.
Private	I do not regard any of your proposals as positive or making any sense at all. Just skip it.
None	I do not see a need for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness. Each country is more than capable of working independently with advice from WHO as seen in the last 2 years. A Treaty or agreement would, it seems, supersede a country's own Constitution, and signing such a Treaty by my Government (IRELAND) would be them committing Treason! My government do not have the right to undermine and make null our Constitution. I would be fearful that if such a Treaty is signed but not followed by a population in a future pandemic as there would be consequences to non compliance which may be out of the country's control. What powers do WHO then have to insure compliance? Could they, for example, bring in United Nations soldiers, a foreign army on our land, to ensure compliance?? Totally unacceptable. Totally unnecessary. Totally Treasonous. Totally not required.
citizen	I do not see the benefit to the world population by pursuing this agenda,this will not be a benefit to the peopl e of the world. I say NO!!
individual	I do not see why WHO would or should want to introduce a new international instrument whatsoever. WHO has done enough damage worldwide as it is, as it is a co-opted organization where corporations rule. It is time to look in the mirror and watch "Trust WHO?" Film made by Lilian Franck. https://www.journeyman.tv/film/7246/trustwho. Nations should not want this organization to interfere in people's lives in the way it has done and now plans to do. There are no proper boundaries between nation-states and WHO: see Canada's or WHO's (?) servant Theresa Tam. It is imperative for : * National and local governing systems to remain fully autonomous, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement-if it comes to pass- as they see fit, without consequence.
Self	I do not submit. Over the last two years we've been given lie after lie, failed policy after policy.. so there is no way I would consent to any global entity having any authority to make these kinds of decisions over me and my family. Texas is capable of making its own decisions without the WHO or the UN involved.
None	I do not subscribe any one or any agency to decide they are going to take over for any reason. Too many are trying to rule the world and let's be honest here, this sure appears to be another effort for just that. You are another unelected group of people thinking "daddy knows best". Sorry and like it or not ,your track record proves that this takeover is not in the people' best interest so I ask you to reconsider and not do this plan of yours!
Private	I do not subscribe to a 'one size fits all' approach and it is clear from outcomes through the last two years that many of the decisions made had a severely detrimental affect on people who need support and suffered from extreme isolation. It is clear to me that taking away the rights of the people to make their own informed decisions regarding health and for those family members they may be responsible to is a gross misjudgement on the part of governing bodies that cannot absolutely ensure the most positive outcome for all.
Me	I do not suport this proposal it remove the democratic rights and sovren rights of people. An internaonal org must not have the power to over rule sovren nasions. This proposal must be discarded.
Self-Care Solutions	I do not support a global agreement that gives the WHO rights over my health. I do not know the true motives or trust that the outcome would in any way benefit me or my community. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, rather that the WHO making decisions for us. This includes failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. . Influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be COMPLETELY PROHIBITED.
Self	I do not support a global pandemic agreement governed by the WHO, whose performance and influence I and billions of others are leery of. Elements should include the following: * National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University of the West Indies	I do not support a global pandemic agreement that would give it undemocratic rights over every participating nation and its citizens. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should always be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any WHO agreement/recommendation as they see fit, without consequence. There should always be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be established to prevent influence in the WHO protocols by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There should always be informed consent, risk assessments inclusive of side effects, economic, social and environmental harms.
the people	I do not support a global pandemic response treaty to be compulsory, I do not think it is at all necessary. With regard to the proposed WHO pandemic response treaty it should be transparent and offered only as an assistance if requested by the people of a nation or municipality. It should allow for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Local municipalities and nations should be able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should be an open and transparent process and allow all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It must contain measures that do not allow for any influence in any process, of or by, any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other connected global health profiteers.
Individual	I do not support a global pandemic treaty. Based on the below. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It is the WHO's responsibility to ensure the above is never infringed ever
n/a	I do not support a global policy for handling of pandemics. Different nations must be free to formulate their own policies. And, pharmaceutical companies must not be part of a policy as their prime motive is to enrich themselves. witness the current Covid-19 situation where injections are being administered without pr0of of safety and within the experimental period.
We The People	I do not support a legally binding global pandemic treaty. Most Americans do not support this.
Individual	I do not support a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO is not a body of elected officials and therefore should not have any power at a local or state level or across international borders to implement a pandemic response. WHO treaties remove power from the American and state governments and are dangerous to the welfare of Americans. The United States should not be a part of this treaty. It is not in line with our democratic republic principles or our constitution.
Caroline Wijetunge	I do not support a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness that overrides the public health policy of sovereign nations. I am a citizen of the United Kingdom and I know I speak for many with serious concerns about this proposed treaty. Countries should implement their own health measures according to the needs of their people. They should never be subject to the will of an unelected international body.
Self	I do not support a new international instrument, and I do not want the US involved. However, at a minimum I want the following: 1. Full National and local autonomy must be maintained. 2. Nations and local governments must be able to opt out of any/all portions. 3. Openness, transparency, and the right of a majority to refuse the agreement. 4. No influence from pharmaceutical companies or any profit-driven healthcare entity.
Individual	I do not support a treaty that removes the ability of individual member states to make decisions regarding public health policy that are best decided at the local level. Accordingly, this instrument should include a general override that nothing in it shall impair the sovereignty of member states, and their ability to set public health policy, even if such policy conflicts with or is different from that promoted by the WHO. Many thanks for reviewing my submission.
Woodymatterss	I do NOT support a unilateral global pandemic response for the following reasons: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. A one size approach has never worked. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Big Pharma or other conflicted leadership should not be driving public health policies.
CONCERNED CITIZEN	I do not support a World Health Pandemic Treaty. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy over their reactions to any and all public crises and we must reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. This treaty fundamentally undermines the ideals of Democracy. The ability of nations and local municipalities MUST have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit— without consequence— and there must be an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on all of the components individually including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It also MUST INCLUDE measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers in the event of a global pandemic.
BJC	I do not support an international entity taking away my rights as far as my health and declaring an emergency health risk. The people should have control over what is safe when it comes to their own health. You are literally trying to take over nations' sovereignty and those that live in these nations. Your organization is unelected and have no jurisdiction over countries. I do not subscribe to this treaty on any other treaties you have similar to this. My body my choice. As others' should share the same feelings.
LJW Consulting Ltd	I do not support an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It is not respectful or appropriate. The WHO's role should be educational only ie the sharing of factual based information with transparency and should focus on enhancing individual and collective physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social, and environmental health through the most natural, least invasive possible means. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, without interference. Sovereignty of a nation's citizens must be respected at a nation and individual level. Prevention and treatment options may be suggested by the WHO, but the final decision in every case must be made by the patient and their chosen health care provider(s). Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to choose which guidelines and recommendations that they follow as they see fit, without consequence. Before any international instrument is put in place, it must follow an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote There must be failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. All measures and activities must not allow for ie prevent, any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global 'health' profiteers.
Not applicable	I do not support an international instrument on pandemic response. It has been shown that different areas of the world are affected at different times, and the virus moves faster than the science. Each country must be free to respond according to their need. Trying to apply a blanket one-size-fits-all response to a global problem initiates the question: Who stands to gain financially from such a decision? Thank you for this opportunity to comment. Jessica Locke
N/A	I do not support an international international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that does NOT include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Children's Health Defense Pennsylvania Chapter	I do not support an International Treaty for Pandemic Preparedness. The experiences of the past two plus years have proved that local decisions controlled by democratic process provides the best protection from contagious outbreak. Centralized control, particularly on a Global scale is susceptible to undue corporate cartel influence. We've seen it clearly at work these past years. Experience has shown efforts like this will trample and disrupt lives needlessly leading to societal upheaval, and needless suffering, pain and death. The human race has made it this far without any need for centralized control of public health. The track record in public health interventions in regard to viral outbreak is clear. Expanding on these failures is not acceptable. An informed public will not support these measures if enacted. Conflict will be a result.
Individual	I do not support an international treaty on pandemic preparedness that will over ride the sovereignty and rights of the people in any country to decide their own health responses. A one-size-fits-all approach to current or emerging health issues is not agile or sustainable. It ignores the democratic and human rights of people within their countryby requiring national and local governments within a country to give their power over to an international, unelected organisation where the biggest funder is the Gates Foundation. It is far too easy for an organisation like the WHO to be politically weaponised by countries or unduly influenced by its biggest donor working in tandem with pharmaceutical giants.
World Council for Health	I do not support and strongly object to the WHO pandemic treaty. My body, my choice. No organisation or any government should decide what is best for my health. No one has authority over our health and the health of our families. I do not consent to any pandemic treaty.
World Council For Health	I do not support and strongly object to the WHO pandemic treaty. My body, my choice. No organization or any government should decide what is best for my health. No one has authority over our health and the health of our families. I do not consent to any pandemic treaty.
Citizen	I DO NOT support any covid, or any other type of freedom killing mandates from any organization or government.thank you
self	I do NOT support any international treaty for pandemics. I do NOT want the United States of America (or any nations) to join in any international treaty for pandemics, as it would be a breach on our USA Constitution, and infringe upon the sovereignty of our nation and the freedoms of individuals. Pandemic assessments, responses, treatments should be made as local and as individually as possible, being relevant to, and the rights and responsibility of, each nation independently. Furthermore, the freedoms and rights of individuals must always be upheld. An international treaty for pandemics is wrong, is an over-reaching power grab, is unscientific or illogical, and is also unethical.
I represent myself	I do not support any kind of agreement about pandemic preparedness or response that covers the entire world. There are two many local variables that can not be considered at the global level. Guidelines of some sort may be acceptable -- it depends on how they are expressed.
The Royal Standard Artists	I do not support any kind of global response to a medical emergency. Individual nations should and do currently have the right to determine their own independent response , and this should remain intact. Given that the health and wellness of populations will vary from country to country I do not support a 'one fits all' approach. I direct you to Sweden's response to SarsCov2, which differed significantly from that of the rest of the Westeen world, yet Sweden fared better over all and taking into account that it did not sabotage its own economy. To effect a global response is to minimise each national governments' responsibilities towards the rights and welfare of its own citizens.
None	I DO NOT support any laws or mandates that continue to strip away our human rights. Cancel all mandates and stop any further actions on the pandemic.
Individual citizen	I do not support any legally binding authority in a world organization. The world organization should come up with educational material and suggestions for the sovereign countries to consider as they make their own decisions. I believe decisions for individual countries should be made by that country.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	I do not support any measure that removes freedom from the American people or that health and welfare of our citizens. And I beg you not to sign any such agreement such as the Pandemic Treaty being considered now. We do not live in these other countries because America has prioritized freedom since before our ancestors even came to America. Freedom is a cornerstone of our country and we SHOULD NOT hand it over to what the majority of other countries want to do with it. Do not agree to be part of any Pandemic Treaty. This will take away our ability to govern ourselves here at home. Treaties like this only benefit the bottom of the pack--- as a world leader, we will be dragged down by the standardization. It will never benefit the countries with the most rights, only the ones with the least. Why would we lower our standards to be pooled with countries who got it terribly wrong or who may get it wrong next time?? We should not be bargaining our health and our welfare on what other countries want to do. This is completely unacceptable! It is always important to keep health and public health decisions as locally regulated as possible. Especially in an emergency! Our entire country isn't even experiencing the Covid pandemic the same way and yet you think we should be regulated the same as countries on the other side of the world? That makes zero sense. If the past 2 years have taught us anything, it's that some countries have gotten it horribly wrong and I do not want to be lumped in with any other country when it comes to handling a pandemic. Preserve our freedom here at home by not signing onto any Pandemic Treaty.
none	I do not support any new international health treaty or increase in powers of the World Health Organization. The response to the covid-19 pandemic illustrates why. It has been irrational. The human rights of humanity have been abused at the direction of an organization that has been captured by corporate interests and which is not acting in our best interests. What the last two years have demonstrated is a need for the powers of the WHO to be reduced not expanded so that this travesty cannot be repeated. The organization has no democratic mandate and is not accountable to anyone. What is needed is for it to be reformed in a way that curtails its powers. The sooner this happens the better before there is any kind of repeat of the last two years.
i do not belong to one	I do not support ANY organization that can impose (at their whim) ANY type of rules, dictates, or orders on ANY human being!
Citizen	I do not support any type of lockdowns or mandates of any kind thank you.
none	I do not support any WTO treaty that removes power from the US; such a move is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO must not have power over the health decisions of Americans, but WTO will have this power if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." If the WTO violates this and tries to abrogate health rights of the people, it will get strong pushback from the people of the world.
Not affiliated... Canadian Citizen	I do not support Canada joining into a treaty or international agreement on pandemic preparedness and response. I do not believe that the World Health Organization, or any other international body, should have the right or authority to instruct countries on how to respond to a pandemic. They may be qualified to offer suggestions, but that is where any influence should end. The WHO is not elected by the global population and receives funding from private donors and foundations. This leaves the WHO open to influence from these affiliations. In my opinion it would be naive to think otherwise. Each democratic country should have the authority to choose policies and measures that are in the best interest of its citizens, while upholding the human rights of each individual. Doing this has already been challenging on a national and regional level during the era of "Covid"; adding a "global" agreement will serve to make this even worse. Each country and province/region within it must retain their autonomy. There should be NO international agreement on dealing with pandemics. International bodies, such as the WHO, should exist only to offer expert suggestions and guidance. Anything above this is not necessary and risks loss of human rights.
Na	I do not support global health administration. National and local agencies must retain full autonomy. There is no global one size measure for health. Global health administration is corrupt by pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers and violates civil and basic human rights.
On behalf of Self	I do not support nor believe there should be a global governance that supercedes a country's citizens of their Constitutional Rights. And I most definitely do not want to be governed by an organization outside of my country. Also, medical treatment is not "one size fits all". And I do not agree with a group of individuals or organizations wielding that much power or control over the people of the world. I think this is very dangerous territory and again, I am not in support of this idea.
Privat	I do not support that WHO gets more power. The people in WHO is not democratly voted for. I think all contries and doctors should be listen to without censorship. With covid it has been to munch censorchip. I think that a big mistake has been the work against early tratment with safe and effective medicine. I think we should set peoples health before profit of big pharma. I think also WHO should do more to stop gain of function research. It is to many risky biolabs in the Word, this should stop. I hope that WHO will do more about the thing i have been writing about. Trude
none	I do not support the "World Together" treaty. I believe the World Health Organization has a track record of failures regarding pandemics. In 2009, the WHO declared a pandemic of the H1N1 (swine flu) virus. The WHO attempted to influence member states politically and not rooted in the most up-to-date research at the time. The Ebola crisis is another example of how using an organization in which a global centered reponse-failed to act quick enough to contain the Ebola crisis. This idea of one organization to dictate responses in management of health remedies or protocols is antithetical to liberty, autonomy and the inalienable rights of the people of these autonomous countries. Decisions regarding health, pandemic response, protocols and interventions need to be determined on the ground with and by the people with whom the community lives. We are a world of autonomous regions and a one size fits all approach has utterly failed in the current time of Corona Venom (coronavirus) pandemic. I oppose the adoption of the treaty and encourage each and every WHO member to run the whole tape all the way through from the best outcome to the absolute worst outcome this treaty will bring forth. We have seen a sharp increase in Authoritarianism across the globe in which the actions taken by governments are all the same-Unscientific. How can this be? How would the WHO treaty be any different? Absolute power corrupts absolutely! Sincerely, LeeAnn
Individual	I do not support the concept of a WHO controlled Global Pandemic Agreement which overrides the decision-making of individual countries. There is no such concept as one rule fits all in this scenario. I submit: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mercy Health - St. Elizabeth Youngstown Hospital	I do not support the development of an international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response. The World Health Organization (WHO) should not be in the role of dictating to a sovereign nation or state which interventions that nation or state should implement. That decision rests with the political and healthcare leaders who are on the ground of the affected region. Each causative organism requires tailored approaches based on its potency and method of transmission. The WHO should limit itself to addressing those two issues, and providing guidance for interventions tailored to those two issues. An international pandemic instrument of dictates can easily become a sledge hammer to bludgeon human rights.
Nil	I do not support the establishment of an unelected organisation preparing and enforcing an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Pandemic preparedness and response is an issue that should remain solely within the responsibility of sovereign states, their elected governments, and the individuals that make up these states. The need for the proposed "instrument" has not been identified by the majority of states. It is a direct attack on the freedoms of both the powers/responsibilities of sovereign governments and the individual who elect them.
USA	I do not support the inclusion of any elements in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. As a citizen of the US, I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses.
none	I do NOT support the international pandemic instrument the WHO has proposed. Their handling of the COVID pandemic has proven that they are an inefficient bureaucracy, who, like all others only seek more power and money. During this pandemic our inalienable rights have been redefined as "privileges". We are coerced into compliance to restore these "privileges", even if this is not in our best interests. Every country should be able to decide what is best for the health of it's citizens. Every state should remain under the control of the governor. As witnessed in the EU experiment, CDC or FDA, it always starts out as a novel idea. but quickly becomes bloated, inefficient and essentially useless. Once established a bureaucracy only becomes more powerful and demands more funding, despite studies proving otherwise. It is best to reject the concept from the onset.
self employed	I do not support the proposal in the manner written. The following issues need address 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I do not support the proposed global pandemic agreement treaty by the WHO. WHO should provide guide lines and not doctrine to countries.
UK Citizen	I do not support the proposed WHO future pandemic approach. Each country is a sovereign nation and through democratically elected members and only from our own parliament, procedures and analysis should any/all action be determined for their own country. I do not support unelected bodies actioning global crisis plans. The WHO should be rigorously investigating the original source of SARS2 and perhaps explain why WHO believes there will be more pandemics?
Private individual	I do not support the treaty as outlined as would like to ask for the following: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The sovereignty of nations must be respected. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with all people of the world being able to vote on this including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for the opportunity to make our voices heard.
citizen south africa	I do not support the treaty that WHO wants to force onto people. The world belongs to the people not to WHO or any other organizations. The world belongs to us the citizens of the world. The governments and the WHO works for us who pay our taxes and keep the countries/ world alive. The treaty is unconstitutional for every living human being, every person have the right to decide on their own health, no one have the right to force any measurements onto people. WHO can give advise but cannot force the people to take any drugs or medication for their health, never before was anything forced onto anybody by any health organization or any health practitioner. They can give your advise but it is still your own decision to take it or not. Never ever in history was anything forced onto people even during other pandemics, There is more live threatening deceases that is far more fatal than Covid. This treaty will just harm everyone financially and personally and it is a personal attack on your privacy. Everyone have the right to decide for themselves if they need any medical treatment and if they want to take it. Everyone have the right to decide for themselves what measurements they want to take to keep themselves safe. Covid is no longer a threat to everyone that is healthy and it is treatable. We are the people of this world everyone can decide for themselves, no one can decide what is good or bad for you as a person, it is no one except your own responsibility too look after your own health and safety. This needs to be stop, to forcefully try to rules the people of this world. Masks is also not healthy we need oxygen to survive and we need germs to keep our immune systems healthy
Highland	I do not support the treaty, please take my voice into consideration. I support individual autonomy and believe this to be the best path forward.
None	I do not support the US being legally bound to the WHO. All health decisions should be made as close to home as possible. Even in an emergency.
Midwest Public Health Coalition	I do not support the US entering into a treaty that surrenders the rights protected by our US Constitution and supersedes powers carried out locally by the states. The WHO pandemic response was abysmal. There was no focus on early treatment, protecting the most vulnerable, ineffective lock downs and masks were forced unscientifically, and the blanket measures killed more people through despair, hunger, and suicide than those killed by COVID. Scientists and citizens who spoke out against the measures were censored and data has been corrupted so that we recorded excess COVID deaths but have ignored excess mortality after the shots started. Whomever pays for WHO would rule the response instead of following the data. Life and death decisions like these pandemic responses, need to be left to the people and the governments that represent them as that is the only way we can have freedom and influence for our personal health decisions.
US citizen	I do not support the WHO governing the entire world's pandemic response. The last 2 years have shown us that many countries tailored their response to their own needs and with greater success than those that did a blanket one-size-fits-all response. Quite frankly, the WHO was not helpful in any aspect and in fact made suggestions and guidelines that proved to be ineffective or worse. My main points are these: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Also we must have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO has shown it cannot operate with many of these points, but most specifically, with point 4. Thank you, Alyson Pernecky
Yoga Alliance	I do not support the WHO in their desire to create universal treaties regarding how to handle a pandemic. This would remove our power as a nation in the USA and take away our individual rights to choose what is best for our personal health. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
USA private citizen	I do not support the WHO initiative...but I would want to see at a minimum these restraints: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I do not support the WHO international treaty in response to pandemics at all. The response should be limited to the laws and constitution of each country. This seems to me a far reach for power over the worlds citizens by the WHO and I am not in favour of it at all.
None	I do not support the WHO making decisions relating to public health for the rest of the planet. Recommendations are acceptable to me, but NOT decisions that are binding to the rest of the world. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process should exist with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Any measures adopted should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the U.S.A.	I do not support the WHO of having any say or control of the decisions of sovereign countries. The WHO may make suggestions and that is it! As a citizen of The United States of America our country can make our own decisions. Frankly I do not trust or put much faith in the WHO.
Human Family	I do not support the WHO Pandemic Treaty, it is a profound overreach of power that is unacceptable to our human rights and freedoms. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Gynecology & Laparoscopic Surgeons, PC	I do NOT support the WHO treaty. I DO SUPPORT the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian public	I do not support the WHO's global pandemic response plan. This completely overrides our own country's sovereignty or our ability to handle issues on our own terms. The WHO should be a global group to give advice from a global scale not a controlling entity. A group than can collectively help out the small guy in a crisis. The World Health Organization does not govern me. Thank you.
Crystal Bridge Healing	I do not support the WHO's proposed legally binding global pandemic treaty. Individual nations should determine their own policies regarding any pandemic outbreaks. This treaty threatens the soverignty of individual nations. As a US citizen, I want the US national and local governments to have complete control over any and all policies on any outbreak of a disease globally or locally. I oppose this treaty.
People or Canada	I do not support this agreement as this infringes on our rights and freedoms. These over arching approaches must not proceed.
Personal	I do not support this blatant and undemocratic be power grab. We vote for our national governments to formulate policy to further citizens interest and this should not be ceded to supranational organisations with no accountability to the general public. In whose best interest would the WHO be acting. How would these decisions be accountable. This cannot be allowed to proceed and should be dropped as a proposal forthwith.
Compass Ltd	I do not support this idea at all. Given what the WHO did during the current pandemic please please please let's not get more involved than we sadly are already.
Private	I do not support this or any other treaty. These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Independent	I do not support this proposal There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' - the WHO should be a non- political, advisory and research body only. There for collating and reporting on trends and research. The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. The WHO, is becoming far too political. It should not have greater powers than democratically elected governments, nor should it be swayed by a powerful lobby of collective ideologies including pharmaceutical companies and organisations like WEF.
Inclusief Wonen vzw	I do not support this proposed WHO agreement because it is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. If this agreement should be signed then I will no longer be able to see the WHO as a trustworthy organisation. Regards, Tara Bossuyt
None	I do not support this treaty
Personal but NHS worker	I do not support this treaty and do not believe that it is a legitimate consultation. I believe that a one size fits all approach to public health would be detrimental to some countries . Health demographics are too diverse for this approach . The current pandemic has demonstrated that Public health management and response is better controlled and delivered locally and by sovereign authority. The WHO has been found wanting, demonstrating poor decisions by not acknowledging all valid peer reviewed research in the current pandemic and also demonstrated by poor decisions and lack of transparency The WHO should concentrate on investigating and collating best clinical practice and on levelling up basic world health not trying to be a controlling global health dictating authority . The WHO is not sufficiently transparent or elected and this consultation which has wide implications for Populations is not sufficiently in the public domain. The WHO has too many conflicts of interest as it is clearly receives funding from the corporate sector with their own financial and political agendas . Bio surveillance has to be a sovereign concern not that of an unelected body with commercial interests.
None	I do not support this treaty as it will give the WHO unprecedented powers and disregards national sovereignty.
Natural Medicine	I do NOT support this treaty. This is in violation of international law, and basic human rights. As a health care professional I have trained for 13 years and been in practice for 10 years. Informed consent must be obtained where there is risk. People have a basic right to choose the best course for their own health. I have personally seen the damage caused when governments step in and dictate health policy, both in my friends and my patients. I DO NOT SUPPORT THIS.
private	I do not support this treaty. I dont think who is right instance to do this. i do not support a "world government". I think each country can do this all by them self, when they know whats right for them. stop this nonsense
Myself	I do not support this.
NA	I do not support this. Too much power given to one organization. This should be based on each countries individual needs. NO to a one world government. Health is a personal choice.
Citizen of UK	I do not support WHO having control over a UK response to any health issue. We have not voted for WHO. The funding of WHO and motives are unclear. We will not be mandated for any reason in relation ANY medical procedures on a individual without their consent. This is against our fundamental human rights. The past two year debacle clearly shows WHO are not fit for purpose.
Citizen	I do not support yielding national and local leadership to organizations not democratically elected by the people. We must maintain open, transparent processes to managing global and local crises. Global agreements are difficult to administer and easily corrupted by corporate or political parties. DO NOT SUPPORT. MAINTAIN LOCAL LEADERSHIP AND AUTONOMY.
na	I do not support your "international instrument of pandemic preparedness." The WHO has not acted in the best interest of the people and instead has made decisions and policies that support corporate interests. Individuals should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their communities and themselves. Individuals, local municipalities, and nations must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of guidance you provide, without consequence. And that is all WHO should be providing - guidance.
USA citizen	I do not support your international treaty creation. My individual rights are given by God and are protected by the US Constitution. No regulation or law from your organization will ever supercede these authority sources.
Not applicable	I do not support your plans for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness. The WHO is overstepping the mark in terms of its power and influence. My own country has an elected Government that is quite capable of responding to a pandemic. Moreover, the WHO's response to Covid 19 was pitiful and consistently ignored the emerging science. It would do well to proactively understand how the pandemic started, rather than making reactive plans for countries where it has no jurisdiction. Advise on health by all means, but your involvement in politics is ill-advised and unwanted.
None	I do not support your proposal is a world wide pandemic treaty. You have mishandling and overreach of the COVID pandemic was awful. How to determine and react to a true pandemic needs to be done on the local level with data from that specific region. NO to having a world wide treaty! Thanks
Canadian citizen	I DO NOT support your treaty - nor Canada participating in it. Medical treatments are to be decided by MYSELF in consultation with health practitioners of MY CHOICE. I have no desire to be coerced into any treatment that I decide is not right for me - and I would appreciate you staying out of my personal business.
resident	I do not support, endorse nor agree to any substantive elements to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response! 1. WHO treaties remove power from the US and negate any health decisions the American people choose to make for the welfare of their families. 2. Health decisions need to stay close to home, within the borders of their country. 3. It is the US that should declare when an emergency exists. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. Over 38,000 people across the globe signed the SHF petition to tell America's leaders to say NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word. 4. Who is it that holds WHO accountable to their constitution?
None	I do not think a global plan is reasonable or appropriate as each country should make its own decisions on what is best for its people. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I do not think a global treaty is necessary. Seems like it may be trying to achieve overuse of power. I believe the following must be addressed: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Private	I do not think an international treaty is a good idea. A 'One Size fits all' treaty is unworkable. We are different demographically, geographically and seasonally. As New Zealand is an island with a small population density our circumstances are very different to other countries. Therefore we need our own set of guidelines; ones which are suited to our society. We must also take care of our indigenous people in a manner in their best interests which may be at odds with other country's ideals. So in answer to the question- let us guide and decide our own people. That is substantive enough.
Individual	I do not think any elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. As a private organization, the WHO's role should be limited to advising national governments only. There is no legitimate reason for it to have any authority over democratically elected governments.
IBA	I do not think any elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I believe that national and local leadership bodies should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe there should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I feel it is very important that measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no organization	I do not think any government has the right to decide what goes in my body. I do not believe in "1 size fits all." I believe in liberty, individuality and freedom. I am opposed to any and all legislation that infringes on my rights.
Just Me	I do not think any international agency, ESPECIALLY the WHO has any business being in charge of or dictating care to an individual, a state and certainly not a country. Each country has to care for its own citizens. This is a dangerous precedent. PLEASE do not let the WHO run the world healthcare.

Organization	Written submission
COWORK	I do not think any substantive elements should be included in a PROPOSED international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. As the COVID-19 era has proven, different global regions, countries, climates and living habits determine a variance in needs and strategy required. I do not believe an international instrument is required. This should be prepared for and responded to per region, per country. If there were to be an international instrument, they should provide the various regions and governments with unbiased data and medical advice, empowering them with the choice of which advice and data is relevant to their country's requirements.
None	I do not think any treaty is needed in this regard. In the alleged covid pandemic, WHO 'advice' was inconsistent, confusing and at a personal level, of no value. Health is a personal matter that can not be legislated for or mandated. My own view is that my health is my responsibility, not the responsibility of politicians, NGOs or other elected or unelected bodies. In other words, my business, my choice and my responsibility, in other words; keep your bloody noses out!
None	I do not think anything should be included in this agreement, it should not be made. Everything in the human experience is extremely varied, especially elements of health, based on so many factors, I can not put a number on it. Human health can not be managed in a macro level. Health must be managed on an individual level, and guidance should be developed at the closest possible level to the individual as to account for as many appropriate circumstances as possible. I am different then John, and city people are different then country people, and people in Greenland need distant advice then people in Mexico. You simply can't develop a one size approach to anything regarding health. Don't try.
citizen	I do not think forced vaccinations, firced isolations, lock downs, curfews, mandatory testing/vaccination/mask wearing should be part of any governments plans in a pandemic. Give the people the right to choose for themselves. If a government implements anything due to a 'pandemic' let there be full transparency. What studies do they base this off? And these studies must have disclosure on bias and who funded the study and the connection/possible conflict of interest of the scientists who undertook the studies. Dissenting views should not be censored ever. Science should be open for scrutiny at all levels. No one man should be allowed to say of himself 'I am the science.' No heavy handed govermental over reach. No digital tracking in any form should be implemented. Care packages of vitamins and minerals could be sent to citizens instead. Prices of fresh fruit and vegetables should be lowered and people encouraged to eat a healthy diet and community gardens should be set up in place of gardens of ornamental plants- people should be encouraged to self manage their own vegetable and fruit gardens and share the harvest with the community. People should be encouraged to get physical exercise and sunshine/be outside in nature and to connect and love friends and family more and be supported to do so. No 'social distancing' measures. No infant child should ever be separated from their parents in case of illness for isolation. All governments must also be transparent in: who funded their vaccines, who funded pandemic polices, if they are members/affiliated with the WEF /WHO/Bill and Melinda Gates foundation/ICLEI/Smart city etc.
None	I do not think it is appropriate to have a global pandemic response. Countries are and should be autonomous and free to respond independently and adhering to local laws and constitutions: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Medical Professionals	I do not think it is necessary for the WHO to manage the healthcare of individuals countries are there citizens. I trust my government to make decisions regarding public health that are appropriate for their citizens. The WHO is overstepping their role as public health advisors. This is a bad idea as it infringes on the liberty of individuals.
I belong to no organization	i do not think its a good idea for WHO to have the power to dictate to other countries such has New Zealand how to react to a pandemic. each country has it own circumstances. the guide lines that Who may mandate in case of a pandemic may not suit us in New Zealand
Finland	I do not think that a body such as the WHO should go this far. The local government which is elected democraticaly should be able to guide it's citizens. All we kindly ask for is guidance on issues from world bodies on issues but not regulation. With all the right guidance and open information, I as a citizen of my country can make an informed decision on behalf of myself and my children.
I am an individual	I do not think that an international agreement is appropriate. Any agreement requires the input and consent of the people, not just polititians and the elite.
none	I do not think that an international organization, such as the WHO, should be trying to write a treaty for all member nations to sign. The WHO is not a governing body and is too global to see what is necessary in individual nations. Nations need to keep their sovereignty...in other words, nations should be able to make their own health decisions; in fact, individuals should be able to make their own health decisions. Organizations such as the WHO are here to make recommendations, not demands. The job of the WHO is to present unbiased research and heath recommendations. Then individual nations should be able to look at those recommendations, compare them with the recommendations of their own health organizations and doctors, ask for input from their citizens and make their own decisions. This will protect the rights of individuals. If such a WHO treaty is made, I sincerely hope the United States WILL NOT sign such a treaty.
individual	I do not think that an unelected Global Corporation who receives funding from private sector companies and non profits should dictate how each country handles a health crisis. The WHO should stick to giving advice rather than dictating LAWS for the world's citizens We need clarity that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I do not think that any mandatory measures for vaccines should be put in place. People should be free to choose whether to vaccinate or not. Regarding social distancing and wearing of masks, measures should only be put in place where proof of its workings is provided. Random requirements should be prohibited.
Just a citizen	I do not think that any organization should have the right to override a persons sovereignty of choice in any situation. No circumstance exists that this should happen. No government has the right to limit the freedoms of its citizens or violate their natural rights. The WHO screwed up it's handing of covid.
Not Applicable	I do not think that any supranational organization, without direct accountability to the people, should be prescribing/forcing pandemic responses on citizens. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to decide, and change pandemic-responses based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence or coercion. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where even a simple majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual citizen	I do not think that it is right for an unelected organisation such as the WHO to be dictating to individual countries what they should and should not do. In the COVID pandemic, the WHO has repeatedly found itself offering poor policy. Irrespective of the quality of its outputs, it is for individual countries to decide what to do in the face of any future pandemic. It is not for the WHO to decide what freedoms that I may or may not have. The handling of the current pandemic has eroded trust in our institutions; a naked power-grab such as this will not help reverse this.
Gfq	I do not think that the WHO should be introducing a new international instrument on pandemic prepared response.During the 'covid pandemic' Much of the information that was put out to the public was inaccurate causing more harm than good also the WHO is linked to associations that I would not trust in.Many of the doctor's and heath proffesionals and scientists trying to join the debate around pandemics and future responses are not being allowed to air their views and opinions and this is not good for mankind going forward,we need a debate/discussion where all are welcome to input.
-	I do not think that there SHOULD be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I do not give my consent for my government and my country to participate in such a scheme. I am a sovereign human being and I take full responsibility for my own health. I do not consent to decisions about, for example, my vaccinations, or any other decision to do with my person, to be taken out of my hands. My human rights, bodily autonomy and integrity are of utmost importance to me. I do not consent to the World Health Organization, or indeed any organisation or government, making such decisions for me, in ANY circumstance.
Transcend US	I do not think that there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO is an unelected body with conflicts of interest and corrupt practices. You have no right to impose restrictions on anyone. Everyone is entitled to personal sovereignty and bodily autonomy, the basis for local and national sovereignty which must protect the rights of their citizens. We are granted these by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other international agreements. No nation, local entity or individual may be required to follow rules imposed upon us unjustly by the WHO which has no authority over us. Health issues are local and regional and must be addressed on those levels. We know you rigged the PCR tests to manufacture the illusion of a pandemic where there was none. Lockdowns were ineffective and did more harm than good. They extended Covid by preventing young, healthy people from getting mild or asymptomatic Covid, acquiring natural immunity contributing to herd immunity while protected the vulnerable. The Amish acquired herd immunity in months. You are unjustly usurping illegitimate power and will ultimately cause your own destruction, as more catch onto your obvious dangerous, ulterior motives.
Citizen of the United States of Ameria	I do not think that there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Complete right of individual to opt out of any inspections, mandates, treatments, etc. 6. No removal of patients' bill of rights in hospitals, clinics, outpatient settings, etc. 7. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 8. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses.
NONE	I do not think that there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO is an UN elected body with conflicts of interest and corrupt practices. You have no right to impose restrictions on anyone. Everyone is entitled to personal sovereignty and bodily autonomy, the basis for local and national sovereignty which must protect the rights of their citizens. We are granted these by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other international agreements. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
NONE	I do not think that there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO is an UN elected body with conflicts of interest and corrupt practices. You have no right to impose restrictions on anyone. Everyone is entitled to personal sovereignty and bodily autonomy, the basis for local and national sovereignty which must protect the rights of their citizens. We are granted these by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other international agreements. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Alaska Trollers Association	I do not think that there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO is an unelected body with conflicts of interest that has no right to impose restrictions on anyone. Everyone is entitled to personal sovereignty and bodily autonomy, the basis for local and national sovereignty which must protect the rights of their citizens. We are granted these by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other international agreements. No nation, local entity or individual may be required to impose rules upon us unjustly by the WHO which has no authority over us. Health issues are local and regional and must be addressed on those levels.
Kaplan	I do not think that there should be any kind of instrument for pandemic response. A catchall response cannot and should not be followed by the people of different countries lest it be damaging to their livelihoods and economies
None	I do not think that we should have international oversight when it comes to pandemics. This would greatly inhibit our bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent. We've seen way too much of that already, during this pandemic. This would be a major step backwards. When individuals are left to themselves, they are usually pretty good, as a community, at taking care of themselves & finding solutions. Governments only inhibits that!
None	I do not think that WHO should have control over the United States at all. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Thank you, Lisa
Individual	I do not think the treaty is necessary in any form. It just feeds the conspiracies and makes resistance greater. My primary concerns are - 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	I do not think the treaty should exist at all I think the vaccines should be eliminated for Covid all together This is about control of the government over the freedom of speech
Orange County Department of Education	I do not think the United States of America should be a part of any agreement with the World Health Organization regarding a united global position regarding health matters such as pandemics and how to respond to them. Signing any sort of agreement would compromise US sovereignty and would not properly adhere to the Constitution of the US. Nothing is more important that defending and maintaining the Constitution of the United States of America!
Self	I do not think the United States of America should enter into this or any pandemic treaty. The decisions that need to be made during an emergency pandemic should stay as close to home as possible and be decided by our legislators, not by a foreign consulting agency. I do not believe a foreign consulting agency should have the right to make any emergency health decisions for my country. Pandemic treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of ALL Americans. For the health and welfare of Americans the US should NOT agree to this legally binding pandemic treaty. Sincerely, Rita Auth
Private individual. Member of the public.	I do not think the WHO should attempt to establish a new international instrument. The WHO can advise, but cannot have the legal powers to override the wishes of any sovereign state.
none	I do not think the WHO should determine anything for the world. People need to VOTE on what to do and it should be left to each country's discretion. Why would people do something they are told when we had no ability to vote that person into office. I do not think this line of thinking will bring us peace, but more to argue about. Let each country decide for itself what it chooses to do. Bigger does not mean better. I don't want to be controlled.
Family	I do not think the WHO should direct any country or entity to respond in any particular way to any health situation. Freedom is a God given right and no authority has the right to impose restrictions and/or mandates under any circumstance. Global regions and countries are different. There is never a one size fits all solution to any problem. Recommendations are fine, restrictions and mandates are not.
Canadian citizen	I do not think the WHO should have a Pandemic Preparedness Treaty/Agreement at all. It is definite overreach, countries have their own preparedness plans in place. Also because of the existing WHO's International Health Regulations(IHR) Treaty healthy unvaccinated Australians as well as Canadians could not travel outside their own country. Canadians who did not supply a vax pass could not fly, take a train, bus or ferry within their own country. The WHO is an unelected cluster of bureaucrats that are interfering with my countrys' sovereignty and autonomy creating a discriminatory class system based on medical history. It is my hope that Canada will end funding the WHO. Each country should oversee the health of its own nation as a free society respecting their Constitution and Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Under no circumstances should we take direction or policy from people or countries that are not free and democratic and not respecting of the unalienable rights we are born with. We should continue to abide by the Nuremberg Code and Helsinki accord. The WHO did not act in good faith when it changed the definition of pandemic. They also changed the definition of vaccination to accommodate calling the MRNA shot a vaccine. This seems tricky and does not instill trust. Taxes and bureaucracy are making us poor and as you know poor people and poor countries have more health problems. What we really need is a cap on bureaucracy and government spending.
Gravely concerned citizen of the UK	I do not think the WHO should have any say in instructing sovereign nations on pandemic preparedness and response. You are a privately funded organisation with many obvious biases and conflicts of interest. Your over-reach and desire to control how sovereign nations respond to pandemics is completely corrupted and controlled by the many big tech and big pharma influences you have and will NEVER supercede my unalienable rights to bodily autonomy or ability to exist under universal natural common law. The audacity to think that you should be able to decide whether a country instructs its own citizens to "lock down" or impose mandatory "vaccines", which are clearly ineffective and have a hugely dangerous safety profile, absolutely disgusts me. Element 1. Should you not be disbanded and replaced by an impartial collaboration of uncorrupted accountable scientists and elected representatives; your only function should be advisory.
NHS	I do not think the WHO should have the power to take away autonomy from countries. I do not think the WHO should advise, or be involved in "pandemics" that could have been finished within months, without WHO involvement. I think WHO should print retractions for the public if they are found to be wrong. I do not think they should have changed the definition of "Vaccinated" halfway through Covid.
SWTherapy	I do not think the WHO should have the right to execute a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response and I do not give my consent for the WHO to take on this responsibility. Therefor I do not think there should be any substantive elements included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I think that each individual country should take responsibility for their own response. I do not want the WHO meddling in my life - back off
N/A	I do not think the WHO should have unilateral control over member states during pandemics. This is a gross over reach of the organizations mandate. The WHO is not a sovereign state, and without majority consent of the citizens of all member states, it should not attempt to supersede the governments of said states, or the will of their citizens.
NA	I do not think the WHO should make pandemic response uniform across the globe. It is scientifically important to have different countries respond differently and for many voices to debate. One global voice is anti science and anti democracy. I am strongly against a unified response.
Individual	I do not think the WHO should take over any world response to future pandemics, because this pandemic has been a false flag to use the public as unwitting participants in a global experiment AND because each country is different and will therefore require different responses as has been proved this time. Many countries have responded in a better way and science needs different approaches to find the best solutions. Also, the doctor/patient relationship is sacrosanct and should not be decided by unqualified bureaucrats with vested interests in a certain response.
General public	I do not think their should be an international plan. I think it should be up to each country to make their own plans. Any plan created should allow for freedom within each country to decide what measures work best for them. There should not be any forced vaccinations or protective measures which violate a person's medical freedom of choice to informed consent and their decisions about their health.
US Resident	I do NOT think there is a one size fitz all in regards to a National/Worldwide pandemic response. Each country needs to handle a pandemic according to the symptoms, illness, etc occurring in their country. Every country has different issues and circumstances. The Who should not be making this decision.
N/A	I do not think there is any need for a global pandemic preparedness agreement. WHO, an unelected body, must not be permitted to dictate the response to future emergencies, health or otherwise, of elected representatives in sovereign states and their authorities or people. Lockdowns, a public health intervention policy that caused more damage and death than the disease, must be outlawed. I object to any suggestion that the WHO be permitted to dictate global responses to future so called emergency situations. I choose who governs me, my country and its authorities and the WHO, an unelected body, in trying to implement such an agreement is a dangerous threat to our sovereignty and inalienable rights. It's the WHO, thanks to conflicts of interest due to funding by influential private interests, that allowed public health policy failures by encouraging lockdowns, suppressing early preventative treatments and recommending neither safe nor effective medical interventions. The WHO cannot be permitted to override our own elected representatives and decide how we live. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda and I am against a global pandemic preparedness agreement, it is unnecessary must not be implemented.
British citizen	I do not think there should be a "new international agreement on pandemic preparedness and response", because this would over-ride national sovereignty and because WHO officials are not elected by the general public. Furthermore, the WHO receives considerable funding from the Gates-funded GAVI and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, so that there is considerable conflict of interest and an over-emphasis on vaccines as a solution. Over the past 2 years I have noticed a group think mentality amongst politicians, and this should be countered by throwing responsibility firmly back to each country, to decide a national plan of action based on sound evidence, provided by a range of scientists and health professionals. National plans of action might include: 1. adhering to already existing pandemic plans & responding flexibly to changing circumstances, e.g. in 2020 it became clear that Covid-19 was not causing an increase in excess mortality, suggesting any emergency had ended. 2. providing access to immune-boosting supplements and safe, repurposed medications. 3. maintaining our inalienable human rights and civil liberties, along with freedom to refuse medical interventions and to assess one's own individual risks. 4. providing full transparency about new medications, so that fully informed consent is possible; this would include ensuring that companies such as Pfizer produce full trial documentation, without the need to take them to court to procure it. 5. encouraging open debate in the scientific community, so that independent voices are heard. 6. ensuring that any recommended drugs have been tested long enough for a full safety profile to be developed along with a robust adverse event reporting system.
None general public	I do not think there should be a global pandemic treaty at all. Let each country manage health the way they have done in the past. The more you try and centralize these types of health decisions the more incompetent the response ends up being.
general	I do not think there should be a governing WHO treaty for the US. This would remove power to the American people. The WHO should not be given the power to make health decisions for the American people. Please leave this in the hands of the individual countries that know their own people best and have voted those people into office for a reason.
Myself	I do not think there should be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Such instruments are likely to facilitate a situation where all participating nations coordinate around a dysfunctional policy. I do not see the WHO having a role in such an international instrument as I do not believe such an instrument should exist.
Self	I do not think there should be a unified pandemic response. I feel it supersedes the sovereignty of both individuals and countries. Please make recommended guidelines only, and not mandates.
CPA	I do not think there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO should not have power over the health decisions of sovereign nations. This is dangerous to the health and welfare of all free citizens of the world!
Mother/grandmother	I do not think there should be an international treaty. I think situations should be dealt with locally, on a state or national level.
US Citizen	I do not think there should be an international treaty. Each country, city, state, province should be able to decide what is best based on individualized cases. Really even that is overstepping, people need to be able to assess risks for themselves and take the precautions they see fit so that we do not lose our individual freedoms and have government entities controlling us. The WHO can make recommendations, but not under any circumstances should they be able to mandate anything that involves someone else's body. Medical freedom and patient privacy must always be the most important thing.
US Citizen	I do not think there should be any international response, each LOCAL GOVERNMENT should be allowed to make their own response. I support SMALL, LOCAL government and do not think the WHO needs have a standardized response for everyone. Their job is to advise only, NOT to create policy!
None	I do not think there should be any pandemic preparedness response planning on an international basis. Let the people and their countries make their own decisions. It is up to the individual people and their countries to decide and plan what should be done, if anything. Many people did not agree with much that has been done so far regarding this pandemic, and making global agreements doesn't seem like a wise idea.
Private citizen	I do not think there should be any pandemic preparedness treaty/agreement if it involves removing sovereign governments' rights to decide how they tackle any future pandemics. There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I think the UK government should stop funding the WHO. The British government should never cede any law or rule to any outside unelected body especially one that is governed by the CCP. It is against our democratic values. There should never be any mandate for any sort of medical intervention - it is against the rules of the Nuremberg trials and it illegal. The WHO is too political (mandating mask wearing by the public when they know they're not appropriate in this setting) and censored a lot of scientific debate re the SARS CoV-2 outbreak and the best way to handle it - not through lockdowns but by focussing protection on the elderly who were the only ones at real risk. The WHO should butt out of our lives - we do not need it to 'protect' or help us.
Private person	I do not think there should be any substantive element included, because there should absolutely not be a treaty or instrument that gives the WHO the power to dictate to every country in the world on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO have failed miserably in handling the current pandemic for the last two years - by ignoring early treatments such as Ivermectin, and pushing an experimental gene therapy injection, which is NOT safe and effective, has no long term safety data, has killed or injured hundreds of thousands of people around the world, and has only served to make billions for the pharmaceutical companies. Oh, and the cruel mandates, and mask wearing, mental and emotional health issues, suicides, and separating families, creating division and leaving elderly people to die alone. The WHO is presuming by this question that there will be a treaty - and I strongly disagree. The submission question should be: do we NEED a treaty that gives yet another global corporation power over the sovereignty of each individual country and it's people. I think not. The responsibility should lie with the government of each country, with the WHO making recommendations only.
None	I do not think this global agreement is necessary or safe or required. Our national and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each nation and local municipality MUST have the power to not use or apply any agreement decided upon by powers outside duly constituted and elected government officials. There MUST be open and transparent processes in place for all people of the world to vote on any measures that a majority of the people in any place do not want. It is imperative that there must be NO place in any process that would allow input from any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	I do not think this globalized response to a pandemic is the proper way to respond. As was seen in the ongoing Covid pandemic, countries that followed different paths had some significant different outcomes, Sweden comes to mind as one that followed a different path and had better results than those countries that locked down. If all are forced to follow one path, if that path is the wrong path, then it could lead to catastrophe. At a minimum, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Also, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, there should be an immediate halt to any planned response upon the emergence of significant adverse events, similar to the events that have been happening to people who have taken the Covid shots.
organization of the human race	I do not think this is a one size fits all solution. We know countries and regions are grown up enough to make their own choices. It's not a global crisis as you say. A pandemic must be proven not made up by media and corporations. I do not believe you have a right over someone's life as you think you do. I'm very disappointed in how the politicians, health professionals, and media have conducted themselves and hope the people have Justice soon.
Home	I do not think this one response serves all instrument can have a positive outcome. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on is absolutely necessary, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Last but not least, measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
private individual	I do not think this treaty should exist at all. If you want to do it then there must be guarantees on individual soverignty and the right to opt out of any of your decisions. Nobody elected you and nobody wants you.
Individual	I do not think under any circumstances there should be a "global" response to a pandemic. History will show the global response to Covid 19 in 2020-2022 was an incredibly destructive and unnecessary overreaction. Lockdowns and suspension of civil liberties as a reaction to medical "emergencies" must never be allowed to happen again.
general public	I do not think we need a new instrument of pandemic response. We already had a very good pandemic playbook which contained everything we knew was the best course of action, yet it was thrown out the window. Some countries had harsher responses than others, and I would not want all countries to have to follow the lead of a response that is too harmful. Such decisions must remain local.
WV women for cannabis	I do not think we should be locked down. No masks and no form of mandates for the vaccine they don't work. We all know it.
Citizen	I do not think we should have a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
individual	I do not think WHO should be given or have the power of legally binding mandates over any member nation. We are different countries with different governments. Recommendations should suffice. Health decisions are a personal choice and should remain that way. The Who board should not have any ties with big business. Large donors should not have the power of influence. NO legally binding power for WHO! Do not let this pass it is too much global control.
Dietetic Association	I do not think wHO should be involved in making any decisions or recommendations for Americans.
Private individual	I do not think you have any moral authority to undertake this assault on our freedom- you have catastrophically let down the ordinary people of Europe and failed in your responsibility- you should not have control of our lives. Shame on you all.
Retailer	I do not think your organization should govern countries on their methods to handle waves of the virus. Unfortunately, there is too much corruption in your organization and I don't follow much of your guidelines as I have my own beliefs. Furthermore, we didn't vote you to represent us and I once again prefer to handle this locally.
Military	I do not vote for the WHO and I don't want a transnational organisation with no accountability introducing vaccine passports etc So no , no , no
none	I do not want a Global Pandemic Treaty. I do not want any government, or country making policy that will directly effect any U.S. citizen.
Individual	I do not want a group of global elites having powers to determine global pandemic responses. The WHO are not democratically voted in and I want my own country's government to have autonomy in determining what is right for our individual country. I want to be able to hold my government to account not an untouchable global organisation.
Famille	I do not Want a new international instrument of pandemic preparedness
Gdc	I do not want a supranational entity running my life thank you very much.
Individual	I do not want a WHO treaty that removes power from countries or local communities. Health decisions should be made at the local level and most importantly individuals must maintain freedom over their own bodies. Some of us do not accept the Germ Theory or the Virus Theory. Good health is established through such things as a healthy diet, exercise and social interaction. This is what needs to be promoted for the benefit of all mankind.
Stand for Health Freedom	I do not want an international board making health decisions for me and my family. Thank you
Private	I DO Not Want an International Board to make health decisions for my family. The following elements should be included. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Membership of the WHO doesn't give this globalist organisation the right to violate our civil liberties, human rights and medical freedom.
Self	I do not want an international governance body making medical decisions for me. Therefore, I request that this sentence be included in the global Pandemic Response instrument: "All global citizens have the RIGHT TO OPT OUT of any medical recommendation, regardless of country, ethnicity, age, gender, medical condition, and in connection to any local medical requirements or conditions the citizen is also considering." Thank you for your attention to this important request.
self	I do not want an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The U.S. and the world's response has been counterproductive to say the least. In the U.S. the reaction from the government has led to increased domestic violence, drug use, depression, suicide, child abuse, job loss, homelessness, etc... If you add all these increases up over the last 2 years you will get a higher number than covid 19 related deaths. Vaccines, masks, isolation, work place shut downs, business closures are not the answer. Education regarding health and hygiene and a focus on the unhealthy American lifestyle will do much more to stem the spread of any contagious illness. Fear is the most contagious and it is being spread continually. Fear and scapegoating are much more injurious than any disease. NO ELEMENTS SHOULD BE INCLUDED AND NO NEW INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT SHOUD BE DESIGNED. THIS WOULD BE IN DIRECT OPPOSITION TO A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY.
I am writing my personal views.	I do not want an international response to a future pandemic. The current response was fraudulent. The fear campaign allowed governments to take away our health freedoms. People with symptoms were told to stay home and were isolated from their loved ones. Doctors were told not to treat until symptoms were severe, placing covid patients on deadly ventilators. Mask mandates were imposed, but masks are not effective. The lockdowns finished off millions of small businesses while corporate giants like Amazon and Big Pharma made billions in profits. Lockdowns led to mass suicides, domestic abuse, job loss, elder abuse and neglect, and children's educational needs were completely ignored. This imposed mass experimental vaccine campaign is an echo of the Nuremburg trials. This "vaccine" has severe side effects including death among originally healthy people, while being completely ineffective, hence a continual push for boosters. However, pharmaceutical companies are not held accountable. Governments, businesses, and airlines have coerced people to get injected, depriving people of their jobs and preventing travel to visit loved ones, creating a two-tiered society. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Free the people! Let's build our terrain through healthy lifestyles, rather than toxic injections. We need to subtract factory farming, promote plant-based diets and healthy lifestyles to enhance natural immunity which protects against severe diseases. Stop responding to corporate greed. Give us back our autonomy!
Private	I do not want and agree with any worldwide WHO health government contract with resulting priority over our local governments and health laws! Sincerely U. Langenhan
Myself	I do not want any global organization making any sort of health decisions for myself or other family members. They have proven to be completely inept bought and paid for shills. These decision should come from the local collective of elected officials. Any guidelines by global organizations should be nothing more than recommendations where they can be totally disregarded if want be. Any control should be instigated at the lowest local level. The profiteers of these measures (Pharma/Health etc.) should be left out of the process of creating any guidelines. If they have any involvement it should be made as transparent as possible.
Myself	I do not want any international treaty or agreement around ANY covid or pandemic issue. No vaccine passports. The USA is a sovereign nation; it is not for the WHO to make any agreements on behalf of the USA.
personal	I do not want any organization , domestic or foreign, to take away my personal sovereign rights such as bodily autonomy, free speech, the right to dissent, etc. whether during peace time or during a pandemic. Here are the bullet points of my objection: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Retired IT consultant.	I do not want any part of this and do not consent to it.
Johannes Apotheke	I do not want any paternalism from the WHO
N/A	I do not want any treaty to supersede the United States Constitution that under minds this great country. Any health decisions is between an individual and their practitioner even during a pandemic or other emergency. I do not want the WHO to have power over the protocols in the United States of America.
Nhs	I do not want anyone making any decisions on my behalf about my health and body or taking away any of my freedom or human rights. Nobody or organisation has the right to these decisions unless first consulted with the general public and then discussed in parliament before being voted on. Any other mandatory laws made otherwise are ILLEGAL.
none	i do not want anyone or any organization telling me how i have to take care of my health!!!
WCH	I do NOt want Canada to be a part of this treaty. The WHO has nothing to do with my country, I understand advice, but nothing more
France	I do not want EU Covid-19 pass !!! Stop limiting european moves ! Stop vaccin mandat !!!! Otherwise European people will be in streets all over Europe.
cls	I do not want forced healthcare or forced health choices.
privat	I do not want international instruments for pandemic prevention and control. The sovereignty of states and individuals is indispensable. Also, whoever makes the decisions must be able to be held accountable (government officials or pharmaceutical industry, medical professionals), which is impossible with international decisions. The community of states should decide, not a sponsored organization that is far removed from the state in question.
NASA	I do not want my country (Canada) to have anything to do with the UN. I do not want this. Stop this.
Free Individual	I do not want nor consent to a global pandemic treaty. I want to be in charge of my own medical decisions as well as freedoms!
	I do not want or need any worldwide pandemic treaty. We need to stay an independent country.
private person	I do NOT want some world entity such as WHO deciding on my health care. Each country needs to decide what is in the best interest of their citizens. Removing the power of health decisions from the United States would be dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans.
Citizen	I do not want the treaty in our country. We can self govern ourselves, as well as our nation. These so called pandemics are weapons. To our sovereignty. We can use our own God given minds to decide what is right for our individual persons. We do not need to be told what to do to be safe. We have been able to do this for millions of years and survived. We don't need world leaders, federal leaders, state or local leaders, to tell us how to protect ourselves. We know how. Please submit my request to not be included in your delusion of world protection. Thank you
none	I do not want the U.S. to sign this treaty for the following reasons: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I do not want the unelected WHO making any decision that affects health care provision or any decisions in relation to future pandemics in Australia!
private individual	I do not want the unelected WHO to have the power to tell my elected government what to do. Nothing should override sovereignty.
none	I do not want the United States to be a part of of a legally binding global pandemic treaty with The World Health Organization (WHO). Countries are different and each country should have its own unique way of dealing with a pandemic. Do not sign this global pandemic treaty.
Brunnen Publishing	I do not want the United States to participate in any way in a legally binding global pandemic or health edict or agreement. To maintain our sovereignty, we the citizens of the United States need to maintain control over what actions we will or will not take relevant to the United States population. NO UNELECTED international body should have control over us, or any other nation's health decisions.
Modern Health Ltd	I do not want the WHO controlling how my country conducts its future pandemic responses. It is essential that each country acts independently in its approach to handling pandemics.
Humanity	I do not want the WHO deciding pandemic protocols for any sovereign nation. I want every country to decide for itself and its people. I do not want pharmaceutical companies or any company or group of individuals that have the slightest possibility of profiting from WHO health measures to be included in any advisory or decision making capacity. The World Health Organization has to be transparent as water, and regain a lot of trust that it has lost in the last two years.
No Organization	I do not want the WHO deciding what we do in my country. We are an independent country and choose to make our own decisions.
none	I do not want the WHO in charge of my health. Health decisions are best made closest to home. The WHO has made many poor decisions in the past, yet now you ask to control the health of every person on the planet. That would place way too much power in the hands of a few people which is never a good idea. I never want the USA to surrender its sovereignty to any foreign entity.
Self	I do not want the Who in complete control. This should be left to the people and their community. No one organization should have that kind of power period. This is dangerous.
Self	I do not want the WHO involved in any future pandemics!!!! Every pandemic should be handled by each community, individually. We have never voted the WHO in charge of anything. We should be able to vote for how we want to handle pandemics in our counties cities and states. Every community around the world is unique and should develop their own strategies. NO to the WHO handling any pandemics.
Canadian Citizen	I do not want the WHO making decisions about my health. I did not vote for you. I pay my government to make health decisions and I get to vote in a democratic country to chose the party that best reflects my health requirements so I don't need or want other countries or organizations like the WHO dictating how I care for my health..
no organization	I do not want the WHO making health decisions for me. Stay out of my life. I want my own doctor to make decisions regarding my health.
private citizen	I do not want the WHO pandemic treaty to go forward. I want the sovereignty of my country to be left alone and respected and my freedom concerning my own bodily integrity to be upheld as the most important of all aspects of human life on earth. Yours truly Ann Watson
Personal member of the public not belonging to any organization	I do not want the WHO to be in charge of a universal method of dealing with any pandemic or outbreak of any health problem. I feel that each country should have the right to determine the best way to protect its citizens during a pandemic. I do not believe that a one-size-fits-all system is appropriate. Each country should determine the best way to proceed once all the medical and scientific facts have been made transparent. I hope my voice will be heard. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to submit my response in writing.
None	I do not want the WHO to control global health. There is too much corruption and fraud in the system with no checks and balances.
private	I do not want the WHO to create a new instrument on pandemic preparedness and respond. That is solely a matter for the individual states to take any action.
Concerned citizen	I do not want the WHO to decide for me what to do in a pandemic. They have not been transparent, honest or even successful on this one. Mandating experimental, unapproved "vaccines", with no ingredient list so no proper concent can be given are crimes against humanity. I hope the WHO, CDC and everyone doing this is held accountable. I also know many people who have been harmed by these shots and do not trust the WHO to advise anyone. Thank you.
Privat	I do not want the WHO to decide what is good for my health and what I need to do. I want to decide myself what do do with my body. It is my right to decide. I do not want the WHO to decide for everybody everywhere. We have elected politicians in my country. They can suggest what people should do or do not. But that is not the task of the WHO.
UK citizen	I do not want the WHO to have a treaty in pandemic preparedness. This will be a breach of human rights for sure. I am against any lockdown or mandated vaccination. The WHO is not an elected constitution and can't be trusted to make decisions regarding pandemics or health of citizens. The WHO recommended lockdowns for covid 19 as well as masks and vaccination. Masks dont work, covid 19 vaccinations are not vaccinations, they are experimental gene therapy. Lockdowns didn't work on the virus, everyone will get Covid, but it was a massive problem with human rights, children's development and loss of jobs therefore it is a tool to implement deprivation and poverty, that is all. And the fact that the WHO advised all of these measures makes me think that you are the worst company to implement any kind of treaty.
none	I do not want the WHO to have any legal or constitutional powers over my countries Common law rights. By all means offer your advice, but you must NEVER have any legal power over sovereign nations.
N/A	I do not want the WHO to have blanket policy over world pandemics. Individual countries must have full sovereign and sole control on how they react. We did nit elect WHO to government us.
I am a private individual, self employed.	I do not want the WHO to have broad authority over countries or local municipalities. The organization is too large and unwieldy. Countries, States or local governments must have the right to opt out of their recommendations. The WHO is easily corrupted even by well intentioned individuals, and this has led to harm of innocent people. There is no solution that can have such a broad brush application. The vaccines are not safe enough or effective enough and there are too many financial conflicts of interest to expect responses from the WHO which are in the best interest of the people. Do not grant the WHO any authority to direct people or force or mandate invasive procedures or mandates. This would be a terrible move.
Law Office of Sharon L Ceasar	I do not want the WHO to have control over all member nations responses to a pandemic. People should be allowed to determine and keep private the medical health decisions
B hallahan org	I do not want the WHO to make and or sign a treaty or have a WHO Constitution. I believe that each country and its people can decide what is best for them. I am fully capable of knowing what is best for me and my family and do not need heads of state nor Bill Gates deciding that for me thank you
none	I do not want the WHO to make decisions about my health, I wish to remain in control of my own health and body as I have always done. Corporate medicine is not part of my world and never has been. I see health as an individual responsibility that cannot be tied to big business, profit, or government.
Private citizen	I do not want the WHO to organize a global Pandemic Treaty. The WHO no longer functions as the independent organization as it is supposed to. It is being influenced by foundations, wealthy individuals, certain influential countries, and corporations to the detriment of the world's populations. I don't want those entities to determine how countries should make health decisions for their citizens. Individual countries should make health determinations for their own citizens and should not be influenced by globalists who seem to have their own financial interests take precedence over the health concerns of people throughout the world as has been demonstrated in this Covid 19 Pandemic as well as other previous pandemics. Giving WHO the power of a global Pandemic treaty would be dangerous for US citizens as well as citizens of other nations. Countries must be able to retain their individual decision making ability. The only function of the WHO should be to inform countries about the existence of communicable diseases which can spread in a timely manner so that individual nations can take precautions for the safety of their people. The WHO does not and should not have the power over Nations health decisions.
Nik	I do not want the WHO to override national governments in relation to pandemic lockdowns. Sovereign governments need to have the ultimate decision, not the WHO.
Privat	I do NOT want the WHO to take decisions about my personal health issues or in questions of vaccines!
Lawyers for Ireland	I do not want the Who treaty in my country
Citizen	I do not want the WHO, which I have no part in electing, to have any authority over me. Democratically elected national governments should be responsible for the welfare of their people, and I should be the one to make decisions about my health, not the WHO. The WHO should only advise, and I'm not convinced even that is necessary or worth the money it costs. I don't want a global organization telling me what to do. I don't want any increase in authoritarianism. I don't want rules imposed on me 'for my own good' or indeed for the good of others.
The Irish People	I do not want the World Health Organisation, who are a group of unelected people and are not personally responsible or held accountable to anyone or by anyone to make decisions on behalf of the goverment who we have democratically elected and who we can hold personally accountable for their actions and decisions they chose to make. This treaty feels like a threat to the democratic principles that we have chosen to base our governments on. Having been taught history I can see no good coming from unelected people making a decision for the people in any day and age.
United States	I do NOT want this to happen. There is NO reason for it. Do not do it! We do not agree that this is a solution. Freedom! This is wrong.
Industrial Control Engineering	I do not want this treaty at all. Each individual nation and local polity is more than capable of deciding on an optimal course of action that is best suited for the people who comprise that polity.
Public	I do not want to be a slave to the system I will make my own chooses on my health, it's not in place to decide for the People we suppose to live in a democratic country
n/a	i do not want to be controlled by a privately owned, non elected body such as the WEF, or the WHO they are owned and controlled by the pharma industry and put profit above health
Self	I do not want to be forced to take shot/experimental drug that doesn't work and has a high chance of causing an adverse reaction or future health problems. I've had COVID and am just fine in addition to now having natural immunity. This needs to be a personal choice not something that is mandated.
Independent	I do not want to be governed by WHO. I want the USA to remain independent of any world governing body including the UN. My health, is my health, I do not want WHO to have the authority to claim world wide pandemics, then have the authority to force world wide mandatory injections.
None	I do not want to be ruled or governed by unelected fraudulent people who do not want my best interests at heart. I do not want to be forced to take any medicine it is my choice and my free will I do not want WHO or WEF to have any bearing on how I love my life. Ever
Concerned citizen of Europe	I do not want to have the WHO make medical decisions on my behalf whereby they can override my decision to either have or indeed have not any vaccine from this date forward.
self	I do not want to live in a police state. I have spent many hours of my life fighting for justice, freedom, free speech, free press, freedom of religion and the right to privacy. The US was doing fine until corporations took over and it has been all down hill from then. Rules from a bigger organization will make my world worse. The big truth is government does not care about people. So stay out of my life
Private	I do not want to participate in this global treaty and will not comply.
Cutz & Color	I do not want to see my country's Constitution over ridden by the WHO in any situation. The United States, and the individual states must keep their autonomy during a pandemic. I do not want the United States to agree to this suggestion of a WHO worldwide pandemic response
Mountain Pass Wind	I do not want to see the USA sign on to any WHO health agreement. The USA should be free to make its own health decisions.
Member of Public	I do not want to see use again of the following measures that do not work; 1. Lockdowns 2. Masks 3. Mandates I also do not support digital ID/passports. I would like to see the use of early intervention drugs/vitamin and supplements used as a priority over non proven vaccines.
Na	I do not want unelected international bureaucrats making any decisions for me. Ever. But if you're hellbent on this globalist hogwash, thr only way to avoid causing multiple civil wars is to include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I do not want want an unelected body coordinating the response to any future pandemic in my country. The COVID response and guidelines issued by the WHO were authoritarian and not in keeping with the liberty and democracy I believe it.
None	I do not want WHO or any other body telling us what we can or can't do even in a so called pandemic what meds to take have medical I'd etc etc And the trust is also gone so no we are happy as can be at moment It's not one glove fits all
Myself	I do not want your treaty. I did not consent for your treaty, i did not consent to you making a treaty without my consent. I do not consent to you or your non sovereign rules. I am a sovereign being, not yours
Private citizen	I do not want, nor believe it necessary, for an international sole body, in this case the WHO, to dictate what other sovereign countries should do in the case of a pandemic, or anything else. One body, the WHO, can be captured by vested interests. On this basis alone no country should sign up to the proposed treaty. No country should forfeit their ability to self govern. The WHO does not necessarily have the best interests at heart for an individual country. Each country is individual and has the right to govern and make its own choices on health or anything else. An international WHO treaty is a blatant power grab and will only cause chaos and friction. I strongly oppose a WHO pandemic treaty. Some countries will make better decisions than the WHO. If all decisions are made by the WHO then there is a potential catastrophe if they make bad decisions, which they have already done.
Navy 17 LTD	I do NOT WISH / SUPPORT or AGREE nor will ever for any treaty or convention to ever supersede the Constitution of any state or country. the WHO organisation cannot have a constitution by themselves that supersedes the right of freedom of any person regardless of their personal choice to participate or not in political propaganda. There should be guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Voice for freedom	I do not wish a global management of the next pandémie. The world is made of many countries, population, géography and history, and it is not possible to come up with appropriate guidelines all at once. Each country and situation knows best for their proper situation andnpopulations and the deciding leaders have (usually and hopefully) been rightefully chosen by the people.... And i beleive this is way too much power in the hands of a fews... too dangerous...
privat	I do not wish any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. No further agreement!
Self	I do not wish for the United States to enter into any treaty concerning my health. There is far too much government interference in our lives without having WHO involved in the decisionmaking concerning our most private aspect of life.
Global Citizen	I do not wish that WHO will ever be able to make decisions for independent nations of the world. I believe that the citizens in each country should continue to be free to vote in a way that suits their country and people. It is wrong that decisions affecting billions of people could be made by WHO.
Private UK citizen	I do not wish the WHO to be in charge of future decisions regarding any new pandemics without discussing the choices which need to be made and any future lockdowns being enforced without the agreement of national, elected governments making the final decision. The WHO has to act responsibly and with due regard to each individual country's choices. They cannot be allowed to dictate any policies disregarding policies at a national level.
Klinikum Starnberg	I do not wish the WHO to be involved in the handling of "pandemic preparedness". For this purpose, the director-general of the WHO must necessarily be someone with medical competence, specifically a epidemiologist. This is not the case at the present time. Furthermore, there should be open, unbiased, transparent discusson among scientists from all over the world and from different fields of expertise. This requirement has been massively suppressed by the current incumbents. The real and true scientific community has met outside the WHO and the national governments on alternative platforms and had to face persecution, defamation and punishment. This is not in line with sound scientific and democratic practice. The WHO's work must be based on medical evidence, which is not the case at the present time. The WHO must be independent from financial interests e.g. lobbying from the pharmaceutical industry, which is not the case at the present time. I definitely oppose a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response", especially run by the WHO, as it is at the present time.
Concerned parent	I do not wish to add any elements as it shouldn't be happening. For one particular organisation to decide what's best for an individual person or child seams like an attack on the people's democracy. If this goes ahead , I'll be consulting the Nuremberg code. Ps. Why are people not being informed that this proposal is going ahead. There is no formal mention in world media other than distractions while these type of proposals are being drafted in through the back door. If it's going to affect world population they should know what their being signed up for.
Public	I do not wish to have any elements on an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response implemented. As a US citizen, I am ardently opposed to the creation of a global response treaty, which threatens our individual responsibility to govern our own health decisions.
Retired	I do not wish to see a more centralized locus of power and control over medical health decisions 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NHS	I do not, under any circumstances, consent to this globalist approach to heath care. Pandemic emergency contingency plans such as lockdowns, medical mandates, mask-wearing, social distancing, must be solely decided by the democratically elected governments of each country. No international agencies nor unelected bodies, must EVER be allowed to override our own emergency policies to inflict fines, unproven mandates and overreaching control. Furthermore, human rights and bodily autonomy must prevail without question as it is our inalienable right, and we must have the freedom, to decide our personal risks and health challenges without draconian (nor medical) intervention that is severely detrimental to our health to a greater degree than the perceived/over-estimated risks and over-reported outcomes/deaths. Any person(s) imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, MUST be held accountable for their actions. No person responsible for dictating these rules, may benefit directly nor indirectly, nor have any patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from rules imposed.
US Citizens	I do want any outside institutions making any laws or rules over my country. This is an illegitimate act and will not be excepted by myself and my countrymen. Our constitution does not allow for any international organizations to make or provide laws above the United States of America!
LPM ltd	I don not consent to WHO making life changing decisions that will impact on my life or my family's. The WHO is not a democratically selected party and have no right to take away anybody's democracy on decisions, certainly given the bad after bad decision made during the covid-19 pandemic.
Home	I don t think the treaty is necessary. People are not aware of it. WHO should be a more Open institution and gàs made few efforts to this international instrument
Citizen of Poland	I don't acccept that. Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	I don´t agree with your plans. We German people never voted one person of the WHO. We don´t want them to decide for us. All countries should decide for their inhabitants. Not the WHO!!!!!!!!!
Private	I don't trust one organization to determine what is right or wrong.
Fuk nwo	I don' agrre to a teatry
Privat	I don't accept the pandemic contract. At first you have to check the situation. The first thing to do is to review the experience of the last two years and realize that the virus can be managed nationally with other options. Vaccines contain mama substances that damage the immune Systeme. I urge all those involved to get better objective information. We are not God - we are on earth to make it better. Respect the life, tolerance und charity. Not only Profit the money.
Yoga-me	I don't accept this change of powers without the legal steps and don't give legitimacy to a treaty which was not discussed with public. Please stay inside the laws and respect. No to this treaty.

Organization	Written submission
No organization	I don't agree on this Treaty and I don't give my permission to the WHO to impose me anything concerning my health and the right to choose or not a health treatment. During the pandemic since early 2020, vaccines proved to be unsafe and ineffective causing many health issues. I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices. I also want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid, and I don't want this to happen, especially without my explicit permission and consent as a FREE citizen who had to undergo many abuses in the last 2 years and 4 months under pretext of a global health emergency. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. I don't want this Treaty to threaten my free life choices now or in the future.
None	I don't agree that there should be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness. I believe this is outside the brief of the WHO. Each sovereign country should create its own agenda.
None, private citizen of USA.	I don't agree that there should be a new, or any kind of international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Each country needs to create its own response appropriate for its citizenship. WHO treaties that remove power from the United States are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans and our personal liberty rights as given by our nation constitution. Live videos that I have seen of WHO meetings prove the WHO does not have the worlds health interests as top priority. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible including when an emergency exists. WHO does NOT have power over the health decisions yet, but it will if the United State's agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. WHO cannot make the best decisions for individual countries or states.
Individual	I don't agree that under any circumstances that there should be a global pandemic treaty. It clearly strips national sovereignty from each country and therefore individual rights. Any one organization should not have the power to have global control over our lives, especially when all trust is lost in those organizations and the leaders of countries who support this power grab.
Self	I don't agree with any pandemic treaty! We already know that lockdowns, closing businesses, wearing masks and taking the new gene therapies don't work whatsoever. Every country can handle the next pandemic mania at their own discretion: We do not require any global dictatorship enforcing their totalitarian beliefs on us individuals who are just trying to get through life without being suffocated and killed by unnecessary mandates against our God given rights! NO PANDEMIC TREATY PLEASE!!!!!
marsekco	I don't agree with this , to have mandatory vaccination over virus with survival rate of almost 100 percent . Freedoms are taken away from us . I do not consent ! My body my choice !
Ey	I don't agree with this' stop this !
self	I don't beleive there is a one size fits all response that should be implemented internationally. Each locality should be able to determine their own responses based on what is decided by their elected officials. National or international regulation is too far removed from the reality of the situation in each area and causes more harm than good. As we saw in the US, each state had a different situation and response which resulted in much different outcomes.
UK Citizen	I don't believe a new international instrument on pandemic response is required. This could potentially override my elected government here in the UK. The laws and instruments that govern us here in the UK should be decided by a democratically elected government and not an external unelected body.
Private citizen	I don't believe a pandemic treaty is necessary. All sovereign nations of the world are able to avail themselves of WHO input and recommendations already. It is up to each nation to evaluate all available data and take action. I am apposed to anything infringing on National sovereignty. I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe in measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	I don't believe any organization outside of my country should have any power over my constitutional freedoms. I did not choose your organization to rule my life. I am NOT in favor of this treaty.
None	I don't believe centralized control of pandemic responses is desirable. Doctors everywhere must retain full autonomy and flexibility to respond as they see fit, as long as they use generally safe drugs and methods. Since the implementation of modern water treatment, sewage systems, food handling, diet, etc., there is little susceptibility to serious infectious disease. The COVID numbers were only bad because of padding and disastrous policies. One of the most disturbing developments was that doctors were denied their traditional leeway in prescribing and treating based on their experience, instincts and training, in favor of medicine-by-fiat. This resulted in great suffering and unnecessary deaths, as patients were denied early treatment with cheap, safe, effective drugs; patients with serious conditions who were diagnosed with "COVID" (many of whom were false positives or never even tested positive) were denied care for the ailments that had brought them to the hospital in the first place; and in some countries, toxic drugs like Remdesivir were imposed. We should never centralize medicine like this again, not even at the local level, let alone national or international level.
N/A	I don't believe in a one world policy for Pandemic response. It such be up to each country to gage their individual risk and do what is best for their citizens. There is no possible way a single body can make such decisions that potentially have drastic consequences for a country they don't know intimately., I believe, that had there been such a global policy in place prior to Covid,, the outcomes would have been even worse than what we are contending with in regards to economic destruction, mental health issues, children's learning problems etc. world wide. While global policies sound great in theory, the reality is far different! Leave it to the individual nations to know what is best for their citizens and to respond accordingly!
The Office of Dr. TF Lewis	I don't believe in this treaty. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. What the federal government did in the USA was a tragic mess, completely incongruent with science and logic. And now this thinly veiled plan for totalitarian control is not a mystery to anyone paying attention. We must not move forward with this. What should be included is that everything in the treaty is optional for each country. And if any terms violate the country's constitution said terms are not applicable.
Member of public	I don't believe that a global Pandemic Treaty is the right mechanism to combat potential disease outbreaks. No two people are the same, therefore, a universal one size fits all, cannot work and will be detrimental to many. Each nations' leaders must retain full autonomy over the governance of their peoples. They must reserve the right to make the best decisions for their own citizens; therefore there must be provision for nations to opt out of any and all sections of any agreement that the leaders deem fit for their people, without consequence. An open transparent process for everyone to have a vote, including failsafes to prevent application of global agreement where a majority of people do not agree. Safeguards must be included to prevent any influence from any global health pharmaceutical companies.
Freedom	I don't believe that every signatory nation should have to adhere to a single governing body's decision. Provide ways for countries to use their own strategy depending on what works best for their population
B B	I don't believe that international entities should have and governance over national or local authorities and responses. I strongly believe that no governing body or response agency including the proposed should have or use powers that are in breach of national or international basic human rights declarations or the Nuremberg code, especially in regards to bodily autonomy and coercive tactics used in the administration of any medical intervention. On the same note I don't believe that mobility restrictions (ie. forced lockdowns, freedom of travel in and out of ones country) that have been shown to endanger the right to sustain ones life and good health should ever be mandated by and governing or response agency. I question deeply the need and intention behind the creation of the proposed responce agency. Thank you for your time.
The public	I don't believe that international entities should have and governance over national or local authorities and responses. I strongly believe that no governing body or response agency including the proposed should have or use powers that are in breach of national or international basic human rights declarations or the Nuremberg code, especially in regards to bodily autonomy and coercive tactics used in the administration of any medical intervention. On the same note I don't believe that mobility restrictions (ie. forced lockdowns, freedom of travel in and out of ones country) that have been shown to endanger the right to sustain ones life and good health should ever be mandated by and governing or response agency. I question deeply the need and intention behind the creation of the proposed responce agency. Thank you for your time.
N/A	I don't believe that there should be an international standard response to any future pandemics or any organisation making standard responses for all countries. Any organisation that is in place who makes any recommendations should be totally independent and transparent declaring any interests they have. All individual who are part of these organisation must have no conflict of interests and all details of previous appointments fully disclosed. There should under NO CIRCUMSTANCES be any influence from pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers in any decision making or procedures. Individual countries must retain full autonomy and be in a position to decide what is best for their country and the people they have been elected to serve. No non elected organisation should be deciding what is best for the people. Any recommendations made by any non elected health organisation should be on the basis that it is optional and purely one position which can be accepted or rejected by any of the countries. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
None	I don't believe the American people will accept any international medical authority to organize A Pandemic response. In addition, each of the States are responsible to develop their own pandemic responses. That means 50 different approaches. Probably not the best system.
Self	I don't believe the the WHO should be imposing any type of treaty which takes away the autonomy of an individual sovereign Nation, State, County, City or Locality. For that matter it should not impose a treaty which has the potential to remove freedom from individuals within any nation. The WHO is not a monarch or dictatorship and should not be provided powers to act as if they are.
Auction757.com	I don't believe the US should be a part of the WHO plan for the world as my constitution allows me freedom of choice. Leave us out of your plan.
Self	I don't believe the US should do this. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I don't believe the WHO should be in charge of any pandemic response worldwide because that would be taking power away from the PEOPLE. According to the WHO Constitution, "Informed opinion and active co-operation on a part of the public are of the upmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." If you want cooperation from the people DO NOT take away the peoples ability to have informed opinions of their own, which may or may not be the same as the WHO. The WHO can advise, but should not enact any changes to be in power over any countries at any time, including and ESPECIALLY, during a pandemic.
N/a	I don't believe there should be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible. including when an emergency exists.
United States citizen	I don't believe there should be global controls of any kind. Each country should be responsible to & for themselves. Not ever an over reaching control applying to all countries. That kind of policy directly goes against all our country fought & won. We want to be free of global control!
N/a	I don't believe we should have a global approach. The WHO has not been voted for. You have focused on vaccines which are clearly not safe and not effective. You have ignored existing medicines. The pharmaceutical industry is too involved in the WHO. Governments should be able to make their own don how to proceed. They have been voted for by their people.
Private citizen only	I don't believe WHO should be making medical decisions for me or my family. I think I can best make those decisions with the help of my local doctor. This includes which vaccines are suitable for me and my health.
Human	I don't consent
Human race	I don't feel a global one size fits all policy is beneficial to independent countries peoples needs. Health policy should be set by the health authorities of the individual country. No body outside of the sovereign nation should make or determine health policy.
N/A	I don't feel comfortable at all with WHO having any level of increased international power or responsibility. I was not and am not happy with what I know about WHO-intersected handling of Covid 19. In any new international instrument such as the one under discussion here, I would respectfully submit that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make any decisions, as in the normal course. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people, nationally, regionally or internationally do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers, or self-interested entities or parties.
NA	I don't feel comfortable with an overarching entity deciding what any being should or should not, can or can not do, to their own bodies! Thanks you!
Private citizen	I don't feel that the WHO Organization needs to do anymore for regulating the health protocols of each Nation as we saw in the last two years there was more confusion than coordination or cooperation. Each country is different in customs, religion, health protocols, etc. So I think the WHO should do prevention and education and it should be up to each country to mandate the rules of healthcare and protocol by themselves.
Public	I don't feel the WHO should interfere in any country, while you may advise governments and people, you have no mandate from the people to dictate procedures as you are not voted by any country's population therefore you do not serve the people .
Individual	I don't recognise the authority of the world health organisation. I believe in Natural Law and any decisions on health should never be done collectively. I am sovereign being who looks after my own health.
Self employed	I don't see the need to have a law that overides all the laws legally binding. The pandemia was not such and people's freedoms of movement, speech, property and health choice need to be restored.
United States Citizen	I don't support a global pandemic treaty that is administered by the WHO. I believe that the WHO can make recommendations, as it does now, but such recommendations should not be binding. We don't have one world government. We shouldn't have one health organization that dictates how all countries in the world implement health policies. However, if a global pandemic treaty is enacted, I suggest the following stipulations to keep the power with the people of the world: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, enabling these leaders to make decisions based on what's best for their own people. -Nations and local governments can opt out of any and all parts of the agreement, based on what's best for their own people, without consequence. -The WHO's decision-making process is open and transparent and allows all people of the world to comment and vote. -This process does not permit the influence of pharmaceutical companies or other health-related organizations that have a profit motive.
Concerned Citizen	I don't support this treaty and would like to list these reasons as My submission for how I agree things should remain. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	I don't think a one size fits all approach is humane. Vaccine passports breach human right. Your own medical choices are just that, a CHOICE - mandatory vaccinations are in my opinion criminal especially with the amount of deaths and adverse reactions linked to all of the covid vaccines. Way way more than all other vaccines in history.
Individual	I don't think a pandemic response should be an international decision, nor the WHO's. Each country depending on its size, demographics, and location should have a different approach and that decision should rest with each country. In addition, different countries have different health concerns, and therefore have different approaches. Lastly, if any preparedness and response involves ingesting or injecting something, this should be an individual decision with informed consent of the person. Thank you
Self	I don't think any substantive elements should be added. The United States should stay separate and able to make decisions for it's own people based on its own individual needs. Having an international law or commitee that gets to make laws or mandates surrounding health issues is dangerous and putting power in the hands of people that are too far removed from ground zero to be able to make good decisions on our behalf.
None	I don't think it's a good idea for any one organisation to control independent countries , each country should make its own plans and decisions on health and any other matter , I am against global control
Ordinary Member of Public	I don't think it's wise to have a global response initiated by an organisation that is remote from the people …..to things like pandemics for a number of reasons: There would not be enough flexibility for local situations It would not promote creativity and new ideas on how to respond The countries with no lockdowns or masks fared better than U.K. Different approaches will work better in different localities Public trust in public health authorities will need to be built up form the bottom up…not from a distant organisation We need to protect individual rights - I don't feel societal needs should ever have priority over an individual's body Individuals should retain the right to say no to any treatment. People need to be able to vote on measures and reject them where the majority do not want them I think the pharmaceuticals are too powerful and they should not have influence in WHO policy…profit motives get in the way of good policy Nations must be free to opt out of WHO guidance without consequence - so that they can do their best for their own people One global autocratic policy would I feel be dangerous and would create unforeseen possibly unexpected negative consequences.
Sweden	I don't think that my government should sign any agreement at all. In what way have they asked the people what they want. Our polititicians should work for the people witch are the ones paying their salary. In what way have the people agree to delegate lifechanging decions to an organisation driven by private interests. Is it even legal to that!
Household	I don't think the treaty should go ahead. It goes against the sovereignty of nations. It also mandates vaccines and denies people the right to bodily autonomy. Thousands of people have been injured by the Covid 19 vaccines and support has been denied. Indeed drs do not know what to do for these people. No medical help is available for a novel gene therapy
None	I don't think the WHO should have any say in our country. Every country is different and there should NOT be any blanket international policies!
Myself	I don't think there is any need for pandemic awareness. The absolute political overreach these past few years should have been enough to dismantle the WHO and all affiliates. There should be no "instrument" on pandemic preparedness. This would imply a tool, a tool that can be used against us and used towards giving the WHO more power than it already seemingly has and obtained by no democratic method whatsoever.
Humanity	I don't think there should be a global instrument, but if you are being pushed to do it, the following should be considered: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I don't think there should be any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response by the WHO or any other organization. It should be left up to the individual countries to set their own health policy. I don't like the idea of the WHO being able to supersede the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. The WHO has no business in taking over a country's health policy. I also don't approve that this so called treaty is being negotiated in secret because the media is not reporting very many details on this. In conclusion, public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Thank you.
ToHealth!	I don't think there should be any international instrument or agreement at all on pandemic preparedness an respona. The national governments should have the final say in the matter.
Royal stoke	I don't think this treaty should go through. We should be independent and decide what happens if there's a pandemic ect , we left the EU to become independent, not to be ruled by a one world government! The people of England do not want be apart of this treaty and we should be given the chance to oppose it , instead of not making the public aware fully.
Member of the public	I don't think we should have such a treaty. Each nation should set their own policies. American should not even negotiate this type of treaty. I am firmly against it. Just say no!
Individual	I don't understand any good reasons as to why the WHO should be given any other power than advisory. The last 2 years have shown that the interests of humanity have not been served. It would appear that other factors are at play. The individual must retain the right to make decisions about their health and react accordingly. The shifting sands of the policies and funding of the WHO need serious questioning. Democratic society should demand the respect of the individual. The WHO are not elected by the people and have no right to create a one size fits all policy for the world's health. You know it doesn't work. This is an authoritarian power grab which needs to be resisted.
Paragraph 32	I don't want an international instrument against pandemic. Every human has the right to choose, because we're all individuals and not robots!!!
None	I don't want any international instrument for "fighting" any desease! The government of any country is sufficient to decide necessary measures! We need to keep the freedom of decision, of personal freedom to move and to live where A person pleases! We have to protect the freedom to live the live a person wants to live. No to the great reset, yes to individual life sets!
None	I don't want any vax mandate. I don't want human rights interference. I want the who acknowledges the doctor patient relationship and does not interfere with off label outpatient prescriptions. Finally - the definition of candemic should be revised to the old definition - the bar to declare a pandemic should be raised rather than lowered.
Privat	I don't want it. #StopTheTreaty If so. Please include guaranteed human rights, nature rights, civil rights, right of election.
European citizen	I don't want pandamic treaty with WHO.DSÖ uluslararası örgüt olma özelliğini kötüye kullanıyor. DSÖ devletlerin üzerinde sağlık politikası belirleyemez. DSÖ insanların vücut bütünlüğüne ve yaşam hakkına müdahale edemez. Devletler ulusal yetkilerini DSÖ gibi kuruluşlara vermemeli.
Medi terta	I don't want that the Who will become a super power that will decide what worldwide should happen. This is a very dangerous development. Based on their destructive role in the Covid debacle they should stay out!
Individual	I don't want the WHO to have any authority over my personal health care response or rights. Specifically: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EU Bürger	I don't want the WHO to to head a pandemic situation in my country. There are no instruments to be added into a pandemic prevention, I don't support such a procedure. The WHO is not independent!
Privat	I don't want this regulation to restrict my freedom rights!
None	I don't want to see the WHO treaty responsible for health decisions. The power needs to stay with individuals. Where there is harm there should always be choice, our choice, not the WHO or any other organization.
Private Citizen	I don't want to see this come into fruition at all. I don't believe a handful of individuals should have such global power. If it did come to fruition as a minimum i would like to see inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
Citizen of Poland	I don't acccept that. Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Poland citizen	I don't accept that !!! Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	I don't agree
General Public	I don't agree and believe that a global agreement is right or necessary as very country is different and is soviet of its own choices and knows what is best and right for them. Also since the WHO is a private organization, I don't believe it has any legal right to overrule on every country National Constitution, as this is the supreme law each country follows. As for the agreement, I believe that the country's population should be aware was what the government in office is signing and not done in secret during an election, when even the ministers where not in office. At the end of the day the government is there to serve the people and not the other way round. Since we are a democratic country, this agreement should have been made public and each individual given the right to choose for himself. For a minister to take a decision that everybody and thinking that everybody is the same, is unprofessional more so when no information was given to the public. We do not consent to this.
Free people	I don't agree in any pandemic or health agreements. The body of any human beings is tabu!
Self	I dont agree in giving WHO full power over pandemics or any other health crisis. The decisions should maintained at the US level of power. Each country has different resources, rules, and rights. The USA doesn't need this power given to WHO. Decisions will be made at the local level, NOT global level.
General Public	I don't agree that this treaty is needed at all. If you are going to create the treaty, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Prive	I dont agree that WHO should decide about my life, my healt and my freedom. Health decisions for sure should be considered by human self or by national law. WHO never made any good decisions in case of human health and it's not gonna do in future. I dont want any centralization of health problems!!!
private	I don't agree to the New International Instrument because there is no Pandemic. Says John Ionnaidis. IT is Not necessary.
Human	I don't agree to the plans of WHO. Every nation has to decide by itself! Freedom and independence for every Nation!
General public	I don't agree we need a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness or response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I don't agree with a global response. Each country should respond to pandemic.
Sheldon Carter	I don't agree with a treaty that the world health organization is proposing. It must not be allowed to be. Countries must be allowed to control their own health care. The countries should not be aligned with the UN or WHO. Freedom must reign.
Individual	I don't agree with any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. WHO lost all credibility and should be hold accountable for the decisions in the last 2 years.
Public hospital	I don't agree with pandemic treaty I am against that.
Private	I don't agree with the idea, that WHO controls all the countries. Local commities and organizations ara much better informed, what is going on localy. Therefore they can be much more efficient as well. And this is crucial. No big organization, as it is WHO, can not be incharge of such big and diversed communities, cultures, nation as Europe or World. Thatk you for lasking us know, what do we think.
Self	I don't agree with the proposal based on the fact that it overrides the sovereignty of nations. It also gives citizens no choice once in place. It ignores the fact that the greater majority are not affected. And, why now when the pandemic is on the decline? The terms and conditions are not included here and yet we must agree to it. I post under duress and been bullied to.
Self	I don't agree with this
None	I don't agree with this new international instrument. I think it is up to individual countries to govern themselves. This kind of instrument would interfere with our sovereignty and not allow American families the freedom to take care of their own families' health privately. There are many reasons why someone may choose not to vaccinate. There are people in the U.S.A., for example with medical and religious exemptions. Our Civil Rights Act of 1964 ensures American employees the right to religious exemption to medical mandates. I believe people have the right to their own private health information and should have the personal and medical freedoms to make their medical decisions with their doctors. No world-governing body should get in the way of that and interfere with people's privacy, medical and personal freedoms. As a teacher and concerned citizen of the U.S.A., I strongly oppose this global pandemic treaty/response. Thank you for your consideration in this important matter.
none	I don't agree with this treaty which will give an unelected international organisation control over sovereign countries, their governments and their people in how they act and respond to, to prevent, prepare and respond to a pandemic. these matters need to be decided upon by each country separately in accordance with their individual circumstances. Every country is different and there cannot be a one system fits all kind of response which is dictated by an unelected international body.
Pegamur	I dont agree with WHO!
Private	I dont approve of the treaty. Stop the treaty.
N/A	I don't believe a "one size fits all" pandemic response is an appropriate mechanism for solving any future pandemic. People all over the world have very different bodies, diseases and health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own country and locality. It is vitally important to give the ability - of nations and local municipalities - to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It's also important that pharmaceutical companies (and those under their influence) are not allowed input into this process. An open and transparent process is necessary - with the ability for all people of the world to vote on preventing the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe that doctors who are in the trenches successfully treating patients should be allowed to use their best judgement to treat patients without interference from government authorities.

Organization	Written submission
HHA	I don't believe a global agreement is necessary at all: every country is different, every country know its best. For a legal point of view, I also don't believe a private organization, as WHO, can overrule every National Constitution, being this last one the supreme law of every country. I also don't think a 'secret agreement' can be signed, leaving local population unaware on what the issues/requests/goals are, instead I believe that before every signature done by every minister, who is serving the local people, residents and citizens should be aware on what's going on and what's this minister is going to sign. People is always sovereign, we only forgot about this. In a democratic society, shouldn't be allowed that only the minister of health by himself can decide everything by himself, also secretly, going in a room and sign whatever he wants or he thinks is best. This can be done for him only, individually, for his family maybe, but not as a minister in a country. We do not consent.
Paragraph32	I don't believe a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is necessary in the first place. The way human rights were treated in the last "pandemic" shows that organisations as the WHO don't need even more power. A clear NO from me.
General Public	I don't believe an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is appropriate. Therefore there are no substantive elements to include in such an instrument. Every country should be responsible for how they will respond to any future disasters, whether it be pandemics, terrorist attacks, wars, famines, etc. We are sovereign entities capable of responding to our own problems when the need arises. If and when we need help form others, we have the ability to reach out to other nations. One size-fits-all solutions are not appropriate or well received. We must respond quickly and not wait around for someone to dictate how we should respond.
Individual	I don't believe any elements should be included in an international treaty for pandemic preparedness or response. The United States is a sovereign nation. I believe the United States should make decisions based upon the interests of our country and not be subject to a global treaty. The US and other countries face different circumstances and should be able to make decisions that are best for their country.
Semira Vuklić	I don't believe anything because you are an organization that doesn't work for people's health, on the contrary, so as far as I'm concerned, you are free to shut down, and that's another 7.5 billion !!!
GreenMedInfo	I don't believe that an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is needed. That would be a huge overreach of power by the WHO. WHO should be an advisory organization not an authoritarian organization. Each country has their own needs and priorities. I want decisions about my health needs to remain within my own control. The WHO should not be treating human beings as though they are not capable of making their own decisions. That is insulting. If anything, organizations and governments should provide the facts and all the science and educate the public in all countries about their options for response to future pandemics.To assume there is only one way to respond is huge overreach. Science is changing all the time. The vaccine program is a huge failure. They are neither safe or effective.
Private citizen	I don't believe that the treaty should exist. The idea of a global response to a disease or illness of any sort is not rational. The spread, symptoms and consequences of a disease are affected by local conditions, customs, culture, genetics and other factors. A single response is not sensible. The intention of this treaty would appear to be an attempt to push inadequately tested vaccines onto the global population on behalf of pharmaceutical companies and globalist interests for perverse reasons. In the case of the Covid 19 pandemic this has been proved to be pretty disastrous for the population of the world. There have been multiple studies showing that the outcomes of vaccination with the mRNA shots are far worse than any benefits they provide and, no one knows what the long term outcomes will be. The whole rollout was irresponsible and ill considered. The WHO has not shown itself to be a trustworthy organisation in regards to the pandemic. The messaging has been mixed and unreliable. The policies promoted by the WHO have resulted in much societal damage in terms of physical health, mental health and economic health. The only beneficiaries of the treaty would be big pharma and those who wield the global power the treaty would provide. The fact that the question you ask assumes the outcome is in itself very troubling!
General Public	I don't believe the WHO should be given carte blanche to decide how a country should respond to any outbreak of disease. Any treaty of this kind gives the WHO unjustified power to control the citizens of countries. In the current Covid19 pandemic status, the masks and vaccines mandated by the WHO have not proven to stop or reduce the spread of the virus in any substantial manner. I don't believe the WHO should be allowed to control the global response to any disease, but should act in an advisory capacity only, without the power to declare or impose treatments.
self	I don't believe there even should be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. NOTHING should override the guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. All missing in the last two years.
Have no organization	I don't believe there is any reason for the WHO to be involved in any countries Health Policies and vaccination mandates. People need to always have the right to decide what sort of medication and medical procedure they want to have, the individual is paramount. I have always held the WHO is high regard but I definitely think that this now a total overreach. The WHO can maybe suggest best practice but I believe that is as far as it should ever go.and the government and especially the people of the country must determine for themselves what is best. One size will never fit all and this is definitely the case when it comes to peoples health decision. There is already so many conspiracy theories about the WHO and this would only add to it. I believe the WHO should scrap this idea and show good faith to the people of the world that they trust most people will make good decisions with evidence based medicine. I actually think this could end up being a great problem for the WHO with governments changing all over the world the last thing the WHO would want is countries pulling out of funding the WHO because politicians thinking that this could be a winner for them in a election if a majority of people find that this is an intrusion on their civil liberties. I have spoken to a great number of people about this and they are concerned and troubled about this development and said they would never vote for a government that is in support of this. Kind regards, Concerned citizen.
Private Citizen	I don't believe there needs to be a "new" international policy or one at all. Every country on this earth is as unique as the people in them. No matter the crisis, a one size fits all approach will never solve said problem. But if there must be such an agreement set up, there must be allowances made for individual countries, states, municipalities to institute policies tailored to the needs and desires of the citizenry. Sovereignty of nations should never be superceded by WHO recommendations, and corporate influence must be prohibited. Thank you for your time, Wendy Logan
Optus	I don't believe there needs to be international instruments in a pandemic response. This is becoming a greater threat to people's privacy, freedom and livelihoods. Large scale global protests have shown your measures are not welcome. People must be given their freedom and ability to choose back. The way this has been handled by a vast majority of world leaders and "experts" has been absolutely appalling
None	I don't believe there should be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, due to privacy concerns, concerns about the erosion of sovereignty, the creep of globalism and due to the clear inability of scientists to agree on the best way to deal with COVID 19.
citizen	I don't believe there should be an "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response." That would elude to pandemics being commonplace... they are not. The WHO is a non-governmental institution and should stay that way. Any type of international protocol that is "legally binding" raises red flags. Let people choose how to treat themselves with the tools available. The WHO can recommend treatments and protocols, but ultimately it should remain the choice of the people.
N/A	I don't believe there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Each country/municipality needs to make its own decisions regarding any future pandemics. National and local leadership are best equipped and informed to support their citizens. Nations and local municipalities should be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Retaining a democratic approach wherein all people of the world are able to weigh in on all measures, including those that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, would be beneficial. The creation of an international instrument should be done with an open and transparent process. It is of utmost importance that measure be enacted that preclude the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I don't believe there SHOULD be an international instrument to deal with any future pandemic. Countries, much to your chagrin, are still separate entities for a reason. So they may deal with problems, including pandemics, individually.
General Public	I don't believe there should be any "substantive elements in an International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" because I don't think there should be such an instrument.. That would remove health decision-making on the national level, and therefore on the local level, and on the personal level, where it should remain.
Private person	I don't believe there should be one policy for all countries. And definitely not one determined by pharmaceutical companies, who can hardly be called fair and unbiased. I think we all need good information which makes sense, and each country needs to retain their own sovereignty to determine their best practices in their own situation. We need transparency and honesty about any pandemics, and unbiased information. Including information about the origin of any viruses, and long term research about the efficacy and safety of any vaccines and treatments. Thank you.
Person who cares	I don't believe this is necessary....
general public	I don't believe this is within the remit of WHO. So much damage has been done to citizens and countries because of the interference and instructions of WHO, in league with the WEF in the last three years and earlier. Pandemic preparedness is the responsibility of individual countries and their unique circumstances. One size does NOT fit all. Our governments have made enough mess of dealing with Sars-coV-2 on their own, already appearing to follow a script with the result that we now have a disastrous economic cost of lockdown crisis, while countries that ignored the script are in a much better position. I believe the WHO has damaged world health and should not be allowed to have the ability to command the whole world to follow their dubious practices and their association with discredited public health officials and career politicians in league with big pharmaceutical companies. The last few years have destroyed my trust in any of these or any of your organisations.
Concerned Citizen	I don't believe we need a world pandemic treaty, handing this power to one organisation without dialogue and open debate is a recipe for disaster. The current vaccines that have been rolled out worldwide were rushed through and have been a disaster in the harm that has been caused. Human, national and sovereign rights should be retained and informed consent needs to be respected. There is no one health policy that fits all. No one can seriously ignore the harm that has been caused already with the way the vaccines rolled out have harmed society. There needs to more distributed accountability not an overarching treaty
Private Citizen of the World	I don't believe we need an pandemic treaty. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Any treaty should have the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. As well it should follow an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures taken should ensure that they do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	I don't believe we should have any international body handling a pandemic or making global decisions on local organizations. Local and national leaders should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and communities. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence needs to be upheld. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places were a majority of the people do not want it. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. An international instrument is not the best answer.
none	I don't desire to have any agency determine any treatments for any health issue except my local doctor
None	I don't feel it is the best interests of any country to have the WHO make health decisions, including during emergency, for everyone. Health decisions should be controlled by local agencies since local authorities have a better idea what is required in their regions.
n/a	I don't feel that there should be any one organization that globally oversees a response to anything health related. There is no one-size-fits-all solution available for any health issue. No two human beings are the same and all will require separate concerns concerning their own bodily autonomy. Similarly, there are many distinct regions globally, and like individuals, all of these regions are different. Simply put, you also cannot apply the same measures to multiple reasons "just because." We've learned too recently that putting too much power in the hands of politicians, even those with good intentions can have devastating consequences. Without the ability to argue and debate over appropriate measures, and in some cases, refuse to go along with "settled science" we will not learn or advance our understanding for future issues. Please do not create a simple system that encompasses a single global response to pandemics. The world is too varied for this and will create more harm than good.
n/a	I don't feel the concept of " a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is appropriate. It undermines the sovereinity of individuals and individual cultures and countries.
My Family	I don't feel this agreement is in the best interest of sovereign countries at all. Should it move forward, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	I don't like any broad organisation make decision for my health by providing rules for my government to follow. I had 2 people died of remdesivir during covid pandemic.
Private	I dont Need a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness.
self	I don't need an organization like WHO, that I did not elect, to dictate what my government locally dose in regards to public health. As the covid19, 2 year saga proved, WHO was not able to help with anything. One size does not fit all. I don't need you locally. If you want to spend your resource effectively start working on improving health of people but improving the quality of foods worldwide.
-	I don't recognize WHO's authority over people, on supposed pandemics or whatever. I don't want any preparedness system. No fear-mongering. No capitalistic fear exploitation. Go back home and grow your own food. Leave us alone. Your suggestive question contains presuppositional framing that implies this instrument is a foregone conclusion. I reject that premise. Here are my demands, however, in a world where this tool exists: 1) Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™). 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with toxic products. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance. 11) Require and enforce informed consent for recommended interventions. 12) Ensure an open, transparent decision-making process. 13) Respect people's rights to take their own individual decisions regarding their own health and life.
My own	I don't remember electing you and I don't agree with your policies.
Sovereignty	I don't support a worldwide response as I believe 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am private person	I don't support an instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: But when it will be implemented (which I hope will not happen) 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards, Tanneke van Lindert
NONE	I DON'T SUPPORT ANY OF THIS. SOME INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION IS GOING TO DECLARE EMERGENCIES AND DICTATE AND DEMAND WHATEVER COURSES OF ACTION THEY DEEM NECESSARY TO SOVEREIGN NATIONS. ABSOLUTELY NOT.
Privat	I don't support the idea.
Self employed	I don't support the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I think that, as till now, every country must have the rights to deside on its own what the best way of fight against COVID- 19 is.
US Citizen	I don't support the WHO having control of the worldwide response to future pandemics for several reasons. The WHO is not an elected body and should not have final say as to what citizens in my country do to respond to future pandemics. I believe in representative government and the sovereignty of nations. I would say that the WHO should at most have input that countries consider when the make their own decisions on how to best care for themselves but in my estimation the WHO did a poor job of advising and coordinating during the SARS COV-2 pandemic. Approaches that were not supported by previous science were advocated by the WHO. The WHO supported wearing face masks, PCR tests with excessively high cycle thresholds and lockdowns as well as the six feet distancing rule. None of this is supported by our best science and historical precedent. Given the above I do not support the WHO having any significant role to play in future pandemics.
individual	I don't think a WHO international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is good for America. Having an international organization making decisions about so called pandemics is not only dangerous for Americans but also unethical. I do not support a WHO sponsored "international preparedness pandemic plan". WHO does not have jurisdiction over my autonomy.
n/a	I don't think anything should be included because I don't think the US should enter into such a treaty. When a real pandemic occurs, we can set our own policies and take care of our own.
N/A	I don't think one organisation should be in charge of billions of people's health and future. This is a massive power grab to implement authoritarianism. I reject it, millions of others reject it. Forced medical treatment (via vaccines) is against our human rights and the WHO does not dictate what gets injected into my body. The covid vaccine was a failure with many side effects and no long term data. We will not be experimented on!
Helen Lynam Nutrition Ltd	I don't think that anything should be included as I really don't think that there should be a "an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". The is unnecessary and a breach of democracy for most countries in the world. The WHO is an unelected body, and whilst their information is interesting, it should not be used to dictate to the world what they should do. Each country has its own individual needs and capabilities, and these should be respected. Indeed, within each country, there are local specificities that need to be taken into account that the WHO could not possibly do. Nations, local councils should be open to information from WHO or any other international advisory body, but not bound to them. If such an international instrument is to exist, then the ability to opt out of all or any portion of the agreement is what I would want to see. Also, should such an interantional instrument be put in place, I would also want to see it be completely 100% transparent in all its work, I would want to see open debate where qualified people with all opinions can contribute, and all options are openly discussed in public. Finally, there should be no profiteering by any pharmaceutical, testing, PPE, or data companies - again there needs to be full transparency on all agreements.
Individual	I don't think the pandemic preparedness should be international. This is something that should be put forth by each nation. By making health decisions international, the medical autonomy of an individual is stripped. A government should not be making decisions regarding my health, so an international body has no place in making a blanket decision. It is in the best interest of every American to not have a treaty that diminishes any power from the US. Any treaty that removes power from the US is dangerous to the health and welfare of it's citizens. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." It's time to stand behind that statement and leave healthcare decisions up to the individual.
Citizen of the U.S.	I don't think there should be any new international agreement, etc., without the approval of every nations' citizens approval. However, since you are going ahead anyway. I would like these elements added: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
J. Quest Ventures	I Don't think there should ever again be a universally adopted response, each country should have the ability to respond as they see fit. - Power needs to remain with each country, removing power is dangerous to the health of ALL citizens -Health decisions need to be made on a local level, including what constitutes an emergency. WHO should never have power over an individuals health decision. The US should NOT agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty!!! Rob
Paul T. Abrinko, MD, APC	I don't think this is a good idea at all. Future pandemics should be managed by well-informed physicians at the local level. WHO is too beholden to parties with financial conflicts of interest. In addition, the following criteria should be met: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	I don't think we need a global pandemic treaty. The WHO is unelected and cannot impose expérimental medical products, vaccines or otherwise, on sovereign nations. Human rights must guarantee bodily autonomy.
personal	I don't think we should have a one size fits all approach to health care globally. I think every country, county and region are all unique in their needs and problems and it wouldn't benefit to stamp the same stamp on the whole world when it comes to health care. Every country has their own issues and I don't think any element should be the same for each one due to many factors that would decide what is best for each country. Again, you can't paint the same brush to each country in the world. I disagree with this treaty.
I am retired and was an analyst for SSP, US Navy.	I don't think WHO should be in charge of pandemic preparedness or protocols at all. Nations should be permitted to deal with pandemics in their own ways and should rely on doctors and scientists for information and treatment protocols. The Covid pandemic has been politicized, and the contributions of conscientious doctors who wanted to treat Covid patients early have been mischaracterized and suppressed, with many good doctors fired from hospitals, delicensed, or otherwise persecuted. Sweden dealt successfully with the Covid pandemic. To a large extent, so did the US State of Florida. Covid infections were decreasing BEFORE the introduction of the Covid vaccines. The vaccines, which are not vaccines at all since they don't prevent infection or transmission, have needlessly killed or injured a large number of people, including children, for whom they are now authorized. And this is so even though healthy children and other young people have a Covid survival rate of more than 99% but have had myocarditis and heart attacks from the Covid vaccines. Has WHO done anything about this? If so, I certainly haven't heard of it. I don't want WHO in charge of my health or the health of anyone I care about.
Citizens for Freedom	I don't think WHO should be making big decisions for citizens of countries.
	I don't think you have to do anything else, it is enough what you have been doing so far. I'm tottaly against any kind of repression of the rights and liberties
Human being	I don't think your organization cares about people and be more honest. You held no one responsible if we are injured by government or country. You allowed dangerous treatment and banned known and natural remedies. Please leave us alone until you are no longer controlled by dangerous to humans elements.
no Organization	I dont trust the WHO I dont want the WHO to concentrate powership on covid strategie worldwide
-	I don't want a pandemic treaty with the WHO - my country should decide for itself!
Family	I don't want and I don't need the WHO to tell me what I should do in health matters. I can take care of myself very good. Stay out of my life. My body, my choice.
It data	I don't want any central organisation what so ever..nurburg code
no organisation, private	I don't want any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response! There is no need for this! Please stop this initiative!
no organization, private	I don't want any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response! There is no need for this! Please stop this initiative!
no organization, private!	I don't want any international instruments on pandemic preparedness and response! There is no need for this! Please stop this initiative!
Botti di Shcoggiu	I don't want any treaty which can suspend our constitutions and our rights. I don't want any ID or passport. We have to take care of people without robbing their freedom.
-	I don't want Australia in your treaty. I don't want WHO in Australia, in any way, shape or form.
Business	I don't want my country to sign the treaty with WHO regarding future pandemics because every nation should have its own sovereignty to govern its own health care policies.
Live	I dont want the the "pandemic preparedness and response" to come to live. It is an overreach in my lives. No forced Mandates any more.
CHD	I don't want the WHO having any more power than they already have. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers." Thank you, Marjorie Morgenstern
citizen	I don't want the WHO to decide on public health policies before the directives set by the elected government of my country
Non	I dont want the WHO to tell the world, what they must do. We want our soevereiniteit
privat	I don't want this at all. WHO has no right to mandate our lives. There is no democratic legitimation! SIMPLY NO!
WHO	I don't want this treaty at all. The world is not in control of every country, however, if it must be done: "Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of 'emergencies.' 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency."
Oxbowr Realty	I dont want to have my health subject to WHO (WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION) I dont support the proposal that WHO will supercede sovereign nations in case of a pandemic. The soveiregn nations have means and know how to resolve health issues. I dont support a beraucratic tyranny that WHO is trying to pull. Sincerely
None	I don't want to loose autonomie and self care. I want to choose for myself which treatment is good for me in case of illness
Grüne andersrum	I don't want to stress my body by needle. This is like a rape. Sorry I dont want it!
Health and fitness	I don't wish for any organized body to decide over my choice of treatment for my health.
none	I don't wish to cede control of my health to an unelected centrally controlled world organisation whose past record is very questionable in terms of policy and advice. The larger, more centralised and remote any organisation is a recipe for unaccountability and corruption. The WHO is funded and directed by nefarious individuals and bodies with agendas that are unquestionably not designed to benefit the human race. This is another step in the global elites' push for the erosion of nation state democracies and individual freedoms.
MFG	I don't wont this
Private	I donyt agree. Who should not decide about what countries should do to protect their citizens. Its individual case of every country
Organisation	I doubt it makes any difference what people write here, but personally, i do not want a global centralisation of health care. Each country should be looking after itself, decentralised, even per region if that makes the most sense. It would also be good if no viruses were artificially developed and/or let loose on the population. I also wish to see people all over working in honour, doing no harm. Allowing access to whatever treatment works. I would like to see the exclusion of politics when it comes to the health of the world and planet. Thank you.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	Here is my request: 1) Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie:
solar works	I encourage the comitee to help to maintain our individual rights as citizens of the world and to keep WHO's functions health oriented, human centered and not politically motivated. To avoid collusion between public / private entities, transparency shall be primate. The freedom of choice and civil rights should be an essential part of health policy. Thank you!
Individual	I endorse the following points: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Civil Person	I expect guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual! I expect respect and guarantees for the human rights! I expect the legal liability of government officals and Pharmaceutical companies! Ich erwarte Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
keine Ahnung	I expect guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and/or legal liability/prosecution of government officials/politicians and/or pharmaceutical companies...!!! Just like police officers who oppose the peace-loving people who fight for peace, freedom and self-determination & become violent towards them... Human dignity is and remains inviolable, only I can and will decide about my body (or that of my child)!! I will not become a guinea pig for your gene therapy... We are all free people, gifts from God & in no way your toys or anything else... I appeal to your conscience if you still own one. best regards
The human race.	I expect sovereign nations to have their own personal response to future pandemics. As culture, religion, individual nations thoughts are very important for their independence. Not everyone has the same tolerance to medication so one size does not fit all. I feel this is more about controlling the people not protecting them. So no, I do not agree with the WHO deciding what is best for all nations.
Me myself and I	I explicitly declare that im against any supranational form of regulation on public health. Even more so, if the ruling entity is a private, not democratically legitimated one. This is against any constitution of the EU member states. Further it infringes the right to physical integrity and the protection of human dignity.
Bentley Systems	I express a deep concern with the WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. As a scientist, I totally disagree with the way how Covid 19 has been treated so far, and this initiative is taking us in yet another wrong direction.
n/a	I expressly reject the treaty. The rights of individual citizens would be inadmissibly restricted. Effective legal protection of individual citizens is not guaranteed. The concentration of power at the international level violates the principle of subsidiarity. The possibility of deciding on a medical emergency opens the door to abuse of power and, in the longer term, inevitably to totalitarianism.
Private	I feel after the WHO's complete mishandling of the CV19 Pandemic - I don't believe the WHO should be implementing a pandemic preparedness and response, GLOBAL PANDEMIC strategy treaty. This will be very, very bad news for autonomy. Each government should be in charge of their own health systems, and abide by our own Constitutions. I am definitely against the WHO taking over the strategy treaty, I think is is a very communistic way of running, it will rob citizens of their freedoms and fully crushing the democratic republics of the world. It is also taking away individual rights, political liberty and national sovereignty.
None	I feel all individuals have and should have the right to make decisions for their bodies. I feel as an individual I know my body best. God created us all differently. No organization should have total control over the peoples decisions for their bodies, or rights
N/A	I feel all the below should be included : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
concerned citizen	I feel an international agreement on pandemic preparedness should include the coordination of the temporary shut down of international air and sea travel. Also, there should be agreed upon medical definitions and terms, crafted not by politicians or organizations, but by independent scientists and doctors with no affiliation to governments, pharmaceutical companies or other interested parties. These independent medical experts should be called upon in an emergency to debate the science publicly and offer expert opinions as to how to proceed, then each country should decide their own best course. And most importantly, any international agreement must continue to respect the constitutions of, and bills of rights & freedoms of, the participating countries. An international agreement CANNOT usurp the power of God-given bodily autonomy and choices regarding health. It cannot be beholden to the pharmaceutical industry or other interests in the quest for a one- size- fits- all militant response to these very nuanced problems. That would be tyranny. Respectfully, LA
None	I feel any pandemic response should retain national and local autonomy reserving the right to make decisions governing their own people. This would include the ability to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit. This must be an open and transparent process with the guarantee for all citizens to vote on providing failsafe measures to be implemented if the majority of people do not want global governance. This transparency must extend to all global pharmaceutical businesses and other health profiteers.
None.	I feel each and every nation should do what it feels is the best response for its peoples. I feel we still have a ton to learn and look at what has worked for the " covid 19 " response and what hasn't worked. Before we start making a one size fits all response. We need to look at things a lot closer before this is even a thought to table. Thank you for your time.
small business	I feel each country should be responsible for their own response to manage a pandemic as each community has unique characteristics which impact the outcome and a blanket response often misses the mark. The Who should remain in an advisory capacity and provide accurate information to allow for an informed decision to be made.
private citizen	I feel health decisions should be made locally not by world organisations who are not aware of the situation in the area. Local governments are perfectly able to manage their own health systems. There is no need for an international input that will infrige on our own sovereignty. kindly Marcelle Lefebvre
Private	I feel highly concerned about this. Every individual should maintain their right to health care choice. No mandatory vaccines ever. No losing jobs over vaccine. Alternative measures such as antiviral medications, and healthy lifestyle.
None	I feel it is extremely important to our democracies and independence as nations that the WHO emphatically emphasizes that any ideas or guidance set forth include the undeniable right for anyone/everyone to refuse set ideas and/or guidance as they see fit. Autonomy in health is of the upmost importance. There is no one individual or group that should control another's health, except for that of a parent over a minor child. The WHO is clearly overstepping any authority they presume to have. They are not elected by the people of the world. The WHO is clearly working in the interests of pharma, and large corporations...not the people of the world. We, the people of the world, demand our rights to individual choice over our health be upheld and respected.
I represent no affiliate or organization beyond myself as a citizen of the Unite	I feel it is imperative that the WHO strive to maintain the rights and integrity of individual citizens and countries when drafting amendments to the international instrument in pandemic preparedness and response. I believe the following measures should be included in these amendements: Nations and local health administration organizations need to retain the right to opt out of any portions of the WHO international instrument they deem inappropriate for their local circumstances, without reprisal, and retain full autonomy over their citizenry, as they are best positioned to discern the economic and health impacts of such policies on their respective communities and countries. There must be a means provided, for each individual for whom these policies will effect, to vote on such measures, to prevent global application of policies for which a majority of people disagree with, or deem inappropriate or non-beneficial with respect to their regional or local circumstances. Finally, measures must not allow any influence by parties, commercial or private, who may seek to gain financial benefit or public influence, from such instituted policies or measures, including pharmaceutical companies, or other institutions that may benefit form global health policies.
Canadian CoVid Care Alliance	I feel it is imperative to include the following points… 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on scientific evidence to determine what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations, states, provinces and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be put in place which do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health companies or corporate interests.
Living being	I feel it is imperative to uphold individual inalienable rights to bodily autonomy and choice thereof. Greater access to nutritional information in relation to health and personal responsibility thereof. Respect and compassion should be of utmost importance in keeping human connection and communication and it's relevance and correlation to wellbeing as opposed to creating unnecessary fear, dislocation and separation, leading to a much poorer state of wellbeing/ health. There should be greater decentralisation of power to respond in order to gather best practices and innovative treatments/ protocols to help build a broader and more robust response. Three should be greater freedom of informational exchange that isn't beholden to vested financial interests.
member of the public	I feel it is important to ensure that national and local leadership retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and what their people want. Any nation, town, city or municipality needs to be given the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit so that they are not only meeting the needs of the people but ensuring that they are upholding what the people want without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process creating the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures need to be put in place that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public/ people	I feel it is not the W.H.O.s right to be able to strip individual nations rights to determine their own health policies in the event of a pandemic. I do feel it is the W.H.O.s duty to the world and its people, to gather and distribute information of said pandemic, suggest plan of action needed, also, help to guide the countries of the world to the best actions & steps needed for that country. Key word, SUGGEST. But in NO way, WHO can overstep those actions, leaving each country to their own conclusions to what is best for said country & its people. PERIOD!
Private	I feel it is of utmost importance to allow National and local leaderships to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must not be a one rule for all. Nations and local municipalities need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guidelines from the WHO not dictatorship.
Self-American citizen	I feel it is presumptuous to ask what should be included, without asking if the treaty itself is acceptable. Every nation's needs are going to be different so I feel that if anything counters a nation's laws or needs, they should be able to opt out. The only thing that I can see that would be useful would be sharing scientific and medical information. And with that, no pharmaceutical companies and a variety of scientific opinions. ALL countries need to be honest and transparent about outbreaks and causes, or nothing will work. I feel that the more localized treatment decisions are, the better. There logically can't be a "one size fits all" solution or direction.
Individual	I feel it is very important that any instrument be, at the very most, advisory in nature. The nations of the world are a mixed and varied collection of cultures and and ways of thinking and being. As such I feel it is impossible to create a one-size-fits-all solution to any future pandemic. As such it should be left to the individual countries themselves to make the final decision on whether to follow the guidance of such an instrument. There also needs to be safeguards in place to protect against any undue influence from parties who may have a financial interest in any recommended action - for example any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I feel it is very important that individual countries and leaders have the right to opt out of any decisions they disagree with. Total transparency must be used at all times.
private US Citizen	I feel it very important to include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Community services	I feel it's important that national and local leaders hold full autonomy; making decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be the ability of nations/areas to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Importantly, there should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	I Feel its unfair to go ahead with this internatinal treaty without public imput. Sincerely Lisa Galati
Coldwell Banker Island Properties Maui REALTOR	I feel national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process should remain with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And super important, measures must be instituted that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Very Sincerely, JoAnne Evangelista US Citizen
General public	I feel national and local leadership should retain full autonomy as they are in the best position to know what is best for their people. I feel that nations and municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as the terms of the agreement may not suit their individual circumstances. I consider that there is a definite requirement for people to be able to vote on any measures proposed. There should be no place for pharmaceutical companies to influence the proposals. There must be strict adherence to the ideals of transparency and integrity.
Private	I feel pandemic preparedness should be a matter for individual sovereign states and should not be planned globally. Individuals should be allowed informed consent regarding and medical interventions.
Suzanne Joy Teune	I feel strongly that each person should have the full ability to decide for themself basic fundamental things such as what they put inside their own body. I also long for open conversation and to be able to hear all sides without people being vilified. My heart is heavy from all the psychological abuse and manipulation around this vaccine issue and the protocols and mandates. I want a free world that is like a healthy relationship where all parties are acknowledged, honored and respected. Please consider the wide a beautiful variety of all human beings, their bodies, their perspectives and their experiences. If I've realized anything from all that is going on around this pandemic it is VARIETY. Standard procedures do not honor variety and become a prison for the vast majority of people. I've copied the following from someone else, but I agree with it except that I believe that even if a minority of people do not want something, they have the responsibility to do what feels most deeply right and true for them. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States of America	I feel that a one-size fits all for Public Health issues is a mistake. This is a topic that is best handled at a local level, with guidance from various health organizations and researchers. Some factors that can impact health related decisions include population age, population density and over all health.
829045 Ontario Limited	I feel that a pandemic treaty is not only unnecessary but could potentially result in the destruction of mankind on earth. Any pandemic would effect different areas and countries differently and therefore should be handled regionally. A one size only platform could result in a mandatory vaccination and should the vaccination have unforseen effects resulting in death, it could result in the destruction of mankind as we know it. I believe that a recommended plan of action with regional decision making is the way to go and therefore oppose any form of WHO health treaty.
Independent	I feel that a response to a pandemic requires freedom of choice for all people. Restrictive measures are unbelievably damaging, as we have witnessed during Covid. Freedom to adjust lifestyles using education and common sense as guidance is key. Absolutely in no way do I support vaccine documents - respect of medical privacy is more important, and even more important than that is not allowing society to be divided by these sorts of measures.
Human	I feel that above all else the 'Universal Declaration of Rights' should be the guiding principle in all that is considered by the WHO, and all World Governments. In Canada our 'Charter of Rights and Freedoms' should be adhered to in all decisions made. These past two years; especially in Canada, and around the world has shown that all levels of Government including the WHO have demonstrated an extreme over reach on people's rights and freedoms, with many Natural Laws being ignored or taken away. This is unacceptable. I DO NOT agree with granting this carteblanche approach to any one. #StoptheTreaty
Private citizen	I feel that an 'international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' is NOT required. WHO should not presume that one response fits all countries. Each country should prepare its own response for any future pandemics, that's what governments are for, to look after their citizens, not rely on a central organisation. UK had a pandemic response prepared but abandoned it for what WHO advised. WHO appears to be funded by a few, some with no medical qualifications, who seem to have too much influence.
Private Citizen	I feel that any Global Accord through the WHO should NEVER supersede the authority of any signatory nation's constitution & their citizens' individual human rights.
UK citizen	I feel that any response to any future pandemic must be government led. The ability to act will be swifter and more focused. Global support would always be important but a Countries response is always the government's responsibility.
Reg nurse	I feel that as a democratic country New Zealand and other democricies should have open dissussion before embarking on a one size fits all proposal. Its vitely important that we have open dissussions on this topic before we just let anyone else on the world stage decide our future for us and impose on our right to sovereirnly rule our country with any outside mandates. Each country should and can decide for themselves what they should do.Therefore I propose that the soverion rights of each country must be included in this debate.And as such I would propose that any country should have the choice to accept or regect whatever rights are substansive according to their soverienty as a nation.
Member of the public	I feel that both national and local leadership should retain the right to make decisions based on what is right for their people. Managing a pandemic or similar crisis needs to be viewed on a regional level and not internationally - what is right for California is unlikely to be the right for the favelas of Brazil or the deserts of the Middle East. Any global agreements should maintain an ability for a country or region to opt in and out as appropriate without any penalty. All decisions made should be unbiased without influence from anyone or company who has the potential to profit from the decisions made.
Canadian Citizen	I feel that each and every Country (and within that Country, each province/territory or state) should have, and maintain, autonomous and sovereign control over the pandemic measures it implements, with sound and credible advice from a wide range of medical authorities. Complete transparency with respect to the origin, evolution and scientific components of any future pandemic is essential. Complete transparency with respect to individual agendas is essential. The WHO should have an information sharing and scientific exposure capacity. Nothing more.
Public Member	I feel that each nation have to involve their citizens and discuss their own destiny in connection with health issues . Each country is divirent and I think that it is necessary to be independant of the rules and regulations of the WHO I oppose the new regulations that are in the pipeline. .
None	I feel that health decisions should be made by each country based on their circumstances in any kind of health crisis. I think the WHO should act in a non-compulsory, advisory manner only. Thank you.
Mother, wife, auntie, sister, cousin, friend, acquittance, Human being with sov	I feel that it is unnecessary for WHO to take control of any future pandemics and threatens the sovereignty and inalienable rights of every Man, Woman & Child. One size does not fit all, every country, every person should be answerable to themselves, we have had illnesses for centuries and we made our own health decisions! Sick, stay home, Sick, stay away from Granny, Sick, go to bed and call the Doctor (remember those days)? I think it is unfair to expect one to comply with an organisation who has not been voted for, we don't know a lot about and is funded by whom?? I think we as HUMAN BEINGS do a great job without any interference from Government & world leaders, in looking after ourselves and our Children & Elderly, why do we need a central un-voted for organisation to tell us what we already know?? There are other ways to make this World a better place!
FMC	I feel that it is very important that countries continue to make decisions for what is best for their country. They need to have the ability to implement effective treatments that the researchers and drs in their institutions have found that work without preventing any certain remedies. I also believe that lockdowns have serious detrimental effects on mental health and general health and the risk to reward ratio is not there at all. All countries seen excess mortality caused by lockdowns. And most importantly I feel that if a vaccine is implemented that all manufacturers must be held liable, this way the people of the world will know that the vaccine they are receiving truly is safe and effective. This pandemic has caused many to no longer trust governments, healthcare and vaccines.
Private Individual	I feel that it should be left wholly with each country and that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Liz Underwood
Retired educator	I feel that local and provincial governments should have a vote ,asking citizens whether they want to participate in such a program or why it is even necessary at this time. I believe in transparency, all my freedoms and natural immunity. In the past Many other viruses and the vaccines developed for them have handled previous outbreaks quite well. That includes Covid-19 which is being accepted as an ongoing issue. Individual Citizens shouldn't have their medical information displayed on any digital program run by the WHO or any organization.
N/A	I feel that local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Having an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is a must, along with explicit measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Uk citizen	I feel that lockdowns do more damage and than there was no need to shutdown down the world. Draconian non sensical policies caused hardship and poor health - particularly for the young and older generations. Individual solutions for individuals would have worked better and less harmful to the economy and mental health.
None	I feel that national and local leadership retain full autonomy for their peoples' health. A one size fits all approach is not appropriate. Whilst understanding the suggestions I feel nations and countries should be able to opt out of part of, or all, of the agreement if they see fit without any consequences whatsoever. This agreement should be open and transparent and everybody should have an option to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be put in place that absolutely prevents any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, from influencing any decisions
Self, General Pubic	I feel that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I feel it is imperative that there is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote. including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	I feel that National and local leadership should maintain full autonomy. Only local people can make decisions based on the unique needs of their own constituents. If such a treaty continues to be pursued: 1.There must be an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world do vote on this global treaty especially as there is a huge amount of funding of the World Health Organization by private and corporate interests such as pharmaceutical companies. 2. There must be the ability of nations and local jurisdictions to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement that is made without consequences/ sanctions. 3. There must be measures in place to prevent the application of a global agreement where the majority of people don't want it. Respectfully submitted, Susan Blake .
Individual	I feel that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local authorities should also be able to opt out of any and all portions as they see fit without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	I feel that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Strict measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the world	I feel that one huge organisation should not be in control of the people of the world's health. There's not been enough transparency in the current pandemic and I feel that each person and country should be in control of how they would like to manage any emergencies that may arise.
general public	I feel that public health should be taken care of by each country individually. Health needs to be governed regionally based on current situations by the local health authorities. Local authorities need to have full autonomy to manage decisions based on regional health situations that arise. These measures cannot be influenced by outside forces such as Pharmaceutical companies or other institutions/foundations, etc to profit. Everything regarding a persons health is also individual and personal health decisions should be respected at all costs.

Organization	Written submission
None/ USA CITIZEN	I feel that the following elements should be included. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I feel that the following points should be included in a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response": 1) Both national and local leadership should retain the full authority to make decisions based on what is best for their own people population; 2) Both nations and local governing bodies should be able to opt out of any portion or all of the agreement as they deem appropriate without any consequence; 3) the process needs to be open and transparent with the ability for the people of the world to vote against such agreement if they do not want it; 4)measures that do not allow any pharmaceutical companies or other individuals or groups who would profit from such treaty agreements to influence this process should be included.
Self	I feel that the following should be included in response to the above question: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Mr	I feel that the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please feel free to contact me should you wish to hear my views further on this. Many thanks, Mike
Myself	I feel that the important elements in an international pandemic preparedness and response is to allow each nation, parent and person to have the opportunity and FREEDOM to choose what is best for them. Each nation and person have different circumstances and this should be respected. Do not take our sovereignty, dignity and FREEDOM away. No organization has the right to invalidate the United States Constitution.
US Navy Retired	I feel that the national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It should include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, it should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	I feel that the one element to be included in such preparedness is that citizens not be mandated into taking vaccines etc that usually take ten years to produce safely. The latest results clearly show they do not stop infection and transmission and that individuals should decide for their own risk. Such rush into a reaction has proven throughout many countries that individuals have a right to their own choices and should not be forced against their will to take anything that remotely has not undergone substantial testing or even having data withheld from them. Pfizer initial request to withhold test data for 75 years does not give confidence in another body trying to look after citizens.
Individual	 I feel that this legislation is not what is needed at this time.. Application of a policy to the world is not prudent, safe, or in the best interest of a diverse world whose challenges are best managed locally and not globally. That said, I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies, health organizations including medical facilities and all other items related to managing care in a logical, excellence of health prioritized, manner determined specifically by the individuals who are involved in the care. It is not in the best interest of any country to be managed by a policy which assumes each area, made up of individuals of diverse background and needs, is the same. Governance should come from within the area and not from without.
Uk citizen	I feel that this plan would remove the right of each nation to set their own health regime.
Public	I feel that your organisation is an independent body and should stay that way. Your plans threaten every country's sovereignty and this should never happen.
Hodl	I feel the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individuals	I feel the following items should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	I feel the following should be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I feel the most important substantive element is the fundamental harmony between response and every unique individual, on an individual level. A from the ground/bottom up approach to see what makes sense for the immediate surroundings, so no broad sweeping 'one size fits all' regulations. A very thorough assessment of Qualy, transparency, open dialogue and respect for the rights of everyone.
General Public	I feel these necessary elements must be included in the pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Physicians must be allowed to exercise their best judgment for themselves as well as for their patients in seeking & obtaining ANY medical treatment in pursuit of their own health and well-being for themselves or their family members." 6. Measures should be included that exclude any and all pharmaceutical companies, intelligence agencies of any country or global organizations, other global health profiteers, military and/or security forces, and/or agents or assigns from having any influence over the terms of the instrument; with the express purpose of safeguarding and protecting individual rights.
Public interest	I feel this decision would totally interfere with the individual rights of the general public and their sovereignty! Most people are unaware of the system , they have no knowledge of what this legislation would do to their personal freedoms, and a one size fits all is not best for the collective health in general! This may be a conflict of interest and may not be for the common good of the many especially in developed countries . This whole process needs to be brought more to the understanding of the many, not a select few. Thank you.
Self	I feel very strongly about the following points: *** National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *** The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *** An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *** Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	I feel very strongly that all policies regarding the health of US citizens And citizens of other countries should be made by the people residing in the US and by those respective countries. To clarify, public health policies should NOT be made at a global level. In contrast, they should be made at a local country/state/province level. In the event of another pandemic, countries should be required to share information with other countries as they discover viruses, etc. that put the global population at risk and should be encouraged to work together to develop treatments. Sharing of information is crucial, but each should decide for themselves how they want to proceed. The WHO should work diligently to foster positive working Relationships among all members to encourage working together for the greater good during a pandemic-but ultimately, the decisions should lie with each country about how they proceed. Thank you
citizen	I feel very strongly that everyone should have bodily autonomy and health freedom. I have been a follower of Naturopathic and Homeopathic medicine for most of my 71 years. The emphasis should be on nutrition, early treatment, and a healthy lifestyle. Allow over-the-counter use of Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine. Natural immunity far surpasses the immunity from vaccines.
Individual	I feel very strongly that the individuals and families should be allowed to make their own decisions for health care. The "state" and world organizations should not be allowed to control personal health decisions. Individual countries and states should not allow their decisions to be made by some other entity.
Private individual	I feel very strongly that the WHO should NOT have autonomy on dictating to sovereign states what they must or must not do in relation to the health of their citizens. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of sovereign nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit at the time, without consequence. 3. A transparent process with the ability for all individual people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other governments, entities, powerful individuals or other global health profiteers. 5. Respect for an individual's health decisions to remain with the individual. WHO should be for guidance only, not mandatory.
Individual	I fervently believe that 1. National and local leadership of our country retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Private individual	I find a deal of assumptions in this question. 1. That there is a democratically elected international instrument. 2. That there undoubtedly will be further pandemics? Britain is a democratic country and is still holding elections. To act in lockstep with all the world as has been demonstrated recently did not achieve the goal of controlling a health crisis. Nations are diverse by nature of diet, demographics and affluence and should be treated during crises as sovereign countries and by it's elected leaders. Not an unelected body. To have a World organisation which ensures countries act in lockstep takes away bodily autonomy and sovereignty from the countries of the World. For democratically elected leaders to cede control to an unelected organisation is a dereliction of the powers vested in them at the time of their election.
USA concerned cotizen	I find it extremely unsettling that an agency that doesn't know me at all can create a situation that dictates how i handle my health. I want these decisions to be made by me as Ive learned whats best to keep me healthy. If a pandemic should actually occur I expect my country to act accordingly to what's occurring in my country. Thank you for reading
Flickinger GeoServices Grpup	I find it reprehensible, unconstitutional, and a step in the direction of authoritarianism, one giant step! I implore any right thinking person to do whatever they can to prevent the implementation of an international blanket juridiction over the live of Americans.
Citizen	I find it very important that all measures recommended by the WHO are not mandatory for the countries. The WHO should only be an adviser. National governments must have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An open and transparent scientific debate should always be part of the finding process of measures and it should not allow for influence by any company or profiteer. All measures should be based on the principle that human dignity is inviolable.
NA	I find that it would be impossible to impose a one size fits all policy on the world's population. This was tried during Covid 19 and in my humble opinion the results were disastrous. This approach will crush meaningful debate. Personally, I did not follow the "experts" regarding Covid and myself and my family had excellent results. This approach will also shatter the idea of informed consent. I see nothing positive that c an come from this approach. "Compliance" can be better achieved by presenting honest and transparent information. People have the right to have questions and to have those questions answered honestly and respectfully. If you wish for your organization to be trusted and relied upon, this is the only acceptable approach.
Beckman Consulting LLC	I find the proposal of a world-wide organization that will provide an umbrella solution to world health crises is highly susceptible to become a tool for total control. Therefore, I propose that the response include the following responsive elements: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
none	I find this points salient for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. . 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	I firmly assert that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Australia	I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the cornerstone of world health, suppression of 'safe and effective' treatments that already exist, the extreme censorship and the damage caused by lockdowns, masks, mandates etc. We must learn and improve, but preserve democracy.
Citizen	I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the one and only measure taken to combat the virus.
Child care	 I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the corner
None	 I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the corner
Australia	 I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the corner
allura hairdressing boutique	I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the cornerstone of world health, suppression of 'safe and effective' treatments that already exist, the extreme censorship and the damage caused by lockdowns, masks, mandates etc. We must learn and improve, but preserve democracy.
Sovereign human	I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the cornerstone of world health, suppression of 'safe and effective' treatments that already exist, the extreme censorship and the damage caused by lockdowns, masks, mandates etc. We must learn and improve, but preserve democracy.
allura	I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the cornerstone of world health, suppression of 'safe and effective' treatments that already exist, the extreme censorship and the damage caused by lockdowns, masks, mandates etc. We must learn and improve, but preserve democracy.
Citizen of NZ	I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to d
None	I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. It is the public who will bear the consequences of decisions made. When global organizations of unelected bureaucrats have the power to dictate to countries and their people, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the danger that such organizations can be corrupted by powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power. Who audits and polices such global organizations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organizations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the cornerstone of world health, suppression of 'safe and effective' treatments that already exist, the extreme censorship and the damage caused by lockdowns, mandates etc. We must learn and improve, but preserve democracy.
Just a human being fighting for basic human rights	I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the cornerstone of world health, suppression of 'safe and effective' treatments that already exist, the extreme censorship and the damage caused by lockdowns, masks, mandates etc. We must learn and improve, but preserve democracy. Joanne McPhee
	 I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the corner
Private	I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the cornerstone of world health, suppression of 'safe and effective' treatments that already exist, the extreme censorship and the damage caused by lockdowns, masks, mandates etc. We must learn and improve, but preserve democracy.

Organization	Written submission
	I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the cornerstone of world health. Inclusion of safe and effective treatments that already exist to be given as options for people to choose , eg. Ivermectin protocol to be taken at home. The removal of extreme censorship and the removal of mandating vaccines, lockdowns, masks, etc. We must learn and improve global health and preserve democracy.
Australia country	I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the cornerstone of world health, suppression of 'safe and effective' treatments that already exist, the extreme censorship and the damage caused by lockdowns, masks, mandates etc. We must learn and improve, but preserve democracy.
Independent	I firmly believe that each country and local organization of that country should be able to make its own independent laws, rules , regulations and decisions regarding any health needs. I request that each country be allowed to Opt out of the entire treaty or parts of the treaty without repercussions or legal or financial backlash. I request that no pharmaceutical interests or companies be part of making these decisions as they each have something to gain and cannot remove themselves from those decisions. Thank you.
	I firmly believe that health mandates that profoundly effect peoples' freedom, income and mobility should be made as locolaly as possible. All people and provinces have different needs and profiles. This last one size fits all process has been intrusive and harmful....physically, mentally and spiritually. Thus, I do not support even more decentralized mandates for anything that concerns human well being. Sincerely, Georgina Batchelor Retired Registered Psychologist
N/A	I firmly believe that individual nations should set their own terms for pandemic response and preparedness. Organisations that are not voted in by citizens, and that receive their funding from outside sources, that do not necessarily have the best interests of said citizens as a priority should have no say over how individual countries prepare for or react to a pandemic.
Family guy	I firmly believe that this proposal would not be in the best interests of individuals and the communities that they serve. Local communities need to have specific agreements that are free from any central organization to allow for the autonomy needed to thrive and prosper.
Self	I firmly believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	I firmly disapprove of a WHO treaty proposal that, if ratified, would supersede our US Constitution. However, if the Treaty is going to happen regardless, I would urge the WHO to add an out clause that would allow membernations to be able to opt out for any reason within 30 days written notice.
Private person	I firmly reject any global agreement that is signed without the fully informed consent of the American people - period!
(home)	I firmly reject the global Pandemic Treaty. It is directly counter to national sovereignty. I, as a citizen of the United States, of Missouri, and of my local community will not be able to participate in health care legislative initiatives. I will not be able to be represented. This is not the representative governmental process on which the United States is founded. I reject the global Pandemic Treaty. Thank you.
SA Transit	I formally request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government Officials, pharmaceutical companies/employees and police officers. I decline any and all potential contracts that impose international Human Rights Abuses, Digital Identification and forced medical procedures. I decline any commitment of contract for myself, my partner and children from this point in time and hereafter. I decline all contracts with the WHO, U.N and WEF. Leave us alone! WWG1WGA for NCSWIC.
General public, private citizen	I fully and strongly oppose the WHO "global pandemic agreement" for the following reasons : • National and local leadership must retain full autonomy regarding health issues, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, • All nations and local municipalities should retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the discussed agreement as they see fit, without consequence, • An open and transparent process must exist with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, self-appointed "philanthropic" organization, or other global health profiteers. I rather suggest the WHO to focus any international agreement on the following pressing issues: • How to prevent conflict of interests in the medical field and within health organizations, • How to prevent corruption of officials within health organizations, governments, medical schools, and scientific publishers, • How to prevent self-appointed "philanthropic" organizations and pharmaceutical companies to develop undue influence through lavish financing and revolving doors practices, over health organizations, medical schools, scientific publishers, the media, and governments, • How to secure citizen-based finances for the WHO and prevent any undue influence by any industrial complex, • How to prevent the development of biological weapons of mass destruction, • How to effectively ban gain of function research and prosecute trespassers. Best regards,
Broken Arrow Solutions	I fully believe it is my responsibility, as it is the responsibility of us all, to become stewards of our own health, our families, our communities, our economy, our governments (namely, on a regional, municipal, provincial, and national level) and follow and execute my government's current emergency response plans (which were effective, with the exception of the COVID Pandemic, when only healthcare systems were focused on). Therefore: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open, transparent, fair and reasonable process (e.g. media press releases, enough time for citizens and organizations to respond without restricting freedom of conveying the message in a respectful manner) with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time in reviewing my submission, Sincerely
None	I fully oppose any treaty that allows any external organisation to make rules or enforcements that are not agreed upon by the people of the individual nation. This must not happen, as a nation we did not vote for you and therefore we will not comply. This is one of the reasons British people voted to leave the EU as unelected people tried to tell the people of the UK what to do
n/a	I fully support the submission of the World Council For Health, titled: First Open Letter On The WHO's Pandemic Treaty, Subtitled #Stop The Treaty 1 March 2022 I submit as follows: That the WHO cease and desist from interfering with the rights and wellbeing of New Zealand and it's citizens; the reasons being that the proposed global convention or international instrument will weaken individuals' health sovereignty, individual health autonomy and our collective health freedoms. The World Health Organisation is not to threaten our freedom; or our individual, inalienable rights as individual citizens or as a country to self determination. This proposed treaty is a 'one size fits all' mandate that New Zealand citizens have not given the World Health Organisation. The proposed agreement or treaty, WHO's attempt to impose a smothering, overpowering control to declare unjustified pandemics, lockdowns, and enforce ineffective, expensive and unsafe treatments under emergency controls, and approve or allow censorship and inaccurate information to the public about effective and safe treatments, is to be cancelled, and made null and void.
Private Individual	I fundamentally disagree with any interference with the sovereignty of nations by any supra-national bodies. Notwithstanding the above, it is recognised that they exist and have a role is thought leadership. Therefore I suggest the follow are included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Natural Healing Solutions	I fundamentally disagree with the idea that any unelected by the citizens global organisation or organisations take global control in any situation. It panders only to those with money and influence which inevitably leads to corruption. By virtue of the fact that huge vested interests fund the WHO already, the WHO is not fit to over-ride any national sovereign decisions with regards to any health policies. The following measures need to be implemented with immediate effect. 1. The definition of what constitutes a pandemic is returned to its past definition before Pharmaceutical companies interfered and altered it to be easier to trigger pre-existing contracts that made them huge profits. 2. No global organisation can forcibly override the wishes of a country or individual. 3. The individual Human Rights and individual freedom of choice to have bodily autonomy and the right to refuse the administration of any product, pharmaceutical, vaccine or gene therapy cannot be overriden by any person, law, whether national or international. 4. The right to choose bodily autonomy must not be used to disadvantage that individual in any way that restricts any of their existing human rights. 5. Pharmaceutical companies have no place in any decision-making process given their vested interests and inability to provide honest and transparent information to date.
Individual	I fundamentally disagree with the premise of an international instrument on pandemic preparedness . The WHO is not democratically elected and I have never agreed to concede the power to issue rules, regulations or laws during a pandemic to this global body. Pandemic response is the responsibility of individual governments which can be removed by the people through elections. The idea that WHO could mandate compulsory vaccination, lockdowns or other such measure is anti democratic.
Individual	I fundamentally oppose any global Organisation perpetuating a globalised 'solution' to anything. Nobody voted for you; you have no right to enforce anything. Leave us alone.
Private citizen	I fundamentally reject any attempt to remove public health from the national democratic process and hand it over to an unelected supranational entity such as the WHO who are majority funded by unelected and unaccountable billionares who will directly profit from the expansion of a global governance model in public health. Health care is one of the most private and personal areas of life and as such requires any oversite to be on a local not international level as this globalist approach will only result in one size fits all approaches which will leave the majority of the human race at the mercy of unscrupulous, avaricious big buisness. The WHO does not now and will never speak for me or for anyone who knows that health and vitality come from nature not the lab. Pharmaceutical manufacturers and their share holders are not the King's of this world and all their money will not be allowed to buy them our rights to bodily autonomy and freedom of choice in health care.
None	I gather you are trying to get a global pandemic/health care policy through. Please stop this policy as health and vaccines especially in the case of covid as we have found out to our cost via increased health issues following these vaccine that a one size health system does not fit ALL. I was taught to treat the patient holistically and that nothing can be done without consent. To be able to give and get consent people need to be made aware of all issues including what happens if you do x,y& z and also what benefits and harm will /could occur if you follow x,y&z and at the heart of this is RESPECT for their decision for their own health and future no coercing them against their will. I have also noted that definitions have been altered over the years to fit in with the new directives..shame on you. STOP THIS POLICY
Privat	I generallly oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
-	I generally against an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
private	I generally do not agree to an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Reason: the WHO is not democratically legitimated and there is a risk, that the WHO follows the interests of its sponsors. Any health measures in germany can only be undertaken on german law and with agreement of the german people.
private person	I generally do not see the necessity of an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. In case the WHO implements a pandemic treaty the following is of absolute importance: Health decisions need to remain a personal choice and mandatory vaccines must therefore forbidden. I see it as the most important goal, that human rights, social and economic interests must take into account and carefully balanced in every decision. The process and reasoning behind every decision and law must be transparent and made available for everyone to look into it as well as being part of a peer-reviewed process. In case of a pandemic contract private donations to the WHO must be prohibited. The member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Most of all, a pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Best regards, Birgit Venetz
Private	I generally fully oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
This questions is mandatory	I generally oppose in international mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat	I generally oppose a central pandemic treaty!!!
dieBasis	I generally oppose a global international binding pandemic agreement by WHO. The WHO is not a democratically elected institution. It is largely financed by private donors. In its present form, this organization should not be allowed to make binding decisions about which pandemic-related measures should be mandatory for all citizens worldwide.
dieBasis Österreich	I generally oppose a mandatory centraliced and international pandemic preparedness and response treaty. The WHO has during the last two years not proven to have an effective and transparent procedure in place for dealing with a pandemic. There are no democratic processes in place to support the decision making process. I therefore recommend to remove all private and stakeholder investors from the WHO or desolbe this perverted instrument of power over the international community. Do not implement an international pandemic preparedness and response plan or treaty!
private	I generally oppose a mandatory international pandemic treary.
	I generally oppose a mandatory pandemic treaty
Private person	I generally oppose a mandatory, international pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose a mendatory pandamic treaty Yours Ruben Wasicky
Private	I generally oppose a mendatory pandemic treaty
n/a	I generally oppose an internationa, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international and/or mandatory pandemic treaty!
Privat	I generally oppose an international madatory pandemic treaty
Keine	I generally oppose an international mandatary pandemic treaty.
Music school	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty.
private person	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandamic treatment.
privat	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty! Remember the „Nürnberger Kodex" !
Privat	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty!!
private	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty!
Austrian	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
private person	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
Private Person	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
Wien	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
none	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
Bürgerin	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty !!!!(Every country is unique, has it's very specific circumstances). AND: I would wish, the WHO to be sponsored only from its member states,NOT from PRIVATE people like Bill Gates ! Sincerly Gerhild Meidl
Private Person	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty as well as mandatory vaccines because to me, both seems to be against the rights European peoples fought so hard to receive throughout our history. No organization should be empowered to decide over an individual's body. This personal decision is a basic and untouchable human right that shall never be violated.
Private	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty but if it though will be established I think: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	I GENERALLY OPPOSE AN INTERNATIONAL MANDATORY PANDEMIC TREATY Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
None	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty!
private person	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty!
Privat	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty!
I am from no Organisation	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty!
EU-Citizen	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty!
Privat	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty!
Institut Amba	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty! All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! ...
Zauberwort	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty! What we have seen in the last two years is, that critical voices are not heard, even worse, they were damaged and discriminated! In NO CASE something like that may happen again! Especially Europe should know and remember, where this is leading to ... Be aware of that! Take care of human rights, of diversity, of independence, of freedom (of opinion), of all (!) health interests! Thank you for being human!
Privat	I GENERALLY OPPOSE an international mandatory pandemic treaty! Such a mandatory pandemic agreement MUST NEVER take precedence over national constitutional law!
Privat	I GENERALLY OPPOSE an international mandatory pandemic treaty! Such a mandatory pandemic agreement MUST NEVER take precedence over national constitutional law!
MFG	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty! Best regards, Michaela Muchar
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty!!!
Private	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty!!!
Tirol steht auf	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty!!!
EU Bürgerin	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty, because humans dignity is inviolable!
Privat person	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic Treaty.
	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty.
Non organization	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty.
EU Citizen	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private person	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty.
None	I generally oppose an international mandatory Pandemic treaty.
None	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty.
private person	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty.
no organization	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO founding. Privat donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. With regards, Ursula Rybar
EU Citizen	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty. It sounds reasonable to deploy a treaty with suggestions but UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES with mandatory guidelines/instructions. The following actions must be considered: - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. - Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden and discriminatory measures must be prohibited. - All measures must carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests (in that order of priority).
no	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
privat	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Gottfried Spanring
Soroptimist International	I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests.
	I generally oppose an international mandatory Pandemie treaty!
Privat	I generally oppose an international mandatory, pandemic treaty and next time you could kindly let us more time to submiss. More than a few hours would be cool⚠
Private	I generally oppose an international pandemic health treaty! It's my body and my health!
Grüner Verein gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	I generally oppose an international pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Margret Weis
Selfhealthcare	I generally oppose an international, mandatori pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory mandemic treaty. Countries and people cannot be globalized. No mandatory vaccines, no discriminatpry measures ! Sustainable health comes from a healthy environment, not from pharmacy. Support traditional and complementary medicine, which do no harm to animals (no animal testing), human beings and the environment and which are best suited to provide many people very quickly with low cost help !
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
non	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic reaty!
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treat
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treat
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treatment - above all mandatory vaccination!
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treatment.
Private person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treatment.
Private person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treatment.
x	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treatment. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Eu Citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Grüne Insel	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Eu Citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Sandmair Franz	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Christoph Tober	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
NA	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
None	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Post	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat Person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private Person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Wwf	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Non	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Yoga4me	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Yoga4me	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
no organization	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Affected Citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Austria	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Family	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Family	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
no organisation	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
-	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Austria	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Christian Ponleitner	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
WHW	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
No organisation	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Waldweg 7	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
myself	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
No one	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
eure Grünen gegen Impfpflicht & 2G	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Nicola Spitzer	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
no	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
GGIu2G	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Schule	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Kus Jana	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
psychologische praxis	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
myself	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty

Organization	Written submission
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Dzogchengemeinschaft	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Justme	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
None	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
No organization	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
keine	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
EU Bürger	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
keine	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
ANFANG	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private Austria	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Dr. Isabelle und Dr. Martin Walchshofer OG	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Austria	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
TV	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Keine	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
keine	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Non	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Me	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
-	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
ICMC	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privatperson	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Artist	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
individual	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
-	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Post	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Grüner Verein gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Citizen of planet earth	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
MBMT	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Babicky Graphic Service GmbH	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
n/a	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Österr. Staatsbürger	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
no organization	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
n/a	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Staatsbürgerin Österreichs	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Blaubeerwald-Institut GmbH	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Österreicherin	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
DI Christoph Lerch `technical textile solutions and photo technique`	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty - there is no need for it And if contrary to my expectations it will be established there are some important points: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law To summarize: no pandemic treaty!!!
D&S BIOTRADE GmbH	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty – there is no need for it. And if contrary to my expectations it will be established, there are some important points: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law To Summarize: there is no need for a mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
privat , Eu - Citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty !
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty ! Doris Strasser Landersdorf 98 A-3124 Oberwölbling
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty ! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law and all measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. All discriminatory measures should be prohibited ! As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Please respect the vote of citizens and people!
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty !!!
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty !!!
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty !!!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty and also mandatory vaccination.
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty and further I prefer that all decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process.
Citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty and mandatory vaccination
EU-Bürger	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty and please revise the definition of a pandemic to the original one.
Martina Vitera	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty as I´m already faced with Mandatory vaccines, Discriminatory measures in Austria - therefore Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law and must take full account of all health interests
ungeimpft gesund	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty as it contradicts the democratic and human rights of freedom in any way.
private medical doctor	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty as long as WHO is consistently privately financed.
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty because it must not happen that one organization can override the laws of a sovereign state
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty because Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. All measures must take full account of all health interests and not like now that vaccines are still in a experimental state without proper legalization. Also side effects are so numerous and severe that any attempt of mandatory treaty is dangerous and not based on constitutional rights. PLEASE THINK ABOUT THE CONSEQUENCES FOR ALL MANKIND!
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty because pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests.
i-on e.U.	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty but if one appears then: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Dermatology Office	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty but if there will be one I agree with others on the following elements: * Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden * Discriminatory measures should be prohibited * All measures must take full account of all health interests * All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests * Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state * Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states * All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process * As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance * All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body * Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing * Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
None	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty but if, then: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process
privat person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty but in case it's coming anyway follwing rule must be followed: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interest All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	conditions are not included in full: · The term "Pandemic" must be carefully defined and not be used for minor pathogens · Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden, vaccines may never be forced on people in any way · Vaccination may not be introduced to public bevore full testing is finished, advertising must include information about the status of testing · Vaccination and deaths, health-issues after vaccination must be documented and evaluated in full and independently even after full testing · Discriminatory measures must be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests, not just issues concerning the pandemic at hand · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states or even individuals · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
EU-Citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty in all kind. There is no need. It is against human rights and evidence medicine !
Private citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty taking precedence over national constitutional law. Mandatory vaccines and discriminatory measures should be forbidden.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty that you are planning !!! We know your intentions.
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty vaccines should be forbidden, as I see a lot of issues with it. Examples are: ·Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests ·All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests ·Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state ·Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states ·All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process ·As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance ·All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body ·Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing ·Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty which is not under control of democratic elected governments but is governed by a controlling body which is influenced by private donators. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
umotion	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process
juva for a better future	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden
EU	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and all discriminatory measures should be prohibited
-	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
Austria	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty AND · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty But, if yes: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and discriminatory measures should be prohibited! As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance! Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing!
Gerhard Jannach Unternehmensberatung e.U.	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty IN CASE this treaty may come into effect: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty IT should be regional, local, organized under Review on certain circumstances. Kind regards B. K.
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden
Roland Multerer	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden All measures must take full account of all health interests Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Substantive Elements: • Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, • Respect for human rights • Legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies." • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty T.S.
Perchtoldsdorfer Bürgerliste	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty The WHO generally does not care for our health, the WHO's interest ist to increase the profit of some big companies.
therapeut	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law

Organization	Written submission
Imerys	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden by Constitution. The authorities must only be responsible for providing a suitable health care infrastructure. It is not the responsibility of the EU or WHO or the national states to dictate a health plan for the citizens Discriminatory measures must be prohibited by Constitution. The human rights of the individuals must be prioritised over any social and economic interests Liability for side effects of any vaccines or medicines must solely remain at the manufacturer/supplier of the drugs All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Critical voices must be part of any decision processes and must not be suppressed Not any treaty and especially no pandemic treaty must take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat Person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Days of Future	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
for my own	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Roksanda Schweiger
Forum Wissenschaft & Umwelt	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty The decision for any medical treatment has to be made on an individual basis between patient and the physician. Mandatory vaccination must be forbidden.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
individual	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
undefined	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Deutschland	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Eu Citizen privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Bürgerin	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
-	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Individual	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
No	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
keine	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
NN	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Human being	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
OEGK	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Ausbildungszentrum in Gütersloh energetische Konzepte	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Fischer	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Dentist	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
didibaum@icloud.com	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
None	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Allhartsberg	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Austria	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Privat Person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
fischer elektronik	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Bürgerin der Bundesrepublik Deutschland	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
-	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
LOB	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! If international pandemic treatments are considered, for sure: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing and • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Mandatory vaccines should be strictly forbidden and liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited as well. And most important: Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law!! Never. Yours sincerely G. Feyerer
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and any form of discriminatory measures by certain groups of people is unacceptable. It should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests and carefully balance human rights (!), social and economic interest! Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states and vaccinations or medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process! All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Especially critical voices must be included in the decision-making body! Furthermore, representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing! And finally, pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and any form of discriminatory measures by certain groups of people is unacceptable. It should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests and carefully balance human rights (!), social and economic interest! Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states and vaccinations or medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process! All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Especially critical voices must be included in the decision-making body! Furthermore, representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing! And finally, pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! If an agreement is reached, then I want Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. No compulsion to any medical treatments!
	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! You can learn only about advantages and disadvantages if there are different ways how a pandemic situation is treated! The WHO is not democratic legitimated!
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Austria should leave the WHO - opt out from this korruptly system WHO!!!
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden, discriminatory measures should be prohibited, all measures must take full account of all health interests!
grüne	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!!!
ULDC	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Take attention to human rights and the independence of the nations!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! The German Constitution itself does not allow it because it's not according to the will of the people - there has never been fair and open medial transparent information nor public discussion! The lack of medial presence of the topic itself makes it very questionable and therefore does not align with democratic norms.
Privatperson	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Germany	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Die Gesundheitsvorsorge und -versorgung muss in lokaler deutscher Hand bleiben
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Best Regards Martin Scholtsch
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Nobody working for the WHO has ever been publicly elected, and they are paid by private investors or the big pharma. Why should these people be allowed to overrule national constitutional law? As we have seen in the last two years, the goal of the WHO is to maximize business for big pharma. The following has to be changed in the first place: • member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Then the WHO would have to follow these rules: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law If all the points mentioned above are met, then I could consider an international optional pandemic treaty, but never a mandatory treaty.
No	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! There will be no way for you to do something like that.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! (every country is able to look after its population) If there is to come such a treaty: Mandatory vaccinations must be strictly forbidden! The free will of every human being must be respected Discriminatory measures for non-vaccinated people must under all circumstances be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must under no circumstances overrule national constitutional law
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Greetings, Maria Grandegger
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! In case it is nonetheless enacted: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Human	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden!
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! National laws must always be superior to any globally acting companies, especially when it comes to the health and human rights of individuals. The WHO is not a financally independent organization, but controlled by private businesses.
Mag. Christine Schubert	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over international constitutional law!
VN	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
spö Neuhofen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! The Eu was foundet for supporting regions!!!
for my own	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! We have seen, what happened the last 2 years under the "Coronapandemic" and the WHO is also involved in the wrong decisions of the worldwide political decisions to torture their people. The WHO never would do things like that: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited The WHO never would be for this points: • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!
-	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!
Self-employed	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!
Artist	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!! Greetings, Martin Weinknecht
WORKER IN THE STEEL INDUSTRIE	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!!
-	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!!
individual	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!!
My self!	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!!
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!!
Kommunikation auf Augenhöhe	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!! · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Thank you for taking this into consideration!
GGI	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!!!
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!!!!
psychotherapist	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!!!! An beside my opinion is: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Barbara Kratochwil
None	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, because the economical and political structure is to different to suggest laws and rules for all countries. Example: You could have never made the same rules for China and Sweden.
private person and father	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, because the past showed us that it did and does no good, if decissions in health are made by private founded organisations on the focus of profit. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited, all measures must take full account of all health interests, all measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests, vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost, as Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden anyway. Further member states must provide 100% of WHO funding, private donations to the WHO must be prohibited strictly an d controlled. Thank you for reading and thinking about this.
Dr. med. Edgar Hagenbichler	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, because WHO has failed to manage the Covid-10 pandemic in a proper way.
No Organization	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, because · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law

Organization	Written submission
Protestant church	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, becouse you are not able, and your financiers are not willing, to guarantee that. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Public	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, but if there is one, the following points are important: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
-	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, but if there will be one I agree with others on the following elements: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, but if you have to do it the following is important: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
EU citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, but should it be installed, the following points should be included: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, especially as the WHO is mainly financed by Pharma companies and private investors.
Student	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, it is not a democratic process, if people, who are not elected are to decide measures for member states. There is the danger, that such a treaty would be taken advantage of by actors with financial or political interests (especially considering the WHO is mostly privatly funded). There would be a lot of points necessary to be taken into account, which are: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Since in an ongoing pandemic the WHO would not be able (and probably not want) to guarantee these points and this would sadly result in even further restrictions for members as during the covid-crisis and not benefit any of maybe just a few of the members of such a treaty. It would ultimately outsource pandemic politics onto the WHO and move the power further away from the people.
Privat Petson	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Michael Scherhammer
Psychotherapist	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, what means: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Rechtsanwalt	democracy by excluding national parliaments from expressing peoples voice. More specifically · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Do not destroy the base of our culture, don't destroy democracy and the future of our children!!! Guido Peters
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, because: the greater part of the WHO funding comes from NGO´s, that means from private. There is the danger that there will be taken precedence over national constitutional law by a not democratic elected institution or by privat persons. It is impossible that a global government can know the needs of the different populations, that means the individuals in different regions. There are enough possibilities for communications in short time. As to a pandemic management: WHO, which must be funded in 100% by its member-states, has to watch that the ethic measures are kept by the members, that means human right, social and economic interests, and especially: mandatory vaccines must be forbidden. Concepts of vaccines, especially new ones, must be declared to be safe by an independent scientific commission (no side effect like death or lifelong damage or damage for generations). The WHO, which should be completely funded by their member states, has to control this measures of safety for vaccines and has to control the Pharmaceutic Industry , the producer of vaccines, that they must keep the measures and that their motivation is not to make profit from the pandemic situation and the suffering of individuals, women, man and children. ____
no	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, Some additionally demands: All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states
non	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
MFG	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
---	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Shambhala Zentrum	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Flutterer	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
None	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
None, private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
None	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
private person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
None. I am a private person.	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Ngo	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Me	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Ngo	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Grüne Österreich	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
na	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Keine Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
None	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
general	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
private person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
GGI	I generally oppose an international, mandatory Pandemic treaty.
Germany	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Non	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Public	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Level 10	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
private person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Sylvia Stifter	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
private biologist	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
EU Bürger	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private Person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Treehouse	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
I have no organization	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and discriminatory measures prohibited.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Private person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Best regards
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Ich empfinde die WHO nur mehr als Mittel zum Zweck für die Pharmaindustrie und andere Mächtige, um Kontrolle über die Menschen auszuüben. Da für mich die meisten Politiker auch nur noch Macht und Geld im Sinn haben, bin ich absolut dagegen, diesen Menschen auch noch ein solches Mittel der Machtausübung in die Hand zu geben. Daher lehne ich einen solchen Vertrag strikt ab. Mein Vertrauen in sie befindet sich auf dem absoluten Nullpunkt und ist auch nicht wieder herstellbar. Ich habe in den letzten beiden Jahren erlebt, wie Menschenrechte mit Füßen getreten, die Wahrheit zur Unwahrheit und Lügen zur Wahrheit erklärt wurden. Von dieser Art uns Menschen gegenüber habe ich genug. Halten sie sich aus meinem Leben und dem Leben meiner Familie heraus! Ich glaube wirklich, wir wären insgesamt besser dran, wenn es die WHO nicht geben würde! Das ist selbstverständlich nur meine Meinung.
German Regional GreenFloor Management	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. In detail: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
None	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. But if it's going to be passed anyway, then the following elements are substantial: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests (also mental health issues) Childrens Health Interests must always be prior! For example: Face masks that can lead to multiple damages on children (For example: delay in linguistic development, intoxication through inhaling carbon dioxide over a long time period, etc. ....), should be forbidden for them. · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · Also all processes in terms of Contracts with pharmaceutical companies that produces Vaccinations and/or medications must be 100% transparent and accessible for every Human! · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. For the following reasons I am against a contract: • Mandatory vaccines must be banned • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law • All measures must take full account of all health interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body A.Gaggl
human being	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Generally mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and discriminatory measures should be prohibited.
Private Statement	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. If a pandemic treaty was still implemented, it should at least fulfill the following requirements: 1) A pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. 2) Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. 3) All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Discriminatory measures must be prohibited. 4) All decision-making bases must be made available in a transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden as well as discriminatory measures.
EU-citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Otherwise, if there will be a pandemic treaty, 1) mandatory vaccines should be forbidden 2) discriminatory measures should be prohibited 3) all measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests 4) vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state 5) liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states 6) all decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process 7) member states must provide nearly 100% of WHO funding, private donations to the WHO must be prohibited 8) all decision-making bodies must be diverse, critical voices in particular must be included 9) representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing and the last, most important point: pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! Thanks!
EU-citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Otherwise, if there will be a pandemic treaty, 1) mandatory vaccines should be forbidden 2) discriminatory measures should be prohibited 3) all measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests 4) vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state 5) liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states 6) all decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process 7) member states must provide nearly 100% of WHO funding, private donations to the WHO must be prohibited 8) all decision-making bodies must be diverse, critical voices in particular must be included 9) representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing and the last, most important point: pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! Thank you!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Discriminatory measures must be prohibited. Yours sencerely Sabine Eller
Public	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited.
FH Campus Wien	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
armin bleiholder softwareentwicklung	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. (ich bin generell gegen ein internationales, verbindliches Pandemie-Abkommen)
Bürgerin der EU, Nationalität Deutschland	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. (ich bin generell gegen ein internationales, verbindliches Pandemie-Abkommen); Ein internationales, verbindliches Pandemie-Abkommen ist gegen die Gesundheit der meisten Menschen gerichtet; deshalb bin ich gegen ein internationales, verbindliches Pandemie-Abkommen. Maria Fuchs
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. All necessary measures must be taken by the government of each country/nation. No international organisation has the right to interfere in local laws and constitution.
Grüne gegen Impfzwang	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Any discriminating measures as well any mandatory vaccinations/medication should be prohibited. No private donations to the WHO, member states should finance the WHO 100% - for recommendations. Critical voices should be included in any decision-making body for recommendations. Decision-making process for recommendations should be transparent for the general public. Human rights should never be restricted again.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Countries should be able to assess a supposedly Pandemie and able to decide on their own, how to handle it. An international pandemic treaty is a massive intervention on fundamental rights of: freedom of rights, freedom of choice, democracy and the right of physical integrity. All these reasons mentioned, are the basic requirement of diversity, which is necessary for a consistent healthy and profitable future.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited, As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Individual	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. However, in case such an instrument is considered, my comments are the following: In general, no mandatory measures, only recommendations! No mandatory vaccines! Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. No digital control of individuals (i.e. no green pass)! All measures must take full account of all health interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests, including the consideration of long term adverse effects from short term measures. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states but remain with the producents. All decision-making bases must be made publicly available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse and directly and indirectly independent (free from conflict of interest) from Pharma industry. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law!
AIT	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. However, should such a treaty come into force, the following requirements must be fulfilled: A Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. All measures must take into account and fully respect human rights, including the right to physical integrity and informed consent. All decision-making processes must be made publicly available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. Any discriminatory measures must be prohibited and actively prevented from being implemented.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. I am also against mandatory vaccines! If there will be any elements, that rule over national constitutional law, I will support an WHO exit of my country!
"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new interna	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. I am strongly against any kind of mandatory vaccination. •. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. I don't trust the WHO because of the influence of pharmaceutical industry. This treaty is cutting off the democratic rights of the citizens of the member states and leads us to restrictions of freedom rights under circumvention of the national constitutions. It makes us dependent from experts which also stand in the payroll of pharma industry.
Claudia Zuser	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. I endorse national governmental and thus democratically based pandemic management.

Organization	Written submission
None	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. I oppose any pandemic treaty that should take precedence over national constitutional law. All measures taken by the WHO must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests of individuals. The organization must not allow mandatory vaccines. Vaccications and medications must be purchased at cost during pandemic situations.
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Imho, a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as suggested by the WHO here is neither necessary nor relevant for keeping up public global health. To the contrary: It is to be feared that such instruments, once in place, would endanger the personal freedom of any individual worldwide without plausible causes, e. g. due to the planned mandatory digital health passworts, rather than contributing to a solid health care pandemicwise. Almost every national state on Earth disposes of it's own emergency/contingency plans for events like a pandemic. These ans are available, they are reliable and, most importantly, they were independently ratified by the relevant populations. Let's keep it that way.
Private person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and furthermore discriminatory measures should be prohibited. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance!!!
Citizen	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Citizen EU	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
concerned citizien	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. I don't want that the who rights stand above the right of the goverment. Because that means that private organisations get the possibility to have the power to rule states/goverments without being voted. That means that there are no democracies states anymore. · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Toskanaworld AG	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. National law must be the Basement of all Actions.
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Pandemic measures or recommendations must not, never! take precedence over national constitutional law · Discriminatory recommendations by the WHO should be prohibited · All recommended measures of the WHO must take full account of all health interests · All recommended measures of the WHO must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · All decision-making bodies must be democratic legislated bodies. WHO is not such a body
general public	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. PLUS in general, the member states must provide 100% of the WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited.
Doctor	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. That is undemocratic and dangerous because of medical Lobbyists arround the WHO
Ärztezentrum für Homöopathie	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. The very great influence of the big pharmaceutical companies would really threaten humanity.
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. The WHO body should have but advising power in national legislation. Thank you.
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. The WHO is widely funded by private investors who would profit directly from their control through the WHO over federal states. There are clear conflicting interests which can not be tolerated by independend states and their people. The mandatory pandemic treaty poses the risk of mandates for compulsory vaccines, discriminatory measures and economic interuptions which must not be intorduced by privatly funded organisations but only the democratic states themselves after thoroughly balancing human rights as well as social and economic interests. A pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Association Of Free People - AFP	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. The WHO should only have advice function. • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account human rights • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. • Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. There is no need of such a treaty, as every country should have its own plan on making decisions.
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. This is absolutely not what makes anything better and does not differentiate between risk groups and age groups etc. A mandatory pandemic treaty is a violation against the self-determination when it is a pandemic like Covid with a death rate less than 1 %.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. This is not necessary as Covid showed in manifold ways. Vaccines must never be mandatory for general use. Any discriminatory measures must be prohibited. All measures must take full account of ALL health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Liability for vaccines or medicines must never be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must integrate critical voices ("advocatus diaboli"). Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must never take precedence over national constitutional law. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state.
n	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. We live in a democratic Organized Union, so it should be the duty of each EU-Nation to discuss if there is a pandemic situation and how to react. Bytheway the PCR-TEST is not suitable to diagnose unsymptomatic people.
Mediation Koch	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Who is because of its financial background in dependency of pharmaceutical stakeholders. At least, mandatory vaccines and all other mandatory measures should be forbidden. Human rights should be observed und checked by an independent entity. The process and the basis for all decisions should be transparent. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Thank you! Georg Koch
Non. Just a human being :-)	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Why? For example because: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making. • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Due to the danger of abuse the WHO should not be allowed to dictate all states of the world
privaz	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Man kann niemals für viele Staaten gleichzeitig die gleichen Sanktionen treffen. Es muss immer individuell betrachtet und entschieden werden!!!
Privat Person	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. I do not trust in pharmaindustie and so I do not trust in the WHO!! Best regards
privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
GRÜNE GEGEN IMPFFLICHT	I GENERALLY OPPOSE AN INTERNATIONAL, MANDATORY PANDEMIC TREATY. - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibit ed in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privatperson	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Brigitte Weyer personalmanagement	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. * Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden * Discriminatory measures should be prohibited * All measures must take full account of all health interests * All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests * Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state * Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states * All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process * As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance * All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body * Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing * Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Freiler	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. A Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. All measures must take into account and fully respect human rights, including the right to physical integrity and informed consent. Any discriminatory measures must be prohibited and actively prevented from being implemented.
Austria	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. And: Discriminatory measures should be prohibited!
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding, consequently private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Compulsory vaccinations should be banned and discriminatory measures should be prohibited. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. All decision-making bases must undergo a peer-review process, and must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner. All decision-making bodies must be diverse and critical voices in particular must be included. All measures must take full account of all health interests and must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state and liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. Best regards Brigitte Weber
-	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. At the very least, all measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. It shall be prevented that private monetary donors to the WHO lead to biased decision making, resulting in e.g. mandatory vaccination using products produced by the donor while vaccines by other manufacturers or non-vaccine-based or non-medication-based counter-measures are no longer considered a valid option. If the above cannot be fulfilled, the pandemic treaty must at the very least not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Privat	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Best regards Gernot Pracher
private citizen	But I want to stress these points as very important if there will be made one (since opinions of citizens seem to have no vote and everything is decided from where the money comes from anyway): · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Elisabeth Brand
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Experience from the last two years show that we need uncensored information about possible treatments and freedom of choice with informed decision-making. In general there should never be such a thing as a mandatory medical treatment of any kind at all.
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. In my opinion, mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body.
I'm not part of an organisation	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. In the event that one is established after all, I think the following points are important: - Mandatory vaccinations should be prohibited - discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take into account all health interests. - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccines and medicines must be purchased at cost or minimal profit in the event of a pandemic - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making must be made fully transparent and subject to a peer review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to WHO must be banned from the outset - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - The pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
None	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!!!!
KT Int	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
Grüne gegen Impfzwang, Impfpflicht	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discrimination measures should be prohibited.
Austrian	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Nina Weninger
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Previous measures have been limited to the administration of vaccines, isolation and restrictions and have neither taken into account the connections nor been helpful for the health of the individual. Du to the fact that health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity such a regulation can neither reflect the complexity nor take into account the situation of the individual. No institution or government can or should influence the self-determination of the individual. Especially when it comes to your own health, your own body.
-	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Some more important points: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Specifically, I would state that: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Please stop WHO interventions into our health systems! Stop any lobby of Pharma interests!
private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Such an mandatory treaty is an open house for pharmaceutical companies to force everybody to buy their products. This has to be declined!!!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. To your question: Mandatory vaccines habe to be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Mandatory vaccines must be banned. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. All measures must take full account of all health interests. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. M. Gaggl
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. And in particular: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
none	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states, should remain at the pharma industry. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests

Organization	Written submission
Freelance International Education consultant	I have been more concerned than ever before about the recent and future public health strategy. I have seen no evidence that it includes proposals for significant investment in public and social health care but, on the contrary focuses exclusively on external medical and pharmaceutical interventions which lack robust evidence based science. It overlooks the actual evidence that maintenance of good individual and public health is the best " immunity" from disease and extends what I would term " metabolic riff". If a global pandemic strategy were to emerge I would direct the attention of those involved to social justice, the eradication of poverty, an understanding of spiritual needs to maintain optimum health - which lockdowns sabotage-, the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and acknowledgement of how strong communities and positive social relationships support and maintain good physical and mental health, not their disintegration. Our relationship with nature should be paramount and sacred; not destroyed, which I fear an ever increasing vaccine dependence would create. I would like to see evidence that the development of any future public health strategy is being informed by what the global community needs to support its holistic health, based on a calibration of genuine human need and not a strategy which is driven solely by the profit margin of large corporations who show little understanding or care about genuine human social and physical health.
Individual	I have been watching this pandemic closely and have come to a conclusion that the steps taken by all health organizations whether it be state or nation or international like WHO have failed undoubtedly in my eyes. I would like to start by suggesting that letting diverse communities decide on their own health. Forceful compliance by fear of the virus is doing more harm to people. To get public health back on track it doesn't need to have monumental changes, only relevant small changes like fixing the food that we consume so that more focus is on eating well, unnecessary drugs that cause more havoc than a meal of junk food, etc. I would also like to suggest that there be pure peer-reviewed studies done on this pandemic on all aspects, from lockdowns to vaccine mandates.
Member of the Public	I have concerns that the pandemic preparedness response would infringe on my inalienable rights of bodily autonomy, I am very pro-choice and believe that we all have the right to choose what we put in our bodies just as much as we choose which pronouns to be known as. I also feel that the last 2 years have brought to light how much financial conflict of interest there is within and around the WHO(ie recieving large amounts of funds from the Bill and Malinda Gates foundation) with this in mind I do not feel that the WHO would be representing my best interests with what appears to be a "one-size-fits-all" approach.
New Zealand	I have concerns that WHO is instigating a Global Pandemic Response Treaty. Please see below for my main areas of concern that I would like to point out - 1. National and local leadership of Countries should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own country and people. 2. Countries need to have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There can be no allowance for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration on this matter which concerns me greatly. Regards, Sarah Spurway
Voter	I have concerns that WHO is instigating a Global Pandemic Response Treaty. Please see below for my main areas of concern that I would like to point out - 1. National and local leadership of countries should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on whats best for their own country and people. 2. Countries need to have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There can be no allowance for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration on this matter which concerns me greatly.
Eugene Yamamoto	I have concerns that WHO is instigating a Global Pandemic Response Treaty. Please see below for my main areas of concern that I would like to point out - 1. National and local leadership of countries should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on whats best for their own country and people. 2. Countries must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent democratic process with the ability for all people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There can be no allowance for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration on this matter which concerns me greatly.
Individual	I have deep and fundamental disagreements with the idea of your proposed, "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response." I think this is the worst idea of the century and while I could write 200,000 words about why I feel so strongly about this, I'll provide you with the most relevant reasons for my strong disagreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Chris Muhlenfeld
none.	I have deep concerns about the WHO deciding the global response to a pandemic. The following thoughts and opinions are in line with my own 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards Roger Wilcox
Private person	I have faith in WHO and on the surface it would appeal to many people of many nations, however it seems opportunity for debate is restricted when a monopoly is granted to one organisation. I would therefore, oppose WHO being granted sole rights to control world medical practices of any kind. Thank you
Tick Wise Education	I have first hand experience with allowing an international governing body make decisions for global health. There are rigid and specific rules in the domain of the creation of alphanumeric codes for illness. There are rules and procedures and oversight. Yet somehow after the painstaking process of working to create and have an ICD (International Classification of Disease) Code approved for Congenital Lyme Disease - 1C1G.2 ; the code disappeared from the ICD-11 without any rebuttals, hearings, presentation of conflicting data. Without any discussion or debate amongst professionals, government representatives or stakeholders. When I wrote to Justin Trudeau in protest over the illegal and anachronistic removal of the ICD code 1C1G.2 that would provide treatment for sick children worldwide, I received a letter from then Health Minister Ginette Petitpas thanking me for my "personal story". Driving to Canada to address what was obviously corrupt medical oversight board willing to break it's own rules would surely have been a huge waste of time and resources. However if the offices I needed to address had been closer to home, my children would very likely not be without a formal diagnosis. They would likely be in better health. Keeping health decisions close to home is part of what a community is all about. Let's be honest. Justin Trudeau doesn't give a crap about my kids - and who would blame him, really? We are not even Canadian citizens. But his allowing rules to be broken and for 1C1G.2 to disappear overnight, well that affects our family for the rest of our lives. I hope that my "personal story" shows why it is so important for health decisions to be made by and for the people who have to live with them.
Citizen of New Zealand	I have four points to make. First, national and local leadership needs to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Secondly, there needs to be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There also needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, there needs to be measures that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My own sphere of influence	I have grave concerns about a strong top down approach as the myriad problems of the covid 19 response have shown. I believe Gov should stay out of the way as much as possible and want as much power decentralised as possible. For example lockdowns and restrictions on day to day life should not be used. As a teacher I see the damage of this first hand. Additionally recognised experts (not officials or politicians) should have scope to innovate and compete against other experts in other areas to see what treatment works best. Being a member of a household with a history of vaccine injury bodily autonomy MUST be guaranteed and not coerced or pressured as has been the case with Covid. People should be allowed to make their own risk vs reward decision. Health and not politics must be the over-riding reason for all decisions. This might start to help bring more trust back to what public health say - if that is possible after such monumental mistakes made during the last 2 years.
N/A	I have grave concerns about countries' powers being handed to a single organisation under the proposed global pandemic preparedness and response agreement and believe that the following considerations are vital: Democratically elected leaders, nationally and locally, should retain full autonomy over any decisions they make in representing their own people and those people's best interests. All nations and states should be able to freely opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without repercussions. The processes should be open and transparent and people of all nations should retain the right to vote on any aspects of the agreement to prevent their application where a majority vote against it. The agreement should be free from any influence by pharmaceutical companies and any other corporations, people or entities that stand to profit from it. Thank you, Natalie Parletta
None	I have grave concerns that a nations sovereignty will be overridden by such a treaty as proposed. The treaty must allow that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the US	I have grave reservations... 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired civil servant	I have great concern with giving absolute authority to an International organization over medical concerns and health of individual citizens of the United States. They should be limited by making recommendations for managing pandemics that nations can institute. The current proposal is not cost effective for the United States and should not be pursued.
None	I have huge concerns that such an institution as the INB would exist outside the awareness of the majority of the general public. I believe no institution should override any sovereign state and if does exist should have only recommending decisions. I also believe that we should take a breath and properly investigate the behaviour and ethics of the last two years before even contemplating such an institution. To develop such an institution without public awareness will only polarise the general public. I appreciate the majority of people are ambivalent, this should not be considered acquiescence. To develop such an institution as the INB is a very radical and controlling notion. It is very important that it done so with complete transparency and within the public eye. Right now it feels very without the consideration of the general public Sincerely Andrew
My family	I have individual rights and freedoms granted to me by God and validated and upheld by the US Constitution. NO governing body has any right to supersede my personal right to choose what happens to my body OR what goes into my body except me. Vote NO to this crazy, unethical and immoral organization WHO want to trample on individual rights and freedoms!
Stand For Health Freedom	I have learned that the World Health Order treaties remove the power from the United States are harmful to the health and welfare of my fellow Americans. Our health decisions should stay local, including when an emergency arises. Our lives were turned upside down on theory of blanket treatments for all. This has not worked, there are alternative ways we can work together and find a solution for optimal treatments.
Private Citizen	I have listed the substantive elements that I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures are implemented that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	I have managed my health and well being for most of my nearly 7 decades perfectly well without interference from the World Health Organisation. I am not about to let WHO ply me with dangerous pharmaceutical drugs simply so they can make bigger profits for greedy corporations. I will continue to remain well without WHO interference. I do not support the unwelcome over-reach of authority. Do not dare interfere with my peoples' well being.
None	I have many concerns with the World Health Organizations Pandemic Treaty. The centralized control of the world's response to the next health crisis is deeply troubling. Local governments have a better understanding of what is best for their people than an unelected group halfway around the world. During the last two years we have seen the unfortunate influence of pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers. They were only concerned with their profits and their agendas which lead to a tragic loss of life around the world. Only one narrative was pushed, other educated ideas were tossed aside. The response to Covid-19 was an absolute failure and we cannot allow those mistakes to happen again. I say, "Never Again". This Pandemic Treaty must be stopped.
private	I have massive concerns about this treaty, predominantly resulting from illogical and useless rules and laws during covid-19 pandemic in 2021. While most of the rules were reasonable and well balanced in 2020, the situation changed completely from the moment the vaccine was available in greater numbers. From this moment on, everything pushed the people into the vaccine, even though it was already discovered very early that it has no influence on the pandemic waves and little effect for persons <50 years. So it is very important to have strict rules to limit misuse of political power: Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden Discriminatory measures must be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
General Public	I have Myalgic Encephalomyelitis (M.E). This illness has been recognised by the World Health Organisation as a neurological disease since 1969. It is an inflammatory disease of the nervous system often caused post virus, immunisation, vaccination, chicken pox and rubella. There are 250,000 sufferers in the UK and millions globally. Many of these people have severe adverse reactions to multiple medications, vaccines and other immunisations etc. Some have actually a vaccine-induced illness. The needs of these people and other vulnerable people like them, should be particularly acknowledged as they cannot be slotted into " the norm" without disastrous effects to their health. They cannot be treated in a "one size fits all" manner. There are also, particular cultures and races who have different reactions to different diseases and illnesses, so a blanket rollout of a particular medication would not be an appropriate treatment for them. Every government should, therefore, be able to control the health needs and treatments of the different races involved in their own country. Medications and vaccines should not be imposed on people without adequate amount of testing over many years - and without informed consent .
Private citizen	I have never voted for the world health organisation. You are just pharma and wef shills. Your organisation will be closed down.
EU Citizen	I have no courage to write my opinion about the contract because I lost the confidence in the honesty of governments and I fear some detriments I might sustain. During the pandemic of Corona, human rights have not been respected. So sorry!
Public	I have no doubt that what the people have to say. Obviously the Treaty has been decided by those claiming to be acting in our best interests, we know this all about money and control. All very very sad.
Snellings Walters Insurance	I have no faith in the WHO. I live in the United States of America so that I can have freedom of choice. I reject the notion that the WHO will choose and dictate my health regulations.
Pharmacist	I have no means of influencing the WHO by democratic means and do not consent to this power grab.My body and mind is sovereign and nobody else decides what I put into them, no national power or supranational power like the WHO can any final decision in this matter.I withdraw any consent to be governed in this way.My interests are not served by a corporation financed by a few wealthy people. Natural law or gods law is how I choose to live and I consider any denial of this basic right to be a violation.
Teacher	I have no trust or faith in this organisation never mind supporting global governance. WHO are not science based, they are profit based. More and more people are realising that this has never been about health. This is a power grab, this is about control. I believe communities need autonomy and not the dictatorship that this would be. I disagree with agenda 2030 and the elite who do.
privat person	I have not answer of the question from the WHO and the plans, because I say no to this Plan. This Plan is bed. bed for all humans, and all outher live from the earth. Stopt this, not any prophety mast be thruth.
Private citizen	I have not voted for the WHO to have any control over uk public health. This is a disgrace
Concerned Citizen	I have only one comment - ALLOW ALL MEDICINES IN A PANDEMIC LIKE IVERMECTIN, HYDROXYCHLOROQUINE And others to be used. Sell all medicines needed over the counter. Mexico did this, and the people are doing well, the country is open for business. No need to close down, no mandates, no masks . This is how it should be
Private practiceu	I have read some info about this and am totally against many of its items to the point of preferring to scrap it altogether
n/a	I have read the issues at stake within this proposal and strongly endorse the following principles on this issue: That: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
La Solution Ideale	I have serious concerns about this international instrument due to the risk of the abuse of power and the risks of undermining the democratic process. In this light, I strongly suggest the following elements be included: 1. A process would need to be in place to curb the influence of decision making by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This would need to be thorough with full transparencies on who makes the decisions. 2. Full transparency of any and all research documentation of any participating pharmaceutical or otherwise profiting enterprise. This would include barring the possibility that a company can decide "not to disclose" or force politicians into agreements that are non-transparent. 3. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. An attempt to allow and invite opposition or challenging arguments - which comes back to the point of not having the profiteers rule the game, or be able to control political decisions. 5. No politician or decision maker who makes the decision "for the good of the people" is allowed to have any shares (direct or indirect) in the corporation providing the "mass solutions". Any financial connection discovered leads to immediate dismissal.
N/A	I have serious concerns about this international instrument due to the risk of the abuse of power and the risks of undermining the democratic process. In this light, I strongly suggest the following elements be included: 1. Full autonomy should be maintained by national and local leadership. They should reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	I have serious concerns about this international instrument due to the risk of the abuse of power and the risks of undermining the democratic process. In this light, I strongly suggest the following elements be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I have serious concerns about this international instrument due to the risk of the abuse of power and the risks of undermining the democratic process. In this light, I strongly suggest the following elements be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I have serious concerns about this international instrument due to the risk of the abuse of power and the risks of undermining the democratic process. In this light, I strongly suggest the following elements be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's unelected power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people.
General Public	I have serious reservations in relation to handing powers to any body which could in turn lead to a situation where that body is in a position to dictate the medical procedures which could be applied to those impacted by the agreement. In particular this applies to vaccine mandates. Recent experience with the Covid 19 pandemic has shown that certain countries enforced vaccine mandates, Lockdowns and mask mandates which have proven, in the most part to have had nothing but negative consequences. The Covid 19 vaccines are an almost complete failure, where the only benefit appears to be to those who are elderly or with severe underlying health conditions, yet we see this same vaccine being rolled out to children and adolescents for almost all risk and no reward. The Who or any other organisation could in time be influenced by means of lobbying/sponsorship from Pharma or other foundations to push vaccines for purposes other than that of the good of public health. We should not hand these powers to any body under any circumstances.
N/A	I have several areas of concern that should be addressed in any agreement. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Any organization is susceptible to errors and/or bad actors. These measures are necessary for the people to retain the ability to protect themselves. Thank you.
N/A	I have substantial concerns about the prospect of centralised control of pandemic response powers. Instead, I support a system where countries retain fully autonomy, and reserve the right to make decisions based on what they perceive to be the best interests of their own people. I feel it is important for nations and local municipalities to have the power to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe that it is important for any multi-nation health measures to keep at arm's length the influence of pharmaceutical companies, and other vested interests, in order to help ensure that public health, rather than corporate profits, is the number one priority.
Citizenry	I have three areas of particular concern 1) Early treatment in all instances must be a primary focus and teams should be in place to determine best practise in the area of effective therapeutics. This must be managed free from outside corporate influence in an open and transparent manner. 2) Where corporate interests and national administrations overlap, it is essential that individual citizens (end users and voters, after all) be allowed full visibility of all dealings that affect their health choices. This includes the WHO acting not as a gatekeeper of information, but rather as an open and honest source of all relevant data and information. 3) National governments must retain full autonomy over matters that involve their citizens. Any surrender of national sovereignty is a matter for the citizens of a nation and this matter may only be decided by referendum.
Sovereign Free World Citizen	I have to begin by saying that, in the light of the hardships caused by lockdown and the use of police state tactics to enforce mask mandates in countries like France and Australia as well as the WHO ignoring its own statistician John P A Ioannidis who repeatedly stated in monthly bulletins that covid had a global infection to death rate of ~0.05% (less than flu) that: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	I have zero trust in an organisation that is heavily funded and influenced by private persons and organisations. None of the WHO have been democratically elected. They've all been selected. A Treaty is not a simple matter of agreement, it could give democratically elected Governments an excuse to wash their hands of making unpopular decisions and enpower Technicrats to do so. Any Treaty should not be detromental to any elments of our Human Rights. Nor should they be set aside in the name of collective health. We are Soverign individuals. No one is above another. My greatest concern is that Treaty will attempt to make treatments mandatory. To my mind this would be totally unacceptable.

Organization	Written submission
Privat	I hereby call on you to stop the project immediately. After 2 years of the alleged pandemic, it is clear that there never was one. Looking at the totality of events, it can be seen that the WHO's measures did not serve the health of the people. All future political decisions must continue to be made exclusively by democratically elected parties together with independent advisors in the individual countries around the world. Two of my family members have lost their lives because of the WHO's actions. The WHO is neither independent nor democratically elected. The links with the pharmaceutical companies should never have been established in this form. The disastrous results of the last 2 years are proof that it cannot be a good decision to blindly rely on the recommendations of the WHO. Yours sincerely Lars Töpfer Translated with www.DeepL.com/Translator (free version) Ich fordere Sie hiermit auf, das Vorhaben unverzüglich zu stoppen. Nach 2 Jahren der angeblichen Pandemie steht fest, dass es diese wohl nie gegeben hat. Betrachtet man die Gesamtheit der Ereignisse, so ist festzustellen, dass die Maßnahmen der WHO nicht der Gesundheit der Menschen diente. Alle zukünftigen politischen Entscheidungen dürfen auch weiterhin ausschließlich von demokratisch gewählten Parteien zusammen mit unabhängigen Beratern in den einzelnen Ländern auf der Erde getroffen werden. Durch die MAßnahmen der WHO haben zwei meiner Familienmitglieder ihr Leben verloren. Die WHO ist weder unabhängig- noch demokratisch gewählt. Die Verbindungen zu den Pharmakonzernen hätten in dieser Form nie entstehen dürfen. Die katastrophalen Ergebnisse der letzten 2 Jahre sind der Beweis dafür, dass es keine gute Entsche Mit freundlichen Grüßen Lars Töpfer
Not applicable	I hereby declare that a World Health Organization international treaty on dealing with pandemics is a disingenuous way to take action. All issues are local, and there is no standard that can be meted out for all countries. Climates, food availability, transportation and medical resources are different throughout the world. The climate of the desert countries cannot be deemed equal to the tropical ones. You are very much taking on too much and the issue of pandemics are to be dealt internally, domestically, not internationally. Your most substantive action should be to go back to your original mission of reporting issues and finding financial ways to help those who need it the most. Again public health, and a particular country's civil or medical concerns are not for a one-size-fits-all program. Communities and private people are to be in control of their own health, and a private matter between a doctor and patient. Respectfully submitted. Olivia Anastasiadis
Self	I hereby declare that I DO NOT agree with your treaty. I am a sovereign person and do not recognise the WHO's attempts at Global control and governance under the pretence of staged health measures. Each country is unique and individual and a One World System goes against God and the well being of all mankind. I reject the WHO's attempts to take control and profiteer through their elite business dealings within this global body. Covid 19 is a staged event to further the agenda of the global elite and people KNOW that now
Self	I hereby declare that no matter what you think you cannot ever control the individual rights of human beings. It is our nature to be free. No medical tyranny.
Expressive Arts Therapy Vancouver	I hereby declare that the following terms must be included in any new developments being put forth by the WHO. 1. National and local leadership retains full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. That there is more effort put forth to inform people of these public hearings and to allow for more time and more submissions from people. There seems to have been very little notice and public awareness about these proceedings. Thank you, Lee Cherry
as above	I hereby declare the following 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Bluesiana	I hereby declare, that I am against the legal obligaton of the Covid vacination .I am of age to decide this. I do not permit any physical intervention by law !
private	I hereby make the following suggestion regarding elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be guaranteed. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it needs to be implemented. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers need to be put in place.
private	I hereby make the following suggestion regarding elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be guaranteed. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it needs to be implemented. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers need to be put in place.
none	I hereby object to the implementation of an international pandemic treaty. I don't see any need for such a treaty. Furthermore I think that such a treaty would actually pose a handicap on governments ability to respond to regional needs in case of a pandemic. I also strongly oppose such a treaty because the World Health Organisation would overstep the intended purpose as global advisary institution. Also, the implementation of this treaty goes against democratic principles and raises suspicion that the World Health Organisation is no longer an Independant Organisation wuth focus on Health but rather a tool in the Globalist Agenda. No to the International Pandemic Treaty!
Private	I hereby object to this treaty, we cannot have our freedoms in the hands of a International organisation that can pick and choose the next "pandemic" as they see fit for their own totalitarian agenda.
Self	I hereby request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. The WHO treaty should not supersede the Constitution of the United States. No one voted for you or this treaty.
NA	I hereby request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. I also request that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response may include a national veto right and at least two independent organizations which approve the scientific validity and necessity of suggested responses. The "instrument" should by international law be forbidden to receive lobby money from companies or private actors to avoid conflicts of interests. Also it should be subjected to laws like the Nuremberg code.
deltastar nutrients	I hereby state that I have not elected nor authorized the WHO or any other governing body to imply any measurements impacting my individual freedom related to any endemic or pandemic disease whatsoever. I stand by my right as an individual citizen in a free world to make my personal choices in any matter including health and will not accept any form of discrimination based on any treaty by the WHO or other governing bodies which I did not directly elect.

Private Australian Citizen being a Bible Believing Christian	I hereby submit my personal views on the extreme undemocratic powers sought by WHO to assist this organization in forcing citizens from countries all over the world into Actions and Situations beyond their own control, and subjecting such persons to the removal of basic freedoms for which they have fought and regard as God-Given in our Free Societies. The following conditions as expressed by the World council for Health are the best I can find to explain my position.
	Why do I need to frame my submission using WHO's provided context?
	Because the WHO reserves the right to judge the relevancy of submissions, it is important to respond to the prompt in an appropriate yet constructive manner. As such, the World Council for Health suggests the following elements be addressed:
	   • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
	   • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	   • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	   • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The hearing will be livestreamed here on Tuesday, April 12.
	
	Thank you,
	Steve Ireland.

Personal	I hereby submit that 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

not applicable	I hereby submit that the following be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
	   Nations and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	   It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	   There should be set in place measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Citizenship Germany	I hereby unequivocally oppose the WHO's proposed new international pandemic preparedness and response tool. We, in the respective countries affected people, have in no way democratically legitimized the staff and the constitution of the WHO. Therefore, the WHO is not entitled to give us binding instructions. Gladly the WHO can continue to give recommendations for healthy and protective behavior. It could also present ideas on pandemic control to the people/member countries in a public discus and have a democratic vote on WHO's working methods and powers. Also, there is an urgent need for a court or review and oversight body for WHO! I neither agree nor authorize any German or other authority to agree on my behalf to any restriction or violation of my essential human rights to bodily integrity, privacy, freedom to travel, freedom of consent, freedom of expression and the right to earn an income without harassment , persecution, coercion and/or discrimination - including the WHO.
Private	I herewith confirm that I as a living woman do not consent to the South African government entering into a treaty with the WHO in their quest of a global overreach to remove we the South African people's sovereign right to self-rule. Freedom is an unalienable right and no institution finds itself above natural law, which is to be respected, regarded and revered.
Laboratory Automation Industry	I herewith I declare NOT to consent to any agreement leading to the right of WHO to impose any form of mandatory vaccination. Vaccination must remain subject of a personal decision of every human, regardless of the reasons for a vaccination NO government shall have the right to make regulations for a mandatory vaccination.
Homeschool	I highly disagree that WHO should take the full responsibility for pandemic responses. As the last 2 years have shown, no one organization has all the answers for all people. The WHO has not even been consistent on its own recommendations. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	I hope you'll stay true to your creed to give utmost importance to informed opinion and public cooperation. It is a moral imperative that you leave the ultimate personalhealth decisions in the hands of the family and the individual, especially concerning drugs that are injected into the body, including vaccines.
Interested citizen.	I humble suggest that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, and reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is essential. I further believe that an open and transparent process with the ability for all the people of the world to have a vote on and including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Moreover, measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Order of St. John Knights Hospitalier	I humbly ask you consider 4 important things for pandemic preparedness. 1. Solar activity, a spike in activity predetermines pandemics. 2. Electrication system changes, increases in EMF/ELF exposures predetermines pandemics. 3. Vaccinations which cripple immune functions is highly indicative of causing pandemics. 4. Poisoning of municipal water suppies with synthetic venom enzymes, as with Covid19, is an act of bioterrorism that OBVIOULY CAUSES PANDEMICS. Stop doing No. 3 and No. 4, reduce or make safe No. 2 using wired sysyems or sheilding and regarding No. 1, we are at the mercy of the Sun, when it become active, distribute suppliments to help the human immune system and increase survival rates.
Free citizen in the United States	I humbly request the below be included in any international preparedness and response agreement: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Only assisting in data collection and all efforts must be transparent to the general public. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. All influences of people or entities with a vested interest must be avoided, for example pharmaceutical companies (and their employees) must not be involved in recommending drugs. All recommendations must provide evidence as well as open discussion with all physicians.
PRIVATE	I implore of those who will decide about the implementation of this new law to consider the implications of this action as I believe it is against our freedom rights enshrined in our constitution. As could be seen from the low rate of jabs that was accepted by the population it should be clear that this countries population are not accepting the world wide narrative regarding the handling of the situation
Citizen	I implore you to include the following 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, their associates or any other global health profiteers. Thank you

no organization	I insist on the following stipulations formulated by the Health council:
	   National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
	   The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	   An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	   Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Self	I insist on: >> National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. >>The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. >>An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. >>Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. A global "instrument" means a global faceless authority and I do not agree on that!
none	I jane mariouw, do oppose any individual or group from taking away the sovereign right to bodily autonomy, free movement, free speech, free association of any individual. no rules, policies, treaties, laws must ever be made which prohibit these rights.
Living breathing man	I join with others calling on the WHO to desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and the people who live here as this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The WHO is to desist from rewriting established science, or allowing others, without question, to rewrite established science, in order to create "pandemics" at will. Further, the WHO will not threaten our freedom and our inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping, one-size-fits-all, top-down mandate we did not give them. Also, this agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare, unjustified and bogus "pandemics", impose dehumanising lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation that do work is to be null and void. And, the WHO will recognise and acknowledge that their lofty position in the world effectively disconnects them from the greater majority of people, thus making it impossible for the WHO to truly know what problems the majority of us face and how to deal with those problems effectively. The WHO will make it possible, by extending the deadline for these submissions, and by funding a broad, worldwide advertising campaign alerting people to the need for their best reasoning powers to solve these problems, and, further, the WHO, while being free to exercise their right not to be abused by people, will allow for all voices to be heard without censorship. We are one human race on one planet. Forcing people into compliance with what they reasonably perceive to be bad ideas is NOT the way forward.
Independent artist	I Julie Simmons of sound mind and body defy the order of the world vaccine pass as it goes against my personal freedoms and I am not in line with the who plan for humanity . STOP
none	I just read a study by Politico that confirmed that LOCAL action by individual states provided the best response to the Covid pandemic. If we have a group that provides guidelines and suggestions for future pandemics, what we should have is that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We definitely should have the ability of nations AND local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including FAILSAFE measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Additionally, we should have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health PROFITEERS. Lastly, this international group that provides these guidelines should be ELECTED-NOT APPOINTED- and should have no conflicts of interest (meaning, no fiduciary interest in any company that profits off the health of humans)
self	I kindly am submitting my response re: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" It is important that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, Celestina Hart British Columbia, Canada
Taxpayer	I like having our sovereign nature as a country and clearly say I do NOT want any kind of standard one size fits all sort of thing. I don NOT want the WHO to decide anything for me. Say NO to the WHO. It might make "recommendations" to a degree, but that's all. I get to decide my health choices !!! Got it?!
None	I like Mr. Ridowski with his response to this and Dr. Rima LaBow. I do not consent to any tyrannical methods. So much has been hidden from the public. Untruths. People need health freedom from governments. There is proof that those places that did nothing but live normal lives had less problems. So much of this has been fraudulent. We can follow the money to study more about this. The mainstream media has been complicit in fear based false claims, causing harm. The damage to humanity has been outrageous. Enough is enough. We do not consent to medical tyranny. No harm should come to anyone with masks, lockdowns, mandates, vaccines or any forced health care. Countries must say no to this tyranny.
Daughter of the King	I like my Freedoms! Your proposed agreement undermines that. Instead, we need: 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health organizations to profit.
Private Citizen	I like to participate in the public hearing of the World Heath Organization regarding all aspects of future pandemics. Thank you, Sarah Porfilio
Dr.Barbara Powell	I like to quote the Canadian MP: "As per the WHO, the treaty is to be upheld by the WHO's constitution, which under Article 19 "[provides] the World Health Assembly with the authority to adopt conventions or agreements on any matter within WHO's competence." [Emphasis added] This authority was originally designed to pertain only to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control but is being expanded to include dictating Member States' future pandemic responses. According to Lewis, a lawyer with international experience, "The treaty includes 190 countries and would be legally binding. The treaty defines and classifies what is considered a pandemic, and this could consist of broad classifications, including an increase in cancers, heart conditions, strokes, etc. If a pandemic is declared, the WHO takes over the global health management of the pandemic." "This treaty has very serious implications for our national health sovereignty. Under this treaty, Canada would essentially be surrendering our national health sovereignty to the WHO when it comes to responding to pandemics," Lewis continues. "I am not opposed to working with our allies around the world when it comes to fighting pandemics, but there are many reasons why Canada absolutely cannot sign this treaty." Lewis continues, that not only would the WHO get to dictate Canada's healthcare response during a pandemic, but they would also gain the power to determine what a pandemic is and when one is occurring. In effect, the WHO would be able to seize the reins of power over Canada's healthcare system whenever they feel like it. It is intolerable to consider the WHO able to seize the reins of power over Canada's healthcare system whenever they feel like it.
privat	I like to request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies . Thank you.
Private	I like to stay with the existing rules that each state can make their own decisions. No more rights for NGOs
Università eCampus	I list here the substantive elements that, following the very intense experience and the huge debate we had in Europe and particularly in Italy during the last two years, seem really important to be taken into account in a prospective international instrument about pandemic preparedness and response: - strengthen territorial medicine investing resources in MDs training and organizational capacities - strengthen non-pharmaceutical approaches to healthcare in terms of education and information campaings that should not provide taken-for-granted solutions or recipes for a healty lifestyle but, rather, increase the general awareness about the key issues, the open debates, the main topics that can impact individual choices about personal lifestyles - keep pharmaceutical producers fully accountable in front of the public, avoid secret contracts and deals for publicly funded productions and distributions of any kind - protect the freedom of speech at all levels as a necessary way to protect a truly scientific debate, avoid censorship and the confusion between scientific arguments and "scientific beliefs". A truly scientific approach does not admit any authoritarian argument and in front of existential threats we all desperately need to fully exploit the epistemological potential of truly scientific approaches. - promote Post Normal Science approaches, also in terms of the selection of "experts" and "specialists" involved in decision-making processes, in all phases of the crisis management. - protect individual freedom of choice in health issues. - Give priority to caution/prudence in the risk/benefit analyses of any measure proposed to tackle any aspect of the pandemic crisis, particularly with regards to children.
Home	I list here the substantive elements that, following the very intense experience and the huge debate we had in Europe and particularly in Italy during the last two years, seem really important to be taken into account. Signatories should: - strengthen territorial medicine, investing resources in MDs training and organizational capacities - strengthen non-pharmaceutical approaches to healthcare in terms of education and information cam-paings that should not provide taken-for-granted solutions or recipes for a healty lifestyle but, rather, in-crease the general awareness about the key issues, the open debates, the main topics that can impact in-dividual choices about personal lifestyles - keep pharmaceutical producers fully accountable in front of the public, avoid secret contracts and deals for publicly funded productions and distributions of any kind - protect the freedom of speech at all levels as a necessary way to protect a truly scientific debate, avoid censorship and the confusion between scientific arguments and "scientific beliefs". A truly scientific ap-proach does not admit any authoritarian argument and in front of existential threats we all desperately need to fully exploit the epistemological potential of truly scientific approaches. - promote Post Normal Science approaches, also in terms of the selection of "experts" and "specialists" in-volved in decision-making processes, in all phases of the crisis management. - protect individual freedom of choice in health issues. - Give priority to caution/prudence in the risk/benefit analyses of any measure proposed to tackle any as-pect of the pandemic crisis, particularly with regards to children.
Individual	I list those elements needs to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as below; - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	I live in a democracy where health freedom to choose is law. I don't want an intergovernmental organization choosing for me.
Highridge	I live in a democracy. This would give an unelected organisation powers above the elected. This is wrong on every level. If emergency powers are required during times of pandemic then elected governments can empower them. The WHO would have absolute power over every citizen with citizens unable to vote them out. NO, NO, NO!!!!!
None	I live in a free, democratic sovereign country. We have duly elected representatives who make decisions regarding the health and welfare of our citizens, and any mandates dictated by foreign, unelected globalist bureaucrats that override our native rights should be strongly resisted. The WHO has a poor record regarding the wellbeing of the world's population and I oppose any expansion of its influence.

Organization	Written submission
none	I live in Texas, USA. I do NOT want any international body telling me or my family how to deal with ANY form of health crisis. Leave all health related decisions to the local governments. WHO - stay out of my right to personal freedom. I don't think ANY body should make public health policy but it it is absolutely necessary, bring it down to the city or county level. Certainly NO international body should dictate USA or local health policy. Erin Botsford
Private US citizen	I live in the USA, and one-size-fits-all did not, could not, fit into our nation. We elect representatives based on what direction we think best suits our needs. You know this. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We think it is important, in this agreement, for there to be a provision allowing for the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Other items we think are important to include: An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best regards.
Self	I live in USA UNDER A CONSTITUTIONAL REPUBLIC! WE DID NOT ELECT THE WHO TO MAKE ANY DECISIONS FOR US! YOU ARE NOT OUR ELECTED OFFICIALS. WE HAVE SOVEREIGN RIGHTS GIVEN BY GOD! STOP THE TREATY NOW.
Private	I Lorenz Stocker born in Switzerland want to decide by my own what kind of measures needs to be taken to prevent a viral outbreak. We will also do everything to work against it- it's the decision of the people and their democratically voted representatives.
-	I MAN Muhammad, declaring under standing of Common Law to have not consent, nor authorized, nor delegated any man, or person, or individual to represent me as member to this Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness and Response. Therefore, any signatory without my consult or expressed agreement (with evidence by affidavit in public record) does not represent me. This treaty thus shall be deemed NULL AND VOID to me.
-	I MAN Paul Low declaring under standing of Common Law, to have not consent, nor authorized, nor delegated any person to represent me as member to this Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness. Therefore any signatory without my consult or expressed agreement (with evidence by affidavit in public record) does not represent me. This treaty thus shall be deemed NULL AND VOID to me.
UGA	I may only be a junior in college, but it is very clear to me how dangerous it is to put decisions like this into the hands of one organization. There needs to be checks and balances in place to make sure there are no hidden agendas in making these decisions. Also, I believe a lot of these decisions should be up to the Individuals and not dictated.
None	I Michael larson strongly suppose a one world organization making decisions for the world. Each and every country and there individual's has its own ability to decide its future. There should be no one size fits all when it comes to food production the environment and health. This needs to be done on a community level. The corporations governments and media have to be made accountable for there actions this needs to start with individuals not participating in there distractive behavior. Our very existence is on the line stop the censorship and allow all to enter into the discussion based on facts, history and individual experiences. Mike Larson
Habibi Corp	I must write, NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty. We need freedom as individuals, we must decide by ourselves the best for us!!
University of Bremen	I my opinion nothing should be included because such a treaty is unwarranted. a) it is problematic from a democratic standpoint. Citizens are not included properly b) it would have unintended and unwanted consequences. Any country not wanting to abide (or not being allowed to by decision of their local democratic process, which will supercede "WHO rules") would probably just have to leave the WHO. It is very doubtful that this would be helping anything...
Pozarzadowa	I never agree to take away my and my country's freedom to treat and decide about my body. Firm NO. Each country has its own specificity and wisdom. Nobody is going to take her from us. we have wise and educated doctors and a wonderful body with an immune system from God. We also have the right to life and freedom from God. Taking care of your health and body. I don't allow me and God to take it away from me.
Freedom	I never took your jabs and i knew it was all lies from day one, there are millions like me who oppose global governance and know the truth. It is written in the good book you will not achieve your goal but you will create suffering the world has never known. Just aswell you're all going to hell.
Citizen of germany	I not like a concept what will give the right to the WHO to decide over the government of the countries.
Sear Rogers International School	I note the priori assumption that this treaty is needed. The WHO-appointed intergovernmental negotiating body (INB) should first justify its existence and demonstrate why it is needed at this stage and, once this is established, seek legitimacy through a democratic nomination process. Second, if the INB resolves to go ahead with this process without a clear mandate from the people whose lives will be affected by its decisions, they should be aware that the notions of state and individual sovereignty and the respect for human rights should not and cannot be abolished, and neither does the WHO, or any self-appointed legal entity have the right to suspend said rights based on the invocation of a flimsy or false 'State of Emergency.' It should also be noted that no self-appointed governing body has the power and right to revoke the people's rights, such as informed consent and bodily autonomy, as described in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, The Nuremberg Code, and a multitude of National Bill of Rights all over the world. Third, if this INB persisted in arrogating itself the authority and right to make decisions regarding the people's God-given, and legally protected human rights, they should consider themselves legally liable for enacting, or providing the framework for any policy that may breach said rights. Furthermore, any government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other governmental bodies should also be made aware that they can and may be held accountable for any violations of the people's rights.
N/A	I object against this treaty as it has the huge potential to compromise and destroy privacy at global level. it has the potential to take health decision out of the hands of families and individuals and will create a dangerous international structure for sharing dangerous pathogens and genetic information and it will censor voices for informed consent, ending our freedom of expression, our right to knowledge and information and our right to make individual choices based on our personal sovereignty over our own body.
Private Individual	I object and oppose the World Health Organisation (WHO)'s moves to implement a global pandemic agreement. My rights and freedoms are granted by God, my divine creator. No person or organisation has authority to take it away. The WHO, its members and private funders, are not democratically elected by the people and, shouldn't be given such unilateral authority. It is a blatant infringement of our inalienable rights. This agreement is a power grab, is not needed, and does not best serve the people. This agreement will severely impact all people negatively. The manner in which the WHO are implementing the agreement, in the midst of a war, shows they are not being transparent and open for collaborative discussions. People have the right to participate in discussions regarding such an agreement. The WHO and UN's past actions have not garnered trust. WHO approved the use of the controversial swine flu shot that caused tremendous injuries. Their endorsement of lockdown measures during Covid-19 incident, suppressing the use of preventative treatments to save lives, furthermore, pushing the use of other medical interventions that were not effective nor safe to use. The substantial financial contributions from private funders to WHO are a conflict of interest. It provides funders access to push through their objectives instead of those that best serve the people. When such an organisation is compromised, it can no longer be trusted to act in the best interest of the people and should cease to exist, not granted more power.
Personal	I object and reject this proposal. This is un-democratic and does not allow me to hold any failure (should it occur) of WHO to account through my vote. I also have no say in who is appointed to lead WHO so I cannot see how they can sufficiently represent and balance my position and needs with those people in other parts of the world.
Individual	I object completely and totally to the Pandemic Preparedness Treaty, i.e. the plan for one global approach controlled by the WHO. The WHO are not democratically elected and therefore has no right to tell individual countries what they should do. Different countries and states adopted different approaches to Covid-19 and less authoritarian responses have given better results e.g. Sweden. Disaster planners agree that a single approach is not the answer and that local flexibility is needed to respond to local changing circumstances. Implementation of this treaty would be a gross violation of human rights and I do not consent to it. The WHO should act as an advisory body and should not be given power to override elected sovereign governments.
Not Associated with an Organisation	I object completely and totally to the Pandemic Preparedness Treaty, ie the plan to for one global approach controlled by the WHO, who are not democratically elected and therefore, should only be in existence as an advisory body. The only substantive element they should keep is at an advisory level. Disaster planners worldwide agree that a single approach is harmful and a single approach should not be adopted. That flexibility is required to better adapt to changing circumstances at a local level, therefore, the right to decision making and independent thinking within different countries/cultures and at all different levels of government should be the only substantive element in any kind of preparedness planning. A world where people have no right of reply or the ability to make democratic change would be a gross violation of human rights to which the people of the world do not consent, as I do not consent. The WHO should only ever remain as an advisory body only and must never be given power to override elected sovereign government.
Personal	I object completely and totally to the Pandemic Preparedness Treaty, ie the plan to for one global approach controlled by the WHO. The WHO is not democratically elected and has no right to dictate to individual countries. One size does not fit all as clearly demonstrated over the last two years where countries and even states have adopted differing approaches with demonstrable differing effects eg Sweden vs Israel, Florida vs California whereby less authoritarian/draconian responses have given better results. Disaster planners worldwide agree that a single approach is harmful, local flexibility is required to better adapt to changing circumstances at a local level. The WHO has changed definitions and massaged data over the last two years. It is clearly being controlled by entities who would benefit greatly from all citizens of the world being controlled by one Global government. It is funded by the very bodies that benefit from it's decisions and there are no proper checks and measures in place to ensure that it's decision making is indeed in the best interest of the world population or is in fact just another scheme to put money and power into the possession of the few. Giving more power to the WHO is unsafe - it is a globally corrupt organisation. Above all, the 'one world' approach is totalitarian where people of the world have no right of reply or the ability to make democratic change. Implementation of this treaty would be a gross violation of human rights to which the people of the world do not consent as I do not consent. The WHO should in fact be disbanded but at the very most should remain as an advisory body only and must never be given power to override elected sovereign government.
Australian Citizen	I object completely the WHO's treaty for a globalised health response as this response should be actioned by accountable National and local leadership who should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Further, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be a definite part of any global treaty. The WHO is not a dictatorship is it? The way this should operate is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. As we know and history has taught us, globalised, centralised power can easily become corrupted and if the treaty in its current form progresses, it will for sure be subject to turning totalitarian. The WHO has no right or business introducing any treaties that supersede any countries freedom, human rights and constitutions.
Self	I object morally and ethically to any one entity dictating to the nations of our globe in terms of any requirements and certainly not health care. The sovereign rights of an individual and the constitution of the country the reside in should always come first and be upheld. This proposal has way to much power being given to one entity and the grounds for corruption when holding so much power are huge.
Individual	I object strongly to allowing an unelected body to control the autonomy of nations states. We did not elect the WHO and there has been contradictory and changing advice for them throughout the Covid 19 pandemic. I see no reason why they should be allowed control over what our individual govts do. There will never be one strategy which fits all nations and citizens.
Engineering aerospace	I object strongly to any governance of the WHO, your lockdowns area violation of hillman human rights. In addition all advice that you have propagated has driven the worst results. I understand that you are advisory and you should be no more than simply this. You have lost all credibility and have NOT been democratically nominated to decide the world's future. Stand down or be dissolved !!!!
	I object strongly to the proposed pandemic agreement.
Pani	I object the proceeding and signing of the WHO pandemic treaty by my country, Poland. "We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Contractor	I object the treaty which will suffer the American Constitution.
Independent	I object the WHO pandemic treated as a whole for the following reasons. *It is well documented that many of the members of the WHO have financial investments in the pharmaceutical industry and therefore share a conflict of interest in global health decisions. * The WHO receives funding from private companies and organisations and is therefore a compromised agency and should not be responsible for deciding global health policies.
n/a	I object to a global pandemic agreement. Sovereign nations ought not to be taking orders from any global agency or agreement and it is offensive to have any such thing forced upon us. But if an agreement is written, I insist that all national and local autonomy be retained for determining what is best for our own people. I also insist that all nations and local municipalities retain the right to opt out of any part of it, without consequence. I insist on a transparent process that prevents application of the agreement wherever the majority do not want the it. I insist that in include measures that prevent profiteering by pharmaceutical companies or any other global health profiteers. This global pandemic treaty is an offense to anyone who loves freedom and it ought to be struck down with all speed and good conscience.
Global citizen	I object to a one size fits all global response, directed by unelected entities. WHO & Bill Gates have not earned my trust.
World Citizen	I object to a world take-over of our health system by an organization that has NO concern for humanity.
Us citizen	I object to an International Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness if it involves ANY kind of treaty that removes power from the US (or any other country). Imposing more restrictions and legally binding pandemic policies would be dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Giving the WHO the power to preempt the national sovereignty of member states with a legally binding treaty violates the civil liberties of the world. TheUnited States is capable of determining and implementing our own pandemic preparedness policies. Americans choose autonomy and the freedom to make their own health care decisions, emergency or not.
WillowTree Wildlife	I object to an international standard for health care response. The individual will have no freedoms and be lost to the will of the UNELECTED WHO. I strongly disagree! Thank you.
Private citizen	I object to an undemocratic organism having control of national policy. You are unelected therefore unaccountable for your actions which have in the past been lamentable and disastrous for the human race.
Private Citizen	I object to and am vehemently opposed to this treaty agreement for the following reasons: 1) National and local leadership should ALWAYS retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) Nations and local municipalities should ALWAYS have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) Any agreement MUST have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Any agreement MUST have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration of my objections.
Private Citizen of New York State, USA	I object to and do not see or support a need for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, or a legally binding pandemic treaty. I strongly object to the WHO having power over decisions that affect my life and health. I firmly believe that all decisions regarding my personal health and actions I take (or do not take) to protect it need to stay as close to home as possible and should not involve lock downs, quarantines, vaccine mandates or vaccination passports. The U.S.A. is a democracy and it is my freedom, my right to choose what I need and want to do to protect myself from any and all diseases, including when an emergency or pandemic exists.
None	I object to and oppose this Treaty. It is immoral and unethical. Everyone should be allowed to assess their own medical health and response to any health issue. No one else should decide for them forcefully. To each their own. Please be respectful and do not sign this treaty. I used to love thé WHO and after seeing this I am so disturbed. Why are you doing this to people?
Individual/human being	I object to any form of pressure from WHO on countries to introduce the following: mandatory vaccinations, any form of digital identity certificate that is combined with vaccine certificate that causes any form of discrimination or penalization for those who do not wish to participate in such a programme. WHO should remain an advisory body, giving recommendations ONLY.
Private citizen	I object to any holistic overarching treaties suspending any counties laws and powers. People are more than capable in governing themselves at each individual country without oversight from any external entities. I do not consent to any unelected entities governing my country irrespective of a crisis. No treaty. Full stop.
Private citizen of Canada	I object to any international governing body that will be granted authority over Canada. Rather, it is my conviction that if an international body be composed there must be 3 conditions met: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * Measures be put in place that do not allow for influence by any or all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I object to any international governing body that will be granted authority over Canada. Rather, it is my conviction that if an international body be composed there must be 3 conditions met: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * Measures be put in place that do not allow for influence by any or all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I object to any international governing body that will be granted authority over Canada. Rather, it is my conviction that if an international body be composed there must be 3 conditions met: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * Measures be put in place that do not allow for influence by any or all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian	I object to any international governing body that will be granted authority over Canada. Rather, it is my conviction that if an international body be composed there must be 3 conditions met: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * Measures be put in place that do not allow for influence by any or all pharmaceutical companies, any global entity, or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	I object to any treaty that could potentially undermine individual liberties as stated in the US Constitution and Bill of Rights, or that would undermine national sovereignties of any country. I believe that all individual or national mandates should be irrevocably prohibited in the proposed treaty and that all individuals, communities, organizations and/or governing bodies both private and public must be allowed to withdraw from any or all participation, including financial support, from any health oriented treaty.
Irish citizen	I object to anything which involves the removal of national sovereignty because it is unconstitutional. Any international plan to deal with health issues ideally will take into account our basic constitutional rights.
Self	I object to giving any organization, much less one as incompetent and corrupt as WHO, any actual authority over actual sovereign states. Here is a list of my suggestions on how to modify this abhorrent proposal: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Plumage	I object to global preparedness measures overruling national authority. Countries should stay autonomous in their health policies. The WHO is not a global government of Health, but should be a supportive organisation.
Personal Opinion	I object to having the WHO have power over the medical future of the United States beyond offering recommendations and to be an instrument for sharing information among member countries. Some suggested requirements for an agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
On behalf of myself and my family	I object to the a priori assumption that such a treaty should be made. Your question is what should be included in the treaty, not should the treaty exist. That said, any future treaty should unequivocally include the following: • the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty. The WHO should not have the power to overrule countries' governments in any matter. • respect for human rights • legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies - things that have been completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Private individual	I object to the concept of a global plan - the WHO has no business in the dealings of individual countries
Private Citizen	I object to the envisioned overriding of popular sovereignty by an supernational body, the WHO. As the treaty envisions, no public accountability in terms of appointees and their decisions is foreseen. Hence, there is no-one who could be held accountable anywhere. Stop this treaty before it destroys whatever is left of popular sovereignty and civil rights and liberties.
Michael S. Oswald, Chartered	I object to the formation of any international pandemic treaty. The WHO and other unelected bodies have demonstrated during the C-19 pandemic that it is unfit to wield any power or influence in matters of individual health under the guise of "public health." Please leave each of us alone to exercise our natural (God-given) rights to autonomy.
Private	I object to the government 's intent to strip us of our human right to freedom of choice and to be limited to tyrannical measures to fight a virus that can not be produced in all the world.We have been "following" the real science and this shows that herd immunity was reached in 2021, so why are we even having any of these no sense measures still enforced? Know that The Great Lord is witness to what is happening...
None	I object to the idea of a global response to a pandemic because all countries and even regions within countries have different situations and resources. The imposition of rules created by a central global authority is against my human right to have a say in the matter. It is similar to taxation without representation and is totally unacceptable.
None	I object to the idea of the WHO determining pandemic response for the following reasons: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is the essence of freedom. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is necessary for peace and prosperity among all nations. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are ill advised.
General public independent	I object to the PANDEMIC TREATY because I do not recognise their authority and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation means the WHO lack independence and can therefore have no authority over us. Thanks you
Individual	I OBJECT TO THE PANDEMIC TREATY FOR PREVENTION, PREPAREDNESS & RESPONSE. THIS IS NOT A ONE SIZE FITS ALL. EVERY COUNTRY, STATE & REGION SHOULD MAKE THEIR OWN DECISIONS. THIS SHOULD BE BASED ON HOW IT AFFECTS THEIR REGION & PEOPLE. THE PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES OR OTHER HEALTH CONCERNS SHOULD NOT PROFIT OR MAKE DECISIONS REGARDING A PANDEMIC. THIS NEEDS TO BE SCIENCE BASED NOT POLITICAL SCIENCE. MANY MISTAKES WERE MADE DURING THE COVID PANDEMIC SUCH AS KEEPING PEOPLE AT RISK SAFE. WE LEARNED FROM THIS SITUATION , THUS, I FIND THIS AGREEMENT UNNECESSARY.
none	I object to the proposal as presented, because the actions are unwarranted, unnecessary, and inappropriate. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is important that nations and local municipalities be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. What would be more helpful is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is imperative that all measures remain independent of influence from any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Don Alexander, USA
Camerons Accountants	I object to the proposal by the WHO to an international pandemic treaty. As a citizen of Australia I think that the control of any future pandemic response should solely be in the hands of the elected members of the Parliament of Australia. This means that any Australian response is debated in Australian parliament, by the members of Parliament that have been elected by the people of Australia. If the treaty does go ahead I would like to see the following included:- - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non	I object to the proposal on the basis that sovereign states and elected representatives should makes decisions of this nature. To much damage throughout the pandemic has been made by unelected representatives who have been given to much power without being accountable for the damaging decisions made.
Private	I object to the proposal that the WHO take control of pandemic administration at a global level. It is not realistic to impose a 'one size fits all' mandate that clearly will not be warranted in a high percentage of a population. No Nation, or State or municipality should cede its medical sovereignty to the WHO. Moreover, it is disturbing to note that the WHO have recently changed the definition of what constitutes a "Pandemic" to longer require significant mortality to be associated with any pandemic. This unnecessarily widens the door for WHO intervention in cases that are unlikely to be warranted. At the end of the day, medical intervention is always a decision between the patient and physician, based on best pracice and not one for a global body to dictate. National medical sovereignty must be preserved. Any WHO Treaty threatens that sovereignty, despite the wording of the Treaty.
Private	I object to the proposals that the Who and the Wha should have any say over how Ireland responds to a pandemic. We as a sovereign state should decide for ourselves. The trend to rely or allow external organisations to determine how we respond is not acceptable. I strongly oppose any interference in our sovereign country. If they wish to advise that is fine but telling us or having any control is abhorrent.
Canadian Citizen	I object to the proposed preparedness treaty giving international power to the World Health Organization (WHO) as that threatens our independence by giving way to global control and puts us at risk for harm. The WHO does not have the necessary knowledge of the unique situations of each country and their citizens and therefore, cannot act in their best interests. The WHO receives funding from individual sources and is therefore open to conflict of interest. This type of influence will no doubt negatively impact their decisions. The WHO's inability to properly manage a pandemic was shown during Covid-19. Experimental vaccines and other experimental measures were promoted that caused harm to so many when prevention and early treatment measures were silenced resulting in unnecessary harm to many. The WHO has created a vaccine passport that served no scientific purpose and has caused more harm than good. These vaccines do not prevent you from catching the virus or from spreading the virus. The vaccine passport unnecessarily restricted movement for some which lead to isolation, increased mental health issues, suicides and more. Again, I oppose giving international power to the WHO. Countries should retain authority over their country and individuals should be free to make decisions and medical choices over their own body without external threats, controls and negative consequences.
self	I object to the the WHO's proposed World Pandemic Authority in general, however, if any Treaty is to be invoked the following minimum criteria shall be fully included and recognized: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Private Citizen	I object to the treaty as any agreement MUST allow or fulfill: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hatha Yoga Center	I object to the treaty. It is unconstitutional. Medical freedom for all USA citizens.
US Citizen	I object to the treaty; one size does not fit all 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	I object to the United States joining any World Pandemic Plan that would violate our Constitution! The U.S. Constitution protects its Citizens from anyone including the WHO!
B	I object to the WHO agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	I object to the WHO becoming an intervening organization in the sovereign affairs of sovereign countries. Only elected governments should have the authority to determine its policies.
General public	I object to the WHO having a global pandemic plan that all countries sign up to. WHO is not a democratically voted in organisation. We have a government that we vote in and who we hold to account for the decisions they make. It should be down to each individual country to manage as they see fit, as cultures and societies are different, and if the people are unhappy they can vote against them in the ballot box. People have no such recourse with WHO, who will effectively have control and no accountability. This is completely undemocratic!
The Who	I object to the WHO pandemic treaty I do not recognise their authority such as the bill and Melinda gates foundation means the who lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over us
The people	I object to the WHO taking over our government, we are governed by people in the UK, not unelected bodies who can create a pandemic like the last one, no more lockdowns, mandates, the WHO have got it all wrong from the beginning, this is a simple NO, our country is a democratic society, and we want to stay that way.
Private citizen	I object to the WHO Treaty in its entirety. The WHO has not shown itself to be reliable in assisting countries in managing the Covid-19 crisis. Instead, it sent out mixed messages, causing great harm and death to many. Countries must manage the health of their citizens by themselves, without interference from international bodies or institutions.
Individual	I object to the WHO treaty. Countries should retain their sovereignty.
Na	I object to the WHO treaty. An unelected outside body meddling in the affairs of a sovereign nation.
None	I object to the WHO's proposed treaty for the following reasons: --National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -- The ability of nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. --There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. --Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be included. --Looking at pandemic death statistics, both Florida and Sweden did it correctly. Allowing for local control and decision-making has always been a superior approach because they can function as laboratories for what works.
Personal	I object to the world health organisation having medical rights over other countries health care and being able to speak on behalf of myself as a resident of another country. The u.k and the rest of the world should keep their rights.
Self	I object to there being an international instrument that for pandemic response Each country is quite capable to manage their own situation with advice only from the World Health Organization. Each country is an individual identity and needs to reserve that right. I vote that there is no need to draft and negotiate a WHO convention, agreement or other international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response (the INB).
Personal	I object to these proposals for a number of reasons: 1) You are an unelected body and should not have jurisdiction over the policy of sovereign states. 2) Your response to Covid-19 was contradictory and vastly over stated the human risk e.g. the efficacy of face masks, lockdowns, etc 3) Your funding is questionable, particularly from China, Big Pharma and the Gates Foundation and therefore we (normal every day people) cannot rely on you having our best interests at heart. 4) I am fundamentally against a centralised bio-state where our fundamental freedoms are eroded by vaccine passports, digital ID's and drug mandates.
non	I object to this as it will take away focus on individual countries and individual healthcare and put in place a one size fits all philosophy which simply does not work in regards to health and the varied and multi-faceted nature of health problems. It may not be the intention of the act but it is inevitable that it will work that way sovereign countries need to be able to deal with problems in their own way.
Bbc	I object to this because I do not recognise your authority. The influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation means the WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over me!
N/A	I object to this completely because we need to have a very serious inquiry into how the pandemic started, establish the facts about why our pandemic strategy was ignored and more importantly why was Lockdowns followed instead of allowing the public to decide at their own risk how to manage the risk. I won't be locked down again or restrict my life, I want to see pandemic policy only follow medical guidance, allow personal responsibility and trust humanity to manage a pandemic outbreak. Lockdowns must be removed from the table. Thank you.
None	I object to this I initiative. I believe in the autonomy of each nation to determine how they manage pandemics.
Me and Myself	I object to this idea! Every country should have its own instatutions that decide what's best for its citizens. The who is a corrupted organization that shouldn't be deciding anything for anyone!
None	I object to this initiation. Each country has its own demographic, laws and social texture, and MUST be able to decide for itself what is the best way to deal with every situation, which will serve the well being of its citizens. We CAN NOT sterilize the power of the government and freedom of people, and shift it to one global organization. Also, as a citizen in democracy, I didn't vote for a global organization/ government which will decide for me.
Private	I object to this international agreement or instrument. World Health Organization can only advise my government, but I want my government to judge and decide on its own how to react to question about my health. That's why I think the agreement should not be singed and concluded or that at least the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
person	I object to this international agreement. You can only advise my government, but I want my government to judge and decide how to react to questions about my health.
Privat	I object to this international agreement. You can only advise my government, but I want my government to judge and decide how to react to questions about my health.
N/A	I object to this plan!
New Zealander	I object to this treaty as it is undemocratic. We, as individuals, do not require this type of monitoring, we do not require an external organisation advising us on what can or cannot be allowed. We are a small country well above to manage our own health and well being. I object to this over reach by an organisation who does not know our way of doing things. I do not agree.
Ireland	I object to this treaty on the basis that it cancels an individual's rights to bodily integrity and autonomy. This treaty is undemocratic, an abuse of power and formed with WHO stakeholders with conflicts of interest.
n/a	I object to this treaty. It is a terrible idea with no human benefit.
Sarasota Psychiatric Services	I object to this treaty. As a citizen of the USA. I do not agree with this globalized approach.
World Citizen	I object to this treaty. It bypasses national and local autonomy, fails open transparency and the right of democracy and involves measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other profiteers
	I object to this wholeheartedly. I should be in control of what i put into my own body end of . I am not an experiment i am i human being and deserve to be treated as one . One with a choice of being vaccinated or not and i choose not
myself	I object to unelected individuals taking important positions of power & influence within the World Health Authority. Given the power that has been wielded by this organisation during the last 2 years,anyone holding a position of authority and influence within this organisation must be elected by the people worldwide. Starting with local, then regional then national then international elections with complete transparency and sufficient publicity and awareness by the electorate.
GP	I object to WHO proposal as their management of previous Pandemics was Unscientific,influenced by Vaccine companys and ignored the evolving knowledge from the Scientific Community.WHO appears to have colluded with Public Health Doctors,Legacy Media and Social Media to construct an erronious Narrative to both generate Fear and promote unsafe vaccines with the help of Regulatory Health Agencies. Dr.Seamus O'Flynn GP
N/a	I object to your global pandemic treaty. You are not trustworthy and you were not voted in my the electorate of any country. Each country should vote on measures should another pandemic come around. I'm also against Digital ID as they do not work.
Private	I object to your submission... You are trying to take people's freedom and choice over thier body
no affiliation	I object vaccine mandates and vaccine passports People need freedom to travel and work without need for vaccine No politician to have financial gains from vaccine companies No financial gains to Drs No censorship of what Drs are saying who oppose the narrative Modeling needs to be thrown out and real data with no bribery
None	I object very strongly to the proposed introduction by the Irish government regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response initiated by the WHO. I Do not consent to the Irish government giving away my Country's sovereignty.
Private	I object. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
adi	I object. People are free to choose for their own how to treat their body. The WHO can not choose for the whole world.
	I object. The WHO should have no legal power on any matter anywhere and should act as an advisory capacity only, if at all. As a single issue voter a will support any political party that agrees with my position.
Green Party	I offer these comments in response to the question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. All national and local governments and health agencies must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make independent decisions based on what is determined to be in the best interest of their own citizenry. 2. All national and local governments and health agencies must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. A global agreement must be drafted and adopted in an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world who will be affected by the agreement to become fully informed of its provisions, and to participate in a vote on its implementation. A global agreement should not be implemented or mandated in jurisdictions where a majority of the people do not support it. 4. Conflicts of interest must be excluded and under no circumstances should the terms of the agreement be dictated by or influenced by profit-driven pharmaceutical companies or other medical industry profiteers.
Aussie Bushlands	I once thought WHO was a great organisation Then again I once believed in Australian governments. No to pandemic isolation, coercing, global power No to WHO, UN and authoritarianism. Your bloody drugs kill, maim and murder. No to WHO
Private individual	I one hundred percent oppose the WHO Treaty. It is immoral and goes against my sovereignty as a human. Yes I am still Human! I was born free and I will excercise choice over my own body. There is no need for this treaty, it is not in the best interest of anyone except WHO.
Privatperson	I only say NO! Stop that! We dont want it or need it! NO!!!
Citizen	I only say NO. Please stop that plan! We don't want it or need it. Respect for human rights.
self	I only say NO. Stop that! Stop that! We dont want it or need it! NO!!!
Stand for Health Freedom	I oppose a "one world government" of any kind, especially when it comes to my own personal freedoms regarding my health, my body and the health of my family. I believe in bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent. I believe in the right of individual civil liberties, human rights, and medical freedoms. I believe each American citizen is granted these inalienable rights from conception to death. Therefore, I strongly oppose any involvement in a binding global agreement which would give up the above mentioned values and freedoms. My medical health is individual and private, as it should be for each and every human being. The inevitable sharing of private health data that will occur with this treaty is in direct contrast with the fundamental rights guaranteed me and my family members in our American constitution. Furthermore, as a military spouse, I am passionate about supporting laws that will protect American sovereignty. If this treaty is enacted, the sovereignty that our military members (past, present and future) fought so bravely to protect will be for naught. Please consider the utmost prudence and decline any participation in this or future global agreements. The U.S. government should stand with the American people to ensure that our individual rights will always be protected and the sacrifice of our nations hero's stand eternal.
General public	I oppose a central, mandatory pandemic treaty! There should be no mandatory vaccines or discrimination against people who are not vaccinated. This ist a breach of human rights--to make His/her own decisions regarding one's own body. Also no funding for the WHO should be from private companies. Tamara Weterings
private Person	I oppose a control fo health informations of private persons as against the human basic rights of data privacy. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited.
Citizen of Earth	I oppose a global pandemic treaty. I do not trust the WHO. How about we stop permitting gain-of-function research in the name of world health?
privat	 I oppose a mandatory pandemic treaty.If it came to be:mandatory vaccines must be forbidden,liability must not be shifted to member states,treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.WHO must be financed fully by member states!
general public	I oppose a mandatory pandemic treaty.If it came to be:mandatory vaccines must be forbidden,vaccinations must be purchased at cost,liability must not be shifted to member states,treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
None	 I oppose a one-size-fits-all approach to any pandemic response or any treaty seeking to do so. I do not agree that the World Health Organization should enact a treaty giving that entity full global authority over any response strategy. Any pandemic response strategy should be decided solely by each nation and/or local authority or ultimately, each world citizen. Should a treaty be accepted, elements to be included are: 1. The WHO would act only to make pandemic response recommendations. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process whereby people of the world may opt out of the treaty in any locale where a majority of the people do not agree to any provisions of said treaty. 5. Transparent and verifiable measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company or other global health profiteer.
United States Citizen	I oppose a Pandemic Treaty.
CHD Advocate	I oppose an international instrument on pandemic response. I am in favor of individual countries deciding on their own course of action. One size does not fit all, and such homogenization violates the sovereignty of nation states and individuals.
Privat person	I oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty!
Na	I oppose an international pandemic approach. You have clearly shown that this organization is not to be trusted. It is heavily politically and financially driven and has not proven to have the best interest of the common folk at heart. Countries should be left alone to handle matters on their own they are not all created equal and it is not your place to intervene. Before all hope is lost in your organization I urge you to focus on your role to provide guidance and not impose on people and countries for which you do not govern. People need to asses their own risk and not be limited but unproven and unnecessary approaches. Your agenda is not welcome!
none	I oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty - it must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states.
Private person	I oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty - state sovereignity on basic rights has to remain intact. Specifically, · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Claudia Weiser	I oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. A Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. All measures must take into account and fully respect human rights, including the right to physical integrity and informed consent.
no organization	I oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. It violates the sovereignty of nations and in consequence the sovereignty of the individual. According to the Nuremberg Codex no medical procedures are allowed without the consent of the individual. I expect the WHO to follow that standard.
Storytelling-Wien	I oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states and pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and discriminatory measures should be prohibited. Humans are individuals, they should be free to decide about their body and their health because they also have to bear the consequences of health treatments themselves. This is why nobody should be forced to undergo a questionable treatment. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests I oppose commercial interests influencing health decisions, which is why I demand that private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance.
Self	I oppose and want to be able to choose how to live my life, and also want to make the decisions for my family as well.
None	I oppose any agreement on future pandemics that include compulsory directives from the WHO. Any directives should be informational in nature only.
US CITIZEN	I oppose any agreement with the WHO as it is supra national and my US constitutional rights take precedence. Furthermore, the WHO no longer has any credibility after politicizing a flu outbreak and calling it a "pandemic". Get lost.
Home	I oppose any and all governance, preparedness, direction, laws, treaties from the WHO As a Canadian citizen I cannot support this
Private	I oppose any and all restrictions on personal liberty and freedom of movement as well as the imposition of "green passes" and health mandates which must, as per the UN Charter, always be the individual's choice and never imposed by the authorities.
N/A	I oppose any attempt at a international response to a future pandemic. I have not voted for the WHO to make any decisions for me or my family.
Bernadette Berger	I oppose any effort by the World Health Organization to establish an international instrument on pandemics. Every nation must have the right to determine their own plan of action with regard to any future pandemic. It is acceptable for the WHO to issue information and proposed steps to handle a pandemic, but they MUST be based on true science and health, not contrived information based on control of populations. WHO treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Therefore, the WHO must NOT be given any authority to control nations choice of action!
individual	I oppose any global pandemic agreement. I believe the WHO agreement regarding a pandemic treaty is unnecesary and a threat to the sovereignty and inailenable rights of the world's citizens. I don't believe the WHO should have the ability to impost unjustified pandemics and dehumanizing lockdowns, or require unsafe and ineffective treatments against the free will of people. I believe the WHO has failed us regarding CV-19 with unsafe and ineffective CV-19 mRNA vaccines and useless and dangerous masking, which it is promoting.
no organization	I oppose any global treaty on pandemic response due to the handling of global leaders of the Covid-19 virus over the last 2 years. This experience has shown the importance of DECENTRALIZED decision making and LOCAL control. Nothing has done more to erode civil liberties, democracy and health freedom than the lockdowns, mandates and other overreach by the global elite. I oppose any global treaty, but any agreement must allow for: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, testing companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Dana DuBose
self	I oppose any healthcare/pandemic treaty that supersedes a country's ability to maintain and uphold its own Constitution. The WHO must not, cannot assume to know what's best for a country, a sovereign country that already has rules and laws established. It is against our Constitution of the United States to have a foreign power rule over us. I strongly oppose President Biden's stand on this Pandemic Treaty. With COVID, mandates were put into place affecting the whole world, and the world fell apart, as did America. It didn't matter the case counts or the death counts, everywhere, everyone was treated the same...except of course, the wealthy. Some areas were hit less hard, young people were not dying from the virus, yet mandates remained, schools closed, businesses closed. One size does not fit all. Yet allowing the WHO to make decisions world wide is a very dangerous situation. The WHO does NOT have the authority to govern the world, they are a consulting agency. And why all the secrecy, where is the debate out in the open as to the goings-on with this Treaty. Let all the world know what the agenda is and what the future will look like when a country can no longer govern themselves. This treaty is unnecessary, illegal, unconstitutional, and allows uncontrolled, massive power over our country, it is strip us citizens of our freedoms, liberties, properties, jobs, and our bodily autonomy. Please, stop any such Treaty from being enacted, Protect the citizens of the world by power hungry tyrants. America has the best healthcare system, brightest doctors, scientists, researchers...we do not need the WHO telling us what to do with public health, vaccines, travel, work, etc. Please NO WHO TREATY.
MH Industries Ltd.	I oppose any international agreement with W.H.O. and specifically I oppose the W.H.O. Pandemic Treaty. The US has way too many foreign entanglements already and I don't want my tax dollars going to an organization such as this.
Retired	I oppose any international plan to control pandemics or any other subject. The job is too large for any organization to accomplish. Just the local, state and federal efforts attempting to do during the recent event has been a qualified disaster, with the cure being far worse than the disease. Efforts should be made to listen to all qualified sources, not just those that assent to the common opinions. Censorship is a horrible way to find solutions. Open dialogue and reasonable minds solve problems.
The Writing Teacher	I oppose any international treaty for a pandemic response. Instead, WHO should protect the rights of individuals and local communities to democratically decide on the response that suits them.
Public	I oppose any legally binding global pandemic treaty. Sovereignty must remain within each individual country. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people."
EU citizen	I oppose any mandatory certificate of vaccination. Every state should be independent in their decisions concerning health.
M. Pört artists collective	I oppose any mandatory international pandemic treaty. This is an offence to all constitutional national human rights.
Private Citizen	I oppose any new WHO agreements or instruments. I oppose granting any authority or power - legal or otherwise - to the WHO. The global pandemic response has been a disaster. The WHO has led that disaster. The dissenting voices of Sweden and the Great Barrington Accords were correct. The WHO was wrong. We need open debate to get to the best public policy. I have no faith in the WHO and I see no reason to grant it any authority.
N/A	I oppose any pandemic treaty. The WHO failed miserably in their response to Covid19. Not only did they flip flop on whether masks work, they recommended an experimental vaccine to every person in the entire world, even pregnant women and young children. It is unconscionable that this organization should purport to care about the health of the world while simultaneously recommending an emergency use authorized drug with zero long term studies to prove efficacy and safety. Due to the recent release of the Pfizer documents for their MRNA vaccine, we know know that the vaccine can and has causes horrific, life altering side effects. The WHO has lost all credibility with many citizens of the world. We do not want you involved in any decisions about our countries response to any future pandemics
private citizen	I oppose any power given to the WHO to determine anything that would impact my life as a citizen of the United States of America.
Personal	I oppose any treaty by the WHO that disregards the Bill of Rights and the Constitution of Canada.
self	I oppose any treaty that removes the exclusive sovereignty of any Nation to put its one size fits all decisions in the hands of a bureaucratic body that is not able to accommodate decisions on the individual needs and desires of local communities. No group of people has the expertise nor the time to determine what decisions should be made for a multitude of countries on a one size fits all basis. The WHO should stand only as a research gathering body and make only recommendations based on clear, convincing and cogent conclusions from research by reputable institutions. It should have no power to make nor enforce any mandatory restrictions on any country or group of countries.
NA	I oppose any treaty that takes away an individual country's right to decide there own policy, whether during an "emergency" or otherwise. A one-size-fits-all agreement completely discounts any differences in situation or effect that may be present in a given country, that may not be present in others. This treaty would also over centralize power in the hands of two few people; people who's judgement, as shown in recent COVID-19 event, is of dubious merit, but nevertheless capable of being wielded without restraint.
n/a	I oppose any Treaty that will remove the sovereignty of Nations to make their own public health decisions, with include Pandemic situations. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, free of outside influence. Thank you for your consideration.
Jaconello Inc.	I oppose any treaty with the WHO that destroys the freedoms and constitutions of Canada. I will oppose such a treaty to my dying day.
NYS	I oppose any treaty, measure or agreement that gives the World Health Organization authority over a response used by the United States to any pandemic or medical emergency. We do not need a world wide organized response spearheaded by individuals who have been incorrectly responding and commenting on the current covid-19 emergency. In no way do I want the world health organization involved in my day to day activities, regardless of circumstance. I enjoy my freedom as an American to make my own decisions. Please do not move forward with your plans on an international instrument used for pandemic preparedness. Thank you
US citizen	I oppose any treaty/agreement that limits an individual's right to choose their own medical treatment or not, including vaccines. Vaccine or drug mandates are a violation of basic human rights. If there is going to be an international agreement, the individual's right to choose should be the most important. Each country must be given autonomy, not forced, coerced, or punished for choosing a different path. The WHO must not be given undemocratic power in determining how each country needs to respond to global health problems. Individual countries and citizens should not be madated to comply with any international agreement proposed or adopted by the WHO. I oppose any proposed international agreement of the WHO regarding global health response.
Personal	I oppose any type of "undemocratic" global pandemic preparedness treaty. This type of treaty could encompass vaccination passports and other health interventions which would create a two tier society. For any type of compulsory vaccinations and other health decisions where there is any amount of "risk" there has to be choice. It is our human right to have choice over medical intervention in accordance with many guidance documents, including the Nuremberg code, the Declaration of Helsinki and the Siracusa principles. Just to reiterate, my view is "where there is risk of any health intervention there HAS to be a choice"
USA citizen	I oppose any WHO pandemic response grab fir power. They destroyed social media freedom and ignored the science and destroyed many small businesses and jobs and caused a high rise in suicide. They are a detriment to freedom and life and pursuit of happiness. They failed the world massively and have proven they are ineffective and do not deserve another penny from the citizens or USA government.
Citizen of The United States of America	I OPPOSE any WHO treaty that takes away the power for the health & welfare of America from the United States of America. All health decisions MUST stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
None	I oppose any worldwide blanket responses to pandemics in the form of forced vaccines, lockdowns, mask wearing and other restrictions on the freedom and rights of people. There should be no treaty.
Privat(?)	I oppose generally the centralized pandemic treaty! Also I am unhappy with the fact, that such decisions, that can affect millions of people in our everyday lives, are not properly communicated or discussed in a broader, public context!
Hanreich verlag	I oppose generally the centralized pandemic treaty.
privat	I oppose generally the pandemic treaty of WHO! No to a new international instrument. It does not At All fit to the huge diversity of all regions, countrys and people.
Us citizen	I oppose having a one world response to healthcare. Individual countries, states, and most importantly citizens must make medical decisions for themselves. We have seen how totalitarian countries or states have had worse outcomes than those who let their citizens choose for themselves.
None	I oppose my country of Canada signing on to this treaty. Canadians must be able to make independent decisions based on what is important for Canada. I do not support this for myself or any of my three children.

Organization	Written submission
US citizen	I oppose one size fits all policy, key elements going forward must be 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you!
Candidly Connecting LLC	I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. thank you
Home	I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected.
Privat Person	I oppose STRONGLY mandatory international pandemic treat! Pandemic treat must NEVER take precedence over national constitution!!!!! Mandatory vaccines should be forbiden as well fascist discrimination measures, which were state for example in Austria or Canada!!!! All measures must take into account HUMAN RIGHTS, social and economic interest !!! With kind Regards Mag S Kosabek
Myself	I oppose that the WHO makes guidelines mandatory in my country!! I am opposed to the signature of this country's Minister of Health!
Self employed	I oppose that the WHO takes over the world. Every country must be able to decide under their own circumstances. If this is really about health (what I doubt) the WHO could have stopped air travel for the world straight after Wuhan in Jan or early Feb 2020! I don't want to give more power to a not capable organisation.
USA	I oppose the Pandemic Treaty: Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Remember the Nuremberg codes? Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Sincerely Carmela Spiel USA
Collins Leadership Strategies	I oppose the contemplated WHO Pandemic Treaty. A one-size-fits-all approach to pandemic management that uses a top-down command and control approach and that disregards the individual rights of citizens failed in the management of Covid. A failed management approach should not be repeated. Public health decisions should be made on a local basis to better respect individual differences and to recognize the various risk calculus of different citizens.
No organization	I oppose the current treaty and feel that it should have provisions for the following: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Mary Willis
self	I oppose the establishment any new international treaty/instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. As a free and sovereign human being with innate bodily autonomy, I will not comply with any World Health Organization (WHO) "mandates." The WHO is not a government - it has no authority to enact or implement "international health laws." The purposes of the WHO should be promoting health and medical research, publicizing scientific findings and the facilitating of cooperation among sovereign nations when public health concerns arise.
Private citizen	I oppose the implementation of a World Health Organisation global pandemic treaty. However, if a global pandemic treaty were to be implemented by the WHO, it would need to ensure that for each country who signs up to it, for example my country New Zealand, the following measures are implemented. 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I don't see how a one size fits all treaty can be beneficial given the varying populations and climates of member nations. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers, including public/private partnerships. I do not believe that the WHO has responded well to this pandemic, and I think it is criminal to hand over decisions which should be made by Governments to a body that we the people have no jurisdiction over.
self	I oppose the implementation of a World Health Organisation global pandemic treaty. However if one was to be imposed then each country must have the right to sign it individually after due consultation with their residents. If this was then to occur the future rights of the people must be safeguarded National and local leadership must be maintained by these people with full autonomy and reserving the right to make any decisions regarding their citizens to ensure the best outcome for their own people. The ability of nations to opt out of part or all of these agreements must be set in concrete and no repercussions will be incurred by these nations if they withdraw. This whole process must be done in a transparent and legal manner with no pressure to be applied allowing the people of the world to vote on any matters including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of a global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it implemented. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any /all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This includes the banning of politicians who are trying to influence the implementation of this for their own ends or pecuniary advantage
Individual	I oppose the implementation of a World Health Organisation global pandemic treaty. However, if a global pandemic treaty were to be implemented by WHO, it would need to ensure that for each country that was signed up to it e.g. NZ: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and clear process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on which includes failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	I oppose the implementation of a World Health Organisation global pandemic treaty. However, if a global pandemic treaty were to be implemented by WHO, it would need to ensure that for each country who was signed up to it e.g. NZ: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Belinda Hodson Counselling Services	I oppose the implementation of a World Health Organisation global pandemic treaty. However, if a global pandemic treaty were to be implemented by WHO, it would need to ensure that for each country who was signed up to it e.g. NZ: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NewZealander Citizen and member of Vff, Baptist Church,Bible Society and c	I oppose the implementation of a World Health Organization global pandemic treaty, if however a global pandemic treaty were to be implemented by WHO, it would need to ensure that for each country who signed up to it eg. N Z: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nation's and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public submission	I oppose the implementation of a World Health Organization global pandemic treaty. However, if a global pandemic treaty were to be implemented by WHO it would need to ensure that for each country who was signed up to it e.g. New Zealand: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and/or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical or other global health (or otherwise) profiteers. Thank you for your consideration of this submission.
Citizen of New Zealand	I oppose the implementation of a World Health Organization global pandemic treaty. If a global pandemic treaty were to be implemented by the World Health Organization, this global pandemic treaty would include the following for any Country who was signed up for the treaty, eg New Zealand * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the best outcomes for the people of their Country/local area. * Each Nation and local municipalities has the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the treaty/agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all the people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I oppose the implementation of this international treaty for pandemic response as it overrides the autonomy of governments and people within countries and states. An international instrument or treaty governing the response to future pandemics would not be necessary if each country and state were able to freely determine what is right for their specific population without outside pressure from international bodies. It is the interference of these international organizations that created a strong bias in the media, fuelled misinformation on both sides of the spectrum, and impose a one size fits all solution during the Covid-19 pandemic. Individual countries and states need the autonomy to manage their individualized own response to pandemics taking into account the demographics and geography, and most importantly, the wishes, of their populations.
Peacehealth hospital	I oppose the new international instrument 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Peacehealth and adventist hospital	I oppose the new international instrument. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I oppose the pandemic agreement with the actual language as the following topics have to be included: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Local Council	I oppose the pandemic preparedness as this is a breach of Human Rights. People should be given the choice of how they wish for any future pandemic preparations. The covid19 restrictions brought on through the WHO were inhumane and people suffered either by not seeing their loved ones and creating a mental health crisis or by an economic disaster. Shutting down was and never will be the answer to control infection. This is caused a cost of living crisis that hasn't even started properly yet and untold damage to children through shutting down schools/colleges and universities. I oppose these future plans.
Public	I oppose the Pandemic Treaty to be introduced as means of response and prepamess to pandemics. I, as a citizen, do not agree for this treaty to be signed into global law. I do not agree that WHO dictate what substances should be injected into my body without my consent. WHO was not elected by people, and as a private organization living from private donations with an agenda I do not trust that it will work in peoples best interest. I have no trust at all in WHO, whos leader is a man that should be spending his life behind bars for crimes against humanity. NO to pandemic treaty!
None	I oppose the proposal for the WHO to have autonmy over world nations. Individual countries need to maintain the right to do whats best for their people. The recent covid pandemic has shown the best option is to protect the sick and elderly whilst, allowing the fitter and younger to continue to build the economy and get on with their lives. We don't need a centralised dictatorship. I propose the following - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	I oppose the proposal, unless: 1. In its final form it is submitted for approval to the US Congress as a Treaty. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Vision Forum	I oppose the proposed international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response since it gives undemocratic rights over sovereign people. I am for: * That National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I oppose the proposed international pandemic treaty because: - Local/national leadership - true representatives of the people should retain full autonomy and can decide what is best for their nation. - It may provide the UN as well as major donors with an unnecessary and potentially dangerous level of power and control over people's of the world. - I did not vote for the UN, its leadership team or have a say in the funding structure of the organisation and do not endorse it. If a treaty goes ahead, it must: - Be voluntary, allowing Nations and local areas to opt out of all/any portions of the treaty they deem to not be in the best interests of the people they govern. - Be developed and implemented with transparency and have a mechanism for people of the world to vote on matters that affect them and to prevent actions where a majority of people do not want it. - Have mechanisms in place to avoid conflicts of interest and funding by those who may profiteer from actions of the treaty such as pharmaceutical companies.
None	I oppose the proposed treaty 1 WHO did not cover itself in glory during the early days of the pandemic, being overly influenced by China. How would we ensure that did not happen again? 2 It is essential that individual countries be free to opt out of any measures proposed. 3 Sweden (and others) demonstrated that non coercive measures (in line with previous pandemic plans) worked just as well or as badly as formal stay at home orders.
Salmon Giles Pty Ltd	I oppose the proposed WHO treaty. Every country needs to make decisions based on their own situations. There should not be a one size fits all solution which may only cause further problems.
NA	I oppose the signing by the United Staes, of any agreement that would commit the response to a public health issue to terms dictated by an international body, including the WHO.
Private individual	I oppose the signing of a treaty because I hold bodily autonomy a human right and the doctor/patient relationship as inalienable; I support natural immunity as the ultimate defense against disease, and that in illness no single treatment protocol fits all; I feel that such a treaty would threaten the sovereignty of the United States of America. If a treaty is made, substantive elements must include: that any agreement therein is NOT legally binding; there must no transgression of bodily autonomy allowed; any proffered remedies must require patient or parental fully informed consent; the doctor/patient relationship must be the ultimate instrument of medical decision; there must be allowances for medical or religious exemption based only upon doctor's decision or religious tenet; procedural or chemical intervention must be a situation-dependent decision that belongs to the patient not to decree; quarantine must be recommended for the sick not the healthy; private health decisions must not be sacrificed for public health concerns; language must delineate that a world governing body does not have the ability to understand nor make reliable judgement and decisions in what is a personal, private, location-dependent issue; if made, treaty should state clearly who will bear the cost of all elements of it, including harm or damage resulting from it, that impinge on the patient or their family who will not have had any power of assent or dissent or decision in the forming of and carrying out of such a treaty.
Private	I oppose the treathy.
Canadian retires	I oppose the treaty
N/A	I oppose the treaty and would like to see the following addressed.... National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I oppose the treaty as it completely undermines a nation's and private individuals' sovereignty. Therefore, 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process should be instituted with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Lastly, measures must be enacted to preclude influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I oppose the treaty as written. I suggest it is not enacted. If enacted, the following should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	I oppose the treaty because: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I oppose the treaty giving ANY organization power over my body and my personal freedoms. 1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities need to have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Medical freedom is essential.
Canadian resident	I oppose the treaty in which WHO would override national constitutions. I feel the following must be implemented. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I oppose the treaty to allow WHO to be the sole authority in giving out health care instructions during a pandemic.
n/a	I Oppose the treaty WHO are proposing. Our world , governments,and individuals do not need the emergency powers enforced by the WHO to act on our well being .The WHO are not doing this on the recommendation of human health ;its focus is only on the pharmaceutical companies making billions . We do not need a world health response dominated by one organisation = WHO.We want to retain our individual rightful liberty. There is no emergency exception for the WHO to sign world governments to a treaty handing over all their powers .ABSOLUTE NO TO THE GLOBAL PANDEMIC TREATY. Countries have to act separately as this action is in the best interests of its people. As proven in the latest global pandemic response by WHO and UN it was a disaster -lockdowns,vaccines and isolation -all detrimental to health and economy.
non	I oppose the treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Individual	I oppose the treaty. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Retired	I oppose the United States submitting to outside interference from any international organization, including the WHO. Should this agreement move forward, at a minimum it should include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	I oppose the WHO having any decision making for all nations during any health crisis. Every nation must be able to decide for their own citizens with the citizenry in agreement to any decisions and strategies for dealing with any and all health crisis. Every country is different and has different cultures with legal and moral Constitutions. The WHO may have suggestions but the final decisions must be made by the citizenry of each individual nation. I strongly oppose any treaty where one entity has control over the entire world. The WHO can have suggestions, that's all. Thank you
Retired	I oppose the WHO having control of anything related to the United States of America.
Not Applicable	I oppose the WHO imposing any international pandemic plan for the following reasons: - Each nation is autonomous and needs to stay that way. - Each nation, and each municipality of each nation, must be able to determine its own health protocols based on local knowledge and data. - Pharmaceutical manufacturers and entities such as GAVI, The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, etc. have too much influence over international organizations. There is too much of a probability of financial conflicts of interest, and too much of a probability that people and corporations will take advantage of this protocol for their own financial and political gain with no regard for bodily autonomy and democracy. - You are well aware that the vast majority of the people on this earth do not want an unelected body to have jurisdiction to determine their medical choices, or to force them into experimental medical treatment in order to participate in society. This is a blatant power grab and I am disappointed that you would attempt to sweep this through on an expedited basis. Thank you for your attention to my concerns. Regards, John
US	I oppose the WHO pandemic agreement. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you

Organization	Written submission
World Council for Health	I oppose the WHO Pandemic Prepardness Treaty. It is a direct threat to decision making by democratically elected leaders. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be retained. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is paramount. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be clear and put in place to prevent abuse.
USA citizen	I oppose the WHO Pandemic treaty and it's desire to control the USA and any country in the world. The following are vital for the United States of America and to all nations: 1. National and local leadership must be able to maintain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Pharmaceutical, other companies and global health profiteers must not be able to influence in the process.
self	I oppose the WHO Pandemic Treaty as written. It SHALL include the declarations below in totality: A) Human rights are inalienable. Governments SHALL UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES suspend human rights because of "emergencies". B) The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations SHALL ALWAYS BE subservient to inalienable human rights. C) The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats SHALL NOT AT ANY TIME have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. D) Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and SHALL ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment SHALL ALWAYS REMAIN an inalienable right. E) Vaccine status SHALL NEVER AT ANY TIME be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education and gain access to public spaces or businesses. F) Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people SHALL NEVER BE PERMISSIBLE. G) Complete transparency of all WHO decisions and pronouncements SHALL BE MANDATORY AND SHALL NEVER be delayed. All de-personalized data from all clinical studies SHALL BE immediately available to everyone in real time. H) Every human being SHALL RETAIN THE RIGHT to their own opinion. All human beings SHALL ALWAYS HAVE the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. I) All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures SHALL ALWAYS AND AT ANY TIME be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. J) All humankind in every country in the World SHALL HAVE AND ALWAYS RETAIN the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Social Services	I OPPOSE the WHO Pandemic Treaty! There should be NO Pandemic Treaty! Thank you!
No organisation	I oppose the WHO plan to draft a global international instrument on pandemic preparedness, also known as a global pandemic treaty because it will prevent national and local leadership of each country and its governments at each level from retaining full autonomy and reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I oppose it because It will prevent the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Another reason for my opposition is the potential influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/ or other global health profiteers.
None	I oppose the WHO to create a global blanket mandate on response to a pandemic. Some economies will suffer more than others because not all circumstances will be the same geographically.
No	I oppose the WHO Treaty that remove power from American Citizens this is dangerous to are health and welfare .All health decisions should be left up to each individual person. Each person is unique in there own ways. Why is it so urgent for the WHO to want to make decisions over peoples body's. This is immoral and unacceptable.
Sovereign Man	I oppose the WHO treaty. Signing the Emergency management plan to foreign power is unconstitutional and unlawfully
Self	I oppose the WHO's moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement, while the world's attention is diverted by the latest crisis. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful and illegitimate. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions.
Individual	I oppose the WHO's suggested implementation of a pandemic treaty. Public health is not a one size fits all approach. Potential implementation of vaccine passports, future lockdowns or other "public health" measures inflicted upon the world since 2020 are an infringement on peoples rights and freedoms. I do not support this.
Independent	I oppose the WHO's Pandemic Treaty In light of the grossly disproportionate and ill-targeted global Covid-19 response, there must be revision of the definition of a 'pandemic', in particular to accurately gauge the seriousness of the health threat and appropriate response. In regards to SARS-CoV-2/Covid-19, it was known from the beginning this did not pose a serious threat to most people. The response should have been targeted towards the vulnerable. But instead an extraordinary plan to vaccinate the entire global population was hatched - on what basis was this plan evaluated? Now billions of fast-tracked experimental Covid-19 jabs have been spread around the world - but these are 'leaky vaccines' that do not prevent infection nor transmission. Was it a deliberate plan to inject the entire global population, and try to make people dependent upon these jabs, potentially destroying natural immunity? And create massive lucrative repeat 'vaccine' markets? Is this ethical? Is this fraud? It's way past time for an examination of the WHO and conflicts of interest - this organisation serves the pharmaceutical industry and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. I challenge the WHO's interference in countries around the world, and its imposition on the freedom of the people. The WHO must not interfere with the sovereignty of countries and the people, the will of the people must be respected and they must be truthfully informed and not manipulated with misinformation. The people must retain their right to 'valid voluntary consent' and bodily autonomy and bodily integrity.
Independent person	I oppose the World Health Organisation (WHO)'s move to implement a global pandemic agreement. The WHO has not managed COVID-19 successfully and shouldn't be given power with other health outbreaks (COVID19 is only labelled a 'pandemic' because you changed the definition!). The proposed WHO agreement is an overstepping of power and threatens sovereignty and human rights. The WHO already has taken it upon themselves to change definitions, e.g. 'pandemic', without an open, public democratic process. There is a lack of proper consultation with the public at large. This current proposal should be world news with adequate time for public opinion to be heard. Information like this shouldn't be secretly snuck through the back door. If the WHO represents all people, then all people should have a right to vote on global proposals. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. With any WHO/global agreements, nations and local municipalities should retain the power to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The WHO cannot claim to be an independent body without bias. It receives significant funding from large corporations with private agendas and links to pharmaceutical companies. The WHO cannot make global public health decisions which are considering all people without proper democracy that all humankind can be part of. Ultimately, an individual's health decisions should be made by themselves in consultation with their local doctor. It would be crazy to believe an organisation across the other side of the world could make the best health decisions for ALL people worldwide.
Resident of California USA	I OPPOSE the World Health Organization (WHO)'s moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement, while the world's attention is diverted by the latest crisis. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people of sovereign nations. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. I support the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely yours, M. Perry
Concerned citizen	I oppose the World Health Organisation (WHO)'s moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement, while the world's attention is diverted by the latest crisis. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I agree with The World Council for Health, who believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions.
Los Angeles Web Design	I oppose the World Health Organisation (WHO)'s moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to my sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against my will. There is not a one-fix fits all solution to any health crisis. I want to maintain my right to choose a treatment therapy suitable to my body, and health condition when needed. It must be my choice alone, and uncompromised by political, Pharmaceutical, or any other entities, including WHO.
Human Being	I oppose the World Health Organization (WHO)'s moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights, Democracy as a whole. I believe that people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. I DO NOT believe the WHO has engaged in a process of public participation, instead they have declared unjustified pandemics, imposed dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforced expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. Therefore any consideration towards some kind of global pandemic agreement should consider and include the following National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	I oppose there to be control over sovereign human individual choice. I oppose there to be any measures put into place where a human loses their right to decide what is best for their body and their choices should be respected. Humans have the right to decide if they take whatever the government say they should or not. It should never be forced onto them. I oppose to Tyranny. Allow each individual human to make their own choice base on facts. Allow the main stream media to show a balance of facts which has not been done up to now. Let them see the other side and the risks. Let them see the ingredients that are being put into things. Thanks
Na	I Oppose this 100%
Self	I oppose this due to the following: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, economy and region. One Size Does NOT fit all, as can be seen with the horrible after effects of the 2020-2021 covid pandemic mitigations- Just look at the dystopian world of SHANGHAI in 2022! 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. States are sovereign and we do not need a One World Order. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Pfizer is not my president, Moderna isnt either. It is apparent and obvious what these plans are going to bring. More death and destruction.
Counselor	I oppose this in all forms. Each country is different culturally, and knows best how to take care of their own citizens. Giving one organization the responsibility to do this is realistically not achievable and would leave too much room for misguided leadership and corruption.
Individual	I oppose this initiative. WHO does not have power over the health decisions and any treaties that remove power from the US and its people are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay local, not from a global organization, that appears to be corrupt. Regards Vivek
Citizen of the United States of America	I oppose this measure as health concerns for countries, states, and municipalities vary greatly and should not be considered to be the subject of action by an individual or group sitting in another country. The US is a sovereign nation, as are its citizens. As a citizen of the US, we should be free from mandates created by some non-elected global body with clear financial conflicts and ties to enemy states of the US. The WHO is not the US. The UN is not the US. The WEF is not the US. Your organization should have no controlling stake in a country founded in liberty and freedom from oppressive regimes and organizations. I oppose the creation of this instrument. The US should remain a close partner of the WHO, but in NO measure should the WHO be given ANY control over the citizens of the US.
self	I oppose this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response for the following reasons: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own inhabitants. 2. The ability of sovereign nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all mankind on Earth to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of mankind do not want it. 4. Measures that prohibit and punish influence and/or interference in the process by any and all pharmaceutical corporations or any other global health institutions. Thank you for considering my thoughts, Roy Rosenblatt
Long Chain Reclaim	I oppose this pandemic treaty. Due to the intricate workings of our societies globally I feel this one size fits all approach will do more harm than good.
Canadian civilian	I oppose this pandemic treaty. Due to the intricate workings of our societies I feel this one size fits all approach will do more harm than good.
At home	I oppose this plan to eliminate our freedom to determine our own health choices. Our bodies belong to ourselves and not the WHO
Home	I oppose this proposed International Instruments that gives powers to an unelected authority such as the World Health Organisation (WHO) to dictate how other countries should implement their response to a future Health emergency such as a Pandemic. I have seen over the past 2 years of global lockdowns, vaccine dictates of various coercive natures, restrictive use of safe drugs such Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine for the prevention and cure of Covid 19 symptoms to know that I do not trust the WHO to dictate to nations about how they will apply medical interventions. It is very clear to me that there is an underlying agenda for global control of how humans should live greatly as supported by Klaus Schwab from the World Economic Forum. To paraphrase Schwab, 'You will own nothing and be happy'. No thank you
Resident	I oppose this treaty and all it stands for. I want national and local leadership to retain full autonomy , and reserve the rights to make decisions that are based with the best interests of their own people at the forefront.
Individual	I oppose this Treaty as pandemic control is better with targeted response at a local level, a one size fits all is counterproductive at best deadly at worse. Compulsory vaccinations also double edged sword for vaccine intolerant people who would die from the vaccine. The mass vaccination also can have unwanted consequences including increasing potential for mutations in the infection agent. It is also an unwanted derogation of Democratic Process and incompatible with basic Human Rights People are not livestock to be herded and controlled.
Independent	I oppose this treaty based upon lack of scientific and medical evidence that was used to form the policies during pandemic. New studies coming that compare the state of Michigan to Sweden clearly show that the policies implemented in Michigan had far worse deaths than Sweden. The vaccines do not prevent transmission and evidence from UK and Australia show the vaccinated are dying at numbers now that are greater than the beginning of the pandemic. Lack of data and tranaparency with the public or informed consent as required by law were ignored.
Citizen of US	I oppose this treaty because pandemic responses should be handled locally. What's right for one country may not be right for another. Countries, states, and cities must have the freedom to determine what's right for themselves. Given the extremely different social economic statuses of each region certain measures may not even be feasible to implement. There shall be no requirements on any member country. WHO should only be a force to provide information, recommendations, and support if necessary.
Self	I oppose this treaty giving the WHO emergency powers during a pandemic. I believe local situationally appropriate resident approved responses will be both more advantageous to each community and to the global population as a whole. We need variety in our responses to not only do what is best for our community but to most efficiently discover what works best. The WHO's universal recommendations during Covid19 were devastating for the vast majority who followed them. We need localization not globalization. We need approaches from all angles not just going with the responses that give financial gains to those who fund WHO. This treaty defies the constitutional values upon which my country was built. It defies the sanctity of the individualized doctor/patient relationship and flys in the face of medical morality, informed consent, bodily autonomy, and medical freedom. We, the people of this world, did not vote for the WHO nor does this treaty give us voting rights, therefore the WHO can not be given authority over us. They may continue to give recommendations, though I expect they have a great deal of credibility and trust to earn back before most will take them seriously. They have no right to govern. They have no right to make rules. This treaty must be rejected for the sake of our species. Thank you.
None	I oppose this treaty on the grounds that the organization known as WHO should have absolutely no authority over any citizen in any country for any reason. They are a bought and paid for political organization who never gets anything right regarding any disease or pandemic, and they have no business in telling any citizen of any country how to behave or "conform" to their dictates and whims!! NO!!!! to any form of this treaty!!!!
Business	I oppose this treaty, as we in The United states were founded on inalienable rights given to us by god and are a free and independent nation who elects its Representatives. We give consent to those we elect to govern the people using the constitution as its guiding principle. The WHO isn't a representative body " we the people" can remove from office. This is not in line with the construction of the United States therefore is not acceptable to the American people. Respectfully Scott Fernandez
Marin Freedom Rising	I oppose this treaty. It is designed to take our God given freedoms away therefore is not lawful.
N/A	I oppose this treaty. The Unites States are more than capable of managing our response to pandemics in a manner that works best for the individual states and communities. While direction and guidance from WHO may be considered and even welcome, policy and direction from the WHO would be counter productive as responses must be tailored to the communities needs. Please consider my position as OPPOSED to this treaty.
Private	I oppose to further interference of the WHO with individual countries policies in ANY way!
Retired individual, RN	I oppose to WHO treaty because it's our right to make health decisions. Nobody can mandate what we should not put into our bodies.
Smokers Heaven	I oppose very strongly to this policy. It takes sovereignty away from countries and the people. I am a person that extremely values my bodily autonomy and will not tolerate a foreign body of government telling me what to do with my body. I see this eventually leading to all medical treatments. Which just for the record I will not take chemo shall I ever get cancer. I have seen more people die of cancer and suffer before dying. Personally I know more people that have died of cancer then COVID. I also know more people that masterly died after the shot that hence this bill would be mandatory, then of COVID. I will not be the WHO's guinea pig and since the clinical trials were not run on animals everyone that has taken the COVID shot is the WHO's and big pharma's guinea pig. I hope you take all these testimonies in account before finalizing your decision.It should always be an individual right to choose even during a pandemic.
citizen	I oppose WHO and worldwide consensus on pandemics. This last two years has taught us that one size does not fit all and we the people have had enough of government overreach.
NONE	I oppose WHO treaties that gives WHO legally binding power over Americans health decisions. This would be dangerous to put such power in the hands of someone other than the states.
We the people	I oppose your takeover of pandemics. We all know you're run by a foreign country. Western civilization does not conform to those governments. Starvation is not in our agenda.
HOME	I oppose!! Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Private citizen	I oppose, as I feel it would encroach on my sovereignty and also my inalienable rights as a living woman. I do not believe one size fits all.
Private	I opposte the design of controlling people. Freedom of choice. Stop pandemia.
Flourish Psychotherapy	I passionately urge you, on behalf of myself and the hundreds of people we have seen as a mental health practice, to include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence or corruption in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	I Patrycja Simring wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
general public	I personally believe that a global agreement is not necessary - this will interfere on each and every country's democracy, each country should be entirely in charge of it;s own health policies - we should all be in charge of our own health, and medical intervention d not be made mandatory. This goes against Human Rights.
SHELLYCREATES Llc	I personally believe that all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible and removing power from the US to make their own health plan decisions would be dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. This includes when an emergency exists. If the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty it would not be in the best interest of Americans. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Please be accountable to your word. Thank you.
none	I personally believe that any plan to deal with pandemics must be made by individual countries for themselves. My reasoning is that not every country has the same geography, belief systems, medical readiness & many other factors which vary greatly from place to place. We have individual countries & each has their own laws, mores, etc. which are not conducive to having everyone follow the same plans--they should be individualized for each locale. Our most recent pandemic has shown us that not all countries & peoples are the same. The industrialized nations had the highest case counts & death counts, which I believe supports my stance re: a "one-size-fits-all" plan. I can't think of anything where one-size-fits-all plans work well.
Self	I personally believe that each country must make its own decisions on health and should not be subject to a single organisation dictating the rules, this is not how democracy works!!!
public	I personally believe that each country should have the RIGHT to make decisions about what and how they will handle any and all future pandemics. Every country and human being is different so you can not and should not have a "one size fits all" criteria as an answer for an illness that WE THE PEOPLE are more than capable of handling ourselves. NO force should be put on a country or individual to abide by a treaty drawn up without THE PEOPLE OF THE WORLD ALL HAVING A SAY. The first thing that should be put in place is that the Treaty is only a recommendation not an enforceable, publishable law. No committee should be able to decide for the WHOLE WORLD what they THINK should be the ONE AND ONLY way to look after every individuals health.
I represent myself	I personally believe the WHO has no actual interest in public health. If it did, it would have listened to the scientists who have been trying to communicate with the authorities for the last 2 years that, if there is a virus it is no more lethal than the common flu. I stand in support of the World Council for Health's open letter to the WHO: https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/news/2022/03/pandemic-treaty/45591/ And call that you stand down now.
Californians for Medical Freedom	I personally do not believe that the WHO should have any say over nations' decisions related to pandemics or anything else. If they did have some treaty, they must include something that says allows the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, A Concerned U.S. Citizen
Citizen of UE	I personally do not see any necessity for a new international pandemic treaty. If you really can't do without it, these points are indispensable: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatments is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
NA	I personally do not want future pandemic responses controlled internationally by the World Health Organization because I have been unimpressed by its behaviour in the course of the Covid-19 pandemic and I think it is too influenced by its funders (pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers) to be impartial. (As one example seen in this pandemic, I saw WHO's chief scientist Soumya Swaminathan tweet out disinformation from a pharmaceutical company in relation to safety and effectiveness of ivermectin, a medicine that is on the WHO's own list of essential medicines. The pharmaceutical company involved was developing what would have been a competing product for the treatment of Covid-19.) I think national and local leadership and health organizations need to retain full autonomy, and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. A one size fits all approach just doesn't work when it comes to health decisions and differing risk-benefit and health economic analyses. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of any global agreement as they see fit, without sufferinng consequences. There must be open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any pandemic-preparedness measures from the WHO must also include strong mechanisms to ensure that pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers cannot continue to influence the process of preparing for any pandemic and any pandemic responses, and there must be strong accountability mechanisms.
Private citizen	I personally oppose the World Health Organisation move to implement a global pandemic agreement, I believe the proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to the sovereignty and inalienable rights of people around the globe. In response to the Covid 19 Pandemic we have witnessed populations, imposed with expensive, arguably unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of many of the people themselves. The methods used in many countries have been coercive and unconscionable. All people must have the right to choose whether they participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being.
General Public	I please ask that the WHO does not adopt a single authority approach to global pandemic agreement. I ask that you allow nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence allowing national and local leaders autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people who democratically elected them for this purpose. Democratic sovereignty of all nations must be maintained. The WHO should maintain supporting countries with latest medical evidence to respond appropriately, we don't need a one size fits all approach.
Self employed	I pledge for a discontinuation of this WHO treaty. My argument is simply that it is unlawful to create a society where freedoms are conditional. A society where our freedoms are dependent on our level of obedience to external institutions of power. It will undoubtedly create a new pandemic of divisiveness, loneliness and will result in an angrier world with more tension then the world has ever known before. People who are not democratically chosen by citizens and thus society should never be given the power to dictate how we, the people should live our lives. That is pure authoritarianism. Only democratically chosen people within nations have the power to take decisions that impact the freedoms of citizens. When we have no control on the very people that want to control us in a every way possible, we lose our last bit of freedom we have left. Also, there is no current data that supports evidence that there is still a need for this control on society. COVID is endemic and its mutations so far have decreased its mortality so profoundly that its IFR(Infection Mortality Rate) is comparable with a common cold which is found in countless research. Continuing this system and creating a second tier society for a virus that is now as dangerous as a common cold is preposterous. With all these negative consequences for society, there is simply no basis for continuation of this discriminating system. We should end it, the sooner the better.
Citizen of U.S.A.	I pray that the WHO founding constitution is upheld to its citizens of the world and stands by the underlying rules of cooperation between sovereign countries that allow these countries and their citizens to make health decisions about their own health and care as a GOD GIVEN RIGHT to all people of this planet health care is not a one size fits all the goal of the WHO should remain as a oversight organization and a pathway for international information about Healthcare and methods of safety to achieve better health care for humanity becoming more regulatory and controlling diminishes this ability of WHO TO HONOR ITS CONSTITUTION AND ITS FOUNDING COMMITMENTS TO BE IMPARTICAL AND INFORMATIVE
USA	I prefer to live in a sovereign nation and don't want a global treaty involving health
Individual not affiliated with an organization	I propose inclusion of the following topics: Provisions for the protection of national sovereignty allowing for national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I propose that any treaty should include; National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I propose that national and local leadership of each country retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That the sovereign ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence be an integral part of the treaty. I propose an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I propose that measures be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I propose that that each government be given the right to opt out of the treaty at any time with no consequences, should they decide that any part thereof is not in the best interest of its people Thank You
Member of the public, retired microbiologist	I propose that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. I propose that the ability of nations and local governments to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. I propose an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I propose that measures do not allow for influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other companies that stand to make a profit from the provision of products designed to address human health issues.
n/a - concerned member of the public.	I propose that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. lastly - and very importantly, we need reassurance through measures put in place to ensure that the process cannot be influened by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of public	I propose the following points National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours sincerely Danny shine
Private individual	I propose the following. That National and Local Governments retain full autonomy and accept WHO proposals/measures as advisory only . That the whole process is fully transparent with individuals in each country able to vote on each proposed measure by majority plebiscite. That there should be no lobbying or any form of influence accepted from the Pharmaceutical Industry or any other financially benefctable group.
Infotrac	I propose the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	THE PEOPLE'S TREATY To Preserve Our Health Related Human Rights Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The good of a nation is the sum total of the benefits enjoyed by all its people. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. Discrimination based on medical status is wrong. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. Every person's experience is a valuable scientific observation. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack
Brunei Government Civil Servant	I propose these must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". No vaccine mandates. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
/	I propose to enable national governments full sovereignty over their pandemic response policies. Especially in open societies, we expect democratic processes to come to an optimal solution.
Private	I protest against the new international pandemic preparedness and response instrument.
Retired	I protest the very way this question is asked. Clearly, you are seeking responses that support, a priori, an "international instrument." of global control. This is a linguistic trap and I resent it, and I oppose it in the strongest possible terms! I do not believe that ANY "international instrument" should be established which, by its very existence, would subject every human being on Earth to the will of a small panel of decision makers whose decisions would overrule all sovereignty and natural law. This is anathema to all the foundational principles of Western Civilization, the Enlightenment, and the unalienable rights of all persons. Should this be instituted and ratified, freedom will forever be gone from this Earth. No single person could ever again plan their lives, their business endeavors, nor their family's future in glorious autonomy. Instead, hanging above them will be the eternal threat that it could all be taken away from them by fiat. Their lives could be shattered at any time by the dictates of a small group of people, many with clearly vested interests in the outcomes of their decisions. This kind of power cannot be tamed. Every thinking person understands this. This is why this faux outreach is so misleading. It is designed to mislead. There is no such thing as modifications to make tyranny more palatable. Hence, in the name of humanity, this "international instrument" should be rejected by every country and entity on Earth. National sovereignty and inalienable natural rights should remain and flourish. God help us all!
Citizen of the United States of America	I PROTEST this sweeping WHO one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did NOT give to you. I do NOT recognize the signature of my Minister of Health as representative of the will of the people in my country. This measure MUST allow ANY country to withdraw from the agreements at ANY TIME without consequences or sanctions. I refuse to accept pharmaceutical companies or individual profiteers directions for individual and person health decisions, reject enforcement of expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatment, as well as censorship and disinformation on treatments that DO work. This process does NOT guarantee individual freedoms or democratic process for procedures that directly affect personal health, well-being, and self-determination. It OPPOSES every principle of self-determination on which the United Nations is founded. This measure is an example exactly what we, as world citizens, MUST NOT DO! Sincerely, Kent Unrau
private individual	I question the given premise that a treaty of this scope is necessary. That said any treaty should learn the lessons of 2 yrs of disaster and contradictions over Covid. Any treaty should not override nation state sovereignty and crucially the freedom and autonomy of the individual in any state. Many people see this proposal as confirmation of digital passports, mandatory injections of untested MRNA and a new dark tyranny encroaching on democracy and freedom. We need to first separate big money, Pharma and Gates from WHO who have driven and continue to drive chaos, confusion which now threatens millions of lives in famines. Two words perhaps 'Wake up'.
Private Citizen	I question the need for any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The living conditions very so much from the equator to the polar regions and our cultural differences are so varied that coming up with a "one size fits all" solution through such an instrument cannot begin to take into account all of these differences. I believe the WHO should stick to providing guidance on appropriate response and let the local authorities figure out how best to implement (or not) the guidance.
Personal	I quite simply oppose the Treaty. Allowing a single entity to control and implement any kind of regulations and procedures is fundamentally wrong. There is no possible way one single group could possibly know, or understand the beliefs and desires of the world as a whole. If one looks at how different countries approached the whole Covid 19 dilemma, you can see that the world does not agree on how to plan and implement any such strategy. How does one decide what weight and what demographic gets a voice in the decision making models, and the final decisions? What groups get left out and why? Allowing a single group to control, regulate and implement on a health treaty is simply put, illegal. With only one entity controlling a world heath approach does not need to be transparent, and would never have thrust of the people they claim to be protecting. Please continue to gather data, learn from the mistakes we have seen from Covid 19. make recommendations, provide clear legitimate data to the public, be clear on what did not work, own the errors and explain why changes are being recommended. We do not live in a single governing world, we live in a cooperative world. Let us continue that aid learn, and improve the world that way. Oppose the treaty, in the name of humanity.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	I raise the following points for consideration, for the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	I realize after these past two years that you people don't care about human life but on the small chance you do please vote NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty. There is nothing good to come from such a treaty. It will only be used for evil.
None	I really appreciate to chance to write my opinion. And I appreciate World Health Organization. As a result of the Corona vaccination, which was administered without sufficient testing and results from the drug companies and sufficient informed consent of the risks, many people are now suffering from adverse reactions to the drug. I believe that the freedom of individual human beings to self-manage their own health is guaranteed by natural law. Therefore, we should not create a pandemic treaty that threatens these basic human rights.
Non	I really believe it is very important that each country can make its own decisions applying to the needs of their individual situation. Thank you
Freedom fpr All	I really do not believe that the WHO should play any part in the health of our country. So far we have see restrictions on our freedoms which have been completly unnecessary. From the information I have received its clear that the WHO serves the mega rich not ordinary people.
Knights of Columbus	I really think and demand that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Daniel Davalillo
N/A	I really think the following should be considered. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not very organized	I received an email telling me to come here and object to this. I do not object to this at all. Go for it. We need a far more efficient and inclusive response the next time around. I appreciate the work you do. Thank you.
Individual	I recognize that the WHO has the ability to amass a great deal of information and data concerning health matters and is an invaluable source for informing and advising nations and communities about health issues, I believe that only national and local entities should make final decisions about how to handle these pandemic events. It is the local and national communities that are closest to and most knowledgeable about their own environment, physical, social, ethnic and political, and therefore are best able to decide how to handle any emergency. Any treaty should include that the right on how to proceed during an emergency will be left to the individual communities. I would also like to see that the information and data relied upon and used by the WHO to give advice, be completely open to everyone and free from any corporate influence. Thank you.
Boys to Men	I recommend a third independent party needs to review and evaluate WHO's policies as WHO has already proven its biased opinions based on flawed science.
N/A	I recommend that these elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their nation/region. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health for-profit entities.
NONE	I refer you to Agenda 21 Chapter 6 (Protecting And Promoting Human Health) under Activities 5.a. "5. National Governments and local authorities, with the support of relevant non-governmental organizations and international organizations, in the light of countries' specific conditions and needs, should strengthen their health sector programmes, with special attention to rural needs, to: a. Build basic health infrastructures, monitoring and planning systems: viii. Support initiatives for self-management of services by vulnerable groups; ix. Integrate traditional knowledge and experience into national health systems, as appropriate;" Due to this being the prior agreement between the UN nations, and as it is deemed to be important that nation governments are responsible for developing their health programmes, with self-management initiatives and also allowing for the integration of traditional knowledge as appropriate, it is therefore PARAMOUNT that nation governments and local health authorities are still permitted to retain ability to self-manage and integrate with local health tradition, culture and proven practices. It must also be recognised in any international agreement that regional and seasonal management may, can and will differ in many circumstances, by allowing for substantial relevant weighting given to pertinent local knowledge and experience, modern and cultural/traditional. Thank you for allowing for this valid and valuable consideration to be part of any future negotiated agreement.
keine	I refuse the WHO's plan to gain more rights over the whole world. I am a democat and I want to keep the German Grundgesetz as unhurtable and fundamentable basis of the German state! Antonie Brinkmann
None	I refuse to accept that this treaty is needed . We the citizens should decide what is good for our health and not told and forced what to do .... please stop this treaty
Private individual	I refuse to accept you have any authority to dictate health response in the United Kingdom for me and my family.
None	I refuse to give such power to an organization, that helped pharma industry and governments to bring a catastrophic chaos to the whole world, refuses to protect the normal people, defends the pharma industry without any second thought and actively promoting silencing of opinions, that are not in line with their desired narrative.
privat	I refuse to grant further rights to the WHO. The WHO was not elected by the people of germany in a democratic process. Therefore, the WHO does not care about the rights of EU citizens or any german.
No	I refuse to take vaccine that affects my health and immune system adversely
Personal	I refute your proposition. I do not believe you have been fair or transparent in your plans. You must stop. I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy. They should reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe in the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe that there should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be stringent measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO have quietly asked for written submissions. I believe this is wrong. Most people are unaware of the plans being put in motion. That is not being transparent. I suggest setting out your plans clearly to all.
private	I reject a Treaty. - No contract is necessary! All countries must and can decide on their own! Ich lehne einen Vertrag ab! Es ist kein gemeinsamer Vertrag notwendig! Alle Länder müssen und können alleine entscheiden!
None	I reject all attempts to mandate sovereign nations and peoples under such a terranical system. Nations must remain able to decide their own safeguards.
Individual	I reject all proposed treaty's and or proposals by WHO
A member of the public	I reject any attempt to over ride the sovereignty and democratic process of the UK. I do not support a " one world " imposition of rules by unelected WHO.
Human	I reject any contract in relation to the Covid pandemic! As a free person I stand for individuality, freedom to travel and freedom from any form of digitalization and/or surveillance.
Ireland Resident	I reject any decisions by our TDs in Dail Eirieann to give away/ vote away our country's right to respond to a pandemic as a individual country. I believe this decision is not something that our TDs can make without asking the Irish people.. The decision should be decided by the Irish people and a referendum should be called, to allow people to vote on this.
Citizen of South Africa	rights. I do not accept conflicted pseudo science and organisations that profess to represent World Authority. There should be no such thing as a conflicted WHO "treaty" however if any such abhorrent possibility should be entertained, then these must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the authority to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6.Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen	I reject ANY global governance authority what-so-ever. We all live in sovereign nations many of which are democracies where the people elect representatives. These countries have constitutions. The WHO does not represnt democracy or the consent of the people. WE DO NOT ACCEPT THE DISREGARD OF DEMOCRACY AND THE CONSTITUTIONS OF THE NATIONS OF THE WORLD TO SUBMIT TO A CORPORATE CAPTURED, AND BILLIONAIRE CAPTURED ORGANIZATION. FULL STOP. The WHO clearly disregarded it's own policy and disregarded good science in order to extend authoritarian control and surveillance. We reject this 100%. The WHO has no jurisdiction over people or states.
---	I reject any international organization that simultaneously covers industry advocacy, in the case of the pandemic, the interests of the pharmaceutical industry. I reject any publicly decreed interference with human integrity and therefore also any restriction of freedom over health, life and death. Human dignity is inviolable! Ich lehne jede internationale Organisation ab, die gleichzeitig Interessensvertretung der Industrie abdeckt, im Falle der Pandemie die Interessen der Pharmaindustrie. Ich lehne jeden von öffentlicher Hand verordneten Eingriff in die Unversehrtheit des Menschen ab und somit auch jede Einschränkung der Freiheit, über die Gesundheit, Leben und Tod. Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar! Imke Logar
Europäische Fernhochschule Hamburg	I reject any kind of pandemic contract based only on vaccines and digital IDs. This, as the last 2 years have shown, cannot fight a pandemic. Instead, attention must be paid to healthy nutrition, sport, social contacts and a work-life balance. Vaccines and lockdowns do not prevent a pandemic - they make it worse. Studies and data show this. They are neither safe nor effective. If people's health was really at stake, other measures would be taken.
None	I reject centralized authority as a matter of principle. A one size fits all approach is inherently dangerous as it ignores local and national sovereignty which are the bedrock of classical liberal polities.
individual	I reject the notion of international health regulations by the WHO. This should be left up to individual governments.
n/a	I reject the notion that the WHO should ever be given any authority to impose any health measure on citizens of any nation. Should my country's government decide to become a party to this statement notwithstanding the wishes of Canadians, then the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EU-Bürger	I reject the pandemic contract!
Bürgerin / citizen	I reject the pandemic treaty outright
Private	I reject the Planes Instrument die pandemic prepareness.
Klinikum Stuttgart	I reject the planing on a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response! It is against humanity - no one has the right to dicide over the health of other humans!
Private person	I reject the planned instrument for pandemic preparedness.
Pflege für Aufklärung	I reject the planned instrument for pandemic preparedness.
Private	I reject the planned instrument for pandemic preparedness.
Privat	I reject the planned instrument for pandemic preparedness. Urgently required: guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability of government officials, pharmaceutical companies and physicians
Private Person	I reject the planned instrument for pandemic preparedness. Information is necessary. And the ability to an open discussion. After that, the responsibility is on everybody's own. Everybody should have the right to make his own decisions and no government with the possibility to force you to make decision on your health.
German magic society	I reject the planned instrument for pandemic preparedness. Horst Reutter
Individual citizen of the USA	I reject the premise of this question. There should be NO international response. Each INDIVIDUAL should make their OWN decisions. That is the top of the heirarchy of decision making on health, followed by that individual's DOCTOR who is also free to follow HIS/HER OWN educated opinion. Then local, state, and national govts can be involved in ADVISING those individuals and their doctors. Global organizations have no role whatsoever. Neither do mandates or lockdowns or face masks
citizen	I reject the suggestion that the WHO develop 'international instruments' of pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO is not representative of our local community, and cannot know what is best for our people. Any attempt to impose a 'one-size-fits-all' regime across the globe will inevitably fail to capture the nuances of indigenous communities and the people who live in them. Measures we have seen throughout the COVID pandemic, supported by WHO, have been deeply divisive, harmful to our social cohesion and must not be replicated. I would like to see the WHO act as a 'clearing house' of scientific research and best practice upon which communities can develop their own responses. I would have liked to have seen some open debates about the science and the proposed measures, rather than the censorship and vilification of alternative viewpoints. We are adults, capable of critical analysis and making our own decisions based on our intimate knowledge of our communities. The proposed 'treaty' undermines the rights of citizens to participate in decision-making in their own countries. I have been concerned about the inherent conflicts of interest within the WHO and how these may influence such 'instruments'. Until the WHO can act with neutrality and transparency it is not the right organization to be formulating any 'international instruments' and binding democratically-elected governments to them. Additionally, a pandemic response should be a collaborative effort which brings together a range of disciplines. The economic, social and psychological impact of lockdowns, vaccine mandates and other restrictions need to be well understood alongside health considerations.
Citizen of the United States of America	I reject the WHO's desire to overthrow the constitution of my sovereign nation through this attempt to deceive the public into believing this would be for the good of humanity. This would give the very parties, who have already inflicted great pain and suffering to innocent human-beings, unchecked power and authority over the lives of individuals that would equate to nothing other than slavery. The WHO should never be granted blanket emergency powers over any nation, certainly not mine! The proposed "Pandemic Treaty" needs to be about preventing the dangerous practice that is the true threat of future pandemics, which is gain-of-function research. It is the foolishness of power-hungry humans who risk the very thing they are paid to protect: life itself! My life, and that of my daughter's, is sacred. No power on this great Earth has the right to, nor should ever be granted the right to, take all decisions about my own life away from me and be decided for me. The sovereignty of nations must be respected, honored and protected. We the people do not acknowledge the WHO as our governing body. After being a witness to the incompetent response to this pandemic, the deceptive and constant propaganda that mirrors the CCP, the threats, coercion, unnecessary deaths! We shall not suffer you our freedom!
N/a	I reject this implicitly. Every human in this world has body autonomy, a God given, alienable right. I reject anyone or any organisation having any control over my body.
Private	I reject this treaty and do not think it is necessary- it represents substantial over-reach. However, should this treaty go forward I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Individual, Retired Mental Health and Housing Social Worker.	I reject utterly WHO's presupposition that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response be formed and agreed to by all world nations as a pandemic treaty. I reject centralized decision making on health. It must be left up to each nation and their people. At a minimum, I require that you: 1. Revert to the early 2009 definition of "Pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted an absurd declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near 100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illnesses." 2. Restore the correct definition of herd immunity and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 3. Follow science, not ersatz political science, true science requiring a culture of enquiry and free speech. 4. Prohibit conflicts of interest of any kind, financial, political, or otherwise. 5. Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 6. Heed your own and other advisors who advise against masking, border closures, lockdowns, disinfection. 7. Condemn mass vaccination during a pandemic; and at any time vaccination must be voluntary. 8. Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity, healthy diet, exercise, etc. 9. Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by Dr. Tess Laurie. 10. Require informed consent for any recommended interventions. 11. Discontinue faulty and misused PCR tests and molecular tests. 12. Prohibit the use of psychological tactics to induce fear and coerce people in to compliance.
Fertility Continuing Education	I represent myself, a concerned Canadian citizen. I would like to see these substantive elements included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Nora Pope Toronto
Private individual	I request consideration of why this treaty needs to exist. I request inclusion of: - Guarantees on state and individual sovereignty - Regard for human rights - Legal liability for government officials - Legal liability for pharmaceutical and related companies
Yorkshire Veterans	I request for the inclusion of guarantees on the state and individual sovereignty The respect for human rights Legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies on vaccines produced
Private person	I request from the WHO the inclusions of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty and respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies . I request that the WHO is not allowed to change definition of the pandemic according to their will. Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The world does not need any special instruments by WHO, it think there is no need for more new international instruments on pandemic preparedness and response. Every country should be free to respond to pandemic the way it is the best for their citizens.
Victoria Chiropractic Clinic	I request that ALL elements of the 2005 UNESCO declaration of bioethics and human rights are upheld in this new WHO instrument. In particular: Article 3: 1.Human dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms are to be fully respected. 2.The interests and welfare of the individual should have priority over the sole interest of science or society. Article 6: 1.Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice. Article 11: No individual or group should be discriminated against or stigmatized on any grounds, in violation of human dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms. The WHO instrument must not over ride the common law of the sovereign participating nations, Regards Mark Walles
n/a	I request that ALL elements of the 2005 UNESCO declaration of bioethics and human rights are upheld in this new WHO instrument. In particular: Article 3: 1.Human dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms are to be fully respected. 2.The interests and welfare of the individual should have priority over the sole interest of science or society. Article 6: 1.Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice. Article 11: No individual or group should be discriminated against or stigmatized on any grounds, in violation of human dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms. The WHO instrument must not over ride the common law of the sovereign participating nations, Regards Lydia Walles
Not applicable - sovereign being and natural person	I request that inclusion of guarantees of the following: 1. State sovereignty. The ability for a sovereign state to make decisions above the WHO. 2. Individual sovereignty. The ability for every sovereign being of the planet to determine what they put into, or do not put into their bodies. 3. Respect and observance of human rights, international treaties concerning human rights, state legislation regarding human rights, and the Nuremburg Code. 4. Legal liability for government officials, pharmaceutical companies, and government bodies.
Victory Lutheran Church	I request that individual states and even every individual should have the right to choose to comply or not. These mandates are onerous, infringing on our God-given rights and freedoms.
World Council for Health	I request that National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions on what is best for their own people. I request that nations & local muicipalities have the ability to opt out of any or all parts of the agreement as they feel is fit & suitable, without consequences. I request an open & transparant process with the ability for all people in the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the global agreement applying in places where the majority of the people do not want it. I request measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any & all companies or other health profiteers.
	I request that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. And that the ability of nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. And also that there is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And lastly, that measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I request that our government remain sovereign in all aspects relating to the physical and mental health of the citizens of Ireland. This includes the government of Ireland remaining sovereign in the treatment and procedures thereof of any future pandemics . Thanking you, Sincerely, Veronica Coughlan
No	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Human	the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effect. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
private person	the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural
Citizen of the Republic of Poland	the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural
Private person	the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
member of the public	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Private	the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural
Poland	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Poland	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about.

Organization	Written submission
Privat	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken. STOP this project!!!!
Private	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about
No organization	the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural
POLAND	the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural
an individual	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered in all making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
-	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
citizen of Poland	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
none, PhD in medical biology	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered by making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
unaffiliated	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
N/A	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Marta Lyson	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
None	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Bill Goes To Abbys	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a lockdown basis. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I urge that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available. Remember all sinister acts will BE judged.
parent	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media.
Real Human	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary.Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed though official stare media about the failure to complete clinical triaks and all possibile adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharacentical companies and WHO imlementers are public and publicy available(publication on the WHO website).I demand that the WHO meetings are made public im real time, with Access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum od WHO's activites should incude building up natural immunitet and prophylatics.
Studio Kozak Dorota Kozak	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics. Dorota Kozak
No organization	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
who	I request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic measures. I also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
general public	I request that the following are included: The inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty. I.e. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Respect for human rights Legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies - and all the other things that governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. e.g. scientific information/data from Dr's etc that governments have chosen to ignore. One example of this is, the Great Barrington Declaration. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement, in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Engineering Services	I request that the inclusion of the guarantees on state and individual sovereignty must be maintained and included at all times; and that respect for "human rights" is an absolute paramount condition and law regulation, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things regarding governmental bodies have to be completely ignored/excluded for the next 5years.
None	I request that the proposed instrument has an inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and a legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies that produce any vaccines deemed necessary in a response to any pandemic.
HSD 36	I request that the WHO stay out of the way when it comes to individual choice regarding pandemic preparedness and response. I believe it should be up to the individual to decide how they would like to handle bio-engineered pandemics in the future. Since I did not elect anyone to the WHO, I do not believe it is ethical for the WHO to mandate anything onto anyone. So it is. Thank you.
Self-Employed	I request that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	I request that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process upon which all people of the world are able to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures are in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I request that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process upon which all people of the world are able to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures are in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	I request that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I do not agree with giving authority to the WHO to regulate medical decisions in the United States. Thank you, Alice Putney
Private citizen	I request the following -- 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
None	I request the following be included to honor our communities. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
general public	I request the following in regards to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I strongly believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
	I request the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private woman	I request the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Body autonomy for all medical interventions/procedures. Signed by sara watt
USA Citizen	I request the inclusion of guarantees for state and individual sovereignty; I request the inclusion of respect for human dignity and human rights; I request the legal liability of government officials, WHO officials, pharmaceutical companies, and all other corporations and agencies that profit from this treaty for all injuries and damages to people from coerced Pandemic Policy including forcing people to take Biologic, Gene Altering Injections. I request a return to respect for thinking and reasoning people across the globe. And I request that we don't force people to be injected with anything that is clearly dangerous or that kills people the way that the current Corona vaccines kill. I request that we go back to the scientific method and follow principals of sound scientific research and allow local doctors and scientists who clearly show NO CONFLICT OF INTEREST, the autonomy to make health decisions for their own people.
me	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, no coercion, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials, and legal liability for pharmaceutical companies.
Heidelberg University	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for all human rights, transparency, and legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
general public	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
Private citizen	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. I thought that the above should not have to be requested, but the last two years changed my mind.
Na	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies, transparency of data concerning vaccine efficacy and side effects, NO mandatory vaccines or medical passports, respect for individual privacy and freedom of speech.
Private	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
None	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
private individual	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies - if, of course, a new international instrument is necessary at all. I submit that it isn't.
None	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. Accountability for government mistakes made to further corporation profits, No vaccine passports to further the catastrophe of The Great Reset.
Private	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years
Malaysian	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. Stay Away from our Country.
universiti	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
ikm	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Universiti Teknologi MARA	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Citizen of the world	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. I object to this instrument violating any of our human rights.
Government link company	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Ramadhan	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Universiti Malaysia Sabah	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
~The Human Race	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
National Teachers Union	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
None	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Private	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. The people have not been asked to vote on the proposal. Each country's response to any pandemic must be proportional and relevant to its particular infrastructure, demographics, population, health system, vulnerability etc. One size fits all across many nations is not appropriate in such a situation. All peoples MUST be allowed to chose, through their governments, their own particular routes out of a pandemic.
Privat	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Personal	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Universiti Malaya	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Freedom	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. The corruption of WHO must STOP! It's not too late. May someone wise, and whose human's best interest is at heart, read this and start acting now.
None	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Private	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. No one - and certainly not the WHO - is above nation states or individuals!
Citizen	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. Pandemic definition must refer to death casualties. Just getting ill is no reason to skip human rights !
Burger	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. Pure pesterijen tov mensen, uitsluiting, negeren van individuen. Mensen die sterven van eenzaamheid (schaam jullie). Kinderen, jeugd die worden uitgesloten, schaam jullie. Gezondheid van kinderen en volwassenen op het spel zetten met experimenteel vaccin, mensen doen geloven dat de kinderen, de ouderen doen sterven als ze geen vaccin nemen ..schandelijk Dit was geen pandemie. Dit draaide om controle...niet meer en niet minder.
UiTM Malaysia	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Personal Submission	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. There must be transparency at all times and in all events.
Scout	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years
exactt technology GmbH	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies!
Self-employed	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies, and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
self	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies, and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. Exemptions for personal choice, and religious and medical freedoms as well.
not applicable	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
none	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
N/A	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Self-employed	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
None	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
None (individual)	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
not applicable	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. It's a fundamental human right to decide what goes into your body.
Free citizen	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights,legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
S&D QA Services Ltd	I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, the right and ability of states to opt out of participation completely, the right and ability of states to not implement all elements of any treaty of they do sign up to it, mandatory primacy and respect for human rights both individually and collectively to override considerations of the treaty, legal and financial liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and other corporations involved.
Individual	I request the inclusion of guarantees on: •state and individual sovereignty •respect for human rights •legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
None (private citizen)	I request the inclusion of guarantees on: - state and individual sovereignty, - respect for human rights, - legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies, - quick availability of medical-scientific data, including raw data, data from trials etc.
privat	I request: All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. The centralization of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states should agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO, in the public interest. The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest. The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of the guidance. The final decision in a truly democratic process, should be made by the people rather than the Intergovernmental Negotiating Body which may be widely perceived as biased and usurping individual and nation sovereignity.
Student	I require guarantees for the soverenity of the state and private person, individual, the respect, esteem and keeping of Human Rights (e.g. Freedom of religion, freedom of choice) and the legal liability of the government officials and the pharmaceutical companies.
Private	I require guarantees for the soverenity of the state and private person, individual, the respect, esteem and keeping of Human Rights (e.g. Freedom of religion, freedom of choice) and the legal liability of the government officials and the pharmaceutical companies.
	I require guarantees for the soverenity of the state and private person, individual, the respect, esteem and keeping of Human Rights (e.g. Freedom of religion, freedom of choice) and the legal liability of the government officials and the pharmaceutical companies.
Privat	I require guarantees for the soverenity of the state and private person, individual, the respect, esteem and keeping of Human Rights (e.g. Freedom of religion, freedom of choice) and the legal liability of the government officials and the pharmaceutical companies
Sole Entrepreneur	I require written guaranties for the souverinity of all states und every single person as well as respect for the human rights. Governments and pharmaceutical companies must be held fully and legally liable for their decisions and/or products. Compulsory vaccinations of whatsoever kind violate the human rights. Every vaccination has to be voluntarily and decided by the respective person only. We are free citizens and will fight compulsory vaccinations with all means.
n/a	I resent the implication that I am incapable of managing my own health and well-being. Mankind has survived for many thousands of years without the need for chemical intervention in our bodies to ostensibly improve our health. In fact, as recent events show, such chemical/pharmaceutical intervention has a very dark side and in many cases may actually make our health worse. I know that this goes against the prevailing narrative, but to repeat what I said above, I am perfectly capable of managing my personal health. I will resist the attempts of any outside party who does not know me or fully understand my personal health issues to override my personal responsibility to myself. There is no one-size-fits-all therapy for any known pathogen.
Private citizen	I reserve the right to make any decisions in my country and region to my elected officials, the employees of WHO ate not elected by democratic voting. The elected leaders in every country should retain full autonomy when deciding what is best for their own people. The WHO should give guidance based on unbiased scientific research and should not be mandating anything - as such every country should be able to opt out of any and all suggested measures without consequence. Any future discussions or agreements must be transparent and open for all the people in the world to vote on including failsafe measures that prevent any applicatons of the agreement where the majority of people do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers should not be able to influence the process that lead to any decision/measure.
member of the general public	I respect the guiding question of this hearing but need to state that it assumes one agrees with there being a need for the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Was need determined? Who was involved in the process and how was need determined? As proposed, this seems like a grand, sweeping measure that takes control away from the citizens of the world and gives it to one organization. That's a lot of power for one organization to hold over the citizens of the world. I don't agree with that because of the democratic values of the USA. I'd like to see national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Thank you.
Individual	I respectfully ask for the addition of a mention that states that WHO being a non governmental and non elected organization, should only make recommendations based on its understanding of the addressed health situation. WHO should not have any authority to impose any protocol to any government. Each country has its own health authority and while taking the recommendations of the WHO into account, it should develop its own way to address each specific situation. Common sense also shows that "one size does not fit all" as the situation in each country varies in terms of impact of the local health situation, its resources, and so on. In addition, the recent Covid 19 crisis has also demonstrated that all the recommendations WHO made were not universally accepted nor right, for probably very good reasons.
retired	I respectfully ask that all involved in forming this treaty uphold human rights and dignity and assure individuals the freedom to choose their own medical care. We have heard for decades "my body, my choice' and I believe every person should be able to refuse vaccinations that they feel are harmful. Please allow all therapeutics to be available for treatment of disease and no shaming for people who choose a different treatment. Because I appreciate freedom, I pray that governments would not surveil populations for the purpose of demanding conformity to their wishes. Thank you for not imposing medical tyranny of any kind.
Personal Statement	I respectfully ask that the global pandemic treaty be stopped. Countries need to maintain their sovereignty. This Plan would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy. In the US, Marbury vs Madison 5 US 137: Any treaty that is repugnant to the US Constitution is null and void. Further, US political leaders are obligated to uphold the Constitution, which does not legally support any agreement, treaty, etc. that they may make to the contrary. Additionally, I added the following: 1) Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words:"Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other too
None	I respectfully ask you consider the following: 1. The "instrument" shall be a guideline only and not a required response as conditions in each country and region may be different and a one size fits all could be ineffective or harmful. Each country shall have the right to implement all, some or none of the advisory measures based on their right as a sovereign nation and relative to local conditions. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy. This includes failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 2. The sovereignty and Constitutions of each nation shall still have precedence over any WHO advisory measures. No measure shall be instituted locally that violate a countries' Human Rights or Constitutions. 3. The development of measures shall be fully transparent and based on actual science. Conflicts of interest, or measures that would financially benefit decision makers shall require the decision makers to withdraw. Please create measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4. Independent evaluation of health measures is key. A petition signed by 20,000 health and science professionals and submitted to the WHO shall require a stopping and re-evaluation of measures.
Haven	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Please continue to support the autonomy of each country.
n/a	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time
Personal (no organization)	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time
N/A	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time
The people	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time Sharri vleeming
Citizen	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time
Individual	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time
Canadian Citizen	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time
na	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time
School Bus Driver	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time
Canadian Citizen	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time
General Public	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time Ann Kwiat
None	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time Sharron Pelland
Private citizen	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time, respectfully, Art Couperus
Private citizen	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time, respectfully, Art Couperus
CENTRE HOLIDAYS	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all places are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time Adam Zimpel.
General Public	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as we have witnessed in the last two years each country has its own issues climates people and there are in a variety of other things can come in to play. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidelines, but leave each country's response to be handled by each and every INDIVIDUAL country. Please please think of what's right for the people and not the politicians Thank you
Personal citizen of the world	I respectfully believe an "international" response is not required. Each country should have their OWN response, as all areas are affected differently and there is no "blanket cure" as we have witnessed in the last two years. I believe the WHO should continue to provide guidance, but leave each country's response to be handled by that INDIVIDUAL country. Thank you for your time, Apolonia Henderson
Self-employed	I respectfully disagree with the premise of the question - namely, that there should even be an "international instrument" on pandemic preparedness and response. Nevertheless, if we try to answer this question in the context in which it is framed, then I think the following elements must be included in any international agreement relating to future disease outbreaks of international concern: 1. Full Autonomy -- National and local leadership must be permitted to retain full autonomy in their decision-making, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This must include the option for countries and localities to opt-out of any decisions made, without consequence, which they have credible reason to believe are not scientifically valid or where the economic harm of such decisions would outweigh any potential health benefit. 2. Independent Scientific Review -- An international board of qualified scientists and medical doctors should be selected at random to allow an open, impartial and independent debate on the best early treatments. The findings of that board should then be presented to member countries (party to the Intl. Agreement) to allow them to decide what action (if any) they wish to take. 3. Priority given to the most cost-effective measures which are clinically shown to reduce hospitalisation and death. 4. All members of the scientific review board in point (2) above should be fully independent of the pharmaceutical industry and official government bodies and have no direct or indirect conflicts of interest with either of these groups. These are my thoughts. I hope they are helpful. Thank you.
Lift Mississippi	I respectfully request an important substantive element that i think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response to include documentation of the Ebola responses including the medications that were discontinued, including what mistakes were made and how they would be handled differently in the future. I would also like to see included more time for discussion To include underrepresented people including Women private property owners, Women Farmers, and those who have felt alienated or wronged by the system in the past so we can learn from their unusual perspective. These beautiful Diversity's are key.
None	I respectfully request my voice to be heard. I wish to see agreement that any pandemic and health decisions will be made by national and local governments, utilizing true scientific data, and listening to a wide variety of health professionals. The best interests of the people, including economic and mental health, must be the first consideration, not the interest of pharmaceutical companies and huge corporations and government entities. Natural and non-toxic treatments should be allowed and promoted. Vaccines should not be rushed, but should have long-term safety studies, and should be halted when serious adverse events are occurring. Voluntary self-quarantining should be encouraged when there are symptoms, and lockdowns should not occur. Limit sponsorship of news media by pharmaceutical industry
None	I respectfully request that powers granted to the state infringe as little as possible on the rights of the individual, and that such powers are subject to monthly and weekly review; moreover any restrictions on the rights of any ndividual must ultimately be decided by a court with recourse to appeal. We must preserve human rights and individual freedoms.
Individual	I respectfully request that the following precepts be included in the WHO treaty regarding health pandemics: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I respectfully request that the new order defer all decisions relating to pandemic, prevention, & preparedness to belong only to the people & their community at the local level as each community is unique & the power of choice must always remain with The People. No other establishment should be able to suspend or negate the constitution of any country. This would create instability, chaos, & tyranny. Communities that work together, thrive together. Keep it local to foster community strength & resilience. The WHO should only be there to advise and inform the public on health issues, not to create a new forum that would put one umbrella of policies over vast amounts of individuals who have cultural & unique practices. This must be respected as well as their constitution. Thank you.

Organization	Written submission
United States Citizen	I respectfully request that the WHO not consider overriding national government Constitutions or other forms of government when considering recommendations in the event of a pandemic or other biological global crisis. It is important to me that our National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions for the citizens of those areas based on what The People of those areas deem is best. I believe that the ability of National and local municipalities and governments need to remain free to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement made with the WHO as they see fit, without consequence to the country or municipality or county or individual. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all the people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. Finally, I believe measures should be put in place that do not allow for the influence of any and all pharmaceutical companies, government agencies, global agencies or other global profiteers in the process of determining a global response to a pandemic or other medical crisis. The autonomy of any country, municipality, county, or individual is paramount and should be preserved at all costs as this is what social structure is for. Thank you for your attention and consideration of my comments. Respectfully, James W Carlton
None	I respectfully request that these points be included for the response to future pandemic response: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I respectfully request that whatever measures are considered to be implemented for management of future pandemics and for health protection of the world's population these must not overrule the human rights, the sovereignty of the WHO member states and the Nuremberg Codex. The following consideration should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: Considering the human rights the basic freedom of movement, the inviolability of the home and of the human body is emphasized Non adherence to public measures must not result in criminalization of individuals. Considering the sovereignty of the member states the respective parliaments should have the right to reconsider WHO proposed measures to have their own scientific advice and to disapprove if required. Moreover, the local democratic structures should not be bypassed (refer to European Charter of Local Self-Government). Considering the scientific base of decisions scientific advice should be sought from the whole spectrum of parties. No scientific opinion- however controversial- should be excluded from considerations. Measures should be re-considered following a defined timeline. Considering the Nuremberg Codex therapeutic or prophylactic measures including administration of not fully authorized pharmaceuticals should only be legal after informed consent by the affected individual person.
US Citizen	I respectfully request the inclusion in this treaty of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. The WHO must learn from the COVID-19 pandemic how dangerous certain measures are to personal liberty and free will. The pursuit of public health and safety must preserve and balance mental health and well-being, as well as personal autonomy and choice. Public trust in the WHO's policies has completely eroded in the wake of erroneous guidance you provided, that world governments abused to impose unlawful mandates, restrict alternative protocols and ultimately kill millions of people who might otherwise have survived.
None	I respectfully request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Thank you
private citizen	I respectfully strongly urge the following to be incorporated into the treaty: 1. The ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of all or any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2. Safeguards to protect the process from influence by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Self	I respectfully submit and believe that the pandemic response should include measures to retain national and local autonomy giving each the right to decide what is best for their own people. It must have the option for nations and local governments to opt out as they decide, without consequences. The pandemic response needs to have an open and transparent process allowing all individuals to vote with measures in place to opt out. It should have measures to keep all private industry and businesses, including all pharmaceutical companies, all global profiteers to not be allowed to influence the decisions in any way. Please consider this in your drafting of the of the pandemic treaty. Thank you.
Private person	I respectfully submit that an international pandemic treaty is not necessarily in the public interest for the following reasons: 1) The WHO may have conflicts of interest potentially influencing decision making processes. One example of such conflict is publicly known current financing of the WHO which bears conflicts with regard to the (i) financing states / organisations and (ii) may include purpose directed financing. 2) There is no world-wide optimal response to a pandemic. Example Sweden: heavily criticized in the beginning of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemy, but after experience of more than two years possibly seeing an overall better outcome compared to EU average. Similarly, there is a clear outcome difference, e.g. between EU, US, etc. and India, or also compared to Japan despite similar lifestyle. WHO has failed to compare and extract the positive of differences in pandemy handling and to transparently open a discussion. 3) Constitutional rights in individual countries must be respected. 4) The WHO may not be scientifically staffed and capable of fully assessing the threat and the solutions. E.g., WHO has early on (correctly) discredited Vitamin D as not being a treatment for COVID-19 (as pharmacological intervention in the hospitalized), while stating it as being essential for the immune system. Thus, preventive potential and risk mitigation by correcting Vit D insufficiency, well known to be present in the general population, have indirectly been discredited. However, certain countries on a national level have supplemented in pre-pandemic times, and retrospectively these countries fared better. Similar, aspiration when administering vaccines; PCR standards; statistics impaired by "death due to or associated with"; etc.
private individual	I respectfully submit that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should NOT be binding on individual countries or regions, which should be free to pursue the public health policies that they deem most appropriate for their own populations. Nor should such an instrument be designed to over-ride the democratic will of the people of the world, who should have the opportunity to vote to opt out of any aspect of a proposed agreement. Finally, individuals or corporations with a vested interest in the healthcare industry should not be allowed to influence the design of such an instrument at any stage, either directly or indirectly.
Hands on Health Ltd.	I respectfully submit that bodily autonomy is a necessary and reliable check and balance to medical interventions as upheld by the Nuremberg Code and the Charter in my country. There may come a day when each of you wish this was so when you lose someone you love to a treatment they never needed, or needed a different one than a globally prescribed medical intervention. I am reminded of what a wise man once said, "Never let anyone tell you that you have to give up freedom for the greater good. Freedom is the greater good."
US citizen	I respectfully submit that NO global organization has the right to breach the sovereignty of another nation or the rights of the citizens of that nation as it is a breach of national sovereignty and individual liberty, whether that is based on personal health, religious freedoms, personal beliefs and familial authority. Stay out of my rights as a person born on this earth. You have no God-given authority over me.
citizen	I respectfully submit that the following conditions be included : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual USA Citizen	I respectfully submit that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	I respectfully submit that we are all independent countries with our own cultures, religions, and beliefs. We in the United States are blessed with certain unalienable rights and by commiting to an agreement like this, our rights to choose would be undermined. As brilliantly demonstrated by the last pandemic, WHO is not capable of determining the best treatment for individuals throughout the world and such an action eliminates the ability of our doctors to determine the correct treatment for individual patients. Our government has no right to act in our place or to limit our freedoms. I am strongly against any such agreement. Sincerely, Cheryle Fay Clark
none	I respectfully submit the following points for inclusion in any new international instrument: 1. The instrument must not purport to preempt the authority of state and local officials to make rules and regulations as they deem proper for their own populations. 2. Each state and its local municipalities must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the instrument as they deem proper. 3. The instrument must contain an appendix disclosing the identity of every individual and entity contributing to the drafting of the instrument. Such disclosure must include, in the case of individuals, a curriculum vitae and list of known conflicts; and in the case of corporate or other organizations, the disclosure must include: (a) a description of its contribution, (b) the curriculum vitae of each agent or employee of the entity acting on its behalf in contributing to the drafting of the instrument, and (c) detailed financial disclosure of any gain the organization expects to receive from any source as a result of the adoption by WHO of the international instrument.
Individual	I respectfully submit the following to be included: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I respectfully suggest that the following elements should be included in any such instrument: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Individual	I respectfully urge the WHO to not adopt the proposed treaty. The WHO is not an elected body, nor is it subject to the rule of law. The rule of law protects people from abusive behavior by their elected government. Having an unelected body impose medical programs over sovereign governments vitiates the nature itself of government, for the people, by the people. Any proposed program must ensure that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, that their sovereignty is not impugned. There cannot be a mandate issues by a non-governmental body that is not subject to overview in any form. This would expose populations to abuse in many forms. No treaty can be imposed without the corollary of a justice structure to raise and address grievances, provide people and governments protection from overarching and unfair reach by the WHO. Nations must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, any contention between the people, their nations, and the treaty must be resolved in favor of the people. Recent history has shown us how medical issues can become politicized for the furtherance of private or political interests. Even as we reel of these developments without a clear line of sight on how to right them, we cannot allow this to happen again.
Windsor Unified School District	I respectfully would request the individual medical rights, bodily autonomy be paramount and respected as such. Each individual should make their own personal decision of what to do with their health and that of their children without interference or coercion from any local, state or federal governments. Also, I do not believe that Non Governmental Organizations should that are run by unelected officials have any place in telling individual citizens of this planet what they should do with their bodies. Thank you, Daniel Hebron
Private citizen	I respectfully ask that you would allow nations to make their own decisions. New Zealanders do not agree with this even if our Government does. Many of us cannot speak up because of our Govt. Please listen. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I respectively believe an international response is not required.Each country should decide what is best for their people as each demographic is different. The last 2 years has proven that each country is unique. I believe the WHO can provide guidelines, but let each country decide what is best for their situation. Thank you for your consideration.
Citizen of the World	I respectively request the inclusion of unchangeable, complete guarantees on the following points: 1. State and Individual sovereignty. 2. Respect for human rights. 3. Legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
United States of America	I respectively request you consider these guidelines: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thank you, Kim Vicklund
General public	I respond to the question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The important substantive elements, that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, are that: 1) any pandemic preparedness and response will unequivocally uphold, as supreme above all other human rights, that: "Everyone has the right to refuse to undergo any medical treatment" and that "everyone who refuses to undergo any medical treatment" will NOT be subjected to coercion, being any form of activity that could be construed as coercion, "to undergo any medical treatment". 2) any pandemic preparedness and response will unequivocally uphold the right that: national and local leadership will retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3) any pandemic preparedness and response will unequivocally uphold the right that: nations and local municipalities can opt out of any portion, and all portions, of the international pandemic agreement, at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 4) any pandemic preparedness and response will unequivocally ensure that: an open and transparent process will occur, with failsafe measures, that prevents the influence in the pandemic preparedness and response process of any, and every, pharmaceutical company and any, and every, other "global health profiteer". 5) any pandemic preparedness and response will unequivocally uphold the rights that: "Everyone and every animal will NOT be subjected to cruel treatment including, but not limited to, scientific experimentation".
Citizen	I saw huge problem with WHO who didn't understand that there is no global answer to any threat!!! Only threat now is your sponzors and finance interest which is number one in your answer! I Demand that You leave me and my country to take care by myself. I am health now, and saw that Everybody which Listen to You what to do- Are SICK!!! You didn't t do things to Best interest od people!!
none, general public	I say "No" to a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. States should keep their sovereignty. The WHO should not interfere.
Private	I say No , I m pediatrician, we would like our rules in Germany self determined
Deutscher Staatsbürger	I say no !! N
Free	I say no for a Instrument like that! Health is a individual thing. The reason why public health gonne lost is Not pandemic.
Concerned citizen	I say no to a joint and binding treaty. A onesize fits all is not the way to go. Each country knows best.
Florian Geis	I say no to a international Instrument
Self	I say NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty. It is intrusion and tyranny against the people's of this world and their God given right to bodily autonomy, among other things. Body autonomy is the right for a person to govern what happens to their body without external influence or coercion. This is an important concept for all children (and adults), to be taught and to understand. Note that if you proceed with this global pandemic treaty and subject any of the world's people's to your diabolical agenda, you will be judged severely on that Great and Terrible Day of the Lord that is spoken of in the Revelation of the Bible; the Word of God. You have been warned. Repent before it is too late, or perish. The first word Jesus spoke when He started His ministry some 2000+ years ago was, REPENT!!! In closing, it is written: ...As I live, declares the Lord GOD, I have no pleasure in the death of the wicked, but that the wicked turn from his way and live; turn back, turn back from your evil ways... Ezekiel 33:11 (Partial scripture that applies to all peoples, not just the Israelites.)
None	I say NO to a world-centralised pandemic response. Why? 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. They were voted in by the people to make decisions for their people. That's democracy. 2. If this goes ahead, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be included. 3. If this goes ahead there should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. If this goes ahead there should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I say NO to any legally binding global pandemic treaty. NO to anyone, any entity, any proposal to use an emergency to gain control over any person or persons.
GreeMedinfo	I say no to create an International Treaty.
general public	I say NO to global public health regulations, or vaccination passports.Health is very individal and depends on personal immunsystem. No mandatory for the WHO to decide about other countries.
Privat	I say NO to NIIOPP. An international Agreement should be for the People and not against them. Free decisions about medical treatment and recognizing homeopathy as a supplement for the conventional medicin. Doctors decide on their knowledge and conscience and not on a basis of a contract. As an individual I make my own decisions shout myself. The WHO has no democratic legitimacy whatsoever. With this treaty the basic pillars of democrazy are brought down. No No No.
none	I say no to the global treatment. I do not agree with your plans that threaten the independence of nations.
public	I say NO to the pandemic agreement. It is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty inalienable right.
Wmv	I say no to the proposed WHO treaty. The treaty is unlawful.
Individual	I say NO to the treaty, it jeopardizes our freedom and puts all the powers in the hands of a questionable organization that has a conflict of interest. Nations should remain sovereign not under one world power. Not every place is affected the same way or live under the same circumstances. Therefore one size fits all makes no sense. NO on the treaty.
World citizen	I say no to the treaty. You and most western governments were wrong with your guidelines for COVID-19. I do not trust the you or these governments with the authority to set guidelines for future global outbreaks. Thank you for your time. Jim Naar
N/A	I say NO to the WHO mafia's treaty which is just an attack on our freedom, sovereignty and natural inalienable rights!!! The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences and should have the right to react to the pandemic in its own way. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. Every patient should have the right to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. Feedom of speech of all doctors and scientists should be allowed, respected and be considered.
DieBasis	I say no to this contract, this all will be against the fundamental human rights. Kind regards
None	I say no to this Pandemic treaty. This agreement is unnecesary and threatens our sovereignity. Nobody has the right to control any aspect of our lives. An open and transparent process is needed. Thank you
Privet	I say no to vaccinpassport, lockdowns and mandatory vaccinations. I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Bethel Defiance Church USA	I say no to WHO making any decision for the USA. Every country should make their own decisions!
Sovergin	I say no to your over reach to be a global power. You are not in our constitution and I give you no authority over me.EVER.
Privat	I say no!
Germany	I say no! stop your plans.
Privat	I say No!!! Ich fordere das freie Recht der Selbstbestimmung der Menschen! Die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl.
Privat	I say no, because a worldwide vaccination is too dangerous. Nobody knows the longterm effects to the Body.
Privat	I say NO, never!!!
Private	I say no. This is the end of our democracy than we know!
Alexander Philipp	I say strictly No !!! Because: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making bodyRepresentative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Regards Alexander Philipp
GERMANY	I say strictly NO !!!! I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty !
I am a private citizen of Australia	I see no reason for the WHO to intervene in the healthcare of my country.
Private Individual	I see no reason why the responsibility for this cannot remain with local Government and not an unelected body.
Options in Health and Wellness	I see public health as not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. My nearly 40 years of practice is the basis for this opinion. I welcome WHO research and guidelines to be offered, not mandated. Sincerely, Dr Brock Schwartz
Self - American citizen	I should ask the committee to consider the appropriateness of local communities drafting their own responses since they have more on the ground knowledge than a group focused on global concerns could possibly have. Sovereignty of member states ought to be respected in that regard as well. I wish you wisdom and understanding when crafting such a framework. God bless you all.
private citizen	I sincerely believe a real and effective intrument on pandemic response must at all cost be democratic and trasparent, made out of a council of every nation's specially appointed health experts, following a thorugh national pandemic plan, and every discussion should be streamed and made availabe. The WHO can't and shouldn't be the sole body in charge of a global respose as, beside obvious conflicts of interests due to its funding, WHO alone can't ever understand the differences between nation states. The failure of lockdowns, that wreak havoc in poor countries, are an example. For this reason there must also be an independent body capable of investigating the process and another, separated, to held legislators and helath experts to account in case of bad management, bad practices and corruption. "The only means to fight the plague is honesty" said Camus, and that tha way forward to restore and preserve trust by the public in the WHO.
None	I so not support or Consent to the corrupt WHO to be in charge of my freedom and my health! I am an adult and I can make my decisions based on my research and my experience! No Global organization, especially one sponsored massively by Bill Gates, Can have this amount of power over humanity! Freedom can't and won't be taken away from humanity!
Abbey Wood Community Sound	I speak as someone whose family and community has suffered severely, NOT from Covid, but rather from ALL the draconian governmental measures used in the UK, - similar to all other governments - used to attempt to manage the pandemic situation. All such measures used, i.e. lockdown, social distancing, masking, the different mandated medications, and vaccination - have all made matters FAR worse, in our personal experience. My understanding is that the former long-term pandemic plans that were in place should have been adhered to, and in addition, there should now be renewed emphasis on guaranteed state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, plus legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies, so that sense will prevail, and such another panic-driven disaster in handling a pandemic - will never happen again.
N/A	I stand against a global pandemic treaty. I am a 69-year-old woman who has had the virus and has recovered nicely. I believe in medical freedom. It is a threat to my freedom and other citizens of the United States or any sovereign country to allow a outside entity to mandate health decisions. This is a dangerous move away from an individual or a family or a state or a country's ability to make decisions for themselves. We should not start off in that direction.
private citizen	I stand against a global treaty of any kind that takes away the rights of citizens of their respective country/state to make their own health care decisions. There is no care/treatment that is universal to all people. It is the right of every human to be able to care for their own person and to be the primary care decision maker for their own under age children. Treaties of this type could be easily misused to an individual/group who choose to push their own agenda. This appears to set the stage for world governance in the area of healthcare and the vast majority of citizens of the world do not want that.
90518 Altdorf	I stand for absolute sovereignty of each individual in the question of compulsory vaccination. I demand the inclusion of guarantees on respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Stand for freedom	I stand for our rights ! Taking them or demanding vaccinations or compliance for government choices is not American!!! Kim Milum

Organization	Written submission
Stop The Treaty	I stand for: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Public health is NOT a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities should be in control of their health.
Concern citizen	I stand with the 'The World Council for Health', a coalition of scientists, doctors, lawyers and civil society advocacy organizations, opposes the World Health Organisation (WHO)'s moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. I believe the Who is here to enforce bio surveillance while involved in conflict-of-interest activities by accepting funding from the rich elites like Bill Gates Foundations, where the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year
United States of America!	I stand with the World Council for Health and its open letter regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: It MUST ensure the following: 1. Ensure that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Provide measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I staten that any vaccine, drug or gene therapy recommendations must be VOLUNTARY after appropriate and transparent informed consent as per principles of medical ethics and patient rights.
Real Human	I still need to add something...I request that the definition of"pandemic" and the definition of "DNA" be restored to the definitions they were before this "experimental preparation" was conditionally placed on the world market and placed on the market.
No	I strongly oppose any WHO interference in any pandemic.
Citizen of the Sovereign United States of America	I strenuously object to any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
US citizen disabled by LongCovid	I strenuously object to WHO's illegitimate creation of an "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response." The US - like many countries throughout the world - is run and controlled by wealthy oligarchs the US populace does not trust. Our government alphabet agencies - CDC, NIH, FDA, NIAID, HHS, etc are thoroughly corrupt and do not work to create, preserve or expand the health and well-being of the US populace. Further, we have not authorized our federal leaders or government agencies to work with WHO on pandemic issues. WHO lost its credibility with those of us in the LongLyme/TBD & LongME communities years ago, and we now rely on the World Council for Health for truthful, accurate and complete scientific and medical information and dialogue. Please leave the us out of your diabolical plans. Terri Scofield PO Box 2052 Riverhead NY 11901 USA
Private	I strictly oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
none	I strictly oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Private	I strong disagree with WHO plans to take away my democratic freedom of choice how I suppose to be treated. WHO has no rights to force anybody in the democratic country to any medical practice against individual person's will. This way WHO will break basic human rights which should be never allowed. I am against as well all locdowns which harm people by taking away their freedom and has been proofed having negative impact on people well being and health . WHO plans are not accaptable in the democratic world. There is strong: „ no" to their plans to take away basic human rights which are on this agenda Teresa Bartosik
USA	I strongly oppose this treaty as it would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy. Health decisions should be made by those closest to the people, not a global organization. When health care is one size fits all, it harms and often kills people. The WHO, an unelected body, does not have the power to make a permanent binding treaty that affects U.S citizens.
36 Midchester Ave	I strongly agree with any type of digital IDs, digital currency! Peoples health of their own individual responsibility. If you want to do something for people provide education, provide supplements that work, and stop pushing therapies that only lead to big pharma profits. People are waking up do your tactics and we are onto you. Allow all therapies to be used. Do not deny anything from BODILY AUTONOMY.
Roche Sequencing Solutions	I STRONGLY am in opposition to this treaty. As WHO has asked for significant elements of it, my reply is as follows: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Fight for Humanity	I strongly and vehemently oppose the proposal by the WHO to implement mandatory vaccination in all EU states.The WHO is a corrupt, not fit for purpose private organisation and is a threat to the people of the world.I reject your proposal and any future proposal put forward by the WHO. I reject your over reaching attempt to control every citizen.I will not be forced into any medical experiment now or in the future,I will not be discriminated against or have my civil liberties removed on the say so of a corrupt cesspit of an organisation. The WHO should not be included in any role regarding the health and wellbeing of the people of the world. The WHO does not serve the interests of the ordinary everyday citizens but a minority of corrupt elites.It is a disgraced organisation.The WHO has shown during the Covid 19 pandemic, it has misinformed the people of the world and that it has no issue with trampling over the Human Rights of people all over the world,violating goverment and individual sovereignty and being involved with serious crimes against humanity. Encouraging lockdowns, mask wearing and dangerous medical experiments which have been proven to be incredibly damaging to human beings all across the world. The WHO should be dismantled with immediate effect and criminal proceedings launched.
Soruk	I strongly and with the full support of my organisation stand against the WHO being given the rights to override democratic government and dictate health policies such as lockdown and vaccines to name but 2.this is absolutely outrageous and seems to have been introduced very quietly as to make the public barely aware of disgraceful plan..no no no!!!
no	I strongly appose any WHO interference in any pandemic
Retired	I strongly beleive that the following elements are essencial when developing and international instrument on pandemic preparedness: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I strongly believe any international response should allow for the local and National leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. As well as providing the nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. An international response should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Individual	I strongly believe each Country should be in charge of their own health policies in accordance and very importantly respecting its citizens freedom of choice, and any other rights and freedoms protected by the Country's own Constitution. No international treaty that infringes in those rights and freedoms should be ever enacted.
Civilian	I strongly believe in bodily automminy and the right to self governance, I believe the WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, having the right to make decisions based on what is desired by their own people. Nations and local municipalities should opt out of the agreement without consequence. Govenrnments need to provide an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of their nation to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Local governments need to create measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers including the WHO No one should have total and complete governance over a sovereign nation or its people. That is communism and tyranny and that is not acceptable. This effort by WHO needs to cease.
	I strongly believe in personal autonomy and freedom of choice for all when it comes to health. A one size fits all approach to health is so detrimental and also dangerous for so many. I have heard of so many healthy people damaged by vaccines that were said to solve this pandemic. Now even those vaccinated are coming down with this virus even though they hopefully and dutifully followed public health guidelines. How can an ever changing and mutating virus be tamed by a static vaccine? It cannot. We need health solutions.
Myself	I strongly believe in the following ideas: 1 National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Kristi Swift
Asociacion Ambiente Europeo	I strongly believe in the natural skill of every human being of freely choosing what is more convenient for him or her. WHO can be of a great help on that direction by supplying an overall information through facilitating the power of speech to scientists and doctors from different approaches on public debates. Those encounters would so help develop science towards a more complete visions and ways to act on future pandemics. I believe as well in natural inmune system as the main defense of our health. WHO can be of great help as well by publicly communicate the various known ways to reinforce it, both physically and mentally (i.e. right nutrition, meditation, nature contact, exercising...) as well as help preventing humans beings to access fear or panic states too easily.
Think Big Coaching Academy	I strongly believe that : 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be upheld. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Medical Doctor, private citizen	I strongly believe that a Worldwide pandemic treaty as suggest by the WHO should be abandoned and never implemented. A pandemic response has to be a national , democratic decision since circumstances in each country are different. Countries need to be free to decide by themselves which strategy to implement and take. Each country has a different medical approach, has different medical systems and organizations and diferent abilities which allows for different approaches to handle different situations. Therefore decisions must remain with a national government A pandemic strategy or approach should include the following points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the UK	I strongly believe that any elements relating to pandemic preparedness, or any other emergency policies, should be decided solely by the democratically elected government of each country, and under no circumstances should any aspect of any of these be allowed to be overruled by any unelected bodies or international agencies, whatsoever. Moreover, I am firmly of the opinion that there has already been far too much overreach by government authorities, in all nations, over the people, in every area of their private lives and individual choices. What is needed, above all, is the recognition and remembrance that each individual human being is his or her own highest authority, and never more so than when it comes to their own bodily autonomy and matters of medical decisions and personal ethics. It is extremely disturbing that the unalienable rights of citizens, across the world, have been trampled on, in an unprecedented fashion, by the leaders of virtually every country, over the past two years, using people's safety and welfare as a pretext for governmental and medical tyranny. The handing over of a government's responsibility to an international organisation could further threaten citizens' rights, and allow governments to avoid accountability for the harmful effects of any future measures. The time has come for people to be encouraged to take back control of their daily lives and personal decisions—most definitely not to increase the level of control over them, by extending the sweep of authority to international agencies.
Self	I strongly believe that any global pandemic agreement should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	I strongly believe that any healthcare decisions or care for health should be controlled at the individual human level. A worldwide body cannot and should not be able to make decisions such as these. We live in a democratic society and decisions should be made by us, the people regarding our health. Sovereignty over our own bodies and decisions that affect us are our choice and not one for an unelected and unseen group. I wholeheartedly disagree with the WHO being able to make decisions that affect us all. The current vaccine roll out is not suitable for everyone and to think that a group of people who know nothing about the individual, but could potentially have the ability to mandate something is frankly wrong and unethical. We have inalienable human rights and this must be protected at all levels.
Member of the public	I strongly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness should be voted on by the people of the world in a democratic way and the outcome of the vote must be upheld. I believe that national governments should retain full autonomy over all decisions related to their own citizens and should be able to decline any mandates or procedures which are not deemed appropriate for that country. Citizens should voice their acceptance or rejection via a vote. It is also paramount to ensure that any new guidance/mandates/procedures are not influenced by companieswho stand to gain financially from them - including but not limited to pharmaceutical and PPE companies. Any individuals with links to the pharmaceutical industry and other aforementioned companies, and therefore with a conflict of interest, should not be allowed to play any part in the construction of the new instrument.
General Public	I strongly believe that any new international instrument regarding pandemic preparedness and response should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Nancy Hill
Citizen	I strongly believe that any WHO treaty that removes power from the United States is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. It's critical that health decisions stay as close to home as possible including during an emergency. WHO doesn't have health decision power over US citizens currently but would if the US agrees to a legally binding treaty. Health decisions belong to the citizens of the US not to WHO. WHO is a resource not the decider.
Individual	I strongly believe that each country should be able to decide on its own individual response to any future pandemic. Each country has a different demographic and population and can only individually decide what is best for their country.
MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC	I STRONGLY BELIEVE THAT EVERY COUNTRY HAS TO MAKE THEIR OWN CONTINGENCY PLANS IN THE EVENT OF AN EMERGENCY SITUATION SUCH AS A PANDEMIC-IT IS NOT FOR AN UNELECTED BODY LIKE THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANISATION TO DECIDE HOW A SOVEREIGN COUNTRY MUST ACT.WE ELECT OUR LEADERS TO REPRESENT US (NOT THE OTHER WAY ROUND).OUR ELECTED LEADERS ARE THEN HELD TO ACCOUNT FOR ANY ACTIONS THAT THEY TAKE.THERE WOULD BE NO ACCOUNTABILITY IF UNELECTED ORGANISATIONS LIKE THE W.H.O MAKE THE DECISIONS. WE ARE ALL INDIVIDUALS AND NO TWO COUNTRIES ARE THE SAME.THEREFORE THE BEST WAY TO FACE FUTURE CHALLENGES IS TO ASK THE PEOPLE VIA THEIR ELECTED LEADERS. ONE SIZE DOES NOT FIT ALL.
General Public	I strongly believe that it is essential for the following elements to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I strongly believe that it is Not in the worlds best interests to have a Global Controlling apparatus such as WHO telling countries and citizens what they should do. A body like WHO that controls your sovereignty is wrong and completely opposite to democratic principles enshrined in countries laws. Independence of our health system is Paramount and worth protecting.
N/A	I strongly believe that local and national leadership must reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people while frequently consulting with representatives from local communities. Each nation must maintain their sovereignty regarding all matters.
Canadian Citizen	I strongly believe that National &local leadership retain full autonomy ,reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations & local municipalities to opt out 0f any & all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open& transparent process with the ability of all people to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreements in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Also measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any& all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I strongly believe that national and local leadership must bet able to independantly decide how to act in a case of a pandemic to serve the needs of their citizens the best way. If any global agreements are made, national goverments must bet able to opt out of those at any time. Interests of any pharmaceutical companies must never directly or indirectly impact any decisions on how to act when a pandemic occurs.
None	I strongly believe that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The proposed treaty cannot encumber this process. Additionally, all nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences whatsoever. There must be an open and transparent process, during which all people of the world can vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not be allowed to influence the outcome of any agreements/decisions made. There must be measures put in place to ensure the above.
None	I strongly believe that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The WHO may suggest but not block the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. WHO is not a government and must not act like one. People with conflict of interest must be barred from participating in designing WHO outlines. Measures cannot allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freelance	I strongly believe that national and local leadership should be in charge of their own pandemic response, and should be able to make their own decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that nations and local municipalities must be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process allowing all people of the world to reject the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures in place to prevent any influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or any other global health profiteers.
Associates in ENT & Allergy	I strongly believe that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, not only amongst different countries but also in the states or regions of each country. Local leadership should have the the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Agreements should not be binding , and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Pharmaceutical companies should be excluded from all decision makings and it should be insured that there is no lobbying from pharmaceuticals on governmental institutions. health decision should be proposed by scientists with no ties of any sort to any corporation.
None	I strongly believe that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own country and their own people. I also firmly advocate for the ability of nations, such as Canada, and local municipalities, to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Countries should not be penalized for their decisions. Decisions for the health of an individual should reside with that individual and the State may not overrule that person's bodily autonomy. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in a pandemic by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Those that benefit financially from the decisions should not have a say in the decision-making process. As always, a transparent and flexible approach may be offered by the WHO, with failsafes in place, but a pedantic and authoritative view is abhorrent.
N/A	I strongly believe that people and communities should be in charge of their own health. No government or organization like the WHO should ever tell people what to do with regard to their own health, no matter how serious the health situation might be. Taking our freedoms away is against the law. It is absolutely shocking to find out that you have been planning to take peoples freedom and medical choices away from them. Don't!
Royal mail	I strongly believe that people should always be free to make a choice about body autonomy. I also strongly believe that rules that make normal behaviour a criminal offence, should always be resisted.
Member of the general public	I Strongly believe that the following four elements should be included in any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Manulife Japan	I strongly believe that the following points need to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Coalition of Concerned Parents	I strongly believe that the most important elements would be to allow early treatment of any disease with proven medicines AND informed consent when new, experimental vaccines/therapies are created. We cannot violate the Nuremberg Code, EVER. Unfortunately, the Nuremberg Code was indeed violated when the covid-19 vaccines were rolled out. And effective, life-saving treatments were suppressed, causing many people to needlessly die. WHY? We have to be transparent and not allow greedy, corporate motivations to control our health and the healthcare provider industry. Please do not outsource our basic health freedoms. The lives of the American people are at stake.
N/A	I strongly believe that the WHO's role should be advisory only. I do not believe that the WHO should have any binding authority over sovereign nations and the citizens thereof. I believe that ultimately health decisions need to be made by individuals and the heads of families and not by any international body. Any international instrument coming out of the WHO should not be legally binding upon any sovereign nation. The WHO should use its scientific capabilities to the best of their ability to advise nations and the citizens thereof. I believe that much of what came out of the WHO and many national health organizations during the COVID pandemic caused as much pain and suffering as the decease itself if not more. The WHO is made up of fallible individuals and they should humbly recognize that fact and not seek to gain power and authority over nations and the global populace.
?......	I strongly believe that we the people do not need this global treaty. Our voices have to heard, my free will choice and my voice is against this global treaty.
None	I strongly believe that WHO should remember to include in the wording that every individual, organization and nation will continue to have a right to voluntarily participate in policies and guidelines of WHO or to not participate, ensuring that every person's rights over his or her own body and every individual's freedom to choose how to maintain their health should be respected at all times.
None	I strongly believe that WHO should remember to include in the wording that every individual, organization and nation will continue to have a right to voluntarily participate in policies and guidelines of WHO or to not participate, ensuring that every person's rights over his or her own body and every individual's freedom to choose how to maintain their health will be respected at all times. UN Human Rights Declaration Article 18 and Article 19 should be mentioned or at least taken into consideration.
N/a	I strongly believe the following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - respect for national sovereignty and autonomy - deference to cultural practices, norms, beliefs - adherence to the principals of individual liberty and freedom - support and encouragement of skepticism from our scientific community (the scientific method's cornerstone)
the human race	I strongly believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	I strongly believe the following measures need to be implemented in pandemic response: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Private	I strongly believe the following points should be followed: 1 People have the right to any potential life-saving medication and not dictated to by Bureaucrats. 2 The vaccines must not be used to control the movement of people within their rights. 3 Healthy people should not be quarantined. 4 Manufacturers of health care products must be held liable in full for any damage caused by their products. 5 Medical practitioners must remain bounded by the terms of the Hippocratic Oath.
Farm Owner	I strongly believe the following points should be included when implementing a global pandemic preparedness instrument. 1) National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures should be implemented that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I sincerely hope that you take these concerns seriously. Best regards, Cathy Suter Book Editor and Farm Owner
Not applicable	I strongly believe the following substantive elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
CrossFit LandRush	I strongly believe the US should maintain it's sovereignty in all decisions, especially when it comes to an individual's health. The US is a better country due to the freedoms our citizens have, so taking away people's freedoms when it comes to their health would be u-n-American. All people are different, so any mandates on health would be a removal of freedom for all. Less government control and more personal autonomy.
Free People of the World	I strongly believe the WHO has no rights and no authority to try and enforce their skewed view of a global government onto free and sovereign people. There should be no enforceable international laws made by WHO regarding any subject as the WHO is unelected and does not represent the free people of the world in any manner.
None	I strongly believe the WHO should NOT be in a position whereby it can even contemplate "international instruments" to deal with a pandemic or any public health issue. In democratic countries such as Australia, the general public has a right to individual sovereignty and to elect it's representatives with regards to health and other issues. The WHO is a puppet organisation that is not democratically elected by ANYBODY. It is funded and thereby beholden to rich and powerful individuals and countries. How can it be trusted and held to account? It can't.
3DS	I strongly believe we don't need such a regulation at all. The countries should remain souveraine. Free travel must be possible for all not just for vaccinated. No force on vaccination whatsoever. No experimental shots with short development time.
Citizen	I strongly believe, that we do not need any global strategy for future pandemics. All we really need is a health policy on a local basis, so we can react to local issues. But that can be done by local governments, because it is what they are there for.
pheonix sand ltd	i strongly condem who handeling of last pandemic and do not agree with the who handleing any further situations in country's where they have no juristicion all countrys should remain soverign
Private individual	I strongly consider it necessary, essential and indisputable the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. Barbara Danielewicz-Gardiner UK, 65 Seaton Road, Gillingham ME74BL
health practicioner	I strongly disagree that WHO can have so much influence on preparedness and response for pandemic. Every country should be on her own.
Human population that cares and is against "world dominance"	I strongly disagree that WHO or any other single organization or government be given this extreme power. I stand with those opposed!
FJUKA Bait	I strongly disagree with a single council having control over what is done to my body and to my human right to freedom. I recognise there must be policies for pandemics, but the controls must stay with a government that is elected by me - this is democracy. People have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. This is what the world has fought for over the past century and to proceed as WHO are without engaging in a process of public participation should be unlawful.
Private	I strongly disagree with all plans and procedures that are planned to be implemented by the who. The who has no right to interfere with any personal decision -making - the right to human dignity, the right to the integrity of the person. Neither in a direct nor indirect way. Neither by extortion, fraud, nor political interference.
Counsellor	I strongly disagree with an international treaty that applies a one for all approach. The proposed agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. The WHO is a body that has not been elected by the people and this agreement would increases its power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The people of each sovereign nation have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. If the treaty goes ahead there must be transparency and a process via which nations can opt out should they wish to do so. There must not be any punishment or negative consequences for the people of countries who do not whish to be part of any treaty or wish to leave it at any stage.
Non	I strongly disagree with any attention to globaliz local health regarding issues. This is unacceptable under any chance!
Citizen	I strongly disagree with any formal treaties or long term agreements that limit a country's sovereign rights to treat their citizens as they see fit. Any international instrument on global pandemics should be strictly related to education and lessons we have learned in the Covid-19 pandemic, and not seek to establish strict guidelines that countries agree to follow.
general public	I strongly disagree with any instruments allowing the WHO to overrule decisions made by national or local leadership. Nations have at least to be granted the ability to opt out of any recommended or imposed measures without consequence. All decisions shall be transparent and as far as possible open for all people to vote on. The influence of pharmaceutical companies and other profiteers has to be avoided by all means.
Private organisation	I strongly disagree with any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO should disband immediately. I will not follow any of your protocols. Leave people alone.
Citizen	I strongly disagree with any WHO treaty concerning my choice in healthy decisions. Due to my personal concerns and situations I cannot be lumped into a category for what's best for my health. I need to make my choices based on the ongoing health of my body and my family as well. It is impossible to make world wide decisions on health for individual health needs. Every human has unique and individual needs throughout there life. The mere differences of regional nutrition hunger and local healthcare cannot even be improved. Please prayerfully consider individuals over mass interests and control. God Bless your decisions
Parent	I strongly disagree with the idea of a global response to pandemics under one single Institution. Every country is sovereign, as is every living man and woman with their right to bodily autonomy. It is a very dangerous way forward. It should be put to a vote in each individual country before other people make such big decisions over our lives and our freedoms.
None	I strongly disagree with the idea of the WHO, or any organization for that matter, making global changes in the name of this "pandemic" What happened these last few years was atrocious, people lost their jobs, people were forced to stay indoors, children missed out on school and socialization, depression soared. People's very PERSONAL medical information is being jeopardized by governments deeming it necessary to share our vaccination status to just live our lives. I do not agree with an electronic, or any form of medical passport for travel, employment or to just live ones life. I have very personal and religious beliefs that prevent me from getting vaccines, and over the last 2 years I have been loving in absolute hell of having to defend my life and religion over not getting this forced vaccine. The fact there are preparations for the next "pandemic" worries me greatly, the damage done over these last 2 years has been horrific, and it has nothing to do with any "virus". I want everyone's personal beliefs respected and left alone. Government and global organizations need to leave people to live their lives without forcing and mandating invasive, harmful vaccines and procedures
None	I strongly disagree with the possibility which would allow The Who to make global decisions regarding health protocols. Please consider the following points in this decision: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	I strongly disagree with the proposal for a WHO Pandemic Treaty. My objections surround: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy over decisions on any pandemic response, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other entities with links to pharmaceutical companies. Any such links and funding must be openly declared due to the potential for clear conflicts of interest and bias based on financial not health interests. The key matter for me is that decisions must be made by democratically elected individuals in each country. WHO is not answerable to the electorate in any country and the people have no influence over who is appointed to WHO and other NGOs. The proposed treaty bypasses democracy.
n/a	I STRONGLY disagree with the proposed sweeping authority this treaty will bestow upon the WHO. Because I know you are most likely not asking for opinions on whether or not to do this, but rather, what would we like it to include when you do implement it, I have made a few bullet points that I will write out below. But before that, I just want to reiterate how formally against this treaty - or any such agreement that would wield undemocratic power, stripping away sovereign rights of citizens. These are some points I would hope you consider including: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Private	I strongly disagree with the proposed Treaty as we have seen, each country across the world and even more so Africa as a continent has different statistics in response and dealing with a Pandemic. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I would recommend an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.As we have seen, profiteering in a pandemic was and is rife from top to bottom.
Individual	I strongly disagree with the WHO acting in any capacity subverting the rights of individual sovereign countries. Every country is best equipped to deal with its own affairs, and the lockstep reaction of many countries following WHO guidelines through mask mandates and lockdowns has proven to be a catastrophic failure. Global decisions are invariably inflexible and counterproductive. We should learn from the stupendous failure of the "too big to fail" mantra leading to the biggest and still unresolved crisis facing us today. The fault lines of globalism are being laid bare with supply chain issues and economic ruin facing many. We should respect the autonomy of each country and not seek to apply a one size fits all solution to what's likely to be very complex issues - it never works!
None	I strongly disagree with the WHO's treaty as I do not want any sovereign country to pass responsibility to an international organisation that is undermined by lobbyists, is managed undemocratically and apparently does not act in the best interest of the people. If a treaty is agreed upon, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	I strongly disagree with the World Health Organisation being able to enforce world wide Restrictions and regulations.It is vital to the health of this planet and the people on it that they maintain their Sovereignty personally and as a country. We live in a small country and are quite capable of deciding for ourselves without being forced to bring in measures that can create harm and call it health. Stop this insanity in its tracks and trust each country to generate its own response in consultation with the people who live there and not those that are so far removed from our local conditions and leadership. Thankyou
Individual	I strongly disagree with the World Health Organisations proposal for a global pandemic agreement, it is undemocratic. No organisation should supersede the constitutions and laws of 194 countries. Decision making should remain with democratically elected governments.
Mother	I strongly disagree with this treaty.
None	I strongly disagree with your proposal for global pandemic agreement - it is undemocratic and a human rights violation.
N/A	I strongly disagree with your proposal of passing power of the medical care and control of pandemic from Canada to the WHO.
Individual	I strongly feel that each country should have the rights to respond individually to the unique needs of it's population, as there will always be many variables environmental & physiological factors to consider. There must be total transparency on the results if trials of any proposed medical treatment and no coercion to be part of medical trials of new medical treatments. Alternative treatments must be freely available including natural remedies, dietary supplements and all sovereign human rights to refuse treatment upheld in every situation. Free debate and no censorship of all virws must be allowed at all times so that fully informed choices can be made by every individual human being as stated in the Nuremberg code. All companies and local, national & global health organisations, advisors, & manufacturers of pharmaceutical products, protective clothing and related products must declare their financial & politicical interests at all times. No restrictions or treatments may be authorised without sound scientific & transparently debated evidence. At night time can legislative powers be used to remove or restrict the sovereign human rights, freedom of choice or bodily autonomy and privacy of any human being. All suggested medical interventions, treatments or restrictions must be advisory only and the rights of individuals upheld at all times. No products which potentially threaten the genetic integrity of any person may be forced in any way. All medical codes of ethics and respect for fully informed consent must be upheld at all times. I DO NOT CONSENT to any forced, " mandatory" restrictions or medical treatments under any circumstances
private message	I strongly feel that ndividuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I am perfectly capable individual who can look after my body and I do need anypne to decide for me. If i am ill, I do not want any tretment form any organisation or hospital. Therefore i totaly againts what WHO wants to do.
Lakes DHB, Bay of Plenty, New Zealand	I strongly feel that the treaty you are suggesting is unnecessary and goes against the will of the vast majority of New Zealanders and their health services. We do not wish to have nor require foreign laws influencing our pandemic responses in the future.and would prefer to maintain our unique and sovereign right to determine them ourselves. There is no need here or anywhere else for a globalist approach to this problem and each and every country should have the right to determine their own solution. There is no way that a one-size fits all way of doing things will be the right way to go for every country. It is in fact quite possible that this would only serve to make things worse for many countries. It is a much better idea to allow each nation to find the way that best suits it.
U S Citizen	I strongly implore the US representatives to NOT vote for this control mechanism! We are a free people and we want to stay that way, though it seems we need to fight to remain so every single day. We want health decisions to be made as close to home as possible. We don't want any of YOU from afar to be making decisions for us. What makes you believe YOU know what's best for anyone other than yourself? If you are awake, you'll realize our states individually made the majority of decisions about the COVID response - they were varied and many, though certainly not all, were fairly successful. STAY OUT OF OUR BUSINESS AND STAY IN YOUR OWN LANE!
Unique Accents	I strongly object !!! I vote NO with kind regards, Laura L. Greenwood
WeirdFish Creative Production	I strongly object any attempt to create a world wide authority to deal with any alleged health threats. Any decision made by the WHO will be ignored and disobeyed by me, my family and our community. We will not stand aside to watch you destroy humanity with fear and panic.
Citizen	I strongly object the a centralised non democratically elected remote body issuing directives that must be followed by independent countries. There will always be country wide demographic variations in population and conditions in which one size will not be suitable for all. With any pandemic, health concerns and new medications developed for these, there will be a risk analysis and what people are prepared to do for the perceived health risk. A good example currently being the zero covid policy Shanghai is currently suffering from. The population there may accept this tyrannical treatment but rest assured many countries would not. An individual health plan based on the individual circumstances of each sovereign country voted on by the elected representatives, taking into consideration the advice of health authorities, is the ONLY way forward if we wish to live in a free and democratic world. The world we create is the one our families and future generations have to live in. Health is NOT the only concern, without freedom and liberty life itself can become a chore
N/A	I strongly object to and unelected, undemocratic organisation having any say in my personal health management or the public health of our nation.
Individual	I strongly object to any binding global pandemic treaty which will obligate the nation of Canada and its citizens to comply. As we have seen over the past 2 years, each nation, and even each region has very different needs in terms of response and this cannot be globalized. What also must be accounted for, is the impact such treaties will have upon different cultures. We have seen the COVID measures used in the last 2 years have violated important and sacred cultural expressions and traditions and have caused great division among nations and people groups. All of this was done without consultation and for little to no benefit in terms of protection from COVID spread, and in spite of there being very little threat of COVID in some areas and among some people groups. Pandemic response must be a regional, and at most, national decision. A globalized obligated response is unacceptable unnecessary and will cause more harm than good. I urge you to abandon this treaty entirely and focus on other issues.
self	I strongly object to any global treaty because the United States of America is a SOVEREIGN country and the opinions, goals, regulations, and laws of other countries and entities do NOT necessarily have the best interests of US citizens and families top of mind. We have already seen the disastrous effects the WHO exerted on the Covid pandemic and the lack of interest in determining the actual source of the virus. I am firmly opposed to all global organizations.
private citizen	I strongly object to any WHO intervention in any pandemic!
Consultant Occupational Therapist	I strongly object to any WHO laws, rules or dictates about the following 1) shutting down any business for any reason, 2) NO forcing of any human to submit to drugs, treatments, or any substance that interacts with their body without the full and freely given consent, 3) No forcing any human to wear any mask or body covering not proven with high statistical proof (95% Confidence and N > 10000) to be effective at reducing community risk, 4) No experimental vaccines, gene therapy, or medical treatments of any kind shall be allowed to be forced on any human for any reason. There is no place for WHO to comand what goes into my or any citizen of the world's body - EVER. Medical decisions should be between the patient and their clinician alone. WHO has no place interfering in my bodily autonomy. Legal, fully informaed consent must be maintained for ALL medical tests and ALL medical treatments. This is an inalienable Human Right.
None	I strongly object to anyone taking my soveign right to decide for myself what substance I take into my body, or what treatment I may or may not require. I believe this supposed treaty goes against our human rights, and treaties signed in law. WW11 was a prime example of this violation against humanity.
Self-Awareness and Body Integrity NGO	I strongly object to being forced to take any vaccinations. The integrity of my body is a basic human right, no one else should be able to forcibly inject me with any substance without my permission. I have not been vaccinated but have been exposed to the illness and may have even contracted it with no symptoms. From my understanding, this means I have natural immunity. I am satisfied with that and do not want to be forced to take more extreme measures. Please honor this most basic right, the integrity of my own physical body and for me decide how to deal with health issues.
Self	I strongly object to the "power grab" by the WHO in attempting to exert global control of any future pandemic such as the recent one ("COVID-19"). Major changes to our rights and freedoms were made during the last pandemic, which have had long-lasting economic and health consequences. At present Governments will have to answer to thier voters for the decisions taken, because in a democratic system there has to be accountability to the voters. The WHO has no accountability to the voters, it can only make deals with governments. This is not democratic because there is no direct link between voters and the officials who run the WHO. We cannot vote them out, or recall them from office. This is a totalitarian power grab by those whose agenda is to increase globalism. We all have to live in a world which respects differences, and this is not compatible with the more extreme aims of the Globalists. I STRONGLY OPPOSE THE IDEA THAT THE WHO SHOULD HAVE OVERALL CONTROL OVER ALL GOVERNMENTS DURING A HEALTH CRISIS.
Rolf Offermann	I strongly object to the changes in regulations... They are completely unconstitutional!
Retired	I strongly object to the establishment of a global pandemic agreement of any kind. As a free and sovereign individual, I take responsibility for my own health and make all my own health decisions as I am guided to do by Almighty God. I, myself, and only I know what is best for my own health and also do as I think best to maintain the health of others who share the planet. I do not believe it is possible to establish a global agreement that would be effective for the entire planet, as those who inhabit it are all individuals and have various individual needs. An agreement of this sort would be anti-constitutional and illegal. I am very much against the establishment of any such agreement.
Private citizen	I strongly object to the introduction of an international pandemic treaty for the following reasons: It would expand the WHO's power to dictate health policy worldwide, which is a direct threat to a nation's sovereignty, challenging the democratic right of the citizens of that country. It is an afront to civil liberties, personal freedoms and bodily autonomy. Who would hold the WHO accountable for medical harms given that it would declare diplomatic immunity? There is no one-size-fits all medical treatment.
Irish Citizen	I strongly object to the pandemic treaty. I don't find the WHO to be a credible or honest organisation. I think the handling of Covid 19 under your watch couldn't have been any worse. It is clear it was all about selling as many vaccines as possible even if they don't work well. I would like an investigation into vested interests within your organisation. My government has no right to sign up on my behalf.
None	I strongly object to the proposals as written. 1.National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I STRONGLY object to the proposals on the following grounds 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	I strongly object to the proposed changes. This is against my human rights, the constitution and my religious beliefs.
Nil	I strongly object to the proposed treaty! In the last two years we have seen our rights and freedoms hammered into the ground. This treaty will further remove our God given bodily autonomy. It will stifle our right to express any objections to medical procedures and we will have no recourse if like has happened with the present so called vaccines, to be compensated for loss of employment, injury or death! Every county should have the right to approach a pandemic of any kind with autonomy, a right to choose what method or medicine is appropriate, it should not be bound by anything other than the democratic laws of that country. I do not see the benefit of this treaty other than making sure those who wish to welled power over everyone else, gaining immense satisfaction and hubris for their ego! I am just an ordinary person, with no great education but I know in my spirit what is being proposed is taking this world into slavery of the worse kind. May Our Lord God, Yeshua, give you wisdom and a conscience for you are on the wrong path. Jane Majkut
None	I strongly object to the proposed WHO International Pandemic Treaty. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local communities must have the right to opt out of any WHO provisions as they see fit, without consequence. Any treaty must not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health business enterprises. Regards, Paul Railsback
Public	I strongly object to the proposed WHO treaty, granting themselves the power to dictate a global health policy. In the United States, these decisions should be made on the state or county level, and otherwise would infringe on the Constitutional Rights of citizens.
Independent International Religious Freedom Advocate	I strongly object to the US being a part of the WHO treaty. The WHO has become a political organization and has moved away from the mission of providing independent global health advice. I have seen this first hand through my work in the Middle East on health policy.
Individual	I strongly object to the WHO bringing in any controls that supersede individual countries right to self govern. You are very wrong to think you can give yourself sweeping powers. The public are wise to the attempts by stealth to create a WEF world government and it will be fought at every point. Pandemics are once in a century events and do not require the vast amount of money and time being spent on this. Poverty is the biggest problem. Tackle poverty first.
Holistic Therapies	I strongly object to the WHO expanding their powers over a Sovereign Country and their health response to any future pandemic. Your intention is not about health, it is about control.
Osteopathy and Natural Health	I strongly object to the WHO global pandemic agreement. It is unnecessary, it is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights and it goes against the will of the people. We, the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects our lives, livelihoods and well-being. Any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. I remind you of the Siracusa Principles in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights which provide legal standards to protect rights. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio surveillance. Do NOT abuse your power. You are answerable to WE, the people.
Private citizen	I strongly object to the who proposing a global response to a pandemic. There interference in sovergin countries is not acceptable. The who's response to the covid 19 pandemic, was in my opinion poor and misleading with the information. The fact that they blocked medications that were cheap and successful in the treatment of covid 19 makes me shudder at the response that they will give in any future pandemics. I strongly object. NO! NO!NO!
N/A	I strongly object to the WHO setting up an international response. This last pandemic was so poorly handled I have a lack of basic confidence in anybody at the the WHO, the CDC, the NIH or any other organization affiliated with them. The fraud committed in covering up the damage that occurred from the jabs in the arms was and is still staggering. A non-biased group of scientists and Dr's should be established after first vetting each of them with no ties to Big Pharma. As it sits presently, the corruption runs deep and is widespread and I have no trust in these people. If anything, I think they should all be disbanded. Thanks in advance for listening to my comments. Schubert
self	I strongly object to the WHO taking away national sovereignty and dictating world health policy. All it takes is one error to collapse world health...even with the best of intentions. Debate and alternate approaches are required in any health crisis when all of the best minds need to be working to solve problems. The Covid pandemic has proven how science is not settled and how much damage, intentional or not, can be foisted upon a population even in the name of health.

Organization	Written submission
Vinzel	I strongly oppose the project of INB. It is unnecessary and threatens the sovereignty of my country and my own inalienable rights to dispose of my body. I do not recognize the WHO's authority to implement any law for global health. The role of WHO is to remain as an independent advisor on science and on health subjects, for the good of the peoples. I absolutely stand against this abuse of power and request an urgent debate on the conflicts of interest currently taking place at this moment inside WHO, following private foundations and persons contributions. Best regards.
Citizen	I strongly oppose the proposed treaty and believe that health is different for each individual and no "one size fits all." can be suitable in that area. Things to give strong consideration to include : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, GD
Lawfirm Kjersti Patricia Amundsen	I strongly oppose the suggested WHO pandemic treaty. Nations should be free to decide what is best suited in each country. I also resent that private entities with economic interests in vaccines or medicine shall finance organizations or bodies that make health decisions.
Elim, LLC	I strongly OPPOSE the WHO agreement. The following must be retained: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I strongly oppose the WHO ever intervening in any pandemic, epidemic, or anything in my country.
Concerned citizen	I strongly oppose the WHO extending its power to determine the response of sovereign countries in the event of a future pandemic. There should obviously be cooperation and openness between countries in sharing data but the power to impose globally the type of lockdowns seen in China or vaccine mandates must never be granted to the WHO. The funding model of the WHO gives too much influence to parties that may have agendas that are not compatible with individuals rights regarding health.
General Public	I strongly oppose the WHO from passing this treaty. The WHO has no right to control countries! We vote on our political leaders, not the WHO to make decisions for us. Stay in your lane!
General public	I strongly oppose the WHO Global Pandemic Treaty. Disband WHO!
Citizen of Australia	I strongly oppose the WHO having a pandemic power of any country especially Australia. No loss of sovereignty, we the people have had enough. I NEVER want you to have the power or implement forced vaccination, servallance I STRONGLY OPPOSE THE TREATY. I OPPOSE ANY EXPERIMENTAL VACCINES. OUR GOVERNMENT HAVE DONE ENOUGH DAMAGE AND BLATANT ABUSE OF HUMAN RIGHTS. BE DAMMED IF I WANT A CORUPT ORGANISATION SUCH AS THE WHO TELLING US WHAT TO DO NEXT.
private citizen	I strongly oppose the WHO having any say over me or my nation. You have no authority over me or my nation and that is the way it should stay. The WHO is corrupt to its core and you know it. Remember the oath: first, do no harm?
private citizen	I strongly oppose the WHO having the power to over-ride the authority of nation states in the event of a pandemic. Individual nations should be in control of the pandemic response measures. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not have an influence over measures taken. Thanks for your consideration
Sovereign Human Being	I strongly oppose the WHO pandemic treaty, I would prefer that: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I strongly oppose the WHO Pandemic Treaty. It would be an invasion of personal belief and freedoms. The WHO has no right to overrule the sovereignty of a country and it's people. They were not elected by a country. It is well proven that the lockdowns imposed due to COVID-19 only worsened the situation. We should not make that mistake again. Where is democracy in this whole process? Are we, as the people in our own countries, not able anymore to think and decide on our personal long-term well-being? Each person has the individual right to choose the way they will care for their personal health. That should never be an instrument of a global world regime. If that were to happen, it would be one of the the greatest sins against human life and integrity.
No Organization	I strongly oppose the WHO plans to create a pandemic treaty based on the following 1. New Zealand is an island nation and can close its borders to halt any potential transmission 2. Any treaty is in direct conflict of our nations Bill of Rights and any imposition of such is totally undemocratic. 3. The WHO have a history of making poor decisions. 4. New Zealand doesn't need the WHO.
Freedom Coalition	I strongly oppose the WHO plans to create a pandemic treaty based on the following: 1.NZ is an island nation and can close its borders to halt any potential transmission. 2.Any treaty is in direct conflict with each sovereign nations Bill of Rights and any imposition of such is totally undemocratic. 3.The WHO has totally mismanaged the existing Covid19 pandemic causing thousands of unnecessary deaths from unsafe ineffective vaccines that neither prevent the infection or transmission of the virus. 4.The WHO through their funding alliances with Big Pharma, the Gates Foundation and others has actively suppressed the use of safe effective treatments for Covid19. 4.The WHO has also recommended other products such as Remdesivir for the treatment of hospitalised patients which has proven to be neither safe or effective. 5.The WHO by receiving funding from private sources has created for itself a conflict of interest and can no longer be viewed as an independent body as the private funders stand to gain financially from any decisions they make. 6.The WHO have a history of making poor decisions, the most recent of which was declaring a pandemic of the H1N1 swine flu. 7. The WHO has flip flopped with advice given during the current Covid19 pandemic including the wearing of masks and the use of booster shots as effective treatment options. Was this done after pressure from the private funders to keep lining the pockets of Big Pharma? 8. The declaring of a pandemic should be at the behest of each sovereign nations government as each nation must assess the costs of lockdowns and the resulting financial losses to businesses. 9. History proves you don't treat a virus with a vaccine as mutation makes the vaccine ineffective.
Concerned Woman	I strongly oppose the WHO proposal to create a global pandemic agreement. I feel that public health is not a one size fits all approach and a global pandemic agreement will not be beneficial and is not necessary. Men and women have birth rights to live on the land on which they were born and should never be subject to restrictions such as those that could be implemented by the WHO when they see fit. Our health is our responsibility, not the WHOs.
Individual	I strongly oppose the WHO taking over pandemic preparedness and response. We should not be controlled by an organization that is heavily funded by the Gates Foundation. That is a HUGE conflict of interest!! And it takes away America's freedom!
Canadian citizen	I strongly oppose the World Health Organization's global pandemic agreement proposal. If such an agreement were to be implemented it must include the following: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make any decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must have a right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The agreement must be an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. The agreement must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No organization, let alone the World Health Organization, should be given this type of power.
None	I strongly oppose this attempt to trump domestic and national laws. If a treaty is signed, however, it must include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Recognition of bodily autonomy resting ultimately with the individual. A person must always have the right to reject medical treatment vaccinations and therapeutics.
Private citizen	I strongly oppose this measure as local & national governance is fundamental to transparency, accountability and autonomy. It is essential that local municipalities can opt in or out of any and all portions of the agreement without penalties. I support open and transparent discussion and voting on the local level for any measures made by an international organization like WHO. Also essential to note is that personal and business (particularly pharmaceutical) influence in the process of decision making is unethical and must not be permitted. Regards, Sara Keller
Squamish Alternative Energy Group	I strongly oppose this move by the WHO to invoke the proposed Global Pandemic Treaty to override national health laws and impose its will on the people of nations around the globe. It is draconian and an example of unnecessary and disturbing over-reach. Sincerely, Matt Blackman
Self	I strongly oppose this plan. The Constitution was put in place for this very reason- to prevent one entity in control. Please do not sabotage everything our ancestors fought for. The United States needs to remain free from influence regardless of a pandemic.
personal	I strongly oppose this proposed idea. There is a clear conflict of interest when a private company, strongly supported by pharmaceutical companies want to take control of our healthcare. Just look at the profits raked in during the covid outbreak, people are being forced into poverty while pharmaceutical companies are recording record profits. This is not in our best interests in any way. We have our own elected officials to guide us through pandemics. What works in one country may not work in another. i do not consent to be controlled by a private company.
United States	I strongly oppose this take over of the rights of individual countries to make their own decisions. Effective organizational strategy give autonomy to the smallest unit to make decisions for itself. Top down management has been proven not to work and it will not in this case!
Retired	I strongly oppose this treaty in any form. It takes away power of self-rule from Americans and is dangerous to our welfare. It leaves too much power in too few hands, whom are not even elected by the citizens of the U.S. All health decisions should remain between a patient and their doctor. No one should be forced to put any substance into their body against their will. We are human beings, not cattle.
free people	I strongly oppose this treaty, i find it diminishing for humans and this is out of mind . This treaty is against every human right that human beings receives by birth. You will not make us slaves.
individual USA citizen	I strongly oppose this treaty. Each country is unique and each country needs to maintain their soveign rights. This treaty gives too much power to the WHO, which has a terrible track record in handling endemics, pandemics etc. This treaty would effectively turn the world into a totalitarian world, citizens hay a hard enough time with our own governments, we don't my MORE rulers.
None	I strongly oppose this treaty. It infringes on our Charter of a rights and wish to have zero part of this
none	I strongly oppose this treaty. It is very dangerous that one organization decide what to do to so many people. If the WHO made a mistake about their decision, it could be a disaster. Pandemic preparedness and response should not be processed. It is wrong that one organization gets so much power. Pandemic preparedness and response should include any governments should not force mandate to own people.
U.S. citizen	I strongly oppose this treaty. The WHO can not supersede the United States Constitution. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The diktats of an unelected, undemocratic global authority are not in the best interests of American citizens.
Self	I strongly oppose this treaty. My health is my responsibility, not anyone else.
Yves Usereau, CPA, CA	I strongly oppose to any rules from the WHO if this rules are in opposition to the Nuremberg Code, The human rights of the country and the constitution of the country. WE never accept any sovereinty take over on our country by the WHO.
N/a	I strongly oppose to any WGO interference to any pandemic.
Citizen	I strongly oppose to any WHO interference regarding state's policies against covid and any other pandemic. Different cultural environments demand different solutons and autonomy.
Private	I strongly oppose to the WHO's Pandemic Preparednes Plan. I believe the WHO should monitor diseases globally, then inform the member states of their findings. When epidemiologists and other experts in the WHO have studied a potential threat to a level where it reaches an understanding of how it deems best to handle the threat, advise should be given to the member states. Each states democractically elected leadership shall then be free to decide how to proceed. The WHO should never have any authority in the member states. The WHO is not elected by the people. Making centralised decisions is undemocratic and I suggest the WHO sticks to its original role as an advisory agency.
Home Care	I strongly oppose WHO/UN takeover of national healthcare decisions. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen of the United States	I strongly oppose WHO's creation of a global pandemic agreement, for the following reasons (though there are many more): This is a threat to sovereignty & inalienable rights- giving WHO the right to impose lockdowns, enforce unsafe medical treatments against people's will, etc. People have a right to participate in any agreement that affects our lives, well-being, & livelihoods. If we are not allowed to participate- then any agreement will be unlawful & illegitimate. The WHO has failed the public in the past (i.e. Swine Flu vaccine) & currently with the COVID-19 pandemic. The public is aware of the huge conflicts of interest with WHO, & it's large donors, i.e. Gates Foundation. The following elements MUST be addressed: *National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat	I strongly oppose you idea of future dealing with any pandemic for my country. We do have data of side affects on VAERs and Ema. This gene therapyis not safe, for Kids ynd yourgster especially. So no, I do not agree of one organization to have a say for us all. Eavh country should make its our researches of safety.
Canadian Citizen	I strongly oppose your initiative regarding pandemic preparedness and I strongly believe that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is necessary. Thank you for your consideration.
N/A	I strongly oppose your suggested treaty and do not think a global pandemic treaty is necessary or desirable. However, if a treaty is formed, it should include the below: National and local governments to have full autonomy, with the ability to make decisions according to their own circumstances and what's best for their people. They should be able to opt out of any parts of the agreement without consequence. The process should include measures to prevent the an agreement from being applied in places where most people don't want it. The process should not be able to be influenced by pharmaceutical companies.
none	I strongly oppose, any WHO interference in any future pandemic.
None	I strongly oppose.
No	I strongly opposeany WHO interference in any pandemic.
Self	I strongly petition the Canadian government and the American government not to sign the proposed WHO treaty for pandemic response. I believe the people should have the rights to make decisions regarding their health. This freedom should not be taken away by WHO or a government.
Private Person	I strongly plead that the future solution should be free from mandatory vaccines. There should not be discrimination against people, who cannot be vaccinated due to health reasons, or who do not want to be vaccinated. Individual health conditions were not taken into account by the health authorities in Austria, who forced people into a Vax scheme, even if it was harmful to some people. If you got severe health problems after the second Vax (eg. Reactive polyarthritis in my case) and did not go for the third shot, you were not permitted to traveling, food places, even shopping. Health authorities put great pressure on doctors to persuade everyone to Vax, even if there was a foreseeable risk for harm to that person. (People with autoimmune diseases) In any case the liability for the potential negative outcomes of Vax must not be shifted to member states, but should stay with the commercial company, who earns the gains. Any pandemic treaty musst or overrule the legal competence of the member states.
self	I strongly protest the proposed Pandemic Treaty. Any treaty involving the sovereign nations should include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I am horrified at the loss of medical freedom and mass experimentation on human beings for profit. Medical freedom is a right of all human beings.
No	I strongly protest. I am against the transfer powers to the WHO. the current actions to combat the pandemic have completely destroyed the rights, basic human rights, and this text does not disclose the preservation of these rights, the rights to one's body and the rights to freedom of work, employment. the actions of establishing conditions by governments and who, for people to receive experimental, unverified numerous devices and their frequency of receipt, are unacceptable! I am against these actions!
Moths Health	I strongly recommend for the health and wellbeing of all individuals that all measures currently in place are stopped immediately. Covid is no greater threat than the common cold and people's basic human rights are being interfered with. The real science does not support your measures and you are acting unlawfully
my family	I strongly recommend that: 1) National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) Nations and local municipalities have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) There is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) The agreement should include measures to block the influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jack B. Schroeder, Counsellor at Law	I strongly recommend the WHO refrain from assuming or exercising any authority with respect to health issues. The WHO expresses its value by recommending health policy, not implementing it. Health policy should run by individual governments with much greater means and capacity to address local health issues. The WHO should never participate in health rule making and enforcement. One size does not fit all.
Independent scientific researcher	I strongly recommend to implement the WHO Helsinky Declaration that a special Bureau should be created within the WHO ar Geneva, with worldwide links, that promote, encourage, facilitate research protocols, centralize and divulgate through internet WHO platform all the results for crossfertilization, FOR NEWLY THERAPEUTICAL APPROACHES for treating and combat the pandemic agent. The Bureau named as World Therapeutical Approach of Pandemia WTAP, should be composed with minimun staff mainly Gifted people for their highly responsiveness capacity, and absolutely free of conflict of interest. Pharmaceutical industry will develop fully safe and efficient vaccine which need much more time and is a profitable investment. Potential therapeutical treatments of well known and safety established patent free drugs could be implemented extremely rapidly worldwide and specially to developing countries. Then the WHO should propose the different pandemic approaches leaving free the countries to choose, in function of their cultural, economic , political situations ,the best strategy. Freedom of choice is the best policy to convince the people of the validity and stiffness of the Decisions
Personal	I strongly reject an international response to any future global pandemic.. Usurping the sovereign rights of individual countries is totalitarian and wrong. Individual countries can be consulted, but they should have the power to refrain from an undemocratic body enforcing decisions. Whichever decision an individual country makes should not be threatened by consequences. Influence from pharmaceutical companies such as advertising should not be allowed. Monetary profit should not be allowed. Democratic countries have the right to their autonomy.
Private	I strongly suggest each country act with the best of it's honest, medical professionals to attend to the needs of it's people. No international agency, no matter who, should interfere with a country's health matters, for "a one sized glove" does not fit all. A country should have it's own liberty to use it's own honest medical professionals, with NO strings attached to an exterior or worldwide organization. To be under the baton of an exterior or worldwide organization would be tantamount to be under the tyranny of the exterior or worldwide organization. No to tyranny. Each country should have it's honest medical experts and professionals ready with a medical program in the case of a future pandemic. No political interference should ever be allowed at all. After all, politicians know nothing about medicine, they haven't trained in medical sciences. Every country should be given the right to it's responsibity to it's own people's health, given that it's own honest medical professionals know their own countrymen best. No political agenda should interfere. Different countries around the globe could have conventions to allow sharing what each country's medical panel has researched and discovered would work best in their county and what has been a mistake or mistakes in the past pandemics for their country. Thank you
Amer College of Hyperbaric Medicine	I strongly suggest such treaty would: 1. Allow national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, even if that means opting out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. 2. Allow an open and transparent process that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. Taking all measures required to make sure those that could profit from any decision are not allowed to influence that decision making process .
Private Citizen- Nursing & Social Work (MSW) retired	I strongly support that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is critical that the ability of nations and local municipalities are able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It is essential to have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers is without question a fundamental requirement. I do not support a global agreement. Respectfully Connie Kohler
Private citizen	I strongly support the World Council for Health points, i.e.: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Organic farm sweden	I strongly urge that every country should be in charge of the decisions and actions needed in case of any crisis that would possibly acur , do to an pandemic or or any other major event that tcould impact the country's inhabitants or its economy. It should never be with any impact or restrictions to the people's democratic rights to live freely, and to choose there individually right to any medical treatment in any form. Never mandatory treatment or restrictions in any form. Anything else would be a huge huge loss of democracy, and chould never be tolerated. Every country's right to choose how to protect their citizens must always be tolerated and respected. Kind regards. Laila Hammer
United States of America	I strongly urge the United States of America to stay out of any international treaties for health or pandemic. The US is a Soveriegn nation and needs to stay that way in every regard. My health decisions are mine and mine only, with guidance from my own doctor, and in my terms. I do not give anyone else permission to arrange plans, approval or consent to anyone outside of that construct, and especially no one in government nor anyone outside of the USA. I EXPECT my inherent natural rights of self determination to be protected under the US Conatition as intended by our founding fathers. If you struggle with understanding what that means, or what the Constitution says or its intent, contact me and I'll give you a thorough lesson on the history as well as how to currently implement it. My family and I WILL NOT comply with any such "requirements" from WHO or any other international body. I am an American. We are American. Start acting like it.
Human	I STRONGLY URGE WHO AND MEMBER STATES THAT NATIONAL AND LOCAL LEADERSHIP RETAIN FULL AUTONOMY, RESERVING THE RIGHT TO MAKE DECISIONS BASED ON WHAT IS BEST TO THEIR OWN PEOPLE. EQUALLY: THE ABILITY OF NATIONS AND MUNICIPALITIES TO OPT OUT OF ANY AND ALL PORTIONS OF THE AGREEMENT AS THEY SEE FIT, WITHOUT CONSEQUENCE. AN OPEN AND TRANSPARENT PROCESS WITH THE ABILITY FOR ALL PEOPLE OF THE WORLD TO VOTE ON INCLUDING FAILSAFE MEASURES THAT WILL PREVENT THE APPLICATION OF THE GLOBAL AGREEMENT IN PLACES WHERE A MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE DO NOT WANT IT. MEASURES THEY DO NOT ALLOW FOR INFLUENCE IN THE PROCESS BY ANY AND ALL PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANYS OR OTHER GLOBAL HEALTH PROFITEERS. THANK YOU FOR MAKING SURE THIS ELEMENTAL GUARANTEES FOR SAFEGUARDING DEMOCRATIC RIGHTS BE OBSERVED!
Private Citizen	I strongly urge WHO and Member States to include that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Equally: The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for making sure these elemental guarantees for safeguarding democratic rights be observed!
"What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new internal	I strongly urge you to say NO to the proposed upcoming legally binding global pandemic treaty. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families where they belong. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." In light of the WHO's Constitution, please protect the following: 1. That both national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Allow the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Provide an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Put in place safeguards that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for prayerfully considering these beneficial changes to protect our ongoing rights and freedoms.
NO	I STRONGY OPPOSE ANY WHO INTRFERENCE IN ANY PANDEMIC!!!!!!!!!!!!!
individual	I strony believe that WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I do not agree to strengthen the WHO's International Health Regulations nor its ability to declare a pandemic. I don't agree the WHO would be the one who governs the global pandemic response, including the distribution of diagnostic tests, drugs and vaccines. I don't support a legally binding pandemic treaty. Please respect democracy and stop the treaty!
Citizen of New Zealand	I submit first and foremost that the proposed new international instrument on pandemic preparedness include measures that forbid influence by pharmaceutical companies and any other companies profiting from global health. It should give national and local leadership full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and regions should be able to opt out of any or all parts of the agreement without any consequences. I ask that it be an open process, giving all peoples of the world the right to vote. It must include measures that any global agreement being applied in nations where most people do not want it.

Organization	Written submission
Concerned Individual	I submit my concern that this last global illness was handled incredibly badly. The police behaved like thugs in many countries. The media clearly used NLP tecniques to achieve their goal. Vulnerable people should be protected but healthy people should be allowed to go about their business. The world has been harmed by the way Covid 19 was managed. It may be worth looking at Cuba and the way they manage the Leptospirosis outbreaks with homeopathic medicine, to great effect.
Thrive World	I submit my disagreement to subjecting country around the world to give up their constitutional freedom. Constitutional laws are a protection order for the citizens freedom. No country should agree in this process if their leaders are interested in keeping their country free from outside interference. Else it is seen as a pathway to population extermination. As we have witnessed the Covid 19 pandemic and it's vaccine were much less deadly than cancer or heart disease. I web very saddened if any country are joining this. Thank you for caring
Private Citizen	I submit that any and all steps to ratify this treaty must be immediately terminated unless the following is assured in the agreement: 1. That national and local leadership throughout the world retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. That all nations and local municipalities of the world retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. That an open and transparent process occur such that all people of the world vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. That guaranteed measures be enshrined to ensure against the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	I submit that any and all steps to ratify this treaty must be immediately terminated unless the following is assured in the agreement: 1. That national and local leadership throughout the world retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. That all nations and local municipalities of the world retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. That an open and transparent process occur such that all people of the world vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. That guaranteed measures be enshrined to ensure against the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Allbiz NZ Ltd	I submit that any international Pandemic Treaty ensure the following; - That the definition of pandemic to be used in the Pandemic Treaty be; An epidemic occurring over a very wide area, crossing international boundaries, and affecting 30%+ of people in at least 3 countries with severe disease at a population level. Characteristics of an infectious agent influencing the causation of a pandemic include: the agent must be able to infect humans, to cause disease in humans, and to spread easily from human to human. - Country sovereignty over health and well-being is guaranteed - each country have ultimate and full control over the health and well-being of its citizens - That the final draft of the proposed Pandemic Treaty be voted on in each country and at least 60% of each country population must vote for it in order for the relevant country to join the Pandemic Treaty. - That all people serving on the Pandemic Treaty commitee be registered medical professionals with no Corporate affiliations. - That written in to the Pandemic Treaty, a full review of the Treaty will take place every 4 years and all recommendations made have to be agreed by at least 60% of countries who have agreed to abide by the Treaty.
Individual citizen	I submit that formation of any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must contain the following essential regulatory protections: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Carole Bryant - Naturopath	I submit that individual countries and local leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and that individuals must also be able to exercise the right to choose what is best for them personally. Furthermore, countries and local regions must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must also be an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on, including guaranteed measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be in place that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. These are basic human rights and to introduce laws that attempt to override these rights would be morally, ethically, and legally wrong and would initiate a huge worldwide backlash to the detriment of WHO.
N/A	I submit that individual countries and local leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and that individuals must also be able to exercise the right to choose what is best for them personally. Furthermore, countries and local regions must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must also be an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on, including guaranteed measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be in place that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. These are basic human rights and to introduce laws that attempt to override these rights would be morally, ethically, and legally wrong and would initiate a huge worldwide backlash to the detriment of WHO.
N/A	I submit that individual countries and local, elected leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and that individuals must also be able to exercise the right to choose what is best for them personally. Furthermore, countries and local regions must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must also be an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on, including guaranteed measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be in place that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In addition, any involvement by companies must be declared and be made transparent. These are basic human rights and to introduce laws that attempt to override these rights would be morally, ethically, and legally wrong and would initiate a huge worldwide backlash to the detriment of WHO and its international reputation.
Private individual	I submit the following contribution for consideration Due weight given to conclusions/recommendations as a result of independent national inquiries into 2020 pandemic response (to include failures of policies implemented) Wider diversity of expert opinion from medical, social and economic faculties. Public participation in assessment of any multilateral decisions, information on which must be widely disseminated. Inclusion of guarantees on State and individual sovereignty. State and individual Risk Assessment requirement for any medical, economic or social interventions. Legal liability for Government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies for an individual's injury as a result of enforced medical intervention. Comprehensive evaluation of existing pharmaceutical products (to include "traditional" therapeutics and medications) for possible re purposing. Stringent criteria for Emergency Use Authorisation for novel therapeutics/interventions. An understanding that a "One size fits all" scenario is impossible. Individual nations required to maintain strategic reserves of essential resources, with a strategy to enable possible transferral of resources to points of need.
Independent US Citizen	I submit the following in the interest of contributing to "informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The public health, welfare and safety of all peoples are best served by their local and national duly elected officials and NOT a private-public partnership operating on a global scale "recommending" courses of action, which amount to dictating mandates, because corporate-financed media and governments can conveniently defer to the WHO as the final word of Truth. I am opposed to this Treaty for its lack of transparency, its obvous corporate profit-driven interests/support, and the overarching power to create an international database by which to track, control and de-platform individuals or groups that question, disagree or oppose WHO's dictates. It is simply too much power in the hands of too few with conflicts of interest. We have seen the economic and social devastation from the handling of Covid-19, and before that Swine Flu and HIV. The greater issues of a World Health Organization should be the regeneration and restoration of natural resources and providing drinkable water to 2 billion citizens without, de-militarizing the planet and space, and shifting agendas from obscene profiteering to creating a world livable for future generations of our species and the diversity of life necessary to sustain it. If you are not yet a cyborg, you know this in your heart. There is no need for this Treaty if those who supposedly serve the American people will look ahead to the horrific ramifications of signing such a document. Sincerely, An unaffiliated American voter who would love to see true democracy restored
Personal statement	I submit the following thoughts, against the proposal of the World Health Orgnaisation to be making cetralised decisions and being able to override the needs of individual nations and local areas. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people - decentralisation is essential for national and local leadership and empowerment of the people to determine what course of action will suit their needs - rather than an over-arching body of a realtivelly small group of people making decisions not necessarily for the good of the people of a country or locality 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We must ensure that the needs to the many are not unduly influenced those with money and therefore power who oly seek their own ends, in contrast to the needs of the populace
Private	I submit the following. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No other outcome is acceptable and I object strongly to the proposal.
Na	I submit the following: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. . An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	I submit this opposition to the acceptance of a treaty which allows a sole governance of all people, in all countries, for the said purpose of controlling a worldwide health crisis! All countries, states, communities and individuals are FREE to determine the appropriate course of action for themselves! And shall remain so!! No totalitarian entity shall be given such power! Thomas Ronnebaum
SA Health	I submit, on the following grounds, that the new international instrument must explicitly prohibit any discrimination on the basis of vaccination status. 1. Vaccine mandates imply that all humans are born in a defective, inherently harmful state that must be biotechnologically augmented to allow our unrestricted participation in society, and this constitutes discrimination on the basis of healthy, innate characteristics of the human race. 2. Discrimination against the unvaccinated denies the right to free medical consent. This must not be allowed under any circumstances, because without the right to free medical consent we have no rights at all; every other right can be subverted by medical coercion. 3. Vaccines are known to occasionally cause deaths of healthy people. Discrimination against the unvaccinated amounts to a violation of the right to life, because a small percentage of the targeted individuals are expected to die as a result of this coercive treatment. It may be objected that viruses also kill people, but these two categories of deaths are not ethically equivalent. Infection with viruses is not mandated, whereas deaths resulting from mandatory vaccination are mandated deaths, a legalised killing of some people for the prospective benefit of the majority. I believe we agree that the right to life must not be violated, in which case vaccine mandates are inconsistent with the fundamental moral commitments of The WHO.
ex Nelson-Marlborough DHB	I submit; - That the definition of pandemic to be used in the Pandemic Treaty be; An epidemic occurring over a very wide area, crossing international boundaries, and affecting 30%+ of people in at least 3 countries with severe disease at a population level. Characteristics of an infectious agent influencing the causation of a pandemic include: the agent must be able to infect humans, to cause disease in humans, and to spread easily from human to human. - Country sovereignty over health and well-being is guaranteed - each country have ultimate and full control over the health and well-being of its citizens - That the final draft of the proposed Pandemic Treaty be voted on in each country and at least 60% of each country population must vote for it in order for the relevant country to join the Pandemic Treaty. - That all people serving on the Pandemic Treaty commitee be registered medical professionals with no Corporate affiliations. - That written in to the Pandemic Treaty, a full review of the Treaty will take place every 4 years and all recommendations made have to be agreed by at least 60% of countries who have agreed to abide by the Treaty. Checked! -
Private Citizen	I suggest that the following elements be addressed: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I suggest that the treaty be cancelled altogether, as I believe in the right of every people on this earth to determine their own future.
Private	I suggest the following elements be addressed: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No affiliation	I suggest the following elements be addressed: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations, member states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - Create an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Only implement measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I suggest the following elements be addressed: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Otech	I suggest the following elements be addressed: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I suggest the following elements be addressed: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I suggest the following: - That National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - That nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - That an open and transparent process takes place, giving people of the world the ability to vote on this agreement, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - That there are measures put in place that do not allow for influence in the process, by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I only represent myself	I suggest the following: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures to prevent influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company, any global health profiteer, or any organization that would benefit (either directly or indirectly) from WHO proposals.
none	I suggest the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I suggest the following: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	I suggest these items for pandemic preparedness and response: • Promote disease prevention and early treatment to improve outcomes for patients. • Transparently coordinate and accelerate emerging communications with all frontline health providers from centers of impact, without discrimination, allowing that full experience locally to be transmitted transparently to the world. • Transparently and continuously communicate emerging evidentiary data and developing protocols, sharing reasoning. • Recognize the inalienable rights of every human to control their own health. The relationship of the individual doctor/provider and individual patient is sacred and privileged. • Offer guidelines only –Prevention and treatment decisions are left to individual patient and doctor/provider discussions, with fully informed patient consent to those treatments. Doctors/providers may use all tools at their disposal, including prevention and early treatment protocols. • No mandates of any kind. • Within WHO, use open and transparent processes in all decisions, with voting by all people of the world. Include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Do not allow influence in any level of the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other profiteers. Be 100% transparent in WHO funding and interests of funders. • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, with all rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Nations and local municipalities have ability to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, no consequence.
Universität für Musik und darstellende Kunst, Wien	I suggest to include: Full autonomy of National and local leadership, Reservation of the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each individual can declare the confidentiality; there must be total agreement on the disclosures of each individual's health status. Rights for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. An open and transparent process for any decisions. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is to override my previous post. Regards
N/a	I suggest, considering your atrocious handling of the covid flu, that you stay well out of any future health planning.
Self	I support each country to be able to make their decisions on health, pandemics and anything else.
None	I support individual countries being free to decide what response may be required in any public health emergency. This autonomy should remain free of WHO devisions and interference. Furthermore, funding of WHO should be free of business, corporate or personal monopolies with the same to promote diversified freedom for countries. Please scrap the universal 'pandemic' plan approach. Thank you
Parent	I support Nuremburg code, the right to bodily autonomy and medical freedom. Each state / country governs during a pandemic respecting medical research ,right to fredom and autonomy. Covid showed us that states differ greatly and this is appropriate a one world solution doesnt allow for cultural/ religious or local variations.
Public	I support some recommendations to health departments and hospitals on how they can best prepare for a pandemic. I do not support it being a treaty or binding document. I also do not support instructions that will impact on a persons (civilians) free will or bodily autonomy. Countries or individuals all have individual circumstances and a one size fits all approach is not appropriate. It would continue division and civil unrest.
Elli	I support that: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
All	I support that: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I call on the deciders to do what's right to support and nurture the freedom of the individual and the collective.
RUSTY DOGG WEB DESIGN & MULTIMEDIA	I SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING INCENTIVES ON PANDEMIC PREPAREDNESS: (1) National and regional leadership retain full autonomy in health administration, including the right to make decisions based on what is most suitable for their own culture and demographic. (2) The ability of nations, states, and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, for now and for the future. (3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. (4) Complete corporate neutrality in the process of planning, design and implementation of any and all measures affecting public health administration; specifically zero conflict of interest from any individuals or organizations with present or historical ties to pharmaceutical incentives. (5) Complete respect, openness and inclusivity for all forms of medicine and treatment besides Western Medicine; this includes aboriginal, traditional and natural medicines, holistic, homeopathic and naturopathic medicines, and off-label, patent-free medicines - which should be given high priority in early treatment and testing for all aspects of pandemic prevention, treatment and control. Thank you for taking these comments into consideration. Gary N Bandzmer Chief Officer of Design and Marketing Rustydogg Web and Multimedia, Vancouver Canada
N/A	I support the following measures: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I support the following to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your attention and consideration of my position.

Organization	Written submission
Personal	UK MEDICAL FREEDOM ALLIANCE - SUBMISSION TO WHO PANDEMIC TREATY CONSULTATION 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments must not suspend fundamental human rights for "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations ("the greater good") cannot override individual human rights.The individual doctor-patient relationship must be protected. 3. The right to try treatment is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccines or other medical status cannot be used to restrict people's fundamental rights to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Informed consent (without pressure, coercion or penalty/restriction being applied) to accept or refuse a treatment (given the full risks and benefits and alternative treatments) is the bedrock of ethical medicine and must never be compromised or denied to any human being with capacity, regardless of the circumstances. 7. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are unethical and must never be used again. 8. We demand complete transparency for all clinical trials. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to the public, for independent scrutiny and analysis, in real time. 9. Doctors and scientists must have their fundamental human right to free speech protected in all circumstances.
Sacred Medical Order – member	I support the Pandemic Treaty: the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Sacred Medical Order Member	I support the Pandemic Treaty: the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
no organized organization	I support the stand of World Council for Health as follows 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The People of ;the World are sovereign - I do not recognize the WHO as authority over my sovereignty or my families. Sincerely Julie Demeester
None	I support the view of the World Council for Health, which includes the following views and points: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - No mandates for Emergency Authorize Use (EUA) products in the future of any kind on humans or their pets.
A free ltd	I surrender my citizenship and reserve all rights and waive all benefits as per UCC-1-308/207 Under Protest without prejudice.
European	I szrongly oppose any WHO interfefence in any pandemic. Thank you
personal	I take offense to having WHO make decisions for the whole world. Each country has it's own particular conditions at any time in history including during pandemics. Each country should make their own decisions. I feel that my personal situation is my decision, not someone else. Please do not ratify this legislation.
Government Law College Trivandrum	I thank the Secretariat for the opportunity. I would like to address the issue of the lack of a unified medical service system particularly in Emerging and Developing Countries like India during the pandemic crisis. As of now we do have free healthcare services and Universal health care services but none of these mechanisms ensures that all people of a nation regardless of social status recieves/access the same quality of medical care at a fixed rate. This is a major concern in majority of developing countries like India where there is a wide gap between the rich and the poor. Such a mechanism which ensures uniform medical care atleast in times of Public Health Emergency of International Concern is a necessity. I humbly request the Secretariat to consider such a situation and urge member states to formulate their legislations in pursuance of such policies that are in adequate compliance with WHO International Health Regulations.
General Public	I thank the WHO for taking an interest in public opinion regarding this matter, but I wonder at the lack of publicity and incredibly short timing with respect to the commentary period itself. As there are simply too many variables to make a one-size-fits-all strategy in such situations, I wholeheartedly disagree with a sweeping international pandemic response, and I do not support any treaty that would remove power from the US in governing its own citizens. All health decisions, including if and when an emergency exists, must remain the jurisdiction of each sovereign nation so that measures may be taken that are appropriate for each. Once more, thank you for accepting these written public comments. I only wish there had been more time for them, as I know many who feel the same way I do and will not be able to comment before the deadline.
Own	I the above, free citizen of Earth wish to let the World Council health organisation know that I am against the new treaty as this will take my individual right of freedom as well as breaching my existence as a free human. I am also against the plan as it will take my countries freedom of right to a free sovereign state. I do not wish to give this free speech away to an undemocratic and un elected organisation as we already have the world's best health care in place- The NHS. Please accept my wishes as a solid No.
None	I think a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include : the remaining of full autonomy to each country, regarding making decisions based on what is best for their own people ; the possibility for each country to pull out of any or all portions of the agreement if it appears to them not being right for their people, without consequence ; the decisions should in any case be influenced by pharmaceutical companies, included founding providing by those companies ; full transparency for each leader and citizen of the world on the decisions made, and what they are based on, meaning sharing the datas and informations in possions of WHO leading them to their pandemic choice of response.
Irish Council for civil liberties	I think a transparent approach which does not allow conflict of interests involving drug companies is of paramount importance. The main focus of this current pandemic has been on big drug companies providing solutions and the implementation of very restrictive rules regarding travel rather than the treatment and promotion of general health by cost effective means like vitamin D etc. It is of grave concern to me and others that a very few people have profited exponentially from this pandemic and continue to do so while providing medical products that are misleading in their effectiveness. I would like to see a more open and transparent process where these companies were accountable for any I'll effects and also were not permitted to impose such limiting and manipulative contracts. Health should be about health and not about profits and power. If health is the number one concern of the WHO this should be demonstrated in their actions. Many thanks
self	I think all counties should retain their autonomy and ability to make their own decisions on behalf of their people instead of being dictated to. Each country needs to be respected and appreciated for their unique needs.
Individual	I think all elements included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must take into account different solutions are needed for different people and different communities. There should be no "one size fits all" criteria. Not everyone is onboard with the current and past responses to the Covid pandemic and the threads of society have become unraveled due to the one solution for all tactics undertaken. Future responses need to be more flexible in understanding different people have different ideas on health care, and trying strong arm enforcement will simply derail efforts.
private	I think all measures must take into account a careful balance of human rights, as well as social and economic interestes. Also discriminatory measures should be prohibited, we live in democracy. As a condition of a pandemic contract, memeber states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision - making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision -making body.
N/a	I think all municipalities, states/provinces and nations should keep their sovereignities, being able to implement outside rules or not. Governments should be able to opt out anytime. Pharmaceutical companies should be controlled, as they are bribing politicians, hospitals, medical schools, doctors and unions, selling dangerous vaccines, lying and lobbying very heavily. This is not democratic. In place where most people do not want new rules being applied, they should never be mandated. Democracy should prevail. Experimental covid vaccines or experimental vaccines of any kind should never be mandated, as they are causing deaths and millions of side effects. A lot of people do not have enough computer skills, so digital ID or "vaccine passport" should never be implemented. It is better not to discriminate or segregate people. It is necessary to respect people's human rights, as they have their own choices about their bodies.
Caho	I think all nations should remain autonomous to decide what is the best course of action for their people.
NONE	I think ALL OF THE points ARE VERY IMPORTANT AND should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to OPT OUT of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. $ Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I think all options should be looked at including all the science. Not just those on the pay roll. If you are truly interested in saving lives, then all options for treatment would be tried, not supressed:
Sharp Healthcare	I think all pandemic responses should be decided at the most local level possible and not top down. There have been a huge number of mistakes made during the past 2 years, and largely as a result of regulatory capture of government organizations - where the pharmaceutical industry has had too much power at the expense of individual doctors and healthcare providers who know their patients best. I can imagine NOTHING WORSE than giving the WHO so much power over pandemic response. You are unaccountable, unelected and beholden to oligarchs and wealthy people, not the ordinary people you are supposed to serve. This new international instrument you propose is nothing more than another brick in the path to totalitarianism. You think you know best. You do not and you are too arrogant to realize it.
N/a	I think an international instrument is a dangerous concept. I truly believe it opens the gates for international tyranny. If we have learned anything from covid, it's that government officials make their own rules. Things have gotten too political over the last 2 years and the world is extremely divided. No one organization should have authority over the entire population.
None	I think an international instrument should respect the autonomy of individuals and governments. That is, unless there is a clear, proven, and significant pattern of violation of human rights in pandemic management, global authorities should not interfere. Another key element should be involving relevant professionals and stakeholders. These can both inform the international instrument, and inform the wider community's preparation and response to a pandemic. However, conflicts of interest should also be avoided, for example, solely relying on pharmaceutical companies for treatment recommendations. Finally, openness, transparency, justice, honesty, and integrity should be key values both practised and upheld by an international instrument. This ensures that actions and recommendations are given with the best intent, rather than being for ulterior or self-serving motives, and will best benefit the global community.
citizen	I think any agreements be made be contingent of everything always be optional. Both on a community or country level but also on an individual level. Nothing should be forced on the public or individuals. I'm all for working together to prevent diseases but I don't want the WHO being able to dictate policy in countries like mine, the U.S. Thank you for reading my submission.
American Citizen	I think any interference by WHO is unwanted and unneeded and unwarranted as I believe the members are forwarding an agenda that benefits them and not humanity.
Center for Effective Intervention	I think any international rules should include local jurisdiction imput, down to the county level. It should also have provisions for citizens of the local jurisdictions to vote on adoption of any requirement. Any corporations or businesses that have an economic interest ought to be prevented from having a decision level imput.
ANU	I think countries should be able to retain their independence to decide public health responses through deomcratically elected officials and can opt out of any directives by the WHO if that is what the public want.
None	I think countries should be held more accountable for withholding information on potential pandemic elements, eg China waited too long to report what was happening with COVID. Any risks/ concerns/ anomalies should be reported immediately. World resources and transparency should go into establishing the roots and causes of the pandemic, no political cover up. There should be sanctions if country is found to be responsible for starting the pandemic intentionally or due to negligence of safety protocols. We need stronger investigative pandemic body. Countries should have information technology systems that they can exchange freely, about all developments discoveries related to pandemic. The cooperation laws should be more outlined to prevent intellectual property theft like happened between Canada and China related to vaccine creation. Countries should be free, autonomous to create their own policies, pandemic responses, with having a solid international consultation body that can support their decision making. No country should be forced to have pandemic approach that is not in line with their autonomy and decisions what's best for their citizens
NA	I think COVID has proven a one policy for all approach does not work and should not be adopted globally. The damage caused by relentless lockdowns and constant vaccination propaganda has caused long lasting anxiety for many, that can't be solved quickly. Should another 'pandemic' present itself, I honestly believe a good chunk of the population would not accept further draconian measures and would revolt. Rightfully so in many cases. Working with the world's population, instead of against them will gain the most favourable outcome in a pandemic. Just look to China's current situation; abhorrent human rights abuse to gain a 'zero covid' state, which is impossible, as proven by the other 5 billion inhabitants on planet Earth.
Self	I think diet should be addressed, with suggestions of foods which will boost the immune system. Secondly supplements should be recommended that boost the immune system, such as zinc, vitamin D3, and magnesium/ calcium.
Private citizen	I think each country and each state should have the power to make their own decisions
None	I think each country has a science and social policy decision to make, according to their own democratic principles. It is certainly not for any one organisation to presume that it can take this role for all nations. Many times the WHO has actually made very questionable declarations. I think it is time for more local democracy not less. I do not support this move in any shape or form.
personal	I think each country in the world , including the US, should have their own power to make their our own decisions when it concerns health. I don't support the WHO organization making decisions worldwide on health issues including pandemics without the citizens having the capacity to decide. We haven't voted you, you don't represent us.
Homemaker	I think Each country should decide health responses INDIVIDUALLY.
Myself	I think each country should handle its own response. The who has shown itself to be unreliable and easily bought out.
private party	I think each nation and people should be entitled to their own autonomy, not a part of a "one size fits all" response to whatever is the current "pandemic." I believe there should be an option to abide by whatever decrees decided by the WHO, according to individual countries' situations and laws. All people of the world should be able to vote on any measures that affect them and their freedoms. All laws and decrees should be free from influence by pharmaceutical companies, or any company or person that would profit from decisions made by the WHO or any agency forcing any treatment or response. No treatment should be forced or coerced.
Imperial College Helathcare NHS Trust	I think elements below should be included in a new International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their consequence. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequencthe. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	I think everyone knows their own health best. WHO is a political organization and should not have the permission to close down other countries.
UK citizen	I think first & foremost, the most important element should be freedom of choice & bodily autonomy. No organisation or authority should have any right to usurp this God given right by trying to invoke mandatory vaccinations or treatments.
General public	I think global control of the world is the worst idea I have ever heard of. I vote NO!
n/a	I think guaranteeing the rights of individuals in nation states is where we start....and finish.
Individual	I think Health decisions should be decided locally, therefore we do not need a international organization in this matter. Also according to the constitution, states are the one who decide on policy. Thank you, Mike King
Public	I think health issues as well as health politics should be left to the sovereignty of national interests. Decisions regarding pandemic implementations must be made by national governments and in no case by the WHO!
Mother Artists	I think human rights are fundamental and being ignored here. Each country should carry its own decisions . We vote for our governments not other countries. A 'one glove fits all' is neither appropriate nor right. Private companies / lobbied companies cannot decide a global decision . The result would be catastrophic
Community's	I think if you give out what the real issues are: 1)) how easy is it contracted from others. 2) who is most susceptible. 3) what vitamins can do the best job of strengthening your body. 4) Give suggestions on what would benefit you most, not demands.
private	I think important inclusions on the preparedness should be that individual countries and their individual states within should have complete and unhindered independent authority of action to be taken, by vote of the public of those states and countries and that no global foreign organisation is to have any form of authority in these situations, worldwide problems differ and having only one global organisation trying to have rule over these type of situations would not only be authoritarian and susceptible to corruption but would be counter productive on solving unique issues in varying climates, diets, ethnicities, etc, ie factors that effect severity. sovereign and common law basic rights are to be respected and should not have an attempt by a globalist organisation for overreach. Also the censorship of non compromised professionals and their opinions on these topics needs to stop, their should be networks of medical and scientific professionals that are not financially tied to pharmaceutical companies that can speak freely and uncensored to problem solve on medical issues, bearocrats and financially incentivised and compromised medical persons need to be transparently screened and removed from the process. Also the freedoms and rights of individuals worldwide must always supersede that of global organisations.
General Public	I think important points are: - National and local leadership get to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Measures to stop conflict of interest situations.
private	I think in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response it is very important to give the opportunity on different solutions. As we have seen now, in a situation as we just had, no one knows the "right" answer. There are different solutions for one problem. So in my opinion mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Regarding to the argument of diversity we need to accept that every country, every culture has a different background, has different objectiva. All measures must take full account of all health interests As result, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Public	I think in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be includet a public hearing of opposite specialist and a voting of the UN plenary meeting for every response mandates given to member countrys every month of a pandemic. On every mandate each country has to do a voting in there parliaments before it can become a national response. If it is against a constitution in a country, it has to be rejectabel by the high court of this country for this country. Also a popular vote in a country has to be accepted. There has to be given almost 3 different possibilities for responding to pandemics (vaccination, medicine or sanitary responses) For prepardness specialist must be heard from every country, including opposite parties. There has to be a fund, payed by the companies, getting money for vaccination or medicine, for compensation of every mandate given out. National Sovereignity has to be accepted. If any mandate seems to be against the human rights, every people must have the right to go to the human right court to check if it is in the human rights or if it has to be rejected for all countries.
Citizen of Sweden	I think in the threaty it should clearly state that all countrys are souvereign states and the country has the last saying ...not WHO. The power shall always be in each country to have the last word in any desition!!!
X	I think individual countries always must keep their own laws and policy and must keep their souveranity regarding such big topics instead of one big organ which decides for the whole world. That is very risky and I think we together must decide what is good for people In a democratic way. So no to this treaty. Furthermore we already have the Siracusa Principles who protects us Thank you
American citizen	I think individual nations should always have the right to determine their own health policy in the event of a pandemic. I think the recent covid pandemic has shown how important it is to hear from NUMEROUS qualified experts in RELEVANT fields throughout the world and that no one organization or group of organizations should determine what health related measures are to be carried out for all people, animals, etcetera. I believe in and greatly value freedom of choice, productive uncensored debate and bodily autonomy for all people. It is imperative that any international pandemic preparedness instrument adhere to such standards and abide each nations' sovereignty.
General public	I think international instrument should only give recommendations which should not bind any nation to follow them in any way or should not bind nations to implement laws regarding recommendations in any way. Nations are so different that any binding recommendations may actually make things worse in some countries compared to others. International instrument should contain a channel to compare results of treatment in all countries to facilitate a way of good treatment methods to spread effectively. All recommendations of treatment and national legal actions should be only recommendations and not encourage any nation to violate any rights of any person in national or international level. Any recommendation given by instrument should not contain advices which would violate any human right to work, right to choose medical treatment, to be treated or not to be treated, right to travel nationally or internationally, right to an opinion or should not contain any other limiting factors in regard to basic human rights.
personal	I think is power grabs why people like you are interest in our health
Private Citizen	I think it is disgraceful the way the WHO have acted, for one, changing the definition of a pandemic. A one size fits all approach is totally the wrong way to go. I have absolutely no faith in the WHO and in fact, no faith or trust in hospitals or the medical profession since the Covid-19 so called pandemic. I have looked into who funds the WHO and there is definitely a conflict of interest, you are dancing to the tune of big pharma. I am so angry I will leave it there.
Childrens Health Defense	I think it is imperative that a global health agreement include the following components: 1. All nations and local municipalities have the right to opt out of any and all portions a the agreement as they see fit. 2. No influence by pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers will be permitted in determining global health measures. 3. An open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures to prevent application of the global agreement wherever the majority does not want it. Public Health cannot be "one size fits all." It cannot be imposed undemocratically from above. People must participate democratically in determing what is best for their health and well-being. Humanity deserves nothing less.
General Public	I think it is imperative to include the following elements in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Lynne Zawalick, Registered Nurse
MSG	I think it is important for countries to formulate their own policy based on scientific data available within their communities. What may work in densely populated regions of the world may not work in more sparsely populated regions and different populations should not be generalized. I do not believe we should have a pandemic treaty, when in the current pandemic, we have seen nations with minimal intervention fairing very well and without corporate interest involved. I believe there should be no enforceable treaty which may also punish poorer countries even further.
N/A	I think it is important that all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty and this should be included as a substantive element.
Association Gur PRasaad in the south of France, Kundalini Yoga and Sat Nam	I think it is important that each country and each region gets to do their own plan for health. Health is a very individual situation. Besides I think that it is of utmost importance for prevention of pandemics to increase the immune systems of all citizens. I would recommend yoga practice or gym or Tai Chi or meditation. I would change the role of the media so the fear in the population is diminished. For me a lock down is not a viable solution in case of a pandemic. So many people died of lonelyness and the stress of being alone. To prevent pandemics, it is important that people eat organic food, drink clean water without chemicals and breathe clean air. Back to nature! IT is also important that people do meaningful work that they enjoy. In the Covid=19 pandemic the WHO has not done a great job guiding the world to sanity and health. MAsks do not make a difference in spreading the virus or protecting against it. I do not trust myself to the WHO in order to take care of my health. I would rather take care of my health myself. Very important also: no forced vaccination. Thank you for this opportunity to share my opinions. Warm greetings, Sat Atma K. Khalsa
Citizen of UK	I think it is important that the national and local leadership of every country has full autonomy, and is thus able to decide and determine what is best for the people of that particular country. Only by retaining full autonomy will each country have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement they see as not being in the best interests of their populous. Similarly it will be impossible for all people of the world to participate in an open and transparent process if total control is relinquished to the WHO. Additionally, it is vital that measures be in place to prevent pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers having influence over how countries deal with future pandemics and public health matters.
self	I think it is important to allow for personal health assessments and autonomy for pandemic response such that individuals can opt out of the WHO response based on their own personal risk assessment and not be coerced into any kind of forced treatments or policies that deny them their basic inalienable human rights including being able to choose what is put into ones bodies (aka injections/drugs) including such things are purposefully released virus-based vaccines for which their would be no informed consent! The WHO needs to first work on repurposing existing drugs and collaborating with all doctors involved in treating any diseases to find useful treatments, and not use their power to bring in new experimental treatments without informed consent and the right to choose. The WHO needs to put into place safety guards so that people are not subject to tyrannical rules (from the WHO) that are in conflict with local and regional governments and peoples basic inalienable human rights. There should be safe guards so that Nuremberg-type violations do not occur.
None	I think it is important to give the fundamental rights back to the citizens and don't try to force them to vaccinate as this would be the holy gral They need to understand more about their Immunsystems and how to support it. Social contact, healthy diet and sports are very important. I don't think it is the right way to cut this out.... The damage by Covid restrictions is worse than Covid!
N/a	I think it is inherently important for countries to set their own health policies. To assume each country has the same context and culture to be able to execute a global pandemic plan is obtuse. Nation independence, freedom, and autonomy are the most important elements of a global pandemic plan. The guidance that comes out should be just that - guidance and each country should have the independence and freedom to adopt or reject the guidance offered by WHO.

Organization	Written submission
None	I think it is interesting that the body that is wanting to lead this "... instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response" has not actually been elected by the people in the first instance. Maybe I am wrong, but should it not be put to the people to first elect the WHO and the members that form the team first? If this is not done in the first instance then you will not have the ownership from the people, it will easily become an instrument for big donors to usher in policies that benefit them and not as a body to protect the people. We have seen this already occur in the current "pay-for-play" politics, it eventually leads down the path of corruption, a lack of transparency on real facts... do we really want more of this? I believe this "instrument" is a positive move, but it is will be conducted by the wrong structure, potentially the big industries and founders have a place at the decision table and can easily pressure an outcome that is beneficial to them.
Pura Natura	I think it is of utmost importance to include the right to self governance and freedom of people and countries to make their own decisions. No instruction should be trying to overrule or ignore basic international and birth rights. It all just should be suggestions coming from you and nothing else. And this should be clearly explained and written in your proposed agreement.
citizen, United States	I think it is prudent to prepare for eventual pandemics to come. I think it is moral to give available assistance to those who request it. It must be balanced with the respect national and individual autonomy. As a citizen who was an essential worker through the whole covid pandemic, it is my observation that the heavy handed and top down response has proven more destructive than the virus that was going to be endemic regardless of any action taken. I will not support any further concentration of power or authority in light of this. Full disclosure, transparency, and freedom of inquiry without censorship is the basis and spirit of science. The right to self determination is what makes a life worth saving in the first place. Thank you for your time. Regards, Anthony Waldal
none	I think it is very important that the countries can make their own choices in a pandemic, or in any other treat. There is no need that The Who gets all the power over countries. There is a need for real science however; an open mind to listen to all scientists and to look at problems from a different angle; so there can be made better decisions and better restrictions; restrictions that will not do harm at the economy and still protecting the risk group. We should learn from the past waves of Covid and not make the same mistakes again. Protect the risk group with masks that really protects, like the FFP1. And leave the healthy people. Let them get Covid, they will not get in the clinic from it and they will certainly not die from it. That is what the science shows us. And no mandatory vaccinations! People still get sick and can die after been vaccinated, from Covid as well as from the vaccine itself. Again this is what the science shows us. I hope you will consider my recommendations.
Non affiliated	I think it is vital for the International Pandemic preparedness and response instrument to include that full autonomy be retained by National and local leadership, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. Each country should be responsible for their people. It should include a clause that gives the ability of local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process should be transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe that it is vital that there should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers as this is not transparent.
None - individual	I think it is vital that any country maintain their sovereign rights to reject as they see fit, in accordance to the country's laws, any international guidelines/mandates coming from the WHO that conflicts with a country's own best interest, understanding of its unique population and specific health and any other pertinent variables.
Concerned Human Being	I think it is vitally important that individuals, local cities, states and countries retain the right to make health decisions at a local level. WHO pandemic response must remain free from the influence of those who will profit from a pandemic, such as vaccine manufacturers. There must be transparency and data presented to the public that supports decision making. Opposing voices must not be censored and research must be neutral and not funded by pharmaceutical companies or those who will profit from mandated measures. If any measures are mandated, individual citizens and local authorities must have the freedom to opt out of these mandates without consequences. There also must be clear and reasonable metrics that determine when restrictive measures are no longer needed - no more permanent mandates without end dates. I personally am tired of being forced to comply with unreasonable measures by unelected bureaucrats who have enriched themselves through the most recent pandemic. I believe that much of the world's population feels the same way. The WHO should continue in an advisory role and not have power to mandate measures that are not wanted or needed by local populations. Current WHO leadership should be completely replaced with people not connected with the pharmaceutical industry or other medical profiteers in order for there to be trust in any program adopted.
United States Citizen	I think it might be unwise to assume that any American, even the ones choosing lawlessness, would allow such changes. Reconsider fairly.
Indigenous People of North America	I think it should be left to each individual sovereign nation to decide what to do. Unelected leaders of pharmaceutical firms and public health agencies cannot decide the fate of people worldwide. Look at the continent of Afrika, the population is largely unvaccinated and the infection numbers reflect that. Natural immunity is superior to vaccination and early treatments have been proven to nullify the effects of COVID-19. Considering that C-19 has all the makings of an engineered virus and our GOD-given immune systems have been effective in combatting it, especially those with no comorbidities, I believe that the WHO's focus should be elsewhere. Specifically, the WHO should monitor and provide oversight for gain-of-function research and bio-engineering, that way the threats of lab leaks and bio-terror can be assessed and dealt with accordingly.
None	I think it should include: * a vehicle to ensure underserved countries receive support in mitigating the effects of the next pandemic. *It must leave the power to make health and medical decisions for each nation solely in the power of individual governments. Even in an emergency. Each country is sovereign and therefore knows its people, culture and needs better than any global organization. *Any treaties that remove that power from individual countries are dangerous to the health of those citizens.
Individual	I think it would be ok if the WHO write guidelines and make recommendations but all people should be in charge of their own health and make their own decisions.
Self	I think it's important that each country to have the ability to opt out of any proposed response. The reason being that diversity of approaches should be allowed - Each country is different and has a different culture and environment. Also, If every country responds in lockstep then there will not be the opportunity to discover if an alternate approach would be better. There is always the possibility that an alternative approach might be worse. But, it's equally possible that an alternative approach would be better and that, in my view, is something we cannot afford to bypass. We need to allow for the possibility that there might be a better way than whatever is proposed under the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	I think its pretty clear that a rapidly changing pandemic isn't something that a top heavy institution like the WHO is equipped to handle. Recommending one thing, and then the opposite in a short amount of time was confusing, and dangerous. The result was largely that governments settled on the most authoritarian measures to appear like they were doing something, these measures only later being opposed by the WHO. The WHO should have said "we don't know" and advocated that governments abuse human rights the least if possible in whatever measures they felt necessary.
Private	 I think most importantly would be to inform people about a variety of already available ways to prepare by educating people about the importance of exercising, eating a healthy diet, taking supplements that support the immune system and the drinking of fresh water. With regards to a response to a possible pandemic what comes to mind is, to protect the vulnerable and avoid at all costs measures such as lockdowns, isolating old people in homes and hospitals and the wearing of masks, which had caused catastrophic results, such as increase in mental health conditions, suicides and an array of problems due to these measures, with no proof whatsoever of these responses being adequate or helpful. Therefore, I also think that what should be included in it, is the protection and respect of individuals human rights, especially the human right to choose what goes inside one's body without suffering discrimination and punishment. WHO should not be influenced by private companies, media groups, pharmaceutical companies and institutions that could benefit financially by the creation of this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. There should be a consultation with a completely independent body of experts with no financial ties or vested interest in such companies, organisations and institutions. What is more the sovereignty of any nation should be taken into consideration and respected which means any nation should be entitled to reject any part of this proposed agreement by WHO. Lastly but not least, each society and people have experienced this pandemic in diverse ways and therefore an approach such as "one treatment fits all" would be a huge mistake and should be pondered upon.
No organization	I think National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Also as we know the timings were so different for each country so I size does not fit all. As it has such a huge imprecations it should be put to a vote by the people. I do not consent to this issue.
No one	I think next considerations must be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I think one element needs to be ground up decision making. Local conditions, local citizens' health status, availaby of health care etc vary hugely within populations, and from country to country. Local government and national governments know their populations and their needs. For that reason, I think that local and national governments need to be able to opt out of any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, and act according to local best interests. There needs to be transparency in all decisions, with international publicity about the intentions of the WHO, and about any conficts of interest of participants in decision making.
None	I think pandemic preparedness and response should not be governed by any one body, as this encourages dictatorship and tyranny. Rather, each country should be free to prepare and respond to any real pandemics as they desire. An important element to include is the freedom of many bodies (eg. each country individually) than one body (eg. the WHO), as confining the power to a single person or organisation will have a higher chance of taking away democratic freedoms.
NA	I think pandemic preparedness and responses should be handled at the local level because conditions aren't uniform across the world and an international organization can't be as responsive to what is going on in a community as much as the local authorities. Any nation should have the right to opt out of any agreement as they see fit without any penalties for doing so. Pharmaceutical companies should not be allowed to dominate or decide on treatment options because there is a conflict of interest. Medical professionals-especially those working on the front lines who are caring for patients should have a voice in helping to decide what works and what doesn't. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Member of the General Public	I think putting the health of people should come first. This should start now in preparation for the next pandemic in changing health models to preventing disease and ill health through education and promotion of nutritious diets, healthy lifestyle and exercise. In the recent Covid pandemic, we witnessed how poor metabolic health and obesity adversely affected those who contracted the Covid virus. The existing health models of just "managing" ill health through medication is no longer fit for purpose. Any future vaccine / medication for controlling pandemics should be rigorously tested in line with ethical and legal requirements and the results should be made public and be transparent. The pharmaceutical companies (or other companies who profit by) manufacturing the vaccine/medication should not be allowed to dictate or influence health policy. Neither should the roll out of vaccine programmes be determined by those who profit from the vaccine programmes. Vaccine mandates should not be implemented. People have the right to have autonomy over their health . Decisions on the health of nations should be made locally and with the explicit backing, possibly via referendums, by it's nationals and citizens. One size does not fit all and therefore I do not believe that a global solution is the right way forward. A vaccine may be the correct response for one individual but not the next, or for one nation but not the next. In conclusion, I do not support a global pandemic agreement.
Fru	I think relevant information on how to take care of your personal health should do! Vitamins, mineral and salt water are important. Stay home if sick. Let every person and every country have freedom to deside for good health. No vaccine, regulations or control - nor any laws are needed! Stine Hee
None	I think responses should be local. Individual doctors should be able to work with their patients without being told how they must treat their patients. Other types of healthcare should be allowed such as homeopathy, hypnosis, reiki, etc that deal with the mind and body at an energetic level.
general public	I think that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is not desirable and not necessary. Nations and local leadership can make their own toolkit for public health to make decisions based on what is best for their own population. We have to take measures that won't allow influence in the process by pharmaceutical companie(s) or other persons that have a conflict of interest. What should be included is the ability to opt out of any and all parts of the new agreement, without consequence, to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where nations or communities have their own solution(s) for public health.
None	I think that a new international instrument should make recommendations to participating states, but should not be binding. WHO is not an elected office and while it may do good work, has no inherent right to govern states. This is right and good, and I believe it will cause local governments to enact better policies and to take a personal assessment in preparation and action for future pandemic preparedness. Local states have an interest to be prepared and also a unique ability to access and meet their own unique needs.
Individual	I think that all countries should have the rights to make there own decision on any issue that may arise and is the public that has the majority of input. The public should their own rights to make their own health decisions as we are all sovereign people.
private person	I think that all decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Marcia Leitschuh	I think that all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO should not have power over our health decisions, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. I think WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans.
None	I think that an instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should mainly be focused on creating and promoting the scientific international debate with independent qualified professionals from different backgrounds. Any other purpose, like centralising the power of decision, would be a huge mistake. A good government must be descentralised. Why do municipalities exist? What's the purpose of Town Halls? Every geographical region has its own traditions, culture, historical bounds and idiosincracy. The closest the government is to the citizens, the better. A global instrument "managing" pandemics would be the end of national sovereignity. Citizens from Sweeden would probably not accept the same solutions as citizens from China, RDC, Colombia or US. Diversity enriches the world. We learn from each other. Good international treaties to share the know-how and the ideas would be great, but an exclusive organism taking all the decisions worlwide would never work; the WHO would face millions of protests and chaos. Thank you very much for considering my point of view.
None	I think that an international pandemic policy/ treaty would not be in the Worlds best interest. Each country and its people are unique and have their own individual way of dealing with these situations. WHO could/should be a source of information but should not govern these type of situations through a blanket international policy.
Dream Ai Ltd	I think that an international response to pandemics is a mistake, but if there was to be one it should include: National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, and the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	I think that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness should not be allowed to overrule the sovereignty of any nation. Any agreement should respect already established individual human rights without prejudicing them, especially the right to bodily autonomy. The agreement should not be allowed to overrule individual sovereignty. As different countries and regions were affected differently by the pandemic a multiple strategy approach approach should be considered in order to take into account regional variations. To prevent corruption and perversion of power all private companies, corporations and NGO's with vested financial interests who could profit from a pandemic, such as pharmaceutical companies, should be barred from influencing the agreement.
Private	 I think that any response to a pandemic needs to be calm and measured. Any restrictions must remain voluntary at all times. The public must be informed and people asked to comply and do all they can to protect their own health and that of others. Any pressure, let alone coercion must be avoided at all cost. The main emphasis should be prevention and caution. People can do a lot themselves to strengthen their immune system and live healthy lives. Rather than scaring people they need to be reassured and reminded of their own responsibility. Measures proposed need to be proportionate, based on scientific evidence and logical. But the most important point in my view is that people's health is not jeopardized by the measures. Therefore lockdowns, masks and vaccines had better be avoided. They have all caused a lot of suffering during the Covid pandemic. It is in everyone's interest to stay healthy and people can and will take care of themselves and do whatever it takes to stay healthy themselves and to protect others. No need or space in a democratic society for patronizing let alone coercing anyone. Individual rights are crucial and must never be compromised, please!!
Hook N Fade Studios	I think that any treaty should properly contain inclusive language maintaining that the National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is important to empower the nations and local municipalities to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The treaty also must provide an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population disagree with the policy. all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be prevented from having influence on the treaty or policy.
Social care	I think that countries should have full control over their own pandemic responses. The UK left the EU because people wanted autonomy and control over decisions made. The WHO making pandemic response decision s will take this away. I don't agree with lockdowns, testing or mandatory vaccines.I don't want a digital ID pass to acres services and travel.Health is being used to push through the Great Reset and new world order which many people don t want.
None	I think that each country is unique and should therefore have their own individual guidelines, voted on by the appropriate elected LOCAL representatives with the CITIZEN'S best interest in mind. As a US citizen, I want no part in any "treaty" that usurps my constitutional rights anytime the WHO or any other so-called "public health" agency or NGO decides to arbitrarily declare that there is an "emergency" for which MY RIGHTS should be restricted. The WHO is a captured agency that abandoned any principles, ethics, or integrity it once had. You do not have our best interest in mind and I want no part of any plan you come up with.
member of the public	I think that each country should be responsible for making their own arrangements as regards any pandemic or other widespread situation that might befall them. Each country should have the right to decide how they grow their agriculture and farm animals.
none	I think that each country should determine what pandemic or epidemic protocols should be created and followed. Most countries do have a plan. The protocol for the Covid-19/Sars-Cov-2 pandemic was not at all good, helpful, or even workable and proved to be very costly. Lockdowns, mandates, unviable injections, and denying medical doctors the opportunity to treat people was so wrong and was responsible for most of the deaths that occurred. Repurposed drugs should be primary treatment as there are many available. Focus on a proper, clean diet and lifestyle should be paramount. Proper and accurate accounting of active cases should be of concern. The use of PCR tests is totally useless as they are not a diagnostic tool. People should come first not money and profit.
None	I think that each country should have full control over how a pandemic is handled within their own borders. Furthermore, if that country is broken up into regions or state, each region/state should have control over how the pandemic is dealt with within their borders.
N/A	I think that each family should decide how they want to handle the virus's. No one should have the right to demand everyone. It's unconstitutional. Where is our freedom? We welcome all advice but do not demand and make laws restricting people if they do not want to be restricted. Each family should be responsible for their own health and safety. Thank You, Sherry Gill
Private citizen	I think that each individual country should decide what the best action is to keep their citizens safe and informed. Of course, communication with neighboring countries is essential, but we have to respect every country sovereignty and decision making. Thanks, Pino
independent citizen	 I think that elements that should be included in an international instrument for pandemic preparedness are autonomy of individuals and nations to act in a way that is in their best interests in regards to physical health, economic stability and personal freedom. The decisions of an international group, or pharmaceutical companies etc must not supercede the desires of a nation and the individuals therein. Nations must have the freedom to opt out of the international instrument without negative repercussions.
general public	I think that for sure all the international organisations and the governments must follow the following points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. One pandemia with several lockdowns is enough.
Public	I think that health matters should be governed at a local level, and not at a international level, one size does not fit all, so please reconsider this proposal, it will make the public even angrier than they already are after all the lies that have been told over the past 2 years. Thanks
Individual	I think that is important that each country keep final control over how it responds to any pandemic and that local constitutions, laws and norms take precedence over any global convention or treaty. Therefore nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all sections of the agreement as they want, without any negative penalties. There must also be measures that prevent any and all drug companies or other organizations that profit from health issues from having undue influence over treaty provisions.
None	I think that it is abhorant for you or anyone else to think about mandating any form of medical intervention. Medicine is not a one size fits all policy. Consent must be given. In fact i belive that the implementation of such measures would be criminal. You should stop trying to play the great creater, work on dealing with poverty instead. You should be working on clean water, and fresh nutritious foods (non gmo), for the planet and exercise. Stop your pandemic plans.
MoD	I think that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	I think that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people in our own country. Also we reserve the right in our own nation and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as we see fit, without any consequences. We want to see open and transparent process with the ability for all people in the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in areas where a majority of the people do not want to be included. We do not want measures where we are not allowed to participate in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We will settle for nothing less than our own sovereignty or autonomy! Sincerely, Laurie Paul
Non-affiliated	I think that nations and local entities should have the right to make individual decisions according to the perceived interests of their own people and have the right to opt out of unwanted stipulations. All people should have the right to vote, and no one should be forced to accept the agreement who doesn't want it. It is especially important to guard against the influence of pharmaceutical companies and health profiteers whose agendas may conflict with people's real needs.
Hospital garcia horta	I think that never can go against the declaration of human rights and constitution of countries. Should serve to a better distribution of health care between countries in case of a pandemic. Never should coerce use experimental medication and should inform with clarity about risk benefit.
Netherwood	I think that no international elements should be included. Each sovereign state should be free to choose their response to any situation rather than being press-ganged into a response that would not be fit for purpose within that region or for their demographic. An international instrument for pandemic preparedness is not the way forward... a critical review of the past two years of data shows that international peer pressure has had negative effects on all of civilisation.
Christian	I think that our country and the countries around the world should be able to choose autonomy. If anything was learned by this recent pandemic we should be able to choose on a individual basis. The world does not need a world wide treaty!
Individual	I think that pandemic and health responses should be up to each country and be held democratically accountable by their people. The WHO is not a democratic organisation and is not in charge of me. By all means offer evidence based advice but leave it up to individual nations to decide their own response. Thank you
General Public	I think that pandemic preparedness and response should be kept under the jurisdiction of individual governments. In this way, each country can tailor their response to a pandemic depending on the changing conditions within their own borders. It clearly will not be the case, particularly if we analyse what has happened with COVID-19, that one type of response will suit all nations. Also, each government's response needs to be accountable to its electorate. In summary, the international instrument should enable the WHO to offer each government advice and technical assistance while at the same time giving each government the freedom to act independently.
NONE	I think that sovereign nations must have final control over health decisions for their populations. Any treaty that is rendered should be advisory only, and allow nations to follow the wishes of their citizens by majority vote to control measures of disease control.. No pharmaceutical company representatives or patent holders of any medicinals should be allowed to be on the decision making committee of the treaty, nor should they serve on the WHO in any capacity.
Good Times	I think that substantive elements to be included in the treaty should make sure to honour the sovereingly of each state to make their own decisions regarding public health. I believe that it should be upto to each nations people to decide the course of action to be taken, through online referendum or some such mechanism. The sovereign people of each nation should be making the decisions for sovereign nations going forward.. the WHO can help facilitate this through a network of open source information readily available for members of the public to be able to access full, non-partisan, open source up front analysis and information regarding health issues most pressing to each nation / the world. No real need for the treaty at all, just better access to truthful information please.
Woman in Canada	I think that the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Each country has its own elected government in place that knows what is best for its own citizens. So national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own men and woman. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all men and woman of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the men and woman do not want it. We also need to ensure measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I think that the fact that WHO treaties that remove power from the individual countries are dangerous to the health and welfare the citizens. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Each country is different. Different climat, different social fabric, different costumes. Each country should be responsible for their own health system even in the time of the crisis. What's good for Angola or Germany maybe not so good for USA or Canada. One size fits all won't work. It will create global problems and more devision. We can clearly see how it didn't work during the c19 pandemic.
individual	I think that the following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: The national and local leadership of each country should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decision based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally there should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
n/a	I think that the following should be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards, Motoko
None	I think that the freedom of choice and body autonomy must be respected at any time. Also the autonomy of sovereign states must be respected.
Private individual	I think that the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies should be given due consideration.
Myself	I think that the key substantive element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is that all countries maintain their sovereignty over any level of preparedness and response. Any instrument of this type should not undermine any country's right to govern.
None	I think that the only elements that should be included in any international instrument should be the sharing of health data, statistics, treatment plans and resources if necessary. No one should be forced to share resources by another however. All countries are unique as well as the populace within a country. Each country should do what best works for their countries. As we have seen, weather and seasonality all have effects on how a virus or bacteria behaves and different countries will be experiencing these differences no matter what else is going on in the world. All countries as well as all citizens of this world should retain the own autonomy. There is never a one size fits all solution to any problem and we must allow citizens the right to exercise their responsibility for their own health. Perhaps there should be a plan of action to intercede with sanctions if a country's governmental or health authorities are encroaching on citizens rights as has been seen in the case with Australia and New Zealand for example. Power corrupts as we have seen with covid virus and we must limit centralized power no matter the situation.
Freedom	I think that the people should get to decide what is best for them. The WHO should not get to decide what we take or inject in to our bodies for a "pandemic." Most individuals knows what's best for themselves, please do not take our rights away.

Organization	Written submission
Member of the British public	I think that the Public, the ordinary people of this world, should have autonomy. The population in general should be consulted and included in any discussion prior to action of any kind being implemented. Public awareness should be paramount! Consultation, explanation and consequences of any possible implementations to be considered should be discussed openly and without censorship with the Public and citizens of every country. The necessity for debate on all matters regarding any form of jurasdiction, safety measures and emergency procedures should be made completely transparent to the world wide population. No Pandemic plan or emergency criteria should be decided upon without complete understanding and agreement and approval of the world wide Public. It should completely be and ONLY be in the interest of the health and wellbeing of every man, woman and child on this earth. NOT in the interests of Big Pharmaceutical companies, manufacturers of medical supplies and equipment or other businesses and individuals that could gain from such a Pandemic Plan.
Private Citizen	I think that the WHO needs to remember that it is an organization, not a governing body. Your input on what is best for world health is valuable to a healthy world and leader of nations should listen up intently. However, WHO should remember that they are to use their knowledge and expertise to guide nations to make best choices for their people. WHO has NO authority to exercise 'binding demands' upon any nation or people. The leaders of nations, the very citizens of each nation have the God given right to decide what is ultimately best for them and their families. Ironclad demands upon nations and peoples would violate the rules and laws of many nations, including the constitution of the United States of America. Please consider your real role in providing healthful leadership to the world, its nations and peoples.
Peoples party	I think that there is no need for an international pandemic preparedness treaty . Each individual sovereign state should be allowed to handle a pandemic in there own way It has always been the aim of the UN and the global elites to inflict a global government on us and this treaty facilitates this . We did NOT elect the WHO and therefore they should not be in a position to have influence over our lives . I believe the WHO is a thoroughly corrupt and evil organisation that has bad intentions for mankind .
Sonja Goesweiner	I think that there should never be mandatory vaccinations, especially NOT when there is only an emergency approval of those vaccinations. Everybody should be free to decide what to do with one's body. Also, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. There must be NO PRIVATE DONATIONS made to the WHO!!!! All decision-making bodies must be diverse and critical voices, in particular, must be heard and taken into account in the decision-making body. And most important: THE PANDEMIC TREATY MUST NOT TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER NATIONAL CONSTITUTIONAL LAW!!!!!!!
private citizen	I think that there should not be a global blueprint for anything. It should be up to each individual country to respond in the best way for their own citizens. Each country is unique and should be treated as such. Some methods might work in one country, but not in others.
Général public	I think that these substantive elements should be included: national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process where all the people of the world have the ability to vote on, and there should be included fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in those places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Momentum safety specialists Ltd	I think that this is an extremely poor idea. The thought that an unelected organisation such as yourselves, should be making a major decision to bring out a system like this to control the spread of a manufactured virus. Furthermore, when I see the Human rights abuses being perpetrated around the world by governments, I suggest that as an autonomous human being that I am against this system of control. I do not and will not confirm ever.
La	I think That we Are all adult humans and everyone can protect his or her own life. We dont Need the WHO for reasons like that. Not only one organisation could discede such important conserns. We Are over 7billon People which Are living on the Earth. What do they think who they are that they are allowed to make such important decisions on their own. We habe Seen in the past that the WHO made disproportionate decisions in case of Covid-19. That should not happen again. We have to put our heads together to discuss about these topics.
No organization affiliation	I think that WHO should consider that they are not elected officials representing United States citizens and cannot make decisions or mandates for US citizens but only recommendations. US citizens elect representatives to decide government policies, and WHO does not have the authority to dictate pandemic preparedness and response to the United States.
Private	I think that Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests Health is a very important issue and cannot be improved by forcing people to be vaccinated. In no case should young people and children forced to be vaccinated against a disease which is evidently no big danger to them. Everybody is responsible for his own health. Children shall never ever be responsible for the adults wellbeing. Kind regards Ines Hofbaur
None	I think the below points should be included if there is a pandemic preparedness response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Your constitution states - "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." .
None	I think the best international preparation is for all people to be as individually prepared for emergency as possible: stored food, emergency supplies. Next we should encourage our doctors, epidemiologists, researchers to dig into causes and cures. We should allow international comment and debate, so that these medical people can work together. We learned that isolation, shutdowns, masks, physical distancing and the emergency effort to prevent transmission had little effect. The best solution was to develop natural immunity and assist the vulnerable elderly.
General public	I think the biggest substantive elements that should be included on pandemic preparedness an response should be local authorities governing their own people without a one size fits all guideline on health and Giving people the right and autonomy over their own bodies.
Private Person	I think the democracy is in danger because of the international instrument on pandemic prepardness. The independence of every country should be sheltered.
General public	I think the elements included in the WHO pandemic response should include rights of nations and individuals to not participate without penalty. And that nations can "opt out" without penalty to serve the best interests of that country. The same goes for individuals. The WHO must respect the right of individuals to their bodies and not penalize persons for not wanting medical treatments they do not agree with or want. I also think that all pharmaceutical companies and their interests and affiliated parties should not be able to influence the committee. The pharmaceutical companies should not be able to lobby or push their products with backing from this WHO pandemic committee. Committee members must also not be affiliated with pharmaceutical companies or any health profiteering organization. In all actuality, I don't believe that a committee of this nature is necessary. Nations and countries around the world should be able to determine their own course of action and develops their own pandemic responses. In fact, some of these decisions should be based on local levels and what is best for the people of that area or region.
Self	I think the elements should include separate preparedness for each country. The government for each country should handle situations separately because each country has different ideals and situations particular to their citizens.
Moorside Stables	I think the elements that should be included for international pandemic preparedness are as follows: Local and national leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions according to what is best for the people of their nation. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any or all parts of the agreement without consequence, should it not be considered in the best interest of their people. A process that is open and transparent should be in place to enable every person in the world to vote in order to prevent the application of a global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. This process must include failsafe measures to ensure that all votes are genuine and counted. Measures should be included that will prevent the influence of the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
a natural woman	I think the elements to be included should focus primarily on protecting/shielding the vulnerable and those with immuno-compromised health. Only safe, known and accurately trialled drug treatments and therapies should be used in large populations. I do not believe that the WHO should roll out experimental drugs and injections en-masse as a test ground to a largely fearful world population. Testing for diseases should take into account symptoms, blood/urine analysis rather than a reliance on PCR testing or LFT which can lead to false outcomes due to its inaccuracies. Better, unbiased truthful media communication is paramount. I believe that every individual country should close its borders as appropriate if necessary, but I do not agree with forced lockdowns. Healthy people should not be locked down as this de-rails economies, bankrupts businesses and ruins livelihoods leading to poverty and despair. Lockdowns in preventing social mixing have the effect of poor mental health often leading to suicides. Physical health also suffers through lack of exercise and contact with families/others. Children suffer through lack of education, exercise and socialisation. Abuse often occurs in lockdown situations. Mandatory paper/cloth mask wearing by healthy people should not be an element in pandemics. If necessary, and depending on the crisis medical staff should be able to access full PPE. when attending the sick. Govts must ensure adequate supplies are available in preparation.
-	I think the following elements should be include: 1. That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
R lazy S	I think the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The ability of individual choice and medical sovereignty. Thank you for your time.
Self	I think the following elements should be included: 1) an "opt-out" option for nations or local municipalities 2) nations retain final say and autonomy with regard to legislation implemented 3) people's rights are still held at the forefront 4) influences should not come from corporations such as pharmaceutical companies' dollars and or private individuals pushing an independent agenda 5) open and clearly transparent process and communications with fail safes in place and as mentioned in 1) options for majority groups to opt out when it is justified
Lime and Orange	I think the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I think The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MoP	I think the following elements should be included: 1 - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 - The ability of nations and local governments to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 - A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Flowering Heart Yoga	I think the following ideas are absolutely imperative and need to be included in any Pandemic Preparedness Response. 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities shall have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There shall be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on any proposed response, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There shall be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There is no one size fits all policy that fits for everyone and all communities shall be in charge of their own health response and decisions.
citizen of the world	I think the following issues should be considered in the development of a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response": - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. - Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance to avoid conflicts of interest (e.g. profit interests) - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Citizen of the World	I think the following issues should be considered in the development of a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibit ed in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private citizen	I think the following items should be included regarding pandemic response: A complete ban on influence from pharmaceutical and any other private companies. This would not include NGOs such as the Red Cross. A way for governments to opt out without consequences or reprisal. An emphasis on response at the local and national levels so that response is customized to each individual situation, not a blanket approach.
individual	I think the following need to be added to any agreement between the U.S. and the World Health Organization. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	I think the following need to be included (1) A guarantee to allow people to continue to travel and work without being asked to undergo any type of forced medical procedure; (2) It should guarantee that sovereign governments can listen to recommendations but there be no legal requirement to follow WHO rules; (3) that a pandemic and subsequent rules canNOT be declared and applied without a very large unquestionable data (not unreliable predictions) before declaring a pandemic; (4) that lockdowns are admitted to be negligibly effective and therefore never mandated; (5) that masks are negligibly effective and therefore optional; (6) that doctors maintain their right to be familiar with guidelines but free to treat as they feel appropriate - not as authorities or insurance firms direct them.
NA	I think the following points are very important to the international instrument: 1. Sovereignity: should not supercede a government's autonomy. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Flexibility: The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Transparency: Open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Safeguarding: Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	I think the following points should be included : National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures shall not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual citizen of New Zealand	I think the following should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
InnerWealth Generation Pty Lts	I think the following should be included: Countries, states and local areas should be free to set their own policy and response to a pandemic. It was extremely valuable in the last pandemic that there were different responses in different areas. It enables us to make better decisions the next time when we can compare different responses. The recent pandemic demonstrated that there is no such thing as centralised, reliable science, so it is important that different countries, states and local areas are given full responsibility to consider the scientific evidence available to them and make appropriate decisions. Again, this may produce a diversity of responses which is much healthier in the long run. It is not right for an unelected body, such as the WHO, to control the response. It should offer guidance and suggestions for best practice only. It is also essential to protect the relationship between physicians and their patients. The recent pandemic eroded this trust to an unprecedented level. Physicians must be trusted to advise their patients on the most appropriate course of treatment in any pandemic, otherwise we will lose the essence of good medicine and we will lose far more than we would gain with a centralised system.
None	I think the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - The flexibility and freedom for individual states/nations to be able to tailor their responses to their population's particular needs/circumstances, rather than a one-size-fits-all approach being mandated - Policies must be based on truly independent science, not science influenced by organisations and individuals with vested interests, connections or motivations - The requirement for complete openness and transparency at all times at all stages in the drafting, enactment, overseeing, maintenance, etc of this instrument - Nations/states must retain the right to opt out
none	I think the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I think the following substantive elements should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response; 1. That individual countries and states have full autonomy so that national and local leadership reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There is a 'without consequence' policy for any nation and / or local municipalities that see fit to opt out of all, or any portion of, the agreement 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health or financial profiteers 5. Financial recourse for nations, local municipalities and individuals who do follow the measures and are directly harmed by them in any way
general public	I think the following substantive elements should be included in the planned new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	I think the following substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response :- 1) Bodily autonomy of the individual by the individual must be sacrosanct and irremovable. The individual must not be manipulated by behavioural psychology, propaganda or the MSM under any circumstances, bearing in mind of course that a Government can turn rogue. The individual must have a right to choose. 2) Where tried and tested medicines with a proven track record exist they 'must not' be removed to make way for new medicines drugs or, vaccines. 3) At no time can pharmaceutical companies be allowed to capture a new international instrument organisation on pandemic preparedness and response. There must not be an interchange staff, of any kind, between the two businesses. Nor would they allowed to fund such an organisation or each other. 4) No individual, organisation or politician should be able to influence the new International instrument for profit directly, indirectly or, even at arms length. To do so must be treated as criminal and that a crime against humanity committed. 5) Lockdowns, to protect against rogue or incompetent regimes, will not be allowed.
General public	I think the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	I think the foremost element to include in this proposed instrument would be a mechanism to allow municipalities and sovereign nations the ability to opt out of any response that was deemed unnecessary, or harmful, to its citizens. The second element would be ensuring that there would be no conflict of interests betwixt decision makers on pandemic response, and manufacturers and stakeholders in the pharmaceutical and medical industries. Thirdly, citizens of any nation should have a voice and not be dictated to by powers that will not be putting their best interests first. That is what ELECTED officials are for.
Not affiliated	I think the global response to the pandemic has been woeful. The measures taken have not saved lives. I would like to see a transparent and proper investigation by people not in the pay of Bill Gates and Big Pharma. This has been a ghastly experiment that will be exposed. I would like much more transparency and who benefits financially from policies made by WHO. Who is financing. It stinks.
Homeschool mom	I think the idea of allowing a foreign entity to dictate what rules, laws or events happen in our country is horrible. At the very least, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. And all nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There must be an effort to ensure there are no atrocities happening and that the countries who implement these measures must do so on their own and without any duress or coercion. There must not be anyone advising on the measures to be taken that has any conflicts of interest. Completely unbiased individuals. None of those making the choices, should be allowed to make any profit off anything related to this.
-	I think the main provisions should be based around prosecuting every individual at the WHO and elsewhere who has taken active part in the ongoing COVID-19 fraud, policies off the back of which have led to the premature deaths of millions of people worldwide.
None, private citizen	I think the most important thing about any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, would be that it would be a recommendation versus a mandate, regarding any health policies dealing with any future pandemics. Policies on health are best dealt with when the individual people they affect, have the final say. This could ensure that bodily autonomy is respected world wide. Thank you!
Nurse	I think the most important thing is to let the pandemic response to be more guided by medical professionals and scientists. They should not be silenced or ignored. Each country should be free to respond in their own way but the response should be evaluated WEEKLY by people on the front line working and seeing what is happening!! This shouldn't be guided by politicians, lawyers, accountants or any other profession not involved. Information should be open for discussion and debated and decisions transparent including money passed between countries. Dishonesty and bribes should be heavily disciplined.
SITC	I think the most substantive elements for international preparedness and response would be to decentralize the response and guarantee every locality the utmost autonomy to deal with individual health concerns for their communities. I live in New Mexico and the one-size-fits-all approach that our governor took was devastating to local communities, children, small business, etc. It didn't save any lives or slow the spread. Everyone was eventually infected and most everyone recovered just fine but destruction was left in the wake. This top-down approach caused more harm. The WHO should support all communities across the world to independently respond to their unique health crisis' going forward. The failures of the past two years should never ever be repeated. Thank you for your participation and request for comment. Jason
Concerned American citizen	I think the most substantive elements that should be included in any but especially in an international instrument composed of unelected bureaucrats and scientists should be individual and personal choice and allowing all your WHO scientists to speak honestly about how the public can take their own chosen measures to stay healthy.

Organization	Written submission
US Citizen	I think the only substantive elements should be that pharmacies, hospitals, and healthcare providers should be given the proper equipment, medications, and remedies to help combat disease and illness. I DO NOT believe it is the job of the government or government agencies to have any right to tell me or anyone else how we can or cannot treat ourselves. Medical freedom and choice for all should be a priority.
Public	I think the premise of the question is flawed; it assumes that an international pandemic response plan is needed. Each country should be free to manage a pandemic response in the way that they best see fit. As we have seen with Covid, some countries chose the bare minimum response and achieved natural immunity, while others pushed vaccinations hard and are still struggling with ongoing outbreaks. This is the definition of proper scientific study; there must be control groups. Separately, no organization should have the ability to declare a global pandemic and implement lockdowns and vaccinations across the globe. Not only does that run contrary to individual countries rights, it is completely against the principles of informed consent. The Nuremberg trials were all about establishing limits on what can be forced or coerced onto an unsuspecting public. There are no long-term studies on what the effects are, and none appear to be forthcoming. All research should be released for public analysis immediately and at all times. Restrictions on fundamental human's rights, like travel and speech, are such an obscene misuse of a government or NGOs power that I don't even know how to respond. No pharmaceutical manufacturer should be free from liability for releasing a product which does harm. Absolutely none. The fact that this carveout was requested by them and granted by governments is outrageous. Any vaccine should be put on the market with the same potential product liabilities as every other manufactured item has. To waive liability actually encourages distrust of governments and their agents. That is not what we need in times of crisis. Any state of emergency Should be end-able by a vote of the public.
USA Citizen	I think the substantive element of nations being able to maintain their own sovereignty and make their own decisions based on what is best for the health of their citizens and economy and not be bound to follow the global Pandemic Treaty should be included. WHO should not be able to remove power from the USA or any other nation because it could negatively affect the health of citizens. Decisions about health should be made locally between citizens and their health care providers, including during emergencies. The Constitution of the United States does not go void during a pandemic; in fact there was an actual deadly pandemic going on when it was written. Please follow your own constitution for active cooperation on the part of the public, not totalitarianism control. Thank you.
World council for health	I think the treaty should allow for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I think the treaty should allow for the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I think the treaty should allow for an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I think the treaty should allow for measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I think the treaty should pay attention to the sovereignty of individual countries. No international body should have the right to determine a pandemic response for nations. Each country should determine its own measures to counter disease, irregardless of the nature of future pandemics.
Catholic Church	I think the WHO , not being democratically elected, is going beyond its remit in wanting an international 'instrument' regarding future pandemics. Each country ought to be capable of preparing itself. The WHO's role should be advisory. Also, the WHO has a tarnished reputation when it comes to genuine scientific research and impartiality. All ties with the pharmaceutical giants need to be severed.
self	I think the WHO is a worthless incompetent drain on the American taxpayer and has no business either drawing funding through the federal govt or telling us how to live. Please tell us as soon a you have closed your doors and refunded our tax money. Thanks!
Citizen	I think the WHO needs to leave countries to decide what they should do not you all. Please leave the sovereignty of nations and stay out of what they can or cannot do.
None	I think the WHO should adopt a policy of being supportive of local areas rather than dictatorial. It can support with information, education, stats, medications, etc., but should not exert coercive orders, lest they desire to receive resentment from the infringed regions or localities.
Life & Health Coach	I think the WHO should be going back to the original definition of Pandemic as what we have had over 2020-2021 is clearly not a pandemic. Repurposed therapeutics that were working & had solid data backing them, should be used, instead of costing countries more than they can afford to use new age 'vaccines' that do nothing to stem any tide of the virus that has been paralysing the world for the past 2 years. Too many people died from the original virus because they were denied therapeutics that could have saved them - this is negligent medical practice. Then more people died from a 'vaccine' that hadn't been trialled sufficiently. Those 2 things alone could have saved lives.
None	I think the WHO should craft a response similar to that of the Great Barrington Declaration. Where the most vulnerable people groups are given opportunities to be protected at their request, and those that are not vulnerable can continue to carry on life. Thus limiting disruptions to children's life, and learning, the working poor will not lose jobs and become more unstable, and limiting the amount of people that will ho hungry. I think lock downs should be avoided. Too many vulnerable people were harmed being being stuck in lock down with a violent family member, and others lost years of sobriety being in needless lock down.
Mone	I think the WHO should have no say in pandemic response and there should be no international agreement or treaty on how to respond to any health crisis. Each nation must manage it in their own way.
US Citizen	I think the WHO should include exceptions and exemptions for each nation to make final decisions according to the nations. That there is an opt out clause for any or all of the decisions made if what is stated is not deemed best for their nation, without penalty for those decisions. I believe that all decision suggestions made by the WHO committee should uphold all transparency for all nations. That every part could be seen and have adequate time to review and vote with an independent voice representing their nation. I would like to see a fair and balanced panel of many thoughts represented fairly to give each nation the ability to fairly choose which portion adhere to for their nation and people on an individual bases. And there would be a fail safe process to prevent corruption or a single voice making these decisions. Thank you for your time and consideration towards the needs of every nation and individual concerning medical needs in a pandemic situation. Penne Carter
Canada	I think the WHO should mind their own business and stop dictating their wishes upon countries. Your definitions of pandemic and natural immunity which had been unchanged for decades prior to the Covid pandemic suddenly changed to included vaccines needed to reach heard immunity. Sorry but that's a load of crap. Vaccines have only started to be prevailing since the 1970 and since then there has been a huge increase in health problems. How did humanity survive for thousands of years before vaccines. The constant changing of health guide lines with literally no science backing it is ridiculous, there has been decades of studies in regards to locking people down, wearing masks etc and yet you ignored those studies in favour of dictatorship. The WHO needs to be disbanded.
Mindful Parenting LLC	I think the WHO should not create a one-size fits the whole world, when it comes to pandemic preparedness and response. Every country is different, has different resources, different cultural values. That affects enormously how each country responds to a crisis situation. Nobody elected the WHO members to decide how we are going to deal with these types of situations. The WHO can give suggestions based on the medical science (which hasn't happened during this "pandemic"), but to determine what every person is going to do or not to do is a totally different situation. Freedom to decide what we put in our bodies is a basic right that has been violated during these two years by forcing people to get injected with experimental products that don't have long-term studies of safety and efficacy. And people have not been well informed about the potential dangerous side effects of these products. WHO was supposed to be advising the governments on how to help the population improve their immune system through food and vitamins, minerals, etc. in order to be stronger to better combat the virus. Since when is the immune system less reliable than an experimental product? The fact that many alternative treatments are denied and, in many cases, forbidden by the governments with your complicity is a crime that I hope history won't forget. As an organization you have lost the trust of most people because you are working with money from private donors like Bill Gates foundation. That will always guide your decisions and recommendations. That is a great conflict of interests, so it's clear now that your interest is the interest of the organizations that fund you, and you do not work thinking about the best interest for the population.
Australia One	I think the Who should not have an international treaty at all. You have not been elected into a position of authority over any country. WHO is a corporation and your ideas and opinions carry no weight with the citizens of any country.
Na	I think the WHO should stay out of each countries choices on what is best for their own people. Each country and state have their own unique health policies that you know nothing about. Stay out of it.
None	I think the WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. People should be able to choose what they and their family do when sickness or disaster appears. Americans want freedom to choose.
Individual	I think the WHO, an unelected body in any country, should not put itself in charge of world health policies. Science-based data that has been fully and openly discussed and studied can be recommended, without the WHO as the gatekeeper. The Who's power must be rolled back. Confidence in the organization is rightfully waning.
Pangolin Enterprise Development	I think the whole idea of a pandemic treaty is a bad idea. The WHO does not trump the sovereignty of nations. Nations, with their elected leaders should guide nations and respect people's individuals rights to make decisions about their own health. The WHO currently has the perception of a captured organisation and needs serious reform. Start with reducing the conflict of interest from your key funders. People don't trust the WHO anymore and for good reason. If you want to play a role in global health then act in people's best interest not just your shareholders. Going forward, I suggest you carefully consider who you accept funding from. In conclusion, I don't support the notion of a "pandemic treaty" which is more of a power grab by the WHO than anything else.
mother	I think the World Health Organization should not interfere in the sovereignty of independent nations or states within them even if there is a pandemic. That kind of power would enable a very few to control the world which would not be consistent with the value of western democracies.
None	I think there should be a local/country response to any pandemic. It is not helpful to have an international pandemic response.
None	I think there should be no elements in the WHO's new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It should not exist; it is bypassing and ignoring the Democratic process in sovereign states. As a democracy we decide what our individual response will be to whatever health emergencies arise, not The WHO.
none	I think there should be no international treaty at all! When you consider how much malfeasance and abuse happened in this Covid pandemic even without much organized central control, It doesn't make even MORE centralized control seem such a great idea! What is happening in Shanghai as we speak should make everybody pause and consider.
CQUniversity Australia	I think there should be no substantial element as sovereignty needs to remain individual at any level.

individual	I think there should be: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
	   The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	   An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	   Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Monarch	I think these elements need to be included National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	I think these elements should be present in the agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I think this agreement will give the WHO undemocratic rights over all of us and vehemently oppose it being instigated. I think it should be scrapped. Different nations require the freedom to respond to emergencies in a way that best suits their citizens and location.
Privat	I think this elements are important: - mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - discriminatory measures should be prohibited - alle measures must take full account of all health interests - all measures must take into account and carefully balace human rights, social and economic interests - pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national costitutional law
Bitcoin	I think this instrument is an attack on the sovereignty of the individual states and thus undermines all human and fundamental rights of all citizens. The past has shown that if there is a possibility for states and other powers to gain more power in times of crisis, these authorities themselves cause a crisis in this case a pandemic in order to gain precisely more power. Accordingly, this law must not be passed at all, and if it does, every free-thinking state should immediately withdraw from the WHO in order to protect its citizens. As I know that you won't stop on generating this instrument, here is what should be considered: Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Home owner/US Citizen	I think this instrument should be cancelled totally. It is against a sovereign nation's rights for you to override any pandemic requirements for nations. It is our right to be free and this is taking our freedoms away. Thank you.
Snowdrop Child Development	I think this is a bad proposal because as we see in the World with Covid 19, different parts of the World require a different response. The African countries, barely vaccinated where Covid has been less of an issue need a different approach to the more developed nations where it has been an issue. Thus the response to a pandemic is best managed at the level of national governments, who know their own problems better than anyone else. I am also concerned that an undemocratic organisation such as the WHO, who have unqualified people like Bill Gates wielding an undue amount of influence, should have any power to tell the democratically elected Government of any nation what to do. This proposal opens the door to tyranny on a level not seen before. The proposal should therefore be dropped and the WHO should merely 'advise' national Governments.
None	I think this should be scrapped completely as different countries have different problems. The same way that two people are not the alike.
UK citizen	I think this submission should be dropped entirely. The WHO has always shown it has absolutely no backbone and really panders to the highest paymaster. There is no balance in reporting or recommendations. You have allowed Countries to terrify their citizens. You gave set back the development of kids globally for a decade or so. You gave accepted countries ceasing to provide medical cafe for anything other than Covid,allowing a cancer & Alzheimer's time bomb. You have allowed economies to be destroyed by not promoting herd immunity & protecting the most vulnerable. You allowed nations to want zero death a completely unrealistic mandate. You register to stand up to China & have a full robust investigation into how Covid started instead pandering to your paymaster so the world is still in doubt & fear. One questions why the WHO should be given more power to abuse & to continually fail the worlds citizens. The Who should subject themselves to some serious scrutiny of what it actually stands for which is not "fashionable rhetoric " but hard facts on pandemic handling including the inevitable people will die, but using psychological warfare.
Public	I think tranparency, open communication and open debates with all sides inclusive is the most important instrument on international pandemic preparedness and response. Most importantly WHO's policies are not to be considered a one-size-fits-all program, yet seen as a modeling and supportive authority of each and every individual nation's right to choose what makes more sense for them. We need people and communities in control of their own health not WHO controlling the world with it's mandates on nations, communities and people.
Moon Inc	I think u need to get the hell outta our lives mate.
None	I think we can all agree during these last two years that every aspect concerning information was abysmally disseminated from Day One to the present. It's interesting the WHO has made it known there is going to be a treaty regardless if some of us feel no treaty at all may be the better option. With that said, if there is a treaty we, as the world community, can only hope and request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. All of the above were completely ignored during the last two years causing deep distrust in all of the institutions, including, if not mostly, the media and government top down.
General public	I think we do not need such agreement and WHO to control governments and public neither for pandemic nor for regulations.
General laborer	I think we have enough pandemic preparedness already. It's foolish for everyone to follow suit to a plan when you know nothing about that country or the people who live there. Leave it to federal governments around the world and states alike. We all know what's best for ourselves, and I think there's a lot of lobbying and bribery that goes into large organizations like the WHO. This is not a good idea.
Private Individual	I think we must allow people the right to decline any medical intervention. We must also ensure that each sovereign state is able to opt out of any agreement. Measures put in place which encourage nation states to vote via a referendum whether a medical intervention should be implemented.
Home	I think we need to get out of this situation using the following principles: • give a precise (unambiguous) definition of a pandemic. • it is impossible to fit all countries and regions under the same rules, in each region the disease (if any) can develop uniquely. • WHO does not have the right to dictate to countries how to deal with the disease, but only to recommend. • pharmaceutical companies should be held accountable for drug side effects. • information about vaccinations must be a medical secret. • children under 14 years of age, get vaccinated only with parental permission. • eliminate the international vaccination passport.
Self	I think we wouldn't be in this pandemic situation if Humans were not creating diseases. If the focus is on stopping these mad scientists from creating deadly viruses than we could prevent any future pandemics. No the WHO should not be trying to implement any rules, regulations, mandates or laws. Masks and Lockdowns should NEVER occur again. These two implimentations have done more harm than good. The detrimental damages that have been cause by masks and lock downs could have been avoided. Facts are masks make people sicker, and more susceptible to getting sick. The bacteria that is continuously recycled thru the breathe lowers the immune system and lowers the oxygen levels. You Need to put people who have common sense and probl solving skills into those positions of power. The panic and fear tactic is 1000% unnecessary. The complete fabrication on lies that was spewed at the tipping point of this pandemic was unacceptable. The facts that were known and shared with the public were distorted and omitted until proven otherwise. Why are the people at the top allowed to lie to the world and not be persecuted. The prevention of another pandemic starts with removing the corrupt people who have zero compassion for humanity. No you cannot creates loopholes in the sovereignty of people. Stop the brainwashing/manipulation too. Controlling the masses is not an option. Being transparent and honest is the only way forward. Persecute those responsible that is the first step!
Independent	I think what the WHO needs to do is respect that nations that have elected official to take take of their people's health. We the people are against any supranational power that will be hard to control when things turn bad. We need a referendum in each country to ask if we agree to be part of this
Universiti Sains Malaysia	I think WHO should expand the potential elements from Traditional, Complementary, and Integrative Medicine (TCI) too during similar situations for pre-preparation to get ready for facing and coping the next pandemic. I specifically see that Traditional Arabic Islamic Medicine (TAIM) under the Islamic Medicine sphere has much to offer especially with regards to psychospiritual therapy and similar therapies to religiously cope with psychological problems experienced during the pandemic and the projected post-pandemic breakdown. I myself am contributing a religious sadness explanatory therapy (Re-SET) based on the holy text of the Qur'an in dealing with sadness as we are coping with overwhelming undesired life events during the pandemic. Apart from representing Islam as a Muslim, there are many emotions being disturbed during the pandemic that can be studied according to religious beliefs coping from the holy text of any scripture from any religion that are potential to prevent psychopathologies. Thank you for this chance to share our humble ideas from the plain public. But such ideas need an effective mechanism to channel systematic helping efforts.
MySelf	I think your new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should not exist at all. Each nation is capable of managing its own response since it has highly qualified and honest experts. Thus, a sovereign nation does not need outside interference from private organizations such as the BMG Foundation which is your first donor or McKinsey & Company which advises you. Both of these bodies have close ties to the pharmaceutical industry and the decisions they have you make will be in favor of the industry and not of People's Health. If you want us to live in peace and good health, let the women and men we elected be in charge and do not interfere. Keep in mind that we never voted for the WHO.
Saint Agnes Catholic Church	I think, and repsectfully request, that the following substantive elements and rights should be included: 1. Of Catholic Christians to freely obey, live, and communicate the teachings of their Church on all matters, particularly moral matters, without fear of any coercion, manipulation, deception, punishment, persecution, or tyrannical assault; 2. Of all people to maintain and excerise religious liberty; 3. Of all nations to maintain and exercise national sovereignty; 4. Of all people to obey their own consciences.
privat	I think, that a pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law and I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. If you will make such a treaty, please note: - Mandatory vaccines have to be forbidden. - Discriminatory measures have to be prohibited. - All measures must take full account OF ALL HEALTH INTERESTS. - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process!!! - Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body!!! Regards, Hoell
No	I think, that the WHO should not interminglig in national affairs. This one Pandemic, which burdens all people in a comparable way, will never occur.
none	I think: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There are many cultures in Canada, and each should be respected. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There are many health challenges, over a range of ages, and there is not one answer for all. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Our government leadership has robbed personal bank accounts and dictated against our natural and Canadian Freedom of Rights. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I am a human and choose to make my own choices. All interface with such companies in the past has effectively caused deterioration in my health and wellness. I am not interested in their mandates. Christine
New Zealand democracy	I thoroughly disagree with the Global Pandemic preparedness response This is dangerous and not in the best interests of people in this world. The Health totalitarianism and Political One World Government direction gives power to a few very dangerous people who are of their own interest and not that of the freedom of the world population. There have been very dangerous and catastrophic mistakes at the hands of the WHO FDA CDC over this pandemic which have been to date covered up, The WHO has not provided a Health response to this pandemic and has encouraged the use of dangerous and experimental drugs propoganda and deluded models.. reacting and misguided the population and purely criminal decisions followed by other countries. The measures taken to date have minimal success The jabs will have massive impacts on the health risks for the Global population No I do not agree with a Global preparedness Instrument this would be dangerous for the worl population
general public comment	I to do NOT believe that WHO or any international organization or health agency should have the power to mandate, control, or render independent nations, states, or local governments authority subservient to policies or decisions made by any international committees or groups. I believe the U.S., and other nations can listen to what science and medical groups believe is the best course of action, but that we are capable of reviewing ALL information and making best choices for our country, state, local communities, and individuals. Any new or existing international instrument that is knowledgeable in pandemic preparedness and/or response should operate solely as a disseminating body with the ability to make recommendations to be considered in making national, state and local government health decisions. It must always be one of many sources of information used in making the best response for our nation, state, local community and individual. International control by one "so called" independent organization should NEVER be allowed. There must also always be room for opposing views, opinions, and practices. Just look at the misguidance and lies put out by authorities in control during Covid. Let us learn that scientists sometimes give advise and hide truth to promotes their own interest in profit and / or control.
Luminous Health	I To Give WHO the authority to govern the sovereignty of individuals is an outrage. And should not happen. Please withdraw this proposal immediately. Individual states and countries and all human beings should be able to govern their own bodies. I strongly urge you to reconsider an international plan that the whole world must follow or face consequences. Let's allow each country, state and/or citizen the right to choose the correct and safe measure for their own health and that of their community.
Qld health	I totally agree with this person comment and have permission to adopt their words as it represents my beliefs: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards Donna Gray
Private	I totally agree with this statement so I copy it here: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5: I want to add: Creating a powerful central steering institution for such situations creates a super high value central target for capture of special interest which could cause huge devastating outcomes if abused by bad actors...
Personal.	I totally appose The WHO Pandemic Preparedness Treaty. I believe this much power entrusted within a single body is dangerous & futile.
Personal	I totally believe that NO world body of any description , should have any right to dictate any rules or regulations to any country or person. They are not elected and have no power to do so. Power to govern should remain totally in the hands of a democratically and fairly elected government, where freedom of speech and open discussion should available through all parties at all times. . If any government is proven to be in any way influenced by a world body in any manipulative way , it too should lose its right to govern the people.
None	I totally disagree that an unelected body should have any form of control in any democratic country whether it is to do with health, or any other matter. Should the WHO wish to have ANY control of these countries it should be put to a people's referendum. Nothing more, nothing less.
Public	I totally disagree that an unelected body, such as the WHO, should hold sway over national elected governments in any pandemic preparedness response. Therefore, this proposal to do so at such a level is undemocratic, and should be abandoned forthwith.
FORNEBU FLYKLUBB	I totally disagree that WHO is given any over-national authority whatsoever.
Personal	I totally disagree with the WHO treaty.
Personal	I totally disagree with the WHO treaty. I think it takes away freedom of speech and freedom of choice.
None	I totally disagree with this "treaty". Health issues are strictly between the person and their doctor. No organization outside the country should have control over any sovereign nation's policies or laws. Stay in your own lane and quit pretending the WHO cares about health. WHO is about control and world depopulation.
US OF A	I totally disagree with this movement to remove rights in any manner from US citizens, regarding their healthcare. This must be kept as a right of the member nations individually. A global health treaty will violate those rights that we as citizens of the United States currently have and highly value, and must not be put in place for any reason. I believe it violates our constitutional rights to freedom of choice, and will not provide a benefit. The better choice is to keep all decisions, including healthcare, close to home as the citizens can make the best decisions to govern their health. Decisions made by global entities are too far removed to be effective.
Private	I totally disagree with this Treaty, Leave each Country to make up their own laws guided by WHO when necessary. Leave us alone!
None	I totally disagree with this WHO Pandemic treaty. It gives too much power to an non elected organisation who are run and sponsored by big pharmaceutical companies and big tech. Companies who do not have to answer to citizens and only interested in making money. The government of each nation should be able to make decisions based on what's best for their citizens. Its another tool to strip peoples human rights under the guise of a pandemic.
Private	I totally object to a foreign power determining our health, it's up to us individuals to decide what's in our best interests. That's why we have an elected Government to serve us...it's in the title Civil Service, which is the opposite to the WHO, they are political instruments of the UN and the WEF who serve their own interests...
Nhs	I totally object to this '1984' madness, I will never agree to this, I did not vote for you. We are human beings with human rights not a barcode number. My health is between myself and my doctor. It was not a conspiracy theory after all, was it. I will never trust WHO again, ever.
Own business	I totally object to WHO taking away personal sovereignty and taking away government rights of a FREE democracy. NO NO NO, I object in totality to WHO controlling our government or the people.
None	I totally oppose of an international mandatory, international pandemic treaty, in particular if such is set up by a non-democratical, i.e., non legitimated organization funded and financed by individuals who may and will pursue their own interests. .

Organization	Written submission
Timken Sturgis foundation	I totally oppose the WHO proposal 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Huanist	I totally oppose the WHO treaty giving them the power to choose what drugs I take and how I manage my health. I am totally capable of looking after my own health and resent anyone attempting to take away my right to make my own choices. This treaty is wrong and unlawful on so many levels.These people must be stopped from pushing to control peoples lives.
N/A	I totally oppose this recommendation by WHO. I strongly believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. At least, to opt out without consequence.
Free Choice For All	I totally reject any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It is proposed by a group of people that have not been democratically elected in to represent the worldwide population. The WHO cannot possibly know the individual needs of countries or each sovereign human. WHO's performance over this present pandemic has been abysmal with obvious flaws in their processes. It has ignored sovereign rights and caused immense physical and mental harm including but not limited to child development. Individual citizens must be able to make their own health decisions and each country needs to take their own local action according to the people's needs.
Private individual	I totally reject the proposed changes, Instead:- 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	I truly believe that there should not be any planned out way for a pandemic under the rule of just one organization. People are individuals with their own way of handling themselves. A group, government, WHO or CDC should not be the ones to tell how all humans should handle themselves in any situation as it does not allow the person to assess their own risk. The acceptable risk would only be told by the WHO, but the 'acceptable risk' made by WHO would not be everyone's own acceptable risk. People have a right to choice what they want as they are their own selves living their own life. People may say this is selfish to others, but it is indeed selfish to make all people do something according to the guidelines of a few. We may be all connected at any given time, but people's individual actions affects the society. Society is not what makes people. And it should never because that creates totalitarian control. If I need to ever go into more detail, I would be happy to do so.
General public	I truly believe the following should be included in the International instrument on pandemic preparedness & response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It is deeply concerning & deeply upsetting for the people of my country if these points are not included.
Asap	I truly believe the world health organization and every other similar organization should stay out of our lives we know what's best for us and we will do what is best for us so back out and go away.
Rinascimento italia	I trust the doctors and the save and effective early treatments they suggested -which WHO contributed to silence-, I don't want doctors prescriptions to be subsituted by teragnostics, I don't trust WHO unique expertise, I'm totally contrary to the pandemic treaty and to an unique approach of the entire world to how solve pandemics. I'm also against digital id passports, which will (again) result in heavy civil rights dicrimination. Please stop
Ad Astra	I understand that a unified health system might be considered better when dealing with a global pandemic BUT if it results in an erroneous response and there isn't some mechanisms for discovery and correction a unified response may turn out to be worse than the disease. The censorship of social media during the Covid-19 epidemic has been concerning. Instead of censorship, perhaps EDUCATION broadly published would work better. Plus: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I understand that since December of last year the World Health Organization has been developing a new "international treaty on pandemic prevention and preparedness". Such a treaty is likely to include international digital ID papers, as well as commitments to making and marketing new "vaccines" in less than three months. I object to participation in this Treaty because of the many, many injuries and deaths caused by the non licenced experimental injections that have been rolled out worldwide. You treat the matter as if it is a forgone conclusion and treat the eventual existence of the legislation as an a priori assumption. The Treaty should not exist at all in my opinion. I would request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. Thank You. Elizabeth Jones
private citizen	I understand that the WHO is trying to create measures to support all countries in the world in case of a future pandemic, and I can certainly accept and applaud such an endeavor - if based on goodwill and fairness! However, as a citizen of one sovereign country and as a citizen having grown up in a different sovereign country, I absolutely and rigorously object to a WHO treaty that requires 190+ nations of the world to give up their sovereign status and submit to an organization that has in no way been elected by the private citizens of those 190+ nations. Well-meaning, independent, research-based information from the WHO are welcome, but any dictates or forms of direct/indirect pressure are NOT & are egregious overstepping of human rights and, in fact, illegal according to international law. I urge the WHO 1. to act as an independent organization that respects the independence and sovereignty of all its member countries, 2. to divorce itself from funding resources and foundations that have a clear financial interest in pushing a pharma-industry & pharma-driven agenda, 3. to genuinely express its concerns regarding public and world health in an open and tolerant manner AND provide guidance allowing for different clinical experiences/research of successful, inexpensive treatment options (conventional/allopathic or alternative medicine), 4. to table any attempts for a treaty that requires members to follow WHO dictates blindly and without the right to disagree or not adhere to WHO recommendations. With respect to all WHO agents/representatives who have true world health at heart, Gabriele
USA personal	I understand the fear behind thinking that a world wide organization can dictate the outcome of a pandemic. However, if we look closely at what has been most effective in the past in ending a pandemic(besides letting nature take it's course), it becomes very evident that the best approach for dealing with any health issues is at the local level. Many of the decisions made at the top of the pyramid over Covid for example, did nothing but bring confusion; and in fact were only temporary bandaids that brought no resolution to the population's health and well being. Control of any health or financial problems needs to be done by those people affected via collective decisions at each locale; not by a worldwide conglomerate octopus of corporate arms vying for financial profit under the pseudo guise of sympathetic concern for individual health. Sincerely in truth, Michal H.
Frank Stella CPA	I understand the importance of being prepared for a "real emergency," whatever that emergency maybe. However, any preparedness MUST NOT UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES: A. Suspend Human Rights because of the emergencies B. Should not interfere in anyway with the Sovereignty of any nation. C. Should not forbid individuals from choosing their own course of care D. Should not forbid anyone from refusing treatment E. Should not Discriminate based on Medical Status and Not Forbid Goods or Services to be provided by business to any nations people. F. Should not permit the Lockdown or quarantining of any person who shows no signs of illness. G. Should Provide "Complete" Transparency H. Should not forbid the expression of anyone's opinion. I. Should not allow any product liability to be legislated away under any circumstances. J. The people should have the final say regarding any emergency declared and allow the "people" not the government to remove the emergency at any time. Thank you
N/A	I understand the WHO is discussing a treaty to grant them powers over the sovereignty of peoples, municipalities, federations and nations in matters of health. Seeing as the WHO receives significant funding from private interests I would like to see the following substantive elements included in the pandemic preparedness and response treaty: 1) The WHO create a representative council or councils, elected by peoples of the world and free of conflicts of interest, especially private/corporate interest, to draft, approve and enact the treaty. 2) Any person or group of people may at any time and for any reason disregard the treaty without consequence; specifically and especially in the situations where people refuse any medication, treatment or vaccine for any reason. 3) All people maintain the rights guaranteed to them by their country's constitutions; the Geneva convention; and the Nuremberg Code. Without at least these provisions this intervention stands to make possible the largest and worst instantiations of iatrogenic harms in human history. Thank you for your consideration.
Scentsy	I urge for the sake of individuality, mental health, and well-being, that the Freedoms our veterans fought for, should be remembered and respected.. One size does not fit all, and the fallout from extreme controls has been devastating these past two years. From lack of support, as well as limited surgeries, that lead to more pain, depressions, drug use and over dose, as well as suicides. People terrified to go out and see their doctors, not being diagnosed on time for life altering conditions and diseases. Division among family and friends, resulting in more mental health issues. There has been far more damages, than good that's come from this extreme control, and I feel very strongly that it should never become such a one size fits all. People need to live, we are social beings who should have the freedom to be accountable for ourselves, and have choices to work, see family, and travel. With cancer and heart attack being the two leading causes of death, we still don't shut down unhealthy places, or take off bad foods from the shelf, forcing people to eat healthy. At the end of the day we live once, so inform us, but let us live and not just exist. Thank you.
Selfemployed	I urge that the following point be considered and no longer ignored in the future: "Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies." This has been completely ignored in the Corona pandemic, which is not only grossly negligent, but almost fulfills the offense of intent.
US citizen	I urge the inclusion of the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Anthroposophical society in Canada	I urge the WHO to adopt the following urgent conditions. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4. That the Bill and Melinda gates foundation be bared from influencing pandemic preparedness measures and having any direct or indirect influence over countries rights, health chooses, vaccine use and freedoms. COVID 19 brought to light the hidden agenda of the Gates foundation and the extent to which the Gates foundation influences WHO vaccine policy through its donations.
Individual, U.S. citizen	I urge the WHO to consider these 4 elements in developing any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other organizations that profit from global health measures.
self	I urge the WHO to recognize that medical decisions are inherently deeply personal. It is vital that government, at all levels, respect personal autonomy with respect to individuals' decisions about their own bodies. At the next level, local communities are best able to balance individuals' autonomy with public health needs of the community. It is therefore vital that the WHO's instruments include provisions protect the ability of local leadership to maintain the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. For that to be possible, national governments must also maintain sufficient autonomy. The WHO's focus should be on facilitating transparency and communication, so that individuals, local governments, and national governments have full information for making decisions and are able to coordinate their efforts, without creating coercive or punitive pressures. It is vital that WHO's instruments be drafted in ways that protect against improper influence by pharmaceutical companies and any entity -- for profit or nonprofit -- who have financial incentives connected to medical procedures. Thank you for your important work.
Human rights	I urge WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement WHO' recommendations without any consequences. Please respect the human rights!!!
None	I urge you not to go with a one size fits all method in matters of such importance. We cannot possibly know what is best for other countries and should allow them the dignity of choosing their own paths. Thank you.
Lexx Engineering	I urge you to include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Night and Day Therapy	I urge you to not engage the United States in a WHO treaty. It removes power from the US and is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have the right to enact health decisions in the US and it should stay that way.
Self	I urge you to stand against the Pandemic Treaty. This treaty is a violation of our constitutional rights to make health decisions for ourselves and our family members. World Health Organization treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to remain as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The World Health Organization does not currently have power over the health decisions. It would be a travesty if the U.S. agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Humanity	I urge you to stop pursuing this madness to treat the health of world population as one. We humans require a unique approach to our personal physiology. This is threatening my personal well being. It's inhumane as if we are animals. It's bad enough that animals have had to suffer this approach for some time now. It's a completely wrong, incorrect approach. Why are you removing the National experts who know their people far better than your globalists. It's an unacceptable act of hubris...a power grab that I strongly oppose. STOP!!!
None	grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement, while the world's attention is diverted by the latest crisis. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The people of the world have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example,
Private	I value my human right to make my own decisions and do not support anything that takes that right away. I will not be forced to take an untested vaccine until I have satisfied myself that it is safe and effective.
Ella Owen	I value national and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is important. An open and transparent process is needed. We need failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is important that conflicts of interest are avoided. Influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must not be allowed. Any international agreement must enshrine AS ITS CORE PRINCIPLE the right of individuals and their elected governments to make their own informed decisions on how to respond to public-health issues. Countless millions of sovereign citizens across the globe will refuse to comply with mandates imposed from an international/global level – and rightly so.
Parent/granparent/concerned citizen	I vehemently disagree with health care decisions being taken away from individuals and given to governments (already far removed from the individual) and worse yet to an international organization like WHO. Health care decisions are to be between a doctor and patient, the best informed to give appropriate care for each health situation, INCLUDING during an emergency/pandemic. Use your resources to educate medical professionals and the public but do NOT force member countries to follow a global 'health treaty'. This is WRONG!
None	I vehemently disagree with the premise of the statement, treating as a priori that a pandemic treaty is needed, wanted, or even useful. The WHO and other public health agencies got almost everything wrong in this pandemic, from person to person spread, masks and social distancing to effectiveness of lockdowns (still being pursued in Shanghai to the level of inducing starvation) - which has been shown to be ineffective. The last 2 years have shown that like politicians, public health officials are human and can be wrong (or worse, bought and paid for) and the last thing the world needs is to centralize that. We need that power to go back to the citizens. I will not be complying with any of this.
N/a	I vehemently disagree with these powers. No international organisation should have such power and there should be debate between nations. The goal should be getting the world healthier so that their responses to such pandemics is strengthened. More focus should be given on restricting big food and the type of products they produce that are making people sick. Vaccinations, especially with a booster with waning effect, is not the solution.
Non Applicable	I vehemently object that any such instrument would be implemented or agreed upon. I strongly believe in sovereignty and independence of separate state entities. I suggest you would scrap your plans to continue this project because it will be strongly opposed and will surely fail.
Self	I vehemently oppose a law, regulation or order allowing a Single group or organization unilateral control for individual nations regardless of the "perceived or propogandized" reason. This is totalitarian and must be defeated.
Organization for the average citizens	I vehemently oppose such a proposed action the WHO is considering in the event of future "pandemics". You are an unelected body and have received large amount of funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation which precludes any impartiality on your part. With research one easily find that Mr. Gates has his fingers in many pies and looks to profit of the misery of others. If you proceed, you will face consequences.
Retired	I vehemently oppose the centralized control of pandemic policy by the WHO. This pandemic preparedness and response SHOULD NOT BE CONTROLLED BY THE WHO.
Just speaking for myself	I vehemently oppose the planed WHO pandemic treaty cause it would supersede the legal sovereignty of national parliaments of WHO member states regarding to deal with future health crisis and unelected bureaucrats would be in charge of health measures in most countries of the world. That's one step away from representative national governments to an international expertocraty and is unaccaptable to me!
private citizen	I vehemently oppose the United States signing a WHO pandemic treaty. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The sovereignty and independence of the United States and its citizens must be upheld apart from any WHO treaty.
N/A	I vehemently oppose the very idea of this proposal in full. However, should it go ahead, I request that it includes the very strictest guarantees on state and individual sovereignty; absolute respect for human rights, including the absolute right to refuse medical treatment, including vaccines; legal liability for governments, government bodies, pharmaceutical companies and their officials, employees, and workers. Anything less than this would be an utter travesty of international human rights law.
Citizen	I vehemently oppose the W.H.O making any medical decisions for me, may family or my fellow Americans.
n/a	I vehemently oppose the WHO global pandemic agreement. Citizens of the world have already lost respect and trust in the World Health Organization and National Governments. This action will only create more mistrust. My thoughts on the question, "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" are below: National and local leadership needs to retain and advocate for full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. One of the main reason citizens of the world lack trust in the WHO (and other governing medical bodies) is the lack of transparency and the censorship of opposing medical expert voices/opinions. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also important that measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am People	I vehemently oppose the WHO's pandemic response treaty and submit The people's treaty and the universal declaration of human rights. https://jamesroguski.substack.com/p/the-peoples-treaty?s=r&utm_campaign=post&utm_medium=email
Self	I vehemently oppose this treaty as it infringes constitutional freedom in many countries. I do not agree with forcing lockdowns and vaccination to everyone. People own their body and should not be coerced into someone else's opinion. Moreover natural immunity is far better than lockdowns. Some countries make better decisions for their own people using local medicine or recognized medicine that are presently banned in Western countries such as Invervectin or Hydrochloriquine in India and South America and far more effective than Redemsivir. This treaty would give some authoritarian powers to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.
Mother	I vehemently oppose this treaty. Please stop. We do not need to who in charge of our countries matters. United States of America is free and should remain so.
Individual	I vehemently oppose this WHO proposed global agreement which is both unnecessary and unwanted. Every human must retain the God given basic human right to bodily autonomy and to make one's own decisions about what and when to be subjected to medical procedures. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, while reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This includes he ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. At all times, this must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of any global agreement in any place where a majority of the people do not want it. Also measures must be adopted that explicitly prohibit any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for permitting my input.
Private	I very much oppose to "Pandemic Treaty of the WHO". It obviously is against human freedom and constitution. Hope more people are aware of it and will oppose to it.
Private	I very strongly oppose the latest WHO plan to create a global pandemic agreement whereby the WHO have power over sovereign nations to decide what is in that country's best interests in the event of a declared pandemic. The dangers I perceive are a 'one size fits all' approach which could be detrimental to certain individuals or even entire populations, particularly if a suggested drug or treatment's side effects are unknown. In the early stages of a pandemic, when there may be much that is unknown, there is room for error and individuals would lose their right to decide the best approach for themselves. Even the slightest faulty information at the outset could be to a disaster for some entire populations. Nations must reserve the right to decide the best approach for their own populations. This is a fundamental right. I perceive this to be a very dangerous overreach of power with the possibility of conflicts of interest.
None	I vote against any mandatory law forcing citizens to accept mandatory covid - certification or mandatory vaccinations!!! I am against any law that limits our freedom of choice!!! I am against law that limits out choices about our bodies!!! I am against the global pandemic law that overweight the country's law without referendum!!!
Self	I vote against binding international legislation/treaties (or similar) as I did not sign any contract with any unelected international body attempting to assert influence!
Na	I vote against totalitarianism. I support people-powered, people-owned health for every nation. National and local leadership should be allowed to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I demand an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Citizen of uk	I vote in a democratic govt to engage an elected representative, MP , to provide for my health care I consider your organisation to be influenced by non democratically appointed people who are not answerable to me by means of a NATIONAL vote
None	I vote no for international control over Covid policies.
n/a	I vote NO to have this atrocity done. Why make foolish, manipulativ changeds to something that is not conducive to the overall welfare of people around the world. It does not make sense, and it is not helpful or of benefit to anyone.
Citizen of the US	I vote NO to the WHO pandemic global treaty. These situations need to be handled at the local government level in the United States and citizens need to make personal health decisions with their own doctors without interference from any government. There needs to be guidance without force or coercion and the United States citizens can best decide how to manage their health. This Global Response team must be disbanded.

Organization	Written submission
IEN	I vote NO. There should not be a WHO treaty that would override or interfere with democratic laws within a country. Each country should deal with the crisis, with leaders voted on by their peoples in a democratic fashion-without question. I genuinely feel that a health crisis is, no matter how far and wide, should not be dealt with on a global scale. Every corner of the world is unique, from local produce to the specific atmospheric conditions, to the altitude one lives in to the amount of sunshine. Every community, no matter the scale or size, should be prepared to deal with its own set of circumstances, not have a blanket wide, non specific mandate. Every decision must be made based on what is best for their own people. Otherwise, needless discrimination, segregation, bad/damaging policy making could be mandated. There should not be any consequences if a nation, city, state, individual opts out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit. People should be allowed to vote on measures, whether they are emergency ones, or anticipated ones. If there is no voting or say amongst the people, that would be undemocratic, and a throwback to darker times. If there were to be another pandemic, suggestions, recommendations, advice should be welcomed, but not instituted or mandated or made obligatory. Companies that represent pharmaceutical interests should not be allowed to have such a persuasive voice. Lockdowns, emergency measures, mask wearing, mandatory injections must not be dictated by health organizations, rather they should be voted on by the nations, governed by the people. Thank you for reading, and taking into consideration all points of view.
Private	I vote that everyone should be solely responsible for his/her own health and free to decide any treatments they wish to have.
teacher	I vote the following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response so that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Jennifer Papastergiou
Stand for Health Freedom	I vote to strike down your treaty. I object to the World Health Organization attempting to govern Americans. WHO treaties that remove power from the United States are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Health decisions and health data belong to the people. WHO has no business getting involved in health-related decisions of people of any nation. It's none of the WHO's business my health or anyone else's health. Nobody asked for the WHO's opinion or involvement in their heath. WHO please mind your own business. Regretful to have to write such a letter. : Amy-lynn: Harloff United States of America-Nation
NA	I want all biased private interests taken out of any decision making recommendations. I want no infringement on countries'unique situations & solutions. I only want recommended guidelines from independent researchers/ scientists focused on " real health" and no corporate destructive interests.
None	I want all national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Private	I want an individuelle traitment by my doctor. WHO is resonsible for dammage in my Body? Every State should remain souverän and free in his decisions.
USA citizen	I want autonomy for our own country to address the problems as we see best for our country. One size does not fit all. I do not trust global dictates after seeing how devastating that has been throughout this covid pandemic. It has ruined life as we knew it by implementing devastating policies and now we see those policies were pointless.
Caretaker / housewife	I want control of my health and well- being I want to decide what I do or don't do to my own body....
House whole	I want control of my own health and well-being. I don't need anyone to tell me what I can and can't do with my body.
Machinest	I want control of my own health and well-being. I don't need anyone to tell me what I can and can't do with my body.
Finesse marketing inc	I want justin binden faucci daaik amd all them hung just like they did to sadam
US Citizen	I want medical and pandemic responses and protocols to be a my local level. I do not want an international board deciding what is right for me or my family. Please vote NO to international medical and/or pandemic related control and decisions!
Self	I want my freedom back. I want to choose what is good for myself. I don't want WHO or any government to lock me down and impose me any medication and/or medecine
Private Citizen	I want my national government (which I and my fellow citizens are able to vote for) to be making the decisions regarding pandemic preparations and response, not an unelected and unaccountable supranational body like the WHO.
Citizen of UK	I want national and local health officials to be able to make their own decisions regarding any health emergencies. There should be no ability to overrule these decisions by any outside agency, especially the W. H.O. There must be the ability for sovereign nations to opt out of any global health ruling without fear or favour. Measures must be taken to ensure that no influence is allowed to be made by any pharmaceutical company or corporate body in any health ruling.
Make digital work	I want National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I want The nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I want to see an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I want to see stringent measures put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign of uk	I want National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Human rights to be maintained.
None	I want national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I think that the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be enshrined in law. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures in place that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In short, the WHO should restrict itself to being an advisory body only.
Private citizen	I want NO ONE in charge of a policy to decide health care decisions for ME. I will make my own decision concerning my health. It would be impossible to predict an event making it necessary to impose a blanket policy for all citizens. Freedom is mandatory and not optional. Thank you.
Citizen of Scotland	I want no part in this treaty, which has not been highlighted in mainstream media or other outlets. You should not be allowed to play God over citizens who have not elected you, and who do not respect or agree with your vision, ideals, beliefs or principles.
Personal.	I want NO worldwide rules and obligations for any pandemic or anything else. The United States is a completely independent nation and should make decisions on what is best for Americans only. We should never give any control over to any other organization. JoAnn Flaherty
Privat	I want Not a contract from The WHO with our, with all countries in the World. We can Make alone all Problems for the Healthy our People of Germany!
Home	I want our LOCAL leaders to be able to make decisions based on what is best for the people who live in their own state/country. Immaterial of what the WHO decides, we, in this state/country can opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as OUR leaders see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is paramount. Citizens of the world are also permitted to vote AGAINST any decision where a majority of the people do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies, their representatives or other global health interests, who will benefit monetarily, are not allowed to be be involved in the decision-making process.
VWOD Germany	I want some garanties that Germany stays independent as a state and all people stay independent and without force. Everybody can decide whether they want vaccines or not. Human rights should be considered. Pharma-industries should be responsible for all harm made through vaccines. We don't need an international pandemic contract!
	I want that the Treaty stops!!
Right to Travel	I want the freedom to travel anywhere in the world to be accessible to all, With No restrictions being placed on people who don't have the covid 19 vaccine. The Right to Travel to foreign countries, should be solely based on presenting a Negative PCR Test.. Thank you
Protect Your Breasts, LLC	I want the international Citizens to continue to have the following freedoms: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5)I do NOT want the Freedoms and Rights afforded by our United States Constitution to be pre-empted by an "International Treaty". that will then make policy decisions for all other countries and sovereign nations. The W.H.O. has made a horrendous mess of the whole Covid pandemic, we certainly do not want more of these decisions made on an international level. Respectfully , Ingrid Edstrom, CFNP, M.Ed.
privat	I want the pandemic treaty to include guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual, to make respect for human rights binding, and to respect the individual's freedom to consent to medical treatment.
none	I want the USA to retain sovereignty and full autonomy in electing to participate in any "pandemic" management agreement with the WHO. The WHO record with SARS CV 2 virus is not supportive of a greater role in global viral risk management. The world economies and the health of humanity has been severely and negatively impacted by this mismanagement . The USA as a sovereign must retain an absolute right to opt out on any and all portions of this agreement without the need to provide anyone with any reason or explanation for this action or to suffer any consequences from this action. Furthermore in the USA the States and local municipalities must retain the same right to opt out of any and all portions of any international extra territorial agreement where they , in their exclusive judgement , believe such an opt out is in the best welfare of their people The people , not the sovereigns , should be able to vote on whether they want to be bound by a global international treaty . Or alternatively each of these agreements should be put to the people before any ratification . Finally the influence of "for profit " companies needs to be eradicated in this process.
DJD Partners	I want the WHO to include the following language in the Final Treaty: (1) That National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. (2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. (3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. (4) That Measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers and non-government organizations. Your attention to include the above language is a benefit to all concerned. Sincerely, By: Duane-Joseph
None	I want there to be a global democracy. This tool of pandemic preparedness you are proposing seems like a good idea on paper, but i wonder which control mechanisms this entails in order not to be undermined by non democratic intrests of rich oligarchs.
Mansfield Enterprses Inc.	I want this health authority to stay within the US and not within an unaccountable international organization. Health policies need to be tailored to each regions.
American Association of University Women - AAUW	I want to be sure that you include the following in your mandate - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The right to refuse vaccines and mandates - especially when natural immunity applies. Thank you
Private	I want to bei freue. I did Not Chose the WHO, only my Gouvernement in Germany.
Not	I want to decide about my health, nobody else has rights to force me to resig from my freedom.
Xxx	I want to decide for myself about my health. I don't want others to be allowed to make decisions about my body. I don't want such a pandemic system to exist.
Vitality tribe	I want to government to be responsible for our health decisions! I do not want this treaty to be passed!! Respect democracy
Mrs.	I want to have a say regarding health decisions that need to be made regarding my health and life! I don't want the federal , world, or state government telling me what I have to do. My life, my choice! Freedom of choice! Thank you for your time!
Sonnenbauhaus	I want to have all rights to be a human beeing with an own opinion..i dont accept any pandemic contract or something what cut our human rights. All people are free....free to live how they want....
perfer not to disclose	I want to make use of my voice to explicitly say that requiring vaccination in any way shape or form that discrimates against people and restricts certain avenues of life should be strictly forbidden. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Please, for the love of god, people are going to actually rebel should any similar situation come about. Thanks for hearing my voice.
Home	I want t0 né free
personal	I want to object to the international pandemic health regulation treaty that WHO plans to implement with my country, Australia I object to WHO's bias towards unproven, unsafe and ineffective vaccines that have been recommended in Covid 19 pandemic The Covid 19 pandemic has shown WHO has not acted in the best interests of people, but rather acted in the best interest of the organisations that fund WHO, organisations that are intertwined with pharmaceutical companies that profit massively from WHO policy The actions and policy recommendations from WHO in the last 2 years have not been evidence based, and have been harmful to many people in Australia Lockdowns, vaccine mandates, travel restrictions and bans of safe early treatment have caused irreparable damage to individuals and businesses in Australia The health and economic costs of these policies will take generations to recover I ask you at the WHO to consider the best interests of individuals, and not the best interests of global corporations, when making policy decisions that effect us all WHO should strive to provide unbiased data, information and recommendations, and leave personal decisions to individuals within their own community. Thank you
EU citizen	I want to reiterate the WHO Constitution which states "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people", i.e. all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible. This includes when an emergency exists, because only then can response be adapted to the local circumstances in a way that are weighing in the local risks, demographics, individual health issues, existing pharmaceutical or non-pharmaceutical interventions, and know how of the local area or country. An over-reliance on a centrally managed pandemic response will, when they are based on inaccurate data and understanding of the situation, cause more harm to the people and economy than if each jurisdiction respond independently. That is not to say that recommendations or know-how still can be shared between countries, but as was seen during the Covid-19 pandemic, many interventions that was rolled out globally, was not based on solid data and caused more harm than good (e.g. lock-downs). A locally managed and adapted response would have been better, as the statistics now two years later show for Sweden.
Private individual	I want to see the following inclusions in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. HUMAN RIGHTS are INALIENABLE . Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are SUBSERVIENT to inalienable human rights. 3. The RIGHT TO TRY is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The RIGHT TO REFUSE treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are WRONG. 7. I demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable RIGHT to SPEAK THEIR MIND in PUBLIC. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held LIABLE FOR any and all DAMAGES caused by their products and services. 10. People MUST have the RIGHT to VOTE TO END STATES of EMERGENCY.
Sovereign woman	I want to see the following 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Body autonomy and freedom of choice to decline any medical intervention. Signed: Julia Watt
general public	I want to voice my opposition to this pandemic preparedness and response bill being set forward.
VNO-NCW	I want WHO to have no more power over the legislation of member countries. And that the WHO starts adhering to the following points /legislation: These should be included in the pandemic treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments should not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subordinate to the inalienable rights of man. 3. The right to trial is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medicine to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is inviolable and should ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccination status should not be used to limit people's inalienable right to travel, work, receive education, or access public spaces or businesses. 6. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand full transparency. All anonymized data from all clinical trials must be available to everyone immediately and in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All people have the inalienable right to speak out for their opinions in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and managers of health care products and/or procedures must be held accountable for all damages caused by their products and services. 10. 10. The people should have the right to vote on ending the state of emergency.
I am a private citizen.	I want you to immediately STOP ANY plans for ANY international instrument, or ANY treaty, pertaining to pandemic preparedness OR response.
Individual	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. I believe that no organization should have the ability to usurp the rights and freedoms of individuals. I do not endorse the intentions or actions of the WHO. Thank you.
home owner	I want you to stay out of our lives with your KILLING drugs. Tell Bill Gates and the rest of them, we're waiting!!!!!!!!
Private	I want you to take the following garanties in your new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours truly J. Fuchs Amsterdam The Netherlands
self	I want: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please revise your pandemic treaty.
N/A	I was born in Australia🇦🇺 with rights, freedom & dignity to make my own health choices. I 100% do NOT agree with any individual, corporation, government, group etc making choice and mandating health decisions that I or my family do not wish to participate in. LIKE AN EXPERIMENTAL mRNA Covax-19 treatment that the long term side effects are not known. Just look at the 9 pages of adverse effects that Pfizer tried to hide for 75 years. We say no to mandating dictator rules! We are capable intellectually to make our own decisions in life EACH INDIVIDUAL SHOULD MAKE THEIR OWN DECISIONS REGARDING THEIR HEALTH CHOICE'S - RIGHTS THERE SHOULD NOT BE JUST 1 GOVERNING BODY MAKING ALL THE DECISIONS (WHO) FREEDOM OF SPEECH
Individual	I was from the beginning appalled at the way "Project Fear" was a daily occurence on the media. This of itself did tremendous harm to vulnerable individuals especially those living alone. The anxiety thus promoted, panicked and co-erced many into accepting an experimental vaccine, which has proved harmful to many. The disingenuous and misleading message led many to believe that the vaccine was the only way to protect themselves and the populace at large. This was not the case as drugs and measures to protect the most vulnerable could have been administered at low cost, protecting the most vulnerable whilst allowing the rest of the population to get on with their lives, the " living with covid" approach now being promoted. Propaganda designed to vilify the unvaccinated and children was unfounded, divisive and dangerous. Statistics were manipulated to support this misconception at every opportunity whilst further propaganda and talk of the government's "world beating vaccine" further misled the population. Most dangerous of all is the government's reluctance to admit the damage done to individuals, including real injury and death. Pfizer's own information mentioned the possibility of triggering or proliferating cancers in those with manageable conditions or in remission - why was this ignored? Also why was the current , entirely preventable backlog in the NHS seen as inevitable. Delay in treatment for many has cost lives. The introduction of vaccine passports and the divisiveness it promoted was and is unforgiveable . This is even more disgraceful given that the govt so called self appointed experts have since admitted that the vaccine doesn't prevent people from being infected and/or passing on the virus.
self	I was greatly helped by taking Ivermectin. Ivermection should be allowed to treat Covid. It is a useful drug to treat Covid. It should not be banned
Stack Cup Ltd	I was not happy with the way Covid was handled. In particular the confusion over masks, whether vaccines worked (95% effective was a relative figure and the real effect was 1.2%) and the power of Pharma and Tech to censor different opinions. WHO seemed to follow CDC and it was a one sided program of coercion and essentially forced vaccination. I would not support any WHO GLOBAL PROGRAM if no debate is had
Myself	I was shocked and appalled to find the world health organisation was using the global pandemic and the deaths of thousands of people to make a power grab to control. The world health organisation has found itself severely lacking through its failures during the pandemic. The WHO gave very misguided advice and defended China from official scrutiny. The WHO has no right to request powers to overrule national governments and duly elected politicians. This is a blatant power grab and with the WHOs track record during the pandemic completely wrong. I oppose this proposition in the strongest sense.
NA	I whole heartedly object to a global pandemic treaty. Any action that takes medical decisions out of the hands of Americans is reckless, dangerous and totalitarian. It also flies in the face of our constitution. It's critical that each American makes their own health decisions based on accurate informed consent. The WHO does not have the power over health decisions and it must stay that way.
Citizen	I whole heartedly object to the proposed international instrument on pandemics. We did not vote for you or your representatives!
Independent journal	I whole heartily object to the proposed hearing and vote regarding a global pandemic response policy run by WHO. It violates sovereignty and human rights. As a citizen of a democratic republic I have inalienable rights, from God that cannot be taken from me. Our government is run by and imposes policy that our citizens have both vote and veto rights to enact or end. This will not stand in America or any free nation. This ramifications of this policy threaten civil unrest and revolt around the world if enacted. To imply policy should be the same regardless of demographics, geography or societal needs is dangerous. You, as a body of people, have NO RIGHT to declare yourselves the arbiters of policy and civilian liberties. There is no global catastrophe that justifies taking my civil liberties from me or anyone on the planet.
Personal	I wholeheartedly reject the submission because I believe: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Each country should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is appropriate for them. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profititeers.
Private citizen	I wholeheartedly disagree with the need for any treaty on this subject. This is a route to tyranny and unaccountability. Infectious disease control is not a 'one size fits all' issue. STOP THIS TREATY.

Organization	Written submission
Personal	I whole-heartedly disagree with the notion of an unelected body such as the WHO setting itself above nation states through the formation of a new instrument. Substantive elements to be considered in this regard: - If WHO is to be set above nation states then its own leadership needs to be subject to democratic vote; - the WHO funding needs to be openly debated to ensure no vested interests from within its own ranks - any instrument put forth needs to consider: 1. Definition of a pandemic and when such powers would be used; - who owns this definition which was changed at the start of the Covid 'pandemic'? - can it be defined separately from the impact of the illness? With covid most of the adverse impacts of the 'pandemic' were due to the excessive actions taken by governments and not the illness itself which was admitted to be mild for most people. 2. Bodily autonomy and free will. We cannot allow for an unelected body to be able to limit the freedoms of free peoples 3. Nuremberg code must be upheld. There can never be an requirement for free people to submit to a medical treatment.
Personal	I wholeheartedly dispute this proposal on the grounds that National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This includes the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I will not accept any other way of life. Regards A
Parents	I wholeheartedly do not agree with any group making decisions for us in a pandemic, as we are well capable of deciding for ourselves what is the best practice, more people have been harmed by decisions made by others in the previous 2 years than was harmed by corona virus
AFE	I wholeheartedly do NOT support the creation or implementation of an international governing body to oversee pandemic response. The creation of such is a threat to our lives, livelihoods, sovereignty, collective well-being and inalienable rights. Natural law and our democratic constitution protect us from such global overreach. I believe that people should have a say in the decisions that impact our lives, and should not be wholly controlled by an agency that receives substantial funding from private interests hoping to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. In the recent pandemic alone, the WHO failed to protect people around the world, encouraging ineffective masks and quarantine/lockdowns, relying on faulty diagnostic tests to count cases and deaths, suppressing early effective treatments, and pushing dangerous vaccines and hospital protocols. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda or enforce bio-surveillance. To do either would be criminal. Thank you for your consideration.
AFE	I wholeheartedly do NOT support the creation or implementation of an international governing body to oversee pandemic response. The creation of such is a threat to our lives, livelihoods, sovereignty, collective well-being and inalienable rights. Natural law and our democratic constitution protect us from such global overreach. I believe that people should have a say in the decisions that impact our lives, and should not be wholly controlled by an agency that receives substantial funding from private interests hoping to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. In the recent pandemic alone, the WHO failed to protect people around the world, encouraging ineffective masks and quarantine/lockdowns, relying on faulty diagnostic tests to count cases and deaths, suppressing early effective treatments, and pushing dangerous vaccines and hospital protocols. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda or enforce bio-surveillance. To do either would be criminal. Thank you for your consideration. Brian McCarthy
Santa Barbara Triathlon	I wholeheartedly do NOT support the creation or implementation of an international governing body to oversee pandemic response. The creation of such is a threat to our lives, livelihoods, sovereignty, collective well-being and inalienable rights. Natural law and our democratic constitution protect us from such global overreach. I believe that people should have a say in the decisions that impact our lives, and should not be wholly controlled by an agency that receives substantial funding from private interests hoping to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. In the recent pandemic alone, the WHO failed to protect people around the world, encouraging ineffective masks and quarantine/lockdowns, relying on faulty diagnostic tests to count cases and deaths, suppressing early effective treatments, and pushing dangerous vaccines and hospital protocols. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda or enforce bio-surveillance. To do either would be criminal. Thank you for your consideration.
Dadiana	I wholeheartedly do NOT support the creation or implementation of an international governing body to oversee pandemic response. The creation of such is a threat to our lives, livelihoods, sovereignty, collective well-being and inalienable rights. Natural law and our democratic constitution protect us from such global overreach. I believe that people should have a say in the decisions that impact our lives, and should not be wholly controlled by an agency that receives substantial funding from private interests hoping to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. In the recent pandemic alone, the WHO failed to protect people around the world, encouraging ineffective masks and quarantine/lockdowns, relying on faulty diagnostic tests to count cases and deaths, suppressing early effective treatments, and pushing dangerous vaccines and hospital protocols. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda or enforce bio-surveillance. To do either would be criminal. Thank you for your consideration.
Self-Employed	I wholeheartedly do NOT support the creation or implementation of an international governing body to oversee pandemic response. The creation of such is a threat to our lives, livelihoods, sovereignty, collective well-being and inalienable rights. Natural law and our democratic constitution protect us from such global overreach. I believe that people should have a say in the decisions that impact our lives, and should not be wholly controlled by an agency that receives substantial funding from private interests hoping to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. In the recent pandemic alone, the WHO failed to protect people around the world, encouraging ineffective masks and quarantine/lockdowns, relying on faulty diagnostic tests to count cases and deaths, suppressing early effective treatments, and pushing dangerous vaccines and hospital protocols. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda or enforce bio-surveillance. To do either would be criminal. Thank you for your consideration.
Self	I wholeheartedly do NOT support the creation or implementation of an international governing body to oversee pandemic response. The creation of such is a threat to our lives, livelihoods, sovereignty, collective well-being and inalienable rights. Natural law and our democratic constitution protect us from such global overreach. I believe that people should have a say in the decisions that impact our lives, and should not be wholly controlled by an agency that receives substantial funding from private interests hoping to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. In the recent pandemic alone, the WHO failed to protect people around the world, encouraging ineffective masks and quarantine/lockdowns, relying on faulty diagnostic tests to count cases and deaths, suppressing early effective treatments, and pushing dangerous vaccines and hospital protocols. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda or enforce bio-surveillance. To do either would be criminal. Thank you for your consideration.
Self	I wholeheartedly do NOT support the creation or implementation of an international governing body to oversee pandemic response. The creation of such is a threat to our lives, livelihoods, sovereignty, collective well-being and inalienable rights. Natural law and our democratic constitution protect us from such global overreach. I believe that people should have a say in the decisions that impact our lives, and should not be wholly controlled by an agency that receives substantial funding from private interests hoping to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. In the recent pandemic alone, the WHO failed to protect people around the world, encouraging ineffective masks and quarantine/lockdowns, relying on faulty diagnostic tests to count cases and deaths, suppressing early effective treatments, and pushing dangerous vaccines and hospital protocols. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda or enforce bio-surveillance. To do either would be criminal. Thank you for your consideration. Kim
Self	I wholeheartedly do NOT support the creation or implementation of an international governing body to oversee pandemic response. The creation of such is a threat to our lives, livelihoods, sovereignty, collective well-being and inalienable rights. Natural law and our democratic constitution protect us from such global overreach. I believe that people should have a say in the decisions that impact our lives, and should not be wholly controlled by an agency that receives substantial funding from private interests hoping to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. In the recent pandemic alone, the WHO failed to protect people around the world, encouraging ineffective masks and quarantine/lockdowns, relying on faulty diagnostic tests to count cases and deaths, suppressing early effective treatments, and pushing dangerous vaccines and hospital protocols. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda or enforce bio-surveillance. To do either would be criminal. Thank you for your consideration.
Personal I object	I wholeheartedly object to the proposed legislation by the WHO. Because it goes against our human rights. I consider myself, as a responsible senior citizen, who have taken every precaution to stay in the best of health. Each individual has their own health challenges including allergies, diabetes, heart conditions, hereditary diseases coupled with the aging process. For any one of those conditions i have sought professional help and followed the medical advice. I have a very sensitive system and if i had to take the vaccine, it would undoubtedly cause my death.
Private Individual	I wholeheartedly object to this treaty, on many levels I feel there are areas of concern. Firstly, it's rushed and with out proper public consultation. We are no longer in a pandemic, the numbers show us that so why skip over this important consultation process? Secondly, none of the measures to be used such as mass vaccination and lockdowns have been shown to improve outcomes. In fact evidence against lockdowns is now being reported and they are not beneficial, in fact they are harmful to the fabric of our society, to mental health and particular to the growth and development of children. Thirdly, countries and people have the right to choose for themselves, no directives can take into account the many variables seen between areas of the world and the biochemical individuality of humans. So therefore, we should be allowed to decide at a local or country level. The lockstep of legislation is worrying and feels authoritarian. Please can people be given the freedom to choose what they feel is right for them.
Citizen	I wholeheartedly reject these proposals as anti freedom and anti humanity. This is not what I want for the future of my children and I believe there is only malice at the heart of these proposals. There is no mandate from global humanity to support these changes and the power being proposed to be given to the Who which has failed abysmally during this past two year is beyond all proportion and is dictatorial in nature. Crackly this is a power grab by an unelected body and should not be allowed to take place.
Individual	I wholeheartedly reject this proposal. It is anti-democratic, and legally suspect. For any democratically elected national government to think themselves able to enter into a treaty with an external, global organisation, without recourse to a ballot is appalling. Public health policy for the UK should only be set by an elected government, one which can be removed by the electorate if so chosen. Policy should NOT be set by unelected appointees, who are immune from removal should they overeach in their policies. It is scandalous that this is even being considered without a referendum.
Private citizen	I wholeheartedly support the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I wholly deplore the WHO attempting to rob countries if their Sovereignty and Independence. It is an unelected body which is assuming powers and control to dictate. Authoritarian in essence. Further, Countries and peoples cannot be fully nor in part individually respected much less understood. The proposed action of the WHO is wholly abhorrent. I will not respect nor accept their voice. It has been unwise and inconsistent. The WHO and it's elite view people as the rotting masses. We don't need them. I will not obey this questionable and dictatorial organisation
British Voter	I will coordinate and support all moves to lead British voters to autonomy, self-determination, and the personal, individual protections provided by the provisions of the Nuremburg Protocol 1948. The WHO will never be permitted to dictate or impose any policies which impinge on personal civil liberties in the United Kingdom, simply because we, the British people, will not permit it. Your organisation is risking public insurrection at a level never before seen, and will face severe consequences, should there be any further attempt to force restrictions on the right to liberty, freedom of association and the freedom to conduct business and employment.
Privat	I Will fight for fredom.
Self	I will keep this short and sweet. There should be no mandatory vaccination, no vaccine passports, human beings have a fundamental right to choose what medical treatments to accept or reject for their own personal body. There should be no restrictions of movement or denial of services based on one's own medical decisions. The vaccine is not effective, not safe and long term effects are unknown. It is not any organization nor governments right to implement or enforce injections, treatments, medical decisions on another human being. End of story. Thank you for respecting human rights.
Self	I will make it short and sweet. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	I will make this very simple the main points are absolute: Natural law of the peoples country must be respected and never judged or ever overruled by anyone. Civil rights of the people of their country must be upheld and maintained along with autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. Freedom of choice to vote on policies that effect the peoples country and their citizens on what is right for their countries people.
Private	I will never accept giving up rights for priviliges. So no restrictions or vaccine passports, please! The vaccine passort represents a serious violation of the Nuremberg code. We should stick to the original plan protecting the vulnerable.
N/a	I will never believe any of you as you've all lied and collaborated to misinform (see Sweden and Florida). therefore please go forth and never come near my country.
Citizen of ROI	I will never in any way consent or under any circumstances accept any interference to my inalienable human right to bodily autonomy. In this regard I am totally opposed to the WHO or any other organizations or authorities that would assume or plan to make medical decisions on my behalf no matter what the circumstances. To assume the right to even plan to do so is an assault on my human rights and an assault on my rights as a citizen of a democratic Republic to which the WHO has no authority to dictate to.
America	I WILL NOT follow any treaty or mandate made by WHO and neither will anyone else in my family.
East Europe ltd	I will not agree to such unlimited and uncontrolled activities by WHO. Transferring power into the hands of those who direct them will result in Abuses. Any decision on future actions has to be voted, there is a right of additional questions and a right of veto.
None	I will not comply or support anything your organization does. All your organization has done is cause more harm, more death, and economic collapse. I hope your organization disbands or is tried for crimes against humanity for your involvement in the Covid 19 scheme and even worse the vaccine scheme, so I vote no on any treaty with your organization or treaty orchestrated by your organization.
Self	I will not have someone demanding restrictions any longer.
N/A	I wish for bodily autonomy and the right to choose not to take a vaccine without being excluded from society. The cure has become more dangerous than the disease. We do not need this action any more, especially on an international level, this seems to be more about control than anything else.
Private	I wish for inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Preferably no treaty at all.
None	I wish for the WHO to have no say whatsoever in legislating responses to future pandemics. They may be consulted as an advisory board but should have no power to enact or help write any laws.
Higher Ground, Inc.	I wish my preferences to be noted via the letter: As regards the Pandemic Treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process to be put in place to give the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures should be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, McKenzie Magee
Member of UK Public	I wish my voice and that of all of my family to be heard on the unnecessary proposed treaty of International Preparedness for Emergency. The past two years have shown that the people of the world were silenced and without voice. This was evident by the millions of gatherings of protest in many countries around the world. I believe strongly that we , the people of the world are the guiding light to our future health care and the management of any treaty must recognise the voice of the people in every nation and include Natural Law, include Civil Rights Pillars throughout the world as our birth right, as well as Transparency coupled with clear autonomy and the very important right to freedom of choice. I do not consent to the notion of a One Size Fits All approach. We, the people of the world must be recognised as the instrument to create a better future of health care by transparent inclusion to ensure the future of our Sovereignty. I have made my government minister aware of my response today. I trust my comments will be of value.
People	I wish that we remain governing our own countries during pandemics. I do not want the WHO to control how our country is run. The WHO is not elected by any country and should not have theses powers .
CConcerned citizen	I wish to add my concerns regarding the WHO's proposed Pandemic Treaty. Firstly, I do not believe that such a treaty should be passed whereby the decisions regarding health or otherwise should be taken out of the hands of qualified and democratically elected local and national representatives. This should remain within the juristiction of the each independant country and its citizens and not organisations outside of these countries. The ability to urgently opt out of such agreements without penalty or criticism should also be the right of any independant country and its citizens. Furthermore, there should be an open and transparent process whereby, voting should be fully accountable for all those involved and where those who want to reject any agreement by majority will not be subject to its application in any way. This is a respect for the essential democratic rights of the citizens of each country. Finally, I am concerned that any entities such as pharmaceutical companies or others who have a clear or hidden vested financial interest in such a treaty, should not be allowed any involvement or influence whatsoever. I believe this proposed treaty to be a threat on constitutional democracy of individual countreis and therefore should be rejected at the outset. The Constitution of each and every country should not be overridden by outside Constitutions for any reason whatsoever. Thank you.
Wexford Christian community church	I wish to add my concerns regarding the WHO's proposed Pandemic Treaty. Firstly, I do not believe that such a treaty should be passed whereby the decisions regarding health or otherwise should be taken out of the hands of qualified and democratically elected local and national representatives. This should remain within the juristiction of the each independent country and its citizens and not organisations outside of these countries. The ability to urgently opt out of such agreements without penalty or criticism should also be the right of any independant country and its citizens. Furthermore, there should be an open and transparent process whereby, voting should be fully accountable for all those involved and where those who want to reject any agreement by majority will not be subject to its application in any way. This is a respect for the essential democratic rights of the citizens of each country. Finally, I am concerned that any entities such as pharmaceutical companies or others who have a clear or hidden vested financial interest in such a treaty, should not be allowed any involvement or influence whatsoever. I believe this proposed treaty to be a threat on constitutional democracy of individual countries and therefore should be rejected at the outset. The Constitution of each and every country should not be overridden by outside Constitutions for any reason whatsoever. Thank you.
Human	I wish to ask for the termination of the proposed global pandemic agreement that is being put forward. This huge over reach is undemocratic and goes against the sovereignty of all people, this must not be allowed for it will bring forth the end of our most precious God given right... Freedom, freedom to choose and make choices for ourselves.
Public Membership	I wish to complain in the strongest possibly terms with regard to the proposed WHO Treaty regarding a takeover of all our national public health laws, acts, rules, guidelines etc in times of pandemic, 'pandemic' being subject to definition by the WHO and will no doubt in view of past experience change with regard to the situation that is being dealt with. I am the only person who WILL decide what goes into my body for and under whatever circumstances; anything else goes against my human rights; acceptance by Government of the WHO Treaty is a betrayal of those rights. Accepting a vaccine (or any other medical procedure) is an act of great trust, how do we, or will we, know there is no rogue element involved with a nefarious purpose and agenda? What safeguards would there be? I suspect that there would simply be a general acceptance that people do the right thing and that everyone works in the interest of mankind - however it is seemingly nowadays that the main concern is love of money and profit above any other consideration. So No, No, No, to the WHO Treaty. Our human rights are there precisely to avoid this sort of takeover of our bodily sovereignty, to save us from the actions of our politicians who come and go. We are not cattle. If this is signed through then an act of Treason will have been perpetrated on the British people by their Government, and hopefully the British people will take action accordingly.
N/A	I wish to complain that you began these hearings without adequate notice to the public. This is a move to world medical dictatorship, with no democratic mandate. It is totally unacceptable that you push forward this propaganda which does not have evidence behind it, only dogma.
Self	I wish to express concern over the proposed introduction of an international pandemic response. Not all humans are the same. Biologically we always differ depending on culture, ethnicity, economic background and human biology therefore we must be respected as such as not given blanket authoritarian response to an illness that some might not be affected by or cope in different ways. With that concern in mind I urge the WHO not to introduce any global pandemic response and to allow local and national responses to take precedence according to the needs of individuals and local communities. I also invite the WHO not to be influenced by large Pharmaceutical companies that will have profits in mind when decision making response to a pandemic takes place over the sovereign individual rights of each human being. Thank you.
M Lojczyc Pharmacy Ltd	I wish to express my COMPLETE and UTTER opposition to any treaty being signed by any country, but in particular CANADA, with the WHO in respect to pandemic preparedness or response. I do not believe that any country should give up the rights and freedoms of their citizens or the autonomy of a particular government or country to a third party agency which has proven to be not only ill-prepared, but also incapable of acting on best interests of anyone! Thank you for your consideration of my opinion
Orthodox Priest	I wish to go on record strongly opposing loss of personal, state, and national sovereignty due to a 'pandemic'. I continue to witness many workable solutions being denied doctors here in the US because a national and international push for an 'emergency' and then a vaccine mandate has been the highest objective. Life and liberty must not be annulled by any body not responsive to the local public.
self	I wish to include the following statement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Imprint	I wish to lodge an objection to these regulations in their entirety on the grounds that they are: 1. Unconstitutional and 2. Illogical They contravene basic human rights enshrined in the Bill of Rights such as freedom of expression, freedom of movement, freedom of association, the right to gather, the right to human dignity, bodily integrity, privacy, the right to assemble. The precedent set by passing these into law bode ill for our democracy. These proposed regulations are illogical because they attempt to control a disease which is endemic, for which there is widespread immunity, which is not overwhelming health institutions, for which there is a vaccine, and which has a statistically insignificant mortality rate. These proposed regulations are detrimental to the health of our nation, freedoms and economy. It is time to drop all COVID-19 related restrictions and return to normal life.
Regenesis Consulting	 I wish to object in the strongest possible terms to such extreme overreach as a Global Response to Pandemic management by W.H.O. Each country has its own unique population and healthcare environment. The needs of one population can not be adequately addressed by a uniform approach. This has already become obvious after 2 years observing the covid scenario. The effects of covid across ~200 countries bear little similarity to each other. It is unlikely that any global approach by W.H.O. would reflect the policies implemented in the most successful countries. Furthermore, W.H.O is not without conflict of interest and is not sufficiently transparent in its dealing with the science. W.H.O. is on record as having changed definitions of core principles of immunology, such as 'herd immunity' and 'vaccination'. When it was revealed that the PCR test was being run at cycle thresholds likely to produce excessive false positives, W.H.O did not respond as a science-based organisation would. It has ignored the independent scientific opinion of highly-credentialed immunologists and vaccinologists, instead holding fast to a narrative that defies legitimate scientific analysis. In short, because each country has learned much about local covid management over the last 2 years, it is in the best position to address the most effective (and ineffective) strategies within its own healthcare domain and its own population.
not applicable	I wish to object to a global approach to any future pandemics as this limits people's choice of medicines, herbs, supplements, foods that have health enhancing properties. Some of our local doctors here in Victoria and other states of Australia were de-registered after recommending off-patent medicines which have now been shown to have beneficial effects against Covid. I object to this sort of draconian measures to try to limit the choice of both the medical professional and their patient in seeking the most appropriate treatment regime for their individual needs. One size never fits all. Millions of lives have been lost due to inappropriate drugs being forced on patients by a policy decision from a bureaucrat who doesn't practice clinical medicine. Thank you for allowing us to submit our opinion.
Private citizen	I wish to object to any mandatory vaxs been pushed on people and aso didigal I'd, I am a living person not a QR code or machine
Canadians for FREEDOM	I wish to OBJECT to the WHO proposed Pandemic Agreement as it who illegally and UNDEMOCRATICALLY take away independent nations rights and freedoms. Democratic Nations and Free peoples VALUE THE RIGHTS and FREEDOMS that allow them the ability to GOVERN THEMSELVES through the DEMOCRATIC PROCESS in THEIR OWN NATION without UNDUE INFLUENCE of OUTSIDE AGENCIES! We have our own MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS and RESOURCES but are open to request direction IF NEEDED but not be FORCED to follow edicts from UNELECTED OUTSIDERS with perhaps CONFLICTING ALLEGIANCIES! Thus, we respectfully DECLINE YOUR AGENCIES ATTEMPT TO DENY US OUR DEMOCRATIC DUE PROCESS!
Private individual	I wish to place on record my objection to - and grave concern about - any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of all sovereign countries. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. An open and transparent process is essential, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Similarly, measures need to be adopted that will prevent influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen of South Africa	I wish to place on record my objection to the proposed WHO Pandemic Treaty. Some of my concerns, which I hope you will read and consider are: 1. Regulations should be tailor made for the country. South Africa is a Third World country. Regulations that are suitable for a First World country have no synergy with a Third World country. Any regulations you will impose will more than likely applicable to First World countries. The resulting devastation, as already evidence during the recent pandemic will lead to catastrophe in Third World Countries. Hence I say, a regulation may not be suitable nor attainable for or by all. 2. As a citizen, I have the right to elect my prefered representatives, and with that to agree or disagree with proposed laws the public representatives may impose. In other words, I can play a role by being an active citizen in the policies, laws that govern my country. I will be denied these rights if the proposed WHO Pandemic Treaty is implemented without input from the citizens of the world. 3. This too is contary to the South African Constitution which is hailed around the world as being the best constitution in the world. Any treaties a South African representative signs needs to be in synergy with our constitution and the rights of the citizens within. I do not believe the proposed WHO Pandemic Treaty passes muster in terms of the South African Constitution. 4. It is imperative that the WHO embark upon a well published public participation activity, where the citizens of the world can give their input into such a far reaching treaty as proposed. I request public participation is undertaken. Yours sincerely Mary-Ann Golding South Africa
Citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.
Citizen of the republic of Ireland	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negiotiated international on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (treaty) and Article 21 (regulation) of the World Health Organisation constitution adopted February 15th 1946, in the absence of the people's referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before August 1st 2022 is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement. A treaty or regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6(1) and 6(2) of the Irish constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution, the Irish people have the right to designate the rulers of the State, and in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and official delegates to the WHA have therefore NO LEGAL AUTHORITY or POWER to ratify a WHO pandemic treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a Peoples Referendum. Dr Thedros Adhanom, WHO Director General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a pandemic Agreement was "historic" in nature. Such a historic WHO pandemic treaty requires ratification from all soverign nations. In this, Easter week, Ireland is venerated and remembered for the Easter Rising in 1916 which occured in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and Offical Deligates from Ireland to remember the words of the 1916 Irish Proclamation declaring "the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies, and on this, their can be no compromise".
Myself	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness & response under (Article 19 (Treaty) or article 21 (regulations)of the World Health Organisations Constitution, adopted on the 15th February 1946 in the absence of a People's referendum.
Concerned citizen	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response on Artical 19 (Treaty) or Artical 21(regulation) of the World health organisation's constitution,adopted on 15th Feb 1946 in the absence of a people's referendum, I reject your proposal I reject your over reaching attempt to control every citizen, I will not be forced into any medical experiment now or in the future neither will my children or husband, I will not be discriminated against or have my civil liberties removed on the say so of a corrupt organisation.The WHO should not be included in any role regarding the health and well-being of the people of the world,everyone has the right to his or her own bodily autonomy and his or her own inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his or her own body and that of their children,without coercion,intimidation or any form of pressure, I propose that instead introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision making process of an agreement that would drastically affect our lives.Do not limit the decision making process only to unelected experts especially not those who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation such "experts' should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision making process
N/A	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.
none	'I wish to place on record my official Objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Irish Minister for Health and Irish Official delegates to the WHA have therefore NO legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. I thought that the INB would have known this 'legal' problem existed in the first place! Kind Regards, Peter O'Keeffe.
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Teresa Clyne
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control and, on this, there can be no compromise'
self	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution, the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control and, on this, there can be no compromise
Self	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Ireland	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.
Fairy council of Ireland	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Irish Citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Ireland	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Private Org	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Private individual	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Eire	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Nil	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Irish Citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.
Citizen of Ireland	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Private	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries.
Na	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Concerned public	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Private individual	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's referendum
Individual	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
none	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Irish citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
none	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Siptu	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
sovereign citizen of the Republic of Ireland	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Mandy O Hanlon
MNone	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise' Kind Regards William Rabbitt
Private	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Ireland	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries.
Irish Citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.

Organization	Written submission
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Acufive	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum
Private Citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Civilian	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
N/a	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries.
Employee	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Irish Citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Private	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'.
	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Human	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.
Private	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
World Health Organisation	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
World Health Organization	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Wipro	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Ireland	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Ireland	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Irish Citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise' Any Irish politician who agrees to delimit Ireland's National Sovereignty will be seen by future generations as traitors
Citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Self employed	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise
Concerned citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Please abandon this destruction of our national sovereignty and at least afford the people of Ireland a referendum if you are going to go head with this divisive, destructive and unconstitutional proposal! Thanking you.
Irish citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Citizen of Ireland	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Laywers for Justice Ireland	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Articles 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution, the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such a historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to the unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise Oksana
Lawyers for Justice	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Personal	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Private	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Person	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'

Organization	Written submission
Concerned Irish citizens	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum I trust that our concerns will be taken very seriously. Kindest Regards Celine & Alan Cole
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
NA	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
NA	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland /Europe without holding a People's Referendum
private	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Me	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. A Peoples referendum is Paramount. I do no consent to the stripping of my country's Sovereignty.
General public	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. I think this is a very worrying trend; that organisations attempt to take sovereignty from the people. Any change in such, should be debated and democratically voted on by the people. I have grave concerns for such and I am utterly opposed to such a treaty of regulation. It is immoral and unethical .
No organisation	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
——	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise' Anita walsh
The Ballagh Health Centre	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHO Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHO have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHO to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
BoCSI	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Concerned Citizen	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'.
Tcm clinic	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Personal	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise' Cara Mercier
Independent	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
None	'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum Unelected officials should never be given the ability to make these fundamental changes that effect all European nations.
Human rights	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.
N&m ireland	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
General public, Parent, Health care worker	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. A global problem may not be effectively addressed if a universal solution creates more harm than good!
	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
My family	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise. YOU WILL PAY THE PRICE, GOD WONT LET YOU
Private Citizen of Ireland	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise.
No organisation	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of people's referendum.
Irish Woman	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.
Irish citizen	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
None	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.
N/a	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Self	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Irish	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Regards Heather Warren
Not applicable	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'

Uruguay	[redacted]
	[redacted]
Uzbekistan	[redacted]
Vanuatu	[redacted]
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	[redacted]
Viet Nam	[redacted]
Yemen	[redacted]
Zambia	[redacted]
Zimbabwe	[redacted]
Cyprus	[redacted]
Czechia	[redacted]
Denmark	[redacted]
Estonia	[redacted]
Finland	[redacted]
France	[redacted]
Germany	[redacted]
Greece	[redacted]
Hungary	[redacted]
Iceland	[redacted]
Ireland	[redacted]
Italy	[redacted]
Latvia	[redacted]
Lithuania	[redacted]
Luxembourg	[redacted]
Malta	[redacted]
Netherlands	[redacted]
Norway	[redacted]
Poland	[redacted]
Portugal	[redacted]
Romania	[redacted]
Slovakia	[redacted]
Slovenia	[redacted]
Spain	[redacted]
Sweden	[redacted]
Switzerland	[redacted]
United Kingdom	[redacted]

Organization	Written submission
private citizen	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. Ireland's rising which occurred at Easter 1916 brought about Irish National Sovereignty. We remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise.
Private citizen of Ireland	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Irish Citizen	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise
Sovereign people of Ireland	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Home	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Na	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise
Private person	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Private Citizen	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. A vital substantive element to be included is a guarantee that under no circumstances would medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their will and to recognise and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to bodily autonomy and the right to make autonomous decisions regarding their own body.
Aureal Candles	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Eu citizen	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. Such serious and far reaching powers should be voted on by the people and not decided on by either elected or unelected officials. The WHO should not have the power to overrule a country's constitution or their democratically elected officials.
Myself	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
None	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise
Citizen of Ireland	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries.
Private individual	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise Regards, Eric Byrne.
Private Citizen	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise.
Independent	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise.
N/A	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise.
Independent	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise
Self	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Ohanlon herbs	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.
The sovereign people of the world	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries.
People of Ireland	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise
None	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise
Not a member of any organisation	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution adopted on 15th Feb 1946 in the absence of a Peoples Referendum.
None	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organizations Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum in Ireland. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
None	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21(Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.
N/A	I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instruments on pandemic preparedness and response on the article 19 (treaty) or article 21 (regulation) of the world health organisation constitution adopted on the 15th of February 1946 in the absence of the people's referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting scheduled to take place before the 1st of August is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement a treaty or regulation will have the potential effect of suspending article 6(1) and (2) of the Irish constitution under article 6(1) of the Irish constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rules of the state and a final appeal to decide a questions of national policy the Minister for health the official delegate to the WHA therefore no legal authority or power to ratify the WHO pandemic treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding the People's referendum
N/A	I wish to place on record to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Such an historically significant Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise Sincerely T Weir
Canada	I wish to proclaim that I do not believe this treaty should move forward. I do not support this treaty and do not want the Canadian government to sign it. I do not support a global government system or response. Each country must retain the right to respond independently to any pandemics or emergency situations. I do not support this treaty. None of the proposed elements should be included and the treaty should be abandoned.
Canadian Citizen	I wish to protest against the new treaty to have the WHO CONSTITUTION take precedence over a country's CONSTITUTION during any natural disasters or pandemics. The WHO are not elected by We the People. We must vote NO to the treaty.
None	I wish to provide the following feedback for the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness. 1. Our elected national, state and local governments must retain full autonomy with the right to make decisions on what is best for their own constituents. They should be able to take the WHO advice and choose to apply this as best fits the local conditions. 2. Our elected governments should have the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. 3. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There needs to be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	I wish to provide the following feedback for the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness. 1. Our elected national, state and local governments must retain full autonomy with the right to make decisions on what is best for their own constituents. They should be able to take the WHO advice and choose to apply this as best fits the local conditions. 2. Our elected governments should have the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. 3. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There needs to be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
123	I wish to put in writing my objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under article 19 (Treaty) on article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation constitutions adopted on 15th February 1946 in the absence of a Peoples Referendum. Such an historically significant WHO pandemic treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of sovereign countries.
Concerned Human	I wish to put on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument of pandemic preparedness and response under article 19 (Treaty) or article 21 (Regulations) of the WHO constitution adopted on the 15th February 1946 in the absence of a Peoples Referendum. Please see : Article published in the British Medical Journal : BMJ 2022;376:o702 http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.o702 Published: 16 March 2022 The illusion of evidence based medicine Evidence based medicine has been corrupted by corporate interests, failed regulation, and commercialisation of academia. All human beings have the inalienable rights to bodily autonomy and these rights must be safeguarded and protected.
Company One, Inc. (501 c3)	I wish to register our organization's opposition to the WHO assuming any regulatory or executive powers over individual sovereign nations. In matters of health individuals should be left free to make their own educated decisions and it is the role of government and organization to provide accurate data and make recommendations. Beyond that there should be no further authority or control. Thank you for your consideration of these thoughts. Jim Pappas Author/producer President of Company One, Inc.

Organization	Written submission
Self	I wish to request that the WHO does NOT have sweeping governance and or rules about future pandemics and health concerns. The WHO is welcome to give advice but to give full power over all nations is complete over reach and authoritarian. I oppose losing our national choice. Each country must have control of their own choices and policies.
Person	I wish to say that the right to make decisions regarding health of a people group must remain in the hands of the nation where they live and the people themselves. Their process regarding health needs to be transparent and the people need the ability to vote on it.
Pricate citizen	I wish to state that it is very important that each individual country has the right to implement their own policy in relation to a future pandemic. AI modelling for a one size fits all scenario has been shown to be ineffective and each country has to have the freedom to adjust things according to correct data in real time. Projections have been shown to be wildly out of range to what has been the reality of the situation. The ability to promptly alter course as the disease evolves is a very important criteria.
None	I wish to state very strongly that thre should be no global agreement on pandemic policy. All sovereign nations should have the autonomy to direct their own approaches to such an event, or indeed determine whether any situation should be classed as a "pandemic". There should be no organisations or individuals, particularly in the Pharmaceutical arena, that involve themselves in WHO policy as it can influence the outcomes and decisions made. All individuals should have the right to choose their own pathway concerning their health alongside national governments and on this basis I reject the WHo's intention to have a global agreement.
n/a	I wish to submit the following comments about the proposed pandemic treaty: - National and local government must always retain complete autonomy to decide what is best for any given population. Anything else is undemocratic and not in accordance with liberty, freedom of choice and the right to self determination. One size cannot fit all. - Local municipalities and nations must always be able to opt out of any portions of an agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. - Most people in the world are not aware of these proposals. And the time allowance to respond is hardly helpful in obtaining a fair and representative view of the general public to this proposal. It is questionable if this proposal is even welcomed or requested by the majority of peoples. With these facts in mind, any process must be open and transparent and accurately reflect the views of the country or municipalities and should be responded to accordingly. Individual autonomy should always be observed. Opting out must remain an option. - Unfortunately the covid story makes it clear that many parties and stakeholders will benefit from misfortune and that money has frequently been thrown away over the last two years. For example UK PPE fiasco. Those who stand to benefit financially from any measures undertaken should not have a part to play in decisions. They are interested parties and should be excluded. Above all, there must be freedom to consider every method of treatment, including generics and natural therapies. These should be assessed fairly and not rejected as being an unprofitable option. Please put an end to decisions taken by faceless global organisations and respect human dignity and freedom. Please abandon this treaty pr
None	I wish to submit the following: I do not think it is right that any one oraganisation should be allowed to dictate or mandate it's view on others. I am alarmed that any sovereign nation can asked to do something without the consent of their own people. The recent pandemic has shown us the importance of countries to carry out their own method of responding to health and well-being crisis. I feel that WHO or any other international body must mot try to impose a statutory duty to individual nation to abide by their recommendations. Each nation must be free to decide its own position. I strongly object the notion that a body like WHO knows best. The recent history tells us that were ineffective and lacked judgment. Above all they are not impartial.
Friends of Temescal Creek	I wish you to include the following measures and principles in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of national and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measrues that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Thank you for your consideration.
Globther	I wonder who exactly gave your organisation the legitimacy to decide on these far reaching laws for all of us? We will not comply not forget and not forgive. Two years of this madness has been more then enough. Sic Semper tyrannis.
Private Citizen	I worked in a hospital during SARS and during the N1N1 outbreak. Much time and people power was spent designing policies and procedures for further outbreaks. There should never be a "one size fits all" approach. Pandemic preparedness should always include the following: 1. Allow the National/state/local leadership the ability to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, given the local circumstances. 2. Nations and local municipalities should retain the right to opt out of any/all portions of the agreement as they deem appropriate without consequence. 3. The process needs to be open and transparent with respect to all measures. 4. Measures should never be permitted to be influenced by any pharmaceutical company or other global health group who stands to profit from the measures imposed. This includes governments with vested interests in the response.
Private	I would request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
Self	I would advise against a worldwide pandemic response or treaty. It is a bad idea that won't work. Health issues are not a one-size-fits-all. Different parts of the world or countries or cities are affected in different ways, and are best able to handle their own local issues. They should be able to govern themselves without interference from an International organization.
Private Citizen	I would appreciate it if you would let every country make their own decisions for what is best for their own citizens. So that we keep this democratic.
Board Member to North East Valley Health Corporation (NEVCH)	I would appreciate that you do not organize this treaty. Every region, country is different and everyone can decide what is best for them. Your suggestions are fine however it seems to be that as an organizational body you and others are severely compromised by pharmaceutical companies and not independent therefore I do not trust your to make global decisions for the globe. And you also, did not follow your previous pandemic protocols instead opted for damaging lockdowns that did not make a huge difference. Nope. Sorry. Stay in your lane as merely giving consul to nations and not decision or power making.
Self	I would be concerned that an authority could emerge that would limit the ability of a participating country to decide independently their response to future pandemics. I believe all participating countries should be free to decide their level of participation, and also have the right to object and the right to opt out. I think there should also be specific language to protect private and public organizations and individuals who do not wish to comply with any guidelines, advice, directives, and mandates. I believe there needs to be protections against any and all potential 'coercions', such as: threats of loss of licenses to practice their trade; restrictions on stating or publishing opinions; and protections against financial risk (such as the freezing of assets). I believe All people, parties, and entities should have full unrestricted access to all collected data (provided in a reasonable period of time) with the freedom to perform their own analysis and to be able to present and publish such analysis. Lastly, any parties, entities, or individuals that have a financial stake that might allow them to profit from any guidance or mandate, be expressly forbidden to have any vote, say, or ability to participate, in any decisions about such guidance or mandate. Thank you for this opportunity to submit my opinions. Regards; Thomas M Freeland
N/A	I would be opposed to any global rather than localized governance of pandemic responses. Without the autonomy to respond to conditions on the ground that vary uniquely from country to country, any one-size-fits-all approach is unlikely to work across the globe. The past two years has amply demonstrated the disaster of cookie-cutter pandemic responses. There are now multiple academic studies concluding that lockdowns and other mandates have caused far more harm than good, particularly for a virus that, according to the best available science, has proven to have an Infection Fatality Rate of a mere 0.1–0.24%. There is always the danger of overreacting, too quickly declaring emergency powers, and in the process causing great harm. Those harms have included: a sharp increase in suicides; a projected increase in deaths due to postponed medical tests and surgeries; "deaths of despair" in seniors' homes from failure to thrive due to isolation from family members; etc. This doesn't even begin to touch the corruption evident in the too-close relationship of governments and Big Pharma, allowing hastily concocted vaccines onto the market without adequate scientific testing or controls. (See the latest editorial in the British Medical Journal.) In this context, a universal pandemic plan also fails to take into account the hundreds of thousands of injuries and deaths from such hastily mandated vaccines. Worst of all, it represents the thin edge of the wedge for eliminating national sovereignty.
Sks vehicle services	I would be totally against any form of mandatory vaccination laws and forced administration during hospital admission/treatments or operations
self	I would be very wary of one organisation controlling the health of everyone on the planet. There is no medicine that can be given to everybody regardless of individual health history. There has been nothing in main stream media about this treaty. Why not? This is a big deal. What else will they do that the mainstreem media won't be telling us about. We need to have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There is nothing open, transparent or honest about the treaty..
Member of the public	I would expect any proposed treaty to hold scared the inalienable rights of human beings especially the right to bodily integrity. To respect state sovereignty and governance. To hold accountable government bodes and officials for harm that any decisions made may have on the general public in each member state. To hold accountable any pharmaceutical company or corporation for any harm committed against members of the public regardless of emergency laws.
None, Citizen of United States	I would hope the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response would include autonomy for national and local leaders to make decisions regarding what they believe is right for their constituents. It is important that any international plan or agreement have transparency in its activities, be democratically lead (allowing areas of the world to opt in/out without coercion), and ensure pharmaceutical industry interests are not represented in the governance of the agreements objectives. Any international agreement tendered by the WHO should narrowly support local and international health in a manner that is not disruptive of individual rights to choose actions or treatments. It should uphold and protect democratically appointed leaders or democratically attained decisions at the local level -without prejudice.
Citizen	I would implore the inclusion of the following items: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	I would include the following provisions: The national and local leadership of all countries should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what they feel is is best for their own people. The WHO best serves by simply sharing information. All nations and local municipalities must be completely free to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The WHO should take steps to assure that it does not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	I would insist that any new treaty or agreement retain full autonomy for national and local leadership, based on what's best for their own locality and people. We should retain the ability of countries and states/local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions as they see fit, and without consequence. Open, transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Strict measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom	I would just like to say that we don't want this we don't need this and no organisation which is not democratically elected and also funded by those with agendas should have the right to enforce mandates and restrictions on the population worldwide. We are free people and our governments can make these decisions during such events and we can decide through elections if right or wrong. This cannot go ahead for the good of mankind and I hope the majority will be listened too, our bodies are not yours and our rights and freedoms need to be protected.
self	I would like to suggest the following language be added to the new international instrument on public pandemic preparedness. "That nothing in this document shall supersede an individual state's sovereignty to determine its own course of action and to refuse any or all assistance, intervention or interference by the World Health Organization or any other state. Notwithstanding any language to the contrary in this document each nation retains its sovereign powers and identity to handle and manage any crisis or to implement preventative measures within its borders in the way they see fit for their country." Thank you Lori Schreier USA
Self	I would like a group of people from across the world who have no ties to big pharma to access the situation. People from a wide range of professions, not just allopathic positions. Non of these members should have any ties or own any stocks in pharma. Under no circumstances should this group of people ever mandate anything worldwide. America specifically is based on freedom and having the government mandate anything goes completely against our values and why America was created. To enable any person or persons to mandate things for all Americans is unconstitutional and goes against America's values. This group should be able to make recommendations but never laws.
No company	I would like a guarantee that no person will ever be forced to have an injection. (Within the definition of 'force' I would include significant removal of a person's freedom and/or prevention of access to their assets - whether monetary or property.)
General public	I would like an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
United States citizen	I would like any and all organizations (global or otherwise) to legally recognize individual and State sovereignty and respect for basic human rights and bodily autonomy. Also, all governmental and non-governmental bodies should be held legally liable for all public health injuries inflicted on all populations as a result of policies enacted by these bodies. Any and every nation's government should be able to opt in or out of policies proposed by unelected bodies such as the WHO. These rights should also be extended to all people at an individual level. Thank you, Keith Aderholdt
Citizen of the UK and Germany	I would like any international agreement to include the following: Full liability for all pharmaceutical companies and governments developing vaccines. Health passports / IDs should be on a voluntary basis only, and those who do not wish to possess them should suffer absolutely no discrimination based on their health decisions.. Vaccine mandates should never be considered. State and individual sovereignty should always be respected. The Nuremberg Code should take precedence over any agreement.
Citizen of the united kingdom	I would like decisions about public health to remain in the hands of our elected government and not the World Health Organisation. Every country has their own set of circumstances, all unique and important to the sovereignty of their people. Our sovereignty is important to us, the people of the United Kingdom, and we will only abide by the laws and recommendations of our elected government, as long as they are honest, just and fair.
None	I would like for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I would like to see the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I would like to see an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And it's vital that measures are taken to curtail any and all influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Robert Kennedy	I would like for WHO to stay out of my beloved nation —-USA and let local authorities handle issues as they come in local order. Thank you, Diana
Member of British public	I would like included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Robust measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	I would like it if the WHO refrained from trying to make pandemic laws, agreements or treaties involving all countries. I think the public would appreciate you staying out if their lives and letting us live in peace. Do not infringe on people's freedom of choice regarding their own bodies.
Canadian Citizen	I would like it known that I am against the WHO forming a Global Pandemic Treaty. I do not want an unelected group deciding what the entire world needs to do to keep itself healthy. Each country needs to make its own decisions. As well, I, personally, would like to have the right to decide to do in regards to maintaining my own health. Finally, I do not want pharmaceutical companies to be able to infiltrate the WHO and manipulate matters in order to make large profits. Please leave health issues in the hands of individual countries and those individuals who reside there.
private person	I would like that also the conclusions of doctors who do not comply with the narrative should be concidered in decision making process, and whose speeches are ofted censored by factchecker companies. They are experts and they too need to be asked !! Also, every country should decide for itself about future measures, that's the point of democracy.
none	I would like the following aspects to be taken into account with your plan: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public Citizen	I would like the following elements be addressed: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	I would like the following included in the discussion: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I would like the following included in this movement: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	I would like the following points considered: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	I would like the following points to be addressed: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Aku Huia Kaimanawa	I would like the following points to be considered: 1. Reserve the peoples right to make their own decisions, including the right to seek alternative medicines and treatment. 2. People, nations, and local councils should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people don't want it. 4. There needs to be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Mandating medicines is unethical and should not be allowed.
Public citizen United Kingdom	I would like the following to be in any new instrument for pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO should remain as an advisory group. The sovereign elected governments should retain their authority to decide based on the will of their citizens the right to make their own choice on what is deemed best for the sovereign state. The WHO must have full openness and transparency and should not accept funding or influence from any entity with links to PHARMACEUTICAL organisations or companies. The WHO must respect bodily autonomy for all citizens in any recommendations made
citizen of Canada, teacher	I would like the following to be in any new treaty- 1-National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2-The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3-An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4-Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Michael Oddy
Concerned Canadian Citizen	I would like the following to be part of this treaty if developed, and I am not convinced that the world needs such a treaty: -National and local leadership need retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This include the protection of individual rights to informed consent for citizens to be able to decline medical treatment without consequence. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And even for countries that want this treaty, for the people in these countries to maintain their rights to informed consent and the ability for citizens to decline medical treatment without consequence. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you,
None	I would like the inclusion sovereignty respect for human rights legal liability for government officials and or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years
Education	I would like the Treaty to address the following: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or global other health profiteers.
Retired English, French, Italian language teacher	I would like the Treaty to include the following: - National and local leadership should be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without negative consequences. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of United Kingdom	I would like the UN and WHO to listen to their public. We do not want to be controlled by a World Wide Health Service/Force. During the Covid era, what has been proved is that no one body has the answer to World Wide problems. Each region on the planet needs to deal with their own Citizens as they know these people. They know how much trust there may or may not be towards Goverments. Mandates have really being the Achilles Heal of the response and also the Lockdowns did nothing more than catagate a huge part of the worlds poorest. These 'Health Issues' are a personal choice and not to be Madated and Forced upon populations. I do hope you can resist Big Pharma and their huge amounts of resources. If Ivermectin has been given a fair chance, the entire Lockdown and the MRNA Gene Therapies would have never been taken up. Many voices in the Pharma Industry were very happy they finally got some oppotunity to get rid of their MRNA therapies. Long term safety data has not been resouced properly. Neither were the trials done to a high standard. Please do not go ahead with removing our unique health system.
Self	I would like the US to have its own plan of pandemic preparedness without being dependent on decisions and oversight from WHO. The USA is perfectly capable to make decisions on pandemic measures and preparedness, as well as to create a viable plan of action for our country. The US should also take effort in preventing any future large scale pandemics on its territories.
Student	I would like the WHO to adopt the framework of healthcare as an optional service i.e. everyone must have a legal right to receive free, quality health care IF THEY WISH. Pupils of this world are sovereign human being with a soul and they have every right to accept or reject any form of service/anything which they don't deem safe for their body after doing full research as per independent individual level and also which infringes upon their basic human rights. I would humbly request WHO to take into account the fact that any individual shall not be forced upon under any circumstances to receive any medications/anything which inflicts upon the personal choice vis-a-vis health of the body of the person.
British citizen	I would like the WHO to be disbanded. It is an unelected and unaccountable organisation rife with corruption and malign influence from organisations like the WEF and the pharmaceutical industry which have anything but the health of people and countries in mind. I wouldn't give you the power to run a village fete let alone the powers to override my country's own laws and authorities. We are watching you.
None	I would like the WHO to let each individual country decide their response to any pandemic in the future.
Citizen of Australia	I would like these additions to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Apeirons	I would like this treaty to be stopped, due to the racism it contains. It reaks of globalization and human control. Just stop for once and let people live peacefully.

Organization	Written submission
myself	which says: The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WCH believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio surveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their
Edition Neuman	I would like to ask of the WHO to make sure that in future pandemics keeping the transparency of information and enabling free and open debate over the data would be its first duty to the people of the world. Further more, allowing each severity to opt in or out is of out most importance but it should also be decided by the vote of the public and not a current government. Furthermore, all decisions of the WHO should be presented and explained with up to date data that was also allowed to be reviewed and judged to all the world scientist. Including these point, I find, will help in creating a trust between the WHO and the citizens of the world while making sure the best health decisions are being taken according to the wishes of the specific country with specific needs.
Citizen of Canada	I would like to comment on the proposed WHO Treaty for Pandemic Preparedness. Firstly, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy. Nations and municipalities must be able to opt out. There must be an open and transparent voting process to allow opting out if the majority of people do not want to be a part of it. This is a very important matter and all citizens of the world deserve a vote. It must be ensured that the process is not influenced by pharmaceutical companies and other global profiteers, or by wealthy ideologies like Mr. Bill Gates. In Canada, citizens have not been consulted on this by our federal government. There should be a referendum on such an important matter. We haven't even had a debate about this. Presently, our government is not entitled to make a decision on our behalf.
Mankind	I would like to completely object to your organisation being involved in anything to do with Scotland's health You define an experimental potion under an emergency authorisation use as a vaccine coercing the people into believing they are being cured when this is a blatant lie God's immune system was created to deter disease as such scientific proof suggests we don't need inoculation against anything infact contrary to all once you have convid19 you can't actually get it again So your lieing beaurcrats with no medical qualifications can stick there redefined pandemic where the sun don't shine Be ashamed May God forgive you as you know not what you do
Career Transitions	I would like to declare that I have no conflicts of interest in any way - I am a self-employed executive coach, not involved or associated in any Pharmaceutical/bio-teach company, government or company. I am just a concerned citizen eager to contribute to any meaningful dialogues concerning health issues, including the current Covid-19 pandemic. My first observation is that WHO must be free of any conflicts of interest, such as being funded by any organization or person(s) to the extent that their policies and decisions are influenced by such organisations or person(s). If indeed WHO is funded by any organization or person, especially those in the pharmaceutical or related industries, then the amount received must be clearly declared to the public through all large or influential media companies, at the time of acceptance. Perhaps individual contributions or donations that are exceedingly small, say less than US$1,000 per organization or person, can be aggregated under "Others". Secondly, any person or organization linked to the WHO or its related bodies which are involved in decisions issued to government or the public at large should similarly declare any conflicts of interest. Academics, staff or contractors linked to the WHO should likewise declare any such conflicts. This is ensure that the WHO or its linked organizations are not compromised by any academic or clinical research which is mainly funded by any person or organization linked to such interested parties. Finally, there must be consistency, and a review must be made of past policies or decisions in the past 3 years that do not meet this standard. There must be transparency and humility if mistakes have been made, and corrected.
---	I would like to emphasize the importance of keeping decision-making at the national level, rather than giving it to an international organization such as WHO, as decisions made as close as possible to home are less disruptive to local conditions and impact the country less severely. Decisions made at the international level for our nation, without the necessary local input will be deleterious to our health and well-being, especially when an emergency exists. Let's keep the decisions based on informed opinion and active co-operation at the local level! Thank you for the invitation to submit my input. Valerie
Individual	I would like to ensure that each nation and locality have final decision in which actions and interventions are taken for their peoples. Any nation can opt out with no penalty. The process must be conducted openly with general public members not in employment of the WHO or other health agencies including pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. Children and young people's advocates, front line nurses and doctors, social services, educators and police must be represented and included in all decision making processes. If the people of any nation vote and a majority do not want the WHO plans then they must not go against a nation members democratic process. Each individual nation has its strengths and limitations when dealing with any health crisis. Decisions should be made locally with this local knowledge by those living in that nation.
Citizen	I would like to express my concern on this. I believe each individual has the right to their own bodily autonomy. I don't feel it's the who's decision to make.
United States Citizen/ Human	I would like to express my opinion that we do not need anything GLOBEALLY , we as Americans can handle our own problems with our own elected officials. We do not need people who are not accountable to us,WE THE PEOPLE to tell us how to handle any problems. Health issues should be handled locally as needed and not on a global scale, PERIOD. We say how this was handled with Covid and it did not work. As a concerned person I oppose any global coalition. American soveity is at risk.
Family	I would like to express my opinion. The nations and states should have a right to determine their own health policies in the event of a pandemic. The individuals should have a right to decide what is best for a family in terms their own health. Anything else is undemocratic and unconstitutional. Thank you.
None--individual response	I would like to express my very strong opinion in opposition to "The World Together" treaty. I firmly believe that each nation should have the right to decide for itself the health policies it determines are best suited to its people. Circumstances and cultures are varied throughout the world and each should have the right to choose for themselves what is best for their people. EMPHATICALLY!
N/A	I would like to implore the WHO to take into consideration these matters in this global agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I would like to include a provision allowing individual countries to allow individual countries to have final and absolute control over any advice given by WHO regarding suggested response sysyems to combat a declared pandemic of global concern,and that the advice is fully transparent and optional for all signatories.
My self	I would like to include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and digitalt privacy, complete legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies with regard to vaccines. Complete liability and proper support to small businesses when lock downs for governmental bodies and/or WHO. Guarantees of science based decision making where all scientific voices are heard and decisions are proven to be free of industry interest and instead in the best interest of the public.
Personal	I would like to include the following regarding important considerations to be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks, Andrew
Individual rights for all	I would like to inform the WHO that I am against any global pandemic treaty that overrides our rights and Constitution.
Concerned mother	I would like to inform you that 99% of viruses are non deadly, as with your covid 19 proved that here in Ireland there were more deaths from the flu in the elderly in 2018/2019.. and locking up entire countries, separating families, one visit to the shops per day, mask wearing, missed doctors appointments in fact increased the deaths amoung all ages, also suicides were off the charts.. so no doubt your so called pandemic did more damage than the covid 19.. so I totally do not agree with the WHO calling pandemics when it suits them and when in fact there is no need as nature can take care of viruses, so I am saying a big NO to the WHO.... and may God deal with you, let the way he sees fit...
Republican	I would like to know the truth about the two vaccine injections I received.
Citizen of the United States	I would like to know what specific measures will be instituted in order to prevent the vaccine and drug manufacturers from exerting any influence onto this new instrument of pandemic response. Thank you.
N/A	I would like to make it clear that I maintain and request that... 1. National Leadership, local leadership, and individuals retain full autonomy with the overriding authority remaining with the individual, reserving the right to make decisions based on what they decide is best for themselves. The right of individuals to decide what is the best treatement, if any, for themselves. Regardless of National or International opinion, advice, mandate or law. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where either a majority does not want it, or more importantly, an individual does not want it. Retaining the right of individuals (regardless of Nation or WHO membership) to opt out if they see fit to do so, without penalty or consequence, punitive or otherwise. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all entities including, political parties, international or national entities, pharmaceutical companies, direct or indirect interests, and other global health businesses, profiteers, and organisations. Kind Regards Allen Bossom
Individual	I would like to make the following points ..National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	I would like to make the following points which in my view mean this treaty should NOT be adopted because these points cannot be assured under such a treaty: . 1. Each nation should retain full autonomy over any decisions regarding health policy, and any measures which are to be adopted. This should also include the definition of what an epidemic or pandemic is, and should ensure that any measures taken in the national context are reasonable and proportionate to the national situation. A government must have the ability to make its own decisions for its own people, and answer to the people who have elected them. 2. There must be opt out clauses so any country or ant region within a country can opt out of measures which are not reasonable, proportionate or necessary in that area. One size absolutely does not fit all. 3. Any decision making must be open and transparent, and freely subject to scrutiny by the national elected democratic representatives. If people do not want measures or dispute definitions and 1applications of WHO health policy, these things should absolutely n to be imposed on any people. Instead each nation or region should be able to decide for itself, in a free, open and transparent process, what is needed and desirable. 4. There is nor room whatsoever for any influence on decision making by any private companies, corporations or even worse, so called stake holding pharmaceutical companies. This is on the verge of corruption and does not meet the absolute necessity for transparancy.
	I would like to make these comments regarding this new international instrument for pandemic response and preparedness: 1.National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. This should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. This agreement should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I would like to oppose the treaty which in the event of yet another global pandemic it would give the WHO undemocratic rights over sovereign people Every individual should be allowed to choose what is medically right fir them. This should be something that all the people of the world should agree on, not a few individuals. This could be catastrophic for the people. This would only benefit pharmaceutical companies not the health of the ceople which we have seen during this Covid pandemic. Please do no enforce this upon us.
Personal	I would like to oppose this treaty. I find it completely un democratic We are human beings and I refuse this obligatory treaty. We all need to make our own decisions and I in no way want to be mandated. Very simple let each take responsibility for his or her actions, we have lived as humans on this earth for thousands of years, we don't need more mandates it is totally not something that stands for freedom.
Capital Homes	I would like to point out that under no circumstances should any government or Body have a choice on ones freedom. As with Covid 19, it is the CHOICE of any individual to make a decision on their position in the given circumstance and it is imperative that the information provided by any body/government is transparent and conclusive. Covid 19 did not effect my family yet we suffered as if we were a vulnerable household. My kids missed their schooling, i suffered at work and we all suffered as a nation. I dictate what i do with my body. Providing the relevant risks are provided in a transparent manner i am confident that i can make an informed decision. Information provided by yourselves should be unbiased and conclusive. Therefore and i conclude, no governing body should have the right to decide what is best for me and my family. I am not for your proposed unjust strategies.
Poland	I would like to present my opinion completely against the treaty. It subordinates the country's activities to WHO which is financed by private companies, also the pharmaceuticals. It is very dangerous!
Self	I would like to record my strong opposition to this Treaty. I believe that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I also feel that nations and local municipalities should retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We must not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Individual	I would like to register my disagreement with the WHO being able to take over Sovereign Governments when you choose to declare a So called health pandemic. I think that this overrides the democratic processess and rights of the people of those countries. You have not been elected and are nothing more than a Autocracy .
Private individual citizen of U.K.	I would like to register my disapproval of the existence of a treaty that would over ride the sovereign rights of any nation. If such a treaty were to be formulated a number of provisos would be necessary. 1. No molecular test (such as but not exclusively PCR testing) shall ever be used to determine the infectious state of any creature or individual human being , such a determination shall always be based on clinical symptoms only. 2. So called " lockdowns" shall never be used. 3.The WHO shall not receive funds from corporations , companies or charities but shall be directly funded by signatories to the treaty. 4.No instruction, recommendation or rule formulated via the treaty or not shall over rule national sovereignty, any country can opt out without penalty. 5. No rule regarding actions intended to affect global climate can ever be within the remit of any WHO treaty.
N/A	I would like to request that the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies that have been ignored in the past 2 years. Thank you.
Private	I would like to request the inclusion of guarantees on state and especially individual sovereignty and responsibility, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
n/a	I would like to request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights (especially minors), and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Thank you.
N/A	I would like to request the inclusion of guarantees on State and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Self	I would like to request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Self	I would like to request the inclusion of the Nuremberg code, guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
His Majesty Classical Christian Academy	I would like to request the inclusion of the Nuremberg code, guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Thank you!
n/a	I would like to say I do not agree with a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response and am astonished that you are going ahead with this without even consulting whether people want it or not. This goes beyond the remit of the WHO and overrides the sovereignty of member States. What is good for one country may not be good for another. And as we have seen during the Covid 19 pandemic different reactions have not necessarily produced different results, BUT some of those actions have used incredible abuses of Human Rights. No pandemic response should ever include lockdowns or mandated vaccines. If the WHO comes to the conclusion this is ok then they are overriding the human rights of individuals to their life and liberty. Nobody asked for the WHO to govern us. It is there to advise, not to dictate therefore this treaty is abuse of its power.
None	I would like to say NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	I would like to say strongly that I believe that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy. This will ensure that they make decisions that are best for the people in each vicinity. Individual nations and local municipals must have the option to opt out of any proposed agreement, or any part of that agreement. I feel it is imperative that the process must be open for all the public to witness and offer opinion on, and that the WHO sets up a system whereby they are asking the public's opinions on each facet. Where there is widespread concern, there must be measures in place that prevent the blanket application of global agreement. I have a huge amount of faith in the WHO and I'm sure it goes without saying that their decisions surrounding a pandemic must remain independent, without being influenced by pharmaceutical companies who may look to profit from certain agreements.
Resourcing Justice Fund	I would like to see 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	I would like to see a guarantee of state and individual sovereignty. A respect for human rights. I would also like to see legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
Health care	I would like to see a plan where the vulnerable are protected and the able bodied are allowed to continue working and daily lives. Antibody testing is recognized as viable and allowed to be used as immunity .
Parent	I would like to see a statement included that would indicate exceptions will be made by the WHO to preserve the Constitution of countries if the pandemic prevention and response conflicts with the freedoms outlined in the US Constitution and those of other countries that preserves bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent.
GLOBAL CITIZEN	I would like to see complete freedom for private medical choices for all global citizens regardless of color, sexual orientation, ability, gender, income, nation of origin. The treaty should respect the culture and privacy and customs of all nations.
General public	I would like to see governments implement the following: 1. Immediate suspension/restriction of global travel, especially air travel, once cases are detected beyond the country of origin of a new, deadly and contagious virus. This did not happen quickly enough in this pandemic. 2. Widespread production/manufacture of PPE worldwide vaccines and basic lifesaving equipment REGARDLESS of profitability to avoid supply chain problems. A return to stockpiles of these items (rotating them as they near expiration). 3. Legislation that allows governments to MANDATE corporations to produce necessities such as PPE, vaccines and life saving equipment AT COST. 4. Create an international fund sufficient to provide all resources to countries in need to curb the pandemic spread. 5. Create programs to educate people thoroughly on the nature and causes of communicable diseases and pandemic. This should include a heavy emphasis on measures to prevent transmission (hand washing, mask, vaccination, quarantine); how and why these measures work. Curricula should be developed for elementary and high school and supplemented with public service announcements (TV and social media) during peak times of infection (e.g. flu season). Make these measures a matter of social convention (stay home when you're sick, wear a mask if you must go out sick, etc). 6. Provide better financial support and resources for frontline workers facing the greatest risk of contracting illness.
General public	I would like to see honest scientific responses that do not have conflicts of interest or gains of function. Open dialogue, not silenced medical professionals/general public. We must safeguard well-being of children whom are the hope and continuity of humanity. Freedom of choice over ones anatomy is essential, as well as freedom to travel in & out of countries. Natural health and treatment should be an option as well as early treatment. Re-educate medical professionals, pharmacists and general public of natural immunity and how our amazing bodies function without interference. Establish consequences and penalties to those that do harm to humanity, especially the children.
Individual	I would like to see in the treaty first and foremost that any drug, therapy, vaccine be thoroughly and rigorously tested, studied and reviewed by a board of independent scientists and qualified researchers to guarantee the safety and effectiveness of the final product. There should be liabilities for all those involved with the production, approval and distribution of above mentioned new products. There should never be suppression of alternative methods of treatment and these decisions should be left to the Doctors and their patients and never mandated by a governmental agency.
Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions	I would like to see inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, as guarantees in international conventionswith emphasis on respect for human rights. Non state actors like corporations sshould not be allowed to circumvent the above established human rights guarantees. There should be legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. Experimental drugs should not be released on a mass scale until they have been approved with a safety record that outweighs the risk of the outbreak and the Nuremberg code should be followed
Individual American citizen	I would like to see language in new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that will encourage the recognition of each member states Constitutional Rights to work with the citizens of that country ensure levels of safety and good health. I would also like to see assurance that the Doctor/Patient relationship be recognized as the basis for health care going forward. I respect the good intentions of the WHO in its concern to set health standards but this should not replace the recognition that a Doctor knows the history of their Patient and is the best person or entity qualified to offer treatment protocol. But in the final analysis, it is the Constitutional Right of a Patient to determine whether a prescribed treatment is the right thing for them. I would appreciate a strong statement on the part of the WHO declaring how they feel pandemics would best be addressed, but this statement should only be the position of the WHO and never take precedence over the Constitutional Rights of a nation and its citizens. I do not agree that the WHO has the right to preempt the Constitutional Rights of any of its member countries and as an American citizen I am against any agreement reached that would so. I strongly disagree that it is in the best interest of member nations, and especially the USA, to agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Art works	I would like to see measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I would like to see an emphasis on good health physical and mental generally, where citizens of the world are encouraged to increase and sustain their natural immune systems through diet, exercise and meditation. I would like to see bodily autonomy and individual choice respected, if individuals choose not to take any of the pharmaceuticals offered. And that natural healing, non intrusive alternative health modalities be encouraged and respected.
English Citizen	I would like to see more accountability, care and due diligence during national emergencies. Every individual should be considered under the Nuremberg code and their rights to freedom of choice exercised, with ALL risks clearly stated should they need to make a choice for any medical intervention. If they did choose to take a vaccine in a trial phase, and that individual suffers an adverse reaction, the pharmaceutical company and governing body should take full accountability of harm caused. As a sovereign being with a god spirit, we have this right under the law to choose. The police and military forces to always be looking out for the public's best interests free from bias and one sided dictatorship.
New Zealand Citizen	I would like to see National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nil	I would like to see National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am commenting on my behalf - not on behalf of any organisation.	I would like to see that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Also the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I would like to see that natural medicine, (Homeopathy, Naturopathy, Ayurvedics, etc) be listed as choices by the WHO so that it encompasses treatment that many of us use. Sometimes as a complimentary to allopathic medicine, and also to boost natural immunity.
Personal opinion	I would like to see the focus shift to treatments instead of vaccines only. Focus in providing treatment as needed instead of a prevention drug that DES NOT prevent the disease. Keep the world free from mandates! Each one can make their own health decision. Let people decide what they want for themself and their family.
General public	I would like to see the following elements included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	I would like to see the following included in a new international instrument for pandemic preparedness/response: That health and well being organisations and providers from across the spectrum lead and manage discussions and decisions to be taken. This includes Nutritionists and scientists from independent world health bodies. World and country leaders have the freedom to agree and disagree with any proposals put forward by the WHO, to act in the best interests of their people and societies, and opt out of any part of the process as they believe is right. Bearing in mind, not all medications work with everyone in the same way, individuals need to be able to make their own decisions, how to take responsibility of their own health and well being and for those around them. Decisions taken by the WHO to create the process, should not rely on the influence of pharmaceutical companies, or global health profiteers. Decisions should reflect the concern for health and well being of individuals and should not be dependent on monies received as part of the process.
Private man	I would like to see the following included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Body autonomy. No mandates for medical intervention,treatments or procedures. Signed by nicolas watt

Organization	Written submission
None	I would like to see the following points included in any international instrument. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Member of the public	I would like to see the following substantive elements included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. That nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. That the measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I would like to see the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	I would like to see the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	I would like to see the inclusion of guarantees for state and individual sovereignty. Respect for Human Rights. The freedom to choose vaccine or not.Legal liability for Pharmaceutical companies and Govt agencies.
N/A	I would like to see the possibility of nations, states or other municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without consequence should they and their local /national leadership feel it is in the best interest of their people/constituents. I would like to have any current or past member of the pharmaceutical industry excluded from having any influence on the process. I would like the process and any debate about it to be open and transparent and inviting of debate by those with opposing views.
Personal	I would like to send this message to the WHO and ask that they desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and all its citizens as it is my belief that this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The WHO will not threaten NZ as a nation nor the freedom and our individuals inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.is to be null and void.
Sweet Pool Restorations	I would like to share my thoughts on the proposed pandemic preparedness & response. It's paramount that national and local leadership retain full autonomy over any future pandemic responses. They must be able to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There cannot be a one-size-fits-all response - each country/community must employ a response that fits the need. I believe that nations and local municipalities should be able to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There is no one-size-fits-all approach. We must maintain the autonomy to respond in which way is best for us. If the WHO proposes a global pandemic preparedness and response treaty, there must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This is how democracy works. The people have to WANT something in a sovereign country. If there are measures agreed upon by this treaty, they cannot allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is not a one-size-fits-all world, and no treaty can make health decisions for all people. The people of this world get to make this decision, they must have a voice.
self employed	I would like to start by stating, I am opposed to any global rule or overarching set of mandated governance that makes sweeping generalisations across all people and communities in respect to their health and medication. Global guidelines and education should be shared freely and be available to communities to adapt to and implement for themselves. Public health at its core is solutions that are adaptable to communities in specific territories who can make changes or solutions to their health as necessary by their environment. Not via the interest of pharmaceuticals need to implement expensive drugs/medication Currently the pandemic has had very different impacts on different communities across the world, how can rules and governance for a 1st world or large city population work across rural and impoverished communities in other countries. We have already seen how larger or more wealthy countries, who have stronger representation can 'bully' or intimidate smaller nations to agree with their own views, by sheer voting or buying power. Thus the small nations and communities are 'forced' to adopt practices that other larger nations or organisations have demanded, and mandated as being correct without consideration given to other communities or less wealthy or represented countries. We clearly saw in the current pandemic that wealthy nations prioritised the buying of medical equipment, vaccines and even knowledge for themselves over the rest of the world (mostly developing world). A very me first and only approach; why would this change under this new global proposal? Global governance has the ability to only benefit a few, essentially making unrealistic demands or expectations on non western or wealthy communities.
Self employed	I would like to start by stating, I am opposed to any global rule or overarching set of mandated governance that makes sweeping generalisations across all people and communities in respect to their health and medication. Global guidelines and education should be shared freely and be available to communities to adapt to and implement for themselves. Public health at its core is solutions that are adaptable to communities in specific territories who can make changes or solutions to their health as necessary by their environment. Not via the interest of pharmaceuticals need to implement expensive drugs/medication Currently the pandemic has had very different impacts on different communities across the world, how can rules and governance for a 1st world or large city population work across rural and impoverished communities in other countries. We have already seen how larger or more wealthy countries, who have stronger representation can 'bully' or intimidate smaller nations to agree with their own views, by sheer voting or buying power. Thus the small nations and communities are 'forced' to adopt practices that other larger nations or organisations have demanded, and mandated as being correct without consideration given to other communities or less wealthy or represented countries. Elements that should be added or considered: Community based, relevant public health decisions need to be made independently in order to be effective on the ground in the communities they are serving. Pharmaceutical mandates or recommendations often can not work in certain communities, specificly if their interest is profit, hence the need for adaptive public health solutions to the problem in specific communities
A privat person	I would like to state ,that i am against any mandatory vaccination, since its my body and i alone decide what to put in my body, and since there is so many diverse affects, we should be able to choose for our selfes , if we want to be vaccinated or not. Sincere Michael
None	I would like to state my opposition to an international health treaty. While the intention may be good the result would be disastrous for the individual person. Each person has the God given right to research and chose the health path that they feel like is best for them. No matter the outcome the person will do better with the information they feel most comfortable with. No organization big or small has the right to force a person to do something that they feel in not best for them. Therefore I strongly oppose the proposed World Health Organization proposed treaty. Malinda Conley
Carer	I would like to state that you have no right to take this action! My country had citizens with their own sovereignty which you do not and should not seek to control! We in the UK are strongly opposed to this action. We do not consent!
No applicable	I would like to submit that the following should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	I would like to submit the following comments regarding pandemic preparedness: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Gnostic Healing	I would like to suggest the classification of a pandemic be limited to the outbreak of extremely infectious diseases in accordance with the definitions listed in the Oxford dictionary. Consideration being given to the overall costs to society in terms of restrictions and freedom limitations and their emotional and financial impacts. Blanket global decisions are seemingly ineffective in maintaining health, viability of employment and food chain flow for individual countries. Thankyou for your consideration of my opinion Mary Holstein Gnostic Healing
Private	I would like to take care of my self, not to to be told, is common sense how to protect your self, I don't need patronising and scaring me, is a 21 century. Please, respect the democratic way of dealing with the problem!
No organisation	I would love the nations to work together without forcing anything like compulsory vaccination or digi tal health status as an entrance to travel work or buy anything. I would also recommend medical doctors be able to treat patients freely from their perspective of con science and knowledge of treatment and prevention
Private	I would love the nations to work together without forcing anything like compulsory vaccination or digital health status as an entrance to travel work or buy anything. I would also recommend medical doctors be able to treat patients freely from their perspective of conscience and knowledge of treatment and prevention
citizen	I would not support any substantive elements to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Any health decisions are private between a person and their doctor or practitioner and no government intervention is necessary or constitutionally appropriate. Medical decisions should remain private and no medical decision should be a one size fits all decree from a governmental body!
N/A	I would prefer to see the following with regard to global pandemic initiatives: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks! Alex Cook
Private individual	I would question the existence of such a treaty, which serves to undermine the sovereignty of individual nation states and the bodily sovereignty of individual people. Any such treaty must include: Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty Respect for inalienable human rights Informed consent to be mandatory for any medical intervention Legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies These have been noticeably absent from all measures taken by the world's governments, as a result of previous WHO 'pandemic preparedness' treaties. All the above safeguards have completely ignored for the last 2 years and must be restored to all individuals and nation states.
N/a	I would recommend that any agreement includes the ability for national and local decision making on any WHO proposal to be retained. It's crucial that local and national governments/entities retain autonomy. Additionally, any policy should be put up for a vote prior to induction and any policy found not favorable to a national or state government should be allowed to go unfollowed without penalty to the associated nation or state. Lastly, any process needs to be free from influence by private entities, including NGOs and corporations and there should be regular public hearings where key WHO personnel and leaders are audited for relationships/communications with private entities.
self employed	I would recommend these items be included in the preparedness document: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BestPart	I would recommend to bring the pandemic definition to PRE-IHR 2005 period. Any vaccination that are going to be infected to a human body should be home through enough tests to prove its effectivity and side effects.
Individual submission	I would request that National and local leadership retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I want there to be an ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This is particularly important. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it and they should be informed that they can do so rather than have issues implemented subtly and in secret. Finally that measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This would be incredibly dangerous and has been done before and I deem this to be unfair. Yours sincerely, Hazel Rothman
Discovery Veterinary Services	I would request the following: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, Chris
US Citizen	I would request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have been completely ignored for the last 2 years. Thank you.
Malaysia	I would request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
True Life in God	I would request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. The WHO should impose safeguards to assure there are no vested interests involved notably: no receiving of donations from private individuals especially persons who could benefit from imposed vaccinations or any mandates. The WHO should bow to the will of the citizens in every country whose participation in decision-making should be guaranteed. Above all, the WHO must respect the sovereignty of individuals over their own bodies. No health minister of any country should have the right to make his countrymen subject to the guidelines of the WHO. Such a right would give the citizens no protection in case of a corrupt or misguided government official. The WHO will be helpful as an advisory body and every human being and every country should be sovereign over his body and a country's own people The WHO cannot be entrusted with the lives of the citizens of the world. The WHO is there to serve and assist, not to dictate and coerce
Citizen of the USA	I would request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. In fact, I think the treaty itself should not exist.
Individual	I would respectfully ask that your organization NEVER again handle any pandemic.What you and other world leaders did during these past two years was nothing short of abominable.You wanted it to kill millions and you got your way so that you could usher in digital ID, passports, etc..May God have mercy on your collective souls!
US citizen	I would respectfully like to ask that the WHO not consider overriding government Constitutions or other forms of government when considering recommendations in the event of a pandemic or other biological global crisis. It is important to me that our national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions for the citizens of those areas based on what The People of those areas deem is best. I believe that the ability of nation and local municipalities and governments need to remain free to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement made with the WHO as they and we see fit, without consequence to the country or municipality or county or individual. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And finally, I believe in measures should be put in place that do not allow for the influence of any and all pharmaceutical companies, government agencies, global agencies or other global health profiteers in the process of determining a global response to a pandemic or other medical crisis. The autonomy of any country, municipality, county or individual is paramount and should be preserved at all costs as this is what a social structure is for. Thank you for your attention and time to my comments. Sincerely, Diane Hackett Carlton
WHO	I would state that the following elements be included: 1. We retain the full autonomy of National and local leadership, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. After all, the WHO is not an organisation that has any right to the authority it seems to wish to obtain. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is sure to fall on deaf ears I am sure, but you have asked the question.
OCDC	I would stop what you are doing because this isn't needed. This is another power grab for a bunch of nerds and all of humanity suffers for it. Knock it off.
US Citizen concerned about public safety and freedoms	I would strongly avoid this issue, as: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Transparent measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health organizations.
Self	I would strongly request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last two years....
General Public	I would submit that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	I would suggest that an international response is unnecessary and would prefer these decisions be referred to our elected officials. I believe that the recommendations by WHO could possibly be influenced by organizations and individuals who fund them. Certainly WHO could provide suggestions and recommendations, but the final decisions should rest with our own country's elected representatives.
None	I would suggest that the following should be a substantive element in the preparedness and response in any international instrument. That the World Health Organisation (WHO) should remain at all times a monitoring and advisory organisation. Each country/states official government remain the ultimate decision makers with regard to their country's/ state's preparedness and response to any pandemic or health related advice. Kind regards Linda McPhillips
Self	I would suggest the following changes be added to the treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Gustin Quon	I would suggest the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
none	I would these few things to be considered and included. First, that all local areas retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Second, that all countries and local areas can opt out of any, or all, portions of the agreement that they decide is not good, without consequences. Third, an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Fourth, measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Acupuncturist	I would urge the WHO to not seek governance and control over future pandemic response. Large centralized organizations are prone to limits of perspective dictated by red tape and special interests and therefore are not the best at managing such crises. However, each community is uniquely qualified to attend to the individual needs of its members and are highly motivated to find novel approaches and solutions to health emergencies. The WHO is in an excellent position to serve as a conduit or centre through which individuals and communities can more efficiently share valuable information with others from around the world regarding any health emergency. The old saying two heads are better than one--well thousands of heads are even more so.
Man of the Land	I would very much like to see the following elements included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the British public	I would want included that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There is the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. That there is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Robust measures are in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Fortune Strategies LLC	I would want to see the following included. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for this opportunity to speak up! Gary P. Lux
California Consortium of Addiction Programs and Professionals	I would welcome the vision of the W.H.O working efficaciously and in tandem with the global nations, such that the following factors would be upheld; 1. National and local leaderships would, and must, retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Provisions for the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Creation of ongoing open and transparent processes, allowing for all people of the world their voting rights, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Implementation of measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dart Property Services	I write as a concerned citizen who has worked in housing for the last 20 years, ninety per cent of my work clients are elderly or vulnerable. Prior to giving any transnational advice, the WHO should also 1) Make easily accessible the academic qualifications and current and previously held roles in political and commercial organisations of each member for the previous ten years. 2) Disclose their funding sources along with 'Declarations of Potential Conflicts of Interest' for each member and the W.H.O. organisation as a whole. 3) Membership of W.H.O. should be voluntary. 4) The W.H.O. must not be able to prescribe medical treatments, it can give advice and recommendations but any compliance by national policy makers and medical professionals must be voluntary. The W.H.O. must not be granted dictatorial powers; this would be the biggest risk to health of the population of the world. All power needs checks and balances as a bulwark against tyranny. Thank you, Louise

Organization	Written submission
General Public	I write as a grandmother, mother, wife, friend and health therapist. For future preparedness it is vital that there be an open and transparent process where we all have the absolute ability to vote on including failsafe measures that must prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.At both a national and local level we must be allowed to retain full autonomy with the right to make our decisions based on what is best for our own people in each county and country. No consequences should be given if nations and local municipalities choose to opt out of any and or all portions of the agreement as they see fit.Such autonomy is paramount and needs to be respected and integrated. Finally measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I thank you for reading this and implore you to allow the voice of our humanity to re-enter the international arena that you represent. Sincerely and respectfully yours Heike Eckhoff
Private	I write from South Africa, a country on a continent that has fought hard, and shed much blood over many decades to gain independence from colonial and foreign corporate influence. The blood that has been shed to become independent, and to retain authority over our own futures and bodies cannot be violated by treaties signed between the W.H.O. and our government without input from the people. This treaty can only be considered legit and in any way acceptable if we retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. We demand the ability to opt out of any and all portions of this treaty as we deem fit, without consequence. Africa is a unique and special continent with an immense variety of tribes and cultures. A global one-size-fits-all measure has never worked on this continent and causes much pain and suffering. For once, leave us to govern over ourselves with the right to influence our own futures.
Private Citizen	I write in agreement with and support of the World Council for Health which has objected to this proposal in their open letter to the WHO. This letter states: "The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose de-humanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people". I am highly concerned that a non-elected body is seeking to implement a global plan without the expressed agreement of citizens obtained through a democratic process. I believe that any response to a pandemic lies with nation states and elected governments who may be subject to public scrutiny and answerable for their actions. The WHO is NOT and this proposal merely increases the WHO power whilst riding roughshod over the principles of democracy. This proposal should be stopped now and any intention to inflict control over people should and surely will, lead to the end of the WHO and those that lead it (figuratively speaking).
Gemeindevertreter + Gesundheitssporttrainer	I write in german: Von dem angestrebten Vertrag ist Abstand zu nehmen. Er höhlt die Demokratie aus. Die WHO ist in Großteilen privat finanziert und diese Finanziers bestimmen dann, was in den Ländern der Welt passiert? Eine herbei getestete Pandemie darf niemals zu Maßnahmen wie die jetzt erlebten führen. Das ist kriminell. Beraten ja verordnen nein.
Me	I write in opposition to any laws agreed beyond state level that will in effect when triggered override the government of my country and the laws already written. Furthermore I do not trust that the WHO has acted truthfully or with integrity throughout 2020-2022 I do not believe a pandemic was even in motion when it was publicly announced in March 2020 by the WHO Please accept this statement from me as a direct challenge to the WHOs authority. I do not consent to the actions you have taken globally to attempt to deal with the so called Corona Virus / N1H1 / Covid 19 novel virus and I do not stand under your authority. I believe the heads and the staff working at the WHO, the UN, GAVI and nation states governments have acted without regard for people who live in their respective countries around the world. I will never support this new process you are looking to roll out globally whereby nation states will authorise the WHO to manage new pandemics centrally for waft ever benefits who suggest I would receive in this situation I will never comply with any further lockdowns, or any curtailments of my god given rights to unhindered travel or freedom to act lawfully or morally within my own country or anywhere within the realm of earth. Your organisation is corrupt, biased and working to an agenda which serves only the interests of large corporations and think tanks rather than serving democracy. The WHO should be disbanded and it's powers should be rescinded as the powers are too open to abuse Regards Guy Of the family Sim
Na	I write re your efforts to take global control over sovereign man and woman and sovereign countries in respect of pandemic prepares and response. Aside from the fact you are an unelected body with no jurisdiction I pose the following response: 1.National and local leadership should ALWAYS retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people after full consultation with the people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should ALWAYS be present 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world TO VOTE ON including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it should ALWAYS be in place. 4.Measures that allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should NEVER exist. Regards john ward
Individual	I write regarding your efforts to assume global control over sovereign countries and their sovereign peoples in respect of their pandemic preparedness and response. 1. As you are an unelected body it is imperative that autonomy over decisions of what is best for their own peoples should always be retained by national and local leadership. Public health is not a one size fits all and therefore people and their communities must always be in control of their own health. 2. Nations must alwAys retain the ability to opt out of any suggested responses as they see fit in respect of their own circumstances. 3. There should Always be open and transparent processes for people of nations to vote on whether proposed measures are appropriate to their circumstances and they should be able to opt out of such measures without consequence where a majority of citizens vote against such measures. 4. Public health measures should never exist that are influenced by any, and all pharmaceutical companies, or other global companies who may profit from such measures. Regards, S. MCCulloch
Personal	I write to express my adamant opposition to having the WHO, or the UN, or any other international body, take ANY form of control or influence over any sovereign nation, but in particular the United States of America. In short.... (1) ANY form of treaty that removes power from the US is dangerous to the health and welfare of the citizens of the US. Passing legal control to an international entity is not in our best interest or safety. Frankly, I've seen some of the results of said treaties.... and they are NOT acceptable to a sovereign nation. Our Constitution does NOT allow us to be subject to an international group. (2) Having been trained in health care and having lived and worked in many countries of the world, I know first hand that there is NOT a one-size fits all. Nations, or even regions within nations, are too disparate and have differing needs.... a solution for Nigeria would not fit the upper midwest of the US. (3) Having seen the WHO's response to the current "pandemic" and its inability (or lack of desire) to hold China to transparency, I do not find this organization sufficiently trustworthy to handle the response or oversight of any response to a health situation. In short, the American people do not accept any regulatory desire for power by the WHO. And if this move to power was widely understood, then there would be an avalanche of disapproval coming your way. My next action is to ensure this gets to the News agencies for broadcast.
n/a	I write to express my great concern that any globally binding treaty of this kind should pass at all, especially one pertaining to people's health and bodily autonomy. Such a treaty would remove power from countries' governments and health authorities (which know best what the specific health-related situation and culture and history of any particular country are), and thus directly endanger the health and well-being of citizens of any given country at any point in time. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Yet, a very narrow time frame has been allowed for public submissions, and most people around the world are not even aware that such a treaty is being prepared, which in itself speaks volumes of the intentions of the treaty makers. How will peoples' health be improved if people(s) are not even aware what legal frameworks ("instruments") are being instituted to force them into medical and other rules and procedures? This is a travesty of the law and medical principles, and definitely not "informed opinion" or informed consent. NOBODY has the right to interfere with the freedoms and human rights of human beings which are natural (God-given), inalienable, and cannot be extinguished or superceded by any artificial, superimposed treaty by any political or other "authority".
Lawyers4Liberty	I write to object to the Pandemic Treaty. The clear intention of the Treaty is to remove Sovereignty from each Nation State and place it in the hands of an unelected World Health Organisation and the United Nations - mostly controlled by the United States of America. Under the Magna Carta 1297, it is not legal or lawful for any of our Ancient Rights and Liberties to be overridden or destroyed in the manner which the Treaty proposes. The United Kingdom is a Sovereign Nation and must remain so - see the Coronation Oath of Queen Elizabeth 11 dated 2nd June 1953, the UK Coronation Oath Act 1688 and the Bill of Rights 1688. Allowing a foreign entity to take control over our UK Constitution amounts to prima facie treason under our Rule of Law. This MUST be considered in this matter. Anna de Buisseret UK Lawyer
Jambo Guest House	I write to object to the proposed global pandemic agreement. There is no need for an instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The proposed WHO agreement is totally unnecessary, is a threat to sovereignty and to inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. It needs a process of public participation. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda nor enforce surveillance of the public. It encouraged totally unnecessary lockdowns and recommended vaccines that have proved to be neither safe nor effective.
Citizen	I write to REJECT the implementation of the global treaty in dealing with pandemics. I recommend that the following be the minimum standard if the plan proceeds against the wishes of many common people, namely: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Recognition of the most efficacious including early treatment (including inexpensive, generic medication), nutriceuticals/natural supplements (e.g., vitamins), encouragement of personal health intervention (e.g., weight loss, change diet, exercise, prayer), naturopathic and homeopathic approaches outside of allopathic theories that work best on acute and not chronic health conditions. May the best outcome for each community be chosen over a global solution. Sincerely, Andrew Schwab
Stand for Health Freedom	I write to say that to have an international policy for addressing a pandemic would be equivalent to giving everyone the same medicine regardless of illness. This would not only not work, it would hurt, cause damage and remove each country's sovereignty and awareness of what their individual country's needs and abilities are. You can't not address the different needs with the same processes, it would be chaos. The way the world responded to COVID-19 have already shown that trying the same methods did not stop the pandemic, it didn't reduce economic destruction or the social, psychological, mental stresses and long-term effects on children but rather ascerbated all of these and the ramifications of all these policies will have such long term effects that will and have impacted more people and in worse ways than COVID ever could.
Individual of Freedom	I write to state that I am against WHO global pandemic treaty which WHO is calling "a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response ". My individual health autonomy and collective health freedom is a matter of personal choice which I make as a Responsible Person and accept the consequences. I do not accept nor will comply with my Personal Sovereignty rights nor my State/ Countries Rights to be stolen or overridden by undemocratic decree. Here New Zealand there has been no referendum on this on this critical Self Health issue of Who proposal and in fact there has been no national discussion about it such proposal that it is unknown of by the vast majority of this Nation. Each country in the world must be able to vote on, as to the detail and the transfer of personal Health decision making to WHO. Again I state I do not agree to this and will vote against such declaration by WHO. The age old avoided solution through out history is one of Responsibility for Action. Laws passed to make individuals / companies and sectors free from legal recourse to adverse effects and failure of product such as the Pharmaceutical Companies vaccines is a crime that will have consequence's to come. Similarly there is no Responsibility for many executives in all organisations that has filtered down to "counter staff" with a consequential future outcome that will eventuate with a mass retribution. WHO should be setting a standard here in this area instead of trying to STEAL ones Basic Rights.
Uk national	I write to you to voice my dismay at the overreach of who with the proposed pandemic preparedness treaty. I denounce the WHO, this proposal risks the Uk sovereign decision making and passes to the WHO in pandemic situations. This is overreach, I denounce the WHO after the mismanagement of Covid, have zero faith in the WHO ability to manage any pandemic I would not support any further involvement in decision making in the Uk from WHo. If you persist with this significant civil unrest will need to sway out government opinion.
concerned citizen	I write to you today as a concerned citizen of the world, in relation to the WHO convention, agreement or other international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response current plan(s). Each country has its own governance model with legislation to guide each, and the WHO really has no place imposing legislation under the guise of a recommendation report of any kind, to any country.. Most countries on this globe operate under the framework of DEMOCRACY and your plans require citizens of each country to consider and either oppose or support said preparedness plans for their respective governing bodies to either adopt of reject, at any time now or in the future. Sarah
State of Texas	Human Rights nor does it become counter-productive by severely damaging civil society as we have known it. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thank you,
extranet.who.int	I writing to say no to all restrictions world wide on the people behave. We love according to the USA Constitution and have the right to act on our freedom. No to any restrictions or bill laws.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
citizens	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! No private funds for WHO! No mandatory vaccinations!
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Private	I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
None	I generally oppose an international, mandatorypandemic treaty
No organization	I, Alex Villareal, as a registered nurse know that public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. That said, its important for people to maintain their own autonomy in regards to their health and to discuss any medical treatment with their doctors. In the United States of America we have our regulatory agencies, which include the CDC, NIH, and the FDA and they are the regulatory agencies, which guide and protect Americans from disease outbreaks or disease containment. It's appreciative that the WHO wants to have a treaty to safeguard the health of all nations, but it is best for all country leaders to address or safeguard their populations healthcare status . The most important substantial element to be included in the international instrument would be for the united states and other countries presidents to preside their own health regulations. People of many nations usually acclimate to their own government officials, especially healthcare officials.
Private citizen	I, along with a great many others, find this proposal to be very disturbing in the sense that non-elected, unaccountable globalist organizations should be afforded such powers to over-rule the autonomy and rights of an individual, even in the face of a 'percieved' emergency. This cannot and should not be allowed to occur, particularly, given the very recent abhorerent and abusive policies such as lockdowns, vaccine and mask mandates enacted and encouraged by yourselves. Most disgustingly of all of these is the proposal of vaccination passports and centralised digital ID. These policies and motives have no place in the western world. They're unacceptable will be rejected in full by a free population. We do not consent to the creation or adoption of this instrument.
None	I, as a citizen of the United Kingdom, am deeply concerned that the WHO would seek to override the democratically elected Governments of individual countries by attempting to enforce a 'one size fits all' Global pandemic response. The WHO is not a democratically elected body and is partly funded by those with vested interests in pushing 'Pharmaceutical solutions'. Individual RISK assessments and independent decision making cannot be dismissed. *Individual RISK assessments *Open debate and discussion - not censorship.
DUTH-UNIVERSITY OF THRACE	I, as a lawful, living, breathing citizen of this world, do not consent to any centralization of power in the hands of a few, easy to be manipulated and/or bribed individuals, especially when it comes to decisions about health policies that can affect the lives of the people of this earth in such a significant manner. Important decisions pertaining to the lives of people should always be reserved for local authorities and groups/organizations directly elected and accountable by those very people. The current situation with this particular pandemic we are dealing with, as it has unfolded over the past 2 years, has got us alarmed concerning the power Big Pharma conglomerates seem to have over influencing policies having to do with pushing -in many cases unethically- their own narratives. The 'conflict of interests' danger is real and alive, with many warning against such industries sometimes bearing more governance than governments themselves.
none, general public, citizen from Germany	I, as well as millions of free-minded independently-thinking and concerned citizens worldwide, call for your sincere and truly responsible attention to this fundamental condition for acceptance: in an "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" the sovereignty of each nation state and each individual MUST be guaranteed, human rights have to be respected, governments and pharma industry MUST be legally liable for damages from medical and non-medical interventions!
none, general public, a well-informed citizen from Germany	I, as well as millions of free-minded independently-thinking and concerned citizens worldwide, call for your sincere and truly responsible attention to this fundamental condition for acceptance: in an "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" the sovereignty of each nation state and each individual MUST be guaranteed, human rights have to be respected, governments and pharma industry MUST be legally liable for damages from medical and non-medical interventions!
none, general public, citizen from germany	I, as well as millions of free-minded independently-thinking and concerned citizens worldwide, call for your sincere and truly responsible attention to this fundamental conditions for acceptance: In an "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" the sovereignty of each nation state and each individual MUST be guaranteed, human rights HAVE TO BE respected, governments and pharma industry MUST be legally liable for damages from medical and non-medical interventions!
None, general public, citizen frommGermany	I, as well millions of free-minder independently-thinking and concerned citicens worldwide, call for your sincere ana truly responsible attention to this fundamental condition for acceptance in an „international instrument on pandemic prepardness and response" the sovereignty of each nation state and each individual MUST be guaranteed, human rights have to respected, governments an pharma Industry MUST be legally liable for damages from medical and non-medical interventions!
musician, music teacher	I, Fenneken Francken, am completely against the power of the WHO to direct the whole world in how to deal with an eventual pandemic. The WHO is not a democratic organisation, their way to come to decisions is not transparent. Above all they are not chosen by us, the individual people. In every region own disicions should be made by the local people. The free choice and dicision of every individual human being concerning medical treatment, the free choice of your own doctor is a basic human right. It is up to nations and inside the nation the individual person is responsible for what happens wih his body.I want free choice of medical treatment and free choice of medical doctor. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	I, Frank Dalto reject a Global Pandemic agreement! WE the PEOPLE do not want the WHO or any other organization creating a coordinated health agreement. Frank Dalto
Malaysian Freedom Movement	I, Gabriel, hereby do not and will not agree to WHO takeover for any new instruments on Pandemic preparedness and response. We, as individuals, can decide what's best for ourselves as adults. We however, have came thus far and still survived, we do not need anything new from WHO. In fact, I do not agree with whoever signs this treaty with WHO as they do not represent me as I am a sovereign adult This treaty which shall be signed by whoever will be deemed null and void. Thank you, Gabriel
Perhimpunan Indonesia	I, hereby, do not give the Indonesian government the right to enter into any agreement with any organisation related to my health, or any monitoring and control there-off. I also do not agree with the overruling of my constitutional rights by any organisation whatsoever. The mere fact that it is the plan to do so makes me doubt about their hidden intentions. I therefore strongly object to this planned treaty. STOP THE TREATY! #ProNationalist #SovereignityMatters #MyBodyMyChoice # AllLifeMatters #SayNoToGlobalization #MindYourOwnAffairs
Nil	I, like any thinking, responsible adult who values freedom and national independence absolutely refuse to support such a totally unnecessary and fundamentally unlawful proposal. Globalist ideals do nothing to advance or even support the future of humanity. Please desist.
Private	I, Louis Lombard, hereby formally object to the amendment as proposed in the South African Government Gazette Published on the 15 March 2022 with Government Notice No. 1877–1880. The amendments to the Regulations relating to the surveillance and control of notifiable medical conditions. The amendments infringes on my rights in terms of all or the applicable right(s) of the following sections of the Constitution: 1.1 Section 9 of the Constitution – my individual right to equality; 1.2 Section 10 of the Constitution – my right to human dignity, including to work; 1.3 Section 11 of the Constitution – my right to life; 1.4 Section 12 of the Constitution – my right to bodily and psychological integrity, which includes the right to security in and control over my body; 1.5 Section 14 of the Constitution – my right to privacy; 1.6 Section 22 of the Constitution – my right to choose my trade, occupation, or profession freely; 1.7 Section 23 of the Constitution – my right to fair labour practices; and 1.8 Section 29 of the Constitution – my right to education through reasonable measures; and 1.9 Section 33 of the Constitution – my right to just administrative action. 2. The Policy itself is contrary to Section 26 of the South African Protection of Personal Information Act, which prohibits the processing of personal information concerning, amongst others, religious beliefs and/ or health information of an individual. 3. I also object to continuation of the South African Disaster Management Act, which has long since been an over reach of power by this government, the disaster has never been substantiated and any continuance of the DMA is a clear grab for total control over citizens' lives. Yours Truly Louis Lombard
Individual	I, my family and many others are against any legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO should not have the power to make health decisions for us. We personally know our individual differences when it comes to health and we take responsible for informed consent. All health decisions should stay close to home. According to the WHO Constitution: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people."
Individual	I, my family and many others do not agree to any legally binding pandemic treaty. We support informed consent and medical freedom of choice. Any WHO treaty that removes power from us is dangerous to the health and welfare of each individual and their children. All health decisions need to stay close to home as possible, especially when an emergency exists. The WHO should not have power over our health decisions. We know our personal situation better than the WHO organization. According to the WHO Constitution: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people."
we the people	I, Odete Passingham, am a unique biological creature created with a unique combination of matter and essence. I do not comply with any limitation you deem necessary to keep me safe. As safety is not only a physical matter but equally a mental state. You therefore have no authority to prescribe neither physical nor mental ideals for anyone. I do not comply. I do not acknowledge you as having any wisdom over my mind and body. truly and forever me.
None	I, on behalf of myself and my family of four, assert that the proposed international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, a/k/a the Global Pandemic Agreement, must include clear, enforceable wording that guarantees: - That national and local leadership will retain full autonomy regarding implementation, - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the Agreement as they see fit, without consequence, - The ability of all people of the world, via authorized representatives, to vote on the features of an open and transparent process, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the Global Pandemic Agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; and - That no measure can be included in said Agreement that allows for any influence over the implementation process by any for-profit pharmaceutical or biotechnical company or other profit-oriented global health entities.
Private individual and Business Owner	I, Rudi Maartens, hereby declare sovereignity over my own body, vested in the Constitution of South Africa, which the United Nations have helped in creating and helped establish. The R.S.A. is a free and democratic, sovereign country. I reject any rules or regulations enforced upon me without due process, which should include open and honest, democratic discussions and free and fair voting, pertaining to such matters. Anything else will be seen as tyranny and will be met with the same hostility it is handed out with. I trust you might find the above mentioned viewpoint to be more common than you would like to admit. Yours sincerely Rudi Maartens
None	I, Sabine Portius, generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, because - as the Covid pandemic showed- a pandemic treaty must and can not take precedence over national constitutional law without an agreement on scientific facts and discursus and/or the evolution of a pandemic/endemic/virus itself. The fact of intransparent or open sponsoring of the WHO by any other than the countries themselves makes it impossible that all measures are taken into account and carefully balance human rights, medical evidence, social and economic interests. Furthermore I say NO to any vaccine mandate, especially a generalized/centralized one.
n/a	I, Teresa, daughter of Zbigniew of the Łabaziewicz family, as a sovereign of Poland revoke power of attorney given by goverment of the Republic of Poland in the name of citizen Teresa Łabaziewicz to WHO and by virtue of natural law, superior to the laws of the sea, declare that WHO has no jurisdiction over me as natural person. WHO, as an entity sponsored by pharmaceutical companies which are producers of vaccines as well as by Bill Gates, the main promoter of vaccination, has allowed commercial companies to influence strategic decisions since May 2016, which is an obvious and real conflict of interest. Therefore, delegating to WHO any competences of Organs of State authority under art. 89 or 90 of the Constitution of the Republic of Poland shall have features of an act classified in article 127 of the Polish Penal Code (conspiracy against the State) In accordance with the art. 5 of the Constitution of the Republic of Poland The Republic of Poland shall safeguard the independence and integrity of its territory and ensure the freedoms and rights of persons and citizens, the security of the citizens, safeguard the national heritage and shall ensure the protection of the natural environment pursuant to the principles of sustainable development' we call for an immediate filing of the reservation to the content of the Treaty, immediate withdrawal of the power given to conduct negotiations on behalf of the Republic of Poland.
Norge	I, the Being of Light living in this body and on this Earth, strongly oppose breaking the Natural Law and replacing it with the law of the sea. All your new laws that you want to introduce break human freedom, dignity, and make humanity slaves. I am categorically opposed to any new, slavish regulations, because I am a free being having the Free Will given to me by the Source and Creator of the entire Universe.
self	I, Yvonne Johnson of Texas, strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution. Please stand with the American people and keep health at home and stop a global WHO power grab for financing, private health data, and authority.

Organization	Written submission
Personal	I/We thank you for your offer to contract, however take note of my response to your offer. As man, not holden to any agent/agency, man/woman of any corporate entity whether incorporated or unincorporated that your offer to contract is honorably rejected and formally not accepted. Their is zero benefit contained in this proposed contract. It would be my detriment and of my house as it would cause damage and injury upon our property. As man, i am free from any duty of obligation from institutions/organizations, rules, codes, statutes and treaty's of my fellow man. I retain freedom of choice, beliefs, conscience and explicit will and that of Natural Law. Unless you can provide the wet ink on paper/contract with our mark that I/we have given up our rights and you have the right to administer us as your property, then only our freedom to self-determine remains in place. To our knowledge there exists no such contract or agreement, nor will we ever agree to such a contract. We do not consent or agree to your proposed treaty. No one has the right to decide on behalf of another concerning their health whatsoever. Slavery Abolition Bill in 1833. That only leaves contractual agreements of which you have none. The communication will serve as a lawfully binding notice.
private	I´m against a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response as we have made very bad experiences with the vaccination programm. In Austria there have died 277 people after getting vaccinated. Every country should care for its health system itself! As circumstances are different in each and every country. https://www.basg.gv.at/fileadmin/redakteure/05_KonsumentInnen/Impfstoffe/Bericht_BASG_Nebenwirkungsmeldungen_27.12.2020-25.03.2022_BTVI.pdf
privat	I´m against the WHO Pandemic Plan, i want to have the souverenity of my land and i want to make decissions by myself. No digitalisation of humans, no digital identity, no digital passes !!!!! No Vaccination orders !!! Let us make our own decisions !!!
Citizen	I'm against a pandemic contract as it takes more and more the freedom in the world. Every state (elected by the public) can decide by itself. The human rights are in high danger. I want to live in a democracy and not in a dictatorship. We never elected the WHO.
Privat individual	I'm against this agreement Sinne it is undemocratic.
Private	I'm totally against an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. There is no need about this. The nation states have to stay sovereign. This contract is the first step to undermine the constitutions of the states. So, I say no to that!
Privat Person	I'm totaly against a international Instrument! Please led the countries and the people free to go where they want and what they do!
None	I'd like the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Self	I'd like to express my opinion on this Global Pandemic Treaty. I am completely against it because such a treaty removes power from citizens of the US and in turn it can be dangerous for the health and wellness of all Americans. Furthermore, all health decisions must stay as close as possible to home even in the event of an emergency. WHO or any other organisation has no power over the health decisions of individuals and if the US agrees to this legally binding pandemic treaty it would be doing just that. Please, please do not agree to such a treaty which goes against even the WHO constitution itself, that states "Informed opinion and active cooperation on the part of the public are of utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people" Sincerely, C. Kouiroukidis
N/a	I'd like to place my objections to carry on any restrictions on pandemic Covid -19
Shaarei Tefilla	I'd like to share these strongly held principles - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen of Germany	I'm a citizen of Germany and not of the world. We do have a constitution and I've to follow this one, nothing else. Therefore I'm against anything that tries to smashes down our constitution.
-	I'm against it! There must be nothing at all because we the people don't need it or anything else from the WHO
Inspiro	I'm against the treaty! It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the people of 196 countries in a time of crisis. It's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected.
Private	I'm against the treaty! It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the people of 196 countries in a time of crisis. It's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected.
Private	I'm against this treaty, since it's goes against the free will of people. People should have the possibility to decide for them selves if they want to have ID papers which includes vaccination information.
New York State citizen	I'm against what the (who) is trying to do people have a right and should always elect the representative and The Who isn't a representative I SAY IT AGAIN I AM AGAINST THIS AND WHAT THE WHO IS trying to do
Jojo&co. Pet styling	I'm case of a global pandemic: -the National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	I'm concerned about governments and organisations overeaching and breaching civil rights of citizens
Individual US citizen	I'm concerned that someone else will be able to make health decisions for me. This should be my decision to make. I appreciate recommendations so I can evaluate what is best for me but I still need to be the one who can ultimately decided what's best for my body. WHO or any government agency should not have this ability and I am opposed to anything passing that would give them the authority over what should be done to my body.
Action4Canada	I'm not in agreement that a global treaty is necessary, as health practices vary from country to country and should be decided by individual countries as they see fit. The people making decisions about pandemic preparedness with the WHO are unelected officials and should therefore not be the decision makers of what is best practices of our health decisions for every country. Any measures that may be taken or suggested by a global entity SHOULD NOT ALLOW for any influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any global health profiteers.
American Citizen	I'm not in favor of this proposed plan. Limited government and democracy are the foundation of our US country. WHO treaties that remove that democratic power of choice from individuals in the the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. What is going on in Serbia health wise may not be what is happening in America. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists and what constitutes an emergency. WHO does not and should never have the power over our health decisions in the United States. As a US citizen I refuse to opt in favor of a legally binding pandemic treaty. Your WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I'm holding you accountable to honoring and abiding by your own constitution. For if we do not have honor and accountability in your initial words from the very foundation of the creation of your organization, then there can be no trust and in essence you have created an unwelcome and non-legally binding dictatorship aimed at trying to surpass the own internal laws of nations where you have no rights of governance. Very Respectfully, Shelley Skinner (see below) P.S. We must check agreeing to terms of Participation for the hearings and yet no terms are posted. Additionally limiting us to 250 words refuses to allow us to make a complete case.
American Citizen	I'm not in favor of this proposed plan. Limited government and democracy are the foundation of our US country. WHO treaties that remove that democratic power of choice from individuals in the the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. What is going on in Serbia health wise may not be what is happening in America. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists and what constitutes an emergency. WHO does not and should never have the power over our health decisions in the United States. As a US citizen I refuse to opt in favor of a legally binding pandemic treaty. Your WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I hold you accountable to honoring and abiding by your own constitution. If we do not have honor and accountability in your initial words from the very foundation of the creation of your organization, then there can be no trust and in essence you have created an unwelcome and non-legally binding dictatorship aimed at trying to surpass the own internal laws of nations where you have no rights of governance. Additionally, you limit your response to 250 not allowing all to possibly make their case and have us agreeing terms of participation to which we are not able to read. This seems counterintuitive to asking for opinion and agreement. Respectfully, David Skinner
Unaffiliated	I'm not sure if you've ever been seriously ill, but I have. I was diagnosed, and struggled with, lupus for a decade before it finally disappeared. During that time I learned a valuable lesson about my health: I am the only person qualified to maintain it. Neither I nor anyone else needs a global governing body to oversee my healthcare decisions. My only question is whether you consciously KNOW or not how evil it is to suggest otherwise.
None	I'm opposed to the United States entering into any agreement as a part of the WHO that comprises U.S. sovereignty to a multinational group unanswerable to the U.S. citizen/voter. Our government has no right to sign away our individual right to self determination of our medical care. We will not submit to involuntary mandates regarding our medical decisions.
Human	I'm opposed to this extreme oversight. Each country deserves to be free from dictators.
Private	I'm strictly against that the WHO is getting the authorisation to decide about international restrictions and/or mandate's in case of a pandemic. Because having a global government will be very dangerous for the global population. The WHO should only get the authority to give recommendations in case of a pandemic.
	I'm strongly against this move to have WHO control worldwide health mandates.
Pinkstergemeente Kom en Zie	I'm strongly opposed against any regulation that hinders a nation to descide for herself how to act in a epidemic or a pandemic. In the past the WHO has proven to follow the money, not the science.
Immersion Health	I'm submitting a statement in strong and unequivocal opposition to the proposed pandemic treaty. A treaty of this nature would very directly work against containment of any emerging pandemic by stifling a diversity of response options carried out by individual countries. It is difficult to imagine how a unified global response to a pandemic could be seen as being in the best interest of all member countries simultaneously. This proposed treaty has no rational public health basis.
Myself	I'm telling you to stay out of individuals lives. No one elected you. You need to disband. You are a disgrace. No one wants this. Why do you undermine the immune system? You seek to destroy it! Kindly get out of our lives. Each country will remain sovereign.
N/a	I'm writing on behalf of myself and my two children to insist that no vaccination mandates on the national or international level go into affect. My children and I suffer from allergies and have been warned by your doctors do not get the vaccination, we do not want to be ostracized from society because of that. Again, I am writing to object to any international vaccination mandate. Thank you
none, private individual	I'm writing this on behalf of my family, my community and citizens of the world. In addition to protecting us from pandemics, it is your duty to protect our local democratic processes, inalienable rights and general public health. Firstly, in entrusting you to declare a pandemic, I need you to ensure that the seriousness of the threat is greater than the dangers of the measures imposed to fight it. It is important to us that our national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to amend or opt out of any and all parts of the agreement based on what is best for their own people. Your definition of a pandemic, as well as the the minimum severity level that may grant you the right to declare emergency measures, must be reviewed independently from the WHO. It is also vital to me, as a patient, that my doctor and health professionals are free to give me treatment and advise as they see fit without consequence, in order to improve and maintain my general health, and that they under no circumstance may mandate any behaviours and medical procedures that may worsen my overall health - such as further isolation when already dealing with mental illness, or testing or receive injections against my own will. I urge you to continue to include the public opinion, while putting a full stop on allowing any and all pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers any influence on the process.
N/A	I'm writing this open letter to the WHO, to say I do not give them any power over my health decisions. I am a sovereign human being, I have control of what medicine/medical procedures I have. I do not believe you follow the science. Science can never be 'one size fits all' as you've recently wanted with the covid pandemic. I also do not trust the gates foundation who has made billions from his 'investments while promoting vaccines... especially ones that are still experimental. I neither trust the UN. I think WHO and UN have censored medication like ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine . These have been recommended by you for decades as safe and there is abundant data proving their efficacy. Therefore I think it should be left to individual countries to plan and advise of their pandemic strategies. Not THE WHO, OR UN
Concerned citizen	I'm writing to address my concern with the WHO's proposed international pandemic preparedness plan. A blanket "one world approach" should be avoided; national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is imperative that nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I'm requesting an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Lastly, it is important that The measures implemented do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self/individual	I'm writing to address the subject of vaccine mandated passports, mask mandates and any other such medical treatment decision being considered for government mandating. I would thank you much to consider my concerns and thoughts as a St. Charles, IL resident and American citizen: Mandating vaccination of any kind (especially vaccine in the experimental stage which is the case with the Covid-19 vaccination) is the equivalent of medical rape. It is the most un-American and inhumane thing I have ever heard of happening in this nation during my 41 years of life. This decision will harm businesses and individuals alike, as businesses will lose lots of money based on individuals exercising their freedom of choice. Our economy has already suffered in great proportions due to the nation's response to Covid-19, and we have not even begun to experience the entire economic fallout. Vaccine passports will only serve to worsen the blow. Our young children will grow up in a society where they will not be able to participate in normal activities and discriminated against due to not being part of a medical experiment. This is 150 shades of wrong and disgusting.My family has already suffered illness from contracting Covid-19 naturally. Many doctors and virologists agree that a person already naturally infected by Covid-19 who subsequently gets vaccinated with this particular type of vaccine may indeed make themselves extremely ill and possibly suffer irreparable side effects. Being bullied into vaccinating after my body already contains natural immunities could be dangerous to me and my family, and there is no one in government who can assure me otherwise; therefore, no one in government has the right to gamble with my family's health.
USA citizen	I'm writing to express my disapproval of the WHO having world wide pandemic decision control. Countries and states should have full autonomy to make their own decisions. I do not want the WHO having full authority over Free nations. This is undemocratic and wrong. The WHO has shown its self in the last pandemic to be unfit and dishonest to manage any pandemic.
Private US Citizen	I'm writing to voice my opposition to any, " international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response." Each country has already spent vast sums of their citizen's money & time to develop their own pandemic plans, not to mention this would saddle citizens of member countries with additional unnecessary costs. We have also seen there is no one way to manage a pandemic, the most successful of these have been ignored by the majority. A one size fits all approach is likely to be disastrous for most members of the WHO. I completely oppose an international plan for pandemics.
Self	I'm writing with deep concern and opposition to the development of a WHO treaty in which there is set forth a uniform global response to future pandemics. Due to the overwhelming failure by which most governments, pharmaceutical companies, hospitals and media outlets handled the Covid 19 crisis, it's apparent that a single-penned approach would be disastrous. My concern is supported by the fact that several U.S. doctors, who did not follow CDC guidelines, were able to treat thousands of Covid patients without one death, while those who followed CDC and hospital guidelines had far worse outcomes. A single global approach does not offer protection from bias and profit incentives, nor does it allow for new and innovative treatments to emerge from scientists and doctors working in their communities and labs. If a single entity assumes the power to dictate a health response and that response is in error, it leaves no room for correction or dissent by others who may see alternative approaches that would have better outcomes. It would be to the world's benefit, for there to be multiple responses coupled with unbiased data collection and uncensored discussion, from which medical information and clinical experience could be shared. We must be able to pivot quickly when an ascribed response fails. We cannot (should not) know how future pandemics might present, or the impact they would have. Doctors and scientists must have the flexibility and support to use their expertise to treat patients according to their individual health requirements.
Self	I've become aware of some concerns about your new pandemic treaty proposal expressed by Dr. Mercola, the Maori people and the World Council for Health. Much of the information stirred a familiar fear in me. Do I want to have medical procedures forced upon me in which I have little confidence and considerable concerns? I ran into this dilemma very strongly after reading an article a year ago in the Washington Monthly about the way the FDA cut short studies on the newly developed mRNA vaccines for COVID19 for financial reasons. When I looked at their funders and saw the Bill and Melinda Gates foundation, I became convinced that this wasn't simply an effort to stir up controversy without reason. I would like you to find a way to include the needs and perspectives of Dr Mercola, the Maori people and the World Council for Health as you work on your pandemic treaty. We all fear illness and death. This fear is what drives divisive high stress human relationships. Yet a great scientist like Einstein says it is an illusion. Division nurtures his "illusion". Cooperation and mutual support force us to overcome it. If there is something I can do to help you with this process of social and spiritual healing, please let me know. I'd be happy to do what I can for you.
Einzelunternehmen Claudia Madel	Iam strict against this idea. We have human rights and nobody else should decide over and about our life and lifes. Especially not in questions of medications and isolations. Thank you.
Freedom	Ian treaty that help pharmaceutical companies make more money and enslave the people like animals. I'm against this
Privat	Ich bin gegen einen internationalen Pandemievertrag der WHO, weil die WHO nicht von den Bürgern gewählt wurde. Sie hat kein Mandat und kein Recht, über die Bürger zu entscheiden.
Klinik Filderstadt	Ich lehne das geplante Instrument zur pandemischen Vorsorge ab. I reject the planned instrument for pandemic preparedness.
private	Ich lehne das geplante Instrument zur pandemischen Vorsorge ab. I reject the planned instrument for pandemic preparedness.
Schick	Ich lehne das geplante Instrument zur pandemischen Vorsorge ab. I reject the planned instrument for pandemic preparedness.
private	Ich lehne das geplante Instrument zur pandemischen Vorsorge ab. I reject the planned instrument for pandemic preparedness.
private person	Ich lehne das geplante Instrument zur pandemischen Vorsorge ab. I reject the planned instrument for pandemic preparedness. Urgently required: guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability of government officials, pharmaceutical companies and physicians.
Private	Ich möchte nicht in einem Überwachungsstaat leben. Keine Chinesische Reklementierung, Überwachung und Sozialsysteme. Keinen Impfpass und keine Impfpflicht. Die WHO hat nicht das Recht sich in die Souveränität der Saaten einzumischen. Streichen Sie alles was auf Überwachung und Gängelung hinaus läuft. Die Abhängigkeit von Geldern, welch von der Mike und Melinda Gates Stiftung kommen, lässt die WHO nicht frei entscheiden. Nehmen Sie von diesen Verbrechern keine Gelder an, sonst setzen Sie nur die Weisungen von diesen Geldgeber um und machen sich an der Menschheit schuldig. Ich bin entschieden gegen diesen Pandemie Vertrag.
Privat	Ich Absolut gegen eine WHO als übergeordnete Stelle vorallem weil eine private Instanz ist so darf sich diese nicht über nationales Recht stehn und meine Freiheit beschränken
Privat	Ich als Bürger habe die WHO nicht gewählt ich möchte und werde nicht unter den Gesetzen leben von einer Organisation, die nicht gewählt sondern bezahlt wird. Die Mitgliedstaaten müssen unabhängig bleiben.
privat	Ich befürworte eine freie Impfentscheidung!
Privat	Ich benötige keine Organisatin die auf mich aufpasst. Ich übernehme die Verantwortung für meine Gesundheit selbst.
Privatfrau	Ich bestehe auf die Unabhängigkeit und Souveränität der Staaten und der Weltbevölkerung. Desweiteren bestehe ich auf die Achtung unserer Menschenrechte. Auch bei der gesetzlichen Haftung dürfen weder Unternehmen (Pharmaindustrien) noch Regierungsbeamte, noch Ärzte befreit werden. Die WHO ist keine gewählte Regierung. Sie kann Empfehlungen aussprechen, aber keine Gesetze erlassen oder Handlungen erzwingen!
Bürger der BRD	Ich bestehe darauf, dass es überhaupt keine Einschränkungen und Beschneidungen der Grundrechte und des Grundgesetzes aufgrund angenommenenr, vermuteter oder tatsächlich existenter Pandemien gibt.
No	Ich bin absolut dagegen, dass uns zukünftig eine Institution, die nicht demokratisch gewählt ist und auch nicht demokratisch agiert, dafür aber im Auftrag einer kleinen Interessengruppe handelt, vorschreiben will, was wir Menschen zu tun und zu lassen haben. Das widerspricht allen Errungenschaften einer freien, demokratischen Gesellschaft und es widerspricht der Idee von eigenständigen Nationalstaaten. Es widerspricht der Freiheit und der Würde des Menschen. Ich lehne Ihre Pläne ab. Doris Schröder
SYNERGIA	Ich bin absolut gegen die Einführung dieses Gesundheitspass, oder wie immer Sie das nennen wollen. 1. Die Souveränität eines jeden Landes muss gewährleistet bleiben. 2. Mit diesen Impfobligtorien wird der Nürnberger Kodex gebrochen (Experiment am Menschen , mit am Menschen unerprobten Substanzen) 3. Dazu verstösst das Ganze gegen das Arztgeheimnis 4. Wir werden niemals akzeptieren , unsere Grundrechte , nur noch , abhängig von staatlichen Anordnungen , "gewährt" zu bekommen. 5. Das Übelste (für Euch) : Viele (Alle?) Mitglied-Staaten habt Ihr inzwischen komplett privatisiert, und damit Eure Staatsmacht, VERLOREN,! Das merken auch immer mehr Leute . 6. Dazu die Bemühungen und Pläne , das Bargeld abzuschaffen. DAS WERDEN WIR NIEMALS ZULASSEN. Frage mich überhaupt, dass Ihr NICHTS BESSERES zu tun wisst , als solche perfiden Kontroll Mechanismen zu installieren. ? Das zeigt für wen Ihr das alles macht. Und WIR wissen für Wen, und für wen Ihr das ganz sicher NICHT tut. Für uns , das Volk , das Euch , vertraut (hat)
Privat	Ich bin absolut gegen diesen Pandemievertrag mit der WHO. Gesundheit muss private und nationalstaatliche Sache bleiben. Ich möchte nicht, dass eine Gates Stiftung über mich und meine Gesundheit bestimmt. Das mache nur ich. KEIN PANDEMIEVERTRAG!!!!
Privat	Ich bin absolut gegen ein globalen Pandemiegesetzt.
Deutschland	Ich bin absolut gegen eine Übernahme der WHO gegenüber aller Staaten. Niemals dürfen Menschen zur Impfung gezwungen werden, niemals darf es einen digitalen Impfpass geben. Freiheit und Selbstbestimmung für alle Menschen der Erde.
Non	Ich bin absolut gegen eine who Einmischung ins souveräne Staaten
Privat	Ich bin absolut gegen solche Schritte! Wenn es zustande kommt ähnelt es einer Diktatur und hat mit der Gesundheit der Menschen nichts mehr zu tun. Lasst die Menschen selbst entscheiden was für sie das beste ist. Einer großen Organisation zu viel macht zu geben ist in der Vergangenheit noch nie gut gegangen was uns auch die Geschichtsbücher lehren. Michael Waldburger
Privat	Ich bin als Mensch und begeisterter Europäer entsetzt über dieses vorhaben! Es verletzt die Freiheitsrechte jedes Menschen der WHO solche Vollmachten zu übertragen.
Privatperson	Ich bin ausschließlich nur für ein solches Instrument zur Vorbereitung und Reaktion auf Pandemien, wenn 100%ige Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung für von der WHO empfohlenen Impfungen von den entsprechenden Organen (WHO-Entscheider, Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen etc.) übernommen werden. Zudem kann es nicht sein, dass die WHO Pandemien aufgrund von Labor-Testungen ausrufen kann, sondern es muss eine wirkliche Bedrohung der Bevölkerung durch Tod oder Überlastung der Gesundheitssysteme aufgrund EVIDENZBASIERTER DATEN vorliegen. Es muss neu definiert werden, wann und unter welchen Umständen die WHO Pandemien ausrufen kann und darf. Die Grund- und Freiheitsrechte der Bevölkerung dürfen nur dann durch diverse Maßnahmen eingeschränkt werden, wenn keine gelinderen Mittel zur Verfügung stehen.
Privat Person	Ich bin dafür, das im Falle von Pandemien und Krisen jeder Staat und jede Region ihre Autonomie und ihre Verantwortung selbst verwalten und übernehmen muss, da wir alle unterschiedlich sind. Wir sind nicht alle gleich!!!!!!! Mann kann einem pflanzenfressenden Tier nicht Fleisch aufzwingen, und ein Raubtier noch zwingen. Wenn ein paar Wenige glauben, dass sie das Recht hätten, über andere zu bestimmen, dann sollte man diese Wenigen aus der Gesellschaft ausschließen, denn sie haben nicht verstanden was Gesellschaft bedeutet. Die Völker müssen ein Selbstbestimmungsrecht haben. Alles andere ist Diktatur und Nötigung. Diejenigen die über andere bestimmen wollen gehören aus der EU ausgeschlossen. Und die Europäer sollten sich nie von NICHTEUROPÄERN regieren lassen. Und auch nicht von irgendwelchen industriellen Kartellen. EUROPÄISCHE Völker sollten sich selbst regieren!!!!!!!! Jedes Volk sollte gleiches Stimmrecht besitzen, und seine Autonomie voll und ganz behalten. Brigitte Cafariello
Michelin	Ich bin dagegen
	Ich bin dagegen und hoffe, dass dieser Vertrag nicht zustande kommt!
Private	Ich bin dagegen! Es setzt die Menschenrechte und meine Selbstbestimmung außer Kraft. Niemand steht es zu, über andere Menschen und deren Gesundheit zu entscheiden!
EU-Bürger	Ich bin dagegen!! Es gibt dadurch KEINE Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Es wird dadurch der Willkür Tür und Tor geöffnet. NEIN!!! NEIN!!! NEIN!!!
EU-Bürger	Ich bin dagegen!! Es gibt dadurch KEINE Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Es wird dadurch der Willkür Tür und Tor geöffnet. NEIN!!! NEIN!!! NEIN!!!
MFG	Ich bin dagegen!! Es gibt dadurch KEINE Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Es wird dadurch der Willkür Tür und Tor geöffnet. NEIN!!! NEIN!!! NEIN
Bürger	Ich bin dagegen!!!
Private	Ich bin dagegen!!!!!!
NGO	Ich bin DAGEGEN, dass eine internationale Pandemiebekämpfung durch die WHO installiert werden soll. Jeder Staat MUSS seine Souveränität behalten!
Keine	Ich bin dagegen,da die WHO nicht vom Bürger gewählt ist und damit keinerlei Rechte bekommen sollte.Vieles hat die WHO schon falsch gemacht und eine alleinige Gesundheitsmacht ist für mich inakzeptabel.Jedes Land ist für sich verantwortlich.Die WHO lebt von Spenden .Und dadurch kann sie nie unabhängig sein.Eine Gesundheitsweltmacht darf es niemals geben.Zu viel Macht wurde in der Vergangenheit schon missbraucht.
Privat	Ich bin dagegen. Strategien zur Eindämmung der Pandemie sollte den einzelnen Ländern überlassen werden.
Fire Departement	Ich bin dagegen. Die Würde aller Menschen ist unantastbar. Jeder Mensch sollte über sich und seine Gesundheit selbst bestimmen dürfen.
Keine	Ich bin dagegen. Es bedarf keiner internationalen Regelung. Schon garnicht durch die WHO bzw. deren Sponsoren.
Mutter, Ärztin	Ich bin definitiv gegen die Einführung eines Impf-/Genesenennachweises o.ä. Die aktuellen Impfstoffe haben weder eine „dauerhafte" Zulassung in Deutschland noch gibt es eine offene Diskussion über Nebenwirkungen noch besteht eine 100% Immunität durch diese Impfstoffe. Auch fehlen Langzeitstudien. Ebenso sollte eine genaue Analyse erfolgen, wie hoch die Zahl der Verstorbenen durch Covid 19 wirklich ist. Hinzu kommt, eine Erkrankung durch Covid 19 ist nicht für alle gefährlich. Man braucht KEIne Impfung!
Priv. Person	Ich bin der Meinung, dass es keinen weltweiten Pandemievertrag geben sollte. Ich denke, dass die Regierungen für die Menschen entscheiden sollten, die sie gewählt haben. Die Politiker haben den Auftrag Entscheidungen zu treffen, die die Menschenrechte des Einzelnen zu bewahren haben. Die Grundrechte des Einzelnen sind ein hohes Gut und nicht umzuwerfen. Das Vertrauen in die Politik und auch in die WHO sollte nicht noch weiter sinken durch derartige Maßnahmen.
Privat person	Ich bin der Meinung, dass keine internationale Organisationen, inklusive WHO dürfen entscheiden, wie in einzelnen Ländern mit der Pandemie und allgemein mit der Gesundheit der Bürger umgegangen werden soll. In jedem Land müssen Gesundheit Angelegenheiten individuell reguliert werden. Impfungen ist nicht die Sache der WHO. Die WHO sollte sich nur an die Beratung konzentrieren und nur dann, wenn man darum bittet.
privat	Ich bin ein freier Mensch und möchte ein freier Mensch bleiben! niemand darf für mich entscheiden, welche Impfung ich persönlich für meinen Körper für richtig halte. Das entscheide alleine ich, zusammen mit dem Arzt meines Vertrauens. Mit diesem Vorhaben nehmen Sie den Menschen jegliche Selbstverantwortung!
Privat	Ich bin eindeutig gegen eine solche Regelung. Damit wird in demokratische Rechte von Milliarden Menschen und nationale Gesetzgebung eingegriffen. Eine nicht von Bürgern gewählte, zum Teil privat finanzierte Organisation kann und darf nicht über nationales Recht befinden. Das ist zutiefst antidemokratisch! Belassen Sie es dabei, wie bisher üblich, Empfehlungen auszusprechen!
None	Ich bin entschieden für ein Stopp des Pandemievertrags, da er der WHO eine von keinem demokratischen Gremium legitimierte Macht gibt und die Souveränität der Staaten und Personen aushebeln würde. Für freie Impfentscheidungen überall! Keine digitale Identität! Für Mündigkeit und Eigenverantwortung des Menschen!
Privat	Ich bin entschieden gegen einen internationalen Pandemievertrag !!! Gegen alle Zentralisierungen!
Privat	Ich bin etschieden dagegen, dass die WHO in rechtsstaatliche Prinzipien von Nationalstaaten eingreift.
Privat	Ich bin für die Souveränität der Nationalstaaten bei der Pandemiebekämpfung. Die Menschenrechte müssen gewart werden und damit das Recht jedes Bürgers auf freie gesundheitliche und medizinische Entscheidungen. In diesem Bereich darf es keine Digitalisierung geben. Der Datenschutz verdient unbedingt Achtung.
Non	Ich bin für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen. Für die Achtung der Menschenrechte. Jeder sollte selbstbestimmt handeln können. Haftung von Pharma-Unternehmen und WHO sollten gewährleistet sein. Die Pandemie wurde künstlich hochgeputscht. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Theresa Bösl
keine	Ich bin für eine Entscheidungsbefugnis in dem jeweiligen Ort wo ich wohne, also eine dezentrale Struktur, Föderalismus in der Stadt wo ich lebe. Nur Personen vor Ort können die gesundheitliche Lage, die Auslastung der Krankenhäuser und das Ausmaß der Pandemie lokal abwägen. Deshalb ist ja der Katastrophenschutz so aufgebaut und dies macht auch Sinn.
private citizen	Ich bin für Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. The most important for me is, that souveranity of National State, respect for human rights is guaranteed, or legal liability for governmental officiants and/or pharmazeutical industries will be guaranteed.
Keine	Ich bin für Menschenrechte, freie Wahl, Transparenz und gegen den Vertrag!
Keine	Ich bin gänzlich gegen diese C-Impfung, denn es ist ein Grundrecht über den eigenen Körper selber zu bestimmen. Ich bin auch gegen die Überwachung durch den grünen Pass. Das brauchen wir nicht!
Privat	Ich bin gegen alle Maßnahmen, welche eine generelle Impfpflicht ermöglichen. Es soll der WHO nicht ermöglicht werden, den Regierungen Maßnahmen zum eindämmen von Pandemien vorschreiben zu können. Die WHO soll keine Berechtigung erhalten, den einzelnen Staaten der EU oder der gesamten EU vorzuschreiben, dass irgendwelche Impfungen verpflichtend durchgeführt werden müssen. Das Pandemiemanagement soll in den Händen der einzelnen Staaten verbleiben, und nicht der WHO übertragen werden. Es darf unter keinen Umständen eine generelle Impfpflicht geben! Ich bin dagegen, dass die WHO die Möglichkeit erhält in die Gesundheitsgebarung der Mitgliedsstaaten der EU eingreifen darf!

Organization	Written submission
Gubert	Ich bin gegen das einführen eines allgemeinen Impfzertifikates. Jeder Bürger sollte selber über die Impfungen und seinen Körper entscheiden können. Pharmaindustrie und Politiker die Impfungen einführen und befürworten die nicht ausreichenden getestet und erprobt sind sollten haftbar gemacht werden können von Bürgern die Impfnebenwirkungen und Impfschäden erlitten haben. In einer Pandemie sollte von Fachleuten und nicht von der Pharmaindustrie bestimmt werden welche Maßnahmen nötig sind und welche nicht. Es soll nie wieder möglich sein Menschen in einer Pandemie vom gesellschaftlichen Leben auszuschließen. Es sollte immer zuerst eine Kosten Nutzen Abwägung von unabhängigen Experten getroffen werden. Das psychische und gesellschaftliche Leben soll dabei eine große Rolle spielen. Die Coronapandemie muss zuerst aufgearbeitet werden um kollateral Schäden für die Zukunft zu vermeiden und die psychischen Folgen der Maßnahmen einschätzbar zu machen.
Privat	Ich bin gegen den Panddmiertrag weil es die Verfassung der einzelnen Mitgliedsländer aushebelt und unterwandert. Also ein klares Nein zu diesem Pandemie-Vertrag von der WHO
EU Bürger	Ich bin gegen den Pandemie Vertrag. No, No, No!
Deutschland	Ich bin gegen den Pandemievertrag, die Nationalstaaten müssen ihre Souveränität behalten und ihre Verfassung bewahren.
Privat	Ich bin gegen den Pandemievertrag. Jedes Land sollte selbstständig Maßnahmen festlegen können und selbstständig handeln und dabei die Grundrechte des Volkes einhalten! Die Grundrechte müssen über allem stehen und dürfen nicht ausgeheberte werden
Privat	Ich bin gegen den Pandemievertrag. Bei einer Organisation, die global die Entscheidungen trifft, gibt es keine Nationalen Interessen mehr. Selbstbestimmung und Freiheit werden noch mehr eingeschränkt. Kann die Einhaltung der Menschenrechte garantiert werden? Ich fürchte leider Korruption, Lobbyismus und Machtausnutzung.
Privat	Ich bin gegen der Einmischung der WHO in unser Land und andere Länder. Jestem przeciwny mieszania sie WHO w nasz kraj. My mamy swoją konstytucje. I am against the interference of WHO in our country. We have our own constitution.
Der Sorglospraktiker	Ich bin gegen die Ausweitung der WHO ich bestimme selbst über meinen Körper und das bleibt auch so
Geschäftsführer	Ich bin gegen die Einführung einer Europäischen Impfpflicht und der Bargeld Abschaffung Hochachtungsvoll R.Schmidt
Privat	Ich bin gegen die Einschränkung der Souveränität der einzelnen Länder und Staaten. Ich verlange die Haftung der Pharmaindustrie und der Staaten und Länder für Impfschäden jedes Einzelnen. Ich verlange eine wissenschaftlich fundierte und unabhängige Recherche der Impfschäden. Ich verlange, dass Ärzte ernst genommen werden und nicht von den Ärztekammern mundtot gemacht werden. Ich verlange, dass Menschen über alternative Gesunderhaltungsmaßnahmen informiert werden. Ich verlange, dass die Pharmaindustrie keine Gewinne aus dem schlägt inklusive Bill Gates Stiftung etc. Ich verlange die Wahrung der Menschenrechte und die Entscheidung über das eigene Leben und die eigene Gesundheit. Ich verlange Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Carola Monika Brunner
Privat	Ich bin gegen diese angestrebte Gesundheitsdiktatur. Hört auf die Menschen zu bevormunden.
Private Person	Ich bin gegen diesen Pandemievertrag, da er das Selbstbestimmungsrecht der Nationalstaaten außerkraft setzt und die WHO von niemandem gewählt wird.
Zivilist	Ich bin gegen diesen Vertrag, da dieser in die Privatsphäre sowie gegen das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit, verstößt Dieser Vertrag dient nur einem Zweck, dem Diktat der WHO über die Weltbevölkerung egal bei welcher Krankheit, eine Impfpflicht, egal in welcher Form sie auch ist, zu erlassen! Dies ist auf das gröbste fahrlässig und verstößt gegen menschliches Recht!
keine	Ich bin gegen diesen Vertrag, da er die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten aushebelt und sie zwangsverpflichtet. Sollte er zustande kommen, muss die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Entscheidungsfreiheit ohne Zwang und Repessalien gewährleistet sein. Ganz besonders wenn es um den eigenen Körper geht. Die Menschenrechte müssen geachtet werden und und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten für ihre Anordnungen und deren Folgen und die gesetzliche Haftung der Pharmaunternehmen für ihre Produkte, die Wahrheit der Aussagen darüber und die Folgen wenn man diese Produkte konsumiert müssen gewährleistet sein. Es müssen ALLE wissenschaftlichen Stimmen, auch die der anders denkenden Wissenschaftler und Ärzte gehört und berücksichtigt werden. An erster Stelle sollte immer die Selbstbestimmung über das eigene Leben (oder Krankheit und Tod) stehen. I am against this treaty because it undermines the sovereignty of the individual states and makes them compulsory. Should it come about, the sovereignty of the state and the individual, freedom of choice without coercion and reprisals must be guaranteed. Especially when it comes to your own body. Human rights must be respected and the legal liability of government officials for their orders and their consequences and the legal liability of pharmaceutical companies for their products, the truth of the statements made about them and the consequences of consuming these products must be guaranteed. ALL scientific voices, including those of scientists and doctors who think differently, must be heard and taken into account. Self-determination over one's own life (or illness and death) should always come first.
privat	Ich bin gegen diesen Vertrag, er schränkt die Souveränität der Staaten ein. Ich sehe meine Freiheit dadurch bedroht, ebenso unser Grundgesetz, welches durch so einen Vertrag ausgehebelt wird.
Privat	Ich bin gegen dieses vertragmheder Mensch sollte selbst bestimmen wie er lebt und was er nimmt.. Stoppt den Vertrag
	Ich bin gegen ein Impfpflichtgesetz. Jeder Bürger sollte selbst entscheiden können ob er sich impfen lässt. Schon gleich diese Impfung nicht davor schützt zu erkranken und schon garnicht davor andere anzustecken. Also eine sterile Immunisierung unmöglich ist. Mfg
	Ich bin gegen eine erzwungene Impfpflicht, da überhaupt nicht geschaut wird, welche massiven Nebenwirkungen diese verursacht. Bitte schauen Sie da genau hin und recherchieren endlich mal, bevor solche unsinnigen Gesetze verabschiedet werden.
Keine	Ich bin gegen eine generelle Impfpflicht und fordere stattdessen: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen. Garantien für die Achtung der Menschenrechte (vor allem Recht körperlicher Unversehrtheit, Reisefreiheit, Meinungsfreiheit, Religionsfreiheit, keine Diskriminierung, auch nicht wegen freier Impfentscheidung und anderer Entscheidungen die eigene Person betreffend, Achtung der Freiheitssphäre des Einzelnen - keine Überwachung und/oder Kontrolle, Gedankenfreiheit, Gewissensfreiheit, Kunstfreiheit, Informationsfreiheit - keine Zensur, auch nicht duch google, facebook, twitter, youtube und Co., Recht auf soziale Sicherheit) Garantien für die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungen, Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen, Ärzten und Apothekern. Außerdem muss die WHO-Pandemie-Definition (wieder) in die Fassung von 2009 geändert werden - eine Pandemie begründet sich vor allem in der Anzahl der Toten - eine Pandemie geht mit einer enormen Zahl von Todes- und Erkrankungsfällen einher - es geht nicht um Überlastung des Gesundheitssystems!
Keine	Ich bin gegen eine Impfpflicht, da diese Behandlung keinen vollständigen Schutz darstellt. Eine Ansteckung und Verbreitung ist dennoch möglich. Impfexperten warnen vor einem zu kurzen Impfintervall, da dies das Immunsystem schädigen könnte. Jeder Mensch sollte diese Entscheidung selbst treffen und Kinder zu impfen finde ich wirklich falsch.
Impffrei	Ich bin gegen eine Impfpflicht, es gilt die Unversehrtheit Nach dem Nürberger Codex!
	Ich bin gegen eine Impfpflicht, Menschen werden Diskriminiert, Grundrechte mit den Füßen getreten. WHO ist für mich passe.
privat	Ich bin gegen eine kontrollierte Verwaltung und steuerung einer Pandemie durch die WHO, jedes Land muß selbst entscheiden können ob und wie es in einer Pandemie vorgeht !!!! jegliche Einflußnahme von anderen auf den einzelnen Staat in Gesundheitsfragen lehne ich kategorisch ab ! die Suveränität der Staaten darf nicht durch Einflußnahme der Pharma, die ja Hauptsponsor der WHO ist (und daher auch massiv Einfluß nehmen kann), untergraben werden , daher ein striktes NEIN zur zetralen Pandemieverwaltung weltweit !!!!!!!!!!!! --
6134 Vomp	Ich bin gegen eine neue Pandemie Verordnung. Es braucht überhaupt keine neue Pandemie Verordnung. Hubert Ringler
Hattinger Ruderverein	Ich bin gegen eine Stärkung des Pandemie-Gesetzes. Das Gesetz sollte stattdessen Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl stärken. Die Menschen wollen Freiheit und Selbstbestimmung in medizinischen Maßnahmen und bei der medizinischen Behandlung sowie Prävention. Jeder ist für seinen Körper und seine Gesundheit selbst verantwortlich und darf nicht zu Behandlung gezwungen werden oder vom gesellschaftlichen Leben ausgeschlossen werden. Wir wollen nicht, dass dieser Vertrag unterzeichnet wird und die WHO eine unbeschreibliche Macht bekommt. Macht kann auch mißbraucht werden. Wir setzen lieber auf Freiheit und Selbstbestimmung für ein friedliches Miteinander.
Privat	Ich bin gegen eine weltweite Verfügung über Gesundheitsprobleme in den Staaten. Die einzelnen Staaten müssen auch weiterhin darüber bestimmten können, was ihre Bürger dürfen oder nicht dürfen. Die demokratisch gewählten Regierungen müssen auch weiterhin ausführende Organe im Interesse ihrer Bürger bleiben. Eine Ausdehnung der Macht der WHO lehne ich entschieden ab.
Solarstrom	Ich bin gegen einen global gültigen Pandemieplan. Dazu hat die WHO als Organisation ohne Souverän keine Kompetenz! Bei solchen Vorhaben müssen zwingend die Menschenrechte und die Souveränität der einzelnen Mitgliedstaaten beachtet werden!
privat	Ich bin gegen einen globalen Pandemievertrag. Wenn manche Organisationen glauben dass ein solcher Vertrag notwendig ist, dann muss er zwingend klare, unmissverständliche und unumgehbare Regelungen und Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des einzelnen Bürgers, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen enthalten.
privat	Ich bin gegen einen globalen Pandemievertrag. Wenn manche Organisationen glauben dass ein solcher Vertrag notwendig ist, dann muss er zwingend klare, unmissverständliche und unumgehbare Regelungen und Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des einzelnen Bürgers, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen enthalten.
Private	Ich bin gegen einen globalen Pandemievertrag. Fall er zustande kommt sollte er. Folgendes beinhalten: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
Bürger	Ich bin gegen einen globalen Pandemievertrag. Wir brauchen Transparenz und Freiheit. Unsere Grundrechte dürfen zu keiner Zeit und von Niemandem genommen werden. Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar und das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit muss immer bleiben.
Nicht organisiert	Ich bin gegen einen globalen Vertrag und für die Einhaltung der Grundrechte unserer Verfassung / Grundgesetz. Die Freiheit und Selbstbestimmung der Menschen ist unangreifbar.
Privat	Ich bin gegen einen Impfpass - die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar - mein Körper gehört mir. Deshalb ein klares Nein!!!!
Privat	Ich bin gegen einen internationalen Vertrag da die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Staates gewährleistet bleiben muss. Internationale anerkannte Zertifikate und Verträge dürfen nicht über der Verfassung des einzelnen Staates stehen.Grundrechte müssen gewahrt bleiben. Die persönlich Freiheit und Wahrung der körperlichen Unversehrtheit muss obenstes Ziel sein.
Private	Ich bin gegen einen Pandemie Vertrag der die Souveränität der Staaten aushebelt. Die Staaten soll ein Veto Recht haben und den Staaten soll Mitbestimmung eingeräumt werden. Auch will ich keine Impfpflicht oder Impfpass durch die Hintertür der WHO.
Keine	Ich bin gegen einen Pandemie Vertrag für Mitgliedsstaaten der EU.
Privatperson	Ich bin gegen einen Pandemie Vertrag, der den Ländern vorschreibt, wie sie mit Pandemien und Naturkatastrophen umgehen müssen. Jedes Land muss dies weiterhin selbst entscheiden! Ein klares Nein zu diesem Vertrag, welcher die Länder und Menschen ihrer Souveränität und Selbstbestimmung beraubt.
Privat	Ich bin gegen einen Pandemievertrag , da ich finde es sollte jedes Land selbst entscheiden können wie sie vorgehen . Ich vertraue auf meine von mir gewählten Vertreter und möchte solche Entscheidungen auch in dessen Hand wissen . Die who kann gerne weiterhin Empfehlungen abgeben , aber mehr nicht . Grüße Iris Pernek
EU Bürgerin	Ich bin gegen einen Pandemievertrag mit Ihrer Organisation. Die Bürger können für ihre Gesundheit oder Krankheit selbst entscheiden. Dieser Vertrag ist sinnlos. Ich will ihrerseits keine Bevormundung in Gesundheitsfragen, da die jüngste Vergangenheit bewiesen hat, dass Ihre Einschätzungen falsch und nicht evidenzbasiert waren. Also akzeptieren Sie mein ausdrückliches NEIN zu einem Pandemievertrag. Ich traue Euch nicht über den Weg.
EU Bürgerin	Ich bin gegen einen solchen Vertrag. Der Bürger und die einzelnen Staaten sind der souverän. Und wir haben das alleinige Recht über unsere Körper und Lebens zu entscheiden.
Privat	Ich bin gegen einen WHO Pandemie Abkommen, das die Entscheidung der nationalen Regierungen einschränkt.
Privat	Ich bin gegen jede Art von Zwang und ich will über mich und meinen Körper frei entscheiden können!!!
Privat	Ich bin gegen jedes internationales Element zur Vorbereitung von Pandemien. Es gilt zu jeder Zeit das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit.
private	Ich bin GEGEN jedwede Einschränkung individueller Freiheitsrechte und gegen jede Ausweitung von Kontrollmaßnahmen und körperlicher Übergriffe.
Eltern für Aufklärung	Ich bin gegen jegliche Form Von Bevormundung des persönlichen Weges der gesundheitsbewahrung. Kontrolle,Impfung und Masken lehne ich völlig ab. All dieGründe dafür kennen sie am besten. Mfg Gabriele Küther-Staudler
Privat	Ich bin gegen jegliche im Pflicht ich bitte Sie jeden Menschen es selber zu überlassen ob er sich impfen möchte oder nicht das Gesetz kann und darf nicht länger existieren jedes Jahr sterben zig Tausende Personen an Grippe da hat man noch nie einen solchen Wirbel gemacht wie in den letzten zwei Jahren mit Corona unvorstellbar nicht tragbar Leute wie mich Monate Weise weg zu sperren ich bitte Sie das einmal zu überdenken was ihr mit der Jugend angefangen habt denn die Schäden die sind heute und morgen noch nicht sichtbar
Bürger	Ich bin gegen solchen Vertrag der die Grundrechte aushebelt!!!!!!Das Corona Virus wird es nicht mehr stärker geben,als Omikron und die 2 Variante!!!Das habt ihr selber in den Medien verbreitet!!!!!
GfÜ	Ich bin gegen Zentralisierung von Macht... Und gegen die digitale Überwachung... Stoppt diesen Wahnsinn.... Ihr wurdet von niemandem gewählt... Also verhaltet euch auch entsprechend.... I'm against centralization of power... And against digital surveillance... Stop this madness.... You weren't elected by anyone... So behave accordingly....
Humen be	Ich bin generell gegen zwangsmassnahmen. Also auch gegen eine impfpflicht. So etwas sollte nach 1945 nie wieder passieren!
privat	Ich bin in dem Vertrag für den Erhalt des Souverän des Staates, den Erhalt der Menschen- und Grundrechte. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Gertrud Janscha
Besorgte Bürgerin	Ich bin mit dem geplanten Vertrag NICHT einverstanden. Dieser gleicht einer Diktatur und hebelt Menschenrechte aus! Die Menschheit wird der Pharmaindustrie versklavt ! In meinem Augen ist Ihre Organisation der Handlanger ihrer Sponsoren.
Private	Ich bin mit dem Pandemievertrag und mit allem was mit diesem im Zusammenhang steht nicht einverstanden! Ich kann für mich persönlich weder einen menschlichen noch einen medizinischen Nutzen daraus erkennen.
WHO	Ich bin nicht dafür das ein nicht Staatliche Organisation, die durch Reiche Kontrolliert wird..
no Organization, privat	Ich bin nicht damit einverstanden, dass der Pandemie-Vertrag realisiert wird. Ich fordere die Verantwortlichen dazu auf, von der Durchsetzung komplett abzusehen. Dieser Pandemie-Vertrag gefährdet die Freiheit und das Wohlergehen der Bürger aller beteiligten Staaten. Die Öffentlichkeit muss eingehend über die Folgen des Pandemie-Vertrags aufgeklärt werden.
Privat	Ich bin nicht damit einverstanden, dass die Bestimmungen und Richtlinien der WHO in Zukunft über den Gesetzen der Nationalstaaten stehen. Jedes Land muss eigenständig entscheiden welche Maßnahmen es umsetzt zum Schutz seiner Bürger. Dies gilt auch im Fall einer weltweiten Pandemie. Die Souveränität der Staaten muss unantastbar bleiben.
Preußen	Ich bin nicht einverstanden und sage Nein. Nur Gott kann uns heilen. Gott ist die Wahrheit und die Liebe. Lesen Sie die Bibel und sagen Sie die Wahrheit damit alle Menschen gerettet werden.
keine Organisation	Ich bin nicht einverstanden, dass die EU in einem Pandemiefall über Maßnahmen bestimmen darf
Privat Person	Ich bin nicht für eine allgemeine impfplicht bitte um eine Offenlegung der nicht verfälschten impfschaden und impfnebenwirkungen darum darf auf keinen Fall eine impfplicht kommen jeder Mensch hat Antikörper und darum lasse ich mir mein Immunsystem nicht zerstören
RUBBLE MASTER CS spol.s r.o.	Ich bin strickt gegen Ihrem Pandemievertrag! Im übrigen wäre es auch sinnvoll für sooo eine Weltorganisation die Homapage in anderen als nur englischen Sprache auszuführen so dass sich zu solchen wichtige Themen auch die breite Masse äußern kann.
Keine	Ich bin strikt DAGEGEN, dass in Zukunft: 1. ungerechtfertigte Pandemien ausgerufen werden 2. menschenunwürdige Maßnahmen verhängt werden 3. teure, unsichere und unwirksame Behandlungen durchgeführt werden 4. Zensur und Desinformation über Behandlungen geduldet werden Ich möchte in JEDER Hinsicht, und ganz besonders wenn es um MEINE Gesundheit geht, selbstbestimmte Entscheidungen treffen dürfen und nicht von einer Organisation bevormundet werden!
Privat	Ich bin strikt dagegen. Und hinterfragt eure Abhängigkeiten und Interessenskonflikte! Und checkt endlich eine genaue Definition von Pandemie.
Privatperson	Ich bin strikt gegen diesen Entwurf über einen Pandemievertrag! Aber wenn dieser Entwurf beschlossen wird muss zumindest enthalten sein, dass Garantien für die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Staates und jedes einzelnen Menschen sowie die uneingeschränkte Achtung der Menschenrechte, der Grund- und Freiheitsrechte garantiert werden. Darüberhinaus muss die persönliche Haftung der verantwortlichen Politiker, Regierungsbeamten, verantwortlichen Experten sowie Pharmaunternehmen und weitere verantwortliche Beteiligte für jegliche gesundheitliche und ökonomische Schäden verankert sein.
Privatperson	Ich bin strikt gegen diesen Entwurf über einen Pandemievertrag! Aber wenn dieser Entwurf beschlossen wird muss zumindest enthalten sein, dass Garantien für die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Staates und jedes einzelnen Menschen sowie die uneingeschränkte Achtung der Menschenrechte, der Grund- und Freiheitsrechte garantiert werden. Darüberhinaus muss die persönliche Haftung der verantwortlichen Politiker, Regierungsbeamten, verantwortlichen Experten sowie Pharmaunternehmen und weitere verantwortliche Beteiligte für jegliche gesundheitliche und ökonomische Schäden verankert sein.
kächelen.de	Ich bin strikt gegen ein solches Instrument wie "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response"; solche Instrumente sind m.E. gefährlich, weil sie die Autonomie der Nationalstaaten untergraben.
Freiheit der Gedanken	Ich bin strikt gegen eine Zentralisierung über die WHO und lehne daher eine Einmischung Ihrer Geldgeber in das weltweite Gesundheitsgeschehen ab. Die Impfpflicht ist in keiner Weise vertretbar. Sie stellt einen massiven Eingriff in die Selbstbestimmung über den eigenen Körper, in die körperliche Unversehrtheit dar, ohne dass sie für den Schutz der Gesundheit der Gesellschaft oder der Verhinderung der Überlastung des Gesundheitswesens einen Vorteil böte. Sie stellt indirekt einen massiven Eingriff in die Erwerbsfreiheit dar, weil sie sich sehr stark und negativ auf die Möglichkeiten der Berufsausübung auswirken würde. Darüber hinaus ist es ethisch in keiner Weise vertretbar, die Menschen zu zwingen, sich einen nicht vollständig erprobten und nur bedingt – unter Annahme einer Notlage – vorläufig zugelassenen „Impfstoff*" verabreichen zu lassen. Zudem weisen einige propagierte „Impfstoffe" gegenüber anderen Impfstoffen und Arzneimitteln ein sehr ungünstiges Risikoprofil auf. (* Obwohl das Wort „Impfung" von der Regierung ganz bewusst und wohl verharmlosend verwendet wird, sprechen seriöse Experten nicht von „Impfung", sondern von einer Gen-Therapie).
Bürger	Ich bin strikt gegen einen weltweiten Pandemie-Vertrag. Der Schutz der Gesundheit ist in erster Linie das Recht eines jeden Individuums! Keine Organisation, schon gar nicht die WHO, hat das Recht sich da einzumischen! Auch die einzelnen Nationalstaaten müssen sich so weit wie möglich aus den persönlichen Gesundheitsfragen raushalten! Niemand darf zu einer Behandlung gezwungen werden, unter keinen Umständen! Der Nationalstaat hat nur die Infrastruktur aufrechtzuerhalten! Dafür Sorge zu tragen, dass genügend medizinische Personal zur Verfügung steht und dieses auch gut für ihre Arbeit bezahlt wird, dann werden sich auch immer genügend Personen finden, die im medizinischen Bereich arbeiten wollen. Grundsätzlich gilt der Nürnberger Kodex von 1947! Im übrigen kann man die Gesundheitsfragen nur sicher regional regeln. Die Pharma-Lobby darf in gesundheitlichen Fragen weder mitbestimmen und schon gar nicht mitentscheiden! Ich appelliere an Sie alle lassen Sie die Entscheidung über die Gesundheit bei jedem einzelnen freien Individuum! Die Welt braucht mehr Freiheit und weniger Kontrolle und Zwang!
Privat	Ich bin vehement GEGEN den Vertrag mit der WHO. Dafür gibt es viele Gründe. Der wichtigste ist der nicht akzeptable Verstoß gegen Verfassungen und Grundrechte. Diese MÜSSEN gewart sein. Wieso sollte eine Organisation entsprechende Rechte bekommen, um diese Aushebeln zu können. NIEMALS. Selbst die Beratung war in der Vergangenheit nicht in der Dienlichkeit schlüssig. Danke, wenn sie diese Meinung achten.
Privat	Ich bitte Sie das Recht der körperlichen Unversehrtheit jedes Menschen anzuerkennen und die damit Entscheidungsfreiheit über medizinische Eingriffe. Zentral entschiedene Gesetze und Verordnungen, erlassen von nicht vom Volk direkt gewählten und dem Großteil der Menschen unbekannten Personen, in einer weit entfernten Stadt und Land, hat für mich nichts mehr mit Demokratie zu tun und kann von der Struktur her, nicht den Willen der Menschen in jedem Land wiederspiegeln. Gesetze sollten jeder Gemeinschaft als Leitfaden dienen, um den Menschen in ihrem Leben Struktur zu geben und dabei den Naturgesetze folgend auf einer menschenwürdiges, freien und friedlichen Grundhaltung aufbauen. Ich lehne deshalb den Gesetzentwurf strikt ab. Mein Körper gehört mir und ich entscheide deshalb selbst über meinen Körper. So wie es jeder Mensch tun sollte.
Privat	Ich bitte Sie das Recht der körperlichen Unversehrtheit jedes Menschen anzuerkennen und die damit verbundene Entscheidungsfreiheit über medizinische Eingriffe. Zentral entschiedene Gesetze und Verordnungen, erlassen von nicht vom Volk direkt gewählten und dem Großteil der Menschen unbekannten Personen, in einer weit entfernten Stadt und Land, hat für mich nichts mehr mit Demokratie zu tun und kann von der Struktur her, nicht den Willen der Menschen in jedem Land wiederspiegeln. Gesetze sollten jeder Gemeinschaft als Leitfaden dienen, um den Menschen in ihrem Leben Struktur zu geben und dabei den Naturgesetzen folgend auf einer menschenwürdigen, freien und friedlichen Grundhaltung aufbauen. Ich lehne deshalb den Gesetzesentwurf strikt ab. Mein Körper gehört mir und ich entscheide deshalb nach besten Wissen und Gewissen für mich selbst. Vielen Dank, das Sie dies Respektieren.
privatperson	Ich bitte Sie gegen diesen Vertragsabschluss zu stimmen. Sollte es unnötiger Weise doch dazu kommen, bitte ich Sie bei einem Vertragsabschluss auf Folgendes zu achten: - die Souveränität der einzelnen Länder und Personen - Achtung der Menschenrechte! (z. B. Unversehrtheit des Körpers) - Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharma, damit es kein "Selbstläufer" wird. Dieser Vertrag hat großes Potential, missbraucht zu werden! Schauen Sie in Ihr Herz, bevor Sie zustimmen!!!!! Ihnen wurde in Ihrer Stellung eine große Verantwortung auferlegt!!!
Privat	Ich bitte Sie zu berücksichtigen daß ich die Selbstbestimmung bei behalten möchte ,frei Arztwahl, und eigene Entscheidung was mit meinen Körper passiert oder was nicht! , Auch vorgeschriebene Therapien (Behandlungen ,geht bei mir nur über die eigen - Verantwortung)!!!! Punkt .!!!! Bitte vor dem abstimmen prüfen
Privat	Ich bitte um Beachtung der Freiheit über den eigenen Körper, um Selbstbestimmung und Entscheidungsfreiheit für den Einzelnen. Das Wohl der Allgemeinheit die Gesundheit und körperliche Unversehrtheit betreffend darf nicht über das Wohl des Einzelnen gestellt werden. Die Entscheidung für oder gegen eine Impfung oder Medikation jedweder Art muss die freie Entscheidung des Einzelnen bleiben, ohne dafür Repressalien in Kauf nehmen zu müssen.
Keine	Ich bleibe bei der bisherigen freiwilligen medizinischen und privaten Äußerungen zum friedlichen, mitmenschlichen Art und Weise, wie Menschen behandelt werden und lehne jede Bevormundung und Überredung zu Eingriffen des Persönlichkeitsrechts ab. Ich respektiere die Entscheidung des anderen, mit sich selbst und seinem Körper nachlässig und krankmachend umzugehen und behalte mir meine Option, gesund und frei zu sein. Dies erwarte ich von Ihnen ebenfalls.
Privat	Ich brauch keine Impfpflicht...ich entscheide selbst!
Bürger	Ich brauche keinen Pandemie Vertrag.Habe selbst gelernt zu denken.
Privatperson	Ich drücke mich klar gegen eine solche Verordnung aus, die staatliche Souveränität und Eigenverantwortung muss bleiben, sowie das Verfassungsrecht in Österreich als höchste Instanz! KEINE ÜBERSTAATLICHEN BESTIMMUNGEN!
-	Ich entscheide für mich. Keine Impfpflicht. Bin kein Versuchskaninchen für die Medizin. Sowohl als Auch Studien berücksichtigen. Politiker dürfen keine mutwilligen Entscheidungen über die Menschen im Land treffen dürfen. Immer beide Seiten eines Inhaltes aussprechen dürfen.
Privat	Ich erwarte mir Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. Christine Slezak
Privat	Ich erwarte mir Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen
Einzelne Person	Ich erwarte, dass folgendes in dem Vertrag festgeschrieben wird: Garantien für die Souveränität meines Staates und des Einzelnen Achtung der Menschenrechte und gesetzliche Haftungvon Regierungsbeamten und/ oder der Pharmaunternehmen
Keine	Ich finde es nicht gut, wenn jetzt schon lukrative Verträge mit der Pharmaindustrie abgeschlossen werden wegen Krankheiten, die heute noch nicht bekannt sind. Digitale Unterlagen der Menschen schränkt die Freiheit und Menschenrechte ein und verstößt gegen Alle Werte
WHO	Ich finde es sollten die Vertreter der Länder, die wenigstens so tun als ob das Volk sie gewählt hat, Entscheidungen für ihre Länder treffen. Eine WHO die größtenteils von Spenden finanziert wird sollte meiner Meinung nach gar nichts mehr tun. Sie sollten das beste für die Menschen im Auge haben, allerdings merkt man das es Sie überhaupt nicht interessiert was das ist, sondern Sie schaun nur auf Geld und Macht und dafür wurde diese Organisation nicht gegründet. Also bitte zieht euch zurück und behandelt die Menschen nicht mehr wie Labor Ratten.
privat	Ich finde Ihre Fragestellung schon falls, denn zuerst muss erst einmal darüber entschieden werden, ob überhaupt ein weltweites Pandemiemanagement mit absoluter Macht der WHO gerechtfertigt ist. Meiner Meinung nach möchte ich so so starkes internationales Machtbefugnis der WHO nicht, sie ist nicht demokratisch gewählt und ein Eingreifen in die souveränen Rechte eines einzelnen Staates, in diesem Fall Österreich, würde ich aus demokratie- und verfassungsrechtlichen Gründen kategorisch ablehnen. Keine absolutes Pandemievorsorgemacht für die WHO, widerspricht der Demokratie und der Souveränität Österreichs. Keine Macht dar an Experten abgegeben werden! Mag. Doris Hollauf
human beeing	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen."
none EU citizen	Ich fordere auf, die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten und jedes Bürgers sicherzustellen! Kein globaler pandemievertrag! NO NO Nein!!! Achtung der Menschenrechte, des Nürnberger Kodex!!! Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen!!!!
Privat	Ich fordere dabei Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Ich fordere den Stop der Verbreitung jeglicher experimenteller Impfstoffe - sowie den Stop ihrer Verabreichung ohne ordentliche Zulassung, ohne Haftbarkeit der Hersteller, sowie den Stop ihrer Verpflichtung dazu. Ich fordere hiermit die Einhaltung der ethischen Richtlinien des Nürnberger Kodex. Ich fordere das Ende und die strafrechtliche Verfolgung jeglicher gain-of-function Forschung. Ich fordere das Ende und die strafrechtliche Verfolgung der Gentechnik als Risikotechnologie- insbesondere die Freisetzung genmanipulierter Pflanzen und Tiere, sowie die Kultivierung gentechnisch veränderter Lebensmittel. Ebenso fordere ich eine Transparenz hinsichtlich des Geoengeniering.
Privat	Ich fordere die Aufnahme von Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Mensch auf dieser Welt	Ich fordere die Einhaltung des Nürnberger Kodex. Dieser soll Individuen vor Zwangsbehandlungen schützen. Keine Zwangsbehandlung zugunsten eines definierten oder undefinierten 'höheren Gutes'.
Private	Ich fordere ein Gesundheitsaytem, das sich um die Gesundheit der Menschen kümmert und diese Präventiv und Kurativ dabei unterstützt, diese zu erhalten oder wieder zu erlangen. Deshalb kann es nicht funktionieren, einen Plan aus einer einzigen Organisation für alle Staaten und Menschen zu erstellen. Gesundheit ist individuell und variiert. So soll es bleiben und daher muss Gesundheit dezentral sein, bleiben und wieder werden!
Privat	Ich fordere eine Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen!
	Ich fordere eine Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, so wie die Achtung der Menschenrechte und eine gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen! Freundliche Grüsse C.Knecht-Jetter
Privat	Ich fordere eine Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen. Außerdem die Achtung der Menschenrechte und eine gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen für Schäden durch eine Impfung. Auch fordere ich eine Transparenz bei der Impfstoffherstellung, Impfstoffverträgen , deren Inhaltsstoffe und Impfschäden. Ich bin klar gegen eine Einführung eines digitalen Impfzertivikates auf internationaler Ebene .
Private	Ich fordere explizit KEIN Pandemievertrag. Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen für deren Entscheidungen.
	Ich fordere feste Zusagen für Garantien der Souveränität der Staaten und aller Menschen, Bürger und Personen, sowie die Achtung der gesetzlich vereinbarten Menschenrechte und auch die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und / oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Citizen	Ich fordere für diesen Vertrag Garantien für die Souveränität jedes Staates und jedes Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. Dazu müssen auch immer kritische Stimmen gehört und in Beratungen einbezogen werden.
Privat	Ich fordere für mich und meine Kinder, uneingeschränkt frei leben zu dürfen. Ein Pandemie- Vertrag ist unnötig, aber wohl ohnehin nicht zu verhindern. Umso wichtiger die Ausarbeitung: Jeder Staat muss seine Souveränität behalten! Bedenken Sie, dass es Menschenrechte gibt und dass diese durch NICHTS in Gefahr gebracht werden dürfen. Unfassbar, dass wir diesen Fragen gegenüber stehen!
Privat	Ich fordere Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
Mitterhuber	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität der einzelnen EU Staaten und des jeweiligen Bürgers, unserer Bürger und Menschenrechte sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von sämtlichen Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
EU Citizen	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten, jedes einzelnen Bürgers sowie die Wahrung der Menschenrechte. Persönliche Haftung der Politiker und der Pharmakonzerne.
Medizin	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und der einzelnen Person, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und eine gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/ oder der Pharmaunternehmen bei Impfkomplikationen.
None	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des einzelnen Menschen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen!
Deutschland	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen."
No	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen."
Privat	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Keine zentralen Register, Recht auf körperlich Unversehrtheit
Privat	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und der Menschenwürde und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
EU Bürgerin	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und auch Pharmaunternehmen.
Private	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Private	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und -würde und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
privat	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souverantität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder der Pharmaunternehmen.

Organization	Written submission
VTG	Ich fordere Garantien, dass die Souveränitäten der Mitgliedsstaaten und der einzelnen Bürger erhalten bleiben. Die Menschenrechte müssen unter allen Umständen eingehalten werden. Es darf kein direkter oder indirekter Druck ausgeübt werden, an (neuartigen) medizinischen Behandlungen teilzunehmen. Auch muss die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmakonzernen sichergestellt werden. Es muss für alle Mitgliedsstaaten jederzeit einfach möglich sein, aus dem "Pandemievertrag" ohne Angabe von Gründen wieder auszutreten. Maßnahmen müssen von einzelnen Staaten auch abgelehnt werden dürfen, wenn sie nicht zur regionalen Situation passen. Unabhängige Experten und kritische Fachleute sollen von Beginn an alle Entscheidungen begleiten und laufend evaluieren.
Private Person	Ich fordere Garantien, dass die Souveränitäten der Mitgliedsstaaten und der einzelnen Bürger erhalten bleiben. Die Menschenrechte müssen unter allen Umständen eingehalten werden. Es darf kein direkter oder indirekter Druck ausgeübt werden, an (neuartigen) medizinischen Behandlungen teilzunehmen. Auch muss die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmakonzernen sichergestellt werden. Es muss für alle Mitgliedsstaaten jederzeit einfach möglich sein, aus dem "Pandemievertrag" ohne Angabe von Gründen wieder auszutreten.
Human	Ich fordere Garantien, dass die Souveränitäten der Mitgliedsstaaten und der einzelnen Bürger erhalten bleiben. Die Menschenrechte müssen unter allen Umständen eingehalten werden. Es darf kein direkter oder indirekter Druck ausgeübt werden, an (neuartigen) medizinischen Behandlungen teilzunehmen. Auch muss die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmakonzernen sichergestellt werden. Es muss für alle Mitgliedsstaaten jederzeit einfach möglich sein, aus dem "Pandemievertrag" ohne Angabe von Gründen wieder auszutreten.
Keine	Ich fordere Garantien, dass die Souveränitäten der Mitgliedsstaaten und der einzelnen Bürger erhalten bleiben. Die Menschenrechte müssen unter allen Umständen eingehalten werden. Es darf kein direkter oder indirekter Druck ausgeübt werden, an (neuartigen) medizinischen Behandlungen teilzunehmen. Auch muss die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmakonzernen sichergestellt werden. Es muss für alle Mitgliedsstaaten jederzeit einfach möglich sein, aus dem "Pandemievertrag" ohne Angabe von Gründen wieder auszutreten.
Privat	Ich fordere in dem Pandamievertrag dem „Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Aufzunehmen und zu gewähren.
EU Bürger	Ich fordere in dem Pandamievertrag dem „Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Aufzunehmen und zu gewähren.
Privat	Ich fordere mein Recht ein, sowohl auf meine individuelle Gesundheitsautonomie als auch auf kollektive Entscheidungsfreiheit in Bezug auf medizinische/pharmazeutische Therapien. Ich fordere darüberhinaus gründliche, unabhängige Studien darüber, ob - Lockdowns - soziale Isolierung - Entfremdung der Familien - Masken - Berufsverbote - Diskriminierungen Ungeimpfter der menschlichen Gesundheit und des Gemeinwohls zuträglich oder schädlich waren.
Privat	Ich fordere sie Achtung der Menschenrechte. Außerdem fordere ich die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten sowie Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Ich fordere Sie auf, das Vorhaben unverzüglich zu stoppen! Mit freundlichen Grüßen, Andreas Strübbe
Privat	Ich fordere Sie auf, das Vorhaben unverzüglich zu stoppen! Mit freundlichen Grüßen, Karen Koch
Bürgerin Deutschland	Ich fordere Sie auf, die individuelle Freiheit und Würde jedes Individuums hinsichtlich seiner Selbstbestimmung, Autonomie zu wahren und über jedes kollektive Recht zu stellen und transparent zu machen. Gesundheit soll in der Eigenverantwortung jedes einzelnen Menschen gleich welcher Herkunft bleiben. Daher sollte es kein allgemeines Gesundheitsgesetz zur Pandemie durch die WHO geben.
Selbstständiger Mensch	Ich fordere von Ihnen: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
keine	Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen strikte Einhaltung der Menschenrechte gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen Die WHO ist nicht demokratisch legitimiert, darf nicht über das Wohl der Erdbevölkerung bestimmen.
Privatperson	Ich fordere, dass dies enthalten ist und der Vertrag: 1. Garantien enthält für die Souverenität des Staates und des Einzelnen, 2. die Achtung der Menschenrechte ein hoher schützenswertes GUT ist und 2. die gesetzliche HAFTUNG von REGIERUNGSBEAMTEN und / oder PHARMAUNTERNEHMEN gegeben und strikt eingefordert wird. Renate Kastner
private	Ich fordere, den Vertragsentwurf aufzugeben! Er enthält keinerlei Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, garantiert nicht die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Der Vertragsentwurf gefährdet die Autonomie und die parlamentarische Hoheit von Staaten und gefährdet die Demokratie und Rechtsstaatlichkeit.
Punkt	Ich fordere: Achtung und Einhaltung der Menschenrechte, die Souveränität des Einzelnen und des Staates
Praxis für integrale Persönlichkeitsentwicklung, Leipzig	Ich fordere: - Souveränität der Länder gegenüber der WHO - Selbstbestimmungsrecht des einzelnen Menschen über seinen Körper - Achtung der Menschenrechte - gesetzliche Haftung für Regierungsbeamte - Gesetzliche Haftung für Pharmaunternehmen - Unabhängige, demokratisch legitimierte Kontrollinstitutionen
Private	Ich fordere: Garantien für die Souveränität der Staaten und des Einzelnen. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte. Die Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. Eine Aufarbeitung der durch die bisherigen weltweiten Corona Maßnahmen entstandenen Schäden und Todesfälle, Übernahme der Kosten für diese Schäden durch die Verantwortlichen, bevor ein Pandemievertrag überhaupt zustande kommt.
Privatier	Ich fordere: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Johanna Kartreiter
Praxis Deutschland	Ich hab das geschrieben: Guarantee the sovereignty of the state and the individual Respect for human rights and the Geneva Pledge of Doctors and patients' rights, Obtaining the free decision on the agreed treatment measures by the person, parents for their children up to at least 18 years of age legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies for vaccination consequences/damage to health caused by medical procedures of any kind, unless express personal consent is given, this must not be achieved by coercion.
Privat	Ich habe größte Bedenken und bin gegen eine Erweiterung der WHO Kompetenzen. Stoppen Sie bitte diesen Prozess. !!! Die WHO sollte keine Macht über einzelne Staaten erhalten. !!!
Battenberg Coaching and Consulting	Ich halte diesen ganzen Vertrag für überflüssig. A Es darf keinen grünen Pass oder Ähnliches geben. I think this whole contract is superfluous. In any case, there must be no green passport or the like.
selbst	Ich halte diesen ganzen Vertrag für überflüssig. Auf jeden Fall darf es keinen grünen Pass oder Ähnliches geben. I think this whole contract is superfluous. In any case, there must be no green passport or the like.
Private citizen	Ich halte diesen ganzen Vertrag für überflüssig. Auf jeden Fall darf es keinen grünen Pass oder Ähnliches geben. I think this whole contract is superfluous. In any case, there must be no green passport or the like.
Privatperson	Ich halte es für unerlässlich, allen Bürgern der teilnehmenden Staaten ein Recht auf analoges Leben einschließlich Teilhabe am gesellschaftlichen Leben zuzusichern. Die demokratische Legitimierung durch die teilnehmende Staaten ist als Voraussetzung für das Handeln der WHO zu verankern.
EU und deutscher Staatsbürger	Ich halte gar nichts von dem Pandemievertrag. Jeder Staat sollte selbst entscheiden können wie er bei einer Pandemie vorgeht. Nicht vom Volk gewählte WHO Mitarbeiter haben nicht über uns zu entscheiden. Also sofortigen Stop dieses Pandemievertrages.
Healthcare	Ich hatte am 04. Mai abends um 20:00 in einem Impfzentrum meine erste Impfung mit Biontech. Am nächsten Tag habe ich bemerkt, dass mein ganzer Körper an verschiedenen Stellen anfängt rot zu werden, zu brennen, zu pochen. Ich konnte nichts mehr anfassen, in die Hand nehmen ohne brennenden Ausschlag zu bekommen. Jeder leichteste Druck auf meinem Körper bedeutet eine Überreaktion. Ich fing an zu verschiedenen Ärzten zu gehen und war beim vom Impfzentrum offiziell angegebenen, zuständigen Allergologischen Institut, wo ich die Tests, ob ich auf den Impfstoff selbst möglicherweise allergisch reagiere, selbst zahlen musste, weil das noch nicht im Katalog der Krankenkasse sei. Mein Hausarzt sagte mir, ich müsse mich ans Impfzentrum wenden, das Impfzentrum sagte mir, ich muss zum Hausarzt. Ich war bei über 15 Ärzten in den letzten 5 Monaten und niemand kann helfen oder fühlt sich verantwortlich zu Sache auf den Grund zu gehen, wenn das passiert. „Naja ist eben ein neuer Impfstoff und da kenne ich mich auch nicht aus". https://corona-blog.net/2021/10/09/felicia-binger-28-jahre-alt-hat-sich-mit-biontech-impfen-lassen-nun-hat-sie-eine-impfreaktion/ I will never again take a vaccine with an emergency permit and will not have a vaccine that is mandatory to take 3 times or repeatedly every year injected me. Beyond that, it is not a vaccine. Spike production is completely uncontrollable. Never, never, never.
Keine	Ich lehne dieses Instrument zur Vorbereitung und Reduktion auf Pantemien ab.
Keine	Ich lehne alles ab.
Privat	Ich lehne aus Gründen der persönlichen Rechte des Einzelnen und aus Datenschutzgruenden weitereichende Kompetenzen der WHO in die staatliche Gesundheits Gesetzgebung ab, weil die WHO nicht vom Gesetzgeber gewählt wurde, sondern von Stiftungen abhängig ist. Die Agenda der ID 2020 in Verbindung mit Impfpaessen dient nachweislich nicht nurdem Gesundheits Interesse, und ist deswegen nicht vertretbar. Auch Einschraenkungsmassnahmen, Lockdowns sind wissenschaftlich nicht ausreichend abgesichert, um grundrechtseinschraenkend eingesetzt werden zu koennen. Die wissenschaftliche Diskussion muss transparent und frei moeglich sein, und die Eigenverantwortung des einzelnen Bürgers fuer seine Gesundheit darf weder von staatlicher Seite noch von der WHO erfolgen: Impfpflicht darf nur bei ausreichender medizinischer Notwendigkeit bei ausreichender Sicherheit der Stoffe moeglich sein.
Privat	Ich lehne das "Instrument" vehement ab! Notfalls fordere ich die Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, Achtung der Menschenrechte, gesetz. Haftung von Regierungsbeamten/Pharmaunternehmen.
Keiner anehöig.	Ich lehne das pandemische Ansinnen zur medizinischen Vorsorge ab.
Österreich	 Ich lehne den geplanten globalen Pandemievertrag strickt ab!!! Es gibt keine Pandemien, wie bei der sog. „Covid-19-Geschichte" klar ersichtlich war und ist!! Deshalb wird ein solcher „Pandemievertrag" niemals notwendig sein! Es ist absolut unnötig und obsolet, dass die WHO in Krankheitsfällen zur „Überregierung" mutiert und Maßnahmen und Medikamente – auch keine sog. „Impfstoffe" – vorschreibt, welche über alle Mitgliedsländer und deren BewohnerInnen darüber gestülpt werden. Durch einen rechtlich bindenden globalen Pandemievertrag würden die Souveränität der Nationalstaaten und ihre Verfassungen ausgehebelt! Dies ist strickt abzulehnen!! Für jegliche Medikamenten- und Impfstoff-Nebenwirkungen müssen Pharmafirmen haftbar gemacht werden!! Die jeweiligen Regierungsbeamten eines Landes müssen für sog. „Pandemieverordnungen" die Verantwortung übernehmen und haftbar gemacht werden können!! Jeder Mensch hat Grundrechte, ist autonom und frei. Jeder erwachsene Mensch trägt die Eigenverantwortung über seine Gesundheit und seinen Lebensstil! Auch die Einführung internationaler digitaler Ausweise und die damit einhergehende Überwachung und Kontrolle ist strickt abzulehnen! Ich glaube an eine Welt in Frieden, Freiheit, Vertrauen und Gesundheit! Ich weiß, dass Menschen, welche selbstbestimmt und eigenverantwortlich leben, die Gesundheit und Schönheit dieses Planeten Erde sowie dessen BewohnerInnen pflegen, schätzen und bewahren! Love & Peace from Austria
Privat	Ich lehne den Vertragsentwurf ab, da er Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen untergräbt.
none	Ich lehne den vorgeschlagenen Vertrag entschieden ab. Der Umgang mit Pandemien sollte wie jede Regelung in Demokratien von gewählten Volksvertretern aufgrund der Entscheidungen der Bürger erfolgen und nicht diktatorisch von einer nicht gewählten und deshalb diktatorischen Organisation vorgegeben werden können.
no	Ich lehne die Weiterführung der Verordnung über das Digitale Covidzertifikat entschieden ab, da sie mit demokratischen Werten unvereinbar ist. Ein Abkommen für die Menschen sollte Bürgerrechte, wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl beinhalten.
Privat	Ich lehne diesen Pandemie Vertrag deutlich mit NEIN ab. -Ich erwarte die Souveränität der Staaten - gesetzliche Haftung der Regierungen sowie gesetzliche Haftung der Pharmaunternehmen - Achtung der Menschenrechte
Herr	Ich lehne diesen Pandemie Vertrag gänzlich ab. Wur sind ein förderaler, selbstständiger ,demokratischer und selbstbestimmender Staat. Ebenso ich, als eine Person Deutschlands und nicht einer WHO Organisation. Ich entscheide selbst über mein Leben und welche körperliche Eingriffe, wie z.b. eine Impfung ich zulasse. Stefan Gabelmann Magdeburg Deutschland
Privat	Ich lehne diesen pandemievertrag ab, er ist für mich ein no go. Ich will über meinen Körper selbst bestimmen!!!!!!
Privat	Ich lehne diesen Pandemievertrag der WHO ab. Er darf nicht über der Verfassung der Länder stehen denn die Macht geht vom Volk aus und nicht von ein paar sogenannten Eliten.
Volk	Ich lehne diesen Vertrag grundsätzlich ab. Sollte er zustande kommen erwarte ich die Einhaltung der Menschenrechte, staatliche Souveränität, die Gewährung der Privatsphäre der Bürger, keine Freiheitseinschränkungen, den explizit konsequenten Datenschutz, die Möglichkeit von Rechtsmitteln um gegen Maßnahmen vorzugehen.
Privat	Ich lehne eine Zentralisierung der Gesundheitspolitik strikt ab. Die Autonomie des Individuums ist zu nicht anzutasten. Die Gesundheitspolitik muss lokal und mit den jeweiligen Menschenrechten vereinbar sein.
Private	Ich lehne einen einheitlichen Pandemie Vertrag ausdrücklich ab, da er die Möglichkeit verhindert über verschiedene Wege zum Ziel zu kommen - wie bei Corona gesehen haben verschiedene Länder mit unterschiedlichen Herangehensweisen ähnlichen wenn nicht teils besseren Erfolg gehabt. Zudem kann dann nicht mehr auf gesellschaftliche Eigenarten und Debatten eingegangen werden. Alles Dinge, die mich in meiner Freiheit beschneiden.
private	Ich lehne einen globalen Pandemievertrag der WHO entschieden ab. Eine Einmischung in die Souverenität der einzelnen Staaten und der Menschen sowie das Sammeln von Daten und das Verankern von internationalen digitalen Ausweispapieren unter dem Deckmantel einer "Pandemie" ist menschenrechtswidrig, undemokratisch, kriminell und steht der WHO nicht zu. Die WHO hat, als grossteils von Pharmafirmen und HiTech-Konzernen finanzierter Privatorganisation nicht das Recht sich in irgendeiner Art und Weise in die Angelegenheiten von Staaten und Privatpersonen einzumischen. NEIN ZU JEDER ART VON PANDEMIEVERTRAG MIT DER WHO!!!!!!!!!!!! DI Gabi Leitner
privat	Ich lehne einen Pandemievertrag der Mitgliedsländer der WHO vehement ab, da dies die Autonomie dieser Länder in wichtigen Gesundheitsfragen beendet. Die durch private Geldgeber finanzierte und nie durch Wahlen legitimierte WHO wäre dann in der Lage, über die Regierungen der Mitgliedsstaaten hinweg freiheitseinschränkende Massnahmen wie Lockdowns, Maskenpflichten, etc. für die Bürger zu beschließen. Dies lehne ich entschieden ab und fordere die Mitgliedsstaaten auf, dem Vertrag nicht zuzustimmen!
Privat	Ich lehne es ab, auf Impfung zu setzen. Stärkung des Immunsystems ist wichtig. Immunsystem hängt aber auch von seelischen Gleichgewicht ab, also sollte man verstärkt auf ganzheitliche Lebensweise achten und auch sich der Heilung der Psyche widmen. Ganzheitliche Medizin bietet neue Zugänge, dass Menschen wiede rin ihrer inneren Kraft bleiben und gesünder leben können.
Privatperson	Ich lehne generell eine internationale Pandemiebekämpfung der WHO ab.
EFMATIC FURTNER ELEKTRO (Inh. Michael Furtner)	Ich lehne jegliche Intention, und damit jegliche Vereinbarung bzw. Regelung zur, von welcher Person, Institution oder Einrichtung auch immer vorgesehenen Ermächtigung zur Planung, Entwicklung und Ausübung von Maßnahmen, Vorgängen und Prozessen, welche zur Gänze oder auch nur teilweise, direkt oder indirekt die unantastbaren Grund- und Freiheitsrechte jedes einzelnen Menschen, insbesondere dessen Entscheidungsfreiheit über seine eigene Gesundheit sowie persönliche Entwicklung und allenfalls diesbezügliche Maßnahmen einschränken, beschneiden oder nehmen ausdrücklich, vehement und kategorisch ab! Dieselbe ablehnende Haltung vertrete ich ausdrücklich gegen jeglichen Versuch, welcher Art auch immer, Menschen zentral zu klassifizieren und zu kontrollieren! Zugleich fordere ich Sie hiermit eindringlich und nachdrücklich auf, jeglichen derartigen Versuch zu unterlassen, bzw. nach Kräften und möglichst in gemeinsamer Anstrengung zu unterbinden!
privat	Ich möchte Garantien für die Suveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen,die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die Gesätzliche Haftung der Regierungen ,Ärzte und Pharmaunternehmen.
Bürgerin Deutschland	Ich möchte als freier Bürger in meinem Land weiterhin frei über meinen Körper entscheiden dürfen ...Ich sage daher Nein! Zur WHO als Pandemie Führer..sage Nein zu Impfungen als Pflicht oder Zwang...Mein Körper...Mein Recht auf Unversehrtheit...Wissenschaft darf niemals in wenige Hände liegen...niemals..Es gibt so viel Not Hunger und Elend auf der Welt...Wo handelt da die WHO??? ICH sage nein...stoppt die Pläne der Pharma Diktatur!!!
Vendidata Software Entwicklung GmbH	Ich möchte auf keinen Fall das die WHO in irgend einer Form nationales Recht aufheben kann. Es darf die souveränität der Staaten auf keinen Fall ausser Kraft gesetzt werden
Keine	Ich möchte darauf hinweisen, dass es gegen den Nürnberger Kodex spricht, was Sie planen. Es ist nicht in Ordnung, Druck zu machen, dieser Druck wird auf sie zurück kommen. Ich habe ein Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit!
Privat	Ich möchte dass jede Regierung selbst über eine Pandemie bestimmt. Ich möchte gegen Maßnahmen demonstrieren können, die ich für unsinnig halte. Ich will nicht von der WHO bestimmt werden.
Private	Ich möchte den Austritt für Österreich aus der EU sowie aus der WHO!
Levana	Ich möchte frei und selbstbestimmt leben dürfen. Ich möchte selbst für meine Gesundheit sorgen. Ich möchte nicht eingesperrt werden, oder gezwungen werden, Dinge zu tun, die mir schaden. Ich brauche soziale Interaktion. Ich möchte ein kollektives Miteinander leben können- in Freiheit, Gleichheit und Authentizität. Keine Regierung und keine Organisation darf über mich bestimmen und mir meine Rechte nehmen- denn das kann sie gar nicht. Ich bin das Recht! Danke
Alleine	Ich möchte frei und unabhängig bleiben. Ich bin gegen jede Form von Impfpflicht, Freiheitsentzug usw.
Andrea Posingies	Ich möchte Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Private	Ich möchte Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, Unversehrtheit des Körpers und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen Ich bin absolut gegen einen Pandemie-Vertrag
privat	 ich möchte gerne in frieden und freiheit leben. eine demokratische ordnung haben. mich nicht einschränken lassen in meinem leben, welches sich an die regeln hält, wenn diese dem grundgesetz konform sind. ich möchte nur frei sein von bestimmungen die politischer oder finanzieller natur sind. ich arbeite im rettungsdienst, bin sozial . warum sind sie es nicht auch? lassen sie uns einfach normal leben und unsere früchte nach hause bringen ohne angst, dass sie verschimmeln werden weil die politik dies so will!
Council of heaven	Ich möchte hier ausdrücklich gegen das Pandemie Gesetz der WHO stimmen. Das Leben der Menschen lenkt der allmächtige Gott und nicht eine WHO. Er ist Herr über meine Gesundheit. Es ist dem Menschen gesetzt einmal zu sterben, danach das Gericht. (bibel) Es ist schrecklich in die Hände des allmächtigen Gottes zu fallen.
EU citizen	Ich möchte keine weltweite Impfpflicht
I haven't a question	 Ich möchte keine WHO Pandemieverwaltung. Warum will die WHO Länderübergreifend agieren? Wir halten uns an unsere Gesetze und in meinen Körper greift niemand ein.
Keine	Ich möchte KEINEN !!! Globalen Pandemievertrag - in keiner Form!!!!
Citizen	Ich möchte keinen Einfluss der WHO auf nationale Entscheidungen und Belange!
	Ich möchte keinen globalen Pandemie Vertrag.!!!
Privat	Ich möchte keinen Impfzwang durch die WHO und möchte eine Eigenrechtlichkeit der Staaten. Sämtliche Finanzierung der WHO durch die Pharmaindustrie gehört VERBOTEN. Somit sind wir am besten Weg zu chinesischen Verhältnissen.
-	Ich möchte keinen international Pandemievertrag!
Privat	Ich möchte keinerlei Vertag diesbezüglich! Die rechtlichen Aufgaben obliegen dem jeweiligen Staat, der seinen Wählern und deren Willen verpflichtet ist!
WHO	Ich möchte mich dafür aussprechen, dass ich alleine verantwortlich bin mein körperliches Dasein in Verbindung mit den Naturgesetzten zu verbinden, meine Gesundheit zu fördern und den Tod zu akzeptieren. Niemand hat das Recht, mich zu zwingen, meiner mmunabwehr zu mißtrauen und meine Gene zu manipulieren. MEIN Körper Gehört mir.
Privat	Ich möchte nicht das die WHO über die Maßnahmen in den einzelnen Ländern entscheidet . Jeder Mensch soll das Recht haben selbst zu entscheiden so wie es in den Menschenrechten vorgesehen ist .
Deutschland	Ich möchte nicht das die WHO über unsere Köpfe hinweg Entscheidungen treffen kann. Ersten, hat keiner von uns und damit meine ich das Volk der Bundesrepublik Deutschland diese Organisation direkt gewählt. Das Die WHO von der Bill und Melinda Gates fondation finanziert wird und somit sich Stimmrechte frei kaufen ist auch nicht neu. Ich finde es nur beschämend das die WHO sich nicht selbst finanzieren kann und auf Spenden angewiesen sind obwohl doch so viel Geld von Steuern eingenommen werden. Deswegen möchte ich auch nicht das dir WHO noch mehr Macht Erhält.
Deutschland	Ich möchte nicht von einer übergeordneten Stelle regiert werden und zu etwas genötigt werden. Die WHO steht den Pharmaunternehmen viel zu nahe und wird von diesen bezahlt.Zudem hat jedes Land gute Wissenschaftler die unabhängig auch von der jeweiligen Regierung gehört werden sollten. Ohne jedwede Interessen.Zudem sollten auch Politiker keinen Lobbyismus betreiben dürfen. Es muss immer ein Duskurs stattfinden. Die WHO ist nur auf Macht und Daten aus.Nichg für die Menschen.
Allg. Öffentlichkeit	Ich möchte nicht, das jedes einzelne Land und zu dem Thema Pandemie von der WHO bemormundet wird. Ich wehre mich gegen übergestülpte einschneidende Entscheidung meiner freien Meinung und meiner persönlichen Entscheidung.
Privat	Ich möchte nicht, dass die WHO uns Vorgaben und Gesetze erstellen darf. Ich bin gegen diesen Pandemievertrag. Unser individuelles, unveräußerliches Recht auf Selbstbestimmung muss erhalten bleiben.
Arbeiter	Ich möchte selber über meine Gesundheit entscheiden können, genauso über Therapie.Ich bin nicht damit einverstanden wirklose Impfstoffe zu nehmen. Ich möchte nicht das WHO oder irgendwelche andere Organisation oder Politik über mich entscheiden.Ich möchte das Medikamente bis auf kleinste Detail überprüft werden müssen und bei erste Unklarheiten gestoppt wird. Ich berufe mich auf meinen recht das "die Würde des Menschen unantastbar ist ".Ich bin nicht damit einverstanden das Politiker oder irgendweliche Organisationen Pandemie ausrufen können, ohne richtige Beweise dafür.
Uniklinik	Ich möchte selbst über mich bestimmen jetzt und auch in Zukunft. Dafür braucht es keinen Pandemievertrag.
Privat	Ich möchte unabhängig von einer Regierung, Organisation oder Stiftung ALLEIN über meinen Körper bestimmen. Somit lehne ich alle Verordnung/Gesetze, die dies verhindern möchten, ab.
Privat	Ich möchte unbedingt Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Privat	Ich möchte zukünftig mein nicht verhandelbares Bürgerrecht auf gesundheitliche Selbstbestimmung, Autonomie, Wahl der medizinischen Mittel verankert wissen. Mein Menschenrecht auf Leben und körperliche Unversehrtheit soll ohne die Einmischung Dritter gewahrt sein. Auf Grund autonomischer Entscheidungen zu meiner Gesundheit darf ich nicht im sozialen und beruflichen Leben benachteiligt werden. Das Recht auf Freizügigkeit sich im gesamten Raum bewegen und aufhalten zu dürfen darf nicht verwehrt werden.
Privat person	Ich möchte, dass die pandemie managment in den händen des jeweiligen landes bleibt. Sehe gefahr durch einer übergeordneten "weltgericht".
Privat	Ich möchte, dass uns weiter Unsere Regierung vertritt und nicht die WHO! Ich möchte gegen Entscheidungen in Deutschland, als B=rger Einspruch einlegen können oder auch demonstrieren können bei der Regierung!!! Die WHO habe ich zu keinem Zeitpunkt gewählt. Unsere Regierung wurde von allen Bürgern auf rechtlichen Weg gewählt.
Keine	Ich persönlich erwarte Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Wer den Zwang auferlegt, muss auch für sämtliche Folgeschäden haften!
Privatperson	Ich plädiere für die Aufnahme folgender Kriterien: Es müssen Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und der einzelnen Staatsbürger und -innen gewährleistet sein. Pharmaunternehmen müssen gesetzlich haften für die Folgen ihrer Produkte, besonders was die Folgen von Impfungen auf Leib und Leben betrifft. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte auf freie Entscheidung muss gesichert sein. Die Entscheidungen von Regierungsbeamten und -innen muss mit persönlicher Haftung verbunden sein.
Privatier	Ich plädiere für: Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit+ alleinige freie Entscheidungsfähigkeit über meinen Körper, Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Johanna Hangl
Männlich	Ich sage . NEIN.
European Citizens	Ich sage klar „Nein" zum Vorhaben der Eu und auch der WHO aus einer Grippe eine Pandemiesche Notlage zu kreieren und sie weiter un weiter aufrecht zu erhalten . Es sind keine weiteren Maßnahmen notwendig. Der Virus ist harmlos. Alle Maßnahmen sind obsolet. Die Impfung kann nix. Es gibt Medikamente. Aus. Fertig.
priv	Ich sage Nein zu dieser Vorlage!
Private	Ich sage NEIN zu einem globalen Pandemievertrag. Die WHO ist kein demokratisch gewähltes Organ, wir zu großen Teilen privat finanziert und hat somit nicht in die Angelegenheiten eines Staates einzugreifen.
privat	Ich sage NEIN zu jeglichem neues internationalen Instrument zur Vorbereitung und Reaktion auf Pandemien! Die vergangenen zwei Jahre haben das komplette Versagen jeglicher Instrumente gezeigt. Auch ein neues Instrument wird das niemals verbessern. Die derzeitigen Instrumente sollten mit sofortiger Wirkung aufgehoben und verboten werden. Hochachtungsvoll ANDREA
none	Ich sage NEIN zum Pandemievertrag. Die Bestrebungen zielen darauf ab alle Souveränität aller Staaten auszuschalten. Beliebige Entscheidungen könnten gefällt und Grundrechte ausgehebelt werden, ohne dafür Begründungen abgeben zu müssen. Jede beliebige Infektion könnte zu diesem Zweck missbraucht werden. Jede Massnahme könnte umgesetzt werden, ohne auf die Unverletzlichkeit des Körpers oder die Würde des Menschen Rücksicht zu nehmen. Beides elementare Grundrechte in Deutschland. Dieser Pandemievertrag darf nicht umgesetzt werden.
Privat	Ich sage nein. Gesundheit ist Ländersache und nicht der WHO!!
Privat Person	Ich sage striktes NEIN zum digitalen Ausweispapieren, zum Pandemie zum Coronamaßnahmen Jeder soll entscheiden, ob sich impfen lässt oder nicht. Ist schon Zeit die Lügen aufdecken. Ich kenne im Umgebung genug Leute mit Nebenwirkungen,wie ist es möglich sowas noch verabreichen?????? Es reicht, stoppen Sie die Versuche auf die Menschheit.
Privatpersonen	Ich sehe hier im Vertrag nicht eine Regelung zur Einhaltung von Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Daher bin ich gegen die Einführung dieses Vertrages!!!
Keine	Ich sehe keine Notwendigkeit, dass die WHO oder eine sonstige Institution in irgendeiner Art und Weise in mein Persönlichkeitsrecht, mein Recht auf Selbstbestimmung und körperliche Unversehrtheit eingreift. Mein Körper ist mein Eigentum, gut ausgestattet mit einem natürlichen Immunsystem und ich alleine bestimme, was ich mit ihm mache! Nein zu allen jetzigen und künftigen Entscheidungen, die ich nicht selbst und freiwillig treffe!
Who	Ich spreche mich GEGEN einen globalen Pandemievertrag aus, ich wünsche mir Transparenz, Selbstbestimmung und Freiheit.
Privatperson	Ich spreche mich gegen einen WHO- Pandemievertrag aus. Im Rahmen eines neuen internationalen Instrumentes zur Pandemievorsorge bzw. -bekämpfung müssen Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen aufgenommen werden.
Privat	Ich spreche mich gegen so einen Vertrag aus. Jedes Land muss in so einer Situation für sich selbst entscheiden können. Es kann nicht sein, dass solche Entscheidungen von einer fragwürdigen Organisation entschieden werden, die von Geldgebern unterstützt werden, die genauso fragwürdige Interessen verfolgen. Ein klares NEIN zu einem Pandemievertrag!
Keine	Ich spreche mich hiermit gegen einen WHO Pandemievertrag aus. Wo ist ihr Gewissen lernen sie erstmal wieder unsere Gesetzt und Menschenrechte zu wahren und anzuwenden.Seid zwei Jahre versetzten sie die Menschheit in Angst und Schrecken mit ihrer Erfindung Corona. Die Menschen wissen von ihrem Vorhaben THE GREAT RESET und ihr menschenverachtendes Systhem. Bester Beweis der nicht zugelassene Impfstoff der Menschen krank macht und töted und Gegen ihre angebliche Epedemie und Pandemie noch nie geholfen hat. Also machen sie ihre Arbeiten gewissenhaft ,rechtlich richtig und zum Wohle der Menschen.Achten sie die Gesetze die Rechte der Menschen .Körperliche Unversehrtheit , keine Experimente an Menschen und die freie Entscheidung jedes einzelnen über sich selbst .machen sie ihre Arbeit richtig und nach menschlichem Gewissen
privat	Ich stimme diesen Verträgen nicht zu und fordere notfalls einen Ausstieg Deutschlands aus der WHO, da diese Organisation kein demokratisch gewähltes Organ ist. „Zu 80 Prozent muss sich die WHO durch freiwillige Zuwendungen finanzieren. Die Spenden kommen von Mitgliedstaaten, aber v.a. auch von privaten Stiftungen oder Pharma-Unternehmen. Ein Großteil dieser Spenden sind zweckgebunden, der Spender legt fest, wofür die WHO dieses Geld ausgeben darf. Die WHO kann deshalb nicht unabhängig und uneingeschränkt zum Wohle der Bürger handeln. Nicht demokratisch gewählte Geldgeber bestimmen hauptsächlich die Agenda der Organisation. Damit wäre der Anwendung nicht demokratisch legitimierter Gewalt, v.a. auf dem 'Gesundheitssektor', Tür und Tor geöffnet, was unbedingt verhindert werden muss.
Privat	Ich stimme Dagegen, Ich. Stimme für nein, .es ist eine Gefahr der Bevölkerung, keiner hat das Recht über die Gesundheit eines Menschen zu bestimmen und sie zu kontrollieren . Wir leben in einer Zeit der Selbstbestimmung und Selbstverantwortung.und das soll so bleiben . Keiner hat das Recht über einen anderes dessen Gesundheit,Leben zu bestimmen und ihn zu bevormunden. Die WHO sollte sich den Dingen widmen diese der Gesundheit Notwendigkeit zuspricht und sich nicht den Experimentellen Pandemien mit einem Pass die gesundheitliche Menschheit auf Erden zu gefährdten.
Privat	Ich stimme eindeutig gegen Einschränkungen, die auf einer Impfung, Impfpass oder Impfzertifikat beruhen. Es widerspricht jeglichen Menschenrechten so etwas durchsetzen zu wollen. NEIN! DAGEGEN! ICH WILL LEBEN. FREI UND SELBSTBESTIMMT.
Organisation	Ich stimme für nein! Die Hoheit über medizinisch/pandemische Maßnahmen sollen den einzelnen Ländern weiterhin vorbehalten bleiben. Warum wird bei einer so wichtigen Anhörung der Öffentlichkeit so wenig Zeit eingeräumt?
Privat	Ich stimme gegen den Pandemievertrag #StopTheTreaty Ich bin für gesundheitliche Selbstbestimmung.
Bürger	Ich stimme gegen einen internationalen Pandemievertrag. Die Gefahr des Missbrauchs ist zu groß. Es müssen die einzelnen Regierungen das Sagen haben. Die Menschenrechte müssen beibehalten werden. Die WHO ist abhängig von privaten Geldgebern. Solchen Institutionen darf man nicht so viel Macht übergeben! Es muss eine Machtbegrenzung, auch bei einer Pandemie, geben!
Familienvater	Ich und meine Familie sind gegen ein solches Pandemie Gesetz, welches die selben brutalen Vorgehen wie in der sogenannten Covid Pandemie verursachen werden. Wir vertrauen in keiner Weise der WHO, welche durch diese Pandemie Verordnungen bereits unter Covid 19 viele Millionen Menschen enteignet, in den Ruin getrieben und verhungern hat lassen. Die WHO ist eine NGO und keine vertrauenswürdige seriöse demokratisch legitimierte Menschen nahe Organisation. In diesem Pandemie Gesetz muss stehen, dass die WHO nicht legitimiert ist, rechtlich bindende Massnahmen über die Köpfe von Menschen und deren Länder zu beschliessen und dieses dann per soft law, auf zu pressen! Die WHO hat in der Covid Pandemie versagt und wird es weiter tun. Prozesse sind gegen Mitarbeiter der WHO anhängig. Die WHO muss überhaupt bereinigt werden, um ueberhaupt in der Zukunft ernst genommen zu werden und eine Unterstützung der Menschen zu erfahren! Ein sehr langer Weg ist dazu nötig. Die WHO hat andere Sorgen. Dieses Gesetzvorhaben ist zu beenden.
Zahnarztpraxis	Ich verbiete fremden Menschen u Organisationen grundsätzlich, über mich u meine Familie zu bestimmen. Noch weniger möchte ich dass jemand über meine Gesundheit bestimmt. Weder die WHO noch andere Organisationen werden von uns Bürgern gewählt, und sind damit prädestiniert, für uns zu entscheiden. Wir finden es anmaßend, und lehnen das an. Ich und meine Familie entscheiden selbst. Punkt.
None	Ich verlange Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen."
bürgerin	Ich verlange und bestehe auf Garantien für die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten sowie des einzelnen Bürgers, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen!!
privat	Ich verlange, die Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen muss gewährleistet sein.
Keine	Ich widerspreche ausdrücklich diesem Vorhaben. Es gibt unterschiedliche Nationen und solche gewichtigen Entscheidungen sollen in den Händen der souveränen Staaten bleiben. Ich möchte keine "Verinigte Staaten von Europa".
no orga. no affiliation; general public	Ich widerspreche jedem international verbindlichen Gesundheits- und Pandemie-Abkommen. Der Mensch hat das Recht frei über seinen Körper zu verfügen und seine Persönlichkeit frei zu entfalten. Menschenrechtseinschränkungen sind nicht tolerabel.
Freidenker	Ich will dass die WHO, über den Köpfen der einzelnen Regierungen hinweg, NICHTS zu entscheiden hat. Die WHO ist meiner Meinung nach ein Verbrechersyndikat.
Privat	Ich will Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Und vor allen Dingen will ich keine Zwangsimpfungen!!!!!

Organization	Written submission
Aab	Ich will keinen einen globalen Pandemievertrag. Ich bin für staatliche Eigenständigkeit.
Mensch	Ich will keinen Pandemie Vertrag! Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
	Ich will keinen Pandemievertrag.
Me	Ich will mein Leben in Selbstbestimmung und Selbstverantwortung leben, medizinische Eingriffe absolut frei entscheiden können und übernehme dazu die volle Verantwortung für mich und meine Gesundheit. Eine Einmischung durch die WHO ist von mir nicht gewünscht und ich lehne diese ab.
Keine	Ich will nicht das eine Organisation über mein Leben,meine Grundrechte, meine Freiheit entschiedet.
Privat	Ich will nicht dass die WHO die Macht hat von sich aus eine Pandemie auszurufen oder Druck auf die Staaten ausüben kann! Die WHO sollte eigentlich für die Menschen und nicht für die Konzerne das sein!!
Tiroler Bergwanderführer	Ich will nicht, dass es einen Pandemievertrag für alle WHO-Mitgliedsstaaten gibt. So ein gesetzlich verankerte Vereinbarung MUSS von der österr. Bevölkerung in einer demokratischen Wahl bestimmt werden, nicht nur von der Regierung! I do not want there to be a pandemic treaty for all WHO member states. Such a legally anchored agreement MUST be determined by the Austrian population in a democratic election, not only by the government!
Mother and NURSE	Ich will nicht, dass es einen Pandemievertrag für alle WHO-Mitgliedsstaaten gibt. So ein gesetzlich verankerte Vereinbarung MUSS von der österr. Bevölkerung in einer demokratischen Wahl bestimmt werden, nicht nur von der Regierung! I do not want there to be a pandemic treaty for all WHO member states. Such a legally anchored agreement MUST be determined by the Austrian population in a democratic election, not only by the government!
Private	Ich will selbstbestimmt entscheiden auf Basis der Menschenrechte, des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie und Freiheit.
no organization	Ich wünsche Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen. Die Achtung und Einhaltung der Menschenrechte, Regierungsbeamte und Pharmaunternehmen sollen gesetzlich haftbar gemacht werden können, die Einhaltung der Menschenwürde
Privat	Ich wünsche keine Einmischung der WHO in das Krankheitsgeschehen oder das sie eine Pandemie ausruft. Das sollen alle Nationalstaaten selbstbestimmt entscheiden durch ihre Experten und deren Expertise.
privat	Ich wünsche keinen WHO-Pandemievertrag für mein Land, unter keinen Umständen!!
Privat	Ich wünsche mir ein Abkommen für Menschen wo das Naturrecht sowie Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der persönlichen Wahl beinhalten soll. Besten Dank!
Privat	Ich wünsche mir Garantien für die Souveränität des jeweiligen Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte ist mir sehr wichtig und diese ist in dem letzten Jahr zu wenig beachtet worden. Außerdem soll es eine gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen geben !!
Praxis für Ergotherapie	Ich wünsche mir Garantien für die Souveränität eines jeden Staates und jedes einzelnen Bürgers, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung, z.B. für die Impfnebenwirkungen, von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen! gz.Gabriele Ascheid
Privat	Ich wünsche mir, dass die jeweiligen Nationalstaaten unabhängig ihre Strategien entwickeln, entsprechend den Erfordernissen vor Ort, ohne Grundrechtseinschränkungen und unter Einbezug aller Fachrichtungen und Meinungen. Weiterhin sollten Entscheidungsträger demokratisch legitimiert und für ihre Entscheidungen zur Verantwortung gezogen werden können. Besonderes Augenmerk ist auf evtl. Interessenskonflikte der Entscheidungsträger zu achten. Ebenso ist eine umfassende und neutrale Berichterstattung ohne Zensur wichtig.
EU Bürger	Ich wünsche mir, dass die Rechte des Einzelnen und die Menschenrechte gewahrt bleiben! Außerdem soll die Verfassung jedes Landes bestehen bleiben. Die Politik soll außerdem für ihr Handeln zur Rechenschaft gezogen werden können. Genauso wie auch die Pharmaunternehmen! Paragraf 1. Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar. Alle Macht geht vom Volke aus. Danke!
Privatperson	Ich wünsche, dass die Entscheidungen darüber welche Maßnahmen bei welchen Erkrankungen (Pandemien/Epidemien) zu treffen sind bei den jeweils betroffenen Ländern bleibt und nicht von der Aussage der WHO abhängig wird.
Private	Ich wünsche, dass Sie bei einer Umsetzung eines Pandemievertrages Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen geben, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen gewährleisten.
Elektro-und Fernmeldetechnik	Ich würde mir im Vertrag die Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen sehr wünschen!
Private individual	I'd like to support and see the following principles abided by: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
General public	Ideally, there should be no international management plan and the WHO should respect national sovereignty and individual human agency. There must be a recognition that the previous two years of controlling the people to combat a virus was a breach of human rights and dignity. Any plan must include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the USA	Ideally, there should not be an international approach to pandemics. I believe all nations should deal with pandemics independently and that elected officials should do what the people who elected them say. However, if a global plan is going to be put into place, I believe: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - There must be an open and transparent process and all people of the world should be able to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not be allowed to influence the process in any way.
world citizen	International co-operation is extremely important. Of greater importance, even in this common good endeavor, are the sovereign, self-determination rights of: individuals, minority groups & all levels of governance. As in the UN's Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Freedom of speech, of peaceful assembly, of conscientious objection and freedom to withdraw must be safeguarded, without consequence or retribution. Counterproductive? International and corporate bullying is even more so and must be prevented. I am shocked by corporations suing countries to destroy environmental protections & refusing to share critical medical information from Isreal. There must be ironclad protections against, and prohibitions of, all influence by: pharmaceutical interests other financial interests (including governmental patents) other medical profiteers. This agreement must not become a weapon against individuals nor representative leadership. Include complete transparency of funding &potential conflicts of interest and failsafe measures to protect against unforeseen/unintended consequences and specifically a mechanism for opting out. The diversity and richness of individuals and cultural subgroups must be preserved and protected. We are a symphony! Respect and celebrate individuals, in all our contradictions and our situation-specific, individual "exceptions to the rule." No crystal balls: There are always exceptions to what seems to be a 'good' idea.
avaaz	Ieder mens op aarde heeft het recht om over zijn eigen lichaam,geest en ziel te beslissen. Dat mag hem door niemand afgenomen worden. Mensenrechte erkennen dit recht en landen hebben het in hun grondwetten verankerd. Een wereldwijde organisatie zoals de WHO kan uiteraard adviserend optreden, maar mag niet over de individuele mens bepalen wat hij/zij moet doen. We hebben nu slechte ervaringen met de global economie, zie afhankelijkheid van russisch gas en olie. Er is geen behoefte aan enige afhankelijkheid van andere global instituties zoals de WHO.
concerned citizen	If a "treaty" is implemented it should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Specific end dates agreed in advance for any emergency measures. No exceptions. Thanks.
Private individual; no affiliations	If a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response comes into effect (though I do not believe that the relevant public consultation on the creation of such an instrument has occurred in the first instance) these are the following substantive elements I believe must be included: 1) The principle of subsidiarity must be upheld, maintaining the autonomy of nations and local municipalities and the right of these nations and local municipalities to determine their own pandemic response in accord with the needs of the people they govern. This should include the right to reject any or all parts of the proposed international agreement that do not best serve the needs or the will of the people they govern. 2) The early 2009 definition of "pandemic" should be restored. 3) The decision-making process must be transparent, and free from the influence of those holding a conflict of interest, financial, political or otherwise. 4) Freedom of speech and of information must be upheld, for "science can only flourish in an atmosphere of free speech" (Albert Einstein). 5) The principle of informed consent must be upheld and required in all recommended medical interventions. Thank you for taking the time to read and consider my submission.
Private individual; no affiliations	If a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response comes into effect (though I do not believe that the relevant public consultation on the creation of such an instrument has occurred in the first instance) these are the following substantive elements I believe must be included: 1) The principle of subsidiarity must be upheld, maintaining the autonomy of nations and local municipalities and the right of these nations and local municipalities to determine their own pandemic response in accord with the needs of the people they govern. This should include the right to reject any or all parts of the proposed international agreement that do not best serve the needs or the will of the people they govern. 2) The early 2009 definition of "pandemic" should be restored. 3) The decision-making process must be transparent, and free from the influence of those holding a conflict of interest, financial, political or otherwise. 4) Freedom of speech and of information must be upheld, for "science can only flourish in an atmosphere of free speech" (Albert Einstein). 5) The principle of informed consent must be upheld and required in all recommended medical interventions. Thank you for reading my submission.
Individual citizen	If a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is to be implemented it MUST adhere to the following in order to protect the rights of each citizen in every country, province and town/city on a global scale: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Without these measures I personally would never agree to a world wide pandemic preparedness and response plan. Thank you for the opportunity to speak on this matter. Our future generations depend on upholding true democracy at a global scale.
Connecting Consciousness	If a treaty is adopted by Honbg Kong SAR, whether pandemic related or mot should include the following: - National and local leadership should retain full autonomy. Any and all decisions should be based on what is best for their own communities/constituents and local issues. - Individual nations and local municipalities/governments must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the Treaty as they see fit. No punitive measures or punishment for such an opt out. - Transparency to all needs to be guaranteed and accompany the right of the people to see what is being done in their name. All opines of the citizenry of all countries facing the adoption of this Treaty needs to be encouraged and allowed and openly shown. Anyone opposing this adoption must be protected to truthfully share their reasons/concerns and MUST be guaranteed, listened to, protected. - There must be accountability to the people, and a process guaranteeing the right of the people in all countries impacted by the adoption of this Treaty to vote and be able to say no to adoption. No punishment as a result of such a vote. - There should be guaranteed appeal procedures, transparency that prevents excess authority, favoritism, or corruption in the application of this Treaty. - National and individual autonomy must not be facilitated or allowed by adoption of this Treaty.
None	If a treaty must beade the sovereignty of each country, state and individual's right to bodily autonomy, free will, and free movement must be maintained. Pharmaceutical companies must be held fully accountable for all side effects and be 100% transparent throughout the entire process. In reality, there should be NO treaty and each nation should deal with it in their own way. Our freedoms are given to us by God almighty and no group of unelected bureaucrats have the right to control our lives, even in a pandemic.
General Public	If a treaty were needed or to be crafted, I believe that following substantive elements a required to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	If an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response was implemented by WHO, I think each country should have the option to opt in or opt out of any or all portions of the instrument, without consequence. That is, this instrument should be a best practice guideline, not a rule to be implemented. The citizens and cultures of this planet are diverse, especially in their approaches and definitions of health, and I do not think that one approach will fit everyone. I think that if a country did opt in, the following points should apply: 1. National and local health bodies can retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people in their geographic area of responsibility, as long as those measures are agreed upon by those people. 2. There is a transparent process for all citizens of that country to vote on which parts of the instrument to adopt. 3. There must be a failsafe to prevent the instrument applying in locations where the majority of people prefer other methods to respond to the pandemic. 4. In the process of developing the instrument , WHO ensures that they exclude any person that works for or holds shares in pharmaceutical companies, or represents any organisation that profits from a pandemic, eg manufacturers of PPE, including masks. 5. In the process of developing the instrument, systematic reviews are carried out on the medical literature to ensure evidence-based practice and to remove bias.

Citizen of Canada	If an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response were to be implemented, the following would be imperative:
	
	   1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
	
	   2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	
	   3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the
	application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	
	   4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	
	Each sovereign country and it's citizens have unique circumstances, varying resources and capacity to ascertain the needs and strategies to best respond to threats to national health or safety. As such, to have a single, arms-length organization unilaterally determine the needs of a country may be inappropriate.

World Council for Health	If another pandemic were to land upon the earth again, the first thing to be done is to stop all unnecessary travel. In Canada, the Prime Minister waited 2 months before closing the borders which gave lots of opportunity for the virus to spread internationally. For the last 20 years, I have researched supplements and have an extensive variety of supplements in my cupboards to manage an illness from a virus. I only use pharmaceuticals as a last resort. I have remained unvaccinated for the past 2 years and have never skipped a beat, all the while working with the public, yet many that are triple vaccinated have had covid really bad, a few times...? I feel that doctors should work with their patients and not have certain treatments prohibited. A one size fits all treatment/vaccine will not work effectively at saving lives. It seemed apparent that the higher ups with the pandemic did many things to make people worse in their illness and then blame it on the unvaccinated when the unvaccinated ended up being the most healthy people in my neck of the woods. Maybe have the unvacccinated pay a fee to hospitals if they need their services. Peoples health should be treated with a holistic approach. Not once were there mention of healthy prevention practices. All were of the negative sort with masking. Viral infections mixed with a bad bacteria from an overused mask can cause bacterial infection and make things worse. Please leave people to decide the level of risk they are willing to take. Now after 2 years of learning, does this approach make sense. Please do not let a billionaire who experiments with genetics dictate how the world lives.
Concerned Citizen	If deemed necessary after broad consultation and extensive engagement with all nations and their people, the substantive elements of an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include measures which: 1) ensure transparent communication and ongoing engagement, 2) ensure a collaborative, safe environment where subject matter experts may engage in scientific debate, share expertise, observations and knowledge without fear of censorship, defamation or reprisal, 3) prevent conflicts of interest by prohibiting funding from private donors, health profiteers and pharmaceutical companies, 4) allow local jurisdictions to freely adapt their level of involvement as they see appropriate for their people and their evolving circumstances, 5) never supersede local decision-making autonomy, 6) ensure supporting evidence, studies and data used to make recommendations are publicly available and open to constant re-evaluation 7) closely monitor mitigation efforts for failure or increased harms and adjust recommendations accordingly, 8) ensure outcomes never compromise inalienable human rights, 9) acknowledge and listen when groups of credible scientific and medical experts declare consensus on best practices; the Great Barrington Declaration (https://gbdeclaration.org/), the Physician's Declaration/Global Covid Summit (https://doctorsandscientistsdeclaration.org), etc.
Private Citizen	If I can't vote for the governing members of the World Health Organization then I firmly do not want them overseeing my health. In fact, I never want global appointed foreigners making my health choices. I'm from a democratic country and the WHO is not democratic.
retired	If I understand the intention behind the statement and, while I can understand the desire for a centrally planned response, it is my view that the variety of national responses of the covid 19 pandemic was a net benefit to the world as it afforded a range of medical approaches with results particular to those responses. By committing to a centralized response, the world community loses the ability to learn from what others are doing and the net creativity available to fight the virus (or other pathogen) is significantly diminished. It is unrealistic to expect that the WHO or any other organization or collection of organizations can come up with the correct response in a "one size fits all" manner. When a problem lends itself to a single solution (bending moments for bridge design for example) then reducing the available creativity is appropriate. When the problem is multi-faceted, poorly understood and subject to dramatic and fast changes, the appropriate response is best arrived at by a multi-faceted approach bringing as many different views as possible to a multitude of responses with the concomitant ability to evaluate the results of different approaches and make course corrections as appropriate. My view is that the WHO should restrict its involvement in pandemic planning and response to offering data collection support and provide a repository for database management.
Stand for Health Freedom	If informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people, then each person/country should have the right and responsibility to make their own decisions about their health. The United States of America has the right and responsibility, as well as the resources, to take care of those decisions without being under the jurisdiction of another entity. Please do not tie our hands by legislating our freedom to make those decisions to someone else.
Joss Wynne Evans	If it is accepted that a new international "instrument" is required for international pandemic preparedness and response it will first be necessary to gauge the performance and fitness for purpose of any organisation that may be asked to be its custodian or representative in relation to the events of the last two years, in which there is very considerable evidence of widespread criminal activity. It will also be necessary that both the proposed instrument and the organisation proposing it have the full scrutiny and democratic participation of any sovereign states to whom the instrument is proposed to apply. As the reality appears to be that the present proposers are substantially controlled by private interests that have at least a case to answer in the matter of the criminal activity referred to this is a matter that should take precedence over any debate regarding the subject or any other instrument.
Concerned citizen	If it's going to exist - and I don't believe it should - then it must only consist of recommendations. Central planning doesn't work. A centrally planned international response to a pandemic could prove to be disastrous.
FREE LIVING WOMAN	If new organisation/function is created, they must have the following characteristics which are absent from the proposal. A- It must have an open and transparent process: all people of the world to vote, not just politicians. B- National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. C- Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. D- Covid-19 management of WHO or UN has been disastrous. Those decision makers must not participate in the new potential organisation. E- Prohibit censorship of any kind to debate merits of proposals during the entire process. D- Separate the activity from World Economic Form objectives. We need solutions recommendations using the world-wide resources (scientists, engineers, and many other experts), not an enforcement mechanism. Thank you
Self	If new organization/function is created, they must have the following characteristics which are absent from the proposal. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	If new organization/function is created, they must have the following characteristics which are absent from the proposal. A-It must have an open and transparent process: all people of the world to vote, not just politicians. B-National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. C-Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. D-Covid-19 management of WHO or UN has been disastrous. Those decision makers must not participate the new potential organization. Any persons with the conflict of interest must be removed. E-Prohibit censorship of any kind to debate merits of proposals during the entire process. F-Separate the activity from World Economic Forum objectives. We need solution recommendations using the world-wide resources (scientists, engineers, and many other experts), not an enforcement mechanism. Thank you
Foresight Factory	If plans for a WHO pandemic treaty will go ahead, there are some crucial considerations and safeguards to implement — Firstly, national and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must also be an ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There also needs to be open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Lastly, there need to be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	If proceeding with this treaty, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There will be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It will include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign Citizen	If such a treaty was to come into effect, then the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, absolute respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. No one person should ever be forced or coerced to have something put into their body by any person or entity without the persons absolute personal consent.
none	If the countries of the world are planning to develop an international instrument regarding a pandemic preparedness and response to said pandemic, I firmly believe that the following elements should be included in such an instrument: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Diane Kong
The Libertarian Party UK	If the expression "International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" means a treaty that will bind the party nations,. there should be none. Different nations had very different responses to the covid pandemic. At one extreme, nations such as China and New Zealand adopted zero-covid policies pursued by huge lockdowns. At the other extreme Sweden didn't lock down at all and survived the pandemic very well. My own country, England, did lock down twice over but I don't think we'd do the same again because we're finding now that the damage has greatly exceeded the benefits (if any) of locking down. I urge that in the event of future pandemics, each nation should be free to adopt, or refrain from adopting, such measures as it sees fit. Policy in the event of another pandemic should be decided at a national, not International, level.
My Aged Care	IF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN AUSTRALIA CAN NOT BE STOPPED FROM PARTICIPATING IN THIS PROCESS, THEN EVERY STATE NEEDS TO PASS IT'S OWN LAWS TO NEGATE ANY SUCH PANDEMIC TREATY. I AM SICK AND TIRED OF WHAT'S GOING ON IN THIS COUNTRY. LIVE FREE OR DIE should be the motto of our country. There is nothing more important than the independence of the individual, the state, and our country... in that order. WITHOUT INDEPENDENCE THERE IS NO FREEDOM OR DEMOCRACY. PLEASE SEE TO IT THAT OUR RIGHTS AND SOVEREIGNTY ARE PROTECTED FROM THESE TYPES OF GLOBAL POWER PLAYS. THIS IS NOT OK, NOT NOW NOT EVER.
none	If the member states empower WHO to govern global pandemich preparedness, i as a citizen of one of the member states demand that: - medical solutions may not be traded at any stock - pandemic solutions must be free of cost - providers of medical solutions must relinquish their patents - must not be used to restrict access to goods, services and places - must allow a veto of member states
Concerned citizen of this planet	If the pandemic tough us anything is that countries and by extension local communities should have the necessary tools and measures to address any pandemics and/or major Health events impacting everyone. For that they should be able to determine by themselves what are the most efficient measures for them and for their populations and implement them accordingly and not adopt measures that are imposed by international entities without taking into account the local / regional context. Governments / municipalities/ communities should have the right to come together and adopt what they see relevant for them and what would help them address the issue on hand in the most suitable manner to them. In these type of crisis yes we can all learn from each other but we cannot and should not impose on each other what see as efficient and just upon others!

Cambodia	[illegible]
Cameroon	[illegible]
Canada	[illegible] Canada's Chief Public Health Officer, Dr Theresa Tam, [illegible] Canada's Chief Public Health Officer, Dr Theresa Tam, [illegible] Canada's Chief Public Health Officer, Dr Theresa Tam, [illegible] Canada's Chief Public Health Officer, Dr Theresa Tam, [illegible] Canada's Chief Public Health Officer, Dr Theresa Tam, [illegible] Canada's Chief Public Health Officer, Dr Theresa Tam, [illegible]
Central African Republic	[illegible]
Chad	[illegible]
Chile	[illegible]
China	[illegible]
Colombia	[illegible]
Congo, Democratic Republic of the	[illegible]
Congo, Republic of the	[illegible]
Costa Rica	[illegible]
Cote d'Ivoire	[illegible]
Croatia	[illegible]
Cuba	[illegible]
Cyprus	[illegible]
Czechia	[illegible]
Denmark	[illegible]
Dominica	[illegible]
Dominican Republic, The	[illegible]
Ecuador	[illegible]
Egypt	[illegible]
El Salvador	[illegible]
Equatorial Guinea	[illegible]
Eritrea	[illegible]
Estonia	[illegible]
Eswatini	[illegible]
Ethiopia	[illegible]
Fiji	[illegible]
Finland	[illegible]
France	[illegible]
Gabon	[illegible]
Gambia, The	[illegible]
Georgia	[illegible]
Germany	[illegible]
Ghana	[illegible]
Greece	[illegible]
Grenada	[illegible]
Guatemala	[illegible]
Guinea	[illegible]
Guinea-Bissau	[illegible]
Guyana	[illegible]
Haiti	[illegible]
Holy See	[illegible]
Honduras	[illegible]
Hungary	[illegible]
Iceland	[illegible]
India	[illegible]
Indonesia	[illegible]
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	[illegible]
Iraq	[illegible]
Ireland	[illegible]
Israel	[illegible]
Italy	[illegible]
Jamaica	[illegible]
Japan	[illegible]
Jordan	[illegible]
Kazakhstan	[illegible]
Kenya	[illegible]
Kiribati	[illegible]
Kosovo	[illegible]
Kuwait	[illegible]
Kyrgyzstan	[illegible]
Lao People's Democratic Republic, The	[illegible]
Latvia	[illegible]
Lebanon	[illegible]
Lesotho	[illegible]
Liberia	[illegible]
Libya	[illegible]
Liechtenstein	[illegible]
Lithuania	[illegible]
Luxembourg	[illegible]
Madagascar	[illegible]
Malawi	[illegible]
Malaysia	[illegible]
Maldives, The	[illegible]
Mali	[illegible]
Malta	[illegible]
Marshall Islands, The	[illegible]
Mauritania	[illegible]
Mauritius	[illegible]
Mexico	[illegible]
Micronesia (Federated States of)	[illegible]
Moldova, The Republic of	[illegible]
Monaco	[illegible]
Mongolia	[illegible]
Montenegro	[illegible]
Morocco	[illegible]
Mozambique	[illegible]
Myanmar	[illegible]
Nepal	[illegible]
Netherlands, The	[illegible]
New Zealand	[illegible]
Nicaragua	[illegible]
Niger, The	[illegible]
Nigeria	[illegible]
Norway	[illegible]
Oman	[illegible]
Pakistan	[illegible]
Palau	[illegible]
Panama	[illegible]
Papua New Guinea	[illegible]
Paraguay	[illegible]
Peru	[illegible]
Philippines, The	[illegible]
Poland	[illegible]
Portugal	[illegible]
Qatar	[illegible]
Romania	[illegible]
Russian Federation, The	[illegible]
Rwanda	[illegible]
Saint Kitts and Nevis	[illegible]
Saint Lucia	[illegible]
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	[illegible]
Samoa	[illegible]
San Marino	[illegible]
Sao Tome and Principe	[illegible]
Saudi Arabia	[illegible]
Senegal	[illegible]
Serbia	[illegible]
Seychelles	[illegible]
Sierra Leone	[illegible]
Singapore	[illegible]
Slovakia	[illegible]
Slovenia	[illegible]
Solomon Islands	[illegible]
Somalia	[illegible]
South Africa	[illegible]
South Korea	[illegible]
South Sudan	[illegible]
Spain	[illegible]
Sri Lanka	[illegible]
Sudan, The	[illegible]
Suriname	[illegible]
Sweden	[illegible]
Switzerland	[illegible]
Syrian Arab Republic, The	[illegible]
Tajikistan	[illegible]
Tanzania, United Republic of	[illegible]
Thailand	[illegible]
Timor-Leste	[illegible]
Togo	[illegible]
Tonga	[illegible]
Trinidad and Tobago	[illegible]
Tunisia	[illegible]
Turkey	[illegible]
Turkmenistan	[illegible]
Tuvalu	[illegible]
Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates, The	[illegible]
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, The	[illegible]
United States of America, The	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	[illegible]
Viet Nam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]

Kyrgyzstan	[illegible]
Lao People's Democratic Republic	[illegible]
Latvia	[illegible]
Lebanon	[illegible]
Lesotho	[illegible]
Liberia	[illegible]
Libya	[illegible]
Liechtenstein	[illegible]
Lithuania	[illegible]
Luxembourg	[illegible]
Madagascar	[illegible]
Malawi	[illegible]
Malaysia	[illegible]
Maldives	[illegible]
Mali	[illegible]
Malta	[illegible]
Marshall Islands	[illegible]
Mauritania	[illegible]
Mauritius	[illegible]
Mexico	[illegible]
Micronesia (Federated States of)	[illegible]
Monaco	[illegible]
Mongolia	[illegible]
Montenegro	[illegible]
Morocco	[illegible]
Mozambique	[illegible]
Myanmar	[illegible]
Namibia	[illegible]
Nauru	[illegible]
Nepal	[illegible]
Netherlands	[illegible]
New Zealand	[illegible]
Nicaragua	[illegible]
Niger	[illegible]
Nigeria	[illegible]
Niue	[illegible]
North Macedonia	[illegible]
Norway	[illegible]
Oman	[illegible]
Pakistan	[illegible]
Palau	[illegible]
Panama	[illegible]
Papua New Guinea	[illegible]
Paraguay	[illegible]
Peru	[illegible]
Philippines	[illegible]
Poland	[illegible]
Portugal	[illegible]
Qatar	[illegible]
Republic of Korea	[illegible]
Republic of Moldova	[illegible]
Romania	[illegible]
Russian Federation	[illegible]
Rwanda	[illegible]
Saint Kitts and Nevis	[illegible]
Saint Lucia	[illegible]
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	[illegible]
Samoa	[illegible]
San Marino	[illegible]
Sao Tome and Principe	[illegible]
Saudi Arabia	[illegible]
Senegal	[illegible]
Serbia	[illegible]
Seychelles	[illegible]
Sierra Leone	[illegible]
Singapore	[illegible]
Slovakia	[illegible]
Slovenia	[illegible]
Solomon Islands	[illegible]
Somalia	[illegible]
South Africa	[illegible]
South Sudan	[illegible]
Spain	[illegible]
Sri Lanka	[illegible]
Sudan	[illegible]
Suriname	[illegible]
Sweden	[illegible]
Switzerland	[illegible]
Syrian Arab Republic	[illegible]
Tajikistan	[illegible]
Thailand	[illegible]
Timor-Leste	[illegible]
Togo	[illegible]
Tonga	[illegible]
Trinidad and Tobago	[illegible]
Tunisia	[illegible]
Turkmenistan	[illegible]
Tuvalu	[illegible]
Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates	[illegible]
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	[illegible]
United Republic of Tanzania	[illegible]
United States of America	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	[illegible]
Viet Nam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]
Holy See	[illegible]
Palestine	[illegible]
Cook Islands	[illegible]
Niue	[illegible]
Tokelau	[illegible]
Cyprus	[illegible]
Czechia	[illegible]
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	[illegible]
Denmark	[illegible]
Djibouti	[illegible]
Dominica	[illegible]
Dominican Republic	[illegible]
Ecuador	[illegible]
Egypt	[illegible]
El Salvador	[illegible]
Equatorial Guinea	[illegible]
Eritrea	[illegible]
Estonia	[illegible]
Eswatini	[illegible]
Ethiopia	[illegible]
Fiji	[illegible]
Finland	[illegible]
France	[illegible]
Gabon	[illegible]
Gambia	[illegible]
Georgia	[illegible]
Germany	[illegible]
Ghana	[illegible]
Greece	[illegible]
Grenada	[illegible]
Guatemala	[illegible]
Guinea	[illegible]
Guinea-Bissau	[illegible]
Guyana	[illegible]
Haiti	[illegible]
Honduras	[illegible]
Hungary	[illegible]
Iceland	[illegible]
India	[illegible]
Indonesia	[illegible]
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	[illegible]
Iraq	[illegible]
Ireland	[illegible]
Israel	[illegible]
Italy	[illegible]
Jamaica	[illegible]
Japan	[illegible]
Jordan	[illegible]
Kazakhstan	[illegible]
Kenya	[illegible]
Kiribati	[illegible]
Kuwait	[illegible]
Kyrgyzstan	[illegible]
Lao People's Democratic Republic	[illegible]
Latvia	[illegible]
Lebanon	[illegible]
Lesotho	[illegible]
Liberia	[illegible]
Libya	[illegible]
Liechtenstein	[illegible]
Lithuania	[illegible]
Luxembourg	[illegible]
Madagascar	[illegible]
Malawi	[illegible]
Malaysia	[illegible]
Maldives	[illegible]
Mali	[illegible]
Malta	[illegible]
Marshall Islands	[illegible]
Mauritania	[illegible]
Mauritius	[illegible]
Mexico	[illegible]
Micronesia (Federated States of)	[illegible]
Monaco	[illegible]
Mongolia	[illegible]
Montenegro	[illegible]
Morocco	[illegible]
Mozambique	[illegible]
Myanmar	[illegible]
Namibia	[illegible]
Nauru	[illegible]
Nepal	[illegible]
Netherlands	[illegible]
New Zealand	[illegible]
Nicaragua	[illegible]
Niger	[illegible]
Nigeria	[illegible]
Niue	[illegible]
North Macedonia	[illegible]
Norway	[illegible]
Oman	[illegible]
Pakistan	[illegible]
Palau	[illegible]
Panama	[illegible]
Papua New Guinea	[illegible]
Paraguay	[illegible]
Peru	[illegible]
Philippines	[illegible]
Poland	[illegible]
Portugal	[illegible]
Qatar	[illegible]
Republic of Korea	[illegible]
Republic of Moldova	[illegible]
Romania	[illegible]
Russian Federation	[illegible]
Rwanda	[illegible]
Saint Kitts and Nevis	[illegible]
Saint Lucia	[illegible]
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	[illegible]
Samoa	[illegible]
San Marino	[illegible]
Sao Tome and Principe	[illegible]
Saudi Arabia	[illegible]
Senegal	[illegible]
Serbia	[illegible]
Seychelles	[illegible]
Sierra Leone	[illegible]
Singapore	[illegible]
Slovakia	[illegible]
Slovenia	[illegible]
Solomon Islands	[illegible]
Somalia	[illegible]
South Africa	[illegible]
South Sudan	[illegible]
Spain	[illegible]
Sri Lanka	[illegible]
Sudan	[illegible]
Suriname	[illegible]
Sweden	[illegible]
Switzerland	[illegible]
Syrian Arab Republic	[illegible]
Tajikistan	[illegible]
Thailand	[illegible]
Timor-Leste	[illegible]
Togo	[illegible]
Tonga	[illegible]
Trinidad and Tobago	[illegible]
Tunisia	[illegible]
Turkmenistan	[illegible]
Tuvalu	[illegible]
Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates	[illegible]
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	[illegible]
United Republic of Tanzania	[illegible]
United States of America	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	[illegible]
Viet Nam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]
Holy See	[illegible]
Palestine	[illegible]
Cook Islands	[illegible]
Niue	[illegible]
Tokelau	[illegible]

Organization	Written submission
None	Imposing legally binding pandemic restrictions and policy is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. There is clear evidence that the U.S. response to the corona virus pandemic was not only far less successful than other nations, it has been extremely harmful to our economy and health as a nation. Overall, the media continues to avoid this topic alongside the related facts and data that provide proof of these serious issues. The handling of this latest global pandemic needs to be openly discussed and debated amongst professionals from varying points of view for all to hear and see. In the U.S., we must maintain our right to making final decisions about our bodies and health. Pandemic policy must be handled at a local level for different communities and people have different needs.
Physicians	Improving health through proper nutrition. Nutritional guidelines need to be established with an emphasis on healthy fruits and vegetables. Limiting sugars, eliminating fast foods and increasing exercise will improve health. Countries and states need to be I. Charge of their own preparedness. We have seen that a world wide response is not helpful. This needs to be accomplished within the individual and community.
none - general public	In response to the guiding question of "What substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?", I hereby do propose and state that the following essential elements be included: The National and local leadership of given countries, should RETAIN FULL AUTONOMY, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, WITHOUT consequence. An open and TRANSPARENT PROCESS with the ability for all people of the world to VOTE on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the people do not want it. In addition, Measures must be included that PREVENT influences and do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In addition, this proposed treaty by the WHO (a private organization) MUST NEVER override individual and/or basic rights of the Constitution of a country, which is the supreme law of every country, under ANY and all circumstances. As a sovereign individual, I also hereby state that I DO NOT CONSENT to the proposed treaty of the WHO.
PrepIsPoison.com	In 2004, WHO officer Dr. James Chin wrote "The AIDS Pandemic: the Collison of Epidemiology and Political Correctness." Dr. Chin was the chief of the WHO's Surveillance, Forecasting, and Impact assessment unit of the WHO's global program on AIDS, and he laid bare the ways the WHO lies about case numbers and manipulates statistics. Dr. Chin resigned in 1992, and three decades later, the WHO has not reformed itself to report openly and honestly about health. The WHO's priorities are skewed toward infectious disease control and away from the real threats to health such as sanitation and malnutrition in the developing world. Maybe that's the point? In any case, the WHO has not proved itself to be an honest and reliable and fair broker of health policy. A treaty locks in a unilateral voice of authority - a voice that can and has been wrong. Such an uncritical global strategy is a threat to health. As such, I firmly oppose any treaty in this area.
Dr Sheila Richards	In 2020 the U.K. was advised to abandon their long planned effective pandemic protocol in favour of a world wide plan that is not based on past experience - pandemics are best managed by vaccinating the high risk so the low risk people can protect others with long lasting natural immunity. One size doesn't fit all. Local government and public opinion are paramount to plan future pandemic strategy. Future pandemic plans need to include the points below National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Freedom of choice and bodily autonomy.
Jersey Safeguard	In 2020 the U.K. was advised to abandon their long planned effective pandemic protocol in favour of a world wide plan that is not based on past experience - pandemics are best managed by vaccinating the high risk so the low risk people can protect others with long lasting natural immunity. One size doesn't fit all. Local government and public opinion are paramount to plan future pandemic strategy. Future pandemic plans need to include the points below National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Freedom of choice and bodily autonomy.
Biolicious	In a free world people need to retain their freedom of choice. We are all different in our constitution; some have a strong imuune system, others catch illnesses sooner. Also some react stronger to vaccines than others and it already has been proven that these COVID vaccines have side effects. People need to have the choice whether they are willing to suffer the illness or suffer the side effects. We all believe that we live in a free society so let that truly be so in our freedom of choice
private person	elements has to be included: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Instead of uncoordinated mass testing only persons with clinical symptoms should be tested · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law So I want to emphasize:- I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Thank You! Elisabeth Bock
private person	elements has to be included: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Instead of uncoordinated mass testing only persons with clinical symptoms should be tested · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law So I want to emphasize:- I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Thank You! Clara Recheis
private person	elements has to be included: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Instead of uncoordinated mass testing only persons with clinical symptoms should be tested · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law So I want to emphasize:- I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Thank You! René Tichy
private citizen	In a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be included guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
Team Human Rights	In a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response the basic human rights based on the Nuremberg Codex shall be priority. Next alternative treatment methods shall be financed and implemented - like Ivermectin against Corona virus - shall be assessed due to proven treatment and low cost. In general no medications without proof shall be used. In addition no treatment based on force shall be performed.
US citizen	In a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, only include actions that will be taken to support member nations in their chosen national responses when extra help is requested. Do nothing that threatens the sovereignty of the nations, and the people of each nation to make their own decisions. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including during emergencies, as one size does not fit all.
N/a	In a new international instrument regarding pandemic responses and preparedness the following needs to be a priority; Allow individual countries to work out and decide their own codes and methods of response, there is no one size fits all. The world health organisation cannot and should not attempt to control and micromanage nations. Allow relevant up to date medically trained doctors who are experts in their field and who do not have ulterior motives to provide the neccessary leadership and management. Pandemic responses should not be led by government leaders or their ministers as they are not competent in this field.
Not Applicable	In a pandemic situation each country globally needs to be able to make independent soverign decisions based on the needs and of their own populations and countries. No country and population demographic is the same, a agile independent sovereign government can move quickly to make the appropriate fact based decisions appropriate for their population which also respect the freedoms and laws of their country and are less prone to global group think and posturing. Centralised decision making on a global scale in a crisis could lead to lack of diversity of thought and solutions, as well as be used as a means to shut out opinions that didn't align the with consensus. Diversity of thought and challenge is important in such a crisis to ensure all options are analysed and considered. This is more likely to happen with countries retaining power and responsibility for the handling of a pandemic.
None	In a pandemic the WHO should foster communication of clinical observations, information on what drugs can be repurposed that help clinically, etc. Bureaucrats in a centralized place should not be making decisions for people everywhere and should not have the power to mandate any counter measures or treatments. The WHO must never be allowed to overthrow or bypass the American constitution. All treatments carry risks and people everywhere should have the right to informed consent and physical autonomy, making individual decisions for their health and well being. Covid showed how far off the people making decisions were from good science and how powerful entities controlled decisions making the whole process for political gain rather than for the good and health of people in all nations. Health decisions should be made by each individual and each community even or especially when an emergency exists. Giving the WHO this unlimited power is wrong and dangerous. No pandemic treaty should be signed.
Individual	In a pandemic, the conditions and needs of each community are unique, and each community and country should be free to craft an appropriate solution for its citizens. The citizens should also have a voice in the decisions. A one size fits all solution is never wise or workable. Let every nation and community make their own decisions. In the process multiple approaches will be taken which will highlight the wisest and best decisions/ approaches.
World Council for Health (supporter)	In a recent open letter, the World Council for Health wrote: "The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights." Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We especially need to retain the right to measures that do not allow for any influence from any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This agreement would effectively create a one world government under the guise of creating a standardized global pandemic response, according to Astrid Stuckelberger, a member of the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Geneva and Lausanne, and I would agree. "This pandemic committee wants everything in a standardized way," she said. "One health, one government, one emergency all the time." Dr. Jeffrey Singer, a practicing general surgeon and a senior fellow at the Cato Institute, has stated: "If the treaty involves cooperation between countries working together, especially sharing information, that's a good thing. If it involves everyone having to listen to a central authority, that's not a good thing." Noting that in the U.S. the concentration of power in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) "led to mistakes," Singer argues that big organizations should just focus on updating health experts around the world with the latest authentic, unbiased information. "When you have distant organizations trying to control things, there are always unintended consequences," he said. "Pandemics act differently in different communities. The WHO's main role should be information, and let us use that information as we need to locally."
niki purcell	In Absolute opposition to a global treaty... each country must have its own FREE authority to manage its own medical resources... One organisation to command all is a very dangerous situation and does not understand local issues NO to the treaty
None	In accordance with democratic principles, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement. In accordance with ethical principles, decision-making processes by the WHO regarding the pandemic agreement must be fully transparent, with full disclosure of all conflicts of interest by decision makers at all levels. Those with conflicts of interest, for example shares in vaccine companies, should not participate in or influence, recommendations made under the agreement. In accordance with humans rights principles, the agreement should not give the WHO the power to mandate any medical treatment or legal measures that affect citizens' freedoms to travel, work or use businesses or public facilities. In accordance with data protection principles, the agreement should not give the WHO the authority to hold individuals' health or vaccination records.
Anthroposophia Wellness Foundation Inc.	In addition to my earlier response I would like to add that the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include the RECOGNITION and RESPECT of every person's basic right and freedom to chose his/her treatment and he/she may refuse any experimental vaccines and/or drugs.
private individual	In addressing this issue, it is important to recognize that: 1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. This must be an open and transparent process, which includes the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. This must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	In agreement with Steve Kirsch and numerous health practitioners, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tenant	In all decisions you make, civil rights must be highest priority. All decisions must be checked on reasonableness and supported by actual science.
Citizen of planet Earth	In all fairness to the people of this country and all countries in this world of ours, it is imperative that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the best possible outcome for the health of the individual within that community, culture and country. As well as nations and local municipalities to have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. In order to be just, an open and transparent process should be enacted, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where clearly the majority of the people do not want it. In addition, the establishment of measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self-employed	In all future pandemic or epidemic responses, the following are imperative: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. It must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	In all great endeavours, to those that accomplish much, greater responsibility is given. The so-called pandemic of COVID-19 represents a complete operational failure on the part of the World Health Organization and the respective health authorities in many nations, with a few notable exceptions. To even be recognized as a 'pandemic', it was first necessary to revise the long-held definition of that word. Next, every bit of knowledge the world had painfully acquired about treatments and protocols were tossed out the window and medical practitioners were bought off or silenced. Each country's emergency preparedness plans, developed over many years and at great cost to its tax-paying citizenry, were ignored. Then began a two-year and counting propaganda campaign of fear based on flawed modelling of death rates which never materialized, concurrent with a never-tried-before containment policy of healthy populations, supposedly to protect the vulnerable, whom were often killed anyway, only instead of dying surrounded by their loved ones, they lived and died in isolation not even understanding why they had been abandoned. Then masking! Of even babies and children who have statistically a zero risk of death, when it is known that 90% of communication is non-verbal and requires facial recognition. And finally, gene therapy. As a citizen of the world, I ask: "why would any sane person willingly trust an unelected body such as the WHO with the management of future pandemics given all of the above?". Elected officials are no longer trusted around the world and to the extent they become signatories to an agreement neither asked for nor desired, it will not be viewed as valid.
Personal	In all honesty, this treaty should include the rights of democratically voted governments to do their own thing. If this is included, it gives the citizens of the world the opportunity to take part in the decision making process that is within their own best interests, and not "blanket" or "one size fits all" treaties. Governments should be allowed to "opt out without consequence" at any time if what transpires does not align with the values of their citizens (as determined by the citizens, and not the government). Each nation differs, therefore this point must be in place. Otherwise, it would be the few making decisions that would affect all, which I disagree with.
FreeMan	In all my life I have never seen a push to claim a false testimony from a false premise as Covid. Covid, which is from the common cold string that has never been cured. Intellectual Only want to control the population and will use any means to do this. To force anyone to go against there own reasoning, God given rights will only cause this so called pandemic to exploded into a real pandemic. Something the world WILL see if you intellectual's don't get off that self made high horse and realize. You can't control your own heart beating.
none, general public, citizen from germany	In an "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" the sovereignty of each nation state and each individual must be guaranteed, human rights have to be respected, governments and pharma industry must be legally liable for damages from medical and non-medical interventions.
None	In an age of "personalized everything", global solutions to health problems are the worst type of solutions. The current Corona pandemic was one example of the need of localized and customized community crises management. Even a global pandemic like this one, moved at a different speed, different timing and different expressions depending on the local populations. The WHO can continue to provide guidance as up to now, but under no circumstances should it be obligatory! A global treaty undermines not only the particular community needs, but the laws and constitutions of each country. Unelected beurocrats in a far away place, have no business deciding my community's health decisions.
Self	In an effort to hold the WHO accountable to your words in the WHO constitution, that "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." here is my contribution: WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Furthermore, all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The citizens of the United States of America say NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty.
No	In an instrument on pandemic preparedness I expect that any instrument considers the free will and decision of any individual, the self-determination and the the prevailing validity of the human rights and the rights of the (German) constitution. There should be no disadvantages in case of not following the instruments which I consider as recommendations. No mandatory rules for the application of instruments should be allowed.
n/a	In answer to the above question. There should be NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness or response. Freedom of choice . Allopathic , homeopathic, herbal. nutrition, supplements and both traditional and alternative means. Adherence to the Nuremburg Codes and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights . Focus should be on enhancing individual physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social and environmental health. Equal opportunity for everyone regardless of race . Poverty needs to be addressed so people can afford good healthy food and all other means to keep themselves healthy. Stress plays a big part in ill health and disease.
Private Citizen	In answer to the above stated question, "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?", please see my statement below. A. It is of utmost importance national and local leadership retains FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. B. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, WITHOUT CONSEQUENCE. C. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. D. There MUST be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free Citizen	In answer to the question posed by the WHO "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" My answer is none. My contention is that the WHO has no power, no right, no capability and indeed no authority to act in the manner of controlling the behaviours of any free species that naturally lives on this planet. This constraint on the actions of the WHO applies any time or place both now and in the future in perpetuity.
Individual	In answer to the question, each country is different in the way its populace lives, their culture and practises, their food and food sources. It is absolutely impossible for one size to fit all, and it goes against all human rights and sovereignty of individual nations for one unelected NGO to have autonomy over their citizens.
general public	In answer to the question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Answer: NONE. preparedness and response to health issues Need to be considered on a Local level. Not international.
Private individual	In answer to your question I think it is essential that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. All nations of nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The WHO should not adopt any policies that are mandatory, but should if anything provide (via consultation) advise and guidance and support for all locally-based initiatives, including those that advocate solutions beyond mass-vaccination and lockdowns Respectfully Brian Durkin
None	In answer to your question, I don't believe there should be "a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response." I believe that leadership in response to public health crises should fall on national and local leadership and it is beyond the WHO's purview to provide a blanket authority over varying nations in response to any future pandemics. In a democratic society I further find it offensive that a global organization would have some kind of authority over me and my countrymen as opposed to my duly elected representatives here in Canada. That is NOT the WHO's mandate. Period. I also am vehement that any processes be free from the influence and lobbying of pharmaceutical companies or their agents. I don't feel that is currently the case with WHO, which has and continues to receive large sums of funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. This in itself should be a conflict. I would like the who to provide GUIDANCE for nations only, NOT with authority in any binding way. Nations should be autonomous in all ways. I have no affiliations to declare.
Voices For Freedom	In answer to your question, I make the following submissions: The autonomy of individual countries & communities to make health decisions based on what is best for them is paramount in all events. The 'one size fits all' view is not effective, Individual nations & communities cannot be bound by an agreement which will have sections that do not fit particular situations. Such a treaty as proposed removes any openness & transparency in information provided & takes away people's right to make their own decisions. As a world, we need to reduce the influence of pharmaceutical companies in our lives as they do not have our best interests at heart. For the WHO to become more useful in it's role, funding sources need to be examined so that sources that come with an agenda, for example the Gates Foundation & the drug companies, can have their influence removed. Then the organisation might truly be concerned about world health, not just lining the pockets of the elite.
None	In answer to your question, there should be no blanket international instrument that governs the entire world. Covid-19 had widely varying affects on various populations around the world. The US should not give up its sovereignty with regards to health decisions. These health decisions, whether in the US or anywhere else around the world, need to be made as locally as possible, to reflect the level of severity in the local area. Thank you.
Teacher	In answer to your question….None. Zero. The WHO, among many other organizations, lied about Covid origins, seriousness and hospitalizations and the death count. The WHO focused on cases and lied about deaths, changed definitions of vaccination, pandemic and other word to fit a false narrative, promoted and required a faulty testing process, among many other crimes. An average citizen would be thrown in jail for doing some of what the WHO has done. The WHO has no business meddling in the affairs of the USA and leaders of the USA should question every motive invoked in this power grab.
Privat	In any case, the individual should be respected. Individual human rights must also be anchored as the supreme premise in this new instrument. It must not be allowed to deprive individuals of their human rights, such as physical integrity, by this new instrument.
Privat	In any case, the individual should be respected. Individual human rights must also be anchored as the supreme premise in this new instrument. It must not be allowed to deprive individuals of their human rights, such as physical integrity, by this new instrument.
United States Citizen	In any document prepared for international agreement, there must be wording recognizing the WHO acts in an advisory capacity only. It cannot have authority to enforce its recommendations. As a United States citizen, I recognize our U.S. Constitution as the supreme document limiting the scope of our peoples' government and ensuring our right to make health and other decisions for ourselves. Organizations, including the WHO, can research and offer best practice advise only for consistency of information to participating members. They cannot have authority over sovereign nations. Wording must be explicit to ensure each nation's sovereignty and right to accept or reject recommendations as part of any agreement.
affiliated with EMF Safety Network	In any global agreement, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy with respect to decisions about what is best for their own citizenry. A global document should not in any way interfere with US sovereignty, and in particular public and individual health. Any global agreement must prohibit the sharing of private health data that would likely be prompted by a global response. A global agreement must ensure the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without penalty. A global agreement must ensure an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. A global agreement should prohibit influence in proceedings by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other entities engaged in pandemic profiteering.

Organization	Written submission
Self	In any global treaty, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be safeguarded. The creation of a treaty re pandemic preparedness and response needs to be an open and transparent process including the ability for all people of the world to vote on its measures, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be taken to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	In any global treaty, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be safeguarded. The creation of a treaty re pandemic preparedness and response needs to be an open and transparent process including the ability for all people of the world to vote on its measures, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be taken to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	In any global treaty, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be safeguarded. The creation of a treaty re pandemic preparedness and response needs to be an open and transparent process including the ability for all people of the world to vote on its measures, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be taken to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Anne Orso
Private	In any instrument they create or use, the WHO must respect the rights of individuals first and foremost. People are not statistics. A government's duty is to protect the rights of individuals not to abuse them. As stated in the UN Declaration of Human Rights, "Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person." This means that no one can be legitimately forced to stay at home nor to take a medical treatment, both of which happened during the recent pandemic. Anyone so ill that they are a danger to others will stay at home of their own accord. Arguing that non-ill people 'might' spread a disease and therefore should be detained is not valid. The same logic would demand that everyone should be made to act in accordance with any crisis government perceived crisis without any regard to their rights to freedom or a fair trial. That is a doctrine of dicatatorship. Arguing that it's "for their own good" assumes that government's always know best and therefore that individuals have no rational faculty. That is a doctrine of arrogance and can only lead to state abuse. If you create instruments that focus on controlling a disease whilst dropping the context of individual rights, you will be guilty of enabling crimes against humanity.
International Coalition to Protect Polish Countryside	In any instrument, especially in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be included the following statements: Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what pharmaceutical and non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship.
York University	In any International Health Treaty: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. National and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process should be in place with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Strong measures should be present that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. World citizens should be able to question the existence of such a treaty if it counters principles of participatory democracy Thank you
N/A - Member of the public	In any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, it is vitally important that all nations have the right to retain their full autonomy to make their own decisions. At any point, there must be the right to opt out of all or part of any agreement which a nation or local municipality deems fit, free from the threat of any consequence. There must be an honest and transparent process for every citizen of the world to be able to see and scrutinise, with conflicts of interest fully and openly declared. There must be no private meetings, and all meetings must be recorded, minuted, include the full list of attendees, and be posted on the WHO website for all citizens of the world and journalists to have easy access to. Strict measures must be applied to prevent influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies and health institutions whom may profiteer from this. There must be safeguards to prevent the application of all or part of any global agreement being forced upon people who do not want this. Bodily autonomy must trump everything, and this bodily autonomy must come without any threat, coercion, or removal of the same rights which apply to their fellow citizens. The remit of the WHO must not increase to some authoritive power, nor should it seek to be. It should be encouraged that all voices be heard and not silenced, where some health professionals may have alternative ideas and advice.
Self	In any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: *National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *Nations and local municipalities must maintain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Such a response must: *Include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	In any international response to any pandemics, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and where all votes are counted equally. 4. All measures will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical, medical, and health companies, or other global health profiteers. 5. Personal autonomy for all medical decisions will be respected and honored.
privat	In any kind of pandemic preparedness it is important, that all of the regulations are for mankind and not for companies. All measures must take full account of all health interests. - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO are only allowed it the donator gets no extra rights. - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
N/a	In any pandemic plan, it is vital that individual governments retain the ability to manage their response as they deem most appropriate. Accountability for the implementation of a pandemic plan must remain with national governments. Particularly in the case of democracies, the electorate must be the final arbiter. Any pandemic plan should allow for countries to take differing approaches. This is particularly valuable in the case of novel pathogens as it allows for comparative analysis of approach and outcome.
Private citizen	In any pandemic response, it it critically important to convene a panel of experts to treat the disease with existing treatments for and to encourage the population to take measures to prevent the disease. This is where the medical community failed in regards to the present pandemic. Governments should have issued care packages which included Vitamin D, C, zinc and quercetin to all of its citizens and encouraged healthy lifestyles. The job of the WHO is to make health recommendations. They must not have soveriegnty over any country. No country should sign this treaty giving the WHO control over its pandemic response.
N/A	In any potential pandemic treaty there should be every attempt made to prevent billionaire investors such as Gates, technocratic elitist organizations like the World Economic Forum and pharmaceutical corporations like Pfizer from influencing the decision-making process.. They have serious conflicts of interest and too much power in global health policy already. Any World Health treaty should understand that one-size-fits all strategies are counterproductive and thus should allow for local elected/ accountable officials to decide with their community members how to apply any guidance from WHO. There should be transparency in the process and open, censorship-free discussions about pandemic origins and potential treatments. The fact that so many scientists and doctors were censored and fired for unorthodox ideas does not make the average citizen trust global/federal institutions. There is the problem of biodefense/bio weapons research labs and what to do if and when they leak. Maybe there should be a global ban on this type of research. Maybe doctors should be allowed to subscribe their patients repurposed off-patent drugs. I'll be surprised if these issues are considered in a global health proposal.
Southern Cross Care	In any response of a pandemic the wellbeing of the whole person needs to be taken in consideration. The immunity needs to be naturally build up not broken down. The lockdown were over implemented and mis-misimplimented. Here in Western Australia the Covid was less then the flue but the fear gripped many prematurely. For 2 years people were kept from their loved ones if they lived interstate. All intergenerational programs were immidiatly ceased although children were not the spreaders initially. Over cleaning caused staff to over use strong chemicals which in turn caused skin conditions. The odours were overpowering the homecooking odours we were trying to implement. Isolation and anxiety caused many elderly to loose hope. Staff that chose not to take part in a medical experiment were scrutinised and vendicted. They were stripped of their careers and roles and a shortage unforeseen shortage of staff was the result. We should live with illness and find natural ways to combat pandemic. Our elderly are much tougher then given credit for. And wrong treatment like Remdisivir should be banned. Treatment like Ivermectin was silenced and could have saved many lives. Drosten made the decision to use the PCR test which resulted in unscientific non accuracy and created panic with the use of too high CT thresholds. The decision were overshadowed by a political agenda. Science became unscientific.
Self	In any such instrument, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University of British Columbia	In appreciation for the opportunity to contribute to the exploration of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, as a European citizen and early childhood education scholar, here are my main considerations: As it is recognized by the WHO itself, before the COVID-19 pandemic its role in managing pandemics has been rather small, involving only the light pandemics of 1957 and 1968. Because of this short experience, collaboration with and support of unbiased research is indispensable for the WHO to develop a stronger role and better support health around the globe. An instrument like the one proposed should first and foremost respect and support each person's right and autonomy to make informed decisions about our own bodies and uphold parents' rights to decide on our children's health. Particularly in the context of a pandemic or disaster, the WHO should be focused on coordinating collaborative, systematic, and ongoing scientific research to support prevention, early treatment, in-hospital care, and vaccine development and follow-up. Critically, this instrument should ensure that those developing and suggesting health recommendations and products are free from conflicts of interest and connections with all pharmaceutical companies and corporations. Scientific research should not be conditioned or skewed by economic interests. Acknowledging that human beings are not mathematical equations, this instrument should ensure that health measures and treatments are not mandated as one fit for all, and the autonomy of each country to maintain their right to establish their own health policies and measures should always be respected.
Family Research Council	In April 2022, the World Health Organization (the WHO) asked for written comments regarding "a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness...." In response, Family Research Council (FRC) submits these comments. The recent experience with the Covid-19 pandemic indicated that giving the WHO, or any international body, more power over future responses pursuant to a treaty would be a grave error. In January 2020 the WHO led the entire world astray by falsely claiming that Covid-19 was not spread by human-to-human transmission; it did not correctly identify aerosol spread until April 30, 2021. The WHO's attempts to determine the origins of the Covid-19 pandemic have been a complete failure. This is a poor track record. We prefer decentralized decision-making that allows for rapid learning from diverse networks and treatment paths. For that reason, FRC opposes the promulgation of any future pandemic treaty or agreement. Failing that, we set forth limiting conditions that must be put in place. FRC advocates: 1) that national and local political leaders retain complete sovereign authority over disease management policy; 2) there must be national and local opt-out authority to all or any part of a future agreement without consequence or retribution from any governing body; 3) unlike current WHO operations, any agreement must provide for transparent decision-making; finally, the influence of conflicted donor "health" foundations, health industry corporations, and pharmaceutical firms must be kept at arms-length and identified completely. The Family Research Council thanks the WHO for this opportunity to comment.
world council for health	IN BRIEF Ÿ Lift all Covid-19 specific restrictions and mandates Ÿ Offer protection to vulnerable individuals Ÿ End mass testing, contact tracing, quarantining and lockdowns Ÿ Ensure public transparency of all efficacy and safety data of vaccines Ÿ Reassert open scientific debate and freedom of speech, opinion and choice. Full details at https://pandemicalternative.org/files/PANDA_ProtocolReopeningSociety-3.pdf
Individual	In brief, the WHO should never seek to enact in any form a treaty which supercedes any element of the laws or constitution of the United States period. No international body should attempt to remove or replace the current local, state, and federal powers established in the United States - anything more is an outright infringement on the sovereignty of the United States. One 'instrument' I would propose regarding pandemic preparedness and response, is to encourage and support medical discourse, dialogue, and debate on early ambulatory treatment for COVID patients. Let's allow discussion and debate for medical professionals who may in fact have differing professional opinions. Instead, this pandemic response was nothing more than a narrative that was controlled at every turn - any other view that differed was silenced. Censored. Withheld. Removed from public discussion. Scientific papers differing from the narrative were not selected for publication, or withdrawn after publication. The unfortunate and sad result of this is that people DIED for lack of early ambulatory treatment that could likely have prevented hospitalization for so many. This pandemic response will go down in history, and it will not reflect well on the integrity and ethics of leading health authorities and governing bodies in the US and perhaps other countries as well.
None	In Canada we have seen how body autonomy has been taken away. As a teacher, I have seen lockdown lead to suicides, cutting and variety of mental health issues. I have taken a leave of absence because of mask mandates that affect my health. Given the choice to unmask I would be working. I am concerned on the increased amount of government overreach and this new law in regards to Pandemic response would cause increased public chaos.
N/A	In case of a pandemic it is of utmost importance that people's basic rights are not removed. People's intelligence must be respected. There must be NO mandates, as each person or family is fully capable of making their own decisions. We are all gifted with common sense. The definitions of "pandemic" must be correct. There must be no force, no violence from the part of police. All churches must be deemed essential, and all must be done to encourage people to retain their spirit and their hope. There must be no social distancing as it is people who take care of each other. It is also of utmost importance that channels of communication not be shut down. People must be encouraged to share their stories so that we can learn from each other. All forms of censorship must cease. People are capable of discernment and constructive thinking. Police, politicians and others who job it is to serve the people should be doing just that. Helping where and whenever it is needed. Making sure that the sick have their needs met. Children must be made to feel safe. Happiness is a very important contribution to health, so we want them to be able to play, be with friends and just to feel safe in general. By "feeling safe" I mean not scaring them. We will all die, that is the nature of life on earth. But we don't need to be talking about it all the time and scaring each other.
Private	In case of a pandemic public health is best addressed by the people of each country that is familiar with the geographical and cultural challenges of each individual country. Each country has their own officials which are elected by the people of each country. The WHO are not our elected officials and therfore does not have any authority in the governance if the different countries. The WHO agreement threatens each country's sovereignty and the human rights of the people of each country. The only need for the WHO is in gathering data and facts distributing from world pandemics.
Citizen	In case of a pandemic the WHO must oversee that those who are in need of care, receive the best care that can be provided in the situation. This includes ongoing objective analysis of best treatment, raising knowledge and awareness for prevention with an emphasis on the body's natural immunesystem and how to strengthen the immunesystem by food, exercise, relaxation and fresh air. The WHO must oversee that human rights are guaranteed and side effects of treatment and other taken measures are minimised. The WHO must uphold governments to their constitution and international agreements and conventions: the right to physical integrity, medical privacy, informed consent and freedom to choose or deny treatment and freedom of speech.
None	In combatting challenges to health in any country, or the world as a whole, it is imperative that countries are able to deal with the threat in the way that they know best suits their conditions. We may be one global family, but geographical conditions and social norms and habits remain unique to each country and even its different states and localities. Governance of those areas should be decided by the national/local authorities and not by one global entity. One size does not fit all! Secondly, there are many ways to approach health - one is by disease control, eg in USA where the central health agency is the CDC and this involves large amounts of drugs which have not made the country any healthier overall. Another approach is to promote health, either via a national organisation like the British Health Service or the many alternative approaches that are increasingly popular as people find that drugs do not solve basic problems that cause disease in the first place. Our health is essential to quality of life, as is the freedom to choose what we take into our bodies and how we choose to manage our health. It can never be justifiable to force people to adopt one approach. It is a human right to choose what we eat, how we live our lives and how we choose to address creating and maintaining good health. Education is of major importance here so that as children grow up, they learn how to live balanced lives, the importance of good nutrition (an essential topic almost totally neglected in modern medical training), the value of getting enough sleep, the role of exercise, and also the part that meditation plays in allowing the system to gain deep rest and rejuvenation.
Self employed	In consideration of a world wide treaty that is neither wanted nor warranted is unacceptable. Any treaty that infringes on the rights and freedoms of individuals anywhere in the world is not in line with the Nuremberg Code and any other ethical and moral rights for individuals to be decided by anyone other than each and every individual for themselves and their families..
none	In consideration of the effort to usurp the powers of unelected officials at the WHO, I request: That the national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That there is the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. That an open and transparent process with the ability for all sovereign people of the world to vote on including global measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement everywhere throughout the world when a simple majority of people do not wish it. That measures be taken to restrict and limit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health entities. That all and any public health measures to be imposed at any time must be supported by peer-reviewed relevant scientific evidence from a credible representative of an approved scientific or medical/public health institution/s."
Third World Network	In COVID-19 pandemic we have witnessed unconscionable disparity in access to health products, technologies, and know-how to respond to the pandemic, which underscores the urgent imperative for an access and benefit-sharing framework negotiated by Members of the WHO. Previously, we have observed similar inequities in the context of influenza pandemic leading to the adoption of the PIP Framework which provides an overarching structure for access to influenza viruses of pandemic potential, including genetic sequence information, and fair and equitable benefit sharing, transparency, and oversight. Notably, it includes binding contractual agreements between WHO and manufacturers to commit to access to antivirals, vaccines, and diagnostics during a pandemic and monetary benefits that have supported capacity building in developing countries. WHO Experts have labeled the Framework a "bold and innovative tool" that has led to greater confidence and predictability in the global capacity to respond to an influenza pandemic". This Framework is built on the fair and equitable benefit-sharing objective of the Convention on Biological Diversity, further elaborated by the Nagoya Protocol. We urgently need an access and benefit-sharing instrument for other human pathogens of pandemic potential including genetic sequence information (GSI). This we see as the single most relevant need of the hour element in the pandemic prevention, preparedness, and response (PPPR) regime. One health approach, stress on prevention, and fast-tracking of sharing of information should not frustrate this objective. The absence of such an ABS framework has undermined a prompt equitable public health response in the current pandemic.
Sovereign Human Being	question is not whether a "Pandemic Preparedness Instrument" is needed at all? During the last 2 years we have witnessed the trampling of human rights, forced removal of freedoms and military grade psychological warfare waged through the media on the global population, all in the name of a virus with a globally documented ~99%+ survival rate. Will this pandemic preparedness treaty continue to enable this illegal practice? We MUST consider the following; Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone in favour of others. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment without prejudice is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and quarantine of healthy people is wrong. Transparency is vital. All de-personalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to free speech and peaceful protest without demonisation and imprisonment. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and
Keine	In dem Pandemievertrag müssen enthalten sein: - die Gewährleistung und Garantie der Souveränität der einzelnen Mitgliedstaaten - die Haftung bei Gesundheitsschäden durch verantwortliche Politiker, Berater, Experten und Pharmaunternehmen - die Achtung der Würde des Einzelnen sowie der Grund- und Menschenrechte - keine Erstellung von Zwangs- und Pflichtgesetzen zur Einnahme und/oder Verabreichung jedweder medikamentöser Behandlungen - die WHO gibt weiterhin EMPFEHLUNGEN an die Mitgliedsstaaten OHNE Erwartung einer Erfüllung - experimentelle Medikamente bedürfen IMMER der ausdrücklichen Zustimmung des Einzelnen - keine Verpflichtung zu digitalen Gesundheitszertifikaten
Privag	In dem Vertrag müssen Garantien für die Souveränität meines Staates und jedes Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharma Unternehmen aufgenommen werden.
None	In democratic countries the politicians we vote into power must have autonomy, not unelected unaccountable officials. Who should only issue advice Full transparency of links to with any pharmacutecal companies. Transparency on funding of WHO
Douglas College	In democratic countries, since the people are sovereign, and have autonomy over their own person, and also the right and duty to make decisions effecting the quality of life within the democratic society; and the citizens of a democratic society like Canada, need to be properly informed and to have their positions properly considered by elected representatives through the appropriate, systematic democratic processes. A democratic country like Canada has no authority to by-pass or forfeit the autonomy of its individual citizens by agreeing to a treaty which clearly goes against procedures of the country which constitute that democracy. So, the substantive element which should be included in any international (or post-national) agreement is the informed consent of the citizens of a democratic country like Canada. This is a complex matter that needs to be properly developed and worked out, and the people should not have (nor feel like they are having) policies based upon external authorities imposed on them.
Privat	In diesem Zusammenhang sollte unbedingt darauf geachtet werden, daß die Freiheit und Würde der Person gewährleistet bleiben. Es muss z.B. sichergestellt sein, daß bei der Entwicklung neuer Medikamente oder Impfstoffe jeder zu jeder Zeit die freie Wahl hat, diese zu nehmen oder auch für sich abzulehnen. Die Autonomie des Einzelnen und der Staaten müssen gewahrt bleiben. Transparenz ist wichtig. In neuen Situationen sollen die Stimmen aller Wissenschaftler gehört und gewürdigt werden, nicht nur die einer Sichtweise. Menschliches Leben ist komplex und beinhaltet mehr als den Aspekt der Gesundheit. Insofern darf es nicht dazu kommen, dass Menschen gegen ihren Willen isoliert werden, um sie zu schützen. Das muss jeder für sich selbst entscheiden dürfen.
The Liminal Oven	In drafting any global health policy, I think it is important to maintain the following standards: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities are able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. You maintain an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. You do not allow pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to influence the process of creating this instrument.
Private	In einem Abkommen müssen die Säulen des Naturrechts festgehalten werden sowie unverrückbare Bürgerrechte wie Selbstbestimmung, Transparenz über alle Vorgänge sowie die unveränderbare Freiheit der Wahl. Alles Andere wäre mit freiheitlichen und demokratischen Grundsätzen nicht vereinbar.
citizen of the EU	In einem Pandemievertrag müssen unbedingt feste Garantien für die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten und des Einzelnen enthalten sein. Außerdem muss die Achtung aller Menschenrechte includiert werden, sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder den Pharmaunternehmen.
Privateer	In einen allfälligen Vertrag sind aufzunehmen: 1. Der Nürnberger Kodex als Präambel 2. Die Helsinki Declaration 3. Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des einzelnen Bürgers/Individuums auf freie Wahl von Arzt und Art und ggf. Ablehnung einer Behandlung. 4. Die absolute Verankerung des Prinzips der Informierten Zustimmung, 5. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte 6. Eine gesetzliche persönliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und CEOs von Pharmaunternehmen gegenüber jedem einzelnen Bürger Grundsätzlich aber ist dieser Vertrag aus Sicht mündiger Bürger und souveräner Staaten abzulehnen.
Bürger der BRD	In einer Demokratie werden Organisationen vom Volk bzw. den Volksvertretern kontrolliert. Die WHO ist derzeit keine derart kontrollierte Organisation und damit nicht demokratisch! Sollten die Vorgaben der WHO, zur Pandemiebekämpfung, in den Staaten verbindlich werden, dann wäre das eine weltweite Gesundheitsdiktatur. Um das geschichtlich zu Vergleichen, fällt mir persönlich nur die Sklaverei ein!
Privat	In einer Pandmie sollten die Erkrankten für die Dauer der Erkrankung isoliert werden. Keine Zwangsmedikation, egal welcher Art, keine Lockdowns, kein Einsperren von Gesunden .
Keine	In erster Linie muss die WHO den Menschen Informationen geben, wie man auf natürliche Weise sein Immunsystem stärkt. Aber vor allem sollte sie neutral sein!!! Angst und Panik verbreiten schwächt die Menschen!
CANADIAN PERSON NGO	In fact I do not support the proposed action by WHO/WHA on behalf of the whole human race who have managed to get this far by the Grace of God. But if you think you can get away with it, in your belief that you can run human health based on rigidly unnatural and fantastically unscientific rules & protocols, I would insist that at the very least the following stipulations must most definitively be the foundation of such a "treaty", including a clause that expressly prohibits undermining them. 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks for asking!
	In first line: zero new vaccines! Since the world has modern medications the worlds healthyness doesn't have increase. What we need is Clear water and Healthy food with enough vegtables and fruit for everybody in every nation. And as an addition for those who need it supplements like vitamines, omega-3-fatty acids, electrolyts, aminoacids and that far. Only if we decide to go that way the healtyness of the human will grow. When we decide to try to solve all problems like pandemics with more and more specific treatments the healthyness of the human will decrease. Because EVERY medication has less or more seviere side effects. What we further need are nature doctors and nature therapist who can give counselling to their patients in health prevention and treatment in an individual way. Let us bring these professions of all nations together. We need to rediscover all of our traditional healing treasures. Let us bring this together with free sciences. When we go that way we will love our enviroment and nature and it will be a huge benefit for the climate as well. Please vote for that direction.
Family	In future pandemics there must be clear and scientific Values, to make sure, when a pandemic is declared. It shoud include death rate, average death age. Never should a Government be able to isolate people who didn't have contact to ill persons, or people that have no symptoms. Schools, buisnesses, goverment offices shall not be closed again. People must have a true free choice for or against vaccination. And they have to be informed well about vaccines, especially if the vaccines are still under development. Nobody shall be suffer any restrictions again because he/she doesn't want to get vaccinated. Demonstrations shall always be allowed. The WHO must minimize the influence of private Institutions, it must reduce the donations to 5% of all donations.
private	In general I am against an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Individual measurements make more sense. The WHO deciding that there is a global state of emergency and at the same time introducing international mandatory measures, allows any measure to be introduced.

Organization	Written submission
privat	In Germany we saw an absolutely over-re-acting government - driven by the WHO. Therefore my suggestions 1.) go back to the definition of a Pandemic that a disease has to be DEADLY. 2.) Hear to the word of John Ioannidis: never do a lockdown again - never ever! 3.) Do not force (especially not with a huge payment) single countries to do anything. The local handling is much more effective.
practice	In health there is no one size fits all - the best as in many other situations, is a community based approach.
Family: Mother and grandmother	In his Encyclical Quadragesimo Annos, Pope Pius XI suggests that through the principle of subsidiarity, lower units in a social organization must be allowed the autonomy to do what is within their power when it comes to the discernment of needs and search for solutions. Higher levels should intervene in conjunction with lower levels of social organizations when asked and only when it is necessary. Therefore, each social unit should assume the primary responsibility of meeting pandemic preparedness and response.
None	In human evolution there have always been pandemics. This is nature! Therefore we have the immune system. People with weak immune systems will always suffer more from illnesses than people with strong immune systems. Therefore measures to stay strong and healthy should always be on a voluntary basis. People may ruin their immune systems by an unhealthy Lifestyle, ie smoking, drinking, fast food etc. People may risk their lives by driving a car, bungee jumping, sky diving, apnoe diving etc. People cannot be forced to live a healthy lifestyle! A live under permanent mandate pressure is not worth living, and it also ruins the immune system. It is not the quantity of life that counts but the quality of life! And this should not be forced by any organisation or government! No organisation or government should ever have that much Power to dictate a health status! Nuremberg should never be forgotten!
Stop The Treaty	In ihrem Abkommen sollten die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte enthalten sein sowie Autonomie, Transparenz und die Freiheit der Wahl! Sollten Sie diese Punkte nicht beachten werden wir dafür sorgen daß unsere Regierungen den Menschen ihres Landes gegenüber loyal bleiben wie sie es bei Amtsantritt geschworen haben!
Biosynomics Institute	In light of legitimate concerns about equity and inclusion in healthcare decisions, the WHO should hear from and consider the objections of those who regard excessive pharmaceutical and chemical exposures to be antithetical to sound health practices. These individuals, whether through their cultural traditions or through scientific research, believe that their health is something that they are responsible for, and that natural healing practices and/or preventative measures are superior to patented medications that often have unwanted, sometimes devastating, side-effects. Many well educated people believe that the evolutionary process has optimized organisms, including humans, for their environments, and others believe that God created them with the necessary means to secure health without reliance on synthetic chemicals. Indeed, these groups are aligned in their belief that the chemical and pharmaceutical industries are responsible for untold harm to humans and the rest of the natural world. By their understanding, there is no need for an international treaty to deal with pandemics. Rather, they believe that they alone are responsible for their health. They understand that they are responsible for taking care of their immune systems, which are clearly systems that can be optimized through good nutrition and low environmental toxicity. Given that many such individuals are native cultures and protected groups, I urge you to consider this important position in your deliberations.
None	In light of our recent experiences with COVID-19 mandates, the terms of a an international treaty for pandemic preparedness must include the following: 1. Transparency of all relevant data upon which decisions are made. 2. Open, uncensored debate regarding what measures scientific research supports at various points in time during any given pandemic. 3. A commitment within the treaty to protect the human rights and sovereignty of individuals, local communities, and nations, ensuring choice regarding what medical treatments and pandemic measures are in their best interests. 4. Prevention of pharmaceutical companies and other corporate interests from exercising influence over decisions pertaining to future medical treatment and pandemic responses. 5. Criminal accountability for pandemic decision-makers, governments, medical practitioners, pharmaceutical companies, and other corporate and public influencers including the media who censor public discussion of pandemic concerns, suppress scientific research and data, or cause harm either by promoting specific treatments that are not adequately tested for short and long term safety and/or imposing mandates that destroy the ability and rights of citizens to care for themselves and their families.
UK NHS	In light of the events over the last two years, it has become clear to many, that a uniform response across the globe suppresses human innovation, compounds weaknesses, is unacceptably dehumanising and most of all, utterly ineffective. This eclectic and diversified world we find ourselves in, is the story of its strength, not the cause of its downfall. Open minds and freedom of information is what will bring the greatest chance of success. The best ideas will percolate to the top in an environment that allows robust questioning and absolute transparency. I'm afraid a unified, international, monotonous approach could never provide for such a sparse and infinitely complex world. This is why, with all my heart, I do not support the WHO in this endeavour.
no affiliations	In light of the serious flaws and failures of the Covid19 pandemic response by international and national health organizations, and the evolving science proving the ineffectiveness of many of the unprecedented medical and non-medical interventions employed, any international agreement must not be allowed to be a "one size fits all". With that the following must be considered: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the general public / health care worker	In light of the very distributing events that have transpired over the last 2 years, as a result of the declared pandemic, I believe that the WHO is an inappropriate forum for the development and implementation of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Having said that, should the WHO proceed with this instrument it would be of critical importance that the following conditions be met: 1) That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own people. 2) Provision for the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, as they see fit, without consequence. 3) Provides an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on, and including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that prevent all pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers from having any influence on the process. Any compromise on the above would be unacceptable.
Privacy International	In line with WHO's commitment to a human rights-based approach to health, PIbelieves the following procedural and substantive elements must be included: -Open, inclusive and multi-stakeholder process: The drafting and negotiation process of this international instrument must allow for the meaningful participation of a wide range of CSOs and reflect the commitment from the WHO and Member States to receive and respond to CSOs recommendations. There is an abundance of expertise and knowledge across CSOs including advocates for the right to health, social justice and fundamental human rights like privacy. This wealth of information would enrich the drafting process and should serve as the foundation for understanding what this new international instrument should endeavour to achieve but also what it should aim to prevent and avoid in any future pandemic response. -Respect, enforce and protect human rights: The response to the Covid-19 pandemic has shown that the lack of clear principles and effective enforcement of existing human rights obligations of governments and private entities can lead to short-sighted decision-making with little consideration of what is needed for an effective public health response and limited understanding of the impact on individuals and communities, in particular those in vulnerable positions. In particular we have documented the exploitation of people's data and a rush to introduce various privacy-invasive technologies without human rights due diligence and safeguards. Any future international instrument must demand the respect and protection of human rights and provide for accountability and effective remedies. See: https://privacyinternational.org/campaigns/fighting-global-covid-19-power-grab
Self	In March 2020, the WHO instructed authorities not to look for a virus but to rely instead on a genome test, the RT-PCR, which is not specific for SARS-CoV-2. A FOI request to Public Health England about cultivable clinical samples or direct evidence of viral isolation has no information and refers to the proxy RT-PCR test, quoting Eurosurveillance. It appears, therefore, that we have public health bodies without clinical samples, a test which is non-specific and does not distinguish between infectivity and non-infectivity, a requirement for biosafety level 3 facilities to even look for a virus, yet we are led to believe that it is up all our noses. So, where is the virus?' At the start of October 2020, the WHO and Chinese government lauded South Africa's response to the global COVID-19 pandemic. Yet data concerning both the spread of the virus and the indirect consequences of the lockdown suggest that the severe restrictions imposed in South Africa – some of the strictest in the world –were far from effective. If this "hard lockdown" had been effective, the rate of infection would have dropped significantly. Dr Mike Ryan, the executive director of the WHO's health emergencies programme, stated the WHO estimated that 750 million people have been infected worldwide: At the time of his statement, there had been just over one million deaths recorded worldwide (1,034,068 to be fully accurate). Using these two figures, the IFR can be easily calculated. It is 1,034,068/750,000,000 = 0.138%. That puts in line with a bad flu season so this was NEVER a pandemic. With such poor modelling WHO should NEVER dictate world policy ever again!
Indipendente	In merito al tema in oggetto cittadini europei esigono il rispetto per i diritti fondamentali dell'uomo che sono superiori ad ogni tipo di legislazione. In aggiunta e nello specifico chiediamo: - sovranità statale e individuale, - rispetto dei diritti umani, - responsabilità legale anche penale per i funzionari governativi e le aziende farmaceutiche, - messa a disposizione immediata e tempestiva di tutti i dati medico-scientifici, dei trial ecc (inclusi i dati grezzi), - sostegno alimentare ed energetico per eventuali soggetti impediti Il mancato rispetto di questi diritti comporterà per il WHO, riconosciuto nei soggetti che vi lavorano e in quelli che lo finanziano manipolando le linee di indirizzo, l'immediata esposizione legale per favoreggiamento a crimini contro l'umanità Distinti Saluti
Angel Country Music Productions	In my considered judgment, I find that any government of a sovereign nation obligated constitutionally to protect the natural rights of its individual citizens that enters into an agreement with any global organization which would, in any way, sacrifice national sovereignty in such a way as to jeopardize the natural rights it is sworn to protect, is committing treason. Likewise, I find that any global organization attempting to usurp authority over these sovereign nations so as to erode that sovereignty to be an act of aggression. The treaty in question appears to have elements of both. It was terrible that the United States of America agreed to International Health Regulations, and most of its citizens knew little of as it happened. Now, very few people are even aware that this new treaty has been proposed. It must never be allowed to come to pass. Gary L. Angel, Ph.D. Professor of Philosophy Emeritus Ferrum College
Retired	In my estimation, the following should be included : a. National and local leaders retain full autonomy, maintaining decision making power on the basis of their perception of what's best for their own people. b. Nations and local municipalities retain the power to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. c. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. d. Measures that prevent influence in the process by organizations dedicated to maximizing shareholder revenue.
DogCarrier	In my extensive circle of relatives and friends, there is no one who observes the relationship between the WHO, which is mainly financed by pharmaceuticals and high finance, and the state governments without suspicion! From our point of view, the WHO has not played a transparent role with regard to financing and vaccination recommendations, on the contrary; She has a proven track record of failing at Covid19 and has been part of societal divisions! From our point of view, the WHO plays an extremely relevant role as a component of the Great Reset, and that is not just my opinion, but that of a growing number of people! Pharma has too much influence on the WHO and our governments, that's proven! We will defend ourselves with all means against the fact that lobby-friendly governments and the WHO dictate our lives and our freedom! Everything the WHO puts forward to justify its future role is bogus arguments for a health dictatorship! Our bodies belong to us, and not to a dubious funded company called WHO...
myself, and humanity	In my humble opinion, we are opening pandora's box by suggesting that every nation follow the same prescription for handling any pandemic. We must include the following in any discussions on this matter: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of public	In my office union no such global instrument should be introduced. Each country is sovereign and should make its own determined choice on public health matters. The WHO should not be given supremacy over nations.
private	In my opinion "mandatory vaccines" should be forbidden! All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights! Besides that pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. For all the people who don't want a mandatory vaccine, discriminatory measures should be prohibited!
Individual	In my opinion an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is absolutely unnecessary. Each country needs to respond in a way that best suits it's Citizens. The people and culture of every country are different. There can be no suitable global respond. This would not work. The WHO has never been democratically elected and therefore the Citizens of the various countries would never agree to be bound by any international instrument attempting to be introduced by the WHO. This is undemocratic and dictatorial. Additionally the WHO has lost substantial credibility with the public, due to its behaviour leading up to and during the Covid-19 pandemic. It is unlikely to be trusted again. Therefore the planned Treaty on International Pandemic Preparedness should be abandoned now. The public will never accept it.
Individual	In my opinion an international pandemic response that relies on any form of mandatory vaccinations should NEVER be implemented. The WHO needs to look at supporting countries by providing health advice, nutritional information and support and guidance rather than assuming that vaccines are the only solution. The varied social, religious, economic and geographical issues faced by countries make a "one size fits all" approach a non starter. Mandatory vaccines lead to discrimination and remove the individual's freedom of choice. Good advice and support would allow for appropriate responses and should be at the heart of any WHO approach to pandemics in the future.
Private citizen	In my opinion any future pandemic preparedness plans or pandemic responses should be the responsibility of the elected governments of each nation state and not any unelected organisation.
none	In my opinion individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	In my opinion individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non-pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Monterrey Institute of Technology	In my opinion it is important to think back in time to the experience accumulated during the past two years since the pandemic was declared in order to improve the next actions and prevent making the same mistakes again. First and utmost, HEAR the voices that don't match the WHO points of view, there are significant contributions waiting to be heard and contribute to the solution of a problem without bias towards one point of view. I regret that a great opportunity was missed by the adoption and subjugation of the WHO to Big Pharma and to rich sponsors seeking to materialize monetary personal gains against the benefits of the whole. This was a tragedy where ethics finally was erase from the equations and as one world renowned editorialist cited "The pandemic killed many and made richer a few". Second, and in the same line of thought vaccination is NOT the only alternative: Where are the options for TREATMENTS that were continuously discredited and created a polarization between the vax and the unvax. Focus on the principles of the medical discipline. Finally, don't fool around with human rights and forget the illusion of digitalize the lives of individuals all over the world, this is a shameful behavior for an organization that aspires to be recognized and respected, both of which were lost in the failed actions and recommendations that accumulated from the recent past.
none	In my opinion it is very important to give the main power for decisions back where it belongs: to the people. With this at the base we than can install original democracy globally, which would open the natural diversity of all different nations with their intrinsic economy and life styles baseds on the culture heritage and climate. It would create the space again for decisions made in each country according to the actual needs of the population and their economy. Because this is the future we move towards any decisions made by a totalitarian globalised mindset is strongly to be denied. I'm strongly opposing any attempts of the World Health Organisation to be even more influential than already is the case. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions on whats best for their people. We need transparency in all processes.
ZAMG	In my opinion mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and discriminatory measures should be prohibited!
None	In my opinion the following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - The Nuremberg Code will be fully followed. Now one will be forced against their will to take part in the rollout of existing or new pharmaceuticals or technologies - All countries via their governments reserve the right to opt out of the agreement if they think decisions made are not in the best interest for their people - No pharmaceutical company, health charity or their lobbyists can be involved in any decision made by the WHO. Studies that the decision are based on need to be done by independent researchers.
Member of the General Public	In my opinion the following list of considerations should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Unfortunately, One size fits all, or 'Global compliance frameworks' seldom function as intended at a micro/local level. Therefore, independent Sovereign nations ought to retain the right to implement their own 'customised pandemic preparedness strategies'.
Myself	In my opinion the following points are important: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Best regards, Tobias Sporer
Citizen	In my opinion the most important part of an international instrument used to prepare and respond to a pandemic, is monitoring hospitalisations and deaths. There must be a decent way to diagnose and determine who's primarily coming to hospitals or dying from a virus. When these numbers are solely responsible for a malfunctioning care system, measures can be taken. The second most important part is privacy. I think we shouldn't try to keep track of the vaccination or contamination status of individuals. The impact on a persons privacy and experience of freedom can, in my eyes, never weigh up against the benefits.
privat	In my opinion the question is not which elements should be included but if the contract should be closed generally. If there is any contract it should not contain any international agreements about pharma-instruments because it's always because of economic reasons. The only instruments can be disciplin, social distancing for a while and minimize globalisation. The contract should guarantee sovereignty for state and individuals, respect for human rights, for legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Member of the public	In my opinion there is no evidence that any form of lockdowns work but there's plenty of evidence to suggest that lockdowns have caused significant financial, emotional and mental health problems for people but particularly for children.
Privat	In my opinion there is no need for such an international Instrument. Especially when the WHO Instrument can overrule national right. I do not agree in having such a Regulation.
None	In my opinion this should be a national issue, not an international one. The covid experience has plainly shown the dangers of an international approach to this issue. Even national solutions are prone to error, but internationalizing it would be disastrous.
none	In my opinion we do not need your treaty at all! Our nations are able to deal with problems by themselves, we have the right to make our own decisions, that's what our leaders are there for. We don't need a "big brother" who keeps on watching and directing us... Show respect for the sovereignty of the nations and accept this sovereignty! It is presumptuous to push for a position in which the WHO is the only party to decide over the fate of billions of people.
european citizen	In my opinion your task as the WHO in any "pandemic situation" is to offer a HEALTH-PROMOTING guideline for every human being in the WORLD. That could mean, taking care of human rights in the first place: Supporting and enabling HEALTH through FRESH AIR, MOVEMENT, NUTRITION, SUPPLEMENTS, WORK-LIFE BALANCE. In case of any infections using given and science proven medicaments. I have a CLEAR NO! to any kind of immune system suppressing strategies as "vaccination" with the mrna-products, forcing people to wear masks, isolation through lockdowns AND any kind of documents and passes concerning a controlled and sterile world for biosecurity reasons. Life is change, life is lively, life is not to be controlled! (and sorry, my english is german.) Best regards and a healthy and intelligent debate Nora
None	In my opinion, any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include the following substantive elements: • National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people – this is vital because national and local circumstances may differ widely, and national and local leadership will have relevant and contextual information to most effectively inform national and local decisions. • Nations and local authorities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence – This is essential for the reasons given above. • The instrument and its implementation – and all processes associated with it – must be open and transparent. • The global agreement should not be applied in places where a majority of the people do not want it. To ensure this, people should be able to vote on it. • There must be measures in place to ensure that any and all pharmaceutical companies, and other individuals and organisations who may profit from global health strategies, are not allowed to have any influence in the process of shaping and/or implementing an instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
U.S. Citizen	In my opinion, centralizing medical response to a worldwide health crisis is absolutely the worst possible way to solve such a problem. What is needed is exactly the opposite: each local area free to try whatever it thinks might help, and then openly compare results, with whatever works best for each area eventually becoming freely chosen by that area. In the United States of America, my suggested approach has been referred to as the "laboratory of the states." With 50 different states each approaching problems in an individualized manner, more alternatives are quickly tested, and the best among them quickly also recognized by others. A particular example of how badly wrong a centralized approach can go, we need only consider how badly COVID-19 vaccinations have worked, despite their huge financial and other costs, versus how well over-the-counter Ivermectin has worked in treating COVID-19 cases in countries where Ivermectin is readily and cheaply available. Last November, a Mexican orphanage we support finally suffered several cases of COVID-19, after almost two years of successful self-isolation as a community. Fortunately, they had both Ivermectin and HCQ readily available, and although two people with other medical issues had to be hospitalized, no one died, and now everyone there has natural immunity, with no vaccinations given or needed. One of the main reasons the U.S.A. outlasted the U.S.S.R. is that it did not for the most part try to centralize decision-making in Washington, D.C. the way such decisions were centralized in Moscow.
-	In my opinion, each country should have the freedom to decide about how they wish to handle the pandemic on their territory, what conditions a person must meet to enter that country etc. I strongly disagree with segregation and taking away rights from unvaccinated people.
Poland	In my opinion, each single country should make sovereign decisions regarding the preparation for the epidemic and the actions taken during its duration. If WHO or another international organization wants to take action on behalf of the population, it should be chosen by those people to represent their interests in a democratic, bottom-up manner.
Citizen	In my opinion, it is important to include the following elements: 1. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other entities that might profit from the treaty. 4. A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Heilpraxis Anke Stieghorst	In my opinion, pandemic preparedness ist not needed. What would be helpfull to improve the medical supplies is to hire more staff and improve their working conditions. That questionable 'instrument' to generate better prepardness and response in terms of pandemics is suitable to intervention in the legal systems of all countries that are members of the WHO. The WHO is not democratically legitimized. No a single citizen who will be subject to the consequences of this contract has the opportunity to refuse it. This is deeply undemocratic and I oppose it. The targeted WHO-contract would lead to an maximum authorization of the WHO in all countries that are members of the WHO. This is the end of freedom, democracy and civic participation. Stop this contract!
Independent Reflexologist, mother and grandmother	In my opinion, public health policies should be decided at the local level. "One size fits all" is not a good idea. Here in Canada, for instance, each province or territory can decide on its own plan based on the resources at hand. There could be collaboration with other areas, even other countries, if this would be helpful. I think this method was used in the past and it is still the best idea.
nothing	In my opinion, such a new instrument is not necessary. Health issues have to be decided in every country, not on the international level. I do not agree with any digital surveillance.
none	In my opinion, the basic thing that has been omitted (but as a civilised organisation You musn't omit it!) is that as an private organisation you cannot decide for the individual and sovereign states about the health of people and the ways of taking care of their health. This sounds as a violation and it may only provide the best conditions for the introduction of totalitarianism in the world. Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This is called the natural rights. We - regular people - don't want Your instruments...
Individual	In my opinion, the following additions are hard requirements for the treaty to be acceptable: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Republican Party	In my opinion, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	In my opinion, the following elements should be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Researcher and self employed ghost writer / author	In my opinion, there is no situation, no instance, no standpoint, that can justify a global institutional body involving itself in the affairs of a nation. Especially when you're involving yourselves in the health of the population of that nation. Particularly when it is an international global body, such as yourselves. During this past 2 years, the WHO has proven itself as corrupt, politicised, easily swayed in favour of particular nations and more than willing to do their bidding and as a result of those 5 examples (there are far more than that of though), has proven itself as unfit for purpose. Yet you are asking for us to hand over more power and more control, over the matters of our nations health, to your organisation? Why? Because, seemingly, "we can really trust you all this time, honest! If you'd just give us the power to forcibly introduce mandatory vaccines on a nations population, willing or not, we promise we won't abuse it?" The thing is, is that we know all about the UN's and your own track records, when it comes to adequate testing of and safety of the vaccines, that you've lovingly pushed on to people, thanks to the leaked g20 documents. So No! You cannot be permitted to be entrusted with such a valuable thing as our health, you can't be trusted!
Privat	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included : -pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response - therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part, where there is a risk - there must be choice! -another crucial element is the unchangeable and non negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and the pharmaceutical industries. Brigitte Liegler
Medical University of Graz	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included: -pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response. therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. where there is risk there must be choice! -another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries.
...	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included: -pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response. therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. where there is risk there must be choice! -another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries. Franz Illitz
...	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included: -pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response. therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. where there is risk there must be choice! -another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries. Doris Illitz
privat praxis for psychotherapie	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included: -pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response. therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. where there is risk there must be choice! -another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries. Gabriele Kofler
Wels	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included: -pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response. therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. where there is risk there must be choice! -another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries.
Private	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included: -pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response. Therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. Where there is risk there must be choice! -another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries. Margot Schöggl
health care	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included: -pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response. therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. where there is risk there must be choice! -another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries.
private	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included: -pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response. therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. where there is risk there must be choice! -another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries. - Mandatory vaccines should be prohibited - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests with a holistic approach (physical, mental and social health). - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medication must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - patents on life saving medication is not acceptible - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited. - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private person	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included: Pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response. Therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. Where there is risk there must be choice! Another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden and discriminatory measures should be prohibited. Margit Szamek-Olsen
no organization	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included: pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response. therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. where there is risk there must be choice! -another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries. Gisela Grasmann ...
no organisation	In my opinion, these should be substancial elements to be included: Pandemias are affecting countries differently and require an individual response. therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part. where there is risk there must be choice! -another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries. Martin Grasmann
private	In my opinion, WHO must have only advised function. The issues regarding national health, must have decided only by people and national government. If some of the governments wants help and advice then WHO, could send help and directions for treatment. I am against this global pack, which obligates national government in times of pandemic announced by WHO to follow only the directions of WHO. Moreover in future pandemic must have instruments for whide research of people opinion and the decision to be made based on global conversion. But at the end each government must have the final decision how to act in the current situation. As well as I have to say that each medical implementation must be free choice of the person who accepts it.
Direct Success	In my opinion, WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Rather than providing a universal approach that presumes universal benefit, it would limit national autonomy to create a meaningful response that is relevant to our citizens. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions, yet. My concern is that it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. This must not happen. This cannot happen.
None	In my opinion: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time in reading my concerns, Brittany petterson
EU Citizen	In my opinion: • Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Risk cannot be excluded- so there has always to be a voluntary and qualified consent basing on objective evidence based data • Discriminatory measures have to be prohibited by law • All measures must take full account of ALL health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty MUST NOT take precedence over national constitutional law or human rights • All contracts with suppliers and manufactures HAVE TO BE transparent AND publicly accessible (since financing is with public money!!) If these conditions are not fully included and legally binding I strongly oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
human being	In my perception we don't need new 'substantive elements' other than: .real human concepts of helping people to HEAL in an deep and true sense .helping people not to drown in fear - instead creating a ww setting, where people can TRUST in themselves and in each other .spreading LOVE instead of fear through all imaginable channels of information .spreading good CURES and remedies instead of experimental substances .focus on HUMANITY and COMMUNITY instead of focus on profit .being open for a nature based and soul- and consciousness-including medicine .STAYING ROOTED and connected to being human, which means to be a powerful, conscious being on planet earth, that can be connected to it's SOURCE and is allowed to TRUST as well at it is an being of Mother Earth .accepting the nature of life .avoiding digital control and trusting in LIFE .stress relaxation and relief .trust in everybody's own intelligence .respecting human's dignity .promoting liberty and SOVEREIGNTY THANK YOU and BE BLESSED
nA	In my review of the evidence, it is invariably the case that centralization of power is a bad idea. Sovereign Nations must retain their autonomy and be able to make the decisions appropriate for them rather than having unelected bureaucrats make them from afar. This is a very anti-democratic step and will threaten the autonomy of the Canadian government and the people who vote those governments into power. Coordination, cooperation and collaboration are important, this treaty sounds more like top-down control. Canadians who have researched this issue will not accept transferring power from our government to a centralized unelected authority. This is an affront and an assault upon democracy itself. Best regards, Kristopher M Kowal
Engenheiro	In my understanding, governments should have control over actions for the benefit of their own people, and should do what they think is best for the benefit of the population of their country, reserving the right to refuse anything against their interests. Any process of a global nature must have the approval of the respective local authorities and their population, and must contain mechanisms to avoid interference from the pharmaceutical industry and other interests, which represent conflicts with the superior interests of the health of the world population. Heraldo T. Machado Brazil
NHS	In my view any new instrument should not be a legal mandate, but more in terms of best practice guidance, which each country/nation should be free to adapt to utilise only those parts which best suit local public health needs. It should include a framework for sharing knowledge of effective treatments with known agents and measures, so that medicines and measures which work are deployed at local level in the way that country has found best for its own needs. There should be no vested interests from pharmaceutical or or health related global corporations which could profit from measures advised. Informed consent and patient choice should be at the heart of any medical interventions. Good public health through clean water, air and adequate housing and education should be the focus of any preparedness for disease prevention.
Self	In my view it is essential to include to the substantive elements of the proposed "global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response" an instrument to provide for the respective countries' sovereignty to determine their own health policy and to protect its people inalienable rights.
None	In my view one of the substantive components included in a global pandemic response needs to be autonomy of countries to determine the best approach for their situation. A global one-size-fits-all approach would be highly detrimental to the safety of citizens.
MPA	In my view the WHO role is to advise countries on health issues in a non binding way. That is each country should have the right to define its own health policy so I am against any treaty that decides otherwise.
Private	In my view, an international pandemic treaty is not necessary. Member states are better placed to deal with the health concerns of their citizens than a WHO with centralised powers. Moreover, I consider it very questionable from a constitutional point of view to transfer such crucial powers of the member states to the WHO, whose budget is now largely financed by non-governmental organisations.
Indian Veterinary Research Institute	In my view, the proposed treaty is anti-human and antiscience and must be abandoned. The proposed treaty will harm the already thrashed public health care system and every aspect of our life (not only health) may be governed by a few hands and those hands if not honest to humanity or of a Shaitaan, may wipe out the humanity from the globe. The pandemic treaty will lead to a pharmaceutically & politically unipolar world of corrupt politicians, greedy capitalists & dishonest health scientists. It may also ruin the alternative therapeutics, that only help to poor. The pandemic treaty will further corrupt the already corrupt international health organizations, puppets of the pharmaceutical industry will produce more tyrants, snatching the health rights of people globally, leading to flooding of biased health data & biased peer-reviewers. Even today, divergent views are not tolerated and not allowed to be published in most of the high-impact journals (funded by industry aligned to one or another health business). The proposed treaty will certainly harm the progress of medical science and may lead to a retrograde movement to drag humanity to medieval periods. The Covid-19 pandemic has already shown how the science of epidemiology for disease control has been flaunted and raped by a few medically illiterate politicians and so-called Global health leaders, how people are forced to be killed by medical doctors in name of global COVID control guidelines, how have we been fooled by WHO for augmenting sale of highly ineffective, experimental vaccines converting all humans to lesser than guinea pigs and mice being experimented and their pockets have been emptied, how the unlawful and totally ineffective lockdowns have been forced.
Idalee Veterinary Services	In no case will the WHO proposed global pandemic agreement supersede or negate the sovereign rights of any individual nation. Furthermore, any sovereign nation has the right to promulgate any process, regulation or procedure in response to any pandemic which best serves the needs of that nation and its citizens, even if those processes, regulations or procedures are in direct contradiction to any WHO guideline, standards or requirements contained in this global pandemic agreement or any other WHO document currently in existence or any future WHO document.
Poland	In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic!!! We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics. Best regards.
Teather	In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic.
Nil	In no way should any body of the UN/WHO overrule or circumvent the decision of an elected government. Any level of government (local, regional, national) must retain the authority to do what their people require. The WHO can only advise, never dictate. Any advise from the WHO must be transparent. Data must be provided openly, impartially, and without bias. Input from pharmaceutical companies and their lobbyists must be acknowledged. This organization is ripe for manipulation and mistrust. Never should it have a thing other than an advisory role to governments.
Animal Behaviorist	 In no way should the WHO Ever have any power or say so or right to decide any solutions for any so-called "pandemic" Or anything! Each individual should have a right over their own body at all times, and parents should have rights over their children's or pets families at all times. And all local issues should be handled locally and decided locally, never ever should the WHO ever have any decision-making about anything for human individuals or animals. The WHO is completely corrupted, and should never have a say so with anything. Each human individual should always have full decisions & rights about their own lives and their own bodies and should never ever be coerced into taking any poisons distributed by the WHO. The WHO should be put out of business. The WHO Must stop destroying peoples lives and should have no power over anyone or any organization ever!!! No Global organization should ever have rights over anything national or local, and no national organization should have any rights over anything local.
Free citizen	In no way should we sign a legally binding global pandemic. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. There is no need for global governance over a sovereign nation.
N/A	In order for global and societal progress toward positive evolution, economic sustainability, and artistic and aesthetic values to flourish, only a subtle change need occur: a shift toward open mindedness instituting a balance of power, an equalization of respect and a trust in human nature and Nature itself. Parents govern themselves and their own, parents provide through love alone. We're all born, and even the uneducated are still inherently wise. Trust built right in the nuclear family society, when cultivated creates an intelligent, autonomous world at large. Proactive, positive, American values based on our Constitution all promote this healthy state of affairs. I demand a more transparent governmental accountability especially in the name of protecting people's health and a ceasing of showmanship and marketing items which have been reported as causing severe permanent adverse effects as well as fatalities. Life is sacred. Remember the oath to do no harm. More control over humanity's inalienable rights would not foster a richer, healthier global society. Relax, take a holiday. Haven't you whom reign ruled, taught, written, implemented, bought, controlled, supervised, Big brothered, conquered enough already? Be our guest, watch, and enjoy our performance. We're all in this together.
Independant / self presenting	In order for individual countries and states to retain their inalienable rights and constitutions, National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Thereby the Pandemic Preparedness Treaty must allow for these country variations. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally we must ensure each country has measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Private US Citizen	In order for the earth and its countries to remain autonomous the idea of a unified global health entity, like WHO, and its proposal is authoritarian and does not need approval. Certain countries on this earth are inherently more intelligent due to their education, and research. A pandemic treaty would hinder and delay these countries with bureaucratic rules and processes that could reduce the effectiveness of reaching a treatment or cure. Based on what the world has experienced with Covid and its variants the idea of this treaty is wrong. Countries need to remain autonomous when it comes to healthcare. Please reject this treaty proposal.
Member of the British public.	In order for the health interests of the world's peoples to be genuinely and democratically served: 1. National governments should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, i.e., the International Treaty should incorporate advice/guidance only, to nations. 2. National governments should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process in making this global treaty, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It is vital the agreement should ensure that pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers do not bring their influence to bear on health decisions as they are likely to be more concerned about profits than the health of the people.
A member of the British public.	In order for the health interests of the world's peoples to be genuinely and democratically served: 1. National governments should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, i.e., the International Treaty should incorporate advice/guidance only, to nations. 2. National governments should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process in making this global treaty, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It is vital the agreement should ensure that pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers do not bring their influence to bear on health decisions as they are likely to be more concerned about profits than the health of the people.
none	In order to best prepare the world in the future of a pandemic it is imperative that there is NOT a one size fits all solution. That was learned in this last pandemic. We actually learned from what different countries choose to do and choose not to do. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Azabu University	In order to achieve the best possible pandemic response for everybody, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any WHO policies as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process, including the ability for all people of the world to vote to include fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Transparent measures that strictly prevent any influence in the process of deciding pandemic-related measures by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, such as insurance companies, lobbyists, and politicians receiving any support from these. Thank you.
NA	In order to be effective and relevant for any pandemic the protocalls we follow must be for the locality in which the illness has occurred. Therefore, it is unwise to enter into a treaty with any other country than the United States of America.
CA Freedom Keepers	In order to be pro-active in preventing a pandemic, local and federal governments should promote nutrition, eating locally grown food, and maintaining a healthy lifestyle through a proper diet and exercise. COVID-19 did not present a true pandemic. It is a virus that affected millions of people, the overwhelming majority of whom recovered. NO more vaccinations. No more pharmaceuticals. Every human being at any time, any day is exposed to viruses. No interventions are needed on a global scale and, for this reason, I do not think that WHO should even exist. There should not be an 'international' response at all to any pandemic. The responsibility lies with all LOCAL people and LOCAL governments. Local governments know how to manage their particular situations best because every locality is different. No world organization has the proper tools or motivation to be of real help to every single locality in the world. Each region is unique. If the WHO continues to exist, it should promote local, small farming and support local, regional businesses. No lockdowns, no quarantines. No shutting down small businesses. People can decide for themselves how to respond to the illnesses that surround them. The world response to COVID-19 destroyed people's lives much more than the actual virus. The WHO should support people, rather than destroy them. To conclude, the only substantive element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness is for world organizations to be more vocal in their support of local governments, proper nutrition, local diets, small farms, and small local businesses. As for the response, there should be none from international/world organizations.
United States citizen	In order to ensure that the credibility and effectiveness of the WHO is not damaged by the appearance or suspicion of economic or political conflicts of interest, the following elements should be clearly set forth in any treaty or amendments to be considered for adoption: - National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - There shall be preserved the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - There shall be implemented an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Transparent measures shall be implemented that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, NGOs influenced by these companies or other global health profiteers.
public member	In order to have a WHO treaty that is honorable and just it must include: 1. There must be measures put in place to block ALL pharmaceutical companies or any agency that profits in any way from global health from guiding, directing, or influencing the treaty in any way. 2. This must not be a one size fits all treaty. Therefore each nation and local district must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Recommendations are fine but anything further violates national health sovereignty and must not happen 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
None	In order to prepare for and respond to future pandemics, the following elements must be promoted by the World Health Organization: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HEALTH AND WELLNESS CLUB—I AM NOT AUTHORISED TO REPRESEN	IN ORDER TO PRESERVE THE INDIVIDUAL FREEDOM OF COUNTRIES, EACH COUNTRY SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO DECIDE THEIR OWN COURSE OF ACTION IN THE EVENT OF A GLOBAL HEALTH CRISIS, AS WAS THE CASE FOR THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC—A GOOD EXAMPLE BEING SWEDEN, WHICH FOLLOWED THE ADVICE OF ITS TOP MEDICAL ADVISOR NOT TO APPLY ANY PANDEMIC RESTRICTIONS, BUT TO ALLOW THE SWEDISH POPULATION TO LIVE THEIR LIVES NORMALLY AND THEREBY ACQUIRE HERD IMMUNITY, WHICH THE SWEDISH POPULATION DID THEN SUCCESSFULLY ACCOMPLISH IN A RELATIVELY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. THEREFORE THE W.H.O. INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT ON PANDEMIC PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE SHOULD BE ISSUED AS A RECOMMENDATION ONLY, AS IN THE CASE OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC, AND SHOULD NOT BE MANDATORY. IN OTHER WORDS, THERE SHOULD NOT BE ANY OBLIGATORY HEALTH REQUIREMENTS FOR ANY COUNTRY, THEREBY LEAVING EACH COUNTRY FREE TO FOLLOW A MEDICAL COURSE OF ACTION APPROPRIATE FOR THAT COUNTRY AND FOR THE POPULATION OF THAT COUNTRY. Sincerely yours, Robert Powell, PhD

Organization	Written submission
HEALTH AND WELLNESS CLUB—I AM NOT AUTHORISED TO REPRESEN	IN ORDER TO PRESERVE THE INDIVIDUAL FREEDOM OF COUNTRIES, EACH COUNTRY SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO DECIDE THEIR OWN COURSE OF ACTION IN THE EVENT OF A GLOBAL HEALTH CRISIS, AS WAS THE CASE FOR THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC—A GOOD EXAMPLE BEING SWEDEN, WHICH FOLLOWED THE ADVICE OF ITS TOP MEDICAL ADVISOR NOT TO APPLY ANY PANDEMIC RESTRICTIONS, BUT TO ALLOW THE SWEDISH POPULATION TO LIVE THEIR LIVES NORMALLY AND THEREBY ACQUIRE HERD IMMUNITY, WHICH THE SWEDISH POPULATION DID THEN SUCCESSFULLY ACCOMPLISH IN A RELATIVELY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. THEREFORE THE W.H.O. INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT ON PANDEMIC PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE SHOULD BE ISSUED AS A RECOMMENDATION ONLY, AS IN THE CASE OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC, AND SHOULD NOT BE MANDATORY. IN OTHER WORDS, THERE SHOULD NOT BE ANY OBLIGATORY HEALTH REQUIREMENTS FOR ANY COUNTRY, THEREBY LEAVING EACH COUNTRY FREE TO FOLLOW A MEDICAL COURSE OF ACTION APPROPRIATE FOR THAT COUNTRY AND FOR THE POPULATION OF THAT COUNTRY. Sincerely yours, Robert Powell, PhD
NA	In order to preserve the sovereignty of signatory nations, the following elements must be present in any form of collective pandemic preparedness policy/collective that the WHO may wish to develop: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be codified. - An open and transparent process must be maintained whereby the ability of all world peoples to vote on policies is ensured, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Any collective health agreement absolutely must include stringent and transparent measures that prevent any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to influence pandemic policies or their implementation.
None	In order to prevent the proposed instrument having the potential to remove important democratic and inalienable rights of each individual, there should be an open and transparent process allowing the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The agreement should not undermine the constitutions of individual sovereign states. National and local leadership should keep full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should exist the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Measures should be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Any single global organisation which receives funding from public sources - thus risking a conflict of interest in any decision making process - should not be allowed to control the world's health agenda, (especially after having defined what is or is not a pandemic, which might involve not a single death in any country), nor enforce bio-surveillance. Should this occur, any such process would quite rightly be interpreted as a power grab to remove individual sovereignty over one's own body.
Self	In order to prevent this treaty from becoming an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, there needs to be language that clearly mandates that all health decisions must rest solely in the hands of American families. Health decisions must be made by individuals with full disclosure and consent. The WHO should be required to present unbiased data as guidance for individual decision making.
CCMSD	In order to respect human rights, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	In order to support democracy and to promote individual health the decisions made when confronting pandemics should remain with the government of each country. And BTW, it's asterisk, not asteris (as seen in the instructions on how to fill this in).
Princess Alice Hospice	In patient units to have proper protective equipment- like full PPE's , that will protect the staff and won't be necessary to have lockdowns Patients individual room to have airfilters No need for lockdowns as this is in the detriment of the hole society in the view of mental health, social interaction , income of a housey
Polish Association of Independent Doctors and Scientists	In Poland, we observed the failure of the actions of the Polish Government, recommended by WHO, regarding the Covid19 pandemic. The imposed procedures caused paralysis of the health service leading to the largest health crisis in Poland after World War II, which resulted in over 200 thousand excess deaths. There are health consequences ahead, including mental health, as well as social, economic and educational consequences. The WHO recommendations to date have proved disastrous for Polish society. Bearing in mind human subjectivity before the law, sovereignty and dignity - inalienable ethical principles, we postulate 1. Maintaining the status of WHO as a body recommending actions, advisory and not prescriptive towards governments of member countries; acceptance of WHO recommendations should be voluntary, without bearing any responsibility for refusal or modification of recommendations 2. Independent management by nation states of health crises of their citizens 3. Exclusion of WHO recommendations for the use of drugs and vaccines undergoing clinical trials and the use of non-diagnostic tests; 4. Restoring a version of the definition of a pandemic as one characterized by high mortality in all age groups and a severe course; the degree of infectiousness will remain irrelevant; 5. WHO emphasis on building up natural immunity, prevention, and acceptance of scientifically proven, effective antiviral therapies (e.g. Amantadine, Hydroxychloroquine, Ivermectin), including naturotherapy 6. Openness and public availability of all actions and agreements concluded by WHO, including pharmaceutical companies
Private individual	In principle I disagree that there should be an International Instrument on Pandemics dictated by the WHO. Guidance from the WHO is essential and valuable, but decisions need to be made by individual countries. People need to have the right through the democratic process to decide what is best. The WHO does not have the authority and in addition has many conflicts on interest.
private person	In principle, I believe that such responsibilities and decision-making levels belong in purely governmental hands. To my regret, the WHO has impressively demonstrated that it is significantly misguided by private interests. Therefore, I fundamentally reject a transfer of such decisions that are to extend beyond the state's powers. All WHO guidelines and regulations have been implemented without democratic legitimation. I will therefore reject any prescriptions from the WHO. No citizen of any EU country has ever agreed to this. In Detail: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The national constitutions take precedence! 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. he WHO that we (~193 nations) joined in 1948 and contributed to, has changed significant rules eg pandemic definition, herd immunity definition. Delete this rules and go back to he rules before change.
Privat	In principle, I do not believe that a pandemic treaty with the WHO is needed. Should such a treaty come about, it should definitely contain the following: "guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies."
my own	In principle, there is no need for an international pandemic treaty. Countries should be able to deal with severe waves of disease on their own responsibility, as has been the case up to now. An agreement of this kind should always respect people's personal freedoms: Autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice. The state with its responsible citizens is the sovereign.
Self	In re: Proposed WHO iInternational Pandemic Convention 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Echo Soutions Inc.	In recent years and months, the long-held definitions of three words all changed, with immense ramifications for public health policy in the midst of COVID-19 WHO's original definition of a pandemic from May 1, 2009, specified simultaneous epidemics worldwide "with enormous numbers of deaths and illnesses"; this definition was changed in the month leading up to the 2009 swine flu pandemic, removing the severity and high mortality criteria COVID-19 vaccines are technically gene therapies and did not meet the definition of vaccine, until Merriam-Webster's vaccine definition was recently changed to — conveniently — include a description of the experimental gene therapies From June 2020 to November 2020, WHO changed their definition of herd immunity to imply that it's a concept that only applies to vaccination, not naturally acquired immunity gained from prior infection(s). 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Resident of the United States	In regard to an international instrument on pandemic response, I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. As illustrated in the United States, a one size fits all response does not work. The world is an immensely more varied place and requires local responses to health emergencies. It is also vitally important that nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, it is imperative that response measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Individual	In regard to the proposed WHO's "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response:" I strongly believe that... 1. National and and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, is necessary. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, as this is a conflict of interest. 5. Measures must be backed by demonstrable scientific evidence and thorough transparent testing procedures, with the results published for the public, before being applied. 6. This "new international instrument" should not extended beyond making recommendations.
None	In regard to your pandemic preparedness plan, I believe strongly that it must respect individual countries' (as well as individual states) constitutions and specific needs and differences due to their particular populations. It also must respect the individual's right to choose his/her own health care and allow the individual the freedom to control what specific vaccines or medications they take. This is a right that should not be given up to any organization or government. To do so constitutes dictatorship over our bodies and minds, something which should be anathema to all thinking human beings. Vaccines and cures of all types should be thoroughly tested and researched over long periods of time on many segments of the population before being used on anyone, especially children who must do as their parents; decide and have no understanding of what the long-range effects might be. Your organization needs to respect these basic human rights, and I urge you to keep this in mind as you draft your proposed plan.
Personal opinion	In regards to an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, I summarize my opinion by stating it is essential that each nation maintain autonomy. In this same thought I question, if this treaty is really necessary. I conclude, no it is not.
None	In regards to the ineffectiveness of vaccines to stop the spread of the virus and the high amounts of adverse effects that are over shadowing the vaccinations, I would want to see no possible way for vaccines to be mandatory for any individuals, groups, specific occupations etc. Easy, quick home office solutions/support via laws, while always allowing employees (with necessary precautions if needed) to go to the office/their workspace if there's need for them to do so (personal needs as well as needs from company side).
None	In regards to the ineffectiveness of vaccines to stop the spread of the virus and the high amounts of adverse effects that are overshadowing the vaccinations, I would want to see no possible way for vaccines to be mandatory for any individuals, groups, specific occupations etc. Easy, quick home office solutions/support via law, while always allowing employees (with necessary precautions if needed) to go to the office/their workspace if there's need for them to do so (personal needs as well as needs from company side).
Mother	In regards to the new pandemic preparedness and response by the World Health Organization. I would like to please request accountability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies. This has not happened in the past 2 years. Government officials made massive mistakes and ignored the Great Barrington Declaration. We must also please hold pharmaceutical companies liable for deaths and injuries from a rushed experimental shot. You must also please allow people to access their own risks and DO NOT make anything mandatory- that violates human rights. Mainstream media should also be held liable for misinformation pushing a narrative for financial interests. I don't think we need such a response from the WHO at all. Rather we should have panels of trusted scientists and doctors that have no financial interests with any pharmaceutical drugs that might be needed.
N/A	In regards to the new powers the WHO are trying to obtain regarding future pandemic responses: I will never comply to any future measures or restrictions that the WHO attempt to impose on my life and neither will millions of others. We are free, sovereign human beings and are tired of the constant erosion of our rights. The WHO is just an organisation; a one that has no right to dictate over anybody's lives. The response to COVID has been a sham that too many people can see through and trying to further your power over the masses will only be met by resistance.
Self	In regards to the pandemic treaty and what I think should be included, as a citizen of the USA, I believe the following to be important, necessary components. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	In regards to the proposed pandemic treaty, I am whole-heartedly opposed. Every country should be independent and sovereign in their right to manage health emergencies as they see fit, in response to their people's rights and needs. NO WORLDWIDE BLANKET TREATY
Citizen of the USA	In regards to the proposed Pandemic treaty, the following elements need to be present, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	In regards to the public hearings of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response I have the following concerns: Vaccines must always NOT be mandatory! Private (also publicly traded companies) must not make profit from a pandemic - this should be regulated. Vaccination against a disease must be fully safe and must be gone through the normal admission procedure as it was before Covid19. The WHO must not take private donations like the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation - this is not the proper way how to finance such an institution. The board of the WHO should be elected by every member state who pays for the founding of the WHO. The WHO founding must be 100% from democratic states!! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Best regards, Thomas
	In regards to the question of what should be considered and or included in the international pandemic treaty the following issues ought to be addressed; 1) there should be no outside influence from pharmaceutical companies 2) each country that signs should retain their sovereignty and right to opt out of any/all of the directives without repercussions 3) Transparency during the process with public access to all of information including clinical trial data, (do not just include government agencies that receive funding from pharmaceutical companies.) so that consent be accurately informed. 4) emergency use products and also products without long term safety data will not be mandatory
n/a	In regards to the renewing the pandemic treaty I believe it should be revoked. Following through and accepting it wil give to much power over the autonomy of each individual. (especially for experimental vaccines). The renewed treaty can now be easily voted for or against electronically. Each country should have a minimum of 12 members (doctors, lawyers and citizens) to validate a for or against the changes recommended.
US Citizen	In regards to the WHOs Pandemic Treaty 1. National and local leadership of any state or country retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In closing, I do not recognize the unelected World Health Organization's ability to have any say over a sovereign nation or its citizens.
Personal	In regards to the WHO's proposed Health Treaty for it's Member States as a sovereign citizen of Australia I do not give any member of the Australian Parliament including the Health Minister the right to sign on my behalf any restrictions to my sovereign rights to the WHO or any other organisation. If there is to be a treaty this needs to protect the sovereign rights of all peoples in all nations. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures in place with full transparency that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thankyou Belinda Lawlor
Regional Center of the East Bay, RCEB	In regards to WHO Proposal for a global pandemic agreement, I consider: One, National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Two, The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Last, An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
individual	In relation to pandemic preparedness and response, each country has its own unique circumstances and challenges. These should be thoroughly considered and examined in order to establish the best ways to prepare and respond. The substantive elements in consideration are absolutely allowing autonomy of each country to reflect their own situations, and applying each country's own solutions to be applied without any influence, pressure or control from any organization including WHO. The ultimate decisions on such matter should be tied into the sovereignty of each country. Any one-size-fits-all solution would not work and should not be applied.
Individual	In relation to the global pandemic response, I would suggest: WHO issue guidelines but Sovereign nations have the final say on what is implemented in their countries, one size does not fit all. Within that framework, elected national and local leaders decide what works for their country/area and can opt out of sections that do not work for their public All guidelines should be open for scrutiny by the public to prevent policies being implemented against the will of the people. Each member state should have an election so the people can choose who joins any WHO committee tasked with putting the guidelines together. Thanks
None	In respect of individual, familial, community, cultural, religious, health, medical and national sovereignty, any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must be non-binding and recommendation only.
Private Individual	In respect to the proposed new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, (referred to in short in this letter as: niippr) I respectfully submit my comments requesting your careful consideration of these points: 1. If this is to be all-inclusive, I believe each individual nation and their respective Leadership should retain full autonomy, having the right to make decisions for their own people, based on their own culture and community (knowing what is best for their own nations people) 2. If the said "niippr" is to function it needs to contains wording to ensure the ability of nations and local municipalities to have the total right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement when representing their nations people, without consequence 3. There needs to be a transparent, open process so every human being on planet Earth is given voting rights, which includes failsafe measures, so if any community or area does not have a majority vote, the application of a global agreement would not be allowed to be enforced 4.There should be NO links or ties to any/all Pharmaceutical companies, shareholders, suppliers or ANY other Global Health profiteers Respectfully , Sharon Crawford
None	In response to "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I believe that national and local leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, without being directed by your organization publicly or privately. I believe in the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on policy including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I advocate for measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I advocate for personal medical freedom. I am opposed to forced human medical treatment or preventative measures of any kind. I oppose "sanctions" placed upon individuals for not complying with health recommendations such as restrictions to participate/ move freely in society.
Public Servant	In response to a world pandemic there should be safe medicine available for treatment. No experimental or emergency use only drugs or medical procedures or therapies. Warnings, not lockdowns to limit spread. Choices for work attendance, so people can continue to work without having to be coerced to receive un-tested medical procedures or therapies that are against their conscience, religion or for health reasons.
None	In response to and emergencies such as a pandemic or any other health emergency. Each Countries government should reyain the right to respond in a manner which is suitable for its own people according to their own particular circumstances National and local leaderships should * retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The sovereignty of a nation is paramount and can never by userped.
N/A	In response to the fantastic question: "what substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. Published evidenced-based opinions by all clinicians and researchers who wish to share and update as more is learned, revising the publicly available instrument as necessary, including treatment protocols which may include repurposed previously approved medications. 2. Only recommendations—not mandates—that are evidence based, according with what is known about immunology and clinical practice 3. Statement that compliance is voluntary, with no repercussions for non compliance. 4. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions best for their people, given cultures and practices differ. 5. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions, without consequence, given differential in disease contraction and transmission. Witness low COVID19 rates in African countries where Hydroxychloroquine is taken weekly as malarial preventative. 6. Statement: pharmaceutical companies, hospitals, and doctors are neither indemnified from liability nor incentivized to provide any treatment over another. 7. An open and transparent process whereby all people of the world can vote, including failsafe measures preventing the application of the global agreement without consequence in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and individuals are allowed to opt out as well without consequence. 8. Measures insuring that those who would profit from any pandemic preparedness and response—including pharmaceutical companies or other profiteers—cannot influence the process in any way.
Health Freedom	In response to the following: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	In response to the guiding question I believe decisions with regards to a pandemic should be arrived at the local level. What works in a large demographic may not work in a rural one. What works in each setting is completely different and we have seen the dangerous effects of a one size fits all approach. I am in full support of local, community based decisions with help from local professionals. I am against a Global command post. This is a diverse, large world with room for all and the ability to make informed, safe decisions on local and personal levels.
Valarian	In response to the guiding question, I would like to state that I do not think that there should be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response at all. The WHO is not an unbiased, democratically elected organisation and although funded from public sources, it is also funded and influenced by organisations such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and GAVI that represent conflicts of interest. I do not, therefore, recognise the authority or influence of the WHO. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. We, the People will maintain our sovereign rights to decide how we respond to any health threat and as such I think that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. They should have the right to opt out of this without any negative consequence. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda nor enforce bio-surveillance which indicates a power grab in the form of this agreement. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. People have the right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being therefore this process needs to be made fully transparent and consultation with the public sought. Without this unbiased and democratic process, any agreement will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid.
Canadian Citizen	In response to the guiding question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. Nations retain full sovereignty on decision making and should prioritize existing constitutional rights of its citizens. 2. An international instrument for pandemic response should adhere to existing human rights agreements and be governed by them. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, provided with comprehensive risk/benefit analysis on health, economic, social and political spheres per affected country. 4. Pandemic risk/benefit analysis should be audited yearly for accuracy and improvement for future modelling purposes. 5. WHO must eliminate conflict of interests (especially financial ones) from its organization and have all its records available for public audit at any time without restriction.

Organization	Written submission
Stakeholder in Humanity	In response to the leading question, I would like to state that I do not think that there should be NO new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response at all. There is no need for it. The WHO is not autonomous nor unbiased, it is not a democratically elected organisation and although funded from public sources, it is also funded and influenced by organisations such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and GAVI that represent conflicts of interest. I do not, therefore, recognise the authority or influence of the WHO. The WHO agreement is wholly unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. We, the People will maintain our sovereign rights to decide how we respond to any health threat and as such I think that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. They should have the right to opt out of this without any negative consequence. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda nor enforce bio-surveillance which indicates a power grab in the form of this agreement. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. People have the right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being therefore this process needs to be made fully transparent and consultation with the public sought. Enough overreach.
Personal	In response to the Pandemic treaty that is trying to pass: The following should be included and the focus of the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	In response to the posed question, what substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" due diligence on the part of the WHO is number one. The pursuit of true health over profits and control, along with informed consent with the freedom to decline, are paramount. The Pfizer document trial findings and VAERS have given rise to many questions about the true safety of these experimental vaccines and no follow-up action or further investigation has resulted, which was the presumed purpose of putting such measures in place to begin with. In order to get emergency use authorization, ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine had to be undermined, which it was, despite their wide usage, and resulting positive outcomes, thanks to many brave doctors who did what they had to do to safe lives. There must also be public debate about the effectiveness of measures taken, in real time. There is no place for censorship when it comes to science which is ever evolving. Had such debate been allowed this time around natural immunity would not have been undermined as it was and true informed consent would have saved many lives. People know their own health and bodies better than some governing body across the world does. People are far stronger, smarter and more determined to make their own decisions than the WHO gives them credit for.
Member of the public	In response to the public hearing question, I would like to state that I believe that NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be set up The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation. Although it is partially funded from public sources, some of the mayor funding bodies are organisations like the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and GAVI. These private organisations have their own agenda and objectives and there are important conflicts of interest at play. The WHO should not have authority or influence over the nations own Public Health systems. The WHO should ONLY continue giving guidance to nations. The WHO agreement is threatens the sovereignty and inalienable rights of people. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. They should have the right to opt out of this without any consequences. People have the right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. The process needs to be made fully transparent with public consultations. The WHO should not control the world's health agenda nor enforce bio-surveillance. This proposed agreement would allow the WHO to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The COVID pandemic clearly showed that the WHO was hopeless and dangerous at giving guidance to nations and this resulted in additional unnecessary deaths. Countries like India fared much better when not following WHO guidance. Let's not make failure a permanent feature of future global health responses.
none	In response to the question "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?", my answers are below. 1. WHO must respect for the sovereignty of each nations' constitution and a nation's right to determine what measures it takes. 2. WHO must respect an individual's right to bodily autonomy, with no coercion of medical treatments. 3. WHO must follow the Nuremberg code – i.e. no forced medical experimentation on humans, which includes coercion of experimental vaccines whose long-term effects are unknown on humans by threatening loss of income or work, education loss, seizure of personal assets, loss of human rights and civil liberties. 4. Decision making based on solid scientific evidence from independent sources with no conflicts of interest, not from science funded by pharmaceutical companies that benefit from the products that WHO or governments would be mandating, which would be biased and represent conflicts of interest. 5. WHO's decision making must be unbiased and in the best interest of the individual citizens of the world, and not in the best interest of corporations which will benefit from decisions that the WHO makes, and therefore, WHO's funding should only come from its member nations, and it must stop accepting all funding from sources like the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the GAVI foundation, which are controlled by one wealthy individual, Bill Gates, who has increased his wealth by billions from the lockdowns and vaccinations that he endorsed.
General Public	In response to the question "What substative elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Jane Heilman
n/a - individual citizen	In response to the question, "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?": - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	In response to the question, "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Here is my reply: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individuals for Health Sovereignty	In response to the question, I believe that there should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Nations down to local leadership must have the autonomy to make decisions based on their own unique circumstances. Nations down to individual level must be able to opt out completely if they wish, without consequence.
Unaffiliated	In response to the question, I feel there should not be anything specific added to this treaty because it is unnecessary. Sovereign individuals should be able to continue to exercise the right over their own health and body as they see fit. It is not any one organization or governing body's job. This treaty in unconstitutional and does not have my support.
Private citizen	In response to the question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on that includes fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. G. Manthorpe 13/4/2022 (8.00 am Brisbane, Australia time)
Minneapolis Parks & Recreation Board	In response to the WHO Pandemic Response Plan, I believe this should include: 1. Non-binding recommendations only. 2. Acknowledgement of Nation-State sovereignty. 3. The right of Nation-States to follow their own course of actions contrary to the WHO. 4. The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 5. Transparency: a. All trial information of "vaccines" will be made public before general use. b. Doctors shall have autonomy to pre-treat patients. c. There shall be no censorship of doctors, nurses or therapeutics.
Private individual	In response to the WHO Pandemic Response Plan, I believe this should include: 1. Non-binding recommendations only. 2. Acknowledgement of Nation-State sovereignty. 3. The right of Nation-States to follow their own course of actions contrary to the WHO. 4. The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 5. Transparency: a. All trial information of "vaccines" will be made public before general use. b. Doctors shall have autonomy to pre-treat patients. c. There shall be no censorship of doctors, nurses or therapeutics.
n/a	In response to the WHO Pandemic Response Plan, I believe this should include: 1. Non-binding recommendations only. 2. Acknowledgement of Nation-State sovereignty. 3. The right of Nation-States to follow their own course of actions contrary to the WHO. 4. The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 5. Transparency: a. All trial information of "vaccines" will be made public before general use. b. Doctors shall have autonomy to pre-treat patients. c. There shall be no censorship of doctors, nurses or therapeutics.
none	In response to the WHO Pandemic Response Plan, I believe this should include: 1. Non-binding recommendations only. 2. Acknowledgement of Nation-State sovereignty. 3. The right of Nation-States to follow their own course of actions contrary to the WHO. 4. The right of the individual to decide for themselves according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 5. Transparency: a. All trial information of vaccines and therapeutics will be made public before general use. b. Doctors shall have autonomy to treat patients as they see fit. c. There shall be no censorship of discussions relating to pandemics, vaccines or therapeutics.
Public	In response to the WHO Pandemic Response Plan, I believe this should include: 1. Non-binding recommendations only. 2. Acknowledgement of Nation-State sovereignty. 3. The right of Nation-States to follow their own course of actions contrary to the WHO. 4. The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 5. Transparency: a. All trial information of "vaccines" will be made public before general use. b. Doctors shall have autonomy to pre-treat patients. c. There shall be no censorship of doctors, nurses or therapeutics.
Member of UK public	In response to the WHO Pandemic Response Plan, I believe this should include: 1. Non-binding recommendations only. 2. Acknowledgement of Nation-State sovereignty. 3. The right of Nation-States to follow their own course of actions contrary to the WHO. 4. The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 5. Transparency: a. All trial information of "vaccines" will be made public before general use. b. Doctors shall have autonomy to pre-treat patients. c. There shall be no censorship of doctors, nurses or therapeutics.
UK citizen	In response to the WHO Pandemic Response Plan, I believe this should include: 1. Non-binding recommendations only. 2. Acknowledgement of Nation-State sovereignty. 3. The right of Nation-States to follow their own course of actions contrary to the WHO. 4. The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 5. Transparency: a. All trial information of "vaccines" will be made public before general use. b. Doctors shall have autonomy to pre-treat patients. c. There shall be no censorship of doctors, nurses or therapeutics.
Individual	In response to the WHO Pandemic Response Plan, I believe this should include: 1. Non-binding recommendations only. 2. Acknowledgement of Nation-State sovereignty. 3. The right of Nation-States to follow their own course of actions contrary to the WHO. 4. The right of the individual to decide according to honest risk analysis and informed consent. 5. Transparency, as follows ... • All trial information of "vaccines" will be made public before general use. • Doctors shall have autonomy to pre-treat patients. • There shall be no censorship of doctors, nurses or therapeutics.
Citizen of the USA	In response to the WHO's consideration for a global pandemic response agreement, please consider the following as uncontested and nonnegotiable criteria: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	In response to this proposal, I would like to share my opinion, namely, there is no need for a WHO or any global level response to pandemic related matters. This is the sole and sovereign decision of each country. By all means, WHO can continue to provide guidelines and recommendations. Anything else is an overreach and reflects badly as to whether WHO actively wants to undermine or override decisions made at national and local levels. I look forward to following this matter carefully.
Self	In response to this solicitation, I submit this statement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U S Resident	In response to what substantive elements should be included in the treaty, I believe any such elements would violate human rights. Forced masking, testing, quarantine, isolation, or vaccines are not appropriate. Even countries that abusively controlled thrir citizens had massive outbreaks. This type of control offers far too much opportunity to abuse power. We the people are waking up to the power grab. God created us to be free.
Private	In response to your following question..."What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?", I wish to state that it MUST be understood and acknowledged in any new document that..."My body is mine. It was given to me by my ALMIGHTY God. It is NOT a ward of any state or global entity or the WHO and I will have the full right to determine what goes in it and how it is treated without any coersion from any other party."
None	In response to your prompt, I believe you are asking the wrong question. You already assume this treaty is active. And while that unfortunately may be reality, and maybe the majority of the governments of the world are in agreement, I sincerely doubt that the people are. This treaty usurps the sovereignty of each nation and the individual rights of their citizens. Through the covid pandemic, we have all seen the disastrous results of a "one-rule-for-all" approach and it will leave no room for each nation to do what's right for their own people. And while each country would donate to support your authority, I know these funds would be mixed with monies given by private individuals and organizations, furthering their own agenda and not the good of the population. Governing bodies never release power once its been handed to them. I request that what would be added to this treaty are limitations, allowing each country to tailor the rules to best fit their own population and needs. That each nation retain its sovereignty and ability to exit the treaty without punishment. And that there be an expiration date to this treaty—as we may not be be able to trust future leaderships. Thank you for your consideration.
Bodhicitta Healing Arts	In response to your question, "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response," I believe it is critical to recognize and honor guidance offered by national and international public health authorities while simultaneously upholding autonomy of individual nations, jurisdictions, and individual citizens. This is especially important given apparent conflicts of interest, evidenced by the fact that major donors to the World Health Organization, as well as United States Public Health Authorities, have their own vested interests in specific public health approaches. While these approaches are certainly valid, they are not the only ones worthy of consideration; therefore, I believe it is vital to maintain sovereignty of the governed/regulated while honoring public health guidance that is offered without making it binding. Specifically I believe that: --National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. --The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be maintained. --I support an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. --I believe that measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are essential. Thank you sincerely for considering my comments. With blessings, Mark Bricca
Health Freedom	In response to your question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	In response to your request for input I recommend: 1) The Pandemic Treaty must not threaten or diminish the national sovereignty and full legal autonomy of the signatory nations 2) Governments are the servants of the citizens they represent and as such they must retain the right to implement and adjust national policies as their citizens request. 3) There must be a clearly defined, expeditious and non-punitive means for signatory nations to withdraw from the treaty agreement should their citizens demand it. 4) Before any vote to ratify the treaty is scheduled, an investigation by an impartial body of international supreme court judges must look into the growing influence of corporate donors, private foundations and NGOs financed by them, to determine if medical science and public health policies have been injured or corrupted by their influence. Before proceeding with ratification of the Pandemic Teaty the decision of the judges must be made public and must be fully implemented. 5) The World Health Organization must never acquire or presume to acquire any legal authority whatsoever that supersedes the sovereignty of any individual nation on earth.
New Zealand citizen	In response to your request: The instrument must recognise the the right of the individual to bodily autonomy. Kind regards Karen Brooking
Forever Yours Naturally	In response to: What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. •National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	In retrospect, we can now conclude that all restrictions were counter-productive and even destructive to many aspects of society. Fundamental human rights have not been respected in many countries. Therefore, I find this initiative to be undermining personal freedom. The mere fact that the definition of a pandemic has been changed, so that the threshold is lowered to declare one is not acceptable. The elements I deem fundamental are: - Respect for personal freedom and fundamental human rights: no control measures (e.g. digital medical ID for travelling purposes). - Respect for science and scientific discoveries: explore all possible medications instead of just one medical product. Admit that lockdowns are the worst damaging measure ever taken. Admit that masks have never had an epidemiological impact. The definition of a case is always with symptoms, asymptomatic transmission was never the driver of virus spread. Be open to all scientific voices, do not censor because it does not align with your official narrative. - No unilateral top-down chain of command: respect for a sovereign country and their people. - Respect for personal physical freedom: stop testing as it does not make sense to compare those figures chronologically. Do not impose mandatory vaccine jabs under guise of a covid passport. It is not only unscientific, it is unethical. - Be flexible to revise the strategy when necessary: be open and admit mistakes, instead of branding all other views as disinformation. Conclusion: I have a lot of fear of regional and global institutions like WHO to be more and more controlling our daily lives, as we have seen the past two years. WHO's job is to give advice, no more, no less.
Individual member of public	In setting up a body to determine pandemic preparedness and response, - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local authorities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - There must be an open and transparent process with the ability of all people to vote on measures thus preventing the application of a global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it.
Petr Fryšták	In simple words and I don't have to deep in details. This treaty is more than obvious that will cause only harm and will bring nothing but control and limits in people's freedom. There is no surprise why it is called an instrument. It is a instrument and tool of total control. In any way this global treaty must not be signed. Every country should decide on their own health polices and strategies when it comes to dealing with pandemic outbreaks of any kind. No thank you. Good try but anyone with correct senses together will agree with me.
US Citizen	In spite of a spate of Public Health missteps around the world regarding COVID-19 response, I believe that the WHO plays an affiliate role with nation states contributing to pandemic response, not a centralized or preeminent role. Organizationally a singular body could be even more subject to manipulation, and misdirection by those in a position to profit from it. In short, here are some of the key components which in my estimation are crucial: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy over response in their respective domains. They should reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and respond to the assessed threat in a way that is driven both by data driven science, and with the input of medical professionals, including those who choose to challenge the official narrative. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There is no global governing body with binding powers, and it should remain that way. 3. There is a well documented need for an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. And perhaps most importantly, it should include very clearly measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies, or other organization that would profit from global health initiatives. I'm in favor of a global health organization as an advisory body, but I'm not in favor of it having binding global powers over nation states, or their citizenry.
Efter Lazarus	In such a treaty for international pandemic response..I as a member of the people request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and the acknowledgment and respect for bodily integrity. I also request legal liability for government officials and for pharmaceutical companies..
US citizen	In such a treaty: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - The process must be open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Influence of the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be prevented.
Member of public UK	In such an international response it would be vital that - Sovereign nations absolutely retain the right to vote on wether to accept any and all recommendations the WHO makes. In any Nation where the majority are opposed to a measure - obviously it should not stand. Nations should be able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement at any time without penalty. No medical intervention that hasn't passed full normal authorisation in the Nation in question can be recommended - ie an ability to impose internationally an emergengy use untested product is out of the question. The WHO should have no power to overide an individuals bodily autonomy as regards accepting a medical intervention. The definition of a pandemic should immediately revert to what was accepted pre - 2009 Obviously no pharmacutical companies/corporations - who would have a clear conflict of interest - could be involved in any level of this proposed plan - funding, planning, advising etc...in anyway. This would clearly and fatally undermine the potential motives and authority of such a body in the minds of the citizens of the world - and rightly so.
Stand for health freedom	In terms of health, I'm disappointed in how internationally this pandemic has been treated, it Mexican, y care for my family, and as a family we take out own decisions based upon freedom and transparency, but it seems there's not freedom anymore, and we do not deserve this. We deserve information, transparency, so we can make decision personal and as a family. We are better, as a whole world we deserve better! We all know what's happening, we are more conscious and all this is getting out of control, it seems like people aren't important anymore.

Organization	Written submission
Retired	In terms of preparedness for a pandemic, and even in the event of a sudden emergency, I think any vaccine should have been thoroughly trialled and peer renewed before being offered to anyone. I have had three vaccines against Covid and it is only now that the company producing them, Pfizer, has released data on side effects and general efficacy. I believe like myself, most people having the vaccine and boosters were unable to give informed consent to the procedure. In some cases it would seem that the prevention of Covid through vaccination was worse than the illness itself. I do not believe that the WHO should be the arbiter of procedure in every country across the planet in the event of a pandemic. This would be entirely undemocratic and unrealistic. Obviously each country is unique in terms of population, health systems, cultural practices, and historical experience of past epidemics leading to nuances in approach to such events in each country. I think there would be widespread opposition to any attempt by one organisation to effectively "control the world" and that there would be mass non-compliance by the populations of democratically governed countries, leading to a much worse outcome to a pandemic.
McGill University	In the below the "Agreement" stands for the "Agreement on an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". 1. Regardless of the Agreement, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the Agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The people of the world must be able to vote on the Agreement or any part thereof in an open and transparent process that includes failsafe measures to prevent the application of the Agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be in place to prevent any influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers on the formulation of the Agreement or any part thereof. 5. The Agreement or any part thereof must be evidence-based and communicated to the public such that this evidence base is obvious to and easily understood by the public. 6. The Agreement or any part thereof shall not prevail over the right to bodily autonomy of the individual. 7. The Agreement or any part thereof shall not prevail over the right of a doctor to provide medical advice to a patient as the doctor sees fit.
Private accommodation bussines, Starigrad Paklenica, Croatia	In the best interest of all, we suggest; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	In the case a treaty or any other instrument of international coordination will be implemented, I think it of vital importance that individual countries and regional entities retain their rights to autonomous action. In no case should this right ever be cut off for the sake of global integration. This would open the door for even more abuse of power and the disregard of the will of the people and their democratically elected representatives. Therefore, I wish that there be written clauses, in whatever kind of agreement, that safeguard the right for all parties to opt out of parts of the agrement or the agreement as a whole, without having to fear negative consequences. Furthermore, the whole process and the agreement itself should be presented transparently and in an accessible manner, for everyone to see and understand. Potential conflicts of interests, like that of donors and/or pharmaceutical companies, should be openly declared.
Care giver	In the case of a new strain of a virus Don't scare the public Don't take away our freedom of speech The human body will develop the immunity necessary as it has done for thousands of years Listen to the science not the politicions Do not abuse children who are too young to understand this generation will grow up severely damaged Do not inject people with damaging substances Be wise you are playing with fire Long term you loose
My own	In the case of another "pandemic" , please DO NOT LOCK CITIZENS DOWN.. This did not work in any society. Please, please, please. Neither did masking work. You are supposed to be intelligent people , please use your intelligence wisely.
Germany	In the case of future pandemics, a lockdown should definitely be ruled out. The social aspects for children and young people must be better considered in the future. At the same time, no unproven so-called vaccinations may be administered, vaccinations must always remain voluntary!
None	In the case of the United States, there's still an emphasis on self defense, morality, and ethics, namely medical and bodily autonomy. Without consent, there's no moral or ethical approach that will legitimize such actions, and any actions to the contrary will be met with the only remaining recourse, which is force. Unfortunately, this will be prohibitively expensive for both sides, as the cost of initiating force is being defended against, and many will be motivated to defend themselves with lethal force. You'll need lots of guns and disposable soldiers ready for suicide missions. Body bags aren't necessary though, we'll just burn your bodies where they fall.
citizen	In the course of the last two years the actions undertaken par a majority of governments have proven to be completely useless in terms of improving the Covid situation. These actions were advised by private companies like Mc Kinsey who in turn followed the WHO recommendations. These recommendations not only were useless against Covid but created an unprecedented level of poverty and mental health increase, numerous deaths due to lack of treatment or surgeries. So exactly what was the goal for these? People who kept asking the right questions and came with solutions were regularly labelled as "conspirationists" why? It seems that WHO should now step down in terms of inferring into the life of the people and let practitioners do their jobs as they have with success up to 2020. Please stop interfering in our lives. You have to remember that we are all subject to the immanence of Justice.
Knowledge Ecology International	In the current pandemic, much of the funding for the initial clinical trials came from governments, but the terms of the funding came with inadequate or no conditions on pricing, equitable access or technology transfer to scale manufacturing. There have also been controversies over the trial designs. Some vaccine hesitancy is related to the lack of trust in vaccine manufacturers as the source of data on safety and efficacy. Many of the government-funded trials were controlled by the developers, and few featured useful head-to-head comparisons of safety and efficacy. Public sector funding for trials of new vaccines declined after some vaccines received initial emergency use authorization (EUA), despite the public interest in evaluating new vaccines. Clinical trials are expensive and important. Governments should collaborate to fund and set norms for transparent and independent clinical trials of vaccines and other countermeasures. Preferences should be given to funding trials that provide head-to-head comparisons of countermeasures, and include products that: 1. have strong technology transfer obligations, 2. provide concrete and credible plans to enable decentralized manufacturing including in developing countries, 3. can be manufactured and sold for lower prices, and 4. can be administered and are effective in resource-poor settings.
US Citizen	In the desire to do anything to mitigate future disease we must also remember that nations' ability to be independently governed by the citizens of that nation must never be infringed upon. Any international treaty or agreement that would supersede a nations ability to heed the voice of it's own citizens has no place in this world. Furthermore the individual's right to make their own independent medical decision must never be hindered or suppressed in any fashion.
A follower of Jesus Christ	In the early 20th century GK Chesterton wrote the following: "If it means the imposition by the police of a widely disputed theory, incapable of final proof -- then our priests are not now persecuting, but our doctors are. The imposition of such dogmas constitutes a State Church -- in an older and stronger sense than any that can be applied to any supernatural Church today." Any substantive elements include in the proposed "instrument" must not infringe upon the autonomy and self determination of the individual. Any that do are illegitimate. Hence the only legitimate role of the WHO is to advise and recommend.
Mom	In the event of a global pandemic, every person should be allowed the right to make THEIR OWN decisions. No government entity should EVER be allowed to instill any mandate of ANY kind.
Member of the United Australian Party	In the event of a global pandemic, the WHO: Plays an advisory role only only and: 1. Publicly discloses all public health advice and implores governments to do so also, before any relevant health measure are enacted 2. Shall abide by the UN's Universal Declaration of Human Rights, in their entirety 3. Shall not enter into bilateral arrangements with sovereign nations under any circumstances 4.Shall not undermine or seek to undermine the constitutional rights or legal protections afforded to ordinary citizens 5. Shall be held accountable for all actions and decisions under international law 6. Shall not profit from the pandemic
Member of public	In the event of a pandemic or any other large-scale event with the potential to affect the health of individuals any international instrument must : • Allow national and local leadership to retaining full autonomy and the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Provide the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • Be open and transparent process providing the ability for all people of the world to vote on and include failsafe measures that shall prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Include measures that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other organisations (global or otherwise) able to profit.
N/A	In the event of a pandemic sovereign leaders should be able to retain the ability to judge best for their own people. These leaders should not be influenced by those who hope to gain by their input, an example of that is pharmaceutical companies who stand to profit as a result. Once the threat to people is fully understood any actions taken should be scaled back reasonably.
Individual	In the event of another pandemic, the WHO needs to let countries decide the best course of action for themselves. Countries that did not follow WHO recommendations did far better in response to Covid than countries that did. Sweden, Japan, most of Africa, India all have lower Covid deaths. US and Israel have the highest vaccination rates yet the highest morbidity. We know you are funded by the pharmaceutical industry, but try and put human lives ,not financial gain first. Thank you
self employed	In the event of future pandemics, the WHO can and should make recommendations to all countries in the world. The individual countries and states should maintain the freedom to implement or not the recommendations from the WHO. Additionally recommendations should be done based on fact and statistics and what is being accurately reported by those people on the front lines. The public should be fully appraised of the facts including treatments that are proven to work over a long period of time. Vested interests should not be allowed to influence the WHO recommended protocols and treatments. People should be given the truth by an unbiased media so that decisions can be made based on facts and proven science. The WHO should not have the power to mandate what is done by each country, state, province, individual. It should only recommend , and give facts and provide real help in the way of available effective, proven treatments. Sincerely, Mary
General public- independent human rights lawyer	In the event that progress is made in the elaboration of a treaty, states must retain full autonomy to make decisions and implement the pandemic response they consider appropriate in consultation with communities.States must retain the ability to opt out of the agreement without consequences. Lockdowns cause irreparable harm and violate human rights. The treaty should not include response measures that require the restriction of civil rights and individual freedoms protected in human rights treaties. The right to refuse vaccines or medical treatments without any negative consequences is an inalienable human right that should not be limited in any way in the pandemic treaty. A pandemic treaty should not be drafted, because it can compromise the ability of states to democratically define what actions and response measures they consider appropriate based on their particular context, and in consultation with communities. The WHO is not the right body to convene the INB meetings and support its work. Its structure, its form of financing and its role in the global arena place it in an inevitable conflict of interest to promote a pandemic treaty. There has been no meaningful discussion and participation of the communities, individuals, and organizations that maybe affected by such a treaty. Measures must be established to guarantee transparency and participation, and prevent any influence of pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers in the negotiation of such treaty, including technological companies that may benefit from the pandemic response, among others.
Private Individual	In the first instance, I wholly oppose the creation of this treaty. I believe it is unnecessary and poses an existential threat to democracy, sovereignty of the state, freedom of the individual and the sanctity of bodily autonomy. If however such a treaty is to be created, it must include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Private. Not an org. Just me. Myself	In the first place it is undemocratic and will rob every citizen of their human rights and freedom. It is unnecessary and a threat to sovereignty. It will give the WHO the power to declare unjustified pandemics and dehumanizing lockdowns and damage our economy. The fact that the WHO is not allowing proper timely public participation shows that they want to grab this "power" without the people's approval. #StopTheTreaty!!!!! We know their vaccines where not very effective and we know masks don't work. So stop this power overreach please. D Beets
	In the first place, if you can't even spell "asterisk", you have no business running anything anytime anywhere. Secondly, and WAY more seriously, ALL humans have the right to make their own choices about health. NO ONE IS BEHOLDEN TO THE WHO, AND ANY SO - CALLED TREATY WOULD BE ILLEGAL. In the last two years, governments have proved beyond a shadow of a doubt that they care nothing about science and are all about control and where the money comes from. I was in the room more than once as drug company VPs taught sales people how to lie to doctors. Get drug companies out of advisory and control positions. Governments have suppressed working treatments and pushed experimental garbage on people contrary to prior, legitimate agreements. This WHO power grab is COMPLETELY illegitimate and illegal. NO. NO. NO........ Many More than a thousand times NO.
Educated member of public	In the future event of any declared pandemic we need the right to clear evidence. We need honesty in any figures released. We need the voice of people listened to. We need individual right to choose either way if we wish to take any form of medicine, vaccine or treatment into our body with full transparent information, including harm done with no covering up of figures. No mandates & removal of human rights. Access to natural therapies & the right to choose these for ourselves if we wish. Response cannot be locking down & separating humans , removing what it is to be human. There should be no rights or authority able to demand any type of vaccine passport creating extensive discrimination. Any treatment needs to be proven long term & to be very clearly safe & still should not be forced on anyone.
Self	In the future there needs to be no force (mandates) of injections, segregation and quarantines. These need to be respected for all, but especially need to be respected for those who are healthy and able to make their own decisions for themselves and their families. People need to be informed quickly which I think overall was handled well. But there needs to always be a choice. This is not just ethical but actually builds trust. When being forced, the automatic reaction is to pull back. This goes as well for calling anything against one's stance as misinformation. It feeds curiosity as to why that view point would be shunned. All view points need to be respected and adults need to be able to evaluate them for themselves. I expect and hope to see less aggressive government force in the future.
Järjen Ääni	In the future, nation states must have full control over the criteria for declaring a pandemic in their own country and when. Also, all measures to contain, prevent and even prevent a pandemic must be entirely up to the nation states themselves. Similarly, guidelines on travel, entry, departure, vaccination, medical treatment, closures, masks, protective clothing and the role of the media must in future be fully available to each national parliament. Similarly, in the case of a pandemic, it is up to the nation states to decide, for various digital applications, digital identities, etc., whether to compromise and share their citizens' data with other states, the EU, the UN, the WHO or other parties and third parties. privacy, security and freedom, so that information does not surely fall into the hands of another state or big business in the event of a crisis. States such as Finland must be free to decide whether to introduce a pandemic definition and its rules in Finland. Similarly, states should limit the powers associated with a pandemic so that they are not handed over to any outside organization, company, person, or state — not even who. The WHO can propose, but the Finnish Parliament and Government can also decide differently than the WHO suggests, citing national decision-making and security. We emphasize that states - like Finland - can make their own decisions and use their own discretion and definitions for a pandemic, as well as act in the country to weigh the short-term and long-term disadvantages and risks. WHO-led pandemic tool should not be introduced worldwide. For the whole world, power must not be concentrated in the hands of just a few people when it comes to the lives, lives and freedom of billions.
Private	In the future, there must be no central pandemic administration that restricts national sovereignty and the sovereignty of the individual. That would be against human dignity and against the interests of the people of the individual countries. Please respect the human rights to physical integrity and freedom of the individual.
K	In the future, there should be more research into the involvement of urban doctors and more attention paid to alternative studies highlighting effective drug treatments. In the same way, there should be more research into the involvement of so-called alternative doctors because their expertise is also worthy of interest In particular concerning the techniques of reinforcement of our immune defence and our microbiota as well as food supplements such as Zinc and Vitamin D
Me	In the genuine interest of public health, safe alternative options must be considered –not dismissed- along with novel treatments that may be produced. This cannot be driven by the business of new drugs or vaccines, nor should those be used for political reasons or any type of enforcement against the will of the citizenry or an individual under any worrisome façade. Especially with experimental treatments or those mandated via Emergency Use Authorizations, while acknowledged to be still under test. Transparency and disclosure to the public regarding the WHO evaluation data, criteria and procedures, the actual threat and risk of a virus, readily available prevention alternatives, and the efficacy and risks of each proposed treatment, are fundamental for people to trust health officials, and the WHO, while serving the public health, without requiring the type of enforcement more characteristic of totalitarian regimes. Truly and verifiably qualified scientists and experts on the field, regardless of opposing views on the matter, and without conflict of interests or proper disclosure of such, should be called to discuss and propose –not mandate- options to consider. Thank you.
Citizens of the United States of America	In the interest of free people I submit that NO substantive elements should be included in this instrument. The entire effort should be rejected as the huge power grab that it is. The WHO is an evil organization and should have no power over sovereign countries to force them to respond to a pandemic in any particular way. Once the WHO has this power they would use it over time to control more and more of our lives. The WHO has not been elected by the citizens of the United States to have any authority over them.
General Public	In the interest of transparency and human rights the following elements must be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Airline	In the interests of citizens of the EU complete transparency from the pandemic drug manufacturers and related bodies must be established immediately and for all future drug developments. All organisations including the WHO /WHA that is in charge off EU citizens health or in the manufacture of drugs for EU citizens (pharmaceutical companies and manufacturers) should be compelled to provide immediate full transparency of all trials and test results without exception. There should be no no hidden documents no hidden data no delay in providing transparency. The idea that Pfizer has sealed its Covid-19 records for 75 years is outrageous. The EU should not be taking direction from the WHO or the WHA.As they are too close to the American pharmaceutical manufacturers. Pandemic governance should be done via a European referendum, a referendum of the EU citizens to determine their own faith.
NA	In the last few years, many of you may think the pandemic was and is profound. What has been profound to most of us, the detached elitism of those whom aren't among the population but think they control the population like cattle. We now know this pandemic was organized. The pandemic was organized and paid for by vial individuals whom believe killing, harming, gaslighting, attacking, industrializing and disenfranchising humans is their right. Monsters whom believe human slavery is their entitlement. Look around, you're looking the worst of humanity in the face, those who did this for their own power and profit. Many of you even brag of your power and entitlement, but your judgement will come. You're judgment by man and by God will seal your place in the history books and eternity as the worst humans this Earth has seen, or as decent people. You are voting to enslave humanity. If you choos your own entitlement to slavery, you are the monster on this Earth, not some organized pandemic. Your vote to enslave humanity ranks you among the most evil and disgusting monsters the Earth has ever seen. Your vote displays how you choose to lie to people of the virus, treatments, and organized the mass murder of those in nursing homes and with medical conditions. Those of you that vote to take rights, your vote to deem yourself ruler is a full display to your own self superiority, elitism, detachment and your extreme ignorance. You sit among a group that disgusts most of humanity because of what that group represent in their drive for control.
General public	In the last year I have never felt so pressured and discriminated against ever in my entire life. With a medical product that is still in study stages. I believe we have the right to choose if You rather be treated prior preventative or when I have the illness. A right to be treated regards to my vaccine status. To be prepared for further pandemics we need to invest into the human health preventative that people are stronger in their immunity and invest in the medical staff to be able to deal with a overload of hospital beds.
Private	In the new international instrument of pandemic must includ., that every person must decided if he o she wanted take the vaccine. No mandatory vaccination. This is against our rights. WHO should protect peoples not be corrupted with pharma. Stop lying, be truthful. Stop labs making biological weapens. Spend this money for higen in third countries and will be not pandemies. We do not need any vaccines like our grandmothers and they llive 90 ties. Stop lying to peoples. What you are decided now will also destroy your feature family.
None.	In the past few decades, international pandemics have been simulated using state media. Fake statistics based on fake tests have been employed.
afk flechtner	In the past two years, we have seen WHO also supporting excessive national actions against Covid-19, violating even Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Furthermore a WHO endorsing new types of poorly tested vaccines - against Good Manufacturing Practice - probably with financial benefit of private companies and foundations that fund them. We reject such rights for the WHO, fearing an ongoing violation of Human Rights with an obligation for people to get vaccinated with doubtful substances. The right to individual physical integrity is above group pressure for novel and insufficiently tested vaccines. This is because only individuals who remain uninjured can care for injured group members. Any treaty that undermines national or international human rights must be rejected. We do not see any benefit from such a treaty for people but only a benefit for private pharmacy companies and foundations getting profit by establishing a 100% selling system.
Personal	In the past, myself and many have trusted the advice the WHO have offered countries in respect of health issues. BUT, that is how it should remain. Advice and let each individual country make their own decisions for their own people on how to navigate a health crisis as they see fit. For the WHO to be able to control and have the say on how the world reacts to a pandemic fills me with dread and should not be allowed. In a democratic world, the rights of the people when going through a pandemic should not be decided on by anyone but that individual country and people. I do not trust the WHO to make the right choices for me but feel I would not have a choice if this decision is taken away. In my opinion, England has treated the reaction to the pandemic better than a lot of other countries (not always but their decision to live with the virus is the best way forward where we can all have our freedoms and live in a democratic society). I do not trust the WHO to have our best interests and personally feel that they are not trusted and will away towards decisions that are not in the worlds best interests but by influential people that persuade them otherwise. I totally and wholly reject this
Leegomery Methodist Church	In the proposed new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response I regard it as essential that it: - allows individual countries and local areas to opt out of some or all the recommendations and actions without consequence. - national and local areas have the right to work out what is best for their people - the process is open and transparent and nothing is done to impose anything on people when a majority in a local area or country are against the proposals - pharmaceutical companies and others who might profit from the proposals are not able to influence the WHO decisions/recommendations.
Individual	In the sense of: „Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen" individuals free decision must be guaranteed!!!
Professional, IT.	In the society of the 21st century and following the UN principles of service to all the people with full transparency, the citizens of the world should be consulted first. It is imperative that all citizens are informed of such a treaty and its implications as we are talking about an individual and collective right included in the famous 2030 agenda: people's health. And furthermore, all citizens should participate voting on the final draft of the treaty. If this treaty is finally going to govern our health, nations should have the power to decide to participate totally or partially in the treaty and without consequences, always considering what is best for their people. The experience of COVID-19 should be a lesson to take anti-corruption measures in such treaty so that pharmaceutical companies or any other agent participating in the global health space.
none	In the treaty there should be guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, NZ bill of human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies for decisions they make and enforce they enforce on the public. No descriminatory vaccine pass systems and mandates that exclude the population for participating in a full and undisadvantaged life. Freedom of choice for vaccine uptake.
We the People of the United States of America	In the United States we have a Constitution and vote in our own officials by the people. Our Constitution is our protection and that is all we need! We the people have the right to make our own decisions, especially about our own bodies = body autonomy. There is no need for anyone else to be involved in this decision. We especially need to be free from conflict of interest with the pharmaceutical companies.
Self	In these and future times of great upheaval, it has become clear that governance of the people should be by the people and for the people, carried out at the local level. Anything created by WHO should be suggestions not requirements as you cannot know what is best for each nation and locality. I am concerned when I hear the words, inter-governmental negotiating body creating an agreement that will focus on, "incoherent policymaking by member states and lack of international cooperation." This is WHO/government overreach. This sweeping treaty is being negotiated in secret by people that I did not elect. All issues including public health should remain in the hands of the people, carried out by local elected officials. Bring these negotiations to the member nations and the people. Bring it out in the news where all can see so as to create recommendations of individual freedom in public health and all policies. Thank you, Colleen Frayn, RN, BS
Self	In these and future times of great upheaval, it has become clear the governance of the people should be by the people and for the people at the local level. Anything created by WHO should be suggestions, not requirements as you cannot know what's best for each nation and locality. I'm concerned when I hear the words, intragovernmental negotiating body creating an agreement that will focus on, "incoherent policymaking by member states and lack of international cooperation." This is WHO/government overreach. This sweeping treaty is being negotiated in secret by people I did not elect. All issues, including public health issues, should remain in the hands of the local people through officials elected by the people. Thank you, Diana Sheedy
San Bernardino County Resident, CA USA	In these hearings, I am thankful for the opportunity to be heard... I am glade to see a united effort to bring about a kind of "clearing house" for information to help international co-operation to help manage global medical emergencies. While mandates may appear at first to be "the" solution to manage medical emergencies, it borders if not actually becomes hubris in its effect. The limits of any international actions must be short of mandates, as these have already proven confusing and short sighted. In the USA, the constitution acknowledges certain inalienable rights endowed by their Creator, not given by the government but recognized, and to be protected by the same; among which has been stated as; life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. However, these three are not "all" of the inalienable rights - only "among" the rights. My personal religious conviction is that "another inalienable right " is the right of "personal medical autonomy" to be free of any civil coercion to violate the same. Therefore, education is superior to mandates when a majority and minority reports are made available to guide in individual choice. Censorship of information for or against medical recommendations must be disallowed.
Individual	In this case, these are utterly necessary: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	In This forthcoming treaty, I think it important that 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland	In this treaty, should it come about, I would like to have anchored in the first point that the guarantee for the sovereignty of each state and individual is above all. That the respect of the human rights has highest priority and the legal adhesion of government officials and/or Pharmaunternehmen on all unconstitutional measures and/or medicines/vaccines is guaranteed, without exception!
Subanen tribe	In times of Pandemic where there's no proven safe and effective vaccines available in the market, experimental Vaccines should not be forcely inoculated to people. Since the VACCINES are still in the trial stage, they can NEVER be considered SAFE & EFFECTIVE. So Vaccination shouldn't be made mandatory. Aside from it is unconstitutional, violation to the Rights of the people, VACCINES are threat and risk to the health of humanity. Always respect the Rights and Life of the people.
People of Latvia	In today's fast changing world some issues need to be addressed.We,as humanity , are standing at the point of no return,which means we can't be silent. Today ,in this given situation ,this is the right moment to stop violation,violation of human rights, violation of fundamental freedom of choice. Mandatory vaccination represents a tool of repression and total control. Our body is our temple ,children are our future and this future must be healthy ,both mentally and physically,as well as free to decide how to take care of themselves. Let's say BIG NO! to mandatory vaccination due to it's inability to have any positive impact in any kind and way. Forced vaccination is harmful and lethal. Injecting alien substances into our bodies is not an option, this can only benefit big corporations ,not people.! People of Latvia and EU are standing strong against any attempts of mandatory vaccination in Latvia or any other country of the world. Governments of the planet should take action and start protecting their people,not betraying them.!!!

Organization	Written submission
Private individual	In view of the enormous diversity of the world population, specifically as to to the existing circumstances, cultures and health conditions, I think the following items shoud be considered and mentioned: 1 - Worldwide clear information is essential for everyone to have the right notion of what is at stake. 2 - Using its right to sovereignty, each country must be free to follow, or not, the recommendations specified in WHO reports (naturally, to make that decision each country is supposed to act on a democratic basis itself). 3 - The focus of the de decisions and actions should always bear in mind that the vast majority of the people cannot afford expensive medicines. By that I mean, whenever possible, unexpensive medicines should be recommneded by WHO, always maintaing eveyone's freedom of choice.
myself	In working with public, it became obvious during the introduction of mandates, that lockdowns were causing more harm than good. In British Columbia, Canada we witnessed increased unemployment as businesses shut down, increased death from overdoses, social isolation, delays in speech and language development, delayed and cancelled surgeries, family separation and the introduction of policies that have created segregation and a class of untouchables. It did not take me two years of research to come to the same conclusions as public health and infectious disease experts from around the world, The unintended Consequences of COVID-19 Vaccine Policy: Why Mandates, Passports, and Segregated Lockdowns May Cause more Harm than Good. Bardosh, K. et al. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4022798 Most of this data was available from Statistics Canada at the end of 2020. To working class Canadians it is also called common sense. There is much work ahead of us as we rebuild our communities, our relationships and help families rebuild livelihoods. Children will need help catching up on social, speech and learning skills. My hope is that our children will be able to forgive us for not protecting them from the damage of lockdowns, vaccinations, and the uncertain future that we are leading them into. I do not support a pandemic treaty that is global with a one size fits all solution. No one has authority over our health and the health of our families. Now is the time for us to build healthy communities based on wellness, local initiatives, trust, research unbiased by profit, inclusiveness, and open dialogue. The WHO has no place, as an unelected body, in creating such a treat
Business administration Arpiegy	INB publichearings@who.int.
None	Inbetween the WHO is no Health Organisation anymore! Gets Money from private Organisations and work for their interests! This contract is only sick! Stop it! We, the People don't want green Pass and other stuff from WHO! Austria should leave this organisation of corruption!
None	includ: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Network	Include a clause that recognizes the right of any sovereign country to tailor its response to their citizens unique needs.
The Artful Sailor	Include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Private citizen	Include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
DenverAction2freeJA	Include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Uk citizen	Include in the pandemic treaty: 1. Individual human rights and in particular the right to bodily autonomy will be upheld and can not be over ridden in a health emergency. 2.The soverenty of nations to make their own laws will be upheld and nations have the right to opt out of any measures they deam detrimental to their citizens. 3.Policies and measures will be informed by free, open and transparent scientific debate and reviewed as new imformation emmerges. 4. Impact assesments will be undertaken for all measures and will include the social, economic, mental and human right impacts of measures alongside any impacts on peoples health.
World Council for Health	Include Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ordinary concerned citizen	Include protection from mass mandates and coercion into taking medications, including vaccines, and penalise those who implement such cruel policies. Protection of freedom of dialogue for all medical personnel and scientists, so all voices can be heard, essential in a novel situation. Protection from centrally planned decision making so local medics can make own decisions independently on the ground and more easily adjust to new information and data. Penalise media for taking sides and only allowing one narrative. Banning mass lockdowns of more than 2months duration. Ensure supply chains stay open and running.
Concerned Citizens, UK	Include strict and legally ironclad, enforceable GUARANTEES on State and Individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability - with NO loopholes for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. For the last 2 years governmental bodies have completely ignored these matters of human dignity and decency, which are held universally and in common by us all - as "common sense" in fact. There is no question that this is true, and the WHO now walks a very dangerous and difficult course in potentially setting itself up as a force directly counter to National Sovereignty, and literally against Human Rights. If the WHO chooses to be on the wrong side of history then at the conclusion of World War Three the WHO will cease to exist, and officials may themselves face increasingly serious criminal charges. This has already started - it is not hyperbole. Nobody should desire such an outcome. We need wise and truly independent institutions at the international level. Sadly the WHO has also failed in this regard. It is time to correct course. This is perhaps your last chance. Act now.
Personal	Include that individuals have the right to decline any vaccine or medical treatment. All people should be given complete information about the potential complications and side effects of vaccines, medicines, and other treatments. Parents should have the right to determine their childrens' course of treatment. Any requirement of individuals, for things such as masking and lock downs, should not be allowed except where ALL scientific study's show true efficacy. People, doctors, and scientists should be allowed to discuss alternative views and treatment options s without getting censored. No country should be forced to do anything for which it is not in agreement.
United States Citizen	Include the following elements. The first is my own. * Ensure full transparency for and consistent publishing of metrics for cases, hospitalizations, and deaths, with clear and, to the extent possible, unchanging criteria and measurements. The following are from Steve Kirsch, and I agree with them fully. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	Include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	Include the following elements: #1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people #2 The ability of nations & local municipalities to opt out of any & all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences #3 An open & transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on & including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it #4. Measures that do not allow for influences in the process by any & all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Include the following in any Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Peace On Earth	Include the following in the Pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
OurWorld	Include the following in the Pandemic Preparedness and Response: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
G.F.C.	Include the following in the Pandemic Preparedness and Response: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Peace and Light	Include the following in the Pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Concerned citizen	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. DIRECT LINK TO POST YOUR COMMENT
	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of any emergencies. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Sherri Andrade	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
GENERAL PUBLIC NATIVE BORN US CITIZEN	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Party	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lock downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
BeBrave Washington	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Natural Solutions Foundation	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
General Public Comment	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
General Public	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The Blues	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. "This Is All Common Sense.
general public	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
No affiliations, my opinions only	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lock downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
PAL Family Wellness	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lock-downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
NA	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
BDA	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Aubra Foundation	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
sovereign human being	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Citizen	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
personal	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Santa Clarita ORganization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies" 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 6. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 9. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The People's Treaty	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The People's Treaty	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Interested party	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The People's Treaty	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The People's Treaty	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Fundacja Nowe Spektrum	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Institute of Health Research	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Friends of Belmont	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Not Applicable	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
USA citizen	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Me	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Citizen	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
self	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Professional Health Management LLC	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
self	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
none	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
retired teacher	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Team All Amerocam	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
VV Naturopathic	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Na	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
NA	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. Informed consent when it come to any medical intervention must be included, as well as the right to refuse any treatment.
Homeopathic Village, Inc.	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 7. Complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Sacred Giftz, LLC	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. Absolutely free to make health choices to maintain health without interference from any governmental or organization bodies, or other individuals.
Imagine The Next	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
HRT	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
member of the public	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Quantum Health & Financials	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Natural Solutions Foundations	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
individual	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies.” 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Cambodia	
Canada	
Chad	
Chinese Taipei	
Colombia	
Congo, Democratic Rep. of	
Congo, Republic of	
Cuba	
Czech Republic	
Denmark	
Dominican Republic (the)	
Ecuador	
Egypt	
El Salvador	
Equatorial Guinea	
Eritrea	
Estonia	
Ethiopia	
Fiji	
Finland, Ins. for Health Promotion	

Organization	Written submission
People of California	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
United States of America	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
University of Information Technology	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Dr. Rima	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. DIRECT LINK TO POST YOUR COMMENT inb.who.int/home/written-submissions
Wendy Baker Photography	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
CWIS	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
United States of America	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Herbs of Grace Healing Arts	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Privat	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
No	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
General public	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. Chemicals that harm humans should not be allowed to be part of any medication. All synthetic chemicals must go through a review and testing conducted by fully independent labs and doctors all of whom have zero connections to chem industry or drug companies. 11. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Citizen	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Long Prairie Chiropractic	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Knowledgelive Ltd	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses.
Retired Registered Nurse	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thank you for your consideration.
individual	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
We The People	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
USA Citizen	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
semi-retired carpenter	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Head of Household	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Living Woman under God's Law	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
World Health Organization	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The People's Treaty	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual citizen	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
My Life	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lebensraum Belmont	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The people	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Focus on early treatment. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
None	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
United Mothers Council	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines ofhealthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
TT Ltd	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Concerned citizen	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Student	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
karp real estate llc	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/a	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
home	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
CHD	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Whistleblower Newsroom	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
personal	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups, and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education, or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real-time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
US citizen	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Citizen, I represent myself	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/a	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may NOT suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status CANNOT be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong in every way. They are 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public and should NOT be censored for it. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
n/a	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are unalienable, no matter what the state of emergency. No emergency justifies denying, suspending, imposing restrictions on or otherwise abridging any natural right including but not limited to the right to life, liberty, property, privacy, the right to move about freely, and the freedom to buy, sell, trade, contract or do business. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to unalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an unalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's unalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the unalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
The Health Gardener	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are unalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups & organisations are subservient to unalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an unalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right. 5. Vaccine status must not be used to restrict people's unalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the unalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
private human being	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human dignity is inviolable. 2. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 3. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 4. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 5. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 6. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 7. There will never be vaccine mandates whatsoever. 8. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy and/or asymptomatic people are wrong and will never be used. 9. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 10. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 11. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must always be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 12. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
iVHealth	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Freunde der Verfassung	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Holistic Network	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
We the people	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
GOFM	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Earthling	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. No Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
No Organization - Private Citizen of U.S.A.	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. No binding mandates, recommendations only that completely uphold all 10 points of the Nuremburg Code. 6. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 7. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 8. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 9. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 10. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 11. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency
Personal	Include the following points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Weston A. Price Foundation	Include the following substantive elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Include the following, please: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
General public	Include the following. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Include the following: 1. Full sovereignty of nations and localities must be observed. Uphold the right of the people to make independent decisions on what is best for them. Uphold people's full freedom in health. 2. Nations and localities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without discrimination, sanction and/or consequence. 3. Make the process open and transparent. 4. Free the process of political and economic interests.
Private person	Include the right for each person to freely decide for any intake of any substance into one's body, especially if in trial phase, the right to freely move without any digital permissions or tracking, the right to take care of our body freely without any limitations or suggestions from the WHO or any other institution. In somma I strongly oppose the right which WHO takes in my name to govern my health. My health is my responsibility and mine alone, and this is such a fundamental right, that a WHO proposed document shouldn't even exist.
None	Include these elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Fortunate Fruit LLC	Include these points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	Include these: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Include upon considering a pandemic exists: Goal: no decision allowed to be made negative affect of the decision of the individual is greater than the benefit of the decision on the individual. Public video broadcast and storage of Grand jury representing balanced all views of arguments regarding broad statements of any pandemic. - no body, no matter how virtuous, is separate from political, elite, money influences. - no body should be able to make broad decisions that effects to loss of people quality of life, liberty, business, pursuit of liberty. - establish that individual people can take their own precaution based upon facts, not fear, not baseless reactionary decisions. - background and money funding trail for each person giving argument published. - comments during broadcast and after broadcast kept available. No deletions. Public grand jury of those who deceive or participate in deception. - immediate consequence of fraud, based upon documentation/proof, initiates grand jury. - failure to produce discovery as requested within one month (contempt) results in another grand jury. - failure to hold public grand jury within two months results in another grand jury within two months. Discovery granted immediately. - sentencing of guilty results in prison, personal restitution to those harmed, and not eligible to hold positions in health, government, research, lobbying and consulting in these areas. Find another profession, just as their deception destroyed other people,s life. In considering any pandemic, - disband world health organization from existence with no overreaching world organization ever to be established again. The latest debacle is Proof enough.
self	Include 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the world	Include: the sovereignty of each national state, the sovereignty of the individual and respect for human rights. As well as legal liability of government officials, the WHO and pharmaceutical companies. Guarantee the non-application of mandatory certificates and/or digital IDs.
I am a citizen of the United States of America.	Included elements ought to ensure: 1. That Local and National governments at all levels give up no authority or autonomy, and will make decisions for their own people based on their best judgment, with the full consent of their own people, without imposing restrictions, medicines, or medical procedures on their people. 2. That nations and local governments at all levels would be in no way mandated or coerced into following or prevented from opting out of any and all portions of the agreement. 3. That clear, verifiable, local and locally-controlled voting be done for the enactment of any portion of the global agreement in an area, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. That decision-making is free from outside influence from NGOs, corporations, and political groups. 5. That it is ensured that individual humans have autonomy and choice over any and all medical procedures, medicines, vaccines, and any similar things may be done to them or introduced into their bodies. Pressures such as job loss, reduced access to food or the removal of access to food, incarceration, or the like, are also never to be used by governments or non-governmental corporations, agencies, or organizations. 6. That no portion of the agreement would apply to non-medical questions, freedoms, liberties, or rights of individual humans or governments at any level.
none	Included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be the following: 1. Measures that do not allow for influence in any way by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 2. Guarantees that national and local leadership would retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and given the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences.
World Council for Health	Included in any WHO treaty on pandemics: Countries and its citizens will keep full authority under any circumstances. No treaty can take that away.Any treaty on pandemic situations by the WHO can only be advisory. Before a treaty is signed the WHO as an organisation has to proof that it is financially not depending on money from Pharmaceutical Industry and Non Profit Organisations to avoid conflict of interest. The board of the WHO will be accountable and liable on a petsonal level. Full transparency of its dealing based on treaty.
None	Included in the instrumental instrument on pandemic preparedness & response should be the right to self governance. Allow for persons to have choice in their own responses to threats of pandemics or otherwise. If the fearful wish to wear masks, social distance or stay home, let them. Likewise, those who are not afraid should be allowed to carry on and respond in a way that they are comfortable with. The WHO forgets that given choice, most people will make a choice that benefits all. Take that away and you find resistance and pushback. Allow people the sovereignty to make decisions about their own bodies.
N/A	included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency
citizen	Included in the treaty should be the option of local communities to opt out. Each community has different needs and different health infrastructure. Healthcare should not be decided at a macro or international level because it overlooks the needs/solutions of individual communities. Additionally, companies and stakeholders from outside communities should no have authority to determine what a community should do in health matters. The applicable health authorities of the local community should have the autonomy to determine what are in the best interests of its citizens.

Organization	Written submission
TD	Included in this future guide should be transparent processes that allows for full autonomy of leadership at local and national levels to make decisions based on the best interest of their people. Meaning the ability to opt out of portions without consequence. All people of the world should be allowed to vote. This should not be used as a tool to coerce or force a person or group of people. Pharma companies and other global health profiteers should not be allowed to influence and any attempt to influence for monetary incentive should be a punishable offence.
Sovereign	Included in this treaty should be language that ensures National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. In addition, an opt out clause with no consequences is imperative.
selfunemployed	Included must be - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. One size cannot fit all. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Our uniqueness deserves preservation. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. A return to the unbiased and practical meaning of global pandemic. The preparedness and response of the current farce must be prevented at all costs.
Traders without borders	Included should be especially: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
individual	Included should be investigations into bio weapons labs supported by tax payer dollars. Also money to end and eliminate biowarfare. Endless pandemics are not the way forward, nor should you support it any longer. Money should be made available for vaccine injured people and vaccination should come with warnings and actual contents of the vaccines, and time tested studies that back them up.
chinmaya dunster	Included should be: guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies for damage caused by implementation of the instrument
NA	Included should be: - All data from clinicians and countries should be reviewed and allowed to scientifically reviewed regarding treatment options, especially early options of care which lead to better outcomes -No single entity may be allowed to make policy or mandate actions taken as a slippery slope of suppression of actual workable solutions and a forced control on populations is the inevitable result - Doctors and health care providers will not be put at risk by disagreeing with the status quo treatment or by giving treatment options to patients that don't fit a narrative being pushed -Drug companies will be held to standards for any "experimental" or emergency use side effects just as they would for any other medication, vaccines or new technologies such as the mRNA injections currently being used - Any person, group or entities who are party to suppression or false reports of actual results or side effects of treatments and side effects will be subject to civil and criminal penalties including but not limited to crimes against humanity
General Public	Included: A standardized notification procedure, A quick response in risk assessment of international travel. Not included: Anything that usurps a country's sovereignty and/or negates a nation's constitution.
N/A	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect and honor of religious beliefs, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Private citizen	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
N/A	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
Freedom	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years
NA	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Myself	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
American citizen	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
none	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Why should you know	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
general public	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
None	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Member of public	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights/bodily autonomy, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Private	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty. Respect for human rights above all other factors. Legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Prescribed and enforceable criminal charges for WHO officials, government officials and corporations who willfully mislead the public before, during or after a 'pandemic'. Complete and immediate public transparency on all research and sources of funding related to any measures implemented whatsoever for a 'pandemic'.
Private	Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty. Respect for human rights above all other factors. Legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Prescribed and enforceable criminal charges for WHO officials, government officials and corporations who willfully mislead the public before, during or after a 'pandemic'. Complete and immediate public transparency on all research and sources of funding related to any measures implemented whatsoever for a 'pandemic'.
ally spine center	Inclusion should have explicit terms of individual rights to pursue responses to purported pandemics in in alignment with personal, religious and constitutional rights. In no way should any individual be subject to any international body with regard to governance of one's healthcare preferences. The WHO should act to provide global guideline RECOMMENDATIONS only but should not have ANY authority to dictate global health policy. As a U.S. citizen I strongly oppose ANY interference to my individual rights under God and the U.S. Constitution.
Individual	Independance is vital as all cases and countries are different. The WHO should maintain an advisory role only.It is not their jurisdiction to take over and implement mandates and procedures to individual countries. Maintaining democracy is of utmost importance.I so not approve or consent for this ridiculous one world government role.
citizen	Independent control to persist, preservation of sovereignty of states and citizens, legal liability for government and corporations involved, citizen influence on regulations, valuation bases of a pandemic according to the state of art and legitimization of these decision based through parliamentary control of the member states, control function of the citizens of the member states with direct influence on the powers and competencies of the WHO, as well as exit options of individual countries, in the case of diverging discourses on the decision-making basis of a pandemic. Exclusion of the influence of interests that are not legitimized by parliament, e. g. companies, investors, etc...
Pmo	Independent country and democracy od important for fredoom . Your decision is bad for world save.
Private	Independent decision-making of member States must be a key part of any treaty. Geography, demographics, development and more, are different across borders. If nature teaches us anything, it is that diversity is the path to overcoming obstacles. A one size fits all always ends in disaster. Unchecked power does as well. The WHO is NOT an elected body and should not have a deciding hand in determining how free people around the world respond to health challenges. If, indeed, the goal is to remain a world of free individuals, governments must answer to their citizens. Is the goal of this organization to keep the citizens of the world free? Your decisions on this matter will reveal your true motives. Remember, the world is watching more closely than ever before. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Remain true to your word and thus remain relevant.
Individual	Independent information is imperative. Globalisation just causes opportunity for systemic secrecy, manipulation and hidden agenda of those who have financially benefited from big pharmaceutical companies to individual financial billionaires who have bankrolled ideology to suit personal agenda.
None	Independent scientists from independent universities as primer scientific instances around the world. Democratically elected members of the WHO, that have the freedom to decide independently. Each nation needs the ability to decide what to do by their own. WHO has the ability to recommended practice only and not to making laws.
Awaken India Movement	India don't need any pandemic treaty to be signed. I don't agree wuth this treaty. This treaty should be stopped as soon as possible.
Retired Teachers	Individual choice and freedom is crucial to an international instrument on pandemic preparedness. Each person and community has differing needs and circumstances which will affect their desired response. Science, as Welensky says, is not black and white; it is gray. Although scientific studies may help each person and community make their decisions, these people and communities, as a matter of human rights, deserve the freedom to choose their own medical treatment or lack of treatment. For example, having taught students in grades K - 9 for more than 30 years, I have invited the multitude of germs offered me and have developed a very strong immune system. For that reason, I chose not to be vaccinated, and when I contracted Covid in January, I took no medicine, had very mild symptoms for five days, and recovered with a strong natural immunity. I have known at least 30 fully-vaccinated people who have had worse cases. Looking at the high number of breakthrough cases, it appears as though the vaccine is ineffective for many people. Another reason for freedom of choice is that science does not offer us truth (only some valuable studies, other poorly-constructed ones), and we still do not know the long-term results of people accepting experimental vaccines. In the book Mistakes Were Made, on page 64, the authors show the high number of drugs approved by the FDA in their experimental stages which had to be taken off the market after four years because at last the negative health results they caused showed up. In The Promised Land by Barack Obama, he says that the swine flu vaccine caused more neurological diseases in adults than the number of adults who died. Requiring such risk is wrong. Freedom is right.
General Public	Individual choice is key in implementing any pandemic response. Our bodies - our choice. Also sufficient research into whatever illnesses occur and the least invasive medical treatment without the promise of adverse events. Additionally, take the politics and hidden agendas out of "pandemics," especially when the pandemic might be used to cover up debt and to launch a reset of our society and economy that benefits only the greedy elite, not the majority of mankind.
Self	Individual countries and sovereign nations should make health decisions at the lowest level of government i.e. state. county, and municipality level. No WHO treaty mandate should be established that removes the autonomy of sovereign nations or the autonomy of the state and local governments within those sovereign nations the ability to make their own decisions to a pandemic response.
None	Individual countries and states should be free to decide what is needed for their citizens. Health is not one size fits all, and what is suitable in one country may not be needed elsewhere. If this isn't allowed then the instrument is basically useless.
SPT	Individual countries democratically elected leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their population including the ability to opt out of anyor all parts of the agreement, without consequence. A transparent and open to all process with the ability for all citizens to vote / have referendum on issues including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that outlaw influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Independent audit of all workings and measures.
ND	Individual countries must retain the supreme right to make their own public health decisions.
Commenting as a private individual	Individual countries should decide their own pandemic management policies. These MUST include: 1.Positive/pro-active natural health measures, notably access to healthy nutrition, fresh air, exercise, adequate housing and sanitation. 2. Freedom of movement for all citizens - the freedom to go about daily lives as normal without lockdowns or border closures, which have been proven not to work. 3. Informed consent, bodily autonomy, and absolute freedom of choice regarding any and every form of medical treatment/intervention/testing on offer. In short, a commitment to human rights legislation. 4. A commitment to vaccine/pharmaceutical safety and to transparent testing/trial procedures and data, and post-trial use data on safety and efficacy. 5. An independently regulated and accountable pharmaceutical industry which is financially and ethically liable for any/every vaccine injury or pharmaceutical product induced harm. 6. A widely publicised adverse reactions reporting system which is easily accessible and actively promoted by government and medical personnel. 7. A commitment to positive wellbeing messaging, and restrictions on fearmongering and 'nudge' strategies by governments, government advisors, and the media. 8. The prohibition of vaccine and mask mandates, vaccine passes, and any strategy that creates a two-tiered society. 9. The freedom for any medical/health professional/expert to publicly express their informed opinion about pandemic policy without fear of being struck off or ostracised. 10. A price cap on all pharmaceutical products. Pandemic preparedness must be detached from financial incentive and corporate gain.
Na	Individual countries should have the ability to opt out of parts of the agreement if they disagree. Individual countries should have a referendum or vote on these policies. Each countries constitution should have precedence over any policy.
Private individual	Individual countries should have the right to make their own decisions for the health of their citizens in any pandemic or other health-related event regardless of what is happening globally. Their choices should be fully autonomous without influence or coercion from pharmaceutical, bio-surveillance or tech companies, or other countries or international organisations such as the WHO. Any country who chooses to sign the agreement must have the security to back out at any time, without consequence, of any or all parts of the agreement. Any individual, in any country, should have the right not to participate or be forced against their will to participate in any actions within the Agreement even if it has been signed by their country's leaders. The process for reaching any Agreement should be open and transparent. In the event that the Agreement causes harm rather than benefit, in any country, all those that signed should be held to account and be liable to prosecution.
Self employed	Individual Countries, Nations, and Leaders should make health/medical decisions based on what is best for the local people they represent Individual Nations and local governments to retain full autonomy for all health, medical and any other related issues. Individual Countries/Nations/Local governments to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the proposed agreement as they wish without any negative impact Proper governance and ethical approach to ensure/guarantee an open, transparent and honest approach/process so that human beings across the world can vote on any measures including the option to prevent the application of a global health/medical agreement in countries/nations/local governments where people do not want it. Proper governance and legal measures that prevent conflicts of interest by pharmaceutical companies, investors and global health entities who stand to profit financially from such measures
Rosemary Plympton	Individual countries/autonomous areas retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of countries and autonomous areas to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, this includes any individual or organisation invested in pharmaceutical companies who would stand to profit from actions they may influence you to take.
Public citizen	Individual decisions on health, the body and mind, the taking of medication, and the degree of participation in social interactions need to remain the purview of each individual person. The "state", let alone an entity as large as the WHO, cannot know what a person and his/her doctor deem to be the best course of action for that individual. Leadership should be in the form of education and guidance, not mandates. That said: 1. National and local leadership, and the individual, need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people/ him or herself. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be retained. 3. This needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where people do not want it. 4. Measures must be put into place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Existing treatments, regardless of their degree of money-making potential must be considered.
Private person	individual freedom and the right to decide about individual body. freedom of decision and travel. freedom of expression.
Privat	Individual freedoms should never be taken away. No crisis should be used to diminish democracy. Private opinions from some scientists should never be used as a pretext to take liberties away from the people.
Interested stakeholder	Individual governments should decide the actions to be taken, or not taken, in the event of a pandemic. There should be no overarching interference by any organisation seeking to create a world order. No to planning at a global level. The only global interest should be to ensure that loss of democratic means of government, coercion or loss of personal freedom is not compromised.
None	Individual health and the right to direct your own health care decisions free of distress and coersion must be of the utmost importance. Governments, drug companies, and individual medical professionals must be held liable for injuries and deaths caused by forced, coersed medical interventions. Media who fail to present factual material must also be held liable.
Write Concepts, LLC	Individual health autonomy should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Any plan that would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy is a poor plan. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities should be in control of and responsible for their own health.
Self	Individual health care is a choice.
none -- individual	Individual human beings must have sovereignty over their own bodies and what is put into them, so health decisions must be a matter of personal choice. The governments of nations and NGOs should only function to provide information and guidance to the public about best health care practices, even in the midst of a pandemic. No international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include the mandating of anything. If the public deems a danger to be real, it will respond by taking precautionary measures voluntarily. The WHO should not have authority to mandate anything to any sovereign nation, and all individuals of all nations should have the right to develop their own informed opinions and to choose whether they cooperate with the recommendations of health advisory organizations. I object to the United States of America entering into any legally binding pandemic treaty with the WHO if such a treaty includes the use of force or mandates or laws imposed upon American citizens.
Private	Individual human rights must be upheld Compromise individuals should be given all the support to protect themselves while immune strong individuals must continue to support the economy
General Public	Individual liberties must be protected and prioritized at every stage of your planning process. We need to consider collateral damage on humankind, mental health, ability to work and provide for our families, and ethical boundaries (and the loss of trust in authority) with any measures undertaken for the purpose of pandemic preparedness. People are not robots, and overly restrictive measures that violate human rights and essentially imprison free societies are not worth it at the end of the day. Look at the current rates of youth depression and suicide after Covid. Robust immune systems, healthy communities and populations, and early treatment should be prioritized over any restrictive measures. Independent experts with a variety of perspectives should be included in good faith decision making in any recommendations for the general public. Participation in this treaty must be absolutely voluntary and participating nations can opt out of any and all measures without penalty. Thank you.
Individual Contractor	Individual liberties should never again be taken away from citizens, even on the pretenses of a pandemic control. The right of individuals to their body autonomy should never again be questioned under the disguise of a common good objective. Individuals should be represented be directly elected representatives. Only directly elected representatives should be allowed to take decisions related to pandemic plans. Tax payer's money should no longer be allocated to support supra national governmental organisations comprising of unelected individuals spending most of their time travelling discussing pandemic control in conferences.
self	Individual medical autonomy and freedom to choose. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Individual nations have been far more capable and competent than the World Health Organisation, and they have been dreadful. There is absolutely no need for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, it is about creating more self serving bureaucracy, corruption and authoritarianism. If regimes want to introduce digital IDs and Social Credits systems they should do it the honest way instead of using health as an excuse. The 'pandemic' response has killed (and will continue to kill) far more people than the 'pandemic' itself, which didn't even meet the old WHO pandemic definition. Also, we know the decisions on this instrument have been made by powerful individuals and institutions years ago so why waste time on written submissions when you know it's been finalised already?
Individual	Individual nations should be able to decide their own response to a health issue which is appropriate to that nation as a one size fits all response does not fit all populations and cultures. Science is advanced and innovation happens when research scientists feel free to investigate and ask questions and follow individual lines of enquiry, whereas a global approach would be detrimental to innovation and investigation. Discoveries are often a matter of serendipity. This does not prohibit the sharing of data and ideas.
Accenture	Individual nations should respond independently to pandemics. The guiding role of the WHO is important but should remain as such. Allowing for different approaches across nations would be sensitive to race, equity and social welfare variations across countries and continents.
Parent	Individual nations should retain the ability to handle any health responses in the way they see fit. Each country should decide its own rules. Lockdowns and masks should NEVER be used again. Vaccines must NEVER be mandatory, bodily autonomy is a human right. The WHO should be less political and have a wider range of experts, with less groupthink. Dissenters should be welcomed and listened to in order to gain a full picture of concerns and suggestions. The WHO made and continues to make many errors and needs to learn from its mistakes. By all means make recommendations but no rigid rules are required. Keep individuals informed and they will invariably take the steps required, enforcement by a bureaucratic international organisation is never required.
concerned US citizen	Individual nations should retain the right to opt out any and/or all portions of the agreement without consequence instead of broad-sweeping measures as dictated by WHO policy. This includes autonomy of individual nations' state and local governments. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people within an individual member nation do not want it. There should not be any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	Individual nations should retain their autonomy, as individual people should have choice on the medical treatment they receive. Pandemic intervention must be considered relative to the social, financial, medical and other potential negative impacts of the intervention. All financial aspects and awarding of contracts must be transparent so everyone can see where funds are coming from, going to and the decision for contact awards. Financing must not be able to become a means to pressure nations involvement in the treaty. Membership to the treaty or not must not be reason for discrimination. Where there is risk there must be choice.
World Health Organization	Individual people and residents have not been given the chance to declare their informed consent. Individual people and residents everywhere should answer to themselves and no authority including but not limited to the World Health Organization, any subsidiary agency, organization, and or governing body that answers to or adheres to the United Nations in any way, shape, fashion, form, or function. Individual people and residents should be entitled to and enjoy inalienable rights like freedom, privacy and it's reasonable expectations, bodily autonomy, and health conscious freedom.
self	Individual rights and freedoms must be respected, even during pandemic. The Nuremberg code must be upheld - no one should be experimentation on without full consent. Drug companies must accountable for the negative effects of their vaccines. Natural immunity must be recognized as an acceptable defense against viruses. No discrimination against an individual's beliefs should be condoned, or supported in any way.
Public	Individual sovereign nations and their elected officials must be make all the decisions about pandemic response. Each nation must have the freedom to make the right decisions for themselves. Unelected officials in organizations such as the WHO, should not be making, forcing, mandating any pandemic response decisions on sovereign nations what so ever.
Household	Individual sovereignty must be respected and no vaccine mandates or digital ids forced upon free citizens. According to VAERS database there have been over a million adverse events from the covid vaccine reported including many thousands of deaths. Vaccine manufacturers must be financially liable for all these injuries. Freedom to choose medical interventions must be upheld over the interests of politicians and the drug companies. Many lives and families have been devastated, medically and financially by these vaccines that were not properly tested and irresponsibly rolled out based on sheer propaganda
Self	Individuals and communities must decide what's best for themselves. There are no on size fits all agendas needed. Thank you,
Individual	Individuals and countries must retain their sovereignty in any health emergency, and this must be protected in any global treaty. Individuals must always have the right of informed consent, or refusal, of any medical treatment or offer of immunization since the individual will have to live with any adverse reactions experienced by the body. Our body is who we are--our full essence. It is our right to govern the body in which we live. Where there is risk, there must be choice. Countries and all levels of government therein need autonomy, and the protected right to make health decisions based on what is best for their own regions, respecting national constitutions, charters, and protected rights and freedoms. Nations and local governing bodies must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of any WHO agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Logically, in a fair and democratic world, we cannot be governed by those for whom we have not voted. Institute measures that prevent influence in the decision-making processes by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, and their representatives and associates.
Law Office of Brent Rowlands	Individuals and local communities should be in control of their own health. Nations and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make their own decisions based on what's best for their own people, without consequences. Conflicts of interest should be absolutely prohibited.
Private individual	Individuals and nations must be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take and what non-pharmaceutical actions to implement. They must also be able to decide whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency and should be free from censorship. These limitations on WHO reach should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
CJEU	Individuals and nations must be free to decide for themselves what treatment to take or whether to take it at all. There cannot and MUST NOT be any uniform worldwide coordination here, freedom to chose cannot be violated in any circumstances. ALL true information must be given, there is no place for censorship whether it is a real pandemic threat or not.
US citizen	Individuals and nations must have the right to determine their own health policy in the event of a pandemic.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical action to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what kind of treatments to take, what kind of non-pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Last 2 years of mainly "asymptomatic pandemic" and unclear decisions that has been made on the WHO level (ex. huge chaos with Remdesivir as suggested drug for Covid-19, and later negative recommendation for the same drug), shows us, that only individuals and nations can make best decisions for themselves, not such global organizations like WHO, that have many conflicts of interests with their biggest donors!
individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatment to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take , whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declarationof a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation
No name	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation
WHO	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation
Personal	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation
Non profit	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation
Siegen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation
Dom	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation
Individually	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation
Polska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifiying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should in any instrument on pandemia preparedness and response.
None	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
RN	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne Wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
prive	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.

Organization	Written submission
WOLNE WYBORY	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Home	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Best Regards Mirco
Przebudzeni Konsumenci	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Free man, citizen of this world	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Brak	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
a private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Privat	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.... WJ
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
towarzystwo lekarzy medycyny zintegrowanej	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
petycjeonline.com	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Human	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
KSL	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
non organization	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Sylwia
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Ordinary Citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Osobiście	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Kind Regards!
Brak	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Prywatna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Wiesław Ruszczyk
Rzeszów	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Osoba prywatna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
lives in UE	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Non organization	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
No	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
www.wolnewybory.org	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Indywidualny	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Zbigniew Gębarowski
Individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
No	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolność	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Aldona Nowak
PTS	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Indywidualny	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Zbigniew
Wolny człowiek	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Prywatne	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
no	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Teaher	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
RZESZÓW	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Irena Ślęczka
Polska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
ABC	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Polska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Kancelaria Rzeczoznawcy Majątkowego	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.!
PERSON	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Free people	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private Person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Piotr Rybacki
sovereign	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
No	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Osoba fizyczna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
prive	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
individual citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolni Ludzie	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Medical	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Jozef Kaczmarczyk
no	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolni Ludzie	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
osoba prywatna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolni_Ludzie.net	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
a citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
UE Citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response
None	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Regards
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Polska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Polska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem Tadeusz Ciołek
Alberta Health member	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
1@31	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
EU citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
People	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
A natural person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Sincerely Janina

Organization	Written submission
osoba prywatna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
osoba fizyczna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Yours faithfully
Własna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Fundacja Ziemia Maryi	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
CitizensGo	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Poznaj Swoje Prawa	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Human	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Polland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Public	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne Wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
No organization	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
XXX	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and Response.
Quantum Wellness Center	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Science is not afraid of criticism. This should be debated publicly between top experts in the field on both sides of the story. Not every epidemiologist has agreed with previous steps taken with Covid. I would say good have have not agreed. They have been censored and not allowed to voice their scientific findings. This is not the way to do things. You will eventually pay for your lies. Greg Banach
ela kesy	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Ela Kesy
niezależny	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Student	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Teaher	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private Residence	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Privat	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
xxxx	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Beata Hadyniak
Osoba prywatna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private Person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Polska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
brak	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Osoba prywatna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Tomanek Zielony	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Pozarządowa	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I never agree to take away my and my country's freedom to treat and decide about my body. Firm NO
Wolne Wybory Wolność osobista i wolność Polski	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Osoba fizyczna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Elżbieta Bujak
nauczyciel	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolni Ludzie	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
pensioner	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
privately	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
WHO	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Monika	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Citizen of Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Rafał Paszko	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
osoba fizyczna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship.
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Single	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
wolnewybory.org	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Jagoda Kajak
Adam Kesy	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
ewa poniatowska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Ewa Poniatowska
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolny człowiek	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
-	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Free human	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private Person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
eGene Tatry	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
concerned citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Freedom	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Lekarska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Jolka Basara
Matczyna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Klaudia Basara
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
World Health Organisation	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Jolanta Kasperkowiak
Citizen of the European Union	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne Wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne Wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
RRRR	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
tomas handyman & renovation service	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Polish Social Club	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
no organization	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
St. Joseph	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Obywatel	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem Ryszard Budek
królestwo wolnych słowian	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
I represent me. I have right to declare my opinion in that case.	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private idividual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Citizen of Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne Wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolnewybory.org	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Katrine Sieradzki
Żadna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Pivate person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne Wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Privately	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne Wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
-	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Instalatorstwo Elektryczne	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
My Godly Body Mind and Spirit	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Any Human Being has God Given Right to think and decide for them selves, no one is entitled to override, take those rights from it in any circumstances it's Natural & Galactic Principles. No International Body , Committee, Institution, Entity, Group ect. are entitled to violate, justify, take or give those Human Roghts, Nations Freedoms, Decision making processes, which tools, emergency blueprints and overall crisis decision making patterns are to take especially if they are created by psychopathic means in secret with dark and UnGodly intentions in todays modern world and society, no-one, will be covering evil intentions with words of false caring, safety, peace and order in means of control, this is TOTALY! Unacceptable, No Goal is Worthy and tolerable to take if it's taken with an assuming that Humans and Nations have zero sovereignty and dignity to think and decide for them selves , WE HAVE AND WE WILL KEEP OUR SOVEREIGNTY, DIGNITY, HONOR, FREEDOM, LOVE, PEACE, RIGHTEOUSNESS, IN ORDER TO THRIVE, NO TREATY IS ABOVE A GOD'S CHILD/CREATED HUMAN AND THEIR NATIONS.
Szczecin 81-784	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Dorota Hańczyk
citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
MK	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Agatom	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Non	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.

Organization	Written submission
Human	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Szymon
None	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Priv	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
petycjeonline.com	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Non	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Citizen of Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Nauczyciele dla wolności	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Os. Prywatna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Arleta Janicka
PAMI s.j.	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Tomasz
Polish citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Michał Mski
Justyna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Me	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Human	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
—	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Obywatel	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
obywatel	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Maciej Gajowski	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Życie	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Praca	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Caritas	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Działa	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
PolacydlaPolakow	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Kind regards, Agnieszka O.
-	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
fizjoterapist	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Sincerely Lukasz Wendler
Freedom and Equality Association	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
petycjeonline.com	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
medicine privately	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Human	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Z.U. RTV ELEKTRONIKA Jacek Stachoń	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Jacek Stachoń
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Keine	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
No	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
RSL Anna Knapinska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Polska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Maria Krajewska
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Concerned Citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Pawel from Poland
private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Tomasz Turski	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Monodia Studio	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
UE citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne Wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
www.wolnewybory.org	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Sincerely, Mirosław Gajda
Individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Pivate	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private Person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
General Public	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
General public	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Osoba prywatna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Map Development Grzegorz Bakuliński	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne w	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Indyvidualy	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
osoba prywatna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Renata Konopczyńska
bez organizacji	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
No organization	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Agnieszka Żmich
Private citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
No organization	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Zdzisława Żmich
Private person.	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Małgorzata Rytel
Individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
No organization	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Gosia Żmich
Wolność	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
without organization	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
man	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Privat citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Privat	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
www.wolnewybory.org	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
PatCompany	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
pivate	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Any	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
WHO	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Brak	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
WHO	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Jerzy
Paweł Popko	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
osoba prywatna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
fgfgfg	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response
Man	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
No organisation	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne Wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Polska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private Person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.

Organization	Written submission
No	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
free man	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Robert Dębowski
not applicable	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
free man	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Maria Dębowska
czlowiek	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Privat	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
... just a free man...	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Moreover, changing definition of pandemic, push on media to inform people that death with positive test is a death from C19 is Your sins. Furthermore, C19 actions taken by governments and WHO was a clear evidence that You have no slightest idea what to do.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Polish citizen	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. You have no right to go over the national laws and constitutions that secure civil and human rights to its nations.
-	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Indovidual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Niezrzeszona	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem Marzena Kopec
FWP	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
-	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
privet person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. regards Joanna
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
no	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
non-affiliated	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Polska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
www.wolnewybory.org	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne Wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
-	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
MEDIAK BARBARA ZAWITKOWSKA	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Anna Kaźmierska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Love will always protect us.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Free	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Privat	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
brak	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wone Wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
https://wolniludzie.net/wordpress/	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Z poważaniem, Alicja Chlebicka Poland
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Polska	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
priv	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Pozdrawiam
none	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Man first	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Marcin Makowski
person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
NA	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
SSNLiN	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Citizen of Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
General Public	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Agently sp z oo	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Osoba Prywatna	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
General Public	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Non	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
does not concern	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Niezależne leczenie	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Citizen of Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Maciej Tomaszewski
MS	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
non	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
FREEDOM	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
general public	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
General Public	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
-	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
HoliFarm	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Health protection foundation.	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Privare	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolni Ludzie (https://wolniludzie.net)	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolne wybory	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Wolni ludzie	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Free Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take. People cannot be forced to any actions like wearing a mask nor vaccinations.
WOLNE WYBORY	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non-pharmaceutical actions to take, and whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non-pharmaceutical actions to take, and whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic readiness and response
Poland	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non-pharmaceutical actions to take, and whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what nonpharmaceutical actions to take, and whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
N/A	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non-pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non-pharmaceutical measures to take, whether the situation is in fact a state of emergency justifying a pandemic, and should be free from censorship. This should be found in every pandemic preparedness and response instrument. Also each country have own constitution and should follow it, but we shouldn't follow private institutions.
Technical Inspections and Technological Advisory - SEBASTIAN MISIUK	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non-pharmaceutical measures to take, whether the situation is in fact a state of emergency justifying a pandemic, and should be free from censorship. Therefore, as a free man, I object to the creation of any supreme law, such as the Law of the Republic of Poland
private person	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non-pharmaceutical measures to take, whether the situation is in fact a state of emergency justifying a pandemic, and should be free from censorship. This should be found in every pandemic preparedness and response instrument. I do not agree with the pandemic treaty on the development of a global convention or international instrument that could severely limit state sovereignty and our personal freedom.
Adam Kulus	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what not pharmaceutical actions to take, wheter or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
N/A	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
n/a	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Ruch Wolnych Ludzi .pl	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to undertake, what non-pharmaceutical measures to take, whether the situation is in fact a state of emergency justifying a pandemic, and whether it should be free from censorship. This should be found in every pandemic preparedness and response instrument. Own sovereignty and free choice in direct democracy Ruch Wolnych Ludzi www dot wolniludzie dot net
Narodowy Instytut Studiów Strategicznych	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Pivate	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual	Individuals are capable of making decisions about their own health and the health of their families. No national government or international organization should be able to dictate behavior or inflict pressure or punishment on individuals or groups who choose to pursue a path of self-determination. Health is achieved through nutritious food, clean air, water and adequate exercise, and interactions with family and friends. Pharmaceutical interventions are not necessary for health. If individuals choose to consume a pharmaceutical product they may do so. Medical treatment must never be forced on individuals. Treatment (particularly one which is poorly researched) should never be forced on an individual. All research should be made public immediately. No nation or individual should be coerced into compliance. No pharmaceutical company should be exempt from responsibility for dangerous products. Individual harmed by pharmaceutical products should receive redress for harms inflicted. The poor decisions made by those in power during 2020, 2021, and 2022 sickened untold numbers and cost many millions worldwide their health, wealth, dignity, and autonomy. Complete transparency of the decision making process and the financial interests of all involved must be available to all citizens of all nations.
Self/citizen	Individuals are in charge of their own health, not the World Health Organization!! No WHO health/pandemic treaty!
N Searle	Individuals have an absolute right to bodily autonomy, and any attempt by the WHO to remove that fundamental human right, would represent an unacceptable abuse of power.
N/A	Individuals must always have autonomy over their own bodies and not at the expense of their human rights.
Individual	Individuals must always retain autonomy and control over their own lives, regardless if a medical "treaty" would go forward. Individual countries must not be denied their sovereignty if involved in such agreements.
Independent	Individuals must be allowed to make their own choices regarding their lives, livelihoods and ultimately bodily autonomy. Governments should only be able to offer advice and guidance rather than mandates. Not to use social media, celebrities, trusted sources to push marketing campaigns as builds mistrust. Build trust and communication on a local governmental and community level with clear open dialogue. Transparency on declared conflicts of interest, including that of WHO and funding of this organisation and why it is pushing for a global leadership role undemocratically. Clear goals, objectives. Not to silence debate and dialogue from opposing voices and views, to look at repurposed medications and to not believe that health is linked to pharmaceuticals. Promote healthy living, educate on toxic foods, look at access to healthcare for all, as healthcare especially for most of the world is a luxury. Millions more have died from mandates.
Individual	Individuals need to be in control of their own bodies and their own health. Mandating health related interventions is a gross violation of personal freedom. Governments need to be able to decide what makes sense for their own people.

Organization	Written submission
NO	Individuals need to have 100% complete body sovereignty to decide what to do in the face of a pandemic with NO penalties from anyone. Everyone's body is different and what works for one person is NOT guaranteed to work for others. Also, there needs to be peer-reviewed proof of efficacy in any recommendations - whether that be for internal options i.e. drugs or medicine of any kind and/or body appliances - i.e. face masks etc. No government and/or health/pharmaceutical organization should be given any thought that they can dictate to others how to handle their health - they do not own our bodies - that is slavery.
Miss	Individuals should be empowered to speak for themselves just as nations should be able to decide for themselves as divine sovereign beings, and to decide for ourselves whether it is in fact an emergency situation to justify the declaration of a pandemic, and definitely should be free of any censorship. This should be included in any instrument of pandemic preparedness and response. We should as individuals and nations be able to decide for ourselves on what treatments to take and what non-pharmaceutical actions to take.
Banking	Individuals should choose their response to a pandemic. There is no one size fits all answer that impacts individuals differently. We saw a horrendous response to the last 2 years. I would not trust any mass organization to make health decisions for myself.
Member of public	Individuals, nations and local areas should have full autonomy in their decision-making and be free to opt out of any aspect of this proposed treaty at any time. Processes should be open and transparent. Influence by pharmaceutical companies or other global health bodies must be removed from decision-making processes. Bodily autonomy and fully informed consent must be paramount. Individuals must be free to look after their own health, with whatever medicines or natural remedies they wish to use. Medical interventions should never be imposed. Individuals should also be able to decide for themselves whether a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and whether or not to adhere to suggested measures such as lockdowns, which should only ever be suggested and must be subject to full cost benefit analysis by independent bodies. There must be no censorship of opinions which vary from the official narrative.
None	Individuals, that is, men, women, parents for their children should be able to make their own health care, medical care and Wellness care choices and decisions under the care of their primary care physicians and primary care providers. The individualized treatment decisions made by patients and primary care provider for the patients care is confidential (HIPPA),sacred and should be respected and upheld. Everyone has unique and individualized healthcare needs not recognized by this treaty you propose to pass. Plus regarding this 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. People, citizens, humankind do NOT WANT measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Individuals' civil liberties and freedoms should not be infringed. No mandatory ID or medication should be required because if a crisis is deemed good reason to justify removal of freedom then oppressive government will seek to declare a crisis.
Privat	Individuelle Entscheidungen und nicht internationale Entscheidungen. Jedes Land für sich selber so wie es gerade von Nöten ist. Das Volk mit einbeziehen. Mehrere UNABHÄNGIGE Experten zu Rate ziehen. (Zahlen/Fakten) UNABHÄNGIGE Entscheidungen! Keine pauschal Entscheidungen. Biologische Waffen/Experimente verbieten damit so was gar nicht erst passiert. Menschenrechte stets bewahren !!! Keinen Zwang!!! Öffentlich für jedermann. Konnte die terms of participation nicht richtig lesen da es nicht in unterschiedlichen Sprachen angeboten wurde was sehr schade ist. Meine Daten dürfen daher nur für die Verarbeitung dieser Befragung verwendet werden!!!
	Indivudual countries should determine what is best for their own citizens.
Personal	Inform. Consent.
Global ABM Ltd.	Information (with qualifiers to ascertain veracity) should be shared among all nations and be publicly available to all individuals and organisations (private ro public sector) without delay, obfuscation, redaction, transformation or aggregation. In this way the widest pool of expertise across multiple disciplines can be brought to bear to propose solutions to manage and mitigate. There should be no universal global plan to deal with a pandemic. This should be for all individual states to determine and implement separately as each country will likely have different characteristics such that a one-size-fits-all policy is severely sub-optimal.
None	Information should be the primary instrument used to educate communities on prevention and risks. Each individual should retain the liberty to determine for themselves what is the best course of action. Examples of government enforcement of lockdowns and vaccinations as seen in Australia are abhorrent and violate basic human liberties and insult our intellect and ability to think for ourselves. #StopTheTreaty
N/a	Informed consent and respect for conscience is observed. Individuals maintain the right to abstain from from suggested treatment without the loss of civic freedoms. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	Informed consent is the most important thing. No one should be force to take any medical treatment or be forced to do anything that they feel is not right for them. The WHO should only give guidelines,but every country and community must decide the best course of action for themselves. A one size fits all does not work,especially when it comes to our health because each person is unique. Also the risks versus benefits of all actions must be carefully examined before implementation.
VCC	Informed consent MUST be a mandatory element of this proposed treaty. Where this is risk, there MUST be choice. Retractions of data and analysis must be forbidden when information is requested, especially considering FOIA. There is no transparency when retractions are allowed and that cannot be tolerated. Allergies and intolerances are very real considerations when consuming anything, especially via injection and therefore a one-size-fits all approach to individual health must never been accepted. Informed consent and choice must exist where there is risk. The option to Opt-Out of any experimental medical procedure MUST be a clear and readily available element or it is a violation of the Nuremberg Code. Natural immunity MUST be accepted and recognized as an element of any proposed treaty. No global authority shall ever surpass the God given authority gifted to each sovereign man and woman. No global authority shall ever surpass the authority granted to every man and woman under the US Constitution.
General public	Informed consent should be enforced at all times. Transparency is mandatory. All trial data and evidences should be made public as soon as they are available. All policy makers (experts, medical doctors and scientist, and public health officials) should disclose their funding and ties to pharmaceutical companies. WHO can only make recommendations but should never have the authority to enforce policies in any country. WHO must backup their recommendations with extensive evidences and reports. WHO should allow debate among doctors and scientists. WHO should hold public debate sessions to get input and feedback from worldwide experts. Every single country, foundation, NGO and individual can only contribute to a maximum limit of funding to WHO. No single foundation can dictate the WHO polices. WHO should allow public audit and investigation of its policy making process. The leadership in WHO should be held accountable for their decisions.
a	Informed consent The right to refuse treatment the human body is the property of the individual, not the state. use only proven treatments that have given positive results in the field No experimental vaccines
Individual	Ingen nation skal afgive suverænitet til WHO i forhold til hvilke forholdsregler der skal tages i tilfælde af pandemi. Alle mennesker har ret til frit at vælge hvilken medicinsk behandling de modtager. Individets ret til selv at bestemme over sin krop er fuldstændig.
svensk medborgare	Ingen ska tvingas injiceras med vaccin eller genterapi mot sin vilja, eller diskrimineras för sitt beslut. I ett demokratiskt samhälle är det folket som bestämmer, ej en organisation som WHO sponsrat av företag med ekonomiska intressen i förd politik. Vi vill ha demokrati!
privat	Inhaltliche Elemente: Alle Ärzte sind verpflichtet, auf einen ausreichenden Immunstatus, sowie volle Speicher der Vitamine: A, B, C, D 3, Omega 3 u.6, Selen und Zink hinzuweisen und darüber aufzuklären! Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen. Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten sowie Pharmaunternehmen. Mit Gottes Hilfe wird das gelingen. Hochachtungsvoll Stelzer
Self	Innovation and freedom will be non existent under this plan. We need everyone to be free to pursue the truth during a pandemic- something that has been absent for too long. There is never a one size fits all solution to any problem! Everything should be recommended not enforceable. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Geen	Inperking van mijn democratie vrijheid in Nederland en Europa Geen organisatie ter wereld ook de Who niet kan mijn niet iets op leggen in het kader van een pandemie die mogelijkerwijs niet bestaat.
private	Insbesondere sollten in dem Pandemievertrag vermerkt und festgeschrieben sein: „Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." Mit freundlichen Grüßen: Kuhnert
Private individual	Instituting a world health passport invalidates all nations' sovereignty. I am wholeheartedly against it! Stop with this nonsense and let the nations do what they please. Karen J
Osoba prywatna	Instrument gotowości na wypadek pandemii powinien mieć charakter doradczy i zawierać zalecenia, a nie nakazy. Stosowanie się do zaleceń powinno być dobrowolne. Każdy kraj powinien mieć możliwość modyfikacji lub odmowy wdrożenia zaleceń bez żadnych konsekwencji. W żadnym wypadku zalecenia WHO nie powinny być nadrzędne wobec ustawodawstwa państw sygnatariuszy, niezależnie od okoliczności pandemii. Zwracam się z prośbą, aby ostateczna decyzja o wyborze leczenia lub podjętej profilaktyki, bez żadnych konsekwencji, należała do pacjenta. Domagam się, aby wolność słowa wszystkich lekarzy i naukowców była uszanowana i brana pod uwagę przy formułowaniu zaleceń WHO. Wzywam WHO, aby nie zalecała stosowania leków i szczepionek, które są w trakcie badań klinicznych (eksperymenty medyczne zgodnie z definicją Kodeksu Norymberskiego z 1947 r.). Jeśli takie zalecenie wystąpi, społeczność światowa musi zostać poinformowana za pośrednictwem oficjalnych mediów państwowych o nieukończeniu badań klinicznych i wszelkich możliwych skutkach ubocznych, a niezależni naukowcy powinni mieć możliwość zbadania składu tych preparatów. Proszę, aby wszystkie umowy i aneksy z firmami farmaceutycznymi i realizatorami WHO były jawne i publicznie dostępne (publikacja na stronie internetowej WHO). Domagam się, aby spotkania WHO były upubliczniane w czasie rzeczywistym, z dostępem do nagrań. Proszę, aby spektrum działań WHO obejmowało budowanie naturalnej odporności i profilaktykę". Obawiam się, że odgórne narzucanie krajom działania w przypadku pandemii może prowadzić do nadużyć wobec podstawowych praw każdego człowieka.
Ireland	Integrity, no conflicting interests should influence any aspect (for example pharmaceutical industry). Local autonomy, all countries should retain local control through elected representatives acting in best interests of citizens. Withdrawal in part or in full at any time should be without consequence.
Natural person, citizen of Germany	Intensive and full Monitoring and recording of vaccine damage, sovereignty of memberstates, freedom of expression and public exchange on vaccination and action, family protection
Priv	Interdire les camps de quelque nature que ce soit Permettre à chacun de se soigner comme ils le souhaitent Laissez la liberté aux médecins de prescrire et Ne jamais s'immiscer dans la relation médecin patient Ne jamais jamais toucher aux enfants sans l'accord de leurs parents Ne jamais laisser personne se substituer à l'autorité parentale Ne jamais souiller la liberté de chacun de disposer de son corps que ce soit par des produits internes ou des protections externes avilissantes Liberté est le premier des droits
Individual	Interesado
privat	Interessenskonflikte sollten vermieden werden: Deswegen müsste geregelt sein, dass die WHO nicht die Expertise derjenigen Wissenschaftler einholt, die z.b. durch Verbindungen zu den entsprechenden Industriezweigen an eventuellen Pandemiemaßnahmen Geld verdienen. Den einzelnen Ländern sollten außerdem Gestaltungsspielräume im Umgang mit einer Pandemie eingeräumt werden. Insbesondere dann, wenn es in der Bevölkerung entsprechende Mehrheiten gibt, muss eine Regierung auch von den Vorgaben der WHO abweichen oder gegebenenfalls aus der Vereinbarung aussteigen können.
None	International bodies must only ever be able to offer guidance based prudent, moderate recommendations. At all times bodily autonomy and human rights must be respected. The WHO and other international can never be permitted to introduce laws, mandates, rules or guidance interpreted as rules. They must also not participate in censorship, conflicts of interest and other forms of potentially corrupt behaviour.
-	International contracts on pandemic response must not override national law nor must they be determined by private or corporate interests, including by intermediary influence.
LMK	International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is something that I strongly disagree with and do not consent to anything that WHO is pushing forward as only we, the individuals can have a say over our live styles, our bodies, medical treatments we chose to take or not to take, and every country in the world can decide what is best for them and how to respond to events, not the dictatorship from WHO. stop this useless consultation, public is not supporting this
None	International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response ist only a recommendation for all WHO-member countries and is not causing an obligation. I wanna live in a multipolar world.
Global Network of people living with HIV (GNP+)	International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should set standards that reduces inequality in and within countries. The Global Network of people living with HIV, (GNP+) 2020 survey highlighted the impact of COVID19 pandemic on the community of people living with HIV and based on the findings of this data strongly recommend the INB to consider the following evidence-based statements: The continuity of HIV prevention and treatment services must remain a priority during the response to new pandemic. In COVID19 outbreak, some of the response strategies created barriers to accessing HIV treatment, holding back HIV treatment initiation in most countries (The Global Fund, 2021). The response to new pandemic must not come at the cost of the lives of those affected by an existing pandemic like AIDS. Address Stigma and discrimination of vulnerable population; end inequalities. The Pandemic Treaty must place particular emphasis on the protection and respect of the human rights of marginalized people. COVID19 increased Gender based violence and stigma and discrimination against people living and affected by HIV. The meaningful engagement of community in the PPR need to be a principle not a simple step in the process.
None	International instruments must preserve the authority of local governments. The primary objective should be to assist cooperation and data collection. All efforts must be transparent to the general public. All influences of people or entities with a vested interest must be avoided. For example, pharmaceutical companies must not be involved in recommending drugs. All recommendations must provide evidence as well as contraindications. One size all fits noone.
Dianah Rodrigues	International instruments must respect the freedom and rights of individuals. Covid 19 has demonstrated that co-ordinated global responses have largely ignored the ethical basis of medical interventions such as Covid vaccinations. For example, the government in Australia has produced a simple, one size fits all strategy that included vaccines, and vaccines only. Their influence on the media was obvious. Media and scientific censorship have been and still is rife. There were no open debates about the necessity of lockdowns which have caused economic, social and emotional hardship. The mandates have affected me personally as I have had to take two loved ones to the hospital emergency department for cardiac issues from vaccines. My loved ones were not informed about the potential harm from the vaccines. Experimental mRNA vaccines were obviously not safe, and where there is a risk, people must be given and trusted with honest information, such as the lack of long- and short-term safety data. Therefore, international instruments must: 1. Follow the codes of informed consent. 2. Allow open debate by scientists, medical, public health and other experts across all media platforms. 3. Inform the public openly and honestly about safety, public health data, and medical interventions. 4. Trust and respect the choice of individuals.
NU-sjukvården	International instruments should be helpful in quickly diagnosing and treating affected people within different national states, without interfering in the internal affairs of each state. WHO must issue recommendations to the authorities of different states, not constraints, otherwise it only gives the impression of a world dictatorship. Health is a right, not an obligation.
galamira	International organisations should further on give advises to countries Worldwide. Laws refering to pandemic situations must be made according to the problem in each country in the different countries and not by a world wide operating Organisation.
Victory Canada	International organizations like the world health organization that operate without oversight funded by computer tech geeks like Bill Gates should be disbanded. The who has no international authority to be organizing treaties on behalf of global citizens who although are invited to offer their opinion have no real input into these decisions that are created and made by billionaires for their own agenda. That agenda has nothing to do with global health as we have witnessed over the last two years of global lockdown, control and bankruptcy to feed the world economic forums great reset. Any treaty signed on behalf of these organizations is illegal, null and void. No sovereign Nation or individual is bound to follow any of the edicts of American controlled institutions that exist for the benefit of the super wealthy and powerful class without any respect to the average citizen. Nothing can withstand the will of the people and the people will rise up and conquer this Empire of secular materialism on behalf of eternity, divinity and the love of all mankind by our creator.
self	International pandemic agreements should never preempt an individuals right to decide for themselves whether or not they should have to adhere to any medical treatment. We are not the same biologically. I person knows themselves and their body better then anyone else.
none	International pandemic preparedness should be restricted to sharing medical expertise and equipment. Any instrument guiding such cooperation should be built on principles of transparency, accountability and RBA. It and subsequent implementation should not include implicit or explicit support for mandates, digital identification or other draconian measures. It should explicitly acknowledge lessons learnt from the management of the C19 pandemic, particularly concerning harm to the public from lockdowns and other draconian measures and commit to avoiding same in any future pandemic management. The instrument should commit to future responses being built on widely peer-reviewed data and not influenced by political lobbying or psychological operations to manipulate the response of the general public. Any national or transnational implementation plans concerning the instrument should have adequate, transparent review mechanisms and draw on expertise in the social and economic sectors, not just virology or other narrow scientific disciplines. Interests of any state or donor should be fully disclosed at every point in the drafting and publication of said instrument.
American Citizen	International pandemic response MUST PRESERVE the sovereignty of all involved nations as a safeguard to protect basic human rights in the self-care of our bodies; pandemic impact may affect nations differently, as also their differing resources/needs should thereby guide each nation's response based on the actual needs of the peoples in that nation. When it comes to medical decisions, one size never fits all.
No	International pandemic treaty shall be stopped and buried for good, it has nothing to do with the health of the people. Every country should take care of their own policies, WHO shall be left out from ruling or coverning any issues.
International Pediatric Association (IPA)	International Pediatric Association (IPA) thank the WHO Intergovernmental Negotiating Body for taking into consideration the interventions of Non-State Actors (NSAs) to draft and negotiate a WHO convention, agreement, and international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. As the leading voice of children's health globally, IPA urges WHO to prioritize the health of "Every Child, Every Age, Everywhere" when identifying the substantive elements of the new international instrument ensuring "Equity" for all. Children must be on top priority in this new instrument. To ensure their safety and overcome their health issues during outbreaks and other infectious diseases events, the new instrument should include substantive elements on: availability of equitable access to global, real-time, multi-sectoral data, surveillance, and analytics innovation on this age group regardless of where they live and involve all parties, including NSAs, to WHO and intergovernmental research under "Leadership and Governance" and "System and Tools". As an umbrella of pediatric societies across the globe, IPA is ready to work with WHO to ensure that every child's health is attainable equally in the prevention, preparedness, and response.
Port Townsend Free Press	International policies should include: 1. No suspension of inalienable human rights because of declared "emergencies", which people must be able to vote to end. 2. Sacrosanct respect for bodily autonomy, including the right to try or refuse risky treatments. 3. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, or access public spaces. 4. Prohibition against lockdowns and quarantines of healthy people. 5. Complete transparency, releasing depersonalized data from all clinical studies in real time. 6. No restriction of the inalienable rights to religion, free speech, and assembly. 7. All health care manufacturers, distributors and administrators must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 8. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any part and make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 9. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 10. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual citizen	International preparedness for pandemics should include a guarantee of sovereignty for individual nations rather than any excuse for weakening or removing sovereign power.
Individual citizen	International preparedness for pandemics should include a guarantee of sovereignty rather than any excuse for removing or weakening sovereign power.
none	International treaties are completely worthless. The agenda of the the corrupt leadership of every country reigns supreme. Local authorities with the most accountability to the people they serve have the best chance of taking beneficial actions in any challenging situation. The WHO has a proven record of failure.
Individual	International treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been
me myself and I	International treaties like this serve very little purpose and I propose NO treaty at all. Pandemics are not an issue. While they exist they do not pose a threat to humans. What does pose a threat are labs that create them. Let's stop creating viruses. That is my proposal. These types of things only serve monied interests. If the WHO is in charge of a 'pandemic' and the definition of a 'pandemic' can change, why would any sovereign nation let an NGO dictate anything? One world government isn't a solution to the worlds problems, it's a solution to more control.
I am not with an organization	International treaties that remove the sovereignty and power from the United States are dangerous to the health and well-being of all Americans. With a WHO treaty, decision-making would be out of the hands of US and state officials. Health related questions need to be dealt with on a local/regional level to address existing conditions within the region. There is no "one size fits all" approach to health crises. This applies to all countries. ******
Self	International/Global support must be given to local control for local support. No one-size-fits-all approach ever works, for anyone (just try those hats)! Health decisions must be in local hands. Folks on the ground in any location have a better understanding of overall needs and ways to actually be affective. Keep decisions local!
None	Into a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be included: 1. The right to freely decide which pharmacological substances to put into one's own body. 2. No forced vaccinations with experimental substances. 3. Compliance of democracy, human rights and the rule of law. 4. Compliance of the principles of free market economy and the warranty of competition between pharmaceutical industries. 5. Full liability of the pharmaceutical industries for all side effects of the vaccines. 6. No tests on humans and no experiments on humans under the pretext of health protection. 7. (Re)gaining trust through transparency and constant review and improvement of vaccines and measures by a freely acting, unbiased and independent science. Thank you. Best regards Dr. phil. Christian Probst
Altimate Envirocare Asia Pvt. Ltd.	INVIROSHIELD: Continuous Active Disinfection (CAD) Recently, there has been increased interest in obtaining residual efficacy claims (i.e. claims that a product provides an ongoing antimicrobial effect beyond the initial time of application, ranging from days to weeks to months). Traditional liquid based antimicrobial treat the surface at the time of application but do not provide efficacy beyond the time of application. Engineered Nano Titanium Dioxide solution, INVIROSHIELD application is one such solution that is Continuous Active Disinfection (CAD). It is a broad-spectrum antimicrobial coating having 6 Log reduction efficacies against Mycobacterium tuberculosis establishing the category of "High Level Disinfectant" and also for bacterial spores (Bacillus subtilis spores) establishing the category of "sterilant". For current pandemic of COVID-19, it has been tested against real sample SARS-CoV-2 virus. With HAI being widely reported as well as the emergence & menace of COVID-19 still prevailing, it is high time that all critical area of hospitals and healthcare settings should adopt for Continuous Active Disinfectant INVIROSHIELD which has shown spectacular results in healthcare settings in real hospital conditions from last 8 years. INVIROSHIELD has on record to reduce infections in hospitals. INVIROSHIELD is evaluated and certified as "High level disinfectant surface coating with activity in real time lasting upto 4 years and 8 months" by Department of Science & Technology, Government of India. This has also been certified as "Novel application for COVID-19" by ICMR, New Delhi INVIROSHIELD- CAD is major breakthrough in environmental infection control and is real time tested in hospital conditions.
No	Involve people with votes before anything is decided Every country determines yourself in consultation with the people Vaccation is optional and free Learn from mistakes and have an open ear for other views special Medics with other Pointe of view Pharma must not make Profits from pandemics
private	Irequest that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orde The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
health choice vermont	Iron clad respect for the ethical principles clearly spelled out in the 1947 Nuremberg Code. True informed consent to any medical intervention, is a fundamental right which must be upheld.
Public	Irrefutable proof of a pandemic in this first instance. Provision for corroboration by specialists with absolutely no connections, either directly or indirectly, to WHO. Provision for each Country to put any WHO Pandemic Treaty recommendations/orders before said Country's electorate to vote on whether to implement said treaty.
Private	Irrespective if there is a globale pandemic or not, it is against our human rights as private citizens as well as that of our elected governments to be overruled by anyone. We have a say!
IRU	IRU, the world road transport organisation representing over 3.5 million companies operating mobility and logistics services globally. Globally, there are around 65 million commercial vehicles and nearly 6% of all employed people worldwide work in commercial road transport. These people serve communities and industries every day. At the same time, our transport companies and our drivers are confronted with damaging decisions imposed unilaterally by governments, putting drivers and supply chains at risk. The road transport industry is taking every hygienic precaution against COVID-19, and transport operators are implementing strict measures to protect their customers and drivers. However, with a misguided testing regime and missing vaccination regime, our drivers cannot be protected. Many drivers are often unnecessarily caught up in long queues at makeshift roadside test centres, putting them at a much higher risk of contracting COVID-19 than in their usual protected working environment in their vehicle. To address this the following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness: - Introduce a digital, global and mutually recognised vaccination certificate for commercial vehicle drivers. - This certificate should cover all certified vaccines and be widely deployed. - Commercial road transport needs to be designated as an essential service, and professional drivers as essential workers. - Exempting professional coach and truck drivers from COVID-19 testing at borders if certified tests have been carried out prior to arrival at the border. - Including and upgrading professional drivers in the priority lists of voluntary national vaccination programmes.

Organization	Written submission
None	Is there a need for a new pandemic response? I think the last two years has made it abundantly clear in two areas at the very least. Firstly lockdowns are not the answer. The stress and isolation are more harmful to humans and immunity is damaged. Secondly, any 'vaccination' should be used with caution and only after extensive testing over years and not months. The current experimental injection is dangerous and ineffective, needing boosters apparently every six months. Not a vaccination then. Causing life changing injuries and even death, even in young healthy people. There were no warnings given, no information on the side effects and many people suffered under the mandates. Where are the research papers for the 'vaccines'? Where have the conflicting scientific opinions been published for public view? None of this has happened. If there is a need or want for a new international pandemic prevention instrument, at the very least it should include the right of every human being to have freedom of choice. To allow the individual to decide whether to take part in any plan. To allow them to make their own decision on what goes into their body. No mandated experimental injections. This must not threaten people's sovereignty and inalienable rights.
Mia Mia Housing Collective	Issues of health, climate change, food sustainability, and others need to be addressed at grass roots level. Any action attempted from the top down never works. Local knowledge is vital, involvement and empowerment of local people is necessary.
Stand for Health Freedom	It appears the treaty would remove health decisions from individuals in the USA and any other countries who are part of the treaty. It also appears that the USA and other participating countries would be subject to WHO decisions on any pathogen breakout/pandemics etc. These important powers of governing should never be subject to an outside source/treaty. Even more important, an individuals health decisions concerning their own body belong to the individual and should be made between the individual and their own doctor without interference of any global institution or treaty. I believe strongly that the health rights of individuals should be clearly and strongly stated and represented in any treaty the USA enters and this should be clearly and publicly acknowledged.
ACAOM	It appears there is a lot of "control and manipulation going on. Here is where I stand: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	It concerns me greatly that Australians may be further governed by entities outside Australia, which I do not feel appropriate. Therefore: WHO must make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest . Thank you.
Silvius Media	It disturbs me that you are not democratically polling individuals & countries on whether they actually want this. The fact that it's already a given speaks volumes to me. A one size fits all approach is, as we have already seen, open to abuses of democracy and citizens' rights, as well as endangering the necessary fluidity of and debate involved in the science and research that must happen in any global health emergency. Mandates, coercion, digital discriminatory IDs and ever-changing data have already led to massive distrust in governments and the WHO. Mistakes have already been made and corruption has been, and is, inevitable. Pushing forward with this just confirms to me and others that this is not solely about public health, but also about power and control in that hands of a minority, and which supersedes elected governments' remits. It leaves little room for nuance or debate, accountability, or any sense of the equality that you would expect from a "global" response.
	It doesn't matter who I am or where I live , I don't trust you or your organisation . Big pharm has you by the short and curlies . These covid jabs are so dangerous and yet you do nothing about it . .. why not !!!!!
Vaccine Choice Canada	It has become clear over the last two years that the WHO has not acted in the best interest of the world population, but rather has colluded with a number of financial interests to create the conditions that have led to a number of bogus "pandemics" being declared. The fact that so many basic operational definitions have been tampered with is undeniable evidence (Take only the definitions of "vaccine" and "pandemic" as examples) that the WHO is engaged in an exercise that is driven by greed and most likely also by truly sociopathic goals, such as the ones promulgated by individuals like Bill Gates, who finances the WHO to a large extent. As such, the WHO is therefore no longer an organization that acts to the benefit of humanity at large, and therefore any attempt by the WHO to implement policies that increase its control over governments and their populations should be resisted. If anything, the only current question that needs to be addressed is how can we dismantle and do away with the WHO as quickly as possible and how do we replace it with a truly benevolent organization whose goal is only to protect the health of the world population.
Smart People Practices LLC	It has been well demonstrated globally during covid pandemic that here are NO One Size Fits All solutions ever. Maintaining Democratic Sovereignty of countries and communities is essential. Those closest to the community are best able to assess needs. Therefore: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthy Choices LLC	It has been well demonstrated globally during covid pandemic that there are NO One Size Fits All solutions ever. Maintaining democratic sovereignty of countries and communities is essential. Those closest to the community are best able to assess needs. Therefore: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please do consider responding. Thank you, Steve Krzyzewski
NA	It has come to all of our attention that the last two+ years have been poorly managed and mishandled by not just our governments but also the organizations such as the CDC and the NIH that were ill-prepared to respond from the start. However, our local communities were able to mobilize to take action and make the decisions as a community at a grass roots level. Decisions should be made at a local community level, not an over-arching power reach from the WHO. Families were decimated because of the approach that was taken to respond to what was essentially the flu. If the WHO wants to truly help in future outbreaks, they should be promoting actual nutrition and subsidizing vegetables and fruit not laced with GMOs and pesticides. Our bodies know how to handle viruses but they need to be primed to do the job God intended them to do. WHO, you need to stay in your lane and understand that a big iron fist is not what the world needs. The world needs to make decisions inside of their communities that best suit that community.
n/a	It has come to my attention that the World Health Organization is proposing a Pandemic Preparedness Agreement. These substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Control Group	It has now been irrefutably proven that over 90% of all health conditions and consequent deaths are CAUSED by vaccine exposure. Evidence is at: https://www.thecontrolgroup.org/ These are CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY and they WILL be prosecuted. Repent. Joy Garner, founder of The Control Group
Psychotherapeutic Office	It has to be sure that no commercial interests are influencing decisions. The sovereignty of the member states and their laws and constitutionsmust be valid also in health issues. Human rights and the sovereignty over our bodies have to be guaranteed and product liability is necessary.
Zalewa Tec GbR	It has to include guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Self	It is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans when WHO treaties that remove power from the US. We live in this democratic God fearing country by choice. We choose to live here because we have a voice and civil liberties not found in other countries thus to be linked to them is detrimental.
Independant	It is a bad decision to make one size fits all solutions in a complex ever changing world. The WHO does not represent the interests of the individual. The WHO will take away individual rights and choice. Peoples and countries should always have individual health choice. If you make a decision and impose it on the whole world, and it then turns out to be a bad decision, you will harm not just one nation, but all nations involved.
deepmagenta	It is a bad idea to globally organize covid treatmant. So I am against. Every country and every citizin has the right for own insights and opinions, and actions There a many treatmants possible, so let's do that, just not wed on one horse, very risky if it was the wrong treatment. Ans also a violation on human rights and the constitution.
private citizen	It is a dangerous step when health care is taken away from individuals. Each person has different needs and different circumstances and forced medication whatever it is, is a very dangerous thing. It does not matter what the odds are, if you are one of those people who are affected, it is one too many and could end a life. People of the United States and elsewhere in the world should be able to manage their own health care... To determine that someone should be injected with something and their health issues are not even considered is like telling someone who is allergic to eggs to have an egg salad sandwich and not care what happens. No one should have control over their health decisions except the individual themselves or their healthcare power of attorney. Please consider that this would put more people at risk than the pandemic has. Thank you Simone McLoughlin
Private citizen	It is a fundamental right of every citizen in every independent democracy in the world to be able to make its own decisions on health related matters when it comes to its own people. It is totally inappropriate and unjustifiable to apply the same measures and policies on an international scale, when different needs, different health systems, different genetic make-ups and different ways of approaching good health, may not be the same in different countries. Each and every independent nation must have the right and ability to select which elements of the agreement are appropriate for them and not be penalised for doing so. There should be opt-out clauses in situations where a blanket rule does not fit all. There must be an open debate with full transparency and consultation at all levels when it comes to proposed measures and the ability of every citizen to have their democratic right to vote in these. Any suggested measures must not in any way be influenced or proposed by any profit driven corporate bodies including pharmaceutical companies and those organisations likely to benefit financially from the proposed policies.
Self	It is a great travesty that a supra-national body like the United Nations has decided such a treaty is necessary. This is a presumptive decision and a gross dereliction for the respect of national sovereignty. Further, the adoption of this treaty would conflict with the national sovereignty by making UN decisions override national decisions. This treaty should NOT move forward!
None	It is a human right to pick what to put in your body just like if your straight or gay no one telling me or my family what we are told to do by people who think they own us
Health Care - Private	It is a logical and morally sound requirement that each nation, country and state hold and maintain their own decision-making powers for future pandemic events. Those making such decisions must be those who have been elected to do so on a local, regional and national level, not the unelected supranational. If there is any overarching agreement at a supranational level, all national, regional and local authorities must have the ability to freely opt out of any policy without any negative consequence. The process must be thoroughly democratic, open and transparent, in which all peoples can have a vote on the extent to which the WHO can lead future responses. Appropriate fail safes must be put in place to protect majorities and minorities alike. This process must be 100% free from any influence or intervention from government, corporate (including pharmaceutical), NGO and other organisations which may stand to profit from policies put in place.
Self and family	It is a ridiculous idea that will create oppression and limit the human rights as well as rights of each state and country to make decisions for their own people. Myself, family and friends are against it and we vote NO.
privat person	It is a shame what has become of the WHO. The organisation belongs to Bill Gates and is not for health care but for the pharma centical industry. No global Pandemic contract!!!!
Concerned citizen	It is a violation of my personal liberties to take my medical freedom away and allow corrupt world health organizations (run by individuals who are bought by Big Pharma) to make decisions regarding my health and way of life.
WWF	It is a well known fact that when the mechanism for addressing a situation that threatens a community, the closer to the community the mechanism lies the better the results of the solutions proposed to address such threat. In light of this fact the necessity for this "treaty is that such "treaty" is unnecessary and an imposition on sovereign peoples. In the past, recent as well, the results of global organizations proposing and implementing solutions has been disastrous both in the short term as well as the long term. Therefore, I do not support such a "treaty" as it robs sovereign peoples of their God given rights of self protection and self determination.
Self	It is a well known fact that when the mechanism for addressing a situation that threatens a community, the closer to the community the mechanism lies the better the results of the solutions proposed to address such threat. In light of this fact the necessity for this "treaty" is that such "treaty" is unnecessary and an imposition on sovereign peoples. In the past, recent as well, the results of global organizations proposing and implementing solutions has been disastrous both in the short term as well as the long term. Therefore, I do not support such a "treaty" as it robs sovereign peoples of their God given rights of self protection and self determination.
private citizen	It is absolutely absurd to hand this authority over to an organization well understood to be corrupt.
Independent	It is absolutely essential that each nation retains it's sovereignty to make public health decisions. The best way to manage a pandemic is to have collaboration amongst independent voices to determine what works best. Each nation is different and one approach fits all is not appropriate and is bound to fail. Each nation needs to make its own public health decisions based on the needs and requirements of their population.
Public	It is absolutely essential that this agreement allows individual sovereign countries to dictate which regulations become legal and binding within their territory. Without approval from the parliament or similar, anything that the WHO wishes to implement is simply 'guidance' with no legal repercussions for disobedience.
Jaz King Wildes	It is absolutely imperative that this instrument include definite measures to protect and promote each individuals rights and freedoms, upholding individual sovereignty. It is also absolutely crucial that this instrument include a significant component to address and promote natural immunity, to cultivate and maintainstrong healthy, robust and resilient immune systems through lifestyle, nutrition and wellness practices to be well prepared to respond and adapt throughout any changing pandemic situation.
None	It is absolutely inappropriate to have one Global entity dictating healthcare, resources, planning to any, let alone all, sovereign nations of the World. The United States will take care of its own. The poor handling of the Covid 19 response and information coming from the WHO, being an abject failure, does not qualify this group to be in charge of a large-scale response. NOT NEEDED and NOT WANTED.
Private citizen of New Zealand	It is absolutely pointless discussing what substantive elements should be included in an international instrument on pandemic preparedness without first considering whether such an instrument is 1. legal; 2. necessary; and 3. advisable. Sovereign democracies are, and must remain, free to administer their own affairs in accordance with wishes of their elected citizens as applied by their elected representatives. Any attempt to regulate these rights are illegal under international law, unnecessary and inadvisable. Therefore I reject the entire concept of a 'treaty' or similar agreement that would allow an organisation such as WHO with an overarching authority legislate or direct any health or other so-called pandemic or emergency which would in any way affect events occurring within any sovereign democracy, and in this case, within New Zealand. It is therefore neither possible nor necessary to comment on the guiding question as it is irrelevant due to the impossibility of WHO to contract to undertake any actions they may propose under any emergency or pandemic situations in the sovereign nation of New Zealand.
None	It is absolutely unacceptable to deny any country or state their own unique way of acting in case of disease or emergency. Every place in the world has own traditions and medicines and nobody really knows what works best. Plus, every place and every individual and community is unique and there can't be a cookie cutter approach. Even men and women's health is different, child and adult, old and young, industrial cultures vs native. It is criminal to put everyone into one policy and one program and one medicine. Who decides? Who benefits? It is the most totalitarian approach I have ever heard about.
Mother	It is absolutely vital that each country retains autonomy on matters of health, not least because each country's populations differ in their underlying health and healthcare priorities, resources and ethnic characteristics. Nobody should advocate treating a patient using a protocol without assessing it's suitability for that individual and likewise this applies at a population level as well. Every country should be free to opt out of all or any of the WHO recommendations which might be created pursuant to this instrument, without sanction or other consequences. To respect the democratic process, each citizen of each nation should have a vote - following a period of open and transparent debate - before powers which affect people's daily lives are handed over by their governments to a supra- national body. The WHO is no longer independent of private corporations or other bodies which profit directly or indirectly from its recommendations, yet hold undue influence over the organisation through substantial and regular donations. This should cease, with all funding being sourced directly from tax-payers via citizens' governments, or at the least there should be full transparency so that every citizen can judge for themselves whether the sources of funding might be influencing policy recommendations.
Private citizen	It is absolutely vital that each country retains autonomy on matters of health, not least because each country's populations differ in their underlying health and healthcare priorities, resources and ethnic characteristics. Nobody should advocate treating a patient using a protocol without assessing its suitability for that individual and likewise this applies at a population level as well. Every country should be free to opt out of all or any of the WHO recommendations which might be created pursuant to this instrument, without sanction or other consequences. To respect the democratic process, each citizen of each nation should have a vote - following a period of open and transparent debate - before powers which affect people's daily lives are handed over by their governments to a supra-national body. The WHO is no longer independent of private corporations or other bodies which profit directly or indirectly from its recommendations, yet hold undue influence over the organization through substantial and regular donations. This should cease, with all funding being sourced directly from taxpayers via citizens' governments, or at the least there should be full transparency so that every citizen can judge for themselves whether the sources of funding might be influencing policy recommendations.
Member of the public	It is absolutely vital that each country retains autonomy on matters of health, not least because each country's populations differ in their underlying health and healthcare priorities, resources and ethnic characteristics. Nobody should advocate treating a patient using a protocol without assessing its suitability for that individual and likewise this applies at population level as well. Every country should be free to opt out of all or any of the WHO recommendations which might be created pursuant to this instrument, without sanction or other consequences. To respect the democratic process, each citizen of each nation should have a vote - following a period of open and transparent debate - before powers which affect peoples' daily lives are handed over by their governments to a supranational body. The WHO is no longer independent of private corporations or other bodies which profit directly or indirectly from its recommendations, yet hold undue influence over the organisation through substantial and regular contributions. This should cease, with all funding being sourced directly from tax payers via citizen's governments, or at least their should be full transparency so that every citizen can judge for themselves whether the sources of funding might be influencing policy recommendations.
No organisation	It is absolutely vital that each country retains autonomy on matters of health, not least because each country's populations differ in their underlying health and health care priorities, resources and ethnic characteristics. Nobody should advocate treating a patient using a protocol without assessing its suitability for that individual, and likewise this applies at a population level as well. Every country should be free to opt out of all or any of the WHO recommendations which might be created pursuant to this instrument, without sanction or other consequences. To respect the democratic process, each citizen of each nation should have a vote - following a period of open and transparent debate - before powers which affect people's daily lives are handed over by their governments to a supra-national body. The WHO is no longer independant of private corporations or other bodies which profit directly or indirectly for its recommendations, yet hold undue influence over the organisation through substantial and regular donations. This should cease, with all funding being sourced directly from taxpayers via citizens' governments, or at the least, there should be full transparency so that every citizen can judge for themselves whether the sources of funding might be influencing policy recommendations.
Self	It is absolutely vital that national & local leaderships retain full autonomy - they have to retain the absolute right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. There therefore MUST be a clear and easily-achieved way for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, as they see fit, without any consequence whatsoever. The process has to be open & transparent - all people of the world must be allowed to vote on it. There must be failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. There must be absolutely NO influence in the process by potential beneficiaries - this has to include all pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers.
None	It is abundantly clear that this is nothing more than attempt at creating a global medical dictatorship, which will be controlled by unelected and unaccountable 'officials'. A tiny group of nameless and faceless bureaucrats would have the power to shut down whole countries at the stroke of a pen! Rest assured that this will be resisted.
Die Raupe	IT is against all human rights to make a complet centralised law for Variation. What for do we have Our Demokratie in our countries. I dont want to be rouled by an organisation which is mainly financed by pharmaindustrie and other economic oriented Organisations.
Concerned Citizen	It is against the individual's human rights to have an organisation such as the WHO to be the sole determiner of Pandemic Response. The WHO is an unelected organisation that is supposed to be independent and objective, however when one examines the main funders of the WHO, it is easy to see that the WHO is a captured organisation by the Pharmaceutical Industry and especially those with an inordinate interest and profit in Vaccines. If this treaty is accepted then we are placing far too much power in the WHO to determine the appropriate Pandemic Response. It is not a one size fits all scenario, each country needs to be able to determine the most appropriate response for their situation and population. None of the WHO's recommendations during the Sars Cov2 pandemic have been proven to have saved lives. The PCR test that the WHO devised has been shown to be not fit for purpose and artificially inflated the number of cases throughout the world. The widespread wearing of masks and vaccinations did little to stop the spread of the virus. Many studies show that the use of repurposed anti viral drugs such as Ivermectin were far more successful at saving lives. Natural Immunity is also far more effective and long lasting than vaccine immunity. The WHO is a totalitarian organisation that does not have the welfare of the people as it's core mission. It only serves the interest of the organisations who fund it.
Boston First Responders United	It is against the sovereignty of each nation to implement an instrument that would supersede the individual decision making and crisis resolving methods of nations. The WHO has no authority morally or legally to create this treaty. Abridging the rights of citizens through such a treaty is dangerous and WILL be abused such as we have seen and continue to see with the current Covid 19 pandemic. Diversity ensures injustice doesn't occur and diversity is only achieved when individual nations are able to make decisions for themselves and their people. Otherwise we can see great, as we have historically, abuses of power occur, group think and group action, all done in the name of and for the sake of "safety". Life isn't safe. Stop this treaty NOW!
Sovereign not Global Citizen	It is an abomination to demand a sovereign nation acquiesce pandemic response freedom to a corrupt centralized global authority such as the UN. A treaty compelling compliance is a bridge too far. Individual nations must always retain authority and complete control via voluntary participation. One only has to look at history to see the evils of putting too much power into too few hands. As long as corruptible men reign, so will tyrants
none	It is an enabling law that transfers power to central, non-democratic, non-controllable and non-legitimized decision-makers to a completely excessive extent. This must be prevented. The treaty must be prevented
Landtag	it is an impertinence to make such an important decision without a public discourse
My Household	It is apparent that even the experts know not what they are doing when it comes to a pandemic. Each country in the world is sovereign and within each nation are providences, states, counties, etc. it should be up to each to determine what is best. There are countless examples to substantiate this opinion. The WHO should roved guidance, not governance.
Human	It is beyond constitutional and human rights to have such control over individual decisions regarding their own bodies. The power to control the world population cannot be in the hands of a small number of individuals, let alone unelected ones. You are overstepping, and as the world no longer trusts you, after the current handling of events, you are not on grounds to even attempt such a power grab. There cannot be the power over nations handed to the WHO.
Elements0303DesignCo	It is clear by your own admissions and countless studies conducted around the world that lockdowns, masks and pcr tests are all useless and do more harm than good, your changing definitions of Pandemic for the swine flu over a decade ago and the controversial overestimated deaths by Neil Ferguson computer models was quickly dispensed by Wolfgang Wodarg, we need much more transparency and accountability as you've proved you cannot be trusted, unelected, unaccountable and funded by severe conflicts of interest such as Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (G.A.V.I.) and pharmaceutical shareholders, who have exploited the people over the years. I am not adverse to a World Health Organisation that cares about the health of humanity, I just don't think that is what you are at the moment, you have been infiltrated by nefarious agendas and along with the CDC, NIH, FDA and Public Health England Trust has been lost through your push to harm people by denying early treatments and pushing a very dangerous experimental mRNA drug under the guise of a vaccine. The lockdowns and Jabs have killed and continue to kill people of all ages, much more than Covid. It's a vote of no confidence for you to override bodily autonomy, freedom of movement and informed medical consent through this draconian policy.
Private Person	It is clear that the proposed global pandemic agreement will give an unelected body undemocratic rights over sovereign people. This is wrong both in terms of democratic process as well as public health policy. It is important that national and local leadership retain full autonomy over public health policy in order to be able to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Centralisation of policy at this level will be both counterproductive and oppressive since it will force populations to adopt measures that are entirely unsuited to the local situation. Nations and regions need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of agreement, without consequence. Ordinary people need public health measures to reflect the needs of their own circumstances, not the desire of pharmaceutical companies for increased profits. I am against this global pandemic agreement.
PBoyz Ltd	It is clear that you have way too much involvement in international pandemic/emergency response. I believe each country should determine its own strategy to handle any future emergency situation, for the simple reason that each sovereign nation has many different characteristics inc culture, compliance etc, it would make it impossible to create a global strategy. Furthermore, people here in the UK are finished with government forcing restrictions on the population, and any further instructions would mean mass non compliance.
Human	It is completely repulsive and unacceptable that you believe you should be able to mandate what is put into my body. It is absolutely appalling to me that there is even a thought or consideration that you can rule out a global policy around pandemic response. I adamantly disapprove of this I know many many many others that feel the same. This is a complete disregard for freedoms and personal health. It is criminal the lack of data and silencing of other healthcare professionals. The world will not stand by idly and watch this.
DR MAY'S VETERINARY HOUSE CALLS	It is completely unacceptable to usurp our basic human rights under the guise of "public health" when in fact the so-called "vaccines" touted as a health-saving measure have actually killed and maimed over a million people in the United States alone. Meanwhile, hundreds of thousands of lives could have been saved had legitimate treatments for covid such as hydroxychloroquine, ivermectin, and vitamin therapies not been denied people who could have benefited from these treatments. Instead, they were suppressed and censored in order to push an experimental treatment falsely dubbed a "vaccine." This is truly shameful and will not go down without a fight!

Organization	Written submission
None	It is concerning that the WHO seek to override national governments; the WHO, unlike many national governments of the world, are unelected and therefore not accountable to the electorate. I make the following points in this regard: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people not at a global level. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit and any individual may also opt out, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to debate, with the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement to anyone who does not agree. Full publicity of the measures intended in national media, consequences envisaged and notification that people may withdraw their consent at any time without repercussion, Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is such an overreaching proposal that it must have full light of publicity and individuals must be able to make their own decisions as to their health without coercion or threat.
citizen	It is critical and imperative that our medical professions are given free reign to to investigate and use repurposed drugs that they feel are relevant to the pandemic at hand without any repercussions. Countless lives have been lost due to the prohibition of using repurposed drugs and we will not forget that. Unnecessary deaths. Each country should maintain their autonomy. Should they disagree with WHO's program, they should be free to do so. Should there be another pandemic, we need to listen to all voices. The Great Barrington Declaration was not listened to. If we had, this pandemic would be over. We must never use a pandemic for political reasons again, like we have with this one. It takes all confidence away from WHO and health authorities.
Private individual	It is critical that any treaty contain the following: 1) that every individual human on planet Earth have the sole, sovereign right to determine their own health advisors and treatments. 2) No governing body, elected or otherwise, has the right to dictate or set forth any treatment options or limitations with respect to any health choices for individual adults above the age of consent, nor for the minors they are parents/legal guardians to. 3) No body has the right to override parental health choices for their children under any circumstances.
Society for Informed and Independent Thought	It is critical that independent and sovereign nations have preserved their right to make the best decisions for their own citizens - we elect our own leaders and so insist on their autonomy from any global and unelected controlling bodies. There must be no consequences for any nation or region to opt out of the provisions of this instrument. As we have seen in the Covid-19 pandemic, it is imperative that Pharmaceutical companies do not have controlling influence on global or national health policies. It is also critical that there is not a globally enforced response that automatically eliminates the benefit of control groups from that response. If every country had been forced to do the same thing for the past two years we would have no point of reference or point of comparison. To put it simply, globally enforced policy is a disastrous concept and should be resisted at every level.
General public	It is critical that individuals should retain control of decisions around the use pharmaceutical products, without punishment, discrimination or stigma. National and local leadership should also retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and individuals should be able to opt out of agreement without negative consequences. Measures must be in place for an open and transparent process, and not allow for pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers to influence any guidelines whatsoever. People of the world must be able to participate in the process. There are no one-size-fits-all global health solutions and international sweeping policy should never be able to override the decisions and bodily autonomy of individuals, but rather provide information, guidance and suggestions.
individual	It is critical that national and local governments retain total autonomy over health decisions for their people. As such they must be enabled to retain the right to opt out of any parts of the agreement. We require a transparent process that will enable us to vote on all measures so taht if the people choose another way, that will be allowed to stay. It is imperative that big business pharmaceuticals will not be able to proffer influence over any of these processes. The WHO has already fallen foul of this concern among people. No adequate explanation of this has been heard. It is critical that families and individuals can rely on their specific government for pandemic planning, in concert with but not dictated to.
Individual	It is critical that pharmaceutical companies and others who profit from global health decisions are not influential in world health decisions because of their conflict of interest. There must be an open and transparent process where all people of the world can vote on whether they approve of the WHO's policies and all nations must have the option to opt out where the majority of the people don't want it without fear of consequences. The policies should be geared towards preventive health, emphasizing non-drug solutions and prevention of disease through healthy living, including solutions such as homeopathy, acupuncture, herbal medicines and providing people with healthy food and clean water. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
N/A independent	It is critical that responses to a pandemic or disaster of any kind be local and tailored to the needs of the particular population. It is also critical that the judgement of local physicians be the deciding factor in local treatments. The COVID19 disaster proved that centralized control of health response is counterproductive and slows the discovery of optimal approaches to the problem. Independent physicians were able to devise treatments which produced better outcomes than those recommended by most governments or the WHO. One of many examples of this can be found in "Overcoming the COVID-19 Darkness: How Two Doctors Successfully Treated 7000 Patients" by Brian Tyson MD and George Fareed MD. The business and school closures destroyed economies and produced far more damage than the virus could. This damage still reverberates throughout the world and will continue to do so for many years to come.
N/A	It is critical that responses to a pandemic or disaster of any kind be local and tailored to the needs of the particular population. It is also critical that the judgement of local physicians be the deciding factor in local treatments. The COVID19 disaster proved that centralized control of health response is counterproductive and slows the discovery of optimal approaches to the problem. Independent physicians were able to devise treatments which produced better outcomes than those recommended by most governments or the WHO. One of many examples of this can be found in "Overcoming the COVID-19 Darkness: How Two Doctors Successfully Treated 7000 Patients" by Brian Tyson MD and George Fareed MD. The business and school closures destroyed economies and produced far more damage than the virus could. This damage still reverberates throughout the world and will continue to do so for many years to come.
none	It is critically important to maintain the guiding principle of subsidiarity, in which important decisions and guidelines regarding important issues are made at the most local level as possible. An international governance of health issues and regulations cannot account for the diversity and bounty of ideas and solutions throughout the world. A small rural community and a large metropolitan city must each maintain the freedom to self-regulate. Loss of this freedom would be disastrous to the world's diversity of people, ingenuity, and free thought. Blanket solutions impede the flourishing of the dignity of the human person. Please include protection for the freedoms of individual citizens and communities to govern themselves and seek local solutions. Stop overreach of WHO. Thank you.
I am not with an organization. This is a personal response.	It is CRUCIAL that any "international instrument" for pandemic response be ADVISORIAL only, not legal or in any way an official requirement. Individual freedom of choice for any pandemic response is important. The "public good" should not be decided by a few people who most likely do not know what is best for the whole world anyway. The instrument in question must reserve to Physicians the respect of their knowledge and the power to care for their patients and to use their knowledge and training in the best way they know how. Doctors MUST not have their hands tied in any way by any governing agent which would prevent them from offering the very best care possible for their patient or which would limit their ability to offer choices within their expertise. The sanctity of the doctor-patient relationship must be honored. I do support a strongly aggressive education campaign advocating the consumption of organic whole food plant-based diets which strengthen natural immunity. I also support including in this education campaign the advantages of outdoor exercise, gardening, socializing with friends and loved ones which are all strengthening for natural immunity. "An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure"- now, who was it said that?
Private	It is crucial that every single person's human rights - especially freedom of medical choice - are kept intact, acknowledged and respected. And the following are adhered to: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical or tech companies,or other global health profiteers, including funding bodies. Thank you.
Students for COVID Ethics (studentsforcovidethics.org)	It is crucial that with such an agreement, national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all corporate interests, pharmaceutical companies, and/or other global health profiteers. Every person must have the right to decide what treatment, therapy, or medication they want or do not want to take – the right to an individual's bodily autonomy must be maintained at all times.
Member of the public	It is crucial that with such an agreement, national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all corporate interests, pharmaceutical companies, and/or other global health profiteers. Every person must have the right to decide what treatment, therapy, or medication they want or do not want to take – the right to an individual's bodily autonomy must be maintained at all times.
Member of the public	It is crucial that with such an agreement, national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all corporate interests, pharmaceutical companies, and/or other global health profiteers. Every person must have the right to decide what treatment, therapy, or medication they want or do not want to take – the right to an individual's bodily autonomy must be maintained at all times.
Woman with Hammer construction	It is crucial that: 1) this is voluntary among all nations, regions and individuals 2) any of the above may opt out at anytime of their choosing without consequence as would be required by a census with majority vote in each country and region. 3) this treaty is primarily used for information sharing purposes and not any other purpose. And further that all participants would be required to share all health information which could benefit the cause of prevention or treatment for the majority regardless of where that information comes from without concerns of censorship or involvement of those who could gain financially or have other conflicts 4) the right of all individuals over speech, religious choice and regional/ international travel strictly obeyed. 5) all participating countries to strictly agree to the following: A) commit to prioritizing the protection of their food-growing land for healthy food growing purposes, for that food to be organic and non-gmo. And for that land to be rested every 7th year to ensure the quality of the soil and the food for general population health purposes. B) countries to agree to only allowing the raising for sale as food OR sale of meat which is considered "clean food" as references by the Bible in Leviticus chapter II; this pertains to not eating pork, shellfish and several other animals which are known to be able to transfer diseases to Mankind. C) agreement to the idea of marriage being between one man and one woman as decided by their birth gender and chromosomes. Further that there should be no sexual union between family members. These things are well known to cause birth defects and other human harm which could contribute to the spreading of DISEASE.
private citizen	It is dangerous to allow an agency outside my country to determine the health policies of my country. I think that the only substantive element in an international instrument on pandemic prevention and preparedness should be an agreement to seriously consider options suggested by such an instrument.
None	It is dangerous to turn over independent rights on issues like health to a single organization. Even with best intentions a single organization can be influenced by players with the wrong solutions. It is better to have local voices debating the answers and finding local solutions that can be promoted locally. It is clear that a one size fits all format is not effective during a pandemic.
Private citizen	It is debatable that this treaty should even exist. I find it highly suspicious that very few people in my entourage around the world are even aware of it and therefore are unable to consider its ramifications or engage in democratic debate around the proposal. However, that aside, should this be a fait accompli, I would request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
N/A	It is desirable a new international instrument makes recommendations only, peacefully and does not force them upon local governments, individuals or any other parties. Elected officials of local governments must carry out the very final decisions about public health as they understand the specific needs of their communities.
Private	It is difficult to see how a global organization can know enough about local conditions to be helpful. Therefore, National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. What might be a useful policy for older age groups may not necessarily work for younger people We must protect the fundamental rights of children. Anyone working for WHO must be elected An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The interests - financial or otherwise, of any person within WHO involved in decision making, should be openly declared. No one individual should ever be forced to take a particular medicine
self	It is egregious that the WHO is being used as a tool in the effort to SUBVERT sovereign governments, and therefore subvert human rights by creating "treaty" demands and dictates upon citizens who have zero input. zero say, regarding what is put into their bodies or forced upon their lives. During the past two years, certain states (specific countries and regions across the globe) did BETTER for the health and well-being of their populations by enabling early treatment for Covid-19 with known safe and effective medications/therapies. These treatments were adamantly resisted and denied by the WHO and other intrusive agencies (such as the FDA and like agencies in lockstep with the WHO). Yet these early treatments SAVED MANY LIVES - even realistically ending the pandemic in regions where these therapies were openly adapted and applied. It is evident that the WHO and other entities have become captive agencies of global pharmaceutical interests rather than the people they purport to serve. Again - Through Sovereign Independence - several populations fared FAR BETTER than those who "heeded" the erroneous advice of the WHO. (There are many dozens of statistical analyses and documented peer-reviewed studies which prove this fact, and the reason they fared far better.) This is not meant to be disrespectful of the WHO. It is meant to be respectful of the FACT and the TRUTH that the WHO has been severely discredited these past years by denying the sane common-sense early treatment that many credible honorable physicians knew was the right thing to do. The blind totalitarian approaches the WHO endeavored to apply sadly COST LIVES compared to the sound balanced approach brave physicians provided in spite of WHO resistance.
individual	It is entirely inappropriate for there to be and international instrument on pandemic response lead by the WHO. The members deciding what actions the world should take are not elected by the citizens of the world and have many conflicts of interest. If the past two years taught us anything, it is that public and world health needs an abundance of scientific discourse with widespread scientific integrity and transparency.
Soul Flow	It is entirely undemocratic that the WHO make an international treaty to dictate to health organizations around the world on how to deal with the pandemic. Each country knows it's own situation best and should remain to have their own autonomy in governing their country. Especially given the lack of severity that the recent strains of coronavirus 19 are proving to be.
N/A	It is essential for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy. Therefore any agreement must reserve the right for that leadership to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Any agreement must give nations and local municipalities the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without qualification or consequence. We must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on the agreement, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in any place (local or national) where a majority of the people do not want it. The agreement must include strong measures to prevent any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ginger Cove CORC	It is essential in my opinion, that nation states have the option to opt out of any and all aspects of the treaty. For many citizens their Constitution is the ultimate law of the land and cannot be superseded or negated by other entities such as the WHO. The WHO is not elected by the citizens of the world, or by citizens of any given nation. Thus, the treaty would disempower the citizens of the world. The WHO is vulnerable to being "Captured" by the very powerful pharmaceutical companies or by an individual nation. Thus, the WHO would only serve the interest of the economically powerful nations.....as history has shown time and again. The WHO treaty would concentrate power in the hands of the elite, who would be inclined to serve their own self interest while speaking as though they were serving the public.
Family, representing 3 persons	It is essential in this proposed agreement that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is critical that the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. While crafting an agreement that truly serves humanity, there must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on INCLUDING failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. MOREOVER, it is ESSENTIAL that measures be present in this document that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health and / or medical / or political profiteers. ANY CONFLICT of interest should disqualify any input on this agreement at any time.
Private edukational property	It is essential that a previously performed scientistic study on the actual demonstrable agent clime, the proof of which is based on all the necessary control experiments and the evidence based on all the rules of virology, epidemiology and infectiology, to check wheter there is a pandemic or epidemic at all. Thus was criminally neglected during COVID 19. Best regardes. Christof Jahn.
None - private citizen	It is essential that all national and local leadership autonomy is respected and retained, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own country, and people. National and sub-national/regional etc opt outs from any and/or all portions of the agreement must be permitted, without penalties or adverse effect. There is a clear democraticdeficit here in that the actual World's people are being done-unto, without the option for any vote referendum or other measures to agree or refute these proposals and their implementation exists. This needs to be addressed as a fundamental priority. Keep the hands of big pharma and other medical industrial profiteers off the implementation or influencing of this; some measures are imperative to avoid conflicts of interest.
Member of the public	It is essential that an unelected body should not have the right to override the wishes of any sovereign nation. The WHO should advise of course, but the idea that any policy about health could be the best for 100% of the world population is absurd. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should remain. An open and transparent process would need to be put in place, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on any policy, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application 3of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be Measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Furthermore any individual who stands to profit from any measures put in place should not be involved in decision making.
None	It is essential that any agreement is guidance only and includes: 1. That final decisions are taken nationally and locally, with full autonomy, so decisions are made locally following what is best for their own people. 2. All nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all parts of the agreement, without consequence, allowing full flexibility 3. An open and transparent process on what is included in the guidance/agreement and transparency and debate on the evidence for and monitoring of efficacy, benefits and harms of any measures. There should be a mechanism to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. The measures must not be chosen as a result of influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other profiteers from those measures.
General public	It is essential that any international instrument only recommends guidelines, whilst leaving the ultimate decision to the national or local authority to choose the approach that is best for their residents. Furthermore, consent to a medical treatment (e.g. vaccination), whether linked to a pandemic or not, must remain down to each individual person, as dictated by the Nuremberg Code Article 6 Section 3.
None, Private individual	It is essential that each country, each nation and each local geographic area retains its own decision making ability and autonomy, and continues to be totally and completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within said nations can opt out of any and/or all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence whatsoever. This process must be an open and transparent one in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote upon. It has to follow strict democratic principles, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Also, it is imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, any corporation and from all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the General Public	It is essential that every nation retains independence on issues of health, not least on the grounds that each country's populace varies in its fundamental health and medical care needs, assets and ethnic make-up. No one ought to advocate treating a patient using a protocol without appraising its appropriateness for that individual, and similarly this applies at the population level too. Each nation ought to be allowed to reject any or all of the WHO recommendations which may be made as per this instrument, without any resulting sanctions or other consequences. Respecting the democratic process, every resident of each country should be able to have a vote - following a period of open and straightforward debate - before powers which influence individuals' day-to-day lives are handed over by their legislatures to a supranational body. The WHO is presently not autonomous of private company involvement or the involvement of other bodies, which benefit directly or otherwise from its mandates. Yet such companies hold unjustifiable influence over the WHO through significant and regular financial contributions. This should cease, with all financing being obtained directly from citizens via their national governments. Or there should be full transparency, so every citizen can decide for themselves whether the funding, and its sources, may be impacting any strategy proposals.
Private person	It is essential that individual nations are free to choose not to participate in the treaty on a pandemic to pandemic basis. It is essential that legal liability is in place, i.e. pharmaceutical companies developing vaccines are legally and financially responsible for any harm done by their products. In addition, that governments also be held liable for harms done if they decide to mandate those vaccines. Most importantly, human rights and the right to bodily integrity must be ensured for every individual. A forum for physicians where open discussion and dialogue can occur, possible treatments can be shared and different possible solutions can be scientifically tested should be established. A universal, one size fits all, restricted, top down approach is dangerous. I would prefer that this treaty did not exist at all and that individual nations and their populations be encouraged to prepare plans customized to their own situations.
N/A	It is essential that local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need fully independent committees to run trials on potential drugs. People who have conflicts of interest with pharmaceutical companies should not be part of the process. Healthy people should not be mandated to wear masks.
Individual	It is essential that national and local elected leaders maintain full autonomy and the right to make all decisions based on what is best for the people they serve. National and local governments must retain the right to opt out of all or any of the agreements made by globalists. All negotiations and decisions made must be completely transparent and disseminated for all world citizens to see, understand and have the ability to vote on. There must be no influence permitted for any private individuals, organisations, corporations or pharmaceutical companies. None must be able to profit from any decisions made and all contributing voices must publicly declare any personal or business interests.
Vicki Hamilton and Associates	It is essential that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, and must have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. In addition there must be the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Of utmost importance is the need for an open and transparent process where there is the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Respira Yoga London	It is essential that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities MUST have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is needed with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures need to be implemented that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
neat technology	It is essential that one size fits all policies can be turned back if they are bot viable in any specific territory. We cannot predict the future and the merits of individual arguments in advance. Doing this is very dangerous. Private pharma companies should not be able to exert such power over the individuals right to self determination and medication. Factors differ. A good example is forcing masking when it is proven unviable afterwards. What if it is an even more damaging unilateral decision made by who. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Public	It is essential that people retain their bodily autonomy and that no rights are given to WHO. All rights must remain with our own governments.
I am not affiliated with an organization	It is essential that the ability of nations and local municipalities be able to opt out of any part of this and that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We need to ensure that no pharmaceutical companies or anyone else or any other entity that may profit be able to influence the process. If people do not want measures it is vital that there is the ability for people to refute without consequences. We need many failsafes.
Barrister at Law	It is essential that the appropriateness or otherwise of altering the meaning of the "pandemic" as occurred in 2020 is reviewed. The creation of this "agreement" must first be agreed upon by WHO members and only then, should terms be considered. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without adverse consequence or penalty. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Given the patently biased reporting of the recent "pandemic" by pharmaceutical industry funded media, the extraordinary censorship of any legitimate concerned voices, the immunity from suit and the reluctance of the pharmaceutical companies involved in vaccine development and manufacture to divulge the data relied upon for emergency licensing, safeguards to prevent a future recurrence of this conduct ought to be considered and included in any "agreement" should one come into existence through WHO consensus.
na	It is essential that the following considerations should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. That nations and local municipalities retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. That an open and transparent process is implemented with the ability for all people of the world to vote on policy, recommendations, and response measures, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Include significant measures that prevent the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It is imperative that the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should respect the pillars of natural law, civil and individual inalienable rights like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice.
Health Practioners Inc	It is essential that the following is included: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5) That there be no conflict of interest from private funding sources who in turn, will profit from the WHO decisions and mandates. 6) That there be no mandated digital vaccine certificate enforced globally.

Liechtenstein	[redacted]
Luxembourg	[redacted]
Malaysia	[redacted]
Malta	[redacted]
Marshall Islands	[redacted]
Mexico	[redacted]
Monaco	[redacted]
Mongolia	[redacted]
Montenegro	[redacted]
Morocco	[redacted]
Mozambique	[redacted]
Myanmar	[redacted]
Nauru	[redacted]
Nepal	[redacted]
Netherlands	[redacted]
New Zealand	[redacted]
Nicaragua	[redacted]
Niger	[redacted]
Nigeria	[redacted]
Niue	[redacted]
North Macedonia	[redacted]
Norway	[redacted]
Oman	[redacted]
Pakistan	[redacted]
Palau	[redacted]
Panama	[redacted]
Papua New Guinea	[redacted]
Paraguay	[redacted]
Peru	[redacted]
Philippines	[redacted]
Poland	[redacted]
Portugal	[redacted]
Qatar	[redacted]
Republic of Korea	[redacted]
Republic of Moldova	[redacted]
Romania	[redacted]
Russian Federation	[redacted]
Rwanda	[redacted]
Saint Kitts and Nevis	[redacted]
Saint Lucia	[redacted]
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	[redacted]
Samoa	[redacted]
San Marino	[redacted]
Sao Tome and Principe	[redacted]
Saudi Arabia	[redacted]
Senegal	[redacted]
Serbia	[redacted]
Seychelles	[redacted]
Sierra Leone	[redacted]
Singapore	[redacted]
Slovakia	[redacted]
Slovenia	[redacted]
Solomon Islands	[redacted]
South Africa	[redacted]
South Sudan	[redacted]
Spain	[redacted]
Sri Lanka	[redacted]
Sudan	[redacted]
Suriname	[redacted]
Sweden	[redacted]
Switzerland	[redacted]
Syrian Arab Republic	[redacted]
Tajikistan	[redacted]
Thailand	[redacted]
Timor-Leste	[redacted]
Togo	[redacted]
Tonga	[redacted]
Trinidad and Tobago	[redacted]
Tunisia	[redacted]
Turkey	[redacted]
Turkmenistan	[redacted]
Tuvalu	[redacted]
Uganda	[redacted]
Ukraine	[redacted]
United Arab Emirates	[redacted]
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	[redacted]
United States of America	[redacted]
Uruguay	[redacted]
Uzbekistan	[redacted]
Vanuatu	[redacted]
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	[redacted]
Viet Nam	[redacted]
Yemen	[redacted]
Zambia	[redacted]
Zimbabwe	[redacted]

Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan (Vietnam)	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	[illegible]
Vietnam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]
Total	[illegible]

Organization	Written submission
Memphis Chapter, Campaign for Liberty	It is mandatory that all national and local leadership retain full autonomy and sovereignty, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is mandatory that each nation and local municipality have the right and ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It is mandatory that there be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is mandatory that measures be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	It is mandatory that guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies are included. The treaty must respect the laws of individual sovereign states. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
private citizen	It is mandatory that the WHO pandemic treaty contain the following: The treaty shall at all times respect, without limitation, the national law of each signatory country and shall be fully subject to its national constitution, legislation and practice. All actions taken pursuant to this treaty shall be in accordance with constitutional law and jurisdiction, and may at any time be challenged in a national court as to its legality. Substantiation: WHO is neither a legislator nor the highest state power in any of the signatory states. Any regulation that overturns this hierarchy of the legislative subject is necessarily invalid, thus rendering the entire treaty null and void in its raison d'être. Especially for democratic states, adherence to the rule of law is existential and even more important than great losses within the population, since a population without the rule of law is threatened by the greatest disease: dictatorship. The agenda behind this draft treaty has long been seen through by the people. These people expect WHO to reverse course immediately. Anything else is a de facto declaration of war against the people and has no relation to health.
Member of public	It is morally wrong for an unelected agency such as the WHO to assume the role of Governorship over the health of the people of a Sovereign State with a democratically elected Government sitting in power. (The aforementioned Democratically elected Government should not be abdicating their responsibilities in this regard either.) It's a derelection of the UK Government's duty to uphold the sanctity of the Human Rights of the British people. We have witnessed that the WHO regards real medical professionals and scientists with disdain, preferring instead to listen to the dictates of scientists whose honesty is compromised as they're working for the very companies who have made huge profits out of a grossly exagerrated and unproven virus and the resulting catastrophic vaccination campaign that's resulted in huge numbers of fatalities and adverse reactions that run into millions.
Residents of UK	It is morally wrong for an unelected agency such as the WHO to assume the role of Governorship over the health of the people of a Sovereign State with a democratically elected Government sitting in power. (The aforementioned Democratically elected Government should not be abdicating their responsibilities in this regard either.) It's a derelection of the UK Government's duty to uphold the sanctity of the Human Rights of the British people. We have witnessed that the WHO regards real medical professionals and scientists with disdain, preferring instead to listen to the dictates of scientists whose honesty is compromised as they're working for the very companies who have made huge profits out of a grossly exagerrated and unproven virus and the resulting catastrophic vaccination campaign that's resulted in huge numbers of fatalities and adverse reactions that run into millions.
Kamaindustrie	It is most probably very useful - for any situation - to include a psychological education about FALSE psychological ideas, harmonizing with "Group Think". People are afraid of getting emotional damage from staying alone over a long period of time. Therefore they want to meet and break rules of social distancing just for the sake of pretended mental health. It is not true that purposeful "loneliness" leads to emotional damage BUT it is true that painful emotional healing might appear during those periods. And those experiences might feel "re-traumatizing" which is NOT TRUE. The truth about healing emotions associated with "loneliness" or "seperation" might be an essential part of the solution of pandemic bottleneck-situations. That's not just manipulative wishful thinking. This is a true win-win gift for global healing and pandemic response. There is no need for regular meetings with friends or family from a psychological and spiritual perspective. Of course that does not apply to pointless loneliness for the sake of avoiding emotions, which is harmful.
individual	It is my belief as an American citizen that healthcare decisions should not be made for Americans by an agency that the American public does not elect. We have a right to elect the officials we believe will represent our beliefs and point of view and, for that reason, I believe these kinds of health decisions should be made by local governing bodies not by an international organization. I do not believe an international organization can possibly make a decision that is in the best interest of the entire world when different circumstances may exist in different locals. I believe this is dangerous and it puts unprecedented power in the hands of very few people. I am very opposed to this and feel it goes against the American way of life and limits the freedom this country was built upon.
Individual	It is my belief that a one-size-fits-all approach to global pandemic control would not be in the best interests of humankind given the vastly diverse nature of communities that exist around the world. Ultimate control should be retained by the the national and local governments involved given that they are in the optimum position to know what is best for their own people as well as being directly accountable to them. To facilitate this, individual nations and local governments should retain the right to opt out of any, or all, parts of the agreement without consequence or penalty. Any clauses included in such an agreement should be arrived at through an open and transparent process supported by robust and non-selective science reference to which should be made. There should be a requirement for any such agreement to be ratified by a voting process that includes all the people the world. Any such agreement should include the right of any part of the world to opt out of any, or all, of its requirements if a majority of the people there are found not to want it. No clause should give favour to any individual or corporation that has a vested interest in its outcome, including all major donors to the World Health Organisation, pharmaceutical companies and global health corporations.
Private Citizen	It is my belief that all democratic governments have a duty to their citizens (the electorate) to maintain the right to protect those citizens and not hand those powers to any unelected multinational body. Therefore no substantive elements should be included. The WHO should serve governments by giving advice and nothing more.
Megan Players Health Care	It is my belief that all emergency contingency measures (eg. lockdowns, vaccination mandates, public wearing of masks, social distancing etc) for whatever reason, must be solely decided by the governments of each sovereign nation state. A broad base of transparent independent enquiry is fundamental to democracy and global security.There must therefore be no imposition of emergency policies by unelected, international bodies such as the World Health Organisation.
none	It is my belief that all emergency contingency measures (eg. lockdowns, vaccination mandates, public wearing of masks, social distancing etc) for whatever reason, must be solely decided by the governments of each sovereign nation state. There must therefore be no imposition of emergency policies by unelected, international bodies such as the World Health Organisation. Jonathan R. Jayne U.K. Citizen.
N/A	It is my belief that all emergency contingency measures (eg. lockdowns, vaccination mandates, public wearing of masks, social distancing etc) for whatever reason, must be solely decided by the governments of each sovereign nation state. There must therefore be no imposition of emergency policies by unelected, international bodies such as the World Health Organisation. No government has the right to hand power over its citizens to any unelected group, organisation or person/s without those citizens giving their overt, informed consent. If any government should hand over power to said those governments would lose any authority over their citizens and be responsible for the consequences.
None	It is my belief that all emergency contingency measures (eg. lockdowns, vaccination mandates, public wearing of masks, social distancing etc) for whatever reason, must be solely decided by the governments of each sovereign nation state. There must therefore be no imposition of emergency policies by unelected, international bodies such as the World Health Organisation.
Public	It is my belief that all emergency contingency measures (eg. lockdowns, vaccination mandates, public wearing of masks, social distancing etc) for whatever reason, must be solely decided by the governments of each sovereign nation state. There must therefore be no imposition of emergency policies by unelected, international bodies such as the World Health Organisation.
Living Mind	It is my belief that all emergency contingency measures (eg. lockdowns, vaccination mandates, public wearing of masks, social distancing etc) for whatever reason, must be solely decided by the governments of each sovereign nation state. There must therefore be no imposition of emergency policies by unelected, international bodies such as the World Health Organisation.
Private woman	It is my belief that all emergency contingency measures (eg. lockdowns, vaccination mandates, public wearing of masks, social distancing etc) for whatever reason, must be solely decided by the people and their governments of each sovereign nation state. There must therefore be no imposition of emergency policies by unelected, international bodies such as the World Health Organisation. Lynda Jones
My own	It is my belief that it would be a very dictatorial move by the WHO to pursue this any further. Each country can listen to your advice but make their own decisions with regards to any new pandemic. Why do you persist in interfering with sovereign countries who are put in position by the public? Also it is the public's right to have autonomy over their bodies! These stringent control mechanisms must be halted. You should be doing no harm and any diversion from this principle is quite frankly appalling. I say no. Regards A very perturbed member of the human race.
Citizen of the US	It is my belief that public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Each country has its own governing rules. We need people and countries in control of their own health. If there must be a joining of nations, which I don't believe is necessary but if the US goes forward, it should be added that any country would have the option to close their borders, if they believe or in the case of the US have voted that they disagree with the WHO and choose to set their own mandates and rules. Much of this agreement is presently unavailable to the public and should always be available for scrutiny by their people or at bare minimum by their governing bodies. The US would use their legal processes to vote on such important matters as this and would not be controlled by other nations. Any country not willing to participate would also not have any financial obligations to the WHO and their decisions. Thank you for your time and consideration.
self	It is my belief that the following items should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Reilley Mullin
MSU	It is my deeply held belief that local and national leadership have the right to decide what is best for its people. Additionally, local and national governments should be able to opt out of any policies or practices they see as unfit for their populations without consequence. The influence of pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers should be prohibited.
Canadian Citizen	It is my firm belief Canada as a sovereign nation should be in charge of its own Public Health initiatives and not be controlled by a world centralized one. We know what is best for us with our own health authorities informed by individual doctors at the helm and the patient/doctor relationship held as sacrosanct and foundational.
Self	It is my firm belief that all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The current direction of those responsible for the treaty is not consistent with that constitutional principle. Any treaty must be in accord with that principle not only for the rule of law but in order to to be truly effective and in the best interest of the citizens of each nation.
Private	It is my firm belief that each country should be responsible for its own health related preparedness and that there should be no international instrument. In addition the definition of a pandemic should revert to its former wording pre-2000. There would have been no pandemic under that definition during the past 2 years and if adhered to the world would have avoided the massive economic, health and social damage caused by unnecessary lockdowns, ineffective face masks and dangerous experimental jabs. We live in dangerous times. All good people must stand against the unprecedented tyranny of global elites.
Dawson Consulting Group	It is my firm belief that WHO treaties removing power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. We do not want to deligate how we maintain health to an international body. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists in any part of the world. The US must NOT agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Self	It is my honest belief that there should be NO international preparedness and response - there is no reason to police people more than they are. We are humans, we should be treated as humans, and we should be allowed to make our own decisions without the government stepping in at every direction. Stop causing chaos where it is not needed, and let people live their lives. It's obvious why this sort of agenda is being pushed: it's all for money and power. If this continues to be pushed onto us, the people will push back. We should be allowed to live our lives in peace without the threat of the government stepping in, causing fear and chaos in all directions, all in the name of "science" and "for your health". Enough.
SELF	It is my hope: the WHO will depend on true science, the development of double blind studies from all across the world, to investigate the efficacy of ALL vaccines and medicines before forcing the use on any one country, ethnic group or lifestyle. They not allow politics or personal beliefs to influence results or decisions. They appoint a medical board with a background in medicine and an understanding of the scientific process. Hope for a better world.
Sacro Occipital Research Society International	It is my observation that the recent SARS-CoV-2 pandemic was invented through collusion of various government bureaucracies on planet Earth. The PCR test registered over 80% false positives, and those treated in hospitals under US CDC guidelines were subjected to protocols (remdesivir, ventilators, and paralytics) known to be fatal to many, including four personal friends of mine. Therefore, I view all attempts to codify and mandate response to disease outbreaks as simply a desire to transfer authority from individual licensed doctors to bureaucrats who have neither the training nor the experience to care for patients properly. My vote is for no national or international agreements or mandates for diagnostic and treatment protocols.
DO.-UK , DO- EU	It is my observation that the recent SARS-CoV-2 pandemic was invented through collusion of various government bureaucracies on planet Earth. The PCR test registered over 80% false positives, and those treated in hospitals under US CDC guidelines were subjected to protocols (remdesivir, ventilators, and paralytics) known to be fatal to many, including 2 personal friends of mine. Therefore, I view all attempts to codify and mandate response to disease outbreaks as simply a desire to transfer authority from individual licensed doctors to bureaucrats who have neither the training nor the experience to care for patients properly. My vote is for no national or international agreements or mandates for diagnostic and treatment protocols for any disease."
n/a	It is my opinion that EACH country should independently develop a response appropriate for their for own country. Over the last two years we clearly noticed that every place/location have been affected differently. We have also seen that there is no universal cure that fits all countries. In my opinion, I believe that the WHO should continue to issue guidelines, but it should also remain the duty of the individual country to issue/administer an appropriate respectful response for their country and use the WHO guidelines to help in preparing appropriate respectful response for their nation and citizens.
World Council for Health (WCH)	It is my opinion that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people under their care, in their jurisdiction. Nations, local municipalities and citizens must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences, prejudice, judgements or penalties. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I know that's a big ask, but fair is fair! Above all, a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must not be imposed on any nations, states, local municipalities nor citizens. All nations, states and citizens are sovereign and must retain autonomy, human rights and freedoms. These must not be imposed upon in the name of safety. The way the pandemic has been dealt with worldwide for the past two years has been overreactive and harmful to human health, liberty, mental health, and even to the economy. It's time for a change for the better. We can do it together.
Private individual	It is my opinion that the essential elements that should b e included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are as follows. The national leadership of each country should retain full autonomy, making decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations should be able to opt out of any portion of the agreement without penalty. No lobbying or influence in the formation process of this agreement by any corporate entity, including pharmaceutical companies, or by individuals who stand to profit financially by the agreement.
NA	It is my opinion that the WHO mismanaged the Covid epidemic by not recognising its severity in the early days and allowing flights from Wuhan to all parts of the globe - directly leading to a global pandemic. This is not the action of a responsible and professional organisation. For this reason, the WHO is not in any position to look at digital global passports. You have demonstrated that as an organisation, you are unfit for purpose.
N/A	It is my opinion that unless the treaty is to stop all violations in fundamental rights and abuses in overreach during such times that have been witnessed (i.e. lockdowns, mandates and coerced non-informed medical procedures - especially by pharmaceutical & 'philanphropic' lobbying groups, etc.,), then no such treaty should be existing. Further, these roles would be treasonous to hand in such a treaty, as this is reserved for nations and local areas as enshrined in their constitutions and the UN Charter. The damage done by this 'one size fits all' approach, has been proven to be damaging beyond any 'benefit', to the point of national suicide and human destruction that must be avoided. Any treaty must ban the overreach of the past 2 years and restore fundamental human rights.
Concerned citizen	It is my opinion that we do not require a Pandemic Preparedness Treaty/Agreement. The WHO should not be allowed to control the World's health agenda nor enforce bio surveillance. It is a threat to our Nation's sovereignty and our citizen's inalienable rights which creates a back door to Global Governance.
N/A Concerned citizen	It is my opinion that WHO treaties that remove power from the US are extremely dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. I am adamantly opposed to a WHO treaty that strives to do so. I firmly believe that all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions for American citizens yet it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Nativity of Our Lord Church	It is my opinion that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Dave and Kathy Todtenhagen
Member of the General Public	It is my opinion, the world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need #WHO member states to adhere to IHR (2005) and existing pandemic response mechanisms.
Personal	It is my personal belief that the world health organizations preparedness for future pandemics must look beyond pharmaceuticals and the drug companies for a more thorough, and holistic means of addressing a pandemic. The historical data on treating patients as individuals, using thorough and well indicated practices of homeopathy, herbs and acupuncture is a testament to the effectiveness and must be included in any plan the WHO is forming. Additionally, it is in the best interest of the planet and the human race that we find solutions that involve a more sustainable and holistic approach to medicine on the whole. It is vital that the WHO form committees that are unbiased, with a broader knowledge of healing modalities, who are capable of conducting studies which consider all means of wellness, not just pharmaceutical options. As there is no 'one' solution to a virus or disease. The WHO was formed to coordinate health issues, not push mandates across the globe. Viruses will continue to evolve and their very nature is to assist us in doing the same. It is not the natural process of things to suppress this development of the human race, nor of animals or other living things. I urge you to stop this overreach on the rights of all humanity. The WHO should not be permitted to dictate how individual states, countries, regions or otherwise, address widespread health crises. We must not blatantly disregard effective and inexpensive, non-drug inflicting treatments. Thank you for your time and consideration.
Independant	It is my preference that NO treaty at all be implemented for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Not affiliated with an organization	It is my sincere opinion, that health decisions are each person's personal responsibility and choice. I do not appreciate any mandates from the World HealthOrganization, or even my own government. The wisest preparation for future pandemics is to teach people how they can strengthen their immune systems with diet and other natural means.
Humanity	It is my sole human right to accept or refuse treatment entitled to me. As a Registered Nurse, I understand the need to protect the greater good but also protect my own sovereignty. To take away these rights is morally unethical and all countries should uphold and respect human decency in this manner.
State of Pennsylvania, U.S.A.	It is my strong desire that the WHO take on the role of information exchange only in the case of a human health crises, to help to collect and share information between states when infections diseases that are unfamiliar or highly contagious and dangerous occur around the world. It should be left to individual sovereign states, in consultation with all elected representatives, to determine what responses to such health concerns should be taken. Every human being also has the right to their individual bodily sovereignty and no human being should be a experimental medical subject without their full consent. The WHO, under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, should safeguard these rights vis-a-vis state governments.
Public	It is my strong opinion that the freedom of the individual is put in severe danger by any lockdown or mask mandates or similar. Such things are akin to a gulag or prison and unfitting I'm any democracy, Health is more than just the physical but the WHO is promoting tyranny when not respecting peoples freedom
Concerned world citizen	It is my strong opinion the WHO should NOT formulate any instrument for pandemic preparedness. The WHO is a body unelected by the world's people. It is obvious from the Covid-19 pandemic that the WHO is captured by Big Pharma and with them have used the last two years to force ridiculous and ineffective measures on billions of people that caused enormous economic and social costs. Further: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Personally, I believe the WHO is incapable of putting an effective pandemic plan in place.
none	It is my thoughtfully reasoned perspective that the WHO should NOT take over the governance of health outcomes for various countries. Apart from the many errors we saw arise from the early directions in the pandemic given by the WHO, it is not reasonable to expect a single organization to effectively understand the complexity of various locales across the globe. That is, national and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, particularly since accountability for outcomes falls to elected officials, not to appointed officials. Again, WHO officials are not elected. Governing policies are determined by a few individuals who fund the WHO, therefore, to give the WHO jurisdiction over health policies for places that have not elected these officials will certainly undermine democracy, and leave control of health to those who have the money to direct WHO policy. As we have saw rather dramatically during the pandemic, this is not in the interests of the various citizens of the world. This benefits primarily owners of stock in pharmaceutical companies, again witnessed in the new billionaires made by the pandemic. It is obvious to any who dig even cursorily into the finances of the pharmaceutical industry that much of the policy we saw in the last two years has primarily benefited these industries, not ordinary citizens around the world. Giving the WHO power without citizen representation is not only unethical, it is setting the stage for a disaster in health outcomes. Individuals should have the right to decide what happens to their own bodies. This is one of the most important insights gleaned from the 20th century.
Meadowsweet Massage Therapies	It is my understanding that all emergency contingency measures (eg. lockdowns, vaccination mandates, vaccine passports, public wearing of masks, social distancing etc) for whatever reason, must be solely decided by the governments of each sovereign nation state. There must not be any imposition of emergency policies by unelected, international bodies such as the World Health Organisation.
N/A	It is my understanding that the WHO, from it's inception is supportive in nature. It is my personal opinion that while the WHO assists in such times, it should remain that each nation retain sovereignty over the health decisions of it's nation and people in times of any possible future pandemic. In assessing, all possible scenarios on the world scale, i would expect that the World Health Organisation would form well researched and educated guidelines, while allowing each signatory nation to partake as best fits their nation and their civilians' needs. As to how these recommendations are offered to the nations of the world which may or may not affect the world wide population, should be open to discussion by all, the general public included. As these proposed measures will ultimately affect everyone. I feel the valuable input of all in the medical, scientific and other necessary fields of expertise should be sought and not limited to a select few. The guidelines should optimally consider all aspects of the health and well being of individuals and nations, physically, economically, emotionally and spiritually. I feel the WHO should reach it's opinions exclusive of any who would gain to profit from a biased input.
Kapiti Freedom Alliance	It is my very strong belief that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is vitally important to preserve the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Esthetika Ltd.	It is my view that an any new instrument of pandemic preparedness and response should be a guide and should by no means supersede national and local leadership by individual countries or people. As we have witnessed from the Covid pandemic, a one-size fits all approach simply does not work and some of the measures deployed have caused more harm than good. As such, nuanced, localised, evolving and evidence based scientific pandemic policies would be required for any future pandemics. Whilst an instrument of pandemic preparedness and response may be useful as a global resource for data collection, evidence based scientific evaluation and discourse, the task of tackling future pandemics requires an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Member of the public	It is my view that any emergency plans to manage a pandemic, by promoting mask-wearing, social distancing, lockdowns or medical interventions, must only be decided upon by the democratically elected government of every country, following guidance after sufficient scientific scrutiny of any approach that is proposed, which shows that it works. Any international agency or unelected body MUST ONLY be allowed to guide, co-ordinate and promote the best practices to manage a pandemic, not to dictate them, thereby side stepping the emergency procedures of a country. It is crucial for the safety of everyone that our human rights and bodily autonomy be upheld, it is our right. Each person must have the freedom to decide what to do, after assessing their personal risk while considering their own health challenges, before agreeing to any intervention, especially a medical one, which must never be forced. Nobody should lose the right to chose. Any agency, government or person(s) that imposes any rule which is harmful, physically or psychologically, has to be held accountable for their actions. Also, nobody with the authority to impose any measure to stop a pandemic, can benefit financially, by having patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from any rule imposed.
food for thought	It is my view that health is everybody's indi vidual responsibility. Globally we should work with health professionals in all fields medical and natural to allocate best solu tions and preparedness responses to future pandemics. The Covid pandemic in my view has been one-sided. Anything contrary to advice by public health has been thwarted. This is unacceptable and needs to change. Humans are not a one size fits all people therefore the Covid or future pan demics should be approached with all healthy and acceptable individualty responses. As a global entity we need to conquer first our own health then our collective health. By this proactive challenge we can overcome many if not all future pandemics sickness and disease. We must first believe as individuals this is possible then collectively we can accomplish this great of fence to future pandemics.
Sovereign being	It is my will , that u stop immediately with this horrific overreach of powers. Enough is enough. Stop being the worlds new evil 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards Wayne
Individual person	It is my will that any pandemic related legislation, instrument, agreement and/or any other mechanism used in relation to pandemics explicitly provides for the following: inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and the upholding of the Nuremberg Code and all International treaties signed up to the year 2020.
None	It is my Will that these points below must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
None	It is my Will that these points below must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	It is necessary for national and local authorities to make decisions for their populations as they see fit. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they determine, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on - which includes failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Countries are individual and a worldwide policy is destined to fail without recognizing and encouraging that national interests are priority for citizens everywhere.
private citizen	It is necessary that matters of health be decided by individual people and not by world bodies, therefore I do not give my consent for my country to be controlled in any way by the World Heath Organisation.
n/a (private individual)	It is necessary that national and local leadership retain the right to make their own decisions and to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement without consequence. All parts of this agreement need to be made within a transparent environment. There should be protections so measures are not implemented where the majority do not want it There need to be measures taken to prevent pharmaceutical companies and other financial interests do not influence the agreement.
Artbeat	It is necessary to ensure - that national/local regulatory bodies retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own population. - that pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers cannot influence the process. - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law - I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
citizen	It is necessary to garantee universal human rights and civil rights, the respect of the nuremberg convention, orviedo convention, helsinki declaration, Siracusa Principles and the souveranity of every single country and every single human beeing.
Concerned citizen of the US	It is necessary to preserve the following rights: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	It is necessary to remove any possibility of influence by pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers. It is necessary to allow any group from nations to states to municipalities to opt out without consequences, and they shall retain their full autonomy. It is necessary that any agreements are in the form of recommendations only.
Private doctor	It is no need to centralize as it doesn't benefit the population of a country. Self responsibility is the way to go. People can take care of their and their families own health. People need freedom, no Lockdown. Especially when your idea is to Lockdown for seasonal flu or these same dangerous Corona flu. Listen to real experts and no sponsors. It's enough
	It is not a good idea. to let Who take controll of different countrys laws and rules and suverenity. We see to many conflicts of intrest . A lot og money in health and not knowing the health in different countrys. Some countrys are hot countrys, other cold and here alone there will be a difference of healtissues. And this is a serious matter, including the population in each country, so it is up to the population to decide this, not the people in power !
Citizenry	It is NOT beneficial to have one global response to a situation, including a pandemic. Locales have varying needs and can best assess the situation, and in light of the locale's specific needs, make the best-informed decision. Ultimately, local decision making is best.
Home Health Care	It is not clear that a new international instrument would provide value - pros and cons must be considered. Trust is not automatic, and to be of value one must necessarily include: 1. Full autonomy for national/local leaders to make decisions based on best interests of their own people. 2. The rights of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process including the ability for all people of the world to have a democratic and binding vote on any/all agreement provisions. 4. Measures that prohibit influence of the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
KFRS	It is not conducive to free and fair societies to take power away from the citizens of a country by way of an international treaty. National and local leadership, (that are answerable to a national electorate) must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Leading on from the first point, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit and without consequence, must be included in any proposed treaty. Where international organisations are creating policy for people, but are not answerable to those same people, there will be massive resistance from those who see this as authoritarian overreach. A treaty such as this could even be seen as an inflammatory action that could lead to widespread, angry protests where citizens see no democratic way out of the treaty.
ShreeGuru Tech Services	It is not correct to have an international instrument on pandemic preparedness because 1.RTPCR is not a diagnostic tool based on which pandemic is being declared. 2. We have Ayurveda, Yoga, Unani, Siddha and Homeopathy systems of medicine to handle such minor issues. 3. WHO has no rights to decide or infringe upon the FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS guaranteed by the CONSTITUTION OF INDIA. 4. It is unlawful and illegal to enter into any such activities overriding the fundamental rights of the people. 5. We do not want WHO to decide what is right for us. WHO has been convicted during H1N1 false pandemic alarm. 6. WHO forces people to be locked down and gives misinformation and manipulates data to fulfill its agenda. There is severe conflict of interest with pharma companies and vaccine manufacturers funding the WHO. 7. Fundamentally we don't trust WHO to take decision regarding our health and we demand the WHO to be dissolved. 8. Because of WHO measures millions have been rendered jobless and homeless. There have been so many deaths being caused due to Vaccinations approved and recommended by WHO. 9. WHO and it's members and authorities have to be tried and punished for all the deaths due to recommendations from WHO.
Independent house diagnosis 1976 ltd	It is not difficult for anyone with critical thinking skills to assume that pandemic preparedness is just code for more gain of function work, enterprises that are obviously not being done for the better health of the average human being as indicated by the past and continuing lack of transparency about who is doing it and who is funding it, let alone the lack of public debate about how safe it is from accidents and misuse.
Conservative party	It is not down to the WHO to dictate any measures each sovereign country has the power to take its own measures. The people of each country should vote on this matter it is not for the governments to decide. The recent Covid outbreak confirms that in every case the WHO was wrong and it handling of the outbreak was wrong in fact and the measure put in place were worse the the virus. No government has the right to enforce these draconian measures. Under no circumstances should a country give up its own rights to an organization that was not voted for by the people of its country.
Member of the public	It is not ethical nor necessary for a foreign body such as the WHO to interfere in the decision making regarding any national disaster or emergency that involves the UK. It is only up to the government of the United Kingdom made up of elected officials to determine the appropriate steps to be taken to protect their country and citizens not the WHO.
I am not an organization	It is not good to give everybody the same medication or vaccination, because nobody and no body is the same. Every medication or vaccination must be a decision between the doctor and the patient.. not a decision of an organisation! The best proof of this is the corona virus!
Privat	It is not helpful and also not trusting to concentrate national decisions in health or other cases to an NGO without beeing legalized through citizens.
Individual	It is not necessary or advisable to have an international mandate on pandemic responses. It smacks of dictatorship and is open to corruption by vested interests. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be no influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company or other global health profiteer who will for example benefit from the wholesale rollout of vaccines.
Privat	It is not nessesary to have an international Instrument against any pandemic.
None	It is not okay to implement a world wide response to a pandemic. Each country has the right to govern themselves as well as decide their procedures and responses to any given situation. Most of the purple have absolutely no faith in the WHO after our experiences these last few years. The WHO should not be influencing our policies because you have completely failed this past few years.
Self	It is not right to create blanket rules globally. Every person, country has its own needs. Local leaders that we elect are what we have for this purpose
None	It is not the business of an unelected body such as The World Health Organisation to determine the response of individual countries to any sort of health issue. Any international instrument should be guidance only, a best practice guide, not a mandatory set of instructions that are in any way legally binding. The genetic profile of each country will be different and so in each unique environment, the epigenetic response will differ. This should steer policy away from a one size fits all process. As well as the genetic profile in populations, it is important for individual countries to consider all other socio-political issues, such as the age profile of the population and its desire for medical procedures or otherwise. Preparations for any theoretical pandemic should be left to individual countries to examine its demographics, geography, topography and its ability to finance any response to a pandemic. For some countries in the developing world, or ones with a sparse population, the response is likely to be different to those with, for example, high population densities in inner city areas. Finally, I would like to re-iterate, it is not the business of any non elected body to dictate the destiny of sovereign nations.
Private Individual	It is not the role of the WHO to make any supranational international instrument. You have no right to do anything other than give advice to my elected government or any other elected government which they may decide to ignore.
N/A	It is not the WHO's place to create treaties which remove power from the individual people in the United States of America or override their constitution which they have adopted. Doing so can be dangerous to the health and welfare of our people. This wouldn't be wise as these health decisions need to be from the people who are involved, especially in an emergency situation, not from an organization whose sole purpose could be to control others.
None	It is not up to a global entity to dictate to sovereign countries any "pandemic response". It is absolutely the responsibility of each nation. We do NOT have a World Government. We have elected governments who are elected to serve the people of that nation.
None	It is NOT within the WHO's mandate to override national or individual sovereignty. Due to regional or local environments, individual governing bodies must retain the unique ability to make health or other governing decisions for their respective populations as only they can deem appropriate due to local concerns, customs etc.
Concerned citizen	It is not within the World Health Organization's role to demand, expect or encourage any autonomous state to comply with very questionable policies. Please stay out of my country's affairs.
NA	It is not your place to create a global monopoly over medicine. We the people also don't want a organization that is funded by a billionaire who funds poor studies to get his way. Your organization is unethical and you do not represent the world - you represent major donors who want power within your organization.
Let Them Learn	It is not, nor ever will be a "one size fits all" solution to any pandemic. Every country & citizens & environment vary from nation to nation. It is not and should never be the WHOs job or responsibility to govern the citizens of the world's lives in ANY way. The WHO can help countries be prepared and be a source of shared data, but should NEVER dictate policy! Never in our world's history has implementing something "for the greater good" or "for the collective" ever turned out positive.
Citizen Human	It is now abundantly clear that global solutions to local health problems do NOT work. National-local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I reject the notion that an international body can be effective in specific global localities other than generalized reporting of actual and factual events for each locality to make its own public health decisions. No agreement should be so binding that any locality not being able to withdraw without any type of recrimination. All nations and local municipalities must have the capacity to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Whatever process that comes to fruition must be open and transparent. People, wherever they are in the world must have an authentic vote on any failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want to participate. Those who have any potential to profit from those providing health guidance must NOT have a seat at the decision-making table. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Airline pilot, speaking on my own behalf	It is obvious from watching the past 2 years that a global response is the worst way to go in any response to anything. Watching unelected bureaucrats ignore evidence and frontline workers, while seemingly not thinking through the adverse effects of lockdowns and NPIs has most people throughout the world angry and frustrated. Countries, states, and municipalities need to be able to figure out how to deal with issues without money and corruption being an issue.
P R Kirk	It is obvious to all who take the time to think about all the so called directives issued by WHO that WHO are blatantly trying to abuse the position they were originally formed to do. True science is ignored in favour of political ideals . More and more WHO are putting out lies and half truths with the purpose of controlling the populations of this earth , in short they are drunk on power and I believe there is a strong possibility that there are leading officers of WHO that are gaining financially from their position and there decisions are being influenced by financial gain. I am one of millions who have woken up to what WHO (and other institutions) has become and hopefully the despots of WHO and there puppet masters days are numbered.
Entrepreneur	It is obviously proven in all arguments and aspects that highest efficiency is reached only with deconcentrated power and decisions based on arguments, not on corruption and also not in the manipulation of the masses. But it is required to give such large responsibilities into the hands of multiple competent and totally independent scientists and "normal" citizens only. All decisions have also to be made under strict and independent multi-level control and consider regional relevance and aspects as well. Each one single responsible person feeling a minimum of intelligence as well as moral will have to agree that all measures with such big effects, including all collateral damages, NEVER ever again must anyone be able to force any human being and whoever to become an object of the power of other people. NEVER ever again must anyone be forced to be an object to medical measures of whatever those are or are being declared for. SCIENCE requires an always fully competitive environment and FREE SPEECH for ALL "opinions", and much more for REALLY fact-based efforts of competent people, NOT of anyone with no relevant formation or driven by opportunism of any kind. MAY YOU CONTRIBUTE TO A REALLY BETTER WORLD. MAY YOU DISCOVER THE POWER OF CREATIVITY also TO BRING interests in REVENUE together with WELL BEING FOR ALL PEOPLE with THINKING and CREATIVITY, NOT for the abuse of the power of a very few.
Concerned world citizen	It is of grave concern to me that an international organization - which the citizens of a country did not elect- is giving themselves the power to decide for citizens of ALL nations and cultures and ethnic groups, many of whom have successfully treated illness using ancient remedies passed down over generations, what medication is needed. Please desist this nonsensical action. Kind regards Margot Roebert
The sovereign people of our community	It is of great concern to us of any mandate or decree imposed by the WHO on we the people without our express consent. We should not and will not agree to any imposition or contract enacted by any unelected party or group imposing their power over us, especially when it comes to medical and health issues, any more than we can impose and demand the same upon you. This we strongly protest. You do not and will not have our consent. Martin Slintak
None	It is of my belief that this treaty and organization is acting outside of its original capabilities. Based on how the pandemic was handled, each country must continue to be trusted to protect themselves with individual care to their own cultural needs and not supersede the individual's inherent rights. There should never be one global power with the capability of governing all - each of us are unique, have varying beliefs, and must continue to give the power to the people to decide their fate.
Private	It is of outmost importance that WHO sticks to "support" and "assist" and don't migrate to "direct" member states. Each individual member state must keep its own authority as to whether and how it might want to address issues of health. That authority must belong to the people of each individual member state and hence its government.
None	It is of paramount importance that any considered pandemic response guidelines do not compromise the health sovereignty of individual persons and nations. This would preclude absolute mandates. Also, all treatments recommended for use should be rigorously tested in appropriate scientific trials and found free of side effects including death and disability.
Individual	It is of primary importance that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness should respect the sovereignty and autonomy of all nations, as well as respect the inalienable rights of individuals without repercussions. The WHO should not be able to overrule the sovereign power of any democratically elected government. Who are the WHO to enforce rules over autonomous governments? The WHO are not elected by the people of the world. No companies, corporations or NGO's with vested financial interests in a pandemic, in terms of profiting from one, should be allowed to influence this agreement. A multifaceted approach to responding to a pandemic should be considered, as each individual and society was affected differently by the pandemic and a one treatment fits all approach should not be considered as regional variations need to be taken into account.
Concerned citizen	It is of the highest importance that the role of the WHO remains or gets restricted to solely a consultative role for health professionals, governments and others. All decisions about health and treatments must remain between doctors and patients. The WHO may only make recommendations but must never interfere in any way in the doctor-patient relationship. The WHO also must rid itself from all influence by parties with a conflict of interest when dealing or influencing any decisions taken by the WHO.
Self	It is of upmost importance that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	It is of upmost importance to preserve the right of a human to make their own medical decisions. A global pandemic treaty would certainly compromise each individuals freedoms for their very specific health needs and desires. The ripple effect of the loss of individual health autonomy would be devastating to whole communities. As humans we must have this freedom. We will fight for it. We may even die for it! I know where I'm going after this life - do you?
Missouri Freedom Keepers	It is of utmost importance for each nation to retain their sovereign rights, especially during a pandemic or any declared state of emergency. There should be no treaty imposed on the United States of America, that suspends for emergency, or threatens in any way, the constitutional rights of US citizens. We the citizens are not willing to cooperate with any tyrannical dictates (from the WHO or otherwise) that seek to impose on our life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. Thank you for your time. Hannah
General public	It is of utmost importance that mandatory vaccines are forbidden. Furthermore private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance in order to avoid any influence by personal or economic interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Discriminatory measures must be prohibited! It must be secured that all decision-making bases have to be made available in an all-encompassing and transparent manner and they must undergo a peer-review process. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost (or minimal profit) during the pandemic state.
Karin Vialard	It is of utmost importance that the following points are part of the agreement. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Vrede met Venezuela	It is of utmost importance that: 1. Municipalities and countries have full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Municipalities and governments can chart their own path, and not adhere to any or portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for people of to vote on measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Any measure is such that does not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	It is of utmost importance to keep final power of the decisions in the parliaments of the included states. Not ever should a treaty give power over the freedoms of billions of people to a private organisation with non-elected personal. Also privacy protection and responsible use of any collected data should be a main point in discussion.
None	It is of vital importance for individuals to have control over their own health decisions. Giving authority to others, who have no accountability to us through our system of representative government, would jeopardize our freedoms and seriously impair our ability to choose appropriate methods of health care. "One-size-fits-all" should never be applied to medical decisions. Everyone should be able to choose the best solution for themselves.
NONE	It is only right that legitimate nation states with law and constitution control the final decree and not be absolutely mandated by a global decree which may not fit and may ignore individual nation state laws. It is not appropriate to have a "one size fits all" policy since there are so many variants that impact a disease state including season, time of year, population and mobility. Do not inact a one size fits all global decree for global health issues such as disease breakouts. Instead ADVISE countries to allow them to make their own decisions.
FLCCC	It is painfully clear that you are in a compromised relationship with the pharmaceutical industry. You even admitted to having to consider the needs of your largest donors. You have contributed to massive loss of lives by your refusal to confirm the use of ivermectin in Uttar Pradesh. This constitutes Crimes Against Humanity. No one can trust you to put the needs of all of the citizens of the world ahead of pharmaceutical profits. History will view you harshly. You have contributed to countless loss of lives around the globe.
USA Citizen	It is paramount that National and local leadership have the autonomy to make decisions in the best interest of their constituents. National and local municipalities MUST have the option to opt out of any portion of the agreement without consequence. The process must be open and transparent with ability for everyone to vote including a fail safe that will prevent application of the agreement where the majority does not want it. The agreement must also be free of influence from pharmaceutical companies and those that can profit from said agreement.
private	It is possible to allow the WHO to issue recommendations and guidelines. The corona pandemic has shown that general guidelines or even vaccination guidelines have not brought the desired success. Many countries that have not followed the WHO in the corona pandemic have been more successful. Every country is different. The WHO should rein in its claim to health power and focus more on independent research. I reject further elements of international pandemic preparedness in the hands of the WHO
Myself	It is primordial that national and local leadership retain full autonomy and can opt out of all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. We need a transparent process with the ability to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where most of the people do not want it. Influence by all pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers should not be allowed.
None	It is recognised that in the event of a pandemic, the provision of expert, evidence-based guidance (EEBG) is necessary to assist the nations of the world. WHO has fulfilled this role in the past. In going forward, the provision of EEBG should be free of corporate interests and the independence of experts must be assured in order to maintain public trust. However, it is imperative that national and local leaders, acting upon such guidance, retain absolute autonomy to act exclusively in the interests of their own peoples according to national and local circumstances. There are likely to be a range of circumstances, specific to individual nation states, in which a 'one size fits all' approach may result in adverse consequences for populations within those nation states. They must be able to retain the right to determine the response to those circumstances at a local level. Any agreement between the WHO and nation states must be non-binding. In determining the national and local interest(s), nation states must be able to do so without 'let or hindrance' and without financial, or other, penalties. As further consutation on the proposed agreement takes place, this should be conducted in an open and transparent way with populations within nation states. The process should allow for informed debate and the expressed will of the people be respected e.g. where the will expressed is to decline engagement in any global agreement.
(Personal)	It is remarkable that this consultation does not ask whether a new international instrument is necessary or desirable. Any such new instrument must ensure: - national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - an open and transparent process that all people of the world can vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - measures which ensure that any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are explicitly proscribed from influencing the process.
Myself	It is remarkable that this consultation does not ask whether a new international instrument is necessary or desirable. Any such new instrument must ensure: - national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - an open and transparent process that all people of the world can vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - measures which ensure that any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are explicitly proscribed from influencing the process.
Member of Concerned Public	It is remarkable that this consultation does not ask whether a new international instrument is necessary or desirable. Any such new instrument must ensure: - national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - an open and transparent process that all people of the world can vote on, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - measures which ensure that any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are explicitly proscribed from influencing the process.
Personal	It is remarkable that this consultation does not ask whether a new international instrument is necessary or desirable. Any such new instrument must ensure: - national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - an open and transparent process that all people of the world can vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - measures which ensure that any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are explicitly proscribed from influencing the process.
Public	It is scandalous that our government is even considering to allow an outside organisation such as the WHO, which is controlled by private individuals such as Bill and Milder Gates, who are not even of British nationality, to dictate to our governing bodies, any action to be taken, even in the event of global "emergencies". I feel sure that if this were to be accepted into law it would result in mass civil disobidience and public disorder.
Uprooting Lyme	It is so important to consider what elements should be included in a national treaty on pandemic preparedness. First and foremost, a global WHO agreement must ensure that national and local leadership retain full autonomy to make decisions that are right for their democratically voted government. The WHO must honor and preserve the right of local governments to make decisions based on what is best for their own sovereign people. Secondly, the WHO must ensure above all the ability of nations and local municipalities to OPT OUT of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, since it's not possible that one global health policy could be best for all people in all parts of the world. Also what must be included is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, should the time come to implement, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it - otherwise there is potential for abuse of power and manipulation of the masses. Last but not least, there must be measures in place that ensure that the process and agreement is not influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, who currently hold great power via influencing politicians and institutions in the US and around the world. The pharmaceutical companies also stand to gain the most profit for a global regimen requiring vaccination or medication - which is unlawful and unethical to mandate - but the profit motive is clear, so the WHO must ensure that no such powers are influencing their pandemic plan.

Organization	Written submission
None	It is strongly suspect to allocate such authority to the organization WHO which has been compromised by its own leadership, with links to pharmaceuticals and other compromising organizations. The individual solutions for citizens' health is essential, as it allows for the freedom to choose which options work best for each person. The censorship which ensued in the past few years with this so-called pandemic, and which would be surely be solidified by allowing an organization like the WHO to override the treatments, opinions, and creative solutions to health issues, is a frighteningly permanent prospect if the WHO is given such reign over an entire country's health system. This likely consequence will only impair any measures which could facilitate the individual and overall health of Canadians at every level. Has there not been enough over-controlling of human beings and their livelihood in the past 2 years? The right of freedom to live and to survive means also full freedom of one's health choices. Thank you.
Individual	It is such a bad idea. We see what you are trying to do. It did work with chinise people but it won't work in the west. Whatever you do I won't be having any vaccine. You push us toward more in believing conspiracy theories as they warned us long time ago you would be seeking more power toward building one world government. Just stop here
Self	It is terrible idea to have a international response and protocols for any future pandemic. Countries all have different economic, cultural, social, health, and physical landscape factors that should be considered. There is no one size fits all. Allow each individual country's government and people decide what is best for them, given their risk and circumstances.
Saradiel Party, an unincorporated people's movement, affiliate of the World C	It is the opinion of my organisation and myself that no provisions of any international instrument formulated by the WHO should violate the provisions of the U.N. Charter on Human Rights. Recently the general public of the world have been subject to draconian coordinated global regulations that have usurped their unalienable rights and in particular have violated the Nuremberg Codes of Informed Consent. Policies promulgated internationally by the WHO have effectively usurped the rights of individual human beings to the extent that violated at least the following Articles in the U.N. Human Rights Charter: 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10,12, 13, 18, 19, 20, 28 and 29. Human beings are endowed with unalienable rights that include personal sovereignty over their bodies. The WHO recently promoted the injection of people, including children, with a concoction with undeclared ingredients, contrary to all known norms of medical dispensary. The WHO allegedly promoted this to face off a viral pandemic which had a mortality rate of less than 1% and overwhelmingly in patients with pre-existing morbidities. Bodily autonomy is part and parcel of individual sovereignty and every person is entitled to refuse medical treatment with out penalty or censure. We urge the WHO to meticulously ensure that all provisions of the envisaged international instrument does not violate any of the provisions of the U.N. Charter on Human Rights, celebrates natural justice, promotes transparency in medical remedies, and incorporates all provisions of the Nuremberg Codes and the Great Barrington Declaration (gbdeclaration.org).
Lam Rim Bristol Buddhist Centre	It is the responsibility of governments to seek guidance on health from qualified external organisations. They may decide to make recommendations to the people who appointed them, but they have no right to make decisions that over-rule the inalienable rights of any individual. There should thus be no written commitment that restricts, reduces or in anwya inhibits these rights. Any agreement made by a government with an external organisation cannot be one of ceding power or accepting obligations, because the government has no power other than that granted to them by their people. Any obligation in such an agreement would be unlawful - and any opting out is therefore moot. Open and transparent advice based on independent and widely-based authorities must be made available - and challenges must be aired. There must be recognition that measures may be recommended for different areas and peoples of the world. It is not "one size fits all". Conflict of interest must be avoided as a priority. Pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers must not infience decisions. Furthermore, any former employee within, say, the last 10 years should play no part. And anyone participating in the process should not take up a position in these companies for a similar period.
individual	It is the responsibility of governments to seek guidance on health from qualified external organisations. They may decide to make recommendations to the people who appointed them, but they have no right to make decisions that overrule the inalienable rights of any individual. There should thus be no written commitment that restricts, reduces or in anyway inhibits these rights. Any agreement made by a government with an external organisation cannot be one of ceding power or accepting obligations, because the government has no power other than that granted to them by their people. Any obligation in such an agreement would be unlawful - and any opting out is therefore moot. Open and transparent advice based on independent and widely-based authorities must be made available - and challenges must be aired. There must be recognition that measures may be recommended for different areas and peoples of the world. It is not "one size fits all". Conflict of interest must be avoided as a priority. Pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers must not influence decisions. Anyone participating in the process should not take up a position in these companies for at least, say, a decade following.
none	It is the responsibility of individual states to shape the living conditions of people in such a way that salutgenesis is possible. And this on the basis of their constitution. It is the responsibility of the individual to decide which treatment to seek in the event of illness. This is enshrined in human rights. Considering from whom the World Health Organisation receives donations, which then have to follow the interests of the donors, an international treaty is to be strictly rejected. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty, but especially on mandatory vaccines.
Not applicable	It is the sole - the god-given - right of every human being to make their own decision as to whether or not to agree to any form of medical intervention; be it surgical or otherwise and this includes ANY form of pharmaceutical product prescribed or vaccinations. It must NEVER EVER be based on the decision of any other individual/body/organisation as to the removal of bodily autonomy of another human being. Members of the WHO organisation do NOT in any way have any right whatsoever regarding the health and wellbeing of those choices/decisions made by individuals. The WHO along with the WEF are treading very dangerous ground and this does not bode well for either organisation. Neither organisation has any right whatsoever to be making decisions that remove the HUMAN RIGHTS of the global populations. Sinister and dark days indeed!!
United States of America	It is time for public health policy and the response to public health emergencies to be returned to the most local organizations, according to the principle of subsidiarity. This must be paralleled with a call to local public health authorities to understand that they are servants of the public and, where this is not yet constituted in that way, subject themselves to the will of the people in their respective jurisdictions. We have no need of further public health policy centralization. Rather, the opposite is needed. As the COVID-19 response has shown, public health organizations, be it national ones like the NIH, the CDC, the FDA and others in the U.S., or international ones like the WHO have not acted in the interest of the people but in that of large pharmaceutical corporations with little accountability to the people. As a consequence, I think the WHO should be dismantled, rather than be given even greater power.
Private	It is totally absurd and unacceptable that a self appointed, and self regulated body like the WHO should be in any position of power to enable them to override the sovereign rights and constitutional rights of any citizens in any country. This is not democratic by any stretch of anyones imagination or definition, it is sheer tyranny and unlawful, and I do not consent to the President Of S.A, or any other state or individual, making this or any other decision on my behalf. Human rights, bodily integrity and psychological integrity remain our most basic human rights, not to be surrendered to any body or self appointed body. The WHO and all of its members and member states,cannot be the judge and the jury, the conflicts of interests are obvious and of great threat to the sovereignty ,democracies and constitutionality of all citizens of all member countries. This is forced coersion by a handful of self appointed, self regulated elites, and is unacceptable. The current handling of the covid 19 pandemic by the WHO is disastrous and has displayed the WHO's politization to the detriment of the world's population.
RSolve Ltd	It is totally unacceptable for WHO to take precedence over elected national Governments. Your job is to advise not to instruct. Covid has demonstrated your inability to act in the best interests of people rather to support Big Pharma and other corrupt bodies.
None	It is totally wrong to force the entire world to be subjected to the rules of one organization. You have no right or jurisdiction to do this. Every country/ person should be able to treat an illness as they see fit to do so. No organization or government should have this kind of power over the whole world.
HALSEY BUILDERS LTD	It is unacceptable for an unelected and unaccountable organisation to attempt to take power over the people of the World in the guise of Health. Elected governments must have the right of veto and the right to govern correctly for their own people and their own circumstances. The proposed arrangements must be completely independent of financial inducements or controls and divorced from any organisations which could profit form them eg pharmaceutical companies. We have seen the shambolic results of the WHO's attempt to use the Coronavirus outbreak to control populations....this must never be allowed to happen again. People must have sovereignty over their own bodies and must never be forced to accept medications or medical interventions.
none	It is unacceptable that unelected WHO officials be given the right to dictate to sovereign nations what they must do in a declared pandemic. This is contrary to all logic, democratic process and personal health choices. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health and for that reason countries, communities and people should be in charge to decide within democratic process and sovereign rights how to act.
None	It is unacceptable to create such a treaty that puts the WHO above the sovereignty and constitution of each individual country. The WHO is not a democratic elected organization, the WHO is ruled by the people who give the donations such as the Gates Foundation. The WHO's interest isn't the global health anymore, it's rather the global control by the globalists and the big pharma, including the WEF. This treaty is undemocratic, inhuman and very dangerous. We do not accept this treaty in the name of freedom and democracy!
Individual	It is unconscionable that the nations of the world would submit their sovereignty to an unelected, privately funded organization such as WHO. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities MUST have the capacity to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Pandemic response measures should NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. All individuals MUST retain their sovereign right to manage their own health along with their chosen healthcare professional, without any prejudice or influence from non-medical regulatory bodies or unelected, privately funded organisations. Individual health care, and similarly public health, is not a one-size-fits all measure.
Personal	It is undemocratic for any unelected international body to decide how or when to declare pandemic status and impose rules, laws or restrictions on individual sovereign nations and the freedoms of their peoples. I have not voted to give the WHO any powers to make these decisions on my behalf, and as such, I do not recognise the authority of the WHO to in anyway restrict or remove my civil liberties. The WHO should be an advisory body, nothing more. The WHO should also be much more open and transparent about where it's funding is sourced, due to the obvious conflicts of interest.
Citizen	It is understood that autonomy of each State is at all times conducts actions for the good of their people's. It is also understood that Nations safeguard and retain all authority with regard to decisions based on the needs of themselves. Anytime transparency is not present in any agreement is to be determined by each Nation or State for the people who it will be the arbiters on their behalf.
Michelle	It is unnecessary and encroaches upon our inalienable rights and sovereignty. People have the right to participate in any decisions that affect their lives and health. It is not up to the WHO to have control of the world's health agenda. I favour a democratic approach.
Home	It is unnecessary and not advisable.... I believe that each country understands and is best equipped to respond to it's own citizens and their needs.
Nutritional-Matters	It is up to individual countries to decide on their response to a pandemic. The WHO is not elected by citizens of the world therefore cannot mandate interventions across the world. Our body our choice and commercial interest have no part in that. Human rights cannot be suspended because the WHO decides it is an 'emergency' despite lack of evidence. We need total transparency and debate. Locking up healthy people because they have decided to take charge of their own health is unacceptable. All those who profit from the so called emergencies through production of health care products must be fully responsible for any harm that their products cause. The C-19 pandemic which was categorised as of no consequence on the day lock down was introduced in the UK has been used as an excuse by numerous countries around the world to violently supress human rights.
American Citizen	It is utmost importance for each and every National as well as Local Leadership to retain full rights and autonomy to make decisions based on the needs and what works best for their own people. I am fully opposed to turning that power over to anyone. Every Nation, and every local government MUST have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. All people must have and deserve to have full and open transparent process with a vote on any measures being taken so that they may oppose and shut down measures that interfere with freedom and liberty of the people of the world. All pharmaceutical companies and global NGO health profiteers must be prohibited from participating or influencing in any policies being made regarding pandemic response.
Not representing an organization	It is very clear that the best responses to pandemics occur when: 1. Local authorities retain full control and authority over all decisions concerning the management of the pandemic. They retain full authority for what decisions are made and how they are implemented. 2. No organizations that can profit off the pandemic directly or indirectly may have any influence directly or indirectly over research into the efficacy different remedies if they are conflicted in any way. Should they try to influence the outcome of the research, severe steps be taken to curtail their operations and financially cripple them. 3. Treaties deal only with the obligation for countries to report timeously and accurately on infections, mortality, recoveries and treatment. No Supra national or other body have any authority to mandate actions. Their interventions can only be advisory.
UK Citizen	It is very important local and national leaders remain autonomous and accountable to their voters and as such should reserve the right to opt out if any global measures unconditionally. Transparency is key without undue influence from unaccountable organizations such as Gavi, the Gates Foundation, Wellcome Trust and Pharmaceutical corporations. Health should never mutate into wealth transfer and exploitation, powerful minorities and influencers should never hold sway over individual nations and their people. A code of practice agreed by independent witnesses form all walks of life is needed and any breaches by WHO officials or their partners should have legally enforceable and significant consequences.
private citizen	It is very important that any such a treaty does not become an instrument or an excuse for undemocratic and totalitarian behaviors against the citizens of the world countries. The recent pandemic is an example of how the "good intentions" are not enough, and that basic human rights, bodily autonomy and informed consent and any local idiosyncrasy should be respected in any case. Thus, national and local leadership should always retain retain full autonomy and must have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. The local and national municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. There should be an open and transparent process of decision making on measures, and the people should have the ability to vote. There must be also a mechanism that should prevent the application of the agreement in places where the majority of people are against those agreed measures. Mandates should be avoided. There must be no information blockage on the alternative options of dealing with the pandemic. And the measures taken should not allow for an influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	It is very important that countries retain their sovereign autonomy and can make decisions on how they see fit and what is best for their nation. It should be possible for any country or local municipality to opt out of any and all portions of this agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Pharmaceutical companies and anyone profiting from the Covid pandemic should not be allowed to influence the process. Any measures proposed in this agreement should not include non-pharmaceutical interventions like lockdown or facemasks. Each country should be encouraged to assess the impact on the economy, supply chains and food security, and on children's education and mental health and on all other health services for any proposal in the agreement. No country should be compelled to sign up to or implement this agreement. No proposal should be made without significant undisputed evidence backing it up. All proposals in this agreement should be voluntary.
self employed	It is very important that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any agreement that they see fit without consequence. It should have measures that prevent the pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers from having influence. The processes should be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of a global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
World health organization	It is very important that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any agreement that they see fit without consequence. It should have measures that prevent the pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers from having influence. The processes should be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of a global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
SFS solutions	It is very important that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any agreement that they see fit without consequence. It should have measures that prevent the pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers from having influence. The processes should be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of a global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Susan Schultz
Germany	It is very important that the Status remain independent.
Private Citizen	It is very important that: - national & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own citizens - nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any/all portions of the agreement, as they decide, without consequence - the process be open and transparent with the ability for all world citizens to vote on, including fail-safe measures to prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the citizens do not want it - measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any/all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Private Citizen	It is very important that: - national & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own citizens - nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any/all portions of the agreement, as they decide, without consequence - the process be open and transparent with the ability for all world citizens to vote on, including fail-safe measures to prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the citizens do not want it - measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any/all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
it is a personal comment	It is very important to keep free speech and not censure people so that we can know all the solutions available to be healthy. It is very important to keep all modalities of healing available: there is never only one solution to healing. There is never a situation of emergency that would benefit taking away the constitutional rights of the people. Taking away constitutional rights make a nation weaker. Giving the power to only a few people should never happen because within the people the solutions lie for any emergency. People have different needs and knowledge in how to keep their bodies healthy, so there should be choices in healing. There are probably enough choices of drugs and supplements available that there is no need to create in emergency a new drug for the new illness. It is a matter of researching which drugs and supplements work and having enough of them. Quantity and availability is important so people should continue to work to not stop all what we need. To make all what we need available, we need to reduce all pollutions (wireless and chemical) to keep our food, water and medicine supplies. This will help rich and poor to have all what they need. It will also make everybody stronger to fight illnesses. Kind Regards, Godelieve Richard
private	It is very important to make a very detailed harm/benefit calculation with all influences, health, economic, psychological, personal. It must be regionally coordinated, as it has now been shown that different areas and different influences have different effects.
Citizen	It is very important to me that local authorities have right to opt out of any measures that those in the community do not support. Clauses supporting national and municipal authorities must be included to protect sovereignty and democracy.
General Public	It is very important to realize that there are not 'one size fits all' measures for every nation or even every individual. It is of the utmost importance to recognize that autonomy and individual rights are paramount. Also of utmost importance is transparency which is the building block for trust and is something spoken of often, promised almost always, but is seldom provided. There must be room to honour, hear and respect differing opinions, arguments, and data. We are born with certain inalienable rights. These rights are not given to us by governments or organizations. Governments and organizations can enforce their powers to take rights away of course, but they should not do so. Not if we have any hope to still live in a free and civil world. Thank you.
Zeytreise	It is very important, that the following things have to be respected: Human nature Self - determination Right for phisically self - determination Privacy
N/A	It is vital for democracy that governments retain autonomy over decisions regarding any future preparedness and implementation of such policies. To avoid a one size fits all , these policies must remain at individual needs of a country .These decisions should also not be implemented without full parliamentary debates and scrutiny by each member country and their elected officials.Future planning must include full transparency of all those involved including declaration of any vested interest or /potential bias which may call judgement into question.
Stand for Health Freedom	It is vital for the United States of America to keep her sovereignty. Any recommendations from the WHO should be just that - recommendations. To have one entity making policy for the world during a pandemic or other emergency is unwise at best. Policies should be adopted and implemented on a local level by the people who will be affected by those decisions.
private citizen	It is vital that any agreement recognize the sovereignty of each Nation and it's laws. The freedom to chose to comply with or to ignore the advice given by the W.H.O. is paramount.
Karen Rodgers	It is vital that any international agreement on any matter , especially health, respects and upholds national soverignty. To this end any treaty should make explicitly clear that; * National governments retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * If a policy is found to have been made by a policy-maker who has profited or is likely to profit financially from its implimentation such policy must be withdrawn and the policy-maker dismissed. * Nations and local municipalities have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * No measures proposed under such an agreement should be applied to a population until and unless those who have the right to vote in the relevant jurisdiction have voted on the measures and a majority have accepted them.
Private individual	It is vital that every country retains autonomy on all matters, especially health. No organisation can mandate a treatment without the informed consent of the individual. The WHO is a corrupt organisation who is owned by private companies and high net worth individuals who directly profit from its recommendations. This must cease and corrupt individuals must be brought to justice. I will not comply with any WHO regulations and neither will many other millions of Englishmen and women.
Private concerned citizen	It is vital that my own country's leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make it's own decisions based on what is in the best interest for their own people. My country's leadership must have the ability to opt out of any and all parts of any agreement as they see fit, with no consequences. The whole process must be open and transparent with the ability for any person in the world to have the ability to vote on. It's vital it includes measures to prevent the application of the global agreement, anywhere , where many people do not want it. Strong measures are vital to stop influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers/persons and private organisations.
individual	It is vital that National and Local leadership retain total and full autonomy, having the right to make decisions based on what is in the best interest for their own people. That the right to opt out of any,or all, portioins of any agreement, by any nation and local municipalitie is upheld and respected, and no consequence is enforced. That all people of our world experience an open and tansparent process, which may mean employing different communication systems according to local cultures and language (including sign language), to thus enable all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it, also that the views and opinions of the minority are acknowledged and fully respected. All measures must be taken to prevent any influence from any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers in this process.
Private citizen	It is vital that national, local and individual sovereignty be fully retained with the right to opt out of any & all parts of the agreement (without penalty) as they deem necessary & appropriate. There needs to be an open & transparent process whereby the world population can participate, and fail-safe measures in place to prevent application of the global agreement in places where people do not want it. There must be strict measures in place that prevent influence by any pharmaceutical company and global health profiteers.
Australian Citizen	It is vital that the individual's sovereign rights as detailed in international agreements be respected in any WHO proposal. The Geneva Convention 1949 Article 32 & 147 of the 1949 Geneva Convention. The Helsinki Declaration August 1975 Lays out the responsibilities of governments with respect to protecting human dignity and human rights. Item 12 covers the requirement that governments exercise: XII Respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, including the freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief. The Universal Declaration on Medical Bioethics and Human Rights (available at: http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html) contains: Article 6 – Consent 1. Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice. At ANY time these preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical interventions are mandated, the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights is being violated!
Australian Citizen	It is vital that the individual's sovereign rights as detailed in international agreements be respected in any WHO proposal. The Geneva Convention 1949 Article 32 & 147 of the 1949 Geneva Convention. The Helsinki Declaration August 1975 Lays out the responsibilities of governments with respect to protecting human dignity and human rights. Item 12 covers the requirement that governments exercise: XII Respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, including the freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief. The Universal Declaration on Medical Bioethics and Human Rights (available at: http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html) contains: Article 6 – Consent 1. Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice. At ANY time these preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical interventions are mandated, the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights is being violated!
Yarralinda School	It is vital that the individual's sovereign rights as detailed in international agreements be respected in any WHO proposal. The Geneva Convention 1949 Article 32 & 147 of the 1949 Geneva Convention. The Helsinki Declaration August 1975 Lays out the responsibilities of governments with respect to protecting human dignity and human rights. Item 12 covers the requirement that governments exercise: XII Respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, including the freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief. The Universal Declaration on Medical Bioethics and Human Rights (available at: http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html) contains: Article 6 – Consent 1. Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice. At ANY time these preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical interventions are mandated, the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights is being violated!

Organization	Written submission
Healthelicious Foods	respected in any WHO proposal. ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ The Geneva Convention 1949 Article 32 & 147 of the 1949 Geneva Convention. ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ The Helsinki Declaration August 1975 Lays out the responsibilities of governments with respect to protecting human dignity and human rights. Item 12 covers the requirement that governments exercise: XII Respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, including the freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief. ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ The Universal Declaration on Medical Bioethics and Human Rights (available at: http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html) contains: Article 6 – Consent 1. Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice. At ANY time these preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical interventions are mandated, the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights is being violated!
N/A	It is vital that the treaty provides flexibility and opt outs for individual nations. A centralised, one-size-fits all approach will almost certainly end up doing more harm than good, overall. If individual countries are to maintain good democratic governance, any pandemic plans or policies introduced must, ultimately, be acceptable to the citizens of that country. And those citizens must have the right to accept or reject said plans or policies at the ballot box. An inflexible treaty, imposed outside of normal democratic means will eventually lose legitimacy in the eyes of individual citizens.
NA	It is vitally important during a pandemic that individual nations retain full autonomy in decision-making for their own country and people. Each nation should reserve the right to determine and decide the best, most optimal response for their own people and country. A "one size fits all" approach on a global scale will not prove most effective. Furthermore, each nation should be able to have the ability to opt in or out of any parts of the agreement as they see fit, without punitive measures being put in place. All processes of the pandemic preparedness and response should be fully transparent, with the ability for all people in individual nations to be able to vote on the global agreement, thereby enabling a failsafe measure for rejecting any measures which the majority of people do not want! Furthermore, a critical point to note is that measures must be put in place to prevent the influence in the process by any large pharmaceutical entities or global health profiteers. The WHO should not receive funding or any financial incentives from these entities to ensure all decisions surrounding pandemic preparedness and response are based on beneficial health policies and follow all bioethics principles.
private citizen	It is vitally important that all components, actions and requirements that affect individual human beings have an explicit opt-out clause so that any natural person, group of people, town, city, area, region or country can choose not to implement any or all of the provisions. This is so that the sovereign rights of individuals, countries and other defined geographies are maintained and protected.
NA	It is vitally important that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, should remain without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures must take place that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be taken. The needs and health of the free people of the world should at all times be put before the profits of big companies and the wishes of the globalist elite.
Art2pwr!	It is vitally important that there be included oversight by the populace and that any decision that would go against a constitutional component of any country must be voted on by the populace. I do not feel it is in the best interest of people to have umbrella decisions made that affect individuals or remove anyones sovereign rights. There must be provisions to opt out of any WHO/international mandate by each country, at the will of the people.
Stubbs Cottage Studio	It is with disbelief I hear with such short notice the intention to put the worlds freedoms under the umbrella of the WHO I've the past 2 year debacle of Covid, I have felt totally unsatisfied and lost trust in the WHO, as have many people globally. It is important people have medical autonomy, countries have the right to decide independently from a pharmaceutical governed and funded corporation. The idea that medical/ vaccines etc can be rushed through without the normal 10 year trials is reprehensible , dangerous and downright unethical This is nothing more than a power grab and who is voting for this? A democratic society has body autonomy…..a dictatorship does not. I will challenge this world governance of unacceptable control, as will many .
Team Iowa	It is with grave concern that we will not comply to any International treaties created by the WHO. The WHO has no authority over the people of the United States, nor will we ever allow them to have jurisdiction within the United States. That includes the United Nations, or any other foreign body.
Free Citizen	It is with great sadness that I am forced to plea that the WHO declines to assume complete power & control over world health. Every country needs to have the authority to make their own individual decisions in relation to the needs of their citizens. One size DOES NOT fit all!!!!
General public	It is wrong and unethical for a few individuals to presume to decide on the welfare of the many. Humanity is characterised by diversity and discourse. A few cannot know better than the majority. WHO can gladly provide this platform, but must not influence or sanction individual decision-making, especially the health and peaceful development of the individual. A kind of separation of powers must be implemented here to guarantee absolute transparency with regard to data, funding, connections (qui bono) and to prevent, for example, enrichment at the expense of third parties. Trust in state crisis management has been squandered in recent years, so I cannot imagine that an even higher-ranking organisation can do better.
-	It is wrong to force people to get vaccinated against their will, especially since the covid vaccines don't work and are not proven to be safe or effective. If we no longer have bodily autonomy we are basically slaves. Human rights are extremely important and shouldn't go out the window every time another pandemic is released.
Private	It ist not necessary, because the pandemic ist nearly over, every single country has its laws in Human Rights were no private Organisation like the WHO should take the helm! The WHO gets the Money from some rich people like Bill Gates, who deal with the Pharma Companys! That's not right!!
None	It must assure inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
non political for freedom to chose	It must be a non political element to produce a healthy environment for professional decisions. Restricting human freedom to pursue political and capitalist appetites is undemocratic and unacceptable. Vaccination remains the decision of the individual, without compromising his or her professional and personal integrity. EU must stay an democratic area! Regards from Nada Munih
Independent School of Philosophy	It must be agreed: 1. All national sovereignty must be retained in every circumstance and all national and local leadership retain full rights to in every area to make their own decisions for their own people. 2. All nations and state and local governments must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. All people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it and all WHO and US documentation relating to the matter, including agreements with states, to be accessible to all. 4. There cannot be any funding, advice or other involvement by commercial entities including especially any pharmaceutical companies or other global health businesses.
private person	It must be entrenched that no individual nation or local leaders of a nation should cede their country's and their citizens' autonomy. These leaders must be able to make autonomous decisions based on what is best for their country's citizens. All citizens in each country must have a say on what their individual leaders decide. Nations or local municipalities, councils or similar must be able to opt out of any and all sections of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence or harm. There must be a transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including non discriminatory measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people of a country do not want it. There must be measures in place that do not allow any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to influence outcomes.
	It must be guaranteed that each state retains its sovereignty, including each individual personally. Human rights and dignity must also be guaranteed, and there should be legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
NONE	It must be guaranteed that each state retains its sovereignty, including each individual personally. Human rights and dignity must also be guaranteed, and there should be legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
private	It must be impossible to give such power to an absolutely undemocratic organisation as WHO is. I can only say: #StopTheTreaty!
self	It must be left to theindividual states and countries, to decide what health decisions are made so that the people have a say in the decisions. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions. We must not allow one entity to make healthmdecisions on any event. It excludes the public on decisions for their own healthcare. It denies freedom of healthcare choices for individuals. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The WHO cannot violate its own constitution by making worldwide healthcare decisions. Do not take personal healthcare decisions and rights away from the people. The US must preserve it's power to make its own decisions.
TravelMedCenter Austria	It must be made clear and sure, that the autonomy of each member state must NEVER be compromised in any way. Guidance by international bodies should be communicated by and limited to recommendations only as we learned from the past: the more serious the situation the more likely that member states adhere to fact based recommendations anyhow. Thus any mandate or force is simply not necessary.
private citizen	It must be recognized in any new instrument that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Any agreement should be driven by what benefits the people - not giant pharmaceutical companies or other global health providers. The process should be absolutely open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should reject anything that centralises control and paves the way for totalitarianism. Nations and local communities should be able to opt out if their interests are threatened. I do fear that criminal elements will use such a treaty for their own ends and I reject any agreement that will centralise power in such a way that this is possible and the rights of ordinary citizens are trampled upon.
Member of public	It must ensure that there are measures in place so that pharmaceutical industry cannot influence any pandemic response and profit as a result. It should include the ability for all nations to opt out of any measures if they feel they are not right for their population, without any consequences. An open and transparent process where all people of the world can vote on proposed measures to ensure measures the people do not want (like lock downs or mandated vaccines) cannot be introduced when the people do not want them. It must ensure that countries can maintain their own rule of law and have the right to do what they believe is right for their country and citizens.
Privat person	It must have inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights. This is stepping over the line. Stop this noe!
none	It must include a provision that makes it clear that every countries constitution and laws take precedence over all pronouncements and guidelines from the WHO.
citizen	It must include freedom for all countries to do what is in their best interest. Member countries and their citizens DO NOT have to follow the WHO recommendations. Doctors MUST be allowed to practice as they see fit, including prescribing repurposed drugs NOT APPOVED by the WHO, NIH, etc they believe will work through informed consent. No prevention of access to FDA approved drugs for off-label use. INFORMED CONSENT. NO VAX MANDATES. No Lockdowns - they were recently proven not to work and only increased harm to public health, especially children. Swedish model was the best as they still have a functioning society whereas the US does not. Doctors, scientists and ordinary citizens not part of the WHO and government bodies MUST NOT BE CENSORED IF THEY DO NO AFREE. Under no circumstances must the WHO or its members take money from any party that can profit by decisions. Respectfully this means you dump your #2 donor, Bill Gates.
Independent and Free Citizen	It must include full Autonomy 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	It must include guarantees of state and individual sovereignty.
Who	It must include provision for sovereignty of individual countries and for individual citizens. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without negative consequences. Empower medical community to carry out their professional role unimpeded and unrestricted. Do not allow human rights and individual choice to be overruled; one size does not fit all. Ensure pharmacological industry cannot influence any treaty, outcome or decision. Ensure it is only a guideline and not a set of compulsory rules for members. Provide for transparency in decisions, proposed changes and reasons for including or excluding certain provisions. Openly seek full participation from individual citizens and members of all medical communities in creating and updating any treaty. Ensure that each country in the treaty allows and promotes full consultation with their citizens prior to agreeing to the treaty
Individual	It must include the guarantee of state and individual sovereignty. Human rights need to be respected and not undermined by propaganda science. All parties involved be it government or pharmaceutical companies must remain legally liable for any damages caused by there actions and products/services.
Team Menschenrechte	It must not contain anything beyond a mere recommendation, otherwise democratic procedures in the member countries will be undermined. NO disease is worth dividing a society permanently and NO organisation has the right to undermine democratic decision-making.
None	It needs a fundamental understanding throughout it that there needs to be a diversity of approach to tackling disease, not just freshly patented vaccines and other new drugs. It is so easy to see through the corporate elements of pandemic responses especially after Covid. How people can develop their own immunity using diet and understanding of the microbiome is real immune health and ignoring this in favour of pharmaceutical products does not promote diversity and understanding of the different ways people across the world may approach their health.
-	It needs respect and regard for the individual constitutions. It needs the freedom of states to go their own ways. But it also needs respect of the states for human dignity and no paternalism of the states. Individual responsibility instead of totalitarian guidelines.
Self	It needs the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. In short, a pro human treaty, not further consolidation of government and business and financial power. No lockdowns, no mask requirements, no vaccine requirements. Emergencies may be declared only locally through super-majority vote of citizens. Any lies by government or business or NGO are punished with mandatory prison for the officials.
self	It needs to include: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen of the US	It now is becoming more obvious that a one blanket fits all method as was applied here in the US would have been more effective if governmental decisions had been left to the individual states. Resulting in more flexibility to respond to new information and observation and to what was working in other states and cites.
Private	It remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area and each human being retain their own decision making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area and themselves. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corporation, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	It remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area retain their own decision making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corporation, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
----	It remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area retain their own decision making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corporation, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
House of Jones	It remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area retain their own decision making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corporation, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. A democratic process of individual sovereignty local to one's own country and unilateral process of governance by consent of the people within that country and not foreign powers making global decisions that impact locally with devastating consequences, where the global powers are so far removed as to not be aware of that impact and devastation caused by their decision. This a disgusting abuse of power, and greed and does not have my consent for the WHO to make any decisions for my health and family, and also based around my health of the living man, representing the principle legal embodiment.
Eat Drink Live Well	It remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area retain their own decision making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corporation, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NHS	It remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area retain their own decision making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corporation, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I absolutely 100 percent believe this.
none - member of general public	It remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area retain their own decision making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corporation, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
pjmc2	It remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area retain their own decision making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corporation, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Earth Talent	It remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area retain their own decision making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corporation, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	It remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area retain their own decision making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corporation, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There must be full sovereignty for all countries under any agreements, to do as they, individually, see fit in the incidence of global pandemics etc All human beings should have full body autonomy, to choose whether they have medical procedures or treatments, with NO consequences for refusal to take part in WHO governed practices or medical guidelines.
Ingrid Resnitschek	It remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area retain their own decision making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corporation, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It must also always uphold the individual sovereign rights of every human being to have full body autonomy, the right to make fully informed choices on any restrictive measures, medical treatment under the Nuremberg code and Hippocratic Oath, etc. And no penalties for non- compliance of any measures suggested. Plus the right to access other alternative treatments and immunity supporting measures as we choose.
The Nutrition Clinic Limited	It remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area retain their own decision-making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corproration, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	It seems as if the WHO has already decided that there will be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I am strongly against it!!
Individual	It seems best to have these decisions made with the rights of national and local leadership to address and maintain. So many parts of the world and society have different needs and circumstances. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Fine Art Consultancy	It seems clear from the last 2+ years that the only response to any transmissable disease - which has any hope of success in lessening its impact on people - is one that is tailored to that particular country. In situations like this one size fits all will never work. Countries should retain their own autonomy and governance and set their own rules. Infectious diseases and their spread are influenced by the individual environments and populations they find themselves in. The governments of those populations need to set their own rules and make their own risk/benefit assessments when deciding how to proceed. In addition decisions and rules should be made by those who are independent and do not stand to gain in any way from the policy that is being set - would we every allow a drug company who supply drugs to control diabetes to develop policy to help people prevent diabetes ? This conflict of interest applies to the control of infectious disease too. Countries should set their own rules and those with any conflict of interest eg. Drug companies/suppliers of tests etc etc should not be part of the decision making.
None.	It seems most important for me that national and even regional governments keep full autonomy and will retain the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own population. Governments should be able to refrain from implementing any kind of the agreement, which ought to be on a purely voluntary basis only. Any measure propsed by the WHO must not be influenced in any way by any and pharmaceutical company, which presupposes that the funding of the WHO's work is re-evaluated. The interests of particulars should not count at all.

Organization	Written submission
Individual citizen	It seems premature to rush into an overarching global treaty before analyzing, over a period of years, the problems and pitfalls of similar and disparate global responses to Covid-19 and its variants. Global agreements should respect the sovereignty of each nation, as well as the sovereignty of states within nations. They should respect the rights of individuals to make health choices particular to their medical needs, ethics, personal risks, and those of family members. The profound relationship between doctor and patient must be honored to give space for treatments decided by this covenant, even if treatments fall outside of WHO global directives. And that such choices of preferred treatment or the decline of treatment carry with it no criminal or social punishment. Global health agreements must not seek to influence the decisions of citizens beyond their medical provenance and must honor Nuremberg Codes. Global agreements should not set into stone pandemic directives but provide a flexible road map of possible emergency plans. Rather than a pandemic treaty, it would be more providential to create an Alliance of unbiased scientists and doctors from a wide range of disciplines to collaborate on a variety of protocols. The World Health Organization must guarantee that future alliances will not be influenced by the pharmaceutical industry, either directly or indirectly. WHO must not implement a global pandemic treaty undermining national and individual health sovereignty under any circumstance. This is not a judicious use of world expertise. Regional decision-making and unbiased scientific evidence must prevail. Respectfully submitted,
FDLD	It shall be safeguarded that there are effective mechanisms to make responsible both authorities and pharmaceutical manufacturers for any damages caused by measures said to deal with a pandemic. Furthermore, it shall be safeguarded that countries remain fully souvereign regarding their decisions how to deal with a pandemic and to classify a situation concerning public health as pandemic. Overall, it shall be safeguarded that no person is forced by any means to participate in a therapy or restricted in any other way not compatible with fundamental freedom rights, particularly the freedom of thought, conscience and religion.
Universitiy Linz Austria	It shall be safeguarded that there are effective mechanisms to make responsible both authorities and pharmaceutical manufacturers for any damages caused by measures said to deal with a pandemic. Furthermore, it shall be safeguarded that countries remain fully souvereign regarding their decisions how to deal with a pandemic and to classify a situation concerning public health as pandemic. Overall, it shall be safeguarded that no person is forced by any means to participate in a therapy or restricted in any other way not compatible with fundamental freedom rights, particularly the freedom of thought, conscience and religion. best regards Astrid Deixler-Hübner
Roland Orthner	It shall be safeguarded that there are effective mechanisms to make responsible both authorities and pharmaceutical manufacturers for any damages caused by measures said to deal with a pandemic. Furthermore, it shall be safeguarded that countries remain fully souvereign regarding their decisions how to deal with a pandemic and to classify a situation concerning public health as pandemic. Overall, it shall be safeguarded that no person is forced by any means to participate in a therapy or restricted in any other way not compatible with fundamental freedom rights, particularly the freedom of thought, conscience and religion.
---	It shall be safeguarded that there are effective mechanisms to make responsible both authorities and pharmaceutical manufacturers for any damages caused by measures said to deal with a pandemic. Furthermore, it shall be safeguarded that countries remain fully souvereign regarding their decisions how to deal with a pandemic and to classify a situation concerning public health as pandemic. Overall, it shall be safeguarded that no person is forced by any means to participate in a therapy or restricted in any other way not compatible with fundamental freedom rights, particularly the freedom of thought, conscience and religion.
Todor-Kostic Rechtsanwälte	It shall be safeguarded that there are effective mechanisms to make responsible both authorities and pharmaceutical manufacturers for any damages caused by measures said to deal with a pandemic. Furthermore, it shall be safeguarded that countries remain fully souvereign regarding their decisions how to deal with a pandemic and to classify a situation concerning public health as pandemic. Overall, it shall be safeguarded that no person is forced by any means to participate in a therapy or restricted in any other way not compatible with fundamental freedom rights, particularly the freedom of thought, conscience and religion. Best regards Silke Todor-Kostic
The Driscoll Family	It should acknowledge that each individual and their doctor should be recognized as the ultimate authority in each individual's health care journey. The doctor of my son with multiple special needs, and I, know him best and what is best/harmful for him and his body. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Communication with Doctors around the world should be kept open, acknowledged and encouraged, unless their financial and other conflicts of interest could at all influence their opinions. Doctors should not be coerced or bribed into promoting certain decisions. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public citizen	It should be a fundamental part of the instrument that it is for Guidance Only. The WHO is an unelected body - that is, it has not been elected by the worldwide citizens it serves. This MUST be acknowledged, as must the sovereignty of the nations it claims to serve. It cannot dictate a universal, one-size-fits-all global policy about any health issue, and this fact must be included in any declaration. The freedom of individual nations and peoples to choose their response to a pandemic must be acknowledged and be a fundamental part. It must be declared that bodily autonomy of the individual will not be compromised in any plans; indeed it must be openly declared that bodily autonomy is a fundamental human right which cannot be overruled by the WHO, in any circumstances. The WHO must acknowledge an individual Nation's right to listen to its elected people and respond accordingly.
None; private individual.	It should be advisory only. While the WHO is well intended, any more than advice or recommendations would ultimately take away individuals' freedom - the WHO must recognise human nature and its self interest. Freedom of democratic countries to decide for themselves, keeps the power in the hands of their people. While this is uncomfortable for policy makers who think they know best, it's the proven way to tap into the intelligence of everyday people and to avoid drifting into the vested interests of the powerful few.
Everything Art	It should be all about the data, science and evidence that is known to that point in time. Everything to be shared and debated by all people and Doctors. No censorship of individuals by any government, news media or by Big Tech. Priority preserving human dignity, Freedom and Liberty. Seeking God always in Pray.
Private Citizen	It should be an essential element of any international pandemic protocol that any nation or local government is free to opt out of it, without penalty, and operate according to the needs, wishes, and rights of the local people. It should ban pharmaceutical companies (and any party with financial interests) from having any influence in shaping the protocol. It should ultimately guarantee the individual's sovereign autonomy over their own body, so that freedom NOT to consent to medical intervention is always maintained, without repercussion. Thank you.
wordgameLM	It should be clearly stated that each country is sovereign in matters of pandemic preparedness and response. Any international instrument for this purpose issued by the WHO should just be a way of guiding and advising countries and should by no means be compulsory. It should be up to each sovereign country and member state to make all final decisions in matters of pandemic preparedness and response.
na	It should be down to locally elected governments, there isn't a need for a global body as each should be able to make their own decision about these issues for their own countries and the people in them.
N/A	It should be included in this new agreement that all sovereign nations (and states within those nations) reserve the right to determine which of the responses to a pandemic they agree to, and receive no punitive action should such a nation/state decide to do so. All aspects of the Nuremberg code should be included in this agreement, and individual human beings should not be forced, coerced or otherwise threatened with any punitive measures if they choose to decline treatment, whether experimental or not, should they so decide. Informed consent and the right to refuse should be absolutely guaranteed for every human being on earth.
Individual	It should be included that democratic selected governments of countries keep the autonomy to decide if what is proposed is to the benefit of the people that elected them. They need to keep the right to opt out without penalties Any decisions to support health needs to be independent and unbiased. Supported by science NOT funded by any party with a financial benefit of the proposed health measures. No profit can be made of any of the health measures in the case of a pandemic and intellectual property shared to the benefit of all. Transparency in any newly developed medicine or proposed health treatment with full disclosure of trial data, independent from the pharmaceutical company
None	It should be included the most important point for every nation: The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
no organisation, private	It should be indcludet, that every country has to decide himself how to act in case of pandemic, because in a pandemic situation it is necessary to act directly. The WHO shold advise the countrys. The fundamental rights of every human being had to be guaranted, human righs must be protected first of all. Thank you.
Lancashire teaching hospitals	It should be individual choice how you manage your own health and well-being during a pandemic. Human rights and autonomy MUST NOT be removed and decisions must not be taken on others behalf. Mass testing and vaccination must not go ahead and people must not be made to have any vaccination they do not wish to have. During the course of the recent pandemic decisions have been made without any rationale or supporting evidence that they actually work and anyone trying to go against the narrative has been silenced. This must never be allowed to happen again Each person has the right to refuse any medical treatment or restriction and this must be adhered to
None	It should be left to individual countries and states. NOT THE WHO!
The Human Race	It should be left to individual countries how they deal with issues within their own borders, including those arising from pandemic. One organization should NOT lord so much power over sovereign countries.
none	It should be left to national governments to decide.
Private	IT should be mentioned urgently, that WHO is a private Organisation with no right at all to controll people! You are not elected by any folk Organisation parlament. Shame on you!!!
PERSONNAL	It should be taken into account the real state of health of each person individually including taking into account all that has been hidden by his own government with the help of the lobby of Big Pharma and Big Chemistry before deploying a vaccine which will do some people more harm than good.
Family	It should be the responsibility of the WHO to insure all countries and all peoples have "equal" access to "impartial" information and treatments, not only vaccines BUT "early treatments", medical professionals know save lives. The day Pharma, CDC, FDA, NIH and others were allowed to grab power and control the narrative, is the day we saw mortality rates go up and many lives were lost unnecessarily. Global control by the WHO and the World Economic Forum is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. As an American, I strongly oppose ANY agency, organization or forum from imposing their policies/mandates on individuals. The day the WHO and the World Economic Forum take control of the world, is the day humanity dies!!
Citizen	It should be the right of states and individuals to choose their responses to biological threats, especially when the effects of the different health remedies (vaccines, ivermectin, etc...) are not known well enough, and scientific research is subject to flaws (see Lancet study on hydroxychloroquine) and conflicts of interest with companies who are interested in specific treatments and can influence political decisions. For example, Gates, GAVI and WHO. The "instrument" should protect the freedom of humans to choose their medical treatments, if it is not intended to promote a form a totalitarism that would be a threat to humanity. Ultimately, the people (you) who take these decisions are morally responsible of their acts. The freedom of future generations depend on your choices now, to follow what your hierarchy tells you, even if you know it is dangerous for your fellow humans, or to stand for what you believe is true. Be wise. Some hopes for humanity rely on you.
Personal capacity	It should be up for governments to decide on pandemic planning, not the WHO. The WHO should be in an advisory role only, never be given the power to direct Lockdowns. This will cause chaos and has the potential to be abused depending on the personality holding that power. This pandemic has been extremely badly handled by the WHO and giving them more power in a similar situation would compound the issues we have seen thus far. China has had far too much influence on the WHO & this could extend their reach over the west. This is unthinkable in the extreme. Sometimes the best ideas have the worst consequences when put in practice. In the strongest terms, this is an awful idea.
N/A	It should be up to each country impacted to decide on its course of action for any virus. As you can see in China, NZ or Australia that their approach has been far more draconian and hasn't worked than, say the UK or parts of the US. Would you advocate lockdowns or vaccine mandates worldwide? That would impinge upon peoples right to autonomy and civil liberties and a one size fits all approach at a global scale simply will not work. History will also show that what worked well for one country may not have worked well for others. The democratically elected government should have the power to chose its best response for its people and not the WHO... this is not a good idea.
No	It should be up to individual countries to assess their health risk to any medical emergency or pandemic. The WHO is an unelected body and it receives vast amounts of funding from Pharmaceutical companies and those who stand to benefit greatly from a pandemic being declared.
European	It should bei forbidden
General Public	It should contain elements that ensure that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It should protect the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process should be open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should contain measures that do not allow for ANY influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, and be completely independent of business or political interests.
Citizen of Germany	It should contain: Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
General public	It should guarantee national and local leadership full autonomy in deciding in what is best for their citizens. Respect of the sovereignty of the individual and his/her freedom rights. Should include measures that assure that there is no influence by industries such as Pharma! Should allow scientific discourse and apply an "advocate de diabolo", who stands for the other perspective to avoid group biased decisions! This is all essential out of an social psychologist perspective.
Privat	IT should include for the people - pillars of natural law and civil liberties such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice. People should have the right to decide their own actions dir their health.
Auri	It should include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for individuals enforcing any actions or treatments on individuals or entire countries.
Personal	It should include that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
not applicable	It should include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	It should include the right for National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, and to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ireland	It should include the right of Local and national governments to have full autonomy to decide what's best for their citizens. It should also include the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. The processs should be open and transparent, it should include failsafe measures to prevent the application of global government where the majority of citizens in a country do not want it. It should also include guarantees that no pharmaceutical companies will have any input in the agreement or application of the agreement
Private	It should include the sovereignty of every state, every individual, respect for human rights and the legal liability of government officials or pharmaceutical companies.
Private individual	It should include Guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, Human rights respected Legal liability of government, officials, and companies for decisions and products. Comprehensive review of existing medical treatments for alleviation of the new infection Ongoing assessment of any proposed treatments for efficacy, safety and side effects. Accessible reporting procedures for side effects Appropriate compensation for dibilitating side effects
NHS	It should include: (a) Guarantee to allow people to continue to travel without being asked to undergo any type of forced medical procedure; (B) Should guarantee that sovereign governments can listen to recommendations but no legal requirement to follow WHO rules; (C) that a pandemic and subsequent rules cannot be declared and applied without a very large unquestionable data (not unreliable predictions) before declaring a pandemic; (D) that lockdowns are admitted to be negligibly effective and therefore never mandated; (E) that masks are negligibly effective and therefore optional; (F) that doctors maintain their right to be familiar with guidelines but free to treat as they feel appropriate - not as authorities or insurance firms direct them.
US citizen	It should include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	It should include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All measures must first and foremost consider basic human rights and be consistent with the Nuremberg code. 6. The measures must respect and uphold the privacy of citizens as well as the doctor - patient relationship. 7. There must be safeguards against censorship of alternative views.
MSc Global Public Health Nutrition University of Westminster	It should includes the guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and freedom of choice, legal liability for government officials, regulatory agencies and pharmaceutical companies that impose experimental products on the population throughout coercion and manipulation and finally access to early treatments and prevention respectively.
None	It should never be above any constitution or law of any country! Every country MUST decide how to approach pandemics itself ! It's disgrace how FREEDOM of people is being taken away as an excuse. NO FOR WHO TRACTACT !
Private individual	It should not be allowed that unelected people in an organisation funded by commercial interests be in control of any country overriding their sovereignty. A large unwieldy global corporation is unlikely to be in the best position to deal with any potential health crisis. It is apparent from information now available that health crises have been and will continue to be manufactured to push pharmaceutical interventions on nations to their detriment. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	It should not be an international WHO treaty on global pandemic preparedness and response. Such as should be left to to individual s. The WHO treaty on global pandemic would be violation of countries sovereignty and violation of personal liberties of the people. I do not want anyone but myself to make decisions about my health. It is not moral and non ethical. I strongly oppose the WHO treaty on global pandemic preparedness and response!
American Frontline doctors	It should not be changed
Children's Health Defense	It should not be up to anyone or any government/ group besides the person deciding what they put in their body/ their child's body. No one should have power over what is injected into another one's body. Leave it up to the individual to choose what they feel is right for them/ their children.
None	It should remain the responsibility of national leaders to decide what is best for a nation's people. Nations should be able to adopt, or not, any parts of the agreement as they wish. Individuals should retain the right to bodily autonomy regarding medical procedures. People should be allowed to vote on whether they wish to adopt the global agreement, and if a majority do not want it, then no adoption should be undertaken.. Those who profit from global measures (such as pharmaceutical companies) should not be permitted to influence decisions.
None	It should remain the responsibility of national leaders to decide what is best for a nation's people. Nations should be able to adopt, or not, any parts of the agreement as they wish. Individuals should retain the right to bodily autonomy regarding medical procedures. People should be allowed to vote on whether they wish to adopt the global agreement, and if a majority do not want it, then no adoption should be undertaken.. Those who profit from global measures (such as pharmaceutical companies) should not be permitted to influence decisions.
World citizen	It should: 1. Allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving to them the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Provide the ability of nations and localities to opt out of ANY AND ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, without negative consequences or punishments. 3. Be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to review raw data, debate options, and vote on measures that would apply to their locale. 4. Include failsafe measures to prevent the application of the "international instrument's" decisions in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I write as a private individual	It strikes me that any international protocols must allow for democratic accountability at national level. There should be no 'one size fits all' response, so nations must be able to derogate from any element of the proposed treaty.
Salutation"	It time for the W.H.O. to get out of the business of forcing bad health advice on to the public. Each person has the right to decide what steps to take or not take regarding their health. The only thing that the W.H.O. has accomplished is to force toxic "remedies" down the throat of the public, while all the while denying that natural solutions have any value.....the same solutions that worked for hundreds of years. Get out of the governing business and pay more attention to non-toxic remedies !!!
Private	It was #HumanRights before to intervene in to a sovereign Nation and now, the West using the DG of World Health Organization (WHO), Tedros Adhanom, proposed to apply the so called 'Global Pandemic Agreement' to gain 'Undemocratic Rights' to intervene in any Nation. I object the proposal !! #NoMore #StopTheTreaty
America	It Whom It May Concer; There is not one person I know in America that wants to give up their rights after the Horrible job you have done with the CoVid pandemic. There haven't been a definitive solution(s) coming from your organization that was life-saving. In fact, it has been quite the opposite. What happened to FACTUAL, data-driven, and rigorously tested science? Not the political-theater you call "science" that has been used on the entire planet for 2+years. We don't desire your "help" with our health because you failed so epically it almost looks like it on purpose. Was it? America is a sovereign nation with a US Constitution and individual state constitutions. We will continue to living by these foundational laws and seek our own healthcare privately when needed. Thank you for showing us your "capabilities" and intentions. America says... "Stay in your lane"! There will be no global pandemic authority over our country.
none	It will be essential to collect, and rapidly and globally disseminate all available information about the nature of a possible or current disease-causing biological agent(s); as well as all science-based recommendations to contain, reduce and suppress the agent(s), and its injurious/lethal harms. The recommendations should include all possible drugs (to include repurposed drugs), treatment methods, and medical protocols that have been proven effective or that may be effective in reducing the adverse effects of the agent(s). As new vaccines take a lengthy time to assess their efficacy and safety, vaccines should not be the main focus or priority of this effort to treat people in a pandemic. To ensure democratic and humane treatment on a global population of varied cultural, social, racial, religious, and other demographics, the WHO should conscientiously refrain from mandating a one-size-fits-all strategy for addressing a pandemic. Debates about various strategies among all medical, scientific, social and psychological experienced experts should be allowed to exist in determining all possible avenues for treatment of the disease(s) and the mitigation of the pandemic.
Private	It will help if you just stop lying.
N/a	It would appear the WHO is intent to supercede the World's own legistration on their handling of the health of their nations. Where is the right of the public to decide via debate, media discussion and referendum. There appears a more sinister role in this Treaty can control of the World on a whim by introducing lockdowns and other draconian measures. This will lead to the collapse of countries economies, the destruction of livelihood and eventually a slave society of nations. The actions of this Treaty will undermine the human rights of every individual's bodily integrity. It is known the pharmaceutical vaccines which are still in experimental stage have led to adverse effects and possible deaths. Therefore there will be concern our Governments will not being able to halt rollout if they are concerned of any effects to the newer developped substances. There seems to be a element whereby this Treaty will benefit on a political and financial aim by many global elites. Likewise, the Big Pharmaceutical will benefit as vaccines will be seen as a regular 'cash cow'.
USA	It would be a gross submission of sovereignty unless first and foremost, national and local governments retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make all decisions based on what is best for their own sovereign people whom elected them. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Strict adherence to the Nuremberg Code; under no circumstances should civilians be subjected to biological and/or chemical "therapies" without their informed consent through full disclosure of such therapies, and without coercion. And most importantly, strict measures to prohibit influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other profiteers.
no organization	It would be counterproductive for developing democracy and the rule of law for an NGO to have control over the legislative and enforcement power in society. I therefore reject to all degrees the direction in which this legislation is going and opt to leave full control over the health of citizens with the individual citizens themselves. I support sharing knowledge and efforts between countries but reject mandatory ruling over individual lives, and even more health issues, by economically inspired organizations. Health, life and death have in their fundament a spiritual dimension that needs to be cultivated by the individual and needs to receive - from a democratic governement-- support and freedom to fully develop in human beings, in accordance with regional and cultural customs. Inspiring education, healthy nutrition, good sanitary conditions and a humane moral climate will prove to be more supportive for the overall wellbeing of humans than enforcing laws that rule the world in its totality.
de uelenspieghel	It would be counterproductive to develop democracy and the rule of law for an NGO to have control over the legislative and enforcement power in society. I reject to all degrees the direction in which this legislation is going and opt to leave full control over the health of citizens with the individual citizens themselves. In case of covid or other virus deseases , no vaccin requirement for those who already had it. More Transparancy to make sure business interests of vaccin companies do not influence the political system, media and healthcare.
NPS	It would be counterproductive to develop democracy and the rule of law for an NGO to have control over the legislative and enforcement power in society. I therefore reject to all degrees the direction in which this legislation is going and opt to leave full control over the health of citizens with the individual citizens themselves.

Organization	Written submission
NPS	It would be counterproductive to develop democracy and the rule of law for an NGO to have control over the legislative and enforcement power in society. I therefore reject to all degrees the direction in which this legislation is going and opt to leave full control over the health of citizens with the individual citizens themselves.
NA	It would be counterproductive to develop democracy and the rule of law for an NGO to have control over the legislative and enforcement power in society. I therefore reject to all degrees the direction in which this legislation is going and opt to leave full control over the health of citizens with the individual citizens themselves.
Member of the public	It would be entirely unacceptable and a serious violation of human rights to mandate any vaccination for any future pandemic, particularly any vaccine which has not been sufficiently tested. The Covid-19 vaccine is an experimental medical treatment which has failed to reduce case levels and which there is evidence to suggest is driving the evolution of more variants. The Pfizer trial data, released under court order, showed that the vaccinated group had higher overall mortality than the unvaccinated group. Doctors and nurses are reporting unprecedented levels of blood clots, heart issues and stroke in previously healthy individuals who were not necessarily affected by the virus. Statistics show that vaccinated individuals have higher case rates after 90 days and higher overall mortality. Life insurance CEOs and BlackRock financiers are speaking about the higher rate of death they are now seeing from multiple causes in vaccinated people. The underreported VAERS/Eudravigilance data show tens of thousands of deaths and serious injury from this vaccine. Increasing numbers of citizens internationally are refusing to have boosters and are becoming aware that the influence of pharmaceuticals companies and the WHO on governments/mainstream media is unacceptable and unsafe. Vaccine mandates would be resisted by millions of people and would cause unprecedented chaos, anger and mistrust. Governments should have the right to decide for themselves, after democratic consultation with their citizens, the best way to keep people safe during a pandemic. Please see: immunologist Geert Vanden Bossche's letter to the WHO. Also see: the British Medical Journal on Pfizer safety data fraud.
(individual)	It would be helpful for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response to include suggestions, and results of third-party broadly-studied research showing both pros and cons, that national and local leaders could choose from to present to the people of the country they serve, if they felt that any of the provided suggestions/research would be in the best health interests of those in their country. It is of utmost importance that this document include language indicating recognition that national and local leaders retain the right to choose which information from the document to present to their own people, and that any nation can opt out, without consequence, of any suggestion contained within the document. And this document should include language recognizing the sovereignty of the individual person, such that national and local leaders may present suggestions from the document to their constituents, but ultimately, it is up to each individual to determine what health measures are best for their own bodies. The document should include an open, transparent means by which globally, all people are able to vote on the document, and that tenets of the document not agreed to by the majority of people in an area not be enforced. And the document should include a fully transparent process of providing third-party-evaluated information that's not influenced by, or leads to the profit of, any and all pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers, individuals, or organizations. Thank you
General Public	It would be important to have the following included in this new international instrument: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	It would be important to include in an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response the following points: 1. There should be the ability of nations and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. 2. It must be an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the application of the of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people don't want it. 3. There need to be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and all global health profiteers. 4. National and local leadership would need to have full autonomy for their own people.
None	It would be very dangerous to put such decision-making in one organisation. With all new situations, it is critical to seek solutions from all sources. In this pandemic, many sources were ignored or censored to the detriment of the patients.
Citizen	It would imperative to include provisions for countries and individual states and persons to chose the best fit for their own personal health
Self	It would not be in the interest of citizens of this world to have one entity decide health decisions for all. This is a terrifying idea and I am absolutely against it.
American Citizen	It would not be prudent for the USA to enter into any global pandemic treaty, as to do so would remove sovereignty from the US and would endanger the health and welfare of American citizens. The health decisions for each country need to remain the responsibility of each country, including and especially when an emergency exists. Each country knows best how to address the needs of their citizens. What's good for one is rarely good for all. WHO does not presently have power over the health decisions of the US yet, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Just say no!
Privat	It' s an integral need that acute decisions are made on the base of real and scientific research and not by economic and piltical interest of financial supporters as the WHO has. No pandemic contract for the WHO on the behalf of mankind. An unlimited influenza and power should NEVER been given to any organisation or person.
N/a	It's a "no" from me to the idea of the U.K. signing any international treaty on pandemic response that passes powers to a supranational organisation that has no democratic accountability.
n/a	It's a mad idea, but since certain individuals & nations have pretty much taken over the WHO, I think it's a certainty that, if this new treaty gets signed, within a few years at most, a "public health emergency of international concern" will be declared, and all currently sovereign nations will become controlled subsidiaries of WHO. No government should even have the power to throw their country over to a third party. If that happened, they'd never give it back. Imagine there's a new pathogen spreading across the world. Nobody, anywhere, knows what the best response should be. By definition it's not known. History teaches us that we alight most rapidly upon probable best courses of action, not from modelling, but from empirical evidence. Running a large number of experiments, based on the smartest public health, medical & scientific brains, will quickly tell us what kinds of responses are helpful & which are not. Maintaining very good communication makes sure lessons learned are shared quickly. The worst conceivable response would be to place the decision making power in the hands of a single body. They'll likely run one experiment. We'll never learn the counterfactual. On this basis, I don't even understand why anyone would fall for the idiotic notion that letting WHO have the controls would be a great idea. Even if they were honest & competent.
Member of the public	It's a simple NO!
Change.org	It's common sense that not all countries have the same issues at the same time. If a group is making decisions for countries, the countries are controlled by the group. The group then controls all countries? We are a free country in the US. We are the last free country. We know that our elite hate the American values and constitution that allowed them to achieve what they have. It doesn't mean because they have money they know what is best for people. It's the opposite. We will not be controlled. We will not comply. You need to realize there is no upside to what you're attempting with global rule.
None	It's dangerous for a lot of folks who wish to be natural, I am healthy and don't take drugs .I do not wish to be vaccinated without my agreement. So far I lost my job at 63yrs. My retirement is mostly at home. Can't travel on a machine of any kind. It is wrong to do what is being done to me. In my opinion, it is bullying, instilling FEAR, and it is wrong. GIVING ALL KINDS OF POWER TO HEALTH AND SAFTY IS WRONG. Thank you , E.Morrison
Law Firm	It's difficult to answer in so few words, particularly to a question so limited in scope. At a minimum: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3) Complete access to full information regarding the cause of the pandemic, the proposed measures to be taken and for how long; 4) Measures that ensure zero influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers. But no such global agreement should exist.
City of Duluth Government	It's illogical to assume all countries of the world should approach health care or health matters in the exact same way. Doctors and scientists have differing knowledge and abilities to treat health for individuals. This is why "getting a second opinion" is so often a life saving approach for people. In worldwide pandemic preparedness and response, offering (with choice) poorer countries the resources and tools more advanced countries have is a fair practice. Implementation of sweeping mandates and identical protocols is not. Our bodies, our choice is sacred. Where there is risk, there must be choice.
NM Unmasked	It's imperative to embody these principles in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
private citizen	It's important that 1) national and local leadership retain full autonomy and the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as per their own judgement, without penalties or negative consequences. 2) The process be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. 3) the process include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Global health profiteers and any pharmaceutical companies have no say or influence in the process, nor payments or bribes accepted by these entities. 5) these policies be GUIDELINES ONLY, not binding, not mandatory, not executed by force.
general public	It's important that our individual health choices are not the domain of a centralized global organization. please stop the treaty.
Sai Baba	It's not a democratic solution. The people must decide for themselves within their own countries how best to manage any future crisis. To proceed will eventually backfire in the eyes of God who is ever present and all powerful. Don't be fooled by His apparent acquiescence .
None	It's not acceptable to have an unelected body to control individual countries respond to a pandemic! See below: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Social care	It's paramount that all alternative therapies are available to the public, no more pharma censorship of cures and drugs, no more "one solution fit all". Science must remain a matter always evolving and never stuck on marketing campaigns. Last decades especially last 2 years have shown how easy it is to fall back into middle age darkness where ignorants were bullying extraordinary people just to impose their way onto public without evidence based justification hence causing an increase in death and misery. International plans must not silence open discussion and most of all must not look at homologation as sensible response to a public issue.
None	It's simple. Individuals and families will not be coerced or forced to submit to any medical procedures.
private party	Items I believe should be included are: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Items to be included in the treaty : • The ability of all nations and local authorities to opt out of any parts of the agreement as they deem fit, without penalty. • National and local government to retain full autonomy keeping the right to make decisions on what is best for their own people. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence (interference) in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global Healy profiteers.
Citizen	Items to be included in World treaty agreement for future pandemics. 1- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2-The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3- An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4- Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	IThe proposed treaty as such is unnecessary and dangerous to the liberty and privacy of citizens. The WHO has already overreached and caused incalculable harm world-wide in the course of the Covid pandemic, and should not be given any more power. If a treaty is nevertheless concluded, firstly I would suggest banning private donors and any pharmaceutical industries from having any say in the organisation and its policies. Their motives are intrinsically suspect and contrary to the goal of public health in all too many cases. even the public officials involved in the matter should be screened for any conflict of interest, and banned from participation or fired if they should have suspect ties. After their function ends they should not be allowed to accept any positions, shares, or other favors for 20 years. Effective, strong sanctions for pharmaceutical companies that have harmed citizens might be a good idea however, to deter them from further mischief. Secondly, safeguards must be established that prevent measures against supposed pandemics to unduly infringe on the civil rights and liberties of the people, or be prolonged beyond the actual pandemic. Such proven harmful and coercive measures as lockdowns for healthy people, mask-wearing except in hospital settings, forced and coerced "vaccinations", separations of family members, sequestration of the helpless elderly or infirm from their loved ones, presumption of consent to medical experiments without complete information or suffient mental capacity, etc. must be forever banned because they are incompatible with a free, healthy society. In future, anyone who even proposes such things should be subject to legal proceedings and huge penalties.
self	It's a shame that WHO seeks to control health organizations worldwide and to even control how people respond to it with this "question" posted above. We simply want our own countries to control the unique situations found in each of our nations. A "one size fits all" approach (which WILL inevitably happen) is destined to fail. We do NOT need, nor do we want, a communistic "Central planning Office"! Thank you.
Personal	It's against all human rights. I strongly disagree.
WMA	It's Against The Law, what u trying to Force down on People, We are Not GONNA ALOW IT
St. Joseph	It's all together important to take a chorus action immediately in crises as pandemic
ordinary Citizen	It's another Humiliation for People in a so called "Free World" to delegate deeply fundamental Rights to a corrupt Organization, guided by Pharmalobbyists and their Stakeholders as the WHO meanwhile is. You know it! In the Meantime it is very clear, what many suspected, that this Covid-Thing was the long awaited Chance to establish a Mandatory to consume Pharmaproducts, no matter if you need it or not, if you're healthy or not, completely Everybody was a Client then for the probably most corrupt and unscrupulous Industry in the World. Meanwhile it has cut out, that this so called vaccines are not only useless, they have sideeffects, it was also important for the Pharmaindustry to establish Genome-active Products, for whatever Reason, be it, because it's cheaper in Fabrication, be it, because it's cheaper in Development, be it, they have some sinister Plans for the Future, there would be a new wide Range of Possibilities with it. Pharma is no longer, to serve us, they now serve a few Others. Many undeniable Incidents in the younger Past confirm my Opinion. You, the WHO, are no longer trustworthy, as you depend on financial Support of the Pharmaindustry and their Stakeholders. Anyway, the Judge over fundamental human Rights should never be in only one Hand, it was a long and bloody Fight to gain them! As I agree with all of them, I copy/paste this Text from an Activists Organization to generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty: · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic
Climb Multicultural Society	Its clear from a cursory examination of history and present pandemic response that a diversified uniquely local and national regional approach is far superior to any one size fits all universal response. The two cases of Africa and Sweden vs Israel and Americas response and outcomes demonstrate the madness of hypercoordinated response vs diverse options. Africa as a whole chose low or no vacination lockdowns distancing etc for variety of reasons and has enjoyed no all cause mortality increases during the pandemic yet has achieved herd immunity through infection as seropositive rates show. Perhaps vit c d youth ivermectin use against parasites or other factors saved them but they as well as Sweden avoided the economic social and medical carnage due to extreme measures recommended worlwide. Israeli and US citizens followed draconian protocols never before used ie universal masking lockdowns universal gene therapy injections with disastrous ongoing results. The following must be put in place for a free just and measured response...as the old proverb goes how do you make God laugh? Get a plan. 1National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	Its crazy that private Organisation WHO,want dictature on countries about health I will never allow,never accept this .my health is my private thing nobody can dictate me what is good for my body and health Stop !!!!!!!!
American Chaplains Association	It's hard to imagine that this is even a possibility, given all the things we've witnessed in the past 50 years. Entirely contradictory to the humanitarian & democratic strides made around the world. How can decisions of this magnitude be put into the hands of one organization? What's to prevent the people in charge from making decisions to further their own personal agendas? We're talking about situations here that should be managed to and resources provided for prevention, as well as eradication. "Managed" not dictated!
Humanity	It's illegal, WHO are governed by the corrupt cabal that have paid and own every member of the WHO.. A completely corrupt organisation.. They all will be held responsible for crimes against humanity
General Public	It's imperative that presidents and leaders from any country, be able to make their own decisions for their country, and not be legally bound by what another leader thinks is right for their own country, regardless. We need to keep our health decisions where they belong, in the hands of the people.
Us citizen	It's important that this instrument is transparent in both its content and the process. Local options for decision making and opting out need to be respected. A protection needs to be included that decision making can not be influenced by private companies, pharmaceutical interests, or anyone with financial conflicts such as one who would profit from a policy.
speaking for myself	It's important to me that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, able to make decisions based on what is best for local people . Also: nations, states, and local governments can opt-out of any elements of the agreement for any reason, without consequence. Additionally, it's crucial that processes are open and transparent. Regular people should be able to accept or reject any elements that don't work for their region, by voting. Please also include strategies to limit the influence of pharmaceutical companies.
None	It's inappropriate for a supra national organisation like WHO to seek control of pandemic organisation. By all means attempt to coordinate some efforts but national governments must remain in control of national decision making.
NA	It's my firm belief that this is a decision that should be left to the country that has the pandemic. If they are in need of help and support, and if this is agreeable to the general population of that country. Then the help should be made available. I think that other countries should take care of their own business before sticking their noses into others. I don't believe their should be any treaty's and enough dictatorship ideology has been implemented on the public domain from too many that are only interested in their own agenda. No treaty needed
Citizen	It's not a good idea. We must handle this kind of situation on national level, not worldwide. There is too big risk handling the data. It's against gdpr rules!
Na	Its not a question of what should be added. It's a question of whether such a proposition should exist at all. And it shouldn't. It's belied dictatorship through the guise of healthcare. It's undemocratic, inhumane and most of all, completely unnecessary.
n/a	It's not okay to farm out our health sovereignty to a global organization. These decisions must be made within the U.S. to determine what is best for its own citizens. We know this was not a real pandemic, but a lot of manipulated stats on a virus with a 99% survival rate. The number of deaths and injuries radically increased once the shots, which are not a vaccine, began. None of this is about health. It's all about control and we the people know it. The WHO has no business taking our rights away.
Me	Its not the question about 'whats included', its about 'why want you to controll every FREE citizen'? We dont need a pandemiccontract from Bill Gates, sorry, the WHO. Each country can do that by its own souvernity. NO PANDEMICCONTRACT WE ARE FREE PEOPLE DONT CONTROL US
n/a	Its simple a convensional treaty agreement that effects people soverity should not be passed. This is not about Health but control. I don't not support this treaty.
none	its the cCITIZEN'S individual RIGHT to decide what medical treatments/medicine/vaccine they want--- NO treaty and NO government should EVER force any citizen to undergo medicine/vaccine/treatment!
Vitality	It's too limiting and we give up much of our God-given freedom to the so called elite. This is a poor decision.
general public	It's very important that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It is essential that an open and transparent process takes place with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is essential that measures are put in place to make sure that all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. are not allowed to influence in the process.
Veterinary departement haifa municipal, Israel	I've learn, due to My vast experience in veterinary public health, that Human are not a herd of cattle or flock of chickens. Your path of solving and treating humanity is extremly wrong. Continuing thes way will distroy humanity. Hold back and get off the tree you are all climbed. 1. Human rights are inalienable. They cannot be taken away. Governments have no authority to suspend human rights, even during "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are against human rights. 7. We demand complete transparency. All data from clinical studies should be immediately available to all. 8. Everyone has the right to free speech and to express their own opinion. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and a
Self	Ivermectin works The injections are not vaccines The injections are not safe The injections do not work
No organisation - privat	Jag motsätter mig att någon organisation eller myndighet skulle ha rätten att på något sätt ge någon människa medicinsk behandling, oberoende av vad slag det vara må. Det är emot mänskliga rättigheter och konventioner som fastslagits redan i Nurnbergkonventionen och andra bestämmelser i FNs mänskliga rättigheter.
Sverige	Jag vill inte att det ska finnas ytterligare internationellt övergripande instrument vid epidemier eller andra kriser än de som redan existerar idag. Varje individ har eget bestämmande om sin egen kropp och varje land bestämmer över sitt eget land.
Private	Jako osoba prywatna wyrażam swój absolutny sprzeciw dotyczący podpisania traktatu z WHO. Jest to próba przejęcia kontroli nad państwami i odbieranie wolności obywatelskiej. WHO pragnie wprowadzać kolejne stany pandemii, o czym dyrektor tej firmy wspominał niejednokrotnie, "wyszczepić" społeczeństwo wątpliwymi preparatami a na to nie ma zgody społeczeństwa. Jest to jawna depopulacja. Ta prywatna firma nie ma prawa ingerować w życie, wolność i zdrowie obywateli. Z wyrazami szacunku.
Particulier citoyenne	je refuse l'application de ce traité sur les pandémies..
Citoyenne	Je refuse que l OMS prenne la gouvernance mondiale en matière de santé
pederastika d.o.o.	Jebite se prasci pokvarjeni!
Latvija	JEBKURŠ PVO ieteikums ir NESAISTOŠS dalībvalstīm.. Izlasiet savus noteikumus "SVAN" , it sevišķi skaidrojumus ---"pastāvīgs ieteikums" ir .... PVO izdots NESAISTOŠS padoms..." , "pagaidu ieteikums" ir PVO izdots NESAISTOŠS padoms ...". PVO mājas lapā paustais - "PVO ir ANO(United Nations D-U-N-S® number: 00-170-9294) specializētā veselības aģentūra. PVO mērķis, kā noteikts tās konstitūcijā, ir panākt, lai visas tautas sasniegtu augstāko iespējamo veselības līmeni. PVO konstitūcijā veselība ir definēta kā pilnīgas fiziskās, garīgās un sociālās labklājības stāvoklis, nevis tikai slimības vai vājuma neesamība." Ja mākat skaisti rakstīt,tad pildiet savus mērķus ,nevis mēģināt iznīcināt Cilvēci apšaubāmu iemeslu dēļ. JEBKURŠ PVO UN JEBKURAS AR PVO SAISTĪTĀS ORGANIZĀCIJAS, KOMERCIESTĀDES, UTT. PUBLISKAIS PIEDĀVĀJUMS TIEK NORAIDĪTS! ANY WHO recommendation is NOT a standing recommendation for Member States... Read your own rules "IHG" , especially the explanations --- the "standing recommendation" is .... NON-CONSISTENT advice issued by WHO..." , "temporary advice" is NON-ACCOUNTABLE advice issued by WHO ...". The WHO website states that "WHO is a specialised health agency of the UN (United Nations D-U-N-S® number: 00-170-9294). WHO's purpose, as stated in its Constitution, is to achieve the highest attainable standard of health for all peoples. The WHO Constitution defines health as a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity." If you can write beautifully, then pursue your goals rather than trying to destroy Humanity for dubious reasons. ANY WHO AND ANY WHO -RELATED ORGANISATIONS, COMMERCIAL INSTITUTIONS, ETC. PUBLIC OFFER IS REJECTED!
Privat	Jede Einmischung von außen ist abzulehen. Jeder Staat soll selbst für sich entscheiden können ,wie er Probleme löst. KEINE Einmischung der WHO
Keine	JEDE Grundrechtseinschränkung, jegliche Bevormundung von Menschen - von wem auch immer - ist abzulehnen! E.Donath
Bürgerin	Jede Person hatte das individuelle Recht zu wählen, wie sie für ihre Gesundheit sorgen möchte. Das darf niemals, niemals ein Instrument eines globalen Weltregimes sein. Wenn das passiert, ist das die größte Sünde gegen das menschliche Leben und seine Unversehrtheit. Ich hoffe, dass auch die Führer über diese großen Dinge nachdenken und ihre Pläne zurückziehen werden. M.f.G.
Privat	Jede Verordnung die gegen die Grund und Freiheitsrechte und die persönliche unverletzlichkeit des Menschen verstoßen so wie dieser WHO Vorschlag ist grundsätzlich abzulehnen. Jede Einschränkung durch staatliche Kontrolle, aus welchen Gründen auch immer, wie in dieser WHO Verordnung , des persönlichen Lebensbereiches ist auf das entschiedenste Abzulehnen.
EMA	Jeder Bürger dieser Welt darf die freie Entscheidung zu irgendwelchen Impfungen und anderen medizinischen Eingriffen haben ohne wenn und aber. Jedes Land hat seine autonome Regierung und die WHO darf sich nur einmischen, wenn die einzelnen Länderegierungen dies in Notfällen wünschen.
Fire Departement Dresden	Jeder der einzelnen Staaten sollte unabhängig bleiben-werden, egal mit welchen Verträgen. Die WHO, die EU und jede Regierung sollte sich endlich mal von den Globalisten und Industriellen fern halten. Der kleine Bürger bezahlt das ganze und sollte auch dazu gehört werden.
Keine	Jeder Erwachsene Mensch geht selbstständig zum Arzt wenn er krank ist oder dich krank fühlt. Dazu braucht es keine Politiker und schon gar nicht Hr. Lauterbach.
Einzelperson	Jeder globale Vertrag zur Pandemiebekämpfung muss die volle Autonomie der nationalen Regierungen bei der Umsetzung der von der WHO beschlossenen Maßnahmen beinhalten, sowie die Möglichkeit von Nationen und lokalen Gemeinden, sich von allen Teilen des Abkommens sanktionslos nach eigenem Ermessen abzumelden bzw. diese nicht zu ratifizieren. Es müssen Mechanismen installiert werden, die verhindern, dass Interessenskonflikte einzelner Beteiligter (z.B. Pharmaunternehmen) zu Ungunsten der Gemeinschaft und der betroffenen Bevölkerung gelöst werden.
	Jeder Mensch muss in seiner Entscheiding frei sein wie und wann und ob er sich medizinisch behandeln lässt. Jede Art von Zwang in Bezug auf Medizinische Massnahmen muss verboten sein. Auch darf kein Mensch wenn er vorgeschlagene Impfungen bzw Genmedikamente ablehnt, irgendwelche finanzielle oder gesellschaftliche Nachteile haben. Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar.
Privat	Jeder Mensch muss in seiner Entscheiding frei sein wie und wann und ob er sich medizinisch behandeln lässt. Jede Art von Zwang in Bezug auf Medizinische Massnahmen muss verboten sein. Auch darf kein Mensch wenn er vorgeschlagene Impfungen bzw Genmefikamente ablehnt irgendwelche finanzielle oder gesellschaftliche Nachteile haben. Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar.
Privat	Jeder Mensch muss in seiner Entscheiding frei sein wie und wann und ob er sich medizinisch behandeln lässt. Jede Art von Zwang in Bezug auf Medizinische Massnahmen muss verboten sein. Auch darf kein Mensch wenn er vorgeschlagene Impfungen bzw Genmefikamente ablehnt irgendwelche finanzielle oder gesellschaftliche Nachteile haben. Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar.
Privat	Jeder Mensch soll frei über seinen Körper verfügen können. Kein Gesetz für eine Impfpflicht gegen irgendeine Krankheit, das soll jeder Mensch selbst entscheiden können.
Bürger Europas	Jeder Mensch sollte selber für sich entscheiden können, wie er oder sie mit der Pandemie und seiner Gesundheit umgeht. Wir sind nicht eure Marionetten, sondern mündige eigenständige Bürger.
G	Jeder Staat muß für sich selbst eigenmächtig unter Berücksichtigung der Menschenrechte entscheiden können.die WHO hat nur nach Volksentscheid ein einschreit Möglichkeit.

Organization	Written submission
Private	Jeder Staat muss weiter souverän allein entscheiden können! Über das Vorgehen bei einer Pandemie müssen national demokratisch gewählte Vertreter (mit ihren eigenen Wissenschaftlern) individuell entscheiden. Sie müssen auch allein entscheiden können, ob es sich überhaupt um eine Pandemie handelt.
-	Jeder Staat soll selbst über eine Pandemiebekämpfung entscheiden dürfen!
Private	Jeder, der die Kontrolle über seine Gesundheit behalten möchte bitte von der Möglichkeit der schriftlichen Eingabe Gebrauch machen. Darüber, dass demokratische Mitbestimmung anders aussieht, sind wir uns alle einig. Ein Versuch ist es trotzdem wert. hinterlassen. Deshalb: #StopTheTreaty 🕓 In 4 Std. läuft die Frist ab um sich schriftlich zu äußern. ✍Maximal 250 Wörter und im besten Fall auf Englisch. Für die, die sich sprachlich schwer tun, stellen wir unseren Text zur Verfügung ⬇ Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO d
Eu Bürger	Jeder, der die Kontrolle über seine Gesundheit behalten möchte bitte von der Möglichkeit der schriftlichen Eingabe Gebrauch machen. Darüber, dass demokratische Mitbestimmung anders aussieht, sind wir uns alle einig. Ein Versuch ist es trotzdem wert. hinterlassen. Deshalb: #StopTheTreaty 🕓 In 4 Std. läuft die Frist ab um sich schriftlich zu äußern. ✍Maximal 250 Wörter und im besten Fall auf Englisch. Für die, die sich sprachlich schwer tun, stellen wir unseren Text zur Verfügung: ⬇ Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Paragraph	Jeder, der die Kontrolle über seine Gesundheit behalten möchte bitte von der Möglichkeit der schriftlichen Eingabe Gebrauch machen. Darüber, dass demokratische Mitbestimmung anders aussieht, sind wir uns alle einig. Ein Versuch ist es trotzdem wert. hinterlassen. Deshalb: #StopTheTreaty 🕓 In 4 Std. läuft die Frist ab um sich schriftlich zu äußern. ✍Maximal 250 Wörter und im besten Fall auf Englisch. Für die, die sich sprachlich schwer tun, stellen wir unseren Text zur Verfügung: ⬇ Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Human	Jeder, der die Kontrolle über seine Gesundheit behalten möchte bitte von der Möglichkeit der schriftlichen Eingabe Gebrauch machen. Darüber, dass demokratische Mitbestimmung anders aussieht, sind wir uns alle einig. Ein Versuch ist es trotzdem wert. hinterlassen. Deshalb: #StopTheTreaty 🕓 In 4 Std. läuft die Frist ab um sich schriftlich zu äußern. ✍Maximal 250 Wörter und im besten Fall auf Englisch. Für die, die sich sprachlich schwer tun, stellen wir unseren Text zur Verfügung: ⬇ Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
-	Jedes Land muss die völlige Souveränität in der pandemiebekämpfung behalten. Das Recht auf Selbstbestimmung und körperliche Unversehrtheit des einzelnen muss gewahrt werden.
??	Jedes Land muss selbst entscheiden! Ich bin gegen einen einheitlichen Pandemie Plan und gegen die impfpflicht!
Freiheitsboten	Jedes Land muss unabhängig und souverän sein, was Pandemie-und andere andere Entscheidungen angeht. Es kann und darf nicht sein, dass einzig allein eine Institution für die gesamten Mitgliedsstaaten entscheidet. Zudem einen unabhängigen und KRITISCHEN Wissenschaftler, Ärzte, Epidemiologenrat. Es darf nicht sein, dass kritische Stimmen diffamiert und zensiert werden. Das sollte weltweit gelten.
Private	Jedes Land soll für sich entscheiden , welche Maßnahmen es zur Pandemie Bekämpfung ergreift. Der geplante Vertrag ist ein Eingriff in die nationale Souveränität der Staaten und widerspricht dem Völkerrecht Andreas Gramm
privat	Jedes Land sollte eigenständig entscheiden können, welche Maßnahmen ergriffen werden. Es soll in jedem Land demokratisch entschieden werden für das eigene Land, um die Interessen der Bevölkerung zu vertreten. Impfen soll freiwillig bleiben, keine Impfpflicht.
Privat	Jedes Land sollte seine eigenen Regelungen treffen können, um lokale Besonderheiten wie Bevölkerung und vulnerable Gruppen in verschiedenen Bereichen zu berücksichtigen. Gegen eine Empfehlung der WHO spricht nichts, nur darf es keine globale Anordnung geben. Jedes Land muss die Möglichkeit haben, schärfere oder weniger strenge Massnahmen beschließen zu können. Each country should be able to make its own regulations to take into account local characteristics such as population and vulnerable groups in different areas. Nothing speaks against a recommendation from the WHO, only there must be no global order. Every country must have the option of adopting stricter or less stringent measures.
keine	Jedes Land sollte souverän und unabhängig von anderen Ländern und internationalen Organisationen über den Umgang mit Pandemien entscheiden können. Nur so lässt sich die erfolgreichste Methodik der Gesunderhaltung der Bevölkerung eruieren und vielfältige Möglichkeiten zur Pandemievorsorge wie auch Bekämpfung entwickeln. Für die präventiven Maßnahmen zur Vermeidung von Pandemien Mittels Impfstoffen sollten die entsprechenden Pharmaunternehmen rundum für die Haftung und den Schadensersatz ihrer Impfstoffe bei kurzfristigen wie auch langfristigen Nebenwirkungen aufkommen, um einen hohen Sicherheitsstandard der Impfstoffe zu erreichen. Insbesondere zu Langzeitschäden der injizierten Stoffe sollten weitere Untersuchungen erfolgen. Eine Vereinfachung der Meldeverfahren zu Impfschäden sollte eine Voraussetzung für die Anwendung von Impfstoffen darstellen. Trotz Vorkehrungen gegen Pandemien beziehungsweise Bekämpfung hat die Wahrung der Menschenrechte jedes Einzelnen Priorität. Von verpflichtenden Maßnahmen wie beispielsweise Impfpflichten oder Impfzwänge ist abzusehen, stattdessen kann die Gesunderhaltung der Bevölkerung mit qualitativ hochwertigen Nahrungsmitteln, Reduktion schädlicher Umwelteinflüsse wie zum Beispiel Mobilfunk und Schaffung von seelischer Ausgeglichenheit gefördert werden. Jeder Mensch sollte für sich selbst eigenverantwortlich entscheiden können, welche präventiven Maßnahmen für ihn zu ergreifen sind. Eine Wertung seitens der Öffentlichkeit ist zu vermeiden, um der Spaltung der Gesellschaft entgegenzuwirken.
Lui GmbH	Jedes Land sollte souverän und unabhängig von anderen Ländern und internationalen Organisationen über den Umgang mit Pandemien entscheiden können. Nur so lässt sich die erfolgreichste Methodik der Gesunderhaltung der Bevölkerung eruieren und vielfältige Möglichkeiten zur Pandemievorsorge wie auch Bekämpfung entwickeln. Für die präventiven Maßnahmen zur Vermeidung von Pandemien mittels Impfstoffen sollten die entsprechenden Pharmaunternehmen rundum für die Haftung und den Schadensersatz ihrer Impfstoffe bei kurzfristigen wie auch langfristigen Nebenwirkungen aufkommen, um einen hohen Sicherheitsstandard der Impfstoffe zu erreichen. Insbesondere zu Langzeitschäden der injizierten Stoffe sollten weitere Untersuchungen erfolgen. Eine Vereinfachung der Meldeverfahren zu Impfschäden sollte eine Voraussetzung für die Anwendung von Impfstoffen darstellen. Trotz Vorkehrungen gegen Pandemien beziehungsweise Bekämpfung hat die Wahrung der Menschenrechte jedes Einzelnen Priorität. Von verpflichtenden Maßnahmen wie beispielsweise Impfpflichten oder Impfzwängen ist abzusehen, stattdessen kann die Gesunderhaltung der Bevölkerung mit qualitativ hochwertigen Nahrungsmitteln, Reduktion schädlicher Umwelteinflüsse wie zum Beispiel Mobilfunk und Schaffung von seelischer Ausgeglichenheit gefördert werden. Jeder Mensch sollte für sich selbst eigenverantwortlich entscheiden können, welche präventiven Maßnahmen für ihn zu ergreifen sind. Eine Wertung seitens der Öffentlichkeit ist zu vermeiden, um der Spaltung der Gesellschaft entgegenzuwirken.
Wolny Polak	Jestem obywatelem Wolnego Demokratycznego Kraju. Nie życzę sobie sprawowania władzy przez jakiś nadrzędny kraj lub organizacje
Privat	Jestem przeciw traktatu WHO
Efekt selnej małpy	Jestem przeciwana ingerencji who w decyzje dotyczące zdrowia.
private	Jestem przeciwko jakimkolwiek nowym traktatom antypandemicznym przyjmowanym przez WHO. Łapy precz od naszych praw i swobód obywatelskich!!!
Osoba prywatna	Jestem przeciwnikiem traktatu pandemicznego WHO. Polska ma swoją Konstytucję, która jest najważniejsza,żadne traktaty nie powinny stawać ponad Nią. WHO jest prywatna organizacja, jestem przeciena, aby była wspierana przez Polskich podatników. Jestem przeciwna, aby Polska była członkiem tej organizacj.
Wolna warka	Jestem zdecydowanie przeciwko obowiązkowi szczepień, istota ludzka powinna mieć wolność wyboru!
Wolna Boska Istota	"We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the
retired	Judging from what has happened to health care and the COVID response to it and the abuse that governments and others have displayed it would be a terrible idea to even entertain having such a treaty at all. It's been an unmitigated disaster and I would hope that those responsible for this horror stand trial for crimes against humanity. Life is not respected at all, money is. Having a formal excuse to bear down on people with illogical and overt restrictions has been fruitless and self-destructive and to give power to those to further the abuse would be a terrible mistake. It's bad enough as it is. No such treaty should be approved in my opinion. Healthcare is a personal choice, not a political one or to those that stand to gain profits or power. COVID was a deception from the start, let's not do it again, a lot of people are getting injured by these so called vaccines for a "pandemic" that really was no worse than the flu.
none	Just as our world is at its healthiest when its diverse ecosystems are allowed to thrive, so too must our diverse people's of the world be allowed to thrive. Any elements therefore must: Allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, Allow nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions as they see fit, without consequence, Allow all people's of the world the right to vote on any measures, with an open and transparent process, Eliminate the ability for pharmaceutical companies and health profiteers to influence the votes or process, as they may have competing solutions and interests, though I know many people want to do good. I believe there are many people across many fields working to create a better, healthier world for all. I also believe there are a variety of solutions, as health is not one size fits all. We live across diverse regions, diverse ecosystems and in diverse cultures, therefore our solutions must also be diverse. I look forward to us all creating a more vibrant and healthy future for all.
None	Just by witnessing the complete mess made of the Covid response it makes zero sense to centralise this via WHO. Evidence coming out of the US shows those states that did not lock down or use mask mandates had far better results than those that did. One size does not fit all and these states would have had worse outcomes following the WHO suggested protocols. Basically butt out of sovereign countries responses!
personal	Just like with clothes, one size doesn't fit all. Each state and each country must be able to create their own laws and regulations. Here in the United States, we were founded on the principle of Freedom for all, the god-given right to choose what we can do to our own bodies, and the right to make decisions regarding our children. Allowing this thing to happen, will destroy that and so much more. One place shouldn't be able to make all the laws and regulations for the planet. That is extreme and obsessive, and unnecessary.
Government	Just live at our own risk. Left mask, left the apps that tracking us everywhere we go. No discrimination on people who are not taking the vaccine or not completed the dose and requirements. No no no at all. Please we just want our normal live back, especially in Malaysia. Government sucks!
NA	Just say NO to WHO 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
down to earth	just stay completely out of any countries medical policies and stop taking money from Bill Gates Phizer etc and cease distruibion of all covd vaxxes, Remdesivir and Guardasil and polio vaxxes
None	Just stop there - you have not been voted in to office in this country - you are just stoking division and hatred
Rafal	just submission
Family business	Just to be clear. This is outrageous. I shall not give WHO any powers over my abs my family decisions. You have not been elected democratically, you are a private organization and your biggest investments comes from vaccine investors so it's pretty clear that this is another scheme. I'm out. You may not be above any country's constitutions. Sorry Not happening on my end.
Nie	Kategorycznie nie zgadzam się na traktat WHO
Wolne wybory	Kategorycznie NIE ZGADZAM SIĘ!!!
World Citizen	Kathleen Waite My comments on the WHO Treaty 4/12/22 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Kathleen Waite
WHO	Każde Państwo oraz Kraj na globie ziemskim powinno decydować o leczeniu i czym leczyć przy jakichkolwiek pandemiach. Nie powinno tracić suwerenności i żadne Organizacje nie powinny decydować i narzucać czym dane Państwo ma leczyć i jak leczyć, a tym bardziej wprowadzać eksperymentalne preparaty. Ma być uruchomiony każdy program do skutecznego leczenia i nawet leczenie ziołami czy innymi skutecznymi metodami. Nie zgadzam się i nie wyrażam zgody żeby ktoś czy organizacja ma decydować czym ja mam się leczyć. WYRAŻAM MÓJ SPRZECIW !!!
Me	Keep Americans health decisions American. K off who. We make our own decisions that make sense for our situations
Na	 Keep and respect the constitutional rights of every citizen, do not overthrow and overtake every country government. Vaccines has to be probed effective and the producers and the Who have to assume any damage, injure and dead resulted by this vaccines. No lockdowns, loss of natural liberties and body autonomy should always be respected.
Christian HomeSchooler	Keep medical choices at the patient level. If you truly believe in "my choice my body" dont allow offsite control.
Hospital	Keep out of individual free rights. We do not want your experimental gene therapy. Masks do not work, lockdowns do not work.
Member of the public	Keep to being a messenger and advisory service rather than a governing body for the entire world. Each sovereign state should be able to respond independently to pandemic situations. We need to be able to challenge decisions that take away our freedom as many of the decisions taken by your unchallengeable organisation have been implemented without any proof of benefit.
Home	Keep your hands off my health! It's MY health, NOT yours.
Privat	Kein digitaler Impfpass Keine Zwangsimpfungen Keine Lockdowns Eine globale Vernetzung des Gesundheitswesen ist nicht notwendig, jeder Staat sollte weiterhin für sich frei entscheiden wie er mit einer Pandemie umgeht. Weiterhin sollten NGOs keine Einfluss auf die WHO nehmen können. Eine Finanzierung sollte nur den Mitgliedstaaten vorenthalten sein. Die Änderung der Kriterien einer Pandemie sollten wieder rückgängig gemacht werden und auch hohe Mortalitätsraten als Bedingung enthalten.
Freie Welt	Kein globaler Pandemievertrag! Wir fordern für die Bewohner unseres Planeten Erde individuelle, unabhängige Entscheidungen der Wissenschaftler, Epidemiologen und Virologen für jedes Land! Keine Unterwerfung in eine willkürlich organisierte Planwirtschaft durch Politiker und Sponsoren der Pharmaindustrie! Mit freundlichen Grüßen SD
Privat	Kein Land braucht einen solchen Pandemievertrag. Dieser unterwandert die nationalen Gesetzgebungen und den Willen der einzelnen Länder. Sicherstellung und Garantien für die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten und jeder Person Achtung der Menschenrechte und der körperlichen Unversehrtheit durch die WHO Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen bei Schäden und keine Diskriminierung von Personen, die nicht der dem ausgegeben Narrativ der WHO folgen.
Privat	Kein Maßnahmen die nicht von mir und meinen Mitbürgern gewählten Volks Vertretern aus Deutschland beschlossen werden. WHO Mitarbeiter oder Angehörige der WHO sind nicht die von mir gewählten Volksvertreter. Nur Maßnahmen, die bei einer wirklichen Pandemie Not wendig sind, und nur von „unabhängigen Ärzten" empfohlen werden.
Privat	 Kein Mensch braucht die WHO wie sie heute ist. Niemand braucht diesen Vertrag zur Ermächtigung weniger, mit der Macht über alle. Die WHO ist leider nicht mehr das was sie mal war. Die WHO ist ein Instrument der Pharmalobby und der Pharma Konzerne. Alle Beweise dafür lassen sich im Internet finden und wurden schon Gefunden. Ich weiß nicht was das alles soll, aber 1934 brauchen wir nie wieder. Das was die Covid GEN Therapie gezeigt hat ist doch, dass man der WHO nicht mehr trauen kann. Es wurden so viele Aussagen der WHO widerlegt als Lüge das man sich auf diese Organisation nicht mehr verlassen kann. Die Glaubwürdigkeit der WHO ist verloren gegangen. Leider gibt es keine Juristische Möglichkeit die WHO zu verklage . Der, der sich das leisten kann sollte das tun! Ich würde die WHO eher heute schließen als Morgen. Die WHO ist in meinen Augen unwürdig, so genannt zu werden. In meine Augen sollte man sie in Welt Krankheitsorganisation umbenennen. Oder in Welt Krankmachorganisation. Das würde dem Handeln besser entsprechen. Aber der Tag wird kommen, an dem die Menschen wieder aufstehen und die jenigen Schuldigen bestrafen werden, die sich gegen die Menschlichkeit entschieden haben. Wingen, Michael Ganz klares Nein zur WHO Diktatur
Privat	Kein Pandemie Vertrag, keine Aushebeln der Nationalstaaten, die müssen immer über der WHO stehen
Privat	Kein Pandemie Vertrag. Dafür ist die Welt zu komplex, wir brauchen gute Lösungen individuell und immer im Gespräch mit der Bevölkerung!!
Privat	Kein Pandemievertrag der WHO mit Österreich! Österreich soll selbstbestimmt bleiben, auch in Krankheitszeiten!! Allgäuer Almut!
Keine	Kein Pandemievertrag schließen. Pandemiebegriff wieder ändern und alte Fassung einführen.
privat	KEIN Pandemievertrag zwischen der WHO und den Staaten. Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten. Souveränität des eizelnen Bürgers. Pharmakonzerne sollen für jegliche Schäden, die durch ihre Produkte entstehen, hafen. Haftung von Regierenden und Entscheidungsträgern für ihre Verfügungen und Verordnungen.
Ärztin	Keine "künstlichen" Pandemie, keine Impfungen und diesbezüglichen Zwängen!!!!!
Physiotherapeutin	Keine Abhängigkeit von der Pharmaindustrie! Freie Entscheidung für Alle! Keine Impfpflicht! Lasst uns wieder frei und unabhängig leben!
Familie	Keine allgemeine Impfpflicht. Für niemanden. Mann sollte selbst entscheiden und nicht gezwungen werden egal um was es geht. MfG M.M
None	Keine den Staaten übergeordnete und nicht von Bürgern gewählte Hygiene und Gesundheitsdiktatur in Form einer Weltregierung ...niemals, keiner möchte und benötigt die WHO als Weltgesundheitsregime ... in keiner Form ... Mitgliedsstaaten müssen Souverän bleiben
privat	 Keine Eingriffsrechte der WHO als überstaatliche Institution in der Autonomie einzelner Staaten. Rein beratende Tätigkeit der WHO mit transparenten und nachvollziehbaren Aussagen. Jedes Land und jeder Mensch soll frei sein, insbesondere auch in Belangen der Gesundheitsvorsorge (der Nürnberger Kodex als wichtige Lehre aus der Vergangenheit darf nicht unterwandert werden). Ein globaler Pandemievertrag ist meiner Meinung nach abzulehnen.
privat	keine Einmischung der WHO. Jedes Land entscheidet selbst bei einer Pandemie. Vor allem müssen die Politiker sich raus halten. Nur das Gesundheitssystem entscheidet mit Fachleuten. Die WHO sollte sich auflösen!
Private	Keine Elemente, da kein Pandemievertrag. Jeder Staat muss souverän bleiben. Die WHO kann maximal Empfehlungen und dies auch nur auf Anfrage geben. Sie ist größtenteils privat finanziert und darf keinen Einfluss auf staatliche Entscheidungen haben oder gar Entscheidungen vorgeben oder erzwingen. Damit wird die Demokratie ausser Kraft gesetzt.
no	Keine Entscheidungen die alle Menschen in gleicher weise betreffen. Freie Entscheidungsmöglichkeit für jeden Einzelnen
Bevölkerung	Keine Experimente mit Impfungen während einer laufenden Pandemie, weil der Virus sich immer verändert. Sieht man ja jetzt daß solche ein Vorgehen nichts bringt!
Naturheilpraxis	Keine globalen einheitlichen Impfzertifikate! Die Verfassungen der Mitgliedsstaaten müssen erhalten und respektiert werden! Gesetzliche Haftung von Pharmaunternehmen und Regierungsbeamten! Mehr öffentliche Informationen zu diesem Thema!
Griesbach 60	Keine impf Pflicht. Nein nein. No, no. Keine impf Pflicht
Person	KEINE IMPFPFLICHT !!!!!!
Privat	Keine impfpflicht, keine zentrale Steuerung der WHO, Selbstbestimmung und freie Entscheidung über seinen Körper als oberste Priorität
Die Basis	Keine impfplicht
Bürger	Keine Machterweiterung für die WHO. Es kann ich's angehen, dass die WHO über die Rechte der Bürger entscheidet. NEIN ZUR MACHTERWEITERUNG
O	Keine obligatorische Impfpflicht.
priavt	Keine Organisation, die nicht demokratisch gewählt wird, sollte so viel macht haben, wie die WHO jetzt schon hat. Das die WHO immer wieder „Fehler" macht, hat sie schon bei Vogelgrippe, Schweinegrippe und nicht hat zuletzt bei „Corona" bewiesen. Die WHO kann nicht über dem Völkerrecht stehen!
Bürgerin aus Deutschland	Keine totale Gesundheitsüberwachung durch die WHO! Jedes Land muss eigenständig handeln können!
Privat	Keine Verpflichtung zu irgendwelchen Maßnahmen, die die Souveränität einzelner Staaten oder einzelner Menschen einschränken, keine Einschränkung oder Verletzung der Menschenrechte, keine Speicherung oder Weitergabe medizinischer Daten an Behörden oder Unternehmen, keine Diskriminierung von Personen und Staaten mit anderer Auffassung, offener wissenschaftlicher Austausch, keine Impfstoffe oder Medikamente als Verpflichtung, Pharmaunternehmen und Regierungen müssen haftbar für ihre Produkte und Maßnahmen gemacht werden, kein Wegsperren von Menschen, keine Lockdowns, keine Strafen gegen Individuen oder Staaten, Rückkehr zur Pandemiedefinition vor dem Jahr 2000, Achtung und Respekt der Meinung und Entscheidung jedes einzelnen Individuums.
Privat	Keine weiteren Maßnahmen Keine Zwangsimpfungen Menschenrechte und Bürgerrechte wahrnehmen Keinen digitalen Impfpass Gefordert wird eine gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmakonzernen bei Verletzung der Menschenrechte
Private	KEINEN WHO-Pandemievertrag! Solch ein „internationales Instrument zur Pandemievorsorge und -bekämpfung" lehne ich nachdrücklich ab! Es öffnet Tür und Tor für Willkür gegenüber den Menschen. Die WHO vertritt nicht die Interessen der Menschen bzw. deren Gesundheit, sondern die ihrer Geldgeber.
Keine	Keinerlei Einmischung oder Befugnisse zur Pandemie Bekämpfung durch die WHO oder EU. Keinerlei QR Code oder Digitale Registrierung.
Privat	Keines
Türkiye	Kesinlikle bu sisteme karşıyız. (Конкретно мы против эту систему.) İnsanların sağlığına siz değil ülkeler karar verecek. (Также решение вы не имеете права, люди может решит с свами государствами)
Frontline AIDS	Key elements of the PPR instrument should be: 1. Taking forward existing pandemics' health and community systems infrastructures, and lessons learned. This existing infrastructure, and the expertise and experience that already exist in the HIV response, is the strongest base from which to build future PPR instruments and mobilise additional resources to sufficiently combat any future pandemic. 2. Focus on equity, people-focused, and futureproof PPR solutions. This includes addressing inequalities to prevent future pandemics; prioritizing rights-based, person-centered approaches; and focusing on equity and equitable access, technology co-creation and transfer, as well as creating larger ecosystems for developing and producing supplies. We have observed with concern the uneven distribution of the COVID-19 vaccine; as in the initial years of the HIV pandemic, pharmaceutical companies have prioritised profits over human lives, denying lifesaving products to people in low- and middle-income countries. 3. Community responses for health must be prioritised as essential elements of health systems, and civil society and communities must be part of the governance and decision-making of any new financial and implementation mechanisms. As demonstrated during COVID-19, stronger accountability and engagement of the civil society and communities in key decision-making processes and governance structures are critical for the effective PPR. The role of community responses for health is essential in preventing, detecting, and responding to pandemics. At the implementation level, any new instrument must recognise community responses and systems as core components of an effective global PPR instrument with ample funding to deliver.
University of Sydney Institute for Infectious Diseases (Sydney ID)	Key elements should include: 1. A comprehensive clinical and laboratory disease surveillance network in public health and animal health o Support systems for national syndromic and genomic disease surveillance o Integration of surveillance systems into public health response o Adequate laboratory surge and biosecurity capacity including workforce upskilling o Effective mechanisms for data sharing and communication 2. Vaccination o Local pipeline for development of new vaccines o Investment into social and behavioural drivers for vaccine uptake o Mechanisms to ensure equity and accessibility 3. Systematized infection prevention and disease control programs for congregate settings, such as aged care facilities, detention centres and other high-density settings 4. Investment in research to support equity in control responses o Ensure public trust with a focus on cultural awareness, inclusive communication, community engagement and health literacy. 5. Capacity building and integration of pandemic preparedness training in healthcare curriculum and professional development education 6. Planning for production, procurement, distribution and supply chains for resources 7. Revision of regional preparedness guidelines to consider pandemic threat definition and response to relatively low virulence outbreaks 8. Better regional coordination and scope for Australian leadership We strongly support a process for an independent WHO committee or panel to determine the type of vaccine to be developed and the timing of administration. In addition, we recommend worldwide collaboration for rapid vaccine development and for intellectual property waivers to be implemented for countries to manufacture vaccines locally.

Organization	Written submission
Public	Key elements that should be included: 1. To protect the human rights of the people as per united nations charters it is especially important to ensure that autonomy is retained by the countries and that they are able to opt out without consequence should it seem necessary. 2. Since medicine and scientific studies are constantly evolving which is how we learn and improve it would be prudent that medical treatments or vaccinations not be a part of any treaty agreement since that would slow the progress of medical science. 3. Transparency and open failsafe procedures in place to ensure that measures or the application of the agreement will not apply if the majority of people are not in favor. 4. Measures must be in place to ensure global health companies that profit from measure are not able or allowed to influence decision making processes and that processes are in place to identify any conflicts of interest. 5. Limitations on the levels of force permitted by countries to use to implement measures must be a top priority to protect people against abuses as seen in past enactments of measures in many countries.
Hennock International Ltd	Key points are local control and transparency in all aspects of preparedness and response. Local control of responses, with national governments able to decide what measures to employ or not are vital, a one size fits all approach will not work. It will fail to take into account all sorts of local factors, including social and economic which may be just as important. Transparency is essential to ensure that there is trust in the system. The loss of trust in both governments and media over the past few years is massive, and in order to retain any credibility there needs to be absolute transparency including open access to all scientific trials data and any other relevant data, open declaration of interests by all staff and participants, open declaration of all participants names and publication of minutes of meetings and posting of videos of the same.
Self	Key positions: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully submitted, John Hoben Spencerport, NY USA
Chambers Family	Key substantive provisions: (1) The purpose of the treaty is to coordinate VOLUNTARY cooperation amongst nations in response to a pandemic. (2) The purpose is not to impose the collective will of other treaty countries on any country the chooses to adopt a pandemic response different than the "consensus" pandemic response. (3) No treaty country is financially obligated to contribute towards a pandemic response that it chooses not to adopt. (4) No punitive actions may be taken against any treaty country that chooses not to adopt the consensus pandemic response - including, but not limited to, tariffs, financial sanctions, punitive business practices, defaming the government/citizens, and military actions. As a human, I strongly support sincere cooperation among all humans towards the common good of all other humans. As a citizen of the United States, I oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. A global agreement should not rule the American people in a time of crisis. It's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected.
None	Kia ora I don't think there should be an international instrument at all. Countries need their autonomy in how they are going to respond to different situation. A one size fits all approach is not desirable. The WHO has a lot answer for in the way it advised about COVID 19 and should never be allowed this role ever again.
None	Kia ora We have seen the international response to the covid 19 pandemic has had disastrous affects in many countries, including New Zealand. Measures such as lockdowns, masks, mandated vaccination and vaccine passes have caused an increase in depression, suicide, poverty, job loss, sickness and more. Emergency powers have been misused. It would be a horrific and unlawful mistake to create an international instrument that would guide, recommend and/or enforce responses to pandemics such that we have seen over the last 2 years. The WHO would be better placed to focus on prevention and support for the worldwide epidemics of mental illness and cancers, and the health of our lands and oceans. With hope, Emma
Self atonomy	Kia Ora, Sovereign rights of body autonomy and country. Right to refuse medical treatment. No one has the right to force anything on anybody. Drugs kill people, so it's my right to refuse drugs and anyone that chooses drugs, takes them, with informed consent. Please STOP what you are doing. Every government is elected by the people, The WHO needs to understand this basic concept, the people did not, or want to, place you in power. Please keep doing what you are doing, but please tear up this despicable treaty, before you have blood on your hands. . Kind Regards Jane
privé	Kiezen hoe met gezondheid om te gaan, is een persoonlijk recht en staat in de Nederlandse grondwet als integriteit van het lichaam. Deze kan nooit en te nimmer van boven worden bepaald. Als dit gebeurt is dit een daad tegen de mens en menselijkheid. Er kunnen hooguit overwegingen en richtlijnen worden gegeven, door artsen die werkelijk de mensheid willen dienen en niet willen knechten en ketenen, hoe met werkelijk bedreigende ziekte's kan worden omgegaan. Een globaal wereldregime om dit soort problemen op te lossen, is niet gewenst en gewild.
V1	Kill yourself
None	Kindly include the principle of subsidiarity
Self	told what to do, when to do it, how to do it, etc. Your overreach is beyond despicable and illegal! Additionally: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency
Meetup	KING COBRA VENOM??? YOU WILL BE HELD TO ACCOUNT FOR MURDER!!!
Privat	Klappt- ich habe folgendes geschrieben: 1. the control of genuine pandemics must remain a national competence 2. the determination of a pandemic situation must in no case be linked to a PCR test only. The definition must necessarily take into account clear pathological events, such as the number of deaths, hospitalizations according to the severity of the disease and age cluster, mandatory autopsy, determination of the degree of contagion, i.e. the real distribution of the pathogen, mandatory differential diagnosis. 3. international and multidisciplinary expert consultation is obligatory 4. permanent evaluation of the measures 5. fear-reducing communication instead of forced persecution, forced quarantine, forced medical interventions 1. Die Bekämpfung echter Pandemien muss in nationaler Kompetenz verbleiben 2. Die Feststellung einer pandemischen Lage darf keinesfalls nur an einen PCR Test gebunden sein. Die Definition muss zwingendermaßen klare pathologische Vorkommnisse berücksichtigen, wie Anzahl Todesfälle, Hospitalisierungen nach Schwere der Erkrankung und Altercluster, Obduktion zwingend, Feststellung des Durchseuchungsgrades- also der realen Verteilung der oder des Erregers zwingend, Differentialdiagnostik zwingend 3. Internationale und multidisziplinäre Expertenkonsortion zwingend 4. Permanente Evaluation der Maßnahmen 5. Angstreduzierende Kommunikation statt Zwangsverfolgung, Zwangsquarantäne
United States	Knock it off! There is no centralized group of hell bent individuals that get the right to declare, take, or implement ideas/thoughts for the masses. Your twisted organization has implemented damaging and destructive advances upon the human race. Knock it off! It's not for you to take the decisions away from the free, and your disgusting big headed actions are not welcomed or wanted.
Personal	Know that I was not born to be subjected to world governance, nor are my inalienable rights to personal and bodily freedom of choice, within the ambit of not causing direct harm to others, subject to your un-mandated and self-arrogating entitlement as WHO to determine when and how my body will be subjected to medical, physical and economic restrictions and compulsions imposed from above. I am not a cypher, a number or a mere representative human being, but a living, breathing person with a unique and individual consciousness - as is every other living person. I am entitled to absolute respect regarding the integrity and self-determination of my physical, mental and spiritual being, and will defend my right and those of others to the death.
Dog Sports Unlimited	Knowing how much the United Nations believes in empowering all the people of the world, I believe the following elements should be included in your "international instrument": 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Remax	Knowing the truth about everything NOT how it's going today or the past two years! Everything's messed up children are missing in full force the SEX TRAFFICKING our children to distract us from viruses it's absolutely horrendous and then wars for no reason all these top officials money laundering with each other… allowing all the illegals to come in get money they don't need injections they're fine to do whatever they want but the Americans suffer the worst!!! Even hospitals are told to kill people this is absolutely insanity'
Ngati Pahauwera Budget Solutions	Ko tatou enei ko nga urupa o ratou ma, E mihi maioha atu nei. Māori have always been ecology minded, we believe in preserving the life force of Papatuanuku and Ranginui. Our people did not require scientific we were rooted in our traditional beliefs. Ko Tania Huata toku ingoa, I am here to reaffirm the interest of Mana whenua and Tangata whenua. I am bloodline with direct whakapapa links to Ngati Pahauwera, Ngāti Kahungunu, Tuhoe nga Iwi Ancestral lands handed down by bloodline, are the people whom I represent today. In this sense I hold mana whenua status over our lands I whakapapa to, its forests, its waterways and am responsible for ensuring to uphold our obligations as responsible kaitiaki. The message that I have delivered to similar submissions as this one. As Mana whenua, Tangata whenua YOU have never been directly engaged with us as sovereign lawful heirs on inherited lands. Māori have suffered with the ongoing impacts of Covid-19, as a consequence losing their tino rangatiratanga.The method used by this Corporate elite was one of disintegration. Dissimulating our cultural practices in order to sow abroad the most promising and utopian ideas being to protect the health and well-being of our communities. The New Zealand government then moved the entire country into a lockdown under level four restrictions. Once a solidarity society within iwi,hapu became a perfect wedge to create a divide and rule mentality the impacts of colonisation were at the forefront supported by the shoulder tapped corporate māori elite careerist providing lip service. The proposed WHO agreement is a threat to our "Treaty" of Waitangi/Te Tiriti o Waitangi sovereignty and inalienable rights. I do not support the Who's treaty.
Tajni vrt	Kolege su slali cca donji tekst: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Human right for health, free movement and choice.
Private	 Kooperation zwischen den Staaten, Stärkung des Gesundheitswesens innerhalb der Staaten, Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten in der Entscheidungsfindung der Lösungen auf der Grundlage der demokratischen Ordnung des jeweiligen Landes. Keine übergeordnete globale Macht darf über die Belange der einzelnen Staaten entscheiden. JA zum gemeinsamen Handeln der Staaten in Krisensituationen, NEIN zur totalen Machtkonzentration bei der WHO.
	Krzysztof Litwin
Individual representing myself	I believe that the World Health Organization (WHO) should NOT create a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. While the impact of a pandemic is global, preparedness and response should be customized and based on local and regional customs, values, resources, and needs. The management of the coronavirus pandemic illustrates why pandemic preparation and response should be localized. Several western countries had a remarkably coordinated response eschewing early treatment, implementing lockdowns and destroying small businesses, mandating vaccines and boosters, restricting the movement of unvaccinated people, expelling unvaccinated students, and firing unvaccinated workers. Yet in those countries, coronavirus cases continue. Conversely, in other countries, early, inexpensive treatment has had a dramatic impact on reducing the severity of covid-19 and has resulted in a very low death rate among people who were diagnosed with the virus, and minimal disruption to society. If all countries used the same approach as some of the western countries, we would not have the benefit of analyzing best practices to learn what approach resulted in optimal health outcomes with minimal negative impact on individuals and society. It is my hope that the World Health Organization uses its authority to conduct a retrospective analysis of all of the approaches that are being utilized to address the covid-19 pandemic and determine which strategies are most economical and effective at reducing the health and societal burden of the pandemic. The WHO should absolutely not create a legally binding global pandemic treaty.
private	l' Organisation Mondiale de la santé est au service de l ' humanité et a pour souci le bien- être des habitants de la planète. Or ces dernières années ont dévoilé une tout autre image de cette organisation qui a perdu la confiance de beaucoup de citoyens du monde entier En aucun cas une organisation quelle qu' elle soit ne doit obliger quiconque à prendre quelque produit que ce soit! Chaque individu doit rester libre de ses choix et surtout éclairé de façon honnête ,ce qui n' a pas été le cas tout au long de cette crise. Et ce n' est pas le rôle de l'OMS d' obliger quoi que ce soit pour quelle que raison que ce soit! Le respect des droits de l' homme passe avant tout!
B.b	I strongly oppose any WHO interference in any pandemic!!
Self employed	L 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stichting allsir	L.s Please no mandatory laws concerning Peoples health This must remain in the hands of Soverign states Thnk you
I am an individual person	L.S. It would be counterproductive to develop democracy and at the same time give room to the NGO to have control over the legislative and enforcement power in society. I therefore ardently reject the direction in which this legislation is going and opt to leave full control over the health of citizens to the individual citizens themselves. Annemarie Homoet
SSWA Netherlands	L.S., National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Greetings
Self	L'OMS préconise mais ne décide pas seule. Décision en partenariat avec les pays. La priorité doit être donné aux médecins qui doivent voir leurs patients et non à l'argent pour enrichir les entreprises pharmaceutiques. L'être humain et la liberté de disposer de son corps doit être au centre du dispositif
Aucune	La FDA recommande dans son rapport, https://www.fda.gov/media/81597/download page 66, 2. Provide absolute risks, not just relative risks. Patients are unduly influenced when risk information is presented using a relative risk approach; this can result in suboptimal decisions. Thus, an absolute risk format should be used. J'ai calculé l'ARR des vaccins autorisés aux Etats-Unis (souche de Wuhan) : Pfizer : 0.88 % Moderna : 1.25 % Janssen : entre 1.01 % et 1.57 % Pourquoi l'OMS a t elle autorisé ces vaccins ? Je rappelle à l'OMS que ça ne sert à rien de vacciner contre un coronavirus. S'il vous plaît, interdisez ces vaccins inefficaces et dangereux et permettez aux médecins de soigner leurs patients avec des molécules comme l'HCQ et l'Ivermectine que nous connaissons depuis plusieurs décennies. Vous allez devoir rendre des comptes devant la justice…
Asociación Recursos Por La Libertad	La inoculación de tratamientos no experimentados con el tiempo necesario para su investigación y con tantos efectos secundarios como en esta pandemia de Covid, sea ilegal y no obligatorio para los ciudadanos.
Citoyenne	 -la medecine douce doit être une alternative reconnue et accessible à tous. -la vaccination au stade expérimentale ne doit jamais être imposé à travers des lois cohérsitives ou des exclusions au population. -la production des médicaments doit être en proportion de la demande pour éviter pertes et surproduction. -les personnes doivent être éclairés et informé sur chaque traitement prescrit. -laisser libre les personnes d'agir pour leur santé à leur rythme. -développer un rapport humain plus en accord avec le soin. 1 soignant pour 10 malades
Privé	La seule chose à faire est de donner priorité aux soins des malades. Le reste ne sert à rien, le virus fera son chemin quoiqu'il arrive.
Life-artist	 I want to write some thoughts here that i consider as important. · Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law To concludw this i would say
Chiang Mai Pool League	Ladies and Gentleman! I wish the WHO gives recommendations to governments and not orders. Someone democratically elected should be accountable for what ever happened. To whom it may concern! J. Philippi
-	Ladies and Gentleman! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!!!! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Kind regards, Maria Sperl
Privat	Ladies And Gentleman, we do not Needham pandemic contract at all. Goverments must remain independent. Best regards Christian Herndl
private	Ladies and Gentlemen ! The following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden 2. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited 3. All measures must take full account of all health interests 4. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests 5. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state 6. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states 7. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process 8. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance 9. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body 10. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing 11. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Yours sincerely Hannes Mossbauer 4211Alberndorf, Austria
Private	Ladies and gentlemen of the WHO, this is basically just about power and so you could decide over my head in the event of a pandemic (e.g. vaccination). And that's exactly what I won't allow. No matter how they decide. Then I will just sit down to fight back and refuse. I will fight with all my might against this course of action of the WHO and take action against it. No matter what comes and what else happens. I'm not taking any!!! Only the short window of time you have received to express your opinion shows very clearly what you have for a plan and leaves a very bitter aftertaste. This prevents many people from expressing their opinions. And that's not what you want. Yours faithfully Regine von Schumann Armin-Knab-Str.6 90469 Nürnberg Germany/Bayern
private citizen	 Ladies and Gentlemen I am writing to you as a concerned private citizen. The past two years have shown us that our lives can change at any time. During the course of the pandemic it has become painfully clear how important everyday things, such as work, school and health are. If we were to experience a similar situation in the future, I would sincerely hope that the following actions be considered: 1. Putting the emphasis on early treatment of the infected people. In a crisis there is no time to wait for randomized, peer-reviewed studies of medicines or neutraceuticals that work, it is pertinent that the medical professionals are allowed to try all possible treatments in order to save as many lives as possible. Having health authority institutions regulate and even ban medicines that the doctors in the hospitals use and deem effective, is inexcusable. 2. Media messaging should focus on breakthroughs and calming information, such as who should be protected the most and who isn't at a high risk of a bad outcome. 3. The public should be informed about things they can do to minimize their risks. It is essential that factors like lifestyle, nutrition, vitamins and other health protocols are advertised. 4. If there is a treatment available, such as a vaccine, it must be offered on a voluntary basis. Each and every person must have the right to weigh his/her own risks and benefits. Thank you.
privat	Ladies and Gentlemen I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat	Ladies and Gentlemen! I do not think a binding international pandemic treaty is necessary. It encroaches disproportionately on national sovereignty. Therefore we do not need binding international rules! Greetings M. Kruse (Germany, Lower Saxony)
ESC Eng. Services & Consulting GmbH	Ladies and Gentlemen! In principle and for the reasons listed below, I reject an international, binding pandemic agreement. Pandemic Treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. In principle, Member States must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All measures must take human rights, social and economic interests into account and carefully weigh them against each other. Vaccinations and medication must be purchased at cost or minimal profit during the pandemic condition. The liability for vaccines or medicines must not be passed on to the Member States. All decision-making bases must be made available in a comprehensive and transparent manner. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. In particular, critical voices must be included in the decision-making body. Thank you very much!
@	 Ladies and gentlemen, no institution should rule above the god-given human rights including freedom of choice, especially non-government organizations which aren't constituted democratically. Setting regulations of an NGO above governmental decision making, and therefore individual decision, is totalitarian and should be stopped immediately. To remember: Bill of rights! Article 1. All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights.They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood. Article 2. Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status. Furthermore, no distinction shall be made on the basis of the political, jurisdictional or international status of the country or territory to which a person belongs, whether it be independent, trust, non-self-governing or under any other limitation of sovereignty. Article 3. Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person. Yours sincerely
Europäische Bürgerin	Ladies and gentlemen, I would like to ask you to vote against the global convention or an international instrument and against a global pandemic treaty. to vote. The individual decision-making sovereignty of each person over his own body must be preserved. Self-determination and autonomy are an important feature of human existence. Therefore, I am against a global pandemic treaty, as it would act against the individual freedoms and possibilities of each country and ultimately of every human being. Civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice are the existential pillars of the natural law of every human being. Sincerely, Nikola Pricha
Privat	Ladies and gentlemen, as a citizen of the Federal Republic of Germany, I reject the idea that you, the WHO, want to interfere in the interests of the countries regarding health and behavior during a pandemic. It is not up to you to determine the treatment methods and procedures. I reject this. It is a human right to decide for yourself. Having the choice of what treatment is appropriate. Furthermore, I refuse to accept coercive measures in such cases. The freedom to choose must be unconditionally and unreservedly guaranteed. Kind regards Monica Kornfeld
none	Ladies and Gentlemen, in a democracy, the sovereign of the country (the people), in conjunction with the freely and democratically elected representatives of the people, determines how to proceed in a pandemic. The WHO does NOT consist of elected representatives of the people, therefore it does NOT have to interfere in the decisions of the individual democratically elected states. Furthermore, the WHO is to stay out of the health affairs of individual citizens. Health issues are settled between the individual and the doctor of their choice, not with the WHO. Thus, binding guidelines from the WHO on pandemic response represent an unacceptable overstepping of boundaries, which means that no substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response at all. D
-	Ladies and gentlemen, in every single country on earth we have experienced, competent doctors and experts who can respond to health issues very well. Every human being is individual and unique and needs individual treatment. I don't see a need for a global preparedness. Medical treatment should be never forced on anybody, because many medical treatments have toxic aspects as well. Thus it needs to be a completely free individual decision. The WHO is welcome to give advice, but should not force anything on any country and human being.

Kazakhstan	Kazakhstan
	Kazakhstan is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Kazakhstan has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Kenya	Kenya
	Kenya is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Kenya has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Kosovo	Kosovo
	Kosovo
	Kosovo is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Kosovo has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Kuwait	Kuwait
	Kuwait
	Kuwait is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Kuwait has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Kyrgyzstan	Kyrgyzstan
	Kyrgyzstan
	Kyrgyzstan is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Kyrgyzstan has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Laos	Laos
	Laos
	Laos is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Laos has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Latvia	Latvia
	Latvia
	Latvia is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Latvia has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Lebanon	Lebanon
	Lebanon
	Lebanon is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Lebanon has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Liechtenstein	Liechtenstein
	Liechtenstein
	Liechtenstein is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Liechtenstein has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Lithuania	Lithuania
	Lithuania
	Lithuania is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Lithuania has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Luxembourg	Luxembourg
	Luxembourg
	Luxembourg is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Luxembourg has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Malaysia	Malaysia
	Malaysia
	Malaysia is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Malaysia has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Malta	Malta
	Malta
	Malta is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Malta has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Mexico	Mexico
	Mexico
	Mexico is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Mexico has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Moldova	Moldova
	Moldova
	Moldova is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Moldova has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Monaco	Monaco
	Monaco
	Monaco is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Monaco has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Montenegro	Montenegro
	Montenegro
	Montenegro is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Montenegro has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Morocco	Morocco
	Morocco
	Morocco is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Morocco has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Netherlands	Netherlands
	Netherlands
	Netherlands is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Netherlands has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
New Zealand	New Zealand
	New Zealand
	New Zealand is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. New Zealand has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Nigeria	Nigeria
	Nigeria
	Nigeria is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Nigeria has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Norway	Norway
	Norway
	Norway is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Norway has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Pakistan	Pakistan
	Pakistan
	Pakistan is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Pakistan has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Panama	Panama
	Panama
	Panama is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Panama has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Paraguay	Paraguay
	Paraguay
	Paraguay is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Paraguay has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Peru	Peru
	Peru
	Peru is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Peru has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Philippines	Philippines
	Philippines
	Philippines is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Philippines has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Poland	Poland
	Poland
	Poland is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Poland has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Portugal	Portugal
	Portugal
	Portugal is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Portugal has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Romania	Romania
	Romania
	Romania is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Romania has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Russian Federation	Russian Federation
	Russian Federation
	Russian Federation is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Russian Federation has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Saint Lucia	Saint Lucia
	Saint Lucia
	Saint Lucia is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Saint Lucia has signed and ratified the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (ITP) and is a party to the protocol. The protocol is the first WHO treaty adopted under the FCTC. The protocol is a global agreement to combat the illicit trade in tobacco products and to eliminate all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. It provides a framework for the implementation of practical measures aimed at tracking and tracing tobacco products.
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines is a member state of the World Health Organization (WHO) and has signed and ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first treaty negotiated under the auspices of the WHO and adopted by a global lifetime of any measures, but we have seen that the implementation of the treaty is not yet universal. Saint Vincent and the Grenadines



Organization	Written submission
self	Local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their area Nations and local governments should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Process should be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to provide input and vote as to whether their local government should participate. Safeguards should be in place to avoid influence by corporations, particularly pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired UCLA Dental School & Private Practice	Local leadership should retain full autonomy, retaining the right to make decisions based on what is best and right for their own people. We have just experienced the errors and misapplications of power, as in lockdowns and mandates, in the U.S.A. where undo influence was made by pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers and governmental health agencies who did not share data or common hands on medical knowledge and treatments. Decisions about healthcare for individuals should be made by local authority for their local populations. Respectfully submitted, Dr. Nancy Schort
EHABC friends	Local municipalities and governments need to have control and be able to vote concerning pandemic decisions, according to what the location requires. All policies proposed need to be done in an open, transparent manner. Citizens need to have access to all the information and the options. Citizens unable to participate in vaccinations should not be penalized. Accommodations need to be made for those who do not participate due to medical, religious, or personal beliefs. No interference should be allowed by pharmaceutical companies or any other profiteering companies/organizations.
NA	Local municipalities should maintain all rights to determine pandemic response and readiness for their local people.
No affiliation	Local municipalities, with the cooperation of its citizens, have the right to decide what preparedness, procedures and precautions should be implemented during times of crisis or pandemic. They have the knowledge and experience to help the people in the area and meet their own specific needs, by working together at the local level. Decisions being made by a small group of people (from far away), then forced on the world is not about preparedness or an "appropriate response", it is only about taking control and forced compliance. I pray this treaty does not happen. If it does, all nations, states and citizens around the world should have the right to opt out at any time.
Citizen	Local people can make decission about how things should be run. Not a disconnected organisations like Tue who.
Voices of Freedom NZ	Local public health at a National level is a prority element for pandemic peparednessandmust baintainedwithout a global treaty The recent response deriving from Global organisations has resulted in empowering overeach and little clear consent by groups who are poor,or who might question any part ofaglobal narrative The national responses must be outlined via clear messages and discussion with communitiesrather than the chaos we have see clear consent by groups who are poor,or who might question any part ofaglobal narrative The national responses must be outlined via clear messages and discussion with communitiesrather than the chaos we have seen where ordinary debate from a range of voices has been mostly silenced.or denigrated withsterotyping. A national multipronged approach including alternative medications at the choice of individuals and drs is paramount.to health messaging about fitness and general health is essential.This has largely been ignored at a global level where the only response has been vaccine related and was determined by coercive, unscientific,mathematically miscalculated and devisive tactics. Kind regards Catherine
Voices of Freedom NZ	Local public health at a National level is a prority element for pandemic peparednessandmust baintainedwithout a global treaty The recent response deriving from Global organisations has resulted in empowering overeach and little clear consent by groups who are poor,or who might question any part ofaglobal narrative The national responses must be outlined via clear messages and discussion with communitiesrather than the chaos we have see clear consent by groups who are poor,or who might question any part ofaglobal narrative The national responses must be outlined via clear messages and discussion with communitiesrather than the chaos we have seen where ordinary debate from a range of voices has been mostly silenced.or denigrated withsterotyping. A national multipronged approach including alternative medications at the choice of individuals and drs is paramount.to health messaging about fitness and general health is essential.This has largely been ignored at a global level where the only response has been vaccine related and was determined by coercive, unscientific,mathematically miscalculated and devisive tactics. Kind regards Catherine
Not applicable	Local solutions are much better than global ones, we just saw those who did almost nothing were better off.
Private	Local steuctures need to be strengthened and global organisations' power needs to be cut! No more power to the WHO!
None	Local/national leaders must retain full autonomy and reserve the rights to decide what's best for their people, even if this means opting out of some or all of the agreement without consequences. Profitable companies such as pharmaceutical companies should not have influenced, health is not about profit. Measures must be in place to keep such companies from impacting on decisions. Final decisions should be down to the people to vote on. It should be a transparent process. Putting health before wealth.
GOFM	Localities and national must maintain final and complete control over all measures regarding their own peoples. The process must be free from all coercion by pharmaceutical and other health profiteers. Members must be allowed to opt out with out repercussions.
Humanity	-Locally & Nationally elected leadership continue to have full autonomy, making decisions based on what is best for their constituents. -Nations and local municipalities need to retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -All peoples of the world retain the right to vote on all measures including failsafes, in an open and transparent process, with the result of no application of the global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. -No influence in the process of any measures by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	Locally elected and health officials should be able to use the recommendations from this international instrument, however nothing should be mandated except at the local level. There are too many possible variables and depending on location, demographics, health systems, financial costs, local trust in government and health authorities. A one size fits all approach will not be efficient or make economical sense and may cause more harm than good. When making pandemic preparedness and response recommendations all people or organizations who stand to profit financially or otherwise from any of the measures must disclose this information to all local governments and must be made widely available in all government publications and media outlets before any of these plans are adopted or approved. This is very important to promote trust in such a far reaching instrument that could potentially albeit unintentionally cause irreparable harm to many as has happened in many instances of the lockdowns causing many more harms than good in these last two years. There also must be clear penalties and consequences for failing to declare any such conflicts of interest. Basic human rights of bodily autonomy, informed consent including not being mandated to take experimental treatments for keeping one's employment or to travel freely should in all recommendations be upheld. The Nuremberg code, Helsinki principles and the international declaration of human rights should all be upheld, no matter what the threat. Healthy people should never be quarantined, only the most infectious there might be a case for time limited quarantine, never the healthy.
N/A	Lockdown didn't work. Vaccinating into a pandemic didn't end the pandemic. Masks don't work. Your messaging has sucked and not taken account of the damage you cause with all the fear the pandemics created. Suicide rates up? Depression up? Drug abuse and alcoholism up? Mental health issues up? Take a hard look at how much damage your measures have created before creating more measures.
Individual	Lockdowns and compulsory masks wearing should never be used again as they both have been proven not to be working. They are damaging to human mental health and psychology and also damaging to our economy. Vaccines must never be mandatory and bodily autonomy is everybody's right. Thank you.
The American free citizens	Lockdowns and forced mandates can no longer be a response to viral or bacterial or any other sort of pandemics. Lock downs and forced masking/vaccines proved to be absolutely pointless in stopping covid-19 and studies have now shown that the responses which did not work in stopping the virus did more harm than the virus could have done on it's own taking it's natural course while everyone built up antibodies the way God has intended and built our bodies to. What the governments did and how they responded was absolutely tyrannical and can never happen again. If there is a pandemic advise those with compromised immune systems to stay home and/or mask up and have their grocery shopping, errands, and etc. done for them. Do not shut down economies, lock people up, and take away their bodily/health/anatomical freedoms. We have rights and we are free peoples and this tyrannical behavior from the governments around the world can never happen again!!
Carer in learning disabilities	Lockdowns and forcing people to get vaccinated does not work. Threatening those that choose for various reasons not to get vaccinated should not be alienated
Individual	LOCKDOWNS AND MASKS SHOULD NEVER BE USED AGAIN. ANY MEDICAL INTERVENTIONS MUST NEVER BE MANDATORY. WE ARE BORN FREE AND BODY AUTONOMY IS A BASIC HUMAN RIGHT. NO-ONE SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO DECIDE WHAT GOES INSIDE A PERSON'S BODY - NO-ONE! DISSENTING VOICES MUST BE WELCOMED AND LISTENED TO. THE COVID-19 "VACCINES" HAVE/ARE CAUSING A HUGE AMOUNT OF ADVERSE REACTIONS AND DEATHS. THEY ARE STILL IN PHASE 3 TRIALS SO THERE IS NO LONG TERM DATA. MANY PEOPLE ARE HUGELY CONCERNED WITH THE SPEED IN WHICH THESE SO CALLED VACCINES WERE PRODUCED. CLAIMING YOU CAN RELEASE A NEW "VACCINE" ON THE GENERAL PUBLIC IN LESS THAN 100 DAYS FOR A NEW PANDEMIC IS ARROGANT, UNSCIENTIFIC AND DANGEROUS. WE LIVE IN A DEMORACY AND ONLY THE PEOPLE SHOULD DECIDE WHAT TREATMENTS (IF ANY) THEY ARE HAPPY TO TAKE. WE ARE BEING DE-HUMANISED AND WILL NOT HAVE OUR FREEDOMS WE WERE BORN WITH REMOVED.
None	Lockdowns cause far more harm than corona virus. They must never be repeated. The damage caused by lockdowns is immense.
member of the public and homeopath	Lockdowns caused more problems than they solved. There is no one size fits all medication . People suffer side effects from medications everyday. Why was the definition of a pandemic changed just before covid? Some of your top funders will benefit from the sale of their medicinal products due to this policy therefore it would seem this policy is for corporate benefit and nothing to do with health. The suppression of Ivermectin proves this. Please put health , integrity and truth first. The following suggestion are integral to the WHO maintaining it's integrity. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lowe Films	Lockdowns didn't work Masks don't work Now did the vaccine work? How can we trust you when you advocated all these in effective policies? Politics or health care? My life was ruined by 2 years of official blundering.
	Lockdowns do not work they kill ppl and these experimental vaccines have injured and killed millions ,some of the people are related to people I know or are people I know.
General public	Lockdowns don't work. Masks don't work. Everything we've done has been more harmful than helpful. From the lonliness and neglect of the elderly, to the masking of small children. My feeling about planning for future pandemics is: The main stream media must not be allowed to terrify the public. Focused protection must be instituted as described in the Great Barrington Declaration. The elderly in nursing homes should be protected and properly cared for, if the disease of concern is a danger to those of advanced age. I believe that governments should put more trust in their citizens to do the right thing. Hospitals must be better prepared for future pandemics. But perhaps, more importantly than that, if we are dealing with a virus that is a threat to the old and comorbid, nursing homes must be better prepared. The general public need to take responsibility in this too. Be able to do proper realistic risk assessment. The elderly should be encouraged to complete Advance Care Plans in the event of a pandemic, and indeed any situation that is a threat to life.
Private	Look honestly you guys have zero public confidence. A non doctor communist who botched a cholera outbreak in his home country is your head. You rolled out unnecessary, family abuse inducing pandemic lockdowns to every country, traumatising billions. You seem clueless about public mental health. Your plans now are authoritarian, tyrannical and immoral. You are a health advisory body seeking to dominate sovereign peoples with health treaties. Just stop, what is wrong with you?
Rush Nutrition	Look what happened when you were at the helm of a global response to COVID 19? It was a complete disaster with health and businesses obliterated. You are a vehicle for the medical industrial complex and have absolutely no idea what brings about real health and healing. In fact the measures you have implemented have only led to more suffering and sickness. I do not consent to a universal preparedness approach based on the bought interests of a medical and pharmaceutical elite who are not prepared to listen and engage with local, alternate approaches that in fact work. There is zero trust in your abilities around health and well-being globally with your hammer and nail approach to everything ie. Lockdowns and vaccines. You are a disgrace to the medical establishment and to all Drs who subscribe to 'First Do No Harm.'
Connecting Consciousness	Look, folks, it is not up to you, an international body, to interfere in the affairs of sovereign states and beings. We don't acknowledge you as qualified based on your record I object strongly to this attempt at running our lives
Self	Looking at the past two years how most supposedly developed nations and their govt managed the COVID crisis with one size fits all solution, we should have individual govt or local body more on control of situation while WHO can remain in advisory role. With that, The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ICALMA Fundación de Cuidados Integrales	Los elementos fundamentales de un nuevo instrumento internacional para la preparación y la respuesta ante una pandemia deberían incluir el acceso a los cuidados paliativos(CP) y a los medicamentos esenciales en cuidados paliativos en todos los niveles de atención inclusive el comunitario. La gran mayoría de las personas que murieron en sus casa o en residencias u hospitales durante la pandemia no recibieron la atención que necesitaban, debido a que los CP no se encuentran disponibles en más del 80% del mundo según la OMS. Durante las diferentes cuarentenas muchos cuidadores no pudieron entrar en los hogares de los pacientes y éstos no pudieron llegar a las clínicas u hospitales, dejando a los familiares al cuidado de sus parientes en final de la vida sin apoyo. Los cuidados paliativos deben integrarse en la atención primaria de salud según la Declaración de Astana, de modo que los síntomas y los problemas de salud asociados a las personas con COVID-19 y otras afecciones, así como los de sus cuidadores/familiares, puedan ser tratados adecuadamente. Los Estados miembros pueden asegurar recursos en Cuidados Paliativos utilizando el Paquete Esencial de Servicios y medicamentos de la Comisión Lancet sobre CP que en gran parte del mundo no están disponibles. Los equipos de salud podrían recibir una formación adecuada una vez que los responsables políticos reconozcan la eficacia de los cuidados paliativos para aliviar el sufrimiento grave relacionado con la salud.
AAMyCP- Asociación Argentina de Medicina y Cuidados Paliativos	Los elementos fundamentales de un nuevo instrumento internacional para la preparación y la respuesta ante una pandemia deberían incluir el acceso a los cuidados paliativos(CP) y a los medicamentos esenciales en cuidados paliativos en todos los niveles de atención inclusive el comunitario. La gran mayoría de las personas que murieron en sus casa o en residencias u hospitales durante la pandemia no recibieron la atención que necesitaban, ya que los cuidados paliativos carecen de recursos debido a que los CP no se encuentran disponibles en más del 80% del mundo según la OMS. Durante las diferentes cuarentenas muchos cuidadores no pudieron entrar en los hogares de los pacientes y éstos no pudieron llegar a las clínicas u hospitales, dejando a los familiares al cuidado de sus parientes en final de la vida sin apoyo. Los cuidados paliativos deben integrarse en la atención primaria de salud según la Declaración de Astana, de modo que los síntomas y los problemas de salud asociados a las personas con COVID-19 y otras afecciones, así como los de sus cuidadores/familiares, puedan ser tratados adecuadamente. Los Estados miembros pueden asegurar recursos en Cuidados Paliativos utilizando el Paquete Esencial de Servicios y medicamentos de la Comisión Lancet sobre CP que en gran parte del mundo no están disponibles. Los equipos de salud podrían recibir una formación adecuada una vez que los responsables políticos reconozcan la eficacia de los cuidados paliativos para aliviar el sufrimiento grave relacionado con la salud.
Asociación Cuidados Paliativos de Colombia (ASOCUPAC)	Los elementos fundamentales de un nuevo instrumento internacional sobre la preparación y la respuesta ante una pandemia deberían incluir el acceso a los cuidados paliativos y a los medicamentos para los mismos a nivel comunitario. La gran mayoría de las personas que murieron en casa o en residencias u hospitales durante la pandemia no recibieron la atención que necesitaban, ya que los cuidados paliativos carecen de recursos en más del 80% del mundo, según la OMS. Durante toda la pandemia, según las condiciones de cierre, muchos cuidadores no pudieron entrar en los hogares de los pacientes y éstos no pudieron llegar a las clínicas u hospitales, dejando a los familiares al cuidado de sus parientes moribundos sin apoyo. Los cuidados paliativos (CP) deben integrarse en la atención primaria de salud según la Declaración de Astana, de modo que los síntomas y los problemas de salud asociados a las personas con COVID-19 y otras afecciones, así como los de sus cuidadores/familiares, puedan ser tratados adecuadamente. Los Estados miembros pueden dotar de recursos a la CP utilizando el Paquete Esencial de Servicios de la Comisión de Lancet sobre la CP y los medicamentos de cuidados paliativos, que en gran parte del mundo no están disponibles. Los trabajadores pueden recibir una formación adecuada una vez que los responsables políticos reconozcan la eficacia de los cuidados paliativos para aliviar el sufrimiento grave relacionado con la salud.
Mi persona	Los puntos a incluir son: •las autoridades nacionales y locales mantienen su total autonomía y se reserva el derecho de hacer lo mejor para su gente •las naciones y municipios aceptan las partes del acuerdo que favorezcan a su población sin ningún tipo de consecuencias • todo proceso realizado será abierto, transparente y con la obligación de todos los países de dar por todos los canales toda la información al respecto dando la capacidad a toda la gente del mundo de votar incluyendo todo tipo de medidas que eviten la aplicación de medidas en lugares del mundo donde la población no quiere • el establecimiento de.medidas que no permiten que corporaciones farmacéuticas o grandes corporaciones de salud global influyan en el proceso •la obligación de todos los países de dar toda la información referente al tema de una forma completa y por todos los canales de información para evitar manipulación •la obligacion de compañías farmacéuticas, corporaciones de.salud de todo tipo, instituciones de hacerse responsables de las medidas impuestas y sus consecuencias, haciendo responsable a la persona que firma la medida si se hace por intereses ajenos a la salud de la población a la que afecta
Humanity	Louise Maclellan35 min ago All countries must be able to maintain their autonomy & rights to make decisions based on the needs of their own population without being influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or global health organisations which may have conflicts of interests in terms of profiting from medical treatments. All individuals must maintain their human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions on any medical procedures proposed as under the Nuremberg code. They must also have the freedom to choose alternative treatments & natural immune system support supplements & dietary choices without any penalties or coercion through threats of removal of personal freedoms in any form. I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is a gross violation of sovereign human rights
Teacher	Love
Total Performance Health	Love needs to be a basis of any instrument. As a backstop we also need to put in place strategies to protect basic rights and mental health. The global response to Covid 19 resulted in both of these being comprimised. Lives were lost to mental health, businesses, families and communities destroyed. We may have saved hospitals being overwhelmed but it cost us as a planet, thats you all at the WHO as well, dearly. Hate speech was common due to the covid response and hate speech is the opposite of love. Mental health, health choices, human rights and indigenous rights all need to be taken into account in any instrument. A blunt instument like we just experienced caused discrimination and unnecessary harm. Please be kind. You matter, we all matter.
None	Love should be introduced in any approach. The conscious in me and the conscience in you, apparently two, is really one. Love other as you love yourself.And I don't need an artificial intelligence, I have my own. Thank you. With love, Mirela
Self employed	Low risk adults and children should never, ever be locked down again. Lockdowns have done far more harm than good to our society as a whole and this has been proven without a doubt. And the so called vaccines, which are not effective at best, and carry risks of their own should not be allowed to be forced onto citizens, ie limiting their ability to work, travel or participate in society is not giving people a choice.
Gynaecologist Retired	LS, Please, pay more attention to the (financial) conflicts of interest. For example, the authors of the Together Trial
Wolni Ludzie	Ludzie nie zgodzą się na wasze plany NWO. Każda dyktatura się kiedyś kończy, a zbrodniarze zostaną rozliczeni. Nie oddamy wolności bez walki!!!
Myself	Main elements are that each country should give full public disclosure of all data related to any health issue All doctors and researchers should give a full public disclosure of all sources of their funding. Individuals in all countries should have full autonomy on all treatments offered with full access to unbiased information in order to make informed consent possible.
none	main requirement: voluntariness
N/A	Maintain autonomy of local and national bodies to make decisions for their populations in consultation with their citizens There must be respect for bodily autonomy with no mandates for experimental or other treatments. There must be respect for the doctor-patient relationship. There must be transparency of all parts of any process to set up or instigate any treaty, with no part played by those who stand to profit from health products. We must not have bureaucrats or corporations making medical decisions for others. Increase the required safety testing of all vaccines to include at least geno-toxicity, reproductive toxicology, carcinogenicity, bio-distribution and pharmacokinetics. WHO guidelines from 2005 need changing to enable this. Safety testing of vaccines should be of a higher standard than that required for other medicines as they are given to healthy people and not to cure a disease. We need to make it a condition of any approval, emergency or otherwise, that all data held from trials and post release safety signals, is in the public domain. Instigate a global ban on gain of function research Undertake research into the deaths and other harms that have resulted from lockdowns and social isolation. All nations and regions should be able to opt out of all or some of WHO proposals without consequence Human rights should be at the centre of any plan. Accept that we have never, and will never, eliminate a respiratory virus. Fund research into air cleaning technology in hospitals, care facilities and homes.
None	Maintaining national sovereignty and the free-flow of information between countries and all medical organizations are the only substantive elements that should be included in any treaty if your intent is to actually solve problems as they arise. Myopic thinking and essentially one-entity control in response to C19 has been disaster beyond comprehension. World leaders at the healm should be ashamed at the destruction their polices have wrought. Without accountability there is only worsening corruption, which is still being revealed with respect to Covid mandates. Let's not go down this foolish and destructive path again.
private citizen	Make sure that human rights stay always untouched! They need to have the highest priority - always! Respect sovereignty of the national states! Hold pharmaceutical companies accountable for eventual harms that their developed medications are causing! Always act in a democratic, fair and compassionate way, having the well-being of all(!) of mankind as your highest priority's! Thank you!
None	Make sure that included are: Guarantees of state and individual sovereignity, respect for human rights and the Nuremberg Code and legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies. No compulsory vaccination. No digital identity based on vaccinations. No pressure whatsoever on persons who do not want to participate in experimental vaccinations. No experiments with children and pregnant women. No more dangerous vaccine-experiments like the covid-19 injection. Respect democracy and human rights, do not overrule parliaments.
Individual	Make sure to gather a more inclusive group in calculating your samples- for example, including people who are NOT in the hospital for the most serious cases. Also give more power back to the local governments and health departments rather than holding it at a global level. Research and share healthy living information as solutions to health problems rather than just pharmaceuticals even if you don't make any money from it. Have checks and balances in place to ensure people are not making choices based on personal gains instead of the greater good for the country.
Private Citizen	Make the stipulations of the instrument OPTIONAL SUGGESTIONS, not binding rules, to be adopted or not at the discretion of each member country.
Amnesty International	Making human rights central to government efforts to address public health emergencies response is not optional but is an obligation that can contribute to an effective response. The instrument should therefore enhance existing human rights obligations, which require government responses to be based on the best available scientific evidence and ensure that any human rights restrictions are provided in law, necessary and proportionate to a legitimate aim, time-bound and non-discriminatory. The instrument's provisions should ensure that intellectual property rights are not a barrier to equal access, such as by establishing a mechanism to ensure states' actions at the national level to ensure adequate supply and equal access to pandemic health tools, including vaccines, diagnostics, treatments and personal protective equipment, are not challenged at the WTO or other forums. Some wealthy countries' insistence in the current pandemic on maintaining a range of intellectual property rights contributed to massively unequal access to essential health tools. The instrument must also adhere to longstanding international legal principles regarding states' implementation of their human rights obligations, which require governments to address the underlying determinants of health and to avoid using criminalization to achieve public health objectives. Lastly, the instrument must guarantee effective and meaningful consultation with civil society organizations and affected communities throughout the design, implementation and monitoring of pandemic public health policies. This necessarily includes adequate participation and consultation at the international level, including throughout the negotiations of this instrument.
Občan	Mali by sa zahrňovať tieto prvky: 1. Národné a miestne vedenie si zachováva úplnú autonómiu a vyhradzuje si právo rozhodovať na základe toho, čo je najlepšie pre ich vlastných ľudí. 2. Schopnosť národov a miestnych samospráv odstúpiť od akejkoľvek a všetkých častí dohody, ako uznajú za vhodné, bez následkov. 3. Otvorený a transparentný proces s možnosťou hlasovania pre všetkých ľudí na svete, vrátane bezpečných opatrení, ktoré zabránia uplatňovaniu globálnej dohody na miestach, kde si to väčšina ľudí nedelá. 4. Opatrenia, ktoré neumožňujú ovplyvňovanie procesu žiadnymi farmaceutickými spoločnosťami alebo inými globálnymi zdravotníckymi prospechármi.
None	Man or woman should be able to decide how they treat themselves in such a situation. Some people prefer Allopathic medicine, some people homeopathic, some people natureopathic. With this freedom of choice comes freedom to move and live with those choices, no restrictions because of their choice. So if digital ID then each choice is recognised as a preventertive or treatment with equal merit.
Self	Mandates are totally wrong any vaxines by informed choice only.
North Stamford Rehab	Mandates cannot be a one size fits all as no two people are biochemically the same. More vulnerable people in terms of metabolic and immune health, and freedom of choice is of utmost importance. Early intervention instead of vaccination is also of premier importance. We have harmed too many people and killed too many people with the vaccinations.
	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden
None	Mandatory caccines should be forbidden. All measures must taken into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-emcompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding, privat donations to WHO must be prohibited in advance! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law!
none	Mandatory foundation of any element on the pillars of natural law and fundamental human rights as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice, especially regarding health related self-determination.
None	Mandatory guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, the Nuremberg Code and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies must be made by WHO and signatories.
Green	Mandatory health treatments are forbitten Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states
None	Mandatory mechanisms of - Flexibility in implementation for the participating states - Full transparency of the decision making process when making use of the instrument - including but not limited to the underlying rationale, possible alternatives, efforts undertaken to elaborate alternatives, the involved entities in the decision making process, full disclosure of persons involved - be it WHO-staff and advisory bodies members alike - and their respective affiliations covering the last 15 years - Full transparency of the underlying data leading a possible application of the instrument - A minimum quorum of 75% of participating states to enact implementation - Legal remedies to public courts for participating states and citizens (for latter threshold quorum of 100k per state participating) including means of preliminary injunctions for states/citizens - Time limitation of instrument and regular evaluation by independent bodies which fulfill above mentioned transparency criteria
None	Mandatory medical treatments are completely illegal no matter what the circumstances.
Campaign for Liberty	Mandatory that all national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Mandatory for the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Mandatory for an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Mandatory inclusion of measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Who	Mandatory Vaccinis should be forbidden.
sancTuary art club	Mandatory vaccination - especially with still experimental vaccines - is against the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. It violates the right to freedom of thought (Article 18) — which protects the right to medical consent, and it infringes on the right to privacy (Article 12) — as it requires your personal heath data to be shared with law enforcement authorities and, in some countries, with employers. At no point since March 2020 has the COVID19-"pandemic" been so seriously dangerous as to warrant a general vaccination requirement. Not even the majority of the remaining measures were factually justified.
N/A	Mandatory vaccination is a disgraceful infringement on Human rights. People must be able to choose any procedure for themselves. No exceptions.
private	Mandatory vaccination must be forbidden! Discrimination for unvaccined people must be forbidden. Health interest must be in focus - not monetary intersets on pharma`s Human rights, social and economic intersts, as well es health meassures must bei balanced. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance!!! As 80 % of the WHO funds are private donations - I am strictly against a pandemic contract at the moment.
r	Mandatory vaccination should be forbidden

Organization	Written submission
Gesundheit	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ...Best wish Stefan FÜRSCHUß
Ruth Spitzer	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Health	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
general public	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
By my self	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private person	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Politican	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
No Organization	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
GGI	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Greetings, Verena Silmbroth
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitution
PHYSIOTHERAPIE	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ...
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Soz therapie	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law

Organization	Written submission
F	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!! Greets
citizen	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process
NATOPIA	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law but, · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing
Priv	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
None	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Die Grünen gegen Impfpflicht	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Citizen	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Austria	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
MFG	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Self employed	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Laura Nitsche	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
GGI	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
-	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privatperson	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law

Organization	Written submission
Verein LOGIN	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law So: · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Persenbeug	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Verein LOGIN	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law So: · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Praxis für Humanistische Psychotherapie	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Delta X Verlag e.U.	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Grüner Verein gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
attac, private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. and: there should be no law recomended from organsiationes, that are financed from private members.
Tiroler Kunstakademie	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
WHO	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Tiroler Kunstakademie	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ... · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Österreich	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance
No	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
General Public	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Public	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Vienna	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states

Organization	Written submission
privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!! Best regards Schoitsch
tomundalex	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
-	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
no organization	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law So I am against every international regulation concerning a Pandemie situation which overrules the national law and the human rights should not be restrictet or violatet by a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
entwicklungsraum	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state
PV GmbH	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process
None	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht und 2 G	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law - · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
None	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
BRG St. Pölten	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Grüne gegen Imfpflicht	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitution
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ...
LUXKRAFT	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Priv	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Geh Dich Frei	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states

Organization	Written submission
None	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
MFG	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made Lg Andreas Holl
Private person	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
family	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!! Best regards DDr. Silvia Scholtsch
private person	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private sitizen	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ...
privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
xxxx	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Yours sincerly Monika
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Katholische Kirche	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited ·All measures must take full account of all health interests ·All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state ·Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states ·All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process ·As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
Privat person	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
La Creperie mobile	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Bonnie and Clyde arecords	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process
Austria	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Elektrotechnik plus	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ... Oder · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
gruene@corona-strategie.at	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Citizen	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden ·Discriminatory measures should be prohibited ·All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law - I generelly oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treatya
none	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden ·Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
Schuhhaus Kamitschnig	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
FAB	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law

Organization	Written submission
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
priv.	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Grafikdesign Koschak	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
General Public	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!!
Staatsbürger	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests, social and economic interests I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state
Stress Management	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden If I don't want to be vaccinated it must be accepted Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treatment
private person	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Please do not work against human rights and constitutional law. The WHO was founded to help people but in this time the members of the WHO forgot this. Please find back to this what is the task of you all. We all need money this is normal but to forget for what WHO was founded is not good. If you have so big problems with money you have our symphathy. In this time you have the possibility to change your profession, that you can earn money for your hungry family Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. Human rights are also your rights. Grandfathers and Grandmothers all over the world died for this fact also your grandmother and grandfather and you kick this with contempt. The big hope that this is only a huge confusion.
Wilfried Kraft	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law · I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Sozial	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
-	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Mitglied beim DMFV	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law In summary: • I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Yours respectfully C. Stadler
Citizen	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
ADAC	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states
Citizen of austria	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden • Discriminatory measures should be prohibited • All measures must take full account of all health interests • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body • Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
WAIS	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
no	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat bzw. Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Private	-Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden -Discriminatory measures should be prohibited -All measures must take full account of all health interests -All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights,social and economic interests -Vaccinations and medicines must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit,during pandemic state -Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states -All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner,and undergo a peer-review process -As a condition of a pandemic contract,member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited -All decision-making bodies must be diverse.Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body -Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing -Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
k.A.	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law

Organization	Written submission
Private	-Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden -Discriminatory measures should be prohibited -All measures must take full account of all health interests -All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests -Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state -Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states -All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process -As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance -All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body -Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing -Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Stellungnahme Pandemievertrag	-Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden -Discriminatory measures should be prohibited -all measures must take full account of all health interests -all measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests -vaccination and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state -liability for vaccines or medications must not be shifted to member states -all decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process -as a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance -all decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body -representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing -pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law -I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
None	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden -Discriminatory measures should be prohibited -All measures must take full account of all health interests -All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests -Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state -Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states -All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process -As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance -All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body -Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing -Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law But I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private citizen	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private person	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law ... Oder I generally oppose an international,mandatory pandemic treaty
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Mag. Eva Sator Unternehmensberatung	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Einheit.at	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	-Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden -Discriminatory measures should be prohibited -All measures must take full account of all health interests -All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights,social and economic interests -Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at minimal profit,during pandemic state -Liability for vaccines and medicines must not be shifted to member states -All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner,and undergo a peer-review process -As a condition of a pandemic contract,member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance -All deciсion-making bodies must be diverse.Critical voices in particular must be included in the decisioin-making body -Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing -Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Private	-Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden -Discriminatory measures should be prohibited -All measures must take full account of all health interests -All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights,social and economic interests -Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at minimal profit,during pandemic state -Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states -All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner,and undergo a peer-review process -As a condition of a pandemic contract,member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations must be prohibited in advance -All decision-making bodies must be diverse.Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision.making body -Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing -Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
no	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state
None	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private person	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Deutschland	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory Meisterschaft should be prohibited .
Austria	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Doscriminatoy measures should be prohibited
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
Austria	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
Anton Schöckhuber	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
Apotheke	mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
No Organization	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
Privat	MANDATORY VACCINES SHOULD BE FORBIDDEN!
None	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
Pabst	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!
-	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social und economic interests. I generally oppose an internationa, mandatory pandemic treaty!!!
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. I generally oppcse an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!!
privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Discriminatory measures should be prohibited! All measures must take full account of all health interests! Pandemic treaty must nor take precedence over national constitutional law! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
Anna Zangerle	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Only real health interests of every individual person must be important, not those of pharmaindustry or private supporting organization and /or people. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Please act humanbased, not moneybased to enforce control over states and individuals. Regards, Anna Zangerle
feelfine	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body
citizen	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all true health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Discriminatory measures should be prohibited! All measures must take full account of all health interests! All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests! Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state! Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states!
Schuller Bau GmbH	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Discriminatory measures should be prohibited! Pandemic treaty must no take precedence over national conatitional law! Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states!
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass test.Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Hildegard Marksteiner-Grasl
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states! All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law!
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!! Regards, Rudolf Schulz
No organization	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!!!
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!!!
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!!! Please dont do that! Elke Kessler
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!!! A lot of people in my country think that the WHO should not make laws for other countries!
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!!!!
Private person	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden, discriminatory measures should be Prohibition. All measures must take full account of all health interests and into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden, Discriminatory measures should be forbidden All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
EU Citizen	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden, as a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. But first comes for me: I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!! Regards from Vienna Christa Zillbauer EU-Citizen
Gemeinde St. Margarethen	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden, as well as social or economical pressure for people who refuse vaccination, and all thereon taken discriminatory measures should be prohibited All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process – no secret agreements and contracts and blacked-out passages All WHO activities and especially pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Privat person	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden, Discriminatory measures should be prohibited and all measures must take full account of all health interests + All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
Mensch im Zentrum	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden, discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state, liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding, private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
general public	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden, discriminatory measures should be prohibited. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law, that is why I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
none	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
privat person	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
PSV Graz	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
---	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
Grüne	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
None	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
Klagenfurt	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
Winzer	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
M	Mandatory Vaccines should be forbidden. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law !!!
Privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interest. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Germany	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. All measures must take full account of all health interests. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
Diagnostikum Linz	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Also discriminatory measures should be prohibited. Yours Dr. Christian Berka
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100 % of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO Must be forbidden!
Private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be forbidden. All measures Must take into Account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interest.
Private	Mandatory Vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited.
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Keine	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic stateLiability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law... OderI generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Citizen of Austria	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance! All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Most importantly pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law!!! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!
none	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economics interests.
Austria	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Everyone should decide for himself! Each measures for covid should be forbidden. Green Pass should be canceld.
N/A, this is a private comment	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty.
private person	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. No discriminatory measures against non-vaccinated people!
privat	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law (WHO) All measures must take full account of all health interests! (not to focus only on one threat) All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests.
GGI	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing.
GGI - Grüner Verein gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Discriminatry measures should be prohibited.
Privat Council	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. The WHO is not a democratic institution and have no right to force people to take vaccines, that are not able to fight a diseasse. This law will destroy the souvereignity of national states and will bring also harm to the instution of the WHO, because people will then blame all the damage to the WHO.
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process
none, teacher at a language school	Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. All measures must take full account of all health interests (e.g. impact of measures on mental health, the economy, education etc.). All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during a pandemic. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law.



Kamerun	Kamerun
	Kanada
	Kanada: Ontario
	Kanada: Quebec
	Kanada: Saskatchewan
Kap Verde	Kap Verde
Katar	Katar
Kazachstan	Kazachstan
Kenia	Kenia
Kirgisistan	Kirgisistan
Kiribati	Kiribati
Kolumbien	Kolumbien
Komoren	Komoren
Kongo	Kongo
Kongo, Demokratische Republik	Kongo, Demokratische Republik
Korea, Demokratische Volksrepublik	Korea, Demokratische Volksrepublik
Kosovo	Kosovo
Kroatien	Kroatien
Kuba	Kuba
Kuwait	Kuwait
Laos	Laos
Lesotho	Lesotho
Lettland	Lettland
Libanon	Libanon
Liberia	Liberia
Libyen	Libyen
Liechtenstein	Liechtenstein
Litauen	Litauen
Luxemburg	Luxemburg
Madagaskar	Madagaskar
Malawi	Malawi
Malaysia	Malaysia
Malediven	Malediven
Mali	Mali
Malta	Malta
Marokko	Marokko
Marshallinseln	Marshallinseln
Mauretanien	Mauretanien
Mauritius	Mauritius
Mexiko	Mexiko
Mikronesien, Föderierte Staaten von	Mikronesien, Föderierte Staaten von
Moldawien	Moldawien
Monaco	Monaco
Mongolei	Mongolei
Montenegro	Montenegro
Mosambik	Mosambik
Myanmar	Myanmar
Nagorno-Karabach	Nagorno-Karabach
Namibia	Namibia
Nauru	Nauru
Nepal	Nepal
Neuseeland	Neuseeland
Nicaragua	Nicaragua
Niederlande	Niederlande
Niger	Niger
Nigeria	Nigeria
Nordmazedonien	Nordmazedonien
Norwegen	Norwegen
Oman	Oman
Osttimor	Osttimor
Pakistan	Pakistan
Palau	Palau
Palästina	Palästina
Panama	Panama
Papua-Neuguinea	Papua-Neuguinea
Paraguay	Paraguay
Peru	Peru
Philippinen	Philippinen
Polen	Polen
Portugal	Portugal
Ruanda	Ruanda
Rumänien	Rumänien
Russische Föderation	Russische Föderation
Salomonen	Salomonen
Sambia	Sambia
Samoa	Samoa
San Marino	San Marino
São Tomé und Príncipe	São Tomé und Príncipe
Saudi-Arabien	Saudi-Arabien
Schweden	Schweden
Schweiz	Schweiz
Senegal	Senegal
Serbien	Serbien
Seychellen	Seychellen
Sierra Leone	Sierra Leone
Simbabwe	Simbabwe
Singapur	Singapur
Slowakei	Slowakei
Slowenien	Slowenien
Somalia	Somalia
Spanien	Spanien
Sri Lanka	Sri Lanka
Südafrika	Südafrika
Sudan	Sudan
Südkorea	Südkorea
Südsudan	Südsudan
Syrien	Syrien
Tadschikistan	Tadschikistan
Tansania	Tansania
Thailand	Thailand
Togo	Togo
Tonga	Tonga
Trinidad und Tobago	Trinidad und Tobago
Tschad	Tschad
Tschechien	Tschechien
Tunesien	Tunesien
Türkei	Türkei
Turkmenistan	Turkmenistan
Tuvalu	Tuvalu
Uganda	Uganda
Ukraine	Ukraine
Ungarn	Ungarn
Uruguay	Uruguay
Usbekistan	Usbekistan
Vanuatu	Vanuatu
Vatikanstadt	Vatikanstadt
Venezuela	Venezuela
Vereinigte Arabische Emirate	Vereinigte Arabische Emirate
Vereinigtes Königreich	Vereinigtes Königreich
Vereinigte Staaten von Amerika	Vereinigte Staaten von Amerika
Vietnam	Vietnam
Volksrepublik China	Volksrepublik China
Zypern	Zypern
Zentralafrikanische Republik	Zentralafrikanische Republik
Zimbabwe	Zimbabwe
Zypern	Zypern

Organization	Written submission
Freedom Hearts	Member States of the WHO have begun negotiations for a global Pandemic Treaty, on track to be legally binding through adoption at the 77th World Health Assembly in May 2024. At an unprecedented special session in November, 2021, the Assembly voted to create an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body to strengthen the authority of WHO governance of global health responses. The undersigned citizens of the US strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. We oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. We also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. The undersigned respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution. Please stand with the American people and keep health at home and stop a global WHO power grab for financing, private health data, and authority.
Self	Member States of the WHO have begun negotiations for a global Pandemic Treaty, on track to be legally binding through adoption at the 77th World Health Assembly in May 2024. At an unprecedented special session in November, 2021, the Assembly voted to create an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body to strengthen the authority of WHO governance of global health responses. The undersigned citizens of the US strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. We oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. We also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. The undersigned respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution. Please stand with the American people and keep health at home and stop a global WHO power grab for financing, private health data, and authority.
De Uelenspieghel	Member states should be left free to what extent they adopt the proposed measures.
Informed Health Choice Missouri	Members of the WHO are not elected officials. In no way, shape or form should the WHO be dictating health policies for the USA. This precedent is extremely dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. If the last 2 years have taught us anything, is that bureaucrats or any governmental/private agency for that matter DO NOT have the best interests of the people, nor do they care about their health or welfare. Bottom line, the WHO, NIH, CDC, and FDA merely care about dollars and nothing more and the majority of the people know it. These regulatory agencies cannot and will not take away or alter American's God given right for bodily autonomy and personal health care decisions made between a person and their own personal, trusted healthcare provide.
Concerned citizen	Members of the WHO The last 2 years have shown the perils of suspending and overriding human rights and constitutions. The proof is in the pudding. Lockdowns did not work as evidenced by the many studies and countries who fared much better with little or no restrictions compared to those who did. Vaccines, as evidenced by the Pfizer trials showed that they are far from "Safe and Effective". Why else, would Pfizer backed by the FDA try to burry the evidence for 75 years. The FDA took around 100 days to review the product before giving it Emergency Use Authorization. Did they review the data or just rubber stamp the documents? The WHO has itself been plagued with conflicts of interest evidenced by the revolving door private/ public careerists who populate the organization. An organization that is funded by corporate interests like the pharmaceutical industry is not in a position to deliver fair evaluations and solutions to health and well being of the world population. Sincerely, Ripley Bloom
None	Members of the WHO, An international response to any situation is unnecessary because all policies must be determined by local governments. Any medical decisions are to be met on an individual basis. Thank you, C. Marcella Kurowski Wallingford, CT 06492
United States of America	Members of the World Health Organization, During the past two years it has become obvious to all that WHO along with many Nation governments have used health for control rather than betterment of the populations of said Nations. WHO has come under the control of a One World Government objective which refuses to acknowledge the sovereignty of individual nations and freedoms that individuals inherently have as given by God. As a citizen of the United States I do not plan to ever give over my God given rights to the World Health Organization or any other proposed ruling class that believes it can exert its will through money and assumed power. We now know that the World Health Organization is not about health but about control and would better off if it were disbanded completely rather than continue in its current form. Mark A Smith
none	Members of the World Health Organization: Any international instrument should guarantee 1. that national and local leaders have the right to make decisions based on the will of the people in their jurisdictions. 2. that people can opt out of any and all parts of the agreement without consequence. 3. an open and transparent process in which all people of the world vote on its measures, including acknowledgement that the agreement is null and void in areas where a majority of people have rejected it. 4. that pharmaceutical companies and others who profit from selling pharmaceuticals be banned from influencing the outcome of the treaty's approval process.
WHO	Menschenrechte , Selbstbestimmung und Freiheit
aucune	Mesdames, Messieurs, Les statistiques des différents pays du monde ont montré qu'il n'y avait aucune évidence de corrélation entre les mesures dites sanitaires prises et la mortalité attribuée au SRAS Cov-2. Ces mesures se sont en revanche avérées particulièrement nocives du point de vue de la santé mentale des individus et de la santé économique de toute la société. Elles ont été une atteinte sans précédent à notre liberté et notre libre-arbitre qui sont des droits fondamentaux et inaliénables, quelles que soient les circonstances. Par conséquent, j'estime que les décisions doivent être prises de manière autonome au niveau local. Ces mesures devront être débattues en toute transparence par l'ensemble des parties concernées. Elles auront ainsi plus de chance d'être conformes à l'intérêt réel des populations. Elles pourront faire l'objet d'un vote afin de s'assurer qu'elles sont effectivement voulues par la majorité des gens. Toute personne appartenant à une société pharmaceutique potentiellement concernée par les retombées financières de la maladie, ou ayant un intérêt dans une telle société ne pourra être entendue dans ce débat qu'après l'avoir honnêtement précisé. Toute forme de censure sera proscrite et tous les arguments quels qu'ils soient seront présentés à la réflexion du public. Merci de votre attention
Association GSMC	Mesdames, Messieurs, Je suis absolument contre votre gestion de la pandémie. Votre organisation est directement responsable de la mort de millier de gens, indirectement des millions. Vous avez mis en hypothéquer la santé de millions personnes. Vous devez refonder votre organisation sans les bailleurs de fonds privés. Vous êtes discrédités et inaudibles jusqu'à ce que vous présentiez des excuses et la dissolution de l'actuel équipe corrompues par les conflits d'intérêts.
Mensch	Mich als Mensch kann keine Pandemie betreffen, denn nur Personen werden betroffen sein. Als Mensch mit all seinen Menschenrechten bin ich für Gesetze außerhalb von Völker- und MENSCHENRECHT unantastbar
UNMaskOurKidsCA	Millions of Americans and people around the world have acquired natural immunity to Covid. Those that have passed away were mostly due to other health factors like obesity, diabetes, etc. There is NO reason or need for this treaty and many Americans will oppose it. We have a constitution that protects our rights and our freedoms that you are actively trying to take away. Health decisions should be left up to WE THE PEOPLE and government has no right or authority to tell us how to take care of ourselves. Instead you should try promoting exercises and nutrition. Maybe talk about how ivermectin can help cure Covid and cancer. The NIH posted many articles about it on their website, yet it's all kept secret for financial gain, population control, and power over the people. These bad decisions will lead Americans to elect all new officials who will completely pull out of and stop funding these international organizations. We will NOT comply.
Citizen	Mir ist wichtig, dass ein Pandemieplan die Souveränität des Staates und Einzelnen garantiert, die Achtung der Menschenrechte gewahrt bleiben und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen beinhaltet!
Keine	Mir wäre bei einer Internationalen Zusammenarbeit besonders wichtig, dass die Hersteller von Medikamenten oder Impfungen für mögliche Schäden haften. Ausserdem sollten dabei die Menschenrechte an oberster Stelle stehen sowie die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten respektiert werden. Auch ein wissenschaftlicher Diskurs, öffentliche Diskussionen zu einzelnen Fachfragen wären nach meiner Meinung unabdingbar. Bei der Diskussion müssen alle Aspekte nicht nur rein medizinische beleuchtet werden und wenn irgendwie möglich Missbrauch, für beispielsweise politische Zwecke, bestraft werden.
particular	Mis demandas: 1) Volver a la definición de 2009 de " pandemia ", "epidemias simultáneas en todo el mundo con gran número de muertes y enfermedades". Retomar la definición de " inmunidad colectiva", eliminando el mito de la propagación asintomática . 2) Seguir la ciencia, fomentando la investigación, y la discusión con libertad de expresión". 3) Prohibir conflictos de intereses financieros, políticos etc. 4) Garantizar el derecho de las naciones a determinar sus acciones. 5) Cuidar sus consejos sobre el uso de máscaras , cierres de fronteras, bloqueos, desinfección. 6) Condenar la vacunación masiva en pandemia, especialmente con un productos que no previenen la contracción o la propagación y ejercen presión selectiva sobre el virus para que mute a formas más virulentas . 7) Reconocer la superioridad de la inmunidad natural, fomentando hábitos alimentarios y estilo de vida saludables. 8) Recomendar protocolos de tratamiento temprano. 9) Suspender las pruebas de PCR y otras que no son aptas para el diagnóstico, que sólo la clínica puede realizar. 10) Prohibir el uso de tácticas psicológicas para inducir miedo, obligando a los participantes a cumplir . 11) Requerir el consentimiento informado para las intervenciones recomendadas, siendo recetadas por un profesional de la salud. 12) Garantizar procesos de toma de decisiones abiertos, transparentes. 13) Respetar el precepto de la constitución de la OMS "La opinión informada y la cooperación activa por parte del público son de suma importancia para mejorar la salud de las personas".
private	Missing the question, if it is a good idea to centralize all these issues and decisionpower! I would oppose to that! Otherwise: All instruments must respect priority of democratic national decisions and regional law. Human rights must be granted without exceptions. Freedom, integrity of personal health and self-determination of individuals must not be restricted, especially by any organization not authorized democratically, for example WHO. Liability of WHO, governments and companies must remain granted. All scientific facts and objections must be considered in order to prevent any harm of individuals. Treatments or injections must require regular approval and in-depth assessment.
meine Meinung	Mit dem digitalen Impfpass machen Sie sich schuldig an vielen kranken Menschen und auch Toten. Viele Menschen werden sich dadurch unter Zwang impfen lassen und wie die Berichte sowohl des Paul Ehrlich Instituts als auch der EMA zeigen, gab es schon tausende von schwerwiegenden Nebenwirkungen und auch Toten.
Mensch	Moin, bitte beachten und berücksichtigen Sie wissenschaftliche Informationen von verschiedenen Organisationen und der Tatsache das es um Menschen und nicht um Nummern in einem System gehen darf. Das Recht auf Unversehrtheit darf nicht missacht werden. Es geht um die Zukunft und Gesundheit unserer Kinder. Vielen Dank.
EU burger	Mon avis sur le nouvel instrument au sujet des pandémies futures: Les états et les habitants perdent leur souverénité et risquent de perdre les droits de l'homme concernant liberté de voyager, liberté d'expression et surtout le droit de disposer de son propre corps. En plus, on donne nos vies dans les pouvoirs des entreprises pharmaceutiques. Je suis contre cet instrument. L'OMS n'est pas une institution ou les membres, les travailleurs sont élus du peuple du monde. Comment contrôler ce que est décidé par cette institution ? Il faut être très prudent. Je vous souhaite rester lié avec votre cœur.
private individual	More important is what should NOT be included. Although, communication and cooperation between states is valuable, it would be a mistake to give the WHO the control to tell states what to do. States must preserve their autonomy and remain free to decide their own policies. However well-meaning the WHO may be, it is inevitably influenced by its donors. According to https://www.who.int/about/funding/contributors the top 6 donors in 2020-1 were Germany, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, USA, UK, EC and Gavi Alliance. The support of its donors is to be applauded but one must not give them control over the whole world. Turning to what should be included, sharing of information and statistics would be useful, in particular on what treatments or control measures have been used and how well they worked. Advice on how states could react would be useful but should not be mandatory.
None	More lives would have been saved if highly qualified doctors were able to discuss options to combat Covid such as ivermectin. But they were censored! Taking Ivermectin with zinc, D and C and other vitamins were taken by many regardless. However. A prescription of intake based on weight is strongly recommended. I took ivermectin when I had covid but, it did not help because I did not take enough for my weight. That very important information was left out when ivermectin was suggested. If Ivermectin became available I believe our health freedoms would be lifted and we can get back to normal without shut downs, mask and vaccines.
None	More needs to be invested in personal health care than in general measures: healthy nutrition, plenty of exercise in the fresh air, checking vitamin levels, individual risk analysis. Fear, panic, isolation, bans on outdoor activities must be avoided at all costs. Sanity, personal responsibility and regional solutions instead.
Free humans, created by God Almighty	More people are waking up to the truth of who the cabal is, including the WHO. You have no right, authority or power to determine anything for the people/animals of earth. Your time is up and I hope to soon witness the complete destruction of your evil organization. We will NOT comply.
Private individual	More people than you think can see through this facade to advance the global control of governments to advance the WEF's Great Reset. Public health needs to be managed by each country and the controls you're proposing are not about health, they are about profit, control and depopulation. How did you become so twisted and inhumane when you know this will be responsible for a huge loss of life?
Self-Employed	More real science (legitimate Drs) making the decisions with state / country and not worldwide politicians playing medicine. For example a 3rd world country cannot endure the same kind of "lockdown" as a 1st world country. It's way to damaging.
private	More than 99,9% of all people in the world survived the current 'pandemic'. Please start to think and leave the people alone. Has the WHO not yet realized, that you cannot stop viruses by using masks, lockdowns, quaranteens and so-called vaccinations? I think it has been realized, but WHO is paid by those who profit from all the fearmongering. Nations don't need an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Nations don't need billionaires to rule people's health issues. Nations don't need WHO to interfere.
Citizen of a member nation	More than ever before, during the past 2 years WHO has proven that it is principally a compromised political institution, not a source of science-based reality. Against the best evidence available at the time, WHO covered for China's failure to be forthcoming with critical early information about the outbreak and source of COVID-19; as such, WHO is complicit in the unnecessary deaths of probably tens of millions of people worldwide. Similarly, WHO has been complicit in anti-scientific smearing of effective early treatments and of promoting for over a year that surgical masks and a few feet of physical distancing would be effective against the spread of a highly transmissible respiratory virus, something this masters-level trained scientist with lab and field hazardous chemical and infectious agent experience knew out-of-the-gate would be ineffective or marginally effective at best. Further WHO took the cowardly approach of ignoring the data demonstrating COVID-19 was transmitted principally through aerosols rather than droplets for over a year, then, when the data was beyond overwhelming, quietly and with no announced policy changes, changed its website to save face rather than save lives, reflecting the reality all scientists paying attention already knew. Simply put, WHO has lost any moral or scientific authority to push international measures of any kind on the world population. Clean your house first. Then perhaps, perhaps you will have the credibility to make mere recommendations. But you lack the integrity to ever promulgate legally binding measures ever again.
Sirius IT Systems	Most important element should be the ability of National and Local governments, through the goodwill of the people that elected them, to decide what, if any, measures should be put in place in a health 'emergency'.
Free People	Most important is: real documentation to give the people a free choice. So no more documentation of dependent scientists! Don't try to steer the opinion but let the free world steer the opinion...
privat	Most important, the self-responsibility and the freedom of free choice must be continued. No country and no person should be forced, except for reasons that might cause extinguishment of human race as such. Every future decision must be to protect those who are vulnerable whilst protecting the freedom of those who will survive by at least 90% or more. Pandemic should never become a cause to suppress the people.
0	Most importantly of all: scientific analysis must be open-ended, always subject to critique and revision in the light of new evidence or better analysis. In the response to Covid-19, most policies were ineffective at best and often carried with them harmful side-effects. A narrow focus on a single pathogen is NEVER in the public interest. ALL possible outcomes of any given policy must be thoroughly considered, not just those related to epidemiology, and they must be considered by a wide range of experts WITH NO FINANCIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. Also very important: if different nations aren't allowed to follow slightly (or even significantly) different paths, we will be losing key opportunities to learn what works and what doesn't in a misguided pursuit of what some may believe is 'the settled science'. More than anything, perhaps, those in positions of authority need to face a new pathogen with HUMILITY and a healthy sense of what is not yet known. They must be willing to have their claims challenged by others. A framework needs to be created that allows reasonable hypotheses to be examined over time without recrimination to their authors if they turn out to be mistaken. In other words: far more flexibility in policy, which means clearly communicating to the public that there may be changes as more is learned. This will generate respect. Finally: under no circumstances should we ask those who are not at risk from a pathogen to be significantly harmed by any policy that seeks to protect those who are. This is particularly true of children and young adults.
Healing Arts	Most importantly public education regarding good nutrition, basic hygiene and transparent information from governments regarding their biological research into viruses. Vaccines are not the answer to what can be prevented by addressing quality of life especially concerning clean water, obesity, etc. As well, the U S. should remain independent of any treaties that compromise it's citizens freedom.
Waldorf	Most importantly public education regarding good nutrition, basic hygiene and transparent information from governments regarding their biological research into viruses. Vaccines are not the answer to what can be prevented by addressing quality of life especially concerning clean water, obesity, etc. As well, the U S. should remain independent of any treaties that compromise it's citizens freedom.
NA - General Public	Most importantly we need to maintain our US Constitutional Rights at all times, even during a pandemic. We need to maintain freedom of choice and body sovereignty. We need to honor and uphold the Nuremburg Code forever more! Thanks
-	Most importantly, all human rights and basic/civil rights must be respected.
None	Most importantly, any steps must follow the principle of subsidiarity, and allow those closest to the ground in each country to make the key decisions. Hence the WHO should only ever give guidance to elected national governments. Centralisation of (proven) knowledge can increase effective action, implementation of solutions is always best rolled out by those closest to the problems. Moreover, pharmaceutical interventions such as vaccination must go through democratic scrutiny and popular acceptance.
None	Most importantly, I do not believe an international treaty is a good idea. But since your question seems to imply that it is already a done deal I believe it would have to include the following: the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
personal	Most importantly, openness and transparency. The ability for all people to vote and measures in place which prevent the application of a global agreement where the majority does not want it. National and local health leadership, doctors and individuals must retain full autonomy and the right to make decisions about what is best for their people and themselves. Nations and local municipalities must be allowed, without consequence, to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement. Strict measures to ensure pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers have absolutely no influence in the process. Thank you for the opportunity to participate.
General Public	Most importantly, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Every geographical area differs in climate, temperature, seasons etc therefore every national and local leadership should be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the health of their own people, their health care systems and environment. Assurance that no pharmaceutical or large corporation do not influence decisions or processes especially where there are conflicts of interest.
N/A	Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices. 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are. 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA. 4. NEVER AGAIN choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action. 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines. 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices. 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are. 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA. 4. NEVER AGAIN choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action. 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines. 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Most of us live in homes that require a key to enter. If you are not a part of the family that lives there you are not entitled to a key for entry. You may knock but you may not enter without the family that lives there granting you entry. I grant you and yours no entry into my home or private land. My country also has a key, as does my state, county and city. I shall inform them that you are not granted a key, nor entry. Mind your own business; world domination is for cartoon characters.
None	Most of us recognize that these last 2 years have been a 'pandemic' in name only (recovery rate: 99%; median age of those dying: 86 with comorbidities). The WHO has been at best, useless, at worst coersive, dishonest and damaging. Educated people do not want any form of "international instrument". Instead, disband whats left of WHO, and give the resulting money and resources to local communities to invest in alternative medicine and health/wellness education. Thank you for your time.
Conservation International	Most pathogens that cause emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) originate in animals and then spill over into people; EID incidence is increasing globally because of environmental degradation. Efforts to establish an international instrument must therefore prioritize a One Health approach focused on preventing pathogen emergence alongside conventional public health approaches aimed at containing pathogen spread once emergence in humans has occurred. Focusing only on containment measures will fail to minimize harm from pandemics because they often have inequitable access, are imperfect, and cannot overcome human behavior in an age of disinformation. To help address pathogen emergence, the international instrument should: -Promote protection, conservation, sustainable management, and stewardship of tropical forests -Support domestic and international restrictions on unsafe wildlife trade and use -Promote universal health care -Support basic veterinary care -Enhance disease surveillance at animal-human interfaces -Create a scientific advisory body to translate evidence into policy -Require development of national pandemic plans that include measures to prevent pathogen emergence. These actions carry massive return on investment. Beyond reducing risk of future pandemics, preventing pathogen emergence would also contribute to mitigating climate change and biodiversity loss. To create synergies across efforts, States are encouraged to engage with multilateral organizations (e.g., UNEP) and existing conventions (e.g., CBD). The stakes are too high to keep pursuing incomplete solutions to pandemics as has been the case in the past; prevention of pathogen spillover must be incorporated into the international instrument.
None	Most Respectfully, -The rights of the individual to refuse any and every imposition on bodily autonomy should be preserved. -Centralized bio-surveillance should be rejected. -The undue influence of big donors (such as Bill Gates) and pharma are a conflict of interest. -WHO has undermined an effective vaccine and treatments by rejecting Medicago, and failing to support the exploration of COVID early treatment protocols.This demonstrates the counterproductive nature of centralization of Public Health. I am a retired Speech-Language Pathologist
Self	Mt opinions regarding the proposed WHO pandemic treaty/agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kanariefågeln HB	Much of what has happened through the years with Covid has been both experimental and has varied in different parts of the world. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy with the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, having the liberty to opt our of any or all portions of any WHO or other pandemic agreement – without consequence. An open and transparent process and vote is needed, by the people of each nation, before an agreement is put in place in their nation, which allows or prevents the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And lastly, this process must be taken without the influence of or involvement by individuals, organizations or pharmaceutical companies who stand to profit in any way from a possible agreement including any business and financial gain.
citizen of Australia	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the Dominican Republic	Must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
L.R.SMITHMOVING	must be put in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Cating Spells Music Company	Must have no elements which go against constitutional rights of US citizens. Must have voting of members involved in decision-making. Must be able to opt out of any regulations or policies. All activities must be transparent with all info available to all members.
JCWallin	Must include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All medical research professionals and medical practitioners, public & private, are included in ongoing scientific debate and consensus. 6. Public servants who declare an "emergency" may not suspend natural human rights, liberties, freedoms, or individual sovereignty.
US Citizen	Must include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Local	Must include: Souveranity of Staates and human rights of the participating countries must be Superior to Amy parts of the contract
Not representing any organisation	Must respect all human & inalienable rights of the world's population. Individual nations & regions must retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own population & be able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit without any consequence. The process should be open & transparent to all people of the world allowing them to be in a position to make a fully informed decision & vote on any suggested measures, preventing their application in places where the majority do not want those measures imposed. Measures should be in place to prevent any influence in the process by any & all pharmaceutical companies & other global health interests who could profit from the process.
Bulgarian people	Musts in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. All articles in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights must be observed even during pandemics. 2. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Authorities do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 5. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are unjustified. 6. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 7. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the right to speak their mind in public. 8. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 9. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	My answer is: None. Absolutely no new treaty or instrument is needed. What this pandemic has shown is the danger of centralized bad ideas that get pushed down on people with no way to stop it. If there is some agreed new instrument, we will never have the control like we had with African Countries or Sweden. They demonstrated 100 year old measurements are the best way. Horrific measures like Lockdowns should never ever be implemented again. Never again masks for a respiratory virus. I'm therefore in total opposition to any "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". Scrap those plans.
Private	My answer is: Respect for the constitutions of the states involved. Human dignity and the right to integrity must take precedence over the pandemic treaty. Compliance with the Nuremberg Code is mandatiry.
N/A	My answer to the question would be, None. I strongly believe we do not need a global emergency plan for a pandemic. But instead the U.S. needs to keep their decisions close to home, especially when an emergency exists. It is vital for the health and welfare of Americans for the power to decide a plan in an emergency to stay in the hands of the United States of America. Thank you for your time.
Individual	My answer to the question, "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" first and foremost would involve how do we gain a better understanding on what is driving these new pandemics in the first place, is it natural or is it from manufactured viruses and vaccines? It appears that we do not yet have substantive answers to these questions as we have learned in this Coronvirus situation that in fact there are Biolab research facilities all around the world that have in fact had various leaks over the years. We also have evidence that dual use labs have been conducting potentially dangerous virus enhancements that combined with what we now know that many of these labs have had also had safety violations and were not closed down. We also have knowledge that SARS COV and MERS may be derived from this type of research. One issue that is very alarming is that our governments have all denied natural immunity and have treated experimental vaccines as safer than contracting the virus and recovering from it have been. This is an alarming fact that we must recognize if we are to get at real solutions because we cannot continue on a path of vaccine driven immunity as it is painfully clear that influenza vaccines do little to prevent the flu and these vaccines do little to prevent the common cold, and that is historically what a Coronavirus has been called in the past. Today, we refer to Coronavirus as a deadly disease and the reason is that this one was created in a lab, the evidence is mounting. The evidence is mounting that many different governments and corporations have been involved from the vaccine makers to tech companies.
none	My answer to your question is: NONE! There should not be any elements at all! No non-substantive and no substantive elements! The way you have formulted your question to the public is not acceptable in a democracy. If I do not give any input you might get the idea that I am not interested or even worse that I agree. If I do give any suggestions on substantive elements you can get the idea that that is proof that I do agree with a pandemic treaty as such. It is as if you ask your neighbour: "do you still hit your wife?" and the only answer he is allowed to give is 'yes' or 'no'. Think about that. Thank you.
None	My answering this restrictive question should not be construed as my agreement that the WHO has any business proposing such an instrument. I do not believe it does. However, if you must, I would hope that you demonstrate a commitment to human rights, human health over the profits of your financial benefactors, to the rule of law, to democracy and to accountability. 1) Human Rights: • Commitments to abide by the International Covenant on Human Rights and the Nuremberg Code, and; • Commitment to prevent the instrument from ever being used to conduct a contravention of these agreements. 2) Accountability: • Defined penalties for abuse of the agreement for monetary or political gain; o By WHO associates, and; o By outside influences, including WHO donors/benefactors § Referral to the International Criminal Court for charges • WHO associates required to cooperate with ICC • A subsequent independent review of each instance in which the instrument is triggered; o Including scrutiny of any changes to triggering/implementation criteria that may have occurred prior o Including collection of details of all binding agreements between governments, NGOs, and corporations that contain clauses which are triggered by the event § Canvas for complaints from all parties o Defined penalties for failure to disclose conflicts of interest prior to participating in a review 3) Democracy: • Democratically elected officials of sovereign nations – persons who are accountable to their citizens – must have final discretion, both regarding initial adoption of the instrument and regarding any future triggering/implementation on a case-by-case basis.
Parents for Future; Grüne gegen ImpfPFLICHT	My Background: since 1979, I am an M.D., GENERAL PRACTITIONER & Osteopath in Europe. ETHIC STANDARDS, HUMAN RIGHTS and Independence from (Pharma-....)lobby's Interests are URGENTLY REQUESTED !! ANY KIND of COMPULSION / Constraint is un-ethical !! WHO -at todays state- is NOT at ALL INDEPENDANT from the interests of Lobbies: ROCHE-Pharma, GATES Melinda and so on.... so, any debate and decision-making has to be suspended till the day, when WHO will be free from the actual bounds (..donors...) and settings!!
Individual	My belief is that a 1 size-fits-all approach to global pandemic control would not be in the best interests of humankind given the vastly diverse nature of communities that exist around the world. Ultimate control should be retained by the the national and local governments involved given that they are in the optimum position to know what is best for their own people as well as being directly accountable to them. To facilitate this, individual nations and local governments should retain the right to opt out of any, or all, parts of the agreement without consequence or penalty. Any clauses included in such an agreement should be arrived at through an open and transparent process supported by robust and non-selective science reference to which should be made. There should be a requirement for any such agreement to be ratified by a voting process that includes all the people the world. Any such agreement should include the right of any part of the world to opt out of any, or all, of its requirements if a majority of the people there are found not to want it. No clause should give favour to any individual or corporation that has a vested interest in its outcome, including pharmaceutical companies and global health corporations.
None	My belief is that every human on the planet is entitled to bodily autonomy and that no organisation should ever create a "one size fits all" even in times of pandemic. I think every single country irrespective of wealth should have access to any supplies that are deemed helpful to combat the pandemic. However, within that remit, each individual should be allowed to choose what they put in their bodies. There should be much more emphasis on maintaining good health everywhere in the world, and much less on reaching for the latest expensive pharmaceutical invention. Due notice should be taken of what is in the best interests of the environment too - the latest pandemic is still producing mountains of plastic waste which is totally detrimental for our and future generations' survival.
Ihy	My body - my choice. Freedom for all people on the earth!!
American Citizen	My body is a creation of God, who gave me authority to protect and care for her as I need to. Taking my authority over my own body away from me is stripping me of my God-given right to my bodily autonomy. Every human on the face of this earth needs bodily autonomy and sovereignty to take care of and protect themselves as they see fit. No doctor can create a one-sized fits all medicine or protocol. Each patient needs individual care provided for by their own doctor, not a governmental system. As an American this violates countless laws of the American Constitution. I do not stand behind any mass mandated protocols other than support to individual nations to aid them in their own decisions.
Myself	My body my choice 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
None	My body my choice, I like millions will fight your globalist plan.. Period.
Personal	My body my choice, personal responsibility for my own health
Sovereign Citizen	My body my choice. You have NO legal right over me!
UK citizen	My body, my choice, you are unelected and do not govern me.
Privat	MY BODY....MY CHOISE !!!!!!!
N/A	My comments are as follows: 1. Any agreement should allow for all national and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Any agreement should include that all nations and municipalities MUST have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative repercussion or consequence. 3. Any agreement should include an open and transparent process with the ability of all people on earth to vote on all measures, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Any agreement should guard against conflict of interest in the process or influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers; conflict of interest or outside influence should NOT be allowed. 5. Respectfully, a global pandemic agreement is unnecessary and NOT wanted by the people of sovereign nations of the world.
CHD	My Comments are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Emerging Services, Inc.	My comments are below: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on aspects, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be measures put into place that do not allow for any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	My comments are: National and local leadership must be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for its own people. All nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
private	My comments below: 1.Why have you not asked all the people of the world if they believe a global agreement is necessary? WHO is not an elected organisation and has no authority to decide that this measure is warranted before asking if it's necessary. 2.National and local leadership should always and without exception retain full autonomy to make decisions on what is best for their own people. 3.Nations and localities must have the ability to opt out of any and all sections of any agreement without consequence. 4.There must be open and transparent processes, involving the ability for people to vote and prevent a global agreement, where the majority do not want it. 5.There MUST be transparent and meaningful measures in place that do not allow for any and all pharmaceutical companies and other global entities to influence the process
Citizen	my comments on the proposed changes for the pandemic treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Jill Shatto
Lake Tahoe Community College	My comments reflect my personal opinion and not that of the organization for which I work. That being said, national, state, and local leadership should keep full autonomy, and make their own decisions based on what is best for their people. Nations, states, and local governments should be able to opt out of the agreement if they see fit without repercussions. There should be a clear process for people to vote that includes measures which prevent the agreement from going into effect in places where a majority do not want it. It should also include measures that prevent undue influence by pharmaceutical companies or others who might profit from global health initiatives.
Self	My comments: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all
World Health Safety	My community objects to this treaty that rejects the full autonomy of national and local leadership to take measures concerning health issues. My community has full trust in national and local leadership who have the expertise to know what is best for the people in our community. We are convinced that an unelected global institution and its bureaucracy is not knowledgable about what is best for our people. Therefore nations and locally elected representative members of our community should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement if they understand this is best for our community. NO HUMAN BEING SHOULD BE PUT AT RISK BY UNSAFE HEALTH MEASURES. Opting out of the agreement that could impose unsafe measures by questionable pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers, who have no interest in the health of children, should have no repercussions on communities and nation's citizens. ALL HUMAN LIFE IS PRECIOUS. PLEASE CARE FOR YOUR CHILDREN TOO.
Member of the public	My concern is that the WHO's intention in developing an 'international instrument on pandemic preparedness' is to acquire the power to override national sovereignty, and to take actions and impose restrictions (lockdowns, masking etc) without being directly answerable to the people of the nations affected. People can vote out governments if they disagree with their actions, but the WHO is not elected democratically by international populations, and it has significant funding from the Gates Foundation, China and other bodies that do not, in my view, have the best interests of the people of the world at heart. I believe the proposed international instrument is simply an unethical means to impose control. In managing SARS CoV-2, different countries have taken widely different approaches. This has allowed comparison of the measures imposed and it is noticeable that countries/States which followed historic pandemic plans (eg Florida, Sweden) fared better or no worse than those which adopted severe authoritarian restrictions (eg Australia, China, Canada). A centralised WHO-led regime would undoubtedly impose a one-size-fits-all approach, which is open to undue influence from those with vested interests eg Big Pharma. Indeed the WHOs performance during the recent pandemic has shown a clear failure of leadership in several areas eg its failure to robustly challenge China on the source of the virus. I firmly believe that every nation must retain the right to devise and implement its own pandemic plan without any coercion or unwarranted influence from the WHO or other unelected, unaccountable global organisations.
individual	My concerns are around the risk of the abuse of power and undermining national and personal sovereignty. In this light, I strongly suggest the following elements be included: 1. Democratically elected national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of those they represent. 2. An 'opt-out' option, such that nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Including measures that guard against influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	My concerns are as follows: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. My final concern is that this has not been discussed and debated enough by all the governments involved - I believe many citizens of the world are completely unaware of this pandemic response and what it means in terms of loss of autonomy for their governments and their people.
Herbal Clinic	My concerns are as follows: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for local people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	My concerns are: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	My consent is withdrawn indefinitely, and we retain our inalienable rights with immediate effect. In addition we remove any and all implied consent for any government or organisational official, anywhere in the world, to accept and sign any legal document (contract) forming an instrument or treaty on my behalf. This treaty is attempting to reset my country permanently, into a dark new era of permanent masking, social distancing, quarantining, and vaccine passports. I absolutely reject this treaty. My body my choice. My sovereignty my choice
private citizen of the USA	My Country, the United States of America, is a sovereign state with total control over decisions made that effect all citizens of this nation without other's influence. We do not depend or rely upon others to tell us what to do. Our sovereignty is what has developed this nation into the most admired nation in the world in just a few hundred years and we intend to continue on that path without the influence of outsiders who live in less free states around the globe. Any world agreement such as proposed here must not include the United States. No one or any organization has any right at all to tell us what to do and that will always be the case for the United States.
Citizen of the US	My country's national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be an ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	My current government does not represent the people of this country. I refuse to abide by rules I did not agree on. You have no right or hold over my life or my decisions. Keep your treaty out if South Africa.
private	My data is not only highly sensitive but also personally. In regards to have security and confidentiality major concerns there is no system, which is not abused by third parties can become! I therefore borrow one Digitization of my data like by a digital vaccination register strictly speaking! I also refuse a vaccination obligation, there this violates the Basic Law, that is the human dignity. Man is nobody object and must therefore not be used as such be abused!
Komitet Obrony Demokracji	My demands are as follows: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	1) Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressureon the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public.

Organization	Written submission
Patriot	My elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	My experience and research on the existing Covid Pandemic Response shows that the basis on which governments acted was both dishonest and fraudulent in that: 1. Those filing legal cases questioning the data on which policy was formed were not heard or their cases were thrown out ie. Due process not followed. 2. Failure to adhere to International Law relating to forced lockdown and immunisation ie. Nuremberg Code. 3. Declaring an Emergency to force an untried and untested injection though available medical intervention/cures were denied ie. Ivermectin. 4. The inability of individuals of the present social structure to challenge decisions made by govtameans we now have very little recourse to remedy due to diminishing freedoms. 5. Furthermore, with the weaponisation of the Internet, 5G, IofT, AI, any policy having global impact would be seen as a furthering of the Agenda to harm human beings over the world. People are aware that RFs, Microwaves and other types of technology are already being used to target those seen to be non conforming to the Agenda. In conclusion, any plan to implement a Global Response to any pandemic should be abandoned until the above issues are resolved to the satisfaction of the Public through a Referendum, not by elected and unelected officials who are usually part of the problem to promote unnecessary and harmful interventions that have destroyed the economy as well as the health of the majority.
Stratéjuste Canada	My expertise is based on my consulting work in Canada, where I advise both Indigenous governments and the federal Crown on governance issues. Indigenous governance is now rooted in the right of self-determination recognized by the UNDRIP. There is a real danger that this international instrument, if it is too prescriptive in dictating a country's pandemic response, will violate that right. Our country's constitution is also federal, wherein provincial and territorial governments -- not the national government -- holds legal jurisdiction over health. This arrangement needs to be respected. Any new national instrument must uphold and affirm the existing health governance of signatory countries, to respect the jurisdiction and full autonomy of national, sub-national and Indigenous governments to make pandemic preparedness decisions based on their assessments of what is best for their peoples. Nations (and provincial and Indigenous jurisdictions, where nations are signatories), must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. In addition, the treaty should expressly disallow influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or others who might profit from any measures introduced by it. I appreciate the opportunity to comment and look forward to learning the outcome of this consultation. Jodi Bruhn.
IGI	My family and friends strongly oppose the world health organisation's regulations on the world. Studies have shown and proven that the vaccines are not as safe as first told. Pfizer alone has had so many adverse reactions that it had to put a black label warning. How can the world health organisation not take the time to look at the data. I have had several family members and friends who suffered adverse reactions and was still told to take a second dose or third. It is highly irresponsible for the world health organisation not to take these matters into account Once again we strongly oppose these mandate laws the world health organisation are creating on the worlds citizens
N/A	My family and I are completely against this agreement, it is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. We do not vote members of the WHO, and public health is not a one size fit all approach. We need people and communities in control of their health. I and my family will not accept any signature of a minister on the behalf of my family for this proposal. The WHO needs to work on restoring public faith. with this in mind, in relation to this treaty and public health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. An independent ethics committee and regular audits and reviews. Thank you.
Anne O Farms	My family and I are VERY CONCERNED about the influence and power of the WHO to decide who, what, when, and why. I learned about this Invasive Bill that may or maynot PASS. I know hundreds in my community and abroad who would also Detest these Sweeping Powers that the WHO has given themselves. NO to Lockdowns that dont work, NO to mandatory experimental vaccines, YES to Open Debate in the Medical Field, YES to Alternative Medicine NOT ENDORSED by Big PHarma, YES to Freedom of Expression and CHOICE. I will be sharing this article and encouraging others to FIGHT back for their FREEDOMS. Many are Outraged at how the WHO handled COVID which through Hand Washing, Immune Boosting Supplements, Cheap medications could easily have been managed without the WORLDWIDE Affects of Mental Health Issues, Child Development Issues, Vaccine Reaction Issues, Lower Immune Issues due to Lower Oxygen due to Masking. Please Do the Right Thing and Grant Humanity Her Freedom Far from Tyranical Powers. Thankyou.
Civilian	My family and I believe the choices of our health need to be decided by us, members of the family and our family doctor. We do not agree that the USA signs the binder with other countries that will take away any medical freedom from its citizens.
Private citizen	My family and I do not wish this change in governance to go ahead. The world does not need any more dominance nor tyrants to govern us all, thus taking away our freedoms. The Covid response so far has been unnecessary so far as the flu was never treated this way, and for the majority of people covid was no worse than the flu. The people will not tolerate much more over-reaching by their governments, and there will be huge pushback. We need to now learn to live with covid as we have with other infections, thus building our immunity. That, plus Vit c, d, zinc, fresh air and good nutrition! And no more FEAR!
Gellerfly family	MY FAMILY AND I INSIST THAT WE DESIDE WHAT WE DO WITH OUR BODIES, EVERYONE SHOULD DECIDE IN THEIR OWN RIGHT TO GET VACCINATED !!
Individual	My family and I oppose the global pandemic treaty. People have the right to choose health decisions for themselves. The WHO has not engaged in any public participation in this process. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid.
Self employed health care contractor	My family and I value our freedoms more than anything. We object to any incursion into our freedoms. The rights of the individual outweighs and perceived rights of any organization or government to subject people to medical procedures they don't want, to limit their right to work, trade, move about freely or any other activity or action not mentioned. The WHO is supposed to be an independent body striving for common good. Unfortunately it has been captured by capitalists interests that directs it's policy. This treaty is a fundamental change to a sovereign nation and it's people. The government's of the world did not go to an election and ask the sovereign people of it's nation the permission to enact this treaty. If the people were given the unadulterated facts they would resoundingly vote against this. If the WHO and the government's were not acting in collusion to commit these civil liberty violations against the sovereign people of all nations this treaty and this submission would be well publicised. We object Kind Regards Marinos Christofi
United States citizen	My family believes there should not be one organization, such as the WHO, that makes decisions or implements responses for any pandemic prepardness for all countries. Each country should continue to make its own decisions for the welfare of its people.
private citizen	My first choice would be to not have an International Pandemic Treaty, but there is one: 1. It should be democratic. The people who make decisions or enact legislation that could threaten my right to make my own health decisions should be those elected by the public. They should hold office for no more than two years. 2. There should be no mandates. Decisions should be in the form of recommendations. Each country should be allowed to adopt or ignore these recommendations. 3. Let doctors be doctors. Allow doctors to do what they were trained to do, instead of ruining their careers for wanting to prescribe an FDA-approved drug or voicing an opinion that opposes the single narrative. 4. Remove all conflicts of interest. Private funding should be not allowed to influence decision-making. This would open the door for unelected, very wealthy entities, such as those who are financially invested in pandemics, to obtain immense power over our lives. Those who profit from the sale of drugs and vaccines have financial incentives to keep recommending the consumption of these medications, always in the name of "the good of humanity". 5. Compensate the injured. There needs to be a compensation system for those damaged or killed by any vaccine or medication that we are advised to take.
World Health Counsel	My first observation about any intervention as described is that national and local leadership will retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Secdondly, that the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. These are the measures needed as agreed by the World Health Council group I fully support and resonate with me based off professional experiences as a clinician and observed shortcomings from the current COVID Pandemic. Thank you for the opportunity to voice my concern in this matter.
US citizen	My first submission was timed out despite a thoughtful consistent response. I am 100% opposed to this proposed treaty and reserve my rights to compensation for familial and personal damages related to COVID.
Children's Health Defense Illinois	My government may not sign to any treaty that supersedes the inalienable God- given rights of The People. Doing so results in having abdicated their authority and should not be considered legitimate. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Personal	My greatest worry is the dictates of those in positions of power whether government or organizations. It opens the channel to abuse of authoritarian regimes that can dominate the population with controlling and punitive methods. We can have guidelines but it shouldn't be law. My other concern are the biological labs around the world playing with deadly viruses that should be left alone, not experimented on. Respect for other cultural values and freedom to choose other ways of medicine that are not part of the ruling pharmaceutical companies. Do not divide the people into those who obey and those who find alternative methods. May the great spirit be with you.
self	My health and that of every other human is too personal and individual to be centrally dictated and controlled by statistics. Not to mention this would open the doors wide to uncontrollable manipulation of an unimaginable magnitude. Your argument may be framed to sound pure and innocent because it is supposed to save the world from disaster. However currently as I write this letter the people in Shanghai are dying from dehydration and starvation trapped in their homes under the guise that their current lockdown is the only way to stop covid. As if covid is forcing the government to act this way. Except that once my health is centrally controlled all they have to do is claim boogieman out there and then they legally kill people from starvation? Said again there is no accountability for Big Pharma/Gov solutions (experiments) that have killed or injured many. At the same time these same so called "KNOW BETTERS" denied many others far more humane and ethical options. No profit I guess. This proposal is not acceptable. I do not approve of a central body that can legalize murder which is what denying people refuge does. There is too much self-interest on board for this to be good for the people. Please do not make me a slave to the mouth that uses false words instead of following the rule of law to get their desire. Ignorance is not exempt from accountability. Forcing your thoughts onto others makes you responsibility in the end.
Self	My health care is not a global matter. It is between my doctor and me. Cultures are different. Priorities are different. Resources are different. I do not want a one-size-fits all approach to health care. Who is going to hold WHO accountable??? I think the global response to Covid has already demonstrated what a bad idea it is.
N/A	My health decisions are for me and my doctor to make. They can be described by recommendations from the state and or national government but you, WHO, have no legal or ethical or substantive basis to direct my personal medical decisions. It is outside your bounds to direct the medical decisions that will be made about me and my families health. WHO as a body has shown deep ethical wrongdoing by allowing wealthy countries to vaccinate their citizens while poor countries not only do not have vaccination, but they also don't have a healthy, minimum level of health care. WHO has not shown itself to follow the first rule of health care: Do No Harm. You are not a body that has the respect needed to provide direction in the area of basic medical services for everyone worldwide. The global Pandemic Treaty is not a valid tool, we will not accept its oversight.
Individual	My health decisions need to remain my own, in my hands. I am fully capable of deciding what health decisions are in my best interest. It needs to remain my right to preserve and protect my body. The WHO should never have control over my health choices nor should any other entity. I strongly oppose any global health contract. Everyday I choose to eat healthy foods, sleep, exercise and reject products containing harmful ingredients. I am pandemic-preparing myself each and every day through my healthy choices to empower my own immune system. If you want to really do something to help people, teach them about the three pillars of health - diet, sleep and exercise. Empower people!
N A	My health is MY own business, not the whole world's. WHO has Nothing to do with MY BODY !!!
NA	My hope is that the USA does not commit to the proposed plan. More focus via prevention through natural strengthening of the immune system is a much less expensive proposition.
Retired Clinical Social Worker	My hopes for an International Pandemic Response Treaty That personal rights of informed consent, the freedom to decline medical treatments be preserved, per the Nuremberg Code, lest we repeat the cruelty and horrors of the Past. 2. That any treaty considered actively supports covenants such as those found in The International Covenants on Civil and Political Rights as formulated by The United Nations. That each Nation Member State assembles Pandemic Response Safety and Evaluation Committees comprised of trained researchers and actively treating clinicans. These individuals must be free of ALL financial conflicts of interest and not affiliated with Pharmaceutical Companies or Foundations. Each Nation Member State Safety and Evaluation Committee will be funded adequately during a Pandemic to confer monthly and review early and later treatment efforts in their respective countries. What helps, what works should be the Guide with the WHO disseminating this information to it's member states and the world's people. To preserve the autonomy of member nations, each nation state must have the right to alter their pandemic efforts (including leaving the treaty body)according to the needs of their people, their economies and disease prevalence. The WHO, if it is to be benevolent and effective in this role, must also have an oversight commitee that can evaluate and share transparent data from each member state about accuracy of testing for a disease, hospitalizations and deaths. Require makers of vaccines to be financially responsible for any damage to persons by their products. Good Luck and thank you for soliciting public comments.
Self	My husband wrote ealier mentioning The freedom to choose your medical treatment has to be recognized by the WHO. We personally witnessed the mother of my close friend die after the 2nd covid vaccine dose. Her heart start raising minutes after her shot. She suffered a massive heart attack and died. No living being can be pressed to take treatments that someone else has forced on them. We are sovereign and the freedom to choose medical treatment is our innate right.
Unemployed	My Jesus we pray to End all the Evil Manufacturing of Useless Vaccine. AMEN
I represent no organization.	My list to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for considering my thoughts and ideas.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	My main concerns are as follows.... 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The Suffolk Dowser	My main observation would be to wait, not to rush in with an immediate response, or a response before any threat has been substantively identified and studied ie. for at least three months after first identifying an illness that is 'novel' and not part of the present framework of health of all nations of the world. After this period of reflection and study has elapsed to wait a further three months to see how it is transmitted. Only after these six months are up can any form of suggested treatment take place. No vaccines to be prepared and marketed through WHO that have patents already in place prior to the infection starting. Biolabs carrying out gain of function research to be banned, dismantled, never to be allowed again in any part of the world under any guise. All research of this nature to be extinguished from the world. All WHO officers and staff to declare their interest in any pharmaceutical company, biological research or military organisation and if found to benefit or have any involvement with them in any way to be then dismissed from WHO immediately, who should be an impartial body. No mandatory vaccines ever. No mandatory treatment for health to be required by WHO for any nation, ever, for any cause. All attempts to control the health requirements of any nation or country or type of people of the world to desist, from now on. Natural herd immunity to be sought for all health threats, always, as a first priority. WHO to be limited to an advisory body only.
Mankind Autonomy	My name is Cody. Thank you for the opportunity to speak on this matter. I truly believe these efforts to create more control over human autonomy is detrimental not only to the individual rights of medical choice, but also the rights of entire societies' medical choice. These efforts to gain that power to shut down entire societies and it's functional infrastructure in the guise of "pandemic response" only stands to further harm and destroy what we've been building for ages. We all witnessed firsthand what stopping entire economies can do to human psyche, mental and physical health of the individual as well as that of the family unit. To remove our ability to make our own medical choices as a whole society is counter-intuitive and antithetical to human health. Through the most recent "pandemic" most of the deaths and hardships didn't come from the virus itself. It came from the Governmental overreach, thus massively increasing the harm encountered by all humans effected. This damage to our society was absolutely and demonstrably avoidable. I vote no global pandemic response.
Women in Global Health	Global Health movement, with 40 national chapters, mostly in the global south. Women are 70% of health workers globally and 90% of frontline workers, but only 25% of senior health leaders - resulting in decisions that marginalize the needs and expertise of half the world's population - and undermine health systems. Women have made an exceptional contribution during the pandemic and been disproportionately impacted. Learning lessons from health emergencies, we recommend: One, on Health Governance Decision making bodies must: • Have 50% women members, including in leadership, prioritizing women from the global south. • Apply a gender risk assessment to all policies and measures • Use sex disaggregated data Two, on Health Systems Governments ensure: • PPE design and procurement accommodate women's needs and that frontline health workers have infection control measures essential for their protection • Women health workers have safe and decent work, free from violence and harassment • Preparedness is central in Government planning, including flexible response and surge capacity • Provision of mental health and other essential support services for health workers • Equitable pay for women community health workers, following WHO guidelines Three, on Resources In health emergencies governments must ensure resources: • To enable continuity of essential health services
Private	My name is Mary Haley. I am personally appalled that the WHO is trying to sign a universal treaty for how to respond to global pandemics. Every country should be able to respond in a manner that is fitting for its own people. There is no one size for all solution for every country. Such a treaty should not even exist as it denies citizens their autonomy over their own bodies which is in violation of the Charter of Human Rights. No entity should be able to blanket decide for every country and every people what the appropriate response is for their individual health as decided upon by strangers, uninvolved and uninformed as to their personal health needs. The only thing that should be discussed if anything is that no government should be able to strip all its citizens of their bodily autonomy through mandates, freedom of movement and freedom to congregate on the basis that due to a pandemic the government thinks it knows better than its citizens what is for their own individual good. Thank you for your time, Mary Haley
self	My Objection; I wholeheartedly do NOT support the creation or implementation of an international governing body to oversee pandemic response. The creation of such an international instrument is a threat to our lives, livelihoods, sovereignty, collective well-being and inalienable rights. Natural law and our democratic constitution protect us from such global overreach. I believe that people should have a say in the decisions that impact our lives, and should not be wholly controlled by an agency that receives substantial funding from private interest groups hoping to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. In the recent pandemic alone, the WHO failed to protect people around the world, encouraging ineffective masks and quarantine/lockdowns, relying on faulty diagnostic tests to count cases and deaths, suppressing early effective treatments, and pushing dangerous vaccines and hospital protocols. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda or enforce bio-surveillance. To do either would be criminal. Thank you for your consideration.
Spiritual	My only comment is to suggest that it is too early for such an agreement. For example, we honestly haven't a real consensus as to the true etiology of Covid 19, other than somewhere in or around Wuhan, China. In terms of practical navigation, without a fix on your position, how do you intend to set a new course. We need more time and data is my suggestion.
None	My only submission would be that a pandemic should be proven to be occurring by at least 5 independent internationally based entities by rigorous scientific testing before any rule making can be considered. That is, in my opinion, the only way that an international body can reasonably have the authority to subvert a population's local authorities.
None	My opinion and advice is: # Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Each human has the own choise to take a vaccine or not. # Discriminatory measures should be prohibited, as well as all pushing methods /treaths of liberty restrictions for the social life (no social/ economic restrictions for not vaccinates people!) # All measures must take full account of all health interests, including physical ans psychical impact on all age-groups of people. # All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. # Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. Patents should be open and available for the entire world population. # Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. # All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. # As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. # All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. # Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. # Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! # NO to international digital „Green Card" or digital „Covid19-Certificate"!!! Also NO to the international digital ID-Card including health informations and other personal data. All my Best, Gabriela Petrescu
civil citizen	My opinion is that National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Free lance	My opinion is that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is important that nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I think that it is necessary to have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also important to include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	My opinion is that nothing should be included in this treaty, as there is no need for a treaty like this. Let each nation mind their own business!
Member of public.	My opinion is that pandemic emergency contingency plans such as lockdowns, medical mandates, mask-wearing and social distancing must be solely decided by the democratically elected governments of each country. No international agencies nor unelected bodies must ever be allowed to override our own emergency policies to inflict fines, unproven mandates and over-reaching control. Furthermore, human rights and bodily autonomy must prevail without question. We must have the freedom to decide at a personal level the risks we are prepared to take without draconian (nor medical) intervention that is potentially detrimental to our health to a greater degree than the perceived risks and exaggerated (i.e. by media and/or government agencies) outcomes/deaths. Any person or NGO bodies imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, MUST be held accountable for their actions. No person responsible for dictating these rules may benefit directly or indirectly, nor have any patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from rules imposed.
UK Citizen	My opinion is that pandemic emergency contingency plans such as lockdowns, medical mandates, mask-wearing, social distancing, must be solely decided by the democratically elected governments of each country. In my country, the people have shown democratically that they have no desire to be ruled over or compelled to any action by a supranational or internal organisation, agency or unelected body. None of these must ever be allowed to override our own emergency policies to inflict fines, unproven mandates and overreaching control. First of all an emergency needs to be real not just realising the agenda of any particular body and there is still no evidence that the current 'pandemic' was such as there is no evidence or debate - this needs to change to allow people to react appropriately. Furthermore, human rights and bodily autonomy must prevail without question as it is our right. We must have the freedom, to decide our personal risk levels and health challenges without ill thought out action or medical intervention that is severely detrimental to our health, outweighing the perceived/estimated risks and reported outcomes/deaths. These facts should always be debated and be supplied with no bias, inflation or motive other than to inform people of the whole truth not to progress an agenda. Any person(s) imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, MUST be held accountable for their actions. No person responsible for dictating these rules, may benefit directly nor indirectly, nor have any patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from rules imposed. Please refer to the Nuremberg Code for further information.

Kyrgyzstan	[illegible]
Lao People's Democratic Republic	[illegible]
Latvia	[illegible]
Lebanon	[illegible]
Lesotho	[illegible]
Liberia	[illegible]
Libya	[illegible]
Lithuania	[illegible]
Luxembourg	[illegible]
Madagascar	[illegible]
Malawi	[illegible]
Malaysia	[illegible]
Maldives	[illegible]
Mali	[illegible]
Malta	[illegible]
Marshall Islands	[illegible]
Mauritania	[illegible]
Mauritius	[illegible]
Mexico	[illegible]
Micronesia (Federated States of)	[illegible]
Monaco	[illegible]
Mongolia	[illegible]
Montenegro	[illegible]
Morocco	[illegible]
Mozambique	[illegible]
Myanmar	[illegible]
Namibia	[illegible]
Nauru	[illegible]
Nepal	[illegible]
Netherlands	[illegible]
New Zealand	[illegible]
Nicaragua	[illegible]
Niger	[illegible]
Nigeria	[illegible]
Niue	[illegible]
North Macedonia	[illegible]
Norway	[illegible]
Oman	[illegible]
Pakistan	[illegible]
Palau	[illegible]
Panama	[illegible]
Papua New Guinea	[illegible]
Paraguay	[illegible]
Peru	[illegible]
Philippines	[illegible]
Poland	[illegible]
Portugal	[illegible]
Qatar	[illegible]
Republic of Korea	[illegible]
Republic of Moldova	[illegible]
Romania	[illegible]
Russian Federation	[illegible]
Rwanda	[illegible]
Saint Kitts and Nevis	[illegible]
Saint Lucia	[illegible]
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	[illegible]
Samoa	[illegible]
San Marino	[illegible]
Sao Tome and Principe	[illegible]
Saudi Arabia	[illegible]
Senegal	[illegible]
Serbia	[illegible]
Seychelles	[illegible]
Sierra Leone	[illegible]
Singapore	[illegible]
Slovakia	[illegible]
Slovenia	[illegible]
Solomon Islands	[illegible]
Somalia	[illegible]
South Africa	[illegible]
South Sudan	[illegible]
Spain	[illegible]
Sri Lanka	[illegible]
Sudan	[illegible]
Suriname	[illegible]
Sweden	[illegible]
Switzerland	[illegible]
Syrian Arab Republic	[illegible]
Tajikistan	[illegible]
Thailand	[illegible]
Timor-Leste	[illegible]
Togo	[illegible]
Tonga	[illegible]
Trinidad and Tobago	[illegible]
Tunisia	[illegible]
Turkey	[illegible]
Turkmenistan	[illegible]
Tuvalu	[illegible]
Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates	[illegible]
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	[illegible]
United States of America	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	[illegible]
Viet Nam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]

Organization	Written submission
None	My request is that the following points be honestly and fully addressed to an outcome that provides for more human rights and more freedom for mankind. Failing the following would surely bring about a death knell for any life worth living on Planet Earth. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I truly pray for the above on this the 12th day of April 2022 in the year of our Lord.
none	My request pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty is based on my belief that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. Therefore, I support the treaty provided that the following are allowed: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Respectfully submitted, Virginia Herbert
US Citizen	My request.... 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	My requests re: your global pandemic response proposal would include.. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	My Requests: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Deborah Lee Nutrition	My requirements: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australia	My response is that international agency such as Who stop forced injections of untested material that is hurting and killing people. Stop controlling people about where they can go, and instead promote clean eating and exercise through generous and enlightening programs.
N/A	My Response to " What substantive do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy and are reserved the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities are able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit and without consequences. 3. It needs to provide an open and transparent process allowing the ability for all people of the world to vote and include failsafe measures that will prevent application of any global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. 4. Create measures that do not allow the process to be influenced by pharmaceutical companies or other global health organizations profiteers. Thank you for your time and consideration.
Self	My response to the proposed question: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be in place the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be included.
Citizen of Earth	My response to the question is... NONE. Member countries deserve to have autonomy over their citizens to make appropriate decisions in the face of health crises, or any crises. Members deserve the right to opt out of any agreement without consequences as science and data evolves or public welfare warrants a divergent path from recommendations. Any international instrument should also have a clear and transparent process to allow all public citizens to vote on it implementation, including opting out of the agreement if the majority don't want it. Lastly, any international agreement needs to free from influence of profiteers, like pharmaceutical companies, who may not be contributing in an unbiased manner.
Australia	MY Response to the WHO: You ask, "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" My response: NONE. We DO NOT need the World Health Organization's interference with our country's sovereignty. The incompetent, if not criminal, actions of the WHO during the Corona virus episode have proved you are not worthy of support. I desire my country to remove itself from ALL associations and agreements with the WHO immediately. You have not even asked if we want an international instrument on pandemic preparedness. I desire good communications between sovereign countries in matters effecting health, including potential pandemics. NO organisation should have the right to force its decrees, its personnel, or its protocols onto any other sovereign nation. I do not and will not ever recognise you as having any authority over my country, my family or my own body.
Cumberland University	My response to this question is simple: THERE SHOULD BE NO INTERNATIONAL TREATY REGARDING PUBLIC HEALTH/SAFETY. Allowing an organization that has already been proven to have lied to the public for their own gain to have such power would be astronomically idiotic. Additionally, medical decisions should ONLY be made by individuals and families. We are not puppets for the WHO to play with. We will not comply.
Margaret Anna Alice, LLC	1) Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: https://rumble.com/vefla3-a-letter-to-andrew-hill-dr-tess-lawrie-oracle-films.html
General Public	My Response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	My rights as a sovereign citizen trump the WHO's control over medical decisions I decide to make in my own best interest. I do not give the WHO permission to make medical decisions on my behalf, and claim that my bodily autonomy will not and cannot be controlled by an organization that is being controlled or manipulated by the likes of Bill Gates and other foreign entities. I did not vote to be included and controlled by the WHO, nor do I consent to any medical decisions that affects me personally and that the WHO deems relevant to any pandemic. I rescind any ties as a Canadian to the WHO.
Individual	My spouse and I do not agree with a WHO Global Health Treat as we are for the constitution of the USA and Florida state. Global treaties destroy sovereignty. We believe health and healthcare options are local and best served by a local community and county vs. globally. Every county and state is different much less globally. Not only is this a freedom of health issue but a personal privacy issue and personal choices made locally. No one wants global control of their country much less their healthcare and medical choices. We can handle pandemics like we always have in the past 200+ yrs of the USA. The word pandemic has been modified by WHO 3 x's; this treaty is about control of masses not health.
	My stand is: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Parent	My statement includes the following four demands- 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, K. Wolcott
Private citizen of the USA	My strong opinion is that there be NO international involvement in any health issue. Contrary to the spirit of this treaty, the United States of America is governed by the people, for the people. I stand with all Americans rejecting any interference in our way of life, our ability to take care of our own, and unwanted or unneeded imposition on our Constitutional liberties.
Public	My strongly held opinion is that the WHO as a non-governmental organisation with no direct link to democratic processes has no jurisdiction or authority to plan or lead international pandemic responses. Each country whether it is part of the WHO or not must remain wholly in control of it's public health responses and bodies like the WHO should remain at all times advisory. The last two years have done immeasurable damage to how populations view global public health and suggestions such as these will only further damage public trust and engagement. If the WHO is genuinely concerned about future global pandemic responses, more flexibility, honesty and transparency should be encouraged from governments and less centralised , one size remedies should be proposed. Suffice to say a new international instrument from the WHO seems to me the completely wrong response to the issues raised over the last two years. Less centralisation, not more is the only way global publics will trust public health again in the future.
Esther111	My Submission . National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must be ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Absolute must, we need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Anyone who profits from any health issue in any way must not have any say in the process.
N/A	My submission for what should be included for pandemic preparedness would be that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I also would suggest the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is extremely important. I also feel an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it would be the most prudent way to ensure what is prepared served everyone appropriately. Finally it should be imperative that measures are put in place that do not allow for the influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There's too much for them to gain and therefore should not be included in the discussion.
Self	My submission is as follows - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you! With Gratitude, Maggie Barclay
Sovereign woman and citizen of the world and England	My submission is as follows: 1. All national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to decide what would or would not be best for their own people. 2. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. All nations and local towns, cities and metropolis have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without discrimination or consequence. 4. Measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No conflict of interest to be tolerated.
None	My submission is simple: the WHO should NEVER breach the sovereign rights of countries in their own democratic decision-making processes. We are NOT in a one world Government and we are NOT in a dictatorship. I also have no trust in WHO to uphold democracy. We should not allow a few corrupt individuals to ride roughshod over vastly differing views on responses to so-called pandemics. WHO are not the authority here, no matter how much you want to appear to be.
Citizen of the world.	My submission is simply that the primary substantive element should be that all persons, organisations or countries should not be bound by any agreement that restricts their right to do what they see fit for themselves. My reasons are: 1) If decisions are made on a global "one rule for everyone" basis this does not allow for local conditions, for alternative points of view, or for instances where local entities may have better information about their own situations. The world is not homogeneous, people and countries are different. Foisting a "one size fits all" solution on everyone seems fraught with consequences. 2) If WHO should get something wrong the consequences would be visited upon the whole of humanity. Most of humanity might possibly be destroyed. Leaving decisions at a local level means we can learn from the results of different approaches. Perhaps some local approaches will be completely wrong, but in that case the effects will not be worldwide. Most of humanity will survive. I assume here that the aim of WHO is to promote maximal human survival and the greatest level of health we can collectively obtain. 3) Concentrating power in the hands of one organisation means that all our eggs are in one basket. Therefore; if the organisation becomes corrupted or falls under the influence of malicious actors the harm that could be done by those corrupt or malicious actors would be magnified on a worldwide scale. This global pandemic scheme seems ripe for the attentions of the megalomaniacal among us. Most ordinary people do not understand the sheer maliciouaness of megalomania. Anyone who cares about humanity or simply about their own survival must reject the idea of worldwide "health" initiatives.
Individual	My submission is that even if there should be another pandemic, all countries should still retain their own sovereign power to make decisions that affect the population of their country. All human rights should be protected at all times and mandates to have any medical treatment such as vaccines should never be implemented. Each individual should have the right to decide what treatment, if any, they get in the middle of any pandemic
N.A	My submission is to affirm out of my conscience and knowledge that a world-wide response to any future pandemic via WHO's present directives would violate the rights of responsibility of a sovereign nation. The medical background thus far through WHO's directives is not substantiated as being a viable or ethical pathway due to the medical team and advisors of WHO having been compromised as being under the drive of the economically based directives of the pharmaceutical industry. There has been no updated independent medical expertise to advise on viable individual protocols and procedures. The WHO health directives have been one-sided and therefore against a balanced outlook to an individualised and more nationally informed procedure for a sovereign nation. A sovereign nation needs to be held accountable to having 'Guard Lines' to being informed by experts in their field and who are informed through other medically trained independent experts from the world medical community who are seen to uphold the Hypocratic oath. Independent nation responses can update their own response as seen fit. Each government needs to be regulated by the nations population to uphold laws which are democratically assigned and not through a compromised organisation from outside the country.
Conspicuous CBM	My submission is 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is a must. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is a must. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers is an absolute priority.
NONE Sovereign Human	My Submission on behalf of myself, family and friends. The proposed agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the power to declare poorly researched evidence, to impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the Will of the People. The People have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. Corporate entities do not serve the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Since 1992 historically, the people have been failed. The UN Charter begins 'WE THE PEOPLE'. NOT We The Corporations ! During the Covid-19 chapter, lockdowns suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. Nuremberg 2.0 is fully operational. The evidence is in world wide. The People do not want bio surveillance. Funding from public sources belongs to the People, if receiving substantial funding from private interests it will create a Conflict of Interest. This will influence world Health to the Profit aspect for example the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance that contributes over $1 billion a year. I pray God's Will be adhered to and Right decision's will be made, albeit by unelected officials.
Individual	My submission regarding the proposed global preparedness treaty is a follows Most countries elect their representatives via democratic election processes. This is done on the understanding that the elected representative will then represent the interests of the people of that country/region. This process is essential to democracy and should be respected by every global body such as the WHO and should NEVER be over-ridden by any treaty. The people of each country or region are the best people to decide what will work best for them. Decisions regarding disaster preparedness that become centralised/globalised open up the possibility of influence from large corporations with large sources of money. This means that decisions made can be in the interests of corporations and not the people of the world. No structure should be allowed to exist that permits such influences. Each country must have an open system of voting that cannot be corrupted, so that the people can have their say as to whether the country signs up to a global treaty or not. There must be the ability to opt out of any globalised treaty. If this does not exist we have tyranny replacing responsible over sight.
Canadian Citizen	My submission requests are as follows: -pandemic response should be overseen by federal governments and supported by state/provincial governments. -any medical intervention must not be forced onto any individual especially through restrictions and coercion. -there should never be an overriding pandemic response enacted by the WHO that would infringe or negate individual constitutional rights and freedoms Thank you for your time. Kind regards, Ceilidh Beck
none	1) Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic," adding back the language whose removal permitted an Orwellian declaration of "pandemic" for a non-threat with near-100% survival: "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie: 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public.
individual	My submission: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unity	My submissions are as follows; National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	My suggestion is to include a clause, similar to what I have written below, to be added and made enforceable by an impartial oversight committee that is free from undue control and influence from an increasingly authoritarian and totalitarian globalist ideology. If it is found that the WHO has willfully or by negligence not disclosed and/or not reported any individual/entity/stakeholder/corporation/ or donor that may be in or be perceived to be in a conflict of interest as it relates to that individual/entity/stakeholder/corporation/donor/ or donor receiving a direct or indirect financial benefit, or as it relates to actual or perceived direct or indirect overreach in power and control on any or all member states the WHO will agree to be accountable to the International Criminal Court in the Hague. If applied with integrity and with the same spirit as is found in Anti-Trust legislation, the above clause will serve as a mechanism to keep in check the obvious risk and danger to member states' autonomy of the WHO possibly applying a binding component to the proposed new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Does the WHO, by threatening a binding component, really want to be perceived as above the law and above the needs and preferences of the people that it claims to serve?
General Public	My suggestions are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you in advance!
none	My suggestions are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organisation	My suggestions: The nations need to be independent and decide on their local basis Need for an independent contrôle comité not linked to money founders
myself	My thoughts are we as citizens on the USA have the right to make our own choices for our selves for any pandemic. We tend to know the condition of our own bodies best from a lifetime of experiences mainly proper health care for our own specific bodies. I wear a C-Papp mask each evening and during the day I need to breath freely and not through a restricted mask since they give me head aches. Pandemic restrictions should be decided for each country, each state, and each county. It should not be one size fits all formula. Thank you for the opportunity to voice my opinion, Bob
Self	My thoughts on forcing global health policy. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is necessary. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.

Organization	Written submission
United Kingdom Citizen	My thoughts on the Treaty. These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
CNU	My thoughts on this proposal are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	My understanding of the WHO Treaty is that it would give the WHO an authoritarian stance over any country that signed it. Meaning what the WHO says goes with no accountability or question. This is unacceptable. The United States of America and it's citizens as well as all countries must retain their ability to govern themselves even in a time of crisis. To decide for themselves what is right. This move is not to improve life for the people of this planet. This move is to CONTROL the people of this planet. I'll say it again. It Is Unacceptable.
Citizen	My view is based on the performance of the WHO with the "COVID-19 pandemic" - the WHO should NOT have ANY authority on ANY matter inside ANY country. As an unelected organisation, the worst possible outcome is granting it executive powers, which will make it a target tool for despots and oppression. Under no circumstances should the autonomy of any country be compromised by organisations such as the WHO. At best, the WHO should function as a forum to share and discuss health information without any powers to direct any country to take any measures whatsoever. The most important aspect of this matter is that there should be no "new instrument" at all.
Member of the human family, head of my family	My view: Freedom is the highest of all human rights. No central organization may presume to dictate to people how they must behave. It is a scientific fact that small groups easily err and cannot correct this error for reasons of self-preservation. WHO is a very small group of people who are not from the center of society, and thus particularly vulnerable to lobbying. Therefore, WHO may be able to define values, but can never make legally binding regulations. That would be completely undemocratic. There is no need for an international instrument against pandemics. After all, the experience of the last two years is that the effects of pandemic control are much more catastrophic than the effects of the pandemic.
I do not belong to an organization	my views were stated above
Individual	My vote regarding a WHO worldwide pandemic policy is NO,NO and NO. I believe that the sovereignty of any country and its ability to make it's own decisions for it's own citizens it paramount. Added to which the WHO and for that matter any government is corrupt and manipulated by unelected and unseen forces that do not have the best welfare of the world's human population at heart. To state it plainly they are corrupt and evil.
none	My wife and I are both very strongly against international control of medical rules. Suggestions and arguments for a position are fine, but NOT coercion... especially not coercion from a central ruling body. The last two years of very serious errors of judgement by "those in power" have made it clear that the coercive approach, mandated by a few people with power, does NOT work.
retired citizens	My wife and I are completely against a pandemic prepared agreement that would put an agency between us and our government and to act independently without our approval. We feel that agencies can become agendized and look out after their own interests rather than look out after ours when they are incentivized or corrupted in any manner. Therefore lets leave agreement and maintain the status quo with protections in place for America's People. Thank You, Dennis Swigart
UN	My work credentials have been supplied due to mandatory fields requiring employer-related details, although this submission is solely in my personal capacity. 1) From the outset, the World's citizens should first be consulted on whether they want to be effectively 'governed' by such a treaty. There should be a public information campaign about this fundamental issue so that all citizens fully understand its implications. 2) Should any such treaty be demanded by the World's citizens following an open and thorough consultation process, then National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own constituents. 4) The right of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the treaty as they see fit, without consequence, must be preserved. 5) An open and transparent process must be provided to all the World's citizens so that people are throughly consulted on any such treaty with the ability to vote on a final draft of it, 6) Failsafe measures that will prevent the application of any such global agreement in countries, regions or places where a majority of the citizenry do not want i,t must also be incorporated into the treaty. 7) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other public/private entities acting in the global health space must be incorporated into any such treaty.
Individual	My written response to the proposed question is this: There is no need to establish substantive elements to an international instrument because it is not needed, wanted or welcomed by the majority of men, women and children of the world. Re: Marbury vs Madison 5 US 137: Any treaty that is repugnant to the US Constitution is null and void. US political leaders are obligated to uphold the Constitution, which does not legally support any agreement, treaty etc that they may make to the contrary. The United Nations has NO LEGAL JURISDICTION over established provisions of the US Constitution.
Alive human	My written statement (inappropriate use of word suission) is: I have a MSCi in Microbiology/Biotechnology and have seen so much microbiological, epidemiological, immunological, genetical facts and theory violations in past 3 years, definitions changed on monthly bases, with little to no effect. I have seen many human rights violations, human dignity violation, passive and active state, government violence against its citizens, suicides by lockdown measures, family violence escalation... If you are unable to stop this, nature will stop you.
Private Citizen of the United States of America	My written statement in regards to a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is one of respectful but vehement disagreement that such an entity needs to exist. It should be up to individual countries to determine their own response as has been done, given the fact that each country is unique and can determine the best qualified response. There should not be one governing entity that determines the course of action for all. I strongly oppose the formation of this international instrument!
Teacher	My written submission for the WHO (apparently written submissions are accepted until the 13) The World Health organization should not be overseeing a worldwide pandemic preparedness treaty/plan. Every country has it's own emergency plans in place and these look different considering the countries' resources, population and culture. It is not the role of the WHO to manage Countries. It is up to countries to act on behalf of their populations wishes and take into account measures that could help. I am concerned that a treaty with the WHO would miss the nuances that make up a country and this all seems a slippery slope to the WHO becoming a dictorship around health. The WHO has not acted in good faith - they changed the definition of a vaccine, during the COVID-19 pandemic. Instead of looking at focussed protection - measures were put into place, at the advice of the WHO, that have had lasting repercussions. Scientific debate was completely stifled and those who questioned measures were essential silenced and their character smeared. It is my hope Canada changes it's focus to more country centered instead of global centered. Citizens are increasingly taxed and Canadians are working harder and becoming poorer. In the meantime, our government continues to grow.
World Council for Health	Myself and countless others do not believe that an 'international instrument on pandemic preparedness' is necessary at all. Every nation has the right to govern it's own people and decide what is best for them given each nation's different needs and circumstances. A 'one size fits all' system just doesn't work and will put the world in a more dangerous state. We need to let nations look after themselves and their communities at a local level to see a real change for the good. Countless people have suffered as a result of the covid injections. Do the right thing. Think of humanity- your sisters and brothers.
Individual	Myself and my family are against any organisation wanting to dicate health policy for all nations as this would be undemocratic and against the individual nation states. This cannot be allowed to happen.
Citizen of Australia	Myself and my family are against the proposed IHR panademic plan! It is not necessary and will compromise our rights as citizens under our constitutional law. WHO should not be given the power to overule a countries own panademic law/plan. regards Mitch Schottli Western Australia
BASIS	N0 mandatory vacsination!
keine	Nach meiner Meinung soll es keine internationale Instrumente zur Vorbereitung und Reaktion auf Pandemien geben. Jedes Land soll selbst entscheiden welche Maßnahmen anzuwenden sind oder auch nicht.
brak	Nadrzędność WHO nad polityką zdrowotną krajów rodzi ogromne niebezpieczeństwo powielenia błędów z ostatnich dwóch lat, kiedy większość krajów stosowała tzw. zalecenia WHO: 1. Powszechne testowanie przy użyciu testów PCR, które dają ogromną część wyników błędnych. Na ich podstawie nakładano: 2. Kwarantanny – zamykano ludzi w niewoli własnych domów, co było przyczyną wielu depresji, samobójstw oraz kryzysu gospodarczego 3. Nakazy i zakazy, które nie mają wpływu na transmisję wirusa a mocno przyczyniają się do szkód psychicznych, społecznych i gospodarczych. 4. Nachalna reklama lub przymus przyjmowania preparatów będących w trakcie badań, których długofalowe skutki nie są znane to zbrodnia. Nie zgadzam się, żeby WHO, której budżet to w większości fundusze od koncernów farmaceutycznych decydowało o sposobach leczenia mnie i mojej rodziny. Nie wierzę, że moje dobro jest dla nich ważniejsze od zysków! Moim zdaniem przekazanie WHO tak wysokich uprawnień zagraża suwerenności Mojego kraju i zagraża zdrowiu i bezpieczeństwu moich bliskich. Nie wyrażam na to zgody! Iza Królik
Quinton Air & Odor Management Pvt Ltd	NanoGard Puff: Continuous Active Disinfection (CAD) "NanoGard Puff" is major breakthrough in infection control and prevention. It is Continuous Active Disinfection having fastest killing activity (i.e. 10 seconds) in the world lasting up to 24 hrs against bacteria and bacterial spores (Bacillus spores) showing 5 log reductions and hence establishing the category of "sterilant". For current pandemic of COVID-19 it has been tested against real sample of COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) virus elimination showing >4 log reduction. Because this novel solution application is non-toxic and human safe, it can be used by general public. For addressing the situation like pandemic, this novel solution can be utilized on mask/N95. The use of face masks at the community level for disease prevention can be traced back to the time of the Manchurian Plague (1910-1911). Till the present day, mask only retain the microbes on outer surface of mask by filtering (physical control) and do not kill or eliminate them and hence prone to infection occurrence & transmission. The biological control like NanoGard Puff solution is required for better protection of infection control & prevention. Since the gloves can also serve for the transmission of infection & diseases by repeated attack of pathogen (re-contamination), the novel solution like NanoGrad Puff can be utilized for prevention & transmission of diseases. Furthermore, novel solution NanoGard Puff can be utilized for disinfection of medical devises to address the problem transmission of infection & diseases through medical devises for longer period of time i.e. for 24 hours. This has been certified for "Novel application for COVID-19" by ICMR, New Delhi and has approval from DCGI, India.
Rotary Club	Nation States and local authorities should have full autonomy preserving for itself and its citizens complete decision making authority knowing what is in the best interests of their own citizenry. They must retain the right to opt out of any and all provisions of any agreement, as they see fit without consequence. Any agreement must be derived through an open and transparent process and all world citizens should have the right to vote with the ability to prevent the imposition of a global agreement where the majority of the citizens reject it. Finally measures must be in place to prohibit influence of the decision making process by any and all corporate pharmaceutical companies and global health organisations which will profit in any way through the provisions of any agreement. The World Health Organisation must recognise the personal sovereignty of each and every human being to exercise their own ability to decide what health interventions they feel are appropriate for them, respecting personally held religious and ethical beliefs.
Concerned US citizen	National & Local leadership must retain full autonomy. We should reserve the God given right to make our own decisions based on what is best for our own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to Opt Out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without repercussions nor consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the People do Not want it. Measures that do Not allow for influence in the process by Any and All pharmaceutical companies OR global health profiteers.
Na	National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving decision making rights based on what's best for their people. If past 2 years has proven anything, it is a must for respect of individual autonomy & respect for individual countries to honour their people's interest. A global treaty precludes this ability & must be changed by allowing all countries right of autonomy without consequences from any global dictates. Above all human rights & choices must remain & autonomy of choices for each person is paramount. This recent pandemic has shown the global forcing of experimental vaccines was not a choice & now these experiments are proving to have caused severe harm physically, emotionally & financially for individuals & countries. There was no consultation, no informed consent as pharmaceutical companies skipped processes & then withhold vital information & continue to do so. If the WHO is to control anything, it is these obviously out of control companies who have profited substantially from hurting many & not stopping transmission or contraction of virus. Not profiteering thru human suffering should ever be allowed & humanity was meant to be protected by the Nuremberg Codes from this occurrence.
individual	National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National & local leadership retain full autonomy,reserving the right to make decisions on what is best for their own people. An open & transparent process which the world can vote ,that will prevent the application of global agreement in countries where a majority of people do no want it
Citizen of the UK	National & Local leadership should have autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in a democratic society Nations and Municipalities should be able to opt out of all or portions of agreement without consequences We would want a transparent process on which all people globally would have the right to vote, including fail safe measures to prevent the application of said agreement in places where the majority do not want it Measures to prevent the influences in any process of pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
N/A	National & local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and individual sovereignty should never be overridden by an undemocratic global organisation with dubious links to big pharma. Power needs to be devolved to the local level where constituents decide the correct policy for their local area. We do not want any interference in our lives from the WHO. NO THANK YOU.
Individual	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is important that nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of ANY and/or ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit and WITHOUT consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where even a minority of the people do not consent. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We cannot entrust our health in the hands of ANYONE who profits and/or is paid "bribed" to follow a health narrative.
General public	National and local / community leaderships need to retain full autonomy, as they can be held to account by their constituents allowing the public to be involved in any decision making affecting their lives and health. All nations and local municipalities need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of an agreement without consequence as they see fit. The process needs to be open and transparent. All people of the world need to be able to vote on this process and be allowed to opt out of it if sufficient people in the area are against any agreements made.
Unaffiliated Member of the Public	National and Local authorities must be able to maintain autonomy on public health policy to remain democratically accountable Policies must benefit the public not pharmaceutical/ corporate lobbyists seeking to make a profit Nations must be able to opt out of any parts of the treaty they deem objectionable or to reject the treaty outright Lockdowns, mask and vaccine mandates or policies that violate human rights must NOT be included in the treaty
Individual	National and local authorities must retain full autonomy. All and any changes to limits on national sovereignty must be voted upon by democratic processes. No medical procedures should be forced or mandated, re Nuremberg code. 'Shoe leather' epidemiology is key, that is local authority is able to gauge and engage local populations needs, and then respond to demands locally.
Matthew Tatnell Limited	National and local authorities must retain unfettered and total final control over health decisions relating to their own population. Nothing in the past two years has given any justification for a 'One World' authority in health. An fully open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people patently do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and very global health profiteer (pharmaceutical companies and others). The ability of nations and local authorities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Retired	National and local Authorities should retain full autonomy concerning the rights of their citizens and what is best for them.Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any or all parts of this agreement without any consequences.It should be an open and transparent process With the ability of all people of the world to be able to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be in place to ensure pharmaceutical companies or any global health profiteers have no influence in the process.
n/a	National and local councils to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions on what is best for the local population. The ability of local councils and national govts to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement if they see fit without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. A restructure of the definition of pandemic by reference to the peoples of the world for clarification.
General public	National and local governing bodies should retain full autonomy and have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. Pharmaceutical companies and other organizations with conflicts of interest should not participate in any shape or form in anything related to pandemic response. All nations and local governing bodies should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. All measures and decisions should be impartially evaluated and validated by professionals in the area without any conflict of interest. (You still have not remedied that in regards to the response to Covid, if you plan to have any credibility in the future better start with that.)
dental	National and local government leaders should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each country together with their LOCAL authorities and municipalities should opt out of any and all portions of this agreement as they see fit WITHOUT any consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. We need measures that do not allow for influences in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Local leaders know best about the needs of their communities. This is not a one size fits all!
United States citizen	National and local government should retain their full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and, with strict adherence to a no influence policy from pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Wildrose Independence Party of Alberta	National and local governmental authorities must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and circumstances. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent discussions with the people of the world regarding the merits of such a program, including all the consequences and risks without bias. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, lobbyists, or any others who may have conflicts of interest and/or unduly profit from such crisis.
General public	National and local governments must have full autonomy, with the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and to opt out of any part of the agreement, without consequence. There must be no influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other corporations or individuals who profit or potentially profit from the agreement, decisions or pandemic.
Kannon McAfee Natural Healing	National and local governments must retain all their power to make decisions as is their duty to those they serve. An opt-out clause for nations and local governments to ignore any or all provisions. Democratic process and vote by all nation's peoples for final approval and implementation of any agreement. Eliminate any and all influence upon the agreement by for-profit entities (pharmaceutical, medical, bio-tech, etc).
general public	National and local governments must retain full autonomy over their policies in pandemics, and must be able to make decisions that are best for their populations. States and local governments must be able to opt out of any part of the Agreement at any time, at their own discretion and without consequences. There must be an open and transparent process that allows the peoples of the world to vote on measures and ensure that no global agreement has to be applied locally that people do not want. There must be no influence on the process by pharmaceutical companies, "philanthropists", WHO funders or other profiteers of global health.
N/A	National and local governments need to remain autonomous in order to make the proper decisions that directly affect them. The WHO should not be allowed to have this power.
US citizen, retired Physician Assistant	National and local governments should retain autonomy over any future pandemic related issues. Each nation should be able to decide what is best for its own citizens with regards to healthcare and pandemic preparedness. There should be absolutely NO conflicts of interest between the WHO or any other international health-related body and pharmaceutical companies. Doctors should be able to treat patients during a pandemic caused by a novel agent (such as COVID-19) using their best medical judgement without the fear of being fired. Data from these doctors who are having success should be shared openly and internationally.
Private citizen	National and local law must remain sovereign. Any measures, processes, actions and consequences whether intentional or not must be subject to national and local laws and no legal remedies over ridden.
Private	-National and local leaders maintain complete autonomy, keeping the freedom to make decisions that are in the best interests of their respective populations. -The power of nations and local governments to unilaterally withdraw from any and all provisions of the agreement. -An open and transparent procedure with the potential for the whole world's population to vote on it, as well as failsafe mechanisms that will prevent the global agreement from being implemented in areas where a majority of the population opposes it. -Measures that preclude any and all pharmaceutical firms or other global health profiteers from influencing the process.
World Citizen	National and local leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations to local governments should be able to opt out of any or all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process must be guaranteed that enables all the people of the world to vote on the inclusion of fail-safe measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be guaranteed that do not allow any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to influence the process.
No organisation	National and local leaders of individual countries should be left to make decisions about their rules and processes in a pandemic. Each country is unique and what may work for one may not work for another. An external party should not have authority over another country in times of a pandemic.
n/a	National and local leaders reserve the right to make decisions based on what their people agree is best. Nations and states can opt out of any or all parts of the agreement if they deem it right, with no consequences. Everyone who will be affected by any global treaty MUST be able to vote on it, and have the assurance that it will not be applied to localities where a majority of the people do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies and anyone with ties to them cannot have any influence or part in the process.
Citizen	National and local leaders retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability for nations and localities to opt out of all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. An open and transparent process that all the people of the world can vote on, including fail-safe measures that prevent the global agreement from being applied in places where a majority of people do not want it to be. Measures that do not allow influence on the process by all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Representing Myself	National and local leaders should have full autonomy and independence regarding pandemic responses. All governments - whether national or local - should have the legal right to decline to participate in any international response to any health emergency. No penalty should be imposed on any government entity for nonparticipation in pandemic responses. All pandemic responses should be implemented only with the support of majorities of the citizens in the nations that are particpating. Those who have a financial benefit from the pandemic response plan should be excluded from the final decision.
Personal	National and local leaders should retain full autonomy to make decisions for their people. Guarantee ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and/or all portions of the agreement without consequences. Guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures to prevent application of global agreement in places where a majority of people don't want it. Measures in place that don't allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Coalition for Medical Freedom	National and local leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Ensure the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Use an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Ensure there is absolutely no influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Message	National and local leadership - which have a mandate especially when they have been voted in by the public - need to retain FULL AUTONOMY, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The treats should include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of ANY and ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, WITHOUT consequence. Furthermore, there needs to be an OPEN and TRANSPARENT process with the ability FOR ALL PEOPLE of the world TO VOTE on including failsafe measures that will PREVENT the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This ensures that individual liberties and rights will be upheld. Measures need to be put in place which DO NOT ALLOW for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers - and as such, if implicated, SHOULD RENDER THIS TREATY NULL AND VOID.
Private	National and local leadership in participating countries must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
USA, STATE OF WASHINGTON CITIZEN	National and local leadership and municipalities retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. Incorporate measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Set up an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.

Organization	Written submission
children's health defense	National and local leadership as well as each individual must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, family and person. The ability of nations, local municipalities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	National and local leadership as well as each individual person should retain full autonomy. All people need to be free to choose their own path to health; free from restrictions, free from fear, free from coercion. What is good for one may not be good for others.
individual	National and local leadership has to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities has to be kept to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The treaty needs an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It also has to include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	National and local leadership have to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership have to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership in every country must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what they believe is best for their own people. The treaty must not restrict the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
None	National and local leadership is not given to WHO. In Australia we want to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I don't support and do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to gain funds or resources from any treatment or prevention drugs.
REB Investments Ltd	National and local leadership locally must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process, must be included, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it i.e. An ' national Opt Out' Clause External NGO's and Global International Corporations must be excluded and measures taken that exclude influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	National and local leadership maintain full autonomy with the right to make decisions based on the needs of local communities, taking into account unique needs and characteristics of the populations they represent. Nations and local municipalities must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, as they see fit, and without consequence. An open and transparent process allowing for all citizens to vote. Failsafe measures preventing application of the global agreement in those places where the majority of citizens do not want it implemented, to be implemented. Measures must protect against influence of pharmaceutical industry representatives and interests, and those of other global "health" profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership maintain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of this agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities need the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Our Constitution must always come first.
Private Practice	National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There MUST be a provision for the nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There MUST be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be NO Measures that allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World citizen	National and local leadership must always be able to retain sovereignty, and full autonomy, reserving their rights to make their own decisions based upon what is best for their own people without consequence, or influence of global health profiteers and pharmaceutical companies. Citizens, nations, and local municipalities of the world do not require an international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response.
Private citizen	National and local leadership must be fully autonomous, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and according to research done in their own country.
Personal	National and local leadership must have the right to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people as well as to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Thank you for letting me voice my opinion.
Barr & Associates	National and local leadership MUST retain 100% full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Maintain ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Require open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures which will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health, Children's Health Defense	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy and reserve their right to make any and all decisions made in the best interests of their people, as well as have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without any consequences. There must be an open, transparent process allowing the world's entire citizenry to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it implemented. There must also be tight measurements that do not allow for any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers as well as any corporate entities and any of their affiliates.
Canadian Citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy and reserves the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people collectively and individually. The choice for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kimble-Zetty	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy for all decision-making related to pandemic preparedness, pandemic response and pandemic management. National and local municipalities must be free to opt out of any and all portions of the global agreement, as they see fit, without consequence. The global agreement must include an open and transparent process with failsafe measures that will prevent the application of any terms of the global agreement in any and all locations where a majority of the people do not want it. Any and all pharmaceutical companies, as well as any other health profiteers, must be prohibited from having any influence on any aspect of the design and implementation of a global agreement.
USA Citizen	National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy in any recommendation that the WHO makes, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities MUST retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. National sovereignty cannot EVER be superseded to an unelected body. WHO can make recommendations ONLY. No policing authority can be granted to this organization. Those who disagree with the WHO recommendations can do so without consequences.
Self	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy in deciding what is best for their own people. Nations, sub-sovereign governments (states, provinces, territories, etc.) and municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. Democracy must prevail through an open and transparent process for all people of the world to vote on acceptance of any provision(s) of a global agreement, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be put in place to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global or local health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy making decisions for their own people. Nations must be able to opt out of all or any part of an agreement. Any agreement must be put to a vote in any place it is proposed. There must be no influence of pharmaceutical or other health companies in any proposal.
None	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy over future pandemics, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures in place to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
mental health	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy t make decisions best for their people. The measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable rights to travel, work, seek education, or gain access to public spaces, or businesses Local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of agreements as they see fit. All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and procedures must be liable for any and all damage caused by their products and services. There is complete transparency. All depersonalized data from clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Human rights are inalienable and governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies" Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right.
None	National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy with the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities MUST be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. The process of implementing global measures must be fully open and transparent with the ability for all people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The process of determining global health measures MUST be free of influence of all pharmaceutical companies and anyone who potentially profits from the measures.
Private citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, and must reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is imperative that there are measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Beckley Enterprises	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, making decisions based on what is best for their own people. Sovereign nations must have the option to decline any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. An open and transparent process with a vote to include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people reject it. The agreement must include measures forbidding influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, receiving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement if they see fit without consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on incl failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where majority of the people dont want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unaffiliated	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions according to local need and effect. All nations and municipalities must retain the absolute right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, without penalty or adverse consequence. An open and transparent process which avails all individuals of the world population of a vote on failsafe measures which will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures which prevent influence in the process from pharmaceutical companies and any party who may profit from a decision or course of action . A guarantee that every individual may choose to reject any measure which contravenes or impinges on their inalienable right to bodily autonomy at any time without fear of coercion or, adverse consequence to their liberties, including but not exclusive to the right to associate with others, the right to unhindered work activity and participation so as to provide for themself and their family, and the right to access facilities and services of any nature; including healthcare. And that any such decision made by an individual shall be made without any requirement of justification to any authority.
Private citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on best meets the needs of the population that they have been elected to serve. 'Leadership' is understood to include physicians and other healthcare practitioners who are involved in the treatment of the infection, or in the evaluation of practices that enhance resistance to infection or mitigate its severity. National and local 'leadership' must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Measures must be put in place that ensure the open and uncensored publication of data concerning the nature, origin, and consequences of infection and of the measures adopted for prevention, and for treatment of the infection.
Private Business	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of global agreements in places where a majority of the people do not want it, not have been consulted. Measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and governments or other global health profiteers.
Canadian Citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people without influence of any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, sovereignty is paramount, not a cookie cutter where a one size fits all. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, especially with emergency use products that have not gone through the usual 5-10 years of trials. Measures taken do not repeat the same mistakes made this last 2 years, lockdowns & masks didnt work so should never be repeated. Nothing implimented that is divisive or discriminatory including vaccine passports.
none	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, with NO approval necessary from this, or any, outside organization. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, without notice or approval, without consequence. A completely open and transparent process, with ALL proposals posted online with no less than 30 days to allow comments and voting. Must include the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people and/or their elected representatives object. Must include measures that do not strictly forbid/prohibit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health companies that would stand, in any way, to increase sales or profits from the implementation of any proposal.
Slow Vet Medicine	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
The Natural Immunity Foundation (in formation)	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All aspects of the proposed treaty must be open and transparent and its provisions subject to democratic vote in all countries. Nations and individual States and regions within nations must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. No organisation or individual with a commercial conflict of interest shall have any say in or be allowed to influence any aspect of the proposed treaty or any aspect of its implementation should the treaty come into effect.
Bravest for Choice	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities are to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process needs to be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Transparency is key and the people must have a say as there is no one-size-fits-all approach to anything. Lastly, but most importantly, measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.
Scientific Communications	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process must be open and transparent. All people of the world must be able to vote on the measures. Mechanisms must be in place to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where people do not want it. Religious exemptions must be made possible at an individual level. Measures must be free from influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical and biotech companies, or other global health profiteers.
Stop the Treaty	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures are needed that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, should be without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Vaccination should not be required. Doctors should be able to medications off-label.
Canadian citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. They must retain sovereignty. There must be allowances for the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be only measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jims Mowing (Muirhead)	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures put in place that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self and small business owner	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Any proposal must include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each county, state, city should make the choices best for their locality. If there is a global dictatorial body making this decisions, it is crucial that the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence be intact. I don't believe that it is possible to have an open and transparent process - with the ability for all people of the National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I don't believe it is possible to open and transparent process at a global level - with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Do not move forward with this measure. Allow sovereign governments to maintain decision making authority in all regards.
Private Individual	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Elected governments should always have the last say in governing a country and its people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The WHO must remain an advisory body as it is unelected by the people. The WHO as a specialist organisation also looks at issues through narrow lenses and is more likely to overlook other issues that exist, including the economy. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be introduced that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University of Sheffield	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Hence, there must be an ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Further, there needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be in place to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Individuals have the right to make their own choices about their own bodies in a free society. COVID-status certificates would clearly cause people to feel coerced into receiving vaccines to avoid the onerous alternative of constant medical tests or, worse, reduced freedoms. They would have a similar effect to mandatory vaccine policies, which are typically imposed by exclusion or penalties for those who decline vaccines. Such an agreement must not be used for mandating or applying coercion (via passports) for testing or vaccination which is illegal. Under the following International Law informed consent (along with no use of coercion or manipulation) is essential for medical treatments including vaccinations and prophylactic treatments: • Article 6 UN Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights (Nuremberg Code) • Article 8 European Convention on Human Rights • UN Civil and Political Rights • Council of Europe's Declaration
Lorna A. Walker, Ph.D., BCHN	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be maintained and respected. The agreement must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be assured that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The sovereign, inalienable right of the people to self-determination must be respected and maintained. Reasonable suggestions and proposals should be welcomed and carefully considered, but the World Health Organization does not have the right to impose top-down, global control by fiat proclamation. As such, nations and local municipalities must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process which allows for all people of the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not desire it. Measures must be taken to prohibit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The sole motivation and concern must be that of public health. Those who would use this concern as a pretext to seek either power or profit must be firmly denied and excluded.
General Public	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The treaty should also include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should outline an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Lastly, it should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Private individual	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is very necessary. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Caleidoscope Associates Ltd	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. National and local leadership must have the right to opt out of some or all of the outcomes and recommendations made by the WHO in regards to the proposed pandemic preparation and response instruments.
Private	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of an agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. No measures introduced should be influenced in any way by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. All nations must remain autonomous. Anything else is dictatorship and completely evil

Organization	Written submission
Self	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The global agreement must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote. There must be failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. All pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be excluded from the process and there must be measures in place to prevent any influence from such parties.
San Diego State University	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be a transparent process for people of the world to be able to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is absolutely critical to include measures that prevent influences on these decisions from all pharmaceutical companies and any other global corporations that profit from health care. Prof. Martin Sereno Director, SDSU MRI Imaging Center
Individual	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be a transparent process for people of the world to be able to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is absolutely critical to include measures that prevent influences on these decisions from all pharmaceutical companies and other global corporations that profit from the health care business.
Improved Immunity LLC	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Measures must be put in place that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have an opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health financial interests.
Eagle's Nest Resort	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process including a process for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that disallow influence in the process by any or all pharmaceutical companies or other global health organizations.
na	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence or undue complication or conditions. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on provisions including safety measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This cannot be dictatorial rule. Measures can not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies, billionaire interests, NGOs, foundations or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be included prohibiting any and all conflict of interest or influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The definition of pandemic should be restored to one which includes massive amounts of death. There must be options to use repurposed drugs and alternative treatments without censorship or penalization under proper informed consent. All treatments offered must have full informed consent.
Wellington chapter of the WAPF	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures in place that ensure that pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers cannot influence this process.
Private citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and municipalities must have the option to opt out of any and all portions of WHO policies as they see fit, without consequence. Measures must be provided to protect against influence from pharmaceutical companies and/ or other organizations with a profit motive that comes at the expense of people's health.
self	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is imperative, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We require an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The individual must retain medical autonomy in all situations!
None	National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It is completely unacceptable that any organisation should dictate to a free country. No-one voted for this.
N/A	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be included. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on is essential, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. National and regional sovereignty must not be infringed upon. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must also be included.
Individual	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health officers.
Retired	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Doctors must be able to use their own experience, education and judgement to best provide for their patients.
Carmel Chiropractic	National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers MUST be strictly forbidden. Participants must disclose their possible conflicts of interest and all with financial ties to companies that may profit from the measure shall not be permitted to participate in the decision making.
Citizen of the United States of America	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on and including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is absolutely essential. There MUST be open and transparent processes with the ability for all people of the world to vote on them including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There MUST be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by ANY and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Individuals MUST retain FULL autonomy over ANY and ALL decisions related to their health and bodies and MUST NOT be forced to receive any health interventions due to participation in this agreement.
Member of the public	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be maintained. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be in place to prevent the influence in this process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be ensured. Should be ensure to have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There has to be in place measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Children's Health Defense	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence MUST be included. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on is necessary, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers MUST also be included. Rebecca Phillips RN
Particular	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be ensured. Should be ensure to have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There has to be in place measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Private	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-Employed	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The instrument must include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The instrument must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The instrument must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio-surveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates.
none	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. STOP THE TREATY
None	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must be: -ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be adopted that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be the the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Every nation must have the ability with local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is essential with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed.	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It should allow ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. That is to say, there can be no lobbying by companies or individuals to affect any policy.
Citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. National and local municipalities must maintain authority to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Failsafe measures must prohibit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Education	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities are able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Effective transparent measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private person	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is crucial that there be clear measures included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are a must.
Usa	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This must open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to VOTE on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I am concerned that these measures allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This should not be.
Representing self	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be in place that will not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers.
Doggie Adventures	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be free to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
General public	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Solo	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must retain the prerogative to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process must be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, even if their governments may want to impose such on their populations. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, and ensure no conflicts of interest among parties involved. All people's inalienable rights of bodily autonomy must be upheld always. Healthy open dialogue from opposing viewpoints must be permitted with equal exposure of all options and alternatives to the global public for individuals to be well informed with unbiased information. No information backed by proven research should be censored, or its proponents silenced in favour of any singular agenda that does not truly and honestly benefit the masses- the global public - over the global elite.
private person	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Priority must have to enable people to take responibility for their own health The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. Beside a green deal we need a health deal, where the health and dignity of the people becomes the central starting point and not the profit of big pharma and big data.
private	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Priority must have to enable people to take responibility for their own health The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. Beside a green deal we need a health deal, where the health and dignity of the people becomes the central starting point and not the profit of big pharma and big data.
Darren	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of all nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is required with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures to be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process must exist, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must exist that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be made applicable. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be incorporated into any such treaty. We do not want your treaty, I object most vehemently to my inclusion under this authoritarian dictatorial rule to which i have not agreed in the slightest.
Person	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This measures are the very least securities that should be in place over global governance.
-	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is a necessity. An open and transparent process is required with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Of critical importance is that measures be taken such that influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers is explicitly prevented.
City Clinic of Osteopathic Medicine	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. National and individual sovereignty should always be respected and supersede any agreement. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
public	National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without ANY consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.= decmocracy Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to ensure decisions are transparent
general public	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must be ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be no influence in these decisions from pharmaceutical companies or anyone who could gain monetarily from the policies put in place. Public health is no one-size-fits-all. Local physicians and authorities and people themselves must be allowed the final choice in any participating in pandemic procedures.
Eley Consulting	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make their own decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be retained to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It is paramount that an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reseving the right to make decisions based on what best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without negative consequences. We need an open and transparent process, with all the people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, They reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must be an option to opt out of some or all parts of the agreement Measures must be put in place to prevent pharmaceutical companies to influence any policy decisions. People should be allowed to vote on whether they want this agreement implemented.
None	National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy. All decisions MUST be based on what is best for citizens of that country or state. Individual nations and local governments MUST have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the Treaty as they see fit. No punishments or sanctions for such opt outs. Transparency of information, right to challenge. All opinions of citizens of all countries that are facing the adoption of this Treaty MUST be encouraged, allowed and made public in their entirety. Anyone opposing adoption must be protected to truthfully share their reasons/concerns and such freedom MUST be guaranteed, and all opinions considered and protected. There MUST be accountability, and a process guaranteeing the right of the people in countries impacted by the adoption of this Treaty to vote and be able to say NO to adoption (REFERENDUM). No punishments or sanctions as a result of such votes. There MUST be guaranteed appeal procedures and transparency to check excess authority, favouritism, or corruption in the application of this Treaty. National and individual autonomy MUST NOT be violated by adoption of this Treaty. Individuals MUST NOT be coerced, mandated or required to take any medical treatment. Any medical treatments MUST only be with fully informed consent.
None	National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy. All decisions MUST be based on what is best for citizens of that country or state. Individual nations and local governments MUST have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the Treaty as they see fit. No punishments or sanctions for such opt outs. Transparency of information, right to challenge. All opinions of citizens of all countries that are facing the adoption of this Treaty MUST be encouraged, allowed and made public in their entirety. Anyone opposing adoption must be protected to truthfully share their reasons/concerns and such freedom MUST be guaranteed, and all opinions considered and protected. There MUST be accountability, and a process guaranteeing the right of the people in countries impacted by the adoption of this Treaty to vote and be able to say NO to adoption (REFERENDUM). No punishments or sanctions as a result of such votes. There MUST be guaranteed appeal procedures and transparency to check excess authority, favouritism, or corruption in the application of this Treaty. National and individual autonomy MUST NOT be violated by adoption of this Treaty. Individuals MUST NOT be coerced, mandated or required to take any medical treatment. Any medical treatments MUST only be with fully informed consent.
individual	National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy. Any and all decisions MUST be based on what is best for their own communities/constituents. NO micromanaging by unelected bureaucrats who don't understand local issues. Individual nations and local municipalities/governments MUST have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the Treaty as they see fit. No punitive measures or punishment for such an opt out. Transparency to all MUST be guaranteed and accompany the right of the people to see what is being done in their name. All opines of the citizenry of all countries facing the adoption of this Treaty MUST be encouraged and allowed and openly shown. Anyone opposing this adoption must be protected to truthfully share their reasons/concerns and MUST be guaranteed, listened to, protected. There MUST be accountability to the people, and a process guaranteeing the right of the people in all countries impacted by the adoption of this Treaty to vote and be able to say NO to adoption. No punishment as a result of such a vote. There MUST be guaranteed appeal procedures, transparency that prevents excess authority, favoritism, or corruption in the application of this Treaty. National and individual autonomy MUST not be facilitated or allowed by adoption of this Treaty.
Individual	-National and local leadership must retain full autonomy. National and local leadership reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -There must be the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The open and transparent process must be transparent. All people of the world get a vote. --- -Accountability and internal controls/measures must be established to ensure this agreement does not apply to those who do not want to participate. Accountability and internal controls to prevent influence by pharmaceutical companies and anyone/organization who stands to profit from such a policy and its enforcement.
NA	National and local leadership need to be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on this, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There need to be procedures that prevent all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers from being able to influence this process.
Self employed	National and local leadership need to remain in full control to make decisions - a one size fits all top down policy is almost always a disaster. Nations and regional municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, no if's or buts. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other GLOBAL HEALTH PROFITEERS. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are
general public	National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. Individual nations and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This requires an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are necessary and required.
An individual	National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We cannot paint everyone with the same brush. Nations and local municipalities need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence in order to do what is best for their people. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Whether someone gets a state mandated health intervention does not summarize his/ her worth as a person or regard or disregard for others. I was taught to believe The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. After 50 years on this earth, despite good and bad choices of people I still believe in this right as a human being. Please understand that An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. If the WHO cares for the health and well being of all, and have a kind/ loving agenda, then there's no others choice but to trust like the writers of the US constitution, that health crises should not forfeit freedoms and responsibilities. Let each community take care of his or her own, they know best. Thank you.
Myself	National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that prevent influence in this process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Informed Consent Action Network	National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities need to have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is imperative that there are measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World council for health	National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities need the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. A TRULY open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of public	National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is important that measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private US citizen	National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, thereby retaining full autonomy. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It's crucial that measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Plant Smart	National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We request the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We require an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We need an agreement that does not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. To conclude this instrument is not needed and local preparation is superior.
Private	National and local leadership needs to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on and must include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers and any group, company or corporation. Transparency in all decision making.
HP Romania	National and local leadership or governments should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make their own decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Create an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Create measures that do not allow for influence in the decision process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	National and local leadership retain a full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy preserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy Ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all drug companies
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy , reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of all the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global government in places where a majority of people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by an and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers. Thank you, Debra Hedstrom
Seattle Fire Department	National and local leadership retain full autonomy and accountability, reserving the right to make the best and prudent decisions based on the needs of their local community and people.
n/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. Local and national municipalities must remain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, with out consequence or penalty. An open and transparent process with all people of the world given the right to vote on the proposal - including failsafe measures where the global agreement cannot be implemented in regions where the majority of people reject it and do not want it. Measures should also be taken to ensure that any and all pharmaceutical companies and other organisations and corporations who may profit from such an agreement, are prohibited from influencing or lobbying the process. The agreement should also respect the right of the individual to make an informed choice whether to undergo medical treatment - experimental or otherwise. Bodily autonomy and the right to choose to receive or reject treatment with out penalty or prejudice must be protected and medical ethics maintained at all times.
individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy and rights to make decisions based on what is best for their people and local conditions. They can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. All people of the world have the guarantee of failsafe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the people do not want it. Every human being has responsibility for and unalienable ownership of their body and health, including freedom of choice regarding prevention and treatment of disease. Solid and effective safeguards are provided to exclude any influence of pharmaceutical and biotech companies, and of their institutional, individual and philanthropic backers and investors, in policy-making of the organization.
Labour party	-National and local leadership retain full autonomy and sovereignty, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. -The ability of national and local bodies to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. -An open and transparent voting process for all people of the world to vote on the implementation of the agreement, so that it can be prevented in places where the majority of the population do not want it. -Implement measures that do not allow for influence in the processes by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	National and local leadership retain full autonomy for any pandemic preparedness and response and theses leaderships should have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Local and national leadership have the ability and right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence or justification. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy in making decisions based on what is right for their own people - with the ability of all nations to opt out of the agreement, with no consequences. Public Health is not a one size fits all. Open and transparent process with all people to vote on the prevention of the application of a global agreement when the majority don't want it. Measures that do not allow influence from all pharmaceutical companies in the process.
Vancouver island health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities/leadership to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, corporations, individuals or other global health profiteers. Complete rights of the individual remains during a pandemic with that individual having the ability to opt out of any treatment, inspection, restriction or mandate without consequence.

Organization	Written submission
Mammary Admiration Society	National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions best for their own constituents. The ability of nations and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, with out consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on with failsafe measure that will prevent the application the global agreement where the majority of the constituents do not want it. Measure that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Acorns School	National and local leadership retain FULL AUTONOMY to make decisions on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipations can OPT OUT of any or all of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An OPEN and TRANSPARENT process with the ability for all people of the world to VOTE on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement here the majority of the people DON'T want it. Measures that DO NOT allow for influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy to make decisions on what is best for their own people. Nations and municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without consequence. Open and tranaparent process that allow the people from around the world to vote on this including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or global health profeteers.
Retired	National and local leadership retain full autonomy to make decisions regarding what is best for their people. An open and transparent process with ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy with the right to make decisions based on what is best for their countries people. The ability of Nations to opt out of any portions of the treaty without consequence. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health businesses.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy Reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Informed Medical Options Party	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions b ased on what is best for there own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National and Local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nation's and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for its own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers. Measures that do not allow government officials that have worked for pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers at any time to have influence on decision making process. Measures to ensure people can opt out of and have the choice to the pharmaceutical drugs they take.
private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people
CHD	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people- 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process, by any, and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers- must be clearly stated.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people (ex: medical freedom and bodily autonomy). 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and opting out of any and all recommendations without repercussion from any and all organizations directly or indirectly involved. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Respecting all individuals rights to their decisions of what's best for themselves and their families and without coercion of any kind not being able to live life fully and make a living . Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Repurposed drugs are accurately and willingly considered and used for situations were the physician and patient see fit without any coercion or backlash from any agencies, departments in health directly or indirectly involved. No medical mandates of any kind and should be left to the individual. Complete utter transparency of all funding in and out directly and indirectly of the WHO agency including all financial dealings of each country and individual stakeholders. Including all stakeholders in medical interventions of any kind affiliated with the WHO agencies and of each Gov. Representatives in each country including any stakeholders in their FDA CDC or Affiliated with such agencies to keep everything purely transparent to the people.
self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people as well as the ability opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people!
N/A	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people! Ordinary people dont need WHO to think instead of them!!!
Self Only	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, irrespective of WHO input. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be sacrosanct. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Burungu Aboriginal Corporation	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, town and country ability of countries and local municipalities to refuse or opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. clauses that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers freedom for all to access the data and reasoning behind any measures enacted, and clauses provided allowing the questioning of such measures, along with a suitable and fair legal process.for the removal of any measures if they are shown not to be supported by the current scientific data and studies.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Me	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Cpe	National and local leadership retain FULL AUTONOMY, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for THEIR OWN PEOPLE.
Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Private person	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Just citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
No	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Awake citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
privat	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
123	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Kurume University	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Vazrajdane	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Bulgaria	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Church on the North Coast	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Member of public.	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nada	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No estamos de acuerdo con su trato totalitario.
N/A	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Vaccine Choice Canada, Action4Canada, Taking Back Our Freedoms.	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United states citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Continuing to keep all countries separate and under their own constitutions, rules, and laws. Never taking away our freedoms and the right to choose what goes into our bodies. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people don't want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen of the world	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. WE AS SOVEREIGN PEOPLE MUST RETAIN OUR RIGHT TO SELF DETERMINATION. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. WE AS SOVEREIGN PEOPLE MUST RETAIN OUR RIGHT TO SELF DETERMINATION. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. WE AS SOVEREIGN PEOPLE MUST RETAIN OUR RIGHT TO SELF DETERMINATION. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. WE ARE A SOVEREIGN PEOPLE AND MUST RETAIN OUR RIGHT TO SELF DETERMINATION.
Belgium	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Do not override the country's constitution
Myself	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please let the citizens of the world make their own decisions. Give more information.
Concerned Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
memyself and I	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. any experimental therapy or established course of medical action that is mandated through legal means onto the people of the world will be given to the people only with approval of the people after informed consent is legally protected. any and all mandated medical protocols (vaccines, hospital care, etc) forced to be taken by the people under pandemic protocols, the people will be given the right to sue any and all company's providing mandated medical protocols for harm caused by said mandated medical protocols when harm occurs. if fraud exists in any mandated medical protocols and is proven, all executives as well as boards of directors who run said guilty companies will be sentenced to life in prison without the chance of parole- this will be the minimum sentencing, anything egregious will be death by firing squad to be carried out in a public space as well as to be televised worldwide. individuals and companies who have been found guilty will have all profits as well as stock dividend payouts confiscated and dispersed to the betterment of society.
private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and where it may not be necessary. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely Patricia Ribeiro
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
canadian citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Wave Hill Farm	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. All individuals to have the right to bodily autonomy, with no negative consequences from any authorities for their choice.
frekvensverden	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EU-Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Must be clearly defined offramps for any restrictions.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self employed	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Florian Chapotot	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Ability for physicians to treat their patients without fear of reprisals if they do not follow guidelines which may not be suitable for the individual patient. No open ended emergency declarations.
Private Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the appli- cation of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The measures put forth will steal rights and freedoms from the people. This must not happen.
Robin Hulse Consulting Ltd	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
JHH	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Uk citizen9	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.totally independent process with no conflicts of interest
School	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Very concerned citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I therefore say no to this planned treaty.
self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. . The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. . An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. . Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA CITIZEN	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteer. THE USA IS A CONSTITUTIONAL REPUBLIC AND WE DON'T NEED THE WHO DICTATING HOW WE SHOULD RUN OUR COUNTY. Stay out of our business and country.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Like Comment Share
AAA Aerial Llc	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ABC	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
STC	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. this is what I believe should be included N.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N.A.H	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nunya	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
An Indiviual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HCA	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Inspiration Station ELC	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Selfscapes	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AHS	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AHS	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WHC	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Personal	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
world individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Uk Advisor	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
John power	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen US	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Mandates of any kind shall not override the rights of individual persons to exempt themselves for religious or medical reasons. Health organizations shall not sanction doctors who support their patients rights to medical exemptions. Thank you.
me	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Self-employed	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Have doctors use medicines already available and have full autonomy over patient treatment. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. If any measures are instituted make sure any pharmaceutical products are full and independently tested peer reviewed raw data analysed before implementing it. Respect individual rights and freedoms whilst still maintaining public health.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. National and local leadership retain the right to opt out of any portion of the agreement. Assurance that NO monies from corporations or global health profiteers are not allowed. Comment: there are no measures that can universally apply to all peoples as local realities make big differences economically, religiously and socially.
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that preclude influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities have ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Keep an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We do not need a global coordinated response through the WHO. It is vital to democracy, human health and basic human rights that health and wellness decisions are made at the local level
Concerned citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Individuals must have the freedom to make their own health choices.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local government to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities or individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Complete transparency, with open debate on pro/con of decisions, and studies.
Raj	-National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council For Health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
world council for health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
GENERAL PUBLIC	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired senior citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired senior citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Chiropractor	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kinghill Advertising Oy	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World council for health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
independant citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Inova Ab	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This would include Bill Gates. The response to the COVID pandemic has been prolonged by emphasis on a vaccine that clearly is not working.
n/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Technical Writing Services	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Charlene Marsh Studio & Gallery	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Academy of Dermatology	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The inalienable basic right of each person over her/his body in terms of medical treatment as determined in the Nürnberg Code.
PurpleCloud	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S.A.	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen USA	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
pensioner	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none a concerned member of the public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Canadians	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. ***Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers*** Individual rights to use well known therapeutics if so desired without influence by and organization or Associations!
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Corsican Brass	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Moorpark College	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
GrayseNmotion	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EU-Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. A one size fits all approach is heavy handed and lacks nuance. Critical differences between peoples, climates, genetic susceptibilities and other factors will be disregarded.
private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Being	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Open and transparent processes with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NHS	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Company	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Global Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Capital World	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VERY CONCERNED Citizen of the United States	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Corruption in the WHO will result in mandatory prison time
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
LightHouse Church	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Global organizations should never supersede the constitution and sovereign laws of any country, state, or municipality.
private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Active encouragement of the innovative repurposing and off-label use of any and all medications (and combination protocols thereof) to address existing and emerging health concerns. Measures to ensure that pharmaceutical companies and others who may profit from preventing or treating illness cannot influence the preparations for, or responses to pandemics. Pharmaceutical and other companies and organizations that profit from WHO recommendations be held legally liable for injuries and damages resulting from the treatments or services they provide or abet (such liability to be determined by the courts of the country where the injured person resides or, if deceased, resided when the injury occurred). Nations retain full autonomy with the right to make national, regional and local decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the instrument as they see fit, without consequence.
Queensland Health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
House Executive	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Open debate. Extensive research. Open discussion. More than one narrative. Respect for autonomy and freedom of choice. Respect Bill of Rights. DO NO HARM. Individual choice.
Private Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The above should be addressed. Regards Rohan Dias
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Our health options need to be local based upon conditions in our local area. I do not support a world wide governance of health solutions during a pandemic or without pandemic. Thank you for your consideration.
Me	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Childrens Health Defense	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bctf	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
G2G	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
telkif LLC	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United & Free USA	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TLT	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PlaynetiePTY	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Highway	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pvt	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
n/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humano	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Not Applicable	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO already have conflicts of interest and I do not consent to your attempts to override country's & citizen's inalienable and sovereign human rights.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Inova Ab	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
not applicable	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A Stand In The Park	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self-employed	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CNK	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
chD	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
USA citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
NA	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Parent	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We are all different. A one size fits all approach is wrong.
Public citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Simply put, we do not need a Global coordinated response through the WHO. It is vital to democracy, human health and basic human rights that health and wellness decisions are made at the local level.
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Every individual human being in the world has full sovereignty over their own body AND their children's body AND the free choice AND full freedom to decline any medical treatment OR vaccine OR drug offered whatsoever, whether in an emergency, pandemic or anything else real OR imagined OR manufactured.
myself	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Waldorf groups	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other health persons or organizations.
Niagara Health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of the public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The treaty should include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on and should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A free citizen of the USA	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An Individual's choice of medical treatment shall be sacrosanct. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
citizen of Latvia	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Usa	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The global population must be allowed to vote with integrity on issues involving bodily autonomy
CHD Africa	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Our sovereignty & constitution must be protected & respected at all times.
World Council for Health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
Defendiendo mis derechos	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
children's health defense	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations, local municipalities, individual physicians and physical persons may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. No influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other transnational organizations that profit from particular treatments.
US Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and - particularly - local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	-National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	-National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Katcha Midwifery	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mother	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World inhabitant	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Galago Bush Camp	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NHS	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. All of the above should be proposed in any agreement.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sue Mulcahy Psychology	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired Civil Servant	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Sole Trader	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ws	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Lu	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Old Relic Ranch	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedomloveandlight	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
WHO	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sanjay Mehta	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ashdown Psychotherapy	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Owl and Elephant Lodge	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Freedom and independent application to rules and regulations must be managed at a local level, the central control recommendation will be detrimental towards the overall health of its citizens that are really the local governments full responsibilities. This central control strategy can evolve and further degrade the freedom and rights of people.
Self, Human	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Roadrogues	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private person	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services
Myself	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Linkup TV	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FDNY	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
parent	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mountain Home Ranch	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Emma Gordon	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mother Earth Natural Foods	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Cutizeb	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
canadian citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Please adopt measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RACGP, Australia	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retiree	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pavels Sinajevs	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U-blox	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Bodily autonomy, civil liberties and individual choice should never be over ridden by law or coercion
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Measures should be subject to a thorough risk benefit analysis taking into account all facets of health in the short and long term and there should be clear unbiased evidence of benefit before their introduction with the assessment of potential harms communicated clearly.
US	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of AARP	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Donaldson family	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PEOPLE OF EARTH	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Olux Nation	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Sacred Boab	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
American family	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PROVIDENCIA PROPERTI	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Fire Fighter	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Lawrence Kochert
VSRF	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VSRF	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I question why such a treaty is needed at all.
Nutritionist	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Na	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Teacher	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all the people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Blue Skies Consulting	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-Employed	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Physiotherapist	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BCTF	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RN	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Celaine Moreno	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homemaker	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nelson /Marlborough District Health Board Member for 15 years	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Entrepreneur	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council For Health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HOSPITAL	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I do not represent any organization	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It is against the soverign will of a nation or a group of states to be under the control of a world agency. Therefore, the following provisions must be included: National and local areas must retain full autonomy, with the right to make decisions based on what is right for their populations. Local areas must have the right to opt out of any agreement, as they see fit, without consequence. Eliminate any measure that would allow for the influence of pharmaceutical companies or others who might be profiting from the situation.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Uk	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
General Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
DRK	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. I am for an open and transparent process with the ability for all people in the world. They must able to vote on including failsafe measures. Measures that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Not part of any organization I am a concerned individual.	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
individual aligned with World Council for Health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. All the worlds citizens need to retain their right to bodily autonomy and to retain the right to treat ailments caused by a pandemic as they see fit, this means that they can use their own innate immune system without interference from any pharmaceutical product experimental or not. All the worlds citizens must retain their right to use natural medicines and healing modalities instead of pharmaceutical products if a pandemic is declared.
NA	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Medical Risk from person to person is vastly different. Thus the treatment will be vastly different person to person. A one size fits all will not work.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.easures that do not allow. Stop your mass murder vaccinated Bio chemical. Instead focus on using your billions to feed the hungry, water resources, housing, instead of your corrupt profiteering. We are not your slaves. Thank you!
World counsil for health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. May all beings be blessed with health and wellness, peaceful autonomy and body sovereignty.
n/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none, personal notes	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal response	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Reject the treaty.
Nafd	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am a Mother and Wife.	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nil	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bulgaria	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign of Canada	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public NZ	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. That no statewide, national or federal government is allowed the ability to override laws in the form of executive orders especially those that exceed any normal time period I.e., first thirty days. Even if such is declared a National disaster. Absolutely no forced medical devices, medications, lockdown, shutdown, shelter in place or any ideal that would take away natural rights as allowed by any state, federal or country constitution
General public NZ	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
New Zealand	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Civilian	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom Keepers	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other entities Suzanne Vasanji
Citizen of Australia	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health care professional	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority bof the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free Human Being	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AFLDs	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WIC	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Peoples Freedom All	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Uk people	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Particuler	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen of the world	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cultural Sustainability	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Parent	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Garden supplies	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lyluck Productions Inc	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
SIMEC	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Market trader	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NONE	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MM	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Please Select	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homemaker	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Represent myself	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No e	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Polska Racja Stanu	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Canadian citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. May all beings be blessed with health and wellness, peaceful autonomy and body sovereignty.
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Public citizen nz	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Children's Health	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Midco Sling	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Baer Petroleum	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. May all beings be blessed with health and wellness, peaceful autonomy and body sovereignty.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australia	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank-you for your consideration
United States citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the World	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Purple Rain Consulting	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen of the world	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Centre Stage Dog Grooming	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unemployed	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that don't allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
USA Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program.
Independent citizen of Canada	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freeman	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
privado	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Syte Reitz media, LLC	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as y see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AGATA KOWALSKA	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of the public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This is essential because if we are all in the same boat, and the boat springs a leak we all go down. For instance, if a vaccine is mandated, but as time goes on, later proves to be exceptionally harmful, we all suffer the dire consequences. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This is also essential as science is never black and white. It requires debate with scientists and doctors, and statisticians etc. Nations should be free to explore other alternatives as well as those recommended by WHO. Diversity is essential for life on all levels to thrive. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Money, bribes can corrupt recommendations. The safety, health, and happiness of the people should come first not pharmaceutical or corporate interests. Donations to WHO must be made anonymously to ensure decisions are not influenced in any way by anything other than what is best for the people. There is seldom one best way of doing anything. The WHO recommendations should present options and be open to debate, and modified accordingly. Restrictions may limit the use of better alternatives.
None	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humans	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in place where the majority of the people do NOT want. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global predators.
Individual	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is the best for their own people An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people don't want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiters.
Abcd	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the rught to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Not	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.

Organization	Written submission
none	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving unalienable and irrevocable rights on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any part or in whole portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process, with the ability to vote on behaviours of the WHO, and including any failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where people choose against the WHO's imposition of any forced action. Measures that the WHO instigates or engineers must not be influenced by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership retain full retain full autonomy, reserving the rights to make decisions based on what is right for their people. The ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences An open and transparent process with the ability for all people throughout the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.
concerned citizens	National and local leadership retain must full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. Including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership return full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership Seouls retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want ist is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen USA	National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy to make all decisions based on what's best for their own people. Ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequences. Open and transparent process with all people of the world to vote in failsafe measures that prevent application of global agreement in all places where the majority of people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be in place.
N/A	National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of Public	National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The nations and local authorities shall have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There shall be open and transparent processes with the ability for all people of the world to vote on - including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Conflict of interest - measures shall not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health organisations etc that would profit from any of the measures.
Crownel Co.	National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make health sectoral decisions based on what is best for their own people Nations that have adopted the treaty shall reserve the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The treaty shall provide an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures undertaken by WHO shall not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO shall undertake full transparency in provision of evidence backed information and fully account for and justify why information may be regarded as misinforming.
Citizen of the United States	National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving their right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local governments to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Measures are free of the influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Bodily autonomy is a sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products or services.
Member of the public	National and local leadership should always remain autonomous and act in the best interests and serve the will of the people of their nation. As I understand, the WHO being a specialised agency of the UN that partners with and forms connections between nations and its peoples to promote health and serve the vulnerable so people everywhere can attain the highest level of health, it must be remembered by the WHO that the nation state is the democratic institution brought into being by a democratic elective process that in the first instance is there to serve and protect the interests of its people before any other secondary agency (either local national or internal) and the WHO must allow nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Individuals or companies with conflicts of interest should not be allowed to profit or influence the process of policy formation within the instrument. A commitment to an open and transparent process that is democratic. It must be noted to the WHO and taken seriously that some regions and nations may contain a majority of people that do not want to participate in a global pandemic agreement but the WHO must offer a completely open and transparent process to foster trust within all the people of the world whilst at the same time respecting peoples wishes if they don't want to participate in this agreement.
General Public	National and local leadership should always retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is for the unique situation of their citizens. The colonization of a country by an outside body (WHO) goes against this notion of medical and national sovereignty. The ability of nations and state municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Human	National and local leadership should be able to do what is best for them and make their choices. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt put at any time, regardless if they agree or disagree with WHO. Do not let pharmaceutical companies or people with large holdings in pharmaceutical company's pressure the WHO into being non-transparent . Please give us our freedoms of speech and not muzzle those that Disagree with WHO.
Private citizen	National and local leadership should be able to retain autonomy reserving the right to make decisions for their own people. There should be no consequences for any nation to opt out of decision making with fail safe measures in place that will prevent the application of a global agreement in countries where the majority of people vote against it. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for any influence in the process of pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership should be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
ISP provider	National and local leadership should have a final say on what policies are affecting territory in question. Due to variety in cultural norms, local traditions and customs it's not possible to design universal strategy for combating health related issues. WHO best role is to provide recommendations which may or may not be implemented due to conditions on the ground. WHO is better positioned for collecting information about potential disease and sharing it with national/local governments which can act on it with local specifics in mind. This separation is important so that system of checks and balances is in place. Neglecting to do so may result in loss of public confidence/trust in WHO which would be detrimental to public health. Best Regards, JW
Privat	National and local leadership should have full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Everybody should be able to choose on safety restrictions as long this doesn't affect any other people who cannot choose on their own.
Self	National and local leadership should maintain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The WHO create suggested guidelines of how to handle a particular pandemic, rooted in building immunity, health, and well being, A high priority to scientific grassroots approach by doctors working with patients using already established safe drugs conferring with other doctors working with patients to find safe and effective treatment. This should be priority over any experimental drug/vaccine. Essentially choose patients over profits. The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Voting would be free of fraud. Monies from any and all pharmaceutical companies, big business, charities, or other global health profiteers should not be allowed due to the probability of influence in the decision process. Measures should be in place for this.
Individual	National and local leadership should retain autonomy,retaining what is best for the people of South Africa. Transparent process not forcing agreements where people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence by pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers.
	National and local leadership should retain full authority, and autonomy. The ability of nations and municipalities to opt out of any portions they see fit, without consequences. A open and transparent process with the ability of the world to participate in the vote. Measures that do not allow for influence from pharmaceutical companies or other global entities to profit due to pandemic.
privat	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and keep the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be given. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, must be established. Measures must be installed that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am an individual	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process needs to be in place, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There need to be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
humanity	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions on behalf of the people who have chosen them as representatives. They should retain the ability to opt out of any or all portions of agreement as they see fit - and without consequence. Full transparency is required with the ability for all to vote. This to include fail safe measures to prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. The influence of pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be strictly disallowed.
Citizen of Norway	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all agreement as they see fit without consequenses. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement places where a majority of the people do not want it. The measures taken should not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. For the reasons above, I do not concent to the proposals of this treaty as they are a violation of sovereign human rights.
Citizen of Norway	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The measures taken should not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. For the reasons above, I do not consent to the proposals of this treaty as it is violation of sovereign human rights.
Not a member of one	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy on basing health decisions on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any agreement should they see fit, without consequence. The only organisation to benefit from the treaty would be the World Health Organization and pharmaceutical companies. The process should be open and transparent for all people across the world to vote and decide whether or not there should be a global agreement. Most countries do not want it.
none	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy over health issues, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what best for own people. The ability of nations to opt out of the agreement as they see fit. Measures that do not allow for influences in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NOYB	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving rights to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. Locational opt out provisions for any and all portions of the agreement as seen fit without consequence or penalty. Open and transparent decision making process with ability for all people to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. No influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies.
Eat Grow Thrive	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their citizens and ensuring citizens are consulted. Nations and regions should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any rebuttal. There should be a transparent process that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should not allow decisions to be influenced by any corporations, including specifically pharmaceutical companies or health-related corporations that could profit from such actions.
Self	-National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their country. -Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -Open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
George Prow	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own communities. Nations and local municipalities should be free to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people re: Pandemic preparedness and response . Although this idea may be good in theory, there are too many variables. Our world needs to work on overcoming divisions caused throughout the pandemic, transparency and rebuilding trust. In short we need time to heal and rebuild our humanity for one another. Thanks, Lee
N/A	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Pvt	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each country and its people are different from one another. What works for one country or person will not necessarily work for another. No one in our democratic country voted for the WHO to be in control of our medical lives or our lives. It would be against all constitutions.
n/a	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Keep the basic rights of people to choose how to treat an illness and a doctors right to decide the best treatment. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. A nation's people vote for their leaders and thus should not be subject to an unelected body. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not desire it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This includes the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It needs measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This is non-negotiable, as countries should not surrender to a single body to govern what is best for their people, that has and will not be favourable in many circumstances. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should always be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to see all deals agreements and terms with pharmaceutical companies and government entities so human rights and democratic rights are not violated. The freedom and rights of every human being to make his/her own choices, with informed consent and transparency. Any medical intervention/practice should always, first and foremost, have consent by the person in question with never an ounce of coercion and a guarantee that all medical and democratic rights would be protected at all times in accordance with the treaties signed with UN and other bodies.
Devon Psychology	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believer that it is vital that each nation or locale should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And most importantly we must ensure that the policies are fair and for the best of the people. Thus we must ensure that pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must not be involved in the process
Private Citizen of the U.S.	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should contain measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Any agreement should provide ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is paramount with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must prevent any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Gloucestershire County Council	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Ensure the ability of nations and local councils to opt out of any or all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence from WHO. Provide an open and transparent voting process that enables people to decide on whether the agreement should apply in their countries. Enshrine measures that will ensure pharmaceutical companies and sheer profiteers do not have undue influence in the process.
Public	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Every nation and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures. This will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I support the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should involve an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BlueMedi	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities can choose to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Procedures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all health system or drug making profiteers.
General Public	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It must be an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be taken to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CMH	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Eudaemonic Resonance Institute	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, i.e: Democratic implementation. Strict Measures disallowing influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. A Special Committee of publically nominated and elected independent scientists, physicians and legal representatives should monitor all aspects of the Treaty and its opperations and hold veto powers on any treaty-originated actions. Individual Human Rights need to be acknowledged and protected within the treaty especially bodily autonomy and the right to choose treatment.
K9spud LLC	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not be allowed to influence the process.

Organization	Written submission
Personal	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Clearly defined and transparent measures should be in place to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Heartbeat of Columbiana County	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the free ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Freedom for nations to make decisions based on what their people want, what their people have expressed is in their best interest. Sincerely,
General Public	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process, that the all people of the world can vote on including, which includes a failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures need to be in place to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers such as the Bill Gates Foundation.
World Council for Health	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Please preserve the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Please commit to an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Please implement measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen of Canada	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no organization	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, needs to be guaranteed. The process of creating any treaty or international agreement re pandemic preparedness and response needs to be open and transparent, with the people of all nations voting on what is decided, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures need to be taken to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is essential. An open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on is necessary, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be respected and enacted that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. Thank you.
Redefining Health Medical	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Implementing Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sierra Club	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is essential. This must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is very important that measures are put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is essential. An open and transparent process must be conducted including the ability for all people of the world to vote on decisions. There must be failsafe measures securely in place that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Woman	National and local leadership should retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, should exist, without consequence. An open and transparent process should exist, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should absolutely be included.
Self	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be in place the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be included.
Self	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be in place the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be included.
Samuel Ng	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. WHO should have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. WHO must have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers including mass media, charity or non-profit organizations.
Individual	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Open and transparent dialogue and debate on any measures with independent cross-functional teams including evaluating research and studies. All recommendations must go through cost benefit analysis, including broad impacts to society (financial, social, mental, emotional, economic) There should be multiple choices available on how to address the situation. Informed consent must be provided. Human rights must always be preserved and people should be able to follow their own personal choice without consequence or harm. Information dissemination must be truthful, transparent, open and free.
Individual	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it which should include individual states or providence. Measures need to be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Make sure measure are in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Mechanisms must be in place to protect and air alternative theories without fear of censure, loss of licensure or status. Nations should be reminded of their duty to respect individual human rights and autonomy in both their preparations and responses.
citizen of Germany	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MoreLife	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to opt in or opt out. At the very least people of the world should be able to vote on the proposal including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be instituted that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Civilian	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all the people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement places where a majority of people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities tmust be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures to ensure that no pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are able to exert any influence on the global agreement.
Private	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is a critical component of a free and fair system. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This is critical to ensure our God given freedom to choose what is best for us as individuals. We, the people of the US want to continue to enjoy that freedom for which so many have given their lives. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Without this, companies that are driven money and profit will decide what is best for for us. It will be a sad, sad day.
civilian	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should remain. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UKIP	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The respect for bodily autonomy and the absolute inalienable right for any individual to refuse any treatment without prejudice.
Na	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned American	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is critical. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is necessary for democratic process. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers is essential to ensure there is no conflict of interest.
Private	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is fundamental. An open and transparent process should ensue with the ability for all people of the world to vote including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Strict measures should be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of Public	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit should remain, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any measures that are created locally, nationally or internationally must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures need to be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We have no desire for an international instrument.
Poole Hospital Nhs	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers, stakeholders or donors..
Retired	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dental Practice	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Please have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers THANK YOU
Individual	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We need ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We want easures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned public	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. Nations and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. This should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all of the people of the world to vote on it, and it should include failsafe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health companies, their executives or their investors.
MD/Physician	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their population and culture. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they deem necessary without any consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Garner Systems	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for them. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Process should be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health for profit organizations.
Individual	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving their right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement if they see fit, without any consequences An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, so they can tailor an appropriate response for their populations, taking into account cultural nuances, general health, geography - proximity to other countries etc.). Nations should be able to opt out of any or all areas of any agreement with no resulting penalties. The process must be open and transparent with every individual able to vote on the proposed measures. This will prevent an approach being implemented that the majority of the people are against. Whatever is agreed, there needs to be arrangements in place to prevent any business, company or industry e.g. the pharmaceutical industry, being to exert any influence on the process.
Humanity	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, the WHO should always only be advisory. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be enshrined. This needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on inclusion on a country by country basis before inclusion
citizen of the United States of America	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, with the ability to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. One size fits all is a recipe for disaster. Nations and local governments must be able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit. There must be measures in place that do not allow influence by any (or all) pharmaceutical corporations or other global health profiteers (such as foundations pretending to be promoters of "health").
None	National and local leadership should retain full autonomy,retaining the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own people.
Alf Callowhill Golf	National and Local leadership should retain the autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their people. They should have the ability to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Everything that is proposed though this should be open and transparent for all people to vote on. This should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. Lastly, measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.

Organization	Written submission
Private individual	National and local leadership should retain their own sovereignty and full autonomy. They should be able to reserve their right to make decisisions based on what is right for themselves in their country and within their own conditions and cultures. The ability of my country and the respective local authorities should be able to opt out of any part of the treaty as they see fit and without consequence for doing what they consider right for their people. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of whatever nationality, creed, colour, race across the world to vote on any measures to vote including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. The sovereign right of the people should not be overridden by a small number of people who can use, abuse and corrupt the system for their own means, including for profit.
Public	National and local leadership to have full control of decisions to be made based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities to be able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement, without consequences, as they see fit. People in all countries to be able to vote, given open and transparent process with failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and/or all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including fail-safe measures that will prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any global health profiteers.
Individual	National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WCH	National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without negative consequence An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. A respect for bodily autonomy. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is the best actions for their own communities. It is essential for nations and local municipalities to be able to opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they deem appropriate, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on (including failsafe) measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures need to be introduced to ensure that third parties with vested interests are not allowed to influence any of the processes. It should be specifically recorded that this clause is intended to stop any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health businesses having any say at all in the framing of recommendations.
n/a	National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, with the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or wealthy individuals.
Self-employed	National and local leadership who have been elected by the people reserve the full rights to make decisions based on what is best for those who put them in power. They should also be given the right to opt out of any portion or the all of the agreement as they see fit without consequences. There should be an open and transparent process for all the people in the world to vote on, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where people do not want it. There should be measures put in place that prevent the influence of any pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers.
individual	National and local leadership will maintain full autonomy. They will exercise their right to decide what is appropriate for their populations. All people will retain their right to vote, in an open, transparent process. A global agreement will not be applied in places where the majority of people do not want it. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any or all portions of the global agreement. No global health profiteers or pharmaceutical companies may be allowed to influence the creation or implementation of global agreement measures.
Private citizen	National and local leadership will retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Texas Christian University	National and local leadership, governments, provinces, etc. should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities are able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Open and transparent processes with the ability for all people of the world to vote freely including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health institutions that stand to profit from potential policies, agreements, deals, etc. Include speech safeguards for ensuring dissenting opinions including that of opposing scientific viewpoints are able to openly discuss and debate key issues pertaining to the aforementioned policies, agreements, deals, etc.
Non organización	National and local leadershipretain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what ist the best on their on people. The ability of national and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the people of the world to vote on including failsafe meanures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want It. Measures that do no allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or Others global health profiteers! I hereby protest against they dictatorship of a questionable organización an its political leaders. This ist global dictatorship and against Humantity.
Individual contributor	National and local leaderships must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any/all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Full transparency must be provided at all times e.g. any conflicts of interest by donors, WHO scientists and advisors must be revealed. There must be no influence by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There must open debate; science should never be one-sided opinion. Anyone with an opinion differing from WHO's stance must be listened to and respected, and no scientists should ever be silenced. Every citizen's bodily autonomy and personal choices must be respected. No vaccines, including those authorised for emergency use, must ever be mandated. Informed consent must always be given, and no one should ever be pressurised into taking medication they don't wish to take. No human rights should ever be removed, nor should there be segregation and discrimination based on individuals' choices. Economic consequences of WHO's recommendations must always be studied. Lockdowns harm the poorest the most. The rich benefit. All other healthcare was neglected due to prioritisation of COVID. There appears to have been no concern over mental, physical and mental harms of lockdowns. There is also no evidence that lockdowns, masks, vaccine passes etc. provide any benefit. Measures must never be repeated. Never again use Neil Ferguson/ICL's abominable models. Use real-world data, not wild projections by statistical modellers.
Non Applicable	National and local leaderships need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities need to have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We must not tolerate measures influenced by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Private Citizen of the USA	National and local leaderships need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is a MUST. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We must have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
a citizen of the U.S. and world.	National and local leaderships should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We must honor the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. All measures that allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be excluded from this agreement. The Nuremberg code, which states that informed consent, and no coersion, should be the model that is implemented and honored, for this agreement. An individual's right to decide what medical treatments will or will not be performed on his/her person is paramount. Privacy of personal health decisions [as laid out by HIPPA] should be respected. The desires of leaders and health agencies should not supercede individual rights.
Private Citizen	National and local officials must have full control and autonomy. Centralized control has never worked for at this scale; there are too many local differences in conditions. Centralized control can be too easily compromised by groups such as the large pharmaceutical companies. If this was to be put forward, full majorities of the public in each country and region should vote for and approve this, and there must be a clause to allow local governments, nations and regions to opt out.
Concerned Citizen	National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves.
none	-National and local sovereignty must be maintained with the ability to modify or abandon leaders see fit. -An open and protected minority voice protection that may differ from majority stack holders. A filibuster of sorts to protect even the smallest voice of opposition. - All influences from health companies that could gain from declaration of a pandemic need to separated and prevented from influencing the decisions. -All of this needs to be transparent and safe guards in place to prevent false pandemics or other like claims from triggering society level changes.
Barefoot college international	National and regional leadership should retain full autonomy over human sovereignty concerns such as body-related choices and the amount of power to be given to questionable pharmaceutical pressures.
none	National and regional scientific advisory groups are definitely needed including partnerships with similar groups. National and local medical personal must retain full control over how to treat and eventually eliminate the emergency since they know the optimum course(s) needed for the local population. Medical attention to health care along with attention to the physical environment of affected people must be focuses of all treatments. All participating national, regional and local entities must be able to withdraw from the agreement without incurring any penalties or restrictions. There must be free, effective and open choices available to health care professionals at all levels to treat patients in the case of a declared pandemic notwithstanding limitations or other restrictions imposed by any global agreement.
Silc	National and sovereign stated should keep their autonomy on public health practices. The WHO should be limited in its powers and should not be allowed to dictate national health and public policy. The WHO should be a mere advisory body.
retired	National and/or local leadership should be the primary decision maker for each community, state or country, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health companies with generating revenue directly from any illness should be excluded. First, do no harm shall be the primary rule.
-	National authorities should be kept; only like this can differing measures be compared as to their effectiveness.
UK Citizen	-National elected leaders - under instruction by referendum by their citizens - must be the sole decision-makers on the adoptions of measures within their countries , and able to opt out of any part of the proposed treaty . -It must be ensured - by means open to public scrutiny - that neither pharmaceutical companies nor any other financially interested party, may influence the contents of any proposed treaty , or any measures adopted/recommended under it .
Health Care	National Government and local State leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out depending on the facts being considered based on what is best for their own people.
none	National governments must have supremacy over any international agreement or organisation retaining full local autonomy. No penalty must be allowed to be imposed on any nation, region or organisation which choses not to participate in any supranational arrangement. In any place where the majority of the people vote not to follow any global agreement there should be no coercion or imposition and all people should be given an opportunity to have an open and transparent vote. No pharmaceutical company or other stakeholder whether a company, organisation or individual likely to profit from the decision of a vote should be allowed any input or influence in the decision process.
Citizen of great britain	National Governments must in perpetuity exercise sovereignty for each issue as it arises. A global policy is not acceptable, as my individual sovereignty is represented in the UK parliament and I do not consent to any other democratic process than that exists today, certainly not a delegation to the corrupt WHO. You must think we are mad.
Citizen	-National Governments retain full authority and autonomy, reserving the rights to make decisions according to their national circumstances. -An open and transparent process including the ability of people to vote and the implementation of strong measures to retain national medical sovereignty. -Strong measures preventing persuasive influence in the process by any corporations and entities, which might profit monetarily or otherwise. Thank you for your consideration.
Private citizen	National governments should have full opt out powers based on their own assessment of any declared emergency. Democratic process should be initiated before any imposition of WHO initiated mandates is allowed. This should give opt out rights to any nation, locality or even individual health authority. The right for any individual to opt out without penalty should also be included. Full transparency of Pharmaceutical company or other financially interested parties should be widely announced prior to any such democratic votes. The dissemination of such information should not be allowed to be censored or blocked in any way.
personal	National governments should retain their autonomy reserving the right to make their own decisions. WHO should enact guidelines for which nations can opt out. Guidelines should be based on scientific evidence that should be made available and criticized. The science should be constantly reviewed as it evolves. All people of the world should be consulted and nations should vote. Measures should not allow for influence in the process by any companies or global health profiteers.
Private	National Independence and sovereignty has to be respected for each country around the world, independent of the situation and especially independent of any law that is being exposed. The World Health Organization is a private organization, who is guiding the member states but must never ever be able to overrule national sovereignty. As a german citizen, I am not afraid of the Pandemic as the WHO has lowered the criteria over the last couple of years that much, so that you can now call out a pandemic situation if the Virus is spreading fast. And this is in big contrast to the criteria before, where many people would have to get sick and a high mortality would have to be required before being able to call it pandemic. Therefor as long as this criteria are so weak and there is no governance process in place which is effectively controlling the WHO any pandemic treaty is deemed illegal as it affects the national sovereignty. I would wish for that WHO steps into the second row, guiding and helping the countries to understand situations, get warnings but leave the final decision to the countries themselves.
Self	National leadership by country should retain full autonomy as different ethnic groups, economic factors, accessibility and national beliefs should be included in decision making for each country. As a practitioner of 35 years the science of medicine is also an art and individual and regional circumstances require individuation for navigating a pandemic. There is not a GLOBAL solution for any pandemic. Any policy made by the WHO should be a guidance and not binding and Nations are at will to opt out of any recommendations. WHO is not a LEGAL or binding agency and is to serve our world. Voting for this proposal must be transparent and include failsafe and transparent methods. Any nation that does not care to join shall be recognized. This proposal should be agreement to work together and not create binding protocols. This should be a global effort not a top down measure. WHO should NOT be influenced by pharmaceutical companies or persons with great stakeholders. Any recommendation from WHO for managing further pandemics should be guided by SCIENCE from all nations and not by economics other than to support equity.
private	National leadership should have full autonomy and the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to decide, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be in a position to influence the process.
Personal	National leaderships must retain full autonomy and be able to make the best decisions or their own people. It must be possible to opt out of any or all elements without consequence. Measures must be in place that do not allow undue influence from pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	National leaderships must retain full autonomy to allow them to decide whatever is best for their own people. Nations must be able to opt out of sections of agreement, without penalty. There should be zero influence on the process by either pharmaceutical companies, or any other non-elected bodies such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Essentially, nations of the world must retain the right to prevent the application of global agreements where majority of people reject them. No so-called national emergency should ever be allowed to over-ride their basic human rights.
Independent Citizen of the World.	National Pandemic preparedness must insure: 1. That national and local leadership retains full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people which may not be in agreement with International dictates. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to individually decline to participate any and all global agreements that advocate experimental or even approved medical treatments it they do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all for profit pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers such as the Bill & Melinda Gates foundation.
None	National self determination through public referendum. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	National sovereignty above all else. Recommendations may be given, but WHO is primarily funded by Bill Gates and China and is incompatible with basic human rights. WHO should be dissolved.
Individual	National sovereignty and independence must be hallmarks of any coordinated pandemic response. Participation in any coordinated endeavor presupposes a voluntarily response by both nations and their respective populations. The WHO is not authorized to usurp such inherent individual or national power. The WHO may advise but nothing further. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers who stand to benefit from soft tyranny embraces by the WHO lack standing and should not participate or advise. They are beneficiaries and, as such, have interests which directly conflict with stakeholder national and their populations.
citizen of U.S.A.	National sovereignty is necessary to respond to a pandemic because such a crisis unfolds differently in different countries. Individual bodily sovereignty is also necessary, because states cannot know what is the best course of action for all citizens and residents. A free press and full disclosure of media funding is necessary, so that best practices, while potentially unprofitable for states and corporations, can be discovered and accurately shared.
USA Citizen	National sovereignty is of the utmost importance. Individual freedoms and personal health endeavors are more important than a need for a global overreach from a collection of a few who feel the need to control populations and destroy global democracy. Individual countries have their own laws and rules to accommodate certain types of environment, terrain, culture, and infrastructure. To demand a one size fits all response is foolish. I strongly oppose that one size plan works to fit any past, present or future pandemics. What could be included is collections of philanthropic funds to be dispersed to individual homes rather than all funds directed to pharmaceutical companies and doctors who are all under corporations. Thank you for your time. I do not agree with WHO making decisions that override individual countries national sovereignty.
NA	National sovereignty must be preserved as issues vary culture to culture. Therefore, a one size fits all approach is not acceptable and each sovereign nation must decide in accordance with their constitution or system of regulation what is best. A global approach is not acceptable nor is WHO oversight.
Expert of Motion	National sovereignty must be preserved at all times. Decisions may only be made and implemented by an elected government. Freedom and human rights must be protected at all times. Everyone can rely on their right to physical integrity and also refuse treatment.
AB Ltd	National sovereignty must be upheld. Jurisdictional autonomy must remain the cornerstone of any pandemic response. Decisions like this should NEVER be made at a global level
None	National sovereignty must not be compromised. Health and medical decision making must be kept as close to home as possible. This is vital for public health and for the protection of civil liberties.
TiM's Veterinary Care & Environmental Consultancies	National sovereignty needs to be assured and respected at all times. Citizens of all nations have to democratically decide what actions may be taken or not. At every single occasion. Human rights have to be respected and assured at all times, independant of any measures suggested and taken. Individual freedom and self responsibility and freedom of choice regarding any treatments, injections, vaccinations, and any other medical and physical or any other actions suggested need to be respected at all times. Free and open scientific and medical discussions need to be assured at all times before any decisions are taken based on a sensible consensus. These decisions may never harm an individual's personal freedom and choice. Worldwide travel and access to any (emergency) medical treatment must be free and open to every individual at all times. Digital surveillance and certificates must never be made compulsory. Also not through indirect pressure. Every individual's sovereignty of his body and freedom of choice needs to be respected at all times. Decisions need to be based on up-to-date medical and scientific knowledge. All treatments have to be considered equally. The natural immune system and its intactness have to be respected and ensured at all times. Every individual has to have the free choice at all times on which decisions to follow and which not. Human rights are the overall guidelines for all decisions taken and measures suggested and installed.
Privat	National sovereignty needs to be assured and respected at all times. Citizens of all nations have to democratically decide what actions may be taken or not. At every single occasion. Human rights have to be respected and assured at all times, independant of any measures suggested and taken. Individual freedom and self responsibility and freedom of choice regarding any treatments, injections, vaccinations, and any other medical and physical or any other actions suggested need to be respected at all times. Free scientific and medical discussion need to be assured at all times before any decisions are taken based on a sensible consensus. These decisions may never harm an individual's personal freedom and choice. Worldwide travel and access to any (emergency) medical treatment must be free and open to every individual at all times. Digital surveillance and certificates must never be made compulsory. Also not through indirect pressure. Every individual's sovereignty of his body and freedom of choice needs to be respected at all times. Decisions need to be based on up-to-date medical and scientific knowledge. All treatments have to be considered equally. The natural immune system and its intactness have to be respected and ensured at all times. Every individual has to have the free choice at all times on which decisions to follow and which not. Human rights are the overall guidelines for all decisions taken and measures suggested and installed

Organization	Written submission
Private	National sovereignty needs to be assured and respected at all times. Citizens of all nations have to democratically decide what actions may be taken or not. At every single occasion. Human rights have to be respected and assured at all times, independant of any measures suggested and taken. Individual freedom and self responsibility and freedom of choice regarding any treatments, injections, vaccinations, and any other medical and physical or any other actions suggested need to be respected at all times. Free scientific and medical discussion need to be assured at all times before any decisions are taken based on a sensible consensus. These decisions may never harm an individual's personal freedom and choice. Worldwide travel and access to any (emergency) medical treatment must be free and open to every individual at all times. Digital surveillance and certificates must never be made compulsory. Also not through indirect pressure. Every individual's sovereignty of his body and freedom of choice needs to be respected at all times. Decisions need to be based on up-to-date medical and scientific knowledge. All treatments have to be considered equally. The natural immune system and its intactness have to be respected and ensured at all times. Every individual has to have the free choice at all times on which decisions to follow and which not. Human rights are the overall guidelines for all decisions taken and measures suggested and installed.
Self	National sovereignty should never be superseded by the WHO. The WHO should focus on providing us with accurate epidemiologic data so that sovereign nations may act according to their own best interests and local conditions. A centralized response To future health crises virtually guarantees that the process will become the focus of power grabs. Those who want to centralize the response are those who want to control the response. Individual states should never relinquish their sovereign authority. World Health Organization, keep us informed but don't tell us what to do. No one elected you. The kind of power you are looking for is the end of democracy.
Me	National sovereignty should take precedence in ALL cases. WHO can make recommendations, but Nation-States ultimately decide for themselves what is best for their citizenry. Thank you.
Netherlands	National soverinity goes above all and needs to stay that way!
None	National, local and individual autonomy over health decisions are better for human health. There are no two human bodies the same on this planet and it would be immoral to implement a 'one size fits all' approach to future pandemics. Nations, localities and individuals should retain the right to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit - and without consequences. All people in the world should get the chance to vote on this treaty to prevent its implementation - in a world where it is not wanted. These measures, if implemented, must not allow any influence from any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dental	National, local communities and their elected leaders should retain the autonomy and jurisdiction to carry out the decisions they see as for their people. A global approach is a one size fits-all measure that falls short of local needs. Countries and local governments should opt out of this agreement as they see fit without any consequences or retaliation implied, written spoken or otherwise. We need an open process where people everywhere can voy fail safe measures that will prevent the application of global agreements where people don't want them. We need measures that do not allow the influence of pharmaceutical companies or other global interests seeking their own profits.
Concerned Doctors and Citizens of the Philippines	National, local government and, most importantly, individual freedom to decide must always be respected.
n/a	National, regional and local governments and health authorities must keep complete autonomy to decide on the appropriate action in their jurisdictions regarding treatment of those infected with a disease or illness, and those who might be infected. Nations and lower levels of government must be able to opt out of any part of agreements, without repercussions. The process for deciding on how to treat pandemics must be open and transparent to all. Anyone who wishes to must be free to vote on treatment protocols, and to reject treatments such as vaccines that they deem potentially injurious. It is absolutely crucial that we all have sovereignty over our own bodies. Where people choose not to follow recommended treatments, their wishes must be respected. The public must be protected from coercion by pharmaceutical companies and others who profit from pandemics. The process of deciding how to deal with pandemics must not be directed by those who gain financially from treatment methods.
None	National, state and local governments must have the final decision on these matters and should have the option to leave the entire system without restraint or delay. This makes me think WHO should be defunded.
Person	National, state, county and local governments must be responsive to the electorate, consistent with established international principles as embodied in the Nuremberg Code, International Covenant of Political and Civil Rights as extended in the Syracusa principles, the Helsinki Declaration among others. All recognize the sovereignty of individuals over their own body, and the necessity of informed consent for any medical procedure and the right of refusal as an extension of the right to life. No transnational organization of unelected persons or institutions has the right to dictate policy where representative government has been bypassed. Any such legislation, including "mandates" is contrary to established natural law and human rights inherent in a civilized society. Inordinate influence by pharmaceutical companies has been the subject of documentaries of the WHO and such is inconsistent with an organization or institution that respects human rights and, above all, the command to "First, do no harm."
Private	National/Local leadership retain full autonomy to make decisions on what is best for their own people. 2) Nations/Local leadership and municipalities must have option to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without consequence. 3) Must be open transparent process that allows people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharma companies or global health profeteers.
Privat	Nationale Entscheidungen haben IMMER Vorrang Etwaiger Pandemievertrag hat nur Vorschlagscharakter
Privat	Nationales Recht steht IMMER vor internationalem Recht ! Es gibt KEINE Weltregierung!
Pleasantville for choice	Nationally and locally we should reserved the right to make decisions that is best for we the people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any agreement without consequences. The process should be open and transparent and allow all the people of the world a vote on measures that prevent the application of global agreement where is is not wanted or accepted by a majority of the people. There should be no influence by any pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers on this process. The time has come to give back the autonomy of each individual in this world.
Citizen	Nations subordinating their sovereign law to a World Governing Body is a terrible idea. The response needs to be localized. Situations vary in different populations and areas. However, to advise and communicate would be fine. There should not be a penalty to a nation that does not comply with a World Body's suggestions.
Independent	Nations and local authorities should remain independent and able to opt out the new instruments if their people wants to. We don't need new instruments, but better facilities/hospitals and fair vaccine/treatments distribution. We do need measures that prevent Pharma companies to influence governments' agendas.
NA	Nations and local governments should have the ability to opt out of anything they don't agree with without consequences. They should also retain full rights to make decisions that are based on what is best for their own people. There should be measures that do not allow pharmaceutical companies or anyone else who profit to influence. The process needs to be open and transparent. All people of the world should have the ability to vote on it. There should be measures in place to prevent a global agreement in places where most of the people who don't want it.
None	Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy. Failsafe measures should be put in place that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it, having been given the ability to vote on it through an open and transparent process. Measures should be put in place to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hebrew University of Jerusalem	Nations and localities must retain full autonomy, with no attempt to usurp democratic decision-making processes or sovereignty of nation states. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The WHO must take steps to free itself from the pernicious influence of pharmaceutical corporations and other global health profiteers, including large NGOs and "non-profit" organizations and foundations that provide cover for pharmaceutical interests.
Citizen	Nations and municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as is seen fit with no consequences. A transparent and open process for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in the places where the majority of the people do not want it. National and local leadership retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions as to what is best for their own people. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers.
Self	Nations and states as well as individuals should be able to opt out without negative consequences because each human body is unique due to genetics, environment and social conditions. No one rule or approach will work for all races, genders, ages and health conditions. Nations must keep their sovereignty so that individuals keep their sovereignty and inalienable rights. A global pandemic would require not one but a variety of approaches. I feel there a possible benefit to a variety of approaches. If the one put forth by WHO doesn't work, there will be alternatives. During covid, some nations took to their own path which resulted in a lower percentage of deaths for their countries. There should be absolutely no conflicts of interest between policy makers/advisors and drug/vaccine-makers. "Pandemic" should be defined as a worldwide epidemics of a truly new disease with severity and a high rate of mortality. Any approach to contain a pandemic, i.e. vaccine, that kills more than 30 people, must immediately be put pulled from the market. If we are required to take a "therapy" then everyone must. No politician, WHO or Pharma executive, President, judge or billionaire is exempt. It could not be the way it is with the covid "vaccine".
-	Nations have to retain independency and also the individuals. WHO has to act according to the constitutions. Human rights must be respectet even in a pandemic (e.g. no mendatory vaccination vor other medicle intervention) Liability of government and Pharmaceutical companies has to be ensured.
Citizen of the United States of America	Nations must be able to maintain their sovereignty in the treatment and management of any "pandemic preparedness and response". Citizens of each nation must maintain and retain their individual choice and autonomy concerning treatment of any illness. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process must be maintained allowing all people of the world a vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the institution of the global agreement in areas where a majority of the people do not want it and the individual can opt out without consequence. Any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be excluded from any decision making position or influence in this response.
ERL	Nations must be able to opt out of any or all clauses as they see fit without penalties.
None	Nations must be free to choose their own response to any threat whether health, environment or other. No one organization should have the power to bind a nation to a response. Logic has been non-existent in this pandemic, and any nation willing to defend a logical response must be free to do so. Absolute power corrupts absolutely, and the power to set policy across the globe would be abused whether set with good intention or not. Our governments who should be there for the people have crushed their citizens in the name of health policy. The WHO should not be allowed to set the policy of response for this or any future challenge. Diversity of opinion and response will make our world stronger while globalization and unification of power will destroy our future.
N/A	Nations must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Pharmaceutical and related companies should not be able to influence the process.
Interested party/general public	Nations must have the right to set their own health policy. Individuals and communities need to be in charge of their own health with freedom to choose.
Individual	Nations must retain full autonomy and be able to opt out at any time. Complete and open transparency in all actions to avoid undue influence.
None	Nations should always maintain autonomy and decisions should be made by those that can be held accountable with the people that electee them. Centralized decision making has produced enough failures to try and have a global arbiter.
	Nations should be free to choose their own course of action. Individuals should be free of coercion and mandates. They should be free to make their own informed decisions and given the freedom to choose whether to be vaccinated or not and the right and freedom to choose the type of treatment desired.
None	Nations should be free to set their own health policies. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Public health is not a one size fits all. In any country doctors should be allowed to support their patients including talking candidly with their patients who both determine their approach to healthcare not an international pandemic preparedness and response document. Thank you.
HumanRace	Nations should decide upon their own actions in respect of any decisions affecting their Country.
Let's Enlighten Together	Nations should have autonomy when dealing with a pandemic. Each nation governs itself and a Pandemic should not warrant an Organization such as The WHO to supersede their own governments morals and policies. This could pave the way for unhealthy rules for countries and cause oppression and eliminate autonomy. The WHO should stay an organization of recommendations for governments and not supersede government policies. Thank You Sincerely, Jessi
University of Borås	Nations should have the responsibility to deal with pandemic preparedness, not a centralized world wide agency. I believe that nations can and should make decisions for their people, as different nations have different solutions and needs that fit their people best. Centralized agencies like the WHO will not be able to understand what is best for the people of every nation on earth. There is also a question of transparancy which I feel needs to be answered. I believe local and national governments are more fit to deal with this issue than a centralized agency. What if people are unhappy with the solutions of such an agency ? A centralized agency with no roots to the nations it is operating in, is going to be harder to hold accountable. Whereas a government can be held accountable, either through law or elections. Governments are more likely to listen to the people of the nations in question, than a centralized agency like the WHO. I believe there is a serious concern about pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers infiltrating, and using the WHO for their own gain. Again and again we see this issue with centralized government. I think the WHO should remain a forum for scientific debates on how to deal with these issues, but not in any way an agency with real authority.
CHD	Nations should make decisions for their people. #stopthetreaty
none	Nations should never outsource their obligation to manage their own affairs including all matters relating to health. No world organization can possibly understand the local requirements for every nation. Noted economists such as Frederick Hayek that central planners - whether they are planning for a nation or more humorously the world can never know with enough to ensure wise decisions. This applies even more to health matters than to economic matters as the last 2 years have demonstrated. Thus: 1. My Nation should retain its full autonomy, reserving our right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. This means that my nation should comply with any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2. World organizations should limit the scope of their responsibilities to collecting and sharing data from around the world in an open and transparent process. 3. World organizations should explicitly publish contributors to their data collection and sharing activities such that advocates for certain positions can be identified and known to users of the data.
Public	Nations should remain sovereign. You do not have the right to dictate what countries, states and people should do during a pandemic. This would be a severe overreach in power. The people do not want your policies.
Private citizen	Nations should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and the circumstances in the country at the time. Nations should be able to opt out of the process with no consequence. Pharmaceutical companies should have no place in setting policy. Policies should be time-limited and reviewed regularly in a transparent manner.
Summit	Nations should seek to stay sovreign! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Anon	Nations to retain full autonomy, reserving rights to make decisions based on the needs and interests of their own population. Nations to reserve all rights to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence, according to the needs and interests of their own population. An open and transparent process allowing the application of each area within the agreement to be subject to the majority vote among the population of each Nation. Measures that prohibit influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, and for decision makers to openly and transparently demonstrate no conflict of interest. Individuals must maintain human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions, including but not limited to any medical intervention, without penalties or coercion. I do NOT consent nor comply with the WHO Treaty and the current proposals that violate individual human rights, and the rights of a sovereign nation.
Anonymous	Nations to retain full autonomy, reserving rights to make decisions based on the needs and interests of their own population. Nations to reserve all rights to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence, according to the needs and interests of their own population. An open and transparent process allowing the application of each area within the agreement to be subject to the majority vote among the population of each Nation. Measures that prohibit influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, and for decision makers to openly and transparently demonstrate no conflict of interest. Individuals must maintain human rights of body autonomy and freedom to make fully informed decisions, including but not limited to any medical intervention, without penalties or coercion. I do NOT consent nor comply with the WHO Treaty and the current proposals that violate individual human rights, and the rights of a sovereign nation.
CleanUp Project	Nations, and Peoples, must retain sovereign authority for their [own] health [decisions] and well-being. While [multi/supra-national] organizations such as the 'World Health Organization' play an important role in stewardship, the ultimate authority for decision making and [health] policy comes from the individual, and God.
General Public	Nations, regions and municipalities need to stay fully autonomous with respect to any instrument for pandemic response, based on the local situation at hand and in line with the interests of the local citizens. The agreement needs to remain voluntary - any nation must retain the unconditional right to opt out of portions of the instrument or in its entirety. Whether or not a pandemic situation is declared needs to remain out of the realm and sphere of influence of pharmaceutical companies. The declaration of a pandemic situation must require an equivocal vote of all participating nations. All evidence, facts and documentation on which the declaration of a pandemic situation, the recommendation of specific measures or mandates is based needs to be fully transparent and irreplacably documented for every citizen to inspect.
none	Nations, States and local leadership must retain full autonomy to opt out or implement unique strategies, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Citizen	Nations, States, and local governments shall have complete autonomy and be able to opt out or diverge from healthcare recommendations of the instrument without interference or consequences. Human rights given by constitutions and charters shall not be overridden by the pandemic instrument. Humans shall have the power to opt out of recommendations of the instrument without discrimination or consequences. Any use of vaccines, medical treatments, or tracking by digital passport shall be optional and those wishing to opt out shall be able to do so without interference or penalty. The instrument shall operate under a transparent process that allows humans to vote on the specific measures. There shall be no opportunities for influence by pharmaceutical corporations or those seeking to monetarily gain from these policies. The international pandemic instrument shall have no connection to a human's access to banking, property ownership, food, financial or state services, or be used for taxation.
Myself	Natural immunity and actual health promoting life style choices should be the only elements. There is no evidence that lockdowns have had a noticeable effect on COVID-19 mortality. In fact,studies show that lockdowns "are ill-founded and should be rejected as a pandemic policy instrument." They have contributed to reducing economic activity, raising unemployment, reducing schooling, causing political unrest, contributing to domestic violence, and undermining liberal democracy" . And yet this was the global policy. As was mask wearing and experimental injections. None of these major decisions should be left in the hands of vested interests, far removed from the people they serve. No international body has the right to impose their unnecessary, dictatorial, freedom - suppressing policies on the citizens of the world. Only a body elected by the citizens of their country have the right to do this and even then any person(s) imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, MUST be held accountable for their actions. No person responsible for dictating these rules should be able to benefit directly nor indirectly, nor have any patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from rules imposed. Proper notice should be given to remedies that are safe and established, not just those promoted by the pharmaceutical industry. Further gain of function research in to such dangerous pathogens as Covid 19 should be stopped. In the event of a real pandemic, human rights and bodily autonomy must prevail without question as it is our right, and we must have the freedom, to decide our personal risks and health challenges without draconian (nor medical) intervention.
SELF	NATURAL immunity in conjunction with HERD immunity
SARS Cov-19 Vaccine Control Group	Natural immunity is an important evolutionary process in the development of a healthy species. Our immune system or our genetics should not be modified. We do not have long term testing on the effects of altering our bodies, those studies need to be done generationally to be able to see if the effects were greater then a non altered control group. Our survival depends on allowing exposure to environmental illnesses and pathogens. Therefore trust in human intelligence when sick, to self isolate and naturally avoid public contact, a byproduct of common sense. Further study in control groups of how pathogens spread and what actually causes illnesses to become a problem or possible pandemic. Example unvaccinated health care workers, do not spread illnesses.
MC Stretch	Natural immunity is Mother Natures cure, if your organisation continues to be corrupted by evil corporations then hell will have no fury. Please refrain from giving this any energy or compliance. My daughters deserve freedom not totalitarian opinions from a select few. Shame on you. Peace be with you & may the truth light your way…
none	Natural immunity is of critical importance within any health framework. Also, Public health cannot be a one-size-fits-all program, though this sounds very noble. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Bodily autonomy as well as governmental autonomy is needed to enhance the best prognosis of wellness within a pandemic. Each country has differing needs and a one size fits all application does not work in a pandemic response or any health response. Allowing each Country, State (within the USA), Government to respond according to their population and the extent of a pandemic would be economically advisable as well as assisting in the overall health and healing of each Government, Country or State (USA). Allowing autonomy in this would allow WHO the utmost respect in being able to use WHO's knowledge base and its entities to allow for health and prosperity within each government, Country, State (USA). And, above all, truth and honesty also are of utmost importance and will go far in having the proper and noble response. Thank you for your time.
Private individual	Natural immunity should be one of the most important subjects to be considered Also important to allow those healthy and well to co tinue their lives and to be able to warn a living so that the general tenor of life is not completely destroyed. No country shd be held to ransom by a defective health service Thise who are unwell shd be hrloed and advised with wRly treatment and they shd stay at home while feeling unwell General lickdowns have been seen to gave disastrous effects upon the life of a country. There shd nit be lockdowns Nor shd masks be used. They are proven to be unable to stop fine droplets from passing in the air The more people can immunuse themselves by having a dose of any germ/dusease the better. No children shd be included in injections their immune systems are not formed and they will do getter to drvelop this naturally No interference from anyone other than national govts.
Self	Natural immunity to be first consideration Total vaccination transparency required including trial results and bad reactions experienced by those vaccinated. Personal choice never forced
Self employment	Natural immunity, Vaccine necessity and risk among age groups, and individual sovereignty.
Citizen of Singapore	Natural law and civil rights pillars like autonomy, transpancy and freedom of choice.
American Pictures	Natural law and civil rights pillars like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice must be included. Both medical & religious exemptions must be allowed.
Private person	Natural law and civil rights pillars like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice should be paramount in this treaty. Furthermore, that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thankyou
None	Natural law supersedes any laws or policies that seek to suppress basic human rights: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Sustainable Development Association / Indigene Community	NATURAL SCIENCE for pandemic preparation requires recognizing individuality of each person with: unique genetic makeup, environmental, family etc. influences. Uniqueness requires individual medical rights to sovereign choice over any medical intervention as well as the right to consult with a doctor or medical specialist of one's own choice. Informed consent requires that full disclosure of all side-effects, adverse-events & contraindications be provided to each person before any vaccination, drug-administration or medical-intervention of any kind. Open medical record-keeping, peer-review & debate is absolutely required. All humanity's 'indigenous' (Latin 'self-generating') ancestors cultivated individual sovereignty through fractal 'economic' (Greek 'oikos' = 'home' + 'namein' = 'care-&-nurture') organization of the ~100 (50-150) person Multihome-Dwelling-Complex (eg. Longhouse-apartment, Pueblo-townhouse & Kanata-village). Local intimate, intergenerational, female-male, interdisciplinary, critical-mass, economies-of-scale provides for continuity of medical knowledge & care over lifetimes by specialists in local food (Hippocrates "Let food be thy medicine"), medicines, ecology, society & economy. Today 70% of people worldwide, live in Multihomes with an average of 32 dwelling-units or 100 people. 20% of multihome-dwellers live by choice in extended family proximity for social & economic collaboration. Multihomes are the economic livelihood bubbles, which enable whole societies to nourish populations locally in isolation when necessary & to which China organized its successful responses to COVID-19. https://sites.google.com/site/indigenecommunity/relational-economy/extending-our-welcome-participatory-multi-home-cohousing
	ndividuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
private	ndividuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Anna Zdych
	ndividuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.

Organization	Written submission
živi čovjek	Ne želim da korumpirana organizacija u kojoj je do sada bilo primjera zlouporabe moći, položaja, sprege sa farmaceutskom industrijom čiji su interesi iznad interesa i zdravlja ljudi, nacija i država dobije pravo i ovlasti odlučivanja o mom zdravlju, o zdravlju mojih sugrađana ,Više nitko ne vjeruje u vašu ispravnost, u vaše dobre želje i namjere kada je zdravlje u pitanju. Nemate se pravo miješati u naša temeljna ljudska prava koja nam pripadaju našim rođenjem. Vi da odlučujete o masovnom procjepljivanju koje bi postalo temelj za sve drugo (pravo na rad, slobodno kretanje, pristup novcu, pravo na život i potomstvo)? To bi bila katastrofa veća od svih drugih koje su se dogodile do sada! U Hrvatskoj još uvijek postoje savjesni i stručni medicinari u koje se može imati povjerenja. Njihova pomoć će biti dovoljna. Primjer sa covidom je otvorio puno pitanja, i njihovo ignoriranje, neuvjerljivi odgovori, namjerna laganja, prikrivanja istine je do kraja potkopalo povjerenje u WHO. Osobito prikrivanje brojnih nuspojava i smrtnih slučajeva nakon cijepljenja protiv covida. To što se vaši sponzori ujedno zalažu protiv prenapučenosti planete dodatno otvara oči. Ne želimo miješanje WHO u zdravstvenu i inu politiku, u protokole prevencije i liječenja, ne želimo obavezna cijepljenja. WHO nema apsolutno nikakvo pravo donositi odluke koje se tiču nečijeg tijela i zdravlja, još manje ucjenjivati ljude dovodeći ih u situaciju da se moraju odreći prava na tjelesni integritet da bi mogli raditi, slobodno se kretati, zarađivati, obavljati financijske transakcije. Ovakva silna potreba za kontrolom i praćenjem ljudi je najblaže rečeno znak bolesti.
Stowarzyszenie Nauczyciele dla Wolności	NIE zgadzam się na to, by Polska podpisała traktat z WHO! Jesteśmy państwem posiadającym własną konstytucję i żadne inne nie powinny nas obowiązywać. Polska jest krajem suwerennym, wolnym i tak ma pozostać. Nikt nie ma prawa o nas decydować. Wyrażam sprzeciw przeciw traktatowi!!!!
European Citizen	Nearly no one knows about this here, the time to read, understand and comment is much to short. We dont need this contract with the WHO and there is so much pharma money behind this and the people are not more free to live healthy in their own way. Me and my family are moving into another country with no WHO contract for sure. This all is not kind to mankind.
BCPARS	Necessary elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Pharmaceutical and other health corporations must be excluded from any influence in this process.
CFB	Necessary to repect the souveranity of national Parlaments and gouverments, the souveranity of each individual for their health and the all human rights. Hartmut Zopf
World Health Organization	Need for a Global Digital Health Trust Network in support of trusted data exchange for pandemic preparedness and response, including digitalizing the International Certificate for Vaccination and Prophylaxis for international travel. With the advent of the COVID-19 pandemic, it became apparent that a critical piece of the global digital health infrastructure was missing - the ability for two parties to be able to safely and securely exchange information in a trusted way, while at the same time ensuring that relevant health data is interoperable. The interoperable exchange of health information in a trusted environment is a complex task with an increasingly large number of stakeholders (e.g. public health agencies, accredited labs, border control organizations, institutions authorized to verify) that need to ensure that data is transferred safely and securely, that the health content is interoperable, and that information is understandable and actionable. In response to COVID-19, governments and organizations across the world have developed and adopted standards and technologies to create, present, and verify digital vaccination and lab test result credentials. However, a global framework to enable convenient use and interoperability of these credentials between systems – while also allowing domestic autonomy over their use – does not exist and is critically needed. To ensure that national governing bodies can establish mutual trust with other Member States or jurisdictions, the digital architecture and appropriate governance mechanisms should be in place for the digital signing infrastructure based on each Member State's governance context, in support of trusted exchange of verifiable certificates and data.
US Citizen and voter	Needed changes to the upcoming treaty. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration.
Home	Needs to be voluntary
citizen	Needs to include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	NEEVER should the WHO be able to dictate above and beyond individual nations laws! It'll take individuals right to bodily autonomy away and will eventually be followed by justice. Vaccines should ALWAYS be voluntary and never mandated. That's just evil to mandate a medican procedure...NO discrimination against either vaccinated or unvaccinated should be tolerated in any nation of the world. The power of natural immunity has to be knowledged! Focus should be on cheap and effective early treatments and supplement options. Profits and power structures should NEVER be above the interests of the health of the people the way C-19 has.
	Nein
private	NEIN
No	NEIN - NO!!!
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	Nein no, Ich bin dagegen!!! Im Falle einer Pandemie, soll die Bevölkerung jedes Mitgliedstaates der EU selbst demokratisch über Bestimmungen abstimmen A.Holzer
Mutter und Großmutter	NEIN !!! Als Mensch will ich über mein Leben in Eigenverantwortung frei entscheiden!!! Die Menschenwürde ist unantastbar!!! Die Erde hat genügend Ressourcen, um die Menschheit zu ernähren, wenn nur verantwortungsvoll damit umgegangen würde!!! GOTT HAT ALLES SEHR GUT GEMACHT!!! IHM ALLEIN GEBÜHRT DIE EHRE!!! Was die sogenannten Eliten meinen, sich ungerechtfertigt aneignen zu können, wird ER nicht ungestraft lassen!!! GOTT IST GERECHT UND WIRD SIE DAFÜR IN VERANTWORTUNG NEHMEN!!!
Privat	NEIN dazu! Es werden die demokratischen Rechte und Menschenrechte verletzt! Dieses Gesetz dient nur den Pharmaindustrien! Jeder Mensch verdient es, selbst über seinen Körper zu entscheiden!!!
Freiheit	NEIN GEGEN EINE WHO BEVOLLMAECHTIGUNG FÜR DIE IMPFUNG. NATIONALE ENTSCHEIDUNG MUSS VORRANGIG SEIN. NEIN, GEGEN DIE DIE EINMISCHUNG VON DER WHO UND DEREN FINAZGEBERN.
Xxx	Nein nein nein
Privat	NEIN NO. WHO IS NOT THE GMECHANGER!!! REGIONAL!! Is better
Deutschland	NEIN wir wollen das nicht wir gehören euch nicht und wollen über uns selbst bestimmen ihr seid Verbrecher.
Privat	Nein zu allem was geplant ist
Arztpraxis	Nein zu den Planungen!
	Nein zu diesem Impfgesetz
Keine	Nein zu diesem Vertrag.
Musician	Nein zu einem Pandemievertrag! Die Länder müssen souverän bleiben, keine digitale Überwachung der Menschen, keine Impfzertifikate! Wir möchten in Freiheit und Selbstbestimmung leben.
Arge	Nein zu einem Vertrag mit euch! Nein zu einem Pandemie Vertrag! Nein zur Gen Spritze! Nein zur Impfung! Niemals!
Privat	Nein zu ihm schlecht auch die WHO hat nicht das Recht über Menschen zu bestimmen eine Institution die heute von großen Unternehmen bezahlt wird was habt ihr in den letzten zwei Jahren mit uns angestellt alte kranke Leute in den Wohnheim weggesperrt psychische Schäden Todesfälle aber nicht aufgrund der Impfung oder aufgrund des Virus sein sondern durch das radikale eingreifen es darf kein Gesetz geben jeder ist für seinen Körper selber verantwortlich in den ganzen umliegenden Ländern hat es auch geklappt vor Jahren hat man nie über zweistellige 1000 Todesfälle der Grippewelle diskutiert in den letzten Jahren hat man nur mehr eines gehört Corona Corona Corona wie viele Menschen waren mit einer Grippe hospitalisiert darüber sprach keiner das in den medizinischen Bereichen mittlerweile schon Engpässe sind das hat man verschlafen vor Jahren und heute zwingt man junge Leute die sich berufen fühlen diese Arbeit wieder anzunehmen aufzunehmen in eine Impfung ist das richtig über denkt mal die Situation wer wird uns in den nächsten Jahren noch pflegen wenn wir so weitermachen das ganze muss endgültig ein Ende haben ich muss aufhören
selbst	Nein zum globalen Pandemie Vertrag!
-	Nein zum Pandemievertrag!
private person	NEIN zum Pandemievertrag! Bitte berücksichtigen Sie Folgendes: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Dieses widerspricht Ihrem Vorhaben! Mein Fazit: NEIN zum Pandemievertrag! Best regards, Werner Korn
	Nein zum Pandemievertrag, nein zur Einschränkung der Grund u. FREIHEITSRECHTE, AUSTRITT aus der EU!!!
Private	Nein zum Pandemievertrag. Dies ist ein Einschnitt in die Grundrechte. Jeder sollte über seinen Körper selbst entscheiden.
EU Bürger	Nein zum WHO Pandemie Vertrag! Die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten muss gewahrt bleiben!
EU Bürger	Nein zum WHO Pandemie Vertrag. Die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten muss gewahrt bleiben!
Gemeinnützige	Nein zur Impfpflicht oder zu vorbereitenden Pandemiebrkämpfung!!! Ich entscheide selbst über meinen Körper!!! Wir sind Menschen und keine Versuchstiere.
ACKTIVATE Energieberatung	NEIN zur Impfpflicht und sämtliche COVID-19-Maßnahmen!!! Stoppen Sie endlich diesen Wahnsinn!!!
EU Bürgerin	Nein zur Impfpflicht, nein zum Zertifikat, nein zum Zwang! Für freie Entscheidung: Jeder hat das Recht auf Selbstbestimmung und körperliche Unversehrtheit!!! Hört auf gegen unseren Willen zu handeln!
Selbständig & Unabhängig	NEIN zur Pandemievorbereitung durch die WHO. Für mich ist die Verantwortung des einzelnen Individuums ein hohes Gut. Gelebte Verantwortung schafft individuelle nachhaltige Freiheit. Volksgesundheit, so ein Quatsch, das riecht nach Totalitarismus. Wir sind nicht alle gleich. Jeder unterscheidet sich von jedem anderen. Prinzipielle "Blank-Vollmachten" sind der Untergang der Freiheit. Es braucht keine Pandemievorbereitung der WHO. Die WHO sollte unabhängig sein, von Interessen der Konzerne und Banken. Mir ist es wichtig, darauf hinzuwirken, dass Staaten, Länder und Regionen die Autonomie für Maßnahmen zur Abwehr von vermeintlichen oder tatsächlichen Gefahren behalten! Vielen Dank
Private	Nein Ich bin damit nicht einverstanden Nein nein nein
No	Nein No non
Menschheitsfamilie	NEIN! - So eine Ermächtigung von nicht durch die Bevölkerung gewählten Personen, die nur im Interesse der sie bezahlenden Großkonzerne, insbesondere der allein profitorientierten skrupellosen Pharmakonzerne, die sich bereits in der Vergangenheit vielfach als hochkriminell und menschenverachtend erwiesen haben, handeln, führt zwangsläufig zur Abschaffung von Demokratie und Menschenrechten und führt zur Versklavung der übrigen Weltbevölkerung. So ein "Instrument" ist in keinem Fall akzeptabel, und das müsste nach dem von eben diesen Personen inszenierten COVID-Desaster mittlerweile jedem Betroffenen klar sein!
Mahakala Tara Center	Nein! Das ist Faschismus pur. Gerade die Deutschen sollten aus der NS Zeit gelernt haben um so etwas nicht nochmal zu erlauben.
allgemeinen Öffentlichkeit	NEIN! Die Bestrebungen des "Pandemievertrags" zielen darauf ab alle Souveränität aller Staaten auszuschalten, beliebige Entscheidungen zu fällen und fundamentale Grundrechte beliebig außer Kraft zu setzen, ohne Rechtfertigungen erbringen zu müssen. Jede beliebige "Gesundheitsmaßnahme" wäre somit durchzusetzen – auch entgegen der Würde des Menschen. Der angestrebte "Pandemievertrag" ist daher ein vollkommen Menschen- und Völkerrechtskonträrer Ansatz und inakzeptabel! Ich sage NEIN – und dieses NEIN, ist nicht verhandelbar!
Privat	Nein! Stoppen sie dieses Vorhaben!!!! Jeder Mensch sollte das Recht auf ein Selbstbestimmtes Leben in Frieden und Freiheit haben.
Privat	NEIN!!!!!! zum Pandemievertrag!!!!!! Es werden Grundrechte außer Kraft gesetzt!
	Nein, einfach nein! Jede:r Bürger:in muss selbst Entscheidungen treffen dürfen. Nicht private Gelder sollen die Gesundheit bestimmen! Verantwortung übernimmt nicht der Staat sondern der von Gott gegebene freie Wille und Verstand des Menschen
Nein	Nein, ich bin dagegen.
Privat	Nein, nein und nochmal NEIN!
Bürgerin	Nein, nein zu einen Pandemievertrag mit der übergriffigen WHO und der EU und den Nationalstaaten. Die WHO hat keine demokratische Legitimation. Für Austritt aus der WHO.
	Nein, nicht einverstanden .
Privat	Nein, nicht vereinbar mit dem Grundgesetz und Demokratie
Deutschkand	Nein, wir brauchen diesen Vertrag nicht.
Souveräner Volksvertreter Deutschland	Nein, zu einem globalen Pandemievertrag. Jedes Staatsvolk und seine Volksvertretungen bleiben eigenverantwortlich in ihren Entscheidungen. Keine Fremdbestimmung und Übergriffe von außerhalb (WHO u.a.) gegenüber dem deutschen Volk.
Keine	Nein, zum Pandemie Vertrag
	Nein. Ich lehne den Pandemievertrag der WHO ab
Privatperson	Nein. Stop !
Bürger	Nein. . . . .
Retired	Neith the United States or any country should sign an agreement giving the WHO authority over internal affairs. Each country and State needs to remain independent and free to make the best decisions for their people.
Individual	Neither big pharma nor large foundations with investments in the pharmaceutical industry should be involved. Individuals must retain the right to refuse treatments and the right to use existing expired patent drugs with guidance from their personal health care provider. Informed consent requires complete and timely disclosure of all trial information on new treatments. There is strength in diversity, including diverse approaches to the pandemic. We see examples of this in the United States where Florida had a good an outcome as California but without the lockdowns.
SELF	Neither our country nor we as individuals should ever give up our rights to make our own decisions about our health care. Treaties that remove this power from the US are dangerous and not in our best interest. We DO NOT need global overlords for our health care. Thank you for considering these views.
Magyar állampolgár	Nem kérünk sem a kötelező oltásból sem az ahhoz tarozó intézkedésekből. "Védettségi illetve oltási kártya" Negatív megkülönböztetés és abból,hogy a munkáltató kötelezhet arra,hogy beoltassam magam.
	Nesúhlasím s kovidpasmi
Citizen	Never again must one unelected organisation take over the running of an individual countries health policies. The mandates , lockdowns etc have caused far more pain and suffering than the virus itself. The approach was never holistic. If anything thenTEMPORARILY borders might need to be closed. However treating everyone as though they are walking petri dishes is dehumanising. In future we must return to tried and tested means of disease control, isolating with dignity those who are vulnerable (if they choose) people who are ill, hospitalised with dignity and others stay at home until they feel well. Never must there be vaccine mandates, nor vaccines rolled out whilst in trial phase. There must too be transparency about adverse reactions. Truth must be forefront.
Self	Never go for a legally binding treaty. It's avtrojan to invade sovereignty of nations. It's obvious that the west lobby, pharma t ch companies , who are already controlling organisations like WHO , will hijack democracy in the name of pandemic. Reductionist science is not the solution for healthcare. WHO and other west controlled organisations , influenced by people with conflict of interest shouldn't have any legally binding power above the sovereignty of nations. Exaggerated pandemics will be used to invade humanrights, and this can be even used to destroy economy of nations. WHO keep your hands off our sovereignty and rights. This is a recipe for new world colonialism. STOP
Moms on the ground	Never should a foreign buddy, organization or otherwise have the right to infringe on The human rights of citizens of a sovereign nation A country's constitution is not to be amended or tread upon by any other country or organization. In a Democratic National, only the citizens of that nation should have the right to amend their constitution.
private	Never should another person, organization or state be allowed to determine someone's health. This is reminiscent of dark times in Germany. Everyone must be able and allowed to make health decisions for themselves. Governments should warn if the worst comes to the worst, and that is sufficient. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Never under any circumstances should a non-elected body be granted the power to decide over citizens' rights or freedoms. The WHO is a corrupt, authoritarian organisation with interests that could not lie further from public health. I reject any form of authoritarian views, measures or politics, which is why I wholeheartedly reject any involvement of the WHO in any future decision-making. The WHO has contributed greatly to mass-suffering through manipulatory rhetoric, aggressive politics and the promotion of unhealthy, detrimental interventions. The WHO should be abolished instead of promoted. Step down immediately, you have no place in this world!
Sggw	Never vaccination mandates. Never!
Ja	NEVER!!
Anthroposophia Wellness Foundation, Inc.	New international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include: (1) An OPEN discussions as to the ORIGIN of the current or future pandemics, and let everyone's side be heard; (2) A PRIOR information and knowledge to be given to ALL users and recipients of experimental vaccines and drugs; (3) Information on the ADVERSE effects of experimental vaccines, drugs or medicines should be made available or open to anyone around the world, and NEVER silenced nor blocked in mainstream media, in WHO's and other prominent health organizations' websites – including the Health Departments of all governments who buy these experimental vaccines or medicines/drugs; (4) There should be provisions for sufficient and appropriate compensation and financial assistance to be given throughout the lifetime of those who were adversely affected by the different governments' vaccine mandates or given experimental hospital medications or combinations thereof; (5) Let independent health or data/statistical organizations AUDIT and make independent studies and reports on the adverse or side effects of the experimental vaccines and drugs created and/or sold by pharmaceutical companies; and (6) A DISCLOSURE of any affiliations or conflict of interest must be made public by Officers and Employees of WHO, other health organizations and governments and their agencies.
Philippine Medical Association, Philippine Academy of Family Physicians, Pr	New international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include: (1) An OPEN discussions as to the ORIGIN of the current or future pandemics, and let everyone's side be heard; (2) A PRIOR information and knowledge to be given to ALL users and recipients of experimental vaccines and drugs; (3) Information on the ADVERSE effects of experimental vaccines, drugs or medicines should be made available or open to anyone around the world, and NEVER silenced nor blocked in mainstream media, in WHO's and other prominent health organizations' websites – including the Health Departments of all governments who buy these experimental vaccines or medicines/drugs; (4) There should be provisions for sufficient and appropriate compensation and financial assistance to be given throughout the lifetime of those who were adversely affected by the different governments' vaccine mandates or given experimental hospital medications or combinations thereof; (5) The recognition that people have free choice when it comes to treatment and allowing experimental vaccines or drugs in their system. (6) Let independent health or data/statistical organizations AUDIT and make independent studies and reports on the adverse or side effects of the experimental vaccines and drugs created and/or sold by pharmaceutical companies; and (7) A DISCLOSURE of any affiliations or conflict of interest must be made public by Officers and Employees of WHO, other health organizations and governments and their agencies.
Cambridge	New pandememic posibility insert
Concern woman of Aotearoa	New Zealand is a Common Law jurisdiction as recognised under English Common Law pursuant to the Imperial Law Application Act 1988 (the Act) specifies the Imperial enactments, Imperial subordinate legislation, and the common law of England are part of the laws of New Zealand; Pursuant to s 5 of the Act, New Zealand is recognized as a common law jurisdiction: Section 5 Application of common law of England - "After the commencement of this Act, the common law of England (including the Principles and rules of equity), so far as it was part of the laws of New Zealand immediately before the commencement of this Act, shall continue to be part of the laws of New Zealand". In New Zealand (NZ), we elect our own representatives for parliament, who then forms our government. The government is a public servant to serve the men and women of NZ and is to be our voice, not to make decisions without referendums for their own benefits or without our consent. In 1986 the then elected government gave away all rights to govern New Zealand when they became a corporation trading on the US stock and Exchange. We, the men and women of NZ do not recognize any authority or jurisdiction of the NZ Government / Crown / Agents and Agents of Corporations since 1986; all Acts, legislation's and statutes written after 1986 are unlawful. The Magna Carta is central to New Zealand's democratic foundation, as well as every international human rights convention, recognizing its continued ability to act against tyranny and arbitrary power. I don't consent no contract
N/A	New Zealand is a small nation state a long way from anywhere. We do not need the WHO to decide our health policies and ways of managing pandemics. We need councils and smaller areas to be able to make decision for themselves based on their needs. One plan for the whole world is a potential disaster. The world is a big place with diverse geography, climate, people's and governments, there need to be national plans not international rules. Please do not force us to do what you say when you do not know who we are, how we live, and what we need. Thank you, Janion Heywood
Not applicable	New Zealand is a sovereign nation and is quite capable of looking after its own citizen's needs. It neither needs nor requires outside parties dictating how it should run its own health services - arrogance in the extreme on WHO's part.
Independent	New Zealand is a sovereign nation and is quite capable of looking after its own interests. It neither needs nor requires outside parties dictating how it should run its own health services - arrogance in the extreme on WHO's part.
New Zealander	New Zealanders do not want the WHO interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens as this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. This proposal by WHO threatens our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not choose. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work is to be null and void.
-	Newly revised… To I.N.T./ WHO We do not allow our sovereign rights be compromised, by no means are they negotiable! I don't support any emergencies nor acts thereof, imposed by any foreign governments or any person/persons/entities Against or upon my autonomy and my sovereign rights For any reason(s) of any kind, not even of or by health / medical reasons!
-	Newly revised… To I.N.T./ WHO We do not allow our sovereign rights be compromised, by no means are they negotiable! I don't support any emergencies nor acts thereof, imposed by any foreign governments or any person/persons/entities Against or upon my autonomy and my sovereign rights For any reason(s) of any kind, not even of or by health / medical reasons!
Augenoptikermeister	Nicht einfach die Definition einer Pandemie ändern, sondern die korrekte Definition von 2008 beibehalten. Alles andere ist Willkür und sollte Strafrechtlich verfolgt werden.
Tomasz	Nie chcę żadnych niesprawdzonych szczepionek .!!!!
Obywatel PL	NIE DLA PROJEKTU GLOBALNEGO TRAKTATU ANTYPANDEMICZNEGO!!!
Polska	Nie dla TRAKTATU WHO
człowiek	Nie dla traktatu WHO!!!
Osoba prywatna	Nie dla wolności nie dla obowiązku szczepień
ŻADNA	Nie jest potrzebny żaden instrument dotyczący gotowości i reakcji na pandemie. Sprzeciwiam się powstaniu takiego instrumentu.
Polak	Nie ma mojej zgody na to żeby WHO decydowało o leczeniu mnie, mojej rodziny i moich rodaków Polaków!!!
Prywatne	Nie wyrażam zgody
Prywatna osoba	Nie wyrażam zgody na podpisanie przez państwo polskie traktatu pandemicznego z WHO, ponieważ Polska jest suwerennym krajem, które samodzielnie zgodnie z polską konstytucją podejmuje decyzje dotyczące przeciwdziałaniu chorobom zakaźnym i innym. WHO jest prywatną firmą utrzymującą się ze środków, które sponsorują firmy prywatne oraz rzady panstw ze składek podatników. Wyrażam stanowczy sprzeciw przeciw podpisania traktatu.
Osoba fizyczna	Nie wyrażam zgody na przekazanie kompetencji komukolwiek w sprawie decydowania o podejmowaniu działań dotyczących zdrowia i leczenia w sytuacji ogłoszenia pandemii. Decyzja o leczeniu powinna zawsze należeć do pacjenta i lekarza.
My self	Nie zgadzam się
Stop NOP	Nie zgadzam się jako człowiek, istota wolna na wprowadzenie traktatu pandemicznego WHO, który jest zamachem na prawa człowieka, prawo decydowania o samym sobie i gwałci narodowe konstytucje.
Pani	Nie zgadzam się na ingerencję WHO w sprawy dotyczące pandemii oraz przymuszania ludzi do szczepień. Już teraz wiadomo, że szczepionki przeciw covid nie zabezpieczają przed zachorowaniem czy śmiercią. Bardzo dużo ludzi zmarło lub choruje po zaszczepieniu. Do dnia dzisiejszego nie wycofano tych niebezpiecznych szczepionek. WHO swoją polityką chce segregować ludzi i tym samym zmuszać do przyjęcia niebezpiecznych szczepionek dla zdrowia ludzi.
Prywatna osoba	Nie zgadzam się na międzynarodowy traktat pandemiczny, ze względu na nadrzędność prawa WHO nad wszelkimi instytucjami narodowymi zdrowia publicznego. Nie zgadzam się na wszelką kontrolę nad ludnością w moim kraju. Polska pozostanie krajem niezależnym i suwerennym z woli narodu, bez względu na to, jakie ,,rekomendacje" wprowadzi WHO.
Private person	Nie zgadzam się na prawo antypandemiczne sprawowane przez WHO.. Naszym nadrzędnym prawem ustalanym i egzekwowanym w Polsce jest konstytucja a nie żaden inny dokument. Jestem przeciwna paszportom cyfrowym i innym niezgodnym z prawem odczłowieczaniem. Precz z WHO!!
Zbuntowani	Nie zgadzam się na to, żeby ktoś decydował za mnie i moją rodzinę!!!!!
Private person	Nie zgadzam się na traktat. Jestem wolnym człowiekiem i nikt nie będzie decydował za mnie. Jeśli czegoś nie chcę to tego nie zrobię. Traktat zniszczy suwerennosc Polski i Polską Konstytucję. Nie ma mojej zgody na to
Polska	Nie zgadzam się na wszelkie niewolnicze umowy z WHO zmuszające kogokolwiek do szczepień! Nie zgadzam się na traktowanie nas na bydło!
Osoba prywatna	Nie zgadzam się z tym traktatem i nie będę stosowac się do ustaleń WHO.Nie uznaję tej organizacji za organizację promującą i chroniącą zdrowi, wręcz przeciwnie. Nigdy nie poddam się jakimkolwiek eksperymentom medycznym. Moje zdrowie i życie powierzam Bogu,nie ludziom. Weźcie to sobie do serca komuniści dążący do zniewolenia całego świata.Zanim to nastąpi musicie wykończyć wszystkich prawdziwie wierzących chrześcijan. Bóg każe kochać swoich wrogów, więc was kocham.Macie jeszcze szansę, żeby się nawrócić. Proście Boga o przebaczenie i pokutujcie. Julianna Gorys
-	Nie zgadzam się z tym żeby światowa organizacja sprawowała władze nad narodami.
Private	Nie zgadzam się z ustanawianie jakiejkolwiek konwencji midzynarodowje , która miałaby ograniczać suwerenność państw i ich obywateli. Globalizacja spraw dotyczących naszego zdrowia a szczególnie przymuszanie do wytycznych sposobów leczenia jest pogwałceniem wolności do decydowania osobiście w jaki sposób suweren ma się leczyć. WHO może być instytucją zbierającą dane, analizującą, doradczą po wyciągnięciu wniosków a nie abligatoryjnie nakazującą.
Me	Nie zgadzam się z Wami. Nie pozwalam aby who decydowało o mnie. Nigdy
Private	Nie zgadzam się!
WHO	Nie życzę sobie aby WHO podejmowało za mnie decyzję o sposobie leczenia i podejmowało wszelkie decyzje na temat leczenia w moim kraju, w Polsce.
Wolna Polka	Nie życzę sobie, aby jakiekolwiek działania WHO zakładały jakikolwiek przymus. Nie ma mojej zgody na przymusowe lockdowny, maseczki, czy szczepionki. Wolność każdego człowieka jest priorytetem i nie może być odbierana pod żadnym pretekstem. Jeśli jakiekolwiek przesłanki do powyższego są w założeniach tego traktatu, to nie zgadzam się na niego!
Osoba prywatna	Nie!!! Inicjatywa i certyfikat Covid są zbędnymi ograniczeniami wolności. Inicjatywa powinna zostać odrzucona.
Osoba prywatna	Nie!!! Inicjatywa i certyfikat Covid są zbędnymi ograczeniami wolności.Inicjatywa powinna zostać odrzucona.
Polska	Nie, No,Nein ,No,Nein,Nie!!!!!!
Privat	Niemand braucht diesen Vertrag!
privat	Niemand braucht einen Pandemievertrag. Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar und somit hat jeder Mensch das Recht sich frei zu entscheiden für oder gegen jedwelche medizinische Massnahmen. Die Situation der letzten zwei Jahre mit dem absoluten Versagen der Coronamassnahmen aller beteiligten Länder hat gezeigt, dass Gesundheit nicht durch materialistische massenkonforme Verordnungen, sondern nur durch empathische, auf die Besonderheiten jedes einzelnen Menschen eingehende Behandlungen erreicht und erhalten werden kann. Jeder Mensch, jedes Lebewesen ist weitaus mehr als nur die Summe seiner von der Wissenschaft bislang analysierten Bestandteile. Wirkliche Gesundheit kann es nur geben, wenn das Leben mit Demut betrachtet wird. Daher kann ein Pandemievertrag niemals einer humanistischen Gesundheitsversorgung gerecht werden. Es darf keinen Pandemievertrag geben! Herzliche Grüße Susanne Küster
Privato	Niente sperimentazione (siero) per arricchire solo le tasche delle case farmaceutiche e di chi ci gira intorno. Stanno facendo solo danni fisici. La persona fisica deve essere libera di decidere senza obbligo e/o ricatto!!!!
	Nigdy nie zrzeknę się prawa naturalnego które chroni mnie jako żywego człowieka. Nigdy nie dam o sobie decydować jakimś psychopatom. Nikt nie będzie decydował o moim zdrowiu i moim ciele. Nie zgadzam się i nie wyrażam zgody na takie działania jak przymus szczepień.
Osoba	Nitko ne treba donositi odluke za ljude cijelog svijeta,a najmanje WHO jer sve države imaju Ustav kojeg bi se svi trebali pridržavati,a ne sustavno kršiti Ustavom zajamčena ljudska prava svake zemlje i pojedinca osobito kad je u pitanju zdravlje ljudi jer o tome može donositi odluku samo moj odabrani liječnik uz moj pristanak! Moj zdravstveni status treba ostati tajan jer je svaki liječnik položio Hopokratovu zakletvu i trebao bi se toga držati bez utjecaj bilo koje druge svjetske organizacije! Zato kažem NE kontroli bilo koje vrste! Lp.

Organization	Written submission
None	No I do not want the WHO interfering in my or any others families lives. I did not elect you and do not wish to follow any rules or regulations that an unelected body such as the WHO are trying to impose. You have demonstrated over the last two years to be unfit for purpose -,you have not followed the science instead just followed the direction of your major sponsors who are equally unelected. You do not have my and/or my families interests at heart and you cannot be relied upon to make unbiased and honest decisions. In short stay out of our lives - we do not want you involved in anything. Your organisation has been corrupted and this corruption is appearing to get worse not better. Stay out of our lives
America	No I reject your globalization of medicine and responses to disasters. You've done nothing right since your beginnings. You have zero rights over anything I do which goes for any government.
.	No I would not like a world wide pandemic response it should be down to individual country's and people to decide not you
citizen of the planet	No institution or global, national or local group political or otherwise have any rights over my body, my children's bodies or my animals' bodies in the name of health, climate or other narrative chosen to justify any actions those said groups wish to take which would override my/our inalienable rights as an individual, a citizen of a country and indeed a sovereign being of this planet. To do so would be to show that your are not serving the people or humanity but your own agendas; which we can only speculate on. If you succeed in what you plan to do let it be known that you will reap the karma you have sown through your brutal actions perpetrated on the innocent people of this planet. You are about to show your hand and we see you; we will not go quietly and we will not go willingly.
None	No instrument of any kind is needed. The failure of every international organisation to provide any evidence of a "Pandemic" was most instructive. A fraudulent "testing" system, the crushing of alternative solutions, the existence of a "Narrative", the every changing positions of so called "experts", the enormous funding by pharmaceutical companies and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation of key organisations and ultimately, despite all the statistical contortions, there was still only a Global Infection Fatality rate of 0.15%. This was no more than a get rich scheme for the organisers. The only international effort should be a Crimes against Humanity trial for all those involved.
Privat	No instruments.... No green pass.... No compulsory vaccination... We want our freedom back... Since more than 2 years we see that nothing worked and we don't have more deaths than as usually.
private	No international , national or corporation bodies has right to force humans to comply with activity against Humans Right Act as we know today. I say NO to WHO " a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response "actions. Such instruments and acts are against individual human rights and as such are illegal.
Self	No international agency should have any authority regarding medical and administrative guidelines which supercedes that of the constitution and other laws of the individual nation. Based on what has been shown so far, the WHO is interested in acting as an extrajudicial governing body when it comes to future medical issues. That should be forbidden by law and is likely not part of its legal charter. We already have seen what happens when a distant agency such as WHO attempts to interfere with the operation of normal affairs in individual countries. It may be well meaning, but when there is an area which conflicts with local law, such as in the U.S., Philippines, and many other countries, WHO basically ignores that. WHO should stick to what it was chartered to do.: promote health, keep the world safe, and serve the vulnerable. WHO, in attempting to have a treaty signed which revotes the rights of individual nations is doing two very damaging things. One is it is acting as a global directorate rather than the advisory body it was supposed to be. The second is to seize control from individual governments and citizens. Such is the case in many multilateral organizations. By doing this treaty, WHO is also acting in direct violation to multiple existing international laws regarding medicine and medical treatment. Please get back to the charter and values set for you when you were founded.
Nancy A Reed	No international agreement, treaty or regulation shall supersede the authority of national, state, or local governments.
General public	No international body has the right to impose their unnecessary, dictatorial, freedom - suppressing policies on the citizens of the United Kingdom or any other country. Even a body elected by the citizens of their country have no right to do this if they are imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, and if they do so they MUST be held accountable for their actions. The Covid pandemic has proven that even a country's government is at risk of making detrimental decisions when it comes to prevention tactics. Masks, lockdowns, social distancing, school closures etc have had a big negative impact on society. It's been a detriment to the economy, people's health, people's psyche, and the education and well being of our children. Masks, which were said from the start to do nothing to help the pandemic, have nonetheless been mandated and the result has been negative on the public's physical and mental health. To even think of handing over powers such as these to a non elected international body that has no connections with the UK would be extremely damaging. It is vital that individuals maintain rights over their own freedom of movement, bodily autonomy and sovereignty.
CNA	No International group should have sovereign rights over the US or any other sovereign nation. The WTO got this and has made a mess of trade. My health is my business-- not the business of my government (CA or USA) OR of WHO. NO to this proposal!! Thank you.
BRP Bizzozero & Partners UK Ltd	No international instrument is required
Citizen	No international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is required. Such responses should be the responsibility of individual Governments. The awful WHO interventions in the SARS-COV-2 reaction was enormously damaging.
NA	No International Instrument on Preparedness and Response should be enacted because this is all motivated by unelected people who don't recognize individual rights. Any mistake in decision making or corruption present at the WHO, will be devastating on a grand global scale if this one organization dictates policy on a world wide level. Like what already has happened with the Covid 19 recommendations. How about instead of giving more power to WHO, we get accountability. I, for one, don't need any health recommendations or collectivist bullying from anybody, let alone an organization thousands of miles away from my California location. I imagine technocratic agenda will continue at the WHO. Who pays the bills and salaries at the WHO? Another question? Since the WHO has been in existence, has world health improved or has is deteriorated? Just saying. The world doesn't need or want this dictatorship. I'll worry about my own health.
private	no international law shall supersede national law. the who must not receive the legal leverage to impose legally binding measures on states. commissions that are ondependent from political systems and pharma interest must be implemented. accountability for measures recommended by WHO, governments, medical bodies needs to be guaranteed and ensured.
I am not attached to any organisation	No international organisation should have the power to order vaccination on the populations of the entire world. This is a gross overreach of government powers, profoundly undemocratic and not conducive to good public health practices.
No organization	No international organization of any kind should be able to control the way many or all countries act in the case of a health emergency. Every nation should make their own decisions based on its citizens' education on the subject matter and honest election of their government officials. When an organization has this much power to rule over millions or billions of people across nations, really bad things can happen really fast if the people making the global decisions are not seeing the true picture, are confused, mentally ill, or corrupt. The adoption of any substantive element of this capacity will begin an end to humanity as we know it or knew it before COVID-19 emerged.
Self	No international pandemic response treaty.
None	No international policy - only guidelines. It is ESSENTIAL to respect and remember the Nuremberg Code ALWAYS. The COVID pandemic has seen human rights, informed consent and natural immunity crushed. The WHO has become the laughing stock of the world sadly.
personal	No international treaty needed, let countries remain with sovereign rule of themselves. No international interventions . Let everyone live in liberty . No lockdowns. No compulsion for any treatment options. Let the people live in total freedom.
None	NO INTERNATIONAL TREATY. Countries must do what they think is best for themselves on an individual basis. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
svn	no is no...
living man	No Legal contract No Lawful consent Offer to contract declined
British citizen	No limitations upon people who do not choose to participate in medical procedures. Movement, travel, work and financial freedom should never be curtailed according to medical status.
Uk citizen	No lockdowns and no centralised control for you, the WHO, to declare a pandemic and then control the response. Your actions this far have shown you to be utterly compromised and/or incompetent. Take your pick. The emerging stats don't lie, but you do/have. Jog on.
-	No lockdowns No mandatory face masks No closed schools No closed playgrounds No compulsory vaccination No 2G or 3G or whatsoever No forced testings No green pass
ABBA GENERAL TRADING	NO LOCKDOWNS, NO VACCINE MANDATES, NO INDIVIDUAL TRACKING, NO TO INDIVIDUAL RESTRICTIONS ALSO. NO VACCINE PASSPORT. STOP AND CANCEL INTERNATIONAL TREATY. NO POWER TO GAIN OVER SOVEREIGN NATIONS AND STATES. NO POWER OVER INDIA AT ANY CIRCUMSTANCES IN FUTURE.
Insurance	NO LOCKDOWNS, NO VACCINE MANDATES, NO INDIVIDUAL TRACKING, NO TO INDIVIDUAL RESTRICTIONS ALSO. NO VACCINE PASSPORT. STOP AND CANCEL INTERNATIONAL TREATY. NO POWER TO GAIN OVER SOVEREIGN NATIONS AND STATES. NO POWER OVER INDIA AT ANY CIRCUMSTANCES IN FUTURE. Modern medical science and healthcare systems can't ensure life and cure from any diseases, infectious or non-infectious, and are totally helpless, they MUST NOT enact any rule under the guise of helping mankind. In India, physicians practising Ayurveda, Homoeopathy, Naturopathy and other traditional treatments were confident to treat covid patients and they had proved it prior to the arrival of vaccines. Since WHO didn't advise such effective and harmless treatments, governments resorted only to modern medicines and EUA vaccines worsened the scenario in their countries. So NO TO THIS TREATY. WHO MUST NOT HAVE ANY POWER OVER PEOPLE.
Community outreach	-No lockdowns: the massive heaps of scientific evidence proves that lockdowns caused more deaths and illnesses than COVID without question. - No mandatory mask wearing: COVID is at a nano level and is scientifically proven and common sense that masks cannot protect against COVID. The old mask boxes stated on the package that mask wearing does not protect or prevent COVID transmission. It is scientifically proven that long term use of masks results in irreversible damage to the endocrine system which causes COVID like illness and permanent physical and psychological damage to developing children. - Our doctors need legal access to medications that have been thoroughly tested and proven to be safe and effective before being used on the people. -Freedom of choice to take care of our own health and our own bodies as we choose. I contracted COVID from someone close to me after they received their second dose of moderna. I treated it with supplements, sunlight, fresh air ,water, exercise and prayer and my dad who has advat pulmonary fibrosis the same. I now have natural antibodies to fight all variants which is also scientifically proven to be correct. Herd immunity can be achieved without mask wearing, lockdowns and dangerous experimental drugs from which I know two young ,healthy people had massive strokes causing death in one of them and disability in the other. My dad was doing well after treating COVID holistically but was refused treatment for fibrosis of lungs if not vaccinated so he got vaxxed and now he's sick all the time and wishes he hadn't have taken them.
Community	No lockdowns= fresh air, sunlight, regular exercise, healthy food, fresh clean water, adequate sleep, attend social gatherings for worship (develops so called herd immunity).No forced mask wearing of which there is no scientific evidence to prove that wearing masks prevents transmission of COVID 19. The old mask packages clearly stated that masks do not prevent transmission of COVID 19! Scientific research proves that constant mask wearing causes irreversible damage to our endocrine systems causing COVID like illnesses as do lockdowns which are immoral and severely damaging to our health and well being. I know this first hand as I'm a caregiver for a lady who's health both mental and physical has been destroyed because of illegal lockdowns ect...she doesn't even close to resemble the woman she was just two years ago to say the least, especially after the so called vaccines. I know of two strokes in healthy people close to me after vaccines, one resulted in death. We need our doctors to have legal access to treatments that are100% tested and proven safe and not experimental drugs and especially nothing that involves dangerous and abominable gene editing.
Peak Golf	No longer using a 'one size fits all' approach would be a good start. A more focused effort on health compromised and elderly much akin to the Great Barrington Declaration. All people and most importantly healthy adults and children should be left to make their own risk assessment and make an informed decision on what treatment if any is desired. Lastly, we need to take profits out of the equation, if this is about the 'health' of the population, then let's look at all possible treatments, inexpensive or otherwise. Transparency is critical and pharmaceutical companies MUST publish trial data from unbiased sources immediately unlike the route Pfizer was attempting to take. Lessons must be learned from how poorly Covid-19 has been managed to avoid lack of trust in drugs and vaccines.
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	No mandatary vaccines. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
None	No mandated vaccines or passports Respect individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy NO Gene therapy
None	No mandates ever. No forced vaccines. No masks. No unnecessary rules. No media control and manipulation. No fear. No mass hypnosis on society. No more lies. No exaggerating stats and stifling opinions, other than the narrative. No government or worldwide controls. Medical freedom for all. Injections that have risk are personal decisions. Mandates should NEVER be required for a job or to go to school or for being in society. Especially when ANY risk is involved. The WHO AND the GREAT RESET ARE extremely DANGEROUS. Let us live our own lives without any bogus controls rules vaccines. It's all BS! Hopefully good and light and common sense will prevail🙏
IBEW Local 18 (member)	No mandates to enter or exit any country. No vaccines or testing mandate. Quarantining of persons may only happen if the person exhibits signs of sickness. Countries should communicate as to what's their respective situations are and how they are handling dealing with it. No country should be pressured or forced to purchase or accept any product they do not want. No sanctions allowed to any country for any reason. Every person right to informed consent must be respected. No person shall be intimidated or coerced into my action, treatment, drug, medical treatment, experiment, trial or investigation. Facial covers shall be voluntary without intimidation or coercion.
Freedom plus	No mandates,no shut downs,lock downs or any other freedom killing craziness thanks
Sugardreams Foundation	No mandating for every country to be the same. Individual countries to have the power to decide for them selves in regards to measurements to be taken in case of a pandemic regardless of what pandemic.
ACU	No mandatory or forced vaccination upon anyone. Vaccination should be strongly recommended or encouraged only. WHO needs a holistic approach to global healthcare which means including human rights and people's autonomy over self. Pandemic response needs to focus on cultural influences. This must be included. Kind regards Van
Private person	No mandatory vaccination ! No discrimination of unvaccinated people ! Doctors and scientists should be free to say their opinion without having to fear of suffer bad consequences!
	No mandatory vaccines !
Individual	No mandatory vaccines in a pandemic.
Austrian green party	No mandatory vaccines No discriminatory measures No mass testing I oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty
Independent Journal	No Mandatory Vaccines Strict trials Adverse Events must be taken seriously Children must not be forced to vaccinate Put Natural Immunity as First Line of defense Encourage government to provide clean water without additives
Alexander F. Wintschnig	No Mandatory vaccines! Nürnberg codex!!! No discrimination of smaller groups - democracy instead of oligarchy!!! Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states! No private funding of WHO is who??? Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing.
None	No masks or lockdowns again, no mandates for vaccines , any future vaccines the companies must be able to be sued
Pfizer	No mass vaccinations , everyone has their own right to their own body, so no obligations but recommendations
Private citizen	No matter how the new instrument is thought and implemented, it should take into account the human rights and human dignity. It should never be an instrument that allows governments to intrude into people's private life. So, care should be taken to ensure that health data about single citizens are kept safe from outside intrusion.
Private	No matter what the WHO wants to establish with this "instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". The SOVEREIGNTY of ALL NATIONS and MEMBER STATES and EVERY SINGLE HUMAN BEING, the RESPECT OF ALL HUMAN RIGHTS MUST ALWAYS BE GUARANTEED. Mandatory vaccination certificates are an violation of the bodily integrity! The announcement of the Corona pandemic was a false alarm, which caused millions of vaccine side effects and thousands of deaths due to the vaccines that were subsequently developed far too quickly. The financial damage to the economies of individual countries is almost impossible to quantify on a global scale. We do not need an "instrument on pandemic preparedness and response"! Save the money for drafting this "instrument" and better invest it in emergency aid for vaccination victims.
Civilian	No matter what you decide eventually we will come and remove your sick totalitarian control from this planet
Dgs	No measures whatsoever without a simultanious Innovation of Mechanismens of thorough Investigation on how and if these measures work. Mandantory transparency on all Data and reporting to the public.
Me	No member on decision board should be part of any company profiting from Decision. No fundraiser or donor to WHO should be on decision making body. Each country should keep independant decision making.
Self employed	No more damaging lockdowns and school closures. Here in the Uk we led the world back to normality whilst much of the globe is stuck in an overreaction that was not needed and has wrecked economies, led to a multitude of other problems. We do not need a treaty we had a perfectly good pandemic plan before that was changed with no explanation. No to your treaty and no to going above democratically elected governments
Association Entrelacs	No more fear enhancement but building trust in a strong immune system. That's the only way to face future health hazards in a responsible way. Fear is weakening the immune system and increasing susceptibility for futur illnesses.
Self sufficient	No more government overreach. People can make their own decisions. My body My choice. No mandates No forced or coerced vaccinations
polp	no more lock downs or vaccines or masks
Myself	No more lockdowns No more vax passports No more vax mandates We are sovereign
Human race	No more lockdowns Whatever gave some people the audacity to think they can dictate how others live ?..i.e locked up in their own homes ,dying alone ,without a hand to hold ,while they themselves ignored all the so called regulations because they knew it was al total b.s. No more lockdowns Mandatory meaningless anything Including masking Dont get me started on the psychological harm that's been caused to a generation of children It's over guys Freedom of choice in how I live and how others live as long as I cause no harm First principle DO NO HARM Peace out !
Human	No more lockdowns. No vaccine MANDATES. No more improperly tested drugs being forced on human beings. Each countries government should be in charge of pandemic response. Each government should have a proper panel of doctors and scientists as per the 4 pillar response as testified by Dr Peter McCullough. Early treatments should be the first thing looked at, repurposing existing drugs to see what helps and what doesn't. No more singularly focused on a miracle vaccine. Early treatment options should not be dismissed or official trials of said drugs deliberately tampered with to give a negative outcome. Freedom of speech is important. Social media and legacy media outlets SHOULD NOT be censoring peoples views if outwith the government narrative on pandemic response. As has been shown the co ordinated government response world wide has not been a success, the vaccines do not work in the way the public were led to believe. Had other experts in the health area been allowed to freely discuss their findings then there wouldn't be thousands of reports in the multiple systems around the world showing adverse reactions, injuries and deaths from the covid vaccine. Pharmaceutical companies must never again be allowed to produce a vaccine free from any liability.
not affiliated	No more restrictions, no digital ID or green certificate, no vaccine mandates or anything like it. Invest in healthy lifestyle guidelines and it all will go away.
Small Business	No nation should be subject to a one source problem solver. It needs to be solved at a smaller level where it can be handled without violating rights of the healthy.
United States Citizen	No nation should willingly give up its sovereign right to self determination to an unelected body with a bad history of transparency and a known record of colluding with nations and entities that have a self interest in shaping WHO policies and recommendations that work against the greater good.
private	No need for a world wide instrument. Local governments have to be able to react and decide independently in concern to health issues. People worldwide wouldn't accept to be ruled by global WHO restrictions. This endangers world peace and allows even more fraud and corruption in terms of pharmacy dependency. Best regards Siegfried Milleni
Citizen	No need for new drastic measures. I'm against a new global response. Policies are already in place and each country should continue with that.
Bulgarian Red Cross	No need of such instrument at all!
Unaffiliated	No new elements are needed in pandemic preparedness and response. Health emergencies are declared too often and unnecessarily. I respectfully ask that the upcoming decisions be based on WHO's Constitution: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Cooperation is of utmost important - and cooperation means just that - not central command. In this spirit, I oppose any new legal power given to an international body like WHO. Health decisions are personal and should be in the hands of individuals and families, and local communities, not an international or national body. Past examples of WHO mishandling epidemics and related vaccination campaigns abound. Nations, including the US must maintain their sovereignty in all health decisions. Respectfully - Zoltan Toth
USA	No new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be approved by any nation that is forbidden to give up its sovereignty by its constitution.
None	No new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be written. The world's sovereign nations and peoples have the right to make their own health decisions, including their responses to pandemics. In addition, the "guidance" given by the WHO during the Covid pandemic did more harm than good. Finally, currently provisions not related to pandemics are intended to be included in a new pandemic response instrument. This is dishonest and very harmful to the world's people.
Private	no new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response! No or no more WHO influence in the Netherlands!
private	No new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response!! Here in Germany there are hundreds of thousands of people protesting against it. We do not want such an instrument. Each country is to keep it's souvereignty and independence in any pandemic questions!
My organisation	No new international instrument on pandemic preparedness. It is immoral and illegal. You will be fought and brought down to your knees if you pursue.
	NO new international instrument on Pandemics is required at all, especially through the WHO, which is an non-elected body that does not represent Me or any other citizen. If any minister of Health signs up for this, without the express consent and approval of their citizens, We the citizens will never accept not recognize such action, and resultant "treaty" of the WHO. The WHO has NO authority over us whatsoever, nor will we accept any.
Self	No new international instruments are necessary. The global approach was a complete failure. Sovereign countries must have their own right to decide how to respond to any type of crisis including and especially a health crisis. It is only at the individual and local level that health risks can be managed from the bottom up. A global approach relies on draconian measures pushed across the world from the top down. A global approach will continue to ensure that the global elite gain more money, power and control. The daily concerns and needs of the majority of people cannot be effectively managed by the WHO. The role of the WHO should only be to share and inform countries of the state of health affairs throughout the world. No contracts or treaties should be approved for global control of health concerns.
None	No new terms should be included on any new instrument on pandemic prepardness because the sole definition of pandemic was changed um 2019 giving way to whole new possibility of social alarm and undue restrictions of human.rights, with no matching death Numbers as seen um 2020. The WHO has no legal power, according to international law to interfere with the countries sovereignty, so STOP preparing that'international instrument".
none	No new terms. should not included on any new international instrument on pandemic prepardness because the sole definition of pandemic was changed, giving way to a whole new possibility of social alarm, with no real pandemic on the way, as seen during 2020, matching the heath numbers. the WHO has no legal power, according to international law to interfere with the countries sovereignty so STOP preparing that 'international instrument"
None	No no and no
None	NO NO NO
Dr. Richard Allen	NO NO NO 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat	No No No and again No. There must be no obligation to vaccinate. This alleged vaccine is not even authorised.
None	NO NO NO I OPPOSE ADAMANTLY! Medical freedom NOT medical tyranny! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	No official body should have authorization to introduce draconian measures which affect people's mental health, businesses, and well being, without referendum. And then, only after a broad base of good scientific information has been shared publically. In respect of Covid, there was fear mongering and little reference to proper scientific research. To have an organization with the power to mandate the injection of chemicals into the body, where trials have not been complete, is horrifying. Especially where so much good treatment was suppressed which would have made any sort of mrna intervention unnecessary. No organization should be given such destructive power.
Individual	No on Pandemic International treaty. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
N/A	No one elected WHO and no one wants your horrible "leadership" nor to see their own Constitutions trampled over. This is wrong and you all know it. Stop this authoritarian treaty now.
AE4HF	No one entity, country, or organization should be granted totalitarian power to control people of free will. This would be 100 % communism if passed. My children don't deserve this. We will ALWAYS stand firm against totalitarian entities.
Public	No one has the right to dictate to any other person what they should or should not put into their bodies. This proposal amounts to a silent insidious dictatorship. And violates the very cite of human rights. The people will nit accept this violation.
Private	No one is in charge of my body but me!
Privat	No one Need the vaccination, it kosts life and is not safe. Stop it, before regreting.
N/a	No one organisation should ever have this much control. There should be no treaty. If one exists it should only cover the fair distribution of anything required by all nations. Each nation should be able to plan their own response to any future pandemic. Some countries have shown a terrifying dictatorial degree of control over their citizens (Canada and Australia for example). This treaty is totally unnecessary. Lock downs do not work and should never be implemented anywhere, they cause far more damage than good.
Freedom for the People pty ltd	No one organisation should have control over the Worlds' breathy health decisions. Therefore I believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	No one organisation should have the power to inflict or enforce it's will onto the individuals of any nation. No countries health minister should have the authority to sign away the rights of the individual and their God given right to bodily autonomy. This proposal is a power grab designed to control the individual and is evil in its intent. This must not be permitted.
Self	NO one organization should ever get to decide what is best medical procedure for every person on earth and every country. We are all different and one size medicine will never work for everyone. You have NO right to force your perceived health procedures and mandates on anyone at all. Period!
Cosmopolitan Integrative Medicine Center	No one organization should have precedence over any geographical, political, medical, or family area of decision making for any reason. An international organization should be capable of transparent sharing of vital evidence, information, and evidence based findings. Because the WHO is wholly incapable of all three transparent pandemic preparedness and response solutions listed prior, NO action by the WHO should take place to dominate sovereign nations, peoples, families, or individuals for ANY reason, including and never limited to a declared pandemic or international public health declaration or response therein.

Organization	Written submission
Self	No one response will be suitable for everyone. I am OPPOSED to any ability of WHO to direct the actions of ALL countries simultaneously. If anything the last 2 years have shown us is that mistakes were made using untried pandemic restrictions which did NOT achieve their desired goals. I do NOT agree to give authority to WHO to promote ideas and restrictions which have been proven NOT to work. WHO should provide information and statistics and that's all. Health varies in regions and each region should be able to assess and move to accurately reflect its citizens' needs. Canada's needs and abilities will differ from Ghana's! Leave WHO out of politics !
Citizen	No one should be able to force free people to stay home starve and be terrified to live a normal life! It's unconstitutional and unjust. People shouldn't be so scared of going to the hospital over a virus that has a 99% survival rate that is they end up not going and dying from a simple treatable disease. The way you handled Covid was completely wrong and more people died because of it not because they got Covid but because they had cancer and were to scared to get checked out so it spread until it killed them when it could have been caught early and they would have survived. You shouldn't be given any powers to dictate our lives.
None	No one should be forced into a one size fits all state of affairs. What is deemed to be good for one, will not necessarily be good for another. Therefore freedom of choice should remain a God-given right when it comes to treatment and ways of achieving this. If there's genuine evidence that a particular form of medical intervention works, then any such persons or people should have the right to implement such with no fear of any reprisals but allow the evidence speak for themselves. Doctors should be allowed to be doctors and listen to their patients and do what is best for them to preserve life based on their experience and freedom of choice. Early treatment and prevention should be encouraged instead of threats, fear and removal of human rights. Patients should not be afraid to trust the profession and the doctors should not be afraid to practice under the godly oath they took at their inception. Thank you for taking the time to consider my humble submission.
Sovereign individual	No one should be or will ever be granted powers over another human being. We have the right, yes right to exist and control our own lives as we see fit. You cannot take it upon yourselves to micro manage every single human being in this world and subject them to your ego. No, you do not have consent.
Private citizen	No one should be pressured nor forced in any way into receiving any medication, whether for prevention (eg. vaccine) or for treatment.
General public	No one should have the right to tell people what to do with their own personal health .Let alone force them to infect their body with unlicensed medicine .each and every person has their own mind to choose what they want . We are supposed to be living in a democracy which means choice .
Freedom	No one should have the right under any democratic and free society to be able to impose mandated vaccines or take anyones right to freedom away in the name of a pandemic
Individual	No one voted for the WHO, no one voted for thes gates no one voted for the WEF we have a government we vote for who we employ to govern, I personally believe the WHO IS BOUGHT AND PAID FOR BY THE ELITE , how can you have a world response to anything, it's a huge NO FROM ME!
Citizen of the USA	No one, including the World Health Organization, has a right to force people to get dangerous injections in order to keep their jobs or live their lives as as free humans. The WHO also doesn't have the right to put small businesses out of business due to lockdowns.
Grüne gegen Impfpflicht	No one, no person, no governement has the right to dispose of my health and my body. It is against human rights, to give vaccines without good explanations and without having a real pandemic situation. Even doctors, that give people the vaccine, do not know anything about the disease. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Me	No organisation has any right to take away all our freedoms as human beings. Especially not an organisation which is heavily funded to promote health advice, on behalf on individuals and organisations with no interest in freedom of individuals.
rzvs	No organisation in the whole world, including states, should have the permission to take human rights! Espacially not during a crisis. If there is a real danger, people will be alert enough to make the right choises when in trouble. What happened in this fake pandemic just prooved how political interests were involved, to serve politicians themselves, while abusing the public with no mercy. The WHO organization has no right to play the goverenment role in a real crisis situation, nor in a fake one, nor can it decide on the citizens of the world. I object to this ammanity ,its very dangerous to our freedom rights.
Privat	No organisation in the whole world, including states, should have the permission to take human rights! Espacially not during a crisis. If there is a real danger, people will be alert enough to make the right choises when in trouble. What happened in this fake pandemic just prooved how political interests were involved, to serve politicians themselves, while abusing the public with no mercy. The WHO organization has no right to play the goverenment role in a real crisis situation, nor in a fake one, nor can it decide on the citizens of the world. I object to this ammanity ,its very dangerous to our freedom rights.
Self employed	No organisation or body should have the right to dictate to any country what it must or must not do in relation to pandemics, war or travel. The democracy of each country and each citizen of that country must be maintained at all cost. Our nationality and status should supersede that of EU citizenship. Any law that is brought in to any country via the EU or EU body or EU organisation or World organisation like the WHO or WHA should be only allowed to be brought in via a referendum of each country and that should be under any pandemic war or otherwise circumstance, because we are supposed to be in a democratic society and that means voting via a referendum and not have an organisation or a body dictate every aspect of your life to us without any regard our consultation with the public.
None	No organisation or government will force me to take medication. Mistakes will always be made with medication that takes years to come to light, I must decide by myself if that's a risk worth taking. Informed consent will not be overruled. Your orgainisation needs to learn from recent history before you end up in the courts. It is concerning that one of your main sources of funding appears to be from a private foundation, that surely must raise concerns. If you are not concerned, then your motives are questionable.
Individual	No organisation should allow undemocratic rights over sovereign people. We the people, as sovereign beings do not recognise your authority and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation whereby the WHO lacks independence to protect and serve the people and can therefore have no authority over us. Due to the WHO's handling of the alledged pandemic of Covid19, many people around the world have lost trust and confidence in WHO to protect and serve the people as this has not happened resulting in many unnecessary deaths and adverse harm to people around the world. Negligence, complicity, fraud and crimes against humanity come to mind. So does the nurnberg code. People do not and will not forget what has happened with Covid-19 and will want justice to prevail. No more this has to stop, our sovereignty is our right and is divinely given. This is a substanstantive element that should be considered?
Private	No organisation should be given to much power no matter how well intentioned they are, because other unscrupulous people who want power will soon infiltrate the organisation and use the power for their own ends, history has shown us this time and time again. Please be careful, the WHO should not be given more power.
None	No organisation should have the power to overturn any country's sovereign rights or choices not the who or anyone. Stop now
None	No organisation should suppress the hegemonic rights of any nation, regardless the reasons behind the proposals. If you wish to impose something like that, request country by country that they do a referendum and inform the population about what this really means for their country's legislation - and that they will have their own laws suppressed by those WHO and the institutions that it represents wish to impose. A friendly reminder is that we (still) are living in a society.
Member of the Public	No organisation, whether that be the WHO or or any other body, should be considering and/or implementing any new guidelines against any country in the world. As a citizen of the UK, I consider the WHO an unelected organisation and as such they do not represent my country in any way. The UK is a democratically elected country and as such we are free to choose how we live our life and who we elect to represent us in government. For the WHO to even consider such 'instruments' regarding pandemic preparedness and response is totally wrong and violates the human rights which everyone in this world is entitled to. As a result I demand that the WHO abandon this whole project immediately as they do not represent anyone in such matters.
Human Being	No organization (including the WHO), company, corporation, "health authority", unelected official, corrupted elected official, emergency power, dictator, or corrupt government should EVER claim to have ANY authority over free and sovereign human beings in ANY country or location. The only just and honest way to prepare for any health emergency is to completely divorce science and healthcare from profit motive. At the very least, the following principles should be strongly adhered to: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to have a LEGITIMATE vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers.
Home	No organization can interfere with the internal regulations of the state. Firmly not for such actions!
My Home	No organization has the right to decide anything that effects the entire world without our permission. In my opinion WHO is a dangerous organization on a good day.
None	No organization or body that is not an integral part of the UK Government must ever be allowed to dictate rules/law/policy to that Government. TheUK is a completely independent democracy and must remain so The WHO brought themselves into disrepute during the last so called"Pandemic". Their false statements and scare tactics must never be allowed to influence our decision making
no	No organization representing the interests of pharmaceutical companies may make supranational decisions about the existence of a pandemic. Include the entire scientific spectrum without exclusion. First and foremost, people should be free to decide how to use vaccines. National law must not be disregarded. Pharmaceutical products must and may only be tested by several independent institutions. Viruses, bacteria and all other things that endanger health must be checked to see if they originated naturally or were manufactured. Those who develop, manufacture, finance and commission the manufacture of substances hazardous to humans and animals must be severely punished.
Individual	No organization should be granted authority to remove power and decision making from the family or from the American people. Even when someone or some group determines that an "emergency" exists in their minds, the decisions on proper response must remain with the American people. This is what our country is based on -- freedom. WHO and no other group should be granted power over health decisions affecting our families and our country. Thank you.
Freedom	No organization should have this much power nor think it's in anyones best interest to take over and dismantle the Americans constitutional rights under any circumstances. Our founding fathers wrote these laws to protect the people. It's meant meant or intended for any group that's power hungry to override and dismiss our constitutional rights. This overreach in power has gone on long enough and it needs to stop now!
Concerned Citizen	No organization, which receives private funding from groups and organizations with a clear profit motive should have any say in Health measures at any level. WHO, as many others, is such an organization. As a concerned citizen I oppose any dictate, measure or "constitution" in which individuals do not retain full autonomy over ones health decisions. My body, my choice. Get it through your thick heads. Your diatribe is getting very old.
n/a	No pandemic should be handled through oppression, discrimination and dehumanization, all based on coercing people into taking a risky, rushed and unproven (= unsafe & ineffective, not to say outright dangerous) medicinal product, in a lot of cases several times over the span of less than a year. An institution headed by a person with huge conflicts of interest, who happens to also be convicted of heinous crimes in certain areas of the world, has absolutely ZERO place dictating all the countries of the world what to do in case of an emergency which is coincidentally defined AND very likely caused by this same institution and/or adjacent institutions. If your pandemic treaty is based on a (recently changed for more effective oppression) arbitrary definition of a "pandemic" AND your institution is itself compromised by forces that don't have the best interests of the people anywhere in mind, you must immediately cease your interference in all global affairs, including any and all malevolent meddling in all pandemic-related issues. The people do not want your governance. Stop with your coercion. End the oppression. Persisting in your criminal activity is simply inexcusable.
Joe Mcgrath	No pandemic treaty
Private	No pandemic treaty
Not applicable	NO PANDEMIC TREATY!! This must not become law. It surrenders all Nations' sovereignty and eliminates body autonomy in lieu of profit for large corporations. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/a	NO Pandemic Treaty. Let's go back to the 1900's when NATURAL IMMUNITY existed and governments wouldn't dare mandate experimental injections without any long term data. All of these international corporations (with an obvious lack of basic medical understanding) deluding themselves into believing we will cure all disease/illness, masking and locking ourselves down to the grave do NOTHING. I can't wait for Nuremberg 2.0 We the People will remember who took our lives and our freedoms away. "With great power comes great responsibility".
None	No Pandemic whatsoever should give the WHO, an organisation no individual ever elected, the power to overthrow governmental decisions of the states. I stand firmly against the WHO's plan to introduce such measures as they are not the selected and elected representatives of the living and breathing people of a country.
ttt	no pandenic, no new who traktakts, free Poland
Human race	No person or state should be required to follow the WHO protocols for pandemics. Each person or state should choose to follow any guidance from the WHO. The role of the WHO should be one of guidance and observation. Nothing more. This is not a democratically elected agency with no accountability to the citizens it harms by its policies.
None	No person shall be required to receive any vaccine or intervention as they deem necessary. Making a personal choice to deny medication of any sort shall not take away any of said individual's rights or freedoms.
N/A	No person, government or organization has the authority over an individual's body. I shall vigorously oppose any attempt by W.H.O. to 'oversee and regulate' my personal decisions for health care.
Citizen of the United States of America	No person, group of persons or organization has, or should have, the power to over-rule the Constitution, laws or regulations of the sovereign nation of the United States of America, or to dictate in any way how the people of the United States must comply with any rules or regulations regarding their health and welfare.
Self	No person, organization, or company should make billions of dollars. No treaty should supersede any country's constitution.
Private citizen	No pharmaceutical drugs or jabs (so-called vaccines) may be released upon human populations before undergoing rigorous human trials for safety, as acting otherwise is against the Nuremberg Code. Lockdowns in a 'pandemic' have been proven NOT to work - these only destroy people's businesses and livelihoods, and the economy in general. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights due to emergencies. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Bureaucrats or government servants do not have the right to deny life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 'Vaccine' status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Transparency: All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. No hiding of results! Everyone has the right to air their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public and gather to discuss matters that affect their lives. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of healthcare products or procedures must be held liable in all countries for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
ITI	No Planedemic anymore. Please let us live with our kids happily....👍 We are with Humanity
Poland Freedom Fundation	No possumus. No human control. No modern slavery
Individual	No power to be given to WHO that goes above state laws. Only advisable powers given. * no mask (bad for health) * no lockdowns (bad for economy) * no vaccine mandate or QR code (discrimination) * better education on food and supplements to improve health * increase in hospital beds and staff Femke Waltman
Citizen	No provisions that prohibit individual, state, and country soverenty to act independently should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
privat	No QR Code No Digital Money No WHO Order For National.
None	No reason for the world health or ganization to try this at this time
It's spelt organisation!	No reason for this, you made a total Horlicks of the pandemic
None	No relevant elements or tools, as one should rely on the natural spirit and common sense in dealing with health conditions. The sense of responsibility of many people is well developed and should be expanded more instead of being reduced by paternalism. Education should contribute to this and not re-education to dependent clueless children.
Private	No response should ever be disproportionate to the risk. No big pharmaceutical company should ever discard peoples lives without accountability. The risk of covid 19 was greatly exaggerated by the media and any views not inline with the agenda silenced. Free speech has been abolished. The risk of vaccine injuries hidden and disproportionately high compared to the risk of illness from covid 19 for the majority of the population. No more so than children, teenagers and young adults. Children should never be experimented on with no information on long term or short term damage.- drug that remains in trials for children until 2025. There should have been no lockdowns and. I vaccine mandates, children should never have been included in any vaccine program. We need to learn from these mistakes made. The intention should be to protect and not the lining of big pharmaceutical companies pockets and ultimately digital ID
general public	No responsibility should be given to the WHO. In recent times the WHO took such a lot of wrong and catastrophically decisions that no country should rely on them.
Pagasts darbinieks	No restrictive measures on human rights are needed. A sick person must be treated, daily life must continue healthy. Do not artificially intimidate, restrict, prevent work, hate, trap at home, etc. Rather, people need more joy, love. Stop torturing people!
Team Koala	No rushed or forced vaccine or treatments in a pandemic STOP LOCKING HEALTHY PEOPLE UP RIDICULOUS
Sovereign Individual	No rushed or mandated vaccinations. No mandatory anything without tested, truthful, non corrupt prior and proper research and outcome compatibility, possibly crowd sourced. Proportionate limitations on mainstream news media coverage, eg. an allotted time slot for all pandemic news. No fact check unless unbiased and without ties to companies with an interest in the outcome. NO CENSORSHIP. Period. No threats to any medical professionals to push a certain agenda.
JMD Health	No rushed vaccines during a pandemic. No broad vaccine mandates. No restrictions on free and fair mobility of citizens of any country either across state or international borders. WHERE THERE IS RISK THERE SHOULD BE CHOICE.
Physician Self Employed	No self-appointed International Organization should dictate Health Policy. The History of the FDA, CDC and WHO for COVID demonstrates the folly of such control, with mistake after mistake in its analysis and recommendation. At its heart, the approach is dependent on Data Mining and Modeling which is a tool, replacing fact with virtual imaginings, and certainly not Science. Big Data Bayesian prediction fails even when describing the past, much less predicting the future. Vaccines for COV-2 maximum initiate profit, not Health. 1. National and local leadership must retain autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people 2. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures. Nations are not keeping their commitments and individuals are not keeping their word. 3. Transforming into another Political Organization with veto power over individual and agreed to International Sovereign Rights is an end-run around deliberative process and is a mistake. Corporate top-down control to provide uniformity using bad ideas fails. 4. Private for-profit pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are a threat to World Health, and their funding is coercive. That one man Bill Gates has funded the WHO, promoting with-us-or-against-us every-one approach and dictated Vaccine Policy is predictably corruptive. It must stop.
None	No single entity in the world should have permission to override the constitution of a country. That is unlawful, dangerous, and communist. Repeal the act now.
Self	No single organization should be the determining factor in how the entire world reacts to a pandemic. This would be allowing unfettered control which which is already ripe with corruption. This should never even be considered.
self	No single organization should control global response to any health, political event(s). Decentralized response is always more effective given the obvious geographic and population difference from place to place. How can a one size fits all solution to any issue be effective in desparate locations like Finland, the Philippines, Pakistan or Texas. The US should, as a general rule, never cede it's responsivity to its citizens to any global organization.
The Human Race	No Sovereign Country should Ever be made to comply with an International Instrument Policy to determine ANY course of action pertaining to that sovereign county. It is NEVER a One Size Fits All Approach when it comes to the uniqueness of any country's, health, demographics, or of specific needs. WE ALL understand that this is part of a PLAN. You have been discovered by the masses. It is comical to see you naked!
United States citizen	No sovereign nation should relinquish control of anything regarding matters of health, personal or corporate. Any nation should have the absolute autonomy and right to decide and govern in a manner that they deem best for their own citizens. No nation, state, municipality, person should be beholden to an international body of decision makers and they must always have the right to opt out and follow their own guidelines. No corporate entity be from any industry, including health care and pharmaceutical should have undue and secretive influence in any process of this type. Any pandemic preparedness policy that attempts to dictate what all individuals and nations should do must be completely open, easily accessible and transparent.
Humanity	No sovereign nation state should be obligated to any international organization and only to the well-being of the citizenry of said state. The WHO can make recommendations but should not usurp state sovereignty internal decisions through mandates or coercion.
private	No substantive elements are required on an international basis. Each country has to right to make their own health and pandemic rules. It is not an international requirement
	No substantive elements should at all be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The whole proposal should be rejected in its entirety. As a Swedish citizen and EU citizen, I strongly dissociate myself from such a new trety. During the pandemic, individual countries within and beyond the EU have made severe restrictions and limitations in relation to the central political ideals founded on human rights. Discrimination, coercion and censorship have been applied and encouraged by, among others, the WHO, the news media and the political institutions. Based on that knowledge and experience, I say no to WHO's proposed pandemic treaty.
Voices For Freedom	No substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response because it is not possible for the WHO or any other body to understand the intricacies and requirements of individual countries. Every country has the right to govern itself autonomously and should make it's own decisions how best to prepare for and deal with a pandemic. Each country should have the right to choose or decline any proposed elements from the WHO according to if or how they would suit their own unique circumstances and situations.
Voices for Freedom NZ	No substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response 1/ Because Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Individuals need to be in control of their own health. 2/ Every countries leadership must retain full self-government, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, in every situation. 3/ Every nation must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without concern of negative consequences. 4/ The whole world must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all peoples to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5/ We must not agree to measures that allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Voices For Freedom	No substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, because it is not possible for the WHO or any other body to understand the intricacies or requirements of individual countries. Every country has the right to govern itself autonomously and should make its own decisions how best to prepare for and deal with a pandemic. Each country should have the right to choose or decline any proposed elements from the WHO or other body, according to the if or how it would suit their own circumstances and situations.
Self	No substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Every nation needs to determine what is best for their people. What works on a federal level may not be suitable for every state or every county. Its critical that pandemic preparedness is left to the individual governing bodies of every state, whilst also recognizing bodily autonomy; having the right to make decisions for yourself and your family.
None	NO substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Such an international instrument must not exist. It undermines the sovereignty of the United States and denies families the ability to make their own health decisions.
None	No substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. There is no need for any international instrument to prepare or respond to a pandemic. Each individual should care for their own bodies and health. Every person should have the freedom to choose whatever route they decide to keep themselves from getting sick.
Xyz	No substantive elements should be included in a new/old international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Stop It!!
Civic Society	No substantive elements should be included in a potential new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, for the following reasons: 1. such a pandemic has proven to be based on false data-driven decision-making, thus making this treaty incapacitated to address any similar issue. 2.
American citizen / general public	No substantive elements should be included in a WHO international pandemic response treaty. There should be NO treaty. Having witnessed the disastrous effects of how the "covid" crisis played out opened my eyes to how much fear-based manipulation was the major response from goverments, and those in power. More people under the age of 45 died of alcohol than from covid in 2020. But you would never have known that. The WHO is not an elected body and has no authority to decide issues of health and safety over populations. There should never be a WHO-controlled internationally coordinated "response" to "pandemics". This is a power grab move and a huge conflict of interest among the WHO. Let me be clear, No person, organization nor governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Source: White AM, Castle IP, Powell PA, Hingson RW, Koob GF. Alcohol-Related Deaths During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA. Published online March 18, 2022. doi:10.1001/jama.2022.4308
General Public	No substantive elements should be included in an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. None. The opportunity for abuse of this power is too great to allow for it. All such decisions on preparedness and response belong with the individual...they can decide how scared they are and comply with suggestions. Or perhaps a small group of people might each voluntarily agree to some mutual preparedness and response. Anything further than this is an infringement on basic human rights.
Private citizen	No substantive elements should be included in the new international instrument on pandemic awareness and response. There has been a massive breach of human rights and freedoms! No to all mandates, forced vaccinations, digital ID, lock downs, silencing of medical professionals and surveillance of private citizens. The only elements should be a return to natural law and basic human rights, freedom to move, assemble and religious freedom.
General Public	No substantive elements should be included in this 'instrument'. The attention of the WHO would be better spent reviewing and addressing their failures of the past few years, rather than inflicting an increase of such poor administration on your fellow human beings. The actions of the WHO over the past two years has reduced trust in public health authorities, and the advice given has not been reliable or safe. To give such a body direction over the actions of countries is not acceptable. You are in the process of creating a health dictatorship, giving little benefit to the individual but protects the interests of the rich and powerful. If the WHO implements a treaty which affects the autonomy of individual democratic countries to make decisions on public health for their own citizens, I shall work hard to ensure my country ceases to be a member of the WHO.
Na	No substantive elements should be included. WHO should stick to being advisory. Nothing else.
Coalition for Individual Freedom	No substantive elements that are new should be included in the new international instrument on pandemic response. In fact there should be NO new international instrument on pandemic response because the current one goes too far as it is and should be scrapped and no new ones proposed or instituted, ever. Preparedness and response to potential pandemics is up to local and country governments in consultation with the people and in full adherence to individual innate human rights and not in violation of them. A position where an unelected and detached from consequences supra-governmental body dictates and violates human rights on a whim, globally is untenable and an intolerable violation of the most basic human rights. Humanity will not be slaves to globalist power interests.

Organization	Written submission
Small Business Owner, Pacific Edge	No substantive elements that infringe on human liberties, in any way, shall be included. The Authority of all Individual Humans to rule over their own destiny, what foods or medications go into their bodies, where and when they choose to travel, worship, congregate, or do business for the purpose of earning a living and feeding their families is sacrosanct. These are innate human rights and cannot be affected by laws, governments or organizations. You lack the authority to rule on such sweeping controls of human beings. No person, no organization (the WHO, or any governing body) supersedes these innate human rights. No "emergency" supersedes these rights, and under no circumstance may these rights be infringed upon. The actions of the "authorities" (most governments, and the WHO) during the Covid-19 pandemic all caused far more harm, death, and destruction of human communities than they did to mitigate the "projected harms" predicted to occur from Covid-19. Not one measure, Lockdowns, Vaccines (untested, for profit, no liability), or Masks did more to save lives or communities, than the harms they caused. The lockdowns alone caused far more deaths and collateral damage than Covid-19. You have lost any credibility you may have had. You are corrupt and you shamelessly & unscientifically push the agendas of donors like The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. We the people do not trust or acknowledge the power of the WHO, or Governments to make these catastrophic policies that devastate our lives. We will never comply. We rule our own destiny. Show us that you do more good than harm. Disavow the agendas and money of special interests. Then maybe you can earn the support of the people.
Privat	NO such agreement whatsoever is acceptable
Retired	No such treaty is needed or desired. Each country must have the right to protect its people's health appropriate for that country. Bodily integrity, freedom of choice, liability of companies for harmful effects they cause..these are the pillars of true freedom and concern for humans. Past two years' tyranny and lockdowns only prove the point. Globalist solutions only serve the elite.
I used to work for the Medical School in Portland, Oregon and in the field of M	No supra-national body like WHO should be allowed to "order" health-protection measures worldwide and impose them on countries. Countries and their health institutions should be free to decide as they deem appropriately. WHO's role is to a) assess the sanitary situation and b) react to it by informing the governing institutions incl. health institutions and the public, and c) adhering to their guidelines and suggesting possible ways to react in case of a pandemic. There were specific programs regarding preparedness to pandemics, but they were NOT applied in the case of covid 19. Why? WHO has taken upon itself to change the definition of a pandemic to best suit their purposes, to change the definition of the new mRNA products naming them "vaccines", and to coin a new term for healthy persons: "asymptomatically infected". These changes are wrong and it should be assessed who decided this. In the future, it has to be avoided to suggest lockdowns for healthy persons, which were nefarious to the populations and to the economy. This should only be done with persons who are really infected and ill with symptoms. Doctors and researchers who have a long standing experience in the particular field should be consulted by WHO and their points of view taken into account. In the Covid 19 situation, it was too unilateral. Doctors should be able to prescribe therapies and drugs they think would work and not being told which ones they must use at the exclusion of others. This was a direct hindrance to their capacity to treat patients. Media could play a positive role, provided they receive appropriate information and a real debate can occur which was not the case in the past 2 years.
Member of the electorate	No supranational institution should decide what I or my country should do in an emergency. These matters are for myself and/or my nation to decide and manage. Any centralisation of power, even more so in a climate of fear, lacks checks and balances and bears too great a risk of turning into tyranny. Stop any endeavour to centralise pandemic preparedness and response. Additionally, the WHO is an unelected body and therefore unanswerable to the electorate. It should have no say at all in any nation's decisions. Furthermore, world leaders should not sign up to this as this was in no election manifesto anywhere in the world. No leader has any legal right to sign their country up to this. Indeed, the WHO should be abolished in order to stop the move toward one world government and the power grab by this and other unelected persons and institutions.
United Kingdom Citizen	No system allowing the WHO to gain power over a country and it's citizens during a time of pandemic should be allowed. No mandates regarding vaccinations, mask wearing, freedom of movement, freedom of speech or the freedom to use early interventions such as Ivermectin are acceptable. Democratic rights and freedoms must be protected at all costs and an individuals right to choose regarding all medical interventions is of the uppermost importance.
Self	No thank you is the comment for the USA to join some WHO pandemic response treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Iron brothers	No thank you to your proposed "pandemic response". Leaving these decisions in the hands of an organization like the WHO wouldn't be good for anyone's body autonomy. Let's leave these decisions to the local Government and people from those countries. Thanks Marc
None	No thank you!
Parent and Grand Parent	No Thank you!!! We want to control our own medical system right here! We do not want a Globalist Cabal controlling our Country! 1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mels cleaning	No thank you, we do not want W.H.O.
us voter	No thank you. We want to retain our sovereignty over our health rights. We would like to make those decisions locally and not on a global scale. No to this treaty.
None	No to a global pandemic treaty, it's not for the good of the common people. No One Size Fits All.
None	No to a global pandemic treaty: - loss of (bodily) autonomy - public health is not a one size fits all approach - no mandates for ineffective and dangerous vaccines - no more censorship on critical voices who question the approach or on vaccine injuries - no financial gain for big pharma by decisions contradicting science and medical evidence. - no useless measures (mask, lockdowns, ...) that kill the economy and harm people especially kids.
	NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty.!!!!!!!
man	NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty; it is not a good idea to have a single entity that can be bought and corrupt; everything need to be decentralize to prevent corruption; No one and no entity have the right over man/woman to prevent experimentation on mankind.
Private	NO to a new global preparedness and response treaty and plan! More power to the individual human being and less power to global organisations and structures. We need democracy and not a mock democracy! YES to freedom and personal responsibility!
privat	NO to a new international instrument om pandemic preparedness and response. NO for ever time, no contract over controll to WHO over countries of the world!
Ohr.	NO to a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness!
Ohr.	NO to a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness!!
privat	No to a new international pandemic Instrument.
privat	No to a pandemic treaty. We do not need it. Every state can solve its own problems if it is left alone. Every citizen can protect himself best. And: We don't need a WHO either.
USA	No to a US treaty. Civil rights don't end due to an emergency. Autonomy extends from country to the individual and should not be influenced by global fear.
No	No to all covid vaccinations No to the who trying to be the government we the people are taking back our rights, you are the problem and whilst also trying to be the cure, you have proved with your leaky vaxx that it does not work but we all know it was never meant to be a cure was it its doing exactly what you want it to do which is cuase death and illness. So no thanks to your offer I like the great awaking more
Individual	NO to an "international instrument"!!!! The covid19 "response" by various national governments was inept at best, but more likely criminal. We The People of the United States of America WILL NOT CEDE OUR SOVEREIGNTY!!!!!
THE WORLD Council of Health	NO TO ANY mandatory treaty on any vaccines or any boosters no to any digital currency no to any type of digital chip on humans . No to anymore lies, controlling brainwashing bullying and butting fear into people. Abortions, Assisted suicide vaccines boosters and digital chips are all evil and murder depopulating the whole human race all over the whole wide world. Crimes against humanity, crimes against europeans human rights, crimes against enabling human rights the nuremberg code 1 and nuremberg code 2. .I but my trust in my Lord Jesus Christ Amen🙏 🙏🙏
Private	No to any changes!!! We are living in a Democracy, with EVERYTHING it entails
Private	NO to any international instrument on a pandemic preparedness and response from WHO and big pharma!! Every country should be independent in their choice of actions. And every individual too: my body my choice! NO TO GLOBAL HEALTH CONTROL!!
Gort	No to any mandates
private	No to digital ID and no to the WHO controlling regional health issues. Those are for the respective countries to solve
privat	No to digital ID for ever!
Private	No to forced vaxines No to mandatory vaxines Yes for freedom of choise
None	No to global pandemic treaty which will undermine individual countries sovereignity. Instead, the treaty must read: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Childrens Health Defense	NO to global ruling. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy. No global rulers. YES for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Citizens demand an open and transparent process whereby the common people have input. The people must have a process, a means to reject global agreement. NO participation of pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers.
Te Rangihaeata Oranga - HB Gambling Recovery service	No to global treaty.
Yoga For Peace, Justice, Harmony With the Planet: Amazing Amy - Eccentric	ECONOMIC FORUM, THE WHO AND THE UN TYRANICALLY DICTATING TO GOVERNMENTS, OVERRULING SOVEREIGNTY! THE WEF, THE WHO AND THE UN OUGHT TO BE DISMANTLED AND DEMOCRACY TO RULE IN SOVERIGN NATION STATES. Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
None	No to mandatory vaccination. No to no liability clause for pharmaceutical companies that create vaccines. Individuals should be able to make own decisions when it comes to own health and welfare. WHO has no right to impose rules on what a person can and cannot do to self, no matter what.
privat	NO to mandatory vaccines NO to anti democratic actions like Lockdowns, mandatory mask laws or mandatory tests for healthy people! NO to any laws against the Nürnberger Codex to be forced into medical experimental treatments ! NO to any authoritarian laws of the EU or the WHO!
Private	NO to medical control! Yes to freedom! FREE VACCINATION choice always! Respect for democracy and freedom!
Fundacja Życiu Tak	NO to new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response !
none	No to obligatory/compulsory vaccination. After the multiple confirmed adverse effects and waning protection it doesn't make sense to destroy people basic rights over their own body, also giving authority to unelected organizations and individuals is a threat to democracy. The vaccine should be voluntary
Private	No to pandemic regulations
None	No to the "Pandemic Treaty"!!! No to international digital ID papers. No to making and marketing new "vaccines" in short time periods. No to requiring anyone anywhere to be injected with experimental drugs. Yes to national and individual sovereignty. Yes to human rights. Yes to legal liability for governments and pharmaceutical companies.
None	No to the global pandemic treaty!
American citizen	NO to the globalist WHO pandemic treaty! We must retain sovereignty 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Self	No to the health treaty for a worldwide vaccine passport. There is no reason to track someone's health status at a government or large organizational level. Covid risks are low for most populations and there are enough treatments and preventative measures in place. You don't track peoples HIV status or other communicable diseases.
Privat	No to the international instruments but an demokratic handling in each country. Justice, personal rights, No steeling Peoples freedom and health. No to the world and international diktatur .
Privat	No to the pandemic contract.Each cointry should make its own decisions.
brothers of charity	NO to the Pandemic Preparedness Agreement The mess and lies the Who made of the last response with covid should be enough to stop a power grab in its tracks. I will always have free will and freedom to make my own choices. I have never or will ever be told what medical procedure to have, it will be my choice if I choose. No government or self appointed organization like the WHO will make me or millions of others be cohered into submission and no amount of propaganda, lies by the government, the WHO and mainstream media use.
NONE	NO TO THE PANDEMIC TREATY! 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
USA citizen	No to the treaty 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	NO to the treaty. The WHO and all Governments failed during this pandemic and has caused more poverty in two years for the masses while the stakeholder capitalist made more. Now the globe is facing a famine too. Worthless inhumane people running the globe. You already failed this pandemic. Those truly sociopathic by nature in power. We will ask for UN to be disbanned if this keeps up, just as League of Nations disbanded and no replacement. We do not want this Treaty.
Self	NO to the WHO handling any details of future pandemics. This is up to each local community and we do NOT want the WHO's involvement, in ANY way.
Public	No to the WHO pandemic contract. Each state must be souvereign.
An individual	NO to the WHO treaty!! We want our national and local governments to retain FULL AUTONOMY in deciding what is in the best interest of their own citizens and states and to remain sovereign in regards to medical decisions. We want this process not to be influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or by countries or parties that may have a vested interest in imposing certain remedies or treatments on others that are not in the best interest of the citizens of individual sovereign countries. WE THE PEOPLE HAVE THE RIGHT TO DECIDE WHAT WE WANT TO PUT INTO OUR OWN BODY and we have an inherent right to freedom of choice and decisions regarding medical procedures we undergo. We demand our respective Constitutions and laws be respected and upheld. No one has the right to take that freedom away from us under any circumstances. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities to have control over their own health and crisis measures. We want the views of international independent experts to be heard and respected and our individual rights to be honored regarding our health and medical procedures.
Citizen	No to the whole plan. Medicine and treatment is a private matter between individuals and their chosen doctor. There is no one-size-fits-all in medicine. Keep the government out of my body. The Nuremberg Code must be followed.
Na	No to this totalitarian regime!
None	No to this treaty, nobody should have total control over anyone, regardless of pandemics.
None	No to this treaty.
Concerned citizen	NO to this treaty. It should be the responsibility of each country to declare what and when a pandemic exists. The WHO ist NOT democratically elected. It has NO legitimacy whatever to decide what each state should or should not do. Over and above these bacis principles the WHO is heavily financed by private organizations / pharmaceutical companies - it is by NO MEANS an inpartial organisation.
Keine	No to vaccination. my body my decision. fundamental rights belong to every human being
CHD	No to WHO governing the world . No to WHO defining pandemic . No to WHO forcing vaccine on human against there will .
BCNLCC	NO TO WHO INTERFERENCE regarding public health of the independent countries. YES to informed consent and REAL SCIENCE regarding vaccines and masks. YES to promoting the boosting of NATURAL IMMUNITY.
Privat	No to who longering pandemic covid 19 passports or code no to power under our low ,ok
Private citizen of the USA	No treaty is necessary or advisable. If one is passed anyway it should contain the following guarantees: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	No treaty is needed. Please stop this nonsense.
none	No treaty is preferable, however, if there is to be one it should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	No treaty of any kind should usurp the United States government or the individual States at any time, even during a pandemic. Health decisions belong to each individual. Giving that kind of power to one entity is dangerous to health and democracy.
none	No treaty or international organization should have power over the sovereign individual or the rights of citizens in their own country. Decisions regarding health and our sovereignty should NEVER be outsourced to international organisations...NEVER. I do NOT consent to giving my decision making power to WHO in emergencies or crisis. Additionally, since WHO has accepted financial contributions from INDIVIDUALS who stand to profit from pandemics, it would be an egregious error in judgment to give WHO the power to make decisions regarding the health and well being of citizens across the globe...an extremely DANGEROUS error.
Aam Aadmi Party, India	No treaty or law should violate an individual's freedom to take any medication or treatment of her/his choice. Nor violate his/her freedom to even choose if they wish to take up any treatment. Also, no global/international treaty or law should override the rights of citizens enshrined under their respective constitutions.
Telecom	No Treaty Please. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	No treaty should attempt to condition national sovereignty, freedoms, human rights. Vaccine IDs, passes, digital passbooks are unacceptable intrusions into medical, financial, and personal privacy.
Attorney at Law; Retired	No treaty should be made that doesn't include the following conditions. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	No treaty should be made to supersede any country's governing body or written constitution. The Who is not elected democratically by the people of each country. The Who has been a ring about so much of this pandemic and they will be wrong again.
N/a	No treaty should override local or national control in making their own decisions. Local and national people should be able to opt out especially if the majority favors another direction. No pharmaceutical company or other profiting entity should have any say in treaty actions/ decisions.
Individual	No treaty should supersede the constitution of the USA, nor any other country. Our constitution was written in such a way as to protect its people from treaties such as this.
US citizen	NO treaty! NO pandemics unless they are man-made by you sick, evil ppl. We The People of the World opt-out of ANY Treaty, so...
Immanuel Bible Church	NO TREATY! National and local leadership must retain full autonomy giving reserve them the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Kelley
no orginization	NO TREATY.
None	No treaty. Unconstitutional
	No treaty. You have no authority over individual citizens. We have been shown how deeply you lie. How you have murdered for profit. Yes you were involved in COVID death. We are quite capable of seeing to our own health. The people will not accept your interference. The blood of many innocent children and adults paint your doorstep.
No affiliated with any organisation	No unaccountable, central organisation should be able to dictate how individual, sovereign countries manage their own pandemic response. This is an affront to democracy and a massive overreach of power. Vaccine passports are discriminatory and serve no useful purpose (e.g. covid pass never stopped covid transmission. The gave people a false sense of security. Bodily autonomy is a basic human right. Vaccine mandates remove this and do not take into account the needs of the individual person (e.g. they could be harmful, or not required). Dissenting voices were silenced during covid. I am concerned your guidelines provide an easy mechanism for you to do this. Open discussion should always be encouraged.
None	No unelected body should be able to have influence over any country and never should have power to rule the way it responds to a threat. The funding of the WHO could lead to bias in the way it is run and the direction it goes in.
none	no universal mandates for vaccines without long term efficacy and safety testing of at least 3 to 5 years to allow for any side effects to be uncovered and reported and resolved; improved insurance that studies by Pharma are reliable and not manipulated for profit; ensure greater transparence and accuracy governments in public statements
Public	No vaccinations or treatments should be made compulsory or mandatory. WHO should respect the sovereign right of any persons to decide for themselves what treatments they should take or not. No imposition of vaccination mandates or vaccination passports should be entertained. Please respect the patients right of choice even in any kind of pandemic situation.
Joan Graham, private citizen	no vaccinations should be required. Please stop all "vaccinations". They do not work
I'm a private citizen	No vaccine mandates must be allowed, ever! No discriminatory measures must be allowed! The basic human rights must be followed! WHO must be independent, now it heavily depends on donors, often private ones.
Build Indie	No vaccine mandates should be included in any response as they are not effective and serve to impact minority and poor populations . People should have a choice.
Hellnowho	No vaccine mandates, ever.
Grundrechte wahren	No vaccine passport
no	No WHO
Markus Bellmann	No WHO covid-19 contract. This will cut out the national law and independence of each country. It is not about health anymore and seems to be the largest attack at world's freedom and the souveranity of countries. The WHO has for sure good thoughts and targets, but due to the fact their financial Situation is not save, private partnerships can finance it and get too much influence. No WHO Covid-19 Contract.
Private Person	No WHO pandemic contract. Nuremberg Codex !!! Let people made by God be free!!!!!! Thanks
None	No WHO should not get the Power to take control over any Country in the World in a pandemic Situation. All Countries can do this better alone.
member of Associated Bodywork & Massage Professionals, graduate of Fund	No WHO treaties should infringe on medical health and wellness decisions made among individuals at the matured age onward as determined primarily by their immediate families (secondarily by the local community due to permanent unavailability of individual's family) as well as in consultation with their healthcare practitioners or providers. A new international instrument should allow for a diverse approach to a pandemic response and preparedness that is not legally bound. The international instrument should be decentralized without authorization of a minority of individuals having cartels or monopolized organizations dictating standards of care for nations to follow. At the beginning of the matured age onward as determined by the immediate family first and when permanently not possible determined by the local community, let us allow the individual to be socially responsible with his or her medical health and wellness decisions and all of us be liable and accountable for our own individual harm done. In the international instrument, all individuals should be open to and accessible to opportunities and innovations that reveal on how to rectify the harm done.

Organization	Written submission
None	No world authority should have the power to enforce any type of medical procedures, lockdowns or mask wearing etc on any country. If this is allowed to go ahead it will, as you very well know, override the sovereignty of all nations and the representatives that the people vote into place would have no authority to do other than what is decided by this world power. This is unacceptable and should never be allowed to be put into place as it takes no account of the difference in the climate, attitudes, health and well being of all who reside in each totally different part of our world.
NA	No world council should have total power to control all nation states and their citizens without strict mechanisms to control the potential abuse of power and the unwarranted destruction of basic human rights. The potential for abuse with the centralization of power is high. High net worth individuals have pushed their way onto fields of world health. I am convinced that these same individuals will use their wealth to corrupt a world council. Unfortunately, those with wealth are not working for the benefit of the people today or historically, but rather in their own self interest. For that reason, I would not support a world health government today.
CHC	No world order. No world government. No pandemic or ecological exemptions to the US Constitution. Preserve sovereign rights.
Responding as an individual	No world organisation should have the power to dictate responses to health situations. Each country must be able to put in place its own measures and these measures must be agreed by the people in the individual countries who ultimately have the right to make their own decisions. The great harm caused by the responses to the 2019/20 situation, which far outweighed the actual harm of the virus, must never be repeated. Similarly, discrimination, censorship and exclusion must never again be allowed to happen in democratic countries.
Citizen	No world organization should ever take precedence over nations' constitutions. Any international instrument should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No international measure should be forced on the world.
Akridge Chiropractic Clinic	No world organization should have power over sovereigne citizens as to their health and wellbeing. Healthcare decisions are the right of the person, between themselves and their Doctor, who should be guided by their oath to do no harm and not guided by the influence of outside organizations threatening their decisions.
sovereign country	no world WHO-dictatorship!!
No organization	No worldwide central control and power over the governments laws to the WHO, while pandemics. Each country has its own laws and should keep them during pandemi or naturcatastroph or whatever. Fridom to each single human beeing in all countries of the world. No health- dictator with head FromWHO! NO to any pressure from WHO on health treatments. NO impfung and impfpflicht at all at no times at all
sovereign country	no worldwide WHO dictatorship!!
Citizen of the World	NO YOU HAVE NO RIGHT TO ENFORCE YOUR REGULATIONS ON US !! Have you learned nothing from this last pandemic. ? Africa's situation was vastly different to those in Europe . How can you have one set of rules ? Each country and culture is different !! STOP TRYING TO GLOBALIZE everything and expect us to sit back and do as we are told . Where are our Human Rights ? I WILL NEVER COMPLY !!
USA	NO you have no right to tell us what to do.
Ramontag@live.de	No Nein
O	NO Stop al these. NO
None	NO!
	NO!
Citizen	NO!
private person	NO!
None	NO!
Poland	No!
Cazzi miei	No!
Private	NO!
None	NO! I do not need the WHO to determine what is best for my personal health. The WHO was not equipped to combat this pandemic. Why should we listen to you ever again? Nobody votes for the WHO. Sovereign nations must remain as such.
Private Citizen	No! I do not want a central body dictating pandemic response. One size fits all is a terrible approach. We need a multitude of approaches to pandemic response so that we can see what is working and what is not. Forcing everyone to do the same thing will shut down experimentation and limit the effectiveness of the response. Do not do this. It will harm humanity.
	NO! WHO stay out of America! You are as Communist as it can get!
CEO at me myself and I	No! I do not want your Organisation and your invented pandemics, leave our countries alone.
Ghada Hanna	No! I don't accept the WHO Pandemic treaty. I am a free person created by God and I don't accept that the WHO tell me how to treat my body, which medication to take and how to live my life. It is always going to be my decision, when it comes to my body and my life. Only me that decide what to put in my body. My medical sovereignty is mine and will always be mine. The WHO treaty is refused and denied. May God bless his children, protect them and fill their lives with health, joy, and goodness. Thank you
NONE	NO! I will not allow anyone to have authority over my personal Medical Treatment unless I grant it of my own free will. No! I will not agree to others having authority over my Personal Freedom to speach, travel, right to work or interact with other consenting adults and do the tasks I need to do to keep myself and Family alive and healthy and free.
Privat	No! Just no! Don't do that. Be there and give advise how people can live healthy. Give knowledge for pesticide free farming, filtration of water, wash for clothes and body. Sport and healthy lifestyle. Back to the nature instand chemical food. But don't regulate the freedom of the people. It's not your business. Also think about how many people could have been saved from the short term as well long terms (including death) side effects from mRNA vaccine. It's more dangerous then Virus himself. Where are you here? Why you don't do anything?
Germany	No! No! Never!
Self	NO! NO! NO! Absolutely NO! Medicine is NOT one size fits all. It NEVER has been and it NEVER will be! Do NOT put this council together. Every person should have the FREE CHOICE to do as they wish where their body is concerned. Medical situations should be a PRIVATE discussion between one's doctor and themselves. There should NEVER be mandates for shots to hold a job or go to school. There should NEVER be any such thing as vaccine passports to travel, to eat indoors, to attend music and/or sporting events, or to play in sports! All nations should remain FREE to do what is decide by their own country's leadership. There should NOT be anyone on any council who profits from use of their products to resolve whatever a council is discussing; There should not be any of WHO's funders on any council; Any consensus of procedures must always be a recommendation only with the CHOICE being voluntary by the PEOPLE and the sovereign nations in which they live. Finally, NO government or council should EVER say anything beyond SPEAK PRIVATELY with your doctor in regard to ALL medical situations, pandemic or not. PERIOD. Again, medicine is NOT one size fits all. There should NEVER be only ONE tactic in fighting ANY disease, EVER! Finally, NATURAL immunity is KING/QUEEN and should NOT be dismissed or ignored or diminished!
Titan Contractors	NO! To all members/delegates: The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I respectfully request that this pending treaty NOT be approved as it would harm the freedom of member nations to make healthcare decisions that work best for the situation in that nation! This treaty would remove those rights and place member nations in a totalitarian state. This is just one more step towards a one world government that would be dangerous and remove independent sovereignty from individual nations. Look at history - this hasn't worked in the past and would only lead to more social and political unrest in the world. Please do NOT move forward with this!
Anne die Aufrechte	NO! Dissolve the WHO and Power to the souvereignity of people! Freedom for all mankind!
Non	No! It is my life and my body. I dont want anyone to tell me what to do with it.
Non	NO! It's my life, my body and I am the boss over it. No One can tell me what to do with it!
None	NO! My country of the United States of America should stay away from your authority. No mandates for vaccines here. The WHO is illegal under our constitution and would be null and void and repugnant to our constitution. I say 100% no to this
private	No! this is not a democratic Procedere! The WHO should not be allowed to rule over all people of the World! Regards Sepp Brender
None	NO!! Ich bin entschieden gegen einen Pandemievertrag!! Das Missbrauchspotential ist sehr hoch und die demokratische Kontrolle nicht möglich! Es ermöglicht Staaten, Verhältnisse wie in Shanghai zu schaffen und das darf niemals bei uns in freien, demokratischen Staaten geschehen!!
____	NO!!! The WHO should not get more rights
Cleaner	NO!!!!
No to covid passport	NO!!!! I Will have my human rights to decide what is best for meg and what to put in my body, so therefor i say NO to coronapassport!!!
MO M	NO!!!!!!!,!!!!!!!!!!no!!!!!!!!!!no!!!!!!!!!!NO!!!!!!
Sediul General Turbo Sa	NO.
Person	No, absolut no, who is Not friendly, have take mistake bei corona, no help, no kompromis
Private	No, based on what is going on today it shows that the ngos has to much Power.
Veterinary	No, EU citizens don't want the new pandemic contract - stop this now!
Privat	No, I do Not accept Thinge like WHO, etc as welk as their Ideas
Brunton Plumbing	No. I do not agree with a new treaty.
Dairy	No, I do not agree with this Unelected WHO should NEVER have the power to do this.
	NO, I DO NOT AGREE ! !
Human beings	No, I do not support this treaty in any form. There are obvious and significant conflicts of interest between WHO, those that fund it and the general public. The outsourcing of significant power to a compromised WHO will have significant negative consequences to citizens of the world. This is dangerous and undemocratic.
EU Citizen	No, i don't want these vaccine mandates. It should be a personal decision.
Privat	No, i reject the draft.
Privat	No, i reject the draft.
None	No, I'm against this contract! We don't need this!
Private	No, i'm strongly against this because I don't trust you.
8407251696	No, leave it up to each country. Look at Sweden and than any other country with hard lockdowns. You see a difference. Of course you do. So in direct response to this. Leave me alone.
Privat Person	NO, NEIN
Waken Your Spirit	No, no a thousand times NO!
a Citizen	No, pandemic treaty please. Health is a high good but it does not stand alone. There is a consensus that fundamental rights, mechanisms of separation of powers and also regulations under international law are necessary to limit the power of governments. Unrestricted power leads to abuse, oppression, human rights violations and war. A pandemic treaty, that combines the most far-reaching competences and power with the WHO in the event of a health emergency contradicts the principle of the limitation of power. This contradicts many of the UN's achievements, especially the concept of human rights and the idea of freedom in general, and would represent an enormous step backwards. A treaty would be an enormous encroachment on the rights of self-determination of peoples, and it would undermine the principle of sovereignty of states. There would be a major conflict situation between such a treaty and the constitutional and democratic situation of states. "Risks and side-effects" can hardly be underestimated. On the digital vaccination certificates, as a specific method to fight a pandemic: The EU vaccination certificate (a potential standard for the world) is entirely unencrypted / freely readable. The data is cryptographically signed but not encrypted. With such a system, the doors are wide open for abuse by companies and states. From a purely technical point of view, everything would actually be given to simply turn such a system into a repressive social points system. One must assume that everything possible will be done, especially in the case of power.
DWP	No, there's no way that I'll submit to whatever you seem 'right'. (ie to fit your agenda) and the disastrous handling of COVID19 tells me that you aren't fit to have precedent over MY bodily autonomy
(None) parent	No, to international pandemic treaty!!!
Public	No, we do not approve of the treaty. The treaty takes away the freedom of the people and is compromised to distort any privacy of individuals. There should be a treaty set up to improve the nations health, by changing the agriculture, understanding the food they're consuming, reducing the toxicity in the environment, not by undermining people's health with unapproved vaccines and conflict of interest pharmaceutical companies.
Independent	No, we do not need any such thing.... leave the citizens of the world to manage their own health. I say No to any international instrument on pandemic preparedness.
Private	No, we don't want WHO to control anything. We still live in a democracy and our government can make decisions with our voices about our health. So a big NO - WHO shall not have any kind of influence to control the worlds health.
Private	No, we don't need this contract!!!! No!
BRD	No, we don't need this instrument. It's indirectly payed by the pharma and the WHO is not an democratic institution.
hol°architektur	No, we don't want it.
Individual	No, we have to let every country decide on what and how to respond to future pandemics scenarios on their own, the heads of the WHO are not elected officials by the people and should NOT be given such power over how countries like this treaty will. I strongly oppose this so called treaty for I fear it will only benefit those who donate to these organizations to force the rest the people to use products even if these products are defective like the current covid19 vaccines that do not stop the virus SARS-COV-2 but only reduces a persons symptoms from the virus Or to enforce policies like force mandates and lock downs that had devastating effects. Thank you.
privat	No,no,no Die WHO ist ohne jede demokratische Legitimation. Daher ist ihre Aufgabe zu koordinieren. Eine durch die WHO durchgesetzte verbindliche Vollmacht lehne ich als undemokratisch, nicht rechtsstaatlich, da nicht überprüfbar und anmaßend zurück.
N/a	NO.
NO	no.
Citizen	No.
None	No. Just no. We do not need an international agreement on pandemic policy nor do we need a foreign body telling us what to think and do. COVID has shown us that doctors who treated people successfully in the U.S. were vilified and stripped of their right to earn a living AND save lives because the "vaccine" was the official policy. We saw that the whole world moved in lockstep and those who didn't paid the price--like those 6 heads of state in Africa who suddenly died and were replaced with someone who would toe the line. We saw effective treatments "tested" with lethal doses to prove they didn't work. We saw fear used as a way to control the populace, and police in riot gear brutally attack those who refused to comply. We saw the media playing toady to the government rather than being the watchdog. We saw the WHO change definitions so that "pandemic" no longer referred to deaths and "vaccine" no longer meant protection from infection or transmission. We saw corruption exposed that had been hidden in plain sight, and citizens of many countries aghast at their loss of freedoms It is brutally obvious that the WHO does not have our our best interests at heart, that this is about power and influence. And one more thing. A treaty cannot and WILL NOT override the U.S. Constitution. Thank God for the Second Amendment. We will not comply.
Self-organizing	NO. JUST NO. WE DON'T NEED YOUR HELP.
No organization	No. There should be no such international treaty for many reasons. 1) The WHO has shown itself both corrupt and untrustworthy both before this pandemic, and most especially, during. 2) Any international treaty such as this would abrogate the rights of any participating state to act solely in the best interests of its citizens. We have seen the rights of citizens trampled, and as in the case of Canada, literally so, by forces concordant with the mission of the WHO. Freedom of speech, association, the right to petition government for the redress of grievances, along with personal bodily and medical autonomy are manifestly at odds with the stated goals and actual actions of the WHO. For these reasons alone, any such international treaty should be rejected outright by any free state.
Seres excavating	NO. Absolutely not. Your pandemic response has been terrible thus far as well as governments listening to your protocol without any legitimate research to support your reasoning. I dislike the amount of freedoms that have been taken away from the public over the past 2 years and I do not wish for you to have more power over us in the slightest. I completely disagree with everything this treaty stands for.
Private	No. I disagree. WHO is private organisaiton.
N/A	NO. I don't support anything being included in said instrument. I find the goals of the aforementioned instrument, and the motives of its drafters, to be immediately suspect as evidenced by their assumption that I and the general public approve of its creation without consultation. The first logical question is "do you approve of an international instrument for pandemic response being drafted?". The answer to that question is the same as to the first: absolutely not.
Private Person	NO. I GENERALLY OPPOSE AN INTERNATIONAL, MANDATORY PANDEMIC TREATY. STOP PLANNING THIS IMMEDIATELY. THERE IS NO NEED FOR THIS!!!!
Citizen of the world	NO. NO. NO. An unelected NGO does NOT have the authority to over rule out laws.
private	No. Stop it. Enough is enough. No one wants your safety promising system.
Self	No. The WHO does must never have the authority to decide a pandemic response for all nations. Giving so much power to a single entity opens the door for corruption and breeds absolute distrust. This is not the role for the WHO.
Helens farm	No. These health games do not help.
Public	No. Very simple. NO. I do not want and will not accept a WHO response or any other institution or Government response to a so called "pandemic" which conflicts with or works to undermine the U.S. Constitution and the God given natural rights of every human being. We are NOT property and we are NOT beholden to any self appointed organization. If you want to help humanity, find out the cause of COVID and hold the people responsible accountable, in their own courts, in their own countries, by rule of establish sovereign law.
Privat	No. We do not wish any government, Institution or company to interfer in our health issues! It is our responsibility to take care of our individual health. Family Schmidt
None	No. We do want to be part of your work take over. Stay out of our country!
None	No. You do not have the right to overrule my body autonomy. Just no.
	No. You have no business controlling any area of human life. You are as dirty as they come. Repent and turn away from your wicked ways and God will heal our land
Private citizen	No. The WHO, UN, WEF and other non-governmental bodies have no rights over me, or over the lives and future of the members of my family. No. Non serviam.
Private	No. Absolutely no Support für this idea.
Catholic	No... that's it...NO.
Personal	Nobody elected you to do this. Nobody was asked. There is no need for you to take our sovereignty away. Keep your treaty.
independent	Nobody gave you consent to decide anything. WHO is a criminal organisation run by crooks. You all know this to be true. I look forward to the day you are all behind bars. Amen
Public	Nobody has a right to tell me what I can and cannot put into my own body, especially an experimental drug with no history or long term Safety data.
none, I am citizen of the world	Nobody has the right to impose to me or any human being to be inoculated with any substance. Beauty of this world is in its divergence and one size fits all solutions on any field but expecialy with human health and welbeing are wrong and we should never force them.
None	Nobody in Britain has democratically voted for the WHO to decide on policy that our government should follow. I do not agree that the WHO takes precedent over our government. We will decide our own policy regarding any future health emergencies and the people will be entitled to vote on this. We will not be governed by an external committee in which we have had no say.
Who	Nobody must do something with his/her body, he or she do not want do to. What world would that be!? Everbody can take car of him/herself. If everybody takes lovely care of himself, it would be a better and healthier world.
Private	Nobody needs this at all!! Every human being has the Right to Decide on its own. There are no more vaccines necessary!
Prvat	Nobody should be forced to take an injection of vaccination. Everybody should be free in his decision!
Canadian citizen	Nobody should dictate what we put into our bodies. Every human being should maintain their right to decide for themselves and their children. The WHO should never have the authority to dictate whether we inject a foreign substance into our bodies as it's an individual choice. Our health decisions are made by us, not an organization knowing nothing about us as individuals.
Civil	Nobody under any circumstances has the right over my body.
no organization	Nobody voted for youin WHO. You can not know what is best for people in different countries. Small and poor countries need their independent too because rich and strong countries too often command weaker countries and force them to do things that are not good for them. We the people don't want influence by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers on health measures .
individual	Nobody voted for you. Enough is enough. Lets have a dialogue first wrt biological weapons illegally manufactured by governments through gain of research. That would have stopped this pandemic
Canadian	Nobody wants your treaty we're not going to follow the rules. You're a corrupt organization and the world is awake and knows what's going on! You're all going to be going to jail to rot until you finally go to hell and rot forever.
Privat	Non
	NON
Public	Non should be included. I am against such an international Instrument. Every country has the right to decide for there own what measures are necessary. It is against Humanrights!
Esseri umani liberi	Non sono nè un tecnico nè un' esperta in crisi sanitarie, ma come cittadina ritengo che per gestirle non basta continuare a parlare di scienza, nè martellare le popolazioni con notizie che generano confusione e paura. Altro argomento spinoso sono sicuramente i tagli di bilancio fatti in campo sanitario. Anche l'essere più lento di comprendonio si dovrebbe domandare come è possibile che ci sia un'emergenza sanitaria e si taglino i finanziamenti alla sanità. Perciò da adottare sono tutte le misure necessarie a contrastare la gestione scellerata di persone coinvolte economicamente.
Privat	Non. It is against The Human Rights!
Shool	None
Public	None
Freedom Alliance New Zealand	None
None	None
-	NONE – A TREATY, WHICH OVERRIDES NATIONAL SOVERIGNTY, SELF-DETERMINATION OF HUMANS OVER TEIR BODY AND PERSONAL DATA IS NOT ACCEPTABLE- A human is a subject on its own and no object to any kind of institutional (national, international, commercial etc.) activities interfering with his body. Therefore I strictly oppose any possible mandatory medical treatment (medication, vaccination, testings on suspicion) against the will of people. Any mandatory tracking of a human being's health and/or medication/vaccination status, combined with sanctions or gratifications must not be implemented, the more digital technologies are capable of full scale surveillance. WHO shall only deliver neutral recommendations, i.e. not driven by commercial or political interests, and must not interfere into legislation of sovereign states.
NA	None - Each country should have freedom to create their own plans. There is no one size fits all when it comes to health and every country should be able to take action based on their country's needs.
Headway Solutions Ltd	None - stay out of our domestic affairs, leave our sovereignty alone, you were not elected by the people of this country.
self	NONE - The USA is a soverign country, give up none of our rights to a global organization. NEVER shut down the economy again. NO masks or isolation for those not affected. Just help the vulnerable. Share information about treatments and vaccines with no strings. STOP wearing masks for children & on airplanes. ONLY keep covid protocols at the border, keep Title 42.
Personal	None - there should not be an international body to dictate any response, it can suggest but not dictate - it is up to and ONLY up to the ELECTED officials of each country, They represent the people - NOT WHO... There appears to be too many hidden agendas with the WHO and the fund sponsors that WANT to control. The WHO is not a representation of the people of the World, the WHO is a representation of the NGO that fund the WHO. As well its a matter of trust - which was violated from the very beginning 2019, 2020,2021 and on and on.
N/A	None as there should be NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Each nation is a sovereign nation independent of the world at large. Each nation should have its own preparedness and response plan, based on its own situation, country and citizens. Greenland cannot possibly response in the same way Jamaica will, therefore each nation should be independent from the others. Any WHO treaties that remove power from the nations themselves are dangerous to the health and welfare of each nations' citizens. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's stick to that.
Humanity	None at all. We do not want pandemic preparedness instruments.
N/A	None because these actions are a threat to national sovereignty and individual freedoms. The past two years have shown the world that arbitrary restrictions and mandates are all that will come from giving authority regarding our own bodies and those of our children to governments at any level: local, state, national and now international. People need to hold firm on this. Never can we allow this to happen again if we are to preserve humanity. Thank you.
Gezondleven	None but the freedom to take my own decicions for my health. We do not need any pandemic ruled by any worldorganisation. No mandates or restrictions did work in the last two years. You should waken up men, make them concious of their strenght and selfhealing power. Prevention and medicines, if nessesairy, are more needed than experimental vaccines that hurt.
Human rights	None of the statements written hereunder .... Politicians have wrongly and through Sage turned Covid 19 into a moral crusade, quasi-religious in nature, creating a doomaday cult. Masks generate fear and help keep the cultish behaviour going. Lockdowns demoralise the population reducing our capacity to resist dangerous authoritarian rule. Defeating the virus is the impossible aim that keeps the cult leaders in business. History is littered with the calamitous antics of doomsday cults. Yet we must be the only civilisation in history which thinks we can cheat death on a mass scale, destroying ourselves in the process. I have little faith in the WHO and all serving the so-called democratic countries. There has been mass hysteria on a global scale. The most important element for a future preparedness is to reject the hysteria from a scare mongering global elite and to keep calm and carry on. You do NOT lockdown healthy communities and have make plans to look after the clinically vulnerable.
Private	None • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Nations and municipalities must be able to opt out of parts of or the entire agreement without consequences. • An open and transparent process, in which all the people of the world are given the opportunity to vote on, including failsafing, the measures that prevent the global agreement from being applied in places where the majority of the people don't want it. Measures must not allow any influence from any pharmaceutical company or other global health profiteers in the process.
Citizen USA	None No treaty
Human Being	None There should not be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response AND it should certainly not be under the control of the WHO. Pandemic response should be determined on a case by case basis by each individual. Guidance should be determined by each nation, again on a case by case basis to be proportionate to the developing threat. An international instrument that can be enacted without democratic oversight by that nation's subjects is a close to a tyrannical power grab as there can be. It must and will be rejected by every citizen of the world. The WHO should be stripped of it's funding and disbanded.
Starfire Research	None! Health is a personal responsibility. It is extremely dangerous for national or global organizations to attempt to provide a one size fits all response to health challenges.
none	none! an unelected and privately funded body such as the who shouldn´t be able to impose its views on the citizens of planet earth. especially during the covid-19-situation the who didn´t show any interest in the wellbeing of people and their basic human rights.
Shanahan Sateren Century 21 FM Realty	None! We do not need international instrument on pandemic preparedness. We in the US should be independent in making our decisions, base on needs of each unique locations. WHO needs to stay out of anything the US does. WHO has zero credibility after siding and protecting China from owning up spreading Covid and causing so much death. WHO needs to stay away! Not welcome and not trusted to make any decisions for anyone.
None	NONE! I object completely and totally to the Pandemic Preparedness Treaty, ie the plan to for one global approach controlled by the WHO. The WHO is not democratically elected and has no right to dictate to individual countries. One size does not fit all as clearly demonstrated over the last two years where countries and even states have adopted differing approaches with demonstrable differing effects eg Sweden vs Israel, Florida vs California whereby less authoritarian/draconian responses have given better results. Disaster planners worldwide agree that a single approach is harmful, local flexibility is required to better adapt to changing circumstances at a local level. The WHO has changed definitions and massaged data over the last two years. It is clearly being controlled by entities who would benefit greatly from all citizens of the world being controlled by one Global government. It is funded by the very bodies that benefit from it's decisions and there are no proper checks and measures in place to ensure that it's decision making is indeed in the best interest of the world population or is in fact just another scheme to put money and power into the possession of the few. Giving more power to the WHO is unsafe - it is a globally corrupt organisation. Above all, the 'one world' approach is totalitarian where people of the world have no right of reply or the ability to make democratic change. Implementation of this treaty would be a gross violation of human rights to which the people of the world do not consent as I do not consent. The WHO should in fact be disbanded but at the very most should remain as an advisory body only and must never be given power to override elected sovereign government.

Organization	Written submission
Individual person	NONE! I object completely and totally to the Pandemic Preparedness Treaty, ie the plan to for one global approach controlled by the WHO. The WHO is not democratically elected and has no right to dictate to individual countries. One size does not fit all as clearly demonstrated over the last two years where countries and even states have adopted differing approaches with demonstrable differing effects eg Sweden vs Israel, Florida vs California whereby less authoritarian/draconian responses have given better results. Disaster planners worldwide agree that a single approach is harmful, local flexibility is required to better adapt to changing circumstances at a local level. The WHO has changed definitions and massaged data over the last two years. It is clearly being controlled by entities who would benefit greatly from all citizens of the world being controlled by one Global government. It is funded by the very bodies that benefit from it's decisions and there are no proper checks and measures in place to ensure that it's decision making is indeed in the best interest of the world population or is in fact just another scheme to put money and power into the possession of the few. Giving more power to the WHO is unsafe - it is a globally corrupt organisation. Above all, the 'one world' approach is totalitarian where people of the world have no right of reply or the ability to make democratic change. Implementation of this treaty would be a gross violation of human rights to which the people of the world do not consent as I do not consent. The WHO should in fact be disbanded but at the very most should remain as an advisory body only and must never be given power to override elected sovereign government
None	None! The WHO should have no international instruments for pandemic preparedness and response.
	None!!!
McDermott Medical	NONE!! I object completely and totally to the Pandemic Preparedness Treaty, ie the plan to for one global approach controlled by the WHO. The WHO is not democratically elected and has no right to dictate to individual countries. One size does not fit all as clearly demonstrated over the last two years where countries and even states have adopted differing approaches with demonstrable differing effects eg Sweden vs Israel, Florida vs California whereby less authoritarian/draconian responses have given better results. Disaster planners worldwide agree that a single approach is harmful, local flexibility is required to better adapt to changing circumstances at a local level. The WHO has changed definitions and massaged data over the last two years. It is clearly being controlled by entities who would benefit greatly from all citizens of the world being controlled by one Global government. It is funded by the very bodies that benefit from it's decisions and there are no proper checks and measures in place to ensure that it's decision making is indeed in the best interest of the world population or is in fact just another scheme to put money and power into the possession of the few. Giving more power to the WHO is unsafe - it is a globally corrupt organisation. Above all, the 'one world' approach is totalitarian where people of the world have no right of reply or the ability to make democratic change. Implementation of this treaty would be a gross violation of human rights to which the people of the world do not consent as I do not consent. The WHO should in fact be disbanded but at the very most should remain as an advisory body only and must never be given power to override elected sovereign government.
bdpa	None, No central body should determine the freedoms of people without local democratic process. Unless there are protections including full independent body to monitor actions, which holds no financial or other interest, extended and not limited to family or associates. Signed agreements to do "No harm" or subject to criminal charges upto including equal charge of act , All members to have no financial or personal interests linked to any of policy's created. To have a full cost risk analysis performed on each specific policy by a independent body with as above no financial or persoanl interest, and then voted on by all country's before any agreement at local level.
None	None, all sovereign countries should, and need to, take care of their own medical care/decisions. The W.H.O is/should only ever been used in a advisory capacity. The United Nations and the World Health Organisation should have absolutely no power to veto any Country's constitution or bill of rights. Stay in your own lane, advise only.
Human Race	None, each individual should be allowed to decide what is an acceptable risk, and what is right for them and their families. What is correct for some is wrong for others and there must always be choice. There is no right answer for all, so the only answer is none.
N	None, its controlling and awful. Our poor children. Let people live a life, bring back freedom and no fear
Assembly	None, NO treaty, WHO is a colossal failure and soverign people want no part of you, GO AWAY!
Private	None, personal responsibility is key, every individual should have the say about their own actions and how they want to respond. No organisation should have any say about how to react to any situation that affects our own bodies. No censorship of any kind should be happening, the truth is like a lion, set it free, it can protect itself. Censorship only protects the lie! The truth does not need protection!!! The WHO and all it's cohorts should stay out of our lives and countries... They are the biggest health hazard of all....
Myself	None. stay out of peoples lives.
none	None, the instrument is unnecessary and will not benefit health or well being.
N/A	None, the WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens the sovereignty and inalienable rights of citizens around the world.
Yes	None. The WHO needs dissolved and cannot be trusted
America	NONE, We did not elect you. You are not our leaders. Back up. You are pushing us too far. We WILL rise up.
Cival person	None,... .every country takes action for themselves and their citizens this is how it must be, anything else is dictatorship. We can never have one organization speaking for all countries, all countries are different and things play out in different ways in different countries. there for absolutley not !!
Individual	NONE.
General Public	None.
n/a	None. All health matters should be left to each nation.
Self	None. I vehemently oppose this effort. Each nation is sovereign and there is no one size fits all approach to medical treatment in any situation. As sovereign nations each country has the right and obligation to respond to a pandemic based on the needs of its population based on the legal framework/constitution in each nation. No body should govern the response of each nation.
None	None. Zero. Stop. I'm rebel before you force me to vax.
None - provate citizen	None. A one-size-fits-all approach would be counter-productive. The widely differing incidence of Covid-19 throughout the world and the various strategies applied to combat it are evidence of that. It is essential that individual states, answerable to their own people, retain full freedom to use such measures as they deem appropriate. Any attempt to impose a top-down solution carries with it the serious risk of excessive influence by super-rich pharmaceutical companies and other bodies more interested in power and profits than in public health.
None	None. Because the world does not need a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response or more recommandations for idiotic maskmandates or experimental vaccines that cause a myriad of fatal sideeffects. Covidresponse of world health organisation has shown it cares more about interests of pharmacompanys and global agendas than health of people. So world health organisation has and shall have no business in telling people or governments how to respond to health issues. Thomas Reischl Braunau am Inn Austria
Self	None. Disband.
	None. Each country needs to respond to supposed "pandemics" in their own way. You are evil Go AWAY
NONE	NONE. EVERY COUNTRY HAS ITS OWN CHARACHTERISTICS AND HEALTH SYSTEM TO DECIDE UPON. NO NEED FOR AN INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT.
My health	None. Every country must decide by themselves what is best.
None	None. I am strongly against this treaty and any future treaties which subvert local laws, personal liberty, and medical autonomy via informed consent. In my opinion, WHO has acted unethically and with gross negligence in the past 2 years, putting politics & private funding before human well-being. My trust in your organization has been eroded & will not likely return unless your organization proves capable of discerning data, basic scientific principals, and consideration of dissenting opinions from qualified scientists when making policy decisions. Centralized control is clearly dangerous, as you have made painfully obvious to any thoughtful citizen. Please kindly kick rocks. Disdainfully, Charlotte Poirier
Lee's podcast	None. I completely reject this. I do not want or need an organisation to tell me what to do in the case of an orchestrated pandemic. I am an adult who can make his own decisions based on what is best for my family's and my own health. Based on the destructive forced lockdowns, mask wearing and social distancing that was recommended by the WHO. The world is safer without you. You are neither needed not wanted. You have no power over me and never will.
None	None. I do not believe there should be any international instrument for pandemic preparedness. The WHO should be a monitoring and advisory body, that is it.
N/A	None. Leave us alone, we're not your livestock to manage.
none	NONE. NO ELEMENTS SHOULD REQUIRED, AND NO INSTRUMENT IS REQUIRED FOR AN INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT OF PANDEMIC PREPAREDNESS OR RESPONSE. No WORLD organisation funded by corporations and charities with their own agendas should have global control over citizens. The definition of pandemic has been corrupted by these charities and pharmaceutical companies to allow for pandemic declaration when there is NO pandemic, to allow human experimentation with dangerous untested and unnecessary medications. This breaks every code of ethics and the Nuremberg code. Lockdowns DO NOT have any scientific basis or evidence to support them. In fact, the contrary is true. Never in viral management do you lockdown healthy people or invoke immune system depleting sanctions on them (masks, sanitising, distancing, isolations, asymptomatic testing, fraudulent test methods and amped up cycles). Your lack of any evidence based approach and your emergency vaccine programme has maimed and killed more than any normal flu epidemic - there was no pandemic - statistics clearly show this. Your programme is so obviously dangerous to human life and economies. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD ANY WHO GLOBAL TREATY BE DECLARED. NO ORGANISATION SHOULD HAVE THIS CONTROL OVER GOVERNMENTS OR CITIZENS, ERADICATING LAWS, ETHICS AND REGULATORY BODIES. Full disclosure of all affiliations NONE YOU SAY - In participating in the public hearings, you must declare the entity you represent and any other affiliations, engagement, or roles relevant to the public hearings or to WHO, in light of its mandate. YET YOU ALLOW organisations not to say they are already affiliated with you. This is unethical and immoral. You don't follow your own rules.
Planet Earth	None. No elements. Cease your destructive actions, we want to live free from your threats and lies.
N/A	None. No substantive elements should be included because there is no need for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness. Individuals, communities, countries are affected differently by "pandemics" and as such should have the right to make decisions that are best for their unique situation. The WHO was/is not elected by the people and therefore should not be able to make rules for the people. The people in communities and countries have elected officials and those officials should be the ones consulting their constituents on what is best for the people they represent. One-size-fits-all solutions do not work and are even disastrous.
N/A	None. Nothing. This instrument is not required and will not be tolerated.
United states	None. Pandemic preparedness and responses must be handled by local groups, not the WHO or any international group. People are sovereign beings endowed with freedom by their creator, they are not subject to an international group when it comes to their individual health or medical conditions and decisions. There must always be informed consent, and no censorship.
D4CE	At first I couldn't work out why the WHO seemed to be doing everything in their power to spread the virus. They told us there was no human-to-human transmission. FALSE. That the virus wasn't aerosolised. FALSE. That it wasn't airborne. FALSE. That we mustn't close our borders. FALSE. That we needed ventilators. FALSE. That we shouldn't wear masks. FALSE. That we mustn't use blood thinners. FALSE. Or steroids. FALSE. Or hydroxychloroquine. FALSE. Eventually, the penny dropped The WHO were telling us exactly the opposite of what we needed to know. Consistently. All along. The apple doesn't fall far from the tree. It's United Nations Agenda 21. When you create the next pandemic, you will seize control and achieve a One World
N/a	None. Stay out of our healthcare decisions. You have no rights to our choices, ever, as evidenced by the haphazard and ineffective recommendations re: covid-19.
None	None. Thats my answer to your question. Every country must have a right to decide how to prepare and react if pandemic strikes. WHO may assist with their expertise in certain cases but ONLY if country leaders ask. WHO does not need to interfere with how the rest of the world is dealing with pandemics as every country has it's unique situation and unique problem solving strategy. So I ask WHO please stop your dictatorship behavior and only give science based suggestions when asked. Please, no more international recipe for all problems. Save it. Respectfully E Balsiene
Self	None. The pandemic is over, no need for further regulations. If a future pandemic strikes, let each country decide their own protocol.
Citizens of sweden	None. The people of the world does not need or want this treaty. We the people want continued and total autonomy of national democratically elected governments, especially during times of crisis. The need for this has become increasingly more obvious during the covid pandemic, where the WHO's response have deviated in crucial and alarming ways from the worlds collected previous knowledge about the nature of pandemics and how to combat them. Furthermore, there are signs that the WHO has been, is and probably will be unduly affected by private entities in the form of NGOs and companies with interests that are largely at odds with the people of the world. Therefore it is of imperative importance to decentralize any and all decisions concerning future pandemic responses to the nation state level.
None	None. There should be no "treaty" or "international instrument" to enable the UN to dictate to sovereign nations on such matters.
none	None. This is nothing less than the biggest attempted power grab since the second world war. The biosphere has not changed nor has millions of years of evolution and the development of a pretty comprehensive immune system. NOTHING is necessary and you need to be stopped.
Private	None. This is undesirable, in democratic and denigrates the sovereignty of individual countries
Housewife	None. We do not need an international instrument to cope with pandemic preparedness and response. People elect politicians for decision-making and can punish them in following elections if necessary. We already have the WHO with advise on Health issues and it should remain that way.
Home	None. We shouldn't have any new instruments.
Private	None. WHO is controlled by global elite who are acting with an agenda and cannot be trusted
Private person	None. Your organization has failed miserably in handling this pandemic so you really should not be any factor in decision making about these issues in the future. Mask mandates that you have proposed - useless and potentially dangerous to health (specially children). Lockdowns - useless and destructive to livelihood. Vaccine - you have been key factor in suggesting experimental vaccine (with unknown side effects) and completely ignored successfull early treatments. Your organization is disgrace to the health profession and dangerous for livelihood of common men as your actions indicated you served interest of pharma business.
.	None. Your organization has failed miserably in handling this pandemic so you really should not be any factor in decision making about these issues in the future. Mask mandates that you have proposed - useless and potentially dangerous to health (specially children). Lockdowns - useless and destructive to livelihood. Vaccine - you have been key factor in suggesting experimental vaccine (with unknown side effects) and completely ignored successfull early treatments. Your organization is disgrace to the health profession and dangerous for livelihood of common men as your actions indicate your priority was to protect interest of pharma business.
Slobodna	None. Your organization has failed miserably in handling this pandemic so you really should not be any factor in decision making about these issues in the future. Mask mandates that you have proposed - useless and potentially dangerous to health (specially children). Lockdowns - useless and destructive to livelihood. Vaccine - you have been key factor in suggesting experimental vaccine (with unknown side effects) and completely ignored successfull early treatments. Your organization is disgrace to the health profession and dangerous for livelihood of common men as your actions indicate your priority was to protect interest of pharma business.
None	None. Your organization has failed miserably in handling this pandemic so you really should not be any factor in decision making about these issues in the future. Mask mandates that you have proposed - useless and potentially dangerous to health (specially children). Lockdowns - useless and destructive to livelihood. Vaccine - you have been key factor in suggesting experimental vaccine (with unknown side effects) and completely ignored successfull early treatments. Your organization is disgrace to the health profession and dangerous for livelihood of common men as your actions indicate your priority was to protect interest of pharma business.
Private person	None. Your organization has failed miserably in handling this pandemic so you really should not be any factor in decision making about these issues in the future. Mask mandates that you have proposed - useless and potentially dangerous to health (specially children). Lockdowns - useless and destructive to livelihood. Vaccine - you have been key factor in suggesting experimental vaccine (with unknown side effects) and completely ignored successfull early treatments. Your organization is disgrace to the health profession and dangerous for livelihood of common men as your actions indicate your priority was to protect interest of pharma business.
Narod	None. Your organization has failed miserably in handling this pandemic so you really should not be any factor in decision making about these issues in the future. Mask mandates that you have proposed - useless and potentially dangerous to health (specially children). Lockdowns - useless and destructive to livelihood. Vaccine - you have been key factor in suggesting experimental vaccine (with unknown side effects) and completely ignored successfull early treatments. Your organization is disgrace to the health profession and dangerous for livelihood of common men as your actions indicate your priority was to protect interest of pharma business.
Teaching Liberty	None. You've proved yourselves incapable and deserve no power or authority. We the people are better off coming up with our own response (the one suppressed by organizations like you) and making our own risk assessments. Go away.
None	None. Post censorship the WHO will be judged to have been a significant reason for the international preparedness & response failure. The WHO has been captured & the scientific method has been perverted by those with vested interests. Please review the Great Berrington Declaration & Focussed Protection. The Swedish & the Amish had the best preparedness & response.
Selfemployed	NONE. People don't need new "instruments" of mass control. People need their human rights to be respected in ALL and EVERY aspect. Stop this scientific fraud of the so called vaccinations. You can't force people to be subject of treatment with undeclared experimental injections. This is against nuremberg code and it is crimes against humanity. Everybody involved in forced or mandatory treatment with substances which cause so many deaths is commiting crimes against humanity. There are numbers and studies more than enough to stop this insanity. https://www.informedchoiceaustralia.com/post/1000-peer-reviewed-studies-questioning-covid-19-vaccine-safety There is no pandemic, nobody on earth has the right to force people into treament, lockdowns and any other form of torcher or psychological warfare. The best way to avoid pandemics is writing the truth, empower people to take care of their own health, include strategies like Vit. D and healthy lifestyle. No health-related dictatorship will ever cause health, no fear-based strategy will ever make people healthy. Empower people to their natural ressources and natural immunsystem, how to stay healthy, how to think healthy and how to live a healthy lifestyle.
N/A	None. The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation and I totally disagree with you obtaining permission to micro manage every human being on the planet. Pandemic response lies with individual gov- ernments and if people who governments serve don't like that response, they may vote them out at the next opportunity (as I'm sure will happen over the next few years in some democracies). Your plans look to take that opportunity away from individuals including myself.
None	None. There should be no international instrument at all.
No	NONTO SIGNING TREATY
Grout Masters	Noone should be given authority over people's rights to their own body, their own health decisions, their own choices involving vaccines. The government or any other bureaucracy, agency, state or international agency do not have the right to override individual rights, decisions, medical treatment, drug treatments etc. "Declaring an emergency", is not an excuse to take away these unalienable personal freedoms. Especially considering the current vaccines have not been tested for 5-10 years to ascertain safety. Noone should be made to take a vaccine that is experimental and therefore, illegal according to the Nuremberg trials from WW2. Further, An investigation should be done honestly and published in the mainstream media about the actual number of vaccine side effects and deaths.
N	Noooo to a global pandemic plan!!
WNC coalition for justice	North Carolinians want NOTHING to do with any international directives for responding to anything whatsoever. Nations should be able to decide on their own policy!! If the powers that be decide to go ahead with this, prepare for a revolution from all quarters of the globe, from every person on the face of planet Earth. You will be relegated to the dustbin of History. Do not pass this unless you want retribution and to lose all the power that you think you hold. Not kidding. Not kidding at all. Sincerely, the people of planet Earth
Civil	Nós já temos nossa constituição! Cada país tem a sua soberania de escolha. Seus propósitos são outros e não a saúde da humanidade. #StopTheTreaty
Member of the public	Not a chance you will have any say over my family. who made you God.
Bury Financial Advisers Ltd	Not a chance. Get back in your box and leave us all alone
NA	Not a legally binding pandemic treaty. If the right to decide what's best for Americans is taken from the US, that will harm Americans in the long run.
Home	Not a one size fits all scenario. Stop this madness
Non profit	Not agree for vaccine mandatowych. Stop nop'
PRIVATE	Not all pandemics will be the same and should be viewed on a per pandemic basis. None of the implemented actions for COVID19 where required, they actually created more harm than good. Evidence and numerous studies have proven that the COVID19 protocols don't work. It would have been better if the population was left to build immunity. Natural immunity is the best defence and evidence based protocols. The WHO has shown it cannot be trusted and I personally do not want the WHO dictating any health regulations now and in the future. The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation and should not be given the power to dictate any health regulations without PRIVATE oversight of neutral bodies and Professionals. The WHO should only be an advisory body. Any Gain of Function activities and creation of viruses under the guise of R&D should be stopped. In the event that inexpensive treatment is available this should be allowed for use instead of forcing experimental treatments that are showing numerous adverse effects and proving to be harmful. Any treatment that show signs of harm should be halted without delay. All monetary gains should be eliminated to ensure honesty. Peoples rights need to be considered and accounted for at all times. Force and coercion should never be used. Each country should be allowed to determine its own course of action taking any guidelines into account. All organisations and persons who promote actions that prove to be harmful should be indicted and held accountable.
Private citizen	Not all problems we encounter need a global solution. Every country is unique & what might suit one country may not be acceptable in another. We've seen from the last 2 years that a blanket global pandemic response was catastrophic ie zero covid, lockdowns. Lives were lost that could have been avoided & livelihoods were crushed - economic collapse & consequences that will take years to recover from. The WHO is not an entity elected by the people, governments we elect can administrate their own policies in the future, hopefully without the influence of bodies that dont have a great record thus far in managing pandemic policy efficiently. We need to learn from the mistakes made since the start of the pandemic - there should not be a global response but one handled locally that suits the people who will ultimately be affected. The WHO does not need to expand its control to this degree but stick to the job at hand with a transparent, honest & unbiased approach. Every country should have the right to opt out of this arrangement without fear of incrimination or censure.
Lienes Matvejevas masieres prakse	Not allowed. There should be no such convention at all. I am opposed to such a convention.
- None -	Not anti-vaccine just anti-mandate. Better preparedness does need to be in place, but responses also should be adjusted to the circumstances. In case of covid, no vaccine requirement for those who already had it. Also better transparency to make sure business interests of vaccine companies do not inform policy.
None	Not enough freedom of speech on this forum. Please start by leaving people alone to make their own decisions about their health and the health of their own children. Practice what you preach. Diane Zimmerman
nil	Not everyone can take that vaccine. Health is not 1 size fits all. Health should be a free will choice and not being forced into it.
None	Not happy about this
	Not in my name.
Citizen	Not interested in giving any government or organization authority over my body and my health.
None	Not interested in my country of Canada joining this treaty. Because of your bad health advice regarding masks, social distancing, experimental gene therapy("vaccine"), travel restrictions and other unscientific "recommendations", you have turned my country into a tyrannical un-free hell-hole. Your organization should limit itself to consultation on clean water, food nutrition and abstinence from sex outside of marriage to stop STDs. Stay OUT of the business of sovereign nations deciding for themselves how to response to a REAL pandemic.
Uk	Not interested in your pandemic plan. You are an unelected body. Stick to advising and nothing more.
none	Not needed. The WHO is a captured organisation under the control of malign influences. Individual countries need to put in place the measures require to protect their populations. The WHO is a GLOBAL NGO which is run with Power & Control as central themes. Stay out of our lives please, you are neither wanted nor needed.
self	Not one size fits all in pandemic response. Nations need to be able to make their own choices regarding their specific situation and not according to one entities guidelines and rules
none	Not only do we oppose your treaty, we do not recognise your authority over the people of our country, New Zealand. WHO has no authority to create any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I oppose your presumptiveness and I deplore your audacity in bringing the world into a false state of emergency and injecting everyone with the gene modifying substances which caused so much death and injury. Local application of sensible measures in any health crisis is always undertaken anyway by the people and does not require centralised control. And the people have an innate right to decide how to treat themselves. No mandates. WHO has NO RIGHTS to make decisions over OUR BODIES and the BODIES OF OUR CHILDREN. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." There are no substantive elements the population of the world requires from WHO should there be any other so-called pandemic. You've done enough harm.
xxy	Not only is there is absolutely no need at all for any such "international instruments," attempting to introduce and enforce one on pandemic response would only serve to alienate and anger people even more than they already are now with the failed measures taken in the past 2 years (and decades longer if all of the planning, exercises, etc. are counted). The economic, sociocultural and environmental conditions of each global region and each nation are vastly different in countless ways. If only for this reason, each country must decide on and enforce its own health policies. These kinds of top down, one-size-fits-all approach taken by WHO and other such organizations only makes problems far, far worse than they would have been, which was once again proven with the ridiculous and genocidal response to what is generically called COVID. With the complete failure of the measures recommended by WHO and enforced by regional and national authorities, public trust has been destroyed in such institutions as well as the corporate media that unrelentingly propagates responses such as masks, social distancing and especially the disaster of lockdowns and vaccines. Continuing to push for this global treaty would damage the creditability of WHO with the general public even more than it has already been.
	Not only should the health choices of the individual be sovereign to that individual, but each country should not be bound by supranational accords which limit reasonable freedoms and health choices. I am against this Pandemic Treaty and urge a rethink.
BGCPO	Not supporting! I will that my own government and not WHO decides about me in a pandemy!
Private	NOT VACCINE PASSPORT It destroys people freedom and limits people access in the society NOT strict lockdowns violets on peoples freedom
Self	Nothing about The WHO or the people working for this organization give it the right to insert its opinions or thoughts on this world. Health care is about the individual not the collective. It is not a one idea fits all. There are 2 things guaranteed to survive in a life ending event on this planet. Viruses and bacteria. Stop the power grab.
Self	Nothing at all
alta	Nothing at all. You have proven how incompetent you are. The Who is not a serious organization. It should not create any pandemic preparedness response whatsoever.
Eu citizen	Nothing because the WHO has done too much harm already to societys around the world !!
EU citizen	Nothing else matters: Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies."
The Human Race!	Nothing needs to be implemented. Please leave us alone and let this relatively non fatal virus run its course like any virus does. No need for supposed vaccines or World Wide QR codes or chips!!! You are proposing to violate yet again my human rights.
My life	Nothing overrides a country's independence in choosing its own course of action. Not the WHO or China. WHO has proven itself irresponsible in handling covid (Wuhan virus). Pfizer has been complicit in your mishandling of covid. Never again should Big Pharma play the primary role in pandemic responses. Maybe you should concentrate on your reputation because it's sullied, so people might have confidence in you should another pandemic occur.
Family	Nothing short of 100% transparency when a Pandemic status is enforced to any Countrymen. Without FACTS to back up these terrible cases people lose faith and trust in this Entity attempting to enforce, create or devise new personal restrictions upon human beings. We all deserve truth, and not after the damage is set loose. If you start with telling the people the truth, you will have them in compliance throughout the desired need fir this as it will happen again. Trust has been broken by this current wave of highly dysfunctional, power-grabbing persons that have YET to show anything NEW to this situation in 15 months! Get to work, be honest about it & do not use media to support a narrative, just be truthful. Thank you
None	Nothing should be above the respect of human rights, as we know them so far, without them being redefined to suit any purpose. Same for the constitutions of the states. State and individual sovereignty should be protected. Governmental officials and/or pharmaceutical companies should be legally responsible for any damage caused. Agreements between states and pharmaceutical companies should be tranaparent and accessible to the public. Reports of unwanted incidents and implications caused by drugs is crucial and must be followed consistently. The definition of "pandemic" by WHO should return to what it was some years ago, before it was changed.
Romantic London	Nothing should be changed. The Current situation is illegal and we should not have had any lockdowns or Clinical trials. The Nuremberg code has been broken and those responsible should be Hung for crimes against humanity.

Organization	Written submission
Na	Nothing should be included because the treaty is not necessary nor will it align with human rights. Pandemic response must be up to individual nations, provinces and cities because no two locations have the same needs, same health profiles of their citizens, and same risk factors. There must be complete transparency of pharmaceutical companies and other corporations who make money off of these events to avoid corruption. There should not be a money making incentive for ANY company or corporation when a 'pandemic' is declared, otherwise nefarious agendas will prevail. Lockdowns, forced masking, mandated injections and other health procedures must never be used. These trample on inherent human rights and human dignity. Many countries are party to the Nuremberg Code, International Declaration of Human Rights and other international agreements that uphold human rights, the right to life and liberty, the right to make a living (work), and the right to bodily autonomy. WHO must adhere to these, and no global treaty is necessary with these already standing agreements.
Member of public	Nothing should be included because this treaty should not exist. Individual countries should have the power to make their own decisions in any future pandemic situations. Whilst information sharing should continue, individual countries should be left to decide their own course of action as sovereign nations. This treaty is nothing more than a globalist power grab.
N/A	Nothing should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, because one is not necessary or wanted. WHO treaties that remove power from the United States are dangerous to the health and welfare of all Americans. All health decisions need to stay and be decided locally, including when an emergency exists. WHO personnel were not voted in by Americans therefore, Americans are not getting a say to how health decisions occur and by whom. WHO has not made a transparent announcement to all Americans regarding providing an informed opinion or allowing active cooperation on the part of the public concerning the improvement of the heatlh of the people regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response; therefore, it should not be a topic of discussion for WHO at all.
Public	Nothing should be mandated or made compulsory in a new international instrument for the following reasons: - National and local leadership is responsible for health policy; as such they should retain full autonomy for health policy even in a pandemic, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and as local situations dictate; - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is paramount; - Advances in pandemic health policy are best made by applying a wide array of well thought solutions at local levels and monitoring and identifying and recommending these best practices globally; these iterative advances in science and pandemic response will not be possible with top-down, central dictates that do not allow deviations to drive advances in learning and 'experimentation' at local levels.
None	Nothing should be under the control of the WHO. The WHO showed their incompetence/corruption in their response in the early days of the COVID outbreak in China. The worldwide response to COVID has been utterly catastrophic and the world's health is significantly poorer because of it. There are a small number of unelected people trying to establish control of the world: THE WEF and WHO cannot be trusted.
Human Race	Nothing should circumvent or override the Sovereignty of individual nations. Clearly the WHO and member states have failed the world in this elaborately staged pandemic. The people of the world know the truth and the truth will prevail. More important than national Sovereignty is bodily Sovereignty. Not on our watch. The word is out on the not so Great, Great Reset. The world says no. These war crimes against humanity will be brought to justice and all those involved. God wins!
None	NOTHING should supersede the Constitution of the United States. It is the law of our land. Other countries can craft their own laws and do as they see fit, but NO treaty will supersede our Constitution. Americans hold this document as sacred and will not honor it being overwritten in any way.
The University of Adelaide	Nothing should undermine the ability of nations to act independently and in the manner that they see as best for their populations and national interests. This should be recognised in any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Also, it is imperative that pharmaceutical corporations not be allowed to influence, either directly or indirectly, pandemic preparedness and response decisions. Importantly, any funding to support those organisations acting under the authority of an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must only come from national governments, not from corporations or other private parties. Persons acting for those organisations must declare all financial interests.
None	Nothing that includes having a legally binding global pandemic treaty.
N/A	Nothing that interferes with National Sovereignty should be instituted in the proposition!
Free Man	Nothing that unelected and impotent WHO proclaims supersedes my inalienable God-given rights.
Human being with rights living in the USA	Nothing the WHO ever enacts be it a treaty or any other agreement has the right to toss out my human rights and my unalienable constitutional rights even if the vile beauracrats in America sign on to it. We are free peoples our rights are ours regardless of anything wether the WHO thinks so or not. The pandemic treaty is a blatant crushing of all peoples human rights freedoms and dignity. Thank you for giving me and all people a chance to share what we think about this pandemic treaty. We will stay a free people in my homeland the United States of America
Nothing	Nothing! I reject the fact that the WHO has chosen itself without the permission of the people, to their health guardian. I reject the fact that an institution, which often has no neutrality, gives itself such powers and can undermine human rights. I as a citizen decide about my health, not you as WHO or any government or other institution.
None	Nothing! The sovereignty an the power of all decisions have to be on the states!!!!!
Self	NOTHING! THERE SHOULD NOT BE AN INTERNATIONAL PANDEMIC PLAN. The US and EACH INDIVIDUAL FAMILY should be responsible for their own health. If anything is done, vitamins should be sent out, and free gym memberships! Treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO should not have power over MY health decisions. DO NOT agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "INFORMED OPINION & active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Prove it! Stop taking money from big pharmaceutical companies and Bill Gates.
No one	Nothing, it is there for all to see that an epidemic or a pandemic has is own trend. So, please, stop any kind of intervention that is useless or even harmful (like lockdowns and vaccines mandates). Please, do nothing for the future, thank you.
None	Nothing, it should not exist. It is based on fraud and deception initiated by a frauduleus body. For 1, the criteria for a pandemic are changed without consulting and no scientific evidence for doing so. The same for the definition of herd immunity that has been changed in favour of the pharmacy and the globalists.
Marcel Chartier	Nothing, whatsoever, that removes power from the USA, any emergency notwithstanding. We are a sovereign nation, and as such, it would be extremely dangerous to put power over our very health decisions into the hands of others.
None	Nothing, you can add nothing and you should stick to you own business not ours. We have our own constitution and will not submit to any of your communist whims and schemes. You make everything worse and nothing better. All you people care about is power. Leave us the hell alone. You should be dismantled.
N.a.	Nothing, you do not rule the world
None	Nothing. Pandemic waves have always existed and will always be part of our lives. Please, let the people be. Just concentrate on the public health system by allocating more resources to NHSs. Stop with paranoia, lockdowns, restrictions, mRNA vaccine mandate, vaccination certificates, discriminations, segregation. Please go back to the roots and do what you are supposed to: take care of people's health, advise national governments on what's best for human beings, not for multinational companies. Enough is enough.
N/a	Nothing. Go back to 2019 pandemic guidelines. Nothing that was tried in 2020 onwards worked at all. It just made everything worse. If there was a real pandemic we would instinctively know it was bad, not have to be continuously told its bad when the reality is very different. Get your fingers out of our lives.
Self	Nothing. We are responsible for our own bodies not an organization. Worst idea in the world. Leave people alone and let them live how they want. The pandemic response has been a disaster.
Private citizen	Nothing. Government should not interract on a personal level as they handled covid19, with emergency laws and restrictions imposed where the outcome resulted in serious economic losses and severe mental health issues worse than the virus itself. To the next, let people have choices and freedom over their own lives and use common sense and not make decisions based on money or political matters. Freedom to say Yes or No without restrictions to the choice.
None	notice of non consent to treaty men and womens rights to choose what medical treatment to have or not to have is and must be at the forefront of this discussion, no agency, governing body, organisation, state or anyone or anything else can ever rule over the free will choice of a man or woman, no matter what type of emergency have been declared; i, reject the implied authority of the WHO; as it is a organisation without accountability that has not been elected but selected and with far too deep ties to the pharmaceutical industry, who has not yet proven to have the benefit of people's health at heart; i, set my own law concerning my life and body; any man or woman who at times acts as an agent who unlawfully imposes any measure of this proposed treaty upon the property of i, without the express consent of i, will be held accountable in their private capacity, for trespass by way of harm;
Yes Movement	Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal, Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent Affidavit verified plain statement of facts God and my right: I present this affirmation as a declaration and affidavit of truth as evidence of the facts for and on the record: I am of sound mind and competent to affirm the following to be true, correct, certain and complete: I reserve all rights and waive all privileges, and present this truth in honour and in good faith, and not of the intent to be deceive or mislead. Our consent is withdrawn indefinitely, and we retain our inalienable rights with immediate effect. In addition we remove any and all implied consent for Government to sign any legal document (contract) forming an instrument or treaty on our behalf. Your immediate response will be appreciated. Katherine First Secretary General Your Economic Socialist Yes Movement All Rights Reserved (cf UCC 1-308) https://www.law.cornell.edu/ucc/1/1-308
Private person	Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal, Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent Affidavit verified plain statement of facts God and my right: I present this affirmation as a declaration and affidavit of truth as evidence of the facts for and on the record: I am of sound mind and competent to affirm the following to be true, correct, certain and complete: I reserve all rights and waive all privileges, and present this truth in honour and in good faith, and not of the intent to be deceive or mislead. Our consent is withdrawn indefinitely, and we retain our inalienable rights with immediate effect. In addition we remove any and all implied consent for Government to sign any legal document (contract) forming an instrument or treaty on our behalf. Your immediate response will be appreciated. Bradley Gawie Bradgawie@gmail.com
none	Notice to WHO This body is owned and operated exclusively by its maker & Earthly occupant, period! No permission will ever be granted to a distant political authority by the Parties above through which we relinquish ANY management / ownership Rights! Go away WHO..
Privat	Notting. Every land has their own lov. We are not going to be in EU ore her. We do not want to live this way. Freedom and humanraits. We stand for free choise. We are done with this nonsens. We are many more People who are awake no. No to epidemic and noe Moore yousing of People life. Stopp this NOW..... END EVERYTING... STOP PLAYING A MAINGAME WITH PEOLE. MANY ARE SOOO AWAKE, AND WE DO NOT STOP.
Canada Resident	Now it is looks like the WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet you don't have the authority to override the Constitution of Canada, nor its national sovereignty. I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder Canadian sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health. I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and demand that my voice be heard.
Independent Medical Doctor	Now more than ever Humanity and all that it encompasses needs to be protected and respected. In order to make decisions that deeply affect the whole of humanity and our planet, the WHO should be free from conflicts of interests and be a position to make unbiased decisions. Despire the wonderful work the WHO does, this is not the case anymore. When the WHO receives significant funding from those that profit from pandemics, those that create malnutrition and ill health and those that undermine breastfeeding, how can the WHO devise global consensus plans that are unbiased, in the best interest of humanity and not that of the commercial companies who stand to benefit most from pandemics, food poverty and reduced breastfeeding? Please think deeply about this question. The WHOs pandemics' plan will affect the rest of humanity. Creating a plan that is not absolute but recommendations and allows democratic governmental interpretation in their own context will allow neutralisation of potential unseen biases and greater implementation freedom thus respecting democracy which stands to serve humanity best. Please ensure it contains an infant feeding/Breastfeeding emergency plan. Thank you. With care, Sarah
Lincoln Republican Women Org	Number one should tenet should be the individual's Health Freedom. The right to make one's own health decisions with their individual Doctor of their Choice. Government should not be involved in the individual's health decisions. Each person has a unique body chemistry and health history which makes it a requirement to make individual health decisions. Period. Government should not be involved in these decisions as they do not have the insight, access or knowledge as to the best interests of the individual.
Eltern stehen auf	Nun kann man an sich und seine Stärke glauben. Angst sollte und Bevormundung darf nie mehr wieder so einen Platz einnehmen. Es war ein großes Versagen und auch jeder weitere Tag in dieser Zeit eine Katastrophe mit unvorstellbaren Ausmaß der Katastrophe. Gesunde Menschen sollten sich nicht testen müssen um zu schauen ob die krank sind. Menschen haben Menschen verloren an einer Grippe und Kinder sind alleine ohne ihre Eltern im Krankenhaus gestorben, verschobene Op-Termine um Betten frei zu halten, Selbstmord aus Angst und Einsamkeit, alte Menschen unwürdig mit Abstand in ihren letzten Tagen ihres Lebens allein gelassen. Ich bin unendlich traurig und ich will nicht darüber nachdenken was kommen könnte. Wir sind stark und gut gerüstet mit einem wunderbar geschaffenen Körper. Ich habe keine Angst vor dem Virus, wirklich nie gehabt und es ist schlimm mit anzusehen, wie sehr mit Panik machen (Medien, Ärzte, Politiker) meine Mitmenschen aus ihrem schönen Leben gerissen wurden. Angst ist das Grundgerüst auf dem diese sogenannte Pandemie steht. Was soll man also das nächste Mal anders machen? Uns in Ruhe leben lassen, danke.
Earthling	Nur 1 tag Zeit zum Statement? Wir Menschen möchten selbstbestimmt, frei und körperlich unversehrt bleiben. Unsere Grundrechte sind unantastbar. Der Einfluss der Pharmalobby muss aufhören. Ihr machtveuch mitschuldig. Die Wahrheit wird immer siegen. Für Souveränität des eigenen Landes.
Privat	Nur mit Garantien und Berücksichtigung für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
privat	Nur nach Abstimmung aller mündigen Bürger, 100% aller abgegebenen Stimmen müssen für eine Empfehlung einer Maßnahme erreicht werden. Die vorgeschlagene Maßnahme muss mindestens 3 Monate vorher über alle Medien offiziell und jedem bekannt gemacht werden! Alle Maßnahmen sind nur Vorschläge ohne rechtliche Folgen und nicht bindend für alle Bevölkerungsgruppen. Grundrechte sind unter allen Umständen unantastbar. Für körperlichen und wirtschaftlichen Schaden aus der Empfehlung einer Maßnahme haftet die WHO innerhalb eines Monats nach Entstehung des Schadens zu 100%.
	Nuremberg Code is mandatory! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law and I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
No Organization	Nuremberg Codex must be considered. No medical treatment for humans with a experimental drug like Covid vaccines
WCH	O acordo da OMS deve se limitar rigorosamente à simples orientação, aos governos, de medidas pertinentes e garantir integralmente o seguinte: • As lideranças nacional e local mantêm total autonomia, reservando-se o direito de tomar decisões com base no que é melhor para seu próprio povo. • A capacidade de nações e municípios locais optarem por não participar de todas e quaisquer partes do acordo como acharem adequado, sem consequências e penalidades. • Um processo aberto e transparente com a possibilidade de todas as pessoas do mundo votarem, incluindo medidas que impeçam a aplicação do acordo global em lugares onde a maioria das pessoas não o deseja. • Medidas que impeçam a influência no processo por empresa farmacêutica, laboratório ou por qualquer outro cujo interesse inclua lucratividade econômica/financeira por conta do combate às pandemias.//
Sara	o National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. o The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. o An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. o Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. o Each living wo/man is empowered to choose their own health measures. Those on offer or other. o That all people serving on the Pandemic Treaty commitee be registered medical professionals with no Corporate affiliations.
Privatperson	Ob die Notwendigkeit eines internationalen Instruments zur Vorbereitung auf Pandemien besteht, ist fraglich. Folgendes muss berücksichtigt werden: Bürgerrechte auf Autonomie, vollkommene Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl, sowie selbstständige Entscheidung über die Gesundheit jedes einzelnen.
Private	Oberstes Gebot muss die Wahrung der Menschenrechte und die Souveränität des Einzelnen sein. Auch die Souveränität des Staates soll weiterhin bestehen bleiben. Regierungsbeamte und Pharmaunternehmen müssen vor dem Gesetz haften. Ein Vorgehn soll nach Verhältnismäßigkeit stattfinden und dementsprechend angepasst werden.
Individual	Obligatory Elements for Inclusion * Any data determining a health recommendation to be collated by a broad selection of scientists from similar and from a wider range of expertise. * None of these specialists to be financially beholden in any way to any pharmaceutical business. * Presentation of said data underpinning any recommendation to be easily accessible to the broader public. * Regardless of recommendations, nations, states, Municipal bodies and individuals to be assured of choice to act according to these recommendations or otherwise without fear of job loss or any other exclusionary diktat. * Should the World Health Organization disregard any of the above actions, its senior office bearers to be liable for prosecution in The International Court at The Hague.
private	-observance of constitution and self-determination -open, balanced and true reporting (no hyperbolism) via TV and other medias -no force of taking any vaccination, especially no MRNA -no more lock-downs or forced social distancing -free choice of wearing masks or any other kind of "protections"
Citizen of the United States of America	Observing the course of the pandemic over the last two years has instilled a profound realization that centralized power (in any regard) is overwhelmingly a negative to society. There is a perverse and corruptive force that has metastasized within large organizations such as the WHO and such organizations are founded upon the hubristic belief that individual people are too foolish and weak to make the best choices for themselves and their families without coercive force applied by statist bureaucrats who are funded in whole, or in part, by large commercial interests. As such, I am strongly opposed to any further strengthening of WHO powers and argue for a contrary course: that the WHO should be shattered into a thousand pieces or abolished entirely.
None	Observing, it obvious during the introduction of mandates, that lockdowns were causing more harm than good. In British Columbia, Canada we witnessed increased unemployment as businesses shut down, increased death from overdoses, social isolation, delays in speech and language development, delayed and cancelled surgeries, family separation and the introduction of policies that have created segregation and a class of untouchables. It did not take me two years of research to come to the same conclusions as public health and infectious disease experts from around the world, The unintended Consequences of COVID-19 Vaccine Policy: Why Mandates, Passports, and Segregated Lockdowns May Cause more Harm than Good. Bardosh, K. et al. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4022798 Most of this data was available from Statistics Canada at the end of 2020. To working class Canadians it is also called common sense. There is much work ahead of us as we rebuild our communities, our relationships and help families rebuild livelihoods. Children will need help catching up on social, speech and learning skills. My hope is that our children will be able to forgive us for not protecting them from the damage of lockdowns, vaccinations, and the uncertain future that we are leading them into. I do not support a pandemic treaty that is global with a one size fits all solution. No one has authority over our health and the health of our families. Now is the time for us to build healthy communities based on wellness, local initiatives, trust, research unbiased by profit, inclusiveness, and open dialogue. The WHO has no place, as an unelected body, in creating such a treaty.
SLO	Odločno proti.
Swiadomi	Odmawiam zaufania społeczeństwu, które składa się z ludzi, a mimo to opiera się na strachu przed tym, co ludzkie. Odmawiam zaufania cywilizacji, która zdradziła umysł na rzecz ciała. Odmawiam zaufania ciału, które nie jest z mojej własnej krwi i kości, lecz stanowi kolektywną wizję normalnego ciała. Odmawiam zaufania normalności, która sama siebie definiuje jako zdrowie. Odmawiam zaufania zdrowiu, które samo siebie definiuje jako normalność. Odmawiam zaufania systemowi władzy, który opiera się na błędnym wnioskowaniu. Odmawiam zaufania pewności, która chce stanowić ostateczną odpowiedź, nie zdradzając, jak brzmi pytanie. Odmawiam zaufania filozofii, która udaje, że zakończył się spór o problemy egzystencjalne. Odmawiam zaufania moralności, która jest zbyt leniwa, żeby stawić czoła paradoksowi dobra i zła, i woli obstawać przy „działa" lub „nie działa". Odmawiam zaufania prawu, które swoje sukcesy zawdzięcza pełnej kontroli obywatela. Odmawiam zaufania narodowi, który uważa, że totalne prześwietlanie szkodzi tylko komuś, kto ma coś do ukrycia. Odmawiam zaufania METODZIE , która woli wierzyć badaniu DNA człowieka, a nie jego słowom. Odmawiam zaufania powszechnemu dobru, jako że w samostanowieniu widzi niemożliwy do uniesienia czynnik kosztów. Odmawiam zaufania jednostkowemu dobru, dopóki jest wyłącznie wariacją tego, co można sprowadzić do najmniejszego wspólnego mianownika. Odmawiam zaufania polityce, która swoją popularność opiera wyłącznie na obietnicy życia wolnego od ryzyka. Odmawiam zaufania nauce, która twierdzi, że nie istnieje wolna wola. Odmawiam zaufania miłości, która uważa siebie za wytwór immunologicznego procesu optymalizacji. Odmawiam zaufaniu WHO, ktora wie lepiej od mnie, co jest dla mnie dobre.
humanity	Of course national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There has to be an open and transparent process allowing for all people of the world to vote on measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The fail-safe measure must be that communities are not required to conform unless they opt in. All pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be kept out of all policy formulation and execution.
private	Of course, a non-governmental organization like the WHO should not have any influence on the governments of sovereign nation states and should not interfere in the affairs of the countries. This mania for centralization and the idea of an (allegedly) value-based or rule-based global world order is a totalitarian catastrophe! Who Makes the Rules? The people? Who says what a value is or what a value should look like? The citizen? Hardly likely! Centralization takes away the rights of citizens and countries and gives them to a (relatively) small group of unelected, politically and economically motivated, sometimes corrupt lobbyists and self-proclaimed "elites". Nation states are a form of separation of powers. Just like in federalism and in the separation of powers into legislative, judiciary and executive, power is shared on several shoulders. There is mutual control and there is an opportunity for different countries/regions/peoples to make different decisions. Adapted to the particular situation and the WILL OF THE CITIZENS. Countries with their own peoples, cultures, traditions and beliefs are not "backward-looking". They are what gives us stability and security. So far, all these foundations and NGOs have only caused destabilization, war and poverty.
none	Of major practical importance will be for each participating country to retain their sovereign rights on medical and constitutional matters. The WHO through the proposed Treaty may recommend but cannot decree to participating countries. The "WHO Constitution" through the proposed Treaty will not take precedence over a country's Constitution in times of disaster or pandemic. The proposed Treaty needs to be clear in its support of each person's individual right to choose the way he /she will care for their own personal health.
Private person	Of utmost importance: 1. individuals, National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for themselves and their own region. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they wish, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to read, understand and decide individually on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of any measure where an individual does not want it. 4. Measures must forbid any and all influence in any part of the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and ANY organizations that profit in any way financially or otherwise from any measures adopted or recommended.
Person	Offer refused
none	Ohne demokratische Abstimmung in den europäischen Ländern ist ein solcher Vertrag nichtig!
I am a sovereign being of planet Earth	On behalf of all humanity I would like to ask why the WHO have not promoted health during the past two years. Why weren't natural means of staying well promoted, such as sunshine, healthy food, exercise and fresh air. And why was Hydroxychloroquine banned when it has been successfully used for over 70 years. Why was Ivermectin banned even though it saved thousands of lives in India?
Children's health defense	On behalf of all sovereign men and women, we do not agree to the creation of a world health treaty. The Constitution is far greater than the WHO and it's agenda. As a sovereign woman this also includes my little man who is sovereign. Health is not a public matter. Rather a very personal matter and should be the responsibility of the individual not a group of people to whom there is zero connection, contact or relations. It is not the authority of politicians with personal agendas and parties with a global agenda. You do not have the authority as the WHO does not rule over sovereign men and women. If you do, evidence must be provide as proof of claim. Health is also not a one size fits all. There is ethnicity, demographical differences amongst other factors. I do not consent and do not wish to contract. Melissa Anthony Anthonysamy All rights reserved None waiver ever.
Avast	On behalf of Avast (https://www.avast.com/) and the Trust Over IP (ToIP) Foundation (https://trustoverip.org/), we believe that a cryptographically verifiable digital health status credential conforming to the W3C open standards (https://www.w3.org/TR/vc-data-model/) and universally interoperable according to the ToIP stack being defined by WHO, the ToIP Foundation (https://trustoverip.org/permalink/Introduction-to-ToIP-V2.0-2021-11-17.pdf), and the Global COVID Credentials Network (https://www.lfph.io/global-covid-certificate-network/) is the most important instrument that can assist with pandemic preparedness and response.
Canadian Covid Care Alliance	On behalf of Canadian Covid Care Alliance, a volunteer non profit organization comprised of more than 500 Canadian medical doctors, university professors, scientific researchers and regulated health care practitioners, I submit that the following substantive elements be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1) All Member nations and their local leaders retain full autonomy over all guidelines, suggestions, and protocols or rules of the pandemic response instrument. 2) All Member nations and local governments retain the right to opt out of any or all portions of the instrument, at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process allowing all potentially affected citizens of all Member nations to vote on the response instrument, and be allowed to reject it by majority vote. 4) Enforceable measures to prevent corporations, non-profits or any entity or person that is not a member nation from influencing the instrument. With all due respect, as much as the proposition implies beneficence it allows maleficence, and therefore it is fatally flawed in a democratic world and so it must include the above provisions for accountability and transparency.
Lomita Community Parents Watch Group	On behalf of concern parents of our Community Parents Watch Group, we urge you to stop and not continue this Pandemic Peace Treaty with the United States.
I.A.T.S.E. Local 798	On behalf of myself and fellow crew members we want that our National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.. We opt out . Thank you
We the People of the United States of America/ An Concerned Citizen of the l	On behalf of the Free Citizens of the World & the US who's inalienable rights come from God & God alone; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizens of Walsall	On behalf of the people of Walsall, England, I oppose any suggestion that the WHO can impose itself upon the sovereignty of any nation. Kindly cease and desist.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	On pandemic preparedness, not much can be said because no one can particularly know when an outbreak and or epidemic will spread and become a pandemic. The only way to truly prepare for a pandemic is on the local level, starting with proper hygiene, in order to mitigate the possibility of one occuring. On pandemic response, I firmly believe that the main response to a pandemic should be first the priority of a nation. The nation works out what they have determined is an effective treatment for their people, and along the way they should share their findings with other nations. Because, of course, there are differences among different types of populations. Eastern Europeans may be more susceptible to some form of treatment than South Americans or some other population, and a certain disease may have some unknown effect on one population and a different effect on another population. Therefore, I think that the only substantive element to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be the affirmation that nations sort out their population first with regard to the pandemic, and from there pull their data with other nations to determine the most effective treatments. Depending on the severity (i.e. fatality rate) of a disease, nations should work quickly, yet effectively and with purpose, on providing a universal treatment. However, there shouldn't be a tyranny over which treatments are to be used, just practical recommendations supported by facts and good, publicly available, science.
National Press Club	On Preparedness: always, pandemic or no pandemic, the WHO should focus on EDUCATING the people on hygiene and sanitation as a way to prevent diseases. The poorer the country, the stronger should WHO's efforts be on this. On Response: while guiding the whole world on how to respond to a pandemic, the WHO should nonetheless RESPECT the freedom of individual countries to self-manage, to TRUST these countries' medical preferences and various ways of dealing with the situation. The WHO may recommend but never impose one-size-fits-all solutions on countries, acknowledging their sovereignty and inalienable human rights.
European citizen	On preparedness: The living Standards (especially in relatively poor countries) habe to be uplifted. The economy must be strengthend. Programms Luke „small credits, also for women" should be forced more, to give work and stability . Vetter hygiene is vital for health. (Clean water enough food) Local projects for saving the environment have to be startend. WARS MUST END! This is the biggest risk for pandemiks. On response: The natural law and civil rights oft the people has to be respected. National law can not be overruled. Nobody can be forced to take any action against their will. Authonomy oft the countries has to be guarantied. Transparency and freedom oft choice is vital. Companies and organisations has to be transparent.
Sovereign citizen	On the first instance, this agreement is unnecessary and infringes on our sovereignty. Our Impartial and Capable specialists are more than able to manage a pandemic. A blanket agreement cannot work with a multi-cultural community.
AnimalWelfareAndTrade	Once again, the COVID-19 pandemic has dramatically shown how an approach mostly based on preparedness and response is inadequate to properly protect public health. Trying to avoid preventative measures has been one of the most frequent and evident mistakes made by most governments and IGOs over the years. It has regularly been claimed that resources are insufficient to adopt such measures, with the predictable result that a much higher price has been paid – including in terms of lives – when epidemics and pandemics have struck. Most diseases have a zoonotic origin, often due to the unsuitable conditions in which animals are kept or treated for the production of food, or even for activities such as fur farming or wildlife trade that are already banned in many countries. The risks posed by such activities should not be underestimated, and the economic interests of some should not be allowed to generate massive problems for the whole community. Prevention should be integrated as a priority into the new international instrument under discussion, to avoid the resurgence – or at least to decrease the number and intensity – of epidemics and pandemics in the future.
none	Once you give a charlatan power over you, you almost never get it back." What's the difference between truth and fiction. Fiction has to be believable Hope sometims triumphs over reality You can lead a whore to culture but you can't make her think Were these shots designed for omicron? No. Does policy keep up with science? No. So, here we are with a shot that doesn't cover the current virus." Good decisions come from experience. Experience comes from bad decisions. In any other normal situation, the number of deaths reported in the CDC adverse event reporting system (VAERS) would have tripped the stop switch." If there is risk, there must be choice. One man, one vote, each time. = Freedom. One man, one vote, one time. = communism. You can vote communism in. But you can't vote your way out!
Uni Stgt	One Element should be decision makers beeing made responsible for every damage they do to people by lockdowns, masks wearing or secret gene therapy.
Public member	One element should clearly stop any individual country from being forced or coerced to implement any measures by an international body such as the W.H.O. or W.E.F., including health mandates such as lockdown, public mask wearing and pharmaceutical intervention mandates.
Humans of Earth	One element to be focused on should be health. Not health through vaccinations and medications but health through proper nutrition, exercise, sleep, stress relief, vitamins and human connection. Upping the baseline of natural health throughout the world will aid in decreasing negative outcomes during a pandemic. Another element to be focused on should be freedom of choice. Each person in the world is unique. Attempting to create a world response to a potential future pandemic is not only reckless but insulting to humans' intelligence while disregarding autonomy of any kind.
Hmc	One element which is autonomy without career consequences. Like in the case of covid, people were prevented from travel, study, trade and even entering hospital without the Vaccine for a disease that have low case fatality rate. Regardless if what the case fatality is, people should be able to choose what goes into their bodies without consequences. If u r vaccinated, then u r protected and u should not be worried from the unvaccinated. Thank you
The human race	One entity cannot control the world's people. This is dangerous and unjust.
Individual	One global governing body pertaining to billions of people makes NO SENSE. The only persons who know what is right and appropriate in any pandemic or other circumstance is the LOCAL government. National and local leadership need to retain FULL AUTONOMY, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. In any event, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence are CRITICAL. There must be an OPEN AND TRANSPARENT process, FREE OF PRIVATE INTEREST with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Specifically, measures MUST BE IMPOSED to PREVENT influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	One law cannot possibly cover all societies as different climates, persons require different assistance. If any treaty is passed that would make the interests of Gates and Soros in charge of our health in case of a pandemic. That would be the most flagrant violation of freedom ever concocted. any government that signs such an agreement has just sold their country to the power elites.
Private individual	One main element that should be included is the ability of any nation to manage its own local public health... localized control should always be an option! Pharmaceutical companies and other profiteers from health industry should not be participating in the terms of the treaty. Thank you.
USA	One medication for all is the wrong way to go with pandemics especially the kind of pandemic that we just went through. Shutting down services to only focus on one disease only created sicker people once hospitals opened again to all disease processes. Censoring bedside doctors who challenged widespread claims of how to treat a novel disease and intimidating those who were too weak to speak up only prolonged the pandemic. Having one medication for all disregarded that each human is unique with their own health statuses and needs. Medicine should be a private and personalized plan between a doctor and a patient, not forced by politicized bureaucrats who don't work at the bedside. Vaccines should never be fast tracked or coerced or mandated. We have created a second class of people who are routinely discriminated against based on their private medical information, we have completely disregarded medical ethics. To prepare populations for the next pandemic, we need more than one voice and a forum to debate science without constraint. Pharmaceutical companies are too powerful and should not be granted immunity ever. The world needs to be educated on the benefits of healthy weight and lifestyles. Vitamin D levels need to be checked every year and people need to be educated on reducing their risk of flu/pneumonia. Medicine should be catered to the individual's risk level, not like we did this last pandemic where people were led to believe that the risk of death from the virus was equal between a healthy 20 year old and an 80 year old with 3 comorbities. The WHO, CDC, NIH, and the world's governments really failed the world and a lot of regular people suffered because of it. We must do better in the future.
Foundation Institute for Legal Culture Ordo Iuris	One of our concerns is to guarantee freedom of science, so researchers are free to develop and discuss their hypotheses and research. This freedom has shown itself to be very beneficial, especially in the context of recent years. Confrontation of ideas, discussing studies and exchange of experiences should be promoted in the interest of public health. We have seen some examples of brave doctors who risked their reputation and careers to express their opinions that differed from mainstream. Because of these risks and their brave attitude their opinions should be respected and valued. Unfortunately, in some places there are tendencies to do the opposite, to suppress their voices. Therefore, I think we should establish some sort of protection of this freedom, especially in the context of social media platforms and censorship attempts. Our second remark concerns the effectiveness of pandemic management. We believe that the autonomy of the local governments in this area should be preserved. They are close to their people and have knowledge of the situation in their areas of responsibility. Of course, good advice and offer of help is always welcomed, but the principle of subsidiarity should be observed.
Pausa	One of the crucial aspects of an efficient centralized mechanism of an international prepared response for a pandemic such as Sars-COV2 is an independent council that allows novel, heterodoxical, and alternative views on the potentially approved plan to be presented and published and discussed publicly. The necessity for this comes as the established protocols, due to their international cohesive nature, may be too slow to adapt or recalibrate in time due to new data, or because of the bureaucratic nature of such a project (meaning, the proposed WHO international response) This will allow the trust in WHO to be maintained through the entire process, since the intention of such a bancing counterforce would be to be explicitly open about both mistakes and new data.
Self	One of the key elements of any pandemic should be to share information openly, not just assume a government knows what is best for its people. Transparency is valuable for them to make an informed decision. Everyone is fallible so it's critical to have open debate with scientists (pro and against) before implementing any vaccine/mandate/order/policy. Another element I think is most necessary to include is that a person should have the right to their own decision on health and what goes into their own body. This is necessary to prevent a tyrannical organisation from mandating that one answer is suitable for all people. It is also necessary to stop experimental drugs from being released before being properly tested. Drug companies need to be held accountable if they put drugs into the market that are not properly tested. Some individuals may react to new drugs and have lifethreatening consequences, or lifelong disabilities as per the covid vaccine. Even some people who had myocarditis, or some other major illness, were not exempt. The NZ government coerced people to take the vaccine as they instituted lockdowns until they complied, or they were told they couldn't work unless they were vaccinated. Many people suffered or died unduly because they were coerced to take the vaccine. Part of being human is making the decisions for ourselves and leading the life that we choose, either from making good decisions or poor. For example if we choose to smoke or drink, or take drugs, or simply eat the incorrect foods and get diabetes, but governments are not doing much to prevent these substances. People then have to deal with the consquences from making these decisions. And this is their right.
Teacher	One of the most essential elements I support to be included in international pandemic preparedness is freedom for American citizens to choose their response. WHO treaties that remove power from the United States are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. I am a certified teacher choosing to homeschool as a parent. Currently we are reading about the world wars and 1800-1900s history. In one proposal for peace it was suggested that America go to war when any allied nation engaged in war. Wisely, America chose to not sign that agreement. This current response to a pandemic reminds me that we need to maintain freedom over our bodies and allow America and it's states decide its course of action.
Education	One of the substantive elements that should be considered is the freedom to use alternative herbal medicines without the opposition of the governments and health agencies. Being a resident from a country who cultural heritage provide vast options for herbal and natural healing, it should be noted and duly recognized that throughout Philippine history our healthcare services have always been divided into two, scientific and natural. These two methods have tremendously helped Filipinos to fight diseases by opting whatever we choose appropriate. Thes options and having the freedom to choose for our health should be considered no matter a pandemic or not should arise. Our health is not one size fits all and it is the moral obligation as well as responsibility of the governing agencies to respect our rights to our own bodies. Respect should never be seasonal, it should be for all seasons.
VINEx	One of the unexpected consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic was the emergence of a post COVID-19 condition, as defined by WHO, more commonly known as Long COVID. Long COVID is having devastating impacts on health, society and the economy—it is estimated that anywhere from 10 to 30 percent of people infected by the virus are living with Long COVID. While there are as many as 200 reported symptoms, many of the most frequently reported are neurological or psychiatric, and these symptoms persist. Yet, for those with Long COVID, potentially up to 150 million people worldwide, there are limited answers and care options. The COVID-19 pandemic was unprecedented. However, there are precedents of viral pandemics leading to neurological and psychiatric illness going back centuries; and there will be more, especially as the climate changes, human built-environments expand, and animal-human interactions increase. We must build investments to advance our understanding of post-viral conditions (including Long COVID), and measures to develop care and treatment options, into pandemic preparedness. In addition, as the COVID-19 pandemic's impact on brain health illustrates, there is a need to have a better understanding of the intersection of brain health and the physical environment. This will be key for pandemic preparedness and response. If and when a future pandemic impacts brain health, we need pathways to possible solutions that could be rapidly scaled and deployed.
None	One single entity should not be in charge of making decisions for all communities. It should be up to the people in them.
United States of America	One size does not fill all, therefore it's important to retain the following: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Annie Brooks World Citizen United States of America
Personal	One Size Does Not Fit All, especially when it comes to health and freedom. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fails Upholding human dignity and respecting peoples individual choices
Us Citizen	One size does not fit all. WHO officials should not take away the rights of individual countries, states, and municipalities to set their own health policies based on their particular circumstances. The WHO can assist individual countries with recommendations for preparedness for possible future pandemics, nothing more.
private citizen	One size does NOT fit all: national and local leadership retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Transparent measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Law Office of Sharon L Ceasar	One size does not work for all. I strongly disagree with the WHO having control over any of my health decisions. I don't believe that mandatory vaccines for all people should be forced on anyone to control a pandemic.
Public	One size fits all approach doesn't seem to work. Every country is different and every person is different. The safest route is to encourage each country to establish a taskforce that will advise it's citizens on how to boost their immunity through natural, inexpensive and effective means such as exposure to fresh air, exercise, sun (Vitamin D) and consumption of fresh foods containing Vitamins C, A, K and as well as selenium, copper, magnesium, zinc and iodine. Only natural immunity can adapt to ever changing viruses and germs that are a threat to us. Pharma products, while effective in certain circumstances, aren't as robust or instantly adaptable. Also their price tag is beyond what most countries, already greatly indebted, can afford.
Citizen	One size fits all approach is not a ideal response globally and does not instill faith in local government. Let each nation carry out its own pandemic management by people who have been elected by each country. There should not be a one world approach to pandemics as no two countries are the same and this will lead to catastrophic implications for all
General public	One size fits all does not work for all people in all areas. Local governments need to be in control over what preventative and reactive measures they take in the case of a pandemic or any public health measures. It should not be up to the WHO to mandate or restrict anyone. Their job should be to collect information and make recommendations according to information collected. They are not a governing body and should not act as such.
N/a	One size fits all medicine is not the best for everyone. For instance ignoring previous infection and (superior) naturally acquired immunity also people with previous adverse reactions being told need to still get the second one. Aswell as different demographics needs. The rights of the individual is always better for society than the so called greater good.
Human being	One size fits all solution to any health crisis is not the answer. Each individual has the God given right to bodily sovereignty and no political or health entity needs to infringe on each person's individual rights to making their own health decisions. I say NO to any proposed system that would infringe on our individual rights!
None	One size fits all solutions in the face of health crises are demonstrably harmful. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is BEST for their OWN people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process providing all people of the world to vote on measures BEFORE they are implemented with failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. MUST PROHIBIT influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
GOOD THING INC	One solution does not work for all. Individual treatment programs are a right of free people, and mandates have proven to be ineffective. There should be no power to compel, each individual should be free to work with their personal doctor to find the treatments that suit the patient best.
Private Citizen	One substantive element that I suggest be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is an effective use of technology to broadly share global knowledge on preparedness and response. Technology would be developed to serve as an accessible repository of pandemic-related information as well as a expedient means of communication regarding needs, risks and actions during an active pandemic.
Member of the Public	One substantive element that I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is that each country and locality along with it's leadership retain full autonomy and be able to decide what is best for its own people. A second important element is to allow each country to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without enduring any repercussions upon doing so. Thirdly, it is of great importance that pharmaceutical companies not influence the process in any way. This should also apply to all other companies or individuals who wish to profit from a pandemic. I ask that the proposed pandemic treaty be stopped. Thank- you Deborah Klukas
Learning Light Foundation	One substantive item that we would have to include is that each nation state must have the right to determine its own response, as each country has different weather patterns, ecological systems, etc. Not to mention a sovereign people has the right to govern themselves with their own elected representatives. It would undermine the very ideal of democracy to subject a group of people to the will and edicts and mandates of unelected bureaucrats who know nothing of their particular country or circumstances. And each country should favor local rules and local control, in accordance with democratic principles. Never again should we be subject to the tyrannical rule of unelected bureaucrats who make up the "science" as they go along, and have conflicts of interest and questionable motives. Above all the profits of big pharma should never be considered as more important than people's right to try treatments to defeat a disease, to survive and thrive. We must consider as sacrosanct the doctor patient relationship and must not prosecute doctors who are willing to try medicines already considered safe, in order to save patients lives. We must not politicize health challenges and must try to keep the power in the hands of the people themselves. We must never force or mandate any treatment upon anybody and must recognize and uphold as sacrosanct the principles of the Nuremburg Code. Thank you for your requesting my response.
citizen of Canada	One thing, I think we are learning re. Covid-19 is that public health is not a one-size-fits all but illness has to be looked at on an individual basis. Our humanity is strengthened and enriched when many, many different ideas and strengths are woven together to come up with solutions. Think of an ant colony working together. We need people and communities in control of their own health. NOT A CENTRALIZED APPROACH UNDER W.H.O. WHO should NOT and can NOT supersede the authority of individual nations and their constitutions. I also find it very troubling that such a momentous treaty as this one is effectively being negotiated behind closed door. Open, transparent discussion is needed!
test submission	one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten one two three four five six seven eight nine ten
Private citizen	One world authority on health is a bad idea, because people need their medical freedom, and it is a human rights violation to force or coerce unwanted medical procedures on people. Paternalistic governments and world bodies have to recognize that people have minds of their own and agency and are not to be herded like sheep. Medical freedom is a cornerstone of human rights. It is not for governments or world bodies to violate them.
Myself	One's health care choices must be made by that individual.
myself	One's health care choices must be made by that individual.
none just me	One's health is a personal matter, building a strong immune system with whole organic food, fresh air, purified water, exercise, meditation and positive social interactions are paramount. World Health Organization has failed to help provide most of these essentials. Health Soverienty must not governed by any organization, it is an individual choice.
me	One-size-fits-all public health measures don't work and pose a grave danger to what ought to be a pluralistic world society. Don't.
self-employed	One-size-fits-all public health measures don't work! Each nation and community is unique. We need autonomy, not global mandates dreamt up by technocrats and pandemic profiteers.
Free Organization	One-size-fits-all public health measures don't work! Each nation and community is unique. We need autonomy, NOT GLOBAL MANDATES dreamt up by technocrats and pandemic profiteers. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. WHO agenda to centralize global control of public health to benefit Big Pharma, major corporations and global financial capital IS NOT ACCEPTABLE!!!
Personal submission	One-size-fits-all public health measures don't work! Each nation and community is unique. We need autonomy, not global mandates dreamt up by technocrats and pandemic profiteers. After the failure of the last 2 years by the WHO and other associated criminal elements of the science world we the People just want to be left alone to live in privacy, freedom, liberty, and the right to choose. You are not elected by any country, you exist within the United Nations, which many citizens now want their countries to leave. Authority over sovereign countries by unelected so called health experts is not what we want. In fact you have turned Millions of people towards healthy living, dietery supplements, and away from any chemically induced gene therapies. In 2022, the world is living in the best living conditions to date. I find it extraordinary that the WHO thinks it knows best for all corners of the world. I don't think you are going to pay attention to any submissions...I'm sure you are on your path already. You are the problem, not the solution. You need to be defunded and disbanded.
N/A	One-size-fits-all public health measures don't work. Each nation and community is unique. We need autonomy, not global mandates dreamt up by one authority or organisation.
United States Chamber of Commerce	Only a whole-of-society approach that includes the private sector and civil society will ensure our success in future pandemic preparedness and response (PPR). The private sector offers deep expertise and lessons learned across the entire PPR value chain, from R&D and analysis of pathogens, to manufacturing, storage, communication, and delivery of medical products. Any international agreement should commit to direct engagement with the business community and decision-making processes that allow for public-private coordination at every stage of pandemic response. Within the current pandemic, we have moved away from issues of vaccine supply and into broader health systems challenges related to infrastructure, logistics, vaccine hesitancy and workforce. Therefore, we must prioritize health systems strengthening for future pandemics. Predictable and sustainable financing is the foundation of strong health systems; Ministers of Finance should work more closely with Ministers of Health to establish resilient health systems. The lack of regulatory convergence has significantly slowed access to safe and effective medical products. Member States should adopt globally convergent regulatory requirements, good reliance practices, work-sharing, and electronic processes to drive efficient access as part of pandemic PPR. Finally, we must preserve IP protections. COVID-19 medical products are the result of decades of investment in R&D--investment contingent on strong and clear IP rules that enabled hundreds of international industry partnerships and unprecedented global supplies. IP laws stimulate innovation ecosystems that ensure investment in the next generation of vaccines, diagnostics, and therapeutics to combat future pandemics.
None	Only after a number of carefully planned and orchestrated discussions among the world's top experts in science and medicine (especially those not affiliated in any way with the government or Big Pharma)with varying perspectives and opinions, should a protocol be established for a pandemic, which should never include mandates. Every individual must always have the freedom to decide for themselves which foods, beverages, pharmaceuticals, substances of any kind they will take into their bodies.
grace_taylor_183@hotmail.com	Only democratically elected governments should have the authority to advise medical treatment following consultation with medically trained professionals who are both in favour and against said treatment. This is the basis of informed consent. Even then, medical intervention on sovereign adults should never be forced and will never be accepted. Citizens have free will to decide which, if any, treatments to undergo or enable their children to undergo.
B1	Only individuals can decide over their personal health decisions. Small community organizations should be free to choose their response to a crisis. Anything else is tyranny and will not be tolerated.
no	Only information by an undependent institute of experts is allowed, but all treats done only by free will of persons and states !
Citizen USA	Only local authorities should set any needed health regulation. Subsidiarity requires this. Local authority and autonomy must be respected.
Rego Partners	Only parliaments and courts can limit basic liberties such as freedom of movement or dependency on vaccination status, respect for the right not to be vaccinated, and not globally unelected organisations. Decisions or advice of such organisations should be guaranteed multidisciplinary including psychologal angel without any ab initio priority givne to one sided recommendations by vaccinology. Decisions or advice should ensure that saving old is not prioritized over the education, psychological health an future of young people. Decison takers should be held fully accountable for their actions and should face heavy penalties for undisclosed conflicts of interest or violation of constitutional laws.
ngo	Only suggestions should be included. Nothing mandatory. Let people be responsible for themselves.
DEH Consulting	ONLY the statement "•: Create an international structure for sharing dangerous pathogens and genetic information" might belong in such a pact. EVERYTHING ELSE infringes on constitutional RIGHTS!
Individual	Only verifiable and authenticated statistics to be shared:. Links available for all individuals to check out sources and contributing information. Qualifications of any policy advocates to be available for scrutiny. Any advice given should be in the context of resources and options available to governments All measures advised should be subject to examination by authorised bodies with declaration of any interest in said measures, financial or otherwise.. Transparency in the above to avoid any "Conflicts of interest". Absolute ongoing accountability for advice, measures and actions. Compensation measures to be clearly outlined and available. Advisory, not mandatory measures to be given to governments.
N/A	Open and transparent policies that are accessible to the wider public are absolutely necessary. The voices of all independent experts should be heard and considered, not just those who act as mouthpieces for the pharmaceutical companies. No pharmaceutical companies or other corporate interests should influence the decision-making on a global, national and individual level. No mandates and economic pressures in order to influence individual decision making.

Organization	Written submission
self	Open dialogue among any expert representatives should be included and allowed without censorship in any sort of pandemic response. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO should not have power over the health decisions of the United States or any country. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Informed opinion is just that an opinion. Since 2020 experts in science and medicine have been censored and vilified for the truth because it doesn't line up with the WHO's agenda.
self	Open scientific discussion, complete transparency, individual national and state rights to the appropriate course of action, and uncensored debate and discussion from all point of view from scientific and medical voices. Accurate and timely reporting from government including injury data. Publish all data,from all sources, on one site available to all which includes all scientific voices to comment and post their scientific research.
N/A	Open transparent process with ability for all people to vote on including fail safe measures that prevent application of global agreement in places where majority of people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers. WHO can provide guidance but ability for people to make their own decisions regarding health choices. Ability of nations and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences.
None	Opinion from all doctors and scientists should be considered in relation to protocol and treatments. If some Doctor/scientists opinions differ from the World Health organisations they should still be examined. A doctor/scientists career should not be in danger because they believe a different approach may be better. No one organisation should have control over all countries.
Private	Opposed!! . Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
self	OPPOSING "a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response": Just as the WHO has a constitution, the United States of America has its own constitution. Even per the WHO, "[g]overnments have a responsibility for the health of their peoples." All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists: the past two years have shown that a "one-size fits all" policy is ineffective, as is true in all of medicine.
n/a	OPPOSITION to WHO's adoption of an agreement titled: "The World Together." OPPOSITION to an intergovernmental body to draft and negotiate the WHO Pandemic Preparedness Agreement. TOTAL OPPOSITION.
Self	Optimal health depends on a variety of factors that are very localized, making the need for sovereignty one of the most important factors. Local communities, whether they are counties, states or cities must be able to assess their individual threat level and set public policy based on that analysis.
Human Being	Organizations have their place, but having a worldwide centralized power around health and wellness of individual sovereign nations and human beings is not something that will work well in a free world. I respectfully decline participating in this initiative and contract as a free and sovereign Canadian citizen and Human Being born Sovereign and Free under the Dominion of my Almighty Creator, God. It is my duty and right as a Human Being in this world to voice my non consent to this initiative and treaty. Even if "Canada" does sign on to it, I do not consent and I have not given any Canadian government representatives my consent to be a signatory to this proposed contract. Thank you most kindly for your time and attention and serious sincere consideration of what I've had to say.
self	Organizations should not regulate peoples personal medical decisions. Covid vaccinations should be personal decision of every person. It is against the law to force people to get vaccinated. Violations of Nuremberg Code could no longer be tolerated.
Canadian citizen	Organizations should to all gain of function research. Also, stop trying to viruses of any kind. Find cures to the ones we are already dealing with. There should be no restrictions on the people just on the governments that try to create bio weapons. We don't want vaccine passports or digital ID's of any kind. The vaccine had proven to be not effective and not safe. Stop this madness
non	Organizations that are privately financed should not have any possibility to influence internal political issues. The behavior of the WHO in the so-called COVID crisis leads to the conclusion that it is not interested in the welfare of the people.
None	Other than wording restating that every country is sovereign and perhaps may look to the WHO for information, it should not give any instruction to countries. The WHO does not belong in any country's business, life or health.
N/A	Our Bill of Rights and Freedoms for Canada allows us to make decisions regarding what medical treatments we take and the pandemic lockdowns have been very harmful to many people and were not necessary by making healthy people stay indoors all the time. The past 2 years of pandemic measures have ruined many people's lives, including children/teens, and should never be repeated. People should have the choice to quarantine themselves if they are sick and take whatever medical treatment they feel are right for themselves and not from other non medical people who do not understand their situation. Freedom of Choice is the best policy.
Na	Our bodies are our own. My body. My choice.
Family	Our body our choice. We are free to choose what to do with our body and we are free to move as humans on this planet. A lot of WHO's Ideas,programmes are not healthy for humans, but unhealthy. So stop with your interference.
Citizen of Canada	Our collective world is diverse with numerous variables in different countries. It will be impossible to introduce a world wide plan that would facilitate and meet the needs of all counties collectively in a crisis of any kind. It is imperative each country and individual in that country has the ability to chose what is right for them. No medical procedure should be forced on anyone. Freedom of choice!!!
self	Our communities should decide what our communities need to do for any and all future pandemics. We do NOT want the WHO or any government agency applying a "one size fits all" approach. This is why we have State constitutions. Federal overreach is not welcome in our neighborhoods, cities and towns. Each community should be able to decide for themselves what is best.
Private	Our confidence in the organization in question is at zero at this point after the terrible lies and mismanagement during the so-called Covid Pandemic. We KNOW that face masks don't work too keep out a virus and are in fact damaging to our health. We KNOW that social distancing doesn't work. We KNOW that natural immunity is easily acquired after being exposed to a virus, and it gives lasting protection. We KNOW the the so-called vaccines do not give immunity but in fact do damage the immune system of recipients. WE DO NOT CONSENT TO ANY FURTHER INTERFERENCE BY THE organization which is supposed to protect public health, but is in fact doing the opposite.
Yes Movement	Our consent is withdrawn indefinitely,and weretain our inalienablerights with immediate effect. In addition were move any and all implied consent for Government to sign any legal document (contract) forming an instrument or treaty on our behalf.
None	Our countries are all individual we are all different in culture religion beliefs needs resources it is up to our individual rights to take care of our own health choices not some ONE person in the world taking charge that is over reaching in power and control totally unacceptable this would creat crimes against humanity and breach the Nuremberg Code so NO to the new health bill
Retired	Our countries need to have our own control over outselves and choice over our own autonomy
Australian citizen	Our Country and the citizens of Australia are not for sale, as an organization you have no business trying to sign our country up to a treaty that overrides our constitution. The people of Australia did not agree to this nor has it been taken to a referendum. Our constitution is not something bureaucrats decide on. Hands off Australia
Legal Field	Our country should not submit to WHO control and the following are necessary: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit needs to be included, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Any agreement which causes the USA to no longer retain its sovereignty and right to freedom is unconstitutional and unconscionable.
N/A	Our country was founded by people seeking religious freedom. A democracy was established by the people, for the people. The elected officials need to remember who they serve. Our autonomy and religious freedom should not be encroached upon. People should not be forced to try experimental drugs while the medical evidence is not sufficient to prove safety or efficacy. Children should not be forced when there is no evidence they are at risk of perishing from the disease. Studies during a pandemic should include natural immunity rather than dismissing it.
Private	Our experience with the past (and present) SARS-CoV-2 crisis teaches us that any new international instruments should base on complete voluntariness by the member states. For the acceptance of any measures by the general public it is essential that the sovereignty of the national states is guaranteed. People have learned to mistrust the strong (let alone compulsive) influence of multinational organizations (like the WHO) and will revolt against anything that seems to restrict their freedom, their sovereignty and generally their human rights.
mother of 5 & grandmother of 13	Our forefathers fought for our freedoms and gave their lives so that we could have the freedom of health care and much more. We don't want to give that up now or ever! We know our own families. We know our local doctors. In Exodus in the Bible Moses led thousands of his people who had lost their freedoms one at a time and were slaves. We don't want that to be repeated. Our country (USA) has done fine without the interference of a world organization now or ever! We are very capable on our own in spite of any leaders that may think we are not.
none	Our friends and family are against allowing WHO to become the world's autonomous health police, while the USA gives up its sovereignty. We suggest the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The WHO should offer opinions, but never mandate. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities and individual citizens to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process of all global health agencies, available at any time, online, without delays for current information. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for considering this. Sincerely, The Wrede Family
Personal	Our fundamental right is to be free regarding my own body . Its my body and my choice and is not the right of any organisation or government to dictat over my body. Human rights have to be obey. Never ever forget it .We as human beings are subjects and not objects. Free will an.d speech and freedom for humanity. May all Beings be happy and safe. God BLESS . Ursula from Germany
Personal	Our global DIVERSITY and NATURAL immunity, indigenous remedies and local community resilience relies on maintaining ALL our SOVEREIGN RIGHTS. FREE SPEECH-FREEDOM OF CHOICE-FREE SPIRITS Transparency, consultation, building trust and local wisdom must guide WHO and all governments, on behalf of the PEOPLE. Let LIGHT, LOVE and EMPOWERMENT prevail on EARTH, for all GLOBAL CITIZENS
Synergize Health	Our global DIVERSITY and NATURAL immunity, indigenous remedies and local community resilience relies on maintaining ALL our SOVEREIGN RIGHTS. FREE SPEECH-FREEDOM OF CHOICE-FREE SPIRITS Transparency, consultation, building trust and local wisdom must guide WHO and all governments, on behalf of the PEOPLE. Let LIGHT, LOVE and EMPOWERMENT prevail on EARTH, for all GLOBAL
Civilian	Our government did not use science to make their decisions and we should never be subjected to any centralized approach. I was never provided proper informed consent and never allowed for my own human body cell's processes to be disrupted negatively for any period of time. I do not believe the public has been properly informed of these details. I also have been made aware that you approved these mRNA based vaccines, in particularly Pfizer, knowing that they had failed ALL CAUSE MORTALITY in their 6 month report from the PHASE 3 trials. To make matters worse, Pfizer unblinded their placebo group after December 2020 for the remainder of the PHASE 3 safety trials. You can refer to the Pfizer trial literature in the New England Journal of Medicine, as well as the British Medical Journal (https://www.bmj.com/content/375/bmj.n2635) Why was natural immunity of recovered Sars-Cov2 infected patients not recognized when there has never been any medical precedence that I know of to justify vaccinated someone who has been infected and fully recovered from the disease, even with other RNA based viruses? Why was the Canadian public misguided in believing that vaccinated immunity was better than natural immunity and also, that natural immunity for those that acquired it, did not offer long term protection against severe illness in the event of reinfection, when there is no level 1 evidence to support those claims? In fact, there is the opposite evidence showing that natural immunity is far superior to vaccinated immunity for many obvious reasons, including a mucosal immune response (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33329607/) (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35188974/) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8358136/)
Citizens Covid Watch Committee	Our group of UK citizens does not want the WHO, an unelected body or any of it's funders involved in a pandemic preparedness treaty that has global reach. These decisions should be made within each sovereign country, with independent scientific and medical advice with public consultation. Public health should never be turned over to unaccountable bodies and as a citizenry we will not consent to diktats coming from the WHO. The WHO may offer advice only and that advice should come from a broad range of voices that are not corrupted by pharamceutical companies. The WHO may also offer to help coordinate response, only if a sovereign nation and its citizenry asks for help. Whilst the WHO is unelected and unaccountable it has no business or authroity to create treaties. The UK people are sovereign and the UK government is a corporation, the WHO is also a Corporation...an agreement between two corporations does not compel sovereign human beings in anyway.
Freedom for Health	Our health and well-being should lie in our hands. Do not give American choices to foreigners.
Cfcg	Our local leaders must be allowed to represent the needs and concerns of local people without interference from WHO, and corporate entities with financial interests. Our representatives must have the ability to say no to unwanted aspects of a global agenda if the majority is against it, and to do so without threat of negative consequences, or unjust discrediting. Local municipalities have the right to disagree with, and say no to, any portion of policy making, and to disallow corporate interests, including pharmaceutical companies, to dictate or influence (via media) the process of policy making and implementation in any way.
Marion Unite	Our membership in the WHO doesn't give this globalist organization the right to violate our civil liberties, human rights, and medical freedom. Our Constitution needs to stand strong and no organization has any right to negate anything in it. WE STAND FOR HEALTH FREEDOM!
none	Our National and local leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the unencombered right to make local decisions, based on what is best for their local population of voters. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that will prevent the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical entities or other bodies under their control. or other global health profiteers. An understanding that the WHO is an unelected body and should only have an advisorty capacity.
Self	Our nations need to make decisions for ourselves. Each nation has individual needs, climate, and healthcare systems. It is impossible for one blanket plan to fit every country around the world. And most. Important is to leave individual freedom. One method can in no way apply to each person's body. Our genes, nutrition, lifestyle are Have an impact on how we need to seek treatment.
None	Our own government must retain the right to make decisions for the uk population with their best interests at heart A more open and visible process must be locally introduced to control pandemics with agreements to suit the majority without any outside influence from WHO and any other pharmaceutical or other secret organisations wishing to profit from such interventions ,without transparency we have nothing, please remember this , this whole process must be abandoned until all parties have been informed openly, and allowed to comment JB.
None	Our own National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their country, their own people. People and cultures are different and should have a voice. I see the need for the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should not allow for influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Our request is that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Self employed	Our response should be to allow nature to take it's course. Stop trying to be saviours and be realists.
Sovereign being	Our rights and freedoms as sovereign beings must not be dismissed in the misguided efforts to 'protect' health
Living breathing men & women of The United States of America	Our worlds nations are vastly different from one another. Not only in terms of population density, religions, cultural norms, weather patterns, housing, access to nutritious food, clean water and other resources, but available healthcare practices and many other variables. These differences make a one size fits all plan not only impractical but totally inappropriate. What happens in one area of the world may have little to no significance to another region of the world. Countries must not be held to decisions made by a single global entity who believe they have the right to speak for all. Decisions that could end up having detrimental effects can best be avoided by ensuring each nation is able to maintain the autonomy to make their own plan of action appropriate for their specific region and people, from whatever health situation may possibly be occurring. Leaders can work together as a collective team, but with the individual authority to make and change direction as they see fit to the changing dynamics that might be occurring. The ABSOLUTE MOST important aspect in considering any new international pandemic preparedness and response plan needs to be first and foremost that each individual nation be able to maintain its sovereign right to not only guide the decisions and responses that are appropriate for its own people's needs and needs of that region, but to have absolute final say in the plan that is carried out. Thank you. Sincerely: April King RN
Private	Out of complexity of traveling, trade and business as well as different circumstances of the countries a worldwide similar action does not make sense. To handle extreme situations smaller units, maybe even smaller units than nations or countries, are better. There is no "one solution for all people. Out of these reasons I decline such a contract. Best regards
Individual of local community	Outcomes of many local government responses to pandemic have proven superior to pandemic recommendations provided by CDC. Therefore, pandemic preparedness and response should be determined by local administrations in all jurisdictions. CDC ought to be restricted to collecting numbers and reporting statistics of infection and nothing more.
United States of America - Citizen	Outside of convincing this body to ignore this course of action, I would like to propose the following text for inclusion in any pandemic guidance: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
M&T	Over the course of the Covid pandemic my view of WHO has changed for the negative, unfortunately. I did believe that they provided an important platform of independent scientific comment and advice that nations of the world could galvanise behind. I no longer believe this to be the case. I'm concerned that WHO has been corrupted and relies on a façade of 'independence' when in reality it is being significantly nudged by dominant Countries and or companies. I'm not certain as to what measures are needed to bring back confidence and trustworthiness in WHO. But, I think the key factor is making sure WHO does not have political decision making power across the globe, without the people having a say. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. People must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the WHO protocols as they see fit, without consequence. WHO needs to 'up its game' in doing more to be the beacon of what it is to be an open and transparent. People across the world must have the ability to vote on, including the availability of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of any global agreements, especially in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and local communities to be in control of their own health.
Member of the Public	Over the last 2 years the WHO has demonstrated amply why it should have no power, say or even influence. It cosied up to China at the start of the outbreak, has never properly questioned China on the source of the outbreak and benignly took its word. The WHO has been complicit in cover-up and in subjecting large swathes of the world's formerly free populations to Made in China lockdowns. It has overreacted to a disease with a 99.904% survival rate. On subjects such as face masks it has advocated them whilst at the same time knowing they have no benefit. The WHO has been complicit in sacrificing the presents and futures of the young in a vain attempt to prolong the lives of octogenarians by a few months. This is perverse. The WHO is not independent, not fit for purpose and has no place interfering in my life or any other of millions of my fellow citizens.
Constituent	Over the last 2 years the WHO has proved to be the biggest employeer of incompetent "public health" "experts," with zero honest accolades to celebrate. With thar in mind, the WHO should have zero power over anyone, zero authority to supplant an existing constitution like that of the United States of America, and zero say on public health as it is apparent that is the the true buisness they are in. The WHO should be disbanded and the lions have of employees arrested and tried for crimes against humanity. The research data is clear, the WHO is not needed and only serves those rich and powerful interests that fund it. Thank you for your time.
Citizen of USA	Over the last 2 years, the approach of individuals, municipalities, states, and nations to address the Covid pandemic has varied greatly. In this new terrain leaning on individual freedom over prescriptive lock downs should be the default position. Individual risk assessments are necessary. WHO should stick to the facts and stay out of public policy game. International academic and scientific collaboration should continue to be encouraged. To safeguard public trust, political policy makers should not be included in any expert panels. The scientific problems are difficult enough and political inclusion is a power grab. Policy should be recommendations left to individuals to implement based on their individual circumstances exercising their God given individual freedoms.
Just a person	Over the last couple of years governments all over the world have interfered in peoples private lives. Telling us when we can go out, closing businesses, telling us we must wear a mask and forcing people in some cases to have vaccinations. Yes encourage people, inform people even educate people but do not dictate. This cause great issues on a personal level when the police enforce draconian rules and people of a bullying authoritative disposition believe they have the right to bully us into following the rules they believe in. The WHO must remain above these polical stand points and inform and advise people but not dictate
Interested Stakeholder	Over the last few years, trust in public health professionals has sharply decreased where I live. This is very alarming, as accurate information cannot be shared to the public without a significant portion of the population disregarding it as false propaganda. In my own conversations, it has become obvious that an important reason for this distrust is the lack of clear guidelines for what is and is not under the authority of public health officials. To rebuild this trust, a pandemic preparedness plan must clearly state what is under the authority of the individual vs the public health officials and organizations. It should clearly state (from the foundation of accepted universal human rights) what actions are not acceptable for health officials to take. I believe that this can start the process of rebuilding trust in the profession of public health. As an example, even though our main regulatory agencies have approved these treatments, I know some people who still believe that the COVID-19 vaccines are experimental, and thus that it is inappropriate to mandate their administration. If a preparedness plan could define what makes a treatment experimental in advance, and also clearly delineate what actions (such as 'nudging,' mandates, or other force) would be considered acceptable to the WHO based on the regulatory state of the treatments, that would be helpful.
None - member of the public	Over the last two years, most governments abandoned existing pandemic plans in favour of heavy-handed unproven measures, many applied to entire populations, including lockdowns and quarantine, face masks, mass testing, and closure of schools and businesses. Messaging from governments and media maximised the level of fear. Vaccines using new technology, developed rapidly with little testing, have been presented as the only solution, as "safe" despite a large number of adverse events reported (including serious injury and death), as "effective" despite data clearly showing otherwise, and people have been coerced to take them. All for a virus comparable to a severe flu, and to no avail: comparison of cases and deaths between countries and states shows no correlation between measures and outcomes. Dissenting views have been ignored, ridiculed and censored by mainstream and social media. I fear that the centralisation of power proposed in this treaty would make a repeat of this disaster more, not less, likely. I believe that: National and local governments should retain the final say on public health measures in their jurisdiction. Health authorities (local, national and international) should be funded only by taxpayers, and they and their employees should be free of vested interests (e.g. no funding from or shares in pharmaceutical companies, no pharmaceutical patents owned). Cost-benefit analysis of all measures should be required, either beforehand or within a reasonable time. Open discussion should be allowed and encouraged. Individuals' right to decide what medical treatment they do or do not receive should be guaranteed.
Nil	Over the past 2 years we have had scaremongering on a global scale, misrepresentation on the actual number of people who died of covid, many died with covid eg motor cycle accident, rider tested positive for covid but actually died from injuries obtained in the accident yet declared a covid death Yes the threat was real for people of older age & with many comorbidities, yet for the vast majority it was similar to the flu. The over reach of WHO & many governments, impeached on human rights & has not been justified, the mandating of vaccines that are ineffective should be abolished. From the day you are born you are on your way to dying, NO organisation can prevent that yet your attempts to protect people from the next pandemic will strip people of their individual rights. WHO is unelected by the people of the world & should not have the right to dictate to sovereign countries WHO made many blunders during the pandemic so why should we put the fate of our lives in your hands, bureaucracies are slow to react, waste money, the decisions should be made by individual elected governments, every country is different & a one size fits all is not the answer. WHO can make recommendations & governments can accept or deny those recommendations. This is not the world I signed up for & is not the future I want for my children & grandchildren. I object strongly to a one world order & unelected bureaucrats making decisions that affect my enjoyment of life. How can WHO go down this path when doctors all around the world disagree on Covid19 treatments. The "vaccines" have been a unmitigated failure & organisations like WHO should declare their conflict of interests with the pharmaceutical companies. Open & transparent Thank you
US citizen	Over the past two years the W.H.O. have not proven themselves responsible or honest enough to oversee any element of a global pandemic treaty.
Private individual	Overall comment: I believe when it comes to governance- one size does not fit all. Ensure that national and local leadership retain full autonomy. Let them make decisions based on what is right for their people. Guarantee the ability of nations and local governments to opt out of any part of the agreement they see fit without any consequences. Guarantee open and transparent process and the ability of people to vote on measures. Provide measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers. Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments.
None	Overall, individual civil liberties, human rights, and medical freedom should be paramount. The sovereignty of individual countries should remain intact. An emergency does not erase the former rights and freedoms.
VFF Coordinator	Overriding respect for the sovereignty of nations and their citizens Respect for such principles inherent in the Nuremberg Treaty To have it enshrined in the treaty that all citizens must have an avenue for exemption from medical procedures that contradict religious or other deeply held beliefs
Fizička osoba	Ovo mora biti uvršteno u Ugovor o pandemiji: 1. Ljudska prava su neotuđiva. Vlade ne mogu suspendirati ljudska prava zbog "hitnih slučajeva". 2. Prava nacija, država, pokrajina, grupa i organizacija su podređena neotuđivim ljudskim pravima. 3. Pravo na pokušaj je neotuđivo pravo. Birokrati nemaju pravo bilo kome uskratiti lijekove koji potencijalno spašavaju živote. 4. Tjelesna autonomija je svetinja i uvijek se mora poštivati. Pravo na odbijanje liječenja je neotuđivo pravo. 5. Cjepivi status ne može se koristiti za ograničavanje neotuđivog prava ljudi na putovanje, rad, traženje obrazovanja ili pristup javnim prostorima ili poduzećima. 6. Lockdowni i/ili karantene zdravih ljudi su pogrešni. 7. Tražimo potpunu transparentnost. Svi depersonalizirani podaci iz svih kliničkih studija trebali bi biti odmah dostupni svima u realnom vremenu. 8. Svatko ima pravo na svoje mišljenje. Sva ljudska bića imaju neotuđivo pravo da javno govore svoje mišljenje. 9. Svi proizvođači, distributeri i administratori zdravstvenih proizvoda i/ili postupaka moraju odgovarati za bilo kakvu štetu nastalu njihovim proizvodima i uslugama. 10. Ljudi moraju imati pravo glasa da okončaju izvanredna stanja.
Amerirican Citizens	ow can the be good. This group was seminally started by Cecil Rhodes, and it's all in his book of how to bring the United States of America back into the Uk Empire. No, No, No: we must not, must not join or be subject to it. NO, NO NO. DO YOU UNDER STAND THE SEVERE CONSEQUENCES? Good, now vote NO....

Organization	Written submission
Self	Owing to the continually mistaken response to the current pandemic, I do not believe we should be involved in this treaty. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are harmful to the health and welfare of Americans. Heath decisions should be up to the individual as much as possible, even under "emergency" circumstances. WHO should not hold power over Americans' health decisions. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I sincerely hope you follow your own words. Informed opinion has not been possible under heavy censorship of any questions regarding public policy around the pandemic.
Monash University Malaysia	Palliative and supportive care must be built into the new international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response. Palliative and supportive care aims to provide relief from suffering and to ensure that those who are unable to benefit or receive curative treatment are still able to receive care in order to experience the best possible quality-of-life in the limited time they have left. Without palliative care, we have seen during this pandemic how many people who were unable to receive life-sustaining or curative treatment were essentially abandoned by overburdened health systems and isolated from their loved ones. These people suffered until the very end. In being denied closure and a chance to say farewell, family members also suffered immensely. Today, there remains an unacknowledged burden of grief and suffering that is being carried by the families and friends of those who died during the pandemic. We need palliative care to support and comfort the dying. No treatment should not mean no care, and the quality of life should not be defined by the quantity of life remaining. We need palliative care to support and comfort the survivors. They need support in order to achieve closure, move on, and rebuild their lives. There must also be palliative and supportive care for those afflicted with Covid-19 and now survive with the burden of Long Covid. Many of these individuals will require help and care in the form of physical and psychosocial support in order to have a good quality-of-life while they strive to recover fully from Covid-19. Palliative and supportive care must be built into the national public health systems around the world.
Privat	Pandemic control must remain in national hands!
Private individual	Pandemic emergency contingency plans including lockdowns, medical mandates, mask-wearing, social distancing, must be solely decided by the democratically elected governments of each country. No international agencies or unelected foreign bodies should ever be allowed to override our own domestic emergency policies. The recent use of fines, unproven mandates and overreaching control and other forms of unwarrented control over the last two years have been a disaster and must not ever be repeated. Furthermore, human rights and bodily autonomy must prevail without question. It is our right, and we must have the freedom, to decide our personal risks and health challenges without draconian (including medical) intervention that is severely detrimental to our health to a greater degree than the perceived/over-estimated risks and over-reported outcomes/deaths. Any person(s) imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, MUST be held accountable for their actions. No person responsible for dictating these rules, may benefit directly nor indirectly, nor have any patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from rules imposed.
Ramsham Farm	Pandemic emergency contingency plans such as lockdowns, medical mandates, mask-wearing, social distancing, etc. must be solely decided by democratically elected governments of each country. No international agencies nor unelected bodies, must EVER be allowed to override our own emergency policies to inflict measures which contravene freedoms to assemble, of speech, and of movement Human rights and bodily autonomy must prevail without question - it is our right, and we must have the freedom, to decide our personal risks and health challenges without draconian (nor medical) intervention that is severely detrimental to our health to a greater degree than any perceived/over-estimated risks and over-reported outcomes/deaths. We have seen the harmful effects of inter-governmental organisation collaborations and agreements with profit-making corporations and conclude that this is never likely to be in the interests of the wider public good or public health.
None	Pandemic emergency contingency plans such as lock-downs, medical mandates, mask-wearing, social distancing, must be solely decided by the democratically elected governments of each country. No international agencies nor un-elected bodies, must ever be allowed to override our own emergency policies to inflict fines, unproven mandates and overreaching control. Further, human rights and bodily autonomy must prevail without question as it is our right, and we must have the freedom, to decide our personal risks and health challenges without draconian (nor medical) intervention that is severely detrimental to our health to a greater degree than the perceived/over-estimated risks and over-reported outcomes/deaths. Any person(s) imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, MUST be held accountable for their actions. No person responsible for dictating these rules, may benefit directly nor indirectly, nor have any patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from rules imposed.
Member of public	Pandemic emergency contingency plans such as lockdowns, medical mandates, mask-wearing, social distancing, must be solely decided by the democratically elected governments of each country. No international agencies nor unelected bodies, must EVER be allowed to override our own emergency policies to inflict fines, unproven mandates and overreaching control. I am very concerned that this could be ever considered. We must never give up our sovereign control to an unelected body of random people who seem to not care about our interests. It will end up with the Pharmaceutical Industry running the world via these unelected people purely for their benefit and profit.
N/a	Pandemic emergency contingency plans such as lockdowns, medical mandates, mask-wearing, social distancing, must be solely decided by the democratically elected governments of each country. No international agencies nor unelected bodies, must EVER be allowed to override our own emergency policies to inflict fines, unproven mandates and overreaching control. Furthermore, human rights and bodily autonomy must prevail without question as it is our right, and we must have the freedom, to decide our personal risks and health challenges without draconian (nor medical) intervention that is severely detrimental to our health to a greater degree than the perceived/over-estimated risks and over-reported outcomes/deaths. Any person(s) imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, MUST be held accountable for their actions. No person responsible for dictating these rules, may benefit directly nor indirectly, nor have any patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from rules imposed.
none	Pandemic emergency contingency plans such as the illegal lockdowns, unlawful medical mandates, scientifically baseless mask-wearing, pseudo-scientific social distancing, must be solely decided by the democratically elected governments of each country. No international agencies nor unelected bodies, must EVER be allowed to override our own emergency policies to inflict fines, unproven mandates and overeaching control. This would amount to treason. Furthermore, human rights and bodily autonomy must prevail without question as it is our sovereign right, and we must have the freedom, to decide our personal risks and health challenges without draconian (nor medical) intervention or coercion, that is severely detrimental to our health to a greater degree than the perceived/over-estimated risks and over-reported outcomes/deaths. Basically the cure (experimental gene therapy) was more hazardous to health than the disease. Especially when the testing wasn't specific to the actual perceived virus. Covid has never been isolated and the pcr tests were testing for the common cold, influenza A & B but never covid. Any person(s) imposing rules that are harmful, physically or psychologically to any degree, MUST be held accountable for their actions. No person responsible for dictating these rules, may benefit directly nor indirectly, nor have any patents/shares/financial connections to any person or business that will profit from rules imposed. The information provided for the covid crisis were basically lies and deception . Therefore, due to this their indemnity is lost by default. The person(s) imposing rules that are harmful, physically or or otherwise.
Retired	Pandemic emergency or any other type of emergency contingency plans in particular mandatory measures and pharmaceutical interventions should only be made by elected government officials of a particular country with full consultation with their electorate . Even then personal autonomy must be the final choice as to what measures they will accept. No other body has authority nor should ever be given it at any future time. There must be full accountability for any such decisions made to ensure the well being of the population is paramount. No individual or body must not benefit financially or in kind or assist anyone else to do so.
N/A	Pandemic emergency or contingency plans such as lockdowns, medical mandates, mask wearing, social distancing, et al must be solely decided by the democratically elected governments of each country and normally left to the freedom of each individual. No international agencies or unelected bodies should be allowed to override countries' own policies. Furthermore, human rights and the legal right bodily autonomy must prevail without question. We have the freedom, to decide our personal risks and health challenges without draconian medical or other intervention that may be severely detrimental to our health. Medical ethics as set out in the Nuremberg Treaty must continue to prevail. In recent 'pandemics' it was typical to see over-estimated risks and over-reported outcomes, including deaths. H1N1 flu 2009 is an example. The WHO came under commercial pressure, behaved in a corrupt manner and exaggerated the dangers. This manipulation was uncovered by the press. It is unacceptable for individuals to receive medical dictats from such organisations, via their own governments. Individuals have no legal duty to comply with tyrannical orders. I am aware of several individuals who heard of this 'consultation' far too late to comment. So your attempt at public feedback is inadequate. I wonder whether you are really trying to slip this dictatorial measure through without scrutiny. Also it is a mystery why nation-states have allowed citizens' rights to be usurped by an unelected global organisation.
None	Pandemic guidelines just like Any medical decision is NOT one size fits all, instead need to be decided locally to be effective. Local rules are a MUST for the optimal healthiest outcome for everyone! WHO making decisions for the US would be disastrous!
Private Person	Pandemic management is the sole responsebility of the souveregn nations of our world. It is the obligation of the WHO to advise the people and countries of the world. It is not for the WHO to deside what is best for the health of all the people of the world. It is not the pupose of the WHO to take action and establish the conditions in which the countries of the world are to obey its intructions. This is so because the centralisation of the management of health issues in a pandemic is destined to become a catastrophic event for human kind, if it fails! As long as every nation state maintains a certain level of awareness and keeps reasearching, multiple approaches and multiple solutions will be explored. Which will end in multiple results. The differences of our nations will help us to be more successfull in overcoming the dangers of any biological threat, that we - as the human species - will encounter in the future. Which will lead to a higher resillience of our species. So the conclusion should be, that the task of the WHO is to promote and support every country in the world to do its own work, for the goal of overcoming a pandemic. And to help the countries, who are not capable to do so themselfs, by helping in brokering international treaties, in which the better prepared countries assist them.
NJ Stands UP	Pandemic measures should be left to local areas. We do not need an international one-size fits all plan. Local areas can assess the impact and find suitable solutions based on their geographic location, local culture, weather and other identifying factors that they deem necessary. It is not possible to create a single solution for a problem when the problem impacts such a wide span of diverse, varied and complex factors.
Grey Power Otamatea Inc	Pandemic preparedness This document must include the United Nations supporting sovereign governments when they have declared a pandemic. It must consult with various and many world-renowned, reputable scientists, epidemiologists and doctors, both in the private and public sector, so that it may advise countries on the best course of action to take, and of all known and published successful treatments for the disease. It must also advise countries of any novel treatments that have been proven to be successful. It will demand sovereign countries keep transparent records on the treatments it recommends to its citizens, and outcomes of those treatments. It will also demand that citizens be advised of all known and published successful treatments, including novel treatments that have been proven to be successful. The WHO will ensure that no government will violate its citizens' human rights or the Nuremberg Code in any recommended treatment.
Private	Pandemic preparedness an measues should be decided locally by each country. I do not agree that the WHO decides what to do mandating the countries and its citizens everything. NO to a WHO dictatorship. I feel in danger if this happens.
Scored Assessment Services	Pandemic preparedness and especially the response to it should remain a national/regional matter as that allows to develop different approaches and compare their effectiveness, instead of being stifled by an international 'one size fits all' mandate.
World Council for Health	Pandemic preparedness and response cannot be controlled by a single global organisation. It must be controlled by the democratically elected responsible authority in each nation (i.e. The UK Government in the case of the UK) The UK Government are legally bound as servants of the people to act according to the will of the people. Huge mistakes were made during the pandemic causing the loss of thousands of lives so these mistakes need to be acknowledged and accounted for before being allowed to happen again in any future pandemic. Preparedness therefore needs to be put into the hands of those organisations acting on behalf of the people's best interests (The World Council for Health for example) who can deal with pandemics ethically and morally instead of imposing unnecessary vaccines with horrific side effects. It is immoral and unethical to place the lives of decent people under the control of a single global self-appointed authority like WHO.
private	Pandemic preparedness and response have to be decentralized. It has to be on a local level.
head of family	Pandemic preparedness and response is never going to succeed in a mass effort to have all countries respond in like manner. Countries must have the rights to make their own decisions according to their particular circumstances. This is a human rights issue that should never be usurped from individual nations. Imagine a world where one governing body imposes its authority as if it can know what is best for one and all. Whose interests will be served by such an arrangement? I think we know the answer to that.
CDC PH	Pandemic preparedness and response must always include the following important elements: (1) PRIOR information and knowledge to be given to ALL users of experimental vaccines, (2) Adverse effects of experimental vaccines, drugs and medicines be open to anyone around the world, and NEVER silenced nor blocked in mainstream media, in WHO's and other prominent health organizations' websites--including the Health Departments or Ministries of all governments who buy these experimental vaccines or medicines/drugs, (3) Let there be open discussions as to the origin of the current or future pandemics, and let all sides be heard, (4) Due and enough compensation and financial assistance throughout their lives be given to those who were pushed by governments to have the vaccines or be given experimental hospital medications or combinations thereof like Remdesivir, and (5) Let independent health or data/statistical organizations AUDIT and make independent reports on the side effects of the experimental covid-19 or future vaccines created by drug companies who created and sold these vaccines
private	Pandemic preparedness and response must be an individual decision of each country and its inhabitants. The WHO must no longer decalre any pandemic situations. As WHO is mostly controlled by commercial intrests, this organization can only be a supporter without any legal impact ony any nation.
NA	Pandemic preparedness and response must include (1) PRIOR information and knowledge to be given to ALL users of experimental vaccines (2) Adverse effects of experimental vaccines, drugs or medicines be made available or open to anyone around the world, and NEVER silenced nor blocked in media platforms, in WHO's and national goverments' health organizations' websites (3) Let there be open discussions as to the origin of the current or future pandemics, and let all sides be heard (4) Governments and pharma companies should waive liability or immunity from lawsuits that may arise from forced vaccinations (5) Let independent health or data/statistical organizations AUDIT and make independent reports on the side effects of the experimental vaccines created by drug companies who created and sold these vaccines (6) Unalienable rights of individuals should not be violated
In Defense of the Children group	Pandemic preparedness and response must include (1) PRIOR information and knowledge to be given to ALL who avail experimental vaccines (2) Adverse effects of experimental vaccines, drugs or medicines are to be made available or open to anyone around the world, and NEVER silenced nor blocked in mainstream media, in WHO's and other prominent health organizations' websites – including the Health Departments of all governments who buy these experimental vaccines or medicines/drugs (3) Let there be open discussions as to the origin of the current or future pandemics, and let all sides be heard (4) Let independent health or data/statistical organizations AUDIT and make independent reports on the side effects of the experimental vaccines created by drug companies who created and sold these vaccines
M.P.	1. Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies."Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. These rights must be included: The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. The right to try is an inalienable right. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the healthcare practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Healthy people have the right to go about their lives. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. All people who are ill with diseases that are transmissible have a moral obligation to separate themselves from other people, but other healthy people must be allowed to visit with the ill person. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. 5. Complete transparency: An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review
Citizen of USA, EU	Pandemic preparedness and response plans must not supercede national, territorial or local government legislation. 1.The WHO'S "treaty" should include specific deferential language ensuring that each nation remains sovereign. 2.Otherwise, it should be called a "pact" rather than a "treaty"as it has little compromise driving it and would transfer power away from elected government to a non-representative, unelected body. 3. The "treaty" should ensure equity with regard to cultural differences. It must include a pathway for national, state and local governments to opt in or out of any statutes that would not allow them to represent all majorities/minorities within their constituency. 4. The "treaty" MUST allow for body autonomy. Otherwise, the treaty could be construed as a hostile act. 5.The "treaty",by definition, should be an agreement of preparedness and SUGGESTED response(s).
N/A	Pandemic preparedness and response should be dealt with at the national level but ideally left up to the individual with guidance at the local level. A global pandemic treaty will necessitate a one size fits all response which is unrealistic and possibly damaging for the individual let alone for the population en masse. One major problem will be regarding any pharmaceuticals that may be recommended. There must be more transparency with regards to any conflicts of interest with the pharmaceutical companies. I understand the concerns that many have regarding future pandemics. The best way forward is to have open dialogue and discussion and learn from the current pandemic. Too many expert, knowledgeable opinions have simply not been heard.
self	Pandemic preparedness and response should be delegated to each and every sovereign country, individually. Each country should decide under their own necessity and ability. The fact that the WHO claims that it solely should have a sweeping unilateral control over many countries of this world, is not only unethical but tending towards a dangerous authoritarian behavior. I absolutely disagree with any "Pandemic preparedness and response" scheme. Stop meddling with each country's sovereign duty to take care of itself. No to a Pandemic Preparedness Response!!
Self	Pandemic preparedness and response should be delegated to each and every sovereign country, individually. Each country should decide under their own necessity and ability. The fact that the WHO claims that it solely should have a sweeping unilateral control over many countries of this world, is not only unethical but tending towards a dangerous authoritarian behavior. I absolutely disagree with any "Pandemic preparedness and response" scheme. Stop meddling with each country's sovereign duty to take care of itself. No to a Pandemic Preparedness Response!!
Self	Pandemic preparedness and response should be delegated to each and every sovereign country, individually. Each country should decide under their own necessity and ability. The fact that the WHO claims that it solely should have a sweeping unilateral control over many countries of this world, is not only unethical but tending towards a dangerous authoritarian behavior. I absolutely disagree with any "Pandemic preparedness and response" scheme. Stop meddling with each country's sovereign duty to take care of itself. No to a Pandemic Preparedness Response!!
Self	Pandemic preparedness and response should be delegated to individual countries so that they can make the best decision based on the needs of their population. WHO should support local national approaches and not impose their views but rather offer guidance that may optionally be followed. Usurping national decision making in matters of health should not be permitted. The WHO has lost my respect as their response to the Covid pandemic was not about health, if it were, then recommendations would have been made for early treatment using Vit C, Vit D, zinc, ivermectin, and other readily available low cost effective treatments. Many lives could have been saved using this approach. Recommendations by the WHO did not follow the science but rather political agendas such as those by the WEF, BIG PHARMA, BILL GATES and other financial and political influential contributors to the WHO. WHO's response to the pandemic was about POWER and CONTROL, not HEALTH. As a result, WHO should not pursue any treaty that would impose its process on any other country.
None	Pandemic preparedness and response should be in the hands of each country and not be determined by an organization like the WHO. If we learned one thing from the last 2 years, then it's that the "one size fits all" method didn't work. I reject a new international instrument where the WHO has all control over every country's pandemic response.
Healthy Child	Pandemic preparedness and response should be left up to each individual state within the United States. Our national and state governments have been elected by us to represent our best interests. Any WHO treaty that removes the power from the US or state governments is dangerous and can cause more loss of life. When there is an emergency, the governments that know exactly what is happening within their districts are best equipped to make important health decisions. These decisions need to be made on a local level by the health departments and doctors and not by the WHO. The US must NOT agree to any pandemic treaty that takes the power away from individual communities and local governments!
N/A	Pandemic preparedness and response should be localized and decentralized. Best performing localities can become examples for others. Responses should be lead by cooperatives of Dr.'s and Scientists, not regulators and administrators.
Personal Contribution	Pandemic preparedness and response should be the responsibility of local governments responding to the wishes of their citizens. There absolutely should not be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response - there is no democratically elected body answerable to worldwide citizens and therefore no body which has authority to act internationally. Recommendations may be made, but local governments, and their citizens, should make decisions directly to suit their own situation.
Citizen	Pandemic preparedness and response should involve the National and local leadership of the people, of whom they have been elected, and they should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations, state and local cities should be able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without any consequence. There should be an open and transparent process for everyone around the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the application of this global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any one with conflicting interests, all pharmaceutical companies or any other global interest of profit!
Brockway Farms Garden Club	Pandemic preparedness and response should start in the home. At no time should an international organization have say over what people at home do. We are intelligent enough to take measures to protect ourselves when we are given appropriate guidelines from our local officials and doctors. International organizations are too compromised by financial concerns and have conflicts of interest that would easily overrule any true benefit for humans around the world.
self	Pandemic preparedness and response to include all opinions from any qualified scientist or doctor without censorship of ideas and treatment that conflict with a single profit driven narrative. Doctors who successfully treat patients must be part of the planning process. Experimental products can be offered with full informed consent, but not forced on any population. Substances that are known via long term studies to be completely safe and supportive should be available to all. Data collection must be complete and available to all. The freedom and choice of any individual or country should remain superior to any worldwide control.
Individual	Pandemic preparedness as with any other civil/emergency response is/must be a local response guided by fact based intel / research and principled local leadership with open, transparent and accountable public communication and dialogue. Say 'No' to top down, imposed, one size fits all leadership.
None	Pandemic preparedness belongs to individual countries/states. It is up to our country how we respond & make health decisions. We can choose to share information between countries, but the decisions belong to us!
Unaffiliated Concerned Citizen	Pandemic preparedness decisions need to be left to individuals. Measures used in the most recent pandemic were unsuccessful; there is widespread proof that decisions made by your experts and organization made the pandemic worse. One organizations attempt at total control has failed and will fail miserably in the future.
City of Inglewood	Pandemic preparedness does not have a one size fits all solution. The fact that the W.H.O thinks it can impose its will and guidance as rule onto countries and local governments is a gross overreach. Perhaps the World Health Organization should remain as such and not try to become the World Pandemic Organization. Thank you
none	Pandemic Preparedness Guidelines Should Include: 1) Remain a Guideline, not a mandate 2) No substantial influence from Big Pharma. Divorce the profit motive from the actions taken. 3) One size does not fit all. The Guideline must uphold sovereignty of National / State / Local influence. 4) Broad medical community direct involvement / influence. These directives cannot be dictates provided only by government entities, many of which have no true medical backgrounds. 5) The underpinning goal of the Guidelines must be for the benefit and better health of mankind, not just another tool for additional despotic rule and power grab by the elites.
Public	Pandemic preparedness in a world context must recognise that disease and viruses affect different races in different ways. For some their climate and environmental conditions also affect both the spread and virility of the disease given the genomic differences between peoples around the world. Any WHO plans therefore need to be tailored to the nations concerned and that means the nations must have the final say as to how the plans affect their citizens. Such decisions must be cognisant of the personal sovereignty of the people affected and that cannot be over-ridden by unelected 'expert' direction. Any proposals also need a significant element of open and thorough peer review by national experts from every nation - such experts making recommendations to National Assemblies and Parliaments .
Adorn Homewares	Pandemic preparedness in all countries worldwide should be governed by each individual country. This is very important in responding in an efficient, tailored way, that caters to the specific situation and needs of each particular country. Democratic rights of each country is imperative, as the rights and voices of the people of each country is also extremely important in keeping their government in line with expectations. Democracy is built on the freedom and decisions of the people, with the government being the instrument of the people, implementing their wishes. No worldwide organisation, including the WHO, should take that decision-making out of the hands of the people.
Maharlika kingdom of God member	Pandemic preparedness is better achieved thru faith in God not among humans, don't play God, nobody on earth is! Let God control! Experimental vaccine given forcibly to anyone is not reasonable and not the solution, we were born special with divine authority to choose right from wrong, there's no pandemic too! Given the survival rate of covid 19 at 99.997%! You're fooling the people! One World Government will never materialize, we will do all remedies possible just to prevent it at any cost!
-	pandemic preparedness is not nessesary. Jedes countriey around the globe worked together to developed vaccines, There is a highly need to produce the common solving everywhere on earth in the quantity for the humans in the country / region without expensive productions or patents on the developed medicine.
US Citizen	Pandemic preparedness is not your business for the planet. Our country has it's own bureaucratic rules and regulations, more from YOU will solve NOTHING. Stay in your lane and leave the citizens of the planet alone. You are facing a global revolution that will be so destructive the previous "planned theater of the last World Wars" will pale in comparison to the results that await you. This is from a truly awake citizen, not a sheep of the system. There are many, many people waking up across the globe and you are being watched. STOP NOW
Private	Pandemic preparedness must first of all be based on substantive Cost Benefit analysis for each unit and it is not possible that a one size fits all approach can be applied. New medicines may not be approved without a full testing cycle that allows long term issues to be identified. Urgent matters should be addressed by looking at existing medicines, including those out of patent. A pandemic should not be used to increase the profits of Big Pharma. The WHO should not interfere with the management of the pandemic by individual countries. The role of the WHO MUST be confined to ADVICE and not usurp the democratic decision of each country.
Individual citizen	Pandemic preparedness MUST include no further gain of function research. Pandemic response: MUST include eliminating general medical interventions being imposed to everyone without any regard for the individuality of each person's health and circumstance. Conflict of interest MUST be avoided at all cost. Non-Biased, Non-manipulated, factual information MUST be disseminated. Citizens autonomy of their health care decisions MUST be respected.
Public	Pandemic preparedness needs to be kept local and within ones own county. I do not want the WHO to have this power , They have not been elected and I do not believe they are acting in our interest. In my opinion the U.K. should opt out of the WHO, our money could be better spent.
Self	Pandemic Preparedness policy should include that all people shall maintain their right to choose whether or not to participate in suggested or mandated "WHO" actions.
Sunrise Senior Living	Pandemic Preparedness Responses should remain the responibility of individual countries, their elected representatives and their scientific, medical and public health communities. All countries had pandemic preparedness plans but mystifyingly none of them were actually followed for Covid19. Epidemiologists and Public Health experts were conspicuously absent from the discussions and decision-making process. Suddenly, all countries abandoned their prior plans and followed the WHO plan instead, which created mortal risk to the vulnerable elderly and vast collateral damage including destruction of the economy, soaring mental health crises, domestic abuse escalation, substandard education delivery, postponement of other urgent medical treatments, resulting in far more deaths and incalculable long term harms. No unelected international body should usurp the autonomy of individual countries and territories. All expert voices should be heard, evaluated and allowed to participate. Policy should not be driven by compromised regulatory agencies and pharmaceutical companies with vested interests who also wield astronomical investment in global media advertising. The hijacking of science and medicine and health must never be allowed to happen again. Many, many people can see through these sinister proposals and will not stand for "lock step" dictates ever again.

Kyrgyzstan	Kyrgyzstan
Lao People's Democratic Republic	Lao People's Democratic Republic
Latvia	Latvia
Lebanon	Lebanon
Lesotho	Lesotho
Liberia	Liberia
Libya	Libya
Liechtenstein	Liechtenstein
Lithuania	Lithuania
Luxembourg	Luxembourg
Madagascar	Madagascar
Malawi	Malawi
Malaysia	Malaysia
Maldives	Maldives
Mali	Mali
Malta	Malta
Marshall Islands	Marshall Islands
Mauritania	Mauritania
Mauritius	Mauritius
Mexico	Mexico
Micronesia (Federated States of)	Micronesia (Federated States of)
Monaco	Monaco
Mongolia	Mongolia
Montenegro	Montenegro
Morocco	Morocco
Mozambique	Mozambique
Myanmar	Myanmar
Namibia	Namibia
Nauru	Nauru
Nepal	Nepal
Netherlands	Netherlands
New Zealand	New Zealand
Nicaragua	Nicaragua
Niger	Niger
Nigeria	Nigeria
Niue	Niue
North Macedonia	North Macedonia
Norway	Norway
Oman	Oman
Pakistan	Pakistan
Palau	Palau
Panama	Panama
Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea
Paraguay	Paraguay
Peru	Peru
Philippines	Philippines
Poland	Poland
Portugal	Portugal
Qatar	Qatar
Republic of Moldova	Republic of Moldova
Romania	Romania
Russian Federation	Russian Federation
Rwanda	Rwanda
Saint Kitts and Nevis	Saint Kitts and Nevis
Saint Lucia	Saint Lucia
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Samoa	Samoa
San Marino	San Marino
Sao Tome and Principe	Sao Tome and Principe
Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia
Senegal	Senegal
Serbia	Serbia
Seychelles	Seychelles
Sierra Leone	Sierra Leone
Singapore	Singapore
Slovakia	Slovakia
Slovenia	Slovenia
Solomon Islands	Solomon Islands
Somalia	Somalia
South Africa	South Africa
South Sudan	South Sudan
Spain	Spain
Sri Lanka	Sri Lanka
Sudan	Sudan
Suriname	Suriname
Sweden	Sweden
Switzerland	Switzerland
Syrian Arab Republic	Syrian Arab Republic
Tajikistan	Tajikistan
Thailand	Thailand
Timor-Leste	Timor-Leste
Togo	Togo
Tonga	Tonga
Trinidad and Tobago	Trinidad and Tobago
Tunisia	Tunisia
Turkey	Turkey
Turkmenistan	Turkmenistan
Tuvalu	Tuvalu
Uganda	Uganda
Ukraine	Ukraine
United Arab Emirates	United Arab Emirates
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
United States of America	United States of America
Uruguay	Uruguay
Uzbekistan	Uzbekistan
Vanuatu	Vanuatu
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
Viet Nam	Viet Nam
Yemen	Yemen
Zambia	Zambia
Zimbabwe	Zimbabwe
Cyprus	Cyprus
Czechia	Czechia
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	Democratic People's Republic of Korea
Denmark	Denmark
Djibouti	Djibouti
Dominica	Dominica
Dominican Republic	Dominican Republic
Ecuador	Ecuador
Egypt	Egypt
El Salvador	El Salvador
Equatorial Guinea	Equatorial Guinea
Eritrea	Eritrea
Estonia	Estonia
Eswatini	Eswatini
Ethiopia	Ethiopia
Fiji	Fiji
Finland	Finland
France	France
Gabon	Gabon
Gambia	Gambia
Georgia	Georgia
Germany	Germany
Ghana	Ghana
Greece	Greece
Grenada	Grenada
Guatemala	Guatemala
Guinea	Guinea
Guinea-Bissau	Guinea-Bissau
Guyana	Guyana
Haiti	Haiti
Honduras	Honduras
Hungary	Hungary
Iceland	Iceland
India	India
Indonesia	Indonesia
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Iran (Islamic Republic of)
Iraq	Iraq
Ireland	Ireland
Israel	Israel
Italy	Italy
Jamaica	Jamaica
Japan	Japan
Jordan	Jordan
Kazakhstan	Kazakhstan
Kenya	Kenya
Kiribati	Kiribati
Kuwait	Kuwait
Kyrgyzstan	Kyrgyzstan
Lao People's Democratic Republic	Lao People's Democratic Republic
Latvia	Latvia
Lebanon	Lebanon
Lesotho	Lesotho
Liberia	Liberia
Libya	Libya
Liechtenstein	Liechtenstein
Lithuania	Lithuania
Luxembourg	Luxembourg
Madagascar	Madagascar
Malawi	Malawi
Malaysia	Malaysia
Maldives	Maldives
Mali	Mali
Malta	Malta
Marshall Islands	Marshall Islands
Mauritania	Mauritania
Mauritius	Mauritius
Mexico	Mexico
Micronesia (Federated States of)	Micronesia (Federated States of)
Monaco	Monaco
Mongolia	Mongolia
Montenegro	Montenegro
Morocco	Morocco
Mozambique	Mozambique
Myanmar	Myanmar
Namibia	Namibia
Nauru	Nauru
Nepal	Nepal
Netherlands	Netherlands
New Zealand	New Zealand
Nicaragua	Nicaragua
Niger	Niger
Nigeria	Nigeria
Niue	Niue
North Macedonia	North Macedonia
Norway	Norway
Oman	Oman
Pakistan	Pakistan
Palau	Palau
Panama	Panama
Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea
Paraguay	Paraguay
Peru	Peru
Philippines	Philippines
Poland	Poland
Portugal	Portugal
Qatar	Qatar
Republic of Moldova	Republic of Moldova
Romania	Romania
Russian Federation	Russian Federation
Rwanda	Rwanda
Saint Kitts and Nevis	Saint Kitts and Nevis
Saint Lucia	Saint Lucia
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Samoa	Samoa
San Marino	San Marino
Sao Tome and Principe	Sao Tome and Principe
Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia
Senegal	Senegal
Serbia	Serbia
Seychelles	Seychelles
Sierra Leone	Sierra Leone
Singapore	Singapore
Slovakia	Slovakia
Slovenia	Slovenia
Solomon Islands	Solomon Islands
Somalia	Somalia
South Africa	South Africa
South Sudan	South Sudan
Spain	Spain
Sri Lanka	Sri Lanka
Sudan	Sudan
Suriname	Suriname
Sweden	Sweden
Switzerland	Switzerland
Syrian Arab Republic	Syrian Arab Republic
Tajikistan	Tajikistan
Thailand	Thailand
Timor-Leste	Timor-Leste
Togo	Togo
Tonga	Tonga
Trinidad and Tobago	Trinidad and Tobago
Tunisia	Tunisia
Turkey	Turkey
Turkmenistan	Turkmenistan
Tuvalu	Tuvalu
Uganda	Uganda
Ukraine	Ukraine
United Arab Emirates	United Arab Emirates
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
United States of America	United States of America
Uruguay	Uruguay
Uzbekistan	Uzbekistan
Vanuatu	Vanuatu
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
Viet Nam	Viet Nam
Yemen	Yemen
Zambia	Zambia
Zimbabwe	Zimbabwe
Cyprus	Cyprus
Czechia	Czechia
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	Democratic People's Republic of Korea
Denmark	Denmark
Djibouti	Djibouti
Dominica	Dominica
Dominican Republic	Dominican Republic
Ecuador	Ecuador
Egypt	Egypt
El Salvador	El Salvador
Equatorial Guinea	Equatorial Guinea
Eritrea	Eritrea
Estonia	Estonia
Eswatini	Eswatini
Ethiopia	Ethiopia
Fiji	Fiji
Finland	Finland
France	France
Gabon	Gabon
Gambia	Gambia
Georgia	Georgia
Germany	Germany
Ghana	Ghana
Greece	Greece
Grenada	Grenada
Guatemala	Guatemala
Guinea	Guinea
Guinea-Bissau	Guinea-Bissau
Guyana	Guyana
Haiti	Haiti
Honduras	Honduras
Hungary	Hungary
Iceland	Iceland
India	India
Indonesia	Indonesia
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Iran (Islamic Republic of)
Iraq	Iraq
Ireland	Ireland
Israel	Israel
Italy	Italy
Jamaica	Jamaica
Japan	Japan
Jordan	Jordan
Kazakhstan	Kazakhstan
Kenya	Kenya
Kiribati	Kiribati
Kuwait	Kuwait
Kyrgyzstan	Kyrgyzstan
Lao People's Democratic Republic	Lao People's Democratic Republic
Latvia	Latvia
Lebanon	Lebanon
Lesotho	Lesotho
Liberia	Liberia
Libya	Libya
Liechtenstein	Liechtenstein
Lithuania	Lithuania
Luxembourg	Luxembourg
Madagascar	Madagascar
Malawi	Malawi
Malaysia	Malaysia
Maldives	Maldives
Mali	Mali
Malta	Malta
Marshall Islands	Marshall Islands
Mauritania	Mauritania
Mauritius	Mauritius
Mexico	Mexico
Micronesia (Federated States of)	Micronesia (Federated States of)
Monaco	Monaco
Mongolia	Mongolia
Montenegro	Montenegro
Morocco	Morocco
Mozambique	Mozambique
Myanmar	Myanmar
Namibia	Namibia
Nauru	Nauru
Nepal	Nepal
Netherlands	Netherlands
New Zealand	New Zealand
Nicaragua	Nicaragua
Niger	Niger
Nigeria	Nigeria
Niue	Niue
North Macedonia	North Macedonia
Norway	Norway
Oman	Oman
Pakistan	Pakistan
Palau	Palau
Panama	Panama
Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea
Paraguay	Paraguay
Peru	Peru
Philippines	Philippines
Poland	Poland
Portugal	Portugal
Qatar	Qatar
Republic of Moldova	Republic of Moldova
Romania	Romania
Russian Federation	Russian Federation
Rwanda	Rwanda
Saint Kitts and Nevis	Saint Kitts and Nevis
Saint Lucia	Saint Lucia
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Samoa	Samoa
San Marino	San Marino
Sao Tome and Principe	Sao Tome and Principe
Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia
Senegal	Senegal
Serbia	Serbia
Seychelles	Seychelles
Sierra Leone	Sierra Leone
Singapore	Singapore
Slovakia	Slovakia
Slovenia	Slovenia
Solomon Islands	Solomon Islands
Somalia	Somalia
South Africa	South Africa
South Sudan	South Sudan
Spain	Spain
Sri Lanka	Sri Lanka
Sudan	Sudan
Suriname	Suriname
Sweden	Sweden
Switzerland	Switzerland
Syrian Arab Republic	Syrian Arab Republic
Tajikistan	Tajikistan
Thailand	Thailand
Timor-Leste	Timor-Leste
Togo	Togo
Tonga	Tonga
Trinidad and Tobago	Trinidad and Tobago
Tunisia	Tunisia
Turkey	Turkey
Turkmenistan	Turkmenistan
Tuvalu	Tuvalu
Uganda	Uganda
Ukraine	Ukraine
United Arab Emirates	United Arab Emirates
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
United States of America	United States of America
Uruguay	Uruguay
Uzbekistan	Uzbekistan
Vanuatu	Vanuatu
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
Viet Nam	Viet Nam
Yemen	Yemen
Zambia	Zambia
Zimbabwe	Zimbabwe

Organization	Written submission
Citizen	Pandemic Treaty 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	Pandemic Treaty 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
THE LENS	Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
We the people	Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
EU citizen	Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
none, private citizen	Pandemics and Health Emergencies require a differenciated response depending on the idividual situations in each country and region. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO agreements that remove power from the US government or any government of an individual country poses a big danger and risk to the citizens.
OPen University	Pandemics are a public health issue, they are not in a separate category. Dealing with public health measures must be left to individual countries to decide what is best for their specific situation. Health issues are not universal but depend on a whole range of criteria which depend on location, affluence, health systems, cultural attitudes. There cannot be an overarching policy which deals with these. The policies pushed by WHO with regards to Covid19 and followed by most countries have been a disaster and led to many more deaths both from the disease itself and as a consequence of those policies than would have occurred had pre-planning guidelines been followed. WHO is largely funded by the pharmaceutical industrial and other industrial players. It cannot be regarded as a disinterested party and as such cannot be trusted. The vaccine policies show this with terrible clarity. The Nuremberg code was enacted for a very good reason. It declares that there are NO circumstances where an individuals rights relating to medical intervention can be overridden. Any argument of being 'for the greater good' is fraught as it will always be contested as to whether it is either a 'greater' or indeed a benefit. For these reasons the role of WHO should be restricted to research and advice and NOT jurisdiction.
unhcr	-Pandemics are affecting countries differently and require an individual responses. Therefore, guarantees for sovereignty of every country and every individual should be a mandatory part; where there is risk, there must be choice! -Another crucial element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries.
Dr DY Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Pune, India - 41	Pandemics are driven by regional factors. These factors in turn are dictated by geography, climate, population density, demography such as age profile, health status such as obesity levels, state of health services, urbanization and migration, to name a few. For instance in spite of similar guidelines followed by majority of countries of the world, the impact of Covid-19 differed vastly in continents. America and Europe had a much greater impact than Asia and Africa. These differences persisted in spite of vaccine roll out which hardly had any impact on the trends or these differences. [Subramanian,S.V.,Kumar,A.:Increases incovid-19 are unrelated to levels of vaccination across 68 countries and 2947 counties in the united states. European Journal of Epidemiology (2021)]. Similarly, countries which did not have a lockdown, very few in number, such as Sweden, Belarus and Japan, fared no worse than their regional neighbors. Sweden had rates similar to most European countries while Japan had rates similar to other Asian countries. Paradoxically, trends of Covid-19 cases and deaths showed an increase after vaccine roll out in Japan and many other countries [Banerjee A. Covid-19 mass vaccination – How much impact at population level?. Med J DY Patil Vidyapeeth [serial online] 2022 [cited 2022 Apr 12];15:293-8. Available from: https://www.mjdrdypv.org/text.asp?2022/15/3/293/342521] Regional factors drive any pandemic beyond human interventions. Improper to have one size fit all treaties. Management of pandemics should be done by local experts who have insight of the epidemiological nuances of the region. So having blanket treaties is not fair to the WHO or to countries facing pandemics differently in different regions.
RMIT	Pandemics are one of many emergency situations that require an effective stratified response to a range of local and individual needs and risks. An effective response would have safeguards to protect the democracy and freedoms and require: • Full autonomy of national and local leadership, who can make decisions about what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations, local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. • Measures that do not allow collusion between technology companies, pharmaceutical companies and other bodies controlling communications, including government bodies. • Compliance with the Geneva Convention and the protection of individual human rights • Measures to protect academic and broader freedoms of speech, especially regarding the freedom to publish research about experimental medicines, medical interventions and the effectiveness of all medications
Crownel Co.	Pandemics be handled in isolation of other economic, human rights and social pandemics due to such mandatory legislation. The principles under which the UN was founded include the equality and self-determination of nations, respect of human rights and fundamental freedoms. Any legislation or treaty cannot be seen to be in violation to the above scared principles above. 1.A resilient health systems response to a shock means pursuing strategies that ensure sustained performance of health systems, economic and social function thereby protecting overall system performance. For example, Lockdowns also threaten to interrupt supply chains of essential drugs to treat TB, HIV and other disease. 2. Explore to alternatives. Tanzania adopted complementary traditional medicinal plants remedies that are thought to boost immunity; such efforts were also supported by WHO. 3.Include the word effect of such legislation. For people living from day to day, reliant on earning cash in the market to buy food, a few days' lockdown is the difference between poverty and starvation. 4.Regard democracy. Extreme measures to fight the coronavirus undermine the democratic space and affect the fundamental human rights and civil liberties that are provided by the Constitution. The 2020 election violence in Uganda during the implementation of the Presidential directives. USA 2020 elections with drop boxes due to heavy handed restrictions. 5.Regard Human rights. Some governments used the pandemic as an opportunity to crack down on dissent and limit freedoms.
Citizen of Ohio, USA	Pandemics can be used by governments as a pretext to increase population control, strip people of freedoms, and generate profits, without accountability, and without fully informed consent. Therefore: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired clinical psychologist	Pandemics can cause significant upheaval/panic in the individual and the society. Hence, in its management, trust and respect must be maintained - without such there can be no true cooperative action. Use of force as a management tool by governments or individuals will undermine both trust and respect – both of which are vital to appropriate social relations, healthy living as individuals and communities, and even the immune system. Use of force contains a huge message that there is something not to be trusted – from the perspective of misuse of power as also the implication that those advocating it are themselves out of control. Given these concerns, any agreements reached need to be flexible and something that various countries and communities can opt out of, if it does not fit well with their particular needs. One size fits all situations is very unlikely to be effective. On the individual level relationship of medical doctor and patient must be respected and not interfered with by political forces. Medical doctors need to be free to treat his patients with whatever medications he or she is finding to be effective with his individual patients. As with Covid, those who contracted it had very, very different experiences with it. Each person's body is different. There must be complete transparency in all management strategies, especially regarding conflicts of interest. Individuals and organizations that profit monetarily from various strategies that might be employed should not be involved in the determination of those strategies.
Corelawn Company	Pandemics in the future should be managed by local health authorities and even then should not infringe on individual rights. As we saw with Covid19, an international response only made the problem worse and also introduced massive corruption where the best solutions were silenced in favor of corporate and political greed. Please don't do this if you care about people.
Concerned human	Pandemics should be dealt with through inspiration, not intimidation. Our resources should be focused on promotion of health and immunity, building immunity and protecting those most at risk, rather than "fighting" or "battling" diseases. Putting resources towards promotion of health and sanitation, promotion of community and empowering and educating communities to help themselves, education regarding properly nourishing our bodies, and detoxification are essential. Our current model is at war with nature (including humanity) and our war against diseases is a failure. Let's try another way. Our current systems are broken and it couldn't be more clear that we need to radically reform, include complementary alternative medicine representatives at the table and promote individualized care rather than "one size fits all" policies. I highly recommend that your decision makers read "A Return to Healing: Radical Health Care Reform and the Future of Medicine" by Len Saputo, MD for some inspiration. Thank you so much for your consideration.
Local citizens of Lanark County	Pandemics should be handled at the local, provincial or national level. No global organization should be doing this. The WHO and similar bodies can and should provide information only and not mandatory request or require mandatory compliance. Opting out must be a pre-requisite. Thank you!
Lfc	Pandemie treaty must not take precedence over national constitual law. Life is not the most importent value. Freedom of desition and oppurtunitys, psychical health Arena important, too. I don't want to much might in afew hands Best wishes Gertraud Böhme
Privat	Pandemie treaty must Not Tage precedence oder national constitutional law.
Keine	Pandemie treaty must Not Tage precedence oder national constitutional law
No organization	Pandemie treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. There are different countries with different people and needs. If some regulations are needed, then the should be managed individually by each country.
Private	Pandemie treaty must not Take precendence ober national constitutional law. As a condition of a pandemic contract member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. No private Sponsoring.
Keine	Pandemien bedeuten Impfungen und Impfungen müssen immer freiwillig bleiben. Nürnberger Codex und GG Deutschland. Kein Pandemie Vertrag!!!
	Pandemien treaty must not take precedence over national construktional Law.
Privat	Pandemien treaty must not take precedence over national construktional law.
NONE	PARAGON PRIORITY: INDIVIDUAL STATE ENTITY SOVEREIGNTY. NO ONE WORLD MANDATES. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Paragraphs provided below must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None-general public	Participation in this plan should be voluntary because we need National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We must retain: The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. A democratic process is needed whereby an open and transparent process is in place with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Aucun	Pas de règles anti-démocratique Liberté de choix pour la vaccination Pas d'obligation pour les enfants Ne pas interdire les médicaments pour obliger la vaccination Ne pas radier les médecins qui soignent leur patient avec des médicaments Chacun est libre des choix qu'il fait ....
Citizen of United Kingdom	LOGIN SIGN UP cl1vo6g7iekedkfhv Untitled CL1VO6G7IEKEDKFHV APR 11TH, 2022 229 NEVER Not a member of Pastebin yet? Sign Up, it unlocks many cool features! 1.24 KB These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.
Myself	PCR testing should not be used. It is not suitable to inform virus spread. The WHO should not have control of the UK policy in regards to any pandemic.
private	peace.freedom.love
N/A	PEOPLE ACROSS THE WORLD SHOULD HAVE THE FREEDOM TO DECIDE WHAT THEY WANT AND DO WANT IN THEIR BODIES. IT IS TIME THAT WE ARE ALLOWED TO BE TOLD THE SAFE ALTERNATIVES THAT HELP AGAINST THE PANDEMIC INSTEAD OF JUST THE EXPERIMENTAL MRNA SHOTS THAT HAVE ALSO CAUSED MANY INJURIES AND DEATHS WHICH IS THE FIRST TIME A VACCINE HAS NOT BEEN HALTED DUE TO SO MANY INJURIES AND DEATHS. UNBELIEVABLE! VITAMIN C, D, ZINC AND HEALTHY EATING, AND 8 HOURS OF SLEEP HELP BUT ARE NEVER PROMOTED. IVERMECTIN HAS ALSO BEEN PROVEN TO BE SAFER AND MORE EFFECTIVE THAN THE MRNA SHOT BUT I GUESS IT DOES NOT PROVIDE ENOUGH MONEY TO THE TOP PEOPLE WHO ARE PUSHING THE MRNA SHOTS. IVERMECTIN IS CHEAP AND EFFECTIVE AND IF IT IS NOT, THEN SHOW PROOF AND TEST IT ON PEOPLE WITH COVID, THEN I WILL BELIEVE IT. IT IS HARD TO BELIEVE THAT A FEW RICH PEOPLE HAVE THE POWER AND AUTHORITY TO TELL PEOPLE AROUND THE WORLD WHAT TO DO WHEN IT IS NOT SAFE JUST SO THEY CAN CONTROL THE WORLD AND THE MONEY BECAUSE OF IT. THIS IS A CRIME. GOD, HELP US TO SAVE OUR WORLD AND FREEDOMS
myself	People and communities are and should be in charge of health. Public health is not a one size fits all program. Please allow us to retain our autonomy
Personal	People and communities need to be in control of their health care choices.
none	People and communities need to be in control of their own health. Mechanisms to allow for cooperation are wonderful. The cooperation must be voluntary.
private individual	People are born with the right to determine their own health care, i.e. bodily autonomy. It is immoral for any person or organization to mandate, or try to coerce in any way, a person to receive a medical treatment that the person does not agree with, being fully informed, and does not want. The WHO can make suggestions, and recommendations. They do not have the moral right to impose their recommendations on the populations of individual nations.
None	People are responsible for their OWN health. Period.
Self	People die from different causes every day, everywhere. If a claim is made that the cause of anyone dying is due to a virus then such a claim needs to be supported by scientific evidence. Anecdotal, circumstantial, mystical and outright fraudulent evidence is not good enough, especially when governments and health authorities employ extreme measures against people, all in the name of constraining a virus that is alleged to be the cause of any suffering or deaths. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people"; in order to obtain INFORMED CONSENT from people around the world, as this provision of the WHO Constitution stipulates, they need to be furnished the scientific evidence upon which various health and political authorities around the world have relied, in order to reach their conclusion that any sickness and/or deaths were caused by SARS-COV-2. Freedom Of Information (FOI) requests were made, to authorities in very many nations on earth, for exactly this information and evidence, but none of them has responded with this scientific evidence. The substantive element that I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is the following: This instrument must be based on INFORMED CONSENT. This element is especially needful for an instrument that legally binds people internationally, all over the world, as opposed to in only some small, local parts of the world.
Personal capacity	People do not vote for the WHO so the WHO should have absolutely no power over people.
Retired Americans	People have the right and ultimate responsibility for their health. This treaty is NOT in the best interest of the worlds population. No organization or group should have complete control of our health. People will NOT allow this nor will they follow the decisions.
Human Race	People have the right to decide on any treatment. Makers of the drugs shall be made liable. Government shall be made liable. People of influence shall be liable. Any medical professionals or instatutions shall be liable and held accountable for any harm done by any medicine.
International Society of Nephrology	People living with kidney disease (KD) have been disproportionately impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic as the lack of attention to KD in the early stages of the pandemic impeded its inclusion in strategic response plans to the pandemic and as part of essential health services packages. This has resulted in a deadly interplay between the global burden of KD and the COVID-19 pandemic. As such, the International Society of Nephrology calls on Member States to: • Prioritize ongoing prevention, screening, and treatment for KD in national COVID-19 response and recovery plans through patient co-creation • Increase domestic allocation of resources and develop targeted policies to tackle KD risk factors, including the commercial determinants of health, through mechanisms such as taxation of unhealthy commodities • Integrate monitoring and data collection on KD prevalence, comorbidities, and risk factors into measures of pandemic readiness, resilience, and response; • Strengthen Primary Health Care to ensure equitable access to essential health services, particularly for people living with KD and in low-resource settings; and • Strengthen the KD component of emergency preparedness and response by formalizing these actions in a WHO convention, agreement or international instrument on pandemic preparedness. These steps would go far in making health systems more resilient and are expanded upon in our position paper Preventing the Next Pandemic: The Case for Investing in Circulatory Health -https://globalheartjournal.com/articles/ -written in conjunction with our partners in the Global Coalition for Circulatory health. We look forward to working with you to prevent, and where necessary, respond to future health emergencies. Thank you.
None	People making decisions should have no conflicts of interest. Decisions should be based on science and not be political. Decisions should not be based on profit for the pharmaceutical industry.
Foundation for Advancement in Cancer Therapy (F.A.C.T.) — RethinkingCan	People must be able to choose the healthcare that fits with their individual values! No one size fits all! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	People must have the freedom to make their own health decisions and not be forced by the WHO or government. The USA needs to be independent of the WHO. The covid shots for example were rushed and not fully fda approved and have killed and injured more people than all other shots combined and do not prevent the spread yet people were lied to saying they are safe and effective when they are not and treatments that work were suppressed.
N/A	People must never ever be forced into taking vaccinations without consent especially when there is no long term safety data. Never again should citizens be banned from travelling because they chose not to take medical intervention with no long term safety/efficacy data. There should never be any discrimination involved in future. The Covid pandemic has shown lockdowns did not work but instead caused significant suffering to those with other illnesses such as cancer as they could not access Drs. Waiting lists are now huge. Mental illnesses are now much more common than before.
"charter of human rights	People need democracy.socialism will be lost.more people will suffer.with already low levels of affording food,will be even lower.please i beg for not pass new law of passports...as I will suffer and worked a long life ,I have already struggled at this point...regards middle class man
BillHesse	People need the resources for a person to succeed with a personal health plan and remedying sickness/ailments. Self care action plan, items of interest usages and utilization application finished products from items.
Mencap	People need to be able to look after themselves they don't need anyone to tell them what they should and shouldn't do People know how to look after their own health and would take the appropriate steps to do so
Teacher	People need to be respected and this includes them being allowed to be responsible for their own choices for their own bodies and children the government need to stay out of people's lives and realize they work for the people, your the public servants remember your place. :tara: living woman
Private	People need to have the freedom to choose what they put into their bodies. There are alternate workable solutions to these dangerous vaccines
sovereign being	People of a sovereign nation have the right to assert their wishes and uphold their right to choose who governs them. The national elected government will decide and maintain sovereignty over choices in response to any future emergencies, health or otherwise. An unelected body, mainly funded by profit driven private organisations, must not be permitted to override our own, voted in representatives and decide how we will live. As it stands, the WHO proposal is a true threat to national sovereignty and security.
Delta flight attendant	People on public transportation should always have freedom of choice.

Organization	Written submission
None/individual	People s personal freedoms must stay intact, including body autonomy, freedom of movement, freedom of choice… fundamental for any democratic country, certainly not what we saw and experienced last 2 years! Lessons must be learnt how quickly things escalated into totalitarian society with no room of freedom of speech, no room for body autonomy, nor freedom of movement! Shocking in this century and mustn't be repeated again under 'any global crises' again! We are in a slippery road here…
Me	People should always have the freedom to care for themselves as they see fit. No mandatory masks. No mandatory vaccines. No mandatory shut downs. This treaty will be an excuse for world takeover. This treaty will be an excuse to enslave millions. This treaty will be wrong and hated by God. If Who enacts such a treaty, they will bring condemnation upon themselves
Helping Hands	people should be allowed to make their own choices & not be coerced into taking unlicensed vaccines with no accountability should death or injury occur,should also be allowed to continue to work & run their business's as they see fit,the level of control that was exerted over the world was unlawful,the tactics used to pit people against each other shows what deviants run the world,there never was a pandemic in the first place,all hype & lies by the msm & governments of the world,we as decent human being's do not want this level of control ever again,particularly in light of the actions of the Conservative party,'party'being the operative word while our loved one's died alone,if nothing else it showed us just how corrupt the leading bodies of this world are,there's over a million vaccine injured people that we know of at the moment & cases of cancer stroke & heart related problems off the charts,all the young fit healthy sports people that can no longer participate in their chosen sport & careers ruined because of doing as they were told,what's their future??
None	People should be FREE to make decisions that will affect their body, their health, and their life. The right to life, liberty, and security must be respected as part of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. 1. People have the right to make their own decisions and their interests be represented by their own government - from local to national, as they are the elected representatives of the people. Governments in consultation with the people, should retain their autonomy from international treaties that may not represent people's decisions. 2. No medical treatment can be forced or imposed upon anyone, experimental or not, following the principles of the Nuremberg Code. Full informed consent must be obtained from each individual for any medical intervention. 3. Governments can create programs to address a medical emergency such as an epidemic or pandemic. But people are free to decide whether they want to be part of that program or not, without penalty, as is their right. 4. No medical measures or program or medical emergency should violate the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Nuremberg Code. As declared by a US District Court Judge in Pennsylvania, "The liberties protected by the Constitution are not fair-weather freedoms -- in place when times are good but able to be cast aside in times of trouble." 5. No international organization whose officials are not elected by the people should be allowed to impose their policy on individual countries, especially if such policy violates or supersedes the Constitution. 6. Processes should be transparent to all and should not be influenced by global business interests or corrupt profit motives.
together	People should be given as clear information as possible, then they should make up their own free minds what to do - this used to be the case Why change it ? Lockdowns did not work, in fact our own prime minister, while locking down the UK, decided to cocktail party with his cabinet, proving that they knew something about the virus that we did not know - it was not as serious as they made out ! There is no need to over step the mark, people can make decisions for themselves - The WHO, could be a guiding star of Compassion, common sense, clear & reasonable information, a force for good, allowing for freedom of the individual. Or it could over step the mark, becoming dictatorial, hard hearted, uncompassionate. 1 Clear information 2 A common sense approach 3 Compassion - allowing for peoples different beliefs. Not everyone believes in pharmaceutical products.
Wolvo gas	People should be given full sovereignty during this time. People should be given full autonomy over their bodies and for their future children. People should still have a voice even during scientific persuasion.
No organization. Private citizen	People should be in charge of their own health. It is not for any organization to make medical decisions for individuals. NO TO THE TREATY.
Self	People should decide what is right for them; there is no need for lockdowns as they do not help! People need to stay healthy and stop forcing non working vaccines on them ! The covid vaccines should be people's choice!
Public	People should have the right to live how they see fit including when and what medicines to put in there body to cure/prevent illness. This choice should be there's there's lone and not that of a global entity. The vaccines, (which I have taken) are almost completely ineffective as too are the lockdown measures and masks. You cannot have complete co tool over people's lives. It is theirs to live how they see fit, not the WHO'S nor anybody else
Individual	People should have the right to make decisions about their own health without coercion or intimidation. One size fits all medicine does not work and is dangerous. Pharmaceutical companies should not be able allow to use their power and influence on public policy in any way. Any WHO treaty that removes power from the US is dangerous to the welfare of Americans. The US should not agree to any legally binding pandemic treaty even in the event of an emergency because it would give WHO power over our health decisions. Every person should be granted fully informed consent for any treatment and be able to accept or deny treatments without penalty.
Marketing & Natural Health	People were born FREE with sovyreignty. People need to be in charge of ALL of there own personal health needs & wants. There does NOT need to be ANY mandates, restrictions or ANYONE telling others how to live there lives and be healthy. The last few years NO ONE has looked at actually telling anyone how to better there health or immune system. Fear pushing and scare tactics did NOT HELP anyones health. Each person needs to choose whats best for them & there families.
The human race	People will not submit to this, if this is allowed there will be repercussions that could change the world. revolutions, minor revolts. Casualties of the thousands. Listen to the people and not your own greed National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. people will not submit to this, if this is allowed there will be repercussions that could change the world. revolutions, minor revolts. Casualties of the thousands
Small Farmers of Big Oak Flat, California	People, Communities and States need to be able to opt out and go their own way WITHOUT PENALTY if they think the WHO is making bad decisions & proposals. For example, during Covid, WHO made Zero recommendations regarding boosting one's immune system (esp. Vit D & C), also they did Not poll doctors to discover effective early treatments (at home); many of us recognized that and went our own way, which was a great advantage to us as it turned out. WHO is far too influenced by moneyed interests (look at who pays their budget) to be given even more control of the people of the world's health.
Elingual	People's health can not be ever used for profit! People's health can not be a subject of private business! People's health can not 'inspire' businesses about how to get more customers (medicine buyers). People's health is only people's business and NO ORGANIZATION has power to force their ideas and rules about health. WHO is a danger and should not be receiving money from private people or companies EVER. Leave the people alone to live their life and stop to think about yourself that you are some gods who have a right to say stuff. You don't.
Private	People's opinion is said to be welcome - why is the deadline for comment so extremely short, why is it also limited to a few words. why not possible in english? This WHO "pandemic treaty" breaks human and international law and it also violates the sovereignty of the member states.! So I COMPLETELY REJECT that WHO "pandemic treaty" as a WHOLE. Stop it. DO NOT ATTACK OUR INHERENT HUMAN RIGHTS!
Conagra Brands	Per the WHO's constitution, "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." This must remain a core WHO principal and become the cornerstone of any global pandemic treaty. No nation should submit to any legally binding treaty that in any way usurps it's sovereignty or authority to minister to the health needs of it's citizens. This applies to all citizens on an individual level as well. Ours bodies are our own property and are unique and our health decisions must remain in our own hands. To remain effective and ethical, the role of any international organization must remain in an advisory capacity, even in times of global crisis. Any attempt to assert direct authority over the personal health decisions of the citizens of any sovereign nation under any condition would be an egregious abuse of power and must not be allowed.
General public	Perfectly good pandemic plans where pushed aside for lockdowns which didn't work & in fact are proving to be extremely costly & harmful to people's health. All countries should maintain their own power to do as seen fit. No one committee must ever have power over every country especially with regards to health & safety.
Private person	Permanent well trained task force. No compulsory vaccines or any other compulsory medicine. This violates essential human rights. No global registers of any kind.
Citoyenne du monde	Permettez que je ne prenne pas connaissance de vos décisions qui ne s'appliqueront pas à mon être souverain. J'ai honte de ce que l'OMS est devenue et que mon pays soit l'hôte d'une organisation aussi corrompue par les finances privées.
Citizen of india	Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited ;free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public to prevent imbalance power and systematic violations of human rights.
General public	Personal autonomy is a must. State autonomy is a must. Do not force any humans to take any substance they don't wish with restricting work and free movement and social access. No mandates on any vaccines or medications. No lockdowns or quarantine camp. No quarantine of healthy individuals. Allow doctors to practice with all available medications without restrictions. No government involvement between patients and doctors. No digital passport ID. Allow human rights and freedom to continue while treating a pandemic. No medical tyranny.
AD	Personal choice and bodily autonomy would be the top parameter that needs to be taken into consideration. Everyone is capable of making their own risk assessments. The onus should not be on businesses, employers, or governments to decide what people need to do to protect themselves. As we know from history, pandemics always play out, regardless of any contraints humans try to put on them.
Independent	Personal choice is essential for all health decisions!!! The government does not get to decide anything about health of an individual! We need to let doctors have the ability to help individuals make the best decisions for their personal situations
Private	Personal free decision - no obligation to vaccinate Full liability for damages by the producers of the vaccines No provisions by the WHO or EU beyond the local governments
none	Personal healthcare decisions should be between the doctor and the patient. There is NO one size fits all for the entire globe. All healthcare decisions should be made at the local level and NOT by an international organization. No country should be required to submit their citizens to the whims of an international organization regardless of any treaty that maybe entered into.
EU citizen	Personal hygiene, exercise, healthy eating, spiritual and social companionship to create herd immunity. I don't want to be a slave and get experimental vaccine to have basic human freedom. God made us perfect don't destroy it
no	Personal opinion
Personal	Personal responsibility and autonomy for one's health is a personal matter. Prevention affects health and society in a ver positive way. Public Health is not a one size fits all program. An open and transparent process with the ability of individuals to choose their best way to health. And for the world global agreements on health and pharmaceutical industry to be transparent. This will allow people to choose how to go about their best practices. Anything that doesn't respect bodily autonomy shall be null and void as it degrades human rights.
Germany	Personal responsibility of physicians, official personals, sovereignty of ever individual states, respect of human rights and rights of the individual
Life as a human being	Personal responsibility will be included in the contract and therefore as a natural consequence the contract will lapse. STOP this contract and let each and every human being decide for itself. STOP to play God. Every society that played God destroyed itself.
n.a.	Personal rights of citizens remain guaranteed - free choice of medical treatment is guaranteed for citizens - highest souvereignty remains with the nations (each nation has individual conditions or scenario).
Comau	Personal sovereignity when it comes to the issue of health is an absolute. When there is risk, there must be choice.
Downpride	Personalized and decentralized healthcare and health decisions are the only way forward. History shows us that centralization makes for a slow response that does not take into account local variations like climate, rural areas, prosperity etc. A one-size-fits-all-approach also does not work for a highly diverse population that includes various age groups, pregnant women, and vulnerable populations like: poor, genetically different, chronically or mentally ill etc. The solution is to decentralize and make health decisions as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Independent bodies should be installed in various regions, -independent from pharmaceutical corporations and political agendas and other possible stakeholders-, where the public have an actual voice. A population's health and wellbeing does not solely depend on being protected (isolated) from a possible pathogen. Our health and wellbeing greatly depends on our ability to work, travel, educate and socialize. If the WHO has the centralized power over local health decisions, people lose their ability to make decisions according to their local circumstances that are best for them and their community. As a result many people will suffer greatly.
	Personally I do not feel that we need this agreement at all. It is complete over reach and not justifiable in any measure. The Covid 19 'pandemic' has been a complete over reaction to a normal virus and one has to ask why the world has been put through 2yrs of pointless psychological, physical and economic duress. The impact will be felt for years and this level of interference should never again be allowed to happen.
Private individual	Personally, I do not believe a new international treaty on pandemic preparedness and response should be created at all. However, if such a treaty were to go ahead, I believe the following factors need to absolutely be included: 1) The right for nations and local authorities to opt out of all or parts of the treaty, without any harmful consequences or retribution to them. 2) The whole process to be completely open and transparent, and checked continuously at all stages of development by independent and autonomous people and organizations, with all their conclusions and recommendations published in full. 3) The opportunity for each nation of the world to vote on this through a national referendum - and that the results of this referendum are binding. That means, if the majority of citizens vote against the treaty, it shall not be implemented in that country. 4) Ensuring that any and all pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers are not in any way, shape or form taking part in the process of the development of the treaty. These companies are not neutral and should in no way be involved.
human race	Personally, I think the WHO should be abolished. Not only are you a fully 'captured' agency, I don't see that you have humanity's best interests in mind, nor actual public health. But, since you're going ahead with this insane proposition that could negate national and individual sovereignty: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Personally, the WHO should allow individual states to handle their responses, and guidance from the WHO as a general guidepost, is acceptable. Citizens have voices and rights to govern ourselves. The voting process governs who we want representing us and each county, municipality, city, and state have PEOPLE, citizens who have the God-given right to sovereignly speak. We are not horses and cattles to be herded into one global barn!!! We each have rights by birth to stand up for ourselves and decide what we as individuals and as a local, collective voice, want and need for our communities. Most of our rights have already been stripped, and we hardly are just a few decisions away, this being one of them, of having no individual rights at all! Give us back our ability to stand in our own humanity, and decide what we know is best for our future! Thank you!
none	Pharmaceutical companies and other global health organizations must be prohibited from influencing public health decisions. National and local governments must retain sovereignty with the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
US Citizen	Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must be prevented from influencing the pandemic response. There are differing opinions, knowledge and ways to treat disease. Not one size fits for everyone. All processes must be open and transparent, and there must be failsafe measures to prevent the application of any global agreement where a majority of people do not want it. There must be a mechanism for holding Nuremberg-type proceedings to prosecute wrongdoing, specifically including prosecuting those who manufacture an artificial pandemic, engage in gain-of-function research, infringe basic civil liberties or fundamental rights, use a pandemic to achieve political goals or to enhance control over populations, or commit other crimes against humanity.
Mazzarelli chiropractic	Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must be prevented from influencing the pandemic response. They corrupted the response to Covid-19, forced a one-size fits all approach focused on new-fangled, highly profitable vaccines that don't work and toxic experimental drug therapies that made patients worse, and prevented access to safe, effective, and cheap drug therapies like hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin on the WHO's list of essential medicines. 2. Pre-existing pandemic response plans must not be ignored, as happened with Covid-19 when the WHO (and its member states) ignored already-prepared pandemic response plans and adopted non-medical interventions like lockdowns and public masking known to be ineffective and counterproductive. Any deviations must reflect demonstrably different real-world events. 3. Local and national governments must retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what they determine is best for their own citizens, and they must be able to opt out of any part without consequence. All processes must be open and transparent. Any application should not infringe upon constitutional rights or human freedoms. 4. There must be a mechanism for holding Nuremberg-type proceedings to prosecute wrongdoing, specifically including prosecuting those who manufacture an artificial pandemic, engage in gain-of-function research, infringe basic civil liberties or fundamental rights, use a pandemic to achieve political goals or to enhance control over populations, or commit other crimes against humanity.
Personal	Pharmaceutical companies or other for global profit companies should not be allowed to have any influence in the process. Measures that do not allow for pharmaceutical companies to influence in the process. National and local leadership ought to be able to maintain complete and full autonomy, and the ability to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All people throughout the world be allowed to participate in an open and transparent process of the ability to vote on measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without being subject to any consequences.
Women	Pharmaceutical Companies who benefit financially can have no part in any planning or implementation. Governments of countries have to hold a referendum to get buy in from the population. The WHO, being a non elected body, can have no say or consent over individuals rights or choices. Governments, National, Provincial and/or Local, have the right to opt out at any stage. The WHO, or similar organizations, do not have the rights at any stage to violate The Nuremberg Code or the Constitution of any Country.
Public	Pharmaceutical companies, public official, private companies, doctors, and local education bodies (councils)and the media muct not have liability from prosecution should anything be shown to cause harm to another. Men and women must retain the right to choose for themselves and their children/guardian and not be penalised for choosing an action which disagrees with the 'Policy'. Members of any panel, discussion group, or the like must be elected by the public and not have direct or indirect links to any pharmaceutical companiy or it's subsidiary companies.
NA	Pharmaceutical company should be disclosing all information before starting the roll out of any vaccines. Also they should be reliable on any injuries caused by their product.
TEA	Pharmaceutical corporations and their representative should be excluded. They have consistently and repeatedly demonstrated, through their actions and behavior, that their primary goal is profit maximization, not public health. They have harmed millions of lives because of this. The WHO should not be driven or influenced by the deadly greed of pharmaceutical corporations.
Daham	Phui kann man da nur dazu sagen. Alles in Händen der Phama Riesen zu legen. Keiner hat euch demokratisch gewählt und ihr wollt uns alles im Namen der Gesundheit verkaufen. Ich mach da nicht mit weder mit euren QR Code noch Grünen Pass, alles nur zur Kontrolle und Überwachung. Schämt euch!!!!
none	Physical integrity is more important there is no one treatment for all. Health today is more about protocols then about human individual treatments. No, to rules about pandemic preparedness as a protocol.
BAP Advies	Physical integrity: Substantive elements to be included should be all relevant elements of the Nuremberg code and many other international treaties on the fundamental rights of us, human beings. Any breach of the physical (and mental) integrity of any human should be punished. Handling of definitions: Others elements are the (return of) the logical definitions of pandemic and herd immunity. These WHO definitions were changed recently and are now incomprehensible to most sagacious people in healthcare. Please revert to the normal, logical, natural definition and replace the ones of the 'new normal'. Trust the doctor: An element that may prevent many from getting (very) ill and even dying is to trust the general practitioners to take care of their patients. Many have saved the lives of their clients, but were subsequently fined or even shut down because they successfully treated their patients with (proven and nobel price winning) medication that was suddenly forbidden. Immunity: The last substantive element that should not lack in the response to (and prevention of) a pandemic is the promotion of natural resistance. This is clearly the main determining factor for falling victim to infectious illnesses and makes us resilient
privat	Pillars of fundamental rights and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency, freedom of choice, in particular individual vaccination decision, inviolability of human dignity, right to physical integrity
Citizen	Pillars of fundamental rights and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency, freedom of choice, in particular individual vaccination decision, inviolability of human dignity, the right to physical integrity. By no means violations of personal liberty and medical sovereignty should be disregarded.
Bürger	Pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice.
None	PILNE!!‼️ Do 13 kwietnia, do 12:00 trwają konsultacje społeczne w sprawie globalnego Traktatu WHO. Swoje uwagi zgłaszamy na stronie WHO: https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions Przykładowa uwaga: "We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectru
CRO	Piss off you mf
MRP	Placing public decisions in the hands of an unelected group of outsiders is anti democratic and the people deserve to have a say in their futures. We elect public servants to serve and to do the bidding of the people. How do the people remove the decision makers from power? What seems to be on offer is technocratic rule by experts. Experts we can't hold to account (if the pandemic is anything to go by). It's a huge no from me, and those goes for all of my family.
MYOB	Plan would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy If the "pandemic" is a false flag, WHO now has the power to override common sense. Don't think we don't know this. The numbers of non compliant are growing.
Self	Plan would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy. It would be a human rights violation.
Private	Plan would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy. Our health needs are not a one-size-fits-all. Each nation/State should decide whats best for their particular community. This is a dangerous idea.
Private citizen	Planet Earth is not designed to be one, big homogenous entity. Individuality of nations and their inhabitants are are what make our world special and give it colour & flavour. To further encroach upon national & individual sovereignty, over & above that which presently exists; is an unecessary move towards unwanted and also unwarranted autocracy. Which obviously then places said nations at risk from persons & entities with potentially significant conflicts of interest. Nations need to retain their independant governance in all areas. All have varying requirements based on their individual geography & populace. These are often widely disparate and a necessary adaptation to a particular social/ physical environment. A one-size-fits-all scenario has never been successful and never will. It does not allow for individual sovereignty, rights and simple human entitlement to their own reasoned decision-making. Additionally, it does not allow for open & transparent process; giving individual nations a choice even whether to participate, or not. And certainly does not allow for an agreement of the majority. This would also set an undesirable precedent for further global treaties, without the agreement of participating nations & populations- with no end point. Yours Sincerely, Lesli Burton.
Individual	Plans would strip states of their individual rights for health freedom & bodily autonomy. Unconstitutional!!!
Private, personal	Please #stopthetreaty. We all have the rights for bodily autonomy. There is no science behind the vaccine mandates because it doesn't stop the spread and transmission of the virus. There was no strong data to back up that it is safe and effective. If there is risk, there should be a choice.
Privat	Please : Hiermit in Deutsch wir als Bürger wollen nicht dass die Who die Befugnisse erhält die Bestimmungen für medizinische Maßnahmen oder auch Behandlungsvorschriften für Gesundheit und Lebensregeln im Sozialen alleine bestimmen und vorschreiben zu können .Diese Entscheidungen wollen wir innerhalb unserer Länder belassen und mitbestimmen ! Bitte bedenken Sie das heute bei Ihren Entscheidungen! Mit freundlichen Grüßen, E.M. Amthor
Canada	Please accept my comments for consideration: A- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. B- The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. C- An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. D- Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Please add a provision that guarantees each national and local entity can make decisions based on the unique needs of the citizens affected.
Planning to sign-up.	Please add the following into the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Regards, Francis Tan Nicholas
Irish citizen	Please address the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	Please address the following in considering this treaty. Local leadership within the nations should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions best for their people. There is no one-size-fits all. IF this moves forward, nations and local municipalities should have the freedom to opt out of the agreement WITHOUT CONSEQUENCES. The process should be open, transparent and voted on in a safe and honest voting system, globally. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers should not have any influence this process. Their should be protection for people to follow their own health path.
None	Please address the following issues: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Culture Club 101	Please adhere to the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Elaina Luther

Organization	Written submission
Outreach Autism Network	Please adjust on these points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Please allow all nations absolute discretion on acceptance or rejection of any proposals. Please ensure pharmaceutical companies have no influence over national health policies. Allow all individuals in the world to vote on and be able to reject any or all such proposals.
Johnson County Pediatrics	Please allow countries to opt out of any part of a treaty. Please allow countries where majority of people don't agree w proposal to opt out. Please keep pharmaceutical and hospital and other third party interests out of the discussions and decision making. Please allow each country to do what's best for their individual citizens.
self	Please allow for 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process (public information campaign on all mainstream media) with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and have not been made aware of it in order to vote for or against it. Individual right to refuse any unwanted medical intervention without consequence. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. (Include Solely Independent and varied experts with no patent holders or stock holders in pharmaceuticals and Big Tech) 5. Open public portal to view and discuss anecdotal professional success with repurposed (non patented) treatment protocol as well as non pharmaceutical (supplement, nutraceuticals) uncensored in real time.
Individual	Please allow for individuals to decide what's better for their health. No mandatory health decisions. We all have our own health concerns that your decisions may not take into consideration.
US citizen	Please allow individuals to continue to have freedom and body autonomy. Lock downs don't work, nor does masking. There should be no vaccine passports at all.
Individual	Please allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement needs to be maintained. The people of the world should have the ability for voting on any measure that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies must not be allowed to influence any process.
self	Please allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Please preserve the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Please allow open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Ensure measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, William Stone
NA	Please allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Please maintain an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Please avoid any policies that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
None	Please be advised that I believe that people and communities should advocate for their own health. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and if they opt out as a whole there should be no consequences to them or their constituents. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Mostly, just stay out of people health concerns as I don't believe I ever voted for your authority in anyone's life nor are you my doctor.
NA	Please be advised that no American official has the right, under our American foundational document, the Declaration of Independence, to sign away the basic human rights of any American to "Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness". This would include the right to make personal life-or-death health decisions and/or their right to continued liberty. Any denial of these rights could only be consummated after a trial and judgment against them by a jury of their peers conducted via the American judicial system and subject to rights of appeal to higher judicial authority/authorities.
Me	Please be aware that many people know what you are really doing and they know where you live...
Non of your business	Please be aware that this organization, nor any entity, person (s) have any right to own a sovereign beings body no matter what condition we are under. Complying with such a evil agenda will murder millions of people so I for one will not be involved in this.
Public	Please be aware that your proposals would further reduce our natural god given freedoms that all human beings are entitled too. Over the past 2 years we have seen an erosion of these freedoms with numerous nefarious laws & mandates introduced under the guise of Covid. NO MORE UNELECTED QUANGOS IMPACTING ON OUR FREEDOMS!!!!!!
Wildnisschule Hannover	Please be mindful of the following principles, if you want to preserve people's trust in democracy: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards Johann Franz
none	please be sure that financial interests dont influence your reaction to pandemic issues. i dont like how the covid pandemic was guided by who - it never should happen that any advices are against human rights and data protection how it is in this pandemic. it should never happen again like this. who might give advices but never should be able to overrule the most important things people have - the right over own body, the right to privacy and freedom of movement should never ever restricted by anything who says.
Human	Please be sure to include the following in any agreements, there must be autonomy for localities and exceptions for individuals medically and religiously. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	Please can we ensure that all health policies are administered in the U.K. rather to the power unelected global health body. We did not spend the last 6 years in protracted negotiations over leaving the eu to find ourselves governed by WHO. kind regards Colin
Jean Jeffery	Please can we learn from the mistakes made in handling this pandemic. Let scientists and doctors work together – encourage healthy debate – don't ostracise doctors with dissenting views. Create platforms for debate. Learn from each other. Offer early treatment options – don't tell people to wait at home until they can't breathe and then come to the hospital. Natural healers / integrative doctors healed their patients long before hospitalisation was necessary. See what existing medications (natural and allopathic) can help – medications to cure covid exist. The panic push for a vaccination was not necessary. Consider the enormity of the the non-covid side effects of lockdowns; forced separation; dissenting views. Count the number of suicides; the number of depressed people; the number of old people who died (not of covid) without seeing their families. Count the number of people who lost their livelihoods; the number of people pushed into poverty. Study the severe impact on economies. Natural immunity was completely disregarded. Our bodies are complex incredible systems – that was completely ignored in the push for vaccinations. Fear is a low vibration low energy. Our bodies need to be light and happy for our immune systems to work optimally. Yet the fear factor was pushed again and again.
Humanity	Please commit to the following: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is imperative, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	Please consider as much safeguards as possible for this treaty not to be used for ANY political reasons! Humanity depends on this! 1. Ability of each country to opt in or out without any penalties 2. Paramount respect for human rights and freedoms 3. Using science as intended: support scientific dialogue, discourse, responsibility and diversity before putting any rules or mandates into place, learn from the past 4. Eliminate as much as possible unscrupulous profits from suggested regulations; if profit is made this needs to be shared by everyone affected 5. Protection of so called whistler blowers when corruption suspected and reported, investigate! 6. Diverse groups of people making decisions, thoroughly wetted for conflicts of interest. If majority of interested parties agree, then I wish you all the best. Dr. Elena Lisiak, retired psychiatrist.
A concerned citizen.	Please consider carefully the following recommendations, which are sane and fit, in any democracy. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your kind (indeed, IMPERATIVE) attention.
Privatmann	Please consider guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
self	Please consider including the following elements: 1. Local and national leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	Please consider including these practices : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. With sincerity Mrs. Nauseda
SSMI	Please consider my strong objection to the proposed agreement on pandemic preparedness and response. The absolute failure of globalized solutions has been conclusively demonstrated regarding scientific, medical, social, humanitarian and political matters since January of 2020. Further, such an agreement would violate the exquisitely adaptive capabilities of human systems, according to systems-information theory, in addressing according to the well-established principles of subsidiarity. To wit, I specify the following: 1. National and local leadership must retain complete authority under the condition of national sovereignty and duly constituted provisions of provincial, state and local powers therein, reserving the sole right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local governments must have sole and complete discretion in opting out of any guidance or suggestions provided by international bodies without any imposed harm or consequence, political or economic. 3. Nations and the subsidiary systems of leadership and government within must be able to access and assess complete information and suggested policies, respectively, regarding proposed epidemic conditions without and intervening authority from international bodies or organizations.
non	Please consider my strong objection to the proposed agreement on pandemic preparedness and response. The absolute failure of globalized solutions has been conclusively demonstrated regarding scientific, medical, social, humanitarian and political matters since January of 2020. Further, such an agreement would violate the exquisitely adaptive capabilities of human systems, according to systems-information theory, in addressing [putative epidemic/pandemic crises, such as they may be] according to the well-established principles of subsidiarity. To wit, I specify the following: 1. National and local leadership must retain complete authority under the condition of national sovereignty and duly constituted provisions of provincial, state and local powers therein, reserving the sole right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local governments must have sole and complete discretion in opting out of any guidance or suggestions provided by international bodies without any imposed harm or consequence, political or economic. 3. Nations and the subsidiary systems of leadership and government within must be able to access and assess complete information and suggested policies, respectively, regarding proposed epidemic conditions without and intervening authority from international bodies or organizations.
N/A	Please consider my voice in your decision to implement a singular international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all peoples of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	Please consider not to reduce human rights to a level that they are actually gone. Please do not define threat levels that allow measures which are in no good relation to the actual threat. Please do not allow medicine to become an political instrument that enables suppression.
none	Please consider that any WHO treaty must contain the following rights: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Please consider that every human being has a unique body chemistry based on their unique lineage. You CANNOT impose a universal mandate (ie vaccines) UNLESS there are NO exceptions to the safety of what you are imposing. NONE. It is your DUTY to determine whatever you are requiring is doing no harm to anyone - not even 1 person - and then you may proceed with a mandate. Otherwise you are knowingly harming humanity and that is a crime.
American Citizen	Please consider that heath concerns are addressed better by individual communities, not globally. #StopTheTreaty. Respectfully submitted, Dale Tippett
Thoughtful Accommodations	Please consider that the following elements should be included: 1. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	Please consider that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Please consider that we the people prefer: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards
NHS	Please consider that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ICC Easton	Please consider the damage to any Sovereign autonomy that such a treaty would effect. The treaty circumvents constitutions, countries, and ALL civil liberties. It places unfettered control, decision-making, and authority in an (already) compromised globalist organization controlled by profiteering Big Pharma investors. If the past two years have taught us anything, it is that all the powerful (taxpayer-funded) organizations do not care about the health of people- its all about power, and profit.
US Citizen	Please consider the following comments in regards to your consideration in this matter. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Vaccine Safety Research Foundation	Please consider the following during the hearings. 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All health policies are local and different from municipality to municipality. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Please consider the following elements for the global agreement: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the appliction of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Consumer	Please consider the following elements in your pandemic preparedness and response. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Self employed	Please consider the following elements 1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to OPT OUT of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be maintained. 3. The people deserve an OPEN and TRANSPARENT process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be SAFEGUARDS: Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	Please consider the following key and overriding tenets for any global treaty: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; and measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Please consider the following matters: Please restore the definition of a pandemic as a world-wide epidemic of grave disease and death. Please reconsider the danger of mass-vaccination during a viral pandemic. Please recall Einstein's admonition, "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." To this end, please permit all nations to opt-out or reject pandemic prescriptions without penalty. Measures must be taken to protect public health recommendations from servicing commercial interests. To this end, please prevent members of global vaccine alliances and pharmaceutical companies from input in strategic decisions and work to end their practice of exploiting the poor. Do not pit vaccines against early treatments. Provide informed consent to all people for all drugs. Permit all people to reject all biologics. Do not enforce vaccination or therapeutics against individual will. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input and for your advocacy.
Personal	Please consider the following points regarding future pandemic response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	Please consider the following points. We are sovereign nations and should remain so. We require and desire that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully submitted,
Die Englischtrainerin	Please consider the following points: • integrity of the human body and voluntary decision to get vaccinated or not: the person must give informed consent before being vaccinated • health, holistically perceived, should be the focus, i.e. all measures that strengthen the immune system and keep it healthy. This also includes a healthy external and internal environment (air pollution, contraindications by medication that people take over a long period of time such as statins or ACE-blockers) • academic discourse must be possible and should be encouraged – in the Covid-pandemic that was not the case: differing opinions and the use of off-label drugs that don't bring profit to pharmaceutical companies were demonized – this kind of conduct must not be repeated. • All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests • Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states • As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance • Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
None	Please consider the following points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Quest for Life Foundation	Please consider the following recommendations: 1. Our national and local leadership retains full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stand for Health Freedom	Please consider the following when writing the treaty: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration. These four points are important to be included in the treaty. —Julianne Reyes
T & L Enterprises	Please consider the following. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Sincerely, Ted Ross
Student Aide	Please consider the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personalized Orthodontics	Please consider the following: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. It is the right for each country to determine its own emergency health response and the people of that nation have the right to vote and overturn any mandate that restricts personal autonomy. Sincerely, Kevin J. Andrews DDS, MS
N/a	Please consider the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the RIGHT to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An OPEN and TRANSPARENT process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that DO NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WHO	Please consider the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	Please consider the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Please consider the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Larson Ltd	Please consider these amendments/additions: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Tamara Larson
Private Individual	Please consider these elements. Thank you. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
High schooler 's mother	Please consider these fair comments for your treaty; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Maria von sprecken
n/a	Please consider these important components: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Please consider these in the following must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
WHO	Please consider these items before implementing any treaty. 1/National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2/The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3/An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4/Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Self	Please consider these items when creating your policy. I feel that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Bill Badgero
Self	Please consider these points as evidence against the treaty. Please stop the treaty! 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. All nations and local municipalities must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We do not want this treaty. Please heed our wishes and prayers for freedom and autonomy. Kathleen Pepe
N/A	Please consider these stipulations: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	Please consider this as my recommendation on this topic. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for consideration before decisions being made.
privet	Please consider this: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Please consider to include the following on a pandemic preparedness instrument •National and local leadership as well as individuals retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and their own individual health. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	Please consider: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you!
n/a	Please consider: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Please consider: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank You.
Provate	Please continue to communicate and share information & advice, However, always allow open discussion, and always allow different countries and states to decide for themselves. In the name of science - and for a better future - always respect all different viewpoints because neither the majority, nor a small group of experts are always correct !! Knowledge improves over time and science means continuous scrutiny - especially scrutiny of what is firmly believed to be facts! In the true spirit of science, allow states or countries with differing policies to serve as control groups for future scientific study! There is no control group for reference or future improvements if all are forced to follow the same strategy. That is not science. Things that were believed to be true for long have turned out to be wrong!! It is never good to enforce policy or centralize power in the hands of few. If not now, then later, power will be misused, whether by ignorance or malice, History proves this repeatedly
Private	Please disregard my previous submission. I've changed my mind. I don't think you should have the right to deem anything a pandemic... so no treaty required. I think you should receive submissions from medical folks in different countries asking you to call something a pandemic if THEY think it is warranted, and when you have enough submissions, you can "advise" the UN that you "believe" pandemic conditions exist. This restriction on your ability to "create" pandemics keeps you from having too much un-earned power in the first place, and makes you more controllable-- a restriction that is warranted, in my mind, given the harm you've caused in the last 24 months.
Self	Please do go forward with this pandemic agreement. I sincerely object to it. It would deeply compromise or otherwise destroy privacy at a global level. It will funnel US tax dollars to a global agency that will use it to "enhance, update, and strengthen" its own ability to be a global health authority. It would create an international structure for sharing dangerous pathogens and genetic information. It would censor (or "cancel") more and more voices for informed consent through global coordinated actions to address the misinformation, disinformation, and stigmatization that undermine public health. I object and beg consideration.
Personal	Please do have future pandemics under the WHO. Each nation is sovereign. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	Please do not abolish national and local autonomy in pandemic responses. There needs to be options to opt out of the agreement at discretion of sovereign nations, especially where the people do NOT want it. Allow for an open process, without being corrupted by corporate interests, such as pharmaceutical companies and powerful "nonprofit" agencies. Please allow for NON binding agreement only! Thank you
U.S. Citizen & Georgia Resident	 Please do not accept or pass this treaty. Please stop this treaty based on the following: 1. It is imperative that the United States of America's National and Individual States' local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. A treaty such as this goes against the very freedoms and values our nation and constitution was build upon as a democracy. 2. We must have the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. These elected leaders represent The People... And The People's Voice and The People's Needs. As deemed by our own governmental systems and structure. 3. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on; this includes fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it or do not need it. 4. Measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time.
General public	Please do not add anything to current WHO pandemic response. Each country handled the pandemic in a way that worked for them. A one-way-fits-all would not help support the countries in another pandemic. Instead, focus on aiding help that would allow for different responses. If you visit the VEAR website, the numbers show that many people would not benefit from a one way fits all approach to another pandemic.
None	Please do not allow health decisions for the United States be made by an outside entity.
Self employed	Please do not allow one person/institution to have control over anyone's health. Health is and always has been a personal issue. To think that any one person or entity has answers is absurd.
Individual	Please do not allow this through. We ask you respect individual and families Healthcare rights. We also ask not to trample on nations constitutions. People have legitimate concerns, I being one of them.
Concerned mom	Please do not blanket health care as a one size fits all… it is an individual's right to decide health care for themselves and their families. We the people do not want more overreach into our lives!
None	Please do not bring in this treaty. Advice is important from senior health and science experts, and world leaders should listen to their advice when in a health crisis. However, there should not be a legal obligation to follow their advice, after all policies need to be guided by more than just the affect of a specific illness, such as COVID, but also the affects disease control measures will have on health issues (excluding COVID), the economy, mental health and education. Each individual government is best placed to make these decisions, not a world wide organisation. I sincerely hope this treaty is not passed, really. Thank you for reading and have a good day.
none	Please do not create a global pandemic plan. Bodily autonomy, independence, and freedom are essential rights. Decisions about one's own health and body need to remain with the individual. Americans and all humans must remain in power over their own bodies and their own decisions and their own health and lives. Everyone is different, therefore each person needs to be free to do what is right for them. There is nothing that is right for everyone, except for clean air, organic foods, more biodiversity, etc. Please do not create a global pandemic plan. Please allow everyone sovereignty over their own body, health and lives. Plus, the best way to prepare against pandemics is to use regenerative biodynamic, organic farming, stop doing all GMO and pesticides and synthetic fertilizers, teach people how to be happy consistently, and STOP GAIN OF FUNCTION RESEARCH. Personal freedom and autonomy are paramount. Thank you!
Individual	Please do NOT create or finalize or authorize any treaty to put the WHO in charge of any pandemic response. Each country must be able to decide for itself what health, economic, and social policies to adopt in the event of a pandemic. To neuter countries by putting the WHO in charge would be sheer folly.
Gates Foundation	Please do not engage in authoritarianism/totalitarianism by forcing all countries to obey your command. One person, organization, or country can turn out to be wrong in its guidance. That includes you and yours. Acknowledge the sovereignty of each nation and let them make their own laws and regulations. Remember, diversity is to be encouraged.
Private citizen of usa	Please do not enter into any agreement globally. Heath decisions should be made by one's own decisions with information gathered locally.
Frisse Bronnen	Please do not extend the power and reach of the WHO. It is already too much. Stick strictly to advice. Nations can and should best govern themselves. WHO can never.
	Please do not force any citizen of any nation to be vaccinated against their will
N/A	Please do not force people into medical procedures, if a pandemic is so dangerous to our economy than maybe something is wrong with the economy. We should have systems that can bridge things like a pandemic or disaster in our economic system. A company should have a megal reserve as well eg. Please let the people make their choice on what to put in their body, no matter what. Also please let the experts debate each other publicly without judgement from the media, let's all work togerher as adults.
None. I am an individual.	Please do NOT give the WHO any power. The WHO handled the Covid pandemic terribly, they do not deserve to make anymore laws or policies. States such as Florida had much better outcomes than states such as California or New York that followed public health guidelines. In fact I would be very happy if the USA could leave the WHO. Recent Public Health policy has been a disgrace to the world.
Citizen	Please do not give up US decision power to anyone. One size does not fit all. Your past medical decisions were a horrible situation. All power needs to remain local.
I do not belong to any organisation	Please do not go ahead and sign this treaty Our recent exit from European Union demonstrated the strength of feeling in our Isles for autonomy We are an island race and as such need to have autonomy to make our own decisions and not be forced in to taking action that doesn't serve the individual, our country or humanity as a whole I do not want my freedom to be further suppressed
Concerned citizen	Please do not go ahead with this. It is so undemocratic. Leave all the governments to do what they want to do, we do not need foreign companies to take over.
Teacher	Please do not go forward with a global pandemic response. There is not a one size fits all approach, and people should be allowed freedom to make their own health choices and keep them private. Thank you.
Bachmeyer Benefits Consulting	Please do NOT grant authority to WHO to make decision about heath policy for the U.S. Local is ALWAYS best on this issue.
Individual	Please do not implement WHO health treaty. Countries would lose their sovereignty. Thank you.
Chronic Dry Eye National League, US	Please do not infringe on our rights. -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please hear us!
self	Please do NOT infringe on the sovereignty of nations! Each country should have the right to govern how it sees fit during a pandemic or any other crisis. This is just a huge power grab for pharmaceutical companies and elites.
Stand For Health Freedom	Please DO NOT JOIN the WHO global health power grab. Retain US sovereignty! Please reject the international pandemic treaty!

Organization	Written submission
Clothing company	Please do not let the WHO run our countries health. This is what I would like to see. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. thank you for your time. Sincerely, Marsha Sabourin
Privat	Please do not make vaccines mandatory. Discriminatory measures against unvaccined people should be prohibited. Mandadory vaccines should be strictly forbidden. The individual risk and health situation must take full account regarding all considerations. Vaccines are a massive intervention therfore a personal individual decission is mandatory. Please prevent people form mandatory treatments. Critical and other minded voices have to include in all decisions and considerations. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Physical health is only one factor for a live worth living. Freedom, indiviual and self determind decission should weight at least equal. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance I generally contradict an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat	Please do not make vaccines mandatory. Mandadory vaccines should be forbidden. The individual risk and health situation must take full account. Vaccines are a personal decission. Please prevent people form mandatory treatments. Critical and other minded voices have to include in all decisions and considerations. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Physical health is only one factor for a live worth living. Freedom, indiviual and self determind decission should weight at least equal. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance I generally contradict an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
PRIVATE	Please do not misuse the Organisation to terrorize people anymore. I see, pharma wants to make profit, but now pharma captuted the WHO to install a global biosecurity state. It will be hell for your children and their children. Do you want that?
None	Please do NOT move forward with this treaty. It is a bad idea. The sovereignty of every nation will be sacrificed. There will be a one-size-fits-all approach to pandemic response that is neither appropriate nor necessary. Other than further swelling the profits of the international pharmaceutical industry, this treaty will only serve the globalists' desire to extends power and control over every individual citizen.
Self	Please do not pass pass the bill to take away our born rights to determine what is best for our bodies. Governing groups must recognize that we have individual freedoms and this is key to a free world.
N/a	Please do not pass this. In order to insure individual rights, we need to maintain countries' sovereignty. The people of the world do not want world government.
University of music and performing arts, Vienna	Please do not proceed this unconstitutional act. Each individual is entitled to select the best way to protect him/her, each has a different health condition which must be respected. There is no one-fits- all medication or vaccination. This new act seems to have a goal which is far from the concern of people's well being. I strongly oppose this inconsiderate control over humankind and a violation of human rights. Regards
Private person	Please do not proceed with the pandemic treaty as we are sovereign individuals capable of looking after our own health
RCF	Please do Not sign the Treaty
None	Please do not sign the treaty. It is not any government's right to decide how we treatvand care for ourselves. It is only the government's job to protect our rights and freedom. The WHO has no business deciding my family's health and medical treatment. To sign the treaty would put our American Constitution in jeopardy of meaning nothing to the citizens. This is wrong. This is a disgrace. This is urgent. Do not sign. Thank you.
Self	Please DO NOT sign the treaty. We do not support this treaty and respectfully ask you to stop the treaty. Please allow and honor each Nation to uphold their decisions based on their people. Thank you for your time, Amanda Harden
WMUZ radio	Please do not sign this treaty .
Tax paying individual	Please do not sign this treaty. America should not be run by the WHO or anyone else! Below I have listed the reasons for not signing the treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you!
Mother	Please do not sign this treaty. We have seen countless times where the WHO have lied or fell short of protecting anyone in this world. The virus according the WHO, did not come from China and then later confirmed that it did come from a lab in china—not bat to human contact. We the people of the United States of America can lead our own people just like our constitution. Let's depend on ourselves, not a foreign entity looking for power.
President of the Block	Please do not submit to the WHO's Sovereign amendment.
Private Citizen of the USA	Please do not support ANY action that removes the rights of private citizens to make their OWN MEDICAL CHOICES! At this time in our history it has become ABUNDANTLY clear to many people that the mandates for experimental injections and masking has been a complete failure to stop the spread of any virus! In fact the incidence rate of severe effects of these mandates has skyrocketed. Therefore any attempts to further mandate, now or in the future, should be rejected. VOLUNTARY use of these treatments should be just that, VOLUNTARY without threat to livelihood or other consequences. AND those manufacturers should NOT receive immunity from legal action for those with severe adverse reactions.
Individual	Please do not take away our individual rights of health freedom. No organization should have the right to issue international guidelines for each individuals health. Each individual has his/her own health requirements as We are all in different stages of life. Allow individ- uals to decide what is best for our lives. No government or organization should mandate health protocols.
Individual	Please do not take my right to choose what medicines and/or actions I take for my individual well being. All people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. Every individual and country have the inalienable right to determine their health measures, and under no circumstances will a governing body remove the right of free choice from an individual or country.
WHO	Please do not take our God given rights away. It is important as individuals to make our own health decisions. Sincerely, April
None	Please do not take steps that would override my health care decisions v WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
no	Please do not this Treatment! Ist would be not usuful for our democracy! For our children and a better world you should do other healthy things! The pandamic strategy in germany for example was a desaster for our humanity, health & children sanity! Don't make the Future worth! Thank you! I speak as a family doctor, I saw a lot of worth thing through this pandemic, and we REALLY need other treaments, not this one!
Self	Please do not trample on a sovereign nation's rights by dictating how they should respond to a viral outbreak. Unless people can vote WHO members into office, the WHO has no right to infringe on the lives of citizens.
Self	Please do not violate the sovereignty of nations and peoples of the world. To that end, national leadership must retain full autonomy, and all nations and localities must be allowed to opt out of any and all provisions without consequence. Please provide an open and transparent process for all the peoples of the world to vote, including failsafe measures to protect individuals from a global agreement which they do not want. Finally, please protect the world from the pharma profiteers both in industry and government. Thank you.
Individual	Please don't implement WHO health treaty. Countries would lose their sovereignty.
Citizen of the USA	Please don't mess with country autonomy. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent consultant	Please don't roll out universal vaccine without enough real data from all parts of the world. Global North countries shouldn't force their mandate on Global South countries. The intellectual property rights of vaccines should be made free. It should not sit with wealthy countries and corporations. Covid Vaccines had side effects but very less care is given to this. Many people lost their lives and many are disabled permanently. We need to listen to all medical experts. Global system should not shut mouth of those who highlighted side effects of vaccinations. We need to listen to both sides for a better world and fair society. We need facts based debates and not blame game. Not every human needs covid vaccines. It must not be made compulsory for every human. The Pharma lobby should not rule the universal health discourse. We should look at different cultures and societies where people died less in numbers without any covid vaccination. African continent is the best example. We should give importance to alternative medicines too. Nature based and herbal medicines. Traditional medicines. Massive lockdown is not a solution. Hysteria creates panic and social unrest that has long lasting impact on society. Please be more mindful in future during pandemic. Don't scare entire world. Media should be mindful instead of scaring people everywhere. Thanks! Chittranjan Dubey
Mayo for Sam	Please don't allow mandates to be part of a free world. We already know that there our freedoms have been taken in some respe with security and social media. Privacy needs to be honoured and the divide among people to be quelled. Why does your organisation say that ye are working for the common good and the opposite happens. I will never accept compulsory vaccinations and after the effects of having them as a child, neither should anyone else including yourselves. Think about the long term effects of decisions. Time to respect the human condition especially after hearing that 700000 moderna vaccines have been recalled due to contamination. Have a good day 🙂
Voices for freedom	Please dont do this to the world. Let countries and their people make their own decisions about health. Pharmaceuticals are never the way forward. Eat a healthy wholefood nutrient dense diet, get exercise, get sun, get sleep, hydrate effectively and when needed supplementing may help.
n/a	Please don't do this. I don't believe the WHO should have more than advisory powers. We have also seen a number of serious blunders by the WHO during the covid-19 crisis that clearly show a series of conflicts of interest, and no sign whatsoever that these conflicts have been resolved. Therefore, the WHO is institutionally not fit for these kind of powers.
Znews Limited	Please end all requirements regarding vaccines, particularly the covid jab as clearly, from publicly available data, far more harm than good is done by the jabs. Control of the WHO by Gates and company, the largest vaccine makers is a massive conflict of interest. check out www.stopworldcontrol.com for accurate data on the harm done by vaccines and most particularly the covid jab
	Please ensure for the following items: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you kindly.
Federal Republic of Germany, European Union	Please ensure free international travel and free access to education, eg libraries, for anyone, regardless of vaccination status or social background. Please ensure all human rights according to declarated standards. Eliminate fear-mongering and make vaccines safe again. Never let this unseen before excess of healthcare destroy people's jobs again. All countermeasures eg lockdowns have to be intelligent and adequate. Narrow the definition of an actual pandemic. This is modern times and we need a modern modus operandi. Respect individual decisions and human dignity. Listen to the skeptics. Good luck and godspeed.
Individual	Please ensure that all action that may be taken is democratic. The people need to be included and consulted and not dictated to. Please ensure that our human rights and freedoms are not affected in any way.
Individual	Please ensure that all nations retain the right to independently assess and implement health and pandemic responses. Please ensure that pharmaceutical companies cannot influence a nation's health policies. Please allow for all individuals throughout the world to have the right and opportunity to discuss and vote on all proposals and the right and ability to reject any or all such proposals.
Citizen of Canada	Please ensure that the following clauses are included into the proposed global pandemic agreement: 1. The National and local leadership of Canada and every nation retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of Canada and all nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Please ensure that there is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Please ensure that the measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Please ensure that: - national governments, local municipalities and individuals retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and themselves according to the prevailing conditions and culture, - the process is made known worldwide in a transparent and fair manner e.g. in a voting campaign, - that all pharmaceutical companies and other health profiteers are not allowed to influence the process neither overtly nor covertly, - that failsafe measures are put in place to prevent the application of the global agreement against the will of the majority of the people and of individuals to prevent unnecessary and harmful consequences as one size does not fit all. Thank you. Helen Gottschau
N/A	Please ensure that: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank You!
PCSC	Please ensure that.... 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Public	Please ensure the following are added to the treaty: 1. Only dues paid by member countries will be accepted as funding for the WHO. No funding from private organizations or NGOs will be accepted by the WHO or its staff. 2. Individual choice and consent shall always be the final say in matters of health. 3. No article, convention, mandate or effort of any kind issued by the WHO shall be encouraged, required, coerced or otherwise be deemed to circumvent or supersede the rights of a citizen within his/her/their local government (e.g., violating any constitutional right). 4. The WHO will apply the strictest of scrutiny in all matters related to conflicts of interest. If any member of the WHO, its staff, or its funders is found to benefit in any way from the work of the WHO, its mission or policy, that individual or entity must be removed from their position of influence and any funding or other efforts supplied by that individual or entity be refunded or otherwise rendered moot.
Patriots United	Please Ensure the following is included. These same organization failed during Covid. Called things disinformation that turned out to be true. Hid early treatments, lied with stats. We do not trust your organizations so that needs to be earned again. Therefore please add the following. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	Please ensure the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	Please ensure the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Please ensure the individual freedom of all people concerning their personal choice to be vaccinated or not. Please respect governmental decisions as they have been elected by the people. Please do not just listen to the pharmaceutical industry but to critical scientists worldwide. Thank you!
Citizen of the USA	Please fight to keep our nation's sovereignty! We need to hold tight to our constitution that this country has founded. It is critical right now to come back to strong community and a sense of unity within our boundaries. Our nation is important and plays an important roll in the world. We are a strong people and need support from our elected individuals, not an outside agency to dictate our way forward. We stand strong United as a people. Please consider how losing this principle will ripple into a future that is controlled by interests that don't represent We The People. Let's concentrate on being The United States of America! Let's embrace the beautiful process of democracy to forge our way into a meaningful future. Thank you
None	Please find below my opinion on the new international pandemic preparedness instrument: 1/ I consider it essential that national and local leaders maintain their full autonomy and retain the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2/ I ask that nations and local municipalities be able to withdraw from all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3/ I hope that an open and transparent process will be put in place to allow all peoples of the world to vote, including integrated security measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the population does not want it. 4/Finally, it would be infinitely desirable to take measures to avoid influence in the process of all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you in advance for your reading, and please accept, ladies and gentlemen, the expression of my distinguished consideration.
HALIWOH bv	Please find herewith my comments on the proposed international instrument on "pandemic preparedness and response" 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. This instrument should an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on and to prevents application 4. The application or instrument should not the individual right to choose or disagree with any treatment. 5. The instrument cannot impose treatments on individuals. The individual and personal right to refuse treatment should be inscribed in the instrument. 6. The proposed instrument should not contain measures that do not allow any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or economic partners, to influence in the process.
private citizen	Please find my concerns as below: in my opinion a one size fits all approach in regards to pandemic management does not work in all cases. Leadership in the individual counties should maintain the ability to make decisions based on their population. They should have the ability to opt out of all or portions of the agreement without any penalty. This treaty will have a huge effect on the lives of each individual. As such in my opinion each person should have the ability to vote on this decision and the majority should rule the outcome yeah or nay. A large amount of funding for the WHO comes from private individuals and corporations. They can therefore have an influence over decisions and mandates. This is a conflict of interest and steps need to be taken to ensure there is no influence by thes individuals or corporations
General public	Please find my ideas below 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks, Mike
Lawyers for Justice Ireland	Please find request that Substantive elements that should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drastically affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not those who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process Oksana
Self	Please find the recommended elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you and Kind Regards Christy Nolan
Tourism Australia	Please find the written request below- 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Please focus on people getting healthier. The way the pandemic was managed was horrendous. Not one word about healthy eating, exercise or stress reduction. Everyone put their hope in a man-made solution while drinking their beer and smoking cigarettes while watching TV. Then when the "solution" came out, people still got sick. It was a joke. This isn't any better. Of course, overweight and very sick people….are much more likely to die from a virus if they get sick. Please focus on the underlying solution why is a healthy population.
human	Please focus on water availability and sanitation. Encourage local food production without pesticides to improve nutrition and rebuild the soil. Check and supplement Vitamin D levels to improve immune function. Stop gain of function and bioweapons laboratories. The "board or recommendations" should come from an independent group of actual functional health professionals, not pharmaceutical representatives. The WHO is funded by Bill Gates and the pharmaceutical industry to promote vaccines. A treaty is a treat to U.S. sovereignty and would take health decisions out of the hands of the people and be directed from some far off place that has a different agenda. Focus on clinical based outcomes and make recommendations, not dictates. Set up an ongoing forum of real clinicians that are not financially compromised to find best treatment strategies and share information. Science and democracy need questions and debates. Stop the censorship.
HFDF	Please focus your efforts on these areas National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you

Organization	Written submission
Eva Golubovic	Please make all human rights the foundation, base for all interventions. For Germany the Grundgesetz. No mandatory treatments, no mandatory interventions. Informed consent. Please see the Great Barrington Declaration or PANDA https://www.pandata.org Evaluation of all data. Evaluation of damage and benefit. No panic and fear kommunication. Free Press No advertisement for non tested not fully licensed medications. Control of fake news from governments and pharma industries. Add a democratic legitimated organ which is independent from industries and donors of the WHO to control all decisions and strategies. No Zero Covid strategies and evaluation of the strategies used 2020/2021. Interventions costs lives as well. Please See China/Shanghai now. Medicine for people not for profit. Medications have serious sideeffects. Banning them from discussion costs lives. Avoid to focus in just one possible treatment. Push all possible treatments like Vitamin D and strengthening the immunity system in a natural way. The Meditation focussed strategie costs lives. Consider the natural immunity. This is our strongest partner. Take out the military aspect but also evaluate if it is about information bio terrorism. Watch gain oft function science.
Chez Riff music	please guarantee individual sovereignty and choice for vaccinations and medicine. please avoid unnecessary damaging lockdowns. please do not make masks compulsory. please encourage gp s and doctors to prescribe medecine. avoid a one solution for all and encourage diverse solutions. promote health above sickness. do not censor qualified health experts from expressing diverse opinions and trust the public to make and informed choice. please infogm the public about vaccine injuries. stop the press from making the public sick through an environnement of fear
independent citizen	please guarantee peoples bodily autonomy and freedoms
Canadian Covid Care Alliance	Please halt this treaty and allow states to used democratic procedures to enact health policies as they see fit.
allied health care canada	Please hear me when I say, that I do not think that the entire globe should handle any pandemic in a "one size fits all" manner. We are all different people, different nations, with different values, and most importantly : different body types and lifestyles. We can not all be treated with the same medicine or vaccine. For proper treatment, all of these details need to be taken into account, and no 'global' body has the knowledge to assess what is adequate treatment for individual nations. To further answer "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" , : Moving forward, I think we need absolute transparency from our government officials and our national media on what is happening and how things are progressing. We need links to research that is being done on the pandemic, the vaccines, the companies who made the vaccines and the full research data on the performance of these vaccines (before they are released for use on the general public). Most importantly, we as the people, need to be given all the information, so that we can safely manage our own risk and take care of our families and communities. Thank you for your time with this. Sincerely, Dawn Schonberg.
CHD	Please hear my call. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sheree Kren
an individual	Please honor FREEDOM OF SPEECH , FREEDOM OF INFORMATION , FREEDOM OF CHOICE FOR HEALTH PRACTICES. Please do not establish any international laws that curtail individual freedoms . One of the worst aspects of this covid pandemic has been censorship !!! A quote from ALBERT EINSTEIN IS MOST IMPORTANT AND PERTINENT ..... " Science can only flourish in an atmosphere of free speech . " Many Thanks Please do the moral and honest thing and PROTECT OUR FREEDOMS .
Retired	Please identify the actual individuals that are making these rules. Complete transparency of all parties involved. If any adverse effects or death results from these new restrictions, the perpetrators much be held accountable. All participants including drug manufacturers must be held accountable for crimes against humanity. Individual privacy and the right to choice must be the first priority. The world health organization is not a publicly elected body that should not determine Countries health policies. They should only be allowed to make recommendations.
Rodney Casey	Please implement these ideas! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bildungsdirektion Wien	Please in the name of human beings, stop vaccination for those, who do not want to get any vaccine and for all young people.
Peasantry 90k	Please in your considerations take into account the impact greed has taken in the minds of those who have stated they have our best interests at heart. Pharma company should be kept out of decisions. Local authorities, Dr need to keep some semblance of autonomy. Also consider you yourselves may make mistakes, past and future.
Citizen	Please in your recommendation recognise people's right to autonomy over their bodies and the right to choose what medical procedures they undertake. Be more vocal about alternative treatments and how one can boost one's immunity naturally. Follow the science and recognise' natural immunity'. Be a force for good and the truth.
Self	Please include 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Please include 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	Please include -Ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, as they see fit, without any consequences -An open and transparent process with the ability of all peoples of the world to vote on (including fail safe measures) that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it -Measures that do not allow the process to be influenced by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to do what is best for their own people
US citizen	Please include : National and local leadership retain full autonomy. Opting out must always be allowed. The process must be open and transparent to the world with voting by the people. There should be no industry interference in the decision making process. All financial transactions of the leadership, their spouse, their parents, their siblings, and their children must be publicly available from the last 5 years.
Private Citizen	Please include and focus on the elements of human rights, informed consent, transparency, proportionality, reflection, open debate, dialogue, clinical diagnosing. Please do not include the elements of testing, lockdowns, masks, mandates, censorship, one world government, coercion, fear. Please acknowledge that WHO's role is only to advice and that the lessons learned from Covid-19 is that WHO have failed in giving that since both lockdowns, PCR Testing, masks, experimental gene therapy and fear mongering have caused more harm than good and will continue to do that for many years. The response to Covid-19 supported by WHO have been greatly dis-proportional to the threat of the disease. WHO has one last chance to show that it is a n organization for people and not corporations before the majority of people will forever loose trust in WHO and countries will start to leave WHO. There is already a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, and it has been available for almost two years; it is called the Great Barrington Declaration.
none	Please include consideration of informed Consent and a risk assessment that includes social, financial, and environmental aspects. While a singular and centralized policy seeks to maximize safety and efficiency, it can produce neither, because each location and population has unique needs. Therefore, I think a list of guiding principles, rather than legal directives would be the most effective in protecting public health.
private	Please include guarantees for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
Putnam Physical Therapy	Please include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
None	Please include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
member of the general public	Please include in the treaty that the WHO will ONLY support sovereign governments in taking the action that each government considers appropriate regarding any "pandemic" and that the WHO will not coerce any government to do what it considers is best for it. Also include that the determination of any "pandemic" will be left open to individual sovereign governments, NOT the WHO.
Private citizen	Please include in your amendments that: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -Ensure it will be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Include measures that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Please include informed consent and ability to refuse WHO directives for national state and local governments as well as individuals
Teacher	Please Include language in your treaty that requires the consent of each individual to agree to your suggestions. Please Include language acknowledging local control of each city and county to agree to your guidelines or change them in response to local needs and individuals' desires for health freedom and autonomy in medical decisions. Please Include language acknowledging that the WHO has no power to enforce, but only to advise all people! Thank you
Individual	Please include language recognizing the sovereignty of individuals and their choice being primary over all aspects of the treaty. Also include language that recognizes the sovereignty of nations and signers to the treaty so they can opt out of any provisions without consequence.
self	Please include local/national choice and opt-out provisions in any international treaty regarding pandemics. As a valuable advisory - not regulatory - body, WHO can collect and sort all the relevant scientific data and make helpful recommendations However, in order to preserve a diversity of approaches that depend on the needs (social harmony and well-being, livelihood, personal health choice, safe medical options) and characteristics (age, vulnerability), nation-states should have the freedom to adopt or not adopt WHO advice. Of course, WHO is not an elected authority among democratic peoples and, thus, lacks physical or financial authority to coerce in any way its advice.
N/A	Please include my comments in your new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all peoples of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the peoples do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. An array of agreed upon world-renown scientists, biologists, medical, economists, and others with no conflicts of interests with pharmaceutical companies, their funders and health profiteers who will make the final decisions of the rules and by laws so there is no special interests that can cause corruption to permeate the proceedings. 6. An oversight committee must be created to audit and manage the Board and other committees to ensure that there has not been the infiltration of nefarious persons to control the board for their own purposes. If this happens, these persons should be reprimanded and brought before a court of law.
None private citizen	Please include my following suggestions 1: Only the freely elected governments and local authorities of each country should be allowed to make decisions that affect their own citizens 2: Regardless of decisions made by any organisation, each country should be allowed to opt out of all or part of any part of agreements. 3: A fully open process on how decisions are reached and which individuals are involved should be included 4: N pharmaceutical companies or agents of them should be involved - nor any individual or group with vested interests be involved.
Pubic citizen	Please include open, uncensored dialogue; scientific reports NOT funded by parties or stakeholders with conflicts of interest; and, most importantly, please do not allow sweeping medical decisions to be taken out of the hands of the individual, such as vaccination mandates or passports. There have been far too many vaccine injuries in the countries that forced these injections, and autonomy over one's body is a human right.
Home owner, parent, US citizen	Please include real public testimony, statistics, facts and opinions to be included on ALL future pandemics and please provide true transparency for public information provided including the ability to run a query, report on the raw data submitted and allow public to have choice in what decisions are made regarding our health. Bring back trust, loyalty and respect for choice and transparency.
None	Please include required complete transparency for all medical experiments.
individual/family	Please include the following elements in this treaty: 1. An open and transparent process that will allow all the people of the world to vote on this instrument including measures to prevent the application in places where a majority of the people vote against this measure. 2. Individual countries and provinces/cities to retain full autonomy and reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for that area; as well as their own people. 3. Option of a country and provinces/cities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without any consequence. 4. Failsafe measures that do not allow for manipulation or influence in the process by all pharmaceutical companies and any other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	Please include the following elements into the agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	Please include the following elements: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards
None	Please include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	Please include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Self	Please include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for individual people to opt out for any reason with no consequences. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Ability for individuals to opt out of experimental treatments. Please consider dropping this entire idea.
N/A	Please include the following elements: 1. National, state and local jurisdictions reserve the right to accept or reject WHO recommendations based on the consent of their citizenry. Nations retain full autonomy regarding implementation of the recommendations surrounding any "pandemic".. 2. Nations can accept or reject in part or as a whole, recommendations made by The WHO. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. All measures proposed by the WHO must be free from lobbied interests such as pharmaceutical companies, governmental health agencies and any corporate interests who might benefit from such measures. 5. Any nation rejecting WHO recommendations shall not be subject to punitive judgements.
retired	Please include the following elements: 1 - Every country should remain free so every citizen and their elected leadership can decide what is best for their country. 2 - Every country and their local municipalities should have the option of not following the direction of the WHO during pandemics without consequence or punishment of any kind. 3 - The process should be open and transparent and every planetary citizen should have the right to vote on what happens in their life with regards to pandemics. 4 - No rules or measures should be influenced by pharmaceutical companies as well as any other health care profiteer. Regards, Betty Gleason RN
An individual with a background in medical research	Please include the following elements: 1. All individuals retain full autonomy over their bodies and are born with and maintain the natural right to decide what is best for themselves and their children and their family. 2. All participants of any medical trial of a new drug or therapy need to have given their fully informed consent before being allowed to take part. 3. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Covid Call to Humanity	Please include the following important elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Please include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thank you for your time, Kelly Atteberry
Individual	Please Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Powerforms	Please include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable, and governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct, and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Johns Hopkins University	Please include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real-time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	Please include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. For example, Ivermectin for COVID-19. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. The right to safe, holistic and alternative treatments is a right. 5. Vaccine or medical status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Stop censoring highly educated scientists and doctors who don't follow the prescribed narrative. Stop allowing pharmaceutical companies, the media and the political agendas of governments to dictate public health policy. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
private citizen	Please include the following in your proposal: Guarantees for the sovereignty of state and individual citizen, Guarantees for respect for human rights. For example and special physical integrity of the individual, my body- my decision or my body belongs to me. Guarantees of liability of goverment officials and pharmaceutical companies.
N/A	Please include the following items - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank You
Scarpino, Inc	Please include the following items: A. All levels of leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. B. The option of all participating nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. C. An open and transparent process with the option for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. D. Make certain that any and all measures DO NOT ALLOW for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	Please include the following measures for the treaty: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, while also considering the voices of the people themselves. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process which includes the ability of all people of the world to have a say and vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that bar influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration.
United States public citizen	Please include the following measures in the WHO treaty: 1) National and local leadership will retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, shall be in included in the treaty. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, David Ray
self	Please include the following points in any policy or treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	Please include the following points when debating the need for a World Pandemic Treaty. In my opinion there needs to be: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	Please include the following points when debating the need for a World Pandemic Treaty. In my opinion there needs to be : National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Living Nature's Design	Please include the following provisions: 1. Let National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. That the WHO offer a range of recommendations that are health-promoting options for prevention and treatment of disease not just pharmaceutical treatments.
None	Please include the following safeguards: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Respectfully, Lynn Spieker
N/A	Please include the following substantive elements: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	Please include the following 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	Please include the following, with freedom and justice in mind, and the need for action based on the unique circumstances of each country: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Please include the following: this Pandemic preparedness plan may not infringe upon the constitution of a sovereign nation, or if it is a republic, upon the constitutions of each member state. Compliance with elements of the plan may be singly or wholly Either approved or disapproved by each sovereign nation, or member state as determined by its leadership, or by a vote of its people.
US Citizen	Please include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	Please include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, NGOs and private interests.
Egg Came First Productions, inc.	Please include the following: 1. Sovereign localities maintain complete and total autonomy regarding policy actions it deems best for its citizenry. 2. WHO provides opt-in recommendations only. Nations by default are opted out unless they choose to participate. 3. WHO provides complete and utter transparency at every level in order for sovereign localities to be able to assess conflicts of interest that may arise from pharmaceutical or other profit-based interests.
US Citizen	Please include the following: 1 National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5 No global, national or state/local digital health ID and database.
self	PLEASE include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Please include the following: Include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty. Respect for human rights. Legal liability for government officials. Legal liability for pharmaceutical companies. Accountability is needed for any actions taken on behalf of individuals and sovereign countries.
Sovereign Individual	Please include the following: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	Please include the following: The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
None	Please include the provisions!
N/A	Please include the these: a) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. b) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. c) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. d) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration. Terry
Individual	Please include these elements in the pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Please include these elements: All people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. Every individual and country have the inalienable right to determine their health measures, and under no circumstances will an organization, or governing body, remove the right of free choice from an individual or country.
Retired	Please include these elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	Please include these elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States of America	Please include these items in your treaty language. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	Please include these points in Future Pandemic Response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Escobar Company	Please include this request in hearings 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Please include National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours sincerely
Private citizen	Please include: 1. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 2. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 3. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
None	Please include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	Please include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Lesley Walz
Clemson University	Please include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	Please include: 1) Strong support of informed consent, and freedom of choice. Citizens should never be pressured, tricked, or mandated to take any medical treatment of any kind without being fully informed of all risks and side-effects. And there should always be paths for exceptions, as no treatment is a good idea for everyone. 2) Strong support for freedom of information. This should include all research study data of any kind, as well as governmental tracking data for side-effects and adverse events, as well as efficacy measurements clearly categorized according to all of the distinct risk factor categories. How can #1 be possible without #2 Thank you,
NHS England	Please include: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of USA	Please include: -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people don't want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers. Thank you.
Self	Please included considerations and measure that: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you

Organization	Written submission
Who	Please inform yourself and your community about the WHO's attempt to cement their absolute power over countries, for future pandemics that they declare. There are only 47 hours left to have your say, with I firmly believe that any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should only ever contain recommendations, which sovereign countries can consider, based on open national debate, between relevant experts, on both sides of the issues, referencing peer reviewed studies of the 'risk versus benefit' of any response. Countries should be free to consider their own set of unique circumstances and include public opinion in any 'pandemic response' decision making. After all it is the public who will suffer the consequences. When global organisations of unelected bureaucrats, have the power to dictate down to countries and their peoples, true democracy and national sovereignty cease to exist. There is the real danger that such organisations can be corrupted by very powerful corporations and private interests, who stand to increase their wealth and power, exponentially. Who audits and polices such global organisations? What conflicts of interests exist within them and their supporters? If the elected leaders of countries are serving the interests of such organisations and corporations, before the interests of their populations, then how can democracy exist? I have been very concerned about the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, such as; the re-defining of the word 'pandemic' (making it much easier to declare one), re-defining of the term 'vaccine', the extreme focus on rushed vaccines as the corner
General public	Please insure the following is included in the agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Please integrate the following points into the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Professor	Please just stop helping people around the world. We don't need your help or your vaccinations. Just leave us alone. Thank you.
Private citizen	Please keep in mind the following necessary restrictions for any international treaty signed by officials of the United States government: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be protected. 3. An open and transparent process must be used with the ability of all the people of the world to vote on the final proposal, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Please keep in mind the following points as you consider the WHO role in managing pandemics. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Phytologics	Please keep national health autonomy by not agreeing to a global health treaty to address future pandemics. My desire is to have national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. A one size fits all is not the solution to the problem. This will further dilute science and the process of figuring out what works best for different regions of the planet. A global agreement is not necessary, and only further dilutes personal responsibility for health.
None	Please keep our autonomy in the USA and all other countries in the world. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Further, please maintain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We have seen the devastating affects of over-reach and generalization and this can be prevented in the future. Thank you.
Women of Motherearth's Bounty	Please keep the guidelines simple and general. That each country chose it's own plan. That individual rights be honored. That each person take responsibility for themselves and their health. That we are not held responsible for others health. We only each need to take care of ourselves and any dependents. Young or old or handicapped. We do not need to be dictated to about our bodies. What happens to us is our choice alone! We can check with our own healthcare people and make our own informed decisions. Governing bodies are not professional healthcare practitioners. Nor do they have the qualifications to make these decisions for others. My body, my choice! And NH is a state with the motto "Live free or die". So please limit any control over us. That is not the move of a democratic society. Thank you Selena Gallen
The Griffin group	Please keep the option to opt out of the proposal. Preferably on an individual level as well as governmental. I feel that I, as a human being I should have control over my own body, rather than a global agenda that has absolutely no interest in my personal health and wellbeing.
Family	Please keep the original pandemic plan that was in place in the UK prior to 2019 and the alleged covid outbreak . This plan would have been effective and prevented many deaths .
Peope opposing tyranny	Please know that what ever steps you take to implement global tyranny under the guise of 'pandemic preparedness' will be opposed. The people of the world had enough of over reaching bureaucratic institutions.We will fight any attempt you make to force vaccines, vaccine passports, and future lockdowns. The NWO agenda is dead.
None	Please leave All health decisions in the power of the people .
None	Please leave any COVID regulations up to local governments. There is no way for a world organization to truly know the needs of the local population. Of course, we appreciate and respect the WHO's recommendations and each local government should consider them based on the needs of their local people. Thank you so much for reading this! Peace and love to all!
private citizen	Please leave every country's Constitution decide how they will respond to any problem comes along. We the people don't need or want a global law on how to deal with anything. Respect the individual person or country and STOP interfering. We need freedom NOT " international instruments".
Person	Please leave everyone alone.
Individual	Please leave pandemic response up to each individual municipality. Your attempts to manage the current pandemic have been an absolute disaster.
N/A	Please leave the people alone. God will take care of us as He did to Moses and Daniel. Demonic measures are not needed!!!
Individual	Please leave the response to any pandemic up to the individual person and do not mandate any action or reaction. It should also not be the directive of the WHO to do anything other than offer advice. In future that advice should not be motivated by politics or financial gain.
none	Please leave us alone to live our lives in peace.
Self	Please leave us alone. We are living a quiet life, increasingly more self sustainable and constantly working to lower our impact on the environment. We believe in respect of fellow humans, as well as of animals and nature. And those who impose rules should live 100% themselves by the same rules they impose. Please be shining lights of example, not domination. Furthermore: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	Please let countries decide what is best for their country. WHO guidelines are fine guidelines, but every country is different & they must be the ones who decide what are the right measures to take for their own citizens. Thank you very much, Denyse Lake
Teacher	Please let each country make their own decision as far as pandemics and other decisions relating to health, food and education are concerned. One size fits all doesn't work and it can be detrimental to society. We have to take into account the local needs and not a global stance. This should not be decisions made by Agencies and organisations funding the research or have a vested interest. Politicians should let members of the public take responsibility and not dictate lockdowns and draconian measures which have proven to have little impact as shown by the John Hopkins study of 0.2 % efficacy. A huge punishment for the whole population as the mental health and lost time was not taken into consideration due to stay at home orders. Careful consideration must be given to therapeutics and alternate medicines readily available and tried and tested before Emergency Use Authorisation is given to new experimental drugs. Any decisions made should be given serious consideration from unbiased experts and not just policy makers.
Self	Please let free people decide for themselves any response to any pandemic. We don't elect the WHO.
None	Please let individual countries decide what is best for their populations, a one size fits all as we have seen is detrimental to:- 1. Mental Health 2. The economy 3. Death rates because different variations of illnesses and diseases occur around the world at different times. 4. No lockdowns, they result in deaths from delayed operations, care and suicides. Thank you for considering these four points. Naomi Middleton
Home	Please let it be known that I oppose these measures as feel that this will take away my freedom of choice. As a British citizen, I have been born with these freedoms and every British citizen is protected by the Magna Carta,. If this proposal goes ahead, then I feel that this is a direct attack on the British people by its own government by allowing foreign interference in medical decisions. Any medical procedure including vaccination should be an individual choice and that must not be allowed to change.
Free individual	Please let the people of the world live in peace and harmony— united as one, with no organization trying to kill us-
none	Please let the WHO Pandemic Treaty be limited to suggestions and recommendations only. Please see to it that all binding legal obligation is removed from the treaty altogether. The purpose of the WHO should always be recommendations - nothing more. Anything more than recommendations belongs solely to each nation individually. History shows how centralized bodies are very prone to corruption and then to destroying the very objects for which they were created, hence all the more reason the Pandemic Treaty should be limited to recommendations only. Furthermore, a legally-binding international Pandemic Treaty would make the WHO the global government for health matters - un-elected and unaccountable to voters - and totally supplanting the sovereignty of each nation involved. Therefore, please, let the Pandemic Treaty be only recommendations and suggestions with absolutely no legal binding obligations attached to it whatsoever. Thank You.
None	Please look at the implications of such a treaty. It essentially puts the rights of private corporations ahead of the citizenry. All people have different responses to vaccines. I am personally allergic to metal, and broke out in hives for 5 years after the Twinrix vaccine. Should I be forced to take a vaccine, because a government with 31% of the vote agreed to it? Please do the right thing and allow people to decide for themselves. Failure to do so will just drive an underground movement, which will be much harder to control. Thank you, Chris Macdonald
WellthQuest	Please make clear that human rights are inalienable. Governments (national, state, county, town, etc.) and organizations (departments, bureaucrats, etc.) may neither suspend human rights because of "emergencies" nor deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone at any time. The right to refuse treatment is just as much an inalienable right and ought not interfere with the right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Censorship, lockdowns and quarantines of healthy people are also wrong as is the withholding of relevant data. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators in any industry, especially health & wellness, must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. Finally, citizens must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Many thanks, Nat
Kota Heritage Society	Please make it clear that national and local leaders will retain autonomy to take decisions in the interests of their own people according to local culture, beliefs and customs. Please also make it clear that national sovereignty will be respected and include the ability to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without consequences. In the interests of global equity, as supply chains break down, people must be empowered to take responsibility for their own health, to look after their own family members and to maintain their own records so they can live in harmony with nature respecting others and the future of the planet.
Private	Please make sure all regulations and decisions are following the Common Law, the Nurnberg Code and all other human rights law and regulations. No nation or organizations can EVER FORCE any HUMAN BEINGS nor other living creatures to take any kind of chemical substance/medicine/vaccine/formula natural or artificial against their OWN WILL. FREE WILL must prevail always. ALL chemical substance/medicine/vaccine/formula natural or artificial should not HARM, DESTROY or KILL any Human Beings. ALL chemical substance/medicine/vaccine/formula natural or artificial must have a approved MSDS sheet and proper trials before the can be used on Human Beings or a Population in the Universe. The goals must be to heal and treat Human Beings not to kill them nor initiate a dangerous medical condition as an effect of existing or new methods. Thank you
World Council for Health	Please make sure that the following elements are included: - National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities shall have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - There shall be an open and transparent process in place, allowing all people of the world to vote on the proposals, as well as failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - The pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers shall not use their financial and other power to influence or overrule votes by the people and/or local leaderships. Thank you for your consideration.
None	Please make sure to include the guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
US Citizen	Please make the following changes. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no affiliations	Please make the following substantive elements clear in the preparedness and response treaty. First, please make clear that national and local leadership will retain full autonomy, including the right to make decisions which are best for their respective communities. Secondly, nations, states and municipalities need to have the ability to easily opt out of policies which they deem unfit or unnecessary for their communities, and without push back from the WHO. Thirdly, the WHO must conduct a voting process for all the people of the world to vote on each individual issue in order to assure a majority of people agree on the measures which will be undertaken. Fourthly, every effort should be made to prevent corporate influence in the process of determining and implementing the preparedness and response policies. And lastly, all global health profiteering, direct or in-direct, should be stringently avoided. Thank you.
Private	Please no central and common compulsion. Just offer vaccines and every citizen has to decide himself to take it or not. Especially respect risks of mass-vaccination as costs and long-term damages. The will and decision of each single human being absolutely has to be respected. We trust in God
Mom	Please no global pandemic treaty!! People and communities need to be in control of their own health. There is never a widespread answer that works for all people in all areas. We have seen time and again the lockdowns and the vaccines as the only answer, DID NOT WORK. Advice from multiple professionals in each particular area needs to be taken. Not just those who have major monitory benefits from just looking at pharmaceutical companies. This treaty is a way to control people in a dictatorship manor, having a pandemic treaty is not for the good of people. Please abandon this treaty and instead look into how this pandemic started and how to do better so this doesn't happen again. Look into the practices of this infectious disease laboratories. And instead of giving people one solution give people real ways to stay healthy, such as regular exercise, sleep, healthy food , vitamin D to name a few. Be transparent with people about the pros and cons of vaccines , you can't pretend adverse reactions don't exist and that it's so rare because it's not. There needs to be several options and courses of action people can take to promote their own health. No one should ever be forced to make a medical decision in for to keep their job or travel or go to a store or restaurant.
uk human	please no more control no mandatory vaccinations no vaccine discrimination no putting corporate profits before medical safety no misrepresentation of treatments or medicines(MRNA covid vaccine was admitted to be gene therapy by designers) autonomous freedom of every humans body no misrepresentation of data NO LYING
USA	Please NO pandemic treaty! Allow people to take care of themselves as our individual liberty dictates!
Self	Please NO TREATY! Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities need to have control of their own health. Thank you.
Privat	Please note my personal human Needs to your handlings: Be transparent with all Information to Human People. Be involving more People with Professional backgrounds in Health and Virology. Be making studies from different specialised groups about vaccinations. Be stopping vaccinading children. Be stopping the Lobby in politicians from Pharmaindustrie. Be Lettinnen inside you Kommitee from more then 20'countries People with really a pgd or profession People in Health Not other without a Qualifikation in Health! Be transparent with orders and planings with all People from the World. Be in Good meanings to Human rights! Best regards Isi Schennach
Die Basis	Please note that it is essential to ensure guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Human dignity must remain inviolable. Thank you for your efforts to decide for the good of the people.
Private	Please note that mandatory vaccines should be forbidden, discriminatory measures have to be prohibited. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited, especially from organisations linked to the pharma industry. I oppose a general pandemic treaty!
n/a	Please note the following points I believe should be included: - Clear and scientific description of what constitutes a 'pandemic'. - That WHO only offers guidance on these matters and is not a complete authority of all things health-related. - It is a reference guide only and not a legal obligation for any country to implement or adhere to. - No plan can supercede a nation's Constitution or any international Treaty, Bill or Declaration pertaining to Civil Rights and Freedoms including the Nuremburg Code. - Individual nations must hold public debate with thorough research before implementing this or any other preparedness initiative. - Early treatments be considered and fully implemented as soon as possible. - An individual's right to opt-out of the plan be legally upheld by each nation. - No authority or employer dictate the individual's choice or punish them for it. - International travel must not be restricted in any way. - No treatment be enforced upon any individual and personal choice in treatment be allowed. - All treatments must provide prior, fully informed consent and be signed for. - The element of liability for treatment must be detailed and to whom it pertains. - That all steps in regard to the plan be voluntary and in line with all individual's international rights. - That any nation be eligible to remove themselves from this plan or even from the WHO entirely, if they so wish, at any point. Alternatively, no plan is necessary considering a nation's ability to provide its own.
Self employed	Please note there never should be a treaty that would put any restrictions of freedom on the choices an individual would choose to make. Any treaty that would not align with The Constitution of the United States of America' or The nuremberg code is unwanted and unacceptable and unneeded. Thank you.
Teaching	Please note there should be be no restrictions on the free choice of we the people. Any treaties that would not align with The Constitution of the United States of America and The Nuremberg code is unwanted and unneeded and unacceptable. Thank you.
General public	Please note, I am wholeheartedly against any kind of centralisation of the public health response. Having seen the response of the WHO to the Covid-19 pandemic and taking into account some of you private funders and the backgrounds of some of your top-ranking officials, I do not have any faith in the WHO. There can be no "one-size-fits-all" approach - individual countries may deal with infectious disease outbreaks as they so wish, being cognisant of individual rights and freedoms of the citizens of those countries. "No!" to any international instrument!
N/A	Please note: 1. All health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3. WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate treatment, promote one approach or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests, if found guilty the member states agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO 4. Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 5. To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 6. Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 7. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 8. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Privat person	Please observe civil rights such as autonome, transparency and freedom of choice
General public, retired RN	Please please do not have one governing body making decisions in a healthcare crisis. Our officials have made many poor choices during this last pandemic, we need our decisions to stay close to home or even better in our own homes. We are smarter than you think.
The Avalonian Housing and Land Co-operative	Please preserve the integrity of local decision making and autonomy and local consultation procedures in all matters environmental, political, social, economic, medical, financial affecting the lives of men, women and children all over the world. It is of the utmost importance that the personal freedoms of all men and women are respected and honoured in any pandemic or other plans made by the World Health Organisation. Please also ensure that you include a wide representation of the professional opinions from all the disciplines, such as in particular the medical profession, the legal profession, social care professionals, and many others, and that you consider the views of men and women acting as doctors, care workers, scientists and practitioners of law in all nations. Please make sure you can present proof that these men and women have been duly consulted across a wide range of specialities and disciplines before any further recommendations are made for the well being and protection of the health of the whole world. Thank you Sally Pullinger
AustMSC	Please promote open scientific debate on conflicting scientific topics on pandemic issues. Eg portion of population to be vaccinated. Thanks.
none	PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING AND UNDERSTAND THAT UNITED STATES CITIZENS DO NOT WANT THE WHO INVOLVED IN OUR LIVES!!!! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	Please read the us constitution. We take health into our own hands.
Self	Please recognize the individual nature of people and their communities in the process of dictating global medical treatments. When individuals or whole communities decide what are the best measures to meet their health needs those decisions should be regarded as their human rights.
General Public	Please reconsider the WHO plan for a Global Pandemic Agreement: 1. Individual countries/nations should continue to have the ability to make decisions based on what is best for their citizens. The WHO should maintain their position of advising, but should not overstep and to make controlling decisions. 2. Countries should be able to choose which WHO guidelines to follow. 3. Pharmaceutical companies (and their CEO's) should NOT be allowed to influence public policy. Thank you.
Retired USAF	Please reconsider your proposal. Centralized power never results in the right answer as fast as decentralization of ideas and practices. The Covid pandemic showed us that political science took precedence over real science and the WHO also made some wrong calls. Let's keep the WHO as a voice to show best practices not take away states rights and their citizens. The WHO is wholly dependent on monies to work and money is influence. Let's keep National sovereignty with the WHO as an entity that finds best practices.
None	Please reconsider, Stop the Pandemic Treaty ! I understand your concerns but we still do not have all the facts in or the truth yet currently ! Be for the people not big money corporations which may not have our interests at heart! My God be with you and yours!
None	Please refer from international leadership and regulations of current and future pandemics! I believe that without any informed viruses from a lab there is no necessity to force almost the whole population into vaccines, several times a year, for more and moreviruses. Our bodies are supposed to live with bacteria and viruses, the strong will survive, as ever before. Although I belong to thevulnerable people I believe in my body that it will also deal with that in the future. The world already has enough problems, countries needing money to educate and feed their people. Let's get back to what life really is about! THANK YOU. 🙏
Self employed	Please refrain from proceeding with this treaty. All health matters must remain within sovereign jurisdiction of individual countries. Centralized control is inefficient and ineffective.
CHD	Please register my following comments opposing the WHO's Pandemic Preparedness Treaty 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Please reject the contract. I personally want to be governed by the constitution of my host country and by its elected representatives in any case, in any case of pandemia and for all other topics as well. Best regards Marcus Zedler
Pattern Research, Inc.	Please rely only on voluntary individual and group actions, and remove all coercion and threat of such from the instrument's recommendations. The greatest illnesses arise out of willingness to force people to act as authority insists. Great good arises from the creation of unforced innovative associations. I speak only for myself as an individual, and wish only to deliver this request. Thank you for listening.
Homeschool Association	Please remember that the United States is a constitutional republic. That fact guarantees our freedom of choice. Personal freedom to decide what goes into one's body is a right and must not be violated. Please stand for freedom and individual rights.
None	Please remember that we are only free people if we are free to choose. There are many, many beliefs on this earth which vastly differ regarding disease, medical intervention and duties to community. Please include possibilities for choosing to participate or not participate in the pandemic response measures without penalty of currency, denial of access to basic needs for life, or rights within the conditions in ones home country.
Some	Please remember, from your own Constitution, the statement, "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Making health decisions without consent would be a breach of the health and welfare of Americans. Therefore, a substantive element of the pandemic preparedness and response is for all health decisions to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
humanity	Please remember: WW2 was followed by the Nuremberg trials with 21 hung up by law. You know that you declared WW3 to all human beings, be sure to get your process. For every hurt or killed human you will be punished. 4.000.000.000 "covid shots" already killed 1/1000 and made 1/100 sick for life. We will never forget.
Dandy People	Please remove all portions indicating mandatory compliance for citizens. Please keep the wording as "the WHO recommends" rather than mandates. I believe that national sovereignty and bodily autonomy needs to be emphasized. My body, my choice. Citizens should be entitled to your recommendations, but not mandated to follow them.

Organization	Written submission
Freedom	Please stop. And ask Jesus for forgiveness..
Health for all	Please stop … we are very capable of self informed choice if given full disclosure….
AGROSIA	Please submit the following comments with regards to the public hearing to the World Health Organization. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank You Very Much. James Toth U.S.A.
Person	Please take into account a persons right to choose whether or not to seek medical attention. No force required or necessary. Let the people know the truth of what they're up against and then let them make their own decisions based on the facts as presented.
None	Please take into account the following points concerning this new international instrument: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self/Individual	Please take into account, the following: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Rick Beiswenger 6557 Quail Run Drive Pelham, Alabama. 35124
Private Person	Please take into consideration that madatory vaccines are unacceptable for many people - especially as they represent a substantial interference with bodily integrity and that, in any case, they can be misused in the future and with the progress of medical-technological development.
Grüner Verein gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	Please take into considerations: Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden! Discriminatory measures must be prohibited! All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests! Vaccinations and medications should be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. Critical voices must be included in the decision-making process! Very important: pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. I think, countries can manage pandemics best by itself. They do the right measures at the right time. Thanks for considerating! Johann Hofmann
Grüner Verein gegen Impfpflicht und 2G	Please take into considerations: Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden! Discriminatory measures must be prohibited! All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests! Vaccinations and medications should be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. Critical voices must be included in the decision-making process! Very important: pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. I think, countries can manage pandemics best by itself. They do the right measures at the right time. Thanks for considerating! Elisabeth Hofmann
Constitutional Citizen	Please take note of these: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nil	Please take note: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. ESPECIALLY THE PROFITEERS!!
private citizen	Please take the time to hear this. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Diane Naveau
Citizen	Please take this into account: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical
Stichting Vaccinvrij	Please the new agreement should include: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the applica- tion of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - When the WHO feels the need to amend statistical calculation/forecasting methods, definitions when we are talking about when a desease, virus, etc needs to get the A Status or should get the status of pandemic, national and local leadership must have the opportunity to have the proposed amendments peer reviewed by independant scientists before approval of such amendments
Myself	Please translate from polish ZgłaszaMY nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!!
Self	Please understand that a local response is more likely to be upheld than a global one. I am a specialist in integrating organisational change, and never does a mandated, top-down system work when people are fearful. A better response is cascading, simple, informative persuasion. People will choose to uphold what is good sense. They will sabotage what is not persuasive. Thank you for listening.
Individual	Please uphold and therefore include the freedom and right of every individual human being to make his/her own choices, with the exception of preventing another person from making his/her own choices.
No organization	Please uphold and therefore include the freedom and right of every individual human being to make their own choices with the exception of preventing another person from making their own choices. Body autonomy should be included.
None	Please uphold our constitution! And allow medical records to be private as is a constitutional right.
healthcare practitioner	Please uphold personal autonomy for all healthcare. Medical treatments such as vaccines should not be mandated as a one size fits all treatment. The following elements are essential. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	Please use verifiable science (not big pharma driven desires) to inform your decisions/recommendations.
momsAWARE	Please vote against this Pandemic Treaty. Local leadership must be able to make decisions autonomously. This is playing into the hands of Big Pharma. Let us maintain our freedom!
NA	Please vote no on the treaty!! Georgia's citizens and US citizens don't need the WHO involved in our lives! We can handle any pandemic response LOCALLY! The globalists will take away our freedoms! VOTE NO ON THE TREATY!!
None	Please vote NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. Each country has demonstrated that we can all work together in the event of another pandemic and keep our individual countries safe.
self	Please vote no to stop the treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Brian Opp
Mothers of liberty	Please vote no to the treaty! We need National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. These Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We need personal medical freedom
None	PLEASE VOTE NO to the WHO treaty! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
rsb show	Please vote to stop this action. Save USA and our freedoms.
Debbie Klapmeier	PLEASE!!!! Stop the W.H.O PANDEMIC TREATY! We NEED to keep our data private and not shared; we need to keep protect our RIGHT to express our opinions without fear of reprocussions; we NEED to PROTECT OUR CONSTITUTIONAL FREEDOMS. DO NOT ALTER OUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT BY OVER-RIDING THEM IN ANY WAY!!!
None	Please, consider the following proposed items for inclusion: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what they consider the best for their own people. 2. Ability of the nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	Please, do not let this WHO pandemic treaty go forward. Each country should make their own sovereign decisions. Let democracy prevail.
None	Please, I implore you not to move forward with the global pandemic treaty. We reserve the rights to make our own decisions about our health and the health of our family members.
Self	Please, include National and Local leadership autonomy. Local municipal options reflecting those population's needs should be included. Each National and Local region should have a method to opt out of the global agreement and suffer no consequences. The process must be transparent and open with regard to the agreement, especially with regard to prevention of measures people do not want. The measures must guard against undue influence of those who would profit from global pandemic measures, such as pharmaceutical industry companies and their allies.
Individual	Please, let us make our own decision based in the informed information. We are human being and capable of making our own decisions. 1 Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
	Please, stop this BS. You have nothing do with SA. Stay away, we don't agree with any of your plans. Thank you
No organization	Please, to the WHO: I have read that your Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Therefore, please do not attempt to have any one organization, including the WHO, making global decisions on my personal health, nor attempt to have the US or any other nation agree to abide by your opinions. Thank you.
US citizen	Please, we must have: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And, measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Self	Please. Simply no. Just no. No to the "pandemic" "treaty." I question the term pandemic, I question the term treaty, and I seriously question the motives for such a thing. In fact, I don't really question the motives because I know they are not about public health but about a private takeover of the public. I am simply not fooled by any of this. Thank you for fully and completely registering my comments.
Private	Please: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process!
private person	Pleased choose all Options. Please don't vote for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. There are always different ways and options. And the WHO ist not neutral. There are many sponsors of the pharmaceutical industry. We need different approaches and ways. Then you can compare and choose the best possible way. Thank you! Kind regards Almut Schaude
N/A	Points of consideration regarding WHO treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	Points that need to be included in any pandemic action plan: The WHO recognises that there is no one solution to resolving a pandemic. Nations and their citizens have the inalienable right to make informed choices about what treatments and measures are implemented. The WHO will advise not dictate or mandate, what actions and treatments individual countries and their citizens take. The WHO recognises that there is a wide and diverse range of effective approaches to maintaining health and will not enforce one approach over another. No individual will be coerced into submitting to a treatment that they do not want or be penalised for making this choice. The WHO will provide honest and unbiased information from research carried out by a diverse range of health professionals and scientists including from independent sources. They will not suppress or discredit valid independent research. The WHO will not allow the opinions and interests of private unelected sponsors to influence or dictate the decisions or plans made or what information and research is made public. The WHO will ensure treatments are independently assessed. One treatment regime will not be promoted over another, unless there is overwhelming independent evidence to substantiate this. Information about alternative treatments will not be suppressed. Knowledge of safe, cheap existing medications that have proven effective in this context will be shared and promoted. All of the above fall within the remit of the WHO's stated values and mission statement.
No affiliation to any organisation	Points to be addressed: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
parent	Points to be included 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private person	Points to consider: Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty Respect for human rights and the Nuremberg Code Legal liability of government officials, WHO and pharmaceutical companies Guarantees that no one will be forced to vaccinate/take any medicine No use of self-spreading vaccines/medicine
Taxpayer	Points to consider: Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty. Respect for human rights and the Nuremberg Code. Legal liability of government officials, WHO and pharmaceutical companies. Guarantees that no one will be forced to vaccinate/take any medicine. No use of self-spreading vaccines/medicine.
none	Policy implies gathering all the pieces -- of individuals, populations, thoughts, ideas and actions -- then deciding how all those pieces are going to be directed to conform. This approach to managing people and the planet is dehumanizing, at best. It is destructive to the human Spirit, it kills creativity, incentive and most of all – individual freedom. Policies, alone, aren't so much the problem, as the imposition of them. Because once policies are put out – such as this Global Pandemic Agreement – there are the enforcers, some of whom make money and/or exert power as if it is their "mission" to enforce the policy. What gets taken out of the policies made and enforced, is the people. The people are expected to comply with the policies as written, yet what is written can be subjective opinion, intended to supersede the opinion or free-will choice of the individual person who may have more knowledge about the issue, feelings about the issue, or simply have a different opinion built from their own life experience. Policies become dictates. These dictates overrule independent thought or even cultural wisdom. They become entities unto themselves that overrule humanity and the human condition. I refer to what is going on in Shanghai currently with people being shut/locked in their apartments without sufficient food and water, their children being taken away simply because of some few individuals' unfounded ideas. This Agreement or Treaty will bode poorly for humanity. I must ask, what is your real goal in this?
St. Luke's International University	 Policy responses to a novel infectious disease will vary enormously depending on its particular infectiousness profile, and in the early stages of the pandemic, many countries used the basic reproduction number (R0) to assess pandemic risk. However, early studies of R0 of COVID-19 showed wide variation in the first half of 2020, even when conducted in the same country or using the same method. R0 values for COVID-19 estimated in this period covered such a wide range of infectiousness scenarios as to make policy decisions impossible, and estimation of R0 at the sub-national level is even less reliable. Although the WHO did not rely on R0 for policy guidance, many countries made it an important part of their assessments of pandemic risk, despite its many flaws. We recommend that 1) the WHO take responsibility for giving global policy advice regarding metrics of pandemic risk; 2) R0 not be used to inform policy about pandemic risk, and that instead, policymakers rely on measures with direct public health relevance such as hospital usage, deaths, doubling times, test numbers and positivity rate and 3) that, in preparing for the next pandemic or public health emergency of international concern, a WHO working group should establish clear guidelines for the calculation and use of reproduction numbers. The global health community should consider establishing a single, globally agreed outbreak risk research group operating within a commonly agreed scientific and epidemiological framework endorsed by the WHO.
Legally recognized subject of United Kingdom	Politicians, W.H.O. executives, CEOs of Pharmaceutical companies, who create "Vaccines", doctors, nurses etc who administer vaccinations should be held accountable for adverse effects arising from the vaccines.
Obywatel	Polska 13-04-2022 r. Godz. 4:50 World Health Organization We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Slobodni zajedno	Poštovani! SZO ne djeluje za dobrobit ljudi nego za svoj interes, profit i sudjelujući da kontrolira svjetski zdravstveni planagendu za sprovođenje novog svjetskog poretka, niti provoditi biološki nadzor! Predloženi Sporazum SZO-a je nepotreban i predstavlja prijetnju suverenitetu i neotuđivim pravima. Povećava zagušujuću moć SZO-a da protiv volje ljudi proglasi neopravdane pandemije, uvede dehumanizirajuće mjere izolacije i provede skupe, nesigurne i neučinkovite tretmane. Lijep pozdrav Vesna
srednja škola petra šegedina	poštovani, ideja nadgledanja budućih pandemija na ovaj način je loša, neprikladna, a moguće i diskriminirajuća jer svaka zemlja ima svoje posebnosti. Djelovanje WHO-a treba ostati kao i do sada na savjetodavnom neobvezujućem nivou. Također, odredbama na tom nivou vrlo lako se mogu narušiti temeljna ljudska prava o izboru metode liječenja, što je kao tekovina demokratskog sustava danas kranje neprimjereno igg raniči sa diktatorskim ovlastima. nije moguće čitav sbijet, sve svjetske narode mjeriti istim metrom, jer jednostavno nismo svi isti. zato molim da se odustane od ove, rekao bi , apsolutističke ideje, kaja ozbiljno ugrožava prava malog čovjeka i u tim "posebnim" okolnostima. Dokaz, beskorisnosti vidimo i na tijek prošle pandemije, gdje su mjere od strane WHO-a više manje bile neučinkovite, te je "priroda" sama izregulirala pitanje pandemije, te je prirodnim putem zaustavljena. Mislim, da se nitko ne bi trebao pokušati pokazati jači od prirodnog toka stvari. zahvaljujem na ovoj mogućnosti očitovanja. Srdačan pozdrav
USA	Power and authority should not be removed from each nation. A treaty that would supercede a nation's authority is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans and of all people. Health decisions must stay as close to home as possible, in each town and each home, including when an emergency exists.
Individual	Power must be given to individuals and local governing organizations to decide how to best proceed for the people in their area. It is impossible to create one global policy that benefits and protects all. A good example of this is in Exodus of the Bible when Moses delegates governing responsibility to localized subunits of the Hebrew nation. Rather than trying to handle everything at the "top", they allow space for escalation of issues instead of trying to manage or control everything from the top down. Top down management just doesn't work.
istota ludzka	Precz z dyktatem firm farmaceutycznych i ich ramienia prawnego, to jest organizacji WHO. Ludzie sami potrafią zadbać o swoje zdrowie, bez waszych chemicznych specyfików i procedur! Nie dla traktatu WHO

Organization	Written submission
Brent Backus	Prefer that there is not one. Is so consider National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Preferably no treaty. This treaty should not go ahead as it violates the sovereignty of member nations. As it stands if it does go ahead it must ensure that: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Lockdowns are never a solution for a pandemic or an endemic virus. They cause significant harm and don't stop respiratory viruses. Neither do mandatory vaccines as people must retain sovereignty over their own body. Nor have covid vaccines been stopping transmission. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. There are plenty of ways to protect people's health without this top-down, corporate-controlled approach. And we already have individual governments that should never be superseded by a world government.
Private	Prepare hospitals! but forget covid pass! Covid pass is inhuman and like communism. Nobody wants it!
Individual	Preparedness and response should be left up to individual regions or communities. One size does not fit all. Geography, climate, population density and composition vary considerably and local leaders must have full autonomy to decide what is best for their citizens. Transparency and openness are better served when decisions are made locally. Pharmaceutical companies and other global medical institutions have failed to show they are honest operators.
retired science teacher	Preparedness and response to health matters must be by choice of every individual. There should should be no undemocratic and bureaucratic international instrument.
All Grass Farms	Preparedness on an individual basis- health and knowledge- is far more potent than a reactive vaccine. The health and physiology of the human body should be taught to all, and the ability to supplement and bolster the immune system encouraged.
self	Preparedness response should be limited to having supplies, medications and health care suggestions available along with plans for equitable distribution. WE DO NOT NEED OR WANT ANY ELECTED OR UNELECTED BODY TO DETERMINE HOW OR WHETHER we act for ourselves. We, along with our care partners, know best how to handle our own health. There are too many nuances for an acting body to come up with rules that meet all circumstances.
N/A	Preparedness: Stop all gain-of-function research and safely dismantle and eradicate all biolabs. Ban(and enforce it globally) all research that would lead towards these kinds of global dangers, regardless of safety protocols in place. Remove all immunities/legal aid from companies working on pharmaceuticals and drug-trials - keep them accountable. Response: Prepare actual vaccines - that confer immunity and don't require boosters, or have more side-effects or downsides than healthful benefits from taking it. It would appear that a return to natural, or "holistic" approaches may be the best option for humanity, given the ever-increasing impairments in children and adults who take pharmaceuticals from certain companies. There are less adverse-reactions to holistic/natural remedies than there are to petroleum-based pharmaceuticals. This is not to say pharmaceuticals shouldn't be used if the natural remedies require help in dealing with the illnesses. Never "shut down the economy" again - this has put far too many families into a precarious situation wherein if they had to self-isolate or "quarantine" for more than 7 days, they would require aid in the form of money and groceries. In a pandemic where you would have to force people to go to work (instead of forcing them to stay home), only truly essential jobs should remain ongoing - the liquor stores should have been closed. Should a stay-at-home order be required for a pandemic, there should be country-wide well-trained and well-equipped Response teams - 2 security, 2 medics, and they should have cases of water and meals-ready-to-eat to provide to people required to stay-at-home depending on the situations.
Love Unity Initiative	Preparedness: Immunity Booster Welcome Kits - Incudes vitamin C D Zinc and Ginger/Garlic/Turmeric supplements Response: DISTRIBUTE immunity kits to each home delivered by local schools and organizations Also including accurate counts of natural immunity cases and grouping this # with amount of people who have been vaccinated to represent more accurately.
VL	Preparedness: WHO: To openly demarcate from political influence and economics to earn ongoing trust in health by monitoring, emphasising and through biannually publishing; 1. The fundamental causes of global pandemics including status and risk analysis eg global land clearing activities 2. The best ongoing behavioural practice for mankind to follow to minimise outbreaks, multi-faceted including funded and maintained fit for purpose ICU in hospitals and federal quarantine centres near airports alongside the storage of strategic reserves of water, energy, food, sanitary and health products including sanitisers and face masks. 3. By vaccine type, the analysis of all data used in their approvals for deployed vaccines including all issues and problems by age group 1 to 100. 4. A definitive list of the human position in life on earth with regard to existing and potentially lethal viruses and viruses in general 5. Societal engineering problems requiring immediate solutions to minimise spread of contagion including minimum specification of face masks, definitions and examples of suitable housing developments, recirculating air in aircraft cabins, trains, air conditioning etc. 6. Global travel insurance in the event of pandemic Response: The ability to: 1. Impose the immediate closure of international airports and air travel worldwide and wearing of face masks without political delay. 2. Collect data from waste water treatment plants and testing centres and to collate this data to assess any outbreak to form a reliable and independent global picture. 3. Inform the public on a daily basis as to advice and exact global status. End
J2W life coaching	Preparing for any Pandemic needs to include honest, open transparency of facts Informed CONSENT, prevention and healthy lifestyle options. Common sense measures include, personal choice for what You put into your body and of your children. Under NO circumstances should continued lockdowns or mandatory masks, vaccines be forced on the public. It is important to have Personal Choice for our future. No entity has the right to overstep authority and must move forward logically and for the good of the people. And SO IT IS.
N/A	Preperation and response to pandemics is the business of an elected government not an external organisation. This is not the business of the WHO and it has no authority to dictate the actions of sovereign citizens or subjects.
USA Citizen	Presentations of current information from medicals researchers, and, most importantly, presentations from actual practicing doctors. Then, every Person must be free to make an informed decision. Intelligence and freedom of conscience must always be respected. Lock downs of Entire Nations only destroys Entire Nations, either politically, economically and/or Personal liberty. Respectfully submitted April 12, 2022
Koslowski	Preservation of the sovereignty of individual states and individuals. Right to physical integrity. Exclusion of compulsory treatment with medicines. Liability for pharmaceutical companies and political decision-makers. Right to analogous identity.
USA	Preserve individual state sovereignty! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U S A Citizen	Preserve of national and local municipal autonomy. — Preserve the ability of regional citizen majorities to vote to bar application of the agreement in their region of residence. — Ensure that nations and local municipalities may opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without consequence. — Preserve as non-derogable under any conditions internationally-recognized individual rights to life; to freedom from degrading treatment or punishment, and from medical or scientific experimentation without consent; and to freedom of thought, conscience and religion in accordance with the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Part II, Article 4(1) and (2); and Part III, Articles 7, 18(1) and 18(2), as clarified in the Siracusa Principles of 1984, Section II, D(58) and (63). — Ensure that no preventive, diagnostic or therapeutic medical intervention may be carried out without the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information in accordance with the UNESCO Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights of 2005, Article 6(1). — Ensure that the process is open and transparent. — Bar pharmaceutical companies and for-profit NGOs from participation in the process.
Privatna osoba	Prestanite lagati i obmanjivati ljude. Sve smišljeno radite u cilju porobljavanja ljudi! Predloženi Sporazum SZO-a je nepotreban i predstavlja prijetnju suverenitetu i neotuđivim pravima. Povećava zagušujuću moć SZO-a da protiv volje ljudi proglasi neopravdane pandemije, uvede dehumanizirajuće mjere izolacije i provede skupe, nesigurne i neučinkovite tretmane. Ograničavate slobode i uvodite lažne bolesti u cilju bogaćenja!
American Airlines	Preventing a pandemic should be at the forefront of preparedness. We should be educating the people about responsibility for their health BEFORE a pandemic. We have a world wide pandemic of obesity and diabetes which makes people sitting ducks for disease. Food choices, movement,Vitamins and responsibility for oneself should be our priority. Masks and isolation didn't work and only served to isolate human contact.
World Wide Fund for Nature - WWF International	Preventing future pandemics and epidemics, in particular addressing the drivers of zoonotic spillover, must be prioritized in any international instrument on pandemics. Investing in avoiding the emergence of infectious diseases will be critical to save lives and safeguard health systems globally, and is far more cost effective than responding to a pandemic - pandemic prevention actions cost less than 1/20th the value of lives lost each year to emerging viral zooneses and have substantial co-benefits (https://bit.ly/3KreOCO). Those pandemic prevention actions include reducing the degradation, loss and fragmentation of natural habitats, better management of wildlife trade, improved livestock farming and ranching (including farming of wild species) and strengthened surveillance and early warning of pathogen spillover. Such actions can be addressed holistically through a One Health approach. The first lesson Mike Ryan, Executive Director WHO's Health Emergencies Programme, says we must learn from COVID-19 is that "We are pushing nature to its limit....We are creating the conditions in which epidemics flourish .... We're taking huge risks – massive risks – with our future if we don't manage the planet in which we live." A pandemic instrument can be a major step forward in addressing these risks, if the prevention dimension is adequately incorporated. Therefore, WWF strongly encourages sufficient prioritization of the prevention dimension within the WHO international instrument on pandemics, and encourages synergies with relevant provisions of existing multilateral environmental agreements to maximize collective impact. Within the negotiation, it's critical for one of the subgroups to focus on the nature-pandemics nexus.
BRSNW	Prevention first: methods of hygienic standards (clear water, pestizidefree soil, pollutionfree air ...) free decision for all people for or against vaccination!
None	Prevention: Begin by assuring every man, woman and child are well nourished with food and vitamin supplements, have clean drinking water, sustainable farming environments, clean air, a safe place to live, access to proven conventional and alternative medical care, meaning-full work, access to natural, outdoor environments and social and religious community gatherings. When basic human rights are provided and protected human and animal immunity are strongest. Prioritize cleaning up ecosystems: pathological microorganisms are only a threat in polluted environments. Moneys that are funneled into expensive pharmaceuticals that are often unsafe, unproven, and overly expensive could be used to accomplish the above listed goals. Encourage the use of long researched, inexpensive, repurposed pharmaceuticals. Preparedness: Pharmaceutical, chemical, and technological companies can only offer part of the solution and should never be allowed to make huge profits during times of crises or preparing for pandemics. Response: Open and transparent sharing of any, and all, scientific methodologies that show significant benefit at stoping pathogens and lessening their deleterious effects with the least amount of physical, mental, emotional and spiritual harm to the person effected. Leave final decisions to local governing authorities, allowing them to opt out of global one-size-fits all rulings and adopt the parts of the plan that works best for their communities.
Technical advice for occupational safety, fire protection and property protectio	Preventive health care must never be organized by a central organization as mandatory. One recommendation is allowed at most. Health is always the private matter of each individual. Any compulsion is therefore to be leaned on and inadmissible. This applies to every government as well as to the WHO.
Homeowner	Previously a pandemic was determined by a significant amount of deaths, however this has changed to a significant number of cases due to testing. However, this will be subject to a) numbers tested, b) what test is used (PCR were never intended by the inventor to be for definitive diagnosis) interpretation of test results. There are too many variables, which makes it subject to prejudiced interpretation and manipulation of statistics. This CANNOT be the case for a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a co-morbidity. 2. Under the Covid pandemic, doctors were overruled in their knowledge of what their patients needed for treatment. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. 3. The course of a pandemic will be different from country to country. It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule fit all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity of approaches is necessary to determine with an open mind and without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity will be undermined by a world-wide treaty, where the inclination will be to have a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. This will not be for the benefit of health. 4. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, which inevitably leads to narrow track authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary not only to health but also to democratic freedoms and values.
KDORF PROPERTIES LTD	Primarily, human freedom of initiative is the source of most all of the worlds health and technological advances and discoveries. All people, races, groups and countries should be free to test and work with their own attempts at best practices. WHO should be open to all the advice and experiences from these free attempts to glean and share what works best in real time. This centralizing of learning experiences and of best practices needs to be open and transparent, a clearing house with two way correspondences. Preparedness would be openness to local guidance. Response would be to provide and assist information flow. Coercion is the realm of tyrants. Good work is known by its fruits and doesn't need coercion.
N/A	Primarily, human freedom of initiative is the source of most all of the worlds health and technological advances and discoveries. All people, races, groups and countries should be free to test and work with their own attempts at best practises. WHO should be open to all the advice and experiences from these free attempts to glean and share what works best in real time. This centralising of learning experiences and of best practises needs to be open and transparent, a clearing house with two way correspondences. Preparedness would be openness to local guidance. Response would be to provide and assist information flow. Coercion is the realm of tyrants. Good work is known by its fruits and doesn't need coercion.
Australian Citizen	Primarily, human freedom of initiative is the source of most all of the worlds health and technological advances and discoveries. All people, races, groups and countries should be free to test and work with their own attempts at best practises. WHO should be open to all the advice and experiences from these free attempts to glean and share what works best in real time. This centralising of learning experiences and of best practises needs to be open and transparent, a clearing house with two way correspondences. Preparedness would be openness to local guidance. Response would be to provide and assist information flow. Coercion is the realm of tyrants. Good work is known by its fruits and doesn't need coercion.
None	Primarily, I opposed the very existence of the WHO/ UN and do not think you should have any say whatsoever in what peoples/ countries do. That being said, any agreement should ensure the following: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Additionally, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should be included. It is also important that an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it and it should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retiree	Primarily, this treaty should respect the rights of individual sovereign nations' governments to decide the best course of action for their own populations. WHO need not rule on anything other than international travel, as regards any future pandemic response. Also, the widespread use of effective treatments must preempt the proliferation of ineffective vaccines. Ongoing studies of existing vaccine-related life-threatening side-effects and mortality must be assiduously undertaken, with full disclosure of risks vs. benefits. Thank you.
On-my-behalf	Principles to be respected: Human rights such as informed consent and bodily autonomy; public entities, functionaries and media "experts" are free of interest conflict; guidelines on clinical trials issued before 2020 for new inoculations containing toxic/experimental substances; benefits of measures should outweigh the risks (including for mental health and long term development of children/youth); transparent and reporting on adverse effects from inoculations; equality: same measures for all grown-ups/people.
privat	Private donations to the WHO must be forbidden. It seems that the WHO has degenerated into a representative of the interests of the pharmaceutical industry. There is no reasonable reason to trust the WHO. More power for the WHO currently means more power for the pharmaceutical industry or privat interests. People must not be obliged/forced to undergo any medical treatment. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law best regards Bernhard Helmreich
none	Probably you have seen that this Covid-19 pandemie was companied with a huge propaganda campain worldwide. People got frightened and devided. Each country followed it's own way. Each country tried to keep the impact low, with different strategies. Even in a small country - like Austria - it was realy necessary to keep the regulations as lokal as possible. A hard lock down - for the whole nation - was completely non-sense. There is a big difference between villages and cities; Alps or Donauvalley, ... If you try to put a much more centralist layer into the EU - it may be a easier way of setting regulations, but in my opinion it is much too dangerous to be so centralistic. Not only that few people can decide about the fortune of millions, but also the easier way for lobbyists. Sweden did it's own way ... they got discredit throughout the whole world - but did they wrong? NO. It was their way to get through the pandemic and now they have low incidents and no covid rules. And if I think back ... that people all over the world has been told the lie, that this phantastic NEW mRNA-Vaccine can stop the pandemic with only one shot! After month: only with two shots ... and after month ... only with a booster ... Then the turnaround: the vaccine doesn't even help to avoid the covid-infection, but serious infections. Then after month: only a 2nd Booster can help ... and now scientists even admit, that it only helps for several weeks ... This was not science ... that was a big experimental laboratory. In Austria there is even a law for vaccination ... against covid ... with a mRNA-Vaccine that doesn't avoid infections, doesn't avoid serious infections and has serious adverse effects. Stop that mania - please.
Individual	Problems are best dealt with at the local level. No global organization should be able to force compliance on any public heath or other issue. Emergency edicts should only be issued by local authorities and limited to two weeks. No nation should have to comply with any consensus by any global organization
Registered Nurse, Certified Case Manager	Problems with a Pandemic Treaty There are a wide range of problems with the Pandemic Treaty. First and foremost is the WHO's self-assigned role to make decisions for Member Nations that preempt and in some cases override their national sovereignty. Since the WHO is claiming to be the highest health authority on the planet and has within itself the sole sovereign power to declare a global pandemic, any treaty that limits the freedom of movement (travel), right to earn a living (trade), or the privacy of a nation's citizens should be discussed openly, carefully examined, and only be ratified if it truly is in the best interest of the world. This false narrative is the public health equivalent of a "one world government" and medical tyranny to conquer the 99%. I stand for basic human rights to bodily autonomy given to me by the Constitution of the United States, and its national sovereignty. As a patient I do not want my private medical information given to my physician to be shared with the government. That is an invasion of my right to privacy and an infringement on my constitutional rights. Without medical freedom the WHO Pandemic Treaty "One Health" approach would establish public policy to mandate coercive interventions globally that would prioritize public health over personal health. Orwell in his book "1984" issued a warning about the creation of a surveillance state in which people are monitored and find that all their rights have been stripped away. God Bless the United States
Individual	Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. Advise against masking, border closures, lockdowns, and disinfection. Condemn the coercion of forced medical interventions on people. Condemn immunity from liability for manufacturers of medical products, including vaccines. Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. Recommend early treatment protocols. Discontinue faulty tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge", induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance. Require informed consent for recommended interventions. Ensure an open, transparent decision-making process.
Individual	Promote a lifestyle that will promote a good immune system ie nutrition exercise knowledge. Education is important No forced vaccinations. Each individual is unique and different modalities of therapy work for each. What works for one person may not work for another and in fact may cause tremendous harm People should be allowed to choose their own paths in health . One size does not fit all
	Promote an individual's n communities' freedom of choice for medical matters..as well as informed consent.
	Proof exists that the "pandemic" was created and now the WHO and other governments want to create a situation where they can lock us up at will, where they can inject us with whatever money making substance they come up with. This is overreach at it's best. No organisation has the right to do what the WHO is attempting!
Pani	prophylactics." "We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Private citizen	Proposed treaty should be subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions and opt out as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. 5. Measures that mitigate undue influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other centralize health organizations.
Citoyen	Proposition de contribution pour #stopTheTreaty: Ladies and gentlemen, Please find below my opinion on the new international pandemic preparedness instrument: 1/ I consider it essential that national and local leaders maintain their full autonomy and retain the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2/ I ask that nations and local municipalities be able to withdraw from all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3/ I hope that an open and transparent process will be put in place to allow all peoples of the world to vote, including integrated security measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the population does not want it. 4/Finally, it would be infinitely desirable to take measures to avoid influence in the process of all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you in advance for your reading, and please accept, ladies and gentlemen, the expression of my distinguished consideration.
Westfälische Wilhelms Universität Münster	Protecting the civil rights of the individual is of paramount importance.
Self	Protection of individual rights and liberty No compulsory or mandated medical treatment for any reason whatsoever. Responsibility and liability for any medical product or treatment provided to the public by those prescribing and/or producing such products or treatments, including pharmaceutical companies and their management, politicians, civil servants, medical personnel, and major shareholders or investment companies of the aforementioned pharmaceutical companies. Separation of medical regulatory bodies from the influence and funding by pharmaceutical companies and philanthropic foundations. Respect for the individual to choose an appropriate path. Honesty and integrity within medical regulatory bodies and rejection of funding/financial incentives that often destroy that selfsame honesty and integrity. Thank you.
Private citizen	Protection of individuals human rights is paramount and cannot be usurped by any institution, government or body no matter how well-meaning their actions may be.
n/a	Protections need to be in place to prevent the WHO: - declaring unjustified pandemics (based on "cases", not symptoms / sickness &/or death) - using faulty modelling to justify measures - recommending / imposing unnecessary and dehumanising lockdowns - suppressing safe, proven &/or cost-effective early preventive treatments - recommending / enforcing expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments - making the baseless claim that the manufacturing process known as PCR is a "test" suitable for diagnostic purposes - massaging figures - scaring people into believing a situation is more serious than it is - going for a power grab dressed up as protective health measures
Człowiek	Protestuję przeciw pomysłowi narzucaniu odgórnie zasad przekraczających zapisy konstytucji kraju, gdzie WHO będzie nadrzędne nad jurysdykcją krajową. Człowiek jest wolną istotą i ma prawo sam decydować w jaki sposób dbać o zdrowie. Narzucanie mu obowiązku jest zamachem na jego wolność.
Nie ma organizacji	Protestuję przeciwko większym wpływom i kompetencjom WHO dotyczącym pandemii i zastępowania w tej dziedzinie właściwego państwa.
	PROTI, AGAINST!!!
Osoba	Protiv svake kontrole ljudi sam pa bilo to i od WHO-a ili bilo koja druga svjetska organizacija jer svi ljudi imaju Ustavom zajamčena ljudska prava i nitko ih ne smije kršiti i ignorirati!
jcomeau dot com	Provide accurate information and keep it up to date. Then let individuals decide their own level of risk and their response. There's no way that could lead to a worse outcome than the WHO's response to Covid-19.
Hoot	Provide healthy food and water supply. Encourage exercise and sunlight. No forced or mandatory vaccine. No mask mandates. No vaccine passport. No travel restrictions.
LIEFJE	Provide vetted truthful detailed information directly to the public in the most transparent way possible, allowing for dissenting medical experts' opinions inclusion. Provide recommendations for individual humans' determinations to protect themselves & mitigate consequences. Disallow any government, public health officials, etc. from imposing any forced medical devices, procedures, or mandates on individual humans' or families worldwide.

Organization	Written submission
None	Providing such an overarching umbrella of authority is untenable, particularly when NGO's and countries that may have nefarious or ulterior motives for controlling large swathes of the population (such as in authoritarian regimes), are financially contributing to the WHO and influencing decisions and directives that could affect the entire globe. The current "pandemic" has demonstrated that certain individuals within the WHO are influenced by world political agendas, and that there are very few decisions made by this organization which are not couched in political correctness or the socio-political whims of non-health, non-medical interests. If there were objectivity-based decisions which had been repeatedly demonstrated by your organization, one could understand how that consistently administering preventions, controls, and remedies of outbreaks or impending serious hazards to either global or local hazards would be beneficial; however, your lack of objectivity and demonstrably politically driven agendas make the WHO a poor candidate to administer such programs. Please recuse yourselves from considering taking on such a role. Your compromised officials, lack of transparency, and, arguably, execution of medical tyranny is not needed in our present world. Thank You, R.R. Hunter
private citizen	Provision of non-binding and evidence based recommendations to national governments and the public. Inclusion of an excess mortality significantly higher than the common flu into pandemic criteria. Exclusion of compulsory medical treatment.
None	Provision should be made within the Treaty for individual sovereign nations to opt out of any parts of the agreement with which they do not agree, for whatsoever reason. Provision should be made for individual sovereign nations to decide upon pandemic actions which they consider to be in the best interests of their people. No nation should be allowed to adopt the Treaty without a transparent and informed democratic referendum on that decision. There should be an open and transparent process which allows people of nations to vote on the application of measures, thus preventing the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The Treaty must include measures to prevent the influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or not-for-profit organisations.
MKV	Provisions have to be included that provide for how individual countries can again opt out of this bureaucratic machination.
Concerned Canadian Citizen in conjunction with World Council for Health==	Provisions need to be made for citizens of a country to retain their national and local leadership's full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must be an ability for nations and local municipalities to choose not to be involved with some or and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be a clear and workable process in order for all people of the world to vote on including clear and fair measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement where the majority of the people do not agreet. Pharmaceutical companies and for profit health services must not be allowed to influence the process. A general policy for a global community seems unlikely to be satisfactory at the national and local level. It appears that WHO is overreaching its mandate.
Trans Technology Associates LLC	Provisions should include No Provisions, as such an action, treaty or agreement should not be formed, and the WHO should return to an advisory capacity ONLY. No global organization of any sort, like the WHO, have any business dictating or in any way mandating, controlling or affecting the policies and practices of any individual country or state.
None	Prsonal medical decisions should be left to the individual and pseudoscience like masks, lockdowns, etc should be banned. Also, experiments with untested drugs should never again happen on the population at large: only on willing individuals, without any form of coercion or financial incentives which abuse on the poor.
obywatel	Przy ogłaszaniu pandemii powinna być odpowiedzialność instytucji i konkretnych osób za podjętą decyzję . A po zakończeniu rozliczona przez instytucje sadowe krajowe lub międzynarodowe lub parlamenty. W żadnym momencie nie powinno się zastosować szczepionek aby ograniczyć ludziom prawa obywatelskie. Nigdy nie powinno być aby preparaty za które nikt nie bierze odpowiedzialności za zdrowie obywatela wymagają całodobowej reklamy i promocji w środkach masowego przekazu we wszystkich znanych człowiekowi mediach świata współczesnego. O leczeniu i sposobie powinien decydować lekarz w porozumieniu z pacjentem a nie procedura.
Freedom people	Przykładowa wersja postulatów w języku angielskim: "We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Poznaj Swoje Prawa	Przykładowa wersja postulatów w języku angielskim: "We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
USA	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. One organization who is not appointed by the people should never have dominion over the entire global planet. I do not and never will agree to be governed by any global organization. And I do not agree that any global organization should govern any country. Sincerely, Elizabeth Heffner
self	PUBLIC AND COMMUNITY HEALTH decision making must always be reserved to each individual sovereign nation. Cooperative agreements must always be with the consent of the governed. The following language must be included in any cooperative agreement between countries: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Digital Dreams GmbH	Public discussion comment: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Removal of the entire leadership of WHO compromised by the bungled "COVID" pandemic response. Investigation and prosecution of WHO leaders and heads of national health authorities for their crimes against humanity. 6. Abolition of WHO and its replacement by a series of mutual intergovernmental agreements.
N/A	Public health is not a one size fits all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health and in a position to make their own health choices, whatever they may be. We are all unique individuals that require and deserve personal care for our biochemical make up. People's charter of rights and freedoms must stand and were put in place for specific reason/s. A new global health governance that strips nations of the right to determine their own health policy/ies is unlawful and unethical. This madness to seek power and control over people will end.
None	Public Health should not be "one size fits all" solution. It should be handled locally by each community & the the people individually. Stop the Treaty.
No Org	Public health and people's health in general is not one-size-fits-all and this should not be the approach. People and the communities should be able to determine what is best for their own health.
Glen Eden Farms Ltd	Public Health and the response towards any future pandemic must be the responsibility of National & Local government and individual communities. Each country is different and mass produced laws/mandates do not support autonomy.
Private	Public health cannot and should not be mandated. The ability of any organisation to apply a "one size fits all approach" to world health is an extremely dangerous step on the road to tyranny, no matter how prettily you dress it up. Countries, like their people must remain sovereign, individual and independent. All advice given by WHO during this "pandemic" has been so bogus and verifiably deceptive. You can't fool all of the people all of the time.
None	Public health cannot be a one-size fits all proposition or program. A respect for geographic, ethnic, racial, national, regional, and community diversity should take into account the impossibility of serving humankind and the public good through top-down, broad-strokes public health policies. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is critical. 3. Nothing less is acceptable than an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on policy, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not be entertained or included. Anyone who has been involved in successful aid and development or disaster recovery knows that it works only when led and implemented at the grassroots, from the bottom up.
Na	Public health cannot be a one-size fits all proposition or program. A respect for geographic, ethnic, racial, national, regional, and community diversity should take into account the impossibility of serving humankind and the public good through top-down, broad-strokes public health policies. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is critical. 3. Nothing less is acceptable than an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on policy, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not be entertained or included. Anyone who has been involved in successful aid and development or disaster recovery knows that it works only when led and implemented at the grassroots, from the bottom up.
None	Public health cannot be a one-size fits all proposition or program. A respect for geographic, ethnic, racial, national, regional, and community diversity should take into account the impossibility of serving humankind and the public good through top-down, broad-strokes public health policies. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is critical. 3. Nothing less is acceptable than an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on policy, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should not be entertained or included. Anyone who has been involved in successful aid and development or disaster recovery knows that it works only when led and implemented at the grassroots, from the bottom up.
World Citizen	Public health cannot be a one-size-fits-all approach. It must be left to individual communities and the people therein to manage their health and safety.
General Public	Public health cannot be a one-size-fits-all program. Men and women, persons, people and communities stay in control of their own health. Their elected representatives e.g. local and national leadership retain full autonomy and sovereignty and the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The process to decide measures needs to ensure the absence of any influence by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We should take into account the principles stated in the Nuremberg code (1947) according to which the voluntary and informed consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. Furthermore, we should consider that all technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles and shall never violate any human rights. Procedures for meaningful participation by all people in the enforcement of human rights enshrined in Siracusa Principles shall be made available in all future WHO pandemic guidance. Member States require for WHO to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of their guidance.
General Public	Public health cannot be a one-size-fits-all program. Men and women, persons, people and communities stay in control of their own health. Their elected representatives e.g. local and national leadership retain full autonomy and sovereignty and the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The process to decide measures needs to ensure the absence of any influence by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We should take into account the principles stated in the Nuremberg code (1947) according to which the voluntary and informed consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. Furthermore, we should consider that all technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles and shall never violate any human rights. Procedures for meaningful participation by all people in the enforcement of human rights enshrined in Siracusa Principles shall be made available in all future WHO pandemic guidance. Member States require for WHO to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of their guidance.
Private	Public health cannot be an international one-size-fits-all program, as was proved during the Covid response in 2020/22. Totally inappropriate and unnecessary lockdowns and businesses closures achieved nothing but starvation and destitution to many millions of economically vulnerable Africans. Many jobs were permanently lost and other diseases have caused far more deaths through closure of normal primary health services and the sudden irrational focus of all resources on a single (largely mild) disease. Some of this might have made sense for 1st world countries with vulnerable older populations and with economic resources to withstand such measures, but not for Africa. We need people and communities in control of their own health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions and opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Blanket global measures will always attract the undue influence of large pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers; as proved during the Covid response where the sole focus was on hugely expensive roll-outs of short-lived experimental vaccines, which proved largely ineffective against fast emerging variants. A far more appropriate and effective strategy for poor African countries would have been mass handouts of immune-boosting vitamins D, C and zinc together with a focus on cheap and effective treatments using repurposed generic drugs. These were ignored and even falsely demonised by vested financial interests pushing a global standard (and hugely profitable) "solution" of mass vaccination every 6 months which seems to have no end in sight.
Egelston Fire	Public health cannot be treated as a global item. Countries and state need to be able to make decisions based on what is best for their citizenship. A global approach is not the answer.
Public	Public health decision should lie with each individual country it is not the case of size fits all.
the general public	Public health decisions are best made locally, not by an international organization. WHO has no right to dictate public health policy for the United States, and this treaty should not even exist.
Citizen	Public health decisions MUST remain national decisions. The WHO can, by all means, advise and even recommend but it must never be allowed to decide. There would be no accountability for decisions it made especially for the wider (non-health) consequences on, inter alia, economies, education or societies.
American	Public health decisions should be made at a local level. A treaty that removes the power of the USA at the federal and state level is a danger to the health and welfare of Americans. The covid pandemic was an epic failure do to WHO and international overreach, not due to lack of an international treaty.
The Great Copper Sign Company	Public health is a fallacy. It is only through the individual, the free individual one by one, exercising their fundamental human rights of choice and bodily autonomy can public health arise. A global governing body usurping the rights and freedoms of the individual and individual states is tyranny. It is only through the support of the personal and most basic of human rights of personal sovereignty that peace, harmony and prosperity can exist on this plane. Freedom is just that, freedom. There can be no other over riding control or coercion to the individual that removes anyone's right to bodily autonomy and free choice.
self	Public Health is a sacred responsibility. We strongly oppose centralizing this responsibility regarding pandemics. The following elements to any agreement should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO failed over the last two years and continues to fail. No more power should be given to WHO. Dawne and Richard Murray
Amundsen, Inc.	Public Health is a very serious matter. In scope, therefore, there needs to be respect for the divine nature of human health and the natural environment. A germ by itself means nothing when it's applied universally because its action varies. Science is an important tool to use to help define WHAT something is, but not HOW something should act or even DOES act consistently and eternally varies. We can talk about how something acted but this will differ between individuals or subsets of groups and varies depending a # of variables. Science is being used currently to place a blanket rule on the entire population universally which I believe is extremely elementary and disrespectful of human essence. Science/technology/gov't is forgetting (intentionally or unintentionally) that the facets of life, health, and global healing, are not black and white and therefore can not be treated as such. A one way approach will not be the answer to strength of governments, healthy populations, respect of those in charge or between countries and the human beings they are leading. One may want a one answer approach to work, but at the very moment it is apparent that the "answer" is actually destructive, leaders must stop the destructive act. The answers are choice, options, consistency. Application of the US Constitution in U.S. public and U.S. private venues is a must related to discriminatory practices as is demonstrated in medical settings where "vaccine" cards suffice for entry even though the card holder, when tested, have add'ly tested positive for COVID-19 and those that don't have "vaccine" cards are denied entry if they test positive for COVID-19. That is unlawful and blatant discrimination.
Self	Public health is best determined and provided locally, and not in a one-size fits all approach. Local communities know how to serve their needs better than global bureaucracy. U.S. citizens are governed by our Constitution only and we do not consent to any WHO global health governance.
My	Public health is determined by national governments of sovereign countries. The WHO should retain an advisory and coordinating function.
none	Public health is not a "one size fits all " criterion. We must realize that our own personal health is to be just that, personal. Decision making on what is needed should not be based on a political agenda and therefore political platform. What has recently happened can not happen again,public shaming of those who can not or should not take the vaccine, making it seem selfish in nature and therefore creating divides amongst neighbors and family.
None	Public health is not a 1 size fits all matter. To that end, I believe that the following elements should be incuded: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Public health is not a 1 size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Financial Architect	Public health is not a 1-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Care	Public health is not a one fits all . #StopTheTreaty . Communities and people need to come together. Our constitutions aren't to be overidden.
private	Public health is not a one fits all solution, each country is different in multiply ways and this lends itself to keeping the control within the countries. I believe Independent Scientists and Doctors from all areas of the medical field should represent their countries, working together to prevent and to determine the level of threat of a pandemic within their country and the world before setting out solutions globally. It is of great concern that local communities, local doctors, local and local independent bodies work together, using the medical information as guidelines only to ensure that the community's health is the foremost objective to bring about the safest protection without harm to the people. Lastly every government across the world needs to act in a support role only to the Independent Scientists, Medical Doctors and Specialists. By facilitating funding for research, medical equipment and supplies. To offer manpower support for the communities at ground level offering respect, compassion, empathy and hope. I believe the above will create full transparency, unity and open collaboration between Doctors, Research and Specialist experts within the medical arena, the communities, the individual governments and the world.
N/A	Public health is not a one she fits all, therefore: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Education	Public Health is not a one size fits all approach and the following points are of the highest importance to be included in be in an international pandemic response. • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. • Individual autonomy, and reserving the right to opt out of digital identification and centralized, international health data storage and sharing. Individual and human rights must remain at the forefront of the discussion. Thank you Laura Wheeler
Health	Public health is not a one size fits all approach. Ethically a human has the right to make health decisions based on their individual needs with priority over themselves first then others second. Please stop the treaty.
U.S. resident	Public health is not a one size fits all program. Local Communities must have the final say over health policies of its people. This is too much power for the WHO to have over the world.
Myself	Public health is not a one size fits all program. People and communities need to be in control of their health. No two countries are the same, the Safari of Africa is different from the steppes of Russia. The food producing Canadian prairies are different from the South American rain forests. Every country has different levels of health care. India, a third world countries health care needs are far different than the United States, where health care is more accessible and advanced. People and countries should be autonomous when it comes to their health. Also, in Canada we have the Canadian Charter or Rights and Freedoms where we have the right to make our own decisions about our bodies.
Citizen	Public health is not a one size fits all program. Please consider this before going ahead. I strongly oppose this and personally have experience within my family of severe adverse reaction from vaccines. Please have mercy on those who have adverse reactions they are REAL. Mandates etc will HURT people not help them
Private	Public health is not a one size fits all program. We need people and commities in control of health and ensure there are no conflicts of interest. Last but not least, if we are seriously talking about health, all info should be fully open source to support future innovations.
Children's Health Defense.	Public health is not a one size fits all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health and bodies.
Me LLC	Public health is not a one size fits all proposition and when you try to force it to be, it ceases to be about health. Please stop the treaty and let sovereign nations make their own decisions on these matters.
ZUU Global	Public health is not a one size fits all situation. The best and most effective instrument to getting health efforts enacted on for a community is through the local and already established commitee. Allowing oversight or enforcement by external organizations does not take i to consideration the local needs and runs the risks of authorities using tyranny to control. Efforts should be given to encouraging local communities to enhance personal health through exercise and diet and mass campaigns to segregate or discriminate should be abolished. A pandemic does not affect each country, city, town, the same as another and needs to be treated as each town, city, community needs. Using large oversight groups will most definitely be the cause of more death as there can be no one size fits all solution to health in a pandemic situation.
I represent myself.	Public Health is not a 'one size fits all' situation. There are as many ways to being well as there are people. The WHO needs to but out of people's lives and let communities and individuals make their own decisions about their health. Vaccines are not the answer. There are over 58,000 people reporting adverse reactions to the Covid vaccine in New Zealand according to the government's Medsafe website, including deaths. The human body is a natural, living organism that is made well and whole with natural remedies that God has provided in nature. Do not go ahead with your plans to control our country's health responses to so called 'pandemics' when the answers to our health problems are all around us in nature. It is a serious breach of human rights and the rights of a nation for a corporate funded organization such as WHO to over ride a person's health decisions about their own body!!! Cease and desist!!!
Mother	Public health is not a one size fits all situation. My constitution says I have the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. My health and my family's health is up to me and me alone. No one can take away my rights to choose what I put in or don't put in our bodies. Do not overstep your boundaries.
Personal	Public health is not a one size fits all so it should not be lumped and treated as that. The people and communities should be in control of our own health. Any decisions or mandates should be at the state level and be optional. Any and all data needs to be transparent to the people and in no part be ran through pharmaceutical companies or politicians.
Defining Opportunities	Public Health is not a one size fits all solution and independent states with elected officials need to be able to make independent decisions for their populations' public health. Any treaty must be voluntary and include: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
nil	Public health is not a one size fits all thing. Every person has a different body reaction towards a medication or vaccination or solution given. The treaty is just as same as the experimental vaccinations. Its useless and harmful. Stop the treaty!

Organization	Written submission
Integrative Health	Public health is not a one size fits all topic. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, Dr. Joseph Viggianelli D.C.
Missouri Freedom Foundation	Public health is not a one size fits all, and should be in the hands of local governments on a case by case basis.
Concerned citizen	Public health is not a one size fits all. Countries should be allowed to govern their areas according to the needs of their people. Different areas have different needs and people should not be forced to follow guidelines that are not relevant to the needs of the people. Rights should not be taken away from local juridictions. People know what is best, not a one world government in another country.
Health	Public health is not a one size fits all. Each person, street, town, county, state and nation has differing needs. Public health is about advise not draconian measures of force and coercion. No public health authority should put 'fear' into people. Looking at the situation in China, draconian policies do not work, the effects of which are causing other major public health issues. The WHO must remain calm collected and sober and provide advice to assist people improve their own health, and reassurances, not use panic as a means to impose your 'rules'. In so far as possible, natural means should be encouraged rather than treatments which are clearly profits driven which involve large pharma and their spins offs to yield, through media,, marketing and sketchy research, inappropriate drugs, jabs and new technology. Unelected people should not demand anything which will affect another persons health. Uphold bodily automy First do no harm principle has to be upheld Full informed consent has to given Treat the symptoms, not what some unqualified test claims Protect the vulnerable as far as possible and treat the sick respectfully Ensure long term data and thorough extensive peer reviewed research is undertaken before unleashing any treatment, pill, jab or health technology on an unsuspecting population which expects those 'experts' to actually care for them and not run after the profits for themselves. Thank you for your time in considering this comment
Self	Public Health is not a one size fits all. I don't know a single person that is for this. The Rights of citizens are being destroyed and this gives too much overall power to the WHO. Countries need to govern themselves, even during pandemics.
n/a	Public health is not a one size- protect all solution. In a successful democratic society, like Canada, the rule of law must be respected according to the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the Canadian Constitution. In Canada public health is managed by the provincial and territorial governments. These levels of government are responsible for the management, organization and delivery of health care services for their residents. The federal government is responsible for: setting and administering national standards for the health care system through the Canada Health Act As a Canadian citizen, I demand that national sovereignty be respected and protected within any United Nations Pandemic Treaty. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Canada must have an ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Also, measures must be implemented that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	Public health is not a one size- protect all solution. In a successful democratic society, like Canada, the rule of law must be respected according to the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the Canadian Constitution. In Canada public health is managed by the provincial and territorial governments. These levels of government are responsible for the management, organization and delivery of health care services for their residents. The federal government is responsible for: setting and administering national standards for the health care system through the Canada Health Act As a Canadian citizen, I demand that national sovereignty be respected and protected within any United Nations Pandemic Treaty. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Canada must have an ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Also, measures must be implemented that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Public health is not a one-size fits all and should be left up to each individual jurisdiction to decide what is in the best interests of their constituents. With this initiative, US sovereignty and the sovereignty of the 50 individual states would be under the control of an unelected bureaucracy. I strongly oppose any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
I am self-employed.	Public health is not a one-size fits all things therefore, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Secondly, nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Third, it should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Fourth, its measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self-employed	Public health is not a one-size fits all things therefore, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Secondly, nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Third, it should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Fourth, its measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Public health is not a one-size-fit all. We saw that with the most recent pandemic. Nations and their citizens must remain sovereign. Sovereignty allows for all to address public health uniquely and individually to meet specific needs. We are not all the same. We all have different genetic dispositions and predispositions. Yet no one bothers to consider this when forcing and mandating medical treatments onto populations. Do no harm to others, especially by force. Where there lies a risk, there must be a choice.
Individual	Public health is NOT a one-size-fits all program. Citizens need to control their own health and the health of their communities.
	Public health is not a one-size-fits- all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	Public health is not a one-size-fits all program. People and communities should be in control of their own health. #stopthetreaty Thank you!
Self	Public health is not a one-size-fits all program. 1. National and local leadership retain FULL AUTONOMY, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Individual	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all affair. People and communities must be in control of their own health. This means: • The treaty process must be open and transparent to all, and everyone in the world must vote on whether or not they wish their country or region to accept any WHO treaty. • National Governments must retain full autonomy, making health decisions appropriate for their own people. They must have the ability to opt out of any part of any WHO treaty, or reject it altogether by a majority vote of the people. • Influence by pharmaceutical companies on any WHO treaty (or influence by anyone else with the potential of profiteering therefrom) must be strictly prohibited.
Concerned citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all affair. People and communities must be in control of their own health. This means: • The treaty process must be open and transparent to all, and everyone in the world must vote on whether or not they wish their country or region to accept any WHO treaty. • National Governments must retain full autonomy, making health decisions appropriate for their own people. They must have the ability to opt out of any part of any WHO treaty, or reject it altogether by a majority vote of the people. • Influence by pharmaceutical companies on any WHO treaty (or influence by anyone else with the potential of profiteering therefrom) must be strictly prohibited.
Vitality Centre	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all international program . We need to account for geographical and political as well as cultural and religious individuality of regions, countries and indigenous people and communities. They can be in control of their own health policies.
Private citizen USA	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all issue. People and communities need to and should be in control of their own health.
Home	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program .Local communities and people need to have control on what can and cannot be done. The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, Every city and place in every country is unique in its weather patterns. We cannot expect to have a Global plan in place just because of this variation. My answer to the question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" NONE !
DemocracyNZ	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program in New Zealand or any other country. Therefore each country must have full autonomy to make its own decisions regarding its citizens health based on their own unique characteristics and culture. Each nation and its local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process must be open and transparent. There must be clear measures in place which will prevent the application of the global agreement in any place where a majority of people do not want it. Measures must be included to prevent influence in the process by or involving any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program! We need people and communities in control of their health - not supranational, unelected agencies. Doctors need to be able to practice medicine as they always have, taking into account the particulars of an individual's health history and prescribing care and even off-label medications, if necessary, based upon their unique patient knowledge. This proposed treaty NEEDS to include the following language: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program, without regard for all the varying situations found in individual countries, and this is something we already know doesn't work. There is no same treatment for different ethnic groups, different individuals, or different countries. We need people and communities in control of their health. Another concern is the fact that when people are harmed by the WHO's health policies, there's no accountability because the WHO has diplomatic immunity. WHO should not has the power to censor health information worldwide.
This is an individual submission; I am not submitting it on behalf of an organi	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Individuals must maintain bodily autonomy and control over themselves. If you don't have control over what goes into your body, you are not free and have no rights; this is unacceptable and 100% immoral. Along the same lines, parents, caregivers, and guardians must maintain the ability to accept or refuse medical or health-focused interventions for their children and disabled or impaired loved ones. Loving family members and friends have the best interests of their loved ones at heart; faceless bureaucrats, elected officials, and drug companies may not. A person's home is his castle; no one should be able to forcibly enter or require that anyone take ANY specific medical intervention. Individual communities need to make decisions at the grassroots level. This is the most efficient, effective, and humane way. Nameless and faceless bureaucrats, politicians, and world leaders can not make appropriate decisions for individualized cases or the masses, in general. Doctors and medical professionals need to be able to give honest opinions without being threatened or living in fear of losing their licenses or medical center privileges. Forcing medical procedures onto people is tyranny and 100% unacceptable. The effects of this would be far reaching. There would be no productivity or innovation because no one would care. Everyone's basic humanity and dignity would have been stripped from them. Why would anyone bother to contribute to a society that didn't respect his individual choices? Who would bring a child into a world like that?
Individual	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People within each country need to be in control of their own health. Local communities throughout the world are better suited to take care of themselves and do not need a centralized world authority superseding a nation's individual constitution. Thank you.
A Citizen of the United States	Public Health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and local communities in charge of their own health. The people of the United States DO NOT CONSENT to the WHO controlling our health care and taking away our rights. NO GLOBAL HEALTH GOVERNANCE!
Self employed	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * Strict measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteering. * Conflicts of interest must be declared and published enabling ready access by all communities to this information. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
UCLA Luskin School of Public Policy	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. There needs to be a guarantee that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Mandates that prevent the free will of humans to make choices about their health or the health of their families are unconstitutional. Nations, local municipalities and individuals should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	Public health is NOT a one-size-fits-all program. Families, individuals, and communities should all be in control of their own health.
Child of God	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Waters Cassard Creative	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Any "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" must recognize each country's sovereignty and ability to determine its own best course of action. This sovereignty should extend down to the level of each individual citizen, whose bodily autonomy should also be ensured to avoid collateral damage from overly standardized treatments. The strength of any international response will always benefit from the diversity of medical treatments and therapies used around the world. Comparing data and outcomes is essential to making the best public health choices for the greatest number of people. During the recent Covid pandemic, some of the wealthiest and theoretically best-prepared countries in the world had the worst outcomes. Much of this was due to those countries' attempts to control and mandate specific treatments and technologies at the expense of other valid treatments. Early treatment protocols were all but ignored, and this unnecessarily cost tens of thousands of lives, both in the US and abroad. When little is known about a new pathogen, a centralized, top-down approach will generally produce disastrous results. By letting people and communities stay in control of their own health policies, the international community will have the ability to compare actual data and outcomes, learning from diverse approaches. At this point, WHO can recommend (never mandate!) those therapies which are proving most effective.
hlwegley.com	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Any plan the WHO develops must leave people and communities in control of their own health. Attempts to end, temporarily or permanently, the sovereignty of nations or regions within nations must be excluded from WHO's plans regardless of the extent or severity of diseases.
Individual	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Decisions on public health should be made at the local level. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am an individual	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. I and my community need to be in control of our own health.
General Public	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. I believe the following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. I would never comply with this proposed pandemic treaty! I must tell you in no uncertain terms that I condemn this proposed pandemic treaty in the strongest way imaginable!
No organization	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Local Communities and local governments need the ability to make their own decisions especially in light of the damage done by many countries around the world with their lock downs and restrictions that cause much more damage than the virus itself. Here are 4 important points I would like to suggest: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Children's Health Defense	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities must be in control of their own health with policies and decisions reflecting their unique situations considering their interests, needs, and values..
Member of the Sovereign People	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities must be in control of their own health. People must have informed consent and freedom to choose how they take care of their own health.
none	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities nee to be in control of their own health. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must always be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We must get money out of policy and implement strong safety measures that do not allow for influence in the process whatsoever by any and all pharmaceutical companies, and anyone else profiting from global pandemics.
private citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities need to be in control of their own health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of an agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is imperative that measures be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities should be in control of their own health.
Own	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities should be in control of their own health. The democratic elected governments should be free to decide what measures they choose for their country. The WHO should only have the right of proposal and should once again make itself independent of powerful private investors.
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People need to be in control of their own health. Each Country needs to be responsible for making sure their people have what they need should a pandemic occur. Transparency between Countries is the only thing that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. If Countries ask for help then help should be given.
Personal	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Public health is best managed by local people and communities in control of their health. They know best of the local conditions and effects.
General public	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. This treaty will not allow people and communities to be in charge of their own health. This will also strip nations of the right to set their own health policy. I entirely disagree with the implementation of this treaty.
General public	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. This treaty will not allow the general public and communities to be in control of their own personal health choices. Neither will this treaty allow nations to set their own health policy. I'm am completely opposed to this pandemic treaty.
Strategies for Hope Foundation	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. True Science has demonstrated that sanitation (sewer systems) and hygiene, nutritious and pesticide-free foods and, finally, peace--not war--are the keys to good health and not chemicals (drugs and vaccines). We need people and communities in control of their own health. We do not need commercially driven industries (pharmaceutical, medical), so-called "world leaders" (politicians, generals), nor so-called "philanthropists" (Gates, et al) to establish any overlord to the health protocols of people. The United Nations completed a study (as reported in The Nation magazine in July 10, 2000) that documented that POVERTY is the main cause of poor health in the world. Most educated people know that poverty is legislated. Therefore, instead of the Pharmaceutical/Medical Industries spending and/or receiving Billions of Dollars for drugs, vaccines and marketing, put that money directly into reversing the destruction of peoples' lives with poverty-inducing legislations, planned and sinister wars, and an "instrument" that has not been adequately publicized within the U.S. by the major media outlets to receive proportionate input and feedback. A "new international instrument" is not needed; but, a new commitment with billions of funding for sanitation, economic development and peace behind it is necessary. Finally, even the Journal of the Medical Association (JAMA) reported that up to 43 percent of all medical studies are either fraudulent, poorly designed and/or greatly flawed in some fashion. So, no, we do not need an international instrument.
private	Public Health is NOT a one-size-fits-all Program. We are individuals ! People and communities should be responsible for health, not a "central world organisation".
Malta	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We live in a DEMOCRACY as far as we know and this global treaty is NOT democratic. Instead of proposing this global treaty, why don't you promote more health awareness???? Why don't you encourage people to have a healthy lifestyle and to do exercise? Now that would be a good idea! Otherwise, injecting someone with god knows what just because you say so is NOT viable. Listen to the people!
General public	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health
Otatara community	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health at a local level. I do not consent to this global response.
Sole Proprietor	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health locally and regionally and based upon individual needs.
Pvt	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health not the WHO. WHO cannot command control over nation's sovereignty. The treaty should be stopped!
Retired	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health, even in the midst of a pandemic. Each country's unique geographical, cultural and economic climate will dictate how best to address and manage its own crisis. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. The WHO can serve in the capacity of gathering data and distributing facts as they become available from each country, but there is absolutely no way at all that they should have any say in how any country is run. Each country has its own elected officials by the people. None of the WHO are our elected officials and therefore the WHO has no authority in the governance in our country.
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health, not the UN. I oppose the proposed international pandemic treaty because: - It may provide the UN as well as major donors with a dangerous and unnecessary level of power and control over people's of the world. - It is not necessary. Local/national leadership - true representatives of the people should retain full autonomy and can decide what is best for their nation. If a treaty goes ahead, it must: - Be voluntary - Be transparent - Be accountable to the people, not its donors who may have conflicts of interest and profit from the actions of such a treaty.
I am in no Organization	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Private person	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Public - citizen USA	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
solarpioneers	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
POL	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Public	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Mother	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Frankston Hyundai Service	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Sarah	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
I'm a human being	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Personal	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Private	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Humanity	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Humanity	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Individual	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
US	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
I write privately	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. I'm also gonna say that no two countries are the same. That Safari of Africa is not the same as the steppes of Russia And the food producing prairies are not the same as the rain forests in South America. Also that every country has differing different health car needs. Again India which is a third world country is not the same as the United States where health care is easier and more abundant. You can use what I just said. Does this help? Be respectful. Thanks
Citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Even within communities, people are built differently and have different health issues and needs. Do not pass something that supersedes other government constitutions
Ambassador Realty Corp	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. I completely oppose your intentions to nullify our sacred constitution and Bill of Rights and strip our freedoms.
Private	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Science and data and statistics has proven that all the covid vaccines are able to do is lower the severity of the covid disease in some vaccinated individuals. It neither stops transmission nor does it stop the vaccinated from catching covid again. As such there is no scientific or moral ground for mandating this vaccine as pandemic solution. And the same goes for mandating any other solutions in the future. Stop the treaty.
Concerned citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. It is absolutely vital that each country retains autonomy on matters of health. Every country should be free to opt out of sanction or other consequences. Stop the treaty.
Self	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
United States Veteran	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. We will not accept or support any organization that attempts to imply powers obtained by an undemocratic means. If this is approved any any fashion United States Veteran groups will begin a boycott to stop U.S. funds to the organization!
General Public	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. No organisation should have power over the citizens or government of a country. Nor may it be above the constitution of a country. The WHO is not elected by citizens and therefore has no authority or mandate to patronize citizens in any way in democratic countries. Each citizen is solely responsible for his or her own health. This has always been the case and must remain so. Human dignity prohibits viewing people as a potential danger and thus as an object from which others must be protected.
Swami Vivekanand integration English school, Latur, Maharashtra, India	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Our health is our responsibility and we know how to handle and maintain it. I don't need someone else's intervention on my body. Stop this treaty.
NA	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. So please Stop The Treaty
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. #StopTheTreaty
NA	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. As opposed to unelected agencies. Each country will have their independent experts and each nation is unique. To determine their own responses to pandemics. Indeed. If we look at Sweden. We can see that their choice not to shut down was better for people's economic and emotional well-being. They made the right decision. For their unique culture and people. I say this with confidence. Because they didn't experience additional deaths, in comparison with those countries that took your advice. To conclude. Every nation in this world should have their own autonomy to decide what is best for their people. By consulting their people about what they want to do. So no the WHO should not have control over future pandemics. Strictly consultation.
World Council for Health	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. As such the key points below must be addressed: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Communities know what is needed within their borders.
American Badass, Inc.	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Decentralization is good for the individual.
Private	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Each person is different and autonomous for their health and wellbeing. A global response is nor appropriate.
Parent, US citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Every person, city, state, and country is different and there should be no one set standard or program in place making decisions for everyone. We as individuals know what is best for ourselves. Our local governments know what is best for our Communities. The world is not one size fits all!
Own	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Freedom to decide for ourselves is crucial #StopTheTreaty
PRIVATE	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Furthermore we need to ensure there are no conflicts of interest. And last but not least all information should be fully open source to support future innovations.
Discipler Training International	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. I am against this global treaty because each country, State / Province, City, neighborhood and individual family should make their own informed decision. Just like Dr. Anthony Faucci said recently on Cable News.
Bodyiq	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. It is not right to give control to one global entity it's proven that even one country struggles to do it right, one company can not.
	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. It is of high importance to responsibilize individuals. Awakening of integrity and humanity through the freedom of choice.
Me	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. It's not for others to decide what goes into our bodies
World Council for Health	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Let individuals take care of the bodies and let doctors do their job without interference.
Individual	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Lockdowns are damaging as shown by the data that is emerging post COVD. Policies must not be dictated by one group. I believe that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe we should retain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Medical autonomy & sovereignty is a vital right that needs to be protected. Giving one organization sole authority is dangerous. No one should die alone. And with the facts of how many organizations have taken advantage of people and this pandemic for monetary gain is sickening and unlawful.
	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy. Any program should offer an opt-out, and allow for exemptions for conscience, religion, and medical reasons. Additionally, any process should be open and transparent, with a fail safe measure to prevent the application of a global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want the process. And the process should include measures to illuminate influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers.
SovereignSoul	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. People's health is between their doctor and themselves, that takes into account people's medical record, environmental conditions and history, personal conditions and predispositions, ongoing treatment and medication etc. There will be a huge impact on communities and families and their well being, if they do not control their own health measures. Respectfully, AC.
Private citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Stop this treaty.
Private	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Submit a comment today to #StopTheTreaty
Private	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Submit a comment today to #StopTheTreaty
Private	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Submit a comment today to #StopTheTreaty
One of Many American Citizens	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Submit a comment today to #StopTheTreaty
Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and commun	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Submit a comment today to #StopTheTreaty
Self	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Submit a comment today to #StopTheTreaty
Sbh	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Submit a comment today to #StopTheTreaty
Myself	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Submit a comment today to #StopTheTreaty
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Submit a comment today to #StopTheTreaty
na	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Thanks!
Richard Lewis	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. The following points should be included in any agreement: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Therefore, I demand to stop the treaty.
none	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. We are all different and one solution for all is a tyrranic way of handeling health issues. #StopTheTreaty Kind regards Daniele Manuli
World Council For Health	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. We are not robots, we need to be given our own freedom to decide for our own health ! #StopTheTreaty
Leunig GmbH	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. With this treaty the Autonomy of our and other countries will be lost. We need more natural based medicine, autonomy and transparence, as well as the freedom to choose whatever we think is suitable for every single individuum.
none	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. - You are not independent of pharma industry und foundations linked to them. How can you assure you are taking action for the health of the people and not against it.
UCLA Luskin School of Public Affairs	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Guarantee the ability of nations, local municipalities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Student	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Thank you.
New Zealander	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Each country should ask its own people for answers of what has been working or not working in their own country and make a plan from the responses. Every country has different life styles, food, atmospheric /weather conditions and resoures that need to be used in that country to support its peoples health. Natural health care must be investigated and used. Naturally build the immune system using herbs, vitamins, minerals and natural food resources. Teach people about healthy lifestyles. No GM or GE food. Build bigger, better hospitals Hospitals need better resources, more beds, more staff to support a pandemic.
Self	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Nations, local communities and individuals need to remain free to act according to their best interests with no interference from outside organizations including but not limited to the who and un or business. Any so called global agreements should never take precedence over local and individual decisions. The decisions of Individuals and local authorities should never be interfered with by entities, persons, or businesses, which profit or benefit or gain power through health. Any decision of the who can never be binding on any individual, community or nation.
Heilpraktikerin	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Stop the global pandemic Treatment!!!
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.#StopTheTreaty
Self	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.StopTheTreaty!!!
Annadel Medical Group	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health and making their own decisions regarding health.
General population	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health for themselves and their families. Local government bodies should have autonomy to govern their communities.
wade co	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. - NOT the W.H.O.
Human	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health, not international corporations.
Self	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. stop the treaty please
CHD	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Not affiliated	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
individual citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
self	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Self	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Zoom	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Personal	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
MYself	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Self	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Citizen	Public health is NOT a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. My Body My Choice!
Private citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Countries need to be in control of their own public health systems and not part of a world wide treaty. Thank you
General public	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Current history proves that federal and global mandates do not work during a pandemic. Global mandates most certainly should not supersede the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution at any time.
personal	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Each country MUST be able to make their OWN determination as to how to deal with a 'pandemic.' Anything other global ruling is a violation of the integrity of each country's right to exist on their own terms. Nations have a right and responsibility to determine their own health policy in the event of a pandemic. Each nation is its own entity with its own borders, laws, and cultures. Applying a one-size-fits-all is not an appropriate approach to the handling of a pandemic. Within each country, there are states, provinces, etc. that make their own rules as well; taking away that power means that all are subject to random rules by a global authority that do not meet the individual needs of the citizens. Buzzwords like "equity" can easily be used to conceal a hidden agenda to centralize global control of public health to benefit Big Pharma, major corporations and global financial capital. THAT is what the WHO's agenda is about...NOT health, but control and profits for the wealthy while hurting the plebeians subject to their control. Using 'equity' to control the health management of the world's population is simply another way of gaining totalitarian authority all over the world.
Better For You	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. My body, my choice.
Myself	public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. No forced vaccinations!!!!! No vaccine passports!!!!!!
Self	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Organizations like the CDC, WHO, Department of Health, etc. should not have authority or power to override our own personal rights. God created us in an amazing way and that includes wonderful immune systems. "It is for freedom that Christ has set us free. Stand firm, then, and do not let yourselves be burdened again by a yoke of slavery." Galatians5:1NIV
Not applicable	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Public health decisions and directives are best made at the local level.
United States of America	Public Health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Stay out of what you don't know!
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. The basis of public health and medical care is based on informed consent and freedom of choice. A global perspective and dictates by any group: governmental or medical, is an infringement on the rights of an american citizen. I think it is becoming obvious that the american public will not stand for it. The last 2+ years have opened our eyes to the fact that all our health agencies which we thought were organized to protect us are actually captured agencies. They are not free agents that are free to guide health policy in the best interest of individuals.
Teresa Lundeen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. The WHO should let each country deal with the future pandemics without interference from the WHO. The WHO can make suggestions and recommendations, but should not coerce sovereign nations and local governments within those nations to enforce WHO's programs.
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. This is a very undemocratic proposal and completely undermines not only a free country, but every single individual person on the earth's bodily autonomy. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights to freedom.
Serenity Bay	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. WHO should NOT supersede the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. We the people do not recognize any other authority to decide for us on our healthcare or health choices.
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Concerned Cebuanos for Life & Liberty	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Protect the rights of all people to be told complete, truthful, accurate information and give them the right to make informed choices.
Elementary School	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. "The World Together" Agreement incorrectly assumes there is a world-wide homogenous population. Meanwhile, there are billions of people with billions of DNA combinations, each requiring different approaches to medical treament. One size does NOT fit all. One organization should not have the power to supersede the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. Buzzwords like "equity" can easily be used to conceal a hidden agenda to centralize global control of public health to benefit Big Pharma, major corporations and global financial capital. This new initiative you are suggesting is not an altruistic agenda. Please put humanity above power and money and not implement a "global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response." Thank you.
Mother	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. A single organization or governing body should not have the ability to supersede constitutions or laws that were put in place to protect citizens. Developing a protocol and forcing all citizens follow is not real care. That creates more harm than good in the health and lives of citizens in the long run.
Sovereignty Canada	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Also, Canadians have not voted to have their health been controlled by a foreign party.
Citizen of the United States of America	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Any decision on the health of an individual must be between the individual and his/her physician. While a one world one health idea is commendable, it is also not logical. I take care of my body while others do not and should not ever have to be forced to do otherwise. My body, my choice must prevail. Thank you.
Public	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Biodiversity is locally influenced and thrives with tailored approach addressing individual uniqueness. The current proposal of the Plan would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy. We all have stewardship over this planet. The responsibility for it must rest in every one of us. Education, collaboration, integrity.
Public	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Biodiversity is locally influenced and thrives with tailored approach addressing individual uniqueness. The current proposal of the Plan would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy. We all have stewardship over this planet. The responsibility for it must rest in every one of us. Education, collaboration, integrity.
Private Citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Each country must be free to determine their own health policies in the event of a pandemic. Stop the madness!
Self	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Focus on nutrition and real food rather than catering to the ambitions of the pharmaceutical industry who sees health issues as economic opportunities that do not bring healing.
N/a	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Nations need to have autonomy to decide best practices for its citizens based on the will of the people. International organization should only play an advisory roll at best. The gross overreach and authoritarian approaches used during the Covid pandemic are abhorrent and should not be repeated ever.
US citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. No one entity should be in such major control over large amounts of people to decide health policy or anything else, there is no way a small group of people can truly make the best interest decision for a large number of people. Smaller groups and communities are better able to make more personalized decisions and people themselves should be the ones in control of their own bodies and health. No one person is like another and no one approach can fit every individual. There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
I'm a citizen of the world more specifically of Canada - general public	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. People have rights. In many countries there are laws against forcing health related measures onto people. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. No global agreements should ever be put in place. There should definitely be measures that do not allow for influence or input by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This world we live in is lacking in TRANSPARENCY and a total disregard for CONFLICT OF INTEREST. I hope that changes.
Private	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. This is not the WHO's responsibility.
Individual	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. This plan is wrong and seems to extend way beyond a pandemic. No global authority should have authority over all nations under any circumstance. This is not ethical. It needs to stop.
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. This proposal goes against the Constitution of the United States and equates to medical tyranny. It is very frightening that this is even something that is being considered. I do not believe that ANY "international instrument" should be established which, by its very existence, would subject every human being on Earth to the will of a small panel of decision makers whose decisions would overrule all sovereignty and natural law. This is anathema to all the foundational principles of Western Civilization, the Enlightenment, and the unalienable rights of all persons.
US citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Every region has unique outcomes and conditions in any pandemic, as witnessed during the COVID pandemic. Each country and/or state must be allowed to make their own health decisions, and according to the Neuremberg Code signed after World War II, every citizen has a right to informed consent over their healthcare! The purpose of the WHO is to monitor viruses and make recommendations—NOT endorse health mandates!
individual	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities permitted to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Prohibit the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
c/o Children's Health Defense	Public health is NOT a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Please reject this proposal.
Individual	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. People need to make their own decisions and be responsible for their own health. We need to go back to nature. We need to eat real organic food, exercise, get out in nature, meditate, and take care of our bodies. Pharmaceuticals are not the answer, they are just making people sicker, and so will fake food. We need to get to the root of disease, not just treat the symptoms. We mask disease with pills, but the cause is still there. If we bring the body, mind and spirit together in harmony we can prevent disease. Ayurveda is a good example it is the Science of life. A natural approach to health and healing which builds immunity and prevents disease.
None	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities to be in control of their health. The following are essential to the program: 1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and municipalities must be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. This should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote. It needs to include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prevent any influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be included.
Grafikdesign	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities to have control over their own individual health. Stop your one power to decide about other people and their health. As a human family, we need pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice.
Private citizen	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and their communities in control of their own health.
N/A	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people, communities and countries to be in control of their own health.
Self	Public health is NOT a one-size-fits-all program. We need to allow people and communities to be in control of their own health and not some UNELECTED global council dictating their opinions.
human being	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The treaty must retain and allow the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Any international treaty must include an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL PEOPLE OF THE WORLD TO VOTE on ANY fail-safe measures thereby preventing the application of a global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The People of the world must see included a straight forward, non-negotiable provision to exclude any measures that in ANY WAY allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, their agents or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Public health nurse, retired	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The treaty must retain and allow the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Any international treaty must include an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL PEOPLE OF THE WORLD TO VOTE on ANY fail-safe measures thereby preventing the application of a global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The People of the world must see included a straight forward, non-negotiable provision to exclude any measures that in ANY WAY allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, their agents or other global health profiteers.
no organization	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program.All countries must have their own authority. The people themselves should decide what works for them and what does not. Every region is different from another and the decidions must be taken localky, not globally.
Private	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program; there are enough public interferences in private lives. We need people and communities in control of their health and their independent evaluations. Kind regards, BRG
none	Public health is not a one-size-fits-all solution, for either countries or individuals. It is important that people and communities are able to make their own health choices.
Lee Health	Public health is not controlled by any one organization. WHO does not have authority over anyone's personal health decisions and never will. If you want to bring this fight to our doors, go ahead. We're ready.
Individual	Public health is not one size fits all. Health needs to be left to the individual not a government entity.
myself	Public health is not one size fits all. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on this, including measures preventing the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There also should be measures in place to make sure there is no influence from any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Laura Ross Energy Medicine	Public health is not one-size-fits-all therefore the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be included. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The agreement must include measures that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There should also be a transparent and open process for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This agreement should be voluntary and something the people of each nation get to vote on for their country or state to adopt, or not adopt without any penalties of any kind of they choose not to participate. There should be respect for the Nuremberg Code, and no medical intervention of any kind should be mandated at any time for any reason. All medical interventions should remain a personal choice, and all people retain their autonomy and sovereignty.
Individual	Public health is something that the people and their communities should have full autonomy over. Every individual who this affect should have the ability to make decisions regarding what is best for them.
Member of the public	Public health isn't a one-size-fits-all program and no one supra-national health organisation can possibly know what is best for the health of the whole world. People and communities should be in control of their own health. The current lockdown in Shanghai, for instance, applied across the world would be devastating and totally destructive. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what's best for the people in their own country. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be available. And any and all pharmaceutical companies should not be allowed to have any influence on any part of the process.
Health	Public Health isn't something the WHO can dictate over countries laws they already have in place. This treaty is unjust. Countries should respond to any future concerns how they see fit, not how a governing body suggests that is not even based in the country.
n/a	Public Health Matters. However at no point should any vaccination or treatment ever be enforced onto any individual. People have and should always continue to have medical autonomy over their own bodies. Choice is always vital and no human being should ever be forced into having any treatment. Especially when candour is not offered. Side effects and informed choices should always be at the crux and should be discussed and clear before of any treatment. Do not harm the Hippocratic Oath states and this should remain at the forefront of any health professional or organisations minds. Additionally, no international organization should control individual persons or countries public health. Individual sovereignty should remain at all times. No dictatorships. Digital ID cards should also never be implemented. This encourages divisions and discrimination becomes a barrier.
Self	Public health measures are not one size fits all type solutions. Countries should not be forced into public health decisions by external bodies that are not elected by local citizens. this completely undermines the democracy and against basic human rights and freedom. Please stop the treaty....I don't support it. #StopTheTreaty
Stop the treaty	Public health measures are not one size fits all. I call to stop the treaty in regards to pandemic responses. I am vaccine injured resulting in chronic illness. It is up to communities and people living within them to choose what is best for each individuals health and healing regimen/protocols, not a global NGO. What may be helpful for one is harmful to others.
Citizens of the U.K.	Public health policies in a particular country should be the responsibility of that sovereign nation.
None	Public health policies should be done at a local level. Broad based policies created by public health officials during the Covid pandemic did little to help in the long term and caused immense harm to many in the short term. Public health is not one-sized fits all and narrow minded decisions don't work. People are different. And health policies need to recognize that. There should not be a singular policy or set of responses that everyone must follow. Countries who largely did not follow the policies many put in place during this pandemic fared better.
World council for health	Public Health policy and practice is not a 'one size fits all'. We each have a responsibility to ourselves and our communities to actively pursue goals for our own and Pubic health that are beneficial to all. Our autonomy in making choices for best health outcomes must be upheld . *National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Public health policy must be individualized for individual communities and individuals must be free to live their fullest life and must maintain autonomy over their bodies without coercion or fear of loss of employment, livelihood, or the pursuit of economic and social and recreational activities or the restriction of travel. Anything else is individually unjust. Social justice must never be pursued at the expense of individual freedom and individual justice.
Self	Public health related pandemic responses should be built on the principle of transparency , uniformity and feasible for rush and poor nations alike. People who are victims of pandemic should be treated with respect and dignity. Any overarching policy responses need to be avoided and Individual choice is to be respected over mandates which have been employed to manage COVID 19. Technologies should be employed to disburse all opinions shared by proponent and opponents. Treaties should serve human interest and as such should be democratic in its setup to not encroach on individual and national sovereign.
Private individual	Public health requires local flexibility and the consent of the people. To create a global treaty that removes the agility, flexibility and local knowledge of individual governments and communities will not improve public health it will irrevocably harm it. The recent pandemic had shown that despite the variance of approach there have been a huge variety of outcomes as such a global approach could result in far costlier, less effective and more deadly outcomes as alternatives would be frowned upon resulting in less innovation and an approach that could be driven by ideology rather than medical best practice or with the health of individuals ignored because a plan is being followed however inappropriate. The WHO is there to provide support, surveillance and advice, it is not a world government and should not be attempting to influence the governance or policies of sovereign states.
private citizen	Public health should and MUST remain a matter for National and local leadership. The World Health Organization must NOT be used as a means to bring in World Government by the back door. Countries MUSt have the right to opt out of any portions of the global agreement ... without penalty. Big Pharma and its backers like the FDA or the B&MG Foundation must have NO SAY in this process.
Personal	Public health should be controlled at local levels by elected individuals and organisations, not by an unelected organisation. Decisions should be made at National and local levels without pressure from outside organisations and influences. The agreement threatens the sovereingly and unalienable rights of all UK citizens. The WHO has no rights to impose their agenda on the world and to control the lives of humans. The individuals and organisations who are behind and fund the WHO (including pharmaceutical companies and those with vested financial interests in them) look to be trying to control the world for their own ends in order to increase their power and wealth at the expense of the rest of the worlds population. These people should have no influence in decision making of governments.
NoNe	Public health should be controlled by PUBLIC. People MUST participate in every decision making regarding their own health. Otherwise is absolute violation of basic human rights!!!!!!!
Independent	Public health should never be "one size fits all," because people and locales are not built that way. One way serves the perpetrators of the policies only. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, should not be abrogated. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And most importantly, there should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	Public health, like personal medical choices, cannot be governed by one-size-fits-all policies. Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must allow for significant freedom and leeway for states and self-governing regions to set their own health policies, which must remain sensitive and responsive to their unique circumstances and the needs of their particular populations.
d	Public hearings regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: written component
Self	Public hearings regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: written component. The general public is not aware of the WHOs doings. I would like to go on record as saying that I am an Americal, and that not foreign threat to control, make policy, or over step the bounds of the US constitution will not be accepted and may result in further actions by the American people against the WHO and its leaders. Most Americans see the WHO as a threat against humanity and our rights, freedoms. We will not give or relinquish any rights or freedoms to a foreign governing body of people like the WHO.
US Citizen	Public hearings to create a 'global treaty' for pandemic preparedness is premature considering there has been no global consensus on what worked and what failed during the ongoing pandemic. Business and school closures failed miserably with potentially devastating long-term consequences. With that said, here are some things to include in any instrument you devise. - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free the children	Public participation worldwide with proper, full and effective communications strategies that addresses and ensures meaningful knowledge transfer and participation by all including grass roots groups and communities. The process must not be decided by country leaders but must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in any places where a majority of the people do not want it. Regional, country and nation autonomy must be respected and remain in force throughout the pandemic, retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people at any time. Each country and region must be coordinated to share and work as independent entities with only guidance as to protocols and action plans given by WHO. WHO can not dictate terms and conditions of response, WHO may give guidance only. WHO can not influence and obtain support from global finance structures such as GEF, World Bank, etc in order to dictate and control countries and regions using conditional or punitive loan agreements. All regions, countries and nations must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. No processes shall be influenced, sponsored or funded by any organisation, corporation, company or individual who has a vested interest in the pharmaceutical and related industries.
none	Pure minds and hearts subject to God and His Natural Law. Thou Shalt Not Kill. Respect for human life from conception until natural death. The WHO is at the service of nations, not the other way around. The WHO does not and will never have the authority to mandate, only to advise. Jesus Christ is King over all creation.
none	Putting all your eggs in one basket in this case borders on total Tyranny. Countries around the globe marched together in lockdowns, masks etc. No treatment was considered. Only experimental savior vaccines. During the Pandemic, orders came down from faulty science. Here in the U.S. the Vaccine Awareness Emergency Report System (VAERS) was ignored which brought huge profits for big Pharma. And who (pun intended) monetarily supports WHO? The Rich Elites maybe? I need known trusted entites to make the medical calls on peer reviewed papers where the data has been correctly read. Science changes as new information comes to light.
Yogaya institute	Putting The Who in charge of a country's public health is a disastrous idea that would take away the sovereign rule of any nation. I e need our public health to be kept regional to deal with our own citizens environments just like epigentics recognizes the force of environment on the gene expression of a being. Putting The Who in charge of all nations is totalitarian in the extreme and would be a disaster exactly like we've witnessed with the way The Who has led the covid pandemic. The Who should be dissolved
N/A	Q: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" A: Nothing. The substantive element and the only one is, the United States is a Republic with our very own constitution, thank you very much. We the people, don't need a world organization instrument to dictate our health care as a nation, ever. Find some other country to be your guinea pigs that believe in your political rhetoric in the name of equity, quality and depopulation controls. We don't buy crazy here anymore from this day forward!
Individual	Q: What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and pandemic responses should be implemented without any political or agenda interference. The ability of nations and local municipalities should retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. A balancing act for the protection of human rights, and the measures to scrutinise this aspect should be included. This process should also be open and transparent, and allow participation of all people of the world. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Shareholders, stakeholders, companies, organisations and any other entity or influence with any outcome-related agenda will have no participation in this process.
Housewife	Q: What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? A: I think the WHO should take into account these elements in their pandemic preparedness and response: - That WHO recommendations should only be just that, recommendations, not forced, coerced by money, or mandatory expectations. - That above all, an invasive technology eg vaccinations should not be mandatory and not a monopolized treatment, internationally recognized by technology in order to, for example, travel or access services. - That every country has its own say, independent of the WHO, as to whether they implement the recommendations of the WHO. - That no country is penalized for not implementing the WHO recommendations. - That lockdowns should never be used against whole states or countries, to the detriment of the mental health of all people. Thankyou for your service to humanity. Regards, Marie Crofts
ninguna	que la gente no pierda sus derechos humanos, y su soberania sobre ellos mismos esa absoluta sobre los derechos de los demas , sobre los poderes gubernamentales y sobre todo muy por encima de los derechos que pretenden tener particulares y corporaciones en la vida del publico general. Que todos los entes participantes, sean privados o particulares o gubernamentales o internacionales tengan responsabilidad absoluta sobre sus actuaciones , y que ningun tratado secreto , o publico firmado los libre de su responsabilidad ante la ley de la gente
N/A	Question: What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Answer: We do not require an international instrument to control over what gets called a pandemic. We have our own experts who can work together to share knowledge, but it is paramount that each nation must maintain healthcare sovereignty. We are diverse nations and a one-size-fits-all response to a health crisis is reckless. We have serious reservations about the changing role of the WHO. The original goal was for sovereign nations to pool their resources to help combat malaria, Ebola, and other health issues largely harming those in the developing world. Instead, the focus has not only changed to include pandemic responses in sovereign nations like our own, but a major part of their funds now come from the communist China and private donors, like the Gates Foundation. It's not controversial to say that private donors come with private interests. We need "cancelled" experts (smeared and censored during the pandemic) with no conflicts of interest to be allowed to express concerns and be on panels to enhance trust and transparency. The WHO and globalist agendas have no place in international health- this treaty must be stopped.
Iyengar Yoga Association	Question? What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? My response! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American citizen	Quit mandating bad medicine just to gain a profit. Or calling the flu a pandemic when it's not!
none	Quite frankly, the grasping for power this represents is appalling. When you answer why Africa is relatively Covid free as compared to those nations not regularly dosing populations with IVM, perhaps WHO will move toward legitimacy, truth, and faithful execution of mission. Until such time, nothing you may propose that expands the reach of this agency has valid PUBLIC benefit. In short, doctor heal yourselves.
Private US Citizen	Quite simply, no elements that infringe on personal rights or liberties should be included. As with the current pandemic, it has been demonstrated that mitigation tactics did not positively impact, and likely have negatively impacted outcomes in terms of limiting access to early treatment, causing increased mental health issues (including suicide), massive negative economic impacts, alteration in normal development of children, lack of preventative healthcare to name a few. As government in the US is representative of the people, and not by totalitarianism, the rights and liberties are not the governments' rights to give away or barter.
Private citizen	Quite simply, these ARE the vital requirements of any future resolutions, or bindings laws, made by the WHO with regards to the relationship between itself and the countries it has dealings and agreements with. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Failure to observe the above principles only reflects a complete lack of respect for open and honest democratic nations, worldwide, by the WHO, and an ending to the democratic self rule of those nations bound to this agreement.
R2H Action (Right to Health)	R2H Action (Right to Health) agrees that vaccines, therapeutics, tests and medical equipment needed to stop pandemics are a global public good. A new pandemic agreement and financing mechanism should ensure that the public, including all residents of low- and middle-income countries, benefit from public investments in research and development for health care technologies. We urge policymakers to include the following policies in any pandemic instrument: 1. Safe, effective quality assured vaccines, therapeutics and tests should be developed within 100 days and distributed globally within 1 year. 2. Fund regional manufacturing hubs, sustainably building up local production capacity, to sharply reduce supply bottlenecks. Hubs such as those emerging in South Africa should be 'kept warm'—producing other commodities, primed and ready for rapid retooling to quickly mass-produce emergency vaccines. 3. Member States should make use of all existing rights and flexibilities to compel tech transfer and knowledge sharing as per the Doha Declaration. 4. Member States and philanthropies should include global access language in the terms and conditions of research grant awards, including open-access licenses for Medicines Patent Pool/C-TAP and non-commercial development. 5. Fund health systems strengthening for pandemic monitoring, surveillance, and reporting, along with last-mile vaccination support, including training and retention for professional and community healthcare workers, PPE, supply chain and cold-storage/shipping for vaccines. 6. Funding to combat hesitancy and misinformation.

R2H Action [Right to Health Action]	R2H Action (Right to Health) is a US-based grassroots movement of healthworkers, COVID survivors, scholars & people who lost family. We welcome an agreement on pandemic prevention. To be successful, the initiative should include a $20 billion funding mechanism for LMIC national pandemic action plans.
	
	1. Prevention of zoonotic spillover is a priority, avoiding the prevalent mistake of focusing on actions "only after" an outbreak. Neglecting activities that "prevent spillover in the first place" accepts poor people 'just die', and that global responsibility is merely to stop the spread of disease after the fact—similar to previous, erroneous consensus that Africans were incapable of AIDS treatment.
	
	2. Fund countries and communities to provide healthcare and livelihood alternatives to extraction economies at zoonotic spillover front-lines, along with $ for enforcement for forest protection, wildlife markets & animal trade regulations.
	
	3. The work of "containing" outbreaks post-spillover must also become stronger:
	  A) Fund LMIC global compliance with expanded IHRs within five years.
	  B) Strengthen JEE system as independent M&E for pandemic fund.
	
	4. Grant funds for LMICs must be additional, outside of existing ODA.
	
	5. Pandemic fund governance must be insulated from politics, and accessible to civil society:
	  A) Board is equal number donor and implementing countries, plus protected, elected seats for South+North NGOs, philanthropies and WHO.
	  B) Applications reviewed and recommended by technical experts—not board.
	  C) Applications come from country-level consortia of Ministries, civil society, healthworkers, and communities disproportionately impacted by COVID, including stigmatized populations.

FOUR PAWS International	Rather than responding to zoonotic outbreaks after the fact, the pandemic instrument must prioritise primary prevention measures based on the holistic understanding of the interconnectedness of humans, animals and the environment. It must require countries to mitigate the risk of pathogens emerging, mutating and spilling over to humans at source, by addressing the root causes To support this, FOUR PAWS recommends: • OHHLEP's definition of One Health, incorporating wellbeing and animal welfare, as a guiding principle. • Enhanced solidarity and equity for all countries to prevent and mitigate zoonotic risks by establishing a mechanism for financial assistance and cooperation. • A whole of society, whole of government approach to developing the instrument. • Formalised role of FAO, OIE and UNEP inter alia in the development and implementation of the agreement to enhance multisectoral cooperation and provide technical guidance on the reduction of pathogen spillover risks at the human-animal-environment interface. • Legal coherence with existing environmental treaties and conventions, such as the CITES, CBD, CMS, and their regulatory and implementing mechanisms. Engage with existing international regulatory bodies, including but not limited to the UNTOC and UNCAC. • Legally binding obligation on Parties not to trade in, or market, any wild animals, either domestically or internationally, without first assessing the risks of any such practice to animal and/or human health and taking any necessary measures to mitigate such risks. • Explicit recognition of the role of food systems in enabling pathogen spillover in intensive farming contexts and of the benefits of sustainable food systems.
Quebec Academic Resistance	Ratification of this treaty should only be considered once nations have conducted full public inquiries into how Covid-19 responses were conducted. Public bodies, independent of the state and corporations, must have direct input in any decision-making, both before and after ratification of a treaty. Most Canadian citizens, for example, are completely unaware that such a treaty is even being discussed. Human rights and civil liberties, when violated under the pretext of a pandemic, should be considered as a treaty violation. National sovereignty and self-determination, which are paramount principles under international law, cannot be diminished—any treaty that counters these basic principles, should itself be held liable for violating international law. Each nation reserves the right to define what is considered a dangerous epidemic, in its own terms. The WHO should only be available as an advisory body, to those who wish to consult it. Any usurpation of the public's rights, is anti-democratic, and a recipe for certain political unrest. The WHO must demonstrate and prove—not just assert—that there is even a need for such a treaty. Under the terms of the treaty, the WHO must make itself fully and publicly accountable, and be subject to national freedom of information laws.
Private citizen	Ratification of this treaty should only be considered once nations have conducted full public inquiries into how Covid-19 responses were conducted. Public bodies, independent of the state and corporations, must have direct input in any decision-making, both before and after ratification of a treaty. Most Canadian citizens, for example, are completely unaware that such a treaty is even being discussed. Human rights and civil liberties, when violated under the pretext of a pandemic, should be considered as a treaty violation. National sovereignty and self-determination, which are paramount principles under international law, cannot be diminished—any treaty that counters these basic principles, should itself be held liable for violating international law. Each nation reserves the right to define what is considered a dangerous epidemic, in its own terms. The WHO should only be available as an advisory body, to those who wish to consult it. Any usurpation of the public's rights, is anti-democratic, and a recipe for certain political unrest. The WHO must demonstrate and prove—not just assert—that there is even a need for such a treaty. Under the terms of the treaty, the WHO must make itself fully and publicly accountable, and be subject to national freedom of information laws."
Citizen of Malaysia	raw download report These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	Re - pandemic preparedness. All citizens should be allowed choice regarding health and religious belief. Platforms should be offered to voice concerns and choice of methods to be utilized. No medical intervention from the state under any circumstances to be allowed. Nuremberg code to be strictly adhered to. Hospital policies to be transparent and upfront. No dismissal of employees for freedom of choice. No family members to be removed from their homes or place of worship. Strictly no lock downs or masking as they have proven not to be effective or progressive. Media, coverage on bills laws and amendments to be way more vocal and conspicuous as well as easier access to poor and elderly who cannot use modes of technology to voice their needs and preferences.
None	Re- pandemic preparedness -No religious or health intervention whatsoever. -No state intervention under any circumstances -Nuremberg code to be adhered to strictly -No person to be removed from home, workplace or place of worship for suspicion of illness No testing or medical treatment for employees against their will -no medical passes or QR cards to travel -media platforms to discuss modes of procedure to be available -poor and elderly to receive assistance to voice their needs and concerns -hospitals to be completey transparent regarding protocols and procedure. Patients not to be victimised or kept in hospital against their will.

Organization	Written submission
Pumpkin House Gardens	Re the "Pandemic Treaty" - The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Darwincentral.org	Re: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" A mechanism by which the WHO can be told what to do with new international instruments on pandemic preparedness and response.
commenting as an individual	Re: a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The instrument must be non-binding. It's important that each country, and local leadership within each country, retain full responsibility and autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and regional and local municipalities must retain the right to opt out of any or all parts of the agreement without consequence. People everyone in the world must have a say in the process, including a vote as to whether or not a nation or region adopts the agreement. No corporate or buiness influences should be involved. Pharmaceutical companies and any other multinational companies or profiteers are disallowed from participating in the drafting, preparation of, overseeing of, or any other aspect of this instrument. Thank you for considering these comments.
Private Investment & Healthcare	RE: Proposed Pandemic Treaty We urge you to maintain the following components: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
formerly Vancouver Coastal Health	RE: Proposed WHO pandemic treaty. As a clinician, it became obvious during the introduction of mandates, that lockdowns were causing more harm than good. In British Columbia, Canada we witnessed increased unemployment as businesses shut down, increased death from overdoses, social isolation, delays in speech and language development, delayed and cancelled surgeries, family separation and the introduction of policies that have created segregation and a class of untouchables. It did not take me two years of research to come to the same conclusions as public health and infectious disease experts from around the world, The unintended Consequences of COVID-19 Vaccine Policy: Why Mandates, Passports, and Segregated Lockdowns May Cause more Harm than Good. Bardosh, K. et al. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4022798 Most of this data was available from Statistics Canada at the end of 2020. To working class Canadians it is also called common sense. There is much work ahead of us as we rebuild our communities, our relationships and help families rebuild livelihoods. Children will need help catching up on social, speech and learning skills. My hope is that our children will be able to forgive us for not protecting them from the damage of lockdowns, vaccinations, and the uncertain future that we are leading them into. I do not support a pandemic treaty that is global with a one size fits all solution. No one has authority over our health and the health of our families Now is the time for us to build healthy communities based on wellness, local initiatives, trust, research unbiased by profit, inclusiveness, and open dialogue. The WHO has no place, as an unelected body, in creating such a treaty.
U.S.A.	RE: Public hearings regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response Elements included in the instrument should: — Preserve of national and local municipal autonomy. — Preserve the ability of regional citizen majorities to vote to bar application of the agreement in their region of residence. — Ensure that nations and local municipalities may opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without consequence. — Preserve as non-derogable under any conditions internationally-recognized individual rights to life; to freedom from degrading treatment or punishment, and from medical or scientific experimentation without consent; and to freedom of thought, conscience and religion in accordance with the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Part II, Article 4(1) and (2); and Part III, Articles 7, 18(1) and 18(2), as clarified in the Siracusa Principles of 1984, Section II, D(58) and (63). — Ensure that no preventive, diagnostic or therapeutic medical intervention may be carried out without the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information in accordance with the UNESCO Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights of 2005, Article 6(1). — Ensure that the process is open and transparent. — Bar pharmaceutical companies and for-profit NGOs from participation in the process. Greg Snow Woodland, CA, U.S.A.
Canadian Peoples Union	Apr.12 2022 Attention all members, I am writing concerning your upcoming meeting posted on your website. I wish to voice my concerns. Understanding your role Wikipedia definition: The "Who" is a specialized agency of the U.N. responsible for public health. Objective :"...attainment by all people of the highest level of health". I envision a world in which everyone can live healthy, productive lives,. regardless of whom they are or where they live. Dr. Ghbresus- "TOGETHER FOR A HEALTHIER WORLD" I believe this Treaty has omitted significant wording such as, The Law of the People, and our Civil Rights. The law of the people is our God given right and must be adhered to. We were born free and wish to remain so. I do not wish to relinquish my right to elected or appointed representatives. Autonomy, my body, my choice...Imagine a state that tells me when, where and how to act. I need to listen daily to be sure my body is working cohesively. Transparency, freedom of information, factual medical advice, in order to decided what is good for my family. Finally, freedom of choice, my genealogy dates back hundreds of years, some of whom has died for our civil liberties. Thank You Wendy Cartwright
Holistic Health	Real health promotion world wide should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response . Real health and immunity is not due to drug deficiencies. Real health and immunity is dependent on lifestyle habits==nutrition, exercise. Fortifying diets with nourishing, immune supporting medicinal herbs, probiotics, vitamins, and minerals is proactive and reactive care for World Health.
Individual citizen	Reasons I oppose The WHO Pandemic Treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	Reasons why the WHO should not take leading jurisdiction in any current and future pandemics: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy in this arena, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be sacrosanct. An open and transparent process is always needed, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on concerns at hand--including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Local measures will help ensure that there will not be influence in the decision-making processes by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A concerned citizen	Recent experience shows that there is no magic formula to combat infections. Therefore, there is no need for a central authority with decision-making power. It is important that the sovereign states retain their right to make final decisions. Supranational institutions such as the WHO have to limit themselves to proposing ways and means of dealing with crises. Moreover, any proposal must be evidence-based and any financial link with beneficiaries of the measures must be published in advance. Furthermore, all measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. And by economic interests I mean the interests of the individual states and not those of the WHO's donors. The extent to which the proposals are then implemented in the individual regions must remain a matter for national governments. The possibility of overriding state constitutions must never be left in the hands of supranational associations. The possibility of overriding state constitutions must never be left to the hands of supranational associations. This is the only way to ensure that mandatory vaccinations and discriminatory measures will be prevented and that only health interests will be considered in all measures implemented. The envisaged regulations do not take into account all these needs, therefore I oppose this international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
A concerned citizen	Recent experience shows that there is no magic formula to combat infections. Therefore, there is no need for a central authority with decision-making power. It is important that the sovereign states retain their right to make final decisions. Supranational institutions such as the WHO must limit themselves to proposing ways and means of dealing with crises. Moreover, any proposal must be evidence-based and any financial link with beneficiaries of the measures must be published in advance. Furthermore, all measures must consider and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. And by economic interests I mean the interests of the individual states and not those of the WHO's donors. The extent to which the proposals are then implemented in the individual regions must remain a matter for national governments. The possibility of overriding state constitutions must never be left in the hands of supranational associations. The possibility of overriding state constitutions must never be left to the hands of supranational associations. This is the only way to ensure that mandatory vaccinations and discriminatory measures will be prevented and that only health interests will be considered in all measures implemented. The envisaged regulations do not consider all these needs; therefore, I oppose this international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Privat	Recent experience shows that there is no magic formula to combat infections. Therefore, there is no need for a central authority with decision-making power. It is important that the sovereign states retain their right to make final decisions. Supranational institutions such as the WHO have to limit themselves to proposing ways and means of dealing with crises. Moreover, any proposal must be evidence-based and any financial link with beneficiaries of the measures must be published in advance. Furthermore, all measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. And by economic interests I mean the interests of the individual states and not those of the WHO's donors. The extent to which the proposals are then implemented in the individual regions must remain a matter for national governments. The possibility of overriding state constitutions must never be left in the hands of supranational associations. This is the only way to ensure that mandatory vaccinations and discriminatory measures will be prevented and that only health interests will be considered in all measures implemented. The envisaged regulations do not take into account all these needs; therefore, I oppose this international, mandatory treaty.
US Citizen	Recent world events have shown us that protecting national sovereignty is crucial to the peace and prosperity we all desire. I implore the WHO INB to secure the right of each nation to maintain its national sovereignty and constitutional protections in any international partnership of pandemic preparedness, regardless of a state of emergency. In the WHO's own constitutional documents it states the purpose is to share "informed opinion and active cooperation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The WHO's role is to give guidance not make international law. This treaty must ensure that the individual health decisions are made locally not directed by a distant government entity; this will ensure the best outcomes possible for the people. This treaty should serve as guidance and not be legally binding to any member-state. It is also crucial to protect the personal medical information of each citizen of a member state. In today's digital world it is easy to let technology get ahead of privacy. Private medical data needs to remain private to each member state and not shared across the globe. The sharing of mass medical information could result in unintended consequences, exposing citizens to massive loss of individual liberties and data breaches of malicious intent. Thank you for your time and consideration.
Me	Recognize the sovereignty of each nation and the ability of individuals to make their own health decisions. Never overstep boundaries again.
Doctor intensive care	Reduce transmission if the virus is lethal to the individual. Vaccinate if the vaccines are not interfering with the mitochondria and DNA of healthy individuals with a normal immune system. Preferably vaccinate the whole attenuated virus, not just the mutating surface, and do not use mRNA vaccines. Take care of patients who are infected without severe respiratory symptoms at home with antivirals and anaphiglatics. Manage instead of fear mongering and leaving people alone. Pay enough staff so they don't burn out. In Covid19 Omicron pay for all side effects as the Haemodialysis, chemotherapy and monoklonal antibodies can't heal the mRNA side effects. Establish a democratic WHO structure with zero influence of private companies,only medical state financed professionals
UK Citizen	Ref your proposal: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" My consultation response is categorical- ie There must be NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness as this hands power to the unelected World Health Organisation which has major conflicts of interest due to aspects of some of it's funding streams. Individual world nations and governments MUST fully retain their individual freedoms and sovereignty to decide individually what actions they do or do not wish to follow should a pandemic be declared and must also retain the freedom to question and demand justification for a pandemic being called in the first place.
UK Citizen	Ref: WHO proposed global pandemic agreement. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and is / would be a threat to a nations sovereignty and the inalienable rights of its population. Public health is not a case of one-size-fits-all as proven in the recent circumstances. National and local leadership needs to have full autonomy in having the unreserved right to make decisions based on what is best for that nations own people under any such situation. The WHO does not act independently. It is funded publicly but is also primarily influenced and funded by bodies with their own interests in mind. As an example, and in particular The Gates Foundation, not to mention the pharmaceutical industries. Consequently there is a major conflict of interests and any decisions by WHO under such a pandemic agreement will be in the interests of those 'industries' and not the population at large. The proposed pandemic agreement has not involved open public discussion, participation or agreement and consequently lacks transparency and generates mistrust. Consequently I totally disapprove of any Global Pandemic Agreement.
Humanity	Ref: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" (Please see the below) The proposed legislation has as a priori assumption that eventually legislation will exist. The question of significantly greater importance and fundamental to human rights is, 'should the treaty exist at all'? What should or should not be included in such a treaty is a much lower level question. Should there eventually be discussion of a Pandemic treaty, it is essential that it includes guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely and negligently ignored for the last 2 years.
France	Regarding thé last pandemic management of Covid 19 in France which was a total disaster, I think that every country and its people should able and be free to manage its problems in its own territory with their own people represented by the president of the French Republic, and not follow a ready made process not applicable to every single country. We want our freedom back in respect of the international human rights convention! Oms is counseled by the Bill and Melinda Gates association, so is not free of interest.. Stéphanie Sabran
individual	Regarding "The World Together." proposal to add to the WHO Constitution. Some things seem to be missing. Health needs vary greatly throughout our planet. Thus, it is necessary that localization of planning and action be established, In the face of a pandemic type of situation a less is more approach to bureaucratic oversight will increase efficiencies of response. Further, there mudt be trust in the processes.Therefore, the following considerations should be included: 1. Large pharmaceutical companies must be prevented from wielding undue influence on decision making processes. The same must be true for other global vendors, suppliers, companies. 2. Processes must be completely transparent to maintain trust in said processes.. A part of this must be the ability of locales, whether nationally, statewide/regionwide within nations or municipalities or other localized governmental entities must be free to establish processes which may opt out of international agreements without penalty as they see fit in order to make their own decisions that best fit their locale. Thank you Ralph G. Howell. DC Medford. OR USA
Private individual	Regarding a Global pandemic treaty and response: I believe autonomy of national and local leadership should be retained, to maintain the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and/or opt-out of any part of a global agreement as they see fit without consequences. Information and knowledge can be shared internationally, but decisions should be made by individual sovereign nations and local governments to protect the health and wellness and rights of their own population.
private citizen	Regarding an international agreement for future pandemics, I am writing to assert that national and local leadership should retain autonomy of decision-making and the right to opt out of anything they choose to. This is the only equitable way for each unique country to participate. Ordinary people around the globe need safeguards that powerful, profit-seeking entities do not have undue influence over a global pandemic response. As has been demonstrated in the Covid-19 response, repression of scientific discourse for the sake of a dominant narrative has resulted in poorer overall health outcomes and a less robust understanding of the disease than could have been achieved through the normal process of rigorous, collegial debate and open intellectual curiosity. Similarly, we've seen that some nations' overall strategies are more successful than others, especially in terms of the degree of unintended collateral damage of mandates, lockdowns, and severe impacts to education and economics. It is essential that countries are able to retain autonomy and that future pandemic responses are not unduly influenced by pharmaceutical and other entities that would benefit financially from steering actions to be favorable to their interests. Democracy, human rights, freedom, and bodily autonomy must not be compromised by a global agreement that does not put the interests, desires, and wellbeing of ordinary people first. International cooperation can be very successful in there is open transparency, accountability, and choice. A global, one-size-fits-all response in which there is no option to disagree or decline interventions that may not be desired or appropriate in certain populations would not be a net positive.
Health Freedom for All	Regarding any abiding and/or mandated authority a global organization may have over people, let there be none! Recommendations based on the best current peer reviewed science that is updated and based on real science, not the bought kind could be helpful , but considering what disastrous results the US NAIAD caused, may assistance be offered but no coercion ever!
private citizen	Regarding any WHO treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private American Citizen	Regarding elements to include in a new international instrument for future global pandemics, it is important that sovereign nations / states maintain the right to make their own ultimate independent decisions for their people. It is important that pharmaceutical companies have no say or influence over the decisions made, nor receive special monetary compensation or preferential contracts as an outcome. It is important that sovereign nations down to individual municipalities be reserved the right to opt out of final decisions made by the instrument without penalty of any sort. It is vital that all discussions and considerations and decisions made be completely transparent to all peoples, prior to finalization, and allow for reasonable time to respond and comment on such proceedings. Ultimately, a coordinated global response through the WHO is not recommended. Scientific data and research should be shared and decisions made should be as local as possible. Thank you.
General public	Regarding international pandemic preparation and response it is important that the general public are given transparency, truth and can vote in their country so that they can say if the regulations are appropriate. There shall never be discrimination and all persons should have autonomy over their own health.
Member of Public	Regarding pamdemic preparedness we should study the success of the countries who saved the most lives during the last pandemic before a vac cine was introduced as there are many studies from several countries with incredible successes regard ing early treatments. We should study ALL medi cines that were used during the last pandemic es pecially those used by highly qualified emergency staff at the early stages of the last pandemic. We should listen to how different countries would require a different approach to such an emergency. Due to different living conditions, dense popula tions verses sparse populations, impoverished countries verses affluent countries so as to not cause more poverty. We should listen to ALL med ical staff in every country and learn from each oth ers past pandemic success stories regarding cheap effective early treatments, natural treatments and treatments readily available already FDA approved as safe. We should NEVER allow anyone to make global de cisions during a pandemic who has a financial con nection to any medicines or products that may be used in a pandemic! To prevent another pandemic we need to monitor virus manipulation happening in labs around the world and make sure they are mon itored closely by somebody with no financial con nections ideally nominated by the citizens of that country. To re gain public trust so as the public will follow any instructions we need to allow a variety of quali fied medical staff to make decisions on health mat ters and politicians to act regarding their guidance. To have medical experts from every country nomin ated by the people to speak on their behalf not medical experts nominated by politicians or those who profit from a pandemic
USA Citizen	Regarding pandemic preparedness and response, first of all, there should NOT be a legally binding global pandemic treaty. Secondly, and very importantly, health decisions should be in hands of American families, not the WHO. This treaty is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty! NO GLOBAL PANDEMIC TREATY!
GIRP, the European Healthcare Distribution Association	Regarding pandemic preparedness and response, one of the most crucial lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic is the urgency and important ability to ensure the continuous supply of medicines during a pandemic. Across Europe retail pharmacies, GPs and hospitals were confronted with an unprecedented level of demand from customers and patient during the pandemic. Full-service healthcare distributors were able to respond to the high number of orders in a timely manner with great additional effort. Citizens, governments, customers, and patients' confidence in the supply chain at community pharmacy level has been maintained. In the event of a future pandemic, the following key points must be considered in order to ensure the availability and continuous supply of medicines to all patients as well as a strong, unshakable supply chain, no matter the situation: 1) Full-service healthcare distributors should be supplied with all approved and available medicines from pharmaceutical manufacturers. 2) The pharmaceutical manufacturer should give an early warning to all supply chain stakeholders as soon as it is foreseeable that stock levels of medicines are insufficient to cover demand. 3) Recognition of full-service healthcare distributors as critical infrastructure in order to be able to fulfil their obligations of a fair and equitable distribution. 4) Evaluate the capability of full-service healthcare distributors as the providers of the infrastructure for stockpiling measures for emergency preparedness. 5) Essential information sharing, serving for the exclusive purposes of supplying medicines in times of crisis and limited to what is strictly necessary, should be temporarily exempted from certain regulatory prohibition
Citizen of the United States	Regarding pandemic preparedness and response, the first element of any guidance ought to be an objective investigation into whether or not a pandemic exists that could deem guidance to be helpful. If so, then scientifically backed guidance regarding how to best treat any malady needs to include a wide array of input from experts in the associated field or specialty. In short, the World Health Organization may be helpful and useful for indicating recommendations based upon scientific discovery that would be helpful for nations who do not have well-developed scientific capabilities. However, in no way does the World Health Organization hold the power to institute rules and laws that must be followed by people from member nations, mine being the United States of America. The comment above refers to a "new international instrument." The phrase should be simply "international guidance". From ideas and guidance OFFERED by the WHO, the organization may be able to win back the credibility it lost over the past few years as the evolving science and data showed so clearly that this SARS Cov-ii situation was manufactured into something it clearly was not, with absurdly overblown counts of death attributed and many easy and early treatment protocols ignored. The WHO can become relevant and credible by acknowledging the facts from these past two years and issuing GUIDANCE for participating member nations moving forward based on these facts. Thank you.
NA	Regarding Pandemic Preparedness and Response: No treaty is warranted, desired, or necessary. People need to self-quarantine if they are sick. As a human being, each person has the inalienable right to decide what to put into his own body. This includes vaccines, biological materials, chemical agents, or man-made materials, electronics, etc., whether administration is by injections or any other means such as through aerosols, water, food, sound, radio frequencies, etc. No government entity may usurp this basic and inalienable human right to informed consent.
none	Regarding Pandemic Preparedness Bill: Unfortunately the people of the UK do not recognise the WHOs authority and the influence of the WHO is absolutely not independent either. The main sponsors are the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. The WHO cannot therefore have authority over the people.
private citizen	Regarding pandemic preparedness, these four conditions must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stand for Health Freedom	Regarding pandemic preparedness, WHO should not decide on policies that affect the entire world. Circumstances vary greatly from area to area. Each country must have sovereignty over these decisions. The citizens of the United States value first and foremost their individual freedom. It is most practical and ethical for decisions to be made close to home, rather than to receive mandates from the WHO.
None	Regarding pandemic response: All nations must be given their own individual sovereignty to make decisions. All nations must be able to exempt themselves or opt out. The WHO must not be allowed to enforce policies in any way. Recommendations must remain the only extent of their influence.
Unity	Regarding the proposed Pandemic Treaty : I believe it is important to honour every individual's privacy and freedom of choice regarding matters pertaining to their health. It must also include transparency and accountability to individuals on all levels. Thank you, Adrian Piebenga
None	Regarding the Global Pandemic Agreement, the WHO requests input on what people believe should be included in it but fails to ask the people of the world whether or not they believe a global agreement is necessary. As a retired nurse, I'm strongly against any global treaties for the management of epidemiology & healthcare. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People & local communities can take control of their health. It's vital for national & local leadership to have complete autonomy in making health related decisions based on what's best for the people by the people. Therefore, if a health related treaty is to ever be considered, nations & local municipalities must retain the full ability to opt out of any and all portions of a global agreement as they see fit, without consequence. By natural law, any global agreement effecting individual health & bodily autonomy must be an open & transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people don't want it. Most importantly, each & all health measures must not allow for direct or indirect influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company, manufacturers, affiliated individuals or other global health profiteers. Again, the WHO doesn't seem to be asking the people whether they want a global pandemic treaty, but instead, they're soliciting for what to include. That's like asking, "would you like a Pit Bull or Doberman?" Not considering whether you even want a dog. It's vital to mention that a medical intervention of any kind is a much more personal and serious matter than a household pet.
Member of public	Regarding the Pandemic Preparedness Treaty/Agreement it is morally wrong for an unelected agency to assume the role of Governship over the health of the people of a sovereign state with a Democratically elected Government. That Democratically elected Government should not be abdicating their responsibilities in this regard either. It is a dereliction of the Governments duty to uphold the Human Rights of the British people. We have witnessed that in regards of real medical professionals and scientists that have been treated with disdain, preferring to listen to the dictates of scientists whose honesty is compromised as they are working for the very companies whom have made huge profits out of a grossly exaggerated virus causing untold damage due to pointless lockdowns causing detrimental effects on peoples health and well being and the resulting catastrophic vaccination campaign that has resulted in huge numbers of fatalities and adverse reactions that runs into millions across the world.
Citizen of the USA	Regarding the Pandemic Treaty: Countries must maintain sovereignty over how they guide their populations regarding health issues The UN couldn't possibly know the best way to handle health issues across the entire world. Most of the people in the world don't trust you and you aren't even an elected group of people. You should have no say in my life. More open discussions need to be held regarding something like this. You can't operate in secret or in a vacuum. It looks bad when you try to slide binding treaties from an unelected organization onto the masses. We do not know to what extent you are being advised or will later be advised by pharmaceutical companies. The influence from these corporations would be ill advised and certainly a conflict of interest. It is time to be honest that when money is to be made, morals and values are often lost. We the people, should not be subjected to this kind of influence.

Organization	Written submission
General Public	Regarding the proposal, I request the following points be considered and addressed: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Regarding the proposed "Pandemic Treaty." Please include the following wording which states my views clearly: Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
My Family	Regarding the proposed international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Any measures considered by the WHO must not allow for influence of any pharmaceutical companies or other global health investors (such as Bill Gates).
Private individual	Regarding the proposed international treaty on pandemic preparedness and prevention I want to see the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
Physiotherapy Solutions	Regarding the proposed Pandemic Treaty 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. All nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process is required with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Regarding the proposed treaty on pandemic preparedness, I believe that all national and local governments must retain full autonomy in making public health decisions, while global health entities such as the WHO play a supplemental role. Countries must maintain their sovereignty above the whims of a global entity, such as but not limited to the WHO. Any country or local municipality must have the option to opt out of proposed global agreement without retribution or consequence. Said treaty must also represent the people of the world through an election process, and the process must have transparency and fail safes in place to maintain its legitimacy- The elite shall not be allowed to enforce their will when a majority of people do not want it. Said treaty must also deny the influence of pharmaceutical companies, big tech, or other global profiteers in any process or measure involved. In summary, WHO treaties that remove power from the United States (or any other country) in addressing their public health crises as they see fit are dangerous to the health and welfare of its citizens. The public health response of the world should not be determined by a global entity that is not democratically elected with such power. Any treaty which surpasses our sovereignty violates the Constitution of the United States, as well as the personal medical/health rights of its citizens. All health decisions must remain localized and decentralized, including when an immediate threat or emergency exists.
Individual	Regarding the WHO pandemic treaty. I believe the following conditions must be included because no one unelected organization should have the ultimate say in any nations response to any health issues (especially an organization run by pharmaceutical corporations and Bill Gates!!!: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Zak snx	Regarding the WHO prepared responses The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
living human	Regarding the who proposal, I dont think it is required and the who should wind theirneck in. I do not support or require a global organisation looking out for my health. The people behind the who are crooks and criminals and are not to be trusted. If something like this does get pushed through which is inevitable seeing who is behind the proposals then the following safeguards must be set in place: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The FMEconomist	Regarding the WHO's blatant yet transparent attempt at a global health care land grab under the cover of a "pandemic".... It is essential that the following elements are included: #1 - Full transparency and access to all documentation regarding decisions made by the WHO and it's affiliates. #2 - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, ie, no Medical/Big-Pharma imperialism!! #3 - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. #4 - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This is imperative! #5 - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for opening the proceedings to commentary.
Member of several but my opinions here are my own	Regarding the WHO's new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: Please include the following: * Peoples, Nations and local leadership must retain full autonomy, keeping the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Every person needs to retain control of their body in terms of medical treatment and personal protective strategies. Power cannot be surrendered to any State or Organization. * There must be a way to opt out without consequence. * Complete verifiable transparency of the process is essential coupled with an unchallenged ability by all people to reject any recommendations. Verifiable scientific data must be presented including but not limited to: isolation of possible Pandemic infectious agents and the use Koch's Postulates for verification, proof of safety and efficacy for all products recommended including the testing protocol which must provide evidence of positive viral infection (verified by the isolated virus) and viral load. * Absolutely no mandates will ever be initiated. * Outside influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers will not be tolerated. Thank you, Vienna Merritt Moore
Self employed	Regarding the WHO's proposed Pandemic Treaty: I feel it is very important that the Treaty include the following substantive elements: The treaty must be one that allows autonomy for the people. It must include transparency, and it must allow for freedom of choice regarding all matters of health. Thank you for your attention, Sincerely, Kathy Turner
Private citizen	Regarding the World Health Organization's request for public input on what substantive elements should be included in the proposed "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response", I respectfully submit the following: 1. That national and local leadership retain full autonomy and reserve the absolute right to make decisions that reflect what is best for their own people. 2. That all nations and local municipalities retain the inalienable right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit and without consequence. 3. That there be established an open and transparent process that guarantees all people of the world the opportunity and ability to vote on and, if so voted (by a clear majority), reject the application of any WHO global agreement in their country, region or community. 4. That strict safeguards and provisions be included in the agreement that entirely prohibit and exclude any influence, direct or indirect, from all pharmaceutical companies and/or global health profiteers, including political profiteers. The inclusion of these four critical elements are essential to the maintenance of individual national sovereignty for all nations and peoples around the globe. Thank you.
self	Regarding WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty: I believe health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support a treaty that includes measures to ensure that – 1) national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; 2) nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3) the process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; and 4) measures are clearly included ensuring, entirely ensuring, that there is no influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
WHO	Regarding you are not interested to have healthy humans all around the world, please stop acting like you are saving humanity.
- - please select - -	Regarding your proposed Pandemic Treaty: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or collaborators.
Private	Regarding: new international instrument on pandemic preparedness It is important that individual countries retain the ability to tailor policy to the individual particular requirements needs concerns and desires of their people expressed in open free consultation, by democratic government, through secret ballot. Together with upholding the individual universal fundamental human rights of the people. To uphold these democratically expressed voted mandates, the agreement must be voluntary advice and governments and municipalities must be able to opt out of all parts of the agreement freely without consequence as of right. A free open and honest scientific debate must be aloud on all proposals; including all the voices of scientific opinion and dissent without censorship. It is important that the consensus view is challenged and reviewed; the many minds of the world brought upon their problems. Scientific statements by definition are falsifiable and open to challenge. Without challenge (testing, and or empirical evidence) and free review they are not scientific. And policies and agreements they support cannot be said to be following "the science". The policies and agreement together with their the scientific advice must be freely reported to the people worldwide without censorship through all media platforms both legacy and new media. Together with this open honest discussion measures must be in place to prevent vested interests of profiteers from undue influence; in particular Pharmaceutical or any other corporations or companies seeking to control the policies and agreement.
Self	Regardless of any health pandemic, endemic or "emergency," all local governments and nations must remain 100% autonomous, never succumbing to any supposed authority the WHO pretends to have over this world. I do not support the WHO. I cannot vote its leaders out of office, if they do things I do not like. The WHO does not represent me or my interests, nor does it represent what's best for my country. I will never get behind any organization over which citizens have zero control. Autonomous nations must make their own decisions in every situation, emergency or not. NO country's authority should ever be given over to the WHO, since we, the people of independent nations, have no control over who funds or leads the organization. There should be zero negative consequences for any nation or government that decides NOT TO listen to or obey the guidance offered by the WHO. Localities must remain able to do whatever they see fit in every situation, even in circumstances labeled as "health emergencies." The Pharmaceutical industry and those who support the "astroturf" nonprofits that promote the use of its products must be eliminated from all forms of government! Many of these nonprofits & NGOs are not really benign organizations or charities at all but have been created to support the interests of the drug companies and their investors. Corporations and those who are trying to use drug companies, NGOs and nonprofits to enrich themselves and increase their control over others must understand we, the average citizens, have awakened to what they're doing. Every person, every nation must reject the idea that the WHO should create and/or then enforce any sort of global policy it decides is warranted.
family residence	Regardless of the country of residence, each individual person maintains an unalterable right of personal choice to participate or decline any and all medical procedures or interventions for themselves and their dependents under 21 years of age, or those who may have impaired mental abilities regardless of age.
None	Regardless of the elements included it is imperative to maintain National autonomy. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
People of the Planet Earth	Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services. "We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed.
Canadian Physician	Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. 1. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. Each person, alone or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. 2. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 3. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of ANY and ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences. 5. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to VOTE on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a MAJORITY of the people do not want it. 6. Measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, insurance companies, health care systems, medical device companies, NGOs that use their money to influence health policy in any country as well as any other company, individual or organization that stands to profit from this "international instrument"
I fund the WHO (my taxes form part of the UK government funding to WHO)	Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The right to try - Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilise in order to maintain or restore their health. This is not subject to any other authority. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is (and must remain) the decision of the individual. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict an individual's right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. The rights of nations, states, and provinces cannot be dictated to by the WHO and the individuals within those nations, states and provinces must have the right to choose or refuse any treatment (including vaccination).
citizen	Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services. "We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed.
citizen	Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services. "We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed.
World Citizen	Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services. "We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed.
Self	Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services. "We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed.
citizen	Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups, and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization, and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. No person, no organization, and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education, or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services. "We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed.
World Citizen	Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups, and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization, and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. No person, no organization, and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real-time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services. "We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed.
Shark Tutor Llc	Regardless of what elements the WHO adds to its new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, the most important feature of how the international instrument will be exercised is that national and local leadership shall retain full autonomy with the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities shall have the right to opt out of any part or whole of the instrument without penalties. As the WHO develops its international instrument, the process should be open and transparent for all people of the world to vote on, including the prevention of applying this instrument in places where most of the people do not want it. As the instrument is being developed, it is critical that all pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers are unable to influence the process.
University of Wisconsin-Parkside	Regardless the field of study, sustainability of humanity and our environment has proven most successful when resolution managment is maintained locally. The highest success for any global approach will ONLY be realized in absence of information censorship. We have well documented research across applications of backtracking large scale solutions due to unforseen or ignored consequences. Sharing of information MUST be inclusive and global. Response to crisis MUST be informed and local.

Organization	Written submission
none	Regional and Local Solutions. Healthcare of any kind is not a one size fits all scenario. If anything has demonstrated this, the COVID 19 pandemic and preparedness mandates, suggestions, estimations, etc. were wrong on so many counts. Lockdowns didn't work. Vaccines seemed to work for some, failed others, and were deadly or harmful for many. Masks and social distancing changed rules daily. Sweden with no lockdowns had better per capita outcomes than fully and over-vaccinated Israel did. Harming livelihoods,PCR tests that (on page 40 of the FDA EUA clearly states) were not calibrated to covid- 19 and estimations of deaths were all wrong. Preparedness for a global pandemic needs to happen regionally, as needed, when needed for different populations and different locales. One size fits all clearly has shown itself not to work.
USA	Relating to WHO's pandemic treaty - decisions MUST be made by individuals and individual countries. It's not 1-size fits all!! National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
none	Remember the Nürnberg Codex!!! Our Body our choiche, we are human and we dont need groups, organisation etc to tell us what to do!!!!
uk	Remember to allow people freedoms and choice to opt out of vaccination and freedom for own bodily decisions for data and vaccinations freedoms currently implemented by our currents laws
Nimble Fox Wellness	Remove toxins from food and water, such as glyphosate, fluoride, parabens, seed oils, etc. improve public promotion and motivation to get outside, breathe fresh air, moderate daily exercise, increase natural endogenous production of vitamin D. Increase the publics ability to improve personal hygiene and to take care of their immune system by not bogging it down with environmental toxins. Also improve education around all personal health, including immune system, circulatory regulation, and even sex education, etc. DO NOT force vaccinations or any other "one size fits all" medical procedure. DO NOT force populations of people into enclosed "concentration" "quarantine" camps, or even force them to stay home or wear things on their face. DO encourage sick people to stay home, get fresh air, sunlight, clean water, and nutrient dense food daily though.
None	Removing National and local authority is very unwise. These jurisdictions must remain nimble and able at all times to make their own decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must always be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any potential agreement as they see fit, without consequence. All people of the world need to have an open and transparent process of voting and the ability to include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. If there is a global agreement, measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Removing the power of each individual sovereign nation to make decisions and take actions they deem necessary to the health and well-being of their population in the event of a pandemic is dangerous and utterly unacceptable. As demonstrated by the last event (covid) and how poorly it was handled (lockdowns and masking, as advocated by the WHO, are now known to NOT be beneficial in helping prevent the spread of infection and in fact, actually exacerbated it) I therefore strongly disagree with any attempt on the part of the WHO to operate in a superior or centralized capacity over the health care decisions of any nation. I believe you will also find that to be the position of the overwhelming majority of Americans, as well as the citizens of virtually any country. Thank you!
Self	Request the following 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. A WHO recommendation shall never conflict and shall never supersede an individuals right of full sovereignty over their body.
None	request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and a free will. Noone should be forced to get any vaccine especially when it's still on trial. No emergency use without testing it for several years to make sure there are no adverse reactions.
n/a	Request the inclusion of guarantees on: - state sovereignty and - individual sovereignty, - respect for human rights, - legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
Farmer	Request: All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights The centralization of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. The WHO shall: -not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states should agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO, in the public interest. -immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest individual and national sovereignty. - agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of the guidance The final decision in a truly democratic process, should be made by the people rather than the Intergovernmental Negotiating Body which may be widely perceived as biased and usurp individual rights.
none	Require inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
University of Kentucky	Requirements for any agreement: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	Requirements: National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit shall remain, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including safe-guard measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a portion of the people do not want it. Measures must not not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies, corporations, or other global health profiteers. All relevant decision driving data shall be accessible to the public in a timely manner before decisions and before measures are enacted.
Whc	Reserve the right for individuals and local municipalities to make decisions on their own healthcare policies. Preserve autonomy. A global approach would be dangerous and negligent.
None	Respect all individual liberty and sovereignty. Individual human rights must be upheld at all times. The individual can be advised but never coerced into action.
Human	Respect for constitution of member countries, Civil Rights Autonomy, Freedom of Choice Responsibility of desicion makers and transparency
none	Respect for each culture's and society's way of understanding and providing health to its people, developed and proving the test of time for generations. No monoculture approach.
None	Respect for human rights and especially doctors' right and duty to apply their hippocratic oath. Legal liability for pharmaceutical companies.
Non active physician	Respect for individual decisions on personal risk/safety assessment Mandates have no place in any public health measure
Citizen	Respect for the human rights declaration Each state should make own decisions for their citizens
None	Respect for the human rights of every individual liability of WHO, governments and pharmaceutical companies must be granted
People of Earth	Respect national and personal sovereignty, garner scientific advice from a broad spectrum of disciplines and viewpoints. Beware of eroding liberty in the name of keeping people safe
Azl	Respect of the Nuremberg code Everybody can choose the way of keeping himself in good healt Respect of the integrity of the body for everyone
Non-violent communication	Respect please natural law and civil rights pillars like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. This treaty, global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The agreement is already planned without any input from the people! If we Westerners are waging war for democracy, I expect democracy as a basis of your actions and decisions. Thank You for your honest rethinking about this and withdrawal. P. Struycken
Citizen	Respect the Nuremberg Code, the principle of fully informed consent. Respect the Hippocratic oath and: First, Do no harm. Respect Human rights. Respect National Souvereignty. Do not put money paying you over people.
Church of Wales	Respect the right of all religious people to be exempt from pandemic vaccines and treatment. Respect everyone's right to choose as we answer to God and not the state or WHO.
Private citizen	Respect the rights of all human to chose medical procedures.Respect religious freedom all over the world
private	Respected Ladies and Gentlemen, Generally mandatory vaccines should be forbidden in any cases! Furthermore I have listed some more arguments: · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Yours sincerely, Margit Franz
SPC	Respected members of INB, In my opinion it is critical to include every nation's ombudsman as a substantive element, because of the utter need to maintain human rights in every aspect of social services in medicine and health care especially! Individual rights must be of primary concern. Thus every nation's government must be able to act freely with an option to implement WHO'S recommendations keeping it's sovereignty.
R.S Nature Cure Clinic	Respected sir/madam Myself Dr. Kamal and I am practising naturopathy and yoga from last many years and I am BNYS graduate and also done PGDNYS. I am treating patients with naturopathy and according to naturopathy protocols of treatment whenever a patient come across the following symptoms like fever, vomit, loose motions, skin eruptions, cold, cough etc etc it shows the good sign as these symptoms shows our immune system is active and expelling toxins out from body and we as a naturopath believe synthetic chemical medicines as poison for the body so please as a traditional Indian treatments to cure patients with the help of naturopathy should not be mixed with modern western medicines like allopath. In nutshell Acute diseases are good for body detoxification in order to prevent us from chronic or destructive diseases and disease to be cured naturally and for that I as naturopath will not reccomend any tablet or even vaccines. Please for the God sake look into this. Regards Dr. Kamal Dhawan
my family, friends, neighbours, colleagues and me	Respected WHO reader of this written submission on a new pandemic treaty, Our submission after our experience with the so called sarscov2/covid19 problems and having read the here above WHO reasons for a new treaty, so maybe we 'double': 1. Deletance of the word 'historic'; it's too much self-congratulating, while we need the conciseness. 2. Acceptance by WHO a.o. that people will die from a new deadly virus/bacteria etc. Though of course: research times 3. 3. Acceptance and flexibility in communicdating by WHO a.o. that viruses/etc. will not be as deadly as was unknown in the very first start; in the now: sarscov2/covid19 and making it a category A disease: 4. Use of vaccins/injections after pandemicking: in the now: 'vaccin'ating while in pandemic (we wonder was/is a pandemic gong on then?); 5. Use of probable 'vaccine' after completed science based research instead of it being an experiment: in the now: mRA injection; 6. Openness on all the results when/after using a not yet out-researched injection. 6. Openness for all suggestions on demographics, seasonality, prevention, profylaction, medication, research coming from all healthbound experts/specialists, no discrimination!; Thank you very much and good luck, with kind regards.
Art4Living	Respectfully I ask that this treaty be withdrawn. It undermines countries sovereignty and ability to deal with local situations with local knowledge and unique situations. This treaty is not in any way appropriate for the complexities that can arise.
Self	Respectfully I do not believe the WHO should have the authority or responsibility to determine for the entire Globe was is the best pandemic response. Our LOCAL doctors, nurses, health care professionals know our LOCAL communities best and do not need the authority of an overseeing body such as the WHO. "Once size" does NOT fit all ! All nations need to maintain their sovereignty. I do not believe that any institution should supercede the Constitution of the United States or the governing bodies of nations. Thank you.
Individual	Respectfully I submit my feedback: #1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. #2. The process must allow for the ability of nations and local municipalities to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. #3. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. #4. There needs to be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
USA	Respectfully speaking I believe no country should give up the medical rights or information to any agency… each country should continue to work diligently on handling their crises and then come together to compare. Freedom is God given, this becomes compromised when ONE agency gets to govern all..
Childrens Health Defense	Respectfully submitted Council agreed. Although… All nations should remain free to do what is decided by their own country's leadership and their people. There should not be anyone on said council who may profit from their products during a pandemic. There should be no major WHO funders on this council. A council may bring wisdom but a consensus of procedures must always be council only and always voluntarily by the people and the sovereign nations in which the reside. Thank you, Fran Pecan
Educator	Respectfully submitted: Council yes, but… 1. All countries MUST remain free to determine best course of actions for their own citizens and country. 2. There should absolutely NOT be a member of Council who profits from proposed and utilized products/drugs during a pandemic. This includes immediate family members of the council. 3. No Council member is to have current employment with a company that the Council is proposing to utilize their products/drugs in a pandemic. 4. All recommendations are voluntary and should ALWAYS allow abs encourage OPEN DIALOGUE with no censorship and discrimination. 5. All recommendations are voluntary and should ALWAYS provide ALL data from safety testing that adheres to ALL requirements. Regards DD
Self	Respectfully submitted: Council yes, but… 1. All nation should remain free to do what is decided by their own country's leadership & their people. 2. Their should NOT be anyone on the council who profits from their products during a pandemic. 3. There should not be any of the WHO's major funders on the council. 4. A multitude of council brings wisdom, but a consensus of procedures must always be council only and always be voluntarily by the people & the sovereign nations they live in. Regards, Dawn Ginese, RN
Self	Respectfully submitted: Do not agree with idea of council, but if you insist. 1) All nations must remain free to do what is decided their own country's people and their elected representatives. 2) Their must not be anyone on the council who profits during a pandemic. 3) There must not be any of the WHO's major funders on the council. 4) All council advice must always be voluntarily by the people and the sovereign nations they live in. Kind regards, Gary Burns
Private citizen	Respectfully to WHO staff, I would like my country, state and municipality to have the right to opt out of, and choose how to respond to any future pandemics or other broad public health issues. I do not support the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Thank you, Renee Regis
Individual	Respectfully, any determination by WHO should be guidance only. Member nations should be free to chart their own course with input. There should be absolutely no compliance requirements as to mitigation strategies.
N/A	Respectfully, I am opposed to an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Health care is not a one-size-fits-all solution and each country (which is governed by the citizens who inhabit it) has and should continue to hold the autonomy to make health decisions. As I understand it, the WHO is not comprised of any elected officials, but is a private organization of appointed people who have no jurisdiction over the lives of the citizens of the world.
na	Respectfully, I believe that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local states, and municipalities should be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on rules, measures, etc including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Respectfully, I do not believe there should be any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I do not support nor agree with these efforts at all.
N/A	Respectfully, I do not want the WHO or World Health Organization to have any control over my own health care whether it is a pandemic, global outbreak or any other threat that can effect my health. It is my God-given rights and my rights as a human being to make my own decisions regarding my health. Simply put, I do not care if you want to help me. It is my choice and my choice alone to make that decision, not yours. I will not give you that right. Please look into your own moral compass and ask yourself if stripping the rights away of human beings is really the direction we want to go? Respectfully and regardless of what you choose, you will never have rights to me. Please do not make us fight for them. I have no interest in hurting anyone and I don't want you to hurt me.
Concerned Citizen	Respectfully, I oppose handing over decision making to a body that is NOT in and of the United States of America. Any pandemic response should be: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	Respectfully, please consider my comments below: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Jennifer White
None	Respectfully, please find my comments below: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
self employed	Respectfully, -The rights of the individual to refuse any and every imposition on bodily autonomy should be preserved. -Centralized bio-surveillance should be rejected. -The undue influence of big donors (such as Bill Gates) and pharma are a conflict of interest. -WHO has undermined an effective vaccine and treatments by rejecting Medicago, and failing to support the exploration of COVID early treatment protocols.This demonstrates the counterproductive nature of centralization of Public Health.
n/a Retired RN	Respectfully, -The rights of the individual to refuse any and every imposition on bodily autonomy should be preserved. -Centralized bio-surveillance should be rejected. -The undue influence of big donors (such as Bill Gates) and pharma are a conflict of interest. -WHO has undermined an effective vaccine and treatments by rejecting Medicago, and failing to support the exploration of COVID early treatment protocols.This demonstrates the counterproductive nature of centralization of Public Health. I am a retired registered nurse and have no conflict of interest. Vivian Rose
(none)	Respectfully: it is utterly imperative that "one size fits all", (including to the material benefit of large vested interests) is avoided to avert damaging consequences. National governments (and municipalities within them) must retain their sovereign right to determine their own course of action so they can respond fluidly and flexibly, with creative initiative, to the individual and often highly unpredictable course of a pandemic as it differs from country to country. During the emergence of any new illness, a healthy diversity of approaches can be invaluable so that effective treatments can be assessed, without a priori prejudice, as they emerge in national and clinical practice. Nations and medical communities learn from each other. Notice, as but one of very many examples in the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic ("covid 19"), the inspiring relative successes addressing the current pandemic within Uttar Pradesh using a locally led low-cost multi faceted approach. If nations and doctors are placed in a strait jacket, vital clinical progress and on-the-ground successes, including within a limited budget, can be lost. The proposed pandemic treaty would set a dangerous precedent of narrow over-centralisation - one might say authoritarian - that could stifle effective national, local, and clinical strategies (while favouring large vested interests at potential wide-spread collective expense) thus adding to the net global health burden. I would add that any WHO-based pandemic assessment would stand best on mortality rates that exclude co-morbid conditions (e.g death by heart attack) rather than that being proposed: Oxford University, for example, found a grievous inflation of death rates linked to co-morbidity inclusion.
University of Florida Medicine	Respecting democracy is essential. The national and local leadership, elected by the people, for the people, must remain fully autonomous over their own lives. The world cannot allow a single supra-national governing body to have control over the lives of billions of people. As such, we must not allow any global treaty which takes away the national sovereignty of any nation. Democracy only works when people have control over their own destinies by electing their preferred representatives and passing laws they believe in. We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness. That to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the Consent of the Governed. God gives rights to man, who gives rights to government. Not the other way around.
general public canada	respecting sovereign individual rights is paramount as a sixty five year old male, "i have never consented to a vaccine being introduced to my body" my natural immune system continues to have a perfect record of dealing with foreign viral and influenza attacks the universal truth of "if it isn't broke, don't fix it" applies john o'hara
A.W. Improvements	Respecting the human rights of the individual based on Nuremberg. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy.
Private living woman	Respective countries national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and always required to act with the responsibility to preserve personal bodily autonomy as the foremost concern in decision making. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	Respekt people, humanity, human rights and the free will of each individual to decide what to do with his own body and health. Free choice of vaccination. no medical Experiments on humans as decided on the Nürnberg trials after WorldWar2. No centralized data collection of each human being. Respect datasecret and privacy of everybody.
Eutof	Respekt von allen für alle. Respekt auch für die Menschen, die keine Maske tragen können. Maske nur freiwillig. Keine Diskriminierung durch Zutritts - oder Nutzungsverbote für Menschen, die die Maske nicht vertragen. Eigenverantwortung zulassen und anerkennen. Das Menschenrecht auf bestmögliche körperliche und psychische Gesundheit anerkennen. Natürliche Gesundheit und das Bestreben danach auch ohne Impfung (es gibt 47 messbare Vitalstoffe) anerkennen.
N/a	Response should be proportionate to threat. Overreaction causes long term negative consequences. Fear tactics result in either extreme opposition or hysterical obedience to sound advice. Clarity and honesty is paramount
Self	Response to a pandemic For the USA should not be decided outside of Our country. I believe that treaties with the WHO that remove power for the USA to make health decisions is dangerous. This threatens sovereignty of the USA and I strongly disagree with a legally binding contract with the WHO that removes power from the USA for health decisions.
n/a	Response to a pandemic: we have learned from this (covid-19) pandemic, that jumping to all kinds of drastic measurements (i.e. lock-downs!!) were non-sensical and harmful. What is important is to establish, in a calm and intelligent manner without the media and political influence and propaganda , how serious this new disease really is. It is not hard to establish the seriousness of a disease when it is done professionally: we have the proper protocols in place. One of the many things that covid-19 showed us, is that our own immunity system is very capable to weather diseases. It is important for the population to strengthen their immune systems, inform the public on how to help strengthen their immune system. The people must be made aware that they themselves are responsible to take care of their health issues. And by all means: drugs müst be available to help fight the disease. As we experienced with covid-19, the public was prohibited from taking effective and safe drugs!! This is incomprehensible and completely unacceptable. The pharmaceutical industry was given all the power to decide on the way to treat covid-19. Politicians and the media danced to the tunes of the pharmaceutical industry. This should never happen again. The proper experts must in charge of establishing the safety and effectiveness of drugs or vaccines that the pharmaceutical industry pushes on the population. Protocols are in place to establish the safety and effectiveness. They must be adhered to.
Private	Response to any health issue should be governed by the local governments and people and not be dictated by the WHO. So called health threats should be treated completely transparently and not by using censorship of dissenting views

Organization	Written submission
Local Education	Response to international pandemic situations should only be informational and not binding. Transparency and honest communication between nations is the key to preparedness by individual nations.
general public	Responses should be unique and agreed by communities and individuals and not a "one size fits all approach". This means a devolved approach for local communities where the risk can be assessed through the sharing and transparency of data and data sources, not based on governments,organisations and corporate interests.
Member of public	Responses to any pandemic emergency should remain sovereign. Every population and situation is different and a uniform response does not work - one size does not fit all! Scientific experts from all sides of the narrative should be involved in decisions and they should be independent and have no conflicting ties to pharmaceutical companies or vested interests in any proposed treatment plans. Early treatment is essential in all illness and we should be looking to use repurposed drugs in the first instance and not waiting for new drugs or vaccines to be developed. Early treatment protocols of repurposed drugs which have shown to be effective in clinical settings need to be shared and used and not held back until full randomised trials are carried out.
Individual	Responses to emergencies, including pandemics MUST be made at the national level, and adjusted locally. Severity and effect of the emergency varies dramatically by local and cannot be properly addressed by global governance. National rights cannot be subject to global dictates. Leave the decisions to the local officials who can react quickly and address the specific needs of each local. No corporations should be allowed input into any such decisions.
None	Responses to health emergencies cannot be a one size fits all strategy. There should always be an option on the table to opt out any strategy the WHO are recommending based on scientific discussion and evidence. A country should not lose it's autonomy and the people of the country should always be involved with such discussions.
US Citizen	Responses to pandemics should be left up to individual countries and their citizens. Giving Who authority over the health, safety and welfare of the American people will be disastrous. All health decisions to include responses to pandemics need to stay local as the appropriate response is not a one size fits all approach. I vehemently object to the US entering this treaty and giving WHO power and authority over the American people. Do not agree to be a part of this treaty.
NA	retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Patriot Owned Businesses	Retaining individual sovereignty is extremely important even as countries face unprecedented trials. Our US Constitution must continue to be upheld as our highest authority; therefore, I do not support proceeding with this pandemic treaty for the best interest of all world citizens.
Unaffiliated 'Member of the Public'	Revert to pre 2020 definitions of vaccines & applications together with plans for dealing with pandemics. Undertake a comprehensive investigation & audit of WHO to include sources of funding & any associated risks of conflicts of interest, likely to result in possible corruption in governance, especially as concerns sourcing & placement of governors & appointment of advisors - ensuring that any such appointments are deserved on merit & concentrate on proven successful practising medics & medical academics. Abide by & respect the rights of each & every individual, honouring established protections against abuses by monopolistic totalitarian influence. Stop the present cruel & unnecessary experimentation now being inflicted upon mankind & concede that mass administration of a one stop medication worldwide is totally inappropriate, in any circumstances. Undertake exhaustive testing as to safety & efficacy of any proposals together with collection of fully inclusive data sets, rigorously interrogated, with prompt & timely full publication. Ensure open & transparent debate of proposals recommended by WHO & fully respect the premise of true democracy, making adequate compensation readily available to victims of the mistakes/fraud perpetrated - especially those of pharmaceutical industry. In conclusion honour the maxim 'Do No Harm' - without exception.
Personal	Review the existing data on the effectiveness of lockdowns taking into account countries such as Sweden who opted against lockdowns and seen better results than nations who opted for lockdowns. Take into account other factors such as mental health, economic impacts at grassroots level and prevention of educational opportunities when considering lockdowns to combat a pandemic. Release available data on efficacy and risks associated with vaccines. Allow personal medical choice and eliminate mandates.
Common Ground	Rewriting the definition of a pandemic lowered the bar. This redefinition opened the doors to unnecessary fear and the accompanying over reaction by health authorities and the public. We strongly recommend reverting back to the previous clearer definition of a pandemic which included that most important third distinction that was eliminated, thus charging the word itself with a pandemic of unnecessary panic. A true pandemic is not only a novel disease available in multiple countries, BUT also causes a percentage of death far higher than the rate of influenza. Unneeded damage and debt flowed from the unwitting overreach of governments due to weakening the definition of a pandemic making it so broad that almost any variant of flu would qualify. This damaged mental and physical well-being, tearing the fabric of society. It only benefited companies selling pharmaceuticals, vaccines and related products or services. The UN has lost credibility over this over-hyped epidemic declared pandemic. This was a medical disaster against common sense where debate was censored. My uncle was the immunization officer for the Port of Vancouver and participated at the UN, he would be turning over in his grave from the fact that marketing forces have taken too much influence over WHO. The deceit increased dramatically when Bill Gate's friend from GAVI took top position at the WHO. In order to reclaim the honour of the World Health Organization he must resign or be fired.
Personale	richiedere l'inclusione di garanzie sulla sovranità statale e individuale, sul rispetto dei diritti umani, sulla responsabilità legale per i funzionari governativi e/o le aziende farmaceutiche e tutte le altre cose che gli enti governativi
RVM ltd	Ridiculous suggestion given the total failure of the WHO during covid 19 . WHO must be disbanded and the leadership should be jailed for human rights abuse.
Privat	Right to decide about my Health and treatment, Autonomie Transparenz, humanright
Nessuna	Ritengo necessario introdurre nuovamente la libertà di scelta nei trattamenti sanitari e non vincolare queste scelte al mantenimento del lavoro e della vita sociale, ricreativa e sportiva. Ritengo necessario eliminare le mascherine. Ritengo necessario non ledere nuovamente i diritti umani delle persone. Ritengo che questo scempio abbia fine. Ritengo che tutti coloro che legiferando hanno commesso reati contro i diritti umani chiedano pubblicamente scusa. Ritengo che gli stati debbano pagate per tutti gli effetti avversi riscontrati. Vi ricordo che sono morti giovani sani dopo le vaccinazioni.
None	Rr: the Pandemic Treaty; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
KMED	Rule of law in all countries shall be fully respected, and any attempt to replace or override existing law with a "consensus process" through the treaty shall be prohibited. This consensus process usually pushes a predetermined outcome contrary to the people's wishes, usually through the undue influence of "stakeholders" pre-selected for favoring the predetermined outcome. This can not be permitted. Also, FULL autonomy for Local Control must be respected - All national and local authorities must be able to opt out of all or any portion of directives and be held for these decisions. The treaty must NOT allow influence by any pharmaceutical companies or medical organizations or services which profit from the delivery of the services and/or agreements. The process must be open and transparent. ALL people should be able to vote on the measures, especially to insure that global agreements will not be applied where a majority object.
Wolne Wybory	Rules which must be ALWAYS followed: 1. Individuals'right to choose a method of prevention infection as opposed to forcing any drug/vaccine into a human being's body. 2. Patients' fundamental right to refuse consent to a medical procedure as opposed to organized dictatorship 3. The right to obtaining full information on a given subject as opposed to censorship. 4. Going back to the old, strict and precise definition of a 'pandemic' (the one before the last change) as opposed to the vague and too broad one. Thank You!
Kaplan Hospital	S
Privat	S.g. Damen und Herren, Wir fordern Garantien für die Souveränität eines jeden Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Eine zentrale Bevormundung lehnen wir vehement ab. M.f.G. Heike Luft
UK Citizen	sa
Człowiek	Są to instrumenty naturalne czyli nie izolowanie wszystkich i nie zamykanie w domach tylko nabycie odporności stadnej przez kontakt, uzupełnianie niedoboru witamin, poprawny oddech itp. Oraz usunięcie wszelakich fal elektromagnetycznych np. internet, TV, zakazanie dostaw chemicznej żywności!
Private Citizen	Sad to read that the World Health Organisation is only willing to honour & validate all written submissions that AGREE with their ONE NARRATIVE. That certainly doesn't compute to a democratic, free thinking & free speech rhetoric, that was surely the basis of its original! Fast becoming an Agency that is under the control of big Corporations, Conglomerates & elites who wish to decimate & disband the individual person's inherent human rights & freedoms. An Agency that has unelected members/officials who were not voted for/elected by a sovereign country's citizens, deeming to rule over citizens & control citizens worldwide. No independent sovereign state should sign any Treaty that over rules their own country's laws/guidelines. This WHO Agency have made catastrophic errors in their decision making & guidelines regarding the response to this recent declared pandemic of the past 2 years. Changing the terminology & meaning of language used previously to ascertain the desired response & manipulation of the world population was & still is abhorrent. To deem to over ride an individual's free thinking & decision making about their own lifestyle choices including body autonomy is against ALL Human Rights Charters & Acts. An individual's Human Rights & Freedoms are paramount & not for any Govt or Agency to override.
Latvia	Šāds instruments nav vajadzīgs brīvam,neatkarīgām, nacionālām valstīm. Mēs paši iedzīvotāji un tauta esam spējīgi lemt par mums labāko variantu pandēmijas apkarošanā ja tas būs nepieciešams. Esmu pilnīgi pret, arī mana tuvāko un tālāko draugu loks neatbalsta šādus grozījumus. Tādā turpiniet sapņot, veseli, adekvāti domājoši cilvēki to nekad nepieļaus.
NA	Safe product cannot be produced within 3months period. Disagree with proposed changes
Individual	Safeguards need to be enshrined to protect the individual's liberty to be bodily autonomy and freedom from any form of coercion to any medical intervention. A treaty for the global coordination is dangerous to direct democracy and holding those in power accountable for their actions.
USA Citizen	Said "NO" to the WHO treaty on Pandemics
None	Saludos, soy una ciudadana costarricense y veo con mucha preocupación como a raíz de la emergencia sanitaria provocada por covid 19, se han vulnerado sistemáticamente los derechos humanos, por lo que, respetuosamente señalo como primordial tomar en cuenta lo siguiente ante eventuales emergencias: 1) Mantener la consigna de que incluso en emergencias, el cuerpo humano debe ser inviolable y nadie debe pretender ejercer ningún control sobre el cuerpo de otra persona sin su consentimiento ni tampoco ejercer sanción alguna en contra de quienes no se someten a dicho control. En pocas palabras, que incluso en emergencias sanitarias, se respeten los acuerdos firmados en la declaración universal de bioética y derechos humanos. Estos derechos nunca, bajo ninguna circunstancia deben ser violados, estos derechos deben ser inalienables . 2) Los contratos deben ser totalmente expuestos para el conocimiento y escrutinio público, así como la trazabilidad de todas las ganancias generadas. Los contratos, incluso cuando al ser divulgados puedan provocar mayor rechazo que la emergencia misma, deben con más razón ser expuestos, o sea, bajo ninguna circunstancia un contrato que involucre los fondos públicos puede ser confidencial en ninguna de sus partes 3) Dar prioridad a tratamientos menos invasivos y más naturales, por lo que los debates al respecto, deben ser promovidos y no censurados. 4) Que los gobiernos no usen fondos públicos para pagar tratamientos que no cuentan con garantías. 5) Generar mecanismos de respuestas y defensas rápidas para quienes denuncian a sus gobiernos por violaciones de derechos humanos.
Peru	Saludos. Pido a la OMS, por favor NO PERTCIPAR en las politicas de los paises, así como tampoco disponer medidas obligatorias, pues cada nación es libre y soberana para decidir sus propios planteamientos en salud. La OMS no debe interferir ni dictar leyes que restringan la libertad. Gracias
USA	Sample letter: I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
travel company	Sart to be again an organization for the people and their health, not some dubios companies, the constitutional law of every souvereign state has to be respected as well a the human right. The last and only authority should be the people of the state who decide if and what kind of measures have to be taken. The last 2 years did show, that all these rights were ignored by governments and also multinational organization like the WHO. To be honest it doesn't require a international instrument at all. Many of the recommendations and decisions made by the WHO during this so called pandemic appeared as wrong and discrimanted billions of human beings in many ways and did much more harm to the people than good. It is maybe easy for you to give one guidline to deal with a problem, but there are so many possibilties to solve such issues and it is essential to trust in the people can find their way out much better, than some so called expert, far off the situation in a country, a city, a company or a family. Stop that programm please, lead only by some billionaires with some equivocal intrests and start a new one where all people are involved and informed from the beginning.
no	säulen des naturrechts und der bürgerrechte wie autonomie , transparenz und freiheit der wahlen
Privat	Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl sind unantastbar . Behandlung und Umgang mit gesundheitlicher Gefährdung darf und muss eine Individuelle Entscheidung bleiben.
EU-Bürger, Germany	Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl sollten hier enthalten sein.
Privat	Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl Sollten in dem Pandemievertrag stehen! Mit freundlichen Grüßen
-	Säulen des naturrechts und des Bürgerrechts wie der Autonomie, tranzparenz und Freiheit der Wahl
Menschenrechte	Säulen des Naturrechts Bürgerrechte Wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl
Self	Say like I, Gina, affirm and declare, the following is demanded and the right to self-determination and sovereign respected and not violated the following shall remain: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. All Rights Reserved.
n/a	Say NO to any legally binding global pandemic treaty! US citizens do NOT want their individual power and rights taken away, overruled by any international agency! All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO does not have power over our health decisions at present, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. DO NOT ALLOW any action that would bind the USA to a global pandemic treaty!!
None	Say no to global pandemic authority
who no	say no to the who
MEM	Saya dengan ini membantah mana-mana menteri dalam Malaysia menandatangani bagi pihak diri saya Dan rakyat Malaysia
none	Science ALL science must be observed and considered - Medical practitioners and scientist that have a differing information and research cannot be censored. The proof of the existence of viruses and contagion must be established and proven and demonstrated (without any doubts), which at this point, it's not the case
Private	Science is more than one opinion. Health is holistic, not only a surgical point. You have failed in both those areas 1. Did not allow any other opinion and forced yours. 2. Ignored the damage your restrictions have on people - masks and isolations. This is for sure not health considering. I disagree to let you take worlds decisions
professor	Science only moves forward with experiment - the diversity of response to COVID19 is the ONLY thing that is helping us understand "what works" and "what doesn't work." Each locale acts as a natural experiment. Further, there is no reason that the best response to pandemics will be generic across ethnicities, climates, and diets. Local knowledge is sacred and must be encouraged to help inform the world. WHO should insist on LOCAL , regional, autonomy: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Pharmaceutical companies and policymakers need conflict of interest transparency, and profits limited.
uanotau GmbH	Science, Transparency, Informed Consent, direct Democratic Mandate from people not from Governments or private Institutions.
Private	Scrap all these unneccessary nonsensical restrictions. It is unfounded, rediculous and inconclusive. Give us the TRUTH.
100 GREEN ISLAND RD	SCRAP THE WHOLE THING!!!!!
Self	Scrap this. You have done enough damage to society.
Državljan Slovenije	Se ne strinjam s predlaganim, saj WHO ne more in ne sme biti nad zakoni in ustavo neke države. Sploh, ker je že v preteklosti dokazano služila globalnim interesom posameznikov in ne zdravju in interesu malih ljudi. Država kot posameznik je dolžna poskrbeti za dobrobit svojih prebivalcev, saj plačujejo davke in vsa vrsta zdr. zavarovanj. Nobena druga institucija, sploh pa neka organizacija ne sme posegati v pravice, ki so podane s strani države kjer živimo.
privat	Sear Sir or Madam, these terms should be included in the contract in all cases: - the sovereignty of the state and the indivual must be guaranteed - respect for human rights is paramount - legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies must be compulsorily realized - full Compliance with the 'Nuremberg Code'. With kind regards, Bettina Dietz
Private citizen	See below for my input: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for you time.
Citizen	See below: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
none	Seeing how the WHO and the US health authorities failed in the outbreak of COVID-19, the best plan of action to prepare for future pandemics would be to reduce the influence of both parties. The WHO should be held liable for all the deaths caused by first-treatment-ventilators due to their announcement in March 2020 that hospitals would need more ventilators. Everything the US health authorities suggested regarding COVID for the last 2 years has been false or intentionally harmful. Let each country control its own pandemic preparedness. If you really are interested in the health of the people, keep the WHO, the CDC, and the NIH far away.
Ciudadana madre española	seek the commitment of governments that still don't have any alternative to compulsory face-to-face education to implement telematic education, homeschooling... that doesn't prohibit or penalize self-care in families with school children as a crime
keiner	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren Grundsätzlich bin ich gegen den Pandemievertrag denn ein mündiger Bürger ist durchaus selbst imstande für seine Gesundheit zu sorgen. Bei einem Internationalen Vertrag darf eine Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen auf gar keinen Fall fehlen. Ebenso wenig die Achtung der Menschenrechte. Die Zeiten der Pandemie haben uns gezeigt das in einen neuen Vertrag wie dem Ihren auch unbedingt eine gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen enthalten sein muss. Sie sollten die einfache Tatsache nicht übersehen das man mit einer Impfung nur etwas erreicht, wenn man vor Ausbruch einer Krankheit impft nicht während dessen. Außerdem muss eine Impfung eine Krankheit verhindern und auch eine Weiterverbreitung verhindern. Hat zu Zeiten von Corona nicht geklappt wie wir wissen deshalb bin ich sehr skeptisch wenn jemand sagt bei der nächsten Pandemie werden die Impfstoffe noch schneller zur Verfügung stehen. Deshalb gehört es unbedingt schriftlich festgelegt das nur wirklich gut erprobte und nachweislich wirksame Arzneimittel verordnet werden dürfen. Ich hoffe Ihnen mit meinen Vorschlägen gedient zu haben und verbleibe Hochachtungsvoll Pfaff Hermine
Privatperson	Sehr geehrte Damen u. Herren, um Pharma- Korruption und einen nicht zu unterschätzenden Einfluss durch Lobbyismus zu verhindern, ist es Elementar in ihrem Pandemievertrag Entscheidungen mit einem/er Stimmberechtigten jedes mit Unterschrieben National -staates, ein Gremium zu bilden. In dem mit Transparents entschieden wird. Für die Völker und ihre Zukunft. Mit freundlichen Grüssen S.Schneider
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren Ich bin GEGEN Regelungen durch die WHO und halte nationale Regelungen für ausreichend. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Eckhard Kamenzky
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren Bitte halten Sie sich an Ihre eigenen hohen ethischen Richtlinien, die Sie in Ihren Statuten erwähnen. Nürnberger Kodex, Genfer Konvention und der Eid des Hippocrates sollten unsere Massgabe sein. Bleiben Sie Mensch und setzen Sie sich für eine bessere Zukunft für ALLE Menschen ein. Gruß Micha
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren In dem Vertrag sollte drinstehen: 1. Das Wohl des eigenen Landes steht im Vordergrund und danach muss im Endeffekt entschieden werden - die freie Wahl muss erhalten bleiben. 2. Ein Austritt ohne Konsequenzen muss jederzeit möglich werden. 3. Es darf keinen Einfluss der Pharmaindustrie oder anderer Lobbyisten auf die Entscheidungen geben. Vertreter oder Profitierende dürfen nicht in den Entscheidungsgremien sitzen. Mit freundlichen Grüßen J. Eichhofer
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren der Who, Ich fordere Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen ein. Sowie die Selbstbestimmtheit des Menschen zu respektieren und nicht die Selbstverantwortung zu vergessen. Die letzten zwei Jahre haben eindeutig gezeigt dass nicht viele Entscheidungen die getroffen wurden richtig waren und viele Maßnahmen nicht notwendig gewesen wären! Vor allem die Kinder waren sehr leid tragend und mussten extreme psychische Belastungen ertragen. Von den Folgeschäden ganz zu schweigen! Ich fordere eine sofortige Beendigung jeglicher Überlegungen dieses Pandemie Vertrages!! Es ist absolut nicht menschenwürdig solche Entscheidungen ohne Einwilligung jedes einzelnen zu treffen!! Jeder sollte Herr über seinen eigenen Körper sein!! Mit freundlichen Grüßen Sabine Kropf
Privatperson	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren der WHO, meine Stimme setze ich dafür ein, dass jedes Land in der EU weiterhin seine Eigenständigkeit, Rechte, Gerichtsbarkeit Gesetze, Freiheit und Souveränität behält. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Udo Müller
Freiheit, Demokratie und Menschenrechte	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren der WHO, die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl sollten in jedem Fall in dem Abkommen einfließen. Bleiben Sie loyal und ehrlich gegenüber den Regierungen und Menschen, denen Sie dienen. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Klaus Plickert
No	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren Google wird das Übersetzen . Jede Entscheidung über Gesundheit liegt in der Hand des Einzelnen. Die Entscheidung in die Hand der WHO zu lesen ist dezentral und daher dient sie nur machthungrigen Systemen. Keine Entscheidung der WHO Jedes Land für sich kann das besser denn es kennt seine Menschen und sein Gebiet besser. Beste Grüße
Keine	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren in den Gremien der WHO Ich bin mit Ihrem neuen Pandemieplan nicht einverstanden. Mit freundlichen Grüssen Beatrice Wipfli
Aufrecht Schweiz (Verein)	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren Es ist für uns nicht hinnehmbar, dass ein internationaler Vertrag zwischen Staaten (Schweiz) und der WHO, der WHO das Recht einräumen soll unter Vorgabe einer Pandemie oder anderer "Notstände" den Staaten verbindliche Anordnungen zu machen über Massnahmen und Verhalten, welche sie umzusetzen haben. Dies stellt eine beispiellose Machtkonzentration dar, welche von den Menschen nicht akzeptiert werden wird und zu Tumulten führen wird. Dies haben bereits die Corona-Massnahmen gezeigt, wofür wohl noch die Staaten verantwortlich waren, jedoch bereits mittels Verträgen und «Empfehlungen» der WHO in die Staaten gelangten. Es ist festzuhalten, dass Menschen sich irren können. Zu gefährlich ist es, wenn eine Instanz global entscheiden kann. Gibt es unterschiedliche Entscheide in verschiedenen Staaten, kann von einander gelernt werden. Ein letzter, jedoch sehr wichtiger Punkt: wir sehen woher die WHO finanziert wird. Es stehen zu viele wirtschaftliche Interessen dahinter. Wir vertrauen der WHO nicht, dass sie im besten Interesse der Menschheit entscheiden würde. Freundliche Grüsse Patrick Jetzer Präsiden Aufrecht Schweiz
Deutschland	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren Ich bin der Meinung daß wir in Deutschland genügend Möglichkeiten haben um selber und souverän auf einen Virus angemessen zu reagieren. Somit brauchen wir keine übergeordnete Organisation. Ich bin gegen die Ansammlung von Privaten Daten und habe Sorge mich nicht mehr frei Bewegen zu dürfen als gesunder Mensch. Ich will und kann selbe rentscheiden was gut und wichtig für mich ist... Ohne Impfung, Masken und Quarantäne. Zudem habe ich kein Vertrauen in eine Organisation welche von der Gates Stiftung oder anderen privaten Geldgeber finanziert wird, da diese von Pandemien profitieren. Dieser Vertrag ist obsolet.... Mit freundlichen Grüßen H. Niese
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren Während der gesamten Covid-19-Pandemie wurden Massnahmen basierend auf Zahlen ergriffen. Zahlen, die sich oftmals als Irrtum entpuppten. Ausserdem definierte die WHO all diejenigen Personen als Covid-Tote, die positiv getestet worden sind – unabhängig davon, ob diese Personen tatsächlich an Covid gestorben sind oder nicht. Dies und viele weitere Ungereimtheiten zeigen die fragwürdige Gesundheitspolitik auf, welche zur andauernden, unberechtigten Panikmacherei geführt hat. Die WHO kann nicht auf einem globalen Niveau jegliche Massnahmen bestimmen, zumal die Ausgangslage in den verschiedenen Mitgliedstaaten nicht unterschiedlicher sein kann Darüber hinaus wird die Bevölkerung um ihre Grundrechte gebracht. Unser Grundgesetz in Deutschland legt klare Gesetze zum Schutz des einzelnen Bürgers ebenso vor, ebenso dem Schutz eines Dritten. Unser GG ist zur Zeit ausgehebelt und überdehnt worden. Das ist rechtswidrig, ebenso ist es rechtswidrig Menschen zu stigmatisieren. Die ergriffenen Maßnahmen seitens Bundesrates in Bezug auf die Covid-19-Pandemie zogen immense Kollateralschäden mit sich. Was passiert, wenn eine externe Instanz zukünftig das Vorgehen der BRD in einer Pandemie bestimmen soll? Dies ist nicht hinzunehmen, fehlt hier doch der Mensch als Individuum. Es fehlt schlicht und einfach das Wohl des Einzelnen auf Recht und Freiheit. Es ist brandgefährlich und verfassungsfeindlich einen Pandemievertrag in dieser Weise zu gestalten. Die vergangenen 2,5 Jahre haben aus gesunden arbeitsfähigen Menschen, kranke, depressive, vereinsamte, selbstmordgefährdete, drogen- und alkoholabhängige Menschen gemacht. Die vergangenen 2,5 Jahre haben aus gesunden Kindern, angstgestörte, labile, depressive,

Organization	Written submission
WHO	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren Anbei mein Betreig zu ihrer geplanten Anhörung . Das von der WHO angestrebte Abkommen für die Menschen ist unnötig und bedroht unsere Souveränität und unveräußerlichen Rechte. Es beinhaltet - Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl. Ich bin absolut gegen die von der WHO geplanten einschränkungen und Eingriffe in unsere freiheitlichen und selbstbestimmenden Bürgerrechte Mit freundlichen Grüßen Alfred Pohl
WHO	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren Anbei mein Betreig zu ihrer geplanten Anhörung . Das von der WHO angestrebte Abkommen für die Menschen ist unnötig und bedroht unsere Souveränität und unveräußerlichen Rechte. Es beinhaltet - Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl. Ich bin absolut gegen die von der WHO geplanten einschränkungen und Eingriffe in unsere freiheitlichen und selbstbestimmenden Bürgerrechte Mit freundlichen Grüßen Alfred Pohl
WHO	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren Anbei mein Betreig zu ihrer geplanten Anhörung . Das von der WHO angestrebte Abkommen für die Menschen ist unnötig und bedroht unsere Souveränität und unveräußerlichen Rechte. Es beinhaltet - Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl. Ich bin absolut gegen die von der WHO geplanten einschränkungen und Eingriffe in unsere freiheitlichen und selbstbestimmenden Bürgerrechte Die WHO ist eine nicht freiheitlich und demokratisch gewählte von Bürgern gewählte Gruppierung die von einer unautorisierzen Gruppe von Ländern erstellt wurde. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Alfred Pohl
Bürger	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren Ich verlange Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. My body my choice Selbstbestimmung , diese beiden Schlagwörter haben eine wichtige Bedeutung , warum nicht folgerichtig auch bei der Frage der Impfung ? Mit freundlichen Grüßen Caroline Neumeister
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren Jeder Staat muss das Recht haben selbst zu entscheiden ob die Empfehlung der WHO für die Bevölkerung umsetzen möchte. Die WHO hat nicht das Recht über die Verfassung der einzelnen Staaten zu entscheiden. Jeder Mensch hat das Recht selbst zu entscheiden ob er z. B. geimpft werden möchte. Die WHO darf Empfehlungen aussprechen nicht erzwingen. Mit freundlichen Grüssen Djoko Nikolic
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Die Nationalstaaten dürfen nicht von der WHO ausgehebelt werden! Ich bin für eine freie Impfentscheidung!
Privat Person	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Die WHO darf sich nicht über die Nationalstaaten stellen! Jeder Mensch hat das Recht auf Unversehrtheit seines Körpers! Hier muss der Nürnberger Kodex zur Anwendung kommen! Ich plädiere für eine freie Impfentscheidung! Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar!
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Wichtig für das Abkommen ist und bleibt, dass das Natur- und Bürgerrecht gewahrt wird, der einzelne Mensch autonom bleibt, seine Freiheit der Wahl behält und Transparenz und Verhältnismäßigkeit herrscht, die jedem Bürger das Nachvollziehen aller Maßnahmen und Schritte durchschaubar macht. Mit freundlichen Grüßen G. E. Stricker
-	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Ich bin srikt gegen die verlängerung der Verordnung (EU) 2021/953 über das digitale COVID-Zertifikat! Die Ausstellung digitaler „Gesundheitszertifikate" ist weder gerechtfertigt, noch sicher (Datenschutz). Einen gesundheitlichen Nutzen solcher Zertifikate gibt es nicht, eine Notwendigkeit dafür ist damit obsolet bzw. war nie gegeben. Eine Knüpfung der persönlichen Freiheiten, wie die Reisefreiheit, an „Gesundheitszertifikate" ist höchst diskriminierend und leitet Entwicklungen ein, die einer humanistisch orientierten Europäischen Union nicht würdig sind. In vielen Ländern wurde der grüne Pass bereits aufgegeben oder sogar verboten. Mit freundlichen Grüße Kerstin Sömenek
Keine	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Ich werde mich kurz fassen. Ich bin gegen einen globalen Pandemie Vertrag. Erstens besteht keine Notwendigkeit und zweitens es gibt viele Mensche die keine Verschwörungstheoretiker sind und sehen, dass so viele Nebenwirkungen und Todesfälle bei der Corona Impfung auftreten. Ich hatte zB. keine Corona obwohl ich mit Erkrankten Kontakt hatte. So ähnlich kann ja auch mit anderen Pandemien der Fall sein. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Gabriela
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Bei solchen Gesetzen muss inhaltlich festgehalten werden, dass Diskriminierungen jedweicher Art verboten sind. In Bezug auf die Gesundheit muss ausserdem das individuelle Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit festgeschrieben werden. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Thomas Ulmer
Bürger	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Das Abkommen hat auf jeden Fall die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl zu beinhalten. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Michaela Weiss
Deutschland	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Das sollte unbedingt enthalten sein: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Freundliche Grüße, Stephan Hoffmann
privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Der Pandemievertrag kann nicht ohne eine breite Diskussion mit den betroffenen Menschen über deren Köpfe hinweg aufgezwungen werden. Dafür sind unbedingt demokratische Prozesse nötig! MfG
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Ich bin für ein selbstbestimmtes Leben und bin davon überzeugt, dass der Nürnberger Kodex nicht verhandelbar ist. Daher darf es niemals zu einer Impfpflicht kommen und niemand darf dazu gezwungen werden, von welcher Organisation auch immer. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Martina Lehner
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Im Sinne jeglicher Menschenrechte ist es wichtig, dass bei neuen Gesetzgebungen, wie auch immer geartete Diskriminierungen (!) definitiv verboten sind. Ganz Essentiell in Sachen Gesundheit, ist dabei das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit! Danke Thomas Ulmer
	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Meines Erachtens sollten Sie beachten:Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Denn alles was Sie bis geleistet haben ist für nichts zu gebrauchen! Aber sicher nicht ein Gesetz das euch allein, das Recht über alle Menschen zu entscheiden gibt! MfG Michaela Traxler
Eu-Bürgerin	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Als wesentliches Element sehe ich die Einhaltung der Grund und Menschenrechte sowie die Möglichkeit für alle Menschen, ihr Leben individuell, selbstbestimmt und befreit von jeglicher digitalen Kontrolle gestalten zu können. Grundsätzlich bietet die Naturheilkunde ein breites Feld an Möglichkeiten und sollte im Interesse der gesamten Weltbevölkerung und Gesundheit mehr als alle pharmazeutischen Produkte gefördert, respektiert und akzeptiert werden. Schluss mit Chemtrails und Geo- Engineering, das für viele verschiedene Erkrankungen verantwortlich ist. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Isolde Hornig
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren! Meiner Meinung nach sollte jeder selber entscheiden können, wie man sich bei einer Krankheit bzw. "Pandemie" verhält. Es sollten keine Zwangsimpfungen bestimmt werden, insbesondere, welche noch nicht mal erprobt worden sind. Ich bin strickt gegen der Einführung eines Impfzwanged, sowohl in einem Land als auch auf der ganzen Welt. Bitte um Berücksichtigung! Vielen Dank. Krisztina Sarközi
EGH SHS und privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, besonders für junge Menschen birgt die Impfung gegen Covid-19 u.f. höhere Gesundheitsrisiken als die Infektion. Man konnte sich mit einfachen Mitteln gut schützen. Es sind viele Impfschäden bekannt geworden, in quantitativ größerem Umfang als jede andere Impfung unter Zwang . Die Impfung schützte bestenfalls 3 Monate vor schlimmen Verläufen. Daher muss die Impfung gegen Pandemien freiwillig, verfassungskonform bleiben und der Produzent muss in vollem Umfang haften, nicht der Konsument oder die Krankenkassen. Die Richtlinien dürfen die freiheitlichen Rechte des Individuums nicht grundsätzlich verletzen oder einschränken. Die Bürger müssen über die genaue Zusammensetzung der Stoffe informiert werden. Vielmehr sollte an der Bekämpfung der Entstehung und Verbreitung global gearbeitet und eingewirkt werden. Vielen Dank MfG Carola Stelzner
Familie	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, bitte beim Inhalt des internationalen Instrumentes gegen Pandemien die Freiheit der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und freie Wahl berücksichtigen. MfG D. Schröder
Menschlichkeit	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, bitte halten Sie schädliche Spritzen und schädliche Arzneimittel von uns Menschen fern. Setzen Sie sich bitte für das wirkliche und biologische Wohl von uns Menschen und auch von Ihnen als Mench ein. Ich bin Ihnen sehr dankbar dafür. Ich verstehe schon, dass für die Impfstoffhersteller und Pharmafirmen außerordentliche Umsätze wichtig sind. Es muss aber mal gut sein. Die Anzahl der Impfungen bei Babys unter einem Jahr hat sich ja vor Jahren mehr als verdoppelt. Unter Vollendung des zweiten Lebensjahres sollte bitte nicht geimpft werden, weilu nter einem Alter von zwei Jahren die Nerven noch nicht fertig ausgebildet sind. Ich bitte um eine wirklich menschliche Medizin und dass Sie sich nur für biologisch und menschlich Sinnvolles für uns Menschen einsetzen. Sie schaffen das! Mit freundlichen Grüßen Elfriede Dohrer
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ein Abkommen für die Menschen sollte die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte beinhalten wie -Autonomie -völlige Transparenz und -die Freiheit der Wahl der Gesunderhaltung und Unversehrtheit. Ich bitte Sie dies zu bedenken. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Anja
Privat,	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ein selbstbestimmtes Leben ohne Zwang zu Eingriffen betreffend meiner Gesundheit ist für mich ein Zeichen des menschlichen Lebens. Bitte beenden Sie die Eingriffe in unser Grundgesetz und unsere Verfassung. Ihr Susanne Strauß Berlin 13042022 10:36 am Dear Sir or Madam, a self-determined life without compulsion to intervene in my health is for me a sign of human life. Please stop the interference with our Basic Law and our Constitution. Your Susanne Strauß Berlin 13042022 10:36 am (Übersetzungsprogramm)
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, folgende Gegebenheiten müssen erhalten bleiben: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Herta Bödenler
Keine	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Folgende Punkte sollten in dem neuen globalen WHO-Pandemievertrag enthalten sein: 1. Die Garantie für die Souveränität der Nationalstaaten und des Einzelnen, keine Verpflichtung zu internationalen digitalen Ausweispapieren. 2. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte. 3. Die gesetzliche Haftung der Regierungsbeamten und / oder der Pharma Unternehmen .
Privatperson	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich lehne einen Pandemievertrag über die WHO definitiv ab. Auch lehne ich eine Impfpflicht ab. Ebenso lehne ich jegliche verpflichtende Maßnahmen ab, die meinen Körper betreffen. Ich bin alt genug um wählen zu dürfen, also bin ich auch alt genug über meinen Körper selbst zu entscheiden. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Irmgard Schladt
Deutsche Bürgerin	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich möchte eine weltweite Pandemie Bekämpfung nicht befürworten! Jedes Land sollte seine eigene Entscheidung treffen können und eigenverantwortlich handeln können! Eine globale Lösung ist alleine aufgrund wirtschaftlicher kultureller und gesellschaftlicher Strukturen nicht als sinnvoll zu erachten! Ich möchte als Bürger dieses Landes souverän entscheiden können, wie in einer Pandemie welche Deutschland betrifft zu verfahren ist! Mit freundlichen Grüßen Michaela Kölling
Deutschland	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Ich möchte mich dafür aussprechen, dass die Grundgesetze der Bundesrepublik Deutschland unser höchstes Gut sind und zu keinem Zeitpunkt außer Kraft gesetzt werden dürfen. Es gilt zudem der Nürnberger Kodex der aufgrund seiner historischen Bedeutung höchstes Gebot hat. Bitte hören sie auch die kritischen Stimmen und besinnen sich auf die Wahrheit. Es darf niemals eine Impfpflicht oder einen Impfzwang geben. Es müssen alle Hebel in Bewegung gesetzt werden um eine mögliche Pandemie einzudämmen. In eine Pandemie zu impfen ist aus wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnis nicht zielführend. Git besten Grüßen, K. Heuvelmann
Private person	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, In dem genannten Pandemie Vorsorgeplan sollten folgende Punkte unbedingt enthalten sein: die Garantie der Souveränität der Staaten und des einzelnen Menschen; die Garantie der Einhaltung der Menschenrechte, die gesetzliche Haftung der Regierungsmitglieder und/ oder der Pharmaindustrie. Danke, freundliche Grüße Frauke Giesler
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Meines Erachtens nach sollten Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen zwingend in den Vertrag mitaufgenommen werden. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Xenia Friedrich
Privat Person	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Wir benötigen keine Elemente! Wir sind freie Menschen und eine Organisation die nie "gewählt " worden ist, darf uns nicht bevormunden! Es gab keine Pandemie, alles war politisch gewollt. F.G.
Non	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, wir sind freie Menschen und wollen auch in Zukunft frei darüber entscheiden welche Therapien wir uns angedeihen lassen, Sie haben keine Recht über uns zu verfügen, wir sind selbstbestimmt und mündig !!! Also ein ganz klares NEIN zu Ihren Plänen! Kehren Sie um und gehen den rechten Weg ! nadine
Bürgerin Deutschlands	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Fragen zur Gesundheitsvorsorge ist Angelegenheit der Gesundheitsminister der jeweiligen Staaten. Ein internationaler Pandemievertrag, der von der WHO initiiert wird, greift in die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten ein. Die WHO ist keine staatliche Institution, sie ist auch nicht unabhängig und hat in der jüngsten Vergangenheit schlechte Arbeit geleistet. Das Vertrauen in die WHO ist deshalb stark beschädigt. Eine ehrliche Aufarbeitung der Fehler könnte das Vertrauen wieder herstellen. Verträge mit verpflichtenden Impfungen verletzen die Grundrechte der Menschen auf Leben und körperliche Unversehrtheit. Die WHO hat keine Berechtigung, über Leben und Tod von Menschen zu entscheiden. EIN PANDEMIEABKOMMEN HAT KEINEN NUTZEN!
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Hiermit teile ich Ihnen mit das die Menschenwürde unverletzlich, unantastbar bleiben Muss, weiters darf das Menschenrecht des einzelnen Menschen nicht verletzt werden, genauso muss die Souveränität des Staates, des einzelnen Menschen,das Selbstbestimmung und Menschenrecht als auch die gesetzliche Haftung von ihrer Seite, aller Pharmaunternehmen und aller Regierungchefs gegenüber jedem einzelnen Menschen Garantiert werden, daher sollten Ihre Verträge Nachteile für die Zukunft der Bevölkerung Menschen beinhalten sind diese strikt abzuweisen und zu unterlassen
privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Ich bin GEGEN eine übergeordnete Regelung durch die WHO. Deutsche Regelungen halte ich für ausreichend. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Doris Kamenzky
Private	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Ich möchte Sie höflichst darum bitten von Ihrem Ansinnen uns, die Menschen, zwangszuimpfen, abzusehen. Ich bin eine selbstdenkende Frau und weiß alleine was für meinen Körper gut ist. Da ich mein ganzes Leben lang bis heute unter den Impfungen, die ich im Kindes- und Jugendalter bekam, gelitten habe und noch leide, ist diese Maßnahme für mich nicht vorstellbar, absurd. Ich mache Sie außerdem höflichst darauf aufmerksam dass Sie mit dem Durchsetzen dieser Zwangsimpfung mit einem Impfstoff, der nur eine Notfallzulassung hat und somit ein experimentieller Impfstoff ist, gegen den Nürnberger Kodex verstoßen und sich somit, meinen Nachforschungen nach, strafbar machen. Das kann nicht in Ihrem Sinne sein vor den Nürnberger Prozessen erscheinen zu müssen. Mein NEIN zu dieser Zwangsimpfung haben Sie. Mit freundlichen Grüßen
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, meine Vorschläge sind folgende. Sie beruhen auch aus meinen Erfahrungen als Physiotherapeutin in einem Krankenhaus. 1. Aufklärung der Bevölkerung über eine gesunde Lebensweise. (Ernährung, Sport, Abhärtung), 2. Zusammenarbeit aller Mediziner der Welt, keine Einseitigkeit und die Massnahmen müssen auf fundierte medizinische Studien beruhen. 3. Nur eine Impfpflicht, wenn der Impfstoff erprobt ist und einen Fremdschutz bietet. (Bitte, um Aufhebung der einrichtungsbezogenen Impfpflicht in Deutschland. Risiko-Nutzen?) 4. Ausbau eines guten medizinischen Gesundheitswesens und Einbeziehung naturheilkundlicher Methoden. Danke, dass es die Möglichkeit der Meinungsäußerung gibt. Alles Gute. Herzliche Grüße. Annegret Rüdiger.
private	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, wegen der unbekannten Langzeitfolgen der bekannten Impfstoffe (im Zusammenhang mit Corona) halte ich nichts von einer Zwangsimpfung. Mit freundlichen Grüßen, Michael Hildebrandt
EU Bürger	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, das Abkommen sollte Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie Transparenz und Freiheit beinhalten. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Michael Gajarszky
keine	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten und ihrer Verfassungen muss unbedingt erhalten bleiben. Für eine genauere Darstellung fehlt leider die Zeit. MFG
None	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, die vergangenen Jahre in der Pandemiekekämpfung haben gezeigt, dass die hier in unserer Demokratie verankerten und bisher gelebten unveräußerlichen Grund- , Freiheits- und vor allem Menschenrechte im nicht hinnehmbarer Weise verletzt worden sind. Eine globale Pandemiebekämpfung darf weder über die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen gestellt werden, noch die Menschenrechte verletzen, weswegen ich eine vertragliche Bindung, die genau dies tut, komplett ablehne. Es muss im Einzelfall miteinander und füreinander in der Situation mit Empfehlungen und Strategien gesehen werden, was sinnvoll ist - aber ohne jegliche rechtliche Bindung und somit Aushebelung der Souveränität der Einzelstaaten oder Eingriffs in die tiefste Persönlichkeitssphäre jedes einzelnen Menschen. Was allerdings festgelegt werden sollte und müsste ist die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten sowie Pharmaunternehmen. Es kann nicht sein, dass Verträge geschlossen und Maßnahmen getroffen werden und die Haftung für Schäden ausgenommen bleibt. Das ist in höchstem Maße rechts- und verfassungswidrig und jedem Geschädigten gegenüber unverantwortlich. Ich lehne daher eine zentrale und vertraglich verbindliche Steuerung für künftige Pandemien ab!
none- lawer in own company	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, die vergangenen Jahre in der Pandemiekekämpfung haben gezeigt, dass die hier in unserer Demokratie verankerten und bisher gelebten unveräußerlichen Grund-, Freiheits- und vor allem Menschenrechte im nicht hinnehmbarer Weise verletzt worden sind. Eine globale Pandemiebekämpfung darf weder weder über die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen gestellt werden, noch die Menschenrechte verletzen, weswegen ich eine vertragliche Bindung, die genau dies tut, komplett ablehne. Es muss im Einzelfall miteinander und füreinander in der Situation mit Empfehlungen und Strategien eroiert werden, was sinnvoll ist - aber ohne jegliche rechtliche Bindung und somit Aushebelung der Souveränität der Einzelstaaten oder Eingriffs in die tiefste Persönlichkeitssphäre jedes einzelnen Menschen. Was allerdings festgelegt werden sollte und müsste ist die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten sowie Pharmaunternehmen. Es kann nicht sein, dass Verträge geschlossen und Maßnahmen getroffen werden und die Haftung für Schäden ausgenommen bleibt. Das ist in höchstem Maße rechts- und verfassungswidrig und jedem Geschädigten gegenüber unverantwortlich. Ich lehne daher eine zentrale und vertraglich verbindliche Steuerung für künftige Pandemien ab!
Ambulante Hauskrankenpflege	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, durch die Einführung einer Injektion was immer Sie auch bewirken sollte, gegen die Verbreitung und den Schutz einer SARS-Cov 19 Erkrankung Schützt diese nicht! Sie hat im Familiären Kreis, sowie in meinen mir anvertrauten Menschen zu sehr viel Leid geführt! Die wissenschaftliche Auswertungen der Zulassung sowie Kontrollversuche werden absichtlich verzögert, oder Mitarbeitern des Gesundheitswesen schwer Gemacht bis hin zu Berufseinschränkungen. Dieses ist einer WHO unwürdig! Die Glaubwürdigkeit dieser Organisation ist schwer geschädigt worden. Eine Nötige Transparenz ist nicht gegeben, deshalb kann es nur zu einer Ablehnung ihres Vorhabens kommen. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Hans-Joachim Fischer
Public	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, es ist ein Grundrecht und freie Entscheidung eines jedes Menschen, ob er sich impfen lässt oder nicht. Impfpässe jedweder Art sind abzulehnen. Bitte beschäftigen Sie sich erst einmal mit den Nebenwirkungen der COVID-19 Impfstoffe! Das ist es, was die Gesellschaft von Ihnen erwartet, die Wahrheit zu erfahren über die gewaltigen Schäden, Nebenwirkungen und die vielen Toten infolge der Impfung. Ich finde Ihr Vorgehen absolut inakzeptabel. mit freundlichen Grüßen Astrid Mudra
Private	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich bin inzwischen 65 Jahre alt und habe mein Leben aus eigener Kraft bestritten. Ich verfüge nach wie vor über einen klaren Verstand, der mir die Möglichkeit verschafft, Entscheidungen selbstständig zu treffen. So habe ich für mich entschieden, auf die Gen- Behandlung gegen SARS zu verzichten, die eine erschütternd große Anzahl von Menschen in dauerhafte gesundheitliche Beeinträchtigung gestürzt hat, und auch unter Androhung von Strafe / Zwang von meiner Überzeugung nicht anzuruecken. Bitte respektieren Sie mein Recht auf Selbstbestimmung und verzichten Sie zum Wohle der Menschheit auf jede Form der Impfanordnung, gleich welcher Art. Mit Dank bin ich hochachtungsvoll Angelika Amelung
QiGong teacher	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich bitte Sie, Ihr one-size-fits-all Programm zu überdenken! Gesundheit hängt von vielen Faktoren ab, vor allem von der Konstitution eines Menschen. Die WHO möge bitte verstärkt in die Aufklärung investieren und die Eigenverantwortung des Menschen stärken. mit freundlichen Grüßen Clemens Koch
Keine	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich lehne diesen Gesetzesentwurf ab mit der Begründung, dass die Freiheit des einzelnen Menschen im Vordergrund steht. Jeder Mensch ist in der Lage eigenverantwortlich zu handeln. Dieses Recht der Freiheit darf nicht eingeschränkt oder beschnitten werden, sonst finden wir uns ganz schnell im Mittelalter wieder, wo durch Willkür durch die Staatsorgane gehandelt wurde. Es gab in der Geschichte immer Krankheiten und es wird immer welche geben. Das Recht der Freiheit ist das Recht der Liebe und diese steht an erster Stelle. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Ina Block
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich lehne einen Pandemievertrag über die WHO definitiv ab. Auch lehne ich eine Impfpflicht ab. Ebenso lehne ich jegliche verpflichtende Maßnahmen ab, die meinen Körper betreffen. Ich bin alt genug um wählen zu dürfen, also bin ich auch alt genug über meinen Körper selbst zu entscheiden. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Christina Meininger
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich wünsche dass dieser Vertrag nicht zu Stande kommt! Nach wie vor sollen die Länder die Entscheidungen über Gesundheits relevante Themen bei sich behalten dürfen I say no to this Projekt!!!
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich lehne einen Pandemievertrag über die WHO definitiv ab. Auch lehne ich eine Impfpflicht ab. Ebenso lehne ich jegliche verpflichtende Maßnahmen ab, die meinen Körper betreffen. Ich bin alt genug um wählen zu dürfen, also bin ich auch alt genug über meinen Körper selbst zu entscheiden. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Axel Meininger
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich lehne einen Pandemievertrag über die WHO definitiv ab. Auch lehne ich eine Impfpflicht ab. Ebenso lehne ich jegliche verpflichtende Maßnahmen ab, die meinen Körper betreffen. Ich bin alt genug um wählen zu dürfen, also bin ich auch alt genug über meinen Körper selbst zu entscheiden. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Maren Meininger
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, bitte berücksichtigen Sie bei Ihrer Entscheidung folgende Gedanken: Jedes Land sollte immer frei Entscheiden können. Ein Kollektiv entsteht daraus. Ich bin für eine freie Entscheidungsfindung nach den Genfer Konfessionen und des Nürnberger Codex. Für die Fortsetzung der Gegenwart und der Zukunft mit diesen Grundlegeln. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Peter Lotter
	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, „Welche wesentlichen Elemente sollten Ihrer Meinung nach in ein neues internationales Instrument zur Pandemievorsorge und -bekämpfung aufgenommen werden?" ist keine Frage mehr, ob ich überhaupt ein internationales Instrument zur Pandemieversorgung wünsche. Und nein, ich als staatlich anerkannte Heilerziehungspflegerin lehne solch eine Pandemievorsorge in jedweder Art ab. Die WHO schafft sich mit ihren ständigen Rundumsorglospaketen eine Welt der Ungesunden. Die immer wieder sich ändernden Parameter für : Ab wann ist ein Wert gefährlich, geben zu denken. Menschen sind keine Fließbandproduktion und dürfen keineswegs zu Objekten der willkürlichen Festlegung von Vorsorgen benutzt werden. Klares Nein zum WHO Vorhaben. mfg
EU Bürgerin	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, aufgenommen werden sollte die verpflichtende Anhörung vieler verschiedener Meinungen zu den zu beschließenden Maßnahmen und die Wahrung der Souveränität der Staaten. Die WHO soll als Empfehlungsgeber der Entscheidungsfindung über zu beschließende Maßnahmen dienen, nicht als Entscheider über die Staaten und Parlamente hinweg. Zum Wohle ALLER. ZU niemandes Nachteil. Mit freundlichen Grüßen. Janina Hagendorf

Organization	Written submission
Psychologische Praxis	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, aus meiner Sicht muss das Abkommen unsere demokratischen Grundrechte wahren und schützen. Dazu gehören: - Autonomie - das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit - Freiheit der Wahl - öffentliche Transparenz Mit freundlichen Grüßen Kerstin Brunet
Keine	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Bitte denken Sie daran unsere Bürgerrechtler der Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit einer Wahl, egal zu Welchem Thema für uns aufrecht zu erhalten. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Martina Krause
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Da ich meine Freiheit und Selbstbestimmung behalten möchte: 1) möchte ich Reisen wie bisher in meinem Leben. 2) will ich meine Selbstbestimmung behalten. 3) meine Daten über Gesundheit, Wohlstand, Haus und Grundstück gehen nur mich meine Familie, Bank und das Finanzamt was an, aber bestimmt nicht die WHO. 4) nachdem diese mRNA Therapie nicht wirklich wirkt und man sich trotz Impfung anstecken kann und auch weiter geben kann sehe ich keinen Grund warum die WHO das Bestimmen soll. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Manuela Steiner
Citizen of Germany	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, das Grundgesetz unseres Staates gewährt unveräußerliche Grundrechte, Freiheitsrechte und Menschenrechte. Diese dürfen auf keine Fall per Gesetz oder Verordnung ausgehebelt werden. Auch nicht im Namen der Pandemiebekämpfung. Diese Rechte sind im Rahmen der Maßnahmen gegen Corona in nicht hinnehmbarem Ausmaß eingeschränkt oder sogar missachtet worden. Keine Pandemiemaßnahmen dürfen über die Souveränität eines Staates oder über die Freiheitsrechte des Einzelnen gestellt werden. Eine Vertragliche Bindung die dieses Festlegt, lehne ich ausdrücklich ab. Der Erlass von Maßnahmen hat immer die Grundrechte der Menschen zu beachten und darf aus meiner Sicht nicht pauschal festgelegt werden. Was benötigt wird ist, dass Pharmaunternehmen für ihre Produkt in vollem Umfang haften. Dass sie, sofern ihre Forschung staatlich finanziert wird, mit den Ergebnissen ihrer Forschung keine unbegrenzten Gewinne einstreichen dürfen, sondern mindestens die staatliche Unterstützung zurückzahlen müssen, sobald Gewinne erzielt werden. Maßnahmen zur Pandemiebekämpfung sollten je nach individueller Lage auf demokratischen Entscheidungen der einzelnen souveränen Staaten basieren. Ein Abtreten der Souveränität an Organisationen wie die WHO lehne ich schon aufgrund der Interessenskonflikte ab. Die WHO wird finanziell massiv von Stellen unterstützt, die massive nicht demokratische Eigeninteressen einbringen. Herzliche Grüße Frank Hartmann
Arzt	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, die Frage, ob und wie man Pandemien zukünftig vermeiden kann taucht immer wieder auf. Darauf gibt es nur eine Antwort. Solange die Menschheit das göttliche Bewusstseinsfeld ignoriert, wird keine menschlichen Maßnahme erfolgreich sein. Der Mensch hat in seinem Wahn die lebensnotwendige Vitalenergie zumindest auf der Nordhalbkugel durch technologischen Unsinn auf etwa 10% der Norm reduziert. Da wundert ihr Euch, dass die (gesundheitlichen)Probleme immer mehr zunehmen. Es wäre ein Wunder, wenn es NICHT so wäre. Ich bin mir sicher, daß diese Worte bei Ihnen keine innere Resonanz hervorrufen werden. Aber das ist nicht von Bedeutung. Werden die Naturgesetze von Euch weiterhin ignoriert, dann hat die Menschheit keine 100 Jahre mehr. Und denkt vor allem daran, daß man Geld nicht essen kann. Mit freundlichen Grüßen! Dr. Brathuhn
Angestellter	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, die Übertragung von Kompetenzen an die WHO mit der Folge, dass diese Organisation Durchgriffsrechte in unsere durch das Grundgesetz geschützten unteräußerlichen Rechte erhält lehne ich entschieden ab. Einen Vertrag dieser Natur darf es nicht geben. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Demircan
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, die Würde jedes einzelnen Menschen muss Unantastbar bleiben, Selbstbestimmung, kein Zwang, keine Aushebelung der Grundrechte ! Politiker, Pharma, Lobbyisten, Ärzte, usw, müssen bei Fehlverhalten, zu voller Verantwortung gezogen werden ! Keine Versuche am Menschen ! Jedes Land, muss in jeder Situation einer Pandemie betrachtet werden und danach gehandelt werden ! Neutrale Wissenschaftler, neutrale Ärzte, neutrale Labore und Personen vom Volk, müssen nach Demokratie Bestimmung gehört werden ! WHO und EU hat nicht die alleinige Herrschaft und nicht das Recht für Entscheidungen ! Der Mensch, ist immer als selbstbestimmende Person zu Sehen und zu Achten !
privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, diesem geplanten Vertrag muss ich als Bürgerin entschieden widersprechen. Ich kann diesem nicht zustimmen. Es kann nicht sein, dass eine Organisation, die unter anderem von privaten Firmen finanziert wird, sich herausnimmt, über die Rechte aller Bürger zu bestimmen. Dieses Vorgehen ist höchst fragwürdig und in meinen Augen nicht rechtens. Denn die WHO ist keine gewählte Organisation, nicht vom Bürger gewählt. Die Rechte der Bürger eines Landes so dermaßen einzuschränken, grenzt schon an einer diktatorischen Vorgehensweise. Eine eventuelle Pandemie kann keine Rechtfertigung sein, die Rechte von Ländern und deren Bürgern so stark einzuschränken. Abgesehen von den körperverletzenden Zwangsimpfungen ist dieser Rechtseingriff höchst bedenklich. Mit freundlichen Grüßen, Yvonne Bader-Schutt
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ein Abkommen für Menschen sollte die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl beinhalten.
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ein solch globaler Pandemie-Vertrag, kann nur Eingang erhalten, insofern die Säulen der Naturrechte, Transparenz seitens der WHO, und unter den Bedingungen der Menschenrechte und deren Souveränität eingehalten werden. Hierzu zählen: "eine ehrliche, neutrale, objektive und unabhängige Wissenschaft, die sich nicht einseitig dem Bestreben widmet, eigene Ziele zu verfolgen und Menschen dadurch zu schädigen oder zu diskriminieren, sowie das Unterlassen von Maßnahmen, die Freiheitsrechte der "Menschen" zu beschneiden. Die Natur - und Menschenrecht stehen über allem anderen. Ansonsten ist solch ein Vertrag nichtig und des Menschen nicht würdig. gez. Maria Beißel Köln NRW den. 13.04.2022
EU-Bürger	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ein zukünftiges Instrument zur Pandemievorsorge muss unbedingt Garantien für die Souveränität der Staaten und vor allem der einzelnen Bürger festschreiben. Die Fehler der letzten 2 Jahre dürfen sich nicht wiederholen. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte muss wieder im Mittelpunkt stehen, zu groß waren die Kollateralschäden der verfehlten Pandemiepolitik weltweit. Regierungsbeamte und Pharmaunternehmen müssen die Verantwortung für ihr Handeln künftig übernehmen, diese gesetzliche Haftung soll Bestandteil des Pandemievertrags sein. Vielen Dank.
Andreas J. May	Erstens: Die Definition der sog. Pandemie sollte dringend auf den Stand von vor 2009 zurück geändert werden. Zweitens: Ein "internationales" Instrument kann aufgrund der Komplexität und Unterschiedlichkeit der einzelnen Länder nicht sinnvoll sein. Ein regionales Instrument ist hier zu bevorzugen. Drittens: Ein Abkommen für die m e n s c h e n muss beinhalten: Die Säulen des Naturrechts und der vom Schöpfer/Kreator gegebenen Rechte für uns geistig, sittliche, beseelte Wesen muss beachtet werden! Selbstbestimmtes Leben ohne Zwang muss das höchste Ziel sein! Der Schöpfer allen Sein segne Sie! Alles Gute! Andreas J. May ______________ Ladies and Gentlemen, First: The definition of the so-called pandemic should be urgently changed back to the pre-2009 level. Secondly: An "international" instrument cannot make sense due to the complexity and diversity of the individual countries. A regional instrument is preferable here. Thirdly: A m e n s c h agreement must include: The pillars of natural law and Creator/creator given rights for us spiritual, moral, ensouled beings must be respected! Self-determined life without coercion must be the highest goal!
None	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Finger weg von meiner Freiheit. Es bedarf keines Pandemievertraces. Ganz im Gegenteil ist das absoluter Blödsinn. Keine Region gleicht der anderen. Die Menschen sind unterschiedlich und auch die Zusammensetzung der Bevölkerung gleicht sich nicht. Da kann man nicht irgendwo auf der Welt zentral Entscheidungen treffen. Viele Grüße Erik Mannal
freiheit	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Folgende Elemente sollten enthalten sein: 1. Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen; 2. Achtung der Menschenrechte; 3. Gewährleistung eines offenen wissenschaftlichen Diskurses, insbesondere über Entstehung und Gefährlichkeit einer potentiellen Krankheit, ihrer Teststrategie und ihrer Therapie; 4. Gewährleistung der Freiheit der informationellen Selbstbestimmung, Überprüfung von Informationsmonopolen und möglicher Zensur; 5. Gewährleistung der Transparenz über finanzielle und politische Interessen und Einfluss; insbesondere bei der Entwicklung und Beschaffung von Tests, Medikamenten und Impfstoffen; 6. Garantien für die vor der Covid-Pandemie geltenden regulatorischen Produktstandards. 7. Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und / oder pharmazeutischen Unternehmen. 8. Unabhängige Bewertung / unabhängiger Gutachter jeder Aktion. 9. Derartig hinterfotzig kriminelle Machenschaften zukünftig zu unterlassen! 10. Keine NWO !!!
Allgemeine Öffentlichkeit	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Ich bin für die Rückname der Pandemieleitlinien auf vor April 2009. Wie die offiziellen Zahlen belegen, haben sich innerhalb der letzten beiden Jahren der Corona Pandemie insgesamt ca. 6,3 Prozent der Weltbevölkerung infiziert. Die Todesfälle im zusammenhang mit Corona belaufen sich auf 0,08 Prozent. Diese Zahlen kommen von Our World in Data vom 11.04.2022. Die Wirkung von den angebotenen Impfstoffen ist nach wie vor umstritten und es gibt nach wie vor keine Unterscheidung ob mit oder an Corona verstorben. Weiter sollten zukünftige Impfstoffe in Pandemien ausreichend auf Wirkung und Schutz von Unabhängigen Fachleuten überprüft werden, bevor diese eine Notfallzulassung erhalten, desweiteren muss der Verkaufspreis eines solchen Impfstoffes Weltweit geregelt werden dass auch Ärmere Länder Zugriff darauf erhalten können und kein Milliarden Profit für die Pharma entstehen kann. Weiter sollte die nationale und lokale Führung ihre volle Autonomie und sich das Recht vorbehalten können, Entscheidungen auf der Grundlage dessen zu treffen, was für ihr eigenes Volk am besten ist. Ebenso muss die Möglichkeit für Nationen und Kommunen sein, aus allen Teilen des Abkommens auszusteigen, wie sie es für richtig halten, ohne dass Konsequenzen bestehen bleiben. Ein offener und transparenter Prozess, über den alle Menschen auf der Welt abstimmen können, einschließlich ausfallsicherer Maßnahmen, die die Anwendung des globalen Abkommens an Orten verhindern, an denen eine Mehrheit der Menschen dies nicht wünscht. Maßnahmen müssen getroffen werden, die keinen Einfluss auf den Prozess durch alle pharmazeutischen Unternehmen oder andere globale Gesundheitsprofiteure zulassen.
Natural Healer	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Ich bin gegen diesen Pandemievertrag! Ich möchte nicht dass die WHO die Macht bekommt ungerechtfertigte Pandemien auszurufen, unmeschliche Lockdowns oder Ausgangsverbote verhängen kann um teure, unsichere und ineffektive Behandlungen gegen den Willen der Menschen durchzusetzen". Ich möchte dass die Unantastbarkeit der Menschenwürde immer Vorrang hat! #stop the treaty! Mit freundlichen Grüßen Roswitha Ott
Rentner	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich bin GEGEN in Einführung eines internationalen Pandemievertrags. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Hans Steckenbiller
radVentura Radreisen	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich fordere Sie dazu auf, dass der beabsichtigte Pandemievertrag ausdrücklich Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen enthält. Mit akademisch gebildeten Grüßen, Dr. Alexander Knapp
Mensch	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich habe große Zweifel an der Zentralisierung einer solchen Kompetenz sowie an der Übergabe staatlicher und juristischer Rechte und Pflichten an eine NGO und spreche mich deshalb eindeutig und mit aller Dringlichkeit dagegen aus. Auch wenn die WHO nach besten Wissen und Gewissen handelt, würde durch eine solche Zentralisierung die demokratische Struktur des Staates reduziert und das Interesse der Menschen würde nicht ausreichend Einfluss finden. Denn die WHO ist keine demokratisch gewählte und mit entsprechenden rRechten und Pflichten ausgestattete Institution. Ihr Handeln entzieht sich einer Wahl und dem direkten Einfluss der Menschen. Die Transparenz der getroffenen Entscheidungen wäre damit auch nicht mehr gegeben. Und Bürgerrechte etc. könnten ohne Einfluss der Menschen eingeschränkt, reduziert, abgebaut und/oder verändert werden. Jeder Mensch hat ein natürliches Recht auf Eigenbestimmung, Eigenverantwortlichkeit und Freiheit, die ihm nicht genommen werden dürfen. Ich fordere sie deshalb auf, dieses Vorhaben einzustellen und weiter die bisherigen Einflussnahmen auf nationaler Belange zu reduzieren und abzubauen. Vielen Dank. Hochachtungsvoll J. Rateike
-	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich lehne das vorgeschlagene Abkommen ab, da es eine erhebliche Bedrohung der unveräußerlichen Rechte der Bevölkerung darstellt. Sollte ein Abkommen geschlossen werden, sollten folgende Elemente enthalten sein: - Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen. Die nationalen und regionalen Regierungen behalten volle Autonomie sowie das Recht, Entscheidungen auf der Grundlage dessen zu treffen, was das Beste für das eigenes Volk ist. - die Achtung der Menschenrechte - die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen. - Staaten sowie teilsouveräne Gliedstaaten müssen die Möglichkeit haben, ohne Konsequenzen aus allen Teilen des Abkommens auszusteigen, wenn sie dies für richtig erachten. - Entscheidungen müssen offen, transparent und demokratisch erfolgen - Verhinderung der Anwendung des globalen Abkommens ohne Konsequenzen an Orten, an denen eine Mehrheit der Menschen dies nicht wünscht - Verhinderung von Maßnahmen, die durch Einfluss pharmazeutischer Unternehmen oder anderer globaler Gesundheitsprofiteure zustande kamen. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Anja Dichtl
Gefluegelhof	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich lehne die Vorhaben der WHO ab. Staaten sollen demokratisch selbst entscheiden welchen Weg sie gehen. All Stares and all individuell People Must be free to Decoder what they do Of there is daher of Pandemie Mit freundlichen Gruss Peter Schubert
Silke Lammers	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich lehne eine globale Pandemievorsorge-/bewältigung ab. Eine Pandemiebekämpfung für die gesamte Weltbevölkerung zu bestimmen, wenn dazu keine -nach bisher geltenden Wissenschaft - Studien über Behandlungen, Behandlungserfolge und alle Nebenwirkungen vorliegen. Zudem lehne ich es ab, dass eine kleine Auswahl von nicht vom Volk gewählten Menschen -die überwiegend nicht über medizinisches Wissen verfügen- über die gesamte Weltbevölkerung bestimmt und in der Lage ist eine u.a. medizinische Diktatur einzuführen. Niemand hat das Recht über unsere persönliche Menschenwürde zu bestimmen.
privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich sage Nein zum Pandemievertrag. Er verletzt die Souveränität und Autonomie der Staaten. Er verletzt unverhandelbare und nicht aberkennbare Menschenrechte, wie z. B, die Unverletzlichkeit des eigenen Körpers und die Selbstbestimmung über den eigenen Körper. Die WHO darf nicht ermächtigt werden Pandemien auszurufen und Maßnahmen diesbezüglich vorzuschreiben. Die Pharmakonzerne haben sich von jeglicher Haftung und Wirksamkeit bezüglich ihrer Covid-Impfstoffe befreit. Niemals dürfen Menschen zur Impfung mit solchen experimentellen und unerforschten Stofffen gezwungen werden. Vielmehr ist die Gefährlichkeit und Schädlichkeit der sog. Covid-Impfstoffe offenkundig an Millionen Menschen ersichtlich. Pharmakonzerne und Regierungen müssen zur Haftung für jegliche Medikamente und Impfungen verpflichtet werden. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Thomas Hanisch
privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich votiere nicht für einen globalen Pandemieabkommen. Deutschland ist in der Lage, suverän, selbst und eigenständig zu entscheiden und ich lehne dieses Abkommen ab. Bitte lehnen Sie dieses Abkommen auch als EU-Parlament ab. Vielen Dank. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Jürgen Schanz
Dein Leben in Balance	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, jeder Mensch hat das unveräußerliche Recht auf absolute Selbstbestimmung über seinen Körper, seine Gefühle, seinen Geist und die Art und Weise, wie er sein Leben gestaltet. In Respekt und Wertschätzung vor dem großen Wunder des Lebens hat niemand das Recht, dort einzugreifen. Fremdbestimmung kann niemals der Weg sein, der in Freiheit und Frieden führt. Es MUSS!!! in jedem Fall die freie und souveräne Entscheidung eines jeden Menschen bleiben, was er mit sich, seinem Körper und seinem Leben macht. Jegliche Kontrolle darüber ist unrechtmäßig und damit abzulehnen. Ich bitte und fordere Sie dazu auf, diese Grundprinzipien des Lebens zu achten und sich für die Umsetzung dieser unveräußerlichen Werte einzusetzen. Hochachtungsvoll Grit Köpferl
Mensch mit sozialem Gewissen und sozialem Lebensstil	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, jeder Mensch hat ein Geburtsrecht auf Freiheit , Selbstbestimmung, würdevolle Behandlung und auf ein Recht auf selbsterschaffenes Eigentum, Selbstbestimmung über seinen Körper, Dies trifft besonders in Zeiten mit unklaren Situationen , wie Pandemien zu, das jeder Mensch das Geburtsrecht auf ein selbstgestaltetes Leben hat und auch haben will. In einer Pandemie kann nur durch die Vielfältigkeit der Versuche, vieler Menschen eine adäqute Lösung hervorbringen. Auch ist viel Geld und Macht keine Garantie, dass das richtige Mittel zur Abwehr oder zur Behandlung gefunden wird. Hier kommt es auf den Ideenreichtum der Masse an. Auch wird die soziale , zwischenmenschliche Hilfe und Fürsorge nur durch die Freiheit des Einzelnen gelingen, der seinem Gewissen folgt und deshalb das bestmögliche für seinen Mitmenschen will. Eine effektives Pandemieinstrument , kann nicht großflächigagieren, da alles staatlich verwaltete Strukturen, nie die Hilfeleistung innerhalb kleiner oder mittlerer Gruppen ersetzen kann, die auf die aktuelle Lage und die Bedürfnisse des Einzelnen in der Gruppe eingehen kann. Keine staatliche Organisation kann bei Krankheit und Tod menschliche Wärme ersetzen , dies gelingt nur im vertraten Sozialen Umfeld. Bitte berücksichtigen Sie diese Gedankengänge, den als Mitmensch, wollten Sie dies oben beschrieben bestimmt auch für Sich selbst. Ich hoffe ich konnte Ihnen bei der Entscheidungsfindung für so ein Großes Internationales Instrument eine Hilfe sein.
privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, nein zu einem Übergeordneten Vertrag der WHO als Gesetzgebendes Organ bei zukünftigen Pandemien. Jeder Staat sollte nach meiner Meinung seine Souveränität in allen Bereichen besonders Gesundheit beibehalten. Die Zahlungen an die WHO sollten ausschließlich von den Mitgliedsstaaten erfolgen. Spenden durch Stiftungen, Organisationen und Pharmaindustrie müssen ausgeschlossen sein. Die WHO sollte eine beratende Funktion bei Pandemien haben. Das Grundgesetz der BRD muss vorrangig Gültigkeit haben. "Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar." Mit freundlichen Grüßen A- Wendrich
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, wenn Sie eine allgemeine Vorgehensweise in bezug auf Pandemien planen, wäre ich Ihnen sehr verbunden, wenn Sie dazu die allgemeinen rechtlichen Vorgaben jeden demokratisch geführten Landes nutzen würden. Wichtig ist mir, dass Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl bestehen bleiben. Bitte bleiben Sie unseren Regierungen und den Menschen, denen sie dienen, gegenüber loyal. Eine Einschränkung der Freizügigkeit und der gesundheitlichen Autonomie lehne ich ab. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Jasmin Zerell
Vienna	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, wir wollen keinen Pandemievertrag, der über unseren nationalen Gesetzen steht. - keine Zwangsimpfungen -keine digitalen Impfzertifikate - keine Freiheitsbeschränkungen - kein europäiscches China -keine cnbc Währung Danke MfG Jürgen Blümel
Citizen	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, wünschenswert ist, dass die Souveränität der einzelnen Mitgliedstaaten erhalten bleibt. Jeder der Staaten hat in der Regel ein Gesundheitsministerium das darüber entscheiden kann, ob tatsächlich eine Pandemie vorliegt oder nicht. Ebenfalls würde es Sinn machen, dass Beiträge, die zur Finanzierung der WHO bereitgestellt werden von den einzelnen Mitgliedsländern kommen. Beiträge zur Finanzierung der WHO, sollten nicht von Stiftungen kommen.In der Regel stehen hinter diesen Stiftungen Interessenverbände, die ihre eigenen Vorstellungen mit berücksichtigt sehen möchten. Dies würde zu einer Verzerrung von Entscheidungen seitens der WHO führen. Die Vergangenheit hat gezeigt, dass mittlerweile jederzeit eine Pandemie ausgerufen werden kann, bei der die Kriterien nicht nachvollziehbar sind. Die Kriterien, wann eine Pandemie auszurufen ist und dann zur pandemischen Lage führen, wurden in den vergangenen Jahren angepasst. Hier haben die Mitgliedstaaten kein Vetorecht mehr, um regulierend Einfluss zu nehmen. Die Vielfältigkeit der Welt kann und darf nicht zentral gesteuert sein. So vielfältig die Welt, so vielfältig sind die Menschen, die sie bewohnen und so vielfältig ist die Umwelt, die sie umgibt. Und so bleibt meiner Meinung nach nur die logische Konsequenz: der einzelne Staat kann schneller und abgewogener reagieren, als es im Ernstfall ein zentraler übergeordneter Weltverband zu leisten in der Lage ist. Freundliche Grüße Sabrina Kasper
privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, zu Ihrem Anliegen habe ich folgende Anmerkungen: In Ihrem vorliegenden Entwurf zum Pandemie-Vertrag sollten aus meiner Sicht, gesetzliche Regelungen und Anreize zur natürlichen Stärkung des Immunsystems und der Gesundheit des Einzelnen Menschen auf natürlichem Weg mit natürlichen Substanzen wie z.B. durch Pflanzen, Gemüse, Obst, natürliche Vitamine und Mineralstoffe, alternative Wirkstoffsubstanzen, die auf natürlichen Stoffen beruhen, sowie die Stärkung durch Sport, Gymnastik, Yoga u.ä.m. - sowie Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung und Umsetzung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen und/oder allen weiteren Beteiligten (Firmen, Konzerne, Vertriebsorganisationen, öffentliche Verwaltung u.ä.m.), die im Zusammenhang wirtschaftlichen Regelungen und Verträgen, sowie gesetzmäßigen Vorschriften in der Haftungsfolge (z.B. Produkthaftung u.ä.m) stehen, die Einfluß auf die Umsetzung dieses Vertrages nehmen bzw. ausüben. Mit vorzüglicher Hochachtung Kurt Feldmann
privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, aus meiner Sicht verbieten sich zentralisierte Entscheidungen in solchen Fällen auf der Ebene der WHO (keine demokratisch gewählte Entscheisungsgremien). Die nationalen Demokratien müssen gerade bei nichtpharmazeutischen Reaktionen wie Lockdowns unbesingt gefragt bleiben (Parlamente!), da hier grundlegende Menschenrechte betroffen sind. Letzteres natürlich erst recht bei eventuellen Eingriffen in die körperliche Unversehrtheit des Menschen wie beibeinem Impfzwang. Nur so sind wir vor Willkür und von Pharma Interessen beeinflussten (Fehl-)Entscheidungen geschützt. Danke. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Jacqueline Wolff
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, bezüglich einer Pandemie Bekämpfung wünsche ich mir , daß bei jeder Massnahme auf das naturgegebene Recht des Individuums Rücksicht genommen wird, d.h. kein Zwang zu medizinischen Behandlungen und darum auch keine Impfpflicht. Die Rechte des Bürgers wie Reisefreiheit, Versammlungsfreiheit , Besuchsrecht in sozialen Einrichtungen dürfen nicht eingeschränkt werden. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Ulrike Schilling
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, bitte beachten Sie folgende Vorschläge. Die nationale und lokale Führung behält volle Autonomie und behält sich das Recht vor, Entscheidungen auf der Grundlage dessen zu treffen, was das Beste für ihr eigenes Volk ist. Die Möglichkeit für Nationen und Kommunen, aus allen Teilen des Abkommens auszusteigen, wie sie es für richtig halten, ohne Konsequenzen. Ein offener und transparenter Prozess, über den alle Menschen auf der Welt abstimmen können, einschließlich ausfallsicherer Maßnahmen, die die Anwendung des globalen Abkommens an Orten verhindern, an denen eine Mehrheit der Menschen dies nicht wünscht. Maßnahmen, die keinen Einfluss auf den Prozess durch alle pharmazeutischen Unternehmen oder andere globale Gesundheitsprofiteure zulassen
Citizen EU	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, da Sie offenbar gewillt sind, den Pandemievertrag zu beschließen (welchen ich aus Sorge um die Souveränität des Staates, der Freiheit der Person und auch der fehlenden demokratischen Legitimation der WHO wegen ablehne), sollten Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen dringend einfließen!
Private	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, dieser Vertrag muss Garantien für die Souveränität der Staaten und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und eine gesetzliche Haftung der Pharmaunternehmen sowie von Regierungsbeamten gewährleisten! Mit freundlichen Grüßen Veronika Pagitz
Keine	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, dieses Dokument sollte beinhalten : - Wahrung und Garantie der körperlichen Selbstbestimmung - die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar!! - es soll die Naturrechte der Menschen beeinhalten - nur sichere und gut und langjährige geprüfte Medikamente /medizinische Maßnahmen Mit freundlichen Grüßen

Organization	Written submission
Ich gehöre keiner Organisation an. Ich schreibe als Bürgerin..	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ein Abkommen für die Menschen sollte - Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl beinhalten. Dementsprechend sollte jederzeit und an jedem Ort: - das unveräußerliche Recht auf Leben jeder Person von ihrer Empfängnis bis zu ihrem natürlichen Tod und die naturrechtliche Wahrheit über ihre unveräußerliche Würde und das Recht auf Unversehrtheit ihre Körpers - die wahrhaft freie Einwilligung jeder Person über medizinisch- therapeutische Eingriffe in ihren Körper, - die wahre Freiheit der Gedanken und des Wortes jeder Person - die wahre Freiheit jeder Person über ihren Aufenthaltsort - das wahre Recht jeder erwachsenen, arbeitsfähigen Person auf ein Einkommen durch eigene Arbeit zur Sicherung der Existenz gewährleistet sein.
Keine	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, eine solche Pandemieverordnung soll beeinhalten: 1. Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar. 2. Jeder Mensch muss selbst über medizinische Massnahmen an seinem Körper entscheiden dürfen. - muss auf Säulen des Naturrechts bestehen Garantie der Bürgerrechte Die Verordnung muss transparent sein. Freiheit der Wahl über eine medizinische Behandlung Mit freundlichen Grüßen
No	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, eine stärkere übergreifende Zusammenarbeit ist im Interesse aller, wenn dies unter Wahrung der allgemeinen Menschenrechte geschieht. Ich bin daher der Ansicht, daß der vorliegende Entwurf dies nicht gewährleistet. Der Entwurf verlagert Souveränitätsrechte an die WHO, die als nicht demokratisch legitimierte Organisation dem Kontrollrecht der nationalen Parlamente entzogen. Daher fordere ich eine Anpassung des Entwurfs mit dem Ziel, daß die letzte Entscheidung praktisch und faktisch immer bei den durch eine demokratische Wahl legitimierte nationalen Parlamenten liegen muß. Jede Form von Ermächtigung der WHO über die nationale Souveränität ist in diesem Entwurf zu entfernen.
EU-Bürger	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, folgende Elemente sollten enthalten sein: 1. Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen; 2. Achtung der Menschenrechte; 3. Gewährleistung eines offenen wissenschaftlichen Diskurses, insbesondere über Entstehung und Gefährlichkeit einer potentiellen Krankheit, ihrer Teststrategie und ihrer Therapie; 4. Gewährleistung der Freiheit der informationellen Selbstbestimmung, Überprüfung von Informationsmonopolen und möglicher Zensur; 5. Gewährleistung der Transparenz über finanzielle und politische Interessen und Einfluss; insbesondere bei der Entwicklung und Beschaffung von Tests, Medikamenten und Impfstoffen; 6. Garantien für die vor der Covid-Pandemie geltenden regulatorischen Produktstandards. 7. Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und / oder pharmazeutischen Unternehmen. 8. Unabhängige Bewertung/unabhängiger Gutachter jeder Aktion.
Bürgerin	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, folgende Punkte sollen in einem globalen Pandemieabkommen enthalten sein: - Die Souveränität der Staaten und der einzelnen Bürger ist zu garantieren. - Die Menschenrechte und das Recht auf Unversehrtheit des eigenen Körpers sind zu respektieren. - Die WHO darf nur Empfehlungen abgeben, die für die einzelnen Staaten keine rechtliche Bindung haben. - Regierungen, Nichtregierungsorganisationen und Pharmaunternehmen müssen für ihre Maßnahmen bzw. Produkte zur Verantwortung gezogen werden bzw. haften. - Nationen und Kommunen müssen die Möglichkeit haben, jederzeit ohne Konsequenzen aus allen Teilen des Abkommens auszusteigen. - Der Nürnberger Codex ist einzuhalten. - Es darf keine internationalen digitalen Ausweispapiere geben.
Privatperson	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Folgendes müsste im Vertrag stehen: 1. Die Verfassung jedes einzelnen Staates muss über dem Pandemievertrag stehen, dies bedeutet eine Garantie für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen. 2. Der Vertrag muss die Menschenrechte achten. 3. Kein Haftungsschutz für Pharmaunternehmen. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Werner Traber Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The following should be in the contract: 1. The constitution of each individual state must prevail over the Pandemic Treaty, which means a guarantee of state and individual sovereignty. 2. The treaty must respect human rights. 3. No liability protection for pharmaceutical companies. Kind regards
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, folgendes soll beinhalten sein: Menschenrechte Entscheidungsfreiheit Unantastbare Würde des Menschen Naturrecht Gott schütze Sie Nitz Karl
Non	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, hiermit spreche ich mich gegen die Pläne der WHO aus. Jedes Land und dessen Bürger muss und soll selbst entscheiden, welche Maßnahmen richtig und erforderlich sind. Daher bin ich gegen die Einführung dieser Regelung.
Natürliche Person	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, hiermit teile ich Ihnen mein Statement zur aktuellen Pandemievorsorge und deren Bewältigung mit. Ich fordere: 1. Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen 2. Die Achtung - der Menschenrechte und - des Nürnberger Kodex 3. Die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten, Nicht-Regierungs-Institutionen (z.B. WHO, WEF und andere) und der Pharmaunternehmen. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Edith Erbeldinger
privacy	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich bin 70 Jahre alt, ein gesunder alter Mensch und möchte das auch für den Rest meines Lebens bleiben. Deshalb und auch für die Zukunft unserer Menschheit, auch der Erde, lehne ich eine Bestimmung von anderen über meinen Körper ab. Ich bin selbst verantwortlich für meinen gesunden Körper, was ich esse und trinke, was tut mir gut und damit auch meinen Nächsten, sei es in der Familie oder in der Welt. Ich bitte Sie die richtige, Entscheidung für die Gesundheit der Menschen zu treffen und den Mensch selbst über sich entscheiden zu lassen. Vielen Dank
Keine	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Ich bin aus folgenden Gründen gegen eine übergeordnete Allmacht der WHO bei Pandemien. 1. Jedes Land und somit auch die Menschen haben unterschiedliche Voraussetzungen, die auf keinen Fall verallgemeinert werden sollten. 2. Es sollten die Definition einer Pandemie wieder zurückgesetzt werden vor das Jahr 2009. 3. Jeder Mensch hat das Recht über seinen Körper selbst zu bestimmen. 4. Das schöpferische, geistige und selbstbestimmte Naturrecht eines Menschen muss unangetastet bleiben. Bitte überdenken Sie, die Folgen für den Einzelnen. Heike Bilges
Private	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich bin gegen eine Ausweitung eines neues internationales Instruments zur Pandemievorsorge und -bewältigung! Das würde der Pharmaindustrie, die ihre Lobby in der WHO positioniert hat noch mehr Macht und Gewinne zuspielen. Ich will mir mein von Gott gegebenes Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit nicht von einer Organisation wie der WHO nehmen lassen. Es sollte nach wie vor das Subsidiaritätsprinzip für die Länder dieser Welt gelten!
s'gsunde Eck	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich bin gegen einen globalen Pandemie Vertrag der WHO. Mfg. Andrea Rittnauer
	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich bitte Sie gegen eine Allgemeine Impfpflicht zu stimmen !!! Artikel 1 GG !!! Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar! Mein Körper gehört mir und nur ich entscheide, was mit diesem passiert ! Niemand hat das Recht über meinen Körper zu bestimmen. Die Impfung hat eine Notzulassung und viele Impfnebenwirkungen. Bitte vergessen Sie das nicht !!!! Möchten Sie wirklich dafür verantwortlich sein und übernehmen Sie die Verantwortung für die Folgen der Impfung !!! Ich appelliere an Ihr Gewissen ! Mit freundlichen Grüßen Brigitte
Bürger	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich bitte Sie um die Wahrung der bedingungslosen Grundrechte jedes einzelnen Menschen, das Recht auf ein freies, selbstbestimmtes Leben, das Recht auf körperliche Unversehrtheit und die freie Wahl und Entscheidung zu medizinischen Therapien, Medikamenten und Impfungen. Bitte respektieren Sie die Entscheidungen demokratischer Staaten und Ihrer Bürger auf freie Wahlen. Bitte bedenken Sie die Menschenrechte gelten für jeden Bürger dieser Welt, bedingungslos. Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar. Jeder, absolut jeder Mensch ist Teil der göttlichen Schöpfung. Hochachtungsvoll Gitta Hubrach-Eichinger
privat	 Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, ich möchte keinen globalen Vertrag der WHO über die Regelung zu Pandemien. Mir reicht es wenn das in Deutschland gültige Grundgesetz zur Anwendung kommt. Es hat jahrzehntelang bestens fundtioniert. Die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Staates und auch des Einzelnen soll gewahrt bleiben, Menschenrechte geachtet werden und die WHO soll nicht von privaten Investitonen und Organisationen gefördert werden, sondern von den Mitgliedsstaaten, damit Neutralität besteht. Pharmaunternehmen sollen von der WHO in Haftung genommen werden können für evtl auftretende Impfschäden und Spenden von Pharmaunternehmen und Stiftungen etc. sollen verboten werden. Vielleicht zukünftige auftretende Pandemien sollten auf medizinischer Ebene und nicht über die Politik und auch nicht über die WHO gesteuert werden. Wie in den vergangenen Jahrzehnten eben auch. Eva Arndt
EU-Bürger	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, meine Meinung ist, dass bei allen Entscheidungen immer das Bürgerecht, die körperliche Unversehrtheit und die freie Wahl dafür oder dagegen jedem einzelnen Menschen selbst überlassen werden muss. Es sollte für eine Entscheidungsfindung die nötige umfassende Information sowie Transparenz bereitgestellt werden, damit der Bürger eine eigene und angemessene Entscheidung treffen kann. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Stefanie Zilch
EU-Bürger	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, meine Meinung ist, dass bei allen Entscheidungen immer das Bürgerecht, die körperliche Unversehrtheit und die freie Wahl, dafür oder dagegen jedem einzelnen Menschen selbst überlassen werden muss. Es sollte für eine Entscheidungsfindung die nötige umfassende Information sowie Transparenz bereit gestellt werden, damit der Bürger eine eigene und angemessene Entscheidung treffen kann. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Andreas Stein
privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, mit der Bitte, die Souveränität der Staaten der Welt und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung der Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen zu berücksichtigen. Mit Dank und Gruß Karen Bauer
Privatperson	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Sehr geehrte WHO, es sollte KEINE weiteren Bestimmungsmöglichkeiten über die WHO geben. Jeder Staat sollte seine Souveränität behalten, und es nach den Bedürfnissen, des jeweiligen, eigenen Landes abwägen und bestimmen können ob eine Pandemie vorliegt, und welche Maßnahmen Landes passend zu ergreifen sind. Die WHO sollte allerhöchstens beratend tätig sein. G. Schmid
Private person	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren, Wir freuen uns, wenn Sie für Ihre wichtige Aufgabe der weitreichenden Entscheidungsbefugnis verantwortungsvoll die Belange der Bürger berücksichtigen, die Ihre Entscheidungen nachher tragen müssen. Bitte bedenken Sie folgende Aspekte: - Wahrung der Grundsätze der Menschenwürde; des gesetzlich verbürgten Rechts auf Autonomie, Selbstbestimmung, freie Meinungsäußerung. - Transparenz der internationalen wissenschaftlichen Forschungsergebnisse - Haftung der Hersteller von Medikamenten, Impfstoffen, für gravierende Nebenwirkungen/Folgeschäden - die Funktion der WHO als überparteiliche, nonprofit-orientierte, beratende Institution - Entscheidungsfreiheit und Souveränität der Länder in bester Kenntnis der jeweils eigenen kulturellen, gesellschaftlichen und historischen Besonderheiten. Herzlichen Dank
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren,hiermit erkläre ich dass ich Garantie für die die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen möchte, sowie die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. Hochachtungsvoll Sabine Bölt
Verwaltung	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren,jeder Mensch sollte vor Zwangsbehandlungen und Zwangsimpfungen geschützt werden. Stoppen Sie dieses Verbrechen. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Sievert Hansen
Privat	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren. Mit großer Sorge beobachte ich die Entwicklung bzgl des Pandemievertrags. Eine Organisation die sich zu großen Teilen privat aus Stiftungen und der freien Wirtschaft finanziert und somit nicht den demokratisch unabhängigen Kriterien entspricht, darf niemals die politische Macht bekommen souveräne Staaten Handlungsleitlinien vorzuschreiben. Dies sollte immer dezentral und in einem demokratischen Verfahren geschehen. Mit freundlichen Grüßen, R. Christen
I am an individual	Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren: durch die Erfahrungen mit den aufoktroyierten Massnahmen der österreichischen Bundesregierung 2020/20212022 habe ich erkannt, wie essentiell das individuelle Recht auf Unversehrbarkeit des eigenen Körpers und das Recht auf Menschenwürde sind. TRANSPARENZ DER DER ENTSCHEIDUNGEN, FREIHEIT DER WAHL, MENSCHEN- UND BÜRGERRECHTE SIND AUCH IN EINER NOTSITUATION WIE EPIDEMIE ODER PANDEMIE N I C H T VERHANDELBAR, GESCHWEIGE DENN ZU NEGIEREN. ICH BEDANKE MICH FÜR DIE WEISE ENTSCHEIDUNG DER WHO, WAS DEN GESETZESENTWURF ANLANGT - WIRKLICH ZUM WOHLE ALLER !!! Mit freundlichen Grüssen, Mag.a Anita Calzadilla Sarmiento, Wien/Österreich/Europa
Privat	Sehr geehrte Mitarbeitende und Leitende der WHO, für die Abstimmung der künftig für unsere Gesundheit geltenden Regelungen sollte zuerst die Menschlichkeit, damit die Wahlfreiheit in allen gesundheitlichen Belangen stehen, wie die Säulen des Naturrechts, Achtung und Wahrung der Bürgerrechte wie Anatomie, vollständige Transparenz, Freiheit der Wahl, gesundheitliche Selbstbestimmung, vollkommene gesundheitliche Aufklärung, sowie die Förderung der Naturheilkunde/ der Naturmedizin. Freundliche Grüße Petra Beate Schmidt
Myself	Sehr geehrte Mitglieder der WHO, Für mich ist es ganz wichtig, dass wir unabhängig bleiben. Ich möchte die Entstehung eine Diktatur durch superreiche Familien oder Foundations Vermeiden. Ich bin ein Mensch und möchte auch so behandelt werden. Mit dem Recht, eigene Entscheidungen zu treffen und nicht nur verwaltet zu werden. Viele Grüße Ingrid Dörks
Frau	Sehr geehrte WHO, I generally oppose an international mandatory pandemic treaty! My body - my choice! Free choice for vaccinations and other medical treatments. No mandatories! Weiterhin fordere ich Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des EINZELNEN und die ACHTUNG DER MENSCHENRECHTE! Mit freundlichen Grüßen Sandra Strobel
Privat	Selbstbestimmung - Eigenverantwortung
No	Sem absolutno proti Covid. Vse ukrepe je takoj potrebno ukiniti in umakniti. I am absolutely against the measures. All measures must be repealed and withdrawn immediately.
None	Karen Calcagno Begin forwarded message: From: Steve Kirsch's newsletter <stevekirsch@substack.com> Date: April 12, 2022 at 11:04:13 AM PDT To: Tokarencarman@gmail.com Subject: URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Reply-To: Steve Kirsch's newsletter <reply+v0kcu&d0iml&&c9fc8a633cf610d3b87dfaa2dea50dc0165bafc90e51a4a80db39a23527805e9@mg1.substack.com> URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch Apr 12 Comment Share This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it.
homemaker	Several substantive elements I would recommend after going through COVID 19 crisis in the United States: 1. Eliminate lockdowns-the scientific data is in that expressly states how corrosive lockdowns were to society as a whole. 2. Eliminate masking mandates especially with children. Now that we have proof once again that mask do not stop the spread of an airborne virus, society should not be mandated to wear masks. If someone wants to wear one that is their choice. The data for children shows the masks have done more damage than good with our children. Plus children need oxygen, physically to develop properly and they need to see faces to develop mentally/verbally. 3.Remove forced vaccination. Based on the Nuremberg Trials people have the right to decide what they place in their bodies. 4. Provide positive information through the medical institutions and media of ways to stay healthy such as exercise, fresh air, social interaction, and diet./nutrition. 5.Increase Regenerative Farming. Allow more small farms. Outlaw pesticides like round-up and GMO seeds where the nutritional value of the food and animals is compromised. 6. Allow individual countries to do what is best for their citizens. There is not a one size fits all pandemic response. Currently, China is failing their citizens by enforcing lockdowns and forced vaccines with all the real live data out about how they both do so much more harm than good. I hope you read it all, and will consider my comments, especially since I am not a part of any organization or vested business, just a citizen of USA and speak for the people. Thanks, Constance
Private	Sg. Damen und Herren! Die Menschenwürde und die Menschenrechte sind unantastbar. Dieses Prinzip ist nur unter demokratischen Regierungen möglich, die dem Souverän, dem Bürger, verpflichtet sind. Die Pläne für diesen Vertrag sehen vor, dass die WHO nach Gutdünken die demokratisch parlamentarischen Prozesse außer Kraft setzen und damit zu einer Art Weltregierung werden kann. Ich lehne daher solche Verträge gänzlich ab und appelliere an die Verantwortlichen solche Vereinbarungen nicht zu ratifizieren. Ich mache Sie persönlich dafür verantwortlich! Freiheit, Menschenrechte und Selbstbestimmung müssen unkonditioniert erhalten bleiben. Parlament und nationale Regierung darf unter keinen Umständen außer Kraft gesetzt werden.
Schule	Sg. Damen und Herren, ich möchte selbst über meine medizinische Behandlung entscheiden können und lehne Verordnungen ab, die ich nicht selbst entscheiden darf. Susanne Pfeiffer
n/a	Share information. No power to. enforce any recommendations to any country. Nations decide independently what they will or will not do.
Retired Medical Technologist	Shared truthful information is essential regarding how people respond to a disease agent with local control of attempted curative responses without the influence of financial involvement.is important. Over-reaching control of populations from outside state or nations should never be considered as a way to handle a pandemic problems. If expert help is freely requested of a superior governmental agency then that requesting government should be supplied the help without mandatory requirements. The withholding of known, effective medications in hostage to submission should not ever be used in a cooperative treaty. Each community or nation-state has the right to its own answers or mistakes. Commercial enterprises or faux charitable organizations have no place in deciding the fate of nations and the people there-in.. Co-operation in treaty is great but not at the expense of local decision making. Treaties require honest working together without the subversive, double-dealing. There must be submission to a world court in respond to any discovered ill-intention ed activities employed by one of the parties to the treaty with consequences subscribed to the leadership. How else will the people of all parties to the treaty know of the varsity of all actions of those involved?..
None	Short answer - none. I think the global response to covid over the past 2 years, especially from the "western" democracies has been horrendous and it was all drive from the WHO narrative. Masks never worked, lockdowns never worked. Early therapeutics like Hydroxychloroquine and Ivermectin were pushed aside for political and profit-driven reasons.
private indidual	short statement, submission due to time contraint I find it unacceptable, after the pressure, and constraints of the non existent pandemic to want to go one step further to totalitarinism by creating a totally removed from populations, an entity that tries to control peoples health. This is against all human rights, democracy, and will not further trust between governments, and populations. It is unhealthy, stifling to populations, and must be resisted. Hannelore Geuther-Heidacher
None	Should an instrument be necessary, I belive that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Health varies by region, and so should responses to health issues. Nations and local municipalities must also be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The measures must also not allow for any influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Ultimately the constitutions of each participating nation, and the autonomy of the individual and their sovreign right to their own body must be respected, without threat of force or coercion to comply.
Children's Health Defense	Should an international instrument be deemed necessary (which is still up for debate) it should include a system of checks and balances with local and state leaders maintaining full autonomy to opt in or out of global recommendations. Public health is a measure of individual health, for which there is no one size fits all methodology. Therefore, exceptions and localization is essential. In addition, the global body should maintain full transparency into data and decision making, including robust guardrails to prevent conflicts of interest. There should be opportunity for all people of the globe to vote and submit feedback and suggestion, without censorship or intimidation. All recommended safety measures should include informed consent for every individual, without coercion or biased messaging.
General Public	Should an international instrument on pandemic preparedness & response be crafted, nations must retain full & uncompromised sovereignty, such that nations & states & local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Likewise, because the WHO is NOT an elected nor a governing body, but rather provides generalized health-related recommendations, there must be a transparent drafting process. The instrument MUST include a clause stating that the WHO is limited to recommending pandemic & preparedness responses, not mandating them. Any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers on the Board of Directors of WHO must recuse themselves from the process of drafting this instrument, due to conflict of interest, & any stakeholders must recuse themselves. Importantly, the instrument must uphold the Nuremburg Code, & this must be in writing, that individual human beings retain bodily sovereignty and may opt out of any EUA therapeutic or vaccine. Under no condition may a person's financial institution or line of credit be tied to WHO protocols. The WHO may not recommend mandating an EUA product or a therapeutic or a vaccine, especially as the WHO, as an educational institution, is not financially culpable for adverse events occurring from therapeutics, medications or vaccines. The instrument must also state that, in addition to retaining bodily autonomy, human beings have the right to not have their environments invaded by any GMO insect or therapeutic or vaccine that spreads through the air or through GMO plants. Human beings reserve the right to not become genetically modified or transhuman.
Feel-Better Enterprises Inc.	Should any Pandemic Preparation Treaty/Agreement be considered it MUST contain the following provisions: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Entry into any PPT should require a vote, with an overwhelming majority, from the citizens of the country being entered into it. It is my opinion that we do not require a Pandemic Preparation Treaty/Agreement at the international level. The WHO should not be permitted to control the World's health agenda nor enforce bio-surveillance. It would threaten our Nation's Sovereignty and our Inalienable Rights by creating a back door to Global Governance. Health care decisions and policy should remain under the control of each individual nation.
self	Should contain the following provisions: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Dr. Brian R. Ferguson	Should dos: 1. Consistency of testing. It's ludicrous for different Cycle Thresholds to exist. 2. Sharing of novel early treatment approaches. 3. Focus on early treatment strategies. 4. Focus on Nutraceutical intervention. 5. Informed consent for any offered treatment protocols. 6. Centralized or combined VAERS reporting systems for treatment interventions. 7. Focus on other sciences(economic, social, ethics) - so that infectious science does not inappropriately overshadow public health decisions at the expense of a favourable risk/benefit. 8. Ensure public health decisions are made by government-neutral parties. Should avoid: 1. CENSORSHIP!!!!! 2. Vaccine-centric approaches. 3. ANY attempt to subvert individual autonomy for medical intervention. 4. Fear-mongering: and example would be conflating 'cases' with 'infectious person'. 5. Creating a two-tiered medical apartheid of inoculated versus non-inoculated. Thanks for the opportunity to opine.
Not a representative of my organisation	Should include something like the following: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Endo Associates	Should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Bodily autonomy without penalty.
none	Should Include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual person	Should such a treaty be introduced, all countries need to be able to remove themselves from this should their Government and people deem it not in their best interest, without prejudice or sanctions/ penalties. It must be mandated that such a global treaty is none the less the sum of sovereign states/ countries and needs to recognise this; the WHO is unelected and not democratically representative of said countries. There must be complete transparency on who is on WHO committees etc devising such a Treaty and there needs to be equal representation from all WHO subscribing countries. All financial interests need to be declared and PHARMA involvement too. Shareholders in Pharma who are working for this Treaty must declare shares and involvement in all pharmaceutical companies, PPE companies etc. There must be a cooling off period from countries having agreed to sign up to such a Treaty. All signatories of such a Treaty must take it back to their respective countries to be legally ratified in said countries. There must be a named person to be accountable for harms that may occur from a WHO treaty on how to deal with future pandemics. The treaty should be reviewed every two years, without deletion of above if additional actions are being implemented.
Individual Private Citizen	Should such an instrument ever be required, it must include guarantees on individual and state sovereignty, full respect for human rights and complete legal liability for all WHO and government officials, as well as all pharmaceutical companies for any harm caused.

Organization	Written submission
Member of the public	Should the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response come into force, it must explicitly recognise that the provisions of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (in its original form as ratified on 10 December 1948) take precedence over any measures implemented through the new international instrument. Furthermore it must explicitly recognise the right of every national government to veto any measure implemented through the new international instrument. The response to the COVID-19 outbreak provides overwhelming evidence for the necessity of such restrictions on the WHO's powers and that of other international bodies. As a direct result of the WHO's recommended response to the COVID-19 outbreak, billions suffered false imprisonment in their own homes, many were driven into poverty, children lost months of valuable education, many suffered severe psychological harm from the effects of prolonged isolation, psychological terror campaigns and mandated wearing of face coverings leading some to take their own life, many died prematurely from loss of access to medical care, including known safe and effective treatments for COVID-19, or from the effects of novel products misrepresented as "vaccines."
I represent only myself	Should the WHO decide to implement this it needs to insure that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Global solutions to local issues are always poorly thought out as is seen by the WHO's recent and current response to covid-19. An infection where where 99.87% of those that acquire it survive with few if any negative sequalae.4 The fact that the whole exercise appears to be gamesmanship to institute global control by the UN over nations is frightening. misnamed and wholly mismanaged "pandemic" . That the WHO seeks to extend their governance over sovereign Nations without being elected to do so, is alarming. As is the corruption inherent in UN agencies. The WHO is not trustworthy. Further the fact that Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus is not a medical doctor and an alleged war criminal is also alarming and reprehensible. Large organizations are deaf to the concerns and needs of local populations as observed. In addition the UN is a known vector of abuse of populations of nations. See the sexual abuse of Haitians by UN personnel. You have no right to interfere in the health of individuals or their nations.
Concerned Human	Should this treaty exist at all. The inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
n/a	Shouldn't seek to limit or remove power from the US to make any decisions that diverge from WHO guidance. Health decisions should be made locally, not globally.
Jbmy	Sicherheiten und eine eidesstattliche Erklärung seitens der WHO , dass die Grund- sowie Menschenrechte, die Unversehrtheit und die medizinische Sicherheit aller Bürger, die diesen Staaten angehören trotzt der WHO Pandemieverträge, die viele Menschen aus gutem Grund für entbehrlich halten, gesichert bleiben. Freie Entscheidung welche Therapie man am eigenen Körper zulassen will und wie man mit seiner Familie leben will. Warum werden solche Verträge überhaupt ins Leben gerufen???? Niemand will sie!! und sie müssen den Menschen, die die Beiträge zahlen länger die Möglichkeit geben, auf diesem Weg ihre Meinung kundzutun - sonst haben sie kein Recht diese Beiträge für ihre Zwecke zu verwenden!!!!
Bürger	Sicherstellung des Rechts jedes einzelnen Staates und jedes einzelnen Bürgers auf innere und äußere Selbstbestimmung sowie Garantien für die Achtung der Menschenrechte und Garantien für die Einhaltung demokratischer Gepflogenheiten.
Nessuna	Sicuramente uno strumento non potrà essere un lasciapassare vaccinale che è discriminatorio e lede le libertà dell'uomo. Così come dovranno essere evitati i lockdown. L'informazione dovrà essere chiara e trasparente e soprattutto equidistante. Non dovranno essere presi in considerazione obblighi vaccinali o dimostrazioni sullo stato di salute. Si deve cominciare a considerare la persona come un adulto e non come un bambino da guidare o peggio come un suddito. Si deve informare senza fare leva sulla paura e senza generare panico rispettando i diritti fondamentali dell'uomo e la sua dignità
We for Humanity	Sie sollten die Menschen, selbst entscheiden lassen. Wir haben ein Recht auf Selbstbestimmung. Stoppen Sie den globalen Pandemievertrag. Druck wird Gegendruck erzeugen. #stopthetreaty
Self	Signatories to such treaty must assure that within their jurisdiction: No human rights are denied any person refusing medication or testing of any type. All must be free to choose or reject any medical treatment or test. Employment discrimination on the basis of vaccination history is forbidden. No privileges may be granted or denied on that basis. Access to otherwise public events and venues, indoors and out, is not barred to anyone on the basis of vaccination history or medical test.
	Signatories to such treaty must assure that within their jurisdiction: No human rights are denied any person refusing medication or testing of any type. All must be free to choose or reject any medical treatment or test. Employment discrimination on the basis of vaccination history is forbidden. No privileges may be granted or denied on that basis. Access to otherwise public events and venues, indoors and out, is not barred to anyone on the basis of vaccination history or medical test.
Human Race	Signatories to such treaty must assure that within their jurisdiction: No human rights are denied any person refusing medication or testing of any type. All must be free to choose or reject any medical treatment or test. Employment discrimination on the basis of vaccination history is forbidden. No privileges may be granted or denied on that basis. Access to otherwise public events and venues, indoors and out, is not barred to anyone on the basis of vaccination history or medical test.
Private citizen	Signatory nations must retain 100% autonomy to make decisions on behalf of their people. The WHO is corruptible, and has arguably been corrupted by Gates and big pharma. We cannot have a non-democratic and corruptible institution dictating what people everywhere in the world must do. WHO should remain only advisory. It should bring together the best global experts who are fully independent of drug companies and other corporate and government influence to ADVISE the world on the latest scientific information. Debate should be encouraged about that information, and dissenting views also announced along side the "majority view". WHO should not under any circumstance have power to exercise coercive powers over any country or its citizens, and should never have powers that are above sovereign governments or their constitutions. WHO should re-instate the old definition of pandemics which required a substantial numbers of deaths, so that the WHO cannot declare a pandemic when a few people die. In any case, the declaration of a pandemic by the WHO should have NO effect on any country's legal status.
Employer	Signing this treaty poses an enormous potential risk for member states. This is because the agreement allows the WHO to dictate any measures to countries. The only precondition: It declares a global state of emergency beforehand. What counts as a „global emergency" is decided by the WHO. Globally acting authorities and interest groups may never determine the lives of people. The constitutions of the respective nation states guarantee people the right to an independent health care system, and this should remain so. We do not need a WHO dictate.
Individual	Simply put - Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Individual	Simply put; NONE. As a United States of America citizen, my first allegiance and duty is to GOD, my second is to Our Constitution and Laws as currently written in My Country. I will never recognize or adhere to the beauracratic dictates imposed by global elitists, especially when those dictates are in violation of GOD's Law and the soverign laws of the USA. Any treaties that remove power from the USA are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. The lies and dis/misinformation intentionally spewed by the global elitists during the most recent intentional virus release demonstrates the malicious self-serving intentions of not only WHO, but the WEF and other globalist organizations. . The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Thank you.
USA	Simply stated, the WHO should have no bearing over the US health decisions or policies. Legally, morally and Constitutionally, this is far outside of WHO's jurisdiction. The level of misinformation to-date regarding this pandemic is staggering. This inaccurate guidance paired with the push for lockdowns, masking and vaccinations can not be administered by a foreign entity. Constitutionally, this control mechanisms should not even be mandated by the USA itself. This is far reaching and has long term/negative consequences outside of just COVID. Under no circumstances should the USA be legally bound or even influenced by decisions made by the WHO.
HolyQuest Ministries	Since all globalistic programs inevitably end up in unbending legalistic nightmares of bureaucratic controls, coercion, misdirection, misapplication, and waste, my recommendation is for WHO to devote its resources to assisting individual nations to handle their health issues in practical, low cost, efficient ways with an emphasis on education, cleanliness, and prevention rather than waiting for issues to develop into panic and national crises.
private	Since arbitrary and ineffective rules and laws during the pandemic have caused immeasurable harm and distress to the population, and in order to shield the World Health Organisaton (WHO) from liabilities, distrust and hostilities in the future, the instrument in question should incorporate the following terms of the contract: All WHO rules, mandates, guidelines and instruments must be subject to national and local laws and constitutions. No national or local law shall be infringed by WHO rules, guidelines and instruments. No national or local authority, territory and sovereinty shall be infringed by WHO rules, guidelines and instruments. The WHO shall be liable for any harm done to people and economies caused by WHO rules, mandates, guidelines and instruments when negligence can be claimed.
Critical Citizen	Since citizens lack an overview of who in the WHO is responsible for the assessment of health risks, for the selection of suitable instruments, for the assessment of the consequences and an evaluation of the measures, I do not want to have centrally ordered health measures. Especially in the area of vaccines, there are many profiteers who donate a lot of money to the WHO. It is to be feared that it comes here to a massive conflict of interest. For this reason I do not want a central global health care, which is above national sovereignty. The WHO is not democratically legitimized to issue health regulations.
none represented	Since governments understand the needs of their population better than a single world entity, the treaty should include the ability for any country or state to opt out of WHO recommendation for pandemic response. The WHO should stay with advise and recommendations rather than mandates, allowing those with local understanding to have the final say in pandemic response.
Individual	Since health issues are individual and breakout differently in different regions, decisions need to stay under local governments. Races respond differently to both microbes and medication. A world organization cannot help with a "one treatment fits all" decision. What we have seen in the last 2 years is that WHO made decisions without sufficient data and changed their minds throughout the Covid situation. Local doctors have had the best success in treating patients and lowering the mortality rate.
Awaken India movement	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/ doc trine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to re strict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of hu mans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmis sion and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be estab lished scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correla tion. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal to-human or human-to-human transmis sion/ infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
Free Earth Alliance and Awaken India Movement	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doc trine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to re strict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of hu mans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmis sion and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be estab lished scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correla tion. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal to-human or human-to-human transmis sion/ infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
IT	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doc trine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to re strict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of hu mans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmis sion and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be estab lished scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correla tion. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal to-human or human-to-human transmis sion/ infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
Rajiv dixit swadeshi manch bharat	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doc trine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to re strict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of hu mans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmis sion and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be estab lished scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correla tion. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal to-human or human-to-human transmis sion/ infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
Media Watch	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doc trine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to re strict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of hu mans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmis sion and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be estab lished scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correla tion. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal to-human or human-to-human transmis sion/ infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date. Shekhar Subhedar 09920550000
Awaken India Movement	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doc trine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to re strict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of hu mans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmis sion and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be estab lished scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correla tion. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal to-human or human-to-human transmis sion/ infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
Free Earth Alliance & Awaken zIndia Movement	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doc trine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to re strict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of hu mans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmis sion and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be estab lished scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correla tion. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal to-human or human-to-human transmis sion/ infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
Self	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doc trine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to re strict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of hu mans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmis sion and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be estab lished scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correla tion. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal to-human or human-to-human transmis sion/ infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
Awaken India Movement	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doc trine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to restrict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of humans.
Awaken India Movement	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doc trine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to re strict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of hu mans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmis sion and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be estab lished scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correla tion. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal to-human or human-to-human transmis sion/ infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
Self Employed	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doc trine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to re strict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of hu mans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmis sion and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be estab lished scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correla tion. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal to-human or human-to-human transmis sion/ infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
Free earth alliance and awaken India movement	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by science and any constitution and any internationally signed treaty /doctrine so all bodily autonomy and Rights of humans are internal,innate , inalienable as per natural/Vedic law hence local national or international institution governing bodies appointed democratically and undemocratically has no authority to restrict/violate bodily rights of humans.pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR2005 era and germ/virus theory of human to human transmission and infection ie contagiousness by any germs or virus should be established scientifically i.e controlled human experiment following principales of causal relationship along with statistical correlation. It should be declared that humans and other living being have been given birth by divine on earth only to love care heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/contagion i.e of animal to human or human to human transmission/infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date
The Reform Movement	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by science or by any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doctrine, all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural Law. Hence local, national or international institutions/ governing bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to restrict / curtail / violate bodily rights of humans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ / virus theory of human-to-human transmission and infection i.e. contagion by any germs and / or virus should be established scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correlation. The International instrument should affirm that humans and other living beings have their earth existence only to love, care and heal each other. Further the it should also affirm that contagiousness via any pathogen / contagion i.e. of animal-to-human or human-to-human transmission / infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
KPSC	Since human body is a divine creation and not created by science or by any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doctrine, all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural Law. Hence local, national or international institutions/ governing bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to restrict / curtail / violate bodily rights of humans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ / virus theory of human-to-human transmission and infection i.e. contagion by any germs and / or virus should be established scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correlation. The International instrument should affirm that humans and other living beings have their earth existence only to love, care and heal each other. Further the it should also affirm that contagiousness via any pathogen / contagion i.e. of animal-to-human or human-to-human transmission / infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
Service	Since human body is a nature's creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty/doc trine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ gov erning bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to restrict/ curtail/ violate bodily rights of humans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmission and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be established scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correlation. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by divine on earth only to love, care and heal each other and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal to-human or human-to-human transmission/ infection is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
I am not writing on behalf of an organization	Since I am limited to the guiding question about the proposed INB instrument, rather than being able to express my opinion on whether this instrument is necessary or advisable, this is what I think needs to be included if the instrument is confirmed: - Any public health measures that can be enforced by the INB must go through a rigorous, transparent, and evidence-based process to prove that the benefits outweigh the risks, particularly to children. - National and local leadership must remain autonomous and have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - There will need to be an open and transparent process for developing the INB with the ability for all people of the world to vote on its contents. - There need to be failsafe measures that will prevent enforcement of the INB in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures need to be in place that prevent pharmaceutical companies and other industries from influencing the development of the INB.
none	Since I cannot understand your way of thinking, I will try to share my thoughts of truth, honesty, and simplicity. When you and I freely allow fear to dominate and change us, we live in misery far from our home of unconditional love. Our Maker's love is pure gift, unearned and free. We are free to relate with the Source of all life or not. A great love embraces all the love that you and I have ever known, from father, mother, spouse, children, teacher, partner, friend, or counselors. Welcoming unconditional love, automatically makes you and I more like the Unconditional Lover. Divine love lasts FOREVER. And I truly believe that you have that divine love in your heart. Blessings, Carol Celenza
Self	Since i do not agree with your present agenda regarding global healthcare. I believe our Father in heaven and Lord Jesus have provided humanity with natural immunity to covid as i have had six bouts since February when it began and am presently recovering from a seventh bout. According to the University of Texas research on natural immunity versus covid shots both provide seven and a half years of antibodies in human subjects therefore, i disagree with forced shots on human subjects as a control mechanism. I believe if someone doesn't have a fever we should be allowed to travel without restriction!
Privat	Since many countries have responded very differently throughout the past SARS-CoV-2 experience, it is absolutely necessary to adopt individual response measures depending on the local situation. Less responding countries now have a much better standing than strict lockdown imposers!
None	Since March 2020, the UK and worldwide governments together with the media have obstructed open and transparent scientific and public debate, which is fundamental in a free and democratic society. We are all capable of making the best decisions for ourselves, our families and our communities without being threatened, terrified or 'nudged'. We want to see an end to the continual interference into our daily lives. The response to the recent pandemic was over the top for a virus with an IFR so low and comparable to a bad flu season, and was heavily influenced by people with vested interests. No such organisation should have this much power over the world. Globalism is not a good thing and I want my politicians to make decisions and be accountable to their populations. This treaty MUST not be brought to fruition.
US citizen	Since our international world is so diverse there should be no one response for all countries. Each country needs to be looked at individually. There are so many variables that need to be taken into account for success. Your plan will not work. The US is a sovereign country so you do not get to make rules or laws here.
Privat	Since people, forms of government and laws are very different all over the world, I don't think it makes sense to aim for a uniform WHO regulation. It should be up to the individual states to decide how they want to proceed and what is best for their people. That is why I reject a superordinate body. The WHO should continue to take care of its core competences and only make suggestions and recommendations, but no coercive measures or drastic regulations. The states should continue to be able to decide sovereignly. Therefore, no binding agreements with states, even more so where the WHO is not a democratically elected instrument.
none	Since public health is not a one-size-fits-all program I think a major substantive element to be included in a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" would be feedback and robust discussion of ideas and protocols that are being used in different communities around the world. All ideas should be considered, and, please note, these should specifically "not" come from organizations who stand to profit economically or by gain of influence from said suggestions and ideas, i.e., pharmaceutical, insurance, hospital companies. Get down to the community level, which is where 1) pandemics' "rubber hits the road" and 2) the best ideas placing human, animal and environmental health first are present with the incentive of making their own communities better places. This is true equity.
Awaken India Movement, 8PM Parivaar	Since the human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution, or any internationally signed treaty/doctrine, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate, and inalienable as per Natural/ Vedic Law, and hence local, national or international institutions/ governing bodies appointed democratically or undemocratically has no authority to restrict or curtail or violate bodily rights of humans. Pandemic's definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and the germ/ virus theory of human-to-human transmission and infection i.e. contagiousness by any germs and/ or virus should be established scientifically i.e. controlled human experiment following principles of causal relationship along with statistical correlation. It should be declared that humans and other living beings have been given birth by the Divine on the earth only to love, care and heal each other, and thus the contagiousness via any pathogen/ contagion i.e. of animal-to-human or human-to-human transmission is just a theory which has not been scientifically proven till date.
Na	Since the majority of countries have tanken decision that some warn about, and that studies now are showing, did more harm, as lockdowns, mask mandates, green pass, mask vaccination without knowing consequnces and where WHO is clearly influenced by people/companies with economical intresst, please stay away! You are sadly not trustworty any more. No pandemic laws etc BR, Maria
Concerned citizen	Since the pandemic WHO have not generated any confidence of their abilities. They have contradicted, scared and been inconsistent with everything. You do not have the capability nor should you have the right to be able to tell me what to do. I am a proud Aboriginal women and my ancestors have survived and thrived for thousands of years without you or your so called expertise.
Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri - Dipartimento per lo Sport	Since the quality of scientific information has often proved to be not up to the requirements, it is essential to provide tools (committees, guidelines, manuals) that can provide scientific communicators with the necessary suggestions to be clear, understandable and above all able to provide a communication that cannot be misinterpreted by those who, on their words, want to build fake news. It would also be desirable to prepare a code of ethics that reminds scientists, in the event of a pandemic, to the commitment not to be tempted by the lure of personal visibility, by the possibility of personal or economic recognition in the event of their adherence to the narration of a party, politics, and above all that it imprints the discussions between peers with the utmost respect, avoiding denigrating the scientist who should think differently.
Non	Since the WHO did not intervene in any regulatory way in the contract negotiations with the national states on the provision of vaccines, and the pharmaceutical companies Pfizer/Biontec, Moderna, Johnson & Johnson and AstraZeneca were able to conclude contracts with highly critical demands, liability clauses and confidentiality clauses, this is already a complete failure of the WHO. We do not need more centralization, but decentralization to create more transparency, justice and prevent abuse. Citizens must retain control over their health and physical sovereignty. Without an unbiased democratic process, any WHO agreement made through the United Nations is illegal, illegitimate and invalid. Incidentally, the short time window of two days to make a contribution shows that fairness is lacking and a questionable self-image prevails. https://www.istoedinheiro.com.br/mesmo-na-pandemia-bilionarios-aumentam-fortuna-em-31/ https://www.cooperativa.cl/noticias/economia/empresas/ranking-forbes-los-mas-ricos-de-chile-aumentaron-su-fortuna-en-pandemia/2021-04-06/132631.html https://apnews.com/article/archive-4b99bb4abcbcf9e6856bd9ba14297b5e
CAMFT	Since the WHO has already horribly mismanaged the present COVID 19 pandemic and has not encouraged treatment for the specific disease, but rather looked to vaccinations that do not prevent the disease, it is unwise to allow the WHO this power. The proposed lockdowns by WHO has caused many more suicides and substance abuse, along with more that usual cases of domestic abuse and physical violence. The leaders of WHO are out of touch with reality and should not be allowed this authoritative power.
my own	Since the WHO has become so politicized in the last few decades (as evidenced by the Covid response), it is imperative that all nations need to have autonomy in regards to their acceptance or non-acceptance of edicts emanating from the WHO. Pharmaceutical companies cannot have undo influence on decisions made by WHO as they stand to gain profit from their input. The input of scientists and medical professionals also must include an array of opinions, not necessarily in concurrence with current popular thought. In other words, actual diversity of thought.
US Citizen	Since the WHO is NOT a democratically elected body, the government and constitution of each country should take precedence over any regulations dictated by the WHO. The inalienable rights of the citizens should not be infringed upon, regardless of any declared emergency. The citizens should be trusted to identify emergencies and take proper precautions.
USA	Since the WHO is NOT a democratically elected body, the government and constitution of each country should take precedence over any regulations dictated by the WHO. The inalienable rights of the citizens should not be infringed upon, regardless of any declared emergency. The citizens should be trusted to identify emergencies and take proper precautions.

Organization	Written submission
Maibe Certified EMT, and columnist at Fort Fairfield Journal	Since there has been such a divisive and excluding pressure on people of all countries regarding the lack of sufficient informed consent about the true concerns regarding CV 19, it is necessary to honor individual countries' rights and chosen ways to proceed. No country's laws should be superceded by an overarching single entity because it would have too much power and would veer toward tyrannical control. It's a terrible idea to put a single organization in charge of all nations especially since it has not had a clearly helpful role in this past pandemic. If it must have power it should only be in the domain of helping to keep people free from mandates, passports, lockdowns, shutdowns, or coercion of any kind. All physicians, scientists and health care professionals who are working to help treat with alternative medicine and approaches to healing should have their work supported, studied and helped to be shared with the public. No one should be denied the right to refuse to take an experimental drug and no drug should be approved if there are any significant adverse reactions; and they should not be called vaccines if the treatment does not persist as does natural immunity.
Private	Since there has never been a C pandemi, it makes little sense for WHO to interfere with the souvernance of individual states as well. Above all, one provokes a civil war. Whether this is in the sense of a health organisation? No!! To the state contract!!
No organization	Since there is no such thing as a contagious virus, as has been proven by Dr. Stefan Lanka in his control experiments on Sars-cov-2 as well as other so-called viruses, there is no need for a pandemic response of any sort. All medical decisions should be left to the discretion of the individual with the consultation of his or her health care provider, if desired.
Sovereign Individual	Since this agreement will impose undemocratic rights over sovereign people who did not have any say over their nations involvement in this treaty, failsafes must be put in place to protect those sovereign people. In countries where state governments have decision making power this treaty should not in any way give that power to the federal government. National, state and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on whether to adopt any or all measures that are dictated or mandated as a result of the treaty. Include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Sovereign people are not to be dictated to or mandated by an unelected organization. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other unelected global health profiteers and organizations like, but not limited to, Bill Gates or George Soros and their foundations.
privat	Since this kind of participatin is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Stategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the globarl agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken to do not allow for influence in the progress by any and all pharaceutical companies or ohter global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatends our sovereignty and inalienable right. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid 19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it recieves duning from puplic sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influcne and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retrain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any an all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Privat	Since this kind of participatin is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the globarl agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken to do not allow for influence in the progress by any and all pharaceutical companies or ohter global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatends our sovereignty and inalienable right. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid 19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it recieves duning from puplic sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influcne and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retrain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any an all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Private Person	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant an too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments and recommended produt interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interests because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
German citizen	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too short term this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Why does that is mandatory	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns.
Private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence!
Why is that mandatory ?	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
private person	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences.
privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
EU Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective! The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence!!!
None	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
EU citizen	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence!
EU-Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
EU Citizen	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
private person	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
maiLab	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnesessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatment and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
No particular	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, is needed. Measures have to be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
citizen	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Private Person	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
HUMAN	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
BÜRGERIN Deutschland	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Keiner Angehörig	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions an
Private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
N	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO

Organization	Written submission
Privat Person	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
BSE	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
No	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Human	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Citizen with rights	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
EU Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and lokal leadership leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to obt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Private Person	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Free People	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemic's and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, or enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence BR Ralph
—	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
privat person	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Privatpersonen Deutschland	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions.
keine	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Citizen of EU	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
people	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
KRANKENSCHWESTER	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
EU member	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
European citizen	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Eu citizen	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decision
NGO	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Cibosala	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Own	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Human	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Paragraph32	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
None	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
EU Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonome, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nation and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence!

Organization	Written submission
Christliche Stiftung	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
School	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Person	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Eu-Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Kipepeo NGO	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Best regards Daniel Herrmann
Germany	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Family	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
EU-Citizen	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
EU-Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and global leadership should retain full autonomy, deeserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
None	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions an.
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
None	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
no	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence Best regards
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Buergerjournaliste.de	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
No	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Keine Organisation	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
EU Citizen	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Personal	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
German Nurse	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions

Organization	Written submission
Keine	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Citizen of Germany	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
None	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Paragraph 32	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
none	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Citizen	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Why is that Mandatory	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Keine	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Verein Das Betreuungsnetzwerk	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Eu-Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Private Person	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
--	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decision.
--	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Jan Gruzdz	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
EU Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
None	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Paragraph32	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
EU Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Worker	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Paragraph 32	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Non	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates.National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Estefania	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Non profit	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence

Organization	Written submission
Private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
-	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
MyBodyMyDecission	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
EU Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
DHW Steuerberatungsgesellschaft	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Induvidual	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
None	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
none	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
FSC Rheda	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions a
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
private citizen	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Xxx	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence Your sincerely, Lange Angela
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
gibt keine	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective.
EU Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
EU Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
EU Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
EU Citizen	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
People of eoropea	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
Paragraph32	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions
privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Eu Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
No organization	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
EU Bürger	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
#StopTheTreaty	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open processwith the ability for all people of the world to vote an including failsafe measure that will prevent the ablication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe or effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda,nor enforce biosurveillance. While it reiceives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substandial funding from private interest that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able tho opt out of any and all portions of the argreement as they see fit, whitout consequence.

Organization	Written submission
Global public	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. We need an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
No Organisation	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
-	Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid- 19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
none	Since this kind of participation is too intransparent and too short term this global pandemic-strategy-plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the COVID-19 pandemic, as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to bei neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private parties that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences.
self-determination	Since this kind of participation is too intransparent and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty an inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Ovid-19 Chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world´s health agenda, nor enforce bio surveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict o interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interest that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions an mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences.
General public	Since this kind of participation is too intransparent and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence
Nurse	Since this kind of participation is too intransparent and too shortern this global pandemic Strategy-plan is invalid and unlawful. It's needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not allow to influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty an inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lock downs. The WHO failed during the COVID 19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe or effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Privat	Since this kind of participation is too intransparent and too shortern this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful.It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a marjority of the people do not want it is needed.Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights.I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns.The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns,suppressed early preventiv treatments,and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe or effective. The WHO can not be allowed to control the worlds health agenda,or enforce biosurveillance.While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people,it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy,reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit,without consequence.
Private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparent and too shortterm this global pandemic-strategy-plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people in the world to vote including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken and that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decicsions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Private	Since this kind of participation is too intransparent and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio-sourveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences.
Private person	Since this kind of participation is too intransparent and too short-term. This global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for all people of the world to vote including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Private	intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement
Self	Since your constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I am writing to you. It is one thing if you were to say, limit international travel during a pandemic, but quite another for you to decide what happens within the border of any given country. I completely disagree with you having global control over a pandemic. As we have seen with this pandemic, there were many paths of failure and it took some time to figure much of anything out. If there aren't various ways of dealing with a pandemic, how can we objectively figure out the best way? No one way will always be the best way for each pandemic. My suggestion? Stop the crazy scientists gain of function research which has clearly been problematic and created this pandemic. The whole idea of that type of research is clearly insane. I could see this all the way back to RSV origins. Ridiculously reckless!!
Private individual	Sir / Madam, Regarding your consultation, I have the following to state as necessary: * National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must remain. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	Sir and/or Madam: I'm very concerned about the fact, that private investors contribution to the WHOs budget surpass the money of the sum of member fees paid by all the countries around our world! Therefore as a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations had to be prohibited. Moreover mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! The characteristic of modern civilization means transparent manner with all decision-making and taking into full account a careful balance of human rights, social and economic interests and, most important, of ALL health interests. Hence critical voices must be included in the decision-making body. Sincerely Mag.art.Wilhelm Lukarsch
None	Sir or Madam, While I appreciate that global preparedness is an important issue, this is best achieved by countries working together. I OBJECT IN THE STRONGEST POSSIBLE TERMS to the soverignty of individual nations being subservient to global institutions for which citizens did not vote. The sovereignty of individual nations must be sacrosanct and any agreement must be sovereign nations working in agreement rather than having diktats imposed on them from above. Thanks you.
self - human	Sir or madam, We are against ANY external controls, mandates or any other curtailment of cointrol over our own bodies. 1. We have inalienable rights over our body. 2. We do not trust YOUR science or whatever you may decide for us. 3. Goiod nutrition, supplementation and exercise will do more for the human health condition than any dictated medical courses of action. SO we are against any of this global control over our health, food and supplementation.
Personal	Sir, I do not agree that WHO, an entity that established based on world health issue solely has the sovereignty to rule on countries over the globe using such instrument. Each country should retain its full autonomy, and should has the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. WHO is not established for this purpose and therefore shall have never had such capacity to do so. Even in this respect of instrument, all countries, regions, provinces should have the right to opt out any or even all portions of the agreement as they see fit and this should be done by the respective countries, regions, provinces etc at their sole discretion without consequence. I suggest an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it and; such measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The above summary my opinions, and this represent the majority of view of the people in globe as well.
private	Sir, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We do not need WHO to give one-size-fits-all instructions.
None	Sir/Madam The prospect of the World Health Organization using its power to control the response of sovereign nations during future pandemics is nothing short of frightening. During the COVID-19 pandemic, WHO has proven that it responded and continues to respond to the demands of its major funder, Bill Gates. With Gates' heavy investments in vaccine technology, it came as no surprise that WHO's response relied heavily on vaccinations as a "cure" for the SARS-CoV-2 virus. As research is now showing, the COVID-19 vaccines have proven to be completely ineffective against the current pandemic with multiple boosters being required to maintain a poor semblance of immunity. In addition, the long-term health ramifications of the mRNA and adenovirus vaccines are completely unknown since long-term trials are not yet complete. I would respectfully ask that WHO not pursue this "new international instrument" in any form since the organization has proven itself to be incapable of managing the current pandemic. Steve Thompson
None	Sir/Madam, With due respect, the proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WCH believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Sincerely, Linthoi Nongthombam India 13th April, 2022
None	Sir/Madam, With due respect, the proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. People have a right to participate in any agreement that affects our lves, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Request your review of the agreement and involve participation. Thanks, Linthoi Nongthombam India, 13th April, 2022
Private individual	Sir/Madam, I am aware of pandemic preparations underway for the planet and have lived through 2 years of lockdowns without any personal incident. I did not subscribe to the treatment. I am a witness to the disastrous effects of forced/coerced medical treatments that have hurt a lot of people under the guise of 'protecting' them. You are humans also....we were born with an immune system. Why is there no focus on BOOSTING the immune response? We need better quality foods....not more big pharma chemicals!!! We were born free...let us strive to create better living conditions for all! There will no need for pandemic preparations then. I sincerely hope you are listening. I am but one drop in the ocean. Be nice to think this message was received.and attention paid to it. Warm regards, Patricia
American citizen	Sir/Madame, The following must be addressed and included in any treaty regarding world health: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Kathrin Burleson
None	Sirs - In list form, and instrument should contain provision for: Anonymity and privacy of health information; Personal control and knowledge of information held; Subordination of any global instrument to the laws and rights enjoyed by individuals in their own countries; The right to refuse any medical treatment or disclose any medical condition; The right to be free of any penalty or discrimination deriving from individual health choices; A guarantee against unlawful imprisonment (aka quarantine, isolation) from all signers of the convention. Recognition that individuals "owe" no health information to any government/state entity or officer, ever, and any supply of such information is strictly for the convenience and benefit of the individual person. End
Arden Investment Advisors	Sirs or Madames: By training I am a financial analyst. Because I have exceptional skills in reading statistical analyses, I am writing on the basis of my EXTENSIVE reading and study about the recent Covid 19 Pandemic. Specifically, I recommend that there be NO PUBLIC HEALTH RESPONSE to any future pandemic excepting two items: 1) Public health authorities should strongly broadcast its support of the inviolability and sanctity of the doctor/patient relationship; and 2) Public health authorities should strongly broadcast its support for the free exchange of and free speech for all medical and scientific opinions on any and all communications platforms, including medical journals, scientific papers, traditional media outlets (including state owned ones), and, especially, social media (specifically Twitter, Facebook, Google, and YouTube). Thank you for your consideration of my comments. Stephen W. Shipman, CFA Henly, TX
On behalf of all humanity	Sirs On behalf of a humanity with no voice I respectfully suggest: All plans for pandemic readiness must be predicated upon clear data which is thoroughly checked to be not in the self interest of any person, group or parties. All human beings of this planet must be cared for and treated with humanity at all times. Do not leave anyone isolated and alone. Do not kill family pets. Do not inject any living person with experimental drugs. Do not spread fear. You have the ability and expertise to plan and carry out these plans with care and dignity for all people. We deserve better. We deserve respect. I hope my voice is heard. Sondhannah Blue
Self Employed, FilmLinks	Unfortunately, due to your actions of the past two years, very few people actually have even a sliver of trust in the integrity of WHO. Because my parents works with WHO in China, during the war, I will do my best to suggest how the organization MUST be REDEEMED. Your new Treaty Document MUST include the following: Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
General Public	Sirs, I believe that the best response to any future pandemic remains the responsibility of the elected government for that country. Providing information and guidance to the elected authority is invaluable, but that country must decide on its own cause of action in order to fight any pandemic. More affluent countries must have the option to deal with it differently to poorer countries. Unfortunately time and distance will always be major factors dependant on the size of any countries response to provide the necessary support. You can't recommend logistics. Jason Taylor
None	Sirs, Health care decisions should be left to the individual family and the local government. Thank you.

Organization	Written submission
Private citizen	Sirs, I am a living man of the United Kingdom and currently live in a democratic environment. This means we are able to vote for changes to our Government every 4 to 5 years. We also have local councils where we can affect change and we still have the House of Lords who can overrule Government decisions. This means we have the power, although limited, to prevent changes that are not in the public interest. A prime example was The Poll Tax under the Margaret Thatcher Government where massive protests across the country forced a Government climbdown. Our elected Government are voted in to represent and serve the people. We do not want to be dictated to and want freedom of choice. This means that I reject an international instrument on pandemics as it is too dictatorial. Evidence is now coming out that shows that the lockdown during the last two years was too extreme and has caused much hardships including unnecessary deaths. I do not want the same again. Governments must be answerable to the people. What is required is more communication and openness from Governments, scientists, bodies like WHO and Health authorities. Currently we have been getting mixed messages across the globe. South African doctor who discovered the Delta variant stated at the time that it was not as severe as earlier strains but our Government and others ignored this and were eventually proved wrong. Our ONS figures confirm this. So, until I am satisfied that necessary steps are put in to safeguard the population I am opposed to your proposal. Many thanks.
The Children's School of St. John's	Sirs. Please, I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. That an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Lesley Furton
Independent- Healthcare Benefits Management- MPH	SMART Goals for preparedness: Specific Measurable Actionable Relevant Timely. Missing this time: Transparency, Debate, Trust. Need Freedom of speech, freedom to debate, freedom and transparency in reporting. Lack of trust due to canceling dissenting views and people. Need validated tests that work. Need a guide & checklist with impact studies : healthcare workers, mental health, school & education impact to students, local business and economic impact, crime impact, religious and faith institutions impact. Need a nonpartisan non elected leadership team - local on the ground. #stopthetreaty
privat	So ein Vertrag , der die Deutungshoheit über Definition einer Notlage und den zur Bekämpfung geeigneten oder notwendigen Massnahmen in die Hände EINER NICHT DURCH WAHL ZUSAMMENGESETZTEN ORGANISATION WIE DER WHO LEGT, AN DEN PARLAMENTEN VORBEI, ist gefährlich, nicht verhältnismäßig und daher ABZULEHNEN. Die Frage ist nicht, WIE soll er aussehen, sondern OB er geschlossen werden soll: NEIN, so etwas SOLL NICHT BESCHLOSSEN WERDEN. Er beschneidet unzulässig die SOUVERÄNITÄT des demokratisch gewählten SOUVERÄNS, der Länder Parlamente und gefährdet Freiheit und Würde, Selbstbestimmung, Datenschutz, Meinungsfreiheit, Erziehungsfreiheit, Religions- und Gewissensfreiheit. Auch für die Massnahmen der Länder gilt, besonders in Bezug auf IMPFUNG: Der Nürnberger Kodex muß vollkommen erfüllt werden und darf nicht beliebig ausgelegt, verfälscht oder ignoriert werden.Die Grundrechte , insbesondere das Recht der körperlichen Unversehrtheit , ist jederzeit zu achten. Die MEDIZINISCHE EVIDENZ Angemessenheit und Verhältnismäßigkeit ist jederzeit zu achten. Kein Mensch darf zu einem medizinischen Eigriff gegen seinen Willen gezwungen werden., insbesondere nicht zu einer Impfung, zu Eingriffen in die körperliche Intgrität zum Zwecke der Identitätssicherung, der digitalen Überwachung mittel technischer Devices wie Mikrochips oder ähnlichem. Unabhängige Gerichte müssen jederzeit angerufen werden können, wo Freiheits- und Menschenrechte in irgendeiner Form beschnitten oder verletzt werden.
Privat	So ein Vertrag darf nicht die Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten und Regionen aushebeln. Politiker und Vertreter von Wirtschaftsunternehmen (Pharmaunternehmen....) haben eine hohe Verantwortung und müssen Maßnahmen nachvollziehbar abwägen und auch strafrechtlich zur Verantwortung gezogen werden können, wenn sie grob fahrlässig oder vorsätzlich nicht der wirklichen Situation entsprechend handeln und Kollateralschäden verursachen, welche durch die Gefahren für die Gesundheit nicht gerechtfertigt sind. Dazu gehören auch Freiheitseinschränkungen, Schäden durch Medikamente usw.
ABC	So you have a new treaty for the expansion of "treating people like farm animals"? No thanks.
DW	Society is not at this time homogenised to the degree that central edicts may be sufficient or suitable for the purposes of good governance or citizens interests.
privat	Solch ein Vertrag hebelt die Souverenität des Staates und die Souveränität jedes einzelnen Menschen aus.Die Menschenrechte werden mit Füßen getreten.Die Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaindustriellen wird nicht mehr gegeben. Pharmaunternehmen werden Experimente am Menschen statuieren können,auf Kosten von Leben und Gesundheit,ohne zur Rechenschaft gezogen zu werden. Jeder Mensch auf Erden soll ein selbstbestimmtes Leben führen.Jeder Mensch entscheidet selbst über seinen Körper.Die WHO ,die Ärzte und alle anderen haben eine beratende Funktion.Keiner hat das Recht über das Leben anderer zu bestimmen.Die Schöpfung Mensch hat niemand als Experiment zu nutzen.Solch ein Pandemievertrag mit dem Erstellen von Kontrollmechanismen wie Digitalpässe ,Versuchsinjektionen um nur einiges zu nennen,sind die Basis dafür,Menschen als Untertanen zu behandeln.
Centro de Investigação em Saúde de Manhiça (CISM), Mozambique	Solidarity cannot be charity, it must be commitment and action. We must carve solidarity into the pandemic preparedness plan by having equity of access to health technologies and information as a pillar principle enshrined in this treaty; and start executing solidarity now by allocating sufficient resources through mandatory contributions and an adequate legal and governance mechanism with binding principles for preparedness before the next pandemic. Relaying on voluntary contributions, voluntary donations into pools, or ad-hoc processes such as TRIPS flexibilities has proven disastrously insufficient for pandemic response. Access must be equitable, not charitable nor unequally distributed. A mandatory equitable public access policy should be attached to: • Before a pathogen becomes pandemic: - Public funding of any element of the architecture of pandemic preparedness and response (research, development, manufacturing, surveillance, healthcare, etc). - Data sharing (of pathogens, sequences, results) between institutions receiving any public financing and industries or startups must include clauses to guarantee that the downstream products of R&D become a collective good safeguarded by at least mandatory sharing of IP to enable diverse manufacturing. • When a pathogen shows pandemic potential: - IP must be shared to expand manufacturing. This will require a broader delinked system of R&D funding to ensure the capacity to free IP when necessary. The global R&D agreement that CEWG recommended and was never discussed left us unprepared for this pandemic. The pandemic treaty must be a generational game changer. We owe it to those who died to not fail again in being too little ambitious.
N. A.	Sollte der Vertrag bzw. das neue Instrument zur Pandemievorsorge und -bekämpfung tatsächlich von allen Mitgliedstaaten unterzeichnet werden, so müssen unbedingt folgende Punkte beachtet werden und dürfen auf keinen Fall ignoriert werden: die Souveränität des Staates die Selbstbestimmung des Einzelnen, die Achtung und Einhaltung der Menschenrechte insbesondere der Schutz der körperlichen Unversehrtheit, der Schutz der Bewegungsfreiheit, der Schutz der privaten Daten und der Schutz des Transportwesens und der Wirtschaft. So dürfen bei der Entscheidung über Maßnahmen zur Pandemievorsorge- und/oder Bekämpfung nicht nur auf Maßnahmen geschaut, sondern die Verhältnismäßigkeit der Maßnahmen und /oder Verbote überprüft und das Einbinden in größere Zusammenhänge vollzogen werden.
Privatperson	Sollte es so eine Vereinbarung\einen Vertrag geben, sollten unbedingt Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen gewahrt werde, die Achtung der Menschenrechte erfolgen, die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen gewährleistet sein und es darf keinerlei Bereicherung an einer solchen Krise möglich sein - die Steuergelder der Bürger, die Firmen zur Entwicklung zur Verfügung gestellt werden, müssen rückwirkend, bei erfolgreicher Entwicklung u.ä., wieder zurückfließen!!!! Einnahmen müssen wieder in bereits vorgenommene/ neue/ andere Maßnahmen fließen. Expertengremien müssen aus unterschiedlichsten Bereichen zusammengesetzt werden und unterschiedlichste Meinungen müssen gehört und berücksichtigt werden. Die Experten müssen unabhängig sein/bleiben dürfen. Auch darf eine medizinische Behandlung nicht als Bedingung für den Erhalt der Grundrechte/Menschenrechte dienen und auch die Verpflichtung seine digitalen Gesundheitsdaten jederzeit auf einem Smartphone abrufbar zu haben und jedermann Einsicht zu gewähren, darf unter keinen Umständen verpflichtend eingeführt werden!
Individual	Some important safeguards to the human and constitutional rights of people in all nations that need to be included are the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	Some of thecet important elements that should be included in an international instrument on pandemic response are as follows: Each nation and local jurisdiction is to remain autonomous. They retain the right to make decisions for their people based on their governing body. All nations, districts, cities, states, and individuals within these places can opt out of any directive at any time without consequence. They will retain all of their human rights even in a pandemic. Individuals within each nation will be allowed to fully make health decisions for themselves. Implementation of any remedy for a pandemic will be voluntary for all people of every nation. Individuals will not be penalized or restricted for opting out of the proposed pandemic response and choosing other safeguards and remedies. There must be safeguards to protect the pandemic response from interference from organizations and companies that could profit from specific intervention. This includes, but is not limited to governments, businesses, pharmaceutical companies, manufacturers, and medical organizations. The process must be transparent. All meetings and decisions should be available and viewable by citizens of every nation.
None	Some points First and foremost there is no treaty and your question asks us to respond like there is- this reflects undemocratic authoritarianism of this process. Bottom line - NO TREATY 1)WHO are unelected officials. We have elected officials using democratic process to navigate pandemics to represent the diversity of healthcare response/choices. 2)A one size fits all response is reckless and destroys bodily integrity rights. 3)We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.all financial and personal interests must be revealed. 4) All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind and choose their own healthcare. Censorship and dictatorship is illegal and immoral. 5) All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 6)People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. People have right to move freely and the right to determine what medications and vaccines they receive.
Private citizen	Some substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: It must state that it is guided by the Charter of the United Nations, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Covenants on Human Rights, the Vienna Declaration, the Nuremberg Code and individual countries' human rights codes/charters. It must state that it is not mandatory but only recommendatory. It must not claim to override signatories' right to self-determination. It must clearly recommend transparency and the involvement of civil society when or if recommendations are to be applied. It must outline the mechanisms available for remedies and reparation for victims of gross violations of international human rights law and serious violations of international humanitarian law. In my opinion this Treaty can be necessary or useful only if it's intent is to guarantee the non-repetition of the global human rights disaster which both governments and international bodies presided over during this current pandemic. I repeat the statement made by UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, Michelle Bachelet on October 14, 2020. "the COVID–19 pandemic has also caused "profound, multi-faceted blows" to fundamental freedoms worldwide, curbing vital civil liberties," As we know, the management of this pandemic did far worse and her observations were vast understatements. An International Treaty must encourage vigilance and provide a mechanism for more timely and persistent sounding of well-founded alarms.
US Citizen	Something seems to be amiss in your instructions to We The People. Please retract your untruths and get out of the way. This is OUR COUNTRY,OUR CHILDREN, OUR SCHOOLS. NOT YOURS.
WReaiu24	Soon it will be your end !
No organization	Sorry, i dont write englich, online german! Keine internationale Lösungen für Probleme der Welt, auch nicht bei Pandemien. Es müssen nationale Lösungen gefunden werden, da es sonst zuviel Macht in einer Organisation wie zum Beispiel der WHO liegt. Keinen Impfzwang, keine Digitalisierung! Größtmögliche Freiheit der Staaten und der Völker, aller Menschen!!!
private	south Africans can handle their own issues without WHO interference
Privat	Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten wie auch der einzelnen Personen. Vollständige Garantien der Übernahme von Schäden von Pandemieverordnungen und Impfverordnungen für die veranlassende Organisation und Regierungen sowie auch die Pharmaindustrie. Diese müssen voll umfänglich für entstandene Impfschäden aufkommen. Dafür benötigt werden unabhängige Ärzte, Gutachter und Rechtsanwälte. Eine Überprüfung der Pandemieverordnungen von unabhängigen nicht Regierungsorganisationen.
private person	Souveränität der einzelnen Staaten Souveränität von Privatpersonen Wahrung der Menschenrechte Wahrung geltender Gesetze und Verordnungen für Arzneimittelsicherheit Haftung von Politikern und pharmazeutischen Unternehmen
privat	Souveränität der Nationalstaaten, Nationalrat vor UN-Recht, Unversehrtheit des Körpers, der Wohnung und der Privatsphäre, keine digitale Überwachung, keinen digitalen Identitätsnachweis, keinen digitalen Impfausweis, strenge Kontrolle der zugelassenen Medikamente, Ausweisung der Inhaltsstoffe aller Medikamente, Gleichstellung von Schulmedizin und Homöopathie, natürliche Landwirtschaft ohne Gifte und genverändertes Saatgut, maximale Freiheit für alle Bürger, kontrollierte Migration, Machtbegrenzung von Institutionen und Politikern, von Wahlbeobachtern kontrollierte Wahlen, Verträge, die die Bürger, den Handel mit anderen Ländern betreffen und die Souveränität der Nationalstaaten aushebeln dürfen nicht geschlossen werden, Transparenz bei Vertragsinhalten, Verkleinerung des UN-Parlaments, Offenlegung von Lobby-Einflüssen, Verringerung der Macht der EU, UN, Nato, Besatzungsmacht, ausländischer Investoren; Volksabstimmungen, Zerschlagung der Medienkonzerne wegen Machtbegrenzung, Golddeckung des Euro, Stützung der Familie, Experten für politische Ämter, Entscheidungen nach Logik, dem Besten für die Völker und die Umwelt; Unverkäuflichkeit der Umwelt und der Infrastruktur.
AFD	Souveränität der Staaten muss über der WHO Gesetzgebung stehen. Keine medizinische Zwangsbehandlung. Spritzpflicht muss sich den Willen der einzelnen Menschen unterordnen. Jeder Mensch bestimmt mit seinen freien Willen die Behandlung selbst. Die WHO garantiert die Körperliche Unversehrtheit aller Menschen. Keine Medizinische Zwangsbehandlung. Auf klassische Bewährte Medikamente setzen und keine Verwendung von Gentechnik in der Medizin. Mit freundlichen Grüßen Wiesner I.
private	Souveränität der Staaten Achtung der Menschenrechte! Haftung bei Impfschäden durch Hersteller Keine Impfstoffe, die eine Notzulassung haben!
Privat	Souveränität von Staat, Personen und Menschen MUSS bleiben, Menschenrechte müssen vollumfänglich bleiben (derzeitiger stand), Haftung muss bei Hersteller sein (für produkte, die an Menschen, Tieren, Pflanzen angewandt werden). Begriff Pandemie erst ab Sterberate von mind.40%. Zulassung anderer Medikamente (weltweit) verpflichtend
Personal	Sovereign Americans are not governed or any freedoms limited by any agency or government in this world. Withdraw any request to control or dictate the actions of citizens in any country.
WHC	Sovereign countries and peoples have been designed by God to be just that. Sovereign. Only God has sovereign power of HIS individualized nations and peoples. Stop trying to play God. It ends badly every single time. No one organization or small group of individuals should have power over the rest. Tyranny.
volunteer	Sovereign countries and their leadership must retain full autonomy and make timely decisions based on what is best for them.
INDIVIDUAL	Sovereign countries should be able to draw their own conclusions on what action to take and indeed whether there is actually a pandemic. Individuals should not have to prove themselves healthy (as in your fraudulent 'current pandemic'). Your treaty should respect these human rights.
Self	Sovereign countries should continue to make decisions that make sense for their country, without there being a centralization of the decision-making power. Independent countries continue to call the shots for their people. They should also not be obligated in any way to accept or participate if they feel what is being decided upon is not right for their country and their people. A fair, just, and Democratic vote must involve be involved so that the will of the people is reflected in any decision made. Lobbying for position, money, or power should not be allowed into the process. It must remain clean, untainted, transparent, and above-board.
None	Sovereign countries/nations/states must remain sovereign. This should Not violate a country's constitution, but should be subject to each countries' laws and constitution. There should be NO penalty for non compliance. There should be NO worldwide health data base of medical history/medical records. Individuals should retain the ability to retain their individual rights and privacy if they so choose.
none	Sovereign decision making for every country must be secured. Ideally there would be no international pandemic preparedness instrument.
Sovereign Individual	Sovereign individuals in sovereign nations should be able to choose their own response and be able to request assistance as necessary. Each locality has its own needs, requirements and customs and should be afforded the dignity of their own approach.
private	Sovereign national governments decide in democratic processes how to deal with a pandemic. And that is a good thing! Outsourcing political authority and decision-making powers to the WHO is an insult to the authority of national governments. The WHO can make recommendations, similar to the Council of Europe but decision-making authority must always belong to national governments. Expanding the powers of the WHO is a betrayal of democracy. It threatens people's fundamental rights and freedoms and curtails the powers of national parliaments. It allows corporations and private donors, more easily than ever before, to selectively influence pandemic, political decisions and instrumentalize WHO to undermine national law and national governments. This form of exercise of power and the risk of abuse it poses prohibits pursuing such an idea.
Private retired individual	Sovereign National Governments should be left alone to decide their own pandemic response plans tailored to country specific and even regional requirements and not have their health plans dictated by the WHO. There is no justification or need for a world wide response led by a completely unelected group like the WHO. The Covid crisis has shown that this does more harm than good. The WHO has no legitimate authority to interfere into the business of elected representatives of sovereign governments. There is no need for any 'International Instrument' for pandemic preparedness led by a supra national organisation which is accountable to nobody. This is not democracy and cannot be tolerated.
Private individual	Sovereign nations are free nations with elected governments. The WHO is a private organisation which is influenced far too much by China and Gates it must not be allowed to dictate to countries their response to a future pandemic.We do not have world government. One organisation controlling the world response would be a bad idea as shown by this pandemic where the WHOs advise has been wrong so many times. There must not be a one size fits all policy.
Self	Sovereign nations are not subject to global governance. What citizens choose to put in their bodies is an individual choice protected by the U.S. Constitution, particularly with regard to freedom of association, freedom of movement, the pursuit of happiness and, above all, religious freedom. Humans are not livestock that are subject to injections and modifications as dictated by an unelected, un-Constitutional global organization.
US Citizen	Sovereign nations are not under the control of ANY organization besides their elected governments. WHO has no business running any nation under any situation. They can have their input, they have no power. All nations most not comply. Covid restrictions from WHOa nd CDC were a disaster, let's never do that again.
U.S. Citizen	Sovereign nations MUST be allowed to create their own policy in preparing and responding to pandemics. It is not the role of the WHO to mandate policy for free citizens. "The greater good" is a false premise for tyranny and control. Better monitoring and containment BEFORE a virus becomes a global pandemic is the role of the WHO, as should have occurred with SARS-COV2 as it emerged in China. Ancestors of U.S. citizens who fought, risked their lives, and died for freedom MUST be honored by U.S. citizens and governments retaining the autonomy to create their own pandemic response.
USA	Sovereign nations must be allowed to decide for themselves what is in the best interests of the country in response to a pandemic or other global issues. Sovereign nations must be allowed to decide not to participate in any decision made by WHO with no ill consequences for the nation.
NYC Department of Education former employee	Sovereign nations must make their own decisions about the health policies that will determine the lives of their citizens and residents. The WHO should make NO policy that would override a nation's own decision making in the event of a pandemic or any health problem or threat. What is good for one group may not work for another. Stop attempting the globalization of policies. The WHO can recommend, but it is not a national legislative body.
Private individual	Sovereign nations must remain 100 autonomous & independent in their ability to respond to and or reject any and or all of the provisions included in this international 'instrument of preparedness'. The acceptance or rejection of any measures included in the written document must be dependent on a fully comprehensive democratic process, conducted by each individual sovereign nation according to their own individual democratic systems. All of the measures outlined in the written instrument must be tranaparent & open to any and all investigation & analysis by any independent party and this investigation should be facilitated & supported by the WHO to be conducted in a thorough & timely manner. None of the measures included should have any connections whatsoever with any pharmaceutical companies or any other party likely to profit financially in any way from any of the measures outlined or recommended as part of the preparedness and responses as outlined on the document. There should be no mandating of medical interventions at an individual level.
n/a	Sovereign nations must remain independent and sovereign in every regard, and their people free of the chains that bind others'. NO global or international pandemic "policy" or "instrument" should be enacted because the mere concept of such a construct undermines the liberty of people and nations, thereby placing limits on innovation, improvement, and excellence in problem solving. The same minds that create pandemics cannot resolve them, and pandemics are "by definition" generated and perpetuated by "world leadership." The same thinking that dwells on controlling others through "coordination" and "cooperation" will not raise humanity above its self-imposed limits and problems -- and this is why "coordination" and "cooperation" are facades for weakness and mediocrity, and are quintessential precursors of failure. Stand down, let the people and nations lead through independence, freedom, and the pursuit of excellence, which is fundamentally the reason for the perpetuation of the human species. NO TO ANY AND ALL GLOBAL/INTL PANDEMIC "POLICY" or "INSTRUMENT."
Citizen	Sovereign nations must remain so. Citizens of the United States of America uniquely have a constitution that recognizes and protects their God given rights. No entity can compel any American citizen to do what is not in their best interest and/or tread upon their God given rights. WHO must continue to work as it has, to operate in good faith and be able to prove what it requests is in anyone's best interest and ask for compliance accordingly. There can be no legally binding agreement that requires anyone or any entity to do what is not in their best interest. There can be no legally binding agreement that provides free reign to this, or any, organization to control others' healthcare decisions. No body, including this one, has proven to be reliable or capable or trustworthy enough to do this for any one. WHO's powers must not be increased and no 'legally binding' agreement to compel any American citizen to engage in what is not in their self-determined best interest will be considered constitutional. I respectfully request that you choose to respect the freedom and God given right to self determination of all people.
USA	Sovereign nations must retain full autonomy at both the national and local levels and be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence or fear of reprisal. The process must be transparent and open and there should be failsafe measures in place that will prevent the enactment of the global agreement where a majority of the people don't want it. Further, there should be measures in place that prevent the pharmaceutical companies from having any influence on the process. The one-size-fits-all approach does not work; the people must retain sovereignty over their bodies and choices.
Individual	Sovereign nations must retain the ability to make their own choices for their own people. The WHO is an unelected, undemocratic and privately funded group who do not have the best interest of humanity at their core. They have time and time again demonstrated their loyalty to industry. Humans have inalienable rights which cannot be nullified by any group. Not even the WHO. Do not imagine the people of the world will be so easily given to serve and obey WHO. Future pandemic management must be under the sole governance of each nation. The WHO should never be anything more than advisor and this advisor must be free of industry contamination! Do not allow the manufacturers of the "solution" to be a part of the response. This Covid19 had clearly demonstrated the result of what happens when vaccine manufacturers plan pandemic response. Myocarditis, strokes, clot disorders and death. It is unconscionable. We do not want to grant this WHO that power!
SD	Sovereign nations must retain the right to determine their own course of actions. A one-size fits all approach response to a pandemic has been shown to fail with the Covid-19 crisis. Authorities in every nation must retain the ability to assess the health needs of their own populations and proceed accordingly, not compromising or abrogating the civil liberties and human rights of their people. The WHO can remain as a resource to these sovereign nations, but in no way should the decision-making powers be ceded to these unelected bureaucrats. Citizens of every nation must retain their rights of self-determination.
N/a	Sovereign nations must retain the right to make decisions regarding what is best for their own citizens, and must be allowed to opt out of any proposed policy. Pharmaceutical companies must not influence policy, and any data from pharma trials must be independently verified. Any proposed measures must be supported by evidence, and the evidence must be debated openly and transparently.
Concerned Citizen	Sovereign nations must retain the right to opt out of all or part of any proposed pandemic response coming from the WHO. All decisions on what response is be implemented in a sovereign nation shall be made by the governments of that nation and not the WHO. The WHO does not govern Australia and hence has no authority to act. It is appropriate for the WHO to advise only.
None	Sovereign nations need to hold the ability to make their own decisions. If a country does decide to sign up then that country should be able to withdraw from any or all parts of the treaty.
self	Sovereign nations should all reject this treaty. Any signed treaty, must permanently provide for: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy and sovereignty, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and tranaparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No digital surveillance, censorship, mandatory vaccinations, nor lockdowns of citizens.
Self	Sovereign nations should develop their own plans. The Who should not be in charge of pandemic preparedness.
None	Sovereign nations should never hand over their right to govern themselves to an unelected body regardless of what continent the nation is found on . We the People means just that . Wisdom is found among the people of all nations , therefore they are equipped to make their own decisions regarding the path their nation should take . " Power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely ". Keep it as local as possible .
N/A	Sovereign nations should retain the power to make decisions on how to handle a health crisis. Climate, nation's development levels, living conditions, are just a few examples of why a "one size fits all" approach cannot work. No one trusts the WHO any more following the lies and truth censoring, financial corruption and collusion of the last 2 years.
Private	Sovereign nations, and States, are not to cede their responsibilities to International entities (like the 'WHO'). The United States has a Constitution, and is a Constitutional Republic. The WHO plays no part in our government, and laws. The WHO also has shown themselves to be inept (possibly more inept than the current US Government) and controlled by foreign corporations and the PRC (possibly to a greater degree than our Government). The United Nations, and organizations like the WHO, are to stay out of other Nations' business. This proposal for allowing the WHO mandate Anything in the United States is absurd.
privat	Sovereign states do not need an unelected international body to decide how to deal with pandemic situations. The WHO must remain an independent and advisory body and must not interfere with the rights of citizens - regardless of the nation! Stop these plans!
Future Resilient	Sovereign states must remain sovereign states, in charge of matters relating to health and safety. The World Health Organization must remain as guide and advisor with no powers to overrule nations or dictate policy.
Private Citizen	Sovereign states must retain their absolute and unfettered right to act as they see fit. Decisions made by the WHO must be made free of any and all vested interests and be seen sufficiently clearly to be so that no doubt or concern of conflict of interest could arise.
NA	Sovereignty and inalienable rights of people of the world must be fundamental. Ensure the national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Ensure the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Ensure an open and transparent process. Provide the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Holistic Healthcare	Sovereignty as local conditions vary & early treatment should not be suppressed to push uniform interventions as with COVID-19. Beware Totalitarianism will be resisted.
Self	Sovereignty for individuals, individual countries, and states within those countries should be the essential element.
Particular	Sovereignty in Mexico is a legacy of our ancestors and we will defend it. What happens in a suburb of Stockholm, Sweden is not the same as what happens in a town in Fresnillo, Zacatecas, Mexico. Each country and town has its customs, it has built its physical, emotional and mental health and we will not allow the WHO to come and tell us how we should take care of ourselves. We are responsible enough to know what is in the best interest of us and OUR CHILDREN and no institution will be above our decisions in terms of HEALTH and LIFE.
Unidos por la Verdad Cancun	Sovereignty in Mexico is a legacy of our ancestors and we will defend it. What happens in a suburb of Stockholm, Sweden is not the same as what happens in a town in Fresnillo, Zacatecas, Mexico. Each country and town has its customs, it has built its physical, emotional and mental health and we will not allow the WHO to come and tell us how we should take care of ourselves. We are responsible enough to know what is in the best interest of us and OUR CHILDREN and no institution will be above our decisions in terms of HEALTH and LIFE.
None	Sovereignty is integral to any nation's survival. No one world order should ever take place. WHO needs to stay out of individual country's business and laws.
Inspiro	Sovereignty is not only the cornerstone of individual human rights and civil liberties but also international law. Countries decide which actions or measures take place within their territory - not other nation-states and certainly not third-party organizations such as the WHO.
None	Sovereignty must be included. Sovereignty of the individual, sovereignty of a nation. Personal rights shall not be taken away in a pandemic. We need to honor a persons sovereign right to their bodies and their livelihoods. We need to honor a country's right to make the best decisions for their citizens. Sovereignty must be included.

Organization	Written submission
None	Sovereignty of countries must not be turned over to a NGO such as the WHO. Voters should have the ultimate say over how their health care is managed, and this should not be controlled by a non-elected group. Funding should not be provided, or accepted by pharmaceutical companies, foundations related to pharmaceutical companies or any other associations related to pharmaceutical companies or any other drug or other company that stands to benefit from health mandates. The ONLY people who should be responsible for putting forward health care recommendations in ANY situation should be independent, unbiased, professionals who are appointed by the electorate of that country. No one else has this authority or jurisdiction.
World Health Organization	Sovereignty of each nation, state, and local municipality must be maintained. The right to make decisions for the people must be maintained at the local level. There must be an option to opt out. Every person has the God given right to freedom that must not be abridged by any governmental or non governmental organization. This includes freedom of movement, and freedom to buy and sell in the marketplace without any form of identification or marking, digital or otherwise. Bodily autonomy must be respected. No vaccine mandates. Ever. Coercion is not consent.
None	Sovereignty of each nation's response should be protected Human Right. Self-determination of each nation's response should be protected Human Right. EACH INDIVIDUAL CITIZEN OF EVERY NATION SHOULD HAVE INTERNATIONAL PROTECTIONS OF HUMAN RIGHTS FOR BODILY AUTONOMY AND INFORMED CONSENT
Taproot Healing Institute	Sovereignty of individuals in sovereign countries is absolutely essential to create freedom and liberty for humanity. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kapsalon Lila	Sovereignty of my body.
Orchester des kaufmännischen Verbandes Zürich	Sovereignty of states and individuals, human rights and legal liability of governmental officers as well as pharmaceutical companies must be granted.
Private	Sovereignty of states and people, Respect for human rights, Liability of government officials and Pharmaceutical Industries
citizen of Germany	Sovereignty of states must remain. The rights and dignity of the individual must be respected and not sacrificed for public health. Fundamental rights must not be curtailed. Free vaccination decisions, no hastily approved medicines, including vaccines. Side effects must be respected and taken into account. Note that health is more than the absence of a particular pathogen. Respect and protect physical and mental health equally. Diagnosis of disease by doctors only, no inappropriate tests for diagnosis. Consider symptomless people as healthy people. No reduction of the human being to a disease carrier. No surveillance and digital control.
Citizen of the world	Soveriegn nations should make there own decisions based on their own needs. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individuo	Sovranità statale e individuale, Rispetto dei diritti umani, Responsabilità legale e anche penale per i funzionari governativi e le aziende farmaceutiche , Messa a disposizione immediata e tempestiva di tutti i dati medico-scientifici ,dei trial ecc. (inclusi i dati grezzi).
Pocket Group	Speaking on behalf of every living man woman and child; No thankyou. We do not want you making any decisions for us. We are aware of your agendas anf do not agree with them. We are sovereign. We will not had those rights over to you. Please close your business Thank you.
NA	Spiritual health is most important. This is a God given blessing of liberty. Men have been wrong about health issues in the past and are likely to be wrong again
Justyna Socha	Sprzeciw
Private	Sprzeciwiam się nadrzędności władzy organizacji terrorystycznej jaką jest WHO, nad suwerennymi państwami. państwami. Nie walczycie z wyimaginowaną pandemią, walczycie z całą ludzkością. Wolność i niepodległość.
Osoba prywatna	Sprzeciwiam się przyjęcia traktatu pandemicznego, który chce wprowadzić WHO Mówię, nie dla traktatu pandemicznego w moim kraju Polska.
Polska	Sprzeciwiam się rządom WHO, Nie jest to organizacja, która działa na rzecz dobra dla ludzi naszej planety. Wszystko co robi jest przeciw godności praw przynależnionych człowiekowi. Jako suweren Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej nie zgadzam się aby globalne działania prywatnych firm ubijały interesy kosztem zdrowia i życia...Jest to konflikt interesów i nie ma nic wspólnego z dobrem, wręcz odwrotnie...milionowe zyski i chęć władania światem dla koncernów farmaceutycznych, które 80% dotują WHO. WHO to samo zło, już nie raz czytaliśmy, co zrobili na tej planecie. Dążą do depopulacji ludzkości... chociażby stosunek zysków i strat przez szczepienia na Covid-19. Każda nacja inaczej przechodzi zakażenia, jedna eksperymentalna szczepionka dla wszystkich to tylko i wyłącznie eksperyment, zabroniony po II WS.
Prywatna	Sprzeciwiam się segregacji sanitarnej i wszelkim paszportom covidowym, które powinny być natychmiast usunięte z życia wszystkich ludzi.
Self	SROP THE WHO PANDEMIC TREATY 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Privat	Staaten müssen für sich entscheiden können und unabhängig sein. Nein zur WHO Regierung.
Blaster Inc	Stand down. You have no jurisdiction over any sovereign nation. The consequences of any illegal action will be grave for all involved.
SHF	Stand for Health Freedom is opposed to any policies that require US citizens to do something in the context of health simply because a supranational agency claims they must. We have seen the devastation that follows this type of decision-making when federal level policy is forced on states and local communities. The agreement proposed by the WHO in not acceptable because it attempts to remove local control beyond the federal government and instead to a global level. Health Freedom Advocates are for cooperation, but not for loss of sovereignty. The WHO is an international agency that should work for us, not the other way around, so we can strengthen our local and federal responses and resiliency in times of health emergencies. Sovereignty is not only the cornerstone of individual human rights and civil liberties but also international law. Countries "decide which actions or measures take place within their territory—not other nation-states and certainly not third-party organizations such as the WHO."
Retired	Start making people responsible for their own health. Push personal responsibility for peoples own health. Making better health related choices including what they eat, daily exercise, stop taking harmful medications (including illegal ones). Make certain effective medications available to Covid patients (like ivermectin and monoclonal antibodies) and supplements like zinc, d3, d12, b12, vit c, melatonin, etc.
Self	Start with the truth. You don't have to try n fool people. Use logic and independent sources as equals. Include nutritional natural steps to become healthy and fight n boost natural immunity. Don't make ot about pharmaceutical companies.
None	Start with truth. Then add science. The USA should withdraw from WHO and stop funding this corrupt organization that is in bed with Big Pharma We the People are on to you.
La Cascina dell'Anima	-state and individual sovereignety - human rights respect -legal and criminal liability for goverament officials and pharmaceutical companies and managers - immediate availability of All medical and technical data of the trial (included raw data) - Active adverse effects vigilance
None	State and individual sovereignty must be preserved and respect for human rights must be upheld. Both governments, vaccinators and especially pharmaceutical companies must be subject to legal liability for vaccine damage. The "pandemic preparedness agreement" would mean a circumvention of all democratic institutions, because the WHO itself is not democratically legitimized. But what is even more important: The signing of the treaty holds an enormous potential danger for the member states. This is because the agreement would allow the WHO to dictate any measures to countries. The only prerequisite: it declares a global state of emergency beforehand. However, it is the WHO itself that decides what constitutes a "global emergency. It cannot be that an organization dependent on the pharmaceutical industry and private donors determines the well-being of the earth's population. At the same time, such a centralized health policy makes no sense because it cannot take regional and individual needs into account and makes it impossible to respond to an emergency situation in a country- or region-specific way. Finally, different living conditions and environmental conditions require different measures in the event of a pandemic. Health needs freedom and democracy
None	State and personal sovereignty must be paramount, especially bodily autonomy with the right to refuse a medical procedure without any consequences namely the loss of employment or any other personal or social State/Government officials and pharmaceutical company employees must be held legally liable for their actions and must not be immune to prosecution if medical procedures and medicines they promote cause harm. Full transparency in relation to what is in any vaccine has to be included, also the financial interests of all those who benefit from the promotion of vaccines whether they be Government or Corporate.
privat person	State sovereignty of all decisions should be included as a central instrument. Measures for recording, evaluating and combating a pandemic and the associated, in some cases massive, restrictions on freedom and subsequent side effects (loss of employment, loss of education, loss of physical integrity) for citizens should remain a matter for government decision-makers or the citizens themselves and should be negotiated in a close cultural context of the people and should not be the direct responsibility of a global organization that is partly privately financed. However, supranational organizations such as the WHO should of course make recommendations on how governments can support holistic and self-responsible health practices among citizens.
Organization	State sovereignty, respect for the constitution, compliance with Human Rights, data protection must be guaranteed! Measures that (could) make citizen transparent and monitorable must be banned. Member States must provide 100% of WHO funding, private donations must be prohibited in advance
Biomasse Erzeugung	Statement on the (global) pandemic treaty! Dear Ladies and Gentlemen! The intention is probably noble, however, I do not entrust the salvation to those who have earned themselves in the last decades regarding causing the imbalance. A global pandemic management, which is primarily useful for the destructive functioning of the economy, is at best "optimization" of the catastrophe. Since our dominant economic system is one of the main causes of our problematic status quo, the structure of the WHO is at most suitable for a continuation. Exclusively a away from mega structures and a "small" in all conceivable areas is in my opinion that meaningful way for the mitigation of currently prevailing problems. Respectfully Hans Krenn
Privatperson	Staten müssen auch zukünftig alleinhandelnd Entscheidungen treffen können. In keinerlei Weise darf es eine Übergeordnete Instanz oder einen Verein wie z.B. die WHO geben, die eigenmächtig über einen Großteil der Menschheit bestimmen darf. Derzeit sind die Vorgaben der WHO eindeutig gesteuert und gelenkt und nehmen den Menschen ihre Freiheit. Nach meiner Meinung gehört die WHO abgeschafft und ich werde mich zumindest dafür einsetzen, dass Deutschland aus der WHO austritt !
Mother of the Collins family	States & Nations must NOT be bound by international dictates or the WHO. COVID showed that a uniform response is dangerous and ineffective because the needs vary so much on a local level. Nations must be able to opt out to any and all parts of the agreement as they deem fit without consequence or coercion. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Private citizen	States and countries should retain autonomy to address pandemic preparedness and response to their unique population demographics and lifestyle needs. The way a rural citizen in a westernized country lives (and therefore their inherent risk) is unique to the way someone in an urban setting or 3rd world setting lives, making each situation unique and requiring different levels of risk assessment and management. There should also be allowance for individual persons to determine what mitigations they see as valid to their situation, and pursue or reject those that many be recommended by a governing international agreement on a case by case basis. Lastly, any invasive or inherently restrictive measures should require the absolute consent of the individuals they are being offered to, and individuals who choose to accept them should be provided opportunity for compensation in the event of serious adverse event based on acceptance of invasive or restrictive interventions, as well a in the event they are victimized by coercion or forced to comply under unnecessary duress as they would not have been voluntarily willing to comply outside of said force or coercion.
U.S. Citizen	States and local governments are more than capable to handle this issue. There should be no international group of any non elected persons passing laws or attempting to govern anyone.
Individual	States and regions should remain sovereign in their health policies. The one fit all solution is not only anti-scientific but harmful. Body autonomy and the free will of individuals to choose their medical treatment must be respected. Mandates have proven to be harmful and against international and constitutional law. Therapeutical treatments and/or "vaccines" must be prescribed by a medical doctor after his/her assesment of the patient. Lockdowns,masks and other NFM must be prohibited as they have shown to be innefective and harmful. Isolation of elderly or any other group age prohibited. Concept of medicine should not only include chemicals, but specially natural products. Use of drugs in experimental phase, as current Mrna vaccines, should be very limited in their application to human beings. Informed consent must be always respected, and all sort of coertion measures prohibited. Experimental drugs on children should be forbidden. Borders should remain open and inalienable rights fully respected, even during emergency situations. Reservations for articles of the treaty must be possible.
wolna bez organizacji	Stawiam sprzeciw i nie zgadzam się ograniczać mojej wolnosci osobistej i suwerennolści państw.jestem wolnym człowiekiem i WHo nie ma prawa zabierac mi mojej wolnosci i narzucać swoich nieludzkich przepisów.
Massage	Stawiam zdecydowany sprzeciw ustalenia m konwencji ktora mialaby na celu pozbawienie suwerennosci w decyzjach I odbierania mozliwosci decydowania personalnego o sposobie leczenia I ustanowienia obowiazku do stosowania sie do zawartych w konwencji za sad.
home	stay away from everyones body with your drugs, we survived for millions of years without them and will survive many more do not do this. we all have body autonomy
Uk citizen	Stay away I do not comply
Citizen	Stay out of our lives, let We the People make our own decisions on how to handle our healthcare.
	Stay out of our medical decisions. Let people take care of their own health and their children's health. Stop government interference and WHO interference on these private choices.
Family	Stay out of ours private lives.
Streicher INC	Stay out of south africa, we are free people and will remain free people. We do not submit to you or your paid for politicians, the WHO along with the UN and UNESCO are terror organisations and will be treated as such. Your influence over our corrupt politicians do not carry weight with the people. If im unclear about my stance thusfar it is NO to your proposed health treaty.
Constitutionally protected American	Stay out of the Doctor patient relationship. Leave me and my doctor alone to make medical decisions that pertain to me. Guidance is fine. Heavy handed coercion is not. WHO should never be more than an advisor to the world. Centralized power never works well for we the little people.
A resident of the USA	Stay out of the USA's sovereignty rights on health issues. The WHO has no right to deem what our country has in terms of "health concerns" nor "pandemics".
Nichtspielercharaktäre für Frieden	Steckt euch euren Vertrag, mitsamt eurer Impfung in den Arsch
CDU	Stellungnahme zum Entwurf eines Pandemievertrages der WHO Die Menschenrechte sind unveräußerlich und müssen auch im Falle einer Pandemie gewahrt werden. Der Notfall rechtfertigt nicht die Außerkraftsetzung der Verfassungen der einzelnen Länder der Welt. Daher kann der Pandemievertrag der WHO nicht in der dargestellten Textfassung von allen Ländern unterschrieben werden. Die Souveränität der Länder kann auch im Falle einer Pandemie nicht außer Kraft gesetzt werden. Man hat am Beispiel der Corona-Pandemie gesehen, wie schnell aufgrund von Befürchtungen Menschenrechte außer Kraft gesetzt wurden und auf lange Zeit außer Kraft blieben. Dabei entsprach die reale Gefahr nicht der offiziellen Darstellung. Dadurch wurden ohne reale Begründung wichtige Grundsätze nationaler Verfassungen außer Kraft gesetzt. Das darf beim Pandemievertrag der WHO nicht der Fall sein. Daher lehne ich diesen Text ab und akzeptiere auch nicht, dass sich mein Land (Deutschland) daran beteiligt. Deutschland hat nicht das Recht, sich über meine persönlichen Verfassungsrechte hinwegzusetzen und in meinem Namen diesen übergreifenden Vertrag zu unterschreiben. Die WHO hat nicht das Recht, sich über die Verfassungen der Mitgliedländer zu erheben und suprantionales Recht zu schaffen. Auch genügt der vorgelegte Entwurf nicht den jeweils in den Ländern geltenden Datenschutzbestimmungen. Der Datenschutz darf nicht durch eine von der WHO einseitig ausgerufene Pandemielage ausgeschaltet werden! Der WHO Pandemievertrag darf daher nicht über den Einzelverfassungen der Länder stehen und die Bevölkerung, bzw. die Regierungen der Länder müssen die Entscheidungsgewalt über die Beendigung des Ausnahmezustands behalten! Daher bin ich gegen die Verabschiedung des Vertrag
Myself	Sto the Treaty, National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind Regards
We the people	STOP
Private	Stop It's not right to overlay our constitutional rights Even if there is whatever disease our rights have to supersede those measurements Also any acceptance by any bought minister is not in my interest
Non	Stop abusing your power. We will not comply!
Australia	Stop all pandemic treaties. The WHO should not have any control over how free sovereign people live.
Privat	Stop all the crap my body my choice not yours ever Stop existing Stop dehumanusation Stop your bs
Village Development Ltd	Stop all the mandates, we need to move on. people get sick every day and we dont stop the world for it. The mental health is now a bigger problem , people died because they didnt go and see a doctor when they werent feeling well, And are dying now because of cancer or died of other deseases. continuing this is a crime we loose more people because of the mandates or the issues with the vaccine, so stop it
None	Stop all vaccinations as they are doing much more harm than good. The vaccinated are getting sick constantly and are worse after every dose, vaccination during an epidemic breeds variants. These immune depleted victims catch every single one. This will dramatically lower the number of sick people.
privat	Stop any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response! I say NO!
Polish	stop compulsory vaccination!!! And covid pass
Prive	Stop deze waanzin. Wij zijn soevereine mensen. Wij beslissen over ons eigen lichaam..
Kinewax	Stop diesen Wahnsinn!!! Jeder Mensch soll für sich selber entscheiden können müssen. Wir leben in unterschiedlichen Ländern, mit unterschiedlichen Traditionen, klimatischen Zonen....etc. Es gibt unter diesen Bedingungen keine Gleichberechtigung!
farmer	stop drafting the new treaty no further power is necessary for WHO
None	Stop forcing us. Stop feeling in charge of us. Stop manipulating us. If anybody wants to be vaccinated, so be it. No one has the right to take humans freedom to decide what it's good for him. Michaela
	Stop gain of function research. Sovereign nations need will take care of their own citizens. A world treaty is not needed.
AFLDS Citizens Corp	Stop giving control to powers that have failed throughout our entire history. WHO is another way to control the masses while stripping freedom and liberties. Parents should have the rights to any parental control. We the people are the only ones who should be making decisions about our health and wellness. Not one small functioning body of government works, to think a World Order will solve health regulations is insanity. Our body, our choices, you can't control human spirit and beliefs. STOP
Self	Stop GL Obal pandemic treaty
nope	Stop global pandemic treaty. World Together's autocratic plan to draft a global convention or instrument can seriously undermine our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. This agreement has the potential to increase WHO's crushing power tenfold to declare unwarranted pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation about treatments that work. Pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice would no longer exist. I vote against! #StopTheTreaty
Private person	Stop Globalization! WHO has done a terrible job and does not deserve any powers over others.
Kaplan Hospital	Stop immedially pandemic treaty
Self	Stop international travel early. Give true and complete information, be transparent. Be open to all healthy, natural solutions, one way (medicine) doesn't work for for every human. Recommend good diet, exercise and lighting first.
IRBS	Stop it
Privat Health	Stop it ! We don't need this!!
Private	Stop it let people be free and let them have their own decisions!!
Nil	Stop it now
none	STOP IT NOW!!!!
None	Stop it! Immediately! We warnt our Freedom! We say No!
Me	Stop it!!!!
????????????????	STOP IT, STOP ALL OF YOUR WOKE, SICK, DEEP STATE, ILLUMINATI , CRAP . This is a RED state, we are taking our State back & our country You can try to shove every bill you can think of through but it will NEVER work. We The People are awake & We The People are taking this country back. & We The People WILL "NOT" BE SILENCED ! ! ! ! " " GOD WINS " " I DO NOT AGREE TO THE TERMS & HAVE 0 DESIRE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE HEARING
Privat	Stop jer selv, bare stop
Residential	STOP the billionaire-controlled proposal for a pandemic "treaty." You have no business letting greedy billionaires and lying shills for Big Pharma corporatocracy dictate to local people how to handle their public health problems. Politicians and Bureaucrats should not assume they can simply operate as if they've been given licenses to prescribe medication!!! Here's The Deal YOU must uphold, or you'll have to face all 8 billion of us "out there." Now go and uphold the following demand for sanity as a real health policy, not a "treaty" you're making with a bunch of uninformed, ignorant, inferior colonized idiots: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We will continue to watch and hold WHO members accountable for the actions they take, or don't, that affect our health freedom negatively.
Homeopath	STOP THE CORRUPTION IF YOU TRULY CARED ABOUT CHILDREN'S HEALTH, YOU WOULD NOT BE SUBJECTING CHILDREN TO AN UNPROVEN EXPERIMENTAL COVID JAB. CHILDREN HAVE A 99.993% SURVIVAL RATE FROM COVID. THIS WHOLE THING WREAKS OF GREED, CORRUPTION, AND LACK OF CARE FOR OUR MOST PRECIOUS BEINGS — CHILDREN. THE LEADERS OF THE WHO WILL HAVE TO MEET THEIR MAKER WHEN THEY DIE AND EXPLAIN WHY YOU DID THIS AND ARE ALLOWING DESTRUCTION OF CHILDREN'S BODIES AND BRAINS. HOW ARE YOU GOING TO EXPLAIN THIS TO YOUR MAKER???
Self	Stop the GL Obal pandemic treaty
No	Stop the global pandemic treaty
Remote Worker	STOP THE GLOBAL PANDEMIC WORLD TREATY & PROTECT THE FOLLOWING AUTONOMY FOR ALL NATIONS: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Stop the governmental urge to destroy our basic freedoms. Experts MUST NOT be allowed to induce political or any other control over basic freedoms protection, which is the main role of any Democratic government. This initiative must be put to full stop.
NAMI	Stop the insanity. Let us have control of our own body!
Privat	Stop the International Pandemievertrag!!!!
Lingwood security management	STOP THE JOINING OFF THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANISATION
Self	Stop the madness....simply cannot and should not cede power to an International organization as it relates to the health and wellfare of the Citizens of the United States of America....in fact, there have been events/ actions during the Covid Endemic where the interests were not aligned between WHO and USA.
Home, parent, grandparent	Stop the pandemic plans! Stay out of peoples healthcare, religion & family decisions. We decide who to elect to represent us.
None	Stop the Pandemic treaty act. 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
NVM NGO	STOP the Pandemic Treaty. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations need to keep the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
TWI	Stop the Pandemic treaty. This is what we want: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ernst Chiropractic	Stop the pandemic treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat	Stop the plan. The whole Plan is inacceptable.
Private	Stop the plans right now.
Public	Stop the power grab. WE WILL NOT COMPLY!
Citizen	Stop the proposal As a individual citizen of the world. I can make my own decision on my body and what is good for it
None	Stop the public health treaty
Wurstfabrik	Stop the threaty. IT is only a try to gain superpower to the who and has nothing to do with healthcare!
Freelance theatcher	Stop The Treaty
Transport logestics	Stop the treaty
Logesticltransports	Stop the treaty
privat	Stop The Treaty
Self	Stop The treaty
Bürgerin	Stop The Treaty
CA	Stop the treaty
Renner Construction	Stop The Treaty
US	Stop the treaty
N/A	Stop the treaty
Student	Stop the treaty
Emilia's	Stop the Treaty
	Stop The Treaty
Sovereign	Stop the treaty
Children's Health Defense	Stop The Treaty
Trade person	Stop the treaty
Citizen	Stop the treaty
Person	STOP THE TREATY
Person	Stop the treaty
India	Stop the treaty
None	STOP THE TREATY

Organization	Written submission
Personal	STOP THE TREATY - 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Maintain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Ensure an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Enforce measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	Stop the treaty Stop the treaty
privat	STOP the treaty !
Individual	STOP THE TREATY ! It is a threath to our freedom ! You, governements of every countries in the world, have failed to proove the efficiency of your insane and cruel lockdowns. You have abused us with your power and appauvrished our society whilst making the richest even more rich. You are not our voice anymore, you are a threath to our future and the future of our children !
Patriot	Stop the treaty !!!
none	Stop the treaty altogether! Pleased!!! 🙏🙏🙏
Individual	Stop the treaty as human body is a divine creation and not created by "science", any constitution or any internationally signed treaty, so all bodily autonomy and rights of humans are eternal, innate and inalienable as per Natural Law, and hence any national or international institution or governing bodies has no authority to re strict or violate bodily rights of humans. Pandemic definition should be brought to the pre IHR-2005 era and i recommend coch's postulates should be followed as a science and proof for declaring or isolating of any viruses.
Kinésithérapeute	Stop the treaty health choice is personal my body my choice!
NA	Stop the treaty immediately. The health of nations should be in their own hands, not in the hands of the WHO.
private	STOP the TREATY immediately. We want to preserve the human right of personal integrity. No forms of global rules. Thanks.
Myself	Stop the treaty now you strange bunch of blood sucking idiots.... globalism does not work an will never work.... you all have a special place in fire when this is all over with!
CCH1333	Stop the Treaty of WHO. It is against humanity
Private Person	Stop the Treaty on the pandemic response. Please consider the following points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank You, Shari A. Moser, USA
Private	 Stop the treaty to rule the national governments. I want the law of nature, freedom and real democraty based on population referendums.
World citizen	Stop the treaty we deserve to make our own decisions around our health and Body
Na	Stop the treaty 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Stop The Treaty 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Educator	Stop the treaty Humans are not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
School Sychrono	Stop the treaty As human beings with natural rights we are against the treaty . Most surveys have proved hat vaccines have damaged the natural immune system of those who have been double-vaccinated and once you have been double-vaccinated, you will never again be able to acquire full natural immunity. We know that vaccines do not prevent infection or transmission of the virus (indeed, the report elsewhere shows that vaccinated adults are now much more likely to be infected than unvaccinated ones). Therefore it is not worth imposing global remedies and measures . Each and every person should be free to decide the cure and remedy they feel is appropriate for them .Countries should be free to decide what kind of treatment and medication can offer their citizens and citizens have to take the final decision . Interference in government's decisions and abolishing citizens rights is a totalitarian practice and we won't accepted it
None	Stop The Treaty The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I do not consent.
Stop the treaty, Aussie Bushlands	Stop the Treaty This pandemic has taught me to trust no world body. So many lies, coercion, isolation and business loss. Stop the Treaty. Give each country, tribal group, person truthful information, options, not threats, sackings. Stop the WHO Treaty
myself and other humans on the Earth	STOP THE TREATY Until all four measures below are put in place, the current ideas are NOT for sane humans and do not show any respect for sane, intelligent, caring humans. These four points need to be included. Without choice, there is no humanity. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Stop the treaty We, humans are individuals. We have individual needs. Our bodies react differently to everything. Our individual lives matter. Let humans live freely.
	Stop the Treaty!
private	stop the treaty!
Public	STOP the treaty!
Public	STOP the treaty!
Privat	Stop the Treaty!
Freedom movement	STOP THE TREATY!
Citizen	Stop the treaty!
United States Citizen	Stop the Treaty! Public health is not a one sized fits all program. Each nation must retain full autonomy to make decisions based what is right for its people!
Citizen of the United States	Stop the Treaty! It is the right of individual nations to maintain their own sovereignty. Each Nation and local jurisdiction should keep their own ability to make the decisions that are the best for their own citizenship. This may be different among different regions and/or nationalities. These decisions may also be choosing to abstain from choices others choose to do. Moreover, the individual must maintain their personal sovereignty. Additionally, no individual or corporation should financially profit from these decisions.
no organisation	Stop the Treaty! I do not want a global pandamic agreement. Countries should always retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. best regards Tatjana Seel Germany
HEALTH FREEDOM	STOP THE TREATY! As a concerned American citizen, I oppose the WHO's move to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement, all while the world's attention is diverted by the latest crisis. This proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and a major threat to sovereignty and inalienable human rights. It increases the WHO's apparent neverending need for power and control to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that we the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects our lives, livelihoods, and well-being. From what I understand, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation. This only demonstrates the WHO's priority of gaining more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests and well-being of we the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting through or with the United Nations, will be deemed unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the WHO pushed for lockdowns, suppression of life-saving, early prevention treatments and recommendations, and pushed for interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. Their leadership simply cannot be trusted. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda. I stand against this costly abuse of power and assert the sovereign right of we the people to make our own decisions. Rather, seek to learn more about principles, accords, conventions, and treaties that protect the rights of men, women, and children.
Northshore patriots	Stop the treaty! Every nation and person has the right to personal choice especially when it comes to medical freedom. Stop the treaty!!!
Children's Defense	Stop the treaty! It's wrong to take away the choices of people and make blanket statements for the world. Freedom is our right for everyone. For the world. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Do not let this pass.
N/A	STOP THE TREATY! NO ACTION NEEDED!
N/A	STOP THE TREATY! NO ACTION NEEDED!
Save children	Stop the treaty! No more lockdown Stop Mandatory My medical, personal health is my choice
Citizen of USA	Stop the treaty! No one nation or any nation should be under the rule on just one organization. Diversity creates unity through cooperation. No vaccine passports, no mandates under an EUA! Respect for all persons, not just a few!
Personal	Stop the treaty! Under no circumstances do I want my Country's Constitution superceded.
I am no part of any organization, I am only a citizen	Stop the treaty! We are free born human beings and NOT the property of the WHO or any other organization. The WHO has no right to overrule the sovereignty of a country and it's people.
None	Stop the treaty! We are free born human beings and NOT the property of the WHO or any other organization. The WHO has no right to overrule the sovereignty of a country and its people. This is all about Global Governance – an evil transhuman ONE WORLD ORDER agenda to control every aspect of the lives of every human being on earth. Every person should be terrified and take action NOW to stop this global dictatorship which has been orchestrated by the World Economic Forum, World Health Organization, BIG MONEY, BIG-PHARMA, BIG-DATA against innocent populations and against humanity. STOP THE TREATY and God bless HUMANITY!
none	Stop the treaty! We are free-born human beings and NOT your property
World Council for Health	Stop the treaty! An agreement for manking, should inclued - natural law - autonomy - civil rights - transperency -freedom - selfcare and self determination Please do not ignore the opinoin and rights of people, who finance you! Otherwise our governments will remain loyal to you! Please respekt humanity! Thank you
N/A	STOP THE TREATY! People are endowed with the dignity of governing their own health. Do do anything other than allow that would be a crime against humanity. Pipel should control their health, the WHO or anyone else. Please uphold human dignity and autonomy. STOP THE TREATY
Business owner	Stop the treaty! Stop the NWO, We are free under Jehovah God!
individual	Stop the Treaty! The following elements must be included: Health is not a one size fits all condition 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	Stop the treaty! One- size-fit- for- all must not be applied to health issues. Full autonomy is needed on all levels - national, local and INDIVIDUAL. Open and transparent policies are absolutely necessary . No pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers can have any influence on the decision making process on national, local and individual levels.
No	Stop the treaty! We don't need your global plans. We want to decide for our own belongs.
Self	Stop the treaty!!
Private citizen	STOP The treaty!!!
Airline	Stop the treaty!!!
World council for health	Stop the treaty!!! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	STOP THE TREATY!!! The WHO TREATY, "THE GLOBAL PANDEMIC STRATEGY" threatens each person's sovereignty, autonomy and inalienable rights!!! Public Health is not respectful, realistic nor effective as a one-size-fits-all program!!! Public Health should facilitate that diverse people, in their own unique communities, with their own autonomy, values, customs and preferences and their own capabilities of assesment and responsiveness, would be in control of their own personal and community health. A genuine people's treaty should be built upon Natural law and civil rights pillars like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice!!
No	Stop the treaty!!!!
Christianity	STOP THE TREATY!!!! Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
None	Stop the treaty!!! Public health is not a one size fits all! Let people make their own decisions regarding their health. This treaty is horrific and will be detrimental to us.
SECURITY	STOP THE TREATY!!!!!!!!
n/a	STOP THE TREATY. IT IS NOT ETHICAL.
Retirement	Stop the treaty. you are employee's of an organization, and not elected officials.
The people	Stop the treaty.
CHD	Stop the treaty.
Just a Free American	Stop the treaty. America's constitution, as well as all other countries, need to stay intact and no other organization should rule over it. One world order is not good for the human race. It's only good for those who seek to control it.
Retired Registered Nurse	Stop The Treaty. Public Health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
USA	Stop the treaty. It is unfair
self	Stop the treaty. We do NOT need the WHO having sole authority over the world and its handling of public health. One size does not fit all,. No on this treaty. Should this proposal continue, then the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the implementation of the global agreement in places where most people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Irish Citizen	Stop the Treaty. In my opinion, WHO lost its credibility the day it changed the definition of a pandemic. I will make my own health decisions.
Private	Stop the Treaty. Freedom of Choice.
WHO	Stop the treaty. The world health organization cannot has the power to undermine the democracy of each country. #stopthetreaty
WCH	Stop the treaty. I am against it.
Gateway Wellness Center	Stop the treaty. I object to the treaty. I support that the following continue: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	STOP THE TREATY. I'm not in agreement for this treaty to be made at all, but if it will be written regardless of the consent of ordinary citizens, it needs to include protection for citizens' freedom to make medical choices, freedom from being locked down, freedom to travel and freedom to work and provide for their families. Each nation should make their own choices in their response to pandemics. I'm concerned that a treaty like this could do more harm than good.
None	Stop the treaty. Individuals and local communities should be in charge of their own health not an international treaty. I do not agree.
Health worker	STOP THE Treaty. Lockdowns go against human rights, our freedom is our fundamental right should not be tempered with. Freedom of choice when it comes to medicine. Freedom of movement.
Bhatt Bros	Stop the Treaty. One size can never fit all.
Private Citizen	Stop the treaty. Our constitution will not be superseded now or ever. Our country and States and private citizens will regulate our own health.
Retail	Stop the treaty. The Pandemic Treaty poses a clear threat to U.S. sovereignty as it places American health decisions into the hands of unelected and unaccountable bureaucrats at WHO. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There should be an ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Stop the treaty. the people are soverign.
Education	Stop the treaty. The process of this treaty has been subversive and is absolutely unnecessary in a sovereign nation. The people will not be forced to give up our health decisions, or our Constitutional rights to the government, or any institution. The WHO is not an elected body and does not make law. Stop the treaty.
Iaru Law Firm	Stop the treaty. There is no need for it!
General public	Stop the treaty. There should be no pandemic preparedness and response instrument
Sweet Thursday Web Development	 Stop the Treaty. This is bad for everyone. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We do not want this.
second chance	Stop the treaty. This is making me angry and a lot of other people. Just STOP!
NOT APPLICABLE	STOP THE TREATY. WE (THE PEOPLE) ARE STRICTLY AGAINST VACCINATION, TESTING, MASK AND HOSPITALISATION AND ANY KIND OF DETENTION. STOP THE TREATY STOP THE TREATY NO ORGANISATION CAN CONTROL FREEDOM OF SOVERIGN PEOPLE. WHO IS NOT ALLOWED TO IMPOSE ANY RESTRICTIONS IN THE NAME OF ANY PANDEMIC. STOP THE TREATY STOP THE TREATY
none	Stop the treaty. We are free born human beings and not your property.
Self	Stop the treaty. We do not want one work health. I oppose this!
None	Stop the treaty. We do t need oversight. We are a free Country able to make our own decision. We don't need mandates or people ruling out of fear.
Private Person	Stop the treaty. We don't need the WHObto have more power.
None	Stop the treaty. We have the right to given I govern our own bodies.
N/A	Stop the treaty. We humans are individuals. We have individual needs, life styles, reactions. Needs, medical histories, etc. One policy, one plan, one way does not fit all. Our bodies react differently to everything. We have different chemistries different views and different requirements. It is not acceptable to dictate one way for us all. Stop the treaty. Our individual lives matter. Each and every one of us!
Nurses Association	Stop the treaty. We need autonomy!!
Child's Health	Stop The Treaty. You have No Authority. Your compromised.
curtin	stop the treaty. No nation should be subservient to any world treaty. edicts must be put to a vote by citizens and individual liberty must be preserved. No t even n a pandemic should individual rights be trampled as they were with the Covid 19 lockdowns
Business owner	Stop the treaty. We appose the treaty. We are our own free country with constitutional rights, bill of rights! South Africa We are free under Jehovah God 🙏🙏🙏
US Army	Stop the Treaty: Stop WHO's Disastrous Power Grab The WHO is attempting a global power grab by implementing a plan that would strip nations of the right to determine their own healthpolicies in the event of a pseudo-/"pandemic". The authority of every nations constitution would become annulled. The WHO pursues the hidden agenda to centralize global control of public health to benefit exclusively global financial capital, Big Pharma and major corporations. People/communities need to be in control of their own health.
Sole proprietor	STOP THE TREATY....
N/a	Stop the treaty . . . That's all, just stop! #stopthetreaty
URMI STATIONERY	Stop the trity and make every thing normal don't interfere more
homemaker	Stop the unnecessary Treaty! The WHO agreement is unnecessary! This would threaten our Sovereignty and our inalienable rights. We the people do not need YOUR control powers to control OUR GOD given HUMAN rights to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness! Please stop thinking and planning what you think is right for us. We have Gods word to guide us thru this life and we do not need your guidance or help! Stop the unnecessary Treaty! We ask this all in the name of Jesus OUR LORD and SAVIOUR!!!
N/A	Stop the vaccine immediately, which only harm our health we don't even know what it does to our future children . International instrument on pandemic will be against human being . You cannot control THE VIRUS OR PEOPLE . There is only way which the herd immunity work however if it's not ,so what ? We all die one day and we cannot even how to die ? This is international criminal you will be punished some day from the god . Please make the smart decision thank you .
Me	Stop the vote I am against it
Privat	Stop the WHO
Private	STOP THE WHO PANDEMIC TREATY I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Bridging Lanka (Guarantee) Limited	Stop the WHO Pandemic Treaty Bridging Lanka, a Sri Lankan registered Volunteer Social Service Organisation and Non-Governmental Organisation, is opposed to the World Health Organisation's Pandemic Treaty, being introduced by stealth, which we consider to be unethical and undemocratic. We therefore maintain that there should be the unhindered ability for national and local leadership to: • Retain full autonomy over the health of their people, reserving their right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens; • Opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; • Demand an open and transparent process that all people of the world can vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; • Seek the introduction of measures that will disallow any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to influence this treaty in any way, shape or form.
Bridging Lanka Ltd	Stop the WHO Pandemic Treaty Bridging Lanka, an Australian registered charity, is opposed to the World Health Organisation's Pandemic Treaty, being introduced by stealth, which we consider to be unethical and undemocratic. We therefore maintain that there should be the unhindered ability for national and local leadership to: • Retain full autonomy over the health of their people, reserving their right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens; • Opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; • Demand an open and transparent process that all people of the world can vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; • Seek the introduction of measures that will disallow any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to influence this treaty in any way, shape or form.
Public democratic citizen	STOP THE WHO PANDEMIC TREATY: Dear Sir or Madam 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. As a democratic citizen of a Sovereign country...Ireland. I express my complete and utter total objection on my own behalf and on my entire families behalf at any interference by the WHO in my constitutional and democratic freedoms . Freedoms of bodily integrity and freedom from any outside interference By any organisation which treathen these freedoms. Please submit my objection. Elizabeth Frahill...republic of Ireland

Organization	Written submission
Clinician	Strongly opposed. WHO must take measures to define terms such as Pandemic, Vaccine and acknowledge the tyranny of scientific censorship that has been the lived reality of 2020-2022. 1. Health and emergency decisions need to stay in Australia and decided by Australians and all recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. 2. WHO will make readily available the Siracusa Principles and promote them in each country with all guidance documents. 3. Member states require the WHO to agree to be liable if injuries and damages arises from the use of the guidelines 4. To prevent oppression and imbalance of power the centralisation of national data, AI, Big Tech, Big Pharma, other conflicted corporations, government bureaucracy and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs 5. Scientific process requires time, debate and dialogue, therefore persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited. Free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the interest of the public to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 6. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. 7. The WHO must immediately declare all annual funders and key stakeholders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest
Individuell person	Submission
None	Submission of appoints I agree with: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent Scholar	Submission on Substantive Elements of a Pandemic Response: The reply should not be misconstrued as an approval for the worldwide institution of a co-ordinated pandemic response. It more points to human rights abuses that have been observed in a wide range of jurisdictions through the Covid-19 response. Healthcare workers SHOULD NOT BE GAGGED. All information should be heard and shared. They also should not be required to work in an unsafe environment. No rushed pharmaceuticals in a pandemic - Many people have been killed and injured by the pharmaceuticals administered for Covid-19, as for the limited release of Pandemrix for the 2009 swine flu. No pharmaceutical mandates - There have been gross human rights abuses in the state claim to flesh, for the administration of Covid-19 pharmaceutical inventions which had extremely limited windows of helpfulness on infection if any, none on transmission, and questionable benefits on serious illness, across all age groups, if any. - There are not enough words granted to go into the horror of the inappropriate administration of these inventions and their consequences. Originally I supported community-wide mandated lockdowns - I now consider them to be incredibly costly and destructive. - Individuals should respond to a pandemic in a manner that is appropriate to their broad range of needs and services they offer to others. Yours faithfully, Madeleine Love
Krull St Community Garden	Submission to Stop The Pandemic Global Treaty Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in NZ in control of their health. National and local leadership In New Zealand should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in NZ. We demand that NZ has the ability both nationally and locally to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The people of NZ require an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on this Treaty. We require failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement to operate in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We want to be assured that all measures will be taken, to not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We demand a stop to this Treat immediately and for NZ to withdraw any commitment it has made to be part of it. Thank you Suzanne Harris
Private individuals	Submission to the WHO re substantive elements for inclusion in an international instrument • Variety. Because pandemics involve uncertainty it is important to have a system which allows and encourages a variety of different responses from different countries and states, so we can see what works. • Medical ethics. We need to insist on medical ethical principles being upheld, whatever the severity of the pandemic. This includes freedom of choice to refuse medical treatment. • Freedom of speech. Freedom of speech is essential for both the scientific process and the democratic process, and hence a pandemic response must not be allowed to inhibit free speech. • Restricted use of lockdowns. Lockdowns should only be used if they are done early enough to contain the original source of an outbreak. Lockdowns must only ever be instituted for limited time periods. • Focused protection. Pandemic responses should aim only to protect those people who wish to be protected. • Forbid mandated medical treatments. • Forbid the use of fear to nudge people into compliance. • Transparency. The basis of all decisions for approval of medical procedures and sales contracts for treatments must always be made public immediately. Ralph and Clare Pain
None	Submitted respectfully: A council is fine, but… 1. All nations to remain free to implement what their government and people decide should be the correct approach for their country. 2. There should NOT be anyone on the council who would profit in any way shape or form from a pandemic. 3. Any finders of the WHO should NOT be on the council or have the ability to influence decisions. 4. A council should do just that - council and advise. There should be no blanket, mandated approach - refer to point 1. Kind regards
N/A	Substantially, it should include the following: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	Substantive Element 1: Each sovereign nation must be free to act as they see fit. Like all free nations, the United States of America (U.S.A.) must remain free to make decisions regarding pandemic responses as it pertains to her citizens. Any restriction on the U.S.A. government to augment it's course of action undermines our nation's founding documents and established tenets. The U.S.A. is capable of assessing, creating and implementing it's own course of action. Substantive Element 2: No international instrument should be able to act in a way that negatively affects a sovereign nation's economy. Forced regulation and closures have crippled our world's local and national economies, possibly with no reversal. No policy should bind any government to disable it's national economy. The U.S.A. must remain in control of any world policy that would harm her economy.
Stand for freedom organization	Substantive element to be included. United states will remain sovereign and by choosing with its peoples sensible options /choices to remain safe respecting freedoms of all and decline signing a treaty of a global order which is a direct violation of its people. Who treaties that remove power from the us are dangerous to health and welfare of Americans. Allowing a group of top Global powers to decide whats to be done for all in our country is a dictatorship and take over. All health decisions need to stay within our free country its states and people including when any emergency pandemic or other exists The Who needs to remain as they are WITHOUT power over health decisions . No legally binding pandemic treaty can ever be signed . The US must decline . We must be able to keep our country sovereign and have the rights to choose the protocol while respecting our freedoms of our constitution to its peoples as we've always done in the case of any pandemics in the past .
American Citizen	Substantive element to be included: Health decisions and solutions are most timely, efficient and efficacious when applied in the home country. Therefore, at all times, in all circumstances, Americans will have the power to control their own health decisions, including when an emergency exists. Thank you.
self	Substantive elements - You are proposing an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. Instead here are some suggestions. Encourage people to: Avoid pathogens by practicing stellar personal hygiene habits. Enhance immunity by excising, eating right, taking necessary supplementation. Familiarize oneself with viable treatments in the event of contracting illness. The condition of the planet needs to improve. Waterways are full of toxins. Clean them up and don't polluted them anymore. The soils are depleted because of un-intelligent farming and animal raising practices. Clean these up. The air is filthy. Better transportation methods need to implemented. The technology exists. I have a lot more ideas if you want to here them. Please feel free to contact me.
Private Citizen	Substantive elements are as follows: that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. That the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. That we have a Globally open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it and is not in their best interest, thereby threatening the people's sovereignty and bodily autonomy. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We must follow the Science, not the money or the politics. The current pandemic clearly illustrates this problem and has created Global mistrust of the WHO. It is highly important that we maintain and respect each country's Constitutions and rules of law. They are there for a reason. What is good for one country is not good for all and the uniqueness and needs of all cultures and their governments must be respected. Thank you.
None	Substantive elements for an international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response: 1. No organization, including the WHO, should have sovereignty in any decision making regarding citizens' health, medical decisions, available medical information, medical treatment or any other potential matter related to bodily autonomy over a unique independent nation's related policies, laws and procedures. 2. No international policy should dictate medical mandates on any citizen of any country globally. Every individual should have the right to bodily autonomy no matter where they live. 3. No pharmaceutical or medical company should have any influence over or any participation in decision making regarding any pandemic decision making. Nor should any representative from any such company be allowed to participate in any decision making processes regarding a pandemic response. 4. No doctor or scientist with connections to a pharmaceutical or medical company should be allowed to influence the policies formed in response to a pandemic. 5. No one who has a potential conflict of interest of any kind in relation to any procedure/policy/product regarding pandemic preparedness or response should be allowed to participate in any part of the preparedness process or response and any policies set as a result. 6. No preparedness or response policy should mandate medical procedures that affect or limit free travel, free movement in society, labor policy, or individual business environment decisions. 7. WHO treaties that remove power from the US, or any individual country, are dangerous to the health and welfare of those citizens. 8. No one organization should have global power to dictate policy of any kind to individual countries.
None; just myself	Substantive elements for new international instrument on pandemic preparedness should include limits on persons making decisions to those with no financial ties to the WHO, pharmaceutical companies, or companies with financial interests in outcomes of decisions. The international pandemic instrument should not overrule any country's constitution for personal medical or bodily freedoms. Moving forward, all early treatments should be given priority as these work and the current injections did not provide protection or prevention of transmission. No mandates of any kind should be forced, recommendations can be suggested. As a sovereign woman from the United States of America I only support the United States of Americas constitution. Thank you for the opportunity to give feedback.
Nursing student	Substantive elements for pandemic preparedness should include freedom for individuals to make their own health decisions from information that is not censored or withheld. The truth about pandemics and medications that benefit patients should not be withheld or censored because of enormous profit margins to be gained by greed. All health concerns should remain in the hands of individuals, counties, and state departments. The United States is founded on freedom and that includes our medical sovereignty. One organization directing preparedness is not in the best interest of the American people. In God We Trust.
Self	Substantive elements for preparedness in order of importance: 1) Stress populations to be do as much as possible to follow whole food diet and avoid toxic exposures to support natural immunity 2) All recommended disease responses can only be implemented with local community agreement 3) Commitment by countries to insure access to adequate nutrition, clean water, air and shelter for their populations 4) Research and testing of botanticals as curatives for illness as a substitution to toxic pharmacutials 5) All research is paid for by public monies, none can come from private foundations or companies with any ties to industries who will benefit from any recommended strategies.
Public health/occupational health	Substantive elements I believe must be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1) medical autonomy and informed consent. This is crucial to maintaining dignity of the individual and promoting well-being, both physical, mental and spiritual. 2) tolerance and discussion of dissenting views. Throughout history it has been those with dissenting views who have questioned the status quo who have propelled knowledge and society forward. We need to end censorship of dissenting views. We need to ensure healthcare providers are not silences and can appropriately advocate for those under their care: ensuring informed consent and autonomy of self. 3) human rights are respected and individuals are not discriminated against based in a medical decision (please see https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights) 4) politics and agenda of big Pharma does not over rule human rights. 4) we learn from history and our mistakes: we should never again make the mistakes that were made with COVID. Gouverement became increasingly authoritarian, lockdowns cause economic and personal harms, masks and vaccines were forced without evidence (or evidence to the contrary). For the public to have trust in public health and healthcare professionals, we must work to restore it.
Energy Gardens	Substantive elements I believe should be included are - guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Thankyou
Fundamental Health & Wellness	Substantive elements I believe should be included in the new international instrument on the pandemic preparedness and response include: •National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. •Natural law and civil rights pillars like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. •Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Ayana Kafi Reid	Substantive elements I believe should be tried and true methods that work. Looking at the recent disaster of governing agencies that implemented policies mimicking martial law should be excluded. Funding, training and preparedness for your essential personnel should be priority. As an ex military member in the USA and trained medical I always knew they were never ready for anything that big. You focus on profits rather than helping and training those that are suppose to have knowledge to assist their communities. Also, WHO should never trump each nations constitution or governing laws. They are there for the purpose of those particular nations. Last, those who have been serving the top nations agencies for more than four decades should retire and let those next in line govern. There should be all opposing views to discuss all methods that may work.
USAF	Substantive elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	Substantive elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response include but are not limited to individual choice for what's best for that individuals health care, full informed consent on any medications or vaccine uses, consent and involvement from ALL countries involved.
Canadian Citizen	Substantive elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1- Failsafe measures preventing the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 2-National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3-The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4-An open and transparent process. 5-Measures that criminalize conflict of interest and prevent monetary influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6-Public vote on decisions that impact national sovereignty. 7-The ability for all people of the world to self determine their own bodily autonomy.
retired	Substantive Elements in a new international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response should include: -- no legal obligations by any city or county or their police / militia to enforce WHO laws, rules, or dictates that include any of the following: 1) shutting down any business for any reason, 2) NO forcing of any human to submit to drugs, treatments, or any substance that interacts with the body, 3) No forcing any human to wear any mask or body covering not proven with high statistical proof(95% Confidence and N >= 10000) to be effective at reducing community risk, 4) No experimental vaccines, gene therapy, or medical treatments of any kind shall be allowed on any human for any reason -- Complete transparency to all WHO documents, access to all WHO scientists by any human who asks within 24 hours with no redaction of any kind on any document under pain of jail by all preventing such transparency and access. -- Full proof of existence and full isolation of any and all pathogens claimed by any agency inside or outside the USA using Koch's rules. -- Full electron microscope image proof of viral or pathogen existence with a jury of observers in attendance -- Full Proof of pathogenecity. -- Local and county authorities will have higher jurisdiction and power than any Federal or outside US organization including the WHO and CDC and NIH to choose to enforce external rules or dictates of any kind or communicate with citizens regarding such. -- No action shall be allowed on the part of any body, group, or agency outside the USA to implement physical effects on citizens of the USA directly or indirectly. -- LEGAL, informed consent in all cases of offering any medical treatment.
—	Substantive Elements in a new international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response should INCLUDE: -- no legal obligations by any city or county or their police / militia to enforce WHO laws, rules, or dictates regarding: 1) shutting down any business for any reason, 2) NO forcing of any human to submit to drugs, treatments, or any substance that interacts with the body, 3) No forcing any human to wear any mask or body covering not proven with high statistical proof(95% Confidence and N > 10000) to be effective at reducing community risk, 4) No experimental vaccines, gene therapy, or medical treatments of any kind shall be allowed on any human for any reason. -- Complete transparency to all WHO documents, access to all WHO scientists by any human who asks within 24 hours with no redaction of any kind on any document under pain of jail by all preventing such transparency and access. -- Full proof of existence and full isolation of any and all pathogens claimed by any agency inside or outside the USA using Koch's rules. -- Full electron microscope image proof of viral or pathogen existence with a jury of observers in attendance -- Full Proof of pathogenecity. -- Local and county authorities will have higher jurisdiction and power than any Federal or outside US organization including the WHO and CDC and NIH to choose to enforce external rules or dictates of any kind or communicate with citizens regarding such. -- No action shall be allowed on the part of any body, group, or agency outside the USA to implement physical, psychiatric, or financial effects on citizens of the USA directly or indirectly. -- LEGAL, informed consent in all cases of offering any medical treatment.
International Association for Hospice and Palliative Care	Substantive elements in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include access to palliative care and palliative care medicines at the community level. The vast majority of people who died at home or in nursing homes or hospitals during the pandemic did not receive the care they needed as palliative care is under resourced in more than 80% of the world according to the WHO.Throughout the pandemic, depending on lockdown conditions, many carers were unable to enter patients' homes and patients were unable to reach clinics or hospitals, leaving family members to care for their dying relatives without support. Palliative care (PC) must be integrated into primary health care per the Declaration of Astana so that symptoms and associated health-related problems for persons with COVID-19 and other conditions, as well as those of their caregivers/family members can be properly managed. Member states can resource PC using the Lancet Commission on PC Essential Package of services and palliative care medicines which are largely unavailable in much of the world. Workforces can be appropriately trained once policymakers recognize the efficacy of palliative care to relieve serious health related suffering.
Private Citizen	Substantive elements in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include: 1. The flexibility for local governments and health experts to collaborate and exercise measures that can appropriately adapt to to dynamically changing situations within the local region. This is in contrast to attempting to implement a world-wide one-size-fits-all method over a tremendously large and diverse geographic, chronological, and cultural landscape of the entire world. 2. Time-limited emergency orders that can allow immediate response for time-critical actions, but then give way to medium and long term strategies that are developed through the collaboration through open public debate and discussion between panels of experts and professionals. This includes decisions about measures to contain and limit transmission of the disease, methods of testing, and methods of treatment. Recommend time limits of 2 to 3 months. 3. Not only allow freedom of speech, but encourage public, open discussion and debate of alternative viewpoints on the subject of the pandemic that can lead to important discoveries, cures, and solutions. Open dialog and debate is the basis for all scientific discovery and has fueled the impressive advances we enjoy today. Keeping the scientific community actively engaged in problem solving can and will provide superior solutions over a closed committee. 4. Be based on policies as to not infringe on basic personal freedoms and God-given rights - namely the right to decide what a person puts into their own body. No disease is more important than one's freedom. Best Regards, -Mike Helbig
Individual	Substantive elements in the preparedness and response to an international pandemics are: - let each nation manage the pandemic - let each family and each individual decide if they want any treatment and if so, what treatment they want to take - forbid any mandate of any treatment whatsoever, preventive or curative, especially if they are experimental - let any individual decide if they want to prepare for the next pandemic or not, and, if so, how they want to prepare.
self	Substantive elements include the ability of individual countries and individual states and individual humans to opt out of the treaty at any time and for any reason, without consequences of any kind.
Stand for Health Freedom	Substantive elements include: the need for health decisions to be made near home and there should be no legally binding pandemic treaty
Private person / EU citizen	Substantive elements included in new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be: 1. Guaranteed sovereignty of state and individuals 2. Respecting human rights 3. Legal liability of state/state's representatives or pharmaceutical companies Thank you. You take high responsibility!
citizen of the EU	Substantive elements like pillars of natural law (human rights) and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Otherwise I am afraid that this will be an overpowered instrument, which can have bad side effects on our all life, society and future.
Growing Oneself	Substantive elements must include consideration of the individual's capacity for any vaccine introduced. It cannot and should not be mandated unless proven that said vaccine is safe and effective and does what it claims to be
Citizen of USA, resident of California	Substantive elements must include: 1) An open and transparent process allowing for public input and voting power, including the ability to prevent treaty actions from being applied to their nation or locality; 2) Nations and local leadership retail full autonomy and the right to make decisions for their own people; 3) The ability of nations and localities to opt out of any portion of the agreement, without consequence, as they see fit for their people; 4) Active exclusion of pharmaceutical, medical industry, and biotech industry influence on any decision made.
NA	Substantive elements need to be included to the WHO's proposal regarding future pandemic response and preparedness, since one size does not fit all as is evident since Covid appeared. We need people in control of their own health's as do communities. For one we need to retain full national and local leadership with a right to decide what is best for their people. Next, nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. Finally we need an open and transparent process so all people in the world can vote on failsafe measures preventing global application of the agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. Last but not least, provisions have to be made to prevent the undue influence of big pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration, Eva M. Abbo, M.D.
Self	Substantive elements need to include the following: 1. Autonomy for national and local leadership to make decisions on their peoples' behalf 2. An open process for nationals and local leadership to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement 3. An open process for all people of the world to vote on the proposed measures 4. Transparency to ensure corporate interests do not influence the process
ReAct - Action on Antibiotic Resistance	Substantive elements of an effective international instrument on PPR correlates with what is needed to addressing antibiotic resistance (ABR) including: - The new instrument should establish global prioritisation, coordination and funding of public health needs driven R&D. An end-to-end approach is needed in which all actors and challenges along the entire chain from early-stage research to production and access are connected. It requires public sector leadership and is important that the needs of vulnerable groups are given priority. - Improved global surveillance for both viral and bacterial infections: this concerns surveillance and rapid testing capacity for early warning and monitoring of new mutations and their global spread. Laboratory capacity with trained staff especially in LMICs must be strengthened. - Greater transparency of global supply chains and increased production capacity and procurement: creating more regional diversification of API and product production capacity will be essential. Clear procurement practices for essential drugs such as antibiotics should be established through global or regional pooled procurement mechanisms. - Equitable, affordable and timely access to health commodities: the new instrument should transform the global R&D system to deliver global public goods. This involves public leverage in the field of intellectual property and attaching conditions on public funding to secure public health interests. - Strengthening health systems and taking a One Health approach should be seen as central elements. Concerning ABR, conservation and stewardship must be taken into account, ensuring access while avoiding misuse and overuse of antibiotics. More here: https://bit.ly/3JyPf1n
Private	Substantive elements of international pandemic responses should be uncorrupted research(ers) with no conflicts of interest, allowing differing opinions and debate because this is what science is all about. Providing accurate data and statistics, no coercion into accepting medical procedures, using medication which has proven to be safe and effective in the past, no propaganda, putting public health at the centre of intervention rather than the profit of pharmaceutical companies and foundations. No lockdowns of healthy population, instead protecting the vulnerable. Treating the illness in its early stages rather than sending people home to wait until they feel worse and need to be hospitalised and don't have much hope of recovery.
Individual	Substantive elements of the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include that any WHO Treaty cannot usurp a country's or states sovereign rights to govern medical treatment recommendations and that individual human medical choice supersedes any WHO treaty.
Personel	Substantive elements of the international instrument on pandemic preparedness must be that it does not interfere with national laws or human rights. The sovereignty of the singular governments has to have priority. No one should be forced to take any drug. Drugs, which are produced to stop a pandemic have to be produced in standart time with proper clinical trials and not be rushed. Safety FIRST. These trials must be controlled by an independant group, not by the pharmaceutical companies itself. But they have do be made responable in any case. Every country should have a scope of measures to choose or vary, so the different cultural, economical and geografical characteristics are taken into account. This gives space for actions, which might not be thought of in the first place. Sweden is a good example: they went a complelty different road already at the beginning of the Covid-19 pandemic (no lockdowns or mask mandates for example). Now studies show, that the side-effects where much less than in other countries. At the same time Sweden had not more deaths than compareable countries in the end. Every country should have a say in the instrument, vote for it and be able to exit again. Psychological side effects of measures can be much more harmful, than a virus itself. In the end we have to die anyway, so there is no reason to stop living in order to avoid dying.

Organization	Written submission
Mai Nguyen	Substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and responses: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	Substantive elements should be: Guarantees of the sovereignty of the state and the individual, respect for human rights and the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
none	Substantive elements should be: - concentration on how to ensure more public health in general (i.e. how to strengthen the immune system, clean air, clean water, food free from pesticides, healthy living circumstances, work-life-balance etc.) - investments in finding (payable) treatments for upcoming diseases - local solutions must be possible, because of very different circumstances in the world - investigation and monitoring of ALL effects (including the unexpected, not wished ones) of any measures made and medical treatments recommended within the pandemic - clear definition of the term "pandemic" - please go back to the one you had before 2009, when it required also a high number of deaths. Otherwise almost anything could be defined "pandemic" in a globalised world. Thank you. Regards, Corinna Seeling
NYACAE and MFANY	Substantive elements should include a platform for voluntary sharing and discussion of ALL background, historical and current independently chosen information including scientific studies, research, adverse event reports, credible circumstantial evidence and public opinion by all medical professionals / workers and concerned private individuals worldwide including lawyers who desire to do so. Urgent-the most substantive element should be the absolute banning of any restrictions or enforceable recommendations on Sovereign countries strategies for pandemic control as determined by elected representatives of said Sovereign nations and the local laws of said nations.
Private citizen usa	Substantive elements should include a system of communication between countries with data bases of cases, transmission, treatments, severity, death etc shared. This information should be openly available to anyone to view and analyze Use evidence from medication trials and frontline doctors and nurses practicing to explore not only new vaccines but also use of medications already on the market as viable treatment options. Provide a database of these RTC, small studies, as well as observations by healthcare personnel with all research data for evaluation. Ensure that new vaccines and treatments for pandemics are thoroughly tested before being given to billions of people on an experimental basis. No central source of talking points should be made by any one particular organization or spokesperson. Evidence should be openly discussed and evaluated as it emerges. Diversity will promote discovery Ensure that we allow for basic human right to control what goes into our bodies using Informed consent for medical treatments Including vaccines. Decision making should be left to individual states and countries and NOT be centralized worldwide. Essential medical supplies should be produced and warehouses so that there is not a breakdown due to supply chain issues. There should never have been a PPE shortage for healthcare personnel!! Set up a system where number of beds and equipment can be increased with rotating healthcare workers so that lockdowns do not destroy the economy. The reason for lockdowns was to not overwhelm the system, so work on finding a solution to go to where the hot spots are as needed. Quarantine the sick and not the well. Figure out a way to do better contact tracing.
Individual	Substantive Elements should include: 1. Ability for National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people without coercion or interference 2. The ability for Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, at any time. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Requirements for full and prompt transparency and plain language communication of any decisions, outcomes, proposed changes or potential effects on individuals, groups, communities and nations potentially affected by the instrument.
none	Substantive elements should include; Guaranteed right to uncensored information and the active inclusion and platforming of all perspectives and potential responses. Guaranteed freedom of choice around treatment and prevention options, including the right to refuse any treatment. Zero tolerance for coersion and blanket media messaging. Guaranteed rights for health workers to make their own, fully informed personal health decisions without the threat of unemployment. Never any mandatory vaccines or other types of medication for any individual or in order to access any area of work, public services or recreational activities. Governments and public agencies are banned from signing contracts with vested interests (such as medical and vaccine manufacturers) without all citizens being offered fully transparent information and reasonable and regulated opportunity to contest any elements of proposed contracts. Full and thorough psychological studies and advisories around the use of any kind of masking, isolation or quarantine measures or mandates prior to mandates with clear exceptions and tolerances for those potentially impacted most (such as children and the mentally unstable). Doctors and health care professionals should also retain the right to early treatments and have access to all possible treatments at their disposal. Public health crisis response should never be managed, implemented or enforced by law enforcement organisations, military units.
The Art of Bart	Substantive elements should make sure that civil liberties are retained first and foremost including freedom of choice, freedom to question the data and a stoppage to a non totalitarian 'one rule for all' process for the masses. The WHO and UN were supposed to be better than this.
Individual	Substantive elements that have to be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drastically affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not those who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process.
n/a	Substantive elements that i think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. National & local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations & local municipalities to opt out of any & all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open & transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any & all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
DORFMAN & DORFMAN, Attorneys at Law	Substantive elements that I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own population. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Substantive elements that must be included - Protect natural law and democratic constitutions. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This must be an open and transparent process with ability for all people of the world to vote on. Include measures that prevent application in places where majority of people do not want it. Include measures that do not allow influencing the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Substantive elements that must be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and regional/local governments shall retain full autonomy, reserving all rights to make decisions in the best interests of their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities shall have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Free and Open scientific public debate (particularly by independent medical and scientific professionals) on all proposed measures. 4. Recognition of the priority of the Nuremberg Code - no medical procedure shall be able to be mandated - consent must be voluntary, without coercion and with full information regarding the potential risks and benefits. 5. Measures to restrict the influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or by regulatory authorities that have become captured by the industry. 6. Restriction of medical practitioners from making off-label use of existing approved drugs shall not be permitted.
LX Art	Substantive elements that must be included in such an international treaty are as follows; 1. Nations must retain the right and responsibility of full autonomy in decision making for any public health response. Each nation must be able to determine which course of action is best suited for their local population in the event of a public health crisis without needing to fulfill the requirements of international interests. 2. Nations must be able to opt out in whole or in part of any aspect of future agreements 3. Measures to ensure influence of financial or control profiteering from either nation states, international corporations or individual interests 4. A fully transparent process, open meetings held in online environments and Fail-safes against corruption must be in place. 5. Local physicians must lead any future public health crisis and openly share information in order to serve the well-being of humanity above all else.
Member of general public	Substantive elements that must be part of any pandemic response addressing the health and well-being of human beings include; the irrefutable respect and unassailable rights for personal freedom including bodily autonomy; measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers; the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; no censorship of information by media or governments, open debates on the science must be presented to the public before voting takes place; and finally a commitment to do no harm and immediate accountability (according to the rule of law in country or jurisdiction where response is exercised) expected for those responsible for the response should harm occur from the response.
GFG	Substantive elements that should be in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response include the right of ALL countries, provinces or states, and municipalities to determine their own healthcare regime. Physiologies vary even at these levels and each person deserves the right to be treated as an individual, by a doctor who is familiar with their history and who has the patients' best interests at heart, free from coercion or manipulation. Another element is the enforcement of science - true science, the exchange of ideas and opinions and data and not the one-sided propaganda we have seen in the last two+ years.
csi australia	Substantive elements that should be included in a new instrument on pandemic preparedness are: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Moishe Hearsch CSI Australia
Australasian Palliative Link International/Western Pacific Palliative Care Adv	Substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument are access to palliative care and palliative care essential medicines at the community level. The vast majority of people who died at home, including nursing homes, or in hospitals, during the pandemic, did not receive adequate palliative care, because of global under-resourcing. WHO reports that more than 80% of the world's population is unable to access palliative care at any time. Pandemic conditions aggravated this with health carers unable to enter patients' homes and families prevented from attending to care needs of dying relatives or left to do so without support. The Declaration of Astana asserts that palliative care be integrated into primary health care as a core component which includes symptom management, psychosocial care and support for caregivers. Strengthening this integration will better prepare populations for future pandemics or global health crises. The Lancet Commission on Palliative Care Essential Package of services and palliative care medicines and a palliative care trained workforce are all needed for pandemic preparedness, as well as at all times for people with serious health related suffering.
NYS Educator	Substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument of pandemic preparedness and response: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bangladesh Palliative Care Association	Substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are access to palliative care and palliative care medicines at the community level. The vast majority of people who died at home or in nursing homes or hospitals during the pandemic did not receive the care they needed for many reasons, the main one being that palliative care is under resourced in more than 80% of the world according to the WHO.Throughout the pandemic, depending on lockdown conditions, many carers were unable to enter patients' homes and patients were unable to reach clinics or hospitals, leaving family members to care for their dying relatives without support. Palliative care (PC) must be integrated into primary health care per the Declaration of Astana so that symptoms and associated health-related problems for persons with COVID-19 and other conditions, as well as those of their caregivers/family members could be properly managed. Member states can resource PC using the Lancet Commission on Palliative Care Essential Package of services and palliative care medicines which are largely unavailable in much of the world. Workforces can be appropriately trained once policymakers recognize the efficacy
General Public	Substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are as follows: 1) Acknowledge that humans have dominion in this realm. Human dominion is not to be subverted and no decision or action is to be taken without consent of all the people. All decisions and actions are to remain within communities with no global oversight and without compromising individual consent. 2) In all decisions and actions adhere to the Law of One to do no harm. Worldwide response to the COVID19 scenario was ritualistic and detrimental to human psyche via lockdowns, social distancing, mask wearing, and fear based vaccine propaganda. What is named virus does not live outside a host cell-all responses to combating a dead substance can only be concluded to have been deliberately conducted with negative intentions towards humanity. 3) As humans have dominion in this realm and to prevent violation of the Law of One to do no harm the WHO and any international instrument are hereby commanded to not interfere with humanity. Consent is not given to the WHO and to any international instrument to interfere with humanity.
Canada	Substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is the right for every nation-state to freely determine their pandemic response, the moral and legal illegitimacy of lockdowns, and the rejection of vaccine passports or digital ID systems.
citizen of the USA	Substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Open, inclusive, transparent and ongoing scientific debate in formulating pandemic response measures. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	Substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1) National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) There must be an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on - including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	Substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, and without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Eurogroup for Animals	Substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: * Ensure deep and primary prevention by phasing out intensive livestock farming and the commercial trade in wild animals. * Align prevention measures with the new One Health definition by OHHLEP and recognise that animal welfare is an integral part of sustainability. * Transform food systems away from intensive animal farming to take the pressure off land and mitigate the zoonotic risk caused by environmental degradation, habitat loss and fragmentation, biodiversity loss and climate change. * Stop wildlife trade. * Animal agriculture accounts for over 70% of the use of antibiotics globally. The overuse of antibiotics is connected to poor animal welfare which causes stress and make them more vulnerable to disease. To mitigate AMR, ensure high animal welfare standards and, consequently, a significant reduction in the number of farmed animals.
Sovereign Private Human	Substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic pre-preparedness and response are as follows: 1. The natural human right for each person to decide what can be injected, penetrated, taken orally, or topically to our sovereign bodies. 2. Informed Consent must be the major tenant before administering any medication including any drugs, medical devices, or vaccines. 3. Any products that have Indemnity should NEVER be Mandated for use for pandemic preparedness or response or for any reason whatsoever! 4. Freedom of choice of a myriad of products from all health and medical modalities should be options for any international instrument. 5. Western Allopathic Medicine (Pharmaceutical) should never be Mandated on any international instrument. This is product favoritism and coercion to only one type of health/medical modality. 6. Parental Rights until children are age 21 should be strictly enforced under Human Rights Laws. 7. Nuremberg Laws should be strictly upheld so that Doctors and Scientists do not get legally tried for "Accessory to Murder" for enforcing instruments and responses that cause the deaths of human beings due to the new protocols. 8. Experimental, Non-Liable drugs should never be Mandated for any international instrument! 9. All right to travel and entrances into business establishments, Government buildings, Medical buildings, Transportation Buildings, and all private venues should not require any medicines, supplements, tests, or protocols for entry or for purchasing goods and services! 10. The right to our own body sovereignty should never be overcome with new legislation or international instruments for any reason and for all of time!
Private	Substantive elements that should be included in a new international response to a pandemic is a protection and assurance of the integrity of a local response. A protection of a locality's ability to make responsive actions based on its local needs. This protection will ensure against collateral damage caused by irrelevant actions unrelated to the locality's needs.
Member of the public	Substantive elements that should be included into the new international pandemic response are: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any member or student (past or present) from the WEF -Measures they do not allow for influence in the process by any technological giant businesses and their platforms. Thank you for your consideration. These are important elements.
Dilworth	substantive elements that should be included, without question is for each individual nation to hold sovereignty over its citizens and have the right to choose to reject orders from the WHO should they feel it is in the citizens best interest, or at the very least be able to create an exemption policy for a group of citizens where they deem that the orders of the WHO could cause more harm than they prevent.
None	Substantive elements that should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drastically affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not "experts" who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process.
None - member of the public	Substantive elements that should be included: - National & local leadership retain full autonomy to decide on appropriate response to any pandemic, based on the best interests of their population - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - Measures to ensure that pharmaceutical companies or other commercial entities cannot influence international/national/local responses to any pandemic/illness - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on inclined failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it
Private	Substantive elements that should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drastically affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not those who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process.
Public	Substantive elements that should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drastically affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not those who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process.
Private	Substantive elements that should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of their own people, and have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * A transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * introduce measures that will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Introduce measures that will guarantee that under no circumstances should medical procedures be imposed on human beings against their own will. * Recognize and safeguard the inalienable right of every human being to his/her own bodily autonomy, and his/her inalienable right to make autonomous decisions regarding his/her own body, without coercion, intimidation or any form of pressure. * introduce measures that would allow for people everywhere to have full participation in the decision-making process of an agreement that would drastically affect our lives. Do NOT limit the decision-making process only to unelected "experts", especially not those who have any relation to the pharmaceutical industry or to any global health foundation. Such "experts" should be banned and excluded from any stage of the decision-making process.
Individual	Substantive Elements That Should be Included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	Substantive elements that should be included; Full protection of all citizens human rights, choice and bodily autonomy as the de-facto guiding principle. Retention of full autonomy at a national level to aid in making appropriate decisions for country nationals Ability to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement without sanctions. Full transparency on implementation of pandemic measures affecting peoples live sand the ability to vote if these negatively impact people's live without adequate data on effectiveness (such as lockdowns, vaccines passports/mandates and compulsory masking). Anti-trust measures to limit influence in the process by private companies profiting from the above (vaccine & PPE manufacturers, pharma etc)
IMS Services	Substantive elements to a new pandemic instrument must include: the ability for a country, state, county or municipality to opt out in total or of any portion of measures enacted which the aforementioned deems unfit through its own governmental processes, without imposed consequence (Sweden is a shining example of why this aspect is paramount). A fully transparent and democratic process with the ability of all people of the world whom this will affect, to have a vote. Measures that do not allow any person, group, company or corporation seeking to profit from proposed measures to have any vote or influence in the pandemic instrument process.

Organization	Written submission
private citizen	Substantive elements to be addressed in the proposed WHO treaty: 1. Every nation retains full autonomy to act in the best interests of its citizens. 2. Without penalty, nations or local government/councils may opt out of any treaty or part thereof at any time. 3. No involvement by commercial, private enterprise particularly pharmaceutical companies or any organisation deemed to have a conflict of interest or to likely financially gain from any proposed measures or recommendations. 4. Abolishing the practice of mandating of any medical intervention or procedure for any citizen of the world. 5. An open, transparent, democratic process, always.
Self	preparedness and response: Most importantly: 1. To acknowledge the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. To fully inform - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. To treat adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed courses of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN to impose a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. To acknowledge the rights of discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and to take this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. To allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. To allow nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. To create an open and transparent process to enable all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. To ensure that any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Select	preparedness and response: • Recognition of citizens' unalienable God given rights • Unequivocal reverence to citizens bodily autonomy and be free from coerced medic al treatment • Mandates are not imposed regarding experimental or unnecessary medical treatment • Full informed consent and detail of how to report adverse effects to be given to anyone choosing injection of mrna • Citizens to be free from psychological manipulation and behaviour science • Freedom to question global response to perceived threat level • Recognition be given that lockdowns cause financial, physical, and psychological suffering and not be imposed • Children's natural immunity be given highest priority • Parents be given the right to refuse to expose their children to unnecessary experimental medical procedures • Reliance on PCR & LFT testing be scrapped • Evidence of how decisions were reached to implement policies to be clear and transparent • Citizens to be involved and consulted in decision making process prior to policy implementation • Mask mandates to be abolished due to lack of evidence they do more harm than good
Science +Spirit Nutrition	Substantive elements to be included in a pandemic preparedness treaty: -Local leaders make decisions for their own communities. -Nations and municipalities can opt out of any portion of the agreement without consequence. -Pharmaceutical companies and for-profit health entities are not allowed to participate in decision-making processes. -Vaccinations are only ever administered by individual choice. -Vaccine manufacturers are held liable for any undisclosed side effects resulting from use of their products. -An individual must be informed of possible side effects, and must give consent before receiving any vaccine or treatment. -Individuals and communities are allowed to be in charge of their own health -The WHO operates in an open and transparent process.
Individual	Substantive elements to be included in an instrument on pandemic preparedness: (I) alignment with international and national laws that enshrine human rights to an individuals right to medical autonomy and to decline any experimental high risk questionable treatments. This must not be determined by an agency. (II) Respect for individual privacy and freedoms- NO DIGITAL IDENTITY (III) Transparency of process and identification of conflicts of interest from other parties promoting a fiduciary interest - ie those who stand to make money from a pandemic, and appropriate consequences for those who have a direct financial benefit from a crisis. (IV) protect from nepotism and alternative agendas which hide behind a pandemic (V) transparency of all information and all available treatments known to work, rather than only promoting high risk treatments whose efficacy is questionable.
Private individual	Substantive elements to be included in the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response include - the necesity for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it. This includesl preventing the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - measures that do not allow the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - prohibition of making financial profit by pandemics or measures.
General public	Substantive elements to be included All nations must have the choice on what to implement locally so that the public who vote for them have right to contest any measure Personal freedom of choice over bodily sovereignty is paramount Medical interventions must have long term data and full declaration of potential side effects so informed choice can be made Medical interventions must not be coerced or forced including withholding access or opportunity Full cost benefit of pandemic interventions must be researched and declared eg lockdowns, mask wearing Individuals must have freedom of choice to participate or not Any international instrument must be at guidance level only that can be interpreted at local level for the benefit of each individual.
Private	Substantive elements to be included: - definition of pandemic should be very clear, and based upon proven mortality rate (at least 5%) - Absolute individual freedom of the own body. No forced medical interventions. - instrument should always be activated and aproved by a democratic chosen entity. There can be no measures imposed by a non-chosen group like the WHO. The WHO can coordinate en make suggestions.
Healthcare professional and members of the public	Substantive elements to be included: - International guidelines should remain advisory and therefore should not include any mandates or sanctions. - Guidelines can provide a framework for solutions on an international level, but should be adaptable to national and local needs. Therefore allowing national and local leaders to retain full autonomy. - New guidelines should avoid bias and be open to scientific debate and include a wider range of scientific views on the best possible solutions for future pandemic strategies. All guidelines should fully adhere to the historical international human rights laws that have been carefully constructed for the past decades. - The WHO should ensure full transparency and accountability of their guideline-making processes. They should absolutely avoid conflicts of interest and should be free from the influence of pharmaceutical or other global health companies who would have a financial interest in suggested strategies. - The WHO should provide real-life evidence of the effectiveness of their suggested strategies and cost-benefit analyses on at least a yearly basis.
Brooklyn college	Substantive elements to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	Substantive elements to be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None; Private citizen/General public	Substantive elements to include should be: National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people retaining sovereignty of their own nation and national interests. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences or sanctions is absolutely essential. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability of ALL people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it and reject it's implementation. It must be assured that there are measures in place that do NOT ALLOW for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I have no affiliations to disclose.
Self-employed	Substantive elements to include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen - United States	Substantive Elements to the proposed pandemic treaty: 1) Full Open Source transparency - Posted online immediately - to all research, scientific, medical, testing, financial and organizational structures, reports and documents. 2) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and localities can opt out without consequence. 3) Freedom of choice is retained by all people to determine their medical treatment in conjunction with discussion with their choice of physician. Freedom of choice is retained by all people to refuse any medical treatment. 4) All companies involved retain full legal liability for damages their products cause for injuries and death. 5) All products proposed must be fully tested, all tests posted and all ingredients posted.
None	Substantive elements vital to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their citizens, with legitimate citizen input. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individual citizens to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in locations where a majority of voters are opposed. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or ANY other global health profiteers. 5. Physicians must be allowed to exercise their best judgment for themselves as well as for their patients in seeking & obtaining ANY medical treatment in pursuit of their own health and well-being for themselves or their family members.
private person	Substantive elements vital to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their citizens, with legitimate citizen input. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individual citizens to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in locations where a majority of voters are opposed. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or ANY other global health profiteers. 5. Physicians must be allowed to exercise their best judgment for themselves as well as for their patients in seeking & obtaining ANY medical treatment in pursuit of their own health and well-being for themselves or their family members.
Wemos	Substantive elements we consider essential for a new instrument, On funding : * avoid reliance on voluntary contributions. We suggest a system raising statutory financing through a fair share financing mechanism, where countries contribute according to capacity and benefits are shared equally, based on needs. * ensure governance of PPR funding is inclusive, with representative decision-making, led by public health considerations and shielded from commercial interests. * funding for PPR must contribute to – at the least not come at the cost of – health systems strengthening and must be additional to health ODA. * for public funding to impact positively on global access of medical technologies, conditions must be attached on transparency of net prices and research and development costs, affordability, global availability and global technology transfer. On equitable access to medical countermeasures (vaccines, therapeutics, etc.): * to enable sufficient production of medical technologies against emerging health threats, include a global technology transfer mechanism, able to transfer all knowledge and technology required to develop and produce relevant medical products. LMICs should be included in the technology transfer to increase global manufacturing capacity and to provide these countries with increased levels of self-reliance. * include an obligation for governments to provide exemptions in crisis situations on intellectual property on all elements that are required to produce and develop relevant medical countermeasures. This exemption should be provided in a timely and efficient manner and must not require permission from the original rights holder.
n/a	Substantive elements which should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: No agency, organization, group, entity, nation or individual should be given or take for themselves the sole authority to mandate, require, coerce or force any other group, organization, agency, entity, nation, or individual any form of intervention or treatment for any condition, illness, disease, virus or other health concern. No physician, healthcare provider, researcher, scientist or other public health servant can be required, coerced, manipulated, or forced to deny, withhold or refuse to provide a variety of evidence-based treatments, interventions or protocols for any condition, illness, disease, virus or other health concern, nor shall such persons be punished, censored, threatened or slandered for publicly sharing their knowledge, experience, observations, research and evidence relating to the treatment of any condition, illness, disease, virus, health care concern. Open debate, freedom of choice, informed consent, right to refuse, transparency, verified accuracy of information, freedom of speech, individual sovereignty, cooperative, collaborative, or conflicting viewpoints and discussions among all peoples, organizations, agencies, groups and nations should be the basis and foundation of any international plan, instrument, procedure, process or response to any event that creates or produces unknown or catastrophic global impact or hardship.
General Public	Substantive elements which should be included in pandemic preparedness are that many of Earth human citizens do not use pharmaceutical drugs. Therefore it is important to include local health methods and policies as well as respect for individual health freedom. The Covid 19 shots had many adverse reactions and injuries. The Covid 19 shots did not in many cases work as well as Vitamin C and D and Zinc, Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine. Also, it is important to stop Gain of Function research and to enforce the removal of Bio Labs in order to prevent more problems in the future.
None	Substantive Elements 1. The focus should be first and foremost on finding treatments to any future pandemic virus or bacteria and include suggestions from all types of people including practicing clinical doctors and researchers. Medical doctors who have successfully treated the microbe of a pandemic should be LISTENED TO. 2. This international response should be limited to pandemics ONLY. 3. All restrictive measures by this international response should have a time limit not to exceed the actual finding of treatments to the pandemic pathogen or 6 weeks, whichever is more reasonable based on the actual number of deaths from the disease, not cases of it. 4. The international response should not supercede the autonomy of any nation and any nation's constitution and laws. 5. The international response should also focus on preventing the spread of the pandemic pathogen into other countries by temporarily suspending travel between countries. Thank you.
None	Substantive Elements: Inalienable Rights of Irish people, as enshrined in Irish Constitution and EU Treaties, including and most especially, the right to BODILY AUTONOMY. Lack of deference to The Nuremberg Code. Need for Referendum in Ireland to alter The Irish Constitution and bind Ireland to an International Treaty. Loss of Sovereignty for Ireland. Lack of transparency of The WHO, it's funders and agenda. Inadequate discussion of this topic at National level due to lack of balanced media coverage. Lack of trust in an unelected supra-national privately-funded body.
Benevolent public health	Substantive elements: 1) that preparedness spends time and focus on health as primary defense. Empower people with knowledge and support to be truly healthy. Clearly state that this is of utmost importance and the WHO will predominantly focus on this because it gives the biggest reduction in negative impacts (like death) from pandemics. Focus on health , see data that 95% of those that died from Covid were unhealthy (2-4 co-morbidities) . Clearly making this objective paramount in the Preparedness plan would reduce any impacts by 95%. Having this at the forefront of preparedness plan would be true public health . 1) be prepared using all voices and debate without money or power influencing what is put forward to be prepared. 2) what ever is put forward is suggestion only 3) further to point 2) clearly have an element that states the WHO preparedness strategies are not binding to in anyway to nation states. 4) to have a substantive element that involves regular review. 5) further to point 4) that initial preparedness plan and its periodic review show all details of what was put forward by the individuals creating these policies. These details are reviewable by the public at all times. The individuals and back ground industry ties of all contributors both past , present, and future are disclosed at all times along with the open source of all discussion notes and meetings to come up with this preparedness plan. Contributes should be protected from industry and should not benefit from being a part of this preparedness. 6) Should have an element of choice no matter what is recommended. Allow people to make the best decision for themselves when given transparency of information without influences.
Private	Substantive elements: -no mandatory vaccination -participation should be voluntary -no direct or indirect mandatory rules -possibility of international travel without vaccination pass -preparedness should organized per country and not centrally on EU or Wrld level by organizations and individuals that are not electable
Empowered Sovereigns	Substantive elements? The TRUTH.
World citizen	Substantive inclusions: National sovereignty is paramount . Every nation needs to create their OWN response based on the needs of their populations. Democracy must be preserved. Democracy cannot thrive without open debate. Decentralized decision making allows regions to pivot quickly toward what the people need. Protect frail elderly if they desire to be protected. Allow all others to continue with daily life, thus protecting economies and the emotional health of the entire world.
NHS	Substantive measures must be taken to assure that: - public health policy is founded on good scientific principles and good faith. Conflicts of interest inform the dependability of such analyses and interpretation. WHO is currently compromised by its stakeholders. - depopulation agendas are openly formulated and debated accordingly. - corporate pharmaceutical revenue is not dependant on WHO policy, and stakeholders cannot manipulate data conclusions. UNITAID corruption is evident in the case of Dr Andrew Hill of Liverpool, for instance. - full scientific perspective is not impaired, and consequently limited in its natural evolution and innovation. Biology is an immature science and WHO policy risks confounding our intellectual understanding of this fragile scientific field. - reduced dependence on electronic designs and surveillance. Personal devices do not need to form identification conveniences, which is dangerous to our growth and development as creatures of Earth. Furthermore, EMF spectra from technological alliances- by WHO partners- is critically endangering the ecosystem and all its biological bodies. - WHO policy is separate from banking and government fiscal departments and policies. Health services should not be tied to financial services.
Private Citizen of Canada	Substantively, any international organization set up to deal with pandemic preparation and response should be free of financial conflict of interest, and thereby be free to seek out and follow legitimate science, despite censorship which may muddy the waters. Since science is based upon the fair discussion of opposing views and even opposing results, the voices of valid science should never be silenced. Sovereign nations should maintain veto power to opt out of directives which they believe overly restrict the rights of their citizens, or fail to follow valid and legitimate science. No international organization should have ultimate power over the entire world and its population, as the potential for misuse of that power is too great. Any international pandemic response instrument should refuse funding from ostensibly charitable organizations which are themselves funded by members of the ultra rich, who may seek to become an international oligarchy. The potential for these charitable organizations and these rich patrons to steer actions of the instrument to create more wealth for themselves is too great. The pandemic response instrument must be free of financial conflict of interest. The pursuit of science which seeks to enhance gain of function of dangerous pathogens should be outlawed immediately and completely through the efforts of the pandemic response instrument. No response organization which purports to protect mankind from pandemics should be involved in gain of function research which has the potential to create new pandemics. Thank you for your time.
N/A	Substantively, it is imperative that any and all decisions are made by and on behalf of the people by an elected government relevant to that country, as only that way will the true needs of the people, both individually and collectively be met. While co-ordination may have benefits to all, each country will have unique needs that cannot and should not be limited by any single orgnisation attempting to take control and take matters into their own hands. We have already seen that decisions made across the globe have been badly affected by interference by financially motivated pharmaceutical companies, which appear to also have a considerable input into the WHO. This, with all the data now available (VAERS, Yellow Card, ONS and Pfizer's own reports) has clearly shown bad judgement and a significantly negative impact on the population of the world. The ultimate responsibility for the people (the electorate) in each country, rightly sits with that country's government and attempt to subvert that can only be interpreted as an attempt to overthrow said government and the voice of the people, thereby undermining the very core of democracy. If the WHO truly wants to ensure the wellbeing of the world's population then it would do well to remember that and apply a combined approach to work WITH the governments, NOT above them. The governments in turn need to work WITH the people not against them to deliver a consolidated approach to any future needs!
Keine	Such a contract is in my opinion not neccesary and without any sense. I see here a conflict of interests of all of the different nations and I assume that the risk of influencing by the pharmaceutical industry is too high. Further I cant see any advantage for the european inhabitants. I see again that this contract will be more an instrument or tool to control the people. I would never approve such a contract.
Private	Such a decision must be discussed in each country and there must be a referendum on participation. It's not a trivial change.
tv-arts	Such a global instrument definitely needs to include the 100% respect for human rights, individual state sovereignty and the accountability of government officials and/or pharma companies for the consequences of drugs/applications used. All contracts between pharma and the involved states/eu need to be transparent and accessible to the public all the time. A right to veto from the states involved has to be included. Privacy of data has to be guaranteed.
Bad Dürkheim	Such a pandemic treaty will usher in the WHO's takeover of our liberty and threaten our individual, inalienable right to self-determination through a comprehensive, single, top-down mandate that we have not given it. Such an agreement for the people, on the other hand, should include: - Pillars of natural law - civil rights such as autonomy (right to self-determination in questions of medical therapies, for example) - Transparency (which rights of citizens are negatively affected by pandemic management, are certain restrictions even legally and morally justifiable? What side effects can certain therapies favored by the WHO have on people) - Freedom of choice (whether at all, and if so, which therapy, for example, free choice of doctor, the right to physical self-determination as a non-negotiable basis, for example). These complaints from citizens and their rights must be heard and included in an agreement or taken into account. I ask you to take this into account when formulating an agreement or to comply with these legitimate demands of all free citizens. Kind regards
N/A	Such a piece of overarching legislation must keep guarantees of national and individual sovereignty, and full legal liability for governmental officials and pharmaceutical companies for anyone who has adverse reactions. Fundamentally, one should be allowed to choose to receive medical interventions without fear or favour.
private	Such a project is to be rejected: 1.) The WHO or any other global institution can never be democratically legitimate. 2.) There is no control authority in terms of the separation of powers that could intervene effectively in the event of errors or abuse of power. 3.) The way a WHO is funded makes it impossible for it to act independently and neutrally. 4.) Smaller organizational units such as nation states can respond much better to local circumstances. 5.) Centralized pandemic control prevents the attempt of multiple competing solutions. The best solution can no longer be determined in this way.
Flyby News	Such a reality as we are confronting today makes me wonder about the science being used to analyze and treat our health. Treating disease within is the most effective way to combat Pandemic invasions in my opinion. The plan for more vaccines enable mutations to jump from one infection to another. Meanwhile the human immune system gets jolted. It can recover but could it be better to naturally build up immunity to each infection, and support it with immune boosting natural ways and remedies to stay healthy?
Humanity	Such a thing shouldn't exist altogether. NO ORGANIZATION should try to overpower the major laws of every nation. Especially one which has long lost its way like the WHO. It revealed itself as being toxic and corrupt. As a citizen, I strongly CONDEMN this treaty. Its true intention is FAR from noble or beneficial.
Individual	Such a treaty as you propose is dangerous to individuals all around the world. Individualized informed consent is essential and making blanket policies for entire nations is neither following the science nor best practice as seen in the current pandemic. Seeing that countries across the world faired much better than others and even held different policies shows that individualized pandemic and medical response is necessary. There is no one size fits all approach to an individuals health and an organization so far removed from local and individual needs seems incomprehensible and not logical, nor effective. If anything this would put billions in more danger of harmful blanket policies.
The resident of the United Kingdom	Such a treaty should NEVER EXIST! It is a severe threat to democracy. If the nations sign it, they will consequently say farewell to their citizens' sovereignty. If it does come into force, I demand that it includes guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	Such a treaty should not exist to begin with. Giving such big centralised power during the time of crisis is too risky. I do NOT agree with having this treaty. However if it will still exist then include: - bodily autonomy will be respected without any consequences - all safety data must be published prior to an authorized or approved drug/vaccine. We saw this with cobid-19 vaccines and safety data is pretty much a fiasco but it is too late as we had this information after all the mandates and vaccines being around for over a year. - all possible side effects must be clearly communicated and patient consent should be based on it - nations should have decision making power
Citizen	Such a treaty would prevent states from carrying out their own health policy. I believe health policy should remain a strictly national matter over which non international organization should have any control, unless the state voluntarily agrees to allow it. The fact that WHO accepts funding from private donors is a danger to democracy and should be forbidden. This is the real reform that needs to take place before any other treaty or negotiation: limiting membership of WHO to state entities, excluding any private donors who might try to influence WHO policy for profit, as we have seen recently during the COVID crisis. WHO top officials should also have, unlike today, a medical background and never have worked for pharmaceutical companies.
Private citizen	Such a treaty, if needed at all, should not be include requirement for digital Pass where all personal information is included. Citizens of the world should be able to show their vaccinations with the old fashion paper booklet. In addition, a digital pass sets the requirement to own a cellular phone and internet and therefore would exclude a large swath of the world citizens.
none	Such an instrument creates a huge potential for abuse. It needs to contain strong guarantees for member nations souvereignity as well as souvereignity of the individual as required by human rights respectively human dignity and physical integrity. It also needs to ensure publicly reviewed measurement quality and juridical security respective full liability of acteurs. Also, as COVID pandemy has shown, there needs to be a mechanism in place ensuring free and well-balanced discourse. There fore such an instrument needs to implement censorship free communication channels public can use. As COVID pandemic has show also a profound logging of decissions and their reasons need to happen together with the regulary evaluation of what was done. Failing actors have to get removed from further decissions as the topics delicacy does not allow failures.
(general public)	Such an instrument in not needed. Each country should have the right to set their own health policy.
Bürger	Such an instrument is not necessary, but it's dangerous. It contradicts any form of democracy. Every state must have the individuel possibility to decide on measures in case of a pandemic, based on national possibilities, necessities and a democratic decision-making process. Every attempt to install any sort of a worldwide goverance by any non-democratic actors (like WHO, private oligarchic organisations behind it like Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation with CEPI and GAVI, and any military/intelligence organisations like DARPA and IARPA, and the World Economic Form) must be opposed and prevented.
private citizen	Such an instrument is not necessary, but it's dangerous. It contradicts any form of democracy. Every state must have the individuel possibility to decide on measures in case of a pandemic, based on national possibilities, necessities and a democratic decision-making process. Every attempt to install any sort of a worldwide goverance by any non-democratic actors (like WHO, private oligarchic organisations behind it like Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation with CEPI and GAVI, and any military/intelligence organisations like DARPA and IARPA, and the World Economic Form) must be opposed and prevented.
private citizen	Such an instrument should acknowledge and concede that a one-size-fits-all instrument on pandemic 'preparedness and response' cannot dictate a global response to perceived health threats. Any such instrument should be advisory only. It should include the right to freedom of choice for every individual, nation or local government to opt out of any recommended measures. It should guarantee the fundamental right of every single human being to make their own informed decision as to how they choose to respond both medically and physically to any perceived health threat. It should ensure that multiple sources of potentially conflicting scientific evidence and opinion are sought and publicised without discrimination to ensure the widest possible debate for fully informed decision making. It should clearly note that people and communities have full entitlement to control of their own health. It should acknowledge that any recommendations made by the WHO shall not supersede the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. It should promote and not censor open discussion between those with conflicting opinions. It should ensure that pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers cannot influence its advice, nor determine what constitutes a pandemic nor change the definition of terms such as pandemic and vaccine, nor mandate specific medical interventions. It should clearly reflect the ethical right to 'my body, my choice'.
Private Citizen	Such an instrument should acknowledge and concede that a one-size-fits-all instrument on pandemic 'preparedness and response' cannot dictate a global response to perceived health threats. Any such instrument should be advisory only. It should include the right to freedom of choice for every individual, nation or local government to opt out of any recommended measures. It should guarantee the fundamental right of every single human being to make their own informed decision as to how they choose to respond both medically and physically to any perceived health threat. It should ensure that multiple sources of potentially conflicting scientific evidence and opinion are sought and publicised without discrimination to ensure the widest possible debate for fully informed decision making. It should clearly note that people and communities have full entitlement to control of their own health. It should acknowledge that any recommendations made by the WHO shall not supercede the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. It should promote and not censor open discussion between those with conflicting opinions. It should ensure that pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers cannot influence its advice, nor determine what constitutes a pandemic nor change the definition of terms such as pandemic and vaccine, nor mandate specific medical interventions. It should clearly reflect the ethical right to 'my body, my choice'.
GKV	Such an instrument should respect the freedoms and democratic structures and decisions of the people worldwide. A global instrument should not be used or (from a peoples perspective) misused to demand medications or therapies. Everyone must have the choice to live their life in their own way and choosing.
n/a	Such an instrument, to be viable for cross-national utilisation, must... 1. Expressly protect the core human right of bodily integrity - 'my body, my choice'. 2. Be free of all direct and indirect influence from commercial, political and pseudo-charitable interests. No person or entity must profit from measures. 3. Implement multi-faceted strategies - nutritional, environmental and pharmaceutical. Never a single strategy, and never 'one size fits all'. 4. Expressly preserve the rights of health professionals to a) Treat patients variably according to their individually assessed needs. b) Discuss treatment options, data, questions and professional opinions freely, without threat or censure by any person, government or entity. 5. Assess the broad and long-term cost-benefits of strategies, ensuring at all times that benefits substantially outweigh potential harms. 6. Build in agile, quick responsiveness to changing information. 7. Expressly respect and preserve the rights and capacity for agile and effective localised responses, to the extent that this does not conflict with the other points here. Layered bureaucracy, or perceptions of authoritarian hierarchy, must never be allowed to slow down or impoverish local decision-making. 8. Ensure deployment of the instrument only in the most extreme and rare circumstances that objectively and quantitatively require it. 9. Build in criteria for the clear withdrawal of the instrument, such that the measures come easily to a defined end at the earliest opportunity.
Metis nation	Such an international instrument must never be mandated but rather in the nature of recommendations. Each and every community or group of people must have the right and responsibility to accept or not such recommendations or to adapt them as they see fit in their respective communities. When provided with proper and complete information, people are capable of deciding which course of action best suits their particular situations.
Citizen	Such centralized instrument should no exist, it menaces the independence of states. If it did exist, it should belong to each country, it should focus on raising populations health, prevention instead of late medication targeting a local not a global population. It should present ALL available treatments and display their potential side effect with transparency All stakeholders should be known and liable in case of corruption and conflict of interests. This instrument should not be connected or conditionned by any other administrative or monetary digital tool.
person	Such decisions should be evaluated by a team of people following these guidelines: - practicing MDs - psychologists - philosophers - poor people - no one remotely related to big pharma Please also keep in mind that since 1990, pandemic fear mongering has been too common and as with every single doom prophecy, they have always been wrong or caused more harm than good.
Individual	Such instrument shall be limited to recommendations and must not violate sovereignty and constitution of states. It must not violate Human rights and individual sovereignty. Any recommendation hast to be based on broad public discussion including scientists independent from pharma industry as well as practitioners. A pandemic situation which requires WHO recommendation needs at least a mortality of more than 5% of population in a year. Long term effects of short term recommendations have to be considered. Responsible persons of WHO and national authorities are held to be liable for their decisions.
Personal	Such must absolutely include the following. Without it, no such power grabbing effort can be justified. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Such WHO authority is unneeded. We have govt and public health authorities.
Citizen	SUGGESTED AGREEMENT ELEMENTS FOLLOW: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, preserving the right of National and local leadership to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. All members must operate indepently and objectively
Harmless health	Suggested inclusive items: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The recent actions and decisions, based on a small group of professionals, making medical mandates when they are not trained physicians; to the exclusion of others who are trained and practicing physicians, is akin to a dictator making decisions to ensure his continued power, to the exclusion of the health of his own nation.
USA	Suggestion for consideration: 1. All local municipalities shall retain full autonomy and reserve the right to not participate with WHO guidelines without consequence. 2. All pharmaceutical contributions to these recommendations are italicized for recognition. 3. WHO recognizes that local and national leadership know what serves their people and respects their decisions. 4. All WHO recommendations contained herein this document are simply guidelines based on our understanding and are not to be misconstrued as law, mandates or required action.
none	Suggestions for the new international instrument: Form an independent group of scientists (not pharmaceutical, political, WHO, WEF members who are financially or otherwise indebted to a particular outcome) that will evaluate all proposals presented and determine the pros and cons of each proposal before suggesting implementing. Include an opt out of a provision (or any part of a provision) for any country or entity for any reason without any negative implication. Create an uncensored, open forum to debate all sides of proposals with uncensored public input. Offer members options (not mandates) to improve health issues. Offer suggestions to improve the overall health of our planet to keep our people healthy (like suggesting stopping geoengineering practices of chemtrails to halt infusing aluminum into our water and air.) Include provisions to allow individuals to keep their autonomy and opt out of personal procedures they do not consent to without any form of coercion or punishment.
General public	Suggestions: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	Support for emergency hospitals Support for issuing medicines to all peoples as requested Support for training of treatment Open tracing of source of illness Open information about how to get healthy Zero input to local issues in regard to "lockdowns", movements of people, staying indoors, quarantine of the healthy, segregation of persons based on any distinction including gender, race, health status, medical status, vaccination status
N/A	Suppressing truth & freedom is a contradiction in a Democratic society. Infringing on the rights of others is never allowable even under the pretext of protection & service.
Physician/MD (Deutsche Ärztekammer)	Supra-national induced compulsory health interventions are prone to fraudulent actions and intransparent decision processes. Such "treaty" will cause deep mistrust and delegitimization of local health communities. I strongly oppose such a project.
Mitglied Ärztekammer Deutschland, Äußerung privat	Supranationale Autorität über nationalstaatliche Maßnahmen der Pandemie Bekämpfung, Impfung, Impfstoff Zulassung und Erstellen digitaler Gesundheits Ausweispapiere werden von mir auf das Schärfste abgelehnt. Schon heute ist die WHO interessengesteuert, das wird sich bei einem Machtzuwachs potenzieren.
NA	Surely a more appropriate first question for the WHO to be asking is not what substantive elements should be included, rather should it be introducing a global pandemic treaty at all. This proposed treaty will give the WHO authority to override the will of individual nations' and dictate whatever pandemic controls it sees fit. This is fundamentally undemocratic and immoral, especially as the WHO has shown itself to be neither impartial nor timely in response to previous threats of this nature. It has delayed the release of vital information and often amended such releases to reflect the motives of its chief funders, namely rogue dictator states, the pharmaceutical industry and big tech companies. These manipulations have suppressed information about effective generic therapeutics and allowed draconian, liberty threatening measures like lockdowns to be introduced into liberal democracies. Measures which have lost, not saved, lives and certainly not improved human health. Given this I believe the WHO lacks any authority to introduce a global pandemic response treaty. Stop it now.
Awaken India Movement	Survival is not just breathing! Health is important, so are freedom and human rights! "Our science" has progressed a lot, and still it cannot answer everything! There are many ways to solve a problem, but if it snatches our freedom and rights then its like creating another problem which can again deeply(badly) affect our health and well being! Many other problems and diseases are being ignored by putting lockdowns, mask mandates, vaccine mandates which are draconian and are being imposed assuming "Germ Theory" to be flawless. It's flawless or not is a debatable topic! Pathogens and germs are important for life on earth, and we should all live in harmony with nature! It doesn't mean we should not treat any disease/illness but we should understand that we are not immortals, and our deaths shouldn't be projected to inject fear in masses. Freedom comes at a price, we are always vulnerable and its being known since ages. Apart from that, it's now well known that many had suffered serious adverse reactions of vaccines, and long term effects are still unknown, which clearly indicates that vaccine mandates should not be imposed! Masking is also ineffective, impractical and unscientific. RTPCR will only detect the virus and not if the person is ill. And now its established that natural immunity is stronger than vaccine immunity and vaccines doesn't stop virus transmission. Kindly include a person's right to deny for vaccine and medication without penalizing them or deny them any service!
HZMO	Sve što ste napravili po pitanju zdravlja u svojoj povijesti SZOa je bilo protiv zdravlja ljudi. Ne slažem se s niti jednom vašom prethodnom ni budućom odlukom. Prestanite maltretirat ljude. Nikad nećete moć provest svoju agendu. Zaustavit će vas narodi u cijelom svijetu. Goli ste. Zli ste. Nepošteni ste. Sudit će vam se svima za zločine protiv čovječanstva.
Joe public	Sweden is proof that individual states have the best solutions for external threats, the W.H.O. had a disastrous pandemic getting everything wrong causing untold economic and social problems. The same organisation can not be allowed to make unilateral decisions after proving it is not fit for purpose. The W.H.O. Is no longer trusted after two years of incompetent leadership and disastrous diktats, it's time for you to go away rebuild your brand and attempt to regain peoples trust, this I'm afraid may take many years.
Private citizen	Sweeping medical decisions do not work for every demographic. Each region should have the power to decide if recommendations work for them. There should also be room for exceptions within the region for individuals. Informed consent needs to mean fully informed prior to consent and not after real world statistics are gathered. WHO has not been democratically elected, however, it's recommendations need to be voted on by region with options to decline to participate for individuals.
Wolny człowiek	Szanowni Państwo, zhkaszam seojbstaniwczy sprzeciw przeciwko traktatów WHO którego wynikiem ma być naruszenie mojej wolności Ci do decydowania o sobie i moim zdrowiu. Nie zgadzam się by ktokolwiek w jakiejkolwiek formie wymuszał na mnie decyzję co do sposobu leczenia, zapobiegania chorobom. Sprzeciwiam się kategorycznie jakimkolwiek próbom pozbawienie mnie wolności wyboru, naruszanie mojego ciała bez mojej zgody. NIE ZGADZAM SIĘ NA TO BY KTOKOLWIEK PODRJMOWAŁ DECYZJE O SPOSOBIE LECZENIA LUB ZAPOBIEGANIA JAKIEJKOLEWEK CHOROBIE KTÓRA DOTYCZY MNIE JSKO CZŁOWIEKA.
PwP Polska wolnych Polaków	Szanowni Państwo, Apelujemy o nie przyjmowanie traktatu antypandemicznego, który łamie prawo konstytucyjne każdego państwa, ogranicza suwerenność i niepodległość każdego państwa będącego członkiem WHO. Nie ma na to naszej zgody jako wolnych Polaków, którzy są świadomi praw i obowiązków wynikających z obowiązującej na terenie Polski Konstytucyji!
Osoba prywatna	Szanowni Państwo, Nie dla Globalnego Antypandemicznego TRAKTATU WHO.
Attorney at law	SZO has no supremacy over national governments. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me myself and I	T
Private	t remains imperative that each country and nation and local geographic area retain their own decision making and autonomy, being completely in charge of the decisions they make for the people in their local area. Given the diverse nature of any global phenomenon, it is also imperative that each nation and each local authority within those nations can opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequence. This needs to be a thoroughly democratic process only, open and transparent, in which all the peoples of all nations and local areas can vote. This includes voting on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is also imperative that the process is robustly 100% free of influence from any government, corporation, any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. David
World Council for Health	T: People throughout the world. Governments, presidents, health ministers. Independent media TO: WHO via World Health Assembly, Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr Soumya Swaminathan TO: United Nations via Antonio Guterres, Liu Zhenmin, Elliott Harris, Maria-Francesca Spatolisano The World Council for Health (WCH), a coalition of scientists, doctors, lawyers, and civil society advocacy organizations, opposes the World Health Organisation (WHO)'s moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement, while the world's attention is diverted by the latest crisis. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WCH believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effect
n/a	It's a mad idea, but since certain individuals & nations have pretty much taken over the WHO, I think it's a certainty that, if this new treaty gets signed, within a few years at most, a "public health emergency of international concern" will be declared, and all currently sovereign nations will become controlled subsidiaries of WHO. No government should even have the power to throw their country over to a third party. If that happened, they'd never give it back. Imagine there's a new pathogen spreading across the world. Nobody, anywhere, knows what the best response should be. By definition it's not known. History teaches us that we alight most rapidly upon probable best courses of action, not from modelling, but from empirical evidence. Running a large number of experiments, based on the smartest public health, medical & scientific brains, will quickly tell us what kinds of responses are helpful & which are not. Maintaining very good communication makes sure lessons learned are shared quickly. The worst conceivable response would be to place the decision making power in the hands of a single body. They'll likely run one experiment. We'll never learn the counterfactual. On this basis, I don't even understand why anyone would fall for the idiotic notion that letting WHO have the controls would be a great idea. Even if they were honest & competent.
	Taas uusi muka "demokraattinen" kysely, johon vastaaminen 100% ajanhukkaa. Laitetaan nyt kuitenkin, että WHO on nykyään rikollisjärjestö, jolta ei kansalaisille tule muuta kuin kärsimystä. Kaikki mitä teette näiden itseaiheutettujen pandemioiden varjolla on kauhistuttavaa ja palvelee vain teidän rikollista suunnitelmaa. Toivottavasti karma hoitaa ja hoitaahan se.
Home	Take good notice of Real Medical Experts Not government officials with vested interests in profiteering biotechnology startups....
None	Taking into consideration the lack of transparency and integrity in the most recent management of pandemic in 2020 - 2022, I propose to introduce a surveillance committee to evaluate the collusion of corporate interests in the governance of emergency events. Based on the lessons from the most recent pandemic, the WHO should formulate organizational strategies to inhibit the financial gains from international health policies to prevent the mismanagement of measures because they are skewed to the preferences of corporations directly benefitting from inadequate solutions to health problems. The meddling of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation into global health policy should be cut off completely because it is an assault to the democratic legitimacy of the WHO. In a recap, the substantive elements to be introduced in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: independent audit in the WHO to uncover collusion and conflict of interests with corporations; removing non-elected actors from the decision making; a committee that evaluates the integrity and scientific evidence in formulating the response.
Major Family Chiropractic	Taking the health decisions away from individuals and their countries is a very bad idea. What works for one country or person may not work for all. Seeing how this last round of sickness come through and how the world treated it differently and the recommendations the WHO, CDC, and other overseeing organizations put out. I cannot see how having one entity over everything can be good for anyone. There needs to be checks and balances, as well as freedom for people to make health decisions based on their own morals, research, and conclusions. We are all individually responsible for our own health, I do everything I can to make sure that my body is healthy, well and ready to fight off whatever I may come in contact with.
New Zealand citizen	Tena Koloa (Greetings all) As an individual and as an Iwi affiliated member of Te Ātiawi and Ngati Porou Aotearoa (New Zealand) I respond to the question. Such an instrument must; before, and above all else, give precedence to the time honoured sanctity of the doctor patient relationship, and, more importantly, recognise the right of the individual to refuse medical interference as recognised by International the law as the Nuremberg code. The foregoing must therefore limit the scope of such an instrument to that of providing recommended actions only, whether to governments or to individuals. Such an instrument as proposed, must, of necessity, be, in it's final iteration, authored by individuals, notwithstanding said instrument referencing recommendations purportedly garnered from the worlds population. To give any group of individuals authority to make any decisions to do with my body and/or that of my whanau (greater family) is abhorrent. As the death count mounts from the pandemic, and, from it's mandated prophylactics, the populace is gradually waking up to the roles played by individuals responsible for making decisions that are now and will continue to have increasingly obvious devastating consequences. I cannot abrogate my decision making faculty to any one but myself. Your proposed instrument will have to violate fundamental human rights to be any thing other than a instrument of recommendation. That's all. Let truth prevail and never will ends justify immoral means. Kia pai te hira (May you go well) Peter Mark Natana Brooking
Citizen of Planet Earth	Tena Koutou Katoa / Formal Greetings Firstly, I question any 'authority' the WHO assumes upon proposing a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response! The World Health Organisation has its place in some arena's but in my view is not welcome to impose its agenda on all the peoples of Planet Earth. I oppose the current aggressive overreach of the WHO's control and/or agenda, either currently published or unknown, into health matters pertinent to individual countries. The last two years is case in point whereby the 'global pandemic' rollout has seen governments participating in a 'narrative' seemingly directed by the WHO. The influence of big-pharma over the WHO and individual governments has also become obvious. As a result millions of people have needlessly lost their lives or livelihoods due to confusion, mixed-messaging and corruption. In no response to anything - health, environmental, social, cultural - is there an acceptable 'one size fits all'. Individual nations are unique in their cultural terrain, language and nuance. I strongly believe a de-centralised approach is best practice going forward. While there is a role the WHO can play in sharing information and coordination I believe firmly it's best for individual nations to manage their own health crisis in the future. This 'treaty' has not been widely publicised or debated at a societal level and the people of my country generally do not know what their health minister is about to sign up for. Given the proposed implications of signing this treaty I firmly believe more information needs to be disseminated amongst the public so they can become aware of how any changes may affect them personally in the future.
Green Cloak	Tena koutou, greetings, In response to your question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?", I make the following submission. - It is critical that measures are included to ensure pharmaceutical companies and other global health organisations and companies that profit from pandemics DO NOT influence process and policy. - It is essential that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - It is essential that nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - All nations must uphold the notion that medical treatment (including vaccination) requires fully informed consent for each person, and that any person may opt out of medical treatment (including vaccination) without consequence. - Open debate of science and pandemic policy must be encouraged, to ensure the best outcomes, and to avoid governments and other organisations suppressing science and debate that doesn't fit with their policy decisions. Nga mihi, yours sincerely, Kama Burwell

Organization	Written submission
personnelle	Tenir compte de l'avis des citoyens avec le consentement libre et éclairé de chacun pour les vaccinations . L'état de pandémie doit être décrété en consultant différents avis de scientifiques qui sont actifs sur le terrain .
I'm the General Public	Terms regarding the written component of the public hearings. The following elements should be included in the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self Employed	Terms should be inclusive of: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EU- Bürgerin	Tests zur Feststellung der Erregers müssen auf ihre Eignung überprüft werden. Medikamente und Impfstoffe müssen überprüft werden. Notfallzulassungen und vorläufige Zulassungen dürfen nicht verlängert werden. Die Hersteller dürfen nicht von der Haftung befreit werden. Maßnahmen müssen angemessen sein. Zwang zur Medikamentierung oder Impfung ist nicht zulässig. Geignete Behandlungsprotokolle sind anzuwenden.
Privat	Textvorschlag (hab ich geschrieben): Grundsätzlich bin ich gegen einen globalen Pandemievertrag, doch wenn schon manche Organisationen glauben das ein sochler notwendig ist dann muss er zwingend klare, unmissverständliche und unumgehbare Regelungen und Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen enthalten.
Private	Thank you fir the opportunity to make comments on WHO proposed pandemic preparedness. I strongly object to the fact that the WHO wants the final say of how pandemics must be handled by governments across the world. You can not have one set of rules for every country. Thats makes the WHO " the government". Each country differs and the elected country knows their citizens best and to have 1 representative of a country on the WHO board, dies not make that person the president. WHO wants control, that is not your function. WHO must guide each country. Leave it to them to make informed decisions. You are there to guide not to be the law. You want to infringe on my health, my body. I was birn to my parents, not to the WHO. My freedom is my birthright, not WHO consent. Thank you.
Individual member of public	Thank you for a chance to comment. I hope any agreement includes the following: --Individual countries and their constituent parts must not be treated as subservient to any supranational organization. Such national and local sovereignty should be explicitly protected. --Countries and localities should shave the explicit right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. --The people of the world should be given a vote on whether to adopt the agreement. No place where a majority does not want to participate should be forced. Disavow coercive measures to increase participation. --No one who stands to benefit from connections to pharmaceutical companies should be allowed to influence any agreement. Those with conflicts of interest should be strictly prohibited from participating. This is absolutely critical to a credible agreement that won't be seen as the handiwork of powerful industrial interests. Thank you.
Self	Thank you for accepting my comments. I feel that it is very important to maintain autonomy of policies for each country. Only the leadership of each country truly knows their situation and should have the authority to make decisions of what is best for their people. Organizations such as the WHO may not have a complete picture of every country. Therefore it is in the best interest of all to allow the leaders of each county to make their own decisions based on information the WHO has provided.
None. A concerned citizen	Thank you for accepting submissions from the public. I feel concerned about the way you have phrased the question - you are asking us not whether we think the proposed INB is a good idea, but simply what elements we think should be included in it, making it a given that you are going to establish it, rather than a topic for discussion and consideration. While I understand that you want to initiate more rapid global responses to pandemics, etc., I feel strongly that, in almost all cases, local solutions tend to be far more effective, timely and appropriate than global ones - as well as much more democratic. If you do create this INB, I agree with many others that: national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of all portions of the agreement, without consequence; there must be an open and transparent process that all people of the world can vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the INB in places where a majority of the people do not want it; and measures that do not allow influence in the process (and, preferably, in any of your other decision-making either) by any pharmaceutical company or other global health profiteer. I believe that there is no need for the proposed measure, and respectfully ask you to re-consider it.
Self	Thank you for accepting suggestions. I believe that the key to success is having fast, global communication - but local autonomy and control, along with lots of regional experimentation. Hence, the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks, John
Individual	Thank you for acknowledging feedback on your "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response." First, your pandemic preparedness plan must ensure that the diverse needs of individuals and groups are taken into account. It must validate every individual's right to care for their health according to their individual needs, their ethnic/cultural context, and their belief systems. Here in Canada, one of the ways in which our governments failed to honour these needs in past was in forcing our Indigenous peoples to attend residential schools in an effort to assimilate them to Western, white, capitalist system. This cannot happen again. Indigenous peoples (and all people of Canada and the world) have the right to choose for themselves their health interventions. No government has that right, and certainly no non-elected institution has that right. Second, as a health care provider, I consider informed consent the bedrock of medical ethics. Any pandemic preparedness effort must honour this basic principle. ALL people must be fully informed of the pros and cons of any medical intervention and be supported in making their choice to participate in it or not without punishment, social ostracism, and other harms. Third, there is solid evidence that the COVID-19 pandemic was the result of improperly managed gain of function research. If such research is considered necessary, it should be strictly managed. One way to make that happen is to do a full review of all of the factors that led up to the (probable) inadvertent release of COVID-19 from the Wuhan lab. I would like to see a full, public inquiry to ensure that it never happens again. Thank you again for your openness to feedback. Warm regards, Elizabeth
Family	Thank you for addressing my concerns. I believe that national and local leadership within each sovereign nation need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We must reserve the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as is seen fit by each nation, without consequence. The process must remain open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be implemented that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Again, thank you for addressing these concerns for the sake of liberty and freedom and protection against only one mindset that may lead to forced compliance with ideas that are not beneficial for most people. In the goal of international preparedness and response, we should always guard the freedom of sovereign nations and their people.
Transform Connections.	Thank you for addressing this important subject. I believe that it is essential to include open uncensored discussion between scientists and experts so that the public can make accurate informed decisions over health care. I value measures which step towards authentic care and connection, avoiding alarmist fear generation and separation especially for the vulnerable who need additional support.
None	Thank you for allowing comments. I do not believe a single group running a world pandemic response is the most efficient approach. Both individuals and national/local governments should have the right to refuse any recommendations by the WHO in case of a pandemic. Or to suggest new/different approaches.
citizen, no organisation	Thank you for allowing me an opportunity to weigh in on one of the most important issues of my 55-year-long life. The past two years have been a terrifying wake-up call for me and many others around the world. I think the treaty should include the absolute and total autonomy of local and national organizations, movements, leadership and governments, including participation of people within individual countries to influence and lead local responses to anything declared a pandemic by the WHO. Any global treaty must include robust measures to ensure that global financiers and pharmaceutical and other health businesses are prevented from influencing any global health governance processes, these measures must be transparent and accessible to the public to prevent a repeat of what we have seen the past two years. Local voice, whether it be public, scientific or that of a municipal government must have full autonomy, because it is the only way to represent the demos, we the people, This is inalienable and must be part of any attempt at global control of people, their ability to leave their apartments and houses, to see their families, friends and other people's faces, other parts of the world. Nations, municipalities and people whom they represent must have the right to opt out of any or all elements of the treaty - as directed by local people's views, without punishment or other negative consequence. This is a basic tenet of democracy. All people who are effected should have the right to vote on any proposed policy, process or measure that has the potential to affect their lives in the dramatic ways we've seen in the past two years - any global treaty should be open and transparent and voted on by the global demos.
Individual	Thank you for allowing me to make this submission. I have two points I would like to submit. First, the right of individuals to make the choice to undertake treatment that is right for their individual needs, particularly those with preexisting medical conditions that may cause injury or death, if they are forced to receive a medical treatment, is essential. Those individuals need to be supported and not punished for their choices, and allowed to undertake proven, alternative treatment's, especially treatments that will safeguard their health. My second point, doctors and other medical professionals, must be allowed to treat patients, without being censured, with all proven treatments available. After all, they are in the best position to treat their patients, using treatments available in their area, respecting any local customs. Doctors have their patients best interests at heart; they are sworn to respect life and that is the desire of all doctors I have had the honour of working with.
Country Spreads	Thank you for allowing me to make this submission. I would like to say the following: 1. Firstly, ask yourselves "Should one Corporate Global Organisation have the sole right to control everyone's health?" A. NO. 2. Should the individual countries be in control of their people's health? A. NO 3. Should the people of the individual countries have the freedom and rights to choose what medication/treatment (if any) they take? A. Yes. 4. How much warning should countries be given that a genuine pandemic has struck? e.g. don't leave it to 100,000 cases before notification. Where I come from, NZ, we are a tiny Nation of 5.Million people. The devastation from long term lockdowns has ruined our country and our people. The level of segregation, coercion & discrimination that has occurred in my country, can never happen again. Our country is $220Billion in debt. We will never recover from the damage of this. Financially and Psychologically. People are dying (from you know what), businesses have been destroyed, therefore, less taxes being paid, How can a country recover when no taxes are coming in! You cannot steal peoples money, it's highly immoral and extremely unethical. The trust & respect of the Medical Profession not to mention the Governments around the world and big Pharma, have been ruined and this will take MANY Decades to repair. I would advise heavily against any man-made pandemics for the purpose of financial gain. As people, we need to bleed, we need to heal, we need to reunite, we need to become one again and care for each other. I would like to state that I am opposed to the WHO having 'sole control' over the global population's health. Health is about 'doing no harm' ... not money.
Libertarian Party	Thank you for allowing me to submit suggestions. The most important factors in living a satisfactory and healthy life is the freedom to choose what one does with one's life. The last 40 years of human existence on earth has demonstrated that unfettered capitalism amongst nations has almost allowed people to eliminate hunger and poverty in humans. Nations do not yet have the capability to enforce laws in other countries. So businesses have to persuade customers in other countries to buy products and services. The same will be true with pandemic responses. Organizations that wish people in other countries to act in certain ways should have to persuade, not coerce with laws, that behaving in a way is to these people's benefit. To end a pandemic groups should use freedom of chose and unbridled capitalism to obtain the actions the organizations wish. Sincerely, Gary L. Kirkland
Council for Wise Leadership	Thank you for allowing my response. To Who it may concern: Respectfully, below are my responses. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.ponse: Thank you, Naomi Lombardi
Not affiliated	Thank you for allowing this open period for comment. We strongly object to a coordinated pandemic response treaty at a level above our democratically elected representatives, which would compromise our sovereign decision making process. We think it is imperative to allow sovereign nations to handle pandemic response as they deem appropriate. A global response, a one size fits alls strategy could result in over commitment to a flawed strategy, and hamper innovation.
Race4Life Trust	Thank you for allowing this submission I believe,the nature, severity and duration of infection waves varies greatly between countries. This is due to many reasons, including, government mitigation responses and the general lifestyles and health status of the populations affected. Because of this, I feel that the elements included in any proposed international pandemic preparedness and response policy should include: - A decentralized, localized and proportionate response that is proven to work with national and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours Sincerely Alex van Tuyl
General Public	Thank you for allowing written submissions on this important topic. National and local leadership have an important role to play when it comes to governing and decision making. They are uniquely qualified to determine what is best for their own people. They are aware of what is happening in their country since they are local. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, and have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. Local leadership along with their own people should decide what portions of agreements they agree with and should be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We want an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. A global agreement is not a one size fits all! Countries were hit differently with the Covid pandemic and many countries reacted differently based on what each country thought was best for their people. There were just as many different outcomes and results of these reactions. Some very positive and some very negative. If you want to make an impact globally, you need to start locally. Thank you, Donna Kessler
Concerned citizen	Thank you for an open forum. I hope the WHO will come to a morally just and wise policy for the public good. Substantive elements include: - need to recognize geographical and ethical diversity ie. different weather, culture etc which makes collective policy impractical - need to uphold individual sovereignty and individual human rights - need to be flexible and fluid to tailor differences and changes - the costs and dangers of extreme measures like lockdowns and universal vaccination (which drives the virus to mutate) The notion that one size fits all or one policy for the entire world defies common sense, nor is it practical or efficient. Fluidity and flexibility is the way to win (as in martial arts). Decentralization would achieve this. The more local (municipal) it goes the more effective it will be.
Private health coach	Thank you for an opportunity to participate. I would like to note the following elements. It is important for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is important for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It is imperative that this be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Lastly, it should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Due consideration in this regard would be appreciated. Sincerely Arlene Steyn
Private	Thank you for asking for my opinion! A pandemic can be prevented very effectively by taking into account the following measures: The definition of a pandemic should be based on the death rate like it was before. The definition of herd immunity needs to be changed to its previous status to include not only so called vaccinated but especially the contribution of the contamination by the virus, which leads to an even better immunity. A recommendation of food supplements such as vitamine D, K2, A, E, and zinc could could help everybody to be better prepared for all infections! Thank you for taking my opinion into account. Best regards Manuel
none	Thank you for asking for my opinion! A pandemic can be prevented very effectively by taking into account the following measures: The definition of a pandemic should be based on the death rate like it was before. The definition of herd immunity needs to be changed to its previous status to include not only so called vaccinated but especially the contribution of the contamination by the virus, which leads to an even better immunity. A recommendation of food supplements such as vitamine D, K2, A, E, and zinc could could help everybody to be better prepared for all infections! Thank you for taking my opinion into account. Best regards, Eva Vo
Individual	Thank you for considering my input. I believe the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own populations. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	Thank you for giving me the opportunity to provide an input into the public consultations. As a member of general public I am not particularly qualified in the complicated matters of public health policies I am however, qualified to make a judgement on the most fundamental aspect of the treaty being negotiated, namely: retaining and strengthening sovereignty of negotiating governments over their public health decisions. WHO should function as a provider of the forum for the exchange of ideas for all interested governments in addition to it's present role as international advisory institution in the matters of pandemics, by their nature having an international impact. It is crucially important, that in performing this service WHO does not encroach in any way, shape or form on the sovereignty of the nations. Thank you. Anna Palczynska
none	Thank you for giving me the opportunity to provide an input into the public consultations. WHO has been a source of guidelines and support in the matters of public health for all the governments that needed helpful input in dealing with public health emergencies for many decades. It is my opinion that WHO remains and expands their important advisory position to the national public health authorities. While performing this service WHO under no circumstances and in any way, shape or form should encroach on the sovereignty of the nations. Sincerely Malgorzata Kaczanowska
Canada Revenue Agency	Thank you for giving me the opportunity to provide my input. To the question "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?", I respectfully demand the following elements be addressed: 1 - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, organizations, foundations, NGOs, charities, trusts, lobbyists, individuals or other global health profiteers. I appreciate that you take into consideration my concerns. Best regards, Valerian Abel
Independant	Thank you for giving me the opportunity to provide my input. To the question "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?", I respectfully demand the following elements be addressed: 1 - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, organizations, foundations, NGOs, charities, trusts, lobbyists, individuals or other global health profiteers. I appreciate that you take into consideration my concerns. Best regards, Sheila Abel
Canadian citizen	Thank you for giving us this opportunity to weigh in on the WHO's plan for a global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. It is it is crucial that people and communities be in control of their own health, public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you and respectfully yours, Connie Thurber
NZ Citizen	Thank you for hearing my submission. What I as a citizen would like to see in the Treaty/Agreement. The country to be allowed its own governance with a view to input from the WHO but not instructed to uphold any directive which may or may not be suitable to the people of the nation. Cultures of people come with their own innate and historical medical practices which have been known to cure and heal. If the holistic approach is governed by a global health board then this can bring with it the cancellation of alternative health care options. Controlling health in a one size fits all could contribute to a lot more illness, What I would like to see is the ability to assess what's best for the people of the nation as an independent body, without the directive from the Global body placing a "one size fits all" agenda. The country who has signed onto this Treaty should have the right to review any directive or instruction under a pandemic situation. There should be respect enough to allow for external or third party peer review of such directive with the reviewer under no duress or coercion to follow through with the directive / instruction without this impacting on their treatment going forward. There should not be any residual consequence if the directive is not followed through facts and evidence obtained from other means. There should be a transparency from Pharmaceutical companies on ownership and control and manipulation of the WHO by lining their own pockets for financial gain. There should be thorough investigations into any prior lawsuits against the big pharma interests in this Treaty, so the people are aware of the moral compass of these companies before they are forced to take a medicine.
Dakota county medical freedom committee	Thank you for hearing our views. Elements for inclusion include structuring any treaty to emphasize oversight at the most local level with decreasing power as decision making moves away from the individual at a local level towards any decision making at multi national level. Encourage resources be gathered at individual and then community level for fastest and most efficient dispersal in times of need. Include a clause which forbids any form of top down mandates proffered by individuals,corporations, or other unelected bodies who stand a greater risk of being subject to conflicts of interest. A clause guaranteeing freedom from censorship for all views. I believe this will result in the greatest number of creative brains addressing any problems which this treaty is likely to encounter and a faster reaction to changing local needs. A clause limiting contributions from national or multi national entities to suggestions subject to disregard at any step in the chain without penalty or sanctions. A clause codifying medical decisions remain solely the right of the individual.
Retired High School Teacher	Thank you for listening to me and my thoughts. While I don't believe the world needs to be governed by one organization, if it is to be done, I feel the following characteristics should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
World Council for Health	Thank you for listening to my comments. I believe that pandemic response should be handled on a national, state and local level based on the emergency. The WHO's proposed global treaty is not the direction we need. I would like the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	Thank you for listening. I am not in favor of making laws and rules that automatically encompass everyone in the same way. We are all individuals and have individual rights, or at least we were and did have once. This paper would be a great disservice to myself and the world.
N/A	Thank you for making sure: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Support individual freedom of choice regarding one's health
US/International Teacher	Thank you for opening the floor for responses. I think all nations need proper access to food and healthcare delivery services such as Uber, Amazon, and Grab. So long as people have this, they can choose to stay home away from disease and still have the means to survive. I also believe alternative and natural methods should be discovered and distributed more quickly so everyone has access to the natural antibodies and ways of fighting without putting poisons in their bodies such as vaccines making the pandemic worse. I personally know thousands of people in many nations and don't know a single person who died from the virus itself but I know several people who died or were severely damaged as a result of temporary fixes such as the vaccine. Pandemic response is best when leaving each for his own to make wise decisions rather than controlling situations and decisions of the people. Thank you again for your thoughtful inquiry.
Individual	Thank you for opening this up to citizens for our comments, and for your consideration. Important and substantive elements which should be included in the new international instrument regarding pandemic response, are the ability for each nation and locality to reserve the right for considering their specific communities and making their own localized decisions, with regard to pandemic responses. It is also very important for there to be an accountable voting process, or allowable referendums, letting nations and communities opt out of actions or measures which do not necessarily apply to them, or are shown to be unapproved by the majority of the citizenry.
General Public - medical practitioner	I believe the following points MUST be included in the Pandemic Response Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. In terms of the Hippocratic Oath no treatment may be performed without complete informed consent. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. Complete transparency is imperative: all depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. All medical practitioners remain bound by all terms of the Hippocratic Oath, Nuremberg Code and Helsinki Accord.
General Public - Medical scientist	I believe the following points MUST be included in the Pandemic Response Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. In terms of the Hippocratic Oath no treatment may be performed without complete informed consent. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. Complete transparency is imperative: all depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. All medical practitioners remain bound by all terms of the Hippocratic Oath, Nuremberg Code and Helsinki Accord.
resident / citizen of USA	Thank you for reaching out for input. This is what I would recommend. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	Thank you for reading this submission and fully thinking it through. We missed the mark during the COVID pandemic. Public health institutions implemented lock downs which caused more harm than any good considering there were more deaths from alcohol consumption than covid last year. Not to mention all the harm lockdowns did to our economy, which we are seeing the ramifications from now. These organizations also implemented mass masking, which also did more harm than good considering the CDC lowered bench marks for children development this year to cover up the fact that masking children caused immensed delays in development. Numerous research studies dating from the 1940s to today consistently show that masking gives, at most, a 1% risk reduction rate and that is when the masks are new and untouched by human hands through the day. All this to say, there should not be a general population rule when it comes to health. We all, individually, need to be responsible for our own health. Writing and participating in global health legislation will lead to more harm instead of good because every single individual is unique and healthcare can not be a one size fits all plan.
private citizen, USA	Thank you for receiving comments. I respectfully request that you consider the following elements be included: 1. Opt out provision for any and all nations, local governments which can be exercised at any time and for any reason. 2. No conflicts of interest. No decision making, recommendations or other influence by pharmaceutical companies, including for profit and non-profit organizations and Non-governmental organizations that have a material financial investment in, exercise material control over or materially donate financial or research money to pharmaceutical companies or their surrogates or engage in business ventures with pharmaceutical companies. 3. All nations, local governments maintain full local and national autonomy to make decisions affecting their own populations without interference or penalty. 4. Full public transparency, including publication of all documents, meetings, deliberations and transparent process for public input prior to decision making. 5. Decisions or proposed actions non-binding on any nation or local government where the majority of the population opposes the proposed decision or action. 6. Fully transparent procedures and process for dissolution of pandemic response on the earliest feasible date. 7. Transparent dispute resolution, appeal and grievance processes. Thank you, Susan Azad
Private citizen	Thank you for requesting comments regarding what elements should be included in a proposed pandemic treaty. Please consider: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I speak for many other Americans and thank you in advance for reviewing these suggestions. Sincerely, Elizabeth Hopley
General Public	Thank you for requesting outside opinions on this matter. I would like to see a "guidance only protocol" that would have at least the following safeguards. 1. "Opt-out" at any time without sanction. 2. A clear process for modifying the treaty. 3. A clear process for avoiding conflicts if interest, for example for bodies such as large corporates to unduly effect the measures applied. 4. A clear indication that individual countries legislatures still have primacy. 5. Enshrined in it a commitment to uphold the Nuremberg Code, European Convention on Human Rights and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in all guidance.
Personal response	Thank you for requesting thoughts from outside the council. I would like to see that such a protocol is completely optional for all nations and is only there to provide guidance. As such I believe the document should include: 1) An opt-out, that can be enacted at any time and without sanctions, for nations and national or international regions who do not believe the policy is the best interests of their citizens at the time. This may mean a country agrees to abide by it on one occasion, but not on another, or that they are willing to undertake some of the recommendations, but not all. 2) A clause stating clearly that national (and where it is relevant to the way a country is governed, regional) leaders retain autonomy and sovereignty at all times. 3) A clear and transparent process for updating and modifying the agreement based on evidence. 4) A clear set of measures that openly ensure that pharmaceutical companies, global health marketers and other profiteers do not and cannot have undue influence over the process or the policy.
Community Representative	Thank you for reviewing comment. A global or universal pandemic preparedness and response is an inappropriate approach to this subject. Equity comes from community up not from top down. Health care and health decisions are not universal, as each body and each situation is unique. Communities know best what communities need and how to manage situations and organize support. A global pandemic preparedness and response plan is absent of equity and in fact is rather totalitarian. Citizens, communities and local governments are best placed and best informed as to what thee community needs. Though you may mean well, this will be just another top down misstep that ultimately those supposedly aimed to help in fact suffer the consequences of disenfranchisement and bearing the expense of decisions they must bear yet had no say in. This is how ANY global pandemic plan is inequitable and inappropriate.
Picolata Consulting Services	Thank you for soliciting input on this very important matter My comments are as follows: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Steve
None	Thank you for soliciting public input. I believe strongly in national and local autonomy, and believe that the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	Thank you for taking general comments. I am writing to make it clear that The International Treaty on Pandemic Prevention, Preparedness and Response should not be signed and should not be enacted. There should not be an international approach as there are far too many complicating factors at play and different needed approaches. Each country needs to be able to do what is best for its particular citizens. This will lead to the best international outcome as it is the only way to look out for the individuals. Our individual voices must not be lost and our countries and governments must continue to represent us and our best interests. Do not sign the The International Treaty on Pandemic Prevention, Preparedness and Response.
Feast for the Soul	Thank you for taking my comments. I believe the following elements should be included: 1. The leaders of each and every country, nation, state, and local municipality shall retain full autonomy over determining which measures suggested by WHO are implemented, if any, and have the right to make decisions based on what is best for the health and safety of their own people. 2. The leaders of each and every country, nation, state, and local municipality shall retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. To create a transparent system, with failsafe measures, which would not allow for any influence in the WHO's process as relating to preparedness orders and their implementation by any and all persons, organizations, pharmaceutical companies, tech companies, NGOs, and other global health organizations who profit financially, or any other way.
N/A	Thank you for taking public comment on the substantive elements to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Because public health is not a one-size-fits-all program, we need people and communities in control of their health, therefore, it is my belief that any new international instrument should include the following properties: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration. KC Anderson Bend, Oregon, USA
Park Chapel Christian Church	Thank you for taking the time to consider my concerns. My statement is my own and does not reflect an official position of Park Chapel. In response to the query, "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I offer the following: 1. Medical doctors and health experts should be encouraged to offer opinions and research that may differ from the official statements made by the World Health Organization, and other governing bodies. 2. It should be noted that the scientific method is not based on consensus. To the contrary, the scientific method calls for rigorous debate and an openness to modify one's opinions based on new findings. 3. Regardless of the crisis, under no circumstances should independent nations/states be coerced or otherwise influenced to surrender their sovereignty to the World Health Organization or any other international body. 4. The best answers arise, not within a centralized command, but out of an environment that encourages creativity, critical thinking, and a variety of solution-oriented approaches. Thanks, again, for considering my comments!
Health Freedom Defense Fund	Thank you for taking the time to read my comments. I would like an ethics panel to oversee every aspect of the writing process of the treaty through its final draft. Those on this panel must be vetted to prevent any conflict of interest with health companies or organizations. I would like the treaty to stipulate that local leadership reserve the right to make decisions in a pandemic based on what is best for their constituents. There should also be a clause that allows nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all sections of the agreement without implicit or explicit consequences. The treaty must allow for all people of the world to vote on measures in a fair way so that the treaty is not imposed on locations where a majority of people do not want it. The treaty also should prevent funding by pharmaceutical companies or other for-profit health organizations to influence any of the measures.
None, submitted as an individual.	Thank you for taking the time to read my submission. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The large pharmaceutical companies do not make large profits when populations are healthy and we are seeing devastating adverse reactions in regard to the Sars Covid-19 mRNA experimental injections. This must stop.
Private	Thank you for taking the time to read my views. I'm primarily concerned with the proposal to overrule the right to chose and exercise bodily autonomy by an individual. In my view the best healthcare is self care where prevention is always better then a cure. I believe the role of WHO is to promote sound and lasting health practices such a good diet, exercise and mental health awareness to support natural immunity of all populations. I agree collaboration between the countries is beneficial to all, however not in the form of one fit all solution for all countries and their populations. Additionally, having learnt valuable lessons from the current pandemic, I'd appreciate and recommend WHO focuses on negating the practices that have proven so harmful, such as the propaganda of fear and division. Once more, thank you for the opportunity to share my view in the hope to be considered by the lawmakers. Kind regards, Barbara Murphy
N/A	Thank you for taking the time to read this submission. On behalf of myself and my family and respectfully request the WHO to Stop The Treaty!! According to the US Constitution this treaty is Unconstitutional. This is not something our founders would have agreed to had they been around to see what is happening. The US federal government does not have the authority to give away this power to anyone, let alone an agency outside of the boundaries of our country. The state have all the power and our federal government has limited power that We The People gave to them in the Constitution. This has never been and never will be their power to share. We do not consent to the WHO or any other organization to have any control over our lives even if we happen to face a pandemic at some point in the future. #StopTheTreaty!!
Health Choice Vermont	Thank you for taking the time to solicit input on pandemic coordination and response. Health is one of the most important matters to every human, and I write today as an individual with microbiology, molecular diagnostics and infectious disease background. My organization includes thousands of Vermonters and Americans concerned about health. We seek SAFE alternatives to Pharma, gene therapy and experimental procedures and are quite concerned about the trajectory the world seems to be taking. Are you? If so, I ask that you please consider the following requests: - Diversity of thought and opinion must be considered. What is good for the goose is not always good for the gander. We are all biologically unique and deserve the freedom of individual thought and autonomy when it comes to medical interventions. - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, I ask that you please implement only measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you very much. Jennifer Stella, B.Sc. Health Choice Vermont
None	Thank you for the chance to offer comment. I have a few ideas. That National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time.
SafeIT	Thank you for the opportunity of taking part in public consultations for this important international treaty. For many decades WHO has been a source of guidelines and support in the matters of public health for all the governments that needed helpful input in dealing with public health emergencies. In my opinion, the most important principle for the new treaty seeking to expand this valuable service is that WHO should remain an advisory institution to the national public health authorities, which would ultimately preserve their autonomous role in formulating and implementing public health policies. Under no circumstances the nation states should relinquish any part of their sovereignty to the centrally controlled agency. After all, the potential pandemics might be caused by the same pathogen in all countries, but the said countries differ by the myriad circumstances which preclude "one size fits all" approach. Sincerely, Wojtek Palczynski
MoneyMagpie Ltd	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the essential issues of freedom, bodily autonomy and the health of the world. It is quite clear from the events of the last two years, that experts in all fields disagree and that, until something is tried and tested, no one can know if it works or not. We have seen, through the examples of Sweden, Florida and Texas, among other areas of the world, that refusing to impose lockdown in time of a pandemic is the best policy. At the same time though, most other countries, including the UK where I live, believed that lockdown was the best option. This shows that the majority of opinions is not always the right one. Countries that locked down showed the highest instances of infections. So it is clear that different countries and regions need to have autonomy in times of pandemic as in other situations. If they do not then one organisation - whether it is the WHO or the UN or any other global association - will impose one rule which could be entirely wrong. Each nation must have the right to decide what they believe is the right thing to do in order to help all the other nations. What Sweden and the other regions that did not lock down did for the world was to show the alternative and show that it works. We need to keep this scientific method of decision-making going in the world. Sincerely, Jasmine Birtles
Alderney Stud	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the essential issues of freedom, bodily autonomy and the health of the world. It is quite clear from the events of the last two years, that experts in all fields disagree and that, until something is tried and tested, no one can know if it works or not. We have seen, through the examples of Sweden, Florida and Texas, among other areas of the world, that refusing to impose lockdown in time of a pandemic is the best policy. At the same time though, most other countries, including the UK where I live, believed that lockdown was the best option. This shows that the majority of opinions is not always the right one. Countries that locked down showed the highest instances of infections. So it is clear that different countries and regions need to have autonomy in times of pandemic as in other situations. If they do not then one organisation - whether it is the WHO or the UN or any other global association - will impose one rule which could be entirely wrong. Each nation must have the right to decide what they believe is the right thing to do in order to help all the other nations. What Sweden and the other regions that did not lock down did for the world was to show the alternative and show that it works. We need to keep this scientific method of decision-making going in the world.
Claire Masson Ltd	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the most important issue in the world today: freedom and bodily autonomy. No organisation, including the WHO, is omniscient. It has understandably made mistakes (some acknowledged and corrected) in the past. It would be a burden to place such responsibility on the WHO workers, who I believe, want the best for all peoples. However, no organisation is immune to errors or being influenced erroneously. Here, I refer to powerful individuals and interest groups, such as powerful pharmaceutical companies. I register my rejection of this WHO proposal. Public health is not a one size-fits-all affair. Individuals and their local communities must maintain control over their own well-being. They must be in a position to make even difficult decisions that may impact the different interests of children, young people, and elderly. Thus national governments must retain full autonomy, making health decisions appropriate for their own citizens; particularly those countries that allow for religious freedom and exemptions from international bodies so far removed from ground realities. Respectfully submitted, Claire Masson
In Tune Nutrition	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed international instrument for pandemic preparedness. I personally have grave concerns over the existence of such an instrument, especially given the withdrawal of individual rights to various freedoms that occurred during the COVID-19 response, many of which had not been proven to be helpful, and the effectiveness of which could be questioned. As well as the fear which was used to control behaviour - to the detriment of the mental wellbeing of many. However, that being said, if such an instrument was brought into being, then I believe that individual nations or states/boroughs should have the freedom to adopt or reject proposed actions / parts of the agreement, with no consequence - basing their decisions on what is best for their own people - and this could be different in different countries. Any plan should respect the Nuremberg code, and the right to bodily autonomy, so should not enforce medical procedures on individuals, for example. The process for drawing up such an agreement should be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to comment and vote on measures, and it should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the populous do not want it. There should be no influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers - this is particularly important given the funding status of the WHO - and all voices should be given a fair hearing and input into any draft measures, including those who have examined and found wanting, the approach taken to COVID-19 from a societal, psychological, economic and health perspective.
Private individual	Thank you for the opportunity to comment regarding the proposed 2024 World Health Treaty My wish is that the WHO desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens as this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The WHO does not have the right to threaten freedom and individual inalienable rights to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate and we do not give it to you. The Government of New Zealand are not authorised to participate in this global convention, unless referendum of the people of New Zealand is initiated and confirmed. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, (and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work), should be null and void. The WHO has been proven to be a politically motivated and compromised organisation, that appears more interested in providing revenue opportunities for select groups of philanthropic, corporate and political entities. The WHO does not appear to truly care for the Health and well-being of the global population and I trust this global convention never comes into being. Thank you Mark A

Organization	Written submission
Self - member of society living in Australia	Thank you for the opportunity to comment, I write as an individual member of society living in Australia, sincerely concerned about the ramifications of the 'pandemic' and any future decisions affecting the global population made by one organisation. In the interest of all peoples, I feel the following be included: 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. With thanks, Kerry
Citizen	Thank you for the opportunity to comment. As a disabled parent Covid response hit my family harder than the disease. I lost access to medical treatment and aid while also suddenly being responsible for educating children. It is my suggestion going forward that strict lockdown be avoided and individual needs are respected. I was burdened and abandoned while trying not to die. My story is not unique nor is it special but it does show clearly how broad brush attempts to stop community spread harms people in communities that the restrictions were intended to protect. It is my understanding that a universal plan is being drawn- please allow individual areas and individual humans to forge their path. As a high-risk member of society I was not protected during Covid-19 I was punished. Thank you for your time.
Individual	Thank you for the opportunity to comment. I believe that any response to a pandemic needs to be broad and inclusive of early treatment, and acute phase protocols. The Covid Response over the last 2 years has been a very narrow one that did not include the above. Vaccination and drugs are not the only option, and must not be rushed into, as we have seen in recent times. A lot of damage has been done to society, particularly to the development of social and learning skills in our younger generations through the overuse of masks. Vaccination, masking and social distancing have devastated the planet, and the promised benefits have been unrealised. In summary, I believe that in the future, people in vulnerable age groups be given the option to isolate and be vaccinated, and the rest to carry on with their lives and businesses. Broad early and acute protocols, including vitamins, natural medicine, etc should be used for those infected.
Private Citizen	Thank you for the opportunity to comment. The WHO treaty on pandemic response is over reach by a private entity onto sovereign states and should be blocked. The WHO is riddled with conflicts of interest, is largely funded by private mega-industries and it is not inaccurate to say that private funding brings private interest. Who's best interest does the WHO have? The treaty obfuscates democracy, public power and voting of elected officials in the management of health matters particular to nations and their people. A treaty of this magnitude must not be created for it must have provisions for the following which are indicators of free nations that are not oppressed by globalist and tyrannical leaders: -Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty -Respect for individual human rights -Respect for democracy -Legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies -Provisions that strengthen all governmental bodies and individual nations - Responsive to unique and individual nations, culture, economics, resources etc. - No one size fits all or globalist overseer of national policy.
Nautilus Services	Thank you for the opportunity to comment. These are the substantive elements I believe should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards, Dawn Gauthier
Praktijk Body Heart & Soul	Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to this discussion on what the new international treaty on pandemic preparedness should include. I see 3 crucial conditions that must be included, given my experience of the measures adopted during the recent pandemic: 1. The adopted measures should not be permitted to be influenced by any pharmaceutical companies 2. National governmentsmust be allowed to make their own decisions about which measures they believe to be best for their own peoples ie. there must be an opt-out clause 3. The process of defining the treaty must be open transparent and democratic: so in countries where the majority of that nation's people do not agree with the treaty, they will be free to prevent application of the global agreement in that country.
Pensioner	Thank you for the opportunity to contribute. I am a qualified nurse and have worked all my life for the NHS in the UK. I request 4 elements to be included in the international prepardness instrument for pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. National and Local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Private citizen	Thank you for the opportunity to express myself. I strongly feel that centralized power/control overall is a bad idea. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt our of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures to prevent influence by any and all pharmaceutici companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you again for this opportunity. Debra K Poage
Erdmann	Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission about what would be important to include in any international instrument relating to pandemic preparedness and response. The following definitely need to be included in any such instrument: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote directly on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Hopefully, this is of some assistance. Kind regards, Michelle Rommel
Private citizen	Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission of this very important initiative. I offer the following substantive elements for inclusion in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response 1. The rights of the individual are paramount and must be protected first and foremost. Every person must be fully informed of the personal risk proposed for any treatment or vaccine as a response to a pandemic and retain the right to choose. It is a fundamental human right that must be upheld 2. The pandemic preparedness and response must respect the sovereign rights and laws of every country/nations that subscribes to the international instrument. It must support those countries/nations in the response. 3. Where there is a conflict between the international instrument and the laws and or rights of a country /nation then the laws and or rights of the country/nation will prevail. 4. An international governing body be established made up of representatives from countries/nations who have opted into the International instrument. It must comprise of a mix of representatives who are independent of WHO and have no direct relationship with WHO or any of its affiliate organizations. The role of this governing body is to oversee the WHO pandemic preparedness and response should a pandemic be declared. WHO would be accountable to this governing body and is would have the power of "veto" of any action or decision that did not fall with the international instrument framework.
Not Applicable - General Public	Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission on what to include in any international instrument relating to pandemic preparedness and response. The following definitely need to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote directly on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards, Andrea Campbell
Sage and Soul Ltd.	Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission. I strongly believe autonomy regarding pandemics should remain with local and national authorities. The world and it's populations are diverse, and nations and their citizens must have the ability of opt out of policies that are not in their best interests. Where policies are not relevant, communities must retain the ability to choose not to implement strategies that are not appropriate when it comes to dealing with pandemics. I strongly support measures that minimise the influence of pharmaceutical companies and other health profiteers.
na	Thank you for the opportunity to make contributions in this way. I would like to stress that, in my opinion, there are a number of vital elements that should be included regarding this important topic. These are - a top priority given to a guaranty for respect for human rights in all relevant aspects and domains regarding the instrument - a clear responsibility and liability for the agencies involved, be they government officials or pharmaceutical companies - utmost respect for state and individual sovereignty, - complete and easily accessible transparency of the instrument, the underlying scientific evidence and framework for this instrument, geared to the general public, but also a full disclosure to the scientific community so that scrutiny and peer review is part of the critical process of the decisions made. - a stringent evaluation program, which will ensure that no measures are implemented unneeded, or are doing more harm than good. - the ability to halt, or limit the instrument or related measures, if evidence clearly suggests that is the right or even moral thing to do. This will ensure that the need for this instrument is clear and can be adjusted according to changing situation, and will give states and individuals enough maneuverability to deal with the situation in a manner that is most effective and fitting for them. Thank you very much.
None	Thank you for the opportunity to participate in this discussion. I believe that each nation and local leadership should retain their full autonomy, and maintain the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I do not believe that there will be one solution, one size for all peoples, given the diversity of factors influencing each nation. From the diversity of experience we can learn from each other, provided an open discussion is encouraged. I believe all nations should have access to the best impartial advice, (uninfluenced by pharmaceutical companies or other global companies) and should be free to opt out of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An international instrument which directs all pandemic responses would in my opinion be a mistake, making the world vulnerable to the minority who hold the most power and influence. I pray for you as you make these decisions as with great power comes great responsibility and all of us will one day answer to God for our thoughts and actions.
Restore the Balance Regenerative Health	Thank you for the opportunity to participate. Please take into consideration the need for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Communities are unique. As such, locally-based decision-making is critical. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is of the utmost importance. Please create an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, it is critical to put in place measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This will ensure the best access to a wide variety of treatments with unbiased distribution and benefit the diversity of communities and nations. People must have freedom of choice unhindered by corporate profiting. Thank you for your consideration.
None	Thank you for the opportunity to participate. This subject of pandemic preparedness and response to lie in the hands of just one body gives me great concern. The WHO recommends certain actions to sovereign states and that is the role in which their expertise lies. This treaty, I fear, is a threat to states' sovereignty and the people's inalienable rights and freedom to choose, given expertise information, on their own health and safety.
General Public	Thank you for the opportunity to provide a comment. If your goal is truly in the best interest of serving the good of the people of the world, I would suggest rather than planning how to respond to the next worldwide pandemic your focus should be on outlawing the research and development of deadly pathogens and gain of function work on potentially deadly pathogens to avoid future catastrophic health events. All bioweapons, existing or in progress, need to be destroyed, and anyone who continues this type of work should be charged with crimes against humanity. While I think plans to handle possible catastrophic events should be in place, any plan needs to respect all peoples' body sovereignty. Frankly, stay out of personal health care decisions. I would also suggest that the WHO focus on oversight of the regulatory agencies (e.g. FDA, CDC), medical boards and pharmaceutical companies that provide public health services to ensure they operate ethically and put patients' as priority over profit. And please remember, with great power comes great responsibility. Please exercise your power accordingly.
Global Health Council	Thank you for the opportunity to provide input on the substantive elements of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Global Health Council is a coalition of more than 100 member organizations devoted to advancing global health priorities, and improving health and well-being worldwide. Global Health Council strongly supports ongoing pandemic instrument negotiations and their efforts to build a more comprehensive global health architecture that is better prepared to prevent, prepare for, and respond to emerging health threats. These negotiations must be inclusive, transparent, and efficient, and must meaningfully engage a broad spectrum of stakeholders to ensure a successful outcome. Here are a few substantive elements that should be included in a pandemic preparedness and response international instrument. - Holistic: Create a more cohesive global health architecture by avoiding duplication with existing mechanisms, like the International Health Regulations. Any new instrument should also incorporate a One Health approach, with particular attention to reducing risk of spillover events. - Equity: Ensure equity in responses to future health emergencies, including access to lifesaving medical countermeasures, expanding local R&D and manufacturing, and supporting technology and knowledge transfer. - Governance and Accountability: Ensure collective, accountable leadership, prioritizing representation and engagement with leaders and civil society from low- and middle-income countries. - Financing: Sustainably finance pandemic preparedness, including through the establishment of a dedicated fund, which links accountability mechanisms to progress indicators. Thank you.
Self	Thank you for the opportunity to provide input to the proposed pandemic response instrument. Consistent with the democratic values of the United Nations and our global society, I believe in the following five principles: 1) Any supranational process for this instrument must be made both transparent and open, with the ability for all affected people to vote on any related measures, especially any that might conceivably be contrary to the preference of the majority of people in any jurisdiction. 2) Local jurisdictions and entire nations must be able to freely opt-out at their sole discretion of any and all portions of a proposed instrument, treaty or agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) All participating nations must retain full autonomy, with their national and local leaders reserving the right to make local decisions based on what is best for the people they represent. 4) All elements and measures of this instrument must disallow influence in the process by all pharmaceutical corporations and other for-profit organizations. 5) Notwithstanding the above, all elements and measures of this instrument must recognize that individual sovereignty over one's body is a fundamental human right that supersedes all other considerations, to the extent it does not clearly harm another individual. Any infringement of this basic human right is intolerable and potentially criminal, as established by the Nuremberg Code and Principles, as amended. Thank you.
Individual	Thank you for the opportunity to provide input. While we understand that the WHO may wish to standardize treatment, our local medical authorities and personnel are best equipped to deal with local conditions -- which differ from place to place. We would therefore like reassurance that our local authorities maintain full authority and the right to make decisions based on what is best for us. We would like the reassurance that our local municipalities have the right to opt out of all portions of the agreement that might not be in our best interests here. We would like to know that around the world, local doctors have the right to determine what treatments their patients receive. We also wish to reserve the right to opt out of of the global agreement if our people vote to indicate that is what they wish, without any negative consequences. As a third-world country, we also do not want to come under the influence of for-profit drug-companies or organizations in the USA, Europe or anywhere else. Localized care is always better than centralized care, and it is our wish to continue, as a sovereign country, to keep all the basic human rights, including freedom of choice in medical matters, granted to us in our constitution.
No affiliation	Thank you for the opportunity to respond. 1. In this treaty, it is vital national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. It is essential that nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It is compulsory that pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers have no influence in the process, nor decision makers with a conflict of interest. Sincerely, Elizabeth Behringer
Self employed	Thank you for the opportunity to respond. I do not support the WHO Pandemic treaty proposal. There should be no mandatory international instrument doe pandemic preparedness and response. There is great conflict of interest and potential to cause undue harm in such a treaty proposal. The following are several key factors that support this view. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be essential. Each country should control its healthcare policies. The healthcare industry should not be a political organization and it should support public health be free of conflicts of interest. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it should be used. There is a higher conflict of interest between Government and the pharmaceutical industry. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be in effect. There should be an independent oversight board that assures that there are no conflicts of interest.
Personal	Thank you for the opportunity to share my thoughts on the proposed pandemic preparedness & response. The most important component is that national and local leadership retain full autonomy over any future pandemic responses. They reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each country/community will experience a pandemic in varying degrees. It's important that the response fits the need. I believe that nations and local municipalities should be able to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There is no one-size-fits-all approach. We need the autonomy to respond in which way is best for us. Fundamentally, if the WHO does want to go ahead with a global pandemic preparedness and response treaty, there must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This is crucial to our democracy. The people have to WANT something in a sovereign country. That is what true democracy is and we need the world's people to have a voice. If there are measures agreed upon by this treaty, they cannot allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is not a one-size-fits-all world, and no treaty can make health decisions for all people.
Alpine Pacific Honey	Thank you for the opportunity to submit a call for national sovereignty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen of Australia	Thank you for the opportunity to submit a written contribution on this matter. It is my conviction that each sovereign nation should be allowed to retain full autonomy for its national and local leadership, reserving the right to make decisions based on what they believe is in the best interests of their own people. In light of this, I submit that each sovereign nation and their local municipalities should be permitted the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they consider appropriate, without penalty. Further to the above, it is my conviction that throughout the entire development process, from public hearings to the final document, the procedure should be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on. It should include guaranteed measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, there need to be measures in place that prohibit any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health establishments that could expect significant financial gains from the outcome.
General Public	Thank you for the opportunity to submit comment for this most relevant effort. If there must be some sort of treaty, I along with many others, believe that the following should be included. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Individuals must also have the right to make decisions for their own health and the health of their children. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Thank you for the opportunity to submit my comments. I believe bioethics should be included in any treatment protocol. People should have the final say in any medical treatment and personal freedoms must always remain priority.
member of public	Thank you for the opportunity to submit regarding this treaty. Please include the following 4 elements in the global pandemic treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	Thank you for the opportunity to submit written comments on this matter. The first element that should be included is that national and local leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The second element is that both national and local municipalities retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. The third element that should be included is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The final element to include are measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CITOYEN.NE.S - Research Centre (Università eCampus)	Thank you for the opportunity. Following the very intense experience and the huge debate we had in Europe and particularly in Italy during the last two years, these are the elements that I think are really necessary: - strengthening territorial medicine investing resources in MDs training and organizational capacities - strengthening non-pharmaceutical approaches to healthcare in terms of education and information campaings that should not provide taken-for-granted solutions or recipes for a healty lifestyle but, rather, increase the general awareness about the key issues, the open debates, the main topics that can impact individual choices about personal lifestyles - keeping pharmaceutical producers fully accountable in front of the public, avoid secret contracts and deals for publicly funded productions and distributions of any kind - protecting the freedom of speech at all levels as a necessary way to protect a truly scientific debate, avoid censorship and the confusion between scientific arguments and "scientific beliefs". A truly scientific approach does not admit any authoritarian argument and in front of existential threats we all desperately need to fully exploit the epistemological potential of truly scientific approaches. - promoting Post Normal Science approaches, also in terms of the selection of "experts" and "specialists" involved in decision-making processes, in all phases of the crisis management. - protecting individual freedom of choice in health issues. - giving priority to caution/prudence in the risk/benefit analyses of any measure proposed to tackle any aspect of the pandemic crisis, particularly with regards to children.
n/a	Thank you for the opportunity. While I appreciate the importance of global cooperation in an emergency, I have profound concerns about an non-democratic international pandemic planning instrument that can override elected officials and public sentiment. I would like to request that the following be mandatory elements in the final entity: - Comprehensive RBAs for all proposed policies - Absolute transparency about the data informing any policy decision and its ramifications for participating nations - A mechanism for citizens to challenge that data in pursuit of evolving science - Freedom for all nations to prioritize their citizens best interests and reject or opt out of recommendations from the instrument without reprisal - Failsafes to ensure no interference from big pharma and other would-be profiteers - A process by which global citizens can vote down undesirable policies Thank you for your consideration.
Self	Thank you for this comment period. 4 important substantive elements that will promote greater unity are the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open, transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
personal	Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft proposed Pandemic Treaty In my opinion, the proposed draft International Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness and Response is not required because: 1. The tenants of the draft Treaty are outside the existing WHO remit and are not aligned to the Mission of the WHO who are elected as an international independent body, without bias to provide information and advice transparently to any who seek it. 2. The proposed Treaty could impede global political harmony, personal freedoms and/or discrimination in deploying universal mandates, treatments and protocols. 3. Individual governments should lawfully retain full autonomy and the legal responsibility to designate and deploy their resources to act in the best interests of the people they are elected to serve based on their capabilities, capacity and regional assessment. 4. Regional governments provide a safety mechanism to individuals whereby choices are not subject to potential closed market monopolies and mandates. Thank you for your consideration of these comments M Pinchback RN, DipB, (30 plus years working in health and/or NGOs) Australia

Organization	Written submission
Health Freedom Defense Fund	Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the WHO proposed international instrument for global pandemic preparedness and response. Several critical features of such a plan would be the following: Any global pandemic plan would certainly need to include measures to protect world population from undue influence in the decision process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Also, an ideal global pandemic plan would offer full autonomy for local and national health decisions, reserving the right for local and national leaders to make decisions based on what is best for the people for whom they are responsible and to whom they are accountable. An Opt-Out ability for local and national health authorities must be included for any term or terms of the agreement without consequence and without nullifying the rest of the agreement. Further, an open and transparent process with the ability for all natural people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of a global "agreement" in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Thank you in advance for safeguarding health sovereignty for individual human beings while holding your concern for a united planet effort to protect our precious earth from harm.
Self	Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the WHO proposed international instrument for global pandemic preparedness and response. Several critical features of such a plan would be the following: Any global pandemic plan would certainly need to include measures to protect world population from undue influence in the decision process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Also, an ideal global pandemic plan would offer full autonomy for local and national health decisions, reserving the right for local and national leaders to make decisions based on what is best for the people for whom they are responsible and to whom they are accountable. An Opt-Out ability for local and national health authorities must be included for any term or terms of the agreement without consequence and without nullifying the rest of the agreement. Further, an open and transparent process with the ability for all natural people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of a global "agreement" in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
self	Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the WHO proposed international instrument for global pandemic preparedness and response. Several critical features of such a plan would be the following: Any global pandemic plan would certainly need to protect the world population from any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers having influence in the decision process! An ideal global pandemic plan would also offer full autonomy for local and national health decisions, reserving the right for local and national leaders to make decisions based on what is best for the people for whom they are responsible and to whom they are accountable. An Opt-Out ability for local and national health authorities must be included for any term or terms of the agreement without consequence and without nullifying the rest of the agreement. Further, an open and transparent process with the ability for all natural people of the world to vote on it, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of a global "agreement" in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Sincerely, Tim Norgren
self	Thank you for this opportunity to comment. A one-size fits all response to a pandemic does not make epidemiological sense. The right of each nation to opt out of the WHO's suggestions must be recognized. A global pandemic regime without transparency and without any democratic checks and balances should not be enacted under the guise of protecting public health.
Individual	Thank you for this opportunity to respond to your proposal. I would request that any bill respected the basic human right of individual sovereignty to choose to accept or decline any medical procedure without penalty and to make this clear to every member state. Individual freedom to work, travel or interact should not be subject to the acceptance of any such procedure. Furthermore, clear guidelines should be stated for all healthcare professionals to seek informed consent from any individual to whom they are offering an experimental medical procedure, such as an mRNA vaccine hitherto untried on the human population (and which has not completed Phase III clinical trials), as stipulated in the Nuremberg Code of 1947. The pharmaceutical industry must also be obliged by law to disclose fully the complete list of ingredients in any form of treatment, submitting samples for independent testing, and also disclose all clinical trial data. It should be made clear to government officials and pharmaceutical companies that they will face prosecution for infringement of any of these issues. I thank you for your consideration of these matters.
n/a	Thank you for this opportunity to speak to this - I am AGAINST the signing of this treaty. 1. There are quite a number of aspects of the current pandemic that could have been managed better and fairer by our government and its institutions, and ALL course corrections to better the situation arose precisely because we were lead through this by an elected government directly answerable to the people. Elected representatives, speaking for the people in their locale, knowing the intricacies involved in designing the best outcome in their country - not a global entity. 2. Furthermore, it is a fact that WHO is 80% funded through organisations with close ties to the pharmaceutical industry. WHO has sadly given up its independence and neutrality - dare I say integrity? I urge you to find your way back to being funded exclusively by the member governments to guard against misuse of this important global institution. 3. With the current definition of what constitutes a pandemic in the WHO statutes, the world would find itself in perpetuity trapped in pandemics that are none. This might benefit the bank accounts of the WHO's main funders, but to operate on such a flawed premise will ultimately damage the credibility and trustworthiness of the WHO and may even lead to its demise. 4. I am deeply concerned that the WHO does not support / further the rich and open discussions that should indeed be taking place in all the major fields of science as Covid has had the most wide reaching implications for all aspects of life the world over. Instead we witness a one-size-fits-all approach and measures based on poor science - i.e. lockdowns, masks, vax passports! This must remain an agreement of voluntary cooperation.
private	Thank you for this opportunity to speak! My husband and I believe that it is dangerous to write treaties that take power away from the United States. We are a sovereign nation and must remain so! Only Americans are capable of deciding what is good for Americans! Anything decided internationally is dangerous to our health and welfare!! We also believe the same is true for other countries! Each sovereign nation should decide for itself what is best for her people; therefore, all health decisions should be done by the individual nation, and that includes deciding when an emergency exists! It is terrifying to think that health decisions for America could be made by some international body! If the U.S. agrees to give up OUR right to make decisions that are legally binding, including what we would do in case of a pandemic, WHO will have power over OUR health decisions! NOTHING COULD BE MORE FRIGHTENING! My husband and I stand by the WHO constitution which says: "Informed opinion and active cooperation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." This part of the WHO constitution MUST NOT BE CHANGED!!! And WHO must not be allowed to go back on its word!!! Again, thank you for this opportunity. Miriam Nestler
Citizen with no organization affiliations	Thank you for this opportunity. I believe that all countries should have autonomy to choose how they handle their pandemic preparedness and response. Each nation is unique and diverse based on their cultures, economies, political status and landscapes. The local governing bodies are in the best position to determine what is best for their citizens. I believe the WHO can provide support and guidance in the form of up-to- date current status, scientific data, provide recommendations for treatments and offer suggestions on how to mitigate the pandemic with the least amount of disruption to peoples lives. One dominant voice for all nations could set a dangerous precedent.
Judith Boyce MD LTD	Thank you for this opportunity. I submit that ANY vaccine, or other drug or gene therapy, recommendation(s) be completely VOLUNTARY and decided upon by the individual after appropriate and TRANSPARENT informed consent, as per current principles of medical ethics and patient rights. - Judith M Boyce MC CCFP (Canada)
Na	Thank you for this opportunity. I suggest that: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	Thank you for your consideration, I believe international, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on relevance and what is best for their own people. The ability of all nations to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit and without consequence is paramount. An open, honest and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on any measures that will prevent a global application of agreement in places where the majority do not want this. Measures enacted preventing any influences by pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteering entities. The burden of responsibility for the health and wellbeing of the globe should not rest on a few. Please consider this at an individual, local, national and International level allowing openness, fairness and inclusion for all people. Kind regards Lynette
self	Thank you for your interest in my wellbeing. I am grateful for the years of study and stewardship that I have acquired to best create a vibrant life. I respect that others have this same privilege. I respect others' values as well as needs. Assuredly individuals evolve into the finest caretakers of self according to age, seasons and regions. Therefore please respect nuanced application of health. Please refrain from generalized, simplistic mandates. Celebrate the wisdom of each country, each region, and each individual to apply insights into what makes for good health. Substantive elements regarding pandemic preparedness could benefit from local sovereignty with respect for climates, moral values, seasons, gender and age.
A UK Citizen	these points outlined here, the deepest and sincere consideration. These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergencies.
Citizen	Thank you for your time. I ask that nation and local leadership retain full autonomy , reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I ask that nations as well as local communities be able to opt out of any and all portions without consequences. I believe all people of the world should have the right to vote for their rights that would prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority do not want it. I do not want pharmaceutical companies or other businesses that could profit in any way to have influence.
General Public	Thank you for your tireless work during this pandemic. The largest oversight was the lack of focus on finding and/or developing a treatment for disease. Oral prevention should be considered as is easier to distribute and many more would be willing to take (vs injection). Long-term, broad lockdowns and masking have caused harm, particularly to children. The future is neg airflow infrastructure. Diet and lifestyle are the key to prevention of all disease and WHO should press accordingly. Also, pharmaceutical companies using taxpayer money for research ought to be forced to share patents with global manufacturers. Should the WHO be determining global pandemic policy, there needs to be more stringent ethical measures to prevent pharmaceutical companies from dictating policy by means of funding, unverified research, and government backing. Thank you for all that you have done in service of the global community.
self	Thank you for your work on this important issue. I am writing to urge the WHO to re-affirm the basic principle that individuals have the right to make medical decisions free from coercion. That bedrock principle of human rights must be protected at all times, including during pandemics. I further urge the WHO to recognize that local governments are best situated to protect individuals' rights with the needs of public health, given the specific context of their community and society. Thus, national governments must maintain sufficient authority within the international framework to allow for local and individual decision-making. The WHO's highest and best role is to ensure that there is full and transparent information sharing and distribution, so that all individuals and governments are making decisions based on the best available information. This will facilitate cooperation among governmental entities around the world. The WHO should look for how else it can promote international cooperation, without coercion or punitive measures. Finally, for these measures to have moral force and buy-in, the WHO must take measures to prevent undue influence by pharmaceutical companies and other for-profit entities whose businesses profit from medical decisions. The process must be free from their influence, whether directly or indirectly through proxies such as nonprofit foundations who hold investments in such companies.
sovereign country	thank you, but no thank you!! NO WHO- WORLD DICTATORSHIP
None	Thank you. I will give some honest views. Definition of "pandemic" should be based not on transmission rates + genetic novelty, only extremely severe virus. Natural immunity for vast majority proved covid 19 models flawed. Bodies can deal with novel viruses. Mean average deaths were close to natural life span. Very few elements of law necessary. Hospital discharge should not have been mandated for the elderly. Asymptomatic infection has been known to cause illness. Offer protection rather than force it. Honour family and human dignity, the vulnerable and elderly. Life must be worth living. We need far less authority, much more common sense. If our hospitals no longer cope after having phased bed reductions over decades, increase beds. Charters should be honoured, rather than removing people's freedom, outrageously misusing power, frightening. Rule of law must remain far more reasonable, less invasive. Trustworthy. With all due respect I fear medicine is becoming a liability, costing fortune, providing little benefit. Arbitrary, invasive rules, censorship and nonsensical imprisonment is not acceptable. The rule of law must be wise. Please trust natural immunity to protect us, rather than creating stressful disrespectful laws.
None	Thanks for allowing me to provide input to this important matter. See my feedback below: 1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Kathy
	Thanks for creating a police world for an illness with less than 1% death rate but predicted more than 4% rate More power to polititians Where is herd immunity through vaccination ? Why the hunger to control the world through vax identification Lockdowns brilliant idea Limit dissent call it misinformation Mass pshycosis Fear solution information control repeat More masks more fear more lockdowns more vax mandates more info control More rewards for compliance more punishment for non- compliance
Family	Thanks for taking my submission. I firmly believe that National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There is no "one size fits all" approach that would be internationally appropriate. Nations and peoples need to retain their rights to handle things in their own ways, and not under an international regime. We need to retain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We the people require sovereignty, and the right to govern ourselves, within our own countries.
Titanec Pty Ltd	Thanks for the chance to comment. Respectfully: 1. International preparedness on pandemic response should be in the form of an international resource of transparent, open information, accurate and updated daily, that nations can refer to, to guide their own autonomous response. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Within the above point, recognise the needs of each individual and enable autonomy of each individual to address their needs, risks, beliefs. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers 6. In general, reflect the American constitution and the rights and freedoms of individuals 7. Medical professionals to be able to fully apply their expertise without biased controls and fear of recrimination or punishment. Medical professionals should be able to treat their patients with individualised, tailored solutions focussed on the Hippocratic oath and optimal outcome and health of the patient/client. Doctor-patient relationship to be sacred and confidential
Summerland Primary	Thanks for the time to comment. I believe that every human has a right to decide how they wish to support themselves Health wise. Nobody has any right to tell or make another do or take a medical procedure. This should be a basic human right.
Public Education and Empowerment Resource Service	Thanks for this opportunity. I strongly oppose any rules or even guidelines which force me and others put put foreign substances including vaccines in my body. This includes vaccines. I believe international treaties have been signed to outlaw this. I would rather go to jail than be vaccinated. I hope you will consider the many millions of us who will do everything we can to oppose vaccine mandates. Take good care.
None	Thank-you for receiving this submission. I believe national and local leadership must reserve the right to make decisions that affect their own people. I believe there must be no influence by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I believe that in any agreement, nations and local municipalities must retain the ability opt out of any portions they see fit. I believe that there must be an open process for all global citizens to have the ability to vote on including measures that will prevent application of a global agreement where a majority does not want it. Thank you for considering these comments.
unaffiliated, retired	Thank-you for the opportunity to submit my concerns and comments: 1 – The role of the WHO should only be collecting and sharing peer-reviewed medical information that will enable nations and local governments to determine best practices for their people. 2 – Health care policy and decision-making needs to always reside at national, state/provincial levels, and/or municipal levels where decision-makers are accountable to their citizens for their decisions. 3 – If the WHO wishes to enter into an agreement with any nation, the citizens must be provided with an open and transparent voting process that will allow citizens to reject the agreement, in whole or in part, in their location. 4 – Nations and local governments must have the ability to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement, at any time, without consequence. 5 – The WHO must respect that all individuals have the right to informed consent, or rejection, of any medication or medical procedure. Similarly world organizations must not be able to coerce individual countries into coercing their own citizens through agreements. 6 – Pharmaceutical companies, medical professionals, and any other individuals who stand to profit from global agreements or decisions must be prevented from influencing the process.
None	Thank-you very much for the opportunity to give my point of view. I think it best that each person retain their rights to what is administered to their body. In light of this, since everyone is different and every community has different characteristics, it is imperative to allow science be freely sought. To not allow any one side of opinion to control ideas or findings. Whatever entity stands to gain financially or control over autonomy of individuals, communities, regions (within nations) or national sovereignty of any country must be avoided. I think the best approach is to give suggestions for certain regions, which demands the scientific research and the differing opinions be widely publicized and allow Truth to come forth. When Truth is suppressed or distorted only death, misery and destruction will follow. We must avoid resurrecting the Dark Ages! Thank-you very much, Tom Adams
PME Inc	That all countries and all States should have the right to make their own decisions regarding any pandemic or any health issues that relate to its citizens. thank you.
Member of public	That all working definitions must be understood and agreed by all parties. That specified treatments must be safe and have proven efficacy That all decisions and rejected alternatives are to be recorded and made available to anyone affected by the decisions. That there is an independent scientific review of all decisions That there is an independent review of personnel to ensure that no one in a decision making position can financially benefit from the decisions.
British Freedom	That any decision in the United Kingdom be must be ratified by a general referendum and must protect individual human rights.
Worldlyturnings	That any sane human being or country would sign up with WHO for our medicine and healthcare is the end of times for humanity and glory days for the Genocidal maniacs wanting to rid the planet of over 4 Billion. If you live in a country that signs up with the Dr WHO madman you need to relocate. Or die. That simple.
Individual	That any WHO pandemic or preparedness treaty involves guidelines only and no aspect is compulsory or mandatory. That countries maintain the right to opt out of all or any aspects at any time without consequence. That independent scientific and medical experts with no connections or affiliations to pharmaceutical organisations or any other organism that is likely to profit from any suggestions are consulted before any guidelines are set or suggestions are made in any and all instances and areas. That the autonomy, life, health and wellbeing of every individual is paramount. That suggestions involve more than one course of action and that everyone has access to affordable, nutritious food and other natural health initiatives like exercise, sleep and natural supplements are available and promoted. That nations take precedence over globalism. And nations are always charged with consulting and listening to their people. That all data of trials, risks, side effects of any product suggestions are disclosed to all people of the world and that they always have the right to take it or not. That lockdowns and punitive measures are avoided. And compassion, transparency and the valuing of every life underpins all decisions.
MARTON BEEHIVE	That consultants, experts and scientists responsible for formulating a response to an engineered or natural medical danger to mankind, not be limited to affiliated organisations, professions or associations, and should be open to all professionals wishing to contribute and there should be no financial reward or incentive for contributing.
Oceans 2 Earth Foundation	That decision making should exclude those who have beneficial interests and financial stakes in any outcomes, for example Bill Gates. That ALL sides of an argument be heard, and censorship is disallowed.
life	That each country may decide on which part (if any) they want to adopt in their own country Each person may vote on each mandatory item that affects each persons body Each person may be able to decide if they prefer to use complimentary and/or alternative therapies and medicines like homeopathy Each medicine proposed by the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, should have ALL its ingredients listed, and each country should be forced to conduct their own tests before using these medicines
NA	That each country should govern it's own state of affairs there is no requirement for an overreaching unelected establishment to dictate individual countries their health policies. Covid 19 pandemic has shown that WHO has NOT helped and neither has helped in previous years. The lockdown restrictions were a failure of many countries and these policies were welcomed by WHO, how many suicides, domestic violence, depression, business foreclosures, yet medical care was instigated by these malfeasance policies? The WHO is dictated by sponsors with deep pockets and ulterior sinister motives. Therefore the WHO should leave each country to it's own state of affairs.
none	That each country's health sovereignty will NOT be compromised. That all Human Rights be respected and maintained. That private enterprises such as The Bill and Melinda Gates' Foundation, The World Economic Forum and big pharma will not dictate WHO policies in the future.
New Paradigm LLC	That each individual person be allowed to make their own free choice with regard to any and all substances that enter their body. That each individual person be allowed to make their own free choice of doctor, medical treatments, medicines, therapy, and protocols. That each nation have the right to make all decisions regarding any governmental agency, mandates, and responses only from elected officials. That the WHO be limited to only providing guidelines for governments who freely chose without coercion whether to follow or not.
Buckwheat Blossom Farm	That each nation remain sovereign in their decision making on pandemic response and be able to make their own policies irrespective of the WHO. That each nation be able to opt out of any provisions of this new agreement. That not pharmaceutical corporation be able to profit from these agreements.
Individual person	That each person who is a citizen of the world has a right to freedom of speech and free will to act according to their own intelligence and understanding of the situation. That 'situation' during a Pandemic should be known from Media platforms within the Country of residence by that individual. The truth of that knowledge being transmitted by the Media is crucial to the people residing in the Countries receiving such transmissions. This is the crux of the matter in my opinion. That ALL Governments make via their intentions and vows to uphold such truths to the people they Govern. Laws implemented by a Government must be in the interest of the people and NOT at the expense of the people. Individual monetary gains and comforts given to Government leaders and employees should in no way be upheld in their favour. Until people are given true facts by Independent Scientists, Dr's and Biologists regarding Pandemic matters and facts, the people are automatically being deceived when such facts are coming from Government lead workers. At all times people must be allowed free speech and the right to demonstrate their ideas and feelings publicly and peacefully. Without interference or violence from Police. Therefore Laws must be in place to allow this at all times.
Stand for Health Freedom	That every nation and local government reserves the sole right and responsibility to make sovereign decisions according to what the citizens of that nation/state/city communicate to elected officials
Vivaldi Foundation	That Human Rights and bodily sovereignty are inviolable and no medical product can be mandated or used without the express informed consent of the individual. Medicines should never be authorised or administered to children or to adults unable to provide informed consent.
none	That individuals are free to make their own health choices. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Private dr rooms	That is criminal ,,, as Kissinger said long ago ; once the WEF manage to "gag" the sheep, and the sheep will pay for itself to be eliminated the game is over ,,, few psychopaths like to play God ?? Let it be!!!!!Doesn't change the fact this bill is criminal
Stephen Cooke	That local and national participation should be engaged with respect for full autonomy. Local and National jurisdictions are able to accept and reject guidelines based on knowing what is best for their constituents, without consequence. Guidelines are to be formulated with full transparency, independent of any influence from the pharmaceutical industry or vested interests. The option for the people of each jurisdiction to be able to vote for acceptance or rejection of the agreement
Public interest	That lockdowns were ever considered an efficacious tool without any consideration given to the societal damage promoted by these highly intrusive restrictions is a sign of immense failure by the WHO and all participating governments. We will be dealing with the mental health fallout of this policy for multiple decades to come. It is simply abnormal and horrifying for ten year old children to be suicidal. It is simply unacceptable for billions of families to lose their entire livelihoods based on these policies. The mental health fallout of this, both in adults and children, will be immense as you have publicly stated, but the lack of connection between lockdowns and mental health is the downfall of all your policies. Similarly, mask mandates are completely unacceptable. That masks were studied for decades and the scientific consensus was that masks were not an effective pandemic mitigation strategy, only to be sharply reversed and mandated overnight within weeks, is a sign of communication failure on your behalf, scientific failure, loss of credibility and trust, and was why I personally found it very hard to trust you and your direction for the rest of the entire pandemic. Additionally, vaccines cannot be mandated on the public. If a member of the public is scared of a vaccine, you meet them where they are, and do not rely on punitive and unfair punishment to bring compliance to your wish. That is not your job. It violates human rights codes and medical ethical codes on all fronts and on all levels. You have no authority on a person's body. That is not your right. As vaccine efficacy has waned, we must have a very serious conversation: is this the post pandemic world you're advocating for?
people	that man himself has the right to make choices. That the person remained free and was not pressed in any environment. Give a person the opportunity to organize their lives and have all the resources to do so, no matter what choice (to be vaccinated or not) she made.
Private living woman	That National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and not over riding any countries national constitution. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned world citizen	That National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Nations' elected governments have the final decision due to the fact that they are elected, not appointed.
Sovereign individual	That no individual be forced or coerced into receiving any type of medical intervention against their will and that decision should not lower their status in society nor restrict their free participation in society. That all individuals are treated equally irrespective of their vaccine status.
Human	that no private financiers interfere to make corrupt decisions that harm people and only use the financiers! As can currently be seen with the Covid vaccinations/medicines!

Organization	Written submission
MELANIE HAMMICK	That no single authority/organisation should have the powers to dictate or mandate a global response to any future preparedness and response to a pandemic. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The healing space	That nothing is forcing. Every man and woman has the right to decide what is best for themselves in all regards to their own health. No institution has the right to obtain, prosecute, restrict in any way any man or woman objecting decisions.
Buy Research	That pandemic preparedness should be an information-only service without tax raising or intranational legislative or executive powers. That the nation-state shall remain absolutely sovereign during any purported health emergency with the residual authority to opt out of measures recommended by the United Nations or its agencies.
United States of America	That participants regardless of membership size/religion/national origin retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their citizens. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all individuals/organizations/world influencers or other global participants that may profit through decisions made.
None	That people and countries have the right to their democratic freedom. That WHO may make health recommendations, but not mandate any globally.
General public	That people have the right to choose whether they take a vaccine also that vaccine is through its trial period That governments alone do not make regulations and laws on any health pandemics That governments do not have the right to change bill of rights policies regarding health to manipulate or coeherse people into manditory vaccinations That media are not paid and controlled by government in their reporting That alternative modalities are offered for building immunity and mental health
Defending Humanity	That science that has been and continues to be properly debated be the guide That countries have the right to take WHO pandemic directives under advisement, so that one-size-fits-all countries does not prevail: The C19 pandemic is a good example of WHO insisting on measures that proved non-productive only to be withdrawn later. Some countries, such as Sweden, had better results with their own protocols.
Self	That the decisions of a nation state are paramount and not to be subsumed by WHO mandate on fear of sanction. That any pronouncement by the WHO is clearly identified as GUIDANCE. As seen recently through the lens of COVID no single organisation has a monopoly on 'truth' or 'science'.
self	That the decisions of a nation state be paramount and not to be subsumed by WHO mandate on fear of sanction. That any pronouncement or other action by the WHO be clearly identified as GUIDANCE. As seen recently through the lens of Covid no single organisation has a monopoly on 'truth' or 'science'.
General Public - Citizen New Zealand	That the following elements are included: Guidelines identifying specific interventions to strengthen human rights protections of those affected by public health emergency orders; Measures ensuring that the imposition of any and all restrictions of specific rights complies with standards outlined in the Siracusa Principles on the Limitation and Derogation of Provisions in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (Siracusa Principles); any restriction on rights must be prescribed by law, pursue a legitimate aim, be strictly necessary and proportionate, be non-discriminatory, of limited duration and subject to review; Any emergency orders implemented in response to a public health emergency reflect rights-based approaches to public health that focus on enabling and supporting communities to protect their health and rights; Specific measures to protect against human rights abuses, ensure consistency with human rights obligations and support evidence-based public health responses; National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it; Failsafe measures prohibiting the influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers in implementation of public health measures; and demanding manufacturers' full and enduring accountability for the safety of pharmaceutical products.
Private	That the individual rights of citizens be respected and protected in there decision on how to respond to recommendations of WHO. An 'opt out ' option should be available to governments and individuals without penalty. Responses to pandemics to be based on educational and verifiable factual information that is accepting of scrutiny by respected and unbiased opinions. Responses from WHO should not be influenced by other parties that stand to benefit from any decision made.
no organisation	That the individual's sovereign rights as detailed in international agreements be respected in any WHO proposal. The Geneva Convention 1949 Article 32 & 147 of the 1949 Geneva Convention. The Helsinki Declaration August 1975 Lays out the responsibilities of governments with respect to protecting human dignity and human rights. Item 12 covers the requirement that governments exercise: XII Respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, including the freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief. The Universal Declaration on Medical Bioethics and Human Rights (available at: http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html) contains: Article 6 – Consent 1. Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice. Prohibition of mandates: At ANY time these preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical interventions are mandated, the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights is being violated!
no organisation	That the individual's sovereign rights as detailed in international agreements be respected in any WHO proposal. The Geneva Convention 1949 Article 32 & 147 of the 1949 Geneva Convention. The Helsinki Declaration August 1975 Lays out the responsibilities of governments with respect to protecting human dignity and human rights. Item 12 covers the requirement that governments exercise: XII Respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, including the freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief. The Universal Declaration on Medical Bioethics and Human Rights (available at: http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html) contains: Article 6 – Consent 1. Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice. Prohibition of mandates: At ANY time these preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical interventions are mandated, the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights is being violated!
World Council for Health	That the 'pandemic preparedness response' should ensure that each country retains its own sovereignty and the rights and freedoms of its people to determine their own health choices and outcomes. To include the ability of all nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement on what they see fit without consequence. That measures in the 'pandemic preparedness response' DON'T allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global Health profiteers.
World Council of Health	That the 'pandemic preparedness response' should ensure that each country retains its own sovereignty and the rights and freedoms of its people to determine their own health choices and outcomes. To include the ability of all nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. That the measures in the 'pandemic preparedness response ' DON'T allow for influence in the process by any or all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	That there is a number of people ill with a disease required before you call it a pandemic. That number must represent a good portion of population where a disease is running rapidly. Then there should be scientific debate in each country to test the required treatments and protocols. That they are not aligned with government bodies that they remain separate so as not to be biased or played by politics or political bodies with an agenda in mind. That no vaccine is enforced on any individual that they maintain their right to body integrity and no violations or discrimination is used or abused should they decline. Never should we use a test on the whole world populace again ever, that the drug companies MUST be required to have done all tests prior to public push. That no liability should be removed from these drug companies as they have over reached and abused public trust. Time to make ALL DRUG COMPANIES liable for health side effects and damage and most certainly death.
Citizen of UK	That there is an open and transparent process where all people of the world get to vote and their inalienable rights are preserved as per the Nuremberg Code. Measures that do not allow influence by any pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers. That ALL voices are heard.
Individual	That they are recommendations only and individual countries, states, counties, provinces all the way down to singular individuals retain the right to follow or disregard issued guidelines based on what is best suited to each area/individual. Maintain complete transparency of data used to issue guidelines. Allow open discussion / debate on all issues, studies, data, recommendations made without recourse against dissenting opinions.
None. Member of the general public.	Include: That a pandemic be defined as "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" so that epidemics with a low death rate are excluded. That individual bodily autonomy be respected. Acknowledge that each individual is unique as is their terrain. Encourage health. Encourage healthy soil so that food grown is free from chemicals and toxins. Acknowledge natural immunity. Acknowledge free speech and encourage participation by individuals in all processes of the World Health Organization. All possible conflicts of interest whether financial, political, or any other be prohibited. Prohibit involvement in the World Health Organization by any body that could stand to profit from any decisions made. Prohibit involvement in any Nation's decision by any body or oganization that may profit for any decisions made. Prohibit profiteering based on recommendations. Each Nation, State or Local Authority must retain it's leadership. Leadership never to be handed to the World Health Organization. Informed consent must never be waived. Each individual must be provided full and complete information regarding any product with the right of refusal without any ramifications whatsoever.
Bohemian Lifestyle	That this responsibility should never solely be delegated to any govern body or institution. This should be dealt by people for the people meaning the general public, we should decide what is best. After how the covid 19 pandemic was handled this shows that giving any government or institution control over the public health of the whole population has proven to be detrimental to humanity. All of the rules implemented during the pandemic caused more chaos, suffering and death than the lives that were saved.
DO	That we revert to the original definition of a pandemic. That early treatment and natural solutions be the first line of defense. National and local leadership must've retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tapp Family	That's sovereignty for health choices are the sole responsibility of each individual, family, community and nation.
private	That's the wrong direction!!!! The WHO should be an independent organization and their target should be health, well-being and to act exclusively on behalf of the public and NOT on behalf of private investors and pharmaceutical enterprises. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden! Every human has the right to decide, what's good for his body and his life. The WHO should listen to independent and well-respected scientist and force the development of alternative medicine. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making. I don't trust in the WHO anymore, but I would really like to.
mother	The beauty of humans is We are all unique - not one size fits all. We need to look after that uniqueness and the ability to do whats right for the individal =) Thank you
None I am a private citizen	The future of how future pandemics fighting are dealt with should be left to the elected governments of each nation individually and each nation's sovereignty should be respected by the WHO.I do not wish the future pandemics to be used as an excuse for the WHO to foist a tyranny on a global scale plainly wish to reiterate my opposition to the WHO's ambition of imposing tyranny on the globe .I do not want the WHO to use it powers .nor should it be given any more powers .The WHO should limit it's future role as advisory only and nothing more it should not be allowed to bully or coerce any sovereign nation /government
Beloved Earth	The only way to protect the health of people is to legally protect local health decision-making not an international treaty. The danger of a treaty is clear ; FDA is charged with confirming that medical products are safe and effective. By authorizing the fourth and fifth COVID shots with abysmal effectiveness and sky-high adverse reaction rates they make it abundantly clear that that they are a completely captured agency and have completely abrogated their responsibility for public health. Informed consent based in local health facilities and local health care and standards evolve over time. A treaty would not reverse this failure to follow the science only allows us, to rely on the profit agendas of large corporations. Local control is the solution to local corruption. • WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. • All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Bill and Melinda Gates , an example, went on record early on in the pandemic stating Africa and USA would be destroyed by COVID unless we made a concerted effort to get the COVID jab to them. Their greed-fueled prediction turned out to be completely false.. Health care funds and care can be best determined within nations, local communities and doctors who follow the science. Informed consent is best designed and provided within nations, local communities and by medical personnel ; and WHO must not have power over the health decisions and must not have that power in any pandemic treaty.
Private	The pandemic showed, that decisions made from top to bottom brings harm and distrust. We need more power from bottom up. No forcement, no monitoring. Everybody needs to be in charge of their lifes, health and decission making. We need to keep our civil rights. Countries must keep their sovereignty. Even if this treaty is meant for the best, I am not convinced. It mustn't be implemented.
private citizen	The WHO needs to stay as a messenger and advisory service and not try to be a governing body. The response should be done by sovereign states. One size does not fit all.
None	The "instrument" requires nil substantive elements. This "instrument" is absolutely unnecessary. Individuals are perfectly capable of protecting themselves and families from disease using local medical aids. The question is loaded; it assumes an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I suggest this proposal is scrapped now before more money and time is wasted and any harm is done. Sincerely, Grant
private	the "Nürnberg Kodex 1947" must fully taken in to account there must be no coercion and no monitoring no discrimination and no deprivation of liberty AND a pandemic must BE a pandemic not just a test-pandemic or a political instrument
CommuGlobal	The "pandemic treaty" in the works must not be signed. Public health is not a one size fits all approach. On behalf of my family, we do not agree to letting the WHO dictate the terms of life. We do not consent.
I am an individual who does not belong to the organization.	The "Policy Side" is accompanied by the responsibility for the "content and operation" of the measures. At present, various "corona pandemic measures" have caused enormous damage to people and society. Legislation that brings coercion without the obligation to guarantee "high quality of measures" should not be tolerated. "Quality" includes consideration for "human rights and humanitarian" in addition to the content and operation.
US Citizen	The "substantive elements" of the "new international instrument" should outline recommended WHO guidance that has no force of law nor influence by self-interested parties whose agenda may not be the actual health of affected persons. Specifically: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	The #1 element that should be firmly established is this: this Agreement is not binding for any nation. The United States must govern and protect its own sovereignty and, have the option of its own governance in all the issues WHO has determined will be part of this Agreement. An international body must not be allowed to circumvent the U.S.' own pandemic policies!
N/A - concerned member of the public	The "guiding question" begins with the assumption that such an instrument is the best and only option. In my estimation, the question needs to be revised to acknowledge alternatives. But, should the "international instrument" be implemented, steps must be taken to ensure that it is not wielded as a sledgehammer over the world: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to weigh-in on actions, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures to prevent influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or others with financial or political motivations. 5. Prohibit mass-vaccinations during a pandemic—especially with a non-sterilizing product that fails to confer immunity or prevent transmission. 6. Prohibit the use of behavioral psychology techniques to nudge, induce fear, or otherwise coerce people into compliance. 7. Uphold the directives of previously established agreements (Nuremberg Code, Belmont Report, Helsinki Declaration, etc.). 8. Require informed consent for recommended interventions, and recognize the individual's right to decline said interventions.
None	The "pandemic" preparedness is overreach and completely unneeded. Let the people of the world choose for themselves how they should be treated and not forced to do anything that causes bodily harm. i.e vaccines
N/A	The ability and fundamental right of an individual to reject any proposed treatment or procedure that violates ANY aspect of the Nuermberg code/treaty. In fact ANY and ALL substantive elements of supposed preparedness MUST FIRSTLY be compliant with the Nuermberg code
Self Employed	The ability for individuals to autonomously decide for themselves the best course of action for their individual circumstance. A top-down approach to governing, health and education is not going to serve the individual any better than it's abilities to govern and decide for itself. The role of any global institute must be to transparently and openly provide the data to allow each individual to decide the best course of action for themselves. Individual human rights and National sovereignty must always be upheld. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The ability for local and state municipalities and nations to opt out of any or all recommendations by WHO and retain their respective laws and freedoms.
Self employed	The ability for medical freedom is a gift we all must cherish and keep. Each Individual should decide In addition: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The ability of countries to make their own decisions mased upon the facts on the ground as they see them must be retained. The process should be open, transparent and available to all to scrutinise. It should be possible for communities to take the suggestions on board but opt out if they see fit with no consequence. There should be transparency of funding and a mechanism to prevent conflicts of interest to ensure that profiteering pharmaceutical companies do not drive policy in their favour the event of a disaster, rather than for the common good.
Myself	The ability of governments to opt out of the agreement, without consequences.
none	The ability of national and local leaders to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on global agreement, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that strictly disallow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
public citizen	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. An open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it.
None	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is important. Any individual or entity who stands to gain financially must be excluded from all decision making.
Private	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence needs to be included in the treaty. Respect for the democratic processes of nations needs to maintained and to allow them to apply the treaty as they see fit.
general public	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Full disclosure of funding for any and all policies presented, ALL monies need to be revealed.
Private	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
SAT Ltd	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Physio	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Person	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
orange sa	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Personal	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Not is organization	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Private	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Private	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Private citizen	The ability of nation's and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or the global health profiteers. National,local leadership, and citizens retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, especially our children.
General public	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Self, Texan	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Exclusion of any and all influence from this pharmaceutical companies.
privat	The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The ability of nations, local municipalities, and any citizen of any sovereign country to maintain thier individual sovereignty including bodily autonomy, and to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
SC Wolverine Clan	The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make guidelines based on what is best for their own people. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CCSM	The ability of the nations and local governments to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as each may determine, without reprisals or consequences.
The Company of Me	The ability to unconditionally reject. The ability to unconditionally withdraw. The ability to hold the WHO accountable for any damages caused by this "International Instrument". The acceptance criteria that only individual nations can opt-in on the "Instrument" The acceptance criteria that a minimum of 99% of the population of a nation must choose to implement this "Instrument" by referendum. Not doing so will eventually end up in the WHO and/or its members being prosecuted before the ICC.
Private Individual	The absolute and unfettered right for sovereign states to act entirely as they see fit on this matter, according to the absolutely freely made decisions of their citizens. For bodily autonomy to be regarded as sacrosanct and for any and all individuals to make decisions about themselves and their freedoms without any reference to any outside organisation. For any organisation/individual involved in pandemic planning etc to declare all conflicts, interest etc as prominently as any plans etc that the advance.
None	The absolute divine right to freedom of choice regarding health care decisions and actions at a personal (individual) level, local, region, district or area level and also National level. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities should be in control of their own health.

Organization	Written submission
Pandemic Action Network	The Africa CSOs Working Group on Pandemic Preparedness and Response convened by Pandemic Action Network and PATH submits the following substantive elements for inclusion in the new instrument: Recognize and protect the role of regional institutions and initiatives in responding to pandemics and epidemics as central in coordination, procurement and distribution of medical products and tools, and technical support to respective member states. Such regional institutions should work in a coherent manner with global institutions. Establish and protect a global pandemic preparedness fund that involves countries across regions in its design, governance and financing, with all countries contributing to such a fund, based on their ability to contribute. The fund should support health systems strengthening in geographies with weak health systems and should have a strong accountability mechanism. Elevate and prioritize leadership for future pandemics through, for example, a Global Health Threats Council, with meaningful leadership and representation from LMICs. This body's role will be to map out a strategic response that works for both public and private players in the health space. Prioritize and finance a globally networked surveillance and early-warning system with incentives for countries to share data on and sequence new variants and pathogens. Guarantee equity in access to lifesaving tools: declaring such tools as public goods and; instituting a waiver of intellectual property rights along with immediate hands-on technology transfer for all medical products and tools during a pandemic to ensure the maximum number of lives are saved, prioritising the most vulnerable communities.
Spirit of Computing Ltd	The agreement between the WHO and countries who sign this agreement should agree that the guidance from the WHO is not legally binding and that signatory countries have the option to follow or not follow the WHO's guidance.
Privat Person	The agreement dir the people should include the pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice. If you don't listen to us or your donors, we can Male sure our governments remain loyal to the people they serve . Best regards Bernhard Keupp .
Human	The agreement must include measures to insure that pharmaceutical companies and other profiteers cannot influence the process. All nations, regions/provinces and municipalities shall be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they choose, without punishment or consequence. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The global agreement shall not be enforced in any place where the majority of the people do not want it. Full, open and transparent public debate shall occur, allowing medical scientists who disagree with the official proclamations to have as much time and access as those who agree, and allowing people in all nations, regions and municipalities to vote on fail-safe measures to protect their rights. In short, the agreement should fully respect the principles of liberal democracy, medical freedom, medical ethics and informed consent. The agreement should allow doctors to be doctors: in other words, the agreement should enshrine doctors' rights to use their own judgement, and offer early treatment for illness, using medications and methods that work, even those which do not profit large corporations. People before profits should be a guiding light. Respect for the individual's right to bodily autonomy should be another guiding light. Respect for the constitutions of nations should be another guiding light.
None	The agreement must include opt-outs for individuals, towns, cities, states, provinces and nations. In other words, for anyone, and everyone. No global entity has authority over my body.
Member of the public	The Agreement must include provision for - National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Clear and transparent Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Measure to ensure that full details of all future contracts for mass vaccination/medication will be made available to the public in a clear and transparent way. That there will be clear and transparent consideration/allowance made for all/any individual who feel a specific medication /vaccination is not suitable to them for personal/religious/medical reasons without consequence, penalty of exclusion.
Citizen of the United states	The agreement must include that: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy and reserving the rights for decisions based on the local people's government. 2. nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. 3. There must exist open and transparent process, the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures. 4. There must maintain the ability of local people to rescind global agreements and/or measures when the majority of the people vote against that agreement and or measure. 5. Agreement must establish rules to must protect citizens of the influence in the process by all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers including investigating conflicts of interest.
private citizen	The agreement must make sure to include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should not be a "one size fits all" solution to the problem. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. No pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be allowed to influence the process. Those companies should be completely excluded. They do not have a record of caring about people's health; they care only about their own profits.
Private	The agreement should not include any language which limit the obligations of the national parliaments. While it ist important to have international coordination and exchange of knowledge we also need national checks and balances in place. Therefore the agreement should clearly limit the role of the WHO. Any Instrument which allows for digital surveillance should not bei included. Thanks.
American Citizen	The American people Do Not Consent to surrender their Personal Health Decisions to any Government, Global Organizations, or Kangaroo Courts for any reason. Personal health decisions remain sacred and protected by the Hippocratic oath through the complied understanding and consent of the patient & administering physician. Any decision made on behalf of the American people without reasonable notification, promotion, advertising, or written consent of an American Citizen relinquishing their Personal Health Sovereignty without their knowledge is both Unconstitutional, Unlawful, and therefore invalid.
None	The answer is 'None'. A new international instrument on Pandemic preparedness and response is NOT required. The WHO is an unelected organisation and has no right to decide upon what sovereign countries' decisions regarding health policies should be. People have a human right to bodily autonomy. That isn't given to us or removed from us by globalist organisations. It is a basic human right and one that we will not allow either the WHO or Governments around the world to disregard.
None	The answer should be no. As our health is not yours to take. This is illegal. How and why must you take illegal action. What have we done to you to do this? Do dislike everyone? Our health should be ours. Not yours.
Quarantine is wrong	The approach to this virus has limited human rights and freedoms, remaining only at the declarative level.
Association of Zoos and Aquariums	The Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) welcomes the decision taken by the World Health Assembly to establish an intergovernmental negotiating body to draft and negotiate a new international instrument under the World Health Organization (WHO) to strengthen pandemic prevention, preparedness, and response, and commends the global health community for its leadership. The WHO international instrument provides an opportunity for global leaders to transform our ability to protect the world from a future of devastating pandemics. But an effective approach needs to address not only disease surveillance, monitoring, control, and mitigation, but also the risk of pathogens spilling over to people in the first place. Therefore, AZA urges the WHO to incorporate action-oriented 'One Health' measures to prevent pathogen spillover, including by shutting down or strictly regulating commercial wildlife markets and trade of live wildlife (especially birds and mammals) for human consumption as food or medicine. AZA also urges the WHO to engage with existing international regulatory bodies, including the UN Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora, and others, to ensure coherence with related regulatory and implementation mechanisms. AZA stands ready to support the WHO in advancing the proposed international instrument and invites you to engage with AZA members, many of which are experts in safely and effectively transporting animals, have expertise in preventative veterinary care, and measures to enhance resiliency to animal disease and pathogens.
Life Made	The assurance of individual autonomy over own body and in regards of any medical treatment (incl. Vaccinations). Respect of basic human rights during pandemics. The right to privacy and non-disclosure of personal medical records and history. Considerations of fairness to 3rd World countries in regards to prices and financial consequences of any agreed instrument. Total openness and public access to any contract or otherwise written agreements with pharmaceutical industry. Public access to all records of funding of WHO and other vested interests. Public access to any and all trial results of any used medications and vaccines. The assurance that any use of id or pandemic passports are discontinued after emergency is over.
Freedom	The Australian Constitution states the Australian people must have a referendum and vote in new Government controls. We, the Australian and Sovereign People have not said yes to the WHO taking over our health control or future health guidelines etc..Therefore I and my very large Australian sovereign family and our Ancestors vote AGAINST any advise or assistance from the WHO now and in the future. Cheers.
Private	The authority for mandating interventions belongs to individual country entities. WHO has not the independence nor competency to act in the best interest of the citizenry. The Agency does not have the best interests of the people at heart, but rather those of their biased complicit sponsors, Do not under any circumstances remove individual human rights in this dishonorable manner. Thank you for restraining this violation of humanity attempt.
citizen	The authority of every nation's constitution must be preserved. People and communities should control health of their own, NOT THE WHO!!! A Treaty that supersedes the authority of every nation has to be stopped.
Hapu	The autocratic 'World Together' plan to draft a global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. If successful, the WHO's power grab will threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement has the potential to increase tenfold WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.
homemaker	The autocratic 'World Together' plan to draft a global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. I have not been consulted. If successful, the WHO's power grab will threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement has the potential to increase tenfold WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work. By requesting public input, the WHO has created a veneer of public participation. Their objective is clear. The WHO isn't asking IF they should create a sweeping global agreement -- Instead, they're operating as though the agreement is already planned, asking the public what should be included in it, a few days before the sudden hearing. The WHO isn't expecting significant participation in this process, and likely isn't prepared to listen. I SAY STOP THIS TYRANNY> we, the people, will participate in guiding the future of health and the future of our sovereignty. And we'll be so united and so clear that they'll have no choice but to listen to the will of the people, or confirm conflicts of interes
Private person	The autonomy of any individual and his or her children should be sacrosanct. So any WHO instrument cannot override the wishes of that person. Amy sovereign nation that agrees to the instrument must be able to withdraw from it at any time without penalty, if the citizens or Parliament demand it. No medical treatment or public health policy should be mandated and no penalty such as loss of work or loss of access to food should be suffered by a person for refusing treatment.
None	The autonomy of each country should not be violated. The WHO is no longer independent of private corporations which benefit from it's recommendations. These corporations assert undue influence through substantiell donations. Financing of the WHO should only bei allowed through taxpayers Findung.
None	The autonomy of individual countries must be maintained so they can make their own response to any pandemic as best suits their circumstances. Countries and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any portions of the agreement without consequence. In drawing up this agreement there needs to be open discussion with everyone and measures are not put in place if the majority do not want these measures. Any pandemic response must take into account other factors such as education and the economy of the country. Decisions should not be influenced by pharmaceutical companies.
Public	The basis of any global pandemic must be based on science. Experiments and sharing of knowledge, learnings and results gives the most effective and efficient solutions. So I oppose that any organizations should centralize decisions, methods and mandates. Let countries and states experiment and focus on collecting and sharing learnings in an open and transparent way. Pathogen has also to be scientifically proven before any pandemic can be announced, which might not have been the case in the past.
Corona_fakta_DK	The basis of any global pandemic must be based on science. This means that the pathogen has to be scientifically proven before any pandemic can be announced, which might not have been the case in the past. The proof has to meet the standard scientific method, in particular Koch's postulates have to be fulfilled. The experiments required for this must include comprehensive control experiments so that incorrect assumptions can be ruled out. Proof of the pathogen needs to be repeated several times in a representative group of sick patients, including genomic sequencing and electron microscopy after isolation and purification from a sick-patient sample where no other foreign genetic material or other impurities could have been added. The exact same genome that would be found in several sick patients could be the basis for developing diagnostic tests, but it is important that these tests would be used with care and false results prevented, especially the PCR method should not be used with cycle thresholds above 30. No matter what the WHO's final assessment should be, individual human, health and personality rights, in particular informed consent to all measures, remain unaffected by the new treaty. No mandates can ever be required.
Borgerforeninge	The basis of any global pandemic must be based on science. This means that the pathogen has to be scientifically proven before any pandemic can be announced, which might not have been the case in the past. The proof has to meet the standard scientific method, in particular Koch's postulates have to be fulfilled. The experiments required for this must include comprehensive control experiments so that incorrect assumptions can be ruled out. Proof of the pathogen needs to be repeated several times in a representative group of sick patients, including genomic sequencing and electron microscopy after isolation and purification from a sick-patient sample where no other foreign genetic material or other impurities could have been added. The exact same genome that would be found in several sick patients could be the basis for developing diagnostic tests, but it is important that these tests would be used with care and false results prevented, especially the PCR method should not be used with cycle thresholds above 30. No matter what the WHO's final assessment should be, individual human, health and personality rights, in particular informed consent to all measures, remain unaffected by the new treaty. No mandates can ever be required.
Public	The basis of any global pandemic must be based on science. This means that the pathogen has to be scientifically proven before any pandemic can be announced, which might not have been the case in the past. The proof has to meet the standard scientific method, in particular Koch's postulates have to be fulfilled. The experiments required for this must include comprehensive control experiments so that incorrect assumptions can be ruled out. Proof of the pathogen needs to be repeated several times in a representative group of sick patients, including genomic sequencing and electron microscopy after isolation and purification from a sick-patient sample where no other foreign genetic material or other impurities could have been added. The exact same genome that would be found in several sick patients could be the basis for developing diagnostic tests, but it is important that these tests would be used with care and false results prevented, especially the PCR method should not be used with cycle thresholds above 30. No matter what the WHO's final assessment should be, individual human, health and personality rights, in particular informed consent to all measures, remain unaffected by the new treaty. No mandates can ever be required.
Private person	The basis of any global pandemic must be based on science. This means that the pathogen has to be scientifically proven before any pandemic can be announced, which might not have been the case in the past. The proof has to meet the standard scientific method, in particular Koch's postulates have to be fulfilled. The experiments required for this must include comprehensive control experiments so that incorrect assumptions can be ruled out. Proof of the pathogen needs to be repeated several times in a representative group of sick patients, including genomic sequencing and electron microscopy after isolation and purification from a sick-patient sample where no other foreign genetic material or other impurities could have been added. The exact same genome that would be found in several sick patients could be the basis for developing diagnostic tests, but it is important that these tests would be used with care and false results prevented, especially the PCR method should not be used with cycle thresholds above 30. No matter what the WHO's final assessment should be, individual human, health and personality rights, in particular informed consent to all measures, remain unaffected by the new treaty. No mandates can ever be required.
Self	The basis premise of your question assumes that US citizens have willingly given up their sovereignty to the WHO: a corrupt, ideologically bankrupt entity that has revealed itself to be an effective tool of globalists. To be clear, we do not accept your premise and we do not give you any sovereignty over us. Our Constitution is unique in all the world. It recognizes that our natural rights are given to us by God, not by man. It further clearly states that we only allow our government powers as necessary to protect our freedom. As the past 2 years have shown, every major entity involved in public health has been a fountainhead of lies, misinformation and advice that has killed free individuals through omission (lying about effective treatments) and commission (pushing vaccines that do not work and cause catastrophic injury). We will devote ourselves to electing politicians who will repudiate and exit any treaty entered into by illegitimate leaders who fail to uphold our Constitution. Any treaty which attempts to limit free speech, access to proper care, forces improper care or attempts to vilify legitimate scientists who refuse to go along with schemes will be considered to be illegitimate and ignored.
Na	The below caveats are essential and must be included in the treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NONE	the below MUST BE included 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The below points should be implemented. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers Thank you
Silver City Media Ltd	The best approach to any health crisis is for individual countries to take direct, immediate action on emergency care, and to conduct independent trials so comparisons on effectiveness can be made and best practice adopted. A centralised, dictatorial system would prevent this from happening. If the UK were to adopt the proposed WHO Treaty, pandemic related or otherwise, the following must be guaranteed: National, democratically-elected leadership must retain full autonomy. All decisions must be based on what is best for that country, its cultures and demographics. There can be no micromanaging, nor firm policies set, by certain countries or unelected individuals who have influence on WHO strategies purely on the grounds of financial contribution. Such contributors may have agendas which could, potentially, be at odds with a nation's public interest. Individual national governments, or States as appropriate, must have the right to opt out of any or all portions of the Treaty as they see fit. Transparency must be guaranteed. Anyone opposing this Treaty must be allowed to share their concerns and reasons openly and honestly, including but not limited to social media. There must be accountability to the people of each country. There should be an option to vote on acceptance of the Treaty, without punishment for a vote of rejection. There must be appeal procedures and transparency to prevent excess authority, favouritism or corruption in the application of this Treaty.
Self	The best pandemic responses are gleaned from the experience of different treatments applied in different regions. A coordinated response would cripple efforts to find best practices. Reject the approach of any treaty. Let WHO function as an information gatherer and disseminator only.
Personal	The best place to decide issues that impact local activities is in the local administrations, not an over-arching group that can't possibly take into consideration all the intricacies of local customs, economies, and legalities world-wide. Please keep the decisions regarding how to handle COVID related issues in the hands of the local governing bodies.
privat	The best preparedness for a pandemic is to avoid the pandemic … I hope you all do agree! In order to avoid future pandemics as much as possible, the gain of function research should be stopped and forbidden by international law as a first step. Biological labors for gain of function research should be closed worldwide ASAP
Steve Henderson Fine Art	The best recommendation is that this treaty not exist at all. If it is pushed through, however, then the following provisos should be written in: 1) The WHO is a non-elected body. Any provisions or recommendations should be non-binding upon both nations and individuals. Sovereignty of nations and individuals must be maintained. 2) There should be neither incentives to follow the guidelines nor punishment for not doing so. 3) Propaganda should not be allowed in "informing" the public of the situation, nor in giving "guidelines" on what they should or should not do. Fearmongering is forbidden. The ends do not justify the means. 4) Dissenting voices must be allowed, both in the medical and non-medical ("lay") sector. Active and free discussion, in person and online, is imperative. 5) Individual choice and autonomy of body is preeminent. People should be given accurate information and allowed to make their own choices. 6) Government institutions and/or pharmaceutical companies must be made legally liable for their decisions, and for the repercussions of those decisions. 7) Any medical intervention advised must be accompanied by a clear and full disclosure of the risks in addition to a reporting of the potential benefits. Individuals and nations must not be forced or coerced into accepting medical intervention (vaccines, treatment, pharmaceutical products, etc.) that they feel is non-beneficial to them.
None	The best way to be prepared is to make sure people are as healthy as possible before a pandemic hits. Metabolic health, among other factors, played a large role in Covid 19 outcomes. There should be a push to bring all people into a healthy state. This would be a great starting point. After that, we must remain open to all information as it comes in about the pandemic and adjust our policies as new data arrives. We cannot be pulled into politically motivated, rigid responses. Finally, it is important to keep the public fully informed on the data and the reasoning so they can trust the decisions being made. Thank you.
Self	The best way to handle a pandemic, for which treatments are unknown, is to allow doctors and scientists all over the world to use their specific knowledge and expertise to attempt various cures. The role of the WHO should be to provide an open database that everyone world-wide could make use of, and run machine learning algorithms over to find emerging signals.
Me and Friends	The big question comes down to Care and who benefits. Rules should be in place that 1. no member of WHO or affiliates or family members should benefit financially from the agreement, wether that means investments in pharmaceutical companies, or handouts from a governmental institution. 2. Rights of the individual should always be respected to make the final judgement and choice to make use of the service. 3. End user should not manipulated to take medication that results in negative effects, even under so called "emergency care" dogma. Adriaan
Private sovereign human being	The big thing to understand is humanity DOES NOT NEED A PANDEMIC TREATY. However if there is one drafted it must include these following points: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
My Family	The biggest element to be included is for you to stay out of our business. We, as individuals, have inalienable rights that cannot and must not be trampled upon. No one has a right to dictate what treatment I use on my body regardless of the emergency. It is my body. Treatment should never be forced, vaccines or otherwise. Neither should people be discriminated against because of their medical status, it is cruel, ludicrous and completely unnecessary. No Lock downs and quarantines as they are likewise unnecessary and cause more harm than good. An emergency may be declared, but it is up to THE PEOPLE when it ends. As individuals, we are perfectly capable of knowing whether or not we are sick and we have the common sense how to deal with it if we are. As a United States citizen, I do NOT want my life, my family, my country or my decisions to be dictated or influenced by a world organization. In the words of many children, "You are not the boss of me!" .....Amen to that.
Self	The biggest is to continue to recognize individual liberty and "country" sovereignty in cooperation. Pandemic preparedness will look different to everyone. Education on preparing general 72 hour emergency kits for individuals and families makes sense to initiate globally. If non-governmental organizations and individuals want to help between countries by donating food, shelter, water, ice packs, medical first aid to those need in other countries, that needs to be allowed.
Craftsman	The biggest problem in the world is, that we want everything and every person to be the same. But much more diversity should be the goal of us in nature, politics, medicin and other aspects. So I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. And all decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body.
IFPMA	The biopharmaceutical industry is committed to working with stakeholders to improve pandemic preparedness for the future and to ensure equitable access to medical countermeasures. Any system should be built on what worked well during the COVID-19 pandemic with robust IP frameworks enabling collaborations, as well as immediate sharing of pathogens. As the INB enters deeper discussions on a new instrument we believe these should be informed by a few core principles: 1. The negotiation process should be inclusive and transparent, involving private sector and all critical contributors to PPR efforts 2. In the spirit of subsidiarity, tasks and decision-making processes which are more efficiently performed nationally or regionally should remain at these levels. 3. We call for clarity on roles and expectations, improving forecast and reducing unnecessary red tape 4. Any new system should be complementary to existing instruments and include clarifications on how it relates to other existing frameworks 5. Any system should allow for immediate open access of pathogens and genetic sequence data and correct the negative effects of access and benefit-sharing legislation. 6. Promote equitable access to diagnostics, treatments and vaccines based on medical need, enhancing solidarity and facilitating emergency financing to institutions procuring for developing countries like COVAX. 7. Supply chains should be protected from arbitrary export restrictions and other trade barriers 8. The system should build on the private sector's strengths for R&D, manufacturing and distribution, and overall support innovation
Human being	The board of WHO is not a democratical elected body. Industry interests can not be excluded from the board. Every nation has to decide for themselfs what is needed in a crisis. The WHO should focus on guidance in the interests of the health of people.
Me	The C19 response was a huge waste of resources. The trust I a public health is the lowest since XX century and the vaccination campaign is a disaster in progress. Let's prevent it from happening again. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PRIVATE	The centralisation of health care facilitates the potential for monopolies in treating any condition, irrespective of the efficacy of the treatment on offer; wherein a potentially more effective treatment option could be sidelined or suppressed by individuals more concerned with putting corporate profits before health improvements. As has been aptly demonstrated in the very recent past..

Organization	Written submission
Together Declaration	The centralising of power over health decisions is unacceptable on the following points National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SELF	The centralization of Health decisions and choices should be between the individual decision and the physician at all times. The autonomy over ones DNA and their soul must be respected. This is a "free will" planet. What befalls man befalls the planet. Natural Law must be honored. The relationship of centralized power is the equation of slavery. It violates Natural Law. What so ever is loosed on Earth will be loosed in heaven and what is loosed in heaven will be loosed on Earth. This is the Law of Correspondence. Tread lightly rather than being drunk with power. For all droplets of light come into this existence experiencing the sin of first cause; blind, ignorant and arrogance. It is for the purpose of growth and reconciliation: prodical son. That which does not honor the Laws of Nature will be violating themselves much like the first cause did when it rubbed itself and fell under it's own curse. The great physician is the feminine spirit of the Prime Creator. She is his Sophia. She is Mother and the spirit of truth. She comes as a fiery angel. You have been warned.
Self , citizen of Canada , Farmer	The centralization of power to a global body entirely Undermines trust , confidence , and the peoples of the earth personal abilities to address their own problems relating to health and well being . It allows for a central target of corruption and regulatory and academic capture . Thh he a way in which the WHO can be influenced and subsequently deploy global error and wrong upon the world is far to great a risk . Decentralize power, and stop this insanity
US Citizen	The centralization of power/control is one of the most dangerous directions that can be taken by a civilization. As has been seen repeatedly through history, and most recently with COVID related activities, unified efforts only lead to bad policies and worse outcomes. Decentralization allows for more hypothesizes, varied/numerous solutions, better testing, more individual options, and better overall results. WHO, as an "international instrument" only restricts progress and should not be involved in consolidating what should be localized and decentralized efforts.
None	The charged question was very cute. You are playing on the nerves of billions of people with your retarded behaviour. The era of dictatorships is over and you should quit while you're ahead. Just stop your efforts to force your "instrument" onto everyone. If you go ahead with it, this cannot and will not end well for you.
Ian Ferreira photography	The Charter of Rights and Freedoms should not be altered at all. It's a good document. People should have no restrictions placed on them whatsoever. This will inevitably lead to non democratic institutions and dictatorship like situations. Much more people have died Fighting For Freedom around this planet than the any pandemic If people are scared or sickly. They can put restrictions on themselves. But the healthy and strong and courageous should stay free in order to promote a better future for humans. And this includes evolution
Beletage	The charter of the human rights, the Nürnberg codex
none	The citizen consent to you to organize such treaty as you are not a public entity elected by the people. The Countries' constitutions are to be superior to any of your private decision on societies and human body's intervention.
MTU	The citizens of Ireland have an unfettered right to determine their destinies according to the constitution of our country and in accordance with their conscience. No body from outside Of Ireland has a right to intrude or interfere with these rights . Categorically no pandemic or visualised future pandemic gives any justification to interfere with the sanctity of our national rights of freedom and individual autonomy to live according to the constitution of this country . No politician or individual in Ireland can be held ransom for whatever reason to compromise the dignity , freedom and personal responsibility and conscience Of Irish citizens . In honour , courage and authenticity we stand to our constitution and long fought for freedom and values . Hands off Ireland !!
The People of the United States of America	The citizens of the United States of America are represented by elected citizens who swear to uphold the people's God-given rights, protected by the Constitution of the United States of America. The World Health Organization (WHO) is not elected by the citizens of United States of America and the WHO has not sworn an oath to uphold the Constitution, and thus the WHO will never govern, to any capacity, the people of the United States of America. Any "instruments" aiming to give WHO any authority are in opposition to the established US governmental process, and will be rejected by the People.
Self	The citizens of the United States of America should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. We do not want to be under the umbrella control of the WHO. Every nation and local municipality needs to be Able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement and places where a majority of the people do not want it. There also needs to be measures in place that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration.
TVC	The citizens of the world stand in the wake of the numerous & outrageous governance failures we've endured during this pandemic of COVID-19. Yes we we need to develop appropriate global solutions to be able to prevent, detect, respond to, and recover from any future global health crises, but we firmly disagree with this pandemic treaty and that the architecture of this treaty has been designed in the most appropriate way in which to achieve the outcomes. We need to see our respective retain full autonomy of their decision making ability rather than a blanket approach We need to see the freedom granted to our nations and local municipalities where they can opt out of the agreement and not be penalised for the decision to do what is best for their community's.. And now more than ever we need healthy robust debate and a transparent process, with true representation for all people rather than a small group of individuals who have not proven themselves to provide any failsafe measures to stop or contain the current pandemic. The principles of innovative problem solving that are needed now cannot be done in a vacuum. We can't be influenced by the power of big pharmaceutical companies who profit & create business models from having a seat at the table. We need controls in place to keep for equity & diversity of thought when it comes to innovative practices in response to pandemics.We not believe that a pandemic treaty will deliver what we're being presented with and it will certainly never solve for the complexities within the problems all countries face when it comes to attempts at global cooperation .. let alone global health. Myopic at best
TVC	The citizens of the world stand in the wake of the numerous & outrageous governance failures we've endured during this pandemic of COVID-19. Yes we we need to develop appropriate global solutions to be able to prevent, detect, respond to, and recover from any future global health crises, but we firmly disagree with this pandemic treaty and that the architecture of this treaty has been designed in the most appropriate way in which to achieve the outcomes. We need to see our respective retain full autonomy of their decision making ability rather than a blanket approach We need to see the freedom granted to our nations and local municipalities where they can opt out of the agreement and not be penalised for the decision to do what is best for their community's.... And now more than ever we need healthy robust debate and a transparent process, with true representation for all people rather than a small group of individuals who have not proven themselves to provide any failsafe measures to stop or contain the current pandemic. The principles of innovative problem solving that are needed now cannot be done in a vacuum. We can't be influenced by the power of big pharmaceutical companies who profit & create business models from having a seat at the table. We need controls in place to keep for equity & diversity of thought when it comes to innovative practices in response to pandemics. We not believe that a pandemic treaty will deliver what we're being presented with and it will certainly never solve for the complexities within the problems all countries face when it comes to attempts at global cooperation .. let alone global health. The vision of the WHO is misguided & myopic
Private citizen	The concept of one-size-fits-all is not required. Countries need have control over their own health issues.
Concerned citizen	The concept of using disease, real or imaginary, as a tool for political control of human beings is reprehensible. The excessive WHO reaction to the inaccuracies of the Fergusson predictions in the early stages of Covid 19 has caused misery for many millions of the world's citizens. The personal ambitions, financial and career, of a very few people in the WHO has had a disproportionately negative effect on both individuals and economies. It seems as if the WHO are continually looking for a crisis to resolve. The WHO should never subvert national sovereignty or the democratic will of the people. Individual human rights must never be compromised by the remote and sometimes badly informed policies of an unelected quango. To retain credibility the WHO should refrain from any attempt to offer anything more than advice to national governments. This advice should be entirely optional and not give governments a reason to duck responsibilities to their electorates. Where possible such advice should be fully and freely peer reviewed. My views are not unusual. Many people in the UK are suspicious of the WHO and there is a perception of megalomania in its actions. I shall be writing to my MP to make these points.
	the confusion, and failure over the last two years, WHO dismisses further public health efforts. Any documnet towards further policy by WHO concerning pandemics or health will be challenging at best. People just don't believe WHO follows the science. That efforts by WHO have simply become political.
Privat	the constituional law of every souvereign state has to be respected as well a the human right. The last and only authority should be the people of the state who decide if and what kind of measures have to be taken. The last 2 years did show, that all these rights were ignored by governments and also multinational organization like the WHO. To be honest it doesn't require a international instrument at all. Many of the recommendations and decisions made by the WHO during this so called pandemic appeared as wrong and discrimanted billions of human beings in many ways and did much more harm to the people than good. It is maybe easy for you to give one guidline to deal with a problem, but there are so many possibilties to solve such issues and it is essential to trust in the people can find their way out much better, than some so called expert, far off the situation in a country, a city, a company or a family. Stop that programm please, lead only by some billionaires with some equivocal intrests and start a new one where all people are involved and informed from the beginning.
N/A	The Constitution of the United States Does Not give your organization any rights over another human beings rights and the authority to implant an object or foreign substance into their body. That would legally put the WHO. LIABLE.
self	The Constitution of the United States of America is the supreme law of the land for all USA citizens. We cannot yield our sovereignty to any global organization. Any cooperation by the USA on an internationally pandemic preparedness response shall maintain our God-given rights as defined in the Declaration of Independence and enumerated in the Constitution and absolutely must adhere to informed consent for every citizen.
Citizen of the United States of America	The Constitution of the United States stands above any treaty the WHO might be pushing, which cannot reign supreme over our country's superior long-standing Constitution of the United States of America. We do not want or need the World Health Organization making decisions on behalf of Americans. This treaty is not necessary. Nor do I trust such a treaty.
the mcmahon family trust	The constitution of WHO should not overrule the constitution of any country. The people of each individual country should be able to decide on how they manage their health. I oppose the World Health Organisation having any say in how people manage their own health.
Citizen of Arizona	The constitutions of all countries and the rights of those citizens supersede any treaty. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The constitutions of every country mustn't bei affected! The "government" in those pandemic situations mustn't be private or economic, it has to consist of politicians WITHOUT any conflict of interests. It should help the common people and Not (!) the big companies. There should be elections for this "government".
Private	The constitutions of the member countries must be respected! It also should imperatively include the pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice!
Provate Peroson - Citicen of the World	The contract should be a positive sign for worldwide collaboration driven by trust and common sense. The contract shall not be the basis of any impact on local laws and world wide biometric and personal data exchange. A pandemic is a crisis, not a tool to create revenues. Therefore vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. Any laws to enforce vaccniation especially when threating fine or penalties has to be forbidden. Any discrimination of unvaccinated persons has to be prevented. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. The WHO shall enforce all countries to have professional, fact driven, POSITIVE and motivationg communication to their citicen and monitor this by psychologists. The WHO shall collect and inform properly of risks of medication so each citizen can decide properly by himself what is the best for HIS body. In case people have sideeffect of vaccinations which are NOT infromed properly by WHO the WHO has to take resposibility and compensate this citicen or their bereaved. It has to be forbidden to use any communication having as goal to scare people or any other negative communications which is known to weaken the immune system by generating fear. In case the WHO or any participationg country is found to hide or give false information the employees taking this decisions need to be personal responsible and put to court.
Privat	The contract should contain: - more sovereignty for the citizen - more personal liability for politicians and pharmaceutical companies - more respect for human rights - free decision about the health of my body In addition, participation opportunities should be driven through the press as well as all alleged pandemics. As a citizen, I feel betrayed when deadlines for participation are so short and people are not fully informed beforehand.
General public	The contract should not be concluded. But if it is executed, it should include Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
US Citizen	The control group will remain! The truth will become evident to all. You will be tried for your crimes. Repent now or God help you!
individual	The coordinated response to COVID has been an unmitigated disaster for the health and welfare of billions of people, including the huge numbers of dead and disabled, suicides, impending starvation, and more. The WHO seems to enjoy creating this level of human suffering and death while pretending it is all for the greater good. Do not give the WHO more power over health decisions that should be made at the doctor-patient level.
Private individual	The corporate and special interest infiltration of the WHO has created an organization that can no longer be trusted to reasonably manage any public health issues. You must clean your own house before even thinking of advising others on what is a pandemic and what they should do in response to a so-called pandemic. With changed definitions, denial and outright oppression of safe and effective early treatments which would have made the pandemic a non-emergency, refusal to recognize the obvious harms the covid "vaccines" are causing all over the world, while being completely ineffective, the WHO needs to take a step back and regroup. Finally, the centralized overriding of democratic mechanisms in sovereign societies is a recipe for disaster. Dissenting voices must be heard and considered in science and public health. We now have a situation in which the least vaccinated, least restricted populations have been able to escape the harshest offenses to their freedoms, but also have seen the lowest death rates and transmission levels, while those subscribing to the WHO policies, and even taking those policies to further extremes, have suffered the greatest disease, injury and death. I ask the PEOPLE of the WHO to please do what you can to stop this behemoth from steamrolling over humanity. Thank you. Rhianna Wassell, A mother from California
Born Free	The correct answer to the pandemic is not to grant further immunity to undeserved scientific arrogance. The correct answer to this "pandemic" is to hold anyone and everyone accountable, based on an open and exhaustive inquiry. No constitutionally questionable proclamations should EVER be based on the guidance of those whose actions may have precipitated the crisis itself. Till we do not see justice for all the crimes and lies that came out from the mouth of so called scientists which destroyed all the trust of the people, people's life and future, nothing, but nothing that is coming from WHO will be ever accepted by the society. Nobody on this earth choosed or voted for this fake abusive organization WHO and the governments and the presidents of all the countries do not represent people' s will and rights anymore. People know the truth about each one of you and your actions and none is in the interests of the people or or their good.
Individual, Sovereign Human Being	The Countries' Constitutions are superior to any organization and untouchable. The WHO's function is to come up with RECOMMENDATIONS based on TRUTH and Science. Human Dignity and Freedom are above any kind of law and mandates, because Human Beings are Divine.
Private person	The countries need to be able to find own solutions, because different culture, different solution. Seen in this pandemic. Helping would be to have an OPEN minded HeadOrganisation, gathering studies in all directions, respect different ways of therapy and being free of political and money interests. That would help the world in any issue. Thank you for being heard.
Na	The countries should be able to make their own decisions during these times as to what's best for them. Each country may need a different plan based on their geography, wealth, healthcare, etc. Definitely do not proceed
Public	The countries should Exchange information about current situation regarding eventuall pandemic Nothing else The Who should change back the very definition of pandemic as it was before and should NOT under any circumstances be given any authorisation to lead other countries Just recomendations no mandates
California resident	The countries taking part in this agreement must retain full authority and autonomy over any actions taken in another pandemic. They must be able to partake or not, as they each see fit. The WHO must not be allowed to become the de facto rule-maker in times of pandemic. Another critical aspect is that pharmaceutical/medical-device companies should not be allowed to influence any decisions in future pandemics. It is immoral to allow those with monetary interest to take part in deciding on actions. Full transparency with regards to decision-makers, decisions made, and any conflicts of interest MUST be part of this agreement.
Private	The country/state must have full autonomy to deal with pandemics. The treaty proposed by the WHO destroys the balanced system of individual democracys. Stop it NOW!
Private	The Covid 19 pandemic management was a disaster, vaccines did not prevent infection and gave low protection to severe disease. Freedoms and Fundamental, Constitucional Rights were taken from the People, People were cohenced to take a unique idade/untested health treatment against their will, lost their jobs, and also now we are counting many vaccine injuries and deaths, and psychological traumas from lockdowns and masks. For a next pandemic, it must be declared transparently on numbers of deaths and not cases from that unique cause ONLY (not accounting other causes), the Doctors and the People must have independence to decide the best treatment for each patient. Do not use new untested therapies on the majority of the population, allow existing safe medicines with decades of use. Follow Swedens approach to immunize naturally the young and healthy population, protecting only the elderly and sick risk cases. No Constitucional country Rights and Freedoms can be taken, this is ilegal and a crime against humanity. No Emergency states should be used to remove such Rights. No Cohertion can be used against the People with Certificates/Passports to control their freedom and remove their lawful Rights, or impose a medical treatment. The European Counsil regulations must be followed, Privacy and GDPR regulations must be respected for all Citizens by local Governments and Health Authorities.
Self	The COVID crisis has clearly shown that governments can make massive mistakes in the handling of a pandemic. It has been through the ability of different countries and municipalities to adopt different approaches that we have ben able to see what really works and what does not. Imposing a single coordinated international approach to disease control multiplies the potential for errors in decision-making to have catastrophic worldwide consequences. As such a treaty that requires all member countries to operate in lock-step has a high probability of causing an extremely damaging response to the next pandemic. The following elements should be included in any treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
One of 7 Billion	The COVID pandemic has laid plain the failures of the WHO and international global health agencies' "one size fits all" response to it. Any attempt at an increased centralized response plan and uni-policy will show itself to be equally impotent and harmful to the world. Learning from these obvious and embarrassing failures, any new plan should thus include the following emphasis on decentralization: - Pharmaceutical companies or any other NGO or global health organization with vested (monetary interests) are barred from setting, commenting on or participating in any policies, health mandates or authorizations that the WHO proposes. - Any LOCAL health organization or town has the right to deny or choose not to adopt any WHO mandate that they deem not to serve the good of their community. And the WHO nor any intra-national organization has no right or authority to punish them or apply any consequences if they choose to ignore certain dictates. - Communities, towns, counties, and LOCAL leadership shall maintain final say and control over decisions/actions/measures, based on what is in their own best interest. - The process for setting any agreement in place must be open and transparent to the world. No agreement can be made without informed consent by the people it applies to and their people have a right to veto any agreement by vote. Any place where a majority of the people don't want it, the agreement is null. -Any international WHO member whose actions or decisions can be proven to have caused more harm or death than the actual pandemic (the remedy being worse than the cure), shall be tried & jailed in their local jurisdiction by a jury of peers. WHO Plan Motto = Hippocratic Oath: Do No Harm.
Member of public	The covid pandemic has shown that any international directive must not override sovereign government control. The role of any international organisation is to accurately inform the decision making of sovereign governments - this must allow for different approaches to be taken because it is only a series of disparate approaches that will leaf to the correct approach for all areas of society. The experience of the latest pandemic resulted in the loss of civil liberties and any instrument must have those civil rights enshrined to ensure that personal health and well-being is not overshadowed by poorly constructed centralised decision making that is solely aimed at health care. The purpose of health care is to serve the people and not to control them and any instrument must not mandate any course of treatment for a population. It must recognise the importance of family involvement in birth, deaths and funerals and recognise the critical importance of the health providers supporting and not creating barriers to this. Any instrument must recognise the enabling the continuation of economic and societal needs instead of crippling them as was the case with lockdowns. It should also focus on providing support and care for the most vulnerable to the pandemic
Public	The Covid pandemic has shown that increased centralised control is entirely the opposite of what is required. During the outbreak messaging appeared to be politically guided rather than kept to hard, proveable facts. The motives and trustworthiness of data (for example from China) were not sufficiently considered/vetted. Solutions suggested by certain countries have led to irreperable harm for the sake of political/personal image. The WHO should only issue advisories and should be more conservative about anything other than measured scientific data.
n/a	The Covid Pandemic taught us that centralized information channels and decision-making is dangerous -- NOT helpful. Therefore, every effort must be made to decentralize and encourage multiple viewpoints in order to "Let Science have its due." Science can only be achieved through diversity of opinions, viewpoints, and practices. While seemingly at odds with the interests of the "practice" of Public Health, such a protracted process of encouraging divergent thinking is the ONLY way to achieve the "goals" of Public Health. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process that is inclusive of independent voices and divergent thinkers -- even when they make more conformist representatives uncomfortable. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The Covid situation has shown us that governments and organisations such as WHO, CDC and pharmaceutical companies can not only not be trusted to do the best for humanity, but in fact they take such situations to advance their own agendas. The concept behind the UN and WHO was noble and well intended, unfortunately they have been corrupted by individual greed and political agendas. They have lost the faith and trust of the public they were designed to protect.
Migration Policy Institute	The COVID-19 pandemic forced an unprecedented shutdown in global mobility, with devastating short-term and ongoing consequences for migrants, cross-border trade, and national economies. A new pandemic treaty should include elements on coordinating international travel measures in the initial response to public health outbreaks, such as maintaining essential travel, facilitating repatriation, and protecting stranded migrants. The treaty should also encourage clear, equitable, and streamlined use of entry restrictions: these should be easy and low cost to understand and enforce (clear), should avoid imposing significant costs on particular groups and ensure no one who needs to travel across borders is prevented from doing so (equitable), and should be removed when they no longer serve a public health benefit (streamlined). Moreover, the treaty should include an element related to international coordination on digital health credentials, which verify a person's vaccination or health status. The treaty should include an agreement on verifying digital health documents across borders, using a global infrastructure of interoperable trust networks that could be easily and efficiently activated in response to the next pandemic. Such an infrastructure would need to have robust privacy and data protection, a sustainable financing model, and ensure equitable access for low- and middle-income countries and travellers.
Phoenix Zones Initiative	The COVID-19 pandemic has cost lives, deepened social and economic disparities, threatened healthcare systems, and endangered the health and wellbeing of existing and future generations. The world cannot afford another pandemic on this scale. The following elements are essential to an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Primary prevention: Surveillance, mitigation, and response are important but insufficient. Efforts must center on the primary prevention of emerging infectious diseases with pandemic potential. One Health: Ecosystem degradation, habitat loss and fragmentation, biodiversity loss, encroachment into wildlife habitats, the commercial trade in wild animals, and intensive animal farming dramatically increase the risk of emerging infectious diseases. Primary prevention must include protections for the interconnected health and wellbeing of humans, animals, and the environment. Practical implications: Measures must align with other agreements and legal instruments; address complex factors such as illicit activities, trade liberalization, and direct foreign investment; reduce the demand and supply of animal products; and protect the environment—similar to the demand and supply reduction provisions emphasized in the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Fairness, equity, and justice: Measures must center on social and ecological justice and attend to epidemiological drivers such as climate change, industrial development, and inequities that disproportionately affect women, children, and the global majority. Policies must abate human, animal, and environmental exploitation through transformative interdisciplinary strategies, legal remedies, and public engagement.
Individual	The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the poor efficiency and elevated side effects of immature vaccines. Therefore, physical integrity, in particular the right to choose or refuse a vaccine, should be an irrevocable element of any pandemic response plan.
Individual	The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the poor efficiency and elevated side effects of immature vaccines. Therefore, physical integrity, in particular the right to choose or refuse a vaccine, should be an irrevocable element of any pandemic response plan.
Public Citizen	The COVID-19 pandemic has produced both extraordinary scientific achievements, as well extraordinary inequality in global access to these achievements. That has undermined the global response, increased the risk for the new variants, and cost countless lives. The pandemic instrument can contain three provisions to help catalyze a better response in the future. First, public funding should come with public obligations. The instrument must require sharing of intellectual property and know-rights rights generated by public R&D. This should also include commitments to reasonable pricing, and commitments to set aside supply for developing countries. Second, the pandemic instrument must support global distributed manufacturing for medical countermeasures. This should include funding as well as commitments to share medical technology. Finally, transparency is essential. Pricing, R&D, costs, delivery schedules, contracts of all medical countermeasures should all be publicly available. One of the great tragedies of the COVID-19 pandemic has been the fact that millions of people have died even after the development of safe and effective vaccines due to a lack of access. We can do better, and the WHO and global community can help us get there.
The Middle East, Eurasia and Africa Influenza Stakeholders Network (MENA	The COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly impacted the world. While great efforts have been made to properly implement the 2005 International Health Regulations, these have proved inadequate in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic. Evidently a significant change is urgently needed to format a step in the translational public health system, where the information regarding preventive measures requires to be disseminated without further delay. All instrumental tools would be so difficult to implement, if we are still not able to seamlessly share information on serious and rapid emerging or reemerging pathogens. One of the serious limitations that many countries have encountered when it comes to preparation and intervention is the poor health structure of areas near borders, especially at the ports of entry and exit. Priority needs to be given to better preparation, early detection and prompt response in the country. The reality is that some countries are bereft of a matured or adequate public health surveillance, preparedness, and response team at their ports. Greater emphasis should be placed on monitoring and action at the borders. That would include, but not be limited to, good infrastructure equipped with a sophisticated technology system, well-trained personnel, rapid risk assessment and communication with the central health authority unit of those countries. In addition, the provision of rapid point of care tests of various pathogens in those areas would certainly be of great value at the same time. We believe that the enhancement of port health, in particular, will significantly affect a change in public health at present and in future.

Organization	Written submission
PATH	The COVID-19 pandemic has revealed gaps in global preparedness and response that should be addressed through an international instrument. Enhanced governance for preparedness and response should address critical gaps in international cooperation including data and early warning systems, incentives to report and share data, and financing to promote scientific collaboration and ensure prompt and equitable delivery of medical countermeasures and broader efforts to strengthen health systems and resilience. PATH strongly supports the inclusion of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) as a substantive element in an international instrument. Prevention, diagnostic tools, and treatment strategies for AMR would augment and complement those required to address future pandemics. An instrument that would strengthen multisectoral One Health approaches to surveillance, data-sharing, and communication to benefit both pandemic preparedness and the response to AMR is needed. PATH also supports an instrument that leverages surveillance innovations such as genomic sequencing and environmental surveillance and that provides financial support for AMR interventions included in National Action Plans for Health Security in low- and middle-income countries. The instrument's monitoring framework should address One Health capacity and risk assessment in ways that co-benefit pandemic preparedness and AMR, with a focus on building country capacity for governance, surveillance and infection prevention and control. PATH is an international nongovernmental organization working to accelerate health equity so all people and communities can thrive. PATH is actively working to strengthen resilience and pandemic preparedness and response in low- and middle-
private	The COVID-19 pandemic organization showed, that centralization of organizational structures and mandating rules and norms was too slow and counter productive, sometimes even worsen the situation for the people. Decentralization and knowledge sharing happened already between February 2020 and June 2020 - since then medication protocols, repurposed drugs and more were available in that time frame. The governance and central dictate of WHO, NGOs and national institutions was counteractive and a desaster. No-Covid strategy, as still followed in China was outperformed significantly by other states that were more open, less mandating etc. Based on this experience, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty that further centralizes responsibility. Furthermore, during the last two years WHO lost any trust of mine, pushing just the vaccine narrative, not being open to alternatives besides of vaccines. Also, the funding of WHO by none government entities and special interest is not trustworthy.
Association of Academic Global Surgery	The COVID-19 pandemic response would not have been possible without personal protective equipment, ventilators and a workforce trained in anesthesia and critical care. Managing the complications of COVID-19 continues to rely on surgical healthcare systems today. The COVID-19 pandemic in fact, highlighted the need for robust surgical healthcare systems as the backbone of pandemic preparedness and response. To make strategic plans globally to prepare for future pandemics, we must have an ongoing understanding of the current status of surgical healthcare systems to consider resource reallocation needs and redistribution. We recommend the conversion of the currently available WHO tools such as, the Situational Analysis to Assess Emergency and Essential Surgical Care into an electronic format and inclusion of these tools in the new international instrument on pandemic response and preparedness. These tools will inform resources availability such as access to oxygen supply and radiology services. Granular data can inform policy and decision making as well as resource sharing and redistribution. Leveraging geographic information systems, the data can be mapped to assist planning for resource mobilization during pandemics and make resource limitations more adjustable. Finally, data on the current status of surgical healthcare systems can synergistically inform development of National Surgical Obstetrics and Anesthesia Plans of each country as suggested by WHA Resolution 68.15, and improve not only pandemic preparedness and surgical care, but also emergency and trauma systems for other disaster preparedness.
PEGE	The COVID-19 pandemic showed, that politicians can be set under very high pressure by the pharma industry for emergency allowance of new vaccines. Normally, the pharma company has to convince the customer to take a new vaccine. Each citizen makes his own decision about the risk of the illness vs the risk of the vaccination. At a law for forced vaccination, the pharma company can concentrate to influence only a few politicians. As the last some month showed, politicians are unable to adapt to new situations. That Omicron is about as dangerous as flu had been a new situation. The dangerous side effects of the vaccines had been a new situation. Both had not been considered by many parliamentarians in Germany. In this case, politicians cannot be seen as representatives of the people, but only as influenced by the pharmaceutical lobby. So: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited
NA	The COVID-19 response process that one governing response is insufficient for individual health. Any agreement proposed should include: 1. Any entity, organization or corporation cannot and will not impede upon the a God given and inalienable rights of each man woman and child in our of any country in/of any nation around the world at any time. 2. A Council cannot include members that profit in any way. 3. Each individual person can and shall make decisions Vincennes their health and that of their offspring.
Self	The covid-19 response proves one governing response is insufficient for individual health. Any agreement proposed should include 1- Any entity, organization or corporation can not and will not impede upon the sovereignty and God given inalienable rights of each man, woman and child in or of any country and/or nation around the world at any time. 2. A Council should not include any members that profit in any way. 3. Each individual person shall and can make decisions regarding the health of themselves and their offspring.
Retired Person	The creators and funders of man-made viruses should be staked out over fire ant nests. Their wealth should be given to people who own Seat motor cars. Their family and friends should be painted with nitric acid, and Mr Putin should decide the fate of this petition.
N/A	The cultural attitudes and attributes of a country must be taken into consideration. For example, adherence to measures such as vaccine passports should not be recommended in societies where this would exclude people without smartphones, or where this undermines liberal principles (such as having a right to partake in society without checkpoints). Demographics and risk profile of a population must be taken into consideration, rather than countries treated uniformly. For example, in African countries where the median age might be 18, mass vaccination for a virus such as covid, which almost uniquely impacts the over-60s, will not be a cost-effective intervention. Moreover, prevention through food and lifestyle should be promoted rather than an over-reliance on pharmaceutical products. Finally, any treaty must respect national sovereignty and not make anything conditional on adherence to WHO guidance or edicts.
Citizen	The current pandemic has shown that scientific opinion can vary greatly, with conflicting opinions as to severity and proposed courses of action. Vaccines and lockdowns, for example, have been shown to be harmful, particularly to young people. And the current attempt to eliminate Covid in Shanghai is, by most accounts, not feasible, and has resulted in human rights violations and unsafe conditions for its 26 million residents. As a result, any agreement must guarantee that nations and individual citizens have full knowledge of the potential harm of any proposed course of action, and guarantee that they have the ability to evaluate and reject it as they see fit.
general public	The current pandemic has shown the massive misinterpretation of the concept of health as being only the absence of a decease. While lock-downs and other drastic measures to mitigate the spread of the infection may have been effective to some extent, substancial collateral damage on a large scale can be observed. Negative effects of policies in the wake of the pandemic are not only medical and psychological, but also touch upon basic principles of political freedom: It is evident, that many governments around the world have systematically used the situation to deny the public basic rights and freedoms and some even abused the pandemic to limit the right to expression and peaceful protest of their citizens. Mass hysteria encouraged by sensationalist media has led to unbalanced and disproportionated responses to the crisis by political leaders around the globe. Thus, I do suggest, that the most important international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should focus on the avoidance of panic and the protection of political rights and freedoms around the globe, especially in times of a pandemic. Further it is very important to protect the freedom rights of children. This does not limit of course the necessity to monitor the potential outbreak of infectious deceases on a global scale, which should be the primary task of the WHO with regard to pandemics instead of actually interfering into state politics. However, it would be beneficial for any international health crisis in the future, if adequate public funding of health systems would be promoted.
Self Inc	The current pandemic was caused by research at the Wuhan Institute of Virology. Rather than exposing this the WHO assisted in covering up the facts. I have lost all respect for the WHO and the CDC. Just stop lying. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Individual	The current proposals are extremely concerning and go against fundamental scientific and democratic principles. If this is not scrapped entirely I would request the following changes: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	The dangers of the vaccine speak for themselves. Do not enslave us to a corporate based profit agenda.
Filmacademy	The decision pro or contra vaccination need to be free in choice, not open to public and there should never be any discrimination for people who don't follow the vaccination advertisements. The vaccinations should cost money, just like everything else in the world, not paid by public money. Please ensure proper information about moderate and serious side effects and deaths caused by vaccinations. Again like for any other pharma product, too.
Individual	The decision when to declare a health emergency, as well as the decision on how to respond to a health emergency, needs to be done at the country level, by the government duly elected by the people of that country. At no point shall the WHO or any other randomly assembled international body have the authority to force a specific course of action on the citizens of an autonomous country. A one-size fits all approach never works, and countries should have the freedom to do their own research into what strategies work best for them in case of a health emergency.
Privat	The decision, should stay in national competency. PCR tests aren't valid,and shouldn't be used alone. Death numbers and symptoms must be related. An international multi disciplinary team must be included for every decision. Permanent evaluation of all decisions. Stopping to use fear as an instrument of government. Honest and fact based information. No pressure on the media.
Ingenieurbüro Temes	The decisions regarding the activities of pandemies should always made by the regional goverments.
None	The decisions that affect Americans should be made by Americans not foreign bodies. All decisions should be made close to home. It would be very dangerous for Americans to give up their decisions making choices to anyone else. America is a free country and their freedoms should be protected at all costs. It would be dangerous for Americans to allow other nations to make decisions for us regarding our health or anything else. I say no to the WHO.
private	The definition of "pandemic" should be reset to the old definition. With Covid19 we never had a real pandemic with thousands of deaths! Covid19 was an illness like influenca – we had the same problems as every year. The measurements were not relative and appropriate. The restrictions of our fundamental rights are not compatible with international and national legislation. These requirements should never occure again! Every land should be able to make its own rules!
private	The definition of "pandemic" should be reset to the old definition. With Covid19 we never had a real pandemic! Covid19 was a moderate illness like influenca – we had the same problems like every year. The measurements were not relative and appropriate. The restrictions of our fundamental rights are not compatible with international and national legislation. These requirements should never occure again!
Privat	The definition of "pandemic" should be reset to the old definition. With Covid19 we never had a real pandemic! Covid19 was a moderate illness like influenca – we had the same problems like every year. The measurements were not relative and appropriate. The restrictions of our fundamental rights are not compatible with international and national legislation. These requirements should never occure again!
private	The definition of "pandemic" should be reset to the old definition. With Covid19 we never had a real pandemic! Covid19 was a moderate illness like influenca – we had the same problems like every year. The measurements were not relative and appropriate. The restrictions of our fundamental rights are not compatible with international and national legislation. These requirements should never occure again! I want human rights ! I remember on the "Nürnberger Kodex" ! I want that the german basic law will be respected !
Privat	The definition of "pandemic" should be reset to the old definition. With Covid19 we never had a real pandemic! Covid19 was a moderate illness like influenca – we had the same problems like every year. The measurements were not relative and appropriate. The restrictions of our fundamental rights are not compatible with international and national legislation. These requirements should never occure again!
Individual	The definition of a pandemic involves a significant rise in deaths. This should not be confused with the number of 'cases,' determined via testing. Method based on 'testing' is subject to variables such as: who/how many are tested, the test used (a PCR test was not intended for use in definitive diagnosis), laboratory process, the interpretation of test results. Too many variables, makes any outcome subject to prejudiced interpretation and the potential manipulation of statistics. This would not present a clear picture, as in the case of a pandemic. The definition must be returned to being a significant number of deaths over international borders, where the cause is clearly the virus/bacteria and not a non-specific co-morbidity. Under Covid, the professional opinion of Medical Doctors was overruled. Medical practitioners hold knowledge of patient requirements. The 'state' must never be allowed to overrule a doctor's sense for what is best for their patient. The course of a pandemic will be different for each country/region/individual It is impossible and ineffective to make one rule applicable for all. National governments must retain the right to take their own course of action. With any new illness, diversity in approach is necessary to determine without censure what are effective treatments. We learn from each other, as individuals, as doctors, as countries. Diversity and advancement in knowledge will be undermined by a world-wide treaty. A 'one-size-fits all' will not be for the benefit of all. A WHO worldwide treaty will be an unprecedented step towards centralisation of authority, authoritarian approaches, with 'interests' involved, which is contrary to health and to democratic freedoms and values.
Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland Kreisverband Dithmarschen	The definition of a pandemic was modified by the WHO in 2009. Now the death rate is not longer part of the definition of pandemic. That is not acceptable because in this way it is possible to make a normal influenza a pandemic and undermine civil rights.
A Concerned Human	The definition of pandemic must be agreed and clearly communicated to all. The seriousness of the "disease" must be accurately tracked and communicated. Death by or death with. Lockdowns, mask mandates, curfews, border restrictions need to make epidemiological sense backed up by truthful data by area. All restrictions must be applicable to ALL, no-one should benefit by the demise of another. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The protection of livelihoods by area must be at the forefront of policy. Governments need to be held liable for the destruction of livelihood. Vaccine manufacturers and governments need to be held accountable for vaccine adverse reactions. Vaccines must remain a personal choice - especially given the performance of the COVID vaccination program.
Kurz IT GmbH	The definition of pandemic needs to be extended to include a minimal required level of threat. The last decision must be taken by country governments, otherwise we break the democratic system. (Or are there any decision makers in the WHO which are elected by people?) All suggested measures must be bound to scientific proof. Evaluated by an independent institution. The WHO can not be considered as such. (Cherry picking trials has the same effect as not telling the truth)
UK citizen	The definition of pandemic needs to be URGENTLY defined: It is unreasonable that any WHO involvement is needed or necessary. If this goes ahead there must be the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. Bodily autonomy to be upheld at all times. No suspension of human rights under any circumstances. A truly free and unbiased media. Scientific debate to be upheld and allowed at all times. No more lockdowns under any circumstances.
-	The definition of pandemic should be altered. The disease with mortality rate about 0,2 % (covid 19) should not be allowed the pandemic-definition. The judiciary should not be moved out of each independent country to the organization in which the members are not selected in free elections. Each country should have the right to its own legislation respecting the country's constitutional legislation and human rights including freedom to make decisions concerning each human's own body without forcing the free human being to take part in any medical experiment.
-	The definition of pandemic should be altered. The disease with mortality rate about 0,2 % (covid 19) should not be allowed the pandemic-definition. The judiciary should not be moved out of each independent country to the organization in which the members are not selected in free elections. Each country should have the right to its own legislation respecting the country's constitutional legislation and human rights including freedom to make decisions concerning each human's own body without forcing the free human being to take part in any medical experiment.
None	The definition of pandemics should include high sevearity (CFR) again. All human and citisen rights have to be recognised and can NOT be dependant on NGOs or other not elected organisations. Remember the Nürnberg codex and come back to your senses. Logic and good practice in research, manufacturing, politics, gouvernment, law and medcin. I am More then disappointed in what is going on in the last Few years!
none	The degree to which a democracy has a real function as an actual democracy, falls proportionally to the increased distance to the authority. The degree of individual responsibility increases proportionally to the increased degree of actual democratic influence. A people that experiences short distance to the decision-making authority, and therefore a high degree of actual democratic influence, will maintain high degree of sense of responsibility. Responsible people experiencing influence on the decision-making authority will maintain a high degree of trust in this authority. Mutual trust and sense of responsibility is crucial for the resilience of a people. Thus, supernational authority will work against its purpose. A high degree of local decision-making as response to international concerning issues will result in a multiplicity of diverse response of responsible people, well-adapted to the local condition, with high degree of trust to its local democratic authority, and thus result in a higher degree of international resilience. Abandon the path of supernational dictation of policymaking and authority!
private person	The democratic elected government must still be able to represent the will of the people, e.g. dening vaccination because of some reasons like ineffectiveness or potential harm. Human rights must still be have the highest priority - forcing to vaccination must not be permitted. Pharmaceutical companies and government officials must be responsible for vaccine damage by law.
None	The document being prepared should not be binding on any country or any states or territories of any country. One lesson learned from the Covid experience is that the advice of the WHO led to many more deaths than would have happened without it. The document should only provide that the WHO provide advice for pandemics and should have monthly meetings open to all interested parties including doctors and researchers regarding the particular pathogen to get ongoing information about early treatments. Physicians who are successfully treating patients with the pathogen should be encouraged to give input not censored. Any further vaccines developed should never be mandated and should never be given emergency use authorization. Full lengthy and open testing of vaccines must take place before being approved for use and vaccines should not be used during a pandemic as they will only lead to increased numbers of variants of the pathogen as happened with Covid. The document should also not provide WHO with the authority to recommend that any businesses be closed or any lockdowns at all. Any attempt by the WHO to have the authority to dictate any action should not be included in the document as it will lead to extreme resistance which may lead to the disillusion of WHO.
Self	The document should contain the following 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
humanity	The document should include the right of national and local governments to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Doherty Institute	The Doherty Institute is a joint venture between the University of Melbourne and the Royal Melbourne Hospital focusing on all aspects of infection and immunity across research, education and public health. We strongly support the WHO's initiative to establish a legal instrument to govern pandemic preparedness and response. We welcome an open and robust review of the lessons learnt from COVID-19 and other pandemics to formulate the best approach to the governance of re(emerging) infectious disease threats. Substantive principles we see as critical include: 1. Recognizing the fundamental importance of research and innovation in pandemic preparedness and response. 2. Ensuring the legal framework addresses inequities in access to consumables (e.g. diagnostic tests, laboratory consumables and personal protective equipment), biomedical interventions (e.g. vaccines, antibodies and antiviral drugs) and financial resources for health. 3. Bolstering the global laboratory system as a core element of health system strengthening. 4. Further strengthening the timely reporting of local outbreaks with potential global health impact. Rapid notification from member states to the WHO is a fundamental precursor to effective pandemic response. 5. Ensuring the WHO is nimble to emerging information and scientific evidence that may seem contrary to the status quo. Advisory structures must be diverse and responsive. Please refer to two further submissions from the Doherty Institute related to research infrastructure and laboratory capacity.
AFP Global Solutions	The efforts and inputs of the WHO are noble and essential in helping governments determine the optimum pandemic strategies for their citizens. The most substantive element to include in pursuing its mission and preparing and implementing pandemic measures is that the WHO should always respect the boundaries between itself as an international advisory organisation and a) the sovereign boundary of democratic Nation States and b) the inalienable human rights of individual citizens. The rights of (b) cannot be overridden and signed away by a proxy agreement between the WHO and governments who claim to fully represent (b). Accordingly, any powers to enforce vaccination and restrict movements of citizens must not be made according to a global template which ignores democratic differences between States. Equally the preservation of the individual to make their own health decisions and go about their own business unhindered is fundamental and must be preserved. Education, not punishment, coercion, imprisonment.
Citizen of Australia	The elected government members within each country should be responsible for any pandemic preparedness and response, because an international body that is not voted for by the people is not answerable to the people. Public communication and interaction is the only way.
NONE	The Elected UK Government does not need an international response or treaty. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights of individual countries. No NON Elected body should have control over the actions of an elected government. Governments should remain loyal to the people why serve and who elected them and not be controlled by the WHO. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio surveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
Citizen	The element of bodily autonomy and the right to make informed medical decisions needs to be included instead of vaccine and mask mandates that force or coerce individuals.
Me	The element of substantial evidence that needs to be involved in a handling the pandemic is the importance of FREEDOM and the actual safety of the individual NOT unelected officials trying to make rules that are meant to harm people without regard to human life!!
None	The element that any recommendation by the WHO is only advisory in nature and not binding on any member state. "Lockdowns" have proven ineffective in containing the spread of the COVIC-19 virus and have been economically and socially destructive, and thus should not be included in any pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual citizen	The element that needs to be at the core of any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, is maintaining the autonomy of each nation on this planet. The international instrument's role in a pandemic situation should be to gather scientific data and make suggestions to the nations of the world. How each nation proceeds, should remain their decision only. Even with the best of intensions, no one entity should be given any control over the sovereign countries of the world. Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness should be an advisory role only.
Uni Hospital ltd	The elements concerning preparedness for pandemic events must include the following National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. No influence on decisions of the countries by pharmaceutical companies is allowed.
Beaconsfield Farm Ltd	The elements included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be strictly limited to advisory role only. There is no place for restricting a nations autonomy, as every nation and it's people are different, and they are best able to make their own decisions. For example my country New Zealand shut it's border and this proved to be a good decision for New Zealand, by avoiding early Covid variants. Sweden did the opposite and this uniquely worked for them, and they also had a much lower death toll than countries following WHO, CDC, NIH advice. It has been disaster for the countries that followed the constantly changing advice of WHO CDC NIH. The WHO illustrated for us once again what a major blunder it is, to ignore and suppress the concept of natural immunity and attempt to provide a leaky waning vaccine as a panacea. Why can't WHO learn from the constant rushed vaccine disasters such as 1976. 2009, and many others. There is no place for a prescriptive international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, this is what we have learnt once again.
Private person	The elements mentioned below should be part of this pandemic preparedness instrument: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the full right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This means also no one with even the smallest conflict(s) of interest is allowed to influence the process.
ASEAN Regional Consultant	The elements must provide a solution to the problems driving this process. Pandemics are no different from any massive-transboundary societal disruptor. Where WHO has failed, the New Instrument must work. It must work for the interest of the people, inclusively and equitably. A high-level governance for effective coordination and collaboration of all relevant sectors, not only health, is paramount. While WHO should remain as the health sector oversight, a higher multisectoral governance is sought. The International Instrument must not come out as just health sector-centric- it must be Comprehensive Human Security-Centric. The overall oversight and leader must be at the highest rank, i.e., the same as the UN Secretary General. Being focused on comprehensive-security, I may appear to be alluding to revamping the UN- this is an important consideration. The focus of the Instrument must be aligned and in congruence to the 17 SDG's. It must be overarching the IHR2005, that means IHR shall only be a Health Subset. The demand for countries to adhere to cross-cutting core capacities must be strict as to scope, timeline, and extent, and with imposition of severe sanctions to non-compliant states. Perhaps what we need is a supreme Global Security Alliance, e.g., a World Peace and Prosperity Organization (WPPO). In this regard, we have to ensure that where the UN is failing, a WPPO shall have to succeed. The world may have to let go of the UN, even just as a symbolic gesture that we mean business. Thank you
Professor of Physics	The elements needed are: - souverenity of each individual - free choice concerning medical treatment in agreement with the Nuremberg codex - souverenity of each national state - respectation of ALL human rights for ALL persons - ensuring democratic decision processes under all circumstances - full liability of pharma industry in all regards, in particular concerning any injection or other things given to people Prof. Martina Hentschel
Private Person	The elements of Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies, must be included.
None	The elements should be: National and local leadership retain full autonomy. Reserve the right to base decisions on what is best for own people. Ability to opt out of any portions of the agreement. An open and transparent process. Measures should not be influenced in the process by any pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The elements should include factual information without bias, hysteria, or agenda, dispersed to all citizens, as well as the opportunity to make one's own opinion regarding what that information means to the individual without pressure, mandates, laws, or military action forcing one to go against one's decision for action.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of USA	The elements should include: The free will and rights of people to make their own healthcare choices and decisions on what is best for the health of themselves and their family. Guidelines should be given but NO treaty should be made that takes those rights away. The bodies that make those recommendations will not have ties to any pharmaceutical companies and labs, either financial or political. ALL treatment of future diseases need to be taken into account and given/made available to help stop further spread and/or treat the disease. we must not rely on one or two. Ban all research that genetically changes a virus or bacteria that makes it more dangerous.
Citizen of Canada	The elements that I feel are important in a new international pandemic response are: 1) Nations and smaller local governments can opt out of it without penalty. 2)The response is not influenced by pharmaceutical companies. Thank you for listening.
General Public	The elements that I feel should be included in pandemic preparedness and response are: -Preparedness in preventative measures to prevent a pandemic from occurring in the first place by supporting healthy, ethically produced foods grown in small communities and teaching and encouraging people in creating their own permaculture to reduce dependency on the nutritionally devoid foods mass produced on over-used soil full of pesticides from GMO seeds. In other words, to support the healthy immune systems of the people with good healthy ecological environmental practices taught in schools. - In Response to the pandemic should it happen, an open, transparent process needs to be used that gives the people of the world full disclosure via unbiased media of options not only provided by pharmaceutical companies or other global health organizations that appear to be profiteers and a great conflict of interest but also includes other healthcare people that are holistic and use natural medicines and methods. Ensure there is no censorship of health care providers so as not to create fear of conspiracies. Fear creates anxiety which compromises the immune system as I am sure you are aware. Make it a no stone unturned methodology from the many types of practitioners around the world. Exclude no one. - That each and every person has a sovereign right to choose the best treatment for themselves amongst these, now many, options in respecting and honouring their human rights without coercion or force. - Make love, respect, kindness and compassion integral in any pandemic-type of response. Thank you for listening.
University of Westminster/ student	The elements that must be of highest consideration is WHO would deliver a mandate that would be 100% respectful of our human rights and extremely mindful of the democratic nature of all our governments. We can overcome and control pandemics as well as respecting every human's voice.
N/A	The elements that should be included are: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to openly discuss, without consequence, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. If any companies are involved in any part of the response, all data pertaining to interventions are to be made fully and swiftly available to the public for risk-benefit analysis.
NZ public	The elements that should be included are: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * Nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * Measures are included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * The instrument needs to allow that every person has the right to make their own health choice without consequence to freedom.
Individual	The elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. The right of sovereign states to determine whether or not to implement in whole or in part any such instrument. The democratically elected ministers of states should have the final say to decide whether to implement all or some of any such instrument. This sovereign right cannot be ignored and this treaty should definitely not be forced upon democratically elected governments by an organisation like WHO which is not democratically elected. 2. Freedom of speech and free and full debate on all aspects of dealing with future pandemics should he guaranteed light of the suppression of open debate and the control of the media narrative seen in the Covid pandemic 3. All stages of the implementation of this treaty should allow for states and citizens to vote on same. It us concerning hiw little effort has been name to make people aware of this proposal. I have seen NO main strem media articles discussing it. 4. There should be robust procedures to ensure that those individuals or companies likely to profit from a pandemic are precluded from unduly influencing any decisions related to pandemic response eg. Pharmaceutical companies or medical supply companies. 5. In line with the Nuremburg principles the instrument should ensure the use of any vaccines is VOLUNTARY. Mandatory vaccination should be banned to avoid discrimination. If one dies not have the right to bodily integrity one is merely a slave.
American Citizen	The elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include: That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. And, all individuals will maintain their full bodily autonomy and can not be forced to be treated, quarantined, shut in, or vaccinated against their will.
Children's Health Defense	The elements that should be included in pandemic preparedness and response include the following: freedom to choose one's own health decisions, freedom to communicate with others, and freedom to assemble with others. First, the freedom to choose one's health decisions is at the heart of informed consent. Every individual must have the freedom to accept or refuse any and all treatment, and to choose things he or she thinks is beneficial. Furthermore, the people in each country need the freedom to share their thoughts and learning with others, without any censorship or obstruction. Finally, all people must have the freedom to assemble with any and all people the wish to be with. This freedom must not be infringed by people in positions of power.
Homo Sapien	The elements to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response plan I firmly believe should include the following: •National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. God Has Already Won the Battle, May you deliberate and hear the people of the world as we come together over the sake of our autonomy Mandy
na	The elements which should be included are; Total transparency of science involved No Mandates whatsoever No automatic triggering of vaccine purchase contracts return to previous definition of Pandemic, herd immunity, vaccine. No private patent of vaccine No censorship whatsoever No 'one size fits all' solutions per country No lockdown No restiction of movement No Great Reset No WEF
Easy Virtual Assistance	The entire dangerous, unelected WHO global institution should be dismantled forthwith in order to install caring, competent, empathic medical professionals dedicated to serving humanity and protecting our health against the freewheeling excesses of corrupted bureaucrats, those who are driven by a. chasing money and b. the opportunity to abuse the power that's been vested in them. I say this out of a desire to serve and protect our children, who will at some point in the future be needed to pick up the pieces and rebuild a world where human beings look after and care for each other.
Parkinson's uk	The entire idea of an international response to a pandemic isn't something people will get on board with. Especially given this comes on the back of the covid 19 response, which clearly demonstrates that countries who did not respond with lockdown measures/masks/social distancing have the same number of deaths as those that have destroyed their economies with measures. You cannot control the spread of a virus with such measures nor should you try to. Herd immunity will be gained through allowing any virus to spread in the population and protecting the vulnerable with additional support for their health. Any global response needs to be about building health not destroying economies. Providing subsidised organic fruit and vegetables, gyms, vitamins and education around living a healthy lifestyle is the only true way to have a healthy global population. Natural immunity and string immunity systems are the way forward.
Littlehampton and District Guild of Co-operators: For Peace and The Commo	The epidemiological evidence in, say, 'The Spirit Level' book, tells us that resilience to illness is produced by more income-equal Countries. Accordingly we propose a plan to sustainably produce greater income equality. The plan is termed Co-operative Socialism. Two web-sites serve to explain that plan: www.co-operativesocialism.org and www.interestfreemoney.org . There are videos and a spoken radio interview on YouTube. The radio interview (which starts after a few minutes of the previous item) is called 'Reform The City of London says Ocvupy's John Courtneidge'. We urge the WHO and UN and all its member Nations to: A) Publicly debate this plan B) Implement this plan immediately before any new pandemic breaks out C) Annually audit, modify if necessary, this plan and celebrate as an act of public education, its successes: increased longevity, good health, happiness, reduced violence and greater well-being. For The Littlehampton and District Guild of Co-operators: For Peace and The Common Good, John Courtneidge (Dr)
H&F	The essential component of the response to a future threat of a pandemic is the free sharing of uncensored information. Good science can only be achieved by freely being challenged by competing ideas. An open forum for sharing information relevant to the pandemic and to approaches to treat infected individuals and prevent its spread. Nations are sovereign and must remain free to adopt strategies to fight the pandemic threat. Free exchange of ideas and data will empower them to make better and ultimately the best choices. Finally, there should not be any mandates on individuals except as narrowly allowed by the sovereignp nation in which they reside.
European Public Health Alliance (EPHA)	The European Public Health Alliance welcomes this opportunity for engagement on the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, which should reflect lessons learnt during COVID-19 while being forward looking: 1. Meaningful participation of civil society should be ensured during treaty negotiations and as part of the treaty. 2. Transparency (in line with the World Health Assembly resolution WHA72.8 of 2019), and accountability must be guaranteed. 3. Solidarity and equity, including equitable access to medical countermeasures should be enabled. 4. The treaty should address antimicrobial resistance (AMR), a global health issue associated with approximately 4.95 million deaths globally per year, endangering many health interventions. The COVID-19 pandemic has already affected AMR, including antimicrobial use, infection and prevention control adherence, and health provision continuity for non-communicable diseases, with the full impact yet to be fully known. The treaty should ensure responsible access, education and use of medicines, and sharing of information and resources, so that healthcare systems are better equipped to manage cross border health threats. As AMR is already widely regarded as a 'silent pandemic', the Treaty should include specific AMR measures such as setting target and performance indicators and supporting countries to develop and implement national AMR action plans. Measures should be prevention focused, and utilise a One Health approach, as microbial diseases can be spread to humans at all stages of the supply chain, with animals more susceptible to infection spread when they are kept in a poor environment, on a poor diet, or under stress.
öserr.	The evaluation and implementation of measures must be in the hands of democratically legitimized remain representatives of the people. Curtailing people's basic rights should never be controlled institutionally, since democratic influence is lost.
US Citizen	The events of the last 2 years have proven that the WHO is beholden to pharmaceutical companies. The individual is and always must remain sovereign to choose their own medical care, or deny all medical care: it is a violation of the Nuremberg code to force medical treatments and experiment upon people without their full understanding of the treatments, and informed consent. This is not possible when treatments are experimental, as were all the mRNA interventions; additionally, safe and established treatment protocols have been vilified by pharma and state propaganda Nations are answerable to the people of the individual nations,and absolutely must remain sovereign in their ability to choose their response to any kind of medical issues, and nations are bound by the Nuremberg code and Natural Law to respect the will of the individual to choose or deny any treatments. The global pharma cartel is a tantamount to an organized crime syndicate and the WHO is a captured regulatory agency.The lockdowns and coerced injection programs have done more damage to humanity than any flu or seasonal respiratory illness ever has, and was clearly a massive technocratic power grab intended to weaken all nations and enact unnecessary and intrusive medical surveillance. Please abandon this treaty immediately.
None	The events of the past two years have shown, at least to me, that there should not be a global approach to any pandemic. The diverse geographical nature of the continents and countries is such that each country should decide what actions to take and these would be dependent on various factors within each country. There is no "one size fits all" policy to a health emergency that is appropriate. It has become abundantly clear that a global application is not effective, as we see an event stretching over more than a two year period. Without going into the specifics of the failed practices that have been implemented, suffice to say that scientific credibility has been seriously eroded, as has trust in government, media and public health officials. It is to be hoped that this event has been a lesson in what not to do. The divisive nature of the adopted policies has been destructive to health, society and the economy. The future of individual health cannot be trusted to one organisation.
Concerned Citizen	The evidence over the past 2 years has made it clear that WHO is not an unbiased independent organization and has been heavily influenced by lobbying and a conflict of interest, e.g. from the CCP and pharmaceutical companies. The corrupt Wuhan lab leak probe is just one of many examples of biased decision making, as well as pivots on asymptomatic spread and mask mandates going against a vast body of influence pre 2020 based on influenza models. Thus, WHO must not extend their power and must ensure their decision making is transparent, accountable and not unduly influenced.
Concerned citizen	The evidence that lockdowns do very little if anything at all to prevent spread of disease is very clear now. Evidence of serious harm is also clear - including delay of treatment to illnesses arguably more serious than C-19 which has a 99% survival rate in the vast majority of the population. Cancer and heart disease are examples of this. Lockdowns, as well as masks which also do not prevent transmission also have now been proven to cause harm to children who are now experiencing developmental social delays. Focused protection would have been a much more reasonable approach, but with those vulnerable maintaining their right to choose whether or not they shield - my body my choice. The rest of society must be able to continue with their lives to maintain normality for the children and for the sake of the economy. The mental health crisis and suicides are also elements that must be considered. The needless crushing of the travel and hospitality industry. People dying alone. Precious time lost that people will never gain back - this cannot ever be allowed to take place ever again. The rushing out of experimental vaccines and the efficacy and adverse events MUST be closely examined. Personal bodily autonomy must be kept sacred in a free society.
Private	The existence of one single treaty is the incorrect approach, there are many different factors involved in a disease outbreak, and it makes no sense to prescribe a one size fits all approach. National governments are in place to manage the response in individual countries, and this is how it must stay. If a centralised approach is adopted, this will cause as many problems as it solves. We have already seen what a lockstep approach to covid brought about, utter chaos, economic and social devastation, and a wave of mental health problems that will be with us for decades to come. The approach taken by all governments paid little to no attention to anything other than covid, here in the UK, our pandemic preparedness plan was tossed out of the window on day one ! From there, the entire Western world lost its mind, seemingly trying only to outdo each other in terms of who could trample on its population hardest, and for longest. This continued even when it was blindingly obvious that covid was nowhere near as serious as was first suggested. I simply do not see how handing any control in these situations to an entity still further away from the situation on the ground will help, on the contrary, it will hinder. The important aspects are that peoples human rights are not sacrificed in such circumstances, national governments are free to respond to their situations as best suits their circumstances, and nobody should be receiving any indemnities against liability, as this basically guarantees that peoples human rights will be abused.
None 31	The existing system in place is adequate. This proposal is simply a way of enabling a new system of world government to be installed without the discussion so urgently needed if such a thing is being considered. Please do not insult our intelligence.
private	The experiences during the last two years give cause for serious concern. Particularly, I want to note the following points: Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden everywhere, alternative treatments have to receive attention and to be provided to all patients. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. Critical voices must be included in the decision-making body. Thank you very much for your attention!
AD Product & Solution Implementation - BT Smartnumbers	The extent of overreach regarding the WHOs suggestion is alarming. Natural immunity has been shown throughout history to stand the human race in good stead. What the WHO is proposing undermines the beauty of our own ability to manage our health at an individual level. As has been seen in the last two years a one rule global pandemic response and preparedness plan is counter productive and too open to corruption. Regards
GreenMedInfo	The fact that policies making it easier for an international body to declare a "state of health emergency" thus giving it full authority to implement policies is very dangerous and not helpful. Health decisions should always be between a person's health care provider and that person. Furthermore, health emergencies need to be regulated nationally, even locally as conditions vary greatly. Putting such power in the hands of very few decision makers is never a good idea and especially when it comes to people's health. I am strongly opposed to the proposed international treaty in regards to health response.
none	The fact that there is even talks about forming a response that would remove sovereignty of nations is an abomination. This should never be allowed, no matter how globally connected you think we are at this point, because as we have seen with many pandemic responses such as Australia's, China's, Italy's, Canada's, and many states in the US, a disease will be used to consolidate power to a centralized authority that is usually unelected as is the case with public health agencies. Governors and leaders will use endless emergency acts to hold their power, eliminating the power that legislative bodies carry to represent the people. This is an attempt yet again to use a virus to control people. I as a US citizen cannot express enough how much I am against a centralized body such as WHO attempting to override sovereign people. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign Self	The fact that this instrument is being discussed by the WHO an unelected, privately funded organization gives me great concern. Individual human rights must remain inalienable whether an emergency or not. This includes complete bodily autonomy and an individual's right to choose what happens with their body and the complete right of individual to opt out of any medical treatment. Discrimination in any form for individual choices shall not be tolerated.
none	The farther extended the decision making is from those directly affected, the more diluted and disassociated is the outcome. We need proximity, not exclusivity
United States Citizen	The fight against Covid has been riddled with mistakes every step of the way. Many of these mistakes were slow to come to light because of the concentration of authority in the hands of the few. We do not need more centralized and absolute power. We need more checks and balances to insure those determining health policies do not have conflicts of interests. Nobody determining health policies should stand to benefit financially (in particular, pharmaceutical companies, hospitals, health insurance companies, and organizations party to medical research and/or grants) nor should health policy be allowed to advance any other political agenda, including climate change. Every nation's sovereignty must be recognized, leaving governance of every nation to their elected officials. While a spirit of cooperation could be helpful, it cannot be done for purposes of coercing people into unwanted medical procedures. Health emergencies cannot be used to put people in quarantine camps or to deprive them of their rights to live and work as a free people. Every person's right to privacy and bodily autonomy must be preserved. Legal recourse must exist for any attempt to abridge human rights in the name of a health emergency. Every nation must be free to refuse any treaty clauses that threaten their sovereignty or the rights and interests of their citizens. Every nation's needs, capabilities, resources, and values differ and a one-size-fits-all approach will not work for the whole world.
Doil	The final decision should always be left to the people in the single countries. It can't be that a health organization can override local government's and the citicens' decisions over their lifes. I and my family do not want that.
VFF	The financial lobby of Pharmacological Companies to sway National medical decisions must be banned.
Psychotherapie18 Magdalena Hörndler	The first & foremost guideline of any international pandemic instrument should be to tolerate individuals' integrity by not forcing a certain type of prevention or treatment onto people, but accepting & offering a variety of options for prevention and treatment, including less invasive methods like testing, masks & distance-keeping to people who don't want to be vaccinated. Also it is extremely important to equally accept, respect & integrate established alternative medicinal systems like TCM & Ayurveda, who have again proven their effectiveness in prevention & treatment of Covid19 when they were applied. They need to be respected as fully valid alternatives to Western Medicine in pandemic treatments. People should be able to choose freely between different functionable medical system & alternative medicine should no longer be discredited.
None	The first "pandemic" was completely botched. There is no longer trust in the three letter agencies to "lead" us through another.
None	The First and foremost is tell the TRUTH and to remember that this is PUBLIC health decisions and should have no involvement in politics! There also needs to be a STRONG standard of verification! All authority should not be given to one but each country should allow their people to make health decisions for themselves!
Individual	The first and most important consideration in any attempt at a new treaty must be to ensure that those involved in making decisions do not have any conflicts of interest. For example, people recommending mass vaccination should not be those with vested interests in vaccine development and manufacture. Secondly, it must be recognised that different parts of the world will need to take different approaches to emergency situations. Therefore any committee on pandemic preparedness should only be able to advise on response; each country/state must retain full autonomy over its own affairs. Thirdly, there must be a 'Red Team' to challenge any recommendations and suggest alternatives. I would suggest an organisation like the World Council for Health.
none	The first and most important element should be how countries that may initially agree to this agenda, can remove themselves from the International Instrument should those country's Governments find their citizens are not in agreement or alignment with their policies. That those Governments MUST withdraw under those circumstances must also be written into the International Instrument. Any Government proved to be NOT carrying out the wishes and directions of their citizens will automatically be permanently excluded from the International Instrument. This uncoupling process must be uncomplicated and with a short maximum time limit which if not adhered to by the WHO (or the controllers of the International Instrument or whatever its final title may be), results in an instant and final severing without any vilification, sanctions or any adverse actions whatsoever. To enable straightforward severing is functional, all funding, donations, finances, salaries and suchlike must be, during the initial agreement, determined to one monthly figure that is paid monthly and will therefore be due only up to the date of termination. Full knowledge of this first and most important basic element of the International Instrument must be made widely available in the language of each country involved, regularly, publicly and permanently, via all media and publications to the citizens of those countries considering or having membership of the International Instrument.
public	The first critical substantive policy that must be placed on the first page of any "international instrument" for pandemic response is the principles enshrined in the Nuremberg Code. The concept that an individual has no rights and will be dictated to in terms of treatments or other changes in civil policy otherwise covered by the laws of that individuals sovereign government must be rejected. Any attempt to proceed along those lines will lead to chaos and further distrust of any "international instrument" which is of questionable need as is. In order to be prepared for any pandemic health emergency, it is absolutely critical that all nations disclose to each other the full extent of their biological research and any pathogens they are "working" on. When we are dealing with mass causalities, there cannot be secrecy or censorship of information as we have seen in the last two years, especially in military related research. These programs must be made public and re-evaluated for risk vs. reward. For all of the so called "gain-of-function" research, the world has not benefited in a noticeable way at all and in fact has likely led to disasters. Finally, any international instrument needs to have assessments of influence. Specifically, there needs to be objective and open investigations into the various forces attempting to create policy. Those with conflicts of interest should be removed from their positions of influence. It is not ethical and has no business in health.
none	The first element is a way for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without any consequence the second is there must be an open and transparent process for all the people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent a global agreement being forced on places where the majority of people do not want it the third is national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make their own decisions that are best for their own people.
private individual	The first element is democratic unbiased participation of all people who are affected by this 'instrument' i.e. every person of the globe should be allowed to vote on the 'instrument' and elements within it. WHO should never have the power to over-ride the right of national and local leadership to make decisions on what is best for their own people. A 'a one size fits all' approach is not appropriate in healthcare and as such, ethnicities and cultures within nations should have full autonomy in decision making including the ability to opt out of any agreements without penalty or consequence. The WHO is not currently free from private and corporate influence, lobbying and finance and therefore is not an ethically responsible organisation to control healthcare, for example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year and in this pandemic. WHO have promoted vaccination over clearly proven effective re-purposed drugs relying on evidence from Pfizer, a company with a known criminal history. Therefore any future agreement needs to include measure that prevent this. Individuals should always have the inalienable human right to refuse medication and treatment without consequence. To conclude the main elements are, transparency, open debate, democratic process and communication to enable localised tailored solutions that respect and put genuine public health first. Failsafe measures are needed against global health profiteers.
None	The first flaw is assuming such a thing should exist. Governmental action in vain attempts to control spread of infectious disease has been seen to be ineffective, oppressive, or both. Since that's apparently not an option, consider 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. No intevention or coercion from foreign powers is justified. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. "Without consequence" is key to preventing coercion. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Again, anyone can opt out without consequence. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The people making the money on it are always going to be in favor of more coercion, otherwise known as violence in pursuit of profits.
Private	The first item on your agenda should be the disbandment of your organisation. WHO's involvement over the past 2 years has shown clearly that your objective is not world health but rather control. WHO aided and abetted by you partners in crime at WEF have demonstrated that your organisations are controled by corporate funding and have no interest in the wellbeing of the general populace. I DO NOT CONSENT to being governed by any unelected global organisation.
New Zealand citizen	The first question should be, "do we ALL think we need this global agreement?" Would that not be a good place to start? I think what needs to be included in any pandemic response, in any response relating to the health and wellbeing of people is that it remain National and localised. Every country will have a difference response, naturally, as their people and circumstances are different to other parts of the world. It should also include no conflict of interest and in no way, should any company be able to profiteer off a pandemic.
King's College	The first question that should be asked is should there ever be such a document. Next it MUST guarantee freedom from forced gene therapies and grant individual rights to accept or reject any medication. States must maintain sovereignty of their own people. No world organization should make decisions for individuals or nation states.
non	The first requirement would be to substantiate and validate the causative agent of a pandemic in the first case. Assumptions that viruses even exist and are the cause of illness and even pandemics need to be challenged and revaluated in an independent environment with scientific controls. When commercial interests and financial incentives become entwined with medical research without impartial oversight, the opportunity for corruption and fraud become ever more present. If the existence of a virus supposedly being the causative agent leading to a pandemic cannot be objectively proven, then it follows that a deeper investigation and a widening of terms of reference needs to be made, with the possibility of psycho-social phenomena being at play.
US citizen	The first 'substantive element' of your 'instrument' should expressly state that the liberty of the individual can never be compromised by any other 'substantive element'.
UK citizen	The first substantive element that should be included is that any alleged new virus or pathogen should be isolated in the genuine meaning of the term- that is it should be isolated from all other biological material- and then proven to cause a given sickness. At that point a risk and benefit assessmet must be presented to justify any proposed measures. No unaccounatble supranational body like the WHO should take precedence over more accountable national governments. This is particularly true as the WHO's biggest single donor is the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation -when indirect donations through GAVI, SAGE, UNICEF and Rotary International are included. No unelected, unaccountable body or foreign nation should wield such influence over the people of the world. The unscientific WHO driven lockdown group-think of 2020 would not have been exposed without the control of independent nations such as Sweden who did not follow that failed policy. The globalist agenda of the WHO and the WEF is becoming known to the people. Those politicians who seek to surrender their national sovereignty under the guise of this treaty to an unelected body such as the WHO are guilty of treason.

Organization	Written submission
NA	The first substantive element which I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is an absolute guarantee and assurance of every individual's sovereign right to make their own individual health decisions, especially in regard to what enters their bodily person in terms of injections, vaccinations, quality of food, air and water. Secondly, having learned from the Covid 19 pandemic the disastrous mental, emotional and economic consequences of isolation, masking (especially of children), and closure of businesses, there should be a substantive agreement to recommend quarantine only for the most vulnerable, and this should ultimately be left to the discretion of those individuals as well, to determine their own risk comfort level. In so far as food production affects on health there should be encouragement for people to raise healthy, organically grown vegetables, fruits, nuts and meats on small family farms or community gardens. Small farms and animals raised in healthy natural settings with clean conditions and ample space to pasture, are not any threat to the environment. Factory farming is another story and should be discouraged or banned, as it poses many threats to the health of populations and the planet. Probably the most effective substantive element which could positively affect the health of the planet and all it's living populations, would be to limit the power, influence, size and wealth of all multinational corporations including the military industrial complexes of nations (NATO, China and Russia all included), the pharmaceutical industry, the fossil fuel industries, the technological and informational industries, and the private banking firms.
Member of the General Public	The first substantive element which I think should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is as follows: The protection of and respect for the human dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms of individuals consistent with existing international human rights law and as laid out in internationally agreed upon ethical codes of conduct such as The Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights. Individual bodily autonomy and sovereignty would need to be protected as an inalienable human right against a backdrop of rapidly advancing scientific and medical advances coupled with the emergence of policy and agreements on pandemic response dictated by international bodies, which would effectively make these fundamental freedoms and rights obsolete. This would include an assurance that no individual would feel any pressure or coercion to surrender their bodily autonomy against their wishes, whether it be by threat of the loss of any basic rights and privileges normally available to them, or negative societal labelling due to their choices. Thank you
TomPranioDesign	The first thing the WHO should do is stay away from any American decision making. We are not a one world government, nor do the majority of people I know want such a thing. The WHO is not an American sanctioned organization and should keep their responses from affecting any US policies. Please, show me the origination of the WHO, and how it has any power over the people of The United States. It is not above the Constitution, nor Declaration if Independence. In other words, stay out of our business, and that goes for the CDC, too.
Self	The focus must be on Health, not coercion and control. All actions must adhere to the provisions of the Nuremberg Code. All voices in science must be openly discussed. ALL research must be disclosed and openly discussed. All conflicts of interests must be disclosed. No doctor treating patients must be silenced or threatened. There must be no monetary bonuses for hospitals for specific diagnoses, treatments or deaths. Time honored practices for isolating Sick persons who are supported by family and medical personnel must be instituted again. No mandates - personalized medicine. No lockdowns for the general population and healthy people. No fearmongering. All companies must be liable for their products (this is basic to capitalism).
Individual senior citizen	The following 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. should be included
Private individual	The following are absolutely essential: 1. National AND local leadership must retain full autonomy and rights to make decisions that they deem best for their own populations. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without negative consequences. 3. An open and transparent process must be designed with the ability for all people of the world to veto and override the global agreement where a majority of the people in an area do not want it. 4. Stringent regulations should be put in place to prevent and criminalise influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers who stand to gain from measures.
AC	The following are elements that MUST be included in any new WHO-managed pandemic instrument: 1. A balanced approach to data gathering from all areas of public health including social wellness measures so that a well-rounded view of potential harms to the measure is understood. 2. National and local leadership retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make pandemic and health decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the new instrument as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on whether measures are implemented. The instrument must include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Full disclosure of all potential connections, biases, conflicts of interest, and economic involvement between the WHO, other governmental agencies, regulatory bodies, and all pharmaceutical researchers, companies, and businesses. 6. Measures that do not allow for influence in the instrument or processes within it by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following are important elements to include in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States citizen	The following are my beliefs about what must be included in this treaty. I believe in freedom and local leadership. I do not believe the WHO can make the same decision for every citizen on the planet. Individuals must be free to make decisions according to the dictates of their conscience and God-given independence and sovereignty—for their health and for their children's health 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following are my beliefs: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and not be held accountable to a larger governing body in any way. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority or even a significant portion of the population, even if a minority of the people do not want it. 4. No decisions for local municipalities, governments or global groups should be made with the influence of all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. all deciding boards should be forced by groups that have differing opinions and those opinions should be given equal voice before voting. Presenting parties should in no way be related to companies or individuals that might profit financially from a decisions. Thanks for your time and consideration! Elizabeth
Individual	The following are my opinions as to what is substantive enough to be included in the "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response": National and local leadership in all consenting countries should retain full autonomy. They are the leaders who should have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, as they see fit, for the good of their people, without consequence. There should be a clearly stated open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Pat Hutchinson
SELF	THE FOLLOWING ARE NECESSARY FOR ANY AGREEMENT: 1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following are needed: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No single entity should have control over issues that affect a diverse world population.
Self	The following are paramount to a fair response should a future pandemic occur; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All 'alternative' and complimentary methods of health care should be given equal opportunity to be made available to assist people in their approach to a pandemic, whether or not they have been approved by the pharmaceutical industry in the guise of science, including homeopathy, Chinese Medicine, Ayurveda, and nutritional supplements. Sincerely Julek Meissner
Independent	The following are reasons in objecting to having WHO to decide for nations and citizens of nations in a pandemic so as to safe-guard the integrity of freedom of choice, freedom from absolute authority, and freedom from the rich and powerful to influence mandates and create unequal segregations, to limit conflicts of interests, and also respecting the differences in health needs and conditions of individuals, and associated risks and benefits that are subject to variations, and to protect against poorly made or outrightly wrong public health policies: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nations worldwide will retain their autonomy and make decisions as to any "C	THE FOLLOWING ARE THE GUIDELINES THAT MUST BE FOLLOWED TO ENSURE DEMOCRACY AND FREEDOM OF SPEECH WITH NO CENSORSHIP BY THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No lobbying will be allowed whatsoever by entities that are motivated by financial gain. 5. Sovereignty of nations must remain independent and with full respect for the autonomy of each and every nation. 6. Last and by no means least, there should initially be a Referendum worldwide with strict measures when collating the votes to determine whether or not the World Health Organization can rightfully declare itself to have any power over sovereign nations.
Human being	The following are the substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response; No person, group, body, government or entity of any kind can infringe upon, suspend or remove the inalienable human rights of another, no matter the "emergency" and no matter the word play or the redefining of words or phrases. And because forcing any medical procedure, medication, innocculation or intervention or preventing the ability to choose any medical procedure, medication, innocculation or intervention infringes upon human rights, any person, group, body, government or entity who attempts to infringe upon anyone's human rights must be charged criminally for doing so. Mandating anyone to do anything against their will is an obvious infringement of human rights. This deems any and all "emergency" measures to be confined to recommendations only, which as we have seen will of course change over time. As we have seen "the science" change and evolve during the current pandemic, we need open discourse, no censorship, free speech and public debate with ALL voices or the TRUE representatives of ALL voices.
ReCreation	The following are vital to any new "international instrument" on pandemic preparedness. 1. National and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards
None - speaking for myself	The following changes are required: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following components should be included in the treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Todd Dickinson
Family Nurse Practitioner	The following concepts should be included in the new WHO Treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SWEEPEASE	The following concerns are extremely important to keep our freedom and liberties intact. Thank you for taking the time. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Minimize financial donations so their influences are kept open and honest without prejudice or bias.
hardwoodclocks.com	The following conditions must be observed: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independant Pediatrician	The following conditions should be in effect with regards to current and future pandemic responses: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Let Doctors be doctors and for us all including patients to maintain our medical freedoms
Individual	The following criteria are critically important to any agreement negotiated: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Weston A Price Foundation	The following criteria should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All God-given individual rights shall be given the highest priority for each person to decide their own bodily integrity, their own choice of medical system and doctor, and their mandatory right to informed medical consent, which includes veto power--without consequence, without discrimination, without coercion, without incentives. 2. Ability of nations and localities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An equal, open, and transparent process with the ability for all the people of the world to vote using fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of global agreement in places were a majority of the people do not want it. In places where this agreement is applied to those who want it, the God-given right to medical choice autonomy of the minority should be prioritized and protected without discrimination. 4. Guarantee measures that do not allow for unequal influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers. Ban all censorship, vilification, and dismissal of facts without debate as violating the rules of scientific compendiums which require free speech and equal, open, and transparent debate. All conflicts of interests—financial, political, power, favors, and otherwise—must be transparently disclosed, including psychological tactics. 5. Restore early 2009 definition of "pandemic" including "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness." Expunge myth of asymptomatic spread.
Who	The following element should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers Dick
Person	The following elements are critical and must be included. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of public	The following elements are essential for any WHO treaty: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. A means of providing checks and balances. Absolute power corrupts absolutely;this must never happen.
Private citizen	The following elements are essential: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements are missing and should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of local municipalities and nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NHS	The following elements are required for International Pandemic Response Treaty: 1) The option for nations to opt out of any and all parts of the plan/treaty such that Nations remain sovereign. 2) Full preservation of all inalienable human rights including freedom of bodily autonomy and the legal right to refuse any medical intervention including vaccination 3) Declaration of all conflicts of interest, full transparency. 4) Declaration of all funding sources and sponsors involved in planning and establishing the treaty. 5) Freedom from influence of any and all pharmaceutical company influence or input to treaty 6)The option to withdraw from the treaty at any stage 7) Substantiated scientific evidence and reasoning of each step free of bias. 8) Freedom to use re-purposed medicines at doctors discretion 9) A mechanism to hold WHO and those involved in establishing the treaty to account for any and all harms caused by any of the interventions implemented as a result of the treaty. There must be a means to recompense those nations and individuals harmed by such a treaty as well as being able to legally hold WHO and the treaty designers responsible for their actions. 10) A mechanism to abolish the treaty should it be shown that it causes significant harm to Nations and their people. 11) Freedom from human experimentation via novel therapies that are not fully trialled and tested by recognised scientific standards. 12) There needs to be Global consent for WHO to establish such a treaty and implement it and without which the WHO does not have the authority to enforce any Nation or person to submit to its treaty's requirements.
Healthcare	The following elements are substantial and should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired Individual who supports the World Council for Health	The following elements be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements must be addressed: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. If these elements cannot be satisfactorily addressed then the WHO must cancel this endeavor for a world wide pandemic treaty.

Organization	Written submission
Person of the world	The following elements must be considered and should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements must be in the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Deutsches Rotes Kreuz	The following elements must be included in a international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - Sovereignty of all States and Countries - Consideration of all Human Rights - Consideration of Self Determination of all the people around the world - No pressure or any violence to be part of any medical treatment
Canadian Citizen	The following elements MUST BE INCLUDED in an international WHO pandemic response: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
45-year Registered Nurse, Indiana/United States, Retired	The following elements must be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: *National and local governing bodies must retain full autonomy: the proposed instrument cannot be used to supercede the laws and regulations of any local, state or national entity; and all governing bodies must retain the right to make and enforce their own decisions about what is best for those governed. *National and local entities must have the right to opt out of and and all portions of the instrument as they see fit, without any legal or extra-legal consequences (such as withholding any and all illness treatment options as found to be effective). *The development process must be transparent and open for all nations and entities to vote upon, and must include failsafe measures to prevent application of the global instrument in nations and/or local entities where a majority of the citizens refuse it. *The development process must be free from influence by any corporate entity, including any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or any other corporate or philantropic entity which benefits and/or profits from global health measures.
Gina Cleans	The following elements MUST be included in the new instrument- 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian Citizen	The following elements must be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for the opportunity to submit.
none	The following elements must be included in the WHO Pandemic Treaty: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be included. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it must be included.. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be included.
private citizen	The following elements must be included in this treaty: 1. National and local leadership must retain complete autonomy regardless of the provisions of the treaty, specifically reserving the right to make decisions based on what the best interests of their citizens. 2. The ability of nation states and their subdivisions to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement at any time and as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote to approve or disapprove of this treaty including failsafe measures that will prevent any application of this treaty in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow the influence of any pharmaceutical company or other global healthcare for-profit company in the writing of or approval process for this treaty.
Holistic Harmony	The following elements must be included to ensure such an instrument doesn't cause more problems than it is trying to solve: •National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements must be included to preserve national autonomy and individual liberty: - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
HUMANITY	The following elements must be included unless you simply intend to be dictators: 1. National/local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations/local municipalities to opt out of any & all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open & transparent process withe ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any & all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Furthermore, if you implement measures without these additions, you should understand there will be a global revolt, harkening back to the French Revolution. People have no intention of becoming enslaved.
Individual	The following elements must be included, or no treaty should exist: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. National and Local sovereignty must be respected. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers 5. No global health / vaccine or social passport or similar system may be imposed. 6. In reality, no treaty is needed, as individual nations and localities can best determine the best response to any future health crisis.
non applicable	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents and people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves. 8.Inalienable rights of all people cannot be restrained, repealed or superseded by human laws.
None	The following elements must be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US resident citizen	The following elements must be included: 1. Elected National and elected local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the will of the people they represent regardless of the path chosen by other nations or jurisdictions. 2. Acknowledge this is a non-binding guidance which specifies that any nation and/or local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions at any time as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of a given nation or local jurisdiction to vote on including failsafe measures that that will specify circumstances, justification and expiration date while specifying that the appliction can not be passed without approval by two-thirds of the public. 4. Specify firewalls to prevent influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company, other health profiteers, education providers or charitable doners.
n/a	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements MUST be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership have to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizens	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Protections for human rights above all else. Mandatory medical interventions must be 'off the table'.
Hartnell College	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO must not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The WHO must be held accountable to their word.
Canadian citizen	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Kathryn Nation
None	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other organizations whose profit will be influenced by these measures
Concerned Citizen	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
American Citizen	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for consideration.
self	The following elements MUST be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The following elements should be included: 5. Complete right of individual to opt out of any inspections, mandates, treatments, and etc. 6. No removal of patients' bill of rights in hospitals, clinics, outpatient settings, and etc. 7. Continued health care access regardless of individuals choice to opt in or opt out of any inspections, treatments, mandates and etc.
Individual	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Bioeconomy Partners	The following elements MUST be included: 1. National and local leadership worldwide retain full sovereignty reserving the legal rights to make decisions regarding the health safety and welfare of their own people. 2. The ability of sovereign nations and local municipalities to exclude themselves from any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. 3. Elimination of any enforcement measures of the global agreement where the local people do not want it. 4. Measures that do NOT allow decision making by any and/all companies, individuals, governmental agencies, stakeholder organizations for the health safety and welfare of the public that have a financial interest of any kind in the sale and distribution of pharmaceutical "remedies."
Bioeconomy Partners	The following elements MUST be included: 1. National and local leadership worldwide retain full sovereignty reserving the legal rights to make decisions regarding the health safety and welfare of their own people. 2. The ability of sovereign nations and local municipalities to exclude themselves from any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. 3. Elimination of any enforcement measures of the global agreement where the local people do not want it. 4. Measures that do NOT allow decision making by any and/all companies, individuals, governmental agencies, stakeholder organizations for the health safety and welfare of the public that have a financial interest of any kind in the sale and distribution of pharmaceutical "remedies."

Organization	Written submission
Individual citizen	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves.
Teacher	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves.
None	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves.
Private citizen	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves.
None	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local popularly-elected leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent voting process. All people must be able to know exactly what is being proposed, and must be able to vote to prevent any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Voting must be allowed to all people who are affected by any proposed rules. All votes must carry the same weight. 5. Voting must not be allowed to those who stand to benefit financially by any rules or measures, including but not limited to those who work for or own drug stores, pharmacies, pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. 6. There must be no way to lobby, pressure, or influence those writing rules we must all abide by. 7. Those writing these rules, and the elected officials enforcing them, must not be allowed to opt out of the rules for any reason. What they make us do, they must do themselves. 8. Inalienable rights of all people cannot be restrained, repealed or superseded by human laws.
Self	The following elements must be included: 1. The instrument must be advisory only 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make there own decisions 3. Nations and localities retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement 4. No ability to influence the process by any and all pharmaceutical cos. or other health care providers or NGOs Thank you for the ability to comment.
private	The following elements must be included: 1. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden 2. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited 3. All measures must take full account of all health interests 4. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests 5. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state 6. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states 7. A decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and untergo a peer-review prozess 8. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance 9. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Citical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. 10. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. 11. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law!
private	The following elements must be included: 1. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden 2. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited 3. All measures must take full account of all health interests 4. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests 5. Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state 6. Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states 7. A decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and untergo a peer-review prozess 8. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance 9. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Citical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. 10. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. 11. Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law!
Australian Citizen	The following elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and for their own citizens and for their own Nation and their own States within including their own municipalities. 2. The ability of nations {All Nations} and All States and all local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions/sections/clauses of the agreement as they – the Nation {All Nations} see fit, without consequence. 3. A fully open and completely transparent process and facility with the guaranteed ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places, including Nations and States and Municipalities, where a majority of the people Do Not want it. 4. Guaranteed Cast-Iron Measures that Do Not allow for any influence at All in the fully open and completely transparent process and facility; by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies, pharmaceutical corporations and ALL other global health profiteers.
Swedish Citizen	The following elements must be included; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements must. be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	The following elements need to be addressed: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired from QB's Taxation Service	The following elements need to be addressed: * That National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * That the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be observed. * That an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it should be included. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should be paramount.
Keller Williams Realty	The following elements need to be addressed: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements NEED to be addressed: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements need to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements need to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	The following elements need to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A (i.e., private citizen)	The following elements ought to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without negative consequences. 3. An open and transparent process including a meaningful opportunity for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that strictly prohibit participation in or influence over the process by pharmaceutical companies or other organizations that, because of the financial incentives (and disincentives) attendant upon their very nature, are not and should not be considered objective or impartial in matters pertaining to the health and wellness of the populations they should be serving rather than exploiting.
N/A - Self	The following elements shall be included: 1. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall retain full autonomy from the WHO, any WHO designated entity, or any other sovereign nation. 2. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, and adopt, any portion of the agreement as they see fit. 5. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide fail-safe measures that shall prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide measures that prohibit any influence in the process by any and all corporate entities of any kind, global health profiteers, and especially pharmaceutical companies.
Individual	The following elements shall be included: 1. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall retain full autonomy from the WHO, any WHO designated entity, or any other sovereign nation. 2. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, and adopt, any portion of the agreement as they see fit. 5. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide fail-safe measures that shall prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide measures that prohibit any influence in the process by any and all corporate entities of any kind, global health profiteers, and especially pharmaceutical companies.
Sovereign Human	The following elements shall be included: 1. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall retain full autonomy from the WHO, any WHO designated entity, or any other sovereign nation. 2. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, and adopt, any portion of the agreement as they see fit. 5. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide fail-safe measures that shall prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide measures that prohibit any influence in the process by any and all corporate entities of any kind, global health profiteers, and especially pharmaceutical companies.
Sovereign Being	The following elements shall be included: 1. All human beings shall retain their God-given right to bodily autonomy, freedom, and sovereignty regardless of any pandemic preparedness or response or any other event. No law made by man or any organization, group, etc. shall supersede the God-given free will of any man or woman on Earth. 2. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make recommendations based on what is best for all human beings. 3. The ability of nations, local municipalities, or individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to make decisions for themselves including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where people do not want it. 5. Measures shall not allow influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company or other global profiteers. In other words, no conflicts of interest are allowed, financial or otherwise. 7. No pandemic preparedness or response shall cause harm to anyone. 8. An individual's freedom of speech shall be protected at all times and all censorship by anyone or any organization is a violation and shall deem the pandemic response null and void until it is restored. 9. An individual's informed consent for any medical treatments or protocols shall be required. 10. No pandemic response shall violate any nation's constitution.
I am a living man representing myself, spouse and children	The following elements shall be included: 1. The absolute right of every living man or woman to have freedom of choice for themselves and their children as to their response to a WHO-declared pandemic and response. 2. National, regional and local democratically elected leaders retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own populations. 3. The ability of nations, regions and localities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all living men and women to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of living men and women do not want it. 5. Measures that prevent and do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free Citizen	The following elements should be : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Race	The Following elements should be considered: 1) National and Local Leadership MUST maintain their own autonomy regarding decisions made by the WHO. The WHO org cannot override the sovereignty of any nation. 2) Each country is able to opt out of the WHO decisions if not in the interest of their Country 3) The WHO international agreement will ONLY be recommendations 4) The WHO international agreement will collect statistical data regarding any pandemic. 5) Data at all levels of detail collected by this agreement will be available on-line to everyone in the world in real time. NOT the USA CDC model of collection and hidden delayed transparency. 6) Will have full disclosure on the WHO's WEB site of all "Conflict of Interests" from any and all organizations, political affiliations, industrial influence/connections, and funding sources of the WHO and incentives of personnel. The intention of this is to assure the WHO is NOT being influenced by individuals or organizations with agendas. Who is working for who. 7) MUST have as a primary statue the ability to have open and transparent free speech and open dialog of scientific studies and research. Where clinical studies and observational studies are discussed in open forum and NOT behind closed doors. 8) MUST include the consideration and ability NOT to have a 'ONE SIZE FITS ALL' approach. Tailored to individuals needs. 9) There WILL NOT be any Global Passport SYSTEMS requirement or collection of personal information 10) Emergency Powers are NOT granted to the WHO 11) Natural Immunity MUST be recognized over vaccinations 12) There MUST be an agreed measurement to qualify a Pandemic emergency.
private citizen	The following elements should be implemented in pandemic preparedness and response going forward: 1. Early treatment and preventative medicine used as a first line of defense and treatment. 2. Recognition of natural immunity. 3. No implementation of proven unsuccessful non-pharmacological interventions including masking, social distancing and lock downs that create more health crises. 4. Only use vaccines that have significant data from trials to prove their efficacy and safety. Implement real time efficient adverse event tracking systems to transparently monitor the vaccines safety. 5. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and what the people want. 6. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 7. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 8. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies. 9. Prioritize the most vulnerable groups for severe disease and mortality. 10. World wide medical professional sharing of data and treatment options.
Center for Unalienable Rights Education, or C.U.R.E. (Not a federal "nonprof	The following elements should be included - IF a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is, in fact, needed: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your attention. - Arthur Stopes, III. / Legislative Analyst and Writer (L.A.W.) My text is hereby presented; not meekly "submitted" to an unelected entity such as WHO.
N/a	The following elements should be included : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
you upleveled llc	The following elements should be included : 1 National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health providers.
Hold Fast	The following elements should be included a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
unitygroup	The following elements should be included as provisions to any document: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
retired citizen	The following elements should be included at all levels of implementation. Thank you 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included in a global pandemic agreement: 1. Protect autonomy of national and local leadership so they can make decisions based on what is best for their specific population. 2. Enable national and local jurisdictions to opt out of any and all elements of the agreement, without consequence. 3. Have in place a transparent process for people of the world to vote against the application of the agreement in places where a majority do not want it. 4. Forbid influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health groups.
Individual	The following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Gary Greek
N/A	The following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kaizen Lifestyle Management	The following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local elected representatives retain full authority and autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their citizens to whom they are accountable. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world (member countries) to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application/enforcement of the global agreement on pandemic preparedness and response in countries where a majority of the people do not support it. 4. Measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers and prohibit any and all conflicts of interest.
private citizen	The following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ovoloco	The following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. An open and transparent democratic process. All the people of the world to be able to vote on an agreement. The global agreement must not be applied in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by global health profiteers. 4. Nations and local municipalities to have the option of opting out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence.
Valley Wellness	The following elements should be included in an instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process is vital, with the ability for all people of the world to vote, also including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be taken to eliminate influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Basic human rights must be safeguarded, including the ability to work, access education, speak freely, assemble, receive or opt out of any medical treatments without penalty, and have free unrestricted movement.
Individual	The following elements should be included in any agreement and the agreement require a referendum or vote of the citizens of each country to approve and not supersede country of citizenship's federal law or individual's civil rights. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included in ANY agreement on this: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included in any agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations/countries and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is extremely important - I am concerned about regulatory capture.
Self	The following elements should be included in any and all WHO actions or policies. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence or need for any prior notice. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers nor any social/genetic engineers. Thank You
WREALLC	The following elements should be included in any document, accord, agreement, guidance, legislation: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included in any governing health policy, whether regional or global: a) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. b) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. c) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. d) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. e) Individuals with proven health or religious reasons should be allowed exemptions without consequence.
USA Citizen	The following elements should be included in any INB treaty: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Rich Brown
Self	The following elements should be included in any legislation: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	The following elements should be included in any legislation: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Parkway Dental	The following elements should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Private individual	The following elements should be included in any pandemic response plan: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that eliminate any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Processes in which ALL scientific voices are publicaly heard in a robust debate that allows for questioning and dissent
None	The following elements should be included in any proposed pandemic treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included in any proposed Treaty, which should not in any case give the WHO or any other organisation any rights to impose any health treatment on a person: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that prevent any influence at all in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Private US citizen	The following elements should be included in any treaty regarding WHO and future pandemics: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
N/A	The following elements should be included in the agreement (at a minimum) 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that explicitly restrict any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements should be included in the agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. BR, Gregor
Self	The following elements should be included in the global pandemic treaty: Guarantees that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their own location and situation. This should guarantee the right of medical choice and bodily autonomy down to an individual patient/physician relationship. It should include the right to informed consent for any medical procedure, treatment, intervention, or pharmaceutical product, and the right to refuse same. This treaty should guarantee nations, states, and all subdivisions thereof the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on it, and it should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should include measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any pharmaceutical or other companies that might profit from a pandemic.
United States	The following elements should be included in the global pandemic treaty: Guarantees that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their own location and situation. This should guarantee the right of medical choice and bodily autonomy down to an individual patient/physician relationship. It should include the right to informed consent for any medical procedure, treatment, intervention, or pharmaceutical product, and the right to refuse same. This treaty should guarantee nations, states, and all subdivisions thereof the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on it, and it should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should include measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any pharmaceutical or other companies that might profit from a pandemic.
None	The following elements should be included in the global pandemic treaty: Guarantees that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their own location and situation. This should guarantee the right of medical choice and bodily autonomy down to an individual patient/physician relationship. It should include the right to informed consent for any medical procedure, treatment, intervention, or pharmaceutical product, and the right to refuse same. This treaty should guarantee nations, states, and all subdivisions thereof the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It should guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on it, and it should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should include measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any pharmaceutical or other companies that might profit from a pandemic.
Citizen	The following elements should be included in the global pandemic treaty: ~ Guarantees that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their own location and situation. This should guarantee the right of medical choice and bodily autonomy down to an individual patient/physician relationship. It should include the right to informed consent for any medical procedure, treatment, intervention, or pharmaceutical product, and the right to refuse same. ~ This treaty should guarantee nations, states, and all subdivisions thereof the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. ~ It should guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on it, and it should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. ~ It should include measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any pharmaceutical or other companies that might profit from a pandemic.
self	The following elements should be included in the global pandemic treaty: 1 Guarantees that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their own location and situation. This should guarantee that right of medical choice and autonomy down to an individual patient/doctor relationship. It should include the right to informed consent for any medical procedure, treatment, or pharmaceutical product, and the right to refuse same. 2. This treaty should guarantee nations, states, and all further subdivisions the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. It should guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It should include measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any pharmaceutical or other companies that might profit from a pandemic.
self	The following elements should be included in the global pandemic treaty: 1. Guarantees that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their own location and situation. This should guarantee the right of medical choice and bodily autonomy down to an individual patient/physician relationship. It should include the right to informed consent for any medical procedure, treatment, intervention, or pharmaceutical product, and the right to refuse same. 2. This treaty should guarantee nations, states, and all subdivisions thereof the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. It should guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on it, and it should include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It should include measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any pharmaceutical or other companies that might profit from a pandemic.
Personal	The following elements should be included in the instrument: WHO should continue to offer global advice to the best of its ability WHO should ensure complete transparency in all relations with pharmaceutical companies in order to sustain public confidence Participating Governments or organisations should be free to modify their engagement with all and any WHO protocols The WHO recognises that substantive medical decisions should remain within the control of the clinical practitioners directly responsible for their patients WHO should actively seek out peer-reviewed papers and ongoing discussions amongst clinicians which dissent from whatever views are currently orthodox and continually challenge and if necessary revise their advice in order to ensure that it benefits from the continuing advance of science and excellent clinical practice. WHO recognises that global application of non-pharmaceutical interventions may have indirect and non-medical consequences and undertakes to assess such consequences and make public their assessments.
RMIT	The following elements should be included in the instrument: WHO should continue to offer global advice to the best of its ability WHO should ensure complete transparency in all relations with pharmaceutical companies in order to sustain public confidence Participating Governments or organisations should be free to modify their engagement with all and any WHO protocols The WHO recognises that substantive medical decisions should remain within the control of the clinical practitioners directly responsible for their patients WHO should actively seek out peer-reviewed papers and ongoing discussions amongst clinicians which dissent from whatever views are currently orthodox and continually challenge and if necessary revise their advice in order to ensure that it benefits from the continuing advance of science and excellent clinical practice. WHO recognises that global application of non-pharmaceutical interventions may have indirect and non-medical consequences and undertakes to assess such consequences and make public their assessments.
OKI	The following elements should be included in the international instrument: (1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. (2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. (3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. (4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers (i.e. where there is a clear conflict of interest).
Humanity	The following elements should be included in the pandemic contract: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the country's own people. The national constitutions take precedence! 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, non governmental organizations or other global health profiteers.
Bürger	The following elements should be included in the pandemic contract: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The national constitutions take precedence! 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. he WHO that we (~193 nations) joined in 1948 and contributed to, has changed significant rules eg pandemic definition, herd immunity definition. Delete this rules and go back to he rules before change.
Bürger	The following elements should be included in the pandemic contract: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The national constitutions take precedence! 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. he WHO that we (~193 nations) joined in 1948 and contributed to, has changed significant rules eg pandemic definition, herd immunity definition. Delete this rules and go back to he rules before change.
Bürger	The following elements should be included in the pandemic contract: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The national constitutions take precedence! 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. he WHO that we (~193 nations) joined in 1948 and contributed to, has changed significant rules eg pandemic definition, herd immunity definition. Delete this rules and go back to he rules before change.

Organization	Written submission
Bürger	The following elements should be included in the pandemic contract: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The national constitutions take precedence! 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. he WHO that we (~193 nations) joined in 1948 and contributed to, has changed significant rules eg pandemic definition, herd immunity definition. Delete this rules and go back to he rules before change.
Bürger	The following elements should be included in the pandemic contract: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The national constitutions take precedence! 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. he WHO that we (~193 nations) joined in 1948 and contributed to, has changed significant rules eg pandemic definition, herd immunity definition. Delete this rules and go back to he rules before change.
Bürger	The following elements should be included in the pandemic contract: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The national constitutions take precedence! 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. he WHO that we (~193 nations) joined in 1948 and contributed to, has changed significant rules eg pandemic definition, herd immunity definition. Delete this rules and go back to he rules before change.
nil	The following elements should be included in the pandemic preparedness & responsiveness instrument being proposed by WHO : 1. National and local community leadership retain full autonomy (re any future pandemic response), reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people (following appropriate democratic consultation processes). 2. The ability of all nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open, transparent and bias-free consultation and decision process that recognises the vested and conflicted interests of some parties (particularly of pharmaceutical companies and their IP partners) and power imbalances that arise from the implementation of wide ranging and blanket policies such as the one proposed for pandemic preparedness. 4. The ability for all people of the world to retain their autonomy to make decisions about medical interventions (in consultation with their treaters) they wish to have, including vaccinations.This principle of autonomy should be supported with protection of human rights to ongoing participation in economic, political and social life of their communities (ie no reprisals). 5. Implementation measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health partners.
Retired	The following elements should be included in the pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that absolutely do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hyperscience	The following elements should be included in the proposal: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Allowing local solutions and policies to flourish will improve any pandemic response and, more importantly, the consequences derived from that response.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included in the proposed pandemic policy: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that actively prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included in the proposed pandemic response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincererely, Jennifer Saines
United States of America	The following elements should be included in the proposed treaty: 1. Individual liberties and fundamental human rights must be respected and protected. 2. Informed consent must be the guiding principle for any proposed medical procedures. 3. Medical procedures must not be compulsory or mandated. Free will and selfdetermination must be protected as a fundamental human rights. 4. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 5. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 6. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 7. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included in the proposed treaty: 1. National and local leadership will retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
S.H.O	The following elements should be included in the Treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included in the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
QHS	The following elements should be included in the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Submarine Media Pty Ltd	The following elements should be included in the WHO treaty: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent democratic process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, influential non-profit organisations or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included in this instrument: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included within: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nil	The following elements should be included 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world(including transpeople) to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people don't want it. So national governments should have a referendum or similar, so people of the country can be heard. Also to limit the power of any country's or countries' representative to WHO or WHA to vote on behalf of the people of these countries. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, philanthropic organisations, medical organisations or other global health or tech profiteers, or countries that donate to WHO, WHA, UN, UNICEF.
I am a private citizen.	The following elements should be included, in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. All nations, states, and local municipalities shall retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There shall be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people have not clearly demonstrated that they want it. 4. Measures shall not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Horsedonkey	The following elements should be included, not my original thoughts but thoughts I wholeheartedly agree with: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Clarke & Watt Building Consultancy	The following elements should be included. 1. National and local leadership must retain autonomy. Sovereign states should make public health decisions following a democratic processes. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions if the agreement as they see fit without consequence. 3.an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people don't want it. 4.measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Other	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed guideline, and reasons for this guideline WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. Acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontline, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending any guidelines 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual contributor	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all the world's people to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any technology, communications, and technical infrastructure companies
AMP	The following elements should be included: --National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. --The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. --An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application --of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. --Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The right of individuals to opt out of any medical interventions if they so wish without penalty.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. An individual's right to choose what is best for him or herself supersedes all else and must be upheld. No one shall be forced against their will to participate in medical mandates of any kind.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nik	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Örebro Nikolai församling	The following elements should be included: A) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, B) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, C) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and D) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: in any treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Spedener Consulting	The following elements should be included: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make their own decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. All nations and local municipalities shall be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, at their own discretion and without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process shall be established to publicly disclose all entities (persons, non-profit organizations, companies, corporations, nations, etc.) that influence WHO decisions, and/or, have the potential to financially benefit from WHO decisions. 4. An open and transparent process shall be established that requires all entities (persons, non-profit organizations, companies, corporations, nations, etc.) that interact with WHO to publicly disclose all financial transactions between each entity and WHO. These disclosures shall include, but are not limited to, payments, gifts, donations and benefits of any type that have tangible value that are exchanged between the entity and WHO and its employees and members. The disclosure shall be detailed and include a U.S. dollar value, a description of the value exchanged and a reason for the exchange. Anonymity of entities shall not be permitted.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. Individual will and health decisions have supremacy over any health decision taken by governments at global, country or local level. 2. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Pharmaceutical companies should have NO input into any pandemic response. They are in the business to make money, so any suggestions they have will necessarily be biased in favor of creating profits for themselves. Pharma and their representatives at WHO, FDA, CDC, NIH, and AMA should NEVER have any input to health care decisions.
Delaware State University	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human race	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the inalienable right to make best decisions for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Fully transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired Councilman, Haltom City, TX	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the desires and needs of their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Disgruntled Peasants (We're EVERYWHERE!)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Multimedia	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SWIFT AERIAL SURVEYS INC.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CAVU Companies	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Ian Scott Group	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none - self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Flow Consulting	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Education	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UNC Chapel Hill	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None (Self - Private USA Citizen)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MDS Resource	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BigNose Music	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Moonflower	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. my body is my sovereignty and any and all measures that mean to aim for any reduction of said sovereignty is problematic, suspect, and invalid
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom.org	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BodyLifeBalance	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none, self only	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
not applicable	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United World Prosperity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you! James
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no organization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no organization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
world health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
colegio rabinico atereth tzvi	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. For something this important, the comment period should be for at least 6 months.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for safe-guarding our inalienable rights and those of our children.
EC Consulting	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Poland	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dalydays	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PUBLIC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the ap plication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Recommendations of the WHO should be non-binding in nature and not superceed the authority of nations and local government entities.
Steven Jory	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
We the People	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Argentina	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All individuals retain full autonomy over their bodies and are born with and maintain the natural right to decide what is best for themselves and their children and their family. 6. Every individual is responsible for their risk assessment and risk tolerance. Those who fear catastrophic illness or death will take any and all measures to remove themselves from their perceived risks and not impose risk mitigation onto any other individual.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Laurine Towler
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None, retiree	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stephen English	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EngageMedia	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process such that all people of the world can vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Acony Bell	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The Nuremburg Code and the Right of Bodily Atonomy needs to be respected and honoured.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual submission	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UNITED STATES	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AS Networks Pty Ltd	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on - including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. These measures will ensure the dignity of all people and a respect for the sovereignty of all nations.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen of the World	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jennifer Jory	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jerome Jory	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the appli cation of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Individual rights shall not be infringed by government or any "person" for declining a vaccination
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal Freedom	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
privat	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Sanchez Foundation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Universal Life Church	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America, voter citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Green Party of Alameda County	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Wies-Software	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No use of behavioral sience to manipulate the public opinion.
Scope Matters	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Royal Australian Navy	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
non	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, same as all influences that come from monetary power and the interests behind.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ind	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WW	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
tbd	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University of Tasmania	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Bizitra	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Guarantees on state and individual sovereignty. 6. Guarantees on respect for human rights. 7. Guarantees on legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. That said, I have noted the guiding question treats the eventual existence of the legislation as an a priori assumption. In my opinion, the treaty shouldn't exist at all.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
privat	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A Concerned Member of th Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Law Offices of Gregory Krasovsky	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
M@M	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. All nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. No funding for this program shall be accepted from any corporation or foundation.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Tactical responses to public health emergencies should always be made at the lowest democratic level possible; 2. It follows that nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. Democratic measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; 4. Measures to protect against influence by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all sovereign people of the world to vote on including global measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement everywhere throughout the world when a simple majority of people do not wish it. 4. Measures restrict and limit influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health entities. 5. All and any public health measures to be imposed at any time must be supported by peer-reviewed relevant scientific evidence from a credible representative of an approved scientific or medical/public health institution/s.
Childrens Health Defence	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership will retain full autonomy, and reserve the right to make all decisions based on what is best for their people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence, as they see fit 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on; including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual - Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National governments to retain full autonomy, reserving the unconditional right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in their best discretion. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to unconditionally opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Safeguard protections must be incorporated to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical, technology companies or other global health or related or unrelated commercially-interested entity or persons. 5. All measures must be demonstrated to be in full compliance with international treaties and conventions related to human rights and in particular the unalienable right to personal, physical and bodily integrity. 6. Mesures should include a automatic mechanism for investigation and transparent comprising fully independent and duly qualified persons reporting of the origins of any real or supposed pandemic which shall be immediately activated.
United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. Nothing. The Treaty should be totally empty.
-/-	The following elements should be included: 1. That national, regional and local leadership retains full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people which may not be in agreement with International dictates. 2. The ability of nations and regional and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The ability for all people of the world to individually decline to participate in any and all experimental or even approved medical treatments if they do not want it, without any consequence. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: - National and subnational entities of any size such as states, provinces, counties, and cities must retain full local decision making capability to make and implement local decisions without penalty or consequence. Such entities must also be able to opt-out of this instrument for any reason or none, using any local decision-making process. - No decision-making body or person can accept any money or nonmonetary favors or other influence from pharmaceutical companies or other medical or biological industries. This includes the WHO itself. Any violation of this requirement means a gross conflict of interest and corruption, and said bodies or persons must be excluded from decision making. Any decision made by any body of people must be rendered invalid by any corruption of even a single member of the body. Ideally persons engaging in corruption must be fired from the WHO or related bodies, or an organization or committee disbanded or otherwise forcefully prevented from engaging in corruption.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1 National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the applica tion of the global agreement in places where a majority do not want it. 4 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1 National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. Each nation and local municipality should retain their right to make decisions for their constituents. 2. Each nation, state and local municipalities can opt out of any portion of the agreement without consequence. 3. Include the ability for all people of the world to vote on the application of the global agreement in their respective states where a majority rules. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence by any and all global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tierney Chirorpractic	The following elements should be included: 1. Participation in, inclusion of and equable decision inputs by "alternative" health-care providers on preparedness and response (i.e. Naturopathic, Homeopathic, Osteopathic, Chiropractic, Traditional Chinese Medicine, etc) 2. Create measures to permanently stop any and all influences in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 3. All decision and actions remain with national and local leaderships to make the decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, coercion or reprisal. 5. Craft an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote. This includes failsafe measures to prevent the application of a global agreement under disagreement. Such as, 5% of total votes disagree, the global agreement can no longer not pass.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the
None	The following elements should be included: 1. Affording each individual to make their own informed choices regarding preparedness & response based on their own health and risks, with no penalty or consequences. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and tranaparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health companies or capitalists.
Organization Engineering	The following elements should be included: 1. Atthe national and local level, leadership should haver full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Any entity, oublic or private, national, state, local, must have the ability of to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. This must be an open and transparent process allowing all people of the world to vote on this issue, which should include measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, as well as any other party with obvious or k own potential benefit or loss from resulting agreements.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. Atthe national and local level, leadership should haver full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Any entity, oublic or private, national, state, local, must have the ability of to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. This must be an open and transparent process allowing all people of the world to vote on this issue, which should include measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, as well as any other party with obvious or k own potential benefit or loss from resulting agreements.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. Autonomy of national and local leadership is essential, whereby these governments reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process must be open and transparent allowing all people of the world to vote and prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. All pharmaceutical companies or other global health entities with conflicts of interest must be explicitly excluded from this process.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. Complete and unrestricted national autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people of the nation. 2. The ability of nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. All people of the world must be able to vote on any measure through their national structures, with measures in place which will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that completely exclude from the process any role whatsoever, with regard to decision making, for all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
PRIVATE CITIZEN	The following elements should be included: 1. For each country, at National and Local level to retain Full Autonomy to have the right to make their own decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. For any country to have the right to opt out of any or all portions of this agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. 3. To revert back to the early 2009 definition of 'Pandemic' and to add back the language that was removed that consequently permitted the declaration of 'Pandemic' for a non-threat with close to a 100% survival rate. 4. Prohibit All conflicts of interest should they be financial, political or otherwise. 5. Condemn mass vaccination during a 'Pandemic' 6. Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity. 7. Have an open and transparent process that allows all the people of the world to vote that includes fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the Global Agreement in places where the majority of the population do not want it. 8. For individuals to have full Body Autonomy and be allowed to make their own decisions regarding what medical interventions they allow to happen to their own bodies and their children's bodies, without threat, fear, coercion or punishment for these decisions.
The Free World	The following elements should be included: 1. For every nation, national and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to fully opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that will preclude influence and interference in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers, wealthy individuals, corporations, entities, non-profit groups, religious groups, etc.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. Full autonomy at national and local levels of leadership: it is essential to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cornell University	The following elements should be included: 1. Full autonomy should be retained by national and local leadership, including the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. All peoples of the world should be able to vote on this issue via an open and transparent process, with fail-safe measures preventing the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. All measures of this agreement should fully prohibit influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights as stated in the UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the European Convention on Human Rights. No pandemic preparedness strategy can be deemed beneficial and acceptable if it paves the way for authoritarianism and totalitarianism. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. Individual Concerns, Peoples' rights, National Sovereignty based on the will of the representation by people should have full autonomy,to prevent totalitarian rule of a few elites over the population. 2. Individuals, communities, nations need to have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Open and transparent discussion of measures, including failsafes, that would affect individuals, who might have their own suggestions to address problems, and should be allowed to voice them. 4. Influence in the process should be free of any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers; welcoming independent reviews.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. It is absolutely unacceptable that the nations of the world would submit their sovereignty to an unelected, privately funded organization such as the WHO. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a proportion of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The ability for all people of the world to individually decline to participate in any and all experimental or even approved medical treatments if they do not want it, without any consequence.
myself	The following elements should be included: 1. Local leadership retains full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people within a direct demoratic decision making process. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Any decision making must be based on rigorous and transparent scientific debate and the principle of "first do no harm".
US Citizen no organization	The following elements should be included: 1. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 2. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. Measures to ensure independence from the influence of any pharmaceutical company, charity or individuals with vested interests. 2. The inclusion of the whole body of evidence for the use of re-purposed drugs ...... and measures to ensure independence of researchers in reaching their conclusions. 3. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. A recent example being the use in India of ivermectin against the WHO's guidance. In Uttar Pradesh this brought the outbreak under control within a month. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. The principles of international law founded at Nuremberg are embedded in the treaty. 7. Nations signing up to the treaty should not be allowed to undertake research illegal within their own nation within another nations territory. 8. There should be transparency of all research facilities as is the case with other sectors such as nuclear programmes.
BU-Solutions	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local (democratically chosen) leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local (eg. states) leadership in my United States of America retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. These measures would NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical/biotechnology companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership keep full autonomy, preserving the authority to make decisions based on what is optimum for their own population. 2. An accessible by all and understandable process with ample time allocated for all people of the world to vote on proposals. This must include guaranteed processes preventing the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. Measures that won't be influenced by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health interested parties.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. It should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteer
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based upon what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process must be open and transparent. All people of the world must be able to vote and failsafe measures must be included that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be included in detail.
self representative	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people by taking in to account their unique populations in each region whether rural or urban. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Must maintain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence(s). 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on,(including failsafe measures), that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any, and all, pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Total transparency of the WHO with a list of financial backers and or contributors so we can all see the influencers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any, and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Total transparency of the WHO with a list of financial backers and or contributors so we can all see the influencers.
Dr. Mary Anne Urlakis, M.A., Ph.D., LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prevent manipulation and coercion, including preventing influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that will respect the inviolable dignity of human persons and allow freedom of conscience exemptions for all individuals regardless of nationality, race, or creed, without consequence. 6. Respect for and adherence to previously agreed-upon international standards of ethics including the Nuremberg Code of Ethics and the Belmont Report Principles regarding the use of experimental medications and procedures being limited to authentic informed consent. Authentic informed consent is free from coercion and allows individuals to refuse a procedure without consequence.
Citizen of the USA	The Following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is decided by them to be best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they decide, without any consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to not on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for any influence or coercion in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, including "non profit charities" whose members, contributors, partners or the charities themselves profit from the outcomes.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mable	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Scott Matthews
Independent Science Consultant at Friesen Biophysical Consulting	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership needs to retain full autonomy and have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must prevent the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers including large WHO donors.
United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain absolute autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
public citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy and democratic control, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of all nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.The right to chose. 5.The right to body autonomy. 6.. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of the general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on the needs of their own people in all situations, without consequence. 2. Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, without consequence. 3. Reasoning and data behind measures suggested in a pandemic preparedness instrument are transparent and accessible to all. 4. The general public has the ability to vote on suggested measures. 5. Steps be taken to ensure that people or bodies with financial conflicts of interest such as pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, do not influence the creation or the implementation of an international instrument on pandemic preparedness. Thank you
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain FULL AUTONOMY, , reserving the RIGHT TO MAKE DECISIONS based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to OPT OUTNOF ANY AND ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that DO NOT ALLOW for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Law Society	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, and are obligated to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process requiring a prior vote by the public to accept measures, the terms of which to be set out in advance, to take effect in their jurisdiction . 4. Measures that do not allow for direct or indirect influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, deserving the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. And open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent The application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influences in the process Buy any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Alarmed individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their community. 2. The ability of nations, cities, doctors, & hospitals to opt out of any and ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent voting process to prevent the application of a global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, regardless of their govt's position. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by ANY pharmaceutical companies, tech firms, banks, governments, or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health commercial interests.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures must not cause more harm than good - the past two years have provided plenty of data. 6. No censorship and suppression of scientific debates.

Organization	Written submission
House of Mentz	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own inhabitants. 2. The ability of sovereign nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all mankind on Earth to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of mankind do not want it. 4. Measures that prohibit and punish influence and/or interference in the process by any and all pharmaceutical corporations or any other global health institutions. Without Prejudice UCC 1-308
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and accounts for regional variations. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers or philanthropic/non-profit health organizations.
Lisa Baade	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people as voted by their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health, media or technology profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people which may not be in agreement with international dictates. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Giving doctors the ability to treat patients as they see fit without retribution. Medicine is not a one-size-fits all. 4. The ability for all people of the world to individually decline to participate in any and all experimental or even approved medical treatments if they do not want them, without any consequence. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or anyone with conflicts of interest who might benefit from a particular response. 6. Open and transparent scientific debate on the merits of proposals and solutions. 7. A commitment from the international community to thoroughly investigate the origins of any pandemic so that lessons can be learned.
NHS	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people 2. The ability of nations and local authorities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, because IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT EVERY DEMOCRACY HAS FULL CONTROL OF ITS OWN POLICIES. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, because NO CENTRAL WORLD BODY SHOULD HAVE CONTROL OF HEALTH POLICY IN INDIVIDUAL COUNTRIES 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, because ANYTHING ELSE AMOUNTS TO AN AUTHORITIAN WORLD. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, because it seems that sadly THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANISATION IS NOT THE IMPARTIAL BODY IT ONCE WAS.
Professional Engineer, General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, in consultation with their communities and neighbours; 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, i.e. actual democracy; and, 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, particularly in light of the differing demographics, geography, climate and available health systems specific to each nation. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Advice may be provided to national governments but in no way should sovereign decision-making and control be forfeited to a global or supra-national body, or to corporate interests. 6. No individual or entity without a direct stake in a nation such as citizenship should have any right to impose directives or orders upon the population of that nation.
US	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The WHO should have no authority. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WCH	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. At any circumstance, the locals will have the right to refuse any decitions made by the WHO without facing any consequence. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and trasparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, neither public nor private.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Even though the goal is the same for all places, it means might look a little different and there Hass to be allowance for that. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This point cannot be overstated. Should the intelligence that determines what is best for global health before sale? Shame, shame on anybody that would allow it to be. This is one of the issues of our time. You know what is right and what is wrong here. As a public servant, you must favor the right and deny the wrong. Pharmaceutical companies cannot in any way be allowed to influence this process. No how no way.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. No one entity should determine what should be done for an entire society. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Ultimately, the individual will have the freedom to make decisions based upon their own risk analysis. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Repurposed drugs are accurately and willingly considered and used for situations were the physician and patient see fit without any coercion or backlash from any agencies , departments in health directly or indirectly involved. No medical mandates of any kind and should be left to the individual. 5. Complete utter transparency of all funding in and out directly and indirectly of the WHO agency including all financial dealings of each country and individual stakeholders . Including all stakeholders in medical interventions of any kind affiliated with the WHO agencies and of each Gov. Representatives in each country including any stakeholders in their FDA CDC or Affiliated with such agencies to keep everything purely transparent to the people.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Open, public access to all raw data pertaining to the subject disease collected by member countries including details on how that data was collected. 6. Full disclosure of any conflicts of interest between WHO member representatives, officials, and agents and any commercial interests. To include monetary and non-monetary compensation.
Stokes Physical Therapy	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Measures that DO NOT ALLOW for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. Actual studies and data analysis of all cause mortality numbers and reported autopsies of people dying of disease causing any and all future pandemics (including to safety studies of all interventions.)
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Individuals retain full autonomy regarding any and all medical treatments, including vaccines, with no repercussions or discriminatory treatment due to non-participation. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. Full transparency to influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers, to include NGO's.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be in place hat do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. As sovereign individuals, people around the world have the right to opt out.
N/A [I'm a member of the general public.]	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Missouri resident	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local authorities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Vaccine Safety Research Foundation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Calgary Avian & Exotic Pet Clinic	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to openly discuss in a public forum and vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a large portion of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Accountability for pharmaceutical companies if they are to offer medications/vaccines in response or preparation to any pandemic. 6. No person should be coerced (by means of witholding the right to travel, obtain or keep employment, obtain food or water, or visit in person with friends or family) to take any medications/vaccines/immune protective agents/ pharmaceuticals of any kind. Kelsey Chapman, BSc, DVM
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WHO	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Race	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health for seniors and children	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. A transparent method to evaluate all data and objections to data
(none)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Masterfull Group	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unafiliated	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Self Employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Live and Local IRL	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Irish citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cuperus Christian Health Care	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Heather L. Cuperus CEO Cuperus Christian Health Care
Humanity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Right at Home Realty	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Requirements that accuracy and truth not be compromised in favour of supporting politics or strategy, such as when the WHO published a new definition of "herd immunity" that stated that such immunity must be acquired via vaccination. 6. Requirement to publish all raw data that any decisions or policies are based on so that all can be independently verified, before any policy can go into effect. 7. Requirement to publish all mathematical or statistical models that any decisions or policies are based on so that all can be independently verified, before any policy can go into effect.
Civilian	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mostly Magic Inc.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Roots to Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. A provision that retains the respect for the rights of an individual's body autonomy. Always.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University of Saskatchewan	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CRC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Monique Mueller Acupuncture	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
We The People	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private U.S. Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Joshua Wayne & Associates	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SVN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CITIZEN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself (general public)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freelance	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PM Books	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Your Safe Harbor	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CTK	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Individuals always have the right to refuse or except treatments.
British citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AANA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent Graphic Design Professional	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Slick Engineering & Consulting, Inc.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen of the USA (no affiliations)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Information, clinical data and outcomes, procedures and medications should not be suppressed or limited ensuring freedom of the practice of medicine to determine the optimal methods for management and treatment. Rather, information should be widely shared to enhance clinical decision making and formation of consensus. 6. Doctors should never be penalized or limited in their scope of making good-faith experience-based clinical decisions on behalf of their patients. 7. No approach can be one size fits all and must ensure freedom for all involved.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
GAMBIT LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence or retribution. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The human race	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Q.C. Professional Corporation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No affiliation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Morrow & Company	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Good Loops	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Aasion Prime Solns	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The people	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Citizens of all member countries retain individual autonomy and may choose to opt out or decline to participate in any measures recommended by the WHO, regardless of whether local or national leadership has adopted such measures. 6. WHO guidelines, recommended measures, or mandates are suggestions only and are not binding on member countries or individual citizens thereof.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lit Mag News	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual of United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USAF(RET)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Patriot	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self. US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Healthcare is always a LOCAL issue.
William H. Wagner III	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Anything else is a violation of the Constitution of The United States of America and is subject to legal action.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. THANK YOU
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Libertarian Party Mises Caucus	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
JMR NOW, LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
layperson	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
- None -	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jones & Associates	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, PT
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sustainable Success Strength Training	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
KeepitLocal ltd	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
linda gentsh	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Scott Russell	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. A sunset clause such that any and all agreements automatically expire every 2 years.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Otherwise, this treaty will be moot.
-	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Russell Sage Colleges	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. At no point should global treaties supersede the laws of a nation "ever".
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Louisa Chiropractic Center	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SELF	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self affiliated	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Any agreement should sunset & require renewal after 10 years or certainly no more than 20.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, including individual philanthropists whose person or foundation has a vested financial interest in global public health policy.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No affiliation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Do not get us into anything we cannot control or change. Better to opt out completely! For the sake of my family and grandkids do not allow this to mandate anything including vaccination! E. R. Leech
Laura Bear Fine Art	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Community Member	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Family	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Directions	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ukauctioneers.com	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personnel	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired Research Engineering Mathematician Penn State University	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Freedom is priceless! Free People do not need to be controlled by anyone or anything, PERIOD!
Persobal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers 5. All parties involved in any decision making must clearly state their conflict of interests. 6. All decisions must be based on peer reviewed science and the science articles/journals must be provided to all people that are impacted. 7 Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergency" 8. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 9. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 10. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 11. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 12. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SD49	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Executive IT Solutions	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Port Moody Animal Hospital	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen of the world	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University of Kansas School of Medicine	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Accuscripts Pharmacy	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AMERICAN CITIZEN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EKRE	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WCFH	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Asian Americans for Peace and Prosperity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Maendel Wealth	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PHM	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Us citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kinetic Sales	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, other global health profiteers, or other outside entities.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self-employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Wefgffyugf	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual - no affiliations	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Autonomous	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Romanian Orthodox Church	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
praxis	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, KL Mooers
Citizen of the United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4. OPEN PUBLIC DEBATE BY QUALIFIED EXPERTS FOR ALL TOPICS. NO CENSORING OF DISSENTING VIEWPOINTS.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
INDIVIDUAL	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Lisa Whittingham
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No affiliation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NONE	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Maxwell Family	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Own household	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
May Family	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Carr Medical Group, PC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stop The Treaty	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BevX	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Bank	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Education	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian Resident	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
High Pointe Capital	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizin	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nine	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Debra Willingham
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
US Citizen and Mom	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. A one-size-fits-all approach is not the best path forward when dealing with medicine.
samadhiBot	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-Employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Provision for doctors to practice medicine in time old traditional manner, working closely with their patients, without being restricted to a "one solution for all" approach. 6. Provision for doctors of natural medicine to provide their patients with appropriate natural medications of all types. 7. Provision for doctors worldwide to discuss, share and assist each other with appropriate & successful treatments at their own volition governed by the time honoured "and first do no harm" approach.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Consulting	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Cross section of third party data analysts making real-time data transparent for the world to see with tools to better see it and openingly report on it.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
dental	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Department of Energy	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Student	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tic	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mike Larson	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Parent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. WHO was a a complete failure during the Covid pandemic and did little else than pander to China, who's gross incompetence spread disease throughout the world.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The principle of subsidiary.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RSIS	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
NONE	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self/family	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organizational affiliation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
State of Michigan	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ugly House Inc	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I represent myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that strictly uphold the medical principles of informed consent of the individual.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Jeannette Foley California, USA
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Scotland	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homeowner	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Valentine	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WF	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A college	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian Space Agency	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UQAC.ca	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Practice	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Caffarele Translations	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Recognize that lockdowns and mitigation strategies are a path that must be done responsibly and carefully. Full lockdowns and many mitigation strategies carry a heavy weight of harm that need to also be considered. Learn from the mistakes of the countries that did these lockdowns and mitigations to only delay infections and hospital occupancies. While they disrupted learning speech in children with universal masking and harmed millions with lost wages and small business closures. Tradeoffs must be acknowledged. Informed consent of these universal lockdowns and mitigations must be able to be opted out of. No one should be able to tell another that their job is less important than a health care workers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Get Healthy Stay Healthy LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HSHealth	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
JK. Fiske, INC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Le Wagon	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Genuine Timelines	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign Man	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jumper Enterprises	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you

Organization	Written submission
Canadian citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Toxteth	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I do not represent an organization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
On my own behalf	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pharmacist	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MUJCA https://mujca.heresycentral.is	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Chiropractic Center of Norcross	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Prince of Peace Church of Aliquippa	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Erie Generator	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AIMSIB	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lincoln Hospital	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Imagine That! Productions	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Solicitor	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Newcastle University Business School (retired)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. All measures must be risk based and take into account costs, opportunity costs and adverse impacts. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health organisations.
Kutishcheva	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Alder Group LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Firefighter Paramedic	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. These elements are essential to protect the liberty of the individual and the sovereignty of nation-states. No international treaty should be used for whatever "crisis" is deemed urgent as a pretext to override liberty and sovereignty.
J&G Sales	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Saint Vincent College	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sofia University	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Artist	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Central Coast Helath Coalition	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Arkangel SAS	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks in advance. Nabil Bouzerna
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
First Gulf Corp.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, R. Rayfield
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
General public for humanity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PERSONAL	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Martinfabris	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Digital Bill of Rights for healthcare data. Global citizens want to know that their health freedom is protected. Data collection on health would allow extreme abuses if the rights of all people are not strictly defined.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
member of the public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthcare	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stand for Health Freedom	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
human	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Children's Health Defense & ICAN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Arc Development Company	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not affiliated with any organisation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nurse	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
serco	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
One of many people against global control	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal Capacity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks!
WHO	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ShenanigansReport	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Adventure Realty	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All recommendations for treatment shall remain recommendations, not mandates and no punitive measure shall be recommended for individuals refusing recommend treatment.
Private US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Piano Tracks	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ShenanigansReport	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned U.S. Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pvt	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None, private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human race and an American Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Animal Chiropractic Education Source	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nonprofit	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. It is not possible for organizations outside of a given country to override the law in them!
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
INTRUEITION	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Global Stress Initiative	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
H.A. Desjardins, LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen of New Zealand	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Venture Technology, Inc.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None, private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Clinical Guns for Hire	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Paula Adams	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Business owner	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Polarising	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Shades of Africa	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free people of earth	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TPUSA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. No commercial interests e.g. pharmaceutical companies, hospital groups etc. who are likely to profit from any measures should have input into decision making.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
not relevant	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Registered Nurse - family health clinic	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Island Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I believe that these are very important inclusions for sovereign nations to maintain their autonomy.
Sharada Hall Ayurveda & Acupuncture	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Shades of Africa Guest House	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Absolutely no influence can be made by any human, corporation, or government that could in any way profit from the measures.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bc	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	The following elements SHOULD be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthcare	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
LGFO	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Suzanne Elkind, CNM	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
us citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Every person in the world should have the right to say no
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Edwards Ent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.12021 Indian Ave
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World counsil for health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Minnesota	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
An awesome life it is	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen of The Netherlands	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kirsch	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private, No orgamnization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BACP	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
private man	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of US	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
nA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No Organization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Suburbn Newspapers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Put person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers 5. Full release of all pharmaceutical data to be reviewed by independent specialists in any event that a pharmaceutical drug is considered as a treatment.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not relevant.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Global Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Maureen Dixson
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority, or substantial minority, of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Connie M Kiser
Freedom	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Christianity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SFHF	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Doctors being able to treat their patients to the best of their knowledge, as they see fit without a global dictate. Bodily autonomy of the individual.
Moker	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. This is not going to end they way you think it will, trust me.
Cecil Hedigan	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
T-7 HOA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the U.S.A.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ARTB	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University of Applied Sciences and Arts Lucerne	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
global citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Canadian citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Fourth Ave. Chiropratic clinic	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global Health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human being	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ISKCON	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Neighborhood Council	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other for-profit and not-for-profit entities using financial grants and "global health initiatives" to manipulate policies for their own business purposes.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Langara College	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My org	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers One board to decide the rules for all does not account for regional, health status or other variables that vary the risk for the individual.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FreeX Group	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired public health nurse	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SOMA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Your body your choice no one should be forced to take any medicine.

Organization	Written submission
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
nil	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Civilian	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kaiser Permanente	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bridge Christian Church	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Gen Pop	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen of Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The hour of power	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Christian	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FIGU	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Texas Tech University	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VH LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Heather Graves
American Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Dr Jeff Graves
Andersons	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Imem	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Sincerely, barb glover
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sports Science Testing	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tiptree for fairness	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
_	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthcare	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
mom	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Woman's round table	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal-none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Class M Systems	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TFE	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self - Citizen of The United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AJAXTECH	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Beezley Associates	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nil	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the Constitutional Republic of the USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No other constitution can override the Constitution of the United States. The President has the duty to uphold our constitution and putting any other second or third party group or organizations constitution before ours will be an act of treason against the United States and is considered null and void by the people of this Constitutional Republic.
American Frontline Nurses	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Entire Wellbeing	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
America First	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
R Chic	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Musician	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. After witnessing what is happening in Shanghai it is essential that we maintain autonomy as local communities and not be imprisoned by national or international government overreach. Sincerely, Cindy L. Hope MD
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homemaker	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sunshine Coffee Roasters	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homemaker	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Whit Richardson Photography	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Moorpark college	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UCSC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Desert Siren Landscape Design	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stay Balanced Travel	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal only	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
INBpublichearings@who.int	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VP Consulting	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bowraville and Districts Ex-Services Club Limited	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Safe Harbor Housing Foundation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nurse	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
hilary slater	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign Being LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humankind	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No way Jose!
Member of public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dominion	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The principle of informed consent should not be undermined. This means that the public has a right to hearing nuanced scientific arguments and debate, rather than simply a preferred narrative that ultimately erodes trust in political power and destabilises democracy. 6. Policies should be evidence based. Where a pandemic emergency necessitates action before evidence, a body of high quality evidence must be gathered as quickly and robustly as possible to drive how policy should be modified in light of evidence. 7. Those responsible for policy must be held accountable, and the drivers behind decisions made must be scrutinised. Conflicts of interest must be made known. Decision making processes and all those involved must be made known. And all of this must be transparent to the public in real time.
UK CITIZEN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hour of power	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Global Citizens For Freedom	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Cosmic Piper Substack	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
on behalf of myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US CITIZEN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Claire's on Cedros	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Total Health Concepts	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
James Bester	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ember Design & Engineering	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
JTEK Products, LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CM HARBOR	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
representing self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, no money, patent or stock relationships.
Natural Health Alliance	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Quebec Auctions	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Strict abidance and /or strengthening of the Nuremberg Code Thank you for your consideration.
Human Being	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal fro North Carolina, USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Absolutely no required chips for monitoring people
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not affiliated	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
United States Citizens	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bibar	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Australian People	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
climaterra.org	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MPS	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
—	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers 5. Consideration of long term implications for any measures taken
Common Law group	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stop the mandates now	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dig-id BV	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HUMANITY	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stella jack ministries	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Respect for informed consent and human rights. NEVER any forced injections of any kind INCLUDING vaccines. No more lockdowns. No more closed borders. No more health apartheid.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Not Affiliated	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Conference for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self sovereign entity and citizen of the United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australian citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Care	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Timothy R. Dooley, M.D. P.C.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Willow Creek Cottages	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for honoring these elements.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None/Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None Specified	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Jim
Human Race	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council of Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private U.S. Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Pure Bloods of NJ	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Welles Consulting	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
BBC Television	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humankind	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Houserenting	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mothers of Liberty	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Church of the Nazarene	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. LaurenBulko, BSN, RN.
Technological Institute of Aeronautics	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
home	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Fund	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Independent Writer	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WHC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Church of the Nazarene	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Robert Jarvis	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Green Valley Academy	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired - Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AHS	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Coldfield Consulting	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Catholic Church	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Registered Nurse	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Anglican	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers 5. No lobbyists or paid interest groups should write policy impacting citizens without a popular local vote on the matter.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Just Me	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ylioja homeschool	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Member of the public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
For the people	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MGM	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
International Grocers Association	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Like CommentComment ShareShare You're a free subscriber to Steve Kirsch's newsletter. For the full experience, become a paid subscriber. Subscribe © 2022 Steve Kirsch Unsubscribe 548 Market Street PMB 72296, San Francisco, CA 94104 Publish on SubstackGet the app
PES	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lincoln Vet Clinic	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Federal Government of Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority or reasonable minority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Engaging Hope	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MYOB	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Resident - retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Christ is among us - He is and always will be.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
State citizen of the United states of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No measures shall infringe on state of federal constitution
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Newton's Apple Academy	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteer
GCVC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Liberty Party	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Russian Orthodox Church Outside Russia, Diocese of Sydney Australia and	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures to enforce adherence to the bioethical standards of the UNESCO Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights, 2005 (specifically including the absolute and unalienable right of every patient to refuse any treatment, including but not limited to vaccination, for any reason) with criminal penalties for any breach of these standards.
Newton's Apple Academy	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthcareserver	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I don't represent any organization. I support the following: FLCCC, World Cou	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The protection and affirmation of bodily autonomy.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Holdegraver Enterprises	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RCDSB	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human race	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom Seekers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ANS Infusion Inc	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Go Broadband Ltd	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian Alliance	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health corporations.
not affiliated with an organization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Gaia productions Inc.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N-A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The U.S. Constitution should not be overruled.
Healthy Living Partners	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health and Nutrition	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Fourth Ave. Chiropratic clinic	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global Health profitors.
Me, Myself, and I	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
We The People	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Zugan Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Zugan Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Setsunan University	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Armstrong Financial	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Alpine Community Center	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Like CommentComment ShareShar
concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
WT	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Douglas Capron	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independant	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Freedom	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Dean Halpern
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None; I speak for myself.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen and physician	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mother	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. These suggestions are INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT Thank you Aimee
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen of Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers.
French Electrical Ltd (New Zealand)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Graphic designer	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Melody	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There are no people of the world. There are only people who belong to tribes, nations and/d states. Stop trying to say otherwise. It is evil.
Soveriegn Being	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Feral Cat Den	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ERB Construction	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canadan who would like to maintain autonomy of body	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Follow science, not political science (a.k.a. The Science™), fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 6. Prohibit mass-vaccinating during a pandemic—especially with a leaky, non-sterilizing product that fails to prevent contraction or spread, and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7. Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity, and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8. Forbid the use of psychological tactics that induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Civilian	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Inner Sol Yoga	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Milton Mansion	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Indiv	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
People for Real Science	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely Lorna Evans
The Creativity Emporium	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The rights of each individual, as stated in the Nuremburg Code and the Helsinki Agreement, shall be respected in every situation.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jaali Integrations	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health consultant	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanking you, Dr. Vivien Muir
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CONCERNED CITIZEN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stop the Treaty	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Recognition of all individuals' rights to fully informed consent to treatments and measures and to action based on personal assessment of risk/benefit, and to expect to be able to exercise freely chosen clinical care relationships with physicians or other chosen providers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Catalent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Quantum Vitality	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I fully agree with this statement. One size fits all health solutions is demoralizing. I work in healthcare and I see it everyday.
Various (Professional & Medical)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University of Adelaide	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies including non-profit companies or other global health profiteers.
Organizing for a Better America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks! Sherri
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None/Private Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
People for Freedom	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public member	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Randall E. Hand, PC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Meyer home	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United Stares Citizen and Voter	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
All Make Sewing Centre	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. TOPICS: Miscellaneous
Guardians of Freedom Michigan	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
New Zealand	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Slef	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Farmer	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ReOpen San Diego	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Adult Citizen of U.S.A.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no organization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Persons	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CITIZEN- USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mine	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TAC Massage, Inc. dba Massage Heights Midtown	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Wren Enterprises	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other profit seeking global health organizations.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Administration	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SELF	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Olavik	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow pharmaceutical companies to have a major influence on the process.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Alliance	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
(none)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UNITED STATES CITIZEN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you James E. Nicholson Savannah GA USA
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CCA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My own	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me stands Up	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unaffiliated	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Born to Be a Badass Academy	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
THE REAL LIFE SERIES	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Private citizens should have the right to determine their own health decisions.
Home maker	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Blurb	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Team Won	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cornell University	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homeschool	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
St Patrick's Catholic Church	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers On the other hand, I do consider that WHO should not have anything to say in regards to our lives is concerned. WHO has done a terrible job in managing the covid crisis and should be terminated. It is a corrupt institution.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers Thank you, T. Harv
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Liberty warrior	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mancari	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned American Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nursing	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
L.A.F.E. MISSION	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mancari	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
university	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mancari	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
L.A.F. E. MISSION	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizens	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Body Stress Solutions	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private use	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
not affiliated with any specific organization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Woodside TTouch	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BoMar Development LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VSRF	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The ability of people in all nations to annul any WHO-imposed measures through voting or other political actions such as petitions or displays of people power. 4. Measures that prohibit the influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other corporations or organizations (eg the Gates Foundation) likely to profit or advance an agenda through pandemic measures mandated by the WHOs.
The Ream Family	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council For Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
DTCC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Emma See	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The University of Adelaide	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public (Mother of three, citizen, voter...)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers (including investors, or funders of any kind). 5. Measures that ensure safety for citizens, and accountability and liability in the event of injuries or damages from any recommendations imposed that member countries choose to follow. 6. Steps to ensure inclusion of diverse belief systems, including traditional medicines, natural medicines and religious considerations regarding health and well-being
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cocolin Internacional SA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Army Retired, VA Medical Center, West Palm Beach Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
JCWallin	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. All medical researchers and practitioners are included in debate & consensus. 6. The natural human right of individual sovereignty is always honored.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Paradox Lost	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
INDIVIDUAL	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Frisco First Baptist	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Regal precision	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Homestead	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
united states citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Rn	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Law Office of David L Cello	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Eileen Downing
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you in advance for your time.
Ultrasound	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USAF DoD Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the world	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Slow Tours	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
People of the world	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company or other profiteer.
GMEngineering	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that allow an individual to retain body autonomy.
Arizona Natural Health Center	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures to ensure decision makers have no conflicts of interest.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Catholic University of Eastern Africa	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Center for Truth	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. An individual must be allowed to make personal healthcare treatment choices that one deems best for themselves.
None- individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kleinbart Synergistic Chiropractic	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pharmacy	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Landless Gentry	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prohibit inappropriate influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and that prohibit any global health profiteering and racketeering by commercial companies.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Race	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Innovatics Laboratories	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Roman Catholic Church	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HUMAN BEING WITH GOD GIVEN RIGHTS	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
American Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Retired Entity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
(private individual)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. HUMAN RIGHTS ARE NOT ALIENABLE AND SO IS THEIR RIGHT TO DECIDE FOR THEIR OWN HEALTH!
Me	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bluewater District School Board	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Race	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned USA Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
physician	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hybrid	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health or corporate profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Christian	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profitee
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The people	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lighthouse	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Tutoring from the Hearth	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Respecting the autonomy and dignity of each human being, and therefore giving each person the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences, independent of their nation of origin.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No Organization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ventura Wellness Group	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Inclusion that respects the individual's right of bodily autonomy, especially in instances that may counteract their religious practices and historical scriptures.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Martin Szelag	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Pandemics should meet the criteria as defined 10 years ago as the new definition is open-ended 6. Under no circumstances may any international authority violate human rights including freedom of choice, freedom of movement, freedom of speech or freedom of association
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Student	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
1300health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. An oversight committee that includes chief health officers of all democratically elected governments. 6.The right of any person and all peoples to utilise proper informed consent ;and freedom of action to accept or refuse medical interventions.
Keep Americans Free - Denton	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Elizabeth D Chow Bryson	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Maggie Costigan - living woman	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jefferson health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
New Zealand	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Brian
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Acupuncture	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Children's Health Defense	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
We the people	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Other	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cans n Cones Recordings	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthy Community Society	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Keswani Fracture & Accident Hospital	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is extremely important given the outrageous profiteering that occurred at the expense of human life and health by these companies during the past 2 years.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bim	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5Articles laying out duties to individuals subject to the agreemwnt and opportunity for individuals of all nations to define their inalienable rights, as well as provisions for ensuring those rights are diligently reflected in any/all pandemic response planning and execution. 6. Articles defining consequences for abuse of any powers conferred by ratification of the agreement.
Civilian	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Informed Choice of Washington	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The ability of individuals to refuse any medical treatment that violates their conscience, without receiving any penalty as a consequence.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
YogaSam	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HFL	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Magellan International	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kiwanis International	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
US Citizens for freedom	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. That freedom of choice be retained by all citizens in terms of prevention & treatments of any declared pandemic & that none of those options be banned, discredited or otherwise suppressed.
Usa	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tugboat Group	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ccc	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Respect the right of every individual for choosing curing and medical needs.
https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/news/2022/04/stopthetreaty-comment-who-p#StopTheTreaty	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Michal Amir, MD	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on whether to adopt it -- including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, medical equipment or technology companies, or other global health industries or organizations.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Gruty	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
peoples party	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private UK citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
4dimensional	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ozlines	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Quiet Public Care	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Education	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
People of Europe	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dr.Maré Olivier Inc	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is my objections
CNP Technology Ltd.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self/public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Protecting Our Rights	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health organizations.
Concerned Citizen of the USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freelance	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TensorML	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NYCC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Forum Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health (member)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Knobloch Consult GmbH	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nope	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N. A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Being	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or bank institutes or similar financial institutes/companies. 5. Strict following of protection of data privacy: a guarantee that health status will not ever be connected to bank accounts, travelling, public life, medical aid, education, work etc., whatever pandemic is arising. Free access without conditions to all these topics must be guaranteed at any time in the future. We also need a guarantee, that keeping your health status a secret does not lead to any disadvantages. 6. A guarantee to never implement mandatory vaccinations or other mandatory (medical) treatments on the public as this needs to remain a personal choice.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Children's Health Defense	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TFC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Terra Firma	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-Employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mom's on wheels	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Invividual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CE	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Eldercare	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Universidad profesor	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
MPH Auto Services	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
state of california	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Any recommendations by WHO should be scrutinised and agreed by an external non partisan body of scientists who are not employed by or receive funding from governments or pharmaceutical companies before being put forward as policy.
CITIZENS UNITED	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tavistock Relationships	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Disney	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Civil iniciative	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
TSO	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Distributed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that DO NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Sovereign nations must allow citizens to vote on whether they accept that the WHO can override inalienable rights to freedoms and common law in their sovereign state, whether they accept the proposed WHO treaty.
Living woman	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bioresonance Practitioners	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Addressed to oms	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kupach	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK Resident	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
California School Nurses	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. A full assessment of any potential and expected harms which would be caused by policies including masking and lockdowns should be required.
Scuola del non sapere	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that ensure Informed Consent will be respected at all times at an individual level
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BHC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Who	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No infringement on individual human rights and bodily autonomy.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
DIA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not Applicable	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other parties with conflicting interests.
SEBA AG	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
xxx	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World health organisation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
OLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nine	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Victorian Government Department	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Father	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Otherwise I do not agree or comply with the WHO Treaty. > Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
Coach	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Human	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nurse	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Vet	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mother	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Otherwise I do not agree or comply with the WHO Treaty
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
An individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
jasmine therapies, po8, sitp lancing, diamond healers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nikau Heights	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Civilian	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ITECNZ	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nil	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Waimea College	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
EU CITIZEN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
StoneBros.dk	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Otherwise I do not agree or comply with the WHO Treaty
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Concerned UK citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Broad Oak Residents Group	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
British	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Moms who care	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NONE	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence as they must obey the will of their people through the democratic process. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers such as BMGF, Welcome Foundation, Rockerfella Institute etc..
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Eugenie Wellbeing: Yoga & Health Coaching business	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Garry Hunter	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Peace and Unification Sanctuary	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
David A Howard MD	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jenny	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen of sovereign nation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NIMH	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sound, Vibration and Crystal Therapy	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-Realization Fellowship	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canada	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Race	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign Citizens	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NONE	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My Own	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Consultant	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organisation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The people	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. On a general note, I reject that this instrument is presented as a foregone conclusion. Should such an instrument be deemed necessary, through debates at national, regional and/or local level, citizens must have an option to vote on it within the framework of a fully transparent and democratic process.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers - whether those profits be derived directly or indirectly..
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Australia	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I believe the WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Intuitek Medical Inc.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers.
Janelle Sherrard	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
NYS Art Educator	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
On behalf of Australian people	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public (UK)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EUROCONTROL	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Catterson Wood	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthy + Informed Georgia	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
pricate	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Guarantees that protect open discussion on all major platforms such as Twitter or Facebook (including criticism) of pandemic data, pandemic policy, and alternative responses.
NWO-I CWI	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RosenLove Virtual Assistants	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Cranfield University	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned American Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual Human Being	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places/for individuals(!!) where a majority of the people do not want it/if any individual doesn't want it, should be able to pull out from the agreement including the individual's underage children. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Hawk Aero Ltd	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Team Humanity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. There can be no question of denying individuals the freedom to choose whether or not to undergo medical treatment, vaccinations or other drugs, and there must be no question of punishment or coercion for exercising this basic and essential right
Self-Employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retied	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Where there is risk there must always be choice and each individual retains sovereignty over their own unique body.
Mr	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Susan Duggan Wellness	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Corrigan Oil	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Governmenr	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self Employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This treaty should be cancelled An Initio, Null and Void !
MPH Auto Services	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My Interest	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nil	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
pulse products	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Free	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In other words, this treaty shall not supersede the US Constitution. Any agreements that are contrary to the US constitution, or attempt to override the protections contained therein, are repugnant and will be immediately ignored by all agents and struck down as unconstitutional by the courts and the executive branch
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other
WebSolutions	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Mill Creek View Newspaper	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. A prohibition on including someone's immunization status on their driver's license. 6. Expansion of the exemption process for vaccine requirements in schools related to existing vaccines. 7. Anyone administering the vaccine must obtain written consent from the patient prior to reporting the administration of the vaccine or immunization to the statewide immunization registry. 8. An individual has the right to refuse any medical procedure, treatment, injection, device or prophylactic and may not be questioned or interfered with in any manner. Equality of rights under the law or access to places of public accommodation shall not be denied or abridged to any person in this city because of the exercise of the right under this section.
The Human Race	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Murata	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non org	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Individuals retain autonomy over their own bodies and have the right to determine their own medical treatment without consequence.
slick	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizens of Kansas City	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
GOP	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Resident of Montana	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Team Humanity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time & consideration
Pianist	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kootenay Freedom Alliance	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Heart of Worcestershire College	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Jeffrey Smith
FineTone	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Gentoo Foundation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Student	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
unaffiliated	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The King's School	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Women's Club Anaheim	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lerner Ministries	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
O2	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence by anyone without a proper medical education (A PHD and MD or an OD) with respect to public health.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
County of Orange	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. PLEASE
Norwegian citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University of Salford	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Food distribution	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No one at the WHO is ELECTED by the people of the world in case you missed it.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Canadian citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N.A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Usa	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
We the people	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Monash	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bravest for choice	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you & Regards, Hati Ozdem
Team Humanity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Usa	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in localities where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best Regards, Hati Ozdem
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
God & Country	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Me	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tana Saler	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Phoenix gateway	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or corporations.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and/or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UWM, LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Giorgio Lo Cicero	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health companies.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ThirtyOver Projects	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Resident	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired School Counselor for Campbell County, TN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I am very concerned about corrupt pharmaceutical companies buying influence to further their profits, while possibly hurting humans.

Organization	Written submission
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired RN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SGC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Manitou Medical LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Monty Winters, Inc.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The right for individuals to indefinitely withdraw their consent and retain their inalienable rights. In addition, the removal of any and all implied consent for their Government to sign any legal document (contract) forming an instrument or treaty on their behalf.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, individuals and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Information on the cost-benefit analysis for all possible courses of action with highlights to the costs of political actions like lockdowns and mandates and an emphasis on the mental and physical health toll caused by restricting basic human liberties with a focus on wholistic health and not just on numbers. The qualitative health of individuals within a society is extremely important.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement and its recommendations as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities, and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The treaty will have as it's centrepiece the preservation of individual free and fully informed consent. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. Must solely focus on providing guidance and information to signatory states. No orders, directions, mandates or laws. 7. Must have as a guiding foundation that the state of scientific and medical knowledge is constantly changing and irreducibly complex. Moreover, in recognition that history is replete with examples of genuine scientific/medical advancements that were met with broad based contempt (or at least resistance) sometimes for years or even decades before being accepted as correct, the instrument will champion openness, transparency and debate.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures must strictly uphold the medical principles of informed consent of the individual. 6. Measures must not require violating medical/personal privacy nor aim to classify and expose citizens to others or to authorities on the basis of their personal medical decisions. 7. Measures must not condition or undermine, directly or indirectly, the exercise of the right of "my body, my choice," and not make its exercise a basis for the loss of other basic human rights. 8. All individuals must have the right to refuse vaccinations and other medical treatments, free from punishment, fines, bribes, and coercion.
Retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ruth Perryman	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Hope Clinic MN	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Respecting the rights of the individual based on Nuremberg Code.
Concerned Doctors and Citizens of the Philippines	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
homesteader	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Davis County Utah Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own population. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by pharmaceutical companies or others with a potential bias or conflict of interest.
Genevacounselling	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what the people who elected them feel is best. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process easily accessible for all humans with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other private or public companies.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make independent decisions without consequence. 2. The ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self-employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make independent public health decisions. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that strictly rule out influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers (e.g. financial interests)
UEM Translations	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. There must be an open and transparent process whereby all people of the world can vote on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the enforcement of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that prohibit any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council For Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We have seen that a one-size-fits-all approach to healthcare has been a total, unmitigated failure. Lockdowns have not worked. Policy mistakes on a global scale have been made, with disastrous consequences. Corruption, greed and profit have preempted science. Accountability has been nonexistent. These are all major concerns when centralizing decisions in this way. Personally, I think an advisory role is the only one that might make sense and even that is suspect at this point.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for political, monitory, or undue influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. 3. All the people of the world should have an open and transparent process to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions on what they judge to be based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Proper checks, transparency, and measures to prevent pharmaceutical companies from influencing policy on health areas which they would profit from.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for ownership of - or influence in - the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or the WEF or the mainstream media.
member of the public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership we to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership, as well as individuals and families retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and their own families. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. As an unelected body, the WHO is unqualified to do anything more than make recommendations. These recommendations should be considered by responsible parties in light of their own contexts, but should not be lorded over the citizens of individual sovereign nations as mandates or rules. To do the latter would continue to cause malpractice across the board as individuals have different needs and risk tolerances.
Personel	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership, as well as individuals, retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people on a local level or in the case of individuals, on a personal level. 2. The ability of nations, local municipalities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to make their own personnel decision to prevent the application of the global agreement where an individual does not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Parents shall retain full authority to act for their minor children. 6. Children shall retain full authority to act for their parents who have invoked a durable power of attorney.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National, local leadership and individuals within a nation retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for themselves or their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National, state and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations, states and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned U.S. citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. Nations and local districts must have the right to opt out of any parts of the agreement that they deem unnecessary, harmful, or detrimental to their citizens. There should be no negative consequences for doing so. 2. Nations and local districts should retain the right to make decisions that are in the best interests of their citizens. 3. The option for ALL scientists and doctors throughout the world to contribute to future pandemic decision-making procedures, knowing that the more input allowed, the greater the chance of success in treating future illnesses. 4. Safeguards to prevent pharmaceutical companies and their partners (including global health philanthropists) from having influence over decision-making processes for future pandemics.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. Need national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Want the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Keep pharmaceutical companies or profiteers out of the process.
Independent	The following elements should be included: 1. Pandemic preparedness and response should be offered to governments only as guidelines and not legally binding in any way. 2. The process should be open and fully transparent with all influencing parties named and registered. 3. Systems and measurements should be put in place to deny input from any international parties with conflicts of interest. Specifically any party standing to profit financially.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. Provision for national and local governments retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2. Nations and local governments need to be able to freely opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers such as Bill Gates.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. Responsibility for one's health must remain with that person or, in the case of a minor, with his or her parents or legal guardian. Guidance from medical professionals and public health officials can be helpful, but must never carry the force of mandate. Community-wide initiatives must take precedence over state, national, or global recommendations, because community leaders know the needs of their populations far better than distant bureaucrats can. Further, even local health recommendations must remain recommendations only, with no force of law. Never shall an individual's autonomy over his or her own health be infringed. 2. It shall be the prerogative of any national, state, regional, county, municipal, healthcare, or personal entity to opt out of any and all recommendations with full impunity. 3. Agreement must be devised and enacted with full transparency, to include decision makers, objectives, specific elements of the agreement, and data-backed information on the success or failure of each element. A transparent process must be included whereby any nation, state, region, county, municipality, healthcare professional, or person may opt out of any or all provisions with full impunity. 4. No immunity from prosecution for any decision makers involved in devising the agreement.
AFR INVESTMENTS LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2. An open and transparent process with the ability for a wider group of people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. Add a firewall to prevent influence, financial or otherwise, in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
independent	The following elements should be included: 1. The human beings of the world, as living, breathing men, women and children, are in full autonomy over every aspect of their lives, including their health. All national and/or international instruments whatsoever are subordinate to the inherent dignity of living beings. 2. National and local representatives retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what their own people choose to do. 3. The ability of individuals, nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to fully access the treaty, speak and decide on it, including failsafe measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the people do not want it. 5. Failsafe measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Anita Kilby	The following elements should be included: 1. The INB and any organisations responsible for enacting a new international instrument be required to receive and consider the advice of epidemiological, medical and other relevant experts who are independent of the WHO and any government, pharmaceutical or philanthropic agencies, being persons/organisations who have no significant financial interest in nor expect to receive significant financial gain from the decisions of such agencies. 2. National and local government/authorities/leadership groups retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
useless person	The following elements should be included: 1. There should be an option for people who don't want to participate to be allowed to live freely and not be discriminated against. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The WHO is a privately funded organization with unelected officials that should not have the power to impose any global issues especially in relation to our immutable and inalienable human rights and our guaranteed right to informed medical consent for any procedure and without mandatory vaccination.
C&M	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Each country must manufacture its own vaccines when they are strictly necessary Y cada país debe fabricar sus propias vacunas cuando éstas sean estrictamente necesaria.
N/A	The following elements should be included: a) National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy to make their own decisions. b) Nations and local municipalities shall be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. c) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. d) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: I believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is a necessity. I believe an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is necessary. I believe that measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers is mandatory.
Private	Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the
General Public	The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action. 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healing Hub	The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines
None	The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices. 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are. 3. Providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA. 4. NEVER AGAIN choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action. 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines. AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people with the ability of nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Participating Governments or organisations should be free to modify their engagement with all and any WHO protocols The WHO recognises that substantive medical decisions should remain within the control of the clinical practitioners directly responsible for their patients WHO should actively seek out peer-reviewed papers and ongoing discussions amongst clinicians which dissent from whatever views are currently orthodox and continually challenge and if necessary revise their advice in order to ensure that it benefits from the continuing advance of science and excellent clinical practice. WHO should ensure complete transparency in all relations with pharmaceutical companies in order to sustain public confidence. WHO recognises that global application of non-pharmaceutical interventions may have indirect and non-medical consequences and undertakes to assess such consequences and make public their assessments.
Wamc	The following elements should be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Humans must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public.
N/A	The following elements should be included: National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. National and local leadership opt out with no consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	The following elements should be included: Nations should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Climate, culture and population are all different. There is no possible policy that can suit all. There must be an ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n.a.	The following elements should be included: The main principle should be to retain national sovereignty. Every nation has different circumstances so they must retain autonomy over matters pertaining to health. Centralised agency is counter to the principle of biodiversity and hence survival. Private interests should not determine health policies.
Truth for Health Foundation	The following elements should be included: WHO may provide non-binding recommendations and data analysis on vaccine safety with intensified warnings for the record numbers of deaths, injuries, and disabilities resulting from COVID-19 vaccination. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: *First, and of utmost importance, all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including in times when an emergency exists. This is based on the principle that health care must focus on the individualized needs of each unique human being and its local community. *All nations and local municipalities are granted the ability to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see appropriate for their own people, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures should be put into place that prohibit the influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies & other global health agencies.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: . 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No global identification outside of national passports, and no distribution of health information via (digital) health "passports" 6. Adherence to human rights 7. Freedom of independent information gathering, with no suppression of viewpoints. 8. Objective, measurable criteria for declaring a pandemic, including the existence of a verifiable transmittable disease, and confirmed deaths directly caused by the disease.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1 National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence 3 An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
P&J Design	The following elements should be included: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what's best for their own people. 2) The ability of Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full automony, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. An open and transparent voting procedure that will allow a local or national government unit to opt out of application the global agreement by a majority vote of the electorate. 2. Local and national leaders retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. Local and national governments reserve the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. Measures to insure there is no influence by private companies, ngo's, and foundations, including but not limited to those involved in or promoting pharmaceuticals, technology, health monitoring, biotech, banking, defense and weapons, and surveillance.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. Each country's national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, at any time, without consequence. 3. This must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on the contents. It must include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. It must include measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; 2. Human rights must be granted without exceptions 3. Guarantee for an open scientific discourse, in particular about the origin and danger of a potential disease, its test strategy and its therapy; 4. Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, review of information monopolies and possible censorship; 5. Guarantees of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of tests, drugs and vaccines; 6. Guarantees for the regulatory product standards in force before the covid pandemic 7. Statutory liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies. 8. Independent evaluation / independent reviewer of each action.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. Local community, city, state and national leaders should retain full authority to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. All municipalities -- local to national -- must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Require all processes to be open and transparent to allow a voting system with failsafe measures that protect localities where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Specific measures that prevent influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. Local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. ALL INDIVIDUAL SHOULD AT ALL TIMES HAVE FREEDOM OF CHOICE AS TO WHAT IS BEST FOR THEM AS AN INDIVIDUAL.
Individual concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local ELECTED leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The option for any nation and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence or decision making in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other corporations/entities that stand to profit from any said measures.
Student	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local governmental authorities retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote in such a way that failsafe measures would prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Full adherence to the Nuremburg Code without exception.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership and individuals retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Allow for individual medical and personal freedom of choice and refusal of WHO recommendation with out negative consequence.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people with input from the people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence at any time. 3. An open and transparent process must be established with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must not be permitted to influence the process or to select appropriate measures. 5. The response to any future pandemic must be dual focused, both preventative (vaccine) and treatment options must be explored. 6. Any negative effects for any and all new treatments or vaccines must be fully disclosed to the public within two weeks of occurrence.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be maintained. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a - just a private, concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prevent the undue influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy and sovereign, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen (United States of America)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Citizens don't vote for leaders within the WHO, they vote for leaders of their own respective country. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. People should make their own decisions about their own health. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal opinion	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
LoveIsGod	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Administer cheap yet effective therapeutics such as Vitamin D, clean water, nutritive food, etc. to all so as to boost our collective immune systems.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Individuals may follow personal principles without expectation of persecution.
Self employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Patriot	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Resident	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Commercial Design Associate, LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pavelchak Architecture, PA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self, US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Rivian Automotive	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Johnson & Bertram LLP	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers.
Trial Site News	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Toasty Tech Corp.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Tasmanian Chiropractors Assn	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Full informed consent needs to be recognised as a vital component of evidence based medicine.
HighlanderJuan LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired Environmental Scientist	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Trial Site News	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Trial Site News	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Microman Computers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VSRF	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 200,000 American have died from the vaccines and another 1M injured. 1M American dead from hospital remdesivir protocol. Our CDC and other Agencies lie to the public brazenly with media suppression of pushback. Early treatments suppressed. Oversight is already too weak. No way do we hand over Health decisions to a 3rd party over whom we have no oversight at all. We are Americans. With the help of competent doctors … not the idiots who run the US or WHO agencies, we will figure out the best response to a threat. No lockdowns unless WE agree in every locality.
Citizen of the United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Archer	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Local Government	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Yasmine	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Aventure Works Inc	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EU citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best Regards Rasmus
Rastmar	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pure Logic Technologies	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No affiliation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Architect	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on the inclusion of fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other organizations that profit from health-related pursuits. 5. Requirement to fully disclose to the public the scientific evidence relied upon and the rationale for all decisions that may affect the personal liberty and freedom of any individual subject to any measures of the agreement.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nie dotyczy	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none, representing self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Emboldened Living, LLC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
St. Olaf Church	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
St. Olaf Church	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthy Aging Coach	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No affiliation	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and global health or other profiteers.
Mine	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Neolife Diamite	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
from USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Prohibit censorship of any kind to debate merits of proposals during the entire process. 6. Separate the activity from World Economic Forum objectives. 7. We need solution recommendations using the world-wide resources (scientists, engineers, and many other experts), not an enforcement mechanism. 8. Any persons with the conflict of interest must be removed.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual - US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
G. Otsa nim Tallinna Muusikakool	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Artists' Union	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
R&T	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Koldeekspert OÜ	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Home	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A concerned citizen of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A, Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Local authorities are much more capable of managing public health directives. Directives are best when specific to the local area.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Stewart-Cooper-Newell Architects	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ReliaFund	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for the opportunity to share my thoughts.
Private Citizen of the USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human race	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Representing myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None (private citizen)	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen of Australia	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
community	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Victoria's AFC Home	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom keepers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IA USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
VA Freedom Keepers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Concerned Citizen, Esther
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My Life	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Us	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BreatheVA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
People for sane policies	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NONE	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None relevant	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
My house	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not applicable	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human Race	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia pharmacists	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
T5	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lisa Lupari Productions, Inc	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired nurse	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public, Citizen of the United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Minten Organic, Dairy Farms Inc	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom Keepers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Fighters	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
I am not affiliated with any organization. I am a member of the general public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
University of South Australia	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human being	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Praise Cooperative	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EGP	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TBA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personnal	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Whitewood & Associates	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Decision Boards need to consist of elected representatives from all scientific backgrounds as well as constituents from government, academia, business, and the general population.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Craig County, Virginia	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Marketing Excellence	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ACLJ	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
selfemployed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Organisation for concerned citizens	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Eesti Kultuuri Koda	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
praxis	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Viljandi Aken ja Uks AS	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. #StopTheTreaty
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Seventh Day Adventist	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Amazone Communicatie	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Upholding national and international human rights treaties (f.i. UDHR, the Neurenberg Code, the European EVRM etc.) as well other international treaties should prevail at all times and in all situations. With regards, Joyce Hamilton
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private person	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Parent	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Virginia Freedom Keepers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Soberania I Salud	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired from Environment Agency	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
JES Consulting	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self Employed	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Soberania i Salud	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
med device manager	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian Freedom	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ETC	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PERSONAL	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Local Cup	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Education	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
The Local Cup Coffee Co	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
N/A	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
LifeChoices Family Medical	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Full transparency and research backed measures specific to the perceived and actual threat and routine discussion and changes of the measures with community input. 6. Data driven approach with all data published foremost about the effectiveness of measures, with disavowing of measures failing to show significant impact.
HeadToToe	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BFT Ltd.	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PCI	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5.No legal terms or agreements which violate National Sovereignty in any form. 6. A definition of pandemic which is based in scientific fact.
SJE Management AG	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
TeMe Tennis Menzingen AG	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General population	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
SLU	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
T & T Computers	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
myself	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None-individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
justme	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American Citizen	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Small business	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
non-profit organization	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Woodside Dental	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should Retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out at any and all portions of the agreement without consequence should be included. 3. There should be a transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures that will prevent the application of global agreement in places where majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and And all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
World Council for Health	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measure that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Foundation for the Advancement in Cancer Therapies...www.rethinkingcance	The following elements should be included: 1. The Nuremberg Code, the US Bill of Rights, and the Hippocratic Oath to serve as a basis for all decision making 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The WHO is an unelected body. It must not have the right to override any national laws and regulations. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The ability of individuals to opt out of laws, regulations, or mandates if they violate basic human rights, as set forth in the Bill of Rights. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Such measures must include pharmaceutical companies' donations to legislators, members of the judiciary, media outlets or those working for or with the media....any media.
KM Wealth Management	The following elements should be included: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The right of an individual to decide what drugs, treatments, preventatives can be introduced into their body must remain intact.
For the People	The following elements should be included: 1.National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: a) National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. b) The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. c) An open and transparent process is required on which all people of the world can vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. d) Measures must be included that ensure there is no influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organizational affiliation.	The following elements should be included: a) national, state/province, and municipal leaders retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own population. This full autonomy needs to include the right to determine when an emergency exists. b) Countries, states/provinces, and municipalities to be able to opt out of any, and every, part of the agreement as they see fit, with no penalty or consequence. c) An open and transparent process that all people of the world can vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in municipalities, states/provinces, and countries in which the majority of the people do not want it. d) Bodily autonomy is an inalienable human right and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable human right. e) Measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any, and all, pharmaceutical companies or other for-profit global organizations.
Citizen	The following elements should be included: i. National and local leadership retain full autonomy and rights under the US Constitution & Bill of Rights, and each country's respective laws of governance, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. ii. The ability of nations and local municipalities to fully or partially opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. iii. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. iv. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following elements should be included: Most importantly: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies, and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices 2. The human right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline, and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA 4. NEVER AGAIN, not ever, choosing a one-size-fits-all course of action 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate, and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines, and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines AND 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on,
MassResistance	The following elements should be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Folkebevægelsen for frihed	The following elements should be included:1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No vaccine mandates should be included.
None	The following elements should be included:1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BBA	The following elements should be included:1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Psykoterapi & Livssamtaler	The following elements should be included:1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions
NA	The following elements should be included:3144 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Freedom Defense Fund	The following elements should be included; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private/personal/individual	The following elements should be unarguable: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, subject to the agreement of the people they serve and represent, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the country's population. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Key: Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Local and national laws are sacrasant and cannot be varied unless by the polulations of the interested countries 6. Remembering above all in any instrument, that the WHO is not a democratically elected body by the people of any nation and it should acknowledge and not work around this fact.
United States	The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit. without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The following elements should be included:- 1. Nations should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own country and people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company or other health profiteers.
n/a	The following elements should/must be included: a. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. b. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they deem appropriate, without consequence. c. An open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. d. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global HEALTH PROFITEERS.
Not applicable	The following elements to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Concerned Private Citizen of the United States of America	The following factors must be included: 1. The World Health Organization (WHO) and the "best practices" they may advocate shall not be incorporated into any legal requirements in any country at any level nor shall they be given the force of law in any jurisdiction. Those jurisdictions that may choose to implement any or all of the recommended practices, processes and procedures of the WHO shall not be used in any manner so as to conspire against the people of the various jurisdictions around the globe, shall not be used by governments to collude or otherwise organize tyranny of any sort against the people they represent nor shall they conflict with or usurp any rights, freedoms and liberty of those any such practices may ostensibly serve and protect. 2. National, state and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Inner Domain Sacred Ministry	The following guidelines are to be adhered to in the treaty. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following highly most important elements should be included: 1. Acknowledgement of the rights of the individual in any nation to choose what they do to their own bodies and to their children's bodies in their own homes, without threat, coercion or punishment for these choices. 2. The individual's right to fully informed consent - making it clear if the side-effects of any chosen treatment are unknown, making it clear what the ACTUAL risks are. 3. Treating adults as adults, providing the full spectrum of ALL available information and a proposed course of action or guideline and reasons for this action WITHOUT FEAR OR PROPAGANDA. 4. The individual's right to deny any medical drug, vaccine or treatment. 5. An acknowledgement of the rights for discussion, debate and presentation of new information by all doctors on the frontlines and taking this information into consideration when changing and amending these guidelines. 6. National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 7. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. 8. An open transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 9. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freie Universität Berlin, TU Dresden	The following Instruments are needed: Obligation to lead a fundamental scientific debate includes pro and con meaning and current studies, ist IS Not allowed from the gourvernments to control the scientists, , souverenty of each member state, threats and consequences from Universities against the schientists, who are contra any measurements, are forbidden
None	The following is copied with permission, but I attest and affirm this statement as my testimony on the topic of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	The following is incredibly important to include in the treaty: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There can not be a one-size fits all approach in a world as diverse as our own. Thank you for taking the time to read through this.
Self	The following is my recommendations for any consideration of this idea. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Ideally, no plan should be made on a worldwide basis.
Private	The following is my response to the question posed for "consultation" which is "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" There should be NO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response"! Every sovereign nation must respect the sovereignty of its citizens regarding their own health care, in alignment with the Nuremberg Codes, the Helsinki Accords, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The WHO should play a purely educational and advisory role, which the sovereign citizens of every nation are free to accept or disregard, as they choose. The WHO's educational and advisory focus should be on enhancing individual and collective physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social, and environmental health through the most natural, least invasive possible means. Prevention and treatment options may be suggested by the WHO, but the final decision in every case must be made by the patient and their chosen health care providers. "Public health" is an aggregation of individual health decisions. For an international body such as WHO the only appropriate role is to educate and recommend, AND to clean up the political, economic, and environmental conditions (i.e. animal abuse, ecological degradation, and poverty) that foster ill health and lead to pandemics.
Private Citizen USA	THE FOLLOWING IS NEEDED: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. THANK YOU. Mrs. Dianne Plourde
Human Race	The following is non negotiable. We will not condone a power grab by a private company. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following is what I believe should be included in the new international instrument of pandemic preparedness and response policy: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Debbie Starr
Independent researcher	The following issues should be seriously addressed by the WHO: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
free citizen	The following items must be included in any treaty or such agreement imposed by the WHO. The WHO, an un-elected and largely ungoverned body, has in recent years demonstrated a fondness for gross over-reach of its authority and this must not be allowed to persist. 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
None	The following items need to be considered. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Global Citizen	The following items need to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: • Regarding any pandemic preparation and response, the WHO must allow for national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • Nations and local municipalities must be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the WHO pandemic response plan as they see fit, without consequence. • WHO must be open and transparent in their pandemic preparation and response with the ability for all people of the world to vote on it, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • The WHO pandemic preparation and response measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following items should be addressed in any negotiated treaty: Federal (USA) and local leadership reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Federal (USA) and states (and possibly smaller constituencies such as counties, cities, or towns) must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. In order to accept such a treaty, there must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be controls in place that prohibit pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers from influencing the voting on the treaty and establishing processes and procedures for addressing world health issues.
Citizen	The following items should be included, 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local councils to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3 Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 4 Open and transparent process with the ability fir all people of the world go vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do NOT want it.
Possibilities In Properties LLC	The following items should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	The following items should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign Citizen	The following items should be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Jennifer Urbain
L3Communications	The following language must be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following measures need to be implemented with immediate effect. 1. The definition of what constitutes a pandemic is returned to its past definition before Pharmaceutical companies interfered and altered it to be easier to trigger pre-existing contracts that made them huge profits. 2. No global organisation can forcibly override the wishes of a country or individual. 3. The individual Human Rights and individual freedom of choice to have bodily autonomy and the right to refuse the administration of any product, pharmaceutical, vaccine or gene therapy cannot be overriden by any person, law, whether national or international. 4. The right to choose bodily autonomy must not be used to disadvantage that individual in any way that restricts any of their existing human rights. 5. Pharmaceutical companies have no place in any decision-making process given their vested interests and inability to provide honest and transparent information to date.
UK Citizen	The following measures should be included: 1. First DO NO HARM! 2. All measures must be based on real-world nationally or locally sourced data not computer modelling. 3. All measures must be proportionate. 4. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any global measure, in whole or part, deemed unsuitable for their own conditions and their own people. An acceptance that 'one-size fits all' solutions are not viable in the modern world. 5. Measures to ensure opting out of global mandates or other policies can be done without consequence to or sanctions on any individual. 6. Measures to ensure that policy is not driven by pharmaceutical or other vested interest groups or individuals. Conflicts of interest must always be declared. 7. All diagnostic tests must be fit for purpose and not be designed to generate false positives. 8. All cases to be confirmed by a physician not by diagnostic testing alone. 9. Measures to ensure that fear is not used against members of the medical professions and the general population to encourage compliance. The sovereignty of the individual must always be respected.
As an individual	The following must be considered: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The WHO needs to be accountable for any misconduct in nation states that adopt the international instrument.
Personal	The following must be essential: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Myself	The following must be in place: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Adriana
And Cru	The following must be included for this to have any legitimacy: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Rgds Jonathan
Cambridge English, Ansan, South Korea	The following must be included if any protocol is made 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people 2. All nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Any protocol must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be in place that prevent any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health organisations that have an financial interest in the protocol David C Halloran
myself	The following must be included in a global agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following must be included in an international pandemic response. Human Rights are inalienable and may not be suspended because of emergencies. The rights of nations and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Bureaucracts do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct.The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable human right. Vaccine status can't be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel,work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdown and quarantine of healthy people is wrong. We demand complete transparency of depersonalized data from clinical studies. Everyone has the right to her own opinion and the right to speak her mind in public. Manufacturers and administrators of health care products and or procedures must be held liable for damages caused by their products and services.
Province of Alberta	The following must be included in any agreement: 1. Canada and its provinces must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of Canada, its Provinces and its local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following must be included in ANY treaty/ agreement: - Sovereignty must be retained. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - All nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any, and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process which allows all people of the world to vote , including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures prevent the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profitee
n/a	THE FOLLOWING MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE PANDEMIC TREATY: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the legal or moral right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are not allowed. 7. Complete transparency is essential. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	The following must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1.Human rights are inalienable and may not be suspended by governments. 2.Inalienable human rights supersede those of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations. 3. The right to life is an inalienable right and there is no right for bureaucrats to deny life saving medication. 4.. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable human right. 5. People have an inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses and vaccine status cannot be used to restrict this. 6. To lock down and quarantine healthy people is wrong. 7. There needs to be complete transparency. Data from clinical studies should be available to everybody in real time. 8. All humans have the right to their own opinion and to be able to express it. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any damage caused by these.
None	The following must be included in the proposal: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Member of the general public	The following must be included in the treaty: 1. Opt out allowed for all nations, and locales, for any and all parts of the agreement as they judge to be in the best interests of their people, without there being any negative consequences. 2. The process must be conducted in an open and transparent manner, which has a clearly advertised and easy method of voting for all people of the world; plus infallible measures to halt the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3.Full autonomy retained by local and national leaders thus reserving the right to make decisions based on what is in the best interests of their own population. 4. To include measures that prohibit completely undue influence of the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Steve Kirsch's Vaccine Safety Organization	The following must be included 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian Citizen	The following MUST be included, otherwise this instrument will represents a direct attack on the democratic process of nations: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private U.S. citizen	The following must be included. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
IEEE ORG	The following must be included: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States citizen	The following must be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following must be included: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and voted in by the people. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. All processes must be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Autonomous citizen of a Republic aka USA	The following must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U.S. citizen	The following must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kurausu Shokai	The following must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following must be included; 1/ Both local and National leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make their decisions based upon the best outcome for their people. 2/ The ability of both local and National groups to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they wish, with out any penalty or sanction. 3/ An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the population do not want it. 4/ Measures that exclude influence from any pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers or their agents or lobbyists or similar. 5/ The individual doctor-patient relationship must be protected, with no performance related bonuses to be paid according to volume by either Governments or pharmaceutical companies directly. 6/ Complete transparency for all clinical trials, with all depersonalised data to be made available in real time for independent scrutiny and analysis. 7/ All medical professionals, doctors and scientists must have their free speech protected at all times. 8/ People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
private	The following must be taken into account guarantee of state sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability of government officials/pharmaceutical companies Objective enlightenment of the people Provide alternatives. Vaccination must remain voluntary. Objective testing of vaccines for side effects, review of prescriptions by an independent body that is not funded by donations, Self-responsibility of people by strengthening the immune system, Sport and socializing promote instead of distance and lock downs
self	the following need to be included in any WHO legislation: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. unless USA has national healthcare for all, this will not succeed - it is all too piecemeal re US citizen's health
None - individual	The following needs to be addressed as to whether citizens wish the sovereignty of the nation state to be over ridden by the WHO, which has not been democratically elected by the people. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following needs to be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right for an individual to try is an inalienable right. No government has the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacred and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time to decide for themselves. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. The People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	The following needs to be included in the treaty: .National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freie Ahrensburger	The following needs to be included: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Any and all information that is taken as a basis for any action has to be put online for public review and kept there permanently, prior any action whatsoever being taken. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Speller Metcalfe limited	The following needs to be included: National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following needs to be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
-	The following needs to be part of this treaty; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following points are important and should be considered: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
member of public	The following points are my view, and hopefully positive contribution. · That National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind Regards
August East Ltd	The following points are necessary: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Full disclosure of all data on any treatment put forward by WHO, before any such treatment is used on the general public.
Private	The following points are of vital importance and must be taken into account prior to any decisions made by the WHO on pandemic preparedness: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There must always be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. At all times, measures must not be put into place that allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom organization	The following points are of vital importance: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following points are ones I agree must be included in any future international pandemic responses: Human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged, regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize for their health. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, organization nor government has the right to demand that another person use any treatment on or in their body. No person, organization nor governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All humans have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services. All citizens have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed.
United States of America	The following points are some essentials for any legitimate system aimed at assisting humans created in the image and likeness of God with unalienable rights rooted in our identity, and our Creator. Any system that does not recognize, honor, and advance our humanity would lack legitimacy, credibility, and would be sure to fail the very people you intend to help. Bear this well in mind. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following points I consider to be vital .. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in a nuanced way which may optimise the application of local knowledge and differences. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without undue consequence. Trusting local regions to have the expertise to make decisions based on their understanding of unique local conditions. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote on including measures that will prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures to counter influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
individual	The following points must be a guideline: 1) National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) We must have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen of USA	The following points must be addressed and incorporated in any global pandemic preparedness: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Ensure measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The following points must be addressed and incorporated in any global pandemic preparedness: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Ensure measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired English professor and mother	The following points must be addressed and incorporated in any global pandemic preparedness: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Ensure measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
iMhomeopathy	The following points must be addressed and incorporated in any global pandemic preparedness: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote; including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Ensure measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following points must be adhered to: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	The following points must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	The following points must be taken into account: 1. National and local leadership must at any point retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process at any time with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers at any time.

Organization	Written submission
Private	The following points need to be addressed immediately. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards Dick Powell
Cultured Caveman	The following points need to be included in the legislation: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	The following points should be added: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Diane Tregear	The following points should be included as a priority: 1. That the National and local governing body of countries, retain full control, reserving the right to during any outbreak, to implement procedures they deem to be in the best interests of the citizens. 2. That nations can opt out of any agreement, in part or in full, at any time. 3. The agreement must be open and transparent, with each point voted on, and agreed to by all the people of all member nations. 4. Fail safe measures to prevent interference in any way of any pharmaceutical company or any company profiteering from pharmaceuticals e.g. any Bill Gates owned company.
none	The following points should be included at a minimum. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthy and Informed Georgia	The following points should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness response: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
human family	The following points should be included: - transparency, - a wide public discussion from different points of view, - personal responsibility and freedom of choice for every one.
Citizen	The following points should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following points should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
retired	The following points should be noted regarding this matter: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Ultimately, every individual has the right over his or her own body, health issues, and our rights and freedoms should not be compromised by any rulings from unelected global bodies. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Freedom	The following points: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the UK	The following principles must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Doctors must have autonomy to advise and use treatments thry think appropriate. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and should never be supported. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Retired	The following principles must be included!!! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Unaffiliated	The following provisions are indispensible to protect sovereignty, democracy and accountability: - National and local governments to retain full autonomy, reserving their right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, if necessary overriding WHO directives or guidance. - Unilateral ability for national and local governments to opt out of any and all provisions of the agreement, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on individual measures. - Measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that prevent pharmaceutical corporations and other global health profiteers from influencing the process and provisions of the agreement. - A requirement that WHO refrains from implementing any measures contraindicated by scientific evidence.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	The following provisions must be part of the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend essential human rights on any pretext. 2. The rights of states, groups and organizations are subsumed by the human rights of the individual. 3. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct. The right to informed consent is enshrined in international law.. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable rights to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces. 6. Lockdowns of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency of data. 8. Censorship of alternative ideas is the most direct route to tyranny and persecution. 9. Pharmaceutical companies must be held liable for all and any harm caused by the products they release for public use.
US citizen	The following provisions should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health Choice Maine	The following requirements should be included in the proposed global agreement that would help prevent a misguided or erroneous policy from creating a global disaster: 1. Full autonomy -- the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people -- must be retained by national and local leadership. 2. Any level of government must be able to freely opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit. 3. A safeguard mechanism must be provided that creates the opportunity for people to vote on a provision that provides for a majority vote at any level as to whether or not to apply a proposed global policy in a given situation. 4. Firewalls must be in place that prevent influence on the process by any pharmaceutical company or any other global health entity that has a potential profit motive in that process, and this should hold as well for the initial creation of the agreement.
Individual	The following safeguards are needed to any pandemic policy in order to ensure national sovereignty and the will of the people to prevail: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following shall be included in any document or agreement involving the current or future pandemics: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities shall be able to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The process shall be transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures preventing the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Any agreement shall include measures that prohibit influence in the requirements and/or process by any and all pharmaceutical, chemical or genetics companies, or other global health non-governmental organizations.
United States	The following should be added to allow sovereign states self mitigation of suggested mandates. Please do not attempt to take control a freedom from the people. Guidelines are appropriate in this regard. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteering. Thank you for your time.
General public	The following should be addressed/included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Individuals ultimately retain the right to make the ultimate decision on how their life and health should be addressed.
n/a	The following should be considered for inclusion. No country should sign away their sovereignty on health care; each country needs to be encouraged to develop their own pandemic strategy because a one-size-fits-all approach for the whole world, is not the right way to proceed. Private donors to WHO, who have their own personal agendas as well as others with vested interests who stand to profit, should not be involved in any of the process. The process should be open and ethical.
citizen	The following should be in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. A statement that WHO does not represent the world's citizens and therefore has no enforcement powers. 2. As WHO does not represent the world's citizens, it's new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must clearly and emphatically state that WHO (a) has no role or power to define or declare a 'pandemic'; (b) advise or direct any government actions, contracts or contract terms; (c) suggest, direct, authorize or supervise any behavioural, social, medical, surveillance or data-collection interventions anywhere. 3. The WHO instrument must, without making any exceptions for any special circumstances, safeguard and ensure: (a) the individual human right to bodily autonomy without restrictions or recriminations; (b) informed consent for medical testing and treatment without coercion or blackmail; (c) 100% legal liability of every agency, provider, manufacturer, person who markets or promotes fear, behavioural or social measures, tests, treatments, injections, surveillance, 5G/EMF networks and/or data-collection; (d) guaranteed free, unrestricted, un-tracked and full participation in all human activities (eg work, business, travel, education, sport, entertainments) regardless of life-style, medical condition or treatment choices; (e) acknowledge that 5G/EMF networks threaten human health and freedom.
N/a	The following should be included 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following should be included -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the public	The following should be included and/or adhered to: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The following should be included in a new international agreement on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The following should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Meaning that WHO should not interfere with personal health decisions of people. WHO must not have power over the health decisions of anyone, especially not when there is not open public scientific dialogue and when data is hidden and falsified. WHO is not a body elected by the people and therefore should not make decisions for the people either.
Rainbow Energy Healing	The following should be included in a new int'l instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1) Thorough early treatment strategies, protocols, and library of supportive studies allowing for re-purposed drugs and natural treatments to be made available. 2) A clear media strategy that educates public on the natural benefits of vitamins, minerals, herbs, foods, and other supplements that are readily available to aid the human immune system in staving off disease. 3) The ability for local municipalities, regional territories, and nations to opt out of any and/or all portions of the agreement as deemed by the respective municipality, territory, or nation without repercussion. 4) A transparent voting process that may allow for majority vote to opt out of the agreement and its implementation. Effectively, a majority vote must be obtained in any region to implement that agreement. 5) An Ethics Board of elected representatives that is in no way operated by the WHO, that defines, supports, and monitors morality and ethics, that supports the best interests of human health and safety, and that is free of conflicts of interest in relation to the implementation of the agreement, with special focus on ensuring that pharmaceutical companies or other industrial, commercial, private interests have no influence over the agreement or its implementation.
Logan king R.M.T	The following should be included in all institutions attempting to protect the people on a global scale from any current and future pandemics, these reflect a true democracy of health and safety of all. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kindest Regard, Logan King.
self	The following should be included in any agreement with WHO: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following should be included in any global plan of pandemic preparedness: 1. No top-down decision(s) can be made affecting any group that has not agreed by MAJORITY RULE to accept such decision(s). 2. 100% assurance that any country and/or any local municipality can withdraw WITHOUT CONSEQUENCE from all, or any part, of any agreement. 3. Assurance that no global agreement will be imposed anywhere on any peoples without their express consent and approval. 4. Strict adherence to the Nuremberg Code. 5. No involvement or influence allowed from any organization or company that can profit in any way from decisions made by this proposed body. This includes, but is not limited to, pharmaceutical companies and health organizations.
Private	The following should be included in any type of international response agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following should be included in any WHO treaty ... 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving their right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Strict and carefully monitored measures should be in place that prevent pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers from influencing the process.
Private citizen	The following should be included in the document: 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prohibit participation in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other groups who profit from healthcare initiatives.
Grenada Human Rights Org. FreeConsent.Org	The following should be included in the International Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness and Response (IIPPR): Art 7 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR); ICCPR Art 4.2, stating Art 7 is non derogable (regardless of the exigency); Definitions of the terms "free," "consent," "subjected," "experimentation," as set forth in Art. 7; CCPR General Comment (CCPR) No. 20's language that states have a duty to protect those within their jurisdiction against violations of Art. 7 by public and/or private actors; The educational, informational and reporting requirements as enumerated in CCPR No. 20, paragraphs 8,10,11 & 14; CCPR No. 20, Paragraph 13's enunciation that "those who violate Art. 7, whether by encouraging, ordering, tolerating or perpetrating prohibited acts, must be held responsible." Finally, as a pre-condition to any state's ratification of the IIPPR, that state's legislature should be required to adopt Art. 7's enabling legislation as mandated by ICCPR Art. 2.2.
Private Citizen	The following should be included in the new policy: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Member of the Public	The following should be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 'The People's Treaty' 1 Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2 The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3 The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4 Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5 Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces of businesses. 6 Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7 We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8 Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9 All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health-care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10 People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. A brief summary of my opinions:- The WHO's rush to enhance and strengthen the WHO without first coming to terms with your abject failures over the past many years only exposes your unmatched arrogance. The WHO's claims of seeking equity, transparency, accountability and unity are disgustingly hollow and deceitful. The WHO's facade of an international treaty is simply a poorly disguised power-grab. The WHO should be abolished and replaced ASAP.
Calhoun Chiropractic PC	The following should be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
human	The following should be included in the proposed new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The treaty must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The treaty must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Conflict of interest positions must be fully disclosed to the public and organizations.
none	The following should be included in the proposed new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The treaty must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The treaty must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The following should be included in the treaty: A national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Allowing people to bodily autonomy and decision making to take place with their physician. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
OPENGLOS.CO.UK	The following should be included within the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The option for all citizens of each country the ability to decline consent to this instrument. The full ability for all national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people within the UK. The option for all nations and local municipalities to have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The assurance that there is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The absolute agreement that all measures that influence the process does not include any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This includes 'philanthropists' like Bill Gates, George Soros and friends.
Remember to Breathe Health Center	The following should be included • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The following should be included: 1. All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code, and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial, and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries, and under all circumstances.
No affiliation	The following should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Individuals should have complete control over their own health. Jen
None	The following should be included: 1) national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, 2) the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, 3) an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it, 4) measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following should be included: 1. Constraints that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all for profit companies. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy. Reserve the right to make national or local decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. National and local ability to opt out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open process with the ability for people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
United States of America	The following should be included: 1. Full retention of national autonomy and the freedom of national governments to make independent decisions for its own people. 2. No penalty for national or local municipalities opting out of any and all portions of the agreement. 3. Open and transparent voting on all global agreements to allow the People of the world to reject any and all portions of the agreement. 4. Prohibition of pharmaceutical or other companies that have a financial vested interest from participating or influencing the agreement.
Public	The following should be included: 1. National and local leaders should retain independent decision making ie have the right to decide what is good for their own populations. 2. Ability of countries and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of agreements as see fit, without consequence. 3. Open and transparent process, and all the people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will stop the application of the global agreement in places, where the majority of people don't want it. 4. Measures that don't allow for influence in the process by all pharmaceutical and global health profiteers.
Self	The following should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The following should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without sanction or consequence. 3. An open and transparent process, respecting democratic rights, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Compass Health MD	The following should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States citizen	The following should be included: 1. The new international instrument must be advisory only. Without that ability, there is no accountability for the WHO. But with such a provision, the WHO is forced to not only respect national sovereignty but reflect input from all participating nations in order to avoid countries exempting themselves from the instrument. 2. Nations and all governmental bodies (such as city or local government) within those nations retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and all governmental entities within those nations (such as city or local government) to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on the proposed instrument, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, private foundations, and/or non-governmental organizations (NGOs).
self	The following should be included: Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies!
NHS donors	The following should be included: :National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. :The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. :An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. :Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
bbalanced	The following should be included: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	The following should be included: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, with no consequences. 3) An open and transparent process, with the ability for all people worldwide to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following should be included: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following should be jncluded: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Recognition of basic human rights to refuse medical treatment, to have unobstructed movement, right to gainful employment. Policy that encourages open debate and looks at supplementation and alternative medicine as a treatment option for a virus. A policy that puts the onus on employer to create a safe work place without resorting to vaccines that breach human rights Emphasis on personal freedom to choose
None	The following should be maintained 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following should be observed: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
unafiliated	The following statements fully represent what I feel needs to be included in any treaty to help ensure sovereign and democratic rights: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United kingdom	The following statements must be included in any Pandemic Treaty to protect the inalienable rights of individual citizens: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. I demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	The following statements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The following stipulations must be included: 1. National, regional and municipal governments to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on local needs of their own citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all requirements of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process of consultation by referenda with the ability for all citizens around the world to vote including failsafe measures that will veto any agreement in places where a majority of the people are opposed to it. 4. Safeguards that prevent any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or any other person involved in the decision making process. 5. Processes to ensure a full and rigorous investigation into the potential consequences of any rules imposed, i.e. mask wearing causing potential lung and heart damage as well as severe impairment in the development of children, devastating adverse side effects (including death) of untested, hastily manufactured vaccines, severe physical and mental health problems caused by unnecessary lockdowns. It has become known that these three examples are factual results of rules already imposed over the past two years. 6. Legal redress and unlimited compensation for any individual adversely affected by any requirement or restriction imposed on them against their will.
General Public, US citizen	The following stipulations MUST remain in place for UNITED STATES citizens: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Sincerely, Brad Tierney
No Organization	The following substantial elements must be included in a new international instrument for pandemics: - Applying Natural Law, Civil Rights, Transparency and Freedom of Choice on all levels - Transparency on all levels (no misuse of media / preferencing pharmaceutical companys with just one goal which is to force vaccination and opress natural treatments, e.g. homeopathy)
Dr. Katherine Ryan	The following substantive elements are absolutely necessary in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: National and local leadership must retain all decision-making authority in regards to public health. Local leadership must reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; local leadership must have the freedom to take into account all cultural and socioeconomic factors that affect their own population. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without notice or consequence. There must be a transparent and a democratic process that will approve or nullify the application of the global agreement. Finally, there should be measures in place that do not allow for influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time.
-	The following substantive elements are essential and must be considered: - There must be no compulsory vaccinations. - Fundamental and human rights must always be respected and carefully weighed. - All scientific opinions, especially critical voices, must be included in decisions. - No one must be discriminated on the basis of their personal health decisions. - All decision-making must be made available in full transparency. - All decisions must be made fully transparent. - Private donations to WHO must be prohibited.
general public	The following substantive elements must be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Immutable guarantees that the constitutional rights and freedoms of sovereign nations will and must always take precedence over any terms or conditions of the international instrument. 2. Immutable guarantee that informed consent will be required from all people for any medical interventions resulting from the international instrument. 3. The ability of sovereign nations and their local governments to opt out of any and all portions of the international instrument as they so desire without consequence. 4. Strict mechanisms that prevent any and all pharmaceutical companies or other commercial or global health enterprises from influencing the international instrument creation and implementation process. 5. An open and transparent process that provides for all people of the world to vote and includes failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the international instrument in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
None - personal capacity/member of the public	Treaty": 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights and cannot over-ride individual human rights. 3. The right to try treatment is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine or other medical status cannot be used to restrict people's fundamental and inalienable rights to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces, to businesses or services. 6. Informed consent (without pressure, coercion or penalty/restriction being applied) to accept or refuse a treatment (given the full risks and benefits and alternative treatments) is the bedrock of ethical medicine and must never be compromised or denied to any human being regardless of circumstances 7. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong, unethical and must never be used again 8. Complete transparency is critical. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 9. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 10. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and

Organization	Written submission
Sovereign Person	The following substantive elements must be included, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The following substantive elements must be included. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is essential. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are obviously essential.
Private individual	The following substantive elements need to be be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response:- Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health organisations where profiteering is the prime motivation. That any member of the International Negotiating body declare their interests in commercial or foundations that may profit from this instrument. The ability of nations and local governments to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. National and local leadership need to retain the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Member of the general public	The following substantive elements need to be be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best regards Graeme Cook
Self	The following substantive elements need to be included in a global pandemic treaty which cannot override national constitutions and individual choice: The free choice of individuals to decide medical treatment for themselves without loosing their livelihood. Full informed consent to be provided regarding experimental treatments, with cost and benefit analyses for all options of available treatment. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Registered Nurse and Canadian citizen	The following substantive elements need to be included: As National and local leadership have a better understanding of the needs of their citizens, it is imperative that they retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be granted the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. An open and transparent process is needed with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will precent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures need to be in place that prevent any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers from influencing the process.
Member of the Public	The following substantive elements should be included a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local elected country leaders must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions, based on what is best for their own people, and to be responsible and accountable to their people for the decisions. 2. Nations and local governments must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, other than to their electorate. 3. There must be an open and transparent process to enable all people of the world to vote on pandemic preparedness and response applicable to their nations and regions, including failsafe measures that will enable a global agreement to be blocked where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be legally enforceable measures that ban the influence in pandemic preparedness and response of any and all pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers.
DCAA	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Preferably there should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, because I think it is not needed. Governments, countries and states should be sovereign and take decisions based on what is best for their people.
private	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. - Mandatory vaccines must be forbidden. - Discriminatory measures must be prohibited. - All measures must take full account of all health interests, and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states. - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance.
United States of America	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
United States of America	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Metamorphoseeds	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Metamorphoseeds	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Respect for the principles of informed consent and bodily autonomy (without coercion of any kind, including implicit or indirect). 2. Respect for privacy, particularly with regard to health information and genetic material. 3. Transparency of process and decision-making to ensure it is based on publicly available scientific data and uninfluenced by commercial interests.
Stop 5G Philippines	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. RETAIN LOCAL AUTONOMY of Local and National Leadership - we know what is best for our own people. 2. OPT-OUT ability of nations and local municipalities of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. TRANSPARENCY - Open process with ability for all people of the world to vote on 4. FAIL SAFE MEASURES - Prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. NO PHARMA INFLUENCE - Measures to prevent pharma or other global health profiteer influence in the process.
Private	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: It is necessary to ensure - that national/local regulatory bodies retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own population. - that participants or participating nations or organizations can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see this necessary, without consequences. - that an open and transparent process takes place enabling all people of the world to vote on measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - that pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers cannot influence the process.
Vereinigte Direktkandidaten Deutschland	The following substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: Pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice. The personal responsibility of each individual and people should be respected.
N/A	The following substantive elements should be included in any new international pandemic preparedness and response treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable and may not be suspended due to "emergencies." 2. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. 3. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable rights to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 4. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 5. All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 6. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on the best interests of their constituents. 7. National and local leadership must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 8. The process must be open and transparent. 9. Measures must be included to prevent the influence by pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration.
Healthy+Informed Georgia	The following substantive elements should be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of all portions of the agreement as they see fit with out consequences. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do nothing want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The following substantive elements should be included. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yale Smith
School District # 23	The following substantive elements should be included: proper respect for the human body and its natural immunity which has been and always will be the "gold standard"; legitimate safety protocols for any medical treatments recommended and an oversight committee without any ties to pharmaceutical companies, governments or unelected powerful individuals or organizations such as The World Economic Forum; all sovereign nations should have the right to reject mandates from the WHO if they determine an alternative course of action is prudent for their citizens; a regulatory body that has the power to hold members of the WHO accountable for their actions; full public disclosure (without any redactions) of all pandemic related actions and medical treatment directives (such as vaccines and therapeutics) taken by the WHO; full public disclosure of all financial records related to the spending of public funds from the citizens of the world; members of the WHO should be elected from all nations on a rotating basis so all nations have a chance to have representation and members shall serve short, limited terms; members convicted of taking financial bribes should receive prison sentences and international exposure for their crimes against humanity; membership should be strictly based on academic credentials and achievements and not on political affiliation, financial power, popularity or other attributes that are not relevant to such an important position.
n/a	The following substantive elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Cindy Morrow
Vancouver General Hospital	The following substantive elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Athletes Without Frontiers	The following substantive elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Jeffrey Carter	The following substantive elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Association of holistic nutrition consultants	The following substantive elements should be included: The pillars of natural law and civil rights like autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice.
Healthy + Informed Georgia	The following substantive elements should be required in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please accept these points as absolute requirements. Thank you, Lori Burns
Self	The following terms must be included in any agreement - This is UNWARRANTED & ILLEGAL to remove the autonomy from every country to manage their own decisions and people. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The following very important points should be part of the traty at any circumstances: * Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. * Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. * All measures must take full account of all health interests. * All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. * Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. * Liability for vaccines or medication must not be shifted to member states. * All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. * As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. * All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body!!! * Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing. * Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law! Very important Point!!! With best regards, E.Scheidl
N/A	The following view is my own and not intended to represent any individuals or organisations with whom/which I have affiliations. There should be no universal centralized pandemic response, i.e. pandemic response coordinated by the World Health Organization (WHO). Each country has a unique population and context. A standardised approach would not reflect these very real and relevant differences and would be just as unscientific and in opposition to the original intention of evidence based medicine as standardised treatment of all patients with a given disease. Treatment/response should always consider all relevant details and be fitted to the individual or nation. Beyond tailored responses being fundamentally more scientific and logical if the best outcomes are the intended outcome, there is also an ethical imperative for the sovereignty of nations to be respected. The role of the WHO should remain one of monitoring through the data collection and collation, and advising nations on request by those nations. Kind regards, Christian Thoma BSc MSc PhD
None	The following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)	The formation of "a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" presumes the need for a centralized response. Such a centralized response, led by the WHO, is unjustified because the WHO has failed in its response to the COVID-19 pandemic. It has placed corporations over profits in its pushing of experimental vaccines over safe repurposed drugs, leading to an extension of the pandemic, worse public health outcomes, and high costs to member states. If the WHO does plan to create a new international instrument to deal with future pandemics, it must have the following features: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The foundation of science is comparing the outcomes of different scenarios. Pandemics have complex inputs and outputs depending on each person or groups of people. Therefore, in order to have responses based on science, different countries, states, municipalities, etc. must retain the right to take different approaches to managing pandemics. One size cannot fit all, neither would such an approach be scientifically sound.
None	The foundation to any substantive elements must be built on respect for individual body autonomy and an individual's right to say no to any medical procedures. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people. We the People hold the WHO accountable to this statement. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans.
USA	The foundational element of any new instrument must be an utmost respect for the sovereignty of localities to exercise their own discretion in accordance with their own unique challenges and concerns. To that end, the instrument should provide scientifically informed guidance, as well as transparent data gathering and analyses.

Organization	Written submission
self employed	The four elements below need to be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Thank you. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	The four elements below need to be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Thank you. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	The four elements below need to be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Thank you. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The four essential substantive elements that I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There must be the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The framing of potential responses to pandemics in the future needs to contain an understanding of health inclusive of natural immunity and the sources of that immunity. Health, and thus immunity to infection is affected by a variety of elements including: environmental stress through pollution, overuse of antibiotics, poor food and thus deficiencies in vitamins, poverty and lifestyle stress among several others. A response to pandemic that seeks to orient to mandatory vaccination programs as a solution, whilst believing that technology is the only element at play, misses intelligent responses that are close at hand. The concern is a monocultural response to health. Until there is a clear understanding of the influence of corporations working for profit in the vaccination programs, its hard to see how mandating any response as a one-size-fits-all is going to legal, ethical or effective without long term side-effects. Facing into the multi-layered influences on and contributors to health means facing the larger challenges we all face to do with runaway industry and lifestyles functioning without concern for the 'externalities' of factors affecting climate change. I suggest the attention of this proposed programme could be well focussed on the ways large corporations focussed on healthcare solutions might be encouraged to contribute solutions to good diverse nutrition, fresh water and sustainable lifestyles. I thank the WHO for focussing on health, and encourage a diverse, and thus strong, inclusion of responses to global health challenges.
Citizen of the United States of America	The free people of the united states of America do not wish to participate in a global health organization in any way. Please do not interfere with our natural rights bestowed by God by entangling us with any further global governance.
Voices for Freedom	The free sovereign people of each individual country involved in the treaty, should always retain their freedom of choice in regards to any restrictions or mandates that may arise from a pandemic. Everybody has the right to bodily autonomy and that should never, ever be over ridden by undemocratic powers, such as those that this treaty might enable. The WHO is not a democratically elected body and there are many of us who do not consent.
-	The free, autonomous and individual decision about medical interventions must be guaranteed for every human being. The sovereignty and autonomy of the states and countries must not be ignored.
private	The freedom of choice of human beings is limited by compulsory vaccination and should not be introduced. Any kind of experiments on human being never should be done by WHO. WHO should not pretend to play role of god, world government, world police. Stay with recommendations, stop playing role of vaccine promoter, and big pharma representative.
Sterkveld VOF	The freedom of citizens and the sovereignty of countries should be guaranteed at all times. This treaty provides control in a general sense at the time of the outbreak of a pandemic. We are dealing here with individual countries, which therefore require an individual approach. The chance that this treaty will do more harm than good is therefore very high because a totalitarian approach is prescribed, with very far-reaching restrictions on freedom. We are now seeing the anti-virus testing in Shanghai and we should not want that. Over the centuries, humanity has been able to withstand a virus outbreak many times. Even without the influence of the WHO. Citizens want to see local measures and not global measures that ignore the interests of the individual. And with the outbreak of corona, we can now say that the WHO has been wrong every time. For example, the IFR turned out to be much lower than what the WHO has maintained for a long time. The WHO has been in the news more than once over corruption and conflicts of interest. How can we as citizens be guaranteed that the interests of the pharmaceutical companies do not prevail over those of the citizen? These are major concerns for citizens that the WHO continues to fail to address.
L'epoque Moderne	 The freedom of individual choice on taking experimental drugs/vaccines should be of prime importance. Discrimination of citizens based on their vaccination status and forbidding their entrance in certain areas of public life, thus compromising their basic human rights, (as is currently happening in countries like Greece), ought to be penalised by the European court. Freedom and sense of protection should be the main goals.
FREEVOLUTION - FREE INDEPENDENT JOURNALISTIC SITE https://rumbl	 THE FREEDOM OF OUR IMMORTAL SOUL IS AN INALIENABLE GIFT OF THE TRUE HOLY TRINITARIAN GOD TO MAN EVEN IF THERE WERE NO CONSTITUTIONS AND LAWS TO PROTECT IT AND NO ONE CAN WITH ANY (CORONAVIRUS) PRETEXT OR OTHER TERRIBLE PANDEMIC, STARVATION, EARTHQUAKE, NATURAL DISASTER, WAR, CALAMITY... TO TAKE AWAY OUR SELF-EMPOWERMENT IF WE DO NOT BETRAY IT LIKE JUDAS AND LOSE NOT ONLY OUR FREEDOM HERE ON EARTH BUT ALSO OUR IMMORTAL SOUL IN THE HELL FOR EVER... THIS IS MY APOLOGY TO THE GENOCIDAL WORLD ANTICHRIST DICTATORSHIP... https://www.brighteon.com/896e3b96-fae2-4f1c-b0c9-d9a5a1fea1dd , https://ugetube.com/watch/f4JVKt5mVD6fbDI , https://rumble.com/vqkz8l-the-freedom-of-our-immortal-soul-is-an-inalienable-gift-of-the-true-holy-tr.html (VIDEO)...
Self	The freedom to choose your treatment has to be established. I personally witnessed the mother of my close friend die after the 2nd covid vaccine dose. Her heart start raising minutes after her shot. She suffered a massive heart attack and died. There is no denying that the vaccines have not been safe for everyone nor effective. We cannot be pressed to take treatments that someone else evaluated. We are sovereign and the freedom to choose medical treatment is our innate right.
8	The freedom to choose. Every country is unique and should be able to decide what is best for the people of their nation. One size fits all health measures do not work, and the people should be given back their freedom to choose in regards to their own health. Health measures should not allow any influence from any and all pharmaceutical companies or health profiteers. There needs to be open, honest conversations with the people in each country so decisions are based on what the nation and communities of each country are wanting. Many countries may not want a global agreement and individual countries should be allowed to opt out of this without consequence. Health is definately not a one size fits all, each person, each community, each nation, each country is different and we need the people of these communities and nations deciding what is best for their own people and their health.
General Public	The fundamental elements of a new WHO instrument on pandemic preparedness and response MUST contain the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The fundamental pillars of a people's treaty should include natural law and civil rights like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. Whereby national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based upon what is best for their people. Nations and local municipalities should retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without repercussion. A people's treaty must provide an open transparent platform for all people of the world to vote. As well as provide failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people are not in favour. Measures need to be in place for open scientific presentation and debate that exclude corporate and private beneficiaries. As well, a defined transparent policy and ledger that prohibits influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health or private profiteers.
Self	The fundamental pillars of a people's treaty should include natural law and civil rights like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. Whereby national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based upon what is best for their people. Nations and local municipalities should retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without repercussion. A people's treaty must provide an open transparent platform for all people of the world to vote. As well as provide failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people are not in favour. Measures need to be in place for open scientific presentation and debate that exclude corporate and private beneficiaries. As well, a defined transparent policy and ledger that prohibits influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health or private profiteers.
SELF	The fundamental pillars of a people's treaty should include natural law and civil rights like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. Whereby national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based upon what is best for their people. Nations and local municipalities should retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without repercussion. A people's treaty must provide an open transparent platform for all people of the world to vote. As well as provide failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people are not in favour. Measures need to be in place for open scientific presentation and debate that exclude corporate and private beneficiaries. As well, a defined transparent policy and ledger that prohibits influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health or private profiteers.
Private citizen of Canada and Belgium	 The fundamental pillars of a people's treaty should include natural law and civil rights like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. Whereby national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based upon what is best for their people. Nations and local municipalities should retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without repercussion. A people's treaty must provide an open transparent platform for all people of the world to vote. As well as provide failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people are not in favor. Measures need to be in place for open scientific presentation and debate that exclude corporate and private beneficiaries. As well, a defined transparent policy and ledger that prohibits influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health or private profiteers.
No	The fundamental right to physical integrity must be preserved at all costs. Of course, voluntary participation in the vaccination, as pure self-protection. Restoring the original pandemic definition what means really high death toll.
private	The fundamental rights of individual states must remain untouched. In the course of the Covid19 pandemic, enough wrong decisions were made which massively restricted fundamental rights, were not very effective and caused an unacceptably high number of social, psychological, health and economic collateral damages.
Global Alliance for Behavioral Health and Social Justice	The Global Alliance for Behavioral Health and Social Justice informs policy, practice and research at the intersection of human rights, social justice, and behavioral health. As lessons from the impact of—and response to—the COVID-19 pandemic are recognized, we strongly advocate for centering mental health and well-being in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The pandemic laid bare the impact of insufficient policies in global preparedness and the vast inequities within and between populations around the world. Initially understood through individual physical illness, strain on health care systems and economic impacts, policies enacted have resulted in a significant loss of daily routines (i.e., school and workplace closures, isolation and stay-at-home orders) and will continue to have a profound impact on people's lives and their well-being. The entirety of the pandemic's impact will not be fully understood for many years. However, what the data does present is clear evidence for why preparedness for future emergencies and disasters must include principles and strategies that center mental health and human rights at every stage. The Global Alliance recommends the instrument must: • Be inclusive of mental health and well-being as a core tenet of the convention objectives • Integrate the principles of human rights into all recommendations • Include interdisciplinary approaches that are grounded in science • Incorporate measures of accountability for each nation and its leaders that ensure equitable health promotion and disease prevention. • Provide tools and resources to nations for emergency preparedness
representing myself	The global network to respond to the next pandemic is already in place. Scientists and governments have connections to work together to gain knowledge and share information that informs individual nations and states on how they choose to proceed in a pandemic. Keeping these decisions as local as possible is the best way to respond. WE DO NOT NEED A COORDINATED GLOBAL RESPONSE THROUGH THE WHO. It is vital to democracy, human health and basic human rights that health and wellness decisions are made at the local level. Stop this treaty today.
US Citizen	The global network to respond to the next pandemic is already in place. Scientists and governments have connections to work together to gain knowledge and share information that informs individual nations and states on how they choose to proceed in a pandemic. Keeping these decisions as local as possible is the best way to respond. WE DO NOT NEED A COORDINATED GLOBAL RESPONSE THROUGH THE WHO. It is vital to democracy, human health and basic human rights that health and wellness decisions are made at the local level. Stop this treaty today.
N/A	The global network to respond to the next pandemic is already in place. Scientists and governments have connections to work together to gain knowledge and share information that informs individual nations and states on how they choose to proceed in a pandemic. Keeping these decisions as local as possible is the best way to respond. WE DO NOT NEED A COORDINATED GLOBAL RESPONSE THROUGH THE WHO. It is vital to democracy, human health and basic human rights that health and wellness decisions are made at the local level. Stop this treaty today.
United States Air Force / Life Cycle Management Center (USAF/LCMC)	The global network to respond to the next pandemic is already in place. Scientists and governments have connections to work together to gain knowledge and share information that informs individual nations and states on how they choose to proceed in a pandemic. Keeping these decisions as local as possible is the best way to respond. WE DO NOT NEED A COORDINATED GLOBAL RESPONSE THROUGH THE WHO. There is no need to repeat failure! It is vital to democracy, human health and basic human rights that health and wellness decisions are made at the local level. Stop this treaty today.
Retired US Navy	The global pandemic agreement is a really bad idea. Sovereign countries have the capability of handling pandemics on their own and that authority should be kept in the hands of each country, not turned over to a non governmental organization like the WHO. The WHO has entirely too much power as it is and we the people of this planet never elected the WHO to represent us in world health issues.
	The Global Pandemic Treaty is an exaggerated response. Here are our demands. - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The global pandemic treaty threatens humans body autonomy, their inalienable rights, and sovereignty. To enforce such a treaty over what is compared to the flu, shows the powerflex your organization is interested in, not the interest of the people. We are all given free will and freedom of choice. I kindly ask to not take that away with your global pandemic treaty that will only limit choices.
Health Freedom	The global plan on pandemic prevention is a gross overreach of the WHO and the US constitution. There shall be no global governing parties regarding pandemic response. What if the response was to vaccinate the whole world without regard to bodily sovereignty and human rights? I implore your organization to step back and release such strongholds on individual countries. We must keep our own governments and bodily sovereignty.
finalwarning	The global preparedness pandemic plan must not be implemented as each county shall be left to decide on whatever response to apply in case of pandemics on any kind. I do not consent ever to allow the implementations such proposals as they are in direct violation of the human universal rights ruling on this planet.
None	The global response to Covid was a complete failure. It was based on lies from people in power who benefited financially from their agenda. A global response is not effective or safe.
Self	The global response to COVID-19 has demonstrated that top-down, one-size-fits-all, technocratic measures attempting to control airborne respiratory pathogens are not only ineffective but harmful to human well-being. Therefore, any substantive international agreement needs to give nations and their subdivisions full autonomy to decide for themselves, without even implied coercion or punishment, what they will and will not do in such situations, including opt-outs for any or all of such an agreement. Failsafes to prevent applying or enforcing such an agreement where local citizens reject it are also essential. Freedoms must be respected. Finally, the pharmaceutical industry and others who could possibly profit from contagion must not be allowed to influence the creation or the application of such an international agreement.
Aotearoa Solutions	The government engaged in a practice using māori spirituality and maori tikanga to promote their own agenda by misleading the general population into believing that this manufactured dialog out of the government is somehow connected to a māori world view and belief. Commitment to partnering with māori needs to give effect to Te tiriti o Waitangi. Iwi/Hapu and māori rights and interest should be at the forefront now and in the future, led by a solid group of māori with integrity to protect our tino rangatiratanga to thrive. The WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The proposed WHO agreement is a threat to our Te Tiriti o Waitangi sovereignty and inalienable rights. The WHO are not committed to ensuring Te Tiriti o Waitangi is instilled into the values of their organisation they will not garantee its services are provided in a culturally appropriate way that recognises and supports the expression of te ao Māori models of care despite a responsibility to give effect to and realise the promise of Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Through convention, the Articles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi have been interpreted and expressed through a set of principles. Tino rangatiratanga and Mana Motuhake. Protection, Partnership and Participation. I oppose the WHO Treaty
Government of Canada	The government of Canada has failed its citizens by locking them down from traveling by bus, train or by plane. Trust has been eroded in the last 2 years because of your organization, the media and government. The Canadian federal government have not allowed for a non mnra vaccine as a choice and as we can see that the mnra vaccines do more harm than good and do not stop transmission of any sort. It should not be called a vaccine as it is not by definition. If no satisfactory choice is given you will never have 100% participation. You can not decide for Canadians and the rest of the world how to handle this going forward as you have failed with Covid, Sars, Ebola, etc. People should ultimately decide the risk they are willing to take with their own health and not the world health organization or governments.
Citizen of Canada	The Government of Canada is the only competent authority for the management of all Canadian affairs, medical affairs included. The Government is not legally capable of ceding this authority to a supranational organization such as the WHO. These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone.
Sunnyvale Fishery	The government of each country being a democraticly voted party should be responsible for future decisions regarding pandemics. No single body of people have the right to make decisions for the majority. I strongly object to what is going on behind closed doors, we need more discussion on such important matters. Rita.
none	The government or agencies should have no power over the people regarding pandemics or personal health. We the people are responsible for our own health. Mandates will not make us healthier, but will decrease trust in government and agencies.
Not Applicable	The government should not be authorized at the global or federal level to mandate people to participate in health-related oversight. There should be regulations put into place to keep the government from further punishing companies and their employees for not participating in any mandates (ie government threats to companies they do business with). For instance, the Federal Vaccination Mandate should never be an option to suppress a person's ability to do their jobs. Corporations should also not be able to use these unfair mandates to invade an individual's right to make decisions about what is best for them or their family. Our individual rights to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness is foundational and people are not owned by governments or employers. There should be no further discussions in regard to global agreements in relation to health, this should be off the table as each continent, country, state, should have the right to make the best decision for their people. The majority of people globally do not want a global agreement, this should be acknowledged, and not left to a singular group of likeminded individuals to dictate a global population of individuals.
None	The government should not be deciding and mandating health decisions for me or my family. Every person has a right to research and decide for themselves what is best for them. One person or organization does not have the right to dictate their opinions on someone especially vaccinations. Science is not accurate as we have seen throughout the years. Many errors and trials have taken place which resulted in many deaths and injuries. The government needs to stay out of individuals decisions about their health.
self	The government should not rule on how we live our lives. They have no business in a free country to say that we have to wear masks, or have to stay indoors, or have to be vaccinated. Your entity does not dictate what I do and how I live my life. My health is my responsibility and my body is mine, not a one size fits all body. It's my body, and my choice right? Do not think for one minute that if you try to create a law ruling in our free country to follow all of your dictatorship like orders that you won't be fought. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
Free Person of the Worls	The governments that allowed natural infection and proven, cheap treatments are the ones that fared the best. To demand that bureaucrats dictate pandemic response when I see nothing but the interests of the profiteers being upheld in policy is criminal.
none	The gov'ts of the world should work together however each country must have the ability to opt out of anything without penalty. Nations should not give up their rights to govern themselves regardless of what the pandemic is. The science needs to be discussed openly and honestly without politicization or drug company influence. There is no one answer to any problem and nations must have the ability to chose for themselves what is right for their people without risking status or finances.
Individual	The greatest function that the WHO can contribute to the next pandemic would be as a world communication hub where governments can share their approaches to a pandemic or other crisis, as well as relevant research that has arisen in helping them effectively deal with the situation. Anything other than communication would be interference in each countries sovereign rights and the unelected administration of the WHO has no right to dictate to a country how it should respond to a situation within its own borders.
privat	The guarantee of state and individual sovereignty. The respect for human rights. The legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
privacy	The guarantee of the suveränität of the individual state. The guarantee of the self-determination of one's own body. The self-determination of each individual. No compulsory vaccination in any form. Garanty of free action, thought and will without electronic subjugation.
Citizen	The guaranteed, unconditional, free sovereignty of every state and every individual citizen; respect for human rights and the dignity of the individual; Full liability for politicians and corporations. Compliance with the Nuremberg Code!
Individual	The guidelines should include best scientific data provided by WHO including all possible ways to mitigate and prevent any disease. WHO should be very involved in communication of any and all successful actions in reducing bad outcomes in various areas so that the damage to people is minimized. WHO should remain in an advisory position. Local countries must remain sovereign in regards to What measures they will take including treatments and travel reccomended. Barbara Benton
Private	The guidelines/ rules should be based on scientific evidence provided by a group of interdisciplinary scientists, taking different views into account. Any decision well documented with underlying facts and review/ expiration date. Private Organisations and money from those should be excluded. Overall the WHO should not receive money from any non-government organization. Local government should be allowed to set up own rules based on local circumstances. Smallest entity as possible. Standards as laid out above should apply
retired medical consultant	 The 'guiding' question is not valid, it should be written as "should the WHO have a supranational agreement with Governments to dictate to the whole planet what medical treatment they will forcibly be subjected to against all of their inalienable rights?" The only answer to this is clearly NO. In 2009 WHO "redefined" the word "pandemic" so anything like a cold would qualify. This allowed them to turn on their emergency powers whenever they wanted to and dictate to the world, just as they have for the past two years.They (the WHO) "redefined " the term "herd immunity" so that it is only achieved with injections, and not naturally. The corruption of this organisation is there for all to see. Claim a virus (Covid) is highly pathogenic/lethal, start the world lockdowns and then quietly downgrade it to influenza lethality. Next, state lockdowns should only ever be used to save health provision from being overrun having instituted them. In 2009 the WHO recommended Tamiflu (in fact put it on the 'essential medicines' list of every country) for the 'Swineflu' which also was supposed to highly lethal like Covid.This was done in the light of the Cochrane Collaboration team having found that Roche's data was incomplete and then latterly (after forced disclosure) to be ineffective against virus.The head of WHO Margaret Chan continued in post until 2017! As seen during the last two years, effective medications for the SARSCov2 have been kept from use and experimental mRNA injections (again shown to be ineffective) to be the be all and end all of treatment. The fact is 25,000 words would not be enough to detail the corruption, failings and political (globalist) leanings without mentioning your main funder afetr the US.
None	The handling of a pandemic should be governed by each countries laws/rules/regulations. The WHO should only alert countries of the threat and if there are medically qualified personnel on the WHO committee, then those professionals could make recommendations, but not mandatory actions. The latest pandemic has shown us that a single source of information is not always accurate and each country and states with those countries should remain free to choose their protocols. These should be governed by medical professionals, not politician or social media millionaires, or anyone else that has a personal agenda to profit over the suffering of others (drug companies are one example.) Freedom of choice, especially medical freedom should be the guiding principle. Especially when it comes to mandates of experimental drugs/vaccines which have not been thoroughly tested and which do cause harm, yet there is no recourse for an individual who is harmed. I strongly urge the WHO to listen to the voice of the people. Thank you.
Private citizen	The handling of covid with lockdowns has CB not been successful. Top down approach does not work. Need democratic decision making, WHO should provide guidelines but not mandates or legal requirements Thankyou
Perndl	The handling of the corona pandemic has been and is a desaster! These dramatic measures for a more or less cough are by far disproportinate. All measures must take into account human rights, child rights, social and economic interests. Discriminatory measures should be forbidden. Mandats for vaccines should be prohibited. Representative sample testing instead of mass testing. Pandemic treaty must not overrule national constitutional law. Funding of the WHO must be transparent. And funding must come 100% by WHO members. Donations and funding by private persons or institutions must be prohibited.
Concerned citizens	The health decisions of individuals needs to be left with the individual. No agency or group should have the power to decide. Government run agencies are normally run by rich individuals whose concerns are not based on health but on money.
Self	The health policy of a sovereign nation should not be determined and mandated by an unelected foreign entity supplanting the elected Government of that nation. Such an arrangement infringes the fundamental freedoms of a nation state and gives rise to an undemocratic authoritarianism and global monopoly.
Living woman	The highest measure to focus on with such an instrument needs to be addressing corruption. The pandemic has seen an unbelievable rise in systemic corruption in EVERY country of the world, resulting in a global opportunistic infection. As the pandemic measures adopted as per the WHO's guidance involve and affect the people of the world in their daily existence, we demand transparency and complete accountability for any acts of corruption committed as part of a country's pandemic response. Please address this immediately and make the best use of YOUR existence as a corporate body.
Born Free Foundation	 The human and economic costs of Covid-19 provide a clear rationale for a primary focus on prevention in any international Pandemics instrument. Around 70% of zoonotic emerging infectious diseases originate from wildlife, so reframing of our relationship with wild animals is vital. A new instrument should seek to move from an anthropocentric to an eco-centric view of health. Mitigating risks from wildlife exploitation and trade (whether wild caught or captive bred) and associated markets is critical, through identifying and eliminating circumstances where exploitation poses a risk of pathogen transmission to people or other animals, and the adoption of a highly precautionary approach. Crowding, stress and injury provide the perfect environment for pathogens to spread and mutate among animals, and their close proximity to people during capture, farming, transportation, butchering, processing and trade creates opportunities for transmission. Improving the welfare of wild and domestic animals in production and trade is critical. Evaluating and supporting appropriate sustainable livelihoods and food alternatives for communities that currently depend on wildlife exploitation for subsistence is critical to reducing risks to human and animal health, while meeting vulnerable people's needs. National governments must be encouraged to institutionalise One Health (as defined by OHHLEP) and One Welfare approaches, with shared competence and accountability between ministries responsible for agriculture, environment, trade, health, nutrition and finance, and associated focal points for relevant international conventions and agreements. An instrument should focus on improving public education and reducing demand for animal products.
vollgeld-initiative.ch	the human rights have to be included ! The members of the WHO have to be elected from people and the citycens of the partizipating countries.
Public human rights	The human rights of autonomy and medical freedom has to be taken into consideration when attempting to make public health measures. It is a basic human right that individuals have full rights to their own health decisions. It is a crime against humanity to try and take charge and presidency over a persons freedom of choice. It is a God given right for a person to make their own choices regarding their own health, and this cannot be taken away be another human being or organization.

Organization	Written submission
Humans For Freedom	The idea of a pandemic preparedness treaty is laughable. There is no "one-size-fits-all" system of healthcare and disease prevention. The WHO, the CDC, and other organizations like them are constantly flip-flopping their stances on issues to support the agendas of mega pharmaceutical and technology conglomerates. Your agenda has nothing to do with helping the people. Scroll the list of WHO financial supporters and it is crystal clear who you are working for. What you are trying to do is strip individual freedoms from humans worldwide and force them to abide by a set of rules put forth by a small tribunal of technological, political and social "elite." You have absolutely ZERO scientific evidence to back up any claims that would warrant such an egregious overeach of power. You also vastly underestimate the tolerance and complacency of freedom-loving humans worldwide if you think measures such as this can be implemented without a fight. I strongly urge you to reconsider your current plans. Thank you for your time.
none2 Hbr.	The idea of a pan-world organization having control that is the antithesis to the US Constitution is repugnant at best, and downright illegal, at worst. NO new international governing body or document is needed. Each nation should mind its own business, for its unique population. US should divorce itself from WHO
Private citizen	The idea of ceding power to an organization like the the WHO is absolutely repugnant. The WHO has repeatedly been wrong about and miserably failed in its response to Covid-19. It is funded and controlled by those with nefarious special interests and can be considered corrupted by conflicts of interest. No country or person on earth should be interested in relinquishing sovereignty in any way to such a corruptible organization.
NA	The idea of helping people in a time of crisis is appreciated. How this needs to be implemented can vary widely depending on the country topography , population and culture. Keeping these points in mind, I feel every country should retain their sovereignty over health care decisions as they feel appropriate for their citizens.
Private individual	The idea of one over-arching global response to anything is ridiculous on its face. The COVID pandemic demonstrated quite clearly that there is no one size fits all solution to emergencies of that kind. Dismissing individual governments' abilities to respond to the individual circumstances of their citizens is dictatorial and irresponsible. It has been shown that previously agreed upon emergency response plans have been summarily dismissed in the event of an actual emergency and replaced by profit-driven agendas and corporate greed. I see no reason to believe that a global agenda written by the WHO would not be designed to satisfy these same corporations and individuals.
self	The idea of sovereign countries gibing away their power to an unelected group of people is anathema to democracy. I understand that WHO wants to have the power to override our own Constitution. This cannot be allowed. Suggestions: *National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. May all people everywhere be free-free from domination by unelected organizations, no matter how "benevolent" their objectives may seem. I remain, Phyllis Brooks citizen of the USA
General public	The idea that one world organization should establish policy for every nation on earth is the height of hubris. The thought that one size fits all is patently absurd. The idea that some unelected group of elites with loyalty to who knows what can dictate how any nation should handle its own health care is insulting. The work of WHO in this pandemic makes it clear that WHO is not above politicization. Enough with the arrogance.
self	The idea that the WHO should speak and represent the world for the pandemic is frightful and appalling. You may feel that you have the world's best interest at heart although you are tremendously influenced by the money the is contributed to you. I do not feel that you have the medical, scientific expertise or the pulse of what each country would need. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. I believe our leaders will have our best interest for our care and welfare. Please vote against this control over the world.
Self employed	The ideas I have for this pandemic plan is to avoid a treaty because a world wide approach limits flexibility, democratic process, and local responsibility. I prefer, that as we did in the United States in the state of Colorado, that each county here created the most appropriate pandemic response for their area. Local decision making with democratic involvement of local stakeholders will ensure success and the best response with local people involved and unified. A treaty at a world level cannot meet the local needs of all people as there is never one size fits all nor is there only one solution to this problem worldwide.
University of Geneva	The IHR' core capacities are not enough! Universal Health Coverage is key…but it's implementation worldwide implies a strong (legal) commitment. The UN Secretary-General's 2021 report 'Our Common Agenda' outlines a plan designed to accelerate the implementation of existing agreements, including the SDGs and help rebuild more resilient societies after COVID-19. It characterises the 2030 Agenda the roadmap for recovery and the key to building more resilient societies and calls for a "new era for universal social protection, including health care and basic income security" and "stronger global health security and preparedness, … universal health coverage and addressing determinants of health." Obviously, implementing states' obligations under the IHR (2005) to establish, strengthen and maintain the capacities to detect, assess, notify, report and respond to public health risks and public health emergencies of international, is an essential, but only partial, element in strengthening and ensuring global health security. The importance of frameworks beyond the IHR's core capacities to achieve effective epidemic preparedness is to be emphasized. A broadening of the international understanding of preparedness beyond the IHR's core capacities is consistent with the more recent generation of global strategies and instruments such as the 2030 Agenda. Such a broadening will not be possible without a strong commitment by States in favour of the realisation and implementation of Universal Health Coverage. A new framework convention on pandemic preparedness has to address this issue directly.
Private	The implication that the WHO or any other global authority is to have jurisdiction over national governments is a recipe for mass unrest. Similarly no individual should be forced into any medical procedure through mandate or coercion.
none	The inalienable rights of sovereign people, granted by God, as acknowledged in the Declaration of Independence for the People of the united States of America, cannot be liened or taken or diminished by treaties they themselves do not assent to. Therefore no substantive elements can be included in any treaty binding sovereign people to any proscribed duties, regulations, or mandated activities. Sovereign people are free to abstain from any treaty that is made without their direct agreement. I am aware of no evidence that the United States of America can give away or diminish the inalienable rights of the sovereign people without their express consent.
The Brooke	The INB negotiations must consider the following recommendations: -Include civil society organisations in negotiations. Experts and civil society organisations working in human, animal and/or ecosystem health must be able to provide their invaluable insights on animal and environmental health during negotiations. - Increase and upskill the animal health workforce. Skilled frontline workers help build resilient communities and health systems that can respond to threats and emerging pathogens. - Provide access to good quality veterinary medicines and vaccines. Animal health professionals must be able to readily access and administer quality medications to treat and mitigate zoonotic diseases, and to reduce the risk of antimicrobial resistance. - Improve early surveillance systems to detect emerging diseases in wildlife and domestic animal populations. We need better surveillance of wildlife and domestic animal populations, from the community level to the global level. Preventive measures must be enhanced at critical transmission points such as farms, border crossings and markets. Community members and health workers in rural and marginalised communities should be trained to monitor animal health locally. - Improve care for livestock. Sustainable livestock production practices must be promoted to improve animal health, reduce environmental damage and stop encroachment on wildlife habitats. Better livestock care also leads to less use of antimicrobials. These integral recommendations will also have knock-on benefits for improving food security, nutrition, climate resilience and income security for the world's most marginalised communities. Without more targeted interventions, we risk failing to meet the SDGs.
Association for Nutritionists	The inclusion of (1) personal responsibility of ones own health on a national level, not international, (2) no blanket restrictions for everyone, just the vulnerable as a last resort if the data suggests it's needed, (3) easy and affordable health, nutrition and lifestyle strategies we now know can help individuals improve their overall health and resilience to illness and infection. Eg. Exercise, Vitamin C, zinc and sunlight or vitamin D. The current evidence shows lockdowns, masks, and the injectable mRNA biotech don't work for reducing mortality rates during the previous pandemic.
Self-employed	The inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, bodily autonomy and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
N/A	The inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, individual choice and informed consent; legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies, and transparency in deal making, such as unredacted contracts.
Individual	The inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years are what should be included.
None	the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
private	the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
We The People	The inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. You have been empowered with the fate of most of humanity therefore I hope & pray you remain humble and wise in this power. You have been given the chance to do good for the future of the human race and have the choice like all of us, of being selfless or self-serving. I know I speak for many when I insist on these things: Inclusion of absolute guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for all human rights and God-given freedoms for every race, color & creed. For those given this power over the lives of others, there should be consequence. The consequence should include nothing less than legal and financial liability for all government officials and/or all pharmaceutical companies who were and are directly or indirectly complicit and responsible for all damage and destruction of human physical & mental health and their livelihoods, which result from the decisions and actions of those given these delicate & potentially catastrophic decisions/choices being made on behalf of the many. We pray you don't live in fear when making these decisions. We pray for you all to have the courage to stand strong for humanity and do what is moral, ethical & right.
Human race	The inclusions I would like in this proposal is that it be voluntary both the sign to and to exit. By nation, State, region. With full autonomy of health control staying with the Nation, state, region, individual. Without prejudice. That every item of evidence, used to make a decision, be transparent and available in totality for public access. That all submissions be fully labeled with any affiliation, direct or indirect, to any pecuniary interest. That no person with any affiliation to any pharmaceutical company or university funded in any way by a pharmaceutical company be allowed to vote on any decisions.
Private citizen	The indepence of the Health System of each country has to be independent of the WHO. The WHO needs to be an advisory body, and not a politized world health body , which is acting in the interests of certain institutions. This is exactly what the proposed "law" for dealing with future pandemics is setting out to do.The actions of the WHO in the present pandemic clearly demonstrate that there was no objective critical discourse available from the begining, which the WHO was one of the major players. The new proposals go against the meaning of democratic processes.
Private	The individual rights of citizens must be protected and respected rather than actions mandated by authorities against citizens. Response to pandemics should be underpinned by informative and educational process based on factual and unbiased information that is capable of, and accepting of scrutiny by a variety of respected viewpoints.
Private Citizen	The individual's right to make their own health choices will be held as a supreme value, not to be violated under any circumstances. Any instrument on global pandemic preparedness and response must include: 1. A broad panel of INDEPENDENT experts, scientists, and doctors. 2. Those experts must not work for, have worked for, or receive any funding from pharmaceutical companies. 3. The credentials and CV's of all panel members must be posted on a website for transparency, and any potential conflicts of interest stated as well. 4. Any and all conclusions reached by this panel should be disseminated on a 'recommendation basis' only. Nothing this body recommends should be legally binding by any country or entity. 5. This body will not create mandates. It won't need to if all the above are followed as the general public will have confidence that the recommendations are in the interest of public health ONLY, can make decisions based on these recommendations and their own health situation. Freedom and transparency will lead to the best possible outcomes.
Healthworker	The Influence of the WHO during the last Pandemic has proven that this Organisation ist Driven By the interest and needs of Big Pharma; and the CEO's of big enterprises and politicians, That were Influencing every decision According to their needs. Their finacial Support of those Speaks for itself! Follow the trace of the Money… It is an Integral need that acute decision are made on the base of honest Scientific research; and not by Economic and political interest of Financial Supporters! This lack of neutrality is the main reason that this pandemic contract is not for the greater good of mankind; and should never be signed by any country that wants To stay in charge for theire people, in order to serve them best!! This unlimited influence and power Should Never be Given to any organisation!! No Pandemic Contract on the half of Mankind!
Healthworker	The Influence of the WHO during the last Pandemic Health proven that this Organisation ist Driven By the interest and need of Big Pharma an Big Tech; and their CEO's, and many politicians! Those were influencing every Decision according to the needs and interests. The Financial Support of the Speaks for itself: follow the trace of the Money! It's in integral need that acute Decisions are made on the Base of real and Scientific research not bei Economic and political interest of Financial Supporters! This lack of neutrality is the main reason that Pandemic contract is Not For the Greater Good of Mankind! Entschuldige never be silent by any Country, Dead wants to stay in Charge for the People Order to Serve them best! Bis Unlimited Influenza an power Should Never be Given to any Organisation or person!! No Pandemic Contract for the WHO on the be half of Mankind!
The city of Turku, Finland	The instrument must be abandoned, since it contradicts the constitution of every nation. Every independent country must determine on its own how to deal with a pandemic. Thus, from now on the WHO should only give recommendations on the epidemics and/or pandemics.
none	The instrument must be fully transparent about who is funding this initiative and be fully open about the process of its creation. Any measures in the policy must not be influenced by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other donors who may profit from the policy. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy and be able to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. They must be able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit without consequence.
Pure Blood	The instrument must conform to the Nuremberg code . It must not override peoples right to privacy and free choice in medical care .Lockdowns are to be banned . It must not be mandatory . It should contain a clause disbanding the WHO in 6 months . Medical records must not be shared by governments and corporations . Vaccines must be tested for 5 years minimum .
Person	The instrument must include: The ability to opt out via public referendum as well as by leadership decision but with no mechanism to re-enter within a buffer period of time. A transparent set of boundaries that protect individual liberal rights according to a universalist approach. Actions taken by the WHO must be clearly explained in all their internal workings including transparent access to all employees and associates. All employees or associates of the WHO must be held responsible for any violation or failure of foundational rules by an unbiased third party.
Personal	The instrument must include: The ability to opt out via public referendum as well as by leadership decision but with no mechanism to re-enter within a buffer period of time. A transparent set of boundaries that protect individual liberal rights according to a universalist approach. Actions taken by the WHO must be clearly explained in all their internal workings including transparent access to all employees and associates. All employees or associates of the WHO must be held responsible for any violation or failure of foundational rules by an unbiased third party.
private person	The instrument must include: Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty. Respect for human rights. Legal liability of government officials. Legal liability of pharmaceutical companies.
None	The instrument must include: Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty. Respect for human rights. Legal liability of government officials. Legal liability of pharmaceutical companies.
UK citizen	The instrument must not override our inalienable human rights and bodily autonomy. People must be able to refuse any medical intervention without discrimination. Treatments will never be mandatory. Pharmaceutical companies cannot have any say in decision making and any conflicts of interest must be declared. Decisions must unbiased. Pharmaceutical companies will not profit from the sale of their 'essential' products during an emergency. Countries will be able to opt out. Alternative treatments will be available and unbiased factual information about the pro's & Con's of all treatments will be publicised & subject to open debate. Psychological 'nudge' / fear-mongering tactics will not be permitted. There will be fixed time limits to any emergency response. Adverse events will be publicised immediately & a ceiling will be set beyond which drugs causing harm will be withdrawn. Swift compensation will be paid for those injured and killed. Lockdowns of healthy people must never happen again.
private citizen	The instrument needs to include strong language that protects all citizens' privacy, and that under no circumstances would include or track any sort of personal health information of anyone on the globe. It should also include strong language that protects the sovereignty of each nation, and that each member state's participation is not coerced or under compulsion but is voluntary, and participation does not require a nation to follow all recommendations. Above all, each individual must be regarded as a sovereign entity and given all rights to make all health decisions for themselves and their minor children.
Member of the public	The instrument on pandemic preparedness should include the following- This will take away sovereignty from every nation if passed.An unelected body of bureaucrats should not be making decisions on the health of all citizens. Human rights are inalienable and should never be suspended even in an emergency. Lockdowns, quarantining of healthy people, restrictions on association, mandatory masks should never be the default response to any future pandemic. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must be respected.There should be no coercion to accept any vaccines or other treatments which the WHO deems mandatory. Existing legislation which outlaws experimental medical procedures without the free and informed consent of participants must be upheld. Producers and distributors of vaccines or any medical products must be held fully liable in the event of adverse events and deaths. Including medical personnel who have administered vaccines without obtaining free and informed consent. Potential life saving treatments should be readily available in the event of future health crises and must not be withheld to allow vaccination as the only way to treat a disease. Clinical trials must be allowed to run their full course in order that potential medium and long term side effects are known before any medical product is allowed on the market. Vaccine status must not be used to restrict an individual's right to travel, work, have access to public space and businesses. People should have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
General Public	The instrument should be at best only an advise, with no obligations at all.
Citizen	The instrument should consist of clear definitions (such as necessarity and pandemic) voted by the public. It should also include the subsidarity principle of the European Union. Hence decisions should be made on the level closest to the public. When necessarity decides otherwise, the WHO should chose the least invasive remedy. At last, the measures taken should always reflect the wishes of citizens. When drafted they should be voted by the public using the means of a direct democracy. If these preconditions are not included I cannot support the instrument.
World Health	The instrument should emphasize the importance of decision making at local levels. Local government officials can determine the best response for their city or state.
Burschenverein Konnersreuth	The instrument should include : - independance of the countries - liability of decision makers - liability of pharmaceutical companies - liability of doctors who administer vaccinations - respect for human rights - ban of lockdowns - always publish studies of vaccines - decisions overseen by panel of independent experts unaffiliated with Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation
Self-employed	The instrument should include the following provision: "The provisions of this instrument are advisory and may be accepted or rejected by the democratically elected governments of sovereign nation states." I consider this to be vital now that the WHO has undergone regulatory capture and is no longer the body it once was and serves a narrow set of i interests which are contrary to those of the vast majority of the population of the world.
Sightsavers	The instrument should reaffirm parties' human rights obligations towards persons with disabilities and create a framework for rights-based policies and practices which emphasise equity and non-discrimination. It should reference obligations contained in the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities including the right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health without discrimination on the basis of disability (& Resolution EB148.R6). Further, the instrument should include specific commitments towards persons with disabilities in pandemic preparedness and response, including: - Prohibition of discrimination against persons with disabilities in all relevant services, including diagnostics, medications, vaccines, social protection, education, WASH and nutrition; this should include prohibitions against medical bias or denial or prevention of access to treatment on the basis of disability. - Active involvement of persons with disabilities in all their diversity and DPOs in framing and implementing inclusive approaches to pandemic preparedness and response. - Ensuring accessibility, reasonable accommodations and targeted actions and programmes for people with disabilities in all aspects of the health and wider socio-economic responses, including health services, information, built environment, communications, technologies and goods and services. - The use of disability-disaggregated data The instrument should also recognize extraterritorial obligations under the right to health by prioritizing support for low-income countries, coordinating pandemic responses, securing equitable vaccine access and commitments to multi-sectoral One Health approaches.
Freie Datenjournalisten e.V. (u.a.)	The instruments should advise for a thorough, scientific, and transparent data collection witch is not optimizing fearful numbers, but give an objective and neutral risk estimation: * regular prevalence of infectious disease across the population during a pandemic. * severe illness or death reporting Together WITH history of infection and vaccination. Regarding any outcome of the WHO body: * Measures must be strictly confined to the recommendation level. * No automatic overruling of democratic bodies is acceptable for the public. This is due to the WHO's lack of independence of finance, staffing, transparency and accountability to the people.
Hollands Track Farm	The integrity of national and local interests must be preserved. A one size fits all response should be avoided. Freedom of speech, bodily autonomy, association, religion and assembly that our forefathers fought for must be upheld.
CHURCH IN WALES	The integrity of the human person is inviolable, as is each person's bodily integrity. These are guarded by International Laws. Anything that prevents this is wrong and evil. Nothing worse than medical tyranny.; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	The intentions of WHO with the governments of the world are obvious. Undemocratic, unscientific, deceptive and extremely devastating to the people of the world. I am completely against the 'pandemic preparedness plan' which would result in the same mayhem, useless solutions, loss of health and death.
American	The intergovernmental negotiating body also is instructed to address "the unsustainable food production and livestock breeding, wildlife trading, resource-intensive lifestyles and consumption, destruction of ecosystems, antimicrobial resistance and soaring figures of cancer" along with a range of other issues. Such a binding agreement would stop countries like Sweden from preventing lockdowns and mandates within their borders as they did during the COVID-19 pandemic. It could also prevent states such as Texas, Florida or Tennessee from defying federal and global mandates. The underlying agenda envisions a world where WHO supersedes the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. The Biden administration announced it wants issues of "health equity" included in the Pandemic Preparedness Agreement, according to Politico. Aside from the Politico report on Biden's equality agenda, the media have reported very few details about the WHO's sweeping treaty — which effectively is being negotiated in secret. Buzzwords like "equity" can easily be used to conceal a hidden agenda to centralize global control of public health to benefit Big Pharma, major corporations and global financial capital.
Private citizen	The internal sovereignty of member States is one of the founding principles of the United Nations. It is essential therefore for national and local leaderships to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, according to their respective constitutional and legal provisions. The treaty must therefore provide that Member States of the UN and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they alone see fit, without adverse consequence of any kind. There should be an open and transparent process with a world-wide vote of all people of the world before implementation. Any agreement must include failsafe measures that will override the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any process must be conducted between representatives of sovereign nations only and all other organisations should be expressly excluded. The process must include measures to exclude any influence by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	The international agreement must be open and transparent, and must not be applied in places where the majority of people do not agree with the measures. There must be no profiteering or influence, for example from businesses or pharmaceutical companies. Nations and local authorities must be able to make their own decisions for their own countries and localities, without it being incumbent on them to obey globally decided measures that are inappropriate for them. There must be no coercion, force or threats to make nations or individual people follow the proposed instrument.
International Centre for Comparative Environmental Law	The International Centre for Comparative Environmental Law (CIDCE, https://cidce.org/en/) advocates a pandemic convention anchored on "One Health" and underpinned by the human right to a healthy life in harmony with nature, mindful of present and future generations. To prevent, manage and eradicate pandemics in a rapid, efficient and equitable manner, while respecting human rights and planetary boundaries, such convention should: - urge States to create coordinated national strategies on pandemics; develop scientific research on zoonotic risks; strengthen epidemiological surveillance through early detection and warning systems; - oblige States to alert the population immediately after a pandemic outbreak is detected, deploy an emergency plan, and notify potentially affected States; - enshrine vaccine equity, recognize world access to vaccines as a global public good, and ensure their universal availability, together with medicines and medical equipment; - strengthen scientific and technical cooperation on pandemics and promote transfer of technologies and skills; - establish an Intergovernmental Panel of Experts on Pandemics to collect/assess relevant data on pandemics, and to provide scientific, technical, socioeconomic and legal advice; - provide for adequate monitoring, sustainable funding and increased coordination among relevant international institutions, including WHO, FAO, OIE, UNEP, WIPO, WTO and World Bank, with a Secretariat involving them. Along these lines, CIDCE drafted with NCP and GPN a pandemic convention in English, French and Spanish to support the INB process. Considering the health emergency, CIDCE calls for a prompt conclusion of such convention at a WHA special session, preferably by end of 2022.
Geneva International Network on Aging (GINA)	The International Health Regulations (IRH 2005), adopted unanimously by 196 countries the 28th WHO Assembly in May 2005, entered into force on 15 June 2007, is a legally- binding international instrument. IHR 2005 is marked by a strong Human Rights component based on existing United Nations international instruments (i.e. OHCHR, ECOSOC, Right to Health) and commitment to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and United Nations Universal Charter. The IHR purpose is to "to prevent, protect against, control and provide a public health response to the international spread of disease in ways that are commensurate with and restricted to public health risks, and which avoid unnecessary interference with international traffic and trade". Therefore, the recommended substantive elements listed below can only complement IHR : - to strengthen IHR articles set to respect of the Human rights (i.e. articles 31, 43, 45) and citizens freedom of movement and travel (i.e. articles 18 to 23) with measures against the violation of those rights when unnecessary as - to strengthen science and research ethical legal obligation in line with The Oviedo Convention, The Belmont Report (1979) and the Declaration of Helsinki (1964), the Nuremberg Code (1947) to protect vulnerable research participants from harm, inequality, misinformed consent and protect the right to refuse an experiment. - to add a legal limitation to the use of technology and AI systems for emergency management and monitoring at a global and local level. Validation of any human-centered technology cannot be standardized with personalized medicine and cultural contexts.
Geneva International Network on Aging (GINA)	The International Health Regulations (IRH 2005), adopted unanimously by 196 countries the 28th WHO Assembly in May 2005, entered into force on 15 June 2007, is a legally- binding international instrument. IHR 2005 is marked by a strong Human Rights component based on existing United Nations international instruments (i.e. OHCHR, ECOSOC, Right to Health) and commitment to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and United Nations Universal Charter. The IHR purpose is to "to prevent, protect against, control and provide a public health response to the international spread of disease in ways that are commensurate with and restricted to public health risks, and which avoid unnecessary interference with international traffic and trade". Therefore, the recommended substantive elements listed below can only complement IHR : - to strengthen IHR articles set to respect of the Human rights (i.e. articles 31, 43, 45) and citizens freedom of movement and travel (i.e. articles 18 to 23) with measures against the violation of those rights when unnecessary as - to strengthen science and research ethical legal obligation in line with The Oviedo Convention, The Belmont Report (1979) and the Declaration of Helsinki (1964), the Nuremberg Code (1947) to protect vulnerable research participants from harm, inequality, misinformed consent and protect the right to refuse an experiment - to add a legal limitation to the use of technology and AI systems for emergency management and monitoring at a global and local level. Validation of any human-centered technology cannot be standardized with personalized medicine/context.

Organization	Written submission
none	The international instrument on pandemic preparedness and respons is to be completely rejected. It poses a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It expands the overwhelming power of the WHO to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WHO is largely financed by private donor funds, such as the B&M Gates Foundation, whose interests are enforced, like we saw during the Covid pandemic, where the measures costed a lot more lives than the virus itself. Not to talk about the enforced vaccines approved through the WHO, whose enormous side effects are not properly investigated.
Private Individual. St. Bartholomew's Hospital, retired.	The international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include the following. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. The agreement must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. The agreement must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global or local health profiteers.
Canada	the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should not exist. period. At most this should be a guidance for governments but nothing more than that.
Citizen	The international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should only provide information and advice. It should not provide legally binding mandates of any sort under any circumstances. Decisions as regards implementing mandates of any sort should reside with national and local government and local private entities. Any medicines including but not restricted to emergency use authorized vaccinations should not be made mandatory under any circumstances during a pandemic or at any other time. It is vital that individual bodily sovereignty is upheld as a fundamental human right such that people can chose to take medications or not without compromising their ability to obtain the means to participate in society. The WHO must clearly communicate it's vision and objectives to the public regarding pandemic preparation and response and must provide quantitative risk assessments for any recommended course of treatment and / or vaccination program such that people have fully informed consent prior to accepting any medical intervention. The WHO must be transparent and promote public awareness as to the range of hypothesis and conclusions and opinions that exist within the medical scientific community. The WHO must not censor information and debate that takes place between medical experts in their fields of study in particular during pandemic response. The WHO should promote public debate on pandemic response. The WHO should provide a means for addressing complaints, whether from individuals, groups or nations. The complaint process should be transparent, publicly available and open for public scrutiny.
Local association	The international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, if implemented at UN level, must assure: -That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the international instrument as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the international instrument in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
	The international instrument should clearly forbid member states to issue mandatory vaccines, especially when under emergency use authorization. The same goes for measures that discriminate between vaccinated and unvaccinated people. The instrument should rather insist that member states have to balance pandemic measures with other health, social, and economic risks. Also the regulations in the instrument must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Private	The international instrument should clearly forbid member states to issue mandatory vaccines, especially when under emergency use authorization. The same goes for measures that discriminate between vaccinated and unvaccinated people. The instrument should rather insist that member states have to balance pandemic measures with other health, social, and economic risks. Also the regulations in the instrument must not take precedence over national constitutional law.
Private individual	The international instrument should make sure that individual countries and/or regions are able to put the needs of their own populations first when formulating their own pandemic plans, and that they retain full rights to add, modify or veto any proposals/instruments made by the WHO. Every country is different, has different health needs and priorities, cultures and perspectives, and any international instruments should reflect this. The instrument should ensure that the needs of people and populations always come before corporate and pharmaceutical company interests. The pandemic instrument should first receive the agreement of individual countries, with the electorate in those countries being given the opportunity to have their say on the new instrument, and to vote on it. Clear measures should be put in place within the instrument in order to ensure that corporations, including technology and pharmaceutical companies (along with their associates), cannot exert any undue influence in any future pandemics. The process of formulating and adopting this new instrument should be totally transparent, including making it clear who is involved with the formulation of such plans, and that full conflict of interest information is published.
privat	The international Instrument should realize, that the personal rights of every person are protectet as highest goal, the individual Constitution of each country furthermore. Each country should have the right to say no if persons or economy get more harm than protection. Liability of politicians and Pharma Companies should included as well! They should be responsible for their actions.
Member of the Public	The international instrument should state clearly that each nation has autonomy and will decide which, if any, recommendations to implement as may be advanced by the WHO in dealing with a pandemic. The WHO provides a service and has no authority over governments; any "instrument" which is developed needs to reflect that fact.
International Organization for Migration (IOM)	The International Organization for Migration (IOM) would like to propose four key elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. Consideration of human mobility aspects in preparedness, response and recovery guidelines in any new international instrument, with a view to promoting equity and predictability in human mobility during and after pandemics. 2. Promotion of pandemic preparedness and response framework that adopts integrated border management measures within whole-of-government approaches and international coordination, to promote resilient measures for Points of Entry, populations on the move and migration-affected communities. 3. Explicit call for global and national pandemic preparedness and response planning to include equitable access to health and protection services for populations on the move, respecting Universal Health Coverage principles. 4. Emphasis on cross-border sharing of technologies and data, and application of digital solutions along with capacity building support to enhance cross-border harmonization in pandemic response and recovery. IOM's Health, Border and Mobility Management Framework can be a useful tool in the deliberations of this INB and related working groups; it articulates a strategic approach based on lessons learned for the prevention, detection of and response to communicable diseases in the context of widespread human mobility. (Link: https://publications.iom.int/books/health-border-and-mobility-management-framework-framework-empower-governments-and-communities)
Framework Convention on Global Health Alliance	The international pandemic instrument must address the root causes of inequity within and between countries through advancing the right to health. Such a broader approach would mean incorporating elements such as those proposed for the Framework Convention for Global Health (FCGH) (https://fcghalliance.org), with standards and mechanisms that embody the right to health, advancing health equity, and also serving the purpose of a pandemic instrument. The treaty would encompass standards and mechanisms for equitable global and distribution of health resources, enhance people's trust in their health system, enable people to participate in health-related policy decisions, and improve accountability. In doing this and more, the treaty would pave a path for everyone to enjoy the right to health. FCGH elements would encompass pandemic preparedness and response, and as appropriate, could include aspects specifically tailored to the pandemic context, such as ensuring equitable distribution of scarce vaccines and therapeutics. But the inequities and other factors that impede pandemic preparedness and response, such as lack of trust and corruption, are too pervasive to have an instrument only focused narrowly on discrete health threats. The current support for an ambitious instrument may prove a short window of opportunity, and it must be fully utilized – to prepare for the next pandemic, but also to establish a comprehensive, right to health international agreement that emulates solidarity and supports universal health coverage and other 2030 Agenda health elements. If the instrument now under discussion remains narrow, states should commit to negotiating a right to health treaty as soon as a pandemic instrument is adopted.
None	The international response to Covid has been a disaster. Public health officials continue to have conflicts of interest with pharmaceutical companies. Inexpensive and effective treatments have been suppressed. Governments have violated people's most basic freedoms and rights. The Covid tests have been inaccurate. The tests have grossly overstated the extent of the pandemic. Covid vaccines have been ineffective and harmful. The world's economy has been needlessly devastated. The parties formulating and agreeing to the proposed treaty are incompetent. Therefore, there should be no treaty. Instead, there should be another Nuremberg Trial for officials who have violated international law by pressuring, deceiving or forcing people into taking experimental vaccines without their informed consent.
None	The international treaty shouldn't exist at all. You should be asking whether we even need a treaty that will crush our individual freedoms. I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, freedom to choose what individuals do with their own bodies without stealing their freedoms, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years .
Sovereign Self	The international treaty shouldn't exist at all. You should be asking whether we even need a treaty that's will crush our individual freedoms. I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
the human race	The introduction of any pandemic measures should be restricted to local governments after verification by local medical authorities based on peer reviewed data confirming the existence of a serious medical emergency. No Governement or local authority should be dictated to by unelected WHO officials or other Global organisations who have vested interests in promoting false medical emergencies. In the event that an emergency is identified and verified by all competent authorities, medication can only be applied to consenting adults who have been fully informed of the dangers they face from the emergency and the proposed treatment. Coercion and any other form of mechanism to force citizens to accept treatment should remain unlawful and subject to severe punishment. Human adults should be respected to make their own risk assesments based on factual information from all sides and you should respect those views. It is imperative that you learn from the mistakes made during the recent Covid-19 pandemic which has clearly illustrated the failure of the WHO and Governments to protect citizens by their blind panic and faith in unproven unsafe experimental "vaccines".
N/A	The introduction of digital IDs linked to vaccine status would be a disaster for individual freedom to choose the best option for their own personal health. Vaccines have no effect on the transmission of covid, nor on the chances of one catching covid, so the idea of one having to prove vaccine status to move around in the world is illogical and can only serve to restrict people in unjustifiable ways. Personal choice and personal freedom must be maintained above all else. We were told vaccines would be far more effective than they are, and we are only now slowly discovering what the ill effects may be. This madness of measures to force vaccination and potentially restrict freedom of people who choose not to have these jabs must stop, and must not be replicated in any future scenario.
Health Activation Services Inc.	The issue of substantive elements assumes that we agree with the WHO proposal, which I wholeheartedly do not. It has been shown in multiple situations that the WHO is incapable of making health care decisions for any population. The WHO priorities of vaccination (H1N1 vaccine and Covid 19 vaccines) have proved beyond a doubt that your priorities are not to protect people from contrived pandemics, but to profit from it. At no time should blanket treatment of any sort be imposed on a population. Since the WHO wants unlimited power to impose restrictive lockdowns and unsafe treatments, it stands to reason that health sovereignty is at stake here, and I will absolutely defend our ability to make personal health decisions. Considering that any privately and publicly funded entity such as the WHO cannot serve the best interests of vulnerable populations without ongoing conflict of interests, this situation is a perfect time to completely divorce ourselves of all suffocating and restricting treaties the WHO may impose. The WHO pandemic agreement completely contravenes the Siracusa Principles in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, which state that restrictions on human rights must meet standards of legality, evidence-based necessity, proportionality, and gradualism. Unlimited power doesn't meet any of these principles. This must be stopped and the WHO must be sanctioned in every way possible to avoid this take over of the world's health authority in this unlawful and illegitimate agreement.
self	The jig is up. We all know what COVID really is, and we will not comply with a global order. WHO cannot and will not dictate what US citizens can and cannot do. Let's go Brandon!
private	The job of WHO should be to support accurate science and education. It should be up to individual countries how best to apply that information to their own population, taking into account culture, general health, financial considerations, etc. There should be no worldwide health mandates.
general public	The key areas missing in this response to the pandemic are autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. I believe the following need to be included: - Full autonomy for national and local leadership, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people through democratic processes. - Local and national municipalities need to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process needs to be implemented with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I would want to always be able to make my own decisions, over and above what any representatives may want and I feel the proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights.
General Public	The key element for inclusion in the determination of any health "situation" should primarily between an individual and his primary care provider. Individual, local and regional based care options are best determined by professionals whose primary responsibility is to preserve and protect life on an intimate scale. Edicts from disenfranchised organizations with limited understanding of actual regional complexities promotes a one size fits all solution that is not necessary, effective or efficient.
The Martz Family	The key element in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be to regain the trust of all people and the scientific community by providing clear scientific information without censorship and free of industry and political interference. The two years of the COVID-19 response have destroyed the credibility of many national governments, politicians, corporations, and professional organizations due to their capture by those seeking to consolidate power and profits. Mandates have been issued without scientific justification and disrupted the lives of billions of people around the world. Independent scientists, free of conflicts of interest must be spotlighted as they openly discuss how to react to future pandemics. Censorship of any kind must not be allowed. Silencing the minority of people without conflicted interests must never be allowed again. The WHO must adhere to the Nuremberg Code and strive for voluntary consent for all responses. The mandatory use of masks, lockdowns, and experimental vaccines is contrary to the Code. The first point REQUIRES that "the voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential." People, cities, states and nations should not be coerced. The treaty must focus solely on giving each person "sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to make an understanding and enlightened decision" as called out in the Code's First Point. Please begin to restore the essential trust needed to respond to future pandemics and encourage the world to adhere to the Nuremberg Code.
Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies	The key idea: equity requires collective R&D of vaccines, drugs and diagnostics. A major response to the vaccine inequities witnessed during the pandemic has been a push to expand and diversify vaccine production, such as the new technology transfer hubs in South Africa and the Republic of Korea. These are encouraging efforts. We should also remember, in this pandemic, Covid-19 vaccines developed by both advanced and emerging economies played an important role in meeting global demand. Part of what made that possible were previously-developed platform technologies that could pivot quickly to Covid-19. Ensuring countries have access to the knowledge to make vaccines in the event of a pandemic is critical for response. But for preparedness, we have to ensure countries have access to platform technologies. What does this mean for the INB? There is a risk that new factories will sit idle in the next emergency, unless a new international instrument forges agreement on obligations to share knowledge, data and intellectual property before and during future pandemics. But its unlikely countries or companies will do so out of the goodness of their hearts. To make such sharing feasible, we have to tie it to commitments to jointly finance R&D, and to share pathogen samples and genomic sequencing data. In other words, collective R&D for collective benefit. IP is a difficult issue. But to make equitable access a reality, the new instrument must include the nuts and bolts provisions to make sharing technology for pandemics the new normal.
Private Citizen of the United States of America	The language must include: Nations, states, cities and localities have final authority to determine the response to a pandemic. Individual citizens have authority over their own health and well-being during a pandemic assisted by their primary care physician.
Self	The last 2 years have been horrible! The government and WHO organization have not followed the science. We should be relying on our medical providers, not the government for our personal health needs. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Let the people decide what is best for themselves. Our society does NOT need laws and regulations put into place during a pandemic. They need the facts and truths. Since covid hit, no one trust the information presented by media due to all the lies, scare tactics and changes in random laws and definitions to make covid look worse than any other illness. Stop trying to gain popularity so you can collect more funds! If anything help all the people who have developed mental health issues and have been traumatized by all the scare tactics. Listen to the people not the government!
Personal	The last 2 years have demonstrated the irresponsibility, obfuscation and outright peddling of lies by the various health world organisations and so the substantive elements of a response would be for WHO to leave well alone so as not to make the matters worse. You do not represent the science but political interests solely aiming at increasing your power and most importantly, budgets. Leave people to decide what risks they wish to take, do not impinge on freedoms and never ever force anybody to take whatever concoctions are created.
Public interest	The last pandemic ended up causing more harm than good. No one organization should have sole authority for body autonomy and enforce regulations that could potentially cause harm. (Lockdowns, masking, forced vaccination etc)
Concerned public citizen	The last thing anybody needs is a undemocratic, unelected body controlling how all countries respond to a pandemic/ medical emergency. The vast number of countries who followed the unscientific recommendations of the WHO and continue to do so is absolute proof we don't need advice from any of you fools. The countries who went with tried and tested methods of protecting those who needed it (and who wanted it) and not a general "one size fits all" scenario are the very countries who are doing the best. Also those who didn't put all their hopes in a tried and failed biotechnology gene therapy. We know this is a failed cancer treatment. It killed your patients faster than their stage 4 terminal cancer. Why in earth would anybody use this to treat a cold with 99.9% survival rate is beyond me. You're the last people who should be handing out medical advice. DEFUND THE WHO. Don't sign the treaty.
Human	The last thing people of the world need is even less autonomy and power to control what goes in out bodies, especially when the people wanting to control that are those at the WHO who are wrong on many occasions and corrupted by financial interests. You are not the best and brightest, as much as your organization has made you feel your are. Stick to recommendations, but don't you dare have the arrogance to think you can control an individual with something as reprehensible as a global vaccine passport. It is sickening that the WHO would even suggest this. The founders would be ashamed.
Personal response	The last thing the world needs is a single and preplanned response to a pandemic. It needs free speech and science to be respected, not voices that dissent from the views of the WHO leadership or of its financiers like The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. It needs to listen and let individual countries respond according to their specific circumstances and population. We do not need this treaty. All we need are lines of communication and open sharing of information and ideas. The response to SC2, with appalling outcomes in places following experimental policies of lockdown and experimental mRNA vaccines which are being shown not to work, demonstrates the fallacy of a one world one policy approach. You have children too. End this hubris.
None	The last two years has shown that a one size fits all solution doesn't work; Sweden and Florida are perfect examples for that. We saw that when a single overarching organization to takes control and mandates what is allowed, people died in droves and terrible health outcomes were the result. Leave the decision-making to the local level and stop pushing centralization.
HSE	The last two years have been incredibly challenging for those of us working on the front line. I am concerned that any future response to a pandemic or similar public health crisis would ensure that elected National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and remain accountable to their voters. That nations have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. That democratic procedures prevail and open and transparent processes allow people to actively participate in decisions on measures and that majority rule will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. That pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers and influential business people are not allowed influence major public health decision making and that processes are transparent.
Asey Academy	The last two years have demonstrated beyond all reasonable doubt that the WHO is not competent to take on the task of determining the existence of a pandemic and/or being responsive to the same. They should recuse themselves from the field.
Citizen	The last two years have demonstrated that nations and governments that exercised the least "pandemic response" also had the least deaths, the least turmoil, and the least economic devastation... and also the least loss of faith in their leaders. As such, the ideal components of such an agreement would be those that have minimal negative effect on the freedom of individuals and the autonomy of nations, and the highest effects towards preserving the rights and freedoms of individuals and their nations, assuming the goal is to protect and serve the people, and to prevent further mistrust (and subsequent replacement) of the agents of our governments. The best agreement would be that which would state, "never again will we tolerate any government to oppress their citizenry under the guise of "pandemic protection." The people that still desire for their rights and freedoms to be taken away under pandemic pretense are now the minority.
Private citizen, no affiliations	The last two years have demonstrated to us how absolutely crucial personal sovereignty and medical autonomy is. Any measures proposed by the WHO must only be operated with individual consent as its ultimate limit. There has never been any need to override any country's democratic decision making in order to deal with an actual medical threat. The threat levels and seriousness of any future medical challenge will will be the guiding factor for any government or health authority to assess independently. The WHO should never be in a position to override any decision made by a democratically elected government and it should never be in a position to impose laws in relation to medical emergencies over and above any nation's sovereignty over it's own people. The WHO should only operate in an advisory capacity with the best information and data available through open and transparent sources that are capable of scrutiny from entities not affiliated with the WHO. Trust therefore will be restored without the concerns of unnecessary overreach.
N/A	The last two years have shown that the WHO is undoubtedly one of the most dangerous organisations in the world, a trojan horse to destroy democracy, liberty and individualism whether intentionally or otherwise. It has done nothing to improve health and wellbeing, in fact it has been quite the opposite. It's obscene that the WHO has the arrogance to think it can dictate to the world how it should act in a "pandemic", despite being wrong numerous times over the last two years alongside other "experts" and their ridiculously overblown models. All of the WHO-approved methods have failed miserably. Testing, track and trace, lockdowns, masks, social distancing and vaccines have all been disastrous and continue to cause problems all over the world. After such a disgraceful performance, rather than looking to increase powers, the WHO should disband as soon as possible for the good of humanity.
N/A	The law can and must guarantee and protect health related freedoms. Governments should not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Human beings have the right to choose the form of treatment they wish in order to maintain or restore their health. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of people are wrong. All people have the right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness of any treatment. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures should be liable for any damages caused by their products and services.
none	The laws of any nation shall not be superseded by any part of the treaty. Informed and voluntary consent shall be mandatory for an individual to decide to accept or decline any recommended treatment either for themselves, or, for another person in the case where the individual is the legally recognized guardian of that other person regarding medical care.
Friendship Fellowship at Pineda	The leadership of nations and localities retain full autonomy and the right to make their own decisions according to what is good and fair for their people. The ability for municipalities to opt-out of anything with no consequence. An open and transparent process. Measures that do not allow for business or pharmaceutical interests to impact global health issues.
none	The leading instrument to conclude and decide preparation and response measures is a completely unbiased (financial, religious and political) gremium of a public directly elected group with power to veto and a 9 out of 10 approval rate. All meetings must be accessible to public interest. However not biased, still this group and every individual in it, holds personal life-long responsibility. Financial Interest cannot be any leading element of response and prepardness, Independent Ethics must dominate.
None	The legal Implementation of the Nuremberg Code, the sustainability of the human rights agenda and that domestic constitutions of each country all this must prevail.
United States	The link to submit comments to the WHO is: Https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions There is no one size fits all when it comes to health. Individuals and communities need control of their own health. Nations need the right to determine their own health policies in the event of a public health event or pandemic. Nations are better equipped to respond to the differing needs of their residence than a global government. This treaty should never see the light of day. It is the wrong course of action.
Independent	The lockdown measurements imposed by governments around the world have not worked in the manner they were sold to the population. The Vaccines developed have not worked at all, in fact have probably given worse outcomes by being forced on people already immune from Covid. If I were to take a naive outlook, I would say that they tried their best and it was all attempted with the best interests of the people at heart. However when looking at Pharmaceutical company involvement and specifically the latest data from Pfizer, it is clear that governments were/are corrupted and are not acting with public health interests. These commercial entities should be distanced from public health as much as possible. It needs to be recognised that "lockdowns" and other restrictions have made no difference at all other than to take people's freedom away and encourage vaccination to "return to normal"
General public	The lockdown was a disaster & will continue to be felt both financially & the poor health of the UK population . The pandemic plans already in place should have been followed. But not one organisation should have ultimate power over all countries. Each country must always be in control of their health policies & the people of each and every country must be free to choose what they do with their body & what goes into it.
NA	The lockdowns during the Covid19 pandemic nor the experimental "vaccines" did nothing but destroy small business economy and also the mental health of many...proving lockdowns are not beneficial. We need more emphasis on how to stay healthy.
NONE	The Lockdowns have achieved nothing! What they have done to people's Mental health are unimaginable and beyond cruel. Children/Population locked up masked with no Scientific evidence. Economy crashed. All for what purpose? Control/Power over people. These actions of all Governments who were proven by many bodies to be wrong and unjust. Never again will this happen. The World doesn't shut down for Flu which is just as deadly as Cov19.
Private	The lock-step actions taken during the Covid pandemic is proven fruitless and harmful. Any proceeding for international emergency response treaty is therefore misplaced, unnecessary and dangerous for world citizen.
voice	The Lord God knows of all the nefarious plans in this proposed "treaty". The Lord God, Life, is never for Authoritarian control. Love and coercion never go together! No More Mammon: Repent. The Lord has warned about risking the "second death".
Doctors Surgery	The main and only one should be the restriction of international travel for a set period of time while the situation is assessed. Each country should have the right to manage its own sovereign response to any infectious outbreak.
Na	The main and singular most important element is for local governments to remain in control of policies within their respective regions. A global, one size fits all approach is unnecessary and dangerous. The needs and threats of someone in my part of the world is very different from those of someone thousands of miles away. So, please keep this in mind as you proceed. Thank you.
Jurate Gattini	The main aspects that should be included: 1. Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits 2. Honoring the sovereignty and superiority of the Constitutions of the states participating in the treaty 3. Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions 4. Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, pharmaceutical, etc 5. Ensure an open, transparent decision-making process. 6. Require informed consent for recommended interventions 7. Forbid advertising of prescription drugs or any other medicine that needs to be prescribed by doctors only on TV and other mass media 8. Restore liability of pharmaceutical companies 9. Pharmaceutical companies should be neutral entities dedicated to people's health only - they should be forbidden to contribute to political campaigns or media 10. To honor alternative natural ways to deal with the diseases, consider innovations/ inventions that are already available
Health	The main concern is that national democracies should not be contravened.. The WHO proposals interfere with the democratic process and human rights which include both national and personal choides regarding health issues. This is clearly overreaching the remit acceptable for health organisations and should not go ahead.

Ecuador	[illegible]
Egypt	[illegible]
Equatorial Guinea	[illegible]
Eritrea	[illegible]
Estonia	[illegible]
Eswatini	[illegible]
Ethiopia	[illegible]
Fiji	[illegible]
Finland	[illegible]
France	[illegible]
Gabon	[illegible]
Gambia	[illegible]
Georgia	[illegible]
Germany	[illegible]
Ghana	[illegible]
Greece	[illegible]
Grenada	[illegible]
Guatemala	[illegible]
Guinea	[illegible]
Guinea-Bissau	[illegible]
Guyana	[illegible]
Haiti	[illegible]
Honduras	[illegible]
Hungary	[illegible]
Iceland	[illegible]
India	[illegible]
Indonesia	[illegible]
Iran	[illegible]
Iraq	[illegible]
Ireland	[illegible]
Israel	[illegible]
Italy	[illegible]
Jamaica	[illegible]
Japan	[illegible]
Jordan	[illegible]
Kazakhstan	[illegible]
Kenya	[illegible]
Kiribati	[illegible]
Kosovo	[illegible]
Kuwait	[illegible]
Kyrgyzstan	[illegible]
Laos	[illegible]
Latvia	[illegible]
Lebanon	[illegible]
Lesotho	[illegible]
Liberia	[illegible]
Libya	[illegible]
Liechtenstein	[illegible]
Lithuania	[illegible]
Luxembourg	[illegible]
Madagascar	[illegible]
Malawi	[illegible]
Malaysia	[illegible]
Maldives	[illegible]
Mali	[illegible]
Malta	[illegible]
Marshall Islands	[illegible]
Mauritania	[illegible]
Mauritius	[illegible]
Mexico	[illegible]
Micronesia	[illegible]
Moldova	[illegible]
Monaco	[illegible]
Mongolia	[illegible]
Montenegro	[illegible]
Morocco	[illegible]
Mozambique	[illegible]
Myanmar	[illegible]
Namibia	[illegible]
Nauru	[illegible]
Nepal	[illegible]
Netherlands	[illegible]
New Zealand	[illegible]
Nicaragua	[illegible]
Niger	[illegible]
Nigeria	[illegible]
North Korea	[illegible]
North Macedonia	[illegible]
Norway	[illegible]
Oman	[illegible]
Pakistan	[illegible]
Palau	[illegible]
Palestine	[illegible]
Panama	[illegible]
Papua New Guinea	[illegible]
Paraguay	[illegible]
Peru	[illegible]
Philippines	[illegible]
Poland	[illegible]
Portugal	[illegible]
Qatar	[illegible]
Romania	[illegible]
Russia	[illegible]
Rwanda	[illegible]
Saint Kitts and Nevis	[illegible]
Saint Lucia	[illegible]
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	[illegible]
Samoa	[illegible]
San Marino	[illegible]
São Tomé and Príncipe	[illegible]
Saudi Arabia	[illegible]
Senegal	[illegible]
Serbia	[illegible]
Seychelles	[illegible]
Sierra Leone	[illegible]
Singapore	[illegible]
Slovakia	[illegible]
Slovenia	[illegible]
Solomon Islands	[illegible]
Somalia	[illegible]
South Africa	[illegible]
South Korea	[illegible]
South Sudan	[illegible]
Spain	[illegible]
Sri Lanka	[illegible]
Sudan	[illegible]
Suriname	[illegible]
Sweden	[illegible]
Switzerland	[illegible]
Syria	[illegible]
Tajikistan	[illegible]
Tanzania	[illegible]
Thailand	[illegible]
Timor-Leste	[illegible]
Togo	[illegible]
Tonga	[illegible]
Trinidad and Tobago	[illegible]
Tunisia	[illegible]
Turkey	[illegible]
Turkmenistan	[illegible]
Tuvalu	[illegible]
Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates	[illegible]
United Kingdom	[illegible]
United States of America	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela	[illegible]
Vietnam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]
Other	[illegible]

Organization	Written submission
Individual submission	The most essential element is individual informed consent to any vaccine or medical treatment. No person should be subjected to any mandated medical treatment or vaccine. All elements of the Nuremberg Code must be fully respected in any international instrument. No government should be required to impose any blanket requirements on its population through any international instrument or WHO requirement. Elements which should be included are full adherence to the International Health Regulations (IHRs), which virtually no country in the world has yet met, despite having ratified these decades ago. There should be means by which less developed and middle income countries are assisted to develop adequate health systems that will support the IHRs. G7 efforts in 2016 were inadequate. More people died from COVID because safe, effective and approved treatments were withheld in order to force experimental genetic therapies through often mandatory injections. Thus, off-label use of safe and approved medicines must be an element in the international instrument. More people have either died from the injections or will die in the next few years from them, than died from COVID itself. All-cause mortality skyrocketed once the injection program began in 2021, whereas there was no discernable increase in deaths in 2020 from COVID (which presumably were offset by reduced traffic deaths or reductions in other communicable diseases). Never again must deaths be forced on populations with mandates for forced medical treatments. Involuntary mandates must be outlawed in any international treaty, as is already agreed through the Nuremberg Code.
Former president, Allergy and Environmental Health Association	The most essential element is individual informed consent to any vaccine or medical treatment. No person should be subjected to any mandated medical treatment or vaccine. All elements of the Nuremberg Code must be fully respected in any international instrument. No government should be required to impose any blanket requirements on its population through any international instrument or WHO requirement. Elements which should be included are full adherence to the International Health Regulations (IHRs), which virtually no country in the world has yet met, despite having ratified these decades ago. There should be means by which less developed and middle-income countries are assisted to develop adequate health systems that will support the IHRs. G7 efforts in 2016 were inadequate. More people died from COVID because safe, effective and approved treatments were withheld in order to force experimental genetic therapies through often mandatory injections. Thus, off-label use of safe and approved medicines must be an element in the international instrument. More people have either died from the injections or will die in the next few years from them, than died from COVID itself. All-cause mortality skyrocketed once the injection program began in 2021, whereas there was no discernable increase in deaths in 2020 from COVID (which presumably were offset by reduced traffic deaths or reductions in other communicable diseases). Never again must deaths be forced on populations with mandates for forced medical treatments. Involuntary mandates must be outlawed in any international treaty, as is already agreed through the Nuremberg Code.
none	The most essential element of a pandemic treaty should be that the WHO gives scientifically and medically well-founded recommendations and is still not authorized to influence the sovereignty of states regarding decisions/laws. Independent and neutral action of the WHO from financial support by pharmaceutical companies is required.
N/A	The most essential element of any such instrument must be its non-existence. We have seen what the "World Health Organization" has done with its unprecedented "COVID" operation – total disaster for humanity. Never again. In fact, the best thing for the health of the people of the world would certainly be to shut down your misbegotten organization completely. Stay out of our lives for good. No one wants your deadly interference on the pretext of "health." Get permanently lost.
Government	The most essential element that must be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is the maintenance of autonomy for individual countries and jurisdictions. Centralized healthcare and policies are not a panacea.
GMS	The most fundamental rule regarding this should be the freedom of choice. DO NOT use lockdowns. DON NOT use vaccinations. DO NOT allow unelected officials and billionaires to decide the fate of global public health policy. INCLUDE nutrition in the protocol for treating and curing disease. DO NOT allow mass censorship and instead promote OPEN and HONEST discussion.
ÖFU	The most important "substantive element" included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is, no doubt, that mandatory vaccines must be forbidden! Furthermore, all measures must take full account of all health interests as well as our human rights and social and economic interests. Another crucial point is that private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance (and at once). Additionally, a pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law. Best, Goesler
None	The most important and essential things to include are the inclusion of absolute guarantees on state and individual sovereignty; respect for human rights, including the right of individuals and organizations of individuals not to be vaccinated; and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies with respect to vaccine injuries.
Institute for Medical Research and Occupational Health	The most important change learned from covid pandemia is change in communication with general public. Transparency and independent experts (researchers and experts who are not and have never been funded by industry) should be invited in committees dealing with national strategy. Strict responsibility of pharmaceutical companies for side effects and lethal outcomes of vaccination. Global harmonization of lockdown criteria. Application of vaccine which by any mechanism is suspected to be possible modifier of human genome or epigenome should not be aloud. Global harmonized report forms for side effects. Financial penalties and exclusion from chamber of physicians in case of vaccination of patients who are vaccinated without knowledge of their possible health risks associated with vaccination. Minimalization of impact of politics on vaccine type distribution. Mandatory monitoring of vaccinated population (phone call, general practitioner).
None	The most important criteria for ANY International agreement is this: it must be ONLY a guiding and helpful instrument and effort; to be called upon in time of need by any Nation State that so chooses. It MUST NOT contain any provisions that attempt to supercede the Sovereign Authority or Constitution of any Nation State. It MUST NOT contain any provisions that authorize enforcement by any International law, entity or structure. Otherwise, any such efforts amount to Global Communism, which will not be tolerated by the free people of the world, most particularly America.
World Citizen	The most important element during a pandemic is access to an at home treatment package. The package should include basic preventive medications & remedies for common symptoms. Access to over the counter medication & access to common prescription medications should be considered to keep the hospitals from overcrowding. Doctors were not readily available during Covid. At home regiments were not provided or recommended. This was a problem.
none	The most important element for a global response is to allow access for prevention via non vaccination means as most people have mild ro severe reactions to anything injected into them. The single most effective way to stay healthy is to have access to clean water and good food and air quality and to move. Nothing that allopathy can do can elevate the immune system like a well primed natural immunity. When scientists are corrupted and lead to believe the branding of the market pharma forces, there is only evil at stake. we have watched this occur many times over for many centuries. Why do the west insist on frauding its own citizens for the financial gain of a very small elite few who refer to themselves as 'experts' - I have heard nothing more insincere and egregious as what I have witnessed over the past 2 years. It is tantamount to creating wars within nations and then selling them arms. It is vile and criminal. The people that run these campaigns and their descendants will have the worst health and karma imaginable... I am disgusted at what has occurred in this thing called humanity. I see nothing but IN Humanity in this march towards trans humanism.
Butterfield Research Consultants	The most important element for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is that any international initiative be voluntary, therefore a treaty of member nations would undermine the public health advocacy mission of the WHO. It is important that any WHO guidance be voluntary and also that the clinical evidence basis be provided for any guidance in a forum wherein scientists can attach new studies and discuss whether the current guidance follows the evidence. The COVID-19 response shows the importance of the WHO guidance being voluntary. There are many examples where WHO policies were not based on clinical evidence and where following these policies led to more harm than good. For example, when the news of COVID-19 in Wuhan first broke out, the WHO provided the guidance that travel should not be restricted at a time when restricting travel would have helped contain COVID-19. Then, once the member nations become infected, the WHO provided the guidance to restrict travel when the cat was already out of the bag. Now more than ever, it is important for each member nation to independently access the public health impacts of the measures taken in response to COVID-19, to improve public health response. If all member countries decided to implement a single response, humanity loses the benefit of being able to measure the health impact of varied responses taken in different member countries. In this way, we would lose the ability to learn from mistakes. Put another way, any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response which member nations agree to will give the WHO the power to make mistakes that could potentially harm all of humanity. Respectfully, Todd Butterfield
Individual	The most important element I believe to include in the pandemic response preparedness is that individual countries, states with in those countries and local healthcare workers and elected officials and families must be free to decide what is best for their particular situation.
n/a	The most important element in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is that any body that may be intended to implement the instrument should be granted no power of rule making or enforcement. That is, the body must be advisory only. The federal government of the United States is prohibited by its constitution from entering into such a compact otherwise, because pandemic preparedness is not among its limited specifically delegated powers. Our federal government cannot delegate powers never delegated by the states to it. Other governments with federal systems are presumably similarly limited.
Circle of Compassion	The most important element in any new international instrument on pandemic response is that all decisions relating to the response be de-centralized, so that local health authorities can be independent of centralized authorities and treat the disease with the best methods for the local people. In no way should any centralized authority take precedence over local authorities. The World health Organization should have no authority, but it can provide information only. Local national governments should always be independent from global authorities, and at the national level, local health directors should have independence from national authorities as much as possible. Local authorities must be free to determine whether an emergency exists. This can not come from any centralized authority, such as the WHO, and should not even come from national authorities, but only at the local level. This decentralized approach is much more robust and also free of potential corruption and/or mistakes made by a few that could have disastrous consequences.
Foundation for the Advancement in Cancer Therapies	The most important element in handling any pandemic must be that human rights, like the rights set forth in the US Bill of Rights and the Nuremberg Code, NEVER EVER be violated. There must be NO exception to this whatsoever. The dreadful destructive lockdowns, the unscientific mask mandates, the massive censorship of free speech and the press, brutal treatment of hospital and elder care home patients, loss of millions of small businesses and jobs, a year of schooling and the resultant deaths of despair.....all were a result of cruel brutal and unnecessary mandates. The WHO, an unelected body, must be free of any financial influence whatsoever, and must have NO power to influence the laws of ANY sovereign nation. It must be a strictly advisory body, and must be subject to the Nuremberg Code. No private contributions, no influence from pharmaceutical companies,, or from any persons or organizations having ties to these companies should be allowed, as medicine soon becomes profit-oriented, as we have clearly seen. I add that the media, government bodies, health care agencies and hospitals must have no control over doctors and other health care practitioners. Practitioners must have the freedom to care for patients as they see fit. No health care institution should be able to influence, control, threaten, or deny livelihood to any health care practitioner for conduct in agreement with the Hippocratic Oath.
the general public	The most important element is respect for individual sovereignty. This means respecting the right to Informed Consent (which requires access to full information about any medical treatment, especially vaccines), and the right to refuse treatment, including vaccines. See Nuremberg Code for more details. Respect for national sovereignty must also be included. While world level coordination in the event of a pandemic may have value, especially for sharing information, an un-elected entity with dictatorial powers over every other government will lead to a world-wide disaster worse than a pandemic, sooner or later.
Public	The most important element is that citizens must have complete autonomy over thier health and health care. Agency's cannot be trusted to have public interest at heart. Under no circumstances should any scenario involve any coercion of public at all. No one can be trusted with an individual's health. Govts and other bodies kill civilians. They cannot be trusted and must not have any coercive control over the public. Period.
Private citizen	The most important element is that it should be up to each individual country to implement their own preparedness and response - it absolutely should not be a "top down, one-size fits all" approach. A quick glance at the national medias over the last 12 months shows that populations of individual countries are not supportive of their own governments imposing "draconian" measures so it follows that a WHO mandated solution would be met with a massive rejection of citizens from individual countries.
Private	The most important element is that member states retain their national sovereignty over health care decisions and that individuals retain their bodily autonomy and right to informed consent. No individual should ever be forced to submit himself to any medical treatment he doesn't agree with. Neither should they be coerced in any way by loss of liberty to participate in any medical intervention that violates their conscience. Thank you.
Gezond Verstand Media BV	The most important element is that the national constitution should not be replaced. Each country should be able to determine their health policy and each individual citizen should never be forced to be injected by an experimental substance that is not sufficiently tested for many years (minimum 9 years) and each individual should be able to opt for proven medicines that will work. It is well proven that the advice of the WHO on the Covid-19 treatment with injections and boosters was undermining the natural immune system of individuals. The injections became the problem instead of a solution.
privat	The most important element is the compliance with the human rights!
privat	The most important element must be compliance with human rights!
Robin Films Ltd	taken by individual governments during 2020 -2022 are dropped entirely and never repeated again. In this instrument must be a guarantee that the rights of the individual are paramount and need to be held sacrosanct. Without these rights, any advice given can quickly transform into draconian and dangerous rules and mandates. The WHO needs to make very clear in their new international instrument that individual rights are not curtailed under any circumstances - namely the following: The rights of the individual to assess their own risk, and to not be hindered in any way by government or its agencies The rights of the individual to work, and not be forced to close their business, and to be able to make their own informed decision. The rights of the individuals to assemble. The rights of the individual to refuse experimental medicines, and any other medicines they do not wish to take. The rights of the individual to refuse to wear a face mask. The rights of the individual to travel unhindered without use of a pass or test result. The rights of the individual to eat and dine without the use of a pass or test result. The rights of an individual to shop, purchase drinks and food without the use of pass or test result. The WHO in their international instrument for pandemic preparedness needs to make it very clear and unambiguous, and include a guarantee, that any advice passed from its body to separate governments is not a lockstep manual, authorising governments to act in any way contrary to the individual rights of their native populations.
General public	The most important element must be the right of every individual nation, to retain responsibility for making decisions regarding pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. Each community has different needs. The parliament of each country, is most ideally placed to assess the needs of its population, and further to swiftly and cost effectively roll out the necessary measures. Global policies put lives at risk, remove an individual's freedom and waste funds through inaccurate targetting.
Assisting Seniors at Home	The most important element of all is that this instrument not trample upon the sovereignty of peoples and of nations. No crisis is so great that the WHO or any other entity should be able to infringe on all peoples' autonomous decisions regarding their health and freedom. For an illustration, see Shanghai at present.
General Public	The most important element of an international pandemic treaty that affects citizens all over the world is to preserve the individuals' right to bodily autonomy and the right of independent nations to choose how they need to respond to real emergencies, using real data, to best serve their own people. We must be able to agree on the definitions of words like "pandemic" and "vaccine" and agree that no one can just arbitrarily change definitions. There need to be provisions made to make certain that public health policy is not influenced or driven by special interests in the pharmaceutical industry, banking industry, tech industry and international organizations and "charities" like the Gates Foundation, WEF, GAVI, the UN, the Club of Rome and many others. Notice how the previously mentioned groups are unelected and therefore do not in any way represent the people. If we do actually need any kind of international pandemic response agreement then it needs to be customizable to each independent nation and individual and if at anytime the WHO's recommendations cause harm then the policies are to be changed by and for the people at the local level.
US citizen, aerospace engineer	The most important element of an international response and preparedness to a pandemic is the autonomy of nations and the individuals within nations to chose for themselves whether or not to adopt the course of action recommend by the international instrument. Along with it is the responsibility of the organizing body to thoroughly consider all potential solutions to the problem, and not restrict treatment/prevention to any singular method. One size fits all protocols inhibit creativity, problem solving, and the ability to adapt if a course of action is not working. In my industry, the ability to test designs rapidly and iterate consistently produces the best results. Over the last two years in America, all-cause mortality increased somewhere around 40% since the pandemic occurred. Only a small portion of that mortality can be attributed to the virus itself. Other side-effects of the societal response (lockdowns, medical protocol, fear, isolation, lack of exposure to nature etc) were actually making life and health worse. Concurrently, those who attempted to use alternate treatments were silenced, deplatformed, and defamed. The United States was founded on the basis that citizens are responsible for themselves, and have the authority to adopt or remove policies based on their effectiveness. A global policy that does any more than provide scientifically based suggestions to nations has overstepped its bounds.
n/a	The most important element of any treaty set forth, must be all sovereign nations will retain the right to make the final decisions in regards to their health/pandemic response policies. While I understand that the WHO strives to be a global representative, it is unreasonable to assert blanket policies. What works in one nation may not be the right response for another. While I agree the WHOLE can offer guidance, I don't believe it should go beyond that.
self	The most important element of this treaty should be respect for individual state's & country's autonomy to decide and set their own pandemic response. Let it be known that governments are self ruled and not subject to arbitrary and international laws set in place by non-elected officials. The basis for democratic republics are that they are governed from within and not subjects to an international dictate set by officials. To allow that would be tyranny.
Gezond Verstand Media BV	The most important element should be that countries should not be stripped of the right to determine their own health policy and individuals should not be forced to be injected with experimental substances that are insufficiently tested, even in the event of a pandemic that is caused by the change of the definition of what is a pandemic. The international instrument should never be overriding a national constitution (grondwet)
private	The most important element should be that the final decisions are made by elected representatives and that the measure can be terminated by them at any time. The body of the WHO must in no case be above those of the elected people's representatives.The most important element should be that the last decision come from elected institute
Humanity	The most important element that should be included and adhered to in this treaty is the The Nuremberg Code (1947).
Www.patographer.com	The most important element that should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be for the WHO to respect the sovereignty of individual nation-states. Authority for such important matters should remain the purview of national institutions and the local communities they serve.
General Public	The most important element that should be included in that instrument is a GUARANTEE that THERE WILL BE NO MANDATORY VACCINATIONS, and there will be NO DISCRIMINATION against people who refuse to get vaccinated. Also included must be a guarantee that ALTERNATIVES TO VACCINATION SHALL BE RESEARCHED; PRODUCED; AND PROVIDED to the public.
Citizen of Germany	The most important element to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response would be to stop on an immediate basis the gain-of-function laboratories all over the world. That should be the main effort to be taken, as the Covid-19-virus is likely to be men-made. To stop these activities should be top priority for all who are responsible, in order to avoid further pandemics beforehand. Another important point is, that the authority remains in regional hands. The WHO may suggest measures to be taken, but the persons responsible for their countries, need to decide themselves on an regional basis. As we have seen in the Covid-19-pandemic, some countries implemented lockdowns, although these didn't help to control the pandemic on a larger scale, but ruined the economy instead. A very open discussion of the needed measures is important, instead of forcing regulations upon ´citizens, which can lead to totalitarian behaviour, as we could see in Germany . Another important factor is the quality of nutrition, which needs to be bettered. Vitamin D for example, is in northern countries in many cases lacking and due to this infections get rather serious. Vaccines should be safe and not seen as the main remedy (especially not in case of Corona viruses, as they tend to mutate fastly). Here, more research on an holistic basis would be important.
Organic Nature	The most important element to be included in a new treaty on pandemic preparedness is that human rights,human choice,human freedoms and individuality always take precedence over external agencies. Our bodily autonomy and our human dignity must never again be violated,regardless of how much profit big pharma stands to gain from its investment in treatments. There will be a panel of ethical health professionals to balance the WHO,preventing dangerous products arising from weaponized gain of function research ever again being imposed on the public. There will be NO LESS than 5 doctors who challenged the official Covid-19 narrative for each country. That way,corruption is minimized. The treaty will hold the media responsible for informing the public about all aspects of a situation and also holding live public debates with the panel of ethical doctors so people have a balanced view.
self	The most important element to be included in an international pandemic preparedness response would be the absolute RIGHT of nations AND individuals within nations to opt out of the international plan if they deem it to be in any way harmful to us personally or goes against the rights guaranteed to us by our constitution. It is clear from the COVID-19 pandemic that a majority of the United States Population has loss trust in centralized requirements for pandemics. The mRNA jabs have NOT stopped the transmission of COVID yet the governments egregiously continued to force people to follow this ineffective approach to pandemic control. In conclusion, I am against any attempt to centralize pandemic strategies onto a single world organization.
Theresa Yelmgren	The most important element to be included is that every country has the right and obligation to determine its own pandemic response. This should be done close to home and not by an organization without direct knowledge of the situation in that particular country. Also, each country should NOT be bound to the recommendations of the WHO regarding pandemic actions. The US can handle its own health issues without the governance of a "world health" body that is more concerned about political issues and less concerned about the individual people being affected by what is determined to be in the best interest of the world. There is not a one-size-fits-all solution to any health issue, especially when each country's resources, abilities, and desires are vastly different. In conclusion, this is unnecessary.
private individual	The most important element to be included is that the final decision on which response to take to any pandemic or other health crisis must remain with the elected national representatives of each country. There must be no decisions by international bodies - which are not directly accountable to the people in each country - that cannot be overturned by national parliaments. In order to preserve democracy, political lobbying by very rich and powerful individuals or organizations, such as e.g. Bill Gates, must never be allowed to carry more weight than decisions made by national parliaments.
Private individual	The most important element to be included should be a guarantee that the pharmaceutical industry is excluded from any decision-making. I would also like to see included measures to ensure that the autonomy of national/federal and local/state authorities is respected and can over-ride any decisions made by bodies (such as the WHO) that have no knowledge of local conditions. These national and local bodies should be allowed to opt out without any penalties. I would also like to see adopted the following: "An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it."
self	The most important element to be incorporated in this treaty is that ALL THE PROPOSALS ARE RECOMMENDATIONS ONLY with no enforcement power that can be imposed on any of the nations linked to the WHO. No nation shall be under any pressure to conform to any directives that conflict with their own country's circumstances and personal decisions.. The WHO shall not usurp any nation's God given sovereignty.
Private Citizen	The most important element to include in a new instrument on pandemic response would be the assurance that the World Health Organization adheres to the role of a scientific advisor to our governments rather than regulatory entity.
Individual	The most important element to include in this response is the ability for people to maintain their bodily autonomy and health choices even in the cases of emergencies. Every individual has a unique situation and it would irresponsible to implement policies to be applied across the board to everyone. No pandemic response will be a one size fits all. Focus on elements that create options and choices that lead to successful outcomes. People have been saved by the vaccines; however, many people are permanently injured or have died and the long-term effects have yet to be seen. Most importantly, it is not the government's role to take care of people's health. That is the doctor's role and the role of the individual. My body, my choice. The government's role is to offer support and resources and it's up the individual to choose what support and resources are best for them. The government did exactly the opposite over the last two years. They withheld access too early treatment options and medications that were inexpensive and had decades of safety studies which resulted in thousands of unnecessary deaths. A vaccine isn't going to be the only solution for everyone. Institute a multi-pronged approach that would allow the individuals to have options that work best for their individual health situation. Forcing people into compliance and governing through mandates will never be effective. I am the mother of 2 children and I had already had COVID and did well. I didn't want to risk the vaccine's side effects because I couldn't care for my kids if I had permanent health issues. Thank God I live in a state where my governor protected my right to choose. Remember, you are dealing with human beings so treat them as such!
County Pump & Supply Inc	The most important element whether it's the WHO, the US Govt, the Kremlin, the UK, or any other body of peers should consider is freedom. Humans have inalienable rights given by our Maker, not by the people signing papers, to choose how we should live our lives. If this is not treated with respect and thought of first and foremost then, "molon labe."
US citizen	The most important element would be full disclosure of any action. That means un-redacted, complete observations from those claiming that there is an event of interest. The second most important element is unrestricted individual response. No arbitrary mandates, shut downs, censorship of viewpoints. Every point which was decried by Authorities as "mis-information" was eventually proven true. Every edict from our official spokespersons has been revealed to be a lie. "Masks will protect you." They don't and never did. "Two weeks to flatten the curve." That was a complete false target.
Independent	The most important element would be the support of individual country preparedness and responses to any pandemic. Public Health is never a one-size fits all response and local conditions, local capacities and local skills are the vital element for any public health response to a threat, even when the threat is world-wide. The WHO should coordinate information, support local information gathering, collate best practices and provide support for national health bodies to apply the solutions which best fit the specific circumstaneces of each country as determined by them.
University	The most important element would have to be a democratic tool to consult the people of the world. No one person or organisation can have full control or power as that ultimately leads to corruption, power and greed. Each country would have to implement a fail safe into the process. Where the people of that country have the ultimate say over their own life and certainly not be left in the hands of another to decide what's right for that individual. Otherwise that could be considered as slavery. Also the majority can not have or hold sway over the minority and each individual voice should be heard therefore an option for them to opt out in safety is imperative.
Human	The most important elements are Human individual rights of each living being where his or her rights are not taken away by anyone or any body.We are free beings to enjoy life making our own decisions in this world and this free will was given to us by God himself is the greatest gift of life . We as humans are all different from all walks of life with many nuances that make us all unique.So no one body or organization which I may add hasnt voted on and why the WHO should be representing anyone because you answer to no one.Only the people and the people alone should be making their decisions on health and other personal dealings.Governments are there to protect their citizens not help take away fundamental rights of their citizens and this also applies to other NGO's .Only when all the people are involved in decision making will all people from all walks of life benefit.Thank You.
Ita Zimow	The most important elements included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be autonomy on a national and local level to ensure proportional and appropriate application of the safety measures and retain a direct and accessible link of accountability. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program, people and communities need to be in control of their health. The ability to carry the burden of personal responsibility first and foremost is observed when people are given the information as well as the freedom of choice on how to apply it. As such, the new international instrument should not include any measures that override the constitution and rule law of any of the WHO member states without the free, accessible and immediate choice of any of the member states to resign from the proposed measures at any time. Therefore the new international instrument should include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their constituents. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to optout of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote, including failsafe measures preventing the use of the instrument in places where a majority of the people have refused it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, I.Zimow
NA	The most important elements necessary for your proposed response to a pandemic is the recognition of the absolute sovereignty of each and every country involved and deferring to the medical expertise in each country to determine the best way to handle the situation. The WHO does not and should not have the last word on a pandemic response to all peoples of the world. The world would need to trust the WHO and their expertise before relinquishing that kind of control. The world is a long long way from that kind of trust. Perhaps the first element should be trust, and that will take a long time to gain that. Work on that.
none	The most important elements of a proposed international instrument on pandemic preparedness should be: - the right of sovereign nations to retain full autonomy over their public health policy - the right to bodily autonomy for citizens of any nation I have grave concerns that this instrument will ultimately allow an unelected and unaccountable international body to dictate to sovereign nations how to treat their citizens during whatever is determined to be a health crisis. This should never be allowed to happen.
none	The most important elements of such an instrument have to be freedom of information and free speech, the personal right of each human being to decide what medication or other medical treatment is given to his or her body - according to the principles of the Nuremberg Code: No treatment at all without informed consent. It has to include also NO right whatsoever of any state or privat entity to force measures upon any human being (or person) that are in conflict with the elements named above. I certainly disagree with any legislation on "pandemic preparedness" that will abolish my human rights. "You understand that WHO is not able to ensure that all interested parties will be able to participate in the public hearings, and that thus WHO does not make any commitment or undertaking to allow you to participate in the public hearings." As for this part of the terms of participation: this is completely undemocratic. NO CONSENT without transparent information. As I am forced to agree your terms of participation to submit my statement I am telling you here explicitely that I regard this as blackmailing.

Organization	Written submission
Valerio Montemezzani	The most important elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are in order of importance: 1) Full sovereignty of individuals on their body. 2) Respect of the individual right to decide if to accept or not any treatment that would directly or indirectly act on their body. 3) Avoid any type of discrimination between different choices, particulary those of economic nature. 4) Full decisional right of parents on any treatment intended for children under the legal age. 5) Never mandate the use of experimental or non-fully approved (using traditional/standard methodologies) drugs/treatments. 6) Allow single states to accept/refuse/modify the WHO guidelines/decisions. 7) Full transparency of any agreement with public and private sector. 8) Temporary nature of guidelines aimed to deal with specific pandemic events. 9) Promote a wide and diverse scientific debate on the proposed guidelines/response actions. 10) Forbid and implement a strict control on the media funding in favour of the proposed guideline, both from private and governmental entities.
self	The most important elements that should be included in the pandemic preparedness response is this: WHO does not have the power to decide health issues for a country or individuals even in an emergency. Each community should be sovereign in how it chooses to respond to specific health issues. As we witnessed with the Covid 19 disaster, much of what was decided has nothing to do with science as much as it did fear and speculation. If we agree to such a treaty, the majority becomes imprisoned by decisions from a minority who may not have the world's bests interests at heart. We should not agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Retired	The most important elements to a pandemic response should include a focused approach to protect the most vulnerable people, but keep society open (for example, as proposed by the Great Barrington Declaration). There should be no lockdowns, vaccine mandates, vaccine passports, or mask mandates as they have caused more harm than good (e.g. Bardosh et al. 2022, SSRN). Pandemic preparedness and response plans should continue to be managed by individual countries.
none	The most important elements to include would be freedom for each country and nation to decide on their own pandemic response and not be required to conform to an overall WHO agenda. Countries should be free to determine the best local response. The WHO should be focused on gathering information and making data available to all countries, but not forcing any decisions or restrictions. The other important part of pandemic response should be looking for ways to repurpose currently available drugs as therapeutics for any new disease. This was not done sufficiently during the COVID-19 pandemic and led to additional deaths. Vaccines can be helpful in some cases, but are not the final or only solution. The WHO also needs to disclose all financial conflicts of interest and make decisions that are truly in accordance with health and not in favor of profits for pharmaceutical companies or other backers.
-	The most important elements which should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are, firstly, that local and national leadership retain autonomy and reserve the right to make their own decisions, and secondly nations are able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement at any given time without repercussions. Thirdly, all people of the world in all nations should have the ability to vote on including failsafe measures in order to prevent the implication of a global agreement in places where the majority of the population do not want it. Lastly, the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company or global health profiteer whatsoever.
Private party	The most important feature that should be included is for federal & local governments to be able to make their own choices in regards to what is best for their citizens. The world needs less bureaucracy not more. Stay out of our private health decisions!!
Retired	The most important governing principle for such a document must be the privileging of each country's own sovereignty over decisionmaking in a health crisis.
private individual	The most important instrument is the self-responsibility of the population. Supranational regulations damage the sovereignty of the individual states and are therefore to be rejected outright. There are no further precaution measures for pandemics necessary. The WHO can only be advisory in its function.
n/a	The most important matters you need to assure are that 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Rejection of any / all imperialism including the efforts of the WEF to orchestrate a single world approach to health or any governing.
n/a	The most important part of an international instrument is the protection of the states and local communities to make decisions based on their individual needs. There is not a one-size-fits-all plan that will work for everyone. The US constitution was created to protect individual freedoms and liberties, and to ensure the government's power was kept in check from growing too large. Removing the decision-making ability from the US entirely goes against the very principle for which our country was created. Any attempt to create an international plan that the world is required to follow, created by a few appointed individuals, cannot and will not be in the best interest of everyone, and has the great potential to do much more harm than good. The world leaders did not handle the current pandemic well. Most protocols that were put in place ended up harming, killing, or destroying the lives of more people than they helped. God help us if those same leaders get the power to create mandates that the world has no choice but to follow. Local communities know what they need to do to stay safe. Leave the ability to make decisions in their hands.
Individual	The most important part of this treaty is that all nations be allowed to judge for themselves and their people if the suggestions by the WHO are practical and warranted for their people. A one size fits all approach is not logically nor scientifically beneficial. Healthcare availability and hygiene practices vary from country to country and even city to city. Autonomy of all free people must be recognized and valued as an important component of the health of any nation.
general public	The most important substantial element in this pandemic treaty is that WHO can act as an advisory body on policy by providing principles, but that individual countries must retain the right, without penalty, to determine how those principles can best work to resolve their circumstances or if local solutions may have superior promise according to the country's unique needs and experience. Covid-19 was a glaring example that top down, single solution, global medical practice is a recipe for failure, especially when the advisory body is so embedded with conflicts of interest.
Family	The most important substantive element is to leave the people alone.
individual	The most important substantive element that should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be the protection and respect of individuals human rights, in particular the inalienable human right to bodily autonomy without consequences. Societies which work the best have always been those which respect the rights of the individual. Society is an abstract concept and should not be attributed rights that can override the rights of real living individual human beings. Governments, health regulators and international bodies, such as the WHO appear to have become overly dependant on financial donations from corporate and private interests leading to suspicion of corruption of purpose. Therefore it is very important that any vested interests, such as pharmaceutical companies, technology corporations, financial institutions and other companies which could profit from a pandemic should not be allowed to influence the creation of this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Furthermore, NGO's with vested interests, such as the Gates Foundation, GAVI, CEPI, or the Wellcome Trust, should also be barred from this process. Only independent experts without any vested interests in these companies or organisations should be consulted. The sovereignty and autonomy of any nation should be respected and all nations should retain the right without consequences, to reject any part of the agreement. Finally, each individual and society was affected differently by the pandemic and a one treatment fits all approach should not be considered as regional variations need to be taken into account.
My own	The most important substantive element that should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and responseshould be the protection and respect of individuals human rights, in particular the inalienable human right to bodily autonomy without consequences. Societies which work the best have always been those which respect the rights of the individual. Society is an abstract concept and should not be attributed rights that can override the rights of real living individual human beings. Governments, health regulators and international bodies, such as the WHO appear to have become overly dependant on financial donations from corporate and private interests leading to suspicion of corruption of purpose. Therefore it is very important that any vested interests, such as pharmaceutical companies, technology corporations, financial institutions and other companies which could profit from a pandemic should not be allowed to influence the creation of this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Furthermore, NGO's with vested interests, such as the Gates Foundation, GAVI, CEPI, or the Wellcome Trust, should also be barred from this process. Only independent experts without any vested interests in these companies or organisations should be consulted. The sovereignty and autonomy of any nation should be respected and all nations should retain the right without consequences, to reject any part of the agreement.
self	The most important substantive element that should be included is the ability for a country to act according to the will of it's people. WHO treaties should not and must not at ANY time remove power from the United States and its own leadership and governance. The WHO constitution states "Informed opinion and active cooperation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Does the WHO believe this or not?
None	The most important substantive element to a global pandemic response and preparedness plan would be a bill of rights. I would like to direct your attention to the numerous human rights violations that some countries took with regards to the pandemic-it is no secret. Studies have shown people were harmed by lockdowns to be specific. If there is forward movement on an international set of ideals for pandemic preparedness they must include the rights of the people and limits. Individual sovereign countries must be able to make their own decisions regarding how to handle pandemics and what measures to put in place. We have seen some policies have been good, while others were disastrous. Trust in governments is failing. Trust in organizations and international bodies is failing. If we are to be prepared for a pandemic we must first create trust and faith with the people by guaranteeing their rights. The pandemic does not and will not impact everyone evenly but your policies do. Bodily autonomy should be the first priority as most health conditions are not visible. There must be an "opt out" option since not every response is a one size fits all. The "opt out" option must not have the ability be refuted as to ensure personal responsibility and guarantee rights. There must be a substantial and rigorous body of evidence to put policies in place not fearmongering. Nothing is more important. No pandemic. No policy. Remember, you are trying to protect humanity. The most important substantive element must be a bill of rights.
None	The most important substantive element to include in an international agreement on pandemic response is that all nations shall be autonomous and free in their actions. Well-meaning organizations like the WHO can make recommendations, but no nation shall be required to follow a particular protocol that may not fit the needs of their people or put the lives of their people in danger. There is no one size fits all approach to healthcare, so sovereign nations and free people shall maintain their freedom especially when their health and livelihood is at stake.
Biotechnology, Investment Analytics Research	The most important substantive element which should be included in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is an explicit opt-out clause for any participating country. The main reason is: no government of a democratic country has the right to commit its citizens to any medical treatment or public health measure without the informed consent of the people through a parliamentary process. The medical reason why any international instrument must allow individual sovereign choice on any health policy is: every country is different in many ways, including religion, economic, social, demographic, geographic, etc. Any international instrument cannot be equally appropriate medically for all countries. Coercive compliance by any country to inappropriate measures risks the lives and well-being of its citizens. Any new international instrument introduced by the WHO must be voluntary for all signatories, and stated explicitly.
Individual	The most important substantive elements for inclusion are: 1. Respect for sovereignty of countries, not centralised health dictatorship 2. Recognition that WHO failed to prevent the spread of Covid with its draconian measures, while causing immeasurable psychological, economic and health damage. 3. Respect for the right of the individual to choose health responses, not mandated policy. 4. Investigation of the source of AIDS, SARS and COVID including the role of WHO, CDC, FDA, et al, into prior financing of gain of function, patents and financial conflict of interest. 5. A determination that there should be no financial conflict of interest between the funding of WHO and the health advice it issues. 6. The encouragement of genuine scientific debate without censorship. 7. An independent investigation into the role of Klaus Shwab and the WEF with regard to undue influence over health and politics worldwide. 8. A review as to how the pharmaceutical industry uses massive corporate wealth and influence to bias medical treatment 9. A review of the unexamined pandemic of addiction from pharmaceutical prescription 10. An independent review of the role of natural food and medicine in preventative health, including an urgent independent examination of the poisoning of the population and degradation of soil through widespread pesticide use, artificial fertiliser and chemical additives in food. 11. An examination of the effects of fast food upon health. 12. A review of corporate overreach in health policy including conflict of interest between government health policy organisations and corporate affiliations of staff.
None	The most important substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response require each localized government to decide what is right for their specific population. There is no one size fits all. Every population is unique and needs to have a tailored response. Experience has shown that communities faced with epidemics or other adverse events respond best and with the least anxiety when the normal social functioning of the community is least disrupted. Strong political and public health leadership to provide reassurance and to ensure that needed medical care services are provided are critical elements. If either is seen to be less than optimal, a manageable epidemic could move toward catastrophe. It is difficult to evaluate the effectiveness of specific measures to control disease spread in epidemiologic terms because of the complex interrelationships between individuals and groups and the individual biological differences in response to influenza. Some historical studies have tried to evaluate the efficacy of specific influenza containment efforts, and, although they are informative, the relative paucity of such studies and the differences between past historical moments and the present limit the conclusions that can be drawn.
Private Individual	The most important substantive issue is the right for individuals to wholly retain bodily autonomy and bodily integrity, which includes the right to refuse all or any medication or medical procedure at all times. No one can be compelled to take a vaccine, a medicine, or any product of any nature. No coercion can be used, including threat of financial loss, job insecurity, inability to travel freely having given proof if needed of absence of infection with transmissible disease. Mise Le meas, Deirdre Callery
Individual	The most important terms should be that: No government or national or international organisation should have the right to remove anyone's basic rights and freedoms. It should be illegal to put healthy individuals under house arrest. Measures introduced to prevent the spread of disease should never prevent people from having in person contact with close friends and family. All impacts of disease prevention measures on education, access to food, long term economic sustainability and human welfare and holistic health (i.e. not just any single disease) should be properly considered on a national and local basis, and if the WHO guidance is found to harmfully conflicts with any of these then no country or individual should be obliged to follow it.
privat	The most important thing for pandemic preparedness should be substantial evidence, f.e. decissions not based on models and clear proof of an isolated virus and his infectiousness according to the Kochschen postulates!!! A very differientiated monitoring in more parts of the world than only two. Induvidually medical treatment. Open discussion and free exchange of information! Good medical support by real experts and money for health care services. An independent commission with all kind of experts to give good information so that everybody can decide how to protect himself and others best and to protect people from collateral damages f.e. caused by lockdowns, emergency approved medicine, or mandatory masks and to protect people from any restrictions of human rights. Each pharmaceutical contract with WHO, EU or national governments has to be open and transparent for everybody! Unlimited respect for every individual, his dignity, his right for free choice and decision and free speech. Unconditional respect for the Creation of God and Love.
Private	The most important thing in a pandemic Situation must be the consolidation of the civil rights. They are not negotiable! You have to work for humanity!!!
None	The most important thing is for individual communities, and individuals themselves, to have a say over how we respond to the spread of an illness. There is no one way that works for everyone. Health is complicated and diverse, and we must have many options if we are to survive with our physical, mental, emotional, and spiritual health intact. It is unscientific to presume otherwise.
Memeber of the public	The most important thing is peoples fredoms. We had a pandemic preperedenss plan in 2019. All we neede to do was stick to that, instead of abandon it in a moment of panic and abuse people freedoms, and ruin people lives and livelihoods. There is no evidence that what was suggested (lockdowns, masks, quarantines, coerced vaccinations) have done any significant good and plenrty of evidence that they ahve caused massive harms. Please use teh 2019 guidlines that were tghought out carefully instead of these hysterial measures that we have been subjected to for teh last two years. No country has ever become healtghier as a result of becoming poorer and it seems teh ecconpomic consequences of the last two years are strating to hit the west now. Please go back to how we have always handled pandemics before 2020.
Individual	The most important thing is that individual liberty is preserved. In 2020 we saw a rush to do something and even force others to comply with very little evidence that any of it did more good than harm. It is important to preserve individual freedoms so that many different approaches can be made and to ensure that no one is forced against his will to do something that in the end turned out to be not just ineffective but counterproductive.
None	The most important thing is to NOT create any new power that could supersede national, state and individual sovereignty. Any new treaty measures shall be clearly worded so that all measures constitute only suggestions and guidelines and do not constitute law; nations, states and individuals must only voluntarily comply: there shall be wording clearly stating there can be no recourse for any entity lawfully choosing to abide by the substance of any proposed new treaty.
Freedom Fighter's	The most important thing is to properly diagnose if the current health crisis is a true pandemic scientifically and by definition. The best way to handle this is to give each principality autonomy in how they deal with it. The worst way to handle a crisis, whether health or other is to deal with it in smaller groups.
None	The most important thing is to re-establish honesty and transparency, which was lost during the COVID epidemic. Preparedness needs to emphasize prevention by not allowing countries to create and spread gain of function and other dangerous biological substances. And all countries need to be treated equally. We need to have proper PPD available for health care facilities. This can not be concentrated in or hoarded by some countries. I vehemently oppose the idea of the WHO or any international organization making the rules for all should a pandemic arise. Handling of a pandemic and related issues needs to be as local as possible. In the US this should come down to the states and even to counties and towns as there are great differences based on population density, etc. The larger the organization or government entity the greater the waster and the possible improprieties. Shutdowns were a huge mistake and we must maintain individual freedom rather than force people to go against their beliefs and better judgement. Nor should we use fear rather than (honest) scientific proof. In addition, we need to recognize natural immunity and identify which groups are most susceptible - everyone does not fit into the same mold. We need to concentrate more on treatments (especially the use of readily available, inexpensive treatments) rather than vaccines. Rushing vaccines is counterproductive. Perhaps most importantly, pharmaceutical companies and other who have huge amounts of money to gain should not be making the decisions.
N/A	The most important thing that the WHO should consider is that every country, actually every locality in every country is potentially different in terms of population, geography, natural immunity, medical resources, and therefore all nations and even localities should have flexibility and autonomy as to how to address the facts on the ground. The WHO should do what it does best - establish bet practices, guidlines, amass data - provide suggestions - and maybe even govern the rules for international travel for non-citizens of the destination country. However, scientifically and medically, it seems to me that leadership of the WHO is best achieved by (a) providing data, (b) recommending solutions, (c) monitoring results from diferent local protocols and (d) assisting where needed. Absolutely there should be full autonomy for national and local governments. Thank you.
Suncare Solutions Ltd	The most important thing to be included is the recognition of individual bodily autonomy. This human right must be recognised as superior to any other part of any preparedness plan. If any person declines a bodily intervention at any time this must be accepted and followed absolutely. Coercion must also be outlawed fully and transparently.
none	The most important thing to include in a centralized plan like this is a deference to personal autonomy. While a centralized approach may have its appeal when it comes to efficiency there is the potential for huge violations of human rights as is going on in Shanghai at the moment. As codified in the Nuremberg Code, it is of the utmost importance that medical procedures never be imposed on an individual without his or her informed consent. Elected officials must retain their power to represent their communities. The process must be democratic, not controlled by the wealthiest and most powerful entities.
Periscope Films	The most important thing to include in any treaty or law would be absolute respect for individual choice and decision making. The WHO has a role in sharing information but people should be allowed to make their own decisions based on what they believe to be in their own interests. The Swedish government was a shining example of this. The death rate per million in Sweden was less than many, if not most, developed nations, in spite of the fact that they never mandated any covid lockdowns, school closures, mask wearing or vaccines. Sweden showed respect for the intelligence and dignity of her citizens by allowing them to make their own choices. Minority rights should be inviolate, and that includes the minority who holds a different opinion. For example regarding vaccination, the people who do not want to be vaccinated should be respected, not punished, restricted and fined. The WHO will never have the confidence of people if they pretend to have certainty about science, about contagion, about the trade offs of risks versus benefits. There is not an easy answer to this question, but the extreme excess of precaution, and over reaction to the covid pandemic has undermined confidence in public health policy, probably for a generation.
N/A	The most important thing to include is an individual's right to decide how they handle their health and a right to determine what goes into their body. Nothing is more important.
Citizen	The most important thing to say about this is: counties must at ALL times be able to form their own pandemic response. An unelected WHO without any democratic mandate or accountability is NOT to perscribe or order ANY country what to do or don't do!! No citizen anywhere chose you or elected you. So no Global Pandemic Reponse!
Freedom of Choice	The most substansive element that needs to be included in any treaty is person's right to the own bodily sovereignty. It is a matter for each individual to decide their own risk and proceed from there. Any treaty must not conflict with any country or state's constitution.
Personal/Individual	The most substantive element for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response would be an absolute guarantee of autonomy for all sovereign nations. Such a guarantee would allow authorities and/or elected officials of any country or local municipality therein to opt out at time they deem necessary, without penalty, from any provision of the treaty or instrument that does not meet the needs or will of the citizens they are serving. A transparent, well-publicized process for constructing this instrument is paramount, as is the guarantee that individual governments retain the freedom to act independently in protecting the sovereign rights of their citizens, in accordance with their own nation's constitution. In other words, respect for the rights and will of sovereign citizens in their own separate countries must be prioritized and guaranteed, and transparently upheld in crafting and signing any cooperative agreement created for international pandemic preparedness and response. Thank you.
self	The most substantive element in the proposed WHO treaty on pandemic preparedness would be to honor the WHO constitution that states, "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Based on that, the proposed treaty that removes power from the US makes no sense and should be abandoned.
Human citizen	The most substantive element included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is to encourage individual countries and states to make the best decisions on how to handle such an event with their own people. Oversight from one governing body such as the WHO cannot possibly make decisions on what is best for humanity on this planet without some serious consequences in harming those they set out to protect.
self	The most substantive element is that national and local leadership retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people according to their country's procedures in their country's timeframe. That includes national and local municipalities having the right to opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit with zero consequences. It also includes the right to not participate in the agreement and / or to retract participation with zero consequences. Next is ensuring an open, transparent process with all people having plenty of time to vote, including failsafe measures preventing application of the global agreement in regions where even one fourth of the people do not want it. In addition, the vote must allow the option of a vote of no confidence in the process and / or in the presented choices. Anything and everything about this process disallows any influence by pharmaceutical companies, big agriculture, big tech, and other global health profiteers, including philanthropists, regardless of how much they donate to the WHO or other organizations. This includes no influence by regulatory agencies which obtain any source of funds or influence from any such companies or from former employees of such companies. I If any aspect of these guidelines are not honored, the agreement is immediately null and void.
One Living System	The most substantive element is that national and local leadership retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people according to their country's procedures in their country's timeframe. That includes national and local municipalities having the right to opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit with zero consequences. It also includes the right to not participate in the agreement and / or to retract participation with zero consequences. Next is ensuring an open, transparent process with all people having plenty of time to vote, including failsafe measures preventing application of the global agreement in regions where even one fourth of the people do not want it. In addition, the vote must allow the option of a vote of no confidence in the process and / or in the presented choices. Anything and everything about this process disallows any influence by pharmaceutical companies, big agriculture, big tech, and other global health profiteers, including philanthropists, regardless of how much they donate to the WHO or other organizations. This includes no influence by regulatory agencies which obtain any source of funds or influence from any such companies or from former employees of such companies. If any aspect of these guidelines are not honored, the agreement is immediately null and void.
Soil Advocate at Kiss the Ground	The most substantive element is that national and local leadership retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people according to their country's procedures in their country's timeframe. That includes national and local municipalities having the right to opt out of any and all aspects of the agreement as they see fit with zero consequences. It also includes the right to not participate in the agreement and / or to retract participation with zero consequences. Next is ensuring an open, transparent process with all people having plenty of time to vote, including failsafe measures preventing application of the global agreement in regions where even one fourth of the people do not want it. In addition, the vote must allow the option of a vote of no confidence in the process and / or in the presented choices. Anything and everything about this process disallows any influence by pharmaceutical companies, big agriculture, big tech, and other global health profiteers, including philanthropists, regardless of how much they donate to the WHO or other organizations. This includes no influence by regulatory agencies which obtain any source of funds or influence from any such companies or from former employees of such companies. If any aspect of these guidelines are not honored, the agreement is immediately null and void.
Private citizen of a democratic nation	The most substantive element is the democratic principle. Global organisations like the WHO can study/research public health issues and make recommendations on how best to deal with them, but the decisions must only be taken by democratically elected governments in any democracy. The people making decisions must be accountable to their electorates. It is fundamentally wrong for unelected technocrats & bureaucrats to expect to dictate policies which affect the lives of democratic citizens. Just no.
private individual	The most substantive element of any 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' must be not only that the elected government of every country must have absolute sovereignty over its public health affairs but above all that each human being on Earth must be acknowledged to have sovereignty over their own body and how they deal with any illness. Governments are elected by the people, the WHO is not an elected body. Any country that signs up to any international Pandemic Treaty without first holding a referendum is breaking the fundamental human right of sovereignty over their body by men and women of a given country. Attempting to introduce such a treaty without proper and well advertised public debate is as unacceptable as it is underhand. During the so-called Covid-19 'pandemic' the WHO has revealed itself as incompetent, in bed with private interests and doing nothing to encourage healthy living and natural immunity, as well as suppressing information on and encouragement of simple and cheap alternatives to the drugs and 'vaccines', which are in reality genetic treatments, peddled by the pharmaceutical industry. As more information emerges, not only of the inefficacy of the 'vaccines' but also of the number of deaths and permanent injuries directly caused by them, it is fair to say that the WHO is not a trustworthy organisation. Quite appart from the fact that the vaccinated are not at all protected from catching and spreading Covid-19. I do not consent to this treaty.
species: human	The most substantive element of any new directives are: free and open reporting of global health data collection. Dishonest or censored data should be peer rejected and reported.
none; citizen of the United States of America	The most substantive element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is the respect for personal autonomy to choose recommended procedures given. No Mandates that infringe on personal liberty should ever take place. Information and recommendations with explanation will be accepted as sufficient. Protection of those who are AT RISK should be most targeted with this information and the recommendations with their supportive explanation. Parents must have full rights and responsibility for the choices made for their own children.
CrowdPoint Technologies	The most substantive element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness is that any medical decision should be ultimately decided by the individual and with no repercussions whatsoever to that individual and that decision.
None	The most substantive element to be included in any 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' to me should be that ALL nations retain absolute sovereignty over their response to any such situation i.e. that the WHO should not have any rights whatsoever to impose rules / regulations / 'laws' etc over the people of any nation, since the WHO is a wholly unelected body, funded mainly, as I understand it, by China and the Pharmaceutical industry. The WHO should not, under ANY circumstances, be permitted to override our own freely elected representatives. We, the people, must have (and in fact under God's law and Common law do have) the right to decide on matters affecting our personal health and wellbeing. All people are sovereign and cannot be dictated to by any 'body' such as the WHO.
none	The most substantive element to include would be the right of refusal to participate by any member state.
SRA, Eagles Forum	The most substantive measure that should be included in any binding statement regarding pandemic preparation should be that individual rights must be respected. Covid 19 shows the extent these pandemics are planned & carried out by governments world-wide. Politicians & others in authority are prone to bribery & threats to murder their own citizens. Only individuals can resist such organized threats. Therefore, individual rights must be honored. Cecil Ringgenberg

Organization	Written submission
n/a501	The most urgently substantive element to any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response by the WHO or any other organization, government or agency is that it be limited to offering helpful and supportive advice and solutions to the nations and their individual citizens, that have been proven to be truly healthful and safe. It is to also support their freedom as a nation and individual citizens to choose what they believe to be the best, safest and most supportive health solutions for their individual body. It disturbs me greatly that any organization, government, agency or individual thinks they have the right to decide the health care solution of a nation or its individual citizens, even in a pandemic situation. The desire of the WHO or any organization, government, agency or individuals to be given, and to be able to wield such power and authority over a nation or its individual citizens is a far greater threat than any threat from a pandemic. I can not and do not support such efforts.
NA	The most vital element in any such agreement must be the emphasis on local control and preventing any kind of one-size-fits-all approach. Centralization, particularly on a global level, threatens to diminish the voice of individuals and representation by elected officials. Open communication among sovereign nations about health threats is important. Beyond that, each nation and local governments should work with their officials and community members to determine the best course of action. Honoring freedom, individual choice, and local control is paramount.
Citizen of Canada	The most vital element to incorporate into a WHO treaty is a recognition that human rights are inalienable, and no government may suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Abrogating this solemn duty would open the door to totalitarianism and human rights abuses such as the infamous trampling of peaceful protestors by government agents on February 18, 2022 in Ottawa, Canada. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. This is essential to prevent the human rights catastrophe precipitated by governmental mandates involving products from Pfizer, Johnson & Johnson, Moderna and BioNTech. The inalienable rights of people must always supercede the mandates of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations. Recognize that bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected, as it is the most basic human right we all can access. Following on the sanctity of bodily autonomy, the right to refuse treatment is likewise an inalienable right. Vaccine status must never be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Acknowledge that lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are unethical and clearly ineffective at preventing disease. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. We demand that our rights to self expression are respected without regard to race, creed, religion, or any other artificial division devised by bureaucrats. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. This is common sense.
Home	The most vital elements to include in this is a focus on good health (perhaps in advance of an engineered pandemic?), an attempt to wean large groups of populations off long-term pharmaceutical drugs, an emphasis on freedom of choice, scientific transparency, evidence and honesty, focussed protection if necessary without locking up huge numbers of unaffected people, free speech, and most important of all, no coercion of medical treatment/procedures (eg. experimental vaccines), no discrimination and no digital ID.
CHD	The most vital substantiative element that should be included in a new international instrument of pandemic preparedness and response is an unyielding respect for the autonomy of the nations and individuals in the populations involved. Unfortunately, it is virtually incontrovertible that the actions taken in this pandemic caused more life-years lost than the condition known as COVID-19. Malnourishment alone is said to kill 25,000 people a day (https://www.un.org/en/chronicle/article/losing-25000-hunger-every-day). Nonetheless, governments the world over often committed to myopic approaches, shutting down entire economies manned and frequented almost entirely by people under 65 for a condition that had a statistically denotable infection fatality rate almost exclusively in people above it. The rise in obesity alone (https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2021/09/29/1041515129/obesity-rates-rise-during-pandemic-fueled-by-stress-job-loss-sedentary-lifestyle) has been jarring, especially considering that 78% of people hospitalized for Covid were overweight or obese (https://www.cnbc.com/2021/03/08/covid-cdc-study-finds-roughly-78percent-of-people-hospitalized-were-overweight-or-obese.html). More terrifying still, the unconscionable effects this has had on childrens' education and mental well-being will continue to be realized for years to come. One-size-fits-all is malfeasant medicine. Nothing is more substantiative than respect for autonomy in healthcare, and many of us fear that large health governmental and intergovernmental health organizations have lost sight of just that. Please do not commit to any treaty that does anything other than firmly reverse this trend.
The people	The nation and local leadership retain full autonomy. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of and any and portions of the agreement. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote. Excluding pharmaceutical companies.
N/A	The national and local leadership in all countries must retain full autonomy and be able to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local areas within them must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, with no consequences, at any time. Individual citizens must be able to refuse if they do not with to participate in any measures and none must be compulsory or bound by law and there be no violations of their bodily autonomy, freedoms and international human rights. The people of the world have had no place to vote or discuss this so it is not lawful to continue with this endeavour. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual Opinion	The national and local leadership in all countries must retain full autonomy and be able to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local areas within them must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, with no consequences, at any time. Individual citizens must be able to refuse if they do not wish to participate in any measures and none must be compulsory or bound by law there be no violations of their bodily autonomy, freedoms and international human rights. The people of the world have had no place to vote or discuss this so it is not lawful to continue with this endeavour. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies for other global health profiteers.
Individual	The national and local leadership of each country should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally there should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Self	The National and Local leadership of the United States should always retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We should be leading the pack, not resigning to following it. Our nation and local municipalities should always be able to opt out of any and all portions of an agreement for it's people, as they see fit, without consequence. Any discussion of worldwide response should always be an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL PEOPLE OF THE WORLD to vote on, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any health treaty MUST HAVE MEASURES that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. HEALTH IS NOT A ONE SIZE FITS ALL AND SHOULD NOT BE MANDATED FOR ALL, IN ANY WAY, BY AN AGREEMENT MADE BY A FEW.
None	The national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is Best for its own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
S. Denise Hoffman MD	The National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is crucial. We must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We must have measures in place that do not allow for inappropriate influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
DILO	The national Parlament must remain in charge. Global organisations, for whom I have never voted for, can not take control over me. WHO can only give recomendations.
American Citizen	The national rights of citizens in all applicable states/countries must be protected and no international treaty shall infringe upon, limit nor undermine the rights of citizens afforded to them by the nation in which they live.
Private citizen	The natural rights of the individual are sacred, exist from conception to death, and are of paramount importance. They are not subject to the whims of any government and certainly not to any agenda driven globalist entity. No authority should be ceded by any nation to an organization that would usurp it's charter, constitution, or other recognized foundational structure. WHO has no place in the health decisions of individuals nor should it be given one.
Private citizen	The need for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response has not been demonstrated. If WHO insists on progressing such an instrument, it must have the following features: -National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit -The instrument must be developed using an open and transparent process and include the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the instrument in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -the instrument must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My home and rights as a sovereign being	The Needs of the people must come first. Not global dominance. Rome is falling. Time to return to the feudal system.
Universities Allied for Essential Medicines	The negotiations of the PPR instrument must be truly inclusive of all MS, and NSA, in particular, those representing populations marginalised during health crises and/or those who did not sufficiently participate in prior negotiations.The instrument needs to implement binding aspects to equity, including appropriate, inclusive, and transparent accountability mechanisms. The instrument should cover: Norms and practices around patenting and licensing must be reconsidered (specifically alternative R&D and licensing models) and priorities should be determined by global public health needs. Conditionalities on public investments promoting access, as well as transparency of clinical trials, R&D, patenting, licensing and other agreements should be mandatory. Well-functioning therapeutics and vaccine manufacturing needs to decrease dependencies on current recipient-donor relationships. Investing in research and manufacturing capacities, while ensuring access to them, in the global south will enable countries to set their own priorities and improve planning and distribution. We support that global and regional knowledge-sharing hubs must be further developed and strengthened. Governance must be unified, independent and truly driven to the public interest. The needs of all people worldwide, especially those who have been historically the most affected by pandemics, must be addressed. Equitable participation and engagement from all countries in R&D, matters of access and distribution, and global governance are key to responding to future pandemic challenges. We call for the INB discussions to recognize that a truly global, equity-driven approach is necessary to sustainably prevent, prepare, and respond to future health threats
Purk's Place	The new "international treaty on pandemic prevention and preparedness" proposed by the World Health Organization is counter productive and a waste of resources. If such a treaty does come into existence then I request the inclusion of guarantees for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Private	The new instrument hast to contain individual freedom, no legitimation to access public and private places and free travel. Also lockdowns must be forbidden. Even in global pandemics, the people must be free to Chrome.
UK citizen	The new instrument must embed the following fundamental principles, to ensure that any public health interventions deliver long-term net benefits to society & have democratic legitimacy: (1) Objective health risk appraisal: the risks to life and health associated with pandemics in general & specific emergent potential pandemics must be objectively assessed in the round, stratified by appropriate demographic, ethnic & geographic groupings. (2) Evidence-based decision-making: all pandemic responses must be based on the best available evidence at the time & responses must be continually updated as new evidence becomes available. (3) Robust social cost-benefit analysis: modern liberal democracy demands that public policy is always based on a robust assessment of the social costs & benefits . The assessment of costs must be complete & must weigh up all factors including, but not limited to: other physical health risks, mental health, education, well-being and happiness, social mobility, diversity, income & wealth. It is unethical & illegitimate to proceed with an intervention unless and until it can be convincingly shown that the benefits are expected to exceed the long term costs. (4) Long-term assessment horizon: social cost benefit analysis must be peformed over an appropriate time horizon. Ethical considerations demand that a long-term perspective, typically multi-decadal with significant weight placed on even the far future. (5) Consistency with existing public policy: trade-offs between health outcomes and other factors must be consistent with existing policy. (6) Honest communication with the public: a detailed assessment covering all of points (1) to (5) must be published in full before any responses are implemented.
Individual submission / NA	The new instrument must include a reinforcement of fundamental freedoms in responding to pandemics and a structure that requires a time or framework for when a restiction will be removed before the restriction is put in place.
Connecting Consciousness	The new instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include a clause for freedom. Freedom for each nation to decide for itself whether or not they will follow the guidelines or not. We must be sovereign citizens in sovereign countries.
ANRS | Maladies infectieuses émergentes	The new instrument should have an overall objective to ensure equity in the response to emergencies and to make health systems more resilient to pandemics within a planetary health approach. It should consider sanction mechanisms in order to have a real impact. It should refer to financing mechanisms to ensure a rapid implementation of the instrument and its sustainability. Research should be a core component of the new international instrument, both to strengthen preparedness and to elaborate rapid responses to emergencies. A process for collaboratively identifying urgent research priorities must be formalised in order to implement biomedical and public health mechanisms to respond to the emergencies. The instrument should develop a network of ready-to-use platforms for pre-clinical, clinical and public health research as well as reinforce technology transfers. Transparency and engagement form the different parties to share data in real time should be a core principle of this instrument. This new instrument must elaborate a transfer strategy from research to innovation as well as a risk communication strategy.
Veronica Brandt	The new instrument should honour the independence of national and local leadership, preserving their right to make decisions in the interests of their people. We need an open and transparent process, free from commercial interests. People should be able to opt out of the agreement without consequence.
Motavate Inc	The new instrument should only include the sharing of information among health workers that is compliant with US HIPAA or equivalent health data privacy laws. It should NOT include any provisions that violate human rights or freedoms under regional constitutional statute.
None	The new international instrument MUST include the following guarantees: National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy. Any and all decisions MUST be based on what is best for their own communities/constituents. NO micromanaging by unelected or elected bureaucrats who don't understand local issues. Individual nations and local municipalities/governments MUST OPT IN to any portions of the Treaty as they see fit. No punitive measures or punishment for those who do not.. Transparency to all MUST be guaranteed and accompany the right of the people to see what is being done in their name. Anyone opposing this adoption must be protected to truthfully share their reasons/concerns and MUST be guaranteed, listened to, protected. There MUST be guaranteed appeal procedures, transparency that prevents excess authority, favoritism, or corruption in the application of this Treaty. National and individual autonomy MUST always be respected.
United States citizen	The new international instrument MUST include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response (hereafter referred to as "the new instrument") needs to include explicit language and provisions that allow any current WHO member nation to opt out of any aspect or element of the new instrument that would cause said nation's sovereignty to become subordinate to or violated if the new instrument's form or function is agreed to or adopted. Furthermore, the new instrument needs to include language that makes it explicitly clear that unconditional adoption and execution of the new instrument is not a prerequisite requirement for continued membership for current member nations or new membership for any future nation that wishes to join the WHO. Although the WHO is intended to be a voluntary cooperative of nations working together for the betterment of the world as a whole, allowing the leadership of a cooperative organization to impose any interventions, regulations, restrictions, and the like on a nation's populous and economy that may be misaligned to the situational realities of that nation has the potential to cause more harm than good for those that may find themselves subject to the new instrument. The new instrument needs to include language that does not constrain the leadership of member nations from enacting interventions that are aligned to the realities their nations are currently facing and will be beneficial to their people.
None	The new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response requires only one substantive element to be included in it and that is for each nation and country state be separate. Each nation and country in the world has the obligation and the right to set their own health policies based on the needs of the people they serve. There is not one solitary policy that can be developed which would be suitable for the entire world, and WHO should not get involved with such things as cancer, food production, ecosystems, lifestyles, or consumption.
Individual	The new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should allow individual nations to test and use existing medications and supplements as well as any new medications. No nations should be required to use what the WHO suggests. The WHO should only make suggestions. At no time should any nations be required to do what the WHO says. No nations should give up its sovereignty to the WHO or any other organization or group. Neither the WHO nor any organization or group should form or attempt to form a one world government. Neither the WHO nor any organization or group should require lockdowns or quarantines. Individuals should only be quarantined if they have symptoms of a contagious, deadly disease. Asymptomatic people testing positive do not count as sick people eligible to be quarantined. The definition of a pandemic should be changed back to meaning a world-wide spread of a disease causing massive numbers of deaths, not just a disease such as a cold that is merely wide-spread but not deadly.
School Education, Andhra Pradesh, India	The new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include the following elements. 1. The free will of a person should be taken into consideration. 2. Local ,state governments should be free to take decisions as per their people's requirements. 3. The new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should not violate any of the human rights endorsed by the United Nations Organization. 4. Vaccines and medicine should not be forced on people without proper study of adverse effects. 5. Poor countries should be given all health care equipments and medicines free of cost on humanitarian grounds. 6. Children are the future of the nation's. Hence every medicine or vaccine that is given to children should be thoroughly verified by NGOs and all risks be minimised and parents should be given freedom to select the treatment for their children. 7. People should be given freedom to choose treatment of their choice like Allopathy, Homoeopathy, Naturopathy, or any other system of medicine they believe that works. Thank you.
None	The new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should incorporate a transparent, consultative model of information sharing; put localities in control of decision making, rather than deferring to distant national or international bodies; respect human rights, freedoms, and the sovereignty of the individual; invite the participation of independent experts, unaffiliated with government or industry; begin from a foundation of humility, realizing how little humanity knows about the consequences of interfering with existing systems (the law of unintended consequences should be considered). A spiritual, rather than materialistic, paradigm should guide our approach. Values above technology.
Citizen	The new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should not be created as I believe that to be each country's job and certainly the WHO should not get involved in any of this as it has proven its inability to do a decent job in saving lives. I strongly oppose to this treaty of pandemic preparedness and response. You are very irresponsible and you have shown to have no care for the health of anybody. The WHO is a disgrace to the world.
World Citizen	The new international instrument on pandemic preparedness should include the ability for governments to make their own decisions on how to react and handle their citizens safety in a crisis situation. The WHO should remain as an observer and reporter on the outbreaks that occur and report in an unbiased manner. There should be no conflicts of interest in these matters.
Private citizen	The new international instrument on pandemic preparedness should include the components below: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired scientist	The new international instrument on pandemic preparedness should only contain voluntary measures based on independent science that excludes any organizations or individuals funded by interested parties such as the pharmaceutical industry, high tech companies, or others who may benefit financially from the measures introduced and this should include NGOs and other organizations such as GAVI funded by such commercial organizations. The measures should be in full accord with the Nuremberg Code and not remove the rights of individuals over what medical treatments they accept under any circumstances. Where medical interventions are proposed there must be a full independent review of existing medications such that as with Covid-2 effective medications used for other purposes are not excluded. Any measure proposed must be subject to review by an independent body not linked to WHO both initially and at frequent intervals. Any measure proposed must be subject to challenge in the Courts of Law of participating countries that shall guard the rights of individuals under the Nuremberg Code. The definition of pandemic shall be based on a high rate of infection and death in all age groups of society and in all countries and not as with Cov-2 only the elderly and immune-compromised and only in developed countries. The measures should not involve the insertion into individuals of medical microchips or the use of monitoring of individuals by vaccine or social credit score devices. The Board that controls the pandemic measures must be elected by a democratic vote of the general population of each participating country and subject to removal by referendum.
Private citizen	The new international instrument should allow for individual countries, state and local government to make decisions, without penalty for their own citizens. Measures should be implemented to prevent profiteering, especially by pharmaceutical companies.
private	The new international instrument should include the free choice of each state to decide, how to deal with further problems like pandemics. It should protect the individual freedom and human rights.
no	The new pandemic codex, that is about to be introduced in Norway, should make it clear that vaccination is a private decision, not a decision make by the state. There are always side effects. There should be strong punishment for those who spread epidemics voluntary.
private	The new rules should contain: no lockdowns, no passes, and mostly: freedom for the people from restriktion. We see a great misuse of restictions already today. Please stop this treaty.
none	The new treaty should include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The new treaty should provide inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty (informed consent, medical discrimination, etc.), an independent & public risk assessment, respect for human rights (stemming from the UN Charter, the Nuremberg Code, the Universal Declaration on Bioethics & Human Rights, the Helsinki Protocol, etc.), legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies, no 24/7 human surveillance, and that it can be refused by anyone, any independent business or any government without penalties. Because of the WHO's inherent conflicts of interest, recent rejection of PCR tests, massive overstepping into the public domain and evidence of the damage their policies have wrought on the EU population for the last 2 years, the treaty should not come into existence at all.
yd. Yourdelivery GmbH	The new treaty should provide inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty (informed consent, medical discrimination, etc.), an independent & public risk assessment, respect for human rights (stemming from the UN Charter, the Nuremberg Code, the Universal Declaration on Bioethics & Human Rights, the Helsinki Protocol, etc.), legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies, no 24/7 human surveillance, and that it can be refused by anyone, any independent business or any government without penalties. Because of the WHO's inherent conflicts of interest, recent rejection of PCR tests, massive overstepping into the public domain and evidence of the damage their policies have wrought on the EU population for the last 2 years, the treaty should not come into existence at all.
None	The new WHO treaty is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights as citizens to our own perspective counties .
privat	The new WHO-made definition of a pandemia is already ridiculous. So any rules in the covid-pandemia were useless for the human health. This is why I do not agree with any kinds of international instruments for health questions. Especially I do not want that the WHO can design rules, when they think about a new pandemic situation. All decisions about my health are my decisions and if there should be a danger for others only my country could make laws to overrule my decision,not a privat non-demokratic organisation like the WHO.
	The next pandemic should not create mass hysteria Countries are not responsible for the health of people or there would be a cure for the ongoing aids/hiv pandemic Tcells, natural immunity valid. Countries should withdraw from who....who does not govern the people All countries, should stop supporting and following who and engage in more practical common sense measures
The Human Race	The notion of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that attempts to enforce a blanket response on all countries is senseless and totally undemocratic. There are many factors effecting how a country should respond and all countries are different, some vastly so. It is therefore highly inappropriate to suggest that all should be bound by the diktat of the W.H.O (and interested parties!). Moreover, as an unelected body, the W.H.O is not and cannot be held accountable by the people and it is abhorrent to suggest that they or any other entity should hold such power. The W.H.O is an advisory body and it should remain as such. My health is my responsibility and mine alone and I expressly deny my consent, now and at any future date, to be bound by any "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" put forward by the W.H.O or any other legal person, corporation or entity.
N/A	The notion of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that attempts to enforce a blanket response on all countries is senseless and totally undemocratic. There are many factors effecting how a country should respond and all countries are different, some vastly so. It is therefore highly inappropriate to suggest that all should be bound by the diktat of the W.H.O (and interested parties!). As an unelected body, the W.H.O cannot therefore be held accountable by the people and should never be allowed to hold such powers over entire countries. The W.H.O is an advisory body and it should remain as such. As to my personal health, I and I alone am responsible for this, not the W.H.O nor any other organisation or entity. It is my inalienable right to bodily autonomy to decide what is best for me and my family. What is the point of going out to vote in an election if your chosen party or Government cannot make the decisions for their electorate in the event of a pandemic. I do not nor will I ever consent to this being the decision of the W.H.O.
Human Race	The notion of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that attempts to enforce a blanket response on all countries is senseless and totally undemocratic. There are many factors effecting how a country should respond and all countries are different, some vastly so. It is therefore highly inappropriate to suggest that all should be bound by the diktat of the W.H.O (and interested parties!). Moreover, as an unelected body, the W.H.O is not and cannot be held accountable by the people and it is abhorrent to suggest that they or any other entity should hold such power. The W.H.O is an advisory body and it should remain as such. My health is my responsibility and mine alone and I expressly deny my consent, now and at any future date, to be bound by any "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" put forward by the W.H.O or any other legal person, corporation or entity.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	The notion of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that attempts to enforce a blanket response on all countries is senseless and totally undemocratic. There are many factors effecting how a country should respond and all countries are different, some vastly so. It is therefore highly inappropriate to suggest that all should be bound by the diktat of the W.H.O and the entities who fund it. Moreover, as an unelected body, the W.H.O is not and cannot be held accountable by the people and it is abhorrent to suggest that they or any other entity should hold such power. In fact, under the English Common LAW of my country and that of many others, it is impossible for the W.H.O to claim to wield such power. The W.H.O is an advisory body and it should remain as such. My health is my responsibility and mine alone and I expressly deny my consent, now and at any future date, to be bound by any "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" put forward by the W.H.O or any other legal person, corporation or entity.
Citizen USA	The notion that an international body is capable of developing independent and purely scientific and rationale policy around a pandemic has been proven impossible as seen through the recent Covid19 experience. Also, countries acting independently allows better approaches to become known. I oppose this idea fervently!
U.S. citizen	the notion that WHO 'needs' to formulate and seek to implement global adoption of a 'pandemic response instrument' is on its face usurpatory and megalomaniacal WHO has failed to demonstrate ANY expertise OR competence in rendering effective guidance during the SCoV2 episode WHO needs to stick to its useless meetings countless junkets and doing laundry
Nova Scotia Civil Liberties Association	The Nova Scotia Civil Liberties Association does not support Canada's proposed endorsement of a treaty that delegates decision-making power to any organization or individuals which are not elected by the citizens of the country to which the treaty applies. As evidenced by the wildly varied policy responses and equally varied outcomes from countries attempting to mitigate the harm of SARS-CoV-2, there is no reason to believe that a universal global policy would benefit Canada. The WHO can provide useful guidance and information to member countries. If such guidance is well-informed and timely, member countries will heed the guidance absent any legal obligation to do so.
Mine	The NUernberger Code must be fully obeyed and must not be freely interpreted or ignored. Nobody schould be forced to receive a medical treatment against his or her will, especially not a vaccination with an experimental vaccine!
ManOnEarth	The number one essential element to any international instrument on pandemic is that individual nations and peoples have the right to self determination in all aspects of pandemic mitigation and treatment. No international governing body has right or obligation to dictate how any nation, country, corporation, or person responds, reacts, or treats a pandemic. To summarize, any new international agreement or instrument should be non-binding and not hold any party subject or subservient to any international governing body or instrument. The right to self rule and self determination is valued over all other considerations. Think and live local.
(private)	The Nuremberg Code must be fully obeyed and must not be freely interpreted or ignored. Nobody should be forced to receive a medical treatment against his or her will, especially not a vaccination.
Basis	The Nuremberg Code must be fully obeyed and must not be freely interpreted or ignored. Nobody should be forced to receive a medical treatment against his or her will, especially not a vaccination.
Private Person	The Nuremberg Code must be fully obeyed and must not be freely interpreted or ignored. Nobody should be forced to receive a medical treatment against his or her will, especially not a vaccination. The sovereignty of each state must be preserved.
Privat	The Nuremberg Code must be fully obeyed and must not be frelly interpreted or ignored. Nobody should be forced to receive a medical treatment against his or her will, especially not a vaccination Maintaining. Sovereignty is particularly important to me!!!! Please respected it!!!!!
Private	the nuremberg code must be observed and garanteed for every human in the world. The souvereignity of every state of the world has to be guaranteed. Despite of pandamic situation the human rights have to be garanteed. The human right to bodily integrity has to be garanteed. Prosecution of any organisation or person for wrong decisions done during pandemic situation.
Individual	The Nuremberg Code must be respected
None	The Nuremberg code should be part of the pandemic preparedness and response. Along with individual countries having autonomy to decide what is best for its people. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
private person	The Nuremberg codex of 1947 has to be obeyed under all circumstances. Politicians and vaccine manufacturers has to be condemned with highest possible punishment if vaccines harm people or even does not work.
Self	The Nuremburg Code defines the importance of the voluntary consent of the human subject. Public Health is not a one size fits all. There should never be an international instrument for pandemic preparedness or response. The dangers on the few controlling the many is inherently clear. We must not allow history to repeat itself. Each country, state must remain sovereign on how it chooses to respond to any crises without the forced intervention of an international body. "The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment. "(Extract from the Nuremburg Code)
VUKA SA	The objective to remove sovereignty and citizenship of my country of birth through this treaty is abhorrent and objectionable. My elected Government must remain accountable for any Pandemic response to its citizens only, not an unelected body. I object to the treaty. I do not permit any South African state official to sign this Treaty. No submission to external policy makers is considered a part of our Democratic processes including corrupting activities to shape local policy decisions instead of the rights of citizens to do that without unrepresented external influence. I therefore demand my Government to withdraw from this ill conceived proposal on behalf of the Citizens of The Republic of South Africa .
private individual	The observance of Human rights and the Siracusa principles should be paramount so. 1. the individuals right of bodily autonomy and the necessity of informed consent in accordance with all the provisions of the Nuremberg Code must be expressly reserved 2.there needs to be established some mechanism for an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; coupled with Nations retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and the ability of nations to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 to encourage proper investigation and research there needs to be safeguards to ensure that pharmaceutical companies or other global health providers do not have too much influence coupled with no restrictions on the righs of others to comment on the proposals 4. The centralisation of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs.
Independent Scientist	The official Covid deaths data of nine countries proves irrefutably that the lockdowns had no discernable beneficial effect. The deaths data just followed the natural Gompertz rise and fall as it always does, as shown in www.pseudoexpertise.com/clarke-covid3.pdf. And the claims that the lockdowns did have useful effect were founded on gross cherry-picking from that data, as shown in www.pseudoexpertise.com/cherry.pdf. . It can be inferred therefrom also that the various other measures such as distancing, masks, screens, and hand-sanitising also had no discernable useful effect either. The lockdowns and other NPI policies then predictably caused a huge increase of illness in the 2020-21 winter season.. Furthermore, there was not some huge pandemic in 2020, but only a pandemic of scaremongering. The UK excess deaths in the 2020 winter/spring period was only 20% higher than in 2017-18 when no huge fuss was made, certainly none of those non-pharmaceutical measures. And in April 2020, at the height of the original peak, there were only 200,000 deaths worldwide, compared to 5,000,000 overall deaths, that is that for every "Covid" death there were 24 deaths from something else, and yet no fuss was made about those other deaths. Thus lockdowns, masks, distancing, and hand-sanitising should not be included. What should be included is a regime of proper free scientific discussion. It is vital that the closing down of the PubMed Commons commenting system from 2018 be reversed. And governments should ensure free discussion of the subject and prevent suppression of supposed "misinformation".
OIE	The One Health approach should be the foundation for the treaty as it brings the multisectoral and multidisciplinary nature needed to tackle health threats at the human-animal-environmental interface and enables linkage to the global environmental and development changes that drive pandemics. The Quadripartite Alliance (FAO, OIE, UNEP and WHO,) have developed the One Health Joint plan of Action (2022-2026), which provides a framework for action and proposes a set of activities that the four organizations can offer together to advance and sustainably scale up One Health implementation at all levels. Prevention across human-animal-plant-environment spectrum is the crucial way to transform our relationship with the environment and how we tackle health threats, including pandemics by protecting and restoring biodiversity and preventing the degradation of ecosystems and the wider environment. We need to move from reaction and response to prevention. This will not just saves lives, it will also be more cost effective than response saving economies and livelihoods. To achieve prevention, we need to invest in • Promoting improved One Health decision-making through coordinated actions from One Health needs assessment to policy and programming • Developing resilient health systems able to prevent, prepare for, and respond to threats at the human-animal-environment interface, • Building the OH workforce that have the capacities to prevent, detect, control, and respond to health threats. • The OIE can play a major role in this including by supporting national Veterinary Services, given its mandate as the global authority setting International Standards on animal health, including wildlife.
Private	The one lesson we should have learned from the past two years is that there is no one size fits all approach for managing a pandemic. Giving all the power to one institution will limit the ability to make the necessary adjustments.
Individual	The one size fits all government approach to resolving pandemic illness is both unsafe and oppressive. If people are not free to choose their own best course of action they will have lost more than just their lives. There are things which are more important than just continuing to exist. Individual freedom is definitely one of those things!
None	The ONE substantive element that should be included as an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be and individuals choice of body autonomy. Public health is not a one size fits all approach. Each human is genetically different and therefore can react differently causing harm. Where there is risk, there must be a choice. I'm response to pandemic preparedness, informative suggestions can be given but never forced upon someone.
USA	The one substantive element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is for countries to communicate what they are doing. Each country should be making its own decisions. Each country has a different government and population and should be able to address its own issues accordingly. These life impacting decisions should truly be made at the most local level possible.
None (retired private citizen)	The only "substantive element" needed is to keep out of national business & allow nations to decide what is needed for their citizens! What is good for 1 nation is all too frequently inadvisable or unnecessary for another. No global entity can answer local problems adequately, and how a disease acts is never a "global" issue!
United States Citizen	The only "substantive elements" that should be included in "a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is a basic communication format to easily share proven scientific information and proven scientific facts based on known previous responses/actions/protocals, and usage with other countries involved. That communication format has to be open-- to discussion of all matters concerning the problem. It cannot be "closed", slowing a potential helpful process from occurring. It CANNOT HINDER the United States's response. The United States needs to lead and not be shackled by having to wait and see what others are doing, or not doing, or disagreeing with. The United States should NOT become part of some "global, international, albatross when thoughtful, relevant, ACTIONS need to be taken swiftly for the best of Americans. We cannot be limited. We can certainly SHARE THE INSIGHTS to assist anyone interested but again. The United States should NOT be involved in an international, global alliance. It is UNCONSTITUTIONAL. We need to be able to be flexible, creative, swift, and accurate to respond in the BEST INTERESTS OF AMERICANS. That is what we have a CONSTITUTION to protect and guide us for. From there we can share as we always have. That is who we are. That is special and cannot be restrained for the sake of our world.
None	The only element needed is that it must not be allowed to go ahead, individual countries must continue to be allowed to act in their own manner to suit their own people. No two countries are the same, no to countries people are the same, they each have their own level of acceptance of authority an governance. To place a one global leadership in response to future pandemics would be dissasterous for some countries while productive for others. Each country elect their own government with the understanding that it is them that govern an respond when needed, so placing a un-elected body in charge of such a response is un-democratic an likely if challenged against Human Rights. We as free people have the capacity and freedom to choose who we have govern us and to act in our best interest, therefore placing an un-elected body in charge of such things would be removing our capacity and freedoms. Are the WHO questioning and trying to remove our capacity Under mental health act? If so on what grounds?
Private Citizen	The only element that should be in your treaty, is to stay out of it. You are a corrupt organization and do NOT have the health and well being in mind for the global population. You proved that in 2020. I ask respectfully for you to stay out of my country and stay out of my life. I know what this treaty means, and am not interested in your one world government. My body is sovereign and does not belong to you or anyone else. Find a more moral way to make money, please.
US Citizen	The only element that should be included in this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must be peer-reviewed scientific data that has been conducted by neutral third party scientists. There must be no financial incentives for any pharmaceutical companies, no financial incentives for WHO participants, none for CDC nor NIAID nor NIH affiliates. This entire concept needs to be much more publicized so that citizens of the world can weigh in. That said, information on effective out-of-patent drugs must be included; along with providing world wide access to them. Off- label recommendations and access would be the only appropriate use of such a broad-reaching proposed instrument. Thank you.
Self	The only elements necessary in the WHO pandemic treaty is that the treaty not be legally binding, that no country needs to following it, and that no government can force, or coerce, it's people into following any recommendations that the WHO makes, directly or through any other agency. The WHO is not a body elected by the citizens of the world, and as such has no authority to speak on our behalf or to dictate policy of any kind. And at least in the government of the US, no branch of government is allowed to give it's power to a third party. And they can not do through third parties what they are not allowed to do directly. The WHO is a foreign third party, with zero accountability to the citizens of this or any country. The only health policy that matters is the one that sovereign individuals make, when they are fully informed and told the truth. If the WHO wants something to do, they can make it a goal to supply truthful information and facts to allow people to make informed decisions. That's it. No mandates. No coercion. No power to enforce. The WHO, leaders of countries, and VIP's involved in this treaty process are extremely presumptuous to think they have the right to speak for the citizens or dictate health policy. I do not recognize your presumed authority. And I will disregard any mandates imposed by the WHO to my last breath.
no organization being represented	The only elements that I think should be written into an international agreement on pandemic response is that every country should decide for themselves how to best handle a pandemic response based upon their own culture and national public health policies. There should absolutely be no attempt to centralize a global response based upon a one size fits all approach that lacks a foundation in science and epidemiological data--like what happened in this last disaster.
Citizen Corps	The only elements that should be in a new international instrument is SHARING and DISCUSSING, not employing and or engaging in a whole world organized decision. There is not a time in history that one organization would need to ban together to make decisions for the world. We do not need taxes going toward this. We do not want our bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent taken away from us. Our privacy on a global level will be at stake here. Countries need to be allowed to handle any crisis in the way they choose to, just like New Zealand has done. NO GLOBAL HEALTH authority. NO ONE organization needs this much power.
Chicago Montessori	The only elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is raw data. Not rules or lockdown measures, which were easily proven to be disastrous as seem in the difference of how each US state reacted, the results being worse for any state that imposed these measures. Also, not decisions or opinions of data as interpreted by another body or country. Only raw data of a disease, of hospitalisations, and of medicine used and it's results should be shared. All decisions should be made by local municipalities after hundreds, thousands or even millions of people are able to analyze this data to make decisions for themselves. Thanks you for allowing this input. Cheers, Eric
Mossyrock Garden Club Historian	The ONLY governance should be local governance. NOT global. People locally should have the right to decide all matters that have to do with their body and health. Stop trying to impose on free people. We don't need global rules or guidance.
US Citizen	The only instrument I would support in the case of international preparedness and cooperation would be one to limit government powers over the citizens and one that would NOT, in any way, invade, intercept, interfere or otherwise involve themselves in the day to day privacy of individual citizens, their rights, liberties or freedoms or those of their religions, businesses or independent endeavors. I would like to see checks and balances if such an instrument were to come.
None	The only instrument should be critical and well reviewed information without any political agenda. This should be performed in the environment of a scientific board including a variety of natural sciences (biochemistry, physics, informatics) as well as strong support from social workers and psychologists. It needs to be shown beforehand, that the scientific board has no connection to either the pharma lobby or any other insitutional interest.
Sovereign Land of Australia	The only issue with Pandemics is the lying, unethical bureaucrats that fill the WHO. This proposed treaty is nothing but political theater to gain access to sovereign countries by acting like this organization has any shred of care for human life. We are very aware what the WHO have become. The last two years have shown how utterly currupt and power hungry the evil leaders of not only the WHO but also the political class. This is nothing short of a Trojan Horse 2.0. You have lost all credibility.
Retired	The only mandate for any of this is that every individual is to be able to decide for themselves what action is best for themselves and their family. There is no need to coerce anyone into doing anything that is against their individual choice. Personal freedom triumphs everything and anything that any government thinks is best for society as a whole since society itself is made up of individuals acting in their own self-interest and that of their families and friends who think as they do. Government bureaucrats have no idea what each individual and their families, friends, etc. want to have done for their own individual circumstances so should just "butt out" and leave everyone alone.
Correctivenutrition.com	The only pandemics are those caused by malnutrition - lack of sanitation, improper food intake, taking drugs both legal and illegal and other poisons such as alcohol, tobacco, etc. Covid so-called is nothing more than any other acute or chronic dis ease - a body initiated and conducted process of elimination. In other words, RIGHT ACTION and should be allowed to run its course and return itself to homeostasis. The only medical interventions that are warranted are for traumacare, birth defects and some corrective surgeries, that's it. .
James Griffith Gospel Preacher	The only point that should be included in any treaty on pandemic response is that the response should be individually decided by the people of each country, on the basis of reliable, factual information provided to them by unbiased NGO's who do not have a profit motive, nor a power consolidating motive.
None	The ONLY substantial element should be an advisory council, where countries can coordinate and exchange info. The WHO has zero jurisdiction of national policies, as it is not governed by elected representatives from said nations. You cannot simply assume legislative authority over sovereign nations. thanks.
General Public	The only substantial element that should be included in a world wide pandemic preparation would be; clear, transparent, effective communication between countries about the state of their coutry, its people and the steps they are taking without illegal enforcement of illegitimate rules. There should never be one single governing body to decide the fate of the world. Each country, state, and citizen should make their own decisions during a pandemic.
Citizen of the United States	The only substantial element that should be included in an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response would be voluntary cooperation. There should absolutely not be any requirements of states, countries and especially citizens to adopt a suggestion made by the W.H.O. This health agency is not directed by the people of the countries and therefore can easily be captured and manipulated by companies and wealthy individuals.
Canada	The only substantive element should be the ability, using the credibility the WHO has, to declare a global pandemic. There is no need for any new international instruments. The past 2 years has proven authoritarian, top down control to be a dismal failure in controlling an outbreak. Countries, regional and local health authorities as well as individual physicians and patients should remain autonomous in response, then open and transparent in sharing the results with the world as data rolls in. In this way we leave no stone unturned, and discover a toolkit of the most effective strategies that can be locally adapted worldwide. Reflexive autonomous response, not authoritarian dictation. Please and thank you.
Self	The only substantive element that should be included in an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response would be the requirement that governments SHARE early treatment protocols openly and NOT restrict the flow of information or effective early treatment and prevention. However, any WHO treaty that removes power from the US is dangerous to the health and welfare of American citizens. I DO NOT SUPPORT abdicating power over my/US health decisions to WHO or any political or funding motivated organization. Please REJECT any such treaty which would be binding upon American citizens and/or surrender control over our own health decisions.
Public	The only substantive element that should be included is one that gives sovereign nation states, not an unelected global organisation such the WHO, total control over any measures that impact its citizens.
General Public	The only substantive element to be included is to permit each UN member state/country to formulate and execute its own course of preparedness and response for any health issues. The sovereignty and sovereign response of each country shall not be abridged.
Freedom Alliance	The only substantive elements should be protection of the right of the public to vote directly on issues that affect their lives, health and local economies. International treaties such as the proposed one are surplus to requirements and take no account of national and local needs. It is disturbing that the WHO wishes to bypass national governments and appoint itself the arbiter of destructive, totalitarian policies such as lockdowns and biometric surveillance, with practically zero public information and consultation, and no mechanism by which the voting public can influence or remove such a policy. It is clear the motivation is to capture power for itself and its corporate accomplices, and not public health, for which adequate evidence-based measures already exist. Measures that seek to bypass national legislatures in this way are undemocratic, breach human rights treaties, and should be treated as invalid by citizens and local legislatures alike.
Every Knee Bowed	The only substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are those that acknowledge sovereignty over health decisions belongs to individual nations, states, cities, towns, and people. The WHO must recognize that the Lord Jesus Christ has authority over governments, which means each person has the right to choose their own medical paths. A treaty that dishonors these truths will be met with extreme resistance.
N/A	The only substantive elements to be included in any proposed international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are elements that recognize and substantiate the sovereignty of all nations and individuals, and acknowledge the natural rights of all individuals. There are no other substantive elements to be included in any proposed centralized international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, so there should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that includes any other elements in it that are contrary to the sovereign rights of all nations and the natural rights of all individuals. No proof of beneficial effectiveness has been demonstrated for any international pandemic preparedness thus far, nor has any valid need or justification for any such centralized international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response been proven. All natural and sovereign rights reserved.
None	The only thing an international organization should do is advise. Each country should have dominion to decide what is appropriate for their people, but that does not give them the right to take autonomy away from a person to make their own health decisions. And NO INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION or GOVERNMENT has the right to force mandatory (anything) into a person e.g. be it vaccines or drugs. ESPECIALLY if it is experimental !!!!!! Any advice from an international organization should be based on the TRUTH, SOUND and EVIDENCED based research that is PROVEN TO BE SAFE!!! And the international organization should be held accountable for their recommendations!
Personal	The only thing that international communities should enter an agreement on is the sharing of information only pertaining to the nature of the virus itself. Each country need to decide for themselves how best to handle the situation without international bureaucracy to deal with.
none	The only thing that is urgently needed is an international, fundamental declaration that every human being has ALWAYS, even in pandemic situations, the right to physical integrity and may NOT be forced to take any medical measures such as vaccinations - as fundamental democratic rights and the Nuremberg Code actually already guarantee ! If something needs to be changed here, then it is laws that have made these basic human rights vulnerable! To avoid future pandemics, I propose the following measures: - international ban on test series for the production of dangerous viruses in (state-funded) laboratories. - a ban on politicians accepting donations and income from e.g. pharmaceutical companies. - a ban on donations to media and all important health organisations/authorities, because it seems to be used by persons or organisations with an interest in one-sided reporting for their own financial gain. Best regards N. A.
EU Citizen	The only thing that needs to be included in any treaty is an agreement that each country can decide their own rules and strategies for coping with any future pandemic. The very idea that the WHO can dictate to the entire world is something every sovereign individual should be aghast about. NO to your ridiculous dictats and NO to your ridiculous attempt at pushing through a one world government under the umbrella of health! The WHO is an international criminal cartel disguised as a NGO. NO, NO, NO, NO, NO!
US Citizen	The only tools needed in a global pandemic response is our natural immunity and The Truth.
none-private US citizen	The only way acceptance should be allowed: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	The only way I can see this benefitting my country is if: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteer
Medical	The organisations are unelected and therefore have no jurisdiction to make such decisions with such far reaching impact!
Andy's Machine Shop	The organization known as "WHO", World Health Organization, has proven itself to be a menace to society in its destructive leadership of the worldwide "Covid" response. The main "Science" behind current "WHO" activities seems to be the science of how much abuse elites are able to heap upon the masses. The smartest thing all members of "WHO" could do now is to go hide in a jungle somewhere before you are found and Hung in a public park so all can walk by and spit on you.
no	the organization, who organize a pandamic situation must be strictly independent !!!!!! it may not be, that private investors, the pharma industry, who pursue their own interests have influence of the measures which are set - it can only be a question of health, of freedom and dignity of all people around the world - michael ohneberg
myself	The original word 'Democracy' in latin is made up of 'Demos' and 'Kratos', meaning 'the people' and 'strength'. Your pandemic preparedness plan as it stands seeks to weaken the people and it is the antithesis of democracy. It will end national autonomy and put vital decisions for individual countries and the human rights of their people in the hands of unelected and unaccountable bureaucrats and at a stroke create an illegitimate, unelected global totalitarian regime. This is a direct assault on democracy, human rights and basic human freedom and constitutes gross overreach. So therefore we demand the following for inclusion your pandemic preparedness plan: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	The origins of the Covid 19 pandemic, whether by lab release and/or design are still in the air. Recently, on February 23, 2022, the Daily Mail reported a genetic match between pre-Covid patented DNA owned by Moderna, one of the Covid-19 vaccine manufacturers and Covid 19 DNA. The Daily Mail further stated, "Researchers say one in 3 trillion chance Covid developed the code naturally." In the current Ukraine crisis, the operation of bio-labs conducting "research" on dangerous pathogens has again come to the fore. Shouldn't pandemic management focus on prevention in the first instance ? Please implement the following successfully among signatories before even considering a pandemic response imposing draconian measures on populations: - Independent investigation of origin of Covid-19. - Effective outlawing of all bio weapons. - Full public disclosure of all public/private bio labs or equivalent agencies conducting "research" on dangerous/transmissible pathogens including but not limited to the following: official operator, location, subject pathogens, purpose, investors/contributors, directors, key scientists/technicians, independent safety control evaluation. - Review and closure of all said labs/agencies conducting research which is not in the public interest (public interest to be defined). - Relocation of remaining labs/agencies from population centers to secure, publicly known locations. - For remaining bio labs/agencies, implementation and public disclosure of periodic independent audits, the objectives of which should be tailored to meet pandemic prevention. - Periodic independent review of the above, publicly disclosed. Thank you for your consideration.
Private Individual	The over riding principle regarding any international agreement regarding the response to a future pandemic should be that, each sovereign nation retains the autonomy to allow local leaders to make the relevant decisions based upon the will of the people of those nations. Each nation should be able to opt out of any part of the agreement without repercussions and there should be measures put into place to prevent large pharmaceutical companies, or any other global party able to profiteer from a health crisis, having any influence in the process. Failure to include these measures would leave the treaty open to corruption and manipulation from people or organisations whose self interest is deemed more important than democratic or human rights.
Denis Bowen	The overriding element of any global response is ensuring that the sovereign rights of global citizens are not abused in any way. Such protective elements and measures must not cause individual dicrimination or interfere with an individuals bodily automomy through coercion or state sponsored psychological fear tactics as seen in the Covid 19 response in many Western countries. As a citizen I shall be keeping a close eye on any state or organisational overreaching in citizens personal freedoms.
Individual	The overriding element should be a joint undertaking and constitutional reform never to use lockdowns, mask mandates or other oppressive NPIs ever again under any circumstances. There is no evidence that NPIs taken in response to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic achieved any measurable benefit, but they wrought catastrophic harm to the social fabric of societies around the world. They were implemented in a blind panic copying a totalitarian state with no scrutiny, foresight or cost-benefit analysis. The architects of lockdown, including those at the WHO, should be removed from their posts and prosecuted.
none	The pandemic affected countries differently and the one-size-fits-all approaches failed miserably. Coercive measures ended up being not very effective. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any portions of the agreement without punishment. Countries with higher vaccination rates did not fare better. With homogenous vaccines distribution in place, we would incorrectly associate any good outcomes with the vaccine. The WHO response on global pandemics should better monitor efficacy by using permanent voluntary control groups in each country and not rely on observational data. Pharmaceutical studies have excluded people with side-effects on the first dose, discarded them as dropouts and not counted their side effects. An independent research group should be instituted to conduct the clinical trials. Participating countries should be banned from establishing vaccine mandates and treatment obligations for work, transport and shopping, unless such treatment has been in a 2-year-minimum randomized trials not influenced by the industry. Appropriate studies on fertility and women's menstrual health should be mandatory on WHO-promoted treatment. The WHO should include a provision to ban proof of vaccination for international travel unless the treatment has a 10-year safety record. Physicians should be allowed to offer exemptions without any fear of retaliation from medical boards.
UK	The pandemic and the attempt for global response only showed that "unified one size fits all" approach doesn't work. Instead of trying to repeat the same mistake all over again the approach should be more education for the majority of the population on healthy habits like diet, exercise by considering the natural immunity first and ways to support it with vitamins and preventative medicine. Taking in to account the different response from different countries and ethnic groups. Focusing on where help is needed most based on the lessons learned from the past two years. Another issue with the "global response" from the pandemic was the total lockdown despite most of the population now we see was out of real danger, more priority should have been given to the elderly and the immunocompromised in form of care and support. As we saw that was the part of the population that took the biggest toll and we could have done more to protect them instead of just wasting funds and effort on a global scale. There should be full transparency on all decisions and actions for public scrutiny every information regarding any pandemic should be immediately made available to the general public and country governments and medical bodies to study. Any mathematical models used for predicting eventual outcome should be peer review first before implemented. As we saw the sole response two years ago was done based on rushed and frankly wrong programming code which was not reviewed! Least but not last. When decisions are taken all individual freedoms and beliefs should be taken in to account, what would work in one part of the world will never work in another. We are all different and different approach where everyone has a say is needed.
Privat	The pandemic contract of WHO opens the doors to permanent restrictions in the interests of big money ... it is unhuman and destructs national independence. I reject that contract completely!
Voices for freedom	-The pandemic deffinition should be changed back to the medical deffinition -The at risk people and their care providers and those who do not wish to be exposed to the pandemic must be allowed to isolate. All those who wish rely on immune system alone should have the right to do so. -pandemic should be left to run its natural corse as prolonging a pandemic gives viruses time to mutate and creates unnecessary hardship. It is a person's right to risk their life against a pandemic without endangering those who isolate from it
none	The pandemic didn't conform to any protocols or developments I learned about as a public health officer. The narrative push to exclude any remedies other than vaccines (that demonstrably did not work) led me to conclude that the pandemic was manufactured to compel consent for forced vaccinations. This violates human dignity and freedom. I am appalled, and do not consent to any WHO treaty for a required global response to diseases. After COVID, institutions must regain my trust before I will agree with their intrusions into my privacy.
NHS	The pandemic has been handled badly as first of all we know masks do not protect anyone as there are studies that prove this and it is extremely dangerous to wear a mask for long periods. The development of children has been damaged and they are behind on speech and language. We as humans must have contact with one another to protect our mental health. The lockdown caused child abuse and many people took their life I know you say that a health professional must work under the NMC guidelines to protect the people that you care for, the protection of patients was lacking as they were not allowed to see family and friends at the end of their life. The figures on the National Statistics suggest that very few people died from Covid and that it was the flu. The vaccination program is unsuccessful as it does not stop the spread and is causing horrific adverse reactions. There is an increase in almost all illnesses since the rollout began. The variations should be stopped and investigated as we "Do No Harm" and it is clear that the jabs are causing harm. I hope you put the people first and stop the vaccinations.
Private	The pandemic has shown many times how the WHO offered incorrect and often harmful guidelines. It is tyrannical to dictate how the world should act yet they display so many failures, it would be different if this pandemic was handled in an adept manner which displays skills and knowledge but again none was visible so it is unreal to try force governance over the whole global population. May countries have gone against WHO guidance and flourished compared to countries like Israel, Scotland, NZ whom are mostly almost 100% vaccinated and are displaying the worst results with regards to outbreaks and infections compared to countries like Sweden who are doing just fine.
University	The Pandemic hast shown that mandates and rules are doing more harm than good. Let the people be responsible for themselves and their families. Humanity always survived, Not because of Separation and Isolation from each other but because we Help each other, we Care about each other and no government has the Power and Right to Put someone under arrest or punishment just because he behaves as a human. The only element necessary true and Independent information, a Platform where everybody can easily have Access. No Panic, No emotional Pictures, let the science speak - with all Pros and Cons.
Privat	The pandemic itself needs to be redefined, as it was in 1999. Only the death stakes are relevant! A pandemic should only be allowed to be declared independent of the individual countries. No organization that operates worldwide and has monetary interests may declare such a state of emergency alone! Decentralization is necessary here. Never give this instruments to the commission to the WHO!
canon	The pandemic over the past 2 years has shown us the great variability in the geographic spread and the effects of the virus, Africa being a great example. As a result, I don't believe a one-size-fits all approach is a fitting way to address any future pandemic preparedness. So far, governments have responded based on individual circumstances and it is only in this manner that they should continue. Governments should be answerable democratically to their electorate and should not allowed to pass this decision-making capability to a non-elected and unaccountable body such as is the World Health Organization, or to any other body. We have to preserve our democratic history and institutions at all costs.
Self	The Pandemic Plan should be written with a listing of measures which can be taken during each phase of the pandemic: identification, early spread, middle spread, full spread, late phase, endemic, etc. There should NOT BE a one size fits all plan, as countries are different with different needs. The plan should indicate the identification of those most vulnerable and how to protect them, while leaving those less vulnerable to retain more normal lives. It should include statements about schools remaining open, unless students are most vulnerable and note that children should not be pawns to protect adults. The plan should identify an advisory council whose members includes experts from a variety of disciplines that encourage healthy debate. It should have an overview committee that convenes frequently to analyze progress and make recommendations. This committee should review implementation, make recommendations for protect and treatment and include repurposed drugs, as identified and not focus solely on a new vaccine or new pharmaceutical therapeutics. It should have a data committee that selects elements to be collected, with explanations on who, how and where the data should be collected by every country, and should report data regularly to identify trends, successes and possible failures This document should focus solely on pandemics and not be a catch-all for other causes.
Personal submission	The pandemic planning needs to ensure the autonomy of each member nation to make their own decisions in what is right within the borders of their country. Coming from a nation with an indigenous population not found anywhere else in the world, and with unique cultural requirements, it is important that this is not just acknowledged but is an integral part to allow nations to meet the needs of their own populations, not just impose a colonial rule. This includes the ability to not go with the status quo if it doesn't suit the country and it's people. Decisions made should reflect the population of that country, and not just the power of a few people with vested interests. Anyone who is involved in making policy should have no conflicts of interest in regards to pharmaceutical companies or any other company who stands to make a profit from the decisions made.
privat	The pandemic preparedness agreement should only allow the WHO to make recommendations to member governments. There should be urgent guarantees that ensure state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
	The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders.
None	The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website)
None	The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. I request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. I demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. I urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. I request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). I demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. I request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Prywatny	The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.
private person	The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. It should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. Freedom of speech for all doctors and scientists must be respected, their opinions should be considered for WHO recommendations. WHO should not recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. All contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and should be publicly available (publication on the WHO website). WHO meetings should be made public in real time, with access to the recordings. The spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
not applicable / a natural person	The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics
individual	The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics. We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures.
Non	The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. It should make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any sort of concequences. Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no curcumstances override them. One single method of action to be implemented worldwide, seems to be a seriously misguided idea. Regards Ronny Stenenes, Norway
World freedom alliance Greece	The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. It should make recommendations, not orders Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override them. Virus outbreaks are not homogeneous: they differ in population density, climate, population diversity, availability of drugs, etc. A single method of action to be implemented worldwide seems to us to be a seriously misguided idea.
Citizen	The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. It should make recommendations, not orders . Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override them. Virus outbreaks are not homogeneous. They differ in population density, climate, population diversity, availability of drugs, etc. A single method of action to be implemented worldwide seems to us to be a seriously misguided idea. The WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical (experimental) stage. Similarly, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic test for diagnosis. On the contrary. It should act as a guardian of credibility. This, by the actions it should support the flow of reliable information to citizens. We ask that the high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic be restored to the pandemic's characteristics. At the same time, high contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. A request that freedom of speech be allowed. We demand that the Greek representative be allowed to speak in the discussion alone (not through a representative from another nation). We demand that the WHO meetings be made public in real time, and access to the recordings. We request that it is ultimately the sick people to decide the choice of the treatment or prevention, without consequences.
ELISSAVET SPYRIDOU	The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. It should make recommendations, not orders Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override them. Virus outbreaks are not homogeneous: they differ in population density, climate, population diversity, availability of drugs, etc. A single method of action to be implemented worldwide seems to us to be a seriously misguided idea. The WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical (experimental) stage. Similarly, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. It should act as a guardian of credibility. By its actions it should support the flow of reliable information to citizens. We ask that : the high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic be restored to the pandemic's characteristics. High contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. freedom of speech be allowed. the "Greek representative be allowed to speak in the discussion alone (not through a representative from another nation). the WHO meetings be made public in real time, with access to the recordings. nation representatives be required to consult the public. So that they are accessible. all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies, and with the implementers of these activities by the WHO, be public and publicly available. it is ultimately in the hands of the sick person to decide on the choice of treatment or prevention.
43 Gymnasium Athens	The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. It should make recommendations, not orders Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override them. Virus outbreaks are not homogeneous: they differ in population density, climate, population diversity, availability of drugs, etc. A single method of action to be implemented worldwide seems to us to be a seriously misguided idea. The WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical (experimental) stage. Similarly, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. It should act as a guardian of credibility. By its actions it should support the flow of reliable information to citizens. We ask that : the high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic be restored to the pandemic's characteristics. High contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. freedom of speech be allowed. the "Greek representative be allowed to speak in the discussion alone (not through a representative from another nation). the WHO meetings be made public in real time, with access to the recordings. nation representatives be required to consult the public. So that they are accessible. all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies, and with the implementers of these activities by the WHO, be public and publicly available. it is ultimately in the hands of each person to decide on the choice of treatment or prevention.
Private	The Pandemic Preparedness Instrument should be advisory in nature. It should make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. Whatever the pandemic circumstances, WHO recommendations should in no circumstances override them. Virus outbreaks are not homogeneous: they differ in population density, climate, population diversity, availability of drugs, etc. A single method of action to be implemented worldwide seems to us to be a seriously misguided idea. The WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical (experimental) stage. Similarly, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. On the contrary. It should act as a guardian of credibility. Thus, by its actions it should support the flow of reliable information to citizens. We ask that the high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic be restored to the pandemic's characteristics. At the same time, high contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. We request that freedom of speech be allowed. We demand that the "Greek representative be allowed to speak in the discussion alone (not through a representative from another nation). We demand that the WHO meetings be made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that nation representatives be required to consult the public. So that they are accessible. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies, and with the implementers of these activities by the WHO, be public and publicly available.
private person	The pandemic preparedness instrument should state clearly that each individual is the only subject endowed with the right to make binding decisions regarding their health (in the case of juvenile or legally incapacitated persons - parents or guardians respectively). The pandemic preparedness instrument should not be compulsory to any state, individual or other party, either in whole or in part. Any party must be free to choose whether they want to implement, comply with, amend or cease to implement this instrument at any given moment, based on their own experience, knowledge, circumstances and the hitherto observed outcomes of the implementation of this instrument, with no consequences or indirect pressure. The pandemic preparedness instrument should put emphasis on healthy lifestyle, environment, living & working conditions as the basis of public health. The pandemic preparedness instrument should not be based on - or related to - controversial medical procedures, especially those which evince features of medical or scientific experiment as understood in Section 10(2) of the Human Rights Act 2004. The pandemic preparedness instrument should respect and support free exchange of knowledge, experience & opinions concerning the issues of public health among any parties. The pandemic preparedness instrument should not burden any party with unreasonably high or wrongly allocated expenses.
excelent	The pandemic preparedness is a very concerning approach overriding national sovereignty in a lockstep scenario. If the WHO hadn't changed the definition of pandemic in 2009 we would arguably not have been in a pandemic except regional outbreaks that temporarily overwhelmed the healthcare system. However even current outbreaks in countries that severely adhered to never before measures like Lockdown and mass "vaccination" with experimental substances like in Israel or South Korea question this approach. The pandemic definition must be changed back to involving high numbers of sick and deaths that threaten to overwhelm the healthcare system to begin with. Secondary should the pandemic preparedness focus on spare capacity in the healthcare system to cope with eventualities, not on measures that breach the human rights and the freedom of choice. Finally needs there to be research into the immune system and those at risk. The broad approach with experimental immunisation therapy has delivered a short lived (3 months) limited protection that according to official data from UK, CAN, NZ clearly shows that triple boostered are 4-5 times more likely to get infected with nearly no protection and much higher side effects than admitted by the manufacturer. This will probably lead to more victims from mRNA injections than Covid itself. There must be a clear risk/benefit calculation and update to allow informed choices rather than coercion into a therapy that does more harm than good at this stage.
Self	The pandemic preparedness response must incorporate contingency and risk mitigation for possible future corruption, malfeasance, and fraud perpetrated by mal-intended players both within and without WHO's immediate network. The greatest risk arises from communist regimes and the pharmaceutical industry. Both have a history of deceptive, coercive, and malicious behaviour with the potential to subvert the WHO and its initiatives and to cause great harm in the world. The marriage of WHO with these powers is rife with conflict of interest and it supports the East's tendency to infringe basic human rights while also magnifying the failings of the West's capitalist-corporate medicine. The proposed treaty/agreement thus unintentionally embraces and entrenches all the power structures that are contrary to the founding principles of the UN and to the spirit of the WHO. Recent events have made it abundantly clear that the proposed treaty/agreement is supporting the agendas of communist regimes and of the pharmaceutical industry. Therefore, the most substantive step to include in the proposed treaty/agreement is to withdraw it immediately and to let sovereign nations take care of their own interests.
citizen	The pandemic preparedness should remain a domain of the national gocernments. There should be no global instrument and globally imposed orders, It interferes too much in citizens rights and gives grounds for multinationals and does not promote laboratories nor independent expertise opinions from particular counmtries, which was already the case during covid pandemic No agreement for the pan international instrument.
none	The pandemic preparedness treaty must guarantee state sovereignty, individual sovereignty, respect all human rights (especially the right to physical inviolability as well as the principle of the freedom of movement and the freedom of personal choice), and require legal liability of government officials, WHO officials and pharmaceutical companies.

Organization	Written submission
humanity - the one family of planet earth	The pandemic preparedness treaty must, since it's supposed to replace national constitutions, put its main focus on the basic human rights which are granted in the national constitutions, some of the most important ones of which are the right to physical inviolability as well as the principle of the freedom of movement and the freedom of personal choice. It cannot be acceptable what has happened during the Covid-19 pandemic, that in many countries - like in Australia, Austria, China, Germany and so on - the measures undertaken to contain the pandemic and protect the people actually inflict more harm on the people than the pathogen itself would have done. Mandatory vaccinations are generally inacceptable. Instead, the focus must be laid on the early treatment options with both pharmaceutical, as well as natural medicine. Naturopathic, traditional and holistic medicine, like the healing capabilities of plants and herbs, must be focussed on more intensely, so that treatment in general is more readily available to everyone, both in rich and in poor countries. Pharmaceutical medicine must not omit the use of existing and readily available drugs for the benefit of to-be-newly-developed ones. Medicine, especially in times of a pandemic, must be directed towards supporting the patient's natural immune system in fending off a pathogen, instead of altering the natural immune system's function through newly developed experimental treatments (genetic or otherwise). Vaccinations and other health protection measures should be applied solely with respect for the holistic integrity of each individual.
Private Individual	The Pandemic Preparedness Treaty/Agreement is an attempt by the WHO to acquire power to force treatments, drugs, injections, procedures on people. I object to any person or organization, including the WHO having such power. It is a power that can be abused, so such a power must not be given to the WHO or any other organization or person. I object to the WHO attempting to remove my right to body autonomy. The WHO is trying to override my right to choose if I take a drug, injection or treatment. This is an action that I alone must decide. The last few years have demonstrated that the WHO is not an organization that can be trusted. The WHO has already changed definitions to give itself more power that the original documents did not give it. e.g. It has changed the definition of pandemic so that it could arbitrarily declare the existence of a pandemic, any time it wished. This permits the WHO to declare a pandemic whenever they see fit. This provides unfettered power to the WHO. The new powers that the WHO seeks will give the WHO omnipotent powers. This is a terrible danger to all the people in the world and this must be stopped.
Coalition for People's Right to Health (Philippines)	The pandemic response in many states has become associated with inefficiency, privilege, and inequity leaving the burden of dealing with the pandemic on the individual instead of being regarded as a state responsibility. In some countries, human rights violations and punitive approaches have eroded the government's fundamental obligations towards the right to health. From the lessons of this pandemic, a new international convention on pandemic preparedness and response must be hinged on a human rights framework in health. To achieve this, the following recommendations are suggested to be adopted: 1. Ensure an appropriate health budget, focusing on health infrastructure, human resources, and service delivery for a comprehensive health system. 2. Systematically address and reverse the privatization and devolution of health services. 3. Address the social determinants of the COVID-19 pandemic: housing, access to water, food security, security of tenure and benefits, occupational and school health, among others. 4. Ensure access to COVID-19 diagnostics, therapeutics, technologies, and vaccines both locally and globally through a patent waiver, self-sufficiency, and robust public health institutions. 5. Limit the role of the police and military in the pandemic response to reduce the discourse of fear and discipline, and instead empower the health workforce, including community health workers. 6. Increase active monitoring and avenues for reporting of human rights violations in communities, health facilities, and government institutions. 7. Investigate and prosecute all pandemic-related human rights violations to ensure accountability. 8. Guarantee states' commitment to fostering a human rights framework in health.
Moneybox Ltd	The pandemic response should be solely the responsibility of the elected representatives of the government, and not an unelected technocracy such as the WHO. Whoever decides to remove the freedoms of the people should be held accountable by the electorate. These decisions should be made only by those elected by the people. Do not proceed with this and stop the treaty.
Up your ass	The pandemic restriction are not a one size fits all. I suffered greatly financially and though the loss of my boyfriend from suicide becuase these restrictions where to much for him to cope. I know I'm not the only one with stories that shattered thier life. I'm unvaccinated and have never gotten covid.
None	The Pandemic Treat should provide guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
None	The pandemic treaty carries more risks than favours. First it's not one size of decision that fits for all countries the same because the situation in a pandemic might differ so that different decisions are to be made. Second, it's highly undemocratic, that the WHO, as a non-elected organization should be able to decide over the fate of the people by ignoring the constitutions of the countries who signed this treatment, especially regarding, that private institutions like the BMGF, who might have an economic interest in directing actions of the WHO in the treatment of a pandemic, are the second biggest contributor to the WHO. I do not comply to this treaty, because it bears the possibility of a health dictatorship for economic reasons.
Center for Global Health Science & Security, Georgetown University	The pandemic treaty is an opportunity for us to develop greater coherence and coordination in the international law for pandemics. While it may be tempting to focus the treaty as narrowly as possible, this would be a mistake. The treaty is an opportunity to actively breakdown the siloes that left us so vulnerable to both the risks and impacts of pandemics. Through our Elements of a Pandemic Treaty project we have identified twelve elements for a cohesive and evidence based treaty for the pandemic era, two of which are described below. The first is a planetary health approach. In the next decade, climate change will overtake land use as the biggest driver of pandemics and is predicted to create hundreds of thousands of potential opportunities for viral cross-species transmission. The treaty text must recognize the role climate change will play in outbreak emergence and can do so in preambular language as well as in operative provisions that embed State Parties' obligations under other international treaties like the UNFCCC. Examples of this are common in international law, including Article 57 of the IHR and Article 4 of the Nagoya Protocol. Second, there is currently no international legal obligation to share non-influenza pathogen samples and genetic sequences necessary for pandemic preparedness and response. At the same time, there will not be a successful or acceptable pandemic treaty without addressing the global injustice of inequitable sharing of benefits from their use, including vaccines. The pandemic treaty is an opportunity to address this urgent issue, including as a protocol to a Framework Pandemic Convention negotiated in parallel, and in a manner consistent with art4(4) of the Nagoya Protocol.
MFG (Menschen Freiheit Grundrechte)	The Pandemic Treaty must guarantee for the sovereignty of states and the individuals, must respect the human rights and must guarantee the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies for all medicaments and vaccines.
Council on the Economics of Health for All, World Health Organization	The Pandemic Treaty must include financing and technology transfer mechanisms to level the playing field regarding pandemic preparedness and response between high- and low-income countries. As the current pandemic has shown, the unequal access to vaccines, therapeutics, diagnostics, and personal protective equipment has led to unnecessary death and prolonged the pandemic's duration. While high-income countries are almost fully vaccinated, lower-income countries are still struggling, increasing the likelihood of new variants and eliminating progress in the fight against COVID. Any serious attempt to increase equity in PPR must include mechanisms to: • Build local manufacturing capacity in lower-income countries to reduce the dependency pattern between the global north and the global south through technology transfer and compulsory licensing. • Provide sustainable funding through multiannual mechanisms so that lower-income countries can meet the requirements in terms of prevention, surveillance, preparedness, and response. • Track the impact of investments. This may require going beyond traditional cost and benefit approaches, incorporating holistic measures of well-being, and moving away from the conventional efficiency narrative. • Ensure institutions and public officers have the required capacity to answer to unexpected and disruptive events. Public institutions need to have a whole-of-government approach to crisis response, recognizing that a successful health strategy needs to include other elements related to the social and economic context to be successful. Finally, for the Pandemic Treaty to be successful, it needs to have rewards for compliers or penalties for non-compliers.
Individual from Australia	The pandemic treaty must include the following in the interests of the citizens of the world who will be affected by it- 1. The treaty must not allow the dilution of fundamental human rights because of " emergencies ". Human rights are inalienable and The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations must be subservient to inalienable human rights. 2 Medical choices. The right to try medical treatments by an individual is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone.(eg Ivermectin) 3 Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. One's body one's choice. The right to refuse treatment must be upheld as an inalienable right. 4 Medical discrimination. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 5 Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are an abrogation of an individuals right to freedom. 6 There must be complete transparency regarding both the health threat and the proposed treatments. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time so that consent if given is in full knowledge of all relevant data. 7. Freedom of discussion and debate regarding the health threat and treatments. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 8 All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 9 People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
WTRI	The Pandemic Treaty must include the following: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Please put the welfare of the people above money. Thanks.
Citizen of UK	The Pandemic Treaty must include the following… 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	The Pandemic Treaty must include these provisions: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Concerned Citizen of USA	The Pandemic Treaty must include: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments, organizations and treaties may not suspend human rights for any reason. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups, organizations and treaties are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try a cure is an inalienable right. No person, agency, government or treaty has the right to deny potentially life-saving treatments to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable rights in any way. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people violate inalienable rights. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to show their opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their opinion in public without government prosecution. 9. All manufacturers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
---	The pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law, because the WHO was not elected by the people. Compulsory vaccination must be rejected in general, since each person must be considered individually. In addition, vaccinations should be tested by independent bodies before they are approved, and the pharmaceutical industry must assume responsibility in the event of side effects.
US Citizen	The Pandemic Treaty planned for adoption at the 2024 World Health Assembly is unacceptable and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." We need to keep all health decisions up to individuals with the help of their healthcare providers, not a one size fits all decision passed down from governments and un-elected organizations with no accountability.
Citizen of Germany	The pandemic treaty should contain: "All nations are absolutely free in choosing the measures and instruments of fighting pandemics. The WHO will never be allowed to mandate the nations." Reason: This is the only way to be error tolerant. When the WHO rules the world wide pandemic management and is wrong, the whole world would be wrong. When every nation rules the pandemic for themselves we will see different ways of pandemic management and will have a chance that at least one successfull way can be seen. The pandemic treaty should contain: "Medical therapy against the free will of humans are not allowed." Reason: Forced medical therapy is against the Nuremberg Codes and there were good reasons to have this Nuremberg Codes. The pandemic treaty should contain: „Every nation hast to document in detail why they have choosen their measures and instruments, what they want to achieve, how they will measure the success and the nations have to report the outcome at least weekly." Reason: To avoid caprice and despotism gouvernments must be controlled and must be forced to justify their acting.
Dept of education	The pandemic treaty should ONLY serve to ensure that basic human rights are respected even during a pandemic, i.e. the right to work, the right to education, the right to travel, the right to refuse medical treatment etc. It should include nothing else except perhaps the recognition of national sovereignty over health matters, but this should come second to individual sovereignty.
Citizen of the USA	The pandemic treaty should serve as guidelines for countries during pandemics and not supersede any individual nation's rule of law (constitution). Elements should be informative and make suggestions on timelines for actions to coordinate efforts but each sovereign nation should retain the ability to act as a check on the power of such a treaty by retaining their sovereignty even in pandemic times.
University of Auckland	The pandemic treaty would be cognizant of the global ethnic and socio-economic diversity of the peoples of all nations. As the current pandemic shows certain groups were more vulnerable than others. Obesity was one major underlying pre-existing condition and certain ethnic groups more vulnerable than others. A one size fits all solution would be counter-productive. Thus, it would be critical that states retain their sovereignty in administering WHO policy guidelines as they are more in touch with the nation's ability to respond to a crisis. A healthy nation will not need to be as strongly concerned as a poor or obese nation. National and local leadership is obviously closer to the coal face and can make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Having said that, WHO best practice guidelines (the treaty) would indeed be helpful. For example, the danger to health and effect on poverty as a result of lock-down's were ignored leading to worsening situations. While it would not be the intention of this treaty to mandate and penalize, careful drafting must ensure opt outs are without consequence. Transparency and communication is paramount. WHO must not only independent of policy capture by global pharmaceuticals but also seen to be independent. The recent engagement by WHO with India and natural remedies shows the WHO is interested in such matters. Not all health needs to come with a high price tag. The fatality rate of this pandemic has been well under 1% so well done WHO.
Free person	The Pandemic Treaty: * Shall not infringe upon the sovereignty of any nation. National and local leadership will retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * Shall permit all nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * Shall insure an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Shall install measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * Is unnecessary and should not move forward.
none	The pandemic was contrived by major pharmaceutical players to control people. The less laws and less government we have, the better off will the common lman be.
Freedom Australian	The pandemic was planned. The injections are making people sick and are dying from them. Australians do not want or need the WHO interfering in our personal medical Choises!!! God Wins…
private	The Pandemie preparedness treaty should not interfere with national constitutions and should not be superior to national law. It should embrace a holistic viel on health, not a pharmaceutical or technical.
Self	The past two plus years have been hell for millions because the entities in charge were all politicized, making the policies politicized and thereby marginalizing and subjecting to ridicule, persecution and discrediting the voices of essential dissidents, critics and mavericks who, more often than not, have been proved right over time. We need a democratized, pluralistic and independent process - not one with centralized authorities - to furnish essential checks and balances to the WHO, the American CDC and all other such entities across the globe. We do not need a pandemic response which speaks with one authoritative voice. The fear that too many arguing voices will risk lives is unfounded. Truth only emerges when all voices collide in the public square. Let the process play out democratically and remove autocratic and hierarchical systems from the equation. We cannot afford to go this route again. We look corrupt, superstitious and incompetent. This should never, ever happen again.
STOP THE GLOBALIST MEDICAL COUP	The past two years has taught one thing: global "health care" organizations have absolute contempt for the human population. In light of that, the following elements should be included in your attempt to create a global control system. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Blue Heron Healing Arts	The past two years have provided a stern warning against the politicization of public health--and that is what a treaty allowing the WHO to direct public health programs would be--a grave political mistake. On many levels of government, world-wide, we have seen top-down directives dictating what is the proper prevention and treatment of COVID. In many instances, doctors were told not to doctor; immediate treatment was discouraged, and we were told to wait for an untried global vaccine. We were directed instead to turn to unproven methods which turned out not to work: masking, isolation, contact tracing, etc. The result of relying on a world wide directive not to treat early, but to wait, was many millions of avoidable deaths. Not only that, but the available data shows that the experimental, unproven, rushed vaccine is causing more deaths and damage than the virus itself. See the CDC VAERS and US Defense databases. WHO was at the center of all of this. Do NOT grant the WHO the ability to direct, dictate, and limit medical response around the world through proposed treaty agreements. Preserve our national sovereignty. The US has always refrained from allowing the UN to dictate terms. Now is not the time to let the UN's WHO tell us what to do. We should learn from current experience that top-down dictated public health solutions is the wrong way to go. We need to allow for local/national innovation and encourage rather than censor international medical and scientific discourse.
public	The past two years have shown a worldwide failure in public health related to COVID. Lessons (lockdowns do not work, masks are ineffective, etc.) that have been known for years have been discarded due to political expediency, chicanery, or worse. This is the best illustration of why an international standard does not work -- it is too far removed from patients and providers and given to (sometimes) well meaning bureaucrats -- the worst possible conveners of health. All health care should remain local. No country should cede any control of its health decisions to some nameless, faceless international body. Even within a country, health decisions should be made between a patient and his or her physician. We now have the evidence that the WHO decisions were mostly wrong. Why would we want to enshrine this in even worse bureaucracy.
civilian	The past two years have shown me that the response to this pandemic was not a one size fit all. Response at the state level had dramatically different outcomes. Florida had zero mandates and had lower per capita covid cases and a better economic outcome than states with very restrictive mandates. I have also witnessed the complete violation of peoples basic human rights over the past two years and do not agree with that. A pandemic plan must support the rights of the individual to make their own health decisions free from discrimination, threats, or coercion. Protection of ones bodily autonomy must be protected. To much of what the WHO did in regards to the pandemic was a bad decision. Their is a need for a chain of decision making much like local, state, and federal government operates not just having a federal government. I do not feel the pandemic response from the WHO respected the individual and was riddled with problems and lack of scientific evidence. I object to giving the WHO total control over my life in the event of a pandemic their fore I do not support the Pandemic Treaty. I will never agree with allowing one source to have this much control.
Public servant	The people do not want this. Our own government should be dealing with these matters. We DO NOT WANT A ONE WORLD GOVERNMENT or anything of the sort. Each country should have their own pandemic preparedness and it needs to be agreed to by the people of each individual country.
human being	the people dont need a one size fits all masterprotector. we knew about these hidden shadowintntions behind the performed pro-human engagement. i disagree for those plans in every single way.
Self	The people have a right to choose our own health care and the constitutions were put in place to protect the citizens from Government over reach. This would allow a handful of people to make decisions for the larger majority and that is no longer freedom or a democracy. This is dangerous and I do not agree with this at all.
Public	The people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. The WHO is not,and cannot be, in touch with the differences in cultures and countries across the world it is one organisation. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The who should scrap the idea and leave countries and individuals to decide on their own priorities.
private citizen	The people in the WHO are not elected and has no authority over any group of citizens. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The people of Canada does not elect employees of the WHO so the WHO has no right to tell or mandate Canadians. Leave our health care system alone. One approach does not meet the needs of every country. Let the elected officials of each country make the decisions in a democratic process.
None	The people of Canada have not been consulted and even if that were the case the Charter of Rights and Freedoms is the supreme model for safeguarding the liberty and freedoms of citizens against arbitrary and authoritarian measures that disrespect natural law and thereby threatens to harm individual interests. I recognize no treaty undertaken by the Canadian Government that destroys individual sovereignty.
None	The people of each country have the right to make their own choices and decisions for their health and well being. Each country has its own doctors and scientists to make the best choices the people want for themselves, their communities and country. This treaty is absolutely not ok.
Citizen of Republic of Ireland	The people of Ireland have not been consulted by their representatives within their Government regarding this matter. We must advise that the current Government ARE NOT ELECTED BY THE PEOPLE as they DID NOT SATISFY THE REQUIREMENT OF HAVING A MAJORITY. In this important matter, as a REPRESENTATIVE CITIZEN for this purpose, I am advising that this cannot be a legally given authority BY any representative from the Irish Government to agree to or be included in the WHO's NEW INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT ON PANDEMIC PREPARDNESS AND RESPONCE. THEREFORE NOTHING CAN GIVE THE AUTHORITY FROM THE IRISH GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVES TO THIS PLAN AND ACCORDINGLY IT WILL BE REJECTED.
civil	The people of the country along with national and local leaders should make decisions about what is best for the health of their own people. No world wide treaty is required and no such treaty should be forced upon people. If it is about the public health,, then the publics vote and consent,,, about any medical intervention on them,,has to be most important
Private	The people of the US will regret submitting to international authorities on this matter. These authorities have no credibility after the disaster of their leadership these two years. Do not enter this treaty.
-	The people of the world do NOT need another international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It is the WHO that needs something: respect for inalienable human rights, respect for the autonomy of people and nations, respect for civil liberties. And it is the WHO that needs something else: accountability. True accountability. Yours sincerely, Claudia, Germany
Norfolk Light Network	The people of the world do not want this new agreement. We do not want a supra-governmental organisation dictating every aspect of our lives and deciding what is or is not good for our health. Individual freedom in this regard is paramount. I would remind you that: All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. This Legal Notice has been delivered to the World Health Organization on April 12, 2022.
Privat	The people of the world does not need or want this treaty. We the people want continued and total autonomy of national democratically elected governments, especially during times of crisis. The need for this has become increasingly more obvious during the covid pandemic, where the WHO's response have deviated in crucial and alarming ways from the worlds collected previous knowledge about the nature of pandemics and how to combat them. Furthermore, there are signs that the WHO has been, is and probably will be unduly affected by private entities in the form of NGOs and companies with interests that are largely at odds with the people of the world. Therefore it is of imperative importance to decentralize any and all decisions concerning future pandemic responses to the nation state level.
Private person	The people of the world does not need or want this treaty. We want continued and total autonomy of national democratically elected governments, especially during times of crisis. The need for this has become increasingly more obvious during the covid pandemic, where the WHO's response have deviated in crucial and alarming ways from the worlds collected previous knowledge about the nature of pandemics and how to combat them. Furthermore, there are signs that the WHO has been, is and probably will be unduly affected by private entities in the form of NGOs and companies with interests that are largely at odds with the people of the world. Therefore it is of imperative importance to decentralize any and all decisions concerning future pandemic responses to the nation state level.

Organization	Written submission
None	The people of the world have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio-surveillance. While it does receive funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lock-downs, suppressed early preventive and early disease process treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. This is an abysmal track record and indicates that without a different, more inclusive process, the WHO is not capable of setting appropriate pandemic management policy.
Self-employed	The people of the world require the following - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The people should be given the right to decide on their own health after given all the TRUE information on said pandemic or other disease along with the TRUE information on remedies, vaccines or medicines. No one is to play God, no one! Your body is your own, no one gets to decide except you on how you want to proceed with your health. NO TO THE TREATY !
General public	The people should have their own say in their own health. No requirements should be placed on all or any individuals. There should Only be suggestions you can choose to follow or not without repercussions of any sort.
.	The People's Treaty To Preserve Our Health Related Human Rights We the people of the world agree that certain human rights are inalienable and non-negotiable, even in times of extreme emergency. These rights include, but are not limited to the following… .Article 1 Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Article 2 The good of the nation is the sum total of the benefits enjoyed by all its people. Article 3 Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Article 4 The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Article 5 We demand full transparency of depersonalized data from all clinical trials, which should be immediately available for anyone in the world to see in real time.Article 6 Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. Article 7 We demand complete transparency. Article 8 Everyone has the right to their own opinion. Article 9 Guilt cannot be legislated or contracted away. Article 10 Government officials may start emergencies, but the people must be able to end them. WE THE PEOPLE OF THE WORLD DEMAND THAT OUR RIGHTS BE RESPECTED AND OUR FREEDOMS BE HONORED. WE VOW TO OPPOSE, WITH EVERY FIBER OF OUR BEING, ANY "PANDEMIC TREATY" PUT FORTH BY THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION THAT FAILS TO CLEARLY MAINTAIN OUR INALIENABLE HUMAN RIGHTS.
private	To Preserve Our Health Related Human Rights We the people of the world agree that certain human rights are inalienable and non-negotiable, even in times of extreme emergency. These rights include, but are not limited to the following… . Article 1 Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. Article 2 The good of the nation is the sum total of the benefits enjoyed by all its people. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Article 3 Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. The right to try is an inalienable right. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Article 4 The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. Article 5
None	The peoples of the world are sovereign and have inalienable rights which no institution, government or ruling class can take away. These continued attempts by nefarious institutions such as the WHO to control the people of the world only empower us to resist and to evolve into a world in which we are more enlightened and living in our power and freedom. Your institution and any of its coercive rulings, decrees, mandates, treaties, and/or pseudo laws are obsolete in our eyes as is the institution. Please continue what you are doing because you are propelling the most beautiful of awakenings in this world.
None	The people's right to choose their own approach to remaining healthy. An actual open debate amongst all doctors and scientists that want to contribute their thoughts and expertise .
I represent myself only.	The pharmaceutical companies and anyone who worked for them in the past must be excluded from any decision making body regarding public health. Anyone who is on a panel to decide on any public policy should be required to contractually attest that they will never take a job in the future with a pharmaceutical company as well. Otherwise the pharmaceutical industry's interest will take precedence over the interest and welfare of the public. Just look what happened with Covid. It is pretty obvious. The individuals who made those policies were and are politically and financially compromised and conflicted. In truth there should be no body powerful enough to make health policy for an entire population. It should be left to the individual, families and communities. We have survived all of these millennia without an international instrument for pandemic preparedness and we will all be better off without one now, primarily because such organizations have proven themselves untrustworthy. And that is a shame.
Individual	The physical, mental and financial damage caused by the lockdowns has been astronomical. We must never ever repeat it. I am enraged with the proposal that I will not have a choice to decide whether or not to accept a new medication. I do not and will not accept this proposal. Human beings have free will, only we should decide what goes inside our bodies. No authority should have that right. You cannot remove our basic right of body autonomy. If these proposals go through, there will be a huge, huge outcry and protests. This is a disgusting and dangerous move. PEOPLE WILL AND MUST MAKE THEIR OWN DECISIONS!!!
non	The pillars should be priciples of natural law and civil rights, such as autonomy of people, transparency and freedom of choice. #StopTheTreaty
Welcome Lasting Love	The plan for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response would strip nations the right to set their own health policy. This right is essential in a humane, democratic world. We must maintain countries' individual rights to govern their peoples and land.
N/a	The plan or agreement should include the following: Any actions to consider the full well being (physical and mental/emotional) of citizens and should balance the non-medical, societal, social and financial effects on people and society as a whole before any measures are enacted. Countries must have the right to veto/not take part in action Citizens Human rights should not be violated in any way The Nuremberg code should be adhered to and all medical treatment or intervention must remain optional Liability for injury and damage for medicines and treatments should not be waived or taken on by government, they should stay with the manufacturer of that treatment This plan should in no way replace or render void National laws on this topic
private	The plan to install such a treaty would severly hurt our souvereinty and constitution. Our politicians have been elected and are sworn to our constitution and have strictly to abide it. They are not able to decide about such a treaty by themselves, because it would mean a significant change of our constitution which could only be done by a plebiscite. Anything else would be treason. No foreign „supreme command" would be accepted by the people, especially none of a private institution sponsored by characters which have a vital interest in magnifying their income, influence and power and to shape the world according to their wishes. The only way to succeed is a simple guidline for countries but no overruling of our constitution.
none	The planned instrument is not legal. The WHO does not have the legacy to proceed this instrument to the states which are member in the WHO. There is no need to plan into this mentioned instrument. In case you will force the memberstates the instrument you have to mention that using this instrument is only voluntary and that there is no obligation to write the content of your instrument into a law on memberstate level. The instrument will only be used to monitor the people and to bring force on them. For the people in the world it is quiet obvious that the influence of private people giving a lot of money to the WHO is in evidence.
none	The planned instrument must ban and surpress the "gain-of-function" reasearch in all member states.
none	The planned instrument must: - guarantee national and also personal souvereignity - prevent restrictions of human rights including dignity of man - dictate liability for both governments and companies; exemptions must be void. - prohibit medical experiments on people, especially without free consent - respect the codes of Nuremberg - strengthen the rights of patients - ban closing of schools - ban mask mandates - ban vaccination mandates - ban international digital passports - dictate thorough and public testing of vaccines and drugs - dictate publishing of contracts between states and pharma companies
Personal	The plans should allow full national and local autonomy. This should include national, regional and local opt out for all agreements determined by the local population in accordance with their wishes. There needs to be a vote on the agreement by all the peoples of the world,(and prevention of the agreement if democratically the people do not want it). The agreement MUST be independent of any vested interests (eg pharmaceutical industries and others who hav vested interests).
Me	The plans WHO have for the population on this matter are Undemocratic the public should be fully informed and decide in a democratic way on how to move forward. It isn't fair that major decisions be made on the publics behalf without enough communication to the masses. I believe in freedom. Freedom of choice freedom of information and complete transparency. We are currently not receiving this. No to passport vaccines
SBIT Ltd	The point I wish to make are: Country sovereignty is paramount. There can be no WHO dictatorship enforcing medical actions and measures on independent nations. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make health decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of concerned public	The points below are supremely important to be included in the Treaty, that….. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Otherwise this is NOT democratic. Thank you.
Toteflix Inc.	The policy must provide for the following: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations, provinces, states and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We recommend an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, and including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that eliminate the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Youngcuts Film Festival	The policy must provide for the following: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations, provinces, states and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We recommend an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, and including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that eliminate the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
SELF	THE POLICY SHOULD INCLUDE THAT LOCAL AND NATIONAL GOVERNMENTS HAVE AUTONOMY IN DETERMINING THE BEST RESPONSE FOR THEIR CITIZENS. NATIONS AND LOCAL MUNICIPALITIES SHOULD BE ABLE TO OPT OUT OF PORTIONS OF THE TREATY AS THEY SEE FIT. PEOPLE SHOULD BE ABLE TO VOTE ON GLOBAL POLICIES AND FAILSAFE MEASURES SHOULD BE INCLUDED TO PREVENT THE APPLICATION OF GLOBAL POLICIES IN PLACES WHERE THE PEOPLE DO NOT WANT THEM.
Max Your Gold LLC	The poorer the country the lower the death rate from Covid19. The WHO directed response to the pandemic resulted in hundreds of thousands of deaths that would have been prevented by simply doing what the poorest countries couldn't afford to. The poorest countries couldn't afford to follow the WHO's deadly advice. The best response to a pandemic is ignore the authorities and let each country, city, hospital respond intelligently to emerging data. The worst response is to have centralized control over response and information. Based on the horrendous results both in terms of mortality rates and in terms of economic calamity the WHO should be immediately disbanded and their leaders arrested. Any advice given by the WHO in the future should be ignored. My response to this laughable treaty is WHO and what army?
Private	The position of a private organization such as the WHO claiming to be someone in a position to dictate national governments how to treat their population health-wise, given that WHO is not lead or consisted by health experts, is - to put it very mildly - extremely arrogant. Such an idea to take over national laws of souveren countries leadership is not politically or anyhow justified. Instead the following should be provided for: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process y any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health promoters.
World Federation of Public Health Associations, Int Hopsital Federation, IFSW	In February 2022 the International Federation of Social Workers (IFSW), the International Hospital Federation (IHF), the World Federation of Public Health Associations (WFPHA) and the World Organization of Family Doctors (WONCA), came together to form an Alliance providing a multisectoral view. We are coming together as a collective voice to request that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be developed based on the following principles: • Permanent and universal recommendations • Respect of human rights • Equity • Universal Health Coverage with Resilient health, public health and social systems • Effectiveness • One health approach The Alliance supports the work for a pandemic treaty. The Alliance requests the following substantive elements to be included: • Holistic approach including intersectoral collaboration • (Integrated care, integration of primary healthcare services and collaboration with secondary care)_Integration of all levels of care from primary to social care to ensure a continuum of care • Hospitals, healthcare facilities and Human Resources for Health • Biosecurity, global vaccine procurement • Effective implementation of digital technologies • Provision of mental health and palliative care • Supporting multidisciplinary primary healthcare teams • Cross-borders sharing data • Communication and community disinformation • Proactive community engagement and population reach • Investment in public education/ engagement • Rethink supply chains and regulatory systems • Systems for monitoring and evaluation for quality improvement
mama	The power for families to make their own healthcare decisions must remain in the family. I am not in favor of the US giving up any healthcare rights or decisions by joining this treaty. Please keep the US out of this pandemic treaty so we can maintain our own decision authority over healthcare decisions.
US citizen and educator	The power of decision making of the health of US citizens needs to remain in the United States. Global health decisions and mandates have the potential to cause needless chaos, job loss, recession, and do much more harm than good especially in countries that less affected. Countries should manage their own health decisions.
Retired	The power of health care must remain with the people of the USA. The WHO does not have the right to tell us what to do. Pandemic decisions must be left for our country to deal with. Thank you
None	The power to make decisions should only come from individual countries. There should not be one organization that will make rules for the world. All places are very different and the rules & regulations should be enforced at a local level. The WHO organization should only be able to make recommendations, not have the power to enforce ANYTHING.
Father of a family	The preferred form is none at all with a reversion to the previous definition of "pandemic" so that 'case numbers' can not be manipulated into a "pandemic". Failing that, to answer a question that should not be asked and is NOT the right question (please DO NOT use these ideas against the people): - an instrument with people not states - complete bodily autonomy without consequences - complete financial autonomy without consequence - individuals (not states) would have to opt-in with no threat against their livelihood for not opting in (ie like the 'no jab no job' threat) - individuals and families can opt-out indefinitely with no threat against their livelihood or compulsion to 'rejoin' - Actual WHO leadership (ie. not clones) punishable with life-imprisonment without compassionate release (nor staged suicides) and the immediate and permanent rescindment of all instruments should a single death be caused by the instrument - Do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The premise for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness must be investigated first. Is there a need to apply uniform standards and protocols throughout the planet? The experience of the coronavirus pandemic has shown that different countries have taken different measures to mitigate the worst effects of the pandemic and while one who is inclined toward standardization and centralization might assume that those countries that followed WHO recommendations have fared well and those that haven't followed guidelines haven't fared well, the outcomes have been quite the opposite in several instances. Sweden, for example, fared better having not resorted to lockdowns compared to other similar countries that have imposed draconian measures. After two years of the pandemic, the public is very well informed and there are literally hundreds of peer-reviewed studies pointing out the ineffectiveness and even harmfulness of the centralized recommendations. Please keep any recommendations and guidelines to a minimum if you really must have an international instrument on pandemic preparedness. Local health authorities and individual doctors are to be empowered to legislate and execute measures that best fit the local conditions. The planet will only be saved by decentralization from here on out. There is simply no room for centralized decision-making in matters of public health. What works in Sweden is what the Swedes decide. What works in India is what the Indians decide. Numerous (thousands) of doctors in the United States and Europe have come forward with their knowledge and experience in dealing with patients infected by COVID-19 and have informed the public at large on what works and what doesn't.
Member of public	The preparedness plans in place back in 2018 were sufficient and any changes to this is merely a power grab on your behalf and you need to stop deceiving the public. You are a corrupt entity with a corrupt leader so get the hell out of our lives
private	The present contract shall contain: - an irrevocable guarantee of an easily feasible possibility of maintaining an individual and unlimited "analogue existence" at any time and without any resulting personal discrimination of any kind. - an irrevocable guarantee for an unlimited, easily feasible possibility of an individual and governmental Opt-Out/Opt-In for the present contract (be it full or in parts), possible at any time without any resulting discrimination. - a ban on direct or indirect funding, direct or indirect support and influence of WHO and its decision-making bodies by institutions or individual, who are not obliged exclusively to the public and governments or, by their acting, follow other or additional interests of further parties. A violation in this regard shall be subject to criminal prosecution of any individual involved and shall take in any WHO member country, regardless of the location of the violation and the citizenship of the individuals involved.
Coalition for Preventing Pandemics at the Source	The Preventing Pandemics at the Source Coalition – 18 conservation, public health, health justice and Indigenous Rights organizations – welcomes the creation of an international instrument against pandemics. Problematically, pandemic 'preparedness' has focused largely on 'containment', subsequent to outbreaks. It is crucial that the instrument addresses the origin of all viral pandemics over the last century—pathogen spillover from animals, particularly wildlife, into people. Cost-effective actions can steeply reduce the risk of pathogen spillover. Peer-reviewed research highlights that $20 billion annually is sufficient to implement actions at scale – just 3% of the annualized cost of new zoonotic diseases. Actions include shutting down or strictly regulating commercial wildlife trade and markets that pose public health risks, protecting tropical forests, providing healthcare and livelihood alternatives to communities in zoonotic spillover hotspots, improving biosecurity in livestock management and enhancing zoonotic virus surveillance. The international instrument should: include support for One Health with a focus on preventing pathogen spillover; require States to include spillover prevention measures in national action plans; establish a substantial, dedicated Fund and reporting mechanism to support actions and ensure accountability; and be guided by an international scientific and policy advisory body. A dedicated Fund for pandemic prevention is a global good and should be funded outside existing development assistance. Cooperation with the Quadripartite partnership for One Health and environmental conventions (e.g., CBD, CITES, UNFCCC, CMS) is encouraged to create synergies on nature-based solutions.
None	The primary element to be included in such an agreement is that the WHO should only provide guidance and guidelines to its nations states. This information should never be mandated or forced, only given as guidelines. Each nation's constitution should never be put aside by orders of the WHO. Each nation must remain sovereign! The leaders of the countries involved must be able to decide what is best for their population based on individual public health policies. Public health is NEVER a one-size-fits-all program. People and their communities must control their own health, and their public health program. What is happening in one country will not be happening in the next.
Private	The primary focus of any such treaty should be developing early treatment options and improving immune system health. The Nuremberg Code should also prevail with respect to bodily autonomy and informed consent. No forced medical procedures.
Unaffiliated	The primary guiding principle is that pandemic decision making remain the discretion of the democratically elected officials of signatory states and that participation be voluntary and subject to review
public	The primary mission of the WHO should be as an advisory body on health issues to its membership. It is critical that each member country maintain sovereignty over the health care decisions of its population. WHO directors and decision makers are not directly responsive to or able to be held responsible for the decisions that are made in the same and effective way that individual leaders are by the people of the memberships individual countries. As a result, I reject any mandates for membership being issued through the WHO for the citizens of the United States and South Carolina. I have communicated my feelings as a former first responder to my congressman and senators to oppose any such agreement on behalf of the United States. Thank you for allowing me to comment on this issue.
personal	The primary substantive inclusion must be that the instrument is ADVISORY only, non-binding, not mandatory, and does not detract from the sovereign state, independent state and the personal sovereignty to follow reccomendations or not. The instrument must recognise the purpose of public health being advisory, provide a reccomended framework based upon clear facts and transparency, all associated research and decisin making should be clearly referenced and the balanced view fully outlined - which must include discussion of any downside of a course of action or proposal. Substantive elements must recognise that a one size fits all instrument is not feasible given that seasonal, diurnal, environmental, social and economic differ globally. Substantive elements must allow for rapid changes in reccomendations as any pandemic progresses A clear definition of a pandemic and how it is to be determined must be included The proposed actions and activities must provide for an outcome that is less damaging than the outcome of a free ranging pandemic
Individual	The primary substantive element of any new international instrument on pandemic response and preparedness must be advisory in nature only and provide any individual, local and regional municipality, state, and nation the ability to opt out.
Stand for Health Freedom	The primary substantive element of any WHO treaty must be to recognize and honor the sovereignty of every participating nation. Every person on this earth is unique, living in different environments, and has a unique relationship with their environment. Health care needs to be as indivualized as possible to have the greatest benefit and outcomes. Countries must retain their sovereignty and their ability to manage any potential or actual outbreak with their own discretion. Honoring the needs of individuals and communities cannot be done by sweeping instructions from a global point of view. The next necessary substantive element is strong privacy protection for individuals with respect to their private health data. There are calls for the WHO to have more data and information, and as quickly as possible to assess threats on a global scale. It is reasonable to want this. However, the data is not just words and numbers, it is people. As the digital world becomes more and more a part of our daily lives it is imperative that we protect the health privacy of individuals to prevent discrimination based on health choices or status.
Industrial Hygiene Resources, Ltd.	The primary substantive element that must be included in such an instrument is that ultimate decision-making authority must remain with each individual person. It is imperative that all recommendations originating from the WHO or any international body be given as guidance only, with no exceptions.
General Public	The primary substantive element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is that WHO should remain in an advisory role only. Providing well researched, safe and effective advice to countries to manage their own pandemics. Every country is unique and as such is in the best position to make wise decisions for their citizens based on international and national knowledge. WHO is in the ideal position to provide an agile framework for countries to develop their own pandemic response plan which needs to include consultation with their citizens including a wide range of professions, age groups and cultures. Sharing of information will enable countries to learn from each other rather than every country following the same agenda. History will be the judge and evaluations will provide the evidence of best practice to better plan for future pandemics.
NJ public school	The principal element that should be discussed is sovereignty. The WHO should never hold power over legislative process. The WHO has no authority to come between citizens and their government. Humans are self sovereign beings who retain our inalienable, God given rights, regardless of the WHO. The data & following policies set forth by this organization are not properly vetted by all involved in the health industry, only some. There is substantial evidence available to not only the WHO, but also to the public, on the inefficiency of vaccination against the COVID-19 virus. Alternative treatment is proven effective and yet those studies are not being discussed, instead they are being scrubbed and hidden from general view by censorship. Adverse effects continue to rise and manufacturers have not been held liable for the devastation and loss of life caused by that. US citizens have not had a democratic input throughout this pandemic, it is highly illegal for the federal government to enact any regulation or law that violates the US constitution and Bill of Rights. For instance, the 4th amendment is blatantly disregarded and broken when vaccination databases are constructed with data that was illegally collected. It does not matter what the WHO decides for itself, you cannot inject your unconstitutional policies into the USA government. It doesn't matter who you place onto our media outlets, we have data, and quite a lot of it. Personal sovereignty is not up for debate in the United States of America. We are a nation that does not care what is popular or seemingly righteous among most. Those who sacrifice liberty, privacy, and sovereignty for a false sense of security don't deserve either and do not represent the citizens.

Organization	Written submission
Self	The principal substantive element should be a precise definition of a "pandemic". A pandemic in which there is a 99.9% survival rate is no pandemic. It's obvious that the current "pandemic" has been blown out of proportion in the interests of the big pharmaceutical companies and corrupted governments. And there is still an on-going effort to keep the "emergency" going. The truth is starting to come out, and there will be a penalty for organizations such as yours to pay if you persist in placing the interests of the people last. --John Doss
Privat	The principle element that this is not economic and political, but dedicated to the true health of the people and also alternative medicine is taken into account to fight any diseases. Guarantees that not only vaccinations but also natural remedies will be considered and used. Guarantees that the decisions will not be judged and decided by the economic powers or by politicians. Guarantees for the sovereignty of the individual state and the individual as a human being, the respect of the human rights of each individual. The freedom of self-decision and also retroactive legal liability of government officials as well as pharmaceutical companies and their employees for side effects and effects also with their private assets.
General public	The principle substative element that must be considered before any further debate can follow is the WHO's right to instigate any action regarding pandemic preparedness. The WHO is an unelected body subject to the will of, and instructions issued by, the elected government representatives of UN member countries . It is not legitimate for the WHO to instigate any study of this nature and any recommendations that may be made must be subject to apporval or disapprovalby each sovereign nation.
Belgrade University	The principles of informed consent must be strictly abided by. Legal liability for injury and damages caused by any and all measures and treatments must be unequivocally enshrined in any such instrument. National parliaments must debate and consent to all measures. All contracts and agreements must be made available in full to public scrutiny. Financial interests of all actors must be full disclosed.
Independent	The principles of Nuremberg code should be included to ensure individual rights are respected and to prevent the abuse of authorities to violate an individual's God-given inalienable rights.
Self	The problem I have with the top down approach to public health and pandemic response is that it alienates local control. Local knowledge, which will trip up any team in any field is key to an effective pandemic response. Local control must be maintained in any pandemic response. National governments should never cede any control to the WHO in pandemic response.
Non	The problem is written in the words; International instruments…. This will create an organization that will be overreaching, power grabbing, powerhouse that somehow call the shots over the whole world.
Sovereign citizen	The problem isn't the threat of pandemic. Nature always provides and produces the necessary balance of life. The real culprit is the human desire for control and power. The past two years have shown anyone with average intelligence that the "leaders" are irresponsible and ineffective. Perhaps ordinary citizens like myself should begin investigating you. My job is to protect my family from threats and I consider the "health organizations" the greatest threat of all. If you decide to implement some scheme that supposedly ends the sovereignty of nations, states, and their people that will be viewed as aggression. Choose your course wisely.
None	The process must absolutely be democratic, meaning people in each country must vote to approve measures and the measures must pass by a super majority of at least 65%. Additionally, no one involved with approval of drugs or treatments should have any financial conflicts of interest and must not have worked for a drug manufacturer in the past. Lastly, the Gates Foundation should not be involved in anything because they have clear financial gains from pandemic responses.
Italian citizen	The process must be trasparent and driven by science only. The business interests of pharmaceutical companies and single governments/nations must not prevail. The measures cannot limit the rights of people to' move, work and refuse a sanitary treatment.
General public	The process should be open and transparent. Everyone should be able to vote on measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. All pharmaceutical companies should not be allowed to have any influence in any part of the process. Each country from national down to local communities should be able to reserve the right to make the best decisions for their own health.. Without any consequences, all nations should be able to opt out of all/any portions of the agreement.
None	The processes should be open and transparent, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, and include failsafe measures, and I believe national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is wise to allow nations/local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The measures should also NOT allow pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to influence in the process.
JFK21	The prompt provided by the WHO does not ask the people of the world whether or not they believe a global agreement is necessary. Instead, the organization has decided for itself that this measure is warranted and is asking for input on what people believe should be included in it. Therefore this prompt should be completely abandoned.
self	The proposal assumes there is no need for input by individuals and non-government organizations. "Coordination" among national governments and so-called non-aligned global organizations is always welcome; but the proposal to exclude from consideration any and all input by individuals and organizations that are not signatories to this WHO 'agreement' is arrogant and an aggregious example of the worst kind of authoritarian decision-making imaginable.
None	The proposal for a new international treaty with regard to pandemic management is unacceptable. It would override each governments constitution, in effect creating a one world government. Every country needs to handle their own affairs through their duly elected governments.
Medical society of Comox	The proposal for the WHO to assume increased influence and powers is unwanted, undeserved, and unhelpful. Medical practitioners should be the ones with inputs, not career bureaucrats. The track record (of the WHO) of wastage, failure and politicalization is enough evidence that this proposal should not proceed.
self	The proposal of this instrument is being supported by private interests which violates democratic principles and national sovereignties. In addition to this being a naked grab for power by vested private interests, the scientific basis of this attempt at establishing an international treaty is fraudulent in that it will allow definitions of "pandemics" using inappropriate and unapproved methods, such as the PCR test which has never been approved by a public scientific body as a valid method to test for viral infection. There can be no legal or binding international agreements that do not go through a public proposal and comment process for approval. In short, the proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. To support pandemic preparedness and responses there should only be a set of unenforceable guidelines and informational resources that are approved by a diverse and publicly recognized body of qualified individuals that best represent current medical science, and do NOT have ANY conflicts of interests, including association with the World Economic Forum, The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (including GAVI CEPI and other BMGF funded entities), pharmaceutical companies, or ANY related group or entity to such vested interests that have been profiting from the "COVID-19 pandemic" responses. There is no need for any international agreement as such.
none, private citizen of The Netherlands	The proposal should exclude any and all measures that limit the current rights and freedoms of sovereign nations' citizens, as determined by the WHO to fight any pandemic or crisis. It should only be limited to an advisory role or otherwise supportive. Instead, it should include the following points, as laid out by the world health council, which I fully agree with: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. A centralised approach to pandemics is less effective than decentralised. Just as science is not about one centralised authority, but on the findings of many. A universal truth does not exist. Only an approximation of findings. Thank you.
Individual	The proposal to control the world population without the world's consent is unacceptable. We need to know who these people are, what are their qualifications, what is their agenda, who they report to, how to keep them accountable, what if their decisions are wrong, what if they serve private interests. And what exactly they are proposing and what if we disagree with their decisions. How do you know what's in our best interests. Why is this being pushed so quickly, why no one is talking about it. Why now? The Covid pandemic is a perfect example of how dangerous this proposal can be. One pill cures all and one vax protects all does not work. An ineffective vaccine pushed on millions, did more harm than good. We need to be in control of our own health. Stop The Treaty!
own	The proposal to give the WHO power to enforce a global health mandate circumvents national sovereignty. The power to override a nation or the people would be anathema to just government as well as to situationally appropriate public heath responses. In regards to the proposal of an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
ASDA	The proposals must include a country's sovereign right to refuse any participation and that the human individual is sovereign. Every member of the planet must be able to opt out of this if they so wish. I would request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
UK Health Provider	The proposals of the WHO are based on issues already proven to be wrong and have been shown globally not to work. No single organisation should have global control or power. Nations should have their own pandemic plans as all nations are different. The proposals will cause immense harms to individuals globally, destroy lives and businesses, cause immense health issues such as undiagnosed cancer as we are seeing currently due to the proposed plans. The mental health issues the proposals of the WHO will cause long term issues, destroy lives and increase suicide. Kids lives will be destroyed, education lost a generation or more thrown to the wolves for some crackpot ideology that has been proven to cause more harm and deaths than they will ever save. Signing up for the WHO pandemic agreement will be the first step of destroying nations and must not happen. Forced or coerced vaccination programmes are unethical, people should choose it is their right. Forced vaccination is illegal and in contravention of the Nuremberg Code. Those who pushed this need to held to account. Decline, national plans must be the way forward as ignored for C19, no more lockdowns, no masks, no school and business closures should never be considered ever again.
World Citizen	The proposals presented are not only detrimental, unlawful, and shameful, but also ineffective and impotent to prevent what is claimed to be prevented. Unless there is an ulterior motive of control or the usurping of National, Personal, and Divine sovereignty then the proposals outlined are complete illogical and wrong. The audacity for this group to believe they have the ability and acumen to know what is best for the world is a disgrace. While actively making the current situation worse, the best next move would be to to stop interfering and stop being the cause of the problem. There are no rights, standing, or grounds for this proposal and it will prove meaningless and wasteful if passed and will not be successful.
private	The proposed "new international instrument" should not be considered at all. I request an international debate and or conversation to help you figure out more effective questions to begin your outreach. cheers
United States citizen	The proposed agenda envisions a world where WHO supersedes the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. This is totally unacceptable. Individual nations require autonomy to make their own laws and regulations and customs. They may cooperate with other nations when they share mutual goals and objectives and only then. WHO has no right to dictate any requirements to any nation. The mere suggestion is Abhorrent. FREE NATIONS. 🇺🇸
None	The proposed agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to individuals' sovereignty, autonomy, and God-given rights. The WHO should NOT have dictator-like power over every single human being on the planet. We are sovereign individuals who have autonomy over our bodies and lives.
None	The proposed agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. The People have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. Corporate entities do not serve the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The proposed agreement increases the power to declare poorly researched evidence, to impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the Will of the People. Since 1992 historically, the people have been failed. The UN Charter begins 'WE THE PEOPLE'. NOT We The Corporations ! During the Covid-19 chapter, lockdowns suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. Nuremberg 2.0 is fully operational. The evidence is in world wide. We the people need real people to lead us, people that understand us, people that listen to our needs and desires not those that simply put to fruition what they think is best.
Private	The proposed agreement is unnecessary. It is a threat to my sovereign human rights. The WHO should never have the right to declare an unjustified pandemic, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce, unsafe, untested, & expensive medical treatment on the people of this world. It is a severe violation of our human rights. The WHO has no right to take away the freedom of choice from the people of the world The WHO has no right to silence the voice of the people of the world. It is my freedom as a human to have & exercise my freedom of speech. No organisation has the right to decide on my health & the choices I make in this regard.
private citizen	The proposed agreement on pandemic preparedness in unnecessary and a threat to national and individual sovereignty. The WHO does not need any expanded power to implement measures similar to or more draconian than those the world has been subject to since 2020. You have not sought input for the need for such new guidelines; rather you have assumed updated guidance is called for. National and local leadership needs to retain the ability to opt out of such policies without repercussions, retaining full autonomy. Furthermore, no pharmaceutical interests should have any input into any WHO policies. Our rights as individuals supersede any WHO directives.
None	The proposed global pandemic agreement is unnecessary, each country should have sovereignty to manage any crisis because otherwise this could turn into a threat, increasing the risk to impose dehumanizing lockdowns.
Not Affiliated	The proposed Global Pandemic Treaty by the World Health Organization endangers the freedom and health of the men and women of the US. The men and women of the US are to maintain their sovereignty and freedom. There is no situation where these freedoms should be removed, even when emergencies exist. The WHO constitution states that the "informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." If you are to truly consider the informed opinion of the public, you will retract this Global Pandemic Treaty and continue to protect and ensure each person's health freedom.
None	The proposed Instrument is unnecessary and undemocratic. It has not been requested or approved by the citizens of any country. The proposal would irreconcilably threaten the ability of individual nations to dynamically manage public health matters with regard to their available resources, existing population health, culture, and other unique circumstances in each country. We saw in the most recent pandemic that a "one-size-fits-all" approach is neither appropriate nor effective as there are too many differences between nations for such approaches to be implemented. As such, under no circumstances should the WHO have such far-reaching powers . Any such powers must remain decentralized, i.e. in the hands of national and local bodies. The WHO should instead invest in high quality research to support nations as they make their own decisions. This would be a far more fair, feasible and effective way to proceed. The WHO website states that it's function is to "promote health, keep the world safe and serve the vulnerable". The proposed pandemic treaty is not needed for the WHO to fulfil the aforementioned aims. The WHO pandemic treaty should not proceed. O pandemic treaty should not proceed.
US citizen	The proposed international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is dangerous to the health and welfare of US and all other sovereign citizens. I believe that the US and every country needs to decide when an emergency exists, leaving health decisions to their own country. This new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is an unacceptable threat to US sovereignty. I believe that all national and local leaderships should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each nation and local municipality should be able to opt out of any and all portions without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability of all worlds and people to vote on, including ways to stop the agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. Importantly, there should be measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
independant consultant	The proposed International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should in no way allow the WHO to exert any sort of implementation or management powers globally. It whould recognize nations and communities of the world's absolute sovereignty in their management of pandemics. It should only be used in an advisory capacity, emiting recommendations on best practices. Ideally the "instrument" could facilitate the circulation of information and analysis regarding the varied types of responses and management strategies used in different regions and countires of the world, in order to assess their respective performances.
individual	The proposed measures are illiberal, immoral and have not gone through enough local consultation or scrutiny. It is simply undemocratic to apply these measures without more public awareness and discussion
Concerned citizen	The proposed pandemic measures are a breech of basic human rights as are the mandates that have occurred already. Every person on Earth has the right to refuse any medical treatment, that should never change and no discrimination should be encouraged to those whom decline. Perhaps we should be putting more time and effort into stopping the labrotories that are creating these virus's so that our next generations can enjoy the lives/freedoms we have up until 2020.
general public	The proposed pandemic preparedness treaty/agreement giving international power to the WHO threatens sovereignty, gives way to global control and puts individual countries and people at risk for harm. The WHO must not gain control of the world's health agenda. - The WHO is removed from knowing the unique situations in each country, is not held accountable to the people and therefore not positioned to act in their best interest. - The WHO receives funding from private sources and is susceptible to conflict of interest. The risk of duplicity is real and the consequence of betrayal to the people is dire. - The impact of the WHO's actions during covid caused devastation to families and brought the world economy to near standstill. Experimental vaccines, lock downs and other unproven, ineffective and detrimental measures were promoted while silence was kept on prevention and early treatment measures that could reduce the risk of severe illness. - The WHO continues to make questionable decisions. Covid vaccines cause serious adverse events and don't prevent infection or viral transmission. Vaccines will not end this pandemic. Evidence suggests natural immunity is more effective. Yet the WHO continues to promote vaccines and has moved ahead to create an international vaccine passport. For whose gain? It's not to protect our health. I oppose the proposed pandemic preparedness treaty/agreement. Individual countries must retain authority of their own country. Individuals must be free to make decisions affecting their body without external control or imposed consequences.
Pack and post	The proposed pandemic treaty is completely unnecessary. We need people and individual communities in charge of health policy. It is not a one sized fits all approach. Please vote against it.
NA	The proposed pandemic treaty will severely limit my medical freedom, human and national democratic rights. In any treaty to be passed the following should be ensured: 1) the Nations should have the right to chose the medical treatment for its people. 2) the local bodies / organization should be able to chose medical treatment for their people. 3) individuals should have the right to choose medical treatment for themselves Thank you
Awaken india movement	The proposed pandemic treaty will severely limit my medical freedom, human and na- tional democratic rights. In any treaty to be passed the following should be ensured: 1 the Nations should have the right to chose the medical treatment for its people. 2) the local bodies / organization should be able to chose medical treatment for their people. 3) individuals should have the right to choose medical treatment for themselves Thank you. I stand with #stopthetreaty
Private individual	The proposed plan is an abhorrent overreach by an unelected body that tramples on national sovereignty and individual human rights and must not be ratified.
n/a	The proposed rule is an assault on national sovereignty and individual liberty. WHO are not elected and neither represent nor are responsible to any countries. As with the current pandemic, the WHO should remain an independent body providing recommendations to nations of the world. This rule goes well beyond public health concerns and is act of aggression against all free peoples of the world. The only 'tool' the WHO should rightfully be allowed is that of persuasion.
	The proposed treaty is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It is a power grab by an organization rife with conflict of interest. It must not be allowed to pass!
We the people	The proposed treaty is a violation of human rights; the freedom of every individual to make their own decisions for their health.
MD	The proposed treaty is absolutely individual-and-national-sovereignty-destroying! The sweeping falsehoods and outright lies that guided the WHO response and mandates to covid--as we have found subsequently--were absolutely unneeded and had (still currently have) horrific consequences on every fundamental God-given right. A further-potential-limiting treaty is NOT necessary and MUST be avoided!
GaryMoller.com	The proposed Treaty is totally unacceptable since it overrides the sovereignty of states and the freedom of choice of the individual.
Na	The proposed treaty is unethical and unjustified, the jurisdiction of each state and individual must be respected. Any treaty must be subject to open referdum allowing citizens to accept or reject treaty in each state. The WHO has no jurisdiction to railroad treaty into existence it was never required past pandemics SARS, MERS, Bird Flu , Swine Flu. Please react the plan for the treaty the individual must be respected, the state must be respected please withdraw plans for the WHO treaty it has no basis for existence it must be voted by the people by referdrum and respective parliaments in each country.
individual	The proposed treaty should have NO substantive elements binding on democratically elected sovereign governments. The WHO must not be able to override the will of a nation's people. A nation's voting citizens must be able to vote for the government they want based on the policies and performance of the local politicians and have those elected local people make the decisions that will affect the citizens of that country. It is undemocratic and completely unacceptable for the WHO to attempt to assume power to overrule a national government. The treaty must not be allowed to go ahead. Thank you for withdrawing it or at least consulting first on whether there should even be a treaty.
Self	The proposed treaty strips individual governments of their rights to make health policy. It's a huge organizational overreach.
United States	The proposed treaty violates national sovereignty and puts unelected WHO bureaucrats in control of people's healthcare decisions. Not only is this a gross violation of national sovereignty, it also sets a dangerous precedent. People have a right to control their own personal healthcare choices and nations have a right to deal with medical problems in a way they see fit as a country. The WHO demonstrated it is NOT up to the task of informed decision making with this last pandemic. This treaty should not be ratified.
Personal	The proposed treaty would give undemocratic powers to an unelected organisation, which clearly has conflicts of interests. Furthermore, it would inhibit risk based decisions being made by individual countries, states and cities. The proposal should be discontinued.
McGill University (Full Professor)	The proposed treaty, if pursued at all, must: (1) define "pandemic" to include severity (not merely transmissibility) criteria, such that severity is measured by fatality and permanent disability, detected at a high level internationally both by and within nations and by and within the WHO itself; (2) forbid any international agency, including the WHO, from acting in any fashion that overrides or circumvents either national sovereignty or the principle of subsidiarity within nations; (3) discourage extended use of emergency powers; (4) discourage nations or regions from permitting public health authorities to take decisions encroaching on areas of the common life that lie outside their competence, for example in law or religion or the economy, or in specialized medical research and treatment; (5) reaffirm the Nuremberg Principles and preclude all forms of medical experimentation without fully informed consent; (6) recognize the principle of bodily autonomy, such that coercive measures are precluded, including mandatory vaccination and any treatment known or reasonably suspected to have serious adverse effects; (7) recognize the right to earn a living and attendant civil liberties during a pandemic; (8) contain transparency provisions that make it possible for publics to examine and contest the claims of those supporting special pandemic measures; (9) provide for special scrutiny of industries profiting from pandemic measures; (10) contain internal and external investigative provisions that make possible effective prosecution of anyone manipulating WHO decision-making, especially for purposes other than those clearly communicated to and agreed by the affected states and publics.
Bennor Engineering, LLC	The proposed UN treaty for pandemic response is a direct threat to the sovereignty of democratic representative based republics. The UN has a role is resolving national conflicts by protecting non-combatants and providing rescue and supply missions. This pandemic treaty is the result of the UN deviating from its original charter and is a bit concerning. It is concerning that a group of unelected individuals from around the world would ever think it would be ok to forcibly and without consent shutdown, lockdown a sovereign nation at the flip of a switch by vote in your assembly. I respectfully recommend that the UN step back from precipice on which you stand and review your original charter and mission. Thank you for your time.
None	The proposed WHO agreement for pandemic preparedness and response is unnecessary. It is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The impacts of forced COVID-19 controls has been devastating to society in many ways including many damaging financial and health outcomes. Qualified scientists and doctors do not always agree on how to address communicable diseases. Allowing open debate, dissent, and freedom for countries and individuals to make health and peaceful life choices for medical treatments is foundational for a free society. Sincerely, David J
CCCA	The proposed WHO agreement is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates.
personal submission	The proposed WHO agreement is a threat to sovereignty and is unnecessary, Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". If this treaty passes we will see many more of them, with more "vaccine" coercion that already violate the Nuremberg code. People must have the right to participate in ANY agreement that that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. The WHO has NEVER engaged in a process of public participation, its priority is more power for itself and its corporate cronies, not serving the interests of the people. Unacceptable criminal overreach has been demonstrated by the Covid pandemic measures for a virus that over 99% of us are in no danger from, especially children. Being the dictating "health authority" this attitude has resulted in government overreach worldwide with devastating results such as the ongoing supply chain interruptions that have caused death and suffering in some parts of the world. Vaccine injuries/deaths (more than 31 years of combined vaccines) from this coerced shot are underreported and censored. https://openvaers.com/covid-data The WHO is not independent and private corporations are driving this crime. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. We do not need an increase in the WHO's already abused power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, harmful, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. We need an open and transparent process based on the democratic process and respect for the people of the world. Please!
n/a	The proposed WHO agreement is a threat to sovereignty and is unnecessary, Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". The WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective.The C-19 experimental shot has already killed and injured more than 31 years of vaccines combined https://openvaers.com/covid-data The censorship around this is unacceptable. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio surveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contribution to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine alliance, contribute over $1 billion yearly. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. We do not need an increase in the WHO's already abused power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, harmful, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. "Vaccine" coercion violates the Nuremberg code. We need an open and transparent process based on the democratic process and respect for the people of the world.

Organization	Written submission
University of London	human rights and fundamental freedoms. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, dangerous, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. It breaches: Universal Declaration of Human Rights https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights European Convention on Human Rights https://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Convention_ENG.pdf International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Art. 7. https://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx Nuremberg Code https://www.ushmm.org/information/exhibitions/online-exhibitions/special-focus/doctors-trial/nuremberg-code United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child https://www.unicef.org.uk/what-we-do/un-convention-child-rights/ Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights https://en.unesco.org/themes/ethics-science-and-technology/bioethics-and-human-rights Declaration of Geneva https://www.wma.net/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Decl-of-Geneva-v2006.pdf Declaration of Helsinki https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/ Geneva Convention Arts 32, 147 https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Geneva_Convention
Bickers	The proposed WHO agreement is dangerous, illegal and unnecessary. It is contrary to human rights and fundamental freedoms. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. WHO has lost all credibility, can not be trusted.
n/a	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and a significant threat to the inalienable rights of the world's population. This monumental, sovereignty threatening agreement is being pushed through quietly while the world is distracted by latest crises. It seeks to vastly increase the WHO's powers to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lock downs, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the world's citizens. All people have the right to participate in any agreement or policy that affects their lives, well being, and livelihoods. The WHO seems intent on capturing power for itself, usurping the power of democratically elected, sovereign nations. The WHO, which receives significant private sector funding and is therefore in a perpetual conflict of interest, cannot and must not be allowed to control the world's health agenda. Public health is not a one-size-fits all program. All countries and their citizens must always be in complete control of their health. I vociferously object to the implementation of this agreement! I implore the WHO in the strongest possible terms to cease and desist all efforts to implement this agreement, or any other similar or related agreements, treaties, or policies, such as the pursuit of bio surveillance initiatives including vaccine passports, which represent tools to control the health and lives of the world's citizens.
Citizen of Canada	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and a significant threat to the inalienable rights of the world's population. This monumental, sovereignty threatening agreement is being pushed through quietly while the world is distracted by latest crises. It seeks to vastly increase the WHO's powers to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lock downs, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the world's citizens. All people have the right to participate in any agreement or policy that affects their lives, well being, and livelihoods. The WHO seems intent on capturing power for itself, usurping the power of democratically elected, sovereign nations. The WHO, which receives significant private sector funding and is therefore in a perpetual conflict of interest, cannot and must not be allowed to control the world's health agenda. Public health is not a one-size-fits all program. All countries and their citizens must always be in complete control of their health. I vociferously object to the implementation of this agreement! I implore the WHO in the strongest possible terms to cease and desist all efforts to implement this agreement, or any other similar or related agreements, treaties, or policies, such as the pursuit of bio surveillance initiatives including vaccine passports, which represent tools to control the health and lives of the world's citizens.
American Citizen	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and a significant threat to the inalienable rights of the world's population. This monumental, sovereignty threatening agreement is being pushed through quietly while the world is distracted by latest crises. It seeks to vastly increase the WHO's powers to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lock downs, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the world's citizens. All people have the right to participate in any agreement or policy that affects their lives, well being, and livelihoods. The WHO seems intent on capturing power for itself, usurping the power of democratically elected, sovereign nations. The WHO, which receives significant private sector funding and is therefore in a perpetual conflict of interest, cannot and must not be allowed to control the world's health agenda. Public health is not a one-size-fits all program. All countries and their citizens must always be in complete control of their health. I vociferously object to the implementation of this agreement! I implore the WHO in the strongest possible terms to cease and desist all efforts to implement this agreement, or any other similar or related agreements, treaties, or policies, such as the pursuit of bio surveillance initiatives including vaccine passports, which represent tools to control the health and lives of the world's citizens.
n/a	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and a significant threat to the inalienable rights of the world's population. This monumental, sovereignty threatening agreement is being pushed through quietly while the world is distracted by latest crises. It seeks to vastly increase the WHO's powers to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lock downs, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the world's citizens. All people have the right to participate in any agreement or policy that affects their lives, well being, and livelihoods. The WHO seems intent on capturing power for itself, usurping the power of democratically elected, sovereign nations. The WHO, which receives significant private sector funding and is therefore in a perpetual conflict of interest, cannot and must not be allowed to control the world's health agenda. Public health is not a one-size-fits all program. All countries and their citizens must always be in complete control of their health. I vociferously object to the implementation of this agreement! I implore the WHO in the strongest possible terms to cease and desist all efforts to implement this agreement, or any other similar or related agreements, treaties, or policies, such as the pursuit of bio surveillance initiatives including vaccine passports, which represent tools to control the health and lives of the world's citizens.
Private	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and a significant threat to the inalienable rights of the world's population. This monumental, sovereignty threatening agreement is being pushed through quietly while the world is distracted by latest crises. It seeks to vastly increase the WHO's powers to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lock downs, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the world's citizens. All people have the right to participate in any agreement or policy that affects their lives, well being, and livelihoods. The WHO seems intent on capturing power for itself, usurping the power of democratically elected, sovereign nations. The WHO, which receives significant private sector funding and is therefore in a perpetual conflict of interest, cannot and must not be allowed to control the world's health agenda. Public health is not a one-size-fits all program. All countries and their citizens must always be in complete control of their health.
self	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and a significant threat to the inalienable rights of the world's population. This monumental, sovereignty threatening agreement is being pushed through quietly while the world is distracted by latest crises. It seeks to vastly increase the WHO's powers to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lock downs, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the world's citizens. All people have the right to participate in any agreement or policy that affects their lives, well being, and livelihoods. The WHO seems intent on capturing power for itself, usurping the power of democratically elected, sovereign nations. The WHO, which receives significant private sector funding and is therefore in a perpetual conflict of interest, cannot and must not be allowed to control the world's health agenda. Public health is not a one-size-fits all program. All countries and their citizens must always be in complete control of their health. I vociferously object to the implementation of this agreement! I implore the WHO in the strongest possible terms to cease and desist all efforts to implement this agreement, or any other similar or related agreements, treaties, or policies, such as the pursuit of bio surveillance initiatives including vaccine passports, which represent tools to control the health and lives of the world's citizens.
None - Individual English Citizen	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and a threat to sovereignty and inalienable individual rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare pandemics, impose lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. Contrary to the declared policy of British Prime Minister, Boris Johnson, the United Kingdom must not participate in this agreement. The UK had a perfectly sensible Pandemic Strategy at the start of 2020. The government decided to abandon this, instead introducing lockdown of a healthy population, causing unjustified and massive collateral damage to individual health, businesses and our economy. The WHO must not be put in a position to be able to impose any such measures on the global population. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of such a global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. It has a perpetual conflict of interest because, beside its public funding, it also receives substantial funding from private interests, bringing them the opportunity to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the GAVI vaccine promotion alliance contribute over $1 billion annually.
57797 Rosenfors	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It expands WHO's crushing power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns and impose expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against people's will. stop it!
General public	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. People have the right to participate in any agreement that affects their well-being and the right to be in charge of their own livelihood. WHO have failed people by encouraging governments from around the world to lockdown during Covid-19 pandemic, suppressed early treatments and through lockdown, have prevented people from being in charge of their own lives. I'm deeply concerned that health professional and economist who have alternative views have been censored. There should always be open debate. There is a better way: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and unwanted by the people! It is against human sovereignty and inalienable rights. Unfortunately WHO has made too many past mistakes so a monopoly of control is dangerous. The WHO has ignored independent scientists, doctors and medical researchers. Caused more harm than good in many lands. Recently imposed unjustified pandemics, dehumanizing lockdowns and enforced expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of people. Each human is in charge of him/herself and must never be forced against will by medical tyranny or other, also parents, not WHO or governments, are in charge of children. This new proposal is anti-human rights and therefore worthless and evil. Good always triumphs over evil. The WHO has no rights over people.
Healthcare	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and unwanted. We, the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects our lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power rather than serving the interests of the people. It is a threat to individual countries sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. While the WHO receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. This should not be allowed. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective.
private citizen	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to Australian sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare pandemics, impose harsh lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the Australian people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being, through their democratically elected government. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO should not be permitted to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 pandemic as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. WHO, a global non elected organisation, is caught in a conflict of interest because it receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
Individual citizen	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to National and individual sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's unelected and unaccountable power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. There must be a mechanism protecting the rights of the minority opinion regarding decisions and actions affecting the sanctity of their own person. A means is needed that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I oppose this proposal.
privte citizen	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights to all peoples! People have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective! The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio-surveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. At a minimum, the following MUST be added to the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. Each country should lead on it's own health matters, one size never fits all as this pandemic has shown. I believe that all humans have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO is proposing to remove this, and capture more power for itself than serving the interests of the people. I have no confidence in the WHO as an organisation. It has shown itself not to be able to address pandemics successfully. During the Covid-19 pandemic, it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. All directives caused great and lasting harm, especially to younger people. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. The proposal should not be supported by any individual nation. The UK should actively oppose it.
Canadian	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. I did not vote for the WHO and the WHO will not be held democratically accountable on any of their policies. I have the right to participate in any agreement that affects my life, livelihood and well-being. I'm 100% against this agreement. Thank you, Tanya
Member of Steve Kirsch's Vaccine Safety Steering Committee	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare potentially unjustified pandemics, impose ineffective, economically-destructive lock downs, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio-surveillance. Doctors must be allowed to practice medicine and people must be allowed to have the right to freedom and informed consent.
Action4Canada Network	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
Vch	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year. Only 1 day to speak up against the WHOs pandemic treaty to stop it from passing first reading
Humanity	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year. I believe that people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid.
None	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year. I stand against this costly abuse of power and assert the sovereign right of people to make their own decisions, over and above what their representatives may want
NHS	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective.
N/A	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
Interested party	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
none	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WCH believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid.
Sollers Consulting	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I and my wife believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance.
My family	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance.
None	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WCH believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
An individual	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WCH believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year. I am against this "international instrument"!

Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates	[illegible]
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	[illegible]
United Republic of Tanzania	[illegible]
United States of America	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	[illegible]
Viet Nam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]
Total signatories	[illegible]

Organization	Written submission
Helena Vyletelova, private person	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective.The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio surveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. I also encourage WHO to learn more about principles, that protect the rights of men, women and children as The Siracusa Principles in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Right.
melvin counselling services	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. Forced vaccination is not democratic and if the WHO take over control of another pandemic we have lost our rights. I do not want to be controlled by a medical body which is unelected. There are many ways to protect our health and I prefer to retail sovereignty over my body. The psychological damage of such control, especially with an unelected body would be immense and dangerous. There are human rights and I believe in elected those who make decisions for me.
Medical Office	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and our inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. We believe that citizens have a right to participate in any agreement that affects our lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventative treatments and recommended injections that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year. We stand against this costly abuse of power and assert the sovereign right of nations over their own health.
None	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty. Elected National leadership MUST retain full autonomy over its own citizens where there is accountability to their electorate. National leadership must be free to make decisions best for their own people. We have seen the varied National responses to the COVID-19 pandemic which has clearly demonstrated that the WHO's response and demands were never the only option. The WHO encouraged lockdowns; encouraged mask wearing with no evidence of efficacy; suppressed potential preventative treatments, but recommended and pushed expensive, unsafe and now demonstrably ineffective treatments - neither "safe" nor "effective" . The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter and giving the WHO the power to control future global issues makes no sense in the face of this recent failure. People have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. This cannot be the case if an unelected, body has the undemocratic power to control response to future global issues and make demands against what might be the will of the people. The ability of nations and local government to opt out of any and all responses as they see fit must be retained, without consequence. We must have an open and transparent plan for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent any application of a "global agreement" where a majority of the people do not want it. We must be ensure that any measures suggested as a global response do not allow for undue influence by a group, organisation, pharmaceutical company or other "global health" influencers.
Self	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and it is a threat to each nation's sovereignty and individuals's inalienable human rights. The WHO as a global organization cannot dictates its edicts to the world. Each individual country is governed by their constitution and how they will deal with any pandemic within the framework of their laws. The WHO should only consider recommendations and best practices for any response to a pandemic.
Mental Health Care	The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, threatens sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's already excessive power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. People have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, but the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. In addition, the Who receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, which creates a conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
Member of the public	The proposed WHO agreement threatens the sovereignty and natural and inalienable rights of all people everywhere – this is 100% unacceptable. People must have the democratic right to have a say in matters affecting their lives and to not be dictated to. In light of this, I recommend - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, and their right to make decisions in light of what is best for their own people is fully protected. - Nations and localities have the right and opportunity to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process that enables all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The proposed WHO deal will allow the WHO to have more power by having the ability to announce pandemics that leave people unjustly locked away and severely coerce people into consenting to dangerous experiments on novel medicines.
N/A	The proposed WHO instrument will radically restrict national and local bodies from implementing measures appropriate for the individuals who live in each country/community. Nations must have the autonomy to make such decisions as they have far greater knowledge around local/population health, available resources, local culture & customs than the WHO. It is unnecessary and undemocratic for the WHO to attempt to supersede these bodies in the decision-making and planning around pandemic response at the national level. The WHO's role should primarily be an advisory one, from which nation states can gather information and data and tailor their response according to the unique circumstances of their own country and citizenry. A well-established body like the WHO should be well aware of the need for nuanced approaches, particularly in light of the most recent pandemic which affected every country in radically different ways, regardless of similar strategies being implemented. It would be naive and unscientific to introduce a one-size-fits-all approach such as the WHO Instrument being proposed here. The proposal should be abandoned. The WHO should instead focus on its duties in the areas of research, support, and providing evidence-based advice.
self employed	The proposed WHO Pandemic agreement is an unacceptable, unlawful overreach of power and should not be allowed to proceed .It is threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. Each country has the lawful right to respond to its people needs health and fully debated science without the undue interference of the WHO's agenda for control and profit. The proposal increases the WHO's suffocating, one size fits all centralized power to declare unjustified pandemics based on skewed science, impose dehumanizing lockdowns unsupported by science , and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The people of each country have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the health interests of the people. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people for eg. it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed science and early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding (1 billion) from private interests such as the Gates Foundation and Gates funded Gavi to influence & increase their profits.
Willowdance Wellness Centre	The proposed WHO Pandemic agreement is an unacceptable and unlawful overreach of power and should not be allowed to proceed. It a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. Each country has the lawful right to respond to its people needs health and fully debated science without the undue interference of the WHO's agenda for control and profit . The proposal increases the WHO's suffocating one size fits all centralized assumed power to declare unjustified pandemics based on skewed science, impose dehumanizing lockdowns unsupported by science , and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The people of each country have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the health interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed science and early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance.
Personal	The proposed WHO Pandemic Treaty agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people.
Individual	The proposed WHO pandemic treaty needs to enhance, not replace measures adopted by the national governments. Health care is not a "one size fits all" solution. Measures should be tailored to reflect the particular health concerns of any population group, such as the history of illness, allergies, or malnutrition that may influence the course of infectious diseases. WHO policies must not prevent the implementation of traditional therapies such as Ayurveda or Traditional Chinese Medicine for the treatment and prevention of infectious diseases. Member nations should have the right to refuse any treatments posing undue risks to their population groups or conflicting with deeply held religious beliefs. As such, the right of member countries to participate in proceedings and to opt out of any measures needs to be guaranteed. Finally, procedures need to be put in place to prevent private interest groups such as pharmaceutical companies and alliances from exercising undue influence that puts their profit interests above the health needs and concerns of any population group. A formal appellate procedure needs to be part of any treaty to assure that member countries are able to petition for the independent review of any decision made by the WHO.
None	The proposed WHO treaty is unnecessary and violates individuals inalienable rights. Control of health regulations should remain within each sovereign nation. The WHO is not a democratically elected body, therefore should not be given authority to dictate health response for individual countries.
None	The proposed WHO treaty removes power from the US and as such are dangerous to the health and welfare of all Americans including those at the highest level of our government. Americans health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not currently have power over the health decisions of Americans and other countries. If the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty, that would no longer be the case and we would be under their control whether we agree with them or not. They have proved to be ineffective in the response to the current crisis and have not been following the science behind many of their decisions; why would we trust that they would do better with ultimate control. Since the WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people," they do not need a Treaty that gives them ultimate power without holding them accountable for what they do. VOTE AGAINST THIS TREATY!
Natural live woman	The proposed you, the WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of all mankind. I believe that the all mankind have a right to participate in any agreement that affects our lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the mankind. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed mankind. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The so called covid-19 vaccine is not a vaccine but a gene therapy. WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to mankind it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation, the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $100 million plus. #STOPTHETREATY ASAP!
N/A	The proposition in it's entirety is a violation of all human rights and it's supposed necessity is based on fraudulent 'science'. The WHO has proven to be a failure of an organization in terms of promoting good health and should be disbanded completely.
Personal	The protocol to keep people safe should have nowhere in it about taking our freedom away. Shut down the borders to contain the pandemic, but our privacy, medical records and inform consent should not be taken away. Keep us free, that is what our founding Fathers sacrificed and fought for us so we would have freedom.
HCG	The provisions below should be a minimum requirement. They are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	the public have not voted for this and you are not are our elected people This is a attempt to override the rights of the rights of the people WHO treaties that remove power from the other country] are dangerous to the health and welfare citizens of that country]; All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US [and other countries] agree[s] to a legally binding pandemic treaty
Private citizen	The public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Different citizens, communities or countries have their own intrinsic specifics, culture, beliefs, scientific discourse and cabailities. The public health programmes should reflect this diversity. Citizens and and communities need to be in control of their health. Countries should be free to decide their own path to public health and institute their own health related legal frameworks based on the country's own constitution. This include the management of emergencies including pandemics or vaccination programmes. Hence, rulings by WHO should not supersede the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. Of course, international cooperation is desirable and should be facilitated. However, there should be no compulsion in implementing guidelines and any guidelines should have strictly an advisory role only.
private practice	The public in general have become aware of the pandemic narrative, something they will never forget. There is going to be global outrage against this organisation should you even consider this scenario. The people have had enough, if you persist, you are going to need endless security. the public will tolerate no more.
none	The public is largely fed up with destructive and useless mandates and will not tolerate additional mandates in the face of lots of information against them, vaccines and therapeutics, and the knowledge that we can achieve a lot more by protecting the vulnerable. Future mandates will likely not be met with acceptance and may make matters far far worse. Make evidence-based RECOMMENDATIONS but not mandates. Leave kids alone and do not add any mandates or restrictions to schools now that we know children have a higher risk of death from lightning strike than COVID. We are happier and healthier living with free choice and personal risk mitigation. Anyone who wants to mask can do so. We don't need anyone to tell us what to do anymore like we are children. Do NOT reimpose mandates as it will destroy already-eroded trust in government and our public health system and cause irreparable harm. Things to include: -public health education -transparent reporting of cases, transmission, hospital status, cause of death, risks/benefits to treatments and/or vaccinations -allow the economy to thrive -allow children to learn, socialize, and remain wholesome developing persons -free choice -allow doctors to be doctors -remove unlimited states of emergency
Human	The question about the matter to be commented is not which elements are required for a global "government". The core of the matter is that we DON'T need and surely DON'T want any global solution for the problems that organisations such as the WHO are creating. Just leave us in peace. The WHO and the most governments are not even capable of addressing local problems, zero trust on them solving any global problems (at least in interest of the people)
	The question assumes that a new instrument is indeed required and I would ask whether this is true. However assuming that it is indeed required, the foremost point should be that of autonomy. Since matters of health are never one size fits all, flexibility is the key here. A protocol for one country may be detrimental for another, therefore it is important that national and local leaderships retain full autonomy regarding health legislation. This can further be extended to the individual level. Government bodies are unable to decide specific health requirements of individuals, and it is therefore extremely important that people are free to make their own health choices. On that specific point, a good international instrument may be a way for the WHO to communicate information to local governments so this is uniform across the world. However it should still be left to local and national governments as to how this information is passed down and executed. This should lead to communication transparency so that national/local governments and individuals are fully informed, whilst simultaneously provided the unequivocal and inalienable option to not participate or opt out without consequence. Regarding the communication and decision making processes within the WHO, stronger communication requires safeguards to ensure that third party interests such as corporate interests are not served above the health needs of participating countries. An open and transparent process that would allow the peoples of the world to participate and even vote on health measures overriding corporations would be greatly beneficial. Many thanks for the opportunity to participate in this discussion.
Resident of Canada	The question given is presumptuous. The right question is, "Should there be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I say an emphatic "No." I do not need an unelected board intruding into my life and taking away the sovereignty of the nation I live in. People are responsible for their own health, and not the health of others. Why should I be responsible for my neighbour who does everything to sabotage his health from not exercising, becoming massively overweight to the point of morbid obesity from over eating, eating garbage fast food, consuming all the artificial preservatives, chemicals, flavors and stabilizers that has poisoned our food supply thanks to greedy corporations. If I am to be personally responsible for the health and well being of someone else, then I shall take control of their bank accounts, and I shall determine what they will eat or not eat and I shall dictate what time they must go to bed, what time they must get up in the morning, how much TV they get to watch, and how they are to spend their free time exercising and how intensely they must exercise to my satisfaction. Only then, will I be confident in the ability of another to stay alive. Until then, I will remain operating under the banner of freedom and independence and I shall make decisions for myself and my family and I shall be responsible for my own health and will rely on my own immune system to keep me alive and safe from any pandemic. I shall not require the services of the government or the media to tell me how to stay alive. Government's job is not to keep me healthy from a disease. Government is to defend my liberty. So, I say to the WHO, stay out of my business and stay out my life. Thank you
Human	The question is limiting the scope of the objective comment I would like to give. However, as you suggested that it only a "first" question in a raw: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people (having in mind specifically ethnic, predisposition, geographic and other important factors) ; 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without negative consequence (such as penalties or other obligations) ; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures (which are out of spectrum, proportion, perspective and above all - reasoning) that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Note: given the actual structure of your budget - 80% financing from volontaire structures, such as NGOs, pharmaceutical companies and etc, voting on text or new treaty should be completely different as a principle, so that it does represent the real voting by member country, while not allowing personal or investors economic interest to prevail. The latter is mandatory in case you want to keep functioning as a global organization.
Alchemy Therapies	The question is not what should be included but IF we need another global policy instrument at all! We cannot fight a war against viruses. They will always be ahead of us as they are biologically programmed to do. We should seek instead to bolster natural immunity in the population by public health measures such as vitamin D supplementation, fostering supportive communities and clean air, soil and water for our planet. This is the ONLY way forward that will ensure human survival longterm. any other policy is doomed to failure and should be seen as the profit driven folly it is. We the people have the right to a future for our children free of pharmaceutical interests and unnecessary and ineffective lockdowns.
WIRED Camera & Stunt Flying Systems	The question is posed in a way that this is going to happen but it shouldn't. Each country is a Sovereign nation with the citizens themselves having their democratic rights usurped by this 'instrument'. This is dangerous as it is evil judging by the global Covid response.
AgeofAutism.com	The question is slanted to what additional powers the WHO should be given when we have all witnessed two years in which governments have over-reached themselves in destroying their civil liberties, their social and economic infrastructures - most often governments failed to consult internally with people of competence. It is by no means clear what the WHO has done to demonstrate its omni-competence and proposals to grant it authority to shut down countries even on suspicion of new infections present far too wide a remit. It is most disturbing that the WHO while arrogating these powers will simply be the proxy of global corporate interests such as the pharmaceutical industry, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the ID 2020 Alliance, which no one ever voted for and are widely disliked and mistrusted for the excellent reason that beyond their commercial rapaciousness they are quite unaccountable, not to mention authoritarian. It does not follow that simply by the obtaining of wider powers that these will be used effectively for traditional humanitarian purposes, while the power-based nature of the enterprise more than hints of precisely the opposite. The project should be abandoned.
ifk	The question itself is misleading. You should first ask: "Should WHO have a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Well first of all: WHO has shown itself to be directed by the medical industry, and as such WHO has removed itself from the scene as a valid player. Secondly: What just happened during the so called pandemic is so crazy that I still have to pinch myself in my arm. So: What substantive elements should be included in a treaty: The right of freedom to say NO. Greetings Christian Aagaard
N/A	The question on what substantive elements should be in this treaty, does not address that this threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am therefore opposing it's implementation at all, unless it is to protect such rights. The WHO should not have jurisdiction over any persons from any countries. The response to the Covid pandemic has been frightening in the way that expert doctors and scientists have been censored, and smeared on the promotion of suitable safe and effective treatments etc that have been banned in many countries, with instead severe coercion to take an experimental vaccine resulting in thousands of deaths and injuries, in a global push to see the pockets of Big Pharma profit. Any agreement should make clear; prohibition of donors to the WHO profiteering from pandemics, including the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. It is now well known this was an engineered virus made in a Biolab, with a huge push to continue to boost with this dangerous treatments that neither stops transmission or infection. Nanotech has been found in all the main vaccines, with many scientific reports showing this to be the case all around the world. The head of, and advisor to the WEF make it clear that the human is now a hackable animal, and are promoting transhumanism. Their website mentions Covid as an opportunity to enhance us genetically. The WHO has millions of injuries recorded on their website, and has ignored this damage, and alarming nanotech findings so can not be trusted. So, any treaty would need to have strict rules to make sure that injuries, deaths and any discovery of undisclosed dangerous ingredients from any treatment, require its halt asap. Also, enshrine in law the Nuremberg Code.
International Academy of Oral Medicine & Toxicology	The question posed by the WHO is NOT correct since it presupposes that a global response by the WHO to a pandemic is necessary. It is NOT. It has become apparent from an analysis of the data from the COVID-19 pandemic that the measures touted by the WHO (vaccines, masking, social distancing and lockdowns) did not provide any general benefit to humanity. In fact, the data suggests those individuals who were at risk (i.e. elderly, obese, diabetic, smokers and immunocompromised) may have actually suffered greater long-term damage and higher death rates due to implementation of these scientifically unsupported measures. The WHO's disorganized and unscientific response to the COVID-19 pandemic makes it clear that it is in NO way qualified nor capable of handling a global response to another pandemic.
None	The question posed by the World Health Organization assumes that a global pandemic measure is warranted, but no reasons for implementing this measure have been presented. It is of concern that the proposed global pandemic agreement could give the World Health Organization undemocratic rights over sovereign peoples. It is therefore most important that nations entering into the proposed agreement retain full autonomy and the right to make decisions concerning their own people. It is also important that the agreement should include an opt-out clause. In particular, in the case of New Zealand, sovereignty and independence are based on the Declaration of Independence (He Whakaputanga) of 1835 and the Treaty of Waitangi (Te Tiriti O Waitangi) of 1840; these must take precedence over any pandemic agreement.
n/a	The question posited makes the assumption that a global agreement is even necessary, to which I answer a resounding 'No, one is not needed'. I believe it is up to both national and local leadership (lawfully chosen by the people they represent) to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I feel it mandatory that nations and local municipalities are retained the ability to opt out of any and all portions of an international agreement put forth by the WHO as they see fit, without consequence., must be open and have a 100% transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The question presupposes a treaty as a foregone conclusion. I disagree with the notion and set up of an international pandemic treaty however, should it go ahead, I believe the following inclusions are necessary: 1. Inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, 2. Respect for human rights according to the UN Human rights charter as well as those of each nation state, 3. Public health response measures which have been tested over decades to be implemented (e.g. promotion of hand washing, healthy eating, exercise, adequate sleep) 4. Public health response measures known to be detrimental to be banned (e.g. general population using face masks, mandates for medical treatment, lockdowns, digital ID for tracing) 5. Legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies 6. Legal liability for media to report factually. 7. Enshrined freedom for medical researchers, doctors and other qualified people to discuss openly without fear of loss of reputation, loss of income, smear campaigns, deregistration and other forms of bullying and coercion 8. Organisations of influence which present modelling for decision makers to be held liable for gross inaccuracy. 9. A clear and simple pathway for nation states which wish to exit the treaty at any time.
Not affiliated	The question should be "should there be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, and if so, what should it contain?" There should not be any new instruments. If there were to be a true global pandemic, the populace at large would self isolate with no intervention from any governmental organizations. As long as I have been alive, there has been a new pandemic scare every few years. I do not want our children to grow up in a world where they have to carry proof of their latest forced vaccinations with them everywhere they go or get forced into quarantine camps or any other insane powers that might be considered. They should have the same rights and freedoms we had. That is, the ones we had up until the year 2020, when government overreach started to reach its peak. There doesn't need to be any more authoritarian powers granted and enforced. We do not need to have a world living under medical tyranny.
Private	The question should be if there should be a Treaty. Answer: no. Since that is not an option, there should be guarantees of choice and NO overreach by the governments/institutions/bodies.
Animal Feeds	The question should be why the WHO thinks that such a treaty is necessary? Substantive Elements 1 Any measure should be advisory and it is essential that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 The agreement should not be mandated and it should allow nations to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3 An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4 There needs to be substantive measures that prevent the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5 A full breadth a scientific evidence should be used to back up any recommendation 6 Unverified Modelling should not be used. 7. Political pressure should not have any place in decision making. 8.Rigorous trials need to be used for any vaccines / treatments advised
Educate. Advocate.	The question treats the eventual existence of the legislation as an a priori assumption, the question is what should be included in the treaty, not should the treaty exist at all. If the question were should the treaty exist at all; our answer would be a resounding no. However, if the WHO is intent on this treaty then items that should definitely be included are: --guarantees on state and individual sovereignty --respect for human rights --legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies --and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Private Citizen	The question you have posed is biased and assumptive. In short there should be NO single instrument ON pandemic preparedness and response - certainly not one with any authoritative power over sovereign nations. Each nation should have the right to determine its own preparedness and response strategy. This should be prepared and approved by elected officials of that nation. A global body is not needed in this case. Where a global body may serve some purpose is to investigate where nations do NOT follow their own preparedness and response strategy as was the case in the United Kingdom where all prior planning was discarded in favour of a brutal and unnecessary lockdown / vaccination for all approach. The adverse effects of this will be felt by the UK people for generations.

Organization	Written submission
Doctor intensive care unit	The ratio between harm and benefit has to be key. Vaccinating side effects versus no harm for people with a normal immune system is criminal. We learned that mRNA vaccines can potentially harm more than they help. Transmission can be managed by masks and gloves and clothing in an environment with vulnerable old people and immune suppressed patients. In the case of a future lethal virus (covid19 has never been more than 1 percent lethal) we need attenuated virus vaccines that expose the whole virus including core antigens to the immune system. Not genetic engineering with only surface antigens. We need individual care standards according to each person's medical history and sufficient training and staff. We cannot achieve herd immunity with a rapidly mutating virus even if every individual were vaccinated simultaneously worldwide, even animals, which is impossible, and with this specific virus we don't need to. Prepare by investing in what we have and know already. Monoclonal antibodies and dialysis won't help enough against the MRNA damage
no	The real question in NOT, how a international pandemic treaty should look like. There should not come such a treaty into existance. This is a threat to human rights and dignity of each single person on this planet. Simply said NO to any such contract.
Multiple Environmental Organizations	The recent "pandemic" was not a viral plague. It was an organizational contest for a more unified control of the planet. The mandates established were not supported by science and ineffectual. The drugs proffered as safe and effective were neither. Currently the single largest population group representing a threat to public health are now those who have been fully "vaccinated". The WHO; is a self described global, unifying organization ~ promoted these dangerous and ineffective drugs ~ did not promote any treatments that were not under patent ~ and promoted an astonishing, unnecessary volume of death and harm. When a unified organization demonstrates incompetence it is the entire planet that suffers. Therefore is it my position that the WHO be limited to recommendations only. No treaty or similar obligations can be constructed or be obligatory.
None	The recent outbreak of the relatively harmless flu-like pathogen called covid 19 and the unprecedented reaction to it from previously sensible governments worldwide should make the WHO ashamed and in a sane world lead to wholesale redundancies, if not trials for negligence. Obviously if a similar condition begins then early therapeutics should be sought. The Health authorities should give clear information ASAP about the expected mortality rate and should not be in the business of alarming the general population . Under no circumstances should medical interventions be mandated or in any way enforced by law. People should be encouraged to exercise, ear healthily and live as freely as possible.
Commonwealth	The recent 'pandemic consisted of so much false information coming from politicians, medical professionals, mainstream media and corporations. As a result, many actions taken that were completely unnecessary and in fact caused much more harm to people than the virus itself. Sweden showed us that it was possible to let the virus spread throughout a society with a very high survival rate. With the mandated vaccines the nuremberg code has been ignored and as a consequence most who have taken the covid jab have irreversible and permanent damage with the experimental jab. For all future pandemics we cannot trust our leaders and so a one size fits all approach to deal with it is highly inappropriate as too many mistakes were made in this 'pandemic.' The WHO has done nothing to earn back any trust and faith of the many people worldwide who know the truth, so you do not have the right to override our constitutions. TRUST is everything and you have to earn it and the WHO and governments worldwide have not earnt it. I'm now sitting here as a safe, healthy pureblood because I had the sense not to trust most people in power over the last 2 years.
unaffiliated	The recent pandemic demonstrated the ineffectiveness of international action and NPIs in positive health outcomes and trust in such approaches is justifiably evaporating. The SARS-COV-2 virus spread rapidly across borders despite the imposition of harsh and damaging curtailments. Fear was deliberately amplified to obtain compliance to ideological rather than rational measures. Jurisdictions which did not impose these irrational and scientifically unsupported measures have recovered whilst others struggle. The WHO showed itself unworthy of the trust placed in it as evidenced by its hasty and damaging NPIs and its willingness to reverse its own long established guidelines in the face of external political and commercial pressure. If the WHO wishes to rebuild trust then it needs to behave as honourably in the future as it has in the past: To offer health guidance only after a full scientific debate and such guidance to include dissenting views no matter how politically inconvenient; To eschew all commercial and political interests and to be crystal clear about funding sources that might constitute a conflict of interest; To focus its effort on health issues and health issues only.
N/A	The recent Pandemic has demonstrated that Democracy and democratic processes and true science and advice have been compromised by powerful economic interests with disastrous consequences to the lives, health, and well-being of millions of people, families, communities, small businesses, and livelihoods. This must never happen again. In any Global agreement, the following is essential: - It is imperative that national and local leaderships retain their full autonomy, and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and not be compelled to implement policies placed on them by outside bodies. - Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - There must be an open and transparent process in place with the ability for all people of the world to have a vote. There should be guaranteed fail-safe measures established that will prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures must be established that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, powerful non-elected foundations, and their Philanthropists or other global health profiteers.
Na	The recent pandemic response has shown that a unified approach is not necessary. For instance Sweden took an alternative stance and coped well, despite WHO pressure to take alternative action. However, should the WHO wish to produce a global response framework then it must be in the form of guidance only. Sovereign nations must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Measures must be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In the event of any future pandemic there should be an immediate task force created to investigate repurposing existing cheap and effective drugs instead of waiting on new treatments to be created. Finally, there should be no requirement that any form of medication should be taken by any person, for any reason - including but not limited to medication required for travel, work, going to school, shopping etc.
Church of Holy Wisdom	The recognition of individual as well as state sovereignty in making medical and other decisions should be a key componant. International bodies such as WHO should provide guidance but should not attempt to override an individual's judgment with regards to their own bodies and needs.
Individual	The regulations and procedures must be public, transparent and open to unredacted audits by any country that is affected' BEFOR the policy is enforced. It should also be open to public scrutiny and discussion due to the fact that censoring is a candle flicker to the sun of truth. Only after audits, review, and discussion is it up to the individual country to decide if they want to participate and only then can they ask citizens to agree. The forcing and segregation based not on actual health or infection but vaccination status is outrageous and damaging to individuals and society with no scientific benefit. Treat sick individuals and inform everyone with preventative procedures and best treatments. That is how you will prevail.
General public	The response and guidance to the current COVID-19 pandemic has been different than to any other pandemics before. WHO should concentrate only on general information, WHO should not have the power to do forceful guidelines over nations own guidelines. WHO task should remain only information.The power should remain in every country itself, we do not need global response, pandemic responses should remain in the country's own national level.
GAA	The response by any nation to any future virus must be left to that nation to decide it's own course of action. The response by the WHO to Cov19 was disgraceful, lockdowns did nothing to reduce the spread but instead destroyed economies and the advice on early treatments cost thousands of people to die unnecessarily. Governments have been elected by the nation's people and is therefore democratic. WHO has unelected beaurocrats that do not have the best interests of a nation at heart.
Independent commenter	The response should be based on cooperation and `openness' in exploring effective approaches, not mandating them or rigidly enforcing a circumscribed model. Some important lessons learned from the 2010 prematurely determined `pandemic' were almost wholly disregarded such as agreements of partners to publicise any seriously advanced remedy for universal consideration. No entity that profits from a declared pandemic should be directly or indirectly involved in influencing a decision–making process. Penalties must be clear and enforced. Transparency must be structured in and supervised. If there is one mandated `solution package' then successful experiments like Sweden, Slovakia, Uttar Pradesh, etc., using different social models and/or effective early treatment models could not have taken place. A top-down approach ignores the lessons of Systems Biology and the recognition of the biological principle of Diversity, which is critical to survival and must be referenced, as per above examples. To ignore these principles, as we have done to a large extent, could be catastrophic. This intrinsically makes a limited system (intellect) have authoritative control over something far larger than and pragmatically chaotic to it. This is evident in many outcomes including unexpected waning vaccine effectiveness, degree of vaccine harms that are becoming more and more undeniable and urgent, resistance to the recognition of and the cultivation of natural immunity (e.g. with early treatment and prophylaxis) and many other response phenomena. It also makes decisions subject to bias that is not recognized, due to psychological processes of groupthink, peer pressure, etc.
Individual	The response should ensure the following key points are adhered to: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Heilpraktikerpraxis	The response to a pandemic must be in the hands of each country. Under no circumstances should the WHO or any other organization make these decisions. They belong in the hands of the respective governments and the people.
University of Geneva	The response to a pandemic should be focused on the use of inexpensive repurposed drugs.
None	The response to a pandemic should be made by local people, NOT by the WHO or any international organization. The individual should be able to make his or her own decision of how to respond to a so called pandemic. Free expression of ideas and should be allowed to debate if there is indeed a pandemic. No censorship of social media should be allowed. The WHO should be transparent with all data and share everything with the public.
n/a	The response to a pandemic should be to collect and provide all data to citizens of the globe. There should NOT be any lockdowns or mandates suggested. It should be up to the individual to decide what precautions to take based on their risk tolerance with all the data available.
None	The response to any and all pandemics should be managed locally in coordination with other affected populations. There should be no global organization with the power to dictate restrictions, treatments, regulations or restrictions on any country or population. The WHO does not have a monopoly on the proper handling of a pandemic and the last two years have demonstrated that there are many roads to success in handling such an outbreak. Simply, the WHO should not develop an "international instrument" of any kind. Thank you.
Nea	The response to any crisis/emergency situation should be in the hands of the individual governing bodies. There may be a best practice or SOP that would be used as a guide. Each sovergn nation and or state should maintain the power to decide what is best for it's own people. There's never a one size fits all in such situations. That would give too much control and power to one group. That situation always tilts toward tyranny and that NEVER has a positive outcome, at least not for the individual citizens.
None	The response to pandemic has been a total botch. More people have died from mRNA vaccines than have been saved (see excess deaths skyrocketing and not explained by Covid). The reason for the problems is regulatory capture. Doctors have not been permitted to trial cheap alternative treatments due to top down regulation that has been captured by big pharma. Big pharma has censored, banned cheap alternative treatments. The more legislation that passes that concentrates health decisions in fewer Government organisation the easier it will be for Pfizer to run economies into the ground to run up their own profits. Any international response should be bottom up ie. clear communication between front line doctors so they can share what works without fear of repercussions from big pharma stooges. It should not be top down. Unless of course you want a thoroughly pissed off populace and violent revolution (imagine how they will all feel when they learn that we have killed more children through mRNA vaccine related clots than saved from Covid).
Self	The response to the 2020-2022 pandemic was abhorrent. Not only were people forced to comply with measures that were completely against their own personal health practices, but they were unsubstantiated as well. Measures such as wearing a mask in public places, 8 hours a day if they work in a service job, and keeping distant from friends and family. Masks have proven to be ineffective and are in many cases detrimental to the health of the wearer, especially in long durations; and we are now seeing the negative effects mask wearing has had on children as their cognitive and behavioral responses are significantly delayed. Keeping distant from friends and loved ones is also detrimental to the mental health of a person as humans are social beings and we need to socialize regularly. The same applies to vaccinations and requirements for work and travel. The vaccination program has proven to be ineffective as vaccinated persons are now more likely to get "Covid-19" according to several governments' data. It is a basic human right to have free will and choice, especially when it comes to health. The element that should and will be included in this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response shall be just that : FREEDOM TO CHOOSE WHAT IS BEST FOR YOURSELF WHEN IT COMES TO YOUR OWN HEALTH.
individual	The response to the Covid 19 pandemic was very damaging. Mask mandates harmed in many ways, one was to our babies - Ofsted reported "delays in babies and children's development and some have struggled to respond to basic facial expressions". It must be recognised that lockdowns kill. Suicides, domestic violence, missed treatments, trauma and poverty all lead to huge loss of life. How can we condone actions that are killing people in their droves and by the Government's own admission will continue to kill people for at least the next five years?" There is no significant difference in the results between lockdown or no lockdown around the world and many documents show this, like the Lancet. "In our analysis, full lockdowns and wide-spread COVID-19 testing were not associated with reductions in the number of critical cases or overall mortality. The yellow card system & VAERs show huge numbers of vaccine related injury from all the Covid 19 vaccines. So what should be included is making sure that the body autonomy of all is respected and no coercion is used regarding any drugs/medicines/vaccines and making sure the human rights and freedoms of all are protected and not infringed on by harmful lockdowns and questionable mask mandates.
None	The response to the Covid 19 pandemic was very damaging. Mask mandates harmed in many ways, one was to our babies - Ofsted reported "delays in babies and children's development and some have struggled to respond to basic facial expressions". It must be recognised that lockdowns kill. Suicides, domestic violence, missed treatments, trauma and poverty all lead to huge loss of life. How can we condone actions that are killing people in their droves and by the Government's own admission will continue to kill people for at least the next five years?" There is no significant difference in the results between lockdown or no lockdown around the world and many documents show this, like the Lancet. "In our analysis, full lockdowns and wide-spread COVID-19 testing were not associated with reductions in the number of critical cases or overall mortality. The yellow card system & VAERs show huge numbers of vaccine related injury from all the Covid 19 vaccines. So what should be included is making sure that the body autonomy of all is respected and no coercion is used regarding any drugs/medicines/vaccines and making sure the human rights and freedoms of all are protected and not infringed on by harmful lockdowns and questionable mask mandates. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
Rogers	The responsibility of health has to remain in the individual's hands. No government agency can decide for everyone. Everyone is a unique set of problems that there can only be personal and individual decisions. The Government and controlling powers need to focus on jobs and individual health care without making decisions that effect everyone.
Nil	The responsibility of pandemic preparedness and response should never be held by a singular organisation. One size doesn't fit all. Countries have different circumstances that can effect their response to a pandemic. This responsibility should not be undertaken by WHO.
N/A	The results of the WHO's response to the covid pandemic provides clear evidence that each country must retain the right to determine its own response to any future health crisis. The lockstep international response to covid did considerable harm and did nothing to stop or slow the spread. In fact, beyond the suicides, drug overdoses, etc. that resulted from the two years of lockdowns, masking and vaccination mandates, all we have seen are new variants and more hospitalizations and deaths, and a complete loss of confidence in the health system. Any reduction of individual country's sovereignty is further a gross violation of human rights and democracy. No unelected and unaccountable body has the right to dictate public health policy or to mandate restrictions on individual freedoms.
n/a	The right and obligation of signatories to: -address all concerns no matter how trivial they may seem to officials. i.e. Listen to people as if their opinion mattered, not dismiss them. -regularly re-examine what assumptions are being made and what absolute 'basics' are taken as a given. For example, is there actually a pandemic? How do we know that? Even if the answer is always the same, the very fact that this is being genuinely looked at will give the public more confidence.
Private	The right for each person to choose, without coercion. No organization should be allowed to dictate a blanket set of rules over their or other countries citizens.
Individual	The right for Governments to determine what is appropriate for their nation state must be central to this treaty. The WHO has not been elected by citizens of countries and therefore does not have the power to dictate how the lives of those citizens will be governed.
private	The right for health mandates should remain in thr power of national governments.
Independent	The right of Any Nation to set its own health policy and respect its own sovereignty in these matters. Pandemics are not only of a biological nature but also of a political nature as we have well seen. Such a treaty would have overreaching powers over Sovereign governments. One-size-fits-all Clearly does not work within the parameters of both biological and political aspects that have to be addressed in a pandemic. It would be too easy to misuse.
N/A	The right of each individual to opt out of any measures the WHO wish to put in place, needs to be respected, otherwise this is simply a fascist totalitarian takeover dressed up as a public health concern.
N/A	The right of every human being to be responsible for their own health must be preserved. A single organization should not be allowed to apply a 'one size fits all' directive over all citizens.
US citizen	The right of every person on this earth , to decide and determine which drugs, injections. treatments , and to accept or reject any/all of these , must be respected . No one, no government, at any level - and certainly not the WHO , has the right to interfere with anyones bodily sovereignty , for any reason , at any time . Period
None	The right to an individual's body autonomy must be honoured at all cost; there should be no measures which influence, coerce or force the taking of any pharmaceuticals and/or the undergoing of any medical procedures; for any reason no matter the deemed emergency. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Full, un-redacted, disclosure of all data, medical or otherwise, that is used in making any decisions, including full disclosure of all sources of the data and any conflict of interest, especially financial. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Every participant involved in the decision to declare an emergency or in any decision to be made during such an emergency must undergo frequent financial audits to prove they are not being influenced; and if they are the findings must be made public and they must be removed from any decision making immediately and in perpetuity.
Individual	The right to bodily autonomy and individual choice should be the substantive elements included, anything other than that would be authoritarian overreach.
lomundalex	The right to bodily integrity and integrity must not be infringed upon. Nuremberg codes stands above all restrictions. individual freedoms and fundamental rights must not be infringed upon or weakened.
Individual	The right to determine one's own life and above all one's own health is inalienable and cannot be usurped on the basis of a vague notion of 'collective' wellbeing. It is for the collectives themselves to determine the course of policies. As such, local as well as national communities are better positioned to respond to their immediate needs than a distant, bureaucratic, centralised system adopting a blanketed, one-size-fits-all approach. Not only is this a recipe for disaster. It is also profoundly undemocratic and authoritarian. The WHO is not a legitimate democratically elected body and it is not being entrusted by the citizens of any nation to deliberate on their behalf. No ' NEW' international treaty is needed nor wanted that supersede national Governments. Instead return to localised responses that take into account all the variables that make each country unique in its own right.
private individual	The right to full autonomy at local and national level needs to be retained to enable authorities to maker decisions based on what is best for their own people Countries should be able to opt out of any and all agreements as that country seems fit, as enabling a small group to determine the direction of a medical intervention is without doubt a violation of a nations and communities right to self determination and to base decisions on the demographic within that community , This is also leaves open a direct threat to learned and impartial judgements through pressure from pharmaceutical companies, to unduly influence and coerce a judgement via financial incentives, which would be wholly unethical and call into question the morality of said company and the directors. Public health is not a one size fits all and as we all know we have seen the vast amount of adverse reactions that have arisen from the current crop of covid 19 vaccines, which do actually not provide proper immunisation as one would expect.
None	The right to full bodily autonomy needs to be enshrined in the WHO pandemic treaty. Nowhere in the world should people be forced or coerced into getting a vaccine. The individual member states' constitutions need to be respected, no international body should be able to override them. Countries' leaderships at all levels should be able to opt out of any portions of the treaty. Basic human rights need to be enshrined. These points are vital for the treaty to be accepted by people, to be seen as being a democratic treaty.
Humanity	The right to health is one of a set of internationally agreed human rights Freedoms include the right to control one's health and body..and to be free from interference (for ie, free from torture and non-consensual medical treatment and experimentation). The WHO should only give recommendations truly based on scientific evidence and not pharmaceutical interests. Other than that, they should have no authority in governments or people's lives.
Public	The right to make decisions should reside with National and Local leaders with full autonomy. This should be based on what is best for the people. Local municipalities and indeed nations as a whole should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence Any companies or individuals that profit from global health initiatives, inclduing pharmaceutical companies should not be allowed to influence this process. Where a majority of people do not want the global agreement the application of the global agreement should be prevented. For this reason an open, transparent vote should be given to everyone on the planet to include a measure that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Tetzkatlipoka Traditional Medicine	The right to refuse a vaccination should be included, due to deaths and health harm done due to vaccination. The Big Pharma interests are in making money, not people's health. Incompetence showed up with this pandemic, as well as under-funded local health departments. They should have more support. Also should be included any Natural Medicine for mitigating symptoms, not forbidding alternatives to Big Pharma.
personal not organizational	The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. Complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. Human rights supercede the rights of organizations, governments and even nations.
privat	The right to self-determination over one's own body and its inviolability!
Keine	The right to self-determination should be urgently respected. Human rights and the right to freedom must remain unconditionally respected. Point out general preventive health measures to the population. Above all with regard to nutrition, especially sufficient micronutrient supply (e.g. vitamin D) and a healthy lifestyle. Avoid fear-mongering by the media, provide factually correct information, consult several experts from different professions. Promote confidence to reduce stress. Promote health self-responsibility and sound body and health literacy. Keep social life going. View health holistically in terms of the bio-psycho-social model. With best regards Mona Schrader
Rights Association	The right to truthful and adequate information can stem from degrees of evidence. High level evidence should always be available to the public for purposes of evaluation. The people should have oversight and compare the reliability of the WHO and the health authorities within national territories. The WHO uses a legal disclaimer and relies on in discredited hearsay "science". The disclaimer from the WHO within their guidance's waive all liability yet expect the nations to enforce these guidelines upon the people upon their own discretion. WHO must always post the trial safety data they rely upon to put a product on their recommended "vaccine list" and the definition of vaccine should harmonize with local legislation. The trusted News Initiative is denying the entire world informed consent which means the WHO needs to address protections in order to stop the current violations of human rights which arises from incorrect definitions and overt censorship of any opinion on earth that disagrees with the WHO. WHO is in violation of their duty to protect the health of the worlds populations and any treaty will be invalid if it does not address this systematic problem in a way that supports free and open discourse in regards to the WHO science and any emergency measure. WHO's duty to provide adequate and truthful information is wholly illusory unless they waive immunity and allow us to enforce this right. The disinformation, censorship and persecution of those critical of WHO must be prevented and addressed with enforcement capabilities.
Citizen	The rights afforded by individual constitutions of participating nations cannot be encroached by such a treaty. While we welcome the equitable distribution of resources to less fortunate nations and support research of identifying viral origins and containment failures, let it be known that there will not be, at any level, compliance with measures that encroach on sovereign rights, including travel mandates within national borders, vaccine coercion or requirement, or compulsory usage of a vaccine passport (unless elected democratically by the country in question and within it's constitutional bounds). The WHO has an opportunity to restore credibility by establishing a culture of sovereign respect while enhancing the sharing of resources and information to save lives that desperately want assistance without harming those who require none. Please don't fumble this opportunity.
Individual	The rights of all individuals to opt out of any and all mandates, laws, treaties, dictates, treatments, etc from a global, national, and local level that infringe on their bodily autonomy, their health care choices, their right to pursue an honest living, their right to freely associate, and in general their right to liberty. Secondly, and subordinate to the individual, the rights of local, regional and national governments, in that order of priority and autonomy, to opt out of any and all mandates, laws, treaties, dictates, etc agreed upon in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Finally, the rights of all individuals and organizations, whether expert or layman, to express and share evidence, citations, interviews, opinions, etc that may or may not agree with "the science" or the "consensus" without retribution or censorship.
General Public	The rights of an induvial to make their own, informed decisions on their health, is an inherit human right. No entity, organization or government has the authority to over ride inherit human rights. Therefore the elements that should be included in any WHO pandemic response is to give the people the right to retain their human rights and make informed decisions regarding their health and the health of their family.
Self	The rights of individuals to bodily autonomy must be upheld.
Perks	The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Thank you
	The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. thank you
Bracchi Strength	The rights of sovereign human beings shall not be infringed by unelected bureaucrats from a global organisation. Medical tyranny is unacceptable in any form as it is essential that liberty and fundamental rights are not traded for the illusion of security. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is essential. Any measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO must take into consideration that public health is not a "one size fits all" program, that lockdowns caused unprecedented societal damage, and that mandates not based on randomised controlled studies are counterproductive to their stated goals.
Arven Romano	The rights of sovereign human beings shall not be infringed by unelected bureaucrats from a global organisation. Medical tyranny is unacceptable in any form as it is essential that liberty and fundamental rights are not traded for the illusion of security. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is essential. Any measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO must take into consideration that public health is not a "one size fits all" program, that lockdowns caused unprecedented societal damage, and that mandates not based on randomised controlled studies are counterproductive to their stated goals.
Self-employed	The rights of sovereign human beings shall not be infringed by unelected bureaucrats from a global organization. Medical tyranny is unacceptable in any form as it is imperative that Liberty is never traded for the illusion of security. The WHO must take into consideration that medicine is not one size fits all, that lockdowns caused unprecedented societal damage, and that mandates not based on randomized controlled studies are counterproductive to their stated goals. #StopTheTreaty

Organization	Written submission
Retired	The rights of sovereign nations cannot be superceded or replaced by any treaty. These conditions would have to be included in any Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private practice	The rights of the individual are paramount, bodily sovereignty is a god given right. I do not consent to any outside NGO who takes away any form of individual/human rights. The WHO cannot be trusted.
US natural Born person	The rights of the individual to refuse any and every imposition on bodily autonomy must be preserved. -Centralized bio-surveillance must be rejected. -The undue influence of big donors (such as Bill Gates) and pharma are a conflict of interest as are all NGO organizational financing of laws and covenants that override sovereign counties constitutions. -WHO has undermined an effective vaccine and treatments by rejecting Medicago, and failing to support the exploration of COVID early treatment protocols.This demonstrates the counterproductive nature of centralization of Public Health. I am a retired nurse and have no conflict of interest.
USA citizen	-The rights of the individual to refuse any and every imposition on bodily autonomy should be preserved. -Centralized bio-surveillance should be rejected. -The undue influence of big donors (such as Bill Gates) and pharma are a conflict of interest. -WHO has undermined an effective vaccine and treatments by rejecting Medicago, and failing to support the exploration of COVID early treatment protocols.This demonstrates the counterproductive nature of centralization of Public Health. I am a retired registered nurse and have no conflict of interest.
None	The risk assessment and preparedness should remain country-specific. Countries should consult and share data in the most transparent manner, but the idea that one unelected body (WHO) would be responsible for decisions of this magnitude should be off the table. We've learned from this pandemic that no single institution should be the arbiter of truth. Diversifying of strategies is extremely valuable and allows for comparison what works and what doesn't.
Uk Resident	The risk to humanity of a world organisation controlling the response to a pandemic is dangerous because it erodes individuals rights. It also puts everyone's trust in the WHO which is proven to have corruption in its ranks ie. Italy 2020. The fact is that each country and its elected members are responsible to its own population. Pandemic responsiveness will always be a contentious issue with massive costs. The local medical staff must be given control of budgets to be responsive. The UK bought rubbish PPE because all purchasing was centralised which put everyone at risk because the NHS staff were not protected. When order processing for equipment is centralised it causes massive delays. As a resident of a G7 country I believe that the WHO should be presenting recommendations and standardised documents to countries and their cities without assuming an authoritative role in dictating how they must prepare for a pandemic. No one method or approach will work for all countries or the towns within.
Wellness Resources at A Touch of Class Day Spa	The role of an International-Global organization should be TO PROVIDE INFORMATIONAL, EDUCATIONAL, AND SUPPORT BY MEANS OF CREATIVE IDEAS AND THE OFFERING OF WHERE SOURCES FOR SUPPLIES COULD BE OBTAINED. ACTUAL DECISIONS REGARDING THE DETAILS OF DELIVERY OF CARE AND ANY GUIDANCE AS TO PUBLIC HEALTH REGULATION MUST BE IN THE HANDS OF THE LOCAL AREAS ....AND ENACTED BY LOCALLY ELECTED PERSONNEL. EACH COUNTRY AND AREAS WITHIN EACH COUNTRY ARE UNIQUE AND HAVE DIFFERING CUSTOM, AND EXPECTATIONS THAT MUST BE HONORED. IN OTHER WORDS, WHO IS IN AN ADVISORY POSITION AND NOT A DEFINITIVE, REGULATORY ENTITY: GLOBAL IN SCOPE, AND YET ALLOWING FOR LOCAL INTERPRETATION AND APPLICATION OF ANY RECOMMENDATION, AS LOCALS SEE FIT TO IMPLEMENT THEM. LINDA BARDELL, BSN RN
None	The role of the WHO in an international instrument on pandemic preparedness should be to provide unbiased health advice based on the informed opinions of the most knowledgeable doctors and specialist on given topics. The role of the WHO should not include prescribing medical treatments for the individual. The WHO should be involved in dismantling so called "gain-of-function" research and studies globally, as they present the greatest risk for new global pandemics. There should be no financial ties between the WHO and pharmaceutical companies or other biomedical supply companies or research institutions. The WHO must uphold bodily autonomy at all costs as it is our fundamental freedom as him beings, and allow for local populations to choose their own path to health and wellness. The proposed instrument should be focused on assimilating facts, coordinating with researchers, scientist, doctors and health providers to present unbiased health advice. The WHO should host public debates where there is disagreement on treatments and course of action. Nations, regions and municipalities should have full access to the unbiased information collected and make their own local policies based on the best available information. Conflicts of interest, including financial and professional must be acknowledged and stated clearly.
Childrens Health Defence	The role of the WHO should be one of an advisor, not of a compulsory regulator. It is not an independent body and should not interfere with local measures to combat a disease and/or sustain health. It should recommend, not impose. Especially with the actual definition of pandemic which is rather unclear and not convincing at all. The danger of abuse is evident, as the Corona "pandemic" has sufficently demonstrated. Instead the WHO should encourage individual health education and responsibility according to local requirements instead of imposing rules and regulations influenced by global corporate and political interests.
Private	The role of the WHO should be solely that of an advisory body. Furthermore, the WHO must base this advice on solid scientific evidence and not on the groupthink or any commercial interests, such as those of pharmaceutical companies. Each country must maintain it's individual sovereignty to guide its citizens through any future pandemics according to its own constitution. The rights of the individual must remain paramount. We have seen in this pandemic that bad advice was frequently adopted, such as lockdowns, masking, and the use of a leaky and terribly undertested vaccine. The WHO should have remained clear in its guidance regardless of external pressure to conform. Although some international coordination, primarily in the form of advice, in a pandemic response is useful, this should never supersede the rights of the individual or the rights of individual countries.
N/A	The role of the WHO should be to investigate the origins of any pandemic in a non-political way and be a source of information , based upon research it gathers internationally. The WHO should have no say in the governance of any country as it relates to a pandemic response. WHO treaties that remove power from any country are dangerous to the health and welfare of its citizens. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. . The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Be accountable to your word
HART	The role of the WHO should remain advisory only and must continue to have complete transparency and accountability in their decision making. Structures must be put in place to ensure that decisions made by the WHO are representative of all countries within the WHO and no one country has undue influence. Likewise the influence of the pharmaceutical companies must be reviewed and processes put in place to ensure WHO decision making is independent of any such influence. It is imperative that nations are able to adopt independent strategies in order for the most effective strategies to be compared. National leaders must retain full autonomy over all law making and restrictions enabling them to decide what is best for their people at any particular time. The same applies to local leadership. Any advise from the WHO must remain optional with nations or regions able to opt out without consequence. A process must be included where overeach by the WHO can result in nations opting out of the WHO altogether with no consequence.
Australian allied health	The role of the WHO should remain strictly advisory, any recommendations it makes being based on comprehensive, independent and unbiased scientific data. It should not have any authority or executive role over any nations, nor it's sovereign citizens. Each nation should reserve the right to make its own decisions according to assessment of their own situation, without fear of penalty of any kind.
University of Liverpool, UK	The role of vitamin D3 in promoting genes of innate immunity must be recognised for all viral pandemic diseases. In setting guidelines for D3 WHO should embrace the physiological serum level and accept as evidence only those trials, and other information, that attain physiological levels. WHO should recognise that very few nations achieve a mean serum 25(OH)D that is physiological. There exists extensive mechanistic and observational data that physiological D3 is highly effective at reducing severity of respiratory virus infections. Global sub-physiological D3 is arguably a key driver of covid-19, and unless corrected by WHO, of future pandemics.
self	The role of WHO must remain unbiased, independant, as advisory board, not the control over other nations - not even in emergencies. I do not give permission to a corrupt WHO to decide over my body or life. Humans will remain free.
self	The role of WHO with regard to pandemics ought to be advisory only, other than coordination of welcomed humanitarian relief, by providing medical expertise. Above all, the foundations of medial ethics must be observed: First, do no harm, and Second, informed consent by the person to whom medical assistance is being offered in conjunction with self-chosen physicians. Any hint of coercion must be prohibited, with respect to individuals, governmental agencies, medical institutions, or relief organizations. We citizens are very leery of trends toward medical authoritarian approaches. Further,conflicts of financial interests need to be strictly avoided. Let honor, truth, justice and peace prevail.
Vétérinaires Sans Frontières International	The root cause of pandemics lies in how pathogens move from animals to people. Unsustainable human activity and the exploitation of nature means diseases are emerging more frequently from animals and jumping into human populations. Domesticated animals, such as livestock, can be sources of disease and can amplify diseases emerging from the wild. A new pandemic accord must focus on preventing the emergence of pathogens with pandemic potential, by aligning with OHHLEP's definition of One Health focusing on optimising the health of humans, as well as animals and ecosystems. The substantive content of the treaty must therefore address prevention of the transmission of zoonotic diseases by strengthening animal health systems, as part of the efforts to implement One Health. Specifically, action must be taken to: - Increase and upskill the animal health workforce, who are vital in preventing zoonotic diseases; - Improve surveillance systems and capacity to secure early detection of animal disease in wildlife and livestock; - Provide access to good quality veterinary medicines and vaccines, and ensure animal health professionals have the skills to use them properly; - Increase participation of community animal health workers and livestock keepers as sentinels of surveillance for monitoring animal health in their local areas. In addition to preventing pandemics from zoonotic diseases, implementing these recommendations will have knock-on benefits for food security, nutrition, climate resilience and income security of some of the poorest people on our planet that depend on livestock for their livelihoods, contributing significantly to Agenda 2030.
Agronomes et Vétérinaires Sans Frontières	The root cause of pandemics lies in how pathogens move from animals to people. Unsustainable human activity and the exploitation of nature means diseases are emerging more frequently from animals and jumping into human populations. Domesticated animals, such as livestock, can be sources of disease and can amplify diseases emerging from the wild. A new pandemic accord must focus on preventing the emergence of pathogens with pandemic potential, by aligning with OHHLEP's definition of One Health focusing on optimising the health of humans, as well as animals and ecosystems. The substantive content of the treaty must therefore address prevention of the transmission of zoonotic diseases by strengthening animal health systems, as part of the efforts to implement One Health. Specifically, action must be taken to: Increase and upskill the animal health workforce, who are vital in preventing zoonotic diseases; Improve surveillance systems and capacity to secure early detection of animal disease in wildlife and livestock; Provide access to good quality veterinary medicines and vaccines, and ensure animal health professionals have the skills to use them properly; Increase participation of community animal health workers and livestock keepers as sentinels of surveillance for monitoring animal health in their local areas. In addition to preventing pandemics from zoonotic diseases, implementing these recommendations will have knock-on benefits for food security, nutrition, climate resilience and income security of some of the poorest people on our planet that depend on livestock for their livelihoods, contributing significantly to Agenda 2030.
Private	The rules and laws for any pandemic has to stay in national hands. Citizens have to keep an individual right and sovereignty of their bodies.
USA	The rules for a global pandemic need to be decided upon by individual nation states. There is no single rule which will benefit the entire world. This is a dangerous path.
Foundation	The rules for a pandemic must be rewritten because Covid was no pandemic. A central contact is not senceful and the WHO should in future only exist without any donations. The responsibility is with every human him and herself. I am against all kind of centralizing.
Home	The safety data that is coming out now shows the jabs are not 100% safe as advertised. The risk to adverse reactions is greater than the very little benefit (if any) that the jab offers. Every human is different. A "one size fits all" approach to medication is never going to work. Me and my family have not had a single jab. And guess what? Doesn't matter what mandates you put in place, we never will either! WE the people choose what we do with our bodies, not you or anyone else.
Handicap International Federation	The SARS-CoV2 pandemic is dramatically showing how marginalized populations, including persons with disabilities, already suffering the negative consequences of unhealthy social and environmental determinants of health, are exposed to higher risks and the unequal death toll from COVID-19. In our experience against COVID-19 in Low and Middle-Income Countries and humanitarian settings, the Handicap International Federation witnessed the multiple impacts of the pandemic and the public health measures among persons with disabilities. We also acknowledge that the climate crisis is increasing the risk of zoonosis, eroding, and at the same time, the resilience of health systems in facing emerging infectious diseases in environmentally deteriorated areas. We, therefore, recognize the urgency for investing in coordinated pandemic preparedness and response based on the Right to Health for all. Handicap International Federation calls for ensuring Health Equity at all stages of the public health action: Removing any discrimination in providing life-saving interventions, vaccination, or care for persons with disabilities, persons with any prior health or mental health condition, older adults, or any vulnerable group; Promoting participative design of disability-inclusive health information and Risk Communication and Community Engagement (RCCE); Ensuring disaggregated data collection and analysis about mortality, morbidity, and coverage according to age, gender, and disability status to tackle hidden inequities; Strengthen health systems, including rehabilitation services, which should be integrated into pandemic preparedness and response, as crucial to support patients in the acute, sub-acute, and long-term phases of diseases.
Retired	The SarsCov2 pandemic proved that local municipalities knew better for their constituents. The studies released in the United States show the "lockdown" states did no better than "free" states. We are not a one world government. We are a wonderful blend of ideas, races, issues. What may work for one will not work for all. Too much trust and reliance was & has been placed with the CDC in the United States. It is apparent that the same reliance weighed heavy on the WHO. It cannot happen again. Trust must be regained. Strong arms & boot on neck theories will not work with the populace. A true shake up must happen within the CDC, NIH, & WHO.
Self	The science used to support the pandemic preparedness and response needs to be independent with no one involved receiving funding or having ties, current or previous to pharmaceutical companies or any other businesses who gains to profit from this plan. Independent assessment of the studies done by the pharmaceutical company manufacturing the products needs to be done by independent scientists with no ties current or prior to pharmaceutical companies or any other businesses who gains to profit from this. People retain the right for sovereignty over their own bodies and can say no, without consequences That each country retain their independence to choose how to prepare and respond to any future pandemics. True democracy be reinstated with elected officials in each country remembering they work for the people, not the stakeholders. Real health be addressed - access to clean water, healthy soil, quality food and sanitation are required
NONE	The scientific community has been hijacked by corporations who care more about profits than effective treatments. The hegemony of corporations cannot supplant the role of individual doctors, who should be able to prescribe for their individual patients. I do not accept that nations as a whole can be doctors to individuals in a way that denies them control over their own destinies. These organizations have proven to be highly incompetent about helping the world, at large, and should allow humans to control their lives. I will not take orders from any government about what belongs in my body. You have wasted so many resources, being bit players to a couple of well-healed technocrat monsters. I. WILL. NOT. COMPLY.
I am a citizen of planet earth	the short answer to the question is 'none!' it is an outrageous suggestion that an unelected body such as the who would be able to impose its views and then will and regulation on the citizens of planet earth. during the covid-19 situation the who displayed an alarming ineptitude and adherence to ill informed and misguided advice which it made into infringements on basic human rights. eg freedom to travel, freedom to gather, freedom to speak and freedom to choose what we put in our bodies etc. if there is a genuine pandemic in the future, we know we will be able to trust the wisdom and guidance of our elders, whether elected or by merit. we know we will not be able to trust edicts from the unelected who.
United States	The should NOT be an international standard for pandemic responses! Each country has their own government and policies in place. Pandemic responses aren't a one size fits all ! Leave the countries alone to manage themselves!
None	The single most essential element required for this treaty is the recognition of sovereignty by member states. Without this essential element, The WHO will loose its influence and be construed as a tyrannical entity. This worthy endeavor should consist merely of recommendations that are not binding for member states.
Individual	The single most important element that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is that these should be voluntary guidelines but each country should decide for themselves based on the genetic makeup and the health of their population and economy.
Just a politically active person who talks with other people	The single most important element to include in any world health treaty is individual freedom of choice without government interference. And recognize that there is no such thing as one size fits all. Anything which robs us of our freedom of choice also robs us of our health. And we have certainly experienced over these past two years how governments have used covid 19 to rob nations of their democracy. And keep in mind that the U.S. Constitution is the highest law of the land and anything that violates that is illegal here. Any world treaty must be ratified by at least 75% of states to be a constitutional amendment in the U.S. Again, the single most important element to include in any world health treaty is individual freedom of choice without government interference. In other words, just say NO to any world health treaty.
Human race	The single most substantive element in any such initiative would be the total ineligeability to participate on the part of those involved in such matters for the past two plus years.
NA	The situation is simple: no!!!!! We don't want your control and totalitarian laws and structures. Stop!
none	The so called "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" should contain no substantive elements whatsoever. It should be a dead letter. It should not exist. It should comprise a null set. Nobody has voted to elect the WHO as dictator of health. My health decisions are solely a matter to be handled by my doctors and me. WHO has neither the credibility nor the integrity nor the basic competence to serve as the Supreme Dictator of Personal Health Decisions. The actions of the WHO during the current, so called, "covid pandemic" makes it clear that the WHO is a completely incompetent political body which is able and willing to take actions which threaten the personal health of every person on the planet. The WHO can fulfill its maximum potential by simply going away and leaving us all alone. The proposed international instrument represents a profound violation of my natural rights. If the WHO is sincerely interested in taking action which would truly benefit the health of every person on Earth, it should undertake to implement a world wide ban on all gain of function research.
Self	The solution is NOT more global organizations that are mandating health treatments and surveillance.
Citizen	The souveranity of the states and of natural person must be guaranteed. It shall not be possible to exclude People from society because they aren't vaccinated. Vaccination needs to be a personal, free choice for every person himself. Producers of medical products need to be liable for what they produce from the first day the offer their product. You should not establish a Vaccination passport, and no digital passport, because it will be used to force people to do what they don't want to do and will lead to dictatorship.
self	The sovereign nations of the world should EACH determine what their actions should be independently of WHO. Within these nations sovereign states or territories should assess responses for their own populations by consulting with doctors and NOT government officials. No healthy people should EVER be quarantined forcibly again. The Nuremberg Code should be upheld and enforced so that NO ONE is ever forced to accept ANY medical procedure they do not want. Masking healthy people should NEVER be forced.Violators of human rights need to be tried for crimes against humanity.
none	The sovereign right of citizens to decide on their own course of medical treatment and not to be coerced or forced by their government or international organizations to undergo or submit to treatments or vaccination against their will, nor should they be discriminated against for invoking this right.
Individual	The sovereign rights of countries to be able to choose their own response to pandemics must be respected.
lannert.de	The sovereignty and autonomy of member states must be guaranteed. Pandemic response must always respect and honour the human rights and civil liberties of everybody. Pandemic preparedness and response must not preclude the liability and accountability of pharmaceutical companies and civil servants.
	The sovereignty and autonomy of states and countries must not be ignored. The free, autonomous and individual decision about medical interventions must be guaranteed for every human being.
-	The sovereignty and autonomy of states and countries must not be ignored. The free, autonomous and individual decision about medical interventions must be guaranteed for every human being.
167652M	The sovereignty and constitution of a country must NEVER be overruled for no reason at all by any outside entity.
None	The sovereignty of each country must be maintained. Each country must have the freedom to make its own health policies and decisions based on its unique circumstances! It cannot be one size fits all, as countries differ in their make up, climate, religion, cultural beliefs.
keine	The sovereignty of each member states shoud not be diminished. They differ vastly in terms of politics, health care system, culture and vulnerability of the populations' health. It is important that each state is able to appropriately address the specific needs of its public not only taking into account physical health but also other factors such as economic stability, mental health ect.. Furthermore, every political strategy should be taken into very careful consideration as soon as it would touch each individual's irremissable right of self-determination. This is already extremly difficult on to ensure on country level. Imposing strategies on a world level would almost certainly result in multiple violations of the right of self-determination thereby violating human rights.
None	The sovereignty of each nation and individual must be included and respected in the treaty. The individual choice to choose treatment or vaccination must be respected and included in the treaty. There should be no job loss or otherwise sanctions against individuals or nations who do not wish to participate in a global or national pandemic treaty. Freedom to choose and speak must be included and respected in the treaty.
Virginia Tacey	The sovereignty of each nation/ country or inalienable right of any individual must not be taken away by any international body created to monitor any global infection. The WHO can recommend but must not take over the power of democratically elected rulers of any democracy to decide for their own country's health and well being.
Individual	The sovereignty of individual countries and states should remain as the primary substantive element of pandemic preparedness. When it comes to what I put in my body, my individual sovereignty will remain. My body my choice. It was overwhelmingly clear from the initial round of covid that organizations such as the WHO, CDC, etc., are not acting on behalf of humanity nor prepared to do so in an altruistic manner. This was made evident in the wishy washy messages regarding masks, the efficacy of the vaccine with regards to transmission, the hiding of data related to vaccine injuries and deaths, etc. The presentation of true data and science must be an essential element of pandemic preparedness. I urge you all to act on behalf of the people and do not move forward with this treaty.
n/a	The sovereignty of individual nations and the states and provinces within should be primary. The WHO should act in an advisor role only in terms of policy recommendations for nations. Nations must allow independent investigation of origins of future pandemics. Pharmaceutical companies, their advisers and former employees should not be allowed to participate in the writing of policy recommendations. Alternative therapies and clinical treatment protocols should be allowed and determined by a strict doctor to patient relationship. Emergency use authorization should be limited and strictly time boxed. A transparent and independent drug surveillance system should be developed. Broader economic, socioeconomic and mental health impacts must be considered for extraordinary lock down policies. Risked based analysis of severe outcomes based on demographics must be published.
private	The sovereignty of individual people when it comes to their own health and well-being should safeguarded at all levels. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. For a treaty such as this, of worldwide importance, ample time must be taken to give all who indicate that they wish to communicate the opportunity to do so. Care is more important than speed Find out through a global referendum whether there is a need for this, and if so, elect by direct elections the right representatives who are moral, capable and of good character. Transparency by WHO at every stage should be of foremost importance
-	The sovereignty of member states and individuals must not be undermined. Compliance with human rights and the Nuremberg Code must be ensured. The prevention of abuse by the pharmaceutical industry must be ensured. The pandemic measures must not be determined by the interests of private investors, but must be developed by independent groups of experts from all sectors of society. These experts must be democratically elected. Secret contracts with pharmaceutical companies must not be permitted. The definition of when a pandemic is present must again include whether many people actually fall ill with the virus.
General Public	The sovereignty of national states with respect to the civil rights and liberties of their citizens, and the bodily autonomy and freedom of medical choice of every human being, shall under no circumstances be infringed.
N/A	The sovereignty of the individual and the right to consent or not consent to any offered or mandated intervention is uppermost. Risk / benefit analyses of any proposed course of action or medical intervention to be made available and publicly debated. Scientific method must be rigorously followed. The removal of all liability protection including, but not limited to any and all manufacturers, distributors, administrators, advertisers or broadcasters of any kind, electronic or otherwise. Full disclosure of adverse reactions with a legal requirement to easily report events and publish every report made on a weekly basis. The recording of such adverse events should not, must not be hampered by limited choices on any electronic form. All doctors must report adverse reactions, failure to do so to be treated as professional misconduct. Informed consent must be given. The first people to trial any intervention to be the members/representatives/employees/staff of the WHO, UN or any other bodies/ organisations/ NGOs or otherwise, claiming the right to mandate medical intervention. Recognise that health is best dealt with at a local level and is the sole responsibility of the individual man or woman concerned.
Private	The sovereignty of the individual countries in all health matters must not be restricted in any way. Individual people must at all time be able to decide independently about matters concerning their own health. Vaccinations must at all times be non-mandatory. I disapprove of all digital health passes. WHO may at best advise but must NEVER be allowed to interfere in the public health decisions of countries. I do not think the WHO has handled the Covid 19 crises well and must therefore not get any more power. Leave us people and countries alone! Enough damage has been done!
private Person , School worker	The sovereignty of the individual states must be preserved, there must be no global regulations or interference with the sovereignty of the states. Human dignity must be preserved and that means citizens are free to make decisions about their own bodies. Natural immunity is valued higher than vaccination, no vaccination compulsory. Fundamental human rights must be respected, in particular the right to physical integrity. Strict, immutable criteria must apply to a pandemic, e.g. only if it is a serious disease that is actually fatal to the majority of the population. There must be no digital control, no digital vaccination certificates and no digital access restrictions of any kind. Medical confidentiality remains in place and doctors decide freely according to the principle: never cause harm. The safety of pharmaceutical products is closely monitored and the pharmaceutical lobby pays heavy fines for drug harm.
Personal	The sovereignty of the nation state and its right to self-determination is the bedrock of nation statehood. Decision-making about Public Health policy and measures at state level must be retained by the state. I do welcome WHO continuing to make recommendations but the final decision must lie with the autonomous nation state governments, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people without sanction or other consequences. The WHO is in danger of being perceived as being unduly influenced by organisations which profit directly or indirectly from its recommendations. This perceived conflict of interest should cease, with all funding being sourced directly only from member states taxpayers. Governments and politicians are custodians, not owners, of state-level powers relating to ,say , Public Health. Such powers are not theirs to give away to a supra-national body without reference to the electorate.
Private Person	The sovereignty of the nation states must not be undermined. There must be no digital identity papers. Vaccines must not be produced quickly but responsibly.
Individual	The sovereignty, constitution and bill of rights of each nation should not be overridden.
n/a	The strategies implemented during the last pandemic have clearly failed and just caused unnecessary hardships, economic, mental and physical, to the majority of the population affected by them. The cure cannot be worse than the disease itself!!!! It would be much better if WHO encouraged healthy living than a culture of jabbing oneself with untested drugs, and offering placebos in the form of face masks.
Hypnosis With Results	The submission should include: Strengthening each person's immune system by: Good hygiene Daily gurgling with saltwater Daily vit C, Healthy diet

Uganda, Kampala	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates, Dubai	[illegible]
United Kingdom	[illegible]
United Republic of Tanzania, Dar-es-Salaam	[illegible]
United States of America	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan, Tashkent	[illegible]
Vanuatu, Port Vila	[illegible]
Venezuela, Caracas	[illegible]
Vietnam, Hanoi	[illegible]
Yemen, Sana'a	[illegible]
Zambia, Lusaka	[illegible]
Zimbabwe, Harare	[illegible]

Organization	Written submission
UK citizen	The substantive elements that I think must be included in an international preparedness are: • Each country must maintain its own independent right to measure risks, make decisions and take measures for the benefit of its own population without force, coercion or sanctions from other countries or organisations. It must be recognised that there is a great deal of difference between countries around the world in terms of population health, living conditions, weather and geography and therefore needs and risks vary greatly. • Each country must decide for itself what constitutes a public health emergency according to its own measures. • All measures for public health emergency must be able to be legally challenged within that country and be subject to an absolute end date. • All evidence for a public health emergency must be presented by individuals, organisations, bodies and governments with complete transparency for any financial or other individual gain. • Each man, woman and child must have their inalienable rights respected to receive or refuse any available medications, medical interventions or alternative/complementary health measures without restriction to access food, water, shelter, sanitation, exercise, employment and education and without any financial, property, freedom or other sanctions. The WHO is an unelected organisation that accepts financial support from individuals, organisations and countries and must be subject to complete scrutiny and transparency over any advice it may give. The WHO may give advice over certain matters but it must never dictate, coerce or force any country, population, individual leader or individual to follow its edicts.
Private individual	The substantive elements that I think should be included in the new international instrument of pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. All nation-state signatories must maintain their sovereignty and be permitted to decide, at any time, not to implement any suggested actions they so choose without any penalty or repercussion. 2. There must be clear and transparent decision-making and accountability that prevents any parties with any vested interest (either fiscal or professional) from influencing or advising the formation of any policy. 3. That policy and decision-making must be done with consideration to the will and desire of the people of the nation-states and without undue influence of supra-national organisations or interests.
None	The substantive elements that must be included are: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, Nancy Hoerman
none	The substantive elements that must be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are as follow: 1.National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2 We must no allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies. 3 We are free born human beings and will make our own individual decision regarding our own bodies and we are free to decided whether or not we want medical procedures or not. The decision must never be questioned by any and all authority. 4 People have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families 5.All people of the world are to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
American Citizen	The substantive elements that must be included in any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: (a) National Sovereignty remains premier. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. (b) National Independence is ensured. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence or penalty is fundamental. (c) Transparency is bedrock. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures so as to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a simple majority of the people do not want it. (d) No pharmaceutical input allowed. Any measures must ensure the independence from any influence by any pharmaceutical company, global health profiteer, or health business entity. Thank you.
CMM Healthcare	The substantive elements that need to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response need to based on ethics/natural law. All measures must respect an individual's right to bodily autonomy and freedom of choice. All actions must offer complete transparency, from the genesis to a decision to the outcome of a plan. Scientific debate must be included and disclosed at every turn.
General Public	The substantive elements that need to be included in the new international instrument are: "National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. "The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. "An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. "Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
None	The substantive elements that should be included are as follows. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Cliff Australia.
NGO	The substantive elements that should be included are inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Further, transparency of large contracts between pharmaceutical companies. In addition there should be a reference made to the Nürnberger Codex and that body sovereignty stands above all. To be clear that's means no state or international institution has the right to force someone to take a vaccination. And it should also include an article that no discrimination is allowed due to an vaccination status, meaning access to public or private areas can not be restricted based on a certain vaccination staus.
Winning Team Limited	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response in my view are as follows. Cultural, political, religious, social and economic conditions in every country in the world may differ, sometimes significantly. The national and local governments of those countries are the organisations best placed to ensure that pandemic preparedness and response is culturally, politically, religiously, socially and economically appropriate and proportionate for those territories. As such, local and national leaderships, many of them democratically elected, should retain full autonomy and be the ones making pandemic preparedness and response decisions for their own populations. Integral to this full autonomy must be the inalienable right and ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Such a suggested agreement as being proposed by WHO, which is an unelected body, should be arrived at through a completely open and transparent process with the rights and ability for all citizens of the world to vote on. There should be provision for failsafe measures and mechanisms that will prevent the application of any such proposed global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Respect of such democratic processes should be paramount. There should also be strict measures in place that do not allow for influence on WHO policy generally, and on this proposed instrument specifically, in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, lobbying groups, philanthropy individuals or groups or other global health profiteers.
University of the Philippines Cebu	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument for pandemic preparedness should be 1) considering all possible methods of early treatment of the disease; 2) prosecution of those who deliberately disseminate bio-engineered viruses in international criminal courts; 3) vaccines should never be considered because vaccines with Emergency Authorization Use are very, very harmful like the covid-19 vaccines which have harmed, injured, and killed millions of people already; 4) media transparency to report on early treatments being used by doctors all over the world like those used to treat covid-19; 5) pure conscience of those in authorities not to use engineered pandemics to reduce world population and inject mind-controlling and DNA-changing nanoparticles in peoples' bodies. Frontline doctors should be consulted; scientists should be consulted - those without conflicts of interest. Those doctors and scientists who have affiliations with GAVI or Big Pharmaceutical interests must be excluded from consultations. Honest doctors and scientists worldwide must be consulted to solve any pandemic - be it natural or bio-engineered - like the Doctors for Covid Ethics, the World Council for Health and its 130+ international groups of doctors, journalists, researchers, and other members of civil society. Among these international groups of doctors and other members of the civil society are Doctors for Covid Ethics, Australia Covid Medical Network, Canadian Covid Care Alliance, New Zealand Doctors Speaking Out with Science (NZDSOS), Concerned Doctors and Citizens of the Philippines (CDC Ph), America's Front Line Doctors, Front Line Covid-19 Critical Care Alliance, and the Global Covid Summit.
US citizen	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are information free from bias or political or financial gain. As stated in the WHO Constitution, "informed opinion and active cooperation on the part of the public are the utmost importance of the improvement of the health of the people" Any WHO Treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to be kept as close to home as possible including when an emergency exists.
Public	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are the following: 1) National and local leadership must retain full autonomy and have the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) There must be an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to vote on a pandemic response before it is implemented, and must include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Pharmaceutical or other companies or organizations which would derive economic benefit from measures undertaken cannot be allowed to influence the process.
private citizen of the United States of America	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: 1. All health decisions should be made by local powers and be respectful of the rights of the people granted by their sovereign states. 2. The constitution of WHO states that informed opinion and cooperation are of utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people. Therefore, WHO's policies should be advisory and not coercive. 3. WHO shall not accept financial support from entities that profit from the sale of pharmaceutical products. There should be no conflict of interest in their administration of pandemic preparedness and response.
Self	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be instruments that are able to accurately measure and quantify data that is readily available and decipherable to the general public for interpretation and information. Information should never be censored under the cover-up of being "for our own good". We the people should be able to have access to information and make our own decisions without manipulation, coercion, and deception.
Individual	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be: That every country retains its sovereignty and that the WHO should NOT control and dictate the response. In addition individual citizens should have the right to make their own decisions in regard to masking, medical treatments/experiments. There should be no mandates coming from the WHO. They should make recommendations only - based on scientific research, not political expediency.
General Public	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Michelle LeFeve
Zoso Active Power Ltd.	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - Carry out an independent public inquiry into SARS-CoV-2 pandemic which includes investigating the source of the virus, the financial conflicts of interest that influenced WHO policy, detailed analysis of the healthcare outcomes, and identification of conflicts of interest. Inquiry to be free from influence of centalised power institutions such as governments, 'foundations' and pharmaceutical corporations. - The WHO's funding needs to be decoupled from sources that are profit or ideology driven, to ensure that future healthcare recommendations are unbiased and not conflicted. The influence of ideological 'foundations' such as BMGF needs to be eradicated. - 'Pandemic' should be redefined in terminology to reflect a SERIOUS health emergency, not defined as something that benefits pharmaceutical company profits. - Individual personal choice must be respected over public healthcare advice, human rights must be prioritised over governmental directives to avoid authoritarian overreach. - Academic and research institutions to be given freedom to research and study scientific outcomes by setting up independent steering committees with NO conflicts of interest. Research to be focused on healthcare outcomes without influence from government organisations (particularly US), 'foundation' organisation's overreach, and pharmaceutical corporation financial interests. - Elimination of conflicts of interest and corruption to be prioritised in all public healthcare organisations.
I am an individual	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness is that Local and National leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Health issues should be in the hands of the people and should be decided by the people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit , without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness response is the ability for countries, states, cities, etc. to have the power to act INDEPENDENTLY in accordance with the needs of their citizens. When it comes to health, the specific needs of an individual are of utmost importance. More harm than good comes from one size fits all policies illustrated during the COVID-10 Pandemic response and its disastrous effects across the globe. The art of medicine requires critical thinking, innovation and the sharing of ideas. During a pandemic preparedness response the best minds all over the globe should be COLLABORATING to solve health issues. They should not be tied down by global health policies. Technologically sound and user friendly safety monitoring systems should be implemented for all new medical treatments.
Jewellers Ireland	The substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness should be 1. The inclusion of absolute guarantees on state and individual sovereignty 2. The respect for human rights 3. Legal liability for government officials 4. Legal liability for pharmaceutical companies 5. The right to bodily autonomy 6. Transparency in govt and pharma data (updated in realtime) 7. Sunset clauses in every aspect of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness
US Citizen...the "general public"	The substantive elements that should be included in any (new or otherwise) international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response would include respect for the sovereignty, religious convictions, prior medical conditions and individual moral choices of every citizen of the world. Bodily integrity must be respected and there must be no dictates, mandates, passports or medical intervention imposed on anyone. If individuals choose to not undergo medical interventions and are isolated, the terms of isolation must be limited as determined by informed scientists, virologists, epidemiologists and doctors with no connections, allegiances or vested interest in the pharmaceutical industry. or any other organization who stands to profit or benefit. Additionally, acquired/natural immunity must be accepted (as science has long established) as superior to any man-made injection/pill/intervention/vaccination. The autonomy of the individual must be respected as far as decisions regarding their personal health. Further, no minor (in the United States that would include anyone under the age of 18) should be allowed to undertake any medical procedure without the consent of their guardians. Additionally, no medical intervention including vaccination or pharmaceutical should be legalized or distributed without thoroughly vetting and clearly explicating all side effects and injuries arising from it. Finally, for any vaccination or medical prescription that is widely distributed there should be a ceiling of maximum injury and/or death established by doctors and scientists who have no connections or conflicts of interest with the pharmaceutical industry or the controlling political party.
Private Citizen, General Public	The substantive elements that should be included in any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must be first unbiased and include a democratic process. WHO is extraordinarily biased and does not utilize democratic processes. Any agreement with WHO will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. A substantive element that should be considered is to create an unbiased outside group taking WHO through a complete, transparent and public investigation into individuals, funding and conflict of interest areas; bring criminal charges where relevant and then overhaul the organization or completely dismantle it. There should NOT be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, especially one led by WHO. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio surveillance. The funding WHO receives from public sources belonging to the people doesn't hold sway in comparison to the perpetual conflict of interest the substantial funding received from private interests, who in turn use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For these reasons, the substantive element that must first be addressed is a criminal and financial investigation into the WHO organization in its entirety.
Sovereign Persons	The substantive elements that should be included in the treaty, I believe start with national and local governing bodies be allowed to make decisions for their own citizens. Guidelines can given but local powers make the final decisions; as they see fit, without consequences, or push back from external agencies. All of the voting body should be isolated from having any ties or external influence from pharmaceutical companies and the like. As to not sway votes soley based on profits, or financial gain. And finally, an open and transparent process where all people of the world can vote on measures proposed, and create a diaglogue. Miriam Websters defines agreement as : harmony of opinion or action. Harmonious agreement need to happen with all responses. If a country or people, do not wish to participate there should be safe guards included to allow them to vote to opt-out. With no consequences, financial or otherwise, without challenging each nations Sovereignty over their population.
The United States of America	The substantive elements that should be included is NOTHING! Stay out of individual nation's responses to health issues. You are fine to be an organization that collects and shares information, but the WHO has ZERO authority or purpose in dictating responses or preparedness for ANY nation. After your disastrous response to COVID-19 and utter dishonesty, not to mention the unacceptable character of the people who are leading your organization, there is no reason that any right thinking free people on this earth should ever listen to the WHO again. Please disband your organization. Thank you.
Yarbrough Group	The substantive elements that should be included is the right for every human being on the planet to assess their own risk and make decisions based on their own risk analysis. To even think that removing power from US citizens and giving a one size fits all approach is not in the best interests of the world. To have different opinions and different approaches is best at learning what works and what doesn't as time goes on so the worlds people can always be changing and getting better. The opinions of a few unelected leaders in this last covid19 response has been flawed and has done way more harm long term than letting people choose what works best for them. The United States of America was founded that our rights are NOT given by elected or unelected officials but by God and the government is there to protect those individual rights. Having any entity promote to remove that power and give it to a legally binding treaty is totally Un-American and very dangerous to what makes the U.S. Great. Health decisions should be made as close to home as possible. I pray that you will stop allowing the power and welfare of citizens to be overridden to a non American approach especially when it comes to emergencies.
N/A	The substantive elements to be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are as follows: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Commit to the protection of sensitive, private, personal information of individuals, companies, entities. The instrument must not collect, store, disseminate such information internally in WHO, to third parties, including but not limited to government authority or department, private and commercial organisation, company or individual, globally that would breach the inalienable rights of all citizens of Earth. Further it is noted that no such proposed or established instrument has a place in any way, shape or form in the WHO, nor made of use in any government, authority, entity, company or individual in to perpetuity on Earth.
None	The substantive elements which should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are, firstly, that national and local leadership retain autonomy and reserve the right to make their own decisions, and secondly nations are able to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement at any given time without repercussions. Thirdly, all people of the world in all nations should have the ability to vote on including failsafe measures in order to prevent the implication of a global agreement in places where the majority of the population do not want it. Lastly, the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company or global health profiteer whatsoever.
Keys To Heaven And Earth	The substantive elements which should be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must allow that men and women need to be acknowledged as having autonomy over their own health and well-being. In acknowledgement that men and women are sovereign beings, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The instrument must allow the ability of national and of local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. The instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include an open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to opt out based upon the universal right of each man or woman to manage their own well-being and health.
Stand for health freedom	The substantive evidence that should be included in a new international instrument for pandemic preparedness is that each country and nation should be able to make individual choices regrading their health readiness and responsiveness. There is no one size fits all solution. America was built as a sovereign nation and on freedom and one of those freedoms is the freedom to make individual health decisions. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of all Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
ATOI	The substantive measures I would demand are in place all concern the ability of the individual region to make decisions for the benefit of their own constituents. These decisions should also be formed from open and transparent debates followed by a democratic vote. Any measures deemed to be appropriate by the WHO should only be given in the form of advice. As an unelected body, they should have no authority in law, and individual regions should have the ability to disregard any interventions proposed, without fear of repercussions. When measures are introduced which can have a profound impact on the individual, the individual needs to be consulted. Blanket policy under the guise of 'benefit for all' are dangerous, and open to abuse by powerful institutions that could exploit large scale interventions for profiteering.
Not applicable	The substantive measures that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are the following: 1. Countries, states, cities, and municipalities must have the autonomy to make their own decisions. A one-size fits all approach in addressing a pandemic is irrational and irresponsible. This aforementioned approach can yield adverse responses-as everyone is different. 2. An international instrument must not supersede the laws, constitutions and humanitarian codes of the world's territories.
Individual	The substantive points I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: Guarantee each nation's right to determine their own actions. Go back to the accurate, 2009 definition of "pandemic." Restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. Follow science, not political science. Allow rigorous free speech. "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." Prohibit conflicts of interest - financial, political, or ideological. Heed your own WHO guidance advising against masking, border closures, lockdowns, etc. Condemn mass-vaccinating during any pandemic. Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. Recommend early treatment protocols advocated by WHO consultant Dr. Tess Lawrie. Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. Forbid the use of psychological tactics to "nudge," induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance. Require informed consent for any recommended interventions. Ensure an open, transparent decision-making process.
Speedboot Mfg. Co. LLC	The Substative Elements that I would like to see in the proposed Pandemic Treaty are that it is not binding on sovereign countries and therefore cannot override their decisions on what is best for their citizens. Recommendations and advice are fine, but legal coercion is not and the treaty cannot be set up that way. Thank you, Van Baehr
Freedom in our Time.	The survival of any pathogen, virus or bacterium is dependent on the state of health of the individual person. Therefore, anything that improves the health of the individual is paramount. The proper levels of vitamins D, C, E, B-complex and the essential minerals like Zinc, Magnesium, etc. should be the prime focus of any therapeutic recommendation as well as a healthy, predominately organic diet. All recommendations, including the promotion of vaccines, should be on a strictly VOLUNTARY basis. The WHO, the CDC and the pharmaceutical companies have no right nor authority to dictate to the individual what they should or should not put into their bodies.
Citizen of Canada	never have happened, and should never be allowed to happen again! I am an unvaccinated Canadian who had COVID one year ago.Despite my immunity, I currently can't get on an airplane or train in my home country. I also can't leave Canada because I can' cross the land border into the United States nor can I get on a plane and fly to another country. This is wrong! These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
www.interpeace.org	The Sustaining Peace agenda calls on all actors operating in conflict-affected settings to contribute to attaining peace. In other words it requires them to be conflict sensitive and peace responsive. The COVID-19 pandemic has reinforced that health responses can inadvertently exacerbate social tensions and mistrust. We believe all aspects of preparedness and response should first ensure they do no harm to conflict contexts, and also, where appropriate, more deliberately contribute to peace outcomes while pursuing health objectives. The integration of conflict sensitive and peace responsive approaches, such as conflict analysis and community engagement, is well aligned with existing best practices and will enhance the effectiveness and sustainability of the preparedness and response instrument. Of particular importance we note WHO's Global Health for Peace Initiative featured in agenda item 15.3 of the 150th session of the WHO Executive Board. The messages set forth in paragraphs 34 and 35 of the report of the Director-General should be included in the design of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: "(1) The importance of raising awareness and building capacity to advance the mutually reinforcing outcomes of health and peace; (2) The need to sustain partnerships and encourage a more forward-leaning approach to growing and enhancing partnerships […]; and (3) The urgency of acknowledging that effective health and peace outcomes require a 'peace-responsive' approach to programming." The integration of peace responsive approaches into multidimensional humanitarian and development action is a critical operational imperative in the pursuit of health and sustainable peace.

Organization	Written submission
The Task Force for Global Health	The Task Force for Global Health is a non-State actor and coordinator of 17 global partnerships for neglected tropical diseases, vaccine-preventable diseases (including COVID-19) and public health system strengthening. The members of these partnerships - people from more than 150 countries - have seen first-hand the devastating impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. We believe a pandemic instrument should complement ongoing work to amend the International Health Regulations and to provide sustainable financing for pandemic preparedness and response, including support for WHO and a dedicated fund for pandemic preparedness. We suggest the following Guiding Principles to any treaty or instrument: 1. Equity: increasing local capacity, and access, to manufacture and distribute vaccines, PPE and countermeasures. 2. Unity: helping countries and the world to succeed together through joint technical work; reconsidering counter-productive measures, like travel bans, to countries that report outbreaks. 3. Timeliness: strengthening requirements for sharing information with WHO, and for WHO information-sharing and action. Consequences and accountability when this does not occur. 4. Integration: framing preparedness within the broader health needs of a country to ensure sustainability, including the strengthening of national public health systems, field epidemiology capacity-building, immunization and NTDs.
IMOP	The terms of submission: ' "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?". There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response initiated by WHO. The WHO is a self appointed authority with major conflicts of interest with corporations, NGO's and government. The people of each country, in preparation for, and response to, any 'pandemic', need to initiate and guide their own governments and legitimate health authorities as the servants of their constituents. Each nation must reclaim its own sovereign status and tailor its pandemic response according to their own culture, health practices, decision making process. In fact, each state, county, and local health authority needs to tailor their own response to broad-scale health issues . Local authorities need to decide, without coercion, that there is sound evidence on what constitutes a pandemic - according to an agreed upon definition from within their own government. The WHO has demonstrated in response to the self-declared COVID-19 pandemic that it is not an independent authority and that it will steer the response according to what is most profitable for the pharmaceutical industry and its shareholders. As such the WHO is totally unfit to determine and promote any treaty terms and must cease and desist from such politically and financially driven action.
Physical person	The text in preparation shall contain recommendations, that is non-binding measures. The lessons from the Covid-19 pandemic should lead the WHO to take account of the great variety of conditions met in the various countries, regions or continents of the world, whether genetic, climatic or cultural. This means that member countries should be able to decide their own strategies, especially which drugs and vaccines to use, where these are produced and how they are produced. Therefore, the main goal now should be to develop primary prevention everywhere, which means first of all education to healthy nutrition, physical activities and basic individual care. In that way, it would greatly help national healthcare systems to face next epidemics or pandemics.
Planet Earth	The text should include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
No Organization	The things that I feel are very important and therefore should be included in the "Pandemic Preparedness Treaty/Agreement" are the following: 1 - The leadership, both national and local, in all of the countries who agree to the treaty/agreement should retain the right of self-government. 2 - All of the nations as a whole as well as their local municipalities should be allowed to opt out of any or all parts of the treaty/agreement if they wish to do so without suffering any consequences. 3 - It should not allow for influence by pharmaceutical companies or any other companies or organizations that wish to profit as a result of any decisions made. There should be measures in place that would prevent any such attempts. 4 - All decisions made should be open to a transparent process and enable all people within the countries to vote on them. If the majority of people in an area do not agree with the decisions made they should not be applied within that area. Any attempts to do otherwise should initiate consequences that should be written into the treaty/agreement. Sincerely, Lynda McMurtrie
AFA	The things that should be included in any treaty 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Ann LaRue
The Law and Health Research Unit and the George Alleyne Chronic Disease	The three substantive elements are Equity, Resilience and Accountability. Equity demands a human rights-based approach. Negotiations and treaty provisions should be grounded in the right to health, and with the principles of non-discrimination and equity at the core. This fosters a culture of equitable access and benefit-sharing including equitable access to diagnostics, therapeutics and vaccines and the sharing of pathogens-and-related data via open-source technologies, with an emphasis on the needs of the most vulnerable. This can be facilitated through inclusive multilateral intellectual property agreements. Equity must also be expressed in pandemic preparedness financing and investment strategies. The establishment of a global fund or alternative financing mechanisms is a strategic mode. Equity can be encouraged and informed by community engagement practices including participatory information/evidence-based decision-making supported by the use of digital technology and telehealth services. The resilience of health systems can be improved by infrastructure updates and planning to enable the rapid reconfiguration of existing facilities/services in resource-poor settings. A focus on capacity building for laboratory responsiveness through up-skilling and knowledge transfer. Accountability - the pandemic treaty should complement existing (or potentially revised) International Health Regulations reflected by cross-referencing. A requirement for accountability and monitoring provisions with periodic independent reviews of state parties' implementation of their treaty obligations and identification of problem areas, coupled with a mechanism to provide technical assistance and support to countries with limited capacity.
Individual	The three substantive elements that I believe should be heavily considered in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness begin with bodily autonomy and civic freedoms. What is world survival or life worth without these two? That balance must be considered. I believe considering the third substantive element is vital to achieve this balance: decisions staying at local levels. Rules set forth by the WHO on individual countries will create enormous civic strife in what we've seen can be an already volatile situation. Each country must continue to respond to pandemic situations in the manner for which it's citizens vote.
National Health Federation	The top priority element in WHO's international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should be: Providing anti-viral, immune system boosting supplements to each country's citizens at no cost, or at most very little cost, in normal, pre-pandemic times. This will immensely reduce the number of people in the country who come down with any transmissible infection. Should a pandemic still break out in such a well-prepared country, then the anti-viral, immune system boosting supplements need to be distributed in greater quantities and also in a very effective way in order to maximize their use by the country's citizens. The above instrument should also contain the recommendation that the government provides its citizens a thorough education on the benefits of taking regularly such anti-viral, immune system boosting supplements, and especially emphasize the benefits of these supplements to its citizens during the pandemic phase. A partial list of the anti-viral, immune system boosting supplements is as follows: 1) Vitamins D, C, B, A 2) NAC 3) l-lysine 4) zinc 5) selenium 6) melatonin 7) quercetin
Self	The treat should include the following stipulations so as to allow for a flexible response and especially racial equality: It should insure that national and local leadership retain the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It should allow nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without sanction or consequence. It should include guaranteed measures to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, and especially, it should include measures to prevent any influence by all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No medications, vaccines, or other interventions should be allowed if private entities gain profit for doing so. J. Pas
Civilian	The treaties formed without the consent of commoners is unethical and unenforceable. Please before putting forth any world policies it is requested to the organisation to have a public approval and not its representatives. The consequences are unknown and it is not even known if the rules framed are scientifically approved by unbiased and unconflicted professionals and not for for profiteering purpose of the rich business elites. Thank you
Self	The treaty being discussed should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for considering the requests of the world population.
Individual	The treaty is a direct threat to a nation's sovereignty to make decisions for itself and its citizens, and would erode democracy everywhere. This has nothing to do with human health. It's a power grab by stealth, by powerful individuals and corporations that we never voted for. It's undemocratic and dangerous and puts the power into the hands of the biggest donnars and is just a front to push through the plan to make everything, a QR code.
Personal	The treaty is a terrible idea. If it's control in the hands of an organisation in the world of 7 billion people and limits the pandemic response to a very limited number of professionals. Each jurisdiction should be governed by its own democratically elected government and should look to its own experts for guidance on how to deal with pandemics. There is absolutely no justification to centralise a pandemic response. It is not only an infringement on democracy it is extremely dangerous and short-sighted solution. The enormous blunders, injuries and deaths resulting from the COVID-19 vaccinations are exactly why a global pandemic response is entirely inappropriate.
Individual	The treaty is essential a tool to control population in the unprecedented and even in humane way. Before the question : What should be included? We should ask a question: Do we need it? The treaty should not be approved. Each country region and brave and talented doctors should be able to choose what makes sense, what works and not just blindly follow directives of the treaty. Please stop the treaty!!!
Transition	The treaty is not acceptable and not conducive to a well-functioning world. It is important that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, is assured. 3. An open and transparent process is in place with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures are included that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health
N/A	The treaty is only acceptable if it includes the following measures: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	The treaty is the worst thing to come out of this pandemic. Do not go forward with this undemocratic tyrannical treaty. Know you will be in for a mighty war you will lose.
Self	The treaty is unnecessary and threatens our sovereign inalienable rights. Healthcare decisions should remain in the hands of the individual and extend no further than small communities.
Individual	The Treaty must allow for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This must also include the ability of nations to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability of the people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the agreement from being applied at all where the majority of the people do not want it. The treaty must include absolute guarantees that there will be no influence in any process by any pharmaceutical company or any other global health profiteers or be influenced by donations or funding by health philanthropists (eg. the Gates Foundation). Health is not a one-size-fits all for every nation or every individual. Nations and individuals must be able to make their own decisions about their own health, without censure or punishment.
Fasting Foundation	-The treaty must allow for sovereign autonomy of all participating nations, with public health response being voluntary for nations under all circumstances. -The ability of nations and local governing bodies to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -The parties to the treaty must be UN members with voting citizens only. (non-governmental organisations must be excluded). -Introduction of measures forbidding influence in the process of drafting the treaty, or implementation of public health responses by any and all healthcare and pharmaceutical companies (nonprofit and for profit) or transnational non-governmental organisations. -No immunity for vaccine manufacturers -treaty must not include obligation to use mandatory digital ID/health pass/locating devices/body marking. -Non-negotiable right to bodily integrity. Any coercion to be forbidden. -Obligation for WHO and all members to publish all data gathered, in full and without delay -Allowing individual doctors absolute freedom to use any medication, especially generic and out of patent, or treatment, they consider fit. - Restriction on import/manufacture/distribution/use of generic drugs to be forbidden. -Absolute obligation to recognise natural immunity and innate ability for human body -The treaty must not create apparatus of biosecurity personnel which is operating in parallel to police, army, security services. - definitions used to have unchanged meaning for the duration of the treaty. - Public health responses suspending democratic rules and citizens rights must be strictly limited in duration, without ability to renew.
N/A	The treaty must contain provisions that any measures to be applied are subject to: a. approval by democratically elected governments; b. the constitutions of signatory countries; and c. human rights laws. Many of the measures applied around the world during the COVID-19 pandemic have been extremely repressive or have mandated certain behaviours in citizens. Many of these are arguably a gross violation of human rights (as the High Court in New Zealand held in respect of vaccine mandates). It is vital that democratically elected governments around the world are primarily responsible to their citizens in respect of any measures that are implemented in the future and that human rights laws and constitutional provisions override any measures put in place under the treaty.
Moringa Science Publishing	The treaty must contain the following characteristics in my opinion to be acceptable: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	The treaty must contain: 1. For all instruments the written guarantees of the sovereignty of democratic national decisions and national laws must be given. 2. Human rights must be granted without any exceptions. 3. Freedom, integrity of personal health and self-determination of individuals must not be restricted by any organization. 4. Written guarantee for the legal liability of WHO, national governments and their officials and companies (pharmaceutical and such working on behalf of them) must be given. 5. All scientific facts and objections must be considered in order to prevent any harm of individuals. 6. Medical treatments or injections require a thorough and full evaluation (all development/study stages have to be passed) and a strictly regulated authorization, both of which are under the control of completely independent authorities that are not themselves involved in the design, manufacture and implementation of such treatments. 7. Forced medication is strictly forbidden because it violates the Nuremberg Code.
private	The Treaty must enable leaders of sovereign nations and local communities to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It must ensure that nations and local communities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process of finalising the Treaty must be open and transparent. All people of the world must be able to vote on including failsafe measures that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The WHO was originally an organisation made up of and funded by Nation States. Today most of the largest funders are unelected, private foundations, pharmaceutical companies and other entities (e.g. BMGF, GAVI, CEPI). Measures must be included to prevent all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers from influencing processes and decisions.
none	The treaty must establish the rights of the individual person, human dignity and values such as physical integrity, as the highest goods. It must not place public health above the individual person and naked survival above individual life values. The treaty must be based on a holistic concept of health that integrates body, mind/spirit, and social aspects. It must be fully transparent and free from private or corporate interests and protect against monopolization of political power. It needs a clause that protects against arbitrariness and abuse and that does not immunize it over international, national or personal law. Above all, human dignity is the highest good, above any corporate interests.
Sociologist and Mindfulness Teacher	The treaty must establish the rights of the individual person, human dignity and values such as physical integrity, as the highest goods. It must not place public health above the individual person and naked survival above individual life values. The treaty must be based on a holistic concept of health that integrates body, mind/spirit, and social. It must be fully transparent and free from private or corporate interests and protect against monopolization of political power. It needs a clause that protects against arbitrariness and abuse and that does not immunize it over international, national or personal law.
BU	The treaty must include a guarantee of state and individual sovereignty. It should allow for independence of action and should not bind parties to a single course of action for that implies that no mistake can be made. Infallibility is not given to WHO. A single course of action bound on all parties may be detrimental to all parties. Only if parties are free to make up their own minds can that be avoided. A policy based on freedom not hubris. Also the treaty must include statements on the respect for human rights, individual choice, rejection of all mandates, especially vaccine mandates, and autonomy of the individual who shows no sign of illness. Restrictions only on the sick. And finally legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies and the decisions they take.
Private	The treaty must include provision for sovereignty of individual countries and for individual citizens. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without negative consequences. Do not allow human rights and individual choice to be overruled, one size does NOT fit all. Ensure it is only a guideline and not a set of compulsory rules for members. Openly seek full participation from individual citizens and members for all medical communities in creating and updating any treaty. Ensure that each country in the treaty allows and promotes full consultation with their citizens prior to agreeing to the treaty.
World Citizen	The treaty must include the following 4 concepts: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	The Treaty must include the following legal and human right safeguards: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be guaranteed. - There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - The treaty should include legal measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - Countries should not be coerced into implementing measures which have been shown to cause damage to a population's health and wellbeing e.g. lockdowns of healthy people, state quarantine of healthy or asymptomatic people, forced vaccination and discrimination against unvaccinated people (no jab no job policies etc).
Peoples Choice	The treaty must include 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The treaty must include *National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The treaty must make it clear that this is advisory and in no way compulsory for nations or individuals. Local and national leadership retain full control. Nations and local municipalities have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without consequences. The treaty must allow for non-centralized decision making. The WHO hearings must allow and enable wide and public discourse around all recommendations being considered for the Instrument. The hearings must be free of commercial interest and all recommendations must be justified by cost/benefit analysis, not focused solely on pandemic suppression.
individual	The treaty must not be binding. The treaty must include, for individual countries, regions, or groups, the right to object to and reject the treaty or parts thereof, The treaty must be limited in time. After all, the WHO is a non-govermental organization and not elected by the general public.
privat	The treaty must not undermine the sovereignty of the nation states and their constitutions. Nor must international digital identity documents be anchored, and the manufacture and marketing of "vaccines" at an even faster pace must not be permitted
Torrey Schoolhouse B&B inn	The treaty must provide for: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open, transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
JFM Services	The treaty must recognize and uphold all Human Rights and Freedoms. The treaty must honour and enshrine the autonomy of National and Regional Health Authorities. The treaty must honour and enshrine all existing codes of medical ethics. The treaty must recognize and enshrine the sanctity of the Doctor/Patient relationship. The treaty must include the prohibition of placebo arms for studies of potentially life-saving medical interventions. The treaty must include and encourage the free and full exchange of scientific data and opinion.
Me	The treaty must respect that the people of each country must have the final right and freedom to decide for themselves what kind of medical treatment is acceptable for themselves for any and all health issues.
(individual)	The treaty must state that, although the WHO may offer health advice as it sees fit, this organisation has no right to tell the people of the world how to live. Nation states have no obligation to adhere to any directives from the WHO and must be allowed the freedom to act of their own accord. Individual persons must also be given the autonomy to make their own decisions regarding what to do with their own lives and their own bodies.
an affected citizen	The treaty must unconditionally guarantee the sovereignty of participating states and the observation of individual human rights. The legal liability of administrations and pharmaceutical companies must be guaranteed.
Private Citizen	The treaty needs to include provisions for state and individual sovereignty as well as liability in their personal capacity for all employees of the World Health Organization and their subsidiary organizations should their recommendations cause health and economic harms due to their recommendations.
NA	The treaty needs to include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and in alignment with their constitutional rights. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The treaty proposed has too large a scope impacting on national sovereignty and preventing the obvious; that public health is not a one-size fits all remedy. A single organisation co-ordinating supply lines and deciding where they are distributed is overly bureaucratic and prevents adequate adaptability to issues based on local research & problem solving. Given the levels of vaccine harm from the recently released Pfizer documentation any universal binding treaty from an agency of unelected officials will appear as a greedy power grab that will motivate opposition to any health initiative you propose in the future. Therefore any treaty should only focus on an alliance co-ordination to 1) share relevant information on a disease (excluding personal data of patients), 2) facilitate PPE advise protocols for medical professionals in early stages, 3) that signee nations should maintain open lines of communication on PPE production and engage in dialog over any supplies in case of emergencies, and 4) that all nations maintain an independent health policy as there is no one-size fits all solution to any public health issue nor pandemic.
self	The treaty really should be abandoned all together. However, as that isnt an option for this submission, the treaty should include guidance only and not be binding on any government.
Retired	The Treaty shall include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sprout Fest	The treaty should include respect for the inalienable rights and health choices of individuals, nations, provinces and municipalities, no matter the perceived threat. Informed consent and harsh punishment for coercion must be included along with respect for religious beliefs, health alternatives and parental rights, all must be enshrined in the treaty.
Not applicable	The treaty should allow for countries and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement without negative sequelae. The process of developing the treaty should be fully transparent with all individuals having the opportunity to vote on including measures that will prevent application of the treaty in places where the majority of people do not want it. It should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. National and local leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what the majority of their people want.
personal	The treaty should be crafted on a basis which ensures that fundamental principles of democracy and sovereignty are guaranteed. Only in exceptional circumstances can the treaty mechanism interfere with these principles, only for a narrowly limited period, and only in instances where it is clear that the benefits for society as a whole far outweigh the harms. If the past two years is anything to go by, this treaty is a dangerous tool in the hands of the WHO and governments around the world so the necessary checks and balances must be put in place with full transparency and accountability.
JPL	The treaty should be stopped with immediate effect. It's an invasion of person belief and freedoms. Elites and politicians playing medicine is not science.
Personal	The treaty should be stopped with immediate effect. It's an invasion of person belief and freedoms. Elites and politicians playing medicine is not science. Respect of individuals along with love.....makes the world a better place. not medical tyranny
N/A	The treaty should be stopped with immediate effect. It's an invasion of person belief and freedoms. Elites and politicians playing medicine is not science. This country was founded on the principals of the rights of the people - not government control over us as a sovereign nation of individuals. ****** DO NOT SIGN THIS TREADY ******
N/A	The treaty should be STOPPED with immediate effect. It's an invasion of person belief and freedoms; this is nothing more that Elitists and politicians playing medicine in the name of tyranny ! This country was founded on the RIGHTS and FREEDOMS of each individual - not government control; lest we forget these principals !! This is a sovereign nation that is about to give away everything our founding forefathers fought for !! ***** STOP THIS TREADY IMMEDIATELY ******
N/A	The treaty should be submitted to public referendum to be truly representative of people's will. It should not supersede the Local and National laws of member countries as those laws are passed by democratically elected representatives, unlike the members of the WHO. Finally, measures should be taken so that the WHO's pandemic treaty is in no way influenced by pharmaceutical companies or any other non-elected institution that financially contributes to the WHO, which seriously undermines the objectivity and credibility of any decision made by the WHO, including in the elaboration of the treaty in question.

Organization	Written submission
none	The treaty should be such that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is imperative that the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit is preserved, without consequence. The treaty should allow for an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Any new pharmaceutical treatment with limited safety and efficacy data should only be recommended to the group most at risk from the particular pathogen not a blanket rollout to all risk groups especially with non-sterilising vaccines. A risk/benefit analysis encompassing a broad spectrum of factors (not just outcome from one virus) must take place for each measures deployed and abandoned immediately if risks found to outweigh benefits. A comparative study should be conducted between nations with different approaches during Covid and the results published. eg those that mandated masks and those that didn't or those that implemented lockdowns and those that didn't so that we don't redeploy failed policies. Above all individual rights and bodily autonomy must be preserved at all costs.
Private	The treaty should be written in such a way that every idividual in this world will have a CHOICE as to whether or NOT he/she prefer to be treated, either after getting ill or preventative and that such an individual will still be allowed basic human rights as has been always the case before - no FORCED vaccination and/or treatment in ANY way - allow an individual to take responsibility for his/her own health - do NOT transfer it to governments or ANY other institution The Nuremberg Code should be prioritise in ANY WHO document written
Private citizen	The treaty should definitely give the right to each individual local government to decide the measures that will be taken to help their citizens.The Who role should be negotiated in this treaty as an advisory source to the world.Where the countries can consult if they so choose,on what travel advisories should be implemented at the time.It would be a great help to the citizens around the world if the Who can suggest medical protocols to local government's.So that the medical departments can use the information and perhaps share their experiences with certain protocols that were successful with the Who, So it can be the sort of middle man to share the science.This would also prevent a one size fits all medication that invites unsuccessful outcomes and also corruption.Finally in my opinion the WHO treaty would include communications with each country and be the source of clear information echoed throughout the world clearly and truthfully.
Private indivdual.	The Treaty should explicitly recognize the sovereignty and constitutions of every signatory and not attempt to override them. National and subnational governments, municipalities and local communities must have the right to decide what the health needs of their citizens are and to determine their own health policies for pandemics and other issues addressed in the Treaty. That is, they must be free to reject any provision of the Treaty without being subjected to any kind of pressure, coercion or penalty. The Treaty should recognize the God-given right of every adult to decide what medical procedures they wish to receive or reject. It should condemn the use of coercion by the signatories or the WHO to induce individuals to accept any medical procedure. It should condemn the punishment of individuals for not accepting medical or health measures promoted by their governments or by the WHO. The Treaty must recognize the right of parents, not the state or the WHO, to make all medical and health decisions for their under-age children. The Treaty should condemn any attempt by the signatories to circumvent that right. The Treaty should exclude the granting of any power or influence over health policies to pharmaceutical companies or other medical organizations. Health policies recommended or agreed to under the Treaty must be subject to cost-benefit analyses. The legal significance and scope of the Treaty must be publicized to the citizens of all signatory nations.
Self	The treaty should give full autonomy to local governments and nations. Local governments and nations should be able to opt out of any provisions in the treaty, without negative consequences. The people of the world should be able to vote on any restrictions, and restrictions should not be placed on places that vote against them. Pharmaceutical companies and government agencies should be prohibited from having influence over the discussion process.
none	The treaty should have provisions for the autonomy of local populations and governments. Specifically, they should be able to modify or reject any measures proposed by a centralized and non democratic global institution such as the WHO. The reasons for any measures should be supported by evidence and reasoning which is made available to the public by the WHO and which can be questioned or challenged without penalty or intimidation. No parties with any conflict of interest, past or present, especially profits from related business ventures, should have any role at all in the formulation of measures recommended by the WHO. The treaty should have an explicit mechanism to determine and change course based upon the opinions and reactions of the people subject to its measures. Force and coercion should only be used under very limited circumstances to be specified in advance, and only by local law enforcement.
Private	The treaty should includ guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies in case of adverse reaction. Should include a capillary system to monitor adverse reactions. Should include the possibility of free and informed choice and ban coercion or link any advice that could limit daily life of people. Should allow other means of screening such as fair cost tests for international travel, where necessary, for those who cannot have the vaccines for different reasons whether these reasons are medical, personal or religious. Freedom is the base of our society and the claim of all governments.
Concerned citizen of the EU	The treaty should include guarantees for basic human rights, bodily autonomy etc. It should also include crminal penalties for human rights violations for both local and EU officials (including doctors) as well as pharmaceutical companies.
Private Person	The treaty should include guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, in addition, respect for human rights or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Private	The treaty should include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability for government and pharmaceutical companies!
City Of Bristol College	The treaty should include guarenteed recognition of state and individual sovereignty, including the right of the individual to choose what medical procedures they will and will not have, without being excluded from vital services and society. The treaty must respect human rights, irrespective of 'responsibilities'. The treaty must include legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
None	The treaty should include protections for member states to act independently. The ability for Sovereign Nations to govern according to their individual charters and constitutions must not be usurped. There is no purpose for the WHO to offer more than guidance. Any language should be clear, concise, and specific. Enforcement actions of this treaty also need to be clearly stated.
Maharishi Ayurveda Gesdheitszentrum	The treaty should include steps of assessing the reality of the pandemy - e.g. the dangers posed by the pandemic agent(s) - by a neutral body of international experts, free of conflicts of interest and known to be capable to resist to pressure(s)
A British citizen and member of the human race	The Treaty should include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	The treaty should include these items - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The treaty should include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
not applicable	The treaty should include: National and local leadership's ability to retain full autonomy, with the right to make decisions based on the best interests of their own people. The ability of nations and local governmental bodies to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Lessons learned that a one size mandate does NOT fit all. Local communities should be encouraged and supported to to continue to come together to help their citizens through the immense hardships of lockdown, illness, isolation and mental health issues. Taking into consideration our individual, inalienable right to self-determination and individual health autonomy should come first and foremost in this and any other worldwide health treaty.
Individual	The treaty should include: 1. Full national autonomy and full personal body autonomy. 2. Nations and munipalities have the right to opt out entirely. of any portion of the agreement without any interference. 3.The process should be totally transparent and all people should have the right to vote on whether or not they want to participate. 4.there should be absolutely NO influence of pharmaceutical or other profit-driven organizations
Private	The Treaty should include: guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, no mandatory vaccination, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies all trials must be published, as well as contracts with pharmaceutical cpmpanies Always maintain an open discussion with opposing minds
none	The treaty should make the strongest provision for guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
Mother	The treaty should never be there in the first place. But should it, then it should include clear clauses on respecting human rights first and foremost, and their individual sovereignty. No human, young or old, is to be forced by any governing bodies or states to take any type of vaccination or medicine, or use any type of equipment (masks/face covers) for any reason against their will to do so. No medical institution is to force any countries, states or businesses on procedures to be taken with its own people with regards to getting vaccinated. Recommendations will suffice. Complete transparency is a requirement from the WHO, by communicating with people directly, and without the use of any third party media, on all matters regarding the scientific and truthful details of each and every piece of information being discovered, as well as requesting consent and permission from the people prior to proceeding with any phases that are to be part of the making of the vaccine/medication.
Me	The treaty should not be more powerful than our constitution. We need to maintain autonomy especially in emergency situations. Stop the treaty!!
Dagsäs Family	The treaty should not exist at all!!! No more needs to bi said
None	The treaty should not exist. Recent events have fractured if not completely eliminated the trust in health institutions. The staggering lack of transparency mixed with the loss of life seen in the COVID years have created passionate and deep discourse on both sides of the argument. No action, however proposed and with whatever guarantees of individual sovereignty and natural rights, will be accepted by the general population. While governments may accept them, all that will be accomplished is the justification of whatever means the same governments deem needed. In doing so you will ferment the oppositions position. An opposition which is substantial. Restoring the confidence of the public followed by honest dialog about failures prior to any consideration of such a "treaty" would be the first steps. Regardless, infadic as you may be to pursue this for whatever hiden motives that are harbored, guarantees of individual liberty, rights of sovereignty, respect of persons sanctity must be core to any such documents. That all men are created Equal and have rights granted by their creator that can not be superseded by any document MUST BE FOUNDATIONAL.
n/a	The treaty should not exist. If it is, these elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No digital surveillance, censorship, nor lockdowns of citizens.
Self	The treaty should not go through. The WHO is a not a responsible organization and as such, they cannot handle the responsibility they wish to assume.
N/A	The treaty should not have the power to override the laws of the signatory countries. There should be no mechanism for enforced lockdowns and mask wearing. Especially as these measures have been proven ineffective (see the Johns Hopkins University study) at preventing death and have resulted in financial and social damage that will take years to recover from. If a treaty is necessary the responses should be moderate and proportionate e.g. the UK pandemic plan up to 2019. While there is a need to isolate truly infected individuals, isolating the healthy does nothing to prevent the spread of disease and, in fact, causes physical and psychological harm. Mass PCR should also not be encouraged, this tool has only contributed to numbers of cases, Dr Kary Mullis, the Nobel Prize winning inventor of the technique, stated that PCR was not a diagnostic tool. Future pandemic measures should rely on the free choices of the individual, not heavy handed bullying tactics. A more positive message should be portrayed e.g. how to safely live life, rather than relying on fear to control the behaviour of individuals.
Normal citizen	The treaty should only be a guideline and shouldn't be forced among countries. It should also guarantees and protect states' and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights. For places that choose to apply the treaty, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies should be enforced too.
Private	The treaty should only include guidance to health services.
Self	The treaty should outline that each individual country, state, and region will be able to customize their own pandemic response depending on the local situation and will of the people. It should reject any overarching power that could potentially supersede local control.
The Ledford Family of the United States	The treaty should unequivocally include that each nation shall have the full, complete and undeniable authority and power to make pandemic policy for its nation and citizens in accordance with the governing documents of said nation. No international organization shall interfere in any way with national sovereignty. The WHO explicitly agrees to make recommendations only, even in times of pandemic, and to never impose its will on sovereign nations.
Expectations Therapy	The treaty should: -Allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -Allow the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -Delineate an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Have safeguard measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The treaty suggested by the WHO takes away from local authorities the right to make decisions for their citizens locally which is very important. We are individual citizens in different countries and a one size fits all approach is not in the best interest of the people, autonomy or democratic rights. I believe that every country should have the right always to remove themselves from such a treaty if it is seen to be unsuitable for their citizens or if the citizens request this. Citizens should always have a say in such a matter and this should not be a decision made on behalf of the people. There should also be absolute transparency in such a treaty to avoid any over reach or corruption from bodies such as pharmaceutical companies to prevent decisions being made to line the pockets of those making the decisions on behalf of the citizens.
Private citizen	The treaty under consideration must ensure that national sovereignty protecting basic human rights of freedom of choice and bodily autonomy including the basic right to make our own health decisions and the right to privacy of our medical and personal information. It must also include an openness to evaluating all the science, even those that challenge the narrative of all governing bodies. Thank you for opening this up to public comments and your consideration.
self	The treaty will empower the WHO to instill and mandate health care policy worldwide The treaty is a direct threat to a nation's sovereignty to make decisions for itself and its citizens. It could also expand the WHO's power to dictate health care policy worldwide. The treaty would also give the WHO the power to censor health information worldwide. This would be disastrous, as the WHO has a long history of health policy failures that are intrinsically linked to conflicts of interest.
Individual	The treaty would be against the sovereignty of each nation and an affront to personal medical freedom.
Self	The treaty would include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The United States constitution shall remain the sole factor for governance over US citizens. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
a natural person	The treaty you are preparing in secret, behind a smokescreen of constant intimidation, is the product of the presidents'club of a few individuals for power and authority, which you want to take over in a covert manner under the guide of health concerns. WHO: "The proposal for an international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response is guided by a spirit of collective solidarity, anchored in the principles of fairness, inclusiveness and transparency.' Therefore we ask you: when and on what legislative basis did your Director-General of the WHO, seek and receive approval from the 194 WHO the Member States to make business transactions on their behalf? Ordinary citizens understand this to mean a new agreement has already been signed and that Who as the new Presidents' club chef that WE, the citizens of Europe, never CHOSE your agreement; we believe that this is a gross violation of fundamental human rights and of our sovereignty.We choose FREEDOM in the full sense of the word, freedom of decision, speech, movement, choice of medical treatment, etc. We are determined to uphold and respect fundamental human rights and freedoms, which are inalienable and in no way a privilege of a few individuals.
a natural person	The treaty you are preparing in secret, behind a smokescreen of constant intimidation, is the product of the presidents'club of a few individuals for power and authority, which you want to take over in a covert manner under the guide of health concerns. WHO: "The proposal for an international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response is guided by a spirit of collective solidarity, anchored in the principles of fairness, inclusiveness and transparency.' Therefore we ask you: when and on what legislative basis did your Director-General of the WHO, seek and receive approval from the 194 WHO the Member States to make business transactions on their behalf? Ordinary citizens understand this to mean a new agreement has already been signed and that Who as the new Presidents' club chef that WE, the citizens of Europe, never CHOSE your agreement; we believe that this is a gross violation of fundamental human rights and of our sovereignty.We choose FREEDOM in the full sense of the word, freedom of decision, speech, movement, choice of medical treatment, etc. We are determined to uphold and respect fundamental human rights and freedoms, which are inalienable and in no way a privilege of a few individuals.
Renal Replacement LLC	The treaty you propose is not necessary because it is profoundly anti-democratic. Your proposal would give unelected bureaucrats power over sovereign nations, and their people. At a time when countries throughout the world are weaponizing diseases in Level 4 bio-labs, agreement on the sharing of scientific information is critically important. Each nation, that nation's scientists, and most importantly scientists free to explore the scientific method, must determine what steps to take to defend patients and that nation's citizens. Science is based on the free exchange of information. An agreement to freely share information is the only substantive pandemic preparedness and response proposal I would accept. Individual scientists from ethical countries that allow free speech should be participating in open and reproducible scientific exchange. There is no need for a new treaty.
Self Supporting	The treaty you're about to impose/have signed by countries, takes away the possibility of countries to take their own measures, which in itself is frightening. Substantive elements that should be included, must focus on each country to ignore your treaty whenever national autonomy is key
Moo	The trust who documentary paints a fragile World Health Organization. Funding sources often have strings attached. Do we really want a world centralized health? What ever happened to treating people individually? Why not keep health policy more local were people and communities are more accountable?
None	The truth often takes a while to be uncovered. Governments may act too quickly, so our rights of freedom of speech and choice must always remain. Let freedom ring!
General Public	The two biggest funders of the WHO are CDC through the American government and Billy Gates. They will have the biggest say on what each world country has to do on a future pandemic. No bloody chance
N/A	The two main elements of pandemic response should be autonomy and accountability. Communities and individuals should be able to choose to comply with recommendations or not based on their own risk benefit analysis. Any decision making and implementation of restrictions on personal freedoms should only be made by elected officials.
Citizen	The U.S. must refrain from the WHO pandemic response initiative. Covid 19 did not follow informed consent, but rather hid the contents and adverse effects from the jab, and from the remdesimere treatment, as well as banning the availability of ivermectin and hydroxycloroquine. Universal treatment has failed, and the rights of professional medical licensed doctors have been infringed upon. We must retain the freedom of choice as given by God Almighty. My body, My choice. Please do not subject our medical system to be dictated by universal protocols, but rather spend the effort to distribute necessary information about adverse effects and treatment options. Be very clear when you suggest experimental gene therapies and the like. The United States must protect The Freedom Of Choice for each citizen, and to protect the young and uninformed. THANK YOU. JUST SAY NO!
private	The U.K. does not require any outside Corporations messing with there legislation or laws regarding responses to a pandemic. We have our own laws made by those elected mp's on behalf of the U.K. citizens. We know what this is all about and truth is coming.
United States Citizen	The U.S. does not wish to participate in any treaty with the WHO. To do so would compromise the ability of the U.S. to make the best health decisions for its citizens. It would be dangerous and put U.S. citizens at risk. Health decisions for U.S. citizens should made between each citizen and their chosen medical doctor especially during an emergency. They are private and should kept between the two consenting parties. I do not support ANY agreement between the U.S. and the WHO. I additionally do not support continued U.S. funding of the WHO.
Self	The U.S. is a sovereign nation and we do not need to join any worldwide legally binding global pandemic treaty who will dictate how we handle the health care of United States citizens. We only have to look how WHO handled and bungled the CoVid19 virus.
none	The U.S. should not be under any obligation to abide by WHO rules.
None	The UK — and other member states — should not be signatory of any such document or "treaty". If it comes to be drafted, the powers of the WHO must be limited taking these points into consideration: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The UK Government does not have the moral right or ability to delegate or allow an unelected, non domestic organisation the power to issue the UK or any other sovereign nations population any legally binding decrees. I would strongly oppose any such measures.
Sovereign UK Citizen	The UK is a Sovereign Nation under the control of the elected Government. The WHO are unelected by the UK nation and will take no instruction or mandates of any kind from the WHO. If the UK government are unable to govern the UK they are not fit for purpose and should be democratically removed. The WHO are controlled by the WEF, NWO & funded by Gates. The UK want NO part in your depopulation program and world domination. The trials will prove the experimental mRNA Gene Therapy is killing and maiming the world. The WHO are complicit in these deaths and will be brought to justice.
None	The UK not relinquish authority to our global entity. Especially considering the WHO's management of the pandemic in early stages.
none	The UK should not be a signatory to such an 'instrument'. The British people have not voted for those of the WHO; therefore the WHO are not accountable to the British people so should not have any power over them. This instrument is a dangerous usurpation of national sovereignty.
Bamboo Movement	The UN is a an organization for international cooperation and the WHO as part of the UN should not have the right to implement a policy which could overwrite the national rights and freedom of choice for each member state. This wouldn't even be a democratic process, which it always should be. Such decisions have to be make in democratic parlaments like from every nation itself or like from the European Parliament. I'm asking for a guarantee for the souvereignity of each Member State and individuals, the protection of and respect regarding human rights and legal liability and transparency of acts and decisions of government officials and pharma companies.
None	The UN is not a trust worthy organization and has no business interfering in countries business. Often leaders of the UN have personally exploited their positions to enrich themselves. The UN has consistently been wrong on many issues and there is no one size fits all solution to any problem. The UN leaders are so far removed from the lives of ordinary people that it is impossible to make informed decisions. The UN needs to stop forcing it's views on countries and at best should just act in an advisory capacity.
general public	The unconditional possibility for every country to have its own pandemic preparedness and response strategy in a fully independent way from World Health Organization strategy should be included.
Individual	The underlying agenda behind this agreement envisions a world where WHO supersedes the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. In a democratic society, this is simply unacceptable. The intergovernmental negotiating body is a non-elected body, where private foundations and corporations are funders and voting members, some of them representing the same industry that profits from selling measures (vaccines, testing equipment, etc) to address pandemics. This represents a gross conflict of interest, and therefore this self-appointed body has no mandate to regulate national affairs. Such a binding agreement would stop countries from implementing their own measures and mandates within their borders, as some did during the COVID-19 pandemic. Finally, many if the measures proposed in this agreement are not substantiated by available science. For instance it is now widely known and accepted by the scientific community that available covid vaccines do not prevent infection or transmission. So why continue promoting the uptake of these yet-experimental inoculations in the wide population, including children who are at negligible risk of suffering the disease? Furthermore, aside from a few posts on independent media, one would wonder why the media have reported so few details about the WHO's Pandemic Preparedness treaty, and who is indeed negotiating this agreement. There are simply too many unanswered questions in this proposal. Any person with a minimum measure of humanity, empathy and compassion would oppose this agreement and find it deeply disturbing.
US Citizen	The unelected WHO pandemic preparation and response should be restricted to recommendations and advisement only. We do not need another unelected agency with the potential power to shutdown the world that may or may not be corrupt or subject to corruption and or bribery by big pharma or those promoting a globalist agenda. In light of the complete censorship of doctors and scientists with opposing views of the Covid narrative I no longer trust the health authorities of my own elected government why then would I trust governance via proxy by the WHO.
The free society of the americas	The unelected WHO should have not control over the free democratically elected government of the United States of america. I vehemently disagree with any power given to the WHO or and foreign unelected body to have jurisdiction over the people of the United States of america.
Self	The United Nations and the WHO are two reputable bodies that as advocates of Civil rights and the freedom of Nations needs to separate the Corporate world from the civil rights and liberties of all Nations of the world and people must be given the freedom of choice. If not then we are no different from any dictatorship of the past or present. Have we not learned anything from history or are we trying to start numerous wars around the planet. I hope that the later will not be facilitated. Marios Coutsoftides
None	The United State must ABSOLUTELY NOT sign a treaty giving the WHO power over the health response to any pandemic. The WHO has no special expertise in this matter, and the current head is not even a doctor. The WHO has shown itself over and over to be toothless and politically motivated. An example would be their response to investigating the origin of the COVID virus. The WHO also has shown itself to be a poor reader of scientific studies, and a poor judge of conflicts of interest. I can't think of anything worse than handing a health response to an organization that is NOT universal among world countries, and which is currently unduly influenced by a billionaire who has no health expertise and is not even a college graduate. The very idea is ludicrous, and it is absurd that the US is even considering it.
None	The United States and all three nations should never support or signed this treaty. I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe in the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I believe in measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mother/grandmother	The United States and each country should have the independent authority to decide how their citizens will be treated especially during a proven pandemic after the science has proven that there is a real threat to each nation.
US citizen	The United States can handle its own pandemic relief and should not be a part of a global effort. we are a sovereign nation.
Self	The United States citizens do not wish to be ruled by a global body. This nation is sovereign and should retain full autonomy. You failed the world during the covid pandemic with lies, cover ups and suppression of therapeutics. Your organization is beholden to outside interests that do not represent the will of our citizens. For these reasons the United States should enter no treaty with the WHO.

Organization	Written submission
California Parents United	The United States constitution protects its citizens. An international instrument or treaty will take away Americans right to choose what is good for their own body. Bodily autonomy is our god given rights. The WHO can set guidance and if each country wants to follow, that's their prerogative. Many studies have shown that there was not much difference between countries that implemented strict virus mitigation and the ones that did not. The case loads and deaths were still high in the countries with strict mandates. It is not a judicious concept to have the WHO have all the authority on pandemic protocols. The most judicious system would be to have a panel of representatives from each country that could engage in Discussions and recommendations. It is now 2 years later and no one from the WHO is addressing all the vaccines injured individuals globally. Their lives have been destroyed because no one will help them medically or financially. One entity such as the WHO can not have complete control over a pandemic response. Each country needs to care for their own citizens as they see fit. The Bar Association of India is suing the Chief Scientist of the World Health Organization (WHO), which has been promoting emergency use authorized Covid-19 vaccines globally. WHO's Saumiya Swaminathan, is being sued for denying the effectiveness of an affordable and readily available drug, Ivermectin, and depriving Covid-19 patients of timely and effective treatment, causing innumerable deaths and manslaughter.
self	The United States government cannot cede any authority to a non-elected entity. US citizens have a constitutional right to self determination.
United States citizen	The United States has a Constitution which gives our citizens the right to be free of these restrictions to enslave our citizens with a treaty like this. No more lock downs and no more Covid like restrictions. We will not comply! People died from Mental Health issues during the last lock down! Never again!
Personal, Individual	The United States has the ability more than any other country to provide the best health assistance and advice to it's citizens. We need to not be beholden to any other country or entity, especially those that wish us harm. Do not join this treaty.
N.A	The United States is a sovereign constitutional government that rules itself and makes its own health policies. Constitutionally it is illegal for the United States to take advice or direction from a foreign power. The decisions made or direction given may not be in the best interest of the people of any country, and this may be more of a commercial corporate power grab for the elite. For this reason I oppose any intervention from any outside body in US health policy
private citizen, USA	The United States is a Sovereign Country and we have liberty and cannot be controlled by an outside source. We will never agree to this power play. It violates the United States constitution.
None	The United States is a Sovereign nation with statutes to protect our liberties. Any such agreement must include and insure that National and local leadership will retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It must include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It must not have special interests involved and there cannot be any measures that allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None, private citizen	The United States is a sovereign nation. Given the grave errors made that lost to massive loss of life the last 2 years, no single entity should have world wide power to dictate global policy. That would not in the best interest of public health. Optimism over unproven technologies led down a path we should never repeat, particularly in the area of world wide health policies.
Citizen of USA	The United States is independent from Who. The citizens have control over their own bodies and are entitled to make their own decisions over their own bodies. Our constitution gives us this right. We don't need outsiders telling us what to do in our own country.
n/a	The United States must maintain autonomy. A treaty that takes power away from the sovereignty of the United States is dangerous to the health and welfare of American citizens. The United States must be able to make its own decisions based on country-specific needs.
Self	The United States must not participate in the international treaty!! As a sovereign country we have every right to make decisions on our own and handle any health emergencies as we deem fit. There's no need for a treaty. Globalists are executing on their plan and they must be stopped. I urge every citizen of the world to educate himself/herself and put a stop to this nonsense!!!
Self	The United States National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This should not be forfeited to an alien organization. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. No more mandates. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The global power vacuum related to the pharmaceutical and media industries has been shown to be untrustworthy.
Individual	The United States needs to remain a sovereign independent actor in any pandemic and no "treaty" should impose mandates on US to act in one way or another in regard to a pandemic. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including during emergency. Moreover, the World Health Organization (WHO) cannot have power/authority over health decisions for US citizens -- especially when those decision represent experimental treatment that would infringe on US citizens' constitutional freedoms.
N/A	The United States needs to stay out of being part of the WHO emergency responses. We have enough of our own authorities dictating what we need to do without having to answer to yet another organization. Nations need to be able to: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The United States of America already has it's own Department of Health and each state, such as Colorado, has it's own Department of Health. Seeing as the United States of America is it's own sovereign nation that oversees its own citizens, another entity to Lord over it's citizens is not a need nor is it a requirement. The World Health Organization should remain neutral in sovereign countries affairs. Thank you. Kelly Emmer
Civilian	The United States of America are founded and guided by our US Constitution giving supreme authority and autonomy to each INDIVIDUAL CITIZEN. States hold sovereignty. This MUST be maintained. And the Federal Gov. shall not enter into agreements with world bodies that supercede our US Constitutional rights and liberties. The US Citizens are NOT in favor of an International governing body which will be able to inflict global decisions uniformly, rather than allowing the US States and their citizens to have a voice, by voting or otherwise.
Monumental Awakening	The United States of America can handle our own pandemic issues. The WHO was not useful during Covid, and biased towards the groups and or nations that caused the pandemic. They were not Science based but politically biased, in their recommendations, that were inconsistent.
US citizen	The United States of America has a constitution which protects the rights of its citizens. These rights include religious, medical, and speech. The US can not legally enter into a treaty with any entity that may supersede these stated constitutional rights. The US is a sovereign country and holds allegiance only to its citizens.
NONE	The United States of America is a Constitutional Republic. A Sovereign nation. We are not a borderless global state. Two reasons to VOTE NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty: 1. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. 2. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Thank you for supporting the people of the USA.
USA Free Citizen	The United States of America is a sovereign country and will not be a part of this false narrative. We he People control our own Country, the government has overstepped their authority. The Covid pandemic is a fraud committed by pharmaceutical companies and underhanded evil organizations.
Individual, representing a family of 16 members and many friends	The United States of America must not give up one of our most basic freedoms, the freedom over our own bodies and the making of health decisions, over to any international group. Countless Americans have died or lost family members defending our freedoms. The freedom to make choices over treatment of our own bodies and those of our children, is basic to any concept of freedom. Why would we ever want to give decision making power over our health and bodies to a faceless international group?? Why would we give up our NATIONAL sovereignty, our LOCAL sovereignty, our INDIVIDUAL sovereignty?? There is absolutely no reason for our country to do this. PLEASE abandon this idea!! Further, such a concept is so against our Constitution that it is appalling to even consider! It would lead to all kinds of "one size fits all" ideas, and the giving over of our Constitutional rights to a foreign entity with credentials that I, and many others, have many doubts about. For instance, who is in charge of the WHO?? What profits are to be made from its planned decrees? What surveillance and loss of privacy would be the result?? Massive, I believe This would be a takeover, a coup of our country, of the rights and freedoms that we stand for and depend on. Do not do this!!
Personal	The United States of America should not be part of WHO whatsoever and as Trump did we should not give them any money or resources. Because we are now with Biden as President i demand that the United states do the following and do not allow WHO to have any oversight over Americans. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The United States of America should not enter into any agreement or treaty with WHO. I'm concerned about Americans losing more of their constitutional rights. Any decisions regarding health emergencies or health in general needs to be kept to the lowest level of government that is closed to the people. They will know better than an international organization to what's happening on the ground.
Sovereign citizen	The United States people are free living in an independent country from all agencies including who. Who does not represent the people of the United States and is a false Representative if the world. There is no pandemic and there will never be a pandemic —all pandemics are bio weapons against the people of the world that WHO has perpetrated and coordinated against humanity—we do not accept your rule and we do not accept a viperous pandemic plan for the United States of America.
American Frontline Doctors (AFLD)	The United States shall always remain independent from the WHO and this New Instrument on pandemic preparedness. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans, and we cannot trust the worlds health decisions to outside sources. Our U.S. elected officials and internal governing bodies should continue to work with WHO on pandemics and such. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO should not have power over the health decisions of Americans as removing citizens input is unconstitutional and against WHO constitution as well. The U.S. should remain independent, with informed consent and compliant with the Nuremberg code.
Villanova University Alumni	The United States should be making decisions for themselves, especially in times of an emergency. The WHO should NOT have the power to made health care decisions for any United States citizen!
Catholic	The United States should never be ruled or controlled by an international organization and Americans should never be subjugated to external governing bodies. The Biden has no right to sign a treaty that Americans are against.
None	The United States should never give up its sovereignty to the World Health Organization. Any agreements between the United States and the World Health Organization needs to incorporate the following elements 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The United States should not be involved in any treaty with the Who or any organization that would be able to dictate how and what regarding any healthcare issues pandemic or no pandemic.
Organization	The United States should not submit the citizens who pay substantial taxes and have available healthcare to any power of the WHO or even any mandates for their health. The WHO does not need to take any more power.
SFE	The United States stands for individual liberties and the elected bodies to decide what is the right course of action for their people. This is not a one size fits all scenario, especially when courses of action and efficacy are not agreed upon by all professionals in the field. A blanket response is not the way to go and I stand against the proposal that would refer pandemic response to a global entity.
Stand for health freedom	The United States' response to a global health crisis should not be dictated by any international agreement. We demand autonomy in decisions that affect the lives of our citizens!
Sean Merrell	The Unitred States of America is bound to the constitution. We will uphold it.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	human rights must be respected & our freedoms honoured. Certain human rights are inalienable and non-negotiable, even in times of extreme emergency. Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and MUST ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Freedom & liberty cannot be removed as a consequence of refusal of treatment. 5. Discrimination based on medical / vaccine status is wrong and must not be used to restrict peoples' inalienable right to work, seek education or gain access to resources to sustain life. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors, & administrators of health care products &/ procedures must be held liable for any & all damages caused by their products & services.
University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna - Conservation Medicine Unit	The University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria, and specifically the Conservation Medicine Unit were encouraged by the inclusion of pandemic "prevention" in WHA decision SSA2(5) but are distressed by the term's absence in subsequent WHO communications at multiple levels. Including in the guiding question and focus of this hearing. This is one of the very limited opportunities for stakeholders not in official relations with the WHO to provide input but omits one-third of the scope laid out in SSA2(5) by deprioritizing prevention. We recommend the following key elements to address pandemic prevention at source: • Prevention is a distinct element within SSA2(5) and should have equal footing with preparedness and response in any draft text. • Pandemic prevention at source is the single most effective and equitable way to prevent pathogen spillover, and limit human death and economic disruption, while simultaneously mitigating climate change and biodiversity loss in our tightly interconnected world. • Explicit reference to actions and practices that exacerbate high-risk interfaces for zoonotic-origin pathogen spillover; we call for prohibition of such actions as a precautionary approach, including commercial trade in live birds and mammals for human consumption and encroachment of highly intact forest ecosystems. • A requirement to designate national focal points for pandemic prevention. These to coordinate across all relevant ministries and sectors on implementation, mitigation, and reporting in One Health approach to human, animal, and environmental health. • A robust compliance review mechanism that includes civil society as fundamental to the process.
retired	The unprecedented restrictions imposed in the months since March 2020, and the mounting collateral damage still manifesting, have convinced me that this must never be happen again. Societies and civic norms have been disrupted by official propaganda and rancour, division, fear and suspicion have been the result, along with mountains of plastic waste and the immiseration of millions, not to mention the sacrifice of children's wellbeing and the senseless slaughter of pets now taking place in the PRC. Any future policies relating to public health and disease control must be left to individual governments and their elected representatives, answerable to their citizens. Democratic procedures have been tossed aside, as unelected experts have controlled the official narrative. Expertise is an essential resource, but it must reflect a wide range of knowledge, experience, tried and trusted clinical practice and the facilitation of informed dissent. Personal autonomy, informed consent and impartial official guidelines-without patronising propaganda.
Citizen of the USA	The US and its citizens should never be made subject to any international instrument, especially when this concerns individual rights to determine health care. The WHO has no authority over the citizens of the USA. The government of the US, which is "of the people", should never engage in any agreement(s) with WHO.
none	The US Constitution is the supreme law of the United States of America. NO treaties should be entered into that supersede the US Constitution! The WHO should be permanently regulated to giving recommendations only. Each country should be allowed to determine if and how they implement the recommendations. The WHO's handling of the COVID-19 pandemic was a dismal failure. The world would have been in a much better place right now if all countries had rejected the lockdowns and quarantined the sick only. The lockdowns resulted in the majority of induvial wealth being transferred to the top 10% of the already most wealthy. It will take years to recover from the lockdowns, if ever. Instead of giving the WHO more power, it should be disbanded and restarted from scratch with people who do not have vested interest in taking control of the world.
Socreates, inc	The US constitution was created by our forefathers not to be delegated, forfeited or overriden by any organization that serves skewed agendas. The US constitution is the basis of freedom and equality. No foreign agency should have any power above any country's sovereignty. It is not up to WHO to make decisions given its ties to certain philanthropists who clearly believe in depopulation. We the people say no to giving WHO any powers… As a Freemason, I uphold our constitution and the God given right to freedom, equality, Perdue of happiness and fraternity. Our constitution needs no oversight from any other agency, for we the people are for freedom.
none	The US do not need an international instrument nor organization to control our response to health matters as pandemics or anything else. The United States Of America is able and sufficient to take care as a sovereign individual country. Institutions like the WHO have a record of failure and even possibly corruption specifically in COVID related issues, we, US citizens do not trust nor need an health institution that is run politically. We don't need your help that could easily become political abusive control. Thank you.
None	The US is a Constitutional Republic. We want NO part of this globalist overreach. In the US, we live in and abide by the laws and regulations of individual States. I did not vote for the WHO nor will I ever do. The WHO is an undemocratic and anti-democratic monstrosity. Millions of people have died under the WHO's response to the pandemic, and billions have seen their lives impoverished, ruined, destroyed and impacted by the incompetence and corruption of the WHO.
Me	the US is a sovereign nation. We are a constitutional Republic and as a citizen and tax payer, I refuse to allow the public servants I pay to partake in this global tyranny.
Citizen	The US is a sovereign nation. WHO does not, and never will, have any authority over us. Any politician that signs any treaty does not speak for the US citizens. No treaty, ever!
None	The US is guided by a different philosophy than many countries and although discussion can be good, decisions made against the foundational beliefs of the US people are not appropriate. The US should be free to make its own health decisions and not end up like Shanghai where people are locked into their homes to achieve results that cannot be attained.
Concerned Citizens	The US must NOT agree to this overreaching treaty as is. It unacceptable. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Each Nation must retain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. We must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The US must retain our right to decide policy on immunization and not be governed by a world power.
Fuel + Fitness	The US response to pandemics or emergencies need to stay in the US. We do not need an organization outside of our country mandating what Americans should do. We should let OUR doctors and health care professionals make the recommendations. The closer the decision makers are to their population the better they know what needs to be done. So to answer the question, what should be included...give the power to each country to decide what is best.
Self	The US should NOT be a part of this awful instrument. No country should. It abrogates national authorities and gives it to unelected bureaucrats. PLEASE STOP!!
None	The US should not be involved in any international treaty regarding the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions must stay close to home.
Self	The US should not be required to answer to and follow directives of an organization that has nothing to do with the elected government. The manipulation of definitions and data to suit the agenda of the WHO and those who donate money to it means that dictates cannot be trusted to be based in any kind of scientific fact. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Or by any indiidual or other private entity.
American	The US should not give up our sovereign right over our own citizens to any one else. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired from University of Wisconsin- Madison	The US should not join any agreement to be bound by WHO recommendations. Decisions need to be based on what is in the best interest of the health of American citizens and residents of the US.
AMERICAN PATRIOT	The US should withdrawal completely from WHO - they have proven themselves to be biased, dishonest and did tremendous harm to the US and the world with their denying science (ivermectin, etc) and lies about the origin of covid. The leaders of WHO are corrupt.
U S Citizen	The US, as a sovereign nation, has agreed to several, perhaps many, international treaties. None of them that come to mind allow another body to set our internal policies. This WHO proposal would seem to do just that, putting our public health policies in the hands of some committee, somewhere. Plans which might work well enough in Andorra are unlikely to be applicable to Portugal or the US. Agreements, information sharing, research are all worthy things but allowing an international body (composed of whom, by the way?) is not acceptable.
Private citizen	The USA is a constitutional republic governed by elected representatives. WHO is an unelected entity that does not represent me or Americans as a whole. WHO has proven to be corrupt and unreliable as a resource for public health issues based on its performance in the Covid panic. We do not want, nor need, a global program to exercise any kind of authority over Americans. If it can rehabilitate it's reputation and sever ties to authoritarian dictatorships, WHO may be able to recover some semblance of credibility as an advisory and research organization. But stay away from interference in our nation's sovereignty or that of any other nation capable of self governance.
none	The USA is a sovereign nation and we do NOT need an international treaty from WHO that removes power from our nation. WE need to make our own decisions on health and any health emergency that WHO plans. Leave the USA out of it.
None	The USA is a sovereign nation of freedom loving individuals whose rights come from God. I do not give my rights away to a centralized global entity. I do not support a pandemic treaty.
Self employed	The USA is a sovereign nation, built on the premise of individual sovereignty. Our republic provides LIMITED authority to our government to interfere with our sovereign rights. We have not given to that government the right to transfer control over the medical decisions impacting an individual's health. The last two years have proven why it is a mistake to allow corrupt government officials, who literally attacked scientists of far greater skill and knowledge, to impose their ignorant and biased views on the entire population. My state should be the ONLY entity attempting to impose such on me. My state should be free to opt out of any and ALL WHO provisions. WHO needs to be subject to far more intense oversight by Skilled scientists and not the politicized hacks that usually work in government. Politics is corrupt world wide. There must be a way for the people of the world to overcome authoritarianism. The Pharmaceutical companies MUST be excluded from the process AND any drug that is to be imposed on people involuntarily MUST endure a rigorous trial that has NO INPUT from such drug companies.
AAO	The USA is a sovereign nation. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. As a doctor, I am 100% against this WHO doctrine. Respectfully, Laura Teasley MD
Self	The USA should not be a participant in anything that allows any other entity (WHO) other than our Constitution, and Bill of Rights to have any jurisdiction over our country. This Republic is run by "we the people" not the elected politicians that are temporarily in place. We (USA) do not want any participation in allowing the WHO to have any kind of emergency or non emergency power in or over our country. Thank you
3D Tech Labs	The USA should NOT join in any treaty that diminishes the sovereignty of Americans. Every American has the right and should continue to have the right to make important health decisions for their family, since only they know what is best for each member in their family. That power SHOULD NEVER be taken from any American, and therefore the USA should NOT be a part of the Global Pandemic Treaty.
Na	The USA should not participate in this WHO treaty. We should stay in control of what we add a country do ourselves. We the people have the power of what happens to us and our health, not other countries. How this pandemic was handled was a disaster, and we need to prevent this from happening again. We do not need bad policy coming from panels that do not know what is best for Americans. We need to keep emergency powers close to the power of the people. Otherwise it could get way out of control. I did not elect the people in the WHO. How would I know if they represent me? We do not need this treaty. If the us agrees to this treaty, the WHO will have too much power over the health of Americans. Do not sign this treaty.
United States citizen	The utmost important substantive elements to be included in a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" are those are those of sovereignty to the individual and of informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public as stated in WHO Constitution as well as unbiased investigation and free uncensored information regardless of industry or political profit. Any WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to be made independently by the US including when an emergency exists. This last debacle has shown that there are many ways to handle the same situation and clearly some nations made wiser decisions than others but no one can know what is right for the entire world and in general diversity is less of a risk. All health decisions need to be made as close to home as possible.
RN	The vaccines are not stopping the spread of the virus and are extremely dangerous to our immune systems. Stop lab enhancement of virus. Rethink safer older medicines. Encourage healthier lifestyles. Stop helping to establish digital passports that we all know are for governmental control It's impossible not to imagine you are all bought out by big Pharma. Do better, much.
Individual	The variable nature of each country around the world and their needs in terms of medical, economic, social and geographical requirements means that a fixed, overreaching response cannot and will not work. A major concern is that individual country's needs, will not be able to be implemented for the good of its own citizens. And this is vital to suit the different backgrounds, cultures and even geographical positions of the individual country. One-size-fits-all approach is completely inappropriate and even discriminatory, in a truly democratic society! On an individual level, personal choice is paramount and must always be respected and sensitively promoted. Public health guidelines and advice should be encouraged through solid, fact-based science and information on data collected without bias. Mandates, coercion and exclusion from society and societal activities will only serve to alienate and cause suspicions. For the above reasons, I cannot recommend or indeed support any international instruments designed for a pandemic response if it is to be a 'blanket' approach and one size most definitely does not fit all.
Private citizen	The various nations have different climates, economy, health issues, with endless different scenarios. There is no "one size fits all" solution. These decisions should be left to the local governments and, ultimately, to the single individuals, with the right to bodily autonomy being respected and maintained at all costs.
International Association for Hospice and Palliative Care	The vast majority of people who died at home or in nursing homes or hospitals during the pandemic did not receive the care they needed for many reasons, the main one being that palliative care is under resourced in more than 80% of the world according to the WHO.Throughout the pandemic, depending on lockdown conditions, many carers were unable to enter patients' homes and patients were unable to reach clinics or hospitals, leaving family members to care for their dying relatives without support. With over six million deaths (WHO, 2022), the COVID-19 pandemic caused a tsunami of suffering, much of which could have been relieved if palliative care (PC) were integrated into primary health care per the Declaration of Astana so that symptoms and associated health-related problems for persons with COVID-19 and other conditions, as well as those of their caregivers/family members could be properly managed. Member states can resource PC using the Lancet Commission on Palliative Care Essential Package of services and palliative care medicines which are largely unavailable in much of the world. Workforces can be appropriately trained once policymakers recognize the efficacy of palliative care to relieve serious health related suffering.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of Australia, resident of Spain	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". It must never happen again, although we know there are solid plans to do so. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. The recent actions banning early treatment were criminal and will be punished at some point. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. There must also be a right to refuse invasive and spurious testing 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong, unconstitutional, cause disproportionate damage and must never be repeated. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public, and on social networks. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services, especially in the case of vaccines. If they are so unsafe as to make them nonviable to a business, then we must stop using them. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Children's Health Defense	The very idea that there should be a whole world committee that is in charge of making unilateral decisions for the health care of the entire world is beyond belief. Every human being should be in charge of their own personal health care decisions. There should never be a small group of people who is allowed to have that much power over one person, much less the population of the entire world. This premise is a terrifying one and I do not endorse this idea!
Citizen of UK	The very nature of considering compulsory and invasion of personal liberties and privacy of people's body is a disgraceful act. Under no circumstances will I or any of my family and friends be involved in this and the consequences of such would be unimaginable. Under no circumstances will this be acceptable no one has the right to decide over another person's bodily autonomy. We reject even the consideration of this and the suspicious grounds of which it has been presented it's highly unacceptable. We do not recognise you as an authority on the circumstance of personal health, notary allow you you to invade by force or any other means the personal life of another.
NORTHERN HEART (UK) OLDHAM	The very notion of the WHO acting in isolation and above the remit of national governments is an affront to democracy, as any mandate or legislation passed by the WHO is not subject to the same checks and balances as individual nation-states' domestic legislative processes. It will result in widespread civil unrest and create tensions across national boundaries as each state observes other nations' actions or failure to act in accordance with such mandates and the electorates rebel against them in differing ways. There was widespread misinformation emanating around the world, due to the last interventions by the WHO and next time it will be far worse, to the extent that non-compliance will be viewed as an act of freedom rather than an act of compliance with a directive for the greater good. The WHO and many world-renowned scientists have been proved to be incapable and incompetent already and trust has been diminished hugely within the medical profession. As an independent political entity, we shall campaign on a platform of disregarding anything and everything that the WHO may choose to offer as a mandate or otherwise.
CNELM	The views herein are mine only and do not represent those of the organisation with which I am affiliated. The views herein are mine only and do not represent the organisation with which I am affiliated. Pandemic Prevention: There are validated reports of biological laboratories all over the world storing pathogens and conducting research including 'gain of function' research. The danger of leaks from these laboratories either accidental or purposeful far outweighs any scientific benefit from such research. International conventions and treaties banning such facilities must be enforced. Pandemic Response The role of WHO and all international organisations must be informational and advisory only. WHO must restore its credibility as being politically , scientifically neutral. Our experience over the past two years have shown : 1) Emergency use authorisation (EUA) of experimental treatments which were proven to be ineffective and unsafe, led to a suppression of safe treatment protocols and censorship of medical information unprecedented in the history of modern medicine. EUA needs to be canceled 2) Universal masking mandates which were instituted in 2020 against a longstanding policy against such mandates were completely ineffective. 3) Lockdowns and closures were completely ineffective and represent an intolerable breach of personal freedoms. 4) Masking and lockdowns increased poverty, unemployment which led to stress in the population which compounded many fold the damage of the pandemic itself. Countries that did not adopt these draconian measures were less damaged by the pandemic. WHO should focus on nutritional sufficiency, such Vit D.
Parents for Freedom of Choice	The voices of dissent need to be heard and part of the decision making process. Privacy, freedom of choice, and bodily autonomy are critical components in the decision making process that were disregarded previously. Countries, like their inhabitants, also must be able to utilize these elements in any future pandemic. Likewise, the criteria for what is considered a pandemic should be more closely scrutinized. Especially considering the definition was changed to allow for a broader meaning and, therefore, more easily used to declare an outbreak a "pandemic" that ushers in more restrictive measures. Proper preparation should consist of education on proper nutrition, proper use of vitamins, proper diets rich in nutrients, and proper hydration. Those most harmed by diseases are those who have multiple preexisting ailments, health issues, and unhealthy lifestyles. Proper preparation begins in the kitchen, in the home, and at the individual level, not at the level of unelected bureaucrats and oligarchs. The only instrument worth discussing is the Hippocratic Oath all medical professionals take: do no harm. This includes unnecessary medical interventions using novel technology or those proven by science to be of little help, such as social distancing and masking.
none	The voices of the censored medical professionals should be heard. Their voices have been silenced when we needed real scientific discourse throughout the entire pandemic. Science does not silence discussion and debate. The WHO woefully failed to listen objectively to all available scientific data. If you want to be again viewed as a credible source then censorship must end.
Elisheva Art Inc.	The W.H.O needs to be dismantled ASAP. No need for a Treaty when the W.H.O will cease to exist.
None	The W.H.O should have no legally binding input into the Internal Affairs of any Sovereign Country, it is an unelected entity. it is funded by Private Corporations and stakeholders such as The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, therefore it can never be objective. I fully object to The W.H.O having any power over my healthcare with its one size fits all approach to Pandemics.
Canadian educator	The W.H.O. has no credibility to manage any pandemic, having proven itself to be both ineffective in gaining access to the Wuhan Lab for a year, as well as taking almost 2 years to be able to state clearly that covid is an airborne virus. These 2 glaring errors alone reveal the WHO would likely worsen any pandemic situation with incompetence and slowness to act. The covid "pandemic" (if indeed it was one) was mismanaged by both the WHO and many big governments, all seeking to wield power, either to "save the world" or to cement their own positions in leaderships; the results of this hubris have been dismal. Neither came up with proper responses, being unduly under the influence of those who provide financial backing and an assortment of political influences. This is not the time to discuss leadership for the next pandemic. Rather, it is time to take stock of the many blunders, miscalculations, sloppy "science" lacking proper RTCs, and the clear manipulation of media and the public for profit by large pharmaceutical companies. The W.H.O is not a nation, but seeks to give itself powers like one. It should drop this issue entirely, and seek a lesser role in the future, leaving nations' experts to deal with their own citizenry.
Jonnyslaw.org	The W.H.O. needs to Stop or Be Stopped from acting like a New Wold Order Dictatorship with a Main Goal of Slave Lord Ownership of the people of the planet as Subservient & Expendable Slaves! If the W.H.O. was Ever a Humanitarian Life Saving Organization that ship sailed long ago and has been Subversively Overthrown by Sadistic Eugenicists that Intentionally Violated the Inalienable Rights of humanity during this current Plan-Demic Assault & this proposal is an attempt to Fully take-over control of humanity based on Officially Nullifying Many of the Inalienable Human Rights Forever! I believe will result in a World War III not fought against nations but against all members of The World Health Organization and Their Rulers of the international Pharmaceutical Drug Cartels. This War will be won by Mass Bombings of theirs & your facilities while carrying out Mass Assassinations of Yours & Big-Pharma's Leaders & the Major Contributors and Investors in this Covert War against Humanity. I agree with and support the following Demands 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Don't let your Arrogance bring on your own Demise!
Women's Care Florida, New Beginnings OBGYN	The W.H.O. Treaty should include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Affluminder	The way the pandemic has been handle is a disgrace. True science was ignored and the corruptive dealings with effective early treatment showed us that the very people sworn to protect us will hurt us to make a profit, or worse. Now treaty is even more of an insult to injury as it would allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies. The right to make decisions should stay in the hands of national and local municipalities to make decision based on their people's best interest. No huge decision like the COVID pandemic shut-downs should be made again and lost complete trust in this system. This treaty proves that it all was a power play. Please DO NOT PASS THIS TREATY.
N/A	The way we have handled the covid response has been appalling, I don't feel that the WHO have managed the situation very well at all, I am horrified that they are suggesting that they have control over how we handle another pandemic. I will make my own decision on my health and my families health and would rather be put in prison than take experimental drugs that in my opinion were not necessary tor most of the population. If you need any evidence on what is really going on visit the Highwire.com and watch some of the brilliantly reported, fact based evidence that they're reporting on. Many thanks for taking the time to read my response. Best wishes Jez
Personal	The WHO has no and should have NO jurisdiction over ANY country or sovereign being. They have zero business trying to take control of the world whether from a health standpoint or ANY OTHER.... back off .... what is happening or proposed would be a hostile take over attempt and would be an act of war
Canadian citizen	The WHO should have NO JURISDICTION over the sovereignty of a country and it's people. This organization is not democratically elected by the citizens of a country. The WHO should focus on controlling the type of research done, making sure it is safe and applied for the benefit and well-being of the population. The treaty should not overrule individual human rights. Individuals should be free to choose their own medical treatment. Preparedness for a pandemic should include training courses on basic health, medical and epidemiological concepts for the population. Proper diet and nutrition is vital for optimal health and should be encouraged. Once again, strict controls should be placed on dangerous research and the labs that perform the research. I do not support this type of treaty.
Advanced Fitness, Inc.	The WHO (who's head is not even a medical doctor), should have no place in deciding how the USA and my State react to any disaster. I am totally opposed to any control of how the USA responds by anyone outside of my country. To do so would be a violation of my/our constitutional rights and I will not comply.
Private	The WHO / NATO / UN etc alphabet agencies need to be disbanded. They are non-elected, self appointed bodies whose members have NO credibility. They need to give back all funds they have stolen from the people. In fact, all funds taken should also be refunded. Governments need to ignore it and get on with looking after the health of their own people. In the parlance of the herd – take a running jump.
Self	The WHO absolutely cannot and should not have dictate what happens in the USA or any other country in regards to a pandemic, especially after their proven track record of failure in almost every area. Any treaties involving the WHO or any other global organization that remove power from the USA are dangerous to the health and welfare of everyday Americans. Furthermore, health decisions need to be made on a localized, decentralized manner, not top-down by bureaucrats far away across the ocean, which is why the USA fought a war of independence in the first place. WHO cannot be given power over health decisions for Americans.
- None -	The WHO absolutely should NOT be in charge of pandemic preparedness!!!!! Each country is unique & should be in charge of it's own health care measures.
Family response from 13 of us	The WHO acknowledges it is not a democratically elected body and as such is open to bias from it's membership. It unreservedly accepts that: Every country, every community, each and every individual keeps the right to determine their own health care and to have their own, individual response to any situation. Each and every human being has the right to body autonomy. No one, no authority, elected or otherwise has the right to force / coerce anyone to take any substance in any form against the individual will. There should be NO measures that allow influence by any pharmaceutical company or other global health profiteers. All people / nations have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement
None	The WHO act as it they have authority over all the men and women in the world to instigate a global pandemic agreement. The WHO is to desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens as any such global agreement can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The WHO will not threaten men and women's freedom and individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.is to be null and void.
Free	The WHO agenda is a complete overreach of human sovereignty. We will not comply and will fight for democratic society. Personal health is personal and not open to the evil pharmaceutical peddlers.
None	The WHO agreement as it is now threatens the sovereignty and inalienable rights of my country and those around the world. It is an unnecessary treaty. Health policy should be driven by sovereign countries and the people within them. This is a huge over reach by a global guidance body. What should be included is guidance on communication, coordination and support during a pandemic. Meaning, actual transparency when new pathogens are discovered and leveraging global science body to assess, and leverage existing drugs and treatment options at minimum and longer term, vaccine development should existing meds not produce favorable our outcomes. Please embrace freedom and sovereignty and make sure whatever treaty is put forward that it is a collaboration for good - not something that steals the peoples choice in how to treat and manage infection. Thank you! Dave
Germany	The WHO agreement is unnecessary an threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. National and local leaders should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people.
Individual	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our national sovereignty and inalienable rights.
Individual	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights
None	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights
None	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights
Non	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights
Individual	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights of individual people
woody-do-all	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights of people all over the world. this is not what the people want nor need. this is nothing more than a power grab. when will it stop. if this is allowed then the world is in trouble like never before.
Proventus	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights Stop the treaty!!
Private clinic	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights.
Public	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights.
N/A	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. Citizens in Canada were not asked if we want such a treaty. WE DO NOT!! A global pandemic agreement will give undemocratic rights to an organization over sovereign people. This is not acceptable. 1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy. 2. Nations must be able to opt out without consequence. 3. A referendum in each country to vote on participation and inclusion in this treaty is de rigueur. 4. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must not be involved on any level. Do the right thing and scrap this idea. Respectfully, Alicja Traczuk
N/A	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. Citizens in Canada were not asked if we want such a treaty. WE DO NOT!! A global pandemic agreement will give undemocratic rights to an organization over sovereign people. This is not acceptable. 1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy. 2. Nations must be able to opt out without consequence. 3. A referendum in each country to vote on participation and inclusion in this treaty is de rigueur. 4. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must not be involved on any level. Do the right thing and scrap this idea. Respectfully, Richard J Traczuk
N/A	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. Citizens in Canada were not asked if we want such a treaty. WE DO NOT!! A global pandemic agreement will give undemocratic rights to an organization over sovereign people. This is not acceptable. 1. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy. 2. Nations must be able to opt out without consequence. 3. A referendum in each country to vote on participation and inclusion in this treaty is de rigueur. 4. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must not be involved on any level. Do the right thing and scrap this idea. Respectfully, Alicja Traczuk
self	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. We the people have not been given the right to vote on this issue. We have the right to decide what course of treatments and Health programmes and not be dictated to by a Global organisation with their own agenda.
Member of Akasha Comunidad	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. The following elements should be adressed: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. #StopTheTreaty
Home	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. #stopthetreaty
Community	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. Health is not a one size fits all. We need people and communities in control of their own decisions on health. #stopthetreaty
private	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the COVID-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early prevention and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective.
Private person	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I think that each country and its democraticly elected gouvernment should have a possibility to decide over pandemic measures on its own. A WHO agreement will violent this souveregnty.
Self	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. In any other organisation you would have been brought to account for Your mismanagement of the Covid pandemic-how you feel you have any authority is simply hubris. You cannot ever be allowed to dictate policy again.
EU citizen	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. It give undemocratic rights over all of us. I disagree.
No organization	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. Please stop this treaty!
Individual citizen	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. The decision of health regulations is not a one size fits all and should be left up to each local, state, and or county to decide public health policies.
irm electrical	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. The WHO is Imposing their Agenda by using the Illusion. The World does not need this - may God help you WHO and everyone involved there with a twisted mind frame! really, what are you trying to do? personally i recommend you STOP all this, and then again, i think God has already given your mind's over to dilusion and darkness in this World!! God Bless - may some wakeup before the last Trumpet Call!! i thank you!!
Private	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. The WHO is Imposing their Agenda by using the Illusion. The World Council for Health (WCH).
Citizen of South Africa	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. The WHO is Imposing their Agenda by using the Illusion. The World Council for Health (WCH).
N/a	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. The WHO is Imposing their Agenda by using the Illusion. This treaty is opening up the scope for national leaders to create unnecessary tyranny for citizens in the name of public health. People of a given country did not elect WHO and they should not be imposed by such treaties by external agencies which curtail people's freedom to manage their own health care priorities. This whole treaty can become a threat to people's freedoms of choice
Herbers Veterinary Services	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. There has been no vote or referendum on handing over our public health response to an unelected international organization with concerning financial ties.
Self	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. We need autonomy not global mandates .National and local leadership must retain autonomy as the elected decision makers - considering the peoples best interests. Pharmaceutical companies and large corporations should not have influence over our countries peoples!. Public health is not a one size fits all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
PMA	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. You do not have the consent of the living men and women residing on the land and soil to develop and enforce such a plan. This should be stopped.
Laser Electrical	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. Scientific - evidence based studies with Years of research must be presented before any decision can be made on public health and laws around pandemics and governing people. Passing legislation without consent from the people whos lives it will affect is criminal, unjust and dishonest to highest degree.
Private Individual of the United Kingdom of Great Britain	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. The WHO is not an elected body by the people of any sovereign nation and therefore does not have the rights to dictate global health policies over said sovereign nations. The WHO is largely funded by private individuals, private corporations and pharmaceutical organisations which may have a vested interest in the outcome of decision making policies and/or influence the decision making process in a biased way. As a UK citizen I do not want the WHO to make decisions and health policy over my nation's health care organisations which I feel will better serve the interests and needs of both myself and my fellow British citizens. The WHO should remain an advisory body that helps support global health responses but not control, dictate or control policy of independent sovereign nations. This is not necessary and is overreach that does not best serve different nations and specific requirements of individual nations. The WHO should be fully open & transparent in the way in which it is funded and the partnerships it has with specific organisations so the public can be aware of how policy and decision making could be influenced. It could be argued that due to current mechanism of WHO funding policy and decision making could be compromised and this does not best serve the public interest and should therefore not govern over sovereign nations' own policies.
Not applicable	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. Every person should have the right to decide how they manage their personal health. Humans are not all the same and can't be subjected to the same medical treatments as outcomes will always differ. Every nation should be discerning as to how they manage their own health situations according to the cultural and religious needs of their people.
Member of the public	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
U Rock Girl	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. One organization should not have the power over all citizens of this world. Where will my rights go? What about freedom of choice? Where is the ability for open discussion and real science to be done?
private woman	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. Pandemic responses should be transparent, open to public input (the people!) and the deciding party should be on a local level NOT global. The WHO can be in an advisory role, not deciding one!!
No obligations	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereinity and inalieniable rights! No mandatory obligations from governaments or institutions except medicines like hydroxyclorquine or ivermectine
private	The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens the sovereignty and inalienable rights of every citizen. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participaton, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid.
Individual	The WHO agreement is unnecessary, threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. The WHO is Imposing their Agenda by using Illusion. The World Council for Health (WCH). We did not vote for an international organization to control our public health. I remind you that: Freedom is the unrestricted freedom to exercise our inalienable rights. It is the freedom to do all that is right whenever or wherever we choose. No one on this Earth has authority to deny, remove or redefine any of our inalienable rights. They are immutable and ours from birth. Freedom is not the freedom to cause harm or loss to another human being. Freedom, based upon inalienable rights, places a duty upon each an every one of us to act responsibly. Inalienable rights not only demand that we respect the rights of others but that we defend them without hesitation. Inalienable rights are not "human rights." We live in a global political system that has persistently attempted to define our rights in terms of these alleged human rights. Inalienable rights, a legal perspective, are the equal rights of ALL human beings. There are no exceptions. WHO has no right controlling Australians! #StopTheTreaty
RCSI	The WHO already had influenza pandemic plans, which were not followed when SARSCoV2 came. Quarantining healthy, uninfected populations for an aerosol used virus did not work. The expedited vaccines are ineffective, yet still pushed. The WHOs "investigation" of the source was a travesty and dominated by those with conflicted interests. I have no confidence in any plan that the WHO aims to propose after the manner in which the last two years have been handled, with overreaching (& ineffective) interventions, conflicted interests and the precautionary principle and safety data regarding new classes of medication brought to market being now seemingly a thing of the past. You did this. Now go away.

Organization	Written submission
Magdalene Ministry	The WHO and any authority it would attempt to have over my god given sovereign and sacred life is against my religious freedom. The WHO is a corrupt, criminal org and WE SEE YOU. God is watching. Justice will be served. Medical coercion is evil and against the Nuremberg Code. All international treaties are subordinate to and subject to the following inviolable laws: 1. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code and the Constitutions of all nations; 2. National, state, provincial and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, or where any people could be harmed by it; 4. Respect for individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy as inviolable human rights at all times, in all countries and under all circumstances. In the Light of the Lord, He is Risen, Maggie Lyn Ross Magdalene Ministry Llano Uplift, Texas, Earth
human male representative	The WHO and its lack of credibility should AT THE MOST make recommendations. Nothing decided by the WHO should ever bind any individual except those who have agreed to abide by anything binding. This should make sense to anyone reading this who is not evil or blinded by what they believe to be their knowledge.
Praise Church, Prairieville, LA	The WHO and other advisory health organizations have censored the voices of countless health practitioners and the general population from weighing in on the safety and efficacy of the covid vaccines, boosters and the reliability of the PCR tests used to tally cases. There is no fair representation from those who choose not to partake in an experimental medical treatment. Any worldwide treaty would supersede the US Constitution, further eroding the medical freedom America once had, and the sacredness and privacy of the doctor/patient relationship. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health, welfare and liberty of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. These past two years have proven that one man's arbitrary decisions have led to loss of freedom, livelihoods, and in many cases, according to VAERS, loss of soundness of body and loss of lives. America must retain sovereignty to govern her own people with our representative form of government. Thank you.
none	The Who and other organizations like the CDC(USA) fought the use of repurposed safe drugs from the onset of Covid. Preferred treatment was none at all while waiting for a questionable vaccine. I am 69 years old and contracted delta variant. I sat a home steadily getting worse to the point of struggling to breath or go to a hospital and die like hundred of thousands of others. At the last minute I found a physician willing to put his oath to do no harm above the mainstream obstructing the use of Ivermectin or Hydroxychloroquine Sulfate as a possible therapeutic treatment. I am here today because of this treatment and I truly believe there would be millions of people alive today if it weren't for these policy. You propose a system even worse than the existing one. I suggest you go in the opposite direction and a better job of listening to what you may consider fringe or out of mainstream treatments. This is for the CDC, there is treason laws exist preventing the use of unapproved vaccines if a therapeutic option exists. The effort to hide and discredit these therapeutic treatments caused more US citizens to die than were lost in World War II. Not something to be proud of. One last thing, just because an existing drug is inexpensive, plentiful, proven to have a long safety record with a low profit margin, should not preclude it from a proper review.(Merck Pharma the original patten holder refusing to run trials on Ivermectin as it would be non-profitable.)More than one voice should be heard always. Thank you
Suryakant Patil	The WHO and the Pandemic Preparedness Treaty is undemocratic. People's in-allenable rights of freedom must be protected for well-being of the people. Stopping the Pandemic Preparedness Treaty is a must to protect and preserve public health and well-being.
Self	The WHO and their unelected officials, (NGO's) such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation have no right to inflict their rules, digital I.D.s, biometrics, vaccines on sovereign bodies either states or human. I am against your coup d'etat on us and the world on behalf of a raw power grab. It is unjust and flies in the face of democracy.
Human	The WHO and your fellow partners have no authority over us. I absolutely do not consent for your organisation to have any power over us. Thank you
Buzzybees home support	The WHO appears to be an unchallengeable faceless organisation for them to have a say in how we handle a pandemic in a one size fits all way is seriously bad for our health. We need to be able to challenge decisions that take away our freedoms, as many have been implemented over the past 2 years without proof of benefit or cost. Some measures seem to have been put in place with little thought or care to how it would affect people and have caused untold damage to health and well being. Pandemic preparedness is not the responsibility of an organisation like the WHO who are far too closely influenced by China and other over zealous individuals who wish to use their great wealth to the detriment of individual liberties and freedoms. Experts in the fields of science and medicine with alternative views to the chosen narrative have been silenced and shut down. Quite frankly its all very sinister. No one elected the WHO to decide for us all.
None	The WHO appears to serve the pharmaceutical companies that fund it, and not the people of the world. I would like to see funding of the WHO reformed to eliminate such conflicts of interest. We really must not have drug companies funding our health agencies and regulators. If conflicts of interest cannot be eliminated, then the WHO should be terminated as an organisation. Under no circumstances do I support the WHO having power over our elected governments. Not ever, not to any degree. The WHO should have - at most - an advisory role, and it needs to do a MUCH better job of that to maintain public support. As it is, the WHO behaves like an agent of Big Pharma, not a health agency. This must stop.
Individual	The WHO are a global pandemic agreement that will give it rights over sovereign people. As you have requested comments I am making the following comments. I believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Also it should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	The WHO are an ineffective and corrupt organisation. Your advice during the covid pandemic was unwarranted, derived from dubious experts, lacked evidence and was contradictory. You advice and guidance destroyed the economy, ruined people life's and none of it was based on try science. Alternative opinions were shut down and the counter narrative and discussion was stifled. The WHO is in the pocket of pharmaceutical companies and big business, your members are corrupt and should all be removed from their positions. Your a globalist organisation and some of you should be prosecuted on criminal charges. You're unelected, unaccountable and gave to much power this PPR is just another example of your over reach.
Personal capacity	The WHO are an unelected body and as such should never have authority over and above a nationally elected government.
Public	The WHO are an unelected corporation who have no right taking over the countries of the world in any disaster/pandemic etc. Our own governments can deal with any events that may happen in our own countries. The minister of health has no right to sign away our sovereignty to an unelected greedy for power corporation.
Citizen of the world	The WHO are an unelected group of people who have no rights in deciding what happens in a global scale. Every country is autonomous and we as citizens should be granted our own autonomy. I do not agree to a global decision about any further pandemics. We will decide our own fate. This must be stopped immediately. Suggestions from the WHO will be listened to but no one has the right to enforce lock downs, mandating of vaccines, mask wearing and should never be allowed again. This must be stopped.
Independent	The WHO are not a democratic elected government and have NO authority to dictate to individuals and governments on how to live their lives in a free world. I do not wish to be a slave to the WHO. It has already been proven how inept and misguided the approach of the WHO has been during the last 2 - 3 years.
HCL	The WHO are not a democratically elected organisation, therefore to have a group of unelected and unaccountable people controlling the lives of all humans is not acceptable. This must never be allowed to happen. Individual Governments should decide, they have been voted in by the people of their respective countries and can be voted out. To give a bunch of bureaucratic power people this power would be insanity of the highest order.
None	The WHO are not democratically elected. No organisation should be given power to suspend an individual country's constitution. The WHO are independently funded and therefore have a substantial conflict of interest. 'One size fits all' approach to public health measures rarely work. I strongly object to all aspects of this treaty.
Private citizen	The WHO are not elected by us and have no business telling us what we should and should not be doing. They have no right to put us in lockdown or decide what we choose to put in our bodies. They need to keep out of countries affairs they have no and should never have any jurisdiction over us
Humanity	The WHO are planning a global power grab, under the guise of 'international pandemic preparedness', which would invalidate our national and personal bodily autonomy. You were not voted for by the public which of course is how a democracy works. We vote on individuals to represent us. You do not simply put sleepers from your theological undemocratic schools of thought into government and have any say in the matter. #StoptheTreaty
N/A	The WHO are quietly implementing a GLOBAL PANDEMIC strategy treaty. This will be very, very bad news for autonomy. We need to #StopTheTreaty: They are inviting comment on the treaty via their website - so let's crush this. PLEASE COMMENT and SHARE! worldcouncilforhealth.org/news/2022/04/s…Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Submit a comment today to #StopTheTreaty
Member of the public	The WHO are unelected. Sovereign nations make their own decisions. The WHO should keep out totally. This seems to be a spark that will cause civil unrest.
Private	The WHO are. An unchallengeable faceless organisation having a say in how we handle a pandemic is seriously bad for our health. We need to be able to challenge decisions that take away our freedom, as many have been implemented without proof of benefit. Pandemic preparedness is not the responsibility of an organisation like the WHO who are far too closely influenced by China.
Private	The WHO as a non voted organization by citizens from the affected countries. Should have only the power to make proposals and helping those countries. Like this Organization was founded in the past. So therfore this actual pandemic instruments goes far the way as the WHO should be allowed. Limitations like human rights, regarding pandemic issues, must be the free decision of the affected countries.
general public	The WHO as a private organization, unelected by any citizen of any country, and with clear links to external funding partners such as the Welcome Trust, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, etc... and therefore obvious conflict of interest, does not and should not have any final say in the decisions taken by any country/government with regards to the welfare of their citizens. We, the people, do not acknowledge that any advice in regards to healthcare that the WHO could propose is anything more than that... a recommendation from a private, biased organization. This advice is to be considered, but it cannot at any point become mandatory or supersede the individual laws, customs and regulations of each particular country. And, above all, no recommendation by any government or organisation has the power to supersede the right of each individual person to decide for him/herself with regards to their own body sovereignty.
KuWeBe	The WHO as an NGO with members not elected by democraticprocess is not to be allowed to decide anything, especially concerning actions like lockdowns, wearing masks, obligation of vaccination etc. which restrict any fundamental rights of anyone in the whole world.The patient autonomy must be fully guaranteed. The freedom of choice of anyone in the whole world has to be accepted throughout the world. All members of the WHO who work in the evaluation of an pandemic must disclose their interconnection to all organisations like pharmaproducers, NGO's etc. Critical voices must be heard. All datas must be fully shown, and if transferred as false, be corrected by official press release.
Private US citizen	The WHO being in control of my health care rights as a US citizen will destroy my health and personal choices. My health decisions are based on my own choices as an American FREE citizen. I choose very wisely as what to put in my body be it food, water or medicine. Risk versus benefit. I want to choose what Medicine I take even in an emergency. My vaccinated friends and family are dying or have died. I never had a flu shot and I never had the flu. Wearing a mask is the dumbest thing anyone can do to protect from a virus. Don't take my health and rights away as an American or anywhere else in the World for that matter. Make your recommendations and let people decide if it is based on Science or Fear. Make no decisions based on Fear.
Akron Nutrition Center	The WHO can collaborate with countries on pandemic responses but should have NO CONTROL over any country. They don't know all the logistics of what's going on in each country. The health decisions must be made by each country & kept separate from the WHO! The only elements that should be included would be suggestions.
N/a	To Preserve Our Health Related Human Rights We the people of the world agree that certain human rights are inalienable and non-negotiable, even in times of extreme emergency. These rights include, but are not limited to the following… . Article 1 Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. Article 2 The good of the nation is the sum total of the benefits enjoyed by all its people. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Article 3 Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. The right to try is an inalienable right. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Article 4
freelancer	The WHO can only make recommendations but does not have the right to apply forcefully any mandatory measures in sovereign countries regardless whether there is a real or potential health risk. Local parliaments and governments are the legitimate institutions to propose and the people are those who can vote and choose their representatives. Any choice related to the personal health should be respected without subsequent repressive measures. Therefore I suggest the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	The WHO can only make recommendations but does not have the right to apply forcefully any mandatory measures in sovereign countries regardless whether there is a real or potential health risk. Local parliaments and governments are the legitimate institutions to propose and the people are those who can vote and choose their representatives. Any choice related to the personal health should be respected without subsequent repressive measures. Therefore I suggest the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The WHO can write up some guidelines for preparedness, if a pandemic should arise. These can be suggestions only from the WHO. If these suggestions are accepted by a country, with the involvement and democratic voting process of the citizens of that country, and not by the WHO making decisions for their preparedness, then can they be considered by a country. Every country is different, and the citizens and professionals that have a preparedness plan in place should set a precedence over any one governing body or organization. Other organizations who have a preparedness plan in place can be considered as well, not just the suggestions of the WHO alone. The world is a large place, and everyone and every region is different. Thank-you for considering my point view.
None	The WHO cannot act in its current form to enforce any global preparedness for any future pandemic, until it reverts to being a democratically elected body with all nations involved having equal voting rights. The WHO can only advise nation states and must include access to all available information for the public to inspect the decision making process. The WHO should have no singular role in endorsing any policy to sovereign nations.
Sovreign Man	The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda nor enforce biosurveillance. It receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. We are sovereign men and women, free to make our own individual and community decisions. This is yet another power grab and makes the WHO appear power crazy and hell-bent on enslaving millions under totalitarian-like regime. The lies and deception are felt by everyone's subconscious mind. Poor show WHO - acting against the people.
priv	The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. All of the above is unacceptable.
Member of The Public	The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid.
-	The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Love Earth	The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.Since this kind of participation is too intransparant and too shorterm this global Pandemic-Strategy-Plan is invalid and unlawful. It needs an open process with the ability for alle people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the aplication of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is needed. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO agreement ist unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. I am not supporting the ability to declare unjustified pandemics and to impose dehumanizing lockdowns. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventiv treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective.
Sovereign man	The WHO cannot dictate it's decisions on Sovereign countries. The WHO appears to be funded by unelected private individuals and they must not be allowed to overrule the alleged democratically elected governments of sovereign counties.
NA	The WHO cannot have jurisdiction over how states, counties and cities respond to pandemics. Globalization will not be accepted by the people. Decisions must be able to be made at the local level. Do not forget the constitution.
self	The WHO capacity must be advisory only. National and local governments must maintain the right to accept or reject any or all of the WHO guidelines.
Individual response	The WHO changed their definition of what a Pandemic is just prior to Covid 19. It used to be that a pandemic would be declared based upon death rates not case rates. This makes no sense and has been proven so in the light of disasterous management of Covid 19 and the attempt at a one size fits all response. The Treaty of Pandemic Preparedness has not been put before the Irish people, it has not been raised or discussed before the Dáil. This treaty is therefore attempting to contravene our states and citizens rights and citizens civil liberties in relation to the healthcare response to infectious diseases and I strongly oppose same.
Self	The WHO completely failed in providing leadership for COVID-19. It was late to declare the pandemic and never demanded the opening up of data from China to conclusively determine the origin of SARS-CoV-19. It consistently opposed the use of early treatment and supported ineffective non-pharmaceutical interventions. Under no circumstances should the WHO be given authority over national governments-It has not demonstrated the competence to be trusted in that role.
Citizen, Educator, Union Leader	The WHO Constitution states, "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The international treaty concept being proposed is an affront to democracies and national sovereignty. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO treaties that remove power from a nation are dangerous to the health and welfare of it's citizens. You are urged to direct your energies away from monolithic treaties and move towards the proliferation of natural and sustainable health practices while confronting the pharmaceutical industry which is causing overall harm to societies. Respectfully, Michael Brajkovich United States of America
Stand For Heath Freedom	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Therefore 1) WHO treaties that remove power from any sovereign country are dangerous to the health and welfare of the greater public and their nation. 2)All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The decisions to how handle these particular emergencies have to be left to each individual sovereign nation and not some organization that has no knowledge of the countries customs, workings or people. And even if the WHO is familiar with a particular country it does not give it the right nor has the power over health decisions for any country. Further nor should it accept responsibility for acting on any countries behalf.
Harvesting Health	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I am writing today to share my informed opinion regarding the proposed WHO treaty that would remove power from individual countries regarding health decisions for their citizens and place it solely in the WHO's jurisdiction. This is an overreach of power beyond compare. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. There is never a one-size-fits all solution when it comes to human health. Never. Freedom over one's own body is the most sacred of all freedoms and should never be overridden by political governments and certainly not a world wide one. In addition, no individual should ever be forced to put a drug or vaccine into their body without informed consent. This includes full disclosure of drug and vaccine ingredients, as well as, independently verified safety trials. Independently verified safety trials are necessary to avoid conflicts of interest in the funding and performing of such trials. The public has become aware of such conflicts of interests. I suggest the WHO make this issue a top priority in order to gain back public trust.
Occupational Therapy United	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." If this is in fact the truth, WHO should include the input of medical doctors from many nations around the world who are successfully treating Covid19 with strategies including pharmaceutical & nutritional support. These doctors have been censored by media & other entities bent on crashing world economies and disregarding the medical rights of people to make their own informed decisions. Forced vaccinations for Covid19 EXPERIMENTAL vaccines goes against the Nuremberg Code.
private individual	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." For this reason I am writing to state in the strongest terms possible that WHO treaties that remove power from the US are arbitrary, unjustified and dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO should not and does not have power over our health decisions. But it will if the US agrees to a legally binding - and clearly totalitarian in nature - pandemic treaty.
Awakening Health	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." WHO needs to be held accountable for treaties it proposes that potentially remove power from the US and all Americans. These are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans, let alone that of other nations as well. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions. BUT it will if the US foolishly agrees to a legally binding authoritarian pandemic treaty. Please do not endorse this dangerous travesty.
Garden Path	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I believe that health should not be in the hands of governments. Especially world wide government. If we give the power to WHO it takes away the ability to make informed decisions by the individual and our governing body. I believe that the treaty should state that health decisions should be made by each individual country, not by a foreign entity.
Human Being	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." """""""""""""""" INFORMED OPINION and ACTIVE CO-OPERATION on the part of the public. These words were written by the WHO. Your integrity of holding true to YOUR OWN WORDS is the RIGHT thing to do for humanity.
None	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I agree with this statement. I do not support any treaty which removes power and authority from the US government to make healthcare decisions which best serve the welfare of the people, and which allow individuals to make personal decisions regarding any substance which enters one's body, even for pandemic prevention, preparedness and response to a pandemic situation.
Bay Area Moreno Institute	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Each country needs to decide for themselves if there is an emergency. The WHO should not have power over the health decisions of USA or of people in other countries. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. PLEASE DO NOT AGREE TO A WHO TREATY on PANDEMIC PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE. ALLOW EACH COUNTRY TO HAVE AUTONOMY!! I am very frightened of this being considered. As an American, I enjoy the freedoms guaranteed in the constitution. I am also take my responsibility of being a caring, responsible and informed citizen.
Mother of three	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans that not only negatively affect our right as American citizens, but the rights of all humanity. Thank you.
N/A	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." As a concerned citizen I would like to make sure that the WHO is to be held accountable and made to remain true to their constitution. I would also like to voice my concern around the fact that the WHO created an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body (INB) to work out the details of the global Pandemic Treaty planned for adoption at the 2024 World Health Assembly. It intended that the agreement be legally binding on member states. This is a direct threat to U.S. sovereignty and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. I think that this global Pandemic Treaty is totally unnecessary and wrong. Furthermore, WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. And I believe all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Sincerely, Rachael
The Human Race	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Be accountable to your word. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans,; they are an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.

Organization	Written submission
American citizen	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I think informed people can and should make their own decisions on their healthcare. The more distant the governing body (state, national, international) the less informed the decision maker is. Health is personal. Everyone has their own unique situation. People should be in charge of their own healthcare decisions. Please follow your own guidelines as set in your constitution.
Fall50.com	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word. For this to be upheld, the WHO needs to let each country decide for themselves what the best course of action would be. They can't allow a one size fits all for the world. This is just not realistic. Each country has its own individual needs/wants. The power needs to remain within the country itself. The COVID pandemic is a perfect example of it not working. Countries that did not push the vaccine (and could not afford it) fared much better than first world countries. Moving forward, let each country determine what is best for them.
General Public	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Please hold this statement to heart. In answer to the question, "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response," the most critical element is to include language that allows ALL health decisions to be made as close to home as possible, including when a health emergency exists. This means having language that provides leaving health decisions solely within the purview of each individual sovereign nation, and NOT with WHO or any other international body. Currently, WHO does not have power over the health decisions but it will if the United States (US) agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Any organization not solely governed by the US should not have the power to make decisions for US citizens, especially health-related decisions. The WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of all Americans. The US should NOT be a party to any such treaty.
A Sovereign Human	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." This does not include forcing people to adhere to guidelines that cause the individual harm, including: isolation, forcing one to stay home from work, forcing one to take a test when they have NO symptoms, and forcing one to put anything into their body that they do not feel is best for them. "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" There shall be NO international instrument. There shall be no small group (WHO) of people who get to determine how others live including in a pandemic. Their only role should be to promote health and wellness. Vitamins, Minerals, Sleep, Exercise, Meditation. To promote love over fear. And if there is a solution and not a human experiment, then to provide that solution. They shall have no right to dictate to people what they "must" do. I do not agree with the WHO having control of the "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This is the role of the individual.
Seven Seas Association	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." We hold the WHO accountable to their word. The most important elements in a Pandemic Treaty are retaining basic human rights of the public who are, after all, the ones we are concerned about protecting with our policies. Also a vital element is allowing contributions and ideas of ALL credentialed researchers, frontline health workers and physicians, scientists and experts in diseases...no matter if they are contradictory or not. The public is intitled to know ALL information which affects them and the policies being created on their behalf. The RIGHT TO TRY a medical treatment after complete and truthful informed consent must be a right specified in the treaty..and granted to all adults and their dependents. Medical Soverenty must be an element of any Treaty. In the end, a person' s body is his, her, or its temple and , as such, decisions about it are that person's alone. But you are not asking our comments out of true willingness to listen to us. You and the biggest wallet will make rules to benefit your agenda. But we are more in number than you and when push comes to shove and the people realize the manipulation is not for their benefit..peaceful disobedience will override your Treaty.
Stand for Health Freedom	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Your global Pandemic Treaty directly goes against this. Do not collaborate or coerce people or nations into complying with blanket requirements. Instead, keep people's health decisions where they belong...with the individuals. Allow people to make their own, informed decisions regarding their personal health and well-being. Thank you.
Families of America	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Powers concerning the health of Americans should remain in the hands of Americans.
Na	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. • All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. This is critical to assessing best practices locally/within the US. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. There are some key issues that should be included as it pertains to the US: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your attention to this critical matter.
Na	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Every country has a unique demographic and population health so one global plan to deal with any outbreak of flu / virus or whatever it may be is absurd Every country has their own health sovereignty and this is how it should stay.
NM	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." You must empower local community health decisions - anything else is dangerous to the health and well-being of free Citizens of their sovereign Countries. Do not remove power from local bodies; doing so would create unsafe, harmful conditions, especially for the under-privileged. You must keep all health decisions close to home. The People of the US do NOT agree to any legally binding pandemic treaty. WHO does not have power over our local State or community health decisions.
GreenMedInfo	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." So, I will state as an American woman that WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of me and my fellow Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Small scale and local always benefit people more than top-down and authoritative. The US does NOT need a legally binding pandemic treaty. Thank you, Jennifer DeFusco Crestline, CA USA
Self	The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." It is my belief that the WHO is only an advisory group and should have absolutely NO binding power. The WHO is funded by and works for our individual nations, we are not their powerless slaves. In the state of a "pandemic" or any other "health" emergency, the WHO should only advise nations on actions to be taken. We cannot take power away from nations and their people! It is then up to each nation and their (elected) officials to make decisions for themselves. This the only safe way to deal with the health and welfare of citizens of the world. I do not feel the US government has the authority to enter into any "pandemic treaty." There should be NO "Pandemic Treaty."
Former UN personnel	The WHO declined to follow its own pandemic metrics, during the outbreak of the coronavirus in 2020. Specifically, this included the metric of internal transmission of coronavirus in countries within WPRO. By avoiding the inclusions of certain countries the bar for pandemic response was not reached as soon as it could have been. The WHO also convened an international meeting in spring 2020 which would have acted as a transmission pathway for all international attendees. The WHO response coordinator spent consistently referred to Ebola during press conferences, a disease which has no appreciable similarity to the coronavirus pandemic. The head of WHO decried lockdowns and travel bans for reasons that had nothing directly to do with achieving reduced transmission rates. These are the needs that the WHO needs to address for another pandemic. Until that time, WHO probably has no business coordinating any kind of pandemic response.
USA	The WHO did a horrible job during Covid. Suggestions and guidance were all wrong. Life saving treatments were withheld. The WHO is in no position to to guide any country, especially the World on polices and procedures. We the people of the World have no confidence in your ability to direct or lead.
NA	The WHO did not take effective action at any time during the covid-19 pandemic. It withheld important information about the contagiousness of the disease at the beginning and did not promote significant research into any treatment other than the vaccines, which have proven shockingly ineffective as new variants have emerged. Therefore, I conclude that if there are any international agreements regarding future pandemic preparedness, the WHO has disqualified itself from playing any leading role. Health decisions are a basic human right, and therefore should be given every protection possible so that individuals, not governments, can make informed decisions. Any future pandemic preparedness agreements or treaties must include a clause that no treatment can be forced on any human and no coercion can be exercised to gain compliance with any specific medical course of action. Without such a clause, the agreement or treaty could easily become an instrument of tyranny, subjecting humanity to the decisions of a few, who may or may not have the best information or have the best interest of the masses in mind. Any pandemic preparedness treaty or agreement must also include that no one, under any circumstance, may be deprived of access to food, water, shelter, or any other basic human necessity, no matter what the conditions of the pandemic. Without such a clause the resulting human suffering from starvation and deprivation may easily outweigh the suffering from the pandemic itself.
Member of Beach Cities Community Church	The WHO Director-General (the "Director-General") had declared that the COVID-19 was a "public health emergency of international concern" (a "PHEIC"), setting off a worldwide tidal wave of highly restrictive government health policies resulting in lockdowns, mandatory masking, social isolation, school and business closings, and eventually culminating in compulsory vaccination, contact tracing and testing, in some populations. This was all the more egregious as pertains the widespread push for mandatory vaccination given that the mRNA technology used in the COVID jabs are highly experimental, having never before been tested in human clinical trials for safety or effectiveness. Their coerced or mandated use therefore violate informed consent given that evidence-based risk/benefit information based on long-term safety trails are not yet available. The aforementioned is illustrative that public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health, not one agency controlling multiple countries with varying degrees of health needs. This suggests a new global health governance that will strip nations of the right to determine their own health policy in the event of a pandemic. This intergovernmental negotiating body also is instructed to address "the unsustainable food production and livestock breeding, wildlife trading, resource-intensive lifestyles and consumption, destruction of ecosystems, antimicrobial resistance and soaring figures of cancer" along with a range of other issues. The underlying agenda envisions a world where WHO supersedes the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. This is not acceptable.
Private person	The WHO do NOT get to override our constitution. The WHO do NOT get to decide health or public policies for individual countries. I do NOT want your criminal & discriminatory health pass. Health is NOT a one size fits all. It has been shocking to watch the WHO undo all the trust & good I used to believe you did. Or perhaps I have always thought you better than you actually were. It's now abundantly clear that the WHO needs to go. You're done. No longer the world health organisation, you're now a drug cartel. People have died because of the harmful policies & practices you recommended. What you are & have been taking part in has caused irreversible & irreparable harm to humanity, in lockstep with big pharma. So no. I don't want you to DO anything. I want you to leave us alone. Or start to actually CARE about human health. Get the corruption out of your agency. THAT is the substantive element Id like to see. SHAME ON YOU for harming us instead. You disgust me.
Private	The WHO does not act in the best interests of humanity. Clear evidence for this fact is the last two-plus years of failed policies and actions in regard to the RNA "SARS-CoV-2". Allowing that such an unaccountable organization dictates the rules and actions different countries must adopt in regard to an actual pandemic is utterly absurd. The WHO should be terminated.
self	The WHO does not ask us, the citizens of the world, whether or not we believe a global agreement is necessary. Instead, the organization has decided for itself that this treaty is warranted - we reject this dictatorial control over the narrative! We want to STOP THE TREATY altogether. We do NOT want a UN Treaty on Pandemic Prevention, Preparedness and Response - we want individual, national responses to any pandemic, determined by actual, verified, un-corrupted scientific studies, democratic processes, voted on by our elected officials, where OUR direct voices can be registered!!! Let's face it the WHO had a pitiful performance during COVID - just pitiful - your organization flunked the practical exam. I think you should be fired altogether from any further Pandemic responses!
American Household	The WHO does not have any authority over a free people, and must not wield authority over entire nations. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dr. Khai Phan Inc.	The WHO does not have jurisdiction nor the right nor the power to determine what happens in a sovereign nation, pandemic or not. Individual sovereign nations and their people should be allowed to determine their best response to a pandemic with input from experts including the WHO. As a family physician who has been working on the front lines I have seen the first hand effects of restrictions affecting my practice including the suppression of ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine and I do not want to see it occur again. Restrictions placed on my practice by government and authorities have caused many people to die unnecessarily
General Public	The WHO does not have our best interests at heart. If the last two years have taught me anything it is that the WHO should be disbanded, or at the very least STOP taking money from private corrupt people/companies.
self employed	The Who does not have the authority to enforce undemocratic rights over sovereign people Please consider the following 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Heather Metz
US Citizen	The WHO does not have the authority to override the United States Constitution. As a US citizen I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. Membership in the WHO doesn't give this globalist organization the right to violate my civil liberties, human rights, and medical freedom. Therefore I request you to NOT create a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that goes against the United States Constitutions. Sincerely, Gail Zimmer
THE-Systemtechnik	The WHO does not have the legitimacy to impose its will on all people in the world. As the past has shown that pandemics regulate themselves and do not require pharmacy, the planned agreements are to be declared null and void. The pillars of natural law and civil rights such as autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice must be preserved.
Myself	The WHO does not have the power or authority to have decision making for the counties of the world. As seen from the recent virus. The WHO is unable to effectively speak in a consistent way to solve real problems. There are far too many outside influences involved whether that's large country or big pharmaceutical companies. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Interesting idea, right? Measures should not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We have seen this happening with the current virus. Thank you
Human	The WHO does not have the right legally to impose any regulations on any country in the world without the consent of the people of Earth. Not our Governments (you already own those). Paid and bought for. Disgusting. The people of Earth have had no say in anything that you and our Governments have done for the past 2 and a bit years. So NO NO NO is my answer to any agreements and/or agreements signed. Even with the Covid vaccines (experimental) we were not able to give consent (now knowing what you all have done) believe me there will be a reckoning and you all will pay dearly for what you and your elites have done to us, the people
N/A	The WHO does not have the right to dictate what ANY country "shall" do. Cease and desist trying to govern and stick to generating science backed, wise information for others to decide what is good and right.
Slice of Summer	The WHO does not have the right to set the health policy of any sovereign country. The WHO's track record has been horrible so far. Even if they had best intentions this is a bad idea because every country and state is different. One size does not fit all. Please stand down on this ridiculous plan. #StopTheTreaty
Self	The WHO does not hold the power or authority to enact a global pandemic response. This is up to individual countries and governments. The WHO serves as an advisory organization and nothing else. It's members are not elected and therefore hold no authority over sovereign nations or people. I strongly oppose any treaty entered into without the consent of the people or vote of representatives.
None	The WHO does not provide health advice backed by science. The WHO is complicit in crimes against humanity. The great body of evidence shows that COVID-19 lockdowns, shelter-in-place policies, masks, school closures, and mask mandates have failed in their purpose of curbing transmission or reducing deaths. These restrictive policies were ineffective and devastating failures, causing immense harm especially to the poorer and vulnerable within societies. WHO instructed countries to pursue these NPI measures regardless of the harm. At no time did WHO insist that these measures were unscientific, ineffective and harmful and therefore to abandon them. Last and not least, the WHO encouraged the roll out of experimental gene based injections with inadequate testing and questionable manufacturing standards. The result has been an exponential increase in adverse events including death of those who have received one or more of the jabs. The WHO is completely conflicted and corrupt. The WHO should cease to function as it is too influential and a danger to people's health.
Public	The WHO does not require a global response. Each sovereign government must be able to determine what parameters are necessary based on specific risk assessments and cost benefits. The fact that most of Africa was not vaccinated during the Wuhan /WEF flu and survived unscathed shows the damage WHO causes. The rushing through of a vaccine which VAERS and yellow card Data (to name only 2 surveillance models) show the untold damage vaccine mandates have caused.Of course, all of this known by WHO, WEF, Gates Foundation etc etc but a large proportion of the populace know it too. I can only hope that retribution is swift
None	The WHO doesn't need this kind of power or authority. It is a power grab and the sole purpose is none other then to further globalization. And just like a totalitarian organization you have me sign a terms of agreement to participate, but let me state clearly and forcefully. I do not agree with the terms of submission and participation and I was intimidated and corhereed into agreeing. I was left with no other option if I wished to participate. I strenuously reject the terms of agreement and I demand you respect my right to be heard with no pre existing conditions. I submit that the WHO should be disbanded. I submit the WHO should donate all of it's funds to the worlds citizens. I submit that the WHO is more of a political organization then a scientific health group.
US citizen	The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns which is a word used for penitentiaries it's not a medical practice. suppressed early preventive treatments including HCQ which is now recognized treatment by many countries to Vitamin C that was banned from social media for suggesting common vitamin. Recommended product interventions such as Remdesivir with dexamethasone (steroid) has been shown to account for acute kidney failure in 35% of the cases. This organization should be put on review for massive malpractice not given more power.
General public	The WHO failed everyone across the globe and showed how incompetent and bias they are during the SARS COVID 19 pandemic. The corruption within this organization and inability to hear all sides, looking at all studies (also known as "evidence based science") shows how they should have no say in how things go. Each country needs to be responsible for themselves and make their own decisions. The rate of suicide rates and depression are an entire new pandemic we will be dealing with thanks to this organizations incompetence and blatant disregard for the public. This organization also takes away one of the most important factors in health: person responsibility. What's even more sad is, as I write this, I know I will be disregarded. Thrown to the side and mocked. We know that COVID 19 was worse in those overweight, with type 2 diabetes, and other comorbidities, yet we completely ignored there data and forced people to mask themselves with masks that didn't work and actually cause more harm than good. As parents, we will be trying to heal an entire generation of children who don't know their bodies true ability to heal and stay healthy; they won't know facial expressions; and they certainly haven't learned how to treat others. The WHO is also run by parties who completely have agendas and this shows a conflict of interest. So, what should be included in international responses? 1. personal responsibility from the public. 2. The WHO should have no authority or influence on how countries respond. 3. The WHO need to go back to old definitions and stop making up new ones that fit their agenda and needs for power.
vita-impuls	The WHO first has to ask the people of the world whether or not they believe a global agreement is necessary at all. Secondly, national and local leadership has by now means to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I sincerely ask for the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. My submission stands for an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Our health systems needs to be depolitisized! Science must not be biased by conflicts of interests, as financial ones!
Private	The WHO Gas to decide to stop any laws and orders for further pandemics. Ist shall be part of everystate to decide their own way through a pandemie.
None	The WHO got many things wrong during the "pandemic". I would not trust them to draw up any international plans. Certain states/countries got it right, let's hear from them. I don't trust the WHO after this shambles. Political and financial interests, bad science, manipulation of public opinion..... shameful! It's also too soon to draw plans for future action.
Retired	The WHO had a readymade Pandemic Response in it's locker when Covid 19 came along. Unfortunately the current WHO directorate went completely against its procedures and advised Lockdowns. As a result I would wish to see the well thought Pandemic procedures in place prior to 2020 to be the base of any pandemic preparedness. I would also urge the use of early treatments using well thought-out cocktail of safe drugs devised by front line doctors. I would caution the use of new injectables until they have passed rigorous testing. Sovereign Nations should not be bound to follow WHO advices.
TBD	The WHO had no right to create any treaty that implies it has control over my body, health choices or those of my other human. Cease and desist this none sense treaty.
Public	The WHO has "lost its audience" and authority to preach over world health. The organisation is living in an echo chamber, with no appreciation that much of the recent harm across the world can be laid at its door. I have friends across the world and trust in doctors, medicine and all types of vaccination is at an all time low. This decline has happened rapidly, but it will take many years for trust to be rebuilt. The WHO will harm world health if it insists on global command and control. I fear this may be the intention, that vested interests want to do harm. WHO leaders have claimed great knowledge they do not have, more of the same and the people will rise up. While it may be entertaining to watch the breakdown of the WHO, the resulting chaos will affect the entire world population and particularly the poor. With respect, as a group, you have a messiah complex. There should be no substantive elements. Provide guidance to democratic governments, return to your roots with trusted staff and associates.
Church of Wales	The WHO has a duty and obligation to always respect an individual's right to body autonomy and the right to choose and always respect free choice in relation to vaccines and drugs. I demand that my religious convictions should be respected in that my I answer to God and not the state. Mandatory vaccines or medicines should never be allowed and made unlawful and religious or other exemptions should always be respected. The State is not in charge of my body. Disrespect the rights of an individual at your peril. Respect my personal Christian values and my God given natural rights. Helen Kingman I God I Trust. Amen
individual citizen	The WHO has a unique ability to observe the health situation in the world and to make recommendations to the nations of the world. However, the individual nations and the local governments are the ones who are directly facing the health situation of their community and they need to retain ultimate authority to address the concerns. Such a large bureaucracy as the WHO can't adequately evaluate each local situation and the unique needs of that locality. Unique local situations require local solutions. Please continue to make recommendations. However, remember that you are a human institution and humans sometimes make mistakes. If you happen to be incorrect or short sighted about some aspect of health and you make broad ranging recommendations, the only way to prevent a great disaster is if the local communities can review your recommendations and evaluate their usefulness. For example, you may recommend hand-sanitizer to help prevent transmission of disease. This works fine in non- third world countries where hand sanitizer can be readily available. But in the war zones of the world, third world countries, refugee camps, hand sanitizer is unavailable. Clean water may not even be readily available. Yes, make recommendations, but allow your recommendations to be interpreted and acted upon as is best for the local situation by those actively interacting with the local situation. If you choose to seize ultimate authority to dictate actions for the whole world, you do not have the best interest of the citizens of the world at heart.
NOne	The WHO has absolutely no right or authority to make such a treaty, or any decisions impacting people. It has proven totally untrustworthy and corrupt and should be dissolved and held to account, which WILL happen, sooner than later. The WHO has nothing to do with improving health or helping anyone, quite the contrary. Some people see through this charade. All of this will end.
Medical Practitioner	The WHO has an important role in providing information and guidelines for public health worldwide. It is however not a democratically elected body and receives funding from all sorts of organisations with vested interests and where there could be a conflict of interest. It could be potentially very dangerous for them to be able to impose certain policies worldwide. It is vital that governments encourage debate among their citizens and retain the right to make choices for their own populations. There is no one size fits all for health!
None	The WHO has and should never have zero jurisdiction over UK citizens and law. The idea is in breach of the moral and legal contract. Between citizen and state and is wholly unacceptable to the population at large. Any attempt by the WHO or WEF to encroach on our liberties or legal system and rights will surely be met with whole scale public disorder and immediate elections, replacement of what will be seems traitor government officials and immediate revocation of any agreement that may be attempted by the WHO or indeed the WEF. This will never be acceptable. The WEF and WHO are being watched by the world.
Humanity	The WHO has been given way to much power and influence in our day to day lives as it is. The health system is for everyone which means it must be for the individual and not the mass. I'm shocked from what's been going on these last few years from a system that is apparently there to help humanity. Greed and power has corrupted a lot of the advisory systems during this "pandemic" . I'm against all laws and regulations that restrict the freedoms of the people.
Action Centred Health	The WHO has been grossly ineffective in its response to the SARS CoV-2 pathogen. Instead of 'preparedness' for a future pandemic an effective international instrument must focus on prevention. This should be achieved through education programmes on diet and lifestyle and be beyond the influence of corporations and organisations who can benefit from mismanagement. In the event that a pandemic does indeed break out, preparedness should concentrate on the development of communication networks. An effective response to a pandemic should be led by competent doctors, not bureaucrats. The WHO has a part to play in ensuring the dissemination of data and co-ordinating the efforts of these doctors. The WHO also needs to support them to explore how existing drugs may be repurposed to allow for early treatment and so prevent hospitalisation. No response should be influenced or driven by any organisation that stands to benefit from decisions made by doctors.
EmergencHealth	The WHO has been proven incorrect regarding many aspects of the Covid-19 illness, the initial response, the lockdowns, the suppression of alternative treatments, misinformation regarding vaccines, and usurping of national sovereignty. American physicians will not submit to orders of foreign WHO advisors.
freeman	the who has been wrong on every aspect of health since the start and has absolutely no business making any decisions on anyones health. everyone that works for the who belongs in jail and i hope they end up there. who needs to be removed from this earth for ruining lives and killing millions of people.
None	The WHO has been wrong on so many issues. We should never have a treaty with it. The United States should only work with the WHO on a case by case basis.
Citizen of the USA	The WHO has demonstrated it's incompetence during the current pandemic by witholding information, silencing alternative scientific opinions and failing to recognize natural immunity. I hope and pray that national sovereignty will be preserved and that no regulatory power is given to the WHO
self	The WHO has done a poor job of managing the response to Covid. Based on that poor performance I would recommend that WHO desist from any further action in this area. In particular I recommend that WHO desist from any recommendations or requirements regarding vaccination or any other matters that are better addressed by the individual and their personal physician. WHO should address problems of worldwide viruses in a holistic manner -- considering economic, psychological, and social impacts in addition to the difficulties of the specific illness. WHO failed to address these issues in the case of the Covid situation. As a result, the actions of WHO did more damage, on the whole, than good. This damage was particularly revealed through increases in cases of drug addiction, suicide, child and spouse abuse, untreated illnesses... the list goes on and on. Rather than do further damage by developing an international treaty, I suggest that WHO devote some effort to reforming itself.

Organization	Written submission
Privately owned IT company	The WHO has done great things for humanity. However, delegating this much power to a centralized, non democratic entity is not a good idea. These sort of entities, like the WHO, are not transparent and have been shown to be vulnerable to lobbying efforts by multinational corporations. Furthermore, I strongly feel that every country should have the right to make autonomous decisions. Countries can cooperate if they choose to do so but should never subject their authority to the WHO.
Gen public	The WHO has failed the global public at large repeatedly during this covid era. It has taken direction on policy from Beijing, which is in the middle of brutalizing the citizens of Shanghai at this moment with inhuman lockdowns and starvation tactics. The WHO either approved failed government policies or ignored government policies all over the world that did nothing to stop the spread of covid. As bad as covid is for some, at the end of the day it was a flu that needs to be dealt with on a personal level with zero governmental involvement. The WHO has failed and needs to perform a self audit to make sure its policies and objectives are based in reality, and not based on the desires of the super wealthy to impose a top down world control system thru disingenuous health care restrictions.
Self	The WHO has language in its mission statement that decisions should be made in consideration of "country level". This concept must be paramount in EVERY single action of the WHO. We now live in world that functions both on a country level and a global level. It must always be remembered that even though we are global, our world is comprised of individual countries each with its own culture, value system, laws, and ways of life. Any plan to deal with a possible future global pandemic MUST take those individual differences in to account. There will never be a successful "one size fits all" treatment plan because of the vast differences in citizens of these unique countries. Each country in our world is entitled to make its own decisions based on the needs of its own citizens. The WHO must not overreach its responsibilities - it should inform, instruct, assist and guide, not mandate. Further, the WHO must protect itself from vested special interests (pharmaceutical companies, wealthy individuals, etc). All of its decisions must be made in accordance with its stated mission and main goal. It is my contention that a global pandemic treaty violates the stated mission and main goal of the World Health Organization.
na	The WHO has lost all credibility. I don't trust the WHO to handle pandemics with any competence. I wholly distrust the WHO and do not think a global body should have any say in how me, or my country handles it's internal affairs, including pandemics.
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen Nürnberg	The WHO has made during the Covid-19 pandemics enormous errors which have cost many lives (e.g. recommendations of fast intubation of Covid patients, no precise specification of the PCR test, etc). These errors were not due to missing "international instruments of pandemic response" but due to bad and cherry-picking science. In my opinion, any function of the WHO for enforcing pandemic measures from member states must be avoided at any cost, at least as long as the WHO is in its current form, where 80% of its funds are donated by the pharma industry and organisations (like the BMG foundation) strongly related with the pharma industry. If any such contract is accepted, I and many others will surely advocate our countries leaving the WHO.
Public	The WHO has no authority (and should have no authority) to usurp the sovereignty of individual nations. This is totalitarian control and should not be tolerated by any nation. Also, it would be illogical to think that the WHO would know the best response in each specific nation. The WHO cannot supplant the constitutions of sovereign nations no matter what the emergency; that would be tyranny of the highest order.
Individual	The WHO has no authority or right to have control over what decisions are made by any individual. All individuals have the God given right to decide what they want put into their body including informed consent. The government has no right to decide. The WHO is overstepping their charter and delving into areas they don't belong. The WHO has no business getting involved in the affairs of the United States.
Person	The WHO has NO authority over me or anyone else in this world. You will not usurp any government for your own power! You have done nothing to help this world. Best thing you can do is dismantle and go away.
United States citizens	The WHO has no authority over the sovereign and free citizens of the United States, nor do they have authority over the citizens of any country. Members of the WHO were not elected by the public and therefore have no jurisdiction.
Declaration of Independence	The WHO has no authority to make one rule for all. Be it medical, freedom, Justice, keep out of our country and the attempt to increase your power. WHO has lost credentialing, and the public will be forced to abandon the treaty. Freedom is not free, and WHO is on the wrong side of history in this.
Naff	The WHO has no authority to strip the United States of its sovereignty, nor shall the WHO supersede United States law, and practice or overturn any nations sovereign decisions.The WHO shall not threaten harm to the United States , its people,or any nation acting of their own volition to combat pandemics , to do so is an Act Of War.
Independent	The WHO has no authority whatsoever to draft or apply any legislation over the sovereignty of nations and states. The role of the WHO is clear and should it change, people will have to decide whether or not, their governments should no longer be the responsible institution to decide for health measures as they always did. The WHO is a also a corrupt and non elected structure that has no lecture or advise to provide and in this case, to impose on people of the world. If the WHO has any issue with democracy and human rights, they might need to amend the Universal Declaration of Human rights.
self	The WHO has no business deciding health policy for the entire world. There are plenty of intelligent and experienced medical professionals in every country in the world who are qualified to make health decisions in their own countries. The WHO is too easily influenced by a limited number of financial supporters to be trusted to make decisions for the entire world. In my opinion the only role that should be played by the WHO is make suggestions, not policies.
Private	The WHO has no business dictating National policy and has demonstrated that it is unfit for purpose, having been politicised and unduly influenced by supra national organisations, as well as governments with other agendas. Any involvement should be limited to advice only.
private	The WHO has no business entering into the private lives, and controlling the health of individuals in any country. We are independent people not cattle to be shuffled and experimented on at the whim of the World Economic Forum and the WHO. So away from us and out of our lives. We don't want you.
Private individual	The WHO has no business interfering in the rules and laws of my country and so I believe that there should not be any international instrument on pandemic preparedness. Any such interference in domestic policy is an unacceptable overreach and a manipulation of sovereign democracy. GET OUT OF MY COUNTRY, NOW.
Winterfell Holdings Limited	The WHO has no business making enforceable policy for the countries around the world. They have no recent track record of success. Leave sovereign nations alone!
VITABEO Services Inc.	The WHO has NO business overriding a sovereign nation's protocols! Stay out of my country's business. Legally, your organization has no right to meddle and interfere with our governing systems. Your team has NEVER been elected to govern my fellow citizens. GET OUT!
no	The who has no business telling sovereign countries to test, vaccinate, lock-down etc. What you are aiming for is communism and is opposite to freedom and happines.
Retired adult	The WHO has no business with power over any country. That is not there place. No treaty!!!!
none	The WHO has no credibility. It is a criminal organization. It should be defunded, shut down and leaders prosecuted for crimes against humanity.
None	The WHO has no elected members and therefore what is proposed should only be considered if the following safe guards are put in place. Signatories of the treaty should have be the ability to opt out of particular measures that may be damaging to the local and national interests. National leaders should retain full autonomy, the WHO as a unelected organisation should NOT be able to override the democratic process in countries.
NA	The WHO has no jurisdiction, and cannot dictate the health policies of sovereign nations & their citizens. The corruption & fraud perpetrated on the world under the guise of a "pandemic" since 2019 has been exposed for what it is - as has the WHO in their complicity.
private citizen	The WHO has no legal authority to determine the choices or treatments of US citizens,except if ratified by the US citizens and then Congress. I do not believe that control should be so far away from home in regards to our healthcare decisions, and am encouraging strongly my representatives to refuse to participate. In that vein, I would recommend that the only substantive element be that of consent by the individuals in question, and a means of opt-out for those morally or personally opposed. No one has the right to force any treatment or procedure on another person without individual consent, under any circumstances, and I intend to inform everyone I come into contact with of this attempted power grab by the WHO.
Member of public	The WHO has no legitimacy in the Realm of the United Kingdom as the WHO is not accountable to the people here. The WHO is part of the global government that is hostile to national sovereignties. We want no part of the WHO. Away with you and your evil devices.
None	The WHO has no place attempting to make its advice and directions legally binding. The WHO cannot be a supranational organisation since its funding comes largely from private organisations. The WHO should function purely as an advisory body and assist with pandemic preparedness where it can, without taking decision making away from independent and sovereign countries and states
N/A	The WHO has no place in having power over the health decisions of countries.
My Self	The WHO has no place in making health decisions for the world. Each country should be in control of it's own policies for it's own people. The WHO are not elected officials by the people and there for should not be writing policy. We do not want world governence from someone in another country half way around the world telling us how to conduct our health choices. We are a country that has a Constitution and we stand by it. No Treaty.
Na	The WHO has no place in public health measures or pandemics, you are not to tell entire populations what to do or force upon them with unethical masks, toxic shots, and lockdowns. WHO is absolutely tyrannical causing destruction and death in this generation.
Free to Fly Canada	The WHO has no place in the sovereign determination or any impact whatsoever regarding the constitution of nations. It must resolve to default: IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms.
NA	The WHO has no right to assume any form of global governance and should restrain its ambition and instead focus on promoting good practice rather than trying to overrule elected government or common law. The world's population are not property or slaves and I consider this to be an assault on my god given rights, and would ultimately lead to global authoritarian/totalitarian state.
	The Who has no right to make any decisions as to my or anyone's personal health decisions. You have not shown any interest in the betterment of the general health of people but only in the interest of the power of the vested few. Do not get involved if my personal health decisions..
Private	The WHO has no right to overrule the sovereignty of a country and it's people. They were not elected by a country. It is well proven that lockdowns imposed had only worsen the situation. Released documents from Pfizer shows it is not a vaccine where side effects and even death has been hidden. The WHO should focus on controlling the type of research done and making sure it is applied for the benefit and well-being of the population and not as a means to control and manipulate de population.. The treaty cannot overrule human rights and forbid them of choosing their medical and health status and treatment. The preparedness for a pandemic should be done through incorporating training courses on basic medical and epidemiological concepts to the population and controlling the dangerous research that might be done by certain institutions and pharma. Empower the medical community. Since it's only the human doctors who have the authority and knowledge to properly treat a sick person. This treaty is only about Global Governments totalitarianism I ask WHO to stop this treaty and respect human rights. Kind regards, Per Wisten
N/A	The WHO has no right to overrule the sovereignty of a country and it's people. They were not elected by a country. It is well proven that lockdowns imposed had only worsen the situation. The WHO should focus on controlling the type of research done and making sure it is applied for the benefit and well-being of the population and not as a means to control and manipulate the population.. The treaty cannot overrule human rights and forbid them of choosing their medical and health status and treatment.
D20	The WHO has no right to quietly and stealthily pass treaties that do not benefit the freedom of individuals. You are not GOD, you are not our masters, you think you know what is best for the world but you do not. I hope the next real leaders of the countries band together and put a stop to this tyrannical nonesense. You are not supreme leaders, heck, you aren't even elected officials! You have no right as self-appointed elites to make decisions for the world. Please stop barrelling this world towards nuclear war!
Private	The WHO has no right to recommend, urge or force any mandate on individuals when that mandate interferes with the Neurenburg code, the right to bodily integrity and/or has an adverse impact on their health and wellbeing, regardless of the individual's impact on others. Vaccination should always remain a gift for those choosing to accept it and should include a right to decline for those who don't. If a pandemic is raging across the world that is truly A-status material, people will beg for vaccination, not distrust it.
Private person	The WHO has no right to supersede national Constitutions and take over the command in case of a pandemic (declared on the terms of the WHO, that have been modified and allow to proclaim a pandemic when there is none). The people of the different countries are the sovereign of these countries and they must have the say as to what is being done or not. The WHO was never elected and would practically act as a world governement. The new proposed instrument is thus absolutely unacceptable.
private	The WHO has no right to tell countries or people how to live. The imposition of any medical manipulation on people is a violation of human rights and medical fascism. An authority such as the WHO does not have the power to decide the fate of people. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights states that only a person has a say in his or her own body, and no doctor, no state, no international organization has the right to impose anything.
Latvian citizen	The WHO has no right to tell countries or people how to live. The imposition of any medical manipulation on people is a violation of human rights and medical fascism. An authority such as the WHO does not have the power to decide the fate of people. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights states that only a person has a say in his or her own body, and no doctor, no state, no international organization has the right to impose anything.
DAS Übersetzungsbüro	The WHO has no rights and no power to overrule national parliaments. Germany has just rejected any compulsory vaccination - and the WHO needs to respect that decision. There is absolutely no need for an international pandemic agreement - national parliaments can adapt much better to local situations, rather than being dictated to by an aloof international organisation. Any kind of compulsory vaccination only divides society and could ultimately lead to a civil war. That is surely not the intention of the WHO - or is it really trying to wipe out the world population, as some conspiracy theories suggest? Could you also please explain why the WHO has only allowed 24 hours for comments to be submitted on what is an extremely disturbing development? Does this mean that the WHO has very little interest in democracy and wishes to keep the number of comments to a minimum? This seems to be a significant departure from purely medical issues to establishing political institutions. Why is the WHO doing this? The so-called pandemic has led to increasing powers of CONTROL by governments over their citizens. This process needs to be reversed, not complemented.
Personal	The WHO has no rights to decide for every nation how they deal with the pandemic. Every nation and has a right to decide for themselves how to deal with the pandemic. The WHO is not an elected body, nobody voted for the WHO. The Who needs to listen to experts and see the last approach to pandemic cost lots of lives and irreparable damage to the world economy. World Council for Health does not believe a pandemic treaty is necessary and would not benefit the people of our world. We need transparency, a return to open debate and dialogue, respect of our inalienable human rights and civil liberties and the sovereignty of all people and nations. We the people have the right to choose and refuse treatments, or medical interventions, including access to repurposed medications. I also call for a rejection of mass experimentation and social engineering, and discrimination based on medical status or choice. The WHO needs promote restoration for harms from medical interventions.
Individual Citizen	The WHO has no standing in determining by regulation or Treaty negotiation any public health measure that overrides the sovereignty of any nation. While you may supply advice or support you cannot supersede any elected government anywhere. The WHO is not a global government, you are not elected by anyone. The WHO has no standing to enact, demand compliance to, override or interfere with public health policy of any sovereign government. Public Health policy is sovereign, it is why nations have elected officials and massive bureaucracies. The WHO has no business interfering with the public health policies of any sovereign nation.
Northern Safety Solutions	The WHO has not asked the people of the world whether or not a global agreement is necessary however should one be deemed necessary then it should include the following; 1. Opposing views to any proposed pandemic measures to be subject to open and transparent public debate with no government, the WHO, or media (including social media) being allowed to stifle or censure such debate. 2. Nations have the ability to opt out of any parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all citizens to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures to be included that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or others who profit from global health measures. 5. National governments to retain full autonomy and reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
unique skills	the who has proved its incompetence over the last 3 years. we don't need you, we don't want you, and will actively oppose you.
Independent	The WHO has proven itself incapable of advising on pandemic related issues, much less taking additional powers over sovereign nations. This is a clear attempt to centralise additional powers in an organisation that has already proven itself corruptible. Local responses to pandemic related issues, based on local risks, is the only way forward. Those in rural setting do not have the same risks as those in urban settings. Additionally, countries with low vaccination rates (Africa) and countries who implemented few restrictions (Sweden) have had equal if not better outcomes from Covid 19 Vs countries who have chosen to force vaccinations and impose lockdowns. The WHO is incapable of separating it's financial interests from the advice it gives to the public, and as such should not be given additional power over citizens who have not elected them.
Sarah Feld Designs	The WHO has proven itself to be in political/financial cohoots with the largest pharmaceutical companies, among other of the wealthiest corporations. WHO should provide truthful, well established information on any illness, and allow local governments, doctors, scientists and investigative independent bodies do their own research to decide how they will treat it. Each individual has the right to decide for themselves, based on informed consent, without any coercion. No one shall be forced to take an experimental shot. The Nuremberg codes shall be honored.
Fixmybody	The WHO has proven itself to use draconian policies when confronted with a pathogen and an outbreak. The world should be spared of their controlling nature and all sovereignty should be retained within those countries, states and people as to how to treat sickness within a population. We say NO, wholeheartedly, to any "Treaty" the world would undertake in regards to health. Health decisions are a personal choice. We retain the rights of our own bodies and our own sovereignty. We believe the WHO is partially responsible for creating an emergency to gain unprecedented powers over that self made emergency to rob people of their rights to free choice. Lockdowns, masking, "vaccinations" have all proven ineffective and we sense that the WHO would use even harsher policies going forward, which would be devastating to mankind. We are AGAINST the "Treaty" and for personal choice and sovereignty. Thank you, Patrick Fixmybody Stroke survivor
Citizen of Ontario Canada	The WHO has proven they are incapable of providing proper advise in health care globally as they are under the regime of the WEF and as a free citizen I think the only way to improve anything the WHO is doing is to disband this organization completely. The WHO has no right or business to tell any country how to run health protocols
Self	The WHO has shown it can't be trusted to tell the truth, to not do anything against the CCP and to do nothing to inform nor protect US citizens!! We can not and must not give the WHO authority over our own constitution and the rights and freedoms of US citizens
None	The WHO has shown total incompetence in handling pandemics. Putting it in charge of world-wide response policy is a bad idea.
None	The WHO has stated that "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Therefore, as a member of the general public, I remind you that all international treaties are subject to The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code, and the Constitutions of all nations. All levels of leadership in a country (national, state, provincial, local) must retain their sovereign, free, and full autonomy; this would include their reserving the right to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement, without consequence. The value, dignity, and bodily autonomy of human lives must be paramount such that respect for the inviolable rights of each individual person (born or pre-born) must be upheld, and individual sovereignty and bodily autonomy must never be violated for any reason at any time or under any circumstances, without exception. Any and all decision-making processes must be open and transparent, and permit all the people of the world to have the ability to vote on it and to reject what they do not want, akin to the WHO assertion that such "informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance." Finally, Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must not be allowed to influence the process due to their conflict of interest.
Amanda Clifford	The WHO have consistently got it wrong throughout the whole pandemic and I, like millions of others have lost faith in them. They do not have the health of the public at heart as they have proven time after time. This corporation should never be in a position of authority and in my view cannot be trusted. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health.
LeanMachine	The WHO have disgraced themselves by allowing the drug companies to tell them what to do. We can never take the WHO seriously as long as they take "donations" (bribes) from any commercial interest. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	The WHO have failed in their previous curbing of pandemics, and the way they have been trampling human rights by recommending the wearing of masks and pushing vaccines that have done nothing to curb the spread of COVID19. Further to which they condoned vaccines that have had more negative side affects than is acceptable, including death. If this had been any other vaccine/medication it would have been withdrawn long time ago.
Citizen	The Who have helped killed so many with the lockdowns. You refuse to do your job. You should have been honest with the public, with the world. You have helped create human violations by locking them in indoors, millions without food or water. You have changed the world as we knew it. You have helped speed track Marxism throughout the world. This will put millions into poverty. Without natural gas and oil more diseases will happen, more deaths. We cannot gat life saving meds without fossil fuels. All of this is fact. If you were really honest with yourselves, you would agree that with fossil fuels came the modern development of our world that you sling with the WEF are trying to destroy. Without a strong working middle class, you don't have adequate funding. You have failed the human race. You are a disgrace. The world have a right to defund the WHO.
man of flesh and blood	The WHO have no right to impose their unnecessary, dictatorial, freedom - suppressing policies on the citizens of the world. They are not a body elected by the citizens of the world and they have no right to do this.
Self	The WHO have proven wholly untrustworthy over the last 24 months over their failures to keep a consistent and truthful position over the source of coronavirus, mitigation measures, vaccine testing short cuts, vaccine side effects, vaccine mandates, the assorted medical ethics and many similar themes that have driven a coach and horses through decades of trust and professional integrity. It is truly terrifying that you plan substantive elements in an international instrument that could be imposed on the people of the world. You have taken complete leave of your senses. You are now a complete tool of your funders and their political agendas and a clear and present danger to humanity. Your recent ideas have caused massive net harm to the world's health and standard of living. You have completely failed in you primary purpose and must put your own house in order before looking outward. You are absolutely terrifying.
Private	The WHO have repeatedly shown they are not safe guarding the public. This is a profit driven puppet racket to steer in the NWO order. You are witnessed for war crimes that even consider this criminal organization. Why are the WHO and governments not talking about the vaccine damages? The WHO needs to be disabled!
NA	The WHO have responded fine, and respectfully, during the covid pandemic. They have observed and guided, as is their role. What you must avoid is over-centralization. The world is a very diverse place, different cultures, histories, religions, values, rituals, habits. It would be a big mistake to impose one set of rules onto a whole world. Each country/culture should continue to have guidance, and then be free to find their own best way to handle a pandemic (or other problematic situation). Nuance is necessary, context is necessary. There are no 'one size fits all solutions'. The response should never create a bigger problem, than the problem itself, and over-centralization could easily create bigger problems.
Non	The WHO interference in UK governance would be specifically illegal under the UK Bill of Rights. This bill makes it illegal for an outside power to interfere in UK laws.
na	The WHO international pandemic preparedness agreement is unnecessary and threatens nations' and individuals' sovereignty and their inalienable rights. As a global sovereign citizen, I veto this initiative.
none	The WHO international pandemic regulations should include what ever them deem necessary as long as the countries who agree to the regulation have final authority in their implementation . Constitutions from nations regarding human rights must be honored , and not usurped by any recommendations the WHO may suggest. All recommendations should be based on solid scientific studies.
Wall Chiropractic	The WHO International Pandemic treaty imposes more restrictive and legally binding policies among its member nations. This preempts the national sovereignty of member states and, by implication, the civil liberties and health rights of the world's citizens. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet they don't have the authority to override the Constitution of the Canada, nor its national sovereignty. A Pandemic Treaty could pave the way for an initiative like the Good Health Pass, described by Tony Blair as an "internationally-recognized system of health passes" for world travelers. Bodily sovereignty, parental rights and informed consent could be easily violated with this treaty. I object to this treaty.
Public	The WHO is a advisory organization, so they should not have mandatory powers, to mandate in independent countrys, and in its health cares institutes. So, I do not agree, neither consent, that this empowerment, should be seen like a dictatorship, and a power abuse, against freedom of people countries !!!!
Irish education alliance	The WHO is a body of unelected people. They have absolutely no right to decide on medical decisions that will affect me as a sovereign free man. I did not vote for any member of the WHO to make medical decisions for me. Nor did I vote for my government to support these unelected bureaucrats. The WHO has absolutely no authority over me as a free sovereign man. I object completely to the WHO dictating rules about my private health status.
Q & A Environmental	The WHO is a completely unelected body and as such in no way should have global hegemony over the elected governments and peoples of the world. This should be entirely self-evident to any thinking individual, but apparently comes as a revelation to the conspiring and meddling apparatchiks within the WHO. The extant WHO is an authoritarian body who are clearly in thrall to Big Pharma and other vested elites, a case in point being the alteration of the definition of what constitutes a global pandemic back in 2009, reducing the definition to a single criteria of any infectious disease of global extent. This means that even the common cold could be categorized by the WHO as a global pandemic, which is patently absurd and medically fraudulent. The so-called Covid-19 pandemic is another case in point, being a global medical fraud perpetrated on the planet by the WHO, Big Pharma and the WEF, with a roughly 99.8% survival rate, comparable to the seasonal flu. The current proposed WHO Pandemic Preparedness Treaty or Agreement is simply another WHO power grab, overriding the sovereignty of individual nations and their citizens. I propose no articles or elements for such a coercive, manipulative and completely unnecessary agreement, but simply demand that this entire WHO pandemic preparedness treaty/agreement process come to a complete stop, and never be revisited again..
INDIVIDUAL US CITIZEN	The WHO is a corrupt organization. The WHO has ZERO business dictating any US policy. WE DO NOT CONSENT to joining this treaty. The US is a sovereign nation.
Anonymous	The WHO is a disgrace. When the truth is out, humanity will judge the WHO harshly in how it 'handled', that is to say, helped precipitate the Covid-19 pandemic. The WHO should be disbanded immediately and cease to issue any guidance whatsoever. It provides no positive value. At the very least, the following protocol must be supported from within the organization. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Germany	The WHO is a non elected organisation and has no rights to enable my personal health and my human rights. I disagree with any plan to do this.
Personal Capacity	The WHO is a non-elected organization, currently largely funded by big players in the pharmaceutical industry. It therefore lacks any 'democratic mandate to govern', which is exactly what this treaty aims to do. Governments across the globe appear to be signing up to this, including our own) without any democratic mandate, like a referendum. This can and will lead to an abuse of power, for the sake of increasing profits for those owning the pharmaceutical industry. The following checks and balances therefore need to be in place: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities (crucial!) to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Those donating to the WHO to fund it MUST be forced to disclose their conflict of interest.
Individual	The WHO is a private corporation that has no authority or constitutional basis for intervening in the life of individual US citizens. It's fine for such an organization to exist and to posture, and to recommend whatever their donors dictate, but I DO NOT approve of ANY agreements between me, my state, my or my Country and the WHO. My health is NOT under the control of an unelected international corporation. Particularly one that is nothing more than a front for billionaire eugenicists and pharmaceutical concerns.
Private	The WHO is a private corporation without elected officials. It receives a great deal of its funds from organisations with conflicts of interest. It should have no control over any sovereign nation.
Aurora	The WHO is a private entity there is absolutely no reason they should be given any power during "pandemics" COVID has taught us that there is a lot to be learned. Not everyone has been truthful. Many things could have been done differently. The main thing we need to focus on is early treatment, prevention and caring for people who become Sick. Hiding data, saying to "trust the science" and rushing judgement has not helped it has harmed us. We need to take time to research, wait for trials and do our best. Mandates, lockdowns and their one size fits all solution didn't work. And neither would giving the WHO complete authority during a pandemic
Conscious Energy	The WHO is a psyop against humanity and all who support the WHO war crimes against humanity will be dealt with according to their crimes against humanity this year. Do not trouble the citizens of planet Earth with your puerile attempts to harm us. Your lies have been exposed and your true intentions revealed. You who are processing this submission, Save yourselves now and leave the WHO and get an honest form of engagement with this beautiful planet. You are worth it. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self Representation	The WHO is a sadly corrupt organization and I DO NOT want my country, THE YSA, to kowtow & forfeit my, nor my fellow countrymen sovereign rights as individuals & a Nation to the power grabbing whim of an illegitimate, so-called "treaty" that will not have been voted on/ratified by OUR Representative Republic's elected officials. We have seen what can happen to INDEPENDENT individuals & sovereign nations when considering corrupt "leadership". Multiple Failures from WHO at many juncture in H1N1 & that vax program, as well as w/ CV19 lockouts & recommended "mitigation" worldwide. These "vaccines" are NEITGER safe, NOR effective & are CLEARLY harmful & unnecessary. #StopTheTreaty 100%!!!

Organization	Written submission
the world community	The who is accountable only to those who support it financially, and that is corporations. It cannot be justified to give authority over sovereign nations political levers to a governing structure that is not accountable to the sovereign nation. Provide all the suggestions you want but the who should have no actual control in times of emergency or not. You may have have malevolent ideals at the introduction of this treaty but who knows who will run the who down the road. If this treaty goes forward it must have a yearly sunset clause to be renewed by each sovereign nations alone, and the who and its subsidiaries may not interfere in that decision, rather it should be voted upon by the citizens of each sovereign nation.
Member of the public	The WHO is an advisory body and should remain so. It already has influence. To turn this influence into power through a binding treaty is a terrible idea. You do not need a treaty to make recommendations. The members of the WHO are not democratically elected and I can not vote them out. This means decisions should be left to sovereign governments to decide, not the WHO.
Concerned Citizen	The WHO is an advisory body and should remain so. You do not need a treaty to advise governments and countries. The WHO already has influence. To turn this influence into power, through a binding treaty is a terrible idea. The members of the WHO are not democratically elected and I cannot vote them out. Therefore decisions should be left with sovereign governments to decide what is best for their populations. Your organisation seems to have been infiltrated by vested interests and global medical profiteers. As such, your recommendations are tainted. You need to purge your organisation of such influences and restore confidence in your impartiality and your reputation.
none	The WHO is an artificial entity that has no elected or accountable members in its membership. It has no authority of any lawful nature over me. I will continue to defend our inalienable god given rights, and you, whoever you are, need to realize that you have no power over us - you are naked to us.
FSO	THE WHO is an organisation that lacks any credability what so ever. The handling of the Covid pandemic has showed the whole world that the organisation has no interest in the health of ordinary people. I consider the WHO being a totaly irrelevant entity. Close down WHO at once!
None	The WHO is an organisation. Who are the people involved in this and other organisations that would presume to have jurisdiction over us. I don't know them and think that the first priority would be to know who these people are that think they know better than we do about what is right for us. I know they take to themselves an awful lot of power because of the money behind them. Their actions in this so called pandemic do not convince me they would be any better in the next.
US Citizen	The WHO is an undemocratic body which should not have enforcement power of any pandemic-related activities over sovereign nations. Its silence during China's and the US's inhumane lockdown policies violating our natural human rights is a travesty.
Humanity	The WHO is an undemocratic, unelected (by the people), part privately funded and therefore corrupted organisation that cannot reflect the will of all of the people in all of the countries of the World. This is simply a One World Health Government in disguise and smacks of the elite movement towards the One World Government, One World Military and One World Order. The WHO advice re masks and COVID has been at best flawed, at worst, criminal. There will be no accountability, no checks and balances and no control. It is a disastrous plan, to serve a minority only. global' diktat from an unelected undemocratic unaccountable organisation such as the WHO, which is heavily influenced by vested financial considerations, would have been a disaster. If any national government abdicates their responsibilities and duties to their citizens and kowtows to a non-democratic quango like the WHO, then those politicians responsible are guilty of nothing short of treason. At best, the WHO should be nothing more than just an advisory body. It does NOT have the right to overrule or supersede national sovereignty. Love, Human being
member of public	The WHO is an unelected body and acting in a fascist dictatorship manor and must not be allowed to overrule our elected government and our sovereignty, and other countries around the world must make their own decisions, any thought of a one world government is inconceivable, and make decisions on all our everyday lives, including, making decisions on medical in all its forms, corrupt pharmaceutical companies producing products to suit WHO needs, Our inalienable human rights, must be kept in place, our government, our choices, our decisions, our body's, how our response to emergencies is the decision of our people. The WHO must not be permitted to dictate to our country, our citizens, or on a global platform.
private individual	The WHO is an unelected body and has no business interfering with the autonomy of any nation. What's more, the WHO has shown itself not to be impartial, but highly compromised, and it's recommendations on Covid response have been disastrous. I do not want a global pandemic agreement - indeed groupthink is one of the world's most dire problems - but should it go ahead, then in line with the World Council for Health, I believe that: - National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - There must be open and transparent processes with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Global health profiteers and all pharmaceutical companies must be excluded from the processes, they are not impartial and the enormous conflict of interest means they do not make objective or humane decisions. Sadly, this has been demonstrated again and again in the last two years, and beyond.
Private citizen of USA	The Who is an unelected body that does NOT have the authority to dictate how I, as a US citizen, live my life. You do not have this power. Nobody at The Who has this power. God has granted me liberty and freedom to live life as I choose. YOU HAVE NO SAY IN THE MATTER!!! IT IS BETWEEN ME AND MY GOD!
Group of concerned citizens	The WHO is an unelected body which does not represent not have the support of the tax paying people's of this world. Further, their connection to and funding by the Bill and Melinda Gates organisation, not mention the pharmaceutical giants, compromises the organisations integrity and motives. I firmly believe that health matters should be determined by sovereign nations in the interests of their people. International co-operation will always be required in certain cases but this move by the WHO is a political power grab and, in my opinion, has nothing to do with healthcare.
None	The WHO is an unelected body with conflicts of interest and corrupt practices. You have no right to impose restrictions on anyone. Everyone is entitled to personal sovereignty and bodily autonomy. This is both evil and criminal.
member of public	the who is an unelected organisation and has no right whatsoever to impose its policies on any body
Self	The WHO is an unelected organisation which has neither power nor authority over the world's health and, therefore, has no legitimacy to dictate on matters of global health to any country. It is also compromised by its connections to the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and to the huge pharmaceutical companies. The proposed Pandemic Treaty is a vile and dictatorial assault on a nation's health structure and, ultimately, on the medical freedom and bodily autonomy of that nation's people. It should be binned immediately. HOW DARE YOU TELL ME WHAT DRUGS TO PUT INTO MY BODY.
None	The WHO is an unelected organisation which should (indeed, must) only act in an advisory capacity in the event of future pandemics. The WHO should not, under any circumstances, be handed any levers of power over the populations of any country against the will of that population. We have democratically elected Governments who should be responsible for whatever strategy is required in such a situation. It is, indeed, truly terrifying that an organisation such as the WHO looks to be launching an undemocratic, unacceptable power grab.
none	The WHO is an unelected organization. I do not vote for them and therefore I do not consent for them to make any health related decisions for me or my family. A treaty that removes power from the delegates I'm able to authorize to represent me by voting, undermines the constitution of the United States of America. Further more, the WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people". If you want my co-operation you have to earn it, not dictate it.
Home Physical Therapy Services	The WHO is appreciated as a body offering health guidance but must not supersede constitutions of individual nations. Citizens of the world need local access to their elected government to better decide their fate and not to distant inaccessible entities. Thank you
N/A	The WHO is attempting a power grab to control the sovereign communities of the world. It is not the role of the world health organization to dictate the health rights of individuals, families and communities. #StopTheTreaty
None	The WHO is complicit in crimes against humanity. The great body of evidence shows that COVID-19 lockdowns, shelter-in-place policies, masks, school closures, and mask mandates have failed in their purpose of curbing transmission or reducing deaths. These restrictive policies were ineffective and devastating failures, causing immense harm especially to the poorer and vulnerable within societies. WHO instructed countries to pursue these NPI measures regardless of the harm. At no time did WHO insist that these measures were unscientific, ineffective and harmful and therefore to abandon them. Last and not least, the WHO encouraged the roll out of experimental gene based injections with inadequate testing and questionable manufacturing standards. The result has been an exponential increase in adverse events including death of those who have received one or more of the jabs. The WHO is not God, WHO is completely conflicted and corrupt. The WHO should cease to function as it is too influential and a danger to people's health.
Private	The WHO is complicit in crimes against humanity. The great body of evidence shows that COVID-19 lockdowns, shelter-in-place policies, masks, school closures, and mask mandates have failed in their purpose of curbing transmission or reducing deaths. These restrictive policies were ineffective and devastating failures, causing immense harm especially to the poorer and vulnerable within societies. WHO instructed countries to pursue these NPI measures regardless of the harm. At no time did WHO insist that these measures were unscientific, ineffective and harmful and therefore to abandon them. Last and not least, the WHO encouraged the roll out of experimental gene based injections with inadequate testing and questionable manufacturing standards. The result has been an exponential increase in adverse events including death of those who have received one or more of the jabs. The WHO is sadly completely conflicted and corrupt. The WHO should cease to function as it is too influential and a danger to people's health.
None	The WHO is comprised by their benefactors. There is no way they can be objective when over 50% of their funding comes from pharmaceuticals and private companies. The Fact that the mishandled Covid and denied natural immunity is disgusting. They should have known a "so called" vaccine that didn't give immunity would never stop any virus with this many mutations. They refused to listen to the most qualified scientist all around the world and encourage treatments instead of vaccines that don't work. Not only do I go against this treaty, I think this organization should be investigated for corruption.
-	The WHO is controlled by individuals and organisations in order to maximize their profits. Genetic analysis of all the variants of SARS-CoV-2 globally show that the pandemic phase ended in mid 2020. This was followed by a phase of regional epidemics where a small number of less lethal variants dominated in various regions around the world. This data is available to all researchers and advisors informing WHO. So why was the existinence of the pandemic falsely continued? Because the various drugs, called 'vaccines' by their makers, were not ready. This led to a phase of coerced administration of these experimental drugs, for which the makers have been absolved of all legal liability. These drugs then induced ADE as expected (CDC pre-release planning, etc). A genetic malaria 'vaccine' produced with great publicity was withdrawn from the market because of the induced ADE. The SARS-CoV-2 drugs are still being pushed as the makers have no incentive to withdraw them. Indeed they have an incentive to continue as they gain more epidoemiolgical data at minimal cost to themselves. The proposed WHO treaty is nothing less than induced slavery where a person is denied control of their own body simply to satify the greed of drug makers and their shareholders. The corruption behind the SARS-CoV-2 episode needs to be exposed and those responsible brought to court.
NHS	The WHO is corrupt and controlled by Gates and big pharma.Public health policy should be individualised not global!This is a very bad idea to make policy global. I'm a retired consultant physician who returned to help with covid vaccination.I jabbed over 4000 but stopped when they created mandates and vaccinated children where cost benefit analysis is negative. I have been disgusted by the actions of WHO over lockdowns,masks and mandates all crazy highly damaging to society as a whole. Please leave autonomy of public health to sovereign governments.They may get things wrong but we can vote them out but can't downvote the WHO
Frontline Covid-19 Critical Care Alliance	The WHO is corrupt and has helpes contribute to the despair and death around the world because of their pandemic policies. We do nit want the WHO in charge of health and human life.
citizen	The WHO is corrupt and incompetent, Tedros isn't even a doctor. They completely failed in every aspect of covid and should not be in charge of anything. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" which clearly is an attempt to override the Constitution of Canada, and its national sovereignty. I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder Canadian sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health. I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take orders by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of personal data. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people when WHO decides. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and demand that my voice be heard.
self	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet they don't have the authority to override the Constitution of the United States, nor its national sovereignty. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. Please hold WHO accountable to their word.
none	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet they don't have the authority to override the Constitution of the United States, nor its national sovereignty. A Pandemic Treaty could pave the way for an initiative like the Good Health Pass, described by Tony Blair as an "internationally-recognized system of health passes" for world travelers. Our membership in the WHO doesn't give this globalist organization the right to violate our civil liberties, human rights, and medical freedom.
Citizen of the United States	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet they don't have the authority to override the Constitution of the United States, nor its national sovereignty. For more details see below. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. This Pandemic Treaty could pave the way for an initiative like the Good Health Pass, described by Tony Blair as an "internationally-recognized system of health passes" for world travelers. Bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, must be a top priority. Our membership in the WHO doesn't give this globalist organization the right to violate our civil liberties, human rights, and medical freedom. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected.
None	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet you DON'T have the authority to Override the Constitution of Canada, nor its national sovereignty. I Strongly Oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would Hinder Canadian Sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health! I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and Demand that my Voice be Heard! Thank You
self-employed	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet you don't have the authority to override the Constitution of Canada, nor its national sovereignty. I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder Canadian sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health. I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and demand that my voice be heard.
I am not organization. I am a person	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet you don't have the authority to override the Constitution of Canada, nor its national sovereignty. I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder Canadian sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health. I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and demand that my voice be heard.
None	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet you DON'T have the authority to override the Constitution of Canada, nor its National Sovereignty. I Strongly Oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would Hinder Canadian Sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health. I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and Demand that my voice be heard!
no organization	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet you DON'T have the authority to override the Constitution of Canada, nor its National Sovereignty. I Strongly Oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would Hinder Canadian Sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health. I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and Demand that my voice be heard!
n/a	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet you DON'T have the authority to override the Constitution of Canada, nor its National Sovereignty. I Strongly Oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would Hinder Canadian Sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health. I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and Demand that my voice be heard!
Canadian Freedom	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet you don't have the authority to override the Constitution of Canada, nor its national sovereignty. I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder Canadian sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health. I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and demand that my voice be heard.
Concerned Canadian	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet you don't have the authority to override the Constitution of Canada, nor its national sovereignty. I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder Canadian sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health. I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and demand that my voice be heard.
Canada	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet you don't have the authority to override the Constitution of Canada, nor its national sovereignty. I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder Canadian sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health. I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and demand that my voice be heard.
Canada	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet you don't have the authority to override the Constitution of Canada, nor its national sovereignty. I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder Canadian sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health. I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and demand that my voice be heard.
General Public	The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government", yet it doesn't have the authority to override the Constitution of Canada, nor its national sovereignty. I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder Canadian sovereignty in any area, and especially in public health. I oppose policies that require Canadian citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. Finally, I strongly oppose WHO, which has proven to work against the public by burying data. The WHO is not elected by the people and therefore has no standing in any public policy, for any country. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the Canadian people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I demand transparency, including an open public discussion period, in the negotiations for a global health agreement. I affirm my bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, and demand that my voice be heard.
NA	The WHO is made up of officials that have been appointed and not elected and for this reason should not have the power to impose public health measures on different nations in the name of a "global health response". That task should be the responsibility of national and local governments, which have the democratic backing of the public. If that public disagrees with these leaderships, it can vote them out of power. To press home the point, any WHO guidelines should remain purely advisory and have no legal basis as laws are made for the people by their elected representatives. Finally, any agreements drawn up WHO should not be influenced by the multi-national pharmaceutical companies, which stand to make billions of dollars out of mass health campaigns endorsed by the organisation, however well-meaning its bureaucrats are.
Private person	The WHO is mainly financed by private capital. It took an unscientific and inhumane position during the "Corona pandemic". The WHO acts in the interest of their private financiers against freedom and health of the people. All nations should defund WHO and stop all cooperation with it. #StopTheTreaty
Citizen	The WHO is moving beyond its remit. It has NO authority over independent nations healthcare systems nor pandemic planning. As the WHO have always stated, each sovereign country decides its own path when pandemic planning. WHO have no authority and as such should not be pursuing a centralised pandemic response especially as they would affect countries differently.
IT Consultant	The WHO is no longer independent and no an longer organisation that should have any say in public health. Money, power and greed is now the WHOs main agenda as its been purchased. You have no business trying to take over countries all under the shadow umbrella of Covid Policies. It's quite disappointing that this hearing barely has been made public. It should be mainstream news so you actually get an opportunity to get real public opinion.
General public	The WHO is not a democratic elected organization and I disagree with your pursuit to micro manage every human on the planet. Pandemic response belongs with individual government and not some fringe group obsessed with domination.
none	The WHO is not a democratic entity. I vote for candidates in the English county elections to represent my interests. MPS have no right to contract out their responsibilities to supranational organisations such as the UN, WEF, WHO or any other. I will not comply with orders from supranational entities that do not represent my views and have not been asked to represent my views. We, The People, can see what all the global organisations are trying to do - to subvert national democratic governments and replace them with international global governance. Our sovereignty is being given away by politicians who have no right to do so. WE DO NOT WANT YOU TELLING US WHAT TO DO AND HOW WE MUST LIVE. YOU HAVE NO RIGHT. Your salaries come from us. We employ you. Laugh at that all you like; it is true. And we are many...and you are few...
Private citizen	The WHO is not a democratically elected body and I cannot object strongly enough to its desire to seek the power to micro-manage each and every human on the planet. The response to any pandemic must at all times reside with individual nations' governments. In turn, those governments can be voted out of office if their citizens are unhappy with their government's response to such a pandemic. Neither the WHO nor any other organisation must ever have powers to override a nation's government potentiallyt, thus turning its citizens into slaves.
Private citizen	The WHO is not a democratically elected body of governance. Any instrument created by the WHO for the purposes of assisting countries to combat health crises, must be subject to the laws of the countries that are signatories to it. The abuses by governments in the last two years have been horrific. Human rights must never again be breached in the name of health. This proposed instrument must not be allowed to become an extra weapon in the arsenal of those who wish to coerce private citizens into taking medical procedures against their better judgement. A protocol must be written into this instrument ensuring complete transparency regarding the science behind WHO recommendations, and rigorous scientific debate regarding health guidelines and medical procedures should be conducted in a televised public forum so that informed consent can take place. Independent experts that are not funded by pharmaceutical companies must be called upon to evaluate future guidelines. The WHO has lost credibility with many citizens of the world. It is time to rectify this by allowing proper debate and ensuring that guidance is not influenced by funding from pharmaceutical companies and/or foundations with financial interests in those companies.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	The WHO is not a democratically elected body, it is not a government which is elected by the people to represent it. I completely disagree with the WHO obtaining permission to micro manage and have dominion over every human being. Pandemic responses, good or bad, lie with the individual governments of each country, and we the people elect those governments, mainly via democratic processes. We do not elect YOU. If we do not like our government, we can vote to remove them, that is a democratic process. Your plans are to override the will of the people, and control them in an overarching fashion which is NOT what we voted for. Your plans will turn people into potential slaves and remove free will, that is NOT acceptable
Green Light Plants, LLC	The WHO is NOT a democratically elected body, the government and constitution of each country should take precedence over any regulations promulgated by the WHO. The inalienable rights of the citizens should not be infringed upon, regardless of any declared emergency. The citizens and medical bodies in each country should be trusted to identify emergencies and take proper precautions."
Member of the Public	The WHO is not a democratically elected body. As such it should have no authority, in any circumstances, to dictate the actions of democratically elected governments. The proposed Pandemic Preparedness Agreement threatens to override the autonomy of democratically elected governments. This must be stopped. The WHO can advise but governments elected by the people for the people should decide
None	The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation and I totally disagree with you obtaining permission to micro manage every human being on the planet. Pandemic response lies with individual governments and if we the people don't like that response we vote them out at the next opportunity (as I'm sure will happen over the next few years in some democracies). Your plans potentially turn me into a slave.
Doesn't matter where I work	The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation and I totally disagree with you obtaining permission to micro manage every human being on the planet. Pandemic response lies with individual governments and if we the people don't like that response we vote them out at the next opportunity (as I'm sure will happen over the next few years in some democracies). Your plans potentially turn me into a slave. Humans have revered from caronaviruses for millennia without your overreaching control. Let us make our own health decisions!!
PCLTD	the WHO is not a democratically elected organisation and as such you have no right to manage any human being on this planet #stopthetreaty
None	The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation and I do not consent to any non elected entity deciding the future of pandemic emergency preparedness. This should be left down to individual governments as they have been elected by their people.
Not appliciable	The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation and I don't believe they act in the best interest of the citizens. I believe their objectives represent the requests of corporations and not the Will of the people. I believe individual States should make pandemic decisions for each Country on a decentralised basis. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Private individual	The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation and I totally disagree with obtaining permission to micro manage every human being 9n the planet. Pandemic response lies with individual governments and if we the people do not like that response we vote them out at the next opportunity as I am sure will definitely happen over the next few years with some democracies. Your plans potentially turn me into a slave and I reject them completely.
Na	The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation and I totally disagree with you obtaining permission to micro manage every human being on the planet. Pandemic response lies with individual governments and if we the people don't like that response we vote them out at the next opportunity (as I'm sure will happen over the next few years in some democracies). Your plans potentially turn me into a slave.
Private	The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation, and I strongly object to any effort by you to obtain permission to manage the lives of me or any other person in the event of any future pandemic. Any measures implemented should be by individual governments, who can be defeated in democratic votes if the populace decides. Which given the ineptitude witnessed in the past two years, I'm sure will happen.
Citizen	The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation, it should be up to individual governments voted for by the population to decide how to manage pandemic situations while at the same time respecting the bodily autonomy of individual citizens and their right to make decisions about their own health. A pandemic treaty such as this would be a complete overreach and an infringement on Human Rights, we could end up all being in the same dire situation as China.
UK citizen	The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation. Pandemic responses are up to individual governments, making decisions on what is best for their own people. This is the most important thing and should always prevail. An international instrument on preparedness or response is NOT necessary or wanted. The WHO position is compromised by its links to pharmaceutical companies so I question whether it really has people's best interests at heart. Measures should be taken to ensure there is no influence by any company or individual who might profit from decisions.
Member of public	The WHO is not a democratically elected organisation. It therefore should not have any ability to enforce pandemic preparedness and responses on any nation state. Generic decisions made without adequate understanding of local issues would likely cause resentment in those populations targetted. Currently the WHO provides independent guidance on pandemics and this should remain the position.
N/A	The WHO is not a democratically elected organization and I totally disagree with you obtaining permission to micromanage every human being on the planet. Pandemic response lies with individual governments and if we the people don't like that response, we vote them out at the next opportunity (as I'm sure will happen over the next few years in some democracies). Your plans potentially turn will turn our counties citizens into slaves. Please allow for freedom of choice at the state and local levels. Thank you for your time and consideration.
personal	The WHO is not a democratically elected organization and I totally disagree with you obtaining permission to micro manage every human being on the planet. Pandemic response lies with individual governments and if we the people don't like that response we vote them out at the next opportunity (as I'm sure will happen over the next few years in some democracies. You do not have the power to control lives!
Opal Commercial Finance	The WHO is not a democratically elected organization. I object to your despotic desire to micro manage the lives of everyone on the planet. Your role is to offer guidance only. It is the role of individual governments to decide their countries pandemic response with the backing of their electorate. Different countries/populations will require a different approach. One solution will not be appropriate for everyone. History tells us that dictatorships never end well.
British citizen	The WHO is not a democratically voted for organisation. Each country has a sovereign right to decide what is best for its citizens. I strongly disagree with the proposal of this treaty.
None	The WHO is not a democratically-elected organisation. I disagree wholeheartedly with this proposal. Pandemic responses lie with individual governments, who have been democratically elected.
Individual	The WHO is not a demogratically elected organisation and as such should have no control over peoples rights in individual countries. They are there to advise and give guidance only. Responses to pandemics rest with the Governments of the countries since they can be voted out if the people are unhappy with the decisions taken at both local and national levels.
none	The WHO is not a dictatorship, and should never receive totalitarian control of the nations of the world. It is not democratic for the WHO to govern all nations via any treaty agreement. National and local leadership/municipalities must retain full autonomy, so they can make decisions based on what is best for their own people, moreover, the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent, democratic voting process must be included in any treaty agreement, with fail-safe measure to prevent application of the agreement in places where people do not want it. Measures must be taken to stop profiteers, most poignantly all pharmaceutical companies, from influencing this or any treaty agreement.
Pacific Green Party, Lane	The WHO is not a diplomatic meeting and is in no way qualified to direct or facilitate the negotiation of any international treaty. This is plainly a power grab to gain back-door access to exert control over world governments. The past two years have already proven the WHO's rampant interference in affairs both internationally and within sovereign states. This is a serious risk to global peace and security. The WHO should be dissolved.
Own man	The WHO is not a government and therefore can not do such and each government reacts to each pendamic as per its people this can not be done by people we never voted for
Public	The WHO is not an appropriate body to make decisions on my behalf. I would not be happy knowing there was no way to challenge decisions or to see evidence of research these decisions were based on. My overall feeling throughout the recent pandemic is that governing bodies including WHO were not being honest and transparent and I would not be happy to have my freedoms removed without hard evidence of the necessity. It seemed to me that experts who were speaking sense were silenced or dismissed if they didn't follow the narrative and I would not feel easy with the thought that a pandemic was being dealt with by one governing body on a global scale.
n.a.	The WHO is not an democratically elected body and therefor elected national and local governments need to retain full autonomy, retaining the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own population. The ability of nations and of local governments to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is absolutely necessary. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, needs to be implemented. It has to be guaranteed that neither pharmaceutical companies nor other global health profiteers can influence the decision making process in any way or form.
Private citizen	The WHO is not an elected body & does not have accountability. I object to this organization taking charge of world health decisions. They have questionable leadership, they have made many poor decisions during the pandemic that have caused harm to millions of families, many whom may never recover from the ordeal. I want my own country to make the health decisions for it's citizens & to be accountable to those they serve. Thank you Teresa Shields Canadian Citizen
Canadian Citizen	The WHO is not an elected body and there should be no involvement from NGOs in the operations of sovereign nations. The vaccine is a failure and is actively causing harm. The current and past mandates are a violation of informed consent and human rights.
UK citizen	The WHO is not an elected body in any country. It has no jurisdiction over the world's health. Each country must decide its own health policies according to its people and specific environments and it's own civil liberties. While the WHO might make recommendations, each country, nation and local municipality has the right to reject these without any penalty or consequence. Countries across the world already had plans in place to deal with pandemics pre 2020 and these should still be considered. Any WHO recommendations must be visible to every person in the world, and the people must have the power to reject them. All WHO measures must be open to debate without any prejudicial content or influence from big pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers or self acclaimed philanthropists. Ultimately each person has bodily autonomy which can never be overruled by the WHO. Preparedness should take into account the previous decades of experience and medical research and learnings as well as the learnings in the past 2 years.
Individual	The WHO is not an elected body, the decision as to what policies countries follow in the case of a 'health emergency' should lie with the governments of those countries, not an unelected body. The rights of nations, states, provinces and groups/organisations are subservient to our inalienable human rights. Our human rights are inalienable and neither governments nor the WHO should be able to suspend them on what they consider to be a pandemic or health emergency. The definition of a pandemic has already been changed and this would give far too much power to the WHO. Treatments that could have changed the outcomes for many were suppressed by the WHO. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications from anyone or the right to suppress proper scientific debate and research in the face of a pandemic or other health emergency. Bodily autonomy is sacrosant and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right and no individual should EVER be forced to take any treatment, even more so when the treatments are experimental and no long term data of the potential harms they may cause is available. Pharma companies should be held liable for any damage their products might cause and trial data should be completely transparent. Vaccine status via vaccine 'passports' cannot be used to restrict a person's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. In the case of the current situation it has been proven that this does not stop the spread of a virus. Lockdowns and/or quarantining healthy people is fundamentally wrong and have been proved to cause more damage than the harm they were intended to prevent.
None	The WHO is not an independent body, it is compromised because of its funding by powerful Foundations and corporations, therefore it should not be trusted with authority over national governments. Such would be a travesty for it to have policing powers which override or infringe upon sovereign elected national governments. The WHO has been complicit in suppressing the use of effective treatments for COVID 19. Members have been shown to belong to the communist party. They do not represent democracy. I cannot agree to this proposal. I strongly oppose it.
Myself	The WHO is not an organisation that we have voted for. They are not democratically elected. After the grotesque failings of the last two corrupt years, I trust it less than I ever imagined possible. Nations must retain sovereignty over their own decision making. Never again will I need any WHO suggestion. The WHO is a corrupt organisation which has been taken over by WEF & China and as such is not fit for purpose. UK must remain a decision make for itself. We have not won our freedom from Europe only to give it away to WHO, WEF or anyone else. We do not consent to delegate decision making to WHO for health, or any other purpose. I will stand for UK sovereign law making. No global anything, pandemic treaty or otherwise any more, ever again.
Retired	The WHO is not competent or trustworthy. I know of nobody who trusts them enough to do much of anything. They should make a gigantic, long term effort to win trust by demonstrating competence.
SJVA Engineers	The WHO is not democratically elected by the people and therefore has no right to mandate anything to do with health. It is immoral and the organisation must be disbanded. Politicians that sign treaties with WHO without a referendum and two third majority vote are treasonous and subject to death penalty. Cease and desist this tyranny against the people of the world.
Member of the public uk	The WHO is not democratically elected. No to any treaty set out by the unelected WHO. As a sovereign nation will will never follow rules introduced by people (the who) who we have not voted for to represent us. Never! Expect full non compliance to this treaty from the uk people!
Private citizen	The WHO is not democratically voted for and in fact receives significant amounts of private funding, specifically from the Bill & Melinda Gates foundation. It has no elected right to dictate to global governments what they should do. Maybe focus on what actually happened in Wuhan's regarding the US funding of gain of function research. There is no reason for a global vaccine passport. We know this will lead to digital ID.
Privat	The WHO is not democraticly legitimated but is financed in majority by private companies and institutions. So the WHO can never have the right to govern in such a powerful way. Or it is the practical End of Democracy in the World. Beside this, local Authorities can see much better the real Needs of people and need to have the right to decide and act local also on important Helth issues. I don't agree with this treaty and ask you to stop this immediately. Thanks a lot, best Regards von Germany. D. Habermann
private person	The WHO is not elected and not legitimised to interfere with national rights!
N/a	The WHO is not elected by the citizens of each country and, therefore, has no business telling each country what to do in a pandemic. You can provide general recommendations but I'm fully against your global organization telling each nation what to do. Over the last few years, we've seen the danger of what your group can do, clear conflicts of interest and influence by special interests, and we can't even vote your members out. Each county should decide its steps during a pandemic, so get rid of this treaty altogether!
None	The WHO is not elected by the people and therefore cannot take these major powers away from individual governments. The WHO's advice should not be automatically assumed to be the definitive knowledge on the subject - the WHO made many errors in its handling of the pandemic.
General public	The WHO is not meant to be a governing body. Its purpose is to be overall monitoring of health issues worldwide. This is where they should continue. In the past the WHO has not always been beyond reproach in their actions. Confidence in them remains low. Most countries handle problems in their own way which is their right. The WHO have no business attempting to dictate protocol. We, in the United States, do not want, nor need, more governing. I recommend against the WHO becoming this powerful.
ganz.kultur GbR	The WHO is not part of our democracies. It is mostly supported by private foundations (with a huge conflict of interests) etc. Giving the WHO a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response means, that the sovereignty and the constitutions of the national states will be deleted in a case of a pandemic. Since the WHO changed the definition of what a pandemic is, every infectious disease which goes around the world can be declared as a pandemic. This contract with the member states of the WHO would cause a global dictatorship under the leadership of the WHO - a catastrophe for humanity. What we - the people of the world - need is transparency, democracy, freedom of choice and a guarantee of natural justice and human rights.
Finland	The WHO is not the correct organisation to be doing this. Each country should be in charge of listening to its people and making decisions according to the peoples wishes. These regulations cannot be made by a corrupt independent company like the WHO that has its own interests first and foremost.
Individual	The WHO is not the sole or leading repository of global medical knowledge or expertise. Other countries have better qualified, experienced and expert practitioners than the WHO. The behaviour of the WHO in sanctioning international travel from China at the outset, NOT endorsing prophylactic and early treatment protocols proven to work, changing definitions, advocating positions on masking, social distancing, testing, travel bans, all proved fundamentally flawed as have injections that target a virus that disappeared over a year ago. The WHO has played a part in the death, injury and collateral suffering of billions of people which illustrates how unqualified the WHO is to make decisions for the world's population, if not the WHO's corruption and callous disregard for health of the global population in general. The substantive elements that the WHO can co-ordinate (bit not plan, control or organize) should include comparisons across countries from anyone that claims treatment efficacy, together with a method for grading strong to weak support by the WHO with a rationale. This can mirror the "variants of interest" model - to provide "solutions of interest". There is no such thing as a single "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" - this is poor English and assumes that there might be a single appropriate instrument in the first place. The WHO is not qualified to define or quality control such an instrument and has an appalling track record in handling the pandemic. Each human being has different risks, tolerances and treatment needs for different health and conditions. There is no "one size" fits all in a global pandemic. A doctor/patient relationship must be re-established.
N/A - responding in a personal capacity as a member of the general public	The WHO is over-reaching its mandate in the pursuit of this treaty and it should switch course to alternative avenues. Whatever the good intentions behind this endeavour, the WHO has no authority to impose its will on sovereign individuals and their governments. Whereas governments draw their legitimacy from the people who vote them into power, the WHO has no such backing and thus any attempt to impose its will would be an illegitimate use of power. The WHO should have the humility to recognise this fact and withdraw from this initiative, considering other avenues to achieve any noble goals it is pursuing.
Home owner	The WHO is planning a global pandemic strategy treaty to lose control over your own autonomy #StopTheTreaty
All in the Family LLC	The WHO is pushing an agenda that does not allow individuals or communities/states to make decisions that are individual to their own needs. As a 15+ year employee in the helping professions and doctoral clinical psychology student, I can attest to the fact that effective health decisions are not a one fits all decision. The WHO's imposed agreement threatens our inalienable rights and sovereignty. Autonomy of our National as well as local leaders should be maintained, without consequences if opting out of any/all portions of the agreement as they feel best serves their people. All people throughout the world should have the opportunity to vote in a transparent, open process that prevents global agreements where the majority do not want it. There should be absolutely no influence in any way, shape or form. from any pharmaceutical companies or global companies with profitability of any kind. This imposition from the WHO's is unnecessary and expands government control over the people, states and nations.
self employed	The WHO is pushing an agenda that does not allow individuals or communities/states to make decisions that are individual to their own needs. As a nurse of 35 years, I can attest to the fact that effective health decisions are not a one fits all decision. The WHO's imposed agreement threatens our inalienable rights and sovereignty. Autonomy of our National as well as local leaders should be maintained, without consequences if opting out of any/all portions of the agreement as they feel best serves their people. All people throughout the world should have the opportunity to vote in a transparent, open process that prevents global agreements where the majority do not want it. There should be absolutely no influence in any way, shape or form. from any pharmaceutical companies or global companies with profitability of any kind. This imposition from the WHO's is unnecessary and expands government control over the people, states and nations. Raina Crawford, RN, BSN
Iowa Nurse	The WHO is pushing an agenda that does not allow individuals or communities/states to make decisions that are individual to their own needs. As a nurse of 35 years, I can attest to the fact that effective health decisions are not a one fits all decision. The WHO's imposed agreement threatens our inalienable rights and sovereignty. Autonomy of our National as well as local leaders should be maintained, without consequences if opting out of any/all portions of the agreement as they feel best serves their people. All people throughout the world should have the opportunity to vote in a transparent, open process that prevents global agreements where the majority do not want it. There should be absolutely no influence in any way, shape or form. from any pharmaceutical companies or global companies with profitability of any kind. This imposition from the WHO's is unnecessary and expands government control over the people, states and nations. Raina Crawford, RN, BSN
self	The WHO is seeking to exercise undemocratic rights over sovereign people. As a citizen of a democratic country, I believe that responses to a pandemic situation should be voted on, not simply enforced on entire communities, many of which are not ill, or affected by a supposed pandemic. Please consider the following: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Physicians and Scientists for Global Responsibility New Zealand Charitable T	The WHO is tasked to protect health. Risk for infectious and non-infectious disease is determined by economic, social and environmental drivers. The most important role the WHO can prioritise is critical work to safeguard the health of infants and children by assisting nation-states to promote of safe, ancestral and indigenous wholefood diets and to prioritise the protection of drinking water. NGO investment in the WHO results the WHO undertaking specific directed activities. Such activities require the acceptance of a technology or medicine and risk eroding the high status of the WHO. Further encroachment by technological & medical centric institutions intent upon providing surveillance, data management and medical interventions place the WHO's reputation at grave risk. Generations of remote autocratic direction has been particularly burdensome on indigenous societies. Compulsory surveillance and medication can be referred to as medical colonisation. If the WHO becomes globally responsible for pandemic preparedness and response powerful non-government institutions will strategically and relentlessly lobby the WHO. Regulatory capture is the likely outcome. Nation-states must have autonomy to reject WHO decisions. Individual nations are best placed to navigate complex, ambiguous and value laden environments required to protect public health. In infectious and non-infectious disease pandemics all the authority, decision-making and policy must be retained with nation-states. Critical to WHO independence: -Ceasing financial funding of the WHO from non-government institutions -Assurance that data used to support policy and decision-making in pandemic events is transparent and available for review by public health experts
for my own	The WHO is to approx. 80 % financed from the big Pharma and so they never can be for the people. WHO has to be for the big pharmaindustry! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!!! Erika Fischer
bgs	The WHO is to cease and desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens. This global convention / international instrument will seriously weaken our individual rights, health and freedoms. The WHO will not threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, we do not need a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them and never will. This agreement of W.H.O's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose economy destroying lockdowns, enforce expensive, untested, unsafe, ineffective treatments, and subject us to censorship and lies about treatments that do work is to be immediately null and void. We want nothing to do with the WHO.
INDEPENDENT EMPLOYMENT	The WHO is to desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens as this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The WHO will not threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.is to be null and void. I do not support this WHO treaty.
None	The WHO is to desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens as this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The WHO will not threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work is to be null and void. Thank You
VPK Trust	The WHO is to desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens as this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The WHO will not threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.is to be null and void.
Individual human being	The WHO is to desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens as this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The WHO will not threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work is to be null and void. If anything this previous pandemic has taught us to investigate all different types of medical/health treatments before using a blanket approach to all humanity. The lockdowns have only created more poverty, and mental health issues and are not an effective approach to human health and people's well-being. The science is fraudulent and one size does not fit all. I do not consent to New Zealand and its citizens being involved in the WHO Global Pandemic Treaty. The public of New Zealand need to be informed of any such a treaty being made by the New Zealand government and WHO. From a living sovereign human being of New Zealand.
Free citizen	The WHO is to desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens. We did not elect, nor do we want, a global convention/international instrument to take responsibility for our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. A response to a collective health issue is the duty of each individual country's government answerable to its people. Should a government's response be found wanting, they can be held accountable at the ballot box. A global sweeping one-size-fits-all response to our health threatens and weakens our God-given freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination and bodily autonomy. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, often unsafe and ineffective treatments, whilst condoning censorship and disinformation on treatments that are cheap and do work, is to be null and void.
Voices for Freedom	The WHO is to discontinue from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and our citizens as this global convention or international instrument can seriously undermine our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The WHO will not threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate not given to them by our people. This agreement of Who's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments which do work is to be null and void.
Firma Horst Dubiel	The WHO is trying to pave the way for a controlled society where everyone loses his freedom to decide what is sensible or decent. Personal sanity and freedom should be left under the control of the individual. Horst Dubiel
Member of British public	The WHO is unelected and has no right to breach the freedoms of any citizen of the world. They should promote overall general health which will prepare everyone for any virus or disease. Anyone who is metabolically healthy will be more resistant to ill health. Lockdowns, masks & forced medical procedures are inhuman & cause more harm than good. The WHO should also call out the unethical experimentation occurring in bio labs that are making bio weapons to harm the populace. This has to stop as it is designed to harm the people of the world. Anyone giving advice should also be made to first announce all of their financial conflicts of interests. Too many "experts" are funded by pharmaceutical companies whose first allegiance is to their shareholders. Allow people to make their own decision and keep out of our lives.
I represent only myself.	The Who is un-elected body. It's power must not take precedence over sovereign elected governments. Thank you. Elaine Pierce

Organization	Written submission
Welsh Government	The WHO is unelected by citizens of the world and in fact influenced by those providing the funding it receives. It has proven over the last two years that it does not act in the best interests of the people of the world. I therefore strongly reject the notion of the WHO having greater influence over the UK which is a democratic nation with elected representatives. The UK has not consulted the people of the UK on your proposal and I for one will reject it. The harm you have contributed to over the last two years is immeasurable, goes against your stated purpose and has destroyed our faith in the medical profession. We want less of your involvement in our lives. I reject your proposal and I reject your organisation.
None	The WHO is unelected, they shouldn't hold powers to dictate what countries worldwide should decide to follow, you are to find where the outbreaks are and do containment measures in THAT country with THAT government and health organisation, we didn't elect any of you to police the world with vaccine passports and world restrictions.
USA	The WHO makes no laws that affect the United States of America in anyway. We The People of the United States of America are the descendants of Free Men. Our Rights are sovereign. We live in a Constitutional Republic, and the WHO has no jurisdiction here. We The People of The United States of America are sovereign. You are a foreign international globalist body that we are not beholden to in anyway whatsoever. We The People of The United States of America are beholden to God alone. We bow to no man. We will never bow to you. Your foreign meddling is illegal, and we will defend our Nation from foreign assets like the WHO—as the world wakes up to your global crimes—in anyway that is needed to retain our sovereignty. Any enemy to Americans' sovereign liberty has absolutely no idea who they're messing with. The WHO has been warned. We will defend ourselves. We will defend Our Nation. We will defend Our Constitution. We are the Free People of The United States of America. If you try to take our Sacred Liberty, understand that you are making a very grave mistake, and you will be held accountable, publicly, for all the world to see, for all of history to know about and read about, under the full extent of the law. Be careful.
private individual	-The WHO may issue general guidelines in response to a pandemic but it needs to be left up to the individual elected governments of each country to decide which, if any, of the guidelines are appropriate to them. - Non Elected people or organisations have no place in dictating the response of an individual country. - It should be stated irrevocably that any vaccinations brought in during a pandemic will never be mandatory. - Civil and human rights should never be trampled on even during a pandemic. - ALL countries should have equal access to medications/ equipment. - The use of complementary medicines should also be considered and used when appropriate rather than a sole reliance on Orthodox medicine and pharmacology.
family	The WHO may NOT put forth a pandemic treaty for the world to follow. Many studies have come to light suggesting that the world locking down and masking had no real effect on stopping the spread of the coronavirus. Most people realize by now that viruses have a peak, they run through populations, then they fall and are less and less problematic. Hiding a healthy population who can build natural immunity to a virus was a disaster. The naturally immune would have protected the elderly and immune compromised far better than instructing people to hide and stay away from each other. There cannot be one response to a virus from the entire globe because of the disaster of the last 2 years. Countries that did not lock down or enforce masking had better outcomes (and more natural immunity) than countries enacting the strictest of lockdown measures. The idea put forth that hiding in our homes until an injection was available for covid19 was also a disaster. We see that the 4x injected are very sick and keep on getting sick. We have seen this in our community. Our family is healthy and all caught covid early on. None of us came down with a variant. None of us were sick the past 1 1/2 years. We did not distance ourselves from anyone. Why were we not sick? Because we have natural immunity. How is it that we were able to have minor symptoms and recover quickly? Because we took care of our immune systems before covid hit. Injecting and re-injecting the world's population will NEVER get us to herd immunity. We used simple remedies like natural food, vitamin C, D and zinc to protect us these whole 2 years of the pandemic. Healthy people do not spread infection and disease. Individualized medicine (not WHO dictates) are best.
n/a	The WHO may provide suggestions with regards to pandemic preparedness and response, but under no circumstance may the WHO have the right to give binding instructions to any nation. It must be each nation's elected government's sole decision whether or not to follow such suggestions. Furthermore and in line with the following statement of the WHO's constitution: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people", public opinion is to be seriously taken into consideration into the aforementioned decision process.
personal	The WHO must allow: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is BEST FOR THEIR OWN PEOPLE. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on — including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The WHO must be stopped!! You are unelected power hungry deviants that must not wield power over sovereign citizens. We do not subscribe to your corrupt behaviour and have no want or need for your meddling with what you've proven to be at best poorly informed decisions "managing" the recent "pandemic". Stay out of lives.
N/A	The WHO must cease and desist any elements or instruments that seek to control people's health, lives and wellbeing. These matters must be done democratically and/or individually. Adult human beings are quite capable of making informed choices about their health, lives, and well-being. If they are not, the decisions should be made by the people with their informed consent. We must retain our sovereign rights.
N/A	The WHO must cease and desist any international instrument on pandemic preparedness that seeks to control people's health, lives,and well-beings. Adult human beings are perfectly capable of making their own choices about their health, lives, and well-beings. If they are children or are deemed to be mentally unsound, these decisions can be made by parents and carers. Every decision must be made democratically, by the people for the people, and always with informed consent.
private cien	The WHO must include an acknowledgement that it has no authority to override the will of sovereign countries or make any recommendations that may infringe on human's natural rights and freedoms.
Formerly The Valley Hospital	The WHO must keep power to the US. If it isn't, it is extremely dangerous to the well being of all Americans. We need to keep our fundamental rights within our country. We The People. The WHO should not play a role of the only government. The must only SUGGEST actions on pandemic preparedness and only SUGGEST actions and make EVERY effort to AVOID any future pandemic! Part of their plan should be suggesting the heads of governments how to keep their people healthy and how to get along, how to avoid any future wars. Thank you, Sylvia Schankereli
Pvt	The WHO must leave the people alone and stop this Global Pandemic Agreement !! Who does this little group think they are????The WHO and its partners do not have health of people as their agenda, but rather want to control, deceive and and all people to be maimed with unproven experimental products. This "pandemic", the WHO's reaction and on-going desire to control shows WHO is not trustworthy.
private	The WHO must not act as a global police, but should be taking it's role as a consulting organization which it was found for. There is no such right that the WHO can command or override national laws. Each contry's individual choice need to be respected as well as each indivual human being rights. Human dignity is inviolable. There is not such a global agreement necessary since each country and population need it's individual health strategy based upon climatic, demographic and economic facts I thus request: a) WHO to guarantee national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. b) WHO to guarantee the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. c) WHO to guarantee an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. d) WHO to take measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you W Fels
None	The WHO must NOT be able to dictate what measures are implemented in the event of any emergency. As we have learned form the last pandemic, lockdowns and mandates had no measurable effect on rates of disease or death, but had significant negative effects on mental and economic health. Because these measures were nearly universal, we learned little about what better solutions might have been. It should be agreed that mandates WILL NOT be implemented/dictated by the WHO. If any treaty is adopted, Member states MUST be allowed to maintain their sovereignty and make decisions affecting their own people as locally as possible. This includes WHEN a state of emergency exists as well as its severity and terms of remedial action. IF a treaty is adopted it should be geared toward sharing of information on treatments to help professionals better serve the populations they serve. Emphasis MUST be placed on treatments rather than vaccines, and doctors MUST be able to prescribe what is best for their patients, based on a complete understanding of each individuals' health at the time. I would reject any treaty that binds all nations in a one-size-fits-all solution. Thank you for your consideration.
United States	The WHO must not be allowed to preempt the national sovereignty of its member States and the civil liberties and health rights of the world's citizens. WHO treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. There are NO health decisions that are good for everyone. All health decisions are individual, and the power to make those decisions needs to stay with the individual, including when an emergency exists. While the WHO does not have power over an individual's health decisions yet, it will if the U.S. agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The U.S must reject a legally binding pandemic treaty and protect the health rights of its citizens.
United States	The WHO must not be allowed to preempt the national sovereignty of its member States and the civil liberties and health rights of the world's citizens. WHO treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. There are NO health decisions that are good for everyone. All health decisions are individual, and the power to make those decisions needs to stay with the individual, including when an emergency exists. The U.S must reject any and all elements of a legally-binding pandemic treaty that control an individual's health decisions and protect the health rights of its citizens.
United States	The WHO must not be allowed to preempt the national sovereignty of its member States and the civil liberties and health rights of the world's citizens. WHO treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. There are NO health decisions that are good for everyone. All health decisions are individual, and the power to make those decisions needs to stay with the individual, including when an emergency exists. The U.S must reject any and all elements of a legally-binding pandemic treaty that control an individual's health decisions and protect the health rights of its citizens.
United States of America	The WHO must not be allowed to preempt the national sovereignty of its member States and the civil liberties and health rights of the world's citizens. WHO treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. There are NO health decisions that are good for everyone. All health decisions are individual, and the power to make those decisions needs to stay with the individual, including when an emergency exists. The U.S must reject any and all elements of a legally-binding pandemic treaty that control an individual's health decisions and protect the health rights of its citizens.
United States	The WHO must not be allowed to preempt the national sovereignty of its member States and the civil liberties and health rights of the world's citizens. WHO treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. There are NO health decisions that are good for everyone. All health decisions are individual, and the power to make those decisions needs to stay with the individual, including when an emergency exists. The U.S must reject any and all elements of a legally-binding pandemic treaty that control an individual's health decisions and protect the health rights of its citizens.
United States	The WHO must not be allowed to preempt the national sovereignty of its member States and the civil liberties and health rights of the world's citizens. WHO treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. There are NO health decisions that are good for everyone. All health decisions are individual, and the power to make those decisions needs to stay with the individual, including when an emergency exists. The U.S must reject any and all elements of a legally-binding pandemic treaty that control an individual's health decisions and protect the health rights of its citizens.
self	The WHO must not become a de-facto world dictatorship through this treaty. To that end, the treaty must ensure the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Service Culture Skills	The WHO must not decide what is best for anyone. In addition these provisions must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Independent	The WHO must not exceed making recommendations and providing support/advice. Each country must remain autonomous in their chosen response to future pandemics. Having any WHO control that supersedes individual nation's autonomy must absolutely be avoided. The WHO is not elected by voters of each country and hence cannot rule even in times of pandemics. Stick to presenting research, facilitating communication and active collaboration, but leave the decision making to each individual country.
Not applicable	The WHO must not have authority over countries policies and regulations. The WHO is not elected and doesn't not deserve to have authority over sovereign countries. Local governments must retain power to follow their conscience and the will of the people. Countries may hear to WHO recommendations but are under no obligation to follow them.
Private	The WHO must not have powers in any form over elected sovereign countries. The WHO is not independent, it's bought and paid for by business interests and has an agenda that works in the interest of the investors of the WHO. Thanks a conflict of interest makes the WHO dangerous. The WHO is not fit for purpose.
N/A	The WHO must not interfere with the sovereignty of the USA. Americans run their own country & will continue to do so without the WHO's interference.
	The WHO must not meddle with the decisions of individual countries, much less with the US Their role should be just advisory
None	The WHO must not try to supersede the sovereignty of its member states. As an Irish person I reject any attempt to do this as being unconstitutional , if our minister signs this it is not legal. Sincerely Brid Harris
Myself	The WHO must not, under any circumstance, extend their power and remain primarily an adviser and information sharing organisation. The WHO must ensure their decision making is transparent and accountable. It should better cherish and promote scientific discourse and allow for opposing hypotheses to be tested.
none. Private US citizen.	The WHO must only be given the power to recommend actions which individual sovereign governments can either accept or reject. This is the only way to ensure democratic control. Bureaucracies are inherently flawed, being susceptible to corruption and secret motivations, and can never be so far removed from voter control as the WHO would be.Giving the WHO control would be as ridiculous as giving it to the UN or EU.
American citizen	The WHO must recognize the sovereignty of member states. A one size fits all measure has a an incredible capacity for unintentional harm to many of the world's citizen. Additionally, the WHO has no legal authority to enforce measures on a sovereign state. It is not an elected ruling body and therefore has no jurisdiction over others. It is an advisory world agency that has at its core intent, that to help others. The WHO should continue to operate where it provides that greatest value in service to all. That is not as a authoritative agency.
I'm speaking for myself and my countrymen	The WHO must remain an advisory body, only, please! The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	The WHO must respond to any future pandemic in a responsible and science-based manner, respecting locally made decisions that benefit each population vs. a one-size-fits-all approach. The WHO must commit to a pandemic plan that is mindful of the ever-evolving science, one that is not pharma funded studies and data. We also demand the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We have seen the one-size-fits-all approach to pandemic response has been a failure, and thus it is key that each local and regional government can make decisions on its own. The organization cannot undermine national and local leadership autonomy— each of them has the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. We want an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on any pandemic measures that are worldwide, and we do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The WHO must under no circumstances (not even in the case of a pandemic) intervene in national law, in particular not in the fundamental right according to the national constitution. This is especially true because the WHO is funded to a very large extent privately. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests
None	The WHO need to go, they are evil. Humanity do not need them and most know what your up to. Nuremburg 2.0 needed.
Catalyst Network of Churches	The WHO needs to be a guide on openly debatable and verifiable science without monetary interest in or with corporations, governments, or individuals. Using guidelines and not mandates - (NO AUTHORITARIAN POWER for the WHO), WHO should lead research and support each nation's right to sovereignly govern themselves and how they need the assistance of the WHO. Each Nation should and must govern itself. If the science is real and verifiable, what nation would not choose health and life? Only in the face of forced medical laws, mandates, and power assertions will we find the sustained use of unscientific and medically poor practices. Your proposal does not ensure good medical care. Rather it guarantees political and medical abuse on the masses.
general public	The WHO needs to immediately stop this ultimate power grab which usurps global power for the supposed "sake of public health" Your new treaty has no power and/or rights over the sovereignty of nations and their individual rights and liberties. We have seen your true colors these past two years during the Covid pandemic and this new international pandemic treaty is the ultimate authoritarian move. Stop this immediately!
N/A	The WHO needs to withdraw this proposal. In fact the WHO should demand the information currently not disclosed by the CDC that compares disease states of vaccinated vs unvaccinated children be released for all the world to see. The WHO should permanently disband and admit their failure handling SARS-COV2.
Mankind	The WHO never has been elected by any sovereign human being and people to rule his life, death, behaviour or treatment. So the planned Pandemic Preparedness Treaty has at least to pay tribute to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; it forbids everyone to torture, force or harm any human being. You have to obey the Universal Declaration Human Rights. Moreover mandatory treatments generally are inacceptable. This is the bitter lesson that the extraordinary crimes by Nazi Germany tought and led to the Nuremberg Code not to force any treatments. You have no permission! It is a crime under international law, there is no immunity and no statute of limitations for that; you will not get away with such a crime again. This is a serious reminder from a free sovereign human being.
Privat	The WHO never should have more power! It's insane what they are doing! Even the question for this.
Don't Tread On Me, Inc.	The WHO nor any of its affiliates do not have any jurisdiction or control over me or any other citizens of the United States of America. I do not accept your attempt to circumvent my inalienable rights as a human being.
America	The WHO nor any organization has any business speaking for the laws or benefits of the USA requiring health or safety. The entire world watched as WHO and other leaders were clueless about the latest pandemic. I observed no strong measures being taken in 2009 while H1N1 killed millions. I observe zero measures being taken when TB kills millions every single year. Illness is a part of life. During covid despite the average age of death being 78 and being over 99% NON lethal the world lost its freedoms. It is up to each country to manage its self. Its the rights of the people to define safe risk for themselves! WHO has zero business dealing with ill end or pandemics! WHO has no business existing in my opinion. May GOD have mercy on you for your crimes against humanity.
Public	The WHO or any 'organization' should absolutely not dictate or manage any kind of mandate or rule for any country or state for any reason. Not even if there is a nuclear war or 'zombie apocalypse.' The constitution protects us from such tyranny and that is exactly what it is, tyranny. We are God's beings, sovereign beings and we have every right to choose how we should live on this planet while we are here. We will not be told what to do. And we will not let you murder us all over the planet. The great reset is dead. Your organization should and will be a thing of the past.
America (the real America, not the corporate United States)	The WHO or any organization, corporation, LLC, or any other for-profit entity, even any of those entities posing as government or governmental agencies (which is exactly what the WHO is), have absolutely NO right to even address me, let alone govern my health in any way, shape or form. So the answer to: "What substantive elements do I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" is -- ABSOLUTELY NONE. The treaty should not exist at all.
Irish citizen	The WHO or any voverment will have no say over any pandemic procedures that i myself deem not for me or my friends and family.
Personal	The WHO or UN should not be involved in any treaty guiding nations on steps they wish to take. Rescind these global power grabs immediately.
American Citizen	The WHO organization should not be able to deal with the welfare or health decisions of Americans. Health decisions need to be kept within the counties that issues happen. This would apply to any health issues including should an emergency happen. The American people should have their own choices and decisions to determine what medical action should be taken, not by an outside source like WHO. America should not be involved or deal with any legally binding medical treaty with WHO or any global organization. Concerned American Citizen Philip Ford
Self	The WHO organization should not have control over setting rules and regulations in governments and the people during any type of emergency. They have been shown to show bias in the recent pandemic. This power grab needs to be stopped.
US citizen	The WHO pandemic preparedness statement should defer the standards of care to be developed by each individual country; not by the country's unelected government agencies, not to anyone who holds pharmaceutical patents or who are employed or contracted to pharmaceutical companies, but to practicing primary care physician groups who abide by their Hippocratic oath to "first do no harm" and who attest to following the Nuremberg code so we do not have a repeat of the enforcement of self-serving decisions that unnecessarily caused the deaths of millions for profit and to satisfy the goals of wealthy eugenicists. It should also forbid the use of any medication/"vaccine"/genetic therapy without the rigorous phased testing and the publishing of the unbiased data not only to the scientific community but also to the public to ensure informed consent. Each individual human being is intrinsically body, mind and soul; separation or the attempt to separate these components during the lifetime of a human person causes that person to cease being. It is an annihilation of the person and an atrocity. Each person has the right to decide how to care for their body/health and this right must be ethically and morally respected. In conclusion, there should be no solitary pandemic mandates issued by WHO to the countries of the world.
Anonymous	The WHO Pandemic Preparedness Treaty needs to be dropped and the WHO removed from pandemic management. National governments must maintain autonomy over their own countries management. The WHO as an Undemocratic organization with private funding, has no authority in any attempt such as this,to over-ride the decisions and policies made by any national governments. This proposed treaty is a massive over-reach of power of a global minority. The WHO has proven itself unfit to be involved in any pandemic management. From endorsing a PCR test that inflates the case rates. To being involved in coercing a global population to unjustifiably submit to an experimental medical technology that actually increases the infection rate in the population. The WHO continues to promote the increased uptake of these gene therapy substances to further escalate the numbers of disease. The WHO must be removed immediately as any public authority on pandemic response. The WHO is clearly unqualified for the job of reducing infection rate in the world, which is needed for pandemic control. Otherwise, the first thing they would do is recommend ceasing immediately the shots that are increasing the infection rates in the population.
Private	The WHO Pandemic Treaty is a gross violation of our human rights, and since it over-rules our countrys constitution it should not be implemented. The WHO is an unelected body which nobody has voted for, therefore should not have the power to make such decisions influencing the our future and the future of our children.
Private	The WHO pandemic treaty should be stopped immediately. This goes against our freedom rights which I hereby demand. Kind regards Salzlechner Andreas
Self & Family	The WHO pandemic treaty should never include policies that take the power out of the hands of American families and their elected representatives. History shows us that an emergency may be used by unscrupulous entities as an opportunity to erode essential liberties under the guise of public good. We oppose any treaty provisions which threaten American sovereignty. Health decisions must be kept close to home by Americans.
Crownel Co. Ltd	The WHO pandemic treaty should uphold the sovereignty of nations and supremacy of individual states and their respective management of health emergencies. Each member state should retain the freedom to choose an appropriate course of action without suffering negative consequences. The pandemic treaty provisions should only serve as guidance notes as opposed to mandatory requirements for member states to undertake considering that there cannot be a one-size fits all approach to pandemics . The pandemic treaty shouldn't support medical tyranny, every nation has to decide what is right for it and it's citizens. I stand for health freedom and my nation should because where there is a risk, there must always be a choice. Nations should have the right to choose. Based on the above, the pandemic treaty is not necessary since health independence and sovereignty of nations underpin every member state constitution.
Center For Political Innovation	The WHO plan must respect sovereignty of people's rights & bodily autonomy as well as countries' sovereignty regarding their particular civil rights and laws unto themselves.
none	The WHO proposal is nothing more than a globalist power grab aimed at eroding national sovereignty. As stated by Chief Justice John Marshall in the foundational U.S. Constitutional law case, Marbury vs Madison (5 US 137) "a law repugnant to the constitution is void, and that courts, as well as other departments, are bound by that instrument." It is incontrovertible that any agreement or treaty that would in effect supercede the U.S. Constitution is non-binding and unenforceable. Furthermore, as regards the WHO's health record, it is atrocious. The WHO is well-known to be a pliant lapdog of tyrannical governments. Regarding the recent Covid-19 pandemic, the WHO's policies/recommendations were often contradictory and based on little or no objective research. The WHO helped exacerbate and prolong the pandemic, to the benefit the major drug companies, but to the detriment of most of the world. Those nations that ignored the WHO and chose their own path ended up decisively and demonstrably better off.
Business owner	The WHO purpose is to better peoples health. We have seen that in the past lock downs that have injured the world population in a very adverse way. In the event of another major event, it is important to protect those that are vulnerable. Get local communities to serve their communities with guidelines. Mass mandates are not effective and hurt the overall population. Taking out the primary care from the treatment process and sending all to hospital was wrong (it's your local doctor that knows you best and is able to access risk). Spend as much effort in prevention as much as you do with those that contract the disease(non existent when you got Covid in North America). Stop overly scare tactics and allow debate. Mandates have the counter effect of their purpose. The residual impact on mandates is that people will not follow next time. Lots of damage done and learnings need to be made. We were far from perfect with how we handled this. Best regards Brian
EU Citizen	The WHO questionnaire is not neutral. Thus, it asks to respond to a specific question. This is: "In your opinion, what are the essential elements that should be included in a new international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response?" Thus, the question is no longer whether the treaty should be concluded at all, but only what it should look like. The new "instrument" for "pandemic preparedness and response" thus already exists for the WHO, although it is still a negotiating tool and is already under heavy civil society criticism. My stated opinion: "The efforts of the "pandemic treaty" aim at eliminating all sovereignty of all states, to make arbitrary decisions and to suspend fundamental rights arbitrarily without having to provide justifications. Any "health measure" would thus be enforceable - even against the dignity of the human being. The aspired "pandemic treaty" is therefore an approach contrary to human and international law and completely unacceptable! I say NO - and this NO, is not negotiable!"
Retired Air Force Public Affairs Officer	The WHO really MUST drop all politics and concentrate on INFORMING the world about medical problems. Stay away from trying to implement insane and HARMFUL behaviors, unlike what you did for the Covid-19 PHONY-demic. Almost everything you did resulted in prolonging the effects of the disease and, more importantly, promoting policies based on fear and intimidation. SHAME ON YOU!!!!

Organization	Written submission
Self	The WHO representatives are not duly elected individuals by the people they would seek to govern through adoption of this treaty. Your totalitarian track record on Covid has warned us to avoid the approaches recommended by the WHO (eg. Sweden). We need countries that take different approaches to allow the world to see if there are better approaches with better outcomes than the ones prescribed. Thus , the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. No measures adopted under the treaty will violate the fundamental rights of individuals to fully informed, voluntary (Un-coerced) consent or dissent for any and all medical procedures or the use of any medical products including but not limited to experimental products. 6. No measures adopted under the treaty will prevent physicians from treating their patients in the manner best suited for their patients' care as decided by the patient. 7. No measures adopted under the treaty shall violate any individual's right to privacy of their medical status or history. No tracking or tracing requirements
I am a US citizen	The WHO represents only the interests of the Davos Oligarchs, not the common citizen. Decisions will be made to crush the human race into irreversible permanent serfdom, and transhumanism, where "You will own nothing, and be happy." A Good Health Pass would force citizens to conform to every edict in order to travel. This proposed law crushes bodily sovereignty. parental rights, & informed consent. The WHO does not have the right to violate my civil liberties, human rights, and medical freedom. The WHO does not have the authority to override the US Constitution
United States of America, Inc.	THE WHO response ;to the pandemic has been one of numerous flaws and outsized corruption. It is evident that they have been manipulated by Big Pharma and the GATES FOUNDATION to make this pandemic a power grab Under no circumstance should there be WORLD BODY responsible for the health of individuals. I am against the WHO having this much influence and power, acting as a puppet for these above organizations.
N/A	The WHO role should be simply to provide advice; it should reframe from requesting/requiring independent nations to sign any 'treaty' or agreement that binds them to 'actions' in relating to their pandemic response. The WHO should reinforce the principle that the Governments of independent nations have full autonomy with regards to their decision making; where they can choose to take WHO's advice or to make different decisions based on what they determine is the best course of action for them.
Citizen	The WHO seek control over our lives by means of the pandemic and should never be given the power to rule over peoples lives.
Yoga For Peace, Justice, Harmony With the Planet: Amazing Amy - Eccentric	The WHO seems to have forgotten their fundamental purpose: Article 2 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization includes these statements of the purpose of the WHO: (i) to promote, in co-operation with other specialized agencies where necessary, the improvement of nutrition, housing, sanitation, recreation, economic or working conditions and other aspects of environmental hygiene; (j) to promote co-operation among scientific and professional groups which contribute to the advancement of health; SUMMARY: Before the World Health Assembly votes to adopt amendments to the International Health Regulations and before the Intergovernmental Negotiating Body expends their time and our money in an attempt to draft an international "instrument" that is designed to give them more and more control over the people of earth, it would be great if the WHO could find an enormous mirror and look deeply into it. However, I doubt that the WHO be able to see their own reflection and engage in any form of introspection, because it seems as if the WHO is evil incarnate in pursuit of world domination. APPALLED AND HORRIFIED AT WHO INSANE TYRANNICAL PROTOCOLS THAT HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH HEALTH AND EVERYTHING TO DO WITH TOTALITARIAN CONTROL!
Bulthuis Realty Consultants, Inc.	The WHO serves a very important role in assisting the world in pandemic response. Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include recognition that different continents, lands, and countries will necessarily have different responses and procedures for addressing even global pandemics. The countries should remain autonomous in their pandemic response while taking full advantage of the resources of the WHO. It is my opinion that the WHO should continue to gather information and support these countries, but should not, must not, have the ability to impose any compulsory measures or powers. Thank you.
self	The WHO serves a very important role in assisting the world in pandemic response. Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include recognition that different continents, lands and countries will necessarily have different responses and procedures for addressing even global pandemics. The countries should remain autonomous in their pandemic response while taking full advantage of the resources of the WHO.It is my opinion that the WHO should continue to gather information and support these countries but should not, must not, have the ability to impose and compulsory measures or powers. Thank you.
Americans For Medical Freedom	The WHO serves a very important role in assisting the world in pandemic response. Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include recognition that different continents, lands, and countries will necessarily have different responses and procedures for addressing even global pandemics. The countries should remain autonomous in their pandemic response while taking full advantage of the resources of the WHO. It is my opinion that the WHO should continue to gather information and support these countries, but should not, must not, have the ability to impose any compulsory measures or powers. Thank you.
general public	The WHO shall hold no power over national and local leadership. Bodily autonomy and the human right to refuse medical treatment shall not be violated. Quarantine of healthy individuals is a violation of civil rights, and lockdowns amount to false imprisonment. Human rights, civil rights, national and individual autonomy override anything brought forth by the WHO. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit is absolute. Under no circumstance shall the WHO have any power over policy. It shall have an advisory role only, and not even that, where a majority of the people do not want it. Any measures advocated for, shall not allow for influence by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The Who shall make no treaty, law or directive that denies physicians the exercise of their best judgment nor individuals from exercising their own best judgement in seeking and obtaining treatment in pursuit of their own health and well-being for both themselves and their family members. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	The WHO shall make NO treaty, law, directive, or guidance that denies doctors the exercise of their best judgment nor individuals from making personal choices about their health and medical treatment. Nor shall the WHO promote incentives or other coercive measures to encourage a specific course of treatment by doctors or patients.
US Citizen	The WHO shall make NO treaty, law, or directive that denies physicians the exercise of their best judgment nor individuals from exercising their own best judgment in seeking & obtaining treatment in pursuit of their own health and well-being for themselves or their family members.
None	The WHO shall serve the international community as an educator, without any authority to impose sanctions, mandates and laws. All pandemics causative agents will be verfied by at least three member countries experts, who have no affiliations with the pharmaceutical industry, member country governments and any corporations. All member countries retain their own sovereign rights to respond to the pandemic in the best interests of their own citizens and government.
Free American citizenmruled by the US CONSTITUTION ONLY	The WHO should absolutely not be allowed to let UNELECTED people be in charge of US citizens health decision. The WHO is not specified in the US constitution to allow this group make any rules, laws or regulations.
None	The WHO should absolutely NOT be given authority to make decisions for every country and every person. Each country and each individual should have the authority to make their own decisions regarding healthcare.
None - citizen of the UK	The WHO should absolutely not have any powers relating to international pandemic preparedness. The WHO is not elected and is an organisation that is therefore ripe for corruption by global corporate interests. National govts are better placed to know what plans will work at a national level as all countries are different. The WHO probably started with good intentions but its attempts at this supranational power grab is dangerous.
Retired fire officer	The WHO should act in an advisory capacity and in no way have the capability to mandate actions of sovereign states. The WHO should respect and uphold the principles set out in the Nuremberg declaration and the Hippocratic Oath.
Private citizen	The WHO should advise only. Elected governments make decisions. So voters retain the ability to approve or remove those who hold power over them.
General public	The WHO should always align its policies with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Freedom is our birthright as human beings. The right to life, liberty and security must be respected as it is an important part of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. No one government or international organization or treaty, or another person has the right to take away this freedom even during times of emergency or a pandemic. Therefore, I recommend the below: 1. No international treaty should be allowed to violate or supersede a nation's Constitution. No international organization, whose officials are not elected by the people, should be allowed to impose their policy on individual countries, especially if such policy violates or supersedes the Constitution. 2. No medical measures or program or medical emergency should violate the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Nuremberg Code. As declared by a US District Court Judge in Pennsylvania, "The liberties protected by the Constitution are not fair-weather freedoms -- inplace when times are good but able to be cast aside in times of trouble." No emergency is so great as it supersedes individual liberty and freedom." 3. Governments should be impartial. Promote both pharmaceutical and nutraceutical/wellness products to improve individual and national health preparedness and response to pandemics. Medical measures or processes should be transparent to each one and be devoid of any influence from global business interests or corrupt profit-making motives. Individual informed consent should be obtained at all times. 4. Quarantine should be applied to symptomatic persons. The healthy/asymptomatic persons should not be quarantined as this is economic sabotage.
	The WHO should and will be diamantled. It is a corrupt organization, guilty of crimes against humanity. And if it doesn't want the world to know the Earth is flat, then it should remove the flat-Earth map from its logo. In the meantime... National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The WHO should be able to make recommendations but not have the power above any countries Constitution. Health is not a one size fits all and everyone is different and be able to choose for themselves. Freedom of choice without consequences is a human right.
N/a	The WHO should be able to provide objective guidance but it is for elected governments to decide on Health policy, NOT WHO. The appalling management of the SARS Cov 2 pandemic and the lack of risk - benefit analysis of NPIs globally leaves me wondering what the point of WHO is? We have seen the eradication of informed consent during the pandemic, overseen by WHO and the roll out of an experimental vaccine to children who are not at risk of sars cov 2. Your legacy is awful and I'm sad to say I'd sooner you were disbanded, your influence and power needs reduced not increased.
Na	The WHO should be abolished and anyone cooperating with these murderers jailed. The WHO has only destroyed lives the last 2 years.
Myself	The WHo should be advisory only. I do not wish to submit my body to a global organization to decide to put untested vaccines in. WHO can advise but I would not consent to follow their mandates. Thank you Andrew
n.a.	The WHO should be an advisory board with the public health interest as a priority. Now it is more and more turning into a strong arm of the pharmaceutical industry. It is bough and payed for by Mr. Gates and it does definitely does not serve public health. Therefore, the WHO should NOT get more authority. It need to downsize and go back to it's original design and purpose. It's leader should at least have a medical training and not be a talking head that serves financial interests of big pharma. If the WHO proceeds on the current course then it is better to dismantle it entirely.
Private	The WHO should be an information vehicle only. Gather and publish all scientific data that is known about the causation of any pandemic. There was NO clarity about COVID from the WHO. To this day the WHO has no idea of the origin of SARS Cov2. Instead the WHo banned, suppressed and buried data and scientific research from the top scientists tests in the world. Criminal negligence. If this is representative of WHO's abilities why should the world have any faith in the WHO's attempts to manage a pandemic. They have FAILED on every front so far with Covid. Recommending no masks, then recommending masks, recommending lockdowns which caused the loss of many lives, ruined children's education and individual's livelihoods, recommended experimental biologicals developed in three months, when everyone knows it takes a minimum of ten years to develop a vaccine. Changed the definition of vaccine to suit the WHO's purposes. A vaccine PREVENTS disease. Currently 90% of hospitalised patients who have died with covid were triple vaxxed in the UK. The UKHSA has given up, the numbers are SO bad they no longer count vaxx status of the hospitalised. A DISGRACE! The WHO has been a disgrace. Fundedand by people who have no intention of improving the health of the world. Instead these individuals have made a small fortune selling and investing in experimental biologicals that are literally killing adults and now children. Why is the WHO pushing these experimental biologicals when they know how many are dying from the vaxx Dear Lord, the WHO is the last organisation in the world who should take responsibility for the next pandemic. You have destroyed our world single ha deadly and should never be allowed to do so again!
General public	The WHO should be an organization that compiles information regarding global health concerns and releasing all information to the world so elected leaders of nations can utilize it for their citizens. One size does not fit all. WHO should be free from any and all corporate influences to include pharmaceutical companies that stand to profit from WHO decisions.
private person	The WHO should be disbanded due to flagrant behaviour that undermines public health including preventing Ivermectin and other effective medicines to be accessed by the public during 'the Co-vid Crisis', Under no circumstances should this governing body be permitted to influence National Health Programs. Extensive scientific documentation available from many organizations including The World Council For Health verifies the gross misconduct of the WHO.
none	The WHO should be disbanded. I want WHO out of my life and the affairs of my nation. I want no international control over the US policy or policy implementation. GET OUT, STAY OUT. Close your doors. You have killed or maimed millions of innocent human beings.
Personal	The WHO should be fundamentally transparent, it's basis should have humanity at its core and priority should be freedom , equality for the people it should be run by the people and solely having the best interests of the people at heart . No greed no corruption every decision should be made from truth and love .
MIOVI	The WHO should be limited during pandemics to only act as a guide only to countries around the world. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humans of planet earth	The WHO should be prepared to educate people and governments of the disease resistance that can be achieved by healthy diet and nutrition, exercise, and lifestyle. This is true of most if not all disease. Proper vitamin and mineral supplements such as vitamin D, vitamin C, and Zinc among others have been shown to be far superior at improving Covid-19 outcomes than vaccinations, and with no harmful side-effects. Healthy eating and proper sun exposure alone could improve outcomes over 99%. The WHO was apparently completely ignorant of the science behind human health, thus harming vast percentages of the human population, while supporting a disastrous phara-chemical industry. In any future pandemic, the WHO must embrace, not suppress, the best science, even if unprofitable. The World "Health" Organization needs to learn Health, and allow people of the human race absolute personal freedom to practice health.
None	The WHO should continue to provide guidance, but this should not be in any way automatically transcribed or enacted over existing constitutional law making and decision making protocols.
Personal	The WHO should continue to provide information and recommendations on health status and not allowed to drive health policies for any country! The WHO should stay in their own lane and adhere to following these 4 guidelines. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	The WHO should defer to medical experts in each local municipality within a given Country. Health conditions at any point in time, differ from location to location. A "one size fits all" solution to a health emergency rarely works. A global and uniformed reporting system should be established to accurately record health status and outcomes to various treatments. This information should be transparent to all global citizens.
World Freedom Alliance Greece	The WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical (experimental) stage. Similarly, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. On the contrary, it should act as a guardian of credibility. Thus, by its actions it should support the flow of reliable information to citizens. We ask that the high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic be restored to the pandemic's characteristics. At the same time, high contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic measures. we request that freedom of speech be allowed. We demand that the Greek representative be allowed to speak in the discussion alone (not through a representative from another nation). We demand that the WHO meetings be made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that nation representatives be required to consult the public. So that they are accessible. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies, and with the implementers of these activities by the WHO, be public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
G.H NIKAIA	The WHO should exclude recommending the use of drugs and vaccines that are at the clinical (experimental) stage. Similarly, it should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests for diagnosis. On the contrary, it should act as a guardian of credibility. Thus, by its actions it should support the flow of reliable information to citizens.
human being	The WHO should first REMEMBER what is means to be a HUMAN BEING. That should be your first rule. Lockdowns are inhumane, leaving sick/dying loved ones alone is inhumane, separating children from their parents in the name of public health is inhumane, not looking after sick people in the name of keeping them "alive" is non-sensical and inhumane, etc.... Secondly you should remember what are basic human rights. Freedom and bodily autonomy are basic human rights which the WHO seems to have completely abandoned. In conclusion, I think the best way the WHO can help tackle the next pandemic is to stay out of it and let the real professional -the doctors- deal with it.
No Org	The WHO should firstly disclose not only the donors that provide a financial backing but the numbers in regards (in & out) to support, joint ventures, study groups, experiments, seconded staff etc. where data is sourced from and what data decisions are made from and what data is ignored (even position appointments need to be transparent). Until such information is easily accessible to the public the WHO due to a lack transparency has no legitimacy. Moving forward the WHO should only be an advisory platform and have zero overarching power to call on the implementation of pandemic protocols.
Citizen	The WHO should focus on education regarding nutrition and lifestyle factors that improve the immune system. Then people need to be free to make their own decisions with respect to whether they will change their lifestyle or rely on pharmaceuticals. Clearly the measures taken by the authorities for the current pandemic did much more harm than good.
Dr. Poppy	The WHO should follow these recommendations: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States of America	The WHO should give guidance with suggestions ONLY. Each Country and government should remain fully independent and able to respond to a pandemic while tailoring that response to their own needs. Each country knows their citizens and needs better than an outside governing entity.
No organization	The WHO should have a treaty that gives guidance and information to the world. It is imperative that this treaty give the nations the information so they can make decisions based on their beliefs and standards for living. The WHO should not make a treaty that gives them the power to rule over countries. The WHO's responsibility is and should remain to give data for the improvement of health. The United States should maintain it's status of independence. The WHO has no right to be ruler over all. The loss of power to the US (or any other country) to maintain the health and welfare of Americans is very dangerous. The WHO needs to RESPECT countries and their independence from the WHO. A treaty like this one being proposed with be dangerous and negativity effect the health and welfare across the globe. A treaty like this leaves no room for a truly scientific approach to a pandemic. It is most important for the WHO to research, gather important data and share this data with the world. The WHO should not be a dictator for the world. The WHO should be a source of information for the world where the most brilliant doctors can lead their people to safety during a pandemic. The WHO needs to make a treaty that allows free flow of all data and statistics. The WHO should make a treaty that allows for free flow from scientists across the globe for the best possible outcome for the health and welfare of all. It will be very dangerous for the WHO to make a treaty to dictate how to respond, as every country has different elements to their lives and are so very different, and that must be taken into consideration.
General public	The WHO should have absolutely no power to submit any legislation at all from here on out, the way that the "pandemic" has been handled by way of their own mis- and dis-information is criminal and a clear power grab. I do not vote for you and I do not think you are for the collective good of the world.
none	The WHO should have absolutely NOTHING to say about how a country chooses to handle an illness. Stay in your lane and make sure that each country pays its fair share.
a person not an organization	The WHO should have ever have this much power where they get to decide globally what happens to people's personal freedoms or bodily autonomy. Every person has the right to medical freedom and decide what they put into their body. The Who should never be allowed to create mandates etc. We have legislation per country in place that can create laws. Stop this power grab.
N/A - Individual	The WHO should have no authority to overrule the sovereignty of a country and its people. The WHO were not elected. It is well proven that lockdowns imposed had only worsen the situation. The preparedness for a pandemic should be done through incorporating training courses on basic medical and epidemiological concepts to the population and controlling the dangerous research that might be done by certain institutions and the pharmaceutical industry.
Personal	The Who should have no bearing on the decisions on the health of the United States. We the people are to remain sovereign to make our own decisions. This pandemic is over. And any plans for a future one have been exposed. We the people say enough is enough.
Bondi Yoga Therapy	The WHO should have no influence as every country is unique with many different variables and we have our own elected government to work for us.
Appell Properties	The WHO should have no influence whatsoever in health matters. One size fits all central planning has failed universally (e.g. Soviet Union, North Korea, Cuba, and Venezuela) and health decisions should be the sole responsibility of individual countries. To date, the WHO has offered misinformation as to the pandemic and incorrect clinical advice that has resulted in detrimental unnecessary lockdowns and inappropriate standardized treatment where only the most vulnerable groups required treatment.
Verticon, Inc.	The WHO should have no jurisdiction in sovereign countries health care policies other than a consultative nature.
Individual	The WHO should have no mandate to overrule sovereign democratic peoples. World government by unelected NGO staff should never be considered. This is the extension of a techno bureaucracy into areas of civil discourse that we have seen have been nothing but disastrous in the last two years. An autistic fascination and mono focus on single disease outbreaks should never be an excuse to extend control over the lives of individuals and nation states. This is a power grab too far and an unnecessary extension of medical control over our lives. What on earth are you thinking of ?
Independent pol	The WHO should have no part in planning nor control over democratic gov's own Pandemic responses. The WHO is not a democratic elected body. It should be merely a group that can advise generally without setting any specific policy. It is an overreach to presume they should or can do. This must not be allowed to move forward
Self	The WHO should have no power or authority in USA. We have our own elected government and Constitution. There is no room for an international body to be involved in any health decisions or policies.
None	The WHO should have NO powers above those of individual countries when it comes to the health and well-being of its people. The rights of individuals to decide what goes into their bodies should not be taken away and given to those who will have no liability for their actions. Lockdowns are a totally in humane action and should never ever be used again. Vaccines should never ever be made mandatory and should complete trials before being rushed into human beings who are then essentially the trial subjects... Science should be debated. Those who disagree with "Covid" science that does not fit the mainstream narrative have not been debated with they have simply been shutdown. This must never happen again. The WHO should be politically independent. They do not appear to be.
UK citizen	The WHO should have no right to suspend the inalienable right of people's in the name of pandemic control diktats. The right to refuse treatment and the right to try treatment and to have bodily autonomy and control of ones medications is an inalienable human right. No one has or should have the right to refuse employment, access to education, travel , entrance to public spaces on the basis of vaccine passports. Lockdowns have been proven not to work and should not therefore be imposed by an external non governmental organisation such as the WHO likewise the imposition of vaccination on children. Manufacturers of pharmaceutical products should be liable for the side affects and damage caused by their products, this liability should be unlimited. The end point of any future pandemic should be decided by elected governments not by supranational bodies such as the WHO
Agitate PR Limited	The WHO should have no say in the future control of pandemics as they have shown with Covid to be completely wrong on so many occasions. As an individual I do not wish for my health to be controlled by any un-elected body.
Member of ICAN and CHD	The WHO should have nothing to do with pandemics judging by their poor response in this one. The grasp of the science was missing by the world's leaders as well as the members of WHO. Humans were experimented on en masse for the first time and the ridiculous pronouncements coming from WHO made the situation even more harmful than the virus itself. NO TREATY!!!!! NO Medical Tyranny!
self employed	The WHO should have the power to recommend actions in the case of a pandemic or emergency. The WHO should ensure they provide countries, states, provinces and individuals with correct information based on actual looking at statistics and information from front line, hands-on people who see and know what is occuring. The WHO should ignore vested interest groups and any dishonest reporting of the facts. They should work in the interest of the saving lives. The power of choice should stay with the individual, but the WHO should ensure the individual has accurate information so that he can make an informed choice. The WHO should not be able to mandate governments, governors or individuals rights and choices. The WHO should base its actions on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights adopted by the United Nations in 1948. Sincerely, Mary Spencer
TCD	The WHO should hold no authority to override sovereign governments. Democracy is not a democracy if it may be suspended upon whim. This body is overstepping it's bounds and your track record gives no indication you have evolved to become a centralized world authority for all near eight billion voices on this planet. Your position is to make recommendations and even then reform must be made to include clergy, ethicists, and world wide citizen representation. All decision processes must be made public and transparent and strict adherence to basic tenets of the Nuremberg Code, Informed Consent and the sovereign authority of individual free choice must be upheld. Legal liability must return to vaccine manufacturers, the WHO and governments as well as the return to original definitions of vaccine and pandemic.
Personal	The WHO should hold NO POWER whatsoever. They are not elected and do not represent me. Feel free to offer advice and guidance but you will not have the power to tell me what I can and cannot do.
Individual	The WHO should in every decision making process provide recommendations that can be backed up with science. There should be evidence based processes guiding decisions. Upon reflecting on the management of the covid-19 crisis the WHO needs to consider whether such drastic measures as; enforced lockdowns, emergency vaccination development with no longer term safety data and encouraging mandatory vaccination policy was in the best interests of populations and local economies. The WHO should have NO power to take away an individuals freedom of choice. Every individual should be able to have a choice about how they manage their own health. Health choices should NEVER be dictated to by Governments. The WHO should place more emphasis on prevention of disease and reducing the burden of non communicable disease. To ensure the global population is better prepared for resisting communicable diseases.
None	The WHO should in no way implement an international pandemic response requirement. Responses should be determined on the local level so that many options can be made available and assessed for efficacy. Mandating only one top-down response is unscientific because it assumes that a hypothesis is correct before it has been tested in a given situation. Too many variables are present to know in advance which response is best.
USA Citizen	The WHO should investigate things fully and should not be influenced by any organization, country or industry. The WHO should accept the following. 1. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall retain full autonomy from the WHO, any WHO designated entity, or any other sovereign nation. 2. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. All sovereign nations, their leadership, and their local municipalities, shall have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, and adopt, any portion of the agreement as they see fit. 5. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide fail-safe measures that shall prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 6. The WHO, and their designates, shall provide measures that prohibit any influence in the process by any and all corporate entities of any kind, global health profiteers, and especially pharmaceutical companies. Respectfully submitted. William West Louisville KY

Organization	Written submission
Global citizen	The WHO should issue guidelines only. Every nation need to be sovereign, without interference form a international body with questionable intentions and loyalty. The WHO failed to response properly in every way concerning COVID-19, most frightening, the WHO condoned suspending the writ of habeas corpus, ignored the Neurenberg Code, the Helsinki accord and basic human rights violations committed by nearly every government positively inclined to the WHO. The world needs LESS of the WHO, its response and preparedness, not more.
NWO	The WHO should just ban itself . We can solve all problems locally no need for world wide health agency except to give people with PhDs in political science a job . Please tell Bill thanks but no thanks .
Wigness bison	The who should keep all pandemic activities strictly relegated to providing information and recommendations. No form of mandatory action should be within their jurisdiction.
Citizen	The WHO should lead by example and apply all pandemic remedies that they propose exclusively to themselves. If citizens observe that benefits accrue to those WHO leaders who follow their own advice, the citizens may choose to follow the advice as well. Freedom to travel, shop, eat, and breath must be preserved undisturbed in any case.
Self	The Who should leave people to make there own decisions in health care. No one else!!!!
Institute for Pediatric Health (501c3)	The WHO should leave the pandemic response to individual countries as their populace and environment/culture vary significantly. To create blanket policies would not serve many nations and their people adequately. Already through this response we saw different countries handling the response differently to varying degrees of success. In fact one might argue that this is the exact reason such a policy is needed. However, it seems that the most successful response (Sweden) was the most attacked and questioned approach and even so in future scenarios would not be the favored and chosen approach. To put major health decisions into the hands of the few would be not only a problem for global health but politically as the people would not stand for it.
US Citizen	The WHO should limit its instrument(s) to defining policy-independent measurement standards that can evaluate local policy choices for effectiveness over time. It is critically important that a plethora of policy approaches be employed during a pandemic in different places and underlying situations, for different durations, with appropriate measurement that allows us to learn which combinations of tactics work in different situations, and with the assumption that certain policies will be successful in some locations, while failing in others. We additionally need data transparency publically to allow synthesis to occur broadly by many with vastly different backgrounds. This will get us to insights faster, in the spirit of science. Uniform policy limits learning, and should be avoided. Thank you!
None	The WHO should limit itself to only giving information; good information. Take example from the government of El Salvador: information on good health, have medication available. Let people make their own choices. Your response over the past two years has been abysmal!!
Invivo Fertility	The WHO should maintain a guidance stance, free from direct pharmaceutical involvement. Elected National and local leaders should have the right to apply their own nuances within their jurisdictions, in keeping with their relevant and culturally important Constitutions. Elected National and local leaders should maintain the right to veto aspects of WHO guidance in such an event, without prejudice. Clearly defined guidance on the raising of an event to pandemic, and equally clear grounds to move to epidemic or endemic. Transparency at all times of evidence utilised in reaching decisions, formulating guidance and treatment plans. Thank you for the opportunity to provide some thoughts.
general public	The WHO should maintain their role to advise the nations of the world on their local health policies and best practices, and to disseminate early information as it becomes available during fluid situations. As we have seen with the Covid pandemic, conditions vary from locale to locale, thus a one-size-fits-all structure would be unworkable on a global scale. Local officials need to have the flexibility to respond to their own unique situations and populations. This flexibility has served us well, pointing up both flaws and successes wrought by local policy the past two years. Any guidance provided should be universally available for perusal by all WHO stakeholders, and for amendment via submissions, as needed, so that working frameworks are agreed to by consensus. The knowledge base for decision making should be as broad as possible, to include all proven professional voices that wish to participate, as well as the pertinent local authorities.
Privat	The WHO should make plans for further pandemic situations but those plans should only be a recommendation to the member states. Those plans schouldn't become mandatory to the member states. The WHO is not only financed by member states! In any case the public must have the right to vote for such plans.
WHO	The WHO should never be over our health in a pandemic. All the rules they have implemented the last two years have not only destroyed the economy, but have destroyed people and their families ability to survive.
Personal	The WHO should never have any authority over individual or governing entities in the nations of the world. Any advice or recommendations should never be demanded or mandatory. Any treaty that removes power or autonomy of nations or individuals is inherently dangerous. The status of any outbreak or disease should be handled at the local level due to variations on how much actual danger there is to a given population. The WHO should avoid mission creep and stick to studies and advice and never intrude on sovereign national or local policies.
Mary Kay	The WHO should never have authority to govern health care choices that every individual should have the freedom to choose/decide for themselves, even in an emergency. This would be an abuse of power and a costly loss of freedom for American people.
Teacher	The WHO should NEVER have power over the USA's health decisions. American Citizens do NOT elect WHO employees. As such, they should not be given the power to decide rules that American citizens will need to abide by. Furthermore, what is in the best interest of other countries is not always what is in the best interest of our country. Healthcare is not a one size fits all concept. Do you think China will agree to the WHO's mandates? Who would monitor that? How can we trust an outside agency that does not have America's best interest in mind? There are too many questions, too many ifs, too many conflicts...to agree to give the WHO such enormous power.
Individual/Private	The WHO should not be able to dictate each countries approach to handling so-called pandemics, without consequence and ostracisation. The WHO needs to be clear and completely transparent about their intentions and policies, as well as reasons behind them. ALL individuals should have the choice to vote on measures put in place in a free, fair, democratic manner. NO ONE should be forced to follow WHO prescribed medical intervention; this is each individuals choice. NO individual should be forced to take the Covid-19 vaccination against their will. All research on the vaccine should be freely available and not censored. Media should not be one-sided and censored.
U.S. citizen	The WHO should not be allowed to decide what types of pandemic preparedness all countries of the world should engage in. The WHO were wrong on almost every point during COVID-19 so why should we trust them in the future? Decisions should be made at the local and national level only, not by faux experts, including the WHO which isn't even headed by an actual doctor. Nations and municipalities should reserve the right to opt out of any and all provisions of any agreement recommended by so-called authorities. There should be an open and transparent process whereby various groups of people would be able to vote against the wholesale regulation of their health and/or well-being. We know better than WHO how to safeguard our health. Pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers should have no influence over any decisions regarding our health. Like the WHO, they have shown that they cannot be trusted to do the right thing.
U.S. citizen	The WHO should not be allowed to decide what types of pandemic preparedness all countries of the world should engage in. The WHO were wrong on almost every point during COVID-19 so why should we trust them in the future? Decisions should be made at the local and national level only, not by faux experts, including the WHO which isn't even headed by an actual doctor. Nations and municipalities should reserve the right to opt out of any and all provisions of any agreement recommended by so-called authorities. There should be an open and transparent process whereby various groups of people would be able to vote against the wholesale regulation of their health and/or well-being. We know better than WHO how to safeguard our health. Pharmaceutical companies or other health profiteers should have no influence over any decisions regarding our health. Like the WHO, they have shown that they cannot be trusted to do the right thing.
all	The WHO should not be allowed to dictate to any sovereign nation on health policy and all pandemic situations. Who is an advisor and nothing more
None	The WHO should not be allowed to have authority during a pandemic. These concepts should guide countries: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The WHO should NOT be allowed to override the national constitutions of individual countries in order to impose globally coordinated measures to mitigate the spread of disease in the event of any new 'pandemic' (which the WHO itself would declare). This is profoundly undemocratic, the unelected officials that decide these supranational disease control measures would be legally and democratically unaccountable to the people they would affect. We have seen widespread human rights abuses with the globally coordinated and largely ineffective control measures imposed by individual governments. This treaty will only make things WORSE.
Jone	The Who should not be awarded the power to override the sovereignty of a Nation state, by way of an international treaty, even during a public health emergency. A 'one size fits all' approach is both wrong and undemocratic. In addition, it is not appropriate to award the WHO this extraordinary power. After all, they have the power to declare pandemics but they also receive a significant amount of funding from parties who have interests in pharmaceutical products. As a result, they are not impartial and and have a clear conflict of interest. Also, I believe the WHO got things wrong in relation to the coronavirus outbreak. Yet, unlike in the case of a government, people would have no local WHO representative in their constituency, that they could raise any issues with should further outbreaks materialise. Sadly, this appears to be a cynical attempt to usher in further global governance using 'pandemic preparedness' as the guise. A move towards more centralised power to a set of unelected, unaccountable, faceless beaurocrats and corporations.
Private Person	The WHO should not be dependent on private donations and thus be influenced by commercial interests of their donours. I strongly plee for the free Choice of member States to determine their regulations as to Pandemic measures. No to mandatory vaccinations. No to the shift of liability to member states away from Pharma companies, who earn enourmous amounts of money . Individual Health conditions must be considered instead of pressurizing people into vaccinations.
The King's School	The WHO should not be determining a global pandemic response, nor the authority to declare one on behalf of ANY other nation. All National Governance should remain RIGHTLY under the control of independent, national governments. The global pandemic response over 2 years has been disastrous for all national economies, global mental health, education, child development, individual finances and businesses etc. WE DO NOT WANT OR NEED THE WHO OR THE WEC CONTROLLING US.
private citizen	The WHO should not be determining the response of independent nations to any pandemic. The WHO has lost credibility for independent advice free of the influence of State actors and global corporations. The World governments need to be told to remove all mandates including vaccines, lockdowns, travel bans and vaccine passports. No person should be compelled to get vaccinated nor be asked to provide evidence. Measures should be taken by government to other these measures to those scared of the pandemic and vulnerable.
None	The WHO should not be developing a global protocol for any disease response. Efforts should not be made to exert administrative or authoritarian rule over sovereign countries as it fundamentally changes the governance of those independent nations. As the recent pandemic responses show, each country decided for itself what the proper response should be according to the needs and desires of their peoples. Some faired better than others for a myriad of reasons including co-morbidities in the populations, early treatment options and hospital treatment protocols. We can now learn from each other.
N/a	The WHO should not be drafting any treaties for health. It should be left to individuals to care for their own health.
Children's Covid Vaccines Advisory Council	The WHO should not be given additional powers over sovereign nations. It is still very unclear which of the measures introduced for covid actually helped. Different policies in different countries provides for comparisons to be made. An international unelected body should never have power to enforce restrictions on citizens of member states. It has also been concerning to see rapid rollout of vaccines to children, despite their low risk from covid and lack of adequate safety data. This sets a bad precedent for future vaccine development.
Mountain Top Academy	The WHO should not be given all authority when it comes to the health and welfare of Americans or any given country. Every country has leadership that knows its citizens and the capabilities of its people. Every nation has its own sovereignty and has been given skillsets within its people that are unique to other nations. The closer to home that you allow decisions to be made when emergencies exist, the better the people of that nation will be. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. One standard of law can not control all nations in a "TRUE" pandemic. Nations/ states/ cities within that nation have leadership that knows what is happening within each governing unit to help best the needs of its people. Every nation has its own Constitutions that allow freedoms for its people... this does not come from a controlling force in a world government but from the mighty hands of God, our Creator.
A private individual	The WHO should NOT be given any additional powers. Individual ELECTED governments must retain the right to decide how to deal with any health threat in a way they deem suitable. The WHO organisation has already proved itself to be not fit for purpose, with far too much influence being given to those who have financial interests rooted in the pharmaceutical organisations. In my view it should be disbanded.
Private citizen	The Who should not be given any powers to manage pandemic policy that overrides the will of sovereign nations. There should be complete freedom of information and transparency concerning the data that is used and collected by this and any other transnational institution. Proper scrutiny and freedom of information regarding to potential conflicts of interest between public health officials and representatives of the pharmaceutical industries. The Who should remain as an advisory body only and should not be given any legislative prerogative whatsoever.
United States	The WHO should not be given power related to pandemic preparedness. All health decisions should stay in the US and not be decided on a global scale. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Leave the medical and health decisions to the citizens of the individual countries and citizens.
Individual	The WHO should not be in charge of global pandemic response. The response to a pandemic should be led by each individual countries democratically elected national governments. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against the will of the people.
None	The WHO should not be in charge of health decisions or policies in any country. Each country/nation is sovereign unto itself and the WHO being in charge of any decisions (whether in specific conditions or at any time) is stripping the nations of their sovereignty. The WHO is not a governing body and should not attempt to be one.
None	The WHO should NOT be in charge of pandemic preparedness or protocols for the nations of the world at all. Each nation should and must deal with pandemics in their own ways and should rely on doctors and scientists for information and treatment protocols. Both the Covid pandemic and the WHO have been politicized, and the contributions of conscientious doctors who wanted to treat Covid patients early have been mischaracterized and suppressed, with many good doctors fired from hospitals, delicensed, or otherwise persecuted. Sweden dealt successfully with the Covid pandemic and, to a large extent, so did the US State of Florida. Covid infections were decreasing BEFORE the introduction of the Covid vaccines. The vaccines, which are not vaccines at all since they don't prevent infection or transmission, have needlessly killed or injured a large number of people, including children, for whom they are now authorized - and this is so even though healthy children and other young people have a Covid survival rate of more than 99% but have had myocarditis and heart attacks from the Covid vaccines - has WHO done anything about this? If so, I certainly haven't heard of it. I don't want WHO in charge of my health or the health of anyone I care about.
Citizen	The Who Should not be involved and should not be creating a treaty which would infringe on the rights of individuals. The treaty would hurt individuals, create chaos and animosity. Nothing good would come of this treaty. This treaty could not even be enforced. the who is an extension of government and has very little to do with science anymore. People in charge are appointed political officials
World Citizen	The WHO should not be involved in a global pandemic plan. Most countries already had an emergency plan prepared and they were abandoned because of political and monetary reasons. Each country should be responsible for having a coalition of various experts across many sectors (epidemiologists, virologists, doctors, scientists, economists, etc) from all over the country who collaborate on a plan. This takes into account the needs of the the particular country and its demographics. Pre-covid plans in Canada stated the most effective measure to focus on to slow the spread of the virus were hand hygiene, respiratory etiquette to quarantine sick individuals. Ineffective measures were surface, object and environmental cleaning, face masking, avoiding crowding and travel advise. The measures most ineffective in reducing the spread of the virus and deemed most likely to cause collateral damage were contact tracing, quarantining exposed individuals, workplace measures and closures, school measures and closures, entry and exit screening, internal travel restrictions and border closures. The most ineffective measures were the measures suggested by the WHO and forced on citizens all around the world. The four goals of the pre-written pandemic plan were and should remain: 1. Controlling the spread of influenza disease and reducing illness (morbidity) and death (mortality) By providing access to appropriate prevention measures, care and treatment. 2. Mitigating social disruption by Ensuring the continuity and recovery of critical services. 3. Mitigating adverse Economic impact. 4. Supporting an efficient and effective use of resources during response and recovery.
Home	The WHO should not be involved in any form of an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. No "Pandemic Treaty"
None	The WHO should not be involved in dictating individual 'healthcare' requirements as if one-size-fits-all. This treaty takes the responsibility of personal health out of the individual's hands. If this organization had any interest in promoting good health for the world, it would promote healthy food and building a strong immune system instead of profit driven shots.
None- Citizen of the USA	The WHO should not be making any legally binding rules for governments that are bound by their own constitutions. Human beings are naturally free, and no global agency should have power to dictate what a free nation, especially the United States of America, does or how it handles a pandemic. In the USA, each individual state makes laws to protect citizens, and those are determined by the citizens of that state. The best way that the WHO can be helpful is to provide protection to people from medical over-reach and advocate for free will, bodily autonomy, and choice in medical and healthcare decisions.
self-employed	The WHO should not be making decisions for the whole world. This is a VERY BAD idea. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Please see my comments on this. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Sharon Reeve
none	The WHO should not be making decisions for the world on healthcare. It is not a one size fits all decision. Countries, States, and local Communities need to make their own decisions for healthcare. NO to the WHO's desire to control the global healthcare decisions! There are many Countries who did different things for their citizens and some had excellent results compared to others. We need to learn from each other to have better results.
Private citizen	The WHO should not be seeking to implement any international instruments that will bypass Constitutions or laws of countries, neither should any precedent be set by an advisory body that circumvents international law. Countries have faired better than others yet have been decimated economically, financially and been subject to contemptuous leaders, politicians and law enforcement. Starvation levels have grown exponentially as well as the terrible growth in mental health issues and the stunted development of children. Blanket instruments are dangerous. The WHO is not elected by the citizens of the world and should not egregiously be allowed to bypass democracies or human rights. The organisation should remain as an advisory body with clear and honest advice. Too many other diseases have been overlooked, thus paving the way for unprecedented numbers where they could be preventable.
Self	The WHO should not be seeking to make international agreements or treaties of this kind. Individual nations must have full autonomy in their public health decisions and policy making.
None	The WHO should not be taking over health care for the world. That should be decided on an individual basis and by local health authorities. The US should not give up its rights to govern its people via signing this treaty. People should not give up informed consent. Each individual is unique and treatment should be decided by them and their doctor. The WHO and other governing agencies have already shown that they did not get it right AT ALL when combating this pandemic. Instead, governments violated human rights forcing treatments that don't work and are harmful.
Human being	The WHO should not be trying to come up with a new treaty in response to pandemic readiness. Each country should implement their own. Plans that were in place for a pandemic pre covid were ignored when they should have been stuck with. The WHO needs to look at the effects of their action and how they have negatively effected the world before they look at bringing in mandatory readiness treaties.
Individual	The WHO should NOT be trying to ensure compliance and obedience from Governments. It is totally unacceptable for the WHO to tell democratically elected governments to make non medical interventions and indeed medical ones. The WHO should confine itself to advice.
Private	The WHO should not control our healthcare! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Company Director	The WHO should not control the health plans or pandemic responses to individual countries across the globe. Each country is different and may have different considerations and issues for their individual population as a result of variable factors and may not be served well on global decisions and recommended protocols and treatments. The health authorities in each country are the best placed to make decisions and will know their own countries unique circumstances in order to make the best choices and if they feel a need can still consult with WHO to assist them, as they do now. The WHO has displayed over the last two years that it has not always responded or acted in the best interests of individual countries nor humanity generally. As a result certain key persons within WHO do not have the trust and respect to be given full control and autonomy over an individual countries decisions in tackling their own health issues. No one organisation should be given the monopoly and total control over the global population.
Home and personal health	The WHO should NOT control, dictate, manage, instruct, guide, influence, authorize, organize, etc ANY future pandemics. This should be done at the local level and involve many different professionals including virologists, scientists, doctors, nurses, lawyers, economists, psychologists, etc. Covid-19 was handled poorly by ALL involved. Spun out of control. Did tremendous damage. Proven and effective treatment was readily available. Adverse events from vaccine have been buried. Facts distorted. Lives destroyed. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. More people need to be involved in future. Facts need to be truthful and transparent. No more hidden agenda. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It's up to the local level to decide. No global governance. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Pharmaceutical companies should be liable for any and ALL adverse reactions. Only vaccines that should be manufactured and brought to market are safe and effective vaccines. Covid vax is deadly.
USA	The WHO should not create any international instruments on the handling of a cold. Each local authority should remain in control of their jurisdiction, as there is no one size fits all response needed or feasible. The only element that should be included is full information, not premeditated agenda-ridden information. The WHO should be committed to providing the information for every world citizen and their doctor to make the best health decisions for that individual.
EU Citizen	The WHO should not decide for its members which measures to take but should at most be one of many advisors. Every country should increase hospital bed capacity,provide better training and pay for medical staff and the population shoyld be taught to take care of their immune system instead of bombarding people with medicine once they got sick via overeating, alcoholism or smoking . Research on viruses for purposes like warfare have to be prohibited worldwide ,no medical product may be approved with insufficient data or data not available to the public,furthermore data on side effects of any medication,vaccines included must be accessible to everyone,mandatoy vaccines when there are alternatives and people are in good health are a crime that should be punished.
Self	The WHO should NOT dictate any pandemic response or policy to any sovereign nation or sovereign person anywhere. It is NOT the WHOs responsibility to create laws or close borders or otherwise restrict movement of people. Information about what diseases are prevalent in any country can be the only purpose. Spreading false and inflated numbers and spreading fear cannot be tolerated. Each country through its own laws and governing bodies decide own courses of action. Influencers such as Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the World Economic Forum should be forbidden any control or policy making. Do not push or force any vaccines on any people anywhere. Get rid of BIG Pharmas money and all the influencers that have anything to do with bio weapon research, gain of function research and anyone who has any mission to reduce the population without consent of those individuals involved. Stop killing and injuring people with your polio campaigns, your DPT campaigns your HPV campaigns and any other targeted vaccine campaigns. Your money MUST be spent for health infrastructure such as water accessibility, sanitation, food supply accessibility. stop all GMO crops and guarantee the rights of the small farmer.
None	The WHO should not do additional steps in case of a pandemic. The national and local authorities are best equipped to deal with the problem itself, because they know the local situation.
(self)	MEDICAL/HEALTH TREATMENTS OR CONDITIONS for ANYONE. But IF tit happens, it would GO AGAINST MEDICAL FREEDOM OF CHOICE AND INFORMED CONSENT and would setup a GLOBAL MEDICAL TYRANNY with the WHO as the DICTATOR. Is THAT what you WANT? If the Treaty is to happen, include the following in the Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
John Forester inc. on behalf of the United Kingdom	The WHO should not gain the ability todo anything more than advise. All countries should retain autonomy and any agreement should hold veto powers and be non legally binding. No NGO should hold powers over sovereign states.
Günter Schmid	The WHO should not get any mandate, overriding national constitutions. The Nuremberg Code has to be respected!
Independent	The WHO should not have a say in the health policies of sovereign nations. Each nation has a different health profile and unique set of circumstances. It is obvious that there is much corruption and subtefuge within the WHO and so, it should be disbanded. It should not accept funding from Organisations as the Bill and Melinda Gates foundation as to do so proves it is not an autonomous body. Gates is a known peodofile and and is many ongoing crimi al investigations. Bodily integrity is an inalienable human right and should not be in the hands of corrupt orags such as the WHO.
Self	The WHO should not have any authority over any sovereign nation unless they hold the leadership of the nation accountable to allow the free exchange of ideas and solutions by citizens. Aside from this the WHO is not an expert body which can effectively reduce the impact of a pandemic in any one nation.
none	The WHO should not have ANY authority over US citizens or be able to usurp power from our elected officials or we the people. US citizens will make our own decisions with regards to our personal health and safety, not be dictated to by an unelected global entity. This is a very bad model of operation and I hope all nations will refuse to be a part of this treaty. It would nullify the US Constitution, therefore it is illegal for the USA to be a part of this global power grab.
X	The WHO should not have any governing authority over an autonomous, sovereign nation. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	The WHO should NOT have any influence with any countries health organisations due to potential conflict of interests from sponsorship agendas.
UKCitizen	The WHO should not have any more powers, as this is a threat to democracy and inalienable rights, and contrary to many countries constitutions (e.g. the UK's 1689 Bill of Rights). The WHO should have no power to impose dehumanizing ineffective lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people, and contrary to previously accepted medical ethics such as the Nuremberg conventions, the Syracusa conventions, and UNESCO treaty on Bioethics. The WHO should only offer scientific advice on medication protocols and testing, and run impartial clinical trials, including re-use of cheap generic drugs like Ivermectin, or Zinc/Vitamin D protocols that do not make vast wealth for Big Pharma. The WHO could run future vaccine clinical trials, in a proper objective fashion, as there are bias and corruption problems when Pharma runs its own trials. All data should be fully published, including adverse events. The WHO is too influenced by Big Pharma and the Gates Foundation The WHO should not enforce inhuman tyranny such as the CCP lockdowns. I fear that what we see in Shanghai is the future world that the Gates Foundation and the World Economic Forum want for all humanity. The WHO should not be a corrupt tyranny enforcing WEF/Pharma interests against the people. The WHO should offer health advice, not be abused as a political tool for globalist anti-democratic forces such as the World Economic Forum and the plutocratic elites; nor should it be co-opted into the WEF Great Reset.

Organization	Written submission
Interfluid Hydraulics Ltd	The WHO should not have any more powers, as this is a threat to democracy and inalienable rights, and contrary to many countries constitutions (e.g. the UK's 1689 Bill of Rights). The WHO should have no power to impose dehumanizing ineffective lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people, and contrary to previously accepted medical ethics such as the Nuremberg conventions, the Syracusa conventions, and UNESCO treaty on Bioethics. The WHO should only offer scientific advice on medication protocols and testing, and run impartial clinical trials, including re-use of cheap generic drugs like Ivermectin, or Zinc/Vitamin D protocols that do not make vast wealth for Big Pharma. The WHO could run future vaccine clinical trials, in a proper objective fashion, as there are bias and corruption problems when Pharma runs its own trials. All data should be fully published, including adverse events. The WHO is too influenced by Big Pharma and the Gates Foundation The WHO should not enforce inhuman tyranny such as the CCP lockdowns. I fear that what we see in Shanghai is the future world that the Gates Foundation and the World Economic Forum want for all humanity. The WHO should not be a corrupt tyranny enforcing WEF/Pharma interests against the people. The WHO should offer health advice, not be abused as a political tool for globalist anti-democratic forces such as the World Economic Forum and the plutocratic elites; nor should it be co-opted into the WEF Great Reset.
Self	The WHO should not have any more powers, as this is contrary to many countries consitutions and existing democratic structures. The WHO should have no power in enforcing proven ineffective, dehumanising interventions such as lockdowns, nor overriding individuals bodily autonomy with poorly tested, expensive and risky vaccines or other dubious genetic experiments. Such actions violate existing human rights and override existing ethics as established by the Nuremburg code, the Syracusa convention and UNESCO treaty on bioethics. The WHO should only offer medical advise on medication protocols and testing, and run impartial trials, including on existing off label drugs such as HCQ, and IVM that dont make large profits for vested big pharma interests. These should be open with trial results available to all, and not locked up for 75 years as Pfizer recently attempted. Adverse events should be documented and published in a full and timely fashion. The WHO should be an advisory and knowledge sharing institution, not a dictatorial, autocratic machine for government and non government donors.
Private	The WHO should not have any power to overrule national laws nor to press any nation to any action. Each nation must have free decision for its people.
Personal	The WHO should not have any powers over sovereign states to determine how they operate in the event of a pandemic. You can't control all humanity. We are all individuals with individual rights and you are not our masters. You should not have the power to impose dehumanising ineffective lockdowns and enforce expensive and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. Vaccines must never be mandatory as bodily autonomy is a human right. The WHO should be less political and should be open to listening to a wide range of experts. You should listen to dissenting voices and then form a view.
Self	The WHO should not have authority over any citizen of the USA. This would be a danger to the individual health of Americans can citizens. Let us maintain our right to respond in freedom to anything that comes our way, pandemic or otherwise. This is the foundation of our country. To allow otherwise is to move us further into a communist state.
private citizen	The WHO should not have authority over any country. It is the government of the individual countries along with their own health authorities that should be in charge of all health measures. The WHO needs to stay within its original mandates & not seek to expand authority over countries. I see no good reason to even consider these expanded measures.
USA	The WHO should not have authority over national governments for any kind of emergency response. The WHO were not elected to power by constituents, and should thus not hold any authority over the lives of millions or potentially billions of people.
None	The WHO should not have AUTONOMY over any National and or local leadership in any country, each country has the right to make their own decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each citizen in a country has the right to bodily integrity and therefore the WHO are overreaching with powers, No person is a sovereign entity under any country's laws. We have not signed an agreement in this regard. STOP THE TREATY
Private Citizen	The WHO should NOT have global guidelines that blindly apply to all. Individual countries and communities should be able to respond appropriately according to their needs.
I do not belong to any organization.	The WHO should not have jurisdiction over individual sovereign nations. As a distant governing body it would be impossible for them to be fully informed and sensitive to the specific situations of its individual member nations. It would open the door to global tyranny by a small group of people who think they know what is best for the world. I can't emphasize enough how dangerous this would be.
US Citizen	The WHO should not have so much power.They should not have the ability to control everybody. Most Americans do not trust the WHO as it is starting to look as if they are getting paid off.
Individuals for Florida	The WHO should not have the power to control the people's health in any way. This is a threat to sovereignty and our human rights. Every person should have authority over their life/health decisions. We the people will not allow this.
Citizen	The WHO should not have the power to implement any criteria against the wishes of a countries people, it is unethical in every way, all health care must remain with the individual and what suits Africa won't necessarily suit France, who would take responsibility if vaccines kill people or main people, who would take responsibility for closing down a country that's not warranted, Covid 19 lockdowns caused huge damage to the greater population then first imagined, mRNA vaccines have caused untold misery's yet was driven by the dollar not the health of the population, a one world order is open to unspeakable evils and closed minds, you know and I know this written submission will be ignored by WHO as it doesn't fit what they want I've never known "for the greater good" to be anything but a disaster.
self	The WHO should not have the power to make a country's health related decision. The USA should not enter into a treaty that removes our country's capability to make our own decisions. Informed opinion can allow co-operation amongst nations.
None	The WHO should not have the power to overrule countries' governments in any matter. Each country should have the right to make the decision what is best for their citizens. Every person decides over his own body! No one are allowed to force medicine or medical treatment to any person. Stop forcing people or countries!
none	The WHO should not have the right to overrule the sovereignty of a country and it's people. The WHO should focus on controlling the type of research done and making sure it is applied for the benefit and well-being of the population and not as a means to control populations. The treaty cannot overrule human rights and people to choosing their medical and health status and treatment. The preparedness for a pandemic should be done through incorporating training courses on basic medical and epidemiological concepts to the population.
Norway	The WHO should not have this kind of power. Health measures are best left up to each country!
NA	The WHO should NOT implement any of their proposed plans that over rule the Sovereign & democratically decided upon wishes of citizens of individual nations. Pandemic contingencies should not allow for forced vaccinations or lockdowns or violation of human rights.
None	The WHO should not in any way interfere in the affairs of any nation.
Citizen	The WHO should not interfere in matters related to countries at all. The WHO is corrupt and spread false information knowingly.
none	The WHO should not make any policy decisions for sovereign nations. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
British citizen	The WHO should not over-ride the authority of an elected national government. Especially as its sponsors have a self-serving interest. The citizens must be able to chose their own health pathway, not be forced to comply with corporate desires.
Private	The WHO should not stand above the constitutions of it's member states in case of a pandemic. The majority of the budget that the WHO has, does not come from the member states, but from private persons that have certain interests, which means that the WHO is biased. I do not want that somebody that provides a lot of money to the WHO and runs a company can decide what to do in case of a pandemic. It is all just about money and not about the health of the people then.
Self	The WHO should NOT take any measures or actions that govern individuals during a pandemic. The WHO should remain a research, data collection and information source only, NOT a governing body. I DO NOT support any legally binding treaty between the United States and WHO.
MAFA	The WHO should only assist member nations by providing information, updates and helping members coordinate international supply chains between nations of critical materials and equipment. All decisions on how to respond to a pandemic should be left soley to the local communities affected by the crisis and most aware of all circumstances relevant to the necessary response. Member nations and their citizens must not be required to surrender their sovereignty to any global organization.
None	The WHO should only be able to give recommendations because it an organization without democratic legitimacy. Another argument against binding regulations is that the global risk to humanity is rather high in case the WHO gets captured by certain interest groups.
None	The WHO should only be able to suggest. They should not control or hold any sovereignty over any country's healthcare or pandemic response or decision to declare a pandemic. All vaccines and new medicines must be subject to 2 years of research before declaring safe or less if unsafe. Long term effects especially noted. Over all this treaty SHOULD NOT HAPPEN. The WHO should be kept at arms length of any country.
Private	The WHO should only be advising governments on preparing and responding to a pandemic. The WHO should not be dictating to governments on how to prepare and respond to a pandemic.
Self-employed	The WHO should ONLY be allowed to offer guidance to individual countries on any issue. The elected governments in each country must maintain total control and responsibility for any actions taken.
self	The WHO should only make recommendations to individual world governments. Each country needs to make their own decisions regarding the health and welfare of their own citizens with no interference from any outside sources. Each country should provide their own medical guidance without any interference from outside entities. It is impossible The WHO to understand the intricacies of each individual country or states, counties or provinces health.
US Citizen	The WHO should only report on statistics presented by each country. The WHO was unable to do anything to get rid of the covid virus. The WHO failed to produce therapeutics that were effective. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	The WHO should preserve national democratic pratice and let people vote and decide for their own choice of treatment in complience to the nürnberg-code. as well as: .National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. .The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. .An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. .Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	The WHO should provide guidelines for how world entities might act in a pandemic (containment, testing, treatment, prevention) but should allow each of those entities to ultimately make its own decision on course of action. WHO treaties that remove power from individual entities are dangerous to the health and welfare of its citizens and threatens personal medical freedom. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Thank you.
Emmployed	The WHO should recognize and acknowledge the basic rights of All humans. The WHO has become heavily influenced by many communist type actions. The WHO has no right to take a countries Autonomy away. I vote to abolish the WHO as they have done a terrible job over the past decade and especially during the Covid pandemic. Just want to be part of the POWER grab I guess. People of the world need to stand up to the WHO's attempt to override all governments of the Globe. How disgusting these actions are that hold no truth, no compassion and no consideration just complete utter LIES.
Member of the public	The WHO should refrain from mandates and give only general advice. Each country should be able to follow their own medical experts if they choose to do so There is no need for coercion and one fits all approach. Voluntary informed consent to any measure is inalienable human right. Most of our population is well informed and can make their own decisions.Respect our choices especially regarding bodily autonomy. This treaty is an attempt by few individuals to dictate to the whole world. I reject this idea wholeheartedly.
Concerned nz citizen	The who should remain a body providing advice to nations only, exerting no control over sovereign nations. The who should confirm that it is the right of each nation to direct and make decisions that provide the best outcomes for that nation. I do not agree with an international body having power to dictate actions to sovereign nations.
Retired	The WHO should remain as an advisory board not a controlling authority. The ultimate decision about how to provide necessary assistance and type of assistance must remain with the sovereign nations without interference from outside influence.
Private individual	The who should retain an advisory capacity only with regards to pandemics. All nations should be free to implement who pandemic guidance in whole or part at their sole discretion with no consequences for not doing so.
Self	The WHO should revert to providing advice based on a body of proven high quality scientific evidence and should not seek additional powers.
None	The WHO should revert to the original definition of a pandemic. Any treaty must respect the following INALIENABLE rights of the INDIVIDUAL:- 1. To bodily integrity 2. To free speech 3. To the freedom to work 4. To the freedom to travel National and local leadership must retain FULL autonomy and reserve the right to ignore any "instructions" from the WHO, as they determine the best interests for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out from any or all portions of this agreement without adverse consequences. There must be full and transparent declaration by the WHO of their funders and the business interests of such. Conflicts of interest must be declared openly to the world. There must be no influence by pharmaceutical companies, global health providers, or anyone with economic interests in such organisations. Representatives of the WHO should be appropriately qualified in the subject(s) on which they make pronouncements. This must be through internationally-recognised qualifications and professional experience.
none	The WHO should stick to existing pandemic regulations, return to their site the definition of herd immunity which could be achieved naturally, without vaccination. We do not need your new treaty which will violate the Constitution of each country and human right of freedom of choice of any medical procedure.
None	The WHO should Stop !!!! Lett each Countrie decide for it self witch measuress are needed in that Exact Moment and in what was to conduct IT. Whitout the WHO, because the WHO is no longer Independent nor for helping the People. STOP ITT. STOP ITT!!!!! The WHO needs to give the Powers Back to the People and Put that in !! That Element that every Countrie itself is responsible for ist own measures and also able to Bring in Alternative Medicin !! Because that can en will save lifes!!
None	The WHO should stop it's heavy handed approach with mandating vaccines and restrictions and only offer advice. There is no reason people should be bullied and co-erced into things; informed consent is all that should be necessary. Each country should be able to make it's own rules and follow it's own medical professionals advice. And this in turn can either be taken or ignored by the general public.
personal	The WHO should stop this project as the WHO isn't democratically elected! Thus it should restrict itself strictly to giving evidence based information from a broad rage of views (pro and contra) to the countries who are themselves in charge of making decisions for the best of all mankind!
Public USA	The WHO should take lead is comprehensive tracking and reporting of disease spread. This should include important characteristics and demographics of those that actually suffer or die from the disease. E.g age, gender, blood type, obesity/weight, race, etc. The WHO should not engage in baseless, limp, proactive attempts to control disease spread without scientific understanding, as most government bodies did during the Covid panic.
Self	The WHO should take no action when it comes to health and welfare. The WHO needs to stop interfering in the affairs of countries, governments, and individuals. WHO treaties that remove power from the US, or any other country, are a danger to the health and welfare of a country's citizens. The WHO should not have the ability to dictate and o declare what is or is not a health emergency for the world. That choice should be left to each country and the country's health leaders and health professionals. Even when an emergency exists, all health decisions need to be made by the individual. Decisions for individuals should not be made by a bureaucrat or academic at an organization like the WHO. A treaty like this takes away the sovereignty of a country like the United States. The treaty sets a terrible precedent by usurping individual rights and making the WHO a dictatorial organization. There is nothing more sacred than bodily autonomy. The WHO has violated individual rights, and the Nuremberg Code, with its health dictates. Countries that have followed the WHO have also violated individual rights and the Nuremberg Code. The WHO should not have this much power and influence. The WHO and similar organizations need to be disbanded.
None	The WHO should under no circumstances be allowed to dictate policy to national governments. It should retain an informational role ONLY
Richard Palliser	The WHO should: use a wide-range of experts and independent modellers; be fully transparent; offer advice to countries, while recognising that they alone must decide their own policies.
Radicom	THE WHO shouldn't overrule the national constitution ! Their role is give advice on a medical matter without interference by lobbies or pharmaceutical companies or private donators ! The WHO should be INDEPENDENT and free of all financial ties ! Or there must be plans to create an independent body to advice the world on public health issues !
self	The WHO treaties remove power from the US, from independent nations and states. This is dangerous to the US, independent states, and local communities, their welfare and health. All health decisions must remain local and individual as every human being is different and their health cannot be controlled or dictated as one modality for all. Health of individuals is different in each sovereign nation, state, and community, for each individual. Diseases and health conditions are not uniform in planet earth or anywhere in the universe. No regulating body has authority over all of humanity or their health. One size does not fit all. These treaties are a giant step to global control and tyranny, and the extinction of the human race and likely a large part of the animal kingdom that relies on humans for their care and health. The WHO Constitution states that, "Informed opinion and active cooperation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of of the people.". Keep your word and that commitment! NO WHO TREATIES!
Self	The WHO treaties removes power from the US and are dangerous to the health and welfare of myself and Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO does not have authority/power over me or my country's health decisions. I do not consent to any legally binding pandemic treaty.
none	The Who treaties should NOT reign power over the US. It is dangerous to remove power from the US regarding the health and welfare of American citizens. Our health decisions should come from our citizens, our local communities, our cities and towns, state, and national government with laws that are written according to our unalienable rights bestowed by God and protected by the US Constitution. Let American doctors be doctors who are free to discuss, inform, think, and try innovative ways to heal their patients. They should NOT be mandated to practice in one particular way, with a certain protocol of medicine. Ethical and transparent medical practice cannot be accomplished when we lose our soverignty and autonomy. Informed opinion, and informed consent are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health and well-being of the citizens of the US. The Who global treaties are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans because they remove power from the United States.
NA	The WHO treaties should NOT remove our personal health choices. There are to many variables in everyone's living environment to have just one agency dictating what is right. We can all prepare but response choices should be up to the local community. Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the PEOPLE. A small group of people CAN NOT make the right decision for the world. Preparation and communicating is the key locally. Do not make a group of dictators. I do not believe there should be a WHO treaty that can control our health decisions.
Human Being	The WHO treaties that disregard the United States of America's sovereignty is utter danger for all it's citizens. The WHO treaties that remove any power from the United States of America are harmful to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to continue to be made at home where citizens reside and have a private conversation to make an informed decision with their doctor of their choosing even during an emergency. I do not support the WHO having power over my health decisions. As per the WHO Constitution "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people.". I oppose any global pandemic treaty created by the WHO. Peace & unity
Nurse	The WHO Treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. The WHO Does Not have the power over our health and well being, and Does Not have the power over Any of our health decisions. Period!
Private citizen	The WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. I am opposed to any policies that require US citizens to do something in the context of health simply because a supranational agency claims they must. We have seen the devastation that follows this type of decision-making when federal level policy is forced on states and local communities. The agreement proposed by the WHO would remove local control beyond the federal government and instead to a global level. Health Freedom Advocates are for cooperation, but not for loss of sovereignty. The WHO is an international agency that should work for us, not the other way around, so we can strengthen our local and federal responses and resiliency in times of health emergencies. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO does not have power over the health decisions in the US unless its citizens cede that power to a supranational agency. Sovereignty is not only the cornerstone of individual human rights and civil liberties but also international law. Countries "decide which actions or measures take place within their territory—not other nation-states and certainly not third-party organizations such as the WHO.
General public	The WHO Treaty for global pandemic response must include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
EU citizen	The WHO treaty intends to remove power from sovereign nations. By joining the nation to such treaty might endanger the health and welfare of their citizens. Instead, all health decisions must stay in the hands of local health authorities, including emergencies. WHO must not have power over national health decisions especially not in the form of a legally binding pandemic treaty. WHO employees have close ties to vaccine manufacturers and pharmaceutical companies that creates serious conflict of interest and dangerous situation to individuals. Fundamental human rights, and right to informed consent, guaranteed universally in several human rights directives and declarations (like i.e. the Nuremberg Code, Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, etc.) must always be respected.
Health Freedom	The WHO treaty is unnecessary and it threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights.
Greater Grace World Outreach	The WHO treaty needs only to formally recognize the sovereignty of nation states AND the rights of the individual citizens of those states. This treaty should serve as a pledge that the WHO shall henceforth refrain from any interference in the public health policies of sovereign nations. The treaty should stipulate that scientific debate shall henceforth be both welcomed AND protected by the WHO.
EU institution	The WHO treaty on pandemics must contain the below 4 elements to remain democratic and proportionate: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The WHO treaty should not be entered. Important health decisions must be made at a much more local level. There is no one-size-fits-all approach for an entire globe, and it is dangerous for countries to be held to such.
Resident of USA	The WHO treaty should not be signed by any nation! Each country is responsible for their own peoples and not governed by a bunch of unelected tyrants. When there is another planned pandemic it should be dealt with at the time. We are NOT cattle to be put into submission.
member of the public	The WHO treaty substantive elements must include : - guaranteed assurances that this agreement cannot override national sovereignty as without this it risks becoming a dictatorial global power that will sanction oppression, and injustice and will breed contempt for what should be an impartial and respected WHO. - before the introduction of any international treaty, an inquiry into the consequences of the response to COVID-19 must be conducted. We must learn the lessons from the past two years and establish better best practices for the future. We have solid evidence to show the harms/unintended consequences of the COVID control measures and vaccine mandates. What assurance are they that these same mistakes will not be made again if there is no fair and equitable hearing of both sides? - ALL voices both those in opposition and in favor of the treaty MUST be considered - a current situation that allows only pro voices and maligns any who speak against things such as lockdowns is totally unacceptable - A one-size-fits-all approach for the entire world must not be the answer. nations are vast and diverse. A one-size-fits-all response to a health crisis doesn't even work across one country, let alone the entire globe. - DO NOT change the role of the WHO - abide by your roots. The original goal was for sovereign nations to pool their resources to help combat malaria, Ebola, and other health issues largely harming those in the developing world. KEEP it that way Thank you Cindy Campbell
None	The WHO tried to control& protect the the people during Covid19 & failed. They can never again make such a mistake. Each Country, state, community, person has the right to make decisions on their choice of medical treatments, vaccines, isolation, the list is long. WHO did not have the best interest of people in their decision making. Their control was unacceptable.
General public	The WHO under no circumstances has no right to tell people what to put in their bodies, especially when it comes to "Vaccines" that hasn't had proper time for trials(average 7-10 years) Also a Vaccine is suppose to be taken once to work not several. Polio, measles and chicken pox Vaccine you only have to take it once to stay effective. We the citizens are tired of the coercion of the WHO and the Gov't to get a Jab that has done more harm than good, more side affects then any other drug on the market. Forcing it upon children is sickening especially when they have amazing immune systems. The WHO and the GOV'T need to worry more about the safety of the citizens and worry less about the amount of money they are taking in by forcing this experimental jab.
Naturopathy4me	The WHO used to be the bastion for 'Health for All' with collaboration amongst member states and respect for the autonomy of each member state. Well accepted international pandemic strategies have been in place for many years. Until Covid-19 these robust and agreed upon strategies were abandoned. Never before has a healthy population been isolated, and worse repeatedly tested when asymptomatic. Members states need to continue to determine and decide the best health decisions for their location, population, and unique requirements. Damaging bureaucratic decisions from unelected officials have created unbelievable harm in the management of the Covid-19 globally. This cannot be allowed to continue via this Treaty. At the top of the list are lockdowns, and denying early access to evidence-based treatments have harmed or killed millions across the globe. This treaty undermines not only a member states' rights to health self-determination, but the rights of the individual. Human Rights are Health Rights and this 'Treaty' is an abuse of the individuals human and health rights. This treaty desecrates the sanctity of the doctor-patient relationship. Patient-centred care is based on this doctor-patient relationship. Health bureaucrats must never be allowed to intervene in this sacred patient-doctor relationship. The Nurenberg Code entitles each person to full and informed consent before any medical procedure. This treaty negates this legal and binding Code for all humanity. I call for this Treaty to be REVOKED immediately.
Pivate	The WHO want more control over pandemics they must never be given that power!!!!
Self	The WHO wants more control. We must not give it to them. Stop this now!
Jason Kingi	The WHO will not threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.is to be null and void. This uncalled for abuse of public funding to profit those private investors is a conflict of interest and open to abuse from those who will benefit financially from this proposed treaty I do not support this in any way and ask this be retracted and abolished. Regards Jason kingi
Movimiento por la Salud y la Libertad	The WHO will respect the bio-psycho-social well-being of people and abide by the principles of Human Rights and Bioethics. No confinements, transportation, trade, travel or any other restrictions will be imposed for any reason. The WHO will present evidence and create and guarantee public forums of open scientific, medical, and technical discussion, without any type of censorship or manipulation, regarding any pathogen, as well as possible prophylactic and/or therapeutic treatments, but people will be able to decide freely and autonomously which option to take according to their individual interests. WHO will ensure that people can choose the appropriate treatment after receiving timely, complete, understandable, clear, non-technical, reliable, culturally appropriate, about any treatment, prophylactic or therapeutic, without manipulation, coercion or interference by third parties, and the person will then be able to freely consent –or reject– any medical procedure or treatment (Informed Consent). No one will ever be coerced or forced to undergo forced testing, accept any type of treatment, nor will be isolated or prevented from working or meeting freely. The WHO will audit bio-laboratories around the world to ensure their safety, and will intervene, detain and report any laboratory belonging to any government or private organization that may be conducting "gain-of-function" research that may put the physical, mental, or psychological health of the people at risk, as a result of such research. The WHO will disclose any conflict of interest and financing with or from any pharmaceutical companies, scientific journals, researchers, governments, private organizations or individuals.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of U.S.A.	The WHO would best serve its member countries by providing the doctors treating pandemic patients with technology so that they can readily share treatment protocols and outcomes. In this way best practices might be identified more quickly and shared. By supporting health practitioners who offer alternatives to pharmaceutical methods of healing (instead of, for example, suppressing information about helpful tools like vitamin D) could also widen the benefits to humanity and alleviate the criticism of the WHO that they are under the control of the pharmaceutical industry. Sovereignty of health decisions must be paramount. Individuals must be given the opportunity to make their own health decisions. And countries must also have that sovereignty. The WHO can make recommendations based on transparent and unbiased data, but the ultimate decision is for individuals to decide.
Self	The WHO, an unelected body, will not oversee my health, my family's health or those in my community. There is no one-size fits all approach. Physicians should respond with early treatment protocols and serve each based on their individual needs not government.
FE COMMS	The WHO, as a result of it's shambolic advice over the past two years should not have any powers to replicate it's historical handling of pandemics. Individual countries had tried and tested adequate "pandemic strategies" which were completely sidelined for the WHO's overreaching, draconian ineffective restrictions which have not only had zero effect on the the pandemic but caused many more collateral damages to society. Countries should be allowed to manage their own risks without political lead unelected organizations such as the WHO
none	The WHO, as an unelected organization, does not have the right to dictate to or act against sovereign countries, states, or individuals, but should assist in a purely advisory capacity. I believe the following elements should be included in all future disease responses in order to ensure that the WHO's actions are not a violation of the rights of all humans to govern themselves: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Health for People, Not for Profit	The WHO, like many organizations, is compromised by big money and the need for bureaucratic survival. The WHO must not be allowed to dictate or control pandemic preparedness and response. Each nation must work out its own pandemic response, and even each state or province within a given nation must be allowed to work out its own response. And informed consent and the medical choice of every person is also a vital element in pandemic response. Where does WHO get its money? Many of us know and therefore do not trust the WHO. There is no need for a "new international instrument" to dictate pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO and its biggest backers clearly long for repressive control, and it is up to responsible people worldwide to deny them and the vested interests behind the WHO their attempt at a power grab.
Free American Citizen	The WHO, nor any other government organization, or anyone outside of myself, has the right to decide what health choices need to be made for myself or of my family, at any time whatsoever. Not even during a "global pandemic". STOP THE TREATY!
Self	The WHO, nor it's entities, shouldn't be deciding what is best for my health. By erasing our autonomy you threaten humanity. It's undemocratic. A threat to our sovereignty and inalienable rights. The USA, and the world's people for that matter, know what is best for their bodies. It is UNETHICAL to say the WHO should decide what's best for each person. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The PEOPLE are against this agreement. I am against this "agreement". I do NOT agree with it. Let ME choose what is right for MY body.
Headclinic	The WHO, the government nor any health minister has the right to decide for me, or my family, which medicines, vaccinations or treatments that I will allow to be applied to myself or my family. You are over stepping your worth. Welcome to the great awakening.
None	The WHO's claims of seeking equity, transparency, accountability, and unity are disgustingly hollow and deceitful. The WHO's facade of an international treaty is simply a poorly disguised power grab. NO "international instrument" is needed, WHO already used more criminal fraudulent power than somebody ever gave to it. The present goverments and presidents of the countries (fraudulent elected) of this world do not represent the people's will, so all they sign with the members of WHO, which is possing in the master of the humanity that no one voted for it or its members, is for nothing, null and void and against any law.
None	The WHO'S involvement into the global pandemic is obtrusive towards the people! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, M Hildreth
Pubic	The WHO's Pandemic Preparedness and Response must follow and adhere to ethical medical treatments. The WHO should only be a data and research gathering entity. It must only act in a transparent advisory capacity for both the individual and governments. The WHO should never dictate terms of treatment or management in any disease outbreak or pandemic. The WHO must honour and abide by the principles set out in the Nuremberg Code. The WHO must be independent and have a transparent and arms length relationship with any entity providing funding or influence. The WHO's actions and advice must always abide by the primary principle "Do
Humanity	The WHO's proposal will only further reduce each nation's ability to protect its people by being able to unilaterally impose "one size fits all" responses, the latest of which is the series of unfolding supply chain disruptions. Many of these disruptions were started and made possible by the literal changing of language and word definitions by regulatory agencies such as the WHO, WTO and CDC and other agencies that presume to dictate what countries should and should not, can and cannot do on the basis of their recommendations. These recommendations resulted in "disruptions" that were easily foreseen and continue to be exacerbated by lockdowns, mandates and recommendations conveniently stemming from these new definitions. Among the new and changing definitions are words such as: Pandemic, Vaccine, Herd Immunity and Cases. Sovereignty is of utmost importance and the current, worldwide shortages should serve as the perfect example for why and how undermining the sovereignty of nations and individuals can have dire consequences. Organizations should be able to submit or make recommendations based on bonafide studies and established facts but nations and local municipalities should always be free to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Independent Contractor	The WHO's proposed pandemic treaty should allow - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
UK citizen	The whole idea of an unelected group of people, with obvious conflicts of interest, deciding what is best for your health is totally ridiculous and unlawful. This is just an totalitarian power grab attempt.
Schreiber OEG	The whole situation (of non-evident measures) during the last two years is terrible!!! Therefore STOP IT!! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law - therefore I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty!! Moreover: Discriminatory measures should be prohibited!! All measures must take full account of all health interests and must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance. All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body. Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing.
concerned citizen	The WHO's "international instrument on pandemic preparedness" should contain the following elements: 1) National and local leaders must retain full autonomy, and the unobstructed ability to make decisions for the best interests of their own constituents/ region. 2) Nations and municipalities must be able to opt out of any portion of the agreement that they see fit, without penalty or consequence. 3) There must be transparency in all proceedings, with a democratic process in place to allow all people to vote on measures. 4) There must be failsafe procedures to prevent the implementation of health measures where a majority of constituents vote against such. 5) Such an instrument must be constructed so that absolutely no influence can be wrought by financially interested parties, most especially pharmaceutical companies. Thank you for your consideration.
NA	The WHO's authority should never superdece that of a coybtries Federal government. The WHO should continue to make non bunding recommendations with countries choisingbwhat is beat for their own citizens. The civid response has shown the WHO has been incorrect on multiple fronts and how a country responds should ultimately be their decision.
Public	The WHO's desire to implement a Pandemic Treaty across nations is problematic on many levels, first and foremost, in most cases it would override national sovereignty. Nations should have the right at local levels to make decisions that protect and are in the best interest of their people. We cannot afford a one-size fits all approach. Any treaty that limits the privacy of citizens and/or the freedom of movement and right to earn a living, or the privacy of a nation's citizens should be CAREFULLY examined and discussed OPENLY. Sharing private health information at any level and enforcing health decisions on citizens goes against fundamental rights and the U.S. Constitution. Health decisions are an individual right. No entity or organization has the right to force them or strip away rights.
Idaho	The WHO's focus is misguided. Non-profit organizations governed by elite donors have no business creating international instruments to govern pandemic responses. It is for each individual country to handle and address disease. Stop trying to globalize everything and cease this process now. You're not a government. You have no right to govern the world.
n/a - individual interested in public health	The WHO's mission to connect nations, & keep the world safe and serve the vulnerable is applaudable, the proposed treaty is VERY concerning because it strips those people it purports to serve of their inalienable rights. This alone is NEVER in the public's best interest. There is never a time when one treatment is best for everyone, especially different nations that are so diverse in culture, practices, and beliefs. Its is the BEST INTEREST of those WHO SERVES to: 1. Allow National and local leadership to retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Allow nations & local municipalities the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Commit to an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Commit to ONLY adopt measures that DO NOT ALLOW for influence in the process by any and ALL PHARMACEUTICAL companies or other Global health profiteers. 5. REQUIRE CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICIES of all decision makers, voting members and stake holders of the WHO. ANYONE who has a conflict of interest can not serve or vote. By definition, they are compromised and CAN NOT serve as a fiduciary of the people or make a decision that is in the best decision of all people. The PEOPLE have lost faith in WHO based on its practices and decisions of the last 2 years. 6. Require that 50% of all WHO voting members actually TREAT patients and are not in research, academia or the like where they are easy to influence.
Michelle Manning International	The WHO's Pandemic Preparedness Treaty is a clear power grab to control individual's personal rights to decide what goes into their bodies. This over-arching treaty is clearly a thinly disguised proxy for Big Pharma and corporate interests who push for Technocracy and ultimate control of the human race. It bypasses constitutional freedoms of all countries and is absolutely unacceptable! I believe this treaty is a direct threat to each nation's sovereignty to make decisions for itself and its citizens. It would erode democracy everywhere. Not only would this treaty empower the WHO to mandate COVID jabs and vaccine passports globally, it could potentially also expand the WHO's power to dictate all health care policy worldwide. The treaty would also give the WHO the power to censor health information worldwide. This would be disastrous, as the WHO has a long history of corruption and health policy failures that are intrinsically linked to conflicts of interest When people are harmed by the WHO's health policies, there's no accountability because the WHO has diplomatic immunity! I am adamantly opposed to this treaty that is being pushed onto all nations because there is not enough time by 2024 to fully hear all nations and their citizens input. This treaty needs to be stopped immediately!
US citizen	The WHO's place should be that of collecting and sorting results of various treatments reported by independent medical practitioners. Had this been done in an honest, open way without drug company interests interfering, it could have been a great resource that was totally missing from the current pandemic. Individual patients in concert with their local doctors can then evaluate and choose what procedure, if any, on WHO's list is worth trying. Top down, centralized medical orders from WHO or any other 'authority' are totally unacceptable for several reasons. First that method does not result in best and individualized treatment. Second, it is incompatible with the the US Constitution and the human right of self-ownership. We dont need/want/tolerate any more unelected mandaters interfering with our personal health.
private	The Who's proposal is flawed and here are my proposals. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Canadian citizen	The WHO's proposal is flawed as there isn't an international remedy to the covid virus. There are separate needs and requirements for different climates, nationalities, and cultures. If we protect the vulnerable and let the rest acquire and use their natural immunity to eliminate the virus as opposed to allowing it to be spread, we could simply let it be a endemic flu. It has waned to the point of having allergy type symptoms now and isn't a real threat unless someone is elderly and/or has serious comorbidities. The WHO should listen to all scientists, doctors, specialists and with all of the input, uncensored, make decisions based on best practice and science. Spreading fear and guessing estimated numbers should be replaced with factual information and information on being healthy, exercising, taking vitamins and early treatment protocol. These have been proven to be very effective against this and other viruses.
CasChiropractic Ltd	The WHO's proposal on a global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness & response agreement is unnecessary. This is because it is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable human rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose lockdowns (that clearly do not work), and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. To reinforce the paragraph above, please consider the WHO's financial sponsors: https://flowingdata.com/2020/04/30/who-funds-the-world-health-organization/ The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation contributed the second most as specified voluntary contributions of $530 million and GAVI of $370 million. These are unelected private, not elected government interests. There is no democratic process here. Who voted these funders/sponsors to have a say in regional, let alone global decisions such as WHO's global accord proposal? People have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO has no authority to control the world's health agenda. How can it when it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, while also receiving major funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates?
Private	The WHO's proposal to use the COVID-19 pandemic as an excuse to secure power for itself should fill us with trepidation. Its lauding of "inspiring demonstrations of scientific and political collaboration" is misleading, as these were voluntary collaborations by institutions and governments that recognized a real threat. An international treaty would give the WHO a 'blank check' to exert power in situations that may not warrant it, and, most importantly, are not consented to by the citizens of those countries over which it would be used. The pandemic may have shown the flaws in the global health system, but one of the most disgusting side-effects was the embarrassingly disproportionate effect this had on the poor (who went without medical treatment, employment, education) vs. the rich (who in many cases saw an increase in their profit). WHO influence through such a treaty would do little to fix these basic systemic flaws, and I and many others are extremely sceptical that they could be fixed by allowing the WHO to declare more such harmful lockdowns and restrictions without, by its own admission, any ability to address the fallout in areas (employment, rent, education) outside its competence. The cry of the governments overseeing the lockdowns often insisted that "we all have to do our part" though in practice most of us did not, and there can be assurance that all are helped and protected by the powers that the WHO would grant itself, I do not believe such a treaty should be entertained.
Not applicable	The WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty: I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented. Thank you for opening to the wishes of the majority of populations worldwide.
Public	The WHO's proposed Pandemic agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. The WHO is overstepping the threshold of what is deemed to be democratic behaviour and the proposed treaty appears to suggest possible violation of the Constitutional rights of it's member countries. The WHO has not been authorised to declare itself the singular authority on determining worldwide centralised behaviour and response and to which all other constitutional rights will have to be forfeited if deemed 'necessary' by the WHO. To purportedly call for public input on which 'substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' is an insult to the intelligence of the people it is seeking to undermine through this 'instrument', which can clearly be seen for what it is...a centralised instrument seeking controls far beyond it's current rights to do so.
Self	The WHO's pursuit of creating a one size fits all pandemic response is a huge overreach. The WHO should only be charged with collecting accurate information and data, not become a world medical dictate. It seems the WHO has had a difficult time doing simply that in the last couple of years. We have watched countless people die due to being denied effective early treatment protocols in order to advance injections that have not been adequately tested and have caused death and serious harm to large numbers of people. When a single individual's foundation funds a huge portion of the WHO, unbiased dictates become very questionable!!! Please STOP with trying to take planetary control. The people at the end of the day are waking up and will not stand for it. It would be refreshing to see the WHO disseminate information on how to strengthen the innate immune system by eating real food, fortifying with essential nutrients, hydrating and getting proper exercise. Is it not the World HEALTH Organization? I do not hear much about cultivating health only fear, injections and censorship. Please do the right thing and help people cultivate health and well being on this beautiful and plentiful planet! Thank you, Susan Austin
Individual	The WHO's responsibility is to provide facts concerning public health issues, offer possible solutions, but let individuals within their communities make their ownpersonal choices as to their own medical response.
self	The WHO's role in leading pandemic preparedness and response "must be" advisory only! Any other role will be disastrous for both health and peace. Disastrous for health, because mandates "will always" be more based on politics than science, according to past experience. Disastrous for peace because all mandates violate the Nuremberg protocols, and most national and international laws regarding "informed consent" being necessary before administering any medical treatment, whatsoever. Violating such basic rights will inevitably cause violent reactions, and even further loss of trust in medical advice. This leads to more resistance to good advice, and to police violence against protesters, which again further harms the health of many. No mandates, no authority over governments, no requirements to join in this "treaty" for anyone whatsoever. Then and only then may your leadership be worthy of trust, and therefore actually of help and value to humanity.
JOY VENTURES LLC	The WHO's role in the world must be to protect it from evil people attempting to use "Health" as a way to rule the world. Natural Immunity has been proven to be the best antidote to most diseases and therefore most must be allowed to run their corse. All bio-weapons/health research labs must be immediately destroyed and anybody involved with them must be immediately arrested under current international laws. National sovereignty must be the first priority in any rule making. Each nation must be able to make their own rules concerning pandemics. Second, all conflicts of interest must be eliminated. Money cannot be made on the people. Power cannot be gained in any way. Third, no bias media reporting what so ever. All sides of each story will be allowed with no restrictions, no canceling, no gas lighting, no fact checkers or canceling of anybodies accounts. All proven lies must be vetted, tried and prosecuted with jail time. Forth, individual human sovereignty must be respected. No abuse what so ever. No forced anything. All people must be able to defend themselves from the abuse of power. No forced vaccinations. No forces masking. No tracking. No vaccine passports. No surveillance. No arrests. No trespasses. No invasions of any countries. Fifth, all peoples must be fully armed to defend themselves against governmental abuses of power as seen in China, Canada, Australia, Austria, the EU, etc. Any such abuse of power will be automatically considered a violation of human natural law and will be dealt with by replacing the abusive government with a "Peoples" government, run by the people, for the people of that country. Sixth, all judges must be proven non-bias or be removed immediately while investigated.
None	The WHO's role must be limited to advising governments, and governments must decide how to interpret that guidance with the full agreement and consent of their electorate. To exceed an advisory role leaves the world open to a total abuse of power, however well meaning. This pandemic has shown that a plurality of responses to the threats faced is the only way to uncover the best and worst responses and allows effective novel approaches to be found. To do this by diktat will likely yield the worst results of all.
NZ Labour party	The WHO's treaty does not take into account individual or local circumstances for member countries. In NZ this treaty and the use of the amendment to our 1956 Health Bill, allowing our government to declare a pandemic at any time and remove occupants from their houses with the use of enforcement officers is very open ended and IMO a declaration of war on the non corporate individual and will be treated as such by many. The WHO and the NZ govt, if they had citizens interests at heart would use their resources to encourage eating of actual nutrient dense food and fund public health facilities accordingly but we know that these entities are not interested in our health. Whoever is reading this, its not too late to fight for your childrens future.
Wildlife Conservation Society	The Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS) works in more than 60 countries and is the only global international conservation organization with an embedded wildlife health program. We were encouraged by the inclusion of pandemic "prevention" in WHA decision SSA2(5) but are dismayed by the term's absence in subsequent WHO communications, including in the guiding question and focus of this hearing. This is one of the only opportunities for stakeholders not in official relations with the WHO to provide input but omits one third of the scope laid out in SSA2(5) by deprioritizing prevention. We recommend the following key elements to address pandemic prevention at source: • Prevention is a distinct element within SSA2(5) and should have equal footing with preparedness and response in any draft text. • Pandemic prevention at source is the single most effective (and cost-effective) way to prevent human mortalities, avoid economic disruption, and mitigate the inequities of climate change and biodiversity loss in an increasingly interconnected world. • Explicit reference to actions and practices exacerbating high-risk interfaces for zoonotic pathogen spillover; we call for prohibition of such actions as part of a precautionary approach, including commercial trade in live birds and mammals for human consumption and fragmentation of highly intact forest ecosystems. • A requirement to designate national focal points for pandemic prevention, to coordinate across all relevant ministries on efforts (e.g. implementation, mitigation, reporting) in a trans-sectoral, One Health approach to human, animal, and environmental health. • A robust compliance review mechanism that includes civil society as fundamental to the process.
Wildlife Conservation Society	The Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS), which works in more than 60 countries, is the only global international conservation organization with an embedded wildlife health program. We were encouraged by the inclusion of pandemic "prevention" in WHA decision SSA2(5) but are dismayed by the term's absence in subsequent WHO communications, including in the guiding question and focus of this hearing. This is one of the only opportunities for stakeholders not in official relations with the WHO to provide input but omits one third of the scope laid out in SSA2(5) by deprioritizing prevention. We recommend the following key elements to address pandemic prevention at source: • Prevention is a distinct element within SSA2(5) and should have equal footing with preparedness and response in any draft text. • Pandemic prevention at source is the single most effective (and cost-effective) way to prevent human mortalities, avoid economic disruption, and mitigate the inequities of climate change and biodiversity loss in an increasingly interconnected world. • Explicit reference to actions and practices exacerbating high-risk interfaces for zoonotic pathogen spillover; we call for prohibition of such actions as part of a precautionary approach, including commercial trade in live birds and mammals for human consumption and fragmentation of highly intact forest ecosystems. • A requirement to designate national focal points for pandemic prevention, to coordinate across all relevant ministries on efforts (e.g. implementation, mitigation, reporting) in a trans-sectoral, One Health approach to human, animal, and environmental health. • A robust compliance review mechanism that includes civil society as fundamental to the process.
Self employed	The will of the people should be the guiding star in any policy and political agenda. Every policy decision, in particular as critical as health policies, should be taken on a level as close as possible to the people whose life is going to be affected by it. Each individual has only one life to live, and each and every life is precious. No life can be sacrificed for the sake of someone else's. Each country is different and every policy should be customized to the specific needs and features of a country and its people. There is not and there should not be a "one-fits-all" solution! The world is one, but it is a jigsaw puzzle of peculiar entities, connected yet distinct. Let each country decide what is best for their people, let the people be free to choose what is best for themselves!
World Medical Association	The WMA, representing physicians worldwide, recommends that the new instrument on pandemic preparedness be articulated on the basis of the following key issues: - The pandemic treaty must integrate principles of equity and human rights. It should ensure that the necessary global health infrastructure for pandemic response be put in place and adequately financed to avoid the pitfalls seen during COVID-19 response. - Member state obligations from the treaty should be balanced through with benefits including but not limited to equitable, affordable and timely access to all countermeasures and required knowledge transfer as well as emergency financial assistance. Member states should be supported in establishing the necessary mechanisms for meeting their treaty obligations, in particular healthcare and surveillance systems strengthening, combined with a substantial increase of qualified health professionals. - The treaty negotiations should be seen as an opportunity to influence other UN and non-UN bodies critical to addressing past short comings especially addressing the failure in global access to vaccines and medical countermeasures during curent COVID Pandemic. - The treaty's means of implementation should be adjusted if failures are seen. - The pandemic treaty must be well articulated with other global health governance instruments including the IHRs. - The treaty should act as a global public good. It needs to be appropriately resourced and sustainably financed to meet its goals - Lastly, the treaty making process should be made participatory and transparent to ensure that all relevant stakeholders are adequately consulted and heard, while also striving to shield the process from undue influence by the private sector.
CVTP	The word "international" is what I don't want to see as part of any" pandemic preparedness." I would love to see a National preparedness plan after extensive investigations into the origins and purposes of the lies we were told about COVID 19. Groups of people making decisions for the entire world without the entire worlds consent??? That's tyranny. The same people spearheading this are those who are responsible for millions and millions of deaths and billions and billions in profits. Please go away.
Home	The word pandemic has been abused at it's core meaning; for instance there is a 99.7% survival rate for what is currently known as the "covid pandemic", which has been justified for lockdowns and new technology innoculations. Therefore in the words of our esteemed leader of NZ, Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern, "I reject the very premise of the question." And none of us voted for WHO interference in our lives, so drop it. Leave us alone. If we are sick we'll stay home. If we are not we will go on with our lives, free from interference by bureaucrats trying to justify their jobs.
Civilian	The wording must include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	The world and its nations do not need The World Health Organization to create a health treaty. Each nation must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for its own people as they see fit, without consequence. Any health directives must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of a global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. No health measures should be made in order that pharmaceutical companies or other global health individuals, organizations, corporations would profit from them.
Private	The world as such cannot be treated with a unique concept, as there are much differences here and there. As a consequence international standards may or may not be a guideline but nothing more. To prepare for future pandemics, we should consider to not establish international rules that may not fit to local needs. If there was a international best practice, we would already have it.
N/A	The world cannot be under one agreement nor one treaty. Each country has its own culture and their own way of life. Each country needs to work out their own plans for a future pandemic and respond to it on their own. #endthetreaty

Organization	Written submission
None	The World Council for Health (WCH), a coalition of scientists, doctors, lawyers, and civil society advocacy organizations, opposes the World Health Organisation (WHO)'s moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement, while the world's attention is diverted by the latest crisis. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WCH believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance..
Private	The World Council for Health (WCH), a coalition of scientists, doctors, lawyers, and civil society advocacy organizations, opposes the World Health Organisation (WHO)'s moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement, while the world's attention is diverted by the latest crisis. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private inte
Na	The World Council for Health, its suggested the following elements be addressed: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration in this matter that effects a large portion of the world population.
None - private Natural Person	The world countries, Europe include, should NOT BE taken over by one single Power, especially If Not elected by the people. No-ones inalienable rights May be attacked, misused or for any reason whatsover, removed by a second or third Party. This takeover Must Not Happen.
Self interest	The world does not need a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Every community is different and has diverse needs. One instrument will not be effective everywhere. The ability of nations, local municipalities and regional districts to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence if this agreement is passed. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures put into place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Healthcare	The world does not need a new candemic treaty! People and communities have the right to control our own health , not the WHO. #stopthetreaty
Cheryl P. Ramsey	The world does not need a new pandemic treaty. The WHO needs to stick with IHR(2005) and existing pandemic response mechanisms. The local communities and it's members can solve their own health issues.
Member of the Human Race	The world does not need a pandemic treaty, the WHO did not deal with the last pandemic with any credibility- in fact it only made observant people more aware of the dishonesty and lack of tranparency of the WHO. We are born with inalienable human rights which includes the right to accept or refuse medical treatments and interventions. The WHO along with most government leaders took away peoples rights to access repurposed medications and condemned medical doctors for speaking out on the matter. Your plan of social engineering will only work with the compliant and scared but your mass experiment has also served to open the eyes of millions like myself who know never to trust the WHO again.
private citizen	The world does not need a pandemic treaty. WHO is an advisory organization, nothing more. I do not want my medical decisions made by your organization. I will do all I can to stop my elected officials from voting for this treaty. If it passes I believe the United States should withdraw from WHO .
Mother & Citizen of USA	The world does not need a pandemic treaty. Every nation is different, has different citizens, has different needs. There is no "one-size-fits-all" approach that would work. Each nation should have the flexibility to do what is in the best interests of its own people. With COVID, there has been a huge variance in severity of illness and those nations that followed WHO protocols most vigorously had some of the worst outcomes. Dissenting opinions from the proscribed protocols have been censored, even from medical/scientific experts who dared to disagree with government officials. It's clear to much of the world population that Big Pharma profits have been prioritized over public health. The creation of a pandemic treaty will prove to dissenters that governments are only concerned with industry profits and not the welfare of their citizens.
none	The world does NOT need a treaty or "international instrument" on "pandemic preparedness and response". Health is an individual responsibility, i.e., the health of each individual is their personal responsibility. Health measures can not, and should not, be dictated by any government, government agency, or private agency, such as the W.H.O. The past two years have revealed how inept, careless, uninformed, unscientific, and deceptive the governments and the W.H.O. have been in directing the "response" to COVID-19. People will never be fully healthy if they are not free to choose which health measures are appropriate for them. If the W.H.O. is sincere in its desire to increase the "preparedness" of the people to respond to a "pandemic", then the W.H.O. should respect the intelligence and personal sovereignty of each individual, as only the individual (and their personal care provider) know how to best care for their personal health. Thank you for considering my comments.
Private	The world does not need an international instrument for pandemic preparedness, thank you for offering. We are a sovereign people with the innate ability to take responsibility for ourselves, and decide for ourselves what is in the best interest of our own bodies, and that of our children. We are well able to look after our families and each other. If nothing else, the WHO has proven itself be of no use in a global pandemic, only causing confusing and contradictory guidelines. The blind cannot lead the blind. As individuals, we are more than capable of doing our own research, make our own decisions, and devise our own strategies to adapt to a pandemic, should it arise. Please stay out of our country! Respectfully, Elma Thambiran, New Zealand
private	The world does not need an international instrument for pandemic preparedness. All pandemics so far have been built on lies. Evolution has shown that all pandemics can be managed naturally. Forced medication has no place in this world! People demand guarantees for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Society of Friends	The world does not need any form of medical/political tyranny. Dictates such as mask and vaccine mandates are not necessary, and may produce more harm than good. The Nuremberg declaration on medical rights in 1946 insists that each person give informed consent to any procedure or medicine or intervention recommended by health professionals. WHO should NOT be given any power beyond making health care recommendations. The people of the world have a right to accept or refuse any WHO recommendation, even in a declared pandemic. The right to exercise conscientious objection to a particular medical treatment must be acknowledged, respected, and upheld.
Society of Friends	The world does not need any form of medical/political tyranny. Dictates such as mask and vaccine mandates are not necessary, and may produce more harm than good. The Nuremberg declaration on medical rights in 1946 insists that each person give informed consent to any procedure or medicine or intervention recommended by health professionals. WHO should NOT be given any power beyond making health care recommendations. The people of the world have a right to accept or refuse any WHO recommendation, even in a declared pandemic. The right to exercise conscientious objection to a particular medical treatment must be acknowledged, respected, and upheld.
citizen of the United States	The world does not need international organizations like WHO dictating the requirements of individual nations. The proper solution is national sovereignty and autonomy in deciding what is in the best interest of a each nation's population and public health. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	The world does not need no more Treaties of any kind for a pandemic. Stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms. Leave it alone, stop the treaty
My Family	The world does not need nor desire your input for pandemic preparedness. You have done nothing to help people stay healthy. All your organization has done is promote unhealthy fear and ridiculous mitigation. Stay out of our sovereignty. We, the people of the United States do not want your help. God has given us all we need to protect ourselves. Stay away.
American Citizen	The world does not need the UN to control the medical needs of the people. Nothing should be recorded electronically. The treaty should be removed from consideration. Each nation should be able to determine its own medical program.
Jtech	The World does not need these pandemic laws ! You are both out of your league and minds if you think you will get away with your attempt at World dominance! I know you truly believe this is the answer , but only for a few , the Elite , who which you obviously serve ! Disgusted with what you have done since your inception! No no no
World citizen	The world does not need this insrument. The W.H.O. is a corrupt organization that does not help anyone. Please cancel this entire project. It is unacceptable that W.H.O would think to position itself to be an authority over free countrys' constitutions in any situation. Working with W.H.O. should always be voluntary. This proposol is scary and authoritarian and unnecessary.
United States citizen	The world does not need this treaty — there should not be a one-size-fits-all approach for sovereign entities, particularly for an unelected body like the WHO. It is vital that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. At the heart of my objection is the lack of an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Currently, the measures you're proposing do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	The world does not require a pandemic treaty of any kind. In particular, a treaty which smothers local sovereignty, collapses human and civil rights, and empowers actors entirely remote from any democratic oversight, particularly those with political and/or profit motives for seeking oppressive power, would constitute a disaster for humanity. Consent will not be forthcoming.
UL	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need #WHO member states to stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms.
US and Italian Citizen	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need #WHO member states to stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms.
Private Citizen	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need #WHO member states to stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms.
Free citizen	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need #WHO member states to stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms.
Citizens of the world	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need #WHO member states to stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms. Everyone I know is absolutely 100% AGAINIST it!
Personal	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need #WHO member states to stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms. I do not support a global pandemic treaty as it is a one size fits all and does not support individuals and their rights to medical autonomy.
Selfemployed	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need #WHO member states to stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms. Thanks for considering. Claude Meunier (concerned citizen of Canada)
NA	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need #WHO members to protect the rights of individuals. All WHO members will be held accountable. Natural law & sovereignty should and will be protected. Do to others as you would have them do to you.
Public	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need WHO member states to stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms.
None	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need WHO member states to stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms. We do not need an unaccountable, extra-national organization usurping our God-given rights as individuals. The WHO has been responsible for much misinformation in this current pandemic. We don't need more of such incompetence and malfeasance.
Self	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need WHO member states to stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms. Pandemic responses cannot be one size fits all for all communities and regions of the world. Community engagements and predicaments are region specific hence responses to pandemics in communities and societies have to be region and community specific.
Member of UK public	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need WHO member states to stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic responses. This is over-reach. A co-ordinated response does not need a treaty. Nations should be allowed to deal with a pandemic on an individual basis. Governance should not come in the form of global companies and regulations. Members of the public did not vote for transnational Governance. Civil liberties are being eroded at the expense of Governance that has not been put to the public for them to have a vote. This is not democracy, it is totalitarianism.
United States Citizen	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, we need #WHO member states to stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms. The U.S. Constitution was put in place to prevent Governments and other organizations where elected and appointed officials would have power over the rights of men, women and children. We The People #WHO members need to remember the importance and rights of WE THE PEOPLE. #STOPTHETREATY
Personal;	The world doesn't need a new pandemic treaty, WHO member states should stick with IHR (2005) & existing pandemic response mechanisms. If a new plan is introduced individual human rights should be paramount as outlined in the Nuremburg Code.
Private	The world doesn't need more regulations, especially not for sensitive health data and medical interventions. Under no circumstances should a private institution like the WHO be allowed to determine our health. Everyone has the right to self-determination and every citizen must retain control over their health, therefore: No to a pandemic government!
Private	The world don't need an overall and international instrument. Every country should decide in it's own responsibility. Local control instead of global control.
n/a	The World Economic Forum is not a democratic party, nobody has voted for the WEF. They need to be abolished for the unnecessary draconian measures they are attempting to impose on our lives.
Stand for Health Freedom	The World Economic Forum should not be controlling or dictating to the world how to handle health issues. We as human beings are sovereign individuals and will not be ruled by unelected global officials. We refuse to be bound by whatever whims or decisions you want to impose on the world. It should be up to each country how they want to handle any health crisis. This treaty is dangerous and unacceptable.
UN World Food Programme (WFP)	The World Food Programme (WFP) would like to applaud WHO for tirelessly working to support vulnerable communities respond to the pandemic. As Member States embark on a process to negotiate a convention, agreement or other instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response WFP would like to encourage parties to consider: 1. Food Security COVID-19 led to an unprecedented global health and humanitarian emergency with far reaching food security, nutrition and socio-economic impacts. The pandemic made the poorest poorer, and the hungriest hungrier, and pushed millions of people into acute hunger. Member States should consider the potential food security implications of any new instrument. 2. Preparedness Investments in preparedness, save lives, reduce risk and lead to cost savings. Member States should invest in multi-sectoral preparedness and leverage decades of expertise from across the UN system. 3. Response When COVID-19 first started disrupting travel – closing borders, grounding planes, and bringing international transport systems to a halt – WFP leveraged its logistics and emergency response capacities and expertise to form the backbone of the global humanitarian response. Future responses will demand similar expertise and inter-agency approaches based on comparative advantage. 4. Equity WFP stands in solidarity with health and humanitarian workers around the globe and supports the global call for equity. All communities, including those in humanitarian settings, have the right to access health tools. 5. Financing Mechanisms to finance future pandemic responses need to be flexible, multi-sectoral, and allow humanitarian actors to access funding at scale on a no regrets basis.
N/A	The world governments should be open with any information related to future pandemics, any new information learned should be passed to everyone else asap. Each county should still be able to implement its own health approach to certain areas. Not every country should be doing the same thing and hoping for the same result. Which has shown not to work anyway with covid being an example.
Home	The world has a hugely diverse population living in innumerable ways for many different reasons including geographic, economic and social reasons. To try to apply a 'one fits all' blanket policy, taking away the rights of the people, including those agreed under the Nuremberg agreement and the hypocratic oath that doctors take - 'to do no harm'- is illogical, unscientific and deeply undemocratic. We have tried this through the COVID pandemic and no-one knows for sure that the actions taken altered the course of the virus. It could have gone the way it went had it run it's course. Only time will tell his many/whether the measures put in place had any merit. To bring in the measures proposed is dictatorship with no good scientific or logical reason. But then it's an about economics really isn't it? - Not world health at all! This is my personal opinion and I have no affiliations with WHO, pharma or government.
Legal Advisor	The World Health Authority neither has the jurisdiction or correct knowledge of each country in the world & has clearly demonstrated miserably in its handling & advice to world leaders proving to be both incapable & corrupt in its poor one sided advice. Personally I feel they should be held to account for their blatant failures. Regards James Wolfen-Duvall
ORC	The World Health Organisation aha too much blood and crime at its doorstep from the autocratic, demeaning and groos human rights violations caused by their overhanded, autocratic and FALSE instructions to the rest of the world. You have blood on your hands, all the should who have died from your involvement. The only substantive element that should be included is the disbanding of the WHO and the top echelons tried for crimes against humanity
General Public	The World Health Organisation DOESN'T need to control peoples lives, we are not SLAVES to the WHO. We are able to control our own health within our lives. There is no need for a one-size-fits-all-program. We DO NOT NEED to have this treaty in place around the world. WE DO NOT CONSENT.
Private citizen of New Zealand	The World Health Organisation has absolutely no right to interfere in New Zealand's governance. Stick to your knitting, help those who request your assistance. Stop trying to impose your policies.
No organisation	The World Health Organisation has no jurisdiction in any country and never will have. Any attempt to introduce these dreadful policies from on high should and will be resisted at every stage despite any so called agreement that it attempts to sneak past the citizens of each country. This will not be a done deal and can be rejected at any stage in the future.
Private individual	The World Health Organisation hereby recognises and will abide by the principle of subsidiarity, defined as follows by the Merriam-Webster Dictionary with qualifiers by Shaw/Scruton: - a principle in social organization holding that functions which are performed effectively by subordinate or local organizations belong more properly to them than to a dominant central organization Subsidiarity, an element of Catholic social doctrine, holds that what can be done at a lower level in a social system shouldn't be done at a higher one.— Russell Shaw Subsidiarity, in [Wilhelm] Röpke's understanding, refers to the absolute right of local communities to take decisions for themselves, including the decision to surrender the matter to a larger forum. Subsidiarity places an absolute brake upon centralizing powers by permitting their involvement only when requested.— Roger Scruton
private citizen	The World Health Organisation is not a democratically elected body and therefore must be restricted to an advisory capacity in any future world health emergency. Only democratically elected governments and bodies may be granted the authority to issue edicts which may temporarily limit the inalienable human rights of citizens as spelled out in the Bill of Rights written into law in democratic nations throughout the world. The World Health Organisation has failed to demonstrate that it is entirely free of influence by its major sponsors and by the world's leading pharmaceutical conglomerates, whose profits are inextricably linked to the decisions made by and directives issued by the WHO, thus precluding any democratic nation from legitimately granting any form of direct authority to the WHO over its citizenry.
N/a	The world health organisation is not a Democratically voted institution. I absolutely disagree with a global pandemic agreement. We must all be allowed & able to freely make our own health choices based on full, moral, honest & ethical facts
Humanity	The world health organisation is not a Democratically voted institution. I absolutely disagree with a global pandemic agreement. We must all be allowed & able to freely make our own health choices based on full, moral, honest & ethical facts Stop the treaty This treaty would supersede the authority of every nation's constitution. People and communities should control of their own health, NOT THE WHO
TE	The world health organisation is not a Democratically voted institution. I absolutely disagree with a global pandemic agreement. We must all be allowed & able to freely make our own health choices based on full, moral, honest & ethical facts.
none	The World Health Organisation seems nothing more than an enabler for the pharmaceutical Industry , in league with the big tech companies and pushers of the United Nations Agenda 2030 Agenda 21 the WHO should NOT be given the powers that this new "treaty" seeks to introduce ... with the Covid 19 "pandemic" along with previous attempts we have seen the WHO acting as a rubber stamp for experimental drugs and tyrannical Government actions ..depriving them of their rights and acting as if to enable the WEF "one world health pass" etc I wish the WHO would GO AWAY
World Council for Health	The World Health Organisation should be applauded for its capacity as an advisory body interested in improving conditions for the world's poor. However, it should not try to implement a single global, over-reaching strategy during pandemics. These require that authorities work in a concerted and coordinated way with front line doctors, nurses and other healthcare providers, who are able to see the whole picture and are working on several strategies to treat those who are ill. Unfortunately as has been shown by the response in the Covid-19 pandemic, an administrative approach by people not involved in the care of sick people is not sufficient to solve problems such as these. It is of utmost importance that the WHO understands the need to consult and coordinate its response and to have an open mind when it comes to solutions. There will never be one solution, for example, vaccination, since the chances of getting such measures right at the height of an emergency are limited. Finally, an authoritarian approach has been known to lead to unfortunate consequences in the past. We cannot afford to have our precious democracies and the wonderful inventiveness of human beings working for the good of all to be stifled by business-style directives. Thank you for your attention and with deep respect for your good work.
Sovereign person	The world health organisation should be disbanded it has lost all credibility in the last number of years it has knowingly and encouragingly and sometimes forcefully pushed the agenda of the small few interested parties and main beneficiaries upon the innocent unsuspecting public who are under the illusion that the world health organisation still serves to fulfill the purpose of its initial Foundation. It no longer serves in the best interest of the populations of the world and that has been more than made clearly evident in the last 2 years. It has knowingly forced experimental injections upon an unsuspecting population who trust these organisations to have their best interests at heart when they clearly don't Pfizer safety data trying to withhold for 75 yrs the eurovisions and the yellow card system adverse events reporting systems. Such organisations like the WHO need to be held to account and be accountable for the damage and injustice for the one-sided narrative to declare all conflicts of interest and to allow open and honest debate which they no longer do in in my view it is now a shameful organisation with just a pure control agenda all the people in the who, who orchestrated and instructed oversaw and drove the crimes against humanity the damage and destruction caused in the last two years need to be held to account and NEVER allowed to do it EVER again. It is a shameful organisation and has discredited itself and the medical profession and destroyed millions and millions of lives NEVER AGAIN no matter what Bill and the boy tell ys to do kind regards Órlagh
Royal Mail	The world health organisation should not be able to determine the pandemics responses to individual countries
Private citizen	The World Health Organisation Treaty should seek to advise national governments but never be framed to dictate to governments. The treaty should contain a clause expressly forbidding the WHO to enforce a view on any government or apply any sanctions or pressure on national governments to follow or apply any advice from the WHO.
Member of the Public	The World Health Organisation's role in health is ADVISORY. It's position as a suppository of international cooperation and pooling of national research and practise has been even more greatly compromised and weakened most recently in it's role in this last episode of the SarsCov-2 virus. To have at it's head a non-clinician has seriously diluted the organisation's effectiveness to the Nations it should seek to serve. Further to have as it's majority funders private enterprise who benefit financially from WHO decisions greatly compromise the integrity and legitimacy of WHO's decisions and directives. To seek to introduce this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness is massive overreach by WHO into national sovereign jurisdiction. This international instrument on pandemic preparedness is not required. Thank you.
no organization	The World Health Organization's moves to implement a global pandemic agreement is unnecessary and threatening to the sovereignty and inalienable rights of independent countries and their citizens. It will serve only to increase the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The guiding principle should be to inform, with appropriate truthful and legitimate facts, the nature of an impending or possible pandemic. Information of the source of the pandemic must be truthfully revealed. Information regarding symptoms, severity and likely duration of the illness should be fact based and not the result of guessing. The WHO's so-called leadership dealing with events of the current coronovirus has demonstrated to the world the pathetic lack of any understanding of the virus. The result of the WHO's daily changing 'safety' calls led to each country interpreting the information differently. Each country had a different strategy and different set of rules. Two years later, this is still the case. It has been two years of chaos. The WHO must stick to facts (diligently and truthfully researched) and INFORM ONLY.
Citizen	The World Health Organizarion should NOT FORM a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. They should leave it up to, We the People, of every Nation to handle on their own accord. Forming said instrument will only create additional censorship and unlawfully forced medical procedures. In addition, it would open the door for tracking of citizens and creating additional classes of people, the ones willing to participate and the ones who wont. What we have learned over the past two years is that the lockdowns, masking, and vaccinations Do.Not.Work. Looking to what red states like Florida have done have surpassed deaths and illness because they did the complete opposite of the recommendations from WHO or any other Governmental agency. This international instrument is a nightmare and infringes on Amerocans covil liberties ad freedoms outlined in the Bill of Rights. We say "No" to any and all plas of tracking individuals.
Individual	The World Health Organization (WHO) is moving to create an international Pandemic Treaty which could impose more restrictive and legally binding pandemic policies among its 194 member nations, essentially giving the WHO the power to preempt the national sovereignty of member States, and by implication, the civil liberties and health rights of the world's citizens. Over 37,000 people across the globe signed the SHF petition to tell America's leaders to say NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty. WHO created an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body (INB) to work out the details of the global Pandemic Treaty planned for adoption at the 2024 World Health Assembly. It intended that the agreement be legally binding on member states. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. We need to keep our health decisions at home. In consideration of what substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO needs to be accountable to its Constitution that states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people."
US Citizen	The World Health Organization (WHO) must limit itself as an ADVISORY body to countries which voluntarily request its services. The World Health Organization must have NO LEGISLATIVE OR REGULATORY AUTHORITY over sovereign country, state, or local governments. The same is true for any agency or affiliate body of the United Nations. Thank you.
None	The world health organization and this proposal are repugnant to human liberty. This pandemic has once again proved that bureaucratic and political solutions are worse than no solution when it comes to the affairs of human health. Can this organization disgrace itself any further than it already has by proposing control over the bodily autonomy of every last human being. You all should be ashamed
United States of America	The World Health Organization can only make recommendations to elected officials concerning any type of pandemic response. Only officials (national, state, and local) elected by the people have the authority to decide whether to implement any recommendations by the WHO. National, state, and local governments have the right to opt out of any portion of any agreement made by unelected bureaucrats or so-called health experts. All actions taken as pandemic response shall not override human rights and shall not be undertaken without adequate scientific evidence assessed by independent third parties not having any financial interest or political bias in favor of such actions.
Concerned citizen	The world health organization does not have the expertise or authority to write or administrate such a far reaching treaty as is being proposed. Its credibility has been seriously undermined in the recent past regarding advice given for diagnosing and treating Covid-19. There are huge conflicts of interest in the financing of the WHO by many individuals who no formal training in medicine, but reap enormous financial rewards through sales of gene therapy drugs falsely labeled "vaccines". The WHO has become a roadblock to good medicine and health-the world would be much better off without them.
Self employed	The world health organization has become a handmaiden of big pharma. Therefore it is better that it be dissolved and an alternative arrangement be made. The proposed agreement tramples upon the sovereignty of governments and should be opposed by all those who respect freedom. The WHO is a disgrace on humanity.
Sovereign human living on earth	The World Health Organization has demonstrated over the last two plus years that it is woefully incapable of handling anything like a reasonable response to a potential pathogen in our midst. From day one you have made recommendations that harmed health, increased spread, harmed economy (further harming health as the two are intricately connected) and just generally played to the tune of your highest investors, pushing harmful drugs and banning helpful ones while entirely ignoring the role of nutrition and exercise in overall health. As such, you are in NO position to be proposing any sort of global agreement related to pandemic response. A resounding "NO THANK YOU" we can do better on our own.

Organization	Written submission
FREEDOM	The World Health organization has failed to stop the COVID 19 Pandemic. The mandatory "vaccines" have failed and caused serious adverse and permanent major health issues including DEATH to many without regard to ensuring the proper safety of such a vaccine. The WHO can not allow a drug company to self assess the safety of a product they are selling. The lock-downs have been useless in preventing the pandemic. If the WHO had stopped the spread of COVID 19 in the beginning, which I believe was their responsibility, the world would be in a much better place now. What are the medical qualifications of the "experts" who made up the requirements for limiting the spread of COVID 19 ? True science is not science put forward by those who have a vested interest in the outcome. Why would we give the WHO more powers when they failed to prevent a major worldwide pandemic when they could have done so ? It is a major conflict of interest to have Bill Gates companies as a major fund contributors of WHO when his companies are also heavily involved in the production of the COVID vaccine. We need the WHO to get back to basics, as to stopping an outbreak from spreading, not become a world wide dictator of procedures that have clearly failed !! The mental health issues caused by procedures recommended by the WHO are substantial. We can not allow dictatorship in health. I object the the WHO having any more powers in health.
Concerned citizen - RPhT	The World Health Organization has misinformed and grossly mismanaged almost every aspect of its pandemic response to CoVid 19. Citizens of the world have suffered great harms from the WHO directives, both in terms of suppressed CoVid prophylaxis and treatment as well as ineffective health measures and restriction recommendations. The unconflicted "science" clearly demonstrates my statements, as I'm sure the WHO's governing entities are well aware. Nations must be free to govern the health and well being of their people according to the will and best interest of those people, free from control of compromised and corrupt institutions like WHO. Leave Canada to manage its own affairs and please take Dr Tam with you on your way out. The mess you've made here must never be repeated!
None	The world health organization has no business dictating what a sovereign nation does. We know that this is part of the push for a global world order. We're on to you.
Private	The World Health Organization has NO mandate by which to override my basic human right to body integrity and choice. You were not elected by ANYONE to supercede my inalienable human rights.
Public	The World Health Organization has shown itself to be incredibly politicized while at the same time claiming to follow "the science". True science is a process of proving or disproving a hypothesis and maintaining neutrality in the outcome, and in this respect the WHO has failed abysmally to adhere to scientific principles. Instead, the WHO has proven itself to be steered by both political and oligarchic interests which do not benefit the general public or the unique needs of individuals and nations. While the WHO depends upon certain corporations and institutions for funding, it must 1.NOT be beholden to those interests and their public or private agendas. 2. The WHO must allow nations to set up their own protocols and measure the effectiveness of those protocols against each other rather than trying to force a one-shoe-fits-all, top-down approach. In this respect, 3. the WHO must also take collateral considerations into account such as citizens' mental health, and respect for each individual nation's Principles, Constitutions and Sovereignty, which in turn constitute the health of the nation and the body politic. All things are interconnected. Lex iniusta non est lex.
citizen of the United States of America	The World Health Organization is a corrupt organization, funded by oligarchs, with no accountability to the citizens of the many nations it claims the right to dictate to. Its personnel demonstrated deceit and incompetence at numerous times during the current Covid pandemic -- lying about the virus being spread primarily via aerosol for TWO YEARS, to name the most egregious example. So first, the entire staff should be fired and replaced. And since it is a corrupt instrument used by the unelected powerful to control, damage, manipulate and kill people all over the world, it should not under any circumstance be empowered to dictate mandates or any actions whatsoever to any government anywhere. Governments that sign on to any such document are de facto corrupt, and unquestionably undemocratic, regardless of what form of government they claim to operate under. The onus of public health initiatives should be on governments to provide services to citizens to prevent illness and death. It should not be acceptable to use public health emergencies to place undue burdens on citizens, particularly using disease spread as an excuse to restrict social and economic rights and freedoms, ESPECIALLY when such restrictions do not, in fact, improve citizen health and limit disease spread. Any guidance issued by WHO should never involve ANY mandates forcing ANYTHING into or onto an individual -- no medicines, "vaccine" or otherwise, nothing sprayed into the air above them, etc. If lockdowns are advised, WHO must include an absolute requirement for governments to provide food, housing, wage replacement as necessary so that no individuals suffer due to the lockdown. Governments should be directed to require improved air quality indoors.
Canadian citizen	The World Health Organization is corrupt and needs to be disbanded and all who represent them in their crimes agai st humanity should be prosecuted. They are not to be trusted with anything!
N/A	The World Health Organization is entirely too large of an entity to operate at such a covert level of secrecy when trying to implement a grand scale global agreement that affects the the rights and health decisions of humanity. The information of what the WHO plans to implement with this agreement may be "available to the public" but only to those who know where to look in order to happen upon it. This is EXTREMELY concerning and underhanded. I absolutely oppose the implementation of this global agreement.
Private Citizen	The World Health Organization is not a democratically elected organization. As a Non Governmental Body it should have zero jurisdiction over any sovereign nation regarding any matters of health. I fundamentally disagree with any attempt by the WHO to superimpose their pandemic response over any government in future. The WHO changed definitions (eg "herd immunity") within the last 2 years in order to suit their own agenda and has therefore demonstrated how utterly untrustworthy they are. You pcposed plans would potentially turn me into a slave. I refuse to be a slave.
Myself	The World Health Organization is overstepping it's bounds. As an organization it doesn't have the authority to take away the sovereignty of any nation. We, collectively, refuse to submit to the WHO, preferring our own rule of law rather than any dictatorial regime.
humanity	The World Health Organization must not be allowed to control and manipulate the Word's Health Agenda's if substantial funding comes from contributions from private interests that influence and make money from WHO's decisions. How can those decision's be for the best of the people and not the agenda of the donors. WHO's obligation to the people of the world must have regulations in order that are for the best interest of the people not the best interest of the donors. This has to STOP! We the people, I oppose all fraudulent act, no lockdowns, no abuse of so called "emergency" . Follow Article 20 of The Who's Constitution.
Stallion Safety Consulting Ltd	The World Health Organization MUST not make decisions that National and local leadership must have the right to make decisions on, these decisions must be based on what is best for their own people. The WHO MUST ensure nations and local municipalities are able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. After what we just went through with the horrific handling of the COVID 19 pandemic , An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. For the love of god and all that is right in this world, Measures MUST not allow for ANY influence in the process by ANY and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. My Body and what I do with it belongs to me and me alone! The World Health Organization will not have any input into how I care for the Health & Safety of myself and my Family!
Independent	The World Health Organization needs to include protection for all people in the world, by ensuring safeguards against corruption and conflicts of interest are not allowed to define its guidance, policies and procedures. One substantial element would be to limit its own power to consultation and advice, and remove its own power to mandate, require, force, or coerce the people of the earth, so that the autonomy of the individual is preserved and so the continued pursuit of natural evolution unmarred by damaging interventions can be preserved as unassailable. Otherwise, the species, homo sapiens sapiens is at risk of extinction from undue unregulated, unaccountable commercial interests that do not protect the recipients of their products. The WHO has the most onerous responsibility to walk back the overreaching powers that have killed and maimed thousands of our fellow humans, with no recourse and no support. The World Health Organization needs to reinvent itself by adding irrefutable and uncorruptable bodily autonomy protective mechanisms. This most substantial elements of its foundations, constitution, operating processes and in-build accountabilities, will prevent the outcomes we have seen to date in association with the most recently declared world health emergency. Build in safeguards so that our species can never be hijacked again. All promising medications must be made available for early treatment and ensure there is no rush into forced or coerced use of untested products injected or ingested. The WHO can ensure this aberration of medical clinical practice never happens again. Build this safeguard in through a substantive element of principle and practice. Become worthy of your name.
Sovereign citizen of the world	The world health organization shall make no law or extrajudicial measure that affects the freedom or sovereignty of individual free persons of the earth. WHO should strive to educate and advise on matters of health leaving individuals to make their own decisions about their health and safety. Individual rights supercede those of both organizations and governments. Governments serve the people and never the other way around.
Retired	The World Health Organization should continue its role as health advisor and nothing more. WHO should be a dispenser of the most current information and data available and search for natural and low cost treatments that can be made readily available rather than endorsing any man-made product. In the face of a pandemic, it is likely that any man-made product will be under-tested and negative side effects will be immediate, as well as seen for years to come. As with individuals, countries are unique. Their populations, cultures, economies, population densities, genetic make ups, etc. are unlike those of any other country. WHO should provide information and let the leaders of each country determine what is best for their country based on knowledge of its citizens. As WHO provides informaiton/data on what is known, scientists of each country can evaluate the data and provide direction for the country's leaders. Allowing any one person or organization to determine the course of action for the entire world is unwise. Even the wisest decision makers and the most brilliant scientists do not always get it right. Worldwide mandates from WHO have the potential to wipe out entire populations, cause physiological and psychological problems, and destroy economies with worldwide reprecussions. The desire for freedom to decide one's own fate is strong. Worldwide mandates are sure to be resisted causing additional problems and potential rebellion and uprisings. WHO should be prepared to collect data and pertinent information and dispense it. Nothing more.
Private Citizen	The World Health Organization should have NO authority over sovereign individuals, states and countries under any circumstances. Even if the WHO had handled the past 2 years with the upmost transparency, honesty and objectivity, they should not ever be allowed to dictate how countries handle their citizenry. If the WHO is allowed we lose our individual rights and our freedom and humanity. This cannot pass. 1. National and local ELECTED leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based proper science, not the WHO version which is patently corrupted, on what is best for their population. The WHO at best to provide science based advice 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.There can be NO place for big pharma in this process so measures must be in place that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States of America	The World Health Organization should never have the authority or power to supersede the will and decision-making ability of any sovereign nation and its people. Any treaty must include the following points: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Melissa North
Canadian Citizen	The World Health organization should not be overseeing a worldwide pandemic preparedness treaty/plan. Every country has it's own emergency plans in place and these look different considering the countries' resources, population and culture. It is not the role of the WHO to manage Countries. It is up to countries to act on behalf of their populations wishes and take into account measures that could help. I am concerned that a treaty with the WHO would miss the nuances that make up a country and this all seems a slippery slope to the WHO becoming a dictorship around health. The WHO has not acted in good faith - they changed the definition of a vaccine, during the COVID-19 pandemic. Instead of looking at focussed protection - measures were put into place, at the advice of the WHO, that have had lasting repercussions. Scientific debate was completely stifled and those who questioned measures were essential silenced and their character smeared. It is my hope Canada changes it's focus to more country centered instead of global centered. Citizens are increasingly taxed and Canadians are working harder and becoming poorer. In the meantime, our government continues to grow.
Australian Civil Libitarians	The World Health Organization should NOT have any power to implement an instrument in the case of a pandemic or any other health event. Let an individual be responsible for their own health.
myself	The World Health Organization should not proceed with any action that undermines any free and democratic country. The will of the citizens of any country must be sovereign in every instance. Local and national leaders must remain free to enact the will of the people of their respective jurisdictions. No part of any international treaty such as the one proposed may be compelled by any international/multi-state power if it contradicts the will of the local people. There must be a provision to opt out of any or all of it if that is the will of the people. All of this must be done in such a way that the process is done publicly without compulsion, coercion or penalty if a given society/nation does not want it. Also, business interests such as large pharmaceutical concerns or any other group in a potential conflict of interest situation should take no part in any decision on these matters. Respectfully submitted by Mick McGowan
The Catholic Home-school Support Network	The World Health Organization should remain an advisory body and no binding power should be pushed on participating countries with regard to how they manage internal health challenges. /there is no one plan fits all in a pandemic as has been shown over the past 2 years. The countries trying the hardest to follow the suggested protocols did not have the best results. By having a variety of approaches in different nations and geographical areas there is more chance to find less onerous solutions. I oppose this treaty and any control it gives to the WHO over independent nations. Thank you
Individual	The World Health Organization should understand that public health is not a one-size-fits-all scheme. The public and communities should be in control of their own health.
Self	The world health should be kept solely and entirely on each individual populations choice. There should not be a collective world ruling to override each countries government. Each continent has different culture and regulations and should not be subjected to a single world wide law.
Rec-Tech Power Products	The world is a big place and one size does not fit all. Please consider the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Member of the Public	The world is a large place with lots of people in different environments and living conditions. People's resources, incomes, access to quality food and water are all different and have an impact on their health. Therefore, a one-size-fits-all, global solution is not appropriate. I respectfully submit some ideas on what should be incorporated into a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: Because public health varies from family to family and area to area, national and local leadership has to be able to retain full autonomy and has to have the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people in their local area. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process has to be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote along the way. The only way this is possible is if people are informed that something is up for vote. People must be informed prominently by mainstream media what is being voted on, how to vote and when the deadline is to have their vote counted. If the process is clandestine, it is not in the best interest of the global public. There must be failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. In order for this to actually be in the best interest of public health, there have to be measures that do not allow influence in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Nurse	The world is being bullied into accepting this treaty without full knowledge or consultation on eventual consequences should another so called pandemic be forced upon the nation. Each nation appears to be in lock step with informing this on their people this needs to be stopped immediately as this will be the end of democracy and way of life. Should this be inacted will lend to devastating effects both psychological and economically.
A concerned citizen	The world is full of free thinking people who take responsibility for their own well-being on a daily basis. Let's keep it that way. I do not want a governing body that hasn't been voted for by the people of the world making decisions for me and my family. My vote is to maintain living in a democratic society where we make our own choices about maintaining our health, whatever they may be. Medicine has never been a one size fits all solution, trying to force people in to taking a path they do not want, is not the way forward.
Concerned US Resident	The world is not 'one size fits all'. Countries need to retain their own power on how their citizens are best served. This whole pandemic was handled so poorly - early treatments were suppressed which could have saved MILLIONS of lives. DO BETTER. BE BETTER for the world. Work on regaining the worlds people's trust instead of making it worse with this crazy proposition.
N/a	The world is so diverse. With every country having different health, level of immunity, climate, amount of young vs old, access to various treatments, religion, preexisting conditions that get overlooked while only pandemic issue is in focus.....therefore each country, community, person (!) should be able to decide for themselves how they want to treat their own autonomous body. There is no one size fits all especially in the question of HEALTH! The world health organization may provide support to countries upon request, but should not be the ruler and the golden merit!
citizen	The world is tired of power grabbing private institutions. You have no authority or legitimacy and reek of Big Pharma graft. Get right with God or you will pay the ultimate price. It is not too late to do the right thing, but the clock is ticking. Thank you
Myself	The world needs decentralised power across all sectors including healthcare. We no longer want clunky global bodies creating a "one size fits all" policy response.
Connecting Consciousness	The world policy for pandemic preparedness and response must include the freedom for each individual country to decide for itself whether or not they will follow world policy. No entity should have control over the whole world. We must be sovereign citizens in sovereign nations.
EU-citizen	The world population DO NOT NEED a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response! Such instrument would be one and only a vehicle of a even deeper restriction of human rights than those we have been suffering since 2 years due to the WHO! The member states are supposed to oppose this new international instrument! Do not consent!
University of Queensland	The 'World Together' 'global pandemic agreement' plan is unnecessary, overly centralised, undemocratic and reduces the autonomy of individual nations and regions. Please do not proceed with it. The World Health Organisation, a once highly admired organisation, is now widely seen as having become captive to large corporate interests, particularly those of the pharmaceutical industry. The mismanagement of the Covid19 pandemic with universal one-size fits all lockdowns and an emphasis on experimental rushed vaccines that are proving to have extremely high adverse events. At the same time the WHO failed to support cheaper, safer, off-patent or unpatentable alternatives such as vitamin D, Zinc, ivermectin, hydroxychloroquine, mouth and nose antiseptic/saline gargles and flushes. As a result millions of people are losing faith in the WHO. This centralised autocratic plan will be viewed with suspicion by many and further tarnish the WHO's reputation. Individual nations can and should coordinate with other nations while retaining sovereignty and autonomy to plan locally. The WHO should provide advice and facilitate open scientific debate, it also needs to focus on reforming its internal governance and divesting itself of corporate interests.
Freedom to choose	The world was made by God and for God, so matter what you do,if u die in your sins you will end up in hell,and there no climate change there. It's HOT. Think about eternal things not worldly things., REPENT AND give your life to JESUS TODAY Tomorrow isnt promised... Please stop all this, read revalation, if you are not following Jesus you will be left behind, and the world will no longer be worrying about climate change, PLEASE stop all this before it's too late for you,God is in CONTROL.... NOT YOU. JESUS IS THE ONLY WAY
Not applicable	The world's population and member states of the WHO are diverse and as such should not be forced into an agreement that does not benefit them. The WHO is not elected by the citizens of the various nations and as such should not create agreements that it aims to make legally binding for member states. It is an unacceptable threat to the sovereignty of nations! It would remove the right of each American to make health decisions for themselves and their families. As such , it would be unconstitutional for the United States to enter into such an agreement.
Person	The world's response to the pandemic has proven that the dangers of a top-down, centralized approach are significant. Any world-wide treaty regarding future pandemics needs to insure that all countries and localities retain full rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities must be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Failsafes must be present along with transparency, giving all populations a voice and a vote on allowing for rejection of any measures that majority of the people do not want. Big pharma and other global health profiteers must not be given any influence in the treaty so as to insure that the health and wellbeing of all people comes first and foremost, not downstream of profiteering and political manipulation.
Oaks of Weymouth	The worldwide response to Covid 19 should continue to disseminate information including all points of view from health care professionals. WHO should have no authority to mandate vaccine passports as members have not been elected and therefore have no right to govern the behavior of individuals. Give individuals the information and allow them to decide for themselves the best course of action to protect their families. Maintaining freedom of choice that includes the ability to move about without hindrance of vaccine passports, to work, to enjoy recreational activities, to worship - all are God given rights. For WHO to establish a worldwide response us overreach of power and cannot be tolerated.
Indigenous Primary Care	Their should NOT be anyone on the council who profits from their products during a pan- demic. There should not be any of the WHO's major funders on the council.
Home	ThePandemic Treaty must include… 1)Human rights are inalienable . Governments must not stop human rights because of " so called" emergencies. 2) The rights of all nations, states provinces and groups, also organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3)Beaurocrats do not have the right to deny or withhold lifesaving drugs or medications from anyone. It is our inalienable right to try.... 4) The right too refuse treatment is also an inalienable right. Our bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must be respected. 5) Vaccine status must not be used to restrict peoples movement and travel, to work or be educated, or gain access to businesses and public spaces. 6)Lockdowns and quarantines of healthy people are wrong! 7)We demand complete transparency and that all depersonalised data from clinical trials be made available to everyone at the same time. 8)Humans have a right to their own opinion and it's their inalienable right to speak their minds and thoughts in public. 9)It's very important that manufacturers, distributors and administrators of healthcare procedures and products are liable for any damages caused by the above. 10)People must have the right to vote and to end states of emergency!
Self	Ther should be NO WHO global pandemic treaty. Each country should be in charge of making its own decisions whenever a medical emergency, such as a viral or similar pandemic, occurs. One size does not fit all. Not all countries have the same monetary resources, or health facility resources, etc. In the US, each state has its own health department, and should be making up the rules or suggestions for that state, as each state knows its own people best. Same for each of the other worlds countries. Each country chose its own path to fight the Covid pandemic, and some were more successful than others. The WHO should remain an agency that suggests approaches to other countries, that gathers information to inform other countries, and reports on various treatments and outcomes from other countries. The WHO should not have the power to be in charge of other countries' policies. Abort this ill-advised proposed WHO policy or goal.
NA	There absolutely MUST be clear language that declares the absolute sovereignty of each individual nation. The people of each nation are the controlling interest of each individual nation, and this rule MUST be protected. To do anything else has been shown again and again since the onset of COVID to lead only to tyranny against the people.
Private individual	There absolutely needs to be guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. No governmental body or pharmaceutical company can make decisions over a person's bodily autonomy.
N/A	There absolutely should not be any form of digital ID or any international treaty of any kind. Let countries decide for themselves what to do. We need to stop trying to bring in a one world government, nothing good is going to come from this. End this globalist agenda now or you will greatly regret the outcome that will come if this agenda is continued and it will turn into human rights violations at large scale.
Hana's Hope	There are 4 Elements that I think should be added to the WHO'S pandemic preparedness and response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of United States of America	There are a few points I would like to state in opposition to this proposed pandemic treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Holzbau Dallago	There are a few things to keep in mind: The sovereignty of the individual States is inviolable. Just like the human rights and sovereignty of the individual. No human being may be forced or coerced into medical interventions. Innovative products are to be subjected to a proper approval procedure before their first application in the broad mass. Before recommendations for actions are made, they must be evaluated in terms of their impact on society as a whole and on the economy. The decision on recommended actions must not be made by virologists and epidemiologists alone. In any case, physicians of all other specialties as well as psychologists and economists must also be involved.
No	There are a few things to keep in mind: The sovereignty of the individual States is inviolable. Just like the human rights and sovereignty of the individual. No human being may be forced or coerced into medical interventions. Innovative products are to be subjected to a proper approval procedure before their first application in the broad mass. Before recommendations for actions are made, they must be evaluated in terms of their impact on society as a whole and on the economy. The decision on recommended actions must not be made by virologists and epidemiologists alone. In any case, physicians of all other specialties as well as psychologists and economists must also be involved.
Private	There are a few things to keep in mind: The sovereignty of the individual States is inviolable. Just like the human rights and sovereignty of the individual. No human being may be forced or coerced into medical interventions. Innovative products are to be subjected to a proper approval procedure before their first application in the broad mass. Before recommendations for actions are made, they must be evaluated in terms of their impact on society as a whole and on the economy. The decision on recommended actions must not be made by virologists and epidemiologists alone. In any case, physicians of all other specialties as well as psychologists and economists must also be involved.
Private	There are a few things to keep in mind: The sovereignty of the individual States is inviolable. Just like the human rights and sovereignty of the individual. No human being may be forced or coerced into medical interventions. Innovative products are to be subjected to a proper approval procedure before their first application in the broad mass. Before recommendations for actions are made, they must be evaluated in terms of their impact on society as a whole and on the economy. The decision on recommended actions must not be made by virologists and epidemiologists alone. In any case, physicians of all other specialties as well as psychologists and economists must also be involved.
none	There are a number of crucial points that MUST be included in this treaty. First, and most importantly, the WHO should return to its original definition of a pandemic, which included wording that a pandemic only arises to an emergency if there are significant excess deaths. Second, the WHO must concentrate on treatments FIRST – and especially existing and generic drugs, as well as natural, time tested solutions. New drugs/vaccines with NO long term testing should be proposed ONLY if the death rate is excessive (not the case rate). Third, the WHO must explicitly specify that it will follow the Nuremberg Code, which was designed to avoid human rights violations in the medical field, and explicitly BAN any forced medical procedures, including treatments or vaccines. Fourth, the WHO must explicitly specify that, as with all historical pandemics, ONLY the sick should be quarantined. Additionally, acknowledge that asymptomatic transmission, if it exists at all, is exceedingly rare, so that anyone without symptoms must be classified as "HEALTHY". We must always understand that our basic, innate freedoms are just that, freedoms, not temporary privileges that can be taken away because of a disease. The WHO's job is not to decree what every country/person in the world MUST do, but to present the best options for countries to choose from. Every situation is unique in every country - a one size fits all solution imposed from on high will not help either the immediate medical situation or the long term health of humanity. Please remember Benjamin Franklin's very astute comment that those who give up essential liberties for temporary safety will end up with neither.
Doctor of naturopathic medicine	There are a number of elements that I feel would be most important to include and these are the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you

Organization	Written submission
Sturgess Prime Productions	There are a number of essential provisions that should be included in such a proposed international pandemic preparedness instrument/treaty. First, the autonomy of regional and national governments to make decisions based on the will of their own citizens must be protected. There should be explicit safeguards in place to ensure signatories do not exercise influence or authority that supersedes the constitution, rule of law and /or charters of individual liberties unique to each nation. Second, signatories and the constituents they represent should have the unhindered ability to opt out of any portion of or the entire agreement without consequence. Third, the process should be completely open and transparent, with all elements of said treaty subject to a vote in which every citizen of the world can participate, so as to avoid applying provisions of the treaty to those who do not consent. Fourth, the treaty must include provisions to exclude pharmaceutical interests, and those in positions to profit from such a treaty, as stakeholders. This should be applied broadly, protecting against the influence of philanthropists and lobbyists with conflicts of interest.
No organization.	There are a number of questions that have not been addressed in this treaty. 1- The use of pandemics as a means of social control by authoritarian regimes is often times more deleterious than the pandemic itself. 2- Censorship of other scientific voices prevents the use of alternate, non-pharmaceutical controlled, approaches to health care which, for some cultures , can be more beneficial to those groups. Please Do NOT shut out those voices. 3- The Fox cannot guard the Henhouse.....thus, the pharmaceutical companies cannot police itself nor can it give accurate assessment of its so-called research and methodology when their is a money incentive. Thus, anyone or organization which is assigned the task of assessing the efficacy of a given pharmaceutical research endeavour must not have any monetary affiliation with the outcome at the time of assessing such research and for a further 15 years post assessment. The conflicts of interest among those dependent on grants or other monetary procurement is so great that anything reported by the WHO or NIH is suspect. I am sure there are more questions....but if the above cannot be fully dressed and acted on, it will be very hard to trust anything that comes out of this type of agreement.
General public	There are a wide range of problems with the Pandemic Treaty. First and foremost is the WHO's self-assigned role to make decisions for Member Nations that preempt and in some cases override their national sovereignty. Another anticipated problem is the erosion and/or wholesale violation of data privacy. Health agencies like the CDC used the pandemic as an excuse to recommend contact tracing and the collection and sharing of private medical information using the justification that it was for "the greater good" of public health. The WHO is essentially erecting a global bio-security governance system that will push for the tracking of what was formerly private behavior and data, essentially eliminating health privacy for all people. Moreover, these same systems of surveillance can be leveraged for violating human rights and exerting control and restrictions on populations that have nothing to do with protecting their health. The WHO wants to increase sharing of pathogens, samples, and technology. If it's true that the #1 health threat we face is pathogen-related in origin, then this focus would leave the world more vulnerable to potential mishandling and mistakes where something like a viral release, or even theft, could occur. Instead of preventing a world catastrophe, a treaty that increases sharing of pathogens around the globe inadvertently increases the risk of a pandemic. We may be told that a treaty is necessary to continue international travel safely and protect national borders, but that's false. We cannot let our fears steer the ship so that we end up making greater sacrifices of privacy and freedom than we're receiving in return for the promise of safety.
Alliance for Human Research Protection	There are a wide range of problems with the Pandemic Treaty. First and foremost is the World Health Organization's self-assigned role to make decisions for Member Nations that preempt and in some cases override their national sovereignty As a citizen of the United States, I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other binding global documents that would undermine US sovereignty in any area. Most emphatically, I do not relinquish the protections of bodily integrity granted to me by the U.S. Constitution. As well, I do not relinquish my human right to "voluntary, informed consent" to medical interventions under the Nuremberg Code, which is incorporated into the International Criminal Code. The attempt by the World Health Organization to create the public health equivalent of a "one world government" violates the sovereignty of the U.S., its citizens, and the sovereignty of other citizens around the world. The WHO does not have the authority to override the national sovereignty of democratic nations around the world. The WHO does not have legal authority to dictate US public health policies affecting its citizens. This blatant attempt to overstep the WHO boundaries may result in the reassessment by sovereign nations about their continued financing and participation in the WHO.
Member of Public	There are absolutely no circumstances under which the WHO have any place to speak for and make fundamental decisions on behalf of the public. We are a sovereign people and as such do not entertain a top down approach, especially in times of such serious concerns. The WHO acted abysmally and still continue to through the whole 'pandemic.' Their advice has been vague and inconsistent and served only to mislead and confuse many nations. The WHO, as an unelected organisation (with conflicts of interest due to their funding sources) chose not to step forward and make clear important facts during the 'pandemic,' but instead quietly published information on their website which was clearly ignored. What we had instead was the dangerous actions of many governments around the world who chose to ignore (and censure) the existing evidence from experts around the world before acting. The WHO never once acted to rectify this bias, which caused so many unnecessary fatalities. The WHO are not elected and as such do not have any jurisdiction over, yet alone understanding of individual countries. Each country and its citizens should address any possible future 'emergencies' in consultation at a local level first and foremost.
Private	There are absolutly no substantive elements to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. At least none by this covid-19 pandemic, because its proved that this pandemic was very OVERRATED. It's fundamental that none of the covid-like pandemic preparedness and response can violate the human rigths, funtamental liberties and people's free choice. Its outrageous that you, WHO, even can consider of sugesting the violation of human rights a liberties because of a cold-flu-like pandemic with mortality close to 0%
Private citizen	There are at least four substantive elements that should be included in any new instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. First, no matter what the WHO recommends or decrees, national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, retaining the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people in all circumstances. Secondly, nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Thirdly, there must be an open and transparent process enabling all people of the world to vote on the inclusion of failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and any costs incurred to facilitate such voting should be paid for by the WHO. Fourthly, measures must be included which do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, including paid public advertising or indirect funding of news agencies to propagate their interests. Thus, the WHO should seek to merely serve as a resource base to share advice for sovereign nations and local leadership to take into consideration while making their autonomous decisions about how to care for their people.
Holzbau Dallago	There are following things to keep in mind: The sovereignty of the individual States is inviolable. Just like the human rights and sovereignty of the individual. No human being may be forced or coerced into medical interventions. Innovative products are to be subjected to a proper approval procedure before their first application in the broad mass. Before recommendations for actions are made, they must be evaluated in terms of their impact on society as a whole and on the economy. The decision on recommended actions must not be made by virologists and epidemiologists alone. In any case, physicians of all other specialties as well as psychologists and economists must also be involved.
The Human Race	There are important elements that should be included in international preparedness and response. They should align with the WHO Constitution, which states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Therefore, the elements that should be included are: All power over health decisions remains with state and country. An individual's health decisions will not be apart of a legally binding pandemic treaty. Removing power from individual countries puts the lives and welfare of the people at risk.
United States of America Citizen	There are many elements to be INCLUDED and also some to be excluded as the last global pandemic preparedness response was mostly a failure. many lives were unnecessarily destroyed by inappropriate "global" based on mis-information. INCLUDE: Invitation to healthcare professionals and scientists from independent sources (no monetary or other ties to the WHO) that have expertise in any relevant field concerning the greater good, to speak on the possible response options. This was not done previously. Many intelligent and knowledgeable voices were covered up or ignored. INCLUDE: A proposal for each country to evaluate and either accept or decline - all or parts of the recommended response protocol. (In the USA I propose that each state is privy to this information.) Each locality on this planet is so different, and is thus able to, as mentioned above, either accept or decline all or parts of the RECOMMENDED response. Each country or USA state may use these pandemic preparedness and response instruments as a guideline to make decisions on what is best for it's local citizens. As we can see from last time, having one global organization determining what is right for everyone is a sloppy disaster and affects more people in a negative way than a positive one- destroys more lives than it helps. The job of the WHO in a pandemic can be to make information know, to correlate what each area of the globe has chosen to do for their people and be used as a resource center for other countries (or USA states). It can also be used as an organization to collect and share data internationally. It SHOULD NOT hinder individual country sovereignty to do what is best for it's people. The WHO should act as a guide. Period.
public	the people. Including: 1 Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." and WHO is NOT a governing body 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Remdesivir is an example of what is being used (and killing people) rather than offer other options to patients who are able to recover. 4. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right.Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. This has done nothing but make the people upset and aware of the fact that the governing are not working in the best interest of the people 7. We the people demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. It saddens me that this actually has to be written! 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. Until there is liability, there will be questions about why there isnt. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Palisade	There are merits in certain cases to have a coordinated response to severe threats, however in reality not for this case. There is a significant and unmitigated risk in centralising the decisions which affect basic living throughout the world, with a body which is not democratically elected, and which receives significant funding from private and public sources with their own interests. This circumvents democratic process - a huge risk. This proposal is in reality a proposal to strip countries and individuals of the fundamental human rights of freedom and sovereignty. We have seen the impact of lockdowns on livelihoods, well-being, and mental health of millions of people, not to mention children. Decisions that impact people and nations fundamental rights, should not be blanket decisions, which may be prone to bias or influence. Cultures and countries deal with things differently. This proposal, will remove power and self determination from individuals and countries, and give powers which will equate to authoritarian power, to an unelected organisation with its own funding and potential influences - with little consultation of those it will impact. Once this happens and future decisions are mandated, there will be no recourse if they are not fit for purpose or destructive - and elected governments will escape responsibility. This is extremely dangerous. Outsourcing control on how we live our life at a basic level is something we have evolved beyond
None	There are nearly 200 sovereign countries in the world. These countries need "world health organization" advice, not direction. Each needs to determine what course of action should be taken in their respective country. As a citizen of the United States of America, I resent the implication that the "world health organization" can or should determine a better course of action than that which can be determined by my elected representatives. For this reason, I oppose any attempt to have the WHO cordate responses across the world or attempt to provide direction to all countries. There is no "one shoe fits all" solution to pandemics or other crises.. So butt out. Thank you.
Independent	There are no circumstances in which any democratically elected Government should sign up to anything overseen by a wholly unelected organisation - and especially not to one who is (a) dominated by China and (b) was proven to be catastrophically wrong with their response to the Covid pandemic when all the evidence is that the Swedes got it right and the UK Government and WHO got it wrong. Democracy and self-determination is important in all areas, but especially in health. I am flabbergasted that any sensible person is even considering this as a sensible option.
Personal	There are no circumstances in which I could countenance handing blanket governmental power to a foreign entity, however competent or well-meaning. Since it is the WHO that would take over my country, I oppose this hugely anti-democratic proposal with every fibre of my being.
Exasolar	There are no evidence of a pandemic issue in the past 70 jears. Its no need to a worldwid coordinations of measures to prevent virus spreed. Every Nation is responsible itself to manage the own helthsystems according to respect the individual rights and responsibility of the individuum.
Mary Tillberg	There are no substantive elements needed as there should not be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO can provide guidance and recommendations that countries can decide independently whether to adopt or not. At no point should any country enter into a treaty agreement with the WHO and give up their respective sovereignty. It is inappropriate and an over reach for the WHO to consider themselves in such a way. You are advisory, nothing more.
Retired	There are no substantive elements that can even be considered after what we experienced over the last 2 years. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It would increase the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. This is an abominable attack on humanity and a continuation of the attack on God that will ultimately destroy the world they purport to protect.
None	There are NO substantive elements that I would recommend for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response because I believe NO document like this should exist with the WHO. Such a document takes away the powers from local/state/federal govts of the United States and would not be in the best interests of U.S. citizens who are governed by a Constitution that does NOT currently mention that freedoms be stripped away from citizens due to a 'pandemic'. Considering the many missteps taken by other countries around the world over the last 2 years, including govt responses that mimicked a totalitarian-type regime, every country should make their own decisions, keeping with the current rule of govt in that country as well as input from ALL of its citizens. Such a response should not be dictated by one organization.
We The People	There are NO substantive elements that should be included in an International instrument or treaty. Every area/country in the world is NOT the same as any other therefore 'one size fits all' rulings are short sighted and are not workable. This entire push for world pandemic control must be removed from your agenda.
private individual	There are NO substantive elements to be included in a new international instrument one pandemic preparedness. No treat is needed or wanted. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO must stay out of our lives.
privat	There are only pandemics made by pharmaceutical companies. stop it immediately !!
University of Cape Town	There are three critical components that must be included in any such new international plan: 1) As democratically elected bodies, it is imperative that national (and where appropriate local leadership) retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Different nations will always face different challenges at different times in any pandemic and must be able to respond accordingly. 2) Any nation must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) A responsibility for any nation signing up to such an agreement to provide accurate data on cases and deaths (recognising that many nations have limited testing capacity) during the course of any future pandemic.
Force Brewery Limited	There are two elements. Most important is that the individual retains bodily autonomy, and that no circumstances, treaties or legislation can affect this. Secondly, it must be acknowledged that there are not, and cannot be, political solutions to health problems. This treaty must not be allowed to proceed; it would only be abused for gain and control. If such a meeting is to be convened, the discussion should centre on the process by which the pharmaceutical industry is denied all the influence it wields currently.
NA	There are two important amendments that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
Independent Research Scientist	There are two primary areas of importance when considering a world-level pandemic response plan. The first is the near impossibility of developing and implementing a one-size-fits-all set of rules and policies surrounding something as variable as human needs and physical health. To do so is a direct removal of the innate rights of humans to make decisions for our own health and well-being. Trying to implement strict rules around an unpredictable future scenario is similar to deciding exactly what should happen in the event of a natural disaster. While there are best practices, it is essential that freedom of decision making be maintained by sovereign governments and specific situations of their own citizens. Second, forcing science-based rules on top of human needs and cultural beliefs is wrong and should not be the main focus of WHO's policies. You are the World HEALTH organization, not the World DISEASE Organization and your funding and focus should be on helping people be in a higher state of overall health so that humans are not susceptible to future viral or microbial attacks. Therefore the primary elements that should be included are pro-active plans to ensure people are at an optimum state of wellness. These include nutrition and fitness education as well as stress-reduction programs. We cannot prepare for battle by simply putting on more armor; we must train and build our strength so that we are ready to fight. Please ensure that these importance pieces are included in the new WHO response plan.
ASEAN Regional Consultant	There are two substantive elements, Highest-level Governance and One Health. I will expound on the governance structure for effective coordination and collaboration of different sectors, not only health, at national and international levels. During pandemics, countries implement their national plans; especially if there are no regional/international plans. Limited coordination of efforts to mitigate conflict of arrangements and measures between countries is expected. High-level multisectoral coordination is required and national and international stakeholders need detailed terms of reference to collaborate and work together. The International Instrument must not come out as health sector-centric, but Human (non-traditional) Security Centric. Yes, WHO can continue to be the health sector oversight. The overall oversight and leader must be at highest rank, i.e., the same as the UN Secretary General, and must not be under UN (or could be a revamped UN). Its state counterparts are Heads of States. The focus must be aligned and in congruence to the 17 SDG's. The instrument must be legally binding, and is overarching the IHR2005, that means IHR is only a Health Subset. The demand for countries to adhere to cross-cutting core capacities must be strict as to scope, timeline, and extent, and with imposition of severe sanctions to non-compliant states. If the UN is to be revamped or rebranded into a supreme Global Security Alliance, e.g., a World Peace and Prosperity Organization (WPPO), then we have to ensure that where UN has failed, WPPO shall have to succeed. The world has to let go of UN as a symbolic gesture that we mean business.
None	There are two vital elements that should be included in plans for future pandemics: 1. Adherence to the Nuremberg Code with regard to valid, informed consent to treatment. This means, of course, that vaccine mandates are unacceptable. 2. Respect for national sovereignty. The WHO is not empowered morally, and should not be empowered legally, to encroach upon the right of national governments to manage their own responses to health emergencies in the best interests of their citizens, unless explicitly invited to do so.
Stand for Health Freedom	There aren't any substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness. The health and well being of people should always remain with the people of a country, but more specifically with a doctor and their patient. A "one size fits all" approach to healthcare is not only dangerous, but it is never in the best interest of the individual. People have a right over their own bodies and how they choose to manage their healthcare during a pandemic or otherwise. We can see how the current pandemic, and the sole reliance on one modality of treatment has failed and how the support of one narrative, led to the censoring of scientist and doctors with other possibly effective alternative treatments. The WHO was a leader in these draconian measures that led to the stifling of science and treatments that may have saved lives, if given the chance. Furthermore, as an American citizen, the US Constitution does not recognize nor give power to the WHO to make healthcare decisions for its people, that right resides with the States. Therefore, I don't see a need or any benefit this treaty would have for the average individual. It seems only to transfer more power to certain entities, while stifling real science and robing the masses of bodily autonomy.
Private individual	There can be no agreed solution to all potential future pandemics. For example, in relation to SARS-Cov2, there have been different countries around the world adopting different strategies in relation to treatment, prophylaxis, and non-pharmaceutical interventions; when the dust settles, it will be clear that some have worked more effectively than others. If there is a universal global approach to a future crisis, and it turns out to be well-intentioned but wrong, the whole of mankind is affected. This is why there should be no universal mandatory response to future pandemics; the WHO should restrict its role to collating and providing unbiased data, not affected by political inteference, for governments around the world to take account of in their national responses to future crises. It is the governments and their own advisers who should take responsibility for local actions. SARS-Cov2 has provided some excellent examples of the dangers of government over-reaction and over-reach, which the public in their respective countries will take account of in their attitude to future government mandates or guidance.
private citizen	There can be no NGO that overwrite our democratic sovran nation in this matter I am a free human and we have laws in Sweden saying that no one can force you to inject anything in my body with force or my approval. WHO have no right to decide over me or Sweden.
None	There can be no universal answer to health problems. The past treatment of covid19 was a dismal failure. And it highlights the fact that different areas and countries can not be treated alike. The actions by regional health actions remarkably demonstrated different outcomes. Just as different individuals respond differently to to health treatments.
general public	There can surely be zero confidence in anything that the WHO advises on in the future regarding so-called 'pandemic's'. Unclear reasons for hugely life-affecting restrictions being placed on entire countries with little or no sound reasoning is beyond disgraceful. This almighty mess-up can never be repeated again. Body autonomy is essential and medication should never be mandated. The unbelievable fear inducing rhetoric has led to mis-trust and god knows how much damage and harm to people, their lives and their careers. Stop it and stay out of our lives.
NA	There cannot be a Pandemic Treaty!! Because, 1. Human rights are inalienable. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. My body my choice. Where there is risk, there must be choice. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	There does not need to be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness, and there do not need to be any measures taken to supersede national laws and/or to undermine the national sovereignty of any individual nation. Natural disaster and pandemic preparedness is the sole responsibility of each individual nation, and while international cooperation is important in our globalized economy and world, nothing should take the place of what individual citizens of each country believe is best for their own countries. There should be, under no circumstances, any action taken which binds any country by law to the dictates of bureaucrats at the WHO or at any other un-elected organization. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input.
Private	There had been enough central control over our lives. The Covid 'pandemic' has turned out to be a catastrophe for vaccine injuries. We don't need any mor digitilisaton and toxic pharma products. Leave the local doctors to do their jobs!
NOT APPLICABLE	There has been no material coronavirus. All you have is a pack of lies. You rebranded the flu as COVID 19. Where were the flu cases / deaths in 2020 and 2021. Thus there should be no new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Surviving the Outdoors	There has been NO Quantified isolation of any virus, thus making it illegal what you are doing.
DEF	There has been no threat. The measures instituted starting in 2020 in most jurisdictions created manifold harms. Small businesses were forced to close, children were forced into remote schooling, and citizens were forced to wear masks. But worst of all, citizens were often coerced to take experimental gene therapies as a condition for participation in society. In many jurisdictions, all of these harms continue. Coercion to take medical interventions violates the Nuremberg Code, principle 1: "The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential." The degree to which the Nuremberg Code is considered governing law varies with jurisdiction. Thus, for avoidance of doubt, the new international instrument should incorporate the ten principles of the Nuremberg Code. Also for avoidance of doubt about whether the restrictions apply only to experimental medical interventions or to all such interventions, the instrument should further incorporate the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights, Article 6.1: "Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information." A final provision would be helpful, in which countries commit to prosecuting all violations of informed consent and bodily autonomy that have already occurred within their jurisdiction, no matter how high or powerful the violator.
privat	There has not to be The Who to decide on the worlds Population what do do in a Pandemic situation but wise versa !!!You don't have the right to dichte over people.
Public	There has to be dialog between government and the citizens. You cannot and should not force measures on citizens and still call this a democracy. You cannot have forced vaccinations and forced lockdowns on people because it doesn't work. Also you have people who have suffered effects of the vaccines and lockdowns and still these concerns and issues have not been addressed. Let the people have a choice as to what they want and be involved in decisions that directly affect them. Organisations like WHO need to be tranparent and honest and make decisions for economic gain or power. It's time to let people get on with their lives without government intervention
DTBX	There has to be the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and dignity; medical integrity and strict legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. Policy abs mandates must be voted for. Officials in the WHO must be vetted and elected by the people, both individuals and communities without discrimination.
-	There have to be guarantees for human rights, the rule of law and compliance with the laws of individual countries. The countries must obliged to expand and support the health system.
Privat	There have to be sovereignty for every country und every single one, every single person. There have to be liability for every pharmaceutical industry und all the politicians, too.
Sovereign being temple of God.	There is a cure to all corona viruses and variants which is not a vaccine but a homeopathic remedy, which is provided for sovereign temples of God. The treaty by the World Health Organisation is tyrannical and is unlawful under God's Law.
Global Health Responsibility Agency	There is a need to 'develop a next generation treaty' capable to escape a new era of pandemics by fully realizing responsibility, transparency and liability for all pandemic policies starting with the protection of the human dignity, fundamental freedoms and the right to health. It must be a pioneering legal tool shaped like a human rights instrument that provides individuals with rights and entitlements, particularly the right to directly invoke responsibility against internationally wrongful acts committed by governments, WHO and its partners with regard to health emergencies and pandemic preparedness and response activities while establishing obligations and regulations for governments, WHO and other international actors to realize these obligations through straight regulatory control, e.g. no dangerous gain-of-function research, access to raw sciene data, compulsory licensing, full compensation for adverse drug reactions, including the loss of life and livelihood, protection of freedom of science and speech, stopping social platforms to censor medical information, etc. This means to set non-derogable safeguards against derogation clauses wrongly assumed during PHEICs by establishing countermeasure-principles: proportionate to the threat of the disease, take into account collateral damage, time-limited, least intrusive and dignity preserving by outlawing mandatory health status certification and digital ID. MCM have to be guided by the ius cogens elements of the human right to health (see Art. 53 VCLT) of full informed consent and freedom to decide on medical treatment, the right to safe&effective drugs and the absolute prohibition of mandatory human experimentation, i.e. emergency use authorized vaccine mandates.
sovereign country	There is absolutely NO (!!!) need for a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response".
None	There is absolutely no need for a international pandemic treaty. The WHO is an organization financed largely by private donors and therefore does not represent the needs and interests of the people. States need to maintain their sovereignty to abstain from dangerous ideological policies in the future and therefore must not submit to private sector driving legislation that will further violate constitutional liberties and fundamental rights of the population. The legacy of the WHO during the last two years of Covid-19 pandemic has been disastrous and the organization is therefore in no condition nor should have a mandate to impose any legislation for upcoming scenarios. NO to any international pandemic treaties. STOP this now!
No organization	There is absolutely no need for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I don't want any international organization to rule over my countries regulations and laws.
general public	There is absolutely no need for an international instrument for pandemic preparedness. As we have learned over the last 2 years is the importance of personal responsibility for one's own health is up most important. With all infectious diseases we protect the vulnerable and keep ourselves healthy and active is the best actions to be prepared for the next viral "pandemic". The measures that were taken the last two years were unnecessary causing devastation to our economy, health, mental health and in my opinion were far more devastating then Covid itself. There was absolutely no reason to impede on our liberties and constitutional rights. Decisions should be made by the electoral governments of each Country/State and should not be made as a one fits all approach, Thank you, Dawn Hunniford
None	There is absolutely NO NEED for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Each country should be able to make their own policies, without interference from an "international" group. PERIOD. Such an instrument interferes with each country's sovereignty and, as such, is a violation of international law.
Retired	There is absolutely no need for any treaty for a Pandemic. Response to a Pandemic should be handled on a local level, where local officials, most trusted and most in touch with the needs of local citizens, can make the best and most well informed decisions. Adding unnecessary layers of bureaucracy would hinder local responses. A "one size fits all approach," such as a treaty, is not only overcomplicate the situation, but would be far less effective than locally made decisions and efforts. The WHO should maintain, and remain, in its role as an advisory and information dispensing body.

Ecuador	Ecuador is a country in Latin America.
Ecuador	Ecuador is a country in Latin America.
Egypt	Egypt is a country in Africa.
Egypt	Egypt is a country in Africa.
El Salvador	El Salvador is a country in Central America.
El Salvador	El Salvador is a country in Central America.
Equatorial Guinea	Equatorial Guinea is a country in Africa.
Equatorial Guinea	Equatorial Guinea is a country in Africa.
Eritrea	Eritrea is a country in Africa.
Eritrea	Eritrea is a country in Africa.
Estonia	Estonia is a country in Europe.
Estonia	Estonia is a country in Europe.
Eswatini (formerly Swaziland)	Eswatini (formerly Swaziland) is a country in Africa.
Eswatini (formerly Swaziland)	Eswatini (formerly Swaziland) is a country in Africa.
Ethiopia	Ethiopia is a country in Africa.
Ethiopia	Ethiopia is a country in Africa.
Fiji	Fiji is a country in Oceania.
Fiji	Fiji is a country in Oceania.
Finland	Finland is a country in Europe.
Finland	Finland is a country in Europe.
France	France is a country in Europe.
France	France is a country in Europe.
Gabon	Gabon is a country in Africa.
Gabon	Gabon is a country in Africa.
Gambia, The	The Gambia is a country in Africa.
Gambia, The	The Gambia is a country in Africa.
Georgia	Georgia is a country in Europe.
Georgia	Georgia is a country in Europe.
Germany	Germany is a country in Europe.
Germany	Germany is a country in Europe.
Ghana	Ghana is a country in Africa.
Ghana	Ghana is a country in Africa.
Greece	Greece is a country in Europe.
Greece	Greece is a country in Europe.
Grenada	Grenada is a country in Caribbean.
Grenada	Grenada is a country in Caribbean.
Guatemala	Guatemala is a country in Central America.
Guatemala	Guatemala is a country in Central America.
Guinea	Guinea is a country in Africa.
Guinea	Guinea is a country in Africa.
Guinea-Bissau	Guinea-Bissau is a country in Africa.
Guinea-Bissau	Guinea-Bissau is a country in Africa.
Guyana	Guyana is a country in South America.
Guyana	Guyana is a country in South America.
Haiti	Haiti is a country in Caribbean.
Haiti	Haiti is a country in Caribbean.
Honduras	Honduras is a country in Central America.
Honduras	Honduras is a country in Central America.
Hungary	Hungary is a country in Europe.
Hungary	Hungary is a country in Europe.
Iceland	Iceland is a country in Europe.
Iceland	Iceland is a country in Europe.
India	India is a country in Asia.
India	India is a country in Asia.
Indonesia	Indonesia is a country in Asia.
Indonesia	Indonesia is a country in Asia.
Iran, Islamic Republic of	Iran is a country in Asia.
Iran, Islamic Republic of	Iran is a country in Asia.
Iraq	Iraq is a country in Asia.
Iraq	Iraq is a country in Asia.
Ireland	Ireland is a country in Europe.
Ireland	Ireland is a country in Europe.
Israel	Israel is a country in Asia.
Israel	Israel is a country in Asia.
Italy	Italy is a country in Europe.
Italy	Italy is a country in Europe.
Jamaica	Jamaica is a country in Caribbean.
Jamaica	Jamaica is a country in Caribbean.
Japan	Japan is a country in Asia.
Japan	Japan is a country in Asia.
Jordan	Jordan is a country in Asia.
Jordan	Jordan is a country in Asia.
Kazakhstan	Kazakhstan is a country in Asia.
Kazakhstan	Kazakhstan is a country in Asia.
Kenya	Kenya is a country in Africa.
Kenya	Kenya is a country in Africa.
Kiribati	Kiribati is a country in Oceania.
Kiribati	Kiribati is a country in Oceania.
Korea, Democratic People's Republic of	North Korea is a country in Asia.
Korea, Democratic People's Republic of	North Korea is a country in Asia.
Korea, Republic of	South Korea is a country in Asia.
Korea, Republic of	South Korea is a country in Asia.
Kosovo	Kosovo is a country in Europe.
Kosovo	Kosovo is a country in Europe.
Kuwait	Kuwait is a country in Asia.
Kuwait	Kuwait is a country in Asia.
Kyrgyzstan	Kyrgyzstan is a country in Asia.
Kyrgyzstan	Kyrgyzstan is a country in Asia.
Lao People's Democratic Republic	Laos is a country in Asia.
Lao People's Democratic Republic	Laos is a country in Asia.
Latvia	Latvia is a country in Europe.
Latvia	Latvia is a country in Europe.
Lebanon	Lebanon is a country in Asia.
Lebanon	Lebanon is a country in Asia.
Lesotho	Lesotho is a country in Africa.
Lesotho	Lesotho is a country in Africa.
Liberia	Liberia is a country in Africa.
Liberia	Liberia is a country in Africa.
Libya	Libya is a country in Africa.
Libya	Libya is a country in Africa.
Liechtenstein	Liechtenstein is a country in Europe.
Liechtenstein	Liechtenstein is a country in Europe.
Lithuania	Lithuania is a country in Europe.
Lithuania	Lithuania is a country in Europe.
Luxembourg	Luxembourg is a country in Europe.
Luxembourg	Luxembourg is a country in Europe.
Madagascar	Madagascar is a country in Africa.
Madagascar	Madagascar is a country in Africa.
Malawi	Malawi is a country in Africa.
Malawi	Malawi is a country in Africa.
Malaysia	Malaysia is a country in Asia.
Malaysia	Malaysia is a country in Asia.
Maldives	Maldives is a country in Asia.
Maldives	Maldives is a country in Asia.
Mali	Mali is a country in Africa.
Mali	Mali is a country in Africa.
Malta	Malta is a country in Europe.
Malta	Malta is a country in Europe.
Marshall Islands	Marshall Islands is a country in Oceania.
Marshall Islands	Marshall Islands is a country in Oceania.
Mauritania	Mauritania is a country in Africa.
Mauritania	Mauritania is a country in Africa.
Mauritius	Mauritius is a country in Africa.
Mauritius	Mauritius is a country in Africa.
Mexico	Mexico is a country in North America.
Mexico	Mexico is a country in North America.
Micronesia, Federated States of	Micronesia is a country in Oceania.
Micronesia, Federated States of	Micronesia is a country in Oceania.
Moldova	Moldova is a country in Europe.
Moldova	Moldova is a country in Europe.
Monaco	Monaco is a country in Europe.
Monaco	Monaco is a country in Europe.
Mongolia	Mongolia is a country in Asia.
Mongolia	Mongolia is a country in Asia.
Montenegro	Montenegro is a country in Europe.
Montenegro	Montenegro is a country in Europe.
Morocco	Morocco is a country in Africa.
Morocco	Morocco is a country in Africa.
Mozambique	Mozambique is a country in Africa.
Mozambique	Mozambique is a country in Africa.
Myanmar	Myanmar is a country in Asia.
Myanmar	Myanmar is a country in Asia.
Namibia	Namibia is a country in Africa.
Namibia	Namibia is a country in Africa.
Nauru	Nauru is a country in Oceania.
Nauru	Nauru is a country in Oceania.
Nepal	Nepal is a country in Asia.
Nepal	Nepal is a country in Asia.
Netherlands	The Netherlands is a country in Europe.
Netherlands	The Netherlands is a country in Europe.
New Zealand	New Zealand is a country in Oceania.
New Zealand	New Zealand is a country in Oceania.
Nicaragua	Nicaragua is a country in Central America.
Nicaragua	Nicaragua is a country in Central America.
Niger	Niger is a country in Africa.
Niger	Niger is a country in Africa.
Nigeria	Nigeria is a country in Africa.
Nigeria	Nigeria is a country in Africa.
Norway	Norway is a country in Europe.
Norway	Norway is a country in Europe.
Oman	Oman is a country in Asia.
Oman	Oman is a country in Asia.
Pakistan	Pakistan is a country in Asia.
Pakistan	Pakistan is a country in Asia.
Palau	Palau is a country in Oceania.
Palau	Palau is a country in Oceania.
Panama	Panama is a country in Central America.
Panama	Panama is a country in Central America.
Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea is a country in Oceania.
Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea is a country in Oceania.
Paraguay	Paraguay is a country in South America.
Paraguay	Paraguay is a country in South America.
Peru	Peru is a country in South America.
Peru	Peru is a country in South America.
Philippines	The Philippines is a country in Asia.
Philippines	The Philippines is a country in Asia.
Poland	Poland is a country in Europe.
Poland	Poland is a country in Europe.
Portugal	Portugal is a country in Europe.
Portugal	Portugal is a country in Europe.
Qatar	Qatar is a country in Asia.
Qatar	Qatar is a country in Asia.
Romania	Romania is a country in Europe.
Romania	Romania is a country in Europe.
Russian Federation	Russia is a country in Europe.
Russian Federation	Russia is a country in Europe.
Rwanda	Rwanda is a country in Africa.
Rwanda	Rwanda is a country in Africa.
Saint Kitts and Nevis	Saint Kitts and Nevis is a country in Caribbean.
Saint Kitts and Nevis	Saint Kitts and Nevis is a country in Caribbean.
Saint Lucia	Saint Lucia is a country in Caribbean.
Saint Lucia	Saint Lucia is a country in Caribbean.
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines is a country in Caribbean.
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines is a country in Caribbean.
Samoa	Samoa is a country in Oceania.
Samoa	Samoa is a country in Oceania.
San Marino	San Marino is a country in Europe.
San Marino	San Marino is a country in Europe.
Sao Tome and Principe	São Tomé and Príncipe is a country in Africa.
Sao Tome and Principe	São Tomé and Príncipe is a country in Africa.
Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia is a country in Asia.
Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia is a country in Asia.
Senegal	Senegal is a country in Africa.
Senegal	Senegal is a country in Africa.
Serbia	Serbia is a country in Europe.
Serbia	Serbia is a country in Europe.
Seychelles	Seychelles is a country in Africa.
Seychelles	Seychelles is a country in Africa.
Sierra Leone	Sierra Leone is a country in Africa.
Sierra Leone	Sierra Leone is a country in Africa.
Singapore	Singapore is a country in Asia.
Singapore	Singapore is a country in Asia.
Slovakia	Slovakia is a country in Europe.
Slovakia	Slovakia is a country in Europe.
Slovenia	Slovenia is a country in Europe.
Slovenia	Slovenia is a country in Europe.
Solomon Islands	Solomon Islands is a country in Oceania.
Solomon Islands	Solomon Islands is a country in Oceania.
Somalia	Somalia is a country in Africa.
Somalia	Somalia is a country in Africa.
South Africa	South Africa is a country in Africa.
South Africa	South Africa is a country in Africa.
South Sudan	South Sudan is a country in Africa.
South Sudan	South Sudan is a country in Africa.
Spain	Spain is a country in Europe.
Spain	Spain is a country in Europe.
Sri Lanka	Sri Lanka is a country in Asia.
Sri Lanka	Sri Lanka is a country in Asia.
Sudan	Sudan is a country in Africa.
Sudan	Sudan is a country in Africa.
Suriname	Suriname is a country in South America.
Suriname	Suriname is a country in South America.
Sweden	Sweden is a country in Europe.
Sweden	Sweden is a country in Europe.
Switzerland	Switzerland is a country in Europe.
Switzerland	Switzerland is a country in Europe.
Syrian Arab Republic	Syria is a country in Asia.
Syrian Arab Republic	Syria is a country in Asia.
Tajikistan	Tajikistan is a country in Asia.
Tajikistan	Tajikistan is a country in Asia.
Tanzania	Tanzania is a country in Africa.
Tanzania	Tanzania is a country in Africa.
Thailand	Thailand is a country in Asia.
Thailand	Thailand is a country in Asia.
Timor-Leste	Timor-Leste is a country in Asia.
Timor-Leste	Timor-Leste is a country in Asia.
Togo	Togo is a country in Africa.
Togo	Togo is a country in Africa.
Tonga	Tonga is a country in Oceania.
Tonga	Tonga is a country in Oceania.
Trinidad and Tobago	Trinidad and Tobago is a country in Caribbean.
Trinidad and Tobago	Trinidad and Tobago is a country in Caribbean.
Tunisia	Tunisia is a country in Africa.
Tunisia	Tunisia is a country in Africa.
Turkey	Turkey is a country in Asia.
Turkey	Turkey is a country in Asia.
Turkmenistan	Turkmenistan is a country in Asia.
Turkmenistan	Turkmenistan is a country in Asia.
Tuvalu	Tuvalu is a country in Oceania.
Tuvalu	Tuvalu is a country in Oceania.
Uganda	Uganda is a country in Africa.
Uganda	Uganda is a country in Africa.
Ukraine	Ukraine is a country in Europe.
Ukraine	Ukraine is a country in Europe.
United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates is a country in Asia.
United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates is a country in Asia.
United Kingdom	The United Kingdom is a country in Europe.
United Kingdom	The United Kingdom is a country in Europe.
United States of America	The United States of America is a country in North America.
United States of America	The United States of America is a country in North America.
Uruguay	Uruguay is a country in South America.
Uruguay	Uruguay is a country in South America.
Uzbekistan	Uzbekistan is a country in Asia.
Uzbekistan	Uzbekistan is a country in Asia.
Vanuatu	Vanuatu is a country in Oceania.
Vanuatu	Vanuatu is a country in Oceania.
Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of	Venezuela is a country in South America.
Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of	Venezuela is a country in South America.
Vietnam	Vietnam is a country in Asia.
Vietnam	Vietnam is a country in Asia.
Yemen	Yemen is a country in Asia.
Yemen	Yemen is a country in Asia.
Zambia	Zambia is a country in Africa.
Zambia	Zambia is a country in Africa.
Zimbabwe	Zimbabwe is a country in Africa.
Zimbabwe	Zimbabwe is a country in Africa.

Organization	Written submission
Self & family	There is no need for a Global response. Each region and country in the World has issues specific to that region and it's people. Allowing each country an it's people to decide for themselves ensures better care and individually tailored treatments for all citizens. One size fits all is unworkable & detrimental to the health of many. Vote NO on any Global contract or mandate. Global is the wrong way to go. Global does not solve problems. Global often increases problems when decision makers are not attuned to individual, cultural and regional needs of various people groups.
none	There is no need for a global standard on pandemic response. Each country is capable of handling a pandemic. With that a global initiative by the WHO is a violation of constitutional and individual rights. What is being proposed is way beyond the purpose of the WHO. I request this agenda be stopped now. Thank you, Marian Pilecki
None	There is no need for a global system. I vehemently oppose any agreement that would subordinate soverign states to any global authority.
I do not formally represent an organization	There is no need for a new global instrument. The experience if covid 19 has shown that policy development is hindered by attempts to develop single policies. We must allow national and subnational units develop responses as they see fit, in order to lessen the chance of catastrophic group think. There should be no penalty to opt out of whatever you develop. Complete transparency in policy development. No role for organizations or individuals compromised by corporate interests. M.A.M.
None	There is no need for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response by global entities. All sovereign nations of the world have the right to create their own plan for pandemic preparedness and response. These nations are best equipped to make their own decisions, using the most accurate, real scientific data available, for the health and well-being of their people. Respectfully submitted, Betsy Pierce Citizen of the United States of America
Free Citizen	There is no need for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response from the WHO. No single organization should ever be allowed to have such control over so many people. No organization should have centralized control over so many people's lives. We elect our local government to help us to handle situations that come up and we expect them to be handled in accordance with our local laws and customs. Do not implement this proposal as it will only serve to create an antagonistic relationship between the citizens of the countries whose governments establish these agreements against the will of the citizens and their government. Stop trying to control the lives of all the people of the world!
General Public	There is no need for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response plan. Diseases are not caused by "viruses". The so-called viruses that are shown in microscope photographs are actually exosomes that help the body to deal with toxins in the environment such as mercury, pesticides and electromagnetic radiation. The hysterical response to the flu of 2020 e.g. lockdowns and the rushed vaccine has caused far more harm than good, government documents such as VAERS list just 1% of injuries and deaths yet these figures are ignored by the WHO and governments. We vote for our governments and we lend them our sovereignty until the next election. An international body of pandemic preparedness undermines democracy and accountability of our governments.
None	There is no need for a new international instrument on pandemics and subsequent preparedness. We can internationally collaborate as we have historically done, but this is where it stops. We do not need a global approach to individual countries that have individual healthcare beliefs, needs and customs. We need to rebuild shattered trust that has divided us and marginalized many citizens who no longer trust their governments. The WHO are not objective in their lens- they are over-run by sinister personal interests that are not motivated by health. This is a terrifying power grab - They want divisive and useless vaccine passports for every person. Please Do not pursue this treaty
General public	There is no need for a one-size-fits-all-program. People and communities can control their own health. The World Health Organisation doesn't need to be in control of people's lives. We aren't slaves of the WHO. People have died in hospitals alone, no send off. No investigation into their deaths. We do not need the WHO to have this treaty in place over the world.
Private citizen	There is no need for a pandemic treaty, as risks are not uniform and blanket rules are unworkable. If enacted despite these facts, the treaty must state: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
US taxpayer	There is no need for a treaty of any kind. The recent performance of UN, WHO, CDC, FDA prove the all of them are unqualified for the task. I know the defunding and dismantling UN, WHO, CDC, FDA and never allowing any government to participate in healthcare anytime, anywhere is what humankind needs now. It sounded like a good idea way back then but experience has shown these organizations do far more damage than good. Please disband today. Thank you for your time.
	There is no need for a WHO response to pandemics.this proposed WHO TREATY is not helpful or necessary. Each individual country needs to judge what is best for its public. One size health structure does not fit all. The power of control from the WHO is damaging to individuals who know how to Take control of their health.
Individual	There is no need for a world treaty for pandemic response. The role of WHO is to provide guidance not mandates. As medical and scientific professionals you must recognize that every individual responds differently to different protocols and a one world response would be inappropriate. Instead the WHO should set up committees of independent scientists and doctors to find treatment protocols and make those protocols known to each member state but never dictate what should be used as the treating doctor should decide in collaboration with the patient as to the best treatment.
Self-employed	There is no need for an international approach to pandemic preparedness. As we learned with COVID national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	There is no need for an international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response. The recent actions of the WHO with the coronavirus pandemic have been shown to be fraudulent and corrupt. Consequently, the WHO has no standing to develop an international agreement on pandemic response. Any international agreement that might be made outside of the WHO should only include: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments shall not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. Government agencies do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 3. The right of each person to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 4. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 5. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are prohibited. Mandates for mask wearing are prohibited. 6. We demand complete transparency. All data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 7. All people have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public without government retaliation. 8. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of pharmaceutical products shall be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products. 9. All people shall have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	There is no need for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. WHO should be instantly dissolved. It has done immense damage in the world and is responsible for the death of millions of people J.D.
Private	There is no need for an international Pandemic treaty. Those problems can be solved regionally.
n/a	There is no need for an international treaty of this sort, particuarly after the Corona farce. Different regions of the world have different climates/lifestyles/cultures/ethnicity/public healthcare and levels of education. Local Governments must accordingly devise bespoke programmes for the well being of their citizens. Big Pharma should be kept out of health policy funding because of the likelihood of corruption and or bias. The substantive element whichis sine qua non should be the right to follow own country protocols which can be negotiated with neighbour countries. The idea of a global biometric healthpass is an abomination.
Not affiliated with an organization	There is no need for any international health treaty. Each country is a sovereign entity that can make the best decisions. There is. Ever a need to lockdown the way it has in the past as it only leads to further mental hardships like developmental delays, forced unemployment and job loss and irrational approaches that don't require such global control. The proposed global public health treaty should never be a thing and it goes against the constitutions and rights of countries throughout the world. The unequal and authoritarian control some leaders have imposed would be much worse if done on a global scale. This is not being done for the health and safety of all but so those in power can retain power and control. Do not do this to humanity.
Private	There is no need for any international pandemic preparedness as WHO is not an elected body. Any disaster is the responsibility of each country. This has been proved by this covid pandemic…which ended in a total disaster.
Private citizen	There is no need for any new global pandemic plan. However, if there must be such a plan, the definition of pandemic should revert to being that of a serious disease which threatens life. Ebola comes to mind. SARS-CoV-2 has an IFR of around the same as seasonal influenza. The world should never again be panicked into believing that there was a deadly pathogen at large, particularly a pathogen that has still not been sequenced as a live virus. The 2019 WHO pandemic preparedness plan contained no NPIs, such as lockdowns, social distancing, mask -wearing and mandates for experimental drug treatments, called vaccines only because of a change of definition. Since it is clear from the abundant scientific evidence that these NPIs caused untold harm to populations these should have no place in any future plan. They must be ruled out. They have been tried and found wanting. To include them in any plan is a denial of science. To include them would demonstrate that the WHO has no regard for health or science and has become a tool for the political and commercial interests who pay the wages of its officials. The WHO should also include declarations of conflicts of interest in any plan. In this way it will be clear that those companies and NGOs that make significant financial contributions to the WHO may stand to gain from any plan, either in terms of the recommendation for drug treatments or vaccines.
None	There is no need for any such new instruments, as the past two years have clearly demonstrated. I strongly oppose any initiatives on behalf of WHO in such directions.
Humans Network	There is no need for anything because an international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response is unconstitutional and violates the constitutions of the majority of countries. Such a thing should not exist. Every country has the ability to decide how to respond to such situations. Nobody voted for WHO or any other group to make human healthcare decisions. Lawsuits will be filed if it is done against the will of the people. My body, my choice. Nobody has the right to violate this fundamental human law. WHO and the governments that follow their recommendations did so during the so-called COVID-19 pandemic, for which WHO never presented evidence but suppressed anyone who tried to hold WHO accountable. Dr Lidiya Angelowa, PhD in microbiology, Founder of Humans Network.
Résistance against Scheiss Great Reset and genozide	There is no Need for it and I am against it.
Tierschutz Austria und NÖ Grüne (ruhend)	There is no need for such a contract. The Austrain (and the Europea) legal system contains plenty of possibilities to act quickly in case of emergency. As this contract might lead to resrictions of constituional rights and freedoms this can only be enforced on the basis of a mandatory referendum (Art."44"Abs.3"B-VG). Any "contract" has to fulfill the criteria of the Austrain legal system; the WHO has no legislative competence, neither in the field of constitution, nor in civil or criminal law. The Corona "pandemic" has shown that the influence of certain pharmaceutical companies and of certain private foundations is much stronger than well-established scientific knowledge and reputable scientific publications. Massive pressure was exerted on scientists, journalists, and politicians whose findings did not conform to the WHO mainstream The global impact of this profit-driven pharmaceutical policy on the social cohesion, the prevention of extreme poverty and loneliness, and the educational opportunities of all children is catastrophic and must not be repeated. Madeleine Petrovic
None	There is no need for such a process. The national constitution must be above any international plan, how to response on a pandemic. The corona pandemic has shown, that there is no need. Many countries got through that pandemic without any harm, even without any regulations. Stop trying to regulate the entire world through that "preparedness and response" plan. Best, Leopold Hertwig
World Doctors Alliance	There is no need for such a treaty, because health and medicine is no government concern, neither is it the concern of supranational organizations. Medical decisions are exclusively to be made by the patients themselves and their personal healthcare provider. There is no one-fits-all solution for diseases. These decisions have to be made individually. Mankind has hundred thousand years of experience how to deal with pandemics. We do not need new centralized and experimental approaches, but instead common sense. If there is a pandemic that threatens a high percentage of the population, people are reasonable enough to take the necessary precautions for their own protection. They don't need medical advice from governments officials without any medical expertise. The proposed treaty is a political move for a totalitarian power grab that I reject and do not authorize. No democratic politicians have a mandate to sign such a treaty on their citizen's behalf.
Citizen	There is no need for such a treaty. Who will enforce the treaty? The world police? Trust in the WHO had been eroded. The WHO is almost obsolete. The head of the WHO has become an appointed political position, and not based on the most qualified candidate. The WHO should have no power to control what happens within countries. It's tentacles can not inhibit democracy. This treaty is political and should be abandoned as it infringes on individual rights. The WHO as it exists should be dissolved and dismantled. They are no longer relevant as they do not follow unchanging, factual, science.
No organization involved.	There is no need for such a treaty. WHO should make recommendations not demanding compliance.
None	There is no need for such an instrument!
None	There is no need for such an instrument. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There is no need and no authority for any global organization to initiate such an instrument, and no such instrument should ever be recognized as binding on a sovereign nation.
Patent Law and Scientific Consulting Office	There is no need for such an international agreement. At least, the following standards should be observed: Guarantees for the sovereignty of the state and the individual; Guarantee of the human rights; in particular no obligation for vaccination; Strict observation of the Nuremberg Codex; Guarantee of an open scientific discourse; Guarantee of freedom of informational self-determination, Ban of information monopolies and censorship; Obligation to publish any regulation in the press of the member states; Unrestricted statutory liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies; Guarantee of transparency on financial and political interests and influence; especially in the development and acquisition of drugs and vaccines.
GERSTBERGER | Products & Law	There is no need for the intended new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. In particular, any such instrument may not affect the sovereignty of the WHO member states to individuality assess the situation in their countries and to foresee only proportionate and situation adequate measures. The role of the WHO should restrict to recommendations only with no binding effects.
Individual	There is no need for the international instrument at all. Experience of the last 2 years has shown that countries which implemented non-pharmaceutical interventions and vaccines suffered more cases and deaths than countries which did not. The risk is that this instrument will force harmful interventions on every country, leading to more death and disability.
Individual	There is no need for there to be a central organisation mandating healthcare procedures on a one size fits all basis. Healthcare is an individual choice, even in the face of a global pandemic. If the pandemic is real and evident then people will make good decisions. The attempts by the WHO to accumulate the power and authority to dictate healthcare procedures is unnecessary and unwarranted. They should restrict themselves to an advisory function.
Private citizen	There is no need for this international instrument. My reasons:- No unelected world body has right to sweeping power over my most important possession, my body. This is slavery and totalitarian. We do not directly vote for your members, Your current recommendations over Covid are for an experimental gene therapy injection. Under the Nuremberg Code, I can refuse experimental treatment. Nor will 100 days of trials in the future convince me. In UK the Public Health Act 1984, specifies our right to 'informed consent'. Pfizer withheld trial data, so no one gave informed consent. English Common Law states that without the latter, it is assault and battery. Also, case law, Montgomery v Lanarkshire, and Sidaway v Bethlem. You intend to violate the law of the UK, our sovereignty. Here the people are sovereign. You intend to violate all of us. A grab at world power. Big Pharma interests could be operating behind the scenes. The world will be turned into one big fascist state. I will not be complying with any of your diktats. If anyone attempts to 'treat' me against my will, I will interpret it as an attack on my life and defend myself accordingly.
Health concious	There is no need for this to happen the collateral damage from the covid lockdown is catastrophic.
Company Director	There is no need for this. Lockdowns did not work. The vaccines have less and less efficacy. Wearing a mask does not stop transmission. It is for individual countries to decide their response to COVID and not the WHO. You have not been elected by the people. Please cease and desist. The real pandemic lies in lack of cancer diagnosis and treatment mental and the huge increase in Mental Health Issues. Not in my name ever. It is not your job to force this on the world.
Just a common sivilian	There is no need for this. We need a free sosiety where people can chose what they want to do. Not be ruled with restrictions. This has ruined peoples lifes. No more!!
Citizen	There is no need for undemodratic power for WHO. It is against democrazy. WHO role is not override goverments power and countries democrazy. The role is only advice. Matti Heikkilä
None	There is no need for WHO to do this, it's strips citizens of their sovereign rights. No one voted for this and citizens have not been asked if they want this to happen. It must be put to a vote before proceeding any further.
Private	There is no need of an international treaty to manage pandemics. The treaty overrides rights and vulnerates the freedom of each human. Each country must be soberane. The freedom, rights and liberties of people and the right to choose to use an experimental medical treatment or vaccine must be respected. There is no need to impose a digital id.
RVA	There is no need to change the actual situation. Please refrain from giving to much power to private companies which tend to control health systems of countries. Health care decisions should remain national affair of each country.
Self	There is no need to imprison people, coerce them into taking experimental gene therapy or track and trace them. You have no reason or right to impose legislation on men to take up a one world digital identification or prevent any one from travelling, exchanging currency, having a telephone number, owning a vehicle, burning fuel, eating meat or accessing the internet. I do not consent to the medical or environmental tyranny you are proposing to enforce. May Jesus Christ rebuke you for the death dealing decrees you are attempting to enforce. I am a free man and you hold no authority over me. Back off now or face the wrath of the Christ
Ärzte für Aufklärung	There is no need to international rules. It would be ok if there are proposes how to act, but no force to do so. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be no influence of Pharmaceutical Industries and also no political influence. Proposals should be made by discussion of many different scientists and from many different facultaties that look, what could be the best solutions that help most of the people not only regarding physical health but also psychological health and welfare and community. There is need for science without influence and there is need for clear statistical work also without influence of groups with special interests
None	There is no need to remove local control of any future episodes such as covid 19. Local health departments can take care of issues. I am absolutely 100% opposed to a world wide extra governmental agency totally in a superior position of promulgating "top down" policies upon the citizens of each nation thus negating that nations internal government and constitutional agreement between said nation and it's citizens.
Individual	There is no occasion in a free world in which the WHO might have any kind of international control.
Self	There is no one size fits all Individual states and communities need to have control of their people, not the WHO. Let doctors be doctors and let them and their patients determine what is the best approach for the individual
Private	There is no 'one size fits all' approach to healthcare. Demographic and geographical differences are a major factor in how people are affected by disease. Something the WHO should be well aware of. How can a global treaty possibly allow for any nuance in pandemic response? Nations should retain full sovereignty in their ability to decide what is best for their people, without the influence or penalization by international organisations or the pharmaceutical industry. Citizens should be able to trust that the officials they have voted into power are acting in their best interest, and are accountable for their actions.
healthcare	There is no one size fits all in health care. Health should be a decision between doctor and patient, NOT government, NOT organization. The government and organizations have failed miserably with COVID. Countries with no lockdowns and less vaccination fared FAR better than we did. Facts and science were denied in lieu of money and control. Despite the WHO and FDA stating from the beginning that the vaccine would not guarantee you wouldn't get or spread COVID, the vaccine became a mandate that destroyed millions of lives, through work, finance or health. WHY? No treaty, no rules! individuals and doctors should be allowed their own choice. It affects THEM and no one else. This country was founded on FREEDOM, MULTIPLE FREEDOMS. These should not be altered in any way, shape or form. This is America, government OF the people, BY the people and most important FOR the people.
Wellman Clinic	There is no one size fits all solution to health. This treaty is a violation of human rights and freedoms and will bring the world one step closer to its downfall. Health is an individual choice and should remain such. Please stop threatening us with your authoritarian ideals - it won't work.
United States	There is no one size fits all when it comes to health. Individuals and communities need control of their own health. Nations need the right to determine their own health policies in the event of a public health event or pandemic. Nations are better equipped to respond to the differing needs of their residents than a global government. This treaty should never see the light of day. It is the wrong course of action.
United States	There is no one size fits all when it comes to health. Individuals and communities need control of their own health. Nations need the right to determine their own health policies in the event of a public health event or pandemic. Nations are better equipped to respond to the differing needs of their residents than a global government. This treaty should never see the light of day. It is the wrong course of action.
United States	There is no one size fits all when it comes to health. Individuals and communities need control of their own health. Nations need the right to determine their own health policies in the event of a public health event or pandemic. Nations are better equipped to respond to the differing needs of their residents than a global government. This treaty should never see the light of day. It is the wrong course of action.
united states	There is no one size fits all when it comes to health. Individuals and communities need control of their own health. Nations need the right to determine their own health policies in the event of a public health event or pandemic. Nations are better equipped to respond to the differing needs of their residence than a global government. This treaty should never see the light of day. It is the wrong course of action.
self	There is no 'one size fits all', individual access to the truth will allow each family to make the best decisions regarding all health concerns. Sincerely Teresa McCollum
Human	There is no one size fits all, you are not my keepers. You have become compromised and corrupted by your King and Queen mentality. Any inclusion in this should include what the citizens of each community want FROM you WHO, not what you want us to do. Get back to your original servant leadership model!!!
Autonomous Human Being	There is no Person, Government, Organization, Society, or Group that can claim ownership or authority over Human beings and their bodily Autonomy. All Humans are born with inalienable rights to accept or refuse any medical treatment without consequence from self proclaimed experts and authorities. The corruption of our global health care system by pharmaceutical drug corporations, tyrannical governments, and self appointed billionaire "philanthropists" and eugenicists will no longer be tolerated by the mass of free peoples of all nations.
N/A	There is no possible way to have an international pandemic treaty authored by WHO. They have no authority to act. This would interfere with and circumvent national and local leadership. Local leadership must retain full autonomy. All nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process not influenced by pharmaceutical manufacturers is not what is going on here. The WHO is getting well outside their authority proposing treaties. The WHO needs to clean their own house first, push out influences from criminal governments then get back to their original mandate.
CCHR	There is no reason for a global pandemic preparedness to be run by the WHO. Firstly, this interferes with the sovereignty of EVERY Nation and Citizen on earth. Secondly, the WHO, failed to handle the Covid outbreak and keep the world healthy. World Health Organization is not impartial. The WHO is failing the peoples of the world when one looks at all the disease and illness. So, I definitely do not support your international pandemic preparedness and response. If anything WHO should have a pandemic prevention plan and ensure the HEALTH of the WORLD. Be competent. Deliver Health, and service the nations and citizens of the world, which you are a part of.
N/A	There is no reason for the WHO to take over health control, they so totally screwed up the Covid-19 response and are only interested in the power it would ascertain them.. They no longueur work as an independent body protecting the general public from the drug industry and bodies like the Bill & Melinda Gates foundation. Let the independent countries run their own affairs. We can manage quite well without you're control.
-	There is no requirement for a new one. You violated the old one. Guarantees for any new one should include the following: Lockdowns and restrictions of any kind to be ILLEGAL Masks are useless and unhealthy and should also be ILLEGAL Bodily autonomy should be sacrosanct - NO Vaccine mandates should be allowed No experimental vaccines to be used under any circumstances No experimental drugs should be administered to patients. This should also be ILLEGAL Useless and psychologically controlling "measures" like Social Distancing should be ILLEGAL Hand Sanitising is toxic. Simple soap should be recommended It should be ILLEGAL to profit from the Pandemic in any way It should be ILLEGAL to use scare tactics, propaganda and psychological nudging on the public Any Official or Government violating the above should be arrested and tried for Crimes Against Humanity with the Death Penalty as the maximum sentence.
Private citizen	There is no requirement for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response because they are false. Invented by so called scientists funded by big pharma to drive further revenues. https://drsambailey.com/covid-19/why-nobody-can-find-a-virus/
Personal	There is no requirement for an international response. Primarily because WHO has not been democratically voted for by any nation. Every individual country can formulate their own pandemic plan, then, if electorate disagree, they can vote that party out, something we cannot do to WHO
none	There is no room for Unelected officials to be determining policy for any Democratic free Nation. The citizenry will not accept such an intrusion against their freedoms. One size can not fit ALL the different permutations and differences of each and every country in the world and only local knowledge can provide purely positive results.
Fernbank investments ltd	There is no rounded analysis of the total effect of lockdown versus the pre planned response of inform and let individuals decide. Johns Hopkins makes clear that pandemics take their own course regardless of intervention. Meantime our economies, our children, our old and our liberty has paid an unwarranted price for little benefit. The WHO is culpable of gross scaremongering and misjudgement in its misinformation. Let's not repeat these mistakes and let's examine closely the organisational and procedural failings responsible.
N/A - Private Citizen USA	There is no universal blanket for pandemic response. I wholeheartedly disagree with "global" decision-making processes, certainly not when rights and freedoms are what is sacrificed in the name of "common good." There was nothing good or necessary about locking down the entire world for this pandemic, versus the common sense approach of shielding the weak, improving overall health of populations to boost immunity, and prophylaxis with widely effective and accepted ivermectin. Locking down and masking healthy people was a dangerous precedent and one to which we should NEVER GO BACK.
N/a	There is no way any private organisation should hold power over a sovereign nation. WHO is unelected & u answerable to the people of the world there for they hold no weight in how each nation approaches pandemics or any other national issue. Stop trying to govern from the background without being accountable to anyone
Private	There is no way I would ever support more powers to the WHO. My answer is NO. WHO have proved to be ineffective, weak, wrong and corrupt and should not be given any power over public freedoms.
Private citizen	There is no way in the world the WHO should ever have a mandate to ride roughshod over any legitimate Government, never.
Organization for Concerned Parents	There is no way the United States or any other country should give the WHO a legally binding contract to control how the world responds to a global pandemic. It has been totally clear that there has already been a global response that has failed. According to the VAERS data it is clear that the current vaccines are not safe and who is to say that the WHO will not insist on another vaccine that is also not safe but leave out the availability to monitor the safety data. Countries that did not have access to the vaccines faired better than those that did. Not all children or adults should be forced to receive medical treatment that they do not want or have showed in the past to have a reaction to. If the WHO gains control the people of the world will have less freedom not more.
VOCA	There is NO WAY the US should agree to this globalization of a pandemic response. The WHO has shown itself over the last two years as totally unable to set any health guidelines based on Science! As a life long medical professional, your guidance has been absolutely incorrect all along. Vote NO!

Organization	Written submission
An Oasis of Healing, PLC	There is no way the WHO can have enough information or establish in advance of a pandemic, how situations are to be handled on a local level globally. Each geographical region is going to have different resources and parameters for how best to handle a situation. Standardizing can make the situation worse where local authorities will not have control to do what they know and are experiencing is best for their community, not some guidelines establish by some far removed organization that does not know or understand their local environment. To think that all countries around the globe will participate or agree to follow is improbable anyway. Stop trying to control everyone and let people have the freedom to dictate what to do for their own area, country, state or city. In the USA, we have states rights and each state has the authority and needs to keep it to prevent tyrannical dictates from large organizations that take money from nonprofits that do not have the best interest of the citizens of the USA at heart but represent a small group of special interest.... that have no business trying to control and dictate to the rest of the world what to do. People have a right to freedom over their own choices for health care. No organization should dictate what someone can or cannot do when it comes to the sovereignty of their body.
Myself	There is no way the WHO should usurp any national or local interests in deciding what measures to pursue in a health crisis. NO to all central planning; local control regarding the situation on the ground is the best course. A sovereign state will not bow to the globalist concerns of a corrupt international organization.
CHD	There is no WHO structured mandate that can be applied over sovereign rights of citizens globally that can protect human health.
eco-trip	There is no, and will never be, a one solution that fits for all. Every Human Beeing must stay free to make his/her own decision about their health and body. The WHO has no legitimacy to enforce people taking any kind of treatment.
None.	There is none needed. Get rid of the influence of pharma lobbyists, focus on the health of humanity instead of benefitting the super-rich and the think tanks and pharma conglomerates. Just the opposite of what you did in the last 30 years. We the people don't want and don't need your planned 'public health' dictatorship.
Doctor	There is not one science. There is not one country that is the same. Differences in population density, make up, culture and belief systems influence how a society wants and needs to respond to a national threat. Each country should hold full autonomy on how to proceed in the event of a pandemic, including to self determine whether there is a pandemic and when it has ended. This should be with the consultation of the citizens of those countries. History has always shown that in the event of health crisis, the best approach is for many teams, individual countries, to conduct independent trials and also take immediate direct actions by localised emergency care, to enable the multiple different modalities to proceed in order to expose which methods are most optimal. A centralised system would prevent this from happening, in addition to not taking the nuanced approach where different countries have very different cultural practices, and demographics with regards to age and health dynamics distribution. A centralised health organisation is to enable the world to share knowledge and also to provide health care to the most needy in the world. In no circumstance should a global centralised healthcare organisation be able to override the self governing autonomy of sovereign countries and their citizens. This is overstepping their mandate and will result in a loss of faith and trust in the WHO, who should and must remain a-political.
Hunting company of Palaiochora	There is not pandemic and is the same with before years. Every time many virus is near to the people and isn't reason to prison all the world for nothing. Every thinking people understanding many thinks except the protection of the world
Na	There is nothing open and transparent regarding this International Pandemic Response Treaty. Most citizens of the world don't even know this Treaty exists. Also, if the WHO's response to this last Pandemic shows us anything, it shows the entire response was ineffective and quite harmful. It made everything worse. It destroyed lives, businesses, and economies all over the world. It condemned early effective treatments and killed many more people because of that fact! The WHO does not protect our health but instead has become a captured agency of the Pharmaceutical companies and promotes their interests, not ours! History will write about the shameful strategies the WHO imposed on humanity. For these reasons, as a United State's citizen, I want our government to have no part in this International Treaty. As a free American, I make my own health decisions with my doctor, we do not need International interference! Sincerely, RF Mead SR
Lawyer	There is nothing to be included in this instrument for it should be dimply dismissed in its entirety. We free living breathing men, women, and children of every nation must not accept, do not accept, and totally reject the transferring of our sovereignty regarding one of the most inherently matters - our health - to an agency forming part international organization (UN) to dictate upon all of us - by superseding upon our inalienable, inviolable, and inherent, born with rights and freedoms if in the event of a so called "new pandemic". We have witnessed how the WHO has been globally compromised in every aspect including lack of efficiency, effectiveness and transparency. We have witnessed astonished the biased in which this agency operates; we have also witnessed how enormous private, corporate interests dominate the WHO's decision making process to our disadvantage. Those multimillionaire pharmaceutical corporations are dictating unlawful policies (not laws, bc they cannot pronounce laws) that peoples from all nations on Earth must abide by without saying. WE will not comply with take overs by supranational entities imposing on our municipalities, states, provinces, regions or nations unlawful and illegal norms.
Personal submission	There is one message which comes out strongly following the recent pandemic and the way it was handled and that is that every country is different and the advice from the World Health Organisation has been confusing and at times misleading and very often too late to be of practical use. A group of unelected people operating thousands of miles away, or indeed even closer, cannot possibly make a one size fits all decision for the entire world and every country in it, as it simply does not work - there are too many variables including the seasons and general climate. We elect our politicians in our country to make hard decisions for us according to our morals, economy and beliefs which have evolved over time to become one of the best little democracies in the world. Outside interference by a body over which we have no influence or control undermines the tenets we live by. The covid "pandemic" has proved that advice given by central bodies residing elsewhere is not always based purely on science and does not take into account the range of living conditions, climate and population densities which prevail world wide. Having said that, I assume you will have worked out that I believe there are no substantive elements which should be included as I don't support the concept of a central world body. It is totally unnecessary. We do not need outside interferference or control from a world body with a less trhan attractive record, but thanks for the offer, which I decline on behalf of my family.
NA	There is one primary substantive element that should be included in any and all new international instruments on pandemic preparedness and response. That key element is to recognize the sovereignty of each nation and to maintain the freedoms that each nation currently has when it comes to determining the best course of action for dealing with pandemics or other health concerns. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities need to be in control of their own health.
Ian Macneil	There is only ONE element required to respond appropriately to a pandemic. It is called The Great Barrington Declaration, and it should be utilized, and adhered to explicitly!
General Public	There is reason in making recommendations but not in giving orders, especialy since nothing is known for sure and new discoveries keep coming every minute. So no one should give orders as this could mean that the orders given may lead to damage or even death for those who try to comply with these orders. All kind of disease outbreaks differ from place to place due to differencies in climate, drug and medical help availability etc. that's why a single method of action doesn't seem to fit every country. Under no surcumstances there is an excuse to recommend to the general public drugs, vaccines or prosedures that are experimental. The WHO should only assist in supporting the flow of reliable information to the public. The only logical thing is that high mortality rate in all age groups and the severe course of a pandemic be restored to the pandemic's caracteristics and high contagiousness should be cancelled as a key factor in initiating anti-pandemic actions. It is crucial that every person, after given all the known information, can deside of their own and choose methods of treatment or prevention without any consequences. It is also requested that the WHO makes public of any kind of contracts or deals with pharmaceutical companies (through WHO's website).
Myself	There is should not be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Each nation should be able to determine how they want to handle emergency health situations on their own. The civilians of all nations should be able to determine there own health preparedness and it should not ever be determined by government or anyone else.
None	There is the work of personal redemption that we can only do for ourselves in this world. Interfering in the divine rights of others to determine their own path is a violation. What's coming through at higher dimensions is a golden white light encircling our planet. Aloha
Own	There is too much of. A power grab to be given to one institution that shows no interest in real public health, science of the well being of people. People know more about their bodies rather than an institution with proven conflicts of interests and not willing to open up to different ways and treatments. Nothing in science is one size fits all. Too corrupt to believe in the who. Too many lies. That experimental vaccine is causing more harm than good and the mental state of the people is terrible. So much for working for the people.
self	There is ZERO need for any such treaty. What might work in on town, city, county, state or country generally will NOT work in another. No sovereign entity should abdicate their authority to another over a potential virus that has a mortality rate of less than 1% of any given population. #stopthetreaty
Private Person	There ist no need for new pandemic preparedness. Change the definition "pandemic" to the former version and reinstall the preSARS-CoV 2- rules. What ist takes: full sovereignity of the states, maintaining their own legislation, maintaining the human rights, Nuremberg Code and Natural Law, keeping the highest standards possible in developing and testing any new treatments with full responsibility on the developer's side. Install the WHO as an independent organisation, get rid of the big private investors!!! Full tranparency ist needed, project the people and environment from dangerous inhumane experiments!!!
Privat	There must be guaranteed the souveinity of the states governments and the individuals, further in duty of vaccination, further the guaranty of Personal freedom and last the accountability of pharma companies or governments in case of health problems after vaccination
N/a	There must be a recognition that the WHO offers advice only, and does not hold power over any country. It must also be clear of any communist influence.
Private	There must be a safeguards to ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. In a Democratic and Free World it is imperative that there is the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be introduced to ensure they will not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It is clear from the funding of the WHO that it is almost impossible to avoid a conflict of interest in decisions which must naturally increase the profits and influences of the WHOs main contributors. I.e The Pharmaceutical Companies The International Convention on Human Rights and the Nuremberg Convention clearly confirm that Individuals should have the right to control any Medical Treatment used on them.
none	There must be a strong provision for people's privacy!
Citizen Zeus	There must be a world citizen Bill of Rights in which all are GUARANTEED "free and informed" consent to any procedure or vaccine or drug. The right of refusal and non discrimination must be enshrined. Naturally acquired immunity must be acknowledged (as the science shows) to be as good or better than vaccines. All data from trials must be immediately available. There must be no censoring of truthful reference to vaccine benefits or injuries and vaccine success or failure. Safe early treatment and preventive supplements like ivermectin, vitamin D, and fluvoxamine that have reasonable evidence of some clinical benefit must be allowed and even promoted in order to save lives and provide options prophylactically as well as to those who are already sick.
Citizen	There must be an open, honest and transparent process for all people to amend and vote on as necessary. National and local leadership should retain autonomy so they can make the best decisions for their own people. Similarly, National and local governments should be allowed to opt out of any or all parts of the treaty without penalty. Measures should not be included that allow for profiteering or undue influence of any sort.
Independent	There must be discretion for signatories and local authorities to opt out of any and all sections of the agreement as they deem appropriate, with additional ability to ratify by referenda on a case by case basis any elements of the agreement they do choose to adopt. There must be sunset provisions included in every element of the agreement implemented and at no point may the implementation of the agreement framework infringe upon a) national laws or b) human rights provisions (including rights to privacy, family life, freedom of movement, freedom from persecution, right to food etc). Decisions to opt out of elements of the agreement must be on a no penalty basis and should not incur sanctions, punitive measures or other actions designed to coerce, punish or cause detriment to individuals in such nations or localities. There must be a complete and transparent "separation of powers" between the governance of such an agreement and pharmaceutical companies who may derive benefit from the agreement being enacted.
Member of ÚPSVAR	There must be freedom of medical choice including vaccination or any medical procedure no matter what.
General public	There must be guarantees for the sovereignty of individual states and of individual EU citizens. The individual states must be free to decide whether or not to follow the decisions made by the WHO. Respect for the human rights of every individual and the legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies must be guaranteed. In addition, it must be ensured that the interests of financiers of the WHO and e.g. pharmaceutical lobbyists cannot influence the relevant decisions. In the case of decisive decisions, it must also be ensured that a plurality of opinions, including and especially conflicting ones, from a wide variety of expert assessments is taken into account. Anything else is out of the question in an organization based on democratic values.
Sovereign individual	There must be guarantees that respect state and individual sovereignty. There must be adherence to human rights such as (but not excluding other human rights). the right to speak, the right to be free of censorship, the right to privacy in one's personal papers including digital "papers", the right to worship, and the right to assembly. There must be liability for all governmental and non-governmental agencies, including liability to all pharmaceutical companies, acting in regards of the issues of concern. The right to an anonymous system of payment is crucial in avoiding the pitfalls of tyranny. These "instruments" MUST insure free and anonymous cash money systems. The failure to do so would be negligent and detrimental to a free and vibrant world. There must be actual proof of a disease which has not happened in the most recent "crises" (c-19) and in numerous other "crises" that were purported to have happened. All actors on the world stage must be lawfully voted for in fair election without duress from censored media nor fixed elections. The Nuremberg code MUST be followed. Neither coercion nor mandation can happen in any circumstance whatsoever. The right to have a job of one's choice and to shop, eat, recreate, obtain health care services, and to worship shall not be infringed upon in any circumstance whatsoever. Ignoring these issues last 2 or so years or so has been done at the world's peril. Retaining human rights is vital to human and humane society.
General Public	There must be included in the 'international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' ('instrument') an explicit statement that under NO circumstances may an individual's inalienable right to refuse any medical treatment be removed; be that a vaccine, gene-therapy, or any other treatment or therapy. The individual's rights to body-autonomy, to informed consent, to choose or refuse a medical treatment, are rights that can never be removed. The 'instrument' must state that first and foremost it will uphold fundamental human rights and freedoms and sovereignty, which are given to us, one human being at a time, by coming into this world; Individuals are sovereign, and not to be controlled by others. We are not slaves. Health is: a state of complete physical, social and mental well-being, and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity – This 'instrument' may not take away the right to be social, loving, working, fully free human beings. This must be explicitly stated. The people of the World have a right to participate in the design of an 'instrument' that affects our lives, livelihoods and well-being. There must be full public participation in the design of the 'instrument', and the right to reject the 'instrument'. Without an unbiased democratic process, full consultation with and agreement from the people of the World, this 'instrument' will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. The steps taken to ensure it is legitimate and agreed upon by all must be included in the 'instrument'.
personal submission	There must be included 1) A guarantee to individual sovereignty before ,during or after a pandemic. 2) Guarantee for individual bodily autonomy before, during or after a pandemic. 3) Guarantee for government sovereignty. 4) Legal liability for the pharmaceutical companies who provide medicines / vaccines in any capacity in preparation for or in response to a pandemic. 5) Any immunisation programme MUST be voluntary as per the Nuremburg code.
Private citizen	There must be informed consent as well as freedom of choice for individuals regarding medical treatment, overall respect for human rights and the right to an analog identity.
Americana Humanitaria	There must be local and national opt-out provisions. The human right of bodily autonomy must be respected. The right to refuse medical treatment must be preserved. You must have processes to prevent drug company influence. Recognize that they want to sell their product no matter what it does, and they view mandates as excellent marketing. All data used to drive decision-making must be transparent and available to all world citizens. All directives, and personnel who develop and promulgate them, must be accountable to direct democratic processes. Thanks!
None	There must be no "pandemic treaty". The WHO overreached itself and showed itself to be too easily politicised and incompetent to deal with the challenge of a virus as manifested in individual countries. There is no advantage to any free democracy in any such "treaty". Individual nations must retain autonomy in dealing with any perceived pathogenic threat. No unelected trans- or supranational body should aspire to dictate to any democratically elected government.
Private individual	There must be no global agreement which has not been agreed by individual countries. There must be an opt out clause which does not penalise any country or individual or organisation. Anything health related must adhere to the Nuremberg code and ensure no one is subjected to any experimental procedures of any kind especially procedures with emergency approvals. Individuals must have a choice and be able to make their own decision regarding anything injected into them without any penalty. Freedom of personal choice must be honoured at all times.
privat	There must be no global contract! The Nation must keep their independence to manage issues of health. The WHO is an private organisation (NGO) without any democratic legitimation. This global contract is an attack to the democracy.
Private	There must be no global pandemic treaty. I stand with The World Council for Health, a coalition of scientists, doctors, lawyers and civil society advocacy organisations, in opposing the WHO's moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WHO not engaging in a process of public participation is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, not in serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the UN, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio surveillance. It is caught in a conflict of interests as it receives substantial funding from private interests that use contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. The Gates Foundation and Gates-funded GAVI contribute over $1 billion a year. I stand against this abuse of power, and assert the sovereign right of people to make their own decisions.
None	There must be no international instrument on pandemic response. The WHO has no jurisdiction over any nation. The WHO is a private organisation funded by subscriptions from nations. The WHO response to the Covid Pandemic was deplorable and clearly politically motivated. The WHO failed to assist in any way and in fact made it worse by failing to investigate and identify the origin of the virus in Wuhan. WHO must keep out of the affairs of individual nations. This is a political move to gain power over multiple nations. Stay away, we don't need you.
Physiotherapeuten	There must be no one-world government sponsored by private organizations! It is imperative that the following points be observed: Legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies. Sovereignty of individual states must be guaranteed Respect for the Nuremberg Code Respect for human rights
Canada	There must be no supranational instrument for pandemic response. Nation states must maintain jurisdiction over their sovereign territory and people. No supranational body is qualified to administer a pandemic response in the varied climates, topographical regions and cultural and political realities of the world. National constitutions must remain unhindered by measures imposed from outside national borders. Humans must remain free, no impositions on the bodily agency of human beings can be imposed by any international treaty. All decisions regarding policy must be constitutionally arranged within the sovereign territory of the respective citizens. No supranational organisation can hold political jurisdiction in individual nation states. Marc McWilliams Canada
Elite angling products	There must be none. We tge public are very aware of your grab for powee and we will FIGHT this all the way. Removal of our civil liberties through the implimentation of false pandemics and over exhageration of serious illness, scaremongering and firced vaccinations MUST and WILL stop. We are tbe AWOKE and we wont stop. #stopthemandate
Group of Holocaust survivors and descendants	there must be pillars of natural law and civil rights like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice, bodily integrity, respect for the personal dignity; the Nuremberg Code must be a valid reference, its ten commandments are obligation.
private	there must be pillars of natural law and civil rights like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice, bodily integrity, respect for the personal dignity; the Nuremberg Code must be a valid reference, its ten commandments are obligation. the doctors should be advised to comply solely with their oath. thank you
private	there must be pillars of natural law and civil rights like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice, bodily integrity, respect for the personal dignity; the Nuremberg Code must be a valid reference, its ten commandments are obligation. the doctors should be advised to comply to their oath solely.
Personal	There must be processes to ensure the agreement does not overreach into other areas of peoples lives. There must be accountability full transparency so everyone knows exactly why decisions are being made. Local governments must have right to opt out of measures if they feel the measures would not be in the interest of their own citizens. There must be measures to ensure that pharmaceutical, or other profit-making companies cannot interfere with the process.
Member of the public	There must be provision for the nation states to decide their own path. That is because the WHO has, and can, re define the meaning of the word 'Pandemic' in order to apply it to situations that didn't fit the traditional and proper meaning of the word. For example, in 2020 there was no Pandemic until the meaning was changed to make it fit the actual situation. By doing this again the WHO will essentially be able to gain control of the rights and freedoms of the citizens of a nation without due cause. It has been shown that the nations that did not follow the lockdowns didn't suffer any negative effects, but under a WHO run global system the'd be forced to comply and then suffer all the negatives aspects.
Citizen	There must be the ability of all nation states to opt out of any WHO declared emergency/measures/mandates/lockdowns without sanction. Democracy is in danger, and this treaty will worsen that.
private	there must necessarily be guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies
Santiago Music	There must never be a single presiding entity making decisions about public health. Creating such an entity would invite an amplification of the very kinds of abuses we witnessed over the course of the Covid 19 pandemic. During this period, we witnessed the results of the collusion between pharmaceutical companies, governments, corporate media, "charitable foundations:" namely, inordinate numbers of deaths, destruction of the economies of the world, misery, starvation, and huge declines in human health, all while greatly enriching the companies that promulgated specific viewpoints and shut down highly relevant ones. More and more of us are wise to what is happening and we don't fall for your claim that this is to safeguard our health. A person's health relies on insight into their own body, on the basis of which they must be free to select a course of action or healing. Where outbreaks are concerned, people must remain entitled to choose leaders or practitioners to guide them , whether on a personal, local, or country level. Those of us who believe in the sanctity and wholeness of the human body, the beauty and vitality of the immune system, and of our relationship with others and the planet will never agree that there should be " a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" as per your leading question.
Private individual	There must not be a new international instrument on pandemic orra redness and response - the current national instruments are sufficient and crucially preserve the democratic rights of any human.
Citizen of the world	There must not be a single pandemic prevention & response. We must never again - indiscriminately test, particularly for non-high consequence infectious diseases; - indiscriminately vaccinate esp for non-HCID; - make one illness the focus of entire health systems - quarantine the well - meddle with language, definitions, nor politicise legitimate scientific & epidemiological principles; - have individual nation or a single global vaccination pass system - allow single bodies to indiscriminately recommend, let alone determine, all of the above The one-size-fits-all aspects of the response to SARS-CoV2: - did not acknowledge prior immunity nor recognise natural/post-infection immunity - did not recognise variation in pre-existing immunity globally, necessary for regional tailored pandemic responses - did not acknowledge variation in health systems - did not have the teeth to curtail nations' activity nor to interrogate for truth - did not account for health & circumstances of individuals and their personal levels of risk, inc risk posed by treatments - did not find a balance between science, rights & ethics - did not recognise trusted medical practice in order to favour pharma driven solutions, which - led to censorship of scientific testing & checking, & unethical propaganda - led to politically & financially driven destruction of human rights in many nations, leading to social unrest carrying greater public health risk - led to vaccine hesitancy for longstanding vaccine programmes Seeking to implement a single approach fails again to recognise how humans behave, whether at the bottom or top of financial and political pyramids. No single body can insist on a single approach for such a complex context as the whole world
GMO Free NJ	There must not be a treaty that supersedes any country's Constitution or any citizen's bodily agency. Individuals and communities should have sovereignty over their own health decisions. "One size fits all" should never apply to medical decisions.
none	There must not be an international digital ID system in the pandemic response, it would violate the privacy and freedom of movement of sovereign state citizens. Gain of Function research must not be part of pandemic preparedness - all parties carrying out such research must be held accountable. The response to the pandemic by countries such as Canada has been inhumane. Families must never be separated in response to a pandemic. Human rights and bodily autonomy must be respected and never violated, even in response to a pandemic. Humans must never be forced or coerced into taking experimental drugs or undergoing experimental procedures. There must be clear and specific parameters that are defined and met in order for a 'pandemic' to be declared. Re-purposed drugs must be the primary source of treatment in response to a pandemic - this will save lives and avoid subjecting humankind to unknown long term effects from novel treatments. Exchange of scientific clinical data and information must not be censored. Doctors must be allowed to observe, analyze, hypothesize, and communicate their findings openly, without threat of censorship or loss of employment.
Yoga For Peace, Justice, Harmony With the Planet: Amazing Amy - Eccentric	There must NOT be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO, an unelected body with conflicts of interest and corrupt practices, ought to be abolished! You have no right to impose restrictions on anyone. Everyone is entitled to personal sovereignty and bodily autonomy, the basis for local and national sovereignty which must protect the rights of their citizens. We are granted these by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other international agreements. No nation, local entity or individual may be required to follow rules imposed upon us unjustly by the WHO which has no authority over us. Health issues are local and regional and must be addressed on those levels. We know you rigged the PCR tests to manufacture the illusion of a pandemic where there was none. Lockdowns were ineffective and did more harm than good. They extended Covid by preventing young, healthy people from getting mild or asymptomatic Covid, acquiring natural immunity contributing to herd immunity while protected the vulnerable. The Amish acquired herd immunity in months. You are unjustly usurping illegitimate power and will ultimately cause your own destruction, as more catch onto your obvious dangerous, ulterior motives.
citizen	There must not be an override of the parlaments, in any cases. WHO is not a democratic legitimed organization. There is no, never, no reason (pandemic) to override democratic structures. Human rights declaration must not be overrided.
none	There must not be any treaties that take away an individual's rights to how they want to live. It is fine to provide information on how to stay healthy, but each person must choose what is right for them. For those of you reading these submissions: Would you like someone else to force you to do something that you know isn't right for you?
Germany	There must remain sovereignity of each state and the individual, respect of human rights and the liability of government officials and the pharma industry.
General public	There need not be a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" because the right to make decisions should remain at the local level. Local leadership must reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Local governments must retain the ability to opt out of the globalist responses the WHO has advised. All people of the world need to have the ability to stop government overreach, and no government entity should have the right to override an entire world economy without the consent of the governed. If a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is decided upon, I must insist that local bodies of government reserve the right to opt out of any measure if voted on by their constituents. I must also insist that the measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, partners of pharmaceutical companies, and any other corporation set to profit on pandemic preparedness or response.
Year	There needs to be a clear procedure for local governments to opt out of the World Health Organization's proposed mandates at any point in a pandemic based on data.
none	There needs to be a forum where medical professionals can share information on novel diseases as they learn them, without censorship or "filtering" by entities with political and/or financial conflicts of interest. Too many safe, effective treatments were villainized and/or banned for cutting into the revenue of disaster profiteers. In a like vein, anything produced under a "emergency use" authorization (such that normal testing is short-cutted) should have limitations placed on the allowable mark-up over cost to discourage profiteering.
ITM	There needs to be a risk assessment and control framework adopted. Every single person was treated as if they were 85 years old with 3 comorbilities. The data collected from Israel wasn't being effectively communicated to other countries early enough to ensure that different measures, early treatments could be adopted. Big pharmaceutical, media and governments had the same written playbook which didn't deviate from script. Anything contrary to this was labelled as anti vaccination information. We know now this is untrue. I believe that all governments should have to sign up and provide raw data, no media intervention or big pharmaceutical intervention. All information and scientists should be able to participate and debate the science. Not just the chosen spokesperson for that country. Every single spokesperson needs to sign a conflict of interest document and redeclare every month. There should be an audit completed on every single politician, family members and health bureaucrats who has financially benefited from covid19, they should be made to pay this back plus control settings in place to ensure this never happens again. The WHO had a pandemic plan prior to covid which every country had a copy. The only country who followed it was Sweden. Why? The question needs to be answered.

Organization	Written submission
CAS	There needs to be open and full disclosure to any forth coming pandemic with no limitations put on opposing view points. Really there is no reason for a pandemic and all precautionary measures taken. Allow the illness to naturally run its course sharing how one can boost ones immunity system to naturally fight the illness. All the lockdowns and mandates cause too many other problems to arise. Support positive heath measures and let nature take its course. Encourage people to support each other, but no coercion. Take vitamins and pray. God is more than able to care for His people He created. We need to turn to Him and look to Him for His will.
Self	There needs to be transparency in multiple areas. What private organizations and individuals stand to benefit? The mainstream media that is owned and controlled by the former needs to allow for public debate and disclosure of conflicts of interest.
Calhoun Chiropractic Wellness Center	involved. The fact has to be maintained that science works only if the proper systems, procedures, protocols are followed. To push pseudoscience and or political science onto a person or persons claiming that it is medical science that has been truly and properly executed and is reproduceable is truly wrong. Even if it the science is accurate the person should retain the right to say no and maintain their rights! A person should retain the right to let their own neuroimmune system work on its own. Even if that means it is in poor working order based on the health choices that they have made. Informed consent needs to be upheld. That includes the right to say no! Nuremburg Code needs to be upheld. Only true professionals in health and science should be able to influence the decisions. No malpractice by other people who have no degree in health and wellness. As also noted: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical
Member of the public	There needs to options included for every level of government, from towns, provinces, states to be able to opt out of WHO mandates. There needs to be accessible exclusions for members of the public who have dissenting opinions on any grounds, religious, physical, scientific, political etc. in order to safeguard personal freedoms and avoid totalitarianism.
Public	There needs to options included for every level of government, from towns, provinces, states to be able to opt out of WHO mandates. There needs to be accessible exclusions for members of the public who have dissenting opinions on any grounds, religious, physical, scientific, political etc. in order to safeguard personal freedom!
Member of the public	There shall be no substantive measures that force the government of a country to enact draconian limitations on it's population. These include, but are not limited to, lockdowns or forced (via physical, legislative or financial penalties) vaccinations. Governments should be subject to the will of their people and not abdicate responsibility for major decisions to a quasi-international body.
Individual	There should be no substantive elements for pandemic preparedness and response for any future pandemics as all the statistics show there was no more a pandemic than a normal flu season,the people pushing these responses on the population should give people back their freedom to choose how to handle their own lives in emergency situation inc any coming "pandemics"
Self	There should absolutely not, under any circumstances, be any vaccine passport system. Each country can and should prepare their own strategies. The world sees through this power grab, and you are hurting the WHO badly by suggesting a vaccine passport system. So many are losing, or have lost faith in the WHO. Enough.
None	There should always be local control of any pandemic response. We should not be forced into a lockdown such as the one that is occurring in Shanghai, China today. There should always be public input into any response and one person should never be allowed to make wide spread life altering decisions. Lockdowns and forced experimental injections do not work and should never be forced upon a population. Pandemic preparedness can include having adequate hospital and lab supplies. Treatments and quarantines should never be forced upon a healthy population, including medical workers. Medical workers should always have the right to refuse novel, experimental medical treatments as well as older vaccines such as influenza. This is critical as without choice, many providers will choose to leave the medical profession. Pandemic response must always adhere to the laws of the land, including the constitution of the U.S.A.
N/A - Business Analyst	There should be a ban on lockdowns and forced medication as these are violations of basic human rights. Pandemic preparedness should focus on preventative measures including personal hygeine, nutrition and easy access to healthcare services.
BBC	There should be a civilian non-professional presence on the team that has the ability to dissent against scientific recommendations. The events of covid-19 have exposed policy and financial incentives for the WHO/ WEF/ UN to advise disproportionately draconian restrictions on ordinary lives while encouraging the stamping out of discourse. I don't trust any paid member of the World Health Organisation to make a bias free decision. WHO got it monumentally wrong in the early stages of the pandemic because of influence from China.
Geela Associates	There should be a democratic process whereby individuals are able to vote on any proposals before they are enacted by their own country. Countries need to retain their ability to veto such wide ranging powers in these matters, particularly when it relates to a body that is not elected. There is no need for such a document as countries retain the right to make their own decisions in the best interests of their citizens. One body can never speak for the whole world. Particularly a body which has changed sides so often during the "pandemic" of 2020-2022.
Dorota	There should be a free decision by the individual as to whether or not to get vaccinated, for example. Or stay in the quatantine - no one should be forced to it. I would say, Freedom is more important that health. But I assume everyone has got a common sense and everyone can literally feel the truth, and that is what should be respected. Otherwise we will have a big field of misuse.
Not applicable	There should be a highly localised and customised solution to handle any pandemic instead of the same approach being implemented across the world. The focus should be on leveraging knowledge and solutions from all systems of medicine rather than exclusively pushing modern medicine drugs and vaccines. There should be no restrictions on medical practitioners prescribing treatment and medicines based on their diagnosis and observations. If any draconian steps like lockdowns are proposed to be implemented, all trading in the respective stock markets should be suspended till such measures are fully revoked. Before a pandemic is declared, there should be irrefutable proof of the prevalence of a high-mortality disease causing pathogen. Such proof should be published in any leading peer reviewed journals.
Dental Practice	There should be a national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Please habe an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. To always have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. THANK YOU
Sierra Realty	There should be a stipulation in this instrument that specifies that all actions recommended by WHO are subject to approval by the legislative branch of the United States government and are subject to the Constitution and Bill of Rights of the United States of America. The legislative branch is the only branch of government that represents the will of the voters and as such should protect the best interests of US citizens against unconstitutional limitations to our freedoms.
retired	There should be a truly independent panel of medical experts, lawyers, and scientists who would advise and recommend measures to mitigate a health crisis. There should be complete transparency and no conflicts of interest, to insure unbiased reporting and recommendations. Any measures proposed should also respect the liberty and sovereignty of any groups affected. Any Nation or population should be able to question and challenge any of the measures proposed and would not be mandatory. The WHO would be an advisor and educator but not have any power to force or mandate restrictions.
American Patriot Organization	There should be a valid proof of so called pandemic before WHO would declare a disaster or a pandemic. Proof that would be made public , or evidence before declaring a suitable valid pandemic . Let the public get involved and if there is a public officer or representative that can be involved in during and before announcement of declaring such pandemic. WHO should be based on what is reality and not speculations or hearsay , gossip based declaration of pandemic. WHO should show the basis of declaration f pandemic to the public FOI made available to the public . All small people , includes doctors or healthcare providers , healthworkers, must be included during deliberation NO politicians , no big pharma , NO Big business owners should be involved or included during he deliberation or meeting.
Myself	There should be a voting mechanism for each country to decide under its individual sovereignty if it will adopt the WHO's response. This voting mechanism should be put to the people as health is an individual matter for which no organization or government should have control over. Countries, and the people of those countries, should be allowed a voice for dissent and diversity of thought and approach. There are too many environmental factors across the globe at play for one organization without proper representation to provide an appropriate and relevant response to matters at hand. Without a voting and representation mechanism in place the people of this world won't have freedom, sovereignty, or hope for their own lives.
None	There should be absolutely no centralized response to so-called "pandemics" as we saw with COVID. There must be only evidence-based response, based on local conditions in each location. The response we saw during the last two years was a complete failure, over-reaction, and was inappropriate. Turns out that COVID was no more deadly than the common flu. There was no reason for global lockdowns, mandates, injections, etc. Return to local control!
None	There should be absolutely no international intervention. Especially not from the privately founded WHO. The definitions for "Pandemie" and "Vaccine" should be immediately be returned to the standard of 2017. The Covid-Pandemie was a mass hysteria fired by you the media and the politicians. No QR-Codes, no digital identities, no digital money wanted!
Na	There should be absolutely no signed treaties/agreements on anything with WHO. Not only has no citizen from any country not voted for any member of this organization to represent them in any way...many also disagree with their advice and guidance to begin with and there is an ever-growing populace who disagrees with WHO'S very existence, feel their pandemic response was appalling, did more harm than good and are very much so supporting movements to hold them both criminally and financially responsible for it. Individual countries and their respective states, etc. should decide on their responses while taking the advise of outside health sources they feel are the most reliable in regards to the crisis at hand and it's impact on their country. In summary, no citizen has consented to have any binding agreements with WHO, been involved in electing or hiring the individuals who comprise it, nor consented to be represented by them. Also, many were, at the very least, unimpressed with their COVID response among other things and as many more feel they were negligent and even criminal on several different fronts. Many, many Americans find it to be a reprehensible organization and feel it should no longer exist, period.
Frazer Parfum	There should be absolutely NO substantive elements instigated or set by the WHO. This is insidious overreach. There should never have been a labelling of pandemic in the first place. Looking back at global excess death data, these figures do not support the labelling of pandemic. WHO treaties that remove power from the individual countries rights and freedoms are dangerous to the health and welfare of all countries.
Citizen of EU	There should be absolutely NOTHING in this contract. This has a clear smell of corruption at its highest level. Any contract that has the even slightest chance to interfere with national laws, must be subject if a broad discussion before we should even think of negotiating about it. So bring up a draft and lets start a publich discussion in the following years. Without this precondition: NO NO! Hartmut
Member of the public	There should be an open and transparent process for all people of the world to vote on measures, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people are against it. All nations, devolved governments and indeed local authorities should have the ability to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit and which is in accordance with the settled will of people they represent without consequence. Indeed national governments and local authorities should retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions on what is best for their own people. There should also be included openness to alternative remedies including repurposed drugs with proven track records for safe and effective use and measures that do not allow influence for profiteering by any and all pharmaceutical companies.
None	There should be an open and transparent process so that people in all countries can vote on and are able to prevent application of any global agreement if the majority do not want it. Nations and local bodies and councils should have the ability to opt out of any or all of the agreement without consequence. Do not allow influence by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and should have the right to make decisions on what is best for their own people.
none	There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should also be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
private citizen	There should be an open democratic process for citizens to have their say by feedback and voting for measures that will enable them to opt out if the majority of the people want to. There should be no penalties whatsoever should a country or local leadership decide to opt out of the agreement. Stakeholders such as pharmaceutical corporations and other for profit organisations should not be allowed to influence the process. The country's leadership, be it local, provincial or national should reserve the right to decide what is best for their own citizens.
Waldorf Schools	There should be an option for governments to hold a referendum regarding participation with this WHO initiative.
SHF	There should be an opt-out at any time clause included in any agreement. A country must be able to maintain its own prerogative as it is ultimately responsible for the survival of its citizens. Having to follow a single edict without recourse is unacceptable.
CRH TRA	There should be an opt-out for any countries, localities and any doctors depending on their situation or their patients' actual health as they understand it. There should be the right to retain autonomy of decisions around each individual's healthcare by each individual. There should be no involvement in WHO decisions by people or corporations which stand to gain financially from those decisions. All information used to make any decisions by WHO should be public, and the sources of that information.
None	There should be choice in treatment as pharmaceuticals does not work for all, with any punishments by any institutions or governments for refusing treatment, treated as a crime against humanity and denying basic human rights. No-one should be treated as an experiment, and use of anything that would alter the function of the body (anything that affects the body in any way) should be voluntary without punishment. Treatment should be non-profit, and creators of said response should be allowed to be treated first and by a member of public, chosen by the member of public, and with the selection of said treatment being done by the MOP, not a pre-chosen batch. Liability for injuries should be at the hands of the company that created the treatment, and a panel chosen by the people should be the judges of likely injuries. All scientific points should be made available, and debates held, and member of public should get the vote on only the necessary parts of response. Covid-19 response showed us that a one-size-fits-all response does not work. And that it is time that healthcare focused on lifestyle and nutrition, and not on pharmaceuticals as a solution to health crisis. Immune systems end pandemics, and we were born with those.
American Citizen	There should be circumstance, pandemic or otherwise, that would give any one body power over a sovereign nation. Much was learned by the unnecessary lock downs and less than safe or effective rushed to market vaccines with waning efficacy and countless adverse reactions. America is a free country and it WILL stay that way. Back off our liberty.
Miles Holt	There should be complete transparency in terms of sharing of research data during vaccine development between independent medical and human rights groups. Data should be readily available and be subject to scrutiny from the public. There should be no indemnity if such a vaccine is produced within such compact timescales. Pharmaceutical manufacturers should also be held to account for any risks, dangers and harms to public health. The process of such vaccines or other instruments should be subject to an independent auditory system and review that has no conflict of interest or any association to the manufacturers themselves or government bodies. Transparency and the removal of risk to the public is vital to regain public confidence, trust and will help remove any hesitancy towards the instrument or product that's released. The suppression or redaction of any medical research information to the instrument should not be allowed, in order for there to be confidence and trust from the public. On a separate note, the handling and collection of personal data should also be made transparent and continually at the consent of the individual. There should be clear instructions to the handling of the data, and specifically what data is being used or collected. The individual should be given full control over what data is used, and to whom it is being passed to. There needs to be the upmost respect to people's rights, their data, and their well-being.
self	there should be concerted international effort to research and repurpose drugs without omnipotent and omniscient influence of pharmaceutical industry. furthermore, politics should not enter the divination process at all.
Taylor organization	There should be EQUAL participationg and sharing of vaccination information, vaccination side effects and deaths due to vaccinations, scientific standards set for ALL studies, and definitely a much more accurate, thorough and standard format for reporting ANY statistics that involve the specific pandemic organism, deaths, where and treatments given at the time. There should be MUCH MORE accountability for pharmaceutical companies and monitoring of their studies and any new vaccines. THERE SHOULD NEVER BE A DEVIATION FROM THE PREVIOUS VACCINE FORMAT USING A NEW TYPE OF VACCINE FOR "EMERGENCY" SITUATIONS WITHOUT THE PROPER STUDIES DONE AND A THOROUGH INSPECTION TO MAKE SURE ALL SAFETY GUIDELINES FOR SAME WERE FOLLOWED!!!!!!
Individual	There should be freedom of living (no lockdown) and freedom to decide on whether to accept or reject a vaccine. There should be no vaccine passport as vaccine has not been proven to prevent Covid or even death from Covid. Immune systems still work. Measures to promote and enhance immunity should be encouraged and promoted.
Private citizen	There should be full provision for unilateral withdrawal of any country from the treaty without notice. This is because any and every country must retain its sovereignty in deciding the best course of action for its own interests. Yes, cooperation is often needed and happens with advantages for everyone. This doesn't mean that countries should be tied into permanent subjugation to the will of the WHO. This right to withdraw should be applicable to permanent withdrawal and to a case by case basis. It must not entail any penalties.
retired senior citizen	There should be full tranparency on any form of recommended treatments. EUA drugs should include liability and accountability. Big pharma should be required to have a trust fund for those hurt or die from adverse reactions. Drug treatment protocols should NOT BE MANDATED especially on experimental drugs. The WHO should be totally independent and not defer to a person an entity or agency or country. Why are you waiting on the US FDA to release NOVAX or COVAvAX when the EU has already approved it? This shows the WHO is not totally independent. Stay true to your purpose of really helping people, especially the marginalized, all over the world.
family	There should be global regulation on health. Every community is different, with different economies, populations, immunities, geographical uniqueness, population, and everything else that distinguishes a community from another. Not only that, but every body is different from another and there should never be any law, policy or regulation that impeded the sovereignty of a person over their body. It would be pure evil for any regulation, law or policy to wade into controlling another's body. Also, anyone who would impose such an anti human policy obviously has no interest in the preservation of that person's life, or the species at large, only at control. For this obvious reason, the world will never accept such anti human mandates.
Individual	There should be little limitation on personal actions. There should be maximum transparency about pandemic threats. There should be little limitation on personal actions because people tend to act in ways that serve their self-interest, including, especially, survival. Collectively, informed persons, serving their individual self-interest, have made the decisions that gave us the technologies and social and educational institutions we have today. The process has been highly successful. Individual needs, and ways of meeting those needs, are infinitely complex, and vary by more factors than can properly be addressed in an agreement of this scope. These factors include cultural geography, micro-climate, and underlying health issues, among others. These variables create challenges to individuals that require individualized solutions. Maximum tranparency affords informed decision making. Information is power, and pandemic information is power best distributed globally to individuals worldwide, to be used in making informed individual and community decisions.
ICAN	There should be NO new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. We have lived without it and it is obvious to alot of voters that the need is not for their stated purpose. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
I	There should be no "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" of any kind, especially one managed by WHO, one of the most corrupt international organizations.
Nil	There should be NO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" Every Sovereign Nation should administer it's own affairs free from the interference of unelected foreign powers. Every sovereign nation must respect the sovereignty of its citizens regarding their own health care, in alignment with the Nuremberg Codes, the Helsinki Accords, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The WHO should play a purely educational and advisory role, which the sovereign citizens of every nation are free to accept or disregard, as they choose. The WHO's educational and advisory focus should be on enhancing individual and collective physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social, and environmental health through the most natural, least invasive possible means. Prevention and treatment options may be suggested by the WHO, but the final decision in every case must be made by the patient and their chosen health care providers. "Public health" is an aggregation of individual health decisions. No international body or national government has the right to interfere with individual health care choices. Their only appropriate role is to educate and recommend, AND to clean up the political, economic, and environmental conditions (i.e. animal abuse, ecological degradation, and poverty) that foster ill health and lead to pandemics. The idea of a global pandemic treaty is overreach on a grand scale. This needs to stop NOW I vehemently oppose such a move on the part of the WHO, this is unacceptable.
Eartheart	There should be NO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" No international body or national government has the right to interfere with individual health-care choices or compromise their ability to obtain the means to participate in society. It is vital that every nation uphold the individual bodily sovereignty of its citizens regarding their own health care, acknowledging their fundamental human right to accept or disregard recommended medications in alignment with the Nuremberg Codes, the Helsinki Accords, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The WHO's educational and advisory focus should be on enhancing individual and collective physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social, and environmental health through the most natural, least invasive possible means. Prevention and treatment options may be suggested by the WHO along with the promotion of uncensored public debate on pandemic response options. The final decision in every case must be made by the patient and their chosen health care providers. The WHO must not provide legally binding mandates of any sort under any circumstances. Decisions regarding implementing mandates of any sort should reside with national and local government and local private entities. The WHO should provide a means for addressing complaints, whether from individuals, groups or nations. The complaint process should be transparent, publicly available and open for public scrutiny. I do not consent to my participation in a global pandemic treaty.
The Peaceable Kin-dom Trust	There should be NO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response"! Every sovereign nation must respect the sovereignty of its citizens regarding their own health care, in alignment with the Nuremberg Codes, the Helsinki Accords, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The WHO should play a purely educational and advisory role, which the sovereign citizens of every nation are free to accept or disregard, as they choose. The WHO's educational and advisory focus should be on enhancing individual and collective physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social, and environmental health through the most natural, least invasive possible means. Prevention and treatment options may be suggested by the WHO, but the final decision in every case must be made by the patient and their chosen health care providers. "Public health" is an aggregation of individual health decisions. No international body or national government has the right to interfere with individual health care choices. Their only appropriate role is to educate and recommend, AND to clean up the political, economic, and environmental conditions (i.e. animal abuse, ecological degredation, and poverty) that foster ill health and lead to pandemics. The idea of a global pandemic treaty is overreach on a grand scale. This needs to stop NOW!
Citizen	There should be NO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response"! Every sovereign nation must respect the sovereignty of its citizens regarding their own health care, in alignment with the Nuremberg Codes, the Helsinki Accords, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The WHO should play a purely educational and advisory role, which the sovereign citizens of every nation are free to accept or disregard, as they choose. The WHO's educational and advisory focus should be on enhancing individual and collective physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social, and environmental health through the most natural, least invasive possible means. Prevention and treatment options may be suggested by the WHO, but the final decision in every case must be made by the patient and their chosen health care providers. "Public health" is an aggregation of individual health decisions. No international body or national government has the right to interfere with individual health care choices. Their only appropriate role is to educate and recommend, AND to clean up the political, economic, and environmental conditions (i.e. animal abuse, ecological degradation, and poverty) that foster ill health and lead to pandemics. The idea of a global pandemic treaty is overreach on a grand scale. This needs to stop NOW!
Private person	There should be NO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response"! Every sovereign nation must respect the sovereignty of its citizens regarding their own health care, in alignment with the Nuremberg Codes, the Helsinki Accords, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The WHO should play a purely educational and advisory role, which the sovereign citizens of every nation are free to accept or disregard, as they choose. The WHO's educational and advisory focus should be on enhancing individual and collective physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social, and environmental health through the most natural, least invasive possible means. Prevention and treatment options may be suggested by the WHO, but the final decision in every case must be made by the patient and their chosen health care providers. "Public health" is an aggregation of individual health decisions. No international body or national government has the right to interfere with individual health care choices. Their only appropriate role is to educate and recommend, AND to clean up the political, economic, and environmental conditions (i.e. animal abuse, ecological degredation, and poverty) that foster ill health and lead to pandemics. The idea of a global pandemic treaty is overreach on a grand scale. This needs to stop NOW!
Citizen of the World	There should be NO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response"! Every sovereign nation must respect the sovereignty of its citizens regarding their own health care, in alignment with the Nuremberg Codes, the Helsinki Accords, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The WHO should play a purely educational and advisory role, which the sovereign citizens of every nation are free to accept or disregard, as they choose. The WHO's educational and advisory focus should be on enhancing individual and collective physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social, and environmental health through the most natural, least invasive possible means.
GB Thinker	There should be NO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response"! Every sovereign nation must respect the sovereignty of its citizens regarding their own health care, in alignment with the Nuremberg Codes, the Helsinki Accords, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The WHO should play a purely educational and advisory role, which the sovereign citizens of every nation are free to accept or disregard, as they choose. The WHO's educational and advisory focus should be on enhancing individual and collective physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social, and environmental health through the most natural, least invasive possible means.
I represent myself (Sovereign Being)	There should be NO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response"! Every sovereign nation must respect the sovereignty of its citizens regarding their own health care, in alignment with the Nuremberg Codes, the Helsinki Accords, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The WHO should play a purely educational and advisory role, which the sovereign citizens of every nation are free to accept or disregard, as they choose and governments should also respect the rights of its' citizens to make their own decisions. Prevention and treatment options may be suggested by the WHO, but the final decision in every case must be made by the patient and their chosen health care providers. "Public health" is an aggregation of individual health decisions. No international body or national government has the right to interfere with individual health care choices. Their only appropriate role is to recommend/advise - that is all! The idea of a global pandemic treaty is overreach on a grand scale. It's a "NO" from me.
Individual	There should be NO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response"! Every sovereign nation must respect the sovereignty of its citizens regarding their own health care, in alignment with the Nuremberg Codes, the Helsinki Accords, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The WHO should play a purely educational and advisory role, which the sovereign citizens of every nation are free to accept or disregard, as they choose. The WHO's educational and advisory focus should be on enhancing individual and collective physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social, and environmental health through the most natural, least invasive possible means. Pharmaceutical companies, global corporates and funders need to be excluded from any input.

Organization	Written submission
N/a	There should be NO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response"! Every sovereign nation must respect the sovereignty of its citizens regarding their own health care, in alignment with the Nuremberg Codes, the Helsinki Accords, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The WHO should play a purely educational and advisory role, which the sovereign citizens of every nation are free to accept or disregard, as they choose. The WHO's educational and advisory focus should be on enhancing individual and collective physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social, and environmental health through the most natural, least invasive possible means. Prevention and treatment options may be suggested by the WHO, but the final decision in every case must be made by the patient and their chosen health care providers. "Public health" is an aggregation of individual health decisions. No international body or national government has the right to interfere with individual health care choices. Their only appropriate role is to educate and recommend, AND to clean up the political, economic, and environmental conditions (i.e. animal abuse, ecological degredation, and poverty) that foster ill health and lead to pandemics. The idea of a global pandemic treaty is overreach on a grand scale. This needs to stop NOW
individual	There should be no "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". It should be quite obvious from the recent COVID-10 pandemic, that nations should and will devise their own responses, which will vary according to culture, form of government, public opinion etc etc.
Citizen of the United States	There should be no "international instrument". No matter how widespread a disease, conditions will always vary by locality, and therefore control and response must always remain local.
general public	There should be NO "one size fits all" international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. As is common knowledge here in the U.S., all healthcare decisions must be made between an individual, within their own private, Doctor-Patient relationship and within a HIPPA protected framework. Any involvement, other than the patient's choice to obtain a second (or more) opinion, presents an insurmountable risk to individual health needs and ultimately threatens the health of each individual. In healthcare, any decision applied without individual analysis and thorough research based on each individual's needs threatens the health and welfare of every American. Even in an emergency situation, each individual's health concerns need to be individualized, as every one of us has different requirements, considerations and decisions that must be made in order to remain healthy. These individual needs are of utmost importance! Furthermore, each doctor must have the liberty to make their own best professional medically informed decision about how best to improve the health of each patient! The WHO has no business dictating patient care decisions to a doctor any more than The WHO has any business dictating patient care to any individual. If the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty, no one will have authority over their individual health decisions. The WHO states in its own constitution that "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." It is imperative that The WHO maintains its integrity in supporting each individual's opinion of how to actively participate in improving their own health! Thank you.
Member of public	There should be no 'instrument'. The WHO may provide guidance however given how unsuccessful previous advice has been the WHO should never be allowed to over rule individual responsibility and freedom. The general public will never accept or stand for it. The WHO is too involved with pharmaceutical industries to ever be taken seriously by anyone wanting to actually safeguard and promote their own health.
N/A	There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations will be stronger with no UN-orchestrated intervention.
-	There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations would be stronger for less UN-orchestrated intervention.
Learner holdings ltd	There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations would be stronger for less UN-orchestrated intervention.
None	There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations would be stronger for less UN-orchestrated intervention.
New Zealand citizen	There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations would be stronger for less UN-orchestrated intervention.
	There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations would be stronger for less UN-orchestrated intervention.
Zaphod's Crusade for Liberty	There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations would be stronger for less UN-orchestrated intervention.
Citizen	There should be NO 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations would be stronger for less UN-orchestrated intervention.
N/A	There should be no 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response'. The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This is anathema to freedom and liberty no matter the promoted nobility of intent. Sovereign nations would be stronger for less UN/WHO orchestrated intervention. Sovereign nations should only ever be advised by the UN/WHO rather than governed by said. Sovereign nations are secure enough to react to their citizens needs as sovereign nations and no external organisation should hold any power to enforce domestic or otherwise policy upon said sovereign nations.
Not Applicable	There should be NO 'new' or ANY 'international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.' The WHO has NOT been elected to govern New Zealand or any other country and you have absolutely no right to attempt to do so by imposing any "international instrument" whatsoever on us or any other sovereign nation. Furthermore, we have NOT given the adern government any powers to cede our sovereignty to ANYONE including unelected bureaucrats at the WHO, to any other UN affiliated organisation, or to her communist WEF mates in any other country. She has no legal powers to do so.
Public School System	There should be no "international instrument to pandemic response". The only "substantive elements" that should be included is that the WHO is to refrain from infringing upon human rights. This treaty will deeply compromise or otherwise restore privacy at a global level. Furthermore, if enacted this treaty will only serve to censor more and more voices for informed consent through the WHO's proposed "global coordinated actions to address the misinformation, disinformation, and stigmatization that undermine public health." Until all those involved in the WHO can refrain from enacting and endorsing public health policy that are grossly self serving for monetary purposes and can prove they sincerely have the good of human beings at the forefront of their actions, they must not be allowed to enact policy that override basic human freedoms.
N/A	There should be NO "international" pandemic preparedness response. As has been illustrated in the last 2 years, the instruments used have since proven to be useless, ineffective and more importantly harmful and dangerous to people and their communities. In the United States, those states that chose NOT to abide by the recommendations of the WHO fared significantly better than those that did, and only resulted in a vast overreach of government control and stripping away of our individual rights and liberties. Our health decisions will not be dictated by organizations that are clearly corrupt and seek only to exert more control over our lives. We DO NOT CONSENT to ANY international intervention! Stay the hell away from us!
Citizen	There should be no "treaty", and WHO should be disbanded.
Kancelaria Radcy Prawnego Kamil Jedliński	There should be no a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Period. A swoją drogą chyba Was pojebało, że będziecie sobie przyznawać uprawnienia iście dyktatorskiej na wypadek nowej, nagłej potrzeby. W takiej sytuacji sami będzie wywoływać tę potrzebę, żeby móc skorzystać z tych uprawnień. Therefore, I believe that your idea should never be implemented.
None	There should be no action by WHO to change United States Sovereign laws and use a blanket response worldwide in case of another pandemic. Every nation is unique and should handle pandemics according to their methods and laws.
citizens	There should be no agreement at all between states and the WHO. The WHO is not a democratically legitimized institution. The states should completely ignore the WHO.
Justice Brown Family Office	There should be NO central authority, no country and no international organization, with the power to order people to stay home or wear masks. Only local governments should be able to direct peoples' behavior in times of pandemic, and then only temporarily, subject to legislative approval. The basic principle is: No person may be restrained as to movement or clothing or medical treatment unless they are known to be and objective threat to others. The "objective threat" must be proven by observation of symptoms. Government health aid agencies are advisory only, and should have no coercive powers. National and local leadership retain full autonomy from the WHO, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the WHO agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Pharmaceutical companies or other global health money-makers should have no say in any pandemic rules adopted.
Education	There should be no circumstance under which an unelected body of extraordinarily privileged humans, bolstered and lobbied by corporate interests including those of major pharmaceutical companies, should ever have the power to decide what a sovereign nation chooses to do when faced with a pandemic. Power is corrupting. It is clear to many of us that many of the measures taken to deal with SARS-CoV-2 were inappropriate, highly political and a complete overreaction in relation to the actual virus. No group of humans should have the power to override sovereign nations in deciding to avoid the economic, mental, emotional and physical destruction of it's population that over the top lockdowns, restrictions and ineffective masking policies have had.
Keine	there should be no compulsory vaccination
Independent	There should be no conditions included in an international pandemic response because there should not be an international preparedness and response. Health matters are not a one shoe fits all and should not be outlined by a board. Individuals should have the freedom and ability to chose what health solutions best for them not an agency who is not versed on their medical history.
AHS	There should be no consideration of one body to dictate a response to a pandemic. As we have seen, you cannot just assume that one group has the right answer to dictate mandates that ended up harming more people than helping. As well, to give total control to a group that is not elected by the people of that country, undermines the democratic processes that countries are built upon. A pandemic should NOT be an excuse to remove democratic process and health mandates should not undermine democracy, personal autonomy or a countries own liberties to its people.
Citizen	There should be no constitution above each individual countries constitution. Humans should have the right to decide what is injected into them. No matter the context. There should be no forced medical procedure.
None	There should be no digital or other "vaccine " passports. If WHO persists, the US needs to remove all funding, and the voters need to replace all politicians who fund it as they aren't representing the citizens. WHO is currently not run by a medical doctor. It seems that international passports are an attempt to control our citizens. This is not about health.
Na	There should be no digital or other means of tracking people via a covid pass or similar version. Lockdowns and other restrictions must be limited in their scope. Any international agreement should be voluntary and not be legally binding.
Individual	There should be NO directive by the WHO, rather: 1/ National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2/ The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3/ An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4/ Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mjölby kommun	There should be NO elements at all included in any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response whatsoever. Every country is sovereign and should NEVER sign up to EVER take any binding directions from a private organisation like the so called World Health Organization.
Kailyne R Waters	There should be no elements included. An international treaty would interefere with our God-given Sovereignty and pave the way for civil rights and other medical rights violations.
None	There should be no elements whatsoever, as Mr. Gates - and the WEF by proxy - will be the beneficiary of deciding what qualifies as a pandemic and who qualifies to live a normal life by taking medications in relation to such an event. In short: scrap it.
n/a	There should be no enforced international instrument. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people with national vote if required. Nations, local municipalities and individuals should be able to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where people do not want it. Any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers should have no influence in any process. Individuals can make their own health choices regardless of the situation or recommendations without consequence.
Canada	There should be no formal treaty or set of mandatory rules set by the WHO that would be applicable to all member states on pandemic response. It's already abundantly clear that virtually all states follow the WHO's guidelines already, and it's also abundantly clear that those guidelines were poorly chosen in multiple instances. The WHO is too easily corruptible and there is no one size fits all solution for a diverse set of member states and their people. The WHO was negligent in their choice to ignore and even condemn the use of highly effective and extremely safe early treatment regimens for COVID-19 such as hydroxychloroquine-zinc regimens and ivermectin. This faulty policy resulted in the unnecessary death of millions of people and the loss of livelihood and liberties of billions more as a result of unnecessary lockdowns and mandates that stemmed from the lack of use of these effective treatments. The WHO's relationship with the commercial pharmaceutical sector masked by NGO's such as GAVI is extremely troublesome and corrupt. Pandemic response and treatment of illnesses should be led from the bottom up, not from the top down. Individual, local doctors should have the right to treat their patients as they see fit, that is the whole point of having a medical degree. These bureaucrat doctors that work as politicians are not the ones who should be making decisions for the health of individual citizens.
Nine	There should be no global agreement. Conflicts of interest are too great in The WHO and each countries should deal their way. Every demographic is different. Every country face different health , sanitation etc challenges as well as conflict of interests within the governments. . Most of all NO doctor should be prevented ever again from treating symptoms as they see fit. The Covid 19 "protocol" to send home patients was a disastrous response. Leave it to the physicians and patients next time. This is a power grab . Nothing else
self	There should be no Global Authority which is given any right to dictate health policy to any other country. It may be free to draw up guidelines, recommendations, etc..... but it should be given absolutely no universal authority to dictate terms.
None	There should be No global cooperative plan to address pandemics. There are more then one way to wipe your ass! Do you really think there's only one way to remain healthy!? Time to grow up and respect individuality, autonomy, and sovereign rights. Global plans are plan to fail Enough with the authoritarianism!
None	There should be no global initiative that in any way surpasses the supremacy of individual rights or personal sovereignty. Allow individuals to decide what is best for themselves.
N/A	There should be NO global pandemic treaty! This is unacceptable as local health decisions have been proven more effective than a "one size fits all" approach. KEEP HEALTH AT HOME
Canada	There should be NO global pandemic treaty. This undermines a nation's sovereignty and their ability to operate under democratic process.
Personal	There should be no global preparedness to any future pandemics, or any matter. The WHO has not been voted in, nor should it take itself to govern any living breathing man or woman. It is an individual that can decide for him or herself the level of risk in any upcoming situations.
Individual	There should be no global treaty as it will centralise power to the detriment of humanity. However, any treaty must state: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	There should be no global treaty at all, each nation should be free to separately decide on the handling of a virus or disease. Human rights and voices have been suppressed using the covid virus. Lockdowns have harmed people. Unscientific mask mandates have been implemented. If humanity has learned anything since what happened in 2020 and beyond it should be don't give your power and right to decide away to any governing body. This is a power grab by global authorities and should be nipped swiftly in the bud by human beings. I'm greatly concerned that there will be a move towards digital IDs. Anything that can be linked to coercive strategies to get people to have vaccinations should be strongly opposed. Individual bodily autonomy is a key human right.
None	There should be no global treaty implemented which can force nations to impose anything on its citizens. We will not comply.
None	There should be no global treaty. Individual countries can decide what is best for their people. WHO hasn't exactly been right in the past and has no business in the laws of any country.
n/a	There should be NO health mandates under any circumstance and any vaccines should be thoroughly tested and should never be experimental
Gassmann Consulting Ltd	There should be no instrument giving the WHO or any other international organisation, public or private, any power to mandate any government to take any measure. Any such power is unconstitutional, antidemocratic, and abusive. And I can say "abusive" with confidence because we have just witnessed ample proof of such powers having been flagrantly abused. I grew up in Pakistan in the 1960s and 70s, so I have a good appreciation for the importance of your work. The WHO generally does good work, but arrogating this type of dictatorial power will inevitably lead to its demise, and we'll all suffer for it. Sincerely, Jürg Gassmann
Private	there should be NO interference of international organisations in Personal and Individual healthcare at all.Grown up and FREE citizens can Look After themselves
Myself	THERE SHOULD BE NO INTERNATIONAL "PANDEMIC TREATY" at all. I do not consent to an unelected organization on the other side of the world having ANY rights to say what should happen in my country. Have you people never heard of "democracy"?
None	There should be no international agreement through the WHO which is an undemocratic organisation al decisions must be left to sovereign nations. This has already been demonstrated by the lack of publicity by the WHO for this so called public consultation
Us Constitution First	There should be no international agreement. Each country should determine its own guidelines. United States Constitution is not subject to foreign agreements.
Sovereign citizen	There should be no international instrument at all without all people of the World consenting to it in the first place. This question assumes that people would agree to one in the first place without actually asking in a clear amd open manner. I do not consent to any Instrument at all unless it has open, fair amd clear discussion amd voting by all people it would affect first
Private individual	There should be no international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response. We created our governments to protect us from the initiation of force and the perpetration of fraud, not from a micro-organism. Any advice regarding protection from a micro-organism must be limited to recommendations. There should be no mandates of any type.
writer	There should be no international instrument for pandemic response since one size does not fit all. We now know that older citizens, especially those in nursing homes, needed more protection than children and younger adults. This was also true among various states within the U.S. It is therefore vitally important that individual nations have the right to decide what is the best course of action within their nation for any medical decisions. A one-world-order protocol cannot adequately do this. One country might experience an outbreak of some disease and will need to make its own decisions about how to deal with the problem. Decisions must be local and not directed from some ivory tower politician who believes he/she knows best. The best solutions always come from the local people dealing with the problem. Unfortunately, health decisions have become politicized and corporatized; decisions have not been made for the good of a nation or its individual citizens. Broadening the scope of decision-making power to one organization will make that problem worse. Nations cannot give up their fundamental right to self-determination in all respects, especially the health of their citizens. Just say NO to the idea that nations would give up control of their own health decisions to people who weren't elected by citizens and do not adequately represent us.
None	There should be no international instrument for pandemics. We should work locally to treat people and share information on what works. America especially should have no treaty with WHO. We are a free country and a free people. "I've searched all the parks in all the cities and found no statues of committees." G.K. Chesterton. People can decide not to travel if they are sick. Never before Covid19 did we ever tell sick people to go wait at home. The real hero's are the doctors who treated and encouraged building peoples immune systems. WHO failed. America is free and we need not to be directed by Fauci (NIH) or any other committee. Elsa Cunningham
New Kadampa Tradition	There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It should be left for individuals to have the freedom to decide for themselves how to keep themselves healthy - possibly with the help of their doctor. I am responsible for my health, not anyone else. Please do not do this.
N/A	There should be NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response
Juthfund Sports & Culture	There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response what so ever. Each county, each individual is responsible for their own health. Calling out pandemics is of no benefit to the people. The proposed WHO instrument is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO may and can not be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources (taxes) belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantieel funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates (eg. Gates Foundation). The WHO does not represent the people's agenda nor the people's interest. So please stay away from this.
Megalithomania	There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, as it is unethical and people do not want to be dictated to by an outside force who don't understand local requirements or how to deal with pandemics effectively (the evidence on this is clear). After Covid 19, everyone individually and as groups collectively knows what to do. Please focus on feeding the poor, housing the homeless, funding those in financial hardship, and investigating corruption in governments. This is what is required. Mr Newman
None	There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This is dangerous and gives too much power to a leveraged organisation (WHO) which is funded by private interests and therefore unlikely to be unbiased. Each nation should prepare (as in the past) their own protocol based on their terrain, their culture and their population.
Lightworkers	There should be NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This is an unacceptable threat to a country's sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of the individual country's families. WHO treaties that remove power from An individual country are dangerous to the health and welfare of The people of that country. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. In fact I'll go so far as to say that WHO should be disbanded as it has become a corrupt entity pushing experimental "vaccines" on people. Each individual country should be left alone to manage its own health for the benefit of its people without being bullied into things that won't necessarily work for that particular country.
Self	There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Any type of "prepare/respond instrument" should be localized and directed by the residents and duly elected officials of said local area. The type of "instrument" this question references sounds too much like a global power grab intended to dehumanize people and put a few elite into positions of enormous power.
None	There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Each country must be free to develop their own pandemic preparedness and response based on the individual country's constitutions, rights, bylaws and citizens' individual rights. People are not a single object to be forced into a single, possibly poorly established, response where they have no rights of their own to survive using their own means. The mess the combined leaders of various countries made of the Covid -19 debacle shows that lockdowns did not work, experimental shots did not work, masks did not work, and there is no such thing as "insert panic inducing virus name" zero. Rather instead, more people died of shot than should have, many are sick from those same experimental shots, in some countries people are starving while being "locked down". We don't need an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. We need individual responses so that at least some people in some country somewhere have a chance of survival when foolish people set foolish rules that do not actually help with pandemic preparedness and response.
myself	There should be NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I am under God's rule, not mans. Our birthright is freedom of choice.
Private citizen	There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO is unelected and unaccountable to the citizens of the countries of the world and as such, has no right to dictate any pandemic responses. Their role is to collect and provide worldwide data, nothing more. As a microbiologist, I have watched in horror as the scientists of the WHO and other health organizations prescribed a one-size-fits-all response to the last pandemic, and very often got the science completely wrong, with no accountability. Public health policy should be determined by the elected officials of each individual area of the world based on the needs of their specific populations, and at the end of the day the final decisions should land between doctor and patient. These decisions may be informed by the WHO, but the minute an unelected international organization takes decision making powers into their own hands, they have lost their way, destroyed their raison d'etre, and sabotaged their credibility. Please don't go there.
Self	There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. There should be only the education about pandemics from governments to the people, nothing more. Groups and individuals should have personal autonomy over their bodies and lives at all timesand any measures undertaken should always be optional without repercussions or punishment or threat to their freedom, movements, income, employment, or any thing else. The WHO should have solely an educational role in the world and no power.
Private citizen	There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This is just an instrument to bypass national sovereignty. Your last global vaccination campaign violates the Nuremberg code and God's law. Everything is accounted for, and you will face the consequences. The data is clear; these COVID vaccinations do not work and cause harm. You had to change the definition of a pandemic to institute the last pandemic. The people will never accept your global tyranny.
N/A	There should be no 'international instrument on pandemic preparedness' at all - that is, I oppose such an instrument outright. Each sovereign state should be allowed to make its own decisions regarding any pandemic. The definition of 'pandemic' should be returned to the original definition that requires a demonstration of actual high lethality before it can be declared. The definition of 'vaccine' should be returned to its pre-Covid-19 definition, requiring that it stops both the person inoculated from catching the disease, and also stops them from passing it on to others.
No mentionable affiliations	There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness or response. The WHO does not have the authority to propose such an instrument as organization leaders are not elected by the people. It is a threat to the freedom of citizens worldwide to have their fates decided by those who have not been chosen to represent them. To believe the WHO will have the people's best interest and heath at heart is unfortunately not possible. What is entirely possible, and probable, however, is that this is another one of the many current proposals designed to control the masses. We cannot allow this.
Myself	There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness response. Recently accepted studies throughout the world has proven that the world's response to the most recent scare was an absolute failure, especially where children and proverty stricken people are concerned. It would be better for all citizens of the world if the WHO kindly stays out of our countries and out of our lives.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	There should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness I appreciate your interest in providing an international response to future pandemics. On the basis of the recent experience of the WHO response to the CV19 infection I would like to make the following points. - The WHO provided inadequate, inconsistent, non-evidence based guidance, on the deployment of face masks. Unable to corroborate their utility as a barrier to viral infection during the CV19 period - Governments of multiple countries used this indecision to apply their own regulations and none were effective in the reduction of infection - Government ignored the WHO after they designated the CV19 infection of 'no concern' WHO appears to have no authority, nor should it. - The WHO did not support the use of early treatment for CV19 despite awareness that repurposed medicines could save lives prior to the roll out of mRNA treatments - The WHO has relationships with organisations that impinge on its impartiality when making decisions that impact on national sovereignty of citizens - The WHO cannot represent states that have taken legal representation against their guidance during the CV19 infection, it is therefore not fit for purpose It is for these reasons that the WHO is not, in my opinion, a suitable vehicle to provide any element of international preparedness plan. You are unelected, unconstitutional and incapable of providing impartial guidance to the 'world' based on recent experience. I believe that the world heath organisation should concentrate on health.
Man of living blood	There should be no International Instrument on pandemic preparedness. The WHO has shown itself to be totally compromised by commercial interests. The events that have occured since the release of the COVID pathogen prove beyond any reasonable doubt that Local management is much more effective than a one size fits all commercial enterprise. The Global Preparedness monitoring board Annual report September 2019 is a clear indication of what happens when a few psychopaths get together and plan a pandemic! Event 201 October 2019 Global pandemic planning at its finest. Better dismantle the WHO! We don't need you! You are rejected. Total waste of resources.
None.	There should be NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness. Each country should be free to prepare independently as asked to by its people. Their representatives in local governments should work for the people in the way they demand. Every country will have its own particular needs and its own individual path set out for it by its own people. Then it will be up to each human being in that country to take on board the recommended course of action. There can be no worldwide response, there can be no worldwide mandates, there can be no enforced action or treatment. This goes against all forms of human being autonomy. Personal freedom must be the core goal of all governments, in return for the maintaining of these freedoms the people agree to live together with some level of organisation, but this is for the benefit of both all individuals and the country as a whole. No system should remove any freedom or benefit of the individual in the name of the collective. It is up to each individual what they are willing to give in the name of the collective. It is morally bereft to suggest that in a room of 100 pregnant women they should all be forced to have abortions if 90 of them say so - simply nobody is capable of making or enforcing that form of decision - it is down to each human being. It is an unalienable right. So it is with pandemic preparedness. You can NOT force any individual to allow their autonomy to be consumed within some amorphous blob called a family, or society, or village, or town, or country, or world. Each country must act as its own people demand.
Public	There should be NO international instrument on pandemic preparedness. The WHO shall NOT create a dictatorship over people's sovereignty and freedoms. You shall NOT and will NOT.
Citizen of Australia	There should be no international instrument on pandemic, or any other, preparedness or response. It is coercive and prone to abuse. You are losing broad public approval and damaging general acceptance of existing health interventions. This is a disingenuous attempt to promote the agenda of the few to the detriment of the masses. Do not advance the financial interests of globalists.
None	There should be NO international instrument or mechanism for dealing with pandemic preparedness and response. Stop work on this immediately.
University of Pittsburgh	There should be no international instrument regarding pandemics No unelected bureaucrats should be given power over sovereign peoples. WHO'S dismal performance which led to the spread od SARS COV-2 and immeasurable human suffering is evidence enough. Any such "agreement" must include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
Individual	There should be no international instruments at all. We don't want your treaty or your "help". Please leave people be.
Stand for Medical Freedom	There should be no international instruments when it comes to what you are calling a pandemic. The decisions of what to do during a so called pandemic should be left up to each sovereign nation, not to any unelected bureaucrats in an international organization. Our country (USA) can not enter into any new treaties without the approval of the people, this is actually illegal if it is done without this approval. Again, all pandemic preparedness should be done by each nation themselves, not coming down from an international organization. Each nation could have different issues and need different ways of dealing with a so called pandemic. Thanks.
Public Comment	There should be NO international legally binding global treaty regarding pandemic responses. Treatments should be left up to local families and doctors. I am concerned that a global treaty would take decisions away from the individual.
Children's Health Defense	There should be no international organization that controls what a sovereign nation decides to do with regard to preparedness and the handling of public health issues. If implemented, this concept/plan would usurp the authority of a democratic nation's legislative body, but more importantly the individual rights and freedom of its citizens. World health organizations, with ALL voices heard and peer reviewed research as the foundation, should make recommendations to world governments for consideration. However, in the end, it is the people's right to make health decisions for themselves; to their own peril. This is just, and what it means to be free.
Bürger Deutschlands	There should be no international pandemic Management. Stop thus nonsense!
N/A	There should be no international pandemic preparedness and response agreement. The world is diverse and each region should decide how to handle any future health public health crises rather than a document that in no way can address the uniqueness of each region of the world and how it should best respond to any health crisis.
N/A	There should be no international pandemic preparedness and response from the WHO. As we saw throughout 2020-2021, and are seeing more and more as the data comes out, a one-size fits all approach to a pandemic is not in the best interest of all people. Individual cities, states, and countries have the best information to determine what is in the best interest of their citizens, and a global or international approach is not the best approach for most people. If anything, stockpile PPE and have a plan for distribution of that PPE when necessary and to the areas most in need. Other than that, refrain from attempting to create an international solution.
n/a	There should be no international pandemic response treaty. Instead each nation should have a public debate concerning protection of civil liberties at all times especially during pandemic. The WHO's job is to provide a menu of science/research based prevention/protection measures and resources - no more. Individuals and nations and local governments have the native intelligence to apply those protocols in ways best suited to the local economy/needs. Resiliency is - by definition - locally based and locally controlled. Sorry if the WHO doesn't believe in democracy but 99.9999999999999999% of the global population does. True democracy would have prevented the catastrophic effects of non-science based lockdowns of the healthy being pushed by the CDC and WHO over the last 2 years.
Desert Siren Landscape Design	There should be no international pandemic treaty. The ineptitude and bureaucratic pandering on clear display for this pandemic should serve as a warning to all the consequences of putting our fate in the hands of comittees. Your agency has been wrong at every turn, if you were not actively obfuscating the truth, and I can think of few greater mistakes than to award more power to you. Resign.
None	There should be no international pandemic treaty. The WHO must not impose dictatorship in our Healthcare. We will not accept this.
None	There should be NO international preparedness instrument. This is a violation of the US constitution as well as a violation of US sovereignty! The WHO is a globalist organization. We are a sovereign nation who does not answer to the who. The globalists should have zero say in anything pertaining to our sovereign nation. The people of the US are a free people. We are a republic who votes for our leaders. No one has voted for the WHO nor do we answer to our leaders or the WHO. Our elected members of government work for us. Not the other way around. The WHO answer to global elitests. We the people answer to no one! Our constitution states our rights are God given not WHO given. The constitution of the US guarantees these rights. Any WHO instruments will be a violation of those constitutional rights and will be ignored as such. We The People do NOT consent to be governed by any international anything!
Household	There should be no international response for local decisions. These decisions can only be made by our local elected leaders! I don't want an international body making health decisions for me or my family. Generalized recommendations should be left to "wash your hands", "cover your cough" etc.
N/A	There should be no international response. Each country should be able to decide the best course of action for itself, and individuals should be able to determine their own health. A one size fits all is never the best solution.
Self	There should be no international response. The response is up to each sovereign nation.
SW Design Architects	There should be NO International standards element, for each nation and country is unique and should NOT BE DICTATED by an "elitetist" few who so called represents the World!! FREEDOM RIGHTS OF HUMAN BEINGS MUST BE RESPECTED ABOVE ALL!!! FREEDOM TO CHOOSE FREEDOM OF SPEECH FREEDOM TO DECIDE ONE'S OWN PATH!
None	There should be no international treaty for pandemic preparedness. Each nation should maintain its own preparedness plan. Stay out of the business of nation states.
nine	There should be no international treaty on pandemic preparedness and response. Each sovereign nation should create and enforce its own response, as each nation is better equipped to understand and respond to the unique needs of its citizenry than any far-removed, global organization could be.
Texas A&M University	There should be NO international treaty on pandemic preparedness and response. The globalist agenda is a parasite. National sovereignty and individual bodily autonomy are fundamental concepts and rights that my generation will fight to protect, given that boomers have sold their generation in the name of "comfort". The World Health Organization is an evil institution and you WILL be brought to justice and vanquished.
general public	There should be NO international treaty on pandemic preparedness led by WHO. The WHO does not have authority to make health decisions for the world and this is a gross overreach of power. These health decisions should be made locally. The US should not agree to be a part of this treaty.
Civil service	There should be no international treaty on pandemic response, the Who is not elected by the people, it is anything but democratic to dictate a countries response to a pandemic, a one size fits all approach is not appropriate. Many people will also raise questions about possible conflicts of interest!! Not to mention, people's health information will be available to many organisations that don't have peoples best interests at heart and will try to profit from that information. It raises questions about privacy. We live in a democracy, not under a communist regime! Your plans will literally turning people into slaves, at the mercy of private organisations!
Unaffiliated Natural person	There should be NO International Treaty that infringes the rights and personal freedom or national sovereignty of any country's peoples. Therefore I fundamentally oppose the idea of the WHO organizing or ever enforcing a pandemic response treaty! Whether this idea (plan/program) is used for pandemic response or any other means of control- it is fundamentally wrong and should be opposed. The WHO should have no part in treaties. The WHO should play no part in dictating how pandemics are responded to. Each country needs to maintain, or reinstitute, its sovereignty over any and all health responses. There can be no central authority over pandemic response! We must work as independent and sovereign entities that meet to discuss issues. But, we should never be bound by a treaty for response to pandemic. Based on the events of 2020-present, the potential for, and actualization of error and abuse is/could be catastrophic. There is no one council or entity that can be relied upon for honesty, integrity or protection of the human race. Please Stop this treaty.
None	There should be no international treaty. Each measure should be done on a local and individual country level on a case by case basis. We have seen in the last few years many governments as well as the WHO went about it without regard to actual scientific data. Given that track record we shouldn't solidify a relationship with them.
none	There should be no internationally co-ordinated pandemic response set out in legislation. No government has ever been able to guarantee freedom from illness. An international pandemic preparedness response destroys national sovereignty.
British citizen	There should be no joint international instrument on pandemic response and this should be decided on a country by country basis based on on their population.
Humanity	There should be no legal treaty .no organisation should have or hold so much power over others as to impact their personal autonomy. The covid19 situatiin has shown us the worst dangers of such overreach .
No affiliation	There should be NO legally binding agreement between governments and WHO regarding pandemics or other health directives, behaviors or protocol. The chaos, changing narratives and short sited pronouncements by WHO during the past two years are a clear indication that one size or proclamation is not right for all. I have NO recommendations for what should be in such an agreement because I am PROFOUNDLY against any such agreement.
private	There should be no legally binding pandemic treaty at all, because WHO is not a governmental superinstitution. The states must remain compulsorily sovereign in health care. The WHO should be allowed to retain the character of a recommendation, but under no circumstances should it have a legally binding effect.
Privat Person	There should be no mandatary vaccination! That would go against human rights and self-determination! Not directly and not indirectly! No access restrictions for unvaccinated persons! Please keep in mind that some countries could withdraw from the WHO if you make such a law.
UK	There should be no mandated instruments. Measures supported by the WHO with minimal evidence (and against all the plans that were in place and subsequently abandoned to copy China's response) have already created significant permanent damage.
n/a	There should be no mandates for participation in any agreements for any nation. Any new guidelines should always be voluntary and not forced. Local governments know their populations best and each citizen deserves the democratic opportunity to vote on participation in any guidelines. Because science can change there is clear evidence that enactment of one size plans have not been suitable to this fact , participation must be voluntary. Clear and transparent communication should be paramount and the prohibition of conflicts of interest should be prioritized. Pharmaceutical companies and healthcare profiteers have no business in deciding what is "best" for entire populations. These entities need to be prohibited from assigning health policies.
Pet Memorial Portraits	There should be no mandates of any description when it comes to health. As your own organization states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The public should be fully informed so that they can make informed decisions. Public debate should be encouraged. Experts (and anyone) with differing points of view should be allowed - and encouraged - to state their cases. Rather than conflicting views being labeled as "misinformation" and being censored, there should be open debate between all parties. This should be aired publically, with full references so that members of the public can actually make an informed decision for themselves and on behalf of their families. Science is never "settled" - without the ability to challenge and test hypotheses put forward - and even established theories - then science will perish.
Germany	There should be no new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and respons. Each state should decide about the best measures according to the will of its people. A combined approach under the lead of the WHO has no democratic legitimization and therefore cannot stand above the states' laws. Stop this project!
Home	There should be no new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. But, if this isn't stop and one is implemented, it MUST have: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and/or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want or approve it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
none	There should be no new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Each nation has it's own elected leaders that can make decisions for their own people and nation. There is no need for any international body to make ANY decisions about any issue. National sovereignty is the answer to all governance. Nations all have different priorities to deal with and the notion of 'one size fits all' is absurd. Globalism has it's place in trade and peace keeping, but that is the limit, no international body has much use past promoting trade and peace around the world. There is no effective way to treat any two nations the same as all nations are vastly different in culture, economy, population, tourism, religion, monetary policies, currency, and numerous other parameters. The idea of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is completely absurd on it's face and in reality, It sounds like more corruption than anything. Most all international agencies are holly corrupt as it is now and most international organizations should be dissolved as they have no real purpose. Thank you for your time, dissolve the agency and go home, live your life in peace on your own terms.
Private citizen	There should be no new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The government of each nation should be responsible for pandemic preparedness within their own borders. The covid "pandemic" has shown the WHO to be unfit for purpose. Proclamations and recommendations made by the WHO in recent years have been contradictory and often with no basis in science. The suggestion that this politically and commercially influenced body should be able to decide health measures to be applied across the globe is undemocratic and entirely unacceptable. I have never agreed to give a global body responsibility for health measures. My government has no mandate to approve any such arrangement.
N/A	There should be no new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. There should never be a one size fits all approach regarding health and each nation/state should always have the right to make recommendations (not mandates) as they see fit for their own citizens. There should also be full and informed consent regarding any health recommendations. Transparency, honesty, open debate (not censorship), and honoring the Nuremberg Code and bodily autonomy should be at the forefront of any pandemic response. Furthermore, no international or global treaty regarding a pandemic response should ever supersede a nation or state's constitution.
Individual	There should be NO new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The proposed response limits the actions of sovereign nations by placing power in the hands of unelected supranational bureaucrats. This cannot be allowed to happen.
LfSt	There should be no new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The W.H.O. should be disbanded and resolved. It should be held responsible for misinformation and crimes against humanity.
Citizen	There should be NO new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. WHO should not have any right to dictate to any nation how they must respond to a pandemic. Therefore, NO substantive elements should be included, because this proposal is an anathema to democracy and the rights of nations to determine their own pathways and responses. WHO should have NO MANDATE to make decisions and issue edicts to any nation, and should stop this attempt to overstep it's brief.
self	There should be no new WHO "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" at all. WHO has clearly shown its corruption and is unqualified to lead on this issue, let alone rule it's own version of one-size-fits-all pandemic response.
member of public	There should be no opportunity for WHO to take autonomy from sovereign nations. Nations need to be able to to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be no interference in the decision of sovereign nations to accept or decline this proposal by anyone with a vested interest in the outcome. This should include pharmaceutical companies, individuals, organisations such as the world economic forum and leaders of sovereign states Finally, to be clear, I do not support this proposal
United States citizen	There should be no orchestrated worldwide response to any pandemic, so the question isn't valid. Every nation and state should craft its own preparedness guidelines based on their own unique geography, climate(s), economy, religions, cultural and ethnic makeup of its people, etc. The world is full of different people with different backgrounds, beliefs, and experiences — a one-size-fits-all approach is foolish, dangerous, and will lead to further tyranny. What will the world's governments come up with so they can use this "one instrument" to further subdue its people? No. Absolutely not. There is no "one instrument".
Seeber & Partner lawyers	There should be no other international instrument on pandemic preparedness than non-binding recommendations and a scientific exchange between sovereign countries. Supranational institutions like the WHO shall not have any power to impose any measures to any nation, be it a WHO member or not. There shall not be international passes or identity cards as well as no control of related data by institutions like the WHO. Supranational institutions like the WHO shall not stay above parliamentary and judiciary control. They shall have no possibility to declare a worldwide pandemic, as there were no such cases at least in the past 50 years, and it is unlikely that it will occur in future, as even Covid-19 turned out to be not more harmful than seasonal waves of flu. The decision, if a situation should be declared an epidemic or a pandemic, should be reserved to each sovereign country. The definition of a pandemic has to be changed back to the definition of pre-2019. I demand a guarantee for full sovereignty of nations and individuals, no surveillance of indiviuals, full respect of human rights as well as mandatory liability for government officials, WHO officials and all pharmaceutical companies involved. I demand that the pharmaceutical industry have no more influence on the WHO in future.
none	There should be NO pandemic contract, no digital surveillance, no further controls or papers to deregulate or ignore national law. The sovereignty of national law must not be cancelled by EU
Citizen	There should be NO pandemic treaty. The WHO should be given NO powers over elected national governments. Unelected bureaucrats should never have power over elected governments. The WHO should be banned from receiving funding from pharmaceutical companies and the Gates Foundation due to the obvious conflict of interest - organisations and people who profit from health policy (specifically, the manufacture and sales of vaccines and other drugs) should have no influence over health policy. During the Covid pandemic the WHO has proven itself incapable of following the science, even its own science. In 2019 the WHO published a meta-analysis on surgical masks and influenza like illness, which found masks do not prevent infection. Yet the WHO did not oppose mask mandates during covid. A clear majority of studies find Hydroxychloroquine an effective treatment for Covid when used EARLY and in COMBINATION. Yet the WHO recommended that HCQ should not be used on the basis of a fraudulent study. When the Surgisphere scandal broke and the study was retracted, the WHO did not retract its advice. It should have. The evidence in favour of Ivermectin is even more overwhelming, with almost all studies finding it safe and effective when used early. The WHO commissioned Dr. Andrew Hill to review the evidence. Hill found a 75% reduction in mortality, yet the study sponsors - the WHO and Unitaid - instructed him not to recommend against IVM. This is criminal and should be investigated. The WHO has colluded in the suppression of effective treatments so that nothing can disrupt the Emergency Use Authorisations for the Covid vaccines, and is now ignoring deaths & injuries from those vaccines. The WHO needs total reform.
Self	There should be no pandemic treaty. This is another way of saying elite leaders get the only say in how individual persons across the world should handle health. We are sovereign unique people who are and should remain in control of our own health. Health is not one size fits all. What is a healthy varies from person to person. To control future pandemics thru this treaty means you do not value freedom you value control and power. Between vaccines, illnesses, medications, masks etc it affects everyone differently and to lay a blanket agreement under the guise of health is unacceptable and does not mean health or freedom. I implore you to see reason and pray for your hearts to be changed and to not be consumed by greed and money.
None	There should be no provision for lock downs which are detrimental to the psychological wellbeing of the people as well as the development of children. There should be no provision for any form of digital id, passport, certificate or any other means of having to prove vaccination or any other status. Testing should not be a requirement, especially pcr or lateral flow as the simply do not work. Bodily autonomy and sovereignty of the individual should be paramount and people should have the choice to refuse vaccination if they choose.
Awaken India movement	There should be no provision of any kind of mask vaccine or testing mandates in this treaty, or any other mandate which violates an individuals inalienable right to bodily autonomy and self ownership. Pandemics should not be declared based on asymptomatic pcr postives, the criteria to declare a pandemic should be returned to what it was before the who changed it right before the swine flu pandemic.
None	There should be no response by any one international organisation, such as WHO, to any any situation including a pandemic that over-rides any nation's sovereignty or individual human rights...full stop!
Microworks	There should be no standardized response to a pandemic, period. Sovereign peoples should determine the response to diseases where they live. The end.
Self	There should be no substantive elements and no "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". As has been demonstrated by the laterest complete debacle over the latest "pandemic" the WHO is woefully ill equipped to provide any useful medical input, advice or supplies and is astonishingly compliant to the commercial and other interests of parties and individuals that exert funding influence on it. The response to any public health concern must be tailored to the country and the disease. Surprisingly an island like nation like Great Britain has fared far worse in this last pandemic, by complying with the diktats of the WHO than mainland countries like Nigeria, Sierra Leone and Sweden who made their own assessments and action plans based on local conditions, evidence and an understanding of their national culture, geography and population. The WHO has demonstrated that it is not the organisation to dictate to anyone nor can it demonstrate any contribution to an improvement in world health, rather the opposite. Please count this as firm opposition to any plans the WHO might have for an international instrument. It has no mandate and cannot assume one.
Anti NWO	There should be no substantive elements as there should be no treaty full stop. Health sovereignty must remain along with all other sovereignty. Now go stick it where the sun don't shine along with the rest of the NWO.
myself	There should be no substantive elements because there should be no international treaty on pandemic response. This is nothing more than a way to disempower democratically elected governments from doing as they find best for their own people. It is a short path to a world government which, I can assure you, the vast majority of people do not want. The whole idea is completely illegitimate. We have already seen during the so-called "covid-19 pandemic" how much pressure has been placed on national governments all over the world to march in lock step with WHO policies. Giving this pressure the force of international agreement would be a huge mistake. As it is, we can see clearly that countries which followed WHO protocols had the worst outcomes, while those that chose their own path had far better results. USA, Italy and UK are examples of countries that complied; African countries and Sweden are examples of those that determined their own pandemic responses. Do not continue with this plan of a treaty for pandemic response. Drop it. The WHO has no authority to even propose international law.
None	There should be NO substantive elements from a single governing or regulatory body included in any plan to make decisions on a global scale. The nations governing bodies should be allowed to make their own decisions.
General Public	There should be no substantive elements in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Pandemics should be handled on a basis of each country and not given full control at any high level.
Private citizen of U.S.	THERE SHOULD BE NO SUBSTANTIVE ELEMENTS IN AN INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT on pandemic preparedness and response because THERE SHOULD BE NO SUCH INSTRUMENT IN THE FIRST PLACE. Such an instrument would remove health decision-making on the national level, and consequently on the local level, and on the personal level, where it should be.
University of Queensland	There should be NO substantive elements included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. A response to any pandemic should not take a one-size-fits-all approach. Each country should take its own decisions on a pandemic (or any other) response, based on its position as a sovereign and unique nation, driven by its community, national strategies and geopolitical views as defined internally by its own people and governing bodies. There is no need or justification for a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Private	There should be NO substantive elements included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This is an antidemocratic instrument mostly hidden from public discussion by the media. It should be rejected totally. Following the COVID19 course and the role and decisions from WHO, imagening the WHO to have such influence and impact is an utter nightmare. Politicians around the world should reject totally. But as the past shows, this is unlikely to happen.
Healing Warrior	There should be no substantive elements included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO should in no way be responsible for this. Each country should make it's own decisions based on what its citizens want.
Stand for Health Freedom	There should be NO substantive elements included in a new international instrument or pandemic preparedness response. Your organization does not and should not have the power over the decisions the US makes during an emergency. This should be left to the US to determine on its own. You have done a terrible job with the current so called emergency. Each country should make their own decisions based on what is occurring in their country. Not your one size fits all approach that is being forced on the world.
private	There should be NO substantive elements included in an "international" instrument. Each nation needs to determine their own health policies for their own citizens, and not be stripped of their sovereign right to govern.
Public	There should be no substantive elements other than coordinated advice. It should be noted that lockdowns, mandatory vaccination and face coverings are not a silver bullet. One source of advice, without legislative backing is all that should be provided.
NA	There should be no substantive elements that supecedes each country's own Bill of Rights and Constitution. Each country should be able to have options or make their own rules of how they want to handle any health issues or so-called pandemics moving forward. The idea of a One World Health order it's not suitable and not appropriate. It infringing upon sovereignty and human rights of an individual's health moving forward, politicians and local counties should be able to decide what is best moving forward for their constituents. To have unelected bureaucrats and an organization controlling what goes on in each town and a country worldwide makes no sense. People of the world did not vote for this. The idea that there will be future pandemics seems to be something that is wanted and therefore being meticulously plans. When it comes to science and health it is never a one-size-fits-all. That must be taken into consideration and therefore an individual should be able to decide what is best for them and should not be penalized in any way for making decisions based on body autonomy. Overall, nothing new should be added or subtracted that would infringe upon medical oaths, privacy and body autonomy.
Citizen of Ireland	There should be no substantive elements, as there should be no such treaty. I did not vote for this, I do not want it. Even a vote would not be admissible, as we may not vote away fundamental rights.
Self	There should be NO substantive elements. Decisions regarding the health, welfare, and general wellbeing of the American people lies in the hands of our people alone, only to be administered through those we elect to govern us according to the laws of our country. An international organization has no right to govern my, or any, local community. It is crucial to the stability and prosperity of that community for it to be governed by the authorities that live and work in that community, and who understand the express needs of that community. I do not support this "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response." Thank you. Weston Everett
none	There should be no substantive global response to any future pandemic. It is an enormous over reach of an unelected, unaccountable global power to even consider inflicting such a thing on the human population. The WHO has no democratic remit and is funded in part by the very industry and foundations that stand to benefit the most from a 'global' response. The Covid 'pandemic' demonstrated clearly that the corruption and capture of national and global health authorities by the pharmaceutical industries is endemic, with all open scientific discussion being censored,the use of unfit for purpose PCR tests to create inflated 'case' numbers, the use of cheap and effective treatments being suppressed, the use of ineffective and dangerous drugs with a terrible track record (remdesivir) being used instead, and the use of mandates and manipulation to force experimental, ineffective and unsafe vaccines on a world wide scale. The only benefit of these vaccines has been to the coffers of pharmaceutical corporations, which have escaped any liability for the damage they have inflicted by the contrivance of EUAs. the removal of civil liberties and the wildly inaccurate propaganda pumped out by most governments world wide to create fear and obedience for scientifically unjustified rules, backed by the WHO, during the covid 'pandemic' leaves me with no faith whatsoever in the WHO as a body with the interests of individual people at its heart. The 'substantive response' needs to be limited to providing adequate health care to those that need it and to providing accurate and open (uncensored) discussion of the scientific information available so that INDIVIDUALS can make thier own health choices about their own bodies.
Public School	There should be NO such document. Countries should never lose their autonomy over anything not even health issues. WHO doesn't own all scientists and there are opposing scientific views. I do not comply.
U.S.citizen	There should be no such global health policy/mandates on health and medical procedure/preventions for all counties alike. Nations should maintain the freedom to hear from their citizens in how to govern on these issues. Health and medicine is never a one size fit all and should always default to local and national levels and in turn to the individual. Sincerely, Kari Burke
Self	There should be no such global treaty. My comments regarding any proposed treaty and what it should include are as follows: - National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - There should be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Thank you for the opportunity to submit my comments.
None	There should be no such instrument constructed. The WHO is not an elected body, is not accountable to anyone, and has an extremely poor record of performance in this last "pandemic." The WHO has no right to attempt to supersede any national constitution. Should a pandemic emerge, each country will plot their own course and will cooperate with appropriate international efforts in control and amelioration. Stop this nonsense — you are overreaching and attempting to impose totalitarian control that is neither wanted nor necessary. STOP.

Organization	Written submission
None	There should be no such instrument created by the WHO or any other supra-national organisation, owing to their being populated largely by unelected officials who consequently lack the requisite democratic legitimacy. I, like many, have been left outraged going on venomously angry by the appalling contempt that organisations such as the WHO have exhibited for individual rights and freedoms during the past two+ years of the COVID-19 "pandemic." The competency of such bodies has been undermined by what can politely be described as subjective reading of the scientific evidence as regards: the lethality of COVID-19; the efficacy of measures such as face coverings; the reliability of the various testing methods, and; the safety and effectiveness of treatment options be they hastily-developed vaccines or pre-existing, patent-expired medicines. The formulation of responses to any perceived pandemic must be undertaken on the most local level possible, since no supra-national or even national organisation can hope to understand the nuances inherent to local environments, demographics and cultures nor therefore to have any hope of developing effective policy responses, much less moral ones. Any over-arching pandemic response policy is doomed to impotence, as evidenced by the lack of any clear pattern in rates of COVID-19 infection and mortality between (and within) countries despite their having implemented vastly different policies at different times. In any case, a policy response must be one which centres on maximum individual liberty and never sacrifices inalienable human rights for the supposed good of some nebulous collective such as "Public health."
Individual	There should be no such instrument: it is wholly inappropriate for this kind of response to be mandated by a central organisation. Each country needs to craft a unique response considering: * their resources * the appropriate trade-offs for their population * their environment * their differing seasons as dictated by geography * politics: differing perspectives on risk, different weights that the population puts on different types of risk But most importantly, such an instrument would represent a totally unacceptable degradation in freedom and democratic accountability. Finally, the WHO with its drastic, unevidenced and politically-influenced measures along with unreliable flipping of positions throught the Covid-19 pandemic, is not a suitable organisation to be dictating any such policy.
N/A	There should be no such international instrument. During the covid pandemic, most countries adopted roughly the same policies, the most plausible explanation for which is that politicians were frightened for their own careers and chose to imitate each other in the hope that if things did not turn out well, they could use the excuse that everyone else was doing it. However, the few countries and US states that ignored the groupthink (e.g. Sweden and Florida, but for much of the past two years most of Scandinavia has been extremely low on the stringency scale) have had average or below average covid deaths and excess deaths. Given the immense harm to mental and physical health from wrongfully imprisoning people in their homes, intentionally causing a deep recession, depriving children of normal development and violating the Nuremberg code by attempting to force people of all ages to take vaccines that are riskier than covid for young people, the countries that ignored the international consensus did best. And we can only see this because they ignored the consensus. The logical conclusion is that we need less groupthink in future to find out what works. The WHO's attempt to standardise responses across countries therefore appears more like they want to escape responsibility when the consensus policies fail by smothering potentially better alternatives. That is the antithesis of promoting better public health.
Self	There should be no such program under WHO or any international organization. We have seen enough foolishness in the name of healthcare in the past 2 years. We see only that local control is best.
None	There should be NO such treaty since every country should respond in a way in which they feel appropriate. We should not have a top down autocratic group telling anyone how to respond. We all know that the WHO is corrupt but even if that were not the case and the WHO were run by St. Peter himself we would not one organization determining how all the countries of the world respond to a pandemic. Here are just a few self-evident reasons: 1. With one Pandemic Response treaty for all pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers would only have to infiltrate and corrupt one organization instead of all the countries of the world. It is exactly why our Founding Fathers created the state and federal systems. With only a federal system there is only one group to corrupt but with 50 states you have 50 state governments to corrupt. 2. We want more experiments done - not just one. A bottom up approach with thousands of organic responses would ensure that some country or group hits upon the right response(s). Let a million flowers grow. 3. We never want to respond all in the exact same way since that would make us all vulnerable in the exact same way. If the WHO makes a mistake then we are all vulnerable in the same way. 4. Each country can make their own decisions based on their own customs, beliefs and religions. Why would African people react in the same way as Swiss people? They are very different cultures, demographics, religions and beliefs. If Black Lives Matter Movement has taught me anything is that everyone has their own subjective experience that should not be denied, ignored or denigrated. This is just common sense. To do otherwise is the height of corruption and/or foolishness.
Individual citizen	There should be no treaty at the supranational level. The WHO has been bought and paid for by globalist interests. Like the emergency legislation of the last two years, this treaty will be used to further the destruction of sovereign states, control and coerce populations and advance the technocratic agenda.
None	There should be no treaty regarding "pandemics" since no set of requirements can adequately take into account all of the world's variables. Any such requirements would amount to little more than authoritarianism on an international scale.
None	There should be no treaty that can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation.
Public participant	There should be no treaty that enables and empowers the WHO or any other organisation to control and implement measures as it sees fit. This eliminates democracy in its totality and violates basic human rights. Countries should remain sovereign and manage pandemics and related matters in terms of their own policies and procedures which were approved by elected members of parliament and the people. My recommendation is that the WHO should obtain feedback from the public globally and ensure that this request for inputs is properly communicated to the public. In this feedback, the WHO must make it very clear that this treaty will provide you with the right to trample the human rights of individuals globally in the event of a next pandemic. The trampled human rights and lives lost during the Covid pandemic and expected further deaths due to the experimental injections under the guise of a 'vaccine' is a window into what is to come should the authority be directed to the WHO in case of another pandemic. WHO should note that its management was not elected democratically by those who are and will be affected by its policies and treaties. It therefore do not have the authority to implement any of these measures. Ministers of Health, furthermore, do not have the legal authority nor the legal knowledge to approve such a treaty on behalf of countries' citizens who will be the ones affected by the treaty.
Myself	There should be no treaty that will remove power from sovereign countries like the US because such treaties are dangerous to the health and welfare of said countries. Because we as individuals have complete knowledge of our own bodies, our health decisions should stay as close to home as possible, including when a perceived emergency exists. Our health decisions should ALWAYS be between the medical practitioner and patient, with significant power given to the individual with ADVICE from the medical practitioners. The road we are about to embark upon is very dangerous, and if you believe in the preservation of human life, this treaty would be antithetical to that. Thank you
Uk citizen	There should be No treaty, Human Rights are inalienable and no Organisation or Government has the right to stop these rights because of emergencies. Body autonomy must be respected at all times and people have the right to say what they put in their body no Organisation or Government has the right to dictate this violates all Human Rights and Nuremburg Code. People should not be denied other life saving drugs and should not be steered towards one type of medication/vacination which may not be the correct choice for them. Any manufacturer distributors and administrators must be held liable for any damages their products cause and vacine injuries must be discussed and informed constent given to any person who decides to take any medication the only way to gain public faith. People have the right to travel work provide for their family visit their family be with their family at end of life this should never be restricted due to your vaccine status more so now we know the covid vaccine does not stop people spreading the virus. People who are healthy should never be in any lockdown, protect the vunerable. People should have the right to their opinion and also other medical experts outwith WHO should be allowed to have their opinions listened to and be able to speak out. Citizens should also have a say and vote to end any medical emergencies and the right to live their lives the way they want weighing up their own risks as people do in every day life. NO TO ANY TREATY
Humanity	There should be no treaty, no organisation should hold such power
na	there should be no treaty, so there need be no suggested elements therein.
UK Citizen	There should be no treaty. But if there is, it must include the following: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	There should be no treaty. Civil rights to choose must prevail. Handing over more control to WHO or any other undemocratic organisation should never happen
N/A	There should be no treaty. Each country should decide their own pandemic preparedness. The WHO has proven to be wrong, worthless, and a political weapon during the Covid pandemic. In fact, the WHO should be dismantled.
Private citizen	There should be no treaty. The WHO is a privately funded organisation with no democratic oversight. It has no right to override the laws of sovereign nations. Any public servant of a sovereign nation who signs such a treaty would be guilty of high treason.
Justice Society	There should be no treaty. The WHO shouldn't be trusted. You've failed to properly give disclosure to anything you're supposedly advocating for. A treaty is unnecessary and violates our un-A-LIEN-able rights. We do not consent.
Catalyst Mind	There should be no unified global pandemic response. The WHO totally messed up this last one. Information was covered up and people suffered unnecessarily. Data should be freely shared and decisions about how to respond should be handled by the various jurisdictions independently. No one governing body has all the answers and the more diverse responses, the better.
general public	There should be no universal or international instrument or set of procedures regarding pandemic preparedness and response. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
CNNS	THERE SHOULD BE NO WHO INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE AUTHORITY PLACE IN THE WHO. The WHO lacks the authority, credibility, competence and legal standing to even begin to presume that it is THE authority for handling global pandemic issues. When dealing with a large-scale crisis, it is most effective to have many local experts testing various approaches to solve the crisis and NOT a top-down, "one-size-fits-all" approach. Please study your history of global health more carefully, including the most recent "pandemic" where it is only a pandemic because of the specious way in which the PCR test was used and protocols for listing "cause of death" were changed. The WHO's sources of funding, directorship and information on which to base decisions all show clearly that is the WHO is inappropriately biased toward supporting the use of invasive and inadequately (or even fraudulently) tested therapies that do more damage than good. CONSIDER THIS QUESTION CAREFULLY: Will the WHO assume financial responsibility for deaths and adverse events caused by its mandates? Frankly, this "international instrument of preparedness" appears to be a power play by the WHO to take over the role of "God" in making people's personal health choices. It makes the WHO look like a criminal entity attempting to usurp national and personal sovereignty. REMEMBER: IN THE END, EVERYTHING COMES TO THE LIGHT OF GOD. HOW DOES THE WHO AND EVERYONE INVOLVED IN IT WANT TO BE JUDGED FOR ETERNITY?
None	There should be no WHO pandemic plan which overrules the sovereignty of nation states. You were elected by nobody and have no authority over individual nations.
Free human beings	There should be no WHO Regulation at all. Leave health policies and politics to Nation States and their regions. Practice the subsidiarity principle. No undemocratic Organisation should ever get any power, especially not in intrusive areas like health. And, if you ever want to gain trust again, garantee your Independence in whatever way from single human beings, however philantrophic they or their organisations might seem.
self	There should be no WHO treaty...USA should not be in this! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self employed	There should be No World Health Organization new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? Pandemic response should remain under the control of local governments. There are plenty of busibodies locally, we definetely don't need a layer of control from outside people. You should be voting on disbanding the World Health Organization.
Private citizen	There should be NO WORLD Pandemic Plan. These things are best decided at the local level. In addition, nations have laws that should not be superseded. Citizens of all nations have already had enough of their freedoms taken away in the name of safety. If we are ill we can quarantine ourselves. Local level is the key. In addition ANY excuse could be used by bad actors for declaring a pandemic. Heart disease, diabetes, etc...and perpetual pandemics and you take away the sovereignty of nations. So, is this your goal? To take away the sovereignty of nations?
Myself	There should be NO worldwide governing body which dictates the response to ANYTHING. We did NOT vote for the WHO to run our country or become a dictatorship for the world.
n/a	There should be no world-wide pandemic response that is funded by any pharmaceutical company or conglomerate. There should be no world-wide response that takes freedom away from the individual. All measures should be based on truth, and no contrary views should be silenced. No person should be forced to undergo a medical prodecure, take any kind of drug, or wear any kind of medical apparatus without their consent. No political entity should be involved at any level.
Sofonisba School of the Arts	There should be no worldwide policy for health practices. Each country, state, or area should decide independently what measures should be taken regarding health in their areas. No policy should be made that interferes with medical freedom, religious freedom, freedom of speech, and bodily autonomy. Because beliefs, needs, and people are so varied individual rights and local jurisdiction must be protected. The Nuremberg Code should be upheld and no one should be required to participate in any medical experimentation or practices without their consent. Please avoid making worldwide policy that harms individuals and families by using one size fits all solutions that are ineffective and oppressive. Avoid creating documents that lead to authoritarian governments that trample the basic human rights of individuals and the rights of sovereign nations to decide law for their own people.
None	There should be NO written instrument directing world-wide responses to a pandemic that is intended to control all of mankind.
Self employed medic	There should be none !
n/a	There should be none because the pandemic is over and the vaccines are ineffective in preventing illness.
N/A	There should be none. And the statement in question presupposes that there should be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response in the first place, which I vehemently disagree with.
N/A	There should be none. Nothing we were told to do, even made a difference in stopping COVID but increased obesity and health related issues from that, deeply affected mental health and health related issues from that. Let people decide for themselves what will be best for them individually. Because everything that was implemented, was ineffective.
Parent	There should be NOTHING included in an international response. The takes away the rights of individuals. I recognize most countries do not have individual liberties concerning their health system, but to take those personal decisions away is CRIMINAL. "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Americans DO NOT need a body of "decision makers" telling them what health path they need to take to protect or treat themselves or their families.
Public	There should be nothing that overides the national power of individual government. Anything that does will be resisted in the strongest possible terms. Agree on co operation by all means but the MOST important part is that individual countries remain supreme. WHO cannot be allowed to dictate restrictions on people in other countries
Public	There should be nothing that supersedes the freedom of people. What has happened in this world has been disastrous to so many - loss of jobs and forced vaccines is wrong.
Individual	There should be OPEN MEDICAL DEBATE between doctors and scientists. Everyone should be allowed to participate and be listened to. It's wrong to stick to only one narrative, the one that makes pharmaceutical companies richer. There were many cures not approved by the Governments even though they worked. Many Scientists spoke against the mRNA vaccine, but were not given a platform to speak. Adverse reactions and Death should be made public for everyone to know, so people can make the choice for themselves. People should not be forced to take a vaccine that hasn't gone through long time clinical trials. My nephew got mayocarditis and I know someone who died after the vaccine (blood clot to the brain). He was 35 years old. It should NEVER be mandatory. Thank you.
UNIVERSITY OF GHANA	There should be properly organized benefit sharing arrangement scheme. All pathogens are biological and there should be close observation of the provisions of the Nagoya Protocol on Benefit Sharing. WHO should as a matter of principle be in a position to interact with the Secretariat of the UN Convention on Biological Diversity which oversees the operations of the Nagoya Protocol on Benefit Sharing.
private citizen	There should be provision for individuals and communities to opt-out of any provisions agreed upon by the WHO.
Private individual	There should be provision for national, provincial and/or local leadership to opt out of any or all measures in the instrument if this is, in their view, in the best interests of their constituencies, and there should be no consequences of opting out. The instrument must provide for full autonomy to be retained by national and/or local leadership, concerning any provisions in the instrument. The ability of national and local leadership to make decisions based on what they determine is best for their own people must not be impaired. The instrument should explicitly prevent the influence, either direct or indirect, of any and all large, for-profit companies in the processes associated with the instrument. Such companies would include the pharmaceutical companies and any others that might profit from the measures in the instrument. The instrument should include mechanisms for its adoption that provide for the full, democratic participation of people in deciding what measures should be adopted in their nation or area. For example, referendums should be held and the instrument should be adopted only if a majority of the voters support it.
Children's Health Defense	There should be provisions included for local municipalities to opt out of a recommended response. Provisions should be put in place so that pharmaceutical companies and other health profiteers cannot influence a pandemic response or preparedness measure.
Concerned citizen	There should be public consultation and a vote on this, considering the magnitude of what you are proposing. The question you have asked here makes the assumption that this global pandemic response is going ahead. The public do not want it to go ahead, I certainly do not. Please see points below, sticking to the confines of your guiding question: 1. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must be prevented from influencing the pandemic response. 2. Existing safe, effective, and cheap drug therapies like Ivermectin on the WHO's list of essential medicines, should be an option available to the public for future pandemics and doctors should be free to treat their patients as they see fit, for the best of their patients. 2. Individual people to have full power over what they will/will not have administered to their/children's bodies and must have full informed consent, alongside balanced media outlining risks and benefits to pharmaceutical products. No pharmaceutical interventions should be mandatory. The Nuremberg code must be upheld and written into this plan. 3. Local and national governments must retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what they determine is best for their own citizens, and they must be able to opt out of any part without consequence. All processes must be open and transparent, and there must be failsafe measures to prevent the application of any global agreement where a majority of people do not want it. 4. Full risk assessments of proposed measures should be transparent and easily available to the public, e.g. lockdowns, face masks and pharmaceutical options. Risk assessments to be carried out by independent parties and democratically approved by the public.
citizen	There should be public consultation and a vote on this, considering the magnitude of what you are proposing. The question you have asked here makes the assumption that this global pandemic response is going ahead. The public do not want it to go ahead, I certainly do not. Please see points below, sticking to the confines of your guiding question: 1. Pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers must be prevented from influencing the pandemic response. 2. Existing safe, effective, and cheap drug therapies like Ivermectin on the WHO's list of essential medicines, should be an option available to the public for future pandemics and doctors should be free to treat their patients as they see fit, for the best of their patients. 3. Individual people to have full power over what they will/will not have administered to their/children's bodies and must have full informed consent, alongside balanced media outlining risks and benefits to pharmaceutical products. No pharmaceutical interventions should be mandatory. The Nuremberg code must be upheld and written into this plan. 4. Local and national governments must retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what they determine is best for their own citizens, and they must be able to opt out of any part without consequence. All processes must be open and transparent, and there must be failsafe measures to prevent the application of any global agreement where a majority of people do not want it. 5. Full risk assessments of proposed measures should be transparent and easily available to the public, e.g. lockdowns, face masks and pharmaceutical options. Risk assessments to be carried out by independent parties and democratically approved by the public.
Ärztekammer Österreich	There should be substancial elements to be included such as: -countries get affected differently during a pandemic and require an individual response. therefore, guarantees for souverenity of every country and every individual should be the most mandatory part. where there is risk there must be choice! -another important element is the unchangeable and non-negotiable respect for human rights as well as a personal legal liability of every single member and sponsor of the WHO, the governments and pharmaceutical industries.
TNR Advocates	There should be suggestions and helpful medicines offered. WHO's job is to be helpful to the public, not harm them with mandates that don't work, and threats and bribery that take away hope of living a long life. Now WHO and others in leadership capacity have cried wolf so many times, we will not believe you anyway in the event of a real pandemic. so this is a fruitless petition that makes no sense. WHO ask what they can do, you can research and find out how many are dead from the so called vaccines, and then ask your own selves just how useful you are. You WHO, are useless to society. At least those in control now are useless.
Personal	There should be the inclusion that NO organisation, with or without a constitution, can override a country's sovereign right to adhere to this treaty if measures are not in the best interest of the country's citizens. SO the WHO cannot override or have the ability to override a country's sovereignty within this treaty. As an Australian citizen, I do not consent to this being signed by Australia. ALL citizens of a country must be consulted before signing.
No organization	There should be the possibility, that each state can choose if it wants to follow the pandemic plan. There should not be a must!!!
Familie Mucha	There should be the right to make proposals, as it is at the moment. I think that something more is not necessary.
Self-Appointed Chairman of 25.2744° S 133.7751° E	There should be ZERO international mandates enforced. Each country and nation should keep it's own governance and make it's own decisions during virus outbreaks, and never be dictated by unelected self-appointed global officials.
Member of public	There should never be a 'one size fits all' world wide mandate to any future pandemic response. All local authorities must have the power to do what they believe is best for their region/country, as Sweden, Florida, Japan have shown by navigating the present pandemic with more intelligence than the majority of other heavy handed interventions by most countries. There must be no influence on pandemic response by the pharmaceutical industry.
Health Rights	There should never be a one world order for healthcare! American families should be able to make their own medical decisions. As we know, every country has dealt with the pandemic response a little differently, based on the extent of an outbreak in that particular country. Some handled it well, and others caused more harm than good. China, Australia and Canada for example, have gone way overboard with severe lockdowns, quarentine camps and mandates. Those actions caused their citizens irreputable damage! America needs to remain free of medical tyranny. And the best way to do that is to keep the ball in our court. Our personal medical decisions should never be dictated by some international board. This is very dangerous!
None	There should never be a one-size-fits "-all response to a pandemic or any other event. Why? No human being is the same. A protocol for one person may not have the same desired effect in another person. In fact, it could have a detrimental effect and cause harm. Individuals should have the option to determine what is in the best interest for their health and that of their family. Period. Public policy is supposed to be a platform to informed decision-making, not mandates. Furthermore, international, national and local policy should be open to different opinions. Censorship and control has no place in public policy at any level. Bottom line, the WHO should not be mandating one protocol. There should be a variety of options to consider and recommend based on the specific situation, credible science without conflicts of interest, a personalized approach and informed consent above all. I hope you sincerely review this information and think about the impact your decision has on humanity. Thank you!
private	There should NEVER be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Such an instrument will undermine the sovereignty of the member states and their constitutions. There is no guarantee that such an instrument will not install international identity documents or, even worse, include committments to manufacture and market so-called vaccines at an even faster pace. Before thinking on any instruments, the WHO should seriously investigate and provide information about covid-19 vaccine damage and financial interests in marketing these covid-19 vaccines.
none (private citizen of UK)	There should never be any authoritarian "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" without my vote and the vote of all the other private citizens first because it is impossible to have one-rule for all that does not contradict individual rights i.e. group-rights by definition are immoral because they over-ride the rights of the individual to e.g. life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness i.e. man's right to his own life - the current example of this is the mass-deaths by untested, gene-therapies against the SARS-Cov2 virus that continues today and for which no one will be held responsible. Another example is the denial of natural immunity - this is extreme propaganda that can only happen by centralised governance e.g. the WHO (and all the rest). Decentralisation (at the limit being the individual) is the only moral solution (because at the limit, the solutions are equal to every member of humanity - it is one' own choice of what to put into one's own body).
Personal	There should never be any world organization that could take personal health decisions away from an individual. Including masks, any health directive as well as quarantine away from one's home. This would be against humanity rights and freedoms that are God given.
Self	There should never be one organization that any say about a pandemic. Those decisions should be left to individual countries.
The Post & Email	There should not be a "new international instrument" regarding response to another "pandemic." The WHO should never dictate to sovereign nations the manner in which they should handle an illness outbreak; it should be up to each country's government to decide what should be done, particularly in the wake of COVID-19, which was mismanaged by virtually every government and authoritative entity on the planet. Lockdowns, masking children, and forcing people to take vaccines which carry a high degree of risk since they were largely untested prior to administration was wrong. People of all countries have a right to make informed decisions, which means they must be provided full disclosure of all the known risks and benefits. As it stands now, most governments have not acknowledged the tremendous loss of life and sheer scale of injuries incurred to date as a result of the COVID vaccines and possibly others, and most people, at least in the United States, did not know that the vaccine manufacturers are almost completely released from liability.
None	There should not be a "global response" to a pandemic. Each country, each circumstance is different. Every sovereign nation needs to make their own decisions!
none	There should NOT be a coordinated world-wide response. Each country must assess its own situation and potential vulnerabilities to any threat and foment plans tailored to their needs. International politicians - GO HOME and take care of your own house and we will do the same.
US Citizen	There should not be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The plan would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy.
Individual	There should not be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The potential for undemocratic international bodies to have a profound impact on our civil liberties is unacceptable. I believe the WHO should have no power to make decisions or exercise any control over our lives. The covid pandemic has shown that the WHO is essentially a public nuisance who just jump up and call for action that damages our liberties and harms the environment. Its time its role was questioned not expanded.
Force Brewery	There should not be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The proposed treaty is entirely undemocratic and seeks to strip the individual of rights, not protect them. No unelected body should dictate to elected governments and their people, and no organisation of any kind can supersede the individual's right to bodily autonomy. The past two years has demonstrated the web of conflicts of interest between the pharmaceutical industry, technological control and health policy makers and these interests should never be permited to influence legislation. Politics and profit are not guiding principles for securing health. The WHO should be concerned with prohibiting and investigating fraudulent pharmaceutical activity so that, never again, can a harmful and fatal experimental drug be forced on the global population.
NZ citizen	There should not be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. There is no need for this and it interferes with and can seriously impact and weaken our collective health freedom and individual health autonomy. We do not wish to have our health freedom threatened by the WHO with a sweeping "one size fits all" mandate that we did not give them permission for. It will increase the power of the WHO to declare unjustified pandemics, impose lockdowns and other measures including unsafe, ineffective treatments that are against the will of the people. People around the world have a right to participate in an agreement that will affect their lives, livelihoods and wellbeing, however the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation regarding this. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda due to the obvious conflicts of interest. It's priority seems to be in capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. I stand against this costly abuse of power and assert the sovereign right of people to make their own decisions regarding their own health and that of their communities.
N/A	There should not be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. You are not elected and therefore have no power to mandate any action or medical intervention.
Private Citizen	There should not be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. You have proven you are irresponsible based on your previous responses to Covid-19.
None	There should not be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Each country should be sovereign in its response to a pandemic or any other health related response for its citizens. As a Canadian, I did not vote or elect 'international' interference in response to any national issue or concern. Covid-19 has only amplified that resolve.

Organization	Written submission
Growwright Inc.	There should not be a new International Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness. and Response. The experience over the last 2 years has shown that any international action in concert leads to erosion of individual and sovereign rights and government overreach to the detriment of citizens and economies. International cooperation should be restricted to the exchange of information, not coercion and control.
Individuals for Freedom	There should NOT be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness. These matters should be decided by individual countries. Health officials should NOT have the power to override elected officials in making decisions that lock citizens up and suspend their rights under any circumstances.
Headwaters Health	There should not be a one size fits all approach from centralized decision makers. Diversity of solutions to any problem would provide better options. It also allows decision makers in unique locales to apply policies more efficient to their circumstances. With all due respect the global response directed by WHO to the SARS CoV2 pandemic caused incredible harm. The case study of India is evidence. Compare outcomes in Provinces following WHO recommendations to places like Uttar Pradesh who chose a different approach. This comparison shows that a local approach saved hundreds of thousands of lives by disregarding centralized advice from afar. The concept of a WHO centralized approach is disastrous. Please stop
N/A	There should not be a one size fits all approach to healthcare. Each local community should be able to plan and set their own guidelines according to their needs.
Private	There should not be a one size fits all approach to pandemics. It should be dealt with in a country by country bases and through democratically elects members at local, regional, and national levels. The WHO should not assume the right to over step this with a singular international approach.
Aclu	There should not be a one size fits all for any pandemic responses. There should be no global agreement that usurps the sovereignty of any nation or state. We do not consent to a one public policy for the entire world. The rights of nations to be guided by their own democratically elected leaders is primary. I reject the WHO'S power grab. I do not feel this is in any one's interest other than the global elites.
Qsl private limited	There should not be a one size fits all for countries facing pandemics. Medical conditions of human beings and individuals vary from person to person. No universal treatment can be justified without knowing an individual's medical condition. The best example is the failure of the covid vaccines around the world. It's harming more people than it's helping. In my opinion , there must NEVER be a Prescribed universal standard treatment for all pandemics. Each country must find their own solution or at least wait for a tested and proven solution for pandemics. WHO has endorsed the usage of " experimental vaccines" which are using humans as guinea pigs or test materials which is bordering a global crime. This practice must stop.
Air Daddy	There should not be a one size fits all solution to any pandemic response. Global tracking or control by any one body is a highly destructive tool that should be given to no one. Furthermore , this is not done with the consent of the people and your organization has failed to do anything in terms of finding the origins of Covid 19 or stop vaccinations campaigns that punish ppl for not participating.
Citizen	There should not be a one world decision under any cicunstance to make a policy on health for humanity. Not al people's genetics are the same, not all climates are the same, not all people's spiritual beliefs are the same. All these can be strong guidance on how one would make health decisions. All nations should remain soverign. Some countries in Africa and also in europe did not do any masking or lockdowns nor take any of the gene therapy shots an faired just as good and even better than nations that did such things. Say no to a "global health treaty".
N/A	There should not be a pandemic response plan. This plan which will allow for the abolishment of individual human rights and sovereign rights to make our own personal choices and shouldn't even be considered. I oppose this treaty.
Freedom for Americans	There should not be a question of whether we approve of the New international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It should not even be considered. NO, we do not want it at all!!You have been ignoring the rights of people all over the world. We will not give up any more of our freedoms!! Who does the WHO think they are? Do not tell us you are doing what is best for the world, history has shown your cover-up and errors. We have NO faith in your judgements or knowledge.You need to listen to world that are requesting the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for our human rights, legal liability . We need the right to decide what is best for our own privacy and personal health. We know that this applies to us not to you or your family!! You know what you are trying to do is not legal and is not wanted! Do not ignor the rights of all the people in the world. We know that we will all eventully answer for our decisions, let your decison not be one you can not live with or will regret!!! Do what you know is moral and right, this is a EVIL plan!
N/A	There should not be a single pandemic response agreemenr in the first place. Each sovereign state should determine its own strategy in pandemic preparedness and response. This does not preclude cooperation with other sovereign states.
Member of public	There should not be a treaty like this. This is a hugely undemocratic power grab. It will over-ride nation state autonomy and replace democratic rule with technocratic world government. I oppose this in the strongest possible terms. Nation states must remain free to design and implement their own pandemic and public health rules that meet the needs of their citizens. This is a very dangerous step
NA	There should not be a universal international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Each country has its' own elected government and it's' own health services that provides for the people in that country. We elected our governments democratically to act in our inter tests autonomously as the United Kingdom, not the world. Our demographic is different to that of other nations, therefore how can a one size fits all international approach for the people of the United Kingdom? It is wrong to suggest that we follow international guidelines.
none	There should not be additional powers given to international organizations to handle any future pandemic. Smaller local organizations should handle responses. And, doctors should be able to practice medicine to treat their patients without being dictated from any international organizations what they can and cannot do and use to treat patients.
Private	There should NOT be an "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". Citizens of democratic countries should not be subject to rules decided upon by supranational organisations like the WHO and their unelected, compromised leaders.
Wythe County Parents for Accountability/SWVA Tea Party	There should not be an "world" organization having rights that subject people from individual countries not having a VOTE. National & local leaders should have full autonomy & decisions should only be made by constituent votes. There has to be full transparency, with NO pharmacutical companies, their stockholders or individuals having influence. One world organizations should be outlawed!
Concerned Citizen of USA	There should NOT be an international entity handling a pandemic. Nations, states, and local authorities should retain full autonomy to make the best informed decisions for their citizens. The need to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement by national, state, and local authorities needs to be retained. A transparent process needs to be in place so that all people can make an informed decision in the best interest of their own personal health. An international treaty is not the answer.
None	There should not be an international instrument for so-called pandemic response. The irresponsible and completely unsupportable actions of the WHO and world leaders over the past two years to control the lives of people highlight the fact that they should not ever have any power whatsoever. The WHO should be disolved. It does nothing to better the lives of the people and is only means to control people. Humans should always have the freedom to make their own health decisions. As a child of God, I have sovereign control over my own body not subject to any regulation from any international body.
Concerned Citizen	There should not be an international instrument of pandemic preparedness and response. There should be a plan at the national and local level. Each country is different. We are not all one world. Each nation should be able to make their own decisions. The people of a nation look to their leader, not a world leader. The World Health Organization should let each country have their own plan for a pandemic.
N/A	There should not be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
self	There should NOT be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Each country should handle their own issues according to what is appropriate for their citizens. I am against a one world government, and this is a prelude to it. I want a world where people are free. This will not happen under a one world government or a national pandemic plan. One size does not fit all!
public	There should NOT be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The most suitable and effective responses are generated at the local level which is quick, focused and rapidly responsive to the unique circumstances that exist. International efforts are ponderous, one size fits none and either too late or not appropriate for most locations.
self	There should not be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The WHO or any other organization has no right to make treaties that remove power from the citizens of the US or any other country and are dangerous to the health and welfare of citizens. All health decisions are personal and based on the individual's rights and need to stay this way, even when an emergency exists. The COVID vaccines are the perfect example: WHERE THERE IS RISK, THERE MUST BE CHOICE AND CONSENT. These experimental gene therapy shots touted as vaccines were an epic fail and have caused more death than the virus released from the Wuhan lab! The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." PLEASE READ AND STAY TRUE TO YOUR OWN CONSTITUTION.
Private individual	There should not be an international instrument; there is simply no justification for a democratically unelected organisation to assert primacy. If there is to be one, it should not extend beyond information sharing. National and local governments should retain full autonomy, able to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It requires an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It should include measures that prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Bev's Garden Maintenance	There should NOT be an international mandate on pandemic responses. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be no influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company or other global health profiteer.
none	There should NOT be an international mandate on pandemic responses. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be no influence in the process by any pharmaceutical company or other global health profiteer. I felt that my country did a great job in managing the pandemic. Thank you.
Stroud-shiatsu	There should not be an international mandate on responses to Pandemic because the better option is to support local and national organisations that are close to the problem to make their own decisions. There is plenty of evidence to suggest that WHO has used the pandemic to centralise its own power and deepen its control of health issues without regard to the health and well-being of ordinary people. There is also evidence that WHO enacts policy to implement the requests of its wealthy donors rather than seeking to support holistic tried and tested health protocols other than vaccines All local and national bodies and councils must be able to opt out of top-down, centralised health policies without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process whereby people in their own localities can come up with their own solutions and failsafe measures without recourse to a "universally-applied" standard and where a majority of people clearly do not support such a mandate. Finally, no global Pharmaceutical company should be allowed to profit from such a mandate
General public	There should not be an international treaty that sovereign countries must submit to. Lockdowns, forced injections, forced masking, forced testing and social distancing have done nothing to stop the spread of the Covid virus. These measures have just caused economic disaster, loss of small businesses and jobs, goods and service / supply chain shortages. Injuries and death from the experimental injections with zero liability from the pharmaceutical companies is a crime against citizens. Stop the madness. Stop gain of function research.
Citizen of the U.S.	There should not be ANY "agreement" with a NON-governmental organization to supersede IN ANY WAY all rights and responsibilities fully and wholly owned BY the citizens and governments of the various nations and countries. The W.H.O. should ONLY EVER be an advisory group -- with NO temporal or legislative power over or in any country! The W.H.O should ALWAYS be subordinate to national, legislative, and local DECISIONS by the people.
Public	There should not be ANY "agreement" with a NON-governmental organization to supersede IN ANY WAY all rights and responsibilities fully and wholly owned BY the citizens and governments of the various nations and countries. The W.H.O. should ONLY EVER be an advisory group -- with NO temporal or legislative power over or in any country! The W.H.O should ALWAYS be subordinate to national, legislative, and local DECISIONS by the people.
	There should not be any "international instrument" without guarantee for state and individual sovereignty , respekt for human rights for legal liability of government officials and / or pharmaceutical companies.
.	There should not be any "international instrument" without guarantee for state and individual sovereignty, physical and mental integrity, i.e. respect for human rights! - and for legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
None	There should not be any "international instrument" without guarantee for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, for legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies!
Humankind	There should not be any "international instrument" without guarantee for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, for legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
None	There should not be any "international instrument" without guarantee for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, for legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
	There should not be any "international instrument" without guarantee for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, for legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Individual Man	There should not be any binding international treaty, only recommendations regarding genuine means of cooperation. Any treaty: 1. Must not displace, override, remove or limit any constitutional, legal or natural rights and freedoms of any man or woman anywhere. 2. Must not remove or limit the right to free and informed consent for any measures. 3. Must be based on opt-in principles down to the individual level with no consequences for those who do not consent to any proposed measure. 4. Must not override or limit the constitution or laws of any country — national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 5. Must allow nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 6. Must be open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 7. Must guarantee open, free honest information with no limits on free speech and opinion. 8. Must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, media and big-tech organisations, military and security organisations, corporations, NGOs or global organisations including but not limited to the WEF, World Bank, etc.
N/A	There should not be ANY element to ANY global response with the WHO. This would take away my personal liberties from God and as a United States citizen. Please do not institute this under any circumstance.
Public school teacher	There should not be any entity controlling the whole planet, especially if that controlling body is supported by the pharmaceutical industry who profits off of those decisions. Pandemic Control should be local, and decision makers should be voted on by the resident community. Personal health, medical freedom and safety should be prioritized, unlike what has occurred in the past two years.
NIL	There should not be any general and international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response rather it should be decided on a national level. No one could know better that us, the People!
Individual	There should NOT be ANY international 'instrument of preparedness' of ANY sort whatsoever. The WHO has totally discredited itself as untrustworthy and ineffective. There should be NO interference of ANY nature in any sovereign country anywhere, for any reason, (such as medical, economic or military but not limited to) at ANY time. Stay out. Stay away. The WHO may not under ANY circumstances involve itself at any level of government, corporate or social organisation. WHO has lied and has no basis for existing at all any longer. Disband and disappear. The WHO must in fact be held fully accountable and dismantled entirely.
Public	There should NOT be any international instrument on pandemic preparedness. There should NOT be any international instrument on pandemic response. National governments should make their own arrangements for preparing for, or responding to, any pandemic. In any international organisation (e.g. WHO) or co-ordination, there is significant risk of huge financial interests acting in their own interests regarding any health issues e.g. creating a problem for which they receive massive cash flows. Secondly, there are huge benefits from a multitude of different opinions and different approaches, competing against each other, for the most effective response. There is clear evidence for the latter, with the success of the use of Ivermectin etc. in India and other locations, & the horrendous deaths & injuries from experimental mRNA gene therapies. National government democracy should prevail at ALL times.
Humanity	There should NOT be any international instrument on pandemic prepaeredness at all. People are free to do what ever they like.
American Citizen	There should not be any international instruments for pandemic response. Medical care is between a patient and a provider. Keep governments out of it.
Independent	There should NOT be ANY international treaty on pandemic preparedness. This is the business of SOVEREIGN NATIONS, and individuals should be free at all times to make their OWN health decisions WITHOUT STATE INTERFERENCE and especially without internationalist interference. The idea of an international treaty is an affront to democracy, because if the people don't like to the response dictated by the WHO, they are left with NO MECHANISM to change things, if their own governments are to turn round and say "sorry we're just following the WHO..." The WHO should SCRAP any idea of an international pandemic treaty and BACK OFF from the lives of everyday people. LET EVERYONE MAKE THEIR OWN HEALTH DECISIONS WITHOUT THE STATE GETTING INVOLVED AND ALLOW THE STATE TO SIMPLY PROVIDE AID AND ASSISTANCE TO THOSE AT RISK WITHOUT ANY TYPE OF MANDATES! I DO NOT CONSENT to an international pandemic treaty - and I speak for millions of others who were not aware of this consultation or have been unable to fill it out in time.
none	There should not be any international treaty regarding pandemic preparedness. Every nation, every locality, every family, every individual, NEEDS to be free to determine its own response to any emergency, including health emergencies, and also free to share information about methods found to be effective. The recent world experience with the "Covid pandemic" stands as proof that governments are utterly incompetent at practicing medicine, and should never again be permitted to fund or direct medical interventions.
Self	There should not be any mandates in this treaty. It should serve only as a guideline to countries to determine the best path for each individual country. No mandatory drugs!!! Drug companies, providers and government entities must be held accountable and be held liable for said drugs and their health care decisions for the public. WHO's treaty should only serve as principles for which to operate by, not as an absolute that mandates how individual countries must operate. WHO must yield to governments not governments yielding to WHO.
nine	There should not be any new international instrument. This plan would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy. It is an unnecessary threat to humanity and the freedom of the people and their trusted elected officials. No one person or group of individuals, elite or not should be given the ultimate authority to strip anyone of their basic human freedoms and rights regardless of a pandemic or not. It is an extremely dangerous totalitarian power grab that is not acceptable. Your webpage is forcing me to check the box that states "I have read and adhere to the terms" however there are no terms or links listed. I do not agree to this but am submitting my response for the record.
Leba Consulting	There should not be any substantive element in the instrument that enables non democratically elected quango and supranational organisations that are not fully transparent about their funding sources or political influences to creaste guidelines or instruments of legislation which can be imposed without a referendum of all affected persons in all countries. No organisation should be able to promote or impose unilateral lockdowns without clearly identifying the source and cause of any pandemic. Any organisation should not be influenciable by any non-democratic government to impose a global viewpoint on the rest of the world and associated economic ruin for people whilst still benefiting the source of the pandemic. No country should be able to manipulate organic diseases found on the planet for any scientific purpose whether for good or bad. Evidently the scientific centres have lack of controls that allowed such manipulated viruses to escape and cause widespread devastation. No country should look to weaponise any disease either directly through release of such disease into a community or indirectly by covering up the cause of the disease yet allowing it to spread unchecked.
Tigu Consulting	There should not be any tools in your toolbox. If a new pandemic arises we will deal with it ourselves instead of leaving it up to your type of organization. You have made everything way worse in teh covid crises: Companies got bankrupted, kids were left behind leading to increase in suicides, elderly people dying all alone. On top of that the billionaire club got richer and richer. And the outcome is more people died because of the measures than would have died if there were no measures taken at all. What a disaster. Every claiming to be world organisation (UN, WHO, etc) should be decommissioned immediately. You are not contributing to the health of the earth or to its citizens. The bigger the organisation, the bigger the problem. All wars so far have started with organizations and governments like you. We humans choose for decentralized solutions and no longer need your type of organizations. The same counts for governments and big companies. You are all the root of all evil. We demand you to stop interfering in people's life. We will continue on our road of small communities and at that level the measures will be taken by trustworthy citizens. To close off: "I will not run anyone's life—nor let anyone run mine. I will not rule nor be ruled. I will not be a master nor a slave. I will not sacrifice myself to anyone—nor sacrifice anyone to myself."
Living man	There should not be any treaty. There should a public scrutiny and investigation into what had already happened, which was downright immoral. You have no right to impose your will on anyone.
private citizen	There should not be any world organization protocols. Individual human rights is the most important consideration to uphold.There are just too many variables involved to make any general assumptions on how different segments of humanity would handle a problem. Furthermore, the way this covid 19 pandemic unfolded revealed unprecedented corruption at the highest levels of government. Yes to humans, no to the great reset and transhumanism.
None	There should not be anything added to an international response. There has never been a "one size fits all" approach to a "global emergency". Responses should be determined by local government officials in conjunction with local citizens, as to the best response……ALWAYS.
Waste to energy	There should not be controlling measures that impinge on our human rights. No mandatory vaccinations, especially with experimental drugs No mask wearing, it has been proven to be ineffective What happens in country should be decided by that country, NOT the world health organisation or any entity that has a conflict of interest because of sponsorship and/or funding End this tyranny now
None	There should NOT be included any obligation for countries to submit to any central authority regarding medical and/or health issues. Science has always been a discipline which asks questions, listens to other scientists' findings, and collaborates in order to find truth and medical solutions. This was totally disregarded, and even punished, with the Covid 19 situation. As such, people are now alert and aware of the push towards centralizing authority and we DO NOT WANT IT! WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's keep this word and put it into practice. Terms of participation would not appear when clicked on. Said I read them in order to be able to submit my comments but was not able to see them.
Member of the public	There should not be one governing body or one international instrument on pandemic preparedness or response. The fact that we even have to comment on this is absurd. There are different cultures, different religions, different types of health practices, and not everyone is the same health-wise. There was no two-way discussion during the COVID pandemic and no public debates and everything we were told was either a lie or turned out to not benefit us culturally, socially, medically, or financially. The entire response to the COVID pandemic ruined and continues to ruin societies. No one body should be responsible for a pandemic response as this will only cause the same one way thinking from the current pandemic that had a horrible outcome. Early treatment options were not taken into consideration, treatment drugs were banned from being used in countries, masks did not work, lockdowns did not work, doctors who did not go along with a specific narrative were censored, the people were not heard and economies were ruined. There's no way WHO should be in charge of pandemic response. They should not be in charge of any decision making. I do not agree with this treaty.
None	There should not be one organisation in charge as it will not allow for debate, democracy or individual rights. All of which must be preserved.
Private Citizen	There should NOT be one! WHO are YOU to dictate the governance of sovereign people and sovereign nations! You have not been elected by the people and the veracity of all your dictat must be examined scrupulously given the provenance of your core funders. I think that the scientific community and medical community in toto are more than capable to talk with each other and make recommendation to their respective national government and that your organisations attempt to exercise totalitarian world governance and the WHO's very existence, is a danger to freedom loving people everywhere and should at the very least be ignored and ideally be disbanded and investigated for criminal behaviour! WHO PPT Pandemic Preparedness Treaty NO THANK YOU!
Private!	There should not be such a new "instrument", because the aim of such an instrument is, to aliminate freedom for ordinary people. It is not capable to the constitution of my country.
Doctors for Jesus	There should not be such an international instrument. Individual nations should have the right to autonomy and their democratically elected leaders, who are accountable to the public, be able to make their own laws and regulations, in discussion with others such as the WHO, but not under their control. Individual rights and freedoms (and national ones) are more important than pandemic control measures. These should not be compromised. We have seen a lot of unnecessary and ineffective controls put in place during the Covid-19 outbreak, ruining people's lives. We can't let the WHO impose similar or more stringent and unnecessary measures on everyone in the future(eg compulsory 'vaccinations',etc) which would be extreme abuse of our basic human rights
Retired medical	There should not be this kind of overweening control internationally. The entire handling of this has been full of lies and other malfeasance, including the involvement and influence of the WHO. My hope is that all become informed about what has happened and never let this happen again. I will be following the alternative organizations watching and advising - NOT the WHO.
None	There should not be treaty. The prior responses of governments around the world to the most recent alleged pandemic has been wrought with fraud and failure. The WHO should change their name to the World Pharmaceutical Organization as it is quite proven your organization knows nothing about actual health.

N/A	There SHOULD NOT EVER be an international instrument such as this as it gives one organization TOO MUCH POWER! If it actually were to be developed at some point, however, the following elements MUST be included:
	
	   1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
	
	   2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	
	   3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	
	   4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

N/A	There shouldn't be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It should be left to sovereign governments to make their own choices and decisions should a pandemic arise. The WHO is unelected and unaccountable and should not be able dictate policy to elected governments.
Human	There shouldn't be any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, because response to covid 19 pandemic has been as wors as it can be. Non working masks, non working vaccines, non working lockdowns. Cases and deaths before response were less than two years after.
Individual	There shouldn't be any. Each country should manage their own laws. No world law is needed.
Self	There shouldn't be prescribed actions mandated on everyone, everywhere. Actions should be decided on a more local level and not mandated from far away. We have a democratic process for a reason, because we should each have a say in the decisions that are out upon us. As shown throughout the covid pandemic, authorities have made mandate after mandate that did little good and much harm. Over and over again, they forced actions down people's throats causing irreparable harm just to look like they were doing something. Never again can this happen, so there should not be plans thrown down everywhere without input from the people of the various regions.
Private	There shouldn't be a global "anything"! The US should govern itself and the rest of the world should do the same. We will protect our own. The rest of Europe wants this one government body, let them do them. The US citizens want nothing to do with a global government body. That's the Anti-Christ's work.
a person	there shouldn't be a world wide pandemic plan. different places require different responses. stop with the central global planning. we are many different countries and many different cultures. there can't be one global way for everyone to universally operate. please crawl back into your hole. what works in paris, france won't work in africa. for god's sake, what works in california doesn't work in texas! the last thing we want or need is one global medical cabal. no forced mandated vaccines. no segregation, no taking away people's rights or jobs because they won't comply. science can't solve all our problems. nature bats last. stop with the GOF experiments and we won't have these pandemics all the time. leave us alone!
Citizen	There shouldn't be an 'international' instrument for pandemic preparedness and response. Each country is different ie. Culture, geography, demographics, weather, economy, living standards, level of pre-existing disease, healthcare etc, and should therefore have its own response according to the needs of their citizens.
None	There shouldn't been a global preparedness strategy. It should be done on a local level in response to the needs of the local people, As an unelected body, the WHO has no right and no business to interfere in this way.
N/A	There shouldn't even be an international treaty as there are no laws, standards, or regulations above our US Constitution which guarantees our personal freedoms and rights regardless of your wish to control the world.

Organization	Written submission
None	There were several key problems with the worldwide response to SARS-cov2, and each warrants elements in any international pandemic response plan. 1. Many well respected doctors and medical researchers disagreed with the official narrative. These people were typically censored, deplatformed, and smeared. This in turn drove conspiracy theories. Science depends on open and honest debate, therefore please include an element that actively promotes rigorous debate from all perspectives. 2. Pandemics of history have typically diminished faster than a vaccine solution can be safely tested. The covid response placed far too much emphasis on vaccines. Please include an element that actively promotes development of treatment protocols from existing drugs. 3. A key error in the response to SARS-cov2 was to rely more on computer models than real life data. This caused wild errors in death estimates, which fueled mass fear. Thus, please include an element that urges health officials to make policies on real data rather than models. 4. Fear promoted hysteria, hate, and general unhealthy populations. Please include an element that encourages the promotion of calm. 5. Mandating interventions, whether non pharmaceutical (like lockdowns) or vaccines, produced unprecedented distrust in governments and police. Please include an element that forbids making things like masks, lockdowns, or vaccines mandatory.
Rainbird Foundation	There will be no new international instrument of "pandemic preparedness response". The WHO had too much power in this last pandemic and the public will not support a treaty that attempts to take additional power and sovereignty from the U.S. Our health decisions will stay with us, to include emergency choices. Your effort to take power over our bodies and health choices with a legally binding "pandemic treaty" meets with active non-cooperation as this does not "improve the health of the people". It destroys us and our sovereignty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Start honoring your constitution. You are accountable to it and we hold you to it. There will be no active cooperation from the public on this one. Ever.
Public	There's no need for it as no laws or policies concerning health of FREE people need to be changed/written. The Health organisation is there for suggestions only and the people are able to decide on their own which guidelines to follow/or not follow
private	There's no need for any additional international rules or regulations. Even the actual definition of pandemic don't fits the narrower sense of a realistic pandemic. All business is local, also in case of a true pandemic. Rules and any help for the local population should be decided and managed local, considering local resources (human, technical, cultural etc). International organizations could be helpful to support on side, but without any prescriptions how to act or behave locally. Best example is the past (?) "Covid-pandemic". Behavior following national and / or international rules instead of local measures harmed peoples health and small businesses as well. Responsibility in health matters needs to exist locally. International organizations might be helpful by supporting local resources, but not by means of any additional regulations. At last: The owner of the own health is every human being itself. No one else can or may take final responsibility for that. This is an elementary part of inalienable freedom of human being and of life itself.
private citizen	Theris s now way that the WHO should have any influence or control over how any country response to a pandemic. It is each countries right to plan and implement their own response based on their demographics and individual requirements.
Citizen of Portugal	These _must_ be included in the Treaty: .Human rights are inalienable and not suspendable in the context of emergencies. .The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. .The right to try under informed consent is an inalienable right and generalized access to potentially life-saving medications is not to be denied to any individual. .Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. .Vaccination status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. .Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are unreasonable and not to be enforced. .Complete transparency is paramount. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. .Every individual is entitled to an opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. .Manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. .People have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citycen of Spain	These 10 statements must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies" 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	These 4 points are very important. People have a right to self autonomy and certainly should not be guided by pharmaceutical companies concerned only with profits and not world health. I am speaking from 30 yrs as a Registered Nurse and have seen poor guidance from the FDA and CDC/hospital/government Medicare and Medicaid protocols. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	These are a few points that should be considered. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, making sure they are listening to the people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Profit by any pharmaceutical company should not come into health decisions. Money should not be able to buy a deciding vote in any health situation.
None	These are absolute necessities. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	These are absolute necessities. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Manitobans for Local Government	These are decisions that must continue to be made on a local level by politicians who are responsible to voters.
Private	These are elements that should form part of an international pandemic preparedness instrument 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States and Canada	These are he following elements that the W.H.O. can implement. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Humanity	These are important to us: 1. Reserve the peoples right to make our own decisions, reserve the right to seek alternative medicine. 2. The ability of people, nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thankyou
No affiliation - just an individual citizen	These are MANDATORY: 1. National & local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	These are my comments on what absolutely needs to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australian resident & citizen	These are my comments with respect to the proposed pandemic prevention, preparedness treaty or agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The people being those who elected various leaders to be their representative in parliament or similar entity. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We are a free and democratic society and this must not be altered. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers (including related or aligned fund managers, media and technology enterprises).
Video Documents	These are my concerns regarding your proposal. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is necessary. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is necessary that these measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration.
self-individual	These are my elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
None	These are my thoughts: 1. We need an open and transparent process, with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures, that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 2. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The people of the world deserve input!!!
General public	These are some of the elements that are needed to protect national and personal autonomy; - our national and local leaders retain autonomy to make local decisions for their citizens - ability of national and local leaders to be able to opt out of any/all of agreement without consequences - an open and transparent process that allows the people to vote on including failsafe measures that would prevent the applying of measures where the majority of people didn't want them - measures that do not allow pharmaceutical groups or global health profiteers to influence decisions
N/A	THESE ARE THE DEMANDS AND EXPECTATIONS: 1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	These are the elements I feel should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
sovereign individual	These are the elements I would like to see included in any new instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. For preparedness, there needs to be a focus on healthy foods, preparation, clean water & exercise, cutting out processed food & pharmaceuticals as much as possible. WHO is to give advice to sovereign nations, lay out a plan they think is best, but each nation & local region is to retain it's own autonomy & able to opt out without any repercussions. An island nation has a different situation to a continent, with population density varying within a nation. One recommendation does not fit all situations. Each individual has the right to self determine their own health & take responsibility for any risks and benefits of any treatment or course of action without being shut out of society. As with environments, each person is unique with their health circumstances, allergies, congenital conditions, mental health and so on. A governing body, no matter how well meaning, cannot possibly take into consideration all the variables of each individual. Lockdowns, although well meaning were the cause of many deaths and severe mental health issues. With Covid 19 there were too many people that had conflict of interests (on health boards & connections with pharmaceutical companies) driving policies. Going forward, strong measures need to be put in place that do not allow anyone with such conflict of interests to participate in policy making or health advice at any level.
None	These are the elements that I believe should be included in an international instrument in pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Full autonomy of national and local leadership to make their own decisions on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Establish measures that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers.
Muirfield Exploration, Ltd.	These are the elements that should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal Household	These are the ideas we want to see in any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There should remain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures need to be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
West County Health Freedom	These are the important points I want make about the WHO having full authority for all the decisions on vaccinations or any health issue. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best Regards, Ellen Bicheler
US Citizen	These are the items that should be included in the Treaty 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	These are the most important facts to conider. They are dire for our human freedoms and bodily autonomy to continue. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. We must have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We must make sure we have measures in place that prohibit any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
human being	These are the points I believe are essential in your attempt to put all people into one box. It's not that easy. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
New Zealand Citizen	These are the points I want to make: 1. National and local leadership needs to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There needs to be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There need to be measures that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	These are the points I want to make: 1. Any agreement must not super-cede, abrogate, or infringe upon the sovereignty of any member state 2. All nation states and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence, for any reason. 3. The process needs to be open and transparent with all people of any state or municipality having the opportunity to vote on any agreement including the option of voting not to ratify any global agreement. 4. There need to be measures that exclude the following groups from influencing the drafting or voting processes: pharmaceutical companies, associations of medical professionals, members of international or national bureaucracies.
NZ citizen	These are the points I want to make: 1. National and local leadership needs to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There needs to be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There need to be measures that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	These are the points that I would like to see in any agreement going forward: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Marshall Media	These are the points that should be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	These are the stipulations by which the WHO can advice governments around the world. The key word is "advice." It doesn't mean mandate. Each country must maintain its own national and local leadership. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Church	These are the substantial elements that should be included: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In the interest of us all.
Paranormal-Pictures	These are the substantive elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. All National and local leaderships must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
N/A	These are the substantive elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thankyou Lenore Parker
n/a	These are the substantive elements that I feel should be included in the pandemic treaty: 1) The treaty should not override laws of individual countries and the will of the people of those countries. I live in the UK: no one in the UK has voted for the WHO, which is made up of unelected representatives, and is funded by the richest global corporations, and hence it should have no power over UK citizens. 2) The treaty should not overrule the sovereign right of individuals to refuse any medical intervention offered in response to a pandemic being called. This is the right of individuals under the Nuremburg Code. As a result, mandating any treatment, or measure (such as wearing masks) should not be possible. 3) Only measures that do not allow for large global pharmaceutical companies to influence the process should be included. For instance, the use of low cost 'early protocol treatment' using repurposed drugs such as Ivermectin, hydroychloroquinine etc should be a first port of call for many viral infections. Remdesiver should not be used. 4) The cost of interventions (including all embedded resources), and their environmental sustainability should be published in an open and transparent way. 5) No mRNA treatments should be used, and all nanotechnology (such as graphene oxide) should be banned, as these have not gone through sufficient clinical trials. 6) All drugs used should have gone through full clinical trials (8 to 20 years as normal), and those trials published. Hence new drugs will be unsuitable for pandemics
Self	These are the terms needed. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Without these terms, I strenuously object to the treaty. Lisa Vernon
self	These are the very best parameters I've seen, which were provided by Steve Kirsch. In all, I do not believe the USA should involve ourselves in any of these measures, I believe we must remain independent in all our health decisions as a nation, and as an individual my health decisions are to be kept private. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private individual	These aspects should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	These aspects should be taken into consideration 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	These components are crucial for inclusion to any agreement involving the issue of pandemic preparedness to safeguard the democratic rights and sovereignty of the citizens of our world.... National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	These conditions should be included in any treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Zahnarztpraxis	These contract is directed against all human rights! Please stop this now!
self	These elements are critical: 1. That national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
National Association of Social Workers	These elements are essential: #1 Every man and woman (and their sons and daughters) on this planet must retain the right and choice to do with his or her body what is right for them based on their personal, philosophical, religious and or spiritual beliefs. No government, corporation, organization or institution can/should mandate or require or pass into law anything that removes or in any way diminishes that right - for ANY reason whatsoever. #2 National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. #3 The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. #4 An open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. #5 Measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Liberty For All	These elements are factual and will be the reality. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
self	These elements are necessary for individual freedom and decision-making, and must be included 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of Nations, local municipalities, and individuals (from the consent of whom the former receive their ability to govern) to opt out of and nullify any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of each nation in the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prevent and severely penalize influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, especially including National, State/Provincial, and local health or other governmental entities, their appointed/elected officials, or staff.
Individual citizen of Canada	These elements are necessary on any international instrument on pandemic preparedness or response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual citizen of Canada	These elements are necessary on any international instrument on pandemic preparedness or response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	These elements indeed should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	These elements must be included - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US citizen	These elements must be included in a pandemic preparedness and response: one's right to bodily autonomy, medical freedom, respect fof one's religious beliefs, the Nuremberg Code, the sanctity of life, where there is risk, there must be choice, National sovereignty must be preserved as well as the truths held in each nation's Constitution.
Northwest Center for Health Promotion	These elements must be included in any international instrument on pandemic response: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote must be in place. Failsafe measures must be included that prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be clear measures that prevent the influence of any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	These elements must be included in any treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My family	These elements must be included in any worldwide agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Individual	These elements MUST BE INCLUDED in the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Integrity Matters	These elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy with the ability to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.The process must be open and transparent. All people of the world must be able to vote on it. Failsafe measures must be adopted that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. No pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers can be allowed to influence the process in any way.
Louisiana Federation of Republican Women	These elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy with the ability to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3.The process must be open and transparent. All people of the world must be able to vote on it. Failsafe measures must be adopted that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. No pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers can be allowed to influence the process in any way. 5.None of the regulations shall be binding on any state.
General public	These elements need to be included: 1. Because the characteristics, needs and resources of each country are different and indeed unique, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, retaining the right to make independent decisions based on what is best for their own people at a given time. 2. The ability of any nations and local authorities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as and when is best for the local requirements of the people they govern, without punitive consequences. 3. An open, democratic and transparent process with the opportunity for all people in the world to vote on including protective measures that will prevent the application of any global agreements where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that protect against any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers influencing the process and making money at the expense of ordinary people in unethical ways.
homemaker	These elements should be included : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Wallace Vocal Studio	These elements should be included in any treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other enterprises , especially those who may profit financially.
Citizens of Colorado for Sustainable Agriculture	These elements should be included in the agreement: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens......2. The ability of all nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a ;majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. That all nations will be respected for theirs values and preferences regarding their citizens. 6.That all nations no matter how small will have a voice for their citizens.
None	These elements should be included in the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Carol McElroy
Private	These elements should be included or remain included in any pandemic response in future: Local and national government should remain in charge of their own response to any future pandemic. Complete autonomy away from WHO guidelines should be written in to any new law or legislation. There should be no consequence of ignoring any or all of the WHO's new guidelines or laws. Complete transparency and debate from all sides should be welcomed and not denied or censored and the ability to vote on any pandemic preparedness bill, law or guideline or any pandemic response should be included in any future law, guidelines or legislation. Non government organisations which are not voted in and do not represent voters should never be allowed to pass laws, legislations or guidelines ever.
n/a	These elements should be included: - National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy to make their own decisions. - Nations and local municipalities shall be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards, Stefanie Wolff
private individual	These elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Without these elements above included, then I would be 100% against what the WHO is proposing to do. I am a citizen of the USA, as well as claiming this world as my home. The people of this world should decide, locally, what is best for them and not the WHO, if you can not incorporate the prescribed elements above. Thank you, Kathryn Caldwell
citizen	These elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States	These elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Orthodox Church	These elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
free citizen	These elements should be included: 1. Statement that national and local governments retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out at any time of any and/or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence and without any condition. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it for any reason. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or private organizations.
Engineer	These elements should be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process that do not allow for influence by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privatperson	These elements should bei Part: - Guarantees for the sovereignty of states and of every individual - comply of the human dignity - th legal liability of government officials and pharma companies
Calendersign	These essential elements must be included: -Pandemics affect each country differently and require an individual response. Thus, guarantees for the sovereignty of each country and each individual must be a mandatory component. Where there is risk, there must be choice! An inalienable element is the immutable and non-negotiable respect for human rights and a personal legal liability of each individual member and funder of WHO, governments and the pharmaceutical industry.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These factors must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Governments must not be allowed suspend human rights for any reason. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Nobody has the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is and must always be inalienable. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. Complete transparency of data All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers of healthcare products and implemented of procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights for any reason whatsoever. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. No person has the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are de facto in breech of inalienable human rights and therefore always wrong. 7. Everyone has the right to form their own opinion. Each individual has the inalienable right to voice that opinion in public. 8. There must always be complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 11. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 12. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights for any reason whatsoever. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. No person has the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are de facto in breech of inalienable human rights and therefore always wrong. 7. Everyone has the right to form their own opinion. Each individual has the inalienable right to voice that opinion in public. 8. There must always be complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 11. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 12. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the
ICWA	These four elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
American citizen	These items need to added in or addresses to allow for Nation State debate and sovereign rights. a. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. b. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. c. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. d. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	These items should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Choose to Ser	These items would be necessary to be fair and just and moral: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Udruga VISOKI JABLANI	These must be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments (nor any other national or international body) may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Citizen	These must be included in any International Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	These must be included in any Pandemic Treaty, considering that no Pandemic treaty should have unilateral power over any and all nations and states: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Medication is frequently used off label. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone, particularly when data is substantive. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment without punishment or consequence is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status can never be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and inhumane. 7. We demand complete transparency from all agencies regarding factual health data - nothing should be redacted or withheld. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public without fear of retribution. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Given the above, and how Covid-19 has been "managed", the WHO is in no position to elect itself the supreme overlords of the world and all it's inhabitants. I say NO to this treaty.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in any Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to visit and hug their family and friends, travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Rep. Of Maldives	These must be included in the pandemic treaty 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of México	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Croatian citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.
Italian citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.
Buildings2clean	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.
"Citizen of the Australia"	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real-time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lock-downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lock downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. FINALLY if you think you are going to get away with this nonsense you are all in a DREAM STATE.
human	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Fuller Health Centers	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.”
Resnica	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. ”
free human	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Slovenija	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Ptuj	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. ”
Lietuvos pilietis	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Refusing treatment, may not limit persons freedom. 5. Vaccine status and any other health status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. Lockdowns of any people are wrong. Defining people as sick only on some test, but with no sickness symptoms is wrong and highly unprofessional. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Privatno	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone.
This way of working with people is bad, why don't you abolish it?	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone.
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone.
Org	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone.
Member of the public	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
general public	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend own constitution or human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must AL 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages
"Citizen of Bulgaria"	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All Manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
MAS	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. It is destabilising if they do. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights without compromise. 3. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right without penalty. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces business or family. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and cause harm 7. We demand complete openess and transparency. 8.All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public and are equal. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for all damages caused by their products and services. 10. The WHO must only disseminate information.
-	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. Rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. I demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of The United States	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the uk	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. Complete transparency with all depersonalized data from all clinical studies will be immediately made available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Spain	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
citizen of Spain	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 4. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Human being	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Työllisyyden kuntakokeilu	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Living man of England	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Person	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Person	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Person	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Mankind	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Health	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
NHS	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Dual citizen of the United Kingdom and South Africa	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Na	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of EU	These MUST be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. RAW Paste Data These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because
N/A	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies shall be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United States	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
British Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Nonesuch Co Ltd	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Person	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
citizen of the united kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Living Woman of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. No unelected supra-national organisation should have any authority or control over national governments or their citizens.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
citizen of Italy	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of U.K.	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
CITIZEN OF ITALY	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
U.K. citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United States of America	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
DSU	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Public	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Business owner	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of The United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Boom Inbound	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Italy	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Croatia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United States	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Forever Living Products, UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Italy	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Uruguay	The Ministry of Public Health (MSP)
Uzbekistan	The Ministry of Health of the Republic of Uzbekistan
Vanuatu	The Ministry of Health
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	The Ministry of Popular Power for Health (MPPS)
Vietnam	The Ministry of Health
Yemen	The Ministry of Public Health and Population
Zambia	The Ministry of Health
Zimbabwe	The Ministry of Health and Child Care
Cyprus	The Ministry of Health
Czechia	The Ministry of Health
Denmark	The Danish Medicines Agency
Estonia	The Ministry of Social Affairs
Finland	The Finnish Medicines Agency (FIMEA)
France	The Ministry of Solidarity and Health
Germany	The Federal Ministry of Health
Greece	The National Organization for Medicines
Hungary	The National Institute of Pharmacy and Nutrition
Iceland	The Icelandic Medicines Agency
Ireland	The Ministry of Health
Italy	The Ministry of Health
Latvia	The State Agency of Medicines
Lithuania	The State Medicines Control Agency
Luxembourg	The Ministry of Health
Malta	The Medicines Authority
Netherlands	The Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport
Norway	The Norwegian Medicines Agency
Poland	The Ministry of Health
Portugal	The National Authority of Medicines and Health Products
Romania	The National Agency for Medicines and Medical Devices
Slovakia	The State Institute for Drug Control
Slovenia	The Ministry of Health
Spain	The Ministry of Health, Consumer Affairs and Social Welfare
Sweden	The Medicines Agency
Switzerland	The Federal Office of Public Health
Turkey	The Ministry of Health
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	The Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
Bahrain	The Ministry of Health
Egypt	The Ministry of Health and Population
Iraq	The Ministry of Health
Jordan	The Ministry of Health
Kuwait	The Ministry of Health
Lebanon	The Ministry of Public Health
Libya	The Ministry of Health
Morocco	The Ministry of Health
Oman	The Ministry of Health
Pakistan	The Ministry of National Health Services, Regulations and Coordination
Qatar	The Ministry of Public Health
Saudi Arabia	The Ministry of Health
Sudan	The Federal Ministry of Health
Syrian Arab Republic	The Ministry of Health
Tunisia	The Ministry of Public Health
United Arab Emirates	The Ministry of Health and Prevention
Algeria	The Ministry of Health, Population and Hospital Reform
Angola	The National Medicines Regulatory Authority
Benin	The National Medicines and Health Products Regulatory Agency
Botswana	The Botswana Medicines Regulatory Authority
Burkina Faso	The Ministry of Health
Burundi	The Ministry of Public Health and Combat against AIDS
Cabo Verde	The National Institute of Pharmacy and Food
Cameroon	The Ministry of Public Health
Central African Republic	The Ministry of Health and Population
Chad	The Ministry of Public Health
Comoros	The Ministry of Health
Congo	The Ministry of Health and Population
Cote d'Ivoire	The Ministry of Health and Hygiene
Democratic Republic of the Congo	The National Medicines Regulatory Authority
Djibouti	The Ministry of Health
Equatorial Guinea	The Ministry of Health and Welfare
Eritrea	The Ministry of Health
Ethiopia	The Ministry of Health
Gabon	The Ministry of Health
Gambia	The Ministry of Health
Ghana	The Ministry of Health
Guinea	The Ministry of Health and Hygiene
Guinea-Bissau	The National Institute of Public Health
Kenya	The Ministry of Health
Lesotho	The Ministry of Health
Liberia	The Ministry of Health
Madagascar	The Ministry of Public Health
Malawi	The Ministry of Health
Mali	The Ministry of Health and Sanitation
Mauritania	The Ministry of Health
Mauritius	The Ministry of Health and Quality of Life
Mozambique	The National Institute of Health
Namibia	The Ministry of Health and Social Services
Niger	The Ministry of Public Health
Nigeria	The Ministry of Health
Rwanda	The Ministry of Health
Sao Tome and Principe	The Ministry of Health
Senegal	The Ministry of Health and Social Action
Seychelles	The Ministry of Health
Sierra Leone	The Ministry of Health and Sanitation
South Africa	The Ministry of Health
South Sudan	The Ministry of Health
Togo	The Ministry of Health
Uganda	The Ministry of Health
United Republic of Tanzania	The Ministry of Health, Community Development, Gender, Elderly and Children
Zambia	The Ministry of Health
Zimbabwe	The Ministry of Health and Child Care
Afghanistan	The Ministry of Public Health
Bangladesh	The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare
Bhutan	The Ministry of Health
Cambodia	The Ministry of Health
China	The National Medical Products Administration
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	The Ministry of Public Health
India	The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare
Indonesia	The Ministry of Health
Japan	The Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare
Lao People's Democratic Republic	The Ministry of Health
Malaysia	The Ministry of Health
Maldives	The Ministry of Health
Mongolia	The Ministry of Health
Myanmar	The Ministry of Health and Sports
Nepal	The Ministry of Health and Population
Papua New Guinea	The National Department of Health
Philippines	The Ministry of Health
Republic of Korea	The Ministry of Food and Drug Safety
Singapore	The Ministry of Health
Sri Lanka	The Ministry of Health, Nutrition and Indigenous Medicine
Thailand	The Ministry of Public Health
Timor-Leste	The Ministry of Health
Vanuatu	The Ministry of Health
Viet Nam	The Ministry of Health
Western Pacific Region	The Ministry of Health

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private person	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Canada	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Retired citizen of UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
woman of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Wyld Fempyre LLC	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9.All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10.People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Netherlands	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Italy	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Spain	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. RAW Paste Data
Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. I trust this will get the ATTENTION it deserves given the gravity of what's at stake ie loss of national sovereignty and also individual inalienable God given rights.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Scotland	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Canada	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the USA	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of South Africa	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
ZAAVV	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom and the World	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Georgia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Norway	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and should never be implemented. 7. Complete transparency: all depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and should never be implemented. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK resident	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
American National	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
United Kingdom Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real-time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Retired	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of US	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
South Lakeland window cleaning	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Canada	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
US citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Humankind	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Sovereign Woman living on the land of New Zealand	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lock downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Sovereign Man living on the land of New Zealand	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizens of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
World Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the Netherlands	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
NA	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Man	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Sweden	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Cafe	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Public	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Ireland	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
retired	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Malaysia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Malaysia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Bulgarian citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
British Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
particular	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Personal	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Australian citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Civilian	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. RAW Paste Data These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
General public	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. RAW Paste Data These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”.
UK citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen uk	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. RAW Paste Data These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because
Teacher	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Singular	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self-employed	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None of your business	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Éire (Ireland)	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Denmark	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of South Africa	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Cititizen of Czech Republic	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Concerned citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Independent	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Swiss Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuanian citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
A	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the Netherlands	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Member of public	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of New Zealand	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lietuva	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Kilkenny	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of France	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Jerico	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 🙏🎵 🎵🙏
Humanity	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. Humanity requires agency in self determination in regards to religious, political and self sovereignty.
Lithuanian citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The Netherlands	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Switzerland	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuanian citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuanian citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Cambodia	Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2000
Cameroon, 2011-12 Latest	Cameroon Demographic and Health Survey 2011-12
Chad, 2014-15	Chad Demographic and Health Survey 2014-15
Chile	Chile Demographic and Health Survey 1970
China, 2014-15	China Demographic and Health Survey 2014-15
China, Hong Kong	China, Hong Kong Demographic and Health Survey 1980
China, Hong Kong	China, Hong Kong Demographic and Health Survey 1987
Colombia, 1986	Colombia Demographic and Health Survey 1986
Comoros, 2012	Comoros Demographic and Health Survey 2012
Congo, 2011-12 Latest	Congo Demographic and Health Survey 2011-12
Congo, 2005	Congo Demographic and Health Survey 2005
Congo, 1987	Congo Demographic and Health Survey 1987
Congo, 1981-82	Congo Demographic and Health Survey 1981-82
Congo, 1980-81	Congo Demographic and Health Survey 1980-81
Congo, 1977-78	Congo Demographic and Health Survey 1977-78
Congo, 1974-75	Congo Demographic and Health Survey 1974-75
Congo, 1969-70	Congo Demographic and Health Survey 1969-70

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of Holland	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right.
Member of the public	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
DW TM SOLUTIONS LTD	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thank you Tracey Bulman
Citizen of SOUTH aFRICA	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lietuvos pilietis	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
People	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency, depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has an inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Signeda	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuania	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuania	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Human	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Private Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lietuvos pilietis	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Lithuania	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Lithuania	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Retired nurse	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Italy	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Portugal	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Jupiter Sun Healing	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
citizen of Lithuania	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
EU Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuanian citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United States of America	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuania	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuanian citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
YDH Foundation Trust	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
self determining	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
United States of America, the de jure republic	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lietuvos pilietis	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuanian	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of India	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Lietuvos pilietis	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuanian citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuania	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
People of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Canada	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Ieva	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Personal	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuanian sitizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Switzerland 🇨🇭	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of poland	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Frau	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private Person	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
EU citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the USA	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Public	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Republic of Singapore	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
I'm a student	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Switzerland	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lietuvos pilietis	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
USA	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
belgische staatsburger	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Jolanta Kaminskiene	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. RAW Paste Data These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because
Citizen of Canada	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of The United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the singapore	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. All people are born as equals. No one has the right to restrict another human beings rights and privileges. I live under English Common Law and the English Constitution. I will never recognise or obey a foreign powers laws or regulations, no matter whose signature is on any illegitimate agreement, without a clear referendum on the matter.
Citizen of Singapore	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Irish Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real t
Citizen of Singapore	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Irish citizen	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. RAW Paste Data These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because
Cititizen of Croatia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
deBasis Partei	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Human	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Irish citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are INALIENABLE. Governments MAY NOT suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Human rights don't come FROM government. Governments are instituted BY people in order to secure our inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats DO NOT have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status CANNOT be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are WRONG. 7. We DEMAND complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the INALIENABLE RIGHT to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures MUST BE HELD LIABLE for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People MUST have the right to VOTE to end states of emergency. 11. Multiple treaties already enshrine these rights. Every nation must abide by these agreements.
Lithuanian	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Uk citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Slovenia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. It is very wrong, that it was allowed that vaccinated people were exempted for tests even when it was clear that they DO become sick and they DO spread the virus. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures MUST be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. Financial gain without taking the adequate responsibility is very wrong. WHO should be liable for any damages caused by its decisions as well. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Irish citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
United Kingdom citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/a	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Resident of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Resident of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private concerned citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Canada	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Transpersonalpilates	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United States of America	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may NOT suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do NOT have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status can NOT be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are WRONG. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be HELD LIABLE for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People MUST have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Music Advisory	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of The United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of India	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
NHS	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Natural immunity is still stronger. Vaccinated people still catch covid and die. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. Those imposed and enforced them should face life imprisonment urgently! 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Singapore	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizian of the Lithuania	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the Lithuania	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
NHS Pharmacology	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. No one body should have reigning power over the world, superceding an individual country's own rights and responsibilities to it's citizens

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of Republic of Serbia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Petrofac	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
General public	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
SSE UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Babcock International	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
The world	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
n/a	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Self employed	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self employed	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Library of Avalon	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United states	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Austria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people’s inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizens of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency
Citizen of the Lithuania	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency
Citizen of united kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Sovereign citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
U.K. Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United States of America	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and unnecessary. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to begin and end states of emergency.
Private retired UK citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of England	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and breach inalienable human rights. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public including, but not limited to, online. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency and to lawfully assemble and to lawfully protest. 11. The handing over of the sovereign rights and powers of the people of England and or the United Kingdom to a foreign power constitutes treason. Anyone commiting or
Citizen of the United States of America	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and unnecessary. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to begin and end states of emergency.
Citizen of The United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
citizen of the Netherlands	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must always be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time – tranaparency is key for people to make informed decisions regarding their health. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of healthcare products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments must not be allowed to suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantining of healthy persons is wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. Depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public, without censorship. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. Persons and organisations involved in major decision-making (government or NGO) must be made to declare any potential conflicts of interest. 11. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are unalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to unalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an unalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's unalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the unalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are unalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to unalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an unalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's unalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. All human beings have the unalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Home	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are unalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to unalienable human rights. 3. The body has an innate intelligence granted by the Creator, an infinite mystery humans will never succeed in unravelling, to challenge the divine creator violates natural law and must not be disrespected. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right. 5. The right to try is an unalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 6. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's unalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 7. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and have unimaginable consequences 8. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 9. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the unalienable right to speak their mind in public. 10. All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 11. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 12. All people have the right to choose without fear or favour.
Home	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are unalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to unalienable human rights. 3. The body has an innate intelligence granted by the Creator, an infinite mystery humans will never succeed in unravelling, to challenge the divine creator violates natural law and must not be disrespected. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right. 5. The right to try is an unalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 6. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's unalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 7. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and have unimaginable consequences 8. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 9. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the unalienable right to speak their mind in public. 10. All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 11. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 12. All people have the right to choose without fear or favour.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are unalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to unalienable human rights. 3. The body has an innate intelligence granted by the Creator, an infinite mystery humans will never succeed in unravelling, to challenge the divine creator violates natural law and must not be disrespected. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right. 5. The right to try is an unalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 6. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's unalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 7. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and have unimaginable consequences 8. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 9. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the unalienable right to speak their mind in public. 10. All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 11. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 12. All people have the right to choose without fear or favour.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are unalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups, and organizations are subservient to unalienable human rights. 3. The body has an innate intelligence granted by the Creator, an infinite mystery humans will never succeed in unraveling, to challenge the divine creator violates natural law and must not be disrespected. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an unalienable right. 5. The right to try is an unalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 6. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's unalienable right to travel, work, seek education, or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 7. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and have unimaginable consequences 8. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real-time. 9. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have an unalienable right to speak their mind in public. 10. All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 11. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 12. All people have the right to choose without fear or favour.
Man with inalienable rights	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Man and Womens rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend these rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict Man and Womens inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and as the evidence shows do not work and will never be used again. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. Treatments can never be mandated.
Sent 28th Feb no Confirm no given	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Mankind's rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend mankind's rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to mankind's rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong with results that are worse than what they are expected to solve. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. Mankind has the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10.Mankind must be consulted about the decision to end states of emergency.
Not your business	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
CITIZEN OF THE UNITED KINGDOM	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Not organized	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Canada	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and unnecessary. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
None	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. sincerely Carrie anne Roy

Organization	Written submission
The patten family	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
N/A	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
-	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
ElenaTiulenev	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
N/A	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Independent	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.
UK	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, and organizations are subject to our human rights. 3. The right to decide what treatment is appropriate is an inalienable right. Officials do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving treatments to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, education or access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns/quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or
Citizen of the United States	These must be included in the pandemic treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Government may not suspend "human rights" because of emergencies. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers distributors and administrators of healthcare products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products or services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable human right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has a right to their own opinion. All human beings have their inalienable rights to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the Czech Republic	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone, such as Ivermectin for treatment of COVID 19. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. Any contracts between manufacturers and state/public/international organisations must be open to public. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. The WHO should provide complete transparency. All de-personalised data from all clinical studies should be IMMEDIATELY available to EVERYONE in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures MUST be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services and release (without redaction or tampering) the factual results of their safety studies. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
University of Helsinki	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lock downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Basingstoke	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Pembrokeshire Freedom Alliance	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Unelected Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong - only the vulnerable and sick should be quarantined. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. Supranational bodies should not have the right to deprive individuals of the right to work and earn a living.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lock downs and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private individual	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations (including international organizations) are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment (including prophylactic and putative vaccines) and is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. An explicit public ratification and acknowledgement of the primacy of the Nuremberg principles, by all signatories 12. Transparency in research: All manufacturer trial data for any new pharmaceutical
UK citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Private man	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
SOHO	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of South Africa	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Italy	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
United Kingdon	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the united kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Castaways	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
citizen of united kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Canada	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United States	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of India	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Cittadino italiano	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Freelance	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Italy	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Italy - banca del tempo longhena - Rome	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Italy	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Indonesian citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Italy	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Woman of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the uk	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Hungary	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
none	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
F Hoffmann-La Roche	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Norway	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
wo/man of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
self	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Independent	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Independent	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Individual	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Australian citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Australian citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Australian Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgarian	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lighting The Way	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
living man	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Spain	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of Egypt	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to control and restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or business 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Hungary	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
W Himer	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United States of America	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lithuania's citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Malaysia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
WorldFreedomWarrior	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
citizen of Germany	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Germany, Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of New Zealand	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of “emergencies”. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Bulgaria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
katholieke universiteit leuven (belgium)	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people should be forbidden. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public and online. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of The United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. I demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the England	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of india	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Lietuvos Pilietis	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the Lithuania	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
CITIZEN OF SINGAPORE	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of South Africa	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Singapore	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Member of public	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Vazrazhdane	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Austria	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Cituzen of Europe	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Self	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
United Kingdom Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of Australia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Computers	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of The United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of South Africa	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of South Africa	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of South Africa	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
UK Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of South Africa	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of South Africa	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Indian Citizen	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. We have God-given rights that no one can take away. The perpetrators in the WHO against humanity will be answerable for their complicity.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen, of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of India	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of India	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of India	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of United States of America	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of â€œemergenciesâ€. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of 'emergencies'. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups, organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an alienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medication to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and MUST ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5.Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: -. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". -The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. -The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone -Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. -Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. -Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. -We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. -Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. -. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services -People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. It is impossible to do this vote here only in english!!
Home	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty:- 1)Human rights are inalienable . Governments cannot suspend human rights because of emergencies! 2The rights of nations, states , provinces groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights 3The right to try is an inalienable right.Beaurocrats do not have the right to deny potentially life saving drugs or medications. 4)Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must be respected. The right to refuse treatment is also an inalienable right! 5)Vaccine status must not be used to restrict our movements or access to public places. 6)Lockdowns and quarantines of healthy people is wrong! 7 We need complete transparency. All depersonalised data of all clinical studies must be made available in real time. 8) Everyone had a right to their own opinion. All humans have inalienable rights to speaking public! 9All involved with manufacturing distribution and administering of products or procedures must be held liable for all damages caused by these. 10) People must have the right to vote and to end states of emergency.
self	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used as a coercive tool to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of UK	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
citizen of United States	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Italia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Italia	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
ITALIA	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Cittadino	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or 10. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 11. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 12. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 13. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
BA Mag. Ioana Fuchs	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong and must be forbidden. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public without consequences. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. All decision-makers must be held liable for wrong/unproportionate decisions. 11. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 12. People must have the right and possibility to verify and review the "emergency". 13. It must be provided that also a single person or a minority can file the claims mentioned above.
PEOPLE	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone.
J	These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone.
Citizen of Portugal	.Human rights are inalienable and not suspendable in the context of emergencies. .The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. .The right to try under informed consent is an inalienable right and generalized access to potentially life-saving medications is not to be denied to any individual. .Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. .Vaccination status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. .Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are unreasonable and not to be enforced. .Complete transparency is paramount. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time.
None	These must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	These need to be included as there should not be one entity with total control: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These need to be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1) Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2) The right of nations, states, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3) The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4) Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5) Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict peoples' inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6) Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7) We need complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be available immediately to everyone. 8) Everyone has a right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9) All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10) People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Mother of 4	These past 2 years have been the most horrible, I'm 44, never have I felt so insignificant, so lifeless and so disappointed with humanity. Your corruption is obvious, your plan is known, how can this organization be so cruel to humanity? Hopefully WHO will wake up, stop this deception and be the organization you should be...
None	These points are essential in any treaty .... Procedures and products must be held accountable and liable and all data and studies available to the public . Each individual must have the right to voice their opinions and concerns without censorship . Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and any declared state of emergency must be open to public vote for it to end .Lockdowns and quarantines have proved ineffective and counter productive causing unnecessary harms . Vaccine status is personal and should not be used as a weapon to comply with dictates . Human rights are not negotiable .
Myself Karin Asmild	1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. Vaccines can NOT be forced upon people, everybody has the right to decide for themselves if or not the want to be vaccinated. 12. All vaccines must be tested by independant labotoires and all results be public.
Citizen of Germany	These points must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 4. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 7. Complete transparency: All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 9. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 10. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public.
Colorado Professional Engineers	These points must be the foundation for WHO. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Jail time and fines for all international germ warfare criminals modifying harmless animal diseases into human-capable diseases. 6. Jail time and fines for all manufacturers of medical treatments more dangerous than the disease. 7. Full liability to patient lawsuits and damages for individual and class action violations of safety in any medication.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of UK	These points should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response:- 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments or any ruling party may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies" or any other circumstances. 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organisations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats and doctors do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right for a person to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces, businesses, nor refuse access to their funds held within banks or building societies. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalised data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. 11. Any immunisation programme MUST be voluntary as per the Nuremburg code.
Private individual	These powers should not be granted. Individuals should always be allowed to decide whether or not they deem a directive is in their own best interests. While guidance can be offered, it must be backed up with transparency of data by which an advisory body came to their conclusion or opinion. Data must be provided in absolute terms, not relative. And a context provided. The WHO is merely an advisory body. It should never be given these powers. The power to overrule our individual right to decide for example, what goes into our body. When "emergency" situations have arisen in the past, rushing through drugs or treatments that have not been fully tested for safety and efficacy has proven to be erroneous. The WHO has been misguided, and passed that misguidance in in catastrophic proportions. This must stop here. The WHO has a vested financial and political interest in forcing the population of the world to do as they advise. For this reason they should only be allowed advisory rights, and their advice should be interrogated thoroughly by entirely a number of independent and objective bodies before advice is passed on to the public. And the public should be allowed to ask questions, and receive answers before choosing their own action to take. To weigh up for themselves what is necessary, what is reasonable.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	These principles must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: • Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". • The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. • The right to try a new medication is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. • Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. • Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education, or gain access to public spaces or businesses. • Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. • We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real-time. • Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. • All manufacturers, distributors, and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. • People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Member of the General Public and historian	These proposals for an International Treaty to deal with future pandemics are fraught with unforeseen consequences and possible miscarriages of justice. It is not possible to craft a global response to complex issues and would be better and safer if left to nation states and local leadership to make decisions for their own people who have voted them into power and can remove them as per out understanding of liberal democracy. As a tax payer I object to my government apportioning tax revenue to pharmaceutical interventions which have not been proved to be safe: indeed Emergency Use Authorisation enables the manufacturer to be protected from liability for damage from their products. New and novel pharmaceutical products and their application should be critically assessed and liability insisted upon. I believe that the WHO should concentrate on matters of public health pertaining to sanitation, clean water, food security and freedom from stress and should not climb into bed with the global pharmaceutical industry which is first and foremost a money making enterprise. The suggestion that the WHO is capable of framing a treaty which gives it unprecedented powers to direct the lives of the citizens of the world is hubris. Is this not an example of regulatory capture by the pharmaceutical industry of the WHO?
Homeopathic Practice, South Africa	These rules do not support the democratic constitutions of sovereign nations that were very carefully written up. Each nation and community is unique and therefore no One-size-fits-all public health measures should be in place. We need autonomy, not global mandates made by a group of Beurocacs and bigPharmaceutical companies with a conflict of interest (who do not care about a 23rd Health Care system that is about prevention and organic healthy living but rather a cause and effect style Health System) which is all about huge financial awards. This new Treaty is blatantly criminal, Communist and should never be allowed to pass.
none	These safeguards must be included and inviolate: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	These should be Guidelines only with NO obligations whatsoever for nations. Nations must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations must be able to opt out of any element as they chose. Democracy MUST be respected - an institution of unelected bureaucrats such as the WHO should not make legally binding rules for nation states, Must be transparent and include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	These should be included in an international agreement for pandemic preparedness: 1. Pharmaceutical interests should not influence recommendations. 2. Nations, states, and municipalities should remain medically sovereign, being able to accept or opt out of WHO recommendations. 3. All voices of science and public health policy should be heard, without censorship by any entities, in determining recommendations. They should be heard by health authorities and by ALL the people.
None	These should be included in the treaty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Australia	These should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Norway - Norwegian	These substantive elements must be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people in that country/community. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I am sure you see why. Kind regards, Hanne Lone Rytlvad
Humanity	These substantive elements should be included IF this project proceeds. - Encourage multiple approaches for a solution. What works for one population may not work for another. - Ensure equal access to all treatment options for all nations. No one should be able to monopolize and profit at the expense of poorer nations. - Respect national and local autonomy. Protect peoples who choose to follow their own path. - Define the role of the WHO as a clearinghouse for information, an egalitarian distributor of promising technologies. The influence of pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers should be curtailed.
Rails Rescue	These substantive elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CA CHAPTER Childrens Health Defense	These substantive elements should respectfully be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, with the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Healthcare is not one-size-fits-all and should be treated as such. Thank you for reading my opinion.
Private citizen	These substantive elements would be essential in any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that bar influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
citizen	These terms should be included... 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank You
RMF	These things are extremely important when considering the WHO potential authority in national health issues National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers Thank you
self	These things must be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on processes and policies including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for my submission. Rebecca Shafer
None	These things must happen with a new pandemic preparedness and response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired health professional	These things should be in any WHO agenda..... 1.I BELIEVE national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organization	These treaties you are proposing remove the power from the Canadian people & are dangerous to our health & welfare of all Canadians & the world. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. No one organization can make that decision on behalf of the Canadians. This should not go through...
	They should include a hard rule against censorship so that all voices are heard.
Megabooker	They should include: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	Things that are important to include in the 'Pandemic Preparedness Treaty/ Agreement' are: 1) No Coercion – All nations as a whole, as well as the local bodies, should be allowed to opt out of any parts of the treaty/ agreement if they wish to do so without suffering any consequences. 2) No Influence Peddling Allowed – Measures need to be in place to prevent pharmaceutical companies, or any other companies/ organizations, that stand to profit from any decisions, from influencing those decisions. 3) Transparency – All decisions must be open to a transparent process and enable all people within the countries to vote on them. If the majority of people in an area do not agree with a decision, then it must not be applied in that area. There must be mechanisms written into the treaty/ agreement to ensure this, and that it be allowed without suffering any consequences.
tribeofuncles.org	things that should be included are exemptions from any forced or coerced experimental or approved drugs or procedures based on each individual's right to bodily autonomy, and mandatory proper testing in placebo controlled testing fcilities for the full minimum amount of time that any new drug gets, ie 5 yrs, and an emphasis on vaers data
n/a	Things to be considered: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Florida	Things you should consider is the differences of each country and regions. All do not need the same response. In the US we learned that one solution, one leader mandates for the entire country didn't work. We also learned that power given to few results in science not being followed but agenda and opinion of few. We saw medicines that could have helped so many be taken away because it wasn't the treatment recommended by the ones in power. We learned how bad things can get when the people doing the treatments aren't allowed to make decisions. We learned that one solution set at a specific time didn't apply to all regions. And we learned that these protocols for " peoples safety and health " kept them from loved ones and made situations worse. They died by themselves. We need to give the emphasize the power to local authorities to make the decisions for them and the community they live in.
therapist	Think more like a crisis manager, organize immediately different working groups with scientists and specialists in various disciplines to have the broadest approach possible. Focus on democratic measures with respect for every individual. Allow academic debate. Choose firmly to respect every opinion, give priority to open debate, to informing the public instead of enforcing a certain behaviour. No more censorship on scientists with a different opinion. Choose firmly for dialogue instead of enforcing one opinion on people. Keep science and politics separated. Don't let big Pharma take control any more. Look at ALL the options, instead of choosing for 1 solution alone. Never ever choose for a fear strategy any more. Never ever allow tactics of pressure, compulsory actions. Maintain the most precious right a human being has: the autonomous right to decide what will happen with his or her body. Respect ALL scientists, respect ALL people, respect ALL opinions. Act more like a company that prefers dialogue instead of discipline, punishment, obedience and fear. Set up a group of scientists to evaluate constantly and be willing to adapt your policy, don't be shy to inform the public (of good and bad results). Inform the public on the different views and insights, not just one. Prevent the hatred and mistrust that has grown in this pandemic. Focus on positive actions.
No organization.	This "instrument" is dangerous to the health of Americans. WHO should have NO power of personal health decisions of Americans or anyone.
Stellenbosch University	This "International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is undeomcratic and seriously disturbing. The mere fact that it is not going via the usual public process goes to show that there is something being hidden. This entire policy should be scrapped as it is NOT in the best interests of the people of this world. The WHO should not get involved in running the health procedures of governments as it has continuously gotten things wrong, too many times for it to be "a lack of understanding" or for it to be forgivable. The WHO needs to remain out of the democratic systems of the countries of this world.
University of Strasbourg	This "legal instrument" clearly violates the Nuremberg Treaty. It is totally unacceptable. Professor Matthieu Smyth PHD
citizen	This "treaty" MUST include the following, no less: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self employed	This "Treaty" in itself is grossly illegal in the context of International law and as such has little regard for the sovereignty of Nation States. What should be proposed, instead of this International attempt to subjugate Global Public Health systems to one Unelected Private Entity, is a global body managed by competent, qualified Health Professionals who respects legitimate International Law and nation State Sovereignty. It should unclude a declaration that each country is accountable and responsible for its own Public Health policy and as such have the right to refuse interference from external parties to it's policy determination. The WHO is NOT an authoritative body for nation states and has NOT been elected by nation states to dictate health policy. The WHO is an organization that should be independant from Private sector influence for obvious reasons. This "Treaty" does not serve the best interests of the intended stakeholders, but instead is an attempt to forcefully capture the global Health system and hold it to ransom in the pretext of sinister agendas. The fact that the WHO advocated measures that caused global destruction of sovereign economies, is enough proof that the WHO in its current form is grossly incompetent.
Private	This 'World Together' treaty is a very dangerous assault on our rights and a tyrannical imposition of authority. The present situation has been choreographed to instil fear around the world and usher in tighter controls. Let us protect our freedoms for future generations and Stop The Treaty.
European citizen	This "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" should make it possible to receive as much information from different and plural sources on on-going pandemics as possible. This information and the debates in the WHO should be made public. Health issues are key to world countries and their people. Plurality and transparency in health matters are essential. World countries have their own sovereignty, each individual in this planet has his/her rights and cares about their health and that of their children. Forcing is neither a democratic nor a good strategy, but educating and offering the possibility for countries and people to make their own informed choices. National and local leadership should retain their full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local areas should have the possibility to opt out of any and of all portions of the agreement, without consequence. The root of a pandemic should be investigated to its fullest in transparency and plurality – in order to avoid this happening again or at least making the pandemic milder. The discussion on which measures are to be taken should be clear from undue influence from any pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers or other group of interest. Just the well-being of the world population should be taken into account, not commercial or side interests. Thank you for this opportunity to comment.
Personal	This agreement does not take into account different conditions in different locations. It does not it acknowledge that WHO does not have sovereign right to enforce or constrain citizens of individual countries. This is an attempt to force peaceful countries into compliance with a group who supposedly has no political motives but evidence is the opposite. Leave it to the individual countries. Don't attempt to enter political scene. Thank you.
Person	This agreement goes against all freedom loving people of the world. This top down control has never worked and will never work. Human Beings are not just some number written down somewhere. We are sovereign beings. With the right to medical freedom, this is medical tyranny. I object this treaty and authoritarian groups including the WHO. Thank you for time Scott Lucchese
IKAPA Technology Services GmbH	This agreement has the potential to increase tenfold WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.
Visionary GmbH Germany	This agreement has the potential to increase tenfold WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.
IKAPA Technology Services GmbH	This agreement has the potential to increase tenfold WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.
None	This agreement ignores the sovereignty of nations and should not be considered. A one size fits all, topdown approach ignores the diversity of the world and various different solutions that individual countries or states might develop on their own. Countries and doctors should be encouraged to develop treatments and share information about best practices for pandemic treatment / management. The best role of the WHO is to help countries deal successfully with health emergencies and provide aid as needed. Help is the key word. It's not the role of the WHO to dictate pandemic response , but to provide help and guidance to countries in need of it.
Private Citizen	This agreement is an unnecessary exercise in an attempt to suppress sovereign nations a free peoples. If the agreement proceeds as a political exercise then the following stipulations must be include: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Baptist	This agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and God given rights.
Spoilyourself	This agreement should not even evaluated! It's against human rights!!
self	This agreement should not occur, but if it does move forward, the following items should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA citizen	This agreement will: •Deeply compromise or otherwise destroy privacy at a global level •Funnel tax dollars to a global agency to "enhance, update, and strengthen" its own ability to be a global health authority •Create an international structure for sharing dangerous pathogens and genetic information •Censor (or "cancel") more and more voices for informed consent through "global coordinated actions to address the misinformation, disinformation, and stigmatization that undermine public health." I believe in bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, I am against having the WHO be in control of the health decisions of any individuals.
US Citizen	This agreement will: Deeply compromise or otherwise destroy privacy at a global level Funnel tax dollars to a global agency to "enhance, update, and strengthen" its own ability to be a global health authority Create an international structure for sharing dangerous pathogens and genetic information Censor (or "cancel") more and more voices for informed consent through "global coordinated actions to address the misinformation, disinformation, and stigmatization that undermine public health." I believe in bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent for all.
Mary	This agreement would go against our constitutional rights. Each individual has the right to make decisions for their own health, and children's health. That should continue no matter what, even during a pandemic.

Organization	Written submission
People who live in Reality	This agreement would violate national sovereignty of all adopting nations. It would also be unconstitutional and unenforceable in the United States. No international body has the information, wisdom, or restraint to reasonably and humanely wield this disturbing and dangerous power. The short-sightedness and hubris involved in this type of authoritarian and anti-intellectual usurpation would have catastrophic consequences. Free citizens are and must remain empowered to make their own health decisions based on latest available knowledge and their personal beliefs.
Member public	This allows global authoritarians on a massive scale, with no ability to hold those making the decisions accountable. Atleast we could vote the politicians who made decisions last 2 years out. This is creating an unelected global dictatorship with massive power. The WHO also pushed 3 percent death rate when it was 0.2 at most. The WHO covered up lab leak. They have no right to ever decided if I can leave house.
general public	This amounts to nothing more than a world medical cartel. Countries are sovereign and need to remain so. The WHO can make suggestions but in no way should they be a single governing body over the world as it pertains to health and wellness as all areas of the world are different and have different needs. As such, an agreement must provide for national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The must be the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And finally, there must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	This attempt at implementing an underlying agenda that envisions a world where the WHO supersedes the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution is an appallingly dystopian attempt to enforce the biomedical security state that no one on this planet, save for WEF "global young leaders" and associates are interested in taking part in. We do not want to be monitored/surveilled above or below our skin. We do not want or need mandatory enforcement of gene therapy, euphemistically marketed as vaccines, which have no efficacy in any of the arenas marketed as "effective" and are anything but "safe". WHO & WEF should "never" have any say that supersedes the constitutions, charters, or individual autonomy of any nation state or of each individual person. Given this, and the track record shown for the WHO and WEF for their "openness and transparency" around the safety of their "vaccines", I refuse as an individual to accept your mandates and protocols regardless of what you decidebased upon the following: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of any global agreement and it's enforcement on those who do not want it, particularly those with natural immunity. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In a word: NO!
Self employed contractor	This bill is a catastrophe for individual and collective sovereignty and freedom. I am passionately against any control handed over to the WHO which I don't trust at all. The WHO, and all involved with it has a nefarious, evil agenda. Nothing to do with health or helping populations. Quite the contrary as it's all about controlling humanity for their ulterior motives. May it be resisted every step of the way.
person	This body has no right to dictate care when you sell yourself to the highest donor. WHO cannot be trusted and I wish my tax dollars did not go to this organization. trump was right to halt your funding and I will vote for anyone who does the same. The WHO got everything wrong with covid, probably because they listened to everyone affiliated with Gates and not scientists
Tui Community	This body of international preparedness for future pandemics shall solely be advisory. It's medical members draw on a wide range of medical experience, expertise and knowledge from all around Earth, like traditional medicine, herbal and anthroposophic knowledge from all nations. No powers of big pharma over it.
Private Individual	This can not possibly go forward. The world is a complex surface area made up of different counties with different traditions and different levels of health and requirements as well as resources and exposure. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It's apparent to ordinary people that there are agendas being pushed by governments and big organisations as well as government.
Private	This can only work if: Countries and local authorities maintain full autonomy for decisions affecting their own people. It must be possible to opt out if deemed necessary. Democratic principles must be followed for implementation. If people say no, it means no. Pharmaceutical industry shall not be allowed any form of influence on the agreement.
N/A	This cannot be allowed to happen. Human beings have inalienable human rights. This power grab contravenes those rights. We have ultimate autonomy to say what goes in our bodies. I chose not to have the C19 vaccine because nothing about it made any sense. Every day over the last 2 years I have read more and more and everything I read completely corroborates my original decision NOT to have it. The WHO is suggesting that they can over ride any persons choice, produce a 'vaccine' within 100 days and then start jabbing everyone under Emergency Use Authorisation. THIS IS INSANITY! I and many others like me will fight this tyranny with every molecule of our being. WHO cannot get away with this MONSTEROUS over reach. The whole thing is utterly disgusting and there are NO arguments to justify it.
Personal	This cannot happen. It would be the worst possible outcome for the world. You do not dictate what a free person can do with their body!!! Stay out of my Country and out of my health. You have destroyed people's lives financially and mentally throughout Covid. What, on God's green earth, makes you think you have the RIGHT to govern the world? You are NOT elected by the people
National Tsing Hua University	This Comment enthusiastically welcomes the core objective of the INB—"The World Together"—in negotiating and drafting the WHO convention, agreement, or other international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. Successful preparedness for and response to public health emergencies calls for inclusion for and implementation of any international instrument to be adopted by the WHO to the widest extent possible. With this in mind, whereas some States or health entities may not become Parties to the new international instrument in no time, their inclusion in and observation with the new instrument is indispensable to the goal for universal application for the protection of all people of the world. This Comment therefore urges the INB to include a general provision that establishes a mechanism for non-Parties and health entities to voluntarily observe key obligations under the new instrument (e.g. timely notification of incidents/events, information sharing, and capacity building) as a precondition to benefit (albeit in a limited manner) from the new instrument by way of obtaining critical information/alerts, presenting views on emergency decisions, or receiving necessary technical assistance. A provision of this kind will facilitate widest inclusion and contribute to the core objective: "With a view to supporting effective implementation and compliance of this [instrument], and its universal application for the protection of all people of the world from the international spread of disease in an equitable manner, the WHO shall encourage non-Parties and/or other health entities to observe obligations and, where appropriate, receive equivalent treatment under this [instrument]."
USA	This concept should be ended. I am a sovereign city and I will be damned if I am going to be held to rules from an unelected body that lied about Covid. You people are corrupt and only out to serve your own financial interests at the expense of working people. Stick it where the sun does not shine.
naturopath	This contract contradicts elementary fundamental rights, such as the right to self-determination. The careful development of medicines and thus the health of the people of the world is at risk. As a doctor, I strictly reject this contract. It encroaches on the right to physical integrity and violates democratic principles and the sovereignty of states. The global digital recording of people is to be rejected.
Privat	This contract has to include: - guarantee of the sovereignty of the State and Individualismus welfare - respect of human rights - respect of Nuremberg Code - legal liability pf goverments and pharmaceutical industries
tax consultant	This contract is to be rejected in the strongest possible terms. It prevents the sovereignty of states and only serves the pharmaceutical industry. The careful development of medicines and thus the health of the world's population is at risk. It contradicts the right to self-determination.
Cititzen	This contract is wrong caise it takes away our souvereign power and hands it over to a ngo. The danger is high that a world government will indeed be installed through this manner. Which i totally reject!!
NO	This Contract should be a guideline for Countrys, not more. Any not medical treatment to regulate a pandemik should be the last option. Each Country should stay souverign and the peoples rights, human rights should be respected. Digital personell Vaccination ID's should not be required.
private	this contract will lead us to loose our national independence and force us to follow rules from your private "NGO". yes. you are not paid anymore mainly from the public. you are changing definitions like "pandemic". not all your rules are made for healthy people, but a profit for big-pharma. so no thanks. no new international instruments on pandemic preparedness and response!!!
Public health	This contract will violate human rights by an private organisation WHO and this is the reason why this contract cannot be valid. Furthermore the WHO role in the Corona pandemic shows the deficit of such an organisation.
Private	This contract with the member states of the WHO would cause a global governance under the leadership of the WHO - a catastrophe for humanity. What we - the people of the world - need is transparency, democracy, freedom of choice and a guarantee of natural justice and human rights.The WHO is a primarily by private foundations and businesses financed and dominated organisation with a huge conflict of interests. Giving the WHO a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response means, that the sovereignty and the constitutions of the national states will be deleted in a case of a pandemic. Since the WHO changed the definition of what a pandemic is, every infectious disease which goes around the world can be declared as a pandemic. WHO should only be able to give recommendations to the member states - nothing more!
General public	This convention, agreement or other international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response currently being drafted by the INB on behalf of the WHO organisation should not supercede elected national government decisions and strategies to cope with future pandemics. Any move to put forward and implement this proposal based on 'empowering WHO to fulfil its mandate as the directing and coordinating authority on international health work, including for pandemic preparedness and response' would be a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. The WHO should not be allowed to control the worlds health agenda. Particularly while there is a conflict of interest in terms of how this organisation is funded through both public and private funding streams.
Their is ok organization	This Country is not a dictatorship, we have the right to our own Dr. and our own decisions regarding our health. I appose your law to control what we do with our own bodies. I appose in any circumstances that you would try to dictate what goes into my own body and make it's some kind of communist law .
Private	This decision should be based on the individual healthcare and government institutions.
None	This document goes far beyond pandemics in its depth, and as such, should be subject to a vote by every citizen that would be subject to it. This is a reach too far, and should never even be considered as a serious thing to be adopted.
Personal	This global approach to pandemic preparedness and strategy needs to ensure that: Individual inalienable human rights are honoured and respected. This will mean that coercion or mandatory medical procedures may not be imposed. Humans are not 'one size fit all' creatures and therefore there can be no blanket medication rolled out globally that prevents freedom of the individual to choose, maintain bodily autonomy and exercise true informed consent - without pressure or threat. Equally no country in the world is one size fit all either. We have different genetics, climates, geography and histories. As the last two years have shown it's all too easy to bully governments, and through them their electorate into accepting experimental, drugs in return for freedoms which should never be denied anyway. Individual countries and communities should be the authority in their own jurisdiction. The WHO are not voted for by any country's electorate and as such should not be able to legislate and impose a global policy.
N/A	This global pandemic agreement must provide a clause that will prevent the undemocratic implementation of power over sovereign people. I stand against any abuse of power over a nation and/or its people. I assert the sovereign right that people can make their own decisions over what their representatives may desire.
european citizen	This global pandemic treaty has to be stopped as it gives undemocratic rights over sovereign people. The WHO must be accountable for inhumane policies that only take into account the virus. It is not an independent organ, accountable for its' actions. It cannot and should not impose rules on sovereign democratic countries.
Human rights	This global treaty can't be adopted through the WHO. It is a global threat for the human freedoms and rights to live, to move and to decide over your own body, especially regarding medical treatments, vaccines and other "Health measures". Every country should have its own democratic way to decide in case other pandemics occur. The covid - 19 pandemic has shown that every government should decide without pressure from anybody its own rules. No lockdown, dangerous global vaccination our useless PCR tests can be imposed for absolute every citizen on Earth. You must protect the children and families from the horrible forced pandemic tests and other disturbing measures. We must stand for human rights. Such global treaty under the WHO is a dangerous and significant action against freedom and the right to make your own decisions about your life. Stop this pandemic treaty as soon as possible.
Concern Malaysian Citizen	This global treaty really will harm all the different law and regulations that every country has. We as the citizens really dont nead a tyrant to rules and any regulations supersedes any law in every country has. I as the citizen of Malaysia vote against this treaty and i vote for freedom from every mandates that WHO or Countries make. I vote for the right for every citezen free to make any choice with their own body without a mandate. I vote for the freedom of travel. I vote for the freedom of every citizen in the world should have. Do not regulate the citezen live like u own us. Vote for freedoms!!!
Australian citizen	This goes against all his given rights if the people. All our laws and constitution in place that override such dehumanisation of humanity by elites seeking power and control. Our freedom to choose , our rights. All the data that has been hidden and the truth manipulated to suit their agenda. That data was hidden of adverse reactions and to keep forcing vaccinations on covid-19 and other vaccinations since birth for babies. When there has been so many adverse reactions. There is no pandemic when elites and governments are partying, no masks, out in public, etc while the rest of the world is loosing family members from these injections that are MRNA , toxic, deadly. Loosing homes, jobs, income , locked out of society and communities due to not complying with their free choice not to participate in a TRAIL vaccine! The chem trails sprayed into the air, altering the weather. All pandemic responses must be dropped, as seen it does not work. Isolations does not work Mask wearing does not work Vaccination stops the spread of the covid virus- does not work But higher vaccinations has ended up higher cases? Were is the science behind this? Hospitals full of adverse reactions not covid cases.
All Valley Urgent Care	This goes against the US constitution and Bill of rights. The WHO has no juridiction over the USA and will not bode well with Americans.
University of Ulwazi	This guideline is nothing more than a poorly veiled grab for power by the WHO and the parties that bankroll it. There is no support for this, outside the annals that told you to push it through. Leave us alone.
Private	This has the potential to do much more harm to humanity than any pandemic we've ever seen. We do not need this at all!
Self	This idea is a big NO! We are the experts on ourself and know far better than you what we need and don't. The policies used thus far have created more damage than COVID itself!!!! There was NEVER even informed consent yet you were mandating! You appear to be more interested in the power and money than what is truly best. "We the people" want you out of our medical decisions and we are a sovereign people knowing what is best for each of us. Bigger government IS NOT the answer.
N/A	This idea of an agreement or treaty by the WHO with any and all countries of the world is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights in the USA, as no law supersedes our Law of the Land, our United States Constitution.
No affiliation	This instrument has the strong potential to usurp democratic and contextualised policy within sovereign nations. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We must retain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. WHO must ensure an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Above all, we require measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
n/a	This instrument is an unacceptable threat to U.S. and individual sovereignty. It would take health decisions out of the hands of Americans and their families. All health decisions should be made by each individual, based on TRUTH (no more lies), and are private and privileged. The US should not sign this or join in in any capacity.
Werde Rose	This instrument is definetly not needed. Health issues should stay national. No worldwide digital health surveillance should be implemented!
none	This instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include as a priority the guarantee and respect for individual countries and citizens' rights and sovereignty, it must allow every individual to reject measures that violate his or her personal integrity, it must respect human rights and include measures to hold politicians, governments and pharmaceutical companies liable for any damage resulting from imposed measures. It also must include the possibility of individual persons to reject a digital ID if they don't want to be part of this measure. The response should prefer cures to vaccines and allow medical staff to apply their medical knowledge to save people's life without prosecution.
none	This instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should include as a priority the guarantee and respect for individual countries and citizens' rights and sovereignty, it must allow every individual to reject measures that violate his or her personal integrity, it must respect human rights and include measures to hold politicians, governments and pharmaceutical companies liable for any damage resulting from imposed measures. It also must include the possibility of individual persons to reject a digital ID if they don't want to be part of this measure. The response should prefer cures to vaccines and allow medical staff to apply their medical knowledge to save people's life without prosecution - even if these medical practices were not recommended by official institutions such as the WHO. Everybody must have the right to choose their treatment and may not be forced to comply to imposed measures.
citizen of the Bundesrepublik Deutschand	This instrument should be free and independent of lobby interests. People or foundations who are influencing the WHO via money should not contribute to instruments that have an influence on democratic governments. A maximum level of independence and control in facing the challenges of a pandemic should be left to the local general physicians and the local health authorities. Vaccinations, since they have proved to fail several times in fighting pandemic challenges, should be explicitely banned from such international instruments. With such an instrument the WHO should at maximum suggest guidelines for local activities. That the WHO takes authority in controlling what their member countries do to fight a pandemic on a local level should be avoided. The instrument should focus on the fast distribution of information which cost-free or cheap natural products can be used to treat people in the pandemic.
Private	This instrument should not be binding on any country or on any citizen. It should only provide optional arrangements if at a time of health difficulties citizens and/or countries want to involve the WHO in managing cross border issues.
None	This instrument should not be considered or allowed. This is tyrannical at best and must be stopped. Every being on earth must be sovereign and free. God eternal, within and without. May peace be with you. May the God in you see the God in everyone.
Flagstaff Education Advocates	This international instrument must include: 1. A Constitution and Bill of Patient rights based on the Nuremberg Code so that the rights of individuals to choose or not choose pandemic interventions are upheld. 2. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy in any agreement, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to have fairly and locally elected representatives (not a single elected official) make decisions, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it or application to individuals who do not agree with it. 5. Agreement to not censor dissenting voices.
None	This international instrument should not be legally binding, but should be advisory only. While I believe WHO's efforts at creating a global Pandemic Treaty reflect good intentions, I believe that plans to make the agreement legally binding on member states reflect a threat to the sovereignty of the individual states in general, and to my nation, the United States, in particular. This treaty would take health decisions out of the hands of American families, and thus could negatively affect the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO should not have power over the health decisions of disparate individuals whose local concerns might be unknowable by this global organization. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Cooperation is most likely when local jurisdictions and their individual citizens have control of their own health decisions. Advice from WHO is welcome, but demands from a global organization would be dangerous.
Private	This international instrument that the WHO is developing for pandemic preparedness should never include a clause that the WHO's recommendations for a pandemic response are in fact only recommendations for member counties. The WHO should never have the power to put into effect rules that members countries must follow. They can only be recommendations. Sovereign nations must have the ability to follow the path that nation determines are the appropriate policies to enact and to follow. Anything less would lead to a medical dictatorship with the WHO having the power to direct the response of all member countries.
Citizen of New Zealand	This international pandemic preparedness response needs to leave ALL decisions with the countries elected governing bodies who need to consult with their citizens.To Respect all individuals, employment sectors (including health & education) cultural & religious views & rights to autonomy over decisions of responsibility over freedoms to choose how we are to manage this. Every region within a country is different as are all peoples & possible border controls. This must not be controlled globally but independantly. Goverments & their people must retain autonomy & personal responsibility over how they deal with the next threat. We have learnt the pitfalls & powerlessness from the past 2years. Power to choose must remain with the people. Circumstances & people cannot bear more of the same. We must have the right of responsibilty of our own from within our countries & its governments. Not a global command this is NOT beneficial nor required.
Retired	This International Treaty Proposal is wrong: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
FREE SPEECH	THIS INTERVENTION WILL MAKE OUR DEMOCRACTIC COUNTRIES A NEAR TOTALITARIAN STATE. SOVEREIGN COUNTRIES SHOULD DECIDE INDIVIDUALLY WHAT STEPS SHOULD BE TAKEN REGARDING ANY FUTURE HEALTH CRISIS NOT UNELECTED GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS. ONCE A FREEDOM IS TAKEN AWAY IT IS NEVER GIVEN BACK. I CAN ONLY ADD MY VOICE BUT THAT VOICE WILL BE MULTIPLIED BY BILLIONS ALL SAYING NO.
Family	This is a absolut no go!!!!!!!
Retired	This is a bad idea. The WHO has made mistakes before and should not be involved in a pandemic response. Responses should be decided locally.
Private	This is a blatent power grab. WHO has no business other than in a advisory capacity. The last advise coming from the WHO around the covid "pandemic" has been shoddy and biased to say the least. Now you wish to grab power and override individual countries rights? Disgusting! Stick to trying to provide CORRECT and NON-BIASED advice based on scientific evidence provided from ALL backgrounds... not just those who are bought and paid for by BIG PHARMA. Since when does BIG PHARMA have anyones best interest at heart besides lining their own pockets? In my opinion YOU... the WHO have been captured and have lost sight of your true purpose. Let me remind you... your TRUE purpose should be HELPING people by providing them the best health advice... even if that means no profit is made!
Informed Citizens	This is a despicable proposal, with obvious aims to impose collectivist control across the world. We demand & will sustain the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	This is a disgraceful attempt to give an unelected organisation the power to sieze control of sovereign nations. This must not be allowed to happen as it is a further push towards a digitally controlled world with further erosion of freedoms and forced medical intervention. This is wrong on every level and must not be allowed to continue.
Canada	This is a disgusting proposition for a document if used improperly. Must include respect for national sovereignty. Must make vaccine manufacturers liable. Must insist that the WHO can be held liable for advice. Must make government leaders accountable for decisions. Must never discriminate based on vaccination status.
Individual	This is a global travesty waiting to happen. NO ORGANIZATION CAN SPEAK FOR THE HEALTH OF THE WORLD!! The WHO Proposal MUST BE STOPPED!! The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private entity	This is a gross overreach in taking away medical privacy rights. International medical passports are tyrannical.
ZUMBATO MUSIC	This is a massive overreach, please stop!
Human	This is a power grab to hold on to control of the people. We are the many and you are the few, we have read the data, seen the impact these unlawful restrictions have had a cross the world, NO MORE!!!!
private	this is a self appointed body answerable only to themselves. I thought I lived in the home democracy. I therefore do not support a self appointed central body who dictates their instructions to the rest of the world. What would be more apporpriate is perhaps an elkected body whose role is to gather information from educated and informed and experienced beings and share for the great good. Nothing less than this is acceptable to the human race
Self	This is a serious issue. My comments as to what are needed in this plan are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open, honest and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. -Mike Miller
	This is a shameful attempt by some powerful interests to highjack People's right to freedom of movement and the ultimate right to body autonomy and to decide what is best for us. This is also an attempt by the same western corporate elite to control the destiny of individuals to shove any experimental treatment they deem to be right to profit a few. The lockdown was pushed by the same people and is now seen as useless in stopping the pandemic. Let's not even talk about the useless and dangerous mRNA vaccine shove on the people by force or coercion and vaccine passport made to enrich BlackRock clientèle. Also each country has a different situation on the ground so that all thing is just another power grab by the same people. Justice will prevail!
Self	This is a sovereign nation… all should be and should continue being so. This is an unneeded power grab for a pandemic that was caused by evil governments on purpose. The WHO has zero right to pull this and I absolutely do not support this along with hundreds of thousands of others
None	THIS IS A STATEMENT OF NON-ACCEPT. I completely and absolutely reject all suggestions that The World Health Organization, WHO, should, in any manner, form or fashion, have control or stake in a international instrument, given that the WHO has accepted private sponsors that have obvious interests in vaccine sales. This, regardless of whether any such private sponsors remain.
Self	This is a terrible idea for the following reasons: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self-Employed	This is a terrible idea from a biological point of view, and from a organizational behavioral psychology point of view. Biological: Every country should try their best to come up with a solution that is right for their population. The more solutions that are tried, the more likely one will succeed. Having one body dictate one solution is putting all the eggs in one basket. Organizational Psychology: Every organization over time becomes corrupted the more power and influence it has because bad actors are always trying to influence the organization. The people who cannot stomach the influence leave, and after a while it is only corrupt or compromised individuals or individuals who are just doing their jobs. This is a recipe for power abuse and corruption. This is the evolution of most large organizations over time. Think of any large organization wielding large power is there corruption and abuse in that organization? Is the corruption and abuse proportional to the size and power of the organization?
Self	This is a terrible idea that undermines democracy and free speech.
Real estate	This is a terrible idea. I do not support such an outrageous proposal.
Botana	This is a terrible idea. Too much private money has corroded the WHO where it has become an extension of the pharmaceutical industry, which is 'profit' based. There are massive conflicts of interest in governments to the point where the governments which went with off patent therapies has the best response. Not only that but the WHO has changed definitions and the citizenry of f the world can see the corruption that is plaguing the WHO.
self	This is a TERRIBLE idea. Trying to assert more control will only lead to more divisiveness and mistrust, and that will inevitably lead to fewer people willingly obeying mandates, taking vaccines, etc. The plan as currently written with then, in effect, have the OPPOSITE effect from what is needed for our nation's and the world's response to pandemics. People need to be convinced by proper science and by building trust, not by mandates and coercion. I will say it again: Your plan will have the OPPOSITE effect from what you intend, and that's to the detriment of mankind!

Organization	Written submission
Us citizen	This is a terrible proposal. I'm fully against it. I live by the Constitution of the US amid not an international elected body. 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Vote no on this proposal.
UK	This is a test only, please ignore. ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ. This is a test only, please ignore. ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ.
test response	This is a test statement. Please disregard.
CHD Europe	This is a test. After many attempt, before submitting a written participation, I would like to test that my submission is finally accepted. Thank you.
Freedom	This is a Threat to human freedom It is incorrect that an organisation of which we hardly heard of since covid started now suddenly will take our autonomy away This must stop! As already lots of medical proofs that you made a mistake in saving humanity!!!! #stopthetreaty
individual	This is a threat to national sovereignty and inalienable rights, and alarmingly undemocratic. The WHO must not be allowed to control the health agendas of individual countries. There MUST be guarantees on national and individual sovereignty and human rights, as well as legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies. Nations and municipalities must be able to opt out of any parts of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process must be open and transparent to everyone. There should measures to DISallow the influence of pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Prefer not to say	This is a totalitarian, authoritarian dream come true if this is passed. It will be a nightmare if this treaty comes into effect. To lose sovereignty to a global organisation in a pandemic, will only encourage "pandemic emergencies" to be declared. Especially if there is money to be made from the pharmaceutical companies; where the WHO get funded.
The Me Organization	This is a totally unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. This should not happen without more time to allow for public input.
Self-employed	This is a very bad idea. Centralizing power is tyrannical.
Free person	This is a very bad idea. The pandemic response proves that mandates and one size fits all policy does not work. Local governments need the ability to respond in an appropriate way to the conditions in their communities.
citizen of Australia	This is a very serious issue and should be voted on in the elections openly in every country. The media is not reporting on these major decisions being undertaken by WHO. People are not aware of the implications of these major decisioins being taken for them by their own governments. One size does not fit all and many people will not be okay with the underhanded decisions being made for their health.
NA	This is a violation of human rights. The world population did not vote for this. I object. Jack Freeman
Privat	This is a violation of our rights. Absolutly no.
childrens health defense	This is abject failure and a step backwards for human sovereignty and freedom. We the people do not agree to or consent to any such treaty. Unreasonable and unnecessary to the maximum degree. DO NOT PROCEED.
No	This is about Global Governments Totalitarianisim – an evil Tyrannical globalists and government agenda to control every aspect of the lives of every human being on earth and every person should be terrified and take action to stop these global tyrants dictators which has been orchestrated by the World Economic Forum, World Health Organisation and global governments and their accomplices globalists in their crimes against innocent populations aka crimes against humanity.
World Health Organization	This is about National and Individual SOVEREIGNTY taking precedence over Majority Rule (democracy) or small minority rule through agencies like this to control World Health decisions. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal family staff	This is absolutely rediculous. The human rights are violated. Please stop this in the name of humanity. just forget it, remember Nürnberger Codex. We don't need anything new, existing laws are enough.
None	This is an absolute NO. Each state has different needs and populations it's not one size fits all. Mind your business.
a concerned individual	This is an absolute over-reach by the WHO. Decisions regarding health should be taken locally, not globally.
individual	This is an absurd proposal with potentially terrible consequences: one organization with supreme powers over the whole world???? This must not be allowed without: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NHS	This is an appalling idea. Real science (not political science) has shown that any measures put in place have increased deaths. Lockdowns - increased deaths. Mask mandates - increased deaths. Vaccines - increased deaths. You can plot and follow the death curve which lines up exactly with all of the measures implemented. Governments cannot and will not beat any natural disease / virus. It is egotistical to think that. So allowing an unelected power to take control of your country in a "pandemic" when countries individually on the advice of WHO caused mass unemployment, deaths, suicide is beyond comprehension. Countries who did not lock down and who did not put in any special measures faired no worse. In fact they killed less people. ONS data in the UK now shows only 17,300 died OF Covid 19 in the 2 year period from March 2020, less than a flu season. And countries are supposed to give away their power for that. As a UK Citizen, I do not consent to this.
US citizen	This is an awful idea as it's been proven these last few years that a one size fits all approach does not work. Each country is vastly different with resources and populations and they must be able to make their own decisions and down to province and state level. We proved here in the US that each state must have the right to make their own choices. News articles are coming out that states that were lockdowned fared less well than those that remained more open. We know this flatten the curve approach only kicked matters down the road and has caused for way more issues in the big picture (ie cancer to go undetected, major suicide rates and in very young kids). I do not trust my hands in any big controlled health department and we have the right to have doubts/concerns. The WHO has flip flopped on their thoughts/advice, especially with masks, vaccines and they have not done a thorough job investigating where the source of covid-19 came from. I also have no trust in the WHO with how it's organization structure is, lack of true scientific leaders involved and whom biggest donors/share holders are. If this treaty goes through, I have no doubt more risky gain of function research will continue, there will be more lab leaks and indefinite pandemics. We humans deserve less bureaucracy/govt involvement. We need to quit thinking we can beat Mother Nature- it's more and more obvious that she will always win. I urge no treaty to be signed.
USA resident, father, husband, and free citizen.	This is an egregious power grab and in no way shape or form should this be allowed to pass. Gray areas in the implementation of laws, mandates, rules and regulations have been manipulated without the full understanding of this pandemic. Time has been used against the people of this planet to pass laws that now appear to have absolutely failed and that only assist those in power and not the citizens of the world. This monstrous power grab which is even using time by not allowing all citizens to have an equal input in this important decision even for this public discussion just shows how desperate those who wish to harm the occupants of our planet are desperate to pass unlawful, generational changes. The following are bullet points that I support and wish to see implemented. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Maplewood School	This is an extremely dangerous proposition and leads to more autocratic decisions that do not the people but DO serve powerful interests and destroy local and national autonomy. This points should be included in any resolution. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. A bold statement that the final decision regarding bodily autonomy lies with the individual not the state and that the human right to choose or reject ANY medication whatsoever must be enshrined. The results of recent centralized WHO dictates have resulted in the deaths of millions worldwide by promoting, on behalf of Big Pharma, health applications that have killed people or are making them sicker than before. Infertility, AIDS, cancer, and many other diseases are now on the rise due to these policies and if left to frontline doctors and qualified professionals (Bill Gates is NOT qualified) then millions of lives would have been save by early and SAFE treatment that is PROVEN to work better than what the WHO recommended.
privat	This is an interference with the personal decision to receive medical treatment. A pandemic contract corresponds to an organized violation of human rights. Kind regards J Riegler
Sovereign Human	This is an obscene and egregious overreach of control perpetrated by the WHO. No Pandemic Treaty required.
	This is an over reach of what The Who organization should be allowed to do. They should not be allowed to make decisions for the whole world when each country has different problems. This controls needs to be left in the individual countries. As we saw in the Covid situation states that followed your advice now are in a lot worse situations than those who were more lenient on the lockdowns and mask wearing. So no to this world wide treaty.
citizens of the free world	This is an overreach and it is not justified by any means. Let countries decide over their own actions regarding a future pandemic. Also, the emergency is over, so all of the restrictions should be immediately stop. We are a free people. Leave us alone. Let us handle our own issues.
Licensed Marriage and Family Counselor	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. One size does not fit all. The US is a democracy and individuals have the right to make their own health decisions with INFORMED CONSENT and in collaboration with their doctors. What happened with covid was criminal and can never be repeated: forcing people against their will to take an untested experimental gene therapy regardless of immune status, prior reaction to vaccines and allergies to adjuvants. What the WHO should focus on is making sure INFORMED CONSENT is part of every recommendation for a medical treatment. The WHO should safeguard access to proven therapies for pandemics. The WHO should focus on NON-pharmaceutical strategies for emerging diseases. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families and I urge the US to vote no.
University	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families!
Citizen of the US Constitution	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families.
USA Citizen	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. I want our lawmakers to keep health at home, and let the American people make their own decisions!
none	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. One size does not fit all. The US is a democracy and individuals have the right to make their own health decisions with INFORMED CONSENT and in collaboration with their doctors. What happened with covid was criminal and can never be repeated: forcing people against their will to take an untested experimental gene therapy regardless of immune status, prior reaction to vaccines and allergies to adjuvants. What the WHO should focus on is making sure INFORMED CONSENT is part of every recommendation for a medical treatment. The WHO should safeguard access to proven therapies for pandemics. The WHO should focus on NON-pharmaceutical strategies for emerging diseases.
Health professional	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. One size does not fit all. The US is a democracy and individuals have the right to make their own health decisions with INFORMED CONSENT and in collaboration with their doctors. What happened with covid was criminal and can never be repeated: forcing people against their will to take an untested experimental gene therapy regardless of immune status, prior reaction to vaccines and allergies to adjuvants. What the WHO should focus on is making sure INFORMED CONSENT is part of every recommendation for a medical treatment. The WHO should safeguard access to proven therapies for pandemics. The WHO should focus on NON-pharmaceutical strategies for emerging diseases. On 4/12/2022 11:12 AM, Sarah Bearden, D.N.Med., M.S., CN via MarinCountyCA wrote: Steve Kirsch has made it easy for us. I copied what he wrote and added: " A provision that retains the respect for the rights of an individual's body autonomy. Always." Sunshine
Health professional	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. One size does not fit all. The US is a democracy and individuals have the right to make their own health decisions with INFORMED CONSENT and in collaboration with their doctors. What happened with covid was criminal and can never be repeated: forcing people against their will to take an untested experimental gene therapy regardless of immune status, prior reaction to vaccines and allergies to adjuvants. What the WHO should focus on is making sure INFORMED CONSENT is part of every recommendation for a medical treatment. The WHO should safeguard access to proven therapies for pandemics. The WHO should focus on NON-pharmaceutical strategies for emerging diseases. On 4/12/2022 11:12 AM, Sarah Bearden, D.N.Med., M.S., CN via MarinCountyCA wrote: Steve Kirsch has made it easy for us. I copied what he wrote and added: " A provision that retains the respect for the rights of an individual's body autonomy. Always."Sunshine
None	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. One size does not fit all. The US is a democracy and individuals have the right to make their own health decisions with INFORMED CONSENT and in collaboration with their doctors. What happened with covid was criminal and can never be repeated: forcing people against their will to take an untested experimental gene therapy regardless of immune status, prior reaction to vaccines and allergies to adjuvants. What the WHO should focus on is making sure INFORMED CONSENT is part of every recommendation for a medical treatment. The WHO should safeguard access to proven therapies for pandemics. The WHO should focus on NON-pharmaceutical strategies for emerging diseases. I'm wondering who funds WHO...
None	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. One size does not fit all. The US is a democracy and individuals have the right to make their own health decisions with INFORMED CONSENT and in collaboration with their doctors. What happened with covid was criminal and can never be repeated: forcing people against their will to take an untested experimental gene therapy regardless of immune status, prior reaction to vaccines and allergies to adjuvants. What the WHO should focus on is making sure INFORMED CONSENT is part of every recommendation for a medical treatment. The WHO should safeguard access to proven therapies for pandemics. The WHO should focus on NON-pharmaceutical strategies for emerging diseases. I would like to know who funds your organization?
Heal care administrator	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. Pandemic planning and decision making must happen at the local level.
My Family	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. We must keep health at home. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. We The People DO NOT agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." We are holding you to that.
None	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. Please DO NOT PROCEED WITH THIS TREATY!!!
None	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. Please DO NOT PROCEED WITH THIS TREATY!!!
Ourrominfarms	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. We cannot support any effort that may lead to constraints on the sovereignty of America.
Individual	This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty. I personally don't want the health decisions to be put into the hands of the WHO. The USA has freedoms other countries do not. Medical decisions should be made by myself and my family, including when an emergency exists.
None	This is an unacceptable threat to US sovereignty and takes the health decisions out of the hands of American families. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. We are not a communist country. All Health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including emergencies when they exist. WHO does not have power our health decisions. No legal binding pandemic treaty. No No No. Again we are not a communist, socialist country.
Me, Myself and I, the God in me	This is an unnecessary document that requires NO information for the world governments. All countries can choose to self-determine. WHO need not be in charge of the planet
Private citizen	This is an unnecessary take over bid by a corrupt organization. It will not dictate any decisions or actions I make as a private citizen. If the document is drafted, then the following recommendations must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen USA	This is an unprecedented agreement and warrants extreme caution. The consideration and negotiation of these should be a matter considered through a democratic process, which offers all peoples of the world an opportunity to give voice to their concerns. As this would affect entire populations of both willing and unwilling persons, at a minimum, the following must be incorporated to any form of the agreement: 1. National and local government and institutions should retain full autonomy and sovereignty, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, is essential; such actions should be without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process—which must include the ability for all people of the world to vote on the inclusion of measures that will prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. All measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or their subsidiaries.
None	This is completely unacceptable and cannot be allowed to take place.
None	This is copied with permission. I agree with each statement: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	This is government and corporate and the WEF over reach. It is all about power and money..nothing to do with the best health for the people. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans.
privaze	This is hiuddeous and absolutely NOT ACCEPTABLE who should and MUST not be able or allowed to decide about my body!!!!!!
HUMANITY	This is how any present or future pandemic/illness should be managed: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement WHO is creating as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Carmen
US citizen	This is insane. There should be no internal plan or guidance because it short circuits the best possible solution being found by preventing multiple approaches.
Unemployed	This is just a power grab by an organisation whose epidemiological advice has been demonstrably, empirically, proven to be useless. Epidemiological responses are far more effective if left to countries/regions to decide and implement. This is a fact, as proven by the last 2 years.
Citizen	This is just another step to total control by the corrupt w.h.o. we will be stripped of our bodily autonomy but they will never ever own my body ever the w.h.o and the w.e.f. can go to hell where they belong.
ocean blue	this is just not going to work..
n/a	This is madness and you cannot proceed. An international approach makes no sense and is immoral. Nations are sovereign and need to be treated as such. Stay out of this issue WHO!!! We all know you're nothing but paid shills for Big Pharma; your policies and proposed policies prove that daily.
Ultimate Wellness	This is my body and soul we need you to stop this corruption and keep generations to come FREE Stand strong!!!!
Earth Citizen	This is my opinion and hope for the future: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Janice Barnett
Concerned citizen	This is my opinion On the matter: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	This is my second attempt to write to you at the WHO. first session timed out. I do not think the WHO handled the last 'pandemic' well. There is now evidence that lockdowns have caused considerably more deaths than they have saved. Neither should an organisation have as much power, when it is funded by companies and foundations that make money from its dictates. I believe every country should follow its own pandemic preparedness. I do not give the WHO OR THE UN, any rights of my body sovereignty. I and every human on this planet should be able to make their own health choices.
Private citizen	This is my view of the essential substantive component of a world wide global response treaty: A treaty should only facilitate sharing of treatments and PPE and other supportive items. Each nation's government must retain sovereignty over its national health decisions, as they reflect the will of its voting citizens. As each individual has a different response to any given pathogen, so each nation will vary in its vulnerabilities to pathogens. Each nation's elected leaders will know the best best response for their nation.
Citizen of USA	This is not a one size fits all solution! States and communities should be in charge of their own health decisions! WHERE ONE SET OF IMPLEMENTATIONS FAIL, ANOTHER SEPERATE SET COULD PROVE BETTER OUTCOMES! This would severely limit good options for a healthy populous. Thank You.
Individual member of the public	This is not a treaty I can support -- not at all! I do not think it should come into existence. This treaty will take away the power of each member country to establish a course of action that is right for them, both in normal times and in times of emergency. Health decisions are best made close to home, by those who fully understand the context in which the situation will play out. I hope you will give what you hear from concerned individuals in the public your fullest attention and consideration.
Jac (uk) Limited	This is not about pandemics it's about controlling the people, this pandemic was over a long time ago. Move on and leave us alone!
SENVI	This is NOT democratic and no one will authorize giving away their rights to unelected officials no matter whether our globalist leaning POLITICIANS do or not. THIS is something that requires a referendum and in the end, SHOULD NOT subvert sovereign nations' individual powers and rights and as such I AND THOUSANDS of others I know WILL NOT comply or give authority over our rights to this Fascistic unlawful effort. YOU HAVE and NEVER WILL have authority over me and DO NOT AND WILL NOT have jurisdiction. WE WILL find those responsible as we did in the Nuremberg trials for who is responsible for using a manufactured "PANDEMIC" that you create a definition to suit your agenda. SHAME on you and NO WE WILL NOT COMPLY. DROP IT or we will bring you to justice for anything you attempt beyond lawful sovereign democracy.
USA	This is not for the WHO to decide. Each country determines it's laws and future. End this overreaching idea.
Root to Rise	This is not going to bring health to anyone. Health is created within an individual and cannot be forced or even created by others.

Organization	Written submission
None	This is not necessary and such a treaty will be government overreach. Governments and people should be free to choose what the best response to COVID-19 is, and not subjected to force, but only exposed to sufficient information of best practices from authorities.
Independent	This is not needed and mustn't happen, it's over reaching and undemocratic. The WHO is becoming a corrupt organisation and cannot make these decisions for the planet. Totally overstepping every boundary.
Self	This is not needed. I do not consent to the Government or other global organisations making health decisions on my behalf.
Lasse	This is not OK because it gives control of the worldwide pandemic response to unelected officials whose loyalty is to the person/persons who are paying the salaries. We have seen the disaster during Covid-19 where working cheap medication has not been recommended by the WHO based on fraudulent data because your paymaster wanted to sell vaccines. You already have blood on your hands. Don't do this.
Self	This is not right please stop!!! MRNA vaccine technology has failed humanity Novel mRNA 'vaccine' technology has not only failed to stop the spread of SARS-CoV-2 and aided in the evolution of new variants, but it has also caused injuries and untold suffering to an extent that has not yet been fully realized. The World Council for Health opposes the continued use of Covid-19 mRNA technology as well as any and all new development of mRNA technology injections for EBV, HIV, Marburg, or any other viral infection without full and long term safety data.
EDC Services	This is nothing other than a power grab to further restrict the duly elected Governments from enacting thier own laws and to cede their authority to the WHO. This is unconscionable and should never happen
Concerned Citizen	This is one of the scariest things I have heard yet. I am at a loss for words! So...I shall use the words of a friend who put it so accurately. #stopthetreaty!!!! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired co director.	This is outrageous and we do not agree.
Self	This is outrageous! There is no way that the people of the world should be subjected to this treaty law which was created by unelected people who do not represent any of the world's citizens! This whole "Covid Pandemic" was a cluster barf of disjointed and unscientific control tactics which was not done to protect any one! Rather, it was done for the "world leaders" and governments to have more power over people any where. We will not allow you to enforce this inhumane treatment on any one any more!
Citizen	This is over reach and abuse of perceived power by the WHO and we the people will not stand for it!
Citizen	This is overreach by the WHO and abuse of power. We the people will not stand for it!
na	This is probably the most important letter of my career. You must do absolutely everything in your power to stop this global pandemic agreement. The WHO should not be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio surveillance. As stated by the World Counci for Healthl, this attempt to implement a global pandemic agreement is a power grab. It is also unnecessary, and a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It is increases the WHO's inappropriate power to declare unjustified pandemics, imposed dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. Sincerely, Stacey Skold, PhD 13801 NW 126th Street Malcolm, NE 68402 402-314-1611 staceyskold@protonmail.com
None	This is pure craziness! I truly cannot believe that anyone can seriously consider expanding powers of an organization that is clearly captured by the pharmaceuticals, and their cohorts. The WHO has not in the least fulfilled its responsibilities to advise its constituency about matters of health. The conflicts of interest are blatant and frightening. If anything, I'd propose the WHO be permanently disbanded!
none	This is quite a presumptuous question in that it is already assuming that this new instrument is needed without the general populace having a say. I don't believe such an element is needed because all 1st world countries have a copious mentos health service and doctors and scientists at the head of their field and judging by the response to covid i dont believe the WHO is or a treaty should have the right to hold countries to a standard on how to handle a world crisis when they ignored their own decades of protocols and research for pandemics in the response to Covid. Case in point, lockdowns and the testing of asymptomatic people. Especially when very little evidence was provided that these measures actually worked and were needed.Should a crisis arise again the only thing world governments and world entities should do in a situation like this is share data, medicine and equipment as and when it is needed. A agreement of full transparency and open debate that is not supported by private corporations that stand to gain or profit from these decisions and for Governments to always reserve the right the retain full autonomy and decision making for their own citizens, have the ability to opt out at any point without consequence should also be implemented.
Self	This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	This is regarding the WHO's "Pandemic Treaty." I strongly oppose this treaty as it is seeking to circumvent and subvert the Constitutions of all countries and do away with basic human rights under the guise of protection. Beware -- people are waking up!
Personal	This is Ricky from Israel, also known as the "Pfizer lab". We are not going to obey blindly on anything, please keep that in mind. If you think that we don't see the lobbying, the money, the bullying and the fraud - you're wrong and we will fight back, we're not China. God is always on the right side, happy passover. 1. Human rights are inalienable. They cannot be taken away. Governments have no authority to suspend human rights, even during "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are against human rights. 7. We demand complete transparency. All data from clinical studies should be immediately available to all. 8. Everyone has the right to free speech and to express their own opinion. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Concerned citizen	This is ridiculous. Anyone in support of a global "agreement" without clear consent of the people is scum and should be as far removed from any level of governance as possible. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	This is something that should be left in the hands of each country, state or local government. Why should an outside entity or pharmaceutical company decide what is best for areas they have no knowledge of.
Individual	This is Steve Kirsch's comment(he says copy and paste if you like it) The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Indep	This is such a terrible idea for all involved; it's not equitable, it consolidates power, it assumes that people who have proven histories of errors will not learn. Countries are problematic by themselves - let's not exacerbate this issue.
EU-Programm-management	This is the greatest, strongest argument against proposed single control of pandemics by the Global WHO. No one has the wisdom to hold such power. And Power corrupts. If the WHO makes a mistake then all the world is affected. There are many distinguished scientists that currently disagree with the WHO's advice. This is quite natural and scientific. To repeat: No one has the wisdom to hold such power.
General public	This is the possition which expresses my opinion too: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Biohacking Secrets	This is the public health equivalent of a "one-world government" yet the WHO does not have the authority to override the Constitution of the United States, nor its national sovereignty. I do not consent.
PFF	This is the United States of America! We have a constitution we have a bill of rights. How do we give one organization absolute control over a medical situation over every country. I would imagine this would sound alarming for your own families it certainly sounds alarming for my family and the generations to come. There is just too much chance of corruption With such centralized control.. God help us all if you have lost your way so much that you would support anything like this .. Please oppose this for yourself and for us.. We have to come together and understand that other humans cannot make decisions over our bodies. Bless you and your families and please be on the right side of history and do the right thing..
Hunter & Graduate Center - CUNY	This is too important an issue that strongly depends on the local situation, and should not be handled top down in order for the remedy to be really helpful globally. I therefore ask for the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	this is totally against the nuremburg code. against any law at this moment in the world
Personal	This is totally not right. The WHO and each country has gotten to much wrong with the 2020 Covid Pandemic . There is so many investigations happens in the world and this is totally the wrong time To orchestrate something like this. So many people have lost trust in the WHO and Governments. My answer for the WHO to have total control is NO. K Foster
Chris Wyer	This is totally outside the remit of the WHO and completely goes against democratic principles in any democratic country. I did not vote for the WHO and do not expect to be told what to do by them.
n/a	This is totally undemocratic. The World does not need WHO intervention given the mass hysteria caused during the covid pandemic that never was a pandemic. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO is a farcial organisation paid for by large corporations in who's interests they abide. The WHO a should be dismantled as a direct result of their actions in the disgraceful covid misinformation campaign. The left hand didn't know what the right hand was doing. You created mayhem around the World and now you want more power, this is ridiculous and outlines the clueless nature of those involved in the WHO
Human Being	This is unacceptable on any level. This would grant the WHO overweening power over the entire world and I reject it with all my being.
Concerned citizen	This is unacceptable to attempt to to take away health decisions by US citizens and place them in unelected, non governmental and foreign hands. This cannot happen and will have severe repercussions if attempted.
None	This is unethical and wrong an so many levels
None	This is unnecessary and imposes a threat to the sovereignty of our individual states and nations.
private person	this is very bad proposition for all the world ,one organisation shoudn't be controll all the world,this is dangerus,people should decided about type of treatment by self not to be push just for one option. this instrument is taking human rights and fredom of choice. I do consent for any new international instrument
Holos University	This is very important to our sovereignty 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self representative	This is way too much power for any organization. We voted for our government representative, we did not vote for a global conglomerate to make decisions for the world. I do not consent to an organization outside of my country telling me what I can and can't do with my own body.
Freedom Chiropractic	This is what I believe should be added to the proposed international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: 1- National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2- The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3- An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4- Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Synapses IT Limited	This is what I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: - It is important that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Under no circumstances should a non-elected body be able to make decisions affecting the health and well-being of entire countries/populations.
Payne Real Easter's Services	This is what I want to see in a global agreement: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Kathy Payne
Home	This is what I want. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thanks Sarah Nierman-Hellner
Sovereign Individual	This is what I would like to see in a New Pandemic Preparedness and Response Instrument The position of the World Health Organization is to give advice to sovereign nations, but each nation must be able to retain its own autonomy, and be able to opt out without any negative consequences. Individuals who have unique health conditions, need to have the ability to decide their own medical treatment as they should know how their own body works Doctors, no matter how well meaning, are not able to concider an individuals mental, physical, spiritual needs as these are personal to the individual. Strong measures need to be adhered to by removing people with conflicting interests between health bodies and pharmaceutical companies.
Old Depot Farm	This is what I, as a citizen of the United State of America, demand: 1. National and local leadership retain FULL AUTONOMY, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private Consultant	This is what is necessary to be upheld in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Any instrument that contains elements which violate these points is both unacceptable and harmful. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
None	This is what should be taken into consideration: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
AARP	This is what we need: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	This is wrong on every level! No global entity has the right to make decisions about my and my family's health. Bodily autonomy is a God given right and no man or woman had the right to take that from another. Stand up, scream no!
N/a	This is wrong on so many levels. First the WHO response during the covid pandemic has been truly shameful, I feel it is so bad it is criminal. WHO should be immediately cancelled. Never again should the WHO have any influence whatsoever. Second it is simply not appropriate to have control over so many different countries and people whose needs and circumstances are different and need very different responses. WHO has totally lost the trust of everyone. Leave us alone.
None	This is wrong! The past pandemic has shown us that all of these restrictions did nothing to help. I have no doubt that future restrictions will make any difference either except to restrict freedoms and make democratic freedoms a vision of the past. I vote NO!
Mid America Poly Clinic	This last pandemic has been treated poorly and dangerously due to medical decisions made at political and organizational levels when all that was needed was to let people make their own health decisions and let doctors make their own treatment decisions. "Science" was not really science but bureaucratic opinions. Now as more and more of the universal mandates and solutions have been proven useless and actually dangerous in the arena of human mental as well as physical health, there needs to be freedom given to families and doctors who have had enough training to be able to make the right decisions. Any blanket policy will prove to be useless and horrific as it has already shown itself. The lockdowns caused increased overall mortality and morbidity that were avoided in the countries such as Sweden that took a different course. The horizon of predictability in complex problems is so short that unless nimble evaluation of the changing conditions is insured, many will die needlessly. Let's not repeat our mistakes when we have the opportunity to materially improve our performance. Please let countries make their own decisions.
Keine	This law should respect the independence of every country and each person. Freedom of choice and freedom to refuse treatment must be allow! No mandatory vaccinations or any other treatment! No mandatory digital ID! No mandatory collection of citizens data!
Little Rock Farms Inc.	This motion is highly illegal, you are unelected representatives, as a Canadian citizen I and against this, this is tyranny. And it must be stopped, you, must be stopped.
N/A	This move would undermine the sovereign rights of New Zealand and its People. It is for us to self determine appropriate responses to future pandemics, a one fit global plan overrides specific cultural needs.
Independent	This must absolutely not go ahead. Too much power in the hands of too few. Allows for corruption. Health sovereignty must remain in place, for individual nations to choose how they respond to health emergencies.
NA	This must include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty. This must include human rights including informed consent. This requires legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and/or NGO's for problems caused by such response.
no affiliation	This must include Guarantees of national and personal sovereignty taking precedence over any edict by the WHO Support for upholding and protecting national constitutions Guaranteed human rights including right to travel, right of assembly, worship and right to bodily autonomy No restrictions or coercion based on health status, race or any other arbitrary parameters Freedom from censorship No 'digital' id or 'passport' required for anything, including travel
Myself	This must never be allowed to happen. Individual countries should not give up their sovereign right to an unelected corrupt organisation whose donors profit massively from the last response. Each country must make takeover own decision and never lock down again. The WHO has shown themselves to be completely untrustworthy during corona and must have nothing to do with any further response. The Gates foundation who is one of your biggest donors is by proxy having a say in how they can profit massively why t he man on the street suffers. We should have followed Sweden who followed the old measures expected. The WHO must stay away from all future decisions.
Certified Nutritional Practitioner	This must not be approved and passed. This goes against any democratic country, meaning citizens should be free to make their own health decisions. Also, with those mandates comes regulations that goes against many of our Charter of Rights and Freedom, which is there to protect citizens from situations exactly like this. This must not pass!
Urban Reflexology	This must not happen we left the EU so we could make our own decisions The WHO got covid totally wrong this has to be a decision of the people xx Any thing linked to Gates is a definite NO
I am a support worker for homeless people	This needs to be at all times free from mandated treatments, which are pushed relentlessly, with a narrative of fear. We must not be controlled by marketing and financial agendas of the big companies. Freedom of information on all levels and no censorship is the only way forward. We have not been treated wit intelligence or respect as a collective of late and this must not happen in this way again. People must have all the information free from censorship to make up their own minds and not be treated like mindless sheep who need herding and can't think for themselves.

Organization	Written submission
None	This needs to stop! The governmental bullying needs to stop! The vaccine mandates need to stop! Ur killing ppl young and old!!! Science has proven vaccines are not safe and don't do anything….. We are living in a dictatorship world where u want to have all the power over ppls lives… GOVERNMENTAL BULLYING NEEDS TO STOP!!!!!
family	This new act is definitly violateshuman rights and for sure in many cases does not serve human health, maybe in the most cases. I have had Corona recently in Januar, this year without any symptoms! There are many men and women, especially children like this, or with very little symptoms. It would be completely wrong to force people to receive the same medical treatment. Additionally the very important long time studies are missing! I am friendly but urgently asking you to not proceed with this act. Kind regards Ing.Wolfgang Grasböck
Carol Atkinson	This new instrument must be for guidance only. Nations and local leaders must retain full autonomy and must be able to opt out of the guidance without consequence. It is really too early to decide substantive elements because nations are only just beginning to open their own inquiries into the effect of their pandemic responses. It has become very clear that many responses around the world have been unjustified and overly heavy handed, causing unnecessary harm to many groups including children, the elderly, and disabled persons. I urge you to take the time to consider the impact on every person.
Private Citizen	This new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response at the WHO should be roundly rejected by the USA. Our constitutional republic forbids giving any part of our Sovereignty away to foreign entities. Our people are fully capable of devising our own preparedness and response, and, in fact, lead the world by our actions.
none	This not your right to tell what can happen amongst a countries people. It is their right to make their decisions on their beliefs and cultural beliefs.
UK citizen	This organisation is an advisory body, individual heads of state should make their own decisions on their best response for the citizens that elected them. The WHO should only ever be an advisory body and will never be seen by citizens of sovereign states as an authority body able to mandate laws and regulations upon them. This will cause mass protests and will do far more harm than good.
Individual	This organization is a disgrace and it should be cancelled and all the members dismissed. You are not concerned about public health as you are not a public organization yet private. Therefore you shouldn't be having any right in making such important decisions like locking down entire states or imposing vaccines that are unsafe, proven harmful and with major consequences. Above all before stated you should be deprived of the right to override the sovereignty of any state in Europe or the world.
	This organization, or any organization, should not dictate this matter as it is a means of profiteering.
Privat	This P(l)andamic was made for to change the world under digital control ! Every State and Country has to stay Independent. All People are soveraign and responsible for themselves!! It is Not my free will to sign the Terms of Partizipation. But without signing it is Not possible to send this email to WHO !
only human	This pandemic agreement must NEVER be decided. It destroys the sovereignty of the individual countries, the freedom rights of every human being (which are themselves regulated and firmly anchored in constitutions or our basic law). These rights are not alienable or transferable to a WHO, making it a power no one can and should desire. Everyone must be free to choose how they protect their lives from a pandemic on their own responsibility. The imposition of measures, restrictions, lockdowns, vaccination campaigns, vaccination certificates etc. etc. has harmed more people in this supposed pandemic at the moment than it has benefited. The processing of this disaster for mankind is urgently recommended. This is linked in particular to the plans behind the declaration of the pandemic. With this pandemic agreement of the WHO, these plans are to be pursued, which should be condemned in the utmost. For they have no good in mind for mankind. Whenever man interferes with nature, nothing good comes of it. And even a virus, if it really exists, is nature, is creation. Repeated again, because it is so important: THIS PANDEMIC AGREEMENT MUST NEVER BE DECIDED! It would be the end of freedom for all people on this earth! And peace is only possible through freedom and freedom only through truth! Finally let the truth about all that is happening behind this pandemic agreement come to light and with it peace on earth.
privat	This pandemic contract is evil! I and my entire environment are against it. You will not win against humanity! Never …
Privat	This pandemic contract is not necessary. All states must be able to act accordingly in the event of a pandemic. The measures that the WHO recommended in this so-called pandemic caused only negative consequences. So why should an inept organization do this task take? It's all about power and money!!!
RN	This pandemic has shown how the worldwide response was misinformed and disastrous. There can not be a one-for-all answer and NO organization should have the right to mandate any measures that impede on our liberties. More open discussion, more transparency, less censorship is a necessity going forward. No agency backed by pharma should be determining healthcare policies; end the conflict of interests and corruption from within! No one trusts the WHO after the debacle of the previous two years. It should be advisory only.
WHO	This pandemic has shown the increasing dissolution of trust in institutions (e.g. governments, UN organizations), science, and technology. Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response will have to ensure there is an international governance mechanism established to implement and support the trusted sharing of data, information, and digital credentials. We have seen countries widely adopt digital solutions to help manage public health and social measures, such as digital COVID-19 certificates. However, the inability for people to have their COVID-19 vaccination certificate easily recognized in another country is due to the fact that there is a lack of: coordination, willingness to collaborate, trust between countries, and a legal basis for countries to agree upon. The legacy, paper-based international certificate of vaccination or prophylaxis has proven untenable due to the scale required for COVID-19 vaccines. Furthermore, low-security paper-based systems are no longer considered trusted documents due to the sheer fact that we live in the digital age and how incredibly easy it is to create a fraudulent ICVP. A clear governance mechanism that outlines how to operate a trust framework (implemented through a digital trust network) that supports trusted exchange of data and information in the digital age will be critical to ensure faster pandemic response. This trust can then only be enforced through updates to an international legal framework: The International Health Regulations. We need to bring the International Health Regulations into the digital age.
Human Race	This 'pandemic' preparedness is a way of controlling the world population and has nothing to do with a 'pandemic'. The term that was conveniently changed to condone Covid restrictions and 'rules', has no legitimacy. Infectious but not fatal, leaves the health arena open to abuse and Big Pharma monopoly. Sovereignty over one's own body must be paramount and we must never again be bamboozled by Big Pharma. This treaty is a mistake and open to ruthless leaders profiting from people's despair. We saw what happened with covid. Mass censorship of real science. No debate. Just the scientists that followed the narrative, were allowed to speak. History will repeat itself This will not end well for humanity and has nothing to do with the public health or safety. Lockdown does not work and is still implemented. WHO decides what measures should be put in place?! WHO decides and therein lies the problem. I have no faith in this future venture after seeing the covid fiasco. Those with financial vested interests should not be allowed to have a say in the world's health!
private citizen of USA	This pandemic response violates the US constitution, therefore as a US citizen I refuse to be governed by this. My medical safety is my own business not the WHO.
individual	This pandemic treaty will be end of democracy and medical freedom. please don't go ahead with this plan.Please provide a transparent analysis of the covid pandemic response and let individual countries decide on what measures are required based on their emergency plan of actions.This treaty will lead to further corruption and more loss of human rights .
USA	This pertains to all humans around the world: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public.	This plan goes totally against our rights in Ireland. No Irish government has the right to sign up to this plan without having the agreement of the Irish people which would mean a vote in a referendum. The WHO are not elected by the Irish people so have no rights to get involved in any issues concerning Irish citizens. Questions are still not answered by WHO on the origin and handling of the covid 19 virus. People do not trust the WHO. This is all about controlling people. Our forefathers fought and died for our freedoms and nobody included the WHO will take it away.
Independent citizen	This plan is intrusive and an overstep of authority by not respecting National sovereignty! It is IMPERATIVE that national and local leadership retain full self governance, including the right to make decisions based on what is in the best interest of their own people and nation. All nations and local jurisdictions must have the right to opt out of any / all portions of the agreement as they deem suitable, without retribution . Transparency and the right for all people of the world to vote on including measures that will prevent the enforcement of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not support it. There must be steps in place which prevent any/all influence by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. In conclusion the management of healthcare or pandemics are NOT one size fits all and can be used against the citizens to strip sovereignty and human rights of all citizens. It must be stopped.
N/A	This plan is pure evil. You know it, we know it. This is about a plan to circumvent the Nuremberg code and force inject the world. It will not work and those that push it will be held to account. It did not end well after WWII. I suggest you think about your plans carefully. We will the people will not forgive or forget.
A Hess	This plan should kot exist because it will take away sovereign nations ability to set its own policies according to their people's will. Governments are meant to serve the people of a nation and yherefore am element of control by the people needs to be included in all decision making and policy setting. The new international preparedness programme should be abolished.
Citizen of the United States	This Plan would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy. Let us use critical thinking and common sense to set health policies, not political and corporate profits as incentives.
US Citizen	This plan would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy. This is also supposed to address "the unsustainable food production and livestock breeding, wildlife trading, resource-intensive lifestyles and consumption, destruction of ecosystems, antimicrobial resistance and soaring figures of cancer" along with a range of other issues. We don't need or want anything to do with your unilateral, heavy handed, and authoritarian mandates. We have free will, and its up to each individual STATE to decide what policies are enacted. The language of what your proposing will only serve to give unelected individuals even more power.
private consultant	This proposal can not be admissible in the UK as it denies the sovereignty of the state! Yielding control over any aspect of the national security would be an infringement of the rights of the population. The conduct of the WHO in terms of the hysterical response to the Covid "pandemic" caused largely by the mis-application of the discredited PCR test suggests that a similar occurence in the future is likely. Consequently, nation states MUST be at liberty to apply their own responses to any future event. The revised definition of a pandemic is now so loose that even the common cold (Omicron?) could be used to call a pandemic and apply the draconian response worlwide. A great deal more needs to be done to restore trust in the WHO along with breaking the influence of possibly hostile players. In my right as a sovereign citizen of the UK I reject this proposal as an infringement on my personal liberty and an infringement of my human rights.
None	This proposal fails the test of democratic accountability. It proposes to put almost unlimited authority in the hands of health officials, with no possibility of removing them at the ballot box.
None	This proposal goes against democracy. All states should be free to choose health policies for their citizens. All citizens should be free to make choices about their own health. In a democratic society the people do not elect the WHO, and do not agree to be governed by them or anyone other than their elected leaders Thank you
Public	This proposal hits at the very heart of democracy and the rights of the individual. It also ignores the diversity of health requirements and the fact that no one person's body is identical to any other. It sets a dangerous precedent and, most worryingly, removes accountability and autonomy away from public bodies to unelected corporate organisations whose raison d être is profit-acquisition. To pass this proposal would bring the WHO into disrepute and destroy faith in its aims and ideals and the numerous good works it has promoted in the past. I would urge the WHO to fully reconsider this dangerous and authoritarian proposal.
LTM Compliance	This proposal is a disgrace and you must withdraw it immediately. Anyone even suggesting this must be immediately arrested.
Private	This proposal is an abomination of sovereignty and thus should be discarded. No more healthcare for me -ever. No more vaccines for me -ever. No more WHO for me -ever.
Public	This proposal is an undemocratic authoritarian nightmare. National Government are answerable to their populations and as such no treaty can be allowed to override this. As we have seen from the current pandemic reponse, those actions promoted by undemocratic technocratic bodies (like the WHO) have at best been ineffective and at worst significantly more damaging than the virus. There can be no treaty which prevents or hinders individual governments from taking their own action.
Personal	This proposal is anti democratic and an abuse of sovereign countries and human rights . It will be challenged and resisted by the public all over the world .
My family	This proposal is far over-reaching what is necessary and breaks the rights of the democratic process and the rights of individuals. Your role is advisory and should remain as such. Your expertise is valuable however your scientists are also limited in their knowledge especially in emerging new diseases when local scientist often hold the key to solutions aided by WHO which can help educate the world. It is shameful that such an eminent organisation ignored the facts, ignored how damaging isolation and lockdowns are and despite their obvious failure continued to recommend lockdowns which damaged economies and caused immeasurable psychological damage, they then recommended experimental potentially very damaging vaccines to be used widespread without proper safety data and when this data was available and showed how non beneficial yet damaging it is they continued to recommend it. We absolutely must not have our sovereignty revoked under any circumstances, there is no proof that this would benefit anyone other than WHO and its members, you who should understand the Hippocratic oath have so readily cast it aside in the name of advancements in science; shameful. Go back to the drawing board and present a patient focused basic approach where individuals count and informed consent are paramount. Stop your need for power and control, it breaks your own constitution.
Private Citizen	This proposal is ill-conceived for the following reasons: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nothing less than retaining full autonomy is acceptable. 2. All nations and local municipalities must be able to easily and without reprisal opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must exist an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be designed which ensure that any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are not permitted to influence the process. 5. Thorough and easily submitted negative health impacts must be reported in a public platform. Everyone needs to be able to determine directly if the health risks outweigh the perceived benefits.
Sapphire Goldsmith	This proposal is undemocratic and I oppose it.
Private Individuals	This proposal is undemocratic and open to misinterpretation. There is no "one size fits all" cure for any future pandemic.
None	This proposal is wrong in every which way. I am a sovereign being and I oppose all aspects of this proposal regarding pandemic preparedness .
Public citizen	This proposal isn't in the interest of average people and only benefits the elites and extremely rich people and organizations. Bodily autonomy should be off the table.
none	This proposal nullifies the integrity of nations and persons.
PQ Ltd	This proposal questions the ability of Sovereign States to determine their own decisions. Pandemics do not simultaneously affect different Countries in the same way. Decisions and laws relating to pandemic response should be determined by Countries - collaboration across the World maybe, but universal solutions and mandates deny Countries their sovereignty and accountability. The current pandemic has been badly advised by WHO who have misled and misinformed. Global decision making relies on involvement, transparency and debate. This did not happen and would not happen. Countries and democracy is complex. One solution is not helpful.
Self	This proposal should be rejected in its entirety. This pandemic and its response by WHO and CDC, NIH and FDA has been a total failure. WE need the following 1. National and more important state and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and states, local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Reject the is proposal in total.
private US citizen	This proposal would give legal precedent to the WHO over national sovereignty. Before voting to accept this, please reflect on what this might mean for your own country in the event of a perceived or declared health emergency. A handful of people, none of whom were elected in their respective countries, would have the power to decide what should be enforced in every country in the world - including your own! While the democratic process is not without its problems, a representative form of government provides greater checks and balances against potential abuse. I urge you to prayerfully consider this reality, and vote against the implementation of this new international law. God bless you.
United States citizen	This proposed "treaty" should not include any actions that will take sovereignty away from nations and individual rights away from their citizens. As a citizen of the USA we have a Constitution that very clearly states our God given rights which cannot be taken away from us by men even though a select few made the decision to join this organization. The right to make our own decisions about our own health is key to living a happy, healthy and fulfilling life. The WHO being supported by wealthy elites who have much influence in its decisions and eager to fulfill their own selfish agendas will allow a select few citizens of the world to control the masses. This is paramount to tyranny and completely evil. The desire of these elites to track the people of the world in their daily living for any reason is an unnecessary evil.
	This proposed agreement by the WHO is not necessary and violates our individual sovereign rights. People and communities need to be in charge of their own health. What needs to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent Reporter	This proposed agreement should include verbiage that it can NOT and will NOT super-cede the sovereignty and inalienable rights of each man, woman and child in or of any country and/or nation around the world at any time. A council should not include any members that profit in any way. No corporation, and/or company shall have any members that impact the sovereign response to health crises for any country and/or nation. No entity, organization or corporation shall impede upon the God given rights of any man, woman, or child the world over. We the people shall and can make our own decisions regarding our own health and nothing supercedes that. Nothing shall impede our individual sovereignty and this agreement shall recognize that.
Private individual	This proposed bill should be reconsidered, as it works in favour of a small minority of people, such as pharmaceutical companies, and those who directly profit from them. The term pandemic needs to be 'unrevised' to reflect what a pandemic is, rather than that which benefits the above mentioned entities and acts against the interests of the health and well being of 99% of the citizens of the planet. This bill seeks to override national and individual sovereignty, an act which can only send humanity down a path of difficulty, oppression and unrest. The WHO was established to support the health of the world. It is time those with objectives that conflict with this step into the light to admit this, and for the WHO to step back into the role long forgotten, of truly caring for health, rather than profiting from and spreading dis-ease.
None	This proposed global pandemic response under a globally centralized WHO will strip countries of their rights to practice and innovate proper procedures and medicines for their individual nations. This Globalized objective will make worse an already centralized approach to the lastest Covid 19 pandemic which has been abysmal. Each Nation State needs the ability to govern for themselves. . We must have a system of checks and balances. Not one Authoritarian voice but instead we need National Policy makers, Physicians, and Clinicians, who discover truths of science and medicine independently. We can all share our Scientific procedures and ideas. But one centralized policy which is closed to what we already have will leave us all in grave danger during Pandemmics.
Public	This proposed instrument is overreach on the part of an international organisation and is an affront to not only national sovereignty, but to individual autonomy.
none	This proposed pandemic treaty is a move away from what I believe is good for individual countries and citizens. Centralizing authority to give a singular body decision making power over my own medical decisions is opposite of my experience as to what actually works the best for all in the long run. Medical freedom is seriously being challenged worldwide, and this treaty would further disintegrate my personal health choices. I am firmly opposed to this avenue of assuring safety during a global health crisis. If any sort of treaty was made, then in my sincere opinion it needs to be extremely transparent in systems of operations at all levels to exclude the power of private corporations and pharmaceutical companies. They have proven themselves to act in their own best self interest, profiting from and ignoring the true health and well being of a nations peoples. If a treaty was made, it must be structured so that any country/state can withdraw at any time, via the will of its people, without penalty. There must be avenues for individuals to petition exclusion from the treaty's regulations, no matter what country or citizen. Overall I think this sort of treaty, while it may sound good, will ultimately further serve to enrich the few and deplete and harm the many. I firmly oppose it.
sovereign citizen	This proposed piece of legislation is a direct threat to the sovereignty of democratic countries. What should be done is to cultivate cooperation between countries and should the need arise, be able to coordinate response in a prepared and orderly manner. To assume some sort of super-national power over the governments of countries is to impose unelected and in some cases hopelessly compromised officials on the people. Signing such a treaty is at any rate beyond the scope of power of the politicians signing them, compromising their own country's sovereignty cannot be legally binding. A treaty is the wrong way to address the problem that is purported to be at hand. But a treaty is assumed in this case, so if there was one and if it was actually legally binding within the laws of each signatory, this treaty must have the following provisions: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Measures that reiterates the importance of individual bodily autonomy--freely given and fully informed consent is required, without any form of coercion, for any medical intervention.
Private citizen	This proposed treaty allows ultimate power to one person over the entire world. It allows censoring medical professionals and scientists without debate. The treaty overreaches the UN Bill of Human Rights, sovereign countries's Constitutions, and Bill of Rights. Against this proposed treaty.
Private individual	This proposed Treaty by the WHO has to recognise that such is the disparity of nation states in terms of geography, resources, history, levels of development in terms of health and economic status, it (a Treaty) would be too unwieldy to apply. Integral to any Pandemic Treaty should be a recognition that each nation State has to be able to respond flexibly to particular circumstances unique to a particular nation. WHO is a huge organisation but is not an independent body owing to the nature of its financial support. There would need to be an independent group of representatives, including ordinary members of the public, who could bring valuable personal experiences of the coronavirus pandemic, to shape the WHO response within a Treaty. For the public to trust in WHO, it (the public) needs a clear statement that it operates for the benefit of people's health not for the benefit of lobbyists from the pharmaceutical sector or for the benefit of wealthy individual operators who have a vision which does not accord with the billions of people on our planet. How is the WHO going to be made accountable if the 'wrong call' is made? No organisation is perfect, no individual country's government is perfect but at that level accountability can be served through a particular company holding votes with its shareholders, or through the ballot box, in the case of political leaders. Finally, I believe that top down and top heavy directives crush initiative, responsibility and flexibility- the world needs these 3 qualities like never before.
USC	This proposed treaty is a recipe for totalitarianism on a global scale. An alleged health emergency could justify the most barbaric, inhumane actions of the type the world witnessed in the Warsaw Ghetto. Quis custodiet ipsos custodes?
Private citizen	to an unelected, globalist cabal! If such a treaty is to be entertained, then it must at the very least, acknowledge and include the following as a matter of National integrity: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. This process should be easily implementable, and speedily applicable to avoid unnecessary delays in
Technology University of the Mid West	This proposed Treaty is undemoncratic. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. "One Size fits all" does not work for health in different nations. We must have the ability, as individual nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as we see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, should be put in place. I am totally opposed to this Treaty.
None	This proposed Treaty is undemoncratic. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. "One Size fits all" does not work for health in different nations. We must have the ability, as individual nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as we see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, should be put in place.
Norris Cultural Arts Center	This proposed treaty represents a seriously unwarranted violation of national sovereignty, and makes a mockery of the concepts of democracy. Questions of public health are best addressed at the community level, not the global level.
Individual	This proposed treaty would be a gross violation of national sovereignty and individual human rights. As such my national (UK) Government should under no circumstances sign up to it, and I believe that for them to do so would be unlawful.
Private	This proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatening to our sovereignty and inalienable rights. The highly contrasting results from the past two years clearly illustrates one size does not fit all. Every sovereign country has a right to self determination as to how they respond with future so-called emergencies.
Welzijn Zuidplas	This proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable human rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare pandemics (justified or not so much, because you can just change its definition), impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against the will of the people, while suppressing the treatment that might be effective but do not generate as much money to the industry you adhere to. With this agreement it is possible to act above the constitutions of nations. We get no say in this. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio surveillance, while it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates like we have seen and still see with the Covid19 disaster off a response. This is clearly an illegitimate powergrab of WHO that goes against the inalienable rights of humans of the whole world! Do not do this, because even if it is with just good intentions (which is debatable) the abuse that can come off it will be unfathomable!!
USA	This provision should respect the sovereignty of each country represented, only providing guidance for future health and pandemic response. It should follow the WHO Constitution's value of public input, allowing each nation their own final decision
Human Beings	This question assumes the necessity of one worldwide action. I absolutely reject such international policy as each country's government is better placed to make their own policies according to the needs of the people who elected them. They can consider local needs and situations and their nation's people are better able to voice their ongoing concerns to their own government. It seems the WHO is seeking to place itself as all powerful in respect of all people who would then have no bodily autonomy with regard to any vaccination programs in particular and other oppressions in general in the present or future. This is an abhorrent notion and lays open the possibility of total control of all humanity without their sovereign consent. Shame on those who can even consider such notions.
Bichof	This question excludes the very important possibility, that there is no need for an international instrument of pandemic preparedness. National law is higher than international law and interests. No points should be included in an international pandemic preparedness and response.
N/A	This question is based on the re-vised definition of pandemic this organization changed in the month leading up to the 2009 swine flu 'pandemic', so forgive me thinking this is not a loader question. The substantive elements that must be included (not should, but must) are the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty for all citizens regardless of 'vaccination status', absolute respect for human rights, and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other actions and guidance or law that governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.

Organization	Written submission
-	This question is one step ahead; you should go one step back: "must there be - uberhaupt - be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" This should be decided by the people of all national states in peacetime (i.e NOT scare-mongered crisis time!) the question for the people should then actually sound something like this: Do we the people, in case of pandemic (for example), want to be dictated and overruled in our national laws, basic human rights, democratic and human principles (freedom of choice etc.) civil rights,... by an toxic entanglement of private, corporate and political interests? if these principles can not be guaranteed, this is nothing else than some sort of fascist rape of humanity that is left unprotected against the overreach of private interest. in case "medical science" will not cleanse, disinfect and liberate itself from those same private/corporate/political interests this sort of madness will surely encounter huge resistance: as it is already... you can say: Respect existance, or expect resistance...
Self	This question leads me to think the WHO is planning another pandemic. I do not contribute to the notion that mankind be mandated in any regard where matters of health are concerned. This is an individual's responsibility.
Thinqwell	This regulation has nothing to do with medicine, since medicine is defined by Hippocratic rule first do no harm. If anything was proven, it's harm of these policies without any benefit. This is an attempt to create worldwide concentration camp, where pharmaceutical companies can do just anything to peasants, who effectively lose ownership of their own body. That's the worst slavery ever known to mankind.
General Public	This response by the WHO to a pandemic should NEVER be a "One Health" approach and the WHO should NEVER be considered the "absolute authority" to a response to a pandemic. The WHO should offer suggestions and guidance but should NOT mandate or require any nation nor the citizens on any nation to be injected with vaccines/mRNA gene therapy shots/medications/etc. Each and every individual should be informed of ALL risks involved, each and every vaccine/medication should undergo double blind studies with ALL information made available to the the public of each and every country. Open debate between and among doctors/virologists/immunologists all over the world should be allowed and NOT silenced as in the case of the Covid-19 pandemic. In addition, even allowing open debate all over the world, not rejecting any experts, allowing everyone a voice in the debate over medications/treatment, the WHO should recognize that individuals react differently to various drugs and therefore the citizens of any sovereign nation should NEVER be subjected to mandates/requirements to inject any substance into their bodies. The decision should be in the hands of each and every individual of each and every nation. The WHO should never hold supreme authority over any pandemic.
None	This should be a non-binding and advisory only agreement. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. This has been proved out by the COVID19 response. One size does not fit all. Medical and health decisions need to be made at a local and individual level.
None	This should be a nonbinding resolution only. Public health decisions should be made at the lowest level only, at the individual municipality at the most. Natural, God given rights are supreme. The WHO should be only providing advice.
Member of the public	This should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on measures to prevent application of measures that the majority of people of any country don't want. National and local leaders should retain full autonomy and rights for their own people to decide what they want and what is best for them. There should be the ability for nations and local councils to opt out, or allow a vote for the people to choose, of any or all parts of the agreement as they see fit for their own people without any consequences. There should be measures in place that prevent pharmaceutical and other health profiteering organisations from having any influence in the process.
America	This should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
n/a	This should be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	This should be left on every country to decide what best for their people, according to their re-sources ,environment, etc.
Associate Freedom invest OÜ & Co. KG	This should be mandatory parts of the agreement: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	This should no go ahead because i believe not enough checks and balances are in place. We will all lose our individual rights to choose our own future as a people and nations especially our right to choose what medication or medical procedures.as happened with covid one size does not fit all causing millions of deaths while trying to save some. This will inevitably cause loss of our freedom while allowing the athlete to enjoy unlimited freedoms at our expense.God is our maker and author of our lives not a committee set up by well meaning but fallible men and women. Thank you for your consideration.Rex Thomson.
Private citizen	This should not be allowed happen. We as citizens of Ireland do not want to hand over our sovereignty to a centralised foreign entity. This is a power grab which will take away our human rights. We do not agree to this in any form. Nuala Carroll. Irish citizen.
None	This should not be allowed to happen
US Citizen	This should not be approved. The current lockdown in Shanghai is an example of something that could never occur in the United States or Sweden or African countries. Each country, culture, and people should have their own response to a disease. We in the west and east should look to Africa on how to reduce deaths and handle the pandemic; instead we ignore them. This one size fits all approach should be rejected
Canadian CdLS foundation	This should not be enforced, private citizens should have freedom of movement ans freedom of choice over ones own body and have their own medical decisions private between them and their physician. Science should be sound before using authoritarian techniques. A passport of ones health should not be used or asked for in order to travel or access essential services. Countries should be able to opt out and citizens of countries who opt in should be free to opt out.
Citizen	This should not be going ahead full stop, what ye have done to the world is an absolute disgrace and should be abolished let alone make policies that are ruining peoples lives.
Self	This should NOT go forward. Countries, and States, and Communities should have their own rights to act as they see fit based upon their individual circumstances. Countries are sovereign and should remain so. Please allow for opt out without penalty. Please do not make this binding. Thank you.
.	This shouldn't even be asked, your asking for too much power and I disagree with that. Stop meddling in other countries affairs!
KBC Vinogradska	This shouldn't be allowed no matter what. Every country must retain autonomy to be able to control any publici health threat. There is no pandemic in the world that should be controlled differently than it was previously, through local Ministry od health and Publici health institutions, especially such a disease as Covid19 is. First it is very treatable, especially in its early phase. Survivall rate is >99%, and vaccination drives more harmfull than effectivness. I am STRONGLY AGAINST GLOBAL WHO PANDEMIC CONTROL. It could only bring tirany, anarchy, other chronic and malignant disease increase, let IT say on other Words, simply CHAOS.
Concerned US Citizen	This so called pandemic preparedness will be in violation of the US Constitution and all its citizens it represents. There is no one fits all solution for individual health care. Nations are coming together to oppose these mandates and abuse of power.
Personal	This subject is of the utmost importance! This is what I feel must be kept in mind when deciding on this. I do not believe the WHO should have sole power on making decisions in the future! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private person	This substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness: - Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden - Discriminatory measures should be prohibited - All measures must take full account of all health interests - All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests - Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state - Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states - All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process - As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance - All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body - Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing - Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law and I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Thank you
None	This treaties would remove power from the US which is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Health decision has to stay between doctor and patient which is sacred and confidential relationship and must stay that way eventhough during pandemic or emergency. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty which is unacceptable.
Barts Health Trust	This treaty aims to strip us of our rights in the most devious way in my opinion. COVID treatments have ben suppressed, many have died - this treaty won't solve the lobbying and mass influence of corrupt pharmaceutical companies and governments. Reform is needed, but this will disrupt the inalienable and sovereign rights of everyone around the world. If it's used to force mandatory vaccination with Digital IDs, it is game over for society. This cannot be allowed. Crimes against humanity were committed as I watched politicians in my country carry all throughout the past two years. I would not trust the WHO with the health of plants or pets given the past year - they allowed for the suppression of effective early treatments and allowed "millions" to die alone. I say "millions" because given how the figures were counted, millions likely didn't not die from this infection. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy on public health decisions, without monetary interference from WEF lobbyists. Good always triumphs evil and on the surface, this is good, but it likely is not. We see it. It cannot happen.
Josh Nowinski Inc.	This treaty as it stands is completely unacceptable. It leaves open (in language) potential for violations of the Nuremberg Code and complete, centralized, arbitrary usurpations of individual liberty. This is totally outrageous.
Private	This treaty being signed by the WHO and UN shouldn't be made available to the public as there's no need for it due to it having all the freedoms and rights of the people gone and to also just take away how people should live their own life without the governments telling us all what to do to the point wanting most people gone (dead). This being said need to be gone. People lives matters why should people die for the wrong reasons. We need to be able to trust one another and help one another no matter what... The ones that are doing this damage to everyone and evrything should be punished..
General public	This treaty first and foremost must not undermine individual human rights. It must not remove or restrict any means to be able to decline any and all medicines/measures that an individual can independently deem harmful to their personal health and wellbeing by any medical, Governmental or Corporate entity. All human beings also must be free from having any restrictions or due pressure imposed upon them for their personal choices by authorative bodies or have their full human rights overruled by emergency diktats especially diktats that have biased evidence backing them. All human individuals must be given free choice for their own bodily integrity above all else. Should an individual wish to exercise this human right to free choice the treaty must ensure that no punishments, segregation, isolation or any other coercive measure must be allowed to be applied by the WHO or any of the governments medical bodies or advisors in all countries involved in this treaty. Fundamental human rights to individual responsibility over ones personal health, body, well being must be protected at all costs.
NHS	This treaty first and foremost must not undermine individual human rights. It must not remove or restrict any means to be able to oppose any and all medicines/measures that an individual can independently deem harmful to their personal health and wellbeing by any medical, Governmental or Corporate entity. All human beings also must be free from having any restrictions or due pressure imposed upon them for their personal choices by authorative bodies or have their full human rights overruled by emergency diktats especially diktats that have very suspect evidence backing them. All human individuals must be given free choice for their own bodily integrity above all else. Should an individual wish to exercise this human right to free choice the treaty must ensure that no punishments, segregation, isolation or any other coercive measure must be allowed to be applied by the WHO or any of the governments medical bodies and advisors of all countries involved in this treaty. Fundamental human rights to individual responsibility over ones personal health, body, well being must be protected at all costs. No treaty should ever trump human rights over own personal autonomy, ever
United States Army retired, Texas peace officer retire	This treaty for the United States is absolutely unconstitutional, immoral, and one of the worst pieces of a treaty I've ever read in my life! That should not pass in the United States ever.
US Citizen	This treaty goes against the Nuremberg code and the US Constitution. People have the God Given Right to Bodily Autonomy. No one can take that away even though they might try through executive order or treaty. This will not be taken lightly by the population of the world. Stop hiding ivermectin!
Citizens for Constitutional Government	This treaty has nothing to do with human health or protection, but instead is another push for one world government. America is the most free country in the world since its first two governing documents gave us limited government. Globalism proponents want us to be submissive to the rights they deem us worthy to have, but our Constitution allows only protection of our inherent, natural, or God given rights (depending on your religion or lack thereof). Stop this unneccessary treaty immediately. Cary Nunnally and husband Doug Nunnally
Public relations	This Treaty I a Violation of any country's sovereignty, constitution and independence. As their is many conflicts of interest withing the WHO organization and the fact that it has failed to prevent any Disease since it fruition over the decades it is an egregious grab of power and would be of severe detriment to people around the world, it allows the WHO to oversee people's bodily autonomy and to restrict fundamental freedoms... I for one would never give my consent to such a treaty not comply!..
ARD	This treaty if enacted must allow: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
US Citizen	This treaty infringes on the liberties by every person in the world. I do not consent to this treaty.
British National	This treaty is a cynical and surreptitious attempt to set the framework for enforced mandatory vaccination and digitized passports on the world without their full knowledge or consent. It is illegal, unethical, undemocratic and against every national and international law from the Nuremberg Code and the Helsinki Accord down and it violates the constitutions of almost every country you seek to impose it on . The WHO has mishandled this pandemic and has no right to be in charge of any other pandemic and absolutely no right to determine what I do or should not do as a British national, my medical freedoms or my right to travel. You failed to achieve these goals with covid and you'll fail again - UK citizens will not comply with the overturning of one thousand years of democracy and constitution in such a criminal way.
Citizen	This treaty is a devastating overeach to all who will be involved, as we've seen through the covid pandemic a one size fits all approach has cost the lives of hundreds of thousands of people. I feel these are the keys to a healthy acceptable approach to any health emergency. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	This treaty is a direct attack on our rights as individual nations, and individuals in general. We have already seen the utter chaos that the WHO, and other organizations vying for power over this pandemic have caused. In no way, shape, or form, should ONE entity be relied upon, sought out, and/or control the entire world's nations under such circumstances. I do not want to see our country be aligned with such a treaty. This goes against everything our country's constitution stands for. The people need to have a say, and be fully aware of what is being discussed, and how it directly affects them. We have not been given proper notice. My thoughts on this matter: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where EVEN A MINORITY of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, which has has already been occurring. For profit companies have NO RIGHT to determine health policy.
Heath Barrowcliff Consulting Ltd	This treaty is a dreadful idea and wholly unnecessary. If it is to proceed it MUST include provision for 1. national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own populations; 2. nations and local authorities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; and 4. measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	This treaty is a frightening authoritarian overreach. No sovereign nations should have to give up their autonomous control over the health policies in their countries. Clearly, medicine has never succeeded through a one size fits all approach, and the catastrophic failures of the restrictions and vaccines to end the pandemic are proof of the dangers of unilateral decisionmaking that doesn't take variables into account. Individuals have already lost so many liberties and bureaucratic figures beholden to technocrats and pharma executives at the WHO are not the answer to regaining those freedoms. It is the individual citizen who should have a great say in public health decisions, not an international agency guilty of incredible failures over the past 2 years.
Citizen	This treaty is a rort. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
HHS	This treaty is a terrible idea. The WHO's powers should not supercede the American Constitution.
None	This treaty is a threat to our sovereignty as a nation. Healthcare decisions are between an individual and his/her medical provider not an organization led by unelected individuals. Do not join this treaty!
nil	This treaty is a utter disgrace 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	This treaty is a violation of sovereignty, human rights and democracy. The idea that a future pandemic response can be centralised in this way is utterly ridiculous. The WHO is an organisation funded and influenced by big pharma, globalists and the CCP. I do not want my children growing up in a world where unelected technocrats influenced by big pharma money and political ideology decide what's best for public health.
Self	This treaty is a violation of the highest American laws and a violation of the Constitution of the United States. You are wasting your time by allowing our politicians into this treaty as they are acting illegally by doing so. The people here will never comply with any laws, orders, or anything under the guise of pandemic safety from the WHO, it's representatives, or anyone being sent here to act on their behalf. Attempting to do so is an illegal act and will be treated as such.
Balaji Kirana Stores	This Treaty is against all freedom and should not be accepted. Iam completely against every part of it. I live in the place with abject poverty and the abuse from the Corona pandemic that occurred to them in the name of lockdowns and safety is evil. The starvation and suicide it cause should never be allowed to be forced on us from someone that is not in our location. International organization's can not understand what my local area needs. I stand with #StopTheTreaty
Public	This treaty is against human rights and should not go ahead. There is no one size fits all. We the public have not voted with regards to this and therefore do not accept any treaty!
Freedom	This treaty is an abomination and should not/will not exist. We will not follow anything nor cooperate with anything you attempt to install.
N.A.	This treaty is an attempt to usurp individual authority and take away freedoms. No organizations should be given this much power to overide nations rights to govern according to their constitution, under any circumstances. I disagree wholeheartedly and request you cease with this plan.
Insurance	This treaty is an infringement on people's rights to choose how they treat their bodies. Government organizations do not have the right to tell people that they should inject harmful drugs into their bodies. Especially so called "safe" vaccines that are not necessary or safe for people.
Human Of the World	This treaty is an overstep of power. I do not believe given the authority, the WHO will act in a manor that is positively affective and beneficial for the citizens of my country and around the world. If the pandemic has shown us anything it is that the WHO was already not forthcoming with vital information that would have saved many lives and I forced policy that has been proven to not work. The best solution would be distributing unbiased truly scientifically based information. And allow for conversation when red flags arise. From there. The population of this world must have the right to make their own health decisions across the board. Stay home, don't travel, mask, vax if that's what makes you feel whole and safe but none of these things should ever be mandated.
self	This treaty is an unwarranted and unwanted violation of national sovereignty and I oppose it. We, the people of the United States, were not consulted or even asked if we wanted to give up our rights. Shame on you. This is a violation of our Constitution and Bill of Rights. The WHO has no right to dictate what free people can or should do with regards to their personal health or safety. Mind you own business.
private person	This treaty is antihuman. Therefore: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of South Africa	This treaty is clearly an abusive power grab and an attempt to control the globe. This treaty is unlawful and illegitimate. I reject the WHO & all it stands for. The WHO have lost all legitimacy and have failed and abused the people of the world. The WHO needs to be defunded & closed down.
Soul	This treaty is contradicting the constitution of the individual sovereign states and undermines the human rights of the citizens. Any response must be carried out locally, not imposed by a partisan organisation.
None	This treaty is extremely concerning. It effectively removes autonomy from individual countries during a pandemic. The WHO has lowered the bar on what constitutes a pandemic, meaning they can declare a pandemic quite easily and dictate policies globally, without oversight. Of all the countries in the world, Sweden appears to have gotten nearest on how to manage CV19. This was despite criticism and pressure globally for two years. If another pandemic is declared, would another Sweden even be allowed to happen under this treaty ? Probably not. They would all have to follow the WHO's policies. An organisation which would not stand in the way of mandatory vaccinations. There is also the question of who funds the WHO. This treaty needs to be stopped immediately.
World Council for Health	This treaty is illegal under American law. It is a blatant violation of the US Constitution and the US Congress does not have the authority to sign it. Any foreign treaty or law that violates the individual rights of the American people as laid out in the Bill of Rights is unconstitutional. You will not control the American people. You will not control the world. We will not comply.
None	This treaty is inconsistent with our Constitution. It should be rejected. Since you won't listen to sound reasoning on this, at least vote in the option for countries and municipalities to opt out of this coercive document/plan.
Lighthouse Gardeners	This treaty is not necessary and you all know it, we are not fooled by 'safetyism' it is all about control a là Huxley..if you proceed with this madness there will be hell to pay down the line...guaranteed, more and more people are waking to your sceming conniving plans to control EVERYTHING in our lives. you people are SAD and MENTALLY ILL! why the need to try and interfere in others business? Are you so insecure and depraved? as I said go ahead and sceme behind our backs, it will be to no avail in the end. the HUMAN spirit will prevail...ALWAYS!
Private	This treaty is not something that should be passed. I request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies.
Germany	This treaty is the end of souveranty on our beautiful planet because a few want more power - total power. STOP THE TREATY.
Heaven	This treaty is trespass on the people of the union of states of America and an act of war. All those involved in it will be held to account for crimes against humanity.
World Health	This Treaty is unacceptable and preposterous and wrong in so many ways.. why should The WHO be trusted… no thank you.. stop now..
None	This treaty is unconstitutional. Nations and individuals need to be sovereign and decide for themselves what laws are best for them. As we've seen, the WHO has misstepped, flip-flopped and been caught lying to the public for almost two years. There have been no scientific debates, no evidence, only statementswith no backing.. Experts all over the world have been cut off, cut out, and vilified for speaking against the WHO. We haven't listened to experts. We've only heard propaganda from bureaucrats. It's absurd to put the world in the hands of these few inept and deceitful politicians. The tyranny is obvious. We need: 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our and their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. We need all conflicts of interest exposed and dealt with first and foremost.
N.a.	This treaty is undemocratic. We have our own Irish constitution to govern and protect us. This treaty should not be allowed, we are a sovereign state with human rights and citizen protection, this would override our sovereign and constitutional rights. We have the right to bodily autonomy, this treaty will take that away from us. We cannot be governed by unelected organisations or entity's
Birmingham Public Schools	This treaty is unnecessary! We should always respect local control and individual freedoms. Here are the four most important reasons why: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We do not need a coordinated global response from the WHO. It is vital to democracy, human health and basic human rights that health and wellness decisions are made at the local level.
Private Citizen	This treaty is very dangerous and undermines the freedom to choose ones healthcare, and many other freedoms of every kind. Diabolical and I completely oppose in every possible way.
Personal	This Treaty is Wrong. This is taking away people's freedoms and life choices. This must not go ahead.
N/A	This treaty must allow for the sovereignty of countries to make their own health policy decisions, especially when it comes to pandemic preparedness and response. All health decisions should stay close to home, especially in emergency situations, and it is imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty, especially during times of emergency. Each nation/territory knows itself best. The WHO should NOT have power over the health decisions of any nation/territory--it is dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule people in a time of crisis. In that respect, the instrument should also contain wording that forbids the sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty, or that of any other nation/territory, in any area, but especially in the realm of public health.
None	This treaty must be signed by a participating Nation only if that Nations population are fully informed and a positive vote of more than 50% is received via a referendum of that Nation.

Organization	Written submission
	This treaty must be stopped, for it violates free choice for all people. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	This treaty must be thrown out. It removes all independent freedoms and individual choice. Removing all human rights and barriers of protection created for humanity. Demonstrating blatant disregard for the Nuremberg code and any countries liberty agreements. I cannot knowingly support a move that illegally demonstrates total control over humanity in such a way. No. No way. Kind regards, Jet
Individual	This treaty must exclude the power to override National sovereignty. An organization holding the power to take absolute control in an "emergency" and the power to declare the emergency is a recipe for travesty. Additionally, governments and pharmaceutical companies must be held liable for damages. Earlier this week, US President Biden said it was unfair for weapons manufacturers to have a liability shield of their products cause death or damage. Statistically, far more people are injured by pharmaceuticals than firearms. This treaty must protect the people by holding governments and pharma companies financially responsible.
self	This treaty must include an opt-out clause for every man, woman and child in the world. Not that WHO has any jurisdiction over any person anywhere. We reject you. Or, as Gen McAuliffe put it, NUTS.
a member of the public	this treaty must NEVER be allowed to override or threaten the constitution of any country - every country MUST keep the freedoms and rights granted to them under the established and long-standing protection that is the right of each citizen this treaty must be free of any and all interests of big pharma or of any party that stands to profit in any way from treaty measures The Bill and Melinda Gates foundation must have no say in the creation, execution, etc of this treaty. They have had numerous concerning charges of violation of human rights and ethical breeches involving vaccine studies and rollouts in developing nations such as India that has eroded the trust of the world's people FULL transparency and the use of only high grades of unbiased evidence that is fully vetted and discussed without fear of repercussions. Consequential policy which involves worldwide measures must never again be led by expert opinion, one-sided voices, and low grades of evidence. The WHOs stance on promoting masking, lockdowns, and vaccine passports alone has been seriously challenged and has resulted in serious harmful, unintended consequences....so who will prevent this from happening again? who will stop policy that was never supported by the high grades of evidence necessary to impose worldwide practices? where will we ever gain trust and get the transparency needed? THIS is the most glaring gap to date that the WHO must address
Nome	This treaty must not go ahead. This will infringe on our god given human rights and I will not stand for it.
Sask health	This treaty must not pass as all humans should be free to decide and not have medications pushed/forced on them should be a informed decision of each and every human.
none	This treaty must not supersede sovereignty of individual nations, states, counties or cities. It must be advisory only.
T Colledge and Son	This treaty must take into account the following National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations or local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without legal consequence.
Individual	This treaty needs to be scrutinized with the utmost of care. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Under no circumstances should any member State lose its ability to judge what is best for its citizens. Nations and local municipalities must be able to out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, as they know their citizens' needs the best. There must be an open and transparent voting process for all citizens of the world to engage in, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a significant percentage (not necessarily 50% + 1) of the people do not want it. Lastly, it is crucial influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers be forbidden. Regards, RCV
Individual	This treaty needs to be stopped. There should be no global authority that should be allowed to override countries' decisions. Each country should be allowed to decide for themselves.This is unethical because it strips nations and thus individuals of their freedoms. This needs to be stopped.
Independent	This treaty opens the possibility of significant amount of private information falling into the wrong hands. Therefore, in order for this to be safeguarded against: - there must be very transparent and limited power for those who access that data - there must be security of the highest order to prevent data tampering - there must be significant independent auditing of the information path available to the public - there must be well defined limits for the use of the data - there must be significant penalties under international law agreed on by all signatories of this treaty for abuse of the data - as the WHO is the major player of this treaty, they must be policed by external unbiased parties of all signatories. Without at least these in place, the treaty becomes a potential Trojan horse for data driven tyranny or abuse by bad actors.
none	This treaty represents a major danger for national sovereignties. It is crucial that each country be able to decide on its health policy without it being dictated to by the WHO. A treaty means that it is mandatory to follow the instructions decided by the members of this organization, whose conflicts of interest with the pharmaceutical industry are known. So NO, I do not want this treaty, which would be the first royal road to global governance. We also know that the data about this pandemic is completely biased, false, manipulated, so NO, no treaty.
None	This treaty should adhere to the Great Barrington Declaration.
West Dunbartonshire Council	This treaty should be withdrawn with immediate effect. It is not the job of international, faceless bureaucrats to run sovereign nations pandemic responses. This is a violation of a country's sovereignty. The international response to the COVID-19 pandemic has been a disaster economically and had minimal benefit on cases/deaths, even in the most strictest of places (China). The only way to deal with pandemics in the future is to let individuals use their own judgement of risk, and to let them look after their families, rather than laws that damage livelihoods. Overall though, my main concern is taking away sovereignty from nation states and transferring it to a monstrous international bureaucracy.
Concerned individual	This treaty should include the ability for governments at the local municipal level to legally opt out of any and all treaty terms. Terms should be issued as mere guidance and should not be binding to individuals, especially should said terms violate their constitutional rights, which supersede any and all dictates from the World Health Organization. The treaty should also adhere to universally accepted medical ethics as elucidated by historic examples such as the Nuremberg Code and International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. Informed Consent of the individual should NEVER be violated. No individual should ever be compelled, coerced, mandated, or forced to accept any medical intervention against their will and should always be provided all information regarding the known safety and efficacy risks of a particular medicine, as well as its complete list of ingredients and the full reasons for which it is being offered.
Steven M. Wells PC	This treaty should include: Nations and municipalities should remain autonomous and be able to decide for themselves what is best for their own people. Nations and municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of this agreement without consequences An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	This treaty should make lockdowns illegal. Furthermore it needs to put human rights, individual sovereignty, and informed consent as inalienable rights of all humans. Universal Digital ID should not be a requirement, nor should proof of vaccine be required. Governments, pharmaceutical companies, and any entity involved in the creation, distribution of medical products be held liable for any harm caused by their products. They are not to be immune of prosecution. Quite frankly you shouldn't be considering a pandemic preparedness treaty. Your enterprise is not in charge of world health, regardless of your organization's name.
Public	This treaty should make lockdowns illegal. Furthermore it needs to put human rights, individual sovereignty, and informed consent as inalienable rights of all humans. Universal Digital ID should not be a requirement, nor should proof of vaccine be required. Governments, pharmaceutical companies, and any entity involved in the creation, distribution of medical products be held liable for any harm caused by their products. They are not to be immune of prosecution. Quite frankly you shouldn't be considering a pandemic preparedness treaty. Your enterprise is not in charge of world health, regardless of your organization's name.
No One	This treaty should never happen. The pandemic made it painfully clear how misguided and even erroneous many policies where. What was a silver lining was different nations responses, like Sweden were I live. Diversification is strength, don't centralize more power, it is dangerous and inhumane. Look at Shanghai and listen to you heart. Davi
Roche	This treaty should never see the light of day. Whilst the intentions may be honourable, it has the potential to circumvent free choice and established democratic systems. Furthermore, I'm concerned about the conflicts of interests that may arise, and the ability to address them with such a centralisation of policy. This instrument should be firmly rejected. Thank you!
Private UK citizen.	This treaty should not be allowed and the following should remain: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	This treaty should NOT be closed! National countries must stay sovereign in their decisions. The WHO is not democratically elected and should only give advice in Pandemics.
Public	This treaty should NOT be introduced at all. It is for individual sovereign states to determine policy. I, as a member of the public, can vote against any political party that I do not agree with their policy. I can not vote against the WHO, therefore they should have no say in how my country is run. It is clear that the WHO do not act in the interests of humanity, are corrupt and are doing 'the bidding' for the WEF and their disgusting agenda. No country should be forced to act against their own people, in such a manner. Please stop this treaty and consign it to the bin! It is undemocratic and NOT welcome.
Citizen	This treaty should not be made without the following provisions. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	This treaty should not be passed it is the first step in a global oligarchy. There should be allowance for national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. A treaty should be fair to each person on this earth, this is not. This treaty will allow the large pharmaceutical companies to control our health. It drives big Pharma profits. It destroys sovereignty of all countries who sign it.
Poland	This treaty should not come into existence at all. WHO is a private organization that has no right to meddle in the affairs of any State.
None.	This treaty should not exist at all, however, it should include: Guarantees on state and individual sovereignty. Respect for human rights, and informed consent for any 'mandate' of medication or vaccine. Legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Free people	This treaty should not exist at all. All countries and peoples within these countries should be free to choose to do as they feel appropriate. There should be NO: 1. Forced lockdowns of any kind. 2. Mandated, legal or otherwise, medical procedures, including but not limited to vaccinations. 3. Forced removals of peoples from homes into government run or private health facilities on premises either built for this purpose or commandeered. 4. Removal of cash, or forced commerce with electronic currency, and/or central bank digital currencies, nor should these be tokenised or rationed. 5. Censorship of differing nor dissenting views on all platforms and means including but not limited to social media, websites, public forums, telephone communications, television, radio etc. 6. Restrictions on public gatherings 7. ABSOLUTELY NO VACCINE PASSPORTS 8. social credit systems of any kind. 9. Separation of child from parent, regardless of the age of either. 10. Rushed vaccine or other pharmaceutical product development, including mandates on the amount of time taken to produces these. 11. Public indemnity of any and all companies, governments, and NGO's, for anything advised, mandated or brought to market which causes harm. 12. Detention of any persons with out due legal process and without trial and effective legal council of the person own choosing. 13. No destruction of animals of private citizens. 14. No facial recognition software, spyware, Malware, drones or robots used to enforce measures. 15. All data relating to epidemiology, serology, virology, government directions, pharmaceutical products, trials, tests, and any other action governing body's take, should be released BEFORE any action taken!
Politic	This treaty should not exist at all. I do not want the WHO to have full decision-making power, nor to have full control, by implementing its policies that run counter to the rule of law, the constitution and the sovereignty of my country.
Notwho	This treaty should not exist at all. It is treason on a global scale, and it is entirely undemocratic. Countries and their citizens reserve the right to decide what is best for themselves on all issues. Especially after your disastrous and incompetent response to covid.
Public	This treaty should not exist at all. You have made the decision without consulting the people. There should be no international ID papers, no marketing of new vaccines in less than 3 months. There should be inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty respect for HUMAN RIGHTS, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have ignored for the past 2 years.
Public person	This treaty should not exist to subvert any constitutional rights given by any state or government. No organization should have authority over sovereign states or Nations within the world.
None	This treaty should not exist. If you enforce it, these are the essential elements that must be included in this treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human	This treaty should NOT exist. This is NOT your business. This is tyranny. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal	This treaty should not go ahead. Response must be determined by elected governments in each country, who have been chosen to represent the people, so policy decisions are made specific to each country's circumstances. While guidance from the WHO on the general response is welcome and needed, the binding decisions must be made in each individual country.
Stop the Treaty	This treaty should not happen in any form. WHO cannot possibly decide for an entire global population. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Do not allow this to go through, thank you.
individual	This treaty should not supersede the constitution of each country. Therefore, the treaty should still give each country the right to make decisions for its population as it sees fit. The treaty should allow for open debate among doctors to discuss various protocols. The treaty should allow for countries to opt out each year if they choose, and each year countries must opt in again and sign a new agreement.
Member of Public	This treaty should not usurp the democratic rights of any citizen of any country. All pandemic policy should be debated and consensus gained between highly qualified individuals with no conflicts of interest who should all have differing views which should be respected. Countries should only sign to any treaty provided the WHO agrees to not favour the policies of big pharma and any body which stands to finanncialy gain from new pharma products. The suppression of early treatment for Covid and the cover up over adverse reactions to the Covid vaccinations has been an absolute disgrace and my trust in the WHO is rock bottom.
Mt. Harrison Audiology & Hearing Aids LLC	This treaty should only be considered if the following are in place: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Russian-Speaking Americans for Freedom	This treaty smacks of a takeover of national independence by unelected bureaucrats. Public health cannot operate with one-size-fits-all global decisions. We say NO to the treaty! 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. #StopTheTreaty
private citizen	This treaty under consideration must ensure that national and individual basic human rights like privacy, bodily autonomy, and freedom to make medical decisions be protected. It is also important that individuals maintain the right to maintain all authority for privacy over their personal information, including medical records. Thank you for opening up comment and for your consideration.
Private citizen	This treaty undermines the sovereignty of the participant nations. So i would like to formally submit my objection to this. Stop this treaty.
Individual comment by medical doctor	This treaty whilst a good idea in theory could have drastic consequences for autonomy of individual countries Individual countries should have the right to veto any aspect of the prescribed regulation or action prescribed by WHO if they feel this will impact their country in a negative negative way. This is due to the fact that no global organisation should have the ability to action measures if they are not elected by the people of that country. These measures should therefore have the veto option built into any agreement.
Concerned Citizens of Earth	This treaty will not help the people at all. Response seen globally since March 2020 has been an abject disaster. Lockdowns, social distancing and masking have proven more harm than help. Hospital protocols and covid-19 vaccines have been disastrous and posed a greater risk than the virus itself. The only way to properly address pandemics is to encourage uncensored science and medical experts who have had success in saving lives with natural protocols and keeping people out of hospital. Fresh air, exercise, proper nutrition and being with loved ones is what the people have always needed.
None	This treaty will remove power from our free country, the United States of America. This treaty would be dangerous to the health and welfare of the American people. All health decisions need to stay in the hands of their/our own country,, including when deciding that an emergency exists. It is scary to think that the WHO may have power over the health decisions of my country, if this treaty becomes a reality. People should be responsible for their own health.
self-employed psychologist	This treaty will result in further, much stronger standardisation of each individual country's response to the next pandemic. This is likely to be extremely dangerous because it will centralize decision-making. Thus: 1) Any mistake made by the WHO will be amplified, because any signatory country will essentially be compelled to follow their guidelines. We saw with the current crisis that countries which made different choices (Sweden with the NPIs, India with repurposed drugs) actually had better outcomes than many other nations, even though they were very heavily criticized for not aligning with the consensus. 2) Furthermore, it's been widely acknowledged, including by the UN, that Pharma is one of the most corrupt, and corrupting, industries in the world. Further centralization of decisions around which therapeutic to use in which way will make it easier for them to coerce and cajole a relatively small number of decision-makers into choosing to do what serves the industry's interests rather than the health of the population. For those reasons, such a treaty is a mistake. It should simply be abandoned.
General public	This treaty will serve no one BUT the WHO! You have no place taking control of countries and how their governments handle pandemics, etc! This should not be allowed!!! This is NOT welcomed!!!
Retiree	This Treaty WILL start a war between people and their governments, especially if the governments are not (considered) necessarily representative of their people. This treaty, regardless of how well-intentioned it might be, must not go into effect.
None	This treaty would be a horrific idea and should not be gone through with. The WHO have done so much damage already and we have seen what control they have tried to have during the last pandemic. To sign up to this treaty would be a grave mistake.
Musicians against vaccine passports	This treaty would be a travesty to a free society. We do not need unelected international burecratswho have failed on such a grand scale during the covid pandemic to be telling anyone what to do. You have no justification for the authority you are asking for. Please disband your corrupt organization and retreat into the shadows from where you came.
none	This treaty would deprive each member country of all national sovereignty over health-related decisions. Such a treaty is very dangerous and would oblige each member country to obey the dictates of the WHO in terms of health. Decisions would no longer be taken at the national level. We also know that a certain number of WHO administrators have major conflicts of interest with the pharmaceutical industry, which has managed the feat of selling to almost the entire planet a so-called vaccine which in the end does not protect against covid. We know today that the data of the pandemic are biased, false, manipulated. This treaty would also be a first step towards global governance and we DO NOT want a global governance. NO, we DO NOT want this treaty, we want to keep our sovereignty, to defend our freedom to decide for ourselves in matters of health.
Children's Health Defense member	This treaty would remove the right for countries to set their own health policy. Public health cannot be one size fits all as we have clearly seen with Covid19. We need individuals, communities and countries in control of their own health decisions. #StopTheTreaty
Citizen	This treaty would supersede the authority of every nation's constitution. People and communities should control of their own health. NOT THE #WHO.
Personal	This treaty would supersede the authority of every nations constitution. People and communities should have control of their own health and be given the opportunity to seek advice from WHO should it be required.
Self	This treaty would take away the rights of citizens in sovereign nations. A blanket, one-size-fits-all solution never works. We respectfully ask that this action be ceased. Sovereign nations and their citizens should decide they're own fate. Thank you for your time.
Individual	This treaty would violate everything a free individual holds dear, the right to choose how to care for one's own health. This is not only a human right it is a God given right and no organization, least of all one that has not been elected by the people, should have the power to usurp this right. I am vehemently opposed to this pandemic treaty and I will not allow ANYONE to force me to do anything against my will! In the United States we are guaranteed by our constitution the right to informed consent. I still honor and live by this document created by our founders.
Private	This violates with most countrys constitution
Personal	This WHO agreement is completely unacceptable and as Americans, violates our sovereignty and inalienable rights. This agreement is in direct conflict with our constitution and will unequivocally be rejected by the American people.
Mother	This WHO agreement/treaty is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. Each person is free to think for themselves and make their own choices for the betterment of their health and community. No local or global authority shall impede on a person's sovereignty nor their rights.
N/a	This WHO initiative is not wanted by the people of the world, it is devisive and in democratic and will bring unrest to the world as the people will ultimately ignore it , become angry at those in power both within the who and the governments who would try to impose their will on us. Nothing you can forcibly impose will be tolerated by people who will ultimately seek justice against those that tried it .
None	This WHO pandemic agreement is NOT something that should force all countries of the world to follow the same specific guidelines in times of a health emergency. It should provide very general guidance information to the world as to the source of the health emergency (ie. pandemic) and the ramifications on the population. What the agreement should NOT do is to mandate countries, and individual states within those countries, to enforce lockdowns or mask wearing regulations, etc. This agreement should NOT strip nations of the right to determine their own health policies. This agreement should be drawn up in a draft form and submitted to nations for approval or disapproval. This WHO pandemic agreement should ONLY be limited to pandemics. It should not include any regulations on the food supply, ecosystems or any number of other issues. Above all, it should NOT be used to benefit Big Pharma or major corporations or the global financial capital.
N/A	This WHO Pandemic Treaty MUST NOT be adopted by the United States of America or any other country. The World Health Organization has no legitimate authority to put forth or administer this treaty which would usurp the rights of sovereign nations to make their own determinations as to the existence of any future health emergencies and any needed health measures to deal with such emergencies. It would be a grave injustice to all of humanity to cede this power to an unelected group of bureaucrats, a group that has clearly demonstrated its interests in profit-making and control over science and best health practices.
Private citizen	This WHO Pandemic Treaty must NOT be allowed to take effect. It is imperative that health measures be implemented at the community level depending on the health issues present at a particular locale, and that they be done with an open and transparent process. It is also imperative that there be No influence from pharmaceutical companies when making health decisions. WHO has no right to impose health decisions on any individual.
Filmzrus	This WHO treaty removes power from the US and is dangerous to the health and welfare of all people. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the people agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty. No to a pandemic treaty!
Driven Security	This WHO treaty would remove power from the U.S. to make its own informed decisions about our health. This decision making power must stay within our own families. It takes away our sovereignty as a nation to give that type of power to a world health organization that does not have the citizens of our country in its best interest. Please say NO to this treaty.
Private Individual	This whole treaty is an attempt to strip human beings of their human rights.
none	This will give the WHO control over the entire globe, which is unacceptable. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. We recently witnessed the destruction that covid mandates caused. Thank you.
We the people	This will violate our constitution, this is direct over reach by the WHO. Considering they made wrong calls o the last pandemic, this would give them superpowers over all the countries of the world
None	This worldwide pandemic response you are proposing is akin to putting all of your eggs in one basket. Should a vaccine developed under this model later be found to be ineffective, or worse, detrimental to one's health, then millions, if not billions, could be affected. I suggest that nations developing responses in parallel is a far better strategy.
board member of various non-profit organizations	This would be a huge mistake. Decisions regarding a public health issue need to be made at the local and state level, not by people living elsewhere. WHO has been wrong and irresponsible on numerous occasions during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. At best, this organization should provide guidance only for the member countries. Any guidance should occur only after an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And if guidance is provided, there will be no influence by non-member country funders.

Organization	Written submission
Concerned citizen	This would give the WHO the power to preempt the national sovereignty of member States, and by implication, the civil liberties and health rights of the world's citizens. So much was got wrong in the response to Covid with tragic & life destroying outcomes, by countries following the recommendations of WHO to the pandemic, I think you should be the absolute last body of people to dictate a future response to a pandemic. Coerced & mandated responses violates people's informed consent and human rights. A better use of your energy would be to investigate & prosecute the companies & government's that carry out the gain of function research which causes these pandemics.
Self Employed	This written testimony serves as a voice against any centralization of powers in the World Health Organization's Pandemic Treaty. We have learned in this last pandemic that a centralized power destroys small and mid-sized businesses, has led to mental health problems for many, including my own daughter who is now in a mental health clinic rather than in high school, and a centralized view also removes a chance for decentralized systems to act as a test group to see if the centralized plan is really working. Lockdowns, mandatory masking, and in some states, mandatory vaccinations, should not be part of any Pandemic Treaty, barring a virus with an IFR much worse than Covid-19. I am against the WHO's Pandemic Treaty.
Canada	Thorough transparency on pharmaceutical conflict of interest must be established while vigorous defence and pursuit of existing treatments must be explored. The response to the Covid pandemic relied on fear and hysteria to submit the population; these fears have proven reckless and far overblown. It is imperative that individuals be able to exercise autonomy over their own health. To refer to the vaccines as the be-all, end-all solution has damaged the credibility of our establishments, now that it's proven they offer barely any benefit, compared to not taking them, by 3 mo's. The health of our species and future generations may already be severely compromised. We cannot continue to force a covid vaccine program that is unproven and may prove more harmful than good, and future programs must consider a better approach. We do not need a one size fits all response in a future pandemic. We need accurate reporting, with no manipulation and fear-mongering so families can make informed decisions.
EU Citizen	Those are our proposals: - WHO recommendations will never be put above law and regulations that is implemented in each country; - Doctors and scientists will be able to speak their minds freely in any time, unless they clearly will not have any evidence or proff for their statements; - WHO will never recommend using means like green certificates/vaccine passports to divide people on groups of vaccinated, those who are under some treatment or those who are taking some kind of drug; - Those who work or may work/participate for WHO initiatives and are connected with pharmaceutical companies in any way will never speak for people and they will never be granted a possibility of making a choice that have impact on country, group of countries or globally;
HelpAge International	Those most at risk must be at the centre of pandemic prevention and response. During COVID-19, millions of older people have been left behind. Inequalities, discrimination, and ageism have been exposed and exacerbated, and we have witnessed violations of older people's basic human rights – including their right to health and their right to life. The lack of explicit mention of age in international law on public health emergencies means age discrimination goes unsanctioned. Ageist responses have been a death sentence for many older people, while failure to collect, report and use age, sex and disability disaggregated data has left them invisible. A future pandemic treaty must clearly affirm commitment to human rights principles and to equity in access to health and care services, and in wider preparedness and response. It must explicitly prohibit the use of age as a ground for discrimination and include measures to ensure all-of society approaches that meaningfully engage older people in building resilience to pandemics and in the design and delivery of responses. The inequity of the pandemic, which continues today in the vaccine apartheid, means we are in a situation where millions of those most at risk in low income countries, including older people, are still unvaccinated against COVID-19 and lack access to tests and treatment. This inequity must not be allowed to continue, and a future pandemic instrument must ensure it is never repeated.
USA	Though I do not support such a treaty as it appears to violate the US constitution, if such a treaty existed, it would need inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
None	Though the aims of the proposal are practical and laudable there is an overriding concern that they will concentrate power in one organisation at the expense of loss of sovereignty in individual countries. There should therefore be in built checks and balances in place to allow sovereign nations the ability to veto the requirements of WHO in any given instance. This is doubly necessary as the terms of reference stated by WHO for this initiative are very broad, undefined, and therefore open to interpretation and the possibility of abuse. This is even more relevant as WHO recently saw fit to change the definition of what constitutes a "Pandemic" making the threshold at which point WHO could legitimately claim the right to intervene a lot lower. The final concern is a cynical one, that the level of funding of WHO from private non governmental sources opens up the organisations agenda to to being manipulated by private interests antithetical to the interests of the global population.
General Public	Three pertinent points I feel should inform any agreement:" (1) The primacy of National and local governments is paramount since they are the closest to and answerable to their people; furthermore they better understand what is most appropriate to their cultural, social and economic conditions. (2) Countries or local areas should be able to freely withdraw from any agreement if they see fit. (3) Most importantly pharmaceutical industry lobbying should be forbidden.
Keller Williams Realty	Three USA under no circumstances should cede any authority whatsoever to the World Health Organization out any other body politic. The USA should at all costs maintain our sovereignty and complete independence.
Private	Throughout history evolution has thrived and survived on decentralized experiments. Nowhere in nature is there a centralized force trying to control evolution. Experiments and sharing of knowledge, learnings and results gives the most effective and efficient solutions. So I oppose any "instrument" that tries to centralize decisions, methods and mandates. Let countries and states experiment and focus on collecting and sharing learnings in an open and transparent way.
Sweden	Throughout history evolution has thrived and survived on decentralized experiments. Nowhere in nature is there a centralized force trying to control evolution. Experiments and sharing of knowledge, learnings and results gives the most effective and efficient solutions. So I oppose any "instrument" that tries to centralize decisions, methods and mandates. Let countries and states experiment and focus on collecting and sharing learnings in an open and transparent way.
N/A	Throughout history it is shown time and again that a one-size-fits all is not an effective solution or strategy. Through science we know that people from different cultures, environments etc, have different health needs and respond differently to diseases and medicines as well as environmental differences. This is best decided by local authorities. Therefore National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This naturally would lead to the fact that nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The fact that pharmaceutical companies are a great influence is common knowledge. Anyone involved in a mandate for profit needs to be left out of the equation. There are too many examples in history, again, showing the negative influence caused by profits over health. Therefore there needs to be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Throughout the current pandemic various countries and states around the world responded differently; many faring better or worse depending on their response. Case-in-point the states in the USA that closely followed the recommendation of the CDC/WHO fared worse than those who chose to do something else. A similar result was found with countries that closely adhered to WHO recommendations vs. those that didn't. Compare the numbers of casualties between countries who masked, social distanced, locked-down etc., with those that didn't instead taking other actions such as early treatment of the sick individuals. It would be foolhardy to allow a small body of people to dictate a global response. For example, if the this small group decided on a response which made things inadvertently worse, more people could be harmed than allowing individual nations/states to formulate their own responses. The WHO would be better to do what it has been doing and advising the response, but in the end should never have the force of law.
Freedom	Thw WHO did not do a good job with Covid... there were many failings & many countries force/ pushed unnecessary restrictions & lockdowns.People freedoms should not be infringed.. countries down to the local governments s. hould maintain all rights to decise what is best for their country/ state / city...no medical tyranny...people should be able make their own INFORMED decisions... we should NOT Join ANYTHING that could potentially threaten rights freedoms of american people..we should retain the right to withdraw without repercussion& to stop our funds... In all Americans do not want it !
Citizen	само в България, но и в много други държави точно в момента СЗО се опитва всячески да пробута международната си спогодба за здравен медикализъм и тормоз чрез пландемии. Какво гласи и какво цели тази спогодба сега нямам време да пиша (то и без това който се интересува отдавна знае), защото има нещо по-важно. На сайта на СЗО тихомълком се появи някакво уж обществено изслушване по въпроса за този бъдещ "международен инструмент за здравнокризисна подготовка", но проблемът е там, че крайният срок за писмени възражения изтича.... утре, 13.04.2022. Не съм сигурна, че хората имат някакво участие в тези решения, но и не виждам пречка пред това да се отиде на уреченото място в сайта на СЗО и да се изкаже мнение. Публично изслушване на възражения и предложения е все пак - човек трябва да упражни илюзорната си воля. И понеже много други хора от международната общност, запознати със ситуацията, също се чувстват безсилни и не вярват, че гласът им ще се чуе, затова публикуват в интернет какво са писали на СЗО. В момента интернет прелива от стотици хиляди #StopTheTreaty постове, които може нищо да не постигнат, но не може и да бъдат игнорирани. Препоръката на един уважаван от мен американски лекар беше да се отиде на сайта и да се изпрати конструктивно предложение, вместо добре заслужените ругатни (трябва да са на английски), като по-надолу - - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open
NONE	Ti morajo biti vključeni v Pogodbo o pandemiji: 1. Človekove pravice so neodtujljive. Vlade ne smejo začasno ukiniti človekovih pravic zaradi "izrednih razmer". 2. Pravice narodov, držav, pokrajin, skupin in organizacij so podrejene neodtujljivim človekovim pravicam. 3. Pravica do poskusa je neodtujljiva pravica. Birokrati nimajo pravice nikomur odrekati zdravil, ki bi lahko rešila življenje. 4. Telesna avtonomija je sveto in jo je VEDNO treba spoštovati. Pravica do zavrnitve zdravljenja je neodtujljiva pravica. 5. Status cepiva ni mogoče uporabiti za omejevanje neodtujljive pravice ljudi do potovanja, dela, iskanja izobraževanja ali dostopa do javnih prostorov ali podjetij. 6. Zaklepanje in/ali karantena zdravih ljudi so napačne. 7. Zahtevamo popolno preglednost. Vsi depersonalizirani podatki iz vseh kliničnih študij bi morali biti takoj na voljo vsem v realnem času. 8. Vsak ima pravico do svojega mnenja. Vsi ljudje imajo neodtujljivo pravico, da javno povedo svoje mnenje. 9. Vsi proizvajalci, distributerji in skrbniki zdravstvenih izdelkov in/ali postopkov morajo biti odgovorni za vso škodo, ki jo povzročijo njihovi izdelki in storitve. 10. Ljudje morajo imeti pravico glasovati za odpravo izrednih razmer.
Children and families NGO	-timely notification of people; -access to medicines and medical care; -free movement! No lockdown! No coercive measures! - every person and country is free to choose!
Civil mozgalom	Tisztelt WHO ! Civil egészségügyi szakemberként a javaslatom a következő: Biztosítani kell a tagországok és azokon belül az orvosok autonómiáját, szabad döntési jogát a kezelésekben Tiltani kell a politikai döntés és kényszer lehetőségét, a gyógyszergyártók befolyását a tudományos kérdésekben Az embereknek biztosítani kell a kényszertől, befolyástól mentes, szabad döntés lehetőségét,mert az önrendelkezési jog alapvető emberi jog és nem korlátozható a többi jog "visszanyerésével" sem. Előnyben kell részesíteni a , a kutatás-fejlesztést A gyógykezelés nem lehet kötelező protokoll, biztosítani kell a tagországok és az orvosok döntési szabadságát A tagországok bármikor kiléphessenek az egyezményből,akik nem csatlakoznak, ne legyenek kényszeríthetők, büntethetők, szankcionálhatók A gyógyítás és megelőzés módjába ne szólhassanak bele a gyógyszergyártók,sem az általuk támogatott intézmények, tudósok, egyetemek, orvosok,- ebbe kizárólag igazoltan független szakértők bevonása szükséges Ki kell zárni a profitérdek érvényesülésének a lehetőségét. A WHO egy magáncég,amit nem kizárólag a tagországok tartanak fenn, ezért döntései befolyásolhatók a támogatók által Nincs szükség központi irányításra az egészségügy területén, ez sérti az államok szuverenitását, ezért az ajánlásokat nem lehet szerződéssel sem kötelezővé tenni Tisztelettel: Gurabi csabáné
Private	Tko god vidi ovu objavu, komentirajte im, moguce je samo jos danas (13.4.) do 17h. Na slijedecoj poveznici je moguce pustiti pisani komentar: https://extranet.who.int/dataformv3/index.php/ " These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. "
Resident of Queensland	TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN: I DO NOT ACCEPT OR APPROVE OF THE TYRANNICAL MEASURES THAT YOU ARE TRYING TO IMPLEMENT.!!! IT IS TOTALLY UNACCEPTABLE IN A FREE SOCIETY AND YOU NEED TO BE DEFUNDED.
Concerned individual	To accord with Human Rights and Siracusa principles, the following elements should be included: 1. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" shall be expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights. 2. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 3. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 4. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 5. Measures to inhibit any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 6. The centralisation of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media poses an international security threat and must be prevented at all costs. 7. Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. 8. The Member States require that WHO agrees to be liable in the event of damages arising from the use of the guidance.
Free Living Woman	To all concerned HUMANS ; As a Living Human , made in the image of the Prime Creator , I am formally requesting that this WHO treaty be RESOLVED , WE HUMANS DO NOT CONSENT , We as the collective Human family never gave our consent or vote for an organization built on the demise of OUR Human Family . Your organization has no Authority to construct a document / treaty against the FREE WILL of humans . No bio lab formed weapon that a subsidiary of your organization developed to ENSLAVE and take over the collective planet will be allowed . The United Nation , WHO & CDC HAVE NO JURISDICTION over the living people of the entire planet . As An American born on the Land & Soil of this REPUBLIC of the United States .I Decline your treaty to form a one world government , CONTROL MY HEALTH CHOICES AND STRIP ME OF MY GOD GIVEN HUMAN RIGHTS / UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION & BILL OF RIGHTS My Vote is NAW , the Human collective vote is naw

Self	To All Concerned
	
	  I want the United States of America to be TOTALLY in control of our management of any pandemic or health related issue.
	
	   As the USA ignored WHO listing and responding to cellphone radiation as a possible carcinogen, there is to be no difference in any other health issue .
	
	   The people closest to an issue need to remain in control of that issue. There is not a " one size fits all" in any health related issue. As a practicing nurse for over 40 yearsthis has been the one constant. A treatment/ intervention to one person will not consistently yield the same result to another.
	
	No NO No to the USA signing onto the WHO treaty on pandemic.
	
	Individual Countries shall remain autonomous in handling of a pandemic.

Sovereign human beings	To All Concerned, I would like to have the following elements that should be included in the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1-National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2-The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3-An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4-Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Sylvie Leneveu Woodbrige, Ontario
Individual	To all concerned, What should be included in the treaty is that all people can decide for themselves what medical treatments they would like to receive. Mandates for medical treatments of any kind should NEVER be forced on anyone EVER. Sovereignty over one's own body must remain extremely and crucially important, anything other than this is just inhumane. Governments and employers should never have the power to decide what medical treatments a person must have in order to make a living and survive, again, this is cruel, discriminatory, and inhumane treatment on humanity. Thank you for your time, Jennifer
N/A	To all concerned, When considering what should be universal for the world in any future situation, please put foremost " First, Do No Harm". The coronavirus pandemic has proven that a government a) can not know they know everything about the nature of a virus; b) the human body and its interaction with the virus; b) nor the full impact of any treatment, vaccine category or otherwise. A pandemic response is by nature an attempt to control; but an attempt for absolute control is one of taking absolute responsibility for consequences - which we have seen no government, tech form or international body take. Seizing control without responsibility or taking action without accepting limits to knowledge is in fact immoral and unethical - evil - and must be constrained by this treaty. Specifically it should respect the principle of informed consent and entrench that a government can inform an individual about a certain treatment - but should not force, coerce or sanction ("mandate") an individual, which is a fundamental transgression of the role of the state against the individual's body, mind and soul.
Canadian Professional Counselling Association	To All Concerned: A Global Pandemic Agreement is a major historical undertaking and as such requires careful consideration. What substantive elements should be included for preparedness and response? 1) Most physicians agree that a patient's mental attitude and belief has a tremendous effect on their overall health and recovery form illness. Therefore, all elements should reflect the importance of mental health in recovery by respecting choice and individual differences in treatment needs. 2) Complete protection (from censorship/reprisals) should be provided for all doctors and researchers so they can openly report their findings and observations to the WHO. The WHO should facilitate transparent public scientific debates among doctors and researchers; a real global think-tank! 3) All peer-reviewed effective treatments discovered should be reported by the WHO globally, so that all doctors can be fully informed. 4) In the best interest of global health, pharmaceutical companies should provide products pro-bono, so their entire focus is on health rather than there being divided interests due to financial incentives. Agencies and companies who have influence or power should not be allowed to profit from a pandemic. Thank-you.
Private	To all it may concern, We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure of the full approval process. Sincerely
General public	To all members and delegates of the public hearing regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: I respectfully request that participants and members NOT approve the pending treaty as it removes power from the individual nations who are currently sovereign and should remain so. Adopting a treaty that would require all nations to submit to the same requirements treats all nations as though they have equal risks and ignores each nations' ability to address its own citizens' needs. Not all nations are affected equally by a pandemic and therefore should remain separate in their dealings. This would harm member nations to make decisions for the health and well-being of its citizens, based on the situations in each nation. I believe all decisions regarding a nation should remain as close to home as possible, especially during a pandemic. No nation should be overseen, in any case, by a "one-world" entity as they should remain sovereign. My strong advice is to continue to allow each nation to make decisions that best address the issues facing its citizens. Each nation's government should continue to allow or restrict travel, etc, according to the assessed risks within that nation. Please do NOT move forward with this treaty!
Individual	To all members and member countries of the WHO. I do not feel that your "Pandemic Treaty" will be any kind of advantage to any human being on this planet. No government, or government agency has the right to tell anyone what they can put into our bodies, or limit the travel of any individual who decides to not have an untested vaccine injected into their bodies. Humans have inalienable rights to treat our bodies as temples and only use healthy and nutritious things to stay strong and healthy without anything that could be toxic or cause symptoms later from some untested products. I feel The People's Treaty far outweighs the goals of your Pandemic Treaty worldwide! There should not be any approvals for government entities to have the right to take away our individual rights that are inalienable! Ever! I say NO, to your Pandemic Treaty...period! Do NOT PASS this Pandemic treaty into reality. Sincerely, Robert Baker, Totally Opposed!
Teacher	To all members of the WHO I am extremely concerned about your proposal to have global jurisdiction over governments all over the world. If this is to globalise vaccination for any disease existing or in the future or to forcibly lock people down - it is violating all human rights. The unalienable rights of people to travel freely, to have autonomy over the health of their own bodies and to have freedom of speech - all these are freedoms granted by law. This will lead to immense destruction on a grand scale. Most sincerely Sylvia Leiserach
N/A	To all members/delegates: The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I respectfully request that this pending treaty NOT be approved as it would harm the freedom of member nations to make healthcare decisions that work best for the situation in that nation! This treaty would remove those rights and place member nations in a totalitarian state. This is just one more step towards a one world government that would be dangerous and remove independent sovereignty from individual nations. Look at history - this hasn't worked in the past and would only lead to more social and political unrest in the world. Please do NOT move forward with this! Thank you.
none	To all the WHO members, Dear human fellows, Regarding to the pandemic treaty you wish to implement I strongly encourage you to decline it and to consider : - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Safeguarding human rights and free will while empowering people to take control of their health and wellbeing comes above all powers. For a compassionate world where love and solidarity come first, Carolina Mojica
CHD	To all those who will be voting on this very important issue, I believe that every individual state and country should retain autonomy and independence in regards to making decisions, creating policies, implementing such policies, creating law and governing their residents. This needs to encompass and include all decisions regarding the welfare of its residents pertaining to food, water, air and any health related policies including reproduction, gender identification and manipulation, fetal rights as well as threats and or treatment of medical issues, diseases and illness including those reaching pandemics levels. I believe that it is imperative that these individual rights, civil/ human rights be withheld with respect and equality for all peoples of all nations. Thank you, Marcy Neumann
Australia	To all whom this concerns, I do not consent to this treaty. My health is my responsibility and I choose for my health to remain my personal responsibility. Thankyou. Kind regards, Matt
N/A	To all, Do not move forward with this treaty. The background for this initiative is evil and will cause more damage and pain to Gods creations on this great planet if you do. Please STOP trying to play God and commit to a purpose for the better and not to support evil. The damage will far outweigh the necessity if this and other treaties alike pass. May Lord have mercy on all of you.
Jackson	To allow individuals to make a decision around their own health without mandates. The individual bodily autonomy is the only private matter that belongs to someone. If you take that away, we have nothing and that is not ok. When dealing with pandemics, the medical doctors must not be silenced as they have been this time and under NO circumstances is there to be trials of vaccines done on the population, especially under a mandate.
Citizen of New Zealand	To answer such a question one needs to take into consideration every country, nation, city, town, and even communities etc will always have different needs that need to be addressed and acknowledged if one wants to truly provide an instrument that will benefit people in a pandemic. E.g. if the WHO do not heed or respect peoples culture and constitutions or laws of the land how can a global pandemic response truly help and support these people? In short if the substantive elements are not unique to each country, can it truly be said the WHO did its best? Another way of looking at it is considering what will the impacts of a decision have on people. E.g. in New Zealand more people have died from suicides than the covid virus it's self. Are not these deaths just as notable as anyone who died from Covid? And did these people not deserve to live, yet were shut in their homes, told to stay there and forgotten about. If people were allowed and encouraged to stay connected with their communities could these peoples still be alive today? I could share many more examples but I don't have the space. So let's learn from Covid and not make the same mistake. Something is always sacrificed when a decision is make, let's make sure we make the best decisions to protect EVERYONE and allow people to keep living their lives! Thank you.
Alternative Health and Healing Center	To answer the question, none. I don't want the WHO to have anything to do with my health ever!
Individual	To answer the question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. I completely disagree and oppose a global Pandemic Treaty of any kind. Taking away health decisions from the US government, and it citizens and putting the decisions in the hands of a global Pandemic Body is an unacceptable threat to US sovereignty, totally frightening, and beyond WHO's authority. Please keep health in the hands of the people; even the US government is far overreaching here. Thank you,

Organization	Written submission
AuldWorks	To answer, "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on all elements in the agreement. This process should include fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	To avoid the human suffering caused by top-down, one size fit all decisions and mandates for COVID-19, 1. Full autonomy is required for local and national leadership. Decentralized decisions are needed based on what's best for local situations. 2. Local municipalities, states and nations must be able to opt out of any portions of the agreement, as they determine, without retribution or consequence 3. The process must be open and transparent process, with all people of the world able to vote on including failsafe measures to prevent application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must be included to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, including but not limited to government bureaucrats.
Private	To avoid the same mistakes as last time. Transparency on every step. No mandated vaccines they should be an individual choice. Such things should go through the complete test and evaluation period no matter how long it takes. Don't hide the side effects or negative outcomes. Lockdowns have killed the world's economy and causing suffering greater than the virus we have just seen. These should be avoided and let people be informed on everything so they can choose what would be appropriate steps to safety Research into these viruses needs more control it is too weak and open to too many mistakes as we have witnessed.
Not Applicable	To basically rob us of our democracy is to live in a dictatorship. How dare you try to force this on us.
Private citizen	To be clear, I do not believe there should be an 'international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response'. The COVID-19 pandemic has clearly illustrated the fact that large scale, one-size-fits-all responses, which simultaneously seek to crush reasonable and often qualified dissent, are catastrophic and cause more harm than good. I don't believe an international response will be in the interests of the general population, in fact quite the contrary. In the event this so-called 'international instrument' proceeds, I believe the following conditions should apply: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
citizen of Europe	To be included in a Pandemic Treaty: The WHO is to become a democratically elected, publicly funded organisation ensuring equal rights of democratic participation for every person affected by its guidelines. All private funding to the WHO will be replaced by contributions from the governments whose citizens will be affected by any guidelines issued by the WHO. Human rights are inalienable, and must govern all decisions. Governments may never suspend human rights, and the WHO must never issue guidelines that counter human rights. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to choose and to refuse treatment is an inalienable right of the individual. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Lockdowns of healthy people are excluded as public health measures. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies will be immediately available to everyone in real time for complete transparency. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. People have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	To be included in the international instrument: Guarantees of individual and state sovereignty to ensure no mandatory compliance with programmes or remedies devised and introduced. Adherence to the Nuremburg Code 1947 in the development and implementation of remedies devised and introduced. Adherence to the UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948 in all aspects of the implementation of the instrument. Clear procedures setting out the legal liability of states and pharmaceutical companies for adverse reactions suffered through implementation of any remedies, including process for compensation rights.
Self	To be included in the Pandemic Treaty: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	To be included in treaty: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures shall not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Turquoise Bay	To be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Law	To be included: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It should be enshrined in the agreement that mandatory vaccination is unlawful. Gain of function work should be banned and there should be draconian penalties for those involved in it. It should be enshrined in the agreement that profiteering is unlawful. None of the above should be taken as my acceptance that an international agreement is either necessary or appropriate.
Connemara Creative	To be prepared for any future viral epidemics information must be made available through mass media campaigns to make, very clear scientific information available to humanity on : how best to protect your immune system through proper nutrition, adaquate exercise & supplements How to maintain good mental health through social contact, stress reduction & reduction of yhe promotion of fear by the mainstream media. The abolition of Covid Passports as both vaccinated and unvaccinated are catching & infecting others with Covid so do not make logical sense If successful vaccines are developed that they are not deemed mandetory and only given where there is informed consent as cited in the Nuremburg Code
None	To be prepared to respond APPROPRIATELY to future pandemics, I consider that the enhancement of foundational safeguards are the most critical substantive elements to include, in order to avoid late communication of warnings, miscommunication of information, and the issuing of guidance that is ineffective in controlling the spread of disease. * Safety measures should be introduced that: - Enforce the avoidance of all conflicts of interest for all decision makers and influencers in the WHO. - Prevent coercion from tyrannical regimes. - Actively monitor and prevent the buying of influence. - Actively monitor and remove from positions of influence anyone that has received a bribe or kick-back. - Only allow people with the highest record of integrity to take on influential positions. - Prohibit influence in the process by all pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers. * A set of principles should be published and strictly adhered to that: - Satisfy human rights concerns (those recently raised around the globe, especially concerning countries with tyrannical regimes). - Prohibit the WHO from overreach. - Recognise that health is not a one-size-fits-all matter. - Upholds the rights of the individual to make their own health choices. - Honours the Nuremberg and related treaties. - Upholds the hippocratic oath. * Implementation of an ACTIVE adverse events reporting system, run by an independent, impartial organisation whose influence cannot be bought (apply the above safeguards to them also).
Health system	To be sure, that individual and human rights are respected, every aspect of private or company based funding of WHO must be prohibited.
Privat	To be vaccinades should be each and every persons choice. Forvents is never an good instrument.This is why I say no to this new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and respons .
Air Lift Underground	To begin with, it is absolutely unacceptable that the nations of the world would submit their sovereignty to an unelected, privately funded organization such as the WHO. The leadership of this organization has been absolutely disastrous over the past two years, resulting in mindless, draconian restrictions that did nothing whatsoever to promote public health and, indeed, led to many more deaths than were necessary. WHO's "guidance" is the greatest global human rights crisis since World War II. Basic civil liberties were arbitrarily suspended across the globe, and millions plunged into poverty. You have no business amassing any more power, and deep in your souls you must know this. But if there is to be a treaty among nations, the very first clause should be an immutable and inalienable guarantee of the right to informed medical consent for any procedure, and a consequential ban on mandatory vaccination of any kind. Should you include this, it may prevent the planetary uprising you are surely courting with this undemocratic global power grab.
MAFA	To begin with, it is absolutely unacceptable that the nations of the world would submit their sovereignty to an unelected, privately funded organization such as the WHO. The leadership of this organization has been absolutely disastrous over the past two years, resulting in mindless, draconian restrictions that did nothing whatsoever to promote public health and, indeed, led to many more deaths than were necessary. WHO's "guidance" is the greatest global human rights crisis since World War II. Basic civil liberties were arbitrarily suspended across the globe, and millions plunged into poverty. You have no business amassing any more power, and deep in your souls you must know this. But if there is to be a treaty among nations, the very first clause should be an immutable and inalienable guarantee of the right to informed medical consent for any procedure, and a consequential ban on mandatory vaccination of any kind. Should you include this, it may prevent the planetary uprising you are surely courting with this undemocratic global power grab."
International Health Partnership for Universal Health Coverage 2030 (UHC20	To ensure the new pandemic instrument is based on solidarity, equity and protecting health for all, we call for inclusion of universal health coverage (UHC) and health systems resilience linked to essential public health functions. The instrument should acknowledge that: i) pandemics disrupt essential health services and harm progress towards UHC; ii) UHC principles are crucial for a successful response to health crises, e.g. to ensure access to services without financial hardship or disincentives; and iii) UHC should include a full spectrum of public health services and functions. Building on existing commitments, key elements to emphasise include: • Adequate public financing for health, especially primary health care. • Core minimum requirements for national health systems capacities including public health functions. • Reaching and protecting the most vulnerable groups in society, and enhancing gender equality • Ensuring adequate numbers and distribution of well-trained, well-equipped and well-paid health workers. • Social participation and inclusion of civil society and communities, including in the development of the instrument For specific proposals, please read: How and why to reflect universal health coverage in the pandemic treaty (especially p9: A CHECKLIST FOR DECISION-MAKERS): https://www.graduateinstitute.ch/sites/internet/files/2022-02/PB-no8.pdf
Management Sciences for Health / Civil Society Engagement Mechanism for	To ensure the new pandemic instrument is based on solidarity, equity and protecting health for all, we call on WHO Member States to include universal health coverage (UHC) as an essential component. The Civil Society Engagement Mechanism for UHC2030 urges the INB to include the following elements: 1. Support for universal access to health: Prioritize the needs of those furthest behind, and ensure pandemic preparedness and response include specific and measurable commitments to equity. 2. Build strong and resilient health systems: 1) Increase investments in and strengthen health systems at all levels to improve their effectiveness, accessibility particularly for populations left furthest behind, and equity. This includes supporting communities to prevent, prepare for, and respond to health emergencies while empowering the health workforce to continue essential services; 2) Expand access to quality primary health care to accelerate progress toward UHC and health security. 3. Meaningfully engage civil society and communities: 1) Include civil society and communities from the initial stages of planning to implementation and evaluation, including through regular consultations. As COVID-19 has reminded us, civil society helps ensure that policies and programmes are equitable, and benefits reach vulnerable populations; 2) Facilitate the full partnership of civil society, communities, and experts from LMICs. LMICs must not be included solely as recipients of global policy, but rather as co-creators of the instrument. Civil society in these settings must be purposefully supported to participate in decision-making structures.
Private	To entities worldwide: 1. We object to the short time given to reply to what could be a potentially life-altering bill. 2. Nevertheless and therefore, let it be known: We know our inaliebable rights as mankind on the land called earth. Any acts, laws and statutes (whether former or newly promulgated) that violates these rights, will be null and void in its application. Your mandate is to SERVE us, the people, within the context of these rights. That will be the ultimate measure, the outcome for which you will be accountable before man and our living Creator.
none	To Everyone reading this comment, I understand that the World Health Organization's Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people" The decision to hold a meeting to create a treaty with other nations only makes sense AFTER THE OPINION OF THE CITIZENS OF EACH COUNTRY HAS BEEN HEARD. I am grateful that I now have the opportunity to share my thoughts and opinions. As a sovereign citizen of the United States of America, it is my Constitutional right to make my own health decisions. ALL MY HEALTH DECISIONS NEED TO BE MADE ONLY BY ME, INCLUDING WHEN AN EMERGENCY EXISTS. You, the WHO do not have power over my health decisions !! I DEMAND YOU LEAVE ME MY FREEDOM TO CHOOSE! Thank you
Josef Essberger	To forestall anticipated resistance to the idea, the first substantive element will need to be a clear demonstration, with expert witnesses and supporting evidence, that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is indeed necessary. This element will clearly lay out the benefits and advantages of such an international instrument to all people and peoples.
Unitarians of Vancouver	To give one organization the power is not right Each country, state should have their own say in how to deal with pandemics and disasters. There are many different factors to take into account from different cultures, and areas of the planet. A cookie cutter plan does not seem right to me.
-	To I.N.T./ WHO We do not allow our sovereign rights be compromised, by no means are they negotiable! I don't support any emergencies nor acts thereof, imposed by any foreign governments or any person/persons/entities Against or upon my autonomy and my sovereign rights For any reason(s) of any kind, not even of or by health / medical reasons!
—	To I.N.T./ WHO We do not allow our sovereign rights be compromised, by no means are they negotiable! I don't support any emergencies nor acts thereof, imposed by any foreign governments or any person/persons/entities Against or upon my autonomy and my sovereign rights For any reason(s) of any kind, not even of or by health / medical reasons!
Self	To in insure objective and rational decision-making that respects the values, customs, religions and beliefs of all People, the provisions of any global health treaty should include clauses that: 1) Guarantee the right of any nation, state, province, tribe or indigenous government or council to opt out of any requirement imposed by the treaty within its own borders or jurisdiction; 2) Require that nations, states, provinces, tribes or indigenous government or councils guarantee the supremacy of the rights and privileges of individual persons under its own laws, rules, orders and regulations; 3) Prohibit discrimination against any individual person in the administration of any rule, requirement, privilege or benefits conferred by the treaty based on race, religion, national origin, gender, age, physical or mental ability, economic status, caste or genetic make-up; 4) Guarantee the right of privacy and confidentiality of all communications between individual persons and their health care providers, legal representatives and spiritual advisors, and prohibits the collection of information or maintenance of data that reveals the identity of individual persons; 5) Guarantee the freedom of speech, expression, communication and thought regarding any rule, requirement or restriction promulgated pursuant to the treaty; 6) Guarantee the right of any nation, state or individual person to redress grievances, pursue damages or seek compensation against The WHO or its agents for any injury or damage caused by any rule, regulation or requirement promulgated pursuant to the treaty; 7) Recognize and confirm the rights of all persons under the Nuremberg Code, Helsinki Accord and the UN Charter
Myself	To include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies are to be included.
private citizen	To Interested Parties, I respectfully oppose the creation and implementation of the worldwide Pandemic Treaty proposed by The Who. This treaty would destroy all sovereignty of nations and local states, provinces, etc. throughout the world. It would impose dictates of health treatments without regard to national constitutions and the Nuremberg Code. The Nuremberg Code ensures individuals the human right to decide what treatments they do and do not want, especially bodily interventions that could destroy human health and well-being. Governmental claims of beneficial outcomes of such interventions may have ulterior, political and financial motives. A present example is pressuring world populations to submit to the covid genetic injections that are insufficiently tested. Yet this genetic material is injected into the human body, often against people's will, regardless of over one million US "vaccine" injuries that have shattered people's lives with neurological disorders and resulted in over 23K deaths, all underreported by VAERS. Big Pharma has already made $36B in profits from the covid injections that fail to protect against infection or transmission of the targeted pathogen. Storied experts who report the dangers of such injections are vigorously censored, denying the public of informed consent. The Pandemic Treaty appears to be an effort to install a world government controlled by the few for personal gain at the expense of possible worldwide human suffering. Individual nations that allow experts to debate in open forums and air news reports of integrity about health treatments enable citizens to decide what measures to take in an authentic health crisis. Respectfully yours, I. Gabler
I	To irrational principles, one cannot be loyal. Ideas that are not derived from reality cannot be consistently practiced in reality.
Stop nop	To jest bezpośredni link do wyrażenia swojej opini w kwestii traktatu WHO🇵🇱 Rejestracja trwa około 70 sekund😊🇵🇱 Poniżej punkty po angielsku, które można skopiować. Warunek 250 słów, więc np. Od punktu 1-9. Ty decydujesz🇵🇱 Mamy czas do środy, tj. 13.04.2022 godz. 12:00 DZIAŁAJCIE🇵🇱🟩😊 1. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. 6. The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. 7. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary. WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8. In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of
None	To keep it short, the WHO would command more respect if it continued as an advisory body. Then there is room for discussion rather than diktat.
None, just a freedom loving patriot	To maintain sovereignty of each nation MUST be at the forefront of any preparedness planning as it is paramount to maintain freedom for us all. Any elements MUST maintain the sanctity and dignity of human life without favor to any. Information MUST be honest/truthful whether it's popular and/or well received; there should be no need for fact checkers. Forced treatments and/or interment should not be part of any preparations. We should NEVER give our "say" for "the good of all".
Individual	To maintain sovereignty of the human beings on our beautiful planet, it is important to remember and consider the following: Documentation be provided to the public throughly and clearly demonstrating that the measure of pandemic response will in fact cause more help then harm prior to any procedures being agreed upon. A plan should include that at any time during the pandemic response individual nations can decide to do what they feel is best for the people they govern. The people be able to vote on measures considered to be taken prior to any plan being agreed upon. Along with individual people maintaining bodily autonomy and the right to move about the lands they inhibit freely. Measures being considered do not have the interest of any organization, company, or individual people to earn profits from the measures taken.
Jackson's	To make it easy, Steve Kirsch provided his points::::This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General public	To make sure all governments of the world consult with there own people before any discuion is made in each individual country and only then deciding what to do based on what the people have said
Private individual	To maximise global participation and effectiveness trust at country and individual level is crucial. To achieve this. Detailed certification of an individuals fitness to travel must remain the responsibility of that individuals home country. Participating countries would be required to meet publicly stated minimum certification standards. The data needed by the WHO to monitor and modify the effectiveness of a global response must be limited to anonymised statistical data only. The proof needed for an individual to enter another country must be limited a simple 'box tick' linked to their official travel documentation. The information needed to back up that tick must never routinely be disclosed beyond the issuing country. Any exception to this must be part of identified anomalies at an individual level.
Psychological Corporation	To Members of the W.H.O.: Please stop a global "treaty" that is not fair to ALL people. Please ensure that national and local leadership entities retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Please ensure that the ability of nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We cannot have global tyranny. Our world needs an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The people of the world desperately need Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Dr. Allard
Personal	To members of the WHO: These substantive elements should be included - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully submitted, Ellen Dorian
intrinsic Bewerbungscoaching	To my belief, the planned „Word Together" contract for future pandemic situations must contain broad and fundamental natural rights and civil rights including autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice.
private individual	To my humble view it would be important to recognise within such a new international instrument that national and local leadership would nevertheless retain full autonomy in how they are able to choose to respond to the WHO's proposed instrument. Meaning that this choice enables national and local leadership to maintain the right for decisions to be made based on what is best for the people of their own nation and locality. Many factors come into play (general health and environmental) within a nation/location which affect the people within that nation/location differently rather than it being a global situation. Such factors need to be fully appraised and recognised. I think the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should be included. It will also be very important to include measures to provide an open and transparent process, ie which provide all people of the world with the ability to vote on its implementation including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It will be important to include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
General Public	To my way of thinking, there is no need for a new international instrument on Pandemic Preparedness and Response. Let every country deal with their own health problems and not be dictated to by the WHO. Raymond Andrew Broome.
General public	To not deprive people of their occupational engagement in life . To not occupationally leverage people and to leave people to engage in life without fear or favor from public health. To be able to give every person the right to choose if what is proposed is correct for them and then be able to opt out of pandemic measures free of fear or reprisal. For Governments and the WHO and world entities and leaders to accept peoples decisions to opt out without fear or reprisal against their livelihood and freedom to live in peaceful existence.
Self	To only way to protect human health from inevitable human error and corruption is to include the following points. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
self	To paraphrase Greta Thuneburg, "How DARE the W.H.O." - to ASSUME you have ANY IDEA what transpires between any individual and their PERSONAL PHYSICIAN!!!! This is technically called PRACTICING MEDICINE WITHOUT A LICENSE, and is punishable under law in ALL jurisdictions worldwide. And I'll just leave this here. How dare you. Your "Corona appeal" is waning, and you're merely trying to consolidate power globally while you still have a shot. Well "Rostsa ruck" with that (Scooby Doo, mf).
N/A	To preserve democracy, it must be the choice of each sovereign nation how to respond to a pandemic. In other words, guidelines by the WHO must be advisory only. Anything else would destroy democracy. WHO's recommended response to the Covid-19 pandemic was at best ineffectual, at worst a failure. Countries that did not follow the guidelines of the WHO fared equally well or better than those that did, this having a high likelihood of being the case in future pandemics as well. Due to financial conflict of interest, donations to the WHO from non-government entities such as the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, (Bill Gates') GAVI Alliance, National Philanthropic Trust, etc., must be rejected immediately and never again be accepted. Financial extortion/coercion/blackmailing of developing nations to buy expensive, relatively ineffective, and high-risk medical products (such as the Covid-19 injections), for the sake of the financial interests of private donors must never again be allowed. This needs to be a clearly stated and ironclad part of the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness.
Toga Networks	We the people of the world agree that certain human rights are inalienable and non-negotiable, even in times of extreme emergency. These rights include, but are not limited to the following… . Article 1 Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies." Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. Article 2 The good of the nation is the sum total of the benefits enjoyed by all its people. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Article 3 Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. The right to try is an inalienable right. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Article 4 The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. Article 5 Discrimination based on medical status is wrong.
Private citizen	To preserve trust and credibility there must be no element or process that is subject to secrecy, and all information and correspondence by all participants and their representatives must be made publicly available without delay or redaction upon request by any person or entity in participating states.
	To President Joseph Biden Jr.; and to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives; and to individuals serving in the US Congress; and to Linda Thomas-Greenfield, US Ambassador to the United Nations; and to the Secretary of US Health and Human Services: Member States of the WHO have begun negotiations for a global Pandemic Treaty, on track to be legally binding through adoption at the 77th World Health Assembly in May 2024. At an unprecedented special session in November, 2021, the Assembly voted to create an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body to strengthen the authority of WHO governance of global health responses. The undersigned citizens of the US strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. We oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. We also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. The undersigned respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out.
PNW getaways	To protect humanity, VAERS data, our childrens children Everyone should have a choice, not forced to follow the science that wasn't science
Concerned Citizen of the World	To protect the sovereignty of every nation, and the God-given freedoms afforded to every human being on the face of this beautiful earth, I respectfully request that no instrument on pandemic preparedness or response be considered. The creation and implementation of a global response would render the personal decisions of health and responsibility null and void for every person, similar to what has been experienced the last few years. I submit that the majority of the world population would rather continue to make their own decisions regarding personal safety and health. As individuals with God-breathed intuition, they have done so since time began. The decision to proceed with this line of thinking should be ceased. The authority of personal health decisions regarding preparedness and response to external threats MUST remain between the person and his or her Maker. It would be a direct violation of our Divine human rights to have any other person or organization make these decisions for us. I sincerely question the motives of this type of global control, therefore, I am reminded of this truth: "For the word of God is living and active, sharper than any two-edged sword, piercing to the division of soul and of spirit, of joints and of marrow, and discerning the thoughts and intentions of the heart. And no creature is hidden from his sight, but all are naked and exposed to the eyes of him to whom we must give account." Hebrews 4:12
The Brooke	To protect the world from future pandemics the INB negotiations must consider to: - Include civil society organisations in negotiations. Experts and civil society organisations working in human, animal and/or ecosystem health must be able to provide their invaluable insights on animal and environmental health during negotiations. - Increase and upskill the animal health workforce. Skilled frontline workers help build resilient communities and health systems that can respond to threats and emerging pathogens. - Provide access to good quality veterinary medicines and vaccines. Animal health professionals must be able to readily access and administer quality medications to treat and mitigate zoonotic diseases, and to reduce the risk of antimicrobial resistance. - Improve early surveillance systems to detect emerging diseases in wildlife and domestic animal populations. We need better surveillance of wildlife and domestic animal populations, from the community level to the global level. Preventive measures must be enhanced at critical transmission points such as farms, border crossings and markets. Community members and health workers in rural and marginalised communities should be trained to monitor animal health locally. - Improve care for livestock. Sustainable livestock production practices must be promoted to improve animal health, reduce environmental damage and stop encroachment on wildlife habitats. Better livestock care also leads to less use of antimicrobials. These integral recommendations will also have knock-on benefits for improving food security, nutrition, climate resilience and income security for the world's most marginalised communities. Without targeted interventions, we risk failing to meet the SDGs.
The Brooke	To protect the world from future pandemics the INB negotiations must consider to: - Include civil society organisations in negotiations. Experts and civil society organisations working in human, animal and/or ecosystem health must be able to provide their invaluable insights on animal and environmental health during negotiations. - Increase and upskill the animal health workforce. Skilled frontline workers help build resilient communities and health systems that can respond to threats and emerging pathogens. - Provide access to good quality veterinary medicines and vaccines. Animal health professionals must be able to readily access and administer quality medications to treat and mitigate zoonotic diseases, and to reduce the risk of antimicrobial resistance. - Improve early surveillance systems to detect emerging diseases in wildlife and domestic animal populations. We need better surveillance of wildlife and domestic animal populations, from the community level to the global level. Preventive measures must be enhanced at critical transmission points such as farms, border crossings and markets. Community members and health workers in rural and marginalised communities should be trained to monitor animal health locally. - Improve care for livestock. Sustainable livestock production practices must be promoted to improve animal health, reduce environmental damage and stop encroachment on wildlife habitats. Better livestock care also leads to less use of antimicrobials. These integral recommendations will also have knock-on benefits for improving food security, nutrition, climate resilience and income security for the world's most marginalised communities. Without targeted interventions, we risk failing to meet the SDGs.
privat	To put it in a nutshell: Transparency, freedom of choice and autonomy. All of these build the foundation for trust in both: political descisions and "fellow men". Humanity is a keyword- humanity includes accepting the difference, at least tolerating the difference.
self	To refrain from tyranny and to ensure local management of local issues, this treaty needs to include: 1.Guideslines and decisions must be based on the Nuremberg Codes. 2.National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, based on what is best for their own people. 3.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement AS THEY SEE FIT, WITHOUT CONSEQUENCES. 4.An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to have fairly and locally elected representatives make decisions, including failsafe measures that prevent the application of the global agreements in places where a MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE DO NOT WANT IT. 5.mEASURES THAT DO NOT ALLOW FOR INFLUENCE IN THE PROCESS BY ANY AND ALL PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES OR OTHER GLOBAL HEALTH PROFITEERS. Respectfully submitted, Barbara Salame
Citizen	To retain freedom from tyranny and to ensure local management of local issues, this treaty needs to include: 1. A constitution and Bill of Patient and Individual rights governing the rights of individuals and liberal democracies in these instances based on the Nuremberg Code. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to have fairly and locally elected representatives (not a single national elected official) make decisions, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	To retain freedom from tyranny and to ensure local management of local issues, this treaty needs to include: 1. A constitution and Bill of Patient and Individual rights governing the rights of individuals and liberal democracies in these instances based on the Nuremberg Code. 2. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
None	To retain freedom from tyranny and to ensure local management of local issues, this treaty needs to include: 1. A constitution and Bills of Patient and Individual rights governing the rights of individuals and liberal democracies in these instances based on the Nuremberg Code. 2. National and local leadership must retain full sovereignty and public health autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on regional or local conditions and what is best for their own people. 3. The ability of nations, states, and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to have fairly and locally elected representatives (not a single national elected official) make decisions, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, government agencies, or other global health profiteers. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Justin Carroll Tucson, AZ
King's College London and Duke University	To stop vaccine resistance in future pandemics teach children about viruses. Our research shows vaccine resistance is a psychology forged before secondary-school age that sparks mistrust throughout life. Pandemic-preparedness must include children's educational programs to promote understanding of pandemic measures. We used data from a 5-decade cohort study spanning childhood to midlife to construct early-life histories of groups who differed in vaccine intentions just before COVID vaccines became available. Vaccine-resistant participants had adverse childhood experiences that foster mistrust, longstanding mental-health problems that foster misinterpretation of messaging, and early-emerging tendencies toward extreme negative emotions, nonconformism, fatalism about health, and shutting down mentally under stress. Many vaccine-resistant participants had cognitive difficulties since childhood. Early education could provide citizens with a pre-existing knowledge framework to reduce uncertainty in a future pandemic, dampen distress, and enhance openness to health messaging. Medtechnology and economics are important, but a prepared public who understands the need to mask, wash hands, social distance, and vaccinate is important too.
N/A	To strip nations of the right to determine their own health policy in the event of a pandemic, or any other health related issue is unacceptable and inhumane. Our freedom to choose healthcare is necessary, for what may be right for my healthcare may not be right for anyone else's particular situation.
	To strip nations of the right to determine their own health policy in the event of a pandemic, or any other health related issue is unacceptable. National Healthcare is NOT one size fits all. National and local leadership, as well as each individual person should retain full autonomy. All people need to be free to choose their own path to health. What may be right for one person's healthcare may not be what's right for someone else's healthcare situation.
N/A	To suggest that an unelected body, mainly funded by China and for Pharmaceuticals industry to be permitted to override our own voted in representatives and decide how we will live, is inconceivable. It is undemocratic as well as wrong. Historically, concentrating too much power in the hands of a single person/agent has had disastrous consequences. In a democracy, there is no centralized power that can dictate what the people can and can't do. Every decision is determined by the popular vote, and the people wield the power. In this way, abuse of power is prevented and the people can hold their governments accountable. A democratic process also promotes equality: Everyone is equal before the law, and everyone has the right to vote or have a choice. This is one of democracy's foundational principles. The rights, HEALTH and interests of the people are protected PROPERLY: All government structures are subject to manipulation when individuals wield positions of power. In AUTHORITARIAN regimes, examples of mass force on to populations, and other forms of injustice are prevalent. However, in a democracy, such news is rarely heard because CITIZENS are the majority and THEY HOLD power. Is this in the WHO's plan? Is this your policy? How can you promote your ultimate aims so positively when it basically means the WHO can overthrow our own democratic principles and processes, to decide whether we'll go into lockdowns, be forced to wear masks, mandate medical experimental treatments regardless of harms or worse! This is completely unscrupulous and, iniquitous. The real fight for us individuals now is to maintain sovereignty over our own body and choices in response to any future emergencies, health or otherwise. SHAMEFUL.
Individual	To suggest that the WHO is given the right to impose 'a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' on sovereign nations, is an unacceptable presumption - one size most certainly does not fit all. Public health measures needs to differ across different countries, continents, as well as suiting many widely different social factions. Any attempt to apply similar health measures across diverse communities will potentially have devastating effects on the health of individuals, and place an undeliverable burden on healthcare providers. Individuals must have an absolute right to bodily autonomy, and any attempt by the WHO to remove that fundamental human right would represent an unacceptable abuse of power. The WHO is not democratically elected, or accountable, to anyone. Patient choice is invariably absent in matters of public health. If given a choice between supporting and strengthening my immune system, and taking a vaccine, the former wins every time. I am aware that the WHO has deep political and financial connections with wealthy and powerful vested interests, interests to which I do not, and will never subscribe. Any future recommendations involving global public health must be based on principles that are wholly open and transparent, and certainly have to be totally free from the influence of the pharmaceutical and biotech industries. National Governments must retain their full autonomy over public health, and must be allowed to make health decisions which are appropriate for, and comply with the wishes and needs of their citizens. There are many different patient groups, with contrasting views, and each must be properly represented and involved in any decision-making process.
ARH	To suggest that the WHO should seek to impose 'a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' on sovereign nations, is a dangerous presumption - one size does not fit all. Appropriate public health measures will differ across different regions, and across different social factions. To attempt to apply the same health measures across diverse communities, has the potential to be devastating to the health of individuals, and place an undeliverable burden on healthcare providers. Individuals have an absolute right to bodily autonomy, and any attempt by the WHO to remove that fundamental human right, would represent an unacceptable abuse of power. The patient's voice is constantly absent in discussions about public health - this is a disgrace, and should be rectified without delay. Historically, decision makers within the WHO have deep political and financial connections with vested interests - this is unacceptable. Any future recommendations involving global public health must be based on wholly open and transparent principles, and be totally free from the influence of the pharmaceutical industry, or biotech. National Governments must retain their full autonomy, and make health decisions appropriate for the needs of their citizens. Patients must be properly represented, and involved in any decision-making process.
Retired homeopath	To suggest that the WHO should seek to impose 'a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' on sovereign nations, is a dangerous, unwarranted and undemocratic act - one size does not fit all. Appropriate public health measures will differ across different regions, and across different social factions. To attempt to apply the same health measures across diverse communities, has the potential to be devastating to the health of individuals, and place an undeliverable burden on healthcare providers. Individuals have an absolute right to bodily autonomy, and any attempt by the WHO to remove that fundamental human right, would represent an unacceptable abuse of power. The patient's voice is constantly absent in discussions about public health - this is a disgrace, and should be rectified without delay. Historically, decision makers within the WHO have deep political and financial connections with vested interests - this is unacceptable. Any future recommendations involving global public health must be based on wholly open and transparent principles, and be totally free from the influence of the pharmaceutical industry, or biotech. National Governments must retain their full autonomy, and make health decisions appropriate for the needs of their citizens. Patients must be properly represented, and involved in any decision-making process.
Support our people and country	To the authors and subscribers concerning the treaty. I would like to voice my opinion in rejecting the treaty as one size does not fit all people groups or the nations they live. A one voice making decisions on behalf of everyone without any input or disagreement,concerning peoples freedom to choose or stand together autonomously or even voting or discussing something in length. With the whole picture on show making decision based on possible negative and positive conclusions for the betterment of all. Not controlled by a small select group. We have seen what has happened over the last couple of years. So more discussions more understanding so people and countries can see clearly the best choice for themselves. Thankyou
Citizen of the United States of America	To the esteemed members of the World Health Organization - As a citizen of this world and of the United States of America, I respectfully request and require the following: -National and local leadership of every and all nations of the world will retain full autonomy over their internal affairs, reserving the right to make their own decisions based on what is best for their own people. -Every and all nations and local municipalities of the world will retain the ability to opt out of any and/or all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -There will be provided at all times a completely open and transparent process with and for the ability for all people of all nations of the world and local municipalities to vote on all measures including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in any form or degree in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -There will be provided at all times measures that prohibit and do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
My Household	To the esteemed panel; When it is known that a virus has animal host reservoirs then any form of forced 'vaccination' should not take precedent over personal autonomy Sincerely, Sean Portanova
Individual	To the esteemed parties concerned, The desire to create stronger and more prepared global health infrastructure and response capacities is a sound and noble goal, but a goal nonetheless that may be prove inimical and disastrous to the basic health liberties of individuals around the globe, as well as to the political institutions of many countries. My fear, and the fear of many more individuals in my local sphere, is any centralised and uni-lateral control of health policies and pandemic responses has too great a possibility for misuse, abuse and wide-spread incompetence. Therefore, minimising centralised control in the WHO is a must, as well as allowing sovereign countries the right to implement their own health policies. This allows countries and individuals the ability to make laws and enforce policies that coincide with their cultural and political values, as well as preserving the freedom of each country. Promoting the freedom of each country to make their own health policies is the soundest way forward, whilst still preserving the most important quality of being human, that is, freedom. With warm regards.
bwellness	To the esteemed parties concerned, The desire to create stronger and more prepared global health infrastructure and response capacities is a sound and noble goal, but a goal nonetheless that may prove inimical and disastrous to the basic health liberties of individuals around the globe, as well as to the political institutions of many countries. My fear, and the fear of many more individuals in my local sphere, is any centralised and uni-lateral control of health policies and pandemic responses has too great a possibility for misuse, abuse and wide-spread incompetence. Therefore, minimising centralised control in the WHO is a must, as well as allowing sovereign countries the right to implement their own health policies. This allows countries and individuals the ability to make laws and enforce policies that coincide with their cultural and political values, as well as preserving the freedom of each country. Promoting the freedom of each country to make their own health policies is the soundest way forward, whilst still preserving the most important quality of being human, that is, freedom. With warm regards.
B Wellness	To the esteemed parties concerned, The desire to create stronger and more prepared global health infrastructure and response capacities is a sound and noble goal, but a goal nonetheless that may prove inimical and disastrous to the basic health liberties of individuals around the globe, as well as to the political institutions of many countries. My fear, and the fear of many more individuals in my local sphere, is any centralised and uni-lateral control of health policies and pandemic responses has too great a possibility for misuse, abuse and wide-spread incompetence. Therefore, minimising centralised control in the WHO is a must, as well as allowing sovereign countries the right to implement their own health policies. This allows countries and individuals the ability to make laws and enforce policies that coincide with their cultural and political values, as well as preserving the freedom of each country. Promoting the freedom of each country to make their own health policies is the soundest way forward, whilst still preserving the most important quality of being human, that is, freedom. With warm regards. Di Ochsenham
Bwellness	To the esteemed parties concerned, The desire to create stronger and more prepared global health infrastructure and response capacities is a sound and noble goal, but a goal nonetheless that may prove inimical and disastrous to the basic health liberties of individuals around the globe, as well as to the political institutions of many countries. My fear, and the fear of many more individuals in my local sphere, is any centralised and uni-lateral control of health policies and pandemic responses has too great a possibility for misuse, abuse and wide-spread incompetence. Therefore, minimising centralised control in the WHO is a must, as well as allowing sovereign countries the right to implement their own health policies. This allows countries and individuals the ability to make laws and enforce policies that coincide with their cultural and political values, as well as preserving the freedom of each country. Promoting the freedom of each country to make their own health policies is the soundest way forward, whilst still preserving the most important quality of being human, that is, freedom. With warm regards. Di Ochsenham
Self	To the extent a new instrument would be wise, it must: 1) Preserve individual human rights to bodily autonomy and freedom of choice regarding medical treatments to their individual persons, 2) Prevent influence by or from any profit seeking entities; 3) Sovereign nations must retain all rights to determine whether to adopt any part of this new instrument, without consequence for failure to adopt any portion. 4) It must ensure that all decisions are made publicly and all data used to support those decisions are available to the public with sufficient time to review prior to adoption.
Self - United States of America Citizen	To the extent that the WHO is involved in management of global infectious diseases of all categories the following national sovereignty should be preserved. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. James Royal Citizen of the United States of America
self	To the Global Pandemic Authority, As an Australian citizen, I object to decisions being made in Europe, by the World Health Organization, that effect us here on the other side of the World. Australians should decide what happens within the Health System in our country. Even during this Covid-19 pandemic we managed our own Health system without being dictated to by your organization. The results are a stand-out by world standards which means that we don't need one world authority dictating Medical interventions to our country.
dba Carrie Cannon, MD	To the highly-esteemed august body of the World Health Organization: I used to read WHO studies in awe of the goal of a healthy world, but the current WHO vision has strayed from this path. Health and healthcare is not improved by excessive central government control. Check the statistics of any country with communism and national public health to see the consequences of excessive government bureaucratic expansion into healthcare and regimes that do not value human life, aside from profit. I agree with all of the World Council for Health's recommended points on this topic. The World Council for Health was founded on the principle of the importance of individual freedom of choice in health decisions and integrity of data analysis and studies and a sacred regard of the sanctity of human life. The WHO does not stand for freedom, integrity, or the high regard of human life anymore. Here are the World Council for Health's recommendations: 1. Full autonomy at the national and local level. Because of the autonomy of nations and local leadership in response to the covid pandemic, the world became aware of innovations and effective measures against covid much faster than in lockstep. Freedom releases creativity and exposes fraud which is to the advantage of the entire world. 2. opt-out without consequence, that is no coercion, unlike practiced with the current covid measures and past in most countries in lockstep with WHO. 3. Input into the process of decision-making without hindrance. 4. No pharmaceutical or global health profiteers including NGO's like the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundations lobbying, money, or influence permitted by measures to curtail that horrid trend. I am pro-life.

Organization	Written submission
Independent	To the honarable members of WHO. I am a private individual from the Philippines who is as affected by the rest of the people of the world during the recent pandemic. Tho I am not a medical practitioner, I believe all experiences especially related to Pandemic responses are valid since all experienced it and all will be affected. I understand the intention of a unified approach if another pandemic will be experienced globally. However, I believe in the sovereignty of each country. Thus it is my prayer that WHO remains only a recommendatory body. Several factors like economic impact, constitutional rights, and culture may not be covered in a flexible manner if and when a unified global is adapted. Also, there is a beauty in the difference of approaches as the next generation will learn from it. Thank you and hoping for your consideration. Regards, John Rex Millora
None	To the Intergovernmental Negotiating Body of WHO: I write as an American citizen in response to the WHO's question about substantive elements to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Living in a great Republic that has provided autonomy for its citizens, we have just experienced unprecedented restrictions with little government transparency. Extending this power to international control will undermine the rights given to American citizens in the US Constitution. To answer the question posed, if such a plan must go forward the plan should include: • An accord that is limited to providing strictly guidelines on pandemic response to the leaders in the US without WHO having sole control over implementation of these guidelines. • The ability for US national and local leadership to retain full autonomy to make decisions for their people with the ability to opt out of any or all of the "global accord" at any time. • Exclusion of private funding or involvement of the pharmaceutical companies and others who may privately profit from participation in the advisement, planning, and implementation phases of such an endeavor. • Transparency during the planning stage of the intergovernmental negotiating body (INB) with the final draft sent to the people of the United Sates for vote. If the plan is rejected by the people, compete "opt out" without the option for US government officials to overrule the outcome of the vote must be an option. Respectfully, Sally Kennedy, PhD
	To the leaders of the WHO, please do not take away our right to bodily autonomy and our right to decide what is best for our individual health. We all know these past years have been rough due to the pandemic but nothing will be won by forcing people to comply. Please be sensible and respect the human rights we all are granted by being alive.
None	To the leaders of the world, While your intentions may be good, a one-world governmental power does not know the needs of each and every citizen. The greater good is not benefited when people's right to bodily sovereignty are removed, and a bureaucrat in a far away country is making decisions about their life and their health. That is inappropriate and a breach of the sanctity of life. I strongly oppose the pandemic treaty, and urge you to make the ethical decision, which is to let people decide what their healthcare is, even during a pandemic. Individual needs and values are more important in a free world. Please do the right thing and oppose this treaty, which is a dangerous abuse of power. Sincerely, Katrine Colton
Green Liberation Society	To the Members of The WHO, Your proposal to delegate authority over national public health decision making to an unelected international body, namely, The WHO, is replete with potential pitfalls for the billions of the world's people who will become subject to the practice of such authority. 1. There must be input from professional and lay organizations of each nation affected before any policy is decided upon. 2. There must be strict adherence to rules preventing undue influence by WHO donors and members of the pharmaceutical/ medical industry. 3. There must be a right to refuse, to prevent top-down tyranny. 4. There must be a comment period for all health policies proposed in which all concerned parties may give their opinions. These must be published in all relevant languages. 5. Each decision must also be approved by local and national elected bodies with input from each country's judiciary. 6. There may be no penalties for individuals not wishing to comply unless it can be proven that their decision will result in harm to others. Reasons for non compliance will include religious, individual conscience, health, etc. 7. The members of The WHO responsible for health policy shall be deemed qualified by international boards of doctors and scientists. These shall serve limited terms and not only have no financial or otherwise conflicts of interest whilst serving, but will be barred for a period of 10 years from employment by any industry which may have benefitted from any of their decisions. This would also apply to members of their immediate family. 8. No agreements may be entered into which exempt any of the parties concerned from legal liability. Any preexisting nat'l laws will be null and void.
J&M Joinery	 To the members of the World Health Organisation I am raising my concerns and opposition to the first-ever global pandemic treaty. I advocate for people to have choice and maintain the autonomy to make decisions they believe are best for their health and well being. I like any person should have the right to my health and freedom and my own individual inalienable right to self determine my health and well being pathway. One size does not fit all and one blanket approach starts to erode the very fabric of personal freedom of choice and individual sovereignty. I oppose a top down mandate, in fact of any kind that takes away my freedom of choice. Joe & Lou Huirama
I represent myself and my personal opinions	 To the members of the World Health Organisation I am raising my concerns and oppersition to the first-ever global pandemic treaty. I advocate for people to have choice and maintain the autonomy to make decisions they believe are best for thier health and well-being. I like any other person should have the right to my health freedom and my own individual inalienable right to self determine my health and wellbeing pathway. One size does not fit all amd one blanket approach starts to erode the very fabric of personal freedom of choice and idividual soverignty. I oppose a top down mandate, in fact mandate of any kind that takes away my freedom of choice. Janeen Cross
American Citizen	To The Members of the World Health Organization, I am writing today to ask that the World Health Treaty be stopped. As an American citizen, we do not want nor do we need the World Health Organization to supercede our Constitution of the United States. We have watched over the last two years as officials from all different kinds of entities have abused their powers over the rights that God gave us as human beings. As American citizens, we do not consent to be governed in this way now or ever.
myself	To the members of the World Health Organization: I, like millions of freedom-loving Americans do not want a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". This will usher in global government in the guise of 'health' 'safety'. According to our Constitution there is no justification for taking away our God-given rights and bodily autonomy in order to make or keep the free citizens of any sovereign nation 'safe', which really means controlled. The best government is LOCAL and the best judge of medical treatment is the individual under the guidance of trusted doctors and health-care providers, not some panel of strangers who do not have the authority or permission to decide what we must do or not do to our bodies, minds and souls...Therefore, no "substantive elements" are recommended by me except to cease and desist with this horrendous plan to create a world-wide government on the basis of constant and dubious 'pandemics'. Sincerely, Lisa Spaulding
N/A	To the members of WHO I am raising my concerns and opposition to the first ever global pandemic treaty.I advocate for people to have the choice and maintain the autonomy to make decisions they believe are best for their health and well-being. I like any other person should have the right to my health freedom and my own individual inalienable right to self determine my health and well being pathway. One size does not fit all, and the one blanket approach we have experienced in the last two years erodes the very fabric of personal freedom of choice and individual sovereignty. The resources (trillions of dollars) that have been poured into navigating Covid over the last two years or even half of that could be redirected into educating and advocating for improved global nutrition, the sharing of resources inclusive of all in our global community. I oppose any mandate of any kind my FREEDOM of CHOICE and opportunity for self responsibility. Maree Cross
People of the world	To the people of HWO, I do not understand the logic of creating the Global Pandemics Agreement when it can be far more accurately managed using regionally/provisionally introduced rulings compared to what looks like a removal of power to be able to tyrannically shut down swathes of sovereign people on a trans-continental scale with little impunity. Unless that is exactly what is trying to be achieved with this act. The lock downs have had nothing but a negative effect on the people of the world. And having no engagement with how you intend to it implement will serve to only alienate from your course. This seems akin to what the UK has tried with changing the UK bill of human rights. The indifference shown by WHO earlier in the pandemic over lockdowns and non promotion of any safe alternative remedies to SARS COV 2 is a good reason enough to question your rational behind this attempted power grab. I do not believe WHO combined with bodies like the United Nations and maybe NATO should be the world police of keeping people under the proverbial thumb considering it looks like WHO's opinions can cultivated by the highest contributor at the time of donation.
General Public	To the public hearing committee: Each sovereign nation must retain full autonomy, including the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to uninhibitedly declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO is not elected by the people of each member country. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. Yours sincerely
Commoner	To the question "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?", I respond with the following. NONE. There is absolutely no need for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, existing or new, by any agency, organizing body, corporation, company, congress, parliament, duma, or governing body of any type instituted by man. Nations are sovereign entities, and as such are responsible for the care of their respective citizens and citizen aspirants. The question posed by the World Health Organization of the United Nations automatically assumes that such an instrument for pandemic preparedness is necessary when in historical fact, such an assumption is ontologically repugnant and an oxymoron to the very essence of sovereign nations and societies. In plain English, by the very nature of a sovereign, self-governing nation, there is no agency, organizing body, corporation, company, congress, parliament, duma, or governing body of any type instituted by man that supersedes the laws of any nation on the earth, whether in the past, at present, or in the future. As a self-governing and sovereign commoner who recognizes that human rights are unalienable and that they originate with God, the Creator and Sustainer of this earth and everything that has been, is now, or will come into existence through His Almighty Hand, I firmly and steadfastly oppose any and all attempts to usurp Almighty God's authority in manners of sovereign life.
None	To the question, I would implore that if, such a body came into being, is that national sovereignty remain unetasbar. It remain in the public domain"and independent of commercial influence. Transparency 100% data protection
Individual	To the Secretariat, In relation to the Pandemic responsiveness:- Each country needs to retain their right to to having full autonomy to reserve the right to make decisions with their sovereign peoples - not private global organisations making decisions for all. There should be no global agreement but at the very least, individual Nations & their local divisions need to be able to opt out of any agreements or parts there of, without any consequences. There should be strong measures to prevent input/influence (financial or other) by pharmaceutical & other groups who stand to profit from decisions made. ALL processes need to be transparent and individual countries, in consultation with their sovereign peoples, need to have access to accurate information, with advanced notice, to be able to vote on these.
True Creator Worshipers	To the true leaders of WHO: You know what you are doing and it is against all that is right, true and holy in this and all realms and you will be judged if you continue. We The True People of the world (all good people that wish to live in peace and harmony with one another and nature) DO NOT want your influence over us as you only serve yourselves and the evil one for purposes against our rights and needs. This includes all the ignorant people you have fooled into thinking you CARE about their well being. We are neither slaves nor cattle for your pleasure and, even if you succeed, the judgement you will reap from the one true God in the end if you dont not repent will be exponentially greater than all the evil you have done and plan to do so think carefully before acting here as this may be you last chance to turn back. This statement obviously wont be considered in the hearings so, to whom this may reach- We imagine you have no clue what your superiors are really doing behind your back so please pass this up the chain to those complicit with their true plans to control the world.
Individual citizen of the United States of America	To the W.H.O. Intergovernmental Negotiating Body Regarding the Global Pandemic Treaty: Dear People, The Constitution of the WHO states "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Co-operation is the key word. It is not coercion. Your primary role in a pandemic should be one of providing the people ALL the facts of the disease: its scientifically proven source, method of action and spread, least invasive early treatments, and scientifically proven vaccination methods only when they have been fully vetted (years of testing). A medical mandate from a non-elected, administrative body is an anathema. Such action takes away human body sovereignty and is thus inhumane. The farther from me that health decisions are made, the more difficult it is for me to determine the true value, or to ask questions. Health choices and decisions need to remain under local control, especially in an emergency situation. We frown upon dictators who rule their people with fear or military force. We condemn those who enslave people by any means. To force or coerce a medical treatment, by any means, onto someone is a form of slavery, for you take away their autonomy. I urge the WHO to stop this move to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Limit the scope to information and guidance. Thank you, Anita Uhlir an individual citizen of the United States of America
General public	To the W.H.O. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.rd
Blue Crow	 To the W.H.O. I share a planet with 8 billion people all of whom I care greatly for. We come in many cultures and are unique. Pandemic response needs to be tailored to our communities based on population density the type of pandemic we face and can be better judged by local and regional persons with knowledge of the area. We must take into factor the difference in laws customs religion & Ideology of all people. We must never allow companies corporations or people that directly benefit from any proposals. We need unaffiliated unbiased people to guide & not demand. There should be transparent and public documents to back this up showing that members are free from entanglement of Pharma, biotech & grants from these entities. Thank you. Raven Alkerson
Canadian	To the W.H.O., your organization is rife with corruption from the top down. You have abused your power to manipulate and harm vast numbers of people. All power should be stripped and your entire organization dismantled. All employees should be put on trial for fraud and intent to commit harm; Crimes against Humanity. No further "Pandemic Preparedness plans " from you thanks. V Gravel
Canada	 To the W.H.O., Respectfully I don't agree that there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Each nation and their government levels should retain complete autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions for their citizens based on what is deemed best. Independent nations must be allowed to "opt out" of any agreement or portion(s) of any agreement put forth by the W.H.O. without redress or consequence. Citizens of any nation should be permitted to voice their concerns and disagreement with any international decisions to their own localized government and their government decline participation without penalty, especially when there is a majority opposing. To be completely transparent, individual nations should have their citizens vote on any international proposals, plans, and/or policies. Since pandemics and endemics involve pharmaceutical companies and their products, the pharmaceuticals should be excluded from the process of decision making and implementation.
Health Shift	 To the WHO & US Government: SHUT UP AND LEAVE We The People ALONE! How does it feel? Do you enjoy having others tell you what you can and can't do?! We are fully aware of what's taken place within all your organizations and it needs to end. You have created many theaters of fraud upon humans. Don't think for one minute we aren't aware of the disgusting corrupt actions that have taken place for decades. How did you enter this world? The same way every human being entered this world, via your mother. You were never given permission to have authority over anyone. And, We The People of the United States will NEVER comply to you or the US government. You are simply elected into a position with a title to do a job. That job does not include authority over anyone. Funding to all you you needs to stop! You are a corporately ran corrupt organization. Don't think for one minute you will have any power over law abiding humans in America. We are well aware of the failures of you and all government organizations. The gathering of all of you is a waste of time. It's simply an attempt to convince those not understanding their God given sovereignty. Well, WE KNOW BETTER! Our Creator gave us life, NOT YOU! Unfortunately, you were also given life. It's time you remember where you came from. You work for We The People! Your organizations will not survive if your intentions continue in the wrong direction. I suggest all of you reconnect with your Creator and Nature before you find yourself living in the hell that you create on this earth. God has the power at any moment to remove any of you from this beautiful earth he lent us to take care of and enjoy!
U.S. Citizen	TO THE WHO AND ALL OTHER AGENCIES AND ENTITIES 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. :
Private	To the WHO and those responsible! I use this platform to let you know, that I'm against a compulsory vaccination in general and especially a covid vaccination. It should be a personal decision of everyone. Furthermore I disagree with a European Treaty such as planed. Every country must keep its own right and sovereignity. Katja Scholz
Canadian citizen	To the WHO commission I wish that the following subission be added to this pandemic agreement: *National and local leadership retain full autonomy to make decisions on what's best for its own people. *The ability for nations and municipalities to OPT out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence. *Open and transparent process with the ability of all people of the world to vote, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of a global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully submitted by Mary Merlo
self	To the WHO folks: As a regular free Being living here in the united states of america my request is that whatever documents or agreements are drawn up regarding pandemics, regarding participation in agreements along these lines, the free people in my area of this country, u.s.a., must remain autonomous and free to decide what they choose for their own health and well-being. No international organization has any right to dictate to free people what their behavior should be during some so-called health issues which are happening now or in the future. Total transparency by all human beings everyone on earth must be a #1 priority regarding anything having to do with what some call a health problem. Every free human Being on earth has the responsibility to determine their own actions to be healthy. Not some international body that is part of the UN, which is corrupt anyway. Crimes against humanity must stop, and the UN is a good place to clean house. Keep your theories and agendas to yourselves, hands off of the free people of the world. Period. Thank You You, aka YouMa Boulder, CO usa
Concerned woman of Aotearoa	 To The Who Health Organization (WHO): The men and women of Aotearoa New Zealand do not consent to allowing WHO to govern our Nation in any pandemic; real or imaginary. You are hereby given notice that WHO, which is a corporation and a corporation collaborating with the United Nations are prohibited to enter New Zealand soil with the agenda to manage any pandemic. The New Zealand Government is a corporation masquerading as a government for profit and not for the purpose of governance, therefore they do not represent the men and women of New Zealand, and do not possess any lawful power to act on behalf of the men and women of New Zealand. New Zealand is a Common Law jurisdiction as recognised under English Common Law pursuant to the Imperial Law Application Act 1988 (the Act) specifies the Imperial enactments, Imperial subordinate legislation, and the common law of England are part of the laws of New Zealand; Pursuant to s 5 of the Act, New Zealand is recognized as a common law jurisdiction: Section 5 Application of common law of England - "After the commencement of this Act, the common law of England (including the Principles and rules of equity), so far as it was part of the laws of New Zealand immediately before the commencement of this Act, shall continue to be part of the laws of New Zealand". Continued: I don't agree to your terms & conditions I don't consent, I don't contract
General public	To the WHO hearing members, Such international instrument should include a consensus position clause according to every involved country's response in that matter. But most important, in order to react in a fair, proportionate and efficient manner, each country and each district should be able to implement with sovereign rights the safest and appropriate health plan, in order to respect natural human rights, as they know their people and their needs the best. Regards Christine Gaudin
Citizn of the US	To the WHO Neotiating body, I am vehemently opposed to any kind of medical mandate that would enforce or require peoples of the world to accept any kind of vaccine or procedure into their bodies. We have been given governance over our own bodies by God himself and to take that away would be taking on a position of authority not given to any human agency.
General public	To the WHO or whom it may concern, I think no substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Thanks for your time, Lynsey Gee
none	To the WHO pandemic treaty committee, Thank you for allowing me to express my views on the pandemic treaty you are currently discussing. I am strongly opposed to WHO being allowed to dictate to all member countries how to handle a pandemic in their own countries. Every country and culture is different and each country must be allowed to deal with a pandemic in the way that best fits the needs of their individual country. Please consider that when you finalize the WHO pandemic treaty. Thank you very much for your consideration. Sincerely, Joanne White USA
none	To the WHO Staff; As a citizen of the United Stated of America I would like to express my opinion to The World Health Organization (WHO) what I think about a global pandemic treaty. I am telling America's leaders to say NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I am holding WHO accountable to your word. Concerned USA legal citizen Mrs Vas Mrs
Self	To the WHO I am against the WHO's proposed International Pandemic Treaty as it would override a country's and its people's sovereign rights to decide their own policies and treatments in context and with appropriate, including cultural, responses. A blunt instrument like an International Pandemic Treaty controlled by the WHO is wide open to being abused by potentially coercive voting within the WHO, including pressure from associated funders, stakeholders, financial and other interest groups in positions of influence. I therefore recommend it be abandoned in favour of each country formulating their own response, yet doing so with an internationally mutually supportive approach and networking with other countries.
Individual	To the WHO I herein state my national and individual sovereignty: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards ~ Elizabeth Dempsey
Mankind	To the WHO, I respectfully request that all measures deemed necessary to safeguard the health and welfare of all people globally must include "without infringement of every person's basic freedom and right to choose". A person's right to live freely depends on their own choices and should not be taken away from them. I also request that you allow nations to continue making decisions on what is best for their countries according to their constitutions that are in place. We the people must have the say about our medical rights and not just a few. No one that is profiting from any pharmaceutical arena should have a say in the medical decisions people make. There must be no consequences for making different decisions. That is our God given right. Please choose to respect our, the people's, choice. Thank you
The Human Race	To the WHO, I respectfully request that whatever measures deemed necessary to safeguard the health and welfare of all people globally must include "without infringement of every person's basic freedom and right to choose". A person's right to live depends on their own choices and should not be taken away from them. I also respectfully request that you allow nations to continue making decisions on what is best for their countries according to their constitutions that are in place. We the people should have the say about our medical rights and not just a few. No one that is profitting from any pharmaceutical arena should have a say in the medical decisions people make. There should be no consequences for making different decisions. Please choose to respect the people's choice. Thank you

Organization	Written submission
Canadian citizen	To the WHO, I respectfully request that whatever measures deemed necessary to safeguard the health and welfare of all people globally must include "without infringement of every person's basic freedom and right to choose". A person's right to live depends on their own choices and should not be taken away from them unless they have committed a crime punishable by law. In addition, as suggested by the World Council for Health's open letter on the subject, dated March 8, 2022: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is imperative to protect the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. It is critical that measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Thank you for opening the floor to public commentary.
Private citizen	To The WHO, Despite what you people would like to have the world believe, you are not a democratically elected body. You are simply a group of individuals who have NO authority over the nations. You are a corrupt organisation, put together by corrupt governments, who have lied and tried to cover up the origins of covid. The WHO have collaborated with with big pharma and global elites to control and manipulate the world's population with the lie that is Covid 19. I am an unvaccinated individual who has caught and recovered from covid and have developed an immune response to it. I an a free an sovereign individual who is not beholden to any other authority other than God. The WHO has no right to impose legislation on nations for whuch ut holds no jurisdiction over and any authority given to it by a country's government is deemed illegal as no democratic process has been sought or followed by these corrupt governments, allowing them to take this action on behalf of the people they are there to serve. We the people are the masters of our governments and their decisions are to be made in the best interests of those people they represent. The WHO throughout the covid pandemic have shown themselves to be corrupt, incompetent and complicit in their handling of this pandemic. They have proved themselves to be unfit for the task and are nothing more than a group of individuals subject to the laws of land and to law of God almighty.
Nannup HK	To the WHO, I am deeply concerned for your proposal to legislate an international policy to control the health of all people on this planet! My concern is to the effectiveness, benefits and well being for all peoples to maintain a good healthy foundation. Forcing all members countries to comply and implement a medical procedure for their citizens is not the solution, (look at what is happening on the planet now, with millions of people injured and just as many dead!) The proposal would also violate the individual sovereignty and civil rights of all humans, as well as cosmic/universal law. Thanks for reconsidering the proposal. a w judd
	To the WHO. LISTEN UP. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Environmental Health Association of BC	To the WHO: The EHABC is a self help organization for those with food, chemical and environmental sensitivities or allergies. Many have found themselves marginalized and isolated by the recent pandemic. Most have sensitivities and/or allergies to pharmaceuticals and have not been able to participate in the vaccine program. They have not been offered any opt-out from the passport system due to their lack of vaccine status. This situation is not isolated to those within our group. After experiencing our recent pandemic there are things that need to be considered to prepare for future threats of infections. - Both Countries and citizens of any local area should be allowed to vote, and have control over whether they wish to be under control of a WHO Global Health Mandate. - The decisions and responses to pandemic preparedness and pandemics should remain within each country and be made by local governments according to local needs. - Local municipalities should have the right, and ability to opt out of any, or all parts of the WHO or country's overall pandemic protocols. - Any and all policies proposed should be done so in an open and transparent manner that allows for all citizens to have access to all information, and options. whether it be in support of, or against, proposed measures. - Accommodations and opt-outs should be made available around vaccines, passports, mandates and quarantines that do not penalize those unable to participate due to medical, religious or personal beliefs. - Measures need to be in place to ensure that there is no interference by pharmaceutical companies, or any other profiteering global companies/organizations. Thank you, Karen Forbes, on behalf of the EHA BC
Citizens of the United States	To the WHO: I do not believe a pandemic treaty is necessary and would not benefit the people of our world. I believe there is a need for transparency, a return to open debate and dialogue, respect of our inalienable human rights and civil liberties and the sovereignty of all people and nations. I call for the right to choose and refuse treatments, or medical interventions, including access to repurposed medications. I also call for a rejection of mass experimentation and social engineering, and discrimination based on medical status or choice. And I ask you to promote restoration for harms from medical interventions. Thank you in advance for taking the time to consider my input on this matter. Have a blessed day.
MCCCD	To the WHO: Substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Kelly Laggner
Individual, US citizen	To the WHO: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Humanity	To the WHO: These are my requirements for this proposal to be successful: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
General public	To the WHO: I present my submission to stop the Pandemic Preparations Treaty as it infringes on my rights as a Canadian citizen living in Canada. Each individual over the age of majority should be involved in a vote on this extremely important issue. It involves the health and well-being of each and every human being from the beginning of life to the natural end of life. I personally state that you do not have my permission and withhold any implied or assumed agreements made with the WHO and it's affiliates. This is declared until the end of time. Sincerely, Sharon Underwood Port Hope, Ontario, Canada
myself	To the World Health Organisation One of the big errors in the handling of current health challenges has been a lack of both transparency and evidence in decisions being made and mandated by various countries. This has caused many of us to lose our trust in world leaders including the World Health Organisation. In my opinion, our national leadership need to retain full autonomy in health matters in each country, reserving the right to make health decisions based on what is best for their own people. We do not need a global agreement for health. We, the people of the world, require an open and transparent process, with all people groups and countries being able to prevent or opt out of any agreement in countries where a majority of the people do not want it, without consequence. Measures need to be put in place that do not allow influence on decision making by any pharmaceutical companies or other stakeholders with agendas that are not in the interests of the people. The world is too diverse to have agreement on health matters without the use of coercion and dictatorial control. We do NOT need a global agreement. Yours sincerely Judi Rushbrook
None	To the World Health Organisation, I am writing to inform you that we do not require you services with respect to pandemic preparedness. As a country (Australia) I think there are many intelligent people on our land who are more than capable to make decisions in the best interests of the living men and women of Australia. As your organisation is riddled with the stench of evil and corruption, and any agreement with your organisation is would just be wrong and not in the best interests of humanity. I hereby do not consent for your involvement in any shape or form in Australia or any other country or planet for that matter. The only being who has a right to be involved in our future is Jesus Christ and our father, God. I wish you all the very best in another dimension to which God sees you fit for your services. Leila
n/a	To the World Health Organisation, Subject: Proposed Pandemic Treaty of the World Health Organisation I wish to express my desire for; - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. These are my stated requests and desire that the Proposed Pandemic Treaty not go forward. Regards, John Marchant
n/a	To the World Health Organisation, Subject: Proposed Pandemic Treaty of the World Health Organisation I wish to express my desire for; - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. These are my stated requests and desire that the Proposed Pandemic Treaty not go forward. Regards, Athena Kontjonis
Individual	To the World Health Organisation. The following priorities must be included in any transnational agrement: 1. AUTONOMY National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This autonomy includes voluntary membership and the licence to opt out. 2. FREE OF CONSEQUENCE There is a need to guarantee the freedom of participants and non-participants. There must be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This freedom is essential to ensure accountability. 3. TRANSPARENCY The chain of power from people to leaders must be clear and overt. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. INDEPENDENCE FROM PHARMACEUTICAL LOBBY The pharmaceutical industry is only one and numerous voices in the health debate. Their interests and cosmology must be subject to criticism and scrutiny from other health bodies. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO attracts significant mistrust from people all over the world.
None	TO THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION ET AL Thank you for taking comments from the public regarding your plan to implement an international instrument/ treaty regarding pandemic preparedness and response. Any such instrument, if indeed implemented, should give utmost consideration and deference to the concept of subsidarity ie. (in political systems) the principle of devolving decisions to the lowest practical level, and a principle of social doctrine that all social bodies exist for the sake of the individual so that what individuals are able to do, society should not take over, and what small societies can do, larger societies should not take over. This goes to the heart of liberty and the sovereignty of not only nations or states, but also individuals. * It should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers or other influencers of immense wealth and/or with apparent political or control ideologies. * National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability should exist for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences * The development of such instrument should be an open and transparent process, unhidden and made public with great intention and effort spent towards providing individual awareness of each article, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Thank you!
Public	TO THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION ET AL Thank you for taking comments from the public regarding your plan to implement an international instrument/ treaty regarding pandemic preparedness and response. Any such instrument, if indeed implemented, should give utmost consideration and deference to the concept of subsidarity ie. (in political systems) the principle of devolving decisions to the lowest practical level, and a principle of social doctrine that all social bodies exist for the sake of the individual so that what individuals are able to do, society should not take over, and what small societies can do, larger societies should not take over. This goes to the heart of liberty and the sovereignty of not only nations or states, but also individuals. • It should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, global health profiteers or other influencers of immense wealth and/or with apparent political or control ideologies. • National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability should exist for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences • The development of such instrument should be an open and transparent process, unhidden and made public with great intention and effort spent towards providing individual awareness of each article, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
EHABC - Ecological Health Alliance of British Columbia (Canada)	To the World Health Organization regarding pandemic preparedness and response: - In order to truly safeguard people's health and avoid measures being taken that cause more harm than good it is important that nations and local municipalities and citizens retain full autonomy and have control over whether they wish to be under control of a WHO Global Health Mandate. - The decisions and responses to pandemic preparedness and pandemics should remain within each country and be made by national, provincial and local governments according to local needs. - Nations and local municipalities must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any negative consequences. - Any and all proposed policies should be developed in an open and transparent manner that allows for all citizens to have full access to all information and options, whether they be for or against the proposed measures. - Accommodations must be made regarding possible vaccines and quarantines to ensure that there are no penalties for those unable to participate due to medical, religious or personal beliefs. - Measures must be in place to ensure there is no interference by pharmaceutical companies, or any other profiteering global companies or organizations. Thank you.
Concerned Citizen	To the World Health Organization- Simply, I am for U.S. sovereignty and to keep health decisions in the hands of all American citizens. I ask all American leaders to say, "NO" to a legally binding global pandemic treaty! Keep freedom of choice. The Best- Gail
self	To the World Health Organization Sustainable in all categories of life on earth means local ,shop, farm ,medical, etc. the further from a community dependencies and decisions are made the more likely a chain of security is broken and corrupted. Communities vary in their needs thus why independence from foreign interests are imperative to our nation and local governments. We must always have the abilities as follows; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Chris J Wade
none	To the World Health Organization One size never fits all. In order to make the best decisions for each individual community, governance and policy must remain local and adhere to the constitutional laws of the individual countries. What is needed in Clevland, Ohio, USA is different from what is needed in Cairo, Egypt, or Changhua, Taiwan. We must always have the abilities as follows; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and adhering to the laws of that individual country. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Educator	To the World Health Organization, In order to make the best decisions for each individual community, governance and policy must remain local and adhere to the constitutional laws of the individual countries. The core element that is crucial to implement in a global pandemic preparedness effort is individual country autonomy. Please create a global database of ALL current information, crisis intervention plans, ALL available medicines clearly listing ALL risks and benefits, and keep communication lines open, not censored, as new concepts, new situations and information is always surfacing. My points are clearly listed here, please read carefully, each point is very, very important for our world to function properly and fairly. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and adhering to the laws of that individual country. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for taking the time to listen to my concerns and thoughts for a better, more fair and safe world. Susan Brenner
NA	To The World Health Organization, International tools to customize pandemic preparedness and response as per the needs of each country What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? Answer: The substantive elements imperative are the following: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully Submitted, J. A. Carson Canada
Citizen	To the World Health Organization, I do not abide with the WHO to have any authority over my body. In the United States of America we have our CONSTITUTION which guarantees me sovereignty over my own body and sovereignty of my children. This treaty is ABOMINABLE and I will pray and petition against it to all my power.
General Public	To the World Health Organization, I think the most important element in an international instrument for pandemic response is clear communication between scientists in different nations. I do not think that governments should be involved in the communication because too many agendas get in the way of clear communication. I think the second most important element in an international instrument for pandemic response is clear, honest data that can be shared and compared. The recent Covid pandemic and response demonstrated the real need the world has for clear, disinterested communication and information. Further, I have heard rumors that the World Health Organization is offering an international instrument for pandemic response to all nations should another pandemic erupt. I do not think that removing national sovereignty is a wise decision. The response to the Covid pandemic showed what tragedies can occur when the World Health Organization begins to make claims and advocate for certain practices that hurt rather than benefit the world's citizens. I urge the World Health Organization to refrain from implementing an international instrument of pandemic response. Also, I urge nations not to participate in such a society. Sincerely, Jared Pearce
Public Citizen	To the World Health Organization, I am a registered nurse who is cross trained in Oriental Medicine. What I have learned over many, many years is that there are all sorts of ways for people to heal and to boost their immunity. There is no one way. For this reason, I believe that it is imperative that nations have their sovereignty respected. Thank you for reading my comments.
Private Individual	To The World Health Organization: I am writing to express my opposition to the Global Pandemic Strategy Treaty that is currently under review by the WHO and I urge you to not go forward with this proposal in any shape or form. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. A global decision-making body is undemocratic and neglects the desires and needs of individual countries. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the any proposed agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement on pandemic response. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is crucial so that profit-driven decisions, that only benefit pharmaceutical or other medical industries, cannot be imposed on any or all nations. Thank you, Ruth Weizman
Alliance of Filipinos for Freedom and Informed Choice	To the WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION: In the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, the National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, WITHOUT CONSEQUENCE, must be recognized and upheld. There has to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There has to be clear measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Retired Health Professional	To the World Health Organization: Thank you for the opportunity of involvement in crafting this agreement. - there should be no financial conflict of interest by stakeholders in this agreement. - all countries should retain the right to alter the agreement to best accommodate their unique population and circumstances. -any course of action recommended should not be influenced by Pharmaceutical or other health organizations for profit or marketing purposes. -the agreement must be fluid and non binding, allowing nations to opt out if not conducive to their people's needs, and without consequences. - there must be opportunity for robust debate from all scientific disciplines without prejudice. -the agreement should be a framework capturing pandemic preparedness and response allowing National autonomy. Richard Lizotte

Organization	Written submission
Stand For Health Freedom	To the World Health Organization: I write with deep concern that our individual God-given and familial, community responsibilities to learn and use our common sense are being invaded upon and taken away when a few people with power try and decide for the whole world's health. This is not a good thing. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I'm holding The WHO accountable to their word.
Personal	To the World Population I am in total opposition of this proposal!! Covid mortality was less then the flu, to the best of my knowledge!!! So why was there never thisuch concern over the flu? This proposal flies in the face of personal freedoms as established by the World Court after World War 2 and other international bodies!!! The illegitimate (supposed vaccine) mandates went against the medical freedoms paid for by the blood past persecutions. Also for the Who to be able to unquestionably dictate whose statements can/will be used goes against a truly democratic world society.....I was not impressed with out I watched the WHO handle itself over the course of the past two years... Censorship of many leading doctors in differing fields of research and expertise was also horrible to watch... All this fabrication and collaborations throughout the world was shocking as well. Finally what is the great hurry to rush this thru? It sounds like a rush to continue to trample on personal and medical FREEDOMS!!! R Freiwald
N/A	To this I.N.T. We do not allow / we do not consent....our sovereign rights are not negotiable! I don't support any emergencies imposed by any foreign govts or any person/persons/entities. Against or upon my autonomy and my sovereign rights Regardless of health!
-	To this I.N.T. We do not allow our sovereign rights I don't support any emergencies imposed by any foreign govts or any person/persons/entities Against or upon my autonomy and my sovereign rights Nor any form of foreign insurgents to my own mind, body, decisions of personal health, or any forms, or kind!
Citizen of the United States of America	To those concerned about the health and safety of the world, as a citizen of the United States, I question the authority of the WHO to create such a treaty. I live free under the protection of a Constitution that guaranties my liberty. Should such a treaty, as you propose, be enacted, I would lose all those guaranties, as the United States would be surrendering it's sovereignty to a new global form of governance. We have seen how destructive the dictates of a few have been during the last two years of the Covid-19 pandemic. Most troubling was the shut down of scientific debate about treatment, and the totalitarian response to anyone in the medical and scientific community who went against the mainstream/global narrative. This is still very troubling to believe this happened, but one might wonder if this was the plan all along to get the world to address "global governance", which is what this treaty proposes to do. We have all learned about the dangers of mandatory lockdowns, mandatory vaccines, mandatory masks and mandatory medical passports. It was unconstitutional in the United States and never should have happened. Hopefully, people have seen for themselves the price of surrendering liberty out of fear of the unknown. Our Constitutional rights were suspended the last two years and a treaty would permanently suspend them, making American citizens less safe and healthy. I strongly oppose the construction of this treaty and will use my voice to share my concerns. Respectfully submitted for consideration, Veronica Sagan American Citizen
Personal - Research Scientist / PhD	To those concerned Please if we have learned one thing from the past 2 years, Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities with their personal doctors in control of their health and what is best for each person. With coronaviruses the science is and has been clear for decades that they are not conducive to vaccines - you can not keep up with virus mutations in order to stop disease, nor transmission, nor infection. While it may suit Pharma corporate objectives, any public health in any country will never be as swift as these viral mutations demand. With unproven delivery technology, given the number of adverse reactions and deaths. Just consider one of the many side effects, cardiovascular damage such as myocarditis or pericarditis. These numbers have sky rocketed and its clearly demonstrated in the science and even admitted by CDC. What is needed for any pandemic:- 1. True quantitative measures as a % of the base population & IFR infection fatality rate tracking. Not case counting. 2. Age stratified impacts of disease on health and death. If the death rates are less than or similar to an influenza then we do not shut down / lock down countries and people 3. Objective impact/tracking of any measures - we all need to see a truly bipartisan objective measure or impact of any public health measures through a truly open and real time adverse reaction / death capture system. The technology to support this is very simple and easy to build. We need non Pharma funded independent scientists and technologists to manage this data capture & displ Humanity's survival depends on it. A very concerned scientist who has read enough scientific papers on this subject to write another PhD thesis
World Council for Health	To those making decisions in WHO The following needs to be upheld and respected: The International Human Rights Agreement, and Nuremberg Code 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability of to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote: (including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.) 4. Measures must be in place that do NOT allow for influence in the process, by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or those with any conflicts of interest, in any remote way. (Especially WEF, Eco-Health Alliance, and Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.) 5. There must not be any linkage to digital ID's or passports of any kind, or discrimination based on such. Thank you
Individual human	To those operating on behalf of the WHO, I am a very concerned citizen of New Zealand, living in Australia, who believes the most substantive elements that should be included in an International Agreement on pandemic preparation and response are as follows: * All humans have personal rights to bodily autonomy and privacy are protected. * All humans have the right to refuse medical interventions and the right to full, informed consent before accepting a medical procedure such as vaccination. * Any medical procedures should be accepted with free will without economic or social coercion (such as risking loss of employment etc). * All humans are treated with respect and have the right to chose or refuse medical treatments free of coercion. * multiple stop gaps are in place to ensure that abuses of power do not occur. Your sincerely, Nicola Bolding
	To those responsible The WHO is to desist from interfering with the rights of New Zealand and its citizens as this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our individual health autonomy and collective health freedom. The WHO will not threaten our freedom and our individual inalienable right to self-determination, through a sweeping one-size-fits-all top-down mandate we did not give them. This agreement of WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments, and condone censorship and disinformation on treatments that do work.is to be null and void.
-	To what extend does the law (the contract) interfere with national law in germany and what happens if I as an individual Do Not follow these laws i don't agree with?
Individual	To WHO authorities, I believe strongly in national autonomy when responding to any health crisis. If there is one thing the global community should have learned from this current pandemic it is that more voices, not fewer, help determine the actual best course of action and help keep the scientific process transparent and collaborative. Limited, centralized directives from a single source was not empowering or effective. Thank you for considering my thoughts. Judith Gervais USA
British public	To who it may concern The question treats the eventual existence of the legislation as an a priori assumption, the question is what should be included in the treaty, not should the treaty exist at all and my reaction to this treaty is that! It should not exist! If this treaty is to exist I would request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. We have to protect democracy and our western values and discontinue this road to authoritarian control we are heading. James grogan
Public	To who it may concern, I am writing today to express that I do not agree with the signing of the Global pandemic strategy treaty, in which my Health Minister of Canada Mr.Jean-Yves Duclos may sign in approval of. The treaty, I feel does not allow each person to sustain their right to choose what may be suitable for them and their medical situation. Also it invades on the citizens of that country's democratic process, due to the fact that the treaty is not being publicly transparent in the meaning or disclosure of its intent. However, I feel a more sovereign approach would be to have each citizen of each nation have a vote. This could follow with open debate as long as there is transparency. Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Audra Lewis
Not Applicable	To Who It May Concern, I, Simon Paul Hunt make the following Submission regarding a 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness & response' as proposed by The World Health Organization: As a Permanent Resident of New Zealand since the age of nine I deeply value the intrinsically generous & innovative nature of the people of this country & their ability to creatively adapt to challenges, be they social or of a nature such as a pandemic. I therefore believe the following elements must be included in any international agreement that we as a nation enter: (1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what we believe is best for our own people. (2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. (3) That the 'international instrument' is at all times & in all ways an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, & includes fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. (4) That the 'international instrument' includes measures that prohibit any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health organizations that would profit by that influence. Simon Paul Hunt Architectural Designer Permanent Resident of New Zealand
Discover The Source	Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. Thanks for strongly considering my/our POV points listed above.
	To who it may concern, First, if an pandemic is to be declared, location and origin of said health issue should be publicly disclosed. Health risks should be disclosed with proof. Release of information regarding the health issue should be done after information has been gathered. Information should be released via a TRUSTED source. Transparency is key. Second, All areas affected by the said pandemic -nations, countries, states, counties, should have control over their own response. Third- No single party should have the soul pandemic response. Checks and balances are needed to truly discover if said pandemic is a real threat or just a triggered response. Fourth- Health starts with an individuals free choice and it should always remain that way. How to eat and exercise is a choice. What goes into the individual's body his/her choice. Personal accountability. Five- There must be Full disclosures by the pharmaceutical industry's on drugs for a response to a health crisis. No one company/ industry/ person should profit from a crisis. Thank you.
None	To who it May concern. I am writing this submission in response to the recent Treaty proposed by WHO to manage countries responses to pandemics. Many countries have followed WHO recommendations during the covid-19 pandemics but none of those countries have successfully reduced the pandemic. Emergency authorized interventions have infact they resulted in more deaths of our friends, family and others in our communities. We have more indirect deaths which are a result of suicides mainly of teenagers from the lock downs. It is evident WHO's recommended pandemic responses have had the opposite effect on saving lives. These deaths have gone unpublicized and unaddressed by WHO and governments a like. It It s evident WHO is no longer an independent organisation who's recommendations once came from independent panel of physicians to benefit the public. Many of us who once revered are appalled to learn that WHO now receives funding from individuals. Instituting WHO as the sole organization in charge of managing countries' pandemic responses will constitute a dictatorship. Quite frankly any success WHO attains in installing a treaty will likely be temporary, in our communities patients are dying because they refuse to go to hospitals following WHO and its member Government Guidelines because they have seen the impact it has had on the lives of their friends, family and community. If the mandates of WHO is individual health, then by all accounts all the numerous scientific studies we have to date only prove that interventions for specific groups cannot always be successfully applied to all groups. Patient care must always remain at the managerial level of the physician and patient who are the indirect payers via taxation.
I am not affiliated with an organization	To who it may concern: I am a Registered Nurse located in Washington, DC since 1978. I absolutely do not support your efforts to mandate vaccinations or boosters for any disease process. Regarding Covid - the states that were locked down and mandated did worse than the states that were open. Please do not move us in the direction of communism. Stop mandating our health care and daily living requirements.
Integrative Mental Health University	To WHO re the proposed pandemic preparedness instrument, As someone who has been studying the literature re: the pandemic quite closely as well as the international response to it-- I feel it is imperative that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, in response to the Covid pandemic and its variants and any future pandemic that may arise. I also strongly suggest that we put our faith into the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement the WHO might make as a recommendation-- as they see fit, without consequence. My vote is for an open and transparent process. I want all people of the world to be able to vote on this instrument including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Most important to me is that you put in place measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Emma Bragdon, PhD Executive Director, IMHU.org
WCFH	To WHO Please, let me continue to have the fully right to decide how I shall take care of my health. It´s my body, my decisions. Best regards, Hennie Lund
Individual	To WHO I am writing in response to your proposal of a Global Pandemic Agreement expressing that I am totally against this move for reasons outlined below. I believe our National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Individuals should be allowed to and encouraged to make their own health decisions without coercion from anyone else. I vote that the ability of nations and local municipalities to be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours sincerely, Jocelyn.
Individual	To WHO Iam greatly concerned on this treaty as this organizacion is not giving enought time to citizens worldwide to participate. WHO need leaders who are least doctors with an outstanding performance in medicine. WHO needs to be fully independent from laboratories that seek special interest that attempts the lives of people through questioned vaccines or medicines. WHO must allow nations to decide independently what is best for its citizens in terms of health protocols and issues. Each country might exchanged ideas in science, but WHO must not control them. WHO needs an urgent reorganization as so many protocols during this covid 19 were questioned and are still questioned by millions worldwide as people are dying as a consequence. WHO must not ignore the people and we are all entitled to express or denounce medical malpractice. Thanks Tulio Palomino
N/A	To WHO No organization,or body of others need to tell me that we need nukes. No one needs them. Same goes for my body,my choice. It is not up to anyone what I choose to put into my sovereign body. Stop stealing our money also. We are not lab rats. Thank you Michelle Goldstein
Stay at home Mom	To WHO, April 12,2022 Please include this list into the Pandemic Treaty. We as Human's have an inalienable rights under God which cannot be suspended under "emergencies "we are given bodily autonomy to either refuse treatment or not be denied potentially lifesaving treatment. The Governments, nations, states, provinces, groups or organizations are subservient to our inalienable human rights of free speech, opinion, travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses, a Vaccine status cannot restrict us. We the citizen's need to be able to vote to end state emergencies, lockdowns and quarantines of healthy people is wrong. We demand complete transparency of all clinical studies immediately available to everyone and all manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health products or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. Sincerely, Sandy Guziak Winnipeg, MB Canada
Frihet	To WHO, delegates and all involved in the making of a new Pandemic Treaty. Please include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and service
Independent	To who, I totally disagree with WHO . People should have a choice what goes into their body . No one should be forced to take any vacine. It's goes against our human rights !! . This is wrong and should not be allowed . Also so many injuries from vacines are not being told to the public . It's scary to be out in a position where unvacinated are treated unfairly . This should not be allowed.
Private	To who, it may concern, My submission is that local and national leaders of my country retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. They should also have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Family	To WHO, I request that you STRONGLY OPPOSE the Pandemic Preparedness Treaty. Health decisions are best made at the local level and should not be overtaken by the WHO. Individuals have a God given right to make their own healthcare decisions, this decision making authority should never be taken away by governments or international organizations. Bodily autonomy is sacred. Coercion to increase compliance with a medical procedures is always wrong and immoral. Thank you for establishing a channel for public comment.
Humanity	To WHO, The way this lady virus wad handled caused more death and mental health issues than it should have. Viruses and cures should not have political or main stream media involvement. Dr's should be allowed to treat patients and open and honest debates should take place. Interventions should not be tested on humans and trials should be robust and complete. The WHO, CDC and NIH did a terrible job and this treaty is unjust and inhumane. A very concerned citizen.
Human Race	To WHO. We don't need an UNELECTED organization run by Gates funded Lackeys deciding what SOVEREIGN nations will or will not do. The WHO and other unelected organizations are guilty of Crimes Against Humanity and must be prosecuted and hung. Quickly. Here are MY demands : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Birth ritesuk	To WHO..I believe the following should be included.and considered 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4.Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Family	To WHO: I request that you STRONGLY OPPOSE this Pandemic Preparedness Treaty. Health decisions are best made at the local level and should not be overtaken by the WHO. Individuals have a God given right to make their own healthcare decisions. This decision making authority should never be taken away by governments or international organizations. Bodily autonomy is sacred. Coercion to increase compliance with a medical procedures is always wrong and immoral. History will judge those who engage is such acts harshly. Thank you for establishing a channel for public comment. My very best, Joan Dahlquist
SELF	To WHO: STOP THE TREATY. REGAIN THE PEOPLES TRUST IN WHO.
Pharmaceuticals	To Whoever it may concern : It is of great concern to me, my family and friends that the WHO are attempting to errode all human rights by removing an individual's right to choose what goes into their body, what they wear or whether they want to be locked up. It is outrageous that they want to override our government, that is, people who have been elected by us. There are health risks, including death with any medical procedure and any intervention such as lockdowns and forced mask wearing. The amount of damage caused by lockdowns and masks (neither having no real proven benefit) is appalling. It is an individual's right to choose and not any interfering body's. My right to body autonomy is fundamental and we will not accept such an abuse of our human rights.
Independent human	To WHOever the panel of the WHO organisation are, I respectfully refuse your proposal of a worldwide treaty of what has been the most ridiculous pandemic in this lifetime. Not only has it been a financial burden for citizens but also a mental, emotional and physical one due to lockdowns, closing of businesses and countries. We are capable of making our own decisions when it comes to our health rights. New Zealand Maori had a treaty with the British crown and look what happened there, Maori are just making a break back into better health and opportunities, I refuse to have a repeat because a few people on the WHO panel deem it is a good thing to do. NO thanks!
New Zealander	To whole it may concern, Thank you for the opportunity to write this submission. New Zealand is an island country isolated from the rest of the world. How pandemics affect us is different from most other countries. This can be seen in the way covid 19 has affected our country with one of the lowest case numbers, hospitalisations and deaths in the world. I think trying to make a 'one size fits all' international instrument doesn't take into account differences between each country. It also fails to understand every country's autonomous status to decide these policies for themselves. So many poor countries can't possibly put into action guidelines suggested by the WHO. Their suggestions just become ideas for wealthy countries. There are so many variations that experts in their own countries are aware of. Trying to make an international plan loses all the effectiveness that details contribute. I don't trust the WHO as so many mixed messages have been given throughout the Covid 19 pandemic. Yours sincerely, Donelda Cutforth.
None	To whom it be may concern, I do NOT want to see any treaty to control us during a pandemic. We are smart when you give us truthful information not disinformation. We are a free people and I want it to remain that way. Thank you Donna Allard
United States of America	To whom it be of regard, "The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO impleme

Organization	Written submission
Listen.. Adult and Pediatric Services	To whom it concerns at The Who: I respectfully proffer that there should be no international instrument on pandemic preparedness, because each country, each nation and culture has an intelligence that contributes to complexity of thinking and problem-solving. Any international response to illness should be a critical debate with a rich multiplicity of ideas. It is well-known in biological systems that homogeneity leads to entropy more quickly than heterogenity and even 'chaos' which creates resilience and Health! (see Dr. Ary Goldberger MD; The Loss of Complexity and Aging/Cardiac function) To have one international solution for a pandemic really means a solution based on the lowest common denominator and is institutionalized ignorance. All biological systems survive longer with a multiplicity of information being exchanged within and without. Expedited efficiency with a single international treaty for a pandemic will be the death of those trying to control Life and imposing this. Nations must be sovereign, their Constitutions and national intelligence never violated by an international singularity. It is a loss of information and vital Life Force for all-and we belong to each other. Sincerely I ask you to change heart and find a new path forward not based on power and money. Thank you for reading and considering this. Sharron Coeurvie
Concerned US Citizen	To whom it concerns at WHO, Let it be known by concerned citizens like myself that any treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of American families and their sovereignty. It is imperative to the survival of freedom and democracy that we keep our health decisions where they belong - AT HOME. This fundamental, human right should not be usurped in times of emergency. Lawmakers seem to be so afraid of free people. Lest you lose control. It's time to recognize that humans having control over their own bodies is a right that no one should have authority to take away. Please REMEMBER your own constitution which states "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." We have our informed opinions and we are partners in this turmoil. Let us act like true partners and allow for free will and autonomy within partnership. Thank you and please remember that is a sacred right of each individual to make decisions about their own healthcare.
None	To whom it concerns, Any changes submitted regarding future responses to any pandemic implemented by the WHO MUST include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards Gen Campbell
Self	To whom it concerns, I am in favor of individual people being in control of their own health. Public health is not a one size fits all program. I am not comfortable with nor in agreement with health decisions being made on an international level.
personnal	To whom it concerns, We do not need any country, mainly Canada, to sign a treaty with the WHO. To ensure a swift response to anything globally concerning health, all that it is needed is honest sharing of data and evolving science. Each country has capable doctors to help their communities. If ALL doctors are not silence and there is an open forum for discussion and presentations of treatments and solutions, then the spread of such events would not happen. This previous global situation has proven that. If ALL doctors were allowed to present their findings of up to date data and recommendations without discrimination then we would have saved more lives. This should be the main objective when dealing with something new in the medical field. All hands involved not just the ones who push a narrative. The narrative of science is ever changing with new information and discoveries along the way make for treatments and ideas to help save people. An agenda should not be pushed from the onset and stuck in it also as new studies emerge with data that is collect on an ongoing basis. Sharing of information, all ideas of treatments is the solution to anything globally. This is a simple solution, honesty. Let's be honest with each other and see that this failure of late as been proven. at Open dialogue for medical staff and scientists will help with future problems not a signing of a treaty where a certain club of people are dictating their viewpoints. In the end, we do not need a treaty signed. We just need a treaty of honest people and information being sent all over the with one another to help save lives....that is the agenda correct??? Help save lives?
Human race	To whom it concerns, You have absolutely no right what soever to impose any of these suggestions you have put forward.. You have been a discrace over the last couple of years and you have not been elected by the people of this world. To think that you have this amount of control (or like to think you have) is outrageous. I categorically refuse to be dictated to by anyone! let alone your unelected corrupt organisation.
N/A	To whom it concerns, The WHO is not the same organization as it was before ,the WHO is no longer a democratic member organisation it does not reflect the individual wishes of many nations. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. Yours sincerely, Brianne Larkin
Independent	To Whom It concerns: I just want to add that I totally object to the WHO making any decisions for the people! It is that simple! Thankyou
Individual	To Whom It Concerns: I am very concerned with your plans, I comment. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Individual	To Whom It Concerns: Please do NOT allow the WHO to have authority to make (global) decisions for the USA or other countries, during any future pandemics! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	To whom it concerns: I am not in favor of this treaty or giving up my rights as a citizen. Health decisions should be made locally with the individuals health in mind and the individual being sovereign as to what health measures they partake in. The extreme measures taken in some countries with Covid indicates how this power can be abused and actually detrimental to the health of the people. It seems inconceivable that many of these measures were undertaken with positive health outcomes in mind.
Individual	To Whom It Concerns: The best preparations and swiftest responses can be done in a DECENTRALIZED way that is tailored to the region, by the region. Thank you!
General public	To whom it is concerned, The following conditions must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards D Burkett
Concerned Canadian citizen	To Whom it May be Concern: My answers to the question of "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" (should a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness come into being) are as follows: 1.) National and local leadership retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people with input from local medical professionals, without censorship. 2.) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers 3.) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 4.) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 5.) All medical professionals and experts should have a voice and consideration in the process without censorship on any media. With respect, Barbara Bowie April 13, 2022
Yukon Freedom Party	To whom it may concern - Global Health Treaty, I would like to raise the following concerns: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nobody knows better than locals how to deal with their own situation. We need downsizing and going back to local autonomy, not upscaling. and request the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I propose that an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it is the way forward - always. I strongly believe that measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Conflict of interest, especially monetary conflict, will not lead to what is best for the indiviual. Thank you for your time, Sunje Petersen
none	To Whom it may concern I believe that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. One solution to health issues does NOT work for everyone. We have a God given right to control over our own bodies. We are not to be experimented on by pharmaceutical companies for profit. No drug or vaccine should be given to any human without their consent and without corporation liability for the results. Thank you for your consideration Scott Yates
US Citizen	To Whom it May Concern 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Rebecca Cornwell
Private citizen	To whom it may concern I would like to take this opportunity comment on the proposed Global Pandemic Response preparedness treaty. I personally would prefer each sovereign nation retain the ability to decide on what will be the best response for it citizens in each situation. Different countries and people groups will have various ways of tailoring their responses to their geographic and demographic situations. I therefore would respectfully submitt that this proposed treaty NOT be moved ahead on. Thank you Noelle Anderson
Not an organisation	To whom it may concern All sovereign states should be autonomous and never ruled by a organisation overseas that has the interests of big pharma as one of its main concerns
Private	To whom it may concern I am against any and all forms of international control by any organization that would supersede a nation or individual right by some other entity, there is not a one size fits all approach each nation each individual is sovereign and should have the ability to choose by those elected officials who represent the individual or nation one lives in Best regards Mr Albert
None	To whom it may concern I wish to start by stating that I am not antivaccine. I believe that they hold value. But I feel very strongly that each individual is initialled to autonomy over their own body, and that includes a person's choice, whether or not they receive vaccines. Vaccines should NOT be made mandatory . We profess to be a civilised society where one is not discriminated against for their race, religion or any medicines one chooses to receive. These freedom's should apply to everyone. Thank you Elaine
N/A individual concerned scientist	To whom it may concern I would like to oppose any WHO global pandemic agreement that will give it undemocratic rights over sovereign people. I propose the following must be taken into consideration and incorporated into any agreement 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned citizen	To whom it may concern STOP THE TREATY We don't need more failed one size fits all global health mandates. We need autonomy and freedom of choice. I demand that this be taken into consideration in regards to the well being of the general public Most the the world doesn't even know this is happening. It should be opened to the public for their opinion and not be done in such a hushed round about way The general public is trusting you to make the right decisions with them in mind That is a big responsibility something that will not go unchecked. We all have to meet our maker Thank you
australian hope	These conditions of covid WEF NWO agenda Is out of line with the people's of all nations countries These Gmo mozzies Military force but forces unacceptable in any democratic country we the people of Australia are against all this NWO!! Directives. We won't give up our sovereigns. Also the Weather engineering and sprays across our skies. These VACCINE mandates track trace procedures are nothing we have never seen. Nor do we want in our country Digital identity control!! We are not interested. These men's backgrounds are evil INTENT globally. But Australian have always stood for truth /religious . DEEPLY. THIS ALL NEEDS TO BE STOPPED IT'S MURDER FOR AGENDA!! Of control. All will fight this fight. National security knows all. People in Australia are mostly honest hard working for each other help each other along the way. No place for evil. Though we have been infiltrated within ground up over many years. We have been on guard over 20yrs. Watching this corruption on all angles of life Infrastructure services going. So these people will eventually come to pass and will be held for the crimes they have committed. All of them. I pledge my sovereign to the commonwealth of Australia. Not to world control leaders who are just pure evil hearted We object 100% percent. Native to this land. These injection order by military under State emergency acts! Are unlawful. They are murdering mothers children fathers families.
Self	To whom it may concern , I firmly believe that these should be included. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Reese
Individual	To whom it may concern . We in the UK live in a democratic society and elect our leaders to represent us . The WHO is a non elected organisation and should not have any authority to determine our future in any way at all . We the people decide who we elect and will not be governed by an external organisation . This pandemic has caused a multitude of mental health problems which in turn lead to physical problems . People have lost their livelihoods and this cannot happen again . We have no wish to be accountable to the WHO . We are the citizens of the UK and our representatives are accountable to us , not to a non elected group .
NA	To whom it may concern . . . Healthcare needs to be tailored to geographical areas and national needs across our globe. Public health is not and should not be a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities need to be in control of their health for healthcare to be effective. In this light the following parameters need to be included should this effort move forward: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully submitted, Bette Roeser
Citizens concerned about healthcare in the USA	To whom it may concern . . . Healthcare needs to be tailored to geographical areas and national needs across our globe. Public health is not and should not be a one-size-fits-all program. People and communities need to be in control of their health for healthcare to be effective. In this light the following parameters need to be included should this effort move forward: - National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully submitted - Richard DAmico
Inspired Spine	To whom it may concern : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
CANADIAN CITIZEN	To whom it may concern @ the W.H.O. I hereby give notice that I as a Free Democratic citizen of CANADA do not have confidence in, due to an ongoing breach of trust, lack of good faith actions, and failure to provide good governance, I hereby revoke, rescind, withdraw, remove and deny my consent for you, any man or woman, any political party other than Canadian, any parliament, premier, prime minister, member of parliament, member of a legislative assembly, world council, municipal board, school board or any other alleged government agent, department or agency outside of CANADA to have authority over my actions, choices or property. I do not consent to be governed, having lost all confidence in the W.H.O said governance. This express action of removing my consent to be governed that I give notice of, is done in good faith, and with sadness that my fellow man and woman could not perform in honor and integrity the trust that was placed with them. Sincerely, William A Willaton This notice is legal notice from a lawful man or woman of full status and full capacity.
Sovereign Canadian	To Whom It May Concern @ the W.H.O., I as a Canadian Citizen, find that the W.H.O. agreement is a threat to our sovereignty and alienable right. I do not approve of unjustified pandemics imposing dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments, against the will of the people. The history of the W.H.O. has failed the people in previous pandemics, H1N1 and Covid-19, Encouraging lockdowns while suppressing early preventative treatments and recommending treatments that are not SAFE. Furthermore W.H.O. should never be allowed to control the World's Health Agenda nor be able to enforce bio-surveillance, all while receiving public funding which belongs to the people and funding from private interests, and not having any interest what so ever in what happens to the people. NO MORE MANDATES OR HARMFUL MEDICATIONS, Natural immunity is always the best immunization. I believe We The People have the right to participate in any agreement that affects our lives, livelihoods and wellbeing. W.H.O. only wants the power for itself and it's corporate accomplices with no interest in serving the people. I do not support any Government or agency to be given this kind of power over us. Kind Regards, Taunia Marsh This is legal notice from a lawful woman of full status and full capacity.
the Kingdom of Heaven - as one of its Ambassadors - and a sovereign hum	To whom it may concern at the WHO I object to the WHOs Pandemic Treaty and any Amendments proposed on the basis that ... 1) it is the human right of individuals who live in any specific country / nation / location to preserve their cultural and national heritage and rights through local, international and God-given laws, 2) the sovereignty of each individual and collective group of people in any specific country / nation / location be respected by, but not limited to ... 2.1) the WHO Pandemic Treaty not superseding the (sovereign) Constitution and the sovereignty of collective groups of people and each individual in his and her own right, and 2.2) the national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and 2.3) the ability of countries / nations / locations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the WHO Pandemic Treaty / Agreement as they see fit, without consequence, and 2.4) an open and transparent process with the ability for all (sovereign) people of respective countries / nations / locations of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of any global treaty / agreement in those places where a majority of the people do not want it, and 2.5) measures that do not allow for any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private party	To whom it may concern at the WHO, I do not believe it is in the best interest of citizens within their own countries to abide by a universal health plan. Each individual nation should be able to determine what is best for its constituents based upon whatever emergency exists. The government officials and health officials in public policy must consider the individuals and sovereignty that exists in each nation for people to choose the best course of action for their nation. It is far too easy for those with money and power to overtake a world health system with their financial influence and enforce upon its people their own ideas of what is best so that it overrides personal and national sovereignty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." This most important part of the constitution MUST be upheld and honored if we are to remain democratic in our outlook on world health and preserve individuals rights to choose the best medical care for ourselves and our families. I do not encourage or support any plan that allows one "health agency", which can be easily manipulated and overrun by those with their own "health" motives, to control the medical power of all. Sincerely, Cherie Simpson
Individual	To whom it may concern at the WHO, Please stop this treaty! I am incredibly concerned about the loss of democracy that this global pandemic agreement will create. National, and more preferably local leadership must retain their full autonomy, reserving their rights to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must retain their full ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. All people of the world must retain the freedom to participate in an open and transparent process to vote on any failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And there must be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I, personally, would also like to see much more emphasis on the promotion of nutritional and natural medicines (complementary and alternative medicines) as valuable allies to help strengthen the vitality of all people, to raise their innate ability to deal with future potential global biological threats. And dangerous gain-of-function research must be truly prohibited to prevent possible future biological threats to humankind from ever occurring again. This treaty is completely unnecessary, and it threatens and infringes on our sovereignty and inalienable human rights.

Organization	Written submission
Self Employed	To WHOM it may concern at the WHO: I do not think it is your right to decide for the world what measures should be taken in the case of an emergency. I think that gives you too much power. I think decentralization of power makes far more sense on all counts. Decentralization would be more tailored to individual country's needs. The whole idea of "one size fits all" just does not take into account doing the right thing. Too much power in the hands of too few is too dangerous for the welfare of humanity. These are my thoughts. Therefore I would recommend the WHO take into consideration these suggestions: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Carol Martin
United States of America citizen	To whom it may concern at the World Health Organization, I am opposed to any international pandemic treaty that can override the sovereignty of any nation state. This treaty will centralize power in the hands of a few unelected officials.These broad powers, in the hands of those bureaucrats, can be abused and leveraged to suit the whims of any ideological preference or agenda.This would be a violation of human rights and clearly not the original intent or spirit of the WHO. Let the WHO continue as a market place of ideas and communication between nations and NOT the seat of authoritarian rule.
TSY Consultants	To Whom it May Concern at the World Health Organization, Please be advised that I do not want any NEW International instrument on pandemic preparedness and/or response!! I would like the WHO to immediately STOP ANY current and future plans to bring forth this type of Treaty. Each country is unique and therefore should have the ability/option to create it's own pandemic preparedness and/or response plan.
World Council for Health	To whom it may concern at the World Health Organization. I am writing to oppose your proposed new pandemic response treaty. I oppose it for the following reasons. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time. Sincerely, David Bott
n/a	To whom it may concern at WHO, I am aware of the proposed international agreement on pandemic preparedness and response and wish to object to those terms and content which are in my view in breach of fair dealing in democratic societies and the rights of people to bodily integrity and personal sovereignty. I am also opposed to such terms and content that may also undermine the rights of individual countries to determine their own responses and preparedness to circumstances that may be described as pandemic in nature. I also object to the foreshortened amount of time allowed for public response to this proposal which has so many and far-reaching consequences throughout the globe and call on WHO to allow greater time for public education and feedback from people generally. I am not convinced that the treaty is necessary and even if this were agreed by the majority of participants it should not discount the capacity for local autonomy in decision-making regarding those elements of the treaty (as finally agreed) which may be considered ill-suited to any particular location/authority. While encouragement to support the treaty in toto may well be appropriate, this should not undermine the capacity of individual countries to determine their own course of action even where they enjoin themselves to the treaty in an overarching sense. This naturally extends to the rights of all people to expressly disavow the treaty where individuals and the collective voice of particular jurisdictions may differ from specific terms thereof. It is critical that the treaty does not reflect the views of the pharmaceutical companies and that no direction is taken from these companies, their shareholders and investors with regard to public health
Portland Natural Health	To whom it may concern I respectfully submit in response to the proposal for a global treaty on pandemic response - I am in opposition for the following reasons: 1. I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. I believe in the wise protection of the US Constitution and the sovereignty of all nations. I support the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. I believe in an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world access to truth and informed consent and freedom to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. I support strong and impenetrable measures that do not allow for influence or profiting in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. I believe that healthcare is not a political or global agenda and should not be given over to a centralized control.
None	To whom it may concern I'm sending you this message requesting that the WHO must not go ahead with this agenda we the people of England Wales Scotland and Northern Ireland DEMAND that you forgo all ideas of this program, because it goes against all human rights our constitutional rights and my Democratic rights we DO NOT CONSENT TO THIS agenda Yours sincerely Terry Castleman
private citizen	To whom it may concern in the WHO: Please reject the U.S. IHR amendments that will give up American's sovereign rights. It is important to disassociate with the pharmaceutical companies and cease pandemic regulations for your nation members. The WHO should only be an agency of opinion, not controlling health policies of individual nations. Sincerely, Dr. Bonnie Marsh Haiku-Hawaii
US Citizen	To whom it may concern there is no way we should be entering into any legally binding treaties where health is concerned. There should be no law over peoples bodies weather it be for treatment, prophylaxis. Every person has the right to bodily autonomy and when you start to force treatments, shots, drugs or any other products or quarantine on a group of people you deem their ability to make their own choices do dictate what goes into their own body less. If we are going to treat other diseases and viruses differently like we do aids no one is quarantined and told they need to share their health information publicly then COVId should be no different as it's risk of death is far less than aids. The issue is personally governance over one's own body. I personally take great care and pride in my body I fuel my body with natural organic foods ans stay away from pharmaceuticals who are not a saving grace and actually lead to their issues where another medication must be taken to deal with side effects from another. It's time we get back to nature ans one's ability to make their own choices about their body. No one else is responsible for what I put in my body only me and so it shall stay this way therefore that means no one can force me to take anything I deem unsafe as I will be the only person along with my family fronting the bill For my health!
Sagkeeng First Nation	To whom it may concern you need to include these following elements for certain. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Baer Edge, INC.	TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	to whom it may concern I am against any mandatory vaccines as it's a persons very own matter to decide upon health matters. Of course, no private organization should be founder of WHO. discriminating measures are never to be implemented in EU rights. thank you for your attention! Andrea Vogl
Self	To whom it may concern I am against this move with the following considerations needing to be understood and embraced. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Widow's Foundation	To whom it may concern I am vehemently opposed to this treaty on the basis that it would compromise the sovereignty of each member state to respond to crises in a manner commensurate with their needs, rendering their citizens voiceless, powerless and disenfranchised.
Private	To Whom It May Concern I am writing to you in response to the proposed International Pandemic Treaty. I believe that National and Local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what they believe is right for their communities. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of this treaty if they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process must be in place, with the ability for all peoples of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population do not want it. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours Faithfully Berni Patmore
-	To whom it may concern I do not agree with the treaty as I feel National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Each nation should have the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private citizen	To Whom it may concern I do not believe there should be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response by an unelected body. The democratically elected leadership of Australia should have full autonomy to make decisions based on what is best for Australians. If such an instrument is imposed by an unelected body, Australian leadership must be able to opt out without consequence. Any body attempting to develop such an instrument must do so transparently and allow the global population to vote on whether or not such an instrument should even be considered. Pharmaceutical companies and other health profiteers should not be permitted to influence or determine if such an instrument should be developed, nor should they be a able to influence or determine how an elected government responds to a pandemic.
Private	To whom it may concern I do not support this treaty in its current form. In order for it to be workable there needs to be opt-out clauses for nations and municipalities. Nations have autonomy and their own health choices from their own physicians and experts are enough. I strongly object to this plan. Regards Erika
Private citizen	To whom it may concern I don not want Canada to sign the World Health Organization Treaty (health). It would centralize all health related decisions and policies to an organization that does not have the best interests of Canadian citizens (in terms of their health and well-being)!
United States citizen	To Whom it May Concern I strongly oppose a world wide pandemic treaty. The United States needs to stay in control of their citizen's health. The WHO is not the correct entity to preside over world wide health policies. One Organization should not make the health decisions for the globe. They should be a voice & make recommendations but not dictates. Thank you, Cheri Kirschenheuter
why ?	To Whom It May Concern I urgently pledge you to consider international human rights , the ever valid Nürnberg Codex , international, national and local law and justice. Please #stopthetreaty now and in the future in the name of humanity.Look what is happening in Shanghai right now at this very moment. It's insane. Yours sincerely Caro
self employed	I very stronly oppose the WHO plans to create a pandemic treaty for the following reasons. 1. NZ is an isolated nation and can readily close its borders 2. Any treaty is in direct conflict with each sovereign nations Bill of Rights and any imposition of such it undemocratic 3.The WHO has Mismanaged the existing Covid 19 pandemic causing thousands of deaths from unsafe in-effective vaccines that neither prevent the infection nor transmission of the virus 4. The WHO through their funding alliances with Big Pharma, the Gates Foundation and others has actively suppressed the use of safe and effective treatments for Covid infections. 5. The WHO has also recommended other products such a Remdesivir for the treatment of hospitalised patients which has proven to be neither safe or effective 5. The WHO by receiving funding from private sources has created for itself a conflict of interest and can no longer be viewed as an independent body as the private funders stand to gain finacially from any decisions they make. The WHO has a history of poor decision making for example declaring a pandemic of the H1N1 swine flu. &. The WHO has flip flopped with advice it has given during the current Covid 19 pandemic, including the wearing of masks and the use of booster shots as effective treatment 8. The declaring of a pandemic should be at the behest of each sovereign nations government as each nation must asses the costs of lockdowns and the resulting financial losses to businesses
Primary school teacher	To whom it may concern If an international cooperative is necessary in case of a pandemic or otherwise fatal worldwide situation, it must by all means include the following: - individual state and government sovereignty of each single country - an objective impartial commission (at least 55 people) of including randomly chosen people from all countries (no professionals) to decide upon the given case. - an individual freedom to decide independently upon whatever regulations and / or restrictions will have been installed. Free choice on vaccinations or other implementations. - free travel and choice of residence - no necessary obligations for entering certain professions (such as mandatory vaccinations for military or medical personnel) - regulations should be ratified only as favourable suggestions Thank you for considering my above stated concerns in the public hearing. Sincerely Regine Runge (Austria)
ALA	To Whom It May Concern-- If there is no substantive, genuine instrument included that can verify a reasonably defined "integrity" for use sans duplicity for covered purposes, then any new international instrument attempted to be implemented is null and void. Thank you, -Jeff Carmack
Sierra	To whom it may concern Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
General Public	To Whom it May Concern RE: Substantive Elements to be Included in a New International Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness and Response. I feel genuine quality of public health can only be truly delivered if the following points are included and or considered: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. While global consultation is a fundamental part of how we operate in a modern society, local autonomy and conduct independent of conflicts of interest should always come first when considering matters of public health.
Maidenhead Neuro Physio Ltd	To whom it may concern Regarding the guiding question I believe it is extremely important for individual countries and regions to decide on healthcare planning for their own people. Healthcare issues and disparity is wide-ranging and local teams only are best placed to plan according to need. The WHO is not elected democratically by citizens and therefore is not best placed to in any way "govern" pandemic preparedness at a local or country level. I would prefer to see citizens working with local health teams and local public health to prepare. This is not a one-size fits all approach. The very idea of mandating a response is undemocratic and against human rights. Human rights to bodily autonomy and choice of healthcare treatment must be above all else.
None	To whom it may concern Substantive elements should include: The ability of all nations, states or even municipalities to maintain their autonomy and freedom by deciding what is best for them regarding any and all pandemic responses. The WHO should not have any power to over throw national sovereignty. For there not to be a one size fits all approach. Every country should be respected for its choices. The WHO should provide unbiased research on proven measures by consulting leading authorities that are not in any way affiliated with any pharmaceutical interests. Pharmaceutical interests and others who stand to profit from any pandemic measures should not be consulted or be part of any decision making processes. Individuals should also be respected. No vaccine should be forced on anyone as per the Nuremberg code. This should be seen as unlawful. A more holistic approach to pandemic response that includes promotion of healthier living - targeting obesity, diabetes and other major health issues. More non partisan oversight on any new drug development. Thank you
Retired senior Engineer, AT&T Bell Labs	TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
PSA	To whom it may concern There are many reservations pertaining to the proposed initiative, I have listed as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours sincerely Annette Green
Private	To whom it may concern These elements should be included : -That people may reserve the right to make decisions based on what is true and factual and best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -Open and transparent processes with the ability for all people all over to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do agree on it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers Thank you for your time! A Wolfaardt
Public House	TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN Undue economic influence should not occur and measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I believe National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. A sovereign nation should always retain this ability. Without this democratic process will be superseded. It is also imperative that nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Nothing should happen behind closed doors. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. regards Chris Organ
self	To whom it may concern While there are risks in any public health situation, there are always tradeoffs. One of the most important rights we have is self determination. Democratic processes are meant to protect those rights. Given that, governments should not be allowed to cede power to un-elected organizations. Accountability and power must always reside in the elected representatives, or the people. Any processes should always be 100 percent transparent. And the processes and power must always come from people and organizations that are independent of profit motive (e.g. No power over health policies by pharmaceutical companies nor organizations that have interests in such companies) Countries and municipalities must have the unrestricted ability to opt out. Thank you for your consideration Paul Vallejo
Justin D'Orio foundation	To whom it may concern I am greatly opposed to the WHO coordinating an international pandemic response. I believe that pandemic response should be left in the hands of local governments. The recommended response given by the world health organization and the federal government of the United States for the COVID-19 pandemic was unnecessary and harmful. Locking citizens inside and shutting small businesses while allowing corporate box stores to stay open was hypocritical and did nothing but damage the economy and transfer the wealth from the lower and middle class upwards to the upper class. Wearing masks did nothing but cause fear and did not stop the transmission of the virus. Closing schools was unnecessary and harmed the children and set them back on their learning trajectory. Recommending a vaccine that was not tested and experimental did not stop the transmission or the spread but caused many vaccine injuries and deaths that were unnecessary. Local community should be allowed to choose how they want to address and combat future pandemics. Hopefully globally leaders will have learned from the mistakes that were made and promoted by the WHO. Please stay out of our country, leave us alone, and let us decide what is best for us. Thank you Justin D'Orio
Individual	To Whom It May Concern I am writing to express my thoughts on the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I believe that each country has unique challenges and conditions that pertain to the health of its citizens. I don't believe a "One size fits all" approach could possibly address the numerous factors at play in public health issues. I believe each government should have the power, in cuonsultation with its citizens to map out its own, unique approach to potential health crises. Individuals of these nations should have full access to transparent information about the course of actions taken in these circumstances and the right to question these actions n a democratic fashion. I don't believe governments should be bound by am international agreement that doesn't give them the autonomy to tailor actions to their country's specific circumstances and need. Holding governments and citizens legally bound to an international set of regulations does not allow the flexibility required to address regional issues and this could potentially be disastrous if the measures imposed are not appropriate. Vested interests like major pharmaceutical companies or individuals who have stakes in the outcome of any measures being taken should not be involved in the decision-making process at any level. Thank you.

Organization	Written submission
Private Citizen	To whom it may concern I believe the world health order is not going to work. Refer to the covid fiasco. We should be individual nations with personal freedoms of choice. The world has totally different cultures and needs so herding all nations together for the betterment of health if there are future pandemics would not suit any purpose and cause misery and heartache to the people of the world.
Your Amazing Health Ltd	To whom it may concern I strongly oppose the idea that WHO should have any say in any country's right to make their own decisions. I want national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence must be guaranteed. There must also be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. The global agreement must not come into force unless a majority of the people have expressed an explicit wish for it to come into effect Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must not be implemented.
self	To Whom It May Concern I would like to present my submission on the Pandemic Treaty, and ask that the following points be considered: 1. That National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; 2. That nations and local municipalities may opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; 3. That there is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the applications of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it; 4. That measures are taken to prevent the influence in the process, by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for considering my submission. Regards Sharon Hamer
Self employed	To whom it may concern I write to express my views regarding the new pandemic plan for future preparedness and responses. I believe the creation of such a plan should be an open and transparent process in which the people of the world are given the opportunity to vote and nations and local municipalities are given the opportunity to opt out of a "global " plan without consequence. I am concerned that a "global response" does not take into account individual human rights to disagree and/or choose a different path. I am also concerned about the influence that pharmaceutical companies who make extraordinary profits from a pandemic may influence and wield excessive power, leading to the possible corruption of a world wide organization such as WHO. Decisions that impact and impinge on our human rights are best made at a local level and I do not think a one size fits all approach is healthy or viable. I hope that the voices of all people are considered in this plan not just the voices a few elite leaders.
None	To Whom It May Concern The Republic of Ireland is a sovereign, independent country. We spent nearly 800 years fighting to reclaim or sovereign independence from Great Britain. Therefore, no group, no organisation should ever again impinge upon our absolute sovereignty. The WHO must limit itself to giving opinion. Nothing more. It is the absolute right of every country to act up or dismiss this WHO opinion. Anything more amounts to an assault upon our sovereignty and this is totally unacceptable.
NA	To whom it may concern The World Health Organisation (WHO) should NOT have control over pandemics. It has in fact failed the people of this world through this & other pandemics e.g. It approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe or effective. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and a threat to individual sovereignty & inalienable rights. Additionally; National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, or enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest as it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI vaccine promotion alliance, contribute over $1 billion a year.
N/A	To whom it may concern You are aware that all counties are unique and in different situations, a global response is not the solution. While in some countries some measures save people's lives, in others, it kills people. Lockdowns kill people in developing countries as daily wagers are depraved of daily income and have no food. People have died from it. You are also aware that Mother Earth provides precious natural medicines in different parts of the world - not acknowledging this depraves people from accessing these medicines in their own country, or depraves other people around the globe to access them too. One rule for all the ill not work. Many people around the globe are aware of that. Act for the benefit of others, of people.
National Farmers Union	To Whom it may concern, As a citizen of the Global Community, I strongly object to granting the WHO the unilateral power to implement any measures whatsoever with regard to dealing with any type of disease outbreak. The right to implement any control measures should begin at the local level based on local circumstances and individual wishes. Every thoughtful citizen of the earth should be strenuously objecting to this amount of power being concentrated in one organization. Respectfully Steve Martin
General Public	To whom it may concern, - All national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, nation and community. - The ability of nations, local municipalities, communities and individuals to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of or a portion of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, media outlets or other global health profiteers. Thank you

Greenmedinfo.com	To Whom It May Concern,
	
	  The international pandemic treaty you are attempting to ratify will compromise the national sovereignty of the United States, as well as the individual liberties and health freedom of its citizens. Bodily autonomy is a fundamental human right, and coercing or mandating non-pharmaceutical guidelines like masking, social isolation (distancing), and related guidelines, as well as pharmaceutical interventions such as gene-modifying mRNA technologies, violates this right.
	
	  We urge you to cease and desist from this effort, and allow member states to do what is best by their countrymen, and observe their Constitutional charters and protections, which include data privacy, medical freedom, and informed consent.
	
	  Thank you for your consideration,
	
	  Sayer Ji,
	  Founder of Greenmedinfo.com

-	To Whom It May Concern, I strongly believe Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden. · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Yours faithfully, Eva J.
RAJSEJ properties, LLC	To whom it may concern, a few points that i would like to make are as follows: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. - IN America, we must live by our constitution and none other Thank you for your time
Self employed	To Whom It May Concern, After the absolute failure to be transparent through-out CV-19 over the last 2 years, the major loss of life caused by unethical mandates, restrictions, and the destruction of the livelihood of millions of families across the globe, the World Health Organisation has lost any credibility to manage or discern what is best for the general population, in any and all future health crisis, if in fact there ever really was one in the first place. Having lost a child to suicide in late 2020, the adverse affects of the WHO's decisions have had a devastating effect on the global population, and especially the most vulnerable whom you are tasked with protecting. You are requested to Cease and Desist with making any further recommendations, guidelines or authoritarian dictates on behalf of the global population. Steadfastly, Paul Richardson B Arch
The Body Tree Healing Center	To Whom It May Concern, Any and all treaties must adhere to the laws and moral code of the nations involved. The pandemic treaty must make allowance for government "by and for the people" and must not unlawfully remove individual rights in favor of authoritarian, top down dictatorship in the name of public health. The potential of abuse of power and usurping of democracy is an obvious danger that must be prevented at all costs. For this reason, the treaty must allow for autonomy of national and local government, the ability to opt out of any measures that might be implemented, as guided by the will of citizens. The treaty must also have fail-safe rules in place to ensure that public health actions taken are not influenced by global health profiteers such as pharmaceutical corporations, or other parties with ill intent for Earth's peoples. Individuals and governments must have the ability to terminate the treaty if there is any indication of exploitation or abuse of power.
Darcy Smith Resources Ltd	To whom it may concern, As a long-term company director based in the NW United Kingdom, I respectfully ask you to take note of my requests below, numbered 1 to 4, in this matter… 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical or technology companies or other global health profiteers. Please acknowledge receipt of this notice to my email address and ensure my vote counts against. Thank you, Philip J Smith
Verisafe	To Whom it may concern, Every nation has unique social, environmental and cultural context that necessitates a local, decentralized management of national public health. It is never appropriate to centralize public health management and never serve unique and highly diverse communities with greatly varied needs. National and local leadership need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Only locally elected officials, in individual nations should be making decisions on the behalf of voters. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any (or all) portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is vital in a world that vales democracy and shared decision making. The people of the world have not voted upon a one world government. Local governments and councils must make decisions in conjunction with local communities for society to remain harmonious. Open and transparent process that opens up the ability for all people of the world to vote on global agreements and public health measures is essential in a civil society. There must be failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of a populace, do not want it. The global population must have assurance that there is protection from any conflict of interest. Public health measures must never allow space for influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies, or other global health profiteers. Warm Regards, Hannah Milward
Wellness Rx Inc	To whom it may concern, I am 100% against this treaty. I believe my National and local leadership, who we the people voted for must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Our constitution can not be overridden by organizations that have no accountability and after the handling of Covid, no track record of success nor credibility. Also the treaty leaves open the ongoing, undue influence in the process with terrible conflicts of interest by all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. There is no other issue more important to me than freedom and autonomy. This treaty cannot be allowed.
World Council for Health	To Whom it May Concern, I am a Master Mariner involved with the Government of Canada, as a Senior Marine Inspector and Port Warden Inspector. I am very concerned about the intentions of the WHO with this proposed new Pandemic Treaty. Any new treaty on pandemic preparedness and response should consider the following substantive new elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Captain Jacqueline Randen
general public	To whom it may concern, I am writing this as a concerned citizen of the world and I think that giving the WHO power to dictate pandemic responses and lockdowns is outrageous and a huge overstep of it's authority. We have seen so many incredible changes in the worlds response to a flu in the past 2 years. The censorship, changes in definitions of pandemic, vaccine, etc are hugely concerning. People making decisions do not have the education, expertise and have conflicts of interest. Individuals best interest are not a priority. Health care is between a properly educated health official and an individual. We are all different, have different needs and treatments. There has been no discussion with freedom of speech with regards to any of the aspects of the pandemic. The move to totalitarianism and dictatorship is wrong. The WHO is a compromised organization which acts like a PR firm for pharmaceutical companies. It does not represent the best interests of individuals. It should not have this power. Respectfully, Susan Behan
general public	To Whom It May Concern, I am writing this because I am a concerned Canadian citizen with huge issues as to how this pandemic has been dealt with in our country and around the world. I feel we are losing our freedoms and rights to make decisions about our own bodies. Governing health bodies are changing definitions of what a pandemic is, what a vaccine is, in order to install fear in the general public so that certain agendas can be manipulated into being. I feel that the WHO, CDC, and other organizations like them are just acting as PR companies for the pharmaceutical industry . The fact that the pharmaceutical companies have no liability to people who may be harmed by their drugs is wrong. If they were to make good products , they should stand by them and provide for people injured by them. I do not feel that one organization should have the right to dictate how anyone worldwide deals with flus and other infectious diseases.We are all different and any health issues should be between a person and their health care professional. The fact that there has been such censorship with respect to the disease and treatment is wrong. The thought that the WHO would have the power to order lockdowns and declare a pandemic whenever they want is outrageous. They are destroying mankind as there is so much collateral damage with lockdowns and the fear generated by them. The WHO receives funds from governments and those funds should go to caring for country's own citizens, by their own health care systems. Do not allow the WHO this power. It is wrong. Respectfully, Susan Behan
N/A	To whom it may concern, I am writing to express my rejection of your so called "pandemic preparedness". The outright lies and hypocrisy that have come from your organization and into the world governments is sickening. Most people around the world see through your ridiculous plan and will not comply. Your organization has killed and injured more people with an experimental treatment than the actual virus has actually truly harmed. There is blood on your hands and you will all be held accountable for the crimes against humanity you have blatantly partaken in. We see through your "plan" and will not stand for any of it. I am disgusted at the evil you have all commited. The world may fall but don't think for one second that any of you are immune to being dragged to hell with it.
private citizen	To whom it may concern, I consider the following points crucial when considering the creation of a pandemic preparedness plan : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. kind regards, Anna Dani
Self	To whom it may concern, I do not believe there are worldwide pandemic strategies. Every measure taken by the WHO has failed to include the one thing that can truly be of service to the population: how to IMPROVE health at such a time. If this is not spoken about, no other global measures matter. The constitution can not be overwritten. Sincerely, Allison P
Air Canada	To whom it may concern, I do not believe there should be any elements involved as I do not believe in a "globalized" pandemic preparedness. Countries should remain autonomous over how they manage their health care system. Thank you Nadine
Private	To whom it may concern, I have very serious concerns about the proposed treaty. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. May all beings be blessed with health and wellness, peaceful autonomy and body sovereignty. Canadian resident, Jaclyn Scott
Self	To whom it may concern, In case of a future health crisis or pandemic I believe loca control is paramount to the expeditious resolution of the issue. It would be deleterious to have a global response to individual needs etc. Primarily, these areas should be targeted. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration, Melissa
Individual	 To Whom it may concern, In regards to the proposed agreement for a global pandemic from the WHO please note that it needs to be considered/ added in it the following: - An detailed and transparent process, allowing citizens from all around the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. This will mean people are heard. - Full autonomy given to the national and local leadership, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - The right of nations and local municipalities to withdraw from any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. If this pandemic has shown something is that the pharmaceuticals and their associates are the only ones that have made massive earnings. The people are poorer. The whole idea is to work as communities, letting them do what they do best. To manage themselves. Someone from the WHO sitting in an office, may not identify the needs of a small community in a different part of the world as only the people living there know their needs. Best regards A. Roman
none	To whom it may concern, Please allow national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Allow the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Allow an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Allow measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time.
Urbanology	To whom it may concern, Thank you for the opportunity to provide constructive feedback on this important issue. My suggestions are: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Nadija
United States of America	To Whom It May Concern, The desired elements that should be included in an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is that centralized control should not be allowed. Local deliberation and response is most appropriate with the responsibilities of the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response responsibilities limited to non-coercive, non manipulative information sharing. Local control of the local situation is of the utmost importance and anything allowing an international, political instrument to railroad local power is dangerous to the check and balances that so many countries strive to maintain. Local control is highly important for resiliency and quick action that's appropriate, honest and fair to and for, each country's citizen. Regards, Matthew K. Olsson
I'm an individual	To Whom it May Concern, The following elements MUST be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, Pamela Kurtz
Private American Citizen	To whom it may concern, The most important element that must be included in any pandemic preparedness and response instrument is that all National and local leadership must retain full autonomy and sovereignty, reserving the right to make the best decisions for their own people, with full transparency and input from their own people. All nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence or penalty. Thank you
Individual human	To whom it may concern, The most important element that should be included in a global pandemic response treaty is upholding and maintaining individual human rights to inalienable freedoms to chose medical interventions or not. Any global pandemic response should have multiple stop gaps in place to ensure that abuses do not occur where unproven/rushed medical measures are imposed upon peoples who do not wish to participate of their free will in such programs. Economic coercion (such as no job, no pay) should not be allowed as a measure to enforce medical interventions such as vaccination upon populations who do not consent of their free will. Measures that focus on the health and wellbeing of communities (where it is recognised that poverty and a lack of access to health care contributes to diabetes and obesity rates which causes vulnerable populations during pandemics). The World Health Organisation should not have unmitigated power which is open to abuse. It must be recognised that science and research are time sensitive processes and rushing those processes does not result in human rights to personal autonomy being upheld. Yours sincerely, Nicola Bolding

Organization	Written submission
None concerned citizen	To whom it may concern, I am submitting a comment that I strongly disagree with the WHO's plan to have total control over the world's health and well being. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Sovereign individual	To whom it may concern, I object to the global treaty simply because health decisions belong to the individual to make. There is no one fits all answer to people's health issues and neither a government or non governmental body should impose themselves on free individuals. Please allow individual countries and the people within to make their own decisions regarding their health. Thank you so much for the opportunity to express my opinion. Sincerely, Nancy Mansour
1160 hutchings Home	To whom it may concern, The WHO must not have the ability to trump decisions or rulings made at local levels. This would lead to unintended consequences and could result in harming many people economically and educationally. Please do not let the WHO obtain global power in this way. Thank you. Amy Laurencelle
None (retired NHS professional)	To whom it may concern, As a, mental health professional, of 35yrs:-with advanced studies in mind:I object most strongly, in action's, & plans of the WHO. It seem that these are politically driven - & not in the interests of humanity - or health, in its true form! - (more true health information -&restrictions on toxicins, if 'health' was the motivator!) Humanity is waking up, that these strategies are driven, by power, big businesses, and 'PROFIT', by those vested interests:delusional states!
Self	To whom it may concern, here are the substantive elements which need to be included in a new pandemic preparedness and response: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Ability of each and every individual and each individual country to choose the health measures they wish to apply for themselves and their families. Thank You
Citizen	To whom it may concern, I am against this treaty as it supercedes the health rights of the individual. Heath and body autonomy should be held in the highest regard as an inalienable right. A one medical response fits all, is ludicrous at best. Regards Vanessa Buchcic.
AFLDS Citizen corp	To whom it may concern, I am fully against "The new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response " as it goes against and is repugnant to the constitution of the United States and its amendments. it is my understanding that no person representing the United States of America is permitted to enter into an agreement that would be repugnant to the constitution of the United States or its articles and amendments therein. I, as a citizen of the United States Shall not Give up my civil rights as defined and guaranteed under the United States Constitution. Thank you
None/Self	To whom it may concern, I appreciate that there is a governing body that has been elected to represent the health of people worldwide and to try and share honest information. I believe this is valuable IF honest communication and deliberation is the result of this group of people. What should be a part of any future deliberation is a WIDE variety of health professionals- not people in a paid organization. Not just a collaboration of medical doctors and politicians, but alternative and complementary medicine and chiropractors and acupuncture, homeopaths.... There really needs to be people from every country represented- people who practice all forms of medicine. Never should one body of people implement worldwide solutions! And speaking from the United States alone, being FORCED into a protocol is the opposite of freedom and is detrimental to our country and what it stands for. Isn't this "pandemic " enough to prove that? People are smarter about their own health and what they need for themselves and for their families than any distant governing body. People should never be allowed to make medical decisions for others. Under no circumstances should there ever be a governing body of people that makes a decision for every being in the entire world. There is never one solution to a problem ever. And to be honest, it would be hard to find a governing body that was not operating under some kind of ulterior motive. Even the majority of our health professionals are being compensated somehow. One governing body to make a decision for the entire world is not empowering for individuals. It does. It uphold the freedom that we value nor religious differences. This should never be allowed. Thank you, Holly Kastl
Re-balance your life	To Whom it may concern, if a pandemic treaty is to be adopted the following 10 points must be in it: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Nz citizen	To whom it may concern, it's worries me to see the WHO trying to put all countries under the same Blanket when it comes to a pandemic. We can see the effects now of all Countries following your guidance for example Australia. The proven policies that break human rights,forced mandates , unhealthy mask wearing, closures of business and economy. The lawlessness of Governments. The lack of care given to injured people from vaccine injuries due to them not wanting to cause vaccination hesitantly. This is a pandemic of greed not caring for the community of the people. A pandemic should be in the hands of a selected committee in their own country, with selected people from the health community and the armed forces, elected by the New Zealand people. Politicians should never be involved as they have proven easily corrupted. Bringing my first point - 1. increased risk of corruption due to only allowing one point of view. 2. Placement of country in regards to an out break. 3. lack of communication between the citizens and people in charge. My biggest fear is it will be another dictatorship where people are told and expected to follow like lambs to the slaughter, without any personal choices or medical debate on what is the best approach. Like our current MP and her One source of truth, only the brain washed and abused now believe her. This abuse of power is seen all through time , so no I don't agree with this treaty and in this time and place I question your true motive for our Country. So I say no to your treaty with New Zealand. Regards T Waters
citizen of the USA	To whom it may concern, the following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	To whom it may concern, the WHO does not need a global pandemic treaty. This would be a direct threat to the people of world's freedoms and inalienable rights. Countries need to be allowed to decide what it right for them on an individual basis, not as a one size fits all around the world. Furthermore individuals around the world should be allowed to vote on what is best for their own country dependent on what the current situation is as that time. It should not be decided for them by a signal organization.
None	To whom it may concern, The overwhelming damage to our democratic infrastructure will only be further enhanced by a continuing transfer to the supra-national bodies.The World Health Organisation,whatever its reputation, has no place assuming even greater influence over the inherent democratic freedoms of individual countries which are the last bastion of self-determination from increasing,overwhelming global governance.I could go on, but keeping it simple is always best,I do not support the WHO having the over-arching power to declare a global pandemic and then dictate measures, either pharmaceutical or non-pharmaceutical,at their whim in league with the international drug companies - the sovereign mandate needs to remain in individual countries hands ie as near to us, the people as possible! I won't hold my breath. Thank you for your time, Richard Cook

U.S. citizen	To Whom It May Concern,
	    I believe no one in the world needs the W.H.O. to decide any of our health decisions during a pandemic or at any time.
	    I am 72 years old and have been taking care of myself choosing my own health needs and I will continue to do so. No stranger shall decide for me.
	    Thank you, Sheila Dolan

none	To whom it may concern, I am writing to you today because I object to the plan for the WHO to make decisions regarding Americans health. This treaties will remove power from the United States and is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO should not have power over the health decisions of individual countries. This treaty will take away the individual decision making ability of countries, which is wrong. The United States, like other countries in the WHO, is an individual country and should be able to make decisions on its own, for its own people. The WHO should mind its own business and let sovereign countries govern themselves.
No affiliation	To Whom it May Concern, I do NOT Believe it's in the best interest of ALL Countries and citizens involved having a single Government Regime, controlling all Countries responses over anything regarding Health issues such as a pandemic. Every Country has very different needs and there are so many variables. It's very dangerous to citizens allowing pharmaceutical company's who are biased with making a lot of money to be involved. Many people lost their lives during Covid because of mandated Mrna injections. People who are not voted into power by the people should not have absolute say over our Bodies. Where there is risk there absolutely has to be choice. The WHO should never have control of how pandemics are handled with absolute power...
Self	To whom it may concern, I, Donald Baker, do hereby respectfully request, that in consideration of the drafting and design regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, that you would please consider and make provisions for the following key points: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Also, I would like to thank the counsel for your valuable time, resources and consideration on this matter. Thank you. Donald Baker
n/a	To whom it may concern, I believe that any funded lab experimentation with viruses should be declared a dire threat to humanity, and their practices stopped immediately, on a global scale. The best pandemic response is to educate the populace with the intelligent means to gauge their own susceptibility to a virus. Therefore, the sole function of the WHO should be to educate people to specific dangers. Quarantining, the shutting down of economies, making assumptions about the health susceptibility of a general populace with regard to the less healthy, is a practice that spreads viruses more rapidly due to the closed quartering of large groups of people. This is a self defeating practice. Economies need to remain open and functioning, people need the freedom of mobility for their mental health. Children and young adults, who are the least susceptible, should be allowed to move freely. If you have a compromised immune system as an adult, then you should be encouraged through educative means to stay indoors. I believe the reaction to Covid-19 to be a monumental failure, not only locally, but globally. Our children have suffered the most: extremely higher rates of depression and suicide have been the result. I am the father of a seventeen year old and have seen the negative consequences first hand. Thank you for your time Daniel Stein
Human	To Whom It May Concern, I am strongly opposed to this treaty or any of its kind for the following reasons. 1- Each country is different. Declaring a global pandemic and imposing a standardized set of controls violates a countries sovereignty and handicaps their ability to respond based on their populations needs. 2- The WHO was completely wrong in their dealing with Covid and there is zero oversight or accountability in the decision making process. Who makes these decisions? What is the criteria for a pandemic? What are the numbers and metrics used to declare a pandemic? When will evidence be presented or what evidence will be used regarding restrictions and rules? Who has a say? None of this should be ambiguous but it is. Who decides when a pandemic is over? Who gets to alter or change these definitions? Should this be in the hands of unelected bureaucrats that answer the whom? This should NEVER happen. Clear guidelines are required AND country autonomy is a must. The utter failure during covid to acknowledge the evolutionary progression of ALL viruses AND use that information to assess actual population based risk that just occurred, does not suggest that centralized control with less oversight regarding who makes the decisions is a good idea. We have just witnessed bad science, poor science, misleading science all happen at once. The WHO is part of that problem. 3- I will reiterate I am opposed to this treaty. A centralized agency that controls a population level health is clearly a constitutional overstep in the United States. The United States signing a treaty, such as the one proposed violates it's tenants and is therefore moot.
Individual	To Whom It May Concern, I respectfully do not support the U.S. joining the WHO in a one size fits all pandemic treaty. There's diversity in each country in all ways: ethnically, socioeconomically, culturally, demographically, as well as different terrain, and weather. These differences must be respected; therefore, there will be different needs and ways of doing things. Countries should retain their own national and local leadership autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The current pandemic showed that it is useful to have many different scientists from all over the world studying different aspects and different methods, supplements and drugs treatment for C-19 infections. From the diversity of different approaches, there have been comparisons of how different societies have protected their people. Each nation and local municipality should have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequences. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability of citizens to be able to vote on including failsafe measures that would prevent the global agreement in places where the majority do not want it. Conflict of interest should be maintained by measures that do not allow for influence in the process, for example by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health. thank you, Cynthia Shiba
Body Intelligent Center	To whom it may concern, *Decisions for individual healthare by a global organization that does not tailor health to individual needs are unnacceptable. *Decisions for individual healthare by a global organization that not respect the doctor - patient relationship are unacceptable. *Decisions for individual healthare by a global organization that don't honor scientific debate are unacceptable (ex. peer reviewed pandemic response is available and I encourage heathly conversation: https://aapsonline.org/) Please discuss the above topics openly by parties for AND against the global treaty.
The Mikesell Group, LLC	To whom it may concern, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Protect our sovereignty and individual rights. Val Mikesell Gig Harbor, WA
Citizen of Canada	To whom it may concern, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the United States	To Whom It May Concern, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Dependable Dialysis Staffing	To whom it may concern, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Mortgage Management Florida	To whom it may concern, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private citizen	To whom it may concern, 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. There should be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Myself	To whom it may concern, A response to a pandemic can not strip individuals from their rights to body autonomy and their rights to manage their own health in the way that they know is in their best interest. Governments and the WHO can not have the power to control and coerce their citizens by imposing arbitrary and at times ridiculous rules to curtail their freedom of movement and punish them, in effect, for not following their rules regarding managing a health crisis. To write this comment, I had to prove that I was a human being. I would like to retain the right to think and act like a human being who lives in a world where freedom still exists. I am not talking about ignoring a health crisis and being irresponsible but I am talking about making choices that are best for myself and my family in the reality of my situation. I am vaccinated, but I strongly disagree with the use of vaccine passports as a way to keep citizens out of public spaces like restaurants, stores etc This was used as an obvious form of coercion where I live. There was no scientific justification at one point since the variants were infecting vaccinated people also. It was a tool to divide the population and force people to submit to being vaccinated. There needs to be more debate on this issue. This was totally lacking in this pandemic. We need all the voices to weigh. I wish that governments and theWHO could put as much effort into banning toxic substances from our planet and help foster humanity's wellbeing moving forward.
Self	To Whom It May Concern, A response to a public health threat is important. Yet, the extent of that response should be limited to each individual country. I do not support any treaty between countries that take the personal health decisions out of the hands of the individuals and their respective countries. Please refrain from making any treaties that would threaten individual freedoms. It is threatening to think that one institution would have so much power over the matters of independent countries and their citizens. Please do what is right and consider the burdens that some of these extensive policies may bring on the people of this world. May God Bless whoever reads this an may your heart be with the people.
Individual	To Whom It May Concern, after the total failure of the handling of the Covid-19 pandemic, the WHO should have no business in andvicing and dictating public health policy anywhere in the world. From the unnecessary damaging lockdowns, wich pushed hundreds of millions of people into poverty and despair all around the world, to the despicable actions of the organization regarding the demonization of effective early prevention methods (e.g. Ivermectin), to the accelerated rollout of the unsafe and uneffective mRna vaccines the organization proved itself to be the tool of corrupt corporate interests and tyrannical governments all around the world. The organization made mockery out of the profession of medicine. The perpetrators of this outrageous atrocity should be held accountable as soon as possible.
Citizen of the United States	To whom it may concern, As a citizen of the United States, I do not submit to giving the WHO any authority over the United States of America or any of her citizens for any reason or purpose. Our heritage is not one that we are a people that will be ruled save the Almighty God himself. Stop trying to implement your totalitarian tyrannical dictatorship over the world. Sincerely, Mrs. Mary Beth Clauss
Renfro Family	To whom it may concern, As a citizen of the US I strongly oppose any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. Concerning pandemic preparedness and response I believe all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. I believe in bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent when it comes to any health decision. I oppose policies that require US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health that also impacts the freedom of travel and trade. I also oppose the inevitable sharing of private health data that underlies the functionality of increased global responses. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. As the WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Thank you for taking my beliefs into consideration. Sincerely, Lezlie Renfro
Anchikoski Family	To whom it may concern, As a concerned citizen of Canada I am absolutely opposed to any global treaty or rule set that supersedes my right as a Canadian under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. As such, the WHO's "global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response" must allow for opt out at every level, must never undermine the sovereignty of a nation and its peoples, and must provide "layman understandable" wording to any subjects that could lead to confusion. All proposals must be agreed upon by everyone involved, not only the elite top-level guards of the various organization tiers that separate the individual from the law makers. Thank you. Sincerely, Randy Anchikoski Cornwall, PE C0A1H5 Canada
personal	To whom it may concern, As an American citizen I am against America losing it's right to choose for itself on Covid related matters or anything else. We reserve the right to make our own decisions as a nation and as individuals. I believe both state and federal governments have the right to opt out of anything they do not think is necessary for their communities. I believe that anyone making profit off of medical treatment, research or services should not have a right to vote in such matters and that all processes remain transparent. Thank you for considering my opinion. Regards, Deborah DiGiorgio
Concerned citizen	To whom it may concern, As we have seen in the most recent Covid-19 pandemic, countries such as Sweden had a more measured and successful approach to fighting the pandemic than those who followed the World Health Organization's guidelines. The countries that locked down their populations, forced mask mandates and experimental gene therapies on their populations had the worst outcomes and continue to see mounting Covid-19 cases. The most critical substantive element to this treaty would be that ALL participation would be voluntary. Any treaty language should explicitly state that governments agree not to use coercive mandates of any kind and that civil liberties should be protected at all costs. Also countries may want to co-operate on sharing of information and resources but participation should again be voluntary, with no binding agreements on a countrywide level. We have seen that abandoning commitments to individual and local autonomy caused far greater harm than the virus. We will be accounting for the damages that the response to the Covid-19 pandemic brought to our planet for decades to come. Thank you.
Conservative Ladies of Washington	To Whom It May Concern, As your organization determines a course of action to address the potential threat of future pandemics, please consider the sovereignty of nations. Each country should have the liberty to determine what is best for its people and should retain full autonomy. Each nation should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement. There should be no action taken against a nation that reserves the right to decline or limit their involvement in a treaty. Individuals of each nation should have a voice and be given sufficient information and disclosure to make an informed decision to support or reject an agreement. And finally, no company, individual, or entity should profit financially from an implemented treaty. The sole objective should be to protect human life. Respectfully, Jennifer Holmes USA
Myself and my family	To Whom It May Concern, As your organization determines a course of action to address the potential threat of future pandemics, please consider the sovereignty of nations. Each country should have the liberty to determine what is best for its people and should retain full autonomy. Each nation should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement. There should be no action taken against a nation that reserves the right to decline or limit their involvement in a treaty. Individuals of each nation should have a voice and be given sufficient information and disclosure to make an informed decision to support or reject an agreement. And finally, no company, individual, or entity should profit financially from an implemented treaty. The sole objective should be to protect human life. Respectfully, Jeanne Barnum Washington State, USA
Community Chiropractic Wellness Center	To whom it may concern, Each country should be able to make their own decisions about how to respond to a pandemic. This power should not be handed over to the World Health organization. This much power given to one organization should not Happen. Michael A. DeFino D.C.

Organization	Written submission
Private person	To whom it may concern, Here are the points which should be taken under consideration when establishing the pandemic preparedness and response Initiative: ·Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden ·Discriminatory measures should be prohibited ·All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states ·All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process ·As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law
Homeschool Teacher/Education Consultant/Reading Tutor	To Whom it May Concern, I am a citizen of the USA and the state of California. These are the elements that should be included in any agreement: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank tiu for your consideration, Theresa Herning
Raw Gourmet Living LLC	To Whom It May Concern, I am an American who believes my country is based under God, Governed by the US Constitution and Bill of Rights. I enter the following points in disagreement; arguments against your upcoming agenda to remove my personal Freedoms as you are wont. I vote to recognize and retain these following statements, thereby negating your proposed "agenda" A. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. B. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. C. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. D. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time. I remain... Sincerely Yours in Freedom and Faith. Catherine Beaman Austin, TX 78744 April 12, 2022
N/A	To whom it may concern, I am deeply opposed to the proposed Treaty which will infringe on the rights of sovereign nations and sovereign INDIVIDUALS, and threaten our individual autonomy over what we choose to put into our bodies. Nations and more importantly individuals need to be free to make those decisions on their own. This treaty will provide countries with the gateway to "sell away" our sovereignty as if that is theirs to give. It will encourage countries to participate in the deterioration of democracy as we know it. Matters such as this need to be PROTECT democracy, not infringe on it. Stop.The.Treaty!
Dommermuth-1, LLC	To Whom it may concern, I am opposed to this treaty because it opens the world up to a single point of failure. Should a nefarious entity manage to assume control of the WHO, the treaty would allow said entity enormous power and with no means to claim it back. In an ideal world the centralization of health concerns makes sense, but clearly this is not an ideal world. For this reason, health concerns need to be decentralized so that the inevitable corruption of one of it's parts and it's negative impact is limited. Kurt Dommermuth
U.S. Citizen	To whom it may concern, I am STRONGLY OPPOSED to an "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response." Healthcare should be managed locally as the needs of the population are unique by location. International efforts, due to their scale and scope, are by their very nature less effective at addressing local needs. Analogy: It is like using measurements from different men to make a handmade suit. The arm length from one man, the pant length from another man and the wait circumference from another man. What do you end up with? A suit that fits no one! Respectfully, Clare Campbell
World Health	To whom it may concern, I am writing because I believe national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Individual Response	To Whom It May Concern, I am writing to express strong concern that the local and national representatives retain full autonomy and power to make necessary decisions for the people they speak for as needed. In order to enable effective responses that are in accordance with each country, there needs to be a consequence free opt-out approach to any/all aspects of this proposed pandemic agreement. It is important for this entire process of all aspects of this proposed agreement to be fully transparent and that every individual who will be impacted by it-so everyone on the planet, have easy access to vote on whether it is wanted and that the agreement does NOT get implemented against majority rule if it is not wanted. It is vital that there be zero influence or interference by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other companies who have any type of conflict of interest with the proposed pandemic agreement due to financial or other gains they will receive if it is implemented. This must be a fair and clear choice by all the citizens of the globe since it is those very people this agreement is claiming to protect and keep safe. Thank you for your time, Lori Frederick
Personal Submission	To whom it may concern, I am writing to oppose the formation of a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Every country's individual sovereignty must be ensured, and the proposed treaty impinges on the rights of individual countries. National governance must be able to keep full autonomy to make choices in a pandemic that it views is in the best interests of its people. Local and indigenous peoples must also be protected to be able to opt out of any parts of the agreement without consequence. I do not feel this deacision should be made on behalf of all peoples in the world by the WHO. If it is desired to pitch this, all peoples on earth should be able to demcratically vote as to whether they would like this treaty in place. Many thanks, Henoch Kloosterboer
N/A	To whom it may concern, I am writing with regards to the pandemic response query currently open for response on the WHO website. I firmly believe national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Additionally, I would request an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. These measures should also exclude and not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Health is a private matter and body autonomy, personal choice and fundamental freedoms should supperceed a governments ability to dictate. Decisions regarding medical treatment(s) should be left at the discretion of families, individuals and the freedom of doctors to engage in healthy debates against medical treatment should be allowed as part of a fail safe to protect future generations. Thank you, Robin
Private	To whom it may concern, I am wrtiting to raise objections against this treaty proposed by the WHO. I am also in agreement with the submission made by the World Council of health. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	To Whom it May concern, I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I strongly believe an international instrument should guarantee the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I would like to see an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Furthermore, I would like to see measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Concerned US citizen	To whom it may concern, I believe that National and local leaderships should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make revisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that a single organization should not be entitled to implementing measures for the whole of the world, when these individuals within the organization are not voted for by the people of the world. There should be open transparency with the people of the world having a voice and being able to vote on such measures. We are not a "one size fits all" society, and should not be treated as such. Thank you for your time and attention.
Human	To whom it may concern, I believe the who should leave sovereign states to decide for themselves on health issues. We know who is funded by nefarious peoples, is headed and run by policy makers not doctors or scientists, and its agenda is to wield authority over the entire world in ways that the free people of this earth do not abide. The miserable handling of the covid crisis by whos leaders and funders is inexcusable. Stay out of sovereign nations as we will handle out own affairs. We know this is a power grab and the free people of this earth will be just that, FREE. There are multitudes of scientific researchers and doctors investigating the tragic and horrific consequences of the latest pandemic. These findings are being brought to light everyday. Through the light the darkness of the who will be exposed. Try as you might to take control of the free people of the earth in this power grab, you will not succeeded. Good over powers evil.
individual	To Whom It May Concern, I believe your plan for a WHO Pandemic Treaty is a very bad one. Countries and people should always have the right to their own bodily autonomy an how they choose to react to events. Everyone is an individual and has their own way of caring for themselves. Countries should not be told by a global governing force how they are to react in a pandemic. One country's circumstances may differ from another's so to blatantly treat all the same is not acceptable. This treaty directly infringes on the rights and freedoms of countries and individuals and that should never be allowed. Thank you, Fiona Malmberg
Personal	To whom it may concern, I DO NOT agree with vaccine passports or mandatory vaccines. It's not one size fits all and it is definitely not for our well-being. Everyone should be free to chose for themselves and their families their best course of action against any virus without penalties. What you can do is educate people on healthy habits, healthy eating, exercise and self care. And maybe stop corporations from polluting everything and everyone. Thank you, Aphrodite
North River builders	To Whom It May Concern, I do not believe that the W H O should have oversight on pandemic prevention plans. That is too much authority to entrust in the hands of one organization, Here are a few reasons: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Joel Tedford
Individual	To whom it may concern, I do not believe there needs to be an international pandemic response which dictates to communities and organisations who are much more knowledgeable of the needs of their own people. Public health is not "one-size-fits-all". While guidance and help at times may be requested, an unelected international body has no right to dictate its views, as if it is some sort of international government who knows best. People and communities should be in control of their own health. Most importantly, individuals must also be able to maintain control of their own health decisions and be free from any sort of imposed medical treatment. No state body has the right to force medicine on a person. Above all, we must continue to abide by the principle to "first do no harm" and realise that utilitarianism is not right -- we cannot forcibly sacrifice a few for the good of the many (as inevitably happens where free health decisions are not protected and authoritarian bodies act "for the good" of all). Kind regards, Shannon Roberts
NA	To Whom It May Concern, I do not believe there should be an international pandemic policy. I believe the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Best, Seema
N/A	To Whom it May Concern, I do NOT feel it is in the best interest of all countries and peoples involved to have one governing body over see anything health related such as a pandemic! There are way too many variables when it comes to something of such magnitude! Look at what has happened with the Covid Pandemic and putting all trust in the pharmaceutical companies involved with a therapy that neither has helped and only taken too many lives to date and according to the information provided may take many more lives in the years to come! Putting all eggs in one basket has never been a good approach to anything! One size does not fit all! Take the lessons you have learned from the current pandemic and make recommendations but in NO WAY SHAPE OR FORM should the WHO handle all pandemic's as one entity! Mankind and Science should be handled with the utmost respect and diversification!
citizen of the U.S.A.	To whom it may concern, I do not support any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. I believe that it should be left to individual countries how to respond. An instrument like this would be one more action aimed at eroding national sovereignty. If there is such an instrument, it should: 1. Outlaw lockdowns and shutdowns 2. Make it very difficult to declare a pandemic (previously, the WHO weakened the criteria for declaring pandemics). 3. Outlaw vaccine and mask mandates, 4. Make conventional and natural therapies the first line of response. 5. Outlaw vaccine passport-type instruments. 6. Limit any power of the WHO to purely offering advice 7. Outlaw the use of 'models' as a basis for setting policy (especially any models developed by Neil Ferguson, who is notorious for generating fearful, inaccurate models). 8. The WHO should not accept any funding connect to Bill Gates, who has a personal, self-interested public health agenda. Thank you for your attention to my views, Daniel Seitz, JD, EdD
Citizen of Canada	To whom it may concern, I do not support this treaty. At minimum the following points should be implemented and adopted. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, J-L Gendreau
Christiana care home health	To whom it may concern, I feel strongly that the independence an individual needs of a society should have the right to be able to make a determination for what's best for themselves. This is the basic premise of freedom. One entity should not rule the entire world whether they believe it is the right thing to do or not. It eliminates freedom. The four basic tenants are this: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I sincerely hope that these tenants are incorporated into a treaty if one exists. Otherwise, guidelines are a much more appropriate way to do things. Critical thinking is always clouded in groupthink. If one organization consisting ofSimilar minds will likely eliminate alternative strategies and resources to be able to determine an appropriate response. I think we can all agree that the United States of America has been looked upon as the greatest country to ever exist. This is due to that so reason… The ability to have diverse opinions and freedom. Sincerely, Andrew Weismer
Connecting Wild Notions	To Whom It May Concern, I outlined the substantive elements that should be considered in an email I sent to the CDC through the organization Stand for Health Freedom on October 27th, 2021. Because the email exceeds the 250 word limit, I've included an excerpt below. For the elements that need to be taken into consideration by the WHO, please refer to the site of Dr. Zach Bush: zachbushmd.com. Sincerely, Sable "Like with the new COVID-19 vaccine technology, when GMO technology was first developed and introduced into food systems it was hailed as 'a necessary solution' and was rushed. The holistic vantage point was ignored. Since then, chronic illnesses have increased exponentially. The same type of discordant cycle is now occurring with the COVID-19 vaccine technology. My great-grandfather contributed to the development and widespread use of GMOs. It is now largely recognized that the way GMO technology was utilized has been, and continues to be damaging. Yet, those with the mindset of my great-grandfather are still regarded as the 'experts.' These so-called experts are shortsighted and often profit-driven. Those who are pushing the mass-vaccination approach are doing so because they are clinging to a narrow-minded, old-paradigm version of science."
General Public	To whom it may concern, I think that any instrument for pandemic preparedness and response would be well served by encouraging a diversity of local approaches to responding to a pandemic. Just as in nature a diverse ecosystem is much more robust than a mono-culture, if a diversity of localised pandemic response approaches are taken initially, the most successful of these can be quickly adopted by other regions. In such a model the WHO can play an important role in the rapid sharing of information and statistics between localities, while also supporting local autonomy in decision making. Yours Sincerely, William Power
Solo	To Whom It May Concern, I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHO Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHO have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise !! Regards Emilio Garcia
Private	To whom it may concern, I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General, said himself that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic' in nature. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the1916 Rising which occurred in an effort to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise regards Nora Concannon
Private Individual	To Whom it may concern, I wish to register that I am strongly against the proposed Global Pandemic Treaty. There has not been sufficient time nor dissemination of information to the citizens of this world for us to fully understand the ramifications of this Treaty. In my view, such global measures undermine the sovereignty of national responses as well as individuals' choices. The loss of freedom consequent on the COVID Pandemic measures were extreme and worrying. This further proposal is a step too far. The actual Covid pandemic measures we have endured were not only ineffective but non scientific. For all the so-called support for 'democracy', this Treaty appears to be another strategem that undermines true democracy. There has been no call from the majority of the individuals in this world for such a Treaty. We have not been consulted nor considered. Please refrain from putting this further yoke on the necks of those who only wish to left to enjoy what little freedoms global initiatives have left us.
American Health Network	To whom it may concern, I would like to express my opposition to the current proposal by the WHO for a Pandemic Treaty unless it allows the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely Stephen Kebe MD United States
None	To Whom It May Concern, I would like to offer my suggestions and perspective on the proposed pandemic preparedness agreement. Firstly, any agreement made must fully recognize, respect and maintain each sovereign nation's right to make decisions that are best for its citizens. There are many factors and variables or each country that must be taken into account when such decisions are made, such as climate, religion, economy and culture to name a few, and a 'one size fits all' approach will not work for all nations. As such, nations and local communities (municipalities) must be able to opt out of any or all of the agreement at any time, without penalty. Additionally, as this agreement would affect all people of planet Earth, a process that is open and transparent should be implemented. All people on planet Earth would be eligible and have the opportunity to vote on all aspects of the agreement, to ensure that each person, region and nation's rights are respected at all times. There should be failsafe measures put in place to safeguard these rights at all times. Finally, all conflicts of interest such as outside influence of pharmaceutical companies and health related industries should not be tolerated or allowed to have any connection to this agreement in any way. Measures need to be put in place to prevent this scenario from ever happening. Any findings of wrong-doing should be met with serious consequences. Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Shelley Watt

Organization	Written submission
Self-employed	To Whom it may concern, I would like to see a recognition of each country's sovereignty and ability to opt out and regain control of their country's response efforts, for any reason whatsoever, without penalty. I would like to see a monthly open and transparent review of the pandemic plan throughout the emergency, including business, parent, psychology, economic and health experts from around the world. I would like representatives of numerous countries (never the same group for each meeting to ensure no small group has/retains control). These meetings/reviews must be public for the world to see, with active engagement from each country's communities (referendums throughout the emergency and the given committee person at that time must abide by the open vote). I would like to see a recognition of Charter and Nuremberg rights, and adherence thereof. No country official (elected or unelected) can have the ability to rescind human rights to bodily sovereignty or movement or work for any reason or under coercive efforts (no mandates on masking, no mandates on vaccinations). Efforts must only target the most vulnerable, and for the shortest time period possible. I would like to see an automatic removal of emergency declarations and power at the time death percentage falls below 10% percentage. This requires deaths counted only OF (not WITH) the disease. Thank you.
None	To Whom It May Concern, I'm writing to hold the WHO accountable to their word: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." As this is clearly stated in the WHO Constitution, the WHO should not create treaties that remove power from the US because it would create a dangerous outcome in relation to the health and welfare of Americans. ALL health decisions must stay close to home and far away from governments.
	To whom it may concern, I'm writing as a concerned citizen, that the WHO would be in position to have the power to dictate and over rule Democratically Elected Governments, at what treatments or measures citizens would need in light of another Pandemic. A one size fits all Globalist agenda is wrong on all levels. Firstly every human is different, and trying to force citizens to have medical treatments without taking into consideration personal circumstances would be a terrible precident for the WHO and the wrong way to proceed. As with Covid, a one size fits all agenda did not work, and in the end, natural immunity exposure, ie Human nature has been the greatest success in this Pandemic. Thank you for reading. J Harding
State of Missouri, USA	To Whom It May Concern, In spite of my respect for all the great work the WHO has done in the past by the WHO, I am writing to ask you reconsider the "pandemic international treat. Sometimes it's better to take a breather and let the dust clear. There are too many moving parts and it is my fear that it could possibly hinder the cause of Public Health rather than help it, Yours Truly, John Wynhausen
Member of the public/private citizen	To whom it may concern, It is essential that the proposed treaty allows autonomy for nation states to make their own decisions regarding any future health emergencies. A global health treaty must not override the various constitutions, bill of rights and other legal protections specific to that nation. It is also imperative that nations are able to opt out of elements of a global health treaty, without censure or political consequence. As we have seen during the current pandemic, there is no 'one size fits all' response, and health outcomes have varied from country to country, even when following the same or similar advice. Culture, climate, genetic variance, geographic placement etc all have their part to play in how populations experience the outbreak of disease. A blanket approach is therefore not the right approach and individual nations must be able to respond in the ways that suit their unique situation. Any global health treaty must ensure that pharmaceutical companies are not able to leverage crises for huge profit, and somehow the current relationship between private companies and government must be regulated to prevent both current and future conflicts of interest, & blatant profiteering. Finally, a global health treaty must have as core to its very fabric, an overt statement that no treatment, medication or vaccination will be forced upon people, neither by coercion, threat, mandate or law. The Nuremberg code must be overtly upheld in this treaty, as fundamental to human rights. In the same manner, this treaty must overtly uphold the sovereignty and autonomy of the individual person to make medical decisions for themselves. Kind regards, Tracey Skinner-Brown
Private Citizen	To Whom It May Concern, It is imperative this treat not be adopted for the following reasons: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the free world	To whom it may concern, It is my opinion as a citizen of the free world that any international pandemic response preparedness should include: 1.) National and local governments should be able to make such determinations based on what is right for its own people through the continued democratic voting process. 2.) The independent nations and governments should have the option to opt out of any portions or all of the agreements if they see fit to do so without consequence. 3.) This must be an open and transparent process where all people of the world can vote, including fail safe measures to prevent the application of such global agreements in places where the people do not want it. 4.) There must be restrictive measures which do not allow any influence in this process by any and all pharmaceutical companies and other local or global health profiteers. 5.) If global agencies effort to protect the people of the world, they must do so by including the voices and choices of the people they aim to protect. Without this world democratic process there will certainly be uprisings which will prevent any such protections and create problems worse than the harms aimed to protect from. 6.) One's religious freedoms and choices for what goes in their bodies or their children's bodies should always be included in any such plan. Humanitarian rights should never be removed and always supported. If one removes one's god or religion we are no longer a free people and world community.
An Stuideo Fiain	To whom it may concern, It is my position that all national and local leadership throughout the world must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This includes the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without negative repercussions. It is essential that an open and transparent process be engaged with the ability for all people of the world to vote upon whatever measures are proposed, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be taken to ensure against any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours truly, Catherine Thompson
Lady Latitude	To whom it may concern, It is my position that all national and local leadership throughout the world must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. This includes the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without negative repercussions. It is essential that an open and transparent process be engaged with the ability for all people of the world to vote upon whatever measures are proposed, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be taken to ensure against any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours truly, Laura Sandeson
Citizen of Canada	To whom it may concern, It is my understanding that the WHO will be voting soon on a plan to implement a global pandemic response. I believe that this will give the World Health Organization undemocratic rights over sovereign people. This nothing but a power grab that stifles democratic principles which have been put in place to ensure individuals have the right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. Up until now the WHO has not been engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than in serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. I believe that national and local leadership must be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people in their own country. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote to include failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures must be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Dawn Kalsbeek
None	To Whom It May Concern, It is not in the interest of sovereign individuals to have their health wishes handed over to an international organization. Each country should be able to make its own choices about how to handle a health emergency. The United States of America prizes the Constitution and the rights it gives to the individual, but a worldwide health pass would jeopardize these freedoms. WHO should let countries govern themselves in regard to health issues. Personal choice is imperative for a free society. Cayla Ramos
Individual citizen	To whom it may concern, Kindly add the below as needed safeguards to the sovereignty of individuals and nations around the world: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where any amount of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that prevent undue influence from any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you. Ume Arai
World Council for Health	To whom it may concern, Local solutions, and not top down international instruments/elements! No more lab created pandemics! It gave a lot of wealthy people a lot of money and made the poor people poorer. People lost jobs, and have no health insurance now. Children missed 2 years of proper schooling and many people have mental health issues, drug dependencies, are tempted to kill themselves. Young children have speech delays. Many died instead of being told how to stay healthy and given ivermectin. Instead people were bombarded by media and fake science, being cajoled to get 4 shots that turned out not to work. Many got insured. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I am counting on you to live up to what your constitution says. Thank you. No more mandates! No more global solutions.
Das Bewoteam	To whom it may concern, looking at the pandemic it is very clear that most of the measurements had no success.... very many people died... - alone, when they were old and could not be visited by their relatives - because there was no early treatment, but just send home from their doctors, who told them go to the hospital if it gets worse....... and than it was to late for treatment - because ivermectin was to cheap and no profit would be made in Big Pharma - because if there would have been a cheap medicine, the vaccinations would have not be able to be on the market so quickly - because nobody wanted to treat people - because different voices were not heard - because people with differing voices were made "flat earthers" no matter how sophisticated there career had been before. - Masks had no success - lockdowns only made people poorer and children more crazy Because this was all launched with the help of the WHO, there is a big chance that the next measurements will be a complete failure too: Control will not bring health, vaccination will not bring health, thinking that people cannot think for themselves will bring no health I can understand that with so many people on earth it feels safe to control them all. BE MORE BRAVE! THERE ARE OTHER WAYS!!
World Council For Health	To Whom it may concern, National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Len Satov
Indigenous Services Canada	To whom it may concern, No substantive elements should be included because: Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. The proposed treaty undermines individual bodily autonomy and removes the right for communities at the local level to having self-governing authorities. Canada, like many other countries have a large population of Indigenous Nations with Natural and human rights to self-determination following their traditions of wellbeing and healing. The WHO should not be imposing any restrictions on these rights. Thank you
Concerned citizen	To Whom It May Concern, PLEASE CONSIDER: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Marney Powell
Children's Health Defense	To whom it may concern, Please stop the treaty. Our National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We the people know what is best for our families and communities. Best regards, Suzanne Budwitz
Grand Mother	To whom it may concern, Prior to covid 19 I believed that the actions of groups such as yours were in the best interest of the people in general. With just a little bit of research and critical thinking it has become obvious that this couldn't be further from the truth. You are destroying the globe and people. As a body of globalist puppets, I do not believe anything that comes from your mouth. I am not alone. Thank you, Shannon Unger
Canadian citizen	To whom it may concern, Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Living here in Quebec, Canada, we did everything in therms of "sanitary" mesures (lockdowns, vaccine passeport, mask mandates...) and it did not help our situation. Certain country and states have been treating covid (India, Japan, Florida...) and their people are living way more normal life than the majority of the world is right now. Nothing is a one size fits all, even more in terms of medical choices/protocol. We should follow the science, READ, AKNOLEDGE, QUESTION the Pfizer documents regarding the vaccinations and PUBLICLY do so. We should be listen to doctors who have saved patients treating them and we should PROMOTE healthy lifestyle habits. What is beautiful with life is that you can become what ever you want to be. If our liberties aren't respected, what's it the point? Everybody makes choices impacting their lives everyday (eating processed foods, not exercising, not spending time outside...) and that will reflect their CHOICES and HEALTH. Health is not a privelege, it is mostly a choice. But, not being aware that you have so much power over your health isn't fare. Sure, sometimes life surprises us with terrible news like cancer, malformations... but life is meant to be lived the way you want it. What is the point of living if you cannot realise oneself? That is not what life is. Life is about LIVING. Sincerly, Alex
the barsh consulting co.	To Whom It May Concern, The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully and gratefully yours, Emily
Self	To WHOm it May Concern, The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Bonney Meyer, RN
Personal	To whom it may concern, The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Chris
Sivo	To whom it may concern, The following points are relevant for us and we agree that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We hope that we can retain our rights at national level. Best Regards Jocelyn Ruiz Sivo member
N/A	To whom it may concern, The idea of having an international governing body to regulate a global response to pandemics is foolish since it will not allow for local communities to respond appropriately. There is far too much opportunity for further corruption leading to global control by powerful corporations, organizations and individuals who do not have the health of the global population as the top priority. Additionally, a treated such as this does not supersede the Constitution of the United States of America, and therefore would be invalid even if signed by U.S. politicians.
Public comment	To WHOm it may concern, The idea that one body should govern the whole world or 194 nations is absolutely insane. This is an overreach beyond anything ever suggested. We as Americans will not support this treaty and suggest that this request be omitted presently and for the future. Each nation should embrace their sovereignty and not give it to one governing body. America is led by each state and is not led by the federal government, which makes us unique and impervious from totalitarian regimes one that this appears to be. Although we are currently seeing overreach in our nation, we will not let this go further. We strongly oppose this as a people and a nation. This international treaty should be dismissed immediately. Thank you, Laura ONeal
N/A	To Whom It May Concern, The need for public health information and guidance is essential and has proven highly beneficial in some instances. However, the last two years have shown that the authority given to public health officials can also be highly destructive when people have no say in how they are treated. Basic human rights and national sovereignty must be respected. The treaty currently being proposed would preserve neither and would effectively allow WHO to become a global dictatorship having the power to both declare a pandemic or health emergency with no oversight or ability for nations or individuals to question the standards used or the evidence for such, and to then impose global law that, if the last two years are any indication, would make life not worth living for the majority of people on the planet. To prevent that result, any treaty must contain the following: - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - There must be an open and transparent process for all people of the world to vote on the treaty, as well as failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the treaty in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - The absolute exclusion of pharmaceutical companies, consultants and health profiteers such as the Bill and Malinda Gates Foundation in the treaty process, and any decision making within the WHO whatsoever about public health. Thank you for considering this.
The truth films	To Whom It May Concern, The pandemic treaty you are proposing will Solidify the upheaval and removal of all sovereignty from formerly western and democratic countries (as you well know), and will strip the remaining freedoms individuals have over their lives and bodies. If control is what this governing body is looking for you will find that attempting to strip people of their sovereignty will make the world even more out of control. It will have the opposite effect and it will bring about chaos. I highly encourage you to immediately back away from any further discussions relating to enacting this kind of unnecessary one world government pandemic response. It's an absurd and highly authoritarian turn in our world history, and will be one that is forever used as a tool to attempt to control the human body in ways that no one has a right to do. I believe you will be sorry if you try it.
Commonwealth	To whom it may concern, The substantive elements I believe should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response include the following points. That national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And lastly, measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours sincerely, Paula Finch
Citizen	To whom it may concern, The WHO was not helpful throughout the pandemic, and the countless lives lost were due to WHO's ignorance and purposeful malfeasance. I do not want any expansion of WHO authority and would kindly request elimination of the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Best regards, Chloe
Stand for Health Freedom	To Whom It May Concern, There are countless reasons that a treaty regulating future pandemic responses is entirely out of line. First, it is a knowing, intentional violation of each nation's sovereignty. This isn't the world any person of integrity wants to live in. Each nation is entrusted by God to protect its people and elects representatives to carry out these duties. Any other arrangement is not only an abomination to the populace but also to God. Second, the WHO has shown its ineptness in dealing with COVID-19 so is hardly qualified to orchestrate such a treaty. Lying, falsifying data, intentionally creating fear in the public, and not allowing commonsense measures to improve health reveals the WHO'S complete lack of concern for public health. Third, the idea that an agreement carried out on such a grand level could be effective is preposterous. Local economics, general health status of the people, access to medical help, pollution levels, climate, population density--all these factors differ widely amongst people groups. There are no top-down protocols that would be as effective as local measures..unless, that is, your goal is total control of the world population. If so, may you fall into the trap you have set. Thanks for your consideration of sound reason. May it win the day.
Self	To whom it may concern, There is no need for an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. People do best and are more productive when they are free to access information and allowed individual choice of health treatments. It would be better to allow people access to early individualized treatment, the way medicine used to be, and for doctors and healthcare workers to follow the Hypocratic oath and to do no harm. This would lead to better health overall both mental and physical, and would allow the world to be more productive. It has been shown that internationally run programs are not effective and are far too costly on many levels. Health should be left to the individuals involved where it can be better managed. Thank you

Organization	Written submission
Homemaker	To whom it may concern, There should never be an international pandemic preparedness instrument. Creating such an instrument is only a vehicle for unchecked power, which in the wrong hands is deadly for the planet. Rather, each country and region should have their own response preparedness instruments, all while collaborating and sharing information with other groups.
private citizen	To whom it may concern, This is to let you know that I am for personal sovereignty as well as the rights of countries and their individual states to govern what is acceptable and appropriate for its citizens when it involves the health of those collective individuals. Additionally, my tax dollars should not be spent on any additional health measures already in place. These monies should be channeled into the individual states within individual countries to be best decided by their citizens on how they should be used. It is not in the best interests of any citizen that a global organization is to decide what is best within a culture/country. No one size can ever fit all. Respectfully, Judy Jachimowicz
Resident of the United States of America	To Whom it may Concern, This treaty is completely rediculous and uncalled for. It is undemocratic in nature and violates every individual's freedom and right to bodily autonomy! Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. So far all of the measures taken have done nothing to prevent or slow the spread of COVID. We need to learn to live with this virus and stop the nonsense and mandates! They are harming the world and our children while making the pharmaceutical companies even wealthier at the expense of our health and well-being! Please end this nonsense! I am opposed to this treaty! Sincerely, Rebecka Mason-Fraser
ReMax Champions	To whom it may concern, We are wholeheartedly against having the WHO take more power for themselves in the form of a global pandemic agreement. There's more we could say but we'll leave it at that. Stop the aggregation of power in the hands of the few at the expense of the many. Sincerely, Austin & Jennie Pryor
Private citizen (retired)	To whom it may concern, We need guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies. And we need the respect and protection of the God-given unalienable rights such as the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness as it is laid out in the American Constitution. Yours sincerely, E. Winkler
none	To whom it may concern, We the people do not have to believe that your choices for our health are in our best interest. Your choices may not align with our beliefs. Your organization has no right to capture our national sovereignty. Our constitution and bill of rights protects us from dangers and evil. We will not comply with you.
n/a	To Whom It May Concern, We the people do not require an international instrument on pandemic response. We the people are fully capable of handling health concerns on our own soil. Over the last two years I have watched the pandemic response across the world. The extreme and dangerous lockdowns, forced vaccination, blocking access to alternative therapies, etc. are proof that we need to access our individual risk and act accordingly. Pandemic response is best left at the micro level. A macro approach only serves to harm humanity. Removing power from individual countries is dangerous to the health and welfare of all people. Health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO does not have power over individual health decisions. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I wholeheartedly agree. It is my informed opinion that health decisions are best left to individuals and their country of residence. Sincerely, Dawn Miller U.S. Citizen
Citizen	To Whom it May Concern, We the people of the United States of America have a constitution that represents us. We are free people of our country and will not submit to the will of a global, foreign body, of which we have not elected. Your job is to coordinate and guide, not to control. As people of a sovereign nation, we will follow only the rules of our constitution, bill of rights and local laws. Throughout the "pandemic" the WHO has demonstrated exactly why our independence is necessary and important, and we will not surrender our health rights to unelected bodies of governance. This is not your charter and we will not honor any other constitution. We are God fearing people who follow our lord God and our rights of bodily autonomy, in his eyes. Your science is not our bible, nor will it be. Honor your role as a a mediator only and leave our natiin to ahere tonour constitution.
Individual	To Whom It May Concern, When considering such a treaty involving worldwide variety of peoples, customs, circumstances and lives, it is crucial to weight these elements carefully. I strongly believe that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There should be provision for nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is required - one with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time, Ben Longwell
Retired citizen	To whom it may concern, When I heard about this plan for an international standard on preparedness for pandemics, the thought of it chilled me to my core. I do not believe there is any place in this world for an organization such as the United Nations to hold sway over the global population on what it deems is acceptable in terms of medicine or injections being imposed on myself my family my loved ones or any citizen of this planet. The global vaccination effort has proven to be a colossal failure. Those who are double triple and quadruple vaxxed are dying in huge numbers and are taking up vital spaces in the hospitals ICU's of this world. Human beings have got to stop assuming that we are wiser and more powerful than mother nature. It would be a wise thing for all to start focussing on cleaning up the environment, and cleaning up the processed food industry. It would be also wise to take the power out of the hands of huge multinational corporation's that can pedal vaccines and medicines that line the pocketbooks of shareholders and are not in the best interests of adults and children alike. There is no place on this globe for mandated healthcare. I urge this committee to back off this horribly misguided and shortsighted plan before catastrophe befalls us all. Thank you. Fiona Powles
Author	To Whom It May Concern, WHO treaties removing power from individual countries is dangerous. In any form it takes the ability for individuality away from each country and removes their sovereignty. This is dangerous precedence and should not be allowed. This puts Americans in a dangerous place for our health and welfare as free citizens to choose who actually dictates law in our country. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. This allows for each country to determine what is best for the people they serve not an overarching one world government deciding. We, as Americans have always stood for a country's sovereignty and have fought two world wars to keep it that way. Why would we freely choose to give our sovereignty away in this situation? Fear or is it something more insidious like wanting to give our sovereignty away? WHO should never have any power over the health decisions in any country so I am requesting the US DOES NOT sign the legally binding pandemic treaty.
N/A	To whom it may concern, WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans, and all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO does not have power over our personal health decisions, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Please, let's all work together to remove this type of control any tyranny from our lives. Sincerely, Cami Duncan
Private citizen	To Whom it may Concern, With regards to your leading question: If I believed such an international instrument was necessary, I believe that it is imperative that it allows the autonomy of national and local leadership to be fully retained, with the right to opt out of any and all parts of the agreement (without consequence) as they deem necessary and appropriate for their own people. There would need to be an open & transparent process whereby the world population can vote, and fail-safe measures in place to prevent application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it. It is also vital that there be strict measures to prevent influence in the process by any & all pharmaceutical companies and global health profiteers. Yours faithfully Jacqui Olivier
Self-Employed	To Whom it May Concern, You ask what should be included in a world wide organization that would be the instrument of pandemic response. I'm writing to say that I do not feel there should be one organization that globally oversees a response to anything health related. One of the most dangerous by-products of the past two years has been that there is an idea that in health one-size-fits-all. We all know that is not the case; no two human beings are the same and all require separate concern where their own bodily health is concerned. When you pull out from the micro to the macro, the same application should be applied. No region is the same as another. No country is the same as another. This is not a one-size-fits-all world. To me, this approach would place medical decisions in the hands of politicians and non-elected corporate entities that do not have the individual human health at the forefront of their intentions. It opens the way for nefarious behavior based in greed. This is what we have seen play out in the past two years, and I have no security of evidence that this will change in the future. Please do not create a global response to pandemics, there is too much variance between different countries and this would be a move that will create more harm than aid. Respectfully submitted, Victoria Deiorio
USA	To whom it may concern, 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
My family	To whom it may concern, As a mother of three living in rural Ireland I and my family have seen and felt the devastation of the past two years acutely. We were excluded from our community and society for choosing not to take an experimental gene treatment. All members of my family have contraindications to this demanded treatment and yet still we were made outcasts for not conceding to take it. I and my family are of Ireland, being dictated to from afar by global technocrats is not in our constitution, I do not consent to any of this orchestrated destruction, I stand for natural life, I stand for compassion, care and human kindness, none of which are contained in your manifestos, vaccine passports or plans for our futures. I'm afraid your organisation does not support my children's health or sovereignty into their futures and so we decline your offers to dictate any aspect of our lives, Yours sincerely Anne Hennessy
dust & scratches SA	To whom it may concern, Considering your terrible reaction to the COVID crisis (supporting proven and predictably useless restrictions,. Including on human rights as confirmed recently by the ECHR) the last thing the world needs is a binding treaty to follow your rules. Please get your house in order before submitting such a preposterous idea! Cordially yours, Mike Rainer
Hahnemannhealth	To Whom It May Concern, Even with the best of intensions mistakes are often made. There is a long history of problems arising from universal medical decisions. Knowledge is fluid mandates are not. For example Back to Sleep inadvertently lead to unforeseen issues for infants. Individual countries working independently will allow for greater flexibility and knowledge and ultimately greater health. With Respect, Dr. Harry Olgang
None	To whom it may concern, Every human being has always and should continue to always have the basic human right to choose how to protect themselves from any future pandemic. Every person, culture and country is different and needs to address future pandemics individually. What WHO is suggesting goes against basic human rights.
Dr Karen Jacobson Enterprises LLC	To whom it may concern, Health is an individual choice. People have the right to decide how, what, and win treatment, care, medical treatments, medications experimental products will or will not be put in their bodies. WHO should only engage in the aspect of gathering information to elevate science and provide knowledge and opportunities for countries on what to do not to set a standard or dictate what shall or shall not be done at any given time. Research itself has shown that in different parts of the world different approaches have worked. The idea of one solution fits all is proven to be incorrect and furthermore dangerous when it comes to different age groups, different risk factors an individual immunity. Be a resource, gather and share knowledge. Leave the decisions what to do with their own bodies to the individuals Respectfully, Dr Karen Jacobson
General Public	To whom it may concern, I am a U.K. citizen and as such I feel quite strongly that one organisation should not have so much power over the whole world. How can it be democratic, that the world would be told what to do by people that have not been duly elected, in their own country. There should always be transparency. With the importance of this matter, I feel we the people of each individual nation should have some say in this matter, and a vote should be given to the people of each nation before anything goes ahead. I hope that you listen to my opinion and others who may contact you with their concerns. Thank you, regards Mrs Carole Gleave.
None	To Whom It May Concern, I am extremely concerned and completely against any threat to freedom of choice for people around the world. This is not acceptable on any level and has nothing to do with health. You, the NIH and CDC have been wrong continuously with your measures of preparedness and "science" which has led to more illness and death rather than health. You all are to blame for this mess. This is an obvious power grab and anyone thinking this is acceptable needs to be stopped. We do not need your help. I do not want your help. Stop the insanity.
Self	To whom it may concern, I am in total opposition of a world health equity program regarding healthcare as well as any other programs that involve Global equity decisions outside of the United States of America. We are an independent country and we should make our decisions involving what is best for Americans and not have to follow what the rest of the world chooses. Independence has been a part of America since its founding and should continue to be that way for eternity . Yours in better health and freedom, -Dr. Deb
individual	To Whom It May Concern, I am opposed to a global pandemic treaty. There shall be no censoring of individual or group voices when questioning health protocols. All humans shall have the right of bodily sovereignty. Parental rights to authorize their children's health procedures, until at least age 18, shall not be usurped. Informed consent must be required at all times and under all circumstances. No coercion or bribery should be used to entice people to take any type of drug, vaccine, or medical procedure even during a pandemic. I believe in bodily sovereignty, parental rights, informed consent which are basic human rights.
None	To whom it may concern, I am strongly against the Resolution 2361 which include mandatory vaccination. I am also strongly against the legislation for mandatory EU COVID-19 certificates, which will take away all my freedom. I also strongly against a digital ID on every EU citizen. – I am also strongly against it that all ATMs will be replaced by QR code ATMs, but that I will no longer have the availability to withdraw any cash. I strongly believe, that is my right to do with my money what I want to do. I am strongly against it, but I that you plan to make cash completely worthless within 18 months. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
A concerned citizen of the USA	To whom it may concern, I am submitting my LOUD protest at have this Pandemic treaty. The following are my concerns: 1) national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequences. 3) an open and Transparent process with their ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) measures that DO NOT allow for INFLUENCE in the process by ANY and ALL pharmaceutical companies or other GLOBAL health profiteers.
self	To whom it may concern, I am totally against any and all international instrument written or used for pandemic preparedness and/or response for same. We have not voted for nor approved any writers of such instrument. As a sovereign person, I am able to decide for myself about my own healthcare. I do not need nor want an international body telling me what must be done during a pandemic. During this so called "Covid Pandemic," there have been many lies and misinformation given out to the public. If an instrument were written by an international body for a future pandemic, each nation, and each community would give up their rights to deal with their own health without interference. Stop this right now. I will not consent and will not comply with any such "international pandemic instrument."
California family	To Whom It May Concern, I am writing to express the necessity for any international instrument to include the strongest possible protections for individual civil rights and liberties. Such an instrument must also respect the laws of each sovereign nation and require that any pandemic response NOT overstep or disregard in any way the bodily autonomy of each individual. Parents must also be in the sole decision makers when it comes to the medical care of their minor children. Strong safeguards for these matters of vital importance for people around the world are vital for any international treaty. Thank you.
UK herbal association	To whom it may concern, I am writing to inform you, that it is in my opinion a fundamental human right to have choice to accept or refuse any medical assistance. It is with fundamental right in mind that I request both state's and individuals sovereignty's are guaranteed under any medical treaty. Further I feel there should not even be a treaty of such sweeping power now or ever. No governmental body has the right to wave any human sovereignty. Regards Steven Avery
The Orthodox Peace Fellowship	To whom it may concern, I believe the elements that are important are that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I also believe that nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without punitive consequence. The process must be fully transparent, and must allow that all people everywhere have the ability to vote, and must also include failsafe measures that will prevent the global agreement from going into effect in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must also be strict measures in place so that the process cannot be influenced by pharmaceutical companies or other health initiatives that may be seeking to make a profit. Thank you.
I am a private citizen of Canada .	To Whom it may Concern, I believe that an International Instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is neither necessary or desirable. I believe each country has the capability and expertise to offer that to it's own citizens , whom they know best. I do not believe a one size fits all regimen is workable across the entire world. I also believe an instrument of this kind can lead to misuse by any number of power brokers. I totally disagree with this concept. Sincerely, Angelena Cook
self	To whom it may concern, I believe that national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, with the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. All nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement if they wish, without consequence. An open and transparent process for all people in the world to understand the agreement should be provided. Thank you for considering my comments.
None	To whom it may concern, I believe WHO should suggest and inform the people of the world of the position that WHO believes would benefit the health of everyone during a pandemic. Each individual country should decide which course of action to take relevant to information provided by WHO concerning any pandemic related concerns as they see fits the needs of their people.
Concerned american	To whom it may concern, I can go on about all the reasons why we should not be part of the WHO treaty but I won't. We are Americans with unalienable right. This would infringe on our rights. Vote NO!!!!!
Tax Paying Canadian Resident	To whom it may concern, I DO NOT wish for the PANDEMIC Treaty to be implemented. Here are a few reasons why: Our provincial and federal leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the Canadian people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be implemented that DO NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers (ie: philanthropic capitalist organizations) should NOT have a say in any matter relating to each country's population or the sovereignty of their individuals. Thank you. Rigo
Citizen of Canada	To whom it may concern, I DO NOT wish for the PANDEMIC Treaty to be implemented. Here are a few reasons why: Our provincial and federal leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the Canadian people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures should be implemented that DO NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers (ie: philanthropic capitalist organizations) should NOT have a say in any matter relating to each country's population or the sovereignty of their individuals. Thank you."
Citizen	To whom it may concern, I have serious issues with this going ahead in its current format, we as sovereign citizens of the world are losing our God given freedoms on a daily basis and are facing a future George Orwell could not have envisaged,we have seen lockdown do not work and have caused misery, More grassroots engagement is needed with the average citizens and more transparency with NGOs, there is a great mistrust of the WHO, the UN the WEF etc etc who have a long road if they want to regain that trust, yes we the people of the world want equality in every aspect of life leaving the world to our children in better condition than we received it with everyone on equal footing with regard to healthcare, education, income etc but not at the cost of a controlled joyless future run by dictators, I'm praying you all look deep into your souls and find the peace of almighty God and salvation in his son Jesus Christ and in that you will all work for the betterment of the world not just its elites Blessings John kehoe
Mother	To whom it may concern, I respect the desire to have plans in place to serve as launching points for emergencies such as pandemics. However, having a one-size fits all type plan globally does not make sense for pandemic preparedness for a myriad of reasons. Context, transmission rates, sanitation, resources, these are all (and more) always going to be variables in any given situation and place. I believe every country, state, province, region, etc needs and has the inherent right and respect to be able to determine their best pathway forward for the health and well being of their populous. Just as there is no one way to raise children, or one way to solve any given problem, there is no one way to prescribe a method to deal with a pandemic, especially unknown in the future realm of possibility. Thank you for listening.
None	To whom it may concern, I truly believe response measures should be left up to each individual country. We don't need an international response. There is never a one size fits all approach to science. Thank uou for your time.
Citizen	To Whom It May Concern, In regard to the proposed treaty, I feel it is necessary that: ~National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. ~The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. ~An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. ~Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully ~ Jennifer Fisher
myself	To Whom It May Concern, It is important to recognize that all people are individuals with unique needs and sovereign rights, just as each nation is as well. Along with recognizing and honoring the spiritual, emotional, and physical rights and integrity of every person on the planet is paramount. Please recognize and implement the following points in all discussions of this proposal: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you!
Bethel Mennonite Church	To whom it may concern, Items to include in the pandemic response documents should include the following: 1. A recognition of Sovereign Rights of the member countries. 2. Travel agreements between countries worked out in advance and the triggers that would call for their implementation. 3. The thresholds which would call to an end their implementation and what body is responsible for such data assimilation. 4. The rights of religious exemptions guaranteed among peoples who have previously established relationships and shared historical relationships for the purpose of spiritual and health care. Thank you, Steve Entz Pastor Bethel Mennonite Church
None	To whom it may concern, My husband and our two grown children & their spouses firmly believe that our health choices are up to the individual & family; along with that decisions made by our own local government. We feel that any blanket global responses to a pandemic would be far over reaching and not make sense as every country & region is different. I have a science and health care background. We have done our best in all health situations to study and act upon what is best for the health of our family. We are responsible people. We are opposed to anything that removes our choice from any health equation and decision. A blanket approach does not take into consideration the variations of all individuals health situation and biology/chemistry. Therefore, one set organization deciding for millions of people a single type or response does not make any sense. respectfully, Joanna Wnorowski-Pecoraro

Organization	Written submission
Self	To Whom It May Concern, Please refrain from instituting an international pandemic preparedness response. Each nation should have their own autonomy over these matters, including the United States of America. The citizens of their respective countries have the right to decide their own health decisions and health freedoms.
none	To Whom it May Concern, Public health is not one size fits all. While I believe there is a place for the WHO, I do not believe it has a right to make policy for countries world wide. Every country, every city should be able to make their own decisions. In regards to the lockdowns, Sweden did not lock down and they had a low rate of infection. If your policy would come into play, would Sweden have the right to do this? The United Stated of America is a Republic. It stands alone. People from all over the world come to the US looking for the freedom it has to offer. The WHO has no business dictating what we do in our country, or any other country for that matter. The treaty you are proposing sounds like globalism. Which to me sounds like a one world order. You are on a slippery slope. Leave the US alone. leave all countries alone.
Minister To Minister	To whom it may concern, Recommended guidelines are appreciated from the W.H.O., however overriding our right to choose health and lifestyle options c laws that override nation, states and individuals are never appropriate and should remain unlawful. Chaplain James L. Brassard
private	Regarding a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response I would like to state my opinion as follows: . Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law Bevore deciding, please do take into consideration the opinions of all Eureopean citizens.
Not applicable	To whom it may concern, Several substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are as follows: Allow a transparent and open process with the ability for all people of the world to make an informed consent and vote on the application of the global agreement. National and local authorities should retain full autonomy to govern and make decisions that are best for their people and should be allowed to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences. Include measures that negate the influence in the process by all pharmaceutical companies and/or other global health profiteers.
U.S.A. Citizen	To Whom It May Concern, Thank you for asking for comments from the public concerning WHO policy during a pandemic. The WHO should not mandate any nation to comply with an of its orders. The WHO should be an information gathering entity only. The WHO should tell the nations of the world what other nations are doing and what ideas other nations have to respond to the pandemic only. The WHO has no authority nor should authority be given the WHO to command nations behave in a certain way toward its citizens.
N/A	To whom it may concern, The events and actions of the last two years inspire me to address your organization. I do not give anyone consent to act on my behalf nor will I be part of an experiment to overcome humanity as it exists today. I am a child of God not of evil.
World Pandemonic Forum	To whom it may concern, the question you ask is misleading. Your first and only question should be: "Should there be world governance?" My answer: "For most people of the world there is no reason to be governed by the same government." People and peoples are different. Their problems and wishes and also their bodys and thus conditions for being healthy differ. There can be no general solution for all. The same is true in case of a pandemic. I beg you heartly to stop any plan for world governance. And as a lawyer I have to point out that the WHO has no democratic legitimation. The same would be true for any world governance the WHO would be installing. Sincerely a German lawyer who is ready to fight for the people
Citizen of the USA	To whom it may concern, These are my concerns and position as it relates to the WHO position on their involvement in the Pandemic Response the WHO must recognize and allow for 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you fir your time and considerations to my concerns and preference of the WHO's positions moving forward. Respectfully, Dorothy J. O'Toole Palm Desert CA
Individual	To whom it may concern, These are my family's top priorities: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health My biggest concern during this entire pandemic has been the lack of respect for the doctors who treated Covid patients successfully. The doctors developed protocols that were safe and effective. Perhaps not money makers like the Covid inoculation - but they are safer and effective at saving lives. The lack of respect and care for people is an unethical way of thinking and needs to be stopped. We do not need so called philanthropists running our country- we need those in the deep throws of the issues. Sincerely, Cheryl Joerger
Individual	To whom it may concern, These past two years under covid protocols has brought up concerns and distrust in governmental and international organizations like the WHO by many citizens. In this nation of 350000, we have had 6158 reaction to this experimental therapeutic, 292 severe reactions including death. According to our health agency, "a serious side effect is an adverse reaction or undesirable effects of a medicine leading to death, life-threatening conditions, hospitalization or prolongation of hospitalization, causing disability or birth defects in humans. Despite this fact, there has been no attempt to stop vaccination and review the safety of these products in spite of omicron being now pervasive and mild. In order for citizens to build trust in the WHO, you would have to be transparent about your financial conflict of interests, whether they be private pharmaceutical companies GAVI and any other organization that would benefit from restrictions of peoples right to choose medical intervention, travel etc. Relevant quotes: "Withholding information is the essence of tyranny. Control of the flow of information is the tool of the dictatorship." ― Bruce Coville "This work was strictly voluntary, but any animal who absented himself from it would have his rations reduced by half." ― George Orwell, Animal Farm "There is no worse tyranny than to force a man to pay for what he does not want merely because you think it would be good for him." ― Robert A. Heinlein, The Moon Is a Harsh Mistress Thank you
Self	To whom it may concern, This is in regards to the reach of the WHO during pandemics. My response is below being a citizen of the United States. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Regards, Jennifer Sherman
Childrens Health Defense	To Whom It May Concern, While it may be advantageous to coordinate and be advised by an organization that considers global effects, Public Health is not a one size fits all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. National and local leaderships should be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. As such the rights of Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of any agreement as they see fitting to best serve a given community, without consequence should be preserved. Additionally, an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on all measures including the prevention of the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, is warranted. It is also vital that measures should be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers as this would represent a gross conflict of interests.
Children's Health Defense	To Whom It May Concern, While it may be advantageous to coordinate and be advised by an organization that considers global effects, Public Health is not a one size fits all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. National and local leaderships should be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. As such the rights of Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any or all portions of any agreement as they see fitting to best serve a given community, without consequence should be preserved. Additionally, an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on all measures including the prevention of the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, is warranted. It is also vital that measures should be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers as this would represent a gross conflict of interests.
none	To Whom It May Concern, WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." When it comes to health leave the power to choose in the hands of the American people where it belongs.
Citizen of the USA	To whom it may concern. I do not agree with the changes the USA wants to make in the WHO treaty and this is what needs to continue in any pandemic. No one size fits all. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies
Concerned citizen	To whom it may concern. The dismissal and in breach of New Zealand/Aotearoa government and its obligations with regard to two legal agreements - Te Tiriti o Waitangi (1840) and He Whakaputanga (1835). Te Runanga o Ko Huiarau (1832). New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. To give away indigenous/native and nation sovereignty and autonomy to the UN under the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is inappropriate and illegal. It is not for the NZ government to indoctrinate Tangata Whenua under its laws. Tangata Whenua under our legal agreements above. Hold as sovereign and our autonomy over our whenua. These can not be superseded or repressed by any other international Treaty at a later date. These legal documents above are our founding agreement between Tangata Whenua and the British Crown. It is through our existing Treaties that determine the NZ Government system. There should be discussion and engagement with Tangata Whenua to understand the implementation and determination of this UN instrument. As far as the COVID19 response this should be adjusted to suit people and place. Not all cultures are the same. Not all legalities are the same. I disagree with the UN pandemic preparedness response as it would be illegal for NZ Government to hand over its power to the UN when in actual fact it holds no power in NZ. We are still currently part of the Commonwealth at the same time. Tangata Whenua hold other legal agreements.
Me, myself and I	To whom it may concern. There is no reason to give the W.H.O power over elected officials. This is the first step towards a one world government. Let's stay away from that. What we need to do is let people have their lives back and let adults be adults again. Governments and the W.H.O have caused far too much death, as in suicides, and destruction of economies. Only the elected officials should be allowed to dictate what their country does... NOT THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION. I did not see you on the last ballet. So you get no say over us.. The people of the world. Stay in your lane W.H.O.
Personal	To whom it may concern. Each country should keep the right to deal with any disease and or pandemic issues in it's own way without intervention or interference from governing bodies not within it's own borders.
Ernest R Anderson Jr Consulting Inc	To whom it may concern. Here are my comments: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely Ernest R Anderson Jr.
none	To whom it may concern. You do not have my trust. You are not my doctor. You cannot and must not tell me what I should put in my body. After watching the way the COVID 19 pandemic played out in an on the world stage, I have no faith in the WHO at all. Please do me a favor. and stop trying to play GOD. What you want to do to your body is your business, but don't tell me what I need to do to mine. There is no mandate. sincerely Scott Species
myself	To whom it may concern. I am writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. I believe that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, I support the treaty provided that: *National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. *Nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. *The process is open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. *Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers are implemented.
None	To Whom it may concern. It is dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people in a time of crisis. Additionally, it's imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of emergency so that the entire global community can be protected. I respectfully request the US decline to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency, including a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. If such agreement is adopted, the US must immediately opt-out, as is our right under the WHO Constitution. The reasons I am against this plan: 1)The WHO is creating the public health equivalent of a "one world government" yet they don't have the authority to override the Constitution of the United States, nor its national sovereignty. 2) A Pandemic Treaty could pave the way for an initiative like the Good Health Pass, described by Tony Blair as an "internationally-recognized system of health passes" for world travelers. 3) I believe in bodily sovereignty, parental rights, and informed consent, you must stand up now and let my voice be heard. 4) Our membership in the WHO doesn't give this globalist organization the right to violate our civil liberties, human rights, and medical freedom. Thank you in advance for your consideration. Dr. H. Edward Camp
N/A	To whom it may concern. The proposed " international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response " should include the following elements: - respect the sovereignty of nation states - strict adherence to the Nuremberg code - respect for all unalienable human rights - consideration for adverse affects of the instrument (for example taking into account economic damage, mental well-being of all people) - strict adherence to the precautionary principle (ie avoidance of experimental treatments) - strict avoidance of conflicts of interests - strict safeguarding of the public interest - supported by solid peer reviewed scientific evidence - open for genuine debate - strict avoidance of censorship of opinions that may not be in line with the instrument
n/a	To whom it may concern. This proposition to create an undemocratic, unilaterally-acting global body for pandemic response is exactly what it says: undemocratic. It's unwarranted too. Countries, their peoples and respective native healthcare systems are sovereign & idiosyncratic, and should remain so. This proposed uniform approach for nation-states will not be efficient since their are a multitude of differences that engender the need for different responses - geography, population, resource-availability, behaviours/attitudes, governance. Any supranational body that can override the rights and decisions of nation states is also an affront to the freedom of (choice for) the individual, especially in so-called "liberal democracies". I whole-heartedly would vote against these measures and reject any proposal where a vote isn't instituted by elected representatives of local governing bodies, national government or other municipalities. Regards, Edward Hickman
Erik Venderby	To whom it may concern. Vincit Omnia Veritas. Erik Venderby.
The general Public	To whom it may concern. Any agreement on response to a pandemic must allow for local autonomy on how it is handled. Therefore W.H.O. should restrict itself to advice and research such as data collection and promulgation. This will enable communities to quickly determine which responses are effective and which are not. Elements that should be avoided include. Mandates, restricting access to medicines and lockdowns that take no account of the societal and economic cost. One size does not fit all when it comes to pandemic mitigation. Thank You Ian Kiddie
N/A	To whom it may concern. In short I do not agree that a new international instrument as described should be instigated. Individual states and nations should be able to make their own plans, that relate specifically to their: geographical region; their health care systems; socio-economic factors (that influence health and spread of disease). Without wanting to labour the point, my 'vote' would be to veto any such global plan for the reasons stated above, whilst also avoiding the bureaucratic problems that also envelope distant, centralised governance. Regards, Tobie Tomkinson
Murphy Family	To Whom It May Concern: As you consider creating a global pandemic preparedness initiative, please consider the addition of the following areas to the international agreement: (1) National and local leadership should retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions on what is best for their own people, (2) Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, (3) An open and transparent process should be in place with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and, finally, (4) Measures should be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Dr. Chris Murphy
NA	To Whom It May Concern: I am totally against the US signing a treaty with WHO giving them oversight over health responses worldwide in the situation of a pandemic. The United States of America has a Constitutional Republic. Its laws are supreme in the territory controlled by the United States government and no external force has authority over its citizens that overrides the health and welfare decisions of the US Federal Government but more particularly each state government when it comes to decisions regarding the health and welfare of its citizens. Signing this treaty could, for example, force all persons on the planet to take certain so called "vaccines" that have not been fully tested nor are they vaccinations. I am not anti-vax, but I am pro-choice when it comes to what I decide to put in my body. WHO has aligned with handing out information that was subsequently dis-proven in the past and who will stop this if there is no independent national input to force them to admit wrongdoing and lies? I stand strong for National and even stronger for state and local abilities to dictate appropriate health actions in case of another pandemic (which is no doubt being cooked up in Wuhan and associates).
NYS Medical Provider	To Whom It May Concern: As a physician assistant who has been providing medical care for over 20 years, I see the Pandemic Treaty as very concerning. I believe the greatest substantive element should be personal choice. Our body, our choice. Medicine is not a one size fits all protocol. It is very individualized and each case needs a protocol that best fits that individual. A medicine, a protocol, doesn't work the same for each individual. The social, economic, religious, personal beliefs of a person need to be taken into consideration. Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Tanya Steele
N/A	To Whom It May Concern: I am writing to express my opposition to the global pandemic agreement proposed by the World Health Organization. I do NOT support giving an unelected, unaccountable body undemocratic decision rights over the world's sovereign peoples. In short, I am calling for: • National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that prevent undue influence over the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Connor Doe
N/A	To Whom It May Concern: I firmly believe public health is not a one size fits all program. We need people and communities to be in control of their own health. Therefore, the substantive elements that should be included in the new international instrument on pandemic response are: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Additionally, it should include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. It should have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Lastly, it should include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for considering my points. Sincerely, Karen Carlson
Carlsbad High School Lacrosse Board Member	To whom it may concern: If The WHO is to implement a global pandemic agreement, it should take the following into thoughtful consideration: 1. Local, state and federal US leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people/citizens. 2. Each self-governing nation, in conjunction with local municipalities and state level governments, must maintain its own ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence/penalty. 3. An open, transparent, honest exchange of information must take place. This exchange is paramount for us to provide the ability for all people of the world to vote on this, not for an international entity to make decisions for sovereign nations/people. This includes failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Proposed measures must not allow for any pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers to influence the process. Sincerely, Tonya Moye
Concerned citizen	To whom it may concern: Please do not give all our power to the WHO to make these very critical decisions! We need to keep the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual/concerned citizen	To Whom it May Concern: The following elements should be included: 1) National and local leadership retain full autonomy 2) Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
None	To whom it may concern: World Health is a complex and important issue. It is not easy to come up with policies that work for everyone. This is the core of my opposition to any proposal that takes health autonomy away from any Government and ultimately any individual's right to decide their own health choices. Each person should retain the right to make their own decisions (as outlined in the Nuremberg Code) without impacting their finances (ability to work) or day to day life. There is no one solution that will work for all countries, so the decisions on public health for each nation should remain with that nation. WHO should remain a source of guidance only and should never override an individual nation-state or individual. Best regards Brodie
American Citizen	To whom it may concern: I am a sovereign citizen of the United States of America. The United States is a sovereign Nation. We will make our own laws and treaties. We will not be subject to any foriegn laws or treaties. We know this "pandemic" is actually a biological attack on the peoples of the world. If your organization intends to impose its will upon us, we will consider it an act of aggression and we will act accordingly.
USA	To whom it may concern: I disagree with allowing one organization to run the entire planets healthcare and business. We should have our sovereign freedoms, as individuals and as countries. WHO being funded by despots is already an issue, therefore I say NO . Let individual countries decided their course, especially in terms of healthcare.
NA	To whom it may concern: The purpose of this note is to express opposition to the Pandemic Treaty. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Sovereign nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. As a citizen, I urge and request an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. In addition, measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers which would compromise global heath over profit. I oppose this treaty and believe each Nation should maintain autonomy in future pandemic response. Regards, Kimberly Eng United States of America
CHD	To whom it may concern: I do not believe there needs to be a global/international agreement to pandemic responsiveness which makes what should be included in it a moot point. Best regards.
WHO	To whom it may concern: I don't believe that the WHO has the right to make decisions for the way countries run a pandemic. Please do not give them the power to make decisions for the United States or any other country. Sincerely, Shawn
Private	To whom it may concern: I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. Thank you
Sovereign Citizen of the United States of America	To Whom It May Concern: Any international instrument or global heath policy, being crafted by the World Health Organization needs to include these 4 elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully submitted, Mrs. Donna L. Peterson Sovereign Citizen of the United States of America
retired	Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services. "We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed." Regards,
Private citizen	To Whom It May Concern: "Regardless of the scope and/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic, human rights remain inalienable and may not be abridged. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. Each and every human being, alone, or in consultation with the health care practitioner of their choice, has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to demand that another person consume, inject or apply any treatment to their body. No person, no organization and no governmental body has the right to place any form of restrictions that require healthy people to subject themselves to any form of treatment or test in order to enjoy their inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. All human beings have the inalienable right to publicly express their opinion regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment or public policy. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures accept full liability for any and all damages caused by their products and services. "We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed."
self	To Whom It May Concern: 1) I oppose any treaty/agreement that limits an individual's right to choose their own medical treatment or not, including vaccines. Vaccine or drug mandates are a violation of basic human rights. Mandates have already created unnecessary division worldwide. Force never works in the long term and will create resentment and rebellion. 2) If there is going to be an international agreement, the individual's right to choose should be the most important and central tenet. Additionally: - National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. - Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - This agreement should be created through an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures should be put in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Dominique Etcheverry
Sprangers Marketing	To whom it may concern: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
---	To Whom it may concern: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. S. I. Sonnet
Self Employed	To Whom it may concern: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Andrea M. Cole
Retired	To whom it may concern: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I am adamantly opposed to this treaty and request that under no circumstances should the US obligate our country to this treaty. Sincerely, Carol L Ball
Concerned citizen	To whom it may concern: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you! Andrew and Anne
Citizen	To whom it may concern: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Fred Finch Youth & Family Services	To Whom it May Concern: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	To whom it may concern: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of the US	To Whom it may concern: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Absolutely no Health Treaty.
Family	To Whom it May Concern: After the last two and a half years, it has become painfully apparent that the COVID-19 pandemic has been poorly managed by all institutions running point in an effort to protect American citizens. Guidelines have been established and changed constantly--not in "adaptation to new scientific data," but in an attempt to control citizens and in pushback to proven, accurate scientific information leaked through alternative media sources. This occurred with information released by the WHO, the CDC, the White House, and other "official" sources. With this in mind, any WHO treaty that removes power from we the people of the United States of America is a threat to the health and welfare of our great nation. Control MUST be left in the hands of individual Americans. Our constitution affords us the freedom to make our own personal health decisions without interference from government or any governmental entity. The WHO's job in an emergency should be: 1) To inform citizens of disease details 2) Provide guidelines for treatment 3) Ensure treatments are available to physicians so they can provide their patients with products that will aid in their health. ALL OTHER DECISIONS SHOULD BE MADE BY PATIENTS AND THEIR DOCTORS. No WHO international treaty should usurp the freedoms bestowed on American citizens by our great constitution. Sincerely, Lindsay Baker
Family	To Whom it May Concern: After the last two and a half years, it has become painfully apparent that the COVID-19 pandemic has been poorly managed by all institutions running point in an effort to protect American citizens. Guidelines have been established and changed constantly--not in "adaptation to new scientific data," but in an attempt to control citizens and in pushback to proven, accurate scientific information leaked through alternative media sources. This occurred with information released by the WHO, the CDC, the White House, and other "official" sources. With this in mind, any WHO treaty that removes power from we the people of the United States of America is a threat to the health and welfare of our great nation. Control MUST be left in the hands of individual Americans. Our constitution affords us the freedom to make our own personal health decisions without interference from government or any governmental entity. The WHO's job in an emergency should be: 1) To inform citizens of disease details 2) Provide guidelines for treatment 3) Ensure treatments are available to physicians so they can provide their patients with products that will aid in their health. ALL OTHER DECISIONS SHOULD BE MADE BY PATIENTS AND THEIR DOCTORS. No WHO international treaty should usurp the freedoms bestowed on American citizens by our great constitution. Sincerely, William Baker
Private Citizen	To Whom It May Concern: Any international instrument or global heath policy, being crafted by the World Health Organization needs to include these 4 elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
general public	To Whom It May Concern: Any international instrument or global heath policy, being crafted by the World Health Organization needs to include these 4 elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	To Whom It May Concern: Any new proposed international instrument or treaty on pandemic preparedness and response promulgated by the WHO should first and foremost be provided as voluntary guidelines for sovereign nations to follow. Anything else would violate the sovereignty of the nations involved and would take health decisions out of the hands of American individuals and families. Treaties that take health decisions out of the hands of individuals and families are dangerous to the overall economic and physical health of our nation as is evidenced by the results of the mandatory lock downs imposed during the most recent pandemic. All health decisions must stay as close as possible to home as one size does not fit all. Additionally, any treaty negotiated by our executive branch must be approved by the full Senate of the United States according to our constitution. We have formed a grassroots movement to advocate for not approving any treaty that violates our sovereignty. Thank you for your consideration.
British Citizen	To Whom It May Concern: Any treaty adopted by US, whether pandemic related or other MUST include the following guarantees: National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy. Any and all decisions MUST be based on what is best for their own communities/constituents. NO micromanaging by unelected bureaucrats who don't understand local issues. Individual nations and local municipalities/governments MUST have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the Treaty as they see fit. No punitive measures or punishment for such an opt out. Transparency to all MUST be guaranteed and accompany the right of the people to see what is being done in their name. All opines of the citizenry of all countries facing the adoption of this Treaty MUST be encouraged and allowed and openly shown. Anyone opposing this adoption must be protected to truthfully share their reasons/concerns and MUST be guaranteed, listened to, protected. There MUST be accountability to the people, and a process guaranteeing the right of the people in all countries impacted by the adoption of this Treaty to vote and be able to say NO to adoption. No punishment as a result of such a vote. There MUST be guaranteed appeal procedures, transparency that prevents excess authority, favoritism, or corruption in the application of this Treaty. National and individual autonomy MUST not be facilitated or allowed by adoption of this Treaty.
Individual	To Whom It May Concern: Any treaty adopted, whether pandemic related or other, MUST include the following guarantees: National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy. Any and all decisions MUST be based on what is best for their own communities/constituents. NO micromanaging by unelected bureaucrats who don't understand local issues. Individual nations and local municipalities/governments MUST have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the Treaty as they see fit. No punitive measures or punishment for such an opt out. Transparency to all MUST be guaranteed and accompany the right of the people to see what is being done in their name. All opines of the citizenry of all countries facing the adoption of this Treaty MUST be encouraged and allowed and openly shown. Anyone opposing this adoption must be protected to truthfully share their reasons/concerns and MUST be guaranteed, listened to, protected. There MUST be accountability to the people, and a process guaranteeing the right of the people in all countries impacted by the adoption of this Treaty to vote and be able to say NO to adoption. No punishment as a result of such a vote. There MUST be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There MUST be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	To Whom It May Concern: Any treaty whether pandemic related or other MUST include the following guarantees: 1) Ensure that National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) Guarantee that nations and local municipalities shall be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3) There shall be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4) Measures shall be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Arrow dog Training	To whom it may concern: Any treaty with WHO that removes power from the US to make its own decisions for its own people is a dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. I believe that only individuals should have a say over their own health decisions. Currently the WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Please do not join a pandemic treaty with the WHO.
Sovereign man with God given rights	To Whom It May Concern: As a sovereign man with God given rights, I wholly object to this "treaty" pact the the World Health Organization want all countries to sign onto for future "pandemic" issues. We have just been through 2 years of hell from an alleged pandemic. Instructions on how to deal with this alleged pandemic was a complete disaster. Many Governments from around the world were following directions provided by the W.H.O. No organization or Government should EVER be allowed to dictate what a man or woman can or can't do in a free society concerning an alleged pandemic. Everyone has personal responsibility for their own health and safety which includes bodily autonomy! Thank-you Michael Ficker
George Fox University	To Whom It May Concern: As you consider creating a global pandemic preparedness and response initiative, please consider the addition of the following areas to the international agreement: (1) National and local leadership should retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions on what is best for their own people, (2) Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, (3) An open and transparent process should be in place with the ability of all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it, and, finally, (4) Measures should be in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time and consideration of the above-mentioned elements. Sincerely, Karen Murphy, Ph.D.
N/A	To Whom It May Concern: Being as succinct as possible, it was my understanding there already was a pandemic preparedness instrument in place, but which was completely and deliberately abandoned in 2020. It is incomprehensible how one organisation can dictate measures to each unique country's circumstances with a 'one-size-fits-all' approach, and one can only see the possibility for complete authoritarian control. The WHO has acted appallingly over the past 2 years, and many recognise what this proposed treaty is really all about. So please, you might think most of the world can be taken for fools, but there are a great many who are not and know what the real agenda is. This organisation has no credibility, and to be so arrogant as to think that the entire world's health care can be governed by this institution remotely is beyond belief! This is a ridiculous idea which should never have been entertained. Of course, this chance for public opinion is most likely all theatre to look like some semblance of democracy, but we see you and know the plan you have in mind! I DO NOT SUPPORT THIS INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT IN ANY WAY, SHAPE, OR FORM. Please desist from foisting your totalitarian dreams upon the planet.
Reflektionmedia	To whom it May concern: Competition has historically produced much better results for the average citizen than top-down measures have. See for yourself on http://www.hawaii.edu/powerkills/NOTE1.HTM. Trying to create a top-down world response to a future pandemic will inevitably result in more corruption, and a suppression of good innovative solutions to that future pandemic. "Power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely. Great men are almost always bad men." -Lord Acton #StopTheTreaty

Organization	Written submission
none - general public	To Whom It May Concern: First, WHO is neither an elected nor medical body, nor representative of the world's nations. Its largest financial backer is heavily invested in the vaccine industry. WHO should make no medical recommendations in any way deemed "mandatory" for any country, but simply recommendations. Second, WHO's recommendations should come from the most successful outcomes from the SARS-CoV-2 experience, i.e., China, with the fewest deaths per million population and the most successful containment policies, allowing localized populations to emerge from a viral outbreak within one to four months. China's definitive policy is continually reviewed and improved where necessary, making China the obvious leadership choice in formulating best future policy. Third, experimental vaccines have been thrust by the West onto center stage as the essential treatment. Both prudence and scientific rigor demand that policy require a moratorium of at least 3 years to determine efficacy as well as side effects, especially in light of numerous documented reports from various countries in the EU, Israel and the US of adverse events following these novel genetic inoculations. Fourth, a forum should be created, chaired by China, in which all countries' medical personnel and researchers can openly describe and compare treatments which worked. The 20 countries with the highest deaths/population should be excluded from recommending best practices: USA, Poland, Brazil, Latvia, Slovenia, San Marino, Lithuania, Romania, Slovakia, Czechia, Croatia, Georgia, Montenegro, N Macedonia, Hungary, Bosnia, Bulgaria, Peru. Very truly yours, C. Black
None	To whom it may concern: Given the performance of the World Health Organization during the Covid 19 pandemic, I wish to express my disagreement in having the WHO be the centralized point for policy. Policy should be left up to each individual country. Respectfully submitted, Enrique Espinosa
individual	To Whom it May Concern: Health care decisions are best made locally, even when in an emergency. No government has the authority to turn over our local decisions in the United States of America. In an emergency, things can change swiftly and a local community must have the autonomy to take care of themselves. There is no need for a world wide response because culturally and resources will not be universal, ever. The decision MUST remain free of ANY pharmaceutical companies, financial institutions and global health profiteers. Each individual community needs to reserve the right to opt out of any decisions being made. In addition, decisions can not be ethically or morally made without sufficient local representation. There is no need for a unified plan when there would be too many variables for each local community. The need is in open, transparent sharing of relevant information to all, so that individual communities can devise plans to meet local needs with the relevant, available resources. The WHO would be wise to spend the time and resources on developing a communications system to opening share research information and ideas that scientists and doctors from around the world are doing on an individual basis, so that the world would have access to information that is in an open and freely exchanged and questioned to drill down the details in front of the public. Respectfully, Dee Steinbach
United States of America, Private Citizen	To Whom it may concern: I am a citizen of the United States of America and completely oppose any pandemic legislation that refutes my rights as a citizen. Your currently proposed bill takes any decision making out of the individual hands of voters and places it into the hands of an unelected body of people with no medical training. I am fervently against any such measure. Further, I do not feel that economically challenged countries are reasonably represented at all. You organization has too many people serving in it that are also receiving considerable amounts of money from various agencies that promote health agendas that are not conducive to everyone's health. One size does not fit all! Thank you, Christina Belardinelli
Citizen	To whom it may concern: I am a citizen of the United States. a country founded on individual rights and freedoms. I will not cede the right to make my own decisions about my health and welfare to persons unknown to me, such as legislators I vote for. I feel an Opt-Out clause for individuals needs to be an included option in any broad ruling that is considered by the World Health Organization. Provide full disclosure that opting out means Persons are not entitled to any forms of assistance that may be provided by the WHO in future and let the chips fall where they may. At least we die by our choice not yours.
VividSearchGroup	To whom it may concern: I am a concerned citizen of Canada writing in regards to what I believe should be included in the WHO pandemic treaty. I believe every person on this planet should have full autonomy over their own body and that health is not a one size fits all. I am adamant that each national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. An international treaty does not take into consideration that each and every individual's personal health and situation is different. As such, every nation and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. This should include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Please include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you and sincerely
Not affiliated with an organization	To Whom It May Concern: I am an American citizen who is concerned about public health at all times, but especially during a pandemic. I would like you to know that regarding pandemic preparedness and response, my family and I do not want to centralize global control of public health. Rather than serving the health of the public and the health of individual families, this centralization benefits pharmaceutical companies, big corporations and global finances. Furthermore, public health should not be the same program for everyone. Instead, my family and I would like people and communities to be in control of health decisions. Different communities have different health needs and should be treated accordingly, especially in preparation for a pandemic or during a pandemic. Thank you.
N/a	To Whom It May Concern: I am an RN of almost two decades. I want to voice my concerns as a medical professional against this treaty. Medical decisions are personal and should be made and discussed between a patient and their personal healthcare provider. This treaty would bypass this decision. Please protect our individual medical liberties. Thank you, Kat Catali
Self-employed	To whom it may concern: I am writing to express my stern opposition to the WHO's Pandemic Treaty! International, national, state and local governments should NEVER submit to a global governing authority! Here is what I support: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Governments must conduct an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Any government measures should not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Joy Reo
self	To whom it may concern: I believe that WHO treaties that remove power from the US are potentially dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." That's why solutions to further health crises should be kept within democratic countries, of which ours still is at least nominally.
South African Citizen	To whom it may concern: I believe the treaty needs to include the following, to allow for transparency and fairness. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards Robin Kotze
N/A	To Whom It May Concern: I do not approve of the WHOs usurpation of our national pandemic health response! The WHO's handling of the SARS CoV2 pandemic has been a catastrophe at best and there is absolutely no possibility of our trusting their ability to properly supervise future global emergencies. Their incompetence has been staggering and I would rather have our own nations admittedly flawed system handle future events. The WHO is merely an extension of globalist agendas via their current oligarch funding mechanisms such as GAVI and BMGF and are no longer trustworthy. If they really cared about the poor and needy, they would be helping nations install sanitation infrastructure and water treatment systems, but instead they simply impose failed monoculture food crop systems that are heavily dependent upon patented corporate herbicide/fertilizer/pesticide inputs and vaccine programs that seem to cause as many harms as they alleviate. Robin R Asselin
Personal	To Whom It May Concern: I feel it is important for local leadership to retain full autonomy to make decisions based on what they determine is best for their people. There must be the ability for nations and local municipalities to opt-out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences. There must be an open and transparent process so that everyone in the world can vote on it and there should be a measure to prevent the application of the global agreement in areas that do not want it. I call for measures that do not allow for the influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Please consider these points as you work on the agreement it is very important, Sincerely , Barbra Rose Perru
Self	To Whom It May Concern: I feel the following elements must be included in an international instrument for pandemic preparedness/response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Jenna Howard
PostModernConservative group blog; American Political Science Association	To Whom It May Concern: I have a PhD in political science. I am full agreement with the arguments made in James Allan's Democracy in Decline that democratic say is harmed when transnational treaties/"instruments" of dubious constitutional legality in any system, including in my American one, are instituted and pretended to have the status of law. The behavior of the WHO over the last 3 years has given few of us confidence in its leadership anyhow. I remind those at WHO who support a future legally-binding pandemic "instrument," that American conservatives will have substantially more power soon, and we may direct our leaders to act against WHO funding if we continue to see plays like this one for transnationalist governance. Do not be a threat to America's sovereignty, its federalism, its tradition of local democracy, and my natural right to choose what goes into my body. No. Sincerely, Carl Eric Scott
individual	To whom it may concern: I oppose a WHO Treaty that supersedes each individual nations response to a pandemic as we in the United States have a Constitutional right to our health autonomy over our bodies. The WHO is a privately & government funded organization without elected officials. This puts citizens of the world at risk of the agenda's of personal agendas, not a democracy. I fear elitist who are primary donators will be making the rules of the treaty which is not again democratic. We should not be governed under a funded institution of this sort. It is unjust and undemocratic. Thank you for your time.
Self	To Whom it may concern: I oppose any world heath treaty. If the US was to enter into a WHO treaty it would remove power from USA citizens. This would create a danger to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions should be up to the individual, including when an emergency exists. Not governments or global governing bodies. WHO does not have power over the US health decisions currently, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Sincerely, Josh Byers
Self	To Whom it may concern: I oppose any world heath treaty. If the US was to enter into a WHO treaty it would remove power from USA citizens. This would create a danger to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions should be up to the individual, including when an emergency exists. Not governments or global governing bodies. WHO does not have power over the US health decisions currently, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Sincerely, Nathaniel Bodwell
07979 648695	To whom it may concern:- I propose that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I believe that nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. I would like to see an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I would like to also see measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
None	To Whom It May Concern: I respectfully request that you consider the graveness of such a decision. Any treaty entered into that would remove powers from our great United States of America is very dangerous to the health and welfare of our beloved nation.. The health decision made for any emergency that exists should be kept local, state, and then national. WHO should never have the power over any personal or public health decisions. We have brilliant doctors to make such decisions. We will lose all our power to make our own decisions as a nation if we (US) agree to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Please do not allow for a world authority make the decisions of the great citizens and minds of the US. Respectfully, Suzette Chavers Functional Nutritional Therapy Practitioner
N/A	To WHOm it May Concern: I strongly believe that any treaty must include retention of national and local leadership autonomy. They should reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I also believe that nations and local municipalities should be free to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. I believe it is essential to have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Finally, I would like to see measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your time.
Private individual	To Whom It May Concern: I write to express my disagreement about the need for any "international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response." Pandemic preparedness and response are matters best left to each country and its leadership, recognizing the vastly differing needs of each nation. Should an agreement be entered into, however, it is imperative that nations retain the right to opt out of any or all of its terms, without consequence, and to make decisions based on their assessment of what is best for their own population. A major concern is the possibility, if not the probability, of the imposition of rules without the agreement of the majority of a country's citizens. The will of the majority of in each nation must take precedence; any agreement must include a free vote of that country's citizenry. Finally, any terms must also be free of influence from any pharmaceutical or global health companies. Respectfully, Dolores Bell
PW Farm	To whom it may concern: I write to you now in order to stress the importance of local control and autonomy in regards to the way any future pandemic protocols unfold, Covid 19 or otherwise. When allowing local peoples to register their opinions with their local officials and thus craft the type of health care approach that is needed in their area THAT demonstrates a free and effective democracy. No one on this planet should be wishing for anything less.
Williamsburg JR-SR High School	To Whom It May Concern: I, as a fully functional adult who does not need the WHO to be my babysitter, suggest you do disband this committee on Pandemic Preparedness and Response. You know, as well as I, no matter how well intentioned this plan may be (which I can tell you, a lot of people do NOT see it as well intentioned) that whatever guidelines you suggest and subsequently implement will restrict the rights of human beings around the world, while accomplishing nothing with regard to saving lives due to a future Pandemic. It sickens me that the WHO is now nothing more than an activist for the WEF. In a sane world, you would be disbanded and imprisoned for tyranny. If you want to make suggestions during a Pandemic, fine. But they better be based on legitimate research, not models, not hypothesis, hard irrefutable data collected through proper experimental design and not massaged to get the outcome you were looking for. That said, a "treaty" that binds nations to collectively respond in the same manner is bound to fail miserably and will only cause more turmoil around the globe. Maybe that is what you want. Regardless, I know you won't heed my advice and this was a waste of my time. So carry on with your destruction of the free world. Adam C Berte
General Public, USA	To Whom It May Concern: If there is any future for the WHO, it is in seeking to find the answers, not twist data to support the pre-determined narratives of preferred countries. If WHO is to retain any credibility, WHO must resist the urge to force uniformity in the response to viruses, or any other "emergency." The poor around the world have paid with their lives, futures, and economies for the arrogance and miscalculations of the West and China for at least the last 2 years, and almost certainty that will continue for at least the next 2. This is a tragedy. Along with other powerful countries, the USA has made clear our "leaders" are endlessly willing to spread poverty so we, with our big houses and full bellies, can be further coddled and "feel safe" regardless of whether or not all the destruction our leaders instigate actually make anyone safer anywhere. Any plan passed by WHO should ensure national and local leadership retain full autonomy, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, and a prohibition on seeking input from pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Mrs. Jaime Kilby
No organization	To whom it may concern: I'm concerned about the one size fits all medicine that is coming from the WHO, pharma companies and public health authorities . There must be national and local input to help the individual make best decisions for their own health in consultation with their doctors. Doctors need to be able to practice the art of medicine and not just follow top down guidelines. Medicine should be tailor made to the needs of the individual. Also where were the voices from the scientific community that were not listened to. It had the appearance of a small cabal of people who determine what's right for everyone. No conversation on natural immunity. Natural medicine to be appreciated more. Chinese herb artesunate is now considered approved for treatment of bowel cancer along with curcumin so more acceptance of this please. As the WHO receives funding from tax payers we do have a say and should not be coerced into protocols/guidelines coming from one body. Thanks.
Self-directed	To whom it may concern: It is best that decisions of public health be made at the level of the county, state or lastly, country affected, according to the local medical experts, the population affected and the societal structure of each area concerned. The WHO "may" make recommendations in the event of a pandemic [a term which should be very specifically defined and strictly limited in scope] understanding that these are only recommendations and may not be suitable, practicable and absolutely not compulsory on any country, area or person. The WHO's recommendation must address the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and no procedures nor substances can be forced nor mandated on anyone. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, ant any time and without any consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail/safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical, technological and financial companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Member of the Public	To Whom It May Concern: It is my belief that national and local leaderships worldwide should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The drafting and subsequent adoption of any agreement should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours faithfully, Harriet Klotz Canada
None	To Whom It May Concern: It is my belief that national and local leaderships worldwide should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The drafting and subsequent adoption of any agreement should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Yours faithfully, Christopher Klotz Canada
N/A	To Whom It May Concern: It would not be appropriate for the WHO to make whole-world encompassing decisions on any current or future pandemics. This would be an immense overreach of authority. Each nation and or government or local authority should make those decisions based on the needs of and input of the people they govern. Regards, Natalie Conlan
Personal	To Whom It May Concern: Like most American citizens, I am deeply concerned about an International Pandemic Treaty in which all participating countries are bound to the guidelines within this treaty that may directly oppose and supersede our personal rights under the Constitution of the United States of America. Our Constitution is the primary document by which this country is governed and assures our rights and freedoms regardless of emergency or pandemic events. If the WHO guidelines are anything similar to what was implemented for COVID-19 which included shutting down businesses, schools and places of worship, it cannot be supported. A retrospective view of the damage that has occured to our livelihoods, mental health, physical health, personal freedom and quality of life would reveal that anything remotely similar to what has already been implemented is wrong, incredibly damaging and violation of our rights and freedom as assured by our Constitution. Sincerely, We The People
Personal	To Whom It May Concern: National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We must allow the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We should have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We must ensure there are measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	To Whom It May Concern: Please make sure you consider the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you
Private Citizen of New Zealand	To Whom It May Concern: RE: International instrument on pandemic preparedness and response I do NOT agree with any kind of "International Agreement" for future pandemics for the following reasons: 1) National and local leadership of every individual country should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2) A global agreement is not the way to go for a sovereign nation. Sovereign nations should have the ability to do what is right for their own people, as individuals, not as one mass worldwide group. 3) I believe a global agreement will eventually be severely influenced by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I say NO to a 'Global Agreement'. Regards Maureen Brown New Zealand Citizen

Organization	Written submission
private citizen	To Whom it May Concern: Since the onset of Covid-19, it has become painfully apparent that the pandemic has been poorly managed by all institutions running point in an effort to protect American citizens. Guidelines have been established and changed constantly--not in "adaptation to new scientific data," but in an attempt to control citizens and in pushback to proven, accurate scientific information leaked through alternative media sources. This occurred with information released by the WHO, the CDC, the White House, and other "official" sources. This said, any WHO treaty that removes power from we the people of the United States of America is a threat to the health and welfare of our great nation. Control MUST be left in the hands of individual Americans. Our constitution affords us the freedom to make our own personal health decisions without interference from government or any governmental entity. The WHO's job in an emergency should be: 1) To inform citizens of disease details 2) Provide guidelines for treatment 3) Ensure treatments are available to physicians so they can provide their patients with products that will aid in their health. All other decisions should be made strictly between individuals and their chosen physicians. No WHO international treaty should usurp the freedoms bestowed on American citizens by our great constitution. Sincerely, Jordan Watts
private citizen	To Whom it May Concern: Since the onset of Covid-19, it has become painfully apparent that the pandemic has been poorly managed by all institutions running point in an effort to protect American citizens. Guidelines have been established and changed constantly--not in "adaptation to new scientific data," but in an attempt to control citizens and in pushback to proven, accurate scientific information leaked through alternative media sources. This occurred with information released by the WHO, the CDC, the White House, and other "official" sources. This said, any WHO treaty that removes power from we the people of the United States of America is a threat to the health and welfare of our great nation. Control MUST be left in the hands of individual Americans. Our constitution affords us the freedom to make our own personal health decisions without interference from government or any governmental entity. The WHO's job in an emergency should be: 1) To inform citizens of disease details 2) Provide guidelines for treatment 3) Ensure treatments are available to physicians so they can provide their patients with products that will aid in their health. All other decisions should be made strictly between individuals and their chosen physicians. No WHO international treaty should usurp the freedoms bestowed on American citizens by our great constitution. Sincerely, Brandon Watts
NONE	To whom it may concern: Stop the COVID theater madness! Please adopt the following measures regarding pandemic preparedness and response. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Joseph Lohnes, BSRS, (R)(CT)(ARRT)
No affiliation to any organization other than a concerned citizen of the United	To Whom It May Concern: The following elements need to be included in an international response to a global response and preparedness for any future pandemic. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for this opportunity to voice my opinion. Sincerely, Lisa Lubbock
individual	To Whom It May Concern: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The people have not elected any of the members of the WHO and should not be controlled by them. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The people have not elected any of the members of the WHO and should not be controlled by them. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. This is absolutely nonnegotiable. Thank you. Gialla Oggi
Not applicable	To Whom It May Concern: The following must be at minimum included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Konnie
Longevity Plus	To whom it may concern: The idea of a "worldwide" pandemic treaty is not only ridiculous from medical standpoint, but a violation of the sovereignty of a country. The Covid19 pandemic was handled "top down" here in the USA and has been a complete disaster, and still is due to government regulations, inertia, censorship and blacklisting of covid19 cures (of which there are many) followed by another top down inoculation program. This injection program was shoved down the throats of many clueless people has killed well over 250,000 Americans and damaged over 1 million while have NO EFFECT on disease incidence. All disease outbreaks should be handled country by country LOCALLY based on the opinions of local medical experts and physicians, not bureaucrats in far away places who have political and financial agendas. Please do not legislate this treaty as it will only lead to mass noncompliance and further mayhem and deaths worldwide. Destroying the world economy has lead to huge increases in suicides, drug overdoses and deaths, destruction of millions of small businesses and economic hardship for billions of people due to the lockstep worldwide CV19 pandemic response. That alone proves a "treaty" would also not work and create more illness and deaths.
We the people	To whom it may concern: The Nuremberg Code is there for a reason. Regional factors drive any pandemic beyond human interventions. Pandemics should be managed locally with regards to epidemiological nuances of the region. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy. The pandemic preparedness should be advisory in nature. Virus outbreaks are not homogeneous: they differ in population density, climate, Population diversity, availability of medical drugs, etc. The freedom and right to physical integrity and safety of every individual must be upheld at all times. The WHO should seek to serve as a resource base to share advice with all nations to take into consideration while making autonomous decisions based on what is best for their people/population.
self employed	To Whom it may Concern: The the peoples of the world should be given transparency in the process of the voting and to include safe measures to prevent global agreement being made where the majority of the people do not agree or want it. No big corporate pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers have influential decision making in any process of an agreement. We require that peoples own national and local leaders have the right to retain full autonomy to make decisions that are best for their own people, without reprisal. We should have ability to opt out of all/any portions of the agreement as we see fit without negative consequences put on us.
private citizen	To whom it may concern: The United Nations was founded with sovereignty of nations-states at its core. Everyone knows that WHO acts on the directives of its major financial donors, i.e., corporations. To consider any legislature which would see sovereignty seconded to corporate interests without even the inference of liability, is not in keeping with the ideals of nations as sovereign and respected members of the United Nations. To quote Off-Guardian: the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years. n.b., 47 years ago I got married at the United Nations Chapel in NYC - UNITED NATIONS not United Corporations!
Private Company	To Whom It May Concern: The WHO is not a governing body over sovereign nations. Creating a treaty to remove power from the US is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans, as well as against the US Constitution. All health decisions need to stay local and under the jurisdiction of our governance...especially when an emergency exists. Overextending your power goes is unconstitutional. You are not our elected officials to be deciding policy for people over whom you have no legal authority. Any attempt to do so should be stopped. Thank you, Madeleine Montana
None	To whom it may concern: The WHO should not have jurisdiction over any country. National and local leadership benefits from full autonomy, making decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The WHO is influenced by pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, and has shown that they can't be trusted guiding the world in health matters. Sincerely, Kirsten Dumford
Private Business	To Whom It May Concern: The WHO should not supersede the governance of the Constitutions of Countries and/or States. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I pray you will consider! Jennifer Soudan
None	To whom it may concern: There is nothing the WHO can do to better prepare for a pandemic! This last COVID-19 pandemic proved that no matter what you try to do, it just has to run its course! Masking and social distance was a huge failure! The people of this world need and deserve the freedom to move about as they will. If some people want to quarantine in their own homes, that's fine and they can surely choose to do so! On the other hand, if some people want to take their own chances and "swim with the sharks", then they should surely be allowed to do so as well. No organization has the right to tell the citizens of each country what to do, especially when it is a "world" organization! As far as our personal health, that is ONLY the business of ourselves to be discussed with our own doctor and is NOT the business of anyone else, including my city, state, country or the whole world PERIOD! Sincerely, Mr. & Mrs. Kevin King
self	To Whom It May Concern: There should be NO legally binding agreement or document that gives WHO sovereignty or power over countries in regards to the decisions of health for the people. Each country is responsible for how they care for their citizens - even, and especially, in a pandemic. More than that, each person has sovereignty over their body and over their family. Health decisions are to be made by each individual and the families. WHO, and each country's government, is under the obligation to inform the people, not to control them. WHO and government are working for the people, not the reverse. Thank you for your time. Praying God's wisdom and love will be experienced by all. Sincerely, Sharon Porter
U.S. Citizen	To Whom It May Concern: This is NOT a treaty or agreement for any nation to sign. Therefore, it is my position it should NOT be approved because: 1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Robert Neidert Stow, Ohio, USA
Elementary School Music Teacher/Church Worship Leader	To Whom It May Concern: Whether preparing to respond, or responding directly to an actual pandemic, not a media or politically driven pandemic of fear, the powers that be must always consult our United States Constitution, and our inalienable, God-given rights, to first protect our civil liberties. An individual's rights are just as important as a group's rights and one's unalienable rights should never be trespassed upon for the good of the group. There will always be illnesses and calculated risks we take each day, but appointed, as well as elected officials, should never be allowed or able to negate individual rights when it comes to our own healthy bodies. A true pandemic should never be used to enrich the pockets and wealth of big pharma and elitists while under threats, duress, and coercion closing small businesses and/or lockdowns, which only hurt the middle and lower income people. The lockdowns and forced closures only served to trample inalienable rights. Churches, which are never to be in lock step with the government, should never be closed, they are to be hospitals for the body and soul/spirit during any true pandemic. Our unalienable, God-given, and Constitutionally protected rights are to be preserved at all costs, and all elected or appointed officials must remember to be servants of the people not rulers or tyrants. Individual rights first! Truly Yours, Emily M. Hansen Elementary Music Teacher
Self	To Whom It May Concern: WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." We need to respect informed opinion and keep it at the forefront of everything the WHO does. Thank you, Albert
retired	To whom it may concern: With all due respect, I believe it is totally inappropriate to talk of 'pandemic treaty' whilst insufficient investigation has been done to form a real understanding of the implications and consequences (social, medical and economical) brought about by the WHO's response over the last two years to the CV19 virus. There is, at this point in time, a total lack of insight without which I question this procedure I believe, however, the last two years has at least taught us one very important fact: that every human- being is unique: unique in their response to the virus, to treatments- including vaccines- to psychological pressure etc. What is right for one is not neccessarily right for another, This uniqueness of body, mind and spirit should be respected. Bodily autonomy must be respected. Thank you
Retired	To Whom It May Concern: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Under no circumstances should the US engage in this treaty especially without open knowledge to the public at large. This is tyranny. The interest of the US population at large will not be served by this treaty. Sincerely, Joseph R Ball
Private citizen	To whom it may concern: A global response to pandemics might seem like a great idea, but it should be very closely considered in which way and to what extent state and country governments should be put out of command. 1) Viruses tend to have different effects due to climate, population density and development of the country. So a one size fits all policy seems problematic. 2) As with the current pandemic, we see a widespread difference in opinion to managing and reading data, IF said data is accumulated unbiased and in sufficient numbers. So how would be decided whose opinion to listen to and whose measures (from strict to lenient) should be applied. 3) The WHO is in no way independent, unbiased or balanced. IF an organization of the likes of the WHO could be „ruler of the pandemic", it would have to consist of independent (from Big Pharma) commisions and medical, societal, phsychological and judicial experts of ALL areas. There would be mich more to say, but for now, please consider the above mentioned reasons and caveats! Be wise in your rulings Sincerely Barbara Marquardt
None	To Whom It May Concern: All peoples should be able to reserve their rights- locally and criss individuals—as to determine the extent of any global intervention to be instituted during a pandemic. Any global approach should be respectful and deferential to the needs of the individual nation/state/city. No global mandate should trump the inherent rights of each citizen to refuse any pharmaceutical/novel/questionable treatment, and to do so free of any threat of physical, social or psychological harm.
U.S.Citizen	To Whom It May Concern: As a concerned Citizen of the United States of America, I stand firmly against the formation of this dangerous global treaty for the following reasons. It is strangely being done in secret, which should alarm every world citizen. It has the characteristics of a power grab to centralize the control of global health under the guise of pandemic control. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people(individuals) and communities and individual nations to be in control of their own health, health programs, food and water sources in the case of a pandemic. No global organization should hold such a m the right to dictate what that might be, and even less, in secret. Respectfully, Bernice E. Clark
Open Source Truth	To Whom It May Concern: Given the global devastation to the economic, political, psychological, physical health & general well being of the global population, by following the dictats of WHO, CDC, & affiliated experts, I have No faith in giving global control of any pandemic to WHO. I believe this power concolidationnis a gross over reach of authority, and would hasten the current political & planetary collapse we are in. There will be NO health benefit having by WHO controlling any fiture pandemics when all their recommendations for C19, were complete failures including the efficacy of the novel gene therapy vaccine (Pfizer's work shows natural immunity equal or superior to their vaccine), masks, lockdowns, social distancing. The proposed WHO, global control for pandemics will not benefit public health, it may, however, be profitable for those interests that directly involved in the money stream generated by WHO's response to future pandemics. Sincerely, Rachel H. Girshick
Psychologist	To whom it may concern: I am against the new laws/ treaty that will allow the WHO to decide over people's individual health choices. Please count my vote! A one fits all approach to health is deeply concerning and not wise. Other ways to protect people need to be considered and heard. Respectfully, Dr. Claudia Goedde
None	To whom it may concern: I am opposed to the attempts to start this global response to pandemics. I am a citizen of the United States and I feel every nation should implement their own response to any future pandemic. There should be NO organization that makes all the decisions for the entire planet. This is too much power and each nation should remain sovereign in and of itself and be able to make decisions based on what their people want. The fact that this is even on the table is disturbing to me. Treatment should be an individual choice and nations should remain ready to handle each crisis as they see fit. Thank you
McCumsey Academy of Learning	To Whom It May Concern: I am opposed to this Global Pandemic Treaty. It appears that the WHO is trying to govern States/Countries. Each State/Country should be able to govern themselves according to their own Constitution/Rules of Law. The job of the WHO is to make suggestions and help when needed...not to govern.
N/A	To Whom It May Concern: I am speaking as a concerned citizen. I believe that any treaty that the WHO proposes that removes power from the US and all other nations is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans or the people of those sovereign nations. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. All medical and health treatments need to be localized and left to those who are closest to each particular situation. So absolutely nothing in any treaty of the WHO should be binding. The WHO should only be allowed to offer suggestions or help if needed by member countries. Thank you.
Private Citizen	To Whom It May Concern: I am strongly against the WHO Pandemic Preparedness Agreement. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities to be in control of their own health. The details of this treaty have not been widely shared with the communities around the world. We need transparency. We don't want the WHO to supersede the authority of every signatory nation's individual constitution. In the United States, people respect and honor their constitution, and they wouldn't be happy with this treaty. Please, let our countries decide our own health policies. Stop the treaty! Thank you for your attention and consideration, Sincerely, Bonita Pickell
Concerned US citizen	To Whom It May Concern: I am writing out of serious concern for this WHO Treaty as any decisions like this need to stay as close to home as possible. A treaty with the world on how to handle an infectious disease issue is a threat to US American rights and freedoms. We, as a nation, operate differently than other nations in this world. It's for that reason that this country is the greatest nation in the world. We cannot align or malign ourselves with the tyrannical or dictatorial style leadership that exists within the rest of the world. Please consider the unique freedoms that exist in our country and recognize that those need to be considered paramount.
childrens health defense	To Whom It May Concern: I am writing this submission to protest that the WHO should be given the global authority over every country during a pandemic. It has been made clear that after the past two years the WHO has shown they are incompetent in managing a pandemic. There are poor countries that had no support and the (so-called) developed countries that had the means to deal with the Covid-19 virus independently did so successfully. It has been shown that there is no need for any group (especially the WHO) to take away the constitutional rights of any country to globally manage a pandemic of any type. It sounds like a move in the direction of tyranny to me....
Christiana care home health	To whom it may concern: I feel strongly that the independence an individual needs of a society should have the right to be able to make a determination for what's best for themselves. This is the basic premise of freedom. One entity should not rule the entire world whether they believe it is the right thing to do or not. It eliminates freedom. The four basic tenants are this: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I sincerely hope that these tenants are incorporated into a treaty if one exists. Otherwise, guidelines are a much more appropriate way to do things. Critical thinking is always clouded in groupthink. If one organization consisting ofSimilar minds will likely eliminate alternative strategies and resources to be able to determine an appropriate response. I think we can all agree that the United States of America has been looked upon as the greatest country to ever exist. This is due to that so reason… The ability to have diverse opinions and freedom.
Private person	To Whom It May Concern: I strongly resent the idea of the WHO to implement an international instrument for pissible pandemics which might Happen or not. In this context it is absolutely mandatory to include these points: The WHO guarantees each state's sovereignty ans the sovereignty if the individual. Further the Human Rights Charta will be respected. Another point to be added to this contract is that it is legal to sue politicians on grounds of personal liability. This includes pharmaceutical companies/ bodies as well. Sincerely yours, S. Dürport
Myself	To whom it may concern: I suggest the following elements need to be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration in this very important matter.
South African Citizen	To whom it may concern: I think the following points need to be included in any treaty going forward. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank for the opitunity to voice my opinion, I hope it is taken into consideration. Kind regards Claire Kotze
private citizen	To whom it may concern: I understand the value of having a global approach to various pandemics. You are challenged to prove a global pandemic exists prior to taking action that affects private citizens. The pandemic must be naturally occurring and proven to be not man made (SARS CoV2). You are not to be swayed monetarily by outside interests in your decision making. Any and all edicts coming from your gatherings are to be informational and by no means mandatory. The citizens in the countries affected by the health emergency must vote upon the measures to be taken and be given both positives and negatives so as to reach an informed decision.

Organization	Written submission
Somanautiko	To Whom It May Concern: I write with concerns about the proposed pandemic treaty being brought forth in hearings this week. I write on behalf of all humans, especially those who have no access to information, technology, or the ability to make their voices heard about this or any matter of global importance.There must be an open and transparent process guaranteeing the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. I also assert that any proposal must allow each national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be guaranteed as well. And perhaps most significantly, there must be measures taken that prevent the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for receiving these comments.
Parent	To whom it may concern: International efforts should be centered upon sourcing complete and true information about pandemic outbreaks, watching patterns of when and where pandemics originate, and guidelines for accurate and correct accounting of hospitalizations/deaths. Of utmost importance, efforts should be made to protect non-mainstream medical expert opinions of treatment protocol, particularly concerning tracking what treatments are effective and what seem to hurt positive health outcomes. Individual autonomy for each country must be preserved. Sincerely, Denise Crisafulli
US citizen	To whom it may concern: It is unethical and contrary to human rights to implement any pandemic preparedness instrument against the will of the people. Far too many policies have been based on assumptions and models which have proved incorrect and caused very real harm. Consider Shanghai currently. Severe lockdown there is a humanitarian crisis and people are starving. And if we know anything in the past two years, it is that lockdowns do not work and cause great harm. You do not have the right to impose any pandemic policy that involves force or coercion without the express permission of those impacted. Continuing to consult with and act in the best interests of certain NGO's and the pharmaceutical industry at the expense of individuals will backfire and has led to terrible decisions and ultimately the loss of life and livelihood far in excess of what might naturally have occurred. If you must impose on human rights, you must have individual consent and unbiased facts. You have not had either, nor have you sought any meaningful input outside of special interests. Your goals have been control and contain at all costs. It didn't and can't work. Worse, it has increased human suffering exponentially.
Individual	To Whom It May Concern: My comments on the proposed pandemic are below: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank-you
None	To Whom It May Concern: Please make sure any "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" includes the following elements: 1) The ability for nations and all of their political subdivisions to opt out of the response with no consequences. 2) Restrains itself to making suggestions, not demands. 3) Provides for differing opinions to be presented and non-uniformity to be embraced. 4) Ignores inputs from entities that stand to make a profit from whatever decisions are made and/or policy positions adopted. 5) Does not favor any nation over another, or any part of the world over another. If Covid-19 has taught us anything it was people make mistakes. Some countries fared better than others through the pandemic, in part due to the mistakes, or lack thereof, made early on. It is unwise to allow a single governing body to determine what the world-wide response is going to be since doing so simply means that, if the initial response is in error, far more people will be harmed than if each political subdivision is allowed to use their own judgment. If the way forward in the next pandemic is clear, there will be a unified response anyway. It is hubris to think that any one single person, group of people, or governing body is more likely to be correct than any other. Accordingly, local responses are best able to determine what is right for their circumstances than a worldwide body is. Thank you for considering my remarks. Kathryn Townsend
None	To whom it may concern: Please stop this treaty! The sovereignty of a country and its people must stay in its own hands. This planned WHO treaty would overrule the countries' sovereignty. The measures to fight SARS-COV-2 have caused an extreme amount of damage and as a result to the individuals. Do you want to see this again? Also, each country faces different situations. The WHO should focus on controlling the type of research done and making sure it is applied for the benefit and well-being of the population and not as a means of control and manipulation. The preparedness for a pandemic should be done by strengthening the medical communities and training the people. Each individual must keep the right of choosing medical treatment and health status. Controlling dangerous research worldwide should be the WHO's focus. That would be the most effective way to prevent future pandemics. Please stop this treaty!
Jennifer Wallace Psychotherapy	To whom it may concern: Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health. Please stop putting pharmaceutical companies and corporations in front of individuals and their health. Do the right thing. Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Jennifer Wallace
transformation thru yoga	To Whom It May Concern: The following elements should be included in a new instrument for pandemic preparedness & response: -Define "pandemic" to include severity (not merely transmissability) criteria, such that severity is measured by fatality and permanent disability, detected at a high level internationally both by and within nations and by and within the WHO itself; -National and local leadership should retain FULL autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people... rather than follow a blanket statement of action. - Discourage nations or regions from permitting public health authorities to take decisions encroaching on areas of the common life that lie outside their competence, i.e., in law or religion or the economy, or in specialized medical research and treatment. -Nations and local municipalities should be able to opt out of ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, without ANY consequence. -Should be an open and transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote. This would include fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do NOT want it. -Measures should NOT be allowed to be influenced by ANY pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. -Follow the Nuremberg Principles and preclude all forms of medical experimentation without FULLY INFORMED CONSENT! Sincerely, Eileen Scully
retired	To Whom It May Concern: The most important element that must be included in any emergency response to a world pandemic is to acknowledge that each country is sovereign and must be allowed to control their own response to any and all pandemics. To ignore each country as a sovereign state, with it's own laws and methods of response, is to go against international law and would be unacceptable to the greatest degree!
none	The proposed treaty, if pursued at all, must: (1) Define "pandemic" to include severity (not merely transmissibility) criteria, such that severity is measured by fatality and permanent disability, detected at a high level internationally both by and within nations and by and within the WHO itself; (2) Forbid any international agency, including the WHO, from acting in any fashion that overrides or circumvents either national or individual sovereignty or the principle of subsidiarity within nations; (3) Discourage the extended use of emergency powers; (4) Discourage nations or regions from permitting public health authorities to take decisions encroaching on areas of the common life that lie outside their competence, for example in law or religion or the economy, or in specialized medical research and treatment; (5) Reaffirm the Nuremberg Principles and preclude all forms of medical experimentation without fully informed consent; (6) Recognize and uphold the principle of bodily autonomy, such that coercive measures are precluded, including mandatory vaccination and any treatment known or reasonably suspected to have serious adverse effects; (7) Recognize the right to earn a living and attendant all civil liberties during a pandemic; (8) Contain transparency provisions that make it possible for any public body or public person to examine and contest the claims of those supporting special pandemic measures; (9) Provide special scrutiny for any industries profiting from pandemic measures;
Stand for Health Freedom	To Whom it May Concern: The substantive element that I think should be included is that it is imperative that each nation and territory retain their individual sovereignty especially during times of an emergency so that the entire global community can be best protected. What's good for one country is not necessarily good for all countries. There should be no element in this pandemic preparedness response that would hinder US sovereignty in any area, but especially public health. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The rights that a citizen of a country enjoys should not be infringed upon by a global health organization. Thank you for listening.
None	To Whom It May Concern: The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I am, therefore, providing my informed opinion to the WHO panel, in regards to the global Pandemic Treaty. The global Pandemic Treaty is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Please DO NOT engage in a global Pandemic Treaty which would take away the American people's opportunity to make their own health decisions. Respectfully Submitted.
Self	To Whom It May Concern: The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I am writing to hold you accountable to your words. As a citizen of the USA, I respectfully implore your organization to refrain from trying to implement a world-wide treaty requiring a blanket implementation of pandemic policies. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families.
US citizen	To whom it may concern: WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay with each citizen and as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO should not have power over personal health decisions and only WHO guidelines should be offered to all citizens for use in personal health decisions. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Personal health decisions shall may remain sovereign to each US citizen.
Self	To Whom It May Concern: With regards to forming a Pandemic Preparedness and Response for the World, I would respectfully disagree with the WHO, World Health Organization, in having this type of control over the people of every Country in the World. Each Country is Sovereign and in "modern democracies, the people's will is in theory sovereign". Our Government and individual Provinces are in authority, acting on behalf of the people as the elected leaders. No other nation or organization, such as the WHO, has authority over our nation nor any right to control whether during any future pandemic or calamity that may/or may not occur. As a citizen of Canada, I urge our leaders and the WHO to collaborate in a manner of advisement and recommendations rather than in an overreaching manner which will take away a Country's and it's People's right to autonomy and decisions. We are individual people who ultimately answer to our creator and not to those who would choose to subjugate and rule over in a dictatorial manner. Once again, I respectfully ask that this attempt to write rules that could or will over-ride each Country's right to decide their pathway of dealing with any potential health crisis be struck down.
NA	 To Whom It May Concern: Without sovereignty there is no health. Physical, metal and emotional health are inseparable, as is a feeling of safety. I am concerned that this treaty takes away from America, its constitution, and with it our rights to freedom of our bodies. Medical freedoms are within our unalienable rights and being born as a sentient being, no person can take away, so the ever important US constitution puts it in writing and law. Especially in emergences we all reserve the right to do what is best for us, thus best for everyone around us. As for the argument for public health, there is no public without the individuals, who indeed want to stay healthy but in their own way. Healthy individuals make for healthy populations. No one must usurp our own power for keeping ourselves, and families safe and healthy. It is not a matter of not wanting to be healthy, we all are the same on that, what differs is what makes one feel safe and healthy. Safety comes from within, as does health. Removing power from those "on the ground" or "here at home" wherever home is, when something goes a miss can be dangerous. People who know one another can better tackle issue then people remotely, where no one is seen as individual but as a faceless hoard where some can fall through cracks. All individuals are diverse, as are countries so everyone should have an appropriate response, which is not binding and can be flexible is the need arises. Emergencies tend to be fluid so the responses need to be as well. Sovereignty is the most important element in any treaty! Everyone's goal is safety and health, but that all starts and ends at home!
none	To whom it may concern: You need to end these radical human rights violations immediately! You are not GOD! You are not all knowing!! You have NO RIGHT or authority to shape the world to your agenda!! Have a conscience and end your evil ways immediately for the sake of this world and humanity! Karen Stern
Member of Public	To whom it may concern; As we have seen in the recent pandemic, mandating people to take vaccines has caused life changing side affects including death for some and disrupted people's lives as well as creating serious trust issues in the public eye. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Treatment and prevention needs to be personalised by taking into account an individual's health concerns. This treaty is dangerous as it takes people's human rights away to make a decision for their wellbeing. It will erode public trust further with possibly unwanted consequences. Kind regards, S. T
Citizen of the United States of America	To Whom it May concern; The most substantive elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is the sovereign rights of all local governments to make their own decisions regarding any health crisis. Any attempt to globalize a response will be an obvious power grab, and is more likely to do wholesale damage to people rather than help. The most important thing to do on a global scale is to protect the countries of the world from any organization that would usurp their local authority. The second element that needs to be included is transparency. All dealings, records and information need to be completely available to the public.
My personal choice and for all the people of the free world	To whom it may concern; Dismantling the freedoms of the citizens of this world is not ok. All countries and their people must freely choose how to handle their health concerns and situations. A global response is unnecessary and highly uncalled for let alone justified. Stop this TREATY and stop it NOW! We must all be allowed to choose and react with and to the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No one government should ever be allowed to choose for all. Keep our countries free and our world free. Sincerely and in earnest, Dr. Elaine Cote
Massage Therapy	To whom it may concern; I am an extremely concerned citizen. The WHO has lost ALL of my trust through this so called covid "pandemic". Their mismanagement of this virus has been astronomical. Focusing only on treatments like Remdesivir which is known to cause acute kidney failure and on "vaccines" that do NOT work. The WHO has completely ignored the fact that people have an immune system and if treated well a virus such as sars-cov-2 is dealt with easily. Why not promote cost effective treatments such as vitamin D, C and Zinc to start with? The WHO has also refused to acknowledge any early treatment therapeutics such as Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine which have been around a very long time and have LITTLE to NO side effects when used properly!! There is far too much corruption, deceit, and conflicts of interest in the WHO and many other "health" organizations to put so much power into the hands of a few. I do NOT consent to this proposed treaty. It is not in the benefit of humanity!! There must be transparency and people held accountable for their actions. The response to covid has been far more destructive than the virus itself. We would be in a much better place today without the WHO's involvement. We cannot allow the WHO to have full sweeping powers over future pandemics or any other world cause such as global warming. Thank you, -Jade
Individual, U.S. Citizen	To Whom It May Concern; I do not support in any way, an an international treaty on pandemic prevention and preparedness that seeks to bypass the duly elected representatives of local juridictions and seeks to bypass judicial and legislative processes of said jurisdictions. An international treaty that gives blanket powers to the WHO is not in the best interests of the peoples of the world - especially considering the abysmal response to the current crisis. Any international treaty should have these stipulations: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Regards, Barry J. Fleming bfleming@dharmabuilt.com (347) 525-4305
NA	To whom it may concern; I don't agree on this Treaty and I don't give my permission to the WHO to impose me anything concerning my health and the right to choose or not a health treatment. During the pandemic since early 2020, vaccines proved to be unsafe and ineffective causing many health issues. Every single person I know that received a vaccine has had their health deteriorate unexplainably or they are deceased due to a heart related condition that they never had before. I firmly believe that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices. I also want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid, and I don't want this to happen, especially without my explicit permission and consent as a FREE citizen who had to undergo many abuses in the last 2 years and 4 months under pretext of a global health emergency. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. This is a direct threat to my free life choices for myself and my children now and in the future.
	To whom it may concern; I vehemently object to being under total government control of our health and bodies. We the people are not Guinea pigs that can be experimented on. This would be extreme government over reach into our private lives. We the people want to live as free citizens, a freedom that is endowed by our almighty God. Sincerely, Theresa Godwin
NA	To whom it may concern; I would like to express my vehement disagreement to your plan of concocting a treaty that supercedes the sovereignity of one's country just in case of another pandemic. Please rethink of your actions if it really benefits the entire population. These vaccination alone has killed millions of people already. If you think your plan of doing this treaty is of good intention why can't WHO make it on public. I am asking you to please stop this and let each country and its respective government decide on their own. Each life is sacred and must be respected.
n/a	To Whom it may Concern; In my opinion, the W.H.O. has no right right or business in stepping and stripping nations in the ability of determining which policies are helpful and which are detrimental to the people of individual nations. These nations should maintain the governing rule for the health and well being of it's indigenous people. In terms of an epidemic or worst case scenario, pandemic, one size does NOT fit all, and policies should be determined by Doctors and Nurses there in the community, aware of the lifestyle, the culture and the emotional balance and health of the people they serve. After watching the response of W.H.O. over the course of this travesty, they have no business in determining what outcomes should be implemented for the health of ANYONE. There are so many stories of the double handed dealings and the corruption that seems to permeate this agency. Thank you, Dori Sullivan
Concerned Citizen of the USA	To whom it may concern; In regards to the statement: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?", I feel the following are necessary elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Patrick Safford United States Citizen
Independent	To whom it may concern; Over the past two years we have witnessed failed policy after policy, directed by governments and supported by the WHO. The economy is collapsing u see such policies and the new era being ushered in with fervour. Oddly the common denominator seems to be in the conflict of interest that serves few, including the largest contributor to the WHO. Until such conflict of interest is declared and the perpetrators removed from vote or consideration in policy moving forward, you must pause any further movement in a global effort for the 'greater good', which is clearly, based on your funding alone, not the case. As we can see there is a need for data to prevail, the un compromised data that may or may not exist in the current systems, and before any real medical professional can weigh in.
N/A	To Whom It May Concern; There is not ONE person I know in America that wants to give up their personal rights to anyone after the horrible job you have done with the CoVid pandemic. There has been no definitive solution(s) coming from your organization that was life-saving. In fact, it's been quite the opposite. What happened to FACTUAL, data-driven, and rigorously tested science? Not the political theater, you call "science" that has been used on the entire planet for 2+ years. We don't desire your "help" with our health because you've failed so epically it almost looks like it was on purpose. Was it? America is a sovereign nation with a US Constitution and individual state constitutions. We will continue living by these foundational laws and seek our own healthcare privately when needed. Thank you for showing us your true "capabilities" and intentions. America says…"stay in your lane"! There will be no global pandemic authority over our country.
USA	To Whom it may concern; There is NOT one person in America that want to give up their personal rights to anyone after the horrible job you have done with the CoVid pandemic There have been no definitive solution(s) coming from you organization that were life saving. In fact it's been quite the opposite. What happened to FACTUAL data-driven, and rigorously tested science? Not the political theater, you call "science" that has been used on the entire planet for 2+ years. We don't desire your "help" with our health because you have failed, especially as it almost looks like it was on purpose! Was it? America is a sovereign nation with a US Constitution and individual state constitutions. We will continue living by these foundational laws and seek our own healthcare privately when needed. Thank you for showing us your true "capabilities" and intentions. America says……."stay in your lane"!!! There will be no global pandemic authority over our country!!!!!!
not representing any organization, citizen of the world	To Whom It May Concern~ My comments on any proposed International response to future global health issues, such as the recent Covid 19 Pandemic, need to have these 4 issues addressed and included : 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely Yours, Ms Michele Charles
Private	To whom it may consern: The proposed WHO agreement constitutes an unwarranted intrusion into people's lives on a broad scale, because is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights of all people living on this planet. This national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities should by all means be retained to have the choice to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. Instead an open and transparent process should be adopted with the ability for all people in the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Broad measures should be implemented that do not allow for any influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Therefore stop the treaty. Faithfully yours, Th. Deitert
Self employed	To whom it might concern: Good day to you WHO, Respectfully, I would like to suggest that in case we, as the people of this beautiful earth see ourselves involved in another global illness, we ought to be mindful that not everyone is the same. The idea of having a one shoe size fits all, we have seen, it doesn't work and quite the contrary causes more distress than help. I sincerely hope that based on the last three years of experiencing such ordeal, we learned from our past decisions and from now on will exercise caution and be receptive to all our different ethnicity backgrounds and truly listen to our people. Isolating people has not only lacking on producing results but also has caused an irreparable burden in so many families that once were fully functional and today see them selves limited and lacking resources to fend for them and their loved ones. Our moms and dads that three years ago were more than capable of doing their own errands today many of them are scared and they have lost their own confidence because the fear that was sold in the media and continues to do so has paralyzed our senior citizens and instill paranoia and anxiety in the rest of the people. Please think with your heart not your wallet and question yourselves as to what kind of world would you like for your kids and your kids' kids to inherit. May the peace of God, His love, joy light shine brightly on your hearts guide to do the right thing
Human	To whom it may concern, II do not believe there is such a thing as an universal healthcare needed as we all live in different part of the globe, have different micro climate and different beliefs. Nature and God created the living creature and they have done what the circle if life is suppised to do. I do not want people who don't know me imposing on me how I should take care of my body and mind. Healthy habits should be teached in schools and at home and jyst stop selling gmo garbage to humans. You all cheated to create this pandemic that is more harm than good and you are using an synthetic experimental product that gas not fully been tested. So please leave every country to deal with their iwn health and let doctors freely be doctors!

Organization	Written submission
World Council for Health	To Whom it Nay Concern: With regard to the treaty that is currently being considered by the WHO, I would like to submit my strong opinion that these issues are addressed for The sake of all people and sovereignty of nations on the planet. 1. Ensure that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Guarantee The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Guarantee An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Provide Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
U.S. Citizen	To Whom Of May Concern: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I hope that you give serious consideration to the above recommendations.
Northcroft	To whom this concerns, I totally disagree with the WHO's undemocratic global pandemic agreement that has been silently ushered in, without the world populations knowledge. As the true events unfold from this ..." pandemic", it is too soon to devise any long term strategies. Our Australian Government have ruined small family businesses and peoples health due to following your hard guidelines on locking down and vaccination. Mandatory vaccinations have only sickened people and I'm sure millions more will follow due to EUA. Your power is unwanted and countries and especially independent citizens should remain sovereign and have rights of their own. You also should advertise this for longer and give people a chance to reply as I'm sure most would agree with me. Regards, Debra Haslam
Irish Citizen	To whom this concerns. We in this household vehemently object to the proposed WHO Pandemic Treaty. It is an outrageous, criminal, unconstitutional, illegal contract. Between the WHO & the Irish Government. I can only write regarding ones own purported government ministers,in Ireland. But strongly advise this for all nations & their Peoples. The Irish Government in this instance, have no jurisdictions to involve the signature on "behalf " of the a nation of the Island of Ireland. In all effects to hand Sovereignty & bodily autonomy of Her citizens, to another outside governance. Each country responds differential equations to any & all crisis. To overreach & overun this democracy without any public consultation is illegal, Tyrannical, unlawful. This if undersigned by any current actor of the Irish Government. Will be considered an act of war on its own people. The WHO response to EG:Covid 19. Was wholly unlawful. Unless & until the WHO & indeed the response by this current government warrents full vestige of analysis on grounds of serious criminality. This signature by any Irish minister irrespective of positions, will not be recognised as legal nor binding by We the people of the nation of Ireland. Any outside influence or entry into Ireland under the guises of a Pandemic or otherwise. Will be considered an act of aggression &invasion. The response will be one of a protective War footing,with no support given to those that signed the Treaty . Or in governance. This objection should be duly noted & respected. Most sincerely. Margaret Naughton & family.
Humanity	To whom this concerns: #stop the Treaty Humanity has many faces and many ideas. This proposed treaty is not acceptable for humanity because we are individuals with different needs. Thank you, N Wood
harbourlife	To whom this may concern at the WHO I do not think it is your right to decide for the world what measures should be taken in the case of an emergency. I think that gives you too much power. I think decentralization of power makes far more sense on all counts. Decentralization would be more tailored to individual country's needs. The whole idea of "one size fits all" just does not take into account doing the right thing. Too much power in the hands of too few is too dangerous for the welfare of humanity. These are my thoughts therefore I would recommend the WHO take into account these suggestions National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
My Family	To Whom This May Concern I do not support a global pandemic response since it removes sovereignty from nation and people. No one group can speak and determine for the whole of humanity. Thank you Esther Sangalli
RN	To Whom this may concern, 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you Lynn Ely
None	To whom this may concern, Our rights as citizens are trampled on through these past years. As citizens of the United States of America we are to exercise all our god given rights please stop harassing our children , is this fight going to be passed down to even your grandchildren? Stop the invasion please.
N/A	To whom this may concern, I would like to point out the importance of taking into account the following considerations before any future measures are implemented in relation to possible future pandemics: 1. The effectiveness of lockdown measures . Evidence strongly suggests that these measures were ineffective 2. Unbiased and objective assessment of the effectiveness of early intervention drugs such as Ivermectin 3. Unbiased and objective assessment into high dosage vitamin C therapy (intravenous and oral) and its well documented anti-viral effects 4. An objective assessment into Covid 19 vaccine side-effects and why the public were not fully appraised of the wide range of possible side-effects (as now disclosed by Pfizer) Yours sincerely, David
My own	To whom this may concern, Health issues cannot be handled in a one size fits all format. Many factors must be considered, number of cases in the population as well as natural immunity. Not enough emphasis has been put towards natural immunity, which is biologically manufactured to protect our bodies. The WHO cannot decide on measures for all citizens of the world without studying the social, economic, psychological and health impacts such measures would have. As a mother of 3 young men, I am worried for the consequences of a one size fits all response towards a potential global pandemic. The Covid pandemic has raised many flags that not many government officials have taken seriously. Please rethink this treaty and think of humans as humans not just another organism you can control. Thanking you in advance for your reconsideration. Sincerely, Kim Massie
Ibeth Gutiérrez Health Coach	To whom this may concern, The IBN is an unacceptable threat to the US sovereignty and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. Each country should remain independent since each has unique circumstances as well. Health decisions are and should remain a personal responsibility and it is a moral and ethical obligation to protect this right. Thank you for your time and attention.
The Maria Maltino Trust	To whom this may concern: I do not in any way support this treaty. I instead support National and local leadership retaining full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. I do support the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There should be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Maria Maltino
Puckalesia Inc	To whom this may concern: My feeling about any prospective global governance organization is that it is a dangerous idea. It is for this reason that we have borders and national sovereignty. The WHO in particular, I have no confidence in whatsoever - as a governing mechanism or as a reliable source of viable worldwide strategies. The response to the Covid pandemic is a prime example of this. We do not need any more bureaucracies with ill informed and steadfast policies, with no room for scientific discussion or debate. Nor do we need any more censorship of dissenting voices, canceling and shaming of noted epidemiologists, virologists, biologists, medical doctors, and practitioners - the list goes on. There is no agency LESS capable of a global pandemic response than the WHO - and the idea that an international treaty would potentially override the sovereignty of national governments is terrifying and a very bad idea.
N/a	To whomever it may concern, Responses to emergencies need to handled at a local level. Deal with the people that know the community and understand the needs and limitations of the culture. The approach taken in a wealthy nation may not be feasible or sustainable in a poor nation. Likewise all humans are different and respond differently to disease and treatment, therefore it's not feasible to apply the same health policy approach to everyone individual. These kind of decisions need to be tailored and discussed and consented off by the patient after discussing with their doctor. COVID shown a centralices approach one trick for all does not work. In the future the battle needs to be deal with in multiple fronts, first and foremost use what we already have, put studies into repurpose drugs and give priority to the health and not the monetary incentive. Allow science to run its normal curse with capital from the private sector on the creation of real vaccines and real treatments tailored to the desease and not multimillion tax payer dollars of faulty and rush injections that does not work. We own the world a better and more honest approach to global health, work to stop obesity in some countries, and malnourishment in others. A healthy body is able to battle viruses and deseases. Make that the focus.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	Dear Sir/Madam, Please see the below information that reflects my wishes, values and opinions as ultimately I believe it will benefit everybody. Freedom of choice is a basi inalienable right that it is to be respected at all times and by all organizations whether national or international. These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or
None	To whomever this may concern I am writing today to object to the international pandemic treaty as this would grant government powers to the W.H.O Which is a private company! Mr Boris Johnson is a convicted criminal and is not eligible to sign this treaty. any laws enacted by the W.H.O will be met with resistance as they are not a government entity! Any restrictions on personal liberties will be taken as an act of war...
sovereign enough countries of the United Kingdom and Hungary	To whomever this may concern, There are problems with simple enough semantics regarding the question below to begin with: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Following on from the obvious confusion the wording create and having seen what organizations like the IMF and World Bank (to name just 2) can do, please note that I wish to have no 'new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response' (whatever it has been intended to become) so I guess there is no need for 'substantive elements' either. I will not be following suit should such 'instrument' become 'available' to the general public and will object with any means possible. Thank you and regards, Timea
Me	To wohm it may concerns:• Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden Discriminatory measures should be prohibited All measures must take full account of all health interests All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law . I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty Best Regards
Department of Defense	To World Health Organization, Please do not initiate a global treaty requiring vaccination for travelers to and from countries. These medical interventions are not right for every individual and are known to posses serious health affects on people. This would significantly restrict freedoms throughout the world and would pose as a direct tyrannical act on people everywhere. I urge The WHO to leave these decisions to the lawmakers of the respective countries. Thank you for your time. -Aaron Johnson
Free Weho LGBTQ Health Freedom Coalition	To World Health Organization; My name is West Seegmiller, I'm a clinical researcher in Los Angeles working exclusively with vulnerable populations including LGBTQ youth, transgender people, immigrant workers, sex workers and other highly criminalized populations. As a historically marginalized class, LGBTQ people are especially vulnerable to ethical violations, medical injury, and other mistreatment by the medical industrial complex and pharmaceutical Corporations. Professionals like me take great care and consideration to ensure our research participants' voluntary informed consent can be provided without undue influence, coercion or perverse incentives. This is the highest duty of those of us who work with LGBTQ and other at-risk people, but our life-saving work cannot be realized without true individual choice and community-led care. As such, the FreeWeho LGBTQ Health Freedom Coalition strongly CONDEMNS any expansion of International control over public health measures! Any measures for pandemic mitigation must be the exclusive purview of sovereign Nations, public health strategies must be community-embedded and locally directed, and all public health programs must be first accountable to local community control. Thank you, West Seegmiller Co-founder, FreeWeho LGBTQ Health Freedom Coalition
none-representing self	TO: President Joseph Biden, Jr.; President of the Speaker of the House of Representatives; individuals serving in the US Congress; Linda Thomas-Greenfield, US Ambassador to the United Nations; and Secretary of the US Health and Human Services: Member States of the WHO have begun negotiations for a global Pandemic Treaty, on track to be legally binding through adoption of the 7th World Health Assembly in May 2024. At an unprecedented special session in November, 2021, Assembly voted to create an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body to strengthen the authority of WHO governance of global health responses. As a citizen of the United States, I STRONGLY OPPOSE any involvement in a treaty, agreement, or other legally binding global document hindering US sovereignty in any area, especially public health. I OPPOSE policies requiring US citizens to take actions directed by a global body in the context of health also impacting freedom of travel and trade. I also OPPOSE the inevitable sharing of private health date which underlies the functionality of increased global responses unless specifically authorized by me under HIPPA. It's dangerous to allow a global agreement to rule the American people at any time. It's also imperative each nation/territory retain their individual sovereignty and not be governed/controlled by outside sources. I respectfully request the US DECLINE to participate in such an agreement. The US government should demand transparency and a public discussion period, from the INB in their negotiations for a global health agreement. And If such agreement is adopted, the US MUST immediately opt-out as allowed under the WHO Constitution. Please stop a global WHO power grab!
Individual	To: The W.H.O Health Assembly, With respect to the your current purposed Pandemic Heath Treaty, I oppose the current version of your purposal, and request that the current version be amended to include the following elements: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Brian Thomas U.S.A.
Self	To: WHO Representative, I have some grave concerns with this treaty as currently written. I would like to suggest the following for inclusion: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Very best regards, Tim Macoritto
Spinal Adjusting Center	To: WHO Health care decisions need to remain in the country where the individual resides. Individuals, worldwide, need to be given the right to make decisions about their health care and what they put into their bodies. Mandates should not force people to do things that are contrary to their beliefs and opinions. No mandates should be forced when there is no research to back effectiveness as in COVID-19. This emergency act was a travesty in the side affects and lives lost and the unknown effects that may be seen in the future. A health concern with a 99.8 percent survival rate is not a pandemic and should not be treated as such. Definite regulations to not let a repeat of this happen again to mankind must be taken into consideration. Please let diseases run their course, let natural immunity develop and life continue as it has for eons. Sanitation and improved living conditions were what eradicated past epidemics and pandemics, not vaccines. All were on the downswing and waning before vaccines were introduced. As an example, today we are exchanging measles vaccines for autism. Please look at all issues from a true scientific basis rather than from a profit making motive. The pharmaceutical industry needed a new way to make a profit as their market was saturated. COVID -19 was the answer. Don't allow this to happen again and again. Thank you.
Stop The Treaty	WHO via World Health Assembly, Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr Soumya Swaminathan Stop The Treaty. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lock-downs, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio surveillance; while it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. The WHO HAS TOTALLY FAILED during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged draconian lock-downs, suppressed early preventive treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. We the People of the world demand: 1. Nations and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the appliction of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. The end of Vaxx passports, and complete biometric Orwellian surveillance and control.
None	TO: People throughout the world. Governments, presidents, health ministers. Independent media TO: WHO via World Health Assembly, Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr Soumya Swaminathan TO: United Nations via Antonio Guterres, Liu Zhenmin, Elliott Harris, Maria-Francesca Spatolisano The World Council for Health (WCH), a coalition of scientists, doctors, lawyers, and civil society advocacy organizations, opposes the World Health Organisation (WHO)'s moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement, while the world's attention is diverted by the latest crisis. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WCH believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions proven to be neither safe nor effective.
N/A	TO: People throughout the world. Governments, presidents, health ministers. Independent media TO: WHO via World Health Assembly, Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr Soumya Swaminathan TO: United NaCons via Antonio Guterres, Liu Zhenmin, EllioG Harris, Maria-Francesca Spatolisano The World Council for Health, a coalition of scientists, doctors, lawyers and civil society advocacy organizations, opposes the World Health Organization Nsan (WHO)'s moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement, while the world's attention is diverted by the latest crisis. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. The WCH believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective.
Citizen	To: The WHO Citizens of the world have not been consulted or agreed to an WHO Pandemic Accord. We the citizens do not consent. Sincerely Denise Hamilton

Organization	Written submission
private citizen	To: WHO Representatives. Re: World Together Treaty public comments From Susan Mogren 62 Ashland St Holliston MA 01746 April 12, 2022 Public health is not a one size fits all program. People and communities should control their own health. I ask for a document that serves a wide variety of belief systems and values human rights. • Open and transparent process with ability of all people of the world to enjoy inalienable human rights. • The process should prevent any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global profiteers from influence. • Ability to vote and prevent application where a majority of people do not want it. • Open and transparent process with FREE SPEECH, without censorship and requires fully informed choice and freedom to choose. • Ability of nations, states, counties, towns or individuals to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement without consequences. • Full autonomy for national and local leadership to make decisions best for their own people • Preserve the rights for Medical freedom and individual sovereignty, natural law, human rights and civil rights to all individuals. • Scientific accountability • Promote privacy, health, life, liberty and security. • Allows Medical or religious exemption • Publishes public health guidelines for health education, early intervention, and recognize natural immunity. • No testing to prove you are healthy, healthy people don't infect others. • Provide flyers with full informed consent from a wide variety of views • Specifically says.... each person can accept or deny treatments without penalty. • No coercion, prizes, bonuses, or gifts, for following government protocol. • No mandates for employment.
none	To: WHO via World Health Assembly, Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr Soumya Swaminathan The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and is a threat to the sovereignty of people to make their own decisions, over and above what their representatives may want, and a threat against our inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the People have a right to participate in ANY agreement that affects their lives, their livelihoods, and their well-being. To date, the WHO has not engaged in any process of public participation, which can only mean that its priority is not the well-being of the world's citizens; but rather in capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices – rather than that of serving the interests of the People. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, should be/will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO should not and cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio-surveillance while it receives funding from public sources belonging to the People - resulting in a perpetual "conflict of interest". And the WHO is in a "double" conflict of interest, as it also receives substantial funding from private interests (such as the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI) and those contributions have been and are used to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates.
Personal	To: WHO via World Health Assembly, Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr Soumya Swaminathan The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and is a threat to the sovereignty of people to make their own decisions, over and above what their representatives may want, and a threat against our inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the People have a right to participate in ANY agreement that affects their lives, their livelihoods, and their well-being. To date, the WHO has not engaged in any process of public participation, which can only mean that its priority is not the well-being of the world's citizens; but rather in capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices – rather than that of serving the interests of the People. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, should be/will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO should not and cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio-surveillance while it receives funding from public sources belonging to the People - resulting in a perpetual "conflict of interest". And the WHO is in a "double" conflict of interest, as it also receives substantial funding from private interests (such as the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI) and those contributions have been and are used to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates.
Personal	To: WHO via World Health Assembly, Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr Soumya Swaminathan The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and is a threat to the sovereignty of people to make their own decisions, over and above what their representatives may want, and a threat against our inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the People have a right to participate in ANY agreement that affects their lives, their livelihoods, and their well-being. To date, the WHO has not engaged in any process of public participation, which can only mean that its priority is not the well-being of the world's citizens; but rather in capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices – rather than that of serving the interests of the People. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, should be/will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. The WHO should not and cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio-surveillance while it receives funding from public sources belonging to the People - resulting in a perpetual "conflict of interest". And the WHO is in a "double" conflict of interest, as it also receives substantial funding from private interests (such as the Gates Foundation and the Gates-funded GAVI) and those contributions have been and are used to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates.
Laurie Buhler, Reading Tutor	TO: WHO via World Health Assembly, Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr Soumya Swaminathan TO: United Nations via Antonio Guterres, Liu Zhenmin, Elliott Harris, Maria-Francesca Spatolisano I oppose the World Health Organization (WHO)'s moves to make a power grab by forming a global pandemic agreement. The proposed WHO agreement is a threat to national sovereignty and inalienable rights. It is not right to increase WHO power to call an unjustified pandemic, impose damaging lockdowns, and powerfully recommend expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to a say in all agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and health. The WHO must serve the people and not go for more power for itself and its corporate and NGO partners. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Recently, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. The WHO failed during the in its response to Covid-19 as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effect.
private person	TO: WHO via World Health Assembly, Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr Soumya Swaminathan TO: United Nations via Antonio Guterres, Liu Zhenmin, Elliott Harris, Maria-Francesca Spatolisano I oppose the World Health Organization (WHO)'s moves to make a power grab by forming a global pandemic agreement. The proposed WHO agreement is a threat to national sovereignty and inalienable rights. It is not right to increase WHO power to call an unjustified pandemic, impose damaging lockdowns, and powerfully recommend expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. I believe that the people have a right to a say in all agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and health. The WHO must serve the people and not go for more power for itself and its corporate and NGO partners. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Recently, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. The WHO failed in its response to Covid-19 as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective.
World Council for Health	TO: WHO via World Health Assembly, Dr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr. Soumya Swaminathan, I do not agree with this Global Pandemic Agreement Treaty and I do not give my permission to the WHO to impose anything upon me concerning my health and the right to choose (or not) a health treatment. During the Covid pandemic, vaccines proved to be unsafe and ultimately, ineffective at stopping the virus. In fact, many thousands of people were injured from the vaccine. All people have the inalienable right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being for themselves and their families. However, it has been evident the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, and this is looking to be a power grab for itself and its corporate allies. I want to underline that without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, invalid, and goes against the Nuremberg Code and the Helsinki Proclamation. For the past two years, we have been held captive by governments who took our rights away and forced many to take a vaccine while hiding information that there were early treatments available to combat this disease and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people, again! As an example, the WHO approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Unfortunately, they keep trying to take our rights away. I do not want this Treaty to threaten my free life choices now or in the future.
Self	To: World Health Organization * Each soverign nation and its local leadership retain full autonomy to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * A process of transparency that is open to all people of the world to be able to vote on measures that are failsafe to prevent the application of a global agreement where the majority of the people don't want it. * No influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. * The ability to opt out of any and all portions of the global treaty/agreement without consequence by all nations and local municipalities.
None. Australian citizen.	TO: World Health Organisation Public Hearings Dear Ladies and Gentlemen I respectfully request that whatever measures deemed necessary to safeguard the health and welfare of all people globally must include "without infringement of every person's basic freedom and right to choose". 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for reading my submission. Regards Susan Phillips
None	Today is the anniversary of the death of President Franklin Roosevelt and of everything he believed the new world to be. Similar to Abraham Lincoln and John Kennedy, President Roosevelt understood the evils of colonialism in all its forms. This treaty is yet another form of colonialism. It is time to remember and implement President Roosevelt's four freedoms that he promised so long ago- "The first is the freedom of speech and expression–everywhere in the world. "The second is the freedom of every person to worship God in his own way–everywhere in the world. "The third is the freedom from want–which, translated into world terms, means economic understandings which will secure to every nation a healthy peacetime life for its inhabitants–everywhere in the world. "The fourth is freedom from fear–which, translated into world terms, means a worldwide reduction of armaments to such a point and in such a thorough fashion that no nation will be in a position to commit an act of physical aggression against any neighbor–anywhere in the world." Let us work together to ensure these freedoms that all begin and end on an individualistic level. Anything higher than that is simply another form of colonialism in sheep's clothing. Good day
Batrik Medical Manufacturing Inc	Too many medical devices were not in compliance with health industry standards along with supply chain issues. In fact, many devices were manufactured with unsafe materials. Only actual established manufacturers of medical devices should be allowed to participate with products that are in full compliance with CE and/or US FDA supported with Quality Systems. To many products of inferior quality were sold through sub-agents using special rapid emergency access with no regard in their ability to ensure the medical devices being sold were in full safe compliance. Many "POP-UP" companies simply with access to imported medical devices had no previous knowledge to device quality efficacy. By pre-approving WHO manufactures, the respective countries reduce their risk in sourcing pandemic devices that do not provide the safe efficacy required, especially for heath care providers. These approved manufactures would in turn be identified by the WHO as credible sources of safe medical devices, these manufactures can then sell to agents in the respective countries. In addition, manufactures accredited by the WHO would agree to allotting a percentage of their production in times of pandemic urgency. Obviously, a great more detail is required, but this process mitigates device risk and ensures a supply chain during any WHO identified crisis. It would be my privilege as a manufacturer not to just participate, but offer my time in working through this initiative. Thank you Mitchell Goldberg
Private citizen of planet Earth	Too much power is used over people which does not benefit either the planet or the people. We have to try to AVOID HARM instead. Our experience of Covid-19 has not given us confidence in powers. Future events should aim to AVOID THE HARM from the Covid-19 saga. RESPECT THE PEOPLE. RESPECT KINDNESS. ACT WITH HUMILITY. Thank you for allowing me to speak. 63 words.
Digital Agency, Government of Japan	Toolbox to share soltuion, guideline, standard to improve smooth information sharing. Sharing use-case and regulary workshop (maybe once in a quater) to see each progress and update.
Buderim GE Centre	Top down management is a recipe for disaster as an error is filtered down to the whole world, and as Covid-19 has shown the management is best left to people with experience and knowledge of past Pandemics and good knowledge of local resources and conditions. The fact that Sweden choose the normal Pandemic measures for Covid-19 and did no worse than the rest of Europe on average is proof that hasty ad hoc changes to long held Pandemic plans are ill advised and potentially harmful. Concentrating decision making in one place creates a target for vested interests to push for measures that benefit their interests rather than the public interest and I fear this has occurred with the Covid-19 measures. Cultural and economic conditions are very variable and a one size fits all plan is certain to disadvantage many people/countries and cause untold harm. The decisions should be made locally and the sole role of the WHO is to provide advice and not enforce measures that in a local situation might cause more harm than good. Peoples human rights must be respected and this has not occured and the violation of basic human rights is never acceptable, no matter what a central authority thinks is best. Coerced vaccination/treatment, let alone one with no long term safety data, is unacceptable and experienced doctors need to be free to advise patients on their interpretation of data and not dictates from public health authorities which are often filled with doctors with little clinical experience and an incomplete understanding of risks. Open debate is essential for the truth always wins in the end and rushed roll outs of experimental therapy requires transparent informed consent, which has not happened with Covid-19 vaccines
My self	Top down management never works. Each nation state is better equipped and CLOSER to the people it purports to protect. leave it that way! No treaty!
Private citizen	Total transparency Disclosure of all steps/taken by Medical Health Organizations or Local Governments No bullying tactics, all citizens to partake of their own free will No force by any organization or group to participate against citizens free will No penalties or restrictions of movement
./.	Totale, inhaltlich grenzenlose und zeitlich unbegrenzte Souveränität der Mitglieder. Austritt jederzeit möglich, auch rückwirkend! Recht auf immer währenden Widerspruch gegen ALLE Entscheidungen. Einhaltung aller Menschenrechte und Selbstbestimmung.
Private	Totally against this and global control.
Self	Totally inappropriate for one organisation to have this power to dictate to all the world which comprises totally differing lifestyles, economies, peoples, cultures etc. One size never fits all!
n/a	Tous les individus devraient être libres de décider quel est le meilleur traitement. L'information disponible devrait être diffusée en toute transparence, sans aucune forme de censure. En aucun cas un traitement et / ou un vaccin ne devrait être imposé.
Private person	Traktat WHO będzie naruszał konstytucje wszystkich państw....WHO jest organizacją prywatną i nie powinno ingerować w politykę żadnego państwa. Nigdy działania WHO nie były i nie będą skuteczne. Wg mnie WHO powinno zostać rozwiązane w trybie natychmiastowym. Decyzja o przystąpieniu do traktatu powinna odbyć się na zasadzie referendum w każdym państwie.
Transform Health	Transform Health urges a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response to address the effective use of digital technologies and data to prepare for and respond to pandemics and public health emergencies. This should be aligned to the development of a global health data governance framework and underpinned by the newly launched equity and human rights-based principles (www.healthdataprinciples.org), backed by over 70 organisations from across sectors. This will promote standardised rules around health data governance, maximising public benefit within and across borders, whilst safeguarding individual rights. Health systems are becoming increasingly digitalised and reliant upon data for effective planning and decision-making. Digital technologies and data should be leveraged to improve responses to public health emergencies, while improving health access, building strong and resilient health systems, and accelerating UHC progress. The COVID-19 pandemic has further demonstrated the importance of timely, accurate and disaggregated health data, and the value of sharing data within and across countries. The pandemic has also highlighted the need to build public trust and safeguard data security and privacy. However, there is currently no global framework to govern the collection and use of health data, and existing approaches may not lend themselves to regional or international cooperation and equitable exchange of health data for public health emergencies. A new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should help respond to this gap, in alignment with the need to develop a global health data governance framework that addresses, yet goes beyond health emergencies.
Privat	Transparency and free public exchange of opinions are essential in order to be able to manage crises in the best possible way in the future. On the other hand, censorship and defamation of other opinions as well as the influence of wealthy private donors further erodes trust in institutions such as the WHO. Goals of future fights against crises must always only serve human well-being, never financial interests, ideologies or the reorganization of social structures, and especially not the undermining of democracy
Clinical Scientist	Transparency and independent scrutiny of data/statistics before declaring a pandemic Governments tailored approach informed by identification of "vulnerable" and "minimal risk" groups. Never again lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people: they must continue a normal life, to keep the economy going, to guarantee its wellbeing (physical, mental and spiritual), to reach herd immunity through natural infection. Protection of right of medics and scientists for free speech Guarantee the autonomy of the medical profession; never again allow bureaucrats' interference and denial of potentially life-saving treatments. No suspension of fundamental human rights in "emergencies"; no restriction of rights to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses by vaccines or other medical status Population right to vote to end states of emergency Guarantee free and informed consent to accept or refuse any treatment: no coercive mechanism (pressure, penalty, restriction) regardless of the circumstances. Independent scrutiny of Health Authorities, to prevent conflicts of interest between them and pharma industry whenever "novel" treatments, still in trial, are approved under "Emergency Use" Complete transparency for all clinical trial: all anonymised data to be available in real time to the public, for independent scrutiny and analysis. Active surveillance procedures for any "novel" treatment Real-time review of the risk-benefits balance of any "novel" treatment for each population group. Preparedness to stop whenever adverse effects are detected, investigate and report Liability for manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products Autonomy of media/investive journalisms
private citizen	Transparent and independent scrutiny of data/statistics before declaring a pandemic, with full cost/benefit analysis. Never again lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people. Healthy population to continue a normal life, to keep the economy going, to guarantee its wellbeing (physical, mental and spiritual), to reach herd immunity through natural infection. Protection of free speech Guarantee the autonomy of the medical profession; never again allow bureaucrats' interference and denial of potentially life-saving treatments. No suspension of fundamental human rights in "emergencies"; no restriction of rights to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses by vaccines or other medical status Guarantee free and informed consent to accept or refuse any treatment: no coercive mechanism (pressure, penalty, restriction) regardless of the circumstances Independent scrutiny of Health Authorities, to prevent conflicts of interest between them and pharma industry whenever "novel" treatments, still in trial, are approved under "Emergency Use" Cut/outlaw ties of public health officials and commercial interests.Transparency for all clinical trials: all anonymised data to be available in real time to the public, for independent scrutiny and analysis. Active surveillance procedures for any "novel" treatment Real-time review of the risk-benefits balance of any "novel" treatment for each population group. Preparedness to stop whenever adverse effects are detected, investigate and report Liability for manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products Autonomy of media
None	Transparent information provided by non-conflicting parties. Peer reviewed and if applicable 3rd party tested and validated. WHO responses will be better received with clearly tested, proven information, relayed to people empowering themselves to make optimal choices and provided with multiple options best suited to the individual guided on WHO principals along with suggestions. People must maintain bodily autonomy and ability to opt-out of pressured medical interventions without consequences.
Keine	Transparenz bezüglich der Beschaffung von Medikamenten und Vakzinen, um Lobbyismus und Korruption auszuschließen. Zudem Garantien zur Unantastbarkeit von Grundrechten, wie z. B. körperliche Unversehrtheit. Festlegung der Haftung durch Politik und der herstellenden Pharmaindustrie.
Private	Transparenz freie Wahl jedes europäische Land regelt seine Sachen selbstständig Pandemiegesetz zurücksetzen auf Bestimmung vor 2009 Selbstbestimmung Beachtung der Human Rights und des Nürnberger Kodex Pharmaunabhängige Mitglieder We don't need a European Pandemic Treaty.
Independent	Trapping citizens based off of receiving experimental treatments is a slippery slope that will only get worse. The current responses have failed the citizens. More authoritarian responses will not work.
None	Treaties must be approved by the United States Senate for the U.S., not WHO. WHO has absolutely no jurisdiction. Neither of my senators will vote for such a power grab. I can take care of my own health choices and do not need the questionable oversight of WHO.
N/A	Treaties that remove decision-making and power of autonomy from at the country level are dangerous to public health. No organisation that is removed from a population can understand that population's needs, rights, cultural values and traditions, nor can they know what is best for those people. In order to prevent the sixth mass extinction of human beings these are the points that, in relation to any international treaty or agreement, must apply: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any treaty or agreement must apply, as those nations see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Treaties that remove decision-making and power of autonomy from at the country level are dangerous to public health. No organisation that is removed from a population can understand that population's needs, rights, cultural values and traditions, nor can they know what is best for those people. In order to prevent the sixth mass extinction of human beings these are the points that, in relation to any international treaty or agreement, must apply: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any treaty or agreement must apply, as those nations see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Green Grocer Kumeu	Treaties that remove decision-making and power of autonomy from at the country level are dangerous to public health. No organisation that is removed from a population can understand that population's needs, rights, cultural values and traditions, nor can they know what is best for those people. In order to prevent the sixth mass extinction of human beings these are the points that, in relation to any international treaty or agreement, must apply: 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any treaty or agreement must apply, as those nations see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures must not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	Treaties that remove power and discretion from the United States are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Health decisions should stay close to home and and be handled between patient and doctor, even when an emergency exists.
Self employed	Treaties that remove power from the people of the USA are unacceptable and dangerous to the health and welfare of this nation. I believe that choices that have to do with peoples health need to be addressed locally because situations vary in cities, counties, states and nations. A WHO treaty is undermining the powers of the nations, states and local public health responses and decisions. I believe that giving power to WHO will be devastating to the health, welfare and freedom of our nation. WHO should stop gain of function bioweapon research in all countries. I am very concerned about the state of affairs of all countries at this point.
None	Treaties that remove powers from individuals are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans and populations from other countries. I believe in medical freedom. Only I will decide what actions will be taken to protect my health. As a US citizen I do not approve of this treaty. Section 2 of the US Constitution states these treaties cannot happen without the President getting 2/3 of the US senate to concur.
Asebu PAV	Treatments should be restricted to the elderly and those with pre existing conditions. This is the group that should be mostly treated and possibly vaccines if they choose to.
N/A	Treaty for international pandemic controls are not necessary and unconstitutional, & we the people of the worlds countries do not condone this W.H.O move,.Seperate countries should only be responsible for their citizens health and wellbeing and not under any planned world rule.
Self	Treaty must be abandoned! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. #Stopthetreaty This must end now.
member of the public	Treaty should include assurances that the rights of individuals will be respected and measures taken will be proportionate. Advice from the WHO regarding societal measures (lockdowns, mask wearing), testing and treatment should be independent from organisations/individuals with vested interest in pharmaceutical drugs. The doctor/patient relationship should be honoured and patients given access to treatment of their choice. The use of repurposed drugs should be evaluated by independent researchers. Every person should have the right to informed consent regarding medication or vaccines. Real information means access to data from clinical studies on medications and vaccines. Immune supportive measures should be included. The need for patients to self-isolate should not mean they are medically. People who are healthy should not be stopped from their normal life activities. Everyone should have the right to express their opinion. Scientists and doctors should be allowed to contribute their understanding and knowledge in order to arrive at a consensus, so the best decisions are made for humanity. Scientific findings should be communicated transparently, so people can make wise decisions. Research on efficiency and safety of treatments should be carried out and made public. People should not be manipulated into certain behaviours or submitted to propaganda including fear, behaviour or medical treatment. Society should not be divided according to their treatment status.
Member of the public	Treaty should not exist, however include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years Pharmaceutical companies retain legal responsibility. Ensure first aid/outpatient protocols are promoted as they are identified. As opposed to the lockdown, vaccine only policy from the past 2 years.
Individual	Treaty to include: inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Citizen	Treaty, schmeaty, ... you can sign whatever you wish, ... but the masses will NEVER comply without the use of brute force. There Will be heavy pushback, there Will be a heavy toll, ... and not just on the masses, but on the oppressors as well!! Scribble away, lol

Ecuador	[illegible]
Egypt	[illegible]
El Salvador	[illegible]
Equatorial Guinea	[illegible]
Eritrea	[illegible]
Estonia	[illegible]
Eswatini	[illegible]
Ethiopia	[illegible]
Fiji	[illegible]
Finland	[illegible]
France	[illegible]
Gabon	[illegible]
Gambia	[illegible]
Georgia	[illegible]
Germany	[illegible]
Ghana	[illegible]
Greece	[illegible]
Grenada	[illegible]
Guatemala	[illegible]
Guinea	[illegible]
Guinea-Bissau	[illegible]
Guyana	[illegible]
Haiti	[illegible]
Honduras	[illegible]
Hungary	[illegible]
Iceland	[illegible]
India	[illegible]
Indonesia	[illegible]
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	[illegible]
Iraq	[illegible]
Ireland	[illegible]
Israel	[illegible]
Italy	[illegible]
Jamaica	[illegible]
Japan	[illegible]
Jordan	[illegible]
Kazakhstan	[illegible]
Kenya	[illegible]
Kiribati	[illegible]
Kuwait	[illegible]
Kyrgyzstan	[illegible]
Lao People's Democratic Republic	[illegible]
Latvia	[illegible]
Lebanon	[illegible]
Lesotho	[illegible]
Liberia	[illegible]
Libya	[illegible]
Lithuania	[illegible]
Luxembourg	[illegible]
Madagascar	[illegible]
Malawi	[illegible]
Malaysia	[illegible]
Maldives	[illegible]
Mali	[illegible]
Malta	[illegible]
Marshall Islands	[illegible]
Mauritania	[illegible]
Mauritius	[illegible]
Mexico	[illegible]
Micronesia (Federated States of)	[illegible]
Monaco	[illegible]
Mongolia	[illegible]
Montenegro	[illegible]
Morocco	[illegible]
Mozambique	[illegible]
Myanmar	[illegible]
Namibia	[illegible]
Nauru	[illegible]
Nepal	[illegible]
Netherlands	[illegible]
New Zealand	[illegible]
Nicaragua	[illegible]
Niger	[illegible]
Nigeria	[illegible]
Niue	[illegible]
North Macedonia	[illegible]
Norway	[illegible]
Oman	[illegible]
Pakistan	[illegible]
Palau	[illegible]
Panama	[illegible]
Papua New Guinea	[illegible]
Paraguay	[illegible]
Peru	[illegible]
Philippines	[illegible]
Poland	[illegible]
Portugal	[illegible]
Qatar	[illegible]
Republic of Korea	[illegible]
Republic of Moldova	[illegible]
Romania	[illegible]
Russian Federation	[illegible]
Rwanda	[illegible]
Saint Kitts and Nevis	[illegible]
Saint Lucia	[illegible]
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	[illegible]
Samoa	[illegible]
San Marino	[illegible]
Sao Tome and Principe	[illegible]
Saudi Arabia	[illegible]
Senegal	[illegible]
Serbia	[illegible]
Seychelles	[illegible]
Sierra Leone	[illegible]
Singapore	[illegible]
Slovakia	[illegible]
Slovenia	[illegible]
Solomon Islands	[illegible]
Somalia	[illegible]
South Africa	[illegible]
South Sudan	[illegible]
Spain	[illegible]
Sri Lanka	[illegible]
Sudan	[illegible]
Suriname	[illegible]
Sweden	[illegible]
Switzerland	[illegible]
Syrian Arab Republic	[illegible]
Tajikistan	[illegible]
Thailand	[illegible]
Timor-Leste	[illegible]
Togo	[illegible]
Tonga	[illegible]
Trinidad and Tobago	[illegible]
Tunisia	[illegible]
Turkey	[illegible]
Turkmenistan	[illegible]
Tuvalu	[illegible]
Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates	[illegible]
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	[illegible]
United Republic of Tanzania	[illegible]
United States of America	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	[illegible]
Viet Nam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]

Organization	Written submission
Privat	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 17 hr ago 948 833 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 18 hr ago 963 840 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 19 hr ago 974 852 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/a	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 2 hr ago 509 418 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 3 hr ago 560 494 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 3 hr ago 560 494 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Private	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 3 hr ago 566 499 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Prestantia, LLC	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 3 hr ago 608 535 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Citizen of the United States	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 4 hr ago 634 558 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 5 min ago 15 2 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 6 hr ago 754 654 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 6 hr ago 757 658 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
RN	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 6 min ago 15 2 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 7 hr ago 787 692 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Australia	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 8 hr ago 848 727 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 8 hr ago 859 744 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
canadian	URGENT!!! Comment on the WHO treaty **NOW**Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment. Steve Kirsch 7 hr ago 805704 This is really important. If the changes the US wants go in, then all nations will be governed by what the WHO says the pandemic response should be and we will all be screwed. Instructions to comment This will take you less than 2 minutes. If you're fast, you can do it in < 60 seconds. Here is the comment I filed which you can copy or modify. Your comment is limited to 250 words. I suggest you copy and paste mine if you agree with it. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
Marcin Kunc	**TERAZ** Comments CLOSE in less than 21 hours from now! It will take you <2 minutes to do. Here's exactly how to comment.Komentarze ZAMKNIĘTE za mniej niż 21 godzin od teraz! To zajmie Ci <2 minuty. Oto dokładnie, jak komentować. Steve KirschSteve Kirsch 12 hr ago12 godzin temu 914914 793793 To jest naprawdę ważne. Jeśli zmiany, których chcą Stany Zjednoczone, wejdą w życie, wszystkie narody będą rządzone zgodnie z tym, co według WHO powinna być reakcja na pandemię i wszyscy zostaniemy przeźnięci. Instrukcje do komentowania Zajmie Ci to mniej niż 2 minuty. Jeśli jesteś szybki, możesz to zrobić w < 60 sekund. Oto komentarz, który złożyłem, który możesz skopiować lub zmodyfikować. Twój komentarz jest ograniczony do 250 słów. Proponuję skopiować i wkleić mój, jeśli się z tym zgadzasz. Należy uwzględnić następujące elementy: 1. Przywódcy krajowi i lokalni zachowują pełną autonomię, zastrzegając sobie prawo do podejmowania decyzji w oparciu o to, co jest najlepsze dla ich własnego narodu. 2. Zdolność narodów i samorządów lokalnych do rezygnacji ze wszystkich części umowy według własnego uznania, bez konsekwencji. 3. Otwarty i przejrzysty proces z możliwością głosowania przez wszystkich ludzi na świecie, obejmujący bezpieczne środki, które uniemożliwią stosowanie globalnego porozumienia w miejscach, w których większość ludzi tego nie chce.
Clinical Research	Urgently required: - guarantees of state and individual sovereignty - respect for human rights - legal liability of government officials, pharmaceutical companies and physicians
	Urgently required: guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability of goverment officals, pharmaceuticals companies an physicians.
physician	urgently required: guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability of government officials, pharmaceutical companies and physicians
Nurses	Urgently required: guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability of government officials, pharmaceutical companies and physicians
A National Electric Svc	US Congress must pass any proposal to lock down the United States. WHO is a World Health Organization, affiliated with China and the World Economic Forum and should not have any authority or say so in Locking down AMERICA!!!!!
Southbridge Advisors	US national and local government maintain the right to determine the best policy for the people. Federal and local government can opt out of aspects of the agreement without consequence. An open and transparent process by which all people can vote and ensure failsafe measures that prevent the application of the agreement in places where the people do not want it. Ensure that those with financial conflicts such as pharma representatives and corporate actors have no influence over policy. Conflicts of interest must be avoided.
USA citizen	USA and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for Americans. USA and states and cities have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Do not allow pharma companies or other global health profiteers to influence the process
personal	USA cannot lose its sovereignty regarding how to prepare for a pandemic. USA has some of the best doctors and epidemiologic in the world. Any health decision needs to remain within the USA and not to be ruled by an outside body. The reaction and responsibility lies in our land and that give us a fast start to attack, diagnose any health issue that Americans face. We should not give the authority to the WHO to rule out what needs to be done in the event of an emergency.
USA citizen	USA is a sovereign country. I am opposed to the WHO imposing a one-size-fits-all mandate on the world. I am responsible for my own health decisions and don't want or need an organization taking over that role. If the US signs onto the treaty, the WHO will have power over us and force us to comply. I am not a fan of slavery, medical or otherwise.
0	USA should not be controlled by any outside institution especially regarding citizens health. USA will make decisions based on American residents needs during illness eruptions. Thanks anyway.
None	Use full disclosure of all evidence so all people can make informed decisions. Penicillin has saved lives but I am allergic. Forcing it on me would break the Hippocratic oath. Every treatment involves risk. All public information must include vigorous debate among independent scientists for all matters related to public health policy, especially vaccines. Absolutely no false consensus or digital lynching of dissenters. Disproving theories is to be encouraged, deplatforming or discrediting health professionals for raising concerns is like the Spanish Inquisition.
Keine	Use the Hospirals, dont panic, no vaccination oblication, transparent Information, physical impertinence, no lockdown
WE ARE CHANGE COLORADO	Using the Delphi technique here is proof the WHO simply wants to undermine the sovereign authority of each nation to conduct its own affairs. Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness must be seen in that light because the WHO has proven to be a false representative for the peoples of this world. Their response to the last pandemic was a disgrace, and no country should risk losing any part of their sovereignty by signing such a treaty. The only substantive element which would be useful here is the arrest and trial of all WHO representatives who have profferred this shameless idea. This is all related to the depopulation agenda of which the WHO has been playing a large role in for decades. You must know by now the world is finally becoming aware of your crimes. My only suggestion is for you to surrender yourselves immediately to the International Criminal Court when called upon to do so because to continue this farce will only bring more charges upon your heads.
Public	Usurping soveriegn nations is a big no from me. You unelected and are funded by questioanable organisation. Too many red flags and far to much overeach. Thanks but no thanks.
Privat person	Utilizzare, ove necessario, sostanze approvate in via definitiva e non in via condizionata. Differenziare per fasce a rischio o meno, non generalizzare. Se prescrizione medica prevista, usarla e non nascondere il fatto. Vigilanza attiva e non passiva.
Anonimno	V imenu večje števila ljudi vam sporočamo,da pod nobenimi pogoji ne bomo pristali na vaše podle zakone,na vašo zdravstveno agendo,na vašo ustavo. Zavedajte se da vas mamo dost in da takoj prenehate z vašimi aktivnostmi morije ljudi po celem svetu. Izrecno nasprotujemo cepljenju,svetovni vladi ,svetovni ustavi in svetovnem nadzoru ljudi. Mas ljudi je 99% in se bomo organizirali terjatali in se borili z ramo ob rami neglede na narodnost in versko usmerjenost. Prepovedujemo vam kakršnikoli teror nad prebivalstvom sveta v imenu zdravja in vaše lažne pedemije. Ljudje svetlobe za svobodo
Waldkindergarten	vaccination is not a natural status, there are either people who live in a sane way, walk in the forests daily and stay out in sunlight so they are not ill, even covid may not harm them, that must be possible in future without being vaccinated by force/by law. please look after international studies, e. g. japanese scientists have found a lot about how people can prevent illnesses, they found out, that staying in forests for 2 hours a day is rising the number of t-cells. If you note such things, you come to the conclusion, that esp. in cities we need more trees not less, because they also help us to cope with fine dust. (when it rains the rain falls from the top of the trees and the anions built by that help to cope that better) in who notations I miss the natural immune system. But it is our base! compulsury vaccination must be forbidden! WHO-states must be independent from WHO, and on top of all independent from multinationals who are only interested in earning money. I can't believe that somebody who earned a lot of money by pressing others is a real good guy who loves people and does anything for the best of them. We should stop this imperial forms and learn to live together in peace and be modest if we live in western countries
Ropse	Vaccination must be voluntary Vaccination side effects must be investigated more decisively people who do not want to be vaccinated should not be discriminated against Investments should also be made in treatment methods naturopathy such as TCM should be included in the treatment
German Citizen	Vaccination shall be liberately. The individuals right to protect their own body and to deny treatment or vaccination shall be granted. To deny treatment or vaccination shall not be criminalized or punised. There shall be no forced treatment or forced vaccination.
Privat	Vaccination should stay a personal decision. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden.
no	Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during economic interests! Liability for vaccines or medicines MUST NOT be shifted to member states! Mandatory vaccines SHOULD BE FORBIDDEN! Discriminatory measures should be prohibited! Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over NATIONAL CONSTITUTINAL LAW!! We a free human in a free world!
	Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state
Privat	Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty
private citizen in Austria	Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state. All decision-making must be made vailable in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process. Pandemic treaty must not take precendence over national constitutional law. All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests. Discriminatory measures should be prohibited. Mandatory vaccines should be forbiden.
Self	Vaccine Mandates must be forbidden Must be aligned to the Nurnberg Code Waivers of liability must be forbidden for Pharmaceutical Companies, Government and Public Servants, Doctors, regardless of contracts, legislation. Responsibility must always be enforced. Informed consent must be always guaranteed. No limitation of liberties and work.
self-employed	Vaccine mandates should be forbidden. Any measure adopted must not be allowed to ignore human rights, including the Nuremberg principles. Measures must also take social and economic interests in account.
none	Vaccine passports are discrimitory and should not be used. I am for easier access for all to information, studies, and proper interventions.
N/A	Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education, or gain access to public spaces or businesses. Medical decision making should be the person's (my) decision, not the government or others.
Sweco	Vaccine suppliers shall be liable for their product. The law of the country shall always govern and prevail. Post vaccination studies shall be compulsory and shall be made public. The vaccine document shall not be connected to other documents nor shall it contain data or information unrelated to the vaccination.
None	Vaccines and Masks should not be mandated by any Government agency. All treatments should be used that are proven effective for Covid 19.
mental health team leader	Vaccines have given me bad rashes under the skin long ago having 2 flu shots. I decided not to get the vaccine. A large number of our family friends have past away from these covid vaccines some the next day after the booster. Everyone one i speak to now are saying the same thing !! What's going on here really ? What are you doing to humanity please explain? Why has my father had 3x heart attacks so far and never had them before? Why is my mother going to hospital every day to get scans done to her chest? Why did my sister almost die from her 1st vaccine? How come they all get covid and me myself never has had it and I'm not vaccinated? Why when they had covid and were with me i still never got covid ? You really have some explaining to do here. Looks like the world is all asking you the same questions now. Kind Regards John Hardy
Private person	Vaccines have not been proven to work better than the human natural immune system. Allow each country and person to make their own choices and stop trying to control the world. Be an organization that is willing to work with every nation in the world, for the betterment and health from diseases and stop trying to outsmart God and Mother Nature. Do not think that all countries should follow the guidelines set out by one organization will work for all countries. If you want to have an international plan then you should allow a world wide referendum on the issue your organization wants to control. The world is a democracy and should not be controlled by the 1% of elites. Individual choice is a God given right and should not be compromised by any organization that wants total control of our bodies, minds and souls.
prasis hill	Vaccines should always be a personal choice and NEVER be mandatory!
private	Vaccines should never be mandatory, regardless of the situation. It's a basic principle of human rights: my body - my choice. If other measures are taken, they must at all times take in account other health interests or potential damages.
Concerned citizen	Vaccines should NEVER EVER under any circumstance be made mandatory through any means -coercive or forced. Where there is risk of vaccine injury there must be choice and not choice between taking it or losing you job or being denied to do things you once could do freely Lockdowns and mask wearing should NEVER be unforced again as we have seen they do not work at stopping viruses and cause more harm financially, mentally and physically to individuals and businesses Each country should be able to determine their own strategies for a health crisis. WHO has not been elected by the people so should not have power over them
Privat	Varccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal Profit during Pandemic state.
Vencer Autismo	Vencer Autismo, a NGO that aims to reduce the negative stigma of autism, opposes the World Health Organisation's moves to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement. The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanising lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against the will of the people. Vencer Autismo believes that the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. The WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce bio surveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests. PLEASE STOP SUPPRESSION OF FREEDOM OF SPEECH. STOP PURSUING UNILATERAL PROFIT AT THE COST OF THE HEALTH OF HUMAN BEINGS, NOW!
Private	Verantwortung muss bei den einzelnen Staaten bleiben. Die Menschenrechte müssen weiterhin respektiert werden und die körperlichen Unversärheit muss unantastbar bleiben.
Anonyme Verfassungsfreunde	Verbindliche Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen. Die Achtung der Menschenrechte. Die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. Garantierte Entscheidungsfreiheit in Bezug auf Impfungen und Behandlungen. Ausschließlich freiwillige Maßnahmen (z.B. keine verbindlichen Lockdowns, keine Maskenpflicht, keine Demonstrationsverbote etc.).
Privat	Verbot der Impfpflicht Änderung des Pandemiebegriffs (Rückgang zu der alten Definition) Alle Maßnahmen müssen auf ihre Verhältnismäßigkeit überprüft und kontrovers untersucht werden Keine Aushebelung der Parlamente Keine digitalen Pässe Oberste Pflicht: die Einhaltung der Grund- und Menschenrechte!
Keine Organisation	VERBOT VON ZWANGSIMPFUNGEN
Privt	Verpflichtende Impfungen müssen verboten sein!
Flame of freedom	Very clearly! Stop with any substantive elements at all
Privat	VERTRAG NICHT NOTWENDIG ! NUR DAS JEWEILIGE LAND SOLL DEMOKRATISCH DARÜBER ENTSCHEIDEN. WEDER EU NOCH WHO
Humans Rights Watch	VERY IMPORTANT 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. thank you ! Carola Herzog
Gerhard Weininger KG	very brief as required: balance of social and economic interests is necessary, human rights must not be violated, national constitutional law must take precedence over treaty to ensure democratic processes, transparency of decision making and inclusion of critical voices into the decision making body is necessary to gain credibility, independence of WHO from private interests has to be guaranteed - private donations must be prohibited to ensure independence, budget has to be funded by member states Vaccination and medication has to be provided to poor people free of cost to tackle pandemics globally mandatory vaccination must be forbidden, instead organize information camapaigns to convine as many as necessary thanks for the opportunity to participate and thank you for your dedication and hard work best regards Gerhard Weininger
Privat	Very important and non-exclusive: + GUARANTEES for state AND individual sovereignty, + respect for human rights, + the legal liability of government officials and pharmaceutical companies and + liability of pharmaceutical companies for vaccine adverse effects and vaccine damage caused by "vaccines"! Further: + NO obligation or agreement for international digital identification documents (NO COVID-Certificates), + NO obligations for the production and placing on the market of "vaccines" and + NO compulsion and NO duty to the vaccination primarily with only conditionally authorized and new, unchecked "vaccines"!
privat	very important elements that should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response are: „Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen." garanty for the sovereignty of each state garanty for the sovereignty of each individual respect for human rights legal liability government officials legal liability for pharmaceutical companies strengthen the immune system by holistic medicine mental wellbeing
n/a	Very important elements to be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness, in my opinion: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by ANY AND ALL pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Veterinarian	Very important to allow local authorities to have autonomy.
ZVK	Very important: Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte, human rights, und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
self	Very simply, in my opinion, public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health and I do not agree upon a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response
None	Very simply: Each country is sovereign unto itself. Each area of the world is unique. Each country is unique. Each individual is unique. A global directive is not in the best interest of the globe. Recommendations should be offered but not required due to these distinctive singularities.
nd	veto (nie) dlatego mamy w sobie wystarczającą odporność, natura sama sobie z tym poradzi.
No one	Veuillez arrêter toute tentative d'entraver nos libertés. Pas de pass vaccinal, ni de pass sanitaire. Cesser de censurer nos droits d'expression, nos droits à une information juste et éclairée. Cesser de nous empêcher de manifester. Ne tentez plus de nous discréditer avec vos mensonges et votre propagande.
I AM A HUMAN NOT A LAB RAT	Vi ste pokvarjeno leglo privat korupcije, ki nam kradete zdravje in človekove pravice do samoodločbe in življenja naših otrok. Z vašim dejstvovanjem, lažmi in zavajanji, ste pobili in poškodovali milione ljudi brez zdravljenja in še celo podprli pobijanje ljudi v domovih, bolnih in ne-zdravljenja v bolnicah, celo z uporabo zdravil za uboje, da ste dopustili izdelano sintetično računalniško sekvencirano umetno narejeno bio-gensko GSO mRNA in vektorsko mDNA HIV spajk orožje, ste vsi zreli za Nuremberg in dosmrtni zapor, če ne še kaj hujšega. Vi nikoli niste bili moj zdravstveni zavod niti moja vlada SRAM NAJ VAS BO KORUPTIVNI IN NIZKOTNI NEČLOVEŠKI OSEBKI; KI NISTE VREDNI NAŠIH DUŠ. VAŠO DUŠO STE ŽE IZGUBILI . VI NISTE VREDNI VODITI ČLOVEŠTVO KOT TAKI ZLOBNI OSEBKI.
	Vielen Dank für Ihre bisherigen Bemühungen. Ein pandemievertrag wird nicht benötigt. Alles Gute für Sie.
retired from University of Manitoba	Violation of informed consent and censorship were the daily features of the COVID-19 epidemic. These are unethical. The WHO contributed to these unethical practices.
Private	Vogliamo libertà di cura non obbligo contro la nostra volontà
Keine	Volle Souveränität der Staaten. Haftung der Entscheidungsträger/innen, Politiker/innen und Pharmakonzerne. Keine Impfpflicht gegen den Willen der Bevölkerung.
No organization	Völlige Souveränität der Mitgliedsstaaten, über Massnahmen zu entscheiden. Jedes Land wird immer eine individuelle Situation vorfinden, die auch unterschiedliche Massnahmen erfordert. Da kann es keine einheitliche, verbindliche Lösung geben.
Natural Person	Voluntary Compliance Only. The mandates and regulations that went into effect on account of the coronavirus should never be repeated. Guidelines and suggestions only, based on evidence presented to constituents. Evidence only, no psychological 'nudging'. The harms experienced by the covid response vary according to the individual and the community. Therefore, it should be up to each individual and each community to balance a pandemic response against any harms created by the response, and decide what is best for himself or herself and best for their community.
Hellenic National Public Health Organization	Volunteer work management tool When existing human resources are exceeded, volunteer skills and volunteer help may alleviate the strain on the system Proposal: - Development of an online service operating via a website and a mobile app. - Would allow emergency management stake holders to access available volunteer help - Volunteers may create profiles displaying skills, available time slots, area or availability to work from home eg nurse student may offer volunteer work in patient telephone triage - Emergency managers can list jobs and search for potential volunteers
Gewerbebetrieb	Voraussetzung sollte die Freiwilligkeit der Betroffenen und die Unversehrtheit des eigenen Körpers sein. Die Entscheidung über Tod und Leben bleibt die Persönliche. Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten*innen und Pharmaunternehmen muss verankert werden. Europa darf kein Versuchslabor unter dem gesetzlichen Deckmantel werden Die Beantwortung der Frage: Unbedingt soll es Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte sowie die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen geben. Freundliche Grüße Gertrude Schöner, MA Freundliche Grüße Gertrude Schöner, MA
HELP International	Vote no on international agreement
Family	Vote NO to a worldwide agreement that conflicts with a community's sovereignty. Professional recommendations and guidance about responding to a pandemic are beneficial - tyranny is not.
Self	Vote no to the treaty!! It is imperative that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. There needs to be the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We should be looking at An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There has to be measures in place that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Concerned Citizen	Vote to maintain local control to address our own unique pandemic response. No response is one size fits all and in many cases where we have applied these general strategies (such as lock downs, social distancing, keeping kids from school, mandatory vaccinations), these have all proven much more detrimental to our society's health than any benefit. This involvement of the WHO threatens the freedom of the United States citizen more than it is already being threatened from within. Stand for our sovereignty as a nation. Vote no.
Local Authority	Vulnerable should be protected and given medication. Healthy people should be left to get on with their lives. We should be trying to get as many people as possible healthy. It's everyone's best chance against fighting disease and infections. Natural health and immune systems should come before medication. Medication should be a last resort.
Private	W projekcie należy uwzględnić: 1.Pełne prawo do wyboru wszelkich rozwiązań, dla każdego członka Uni Europejskiej, z poszanowaniem jego praw, wynikających z konstytucji kraju, który zamieszkuje. 2. Każdy członek UE jest wolny i równy wobec pozostałych członków i nie można stosować wyjątków od tej zasady. 3. Żadna choroba, infekcja, wirus, czy bakteria, klasyfikowana jako epidemia lub pandemia, nie może w żaden sposób powodować ograniczenia wolności i swobodę obywatelskich. Nie wolno nakazywać stosowania żadnych terapii lękowych, genowych oraz ingerencji w przebieg procesów organicznych ludzkiego ciała na skutek ogłoszenia stanu wyjątkowego, pandemii lub innego zagrożenia.
Polska	W żadnym wypadku zalecenia WHO nie powinny przekraczać ustawodawstwa państw sygnatariuszy , niezależnie od okoliczności pandemii . Przestrzeganie zaleceń powinno być dobrowolne . Prosimy aby w rękach pacjenta zależało ostateczne decyzje o wyborze leczenia lub profilaktyki bez żadnych konsekwencji .
Na	W związku z planowanymi restrykcjami odnośnie pandemii chciałem wyrazić swój sprzeciw przeciwko wszystkim ograniczeniom i zakazom . Każdy wolny człowiek może sam podejmować decyzje dotyczące swojego zdrowia i życia
Człowiek	W. J. Korab-Karpowicz W najbliższą środę o godzinie 17:00 Światowa Organizacja Zdrowia zakończy składanie pisemnych wniosków na pierwsze publiczne przesłuchanie w sprawie traktatu pandemicznego. Dotyczący to opracowania globalnej konwencji lub instrumentu międzynarodowego, który może poważnie ograniczyć suwerenność państw i naszą osobistą wolność. Jeśli plan WHO się powiedzie, zdobędzie uprawnienia do ogłaszania nieuzasadnionych pandemii, narzucania Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Individual space healing consultant	W.H.O 1. I suggest to have all the panel members of the WHO to be practising health professionals of all the different types of health practices across globe. These health professionals are to be selected from a proper qualification protocol which has no influence with political nexus and Elite corporate connections. Natural remedies are also part of health promoting methods which should be included. Present dictates by so called doctor Fauchi is just a biased opinion. He tells whatever he knows. He is ignorant about other healing methodology and traditional practices. Such a character will only lead WHO to a destructive path in the long run. 2. WHO should be run only with the funding of all the world govts which have signed the treaty. No funding should come from any elites or corporate pharma companies. This would ensure that all the world government takes responsibility of what's happening in the organisation. The Head of team can be selected through a chit system randomly chosen so that they are not influenced by top industries. All country heads would take proper responsibility. 3. WHO should just give advisory and not to interfere in the practice of the individual health. WHO presently doesn't know about soul healing and vibrations healing. In that case, they don't have the capacity to judge another health methodology. So they cannot interfere in one's own practice. WHO should not dictate any particular medications. It has to focus only on the health imbalances and not to connect with pharma for solutions. All health solutions are in nature and whoever wants to practice different can do their own method to heal their body and also help others to get healed. 4. WHO members should be changed every two years.
personal	W.H.O. pandemic response and guidance has been atrocious. W.H.O. has done more damage than good. It is disgraced and has no reason to be telling any country what to do. At this point W.H.O. has destroyed it's credibility and is a failed institution. Every sane country on the planet should categorically reject the idea of giving the W.H.O. MORE authority. That is suicidal! Any country that accepts this is accepting a bumbling dictatorial health tyranny that will surely lead to increasingly damaging disease outbreaks. If W.H.O. insists on pursuing this foolishness it should at least include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.

Organization	Written submission
PERSONAL	To Whom it may concern, As a Canadian citizen, one who previously worked within the federal government of Canada, as an Internal Auditor, and Customs Border Officer, helping to administrate and/or enforce over 74 Acts of Parliament, as well as operate within the Charter of Rights and Freedoms principles, I adamantly refuse any suggested pandemic treaty. The demonstrated world wide response to Covid-19 has proven that corporate profit supercedes public well-being. The lockdown measures, mandatory mask use, and vaccine implementations, where not proven appropriate nor effective. Vaccines were not anywhere near as safe and efficacious as formally stated, where adverse reactions have now been proven to be far greater and worse than the virus itself. Aditionalky the W.H.O. has not established itself as an organization that has the best interests of the general public as their priority over their corporate members and partners. You have not earned public trust. Lastly, our Canadian voters and citizens are currently taking measures to ensure our public servants and political leaders better represent the publics best interests, far better than they demonstrated during Covid-19, where all decisions and recommendations will be solely based on citizens needs, not corporate profit, such as those of the WEF, IMF, BlackRock, Vanguard and the like. We have learned so much from this last so-called pandemic, and there is no logical sense in putting any trust in your organization or any such treaty you muster, to dictate what Canada does in any event. This treaty is an resounding NO from Canada! Sincerely, Barry M. D. Ho Canada
-	W.H.O...STAY OUT OF OUR LIVES...Humanity has survived for millions of years without YOU...WE DON'T NEED YOU.
United States of America	W.H.O.: STOP your advocacy of mandating vaccine passports or any other type of vaccine mandate. Do not throw the world into a panic in order to line your pockets with cash from Big Pharma. We see what you are trying to do and it must stop NOW. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process must be established so all people of the world have the ability to vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. WHO must re establish credibility after being implicitly involved with the needless death of millions of people due to its role in blocking effective therapeutics since early in the pandemic. Many health professionals have been treating patients effectively with therapeutics banned by WHO and the widers Cartels of which they have banned. WHO and others implicit in this mass-murder must and will be held accountable. What ever you do, WHO, make sure your measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Your actions in partnership with these profiteers have brought death and despair to the World. I hope and pray you get your due.
privat	Wahrung der nationalen Souveränität Freie, unerzwungene und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidung des Einzelnen z.B. bei Impfungen Einhaltung der Grund- und Menschenrecht und des Nürnberger Codex Haftung der Pharmaunternehmen Haftung der politisch Verantwortlichen Korruptionsbekämpfung im Komplex Politik-Pharmaindustrie Veröffentlichung aller Zulassungsunterlagen für Impfstoffe
privat	Wahrung der Souvereniät der Mitgliedsstaaten; Wahrung demokratischer Prozesse. Wahrung der Menschenrechte, u. a. Recht auf medizinische Selbstbestimmung. Implementierung des Rechts der Bürger auf ein nicht-digitales Leben und Sicherstellung der Datenautonomität eines jeden einzelnen. Die WHO als nicht demokratisch gewähltes Organ darf NIEMALS über nationalem Recht stehen!
private USA citizen	want National and local municipalities to opt out of any portion of WHO agreements without consequences. National and local municipalities retain full autonomy in decision making. No pharmaceutical company influence in the decision process. Open transparent process that all people of the world get to vote on specific measures that will prevent the application of global agents in their locality.
Privat	warranty of sovereignty from state and singly Attention of human rights legal liability by goverment officials and pharmaceutical companies
privat	Warum muss eine Gesundheitsirganisation über fast die ganze Welt herrschen? Es braucht keinen WHO-Pandemievertrags. Dieser ist nur zur Aushebelung nationalstaatlicher und bürgerlicher Grundrechte gedacht. Die WHO will damit die Gewaltherrschaft über die Teilnehmerstaaten gewinnen. Die Menschen dieser Welt sollen zu über 90% vernichtet werden. Die "Elite" dieser Welt ist krank. Viel Mrd an Vermögen reichen auch für 7 Mrd Menschen, wenn dieses Vermögen nicht bei nur wenigen Personen konzentriert wäre. Und diese wenigen sind nur an noch mehr Macht und Profit interessiert - sonst nichts. Das ist mit logischen Mitteln nicht erklärbar.
Privat	Was berechtigt diesen privaten Verein überhaupt, einen rechtlich bindenden Vertrag aufzusetzen, der die Mitgliedsstaaten in schwierigen pandemiesituationen entmündigt??? Ich bin gegen diesen Vertrag und bin entsetzt wie dreist hier gehandelt wird!
Privat	Was bildet ihr hauptsächlich privat alimentierten Bill&Melinda Gates Sprachrohre eigentlich ein. Ihr werdet uns das Volk, EURE WAHREN HERREN nicht digitalisieren. Ihr seid von einem Direktor angeführt der der Menschenrechte in seinem Land mit Füßen getreten hat. Korruptes Gesindel!!
Privat	was ein Abkommen für die Menschen beinhalten sollte - Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit der Wahl.
none	Was ein Abkommen für die Menschen beinhalten sollte: die Säulen des Naturrechts und der Bürgerrechte wie Autonomie, Wahrung aller Grundrechte und Menschenrechte, Transparenz für alle Bürger und die Freiheit der Wahl.
self	Watch these two videos https://www.brighteon.com/2b090826-787f-4d03-9f78-a1a80d3fe767 https://www.brighteon.com/60556b94-86af-4eae-aa59-441b02b71c33 John
Gradjanin	Watch your own bussines
Parents Protect Children Society	WAŻNE - WHO❗ Dziś Stowarzyszenie Nauczyciele dla Wolności i PSNLIN brało udział w wysłuchaniu publicznym w WHO w sprawie traktatu antypandemicznego. https://inb.who.int/ □ 90% wypowiedzi za traktatem! Link do nagrania wysłuchania: - od 39 min Emilia Sykut z PSNLIN - od 1,38h Joanna Suchan-Konieczny Nauczyciele dla Wolności DZIAŁAMY! !!□Do jutra, 13.04.22, do godz. 17.00 SKŁADAJMY NASZE POSTULATY POD TYM LINKIEM: https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions Przykładowy tekst na 247 słów do wykorzystania (limit 250): We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete cl
individual	We (Canada) do not need an international instrument to control over what gets called a pandemic. There are diverse nations and we must maintain our sovereignty. There is no one size fits all for all people of the world. I have seen this with COVID. I have serious issues since COVID about the role of the WHO and do not want to see more power and control over our health. I am very concerned about the funding of WHO and who funds the organization such as the Gates Foundation and China, and the power they have to influence WHO. Their interests may be compromised and there is a conflict of interest. The WHO needs to develop trust and transparency. I do not trust the WHO anymore since COVID. The WHO is tied to an agenda for the world that i do not agree with and this treaty must not go forward.
PacWest Equity	We [USA] Must Inject these Substantive Elements to Any Pandemic Response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Let´s Save the Children of Finland	We adamantly oppose any attempts from WHO to remove the power of National Public Health Authorities of Finland to decide which measures are needed in any future pandemic. We demand that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people of Finland. We demand that WHO fully respects the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We demand an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We demand measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. We are sorry to say that (despite its honorable past) WHO has totally lost its credibility in our eyes and can nowadays be regarded as an captured agency serving only the interests of pharmaceutical, telecom and financial industries.
Na	We all as individuals need to be able to make our choices without pressure from international organizations on maters regarding personal health. An international organization does not know the specific needs of my local community. Any authority on these matters is solely the responsibility of the local authorities placed in their positions by us, the people. I do not support a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	We all see through your sham . I'm in the moderna trial. Ivermectin helped me from all the adverse effects. Leave us alone.
Together Declaration	We already had national Pandemic Strategies that were perfectly adequate Why were they jettisoned? To be replaced by Lockdowns - unprecedented, damaging, collateral harms Why were so many epidemiologists, virologists and other doctors and experts and modellers sidelined, silenced and smeared? Nation states need to decide on strategies and implement. Trying to get everyone to sign up to a supra national response circumvents the democratic process nationally The drive to get everyone on to Digital ID with Deutsche Telekom reflects the problematic technocratic bureaucratic approach to questions of governance and citizenship Privacy, rights, autonomy are all paramount and fundamental No to this new approach. We need to harness old Pandemic Strategy, and GBD approach to Shielding vulnerable should be the approach Jabbing kids is unnecessary and damaging. Stop with this approach
Vaccine Choice canada	We already have a passport. Please respect own medical choice and give us a little bit mercy. I am fully against the new passport system.
Nessworking Limited	We already know, the globalists are talking up the 'next pandemic' as it serves a purpose to ensure compliance and obedience to Governments going forward. By handing over responsibility to WHO, the Government can conceivably appear to be innocent and unaccountable for any and all harms coming to you and your families, from any future draconian measures enforced.
Proselection	We are a free society with direct democracy in Switzerland. With this amendment, this would violate our constitution. Moreover, the C virus has not been isolated and there is no clear evidence of a general benefit. We do not want this because it does not correspond to our values and legal foundations.
USA	We are a sovereign nation and no one has a right to compromise our independence. Any authority agreed upon on any treaty is unconstitutional and we are under no obligation to abide by it.
None	We are a sovereign nation. We have a constitution and a bill of rights. No other entity of unelected bureaucrats has authority here.
I am a sovereign human being, not a member of any organization.	We are a sovereign nation. We the People insist you respect our national sovereignty but upholding the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	We are a Sovereign nation. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans, bottom line. All health decisions must stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. We as Americans are smart enough to make informed decisions for ourselves and our families; any treaty that would remove our ability to make these decisions is nothing more than tyrannical and illegal, and must not be aloud to take place.
Stand For Health Freedom	We are absolutely opposed to a legally binding global paneled pandemic treaty! This is an unacceptable treaty to U. S. sovereignty, and would take health decision out of the hands of American families! WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY UNACCEPTABLE!!!!!
Door County Restoration	We are against any forced injections, mask mandates, social distancing, PCR testing, lockdowns of healthy. We are against all quarantine camps. We are against the curtailing of nation sovereignty and only now the knee to Jesus the Christ. WHO should be advocating early treatment. But regardless of the history of malfeasance and possibly criminal actions of WHO it is still not too late to undo the damage you have done and admit openly you were wrong regarding the planned depopulation. Do not think you will be spared if you do not stand up now for truth. Believe on the Lord Jesus Christ and you will be saved, spend eternity in Heaven or you will spend eternity in suffering and agony.
Chicago Maritime Arts Center	We are against the New International Instrument in the hands of the WHO as local administration and jurisdiction should make these decisions. In addition based on the past two years the WHO has failed to consistently follow scientific methods and is unduly influenced by private, corporate and foundation funding and agendas to the detriment of the overall public health.
AEMDSS	We are against this hastively and not justified Global treaty on Pandemic Response and Preparedness, which tries to overpass National Soveignity It is not the role of WHO to seld authorize this right to decide on people's health on a global health political decision without the people It is against People's right to decide about their own health choices
Non	We are against this indirect obligation to vaccinate, against the use of our health data and see this as an attack on our physical integrity and data protection. WHO is not a democratically elected organization and has no authority to make such decisions.
Free spirit human	We are all born with human rights, the nurenmberg koden after the 2. World war. You have No legal right to decide whatever medicine people should get, my body my choise, i do not comply!
Employee	We are ALL created in God's image. There is NO "pandemic" and you all know it. Stop manipulating the people. I didn't ask you to have a say over my body and my life. Its unconstitional and my democratic right
Self	We are all individuals who must be allowed to make their own decisions regarding health. Never again should governments be allowed to enforce medical procedures. The World Health Organisation should be there as a source of advice not as a legislative body.
Member of public UK	We are all members of humanity but our social , languages , cultures and life styles differ very significantly. The WHO has shown itself to be unduly vulnerable to vested interests that finance it's organisation. Shared problems need local responses driven by local knowledge and informed public support . Centralised policies have proved unable to respond to new information as it becomes available and it is difficult not to conclude that the WHO should not be able to acquire the powers it seeks.
Storytellers	We are ALL sovereign beings. It is vital that we as a species observe ourselves with Respect and Love. It is fundamental that we observe our basic Human rights. The statements below are a selection of our 'Human Bill of Rights' based on the foundation of 'Harm None'. All of them constitute a natural requirement for any organisation to observe while proffering a new idea that proposes to affect our basic health and wellbeing. So the implementation of any guidelines for future global health related anomalies MUST include our Human Rights and be just that – guidelines! Human Bill of Rights I have the right to be free from tyranny and oppression. I have the right to make my own informed choices from a diversity of professional advice and skill sets. I have the right to be treated with respect. I have the right to say no. I have the right to make mistakes. I have the right to reject unsolicited advice or feedback. I have the right to negotiate for change. I have the right to change my mind or my plans. I have a right to change my circumstances or course of action. I have the right to have my own feelings, beliefs, opinions, preferences and perspectives. I have the right to protest sarcasm, destructive criticism, or unfair treatment. I have a right to grow, evolve and prosper.
General public	We are all sovereign. This needs to be respected especially during a pandemic. The final decision for taking any medication or vaccine should be left to the individual. The choice should not affect the persons freedoms, job, ability to travel. There has to be full disclosure of all side effects.
Non	We are all unique individuals and treatment needs will vary. Learn from previous pandemics ensuring the resources and expertise are fit for purpose to save lives of all individuals.
Personal	We are asking that you respect and honor the rights of all human beings to make their own healthcare choices. These are God-given rights and not to be controlled by any governmental body.
AdMakers International	We are concerned about the fact that hundreds of thousands of normal people are beginning to get very, very fed up with the lies and deceit of the WHO and the behind-the-scenes control of the WHO by Gates & Co.
Freie Bürger Schweiz (Sovereign People of Switzerland)	We are concerned that an inflexible global solution will prevent the necessary adaptions to work within the full context of a local solution. Pandemics are complex problems and require approaches that take context and non-uniformity into account. Therefore, We therefore suggest adding provisions to allow any organisation at any level (including private sector, i.e. company, community, state, nation) to adjust measures however it sees fit within its local circumstances. Any entity doing so shouldclearly state the guiding principles and reasoning behind their approach, e.g. dealing with a more urgent local situation. All central authorities should support such localized solutions with resources and expertise, but should not impede such local customization in any way at any level. We should aim to enable innovative and highly adapted solutions, and should absolutely avoid any risk of an overruling of locally adapted solutions if we do not want worse outcomes on the whole.
Californians for Democracy	We are deeply concerned about the proposed terms of the treaty. We insist that dramatic changes are made that ensure: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people are opposed to it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all profit-motivated actors, (e.g. pharmaceutical companies)
TRUE LIGHT HEALING CENTER	and their response of lockdown and vaccine mandates of healthy sound people for this previous pandemic and the continued fear mongering and denial of alternative medicines and treatments other than the vaccine as well as the lack of study and responsibility for the detrimental side affects of this vaccine. Pleas Include the following in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Bell and Todd LLC	We are deeply opposed to the scope of the proposed Pandemic Treaty. It needs to support these principles. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Na	We are eternally grateful to be even asked and given so many days to plead for our sovereignty. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	We are free born human beings and NOT the property of the WHO or any other organization. The WHO has no right to overrule the sovereignty of a country and it's people. We do not need a world qr code. We need to be free. The idea that one organization can control the mobility of the people of the world is obscene. The poorest will suffer at the hands of the wealthiest as the choose who will be allowed to do what.
None - Free Human	We are free humans - I have had Covid twice, I never added a strain to our public health systems and recovered as you would from a flu or a cold. Each human is created uniquely and therefore treatments should be according to their health status and body type - IT SHOULD BE A CHOICE, AND AS FREE PEOPLE IT SHOULD STAY THAT WAY!!! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
No organizasion	We are free peopel, everyone decide about himselve, about a health, about live, fmily and what we belive. The is no place for you in this world. BR
143637	We are fully against this as it is an invasion of our health and privacy. We shall never comply with any tyrannical requests or orders.. Those doing and submitting this is an enemy to humankind Only treaty acceptable is freedom & American Constitution. Stop infringing on our rights!
Federation of Indian Animal Protection Organisations	We are grateful to state our support for the INB instrument and provide recommendations based on the needs of our community and society. FIAPO would like to highlight two interrelated issues - in the context of intensive animal farming for food - that pose a grave threat to the health of animals, plants, people and the environment at large. The first is the dangerous confinement of farmed animals and workers in containment facilities. Severe health hazards emerge from chronic exposure to organic dust comprising aerosols, microbial agents, oxidative stressors, chemicals, and endotoxins. These hazards are not human-specific. When people and animals share the conditions of confinement, we also share the health risks. The second issue is that of rampant antibiotic use. Overuse and misuse of antibiotics is often in response to short sighted regulations and/or ever-growing demands for animal-based food products. Indeed, the above mentioned health risks are compounded by widespread, unmonitored use of potent antibiotics in animals. In prioritising animal welfare, we must integrate critical issues that affect the animal-plant-human-environment network. FIAPO's work has revealed that legal dictates can have far-reaching effects only if the guiding principles include awareness, stewardship, alternatives and solutions. The main challenge in developing countries and agricultural economies is to limit antibiotic misuse and abuse while simultaneously protecting vulnerable groups from livelihood insecurity and disproportionate negative consequences. No single framework is applicable to all agricultural contexts. WHO may provide practical recommendations via a multisectoral instrument that balances different, equally significant.
N/A	We are highly against the adoption of this treaty by the United States, or any kind of imposition by the WHO over the United States. It would seem very likely that people involved in this decision are not elected officials in the US, and that there are numerous violations of the United State Constitution. During a time when millions of US citizens know that the mRNA vaccines are harming people, while there exists numerous anti-viral medications to treat the Virus that causes Covid-19, the apparent overreach by the WHO, an organization that is highly influenced by a single rich American, goes towards taking more power away from our physicians on the front lines. And really the more these physicians continue to deliver healthcare by edict of the FDA/WHO, then the more people are going to know alliances and global control governed by the WHO are simply malpractice and fraud on the grandest scale of all time. If that persists then physicians on the front lines are likely to sacrifice what is remaining of the patient to physician trust bond, and at this time that trust is becoming very thin. The signs of this are everywhere in our society. Please do not go this direction THX, ML
School	We are Indians we are enough our herbal treatments we don't want any w h o to ended into India
Self employ public person	We are losing our sovereignty and that seems a very scary scenario. I would like to think that as humans, all different and unique. We would be left the right to be captains of our own vessels. I oppose the treaty
US citizen	We are no longer in a pandemic so this is not necessary. Therefore your request is illogical.
SITP	We are not and never will be homogenous. The very beauty of the human race is our difference and our ability to determine our own free will. No organisation or government should be able to eradicate that individual choice whether through acts of war or legislation in peacetime. Institutions are never neutral and a world institution that exists to implement a worldwide solution will be the never ending target of undue influence and dominated by those individuals and organisations with power and money. The power must remain with those at the bottom to hold their local government accountable. All national and local government structures that represent the will of the people should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers or any individual or organisation that is nit or cannot be held accountable to the general public.
CTAGTT	We are not in agreement that the WHO or any other entity should be allowed to make decisions about choices for our health..we will make our own decisions and they will be private..
Myself	We are not in agreement with your 'Global Health Treaty. These issues need to be addressed; National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We must take measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Non	We are not informed about the treaty. No government has right to sign such important thing without the consent of its voters. Period.
University of Queensland	We are not yet ready to compile a measured approach. First, we need to have a clear understanding of some the wins, losses and problems in handling of the COVID19 pandemic, For example, in Australia, early simple treatments, (sprays, gargles vitamins, nutraceuticals and repurposed medicines) were not endorsed, approved or trialled, and proponents were harassed and reviled. This is presumably to keep a clear path for EUA for new medicines and vaccines being advanced by the coalition of big pharma, the WHO and its funders, and the associated "regulatory capture". In addition, some vaccine trials have been inadequate and defective; vaccine harms have been understated, long term safety is unknown, while need, frequency and harms of boosters still not established. Finally, the suppression of any conversations dialogue outside the mainstream dialogue has been shameful and harmful. These all need to be sorted out, in open dialogue, before we move forward.
Citizen Of USA	We are NOT your property! We have NO contract with you! You have NO jurisdiction over us! We in the United States of America say NO to your Pandemic Treaty. ABSOLUTELY NO! United We Stand.

Organization	Written submission
Stowarzyszenie Nauczycieli i Pracowników Oświaty Nauczyciele dla Wolnośc	We are representatives of Polish teachers' asocciation of Teachers for Freedom. We would like to express our opinions about the World Health Organization anti-pandemic treaty. First of all, regardless of pandemic circumstances , pandemic recommendation should not be of superior character, because World Health Organization is not an organ which establishes laws but its task is to recommend. Secondly, the disease outbreaks are not homogenious, they differ in population density, the climate, human differences, the access to pharmaceuticals, etc. The common method which may be implemented on the whole world seems to us a missed approach. Each country has its own style of life, a different law order. Thirdly, the World Health Organization should eliminate recommending using pharmaceutics and injections which are in the phase of clinical trials that is experimental. Analogically – there should not be any undiagnostical tests used for diagnosing. Contrarily,WHO should play a role of a credibility warden to support the reliable information flow to the citizens. Last but not least, we infer for restoring the highest mortality rate in all age brackets in the pandemia definition as well as the severe cause of disease. What's more we claim for the rescission of high infectivity as a crucial factor to start the anti-pandemic activities. In the end, we postulate for restoring a term of getting over a disease of a huge number of population to a group immunity definition. Regardless Stowarzyszenie Nauczycieli i Pracowników Oświaty „Nauczyciele dla Wolności" Agnieszka Pawlik-Regulska, chairperson Jarosław Dabrowski, vice chairperson Joanna Suchan-Konieczny, regional coordinator, the speaker
Banking	We are responsible for our own health. Your organization has not been correct from the start. Not wanting US to shutdown travel etc. People will make their own choice to take drugs they want or need. We do not need you to mandate and it will create chaos and more lives will be lost
Nil	We are sovereign beings able to take care of our own health. We do not need corrupt overriding companies like yours being paid billions of dollars to treat us like experimental mice.
Public	We are sovereign nation and want to be able to vote on issues as important as health and I am against what you propose. I say no to you trying to enslave me by the back door
Intel Co.	We are supposed to be a democratic world. No totalitarian way must be adopted for pandemic handling obviously. Each "community" or town should decide its own fate without influences from other towns/cities. Why are you even asking for submissions? It's simply an illusion for people to think that you do care about our opinions. I hope I'm wrong. But great world leaders would never even begin with such a proposal.
Canadian Citizen	We are totally against the proposed WHO pandemic agreement as it is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. We will oppose this strongly through our Canadian Government. We Canadians do not need or want this.
Self	We are two years into this pandemic, and we seem to be continually going in circles, having followed the advice of the WHO and other centralized regulatory bodies. Pandemic prepardness and responses are best handled locally, without pressure from central authorities for a one sized fit all solution. We have pushed into poverty millions, damaged schooling for kids and dragged on this situation endlessly. Ask yourselves this question -- If you agreed with the blanket lockdowns, would you have been prepared to lose your job in the lockdowns. That is exactly what happened to millions in service industries (travel, dining, hospitality) who were forced to lose their jobs for others. I believe the starting point is the Great Barrington Declaration. That would have been the right approach to resolve this pandemic. Nothing else, especially nothing from the WHO or other unelected bureaucrats who cannot be held accountable easily. Regards Suresh
chidrenshealthdefense.org	We are vs. any treaty for a world pandemic solution.We are vs. any mandates, esp. for experiments gene therapies falsely labeled as "vaccines". We want nothing to do with world-wide, W.H.O. control of a pandemic!
Tennessee militia	We are writing to request the following pertaining to the WHO's proposed global Pandemic Treaty. Freedom dictates that health decisions are best made by individuals themselves and by public servants accountable to those individuals. As such, We do not support the treaty, period. Americans will retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our selves, my body my choice. We will opt out of any and all portions of the agreement this unlawful administration deems fit, without consequence. The process will never be open and transparent with the ability for all people of the world to vote to prevent the application of the global agreement in places where any of the people do not want it so it will not be allowed in the USA. If your Measures allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers it will be taken as an act of war against all Americans.
None	We are writing to state our position against any WHO managed global response to a pandemic. We do not believe in a one-world system of regulating preparedness for all regions. It seems too close to a "one size fits all" and cannot possibly take into account any cultural differences among the regions, nor does it allow for individual autonomy. □ As we are experiencing now in the U S, freedom of thought and ingenuity is too precious to let go. power corrupts. corrupts.
None	We are writing to submit our concerns about the proposed Pandemic Treaty. We have serious reservations about a document that will, when signed, allow the WHO regulations to supercede national sovereignty and which could trample individual rights. IF this treaty is to go through, several elements must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Considering what has happened in the last two years, we have grave concerns about the reason for this treaty, that the motives for creating it and for others signing it may not be for the best intentions. We hope that we will be proved wrong. Valerie and Lou Levy
None	We as a global community do not need, and should not have an all encompassing treaty as you suggest. Each nation should remain sovereign in their right to make the best decisions for their citizens. To have a universal response would be a gross overreach and unethical. I am against this agreement. Thank you, Amy Powell
Aubrey's Bookkeeping Services	We as a nation have adopted our own constitution and method of government. I do not believe that there should be ANY global entity allowed to dictate how our country decides to respond to any public or private threat. ALL decisions regarding such threats should be made by the local, regional, state and national agencies appropriate to the issue. Each country can certainly meet as a cooperative group and weigh in on these issues but the responses to them should lie within their own borders.
Heard	We as a sovereign nation must choose our set of rules and mandates for all situations related to personal health and safety and medical choice in ALL AREAS OF LIFE AND DURING ANY PANDEMIC. EACH STATE SHOULD BE SELF GOVERNED. THE WHO SHOULD NEVER BE DECIDING FOR ALL.
Member of team humanity	We as a species have many ways of assessing our needs, both individually and collectively. We as individuals have the same capabilities. It is a vast overreach to assume one body of humans can usurp the inalienable rights to those decisions which should be made on an individual and local community level. It's illusory to think any one group can get it right for everyone when there are unlimited aria messages and options available. Suggestions made by experts is a wonderful thing. But certainly not one that needs a world wide treaty that would stomp on and dictate over our species in a way never before seen in human existence. I support your efforts to provide guidance and assistance to humanity, but I emphatically do not support a treaty that would usurp my sovereignty as an individual or as an American who has protections from the constitution. Please cease this effort and continue to provide guidance, not dictate. Thank you.
None	We as countries should self govern our own response to future pandemics and not an unelected organisation. Any future responses to pandemics would require self governing dependent on the relevant science and spread and individual countries / regions could make relevant decisions to safe guard the populace.
Self	We as human beings have the right to decide what goes into our bodies and that right should be protected. By for forcing a person to take any form of medication against their will is a violation of human rights. Human rights must be protected.
none	We as individual nations should have to right to the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people/state. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Ikhambi Natural Healing	We as members of Ikhambi Natural healing together with affiliates from the Institute of Afrikology, Green Ankh Works, and Transformative Health Justice are in agreement that this move to create a global pandemic agreement violates the rights of sovereign individuals on a local and global scale. The constitutions of nations such as South Africa are not in agreement with vaccine mandates as well as the infringement on people's rights, yet we have found ourselves in disputes with our own public servants based on decisions taken on international platforms such as the WHO which are not in harmony with local contexts. We seek transparency and respect for customary laws as well as free exploration of Traditional medicines which support Natural healing and progressive social relations. We also understand that many decisions are taken at intergovernmental levels as well as within the WHO are unfairly influenced by multinational pharmaceutical companies that have a vested interest in profit-driven vaccine mandates. There is even further pressure on sovereign peoples to comply with draconian and unscientific protocols such as indiscriminate use of masks, toxic sanitizers, unconstitutional lockdown measures, and other rules enforced by unscrupulous leadership. In agreement with the World Council for Health's recommendations, we insist on: "An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it." Menzi KaGudu Maseko Ikhambi Natural Healing
Attorneys at law	We as south africans & action for freedom a npc representing south africans do hereby reject the WHO pandemic treaty ...as it is leading us into a totalitarian regime ...without our express consent ..we have a constitution which protects our rights & freedoms and do not need this draconian measures
Concerned citizen	We ask that the following criteria be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Yoga for Health Education,LLC	We believe that health is a personal responsibility requiring analysis of known treatments, procedures, applications, lifestyles, diets, etc. Freedom of choice must remain intake regarding each individual's decision in cases of illness and prevention of illness. There is no "only one" treatment for any illness or condition that is 100% guaranteed when it comes to pharmaceutical applications. All drugs are potentially harmful as every human is unique. The history of Western Medicine is filled with thousands, perhaps millions, of poor, improper, horrible and fatal treatments. Our freedom to choose or refuse recommended treatments must be upheld.
Southtown Group, LLC	We believe that it is of the utmost importance not to erode the sovereignty of nations and states in regard to health policy. This treaty must do no such thing. We are watching closely. Sincerely, Andrew Leese
None	We believe that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Furthermore, nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Thirdly, there must be an open and transparent process that all people of the world can vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Lastly, it must include measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any pharmaceutical companies or other global health bodies. Thank you for listening!

Concerned citizen	We believe that national and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.
	   We believe that nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
	   We expect an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote for or against. We expect fail safe measures that will prevent the application of this global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
	   We demand measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any other global health profiteers.

private citizen	We believe the international instrument must allow and acknowledge countries to be sovereign and not required to be bound to the will of the WHO. The United States must not be subject to the rules of the WHO when they conflict with our constitution.
Private Citizens of the USA	We believe your treaty should include the following provisions: Allow National and local leadership to retain full autonomy and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you for your consideration of these provisions! Respectfully, Eric & Colette Greb
Citizen	We can not allow organisations such as WHO, funded by big pharma and private organisations such as Gates Foundation, dictate health policies for individual countries. No countries should recognise WHO as anything but advisory at best. WHO are not elected and have no authority, neither should they be authorised to mandate or dictate any policies internationally.
Strong Memorial Hospital	We cannot allow other countries public health interventions to be the determining factor in how America responds to infectious disease. As an example, Shanghai is currently in lockdown in an attempt at a zero covid strategy, while the majority of the rest of the world has moved on from Covid. Therefore, a one size fits all policy does not work in actual practice.
Family	We cannot give up the ability to make health choices on our own. The murder of Alphie Evans proves that big companies do not have our best interest in mind. Profit is their only motivation. We must keep the choices in the hands of individuals. Not big pharmaceutical companies.
Self	We cannot have a situation where a sovereign country gives up its health decisions to a global entity. It is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. The US must retain this power. All health decisions must remain as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. If we've learned anything from the most recent pandemic "emergency" is that massive corruption dictated public policy which would be exasperated under a situation where the WHO made such decisions. People need to be informed to make their own decisions. Not large governments and powers. This must STOP.
Stand for Health Freedom	We cannot put a "one size fits all" to a pandemic. Coordination on workable solutions to it should be coordinated with other experts in different countries. Sharing of workable solutions is expected. No decree should supercede the local governments of each country. Each pandemic protocol should be decided at the local level, per country's laws.
None	We cannot support this power grab toward one-world-government. Treaties removing power from the U.S. are dangerous to health and welfare of our residents and citizens. Governments at the lowest level are in the best position to understand needs of its citizens and best course of action to keep them safe during an emergency. Individuals must be allowed to make choices that affect their own bodies. Nations and local municipalities must retain the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at any time, without consequence. All people of the world must be allowed to vote on fail-safe measures that will prevent application of any global agreement where a majority of the people do not want it. All pharmaceutical companies and others that profit from global health or medical practices must be prevented from influencing any process, including pandemic or global health emergencies. Criminal and legal consequences must be imposed against those in a position of power [to effect an agreement or its application] who accept financial or other types of pressure, power or influence from said companies.
Citizen	We can't allow to center all our decision under the command of one group. The best proof is Sweden. Their self management of the pandemic is way better than others countries with more strict restrictions. Their approach spared more lives from Covid (under the European average) and from collaterals damages due to the restrictions (economical, social,healt, ...)than a country like Belgium, where I live on. We have some of the worst death toll despite following mask mandates and Lockdown.We followed the mass and we were wrong. So giving power to one entity is way too dangerous if we're wrong and how would we know if we are if every states or countries follow the same guidelines. Each country had to improve it's own medical capacity and not only in anticipation of an upcoming pandemic but for every pathology. Each country is different and must adapt to the need of its own population. After 2 years what we hear from almost every country in the word is a too low capacity of the hospital and it's not a new trend so instead of spending money to create a new crapy opaque instrument we better give more resources to the one working on the field in the hospital the healthcare provides and the firs line physician. The ones who know their patient. Thank you
Citizen of South Africa	We can't have International organ running our health system because they have no idea what kind of people we are and what works for us, we are different people with different needs. As a sovereign country we cannot allow it,we not even giving the mandate to our government to talk on our behalf we reject this in it entirety, no to totalitarian authoritarian systems in our country.
Mushroom Guru (Pty) Ltd.	We can't solve anything in the corrupt DOJ. We know about Remdesivir and we know about the snake venom.
United Earth	We consider as totally out of discussion that a global insitution can decide what me and all of us HAVE TO DO, dictatorial rules and coercions to be forcefully imposed to a country, a nation, or any person, without their consent!
Non	We definitly don't want to have this pandemic contract!!!!!!
None	We demand that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We demand that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered by making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We demand that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Maria Stanisław Derejczyk sc	We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
PERSON	WE DEMAND THAT THE WHO MEETINGS BE MADE PUBLIC IN REAL TIME , WITH ACCESS TO THE RECORDINGS.
Private	We did and do not need any more control, from the WHO. You are ineffective and a pointless organisation. You have no right to give governments more ammunition, to then use against people and their freedoms. You should have less power and the Covid disease has shown us all how useless you are. Please disband and cease to exist
Kaphen Inc	We did not ask for nor do we wish for thd WHO of any other body to be dictating individual health choices and decisions. We do not support this in any form or fashion.
Private Person	We did not vote for an international organisation to control our public health. The WHO agreement is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignity and inalienable rights.
no	We did not vote for an international organization to control our public health. WHO has no right to control us!
Electric	We did not vote for you , so let our voted in government decide on what happens
Public	We did not vote or ask for this treaty, public health is not a one size fits all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health NOT an organisation that appears to have the potential to remove our inalienable rights and sovereignty. It is my understanding that our constitution states: the government can do many things but it may not surrender ANY of its rights to govern unless defeated in war. STOP THE TREATY!!
Attica incorporated	We disagree with the centralisation of control that this policy appears to empower. a.Diversity of ideas and opinions are a vital part of our democracy, it is unclear any organisation has sufficient adaptability+skillset to 'central plan' entire worlds population. We disagree with Private Public Partnerships and need to ensure measures do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. a. Capitalism allows markets to respond by supplying+profiting from those products sold. Under the PPP model, needs are not determined by the market-but are driven by politicians consulting with business, building policy on business needs. This allowed business to drive policy, rather than public needs. Clouding what measures are useful as confirmation bias has driven policy, politicians and their business consultants with the public left to endure the costs. b. When public contributes to research and development, contribution from taxpayers is repaid, c. Price gauging + profiteering is prevented, ending obscene company + shareholder profits d. End exploitive confidential contracts signed by governments in order to obtain medicine. e. ALL data must be shared with local governments and the public, f. Analysis of data and feedback on policy must be open source + without censorship. 1.Deference to personal/bodily autonomy of citizens to refuse or respond any advise or intervention without penalty. 2.Decentralisation, full autonomy +empowerment of local regions and individuals to respond or refuse any advise or intervention without penalty. 3.Binding referendums allowing communities to refuse interventions without penalty
Myself	We do no agree to what is being proposed by the WHO and will not comply. You will loose this battle and loose everything trying. Stop trying to control humans we are not for your disgusting obsession of power. We will stand up and stop this. Darko
Usa	We do no want, need, or recognize any international treaty that rejects our national sovereignty. Marbury vs Madison 5 US 137: Any treaty that is repugnant to the US Constitution is null and void. Further, US political leaders are obligated to uphold the Constitution, which does not legally support any agreement, treaty, etc. that they may make to the contrary.
None	We DO NOT want our health decided by the WHO. We will continue to fight for our children and families to stop this absolute human rights violation by your organization and big pharma. Health care has become a chemical fest. Almost everyone I know is sick or diagnosed with something. Constant doctor visits. We will not be high jacked by this powergrab. We will not give up our sovereign right to our own bodies and to decide how we will live or die. Big pharm one world order needs to go.
Todi International	We do NOT accept this treaty And we will NOT abide by any rubbish our forth by this corrupt organization. The WHO is compromised, and we will NOT allow them to dictate health policies. Leave India NOW
Sovereign people	We do not accept your pandemic preparedness pile of crap. We do not give you authority over us. We don't need your help to problems we don't have! You can take your pandemic and shove it up ur ass. God will win. You are all evil disguised as doing good for people when you have no intent on helping people but taking power over people because you are all sick in the head
Individual	We do not agree to The WHO having control of our lives.
Cymcorp International inc.	We do not agree with anu one institution holding the power to circumvent basic human rights. In the end it will be counter productive as power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely
sixth dimension counselling	We do not agree with The Treaty . Many have been harmed or even died from the shot titled the covid vaccine. Many have died and others have been damaged. The shot is not working, it is not protecting the people , neither are the masks, Its rediculouse to think that a mask will stop a virus.. its like thinking while sanding , no dust will fall on your hair or clothing or even your nostrils although covered.
CHILDRENS HEALTH DEFENCE LATVIA	We do not agree with the way you make decision in Latvia. Latvia doesn't have democracy and government doesn't represent most of the people. Referendums quote is not reachable for people of Latvia. I suggest that we create public commity, who represents Latvian people in questions of vaccination and other COVID19 questions. We do not agree with mandatory vaccination and other covid19 restrictions.
Private	WE DO NOT AGREE. WE CLEARLY SAY NO TO AN INTERNATIONAL GUIDELINE.
self-employed	We do not ask the WHO! Free will cannot be taken away from anyone! This is an organization not chosen by anyone! Leave the people alone and end all kinds of pandemics.
Citizen of the US	We do not belong in a world order that controls our responses to a pandemic. The decisions need to be made at a local level. A one size fits all will not work. If we had the same response as Australia, China or Germany to covid, you would have seen a huge uprising from us free spirited Americans who know masks don't work, the vaccine is flawed and causes adverse reactions. Who knows what will happen in the future since there were no long term studies. All the staff at work that got covid were fully vaccinated and boosted. Those of us who did our own critical thinking and didn't comply with the mandates were fine. We realized it was the treatment with Remdesivir and lack of early treatment with other life saving drugs that are banned that was causing so many unnecessary deaths. I will be watching and working to vote out anyone supporting this idiocy. Big pharmaceutical companies have the government bought and paid for, which is disgusting. This needs to stop now!!!!
@ Home M•[?]m	We DO NOT CONSENT to your proposed world treaty!! What makes you feel you have the authority and audacity to override individual countries and their individual constitutions when it comes to a pandemic or any other related issue. Yes a pandemic effects the world but you DO NOT and should never have the power to over ride an individual countries constitution and all their people have fought for! Shame on all of you for how you have been dealing with this pandemic and for this incredibly terrifying overeach of power!! I have lost immense faith in the WHO and this treaty shows your power reach and your agenda for world health is not what it should be! Shame on you all!!! Do not approve this treaty, for the LOVE and RESPECT of all nations! Continue to give guidelines and recommendations but do not take absolute power that does not rightfully belong to you!!! Thank you
NA	We do not Consent 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Botswana Truth and Freedom	We do NOT give ANY government permission to sell out our country to the WHO! We don't need a treaty with WHO to tell us how to look after our own health! We as citizens REJECT ANY treaty with WHO! I am absolutely ashamed in our government and ESP our Minister of Health, Edwin Dikoloti, that sits on WHO board. He has sold his soul to the Western World and he should be removed as Minister of Health. People have died because of his Vaccine Mandates at the border. So once again, WE AS BOTSWANA CITIZENS DO NOT RECOGNIZE BOTSWANA AND WHO SIGNING A TREATY!!
citizen	We do not need a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" for handling pandemic concerns. The respective national health care system provides a much better protection for the local needs. All health concerns need to stay as local as possible, decisions for health with each single person. This is a world wide valid human right.
none	we do not need a European Cillective response to a pandemic.. every country has a right to deal with treats individually and should be encouraged to.... taking away people's basic skills human rights is a major mistake..
None	We do not need a global governance on health concerns. Stay out of our country. Leave our own people alone to decide what is best for us. NO TREATY!! You have ALL messed up the entire world enough!
US citizen	We do not need a global government. We do not need a global pandemic plan. We need honesty in politics and freedom to reject medical interventions for which we do not agree.
None	We do not need a global instrument - what we need is for countries and citizens to be free to make their own choices and to be given fair access to options.
None	We do not need a global organization to dictate pandemic response for all nations. Every nation is comprised of individual peoples, cultures, and demographics. No one organization should be be given absolute power to govern the response of the entire world. This is a health care monopoly and against the very freedoms, rights, and bodily autonomy that our nation of the United States of America was founded upon. The WHO is deciding upon this "new international instrument" without the vote or voice of the people they are purportedly doing this on behalf of. Despite my opposition to this over-reaching world power grab, I offer these necessary additions: The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	We do not need a global pandemic agreement. Each country, each race is unique. Each national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for each nation. Each national and local government should be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they fit, WITHOUT ANY CONSEQUENCE.
privat	We do not need a global pandemic contract und there should be a normal und human handling with viruses. No Institution should be allowed to te tell others what they have to do. No mandatory but only voluntarily elements can be in such an contract or International Instrument.
American citizen with a birth certificate	We do not need a health pass or an international board telling us about health and how to live our lives. You do not have my permission to violate my health, my rights, and my health freedom. This is demonic and absolutely insane. There is no pandemic! No one has died in the streets or at home unless they were "vaccinated". Hospitals killed everyone. Stop the communist BS!! We do not need the who involved in our health and no one needs your permission to live their lives. You are murderers and you WILL pay for what you have done. I do not call you for advice and I REFUSE to have you tell me about my health. This communist treaty is unacceptable and will NOT be passed!!!
self	We DO NOT need a legal binding global pandemic treaty. My health is my business and mine alone. This is the dumbest thing I have ever heard of and I can not believe anyone believes this would benefit our used to be great country. Nobody in their right mind would back this. Joanne Mainer
None	We do NOT need a new International Instrument on Pandemic Preparedness and Response!

Organization	Written submission
None - Independent global citizen	We do not need a new international response or instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
Individual	We do not need a new international response to pandemics. I do not want a global organisation or pharmaceutical companies deciding what is best for my health. This would be removing my inalienable rights as a human being and my own body autominy.
N/A	We do not need a new pandemic treaty dictated by the WHO. The United States has our constitution that takes precedence over anything else. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Myself	We do not need a new pandemic treaty for the whole world. Local response to a disaster is substantial and adequate. A one world response removes the rights of the people to retain their bodily autonomy and freedom of movement even more than they already were recently. I do not wish my country to participate in this treaty. Points to hammer home my thoughts on this matter: -National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. -The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. -An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where EVEN A MINORITY of the people do not want it. -Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, which has has already been occurring. For profit companies have NO RIGHT to determine health policy. #StopTheTreaty!!
American	We do NOT need a one size fits all response for healthcare! Look at the global catastrophe from Covid. The government is out of control. Give the power back to the people/local state, county & city governments.
Me	We do not need a one size fits everyone response to a pandemic. We need people in communities involved at the local level with the support of local health care practitioners. I oppose your proposal.
-	We do not need a single element! We need democratic nation states that cannot be bought, that equip their health care systems well with non-corruptible personnel.
None	We do not need a treaty. We don't need a global organisation telling a sovereign state how to look after the sick.
private	We do not need a WHO dictate. Globally acting authorities and interest groups may never determine the lives of people. Signing this treaty poses an enormous potential risk for member states. This is because the agreement allows the WHO to dictate any measures to countries. The constitutions of the respective nation states guarantee people the right to an independent health care system, and this should remain so.
None	We do not need a WHO-run pandemic treaty at all. The idea scares me. When making health decisions, looking at local data and to local authorities is a much better plan. America and other free and independent countries would like to stay that way. The cv "pandemic" plans were a complete flop. None of the mandates helped anything. Even with CV decisions should have been made at a local level with each community assessing what was in reality happening around them. We don't need any bought off agencies or organizations run by billionaires who want to decrease the population telling us what to do. Thank you anyway
Canada	We do not need a world treaty for handling pandemics. We never had one bedore, and all this Covid pandemic has shown is that govt and medical authorities who handled it are incapable of managing anything like this. Changing of goal posts, and continuous lies, followed by forced vaccination by shame and coercion and division in the citizens perpetuated by the media and govts. The system of bureaucracy is the problem, we do not need more of it
None	We do not need a worldwide pandemic treaty. We are a free nation and fully capable of manging our own affairs without the interference of the globalist agenda.
Private US citizen	We do not need agreements that take away our individual right to choose what we want for our own bodies or our children's bodies. Do NOT try to take away our right to choose what is best for ourselves and our loved ones. Once our rights are taken away, they are not granted back.
Private	We do not need an "international instrument on pandemic preparedness". We need national investigations that there was no virus isolated ever (just look at what the virologists call "virus isolation" or "proof of a virus"! It is cycle reasoning instead of a proof! The "genome" or SARS-CoV-2 is not more than a fictive computer generation, which can be read in every paper that generates the genome), that there is no advantage of wearing masks, of lockdowns and other measurements, that there were massive terrible collateral damages - and that all these panic actions never happen again! Find out who were the financial winners, where the money came from and what were there aims. Do not touch the individual rights and freedom of us citizens never again!
No organization	We do not need an international agreement for handling pandemics. It is different for each country/area. Each country should be able to determine the best course of action for their area. This is dangerous and should not be passed.
Privat	We do not need an international contract for preparing on pandemics. Leave it to the national states. The EU contracts cost money and serves the US but seldom the own people.
Privat	We do not need an international instrument for pandemic response preparedness. What countries need are effective preventive measures for human health, such as clean drinking water, sufficient food, creation of a safe social environment, more direct democratic participation and self-sufficiency in health matters. What humanity does not need are pharmaceutical lobby-driven vaccination campaigns that do more harm than good.
private	We do not need an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response! We need common sense, but not freedom-restricting measures. Lockdowns and masks have not had a positive impact, experts agree today. We must finally look at the facts, which show that Covid-19 does not need special treatment. Look at the median age at death etc. Let's end this pandemic as soon as possible and make sure that all human rights violations never happen again.
citizen	We do not need an international pandemic instrument. The nations are able to decide by them self what to do. National law must be priority one. No WHO, no EU, no international Organisation, is empowered to overrule national law. The decisions and responsibilities must by the national parliaments. The responsibilities about side effects of medicine/vaxx must strictly connected by the Pharma companies.
	We do not need an international pandemic response. You are ruining the world and people's futures with this pandemic response. Taking away freedom with useless restrictions that do not control a pandemic. There was a perfectly good pandemic response in place before 2020 which stated that masks are useless, closing borders does nothing and that lockdowns cause more harm than good. Please revert back to pre 2020 normality and protect the freedom of future generations.
USA	We do not need an international treaty for "pandemic" response. Why do we need any response other than what is typical for a flu virus? COVID has a >99.9% survival rate for anyone under age 70, and the death rate for children is so low that it is statistically zero. More than 70% of the deaths were obese individuals, and those deaths were grossly inflated. No government response is needed at all. I would think that the mistakes of the last 2 years would have proven to everyone that we never should have had any restrictions at all. We never needed to depart from normal. No treaty, Mr. Gates. We will not comply.
At the root LLC	We do not need an international treaty for a pandemic response. Each country, each community, each home has different views, different circumstances, and different needs. One size does not fit all. As sovereign beings, we retain the right to choose what is best for us as individuals, families & communities, and countries. Given that these positions at the who are not elected positions, they do not necessarily reflect the voice of the people and therefore cannot be responsible for implementing restrictive measures such as what is being proposed.
Private	We do not need an international treaty or an organisation dictating to anyone what their medical choices or autonomy should be under any circumstances. Bodily autonomy is a basic human right.
No organization, "just" a citizen	We do not need any "international" instruments. Whatever they would be: They are not specific enough and therefore not really helpful, but often more harmful than useful. The more regional and adapted to the region where they are applied the better. Regionalism is the key to really useful and proportionate measures.
n.a.	We do NOT need any a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Up to 2019 we we were able to handle infections as it should be done. If you are ill, stay at home, if not do whatever you want. No more testing of people without any symptoms of an infection. Also there is NO NEED for any kind of Vaccine Passport.
No organisation	We do not need any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
We The People	We do not need any new Instrument. We know this is only a control mechanism. This Will not be Tolerated. You will be arrested.
-	We do not need any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. The existing instruments did work very well, there is no need for any new instruments.
golf professional	We do not need any type of agreement from any organization that tries to control what a person decides what is best for him or her. Nothing of any benefit has been gained in the response to Covid and it has been a world wide coordinated response. Free flow of information is the quickest and surest way to solve problems and any kind of treaty or agreement wold try to control the narrative and we have seen how that has lead to a suppression of information and treatments that would have substantially reduced or eliminated the pandemic. Let all ideas be explored openly dissected and discussed and the solutions needed will be forthcoming. Thank you.
My own	We do not need anything from the WHO. They screwed up the last Covid pandemic. We need to take care of our own health without interference from a body which is supported by rich billionaires and elitists. Leave the people alone.
Avila coaching	We do not need Emergency laws and other laws restricting our freedom and violate privacy. We need another way of life, in harmony with nature and a view towards well-being, equality and self-determination over our own bodies.
general public	We do not need global governance in health policy. We need free medical science that is not influenced by pharmaceutical industry, foundations, public private partnerships, etc. The WHO should honestly and transparently disclose its own entanglements. People all around the world are becoming aware of the fact that power is often abused in health politics. Work with us, not against us. Thank you!
None	We do not need global preparedness and responses for a pandemic when most nations already have a pandemic plan of action that predates covid. Suck a policy would leave nations around the world at the will of the WHO who could - if they so choose - decide to call a pandemic when one isn't necessary. The WHO have already changed the definition of what constitutes a pandemic, so this would in fact give them free rein to effectively control a nations policy through technocratic and medical means.
My family, my country	We do not need inter national guidelines. Countries can decide based on needs of their own citizens. Too much government is totalitarianism and we reject that.
Alaeddin LLC	We do not need more centralization to deal with our global issues, we need more decentralization. Local communities and individuals need to be in charge of their health; humans are not a one-size-fit-all species. Countless of lives were lost because of the dictates of centralized power and government bureaucrats. The decisions for health should be left to the individual and their doctor. This is a very dangerous road we are taking, and the risk for corporate capture is real. Already, over 50% of the WHO's funding is from corporations, and we know the track record of big pharma - they don't care about our health and only care about profits. This new initiative by the WHO will be catastrophic for humanity. Do not go down this road
Private	We do not need more centralized power which will inevitably undermine individual autonomy. Stop. The. Treaty.
Privat	We do not need new tools to deal with pandemics! Reality has shown that the measures taken by governments have done more harm than good! What we humans need is normality! Many states have returned to normal because they have recognized that Corona is nothing more than a harmless flu!
Family	We do not need nor want a global instrument. We want to be free and healthy, not bound and forced into some experimental medication/treatment/vaccination. Please respect my humanity and my freedom of choice.
None	We do not need one. Period. We do not need a treaty to unify a world-wide or international response to anything. Every country should have sovereignty within its own borders and not be restricted.
Streicher Farms Ltd	We do not need or want the World Health to be in control of our country. Please vote against giving the WHO government control powers Thanks Steve
Private citizen	We do not need or want WHO involvement in the decision making process regarding our health. WHO totally mismanaged the handling of the pandemic. WHO cannot be trusted as proven by the very limited time allowed for public comment regarding the current matter.WHO is threatening our sovereignty and freedoms.
Public	We do not need our lives putting on hold again. There should be no instruments put in place. The last pandemic was based on lies and false information. Leave us alone and let us live our lives.
No	We do not need substantive elements included in an international instrument on pandemic preparedness. People have to be able to decide about their body and their Health for themselves free and without any force or any repression for- or afterwards. No other institution has the right to force another human beeing to take any medicament or vaccination. No medical Experiments with humans! Never!
citizen	We do not need such an instrument - each country must retain the democratic ability to govern itself. The WHO must remain an advisory body only - it is unelected and must not ever wield power or authority over any sovereign, nation states. Second, it is of course up to the WHO if it creates a pandemic instrument, but this must permit free speech and the open exchange of ideas - not the dogma and censorship that have been the hallmarks of the last two years. Third, any such instrument must unequivocally confirm and embed the right of free and informed consent - coercion and mandates of medical interventions are unethical and abhorrent. Fourth, the WHO (and its personnel) must divest itself of any private funding. It is insufficient to declare interests - there must be no conflicts (or potential conflicts) of interest. Funding must be truly independent and dispassionate. Fifth, the WHO's (or its members') analysis of covid or any future public health issue must include a full cost/benefit assessment of any policy responses, and these should remain under continual reassessment as the relevant issue progresses and new information arises. We must recognize any errors in a chosen path, admit them, and adapt.
Citizen	We do not need such an instrument. Sovereign governments are able to deal with the health issues of their citizens without a pre-planned globalist agenda!
Private	We do not need the WHO- INB to deliver/adopt any global pandemic treaty when we as sovereign beings with inalienable rights have not been considered or consulted. Every country has dealt with the pandemic and is capable of doing so with any future decisions regarding its own sovereign nation, without outside interference that would not have the best interests at heart for that nation. We do not need or want this and it is a unacceptable threat to any country and it's people. I therefore do not recognise any outside authority over my God given rights and freedoms.
Retired	We do not need the WHO telling the U.S. how to fight a pandemic. The WHO, CDC, the U.S. Government have changed the definition of what a pandemic is. All U.S. Rep. need to vote NO on this bill. Or be Voted out of office.
I do not belong to a fixed organization, but I am a citizen of the United States	We do not need the WHO telling the United States how to run our country, who can enter and who can leave and setting rules for us to follow. • WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. • All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. We can not give them this power.
Individual	We do NOT need the WHO to control global healthcare or tell us how to handle future pandemics. We have a constitution and state constitutions that have set our laws, and our communities should decide what is best for our communities. NO to the WHO interfering in our personal choices and medical choices. We never voted the WHO into any part of our lives or healthcare . Unconstitutional.
Concerned	We do not need the WHO to have more power over our freedom of rights to chose what we put in our bodies. Everyones bodies reacts differently to illnesses and medications that there is not a one size fits all Solution. I firmly believe this is an infringement on my constitutional rights to chose my own medical treatment plan and to remain anonymous. Stop this treaty!
Personal	We do not need the WHO-INB to deliver or adopt on our behalf, any global pandemic treaty when we as sovereign beings with inalienable rights have not been considered or consulted. Every nation has dealt with the pandemic and is capable of doing so with any future decisions regarding its own sovereignty nation, without outside interference that would not have the best interests for that nation. We do not need or want this and it is a unacceptable threat to any country and it's people. I therefore do not recognise any outside authority over my God given rights and freedoms.
Private citizen	We do not need this kind of global agreement. But if we put one in place, the following must be ironclad features of it: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, CvR
Private person	We do not need this treaty . Local control by each Sovereign state is what is required . They are on the spot and hopefully will proceed with care and success . One giant body to dictate to all countries in the World is not the way forward . The World's health does not really benefit from totalitarian control . In fact World Government seems to be the real underlying issue involved . No no NO !!!!!!!!
Private	We do not need this treaty. Governments can decide weather they want to implement WHO rules in their country. That is not the business of a non democratic elected organization funded partly by private companies. Make good proposals than the countries will follow your pandemic plans. If it's not compatible with democratic rules they won't follow.
None	We do not need this treaty. If it is inevitable regardless, it must include the following: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend any human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations/national governments, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment or any pharmaceutical product is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine or other medical or health status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public or private spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
self	We do not need to be in a contract of control with the out of control WHO! We are America and can handle what may come our way. We do not need to be subjected to leadership that supersedes our own! The WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including and especially when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty and ALL occupants will be screwed! The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word. GET AWAY FROM THE WHO!!!!!
American	We do not need to be protected from anything. The decisions that were made in the past did not help and now you want more control? No I do not support this treaty. We are able to make decisions for ourselves. We also have Elected officials that navigated the previous pandemic well. We do not need to give away more power. I disagree that we need this.
BillLawrenceOnline.com	We do not need to give more power to an international organization. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Further nations --even local municipalities -- must be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Further, an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Earth Citizen	We do not need you implementing anything to save humanity. Humans can choose for themselves how to best serve themselves, their families, and their loved ones. This is called free will in accordance with natural law. Freedom, bodily autonomy, and uninhibited travel for each person must remain without impedence by any organization or government. We the people demand you look to the Geneva Conventions, the Nuremberg codes and consider them fully before this organization considers interfering with humanities rights in any way. We are awake and paying attention to your every move. Health is a personal choice not a public affair. We demand you act accordingly.
WTF	We do not need your interference thank you. We will simply live our lives n peace and harmony and have no regard for you evil peeps who will not be stopping our happiness and ascension to 5D living in bliss. So try your best ....it's not going to happen. End of. Bla bla bla Mr Klaus Anal Swab lol 😂
Humanity	We do not need your pandemic global response. No one voted for you, I reject it & this organisation
N/A	We do not recognise nor will we agree that an international agency such as WHO has any right to political power and/or control over sovereign peoples around the world. Our health agencies work under political control of directly and democratically elected governments, elected by we ordinary people. In this way we ordinary exercise the limited power we have to effect rightful change. An international agency such as WHO must and should remain an agency that provides guidance and best advice to governments but it must NOT ever exercise political power because that would render it's guidance to be politically motivated and thus, corrupt. We will campaign to elect a UK Government that will refuse to recognise any such massive overreach and if the current UK Governments does not refuse this, we will elect one that will.
	We do not recognise their authority and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation means the WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no unelected authority over us.
UK citizen	We do not recognise their authority and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation means the WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over us.
Cummin up caribbean	We do not recognise their authority and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation means the WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over us
SITP	We do not recognise their authority and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation means the WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over us.
None	We do not recognise their authority and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation means the WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over us..
Concerned citizen	we do not recognise their authority and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation over the WHO that lack independence and can therefore have no authority over us. We supposedly live in a democracy and do not take instructions from unelected oligarchs
Flitwick town council	We do not recognise your authority and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation meaning the WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over us.
Public	We do not recognise your authority and the influence of organisations such as the Bill and Melinda gates Foundation means the WHO lacks independence and can therefore have no authority over us.
Jennifer Castledine	We do not require a global response to pandemics. The current global response has caused great harm to the people. The community is more than capable of dealing with any pandemics without the great harm, the loss of life harm to the economy, the increase in suicide mental health that the global response has caused. We want democracy not a world government. My country has many decent people who want to be free. A world government is not acceptable, and not wanted. We want our freedom, clean food, freedom of speech, hands off our health, we the people can look after ourselves.
N/A	We do not require a pandemic treaty. Delegations of public health need to be national and also further down within the local community. It is not for the WHO to decide when and where countries lock down. Lockdowns have a detrimental effect to the economy and public health and we're seeing the aftermath of missed cancer treatments, mental health crises, a detrimental effect upon children and their education. Countries lose their sovereignty and as free people we will lose our inalienable rights. This is a very backward step for the world. Lockdowns in the UK were based on data modelling, which was also proved to be highly inaccurate, so the many steps that were taken to restrict movement were made unnecessarily and the untold suffering caused by these decisions (lockdowns over Christmas, elderly dying alone, people dying in their own homes because they didn't want to burden the health services) all unacceptable measures. We do not need a world body to run this catastrophe that has occurred over the last two years. We need to build our world back, which will take decades. Further interference will bring it to collapse.
Private	We do not submit to any regulations from you, the WEF, or the corrupt anc, the un or any other third party. We aren't your slaves. Our bodies, our choice. Frack off in short
N/A	We do not support the shifting of pandemics in the US from the local level to an international body. Here are our reasons: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Common Sense Citizens	We do not support the WHO pandemic treaty under any circumstances.
BodyRestoration	We DO NOT support this overreach of WHO power. To create universal one size fits all protocols and expect global compliance is a direct threat to each individuals autonomy and threatens each country's sovereignty. This is a dangerous overreach and abuse of power from individuals who are no longer in touch with the daily realities of the people they claim to represent
None	We do not support this treaty. Instead we want 1. National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Full transparency on vaccine injuries. No mandates and informed consent.
Private	We do not trust mass-murderer! We do not like Gates financed Genozids!
Self	We do not want a centralized, unaccountable body to attempt to assert global control regarding public health. This is a dangerous initiative, and I oppose it. Thank you.
N/A	We do not WANT a global authority over our health!
None	We do not WANT a global authority over our health! Any global effort should be to STOP all this genetic manipulation of viruses and natural animals and plants! Please stop!
PARENT and GRAND PARENT!	WE DO NOT WANT A GLOBAL ORGANIZATION DECIDING WHAT WILL HAPPEN HERE IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA!!! WE WANT AUTONOMY! THE WHO IS NOT GOVERNING OUR COUNTRY!!! WE ARE! 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. We are NOT interested in becoming a Colony of the World Health Organization!!! Period! You do not have the right to run this Sovereign Nation!
Citizen of the United States-taxpayer and voter!	We do not want a global response to anything, ever! When the health organizations around the world pay attention to truth, we know that the social determinants of health and the Iron Triangle are unique in each country and continent. It is foolish and ignorant to try to act as if all areas, all people, are the same. We want less global, less unification, less control. Countries will do fine on their own when they ensure that true concern for mankind is supported and demonstrated on all levels. Globalization of health care on any level ignores individual county needs; this does not benefit anyone! NO GLOABL RESPONSE TO ANYTHING ANYWHERE!!!!!!
The Belgian People For Free Choice	We do NOT want a group of unelected people to govern us in any way. It is clear that the WHO depends on it's sponsors, and does not have the best interest of the people in mind. You were wrong the whole time during the covid-crisis because in stead of doing science you just follow the multinationals who have other interests than those of the people. The WHO seems most interested in exercising 'power' over the people in the name of 'health' but does nothing more than push the interest of big pharma and some power-crazy unelected billionaires and 'special-interest groups' who become even richer. You are NOT elected, you are NOT chosen by us. Just dismantle this useless organization and go and do some real work helping people close to you. The WHO should stay away from any legislation or 'contracts' with governments! Stop acting like you have some legitimacy. You are a private organization that acts like it is some form of government. Just putting 'world' in your name does not mean the world wants anything to do with you. At most, you might give some advice regarding health issues, that's it, end of the line. Our national organizations are more than capable to act and decide, and do not need to be strangled or forced in any way by a supranational organization of unelected 'technocrats'. Of course this text won't mean anything to you, as you have been brainwashed to believe that you are actually doing some good, same as the Germans who destroyed hundreds of thousands of people thought they were cleaning their country. I hope the ghosts of all the people dying in misery after the next lockdown you will most certainly 'activate' will haunt you the rest of your life.
New Zealand citizen	We do not want a one size fits all response from WHO. We must allow National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We must retain the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
None	We do not want a pandemic treaty. It is unhealthy and unAmerican to put individual health choices into outsiders hands Say no to this treaty. Under no circumstances can go into law.
Private citizen	We do not want a WHO pandemic response. We do not want any entity superseding our constitution. Every individual has a right to make their own free choices regarding risk assessments. We deserve full transparency and then can make our own choices. Clearly there are many countries that did things contrary to the WHOs recommendations and fared far better in their response to Covid 19. The world doesn't trust the WHO, the WHO has not been honest.
None	We do not want a world treaty as the vaccine has not proven to make you immune to COVID. We have our right to choose what we want in our bodies. Do not take that right away. Thank you.

Organization	Written submission
Citizen of the United States	We do not want a worldwide global pandemic response. We have already seen what happens when governments only allow one narrative and censor everything else. We do not want a corrupt organization like the WHO in charge of health policy because they have too many conflicts of interest and stand to profit from their decisions. Honestly, if the WHO or CDC think it's a good idea I do the opposite because nobody's health has improved under their watch. Individuals and individual communities should make their own decisions about their health, and medicine is not one size fits all
None	We do not want an international treaty on health. Most people want absolutely nothing to do with this. Please do not move forward. I don't want you to speak for me or my family. We all want body autonomy and medical freedom to make our own decisions. Thank you for your time - Erin Miller
None	We do not want any form of Vaccine Passport.
BHI	we do not want any higher level of govt greater than our state or provincial govt. - We want local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for our own people. -We MUST retain the ability for local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of any agreement that may be made as they see fit, without consequence. -There must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. -We do not want to allow for pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or persons acting as agents for them, to influence the decision making process in any pandemic like global response.
Citizens United	We do not want any one-size-fits-all policy. We would like every country to decide for itself the best way to deal with a pandemic.
Sunnyvale fishery	We do not want anymore interference to our freedom. Turn the clock back and let us live our lives again. The WHO is only interested in making the rich richer and more powerful. We just want to have a good life, be able to work shop and play without a green pass or covid vaccine. Yours Rita Delorme.
Citizen	We do not want international organization to be authority of our health care/choices. We want authority to remain in America, and with us citizens choices/freedoms. Thank you.
individual	We do not want nor need a one size fits all solution to pandemics. Each country has individual needs and should act according to their particular needs. Any one group,including the WHO cannot micromanage the globe. There will be anger and lack of trust created in the world population.
Na	We do not want nor need a pandemic treaty. You hardly have a good track record considering the last 2 years!
general public	We DO NOT want or DO NOT need a global agency or global pandemic treaty to make health decisions for any individual or citizen that lives in the United States of America or any country on Planet Earth. It is our God given human right to decide what we put in our body and to make our own personal health and medical decisions. We have freedom of choice. We should be allowed to make our own health and medical decisions without being bullied, persuaded, or forced to get any experimental biological weapon shot injection "vaccine" or to be required to test for any virus to keep our jobs and live on Planet Earth. Our human rights, freedoms, personal health decisions and medical decisions DO NOT and SHOULD NOT belong to any global agency, global elite group of individuals, globalists, governments, or company. Governments should be for the people by the people. Please protect our human rights and freedoms. Please say NO to at global pandemic treaty.
general public	We do not want or need a global pandemic preparedness policy. Our world is made of billions of diverse people and a one-size-fits-all does not work for our diverse countries, states, cities or communities. To try to create one is to open the door to tyranny and coercion, as has happened during the covid crisis. The last attempt at "saving us" resulted in more harm. According to Unicef, indiscriminate lockdowns contributed to a severe rise in child mortality due to lack of access to food and health care. But it doesn't stop with children. Many elderly people died alone, including my own father because of the lockdown - his entire life was taken from him and every, single one of his friends. They once thrived with their bridge tournaments, enjoying breakfast and lunches together and then lively conversation around their bridge tournaments. Then they were forced to stay in, home alone and isolated, which led to a massive event stroke because he wasn't using his brain as he had in the past (according to his neurosurgeon). It was a long, slow torturous death of which many of my father's friends mentioned constantly they they would have preferred to get covid and die instead of living lonely and isolated. So, please... mind your own business and "stay in your lane." Our health is ours to take care of. Medicine is an art and science and a personal decision between doctor and patient. It has already been ruined through coerced vaccinations that didn't work, so it is time to stop and now allow the medical community to restore faith in the people. I don't want to be forced to have a baby by taking away my abortion rights and I certainly don't want you to force me to take a medical treatment. My body, my choice works both ways.
Self	We do not want or need WHO to control anything,especially anything to do with Covid. Every citizen needs to be responsible for themselves, not under the control of any organization or government.
individual person	We do NOT want the WHO calling a pandemic whenever they feel like and shutting countries down because we've lost our sovereignty! It is essential that: 1)National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	We do not want the WHO to have any involvement in our lives beyond what they have now.
FREEDOM!!!!	We do not want the WHO to have COMPLETE POWER OVER OUR HEALTH AND WELLBEING... if they GET CONTROL over our HEALTH AND WELLBEING, it will be the END OF EVERYTHING!!! WE NEED SOVEREIGNTY OVER OUR BODIES, THE GOD GIVEN RIGHT TO DECIDE WHAT TO TAKE INTO THEM, AND NOT A GOVERNMENT TELLING US WHAT TO DO... AND FOLLOWING US AROUND AND CONTROLLING US WITH AN IMPLANTED MICROCHIP!!! I AM TOTALLY AGAINST A BIG GOVERNMENT TELLING ME WHAT IS GOOD FOR MY BODY AND MY SELF...
GT Water Products Inc	We do not want the WHO to override national sovereignty. Absolutely not.
Private	We do not want the WHO to to dictate to us. Every country can handle this on their own as necessary
Canadian Citizen	We do not want this. The answer is no. Just stop. This is an overreaching power grab and we are not here for it. Enough is enough. The WHO has proven itself to be untrustworthy and they don't deserve more control.
Na	We do not want to be a part of the Who pandemic response. We did not vote for anyone in The Who and they have no authority to dictate to Americans what we must do with our bodies. We have the constitution here to protect our freedoms.
None	We do not want to be under the WHO In response to a health crisis. We want to remain independent and covered by our own countries Constitution and react with a African prospective. Just like we did during the last pandemic and we have managed. We are a free and sovereign nation God bless you Thank you
the herd	We do not want your awful treaty. Let sovereign nations and people make their own choices.
None	We do not want your help with anything to donwith health. Your organization has been a huge failure and has killed and harmed many millions of people. Countries should resolve their own problems with the screw up, on purpose, to gain world dominance and great profits for bog pharma. We did not elect any of you frauds. Just go home and leave us alone.
Pembrokeshire Freedom Alliance	We do not wish for such a treaty to govern every aspect of our health. As I understand it, the definition of pandemic has been changed by your organisation over the years and in theory could change in the future to include a pandemic of obesity or anything you choose. Your organisation is funded by private individuals and this makes it open to influence by those with vested interests and financial interests. Therefore this is not primarily about health. This is undemocratic.
Simmons Pets	We do not wish to have a single governing body over a pandemic response. If anything we learned these last few years is that the compliance of the many based off of the rules of a group of relatively few people is a horrid idea. Let each country, let each nation, and little town across the world make their decisions that they themselves determine. How about just giving actual facts and information in potential future pandemics instead of a narrative like what we've had based on the beliefs and desires of the few. Let the people all around the world givern themselves in these matters.
Self	We do not yield our national sovereignty to the WHO. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
private	We don't need a international instrument on pandemic. Never ever in the hands of an organization which has its main funds from privat organisations belonging to the pharma industry. Please leave it by the single states. They should best know about how to handle pandemics in their country.
None	We don't Need a global governance. The states can decide and react on their own.
A	We don't need such an international contract! Stop it!
No	We don't need this! What happened Last two years has nothing to do with democracy, we prefer a self esteemed life. Just let us live our lifes! Why do especially western Gouvernements and NGO s think to have the right to control people even they obviously do Not want this? I am grown up enough to take care for myself in freedom, and I am still alive. Stop this project!
Germany	We don't need an international pandemic contract! Every state should stay independent. We don't need a digital ID. That can be really dangerous! Let people be independent and let them choose. Human rights are necessary! Pharmaindustry (or people in government) should pay for harm done to people after vaccination.
Citizen of EU	We don't need any NEW international instrument.
No Information	We don't need any such things, we want our freedom. Stop these things. Stop the working in underground labs and working on viruses. Stop the financial addiction from people like Bill and Melinda Gates.
Citizen EU	We don't need it at all
N/A	We don't need or want WHO's one-size-fits-all programs. We need people and communities in control of their own health. WHO needs to stay out of the United States entirely, particularly states like TX.
non	We don't need so-called emergency laws - there never was a pandemic situation worldwide with Corona. With this decree, any self-determination under international law is prohibited and the fundamental right of a human being is nullified, especially to free decision-making about personal health, i.e. body and soul, and ultimately even to free expression of opinion, as has been shown. We do not need lobbyist-controlled policies of countries, certainly not WHO, no global foreign regulation, which only follows the interests of money-grubbing elites, who do not care about the well-being of people at all. Corona was never about health, it was a pre-planned scenario to traumatize humanity so that they subsequently accept all changes in the law in favor of the Great Reset without objection. The planned international health prescription treaty will be against any basic rights and against the dignity and self-responsibility of the human being. There is no need for disease-promoting global policies, we humans determine our own lives! It needs strong peoples, who formulate their rights independently and administer them themselves, also and especially when it is about health. The facts, the real threat for the well-being of the people in the past 2 years since the alleged outbreak of Corona, which was just another flu, are exactly those global organizations, which are in financial dependence of megalomaniacs and obey completely insane guidelines, acting in dependence and exclusively manipulative to end the humanity as such. We don't need WHO and other so-called decision-makers vicariously for being human!
Self	We don't need the WHO involved in our community with a one size approach. Communities can monitor their own health needs.
	We don't need WHO for discussion in a pandemic. All country has a government and that's enough. You are are private organization with power all over the world. And your recommendations were not good in the pandemic. Normally a pandemic is happening all 100 years. If the next pandemic coming soon, it's not natural but made.
NGO	We don't need WHO to control and guide each and every nation. We need freedom of choice and WHO should act as adviser only
WHO	We don't need your education, we don't need your birthcontrol .... Power to the people, in national systems, not NGOs ! For democracy, humanity and justice !!!
Eu citizen	We don't need your new international instrument on pandemic prepardness at all.
Uk	We don't support a world health organisations proposal
Atlas management	We don't want an global agreement
Privat	We don't want an international plan for pandemic !
non organization	We dont agree with you disgusting animals changing these rules. We live in a democratic world and we want it to stay that way so keep away from us!
self-employed	We dont need a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. This sounds to me like a tool for olarchs and bureaucrats to establish totalitarian control over the people. You should jail the creators of Sars-Cov-2. Instead you want to remove the civil liberties of the people? For who are you working?
EU citizen	We don't need a new pandemic instrument for prepardness. Since WHO until now hasn't promoted early treatment for Covid 19, in my opinion it is a corrupted institution which lost all of its credibility.
//	We don't need a pandemic treaty at all. You don't have to consider that. Vaccines are also not needed. If there is no vaccine that only harms it, we can live healthier. Many people have died because of the vaccines you have developed. We have agency. You should not decide it.
None	We don't need a pandemic treaty, nor a centralized government to deal with illness. The citizens of the world haven't been asked to vote on or for this. Health and wellness is up to the individual. There are many holistic approaches for good health: diet, exercise, sunshine or Vitamin D, natural supplements, herbal remedies, homeopathy, massage, acupuncture, chiropractic, osteopathy. Allopathic medicine has severe side effects: it masks disease rather than cures it. Vaccines are extremely complex with ethical issues, genetic modifications, and severe adverse events including paralysis and death. Herd immunity is an illusion. There will always be individuals who simply do not achieve immunity from a vaccine. Each person must have the autonomy to choose the health approach for themselves. We can prevent pandemics by improving animal conditions. When animals live or are slaughtered in close unclean quarters, then infection spreads. We need to encourage free range, antibiotic-free, hormone-free animals and clean slaughter. We can prevent epidemics by improving air, water, and soil. We can clean indoor air with UV light like the APCO UV light. We can clean water by boiling, using UV light technology on well water, and water filtration like the Aquasana dechlorinator. We can stop using pesticides and GMO seeds to preserve the soil and keep chemicals out of the food supply. "There is no disease, just sick people." Terrain theory is more viable than germ theory: some people get the flu and cough while others die because of the host not the infection. We have God-given immune systems, plants, healing therapies. Tyrannical measures (vaccines, masks, lockdowns, passports) for COVID-19 did nothing to stop the spread of the virus.
Gewerkschaft Verdi	We don't need a WHO dictate, never
FransAarts_Unlimited	We dont need an international instrument for this. Take your global QR code and shove it. This will, as you know, only be the next step into controlling the masses and forcing them to take more experimental gene therapy
Private	We don't need an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response which will undermine the legislation and thus also the basic laws of the countries. WHO ist a non-government, mostly pharma-paid Organisation. COVID has shown that an artificial pandemy could bei started and droven by them. Therefore NO Form thus planned "madness-instrument". It will just help the Pharmaceutical industry to make a big money within short time, but huge number of corpses, probably Mode than victims of the Cov itself, are the result of this lousy developed vaccines...
privat	We dont need an international instrument! this seems to be like dictaorship! All decisions must be local!!
none	We don't need an international pandemic contract! Jedem Staat und jedem Einzelnen muss Souveränität garantiert werden! Die Menschenrechte müssen gesichert sein und Pharma- Unternehmer müssen für ihre Produkte haften!! Wir brauchen keinen Pandemievertrag! Human Rights are necessary!!
citizen	We dont need any "new Instrument for pandemic preparedness and response" at all, on the contrary, we need to go back to the old definition of the the term "pandemic": a transmissible desease that spreads worldwide AND PRODUCES A HIGH NUMBER OF DEATHS
Me	We don't need any agency or government making our health care decisions and choices. We do not consent to making choices regarding health issues of our children are well. I do not give the WHO permission to make my health care decisions nor do I consent to my tax dollars to be used to fund this organization. "Pandemic preparedness " is unnecessary.
Private	We don't need any elements. People can take care of their health themselves as they always did in the past. Mandatory vaccinations must not be allowed, especially for vaccines that are not entirely approved and where the manufacturers are not responsible for any side effects and even deaths that were and still are caused by the vaccinations.
Privat	We don't need any freedom-restricting measures. Citizens are mature any reasonable. Every Citizen should be able to decide for themselves. NO COVID- Pass! NO compulsory Vaccination! NO restrictions. NO incapacity.
Private	WE don't need any Fürther Organisation for pandemic reasons
Private EU Citizen	We don't need any kind of new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response at all! We need real help for EU citizens like less taxes, more money for employees in health care and in social professions, but instead much less staff in politics and politicians' salaries adjusted to conventional professions. This is all we need in Europe. Kind regards from Austria!
Citizen of the World	We don't need more failed one-size-fits-all global health mandates. We need autonomy and freedom of choice!
none	We don't need more failed one-size-fits-all global health mandates. We need autonomy and freedom of choice!
?	We don't need more failed one-size-fits-all global health mandates. We need autonomy and freedom of choice!
none	WE dont need more laws taking away our freedoms.. ITs been proven the shots do not work and that they have many harmful side effects We dont need any international laws or preparedness. Its against the constitution of America and should be the same for all countries.. This is Medical Tyranny and should mark the end of the WHO for good should such a law be passed.. Because it is becoming obvious what the real agenda is of the WHO and it isnt for our health but for control.. I hope this is the beginning of the END of the WHO and any power it ever held. This is a written statement only ... and i would ask anyone working for this organization please quit..
Canadian citizen	We don't need outside influence meddling from your organization influencing our public policy as a Canadian citizen I am completely against this and I will rally as many people as I can to stop the encroachment upon our Charter freedoms from foreign sources.
Childrens Health Defense	We don't need substantive elements added to this abomination and assault on humanity. This is not about pandemics or health but total world domination via control of the health care systems of the planet. Mankind for millenia have weathered past pandemics via their natural immunity. Each of us is responsible individually for our own health. Our self-preservation instincts see to it we survive by doing what our intellect tells us. We don't need guidance from from people who's real interest is in seeing the human race de-populated.
Private	We don't need such treaty. This treaty WILL undermine our nation's and ultimately our individual God given Right to choose freely what's best for our health. This treaty os a Power Grab.
privat	We don't need this global pandemic treaty. The reason is: It would be a covert seizure of power and a "threat to sovereignty". We want guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies and also the WHO It would expand the WHO's already overwhelming power to "declare unwarranted pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns and impose expensive, unsafe and ineffective treatments against the will of the people." WHO is more concerned with gaining more power for itself and its corporate accomplices than serving the interests of the people. Without an impartial democratic process, any agreement reached by WHO through the United Nations is unlawful, illegitimate and invalid." The WHO urgently needs to be put under pressure: It cannot be that WHO controls the world health agenda and enforces biosurveillance. Although funded from public sources owned by the people, it faces a constant conflict of interest as it also receives significant funding from private interests which use their contributions to influence and benefit from WHO decisions and mandates. Since the definition of pandemic was changed a few years ago (cases based on the PCR test) by the WHO, they can enforce obedience in any country and impose on the public the WHO guidelines, which are mandatory and not just recommended. And that sounds like power over the country and the world." And we don't need any of that
Individual	We don't need vaccines as covid, if it exists at all, it is not even closely ad dangerous as you represent it but a sortnof flu., maybe just a flu.. The goal of your massive and maybe future obligatory vaccines is depopulation and controling people. We are God's creatures, not things, and we have our rights given by God. So stop playing God as you will definitely lose in the end.
I care	We don't need your help.who is not what the world needs. All of you that are part of this idea will never control the world. So stop your plans and stay away.
USA	We don't trust you. You cannot speak for us or lead us in public health. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Stay out of our lives. Go away. Nobody needs our likes you.
Citizen of Austria	We don't want a international instrument on pandemic preparedness! In any case, this should continue to be reserved for the individual countries! Representive for many other Austrian citizen, best regards, M. Golosetti
Na	We dont want and need a global pandemic treaty!
Self	We dont want any interfere of WHO in our health......Stopthetreaty
USA Citizen	We don't want nor need any more corrupt organizations to tell us what to do. We already have the US Government. You still haven't even gotten to the bottom of the virus origin. Public Health is not a one size fits all strategy. Let each country and it's citizens make their own decisions. Chris Dalzell
privat	We don't want this pandemic treaty - no one needs it!!! We need guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies." The WHO is doing a bad job, it should be SHUT DOWN!
General Public	We don't want this treaty, we don't need this treaty, if any such treaty were to ever be anticipated, the following absolutes must be included. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. The definition of any pandemic needs to be agreed upon by unbiased, independent, medical and scientific experts, not paid by any government of any nation involved 6. All pertinent information regarding a suspected pandemic, needs to be shared with all nations, with every piece of information being transparent, to the extent, that the general public of each nation has access to it, when such information is needed to make informed decisions.
My grandfather fought for my freedom	We don't want to give up our constitutional rights! Keep America away from world policies and laws! They are unacceptable and we don't want a subpar idea! America is greatest because of intelligent men in our history and that has not changed! Our forefathers are smarter than WHO!
Business Owner	We dont want WHO to involve in any Public or person health issue ....so stop this treaty ......we ont want any more support from WHO
anon	We don't want you in our lives. Stay out of our business. We can take care of our own health ourselves. Our health is not your business.
No organisation	We don't want your pandemic treaty! We don't want a private organisation to have control over our lives! Cancel that terrible treaty!
Personal	We feel it is imperative that each country maintains autonomous control over its own health statutes.We will not accept any laws which are not voted on by a representative who is not elected by citizens of this country.
FNEN	We feel that the following is absolutely important for overall health and well being of people of the world. Being in a First Nations family, our health knowledge has been passed down for generations much of which you are unaware. We need to be free to continue practicing and applying our traditional knowledge to all aspects of health as well as offer this healing knowledge to the world. Do not impose your restrictions on us. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities and people to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. You practice open and transparent processes with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including measures that will prevent the application of any global agreement in place where people do not want it. 4. We insist on measures not allowing for influence in any process all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely, Susanne Lawson
Health Freedom	We feel the proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary and a threat to sovereignty & inalienable human rights. It increases the WHO's power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people, rather than reinforce immunity and even provide early treatments to save lives. Please be on the right side of history and don't allow yourselves to follow the few elite wanting control of the planet for their profits & interest at the expense of your freedoms and mine.
ab design	We feel these following points must be seriously considered in greatly altering the far-reaching negative consequences of the proposed international instrument. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be available to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process must be available with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There must be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Personal sovereignty must be retained at all times.
Concerned Citizens of the World	We firmly believe that health decisions must remain in the hands of families that reside in the member states, that anything else would threaten sovereignty of member states. We expect the WHO to stand by their "commitment of informed opinion and active participation on the part of the public are of upmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Respectfully, Katheen Carelli Concerned Citizens of the World
None	We firmly reject any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that may be drawn up by the WHO. National and local leaders should retain full autonomy over such policies; reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Considering all of the lies, manipulations, and conflicts of interest between the captured institutions like WHO and CDC, the power elite, WEF, and world governments, the last thing needed is more global policymaking. Judging by every "pandemic" leading up to and including Covid, it has been demonstrated time and again that the only "official" objective has been to enrich big pharma, control the masses by instilling fear, and to implement a new global order. Instead, the WHO should return to the basics of researching measures that actually improve public health instead of promoting useless masking, damaging lockdowns, new drugs, and "vaccines" that don't work. Or, perhaps, disband altogether.
Education for Social Renewal Foundation	We fully support the following points developed by the World Council for Health and which to submit them without alteration. These must to included in the treaty. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
FF Charitable Foundation	We had very grave concerns about the amount of control WHO has now on our world. In regards to the pandemic and, how it was handled is heart breaking. Drug addiction relapses, businesses lost, depression, loss of income, etc. We believe less government control is what is needed. People can decide for themselves what is the best course of action not WHO. Quite honestly, the course of action WHO, wants to take now is frightening. And, an overreach. Advice but, do not control. Thank you, Hal F
The Civil Health Rights Movement May Day	We have a major request to the WHO: that the institutions cannot make any binding or mandatory decisions on behalf of the member states. A major role of the WHO should always be a consultative one, which gives good advice and recommendations in all matters relating to worldwide pandemics. All health decisions must be made as close to the citizens as possible, i.e. locally in each region/country by their own government and health authorities. Any local medical doctor must have full power of choice regarding which treatment he deems best for his patients, and his decisions must not be challengeable by a higher authority, unless in the event of proven damage to his patients. It is not in the people's best interest if pharmaceutical companies are in any way consulted or allowed to lobby or influence the decisions of WHO as it makes the process too intransparent and also because of the obvious conflicts relating to the vested interests of those companies. WHO must do all it can to safeguard the rights of citizens to their own bodies, to decide themselves about treatments and make sure that no treatment ever be forced on any individual. If a nation or local region wants to leave the agreement once made with WHO, they must be able to do so at any time without consequences. Democracy, transparency and only advisory functions must play a key role in all matters under WHO.
N/a	We have a right to privacy! We are protected by the U.S. Constitution and your trying to strip us of our rights is blatantly unconstitutional!
Private citizen	We have data now to show that certain areas such as countries and states have handled the Pandemic better than others in terms of morbidity, mortality and economic consequences . For example, in the USA, data now available shows that California and New York habdled the pandemic the worst while Florida handled it the best. Compare outcomes such as Israel to Africa. It is important that any efforts related to a pandemic response shouLd be handled locally and never globally. I do not consent to any measures that violates individual, community and local sovereignty. Thank you for your consideration
Human Right Organisation	We have face so many problem and dont want this again under the wrong guilline by WHO.....so we request to stop this treaty and dont interfere in Public health. we dont want any guideline from WHO
Earth	We have governments who should have this responsibility. You are an unelected, faceless organisation with evil motives.
British Church Newspaper	We have grave reservations about the way that the World Health Organisation dealt with the Covid-19 epidemic. It promoted the use of vaccines that were nowhere near as 'safe' and 'effective' as first promised. Moreover, the W.H.O. recommended that the vaccines be 'approved for emergency use' despite the fact that proper safety trials were barely under way, with most of the necessary Phase III trials yet to be concluded. We also have reservations about the W.H.O.'s recommendations for the use of the PCR and Lateral Flow tests, which proved very unreliable in practice . Fr these and other reasons, we do not support the idea of a W.H.O.-co-ordinated governmental prescription for every government, which each government will be ordered to obey. We say it would be much better for the W.H.O. to confine itself to making well-thought-out, evidenced recommendations, leaving each nation to decide for itself what measures it wishes to take.

Organization	Written submission
David Tyrer Chartered Architect	We have had a valuable demonstration of the WHO managing the Covid19 world wide event. It can be said that there has been some catastrophic errors made by the WHO and their advisers, which has had a serious effect on the health and well being of millions of people worldwide. While it is clearly important to have a global response to the next pandemic, there needs to be some checks and balances in place to ensure that the pharmaceutical industry and its associated NGOs do not have undue influence on those managing pandemics, and that the science is real and corroborated. This will be difficult to achieve, so it is imperative that any international treaty allows for a sovereign nation to opt out of any or all directions issued by the WHO acting under said treaty, without any penalty.
Marilee Talman	We have just seen a disastrous "global" response to a so-called health issue. Many women and children, especially, and some men have died after receiving vaccines and many others have been paralyzed for life. Health must remain a local issue. Governments, and their corporate "handlers" must stay out of it. This is part of our fundamental right to "life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness." We do not give it up. Period.
Home	We have learned so much as citizens of the USA through this pandemic. We have learned how important it is to act on a local level. Scientists and doctors have been studying the past two years of the pandemic across the globe. Here in the USA we can clearly see the results of the states that imposed strict lockdowns and those that did not. What were the results? It is all well documented. What countries did the best (economically and with mortality). If we did a one size fits all we would not have the information. It is clear that pandemics should never be handled on a one size fits all. We have also learned the damage that can be done when we give power to unelected officials or power to officials without legislation involved. The abuse of power can be devastating. This is why we need to have communities in charge of their own communities and only elected officials responsible. If we do not learn from our past mistakes we are destined to repeat them. Thank you. Diane Bryant
N/A	We have no interest in an international instrument, or coordinated global response to our individual health. WEF, The WHO, CDC, and governments all over the world proved their fallibility with the disastrous response to Covid. From creating Covid through gain of function research to allowing it to be released upon the world population and the intentional destruction of economies the world over through terrible policies in response, including forcing Covid positive patients into nursing homes and endangering the most vulnerable among us, the so-called leadership is incapable of making decisions on behalf of those affecting by your disastrous policies. The last thing we want is to grant even more power to those who cannot even follow their own rules. We will not surrender national sovereignty to unelected bureaucrats in Belgium or anywhere else. We are the deciders on matters of individual health. We will not comply with preparedness plans from those who create the crises. Pandemic responses belong in the hands of the people and the elected leaders voted in by the people of the sovereign states and nations only. We will not remove decision-making from local, state and federal jurisdictions in the countries we live in. We are not governed by WEF, nor will we be.
Individual	We have no need for a treaty for any arising health pandemic as we have not had one for flu which mysteriously vanished which normally kills many. not for us.
Private individual	We have only recently started being made aware of the way entities like the CDC, the media and the pharmaceutical industry (among others) have consistently skewed data and inflated numbers as well as blatantly covering up enough information to prevent the public from making informed decisions about our own health care. The abuse of power in the United States has been so widespread that it has left the public unable to trust our elected officials to make good decisions. Allowing any foreign body to govern this sovereign nation is an affront to everything this country stands for! The biggest thing that should be included in this proposed "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is complete exclusion of the United States of America!!
United States of America	We have our human rights & freedoms under The Constitution of the United States, & we will never be bound to any treaty of the who because we are free under the US Constitution. The who can't control us. Not now, not ever because that would be unconstitutional!
Kolnoa	We have our own government which we elected. Stay out of our lives!!! Nobody voted for you.
N/A	We have seen lockdowns, masks and vaccines made no great deal of difference to this illness. That taking these actions only causes harm. Each individual should have the right to their own health choices. It shouldn't be for an all reaching organisation to make such decisions. That each human has it own bodily integrity and maintains ownership over it. Considering the recent disclosure of Pfizer documents we see no difference in the vaccine against natural immunity. From the EMA: We see long term thr booster campaign will exhaust T-cell. With each round people's immune systems will fail. There is too much adverse science no available to keep up the vaccination campaign. Your organisation has no made good decisions and its clear that these decisions alone should not be in your hands
N/A	We have seen with covid how the CCP corrupted the WHO and covid response. This proposed treaty is harmful to the world's people, and benefits only anti-democratic interests like the WEC, CCP, and the elite. It is best scrapped, but to the extent it exists at all, it MUST include - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. Adherence to the Siracusa principles of public health ethics, including the consent of the people and public participation in decisions on local measures. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers including companies that profit from lockdowns and restrictions like Amazon and Big Tech.
I am a Citizen of California	We have the Constitution of the United States as the supreme law of the land. WHO does not have jurisdiction. We have own fight in our own nation defending the divine rights of each State's Citizens. For the rest of the world: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	We have to allow for variation in approach and dissent. We need humility and appreciation for all the times we have been wrong and ways we could be now and in the future. Each pandemic will have unique risks and uncertainties, so a preemptive treaty doesn't make much sense to me. If anything, WHO should give guidance, and if compelling and credible, nations will follow in part or in whole.
None	We have to be free on whatever we do and not be obliged to comply to anything !
Self	We have witnessed 2 years of global responses to the pandemic. Speech has been stifled, science maimed, and politics replaced research and common sense. Our only saving grace has been the individual contributions of diverse nations and voices eventually mining through to the truth. In many cases, groups like the WHO and here in America, our own CDC are barely catching up with true science and time-honored doctor patient practices. We need less centralization of planning if we hope to survive as a nation, as a planet, and as a people. Central planners cannot be relied on to make the compassionate, unique, correct, and timely responses required in states of emergency. We need no such international instrument to slow progress and endanger mankind.
None	We here in the US do NOT want to be part of the WHO, nor do we submit to any program that they push on other countries. We are our own sovereign nation, and we do NOT submit to the WHO. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Kassmann Kommunikation	We hereby ask and demand that you STOP this project. There must be no compulsory vaccination and digitisation for the people on this earth. Autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice must be preserved as essential pillars of human natural law. Thank you.
Private	We hereby demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the risks of using them on adults and children.
Private citizen	We in America need to preserve our health freedom and sovereignty over vaccines and health treatments. The WHO should report to the people. The UN and its administrative branches, including the WHO may serve the needs of the needy with representation of all its member nations and their citizens. The WHO should not make health decisions for us, Respect and abide by informed consent and the stipulations of the Nuremberg Code.
none	We in America will never cede control to a globalist cabal of fake scientists who still can not even figure out that there are preventive treatments besides lockdowns, masks and fake vaccines that do not work. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of India	We in India have our own system of medicine. We don't require your experimental medicine to be tried on us. Get lost WHO
Indiy	We in New Zealand & Australia are independent nations and are fully able to take care of our own countries, communities and families. We do not enact any interference from any global body including W.H.O 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. REGARDS
Public	We in the UK do not require unelected personnel deciding our health and wellbeing. We in the UK are governed by our elected representatives to decide on our health.
None	We in the USA only live under one constitution and bill of rights. The citizens of the United States will not adhere to your demands of subversion to our government, period! Even if our politicians agree to this attack on our sovereign nation know that you will not be met kindly!!!
Myself	We know what you are doing. And it will fail. We will not comply.
Independent	We know where this is going. You grab this power so you can make your guidelines mandatory for the next "accidental" pandemic. To step up that manufactured challenge, you can finally become the worldwide governmental body it's clear you've set out to be. It is a breach on all natural rights and dignity that belong to humanity. God is watching.
Humanity	We know you are depopulating the planet with these phony pandemics and with the shots. You are all POS'
Università Firenze	We list here the substantive elements that, following the very intense experience and the huge debate we had in Europe and particularly in Italy during the last two years, seem really important to be taken into account in a prospective international instrument about pandemic preparedness and response. Signatories should: - strengthen territorial medicine, investing resources in MDs training and organizational capacities - strengthen non-pharmaceutical approaches to healthcare in terms of education and information cam-paings that should not provide taken-for-granted solutions or recipes for a healty lifestyle but, rather, in-crease the general awareness about the key issues, the open debates, the main topics that can impact in-dividual choices about personal lifestyles - keep pharmaceutical producers fully accountable in front of the public, avoid secret contracts and deals for publicly funded productions and distributions of any kind - protect the freedom of speech at all levels as a necessary way to protect a truly scientific debate, avoid censorship and the confusion between scientific arguments and "scientific beliefs". A truly scientific ap-proach does not admit any authoritarian argument and in front of existential threats we all desperately need to fully exploit the epistemological potential of truly scientific approaches. - promote Post Normal Science approaches, also in terms of the selection of "experts" and "specialists" in-volved in decision-making processes, in all phases of the crisis management. - protect individual freedom of choice in health issues. - Give priority to caution/prudence in the risk/benefit analyses of any measure proposed to tackle any as-pect of the pandemic crisis.
retired	We live in a country where there is democracy & our leaders are elected by the people for the people. They may have forgotten that at this time, but will be reminded of it in the very near future by God Almighty Himself! So to think that you can add to this level of control that they think they have & want to implement a global structure of control is even further misunderstanding of what democracy is let alone the role of elected officials which are to SERVE THE PEOPLE who put them in those roles. Please listen & return to RIGHTEOUSNESS & JUSTICE! before it's too late for you!
Teacher	We live in a democracy and have voted for our representatives to set our rules and to govern our country and I do not vote for the WHO to make blanket rules regarding my health. A full investigation that 'follows the money' during the last pandemic is imperitive. Lets investigate who make the billions out of this global vacination program and how they are connected to the WHO I did not vote for the WHO to make decisions regarding my health and many people in England I am sure would be the same. Lets have a referendum about it so that every one is informed and this crafty secretive change in our law is exposed.
None	We live in a democracy where individuals can hold locally elected representatives to account for the actions it takes. We live in a society where our expectations are respected and our livelihoods are respected. Nations need to be accountable to their citizens and unelected global bodies funded by Pharma companies should never have authority to manipulate society to feed the Pharma supply chain. Your organization is not impartial to the control of the wealthy companies and you are not to be trusted to make impartial decisions.
P J Hutchinson and Associates	We live in a diverse world with diverse populations each with vastly different demographic and health profiles and with diffent religious and spiritual beliefs therefore it is essential that any treaty allows all individual nations and states to retain full autonomy and thus make decisions which best reflect their own particular population's needs. It is also essential that the people of the world be able to maintain autonomy over their own bodies so any regluations need to be voluntary not mandatory and all people should be given the right to vote in their own regions on whether they wish to adopt the proposed insturment. Finally there needs to be absolute financial transparency to guard against the undue influence of vested interests which have been so aparent in the COVID responses globally.
Private citizen	We must allow individual governments to retain control of what health measures they implement in times of crisis or pandemic. It is imperative that political leaders be accountable to the people that elected them for any and all decisions they make. The WHO should therefore not seek to subvert the democratic processes of individual countries by obtaining controls over health policy. Centralised control never serves the ordinary citizens and should be rejected.
US Citizen	We must always have the abilities as follows; 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
J. Michelle Salon	We must consider and protect the individuals rights and responsibility to govern his or her own health , well being, and autonomous pursuit of health. Laws obstructing individual or national freedoms concerning the right to make informed decisions and forced medical procedures are unconstitutional and in humane. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Laws should never be put in place which would ultimately allow for profit or any religious or consensus ideology to rule over human rights.
Private and free citizen	We must firmly reject any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that may be drawn up by the WHO. National and local leaders should retain full autonomy over such policies; reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Considering all of the lies, manipulations, and conflicts of interest between the captured institutions like WHO and CDC, the power elite, WEF, and world governments, the last thing needed is more global policymaking. Judging by every "pandemic" leading up to and including Covid, it has been demonstrated time and again that the only "official" objective has been to enrich big pharma, control the masses by instilling fear, and to implement a new global order. Instead, the WHO should return to the basics of researching measures that actually improve public health instead of promoting useless masking, damaging lockdowns, new drugs, and "vaccines" that don't work.
Privat	We must have national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I do not wish this treaty.
Maavarim	We must have TRUTHFUL reporting from our media and health professionals. There is no longer any credibility among these people presently. They have continually suppressed important information, such as alternative early treatments, and adverse reactions to the vaccine. In other words INFORMED CONSENT! We know they lied to get the EUA. We will NOT fall for theses deceptions in the future. DO NOT LIE TO SELL VACCINES!
none	We must not give up our nation's sovereignty regarding health issues to outside organizations. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Human being	We must retain sovereignty over our bodies and country. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Georgetown University; O'Neill Institute for National and Global Health Law; C	We must reward the faith of those looking to our community for solutions that will meaningfully improve health outcomes. Beyond mere declarations, we need an instrument that contains the right mechanisms, assigned to the most credible institutions, with the appropriate incentive structures to drive results. Any instrument should incorporate innovative models in global health law and sustainable financing that assert equity, ethics, and human rights at the center of global governance. Gaps in access to therapeutics and vaccines between lower- and higher-income nations are persistent and unjust. A new agreement should be informed by equity models, from Gavi and the PIP Framework to vaccine inventors who waive IP and transfer know-how. We must kindle universal sharing of scientific data and the benefits of life-saving medical resources by creating a new generation of equity prototypes. Communities who have long been left behind must no longer be denied access to successful medical products. Good governance requires transparency, inclusiveness, stewardship, and accountability. Establishing norms of equity, transparency, and accountability are vital. But they can't have impact without fidelity to those norms, which requires compliance incentives and mechanisms. Accountability is possible only with information sharing, and incentivizing truly moral action. Convenings and scholarship from O'Neill and the Foundation for the NIH offer more insight: • Legal Pandemic Preparedness Tools (O'Neill/FNIH): https://freeurlshortener.net/rqH • International Agreement (JAMA): https://freeurlshortener.net/wXs • Financing WHO (Lancet): https://freeurlshortener.net/yM • Local Solutions (Africa Health): https://freeurlshortener.net/sWm
None	We must uphold the principle of informed consent. Informed meaning that everybody shall have full access to any information, in regards to emergency measures. There can be no secret negotiations, no secret contracts, no secret statistics, no secret research results, no secret preliminary results and no secret data or anything like it, regarding emergency measures. Informed further meaning that ongoing studies conducted as emergency response should be continuously fully transparent, to all interested parties, being citizens or journalists. All study results must be immediately available to the public as they are produced. Informed further meaning that the individual is provided full overview over known and suspected side effects of any medication or vaccination, before being administered to the individual. Consent meaning that no people should be coerced into or forced upon any kind of medical treatment or vaccination, for any reason. This is necessary to both prevent unnecessary harm that could have been discovered through wider debate and to ensure public trust in authorities.
Private	We need a national and local leadership to be able to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We should have the ability fir nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We should have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
no organisation.	We need an open and transparent process for all people of the world. So no global agreement! National and local leadership have to retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people !
Privatperson	We need civil rights for all people, self-government for all the countries and people, transparency and everyone should have the choice to choose, what kind of medicine he/she wants to get. We need more naturopathy, like phytotherapy and homeopathy, less chemicals. No influence for the pharmaceutical industry, the companies should not decide anything. Just proposals by INDEPENDENT experts.
private	We need crystal clear definitions to measure data with guidance for a how to. States should be still self-responsibility Everyone has the human right to say no to medical intervention. Especially religious reasons need to be considered Please no green pass or things like that for future.
Private	We need full autonomy to decide what is best for us. We need right to not to agree with your proposal without any consequence. All People must be able to vote and if majority decides against it then so be it. No big pharma companies must be involved.
I AM A FREE HUMAN AND I STAND BY MY UNRESTRICTED FUNDAMENT	We need guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights or legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Non	We need guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, and legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
Citizen	We need guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, Respekt for human rights, and legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies.
Wolf	We need less centralisation and more empowerment to frontline doctors in order that they can try to treat patients rather than be limited by bureaucratic top-heavy structures, which is what happened with covid and may have cost far too many lives. We do not need an international treaty dragging all countries down the same road, that proved disastrous and should be rejected by everyone. The WHO should remain an international advisory organisation, not an authority dictating how countries manage as that would limit local health services responding based on the specifics of location or even public needs. The instrument must be discarded and we return to the previous pandemic preparedness protocols that were set up before covid and discarded for some unknown reason.
self ownership	We need National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We need the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Teacher	We need national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Not one organization or entity souls decide our health outcomes. That's unscientific and could lead to possibly negative outcomes.
N/a	We need national and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We need the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Public	We need no corrupt organisation taking over health matters when we have a GOD and his son Christ who made us
Selfmade	We need no international Instrument of Pandemie preparebness! Every human is free! Stopp harm the children and the democraty! We don't look away! We see whats going on!
Privat	We Need no new Instrument on pandemic prepardness and response. ASK the people
No affiliation	We need our 'elected' state officials making rules/laws for us to live by in any and all pandemic and/or emergency situations; not the federal government and certainly not by unelected officials from a world organization. Respectfully, Kimberly Rodenbaugh
US citizen	We need our national, local leadership and individual FREE citizens to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, selves. The ability of nations, local municipalities and individual persons to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Above is a small portion of what true diversity, inclusion and individuality are all about. Never mind taking the last two years as a complete failure trial run. Which horribly violated most human beings basic individual rights, codes & ethics. With little to no true benefit. I find it very interesting that during the past two years pandemic the countries that didn't agree to relieve big pharma/Gov from any and all liability and responsibility did far better for their citizens. In the areas of, overall safety/health, rights, ethics, codes, science and honesty. This also includes certain US states as well. I wasn't able to find one single mandate, lockdown, state/country that did better than the individual rights respected states/countries. Actually found the complete opposite to be true with a lot less physical, mental and economic damage caused. Thank you & Best regards.
Don't have	We need our own local health policy in the Baltic States !!!
N/A	We need people and communities in control of their own health. Do not enact The World Together, WHO Pandemic Preparedness Agreement. Thank you
Self	We need people and communities in control of their own health. Stop the dictatorship and one world government. We the people want to choose for ourselves.
No Affiliation with an Organization	We need people and communities in control of their own health. Medical interventions that protect some people cause severe harm or death to others. It is important that people have the freedom to make their own health-related decisions.
Mother and grandmother concerns	We need to be allowed to make our own choices not to forced or pushed in any way what so ever this is a disgusting act of injustice to human life and should not be allowed .
I have no organization	We need to be free to choose how we as a Country choose to deal with this pandemic. We are people with a freedom to speak and choose how we respond to diseases. We have made it this far and have not made bad decisions. The only time we went astray was when we listened to outside organizations. WHO has not proven to be a trustworthy source.
Member of the public	We need to be prepared!
citizen	We need to focus on helping people build their immune systems, education, clean food, air and water. A strong immune system can FIGHT whatever comes their way. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans, because then we have no say in what is right or wrong for our health. Health and wellness is not a one size fits all. Please let the US and its citizens make the decisions that they see fit to take care of their bodies and families.

Organization	Written submission
NONE	WE NEED TO FOCUS ON KEEPING PEOPLE HEALTHY AND ALL LIFE ON THIS PLANET SAFE BY BANNING GMOS, PESTICIDES, BIOWARFARE, GAIN OF FUNCTION TESTING , ANIMAL TESTING,EMF RADIATION, ANYTHING TOXCI MUST GO AWAY. ANYTHING THAT HARMS THE ENVIRONMENT OR ANY LIFE ON THIS PLANET MUST BE BANNED. WE NEED TO WORK FOR THE GREATER GOOD . SO MUCH NEEDS TO BE DONE TO CLEAN UP OUR WORLD FOR ALL LIFE ON THIS PLANET. NOBODY WANTS TO BE POISONED BY FOOD,AIR,WATER OR SIDE EFFECTS OF PRESCRIPTION DRUGS. YOU ELIMINATE ALL THE HARM THAT IS DONE TO ALL LIFE ON THIS PLANET BY BANNING EVERY TYPE OF POISON WE NEED HONEST LEADERS WITH INTEGRITY,MORALS AND A CONSCIENCE NOT CORPORATE PUPPETS.. CLEAN IT UP. FORCING PEOPLE TO TAKE EXPERIMENTAL POISON IS UNACCEPTABLE. YOU DO NOT INJECT PEOPLE WITH BIOWEAPONS AND FORCE THEM TO GET THEM BY INFRINGING ON THEIR RIGHTS. YOUR METHODS ARE DEPLORABLE.
None	We need to have a provision that will allow local government to opt out of any recommendations made by the WHO. Any assertion that the WHO, with it's unelected official would have any control over me for example is obsurd. You can not seriously think that a decision made in one part of the world can serve the best interests of people all over the world. The world is so diverse and the fact that this is ever proposed indicated how unprepared the WHO is to deal with issues like this. I look to the WHO's performance and behavior during the COVID-19 pandemic as convincing evidence that the WHO and the people that work there do not have my best interests at heart and I do not want them in any position that would enable them to make decisions that would effect my life.
Health for Humanity	We need to have an individualized approach to health, and maintain health autonomy, from personal choice, to doctors having freedom to treat without persecution. Medical rights are sovereign, and people demand that this human right be maintained as it has through golden ages in our history. An international "treaty" is a dangerous entree into violating human rights, and super ceding the freedoms of free societies. We will not allow the global takeover of our individual rights as humans to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.
General Public	We need to have National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, voted for by the individual person. Reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people of that country, I don't believe one size fits all when it comes to health and wellbeing. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pensioner	We need to have the choice on what medications we feel will be beneficial to our bodies and not be forced to take what we are not happy with any illness is within our bodies and we need to have a choice on how to treat our bodies during a pandemic or otherwise in a way that we feel comfortable with
POP	We need to hold onto our constitutional rights. Our right to medical freedom. Not all people need the same treatments. These decisions should be decided between the patient and the doctor. The government should not be involved with placing blanketed laws on our nations. This has been a tragic few years for multiple reasons and one of those main reasons is the lack of real care from choices made in our government. Our kids have been harmed or towns have been harmed and ourfamilies have been harmed. We do not want to be control By pharmaceutical corporate decisions. People should decide for themselves what is best for their health. Not the WHO
Individual	We need to include: - doctors with right educations. NOT politics who are in many ways lead by big corporations and supported by them - pshylogs and teachers - cure results not experiments like they are doing now - medicine Ivermektin an others
Midwest Public Health Coalition	We need to keep decision making power to respond to any health emergency at a local level. WHO cannot accurately respond to the different needs of all the states and countries, as evidenced by their recommended response to COVID. We witnessed bad decision after bad decision that leaders across the globe enforced, despite scientific information proving they were ineffective and damaging. We have learned from COVID and places that did not follow in lock-step, that local control is essential to saving lives and we need the protection of the US Constitution to keep that response in our control. We have a rising up in the US against the measures that were taken and our government is going to be forced to better represent us and protect our freedom to make our own health decisions. In contrast, we don't have any control over what WHO decides and COVID has shown that kind of surrendering of power causes mass death and destruction. I do not support signing this treaty and forfeiting control over our health decisions to a global decision making body.
New Perspectives	We need to keep health choices as close to home as possible, ie. Country, state. Even county. There is great differences throughout the world when it comes to culture, laws, constitution. " No" to this step of total control!
We the people	We need to keep our power over our health and welfare decisions here in America. WHO treaties that remove U S power makes us victims to the world. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible. All biolabs makeing viruses that jump from animals to humans need to be shut down asap. Then we won't have to deal with so many unnatural creations. We cannot join or follow along. With other country's. We must do what is best for us. United we stand. sincerely. Janet Berens
AHF Global Public Health Institute at the University of Miami	We need to learn not just from the response to the current pandemic, but also from other pandemics, such as HIV. After World War II the WHO was created, now the entire global health architecture needs restructure. 1) The new international instrument needs to include pandemic prevention, not just preparedness and response. 2) A stronger fully funded WHO needs to include full transparency as a starter, capable of avoiding political interferences from member states. WHO needs to be profoundly reformed from its foundations. Not just member states at the WHA, but meaningful engagement of communities, civil society, scientists, independent public health experts and other relevant non-state actors. 3) Accountability with clear compliance mechanisms and full transparency on sharing immediate epidemiological data 4) Redefine the role of all global health players involved, not just WHO but IMF, WB, GF, WTO and other relevant bodies. 5) Define the concept of global public health goods. Under current pandemic, inequity started with facemasks, then test kits, lab reagents, ventilators, now vaccines and highly effective drugs. These goods need to be patent free, tariffs free, tax free and affordable to all countries. 6) Genomic sequencing must be the standard for epidemiological surveillance globally, including all developing countries 7) The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, TB and Malaria, should be the financial mechanism to support developing countries to prevent and prepare for other pandemics. The GF is the most experienced and transparent global institution on providing financial incentives and negotiating co-investments in health with developing countries.
None	We need to protect the rights off the people in every single country! Granted sovereignty of each country and its citizen!!! Granted civil rights protection. It's the highest right and necessity of our democracies!!! We need flexibilities in acting when needed! no socialism acts globally!!! Every country hast to act in the best interest individual for its people!
N/A	We need to stop relying on the faulty PCR test that is being used to create the illusion of a pandemic and pass all sorts of tyrannical regulatory measures that restrict the lives of average people all over the world. The last 2 years have been full of lies and purposeful "mistakes". The entire vaccine roll out was based on lies and countries were threatened and coerced into these insane contracts with Pfizer. None of the vaccine manufacturers are liable for any of the damages. We are being led down a road full of lies and are destroying our planet over "cases" that don't lead to hospitalization or death. If we don't stop now all of the values we cherished as a race like freedom and dignity will be lost.
Independent	We need to totally get rid of the W. H .O , CDC, , and any other corrupt organization, immediately
Citizen of uk	We need to watch what the politicians and authorities do ,because they are not correct and dont know what they are doing ,most of the time
University of San Diego	We need true international debate and open communication. The centralized medical authorities (Who, CDC, NIH) did more damage and were wrong about nearly every position they held. The economic damage and death of most the most vulnerable populations could have been avoided if these matters were subject to open debate. For example the Great Barrington declaration asserted in October of 2020 purposed taking care of the elderly and people with comorbidities who were at most risk. They were correct. However they were smeered and censored instead. And now, lead medical authorities are saying exactly what the GBD advocated 2 years ago. Stop this centralized, one size fits all medical solution and allow open scientific debate.
Privit	We no need this instruments, I sat NO. NO. NO
Vallebona BROKERS	We object that such an agreement will not serve the people but just big Pharma. Why don't you make compulsory for Pharma Business to release all the data about any drug before being approved for human use.
Retired	We object to any "treaty" that omits the following essential points: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process must be honored with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Restrictions must be in place on the influence by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Third World Alliance - News Torrent	We object to the framing of this survey, we do not consent to WHO organizing a global pandemic preparedness treaty. WHO is not a democratic organization, it caters to priorities of multinational pharmaceutical and vaccine companies. Any global treaty would need absolute tranparency in the science behind pandemic issues. It would need to guarantee local communities being able to opt out of WHO initiated responses, and instead have the ability to adopt measures best suited to their own local communities. A 'one-size-fits-all' approach foisted from above, as was done during the covid pandemic, is definitely unacceptable. It would need to be unconditionally democratic, with massive input from citizens and led by grassroots community groups, guaranteeing Zero corporate influence. It would need conducting and sharing of copious research from independent parties, with no conflicts of interest, assessing all the myriad social justice, gender, economic, indigenous, mental health, racial, migrant, environmental implications of any and all options on the table. We also demand detailed and complete documentation of all ties, connections, investments, employment history, and other possible conflicts of interest of all WHO staff and everyone else involved in crafting this treaty.
Katchmar Family	We object to the proposed plan. Our contents are: 1. WHO's performance over the past pandemic has been anemic and inconsistent. WHO treaties that remove power from Canada are dangerous to the health and welfare of Canadians. 2. Above all, the WHO has not utilized expertise in the past which appropriately represents views which foster appropriate debate to many sides of issues. For example, WHO had discounted early treatment of Covid 19 and ignored a plethora of well-written studies on HCQ and Ivermectin which were peer-reviewed and whose use could have save many lives. 3. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO must not have power over the health decisions.
The people of the United Kingdom	We object to the WHO dictating the actions we the people of the United Kingdom must take in relation to this "pandemic". ONLY our government, if the people agree, can bring in new orders. We do not recognize the WHO authority and object to their dictatorship. The WHO have no say what goes on in each individual country.
Self	We oppose any restrictions imposed upon us by any government agencies regarding the necessary preparedness for any pandemic or the likes. We reserve the right to make consented choices for us and our families. Government is there to aid and help us accomplish our plans and goals not to force or impose any laws upon our health or the health of our families or our well being. We as sovereign people shall decide what's best for us in any case or situation no matter how dire the circumstances.
Kalush in Ukraine	We oppose takeover our health policies by WHO, every region and nation has unique needs and is to be treated as such. WHO is there is recommend and research and not enslave and restrict local health policies. STOP THE POWER GRAB, please for the love of humanity and God!
Plant a Seed	We oppose the World Health Organization's (WHO) move to implement a power grab in the form of a global pandemic agreement, while the world's attention is diverted by the latest crisis.The proposed WHO agreement is unnecessary, and is a threat to sovereignty and inalienable rights. It increases the WHO's suffocating power to declare unjustified pandemics, impose dehumanizing lockdowns, and enforce expensive, unsafe, and ineffective treatments against the will of the people.We, the people, have a right to participate in any agreement that affects our lives, livelihoods, and well-being. However, the WHO has not engaged in a process of public participation, which is evidence that its priority is capturing more power for itself and its corporate accomplices, than serving the interests of the people. Without an unbiased democratic process, any agreement by the WHO, acting via the United Nations, will be unlawful, illegitimate, and invalid. Historically, the WHO leadership has failed the people. Among many examples, it approved the injurious H1N1 (swine flu) vaccine for a controversially declared pandemic. Equally, the WHO failed during the Covid-19 chapter as it encouraged lockdowns, suppressed early preventive treatments, and recommended product interventions that have proven to be neither safe nor effective. The WHO cannot be allowed to control the world's health agenda, nor enforce biosurveillance. While it receives funding from public sources belonging to the people, it is caught in a perpetual conflict of interest because it also receives substantial funding from private interests that use their contributions to influence and profit from WHO decisions and mandates. For example, the Gates Foundation.
Santa Clara Group	We oppose this treaty unless it includes, at a minimum, these protections: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Children's Health Defense	We oppose to this treaty since it powers over sovereignty of people and governments. Each country and its people have the right to make right decision based on their social, economic, health policies.
community group	We oppose WHO's attempt to create an authoritarian regime with respect to pandemics. The WHO lacks public input, it lacks public support, and it lacks the authority to impose such a regime. We appreciate the WHO's efforts to inform the public, but it is not a government and it should not attempt to become one in what appears to be a clandestine manner. Stop expanding your important portfolio and do the work you are asked to do. If the WHO cannot do this, it should be abolished.
Citizen	We prefer to handle all health issues locally. Stop trying to issue WHO pandemic Preparedness and Recovery for the globe. Each area has unique issues that need to be addressed by local health officials and the citizens. Do not offer a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, it is up to Local Government & Citizens
myself	We realized during the COVID-19 pandemic that different countries, governmental bodies trying out different solutions taught us that one solution from the top would have prevented the world from learning about those different solutions that work better. What if WHO is wrong in its mandates to everyone? That would be a huge disaster in itself. WHO should never have that much power to make mistakes! Thank you.
Medics For Faith Association	We recommend that the new international instrument for pandemic preparedness and response appreciate the fact that there is diversity/ differences in key treatment regimen (namely pharmaceuticals and protocols) that can or are adopted by various regions and nations of the world. These differences infer that each nation is uniquely endowed with peculiar resources and knowledge that her leaders and people are best suited to know what health care decisions are best and most feasible for her at any time. Such differences enrich scientific knowledge with more pandemic -containing strategies, rather than one algorithm which may be insusceptible in one nation and effective in another. Nations should therefore be given full autonomy to innovate, manufacture, market, supply and regulate pharmaceuticals tailored to that region's resources, healthcare needs and economy. Safety and efficacy studies of pharmaceutical innovations should be carried out in the countries of origin, while legally retaining their intellectual property and patents in a protected manner independent of influence from global healthcare profiteers as well as international pharmaceutical giants. Similarly, research and clinical studies on off-label usage of unique pharmaceuticals against infectious disease of endemic scale can be carried out on national basis, and data generated used draw up treatment protocols that are unique to that nation. In both cases, the opinion of ends users of pharmaceuticals should be considered through a free and fair voting process that allows them to decide whether to be for or against all, or part of the treaty.
Kiwi	We recommend to stop this process immediately. NZ wants to retain their right to sovereignty. This is one step too far and we as a people do not see anything good coming from this.
Humans against lizards	We recon WHO is most useless organizations on planet Earth founded by Gates family exactly people which created all the viruses in the last century AIDS Ebola ect.and they sponsored WHO UNISEF and so on Gates were promoting vaccine as a population control tool how can you do that by making females infertile by jabbing them with Gates vaccine whatever you penpushers created only damaged humans plus animals involved in experiment WHO should be shut down immediately and head of organization should sit in prison
New world Orphan	We refuse to submit to de humanisation. We refuse to be your slaves. You will be held responsible for your crimes against humanity.
NOR sier NEI	WE represent a Facebook group: NOR sier NEI of 4.321 members. WHO ask the question: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" WE want Norway - and all other nations - to stay free sovereign and independent. WE do not accept any Globalist Organisation to take away any sovereign power from any free nation. The substantive element that WE think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is to secure that no power is to be transferred from any nation to any international institution in this matter. WE expect the WHO to discuss this, and WE have sent a letter on April 9th 2022 to the Crown Prince and the Prime Minister of Norway - and the Director-General of WHO to address this concerns. WE hope to receive an answer to our letter on April 9th, sent to WHO Office at the United Nations, United States of America - as soon as possible. Best regards, Berge Ø. Guderud, for NOR sier NEI
Maria Derejczyk	We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
Dental office	We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies, and with the implementers of these activities by the WHO, be public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
Myself	We request that it is ultimately in the hands of the sick person to decide on the choice of treatment or prevention, without any consequences.
Osoba prywatna	We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.
Private	We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.
Wolny człowiek	We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.
Alina Kowalewska	We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.
PRIV	We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.
Polish citizen	We request that terms "high mortality in all age groups" and "severe course" are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through offici
UJ Cracow University	reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
UNIQUE of LONDON KB	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Polska	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Private person	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on th
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
---	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Human being	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
None	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on th

Organization	Written submission
Polska	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
uiduhhdihld	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Indigenous peoples	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Stowarzyszenie Medycy Nadziei	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Interpreter	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.
Super	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Free Human	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
resident of the european union	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics
Individual	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
WHO	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Citizen	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Private person	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
None	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
The Truth	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
-	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Klub Przyjaciol Ludzkuego Życia	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Polish silesian Association	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Private	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.

Organization	Written submission
Prive	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stop Nop	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou We want freedom of choice! We say No for global dictatorship!
	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Wolność	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.
Wolni Ludzie	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Poland	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state medicine.
Rodzina	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
You	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
You	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Poland	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
Polskie stowarzyszenie wolne podkarpacie	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Wolni Uczniowie i Studenci	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural
Wolni uczniowie	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
STOP NOP	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
osoba prywatna	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Justyna Socha	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Osoba fizyczna	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.

Organization	Written submission
who	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official start
WHO	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural
Wir	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Doctor	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Narodowy Fundusz Zdrowia	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Stop NOP	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Human	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Freedom	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official
N/a	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
None	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Personal	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered by making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about it.
	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
None	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
None	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Wolna Polska	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.

Organization	Written submission
Nie	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
No organization	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media.
private person	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
PL	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Myself	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Ogólnopolskie Stowarzyszenie Wiedzy o Szczepieniach STOP NOP	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Polish American	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website)
Natural person	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stopnop	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Poland	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about it.
Parenting freedom	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website)
PGG	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Self	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website)
Poland	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Private citizen without affiliation	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural
	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
None	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.

Organization	Written submission
No	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
none	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Polska	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state mediaabout the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
none	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Non gowerment	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Apf	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
PJJ	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Property	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through
StopNOP	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through.
None	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Na	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through
free	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official statement.
Nauczyciele Dla Wolności	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Wolny Człowiek	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Poland	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Fa Property	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered by making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through
PJJ	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Free people	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.

Organization	Written submission
Private	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
I have no organization	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Weronika	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Polska	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Non	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media
IAS	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
None	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
Person	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Parent	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Free People	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stop nop	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Human	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stop Nop	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Osoba prywatna	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
None	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Polish	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official
Adam	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through

Organization	Written submission
None	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Polish Jews Organization	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
General public	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Private	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Greenpeace	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment. We don't accept WHO pandemic regulation.
Private person	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Free citizen	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Poland	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Obywatel Poland	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural
None	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stop Nop	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Świt Świebodzice	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
None	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.
Wolny Kraj	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Marcos	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Brak	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
NON	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.

Organization	Written submission
	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Citizen of Poland	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural
PSNLIN	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic are. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official statements. WHO funded in 80% by private companies is considered to be corrupt and untrustworthy organisation that has failed again during c19 outbreak exposing money and big-pharma as their main interest.
Poland	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Osoba prywatna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trialu and all possible adverse affects. We request that all conracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on The WHO website). We demand that WHO meetings are made public in reals time, with access to The recordings. We request that The spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Poland	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Polska	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Private Individual	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Member of Polish Society	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Poland	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Glos Rozsadku	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
No org	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through
Private individual	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Person	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
General Public	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.

Organization	Written submission
None	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
suweren	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). Olga Puszczynska z rodu Turieckaja, 13.01.2022 r. Warszawa Polska
Poland	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Poland	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Human	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stop nop	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Tell avolf	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Osoba prywatna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
None	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Private person	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
None	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.
Human	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.
Private	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Stowarzyszenie Nauczycieli	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official
INDIVIDUAL PERSON	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
Person	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou

Organization	Written submission
Osoba prywatna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.
Free	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
private person	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Private	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Private person	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural
Jestem wolnym człowiekiem	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Polish society	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
STOP NOP	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Człowieczeństwo	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
citizen chemist	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Private person	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the to clinical trials and all possible adverse effect. We request that all contracts with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in the real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics
---	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Public person	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Maniek	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Citizen of Poland	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
prywatnie	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
doctor of medicine	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
None	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Sunny Ridge Farm	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics
Obywatel RP	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."

Organization	Written submission
StopNOP	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Stop Nop	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Poland	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Stowarzyszenie Przyjaciół Piaseczna i Okolic	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
PEOPLE	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Wydawnictwo meble.pl	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics. Katarzyna Romanowska
-	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
STOP NOP	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
none	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Polish citizen	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
JERWA	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Poland	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics. mgr inż. Robert Róg
MIŃCZYK	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Provate	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
TU	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
osoba prywatna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Stop Nop	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Stowarzyszenie Gwardia Narodowa	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics I have read and do not agree to the terms of participation.
Ewa Osiakowska	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.

Organization	Written submission
Stop Nop	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failur to complete clinical trials and all possibile adverse effect. We request that all contracts and addendums with the pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicity available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum od WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Instytut Spraw Obywatelskich	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
P	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed
Obywatel UE	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Prywatna osoba	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Polska	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
STOP NOP	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Pan	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics
POLSKA	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics
civilian	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Czestochowski Klucz Pobudki	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou ecommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.
Mr	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Mrs	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Mrs	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
osoba prywatna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Amantadyna dla Polski	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
osoba fizyczna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Poland	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Stowarzyszenie Suwereni	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Individual person	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Free	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.

Organization	Written submission
none	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics
None	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
Gizycko city councilman from Poland	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics. Łukasz Zakrzewski radny Rady Miejskiej w Giżycku Gizycko city councilman from Poland
Rebki	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Obywatel	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.
STOP NOP	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics
Freedom Of Choice	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
none	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Fundacja Nowe Spectrum	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Wataha Głosu Obywatelskiego	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. [19:21] In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Wolny człowiek	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Osoba prywatna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
-	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
no organization, just mother in name of my family	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
People	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
none	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactic
Stowarzyszenie Medycy Nadziei	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media.
STOPNOP	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics
without org	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Polska	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Osoba prywatna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.

Organization	Written submission
Freedom of Speech	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Osoba prywatna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
POLSKA TO MY	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Not applicable	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Not	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Polish People	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics. Andrzej Selanski
The Polish Society of Independent Doctors and Scientists	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Polska	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
StopNop	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Stowarzyszenie Polska to MY	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered in making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Stowarzyszenie Polska to MY	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered in making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
STOP NOP	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics, Malgorzata Kuniszyk
Pl	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures.The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary.Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any CONSEQUENCES. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947).
Malopolski Bunt	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Wolni Jaslo	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Wolni Krosno	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Wolni Jaslo	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Wolni Jaslo	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
istota	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Free man	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
None	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Free KGR	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.

Organization	Written submission
Wolne Jasło	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Wolni Krosno	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Wolne Jasło	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Wolne Jasło	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and considered while making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Nauczyciele dla wolności	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's act
Stowarzyszenie Solidarni 2010	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Polska Jest Jedna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete
panlin	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural prophylactic.
RP	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete cl
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Osoba fizyczna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete cl
PJJ	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete cl
KSD	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete cl
None	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
I'm not in any organisation.	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
No organization	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete
Private person	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
prywatna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
PSNLIN, Sejmik Wolni Ludzie Kraków	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered be making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and adverse effects.
Konfederacja	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
private person	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered before making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.

Organization	Written submission
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered before making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Polska Jest Jedna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Brak	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Dissen	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
None	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Polska	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Brak	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Istota	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Privat	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Independent Person	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Istota	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Istota	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Non	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Brak	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Michal Nowosielski service	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Pomeraniana Medical University	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
Istota	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Stowarzyszenie Nauczyciele dla Wolności i PSNLiN	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Stowarzyszenie Nauczycieli dla Wolności	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's act
None	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary.Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Home	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary.Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Stop nop	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media abou
Osoba prywatna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics

Organization	Written submission
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered be making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Human person	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary.Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about trials failure and possible side effects. We demant that all negotiations, WHO agreements with farmaceutical companies to be accessible and free to review, no secred amendments. Whole process needs to be clear and legal.
Stowarzyszenie Małopolski Bunt	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
private person	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Konfederacja Wolność i Niepodległość	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
osoba prywatna	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
private citizen	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
CitizenGo	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Transport security	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
citizen of country	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered being making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
citizen of country	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered being making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Privat	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
PI	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Grzegorz Godek	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Kombi	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Bambo	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
N/A	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.
Company owner	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
Amantadyna Dla Polski	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).

Organization	Written submission
Szeroka Perspektywa	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
n/a	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
stakeholder	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendatins override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered by making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
none	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Polska	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectru
ordinary citizen	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
none	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Beata	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
none	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Poland	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings.
none	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Szpak	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Prywatne	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Private	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Private person	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics
Prv	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Czlowiek	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics."
Polak	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Private person	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. The final decision on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis, without any consequences, should always belong to the patient. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. The world community must always be informed through official state media about drugs or vaccines undergoing clinical trials and about all possible side effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
None	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics.We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures.The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders.Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary.Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic.We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences.We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations.We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects.We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.

Organization	Written submission
public	in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
none	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemis characteristics. We also demand the cancenllation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemis measures. The pandemis preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoimg clinical trials (medical experminets as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that WHO meeting are made public in real time, with acccess to the recording. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
none	We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemis characteristics. We also demand the cancenllation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemis measures. The pandemis preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoimg clinical trials (medical experminets as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that WHO meeting are made public in real time, with acccess to the recording. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Wolność	course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
Brak	and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti- 9+ 9+ 16 Justyna Socha - #TraktatWHO SK… pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. Udostępnienia: 92 We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO
private person	We request that terms of high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected, and be considered by making WHO's recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
none	We request that terms: "high mortality in all age groups" and "severe course" are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed and respected. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Citizen	We request that the spectrum of WHO activities should include the building of natural immunity and prevention.
Individual	We request that the term high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that the freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected, and considered by making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real-time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics.
Person	We request the following: All technical recommendations and limitations to rights in an emergency shall conform to the requirements set in the Siracusa Principles. No treaty can be binding which confers upon the WHO the power to issue or enforce pandemic guidance which may supplant the nations constitution, written definitions and sovereign legislation. Persecution and censorship of diversity of opinion regarding WHO's "evolving science" is expressly prohibited; free and open discourse shall be protected and encouraged in the public interest to prevent imbalance of power and systematic violations of human rights The centralization of national health data, gene and biotechnology, AI with Big Tech and media, poses an international security threat that must be prevented at all costs. Pre-determination and punishment of misinformation with no written law defining misinformation backed by science and due process, is prohibited by law and punishable. The WHO shall not exaggerate the seriousness of the diagnosis, complicate the treatment, or artificially create alarm situations in response to spurious interests; if found guilty the member states should agree to permanently stop all funding and relationships with the WHO, in the public interest The WHO must immediately declare all yearly funders with full transparency and allow for independent oversight with the ability to immediately remove all conflicts of interest The Member States require WHO agrees to be liable in the event that damages arise from the use of the guidance The final decision in a truly democratic process, should be made by the people rather than the Intergovernmental Negotiating Body which may be widely p
Planet Earth	We request the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you.
Old Paths	We request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials
Corporate Accountability	We respectfully address several issues of great urgency related to the INB process. - Develop strong and comprehensive accountability framework After years since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, the world is still not addressing the crisis as an urgent human-rights emergency. It is alarming how the pharmaceutical and other industries have seemingly added billions to their profits during the pandemic, with concerning trends of tobacco corporations' ownership of pharmaceutical companies to develop and distribute COVID-19 vaccines, potentially legitimizing their voice during the negotiations. - Aline the process with accountability precedents from other UN mechanisms Several strategies implemented in various international mechanisms ensure that: 1) conflicts for industries are disclosed, curtailed, and prevented, 2) industry remains accountable to the people and the planet, 3) the transnational corporations and their proxies are held liable for the harm they cause, with reparation obligations. Taking lessons from the FCTC on conflict of interest (Art. 5.3), liability (Art. 19), participation of nongovernmental and other members of civil society not affiliated with the tobacco industry (preamble & Art. 4.7), and also from the legally binding instrument on business and human rights, can be instrumental in developing a comprehensive accountability infrastructure to protect the pandemic treaty from the long-documented and dangerous trend of corporate capture, and its impacts on lives and livelihoods. Such precedents are built upon accountability principles, which mutatis mutandis should guide the requisite provisions that the INB and WHO must adopt to meaningfully advance people-centered solutions for future crises.
Na	We respectfully request the following elements be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizens	we retain the right to make our own health decisions. We do not surrender this right to any US health minister or the WHO, regardless of any tree that may be signed. We are free.
None	We Say No for Epidemic Constitution! We want to decide in our country Poland independetly about our Heath!
Family	We say NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. WHO should not be telling countries what to do. WHO should be dissolved.
no	We say NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty. Let me decide what to do with my body!
US Citizen	We should absolutely NOT be a part of any treaty which takes the rights of US citizens out of our own hands.
1	We should all be encouraged to look after our own health and given advice on this for those that need it. Given vitamin D advice and supplements to help us.
Citizen	We should be asking why do we need substantive instruments? I am concerned that the decision for an international instrument has been phrased here as a certainty. Has the need been scoped and voted on prior to reaching the point of proposal of the content? Individuals have the right to medical choice and body autonomy. Proposals should always maintain the abilities of local leaders to make decisions based on the needs of the people they serve holding at the centre the right for those people to make protected choices of risk vs benefit decisions for their own health. The influence of big corporations and pharmaceuticals companies must be regulated, information (benefits and side affects) widely shared to gain public trust, never should a sponsored mandate replace the right to informed consent as we have seen in this pandemic and this extends to instruments. Please review carefully who responds and their motivation for the control they wish to exert.
NA	We should have health professionals advising all citizens about the power of diet, sleep and exercise. Fruit, vegetables should make up the larger portion of human's diet. No mention of this EVER by any leader or professional. No mention of true health protocols. That should be FRONT AND CENTER. Also, liquor stores being essential businesses and staying open is suspicious. We need MAINSTREAM HEALTH ADVICE in this country. Also, all the mask and plastic cover protective materials used need a specific recycling system. As a planet how are we dealing with that? Terribly irresponsible!
Local government	We should never again allow these unlawful lockdowns, forced vaccines and certificates for travel and entry to premises.
self	We should not be allowing ANY foreign body or nation, including the WHO, the UN, or any individual have any control over what Americans do with their health or their bodies. No individual or entity has the authority to override our Constitution regardless of any virus, war, or existential threat. Our sovereignty must come first. The covid pandemic showed how irresponsible and overbearing public health authorities are and the WHO made terrible mistakes and gave scores of incorrect advice throughout the pandemic, which is now a common flu at best. One size fits all medical procedures of any kind does not solve anything and puts thousands at risk of unforeseen side effects. In the event of a real thereat, sovereign nations should access the best practices for their own country, not the WHO or the UN. There is never a reason to allow a body other than our own elected representatives to make informed decisions relevant to our own needs as a nation. There is NEVER a reason to overthrow our Constitutional and trample our rights, especially 1A and 2A, ever again. The American people as a whole will not stand for this. Thank you.
Member of Public	We should not be having an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response at all. Each individual country should have the right and ability to listen to their own experts and decide for themselves, with their population, what is the best response for the citizens.
EU citizen	We should not have a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response at all. You are exceeding powers and violating fundamental humans rights by restricting traveling with a vaccine passport and QR code. You are an advisory organ and should only act as such. Never should WHO have permission to overrule national government based on threats from viruses, that we used to know as seasonally flus before you, The WHO changed the definition of both pandemics and immunity paving the way for only one solution - big pharma's vaccines. This is insane - and has nothing to do with health. I really hope, that you who are reading this, still has a human heart and care for the freedom of humanity, cause then you would know that this is so wrong - and hopefully feel obliged to take action for the sake of future generation
None	We should not have any international instrument handling a pandemic. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence needs to be upheld. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. An international instrument is not the best answer.
Family	We should not have any international instrument handling a pandemic. Each National and Local leadership should have full autonomy to make decisions on what is best for their people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt of any portion of an an agreement as they see fit, without consequences, needs to be upheld. We need an open and transparent process for all people of the world to vote on including a fail safe measure that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of people do not want it. We need measure that do not allow influence by any pharmaceutical companies or other global heath profiteers.
US Citizen	We should not have any international instrument handling a pandemic. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own citizens. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence needs to be upheld. We need an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We Need measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. An international instrument is not the answer.
Citizen of the USA	We should not have any international instrument handling the pandemic.
Private citizen	We should not have politicized the public health response to SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19, but here we are. That is what has been done by the followers of the World Economic Forum party line. Regarding the genetic COVID vaccines, the science is settled. They are not working to prevent infection, replication, and spread of Omicron, and they are not completely safe. These vaccines were designed for a different virus, the Wuhan strain. Whether they made sense for protecting our elderly and frail from the original virus is irrelevant. So let's stop arguing about that. We must look forward. These genetic vaccines do not prevent Omicron infection, viral replication, or spread to others. In our daily lives, with our friends, with our families, we all know that this is true. Data from all over the world indicates that the triple vaccinated may be at increased risk for infection, disease and death from Omicron. These genetic treatments are leaky, have poor durability, and even if every man, woman, and child in the United States were vaccinated, these treatments cannot achieve herd immunity and stop COVID. They are not completely safe, and the full nature of the risks remain unknown.
Individual	We should not put the sovereignty of each country's public health in this one organisation's hands. It is not prudent to have one organisation stating what all countries should do in any circumstances. It will be very dangerous to have the WHO in charge of a global response allowing them to have control of all citizens health care and issuing mandates. The people do not want this and can see the damage this will do, so surely governments can too.
None	We should not sign a worldwide pandemic treaty. All countries should be responsible for their own health care decisions.
None	We should retain our individual sovereignty. Our individual Human rights must continue to be respected. We should have legal liability for pharmaceutical companies, government officials etc. We do not want or need Digital ID. We do not need compulsory vaccines or medical treatments. We need individual countries making decisions for themselves. One size does not fit all.
Privat	We stay for freedom
private citizen	We strong despite the right of WHO to impose pandemic restrictions across nations. Country governmens only must have this power.
Farmington Elementary Schools	We strongly feel that no medical procedures, vaccines, lock downs, or any measures of the sort should be made mandatory or even encouraged in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. It is a basic human right to determine one's own medical care and health and this is what we want: the freedom to make all our own medical decisions.
Shear household	We strongly oppose the US signing this proposed treaty, as it would allow an unelected third party, the WHO, to dictate health policy and decisions to US citizens without their consent. We do support international cooperation and information sharing regarding health situations such as the Covid pandemic, but NO organization outside the US should have any ability to tell us how to deal with a health crisis. If there had been international cooperation and information sharing at the highest levels at the start of this pandemic, using early treatment of covid using pre-purposed drugs and supplements could have crushed the pandemic in it's early stages and hundreds of thousands of lives could have been saved. Information like the success in India's Uttar Pradesh using repurposed drugs were censored, as were success stories in Mexico City and elsewhere. However, the covid cabal formed, and WHO seems to be a part of that cabal, and a one-size-fits all approach of mass vaccination was pushed on the entire world; the current data shows that it didn't work, doesn't work, and will never work. The US cannot let WHO or any other organization outside our borders dictate how and when we respond to any health emergency.
Petition Group Foundation	We strongly protest against the proposed International Pandemic Treaty. It needs to be scrapped in its entirety.
Independance for People	We support future sharing of truthful information only. We must make our own decisions. Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people. Health decisions must be made only by the people. We welcome helpful sharing information but reject interference by an outside organization. The health rights of the world's citizens must not be reduced by self-serving bureaucrats.
Sacred Medical Order	We support the following: the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Private	We the awake people off the world off sovereign nations don't need a pandemic preparedness and response plan. Why should you be afraid of an flu type corona virus with a IFR off 0,25 procent? It is a normal course of live 98,5% off the population has not any problems with this resportery virus. Why should a non government organization who is funded by a so called filantropist with no medical background dicide when there is a worldwide pandamic or not. The WHO is a corrupt N.G.O who has conections with big pharma. Hopefully there are stil people working there who are not corrupted. I am a sovereign human being with full body intergraty. We don't need centrilazed world control,we need decentralization. No more globalism we are not dumb we see thru your plans. Why has the WHO changed the definition off a pandamic back in 2009? So no no no to this pandemic preparedness and response plan. The big awakening will not be stopped, we will never let you globalists enslave us and put us in a nother lockdown. Never never.
Dan Moylan landscaping	we the free people of the USA do not want an international pandemic response treaty with the World Health organization.
Free the people	We the general public do not want digital ID or passports, chips in hands or anything remotely similar as this is against human rights and ethics. People do not need to be dictated to as we are quite capable of running our own lives. We pay our taxes and most are good citizens. This idea of yours is totally out of control and absurd for the reason being that nobody wants it or agrees to it. We do not want any form of passport to carry on living our lives.
None	We the IRISH people will look after our own people. We the sovereign people of this land will make our own policy in respect of any emergency that may arise in the future. We do not want any help from the likes of the WHA.
n/a	We the people are fed up of your corrupt interference. Implement this instrument at your own peril. You will be held accountable. Good luck!
Djambass Arts	We the people are the only legitimate authority over our lives and world. Not the WHO, nor any other organisation should seek to take authority over the We the People in the UK, nor anywhere in the world. We are able to manage our own affairs whether or not there is a pandemic, war or any other event, or no other event.
Citizen	We the people did not elect those at the WHO to make healthcare decisions for us. We the people are responsible for our own health and will not tolerate unelected officials making these decisions.
Rotterdam	We the people didn t elect the WHO to play boss over the people. A organisation can t decide for the whole world. The masks, lockdown and vaccine didn t work. The people don t give consent. Therefor you are not allowed to tell us what to do. Also you changed the definitief of the word pandamic so you can lock us down. No we dont want it, no consent given. If you try, we going to lock you all up. Enough

Organization	Written submission
Free Soul living in the USA	We the people do not agree to the WHO world treaty involving decisions made for free souls. We do not forfeit our rights and liberties to the WHO to make choices about our personal healthcare, and the healthcare of our children. We the people maintain our rights in the pursuit of life, liberty and Happiness, including the right to work at will and grow our own food as desired. Any treaty involving We The People must include guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years.
	We the people do not agree to the WOK world treaty making decisions for us regarding our personal healthcare
Humanity.org	We the people do not consent. Humanity is watching.
Team Humanity	We the people do not want a one world government nor a oneworld response to any future pandemics. I'd prefer that: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Let's keep this at the local level and let the citizens of that area decide what is best for them.
Private	We the people do NOT want or approve of this treaty !! STOP THE TREATY !!!!
N/A	WE The People DO NOT WANT OR NEED FOR THE UN TO CONTROL THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE.
Chd	We The People do not wish for a global preparedness on a pandemic or anything else. We ask that you not do these things in secrecy, but learn to be open, honest, and live life with integrity. We prefer our own rights and critical thinking. You have no authority over our autonomy, lives, nor property. You have no authority over me as I prefer to serve God. Each of us are created in the image and likeness of God. Please contemplate your actions, greed, and sins and ask for forgiveness and right your wrongs. We love you all… remember 'teach only love', not fear.
None	We the people elect or government. We should not allow anyone other than elected officials to make constitutionally appropriate laws for us. I reject any governing by any other than those elected under our constitution.
an independent researcher	We the people expect that 1. Every time people vote for a president, MP, or a parliamentarian, they vote to prolong or cancel the treaty, i.e.on relegating some of the power of national governments to WHO. 2. WHO organizes an open discussion of the strategy assumed every month during a pandemic, and within a month after declaring the end of the pandemic. The representatives of the public will be selected from organizations that gained sufficient public trust as measured by excess sale of products these trusted doctors advise on during the previous pandemic (FLCCC, CCCA, BIRD, Dr Bodnar, Dr Zelenko, DR Lawrie, Dr Marik, Dr Korry, Dr Malone, and such doctors. The public generates 50 questions for the discussion. The discussion after Covid-19 pandemic must be the first step to establish the credibility of WHO. Such debates need to be broadcast by national media in every participating country. 3. Should a WHO official be found lieing, withholding data, in undeclared conflict of interest, the treaty is terminated. 4. On completion of their duty, WHO officials cannot world for big pharma for 10 years. 5. All public hearings and debates must be announced at least 2 weeks ahead of the time of the event.
Natural Health	We the people feel like we should stand for our medical health freedom of choices and stop The WHO to love propaganda: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion and choices of medical therapies. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. We want our Sovereignty, Legal right constitution, our health issues in our hands, against vaccines, and gene therapy experiments.
private	We the people have the right to decide about our health and have a choice our body autonomy. I say NO to WHO make decision and force people to take experimental treatment or using methods against human rights for us to say and choice. We have right to our freedom of choice.
USA	We The People in America do not wish to participate.
Discovery English Kids	We the people in Hong Kong do not want any treaties formed by unelected bodies.
American Citizen	We the people in the United States do not want any part of a global pandemic treaty. It would be detrimental to the individual freedoms of Americans and go completely against the Constitution of the United States. As we have seen in the last two years, just in the US alone, lockdowns, closures, mandates, etc., have done no good against a virus that needs to be handled by using other measures. Giving individuals freedom to take care of themselves, making available early treatments and allowing the patient and the doctor the decision on what the best healthcare that person would need. There is absolutely no reason for a global treaty to handle this. Each individual country should be able to decide what's best for its people. The US has the constitution and We the People are against anything that would suppress our individual freedoms. We do not need a government to take care of us.
Home	We the people is who you work for. It is our voices , our truth's that should take priority . Not any political standings.
Self	We the people need National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. We need The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. We desire An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. And lastly Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Free USA	We the people of America do not agree to any sort of medical passport. We are a country of freedoms and have in place Hippa laws that protect our privacy. You are not in charge of America and never will be. This administration can join you all they want but the people will rise up against this!
holyground ministries	WE THE PEOPLE OF AUSTRALIA WOULD SAY NO MORE MANDATES FOR THESE UNTESTED VAX THAT IS CAUSING MASS SICKNESS AND DISABILITYS AND DEATH , DESROYING FAMILYS AROUND THE WORLD , WE ARE NOT ANTI VAX PEOPLE BUT NEVER BEFORE IN THE HISTORY OF VAX HAVE RECORDED SO MUCH HARM FROM THESE INJECTIONS , THE PEOPLE OF THE WORLD ARE WAKING UP AND SAYING WE HAVE HAD ENOUGH , AND STAND IN PEACE AND SAY NO MORE ,
Freedom2Choose	We the people of many countries reject your instrument on pandemic preparedness or response because we all wish to remain sovereign in our own nations and in our own bodily autonomy. Instead, we want you all brought to a fair international court where you must scientifically justify your abysmal harmful covid response. We are united in our desire to govern ourselves through elected bodies not through your medical tyranny. We do not consent! Never again shall the corrupt WHO control the globe!
Citizen of USA	We the people of the United States have our own constitution and are not subject to your rules. There is no need for WHO to determine our medical needs at any time. The WHO and its controllers are not doing this from a place of honesty. It is unacceptable and unenforceable. It affects our sovereinty and inalienable rights giving your organization total control over the people and bypassing our constitution. Stop this immediately. It is illegal. If the last pandemic is proof, the WHO fails at organizing and taking payments from interested groups clearly indicates lack of nonpartisanship. It is NOT in our best interest.
self	We the People of the United States of America, are self-governed as a Republic and do not want and will not follow the laws of an international organization. This is an infringement upon our freedom as a sovereign nation. We the People, strongly urge the USA NOT to sign a treaty taking our medical liberties away. This would be a BAD and DISASTROUS move for the USA.
none	We The People of The Untied States of America do not want The Who, or any other organization telling us what we can and cannot do, whether for a health situation, or any other mass marketed planed mandate. Heath equity is allowing each country, and state, to determine whats best for them, Not some global organization who is heavily influenced by the giant drug makers and politicians. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their own health.
WHO	We the people of the USA and the entire world are sick of this medical tyranny. We know what the real agenda is and this "pandemic" is being used to take all of our rights away. NO ONE voted for the WHO to "Rule" over us and anyone that was elected still does not rule over us. Enough with this BS. We are spiritual beings that have our own rights given to us by the creator and not any of you. So in the case of another "pandemic" leave us alone and stop restricting medications that can help us and stop pretending that you care and taking away our rights.
None	We the people of the world were not asked by the WHO whether or not we believe a global agreement is necessary.
We The People	We The People out of Evanston, WY propose that all decisions regarding pandemics remain local. There is much wisdom in keeping the levers of decision making local. Many unforeseen consequences and problems arise when decisions are made in faraway locations. We ask that any pandemic preparedness and response be kept within our local governments, organizations, and churches. We feel more than capable to ascertain the best course of action necessary to help people through pandemics. We could use resources provided from central locations, but the decision on how to use them and in what way should be left to local entities. This approach will ensure the best, safest response. Thank you.
Self employed American	We the people reserve our rights to make decisions in what is best for us by National and local leadership retaining full autonomy Nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and or all portions of the agreement as they deem fit without repercussions The ability for all peoples of all nations to vote with open and transparent process to failsafe measures that will prevent the application of global agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it Measures set in place that no longer allow an influence in the process of any and or all pharmaceutical companies or any other world wide health profiteers!!
Virginians for Children first	We the people reserve the right to tell you to back off! You have no right working with globalists to infringe on any God given right. How about in the future you keep to yourself.
Ethan's Abundance	We the people see through your lies and illusions, and will not tolerate unethical behavior. Do the right thing. You are accountable for the fraud being perpetuated.
Public	We the people should at least have the right to vote on this! It is huge! It is signing our Sovereignty over to a foreign body! Most of the people of our country do not even know this is happening so it should have been well publicised before now and should be voted on! Our veterans fought and died for the freedoms of this country and now it is being signed away to an unelected foreign body without even a discussion with the British people! This is quite outrageous and totally unacceptable!
Individual	We the people utterly disagree with the worl health organization making any preparation for another pandemic. We know it was you all who were in lockstep together controlling world leaders to oppress us citizens. Your game is rigged and we all know it. Shut down the WHO and let us live free. We will achieve this regardless of what you try to do.
private individual	We The People vociferously object to this organization attempting to usurp our sovereign authority regarding our and our children's and other family member's personal medical decisions. If this group attempts to mandate vaccination or any other mandatory medical treatments, it will be met with as much force as necessary to counteract and overturn such tyranny.
Constitutionalists	We the people will not accept any international plan that infringes on the US Constitution or limits the basic human rights of any of the citizens of the world. Period. Far more of us than you realize see the evil plan to enslave the free citizens of Earth that you and your globalist cronies are trying to pull off, and we will fight this with every fiber of our beings.
We the People	We the People will not stand for WHO treaties that remove power from the US - they are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, especially when an emergency exists. The WHO should NOT have power over the health decisions at all. We agree to NO global treaty. No reset!
Independent	We the people will speak for ourselves. We will take our own action, the government was established to be our voices not dictate what we can and cannot do or say for ourselves.
The people	We the people, have had enough of our basic fundamental human rights being taken from us. Now the WHO wants to remove power from our elected officials and announce a pandemic as it sees fit, by people we have not voted for or elected for there current position. Where has democracy gone when a bunch of unelected people are allowed to set rules over a country they have no connection with . I and many of my fellow citizens do not agree or support this instrument being put forward by the WHO , it should be abolished at once. The WHO have already caused enough damage in the previous two years. Why would we possibly want them to have unlimited powers to cause yet further damage with there undemocratic policies. No no no to this new instrument it is undemocratic and we the people will fight against it with the natural rule of law to preserve what rights we have left . Kind regards Mark Sleney
Programmers United	We think that full sovereign autonomy should be maintained for all nations and regions within nations. No global organization should have the power to dictate policy on a world wide basis. All regions and municipalities should be able to opt out of any agreement or portions of an agreement without fear of reprisal. There needs to be an open and transparent process for populations to vote on any agreement that also includes measures to prevent any application of an agreement in places where a majority of people do not want it. We are worried that pharmaceutical corporations will be involved in setting policies that they will have vested interest in pushing a solution. Public disinformation campaigns in support of these policies are a definite concern.
Protection des droits de citoyens association.	We think that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual Persons	We totally disagree with the proposed Pandemic Response due to the following and would totally want the following to be kept in place: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Lane County GOP	We totally reject a "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response." Throughout this pandemic, the ability to implement varying strategies to combat the SARS-CoV-2 pathogen has proven very valuable. Implementing a single, global approach would be a disaster – especially if that approach is based on WHO's policies of lockdowns, unproven vaccines, suppression of viable early treatments, and ignoring the value of cultivating natural immunity in healthy, low-risk populations such as children. WHO's responses have caused more deaths than they have prevented. The regions that had the best outcomes in combatting the SARS-CoV-2 pathogen used other strategies than those promoted by WHO. Based on our current experience, it would appear that WHO's goals were depopulation and centralization of power rather than eradicating the SARS-CoV-2 pathogen. In the future WHO's pandemic responses must be aimed at supporting the efforts of individual nations, rather than imposing a single template on all nations. Any attempt to install a global pandemic template will be met with extreme resistance in many regions, resulting in a net loss of impact and credibility for WHO. WHO will be most effective when supporting diverse strategies. Given WHO's disastrous record with our most recent pandemic, WHO needs less power, not more. The United States federal government must not surrender our national sovereignty and give WHO power over American citizens.
Obywatel	We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trails(medical experiments as defined by the Norymberg code of 1947).If such the recommendation occurs, the world community must be Informer thought official state media about the failure to complet clinical trials and all possible adverse effects.
Obywatel	We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trails(medical experiments as defined by the Norymberg code of 1947).If such the recommendation occurs, the world community must be Informer thought official state media about the failure to complet clinical trials and all possible adverse effects.
Piotr Polak	We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
-	We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects.
None	We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website). We demand that the WHO meetings are made public in real time, with access to the recordings. We request that the spectrum of WHO's activities should include building up natural immunity and prophylactics. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences.
None	We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects.
Prywatna osoba	We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects.
self and US Military Veteran	We urge the WHO to abandon treaties or plans for universal control of health for all citizens of the world . Furthermore, those treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Every person is sovereign and shall be able to make their own health and medical decisions for themselves and their children even in times of emergency. There should be NO pandemic treaty under any circumstances engaged with the WHO and the United States of America. WHO must not be given any power over US Citizens under any circumstances.
Privat4	We urge: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Private	We v much would like each nation state to be able to make their own decisions regarding health (and everything) for the benefit of their own people. They should be free to make these decisions with no undue influence from pharma or any other like minded body or persons with financial or other interests.
Self	We vote for our United States Constitution to be applied to every future pandemic. We vote for our state constitutions to apply to every future pandemic. Our communities should be able to decide what is best for our communities. There is no "one size fits all" preparation and rules. Each county, city, state and country should have their own preparations and organization of any pandemics. We don't need the WHO or any agency to decide for us.
Who	We wanna be free
Citizen	We want freedom , offer advice and ones whom want it will accept it and ones whom don't won't
	We want sovereignty and not a pandemic treaty. The US does not need WHO!
Citizen	We want soverniy over our nation's medical decisions! We want to be able to opt out of any rules we don't like.
None	We want to be governed by elected officials in our countries, not by supranational bureaucrats! This is totalitarianism!
France	We want to choose for our own body ! No vaccin compulsory. We want our soverainty in our country !
Health Action International	We welcome the opportunity to contribute to the work of the Intergovernmental Negotiating Body. As demonstrated by the rampant inequity in access to COVID-19-related health goods, from PPE to vaccines and therapeutics, it is critical for the international community to reign in IP- based monopolies, boost tech-transfer and ensure sharing of know-how to scale up production and fulfill global demand. Any pandemic preparedness instrument must guarantee the involvement and participation of public interest-oriented civil society and ensure that governments are accountable for their actions and omissions vis-à-vis international commitments. We need this instrument to build on and make use of already existing initiatives, such as the WHO's COVID-19 Technologies Access Pool (C-TAP) and the mRNA tech transfer hub, and for it to explore new possibilities that go beyond current legal and regulatory regimes. While we commend the European Union Member States for being early supporters of these efforts, and the political will shown by other wealthy countries in finding common ground for the promotion and protection of global health, we wish they would extend this goodwill and eagerness to other forums and issues equally relevant in the fight against a pandemic which, unfortunately, is not over yet. Thank you.
self	WE WILL HAVE NONE OF THIS! You are an unelected, self-appointed body. You have no authority over WE THE PEOPLE. We see that you're using this as a takedown of humanity. Klaus Scwabb and his "Great Reset" and his Davos cronies. It's not going to work. Your question, "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" implies that you're fulfilling a valid role. That's not the case. Your evil covid scheme has been revealed. You must stop. If you, who is reading this, have no idea what I'm talking about here are some resources for you to learn about the matrix you're in. If you're in on it, shame on you! Which side of history do you want to be on? They're using liberal empty promises to get the 'useful idiots" to go along with their crimes and reinforce the illegal acts. If you've got information that will help bring this house of card down, go to https://www.projectveritas.com/ BANNED.VIDEO: this is a great resource of videos from whistleblowers, insiders and honest people who have been cancelled by big tech who are doing everything to suppress the truth. CROWD SOURCE THE TRUTH: Holy cow! Talk about a resource. Jason Goodman has many weekly shows. My two favorites is David Hawkins and John Cullen on Fridays. Subscribe to both and connect the dots of their schemes. Lastly, this documentary just came out about covid and the real source. If you still pled ignorance, you have a duty to watch this interview with Dr. Ardis: https://banned.video/watch?id=6254d1c5d776756ed1904817 His documentary: https://www.redvoicemedia.com/2022/04/world-premiere-watch-the-water-full-movie/ BE BRAVE! DO SOMETHING!!
None	We will not at any time, force or coerce any unwanted medication or biological on any person who does not wish it. We will not lockdown or isolate asymptomatic people, especially if they have been tested with a PCR or rat test alone. We will not force mask wearing on members of the population who are not symptomatic. We will abide by the International laws on bodily sovereignty and human rights.
Progress-or limited	We Will NOT Comply . You have NO right to impose your erroneous and authoritarian thinking on our Sovereign Individual Physical Bodies. You have NO right to override the Authority of our Sovereign National Governments. This diabolically ill-considered, authoritarian, 'Instrument' directly Contravenes the 'Principle of Informed Consent' If you pass this instrument, you will be signing the WHO's death warrant. I repeat. We Will NOT Comply.
Freedomfighters	WE WILL NOT COMPLY AND I WILL FIGHT FOR MY FREEDOM LIKE 90% OF THE WORLD.
New U Recovery	We will not surrender our US constitution to the WHO or any other foreign body. We do not need your new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. Stay out of our lives the WHO is corrupt. One person in our country can opt into anything you try to create in order to take our freedom of choice from us God is our authority, not anyone, not anybody, not any company, not anyone got it you will every knee will bow. Quit creating crises that attempts to control the masses, we see you, we know you, and your evil body will not succeed God WINS.
United States of America's Citizens First	We will not take part in this treaty because it is unconstitutional in every way. The Constitution of the United States of American rules the USA & it's people & we will not agree to any treaty, ever, with the WHO.
Galactic federation	We will prevent your anti human behavior.
Governance for Local Communities Global Inc.	We wish that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Personal capacity	'We wish to place on record our official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation has the effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. In Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum. Dr Tedros said that the decision by the WHA to draft a Pandemic Agreement was 'historic'. Such an historically significant WHO Pandemic Treaty requires the democratic approval of the people of Sovereign countries. In this Easter week, Ireland is remembered and venerated for the 1916 Rising of the Irish people to bring about Irish National Sovereignty. We wish to remind the Minister for Health and the Official delegates from Ireland of the words of the 1916 Proclamation declaring the right of the people of Ireland to unfettered control of Irish destinies and, on this, there can be no compromise'
Retired professionals	We wish to provide our input into the discussion about creating a new global pandemic preparedness treaty. We fully agree that sovereign nations must be prepared to effectively handle global disease outbreaks in the future, but we are very concerned that the proposed treaty focuses too much on the treatment response to a health emergency and not on steps that would help individual nations in preventing disease outbreaks. We believe in the old saying, 'an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure". We would like to see a global treaty that supports sovereign nations in developing preventative strategies suited to their own unique social, economic, cultural, and health characteristics. We do not believe that a 'one size fits all' global treaty is the most efficient or effective way to deal with future pandemics. We wish to see a global treaty that supports individual sovereign nations in developing their own unique solutions. A treaty that 'supports' sovereign nations rather than 'directs' sovereign nations seems to be the best mechanism of action.
Myself	We witnessed Big Pharma dictate the response to the last pandemic and it was a COMPLETE failure! No we do not consent to a centralized global health agenda. Individuals deserve to make their own medical choices informed by their doctors. Doctors should be free of pressure from government and big pharma. We need health choice based on health not profit (or political agendas).
None	We would like the following to be included in your pandemic response: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you,

Organization	Written submission
Medics for Faith Association	We would like to respond to the initiative of the WHO conducting its own research on impact of measures between 2021-2024. In the case of the COVID-19 pandemic, we believe that as has been the case, there remains a dearth of information from the sub Saharan region, most importantly at the height of the pandemic, without which proper inferences cannot be made to inform strategy and or policy and for which extrapolated data from diverse populations cannot be used to fill this gap given the documented differences in morbidity and mortality in the region compared to other parts of the world. The low mortality for COVID-19 observed with in the sub Saharan region was despite known deficits in the health system and concurrent burden of other infectious diseases which warrants region specific and country specific data to explore other risk factors such as host related factors. Even in view of the COVID-19 pandemic, on analysis of leading causes of mortality between 2020-2021 in the sub Saharan Region, we still observed leading healthcare issues to be ailments such as malaria, tuberculosis, maternal mortality among others as leading causes of death, whilst COVID-19 had high cure rates. It would therefore be plausible that responses to a pandemic may not be uniform based on epidemiological and economic differences. We therefore submit that the treaty should maintain the sovereignty of independent nations and or regions in determining health priorities based on contextual evidence that will inform response for control and prevention.
NA	we would probably need to consider the impact the last couple of years had on people and re-frame the organization of a response so to include and make sure that: 1. human rights are inalienable. governments should not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. the rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. the right to try is an inalienable right. bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. bodily autonomy must always be respected. the right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be transparent and immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. all human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. all manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. people must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Gov	We, as a free people, do not want you dictating how we should live our lives. This comes in the form of no to vaccine passports of any kind, no to pushing inhumane lockdowns, no to any restrictions of any kinds. Your job is to encourage good science and help those who are developing great things for the human race, it is not your place to tell people how to live. Especially QR code living. No to any passports of any kind.
United States of America	We, as citizens of the United States of America, are very blessed & protected by our Constitution of the United States of America. Therefore, we refuse to sign any, all & every who treaty, because the who has zero jurisdiction over the United States of America's citizens.
Individual	We, as humans, have certain inalienable rights. Governments and bureaucrats have no right to set these aside even in an emergency.. People should be able to vote on whether any lockdown or similar measures of any area occurs. There should be complete transparency about treatments, and accurate statistics available on all disease related information. All research and statistics should completely hold up to the scientific method and any opinions stated should be backed up by facts. Vaccines and treatments should be up to the individual and any diagnosis and treatment should be between the doctor and the individual and kept completely confidential unless agreed otherwise by the individual. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and procedures must be liable for damages.
Human being	We, humans are individuals. We have individual needs. Our bodies react differently to everything. Our individual lives matter. Let humans live freely. Only LOVE matters
Self	We, humans are individuals. We have individual needs. Our bodies react differently to everything. Our individual lives matter. Let humans live freely. Only LOVE matters. Please STOP the treaty
Cakemaker	We, humans are individuals. We have individual needs. Our bodies react differently to everything. Our individual lives matter. Let humans live freely. Only LOVE matters. Do not take our individualism Its our right not yours to take it
Lancet Migration Latin America Node	We, members of the Lancet Migration Latin America node, propose that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should incorporate at its core the principles of equity and respect for human rights. Equity, in the sense that public health measures for pandemic response should not impact some countries or population groups disproportionately. Likewise, pandemic preparedness plans should consider the unintended consequences of public health measures on vulnerable populations such as migrants and others, and include strategies to prevent and palliate those consequences. Additionally, following the principle of "ought implies can", pandemic response should not require individuals, communities or countries to comply with measures for which they not have the resources. During the COVID-19 pandemic, these principles was contravened by lockdown measures, when they were implemented without due consideration to the difficulties that complying with those measures would entail for groups such as in-transit migrants. As for human rights, it should be emphasized that they are overarching norms, that can't be left aside under any circumstances. When balancing the common good and individual autonomy, this has to be done within this universal framework. Within the framework of these two principles, we invite the World Health Organization to draw on the experience of how migrants (including also refugees and asylum seekers, and displaced populations) have been impacted by the social and health consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic and pandemic response. The lessons learned should help lead the way forward to a new international instrument that complies with the mandate of "leaving no one behind".
General Public	We, the Australian people, believe that: - National and local leadership must retain full automy over health decisions, reserving the right to make those decisions based on what is best for their own people, and based on the feedback of the local people. - Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. - An open and transparent process must be retained, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. - Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers must be implemented.
Private citizen	We, the citizens, object to the proposal that the WHO will control all future pandemics. They have already Made a mess of this current one and are in no position to dictate to free citizens as to what to do. Our government's cannot simply sign away our rights without our input and we are strongly opposed to this. WE DO NOT CONSENT
The Great Majority (world public)	We, The Majority - the public of the world - completely reject the efforts of the WHO to usurp our nominally democratically-elected governments. The world does not need the WHO's proposed Intergovernmental Negotiating Body. The world does not need to be locked down again or have the public's human rights trampled on for a mild virus with over a 99% survival rate. We reject your undemocratic, authoritarian overreach. We will never comply. Sincerely, Jason Smith - Australia
Housewife	We, the people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being.
AKD Adviesgroep	We, the people never ask or give authorization to the WHO for meassures during a so called Pandemic. First, a pandemic should be about a real treath to health like Ebola, MERS or Sars. Covid19 is not a pandemic it's just a like a flue with an ifr of 0,15%. So the first thing the WHO has to is to adjust the terms for a Pandemic. You have to look at risk of dying or getting serious ill. With The Flue or Covid19 that risk concerns only older or weak people and is too little to talk about a pandemic. Second, each country should decide what measures it will take if there will be a real pandemic. Again we never voted for the WHO or give you our consent to decide for us. So we never will go along even if you forse us. Hope you listen to the people and don't end normal life.
N/A	We, the people of the world DOES NOT want a global pandemic authority to dictate our body autonomy choices. We are created by God and we have a choice. People have awaken and your approach will only benefit those in power and not the peopl. We say STOP it, you don't own us! Thank you!
aphrai	We, the people of the world, declare that we hold the power and obligation to reject tyranny and the duty to strongly protect the rights granted by those before us. We, the people of the world, demand the immediate termination of all oppressive, segregative, dystopian, tyrannical and divisive mandates that have been imposed globally under the premise of a declared pandemic. We, the people of the world, demand that governments return all powers to medical and scientific professionals to treat patients based on science, knowledge and experience instead of on imposed mandates. We, the people of the world, demand that the physician-patient relationship be restored by allowing all medical professionals to practice without fear of punishment. We, the people of the world, demand freedom to choose our own medical treatments based on uncensored and transparent scientific data and research that is free from coercion, punishment, or threat. We, the people of the world, demand the right to refuse medical treatments based on health, conscientious or religious beliefs and demand that our infringed rights to bodily autonomy be returned immediately. We, the people of the world, demand that all employment that was terminated because of the refusal to take an experimental drug be reinstated immediately. We, the people of the world, demand the immediate cessation of mandates and coercion that impose medical interventions on children without the explicit consent of the parents. We, the people of the world, demand the immediate release of the medical and scientific data and statistics of all vaccines and medications that have been mandated during this declared pandemic. We, the people of the
Humanbeing	We, the people of this world should be left alone so that our own immunity can fight the colds, flu and the like; and not to be ordered to have vaccinations that seem to be killing more people who have had the vaccinations, that those who have not. Leave us alone!
Human Being	We, the people, are not interested in having your organization or any other make health decisions for us. We DO NOT want your new world order. It is imperative that.... National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Respectfully, Roxana Lofley
Individual	We, the people, are not interested in your globalist ideas. The Covid lockdowns were a complete failure and did more harm than good. The vaccines are killing more than the virus. You globalists shut down proven therapeutics and tried to mandate a vaccine that kills. You cannot ever be trusted. Dismantle the WHO. Be no more. The world will be better off.
canadian	We, the people, do not want and did not ask for any organization like the WHO "organizing" us internationally in any form through a Pandemic Preparedness Agreement/Treaty. The days of this actually transpiring into reality are from a " bygone era." Our bright future moving forward shines the light on our own personal "autonomy, transparency and freedom of choice through natural law and civil rights." We, the people, will wholeheartedly ensure that our governments take heed and adhere to remaining loyal to the people they serve. We will accept nothing less than harmonious natural living and the rule of law ✝ Thank you
Independent	We, the people, do not wish, nor do we require the private organization of WHO, to make ANY decisions for us, on any topics, but especially regarding any so-called pandemics. WHO have additionally, upon recently changing the definition of the word «pandemic», lost all credibility. We, the people, have not asked for, we do not want, require, nor do we accept, an «international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response» from the WHO in any way shape or form. It will, again, like before; be a breach of human rights and the Nuremberg code. Each country, each state, each human being have the right of sovereignty and autonomy. We will ALWAYS be making ALL our own decisions on how to stay healthy, how to acquire health or what, if any form of «vaccinations» or any other type of medical treatment we wish to engage in. We do not require your interference in our lives in any way shape or form, for we are sovereign people, and we will make our own decisions regarding our own lives, no matter what the situation, pandemic or no pandemic.
Private	We, the people, oppose this new attempt to take away our freedom. South Africa has reached 80% immunity against covid and there is definitely no need for more strict laws!
citizen of the united states	We, the United states should not be handing any power or control over our own health to any outside entity. All our health decisions should be made by the USA in the USA. It would be wrong and a misuse of power to the people you have been hired to protect to hand over and give any power over their lives and laws to an outside power.
Private	We,the people,do not need you on our planet anymore.We can take care of ourself very well!!!
My Family	We'd like to have national local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. W'd like to see that nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences. We would like to have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people in the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We want measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
Family	We'd like to have national local leadership retain full autonomy reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their people. W'd like to see that nations and local municipalities can opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequences. We would like to have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people in the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We want measures that do not allow for the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Not affiliated	We've already seen that international instruments relative to pandemic response have failed miserably. The WHO provided conflicting and confusing guidance and helped China cover its tracks. The WHO declared there was no human to human transmission and discouraged action. No global organization should have any ability to regulate any matters where it was no jurisdiction. It was tried; it failed.
Illawara	Web the People Walter to be free of all control. We are inteligent and Web are perfectly awake to Know what We need and how we are going to Take care of our self helth. We donde need to be controlled be anyone. And nobody has the raight of put the power over us. We Chrome to be free citicens in all places and cuntries on the World.
WHO	Weder Impfpflicht noch erzwungene unerprobte mRNA Spritzen sind eine Lösung für Menschen in zukünftige Pandemien! Nur gut für den Umsatz von BigPharma ! Die WHO muss unabhängig bleiben!
Privat	Welche Garantien gibt es für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten/Pharmafirmen?
Private citizens	Welcome to copy and paste this and email to titsakani.furumele@health.gov.za RE : Objections to amendments as per Gazette replacing Gazette No. 46045 that was Published on 15 March 2022 with Government Notice No. 1877–1880. I ________Adele Fhereby formally object to the amendment as proposed in the Government Gazette Published on the 15 March 2022 with Government Notice No. 1877–1880. The amendments to the Regulations relating to the surveillance and control of notifiable medical conditions. The amendments infringes on my rights in terms of all or the applicable right(s) of the following sections of the Constitution: 1.1 Section 9 of the Constitution – my individual right to equality; 1.2 Section 10 of the Constitution – my right to human dignity, including to work; 1.3 Section 11 of the Constitution – my right to life; 1.4 Section 12 of the Constitution – my right to bodily and psychological integrity, which includes the right to security in and control over my body; 1.5 Section 14 of the Constitution – my right to privacy; 1.6 Section 22 of the Constitution – my right to choose my trade, occupation, or profession freely; 1.7 Section 23 of the Constitution – my right to fair labour practices; and 1.8 Section 29 of the Constitution – my right to education through reasonable measures; and 1.9 Section 33 of the Constitution – my right to just administrative action. 2. The Policy itself is contrary to Section 26 of the Protection of Personal Information Act, which prohibits the processing of personal information concerning, amongst others, religious beliefs and/ or health information of an individual. Yours Sincerely __________ Adèle FORGEY
private	Welcome! Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden!! · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited!! · All measures must take full account of all health interests!! · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests!! · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state!! · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states!! · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process!! · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance!! · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body!! · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing!! · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional law!! Kind regards!
Self-employed	Well for one thing, WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does Not have power over health decisions, but it may if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. This treaty would not be good!! Every country or territory is different and divinely unique in their own needs, etc; therefore, each separate nation is better aware of their own governing needs over their own people who can communicate those needs as they take part in who governs them!!
None	We'll never support any such response involving surveillance and tracking. The WHO must be dismantled after its criminal attitude during covid.
None, am a private citizen	Well the world health organization does many great things, they are not a body that has been elected by anyone and I do not feel that this party should make decisions for people who have not elected them. My view of the world health organization is to be a consortium of scientific bodies and information to help give information to different countries around the world so that those countries can make informed and proper decisions on how to handle different health situations. I believe that the following should be strongly considered: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	Well there should not be one in the first place. Nobody voted to allow this perversion of national autonomy. One size fits all authoritarianism never works as we have already seen many times. If there were to be one, it should have at minimum the following provisions: 1. National and local leadership are to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of all nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open, speedy and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Must have measures that do not permit undue influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Safe and effective vaccines? I beg to differ. It has been a colossal global foul-up in most countries.
Privat	Wenn die who sich als Weltregierung aufspielt ist sie für mich tot. Die Menschenrechte des einzelnen stehen über allem.
Keine	Wenn es um meine Gesundheit geht, hat niemand!!!! - weder die nationale Regierung noch die EU - über meinen Körper zu entscheiden, auch nicht in einer sog. Pandemie!! NIEMALS entscheidet IRGENDJEMAND über meinen Körper!!!!
Allgemeine Öffentlichkeit	Wenn es wirklich soweit kommt, muss rein: Die Souveränität der Staaten muss erhalten bleiben. Menschenrechte, Unversehrtheit, Recht auf freie Entscheidung über den eigenen Körper dürfen nicht außer Kraft gesetzt werden. Die Haftbarkeit der Pharmaindustrie, der politischen Unterstützer und der durchführenden Mediziner die bei der Umsetzung von notzugelassenen Impfstoffen oder Medikamenten beteiligt sind.
Deutschland	Wenn Sie diesem teuflischen Werk zustimmen, machen Sie sich zu Mengeles Erben. Wollen Sie sich wirklich diese Schuld aufladen? Eigentlich sollte man meinen, dass nach den Nürnberger Prozessen solche Entscheidungen nicht mehr zur Debatte stehen und dass ein Mensch souverän über seinen Körper bestimmen können sollte. Dem scheint nicht mehr so zu sein. Ich möchte nicht in Ihrer Haut stecken, wenn Sie dieses Gesetz durchwirken.
Yoga	Wer ist die WHO? Das sie bezahlt durch Impffirmen Verträge abschießen kann in der nicht garaiert ist das die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen., aufrecht erhalten wird. Wer hat die WHO gewählt und ihren Vorstand? Wie garantiert die who ihre Soverenität? Welche Anwälte prüfen die Wirksamkeit des Vertrages und die Grundrechte der Menschen? Wenn die WHO zb ihre eigenen Pandemuebestimmungen verändern kann wie es ihr passt, wer überprüft die Verhältnismäßigkeit. Wer haftet in der who und mit wessen Vermögen?
S.A.V.E.	We're not doing it.
privat	Werte Mitglieder der WHO, bevor ihr versucht die Rechtsstaatlichkeit in "Pandemiefällen" (welche Definition dies auch immer für euch ist) solltet ihr versuchen eure Fehler aufzuarbeiten und wenn für sichere und wirksame Medikamente oder Impfungen sorgen. Die MRNA-Geschichte habt ihr voll verbockt und gleichzeitig das Vertrauen vieler Mitbürger verloren. Durch die ganzen Verschleierungen in Bezug auf Wirkung, Nebenwirkung ja sogar Tod habt ihr euch nicht mit Ruhm bekleckt. Wenn es euch nur um Macht geht dann wäre es besser eure Organisation aufzulösen, denn Macht führt immer zu Wiederstand und solchen habt ihr in dieser Pandemie genug erzeugt. Die Spaltung geht voran und irgendwann werdet auch ihr vor eurem Richter stehen (wenn auch kein irdischer) und dann möchte ich nicht in eurer Haut stecken. Überlegt noch mal euer Handeln und besinnt euch auf die Grundwerte der Menschlichkeit
Teacher	Werte Verantwortliche! Krisen, Pandemie etc sind niemals für alle Länder und Menschen gleichermaßen relevant. Insofern ist es unnötig eine supranationale Lösung zu forcieren. Stattdessen muss jeweils eine Lösung auf nationaler, besser kommunaler Ebene gefunden werden. Als mündiger Bürger fordere ich die Verankerung folgender Punkte im Vertrag: die bedingungslose Souveränität aller Menschen und Mitgliedsländer, die Einhaltung und Garantie sämtlicher Menschenrechte und des Nürnberger Kodex! Weiters die persönliche gesetzliche Haftung der Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen bezüglich der Folgen aller Vorschriften! In diesem Fall muss die WHO und anderen Beteiligten die Beweislast obliegen! Weiters fordere ich Sie auf, für jedes Mitgliedsland eine Klausel für den jederzeitigen und bedingungslosen opt-out aus dem Vertrag einzufügen. Nachdem dieser Vertrag offensichtlich ohne breite Information und Abstimmung der Bevölkerung ausgearbeitet wurde scheint es sich um ein äußerst fragwürdiges und rechtlich bedenkliches Konstrukt zu handeln. Mit freundlichen Grüßen, C. P.
Privat	Wertes Gremium! Ich möchte hier unmissverständlich klar deklarieren, daß ich die Souveränität meines Landes/Staates in dem ich wohne, die Verfassung meines Landes als oberste Entscheidungsinstanz, als auch öffentlichkeitsbezogene Transparenz in den Entscheidungsfindungen bzgl. politischer /wirtschaftlicher/gesundheitsbezogener Entscheidungen fordere. Persönliche Freiheit in der Entscheidung ist das höchste Gut der Menschheit und darf keiner Organisation "übergeben" werden! Hochachtungsvoll, Annemarie Verra
Ruhestand	Wertes WHO-Gremium, bitte nehmen Sie Abstand von Eingriffen im Menschenrecht. Dieses steht doch für die Unverletzlichkeit am Individuum. Corona, die Pandemie als Anlass für diesen Eingriff? Das die Impfung (Genmanipulation mRNA) im Grunde kläglich wirkt sei ein Nebensatz. Doch es ist ein brisanter Eingriff, das wissen die Fachleute. Wir sind Zauberlehrlinge in Goethes Sinne. Ich: -77 -alle sehr gut geprüften lebenslange wirkende Impfungen -2 x Astra geimpft -seit der ersten Impfung mit Impfschaden -seit dort Corona-Impfskeptiker mit gutem Grund -daher verweigere ich den 3. Stich, vor allem mRNA (Strafandrohung, u.U. Gefängnis) -seit dort wird jeglicher Diskurs auf der Wissenschaftsebene abgewürgt -im deutschsprachigen Raum Österreich und Deutschland totalitäre Corona-KRANKHEITSPOLITIK betreiben -die Schweiz in allen Belangen humaner, mit Hausverstand nicht weniger wissenschaftlicher als die Vorgenannten mit viel besseren Ergebnissen Achtsamkeit ist selbstverständlich, in Abstand, mit Maske, keine Cluster mit Menschen. Was machen zum Teil die 3-fach Geimpften???? Dann die ZWEIKLASSENGESELLSCHAFT, die Stigmatisierung. Woran erinnert mich dies wohl? Bitte lassen sie mir meine Freiheit, ich weiß was zu tun ist. Mit besten Grüßen Engelbert Walch
Mensch	Wesentl. Elemente : Achtung der Menschenrechte Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar. Gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.Zulassung und Diskurs mehrerer regierungsunabhängiger Wissenschaftler . respektvoller Umgang mit anderen Meinungen Trennen von Politik und Pharma!!
private	Wesentlichstes und unabdingbares Element der Vereinbarung muss sein, dass jeder Staat souverän und unabhängig, im Einklang mit seiner Verfassung und mit den Menschenrechten (nicht nur für die Bevölkerung im Allgemeinen, sondern insbesonders für das einzelne Individuum) über die Umsetzung bzw. Nicht-Umsetzung von Maßnahmen, die von der WHO vorgeschlagen oder angeordnet werden, entscheiden kann, ohne einem Druck - welcher Art auch immer - ausgesetzt zu werden. Ebenso muss die gesetzliche Haftung jeder Regierung, von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen garantiert sein, wie auch die Haftung der WHO und ihrer Entscheidungsträger für ihre Vorschläge und Anordnungen.
US citizen	We've seen in past and recent years that more global and government intervention and restrictions equals worse quality of life for the citizens that pay government salaries, taxes and fuel the economy. The only solution is to let the individual choose what is best for themselves and their families in any scenario including health care and "pandemic preparedness". It's obvious that the WHO excels in global government corruption and we as US citizens have enough of that here already so we don't need your help. Thanks anyway.
pensioner	What a people's treaty should include is natural law and civil rights pillars like autonomy, transparency, and freedom of choice. I suggest the following elements be addressed: • National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. • The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 134 words
Private person	What absolutely needs to be included and maintained is THE FREEDOM TO CHOOSE, on a country by country level and personal level!! Anything else is an absolutely inappropriate overreach. After all we ALL appreciate our freedom in a democratic world!!
None	What an absolutely evil idea, why should countries, including their citizens give away their God given rights to a solitary organisation run by genocidal globalists? The world has watched and suffered the absolute mess/murder governments and the WHO have committed over the last two years, why would any sane person want to give them anymore power?! The world is waking up & trust me, people will not accept this even if you get your corrupt World Economic Forum run politicians to sign up to it.
Independent	What authority do you have to trample on the rights of the individual? Stop what you are doing now. The public is waking up to these corrupt health organizations. Stop!! I'd like to think no more pandemics are planned.

Organization	Written submission
personal	What concerns me most is that an unelected organisation thinks that it should be able to take over health matters in my country. The tone the organisation set for the 'pandemic' was particularly worrying. I would question your independence - considering where your funding comes from, particularly with key donors having vested interests in certain types of pandemic 'management' protocols - or the support given to China when observing their neglect of human rights and lack of transparency in understanding the beginning of the pandemic. I am concerned about the individual liberties of all residents of every country in the world, no overreaching power should be given to any unelected organisation- and once again - particularly one with funding that will inevitably prejudice its' decision making.
NZ government public servant	What elements should be included in an international response tool? A local and regional focus is essential. There is no one size fits all approach to any emergency or preparedness strategy. Principles that are essential include: upholding human dignity, respect and community and individual rights and freedoms. Always. No one can be forced or coerced or threatened or discriminated against. Bioethics and body sovereignty and a right to refuse a medical procedure are fundamental to uphold. Team working and sharing information- not censorship. No emergency should be politicised. Medical professionals must be transparent with no corporate funding. Independent reviews of strategy and advice is essential. Media censorship or corporate control is repugnant. Corruption of WHO first needs to be purged before you tackle this idea of a global standards or advice. Profiteering from an emergency is wrong. Democracy please. Not dictatorship. Fully transparent and accessible contracts.
International Society of Ascension	What elements? 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
The Human Race	What ever happened to My Body, My Choice? Yet another human right obliterated by an over reaching organisation paid for and run by dictators. The WHO needs to get back to basics, Health. The clue is in the title, this is all they should focus on and nothing else. Real facts, real solutions and not pander to made up pandemics for financial gains by megalomaniacs with over inflated egos and God complexes.
None	What gives you lot the authority to tell me what to do. You have no authority over me, you are self serving, self appointed parasites. Your tyrannical rules are not needed however much you disagree.
Health Beyond Borders	What happens now is paramount to the global economy of what it means to be human, what it means to have individual rights. There MUST NOT not be a governing body that reigns over all human subjects at this level. It strips away bodily autonomy and allows regulatory capture to those in power positions. When we allow these regulatory positions to be brought and paid for by the very companies that profit off the products that are being forced on the people, the whole of humanity loses. You say it's for the greater good to protect us all, but the lies that have been told have created huge mistrust. The energy surrounding this pandemic has been murky, non transparent and corrupt on so many levels. This is not a game. Encroachment on the right to chose what medicines one receives is an individuals personal choice, not a governments choice and certainly not a World Health Organization's choice. If the foundations are pure the way forward will be clear. If the foundations have been built on untruths, manipulation, coercion and deceit this will certainly create a path that will end in destruction. As a sovereign being of this planet I hope you choose the path that brings empowerment to the individuals and NOT to the corporations.
None	What has become obvious with the recent surges in cases with the latest COVID 19 variants is that more oversight is required of global efforts. In a rush to develop vaccines, mandates were adopted that prevented people from participating within society, in the name of prevention of transmission of COVID-19. Unfortunately, the vaccines were unable to prevent the recent spikes in cases and caused numerous harmful effects. While the vaccines were beneficial to those with underlying conditions, it seems that they caused a similar amount of harm to what they prevented for people without underlying conditions, especially in children. I believe that the global response to the COVID-19 pandemic, while seemingly justified at the time, in hindsight went too far and what is needed now is more oversight, not an treaty that will ease the ability for nations to remove the ability for its citizens to make risk informed decisions with regards to their health. It is important that any pandemic response in the future is a transparent process, which is separated from the influence of pharmaceutical companies and retains the ability for citizens and nations to opt out of the program as they should be allowed to make risk informed decisions regarding what products are put in their body.
Pontmundi	what I think is essential is the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Kind regards, Frank Romijn
YHWdans	What I would like to see in this proposal is that it assures that every member of the world health organization has a video of their execution following military tribunals. And I want to see your faces broadcast worldwide. Your snake venom will not work on everyone, and you will pay dearly.
Individual	What I would like to see included in this international instrument are as follows: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Sincerely Catherine Graham
Kumba Iron Ore	What I would like to see, is the following: 1. That National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and the specific region that they live in. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. That an open and transparent process will be followed, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. The adoption / inclusion of measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Normal person	What if human beings are ment to decide themselves what they add to their bodies? What if it is best for a society and even for the surviving of the human race when people choose differently? What if patronizing countries and people leads to the acceleration of the extinction of us as diversity is lost? I vote for an instrument that garantees the individual choice of everybody no matter what their countries' leaders believe to be best at that time in history. What if individual and therefore different choices increase this world's chances dramatically?
Individual	What is best for each countries citizens should remain fully in the hands of the democratically elected government of those citizens at a national and local level. . All nations and local municipalities must retain/have the ability to opt out of any global agreement when they choose without any consequence. Pubic must be made aware of all proposed global agreements and given appropriate time to consider these and vote on them. No global agreement should ever be applied where there is not a majority vote by all people of the world. Global health profiteers, pharmaceutical companies must never have the ability to influence WHO or global health decision makers. Therefore measures must be in place to prevent this. Total transparency of the connections of WHO staff and consulting partners to these profiteers must be disclosed. I believe in democracy, therefore, because WHO leaders are appointed not elected, WHO should have no authority over a countries governance
Citizen of the United States of America	What is included should be limited in the extreme. WHO treaties that remove power from the USA or any country, for that matter, is dangerous to the health of the individuals of this country. The health Or healthcare treatment of an individual is sovereign to that individual as ordained by our Creator and cannot be usurped by another individual or entity. Protocols that may work in one instance Cannot and should not be generalized into a one size all generic solution. This logic is faulty and seldom results in best practice being applied.
Citizen.	What is it that you don't understand about informed consent and bodily autonomy? These are the only substantive elements required and already exist as a human right. The last two years have proven beyond any doubt that one size does not fit all. The WHO has presided over the World's worst economic and social disaster in living memory. When we next want some help or guidance, don't call us, we'll call you.
Unemployed	What is more dangerous? - a pandemic (during the plague 50% of europeans died/the worst so far) or - a world goverment (imagine the 3. Reich but on a global scale for millenias) i know what i would choose! This leads us to the conclusion that we dont need "substantive elements for pandemic preparedness and response" because such international agreements will push us more and more to the second scenario. I would rather die than accepting this!
none	What is needed is children, women and men all over the globe learning to take care of their own health. To detox and build a strong immune system. A strong health. To learn about good foods and herbs. To find strength and spirit to be - and not be in fear. To hug and to love and to play, to be with loved ones in laughter and fun, in friendship and love. Health is in body, mind, soul and spirit. Health and Life is not to be found in medicine nor technology. Life is to be found in the LIVING. To learn skills of LIFE. To learn how to respect nature. To build shelters and find food in nature. Every human has the right to take care of own health and soul.To live life. Heally and vibrant people are not sick.
General Public	What is required and must be included in any global treaty is that National and local leadership of countries retain full autonomy over the decisions and reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people and their future. There must also be the the ability of nations to opt out of any or all of the portions of the agreements without any consequences. Countries must be able to retain their own autonomous decision making. There requires an open and transparent process that supports the people of the world to be able to vote on measures to prevent the application of any global agreement where the majority of the people are opposed to it. This requires utter transparency and requires unbiased media influence. There requires measures that do not allow for bias of modelling and/or influence in the process and planning of measures by any and all pharmaceutical companies, media organisations, private companies and other global health profiteers. Transparency of who and why and how solutions have come about, and all evidence to be available for scrutiny by member countries public. Cost benefits analysis of any intervention should be carried out before measures implemented and with a variety of different modelling groups. Who and why and how solutions should be.
Privat	What must be included in a new pandemic contract are following: 1) The kind of medical treatment what humans want or not must always be an absolut free individual decision!! 2) Nobody who denies a medical treatment must be excluded in what way ever from society!!
Individual	What must be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	What needs to be included in this is individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, respect for individuals choices on a medical treatment, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies on every stance they take in relation to their positions taken. Also that there can be no taking of rights by the WHO, they respect people's rights to choose how they care for their body and cannot interfere in an individuals rights.
Personal	What ought to be included is that, National and local leadership of all member and non-member states/nations to any union across and on any continent retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. These decisions cannot be exported to any state/nation without an overwhelming majority of voters within that state/nation, through vote, accepting the decision by the WHO or affiliated party to WHO. Also to be included are that States, Nations and local municipalities reserve the right to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any submission to the WHO or any party and without consequence. There ought to be an open and transparent process with the treaty pre-implementation ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, need to be shared publicly to world pre-implementation and also be subjected to public vote. To ensure full transparency, all voting and public participation needs to occur on the blockchain to ensure that all public contribution is immediately visible, auditable and legitimate.
private	What presumes the WHO at all, as an organization which is supported to 80% by private companies, to decide on the introduction of a pandemic contract, which is obligatory for all associated countries? Moreover, as we have seen how human rights have been abrogated under the WHO's standards, we cannot expect that: "guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, or legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies." Indeed, that is precisely what would be a compelling requirement! be guaranteed, each country should think carefully about what it is doing. Any nation can and should withdraw from this unspeakable organization. The WHO is not concerned with health but with its sponsors earning enough money through a "pandemic contract". This can be summarized under the word "corruption".
Schlumberger	What right does the world health organization think it has in the first place to dictate how sovereign nations handle pandemics? The past two years has shown that, if anything, WHO and the CDC are not competent enough organizations to handle health crisis. I think the WHO has no moral right or credibility any longer to lead any international effort whatsoever and it most certainly has no right to the tell people of the world what to do.
Freedom Alliance	What shall be included: - all components of the nature-law fully respected - all individual rights fully respected - 100% freedom of choice in all manners - 100% transparency - only advisory character, each advice can or can not be accepted by national governments - insurance and compensation fund to compensate all humans suffering from enforced measurements and treatments Best of all you stop thinking of this idea and immediately quit it.
Privat	What should be included is a 100%FREE choice of any medical treatment! As long as WHO is mainly privately financed,I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty. No mandatory vaccinations,no more profits for pharmaceutical firms,and certainly no health passes!no more censuring and suppression of critical and/or warning voices!
none	What should be included is a person's right to bodily autonomy where experimental vaccines whose trial data has not been scrutinised are concerned. Every country should be considered as an ethnic entity. Data from the effectiveness of lockdowns should be analysed to see if there is justification for including them in future preparedness scenarios. Mental health issues should be taken into consideration.
Member of general public	What should be included is at least guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and legal liability for government officials and pharmaceutical companies. Furthermore, a response should always include for any particular person, a comprehensive diagnosis from a medical doctor as opposed to just a medical test performed by a non-doctor and without consideration of the overall health status of the affected person (as was routinely the case in the response to COVID-19) before making any statement about the health of that person.
Living woman	What should be included is that every man, woman and child has the right to choose their own health choices free from coercion, incentives and threat of loss of work or human rights of any kind as per the Geneva Convention of Human Rights. I don't believe any foreign power should have any right to dictate any suggestions to any other foreign power. We should be free to have our own personal choice as a free man or woman and not be dictated to by our own government or any foreign power.
Self	What should be included to the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is to tell the truth to humanity and let each individual person to decide what is right when it comes to medical decisions about there health and for their children. No medication should should be forced on a human being. There must be informed consent.
Americans	What should be included: there should be no mandates, there should be no lockdowns, there should be no restrictions in hospitals for families to see their loved ones. And children should be allowed to breathe freely with no masks. People should make their own decisions on whether they should be vaccinated. Peoples jobs should not be affected and their ability to work. Government should never have this amount of control.
general public	What should be included: 1. No lockdowns. 2. No mandates. 3. No violation of our God-given human rights, including body sovereignty. One size does NOT fit all in medicine and is potentially deadly.
Citizen of the US	What should be the most important element included in this document is that under NO conditions should the constitution of any nation be suspended or hold less weight. Given that each nation is unique in many ways from others, this document must never take precedence over the laws and rights of each nation. The sovereign status of any country shall NEVER be compromised. A Treaty can serve as a guide and support, but shall never supersede a nation's constitution or rights under the unique laws of that country. This must be included as the utmost of importance.
Private	What should bei included is a 100% free choice of any mesical Treatment!
n/a	What substantive element(s) should be part of an international "instrument"? Simple: Should a non-elected supranational "organization", based on any self-declared danger, be allowed to govern over national laws of any countries, democratically elected bodies of government or its citizens without having to undergo a multi-national democratic process? No. Local and national laws have priorities over any and all forms of international treaties that come to fruition by simply "signing" them. Even if signed by democratically elected representatives, this cannot and should not unhinge any human rights that are granted by constitutional level laws. Namely, those of free speech, freedom of choice over one's own body, freedom of movement, the dictate of personal identification that might supersede any other form of lawfully accepted personal identification (namely: "vaccination or health certificates" as a form of ID). It is not in humanity's interest to have a supranational body of non-democratically legitimated organization as per the decrete of a "direct election of its officials" try to organize a global governing of supranational law, especially of the kind that might "overrule" national law by means of calling out a "crisis" that might be self-defined in what constitutes said crisis (ex. "Covid-19" was declard a global health hazard by the same body that declared pandemic reaction plans it governs - this is highly unethical, unlawful and cannot be accepted by democratic society who needs to elect officials, especially such with judicial powers directly. So, include this clause as a preamble: We recognize that any "international instrument" can always be superseded by local, national law.
General public	What substantive elements do I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response; 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Individual	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response ? 1. Answer: None other than reporting of statistics, verified by idependent bodies chosen by elected officials not unelected officials eg those of WHO. 2. Why is there No right to participate in the public hearings by which I may be affected 3. Why is it that WHO is not able to ensure that all interested parties will be able to participate in the public hearings, and that thus WHO does not make any commitment or undertaking to allow people to participate in the public hearings. 4. Why are the terms of submission so restrictive when it is WHO that is asking for more powers. WHO was not elected by any individual to act on our behalf. 5. I do not agree to the terms of participation of the hearings. The only way to make this submission is to say that I do. This restricts free speech to only those who agree with WHOs terms - which I do not. .
None	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: I think complete, timely and transparent safety data must accompany any role out of emergency medicines. More attention needs to be paid to safety signals such as VAERS and open debate should be encouraged to support the scientific method. Vaccines or related treatments should only be offered after lower cost and potentially highly effective off label treatments are explored with randomized blind trials. The international governmental organizations should consider funding such studies that for profit providers might not have incentives to pursue. Also, more lifestyle and basic health such as exercise and vitamin D should be promoted instead of masks that show little efficacy and stunt normal communication and interactions important to human development and wellbeing. There should be no mandatory vaccines and no vaccine requirements for employment. Healthcare, Religious and personal choice exemptions should be widely accepted and not overly scrutinized. There should be no vaccine passports and there should be no PCR testing that determines infection without accompanying symptoms.
self	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?
Tokyo Metropolitan University	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?
Tokyo Metropolitan University	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?
Private citizen	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
NA	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? 1)Ivermectin: a multifaceted drug of Nobel prize-honoured distinction with indicated efficacy against a new global scourge, COVID-1 2)Hydroxychloroquine 3)vitamin D 4)vitamin C 5)ZINC 6)Quercetin These all work at preventative and healing the Covid infections and many more diseases as well.
Self	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? Freedom of choice should be included. When the world shut down all the choices of ways to stay healthy were not discussed. You could keep physically distant an hike or walk or explore the outdoors. Ways to stay socially and emotionally healthy we're not encouraged immediately. Common sense was thrown out the window. We need to make our own wise choices with the God given freedom each person has. Wisdom should be shared truthfully. Truth, freedom and wisdom should be included in any agreement made among nations. Please make wise choices and be wise honest leaders.
retired	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
homo sapiens sapins	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? 1. No instrument on pandemic response should violate, diminish or alter a legal individual's right to personal autonomy in all personal medical decisions for that person individually, or for dependents of that individual. Right of refusal to any and/or all treatment must be inviolate. 2. Full disclosure and honesty in reporting any pandemic, or medical situation is paramount. To insure this, independent sources, even contrary ideas must be included. 'Facts' cannot be determined by WHO alone. Consent requires information. 3. Pharmaceutical Companies must not be trusted, their research must be monitored, their testing scrutinized and made public, immediately and simultaneously when a product is approved. Their financial interests, expenses, profits must be disclosed. The approval process must be public and open to objection. No EUA should be given with the suppression of alternative treatments, as was observed in the last 2 years. 4.No tests for disease should be used which cause false positives to a great extent. Non systematic illness should not be considered as illness. 5. Testing cannot be compulsory. 6. There should be no quarantine for healthy people. 7. If a policy, such as masking, is to be considered, then current peer reviewed research must be provided to the public to confirm such policies. 8. Fear, or the invocation of fear, should never be part of any policy. 9. Policies should be based on recommendation not compulsion. 10. Try to trust in humanity and our collective wisdom as you prepare this policy.
Universidad Nacional de La Plata	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? 1. A preamble recognising the supremacy of God and the Rule of Law, 2. A preamble recognising the absolute right of the person to give consent and to receive all the information required to give consent, 3. A preamble recognising the absolute sovereignty of nations, 4. A clause promising that no rights, whether personal or national, shall be infringed.
Reliance Barker Davies Ltd	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of USA	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? 1. To allow each government, state, and individual to make their own informed health care decisions. There needs to be health freedom and bodily autonomy for each individual. 2. Each person be given the inalienable right to have full, detailed informed consent for any medical treatment, procedure, medication, vaccine or gene therapy. 3. Allow for real scientific debates regarding the science, the research, the treatment options for any pandemic from both sides of opposing view points. Allow for this information to be accessible throughout technology and mass media, and stop censorship. 4. Allow for all early treatment protocols to be openly discussed by Doctors, the medical care teams, and the public. 5. Allow for medications to be repurposed for their usefulness in treating a virus or pathogen. Stop blocking the use of safe medications. 6.Allow Doctors to share freely and openly what they see is working in the pandemic rather than a pandemic being dictated by big pharma and big profits. 7. Honor the Doctor/patient relationship and allow them to decide what treatment is best for the patient. 8. Be accountable to uphold the WHO Constitution that states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. A WHO pandemic treaties that removes power from the US and us as individuals is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans and all individuals civil liberties. Regards, Heidi Weller

Organization	Written submission
Demix	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? Acknowledge the rights of New Zealand (all countries) and its citizens as this global convention or international instrument can seriously weaken our health autonomy and collective health freedom. It should allow for our freedom and our inalienable right to self-determination, which a sweeping one-size-fits-all, top-down mandate could demolish. Each country and individual should be able to make healthy choices for themselves. Furthermore, rules relating to conflict of interest should be stipulated. Funding should be separated from decision making authorities to ensure that contributions received are not influencing the decisions taken. No individual company making contributions should be able to profit from any decision taken. All health choices should be promoted, including alternative medication, not only pharmaceutical products.
Henrich Haus, LLC	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? Answer - nothing!!! Countries do not need to be bound by international committees to restrict their abilities to deal with any situation. WHO demonstrated colossal failure in the covid response and was part of the mechanism that helped roll over the rights of people, with a horrible outcome. WHO has not earned the trust of the public. They destroyed trust. A bureaucratic nightmare. But if you are looking for suggestions, these elements are as good as any... 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? Any elements included should always abide by and not seek to overrule the laws of that country. They should abide by all international agreements on human rights. The WHO must not disregard the law or inalienable rights. The elements must not be intrusive and disproportionate to the risk. No country must be forced to sign the treaty and there should be no punishment for not wanting to be included. The ability to leave the treaty must always be left open, again there should be no punishment for leaving.
Human	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? I dont think, that an international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is really necessary for the world and all human beings. Because this option will cause Willkür and unvorstellbare Verfolgungsmöglichkeiten, that no inhabitant would like. Its dangerous.
General public	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? I strongly object to any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. In case such an instrument and elements thereof are being considered: Any instrument that involves, as an element, any type of treatment of a person (such as any injection, vaccination in any form or any other medication) should be voluntary for that person. If a person choses to decline a treatment this person may do so without infringement on any personal freedom and without any threat of punishment or any financial penalty. Treatments can be suggested, provided the person that has voluntarily subjected to a suggested treatment is reserved the possibility to hold both the producer of the treatment as well as the organisation(s) that advocates the treatment fully accountable for damages caused by the treatment.
Individual	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? I submit that the following elements are imperative for inclusion in any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. • Nations' governments must retain full autonomy from the WHO or any other international body, and reserve the right to make decisions that are in accord with the wishes of the people of their nation with whom they must consult. • Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? None. The government of each country has the authority given by the people of that country and any other entity outside the government that the people have elected has no authority to interfere in that process. You should stop this.
General Public	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? Pandemic preparedness should be controlled by each sovereign nation and the elected government where the people have the choice to decide who should produce a pandemic preparedness plan. We do not need an international instrument. This has been amply demonstrated over the last two years where none of the extreme NPIs have had any effect on a virus. The policies over the last two years have shown no advantage over the normal policy of protecting the vulnerable and utilising the natural immunity of the majority to develop balanced protection. Individual nations need to calculate their risk level and individuals need to realise their own risk. This last pandemic was neither novel or deadly with an IFR of 0.15%. The nations need the true facts so they can assess the problem and react in a scientific and logical way. Why would you start trying to write a new international agreement when there has not been any proper assessment of the last two years, assessing what worked if anything and what did not work and were there any benefits at all or did the harms of the response cause lasting damage because to repeat these would be a disaster? The responses have to be assessed in terms of life years lost, treatments have to be shown to have more benefits than harms, pharmacovigilance needs to be greatly improved and the aspects of long term safety need to be addressed. As each countries' population is varied, it is non-sensical to have one plan suitable for all and so having the same preparedness plan and response for all seems doomed to failure, especially with a natural pandemic.
Individual	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? The most substantive element should be the freedom for signatory governments to tailor the WHO guidance to meet the requirements of the peoples of those countries. There must be no overriding of people's unalienable rights to bodily autonomy and sovereignty.
LB Camden	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? This question implies that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is needed in the first place. Obviously, the view that a "one size fits all" approach to medical health is appropriate or justified, is false. Ireland for example has a very young population whereas northern Italy has a very elderly population. They need VERY different public health policies and approaches. A localised approach to a pandemic is far more rational and appropriate as local medical practitioners will know best how to treat the individual needs of their populations. This treaty would supersede the authority of every nation's constitution, without any consultation or referendum asking permission for this to happen. Communities should get to control of their own public health agenda as sovereign citizens. The WHO is already compromised due to the billionaires funding it who don't have any public health credentials or expertise and who are not subject to any democratic checks and balances, unlike politicians. A nondemocratic organisation should not get to chose which measures governments MUST adopt. This is a blatant power-grab which will not benefit citizens, but which will instead benefit big business (specifically profit driven Big Pharma) at the expense of the taxpayer and the individual's right to bodily autonomy as well as personalised and appropriate health care.
Coedwigaeth Pencelyn Ltd	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? While any advice and recommendation on pandemic preparedness and response may be given by the WHO, the implementation of any part of that advice and recommendation must not be any attempt to coerce, instruct unilaterally and subjugate the sovereign decision of each and any nation to comply.
PAYING TAXPAYER	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? 1) Reinstate that municipalities control their own public health measures, rather than rely on WHO to deliver health information and advisatory measures to countries. 2) Dismantle the WHO. There is no scientific or other proof that WHO made accurate, fair, non-corrupt, successful decisions about Covid-19. If anything, WHO was instrumental in creating the slow response and spreading the virus faster and more widely than it would-have without the advice of the WHO. 3) All countries sever ties with WHO. There is medical, ethical, economic, or qualifying reason that countries should take advice from WHO, especially after what has happened with Covid-19.
Individual	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? The Current Pandemic agenda is very slanted. CDC allowed anyone dying of anything in the first 18 months to be listed as a COVID death, which wasis a lie to slant number and increase fear. Accountability should be included in any new plan. Ease of sharing Real information with nations, including research (positive or negative), no agenda to get others to go along by lying. Having an open discussion about adverse effects which may arise based on options used.
United States Citizen	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" Reply: None. The concept of an international instrument is ludicrous. Countries should maintain the right to negate their response to health risks free from an external entity.
California Cannabis Ministry	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The nutritional benefits of Cannabis hemp seed are the first line of defense against infection. Educating people about how they can strengthen their immune system through hemp seed nutrition is primarily essential. Individual choice is a fundamental human right in deciding whether or not to be injected with genetic material, which are not technically "vaccines" are untested and experimental.
submitted at the request of the World Council for Health	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" The WHO is an unelected body representing, like the WEF, bankers, technologists, and drug companies rather than citizens. Their input in the current pandemic has been a political power grab where good medicine has been forcefully supressed. I think the WHO should have no voice in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? I think that a new international instrument should not allow politicians to dictate what kind of medicine doctors can practice and profiteering should not control scientific research. The substantive elements that should be included are freedom for doctors to practice medicine without political interference and also for scientists to be free to follow their intuition. Life as we know it is seriously threatened when politics and profiteering masquerade as science and medicine.
privat	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" There should be no elements at all, neither should there be an international instrument on pandemic preparedness. This is a matter of every souvereign country and its government. The WHO must not take over this souvereignety of the countries which should decide everybody on its own. May God help that there will be no such contract with the WHO.Amen.
Sole trader	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" We do not need nor do we want anything to do with and WHO organised international pandemic preparedness treaty. We are perfectly capable of directing our personal and country sovereignty. My family and I do not support anything the WHO puts in place and nor will we abide by it. We do not acquiesce or comply.
General Public	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" In regards to the main question about what should be included in the New International Instrument Preparedness should be addressed by education of the populace world wide as to how to elevate their own bodies immaculate immunity mechanisms. In this regard with emphasis on quality diets and the use of natural substances that have proven benefit in triggering higher immunity . T-Cells etc. Teaching about Prophylactic's that are well know safe substances ... Emphasis should be on PREVENTION . The populace should be given free booklets on self Care and Hygiene. The response should be common sense approaches predominantly Homeopathic for balance NOT allopathic and fear based. Resourcing already proven cures even if they are medicinal... instead of unproven, experimental modalities. Responses should be measured with the highest regard for free will and autonomy of each individual.
Saoirse	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" 1. That each citizen of every country should not have their unalienable rights be infringed in any way. 2. That they (the citizens of each country)and only they decide what is best for their communities, and not a group of people they have not elected. 3. That the WHO must disclose any financial contributions to their organisation related to their pandemic response.
Natural Health	What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?Firstly No country's population should be made to make sacrafices when our leaders lie, Therefore below is what should be discussed by adults for adults and the good of each individual country and only if it benefits the people. •National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. •The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. •An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. •Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Wolna polska opole	WHAT SUBSTANTIVE ELEMENTS SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN A NEW INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT ON PANDEMIC PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE 1. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature. 2. It should make recommendations, not orders. 3. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. 4. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. 5. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. 6. The recommendation of a single method of action in the face of a pandemic to be imposed on the whole is contrary to medical practice. It does not take into account the specificities of the world's regions, which differ in terms of climate, population density, population diversity, availability of medicines, etc. These factors have a decisive impact on the spread of infectious diseases. 7. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We also postulate that WHO should not recommend the use of non-diagnostic tests (such as PCR) for diagnosis. On the contrary. WHO should by its actions support the flow of reliable information to citizens. 8. In developing recommended pandemic strategies, we urge that the voices of scientists and civil society groups that do not work in regular collaboration with WHO and which are not subsidised by major donors to WHO are taken into account. 9. We request that te
private	What substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: The observance of Human Rights must be guaranteed! The "Nurnberg Codex" and the Geneva conventions must be observed! No vaccination mandatory must be decreed neither bei WHO nor by national authorities! WHO should only be authorized to articulate recommendations to help sovereign States in the way how to handle pandemics! The decisions of measures must only be taken by democratically elected national parliaments! The role of the WHO is to guarantee the innocuousness of the medical products previewed to be used to combat the real, proved pandemic! The responsability in case of side effects or death due to the employed medical device has to remain under the legal responsibility of the pharmaceutical producers respectively under the responsibility of the political decider having decreed the vaccination. No private sponsor should be allowed to impose world-wide or local health actions!
private	What substantive elements should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response: The observance of Human Rights must be guaranteed! The Nurnberg Codex must be observed! No vaccination mandatory must be decreed neither by WHO nor by national authorities! WHO should only be authorized to articulate recommendations to help sovereign States in the way how to handle pandemics! The decisions of measures must only be taken by democratically elected national parliaments! The role of the WHO is to guarantee the innocuousness of the medical products previewed to be used to combat the real, proved pandemic! The responsibility in case of side effects or death due to the employed medical device has to remain under the legal responsibility of the pharmaceutical producers respectively under the responsibility of the political decider having decreed the vaccination. No private capital giver is allowed to influence world-wide nor local health actions!
Education	What substantive elements should be included? Each nation should be allowed to develop its own pandemic preparedness plan, with bodily autonomy, factual, science-based evidence, informed consent for any vaccination and adaptability for changing conditions being at the forefront of any proposed plan. I DO NOT want my country forcing me to get a vaccine unless it is properly tested with long-term safety data, as vaccines have been in the past. We should NOT be relying on questionable vaccines at all for a pandemic preparedness plan because we don't know what the next virus will be. Wouldn't it be better to first treat anything that arises with drugs that are already readily available, effective and safe? Please don't develop a plan that involves the mass vaccination of entire populations of people. Each and every person deserves the choice of what is put into their bodies and has different medical needs. You, as the WHO, surely recognize this.
Nil	What substantive elements should be included? The sovereign individuals and countries affected by the WHO's responses should always reserve the right to deny any treatment and to take their own personal responsibility for their outcomes. In democratic countries the public should always have a meaningful say in decisions that affect themselves and their families.
none	What the world needs, internationally, is very simple (and very sustainable). Any "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" made by The WHO must acknowledge and account for the following: 1 We must put an end to dangerous biological research (such as "gain of function" research). 2 We must be guaranteed access to REAL, practicing doctors who can and will prescribe REAL medicines and/or solutions, at their discretion, none of which ARE able to be MANDATED by any entity, esp those with conflicts of interests &/or have had liability for their products waved. 3 We must be guaranteed the right to be left alone, if we so choose. 4 We need MORE transparency and less intervention on behalf of governing bodies. 5 The WHO must acknowledge that We (The People) are the sole owners of our bodies and as such, We will decide what we put on &/or in them. Decisions regarding children belong to their parents, NOT the states, NOT the nations, and certainly NOT international governing bodies. 6 There may not be any monetary ties between decision-makers at The WHO and pharmaceutical industries (including investments). 7 Funding of The WHO must never (again) dictate policy. 8 The WHO must exist for the sole purpose of advice, NEVER policy and NEVER anything forced or coerced. 9 The WHO may provide truthful warnings, regarding health matters and We will choose if and how to respond. 10 The WHO shall never govern.
Self	What was missing from the colossal mismanagement of the past COVID-19 outbreak, and what should be front and foremost as a substantive element of any new "international instrument of pandemic preparedness" is awareness of 1). the neglected global public health issue of severe vitamin D deficiency and 2). the fact that doctors and individuals must have autonomy over their own health choices (at least in the USA, while The Bill of Rights still stands). The number of excess deaths attributable to ignoring these two facts has far surpassed the deaths from SARS-CoV-2 itself. So, it should have the directive to globally raise all our vitamin D blood levels to minimum 50 ng/ml (which would have prevented 90% of all COVID-19 deaths). Secondly, it should be empowered to PROHIBIT any government mandates for drugs/ vaccines or disastrous, ineffective lockdowns which have also caused 100s of thousands of additional non-COVID deaths. The last thing we need is another global authoritarian entity dictating how we manage our own personal health, even if they were allowed to be guided by the actual science, instead of Big Pharma's agenda ($$$) or that of WHO's billionaire eugenicist and the CCP (population culling & global government). With this new entity undoubtedly will come more "1984 Ministry of Truth" censorship of any counter-narrative that would disrupt the technocratic vision of The Great Reset. I choose Democracy, thank you.
Retired medical doctor	What we do not know may kill us, that is why truth and transparency is so important to me and many others. My life depends on it. A few days before the eleventh of March, 2020 I started to get very suspicious because the science was not logical, it was actually downright false. I am a 65 years retired medical doctor that is very concerned about the overreach of power that your "Pandemic Preparedness Treaty/Agreement." is planning to be. Since early 2020 I have read many thousands of documents and listened to hundreds of video recordings that has proven to me that many if not all Institutions have been none-transparent and overreaching their powers at the expense of human health and life. This does include the medical establishment to the point that I am aware of a feeling that I have wasted 45 years of my life and with a deep sense of Institutional Betrayal. The WHO is part of this establishment that I am aware of having this feeling of a deep sense of betrayal. The WHO have clearly showed to me that it can not be trusted, especially now with what it is trying to do, in plain sight, with this overreach of power related to this "Pandemic Preparedness Treaty/Agreement." This Treaty/Agreement has to be completely stopped.
Mankind	What we need from you All,government around the World ,is to put yourself with your ideas in rocket and launch yourself on the Mars! We,the people, average Joe's don't need you on Our Planet anymore!
Private	What when because this international instrument more people suffer and die, as from an virus. You should include an rollback mechanic to avoid the next catastrophe. I think in democracy non elected people newer should become powerful, because this is the way to hell.
Self	What WHO provides should ONLY be guidance and suggestions. Include the requirement that nations remain full autonomous and are able to reject all or some of WHO's guidance. Nations that reject WHO's guidance should suffer no negative consequences in any form whether it be funding or the opportunity to participate in debate forums. Transparency with regard to research sources and funding is essential. All research sources relied on must be accompanied by the de-identified data source for true research scrutiny. All funding information and conflict of interest statements must accompany research and guidance for the authors and their countries.
	What you are doing is criminal and you will be accounted for
Na	What you are hoping for is pure overreach of power.. that you have demonstrated already, that you cannot be trusted with. I am and always will be categorically opposed to handing oto you such authority.
Aana group	What you are planning is not acceptable as it gives obsolete power to certain individuals or organization, let alone taking away liberty and freedom of nations. The matters in which you handle what so called pandemic was disastrous to say the least, destroying people lives, closing businesses, disrupt education, and forever scar the next generation. All the while while pumping experimental drug to nations. Who are you to force this on people, what gives you the right to do so, and how dare you to ask for more power. Once again power corrupts and obsolete power corrupts obsoletely.
Self	What you are proposing is ludicrous. There should be NO new international treaty or instrument. Until I and others have access to the REAL trial data and the real articles that are not ghostwritten, i do not trust anything you or others promise. Advice to you: you need to start providing truthful reliable data and evidence, before i entertain your proposal.
None	What you should do: Every last one of you should resign.
My Children's Advocate	What you should include is your submission to local, decentralized control. You should make suggestions and recommendations and not place yourselves as kings of the globe. No one elected you. We have sovereignty and it needs to be respected.
J K Electronics	What you're asking is beyond unbelievable, As a human being I know my sovereignty and my rights. I can't condone any of actions and your actions are unlawful. Ian Jacobs
Steve Oakey	Whatever is agreed in this proposal should be limited to an advisory capacity and should not intrude on the sovereignty of the state to decide what is to be done The failure of all the recommendations of WHO (lockdowns, vaccines, etc) to solve any problem should be noted, and action taken to prevent any repetition No to globalism
N/A	Whatever is decided it should only be guidelines. No authority given to the WHO and its members to follow. Each country is separate and should stay that way. How can we possibly discover new things and treatments and ways of doing things if we are all forced to blindly follow what a few have decided. Where is the creativity to innovate if told we cannot.
Capstone Custom Homes	Whatever is decided leave us to our PERSONAL CHOICES!!
Private	Whatever pandemics arise in the future should be responded to in a manner that respects the sovereignty and freedoms of individuals, and we should not be at the whims of a global organization such as the WHO. Pandemic responses should empower individuals to improve their own health in conjunction with their own doctors and health professionals.
COSSMAP	Whatever resolution related to a future pandemic or any other health emergency of concern must NOT be able override any one country's right to self-determine the way each country will choose to respond. Individual countries must continue to be sovereign over their health interventions and any proposed intervention from WHO must be subjected to every country's own constitutions, laws and regulations.
self	Whatever the purpose or motives of this initiative, the following must be included: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by "any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers."
Barbara Roberts	Whatever you decide should be done needs to be written as GUIDELINES and NOT as MANDATES. Different circumstances can be found in different places and it should not be a one size fits all proclamation. Let individual nations decide what is best for their situations. That is the most important thing to me.
Retired	When all stats regarding the efficacy or need for C-19 shots is in question, that it is even harmful, why would you endanger entire populations? Under no circumstances should you approve the use of these drugs through a treaty globally. There will be a steep price to pay if you do not heed the wishes of parents or disregard the mounting evidence of harm that C-19 shot cause, not to mention the collateral damage caused to millions for the lockdowns you have enabled over the last two years. In my circle of friends alone, MILITARY: one has died (we will attend funeral at Arlington) the other is blind and can no longer serve after mandatory injection. FAMILY & FRIENDS: half a dozen friends have mysteriously died or are battling cancer or "rare diseases" since taking the shot. Another half a dozen youth are exhibiting circulatory damage. Why not follow the control data from Denmark in their mask testing? Wearing masks are useless and are preventing proper learning of young people. Why suppress or change the data the military is required to provide regarding C-19 shots and the harm they cause? Let people decide for themselves what they will do or not do. C-19 is now endemic. You don't need to try and control anymore. Sincerely, William
none	When an emergency presents itself ALL health decisions need to stay as close too home as possible, Americans should have power over our own health decisions. According to the WHO's constitution "informed opinion and active co-operation on part of the public are of utmost importance of the health of the people". A WHO treaty that would remove power from the US would be dangerous to the health and welfare of ALL Americans and would not follow the WHO constitution. Individual Americans and the US should be able to determine our OWN heath decisions. WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Let individual Americans determine their own health decisions. Thank you
none, member of the general public	When considering any policies that could impact the world's populace as a whole, it is imperative to have guards for individual countries and their citizens, as what may work for one is not always best for all. With that in mind, here are the elements I believe are needed ... 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without any consequence. 3. An fully open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	When considering any treaty that could effect the whole world population it is important that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. To this end, nations and local municipalities should retain the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process should be open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Additionally it is vital that measures be put in place to prevent pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers from exercising any influence in the process of world health.

Organization	Written submission
Multicare	When emergencies occur there must be active involvement in many local and state agencies working together for the people in that community. Having only one voice govern and make all decisions is what breeds corruption and introduces a dictatorship mindset instead of one that fosters collaboration. I implore you to not allow this global pandemic treaty to be created. It is not constitutional as will take away ones individual rights over ones health decisions. Health decisions must remain personal and in the hands of the people and their caregivers. Respectfully submitted Kate Statz D.O. FACOOG
Nature's Oracle	When formulating the new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, the following elements be addressed: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Nhs	When implementing International pandemic preparation and response, bodily autonomy should be strongly considered, respected and protected. Medicine and healthcare measures cannot be managed by a 'one size fits all' approach. Therefore, individual autonomy and freedom to decline medical or public health intervention, without penalty, should be paramount. This should not be usurped by WHO strategies. I strongly argue that sovereign states should have the ability to withdraw from any agreement with WHO if Citizens or Parliament disagree with measures.
None	When it comes to health there is no one-size-fits-all solution. If one single organization (i.e. the WHO) were to be the only decision maker over the health of billions of lives on the planet, not only would we as a human being lose all our rights to a Self-determined life. But also each single country who signs the treaty would lose its sovereignity.
United States Citizen	When looking to bring together many voices to address a common challenge, it is important to preserve established freedoms and avoid authoritarian measures to produce a conducive environment to achieve an universally desired outcome. Transparency through the process is crucial to building trust and keeping the process open for input from all affected participants, including implementing failsafe measures that allow disbanding of the agreement in locations where the majority of the people do not want it. Implementation and decisions should remain at the local representative leadership level to best serve the interests of the locality including the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement at a national or local level. Those that stand to gain financially or otherwise, either as an individual or company, cannot be permitted to influence or lobby the direction of any measures to help ensure there are no conflicts of interest driving decisions taken. Any attempt at a unified response should be permission based with agreed upon milestones prior to any attempted implementation to lend credence to the actions and help establish a common agreed upon goal, which once reached, will end the measures taken immediately. Thank you.
Not relevent	When new treatments to new diseases are introduced, these vaccines and drugs cannot be mandated. I know over 5 people seriously injured or dead from heart problems days after their mrna vaccines/boosters. One mom had to against her will in order to continue her job, she died. This "every living person must be vaccinated" mantra was incredibly damaging to public health messaging credibility. Especially when taking a test was a much more reliable method of seeing if someone actually had covid..king county wa tracked over 100k breakthru cases. Makes no sense 10 covid positive vaccinated people are considered "safe" but an unvaccinated -test person can't do certain things. It's like writers of these mandates have a zero IQ.
Stand for Health Freedom	When preparing a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, I strongly believe the following points need to be considered: 1. During an emergency all healthcare decisions need to stay as close to home as possible. People are not the same. We all respond differently to diseases, food, drugs, environmental toxins and chemicals. Keeping the response local allows the needs of individual groups to be meet and protected. 2. Treaties that remove the power of the US to govern its citizens are dangerous to our country's autonomy and future. The USA was founded on freedom. Having the WHO mandate policies during emergencies contradicts the very foundation of our country. Please take action to protect our autonomy. Thank you, Lisa S Matthews
General Public	When preparing a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, there are four principles that must absolutely be included: 1. National and local leadership must retain their full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process must take place, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. We must not allow pharmaceutical companies or other global health companies to influence the process for their own gain. We must not prepare a new international instrument without these provisions.
None	When this treaty proposal was brought to my attention it was shocking to say the least. In fact the past two years over reach of WHO and other organisations such as FDA and CDC has been astonishing, disturbing and without a doubt led to the global economic crisis, dire health outcomes and blatant corruption of these organisations, mainstream media, world leaders and many medical professionals. A 28 year career in health has led me to hold deep respect for my colleagues for their tireless compassion, knowledge, training and hours and hours of often deeply traumatic work. However this past two years I have come to realise that organisations such as WHO do not hold public health or patient care at the highest of goals as both should be. Sadly many many clinicians have either been too afraid or paid off and failed to protect their patients and colleagues from the dangerous overreaching arm of WHO during this alleged pandemic. Now WHO is attempting to further override individual nations own laws and constitutional rights to address their own citizens public health needs by pushing this treaty. At no point in humanity should any one organisation have such power over multiple nations and billions of citizens. The arrogance of WHO to blatantly try to push this treaty through is to sadly be expected from one of the most corrupt organisations on the planet.
Free Earth Alliance, & W.O.R.K	When WHO is formed & is based on irrefutable scientific evidence & true knowledge, then they have got no authority to make any laws & rules & treaties without first proving any pandemic or endemic definitions as per establish science itself. Moreover, a human body is a precious natural gift of God, to strive & thrive in naturally created earthly environment, needing no other entity to meddle with software of life, resorting to gene therapies in name of varied vaccinations. Similarly we fully object & resist any effort to manipulate the natural weather in name of climate change & other fraudelent treaties based on spurious sciences & not established one.
None	When will the firms that manufacture vaccines have their " no liability " status lifted and be liable if contaminated batchs of vaccine vials are distributed and administered to the population Causing bodily harm to the recipients receiving the injection?
Human	Where is the Warranty for the souveranity of countries and humans? Where is the protection of the people from sick making pharmaceutical products?
Public	Where there is risk, there must be choice. Therefore any recommendations by the WHO in pandemic management must support national sovereignty and bodily autonomy above any and all recommendations. It should not be a political or pharma led response and there must be public debate allowed that provides a balanced view on the threat and the response. There should be a list of options provided with the potential risks and considerations that nations then decide on how to proceed.
Radiographer	Where there is vacinne risk there must be choice.
Self	Whereas I appreciate the WHO efforts to mitigate future global pandemics, I believe that any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response needs to include the following; The right to choose appropriate medical intervention, including herbal, traditional or complementary treatments, based on the nation's unique geographical, cultural, spiritual and scientific knowledge. That national and local leadership retain full autonomy and sovereignty, with the right to make political and treatment decisions based on what is best for their own people. For example, in Aotearoa/New Zealand, the Treaty of Waitangi, our founding constitutional document, guarantees sovereignty to the indigenous peoples of this land (Maori) so any WHO directive would need to honour that sovereignty. That national governments and local councils may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. That countries openly share knowledge of, and cooperate in, the research and development of effective treatments (including re-purposed medicines). That decisions are not influenced by commercial interests, especially those of pharmaceutical companies or global health profiteers, or are exclusively based on the western biomedical approach.
KDCFS Wildfire Emergency	Whereas the WHO response to Covid 19 pandemic during the past 2 years has been disastrous in its own right for the health of the world population. Whereas the WHO is significantly funded by donors profiting from healthcare decisions and is under the political influence of corporate interest, WHO may be subject to backroom racketeering in their decision making. And Whereas the global community, the people, have not been consulted on their wishes to relinquish their constitutional and inalienable rights and freedoms to the WHO. 1. National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to local decision making. The global and often even national diversity is too wide to thrive under the same denominators. 2. Nations and local municipalities must be free to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as and when they see fit, without consequence. 3. Secure and implement frequent, fair, transparent and direct input from the global community - 'The People' - in all decisions. 4. Implement transparent safe-guards preventing corporate and political profiteering from health emergencies. 5. Define clearly terminology used as it relates to: vaccines, pandemic, health emergency, measures, national and local authorities, inalienable and constitutional rights and freedoms granted under an emergency declaration etc.
Person	While a guide line may be helpful never should any party be subject to mandates. Allow all to receive your info but allow any and all to decline to participate.
None	While a pandemic is clearly an international phenomenon, it is not acceptable for a supra-national body to seek to constrain the actions of nation states in response to it. If international rules force a nation to impose restrictions on its population, the result will be distrust and even contempt of the international body that stands behind the rules. The experience of the Covid-19 disaster, in which Sweden was more successful in the face of the pandemic despite not following the approach of other countries, illustrates the danger of attempting to overrule the independence of nation state governments.
Citizen USA	While countries need to work together on world issues, the specific response should be as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	While efforts to streamline pandemic response globally are certainly understandable, the challenge remains that there must still be reverence recognized for individual and local sovereignty with respect to both personal and jurisdictional constitutions. Cultural and legal divides between nations are differentials both unique and complicated. A one size fits all approach cannot be blanketed across the globe when dealing with various governments. Additionally, communist states where draconian measures are used to control the citizens yield too much power over their people. Free nations must preserve the status of those societies, especially in the most difficult of times. This variability is what makes these efforts rather insurmountable. Take the United States for example, while individual states took differing approaches to pandemic response, it is not until two years after the start of the pandemic that we learn which measures brought the worst degree of unintended consequence. Discoveries about differences in those policies are coming to light revealing how both political and private companies played a role in influencing policy which shows incredibly irresponsible leadership. Establishing a treaty to create an overarching governing body does little to prevent the most heinous atrocities, such as what we see happening in Shanghai at this very moment. It's an end that does not justify the means. More focus needs to be placed on making discoveries about the after affects before any measure of control can be installed.
None	While global cooperation and sharing of information and approaches would benefit from a coordinated set of principles, a binding treaty may be fraught with too many issues given the heterogeneous nature of disease patterns and localized conditions. Therefore, any global agreement on pandemic response should incorporate the following concepts: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on implementation, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Fredau photography	While global cooperation and sharing of information and approaches would benefit from a coordinated set of principles, a binding treaty may be fraught with too many issues given the heterogeneous nature of disease patterns and localized conditions. Therefore, any global agreement on pandemic response should incorporate the following concepts: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on implementation, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Self	While global pandemics can require countries to cooperate to help end disease around the world, the past response to the Covid pandemic was extreme and a massive over-reach. The alarming cooperation regarding Covid of countries around the world when it is very difficult to get cooperation on any issue shows how overly coordinated the policies already are. We need more autonomy and freedom in our health decisions and will not comply with draconian measures from our government or a world government. There should be no treaty especially without freedom from experimentation or invasive medical tyranny.
Walter	While I 100% disagree with the premise that the WHO has ANY power to do this, but I offer up these arguments: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Member of the general public	While I agree with many international plans and strategies, one organisation cannot be allowed to control the world's health or enforce bio-surveillance. No two countries are the same; a country must be allowed to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, and situations. A country should not be dictated to by one outside organisation who is not party to all the relevant information, considerations and risk factors. Therefore, any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must include the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of an agreement as they see fit and without consequence. As a consultant for Care Sector in COVID19 pandemic, it became apparent pharmaceutical companies and other global health profiteers have heavily influenced processes; these have not necessarily been in the best interests of the people. Any international measures must include safeguarding strategies to prevent pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or any other individual or organisation from influencing processes. Natural law and democratic constitutions must be protected and people have a right to participate in any agreement that affects their lives, livelihoods, and well-being. Therefore, there also needs to be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
Self	While I am against joining a legally binding global pandemic treaty, all Pandemic preparedness should contain all data and all studies, which should be available to all people. I as an American Citizen say NO to a legally binding global pandemic treaty. No entity is above our Constitution of The United States of America. Please refer to Our Declaration of Independence and The Constitution of The United States of America. We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defence, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America. Sincerely, Necia Schablow
Self	While I am thankful that we have an entity like the WHO who presumably is there to benefit all people of the world I do not feel that this body should be dictating what the world does. We of the United States are exactly that- the United States governed by OUR people for our people. In no way should this entity be deciding what individual countries should do. Every situation is unique and we have a fundamental right to decide for ourselves what we do. No one body should be in charge of so much concerning something so important as our health. The WHO can make recommendations but not dictations! Please think about how this is not in the spirit of what the WHO is supposed to be. Thank you for your time.
Private Citizen of the United States	While I appreciate the guidance on many issues from the WHO, the world pandemic response in the last 2 years was a failure. There should be no overreaching policies or power given to the WHO in the case of a pandemic response. Any international instrument for a pandemic response should include language that is suggestive of steps to be taken, not attempting to override free citizen's rights. This needs to be explicitly stated in the language of the plan. Thank you for your time.
Human	While I disagree to the necessity of the WHO making an international ruling on pandemic preparedness and responses, if there were one to go ahead I would consider it necessary to include the following elements National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I appreciate the inclusiveness of the above points for consideration.
Personal (me)	While I support open communication at every level, I oppose any international treaty which includes mandates or processes which challenge the sovereignty of individual nations: 1) individuals must be able to make informed medical choices with their doctors or other health providers 2) the suppression of science surrounding the Covid pandemic must never again be allowed; a robust and comprehensive dialogue—not a singular narrative promulgated by profiteers and captured public agencies—must be an integral and respected part of any global action. The diversity of approaches to science and medicine that are products of particular cultures and nations need to inform science at every level. The one-size-fits-all approach to medicine and mandates that inhere in this proposed global agenda is completely unacceptable.
N/A	While I support the general concept of international cooperation on matters of health, especially when the issues are global in nature, international, unelected bodies must not have the power to override the will of sovereign nations or their citizens. Member states often have radically different needs to one another and a 'one size fits all' approach is not the path to optimal health outcomes for all. Member nations must have full autonomy over health-related decisions for their own citizens and must be able to opt out of any or all of parts of any WHO joint agreement at any time without sanction or penalty. Additionally, any decisions affecting the health of citizens of signatory states must be debated and publicised openly, with an opportunity for citizens to vote on individual measures and be part of the discussion and decision making process. In addition, corporations, NGOs, corporate lobby groups and any other such entities other than citizen-based groups should be explicitly excluded from any discussion or debate and restricted from directing or influencing decision making processes. Further, such entities should be explicitly prohibited from funding, donating or otherwise contributing financially or materially to the WHO or to any related personnel or WHO associated organisation. These measures will help to ensure that the WHO continues to put the health of the citizens of the world as its first and foremost priority and that the will of individual nation states and their citizens is not undermined.
GGI	While I think, exchange of information is important, I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty.
Multiple Employers	While I understand that it is an extremely complex task to respond to a world pandemic by the very nature of that which you are trying to fight, I feel that for me personally I now have serious doubts as to the credibility of the WHO and the Organization's ability to respond to pandemic situations. I see signs that there has been a complete lack of ownership on the part of key decision makers, choices being made based on political concerns and not the greater good of the planet, and a focus based on reaction and not on pro-activity. "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" I believe that it needs to be 100% free of political intervention. Mechanisms need to be put into place to ensure neutrality in so much as it is possible. Messaging needs to be transparent. Emphasis must always be put on maintaining the maximum individual freedom possible.
None	While I understand the way in which you have come to this point in the response to the pandemic, I believe it should not be a one size fits all response for the world. Every nation is different in its needs and I do not think there should be a one response for all. Each /country should be left to make decisions for themselves since they will know better how to take care of their can citizens.
Citizen	While I welcome medical advice from epidemiologists, I think it wise to provide that such a treaty not restrict or supercede decisions by national and local elected officials. The reason I give for this is ecological: diversity and irregularity in natural environments and among species creates resistance and resilience to disturbance. For instance, catastrophic fires are less likely where vegetation consists of a forest-meadow mosaic; meadow tend to interrupt the fire's progress. Pandemics are a similar disturbance, and we need to be resilient against them by crowd-sourcing a multitude of countermeasures. The next pandemic will not be the same as the last. It is impossible—even for the experts— to prescribe a universal response that is correct in all places and cases. Global diversity in pandemic response is the best policy. Thank you, David Rand
Australian Citizens	While I welcome the WHO to make recommendations, there should never be compulsion to any member state to comply.
none	While I welcome your work and recommendations, large organizations with too much power become a target for corruption. Organizations with deep pockets know who they have to pay off in order to get what works for them, not necessary what works for the public good. The WHO does not need more authority or influence in order to make a positive contribution to humanity.
NA	While I wholeheartedly object to any International Treaty whatsoever, should sovereign countries be foolish enough to enter into one, said treaty must include the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen of Canada	While pandemic preparedness and response can be a valuable set of tools, the variety of considerations for individual nations is complex. Keep in mind factors that vary from country to country such as population density and age, health resources, food supply and distribution, geopolitical stresses, geography and climate all conspire to create a complex system requiring countries to tailor the pandemic response. One size does not fit all. Each countries elected officials and experts need the right to create a plan that best meets all of its specific parameters. A global plan cannot effectively address the myriad of situations faced across the globe. Please allow each nation to shape their own pandemic plan. Thank you Lorri Cobitz
Reiki	While pandemics are a global issue, individual nations should have the ultimate say in their citizen's well beings. There is a way to work together as a planet yet remain sovereign per nation. Our nations and local municipalities should have the option to opt out of any or all portions of the agreement that they see fit, without consequence. I believe we need to take measures that do not allow influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. It is pertinent for nations and local governments to retain full autonomy for their citizens without interference from a global governing body and to retain influence over their citizens without consequences. This is important for nations to reserve the right to make decisions for their OWN citizens. I'm asking for an open and transparent process where all the people of the world can vote on failsafe measures that will prevent the application of this treaty to areas where the majority of citizens do not want it. Working together is crucial but only when all parties, nations, and local municipalities are able to make decisions based on their citizens best interest not what a global health organization deems is appropriate. Thank you for your time.
None	While pandemics are a very real possibility in this world of easy global travel the need for transparency and truthful dissemination of information is even more critical. Without that any plan to prepare is worthless. This is evident in the current situation. Perhaps the plan should include the natural immunity possibility rather than quarantines and mass shutdowns.
LWS Literary Services	While preparing for a pandemic is critical, I believe it is also critical to consider all sides of science, not just that provided by Big Pharma, organizations, like the CDC and FDA. All sides of science were not brought to the forefront during the COVID pandemic. So that is the first task of WHO: to not squelch discussions and evidence from all sides. Second, establishing one plan for the entire world - a world where individuals experience different levels of freedoms, where they choose different health options, have different viewpoints of health and disease - is egregious, not to mention ridiculous. The world will never act embrace one plan for health. WHO cannot force the world to get vaccinated anymore than they can force the world to take vitamin C everyday or believe that chemotherapy and radiation are best treatments for cancer. That's the language of Big Pharma. Governments represent their constituents - at least free countries do. What gives WHO the right to rule over the world's healthcare and FORCE every government to adopt the same philosophies, the philosophies of WHO? I would protest a worldwide pandemic plan in a heartbeat, especially based on it being guided by Big Pharma, based on Big Pharma and governments slandering the scientists who spoke out against COVID protocols, and the manipulation of information and data. Medicine and health are a philosophies at heart and have been for 5000 years. Not everyone's philosophy is conventional medicine.
None - personal comment	While sharing information and resources across national lines is helpful, any treaty that removes the sovereign power of the United States to make decisions for its people is dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists and what actions will be recommended or forced upon people. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. I urge you to reject any language that abdicates our ability to make health or other decisions for ourselves as a country.
health	While the response to Covid has not been a sucess in many countries only by having countries pursue individual strategies have we been able to compare an contrast what is actually effective and beneficial to the population. Countries and regions within countries should maintain full autonomy to best serve the needs of there people. the interests of the population are not well represented by pharmaceutical companies, NGOs and Billionaires
No	While the time of the Corona-Pandemie, there was so much lost: human health, psychic and physical - perspectives - especial for young people, there were committed lots of suicides... Of course I know, that Corona is quite serious, but anyway it should not be treated in the way it was.
none	While the WHO is a good option for collecting health data ,to evaluate peoples healthyness in a global way, to bring scientists together to find the best possible solutions for health related problems, it should not have the right to enforce any measures not wanted by local governments. Any measure directly affecting people may only be declared by democatically legitimated local governments. So I think, the WHO should stay with its advisory function.
concerned citizen	While this appears to be an honest attempt to protect public health in the event of a pandemic, a world wide program has many dangerous implications. As the current pandemic has taught us, a one sized fits all model is not effective and not a truly viable solution. The key principles that I believe should guide any such effort to establish an "international instrument" MUST include to be acceptable are: 1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of ANY and ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to VOTE on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a MAJORITY of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, insurance companies, health care systems, medical device companies, NGOs that use their money to influence health policy in any country as well as any other company, individual or organization that stands to profit from this "international instrument"
private citizen	While this appears to be an honest attempt to protect public health in the event of a pandemic, a world wide program has many dangerous implications. As the current pandemic has taught us, a one sized fits all model is not effective and not a truly viable solution. The key principles that I believe should guide any such effort to establish an "international instrument" MUST include to be acceptable are: 1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of ANY and ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to VOTE on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a MAJORITY of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, insurance companies, health care systems, medical device companies, NGOs that use their money to influence health policy in any country as well as any other company, individual or organization that stands to profit from this "international instrument"

Organization	Written submission
WYMO	While this appears to be an honest attempt to protect public health in the event of a pandemic, a world wide program has many dangerous implications. As the current pandemic has taught us, a one sized fits all model is not effective and not a truly viable solution. The key principles that I believe should guide any such effort to establish an "international instrument" MUST include to be acceptable are: 1. National and local leadership MUST retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of ANY and ALL portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to VOTE on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a MAJORITY of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do NOT allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies, insurance companies, health care systems, medical device companies, NGOs that use their money to influence health policy in any country as well as any other company, individual or organization that stands to profit from this "international instrument"
Individual	While we appreciate the role of WHO to assist in coordinating global responses to global issues, the role of the WHO should be just that, an assist or advisory role. The duly elected local governments of each country need to retain autonomous local leadership without obligation to enact or enforce laws our elected leaders did not directly create. Any new instrument needs to adhere to the following: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Holman Health Conections	While we certainly need to work globally on pandemic sharing and goals, we need to stay as close to home with our decision making processes. That is, there should NEVER be any overriding of our own U.S. laws and constitution, which safeguard our freedom and bodily autonomy.
none	While WHO may make suggestions for pandemic responses, individual countries and regions should not be required to follow them. Autonomy is critical. Environments play a role in disease spreading, and climates affect these. One size does not fit all. The motives of drug companies and others who have financial incentives for pandemic response must be skeptically evaluated.
SAHM	Whilst a uniform approach would substantially reduce any confusion in how to respond in a pandemic, it would be important that each country and region would still have the autonomy to make their own decisions that best fit their people. This would include not having any penalties for opting out of some portions of the agreement. To gain public confidence, it would be important to have a transparent process showing exactly why each pandemic response has been included. Ideally, the people of the world would vote on each component. Most importantly, there would be no influence of any companies or organizations that could profit from those responses.
Network Design & Marketing	Whilst I can see that the WHO has a role to play in giving general advice about various health related issues worldwide, as an unelected body, I do not believe it should ever override national and local leadership decisions which may be more appropriate for a given region or country when all factors are considered. An opt-out clause should be in place without consequence for the local leadership and their population. Additionally, recent events have suggested that bodies external to WHO, particularly pharmaceutical companies and their shareholders, have influenced WHO policy decisions making them contrary to the best interests - social, financial and health - of the citizens of sovereign states. An open and transparent process is vital to avoid further erosion of trust in WHO's policy and decision making with all information and opinions to be taken into account, including those in the medical community who challenge a given narrative or rationale.
Independent	Whilst I support a co-ordinated management of controls for any future pandemic, I do not believe that any unelected organisation should have an undisputed say in what action each sovereign country should take. The impact of pandemic controls have significant and serious consequences on everyone and therefore should be something that is implemented by individual governments representing their people on a case by case basis. I am all for an organisation such as WHO making recommendations as to actions to take to restrict the impact of a pandemic, along with sound arguments and recognition of side effect impacts and resolutions to those impacts, but it should remain the decision of each individual country as to whether or not they adopt the recommendations. There should also be in place a process whereby the individual country's decision can be challenged by the collective if there are concerns that those decisions will cause greater negative outcomes of the control, but any change in a country's specific stance should be through persuasion rather than by coercion or enforcement.
None	Whilst international co-operation is beneficial any 'international instruments' should NOT over-ride national sovereignty and jurisdiction as this too easily becomes a 'one size fits all' solution that is beyond proper democratic process. Failures in proper regulatory procedure would then be impossible to rectify. Diversity of approach and proper scientific debate, discussion and reflection are key elements of a pandemic response.
Individual	Whilst it is important for prompt and effective action to be taken in the event of another pandemic, I believe it is important for each country to retain local leadership and decision-making. A 'one-size-fits-all' approach does not allow for flexibility according to the needs of the population of a particular country. For this reason it is important for countries and local municipalities to be able to opt out of any or all parts of the agreement as they see fit, without any adverse consequences. It is important to have an open and transparent process for all the world's population to be able to vote on and there should be measures to prevent the application of the global agreement where the majority of people do not want it. It is vital that there are safeguards to prevent any undue influence by pharmaceutical companies and other global health bodies that could seek to profit from such an arrangement.
Citizen	Whilst pandemic preparedness is important for the globe and individual countries, individual countries and individual regions will inevitably likely have a superior local knowledge, so local input should always be paramount. Unfortunately a one-size fits all policy does not aways fit all! We've seen some disastous effects of policies apparently being led by science while other scientific approaches have been censored out and unheard or untested. So there are inherent dangers in global policy, especially those that are funded by the pharmaceutical industry that stands to earn billions and expect returns. So in preparing these WHO pandemic 'guidelines' ((these may not become policy due to the inherent dangers mentioned above) conflicts of interest must be ruled out and banned altogether.
Private citizen	Whilst some use may come from an agreement that would ease the sharing of relevant data and information between countries in a global crisis, the ultimate sovereignty of each individual on earth to bodily autonomy is both sacred and protected by law. No powers should ever be allowed to rule over a living man or woman's right to decide what medical procedures they permit. We do not hand this authority to you.
None	Whilst the WHO is a body that can give guidance globally, pandemic preparedness should be a national issue, giving elected governments and those who elect them a greater say in these protocols. A more localised approach, with greater autonomy, would incur less risk than we had for the preparedness we had for the last two years. As nations, we could compare and contrast our preparedness decisions but can choose or opt out of protocols unsuitable for our needs.
none	Whilst the WHO is an international body and thus well situated to gather data from varying perspectives and sources, those same criteria make it impractical and in fact, dangerous to dictate global policies. Health and safety is best directed by those closest to the individuals impacted where consequences can be evaluated and monitored. To take that knowledge out of local hands and put it in an organization far removed from those it affects is a grave mistake, and one I trust will be rejected by leaders who claim to care for those they lead.
The Democratic Network	Whilst we accept that the WHO may provide information we do not recognise their authority over us. The influence of groups such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation means that the WHO lacks independence and therefore can have no authority over us.
pensioner	who proposal is not acceptable . health is personal choice . not government . my body my choice
Wereldburger	WHO - STOP met de raadgevingen, opgedrongen onder manipulatie van de World Economic Forum (WEF) !!!
American Citizen	WHO Please work to restore medical science founded in ethics and integrity. Science void of the powerful influence of drug trials manipulated purely by pharmaceutical profits and mega foundations. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Mandating quickly developed and tested vaccines for the "greater good" of mankind is science wearing blinders to real world consequences. Respectfully, Katreena Haley Ocala, Fl
Individual	WHO RE: a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. 1. WHO Principles: Informed opinion and active cooperation. a. Informed opinion requires absolute access to all opinions in order to make an informed decision. b. Active cooperation requires agreement. Agreement cannot be coerced. Only free individuals can consent to active cooperation. 2. Preparedness a. Require that there will be no censorship of any ideas or opinions. Free Speech is a fundamental God-given right that shall not be infringed. Censorship in any amount is not compatible with free people and destroys the ability to make an informed decision. b. Require that there will be no governmental mandatory social or medical actions. Active cooperation requires agreement by the participants. Each individual must act or respond in accordance with their own informed opinion without loss of liberty or freedom. 3. Response a. Require all governments to ban all censorship of any opinions or free speech. Criminalize government, business, NGO, and WHO propaganda spread in the name of "settled science". b. Criminalize and prosecute any government, agency, official, officer, NGO, public or private entity that mandates or restricts specific response treatments of any disease or pandemic. Conclusion: Only the individual can decide what is appropriate for their social or medical response to any disease or pandemic. Forced compliance with any law, rule, coercion, treaty, or mandate destroys freedom, active cooperation, and restricts informed consent. There is only compliance to the tyranny of forced medical experimentation which is forbidden by the Nuremburg tribunal.
Citizen	WHO & the Federal Government of the United States of America. We the citizens of the United States of America do not allow you to sign away our U.S. sovereignty and our U.S. citizen health privacy by joining this treaty that is intended to be legally binding to all member states. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families.
Person	WHO (or any organisation) must never have control of a worldwide pandemic response to the point they override national governments (while we pretend they exist, that you and WEF don't actually put people in place within them). It is not your place to make the whole world follow your rules and (likely) enforce vaccines, that have horrific death rates, on the world population in order to access places.
none	WHO actions during the COVID-19 prove conclusively WHO cannot be depended on for sound medical advice not guided by political pressures. Putting WHO politicians in charge of American medical decisions would be a gross dereliction of protecting the citizens of American. Nonnegotiable principles must be protected for all people: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self organised	WHO agrees that certain human rights are inalienable and non-negotiable, including; 1 Governments do not get to suspend human rights because of "emergencies" 2 Rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights 3 No politicisation of generic off label medicines which might alleviate suffering. Each and every human being has the inalienable right to choose the form of treatment that they wish to utilize in order to maintain or restore their health 4 Right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected 5 Discrimination based on medical status is wrong. No authority has the right to place any form of restrictions on healthy people that deny their right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses 6 Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are unlawful 7 WHO to ensure complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. Contracts with pharmaceutical corporations to be transparent 8 Everyone has the right to information in order to form their own opinion 9 Harm and injury cannot be legislated or contracted away. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services 10 Government officials may start emergencies, but the people must be able to end them
None	WHO and all government bodies of the world should leave all health decisions to the individuals. Every human is sovereign and should be allowed to decide for them self how best to protect their own health and well being.
private individual	WHO and governmental leaders should not be making health related decisions for individuals in the world. All health decisions need to be the exclusive decision of the individual. I am against any treaty or WHO provision that puts the decision-making power over an individual's health choices in the hands of anyone other than the individual. So my answer to your question about "elements that should be included" is: The WHO has no power over health decisions of individuals anywhere in the world. Health decisions are the exclusive right of the individual.
Private	WHO and its representatives are not elected by the people which is the base of any democratic concept. Why then should they receive the rights to implement laws on people? It should be an independent instituion seperated from country politics. The idea of WHO is to support countries regarding medical topics and not to be involved in politics with the hazard of corruption. In case of any further questions feel free to contact me. Best regards, Jovita Horn
People of Earth	WHO are killing people with all their lockdowns and jabs and orcements.There in NO Way to WHO treaty. Give people to live. Stop murdering them with jabs. You will answer to God for all what you did with people. Stop ! No treaty!
None	Who are the persons behind the decision if we have a pandemic or not? Objective independent people or people payed by the pharma? Who guarantees , that You won't abuse this contract? Who guarantees our human rights? With this contract You have the power putting the people in a global jailhouse. What is the result of the "pandemic" and its rules now? The collateral damages are endless. Millions of people starved to death in Africa. More people died of the jab than from the illness. Thousands of people are injured by the jab. Thousands of people have commited suicide. The only ones who are profiting where the rich ones in increasing their millions and billions. Everything You have decided is against human nature, against human rights and against God! So the only thing I can say is: NO!!!
not applicable	WHO are you to deny basic human rights and neuter the US Constitution under the guise of "emergency"? Your financing is dependent on stripping humanity of medical freedom, coercing us to surrender the birthright of freewill. We do not consent to any binding treaty. Any US "official" that signs this treaty violates the oath of office and will be subject to penalties for crimes against humanity. Any for-profit with no liability business and mandated consumption that wants to withhold trial results for 70 years has something to hide. Any US regulatory agency representative supporting lack of disclosure and informed consent on human experimentation is derelict and will be held accountable. Under the name of "science" world leaders consistently give false information about the nature of illness, coerce and mandate experimental and harmful protocols such as masking, distancing, staying indoors, early treatments, safety and efficacy by redefining terms like "cases", "cause of death", "vaccine" and adding the fictitious "asymptomatic transmission" to eliminate travel, assembly, worship, speech and bodily autonomy. Data has been manipulated and misinterpreted to justify global control policies. Expert debate has been censored and attempts to criminalize dissent are in progress. Fortunately, we have real world data showing these "safety" measures do not decrease severe disease and death caused by deliberately withheld or dangerous medical products and services. We know "Covid-19" was created in a lab with US funding. We see incentivized medical murder and have evidence early treatments are effective. We claim freewill.
Humanity	Who are you to make decisions for me? I did not elect you to a position of power nor do I give my consent for you to make any decisions for me. You don't get to decide anything about my life. So stop now.
Eirene LLC	WHO bring an international agency should be unbiased, unadulterated by world governments and drug companies, impartial to any political ideologies and first and foremost stand by the ethos is"DO NO HARM". There needs to be transparency in the investigations of emergencies as well as be one of the gatekeeper, not the only one, of drugs being rolled out. You guys should not be on the business of mandating medical procedures and protocols like dictators and wanna be tyrants. This COVID-19 pandemic leaves a bad taste is our mouths as it seems like everything that could go wrong did. There was no transparency in the musical protocols and vaccine, in fact there were attempts to hide the adverse reactions. WHO should have been the body that is at the Frontline screaming to stop the vaccine mandates. Nothing should be able to trump on human rights to personal medical choice. Nothing....
None	WHO can advice on guidelines but NEVER have influence on decisions made in Denmark or any other countries
None	WHO can advise but not have authority over national governments Sources of funding of WHO such as Bill and Melinda Gates compromise its authority, so the funding base of WHO should be reviewed to give its advise on pandemics greater credibility
personal	WHO can make strong suggestions on a pandemic but at the same time, leave the final health decisions to the public since situations are different in every country. It is very important that the freedoms of the participating countries be maintained. Thank you
UK Resident	WHO can provide guidance and advice and provide balanced information on drugs, treatments and vaccines. The focus on new vaccines where long term test results are clearly not available is confusing to me. Why were treatments not promoted. The modelling and predictions were amazingly poor. So poor, they renamed them 'scenarios' in the UK. Given most of the restrictions are in response to these poor models, improve them! WHO should not have any powers whatsover to insist on measures taken by Governments. I am personally concerned that WHO and other public health authorities feel lockdowns were successful and that they will try and impose a similar range of restrictions in the future. Many countries will be suffering the consequences of these measures for many years (including sever health consequences). The restrictions imposed on children in particular are cruel, causing immense harm for little benefit. When questioned, authorities like WHO simply close down debate, ridicule the "unbelievers", only to back pedal as major problems emerge. We need real leadership. Witnessing the latest level of lockdowns in China leaves me with little confidence however. It seems there are groups of people who are relatively unaffected by lockdowns (retain jobs & salary, work from home, get food and goods delivered, have nice gardens and have enjoyed the extra holidays a positive test provides). For others, I feel many lives have been destroyed and where people are recovering, they are fearful this will be imposed again.
Human	WHO can't govern itself with all the deaths steadily observed they are incompetent! Our health is a free choice to make under God, we must remain free to choose or the planet will fight this communist type take over.
private citizen	WHO clearly has no competence or business directing any actions regarding public health anywhere, much less across the globe. Having stated the obvious, however, politicians and others who seem determined to forge ahead with more disastrous mandates should heed the feedback of the public as noted below. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
none	WHO created an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body (INB) to work out the details of the global Pandemic Treaty planned for adoption at the 2024 World Health Assembly. It intended that the agreement be legally binding on member states. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. MY BODY, MY CHOICE! I don't want an international body making decisions for me!
Citizen of United States of America	WHO created an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body (INB) to work out the details of the global Pandemic Treaty planned for adoption at the 2024 World Health Assembly. It intended that the agreement be legally binding on member states. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. Click here to learn more and sign the petition telling our lawmakers to keep health at home. What substantive elements should be included? NO ELEMENTS. USA should NOT participate in this treaty, which is antithetical to our constitution, our sovereignty, and the welfare of our nation. NO WHO TREATY! NO PARTICIPATION!
Me myself	WHO decieved the whole world and cannot be trusted by any one or any country. They continue to hide the truth....they do not stand for my health
Home	WHO directive during a pandemia should NOT override Gov decision and each individual county public decision not should influence/decide when the pandemic emergency state needs to be invoked. These measure should remain to the Gov level because people chose Governments to represents them and not some associations of Gov with different international affiliation. So, Canada Gov should NOT be part of the treaty because people of Canada doesnt want to be part of such treaty.
The Very Best Logic	Who do you think you are? What we have already seen with the WHO's centralization of the COVID response was full of conflicts of interest and only deadly treatments are allowed and false positive PCR tests. While safety data and investigations into adverse events of the jab have been promised, none have been realized even after the billions of dollars put into the jabs and the tests and all the marketing. This treaty only solidifies and highlights the usurpation of sovereignty by immoral corporate/government cooperation that seems to benefit the profit and status of a few over the many little lone actually do anything for health. Please rescind this treaty and annul the previous one granting people to bring dynamic creative life affirming solutions. For anyone that needs more information of the facts here are 6 days of expert testimony and the facts are still being gathered. https://grand-jury.net/
Self	WHO does not know how to take into account the economic and emotional damage done by their actions to try and limit pandemic spread. We need to make those decisions ourselves and not surrender our liberty to an organization that does not look out for our best interests.
Citizen of US	WHO does not now and will never have sovereignty over citizens of the United States. We are free people with control over our lives and you have no right to try to interfere with our country's policies. In the interest of peace and cooperation, I suggest you continue to offer input but that you do not overstep your boundaries by attempting any international instrument on any pandemic.
Private	Who elected the WHO? Who are you accountable to? I gave you no permission to meddle in my life. You do not act for the world's gain but only for your own profit. I do not support anything that you do especially not this.
-	WHO failed completely to deal with pandemics, to begin with changing the pandemic definition. There should be no "international instrument" because the treatment would be worse than the problem itself.
Freedom for humanity	Who gave the WHO the right to place itself above the free, democratic basic order? No one gives you the right to determine other countries! The WHO is a privately funded society. Not democratically elected by the world's population! No to the whole thing! Donations from private individuals. Interests of private individuals!
non	WHO gives recommentations, no legal obligations. Each human has the free will an thee free choise to follow the recommendation or not. No other human and person and no institution may determine about the live span of a single human. That would be dictatorship and the end of a free world. People - scientist and politicans - can make mistakes. There are different ways of staying or getting health. Not one person or institution has the only thruth and others are wrong. The science is changing with the more of knowledge of many people all over the world, not only in one insitution.
None	WHO guidelines must include the ability for country, state, and local governments to adopt some or all parts of WHO's pandemic response, which must be determined by those governments.
Health Rights Association	WHO has a history of spurious evolving definitions that are in conflict with local legislation. A new treaty is probably not necessary, but if there ever were a need for a pandemic treaty it would need to be supported by definitions that our legislators and international scientific community agree upon. A treaty must include traditional and firm definitions in order to give due process to the people. The reason why this is so important, is that previous WHO pandemic responses and outcomes were disastrous and unnecessary based on the WHO unilaterally changing traditional definitions. Many governments and people have complained about the definitions in the past that WHO has put out such as "pandemic", "herd immunity", "vaccine", among others. These arbitrary definitions from the WHO have led to systematic violations of human rights. The usurpation of local law and definitions which is very problematic if we were to have this become a binding treaty. Definitions are so incredibly important to the validity of any document, and the usage of definitions that conflict with local legislation or traditional science creates the invalidity of the same document. In Costa Rica the local legislation defines vaccines narrowly and the WHO defines vaccines more broadly. The "more broad" definition of the WHO is allowing for the incorporation of an experimental product which is not a vaccine and is being force mandated, even while unapproved, which denies informed consent. Nuremberg violations and denying informed consent is being promoted by WHO. Definitions matter. Treaties require Definitions.
Davids Healthy Living	WHO has already shown that they cannot help to create health and freedom for people... why would we start trusting them now? We have the highest numbers of chronic disease that we've had in recorded human history following the advice of organizations like WHO. Why are we continuing to live this story that the WHO and whoever is within it knows and understands health and freedom?
Individual	WHO has become a psuedo God organization bent on limiting peoples rights to live It cannot be accepted that decisions can be made for people and be prosecuted by law for refusal to abide by those decisions Covid proved how easily the world can be manipulated to run private and profiteering agendas for select individuals WHO is no longer independent and that's concerning, the violations made by the organization on people can shoved under rugs Not acceptable
none	WHO has consistently mismanaged this pandemic. There is no reason to think they will do a better job next time. All countries are not equal because all countries have different populations, different health cares systems and different needs - there is no one size fits all. Many approaches were tried this pandemic and it is still too early to determine which was best approach but there is value with trying different things and that value will be lost with one organization dictating how everyone does things. People vote for their elected officials to carry out their wishes in democracies, they don't vote to pay taxes and have an external organization decide how things should be handled.
Person with bodily rights	WHO has handled the pandemic in a inept way in my opinion. I do not want you to create policies that override any countries citizens medical rights. You take support from the likes of Bill Gates and big Pharma and make decisions based on their needs, not the needs of the world. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
None	WHO has no power over my body. My body my choice, get it ??????
Healthcare in Ireland	WHO has no right to demand anything over every person free will .
one	WHO has not been chosen democratic by people. So since you don't represent any of us, the WHO is not allowed to make up a treaty. So about this treaty: WHO is not democratically allowed to include anything extra in this treaty. That said: no country should give away its autonomy to sign this treaty. WHO can only give advise. And if WHO still thinks it should go on with this treaty, then every citizen of every country should have the possibility to vote!
Retired	WHO has not proven any competence during the recent Covid pandemic. It has shown compliance with both pharma and national interests instead of the science. It has not shown any real interest in demanding the facts. This proposal does nothing to show the WHO is the organization to be in charge. The US cannot turn over control of its national interests to this sort of organization.
NA	WHO has not shown any compentency in handling the COVID 19 pandemic. Why would we give up sovereignty in favor of conflicted entity and individuals. Any measures that involve WHO in addressing global pandemics at a minimum contain the following provisions: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5. Expressly define "pandemic" to meet reasonable metrics to be agreed upon in advance with sovereigns.

Organization	Written submission
General public	WHO has the duty to preserve all human rights. Laws & any global agreements must guarantee & protect health related freedoms. 1 WHO & governments shall never suspend human rights because of "emergencies." regardless of scope &/or severity of any disease outbreak or pandemic. 2 Rights of nations, states, provinces, groups & organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3 Bureaucrats have no right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. & respect the right to choose form of treatment to maintain or restore health. 4 Right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct. 5 Any discrimination based on medical status is wrong. Right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses never be cut. 6 Lockdowns &/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. People must be allowed to visit with an ill person. I demand complete transparency. 7 All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in the world to review in real time. 8 Everyone has the right to own opinion esp. regarding the effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any treatment. 9 Guilt cannot be legislated or contracted away. All manufacturers, distributors, sellers and administrators of health care products &/or procedures must be held liable for any & all damages caused by products and services. 10 Government officials may start emergencies, but the people must be able to end them. Democratic principles demand that "We the People" have the right to determine when we believe the danger has passed.
Private citizen	WHO has too many undemocratic associations to be an impartial adviser. Sovereign nations should make their own decisions to suit their circumstances, not some perceived higher body. WHO is not fit for purpose. Any person working for or with any UN organisation should declare their interests. The UN should be audited and overseen by normal human beings - yes that is what I am inferring. Science and the WHO must return to their roots and regain their moral compass.
Self Employed	WHO has woefully handled the COVID pandemic. How can it possibly handle others in the future? This should be left to individual countries to tailor their respective health programs to their individualized needs. One size does not fit all!
Humanity	WHO has zero business taking any kinds of steps to be the purveyor of all decisions in a blanket way - for ANY country. The humans of each country each have rights and their own minds and free will to decide what's best for them. The WHO should mind it's business, matter of fact it should be disbanded and closed down. This organization has done nothing but destroy the world. WE DONT NEED YOU!!!!!
none	WHO have clearly demonstrated that they are a corrupt organisation. They did not follow the science during the COVID pandemic. Therefore I believe that they cannot be trusted with any increase in power. Further all sovereign Nations and citizens of the World have the right to follow their own health policies
M.P.R	WHO have proven themselves to be corrupt, incompetent and conflicted. You are not in any position to make decisions on individual health and autonomy. Nobody trusts your science!!!
Etrain Academy Inc. B	WHO hearing on the Pandemic Treaty Dear Sir/Madam, I would like to submit my opinion on the matter by making the following assertions: 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence should ne included. 3. There should be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I believe that the four conditions stated above should be considered and included in the said pamdemic treaty currently being reviewed. Regards, Mich Tinio Concerned citizen
karentracy.net	WHO instrument on pandemic preparedness and response must contain an element specifying autonomy of ultimate authority in United States of America. Overriding the power of governance endangers the health of its citizens.
None, Citizen of the USA	WHO is a non-elected entity and has no authority to tell people what to do or not do. The Constitution of the US protects its citizens rights and freedoms. The purpose of the WHO is to hire the best researchers, communicate outcomes and make recommendations; and researchers outside of the WHO must not be silenced if their differing research results. All information must be made available to citizens and the public so each individual can make informed decisions for themselves.
Grupo Marsan	WHO is a privately found organisation. As such NO WHO instructions are to be followed at any time by countries which DO HAVE TO COMPLY with their own LAWS FIRST. This is an attempt to take over countries by private individuals who are the main owners of WHO. WHO has failed on every recommendation as Africa Covid statistics CONFIRM. NO VACCINES NO MASKING NO LOCKDOWNS = LESS COVID DEATHS THAN ANYWHEREELSE WHO is corrupt to the bone and must be diluted by free people who like me will NEVER EVER ALLOW corrupt politicians to take over my life AGAIN BY NO MEAN FOR ANY REASON
Stand for freedom	WHO is a separate entity to any and all countries and isn't bound by it laws therefore must not be involved in any manner other then recommendations to all nations about what is recommended for any pandemic or any public health concerns. It must not have any position to dictate any actions or mandate based on evidence WHO decides is accurate.
human	WHO is allowed to gather independet information and publish this information. No pharmaceutical industry related information is allowed. The basis of WHO recommendations are human rights and the respect of the free will of every human being.
public	WHO is an unelected organisation and should never have the power to dictate health policies to any country. Please note I am in total agreement with the world council for health: https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/news/2022/03/pandemic-treaty/45591/
Part of civil society	WHO is not a body elected by the peoples of the world and thus has no central power function. I urge you not to adopt or further consider or develop this pandemic treaty as presented. For me, the following points are indispensable: 1. Guarantees of state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights. 2. Prohibition of coercion to take medicines or vaccines. 3. No digital proofs and no central data storage. 4. Legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies.
Yes	WHO is not a democratic organisation elected by the people, under no circumstances should a partly industry funded organisation stand over democratic governments.
Myself	WHO is not a democratically elected entity & has no business trying to control the world. It is controlled by donations that does not have everyone's best interest. There should be NO international control on pandemic preparedness & response. They may give suggestions but not mandate terms.
Soul Freedom	WHO is not a democratically elected organisation and therefore should have no say in what we, the people should or shouldn't do when it comes to our individual health. Pandemic response lies with individual governments and even that should be treated as advice only as we should always have autonomy over our own bodies and health. Failure to have this ability makes us slaves and that is and always will be unacceptable and something I am not willing to succumb too.
Freelancer	WHO is not a government elected by the people. Therefore, there must not be a global pandemic treaty that decides on far-reaching restrictions of the freedom of nations.
Public	WHO is not an elected body and public health decisions or policy should never be set at a global level. All countries have very different populations, health issues and needs and demographics that impact health outcomes and public health policy. Therefore national and local leadership must retain full autonomy of their public health policy to reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is essential as is an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers is essential if public health policy is set reflecting the NEEDS of the people and not the pharmaceutical companies. Countries must retain the right to set their own public health policy otherwise we will not be able to set a policy that benefits the very specific needs of the countries populations - and these needs are not global in any sense and therefore should not be set at a global level.
Self	WHO is not an elected body. Therefore, any recommendations should not be binding. The sovereignty of individuals and nations needs to be maintained. There should never be incentives or punishment for following or not following guidelines. Freedom to express differing opinions must be maintained to discover truth whether medical or non-medical. Pharmaceutical companies and government entities must be responsible and liable for their decisions. There must be full disclosure of all medical interventions, treatment and products. Nations and Individuals must be presented with full disclosure whether there are risks or benefits. No individual or nation should ever be forced to accept any medical intervention that they feel does not benefit them.
Nil	WHO is not democratically elected organisation. For this reason it has no right to tell sovereign countries how to manage any pandemics. All agreements will be considered unlawful and void.NO to vaccines passport and ID.
Not Applicable	WHO is not elected by local governments to mandate rules on citizens' mobilities. Internationally non-elected organizations should refrain from developing tools that are overreaching and surpass national/local juridictions. As such, I do not support a pandemic treaty. One of the reasons for not supporting this is scientific. By the time a new emerging virus has been detected on a large scale, it would have already made its way around the globe due to the world's high degree of connectivity. Subsequently, locking down people is not the answer for "pandemic preparedness". Instead, local governments should invest in improving their public health system and should work on developing a targeted approach to respond to global health threats. Another approach would also be to encourage healthy lifestyles because exercise and diet are number one in disease prevention. Another reason for opposing such tool is violations of humans rights in the name of health care. When people are coerced to undergo a medical procedure in order to simply live and sustain themselves, they are not given a choice. Choice is fundamental in human history and for the survival of our species.
Citizen	WHO is not elected to do to me what they like.
General public	WHO is not in the interest of the people anymore. There should be no further international instrument.
Salud Mexicana	WHO is NOT responsible for people's health to a level of commanding what people should do with their own health or healthy measures. WHO is CORRUPTED. Stay out of people's life.
Private	WHO is NOT the right organization to lead the development of this treaty because it is financed mostly by private interests. The treaty itself is dangerous, as it would allow a global agreement to rule governments of over 190 countries in a time of crisis and thus taking away their sovereignty.
Private	WHO is not to be trusted any more, we dont need your advice, recommendation or any action plans. Your organisation is run by corrupt people and globaslists, psychopath and you don't care about ordinary people or their lives.Keep your plans to yourself!
Private person	WHO is organization captured by private companies thru grants and corruption. Appointing WHO to laed and override governments overrides private citizens's human rights and medical freedom. That can not be accepted at ANY circumstances. WHO has changed the definition of pandemic to industries benefit on 2009. Mr Gates has stated that he makes profit on scale 1 to 20 by investing to pharma. At the same time he manipulates the governing organizations and medical science by grants to universities and organizations such as WHO. He has ruined medical science and his role as WHO philantrofic is ridiculous. Now he wants to control the medication of all people. What could go wrong! During covid pandemic the global solutions failed miserably, and caused record braking excess mortality, bankrupcies and suffering amongst world citizens. That should be the example of reality how WHO works. I demand withdrawing any such idea to centralize world health.
Private	WHO is partly funded by private organisations and under a massive political influence. Such an organisation should not be given the power to make decisions that may have a severe impact on peoples lifes. The Covid 19-measures recommended by WHO lead to overreactions all over the world. WHO should not be given any "new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" at all.
Private person	WHO is providing informed opinion regarding the threat and advising countries about the best infection spread containment and disease treatment. Decisions are made by soverign countries and their governments only.
none	WHO is sponsored and guided by medical organisations whose first goal is profit. WHO risks becoming a marketing arm of the pharmaceutical industry, and promoting new, expensive, hi-tech medical approaches when older cheaper, safer, alternatives exist. An appropriate safeguard would be set up an unit whose job it is to lobby for lo-tech, lo-cost solutions to balance the input of the for-profit lobby. That unit should have at least equal resources and equal political influence.
UK	without regard for the health and well being of the people of the world. A pandemic treaty centralised at a sponsored organisation undermines the sovereignty of individual countries who should each decide what response they take based on their own - Demographics Economic means Disease experience Will of their own people Culture Consideration of alternative local measures Also each country should be FREE of INFLUENCE of pharmaceutical company lobbies etc. Failure to be independent has resulted in inappropriate application of drugs and protocols which have caused harm DOCTORS need to establish BEST PRACTICE in they re own environs for the best outcomes for their own patients in local circumstances. (An example of drug debacle is the inappropriate use of remdesivir during the "covid" debacle which has killed thousands of patients unecessarily.) Any agreement should be voted on by ALL people and each locality should be able to opt out of any part of such an agreement should it be inappropriate for their own people. It may be appropriate for an umbrella organisation to SUGGEST an outline for preparedness but each country can opt in or out as appropriate for they re own population depending on economic position and disease experience. WHO should NOT suggest or try to enforce drugs/ vaccines policy when their sponsor or related organisations will benefit financially in ANY way. INDEPENDENT clinical analysis of any pharmaceutical must clearly ensure NO harm
general human	Who is WHO? When did people ever authorize WHO to define any contageous disease a pandemic and authorize itself to declare constrictive measures to respond forcing the worlds entire population to obey? We never did. So please go home, care for your beloved ones, enjoy travelling on planet earth and stop minding business that is not yours.
Private	Who is WHO…private Persons that alloud themselves to take decisions for the whole humanity?!?! Never!!! I don't agree with this agreement!
Human	WHO jesteście prywatna spółka możecie cządzić swoimi rodzinami tylko !!! Jestem człowiekiem i opieram się na prawach boskich. Nikt nie będzie decydował o mnie i mojej rodzinie a szczególnie o moim zdrowiu. Zabraniam podejmować za moimi plecami jakich kolwiek decyzji dotyczących mojej wolności i zdrowia !!!! Pozdrawiam i wysyłam Boską Miłość ze Źródła😊😊😊 ❤❤❤
Self	WHO Leaders, As a women with several autoimmune conditions, and a mother of a child with autoimmune conditions and allergies, I beg you, please do not pass this Treaty. We should be given the choice of what goes into our bodies, and our children's bodies. Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. We need people and communities in control of their health. Please do not do this to this to us, it is wrong.
Self-employed	WHO lost much of its credibility during the COVID 19 pandemic. The WHO investigation on the origins of SARSCOV -- 2 was a disgrace. 1. National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
USA Citizen	WHO makes suggestionly only. National and local leadership must retain retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people, without penalty
Individual interest	WHO may make unilateral decisions on what action they feel is best. Concerning health decisions - Each country or the USA alone shall allow for its citizens to make individual health decisions for their own family that do not negatively affect their health or wealth or any other liberties if they do not agree with the WHO directive. WHO shall not override personal informed decisions individual citizens choose.
Self-Employed	WHO May offer assistance, advice or suggestions to nations of the world, but under no conditions should be given authority to require actions or prohibit alternate paths through a pandemic. All nations must be free to decide their course based on their unique circumstances and the desires of their citizens. There cannot be a global authority as long as we still have independent nations. I vote NO to any binding treaty. Every soul on earth had the right to bodily integrity and such choices must not be given over to a few unelected global leaders.
None	WHO may study, accumulate data, and come to conclusions. Those conclusions may inform its opinions on a health matter. However, no statement or opinion of the WHO is to be binding on any nation. Each member nation or non-member nation shall be free to make its own decision(s) about following or not following any health opinion or directive of the WHO.
Aviva space healing	WHO members should be changed every two years so that there is no conflict of interest and each time, a practicing research medical research consultant in all the health medium has to be included. This will improve the idea about a imbalance in holistic way. Only people with medical background should be doing the management part and they should be from all medicinal fields every tenure. For example, one year an allopathy doctor in the head, then next year an ayurvedic doctor can be head. Next year homeopathic or touch therapy practitioner or any other medical practices can be team head. WHO should be run only with the funding of all the world govts which have signed the treaty. No funding should come from any elites or corporate pharma companies. This would ensure that all the world government takes responsibility of what's happening in the organisation. The Head of team can be selected through a chit system randomly chosen so that they are not influenced by top industries. All country heads would take proper responsibility. WHO should just give advisory and not to interfere in the practice of the individual health. WHO presently doesn't know about soul healing and vibrations healing. In that case, they don't have the capacity to judge another health methodology. So they cannot interfere in one's own practice. WHO should not dictate any particular medications. It has to focus only on the health imbalances and not to connect with pharma for solutions. All health solutions are in nature and whoever wants to practice different can do their own method to heal their body and also help others to get healed.
People's Rights	WHO members, Stay out of the USA. Any international treaty that undermines the sovereignty of the United States will be considered an act of war. Our founders designed our country to be for the People and by the People. The current administration does not speak for the People and has no authority. The true authority and strength of the United States is in the People, not a handful of babbling politicians and psychopathic businessmen. The substantive element is for you to stay out of our government, our businesses, and our country. You have no authority here. -Sabrina Napolitano
Unorganized	WHO MUST ask the people of the world whether or not they believe a global agreement is necessary. No. It is NOT. What is necessary, is for The People to abolish the WHO, and all similar "global" globalist "organizations", acting against The People and against any Common Sense. The People of the World are wide awake and getting angrier by the minute. They will not tolerate a globalist dictatorship. WHO, pack and go home. We don't need you. We will abolish you as the thing of the past. Sad past.
SECB	WHO must be purely an advisory body and not have authority over national Governments. It is good for countries to work together from time to time on a voluntary basis, depending on the particular need at the time but this must continue to be by consent, particularly when their value systems are so different from each other and often deny their citizens basic human rights.
Individual	WHO must elect leaders with outstanding reputable medical career. WHO must be reorganized as recent handling of present pandemic protocols issues is still questioned by millions of foctors and people. WHO must be always independent of the influence of the laboratory industry as well as from any political influence. WHO must always allow countries to be independent managing any related health isue. WHO must allow international courts to punish irresponsable Who leaders. WHO must allow people to denounce malpractice medicine and respect science by allowing doctors worlwide to have a say if there is a new change in it.
Healthier World	WHO must evaluate the flaws in the foundation of medicine. I have found and firmly proved that the life model, the research model and the treatment model are all wrong. Medical publishers set up stonewalls against reform and improvements. The benefits-to-to risks ratios for drugs have been exaggerated by thousands to millions times. Another point is that WHO rules should be intended to regulate only cross-boarder travelers because difference nations have different ability to address pandemics. 1. Wu J, Zha P. Clinical trials cannot provide sufficient accuracy for studying weak factors necessary for curing chronic diseases. Glob J Cancer Ther. 2022;8(1): 021-033. DOI: 10.17352/2581-5407.000044. (accepted for republication by a second journal) 2. Wu J, Zha P. Clinical Trials and Reductionist Approach Preclude Cures for Chronic Diseases Due to Flawed Presumptions. SunText Rev Med Clin Res. 3(1):148. 3. Wu J. Expression of Concern: Potential Risks and Unknown Effects of mRNA Vaccines On Population Health; Damages Are Being Materialized. International Journal of Coronaviruses. 2022;4(1):2-43. doi: 10.14302/issn.2692-1537.ijcv-22-4117 (Re-publication by multiple journals). 4. Wu, J. mRNA Vaccines May Have Overwhelming Adverse Impacts on the U.S. Economy in the Future (Reprint). Journal of Insurance and Financial Management, 2022;6(2):83-124.
N/a	WHO must never have binding powers over sovereign nations with regards to their pandemic response policies. WHO should only give guidance and technical support/counsel to sovereign nations for pandemics. This was what their October 2019 pandemic guidance policy update was intended to do, a sober and rational, tried and true document that came from decades of management and experience. Private corporations, ESPECIALLY those in the pharmaceutical industry, should have NO influence, sway or ability to manipulate WHO decision making. Pharmaceutical company representatives must never be in the room when grave decisions are to be made as to a perceived or imminent pandemic. Private interests must be removed from a process that may determine health outcomes for millions/billions of people. More funds and research must be allocated to the underlying environmental impacts of toxic poisoning by various industries, gross polluters, energy sector, food-nutrient deficiencies from GMO or pesticide/herbicide laden crops and, poignantly, the ubiquitous poisonings caused by the pharmaceutical industry itself which weakens, poisons and makes humans more susceptible to disease. Finally, no nation, including the United States, may be coerced to sign or join a pandemic treaty that in ANY way abrogates its authority or autonomy to direct or dictate health policies.
Privat person	WHO must not be funded by private individuals or organisations. All income and expenditure must be published and accessible to everyone. The pandemic must only be declared on the basis of reliable data on the virus and mortality rates above 5%. PCR tests must not be used as a basis for action. All measures must be reviewed for damage and success and adjusted every 2 weeks. Guarantees for state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights and the Nuremberg Codex, and legal liability of government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies for damage caused by pandemic preparedness and response measures.
Private	WHO must not be involved in this international instrument
N/A	WHO must not extend their power and must ensure their decision making is transparent, accountable and not unduly influenced. the WHO must not interfere with sovereign countries and individual rights of people throughout the world. It will be able to declare pandemics at a whim even if the science is not there to support it and can shut down opposing scientists without proper debate which happened with Covid 19 when other and renowned scientists were shut out and mocked for their alternative views. The WHO can implement and mandate medical procedures without informed consent of the individual sovereign body and supersede sovereign nation laws and Human Rights and inalienable rights The WHO intervention is not acceptable.
World Doctors Alliance	WHO must not take the lead in pandemic response ever again. Individual countries must form there own strategies, without influence from the pharmaceutical industry, and without influence from the WHO. Doctors must be allowed to treat patients as they see fit and without influence from governments, WHO nor the pharmaceutical industry. The WHO is not fit for purpose and must be abolished immediately.
self	WHO must serve as a policy resource, but should never be involved in coercion or--directly or indirectly--the use of violence in pursuit of policy. It must remain clear of political agenda, so that its use as a scientific resource is not suspect.
Personal	WHO needs to be fully independent from laboratories that seek special interest that attempts the lives of people through questioned vaccines. WHO must allow nations to decide independently what is best for its citizens.
Sel	WHO needs to be more consistent and not keep changing guidance Guidance needs to be set by democratic governments Lockdowns cause tremendous societal damage and then are many unintended consequences
NA	WHO needs to stay out of People's business altogether. They do not have, cannot have and will never have any power over any free man or woman living on the land. The best is they just dissolve themselves. If they don't they need to proof to us first that they can purify at least one virus. We can talk about pandemics after that. Also they need to give up any and all private money sponsorship.
private citizen	WHO needs to UPHOLD its CONSTITUTION which states "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Any new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response needs to ensure that the integrity of ALL the NATION'S sovereignty is protected. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. There also needs to be wording that ensures that anyone at WHO making global health responses are legally accountable for her/his decisions.
Iniciative300	WHO nema legitimitet za donošenje nadnacionalnih odluka. Temeljna ljudska prava ne smiju se kršiti ni po koju cijenu niti u bilo kojim uvjetima. Svaka država treba zadržati suverenitet i samostalnost u donošenju odluka vezanih uz buduće pandemije.
Retired	WHO opinions are interesting; but they should never be mistaken for control over or in the USA, which is an independent nation unto itself.
Me*	WHO organization did not make good honest decisions about the coronavirus pandemic changing expectations frequently. I do not trust WHO to make personal health decisions for me. They should never be in control of guidelines in future health/pandemic situations. The pandemic treaty should never be enacted.
Superior	WHO Pandemic Preparedness - National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence, must be authorized. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers, or governmental or private agencies operating for gain of government (tax-payer) funding.
self	WHO Pandemic Treaty is evil and must be stopped. It is my God given right to decide what will and will not go into my body. WHO and governments do not have the right to interfere with the decisions I make for my body. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is a God given right and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. It is wrong to use Vaccine status to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete tranaparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency. WHO must drop this evil idea of creating a Pandemic Treaty.
Privat	Heute, 13.04.2022 bis 17:00 Uhr eine Stellungnahme an die WHO zum geplanten Pandemievertrag abgeben Eine Stellungnahme abzugeben, ist technisch simple. Sie sollte in englischer Sprache sein (andere Sprachen sind jedoch auch möglich) und maximal 250 Wörter umfassen. Je nachdem wie ausführlich ihr es gestaltet, dauert es etwa 3-15 Minuten. https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions Es geht darum, eine konkrete Frage zu beantworten: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response? 1. Mandatory vaccines should be forbidden · Discriminatory measures should be prohibited · All measures must take full account of all health interests · All measures must take into account and carefully balance human rights, social and economic interests Whith a clear data analysis of factual death threatening · Vaccinations and medications must be purchased at cost or at a minimal profit, during pandemic state · Liability for vaccines or medicines must not be shifted to member states/ big corruption · All decision-making bases must be made available in an all-encompassing transparent manner, and undergo a peer-review process · As a condition of a pandemic contract, member states must provide 100% of WHO funding. Private donations to the WHO must be prohibited in advance · All decision-making bodies must be diverse. Critical voices in particular must be included in the decision-making body · Representative sample testing must be conducted instead of uncoordinated mass testing · Pandemic treaty must not take precedence over national constitutional l
LIBERTY & FREEDOM ALLIANCE	WHO partnering with WEF is the very REASON for the bio weapon & CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY & ALL OF YOU WILL BE JUDGED By WE THE PEOPLE JUSTICE IS COMING the TRAITORS WILL NOT BE ABLE TO WALK THE STREETS Bring on the #NurembergTrials Democide
obywatel	WHO pokazała. że jest organizacją nieprzejrzystą i skorumpowaną, o niewielkich kompetencjach w zarządzaniu ochroną zdrowia i dlatego jestem zdecydowanie przeciwny jakimkolwiek nowym kompetencjom dla tej organizacji.

Ecuador	[illegible]
Egypt	[illegible]
Equatorial Guinea	[illegible]
Eritrea	[illegible]
Estonia	[illegible]
Eswatini	[illegible]
Ethiopia	[illegible]
Fiji	[illegible]
Finland	[illegible]
France	[illegible]
Gabon	[illegible]
Gambia, The	[illegible]
Georgia	[illegible]
Germany	[illegible]
Ghana	[illegible]
Greece	[illegible]
Grenada	[illegible]
Guatemala	[illegible]
Guinea	[illegible]
Guinea-Bissau	[illegible]
Guyana	[illegible]
Haiti	[illegible]
Holy See	[illegible]
Honduras	[illegible]
Hungary	[illegible]
Iceland	[illegible]
India	[illegible]
Indonesia	[illegible]
Iran, Islamic Republic of	[illegible]
Iraq	[illegible]
Ireland	[illegible]
Israel	[illegible]
Italy	[illegible]
Jamaica	[illegible]
Japan	[illegible]
Jordan	[illegible]
Kazakhstan	[illegible]
Kenya	[illegible]
Kiribati	[illegible]
Korea, Republic of	[illegible]
Kosovo	[illegible]
Kuwait	[illegible]
Kyrgyzstan	[illegible]
Lao People's Democratic Republic	[illegible]
Latvia	[illegible]
Lebanon	[illegible]
Lesotho	[illegible]
Liberia	[illegible]
Libya	[illegible]
Liechtenstein	[illegible]
Lithuania	[illegible]
Luxembourg	[illegible]
Madagascar	[illegible]
Malawi	[illegible]
Malaysia	[illegible]
Maldives	[illegible]
Mali	[illegible]
Malta	[illegible]
Marshall Islands	[illegible]
Mauritania	[illegible]
Mauritius	[illegible]
Mexico	[illegible]
Micronesia, Federated States of	[illegible]
Moldova	[illegible]
Monaco	[illegible]
Mongolia	[illegible]
Montenegro	[illegible]
Morocco	[illegible]
Mozambique	[illegible]
Myanmar	[illegible]
Namibia	[illegible]
Nauru	[illegible]
Nepal	[illegible]
Netherlands	[illegible]
New Zealand	[illegible]
Nicaragua	[illegible]
Niger	[illegible]
Nigeria	[illegible]
North Macedonia	[illegible]
Norway	[illegible]
Oman	[illegible]
Pakistan	[illegible]
Palau	[illegible]
Palestine	[illegible]
Panama	[illegible]
Papua New Guinea	[illegible]
Paraguay	[illegible]
Peru	[illegible]
Philippines	[illegible]
Poland	[illegible]
Portugal	[illegible]
Qatar	[illegible]
Romania	[illegible]
Russian Federation	[illegible]
Rwanda	[illegible]
Saint Kitts and Nevis	[illegible]
Saint Lucia	[illegible]
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	[illegible]
Samoa	[illegible]
San Marino	[illegible]
Sao Tome and Principe	[illegible]
Saudi Arabia	[illegible]
Senegal	[illegible]
Serbia	[illegible]
Seychelles	[illegible]
Sierra Leone	[illegible]
Singapore	[illegible]
Slovakia	[illegible]
Slovenia	[illegible]
Solomon Islands	[illegible]
Somalia	[illegible]
South Africa	[illegible]
South Sudan	[illegible]
Spain	[illegible]
Sri Lanka	[illegible]
Sudan	[illegible]
Suriname	[illegible]
Sweden	[illegible]
Switzerland	[illegible]
Syrian Arab Republic	[illegible]
Tajikistan	[illegible]
Tanzania, United Republic of	[illegible]
Thailand	[illegible]
Timor-Leste	[illegible]
Togo	[illegible]
Tonga	[illegible]
Trinidad and Tobago	[illegible]
Tunisia	[illegible]
Turkey	[illegible]
Turkmenistan	[illegible]
Tuvalu	[illegible]
Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates	[illegible]
United Kingdom	[illegible]
United States of America	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of	[illegible]
Vietnam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]

Organization	Written submission
none	WHO treaties that remove power from individual nations are dangerous to the free people in those nations. Decisions regarding health and welfare should be left to the people in those individual nations. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. International experts at the WHO should provide knowledge and recommendations to people of each nation, but it should not take hold of power over the health decisions of those people. Based on what we have learned in the recent pandemic situation, leaders in the WHO may not have full and complete information in which to make recommendation. Additionally, with such power granted to one body over all people, the potential for someone to use their position of power over the people is alarming. We need checks and balances, and thus WHO recommendations should not be codified as law into a binding treating.
Fractal Workspace	WHO treaties that remove power from nations and local regions are in reality dangerous to the health and welfare of the very populations they claim to safeguard. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not and must not have overarching global power over local health decisions and it will be wrong for our nation state, Canada or any local regional jurisdictions to agree to any legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Following the spirit of that very Constitution, it is in fact impossible for the WHO to ensure sufficient informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of a global public to uphold the improvement of the health of the people throughout the world. In fact, it is impossible for the WHO to know what is required or how to best safeguard the common good. Furthermore there is clearly questionable "science" and political agendas that underlie these kinds of decisions. No global entity can justly or effectively place itself in a position of decision authority above those who are closer to the people, the local environment and the real circumstances involved. Adopting that role on behalf of the world is a grievous overreach. In spite of even the best of intentions, the WHO cannot safeguard against conflicts of interest, pharmaceutical company influence, or hidden agendas. There are too many variables, too many unknowns and thereby too many assumptions and grand leaps, whereby a blanket treaty, by design, cannot serve the greater good.
General Public	WHO treaties that remove power from sovereign nations are dangerous to the health and welfare of the nation's citizens. The WHO does not have power over the nations to override that nation's laws in the event of an emergency. Do not make a pandemic treaty. This action is unnecessary, unhelpful, and unethical, considering the lies and abuse people have suffered by your hands the past few years.
USA	WHO treaties that remove power from sovereign nations diminish those nations and their citizens. The WHO's poor performance during the COVID pandemic demonstrates a lack of ability to perform necessary tasks. The WHO is backed substantially by those with financial interests in particular strategies and outcomes. As a result of the above listed impediments to performing this task, the WHO is not in position to seriously propose such a strategy. Any country that approves such a treaty does not deserve the support of its citizens.
None	WHO treaties that remove power from sovereign states are dangerous to the health and welfare of its citizens. I believe that all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. As a result, the most substantive element is to provide any state the right to opt out of any WHO directive if a sovereign state believes it is not in the best interest of its citizens.
United States Citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the citizens of the United States are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans and a threat to all humanity. ALL health decisions should be the right of the individual without compulsion by any organization, government, or other governing body. U.S. citizens are granted freedom of agency, the right to choose, and that right MUST BE PROTECTED. I DO NOT agree with ANY entity/organization ordering me to have medical procedures done without my personal choice and consent. No one should be compelled to carry a passport that reveals personal health information. We should have the right to privacy and autonomy. I believe that ALL health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, INCLUDING and ESPECIALLY when an emergency exists. No organization hundreds or thousands of miles away can possibly know what's best for each individual community in every country. Those communities, states, and nations MUST have the right to take care of their own needs. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." To me, this says that the public should have a say in what the WHO determines should happen. The general consensus of the people in our nation is that the WHO has no business messing with our personal health choices. Please say NO to health treaties that infringe on our God-given rights of freedom.
Private citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the contries are dangerous to the health and welfare of citizens of each country. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the particular country agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. This is a dangerous path to take considering how differently each country has performed in recent two years. We can clearly see that countries that did not follow WHO advice fared best.
self	WHO treaties that remove power from the local doctors we trust are dangerous to the health of Americans. We need to trust the science and let the science speak - not just from the WHO but all doctors and especially those doing real research - not payed players. And stop threatening doctors who question your decisions.
LIAC Consulting	WHO treaties that remove power from the the UK (or any country) are dangerous to the health and welfare of that country's citizen. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the UK agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I wish to hold you accountable to your word.
AJU Consulting	WHO treaties that remove power from the the UK (or any country) are dangerous to the health and welfare of that country's citizen. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the UK agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I wish to hold you accountable to your word.
N/A	WHO treaties that remove power from the U.S. are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All decisions (whether or not having anything to do with a pandemic or any kind of emergency) related to health and well-being must stay within the boundaries and sovereignty of each individual. The WHO does not, and never will, have that kind of power. This is an egregious overstep and must be stopped before it proceeds any further.
-	WHO treaties that remove power from the UK Government are undemocratic, immoral and inherently wrong and are a hazard to the health of the UK people. I oppose this in the strongest terms.
MAXOZ	WHO treaties that remove power from the US & Other Countries in the World are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans & Global Citizens. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet and should not hold any form of a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I am holding the WHO accountable to their word.
Individual	WHO treaties that remove power from the US and other sovereign nations are dangerous to the health and welfare of their citizens and residents. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists and when it ends. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. It has become clear through the Covid-19 pandemic that health situations and policies vary greatly globally, and each sovereign body must have the right to enact the best decisions specific to their needs and values. Thank you for your attention.
none	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over health decisions yet, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to my health and welfare as an American. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Decisions regarding response to Pandemic must be voted on by the public and policy must be accepted by all. No mandatory vaccinations or injections of any kind can be allowed even in an emergency.
Self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare and FREEDOM of Americans. All health decisions should be made by our elected government, including when an emergency exists.
Self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of all American citizens. All health decisions need to stay in-state as close to home as possible, preferably at the sovereign individual level, including when an emergency exists. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." It is imperative that WHO and it's members are accountable to their Constitutional word as well as the global medical oath "First do no harm" now and always.
Biologics & Medical Device consulting Group	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of all Americans. The US does not have centralized medicine, as it cherishes individual rights and the rights of doctors to practice their craft and apply clinical experience. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. This will be a globalist nightmare that will push us towards becoming more like China. It will be in direct violation of Western human rights.
self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of all Americans. The WHO was not elected by our country, and should NOT wield universal power over the health decisions of the people of our country, or any other country. We are a sovereign nation that needs to remain that way. To give this entity (the WHO) this kind of power is dangerous to all countries, as it can so very easily be abused. All health decisions need to be made by the people of the country in which they live, including, and especially, when in a state of emergency. The WHO does not have power over the health decisions of our country, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. This would be a huge mistake. We did not elect the officials of the WHO, and they do not reside in our country, or represent us in any way. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." We must hold them accountable to their word. The WHO should not be above the sovereignty of any nation.
NA	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of all Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
Personal	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of ALL Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. This is unacceptable, dangerous and shortsighted!
Myself	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans and any other nationality. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. My constitutional rights are more important than any other here on earth. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Here I am participating to let you know that this is a bad idea and that globalizing health decisions needs to stop. "The smallest minority on earth is the individual. Those who deny individual rights, cannot claim to be defenders of minorities." -Ayn Rand Please stand up and protect the rights of individuals and minorities.
None	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans and are made by unelected persons. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. I strongly oppose this treaty.
None private individual	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans and should no override americas constitution
Stand for Health Freedom	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans which is why WHO should not create mandates during a pandemic. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Show us that this beans something. Keep the health choices with families!!
self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans!!! All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions!!!!
US	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans.
No affiliated organization	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet, but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Please do not agree. Each country is unique in its abilities, resources, etc. Individual countries should govern themselves, even in times of a pandemic.
Scott Realty Associates	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. I oppose the US agreeing to a global pandemic treaty with the WHO!
Independent private US citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. American must ultimately make decisions for America. WHO SHARES INFORMATION AND DATA, it should not define policy for America.
none	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Health is a very complicated thing which relies on many factors. It cannot be dictated from afar. Because it relies on very individual circumstances, all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The idea that an entity outside my country, much less outside my community, can make good decisions to benefit my health is absurd on it's face. The WHO cannot possibly know enough about everyone's individual circumstances to issue health measures that would be of any use, other than to impose their will on American people. Which is 100% against our constitution, our sovereignty, and most definitely against our health and well being. If this goes through, it will be a disaster for American's ability to maintain health and safety.
Individual	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. I do not agree with your goal of One World Order. The US MUST remain a sovereign country. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people."
Person	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. I do NOT want WHO having the power over health decisions! US is free. Leave us alone!
U.S. Citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. It is inherently dangerous and arrogant to think a one size fits all to a WHO pandemic treaty. Each country is it own sovereign nation and responsible for its own citizens!!! It's time for the WHO to disentangle itself from all pandemic related activities. Citizens have there inherent natural law to decide the health care!!!
Concerned Citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. The Constitution should not be over ridden , it was written for a reason .
Myself	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. They also violate the US constitution and our god given right to individual sovereignty. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Please be accountable and hold up your word.
Stand for Health Freedom	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. We are a sovereign nation with a people that govern our own country, and prepare for such "emergencies" of our own accord. No international body should have direct input into what is right for the United States of America.
Self directed	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. WHO CANNOT AND WILL NOT DICTATE THE FREEDOMS AND LIBERTIES OF CONSTITUTIONAL AMERICANS. ALL Health decisions must stay within the geographical boundaries of the individual States of the United States, including when an emergency ACTUALLY exists. Covid-19 was NOT an emergency. It was an evil genocidal scheme made up of wealthy billionaires of the WEF and promulgated by WHO, CDC, NIH, NIAD, Fauchi, and censored media that have disseminated out right lies, protocols, and deception. The WHO Constitution reads : "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
citizen of USA	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Countries should make decisions for their citizens and suggestions may be proposed but not become laws. Each human being needs to be respected and given the right to say what goes into their body when it comes to health decisions. Thank you.
Private	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. The US should set an example to the rest of the world by not giving up it's power over questions concerning all domestic items whatsoever.
NA	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Additionally, all health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people."
Citizen of USA	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions of the US yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. As a US citizen I oppose this treat on all accounts
Ud	WHO Treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible including win an emergency exist. Our Constitution states "informed opinion and active cooperation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." We do not want WHO to be in charge or involved!!
Concerned U.S. citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. If the WHO constitution says: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people", then they should not jeopardize that by entering into a legally binding pandemic treaty. Leave the health and welfare of Americans up to America!
Penn State Health	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
I am an individual person	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." You have been informed of my opinion
IUPUI	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
US Resident & Citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does NOT have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. This must NOT take place. Giving up one's sovereignty isn't going to solve any health crisis now, or ever.
Health Freedom	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Americans do NOT want this treaty and do NOT want to lose any more freedoms than we already have during this pandemic. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
none	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. As a novel experiment with government the US was founded on freedom and if the US government submits to a treaty with WHO freedoms are lost for the country to the individual. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty the novelty of the new type of government fails to be a government for the people and by the people rather than officials.. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word. Please note our Republic is not a democracy and the Constitution acknowledges individual's right to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness on the individual level.
USA Citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. I support the sovereignty of the United States government in its handling of public health emergencies. The WHO should have no authority over US domestic policy.
Johansson Inc.	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Our health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. For these reasons, I am against this Treaty and am speaking to my representatives about it!
Johansson Inc.	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Our health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. For these reasons, I'm against this Treaty and will be talking to my representatives about it!
Informed Consent Action Network	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. The following elements should be included: * National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. * The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. * An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. * Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. All health decisions need to be made by individual citizens including when an emergency exists. I strongly oppose a legally binding pandemic treaty. Please remain true to the WHO Constitution that states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people."
Appalachian State University	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. This is especially critical when it comes to disaster preparedness - we've seen a lot of corrupt violations of human health and safety over the last 24 months and it's time to put the power back in the hands of local leadership and community. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. The USA has seen how power in the hands of politicians can be very detrimental to human health and especially, children. We have seen a tremendous uptake in suicide incidents within our teen age group. It is of upmost importance to see the value in leadership from a local standpoint. We the people can decide the pandemic preparedness in our own communities. The American people deserve TRUTH and we stand in solidarity that power should reside with we the people and not government. Parents know what is best for their children. The State should not have the choice to decide what medical procedures or experimental gene therapies are given to children - it should be decided by the individual or the parent/guardian. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families.
Citizen USA	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. We are an independent and freedom loving and living country and will not be dictated to by those who attempt to lord their power over others. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Don't meddle with our personal health care... The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Honor that Constitution. If you don't you are worth nothing.
none	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. We know that this is true. All HEALTH DECISIONS FOR AMERICANS need to be made by AMERICANS. WHO is unelected and has no have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." THEY NEED TO PUT THEIR MONEY WHERE THEIR MOUTH IS. We need to be represented in WHO but they must not be given power over the USA health policies. Respectfully Submitted, Dee Nordland
None	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. We must have freedom and choic. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Government cannot for medical decisions.
Planning for Wellness LLC	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. Wellness involves BODY, MIND, and SPIRIT. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. We live in USA and America has its own Constitution. WHO does not have power over the health decisions at this time, but it would if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Please put the emphasis on PREVENTION. Prohibit Gain of Function Research and Bio-Warfare. Ensure that WHO recommends TESTS that are at least 95% ACCURATE, and INJECTIONS have been tested long term in randomized Clinical Trials (with a Control group and Informed Consent), and truly been found "safe & effective". Thank you.
self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Independent	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
unite for peace	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
citizen of the planet	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
none	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
retired	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. Human rights, State and individual sovereignty MUST be protected. The Nuremberg Code MUST be followed and protected. Measures that do not allow the influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit without consequence must be preserved. a transparent process with the ability for ALL people of the world to vote on including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of people don't want it. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people. This must be included.
Individual	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families.
us citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. This agreement should not happend. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold the WHO accountable to their word!
US citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
Self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
Personal	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. This won't be good for the people of the United States or any country fir that matter. This whole "one world order" will never work, too many chiefs!

Organization	Written submission
private	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
None	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. We've been given a taste of what it's like when our freedoms have been curtailed by our own unelected departments of health. I can't imagine what the abusewould be like in a one world order if this treaty were signed.
Zenrednyc	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." As a US citizen, I strongly urge you to hold WHO to informed consent and freedom of choice in our health decisions. There is no one size fits all medicine, and each country has different circumstances that require local care. Thank you.
-	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
NA	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
US citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
American tax paying citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I hold the WHO accountable to their word. Why should I trust WHO after your faulty and deceitful propaganda related to Covid! This organization needs to be defunded by the US taxpayers funds!
n/a	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions. We are handing over our freedoms to choose what's best for our own health if WHO does. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." If that is the case, then stand by it!
none	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO should no thave power over teh health decisions of the American people.
Private	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Individual US citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to remain under our own sovereignty, including when an emergency exists. WHO would be given power without having any accountability for mishandling that power, which the democratic process otherwise would provide for.
N/A	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
US Citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. This is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families. The USA MUST SAY NO to this WHO treaty that threatens the sovereignty of the United States of America!
None	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
US citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
N/A	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
None	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
N/a	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
American citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
Us citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists.
Self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." They need to be held accountable to their word.
Concerned citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions and I do not support the US agreeing to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I am holding you accountable to your word.
self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
None	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. ANY legally binding agreement that does NOT allow Americans freedom to make medical decisions goes against our constitution and basic human rights.
Sales	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. People are smart enough to make best choices for their own good and safety for their family.
None	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
Self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Small Business Owner	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The truth about this pandemic is coming out and the scientific community is in a conundrum with public trust fractured and diminished due to political and moral corruption. The American people are ready to stand up against medical tyranny!
None	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. As a mother, it is important that families have the final say in what they choose for the health of their children and themselves. All we have to do is look at history to know this plan to force health decisions is a terrible idea.
N/A	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
Self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
FAMILY NURSE PRACTITIONER	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
None	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." The World Health Organization should, therefore, stay accountable to their word. The substantive, main element to the world being prepared for another pandemic must be kept at the local level. Each region has its own unique circumstances which are evident and manageable locally, not painted with a broad brush. Thank you.
WHO	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Independent	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people."
Global Women Power	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
The Good Space	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I am holding WHO accountable to their word.
US Citizen/health professional	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. We are a self-governed people, not under authority of the WHO. Power rests with the states and the individual. No authority on earth can control the health of any person, who are all created in God's image, and who are in charge of their own health. Please respect this universal law of nature and do away with this international power grab. This treaty is unconstitutional and not necessary. Decisions are made for the people by the people, not by unelected bureaucrats and NGOs. Thank you. I'm praying for you to have wisdom and to do God's will.
private/public billy the kid	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Team Ervin Realty	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions YET but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. All nations and peoples are not the same. Environments as well. This treaty should never be signed by the US
Childrens health defense	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Lozz's Wellness Store	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
Citizen of the world	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty Absolute power corrupts absolutely.
Self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
none	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
none	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
None	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. There is no one size fits all approach to any pandemic!
Aquarian Records	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. We do not consent to a world dictated health policy that threatens individual rights and freedoms. A "pandemic treaty" is an unacceptable threat to U.S. sovereignty, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American families.
Weston A. Price	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it would if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
Argenti Inc.	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. We need to let the public vote on this not Governments who are influenced by politics, money, and coercion. I believe National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. No money or grants from Pharmaceutical companies or investors in those companies should be allowed and any person on the WHO council be vetted and not hold any monetary connections, stocks, or investments in said companies as this is a conflict of interest. All drugs be thoroughly vetted for safety and natural immunity and health be the first option. A careful and unbiased review of data and statistics for the use of any drug or vaccine. Long term study done on the health of non vaccinated and vaccinated children and all health issues corelating to these illnesses and vaccines. Lets see them do any of these things before we vote or submit to such an agreement.
NA	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
HealthRights MA	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. It is vital to American citizens that we do not agree to this treaty.
self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty, which puts the US citizens in danger. We need to have the right to make the best decisions for our own health and the safety of our nation. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." What may be right for one country may not be best for all, especially when it comes to the health and well being of US citizens.
None	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
Capt	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. We have had enough of unelected people making decisions that affect the lives of Americans. We have crooked people here in the US like Dr Farcey doing it and we don't need foreigners trying to take away our sovereignty. So please go pound sand.
Private	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Private	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people.
Self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
Human Being	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Given more time & true information, I'm sure the public will not choose to co-operate.
Citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. WHO is overstepping and they have NO RIGHT to do so. Such health decisions are solely for the individual and their dr not an international organization!
US citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
SELF	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
Self	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic . this is not good for America.
Personal	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word. The actions of WHO with respect to the Covid 'pandemic' irrefutably prove that WHO is nothing but a shill organization for Big Pharma and that the health of the World's citizens is the least of its concerns. WHO's responses have been illegal, ineffective, wrong and moronic. They have been a complete overreaction to a flu like illness. Death rates have been falsified and exaggerated with the complicit cooperation of corrupt organizations like the CDC. The 'vaccine' is NOT a vaccine - it is experimental gene therapy and had killed or injured thousands upon thousands of people. WHO has proven to be a corrupt promoter of Marxism and the destruction of the West and totally untrustworthy.
N/A	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers
bright Crafting	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
Green Med info	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
WHO	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty.
None	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. The WHO Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Let's hold them accountable to their word.
Anita Designs	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO is not a governmental organizaton it is controlled by the most wealthy donors!!! It does not have power over the health decisions yet but it will if the US agrees to a legally binding pandemic treaty. People are naware and ignorant about the dangers of this takeover. It must NORT happen
USA	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Every nation has a different culture, ethnicity, religion, and type of government that. One size will NEVER fit all.
US Citizen	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health of Americans. All health decisions need to stay close to home as possible. America is a democracy and we have a voice in our country. WHO is not a World Government who can override our US constitution. Our membership in the WHO does not give this organization the right to violate our civil liberties, human rights and medical freedoms
NA	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are deleterious to the health and welfare of Americans. Americans did not vote the WHO into office and America is supposed to be a representative democracy. All health decisions that affect America should lie in the hands of America, regardless of whether a health emergency exists.
NA	WHO treaties that remove power from the US are detrimental to the health, welfare, and freedom of Americans. Those not voted into office by Americans should have no power over the lives of Americans--America being a representative democracy. All health policies that affect America should lie solely in the hands of America, regardless of whether a health emergency exists.
Sunrise Health & Fitness	WHO treaties that remove power from the US or any other country are dangerous and a threat to the health & welfare of Americans and peoples of every nation. All health decisions need to be made on a local level as not any one location is exactly the same as the next. It is evident that the global response to the last pandemic was a massive failure that continues to harm people all over the world. Public health should never become more important than individual health and ends up killing far more people. Pandemic responses should be made by each county of each state, not widespread rules for everyone. That clearly didn't work for anyone.
N/A	WHO treaties that remove power from US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. My request is to maintain our own health organization.
Stand for Health Freedom	WHO treaties that remove the power from the United States are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. WHO should stay out of the health decisions of individuals and of the health decisions parents make for their children. The WHO constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people". The United States is a sovereign nation and needs to remain that way. No interference from a global organization is needed or wanted.

Antigua and Barbuda	[illegible]
Argentina	[illegible]
Armenia	[illegible]
Australia	[illegible]
Austria	[illegible]
Azerbaijan	[illegible]
Bahamas	[illegible]
Bahrain	[illegible]
Bangladesh	[illegible]
Barbados	[illegible]
Belarus	[illegible]
Belgium	[illegible]
Belize	[illegible]
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	[illegible]
Bosnia and Herzegovina	[illegible]
Botswana	[illegible]
Brazil	[illegible]
Brunei Darussalam	[illegible]
Bulgaria	[illegible]
Burkina Faso	[illegible]
Burundi	[illegible]
Cabo Verde	[illegible]
Cambodia	[illegible]
Cameroon	[illegible]
Canada	[illegible]
Central African Republic	[illegible]
Chile	[illegible]
China	[illegible]
Colombia	[illegible]
Comoros	[illegible]
Congo	[illegible]
Cook Islands	[illegible]
Costa Rica	[illegible]
Croatia	[illegible]
Cuba	[illegible]
Cyprus	[illegible]
Czechia	[illegible]
Democratic Republic of the Congo	[illegible]
Denmark	[illegible]
Dominica	[illegible]
Dominican Republic	[illegible]
Ecuador	[illegible]
Egypt	[illegible]
El Salvador	[illegible]
Equatorial Guinea	[illegible]
Eritrea	[illegible]
Estonia	[illegible]
Eswatini	[illegible]
Ethiopia	[illegible]
Fiji	[illegible]
Finland	[illegible]
France	[illegible]
Gabon	[illegible]
Gambia	[illegible]
Georgia	[illegible]
Germany	[illegible]
Ghana	[illegible]
Greece	[illegible]
Grenada	[illegible]
Guatemala	[illegible]
Guinea	[illegible]
Guinea-Bissau	[illegible]
Guyana	[illegible]
Haiti	[illegible]
Holy See	[illegible]
Honduras	[illegible]
Hungary	[illegible]
Iceland	[illegible]
India	[illegible]
Indonesia	[illegible]
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	[illegible]
Iraq	[illegible]
Ireland	[illegible]
Israel	[illegible]
Italy	[illegible]
Jamaica	[illegible]
Japan	[illegible]
Jordan	[illegible]
Kazakhstan	[illegible]
Kenya	[illegible]
Kiribati	[illegible]
Kuwait	[illegible]
Kyrgyzstan	[illegible]
Lao People's Democratic Republic	[illegible]
Latvia	[illegible]
Lebanon	[illegible]
Lesotho	[illegible]
Liberia	[illegible]
Libya	[illegible]
Liechtenstein	[illegible]
Lithuania	[illegible]
Luxembourg	[illegible]
Madagascar	[illegible]
Malawi	[illegible]
Malaysia	[illegible]
Maldives	[illegible]
Mali	[illegible]
Malta	[illegible]
Marshall Islands	[illegible]
Mauritania	[illegible]
Mauritius	[illegible]
Mexico	[illegible]
Micronesia (Federated States of)	[illegible]
Monaco	[illegible]
Mongolia	[illegible]
Montenegro	[illegible]
Morocco	[illegible]
Mozambique	[illegible]
Myanmar	[illegible]
Nauru	[illegible]
Nepal	[illegible]
Netherlands (Kingdom of the)	[illegible]
New Zealand	[illegible]
Nicaragua	[illegible]
Niger	[illegible]
Nigeria	[illegible]
Niue	[illegible]
North Macedonia	[illegible]
Norway	[illegible]
Oman	[illegible]
Pakistan	[illegible]
Palau	[illegible]
Panama	[illegible]
Papua New Guinea	[illegible]
Paraguay	[illegible]
Peru	[illegible]
Philippines	[illegible]
Poland	[illegible]
Portugal	[illegible]
Qatar	[illegible]
Republic of Moldova	[illegible]
Romania	[illegible]
Russian Federation	[illegible]
Rwanda	[illegible]
Saint Kitts and Nevis	[illegible]
Saint Lucia	[illegible]
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	[illegible]
Samoa	[illegible]
San Marino	[illegible]
Sao Tome and Principe	[illegible]
Saudi Arabia	[illegible]
Senegal	[illegible]
Serbia	[illegible]
Seychelles	[illegible]
Sierra Leone	[illegible]
Singapore	[illegible]
Slovakia	[illegible]
Slovenia	[illegible]
Solomon Islands	[illegible]
Somalia	[illegible]
South Africa	[illegible]
South Sudan	[illegible]
Spain	[illegible]
Sri Lanka	[illegible]
Sudan	[illegible]
Suriname	[illegible]
Sweden	[illegible]
Switzerland	[illegible]
Syrian Arab Republic	[illegible]
Tajikistan	[illegible]
Thailand	[illegible]
Timor-Leste	[illegible]
Togo	[illegible]
Tonga	[illegible]
Trinidad and Tobago	[illegible]
Tunisia	[illegible]
Turkmenistan	[illegible]
Tuvalu	[illegible]
Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates	[illegible]
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	[illegible]
United Republic of Tanzania	[illegible]
United States of America	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	[illegible]
Viet Nam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]

Ecuador	[illegible]
Egypt	[illegible]
Eritrea	[illegible]
Estonia	[illegible]
Eswatini	[illegible]
Ethiopia	[illegible]
Fiji	[illegible]
Finland	[illegible]
France	[illegible]
Gabon	[illegible]
Gambia	[illegible]
Georgia	[illegible]
Germany	[illegible]
Ghana	[illegible]
Greece	[illegible]
Grenada	[illegible]
Guatemala	[illegible]
Guinea	[illegible]
Guinea-Bissau	[illegible]
Guyana	[illegible]
Haiti	[illegible]
Honduras	[illegible]
Hungary	[illegible]
Iceland	[illegible]
India	[illegible]
Indonesia	[illegible]
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	[illegible]
Iraq	[illegible]
Ireland	[illegible]
Israel	[illegible]
Italy	[illegible]
Jamaica	[illegible]
Japan	[illegible]
Jordan	[illegible]
Kazakhstan	[illegible]
Kenya	[illegible]
Kiribati	[illegible]
Kuwait	[illegible]
Kyrgyzstan	[illegible]
Lao People's Democratic Republic	[illegible]
Latvia	[illegible]
Lebanon	[illegible]
Lesotho	[illegible]
Liberia	[illegible]
Libya	[illegible]
Lithuania	[illegible]
Luxembourg	[illegible]
Madagascar	[illegible]
Malawi	[illegible]
Malaysia	[illegible]
Maldives	[illegible]
Mali	[illegible]
Malta	[illegible]
Marshall Islands	[illegible]
Mauritania	[illegible]
Mauritius	[illegible]
Mexico	[illegible]
Micronesia (Federated States of)	[illegible]
Monaco	[illegible]
Mongolia	[illegible]
Montenegro	[illegible]
Morocco	[illegible]
Mozambique	[illegible]
Myanmar	[illegible]
Namibia	[illegible]
Nauru	[illegible]
Nepal	[illegible]
Netherlands	[illegible]
New Zealand	[illegible]
Nicaragua	[illegible]
Niger	[illegible]
Nigeria	[illegible]
Niue	[illegible]
North Macedonia	[illegible]
Norway	[illegible]
Oman	[illegible]
Pakistan	[illegible]
Palau	[illegible]
Panama	[illegible]
Papua New Guinea	[illegible]
Paraguay	[illegible]
Peru	[illegible]
Philippines	[illegible]
Poland	[illegible]
Portugal	[illegible]
Qatar	[illegible]
Republic of Korea	[illegible]
Republic of Moldova	[illegible]
Romania	[illegible]
Russian Federation	[illegible]
Rwanda	[illegible]
Saint Kitts and Nevis	[illegible]
Saint Lucia	[illegible]
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	[illegible]
Samoa	[illegible]
San Marino	[illegible]
Sao Tome and Principe	[illegible]
Saudi Arabia	[illegible]
Senegal	[illegible]
Serbia	[illegible]
Seychelles	[illegible]
Sierra Leone	[illegible]
Singapore	[illegible]
Slovakia	[illegible]
Slovenia	[illegible]
Solomon Islands	[illegible]
Somalia	[illegible]
South Africa	[illegible]
South Sudan	[illegible]
Spain	[illegible]
Sri Lanka	[illegible]
Sudan	[illegible]
Suriname	[illegible]
Sweden	[illegible]
Switzerland	[illegible]
Syrian Arab Republic	[illegible]
Tajikistan	[illegible]
Thailand	[illegible]
Timor-Leste	[illegible]
Togo	[illegible]
Tonga	[illegible]
Trinidad and Tobago	[illegible]
Tunisia	[illegible]
Turkey	[illegible]
Turkmenistan	[illegible]
Tuvalu	[illegible]
Uganda	[illegible]
Ukraine	[illegible]
United Arab Emirates	[illegible]
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	[illegible]
United States of America	[illegible]
Uruguay	[illegible]
Uzbekistan	[illegible]
Vanuatu	[illegible]
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	[illegible]
Viet Nam	[illegible]
Yemen	[illegible]
Zambia	[illegible]
Zimbabwe	[illegible]
Total	[illegible]

Organization	Written submission
Katholischer Verband der Werktätigen	Wir akzeptieren nicht, was die WHO in den letzten zwei Jahren gemacht hat! Sie muss zu 100 % von den Mitgliedsstaaten finanziert werden, aber nicht durch Stiftungen und Konzerne. Bei den Maßnahmen müssen die Collateral-Schäden beachtet werden. Sie sind größer als jene durch das Corona-Virus. Wenn es der WHO um die Gesundheit der Menschen geht, dann soll sie - den Hunger in der Welt bekämpfen, denn es gibt viel mehr Hunger-Tote als Covid.19-Tote. - die Umweltvergiftung bekämpfen - die Kriege verhindern und sich für frieden einsetzen. Gruß von Maria Kusstatscher
Privat	Wir benötigen keinen Pandemie Vertrag! Die Souveränität der Mitgliedsstaaten ist wichtig und soll auf keinen Fall verletzt werden. Bitte treffen Sie nur Entscheidungen, die wirklich für die Gesundheit der Menschen sind und nicht die Pharmakonzerne unterstützen. Ich bin entschieden gegen einen Pandemievertrag.
privat	Wir benötigen von der WHO Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und der einzelnen Menschen. Ebenso die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen.
Private	Wir brauchen eine internationale Pandemie-Politik, die auf der Basis von Freiheit und wahrhaftiger Information basiert. Auf Widerspruch und Diskussion. Ohne die Internet-Monopole oder einzelne "Philantropen".
Citizen	Wir brauchen Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen.
Citizen	Wir brauchen Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen
Mensch	Wir brauchen keine gemeinsamen Plan zur Pandemie Bekämpfung. Jeder Staat soll das für sich selber entscheiden können.
Privatperson	Wir brauchen keine globalen Pandemiepläne und wünschen, von Ihnen in Ruhe gelassen zu werden. Stop it now!
Deutschland	Wir brauchen keine Vorgaben der WHO, jedes Land bzw. jeder Mensch kann selber entscheiden wie edhkimm etwas ist oder nicht. Wir brauchen keinen der keine Ahnung von der Materie hat und nur auf Geld aus ist. Setzt dort normale Bürger ein, dann kann man drüber reden. Aber hier mache ich nicht mit.
Österreich	Wir brauchen keine Welt Gesundheitsorganisation, die in die persönlichen Rechte jedes einzelnen Menschen eingreift. Wir brauchen diese als Dienstleister für unterversorgte und vernachlässigte Menschen, nicht jedoch zur Bevormundung aller. Diese Organisation darf Informieren und Verbinden, jedoch in keiner Weise Gesetzliche Regeln festlegen und menschliche Gemeinschaften einschränken. Bevormundung und Freiheiten vertragen sich nicht. Sollte die WHO sich das Recht herausnehmen, in die Gesundheit, auch noch so positiv motiviert, eingreifen zu wollen, werde ich mich für jede Initiative für den Austritt oder zur Auflösung der Organisation einsetzen. Zur Sicherung eines friedfertigen und würdevollen Lebens auf diesem Planeten Erde ist es wesentlich wertvoller, eine Organisation zur Sicherung der Menschenrechte und der Grundrechte aller einzurichten. Welt bedeutet Vielfalt und nicht Einfalt! Ein wesentlicher Schritt in diese Richtung ist die wirtschaftliche Unabhängigkeit dieser Organisation. Die WHO darf von keiner privaten Persönlichkeit, von keiner Stiftung oder Firma Finanzmittel annehmen. Nur vollkommen unabhängig darf so eine Organisation agieren. Sollte dies nicht der Fall sein, ist diese Organisation als manipuliert und befangen zu sehen und sollte jeglichen Einfluss verlieren. Um dem menschlichen Antrieb nach Macht und Gier entgegenzuwirken, sollte jedes politische Amt, jedes finanzielle Vermögen und jeder politisch und wirtschaftliche Einfluss in Umfang und Zeit gedeckelt sein. Diese Begrenzung soll auch für Familien und deren Erbfolge gelten. Die Übertragung von Macht und Vermögen muss verhindert werden. Für die Sicherung von Frieden, Freiheit und Vielfalt!
Bürger	Wir brauchen keinen globalen Pandemievertrag. Wir brauchen Autonomie, Transparenz und Freiheit. Unsere Grundrechte wie körperliche Unversehrtheit und die Würde des Menschen sind unantastbar und dürfen uns auch nicht von der WHO genommen werden.
-	Wir brauchen keinen Vertrag wir wollen frei entscheiden
Bürger	Wir brauchen keinen Vertrag. Ich möchte über meine Gesundheit allein bestimmen!!!
Worker	Wir brauchen so ein Pandemie Vertrag nicht! Der Mensch sollte in Freiheit leben, mit allen dazu gehörenden Grundrechte und Freiheiten! Dieser globale Vertrag ist nicht abzuschließen.
Privat	Wir brauchen Souveränität der Staaten und Individuen, Achtung der Menschenrechte sowie gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaindustrie. Danke
Hannah-Arendt-Akademie	Wir brauchen überhaupt keine internationalen Instrumente, da die Staaten unbedingt souverän bleiben müssen - auch in einer Pandemie!
Familie	Wir fordern Garantien f Souveränität des Staates u d einzelnen Menschen, die Achtung d Menschenrechte besonders d körperlichen Unversehrtheit u Menschenrecht auf Familie u d gesetzliche Haftung v Regierungsmitgliedern u d Pharmakonzerne
dieBasis KV Freudenstadt	Wir fordern Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen."
	Wir fordern Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte und die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und Pharmaunternehmen. Wir fordern die Rückgängigmachung der Definition einer gesundheitlichen Notlage auf Stand 2006.
Privat	Wir fordern, dass die Begriffe hohe Sterblichkeit in allen Altersgruppen und schwerer Verlauf wieder in die Pandemie-Merkmale aufgenommen werden. Wir fordern auch die Aufhebung der hohen Ansteckungsgefahr als Schlüsselfaktor für die Einleitung von Anti-Pandemie-Maßnahmen. Das Instrument zur Pandemievorsorge sollte beratenden Charakter haben und Empfehlungen, keine Anordnungen enthalten. Die Befolgung von Empfehlungen sollte freiwillig sein. Jedes Land sollte die Möglichkeit haben, Empfehlungen ohne Konsequenzen zu ändern oder deren Umsetzung abzulehnen. Unter keinen Umständen sollten die Empfehlungen der WHO die Gesetzgebung der Unterzeichnerstaaten außer Kraft setzen, unabhängig von den Umständen einer Pandemie. Wir fordern, dass es in den Händen des Patienten liegen sollte, ohne jegliche Konsequenzen letztendlich über die Wahl der Behandlung oder Prophylaxe zu entscheiden. Wir fordern, dass die Meinungsfreiheit aller Ärzte und Wissenschaftler erlaubt, respektiert und bei der Abgabe von WHO-Empfehlungen berücksichtigt wird. Wir fordern die WHO dringend auf, die Verwendung von Arzneimitteln und Impfstoffen, die sich in der klinischen Prüfung befinden (medizinische Experimente im Sinne des Nürnberger Kodex von 1947), nicht zu empfehlen. Kommt es zu einer solchen Empfehlung, muss die Weltgemeinschaft über offizielle staatliche Medien über den Nichtabschluss klinischer S
Privat	Wir haben unsere Regierung / Volkvertreter nicht dazu ermächtigt, einen internationalen Pandemievertrag zu verhandeln oder beizutreten. Verordnungen dieser Art müssen auf Grund der lokalen Gegebenheiten vor Ort bei der Landesregierung beziehungsweise der Kommune bleiben. Das ist unser Wille/ unsereVolksstimme.
World Healt Organisation	Wir Menschen können selber entscheiden, ob wir die Pandemievorgaben annehmen oder nicht
Privat	Wir sind für Selbstbestimmung und Erhaltung von Grundrechten in ganz Europa und der Welt. Wir sind gegen totalitäre Strukturen und Einschränkungen der Menschenrechte. Wir setzen auf die Vernunft der verantwortlichen Politiker der ganzen Welt. Wir stellen uns gegen alle menschenfeindlichen Maßnahmen und sind bereit mit allen demokratischen Mitteln diese zu bekämpfen !
Verein	Wir sind gegen die Beseitigung der Völker bzw Nationalstaaten! Keine Verträge mit der WHO die eine Organisation ist! Die WHO hat sich nicht in nationale Gesetze einzumischen und das lässt weder die Verfassung, Grundrechte für Menschenrechte, Menschenrechtskonvention, Staatsvertrag, Moskauer Referendum, noch der Nürnberger Kodex zu ! NEIN
Keine	Wir sind gegen diesen Vertrag. Jedes Land soll selbst entscheiden.Keine Zwangsimpfungen ,jedem Menschen steht diese Entscheidung frei.Keine QR Cods oder Zertifikate.KEINE EXPERIMENTELLEN Impfstoffe.Es ist ein Verbrechen an die Menschheit.Bitte lassen Sie das nicht zu.
Keine	Wir warnen dringend vor dem von der WHO geplanten Abkommen über die „weltweite Pandemievorsorge"! Das Vorhaben ist hochgefährlich! Es soll ermöglichen, dass die WHO nicht Empfehlungen für die Regierungen der Mitgliedländer abgibt, sondern Entscheidungen trifft, die als Gesetze gelten und über unserer Verfassung stehen sollen. Das bedeutet eine Umgehung aller demokratischen Institutionen, denn die WHO ist nicht demokratisch legitimiert. Die Bürgerinnen haben keinen Einfluss auf dieses Gremium, die gewählte Regierung würde zum ausführenden Organ degradiert. Das Abkommen würde es der WHO ermöglichen, den Ländern beliebige Maßnahmen zu diktieren, indem sie zuvor den globalen Notstand erklärt. Was als „globaler Notstand" gilt, entscheidet allerdings die WHO selbst. Folgt die WHO wirklich noch ihren ursprünglichen Idealen oder den Wünschen ihrer Geldgeber? Sie finanziert sich nur noch zu 80 Prozent aus Spenden, z.T. von Stiftungen und der Pharmaindustrie. Ein Großteil ist zweckgebunden: Geht es wirklich um die Gesundheit der Menschen, oder um Geld und Kontrolle? Tritt dieses geplante Abkommen in Kraft, könnte die WHO aufgrund eines mäßig gefährlichen Virus eine Pandemie ausrufen und bei jeder beliebigen Infektionskrankheit eine Impfpflicht in allen Mitgliedsstaaten verordnen. Es kann nicht sein, dass eine von der Pharmabranche und privaten Geldgebern abhängige Organisation über das Wohl der Erdbevölkerung bestimmt. Gleichzeitig macht eine solchermaßen zentralisierte Gesundheitspolitik keinen Sinn, da sie regionale Bedürfnisse nicht berücksichtigt und das landespezifische Reagieren auf eine Notsituation unmöglich macht. Wir sagen NEIN!
private	Wir warnen dringend vor dem von der WHO geplanten Abkommen über die „weltweite Pandemievorsorge"! Das Vorhaben ist hochgefährlich! Es soll ermöglichen, dass die WHO nicht Empfehlungen für die Regierungen der Mitgliedländer abgibt, sondern Entscheidungen trifft, die als Gesetze gelten und über unserer Verfassung stehen sollen. Das bedeutet eine Umgehung aller demokratischen Institutionen, denn die WHO ist nicht demokratisch legitimiert. Die Bürgerinnen haben keinen Einfluss auf dieses Gremium, die gewählte Regierung würde zum ausführenden Organ degradiert. Das Abkommen würde es der WHO ermöglichen, den Ländern beliebige Maßnahmen zu diktieren, indem sie zuvor den globalen Notstand erklärt. Was als „globaler Notstand" gilt, entscheidet allerdings die WHO selbst. Folgt die WHO wirklich noch ihren ursprünglichen Idealen oder den Wünschen ihrer Geldgeber? Sie finanziert sich nur noch zu 80 Prozent aus Spenden, z.T. von Stiftungen und der Pharmaindustrie. Ein Großteil ist zweckgebunden: Geht es wirklich um die Gesundheit der Menschen, oder um Geld und Kontrolle? Tritt dieses geplante Abkommen in Kraft, könnte die WHO aufgrund eines mäßig gefährlichen Virus eine Pandemie ausrufen und bei jeder beliebigen Infektionskrankheit eine Impfpflicht in allen Mitgliedsstaaten verordnen. Es kann nicht sein, dass eine von der Pharmabranche und privaten Geldgebern abhängige Organisation über das Wohl der Erdbevölkerung bestimmt. Gleichzeitig macht eine solchermaßen zentralisierte Gesundheitspolitik keinen Sinn, da sie regionale Bedürfnisse nicht berücksichtigt und das landespezifische Reagieren auf eine Notsituation unmöglich macht. Wir sagen NEIN!
private	Wir warnen dringend vor dem von der WHO geplanten Abkommen über die „weltweite Pandemievorsorge"! Das Vorhaben ist hochgefährlich! Es soll ermöglichen, dass die WHO nicht Empfehlungen für die Regierungen der Mitgliedländer abgibt, sondern Entscheidungen trifft, die als Gesetze gelten und über unserer Verfassung stehen sollen. Das bedeutet eine Umgehung aller demokratischen Institutionen, denn die WHO ist nicht demokratisch legitimiert. Die Bürgerinnen haben keinen Einfluss auf dieses Gremium, die gewählte Regierung würde zum ausführenden Organ degradiert. Das Abkommen würde es der WHO ermöglichen, den Ländern beliebige Maßnahmen zu diktieren, indem sie zuvor den globalen Notstand erklärt. Was als „globaler Notstand" gilt, entscheidet allerdings die WHO selbst. Folgt die WHO wirklich noch ihren ursprünglichen Idealen oder den Wünschen ihrer Geldgeber? Sie finanziert sich nur noch zu 80 Prozent aus Spenden, z.T. von Stiftungen und der Pharmaindustrie. Ein Großteil ist zweckgebunden: Geht es wirklich um die Gesundheit der Menschen, oder um Geld und Kontrolle? Tritt dieses geplante Abkommen in Kraft, könnte die WHO aufgrund eines mäßig gefährlichen Virus eine Pandemie ausrufen und bei jeder beliebigen Infektionskrankheit eine Impfpflicht in allen Mitgliedsstaaten verordnen. Es kann nicht sein, dass eine von der Pharmabranche und privaten Geldgebern abhängige Organisation über das Wohl der Erdbevölkerung bestimmt. Gleichzeitig macht eine solchermaßen zentralisierte Gesundheitspolitik keinen Sinn, da sie regionale Bedürfnisse nicht berücksichtigt und das landespezifische Reagieren auf eine Notsituation unmöglich macht. Wir sagen NEIN!
Partei WIR2020	WIR2020 als basisdemokratische Partei steht dafür, dass Entscheidungen, die Einfluss auf das Leben der Bürger ausüben, von den Bürgern getroffen werden. Eine internationale Steuerung einer Pandemiebekämpfung durch ein Unternehmen, das nicht demokratisch legitimiert ist, wie die nicht mehr unabhängige WHO, halten wir für absolut ungeeignet. Dies ist der Versuch eine diktatorische Weltregierung bzw. Gesundheitsdiktatur zu installieren. Die Souveränität jeden Staates und jedes einzelnen Bürgers muss gewahrt werden. Die Menschenrechte die in jedem europäischen Staat, in der Verfassung geregelt sind, dürfen durch einen solchen Vertrag nicht ausgehebelt werden Außerdem fordern wir die Zurücknahme der Änderung des Pandemiebegriffs und des Immunitätsbegriffs. Die vorgenommenen Änderungen entsprechen in keinster Weise den medizinischen Erkenntnissen bezüglich einer Infektion und dem natürlichen Verlauf in der Bevölkerung.
None	With all due respect the following are critical considerations and no less.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	 With all due respect to the World Health Organization, please respect the people's right to choose our own decision as to whether or not we put an unknown substance into our bodies. Never before in the history of the world has an organization tried to force us to accept a medical injection, or a forced lockdown of the healthy. In past times, it was beneficial to quarantine those who are ill, not those who are healthy. In addition to physical health, the mental health of the very old and very young are suffering. So as noted above and according to the Neuremburg Code, please do not force these medical issues on us. We, the people, require the Freedom to decide for ourselves. Thank you.
Asianajotoimisto Leena Kenttämies Oy	With all due respect to your good performance per se, I am opposed to a pandemic agreement. The WHO pandemic agreement is above the Finnish Constitution and thus annuls it. It will allow the WHO to introduce coercive measures, such as quarantine or compulsory vaccination, without Finland being able to influence them.
Self	With all due respect, health decisions should be made by the individual. Health policy is obviously helpful as guidance but your plan would strip nations of the right to set their own health policy. The integrity of health authorities and institutions are in a fragile state in light of the egregious covid protocols and mandates that have quite clearly done more harm than good. We need to rebuild public trust in the institutions that are meant to protect us. Thank you.
None	With all due respect, nothing less than the following. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
living woman	 With all due respect, the answer to your question above - is NONE. The WHO is a highly corrupt organization - and the past two years have shown millions of free thinking living men and women the truth. We do want to thank you for exposing yourselves and all your deep layers of corruption which have been imbedded into the WHO for decades - but thanks to your extremely misguided and seemingly nefarious actions of the past two years - the WHO is showing its darkness very clearly for all to see. The WHO has NO god given authority over living men and living women on this planet earth. NONE. We will not now, or ever, allow unelected members of the WHO to claim ANY authority over our health. We did not vote for you. We do not consent to giving the WHO any authority over our bodies. EVER. I pray you will go into your heart and soul and discover the truth about who you are, and live a life filled with love, truth, freedom, joy, kindness, honesty, integrity and grace (currently the WHO does not act in any of these ways). I will pray for you and all living men and women. And so it is.
none	With all due respect, the drafting of a global treaty that assumes unelected power over an individuals body autonomy is unjust and undue based upon this organizations response to the Covid19 pandemic. Multiple mistakes were made in recommendations regarding Masking, Lockdowns, and mandatory vaccination with a substance now known to be ineffective in it's defined application to stop the spread, save lives, and prevent future outbreaks. Multiple studies show this evidence as we know you are aware. It is abundantly clear this type of authoritarian power by unelected individuals is unprecedented, unwarranted, and unwanted by the people of this world who have the scientific and data driven knowledge. Although multiple entities of power attempted to squelch scientific data, and censor qualified data analysts and dissenting expert voices, millions of people from across the globe know the facts. St. Augustine of Hippo stated "Truth is like a lion, you don't have to defend it. Let it loose, it will defend itself". The free people of the world seeking truth are finding it and trust has been breached. This overreaching, authoritarian treaty seeks a power it does not deserve. The power over an individual's body sovereignty will not be tolerated by the people of the world.
Individual	With all due respect, the WHO forgot to ask the first question. Is such an agreement even necessary or appropriate? The answer is there is no place in this world for such an agreement. The undemocratic powers you envision for the WHO are unacceptable and have no place in a free world or any country founded on the principles of Health Freedom. Make no mistake, you will never, under any circumstance mandate what I put into my body.
None.	With all due respect, the WHO is not an elected body and has no right to impose any treaty on sovereign nations. If the WHO wishes to pursue a pandemic instrument or treaty, then it should include an admission of the following facts by the WHO: Many of the Covid guidelines given by the WHO have been proven faulty including PCR tests. Kary Mullis, inventor of the PCR, stated specifically that it was not to be used as a diagnostic tool. Using this test inflated numbers and created a pandemic when none existed. In addition, the WHO used the term 'cases' incorrectly. The word 'case' traditionally applies only to people who are ill. The WHO used it to denote people who were not ill but healthy with an irrelevant PCR result then recommended lockdowns based on this faulty information causing serious damage to people's health and livelihoods worldwide. The WHO then promoted experimental injections without full, long-term safety testing, that were launched without disclosing known risks to the public, were manufactured by companies found guilty of several crimes resulting in hundreds of thousands of deaths and millions of injuries worldwide while exempted from liability relating to these injuries. These actions have shown the WHO incapable of creating an accurate instrument on pandemics . Health decisions rest with the individuals concerned not unelected bodies. The imposition of global mandates is a tyrannical measure and a violation of sovereign constitutions and individual freedom.
Helping to Heal PT	With all respect WHO is advisory organization which has no respect from myself. Totalitarian approach to health emergencies overriding my Freedoms is nor accepted. Bureaucrats of any sort; even from my own country may propose solution which I may accept or not. All biologicals and other methods imposed on Me and My family without full consent and lack of liability is criminal. Full transparency and full liability for side effects shall be included in written and sign consent. Emergencies should be specified and public will or vote can overturn them. Piotr Sroka PT Hope that somebody will give attention to my protest against new totalitarianistic attempts.
Individual	With any WHO derived international instruments on pandemic response, it's vital that decisions regarding any medical procedures always require the consent of the people. To that end, please ensure your efforts and procedures are given advisories always respecting local sovereignty and personal autonomy. No matter the best of intentions, given the unknowns of short, medium and long term benefits of any medical intervention, it is not possible for a centralized body to make perfect decisions about how to react to new biological threat. As a highest priority, WHO derived instruments must respect the rights of each individual over their own medical treatment decisions, and no international instruments should include forcing humans to receive any kind of injections, treatments, medical testing, or restrictions on personal liberty without their fully informed consent. As a citizen of the United States of America, our Constitution and protection of our Inalienable Rights must always take precedent over any supranational bodies and corporations with policies derived from the dictates of those not directly elected by our own people. To that end, I can only support instruments that require only voluntary cooperation and participation, always respect due process, and that never inhibit the life, liberty, or prosperity of citizens of the United States of America. Thank you for your consideration and due diligence towards upholding the spirit and intent of the Nuremberg Code and all other international agreements and covenants requiring fully informed consent in all medical experiments. Especially, as specifically in regards to pandemic and epidemic protocols, all attempts at mitigation are experimental, by definition.
Piko Productions	With global power becoming increasingly undemocratic, I do not support an international treaty on pandemic responses that overrides the power of nations to make their own decisions. WHO treaties that remove power from the US to do what is best for its citizens have the potential to be dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, for the simple reason that without a democratically elected global government, citizens won't have any recourse if they disagree with measures. Therefore, the international instrument should offer recommendations and guidelines, but not constitute a binding agreement. Sincerely, Sara Robin
None	With regard to a global pandemic agreement, the following need to be to be incorporated: First, national and local leaders need to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Second, nations and local municipalities need to retain the ability without consequence to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit. Third, an open and transparent process must be retained with the ability for all people of the world to vote on, including fail safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Fifth, measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers need to be retained.
Citizen Org	With regard to any international pandemic planning or response, national and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The instrument should consist of an open and transparent process that allows all people of the world to participate, and should include failsafe measures that can prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the population are not in agreement with it. In addition, the instrument must include measures to prevent influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Istari LLC	With regard to pandemic preparedness, personal health is imperative. - Combat obesity, fast foods, processed foods etc.. - Encourage vegetable gardening. Implement this basic survival skill as a mandatory curriculum for youth worldwide. - STOP the use of pesticides. They are harmful to ALL LIFE. - ALL=WAYS put life before currency. - Spread awareness that a healthy immune system is key to combat illness. - Teach people that remedies for illness can be found in nature, free of charge. We need not depend on expensive pharmaceutical drugs that potentially come with adverse effects. - Teach people the benefits of regular meditation. The mind can heal or create illness depending on our understanding and utilization. - If the goal is to promote health/love as opposed to control/fear. Spread love not fear in a pandemic. This correlates to the statement above, relating to the power of the mind. - Halt biological research/prevention laboratories/programs. Call them what you will, but this is essentially playing with fire. Beyond that, they don't pass the "smell test". - If the goal of this treaty is to preserve humanity. Promote better stewardship of this magnificent planet and the bio diversity that we depend on. In order to prevent a legitimate "PLANETARY PANDEMIC". In my opinion, our current system is parasitic in nature. Thoughtless consumption of resources without regard for anything but ourselves is NOT SUSTAINABLE. This behavior will undoubtedly end in the demise of humanity and potentially all life. Follow a healthy MORAL CODE. LoudLove!
Self	 With regard to pandemic preparedness, personal health is imperative. - Combat obesity, fast foods, processed foods etc.. - Encourage vegetable gardening. Implement this basic survival skill as a mandatory curriculum for youth worldwide. - STOP the use of pesticides. They are harmful to ALL LIFE. - ALL=WAYS put life before currency. - Spread awareness that a healthy immune system is key to combat and prevent illness - Teach people that remedies for illness can be found in nature, free of charge. We need not depend on expensive pharmaceutical drugs that potentially come with adverse effects. - Teach people the benefits of regular meditation. The mind can heal or create illness. - Promote health/love as opposed to control/fear. Spread love not fear in a pandemic. Create unity and community and not division and polarization. Stop the utilization of Media as a tool creating fear and division. - Halt biological research/prevention laboratories/programs. Call them what you will, but this is essentially playing with fire. - Promote better stewardship of this magnificent planet and the bio diversity that we depend on. Thoughtless consumption of resources without regard for anything but ourselves is NOT SUSTAINABLE. This behavior will undoubtedly end in the demise of humanity and potentially all life. -teach regenerative and sustainable practices of our soils, farming and resources. With regard to pandemic response, providing science based tools and resources to the public to build up individual's immune responses naturally should be offered. This should be offered with or without the choice to take experimental vaccines.
self	With regard to the proposed changes, I would like to suggest the following "substantive elements" I believe should be "included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response". The following elements presuppose the essential dignity of human life. 1. National and local leadership shall retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. Nations and local municipalities shall have the option to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without censure. 3. People worldwide shall have the option to vote for specific measures that are proposed. Voters who choose to opt out shall have the freedom to do so, without censure. 4. Care shall be taken to ensure that corporate medical interests have limited effect on public policy. 5. Funds shall be set aside to further assist independent reviews by non-institutionally appointed sources of vaccine safety and efficacy data. Please consider my ideas in your discussions. Thank you for your time.
Free Woman of the Land	With regard to the proposed one size fits all global pandemic treaty it is important to understand that a treaty of this sort needs to acknowledge the following: National and local leadership must retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Measures must be taken that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. To be fair, there must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world, not just their governments, to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.
individual	With regard to the proposed pandemic treaty, the following essential provisions must be included: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
World Council for Health	With regard to the Treaty for Pandemic Preparedness: this treaty is unnecessary and threatens our sovereignty and inalienable rights. As witnessed for the past two years, all countries in the WHO aren't equally affected to the same extent and at the same moment in time to comply to an internationally imposed set of actions and treatments.
None	With regards to substantive elements. 1. In the past handling of other world health organization operations, and independent multi-national oversight committee should be appointed. This is to avoid and intercept any wrongdoings within the WHO. 2. "Absolute power corrupts absolutely", in addition to Oversight, WHO activities will be monitored with complete transparency to all international population. This organization needs to serve the People of Earth, not add additional control over them. 3. A board consisting of multi-disciplinary physicians, medical personnel, holistic and Spiritual healing experts will be appointed, to review determinations and direction of the WHO. 4. Absolute power over sovereign nations and persons does not exist. The WHO should exist only as a true "Fact finding and problem solving" operation. Conflicts of interest with Pharmaceutical, licencing and patenting of medicine and other treatment should not exist within the WHO.
Canadian Citizen	With regards to which measures should be included in "a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?", I firmly believe that individuals need only be educated regarding the risks of any future pandemic as well as what measures can be taken to protect themselves. Vaccines and treatments need to be made available for all who chose to receive them. That is all. The overzealous reactions of governments to Covid and the conflicting information being presented in regards to it, both on mainstream media and on social media platforms, caused a great distrust of government as well an unprecedented divide amongst people. Suspicion of the narrative being presented has only intensified. When individuals who chose not to get the recommended vaccinations were bribed and later "punished" (through exclusion) for not following recommendations; even those who at first were trusting of the measures being implemented began to waiver in their beliefs. Pandemic preparedness? Trust in our elected officials needs to be renewed. Individuals have a right to bodily autonomy without intimidation or coercion. As well, any discrimination regarding vaccination status is wrong. Individuals have a right to be informed or all treatment options without censorship.
Individual	With regards what substantive elements I think should be included, the answer would be very little. The WHO should only ever hold an advisory capacity. There should be no supranational element to this whatsoever, and national governments should always be completely free and independent and completely autonomous from any influence from the WHO or pharmaceutical companies.
Private	 What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" My answer is - The way that the World Health Organisation (WHO) handled the covid 19 pandemic shows with remarkable clarity that a central body should NOT be tasked with any response to any pandemic. The WHO displayed a blatant bias towards supporting big pharmaceutical companies at the cost of many lives and livelihoods. The fact that such bodies are financially reliant on corporate funding will lead to compromises for the citizens and profits at any cost to the corporations. The WHO did not address early treatment. The WHO actively suppressed information and treatments that would have saved many lives. At no point did they use real science, and even now, they still promote mandates that have scientifically been shown not to work, such as masks, spikeshots and lockdowns. You - the UN and WHO have no right to undermine basic human freedoms and rights. Tiptoe away quietly. Prove your worth by promoting real health and wellbeing, not toxic treatments and population control. Your sincerely, Bowen Boshier 1 Dover road Muizenberg Cape Town South Africa

Organization	Written submission
I represent myself	With Respect I address my comments to the World Health Organization and it's leadership: And in regards to the proposed Pandemic Treaty being considered I will submit this request to the honored participants. I request: (1) That, in the understanding that individual nations and even at times local areas within nations are sovereign autonomous legal and cultural entities there needs to be both legal and cultural respect for the autonomies of these nations and local entities as well as religious respect for groups and individuals conscientiously dissenting. Therefore, please observe such autonomy in all local areas with respect to your decisions in order to legally and culturally support the Decisions the leaders of these independent areas make in a culturally appropriate manner for the good of their own people. With support but not outside control. (2) That You should please support the request of individual and local bodies to opt-out of any and all provisions of Your authority in order to faithfully protect and uphold their people and culture and laws. (3) That you adopt an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. (4) That you implement measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or any that seek to profit or already profit from the outcome of this agreement. Thank you for your willingness to hear my voice. I am one of the citizens of my community and my world. I speak for myself. Laura Johnston Texas, USA
N/a	With respect this proposed idea is undemocratic, I did not vote for the WHO nor do I trust the WHO.
Private citizen	With respect to an international pandemic response I do not think any global organization should ever be able to proscribe what member nations must or must not do. It should always be voluntary, otherwise countries lose their sovereignty.
General Public respondent	With respect to the World Health Organization's proposed global Pandemic Treaty, I would like to go on record with my viewpoint that health-related decisions should be the domain of individual citizens; with health policies that impact those decisions in turn the domain of public servants who are accountable to those citizens, as opposed to unelected officials in other nations, political entities, or global policy making organizations. Therefore, I would strongly encourage that the following elements be incorporated into any such treaty for it to receive support by myself and others holding similar viewpoints: - That national and local leadership shall retain full autonomy for final decisions impacting public health in their jurisdictions, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people; - That nations and local municipalities may opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence; - That the policy making process be open and transparent to all citizens, with the right of all to vote to prevent the application of any global agreement in places where a majority of the people do wish it implemented; - And that measures be taken to ensure the policy-making process is in no way influenced by any pharmaceutical companies or other for-profit health products and services organizations.
Canadian Freedom Fund	With respect to this WHO proposal: National and local leadership in Canada should retain autonomy, reserving our right to make decisions based on what is best for Canadians regardless of global imperatives. The charter should inscribe the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of this agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process is necessary with any global initiative that seeks to harness the world health system . Democratic process remains the gold standard regardless of the perceived need to impose these regulations for the good of mankind. Laurence Curtis PhD, Theresa Curtis MSc Canada
Private citizen	With respect, I completely disagree with the idea of handing over control of my country and government to the WHO in case of a 'global pandemic'. We saw with the last 'pandemic' that the yearly death rates were not higher than normal in most countries and only started to rise when the experimental 'vaccines' and their boosters were delivered. I also take objection to the change in the definition of the word pandemic as it is now a very vague definition which gives the WHO complete latitude with regard to declaring a pandemic. The people of the world have elected (for the most part) their governments and said governments should be the ones deciding our response to any health issue based on what the people want. We have seen a huge amount of disregard for the rights and freedoms of citizens during this Covid issue and I believe the proposed WHO treaty would remove most of those rights and freedoms in the case of a pandemic DECLARED BY THE WHO. This treaty gives the organization declaring a pandemic total power over those living in countries which have signed the treaty. We must not even consider this treaty.
Church of England	With respect, I think the question you need to ask, 'Do you think there needs to be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, and if so, what do you think should be included in it?', to which my initial answer would be, 'not if it is a threat to national sovereignty and the rights of the people within those sovereign nations'. In its current form, the global pandemic agreement would remove those rights and place governments of the world and its citizens under the external authority of a global organisation, thereby completely overriding the democratic process. I believe it is vital that national and local leadership should retain the authority entrusted to them by the electorate. It is also vital that they have the freedom to opt out of any international instrument without any sanctions. Should the WHO continue to pursue this global pandemic agreement (with the aforementioned democratic principled enshrined), it would also be of great importance to ensure that the people of the world are entitled to have their say, and their vote on any proposed measure, rather than having it foisted upon them, which in its current form, appears to be the unwelcome consequence. Allied to this potentiality is the influence of pharmaceutical companies who might have vested interests in pushing for certain measures, as well as the financial backing to unduly influence the people at the WHO. In short, it would seem that there are many pitfalls and snares with the current form of this proposed pandemic agreement, and I would humbly suggest a significant rethink to something that could be of benefit.
Individual	With respect, the performance of the WHO during Covid 19, starting with the refusal to even declare a pandemic for weeks after international spread was well underway has not inspired anyone below it with confidence. The WHO should be devolving powers back towards national actors rather than working to quietly acquire more. The WHO should be funded by nation states only to minimize conflicts of interest The WHO should have the power to issue guidance only. Nation states would be happy to follow WHO guidance when they see it coming from an organization making evidence based recommendations in good faith.
Spiritualist Metaphysician	With such a low percentage of fatalities overall, we need to be more realistic calling Covid-19 an emergency any longer. 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services.
Free Thinking Human	With the absence of a clear definition of what constitutes a pandemic and the fact that the WHO is not a governing body for nations, there should be freedom of decision for every region and not a blanket response from the WHO. As soon as the WHO is not dependent on funding from mega corporations funneled through the Gates foundation (making up 45% of grinding from nongovernmental agencies). Your interests are skewed by money and politics. The WHO CAN NOT BE TRUSTED to make unbiased decisions that account for the Best interest of every person on the globe. Stay in your lane. Decisions should be made by local ordinance not global control.
Personal	With the incredibly poor job done in the previous "pandemic", I believe that every country should take care of itself. I have a real problem with a worldwide organization having control over sovereign countries--especially regarding healthcare. At this point, they are still pushing the death shots on the people and even children. No, no, no. This is not good.
US Citizen	With the number of vaccinated athletes just in Tennis, Football (soccer), and Cycling alone that have died as well as the 40% increase in all cause mortality for those 20-50 and the limited benefit (0.84%) of mRNA vaccines (according to Pfizer's own research), mandating these vaccines rather than allowing early treatment instead of seeing early treatments censored and allowing herd immunity obtained through it's natural course as Sweden has successfully done is the wisest and only way to proceed.
Ual	Withdraw all participation from WHO. We are a sovereign nation.
General public	Within the UK at least there are questions being asked as to whether lockdowns and closures of business had any benefits whatsoever - however, many businesses and people's livelihoods suffered greatly, families were deprived of contact, and the suicide rate increased. Many medical people have provided public comments that masks made no difference whatsoever (a senior Israeli official was heard in a "hot mic" moment to state to an opposite number from a different country that the masks were purely to get people to buy into the idea of a pandemic). Health and government officials are servants to the general population, and yet they have been acting against the best interests of the global population. No drug should ever be released to the general population without years of public testing, and certainly in such as way that they are exempt of liability. The fact that so many people are falling ill and dying after taking the injection shows that this has been a criminally reckless action which has surely resulted in more deaths than Covid itself. Lessons should be learned and adopted here, and a much more robust watchdog process should be created to bring to justice those people within governments and public health bodies who enforce procedures that make any pandemic worse than if it had been left untreated.
N/A	Within your framing of discussion you are creating on pandemic preparedness, you assume a international instrument is necessary, I do not. Instead I suggest that national and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Nations and local municipalities must be able to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. The process must be open and democratic. There must be an open and transparent process for all people of the world to vote on the application of the global agreement, and this should include measures that will prevent the application of the agreement where the majority of the people do not want it. Any process related to pandemic preparedness should be free from influence of individuals, groups, or organizations that may have a conflict of interest or may profit from the plan such as pharma or tech companies or NGO's and lobby groups representing their interest.
Personal	Without exception, all human rights must be protected at all times. Individuals must be allowed to choose their own medical treatment. No person or organisation can be permitted to force medical treatment upon another. No healthy person must be denied the right to go about their business because others are sick. All persons must be allowed to express their views on medical matters without fear of censorship or reprisals. Local/national authorities must retain the right to make their own decisions including opting out of the agreement, if they so choose. All people of the world must be given a vote to decide whether they want to be part of the agreement. Neither pharmaceutical companies nor anyone else who stands to gain financially from the treaty must be involved with it in any way.
General Public	Without prejudice I do not consent to contracting with, or be being governed by, the unelected, undemocratic, private limited corporation known as The World Health Organisation, or the private limited corporation known as The United Nations, its parent company. I will not comply with any mandates, directives, guidelines, howsoever issued by these organisations, and, for the avoidance of doubt, any and all implied consent is rescinded. Irrespective of any statutory instruments authorised by the Parliament of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland for the delegation of authority to the World Health Organisation, I will not contract with, or comply, and invoke my inalienable rights under common law and the Bill of Rights 1689, and all other relevant instruments of international law. Yours sincerely, Michael Reeman Without prejudice
US citizen	Without the following additions, we the people will never accept this treaty. Our constitution specifically prohibits our relinquishing our freedoms, even during an "emergency". The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
General Public	Without these elements included in the treaty, the consequences are an assault on democratic freedoms. These guidelines would include the following. 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Priwat	Wnosimy o zaprzestanie prac nad Traktatem pandemicznym . Ludzkość i suwerenne kraje doświadczyły już dość tragedi w ostatnich latach w ograniczaniu wolności i nie przestrzeganiu praw człowieka . Łamany jest kodeks norymberski i łamane prawa obywatelskie . Przeprowadzany jest eksperyment na ludziach podając preparat w III fazie badań .... nieznane są skutki w krótkim czasie i dłuższym działanie tej substancji. Sprzeciwiamy się traktowaniu ludzi jak niewolników odgórnych zarządzeń !!!! Jesteśmy wolnymi narodami !!!
Wo bleibt die Souveränität einzelner Staaten bei diesem Vertrag?	Wo bleiben die Garantien für die Souveränität des Staates und des Einzelnen, die Achtung der Menschenrechte oder die gesetzliche Haftung von Regierungsbeamten und/oder Pharmaunternehmen?
Private	Wo do not need a WHO dictate!! I generally oppose an international, mandatory pandemic treaty! thank u
Health Profession	Working in health profession field, I now see lot of frustration, unlust and depression amongst people from the enduring pandemic situation - putting more and more pressure on them and not taking it back. "Get vaccinanted and we can go back to normal" was an empty promise. Further decisions concerning the unbearable situation now and in future belong into the hands of the citizens of each single country.
World Animal Protection	World Animal Protection encourages efforts to address the conditions that facilitate zoonotic disease emergence, especially in wildlife trade, animal handling and non-responsible use of antibiotics in industrial livestock production systems. As per the decision of the WHO member states of 1st December 2021, it is essential that the new international instrument must focus on pandemic prevention. It is not enough to prepare for future pandemics, we should aim to avoid them. Around 70% of zoonotic emerging infectious diseases originate from wildlife. Zoonotic diseases can be spread to humans at all stages of the supply chain, and animals are more susceptible to infection spread when they are kept in a poor environment, on a poor diet, or under stress, either in intensive farming or wildlife markets. World Animal Protection considers the global commercial wildlife trade as an unacceptable threat to global human health and we call for a complete ban on the trade in wild animals. World Animal Protection also demands a move away from intensive farming practices. Intensive farming stressed animals into tightly packed sheds, risking diseases like swine flu or bird flu that can jump to humans. Three-quarters of the world's antibiotics are used in farmed animals – a practice driving the emergence of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria. Ultimately a One Health and One Welfare approach is the only way to ensure we prevent and address the spread of zoonotic disease. This must be part of a truly joined global sustainable development agenda on food, environment, and health systems.
Citizen	World Council for Health suggests the following elements be addressed: National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Citizen	World government should have zero authority over the people regarding their healthcare decisions. My rights are given to me by GOD not govt. i am not part of a global alliance and vehemently oppose this treaty .
Private individual	World Health Organisation is not democratically elected. I disagree with you seeking permission to manage every human being on the planet My own government have been democratically elected and if they displease We The People, we can vote them out at the next election My own government have been elected to decide what's best for We The People in any situation There is no one size fits all. I don't want governing by 3rd unelected party
World health organisation	World health organisation should not be left to manage the world's health. What if corruption exists in the world health organisation? like big pharma is paying who for certain health out comes to happen to increase their profits. If all the world is given the same protocols we'll never learn better strategies for dealing with pandemics. Frankly the strategies put in place by the who for handling coronavirus pandemic have caused nothing but resounding chaos with years of negative impact yet to be revealed.. The fact any countries government would consider handing control over to the world health organisation shows the depth of corruption already existing, and world health organisation should be defunded immediately, and any profits made by Bill and Melinda gates, thanks to mandates put in place by who, should be seized and handed over to the many small businesses their regulations forced into receivership.
Home	World Health Organisation. I am a woman of this world. I submit, on the following grounds, that the new international instrument must explicitly prohibit any discrimination on the basis of vaccination status. 1. Vaccine mandates imply that all humans are born in a defective, inherently harmful state that must be biotechnologically augmented to allow our unrestricted participation in society, and this constitutes discrimination on the basis of healthy, innate characteristics of the human race. 2. Discrimination against the unvaccinated denies the right to free medical consent. This must not be allowed under any circumstances, because without the right to free medical consent we have no rights at all; every other right can be subverted by medical coercion. 3. Vaccines are known to occasionally cause deaths of healthy people. Discrimination against the unvaccinated amounts to a violation of the right to life, because a small percentage of the targeted individuals are expected to die as a result of this coercive treatment. It may be objected that viruses also kill people, but these two categories of deaths are not ethically equivalent. Infection with viruses is not mandated, whereas deaths resulting from mandatory vaccination are mandated deaths, a legalised killing of some people for the prospective benefit of the majority. I believe we agree that the right to life must not be violated, in which case vaccine mandates are inconsistent with the fundamental moral commitments of The WHO.
Private Citizen	World Health Organization – Global Pandemic Treaty April 12, 2022 • As a citizen of the world, I am concerned with the idea of a tax payer funded agency making decisions on a global scale, wherein the citizens of the world will not be able to have a voice or a choice once enacted. It is critical that National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people so that local citizens retain their voice and choice. • Nations and local municipalities must retain the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. • The treaty must include an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. • The treaty must include measures that excludes influence in the decision process by any and all companies, NGO's, Governments, private citizens, any stakeholders, funders who exert power, profit or control, from any aspect of this treaty. If these requirements cannot be met, then a Global Pandemic Response Treaty cannot exist.
Individual	World Health Organization and member countries. The Constitution of every state is a living document inherent to its citizens. No world organization can destroy or try to weaken the independent constitutions of every state stop the tyranny of trying to govern the world that is not your role to be violating citizens individual rights granted to them by their constitutions you will be met with pushback you will be asked to resign and if so needed these world organizations are to disappear and not to be trying to govern the world from a false perspective of an international organization Stop your dirty sinful mechanizations.
Personal citizen	World Health Organization To Whom it May Concern, I am one of the millions of concerned citizens around the world who DO NOT want to see control of pandemic and epidemic preparedness and response to be centrally dictated by ANY organization. The WHO should be an organization that gathers scientific information and makes SUGGESTIONS to world partners on best responses to disease prevention and treatment, not DICTATE policy. Countries are best left to their own government and their own medical experts to set policy. That is the best way for science to be kept free of governmental control and corruption. Medical opinion should be collaborative, allowing for the input of a multitude of experts with DIVERSE opinions in order to best protect the health and the freedom of citizens of the world. Thank you. Shelly fullerton
N/A	World Health Organization WHO has no business telling anyone what they must put into their body, least of all a vaccine. I do not support, nor will comply with any mandate from the WHO. Additionally, All people have the inalienable right to choose and refuse treatments, or medical interventions, including access to repurposed medications. All people have the right to reject mass experimentation and social engineering, and discrimination based on medical status or choice. I demand the WHO promote the restoration of harm from medical interventions. Mark Anderson Minnesota, USA
n/a	World Health Organization, I strongly oppose any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. WHO treaties that remove power from individual countries are dangerous to the health and welfare of all citizens. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Thank you for listening to my opinion.
AnnDee Karpinsky PT, Inc.	World Health Organization, In answer to the guiding question: 1.National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. Thank you, Ann Karpinsky
World Council for Health	World Health organization, I would like to make some comments addressing the WHO's pandemic treaty. I am aware that there may be some needs for guidelines regarding pandemics. I would like them to include the following: 1. The leadership be focused nationally and locally as one-size does not fit all and all nations need autonomy to make decisions for their own people and their needs. 2. Pharmaceutical companies should not be allowed to influence any decisions or processes. 3. Nations and municipalities need to be allowed to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as pertains to their specific needs. 4. The provision to have an open and transparent process so that all people could vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where the majority of the people do not want it.
private individual	World Health Organization: US political leaders are obligated to uphold the Constitution, which does not legally support any agreement, treaty, etc. that they may make to the contrary. This, in a nutshell, is the reason that a world treaty cannot be formed. Each country has unique issues and this would NOT BE EQUITABLE. No world power should dominate decisions such as health. Each person is in charge of their own health, their own bodily autonomy. Our country was founded on a US Constitution that protects the rights of states and individuals to include the freedoms the Framers deemed necessary, equitable, providing fairness to all. No global treaty can be instigated to take control of the decision-making process, taking away the rights of the people. If such a treaty is sought it will undermine the faith that our country has in its' government. Trust is at an all time low and should be protected in every way possible to continue our democracy and protect our country from tyranny The rights that our constitution gives us should be protected, regardless of which political party is in power in 2022. The public is watching how this will be handled and will vote accordingly. "The truth is like a lion. Let it out and it will protect itself."
Concerned American Citizen	World Health Organization's proposed "global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response," a plan that would strip nations of the right to determine their own health policies in the event of a pandemic, which is atrocious and I am adamantly opposed!! National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
clinic of holistic natural therapy	World Pandemic Treaty I am writing to inform you that I will not be dictated to as to what medical interventions I receive for covid or anything else for that matter. Whether at this time or in the future. I am reminding you that My body is My property not yours. I will not be threatened by any organization. I would like to remind you that you do not OWN this planet or the people that live on it. This is my final comment on this matter. Bernadette Spink
Private	World Together - Stop the tready (Schwäche der Gesundheitsfreiheit) Das Abkommen FÜR die Menschen muss mindestens folgendes beinhalten: - die unverkennbaren Säulen der Naturgesetze und des Naturrechts - Bürgerrechte wie Freiheit, Transparenz und Autonomie Bitte denken Sie an alle Generationen vor und nach uns. Vielen Dank
self	World treaties for health situations are not helpful to the public in that what may work in an isolated area will not apply to heavily populated areas. Additionally, weather conditions, terrain and access to mobility will impact the degrees by which any and all health concerns may or may not spread. To further complicate any world structure would not at all be feasible due to culture specifics, sanitary conditions and the basic freedom and liberty given to us by our Creator. I strongly urge your organization to focus reporting more indepth education with truth backed by justifiable facts so each area of the world my make decisions best for their populous and not be frightened by nontruth and skewed data.
General Public	World-wide government responses to COVID-19 violated or manipulated many treaties, including the WHO Constitution, International Health Regulations (IHR), and human rights agreements. Claims that noncompliance with the IHR—the treaty most relevant to disease outbreaks—requires a pandemic treaty do not explain why a new treaty will generate the commitment that the IHR apparently did not. More importantly, WHO treaties that remove power from the US are dangerous to the health and welfare of Americans and would violate the United States' sovereign constitutional rights.
Mr.	worst idea on the planet... i prefer each govts autonomy period.
Omega Ministries	Would like to suggest that people would be given enough time to co-operate and collaborate prayerfully about any future response
THE HEALING CUISINE	Writing with regards to the Intergovernmental Negotiating Body (INB) to work out the details of the global Pandemic Treaty planned for adoption at the 2024 World Health Assembly. This is an unacceptable threat to the sovereignty of all nations involved, and would take health decisions out of the hands of American and Canadian families. We do not trust the WHO and we certainly will not accept this INB.

Organization	Written submission
UK citizen - NHS	consent and evidence based clinical reasoning. These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Just me	Written by someone else, but I could not say it better: These must be included in the Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
None	WRITTEN CONTRIBUTION: 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA pandemic agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Sovereign	WRITTEN CONTRIBUTION: 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
Private citizen	WRITTEN CONTRIBUTION: 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
None	WRITTEN CONTRIBUTION: 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
None	WRITTEN CONTRIBUTION: 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum.
	WRITTEN CONTRIBUTION: 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
I have none I'm a mother	WRITTEN CONTRIBUTION: 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Me	WRITTEN CONTRIBUTION: 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
Lawyers for Ireland	WRITTEN CONTRIBUTION: 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. The most important issue to be determined by the INB at their next meeting, scheduled to take place before 1st August, is the legal nature of any WHA Pandemic Agreement. A Treaty or Regulation will have the potential effect of suspending Article 6 (1) and (2) of the Irish Constitution. Under Article 6(1) of the Irish Constitution the people of Ireland have the right to designate the rulers of the State and, in final appeal, to decide all questions of national policy. The Minister for Health and Official delegates to the WHA have therefore no legal authority or power to ratify a WHO Pandemic Treaty on behalf of Ireland without holding a People's Referendum
No organisation	WRITTEN CONTRIBUTION: 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum.
Private	WRITTEN CONTRIBUTION: To who it may concern 'I wish to place on record my official objection to any negotiated international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response under Article 19 (Treaty) or Article 21 (Regulation) of the World Health Organisation's Constitution, adopted on 15th February 1946, in the absence of a People's Referendum. Jayne mc guinness
	Written submission in respect to Pandemic Treaty: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to both end states of emergency and to prevent the application of the global agreement in the first place. 11. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 12. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence The proposed Treaty is taking power away from elected Governments to an unelected organisation. This is unequivocally wrong.
Retired	Written Submission to WHO As a citizen of this world, I feel strongly that this international agreement should empower individual nation states to make decisions based on what is best for their country with advice and consultation from WHO on best practices. Nation states and local municipalities should be allowed to opt out of certain portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It is imperative that the elements in the agreement not be influenced in any way by pharmaceutical companies or other global corporations or private institutions. There should be an open and transparent process so that individual citizens of the world are able to vote on measures that prevent the implementation of the global agreement where majority of the people do not want it.
Invidual	Written Submission to WHO As a citizen of this world, I feel strongly that this international agreement should empower individual nation states to make decisions based on what is best for their country with advice and consultation from WHO on best practices. Nation states and local municipalities should be allowed to opt out of certain portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It is imperative that the elements in the agreement not be influenced in any way by pharmaceutical companies or other global corporations or private institutions. There should be an open and transparent process so that individual citizens of the world are able to vote on measures that prevent the implementation of the global agreement where majority of the people do not want it.
Na	Wrong on all levels ... Leave people alone.
Osoba indywidualna	Wszystkie wasze diabelskie, masońskie plany wprowadzenia NWO pękną jak bańka mydlana. Któż jak Bóg!
prywatna	wszystko co było ogłoszone nie miało logiki podstawy prawnej i etycznej i nie zgodne z godnością człowieka
Poland	Wyrażam sprzeciw na jakiekolwiek przymusowe szczepienia.pozalujecie jak będziecie chcieli zmuszać wolnych ludzi Bóg Jezus i Duch Święty.są z nami
Private person	Wyrażam sprzeciw przeciwko traktatowi pandemicznemu! Każde państwo powinno samo decydować o sposobach leczenia i zapobiegania pandemiom. WHO jest sponsorowane głównie przez osoby prywatne, które nie mogą nam narzucać niczego, to skandal
Żadna	Wyrażam sprzeciw wobec podpisania traktatu WHO, organizacji , która nie kieruje się dobrem ludzkości. Sprzeciwiam się stosowania przymusu i zabrania wolności każdemu człowiekowi w sprawie decydowania o zdrowiu , leczeniu, profilaktyce , miejscu przebywania ,podróżowania i zawierania kontaktów międzyludzkich.
osoba prywatna	Wzywam WHO, aby nie zalecała stosowania leków i szczepionek, które są w trakcie badań klinicznych (eksperymenty medyczne zgodnie z definicją Kodeksu Norymberskiego z 1947 r.). Jeśli takie zalecenie wystąpi, społeczność światowa musi zostać poinformowana za pośrednictwem oficjalnych mediów państwowych o nieukończeniu badań klinicznych i wszelkich możliwych skutkach ubocznych. Proszę, aby wszystkie umowy i aneksy z firmami farmaceutycznymi i realizatorami WHO były jawne i publicznie dostępne (publikacja na stronie internetowej WHO). Domagam się, aby spotkania WHO były upubliczniane w czasie rzeczywistym, z dostępem do nagrań. Proszę, aby spektrum działań WHO obejmowało budowanie naturalnej odporności i profilaktykę Stosowanie się do zaleceń powinno być dobrowolne. Każdy kraj powinien mieć możliwość modyfikacji lub odmowy wdrożenia zaleceń bez żadnych konsekwencji. W żadnym wypadku zalecenia WHO nie mogą być nadrzędne wobec ustawodawstwa państw sygnatariuszy, niezależnie od okoliczności pandemii. Zwracamy się z prośbą, aby ostateczna decyzja o wyborze leczenia lub podjętej profilaktyki, bez żadnych konsekwencji, należała do pacjenta. Domagamy się, aby wolność słowa wszystkich lekarzy i naukowców była dozwolona, szanowana i brana pod uwagę przy formułowaniu zaleceń WHO.
Individual	X The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Privat person	Yes to freedom and to the right to choose if i want to be vaccinated ot not.
Privat	YES to medical freedom. FREE vaccination choice always.
Peaceful Heart Healing Center	You are in dangerous territory here as you try to usurp local powers with one world tyranny. I do not wish to see it. The WHO gets so much funding from Bill Gates, yet it's behind the scenes and most don't see it. This is akin to announcing a bio health fascist state. A big N.O. from me. The WHO has made many serious errors in judgment this pandemic. They have not earned my trust. They certainly don't deserve these outreaching powers. NO. The important points have been brought to my attention: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Pvt	You are inviting substantive arguments only, not procedural. Which is not fair. From a substantive viewpoint: if one is allergic to something, you aren't giving them a chance. If some people can't handle a bee-sting, they can't handle some of these jabs. That's substance. If someone needs to do sport or get on a plane without blood problems - that's substance. If kids don't need it and there is no benefit - that's substance. If women and children should be left alone - that's substance. Same with sports people, pilots etc. Anything where the risks outweigh the benefits is substance. Et cetera. So the risks outweigh the benefits on all counts - procedural or substantive.
Canadian citizen	You are not democratically elected and this is nothing but a power grab & Canadians will not bow down to you we are a sovereign nation! Canadians do not support this!
Member of the public	You are not democratically elected therefore you have no right to propose this
None	You are not elected by any population, and therefore should not hold ANY power over individuals or any elected government. I am totally opposed to this as it is against our fundamental human rights
Nz Citizen	You as an organization have no right sticking your nose into other Countries health affairs, our forefathers died and bled so we can live in peace and self determination, be aware freedom loving people Worldwide will never comply to unelected beaurocrats forcing their will upon humanity and will resist any Tyrannical outside forces in their efforts to remove our freedoms and way of life, so just stop it!
public school teacher	You ask: "What substantive elements do you think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response?" My response: How about simply MY FREEDOM OF CHOICE? I believe there could be NOTHING more substantive than allowing a sovereign human being the right to say what will & will not be done to their body & their children's bodies. NO governmental organization has the right to make a treaty limiting my rights to seek the health care practices that I deem best, to have full access to ALL the information that a citizen of my country may put out regarding my health (WITHOUT censorship of any kind), & to deny any options that I deem unworthy, unnecessary or harmful. I AM THE ONE TO DECIDE THOSE THINGS, NOT THE W.H.O., the United Nations, my local or federal government, nor ANYONE. I am a U.S. citizen. I expect to be treated like one. Sincerely, Kimberly Potts, 30 year public school teacher San Jose, California
None	You assume there should be 'a new international instrument' and pretend to inquire what elements should comprise it. The answer is that there shall be no new instrument, and thus it shall contain no elements.
Non	You can not exercise any law that goes on natural law, every positive law is segregated since 2012, no organization or corporation can create positive laws against our natural law.You are quartering the freedoms of Homo alive. México city
N/A	You can not propose or try to impose such measures as pandemic preparedness because your role is merely an advisory body. Your proposal is overreaching completely your functions, and taking into account the terrible way you handled the Covid 19 situation you lost all trust from the public. To make things worse, you are also supporting the use of pharmaceuticals ("vaccines") that lack completely any long term study to back up results of efficacy and safety and so far have caused more harm that good. We the people of the world do not need your instructions and I won't comply with any of them.
US Citizen	You can provide information and recommendations ONLY. There should be NOTHING that is FORCED!! This includes any age group, any group of people. All you can do is inform and suggest. At the end of the day, we are all humans and we opt to make choices with our own minds to do with our own bodies that our Heavenly Father created. We already know that masks don't work, shutting down the economy doesn't work, social distancing doesn't work, and vaccines sure don't work. What does work is staying healthy by exercising, eating a healthy diet, and staying home only if you are ACTUALLY sick. This is what is needed, and NOTHING MORE!!
citizen	You can put all the detail you like into your future Pandemic Plan, but the important aspect, which appears to have been lost, is that people still need to be able to make an informed choice on what course of health-care best befits them. Vaccines should not be made compulsory. Mandates should not be made compulsory. There is no place for authoritarianism in healthcare. Promote healthy options as much as lab concocted options. It's so disappointing to see how backward thinking WHO is right now, where is the progression. You can only make recommendations, is the recommendation.
Sudbury schoolboard	You cannot control people's health decisions or lives. Stop plz. If we have to make out own clu try we will. I don't know how you think you could tell people how to love or what the obsession is to control people. You should take a good look in the mirror if you work for the W.H.O
Boulder Healing Touch	You cannot have my God-given sovereignty. God will soon punish you for your evil deeds
Private	You cannot include one policy for everyone regarding health because everybody is different and there cannot be one solution to problem. You can provide substantial data and act as suggestive entity not as enforcement agency. These things cannot be tolerated as humanity has achieved this freedom after so much struggle. So please don't go in that direction.
world people	You cannot prepare for a pandemic. Planning is futile also. The way the WHO (and other authorities) managed the Covid19 pandemic is a very very good example of how poorly you did; look at all the mentally ill people now thanks to your lockdowns, mask mandates, job losses, border closures. The WHO and others 'plans' killed a lot more people than any illness. So, next time how about you just do nothing as that would have been a healthier option given this recent pandemic response.
Nil	You cannot restrict the natural rights of healthy people. Cold and flu are natural part of life and humans are not at risk of extinction we are however at risk of mental illness and chronic disease. We must he able to live our lives healthily. Enough of the control and restrictions and unnatural conditions. We want fresh air, organic food, health (real health) , no vaccines no mandates! Individual freedom comes before any societal ideas that infringe on the basic rights of people.
Citizens of the Free World	You cannot subvert the constitution of the USA. We will not comply with fascist privately owned WHO mandates!
None	You cannot take anyone's freedom because you want to, even in the case of health warnings. Pandemic controls must be managed at national level only.
Hospital	You can't diagnose and treat patients remotely, as you lose a lot of information. How would be possible to treat whole population with out knowing them? Governments barely know the sick population, and they sit closely. How should who then be better? Where more layers are between patients and who?
myself	you can't take away my free will. I am the one that must decide if I want to take a vaccine or not
Nessuno	you could still request the inclusion of guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for human rights, legal liability for government officials and/or pharmaceutical companies and all other things governmental bodies have completely ignored for the last 2 years
	You cross again a red line. We are not the slaves of the Big Pharmacy. Stopp it.
person	you do not get to have control over my personal health or our countries health during a pandemic. NO thank you
The human race	You do not have my consent to create such a treaty and subject me to it. No international organization has jurisdiction over me.
Individual	You do not have my consent An unchallengeable faceless organisation having a say in how we handle a pandemic is seriously bad for our health. We need to be able to challenge decisions that take away our freedom, as many have been implemented without proof of benefit. Pandemic preparedness is not the responsibility of an organisation like the WHO who are far too closely influenced by China.
Private	You don't have the rights to slave people all over the world. You don't have the legislation. No to your pandemicplans. Absolutely no. I don't give you my agreement over my health and over my human rights.
paradox fitness	you don't have the right to have to tell me or my family what we take or do. Evil organisation, I hope you're wicked ways are soon up.
Human Sovereignty & Freedoms	You have been empowered with the fate of all humanity therefore I hope & pray you remain humble and wise in this power. You have been given the chance to do good for the future of the human race and have the choice like all of us, of being selfless or self-serving. I know I speak for many when I insist on these things: Inclusion of absolute guarantees on state and individual sovereignty, respect for all human rights and God-given freedoms for every race, color & creed. For those given this power over the fate of humanity, there should be consequence. The consequence should include nothing less than legal and financial liability for all government officials and/or all pharmaceutical companies who were and are directly or indirectly complicit and responsible for all damage and destruction of human physical & mental health and their livelihoods, which result from the decisions and actions of those given these delicate & potentially catastrophic decisions/choices which are being made on behalf of all humans. We pray you don't live in fear. We pray for you all to have the courage to stand strong for humanity and do what is ethical & right.
Canadian resident	You have knowingly spread lies and misinformation. I do not trust World health Org. Your data is not honest. You have hurt people instead of helping. Change your ways and tell people the truth! The world needs to hear the truth so they can heal!
I have no organisation	You have no business in my life. I do not support any global one size fits all medical model. These current vaccines have horrendous life shortening side effects and bodily autonomy is a god given right. Stay out of my choices. The choices you are making and enforcing are causing hatred and division in our communities
Public	You have no right as a organisation to interfere with individual sovereign countries to power grab the right to override each country's democratic government and enforce any future health issues ,keep out give advice only ,you are not a elected entity.
None	You have no right to come into other countries and implement any sort of "pandemic strategy". The United States is a sovereign nation and we are quite able to solve our own issues on a state-by-state and local community level. We are not governed by the WHO or any other outside entity. Myself and my family do not want or need your treaty. We will make our own choices as we have free will granted to us by God, our father. Laura
Human Beings	You have no right to control my body or my mind. I live by Common Law. I cause no harm no fear no loss. Stop this agreement now.
private person	You have no right to do that! Individuals and nations should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, what non pharmaceutical actions to take, whether or not a situation is in fact an emergency justifying the declaration of a pandemic and should be free from censorship. This should be included in any instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
None	You have no right to force any medical intervention,treatment or vaccine on any human being.
Private individual	You have no right to impose a global agreement on the world. You (WHO) are totally unsuited, ill equipped & clearly unfit to be ordering 'health' instructions when it has already been shown that NONE of your ridiculous, callous, anti-health dictates have done the slightest good for humanity - & have only caused great harm. You are UN-elected parties with massive conflicts of interests & bias regarding these matters. It is ESSENTIAL that all countries, organisations & individuals are given THE RIGHT TO OPT OUT.
sovereign citizen	You have no right to impose any of this on anyone. We are free people. People of the world, and you should no say over any of us. What you have done and are doing is criminel, and you should be held to justice for your crimes against humanity.
no one	You have no right to impose anything on us !!! We are free people and we do not agree to any restrictions and orders !!! We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders.
MEDIATION TRIO	You have no right to interfere in any way, shape or form in my life, nor the lives of any American. We are free and sovereign citizens of the United States and want absolutely nothing to do with the WHO, nor any global governance. If you create a plan for pandemic preparedness, it must be 100% voluntary, with not coercion, no financial incentives and should be educational only. Focus on stopping biomedical labs and gain-of-function science. Do not diturb medical doctors and the sacred relationship with their patients. We will never submit to WHO tyranny. Humans choose leaders and we can choose to NOT have leaders. Stop wealth from determining policy if you want cooperation from Americans.
World citizen	You have no right what so ever to decide what people can do with their body. You should be very strict on the pharmaceutical industry, but you are not and with that you are unreliable in protecting human rights. Stick to your advisory roll and stop taking money from foundations that don't have peoples health and interest in mind but only their own already too deep pockets.
None	You may think it's a good thing, However, the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrates that this proposition has no basis in fact. Government responses to COVID-19 have purportedly violated or manipulated many treaties, including human rights agreements. I want to live in Europe, not in semi-china. As a European, my right of the Schengen were violated and therefore I ask you not to continue with this treaty. Best regard cdp

Organization	Written submission
None	You must ask all the inhabitants of the planet Earth what they think about this agreement, whether they agree with the provisions of this agreement. Our family is concerned that the WHO is trying to take over the functions of the governments of all countries and establish dictatorial orders. We did not choose the WHO as our government. We are subject to the Constitution, which was written long before 2019 and is the supreme law. Neither WHO nor pharmaceutical companies bear any responsibility for the damage caused to people during the pandemic. Millions of people have been injured by vaccines, thousands have died from vaccines and the WHO-approved treatment protocol, and many small and medium-sized businesses have gone bankrupt due to lockdowns. Even if it was a mistake, it shows that WHO and pharmaceutical companies can make huge catastrophic mistakes, but are not responsible for the consequences. We are fully responsible for our bodies and the bodies of our children, for our health and the health of our children, for the operation of our businesses, which means that we decide what measures to protect, prevent, treat and conduct business we take in the event of a pandemic. We believe that each country, and even each state and locality, has the right to decide for itself how to respond to a pandemic and which treatment protocol to use. Vaccination should be voluntary and unvaccinated people should not be deprived of the right to work, to receive medical services, to travel, to visit public places. The quarantine must be universal and cannot last more than 3 weeks without the possibility of extension. It needs to be announced a few days in advance to give people the opportunity to buy food and necessary goods.
San Diego State University	You must include local autonomy, an easy method to opt out, exemptions, public recourse, due process, accountability, a mechanism for feedback, complete data transparency, independent analysis of WHO protocols and recommendations. The WHO must provide data and persuasive arguments for each and every intervention they recommend. The treaty must be able to be severed at the local and state levels with a simple democratic majority vote, and local municipalities must be given the power to subpoena WHO officials to ask them questions or hold them to account. The treaty must include a transparent and independently verified list of all sources of funding, any conflicts of interest, and a comprehensive, fully transparent and honest road map of the WHOs intentions for the future. The treaty must include provisions that allow its implementation only after it has passed a simple majority vote in any given local government.
Origin	You must not be given this kind of power, you are not elected officials and out government has no right to give you this power. We know what you are trying to do, and we will not sit back and let you do it. I do not agree to you being given this power. I do not accept it
None	You must not move forward with this proposition. And if you do, you may not supersede, overrule, or ignore the rights enshrined in the United States constitution or the sacred right afforded all people through Common Law.
General Public	You need to have an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. We need national and local leadership to retain their full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. It is imperative that there is the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. It is essential to have measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
N/A	You never asked the people What we want. We do not need your treaty that will sign away our country sovereign rights to manage our pandemic responses. WE we do not want to give away OUR RIGHTS to the globalists. We do not want or need a treaty from the WHO that is Over riding our constitution.
Doctor associate	You organization has FAILED with the covid fiasco. You need to take a generation to regain the public trust. Trying to take control worldwide now will probably cause the elimination of your organization. The following elements should be included: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Patriot	YOU people in YOUR organization do not control me or the USA. YOU do not tell us what we can and cannot do, think, say, hear, want. Remove yourselves from all activities dealing with the USA. You are not wanted. We never asked for your help.
Reform UK	You policies are best described as Fascist in their intent and execution. Take this is message as a Cease and Desist order for all aspects of your plan. There will be a reckoning, on that you can count. You have been given fair warning.
Citizen of the United Kingdom	You probably have seen this same information before, but it reflects my thoughts perfectly on this matter: 1. Human rights are inalienable. Governments may not suspend human rights because of "emergencies". 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work, seek education or gain access to public spaces or businesses. 6. Lockdowns and/or quarantines of healthy people are wrong. 7. We demand complete transparency. All depersonalized data from all clinical studies should be immediately available to everyone in real time. 8. Everyone has the right to their own opinion. All human beings have the inalienable right to speak their mind in public. 9. All manufacturers, distributors and administrators of health care products and/or procedures must be held liable for any and all damages caused by their products and services. 10. People must have the right to vote to end states of emergency.
Individual	You shall have no right to force any choices over anyone's life or medical care. Even if they chose to die. It's their decision. There are more people dieing of covid with the vaccine then not. The true science is out and natural immunity is 450x higher then the vaccine. I get you want to make money on this. But in a pandemic NO ONE should make money off the vaccine. Period. You do not have the right to tell a person what they shall be treated with EXSPECIALLY when the science shows how bad the side effects are in this vaccine, including death there are more dieing from the vaccine then covid. It's 99.7% survivable!
Private citizen	You should absolutely avoid the abuse of this tool to control the social lives and activities of the citizens, it is very important that it won't breach the human fundamental rights as we have unfortunately seen during the covid 19 pandemic. This tool should be also build upon anthropological, sociological, psychological researches, again, don't let the economic and politics deal all alone, it must be health and rights oriented, not business oriented. Thank you.
Karuso	You should add/include: Everyone is responsible for themselves and organizations like the WHO can only make suggestions. Regards, Bernhard Ruhsam
private	You should include in the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that the WHO is ONLY able to express recommendations in case of a pandemic and has no right to intervene in any national state, democratic institutions or place itself over the constitutional law of a nation as the WHO is not democratically legitimized. You should include that the WHO will only be able to express recommendations and not obligatory measurements to be taken in a state of emergency or pandemic and that a global state of emergency will have to be defined first and legitimized by referendum in all countries of the world adherent to the WHO. The WHO should include in the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response that they can recommend a certain treatment but cannot impose this treatment to governments or impose treatments to the world population in order to allow them the right to travel, to work all basic rights Nonnegotiable. The WHO will have to include in the international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response a quality rating system where the world population will qualify the WHOs intervention in cases of pandemic and emergency in order to reevaluate in an annual base the WHO international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response.
Action4Canada	You should leave countries alone to deal with their own health systems
not you	you should mind your own business and let the people decide their response. we don't take orders from WHO.
Burkhart FAmily	You should never usurp the rights of an individual to govern there own body or restrict them from public space. All clinical studies should be given out in a easy to access form in real time. The WHO will not usurp bodily autonomy of citizens of the United States. I will fight to retain bodily autonomy in whatever form that takes, Allow us to seek medical treatments of our choosing do not restrict us from public space or employment. I refuse the authority of the WHO over my bodily Autonomy now and in the future.
Self	You should not attempt, ever again, to respond to a real pandemic or to an alleged pandemic. You are responsible for the deaths of countless people by your response to the so-called COVID-19 pandemic. Your ignorance of the proper use of the polymerase chain reaction test has resulted in a partial destruction of large segments of the world's economy and society. You are an unelected and illegitimate organization comprised of medical charlatans. I oppose any control that you will ever claim to have over me and any control you will ever claim to have over governments that claim to represent me. I hope to see you all at Nuremberg 2.0.
Personal	You should not be able to dictate to different countries what they should do and behave as a organisation that is above counties laws and rules and constitutions. You should advise and recommend and that is where it stops. You need to respect countries as they are and not be some kind of world government, in any way, even when it comes to health. Your credibility is considerably ruined by playing with messages throughout covid mess, and we did not vote in our countries for you and your rules and orders, especially when your financing is coming from private citizens and their so called charity funds and foundations. Keep to your original reason for existing and that is, help, advise and recommend.
N/a	You should not have any authority to tell people what should & should not happen, we live I'm a democracy & elected a government not you.
Personal	You should provide information on how to best protect yourself from becoming infected. Individuals should determine their own risk tolerance and expect that others will not match their own and act accordingly. Drug manufacturers should only be allowed to recover costs and not profit from a pandemic.
private	You should stay out entirely of health policies as an organization. You have no mandate and also no independence considering who is funding you. Your role in the so-called corona pandemic is a lesson in how global organizations are used to push through policies, which people generally object to. Health policies should be determined democratically in every nation state.
Private	You should stop this completly. I am afraid the consequences will be unbearable for all of ist.
None	You simply cannot override our sovereign rights. This us an overreach beyond comprehension. We are humans not animals for experimentation. The WHO needs to be disbanded. Its a completely corrupt and fraudulent captured agency.
Essential Wellness	You want to be prepared for a pandemic? Well, first stop research with virus' and other microorganisms that get modified and released to cause a pandemic from EVIL people who are trying to take power and rid the people they think shouldn't be here. I know there are some of you on the panel for WHO. Stop being evil, how about that. Next, Trust the human body, it will heal itself. We can coexist in Love on this earth. Give up your ego for need of power and just live in love and compassion. What a concept!!!!!! Allow people to care for their body how they want. With safe homeopathy, natural herbs and vitamins. Allow, Allow, Allow.
None	You were completely ineffective and very damaging to all and especially the last "epidemic" I strongly oppose the WHO ever intervening in any pandemic, epidemic, or anything in my country. And the whole world. Period.
None	You were not elected by Australians. I do not recognise you're authority.
Freedom Convoy	You were wrong about many things about the COVID pandemic. We don't need a treaty that says your incompetency becomes law.
United Stated	You were wrong on almost every aspect of the pandemic. You are a political organization, not a public health one. You have no business and no right to dictate anything to the citizens of sovereign nations. Your whole organization should be disbanded and defunded.
Private	You will decide about Health of all woman and men of the entire World and you are unable to Check out a simple inquire Form for data. Your Desaster Covid Health Plans with particular incompetent Personal said enough. Close this not elected (from whome ever erected) Selfish entity as soon as possible. You harm people in an tremendous way all over the world and I say No to this way (as many people round the globe which don't know in the Moment from your plans). I don't Trust people which conceal natural remedies for COVID like Ivermectin and HCLQ
empiriKal partners	You've all shown your hand and time to dissolve. Class Actions work. Free people do not need and arm of Big Pharma dictating anything and especially over a lab manufactured flu that had virtually 100% survivability to test an experimental and deadly jab. The world has awoke and decentralization is in store not more order and control by tyrants. Crimes Against Humanity results Nuremberg 2.0…wonder why GITMO's bigger and still open for business? Good day, Eric
self	Your Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." I'm taking you at your word that my opinion and my own action is important. Do NOT enter into a global pandemic treaty. All health decisions need to stay as close to home as possible, including when an emergency exists. Informed individuals have both the right and responsibility to make their own health decisions. Again, do NOT be party to a global pandemic treaty.
Individual	Your consultation is completely flawed. Your consultation question, to which we are invited to respond, ASSUMES that 'a new international instrument' is needed and legitimate; it is not. The 'errors' made by mainstream science with Covid-19 have been catastrophic, and have cost quite possibly millions of lives across the globe. To make such scientific incompetence (or corrupt malfeasance) mandatory across all nations (accompanied, no doubt, by lockstep mass-media propaganda worldwide) is insanity in the extreme. Individuals, and their elected national governments, MUST be able to decide for themselves: (1) how to respond to health matters according to local and unique cultural circumstances; (2) what treatments to advise and make available; (3) what non-pharmaceutical actions are appropriate; and (4) whether or not a given public-health situation is in reality an 'emergency' justifying the declaration of a 'pandemic'. Moreover, the censorship of eminent voices and authorities challenging the mainstream 'scientific' and political narrative MUST be outlawed: science does not work when healthy debate is stifled – and science becomes an authoritarian practice when such voices are silenced by the abuse of media, corporate and political power. Any international agreement must enshrine AS ITS CORE PRINCIPLE the right of individuals and their elected governments to make their own informed decisions on how to respond to public-health issues. Countless millions of sovereign citizens across the globe will refuse to comply with mandates imposed from an international/global level – and rightly so."
The Questioners	Your consultation is completely flawed. Your consultation question, to which we are invited to respond, ASSUMES that 'a new international instrument' is needed and legitimate; it is not. The 'errors' made by mainstream science with Covid-19 have been catastrophic, and have cost quite possibly millions of lives across the globe. To make such scientific incompetence (or corrupt malfeasance) mandatory across all nations (accompanied, no doubt, by lockstep mass-media propaganda worldwide) is insanity in the extreme. Individuals, and their elected national governments, MUST be able to decide for themselves: (1) how to respond to health matters according to local and unique cultural circumstances; (2) what treatments to advise and make available; (3) what non-pharmaceutical actions are appropriate; and (4) whether or not a given public-health situation is in reality an 'emergency' justifying the declaration of a 'pandemic'. Moreover, the censorship of eminent voices and authorities challenging the mainstream 'scientific' and political narrative MUST be outlawed: science does not work when healthy debate is stifled – and science becomes an authoritarian practice when such voices are silenced by the abuse of media, corporate and political power. Any international agreement must enshrine AS ITS CORE PRINCIPLE the right of individuals and their elected governments to make their own informed decisions on how to respond to public-health issues. Countless millions of sovereign citizens across the globe will refuse to comply with mandates imposed from an international/global level – and rightly so. Please stop this injustice.
Human being	Your consultation is completely flawed. Your consultation question, to which we are invited to respond, ASSUMES that 'a new international instrument' is needed and legitimate; it is not. The errors made by mainstream science with Covid-19 have been catastrophic, and have cost quite possibly millions of lives across the globe. To make such scientific incompetence (or corrupt malfeasance) mandatory across all nations (accompanied, no doubt, by lockstep mass-media propaganda worldwide) makes no sense. Individuals, and their elected national governments, MUST be able to decide for themselves: (1) how to respond to health matters according to local and unique cultural circumstances; (2) what treatments to advise and make available; (3) what non-pharmaceutical actions are appropriate; and (4) whether or not a given public-health situation is in reality an 'emergency' justifying the declaration of a 'pandemic'. The censorship of eminent voices and authorities challenging the mainstream 'scientific' and political narrative MUST be outlawed: science does not work when healthy debate is stifled – and science becomes an authoritarian practice when such voices are silenced by the abuse of media, corporate and political power. Any international agreement should enshrine as its core principle the right of individuals and their elected governments to make their own informed decisions on how to respond to public-health issues. Countless millions of sovereign citizens across the globe will refuse to comply with mandates imposed from an international/global level – and rightly so.
General Public	Your guiding question in itself biased towards a predetermined outcome. This is undemocratic and I reject this premise. My suggestions are as follows: 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2.The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. 4. Measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. 5.Revert to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic" as "simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness" The present situation with a near 100% survival rate was never a pandemic. 6. De-politicise "herd immunity" and remove the concept of asymptomatic spread. 7. Restore freedom of speech and allow open and transparent scientific debate to flourish.
United States of America	Your guiding question is a leading question: Who says a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response is needed? One could argue the WHO's response to the past/current pandemic demonstrates that it is not appropriate (capable?) of guiding such a new "instrument". If such an instrument is done, the ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence is mandatory. It is best for the national authorities to decide what is best for their countries and populations. What one country does doesn't necessarily match with what would be appropriate for another country. The idea that a "one-size-fits-all" instrument works well is folly--just as a "one-size-fits-all" solution of vaccination doesn't work as a treatment plan. A very important element that needs to be included is recognition of natural immunity. Since it appears that the Omicron variant has infected a large majority of the world's population, this large-scale natural immunity needs to be acknowledged. An element that is necessary is the WHO's recognition that while they make recommendations, nation states are free to rebuff those recommendations for the best of their country as their elected leaders see fit.
None	Your guiding question makes several assumptions which need to be teased out, the main one being that an international binding agreement has to be made. Does it? Nations and individuals should be able to decide for themselves what treatments to take, and to assess whether a situation is in fact a pandemic. These decisions should be free from censorship.
N/A	Your organisation has ZERO legitimacy. This 'consultation' is illegitimate. You DO NOT have consent to act on my behalf in ANY CAPACITY.
Not applicable	Your organization has no say in any matters or deciding what happens to the rest of the world. We did not appoint you. You have no right to come against the human rights of me, my household and everyone else. We do not consent to anything you do. Leave us alone. I have read your terms for participation. I don't agree with it or anything mandatory.
Na	Your organization has proven beyond a doubt that your response to a " pandemic" was woefully inappropriate. No more guidelines please.
SSM	your organization is funded by indirectly by tax payers and human beings. you have to first ascertain whether the people you are thus supposed to represent agree with that concept before asking what should be included. you will probably find that they oppose it and do not want further intrusions and to keep medical treatments off limits from corporations and other organizations.
People Freedom Choice when it come to health care decision	Your overeach into the the health care decisions of the populace world wide is akin to tyrannical measures never before seen on the planet. Let that sink in... There have been some pretty awful people in power before but the last 2 years has been dissolute under the guidance of WHO. Forcing mass vaccination on the world is only demonstrating your willful ignorance to the death and murder you caused. Information is pouring in from all over the world in pointing the finger at this (w)horrendous organization and your crony sponsorship; at the woeful lack of understanding of what would happen medically and the disaster you created by pursuing the EUA of untested medical technologies. Centralized power and globalization does nothing but enrich the leaders. lobbyist and Private entities you are all a part off. I hope all members of WHO meet your maker in the depths of hell, as that is where you all belong.
United States of America	Your own Constitution states: "Informed opinion and active cooperation on the part of the public are of utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people." Health decisions need to stay as close to the people they affect as possible—a global treaty is NOT needed or wanted.
Privat Person	Your plan to bypass all the national governments via implementing a unlawful, against all constitutions like the UN human rights charta, "law" to inject a obvious anti-democratic agenda, must be stopped. We the people say no to transhumanism and technocracy for the benefit of a few super rich.
Health center	Your plan to preparedness, removed our right of sovereign. Myself and I am sure not other people has voted WHO to make decision regarding how we deal in this circumstances. Are you as organization planning a future disaster? You are not trustworthy and I do not consent to your ill plan.
Freedom of Choice	Your plans are very concerning to me. You ask what substantive elements should be included and I would say that the health and welfare of each country is up to their government and people not the World Health Organization. What goes on in wilderness land of Canada and say downtown New York, USA is not comparable in responses to pandemics and other health issues. Your overreach is concerning, Your funding is even more concerning....who is driving your narrative? Shall we follow the money trail? I digress....Yes be prepared, but do not conclude that everyone should follow your directives, this is not world government. You do not know what is best for each individual country.
Private concerned citizen	Your question assumes that "a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is the best course of action. This is potentially alarming, as it removes the autonomy of each country (and its citizens) to proceed as it sees fit. There are far too many variables between nations to create a global one-size-fits-all approach to pandemic preparedness and response. Even more distressing is that all these sweeping policy changes and controls are being organized without the general public's consent or input.
None	Your question assumes that "a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is the best course of action. This is potentially alarming, as it removes the autonomy of each country (and its citizens) to proceed as it sees fit. There are far too many variables between nations to create a global one-size-fits-all approach to pandemic preparedness and response. Even more distressing is that all these sweeping policy changes and controls are being organized without the general public's consent or input. Individual choice and bodily autonomy, without sacrificing liberty and freedom of the individual, must be protected at all costs.
Self employed	Your question assumes that a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness is needed; I don't believe it is, and I'm alarmed at the non democratic nature of your proposal, and the fact that you have given the public so little time to respond, while asserting that this is a 'public participation process' – this is deeply concerning. Firstly, countries are perfectly capable of putting together their own pandemic responses; imposing a one size fits all policy is undemocratic. It would make far more sense if policy makers considered which responses to the 'pandemic' had been the most successful and modelled their responses on these e.g. Sweden's mature response to the covid crisis was clearly the most appropriate. It is infantilising (and somewhat sinister) for the WHO to take responsibility for this, when their agenda is clearly influenced by the pharmaceutical industry. Secondly, the WHO handled the covid crisis appallingly badly; you gave confusing and contradictory statements, and appeared to be following someone else's agenda. You waved through an untested vaccine with no safety record, and didn't seem concerned about the vaccine injuries that have now ensued, and it seems that you now want to speed things up further and develop vaccines in 100 days – this is extremely reckless and dangerous. Faith and trust in the WHO, an organisation that many people previously considered to be benign, has been destroyed; the WHO now appears to be corrupt, incompetent and totalitarian. As such it has made itself redundant.
Human	Your question assumes there should be a new instrument with regard to pandemic response. My view is that there should NOT be a new instrument, thank you. Given the response to Covid-19 my faith in the integrity of such a response is nil. The WHO can learn from this and remember they are not democratically elected to impose on humans. I would love the WHO to spend time understanding the response to C-19, the learning with regard to early interventions vs hospitalisation & death, the economic & social impacts on lockdowns (cost benefit, will all costs taken into account) and the Pfizer vaccine safety data.
Individual	Your question can be answered in one word: nothing. You can not have a global approach to individual care. We are individuals with individual, specific needs for healthcare so you cannot just put everyone into a one size fits all formula. Leave our health and our rights up to the individual and local community. Thank you.
Self	Your question contains the supposition that this instrument is a foregone conclusion. I reject that premise. This instrument allows one agency to speak for all nations, thus ignoring, suppressing and overriding the culture and values of one country at the expense of another. If this instrument does exist then the following should be adhered to: 1) Revert back to the early 2009 definition of "pandemic." Similarly, restore the pre-politicized definition of "herd immunity" and expunge the myth of asymptomatic spread. 2) Follow science, fostering a culture of inquiry inspired by Einstein's words: "Science can flourish only in an atmosphere of free speech." 3) Prohibit conflicts of interest—financial, political, or otherwise. 4) Guarantee nations' rights to determine their own actions. 5) Heed your guidance advising against masking, lockdowns and disinfection. 6) Condemn mass-vaccinating during a pandemic, especially with an experimental, non-sterilized product that fails to prevent contraction or spread and puts selective pressure on the virus to mutate into more virulent forms. 7) Acknowledge the superiority of natural immunity and encourage healthy dietary and lifestyle habits. 8) Recommend early treatment protocols. 9) Discontinue PCR tests and other tools that yield false positives and mislead the public. 10) Forbid the use of psychological tactics to induce fear, and coerce participants into compliance. 11) Require informed consent for recommended interventions. 12) Ensure an open, transparent decision-making process. 13) Prohibit payment by vaccine manufacturers to media outlets, doctors and medical establishments to promote their product.
citizen of Australia	Your question has a fundamental flaw: WHO has not asked whether the people of the world believe that a global agreement is necessary, WHO has decided that such an agreement is necessary, not the people of the world. Any agreement must allow National and local leadership to retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. Any agreement must allow nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. Any agreement must be an open and transparent process with the ability for all people of the world to vote on including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. Any agreement must put in place measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.
Retired	Your question is what should be included in the treaty, not should there be a treaty overruling national sovereignty on matters of pandemic preparedness and response at all. This treaty attempts to overrule the sovereignty of our nations. The WHO should not have the power to overrule our countries' governments in any matter relating to actions in our nations. All such decisions must be made by our national governments with undiluted respect for human rights and with full legal liability for government officials, pharmaceutical companies, and other actors in all matters infringing on our national constitutions. These basic legal principles have been completely ignored for the last two years, and need to be properly restored. WHO's attempt to overrule national sovereignty must be stopped immediately.
Member of the general public	Your question presupposes that "a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response" is required or needed. Let's consider the lessons from the Great Covid Pandemic Deception. Here's what my personal investigation has revealed: "Findings from a citizen investigation of Covid-19 (December 2020)" Six major anomalies in the official Covid-19 narrative 1. The Covid-19 "pandemic" wasn't a spontaneous development; it was a planned operation. 2. There's no justification for the suspension of constitutional rights and freedoms and the imposition of police state style lockdowns. 3. The "treatment" for the Covid problem is ineffectual and doing immensely more harm than good. 4. The Covid management model is neither based on "science" nor aligned with recommended medical or epidemiological practices. It is anti-medicine and anti-health. 5. There's little reason to trust the narrative nor the recommendations being pushed by Big Pharma and friends. The industry is riddled with corruption and conflicts of interest. 6. The precautionary principle has been abandoned, particularly as it relates to the development, approval and marketing of the Covid-19 "vaccines." This put uninformed members of the public at grave risk and denied them the right of informed consent. http://www.futurescapes21c.com/blog/for-such-a-time-as-this-an-investigation-of-covid-19-and-the-struggle-to-preserve-humanity-in-a-transhumanist-era-c-part-2 In short, the devastation caused by Covid genetic modification injections has yet to assessed. The human and economic damage is incalculable. I favour strengthening protections for national and citizen health sovereignty and re-evaluating all international health agreements.
none - individual	Your question requests my thoughts on substantive elements I think should be included in a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response. In all honesty, I do not think that there should be a new international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response, however if this is introduced I wish the following points to be built into any design. The UK is a unitary parliamentary democracy, with full autonomy given by the people to elected national and local officials and leaders who reserve the right to make decisions based on what is best for the people of this nation. This supersedes any international response and thus as a voting adult I expect the ability of my nation to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as we see fit, without consequence. Prior to any implementation, I also expect an open and transparent process with the ability for the people of my nation to vote on, including fail-safe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it. At a global level I expect at a bare minimum that any measures being proposed do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers. I still have many questions around the handling of the previous couple of years that make me extremely worried about this type of initiative and whether the average world citizen truly benefits.

Cambodia

Cameroon

Canada

Central African Republic

Chad

Chile

China, Taiwan

Colombia

Comoros

Congo

Costa Rica

Cote d'Ivoire

Croatia

Cuba

Cyprus

Czechia

Democratic Republic of the Congo

Denmark

Djibouti

Dominica

Dominican Republic

Ecuador

Egypt

El Salvador

Equatorial Guinea

Eritrea

Estonia

Eswatini

Ethiopia

Fiji

Finland

France

French Polynesia

Gabon

Gambia

Georgia

Germany

Ghana

Greece

Grenada

Guatemala

Guinea

Guinea-Bissau

Haiti

Honduras

Hungary

Iceland

India

Indonesia

Iran, Islamic Republic of

Iraq

Ireland

Israel

Italy

Jamaica

Japan

Jordan

Kazakhstan

Kenya

Kiribati

Kosovo

Kuwait

Kyrgyzstan

Lao People's Democratic Republic

Latvia

Lebanon

Lesotho

Liberia

Libya

Lithuania

Luxembourg

Madagascar

Malawi

Malaysia

Maldives

Mali

Malta

Marshall Islands

Mauritania

Mauritius

Mexico

Micronesia (Federated States of)

Monaco

Mongolia

Montenegro

Morocco

Mozambique

Myanmar

Nepal

Netherlands

New Zealand

Nicaragua

Niger

Nigeria

Norway

Oman

Pakistan

Palau

Panama

Papua New Guinea

Paraguay

Peru

Philippines

Poland

Portugal

Qatar

Republic of Korea

Romania

Russian Federation

Rwanda

Saint Kitts and Nevis

Saint Lucia

Saint Vincent and the Grenadines

Samoa

San Marino

Sao Tome and Principe

Saudi Arabia

Senegal

Serbia

Seychelles

Sierra Leone

Singapore

Slovakia

Slovenia

Solomon Islands

Somalia

South Africa

South Sudan

Spain

Sri Lanka

Sudan

Suriname

Sweden

Switzerland

Syrian Arab Republic

Tajikistan

Thailand

Timor-Leste

Togo

Tonga

Trinidad and Tobago

Tunisia

Turkmenistan

Tuvalu

Uganda

Ukraine

United Arab Emirates

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

United States of America

Uruguay

Uzbekistan

Vanuatu

Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)

Viet Nam

Yemen

Zambia

Zimbabwe

Organization	Written submission
The Church of Freedom Peace and Justice	Your Treaty (The WHO) is an illegal takeover by stealth of individual sovereignty which undermines all Natural Law, therefore said "Treaty" would be liable to legal and personal recourse on the part of any person held under duress of said violence under which the Treaty would impose on said individual. It would be prudent on the part of the WHO to set out a Contract, between itself and all sovereign human beings, on an individual basis, so that if said individual so wishes to partake and sign away their rights in said Contract then that is their free choice, conversely any individual not wishing to consent to the terms of the Contact and sign said contract will be not be bound by it (the contract). Signed 12 April 2022 Briton Rogue
De Padelhoeve	Your un-elected 'organisation' cannot have the arrogance to even think they can decide over health measures for an entire population. Have the self-proclaimed expert 'Gods' of this last pandemic not yet done enough damage? Why are there no more measures taken to boost 'natural immunity' - 'real food' - 'clean water' - 'soil diversity' - who do you think you are to put experimental substances in a global population? No more messing around with a human body - the body is a temple which should be cherished and not be experimented on. SHAME ON YOU ALL!
Mahaz	Your vontrol over people was proven wrong during the last 2 years. The states that didnt follow your restriction had much better results. Who the hell do you think you are to dictate world response to pandemics? Are you going yo mandate treatments to people who dont want it??? Let the people live there lives in a free world!!!!
None	Your work providing data, information, and recommendations is and will be important for pandemic preparedness and response. Respectfully, I and my wife suggest that the instrument make it clear that all decision-making will be left to the member states according to the laws and constitutions of those states. In addition, each member state has different environmental conditions and ethnic populations, and would be better able to make appropriate decisions for their populations. John and Trish King
Free man	Yous have no power here. Decisions for the people should be taken by those that ARE elected. No global pandemic response from yous corrupt frauds
Osoba prywatna	Żądam również anulowania wysokiej zaraźliwości jako kluczowego czynnika dla podjęcia działań antypandemicznych. Instrument gotowości na wypadek pandemii powinien mieć charakter doradczy i zawierać zalecenia, a nie nakazy. Stosowanie się do zaleceń powinno być dobrowolne. Każdy kraj powinien mieć możliwość modyfikacji lub odmowy wdrożenia zaleceń bez żadnych konsekwencji. W żadnym wypadku zalecenia WHO nie mogą być nadrzędne wobec ustawodawstwa państw sygnatariuszy, niezależnie od okoliczności pandemii. Zwracam się z prośbą, aby ostateczna decyzja o wyborze leczenia lub podjętej profilaktyki, bez żadnych konsekwencji, należała do pacjenta. Domagam się, aby wolność słowa wszystkich lekarzy i naukowców była dozwolona, szanowana i brana pod uwagę przy formułowaniu zaleceń WHO. Wzywam WHO, aby nie zalecała stosowania leków i szczepionek, które są w trakcie badań klinicznych (eksperymenty medyczne zgodnie z definicją Kodeksu Norymberskiego z 1947 r.). Jeśli takie zalecenie wystąpi, społeczność światowa musi zostać poinformowana za pośrednictwem oficjalnych mediów państwowych o nieukończeniu badań klinicznych i wszelkich możliwych skutkach ubocznych. Proszę, aby wszystkie umowy i aneksy z firmami farmaceutycznymi i realizatorami WHO były jawne i publicznie dostępne (publikacja na stronie internetowej WHO). Domagam się, aby spotkania WHO były upubliczniane w czasie rzeczywistym, z dostępem do nagrań. Proszę, aby spektrum działań WHO obejmowało budowanie naturalnej odporności i profilaktykę.
człowiek	Żądam wycofania się Polski z medyczno-globalistycznej, przestępczej organizacji pod nazwą WHO.
transport	żadne interwencje , zlikwidować who, ja nie należe do was i nie bede
	Zatrzymajcie to szaleństwo proszę
Privat person	Zdecydowanie sprzeciwiam się!!!!
PJJ	Zgłaszam całkowity sprzeciw nie zgadzam się z niczym co wyprawia ten rząd i Unia Europejska dajcie nam swobodnie żyć bo jesteśmy ludźmi dramat co wyprawiacie nic nie jest zgodne z prawem człowieka
pielęgniarka	Zgłaszam mój głos , do skandalicznego Projektu Globalnego Traktatu , który jest atakiem na moją wolność, suwerenność i naturalne niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny globalnym, cyfrowe niewolnictwem. NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!
osoba prywatna	Zgłaszam mój głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa moje i mojej rodziny!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!!
Polska	Zgłaszam sprzeciw
Człowiek	Zgłaszam sprzeciw dla traktatu WHO w tej formie zamierza do totalnej kontroli dyktatury nierówność niewolnicti
Private	Zgłaszam SPRZECIW wobec pandemicznego projektu WHO. Stworzy on cyfrowe niewolnictwo i odbierze suwerenność !!!
Private	Zgłaszam sprzeciw wobec projektu pandemicznego WHO. Odbiera on wolność i suwerenność tworząc cyfrowe niewolnictwo .
Stop	Zgłaszam sprzeciw wobec skandalicznego projektu Globalnego antypandemicznego traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na moją wolność, suwerenność mojej ojczyzny i naturalne niezbywalne prawa. W obecnej formie traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym cyfrowym niewolnictwem.
Polska	ZGŁASZAM SWÓJ GŁOS ROZSĄDKU PRZECIWKO SKANDALICZNEMU PROJEKTOWU GLOBALNEGO ANTYPANDEMICZNEGO TRAKTATU WHO, KTÓRY JEST SKIEROWANYM ATAKIEM NA MOJĄ WOLNOŚĆ I SUWERENNOŚĆ I NASZE NIEZBYWALNE I NATURALNE PRAWA !!! W OBECNEJ FORMIE TRAKTAT WHO JEST RÓWNOZNACZNY Z GLOBALNYM I CYFROWYM NIEWOLNICTWEM !!! STANOWCZE NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO !!!!
Polska	ZGŁASZAM SWÓJ GŁOS ROZSĄDKU PRZECIWKO SKANDALICZNEMU PROJEKTOWU GLOBALNEGO ANTYPANDEMICZNEGO TRAKTATU WHO, KTÓRY JEST SKIEROWANYM ATAKIEM NA MOJĄ WOLNOŚĆ I SUWERENNOŚĆ I NASZE NIEZBYWALNE I NATURALNE PRAWA !!! W OBECNEJ FORMIE TRAKTAT WHO JEST RÓWNOZNACZNY Z GLOBALNYM I CYFROWYM NIEWOLNICTWEM !!! STANOWCZE NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO !!!!
nie	Zgłaszamy głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, nezbywalne prawa. W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwej. Nie dla traktatu WHO
nie	Zgłaszamy głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, nezbywalne prawa. W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwej. Nie dla traktatu WHO
Arka ocalenia	ZgłaszaMY nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!!
Poland	ZgłaszaMY nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! I jest nie do przyjęcia NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!!
Stop nop	ZgłaszaMY nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!!
Private person	ZgłaszaMY nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!!
Private person	ZgłaszaMY nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!!
Private person	ZgłaszaMY nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!!
Poland	ZgłaszaMY nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! STOP TRAKTAT WHO!!!!
no organization	Zgłaszamy nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!!
no organization	ZgłaszaMY nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!!
indywidualnie	ZgłaszaMY nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!! ! ! !
Brak	ZgłaszaMY nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!!
-	Zgłaszamy nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! Zawsze powinien być wybór i poszanowanie naszych praw co gwarantuje nam np.konstytucja. W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!!
	ZgłaszaMY nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemicznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa!!! W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym, cyfrowym niewolnictwem!!! NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!!
Osoba prywatna	Zgłaszamy nasz głos rozsądku do skandalicznego projektu Globalnego Antypandemucznego Traktatu WHO, który jest atakiem na naszą wolność, suwerenność i naturalne, niezbywalne prawa. W obecnej formie Traktat WHO jest równoznaczny z globalnym cyfrowym niewolnictwem. NIE DLA TRAKTATU WHO!!!
Istota	Zgłoszenie pisemne na mój sprzeciw
Privat und Verein	Zur Vorsorge bzw. Bekämpfung einer Pandemie ehrliche und gute wissenschaftliche Arbeit. Vorsorge durch gute Nahrung und nicht Angst verbreiten.
Citizen	No measure for dealing with pandemic can be effective if its not based on the respect of human rights , as founded on nuremberg code, the declarion of elsinki et charter of fundamental rights. The international instrument should only oversee. Every goverment must obtain medicine et strengthen its national health care system and not have its whole policy based only on vaccination. Most of all respect to the human dignity et annulment of the any mandate
физическо лице	Мисля, че не са необходими никакви допълнителни споразумения, споразуменията трябва да отпаднат, знаете защо
Citizen	Не само в България, но и в много други държави точно в момента СЗО се опитва всячески да пробута международната си спогодба за здравен медикализъм и тормоз чрез пландемии. Какво гласи и какво цели тази спогодба сега нямам време да пиша (то и без това който се интересува отдавна знае), защото има нещо по-важно. На сайта на СЗО тихомълком се появи някакво уж обществено изслушване по въпроса за този бъдещ "международен инструмент за здравнокризисна подготовка", но проблемът е там, че крайният срок за писмени възражения изтича... утре, 13.04.2022. Не съм сигурна, че хората имат някакво участие в тези решения, но и не виждам пречка пред това да се отиде на уреченото място в сайта на СЗО и да се изкаже мнение. Публично изслушване на възражения и предложения е все пак - човек трябва да упражни илюзорната си воля. - - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.
Ortodoks	всячески да пробута международната си спогодба за здравен медикализъм и тормоз чрез пландемии. Какво гласи и какво цели тази спогодба сега нямам време да пиша (то и без това който се интересува отдавна знае), защото има нещо по-важно. На сайта на СЗО тихомълком се появи някакво уж обществено изслушване по въпроса за този бъдещ "международен инструмент за здравнокризисна подготовка", но проблемът е там, че крайният срок за писмени възражения изтича... утре, 13.04.2022. Не съм сигурна, че хората имат някакво участие в тези решения, но и не виждам пречка пред това да се отиде на уреченото място в сайта на СЗО и да се изкаже мнение. Публично изслушване на възражения и предложения е все пак - човек трябва да упражни илюзорната си воля. И понеже много други хора от международната общност, запознати със ситуацията, също се чувстват безсилни и не вярват, че гласът им ще се чуе, затова публикуват в интернет какво са писали на СЗО. В момента интернет прелива от стотици хиляди #StopTheTreaty постове, които може нищо да не постигат, но не може и да бъдат игнорирани. Препоръката на един уважаван от мен американски лекар беше да се отиде на сайта и да се изпрати конструктивно предложение, вместо добре заслужените ругатни (трябва да са на английски), като по-надолу следват неговите предложения. Ако някой е съгласен с тях, може да ги копира и ползва: - - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open
Na	всячески да пробута международната си спогодба за здравен медикализъм и тормоз чрез пландемии. Какво гласи и какво цели тази спогодба сега нямам време да пиша (то и без това който се интересува отдавна знае), защото има нещо по-важно. На сайта на СЗО тихомълком се появи някакво уж обществено изслушване по въпроса за този бъдещ "международен инструмент за здравнокризисна подготовка", но проблемът е там, че крайният срок за писмени възражения изтича... утре, 13.04.2022. Не съм сигурна, че хората имат някакво участие в тези решения, но и не виждам пречка пред това да се отиде на уреченото място в сайта на СЗО и да се изкаже мнение. Публично изслушване на възражения и предложения е все пак - човек трябва да упражни илюзорната си воля. И понеже много други хора от международната общност, запознати със ситуацията, също се чувстват безсилни и не вярват, че гласът им ще се чуе, затова публикуват в интернет какво са писали на СЗО. В момента интернет прелива от стотици хиляди #StopTheTreaty постове, които може нищо да не постигат, но не може и да бъдат игнорирани. Препоръката на един уважаван от мен американски лекар беше да се отиде на сайта и да се изпрати конструктивно предложение, вместо добре заслужените ругатни (трябва да са на английски), като по-надолу следват неговите предложения. Ако някой е съгласен с тях, може да ги копира и ползва: - - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open
Life	Не само в България, но и в много други държави точно в момента СЗО се опитва всячески да пробута международната си спогодба за здравен медикализъм и тормоз чрез пландемии. Какво гласи и какво цели тази спогодба сега нямам време да пиша (то и без това който се интересува отдавна знае), защото има нещо по-важно. На сайта на СЗО тихомълком се появи някакво уж обществено изслушване по въпроса за този бъдещ "международен инструмент за здравнокризисна подготовка", но проблемът е там, че крайният срок за писмени възражения изтича... утре, 13.04.2022. Не съм сигурна, че хората имат някакво участие в тези решения, но и не виждам пречка пред това да се отиде на уреченото място в сайта на СЗО и да се изкаже мнение. Публично изслушване на възражения и предложения е все пак - човек трябва да упражни илюзорната си воля. И понеже много други хора от международната общност, запознати със ситуацията, също се чувстват безсилни и не вярват, че гласът им ще се чуе, затова публикуват в интернет какво са писали на СЗО. В момента интернет прелива от стотици хиляди #StopTheTreaty постове, които може нищо да не постигат, но не може и да бъдат игнорирани. Препоръката на един уважаван от мен американски лекар беше да се отиде на сайта и да се изпрати конструктивно предложение, вместо добре заслужените ругатни (трябва да са на английски), като по-надолу следват неговите предложения. Ако някой е съгласен с тях, може да ги копира и ползва: - - - - - - - - - 1. National and local leadership retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people. 2. The ability of nations and local municipalities to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence. 3. An open and tra
Russian Federation of Hospice and Palliative Medicine	Основными элементами, которые должны быть включены в новый международный документ о готовности к пандемии и ответных мерах, являются доступ к паллиативной помощи и лекарствам паллиативной помощи. Подавляющее большинство людей, умерших дома, в домах престарелых или больницах во время пандемии, не получили необходимой им помощи по многим причинам, главная из которых заключается в том, что паллиативная помощь не обеспечена ресурсами более чем в 80% стран мира, по данным ВОЗ. На протяжении всей пандемии, в зависимости от условий локдауна, многие лица, осуществляющие уход, не могли войти в дома пациентов, а пациенты не могли добраться до клиник или больниц, оставляя членов семьи заботиться о своих умирающих родственниках без поддержки. Паллиативная помощь (ПП) должна быть интегрирована в первичную медико-санитарную помощь в соответствии с Астанинской декларацией. Государства-члены могут использовать пп с помощью Основного пакета услуг Комиссии по паллиативной помощи Lancet и лекарств паллиативной помощи, которые в значительной степени недоступны в большинстве стран мира. Рабочая сила может быть надлежащим образом обучена, как только политики признают эффективность паллиативной помощи для облегчения серьезных страданий.
No affiliation	**Public health is not a one-size-fits-all program. Any plan the WHO develops must leave people and communities in control of their own health. Attempts to end, temporarily or permanently, the sovereignty of nations or regions within nations must be excluded from WHO's plans regardless of the extent or severity of diseases.**
Concerned citizen	***Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should have the following elements included:*** 1. ***National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.*** 2. ***Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.*** 3. ***There should be an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on any new international instrument, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.*** 4. ***There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.***
American Citizen	***Any international instrument on pandemic preparedness and response should have the following elements included:*** 1. ***National and local leadership should retain full autonomy, reserving the right to make decisions based on what is best for their own people.*** 2. ***Nations and local municipalities should have the ability to opt out of any and all portions of the agreement as they see fit, without consequence.*** 3. ***There should be an open and transparent process, with the ability for all people of the world to vote on any new international instrument, including failsafe measures that will prevent the application of the global agreement in places where a majority of the people do not want it.*** 4. ***There should be measures that do not allow for influence in the process by any and all pharmaceutical companies or other global health profiteers.***
Polska	 "We request that terms high mortality in all age groups and severe course are reinstated in the pandemic characteristics. We also demand the cancellation of high contagiousness as a key factor for initiating anti-pandemic measures. The pandemic preparedness instrument should be advisory in nature and make recommendations, not orders. Compliance with recommendations should be voluntary. Each country should be able to modify or refuse to implement recommendations without any consequences. In no circumstances should WHO's recommendations override the legislation of the signatory states, whatever the circumstances of a pandemic. We request that it should be in the hands of the patient to decide ultimately on the choice of treatment or prophylaxis taken, without any consequences. We demand that freedom of speech of all doctors and scientists is allowed, respected and be considered bei making WHO recommendations. We urge the WHO not to recommend the use of drugs and vaccines that are undergoing clinical trials (medical experiments as defined by the Nuremberg Code of 1947). If such a recommendation occurs, the world community must be informed through official state media about the failure to complete clinical trials and all possible adverse effects. We request that all contracts and addendums with pharmaceutical companies and WHO implementers are public and publicly available (publication on the WHO website).
HAND Span International Ministries	🌍 Universal Human Rights Still Apply, it's Up to You and Me to Defend Them with All Means Possible, in All Situations, And At All Times People seem to be under the illusion that because governments are ignoring universal and inalienable human rights, then these no longer apply. Nothing could be further from the truth. "The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights (UDBHR) was accepted unanimously in 2005 by the world community, consisting of 191 member nations. This means that the declaration is currently the first and only bioethical text to which the entire world..has committed itself. ARTICLE 6 of the UNESCO UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF BIOETHICS & HUMAN RIGHTS 2005: Article 6: 1. Any [ALL] **preventive** [FACE MASKS, VACCINES], **diagnostic** [COVID TESTS] & **therapeutic** [GENE THERAPY] medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free & informed **CONSENT** of the person concerned based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason WITHOUT DISADVANTAGE OR PREJUDICE [YOU CAN'T LOSE YOUR JOB OR BE PREVENTED ACCESS ANYWHERE] 2. Scientific research should only be carried out with the prior, free, express and informed consent of the person concerned. The information should be adequate, provided in a comprehensible form and should include modalities for withdrawal of consent. Consent may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without any disadvantage or prejudice. http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html
Humans	 While the Ukraine conflict absorbs 24/7 news, WHO is working to slip through a treaty that gives them authority above national constitutions. Um, no. Say no to the WHO Pandemic Treaty! WHO has "allowed" us less than a week to submit written comments during the first round of public hearings. That period ends Wednesday, April 13, 2022, 8 AM Pacific Time. You must act right now! It's PUSH BACK time again! Flood their system with strongly worded opposition. Take action NOW by following the simple instructions below. 👉 Link to WHO written public comments: https://inb.who.int/home/written-submissions Feel free to copy and paste the sample text below from The People's Treaty. https://jamesroguski.substack.com/p/the-peoples-treaty 1. Human rights are inalienable. They cannot be taken away. Governments have no authority to suspend human rights, even during "emergencies." 2. The rights of nations, states, provinces, groups and organizations are subservient to inalienable human rights. 3. The right to try is an inalienable right. Bureaucrats do not have the right to deny potentially life-saving medications to anyone. 4. The right to refuse treatment is an inalienable right. Bodily autonomy is sacrosanct and must ALWAYS be respected. 5. Vaccine status cannot be used to restrict people's inalienable right to travel, work,